


**ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE
ASSESSMENT**

1995 Carling Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario

REPORT: GW20-060 – Traffic Noise



April 13, 2020

PREPARED FOR

Claridge Homes

Attn: Vincent Dénommé
210 Gladstone Avenue, Suite 2001
Ottawa, Ontario
K2P 0Y6

PREPARED BY

Giuseppe Garro, MAsc., Junior Environmental Scientist
Joshua Foster, P.Eng., Principal

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes a roadway traffic noise assessment undertaken in support of a site plan application (SPA) for a proposed residential development located at 1995 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario. The development is a 27-storey residential building with amenity areas and building support facilities on the ground floor. The remaining floors above are designated for residential use. The building features three levels of underground parking accessed via Bromley Road. An outdoor amenity area is provided on the northwest corner of the site. Outdoor terraces are located on the building's west façade on Level 2 and Level 5, the north and south façades on Level 5, and the east façade at Level 27. The primary source of roadway traffic noise is Carling Avenue. Figure 1 illustrates a complete site plan with surrounding context.

The assessment is based on (i) theoretical noise prediction methods that conform to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) and City of Ottawa requirements; (ii) noise level criteria as specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG); (iii) future vehicular traffic volumes based on the City of Ottawa's Official Plan roadway classifications; and (iv) site plan drawings provided by EVOQ Architecture in March of 2020.

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 50 and 74 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 59 and 66 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (74 dBA) occurs at the south façade, which is nearest and most exposed to Carling Avenue. Building components with a higher Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating will be required where exterior noise levels exceed 65 dBA, as indicated in Figure 3.

Results of the calculations also indicate that the development will require central air conditioning, which will allow occupants to keep windows closed and maintain a comfortable living environment. A Warning Clause will also be required on all Lease, Purchase and Sale Agreements, as summarized in Section 6.

Noise levels at the Level 5 terrace (Receptor 5) are expected to approach 66 dBA during the daytime period with no noise barrier considered. If this area is to be used as an outdoor living area, noise control measures are required to reduce noise levels as close as possible 55 dBA where technically and administratively feasible. Further analysis investigated the noise mitigating impact of raising the south and west perimeter guards from a standard height of 1.1 m (base case) to 2.0 m above the walking surface.



Results of the investigation proved that noise levels can be reduced to 55 dBA with a 2.0 m barrier. Therefore, the inclusion of a 2.0 m barrier is considered technically and administratively feasible while reducing noise to acceptable levels to meet the 55 dBA criterion.

The guardrail must be constructed from materials having a minimum surface density of 20 kg/m² (STC rating of 30) and contain no gaps. Design of the guardrail will conform to the requirements outlined in Part 5 of the ENCG and summarized in Section 6.

With regard to stationary noise impacts, a stationary noise study will be performed for the site during the detailed design once mechanical plans for the proposed building become available. This study would assess impacts of stationary noise from rooftop mechanical units serving the proposed building on surrounding noise-sensitive areas. This study will include recommendations for any noise control measures that may be necessary to ensure noise levels fall below ENCG limits. Noise impacts can generally be minimized by judicious selection and placement of the equipment. Where necessary noise screens and silencers can be placed into the design.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 1

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE 1

3. OBJECTIVES 2

4. METHODOLOGY..... 2

4.1 Background.....2

4.2 Roadway Traffic Noise.....2

4.2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise2

4.2.2 Theoretical Roadway Noise Predictions4

4.2.3 Roadway Traffic Volumes.....4

4.3 Indoor Noise Calculations5

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 6

5.1 Roadway Traffic Noise Levels.....6

5.2 Noise Control Measures7

5.3 Noise Barrier Calculation8

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 9

FIGURES

APPENDICES

Appendix A – STAMSON 5.04 Input and Output Data and Supporting Information



1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by Claridge Homes to undertake a roadway traffic noise assessment in support of a Site Plan Application (SPA) for a proposed residential development located at 1995 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario. This report summarizes the methodology, results, and recommendations related to the assessment of exterior and interior noise levels generated by local roadway traffic.

Our work is based on theoretical noise calculation methods conforming to the City of Ottawa¹ and Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)² guidelines. Noise calculations were based on architectural drawings provided by EVOQ Architecture in March of 2020, with future traffic volumes corresponding to the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) roadway classifications.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The focus of this roadway traffic noise assessment is a proposed residential development located at 1995 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario. The study site is located on a nearly rectangular parcel of land bound by Carling Avenue to the south, Bromley Road to the east, and residential land to the north and west. The site is surrounded by low-rise residential buildings to the north, east and south, and high-rise residential buildings to the west.

The proposed development is a 27-storey building of nearly rectangular planform with amenity areas and building support facilities on the ground floor. The remaining floors above are designated for residential use. The building features three levels of underground parking accessed via Bromley Road to the northeast. An outdoor amenity area is provided on the northwest corner of the site. Outdoor terraces are located on the building's west façade on Level 2 and Level 5. Floorplates set back at the north and south façades on Level 5, and the east façade at Level 27 to produce terraces. Terraces extending less than 4 metres in depth from the façade do not require consideration as outdoor living areas (OLA) as mentioned in the ENCG. A soft landscaping amenity area is identified along the south façade of the building. It is advised that this section

¹ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines, January 2016

² Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change – Environmental Noise Guidelines, Publication NPC-300, Queens Printer for Ontario, Toronto, 2013

not be programmed as an Outdoor Living Area (OLA) for the quiet enjoyment of the outdoors as noise levels are expected to exceed 70 dBA and would require significant mitigation measures to reduce noise levels to acceptable levels. The primary source of roadway traffic noise is Carling Avenue. Figure 1 illustrates a complete site plan with surrounding context.

3. OBJECTIVES

The principal objectives of this study are to (i) calculate the future noise levels on the study buildings produced by local roadway traffic, and (ii) ensure that interior and exterior noise levels do not exceed the allowable limits specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines as outlined in Section 4.2 of this report.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Background

Noise can be defined as any obtrusive sound. It is created at a source, transmitted through a medium, such as air, and intercepted by a receiver. Noise may be characterized in terms of the power of the source or the sound pressure at a specific distance. While the power of a source is characteristic of that particular source, the sound pressure depends on the location of the receiver and the path that the noise takes to reach the receiver. Measurement of noise is based on the decibel unit, dBA, which is a logarithmic ratio referenced to a standard noise level (2×10^{-5} Pascals). The 'A' suffix refers to a weighting scale, which better represents how the noise is perceived by the human ear. With this scale, a doubling of power results in a 3 dBA increase in measured noise levels and is just perceptible to most people. An increase of 10 dBA is often perceived to be twice as loud.

4.2 Roadway Traffic Noise

4.2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise

For surface roadway traffic noise, the equivalent sound energy level, L_{eq} , provides a measure of the time varying noise levels, which is well correlated with the annoyance of sound. It is defined as the continuous sound level, which has the same energy as a time varying noise level over a period of time. For roadways, the L_{eq} is commonly calculated on the basis of a 16-hour (L_{eq16}) daytime (07:00-23:00) / 8-hour (L_{eq8}) nighttime (23:00-07:00) split to assess its impact on residential buildings. The City of Ottawa's



Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG) specifies that the recommended indoor noise limit range (that is relevant to this study) is 45 and 40 dBA for living rooms and sleeping quarters respectively for roadway as listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1: INDOOR SOUND LEVEL CRITERIA (ROAD)³

Type of Space	Time Period	L _{eq} (dBA)
General offices, reception areas, retail stores, etc.	07:00 – 23:00	50
Living/dining/den areas of residences , hospitals, schools, nursing/retirement homes, day-care centres, theatres, places of worship, libraries, individual or semi-private offices, conference rooms, etc.	07:00 – 23:00	45
Sleeping quarters of hotels/motels	23:00 – 07:00	45
Sleeping quarters of residences , hospitals, nursing/retirement homes, etc.	23:00 – 07:00	40

Predicted noise levels at the plane of window (POW) dictate the action required to achieve the recommended sound levels. An open window is considered to provide a 10 dBA reduction in noise, while a standard closed window is capable of providing a minimum 20 dBA noise reduction⁴. A closed window due to a ventilation requirement will bring noise levels down to achieve an acceptable indoor environment⁵. Therefore, where noise levels exceed 55 dBA daytime and 50 dBA nighttime, the ventilation for the building should consider the need for having windows and doors closed, which triggers the need for forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning. Where noise levels exceed 65 dBA daytime and 60 dBA nighttime, air conditioning will be required and building components will require higher levels of sound attenuation⁶.

The sound level criterion for outdoor living areas is 55 dBA, which applies during the daytime (07:00 to 23:00). When noise levels exceed 55 dBA, mitigation must be provided to reduce noise levels where technically and administratively feasible to acceptable levels at or below the criterion.

³ Adapted from ENCG 2016 – Tables 2.2b and 2.2c

⁴ Burberry, P.B. (2014). Mitchell’s Environment and Services. Routledge, Page 125

⁵ MOECP, Environmental Noise Guidelines, NPC 300 – Part C, Section 7.8

⁶ MOECP, Environmental Noise Guidelines, NPC 300 – Part C, Section 7.1.3

4.2.2 Theoretical Roadway Noise Predictions

Noise predictions were performed with the aid of the MECP computerized noise assessment program, STAMSON 5.04, for road analysis. Appendix A includes the STAMSON 5.04 input and output data.

Roadway traffic noise calculations were performed by treating each roadway segment as separate line sources of noise. In addition to the traffic volumes summarized in Table 2, theoretical noise predictions were based on the following parameters:

- Truck traffic on all roadways was taken to comprise 5% heavy trucks and 7% medium trucks, as per ENCG requirements for noise level predictions.
- The day/night split for all streets was taken to be 92%/8%, respectively.
- Ground surfaces were taken to be reflective due to the presence of hard (paved) ground.
- Topography was assumed to be a flat/gentle slope surrounding the study building.
- For select sources where appropriate, receptors considered the existing and proposed building as a barrier partially or fully obstructing exposure to the source as illustrated by exposure angles in Figures 4 and 5.
- Noise receptors were strategically placed at 7 locations around the study area (see Figure 2).
- Receptor distances and exposure angles are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5.

4.2.3 Roadway Traffic Volumes

The ENCG dictates that noise calculations should consider future sound levels based on a roadway's classification at the mature state of development. Therefore, traffic volumes are based on the roadway classifications outlined in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) and Transportation Master Plan⁷ which provide additional details on future roadway expansions. Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes are then based on data in Table B1 of the ENCG for each roadway classification. Table 2 (below) summarizes the AADT values used for each roadway included in this assessment.

⁷ City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan, November 2013



TABLE 2: ROADWAY TRAFFIC DATA

Segment	Roadway Traffic Data	Speed Limit (km/h)	Traffic Volumes
Carling Avenue	6-Lane Urban Arterial Divided (6-UAD)	60	50,000

4.3 Indoor Noise Calculations

The difference between outdoor and indoor noise levels is the noise attenuation provided by the building envelope. According to common industry practice, complete walls and individual wall elements are rated according to the Sound Transmission Class (STC). The STC ratings of common residential walls built in conformance with the Ontario Building Code (2012) typically exceed STC 35, depending on exterior cladding, thickness and interior finish details. For example, brick veneer walls can achieve STC 50 or more. Standard commercially sided exterior metal stud walls have around STC 45. Standard good quality double-glazed non-operable windows can have STC ratings ranging from 25 to 40, depending on the window manufacturer, pane thickness and inter-pane spacing. As previously mentioned, the windows are the known weak point in a partition.

As per Section 4.2, when daytime noise levels from road sources at the plane of the window exceed 65 dBA, calculations must be performed to evaluate the sound transmission quality of the building components to ensure acceptable indoor noise levels. The calculation procedure⁸ considers:

- Window type and total area as a percentage of total room floor area
- Exterior wall type and total area as a percentage of the total room floor area
- Acoustic absorption characteristics of the room
- Outdoor noise source type and approach geometry
- Indoor sound level criteria, which varies according to the intended use of a space

⁸ Building Practice Note: Controlling Sound Transmission into Buildings by J.D. Quirt, National Research Council of Canada, September 1985



Based on published research⁹, exterior walls possess specific sound attenuation characteristics that are used as a basis for calculating the required STC ratings of windows in the same partition. Due to the limited information available at the time of the study, which was prepared for site plan approval, detailed floor layouts and building elevations have not been finalized; therefore, detailed STC calculations could not be performed at this time. As a guideline, the anticipated STC requirements for windows have been estimated based on the overall noise reduction required for each intended use of space (STC = outdoor noise level – targeted indoor noise levels).

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Roadway Traffic Noise Levels

The results of the roadway traffic noise calculations are summarized in Table 3 below. A complete set of input and output data from all STAMSON 5.04 calculations are available in Appendix A.

TABLE 3: EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS DUE TO ROAD TRAFFIC

Receptor Number	Receptor Height Above Grade (m)	Receptor Location	STAMSON 5.04 Noise Level (dBA)	
			Day	Night
1	12	POW – 4 th Floor – South Façade	74	66
2	80.75	POW – 27 th Floor – South Façade	73	66
3	12	POW – 4 th Floor – East Façade	70	62
4	80.75	POW – 27 th Floor – West Façade	70	62
5	15	OLA – Level 5 Outdoor Amenity Terrace	66	N/A
6	1.5	OLA – At-Grade Outdoor Amenity Area	50	N/A
7	12	POW – 4 th Floor – West Façade	67	59

N/A: Noise levels during the nighttime are not considered as per ENCG

⁹ CMHC, Road & Rail Noise: Effects on Housing



The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 50 and 74 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 59 and 66 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (74 dBA) occurs at the south façade, which is nearest and most exposed to Carling Avenue.

5.2 Noise Control Measures

The noise levels predicted due to roadway traffic exceed the criteria listed in Section 4.2 for building components. As discussed in Section 4.3, the anticipated STC requirements for windows have been estimated based on the overall noise reduction required for each intended use of space (STC = outdoor noise level – targeted indoor noise levels). As per city of Ottawa requirements, detailed STC calculations will be required to be completed prior to building permit application for each unit type. The STC requirements for the windows are summarized below for various units within the development (see Figure 3):

- **Bedroom Windows**
 - (i) Bedroom windows facing south will require a minimum STC of 37
 - (ii) Bedroom windows facing east and west will require a minimum STC of 33
 - (iii) All other bedroom windows are to satisfy Ontario Building Code (OBC 2012) requirements
- **Living Room Windows**
 - (i) Living room windows facing south will require a minimum STC of 32
 - (ii) Living room windows facing east and west will require a minimum STC of 28
 - (iii) All other living room windows are to satisfy Ontario Building Code (OBC 2012) requirements
- **Exterior Walls**
 - (i) Exterior wall components on the east, south and west façades will require a minimum STC of 45, which will be achieved with brick cladding or an acoustical equivalent according to NRC test data¹⁰

The STC requirements apply to windows, doors, spandrel panels and curtainwall elements. Exterior wall components on these façades are recommended to have a minimum STC of 45, where a window/wall

¹⁰ J.S. Bradley and J.A. Birta. Laboratory Measurements of the Sound Insulation of Building Façade Elements, National Research Council October 2000.

system is used. A review of window supplier literature indicates that the specified STC ratings can be achieved by a variety of window systems having a combination of glass thickness and inter-pane spacing. We have specified an example window configuration, however several manufacturers and various combinations of window components, such as those proposed, will offer the necessary sound attenuation rating. It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to ensure that the specified window achieves the required STC. This can only be assured by using window configurations that have been certified by laboratory testing. The requirements for STC ratings assume that the remaining components of the building are constructed and installed according to the minimum standards of the Ontario Building Code. The specified STC requirements also apply to swinging and/or sliding patio doors.

Results of the calculations also indicate that the development will require central air conditioning, which will allow occupants to keep windows closed and maintain a comfortable living environment. In addition to ventilation requirements, Warning Clauses will also be required in all Lease, Purchase and Sale Agreements, as summarized in Section 6.

5.3 Noise Barrier Calculation

Noise levels at the Level 5 (Receptor 5) are expected to approach 66 dBA during the daytime period with no noise barrier considered. If this area is to be used as an outdoor living area, noise control measures are required to reduce noise levels as close as possible to 55 dBA where technically and administratively feasible. Further analysis investigated the noise mitigating impact of raising the south and west perimeter guards from a standard height of 1.1 m (base case) to 2.0 m above the walking surface. Results of the investigation proved that noise levels can be reduced to 55 dBA with a 2.0 m barrier. Therefore, the inclusion of a 2.0 m barrier is considered technically and administratively feasible while reducing noise to acceptable levels to meet the 55 dBA criterion.

TABLE 4: RESULTS OF NOISE BARRIER INVESTIGATION

Receptor Number	Receptor Height Above Grade (m)	Receptor Location	Daytime L_{eq} Noise Levels (dBA)			
			No Barrier	With 1.1m Barrier	With 1.5m Barrier	With 2m Barrier
5	15	OLA – Level 5 Outdoor Amenity Terrace	66	57	56	55



6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 50 and 74 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 59 and 66 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (74 dBA) occurs at the south façade, which is nearest and most exposed to Carling Avenue. Building components with a higher Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating will be required where exterior noise levels exceed 65 dBA, as indicated in Figure 3.

Results of the calculations also indicate that the development will require central air conditioning, which will allow occupants to keep windows closed and maintain a comfortable living environment. The following Warning Clause¹¹ will also be required be placed on all Lease, Purchase and Sale Agreements, as summarized below:

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing roadway traffic may, on occasion, interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants, as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks. To help address the need for sound attenuation, this development includes:

- *STC rated multi-pane glazing elements*
 - *South façade bedroom/living room: STC 37/32*
 - *East and west façade bedroom/living room: STC 33/28*
- *STC rated exterior walls*
 - *East, south and west façade: STC 45*

This dwelling unit has also been designed with air conditioning. Air conditioning will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

¹¹ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines, January 2016




To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded, it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features.”

Noise levels at the Level 5 terrace (Receptor 5) are expected to approach 66 dBA during the daytime period with no noise barrier considered. If this area is to be used as an outdoor living area, noise control measures are required to reduce noise levels as close as possible 55 dBA where technically and administratively feasible. Further analysis investigated the noise mitigating impact of raising the south and west perimeter guards from a standard height of 1.1 m (base case) to 2.0 m above the walking surface. Results of the investigation proved that noise levels can be reduced to 55 dBA with a 2.0 m barrier. Therefore, the inclusion of a 2.0 m barrier is considered technically and administratively feasible while reducing noise to acceptable levels to meet the 55 dBA criterion.

The guardrail must be constructed from materials having a minimum surface density of 20 kg/m² (STC rating of 30) and contain no gaps. Design of the guardrail will conform to the requirements outlined in Part 5 of the ENCG. The following information will be required by the City for review prior to installation of the barrier:

1. Shop drawings, signed and sealed by a qualified Professional Engineer licenced by the Professional Engineers of Ontario, showing the details of the acoustic barrier systems components, including material specifications.
2. Structural drawing(s), signed by a qualified Professional Engineer licenced by the Professional Engineers of Ontario, showing foundation details and specifying design criteria, climatic design loads, as well as applicable geotechnical data used in the design.
3. Layout plan, and wall elevations, showing proposed colours and patterns.




GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

This concludes our traffic noise assessment and report. If you have any questions or wish to discuss our findings, please advise us. In the interim, we thank you for the opportunity to be of service.


Sincerely,

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.





Giuseppe Garro, M.A.Sc.
Junior Environmental Scientist

Gradient Wind File #20-060-Traffic Noise




Joshua Foster, P.Eng.
Principal






GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS 127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON 613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM	PROJECT 1995 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT		DESCRIPTION FIGURE 1: SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT
	SCALE 1:1000 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO. GWE20-060-1	
	DATE APRIL 7, 2020	DRAWN BY G.G.	



- 1 OLA RECEPTOR
- 1 POW RECEPTOR

GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS 127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON 613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM	PROJECT 1995 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT	DESCRIPTION 	
	SCALE 1:500 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO. GWE20-060-2	FIGURE 2: RECEPTOR LOCATION
	DATE APRIL 7, 2020	DRAWN BY G.G.	



PROPERTY LINE

STUDY BUILDING

BROMLEY ROAD

PROPOSED 2.0M NOISE BARRIER

ROAD SEGMENT

CARLING AVENUE

- BEDROOM/LIVING ROOM WINDOWS: STC 37/32
- BEDROOM/LIVING ROOM WINDOWS: STC 33/28

<p>GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS</p> <p>127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON 613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM</p>	PROJECT	1995 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT	DESCRIPTION	
	SCALE	1:500 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO.	<p>FIGURE 3: WINDOW STC REQUIREMENTS</p>
	DATE	APRIL 7, 2020	DRAWN BY	




PROPERTY LINE

STUDY BUILDING

BROMLEY ROAD


ROAD SEGMENT

CARLING AVENUE



- 1 OLA RECEPTOR
- 1 POW RECEPTOR

PROJECT	1995 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT	
SCALE	1:500 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO. GWE20-060-4
DATE	APRIL 7, 2020	DRAWN BY G.G.



- 6 OLA RECEPTOR
- 7 POW RECEPTOR

GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS 127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON 613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM	PROJECT 1995 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT		DESCRIPTION FIGURE 4: RECEPTOR 6 AND 7 STAMSON INPUT PARAMETERS
	SCALE 1:500 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO. GWE20-060-4	
	DATE APRIL 7, 2020	DRAWN BY G.G.	



APPENDIX A

STAMSON 5.04 – INPUT AND OUTPUT DATA

GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 30-03-2020 16:19:52
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 22.00 / 22.00 m
Receiver height : 12.00 / 12.00 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 73.56 + 0.00) = 73.56 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-1.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	73.56

Segment Leq : 73.56 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 73.56 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 65.97 + 0.00) = 65.97 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-1.66	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.97

Segment Leq : 65.97 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.97 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY) : 73.56
(NIGHT) : 65.97



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 30-03-2020 16:20:07
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 24.00 / 24.00 m
Receiver height : 80.75 / 80.75 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 73.18 + 0.00) = 73.18 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-2.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	73.18

Segment Leq : 73.18 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 73.18 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 65.59 + 0.00) = 65.59 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-2.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.59

Segment Leq : 65.59 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.59 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 73.18
(NIGHT): 65.59



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 30-03-2020 16:20:16
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r3.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 0.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 12.00 / 12.00 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 69.83 + 0.00) = 69.83 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	0	0.00	75.22	0.00	-2.39	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.83

Segment Leq : 69.83 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 69.83 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 62.23 + 0.00) = 62.23 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
-90	0	0.00	67.63	0.00	-2.39	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	62.23

Segment Leq : 62.23 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 62.23 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 69.83
(NIGHT): 62.23



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 30-03-2020 16:20:24
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r4.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 28.00 / 28.00 m
Receiver height : 80.75 / 80.75 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 69.50 + 0.00) = 69.50 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-2.71	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	69.50

Segment Leq : 69.50 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 69.50 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 61.91 + 0.00) = 61.91 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-2.71	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	61.91

Segment Leq : 61.91 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 61.91 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 69.50
(NIGHT): 61.91



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 30-03-2020 16:20:31
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r5.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av1 (day/night)


Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 39.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 38.00 / 38.00 m
Receiver height : 15.00 / 15.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 0.00 deg Angle2 : 39.00 deg
Barrier height : 13.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 8.00 / 8.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00

Road data, segment # 2: Carling Av2 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

```

Percentage of Annual Growth      :    0.00
Number of Years of Growth       :    0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume  :    7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume   :    5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume  :   92.00
  
```

Data for Segment # 2: Carling Av2 (day/night)

```

-----
Angle1  Angle2      :  39.00 deg   90.00 deg
Wood depth          :           0   (No woods.)
No of house rows    :           0 / 0
Surface             :           2   (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 38.00 / 38.00 m
Receiver height     : 15.00 / 15.00 m
Topography          :           2   (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1     :  39.00 deg   Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height     : 10.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Source elevation   :    0.00 m
Receiver elevation :    0.00 m
Barrier elevation  :    0.00 m
Reference angle    :    0.00
  
```

Results segment # 1: Carling Av1 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

```

-----
Source      ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
-----+-----+-----+-----
          1.50 !      15.00 !      12.16 !      12.16
  
```

ROAD (0.00 + 55.38 + 0.00) = 55.38 dBA

```

-----
Angle1 Angle2  Alpha RefLeq  P.Adj  D.Adj  F.Adj  W.Adj  H.Adj  B.Adj SubLeq
-----
    0    39    0.00  75.22  0.00  -4.04  -6.64  0.00  0.00  -9.17  55.38
-----
  
```

Segment Leq : 55.38 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 2: Carling Av2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	15.00	10.38	10.38

ROAD (0.00 + 65.71 + 0.00) = 65.71 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
39	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.04	-5.48	0.00	0.00	-4.84	60.87*
39	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.04	-5.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.71

* Bright Zone !

Segment Leq : 65.71 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 66.09 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av1 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	15.00	12.16	12.16

ROAD (0.00 + 47.78 + 0.00) = 47.78 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	39	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.04	-6.64	0.00	0.00	-9.17	47.78

Segment Leq : 47.78 dBA

Results segment # 2: Carling Av2 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	15.00	10.38	10.38



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

```

-----+-----+-----+-----
          1.50 !          15.00 !          10.38 !          10.38
ROAD (0.00 + 58.11 + 0.00) = 58.11 dBA
Angle1 Angle2  Alpha RefLeq  P.Adj  D.Adj  F.Adj  W.Adj  H.Adj  B.Adj SubLeq
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----
      39    90   0.00  67.63   0.00  -4.04  -5.48   0.00   0.00  -4.84  53.27*
      39    90   0.00  67.63   0.00  -4.04  -5.48   0.00   0.00   0.00  58.11
-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----

```

* Bright Zone !

Segment Leq : 58.11 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 58.49 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 66.09
 (NIGHT): 58.49



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 31-03-2020 15:51:40
 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r5b.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
 Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

```
-----
Car traffic volume   : 40480/3520   veh/TimePeriod   *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280    veh/TimePeriod   *
Heavy truck volume  : 2300/200    veh/TimePeriod   *
Posted speed limit  : 60 km/h
Road gradient       : 0 %
Road pavement      : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
```

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

```
24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth         : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth           : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume      : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume       : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume      : 92.00
```

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

```
-----
Angle1  Angle2      : 0.00 deg  90.00 deg
Wood depth      : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface         : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 38.00 / 38.00 m
Receiver height  : 15.00 / 15.00 m
Topography      : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1   : 0.00 deg  Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height   : 14.60 m
Barrier receiver distance : 8.00 / 8.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle  : 0.00
```

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

```
-----
Source      ! Receiver      ! Barrier      ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
-----+-----+-----+-----
1.50 ! 15.00 ! 12.16 ! 12.16
```



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

ROAD (0.00 + 57.32 + 0.00) = 57.32 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.04	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-10.86	57.32

Segment Leq : 57.32 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 57.32 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	15.00	12.16	12.16

ROAD (0.00 + 49.72 + 0.00) = 49.72 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.04	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-10.86	49.72

Segment Leq : 49.72 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 49.72 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 57.32
(NIGHT): 49.72



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 31-03-2020 15:51:50
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r5b2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 38.00 / 38.00 m
Receiver height : 15.00 / 15.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 0.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 15.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 8.00 / 8.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
-----+-----+-----+-----
1.50 ! 15.00 ! 12.16 ! 12.16



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

ROAD (0.00 + 56.39 + 0.00) = 56.39 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.04	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-11.78	56.39

Segment Leq : 56.39 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 56.39 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	15.00	12.16	12.16

ROAD (0.00 + 48.80 + 0.00) = 48.80 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.04	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-11.78	48.80

Segment Leq : 48.80 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 48.80 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 56.39
(NIGHT): 48.80

GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 31-03-2020 15:51:59
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r5b3.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)


Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 38.00 / 38.00 m
Receiver height : 15.00 / 15.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 0.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 15.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 8.00 / 8.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
-----+-----+-----+-----
1.50 ! 15.00 ! 12.16 ! 12.16



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

ROAD (0.00 + 55.36 + 0.00) = 55.36 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.04	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-12.82	55.36

Segment Leq : 55.36 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 55.36 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	15.00	12.16	12.16

ROAD (0.00 + 47.77 + 0.00) = 47.77 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.04	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-12.82	47.77

Segment Leq : 47.77 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 47.77 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 55.36
(NIGHT): 47.77



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 30-03-2020 16:20:39
 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r6.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
 Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

```
-----
Car traffic volume   : 40480/3520   veh/TimePeriod   *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280    veh/TimePeriod   *
Heavy truck volume  : 2300/200    veh/TimePeriod   *
Posted speed limit  : 60 km/h
Road gradient       : 0 %
Road pavement      : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
```

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

```
24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth         : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth           : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume      : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume       : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume      : 92.00
```

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

```
-----
Angle1   Angle2       : 0.00 deg   90.00 deg
Wood depth      : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface         : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 56.00 / 56.00 m
Receiver height  : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography      : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1   : 0.00 deg   Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height   : 10.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 29.00 / 29.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle  : 0.00
```

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

```
-----
Source      ! Receiver      ! Barrier      ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
-----+-----+-----+-----
          1.50 !          1.50 !          1.50 !          1.50
```



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

ROAD (0.00 + 50.05 + 0.00) = 50.05 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-5.72	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-16.45	50.05

Segment Leq : 50.05 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 50.05 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	1.50	1.50	1.50

ROAD (0.00 + 42.45 + 0.00) = 42.45 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-5.72	-3.01	0.00	0.00	-16.45	42.45

Segment Leq : 42.45 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 42.45 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 50.05
(NIGHT): 42.45



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 31-03-2020 15:00:55
 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r7.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
 Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

```
-----
Car traffic volume   : 40480/3520   veh/TimePeriod   *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280    veh/TimePeriod   *
Heavy truck volume  : 2300/200    veh/TimePeriod   *
Posted speed limit  : 60 km/h
Road gradient       : 0 %
Road pavement      : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
```

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

```
24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth       : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth         : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume    : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume     : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume    : 92.00
```

Data for Segment # 1: Carling Av (day/night)

```
-----
Angle1   Angle2       : 0.00 deg   90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface    : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 33.00 / 33.00 m
Receiver height : 12.00 / 12.00 m
Topography  : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 44.00 deg   Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 10.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 8.00 / 8.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00
```

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

```
-----
Source      ! Receiver      ! Barrier      ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
-----+-----+-----+-----
          1.50 !          12.00 !          9.45 !          9.45
```



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

ROAD (65.68 + 60.46 + 0.00) = 66.82 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	44	0.00	75.22	0.00	-3.42	-6.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	65.68
44	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-3.42	-5.93	0.00	0.00	-5.41	60.46

Segment Leq : 66.82 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 66.82 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling Av (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	12.00	9.45	9.45

ROAD (58.09 + 52.87 + 0.00) = 59.23 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj	SubLeq
0	44	0.00	67.63	0.00	-3.42	-6.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.09
44	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-3.42	-5.93	0.00	0.00	-5.41	52.87

Segment Leq : 59.23 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 59.23 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 66.82
(NIGHT): 59.23