


**PEDESTRIAN LEVEL  
WIND STUDY**

178-200 Isabella Street  
Ottawa, Ontario

Report: 20-139-PLW



September 10, 2020

PREPARED FOR

**Minto Communities - Canada**

200-180 Kent Street  
Ottawa, ON K1P 0B6

PREPARED BY

Edward Urbanski, M.Eng., Junior Wind Scientist  
Steven Hall, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., Wind Engineer

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study to satisfy the requirements for a zoning by-law amendment (ZBA) submission for a mixed-use residential and retail development located at 178-200 Isabella Street in Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as “subject site”). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify any areas where wind conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, as required.

The study involves simulation of wind speeds for selected wind directions in a three-dimensional (3D) computer model using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique, combined with meteorological data integration, to assess pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site according to City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria. The results and recommendations derived from these considerations are detailed in the main body of the report (Section 5), illustrated in Figures 3A-3D, and summarized as follows:

- 1) All grade-level areas within and surrounding the subject site will be acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. Specifically, wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks, building access points, bus stops, and driveways are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year.
- 2) Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas surrounding the subject site at grade level or within the common amenity terraces were found to experience conditions that could be considered uncomfortable or dangerous.
- 3) Regarding primary and secondary building access points, wind conditions predicted in this study are only applicable to pedestrian comfort and safety. As such, the results should not be construed to indicate wind loading on doors and associate hardware.



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**1. INTRODUCTION ..... 1**

**2. TERMS OF REFERENCE ..... 1**

**3. OBJECTIVES ..... 2**

**4. METHODOLOGY..... 2**

**4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling .....3**

**4.2 Wind Speed Measurements.....3**

**4.3 Meteorological Data Analysis .....4**

**4.4 Pedestrian Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa .....6**

**5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION..... 8**

**5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions .....8**

**5.2 Applicability of Results .....9**

**6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 9**

**FIGURES**

**APPENDICES**

**Appendix A – Simulation of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer**



## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by Minto Communities – Canada to undertake a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study to satisfy the requirements for a zoning by-law amendment (ZBA) submission for a proposed mixed-use residential and retail development located at 178-200 Isabella Street in Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as “subject site”). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify any areas where wind conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, as required.

Our work is based on industry standard computer simulations using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique and data analysis procedures, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, architectural drawings prepared by Quadrangle Architects Ltd. in August 2020, surrounding street layouts and existing and approved future building massing information obtained from the City of Ottawa, as well as recent satellite imagery.

## **2. TERMS OF REFERENCE**

The subject site is located on the north edge of a parcel of land bounded by Bank Street to the west, Isabella Street to the north, O’Connor Street to the east, and Pretoria Avenue to the south. The proposed mixed-use residential and retail development comprises a 16-storey tower. Above four levels of underground parking, the ground floor provides a residential lobby at the northeast corner, retail space at the northwest corner, residential space at the southwest corner, and shared building support at the southeast corner. Level 2, which overhangs the grade level on the north, east, and west elevations, comprises interior amenity space along the north, and residential space along the south. All other floors contain residential units. Above Level 2, the building rises with a constant rectangular planform to Level 10, where the building steps back at the east and west elevations. The building maintains a constant planform from Level 10 to Level 16.

The near-field surroundings (defined as an area within 200 metres (m) of the subject site) include mostly low-rise suburban developments from the east clockwise to the southwest, and a mix of parking lots, Highway 417 (The Queensway), and a mix of mostly low- and mid-rise developments for the remainder of



the compass. Notably, a 13-storey building is located approximately 130 m to the east-northeast at 460 O'Connor Street, and a 19-storey building is located approximately 130 m to the north-northwest at 203 Catherine Street. The Queensway runs east to west approximately 50 m to the north of the subject site. The far-field surroundings (defined as an area beyond the near-field but within a 2 kilometre (km) radius of the subject site) are characterized by a mix of low- and mid-rise buildings and the urban exposure of the downtown Ottawa core from the west-northwest clockwise to the north, by a mix of low-, mid-, and high-rise buildings from the north clockwise to the northeast, by mostly dense low-rise suburban developments from the northeast clockwise to the southwest, and by a mix of low-, mid-, and high-rise developments from the southwest clockwise to the west-northwest. The Rideau River flows south to north approximately 1.4 km to the east of the subject site, and the Rideau Canal flows south to north approximately 600 m to the east of the subject site.

Key areas under consideration include surrounding sidewalks, walkways, bus stops, and building access points. Figure 1 illustrates the subject site and surrounding context, while Figures 2A-2D illustrate the computational model used to conduct the study.

### **3. OBJECTIVES**

The principal objectives of this study are to (i) determine pedestrian level wind comfort and safety conditions at key areas within and surrounding the development site; (ii) identify areas where wind conditions may interfere with the intended uses of outdoor spaces; and (iii) recommend suitable mitigation measures, where required.

### **4. METHODOLOGY**

The approach followed to quantify pedestrian wind conditions over the site is based on CFD simulations of wind speeds across the study site within a virtual environment, meteorological analysis of the Ottawa area wind climate, and synthesis of computational data with City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria<sup>1</sup>. The following sections describe the analysis procedures, including a discussion of the noted pedestrian wind criteria.

---

<sup>1</sup> City of Ottawa Terms of References: Wind Analysis  
[https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/torwindanalysis\\_en.pdf](https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf)



#### 4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling

A computer based PLW study was performed to determine the influence of the wind environment on pedestrian comfort over the proposed development site. Pedestrian comfort predictions, based on the mechanical effects of wind, were determined by combining measured wind speed data from CFD simulations with statistical weather data obtained from Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport. The general concept and approach to CFD modelling is to represent building and topographic details in the immediate vicinity of the study site on the surrounding model, and to create suitable atmospheric wind profiles at the model boundary. The wind profiles are designed to have similar mean and turbulent wind properties consistent with actual site exposures.

An industry standard practice is to omit trees, vegetation, and other existing and planned landscape elements from the model due to the difficulty of providing accurate seasonal representation of vegetation. The omission of trees and other landscaping elements produces slightly more conservative (i.e., windier) wind speed values.

#### 4.2 Wind Speed Measurements

The PLW analysis was performed by simulating wind flows and gathering velocity data over a CFD model of the site for 12 wind directions. The CFD simulation model was centered on the study building, complete with surrounding massing within a diameter of approximately 820 m.

Mean and peak wind speed data obtained over the study site for each wind direction were interpolated to 36 wind directions at 10° intervals, representing the full compass azimuth. Measured wind speeds approximately 1.5 m above local grade were referenced to the wind speed at gradient height to generate mean and peak velocity ratios, which were used to calculate full-scale values. Gradient height represents the theoretical depth of the boundary layer of the earth's atmosphere, above which the mean wind speed remains constant. Further details of the wind flow simulation technique are presented in Appendix A.

### 4.3 Meteorological Data Analysis


A statistical model for winds in Ottawa was developed from approximately 40 years of hourly meteorological wind data recorded at Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport and obtained from Environment and Climate Change Canada. Wind speed and direction data were analyzed for each month of the year to determine the statistically prominent wind directions and corresponding speeds, and to characterize similarities between monthly weather patterns. Based on this portion of analysis, the four seasons are represented by grouping data from consecutive months based on similarity of weather patterns, and not according to the traditional calendar method. The winter season is defined as December-March, spring as April-May, summer as June-September, and autumn as October-November.

The statistical model of the Ottawa area wind climate, which indicates the directional character of local winds on a seasonal basis, is illustrated on the following page. The plots illustrate seasonal distribution of measured wind speeds and directions in kilometers per hour (km/h). Probabilities of occurrence of different wind speeds are represented as stacked polar bars in sixteen azimuth divisions. The radial direction represents the percentage of time for various wind speed ranges per wind direction during the measurement period. The preferred wind speeds and directions can be identified by the longer length of the bars. For Ottawa, the most common winds occur for westerly wind directions, followed by those from the east, while the most common wind speeds are below 36 km/h. The directional preference and relative magnitude of wind speed changes somewhat from season to season.





## SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF WIND OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT



### Notes:

1. Radial distances indicate percentage of time of wind events.
2. Wind speeds are mean hourly in km/h, measured at 10 m above the ground.



#### 4.4 Pedestrian Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa

Pedestrian comfort and safety criteria are based on the mechanical effects of wind without consideration of other meteorological conditions (i.e., temperature, relative humidity). The comfort criteria assume that pedestrians are appropriately dressed for a specified outdoor activity during any given season. Five pedestrian comfort classes are based on 80% non-exceedance mean wind speed ranges, which include (1) Sitting; (2) Standing; (3) Strolling; (4) Walking; and (5) Uncomfortable. More specifically, the comfort classes and associated mean wind speed ranges are summarized as follows:

- 1) **Sitting:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 10 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 16 km/h.
- 2) **Standing:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 14 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 22 km/h.
- 3) **Strolling:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 17 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 27 km/h.
- 4) **Walking:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 20 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 32 km/h.
- 5) **Uncomfortable:** Uncomfortable conditions are characterized by predicted values that fall below the 80% target for walking. Brisk walking and exercise, such as jogging, would be acceptable for moderate excesses of this criterion.

The pedestrian safety wind speed criterion is based on the approximate threshold that would cause a vulnerable member of the population to fall. A 0.1% exceedance gust wind speed of 90 km/h is classified as dangerous. The gust speeds, and equivalent mean speeds, are selected based on ‘The Beaufort Scale’, presented on the following page, which describes the effects of forces produced by varying wind speed levels on objects. Gust speeds are included because pedestrians tend to be more sensitive to wind gusts than to steady winds for lower wind speed ranges. For strong winds approaching dangerous levels, this effect is less important because the mean wind can also create problems for pedestrians. The mean gust speed ranges are selected based on ‘The Beaufort Scale’, which describes the effect of forces produced by varying wind speeds on levels on objects.

## THE BEAUFORT SCALE

| Number | Description     | Wind Speed (km/h) |             | Description   |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|---|
|        |                 | Mean              | Gust (Peak) |   |
| 2      | Light Breeze    | 6-11              | 9-17        | Wind felt on faces  |
| 3      | Gentle Breeze   | 12-19             | 18-29       | Leaves and small twigs in constant motion; wind extends light flags                           |
| 4      | Moderate Breeze | 20-28             | 30-42       | Wind raises dust and loose paper; Small branches are moved                                    |
| 5      | Fresh Breeze    | 29-38             | 43-57       | Small trees in leaf begin to sway   |
| 6      | Strong Breeze   | 39-49             | 58-74       | Large branches in motion; Whistling heard in electrical wires; umbrellas used with difficulty |
| 7      | Moderate Gale   | 50-61             | 75-92       | Whole trees in motion; inconvenient walking against wind                                      |
| 8      | Gale            | 62-74             | 93-111      | Breaks twigs off trees; generally impedes progress  |

Experience and research on people’s perception of mechanical wind effects has shown that if the wind speed levels are exceeded for more than 80% of the time, the activity level would be judged to be uncomfortable by most people. For instance, if a mean wind speed of 10 km/h (gust equivalent mean wind speed of 16 km/h) was exceeded for more than 20% of the time most pedestrians would judge that location to be too windy for sitting. Similarly, if mean wind speed of 20 km/h (gust equivalent mean wind speed of 32 km/h) at a location were exceeded for more than 20% of the time, walking or less vigorous activities would be considered uncomfortable. As most of these criteria are based on subjective reactions of a population to wind forces, their application is partly based on experience and judgment.

Once the pedestrian wind speed predictions have been established at tested locations, the assessment of pedestrian comfort involves determining the suitability of the predicted wind conditions for their associated spaces. This step involves comparing the predicted comfort class to the desired comfort class, which is dictated by the location type represented by the sensor. An overview of common pedestrian location types and their desired comfort classes are summarized on the following page.

**DESIRED PEDESTRIAN COMFORT CLASSES FOR VARIOUS LOCATION TYPES**

| Location Types                           | Desired Comfort Classes        |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Primary Building Entrance                | Standing                       |
| Secondary Building Access Point          | Walking                        |
| Primary Public Sidewalk                  | Strolling / Walking            |
| Secondary Public Sidewalk / Bicycle Path | Walking                        |
| Outdoor Amenity Space                    | Sitting / Standing / Strolling |
| Café / Patio / Bench / Garden            | Sitting                        |
| Transit Stop                             | Sitting / Standing             |
| Public Park / Plaza                      | Standing / Strolling           |
| Garage / Service Entrance                | Walking                        |
| Parking Lot                              | Strolling / Walking            |
| Vehicular Drop-Off Zone                  | Standing / Strolling / Walking |

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following discussion of predicted pedestrian wind conditions is accompanied by Figures 3A-3D (following the main text) illustrating the seasonal wind conditions at grade level. The colour contours indicate various comfort classes predicted for certain regions. Wind conditions comfortable for sitting or more sedentary activities are represented by the colour green, standing are represented by yellow, strolling by orange, and walking by blue. Pedestrian comfort is summarized below for each area of interest.

### 5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions

**Sidewalk along Isabella Street:** Conditions along the Isabella Street sidewalk are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of standing and strolling throughout the remainder of the year. The noted conditions are considered acceptable.

**Walkway, Driveway, and Drop-Off Area along East Elevation:** Conditions over the walkway and drop-off area along the east elevation are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer and autumn, becoming suitable for strolling, or better, during the spring and winter. The strolling

conditions during the spring and winter are located to the northeast corner of the subject site. Owing to the protection of the building overhang, conditions in the vicinity of the building entrances are predicted to be suitable for sitting during the summer, becoming suitable for standing, or better, throughout the remainder of the year. The noted conditions are considered acceptable.

**Walkway, Plaza Seating, and Retail Entrance along West Elevation:** Conditions along the west elevation are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer and autumn, becoming suitable for strolling, or better, during the spring and autumn. Conditions in the vicinity of the building entrance are predicted to be suitable for sitting throughout the year. Conditions over the plaza seating are predicted to be mostly suitable for sitting during the typical use period of late spring through early autumn. The noted conditions are considered acceptable.

## 5.2 Applicability of Results

Wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks beyond the subject site, as well as at nearby primary building entrances, will be acceptable for their intended pedestrian uses during each seasonal period upon the introduction of the subject site. Pedestrian wind comfort and safety have been quantified for the specific configuration of existing and foreseeable construction around the study site. Future changes (i.e., construction or demolition) of these surroundings may cause changes to the wind effects in two ways, namely: (i) changes beyond the immediate vicinity of the site would alter the wind profile approaching the site; and (ii) development in proximity to the site would cause changes to local flow patterns. In general, development in urban centers generally creates reduction in the mean wind speeds and localized increases in the gustiness of the wind.

Regarding primary and secondary building access points, wind conditions predicted in this study are only applicable to pedestrian comfort and safety. As such, the results should not be construed to indicate wind loading on doors and associated hardware.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A complete summary of the predicted wind comfort and safety conditions is provided in Section 5 and illustrated in Figures 3A-3D. Based on computer simulations using the CFD technique, meteorological data

# GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS


analysis of the Ottawa wind climate, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, and experience with similar developments in Ottawa, we conclude the following:

- 1) All grade-level areas within and surrounding the subject site will be acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. Specifically, wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks, building access points, and driveways are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year.
- 2) Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas surrounding the subject site at grade level or within the common amenity terraces were found to experience conditions that could be considered uncomfortable or dangerous.

This concludes our pedestrian level wind study and report. Please advise the undersigned of any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

**Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.**




Edward Urbanski, M.Eng.  
Junior Wind Scientist



Steven Hall, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.  
Wind Engineer









|         |   |                             |
|---------|---|-----------------------------|
| PROJECT | 178 - 200 ISABELLA STREET, OTTAWA<br>QUALITATIVE PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND ASSESSMENT |                             |
| SCALE   | 1:2000  | DRAWING NO. 20-139-CFDPLW-1 |
| DATE    | AUGUST 21, 2020   | DRAWN BY N.M.P.             |

|             |  |
|-------------|--|
| DESCRIPTION | FIGURE 1:<br>SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT |
|-------------|--|




**FIGURE 2A: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, WEST PERSPECTIVE**




**FIGURE 2B: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2A**








**FIGURE 2C: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, EAST PERSPECTIVE**




**FIGURE 2D: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2C**






**FIGURE 3A: SPRING – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL**




**FIGURE 3B: SUMMER – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL**





**FIGURE 3C: AUTUMN – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL**



**FIGURE 3D: WINTER – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL**





# GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS



## APPENDIX A

### SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

## **SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER**

The atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) is defined by the velocity and turbulence profiles according to industry standard practices. The mean wind profile can be represented, to a good approximation, by a power law relation, Equation (1), giving height above ground versus wind speed [1], [2].

$$U = U_g \left( \frac{Z}{Z_g} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

where,  $U$  = mean wind speed,  $U_g$  = gradient wind speed,  $Z$  = height above ground,  $Z_g$  = depth of the boundary layer (gradient height), and  $\alpha$  is the power law exponent.

For the model,  $U_g$  is set to 6.5 metres per second (m/s), which approximately corresponds to the 60% mean wind speed for Ottawa based on historical climate data and statistical analyses. When the results are normalized by this velocity, they are relatively insensitive to the selection of gradient wind speed.

$Z_g$  is set to 540 m. The selection of gradient height is relatively unimportant, so long as it exceeds the building heights surrounding the subject site. The value has been selected to correspond to our physical wind tunnel reference value.

$\alpha$  is determined based on the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings (i.e., the area that it not captured within the simulation model).

Table 1 presents the values of  $\alpha$  used in this study, while Table 2 presents several reference values of  $\alpha$ . When the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings is a mixture of multiple types of terrain, the  $\alpha$  values are a weighted average with terrain that is closer to the subject site given greater weight.

**TABLE 1: UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE) VS TRUE WIND DIRECTION**

| Wind Direction (° True) | Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) Value |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0                       | 0.27                     |
| 49                      | 0.25                     |
| 74                      | 0.24                     |
| 103                     | 0.24                     |
| 167                     | 0.25                     |
| 197                     | 0.24                     |
| 217                     | 0.22                     |
| 237                     | 0.26                     |
| 262                     | 0.24                     |
| 282                     | 0.23                     |
| 302                     | 0.26                     |
| 324                     | 0.27                     |

**TABLE 2: DEFINITION OF UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE)**

| Upstream Exposure Type | α         |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Open Water             | 0.14-0.15 |
| Open Field             | 0.16-0.19 |
| Light Suburban         | 0.21-0.24 |
| Heavy Suburban         | 0.24-0.27 |
| Light Urban            | 0.28-0.30 |
| Heavy Urban            | 0.31-0.33 |

The turbulence model in the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations is a two-equation shear-stress transport (SST) model, and thus the ABL turbulence profile requires that two parameters be defined at the inlet of the domain. The turbulence profile is defined following the recommendations of the Architectural Institute of Japan for flat terrain [3].

$$I(Z) = \begin{cases} 0.1 \left( \frac{Z}{Z_g} \right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z > 10 \text{ m} \\ 0.1 \left( \frac{10}{Z_g} \right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z \leq 10 \text{ m} \end{cases} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

$$L_t(Z) = \begin{cases} 100 \text{ m} \sqrt{\frac{Z}{30}}, & Z > 30 \text{ m} \\ 100 \text{ m}, & Z \leq 30 \text{ m} \end{cases} \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

where,  $I$  = turbulence intensity,  $L_t$  = turbulence length scale,  $Z$  = height above ground, and  $\alpha$  is the power law exponent used for the velocity profile in Equation (1).

Boundary conditions on all other domain boundaries are defined as follows: the ground is a no-slip surface; the side walls of the domain have a symmetry boundary condition; the top of the domain has a specified shear, which maintains a constant wind speed at gradient height; and the outlet has a static pressure boundary condition.



## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Arya, "Chapter 10: Near-neutral Boundary Layers," in *Introduction to Micrometeorology*, San Diego, California, Academic Press, 2001.
- [2] S. A. Hsu, E. A. Meindl and D. B. Gilhousen, "Determining the Power-Law Wind Profile Exponent under Near-neutral Stability Conditions at Sea," vol. 33, no. 6, 1994.
- [3] Y. Tamura, H. Kawai, Y. Uematsu, K. Kondo and T. Okhuma, "Revision of AIJ Recommendations for Wind Loads on Buildings," in *The International Wind Engineering Symposium, IWES 2003*, Taiwan, 2003.