

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report – 2829 Dumaurier Avenue

Stantec Project No. 160401596

March 20, 2023

Prepared for:

Brigil Homes

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Revision	Description	Author		Quality Check		Independent Review	
0	1 st Submission SPA	NN, DC	2021-02-17	AP	2021-02-18	KK	2021-02-18
1	Revised per City Comments#1	MF	2023-03-15	PM	2023-03-17	KK	2023-03-17

This document entitled Servicing and Stormwater Management Report – 2829 Dumaurier Avenue was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of Brigil Homes (the "Client"). Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

matt Prepared by

Matthew A. Ford, P.Eng



M.M. Reviewed by

Peter Mott, EIT

mlle Approved by

Kris Kilborn



Table of Contents

1.0 1.1	INTRODUCTION	.1.1 .1.2
2.0	REFERENCES	.2.1
3.0 3.1	POTABLE WATER SERVICING	.3.2 .3.4
4.0 4.1	WASTEWATER SERVICING RELOCATION OF EXISTING SANITARY SEWER	.4.1 .4.2
5.0 5.1	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES	.5.3 .5.3
5.2 5.3	EXISTING CONDITIONS AND SWM CRITERIASTORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN5.3.1Water Quantity Control5.3.2Results5.3.3Water Quality Control	.5.3 .5.4 .5.5 .5.6 .5.6
6.0	GRADING AND DRAINAGE	.6.1
7.0	UTILITIES	.7.1
8.0	EROSION CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION	.8.1
9.0 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION AND PHASE I ESA	.9.1 .9.2 .9.3 .9.3 .9.5
10.0	APPROVALS/PERMITS	10.6
11.0 11.1 11.2 11.3 11.4 11.5 11.6 LIST C	CONCLUSIONS	11.1 11.1 11.2 11.2 11.3 11.3

Table 3-1: Estimated Water Demands



Table 3-2: Boundary Conditions	3.3
Table 4-1: Estimated Wastewater Peak Flow	4.1
Table 5-1 Peak Uncontrolled 5- and 100- Year run-off	5.5
Table 5-2: Proposed Cistern 5 and 100-Year Release Rates	5.6
Table 5-3: Estimated Post-Development Discharge (5-Year)	5.6
Table 5-4: Estimated Post-Development Discharge (100-Year)	5.6
Table 9-1: Recommended Pavement Structure	9.2
Table 9-1: Recommended Pavement Structure	9.2

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Key	v Plan	(2829 Dumaurier Ave	e. Site hiahliahted in C	Drange)	1.1
	,	\	5 5	· J /	

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPEN	IDIX A POTABLE WATER SERVICINGA	.1
A.1	Water Demand Calculations A	.1
A.2	Fire Flow Requirements per FUS Guidelines (2020) A	.2
A.3	Boundary Conditions	3
APPEN	IDIX B PROPOSED SITE PLANB	5.1
APPEN	IDIX C SANITARY SERVICINGC	.1
C.1	Sanitary Sewer Design SheetC	;.1
C.2	Correspondence with MECPC	;.2
C.3	Correspondence with City on Sanitary Sewer CapacityC	.3
APPEN	IDIX D STORMWATER SERVICING AND MANAGEMENTD).1
D.1	Preconsultation Notes with City of OttawaD	1.1
D.2	Modified Rational Method CalculationsD	.2
D.3	Correspondence with Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) D	.3
D.4	Stormceptor Sizing Sheet and Standard DetailD	.4
D.5	Storm Design SheetD	.5
APPEN	IDIX E EXTERNAL REPORTSE	.1
E.1	Geotechnical Investigation (Paterson, 2019) E	.1
E.2	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (GHD 2018) E	.2
E.3	Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (GHD 2019) E	.3
E.4	Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Lopers & Associates 2021) E	4
APPEN	IDIX F DRAWINGSF	.1



Introduction

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Stantec Consulting Ltd. has been commissioned by Brigil Homes to prepare the following site servicing and stormwater management (SWM) report in support of an application for rezoning and site plan control approval for a proposed development located at 2829 Dumaurier Avenue situated off Pinecrest Road in the City of Ottawa. There is an existing commercial building on the southern end of the property which will be torn down to allow for the proposed development (see key plan in **Figure 1**).

The proposed development area (0.42 ha) consists of a 40-storey residential high-rise building with commercial space on the first floor. The building is to contain 422 units in total consisting of 259 onebedroom units (@1.4ppu), 158 two-bedroom units (@ 2.1ppu), 5 three-bedroom units (@ 3.1ppu), and 300m² of commercial area. Parking is to be provided via 6 surface parking spots and two underground parking levels (P1 and P2). The site plan has been provided in **Appendix B**.



Figure 1: Key Plan (2829 Dumaurier Ave. Site highlighted in Orange)



Introduction

1.1 **OBJECTIVE**

This Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report has been prepared to present a servicing scheme that is free of conflicts and presents the most suitable servicing approach that complies with the relevant City of Ottawa design guidelines. The use of the existing infrastructure as obtained from available as-built drawings has been determined in consultation with RLA Architecture, Brigil Homes, City of Ottawa staff, and the adjoining property owners. Infrastructure requirements for water supply, sanitary sewer, and storm sewer services are presented in this report.

Criteria and constraints provided by the City of Ottawa have been used as a basis for the servicing design of the proposed development. Specific elements and potential development constraints to be addressed are as follows:

- Potable Water Servicing
 - Estimate water demands to characterize the feed for the proposed development which will be serviced by an existing 305mm diameter ductile iron watermain fronting the site along Dumaurier Avenue.
 - Watermain servicing for the development is to be able to provide average day and maximum day and peak hour demands (i.e., non-emergency conditions) at pressures within the allowable range of 40 to 80 psi (276 to 552 kPa).
 - Under fire flow (emergency) conditions with maximum day demands, the water distribution system is to maintain a minimum pressure greater than 20 psi (140 kPa).
 - Relocation of the existing 150 mm private watermain running through the north end of the site, servicing 1085 Grenon Ave as well as Ruth Wildgen Park (1099 Grenon Ave) is required as part of the site development.
- Prepare a grading plan in accordance with the proposed site plan and existing grades.
- Stormwater Management and Servicing
 - Define major and minor conveyance systems in conjunction with the proposed grading plan.
 - Post development 100-year peak flow will be controlled to the predevelopment peak 5-year release rate with a runoff coefficient of C=0.5 and a time of concentration of 10 minutes as estimated based on the existing storm sewer infrastructure servicing the existing site.
 - Excess stormwater to be detained on-site via underground cistern to meet the 5-year target release rate during 100yr rainfall events.
 - Coordinate with the Mechanical Engineer to convey drainage from roof tops, parking areas, amenity areas, private terrace areas, and the canopy to the internal stormwater cistern and discharge to the proposed storm service lateral at the allowable release rate.



Introduction

- Connect to the existing 375mm diameter concrete storm sewer within the Dumaurier Ave right-ofway.
- Provide an oil/grit separator unit to intercept and treat storm run-off from the site to meet RVCA water quality control requirements (80% TSS removal).
- Define and size the proposed storm sewer system that will be connected to the existing 375 mm diameter storm sewer on Dumaurier Avenue.
- Wastewater Servicing
 - Estimate wastewater flows generated by the development and size sanitary sewers which will outlet to the existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer located on Dumaurier Avenue.
 - o Design the sanitary sewer extension within the Dumaurier Avenue right-of-way.

The accompanying **Drawing SSP-1** included in **Appendix F** illustrates the proposed internal servicing scheme for the site.

References

2.0 **REFERENCES**

Documents referenced in preparation of this Servicing and Stormwater Management Report include:

- 2829 Dumaurier Ave Engineering comments, City of Ottawa, dated October 22, 2021
- 2829 Dumaurier Ave. pre-consultation comments, City of Ottawa, May 2020
- 2858 Dumaurier Ave. Site plan, RLA Architecture, February 2021
- *City of Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution*, City of Ottawa, July 2010 (including all subsequent technical bulletins).
- *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (SDG)*, City of Ottawa, October 2012 (including all subsequent technical bulletins).
- *Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Hi-rise Building 2929 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa Ontario*, Paterson Group Inc., December 2019
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, GHD, August 2018
- Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, Lopers & Associates, January 2021
- Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment, GHD, April 2019

Potable Water Servicing

3.0 POTABLE WATER SERVICING

The proposed site is located within Pressure Zone 1W of the City of Ottawa's water distribution system. The proposed development will be serviced by the existing 300mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue. In order to create a suitable water service connection for the property, a section of the existing 300mm dia. watermain will be modified to include: replacement of about 19m of pipe length with new deflected 19m 300mm diameter pipe, new valves, tees, bends and two 150mm watermain stubs to provide potable water and fire flow water supply to the development as shown on **Drawings SSP-1 & PP-1** in **Appendix F** respectively. The location of the water services has been coordinated with the building's architect to accommodate the underground parking structure on Level P1 and P2.

The proposed 40-storey residential high-rise building includes commercial space on the first floor. The building is to contain 422 units in total consisting of 259 one-bedroom units, 158 two-bedroom units, 5 three-room units, and 300m² of commercial area. Parking is to be provided via 6 surface parking spots and two underground parking levels (P1 and P2).

Water demands were calculated using the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (2010) to determine the typical operating pressures to be expected at the building (see detailed calculations in **Appendix A.1**). A demand rate of 280 L/cap/day was applied for the residential population of the proposed site. The average daily (AVDY) residential demand was estimated with population densities as per City of Ottawa Guidelines; 1.4 persons per one-bedroom apartments, 2.1 persons per two-bedroom apartment, and 3.1 persons per three-bedroom apartments.

An estimated demand of 28,000 L/ha/day was applied to the communal amenities and commercial space. Maximum day (MXDY) demands were determined by multiplying the AVDY demands by a factor of 2.5 for residential areas and by a factor of 1.5 for commercial/amenity/lobby areas. Peak hourly (PKHR) demands were determined by multiplying the MXDY demands by a factor of 2.2 for residential areas and by a factor of 1.8 for commercial areas. The estimated demands are summarized in **Table 3-1** below.

	Population or Area	AVDY (L/s)	MXDY (L/s)	PKHR (L/s)
Residential	711 persons	2.30	5.76	12.67
Commercial space	300 m ²	0.01	0.01	0.03
Communal Amenity space	2192 m ²	0.07	0.11	0.19
Total Site:		2.40	5.88	12.89

Table 3-1: Estimated Water Demands

The fire flow requirement was calculated in accordance with Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) and determined to be approximately 5,000 L/min (83.3 L/s). The FUS estimate is based on a building of non-combustible construction with a two-hour fire separation provided between each floor per Ontario Building Code (OBC) requirements for buildings over six storeys, and all vertical openings and external vertical communications properly protected in accordance with the National Building Code. As a result, the 'gross



Potable Water Servicing

construction area' of the second floor (floor with the largest footprint, 1390 m²) + 25% of the gross construction area of the two immediately adjoining floors (the ground floor and third floor) were used for the purpose of the FUS calculation, as per Page 22 of the Fire Underwriters Survey's Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, 2020.

Additionally, it is anticipated that the building will be sprinklered, with final sprinkler design to conform to the NFPA 13 standard. Detailed fire flow calculations per the FUS methodology are provided in **Appendix A.2**.

Table 3-2 shows the hydraulic boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa on February 2, 2021 based on the estimated domestic and fire flow demands described above. The boundary conditions are also included in **Appendix A.2**.

	Connection @ 2829 Dumaurier Ave
Min. HGL (m)	107.4
Max. HGL (m)	114.3
Max. Day + Fire Flow (116.7 L/s) (m)	105.2
	•

Table 3-2: Boundary Conditions

The desired normal operating objective pressure range as per the City of Ottawa 2010 Water Distribution Design Guidelines is 345 kPa (50 psi) to 552 kPa (80 psi) and no less than 276 kPa (40 psi) at ground elevation. Furthermore, the maximum pressure at any point in the water distribution should not exceed 100 psi as per the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code; pressure reducing measures are required to service areas where pressures greater than 552 kPa (80 psi) are anticipated.

The building's proposed finished floor elevation of 73.85 m will serve as the ground elevation for the calculation of residual pressures at ground level. At the peak hour flow conditions (i.e., minimum HGL), the resulting boundary condition HGL of 107.4 m corresponds to a peak hour pressure of 328.9 kPa (47.7psi). Since the proposed building is a 40-storey building with an average storey height of 3.1 m, an additional 30 kPa (4.4 psi) of head loss is incurred for every additional storey over ground level. Given that the lowest pressure is expected to be 328.9 kPa (47.7 psi) at ground level, there will be insufficient pressure to reach the top floors. Therefore, a booster pump inside the building will be required to maintain an acceptable level of service on the higher floors. This booster pump is to be sized and designed by the building's mechanical engineer.

A maximum pressure check can be conducted using the building's finished floor elevation (73.85 m) and the maximum boundary condition HGL of 114.30 m. This results in a pressure of 396.6 kPa (57.5 psi). Since this value is below 80 psi, pressure reducing valves will not be required.

Regarding available fire flow, boundary conditions provided by the City confirm that a fire flow rate of 7,000 L/min (116.7 L/s) would have a residual pressure of 303.8 kPa (44.1 psi) on Dumaurier Avenue. Please note that the fire flow requirement has been updated based on the most recent FUS criteria, and the available flow will be greater under emergency conditions than previously determined.



Potable Water Servicing

The proposed development has a basic day demand of 143.1L/min (205.9 m³/day). Because the basic day demand exceeds 50 m³/day, two service laterals will need to be provided per the City's Water Distribution Guidelines. Two 150 mm diameter water services will be connected to the existing 300 mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue separated by a new isolation valve on the main. The building's mechanical engineer is to ensure that the 150 mm diameter service size is sufficient for the proposed sprinkler system.

A fire department connection has been provided on the eastern face of the building (main entry); this fire department connection is located approximately 42.55m from the existing fire hydrant on Dumaurier Avenue.

In conclusion, based on the boundary conditions available, the 300 mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue provides adequate fire flow capacity as per the requirements of the Fire Underwriters Survey while respecting City of Ottawa design guidelines. Two 150 mm diameter service laterals connected to the 300 mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue will be capable of providing the anticipated water demands to the lower storeys. A booster pump, to be designed by the building's mechanical consultant, will be required to maintain minimum required pressures for the upper storeys.

3.1 RELOCATION OF EXISTING WATERMAIN

As shown on **Drawing EX-1** and **Drawing SSP-1**, the existing 150 mm diameter private watermain running through the north end of the site, currently servicing the Ottawa Boys & Girls club (1085 Grenon Avenue), as well as the Ruth Wildgen Park (1099 Grenon Avenue) will be relocated outside the proposed site's property line to allow for the development of structures and services within the subject site.

A 150mm diameter watermain, 45° horizontal bends, tees and isolation valves will be introduced to achieve the level of service required by the adjoining properties. The existing fire hydrant will be reconnected to the proposed relocated watermain. The relocation will be coordinated with the property owners and City staff.

Wastewater Servicing

4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

As illustrated on **Drawing SSP-1**, sanitary servicing for the proposed development will be provided through a single proposed 200 mm diameter service lateral connecting to a new 250mm sanitary sewer that will discharge into an extension of the existing 250 mm diameter concrete sanitary sewer flowing southwards on Dumaurier Avenue. The existing as-built sanitary sewer drawings indicate that the location of the most suitable connection for the site sanitary sewer system is about 40m south from the site on Dumaurier Avenue. As a result, an extension of the sanitary sewer network along Dumaurier Avenue will be required.

Due to the municipal sanitary sewer extension, a Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks Environmental Compliance Approval (MECP ECA) will be required. Consultation with the MECP Ottawa District Office confirmed that this sanitary sewer extension within the Dumaurier Avenue right-of-way is eligible for the standard Transfer of Review program with the City of Ottawa. Refer to **Appendix C.2** for a record of correspondence with the MECP.

The proposed 40-storey residential high-rise building is to contain 422 units in total consisting of 259 onebedroom units, 158 two-bedroom units, 5 three-bedroom units, 300m² of commercial area and 2192 m² of communal amenity areas with a total estimated population of 711 people using the City of Ottawa's recommended population densities. The anticipated wastewater peak flow generated from the proposed development is summarized in **Table 4-1** while the sanitary sewer design sheet is included in **Appendix C.1**.

	Residential					
	# of Units/Area	Population	Peak Factor	Peak Flow (L/s)	Infiltration Flow (L/s)	Total Peak Flow (L/s)
Residential	422 units	711	3.31	7.6	0.1	7 0
Commercial	0.03 ha	N/A	1.50	0.1	0.1	6.1

Table 4-1: Estimated	Wastewater	Peak Flow
----------------------	------------	------------------

1. Average residential sanitary flow = 280 L/p/day per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

2. Peak factor for residential units calculated using Harmon's formula. Used a Harmon correction factor of 0.8.

3. Apartment population estimated based on 1.4 persons/unit for one-bedroom apartments, 2.1 persons/unit for two-

bedroom apartments, and 3.1 persons/unit for three-bedroom apartments.

4. Estimated commercial/amenity area/lobby peak flows = 28,000 L/ha/day.

5. Infiltration flow = 0.33 L/s/ha.

The City has verified that the 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Dumaurier Avenue has sufficient capacity to service the proposed development (see **Appendix C.3** for a record of correspondence).

The drains within the underground parking garage will need to be pumped and ultimately outlet to the proposed sanitary sewer system. The design of these drains, internal plumbing, and associated pumping system is to be completed by the building's mechanical engineer.

A backflow preventer will be required for the proposed building in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. This requirement will be coordinated with the building's mechanical engineer.

Wastewater Servicing

4.1 RELOCATION OF EXISTING SANITARY SEWER

As shown on **Drawing EX-1** and **Drawing SSP-1**, the existing 225 mm sanitary sewer running through the north end of the site, currently servicing the Ottawa Boys & Girls club (1085 Grenon Avenue), as well as the Ruth Wildgen Park (1099 Grenon Avenue) will be relocated outside of the property line to allow for the development of new building structures and services within the subject site.

The sanitary sewer will be realigned within the adjacent property and reconnected to the existing 225mm diameter sanitary sewer on 1099 Grenon Avenue. To achieve this, 53 m of 225mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer at 0.4% slope and 1200mm diameter maintenance holes will be provided.

The relocation will be coordinated with the property owners and the relevant authorities.

Stormwater Management

5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

5.1 **OBJECTIVES**

The goal of the stormwater servicing and stormwater management (SWM) plan is to determine the measures necessary to control the quantity and quality of stormwater released from the proposed development to meet the criteria established during the consultation process with City of Ottawa and Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA), and to provide sufficient details required for approval and construction.

5.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS AND SWM CRITERIA

The proposed re-development area (0.42 ha) currently consists of an existing commercial building down on the southern edge of the property, paved parking lots and some landscaped area. The existing structures on the site will be removed to allow for the proposed development.

The Stormwater Management (SWM) criteria for the subject site is based on pre-application consultation comments in **Appendix D.1** as provided by the City of Ottawa in May 2020 as follows:

- i. Post-development peak flows up to 100-year event are to be controlled to the pre-development peak 5-year release rate using a runoff coefficient of 0.5, per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. It is noted that the ultimate outlet for the storm runoff is Graham Creek. Excess stormwater is to be detained on-site.
- ii. The 5-yr storm event using the IDF information derived from the Meteorological Services of Canada rainfall data, taken from the MacDonald Cartier Airport, collected 1966 to 1997.
- iii. Use the pre-development runoff coefficient or a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.50, whichever is less
- iv. A calculated time of concentration (cannot be less than 10 minutes).

Other criteria considered in the SWM design are described in Section 5 of the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012) including all subsequent technical bulletins.

Pre-development (i.e., current) site conditions have been classified into impervious (hard) and pervious (soft) areas, with impervious areas accounting for 70% (0.29 ha) while pervious areas cover up to 30% (0.13 ha) of the site. Based on these statistics, the overall pre-development runoff coefficient (C) for the site was calculated as C=0.69. As a result, a C value of 0.50 will be used to estimate the allowable release rate from the site, as it is the lesser of the two values.

The allowable peak stormwater flow rate for the site was calculated as follows using the Modified Rational Method:

$$Q = 2.78 (C)(I)(A)$$

Stormwater Management

Where:

Q = peak flow rate, L/s C = site runoff coefficient I = rainfall intensity, mm/hr (per City of Ottawa IDF curves) A = drainage area, ha

 $5 - year Intensity (mm/hr) = \frac{998.071}{(10 + 6.053)^{0.814}} = 104.19 mm/hr$ Q = 2.78(0.50)(104.19mm/hr)(0.42 ha) =**60.8L/s**

Therefore, the post-development peak flow up to the 100-year storm event must be controlled to **60.8 L/s**. The pre-development time of concentration was assumed to be 10 minutes given that the existing site is serviced through two existing catchbasins connected to the existing adjacent storm sewer system with an inlet time of 10 minutes as per City guidelines.

The RVCA confirmed that enhanced water quality protection (80% TSS removal) is required for the site based on the number of surface parking spaces (>5 spaces). Other best management practices were also encouraged to be integrated where possible, correspondence with the RVCA is included in **Appendix D.3**.

5.3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN

The proposed 0.42 ha re-development area will be serviced by the existing 375mm diameter concrete storm sewer running north to south on Dumaurier Avenue, as shown on **Drawing SD-1** in **Appendix F**.

A proposed stormwater cistern will attenuate peak flows from the building's roof, outdoor amenity areas, surface parking lot, and landscaped areas west of the building to ensure that the overall site release rate meets the target peak outflow. The proposed stormwater cistern will be serviced by the internal plumbing of the building. As shown on **Drawing SD-1** in **Appendix F**, peak flows from the proposed cistern will be discharged into the 300 mm diameter storm service lateral and ultimately into the site storm system that will also convey uncontrolled runoff from the proposed landscaped areas prior to discharging into the existing 375 mm diameter storm sewer on Dumaurier Avenue. A Stormceptor unit or approved equivalent will provide the required 80% TSS removal for site runoff to achieve an Enhanced level of protection, per the RVCA & MECP.

Catch-basins and landscape drains for the areas tributary to the stormwater cistern will connect to the cistern via internal plumbing (designed by the building's mechanical engineer). The stormwater cistern will be pumped at a controlled rate into the existing 375 mm diameter concrete storm sewer on Dumaurier Avenue via a 300 mm diameter PVC storm service (see **Drawing SD-1** in **Appendix F)**. The stormwater cistern's-controlled release rate will be set by a pump to be designed by the building's mechanical engineer.

The stormwater cistern location will be coordinated with the building's architect and structural engineer. Current plans will be provided, and peak flows will be identified to the building's mechanical engineer to help size the internal plumbing system appropriately.



Stormwater Management

The proposed site plan, drainage areas and proposed storm sewer infrastructure are shown on **Drawing SD-1**.

5.3.1 Water Quantity Control

The Modified Rational Method (MRM) was used to assess the flow rate and volume of runoff generated under post-development conditions. The site was subdivided into sub-catchments tributary to separate storm outlets and subject to different controls based on the proposed grading plan. **Drawing SD-1** delineates the appropriate sub-catchment areas. The MRM spreadsheet is included in **Appendix D.2**.

The following assumptions were made in the creation of the storm drainage plan and accompanying MRM spreadsheet:

- 1) No rooftop storage will be provided for the proposed site.
- 2) The stormwater runoff on the site (including Tank & Roof areas that start with R) will be collected using a combination of Watts area drains, catchbasins, subdrains and internal building plumbing for detention in a stormwater cistern.
- 3) Some pedestrian access and landscaping areas on the east end of the site will sheet drain uncontrolled to Dumaurier avenue (UNC-1).
- 4) The storm runoff within the access road area of the site will be captured into the site storm sewer system and directed to the proposed oil/grit separator unit.

In order to meet the target release rate for stormwater of 60.8 L/s up to the 100-year storm, on-site storage is required.

5.3.1.1 Uncontrolled Areas

An uncontrolled area (UNC-1) cannot be graded to enter the site storm sewer system and as such, will sheet drain to Dumaurier Avenue as per existing conditions (see **Drawing EX-1** and **Drawing SD-1**).

Area IDs	Area (ha)	Runoff 'C' (5- Year)	5 Year uncontrolled peak flow (L/s)	Runoff 'C' (100 -Year)*	100 Year uncontrolled peak flow (L/s)
UNC-1	0.06	0.52	9.0	0.65	19.4.

Table 5-1 Peak Uncontrolled 5- and 100- Year run-off

1. Runoff coefficients for 100yr storm increased by 25%, per City of Ottawa SDG

5.3.1.2 Stormwater Cistern

The allowable release rate from the proposed building's underground cistern was determined by subtracting all uncontrolled 100-year peak flows (area UNC-1) from the allowable 60.8 L/s release rate, which results in approximately 41.5 L/s.



Stormwater Management

The stormwater cistern will be designed to provide 120 m³ of storage with a maximum controlled release rate of 41.5 L/s. The stormwater cistern is to discharge at the controlled release rate using a pump. **Table 5-2** summarizes the flow rates and volume of stormwater in the cistern in the 5-year and 100-year storm events.

Storm Return Period	Area (ha)	Q _{release} (L/s)	V _{stored} (m ³)	V _{available} (m ³)
5-year	0.36	41.5	23	
100-year	0.36	41.5	80	120

Table 5-2: Proposed Cistern 5 and 100-Year Release Rates

5.3.2 Results

Table 5-3 and **Table 5-4** demonstrate that the proposed stormwater management plan provides adequate attenuation storage to meet the target peak outflow for the site.

Table 5-3: Estimated Post-Development Discharge (5-Year)

Area Type	Q _{release} (L/s)	Target (L/s)
Controlled Cistern Discharge	41.5	
Uncontrolled (UNC-1)	9.0	60.8
Total	50.5	

Table 5-4: Estimated Post-Development Discharge (100-Year)

Area Type	Q _{release} (L/s)	Target (L/s)			
Controlled Cistern Discharge	41.5				
Uncontrolled (UNC-1)	19.4	60.8			
Total	60.8				

5.3.3 Water Quality Control

The RVCA confirmed that enhanced water quality protection (80% TSS removal) is required for the site based on the number of surface parking spaces (>5 spaces) correspondence with the RVCA is included in **Appendix D.3.** To achieve this, storm runoff within the access road area of the site will be captured into the site storm sewer system and directed to the proposed oil/grit separator unit.

The Stormceptor sizing software has been used to size the required unit to provide 80% TSS removal based on drainage areas within the proposed access road and parking lots (i.e., 0.17 ha) as shown in the Stormceptor sizing design sheet included in **Appendix D.4**.

A Stormceptor STC300 (EF 40) or an approved equivalent designed to provide 80% TSS removal has been proposed to collect and treat storm runoff from the site before discharging into the existing storm sewer on Dumaurier Avenue as shown in **Drawing SSP-1** in **Appendix F.**



Grading and Drainage

6.0 GRADING AND DRAINAGE

The proposed re-development site measures approximately 0.42 ha in area. A detailed grading plan (see **Drawing GP-1**) has been prepared to satisfy the stormwater management requirements described in **Section 5.0** and to allow for positive drainage away from the face of the building.

A concrete retaining wall is proposed on the north end of the site to allow for a grade change of about 1.50m between the adjoining site (Boys & Girls Club of Ottawa) and the proposed development. The structural design of the retaining wall will be completed by the building structural engineer.

The site grading along the parking lots and access areas (access road) is designed to effectively drain stormwater runoff in the area towards the proposed catchbasins. Grading for the access ramp to the underground parking level has been coordinated with the architect.

The surface parking lot has been graded to sheet drain over catchbasins discharging to the stormwater cistern. No surface ponding above catchbasins is to be provided in the parking area.

The subject site maintains overland flow routes to sheet drain towards Dumaurier Avenue as per existing conditions. A ramp has also been provided near the southeast end corner of the proposed building to provide accessibility to the commercial entrance.

Utilities

7.0 UTILITIES

Hydro Ottawa, Bell, Rogers, and Enbridge all have existing utility plants in the area, which will be used to service the site. The detailed design of the required utility services will be further investigated as part of the composite utility planning process, which will follow design circulation for the servicing plans. Existing hydro line, guy wire and pole located on the north end of the site will be relocated as per Hydro Ottawa. The relocation of existing utilities will be coordinated with the individual utility providers as part of the site plan approval process by the civil engineer.

Municipal water and sewer services are supplied by underground service trenches on the east portion of the Site leading to Dumaurier Avenue. Electricity is supplied to the Site buildings from underground service trenches from the west of the building. The phone line is supplied to the site building from Ramsey Crescent to the south of the Site (GHD) existing monitoring well on the parking area to be capped and decommissioned.

Erosion Control During Construction

8.0 **EROSION CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION**

In order to protect downstream water quality and prevent sediment build up in catch basins and storm sewers, erosion and sediment control measures must be implemented during construction. The following recommendations will be included in the contract documents and communicated to the Contractor.

1. Implement best management practices to provide appropriate protection of the existing and proposed drainage system and the receiving water course(s).

- 2. Limit the extent of the exposed soils at any given time.
- 3. Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.
- 4. Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- 5. Protect exposed slopes with geotextiles, geogrid, or synthetic mulches.
- 6. Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering works.
- 7. Install sediment traps (such as SiltSack® by Terrafix) between catch basins and frames.
- 8. Schedule the construction works at times which avoid flooding due to seasonal rains.

The Contractor will also be required to complete inspections and guarantee the proper performance of their erosion and sediment control measures at least after every rainfall. The inspections are to include:

- Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers.
- Cleaning and changing the sediment traps placed on catch basins.

Refer to **Drawing EC/DS-1** for the proposed location of silt fences, straw bales, and other erosion control measures.

Geotechnical Investigation and Phase I ESA

9.0 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION AND PHASE I ESA

9.1 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

A geotechnical report for the site was prepared by Paterson Group Inc. on December 18, 2019 (**see Appendix E.1**) based on field investigations completed in November 10 and 11 2019. The investigation consisted of three boreholes advanced to a maximum depth of 18.2m below existing grade, the borehole locations were distributed around the existing building on the site. As is stated in the geotechnical report, the subsurface profile encountered at the boreholes consist of asphaltic concrete overlying a fill layer consisting of crushed stone with sand and trace concrete and brick. A thin layer of brown silty clay was encountered at BH1A underlying the above noted layers. Glacial till was encountered below the above noted layers consisting of a compact to a very dense silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, and boulders. Weathered shale bedrock was encountered at depths ranging between 3.9 and 5.3 m below the existing ground surface. Upon review of the core hole samples, the upper first meter of the bedrock was found to be of fair quality.

As stated in the report, the subject site is located in an area where the bedrock consists of shale and dolomite of the Rockcliffe Formation. The overburden drift thickness is anticipated to be between 2 to 5 m in depth. Three groundwater monitoring wells were installed as part of the geotechnical investigation. Groundwater level measurements were recorded at the monitoring well locations It should be noted that no groundwater was encountered in any of the monitoring wells. It should also be noted that the groundwater level is subject to seasonal fluctuations. Therefore, groundwater could vary at the time of construction. As contained in the report, the subject site is considered satisfactory for the proposed development. The proposed high-rise building is anticipated to be founded on spread footings placed directly or indirectly by the use of a lean concrete in-filled trench on a clean, surface sounded bedrock bearing surface. Bedrock removal can be accomplished by hoe ramming where only small quantity of the bedrock needs to be removed. Sound bedrock may be removed by line drilling and controlled blasting and/or hoe ramming. Prior to considering blasting operations, the blasting effects on the existing services, buildings and other structures should be addressed. A pre-blast or pre-construction survey of the existing structures located in proximity of the blasting operations should be completed prior to commencing site activities. The extent of the survey should be determined by the blasting consultant and should be sufficient to respond to any inquiries/claims related to the blasting operations. As a general guideline, peak particle velocities (measured at the structures) should not exceed 25 mm/s during the blasting program to reduce the risks of damage to the existing structures. The blasting operations should be planned and conducted under the supervision of a licensed professional engineer who is also an experienced blasting consultant. Excavation side slopes in sound bedrock can be carried out using almost vertical side walls. A minimum 1m horizontal ledge, should be left between the bottom of the overburden excavation and the top of the bedrock surface to provide an area to allow for potential sloughing or to provide a stable base for the overburden shoring system.

A potential for heaving and rapid deterioration of the shale bedrock exists at this site. Paterson recommends limiting exposure of the bedrock surface to oxygen, keeping it as low as possible. The bedrock surface



Geotechnical Investigation and Phase I ESA

within the proposed building footprint should be protected from excessive dewatering and exposure to ambient air. To accomplish this a 50 mm thick concrete mud slab should be placed on the exposed bedrock surface within a 48 hour period of being exposed. A 17 MPa sulphate resistant lean concrete may be used. As an alternative to the mud slab, keeping the shale surface covered with granular backfill is also acceptable. Selected excavated vertical sides of the exposed bedrock can be protected using a sprayed elastomeric coating or shotcrete to seal the bedrock from exposure to air and dewatering.

Foundation design can be based on Auxiliary footings placed on an undisturbed, dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at serviceability limit states (SLS) of 250 kPa and a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of 500 kPa. A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the above noted bearing resistance value at ULS. Footings designed using the above-noted bearing resistance value at SLS will be subjected to potential post-construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively. More design parameters to be considered in the foundation design are detailed in **Appendix E.1**

The report recommends a flexible pavement structure summarized in **Table 9-1** below for the design of car only parking areas in the lower level of the parking garage, local roadways, access lanes and heavy vehicle parking.

Material	Parking Areas	Local Roadways, Access Lanes and Heavy Vehicle Parking
Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete – Wear Course	50 mm	40 mm
SP 19 Asphaltic Concrete – Binder Course	-	50 mm
Granular Base Course, OPSS Granular A	150 mm	150 mm
Granular Subbase Course, OPSS Granular B Type II	300 mm	400 mm

Table 9-1: Recommended Pavement Structure

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for parking areas and local roadways and PG 64-34 asphalt cement should be used for roadways with bus traffic. The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 100% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment. The proposed pavement structure, where it abuts the existing pavement, should match the existing pavement layers. It is recommended that a 300 mm wide and 50 mm deep stepped joint be provided where the new asphalt layer joins with the existing asphalt layer to provide more resistance to cracking at the joint.

9.1.1 Foundation Drain

The geotechnical report (included in **Appendix E.1**) states that a composite drainage system (such as Miradrain G100N, Delta Drain 6000 or equivalent) is to extend down to the footing level. 150 mm diameter sleeves at 3 m centres need to be cast in the foundation wall at the footing interface to allow the infiltration of water to flow to an interior perimeter drainage pipe. The perimeter drainage pipe should direct water to sump pit(s) within the lower basement area. The spacing of the underfloor drainage system should be



Geotechnical Investigation and Phase I ESA

confirmed at the time of excavation when water infiltration can be better assessed. For design purposes, a 150 mm in diameter perforated pipe with a geotextile sock is to be placed in each bay. Unheated structures such as the access ramp are to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. The foundation drain for the building is to be serviced by a 200 mm diameter sub-drain connected to the cistern. These flows will then be directed via a proposed 300 mm diameter storm sewer to the existing 375 mm diameter storm sewer on Dumaurier Avenue. A full port backwater valve is to be installed on this service lateral to prevent flooding if the storm sewer on Dumaurier Avenue surcharges. Refer to **Drawing SSP-1** in **Appendix F** for the proposed location of this service lateral.

9.2 2018 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (ESA)

GHD was retained by 3223701 Canada Inc. (Client), to conduct a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of a commercial property located at Civic Nos. 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Property). The building on Site consists of a single storey commercial plaza building. The Phase I ESA was conducted as detailed in our email quote dated August 8, 2018.

The purpose of the Phase I ESA was to identify, through a non-intrusive investigation, significant actual or potential environmental impairment associated with the Site, the on-Site building, and the immediate vicinity of the Site. As contained in the report in **Appendix E.2** the Phase I ESA was conducted for the Client for the purpose of due diligence for the potential purchase of the Property.

Based on the Phase I ESA study including the Site visit, documents reviewed, and the review of Site history and information provided by regulatory agencies, the following conclusions are noted. There was one potentially contaminating activity (PCA) identified at the Site; the placement of fill material of unknown environmental quality used for grading the Site, specifically, for infilling previously observed drainage features at the Site.

There was also one PCA identified at neighboring properties; the presence of a former landfill Site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site. The aforementioned PCAs are considered to represent areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) for the Site. Following the completion of the Phase I ESA for the subject Property, a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment was recommended for the Site.

9.3 2019 PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (ESA)

GHD (Consultant) was retained by 3223701 Canada Inc. (Brigil), to complete a Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment (a detailed report is enclosed in **Appendix E.3**) in general accordance with the O. Reg. 153/04 Phase Two ESA format for the current commercial/institutional property identified as 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Phase Two Property). GHD had previously prepared a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I ESA) (Ref No: 11181273-E1-RPT-1, dated August 16, 2018) for 3223701 Canada Inc. The Phase I ESA was conducted for environmental due diligence for potential purchase of the Site. The Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment (Phase Two EsA) was recommended based on the APECs identified as part of the Phase I ESA. The purpose of the Phase Two ESA is to assess the soil and groundwater quality at the Site. The investigation involved the advancement



Geotechnical Investigation and Phase I ESA

of five boreholes which were sampled for environmental purposes. All five boreholes were completed with groundwater monitoring well screened in the overburden or upper bedrock.

Based on the water table elevations recorded in the monitoring wells installed as part of this investigation on the Site, the direction of groundwater flow in the vicinity of the boreholes was determined to be towards the south.

During the investigation, soil layers were sampled for metals, PAHs, pH, Flashpoint, and TCLP for metals and inorganics, VOCs, and PCBs, with borehole depth ranging from 0.6 – 3.5mbg Six soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of Metals, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and pH. One duplicate soil sample was submitted for analysis of Metals, PAH and pH parameters. One soil sample was also submitted for toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) analysis to determine options for off-Site disposal.

Soil samples were collected from the boreholes advanced on October 5, 2018 were analysed for a combination of PAHs, metals and inorganics, and pH. Four soil samples, BH1-SS3 (1.4-2.0 mBG), BH2-SS5 (2.4-3.0 mBG), BH4-SS2/SS3 (0.6-1.8 mBG) and BH5-SS3 (a duplicate sample of BH4-SS2/SS3) presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of electrical conductivity and sodium adsorption ratio. The soil sample BH2-SS5 also presented exceedances of Boron. It is suspected that areas of contaminated soil are present across the Site and in localized areas where poor quality fill material was used for grading and/or backfill for the foundations of the existing on-site building. It is suspected that there may be additional contaminated soil present in other localized areas of the Site.

Six groundwater samples, including one duplicate sample, were submitted for laboratory analysis of a combination of Metals, VOCs, PHCs and pH. One trip blank water sample was also submitted for analysis of VOCs. Based on the soil depths, Site setting, and the existing land uses in the vicinity of the Site, the Full depth Generic Criteria provided in O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (non-potable, residential/institutional, coarse texture) is be considered to be the applicable comparison. The Site is not a sensitive Site, a Surface Water Site, or a potable groundwater use Site.

There were O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential land use, coarse soil texture) criteria exceedances in four of the six submitted soil samples, including the duplicate QA/QC soil sample. There were O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential land use, coarse soil texture) criteria exceedances in two of the six submitted groundwater samples, including the duplicate QA/QC soil sample. The Site is not considered to be in compliance with the O. Reg. 153/04 criteria for the proposed land use and requires soil remediation/removal or a risk assessment prior to redevelopment. Groundwater was sampled on October 19, 2018 and analyzed for Metals, VOCs, BTEX, and PHCs. Groundwater sample, MW2 presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of Chloride. Sample MW3S presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of PHCs (F2 and F3). The PHC contamination appears to be localized in the area of MW3S as PHCs were not detected in the analysis of the groundwater samples collected from the other well locations.

Geotechnical Investigation and Phase I ESA

9.4 2021 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (ESA)

Lopers & Associates (Lopers) was retained in January 2021 to carry out Phase one ESA for the site as part of due diligence requirements associated with the submission of a Development Application to the City of Ottawa Municipal Planning Department (see **Appendix E.4** for details). This Phase one ESA can also be used to support the filing of a record of site condition of the property. It is important note that GHD also conducted Phase I ESA for the site in August 2018 for the purpose of due diligence as part of a potential purchase of the property (see **Appendix E.2** for details).

According to Lopers, the presence of a former waste disposal site approximately 20m east of the property is a significant potential contaminating activity (PCA) which represents an area of potential environmental concern for the site (APEC), other PCAs identified include an active automotive service garage and former retail fuel outlet. Previous environmental reports and investigations have documented petroleum hydrocarbon (PHC), groundwater contamination and that waste fill material has been observed in boreholes on the north portion of the property, the Property is not in compliance with the Soil, Groundwater and Sediment Standards for use under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act, which are also for consideration of O.Reg. 153/04.

The contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) associated with the former disposal site are volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (for groundwater only), metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and PHCs/BTEXs. An investigation of the soil and groundwater quality with respect to these CPCs at the property was completed in 2019. Based on the identification of an APEC at the Phase One Property;

- i. a phase two Environmental Site Assessment is required to assess the soil and/or groundwater quality in the vicinity of the APEC.
- ii. Record of Site Condition Based on Phase One Environmental Site Assessment Alone.

According to Lopers, O. Reg. 153/04 stipulates that reports being used to support the filing of a RSC must be done no later than 18 months before the submission of a RSC. Given that a recent (2019) Phase Two ESA report has been prepared for the Phase One Property, and because it is not anticipated that remediation of the Phase One ESA property will be completed and an RSc submission will not be completed in the next 18 months, it is recommended that an additional Phase Two ESA study, which is anticipated to include documentation of remediation and be used to support a RSC submission, take place in conjunction with redevelopment of the Phase One property.



Approvals/Permits

10.0 APPROVALS/PERMITS

A Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be required for the extension of the sanitary sewer on Dumaurier Avenue needed to service the proposed development. Consultation with the Ottawa District Office of the MECP confirmed that the extension of this sanitary sewer is eligible for the Transfer of Review Program for standard works with the City of Ottawa. A consultation record is included in **Appendix C.2**. An ECA is not expected to be required for the remainder of the subject site as the site is under singular, private ownership, is not within industrial lands, and does not discharge to a combined sewer.

If the ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase are between 50,000 and 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the preparation of the Water Taking and Discharge Plan by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16. A Permit to Take Water (PTTW) through the MECP would be required for dewatering in excess of 400,000 L/day, which is unlikely for this site. However, if a PTTW is required, at least 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MECP. If blasting is used to remove the bedrock as part of the excavation for the building foundation, prior approval is required from the owners/operators of any water storage reservoir, pumping station, and water works transformer station within 200 m of the site.

Conclusions

11.0 CONCLUSIONS

11.1 POTABLE WATER SERVICING

The proposed 40-storey residential high-rise building will be serviced by the existing 300mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue. To create a suitable water service connection for the property a section of the existing 300mm dia. watermain was modified to include: replacement of about 8.5 m pipe length with new deflected 8.5 m 300mm dia pipe length, new valves, tees, bends and two 150mm watermain stubs to provide potable water and fire flow water supply to the development. Water demand calculation was based on a demand rate of 280 L/cap/day for residential units and 28,000 L/ha/day for commercial and amenity space.

The building contains 422 residential units with and estimated population of 711 persons. The commercial and amenity areas account for a total area of 2492 m². The calculated average day flow, maximum day flow and peak hour demand are 2.40 L/s, 5.89 L/s and 12.90 L/s. The fire flow requirement was calculated in accordance with Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) and determined to be approximately 5,000 L/min (83.3 L/s), it is anticipated that the building will be sprinklered, with final sprinkler design to conform to the NFPA 13 standard.

Based on the boundary conditions available, the 300 mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue provides adequate fire flow capacity as per the requirements of the Fire Underwriters Survey while respecting City of Ottawa design guidelines. Two 150 mm diameter service laterals connected to the 300 mm diameter watermain on Dumaurier Avenue will be capable of providing the anticipated water demands to the lower storeys. A booster pump, to be designed by the building's mechanical engineer, will be required to maintain minimum required pressures for the upper storeys.

The existing 150 mm private watermain running through the north end of the site, currently servicing Ottawa Boys & Girls club (1085 Grenon Avenue) as well as Ruth Wildgen Park (1099 Grenon Avenue) will be relocated outside the boundary of the site to allow for the development of structures and services within the subject site and to ensure an adequate level of service is provided to the adjoining properties.

11.2 WASTEWATER SERVICING

The proposed 40-storey residential high-rise building is to contain 422units in total consisting of 259 onebedroom units, 158 two-bedroom units, 5 three-bedroom units, 300m² commercial area and 700m² communal amenity areas with a total estimated population of 711 people using the City of Ottawa's recommended population densities.

The calculated peak flow for the site is 7.8 L/s. The sanitary servicing for the proposed development will be provided through a single proposed 200 mm diameter service lateral connecting to a new 250mm sanitary sewer flowing into an extension of the existing 250 mm diameter concrete sanitary sewer flowing southwards on Dumaurier Avenue. An extension of the sanitary sewer network northwards towards the site was required to conveniently collect wastewater from the site. Consultation with the MECP Ottawa District



Conclusions

Office confirmed that this sanitary sewer extension within the Dumaurier Avenue right-of-way is eligible for the standard Transfer of Review program with the City of Ottawa.

The proposed sanitary service lateral is sufficiently sized to provide gravity drainage for the site. The floor drains in the underground parking will be connected to the building plumbing system and discharged to the sanitary service lateral through a sump pump. A backflow preventer will be required for the proposed building in accordance with the Ottawa sewer design guide and will be coordinated with the building's mechanical engineer.

The existing 225mm sanitary sewer running through the north end of the site, currently servicing Ottawa Boys & Girls Club, (1085 Grenon Ave) as well as Ruth Wildgen Park, (1099 Grenon Ave) will be relocated outside of the site to allow for the development of new building structures. Sanitary services will ensure an adequate level-of-service is provided for the adjoining properties.

11.3 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND SERVICING

The proposed 0.42 ha redevelopment area will be serviced by the existing 375mm diameter concrete storm sewer running north to south on Dumaurier Avenue. This storm sewer ultimately outlets to Graham Creek downstream, as was confirmed using the City's GeoOttawa GIS data. A proposed stormwater cistern within the underground parking facility will attenuate peak flows from the building's roof, outdoor amenity areas, surface parking lot, and landscaped areas west of the building. In order to meet the 100yr target release rate for storm runoff of 60.8 L/s, a pump will be designed to limit peak outflow, while storage is provided within the underground cistern. The storm servicing within the site will be directed to the cistern via a combination of traditional catchbasin and leads and the internal plumbing of the building.

The available volume of 120 m^3 within the stormwater cistern exceeds the required storage for 100yr runoff. Outflow will be controlled via pump system, and will be designed by the building mechanical engineers at a controlled rate of no more than 41.5 L/s.

A proposed oil/grit separator unit will treat storm runoff from the site to achieve 80% TSS removal. A Stormceptor 300 or approved equivalent is recommended for this purpose.

11.4 SITE GRADING AND DRAINAGE

Grading for the site is designed as per City of Ottawa requirements and provides for outlet of emergency overland flow under extreme flood conditions. Erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented during construction to reduce the impact on existing facilities.

A concrete retaining wall is proposed on the north end of the site to allow for a grade change of about 1.50m between the adjoining site (Boys & Girls Club of Ottawa) and the proposed development. The structural design of the retaining wall will be provided in a subsequent submissions from the building's structural engineers, as the retaining walls will be integrated with the building structure and foundation.



Conclusions

11.5 UTILITIES

Hydro Ottawa, Bell, Rogers, and Enbridge all have existing utility plants in the area, which will be used to service the site. The exact size, location, and routing of utilities will be finalized after design circulation. Existing overhead wires and utility plants may need to be moved/reconfigured to allow sufficient clearance to the proposed building. The relocation of existing utilities will be coordinated with the individual utility providers as part of the site plan approval process by the civil engineer.

11.6 APPROVALS/PERMITS

A Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be required for the extension of the sanitary sewer on Dumaurier Avenue needed to service the proposed development. Consultation with the Ottawa District Office of the MECP confirmed that the extension of this sanitary sewer is eligible for the Transfer of Review Program for standard works with the City of Ottawa. An ECA is not expected to be required for the remainder of the subject site as the site is under singular, private ownership, is not within industrial lands, and does not discharge to a combined sewer.

A Permit to Take Water (PTTW) may be required if the dewatering during the construction of the underground parking level is expected to exceed 400,000 L/day. No other approval requirements from other regulatory agencies are anticipated. For dewatering activities between 50,000 and 400,000 L/day, registration on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) will be required. If blasting is used to remove the bedrock as part of the excavation for the building foundation, prior approval is required from the owners/operators of any water storage reservoir, pumping station, and water works transformer station within 200 m of the site.

APPENDICES

Appendix A Potable Water Servicing

Appendix A POTABLE WATER SERVICING

A.1 WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS



2829 Dumaurier Avenue - Domestic Water Demand Estimates

Based on Site Statistics from Roderick Lahey Architect Inc. dated February 2023 Last updated on March 17, 2023

Population densities as per City of Ottawa Guidelines:

1 Bedroom	1.4	ppu
2 Bedroom	2.1	ppu
3 Bedroom	3.1	ppu

Unit Type	Area (m²)	Number of Units	Population	Daily Demand Rate (L/cap/day or	Avg. Day I	Demand ^{1,2}	Max. Day	Demand ^{1, 2}	Peak Hour Demand ^{1, 2}	
				L/ha/d)	(L/min)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(L/s)
1 Bedroom	-	259	363	280	70.6	1.18	176.5	2.94	388.2	6.47
2 Bedroom	-	158	332	280	64.6	1.08	161.4	2.69	355.1	5.92
3 Bedroom	-	5	16	280	3.1	0.05	7.8	0.13	17.1	0.29
Commercial Space	300	-	-	28000	0.6	0.01	0.9	0.01	1.6	0.03
Total Communal Space	2,192	-	-	28000	4.3	0.07	6.4	0.11	11.5	0.19
Total Site :	2492	422	711		143.1	2.4	352.9	5.88	773.5	12.89

1 Water demand criteria used to estimate peak demand rates for residential areas are as follows:

maximum daily demand rate = 2.5 x average day demand rate

peak hour demand rate = 2.2 x maximum day demand rate

2 Water demand criteria used to estimate peak demand rates for commercial/amenity/lobby areas are as follows: maximum daily demand rate = 1.5 x average day demand rate

peak hour demand rate = 1.8 x maximum day demand rate

Appendix A Potable Water Servicing

A.2 FIRE FLOW REQUIREMENTS PER FUS GUIDELINES (2020)

FUS Fire Flow Calculation Sheet - 2020 FUS Guidelines

Stantec

Stantec Project #: 160401596 Project Name: 2839 Dumaurier Avenue Date: 3/17/2023 Fire Flow Calculation #: 1 Description: Proposed 40 Storey High Rise Residential w/ 6 Storey Podium 40-storey residential high-rise with commercial space on ground floor and 6-storey podium. Information taken from Draft Architectural Drawings Notes: by Roderick Labey Architect Inc. dated February 2023. 2-hour fire separation provided between each floor and 1-hour fire separation provided for exterior vertical communications.

Step	Task	Notes Value Use										Value Used	Req'd Fire Flow (L/min)	
1	Determine Type of Construction	Type II - Noncombustible Construction / Type IV-A - Mass Timber Construction									0.8	-		
2	Determine Effective	Sum of	Largest Floor	+ 25% of Tw	o Additional	Floors		Vertical (Openings Pr	otected?		YES	-	
2	Floor Area	1212	1390	1390	1390	1390	1390	702	702	702	702	1907	-	
3	Determine Required Fire Flow				(F = 220 x C >	(A ^{1/2}). Round	d to nearest	1000 L/min				-	8000	
4	Determine Occupancy Charge					Limited Cor	nbustible					-15%	6800	
						Conforms to	NFPA 13					-30%		
	Determine Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply											0700	
5	Reduction	Not Fully Supervised or N/A									0%	-2/20		
		% Coverage of Sprinkler System									100%			
		Direction Exposure Exposed Exposed Height Factor (m x Stories) toxicity is toxicity to the stories of the stori							ed ŝ	-	-			
	Datamina kana na fa	North	10.1 to 20	39	2	61-80	Type I-II - Unprotected Openings NO			6%	994			
6	Exposures (Max. 75%)	East	> 30	63	1	61-80	Type I-II - Unprotected Openings NO		NO			0%		
		South	3.1 to 10	25	1	21-49	Type I-II - Unpro	tected Openings		NO		7%	004	
		West	> 30	63	1	61-80	Type I-II - Unprotected Openings NO			0%				
		Total Required Fire Flow in L/min, Rounded to Nearest 1000L/min								5000				
7 Determine Required F	Determine Final	Total Required Fire Flow in L/s									83.3			
	Required Fire Flow	Required Duration of Fire Flow (hrs)								1.75				
		Required Volume of Fire Flow (m³)								525				

Appendix A Potable Water Servicing

A.3 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Chochlinski, Daniel

From:	Kuruvilla, Santhosh <santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca></santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Tuesday, February 2, 2021 3:23 PM
То:	Kuruvilla, Santhosh
Subject:	FW: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - Hydraulic Boundary Conditions Request
Attachments:	2829 Dumaurier February 2021.pdf

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 2829 Dumaurier (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 305mm on Dumaurier Avenue (see attached PDF for location).

Minimum HGL = 107.4m

Maximum HGL = 114.3m

Max Day + Fire Flow (116.7 L/s) = 105.2m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.


Appendix B Proposed Site Plan

Appendix B PROPOSED SITE PLAN





	DRAWING NOTES:	PRO JECT INFORMATION	IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE APPROPRIATE	
CRIPTION			OWNERACTOR TO CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE AND TO REPORT ALL ERRORS AND/OR OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITE?"	
EY OF	BUILDING / HEIGHT SETBACK LINE	Zenim Bud an 2008-250 Chatters From an	ALL CONTRACTORS MUST COMPLY WITH ALL	
0 (Ottawa Front)	OUTLINE OF TOWER	20mg B)-Liw 2008-250 GM (62) F (0.25)	PERTINENT CODES AND BY-LAWS. THIS DRAWING MAY NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION	
ean	LINE OF PODIUM LEVEL	SITE AREA 4,195.2 sq. m.	UNTIL SIGNED BY THE ARCHITECT.	
AWATT	LINE OF PARKING GARAGE BELOW	(ex. car) eq. is	DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.	
URIER AVENUE	RAMP TO LIG GARAGE (BELOW GRADE)	BUILDING HEIGHT 18.0 m FRONT VARD SETBACK 3.0 m		
-Law 372-78 Inst. NS41961)	EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT	INTERIOR YARD SETBACK 0.0 m	NOTATION SYMBOLS:	
HE T RESERVE LAN 479600	EXISTING COMMERCIAL BUILDING	REAR YARD SETBACK 7.5 m	INDICATES DRAWING NOTES, LISTED ON EACH	
A	2.0m WIDE CONCRETE SIDEWALK CW DEPRESSED CURBS AT DRIVEWAY ENTRANCE	AMENITY SPACE (SUMPPER UNIT) 1,980.0 MP	SHEET.	
IICIPALITY OF	BICYCLE RACKS, SEE LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR TYPE		00 INDICATES ASSEMBLIE TYPE; REFER TO TYPICAL ASSEMBLIES SCHEDUAL	
ETON	(1) EXISTING 1.5m WIDE ASPHALT CITY SIDEWALK		(0) INDICATES WINDOW TYPE; REFER TO WINDOW	
, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd.	SIAMESE CONNECTION	PROJECT STATISTICS	ELEVATIONS AND DETAILS ON ADDI SERIES.	
	REAR YARD LANDSCAPE SETBACK	BUILDING HEIGHT 128.0 m	COD SCHEDULE AND DETAILS ON ADDI SERIES.	
DEVELOPER	UNE OF U/G HYDRO VAULT	BUILDING HEIGHT - STOREY'S 40	DETAL NUMBER	
nstruction	2.5 X 5.2m STANDARD PARKING SPACES	AVERAGE MEAN GRADE (GEO. ELEV.) 74.20 FRONT YARD SETBACK 5.9 m	total activity of the second s	
u . J8Y 3R7	AREA OF TEMPORARY SNOW STORAGE	INTERIOR YARD SETBACK 0.0 m	DETAIL REFERENCE PAGE	
3-7392	NEW 2.0M W. CONCRETE SIDEWALK AS PER CITY STANDARDS C// DEPRESSED CURB @ DRIVEWAY	REAR YARD SETBACK 15.7 m	DETAL CROSS REFERENCE PAGE	
3-5126 രിbrigil.com	SOFT LANDSCAPING	GROSS BUILDING - AREAS		
50	HARD LANDSCAPING - PAVERS	PER CITY OF OTTAWA 20NING AREA DEFINITION		
	EXISTING CITY BOULEVARD TO BE REINSTATED UPON	PARKING LEVEL 000 sq. ft.		
		GROUND FLOOR 1,212.0 aq. m. 13,046 ao. ft.		
llivan Vollebekk Ltd.	CIVIL AND LANDSCAPE	2nd to 6th FLOOR 5x 1,3897 sq. m. 6,949 sq. m.		
Surveyors	EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED - SEE LANDSCAPE	5 2 14,865 sq. tt. 74,825 sq. tt. 202 1 an m		
ario K2E 7S6	LINE OF UIG CISTERN; SEE CIVIL AND MECHANICAL FOR DETAILS	7h FLOOR 7,507 sq. ft.		
7-0850	LIGHT STANDARD - SEE ELECTRICAL LIGHTING CERTIFICATE	8th - 38th FLOOR 21 x 702.1 sq. m. 21,765 sq. m. 31 x 7,557 sq. h. 234,267 sq. ft.		
7-1079 Neovitri com	CATCH BASIN / TRENCH DRAW PEE CAN	38th - 40th FLOOR 2 x 563.5 sq. m. 1,127.0 sq. m. 2 x 6,055.6 sq. h. 12,131 so. ft.		
540 M.C. 0011	A NEW CLER TO THE INTO EVENTIAL ARE CAR	MECHANICAL LEVEL 563.5 aq. m.		
NNER	TISTOTTO W. CONCRETE BF RAMP - SEE CIVE	6,065 sq. ft.		
onsultants Inc.	RAISED COMMERCIAL TERRACE - SEE GRADING AND	TOTAL AREA 347,857 ag. ft.		
Street, Suite 300	G LANDSCAPE PLANS			
Canada, K2P 2H7	GARBAGE TRUCK LOADING/BACKUP	UNIT STATISTICS		
0-3/09 ex. 20/ 30-1136	EXISTING STM TO BE REMOVED RELOCATED - SEE CVIL	TWO BEDROOM UNIT 259		
ch@fotenn.com	SEE CIVIL DRAWINGS FOR ALL SERVICING WORK	THREE BEDROOM UNIT 5		
	BETOND PROPERTY LINE OF PROPOSED APPLICATION	TOTAL 422		
NEER	Cas PRESSURE RELEASE STATION	COMMERCIAL		
gineering Ltd.	EXISTING HYDRO POLE TO BE REMOVED	TOTAL NFA, COMMERCIAL 300.0 sq. m. 3,230 sq. ft.		
venue, Suite 400,	EXHAUST/INTAKE FOR PARKING GARAGE			
2C 3G4 1-4337	EXISTING ASPHALT PARKING LOT & ENTRANCE	CAR PARKING		
born@stantec.com	BF PARKING AS PER CITY STANDARD. ONE TYPE X'&	REQUIRED by ZONING BY-LAW		
	O ONE TYPE B' SPACE	RESIDENCE - 0.5 PER DWELLING UNIT 205		
	INSI CRUSSING AS PER CITY OF OTTAMIN STANDARDS	VISITOR - 0.1 PER DWELLING UNIT 30		
	ANNTED LINES TO INDICATE DEDESTRIAN CROSSING	(NO MORE THAN 30 SPACES REQ'D)		
nsulting	1210mm H. RARED PLANTER - SEE LANDSCARE	COMMERCIAL - 5.0 MAX.PER 100 m2 NFA 15 dPENDING UNIT USE)		
oode) ON K0A 2W0	LINE OF WATER ENTRY ROOM BELOW	TOTAL 250		
5-0518	RETAINING WALL - SEE LANDSCAPE AND CIVIL	PROVIDED		
ek@larocquelevstek.com	(ii) CISTERN ACCESS COVERS - SEE CML	RESIDENCE (BELOW GRADE) (0.41 / UNIT) 174		
	RAISED SITTING AREA - SEE LANDSCAPE	VISITOR & COMMERCIAL (BLENDED)		
	LINE OF ELECTRICAL ROOM BELOW	ABOVE GRADE: 06 BELOW GRADE: 24		
		TOTAL: 30		
	SITE PLAN SYMBOLS:	TOTAL 204		
		MAXIMUM PARKING RATE FOR RESIDENTIAL USE : 756		
	EXTERIOR COMMUNAL LANDSCAPE	1.75 per UNIT (INCLUDING VISITOR)		
		BICYCLE PARKING	A ISSUED FOR SPA / RE-ZONING Jan 08.21	
	ASPHALT DRIVEISLE/PARKING	REQUIRED	ISSUED FOR DESIGN CONCEPT Nov. 06, 20	
	CONCEPTE STREAM	RESIDENTIAL - 0.5 PER UNIT (422 UNITS) 211	No. DESCRIPTION DATE	
		COMMERCIAL - 1.5 PER 250 m2 GFA 2 (300 M2)	REVISIONS:	
	COMMERCIAL PATIO / CONCRETE PAVERS	TOTAL: 213	ARCHITECT SEAL: NORTH ARROW:	
		PROVIDED		
	PAVERS @ TERRACE LEVEL	EXTERIOR: 06 INTERIOR: 304		
	COSCO COMPERANCE I	TOTAL: 310		
		LOT COVERAGE		
	RESIDENTIAL AMENITY @ L1	PAVED SURFACE = 750.0 sq. m. 18.8%	SEAL DATE: STANP DATE	
	INC STORM WATER TANK BE	BUILDING FOOTPRINT = 1,430.0 sq. m. 34.0%	CLIENT:	
	- SEE CIVIL	LANDSCAPE OPEN SPACE = 1,975.0 sq. m. 47.2%		
	ELECTRICAL VAULT BELOW - SEE		nrial	
	LUCTOC ELECTRICAL & CIVIL	REAR PARKING/LANDSCAPE AREA		
	TWSI CROSSING AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS	PAVED SURFACE = 509.5 sq. m. 39.4%	J	
	17-7-1	LANDSCAPE OPEN SPACE = 785.5 sq. m. 60.6%	-	
	AREA OF MAIN TOWER ABOVE	101AC = 1,285.0 sq. m. 100.0%	ARCHITECT:	
		AMENITY SPACE		
	PROPERTY LINE	AT GRADE COMMUNAL EXTERIOR = 980.0 sq. m.	ria/architecture	
	BUILDING SETBACK LINE	GROUND COMMUNAL INTERIOR = 254.0 m, m.	roderick lahey architect inc.	
	11.5M TOWER SETBACK LINE	PRIVATE BALCONES = 6017.2 sq. m. 7th FLOOR COMMUNAL INTERIOR = 351.0 so. m.	56 beech street, ottawa, ontario K1S 316	
and the second	PROPOSED STORM SERVICE	7th FLOOR COMMUNAL EXTERIOR = 627.7 aq. m.	1.613.724.9932 f.613.724.1209 rloarchitecture.co	
18-1-1	PROPOSED WATER SERVICE	ROOF TOP COMMUNAL EXTERIOR = 0.0 sq. m.	PROJECT TITLE:	
	PROPOSED SANITARY SERVICE	TOTAL PRIVATE = 6017.2aq.m.		
KI & I	FENCE LINE	TOTAL COMMUNAL = 2192.0 sq. m. TOTAL OVERALL = 8209 so. m.	2829 DUMAURIER	
11 - /		REQUIRED - 6.0MP PER UNIT (422) = 2532.0 mm		
	BIKE RACK	REQUIRED COMMUNAL @ 50% = 1286.0 sq. m.	AVENUE	
The fixed leaves	FIRE HYDRANT	REFLISE REQUIREMENT	OTTAWA ONTARIO	
ter T Los Los C		ALL USE REQUIREMENT (422 UNITS)	SHEET TITLE	
Anthenen Rept Co Q	VEHICULAR DIRECTION	GARBAGE -0.11 PER UNIT 47 YARDS RECYCLING GMP -0.018 PER UNIT P VARIO		
8	(+) EXISTING TREE TO BE REMOVED	RECYCLING FIBER -0.038 PER UNIT 16 YARDS		
		COMPOST - 240L PER 50 UNITS 9		
prov (menno	EXISTING TREE TO BE RETAINED		SITE PLAN	~
terminary tehnol V				è
- 1 A ****	SIMESE CONNECTION			20
	PRIMARY ENTRANCE / EGRESS DOOR		RV DV	Ň
Arc Angel Land	SECONDARY DOOR		POLICE PROFESSION	5
	-S- GAS METER REGULATOR-SEE CML & MECHANICAL DRAWINGS		1:200	2
	NOTE:		SP-1	Ľ
	SEE LANDSCAPE FOR ALL SURFACE MATERIAL AND PATTERN		1922	0

Ψ

#17421

Appendix C Sanitary Servicing

Appendix C SANITARY SERVICING

C.1 SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET



6		SUBDIVISION: 282	29 Dumau	irier Aven	ue			:	SANIT DES	ARY S	EWEF	}											DESIGN P	ARAMETERS.											
<u>S</u>									(č	ty of Otta	wa)				MAX PEAK F	ACTOR (RES.	.)=	4.0		AVG. DAILY	FLOW / PERS	ON	280	l/p/day		MINIMUM VE	ELOCITY		0.6/	0 m/s					
		DATE:		3/16	/2023										MIN PEAK F/	CTOR (RES.)	-	2.0		COMMERCI	AL.		28,000	l/ha/day		MAXIMUM V	ELOCITY		3.0/	0 m/s					
	V	REVISION:			2										PEAKING FA	CTOR (INDUS	STRIAL):	2.4		INDUSTRIAL	(HEAVY)		55,000	l/ha/day		MANNINGS	n		0.017	3					
64	antoc	DESIGNED	BY:	N	IJS	FILE NUM	IBER:	160401596	6						PEAKING FA	CTOR (ICI >20	3%):	1.5		INDUSTRIAL	. (LIGHT)		35,000	l/ha/day		BEDDING CI	LASS		6	в					
30	annec	CHECKED I	BY:	1	٨F										PERSONS / S	SINGLE		3.4		INSTITUTION	NAL		28,000	l/ha/day		MINIMUM CO	OVER		2.5	j0 m					
															PERSONS /	OWNHOME		2.7		INFILTRATIC	0N		0.33	l/s/Ha		HARMON CO	ORRECTION	FACTOR	0./	8					
															PERSONS / /	PARTMENT		1.8																	
	LOCATION					RESIDENTIA	AL AREA AND	POPULATION	1			COMN	ERCIAL	INDUS	TRIAL (L)	INDUST	rrial (H)	INSTITU	ITIONAL	GREEN	/ UNUSED	C+I+I		INFILTRATION	4	TOTAL				Pr	IPE				
AREA ID	FROM	TO	AREA		UNITS		POP.	CUMU	JLATIVE	PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	FLOW	LENGTH	DIA	MATERIAL	. CLASS	SLOPE	CAP.	CAP. V	VEL.	VEL.
NUMBER	M.H.	M.H.		SINGLE	TOWN	APT		AREA	POP.	FACT.	FLOW		AREA		AREA		AREA		AREA		AREA	FLOW	AREA	AREA	FLOW							(FULL)	PEAK FLOW	(FULL)	(ACT.)
			(ha)					(ha)			(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m)	(mm)			(%)	(l/s)	(%)	(m/s)	(m/s)
D.0.1																																			
R101A, G101A	BLDG SAN	101	0.35	0	0	0	0	0.35	/11	3.31	7.6	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.38	0.38	0.1	7.8	1.2	200	PVC	SDH 35	1.00	33.4	23.23%	1.05	0.72
	101	100 EX 101	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.35	711	3.31	7.6	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.0	0.07	0.45	0.1	7.8	23.3	250	PVC	SDH 35	0.50	42.9	18.17%	0.86	0.54
	EV 101	EX 101	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.35	711	3.31	7.6	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.0	0.00	0.45	0.1	7.0	30.7	200	PVC	SDR 35	1.50	75.0	23.40%	1.50	0.45
	24 101	EX 100	0.00	0	0	0	0	0.35	711	0.01	7.0	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.0	0.00	0.40	0.1	7.0	114.4	250	PVC	00A 35	1.04	13.2	10.33%	1.02	0.01
																												2.50							

Appendix C Sanitary Servicing

C.2 CORRESPONDENCE WITH MECP

Chochlinski, Daniel

From:	Diamond, Emily (MECP) <emily.diamond@ontario.ca></emily.diamond@ontario.ca>
Sent:	Wednesday, January 13, 2021 3:04 PM
То:	Chochlinski, Daniel
Cc:	Kilborn, Kris; Paerez, Ana
Subject:	FW: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue SAN Sewer Extension - ECA ToR Eligibility

Good Afternoon Daniel,

You may proceed under the Transfer of Review.

Have a great day!

Emily Diamond

Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, Ontario, K1H 1E1 Cell: 613-866-0938 Fax: 613-521-5437 e-mail: <u>emily.diamond@ontario.ca</u>

From: Ahmed, Aziz (MECP) <Aziz.Ahmed@ontario.ca>
Sent: January 13, 2021 2:56 PM
To: Diamond, Emily (MECP) <Emily.Diamond@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue SAN Sewer Extension - ECA TOR Eligibility

Emily,

No concerns, can proceed under ToR.

Stay safe,

Aziz

Aziz S. Ahmed, P.Eng. | Manager Municipal Water and Wastewater Permissions Section, Environmental Permissions Branch | Environmental Assessment and Permissions Division Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks | 40 St. Clair Ave. West, 2nd Floor, Toronto, ON M4V 1M2 Tel: 416.314.4625 | Cell: 416.712.7427 | Toll Free: 1-888-999-1305 | Fax: 416.314.1037 🖂: <u>Aziz.Ahmed@ontario.ca</u>

If you have any accommodation needs or require communication supports or alternate formats, please let me know.

Si vous avez des besoins en matière d'adaptation, ou si vous nécessitez des aides à la communication ou des médias substituts, veuillez me le faire savoir.

To: Ahmed, Aziz (MECP) <<u>Aziz.Ahmed@ontario.ca</u>> Subject: FW: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue SAN Sewer Extension - ECA ToR Eligibility

Hi Aziz,

I have a consultant asking whether this project would fall under the ToR. Could you please advise.

Thank you!

Emily Diamond

Environmental Officer Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ottawa District Office 2430 Don Reid Drive Ottawa, Ontario, K1H 1E1 Cell: 613-866-0938 Fax: 613-521-5437 e-mail: <u>emily.diamond@ontario.ca</u>

From: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: January 13, 2021 12:55 PM
To: Diamond, Emily (MECP) <<u>Emily.Diamond@ontario.ca</u>>
Cc: Kilborn, Kris <<u>kris.kilborn@stantec.com</u>>; Paerez, Ana <<u>Ana.Paerez@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue SAN Sewer Extension - ECA ToR Eligibility

CAUTION -- EXTERNAL E-MAIL - Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender. Hi Emily,

I am writing you to ask a question about a potential ECA Application for the 2829 Dumaurier Avenue project which Stantec Consulting is completing civil design services for in support of its Site Plan Application with the City of Ottawa.

We have identified that we may need to extend the existing 250 mm diameter concrete municipal sanitary sewer on Dumaurier Avenue northwards to service the proposed building at 2829 Dumaurier Avenue. During the pre-application consultation process with the City of Ottawa, we have been asked to reach out to you to identify whether this potential sanitary sewer extension work would be eligible for review under the Transfer of Review program (Standard Works) with the City of Ottawa. The reason for this question is the sanitary sewer's proximity to the now-closed Pinecrest Landfill.

Please find attached the following:

- 1) Map of the site identifying (conceptually) the potential extension of the sanitary sewer within the Dumaurier Avenue right-of-way.
- 2) A map provided by the City showing the infrastructure associated with the closed Pinecrest Landfill.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to me if you require any additional information. Thank you in advance for your time.

Regards,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 <u>daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com</u> Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



Appendix C Sanitary Servicing

C.3 CORRESPONDENCE WITH CITY ON SANITARY SEWER CAPACITY

Chochlinski, Daniel

From:	Tousignant, Eric <eric.tousignant@ottawa.ca></eric.tousignant@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 10, 2021 2:47 PM
То:	Kuruvilla, Santhosh
Subject:	RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - Sanitary Sewer Capacity

Hi Santhosh

I have no concerns with the additional flow.

Eric

From: Kuruvilla, Santhosh <Santhosh.Kuruvilla@ottawa.ca>
Sent: February 04, 2021 10:20 AM
To: Tousignant, Eric <Eric.Tousignant@ottawa.ca>
Subject: FW: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - Sanitary Sewer Capacity

Good morning Eric,

Hope you are doing good.

I received an email from Stantec (see below) regarding sanitary capacity question for the future site plan application.

Will you be able to assist them with this question?

Thanks,

Santhosh

From: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: February 04, 2021 10:05 AM
To: Kuruvilla, Santhosh <<u>Santhosh.Kuruvilla@ottawa.ca</u>>
Cc: Paerez, Ana <<u>Ana.Paerez@stantec.com</u>>; Kilborn, Kris <<u>kris.kilborn@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - Sanitary Sewer Capacity

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good Morning Santhosh,

We have prepared the sanitary peak flow calculations for the 2829 Dumaurier Avenue site. May you please ask Eric Tousignant to verify whether sufficient residual capacity exists in the 250 mm dia. downstream sanitary sewer on Dumaurier Avenue to accommodate the increased flows? If you would prefer that we reach out to Eric directly, please let us know.

I have attached the sanitary peak flow calculations as well as a map outlining the site boundary and where we intend to discharge the sanitary wastewater.

If you require any additional information from our end, do not hesitate to reach out.

Regards,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



Better Together, Even If We're Apart. <u>Read more</u> about Stantec's COVID-19 response, including remote working and business continuity measures.

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.



Dumaurier Park, formerly Pinecrest Landfill.

av

28.65

Dum

ITIE

Existing 250mm dia. sanitary sewer needs to be extended northwards along Dumaurier Avenue to service the proposed development at 2829 Dumaurier Avenue. Sewer extension shown conceptually as a dashed red line.

Existing 250mm dia. concrete sanitary sewer to service the proposed development at 2829 Dumaurier Avenue. **Does sufficient residual capacity exist in the network to accommodate a 6.96 L/s peak flow from 2829 Dumaurier Avenue?** (Refer to design sheet dated 2021-02-04 for detailed calculations)

2829 Dumaurier Avenue (Subject Site) highlighted in yellow

O2mm9 *

FR

P

-

0

0

Address, Street, or Place

● 🙏 🖨

Annotated map prepared on 2021-02-04 by Stantec Consulting Ltd.

-8437427.8 5676996.7 Meters

geo Ottawa

♦ • • ○ ●

SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT – 2829 DUMAURIER AVENUE

Appendix D Stormwater Servicing and Management

Appendix D STORMWATER SERVICING AND MANAGEMENT

D.1 PRECONSULTATION NOTES WITH CITY OF OTTAWA

2829 Dumaurier Pre-Consultation Comments

Planning Comments

- 1. New Site Plan Control, Complex <u>https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/developing-property/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/development-application-forms</u>
- 2. New Zoning By-law Amendment, Minor
- 3. The site is designated General Urban Area within the City's Official Plan. New taller building may be considered for sites that are in an area already characterized by taller building (adjacent OCH high rise).
- 4. The actual maximum height of the building should be based on an analysis of the neighbourhood context, appropriate transition to the adjacent low-rise developments, as well as the result of shadow and wind analysis. The upmost limit will be capped at 30 storeys given that this area is currently not within a secondary plan, so high-rise 31+ is not permitted.
 - a. Please provide a shadow study showing the as-of-right shadow impact v. the proposed shadow impact.
 - b. Please provide a cross section showing the building in relation to other buildings along Dumaurier. This comparison should take into account the grading change along the area. This will help develop an appropriate podium height that fits within the existing context. The maximum podium height should also be no more than the ROW width along Dumaurier.
 - c. Please provide a plan showing possible high-rise intensification on the adjacent sites and how appropriate distance between towers are maintained.
 - d. Please illustrate how the building transition into adjacent low-rise area.
- 5. UDRP is not required.
- 6. Please provide a section 37 analysis.
 - a. Please provide GFA uplift. The as-of-right should be based on the current FSI maximum.
 - b. Please provide a list of drawndown factors.
 - c. Pleas note that section 37 will be transitioning to a Community Benefit Charge. The current target timeline is for spring 2021. The submission timing of the complete application will determine which system it falls under.
- 7. Will this building be rental or condo? Have there been any consideration for affordable housing units to be located within the building?

- 8. Please demonstrate how the 2829 and 2865 properties will function following the built out of 2829 Dumaurier.
- 9. Please consider securing a grocery store or food retail store as a tenant for the ground floor.
- 10. Please consider retaining the large maple tree on-site.
- 11. Please consider a pedestrian connection (in consultation with the adjacent property owner) through the adjacent property to connect Ruth Wildgen Park. Please consider this in conjunction with the retention of the maple tree.



- 12. Please note the total amount of parking spaces being proposed.
- 13. Please provide a proposed strategy for public consultation as directed by Bill 73, this can be included in the planning rationale.
- 14. Cash-in-lieu of parkland and associated appraisal fee will be required as a condition of approval as per the <u>Parkland Dedication Bylaw</u>.
- 15.Please consult with MTO prior to application submission. Kapusta, Stephen (MTO) <u>Stephen.Kapusta@ontario.ca</u>
- 16.Please consult with RVCA prior to application submission. Eric Lalande <u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>
- 17.Please consult with the Ward Councillor prior to application submission. Kavanagh, Theresa <u>Theresa.Kavanagh@ottawa.ca</u>

Urban Design Comments

18. The point tower + podium form may be appropriate if a high-rise building is deemed appropriate.

- 19. However, the proposed 8 or 9-storey podium may be too tall in general terms. The height and the design of the podium should be determined by the context, including the width and geometry of the adjacent streets, the prevalent pattern of development in the vicinities, and the future vision. The proposed conceptual podium design seems quite arbitrary and does not respond to the context well.
- 20. The concept may have met the minimum tower separation standards established in the new zoning (approved by Council but subject to appeal). However, the City's aim is to achieve a minimum of 23m tower separation, and this site is large enough to achieve it.
- 21.On a related matter, the proposed tower may have a floor plate greater than the 750m² established by the City in the guidelines. Larger floor plates often mean wider shadows. If a slightly large floor plate is considered given the size and configuration of the site, greater tower separations must also be contemplated.
- 22. Overall, there is a need for a thorough urban design analysis of the neighbourhood before determining the appropriate built form on this site. This will include (but not limited to) an understanding of the relationship between the site and the future LRT station and the necessary connections, the development potential on the abutting properties, the desire and direction for transition, the characteristics of the streets, the potential needs for public spaces such as parks, streets, lanes, pathways, and etc. The attached TOR for the preparation of Design Brief indicates the information required as part of the submission.

Engineering Comments

Please note the following information regarding the engineering design submission for the above noted site:

- The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following address: <u>https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-</u> <u>development/information-developers/development-application-review-</u> <u>process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans</u>
- 2. Servicing and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:
 - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012), including the related Technical Bulletins.
 - Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution (2010), including the related Technical Bulletins.
 - ➡ Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January, 2016)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Park and Pathway Development Manual (2012)

- ⇒ City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012)
- ⇒ Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
- ⇒ Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
- 3. Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at <u>InformationCentre@ottawa.ca</u> or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x.44455). If you have any issues obtaining information, please contact the Infrastructure Approvals Project Manager.
- 4. There appears to be easement(s) on-site. Please provide these easement documents along with your first submission and ensure the proposed design respects the easement requirements.
- 5. The underground parking structure will impede with the existing watermain located at the north end of the site. Additionally, in case the proponent revises the design, note that fire routes are not to be located on top of underground parking structures.
- 6. FYI: The site is located within the LRT Stage 2 Circulation Zone.
- 7. The site appears to be within the Ministry of Transportation's (MTO) Building and Land Use Permit Control Area. Please consult with MTO for required permits and criteria. Please include correspondence with MTO with your application to the City of Ottawa.
- 8. Sanitary Infrastructure
 - a. There is an available 250mm diameter concrete sanitary sewer located on Dumaurier Ave. However, it currently does not front the proposed site, though it does service the existing building. This sewer is approximately 25 to 30m south of the proposed site's south boundary.
 - b. A sanitary sewer extension within the Dumaurier Ave Right-Of-Way (ROW) will be required to service this site.
 - c. The proponent will be required to demonstrate what the expected sanitary flows from the proposed site will be and show that the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure can accommodate the proposed site flows without any adverse affects.
 - d. Due to the municipal sanitary sewer extension a Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks Environmental Compliance Approval (MECP ECA) will be required. Please contact Emily Diamond at the MECP Ottawa local office to inquire if the municipal sanitary sewer extension work qualifies to be reviewed under the Transfer of Review program (Standard Works) with the City of Ottawa or not, due to the proximity to the closed Pinecrest-Dumaurier landfill site. Please provide the correspondence as part of the site servicing study.

- e. There is another 225mm diameter sanitary sewer located on private property north of the proposed site and on Dumaurier Ave. This sanitary sewer does not service the existing site. However, both sanitary sewers on Dumaurier Ave reach the same collector.
- 9. Watermain
 - a. There is an available 305mm diameter ductile iron watermain fronting the site along Dumaurier Ave. Servicing for this site is expected to connect to this watermain.
 - b. Note that a private 152mm diameter watermain service runs along the north property boundary on-site, servicing 1085 Grenon Ave as well as Ruth Wildgen Park (1099 Grenon Ave). This private service is to remain unless authorized to be relocated by the serviced properties.
 - c. Drinking Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service connection and the expected loads required by the proposed development. Please provide the following information:
 - i. Location of service (map/plan view)
 - ii. (Draft) site plan or similar plan for building location
 - iii. Fire flow demand: ____L/s (as per FUS, 1999)
 - iv. Average daily demand: ____ L/s.
 - v. Maximum daily demand: ____L/s.
 - vi. Maximum hourly daily demand: ____ L/s.
 - vii. Supporting calculations for domestic demands
 - viii. Supporting calculations for FUS required fire flow
- 10. Storm
 - a. There is an available 375mm diameter concrete storm sewer located on Dumaurier Ave with it's most upstream maintenance hole located near the site's southeast corner. Please note a site stormwater connection is to be made perpendicular to the municipal sewer.
 - b. If a municipal storm sewer extension is required, the same MECP ECA requirements as the municipal sanitary sewer extension would apply.
- 11. The Stormwater Management Criteria, for the subject site, is to be based on the following:
 - i. Post development peak 100 year flows are to be controlled to the predevelopment peak 5 year release rate. Excess stormwater is to be detained on-site.

- ii. The 5-yr storm event using the IDF information derived from the Meteorological Services of Canada rainfall data, taken from the MacDonald Cartier Airport, collected 1966 to 1997.
- iii. The pre-development runoff coefficient <u>or</u> a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.5, whichever is less (§ 8.3.7.3).
- iv. A calculated time of concentration (Cannot be less than 10 minutes).
- v. Use of rooftop controls are recommended for this site, but are not limited to them, to meet the requirements.
- 12. City of Ottawa Landfill Gas Monitoring Program
 - a. A portion of the closed Pinecrest landfill extends under the north part of the subject property. Pockets of waste were noted in some of the probes near the north end of the existing building on-site. There are a number of LFG probes located on existing plaza property that are included in the City's monitoring program, and elevated methane concentrations are still measured at some of these probes.
 - b. The methane collection system consists of 18 extraction wells (EW1 to EW18 on the attached plan) located along Dumaurier Ave and Watson Street connected to the control building on the north edge of Dumaurier Park. The system was installed in 1989 and operated until 2003. Although the methane collection system is currently not active, it has not been officially approved for decommissioning by the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) due to the continued elevated methane levels near/adjacent to this site. The City reportedly offered to connect adjacent landowners within the landfill footprint to the system, but no adjacent owners accepted the offer.
 - c. A Phase I and II Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) are to be completed in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation 153/04.
 - d. The City will provide an updated summary of monitoring results to the proponent, to be incorporated into the Phase II ESA report; the results on the 2019 monitoring program were just received last month.
 - e. Since the proposed redevelopment will be a mixture of commercial and residential use, a Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O. Reg. 153/04 will be required.
 - f. Due to the continued presence of elevated methane on this property, a methane mitigation plan will be required as part of redevelopment (subslab venting system, etc.)
 - g. Given the environmental issues on this property, this site may be a potential candidate for participation in the City's Brownfield program.
 - h. We are conferring with the City's Environmental Remediation Unit on the potential setback requirements from the methane extraction wells.

Although they are not currently active, the methane collection system has not been officially approved for decommissioning by the MECP. We will get back to you on this matter.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me directly at (613) 580-2424, x22517 or by email at <u>gabrielle.schaeffer@ottawa.ca</u>

Transportation Comments

- Follow Traffic Impact Assessment Guidelines
 - A TIA is required. Proceed to submitting Scoping report.
 - Start this process asap. The application will not be deemed complete until the submission steps 1-4, including the functional plan (if applicable), draft RMA package (if applicable) and/or monitoring report (if applicable).
 - You are highly encouraged to submit Step 4 for review and comment by City Staff prior to application.
 - Request base mapping asap if RMA is required. Contact Engineering Services (<u>https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-</u> development/engineering-services)
- Clear throat requirement for apartments with more than 200 units on a collector is 25m.
- Site within 400 m buffer of Pinecrest LRT Station.
- Concrete sidewalks, as per City Standards, are to be provided along the site's frontage. Sidewalk is to be depressed and continuous across site access (as per City Specification 7.1).
- On site plan:
 - Show all details of the roads abutting the site up to and including the opposite curb; include such items as pavement markings, accesses and/or sidewalks.
 - Turning movement diagrams required for all accesses showing the largest vehicle to access/egress the site.
 - Turning movement diagrams required for internal movements (loading areas, garbage, emergency vehicles).
 - Show all curb radii measurements; ensure that all curb radii are reduced as much as possible
 - Show lane/aisle widths.
 - Grey out any area that will not be impacted by this application.
- AODA legislation is in effect for all organizations, please ensure that the design conforms to these standards.

- Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
 - Road, due to proximity to Hwy 417 and Dumaurier
 - Rail, due to proximity to future LRT
- Stationary, due to the proximity to neighbouring exposed mechanical equipment and if there will be any exposed mechanical equipment due to the proximity to neighbouring noise sensitive land uses.

Documents required to deem application complete:

- TIA (Steps 1-4 submitted and reviewed by City staff)
- Noise Study (Road & Stationary)

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Gervais, Josiane josiane.gervais@ottawa.ca

LRT Comments

- 1. A warning clause will have to be registered on title for noise and vibration, electromagnetic interference.
- 2. Noise attenuation may be required to deal with noise from the LRT a study is required to assess this impact with and determine mitigation measures.
- 3. Depending on the timing of the construction program, a blasting plan will be required.

Heritage Comment

The subject property is very near 2821 Dumaurier Ave, which is listed on the City's Heritage Register. Given the scale of the development proposed nearby, we would recommend that the applicant provide a short heritage brief, acknowledging the nearby heritage resource and to comment on how the proposal will be compatible with the church, identifying any impacts it may have.

I've attached the information sheet we have for 2821 Dumaurier Ave.

Forester Comment

- a Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City; an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval
- 2. any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Urban Tree Conservation Bylaw; the permit is based on the approved TCR

- 3. any removal of City-owned trees will require the permission of Forestry Services who will also review the submitted TCR
- 4. for this site, the TCR may be combined with the Landscape Plan or EIS, provided all information is clearly displayed
- 5. the TCR must list all trees on site by species, diameter and health condition
- 6. the TCR must address all trees with a critical root zone that extends into the developable area all trees including those located on adjoining properties, that could be impacted by the construction need to be addressed.
- 7. trees with a trunk that crosses/touches a property line are considered co-owned by both property owners; permission from the adjoining property owner must be obtained prior to the removal of co-owned trees
- 8. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they can not be retained please provide a plan showing retained and removed treed areas
- 9. All retained trees must be shown and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines listed on Ottawa.ca
 - a. the location of tree protection fencing must be shown on a plan
 - b. show the full critical root zone of the retained trees

c. if excavation will occur within the critical root zone, please show the limits of excavation and calculate the percentage of the area that will be disturbed

- 10. the City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees of the single large tree on site.
- 11. Please ensure newly planted trees have an adequate soil volume for their size at maturity
- 12. For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson <u>mark.richardson@ottawa.ca</u>

Please refer to the links to "<u>Guide to preparing studies and plans</u>" and <u>fees</u> for general information. Additional information is available related to <u>building permits</u>, <u>development</u> <u>charges</u>, <u>and the Accessibility Design Standards</u>. Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting informationcentre@ottawa.ca.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.

Please contact me at <u>stream.shen@ottawa.ca</u> or at 613-580-2424 extension 24488 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

~

Stream Shen MCIP RPP Planner II Development Review - West

SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT – 2829 DUMAURIER AVENUE

Appendix D Stormwater Servicing and Management

D.2 MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD CALCULATIONS



Stormwater Management Calculations

Modified Rational Method Calculatons	for Storage				Modified Rational Method Calculatons for Storage
5 yr Intensity City of Ottawa	a = 998.071 b = 6.053 c = 0.814	t (min) 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110 120	l (mm/hr) 104.19 70.25 53.93 44.18 37.65 32.94 29.37 26.56 24.29 22.41 20.82 19.47		$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$
Subdrainage Area: Predevelopment Tributar Area (ha): 0.420 C: 0.50 (as directed the Typical Time of Concentration of 10 tc 1 (5 yr) Qtarget (min) (mm/hr) (L/s) 10 104.19 60.8 5 year uncontrolled area and peak	y Area to Outlet y the City of Ottawa) minutes used		e		Subdrainage Area: Predevelopment Tributary Area to Outlet Area (ha): 0.420 C: 0.50 (as directed by the City of Ottawa) Typical Time of Concentration of 10 minutes used tc I(5 yr) Quarter 10 104.19 100.19 104.19 100yr peak flow restricted to 5yr allowable rate based on C=0.5 100 year uncontrolled area and peak flow
Subdrainage Area: UNC-1 Area (ha): 0.06 C: 0.52 tc I (5 yr) (min) (mm/hr) 10 104.19 9.0 5 YEAR Modified Rational Meti	hod for Controlled po	rtion of site	4		Subdrainage Area: UNC-1 Area (ha): 0.06 C: 0.65 Image: train of the state of the st
Subdrainage Area: Controlled Areas (R1A-R Area (ha): 0.36 C: 0.77 Information (L/s) Information (L/s) Inf	ID, TANK1-8) Grelease Qatored (LIS) (LIS) 41.5 38.60 41.5 12.52 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 41.5 0.00 b1.5 0.00 b1.5 0.00 b1.5 0.00 mrate of 41.47L/s (Syr all b1.5 0.9 b2.0 Jess the 100yr uncor flowing directly to Dumau 10	Vstored (m*3) 23.16 15.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	yr uncontrolled) s peak flow		Subdrainage Area: Controlled Areas (R1A-R1D, TANK1-8) Area (ha): 0.36 C: 0.90 25% increase from 5yr C-value, max of 0.9 tc Intervalue, max of 0.9 to Intervalue, max of 0.9
4) Assuming 120 m3 of cistern volun Stage Head (m) 5-year volume check	Discharge Vreq (L/s) (cu. m) 41.5 23.16	oard. Vavail (cu. m) 120.00	Volume Check OK		4) Assuming 120 m3 of cistern volume to allow for some freeboard. Stage Head Discharge Vreq Vavail Volume 100-year volume check - - 41.5 79.89 120.00 OK
SUMMARY TO OUTLET Tributary Area Total 5yr Flow to Sewer Non-Tributary Area Total 5yr Flow Uncontrolled Total 5yr Flow Total 5y <u>r</u> Flow Total 5y <u>r</u> Flow	0.36 ha 41.5 L/s 9.0 L/s 0.42 ha 50.5 L/s 50.5 L/s	Vrequired 23	Vavailable* 120 m) OK	SUMMARY TO OUTLET Tributary Area 0.36 ha Total 100yr Flow to Sewer 41.5 L/s 80 120 m Non-Tributary Area 0.06 ha Total 100yr Flow Uncontrolled 19.4 L/s Total Area 0.42 ha Total 100yr Flow 60.8 L/s

SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT – 2829 DUMAURIER AVENUE

Appendix D Stormwater Servicing and Management

D.3 CORRESPONDENCE WITH RIDEAU VALLEY CONSERVATION AUTHORITY (RVCA)



Chochlinski, Daniel

From:	Chochlinski, Daniel
Sent:	Sunday, January 24, 2021 3:43 PM
То:	Eric Lalande
Cc:	Kilborn, Kris; Paerez, Ana
Subject:	RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Eric,

Thank you for following up. We will proceed with the enhanced protection requirement (80% TSS removal) for the site, as indicated.

Take care,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



Better Together, Even If We're Apart. <u>Read more</u> about Stantec's COVID-19 response, including remote working and business continuity measures.

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>
Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2021 10:53 AM
To: Chochlinski, Daniel <Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Dan,

Sorry I've missed this email, and wanted to make sure I follow up. The water quality requirements are as stated an enhanced level of protection is required.

Thank you,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP Planner, RVCA 613-692-3571 x1137

From: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2021 11:46 AM
To: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>
Cc: Paerez, Ana <<u>Ana.Paerez@stantec.com</u>>; Kilborn, Kris <<u>kris.kilborn@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Eric,

I have followed up and confirmed that our Client does not intend to reduce the number of surface parking spaces to 5 or less for the 2829 Dumaurier Avenue site. Therefore, if the 80% TSS removal quality control requirement is still applicable to the site, we will ensure to meet it with our stormwater management plan.

Please let me know if your measured distance to the stormwater outlet at all changes this requirement for our site.

Regards,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 <u>daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com</u> Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



Better Together, Even If We're Apart. <u>Read more</u> about Stantec's COVID-19 response, including remote working and business continuity measures.

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Chochlinski, Daniel

Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 11:58 AM

To: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>

Cc: Paerez, Ana <<u>Ana.Paerez@stantec.com</u>>; Kilborn, Kris <<u>kris.kilborn@stantec.com</u>>

Subject: RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Eric,

I don't have this information available to me now so would greatly appreciate if you could look it up upon your return later this week. Thank you for your help so far; I look forward to continuing our discussion later.

Take care,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 <u>daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com</u> Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



Better Together, Even If We're Apart. <u>Read more</u> about Stantec's COVID-19 response, including remote working and business continuity measures.

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Eric Lalande < eric.lalande@rvca.ca</pre>

Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 11:55 AM

To: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>

Subject: Re: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Dan,

From the site to the outlet of the municipal system at the receiving watercourse. I could look it up but it wouldn't be until I'm back on Thursday.

From: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 11:52:49 AM
To: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>
Cc: Paerez, Ana <<u>Ana.Paerez@stantec.com</u>>; Kilborn, Kris <<u>kris.kilborn@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: RE: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Eric,

Thank you so much for your prompt reply. I will consult with our team and Client about the possibility of reducing the number of surface parking spaces to 5 or less.

May you please confirm when you say 'distance to receiving watercourse' whether you mean the distance from the site to the existing receiving storm sewer? If so, this distance is approximately 11 metres as measured from the property line to the nearest storm maintenance hole.

Regards,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 <u>daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com</u> Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



Better Together, Even If We're Apart. <u>Read more</u> about Stantec's COVID-19 response, including remote working and business continuity measures.

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>
Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 11:47 AM
To: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: Re: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Hi Daniel,

The RVCA would typically require enhanced water quality protection (80% TSS Removal). Best management practices are encouraged to be integrated where possible.

I would note that this requirement would be applied based on the number of surface parking. (>5 spaces). As well as distance from the outlet. If you could confirm the distance to the stormwater outlet (receiving watercourse), or reduce surface spaces, there may have sufficient opportunities to waive the requirement.

I'm off on vacation today until Thursday, I could follow up more then.

Cheers, Happy New Year.

Eric

Get Outlook for Android

From: Chochlinski, Daniel <<u>Daniel.Chochlinski@stantec.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 11:38:04 AM
To: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>
Cc: Paerez, Ana <<u>Ana.Paerez@stantec.com</u>>; Kilborn, Kris <<u>kris.kilborn@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: 160401596 - 2829 Dumaurier Avenue - RVCA Stormwater Management Criteria

Good morning Eric,

Stantec is preparing the civil engineering design submission in support of the rezoning and site plan application for a 30storey high-rise located at 2829 Dumaurier Avenue (key plan attached) in the City of Ottawa.

In our pre-application consultation, the City has directed us to consult with you before the submission of our engineering package to confirm if there are any additional design criteria (namely, for stormwater quality control). May you please provide us with this information?

Below is a list of some pertinent site information:

- 1. The proposed 30-storey high-rise is proposed to contain commercial space on the ground floor and residential units on the remaining storeys.
 - a. The building is to contain 108 one-bedroom units, 171 one-bedroom + study, 43 two-bedroom units, and 8 two-bedroom + study units.
 - b. I have attached a draft of the site plan, dated 2020-12-17.
- 2. The proposed building replaces an existing one storey commercial building.
- 3. 16 surface parking spots are proposed. The remainder of the building's parking spots are expected to be provided in a multi-level underground parking garage.
- Stormwater quantity control for the site is anticipated to be provided via rooftop storage and an underground stormwater storage tank, either discharging by gravity (controlled by an ICD) or pumped at a controlled release rate.
 - a. The City of Ottawa has indicated that the allowable stormwater release rate is to be calculated using a maximum C of 0.5 and a 5-year storm event.

Please let me know if you require any additional information from our end. Thank you in advance for your help, and Happy New Year!

Regards,

Daniel Chochlinski EIT

Engineering Intern, Community Development Mobile: 343-961-9619 <u>daniel.chochlinski@stantec.com</u> Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4





Better Together, Even If We're Apart. <u>Read more</u> about Stantec's COVID-19 response, including remote working and business continuity measures.

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT – 2829 DUMAURIER AVENUE

Appendix D Stormwater Servicing and Management

D.4 STORMCEPTOR SIZING SHEET AND STANDARD DETAIL



Detailed Stormceptor Sizing Report – 2858 Dumaurier Avenue

×

Project Information & Location								
Project Name	2858 Dumaurier Avenue	Project Number	160401596					
City	City Ottawa		Ontario					
Country	Canada	Date	2/9/2021					
Designer Information	1	EOR Information (optional)						
Name	ana paerez	Name						
Company	Stantec Consulting	Company						
Phone # 506-204-5856		Phone #						
Email ana.paerez@stantec.com		Email						

Stormwater Treatment Recommendation

The recommended Stormceptor Model(s) which achieve or exceed the user defined water quality objective for each site within the project are listed in the below Sizing Summary table.

Site Name	2858 Dumaurier Avenue
Recommended Stormceptor Model	STC 300
Target TSS Removal (%)	80.0
TSS Removal (%) Provided	81
PSD	Fine Distribution
Rainfall Station	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A

The recommended Stormceptor model achieves the water quality objectives based on the selected inputs, historical rainfall records and selected particle size distribution.

Stormceptor Sizi	ng Summary
Stormceptor Model	% TSS Removal Provided
STC 300	81
STC 750	88
STC 1000	89
STC 1500	90
STC 2000	92
STC 3000	93
STC 4000	94
STC 5000	95
STC 6000	96
STC 9000	97
STC 10000	97
STC 14000	98
StormceptorMAX	Custom

Stormceptor[•]

Stormceptor

The Stormceptor oil and sediment separator is sized to treat stormwater runoff by removing pollutants through gravity separation and flotation. Stormceptor's patented design generates positive TSS removal for each rainfall event, including large storms. Significant levels of pollutants such as heavy metals, free oils and nutrients are prevented from entering natural water resources and the re-suspension of previously captured sediment (scour) does not occur. Stormceptor provides a high level of TSS removal for small frequent storm events that represent the majority of annual rainfall volume and pollutant load. Positive treatment continues for large infrequent events, however, such events have little impact on the average annual TSS removal as they represent a small percentage of the total runoff volume and pollutant load.

×

Design Methodology

Stormceptor is sized using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, a continuous simulation model based on US EPA SWMM. The program calculates hydrology using local historical rainfall data and specified site parameters. With US EPA SWMM's precision, every Stormceptor unit is designed to achieve a defined water quality objective. The TSS removal data presented follows US EPA guidelines to reduce the average annual TSS load. The Stormceptor's unit process for TSS removal is settling. The settling model calculates TSS removal by analyzing:

- Site parameters
- · Continuous historical rainfall data, including duration, distribution, peaks & inter-event dry periods
- Particle size distribution, and associated settling velocities (Stokes Law, corrected for drag)
- TSS load
- Detention time of the system

Hydrology Analysis

PCSWMM for Stormceptor calculates annual hydrology with the US EPA SWMM and local continuous historical rainfall data. Performance calculations of Stormceptor are based on the average annual removal of TSS for the selected site parameters. The Stormceptor is engineered to capture sediment particles by treating the required average annual runoff volume, ensuring positive removal efficiency is maintained during each rainfall event, and preventing negative removal efficiency (scour). Smaller recurring storms account for the majority of rainfall events and average annual runoff volume, as observed in the historical rainfall data analyses presented in this section.

Rainfall Station								
State/Province	Ontario	Total Number of Rainfall Events	4093					
Rainfall Station Name	OTTAWA MACDONALD- CARTIER INT'L A	Total Rainfall (mm)	20978.1					
Station ID #	6000	Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	567.0					
Coordinates	45°19'N, 75°40'W	Total Evaporation (mm)	1872.4					
Elevation (ft)	370	Total Infiltration (mm)	20.9					
Years of Rainfall Data	37	Total Rainfall that is Runoff (mm)	19084.8					

Notes

• Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, which uses the EPA Rainfall and Runoff modules.

• Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal defined by the selected PSD, and based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.

• For submerged applications or sites specific to spill control, please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further design assistance.

Stormceptor[®]

Drainage Area		Up Stream Storage					
Total Area (ha)	0.17	Storage (ha-m) Discharg		rge (cms)			
Imperviousness %	99.90	0.000	0.	.000			
Water Quality Objective	e	Up Stream	Flow Diversi	on			
TSS Removal (%)	80.0	Max. Flow to Stormce	otor (cms)				
Runoff Volume Capture (%)		Desi	gn Details				
Oil Spill Capture Volume (L)		Stormceptor Inlet Inve	71.48				
Peak Conveyed Flow Rate (L/s)		Stormceptor Outlet Invert Elev (m)		71.45			
Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s)		Stormceptor Rim Elev (m)		73.27			
		Normal Water Level Ele	evation (m)				
		Pipe Diameter (r	nm)				
		Pipe Materia	l	PVC - plastic			
		Multiple Inlets ((/N)	No			
		Grate Inlet (Y/	N)	No			
	Particle Size D	istribution (PSD)					

×

Removing the smallest fraction of particulates from runoff ensures the majority of pollutants, such as metals, hydrocarbons and nutrients are captured. The table below identifies the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) that was selected to define TSS removal for the Stormceptor design.

Fine Distribution								
Particle Diameter (microns)	Distribution %	Specific Gravity						
20.0	20.0	1.30						
60.0	20.0	1.80						
150.0	20.0	2.20						
400.0	20.0	2.65						
2000.0	20.0	2.65						

Stormceptor[•]

Site Name		2858 Dumaurier Avenue			
Site Details					
Drainage Area		Infiltration Parameters			
Total Area (ha)	0.17	Horton's equation is used to estimate infiltration			
Imperviousness %	99.90	Max. Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)	61.98		
Surface Characteristics		Min. Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)	10.16		
Width (m)	82.00	Decay Rate (1/sec)	0.00055		
Slope %	2	Regeneration Rate (1/sec)	0.01		
Impervious Depression Storage (mm)	0.508	Evaporation			
Pervious Depression Storage (mm)	5.08	Daily Evaporation Rate (mm/day)	2.54		
Impervious Manning's n	0.015	Dry Weather Flow			
Pervious Manning's n	0.25	Dry Weather Flow (lps)	0		
Maintenance Frequency		Winter Months			
Maintenance Frequency (months) >	12	Winter Infiltration	0		
TSS Loading Parameters					
TSS Loading Function					
Buildup/Wash-off Parameters		TSS Availability Parameters			
Target Event Mean Conc. (EMC) mg/L		Availability Constant A			
Exponential Buildup Power		Availability Factor B			
Exponential Washoff Exponent		Availability Exponent C			
		Min. Particle Size Affected by Availability (micron)			

×

Stormceptor[®]

Cumulative Runoff Volume by Runoff Rate					
Runoff Rate (L/s)	Runoff Volume (m ³)	Volume Over (m ³)	Cumulative Runoff Volume (%)		
1	20212	12471	61.8		
4	29452	3235	90.1		
9	31781	907	97.2		
16	32497	191	99.4		
25	32664	24	99.9		
36	32688	0	100.0		
49	32688	0	100.0		

×

Cumulative Runoff Volume by Runoff Rate

For area: 0.17(ha), imperviousness: 99.90%, rainfall station: OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A


Stormceptor[®]

Rainfall Event Analysis											
Rainfall Depth (mm)	No. of Events	Percentage of Total Events (%)	Total Volume (mm)	Percentage of Annual Volume (%)							
6.35	3113	76.1	5230	24.9							
12.70	501	12.2	4497	21.4							
19.05	225	5.5	3469	16.5							
25.40	105	2.6	2317	11.0							
31.75	62	1.5	1765	8.4							
38.10	35	0.9	1206	5.8							
44.45	28	0.7	1163	5.5							
50.80	12	0.3	557	2.7							
57.15	7	0.2	378	1.8							
63.50	1	0.0	63	0.3							
69.85	1	0.0	64	0.3							
76.20	1	0.0	76	0.4							
82.55	0	0.0	0	0.0							
88.90	1	0.0	84	0.4							
95.25	0	0.0	0	0.0							
101.60	0	0.0	0	0.0							
107.95	0	0.0	0	0.0							
114.30	1	0.0	109	0.5							
120.65	0	0.0	0	0.0							
127.00	0	0.0	0	0.0							

×

Stormceptor[®]



http://www.imbriumsystems.com/technical-specifications

×



- DROP PIPE IS 1135 L/min/m² (27.9 gpm/ft²) FOR STORMCEPTOR EF4 AND 535 L/min/m² (13.1 gpm/ft²) FOR STORMCEPTOR EF04 (OIL CAPTURE CONFIGURATION). WEIR HEIGHT IS 150 mm (6 INCH) FOR EF04.
- ALL DIMENSIONS INDICATED ARE IN MILLIMETERS (INCHES) UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- STORMCEPTOR STRUCTURE INLET AND OUTLET PIPE SIZE AND ORIENTATION SHOWN FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. BYPASS INFRASTRUCTURE. SUCH AS ALL
- UPSTREAM DIVERSION STRUCTURES, CONNECTING STRUCTURES, OR PIPE CONDUITS CONNECTING TO COMPLETE THE STORMCEPTOR SYSTEM SHALL BE E. DEVICE ACTIVATION, BY CONTRACTOR, SHALL OCCUR ONLY AFTER SITE HAS PROVIDED AND ADDRESSED SEPARATELY.
- DRAWING FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. REFER TO ENGINEER'S SITE/UTILITY PLAN FOR STRUCTURE ORIENTATION.
- NO PRODUCT SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE ACCEPTED UNLESS SUBMITTED 10 DAYS PRIOR TO PROJECT BID DATE. OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.

- SITE-SPECIFIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY ENGINEER OF RECORD.
- B. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE EQUIPMENT WITH SUFFICIENT LIFTING AND REACH
- CAPACITY TO LIFT AND SET THE STRUCTURE (LIFTING CLUTCHES PROVIDED) C. CONTRACTOR WILL INSTALL AND LEVEL THE STRUCTURE, SEALING THE JOINTS,
- LINE ENTRY AND EXIT POINTS (NON-SHRINK GROUT WITH APPROVED WATERSTOP OR FLEXIBLE BOOT)
- D. CONTRACTOR TO TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO PROTECT THE DEVICE FROM CONSTRUCTION-RELATED EROSION RUNOFF
- BEEN STABILIZED AND THE STORMCEPTOR UNIT IS CLEAN AND FREE OF DEBRIS
- STANDARD DETAIL NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

								1
SITE S	PECIFI	C DATA	A REQI	JIREME	INTS			
STORMCEPT	OR MOD	EL	El	F4				
STRUCTURE	ID				*			ļ
WATER QUA	LITY FLO	W RATE (L/s)		*		1 0 0 E	
PEAK FLOW] [l					
RETURN PER								
DRAINAGE A	REA (HA)				*			
DRAINAGE A	REA IMP	RVIOUS	NESS (%)	*	DATE: 5/26/2017		
PIPE DATA:	I.E.	MAT'L	DIA	SLOPE 9	% HGL	DESIGNED:	DRAWN:	-
INLET #1	*	*	*	*	*	JSK CHECKED:	JSK APPROVED:	_
INLET #2	*	*	*	*	*	BSF	SP	
OUTLET	*	*	*	*	*	PROJECT No.:	SEQUENCE N	ła
* PER ENGIN	EER OF F	ECORD				SHEET:	 o⊧ 1	-

SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT – 2829 DUMAURIER AVENUE

Appendix D Stormwater Servicing and Management

D.5 STORM DESIGN SHEET



Chambre a	2829	Dumaurie	er Avenu	e		S	TORM	SEW	R	1	DESIGN	N PARAM	ETERS																								
Stantec						D	ESIGN	N SHEE	Т		I = a / (t	+b)°		(As per C	ity of Ottav	va Guidelii	nes, 2012)																				
	DATE:		2023-0	3-15		((City of	Ottawa	ı)			1:2 yr	1:5 yr	1:10 yr	1:100 yr]																					
	REVISION:									á	a =	732.951	998.071	1174.184	1735.688	MANNING	3'S n =	0.013		BEDDIN	G CLASS =	в															
	DESIGNED	BY:	M	-	FILE NU	MBER:	1604015	596		8	b =	6.199	6.053	6.014	6.014	MINIMUN	I COVER:	2.00	m																		
	CHECKED E	3Y:	Kł	() ()						0	с =	0.810	0.814	0.816	0.820	TIME OF	ENTRY	10	min																		
LOCA	ATION														DRAINAGE	AREA																PIPE	BELECTION				
AREA ID	FROM	TO	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	С	С	С	С	AxC	ACCUM	AxC	ACCUM.	AxC	ACCUM.	AxC	ACCUM.	T of C	I _{2-YEAR}	I _{S-YEAR}	I _{10-YEAR}	I _{100-YEAR}	Q _{CONTROL} A	CCUM.	Q _{ACT}	LENGTH :	PIPE WIDTH	PIPE	PIPE	MATERIAL	CLASS SI	OPE Q	္ % FULL	VEL.	VEL. TIME OF
NUMBER	M.H.	M.H.	(2-YEAR)	5-YEAR)	(10-YEAR)	(100-YEAR	(ROOF)	(2-YEAR)	(5-YEAR)	(10-YEAR)[1	100-YEAR	(2-YEAR)	AxC (2YR)	(5-YEAR)	AxC (5YR)	(10-YEAR)	AxC (10YR)	(100-YEAR)	AxC (100YR)					C	CONTROL (C	CIA/360)	O	R DIAMETE	HEIGHT	SHAPE			(FUL	L)	(FULL)	(ACT) FLOW
			(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(min)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(mm)	(-)	(-)	(-)	% (L/s	;) (-)	(m/s)	(m/s) (min)
Controlled site area	Stub	STM1	0.000	0.36	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.277	0.277	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	0.0	0.0	80.2	2.7	300	300	CIRCULAR	PVC	SDR 28 1	.00 96.	2 83.4%	1.37	1.36 0.03
	STM1	ExMH	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.277	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.03	76.68	104.02	121.94	178.26	0.0	0.0	80.1	14.0	300	300	CIRCULAR	PVC	SDR 28	.70 80.	4 99.6%	1.14	1.20 0.19

Appendix E External Reports

Appendix E EXTERNAL REPORTS

E.1 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION (PATERSON, 2019)



patersongroup

Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

Archaeological Services

Geotechnical Investigation

Proposed Hi-Rise Building 2929 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for

3223701 Canada Inc.

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa, Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca December 18, 2019

Report PG4928-1

Table of Contents

Page

1 0	Introduction
1.0	
2.0	Proposed Development1
3.0	Method of Investigation3.1Field Investigation3.2Field Survey3.3Laboratory Testing3.4Analytical Testing3
4.0	Observations4.1Surface Conditions44.2Subsurface Profile44.3Groundwater5
5.0	Discussion5.1Geotechnical Assessment.65.2Site Grading and Preparation65.3Foundation Design85.4Design for Earthquakes.95.5Basement Slab105.6Basement Wall105.7Rock Anchor Design125.8Pavement Structure.15
6.0	Design and Construction Precautions6.1Foundation Drainage and Backfill176.2Protection of Footings Against Frost Action186.3Excavation Side Slopes186.4Pipe Bedding and Backfill216.5Groundwater Control216.6Winter Construction226.7Corrosion Potential and Sulphate23
7.0	Recommendations 24
8.0	Statement of Limitations

Appendices

- Appendix 1Soil Profile and Test Data SheetsSymbols and TermsAnalytical Testing Results
- Appendix 2Figure 1 Key PlanDrawing PG4928-1 Test Hole Location Plan

1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by 3223701 Canada Inc. to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed hi-rise building to be located at 2829 DuMaurier Avenue in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 2 of this report).

The objectives of the current investigation were to:

- Determine the subsurface and groundwater conditions by means of boreholes and existing soils information.
- Provide geotechnical recommendations pertaining to design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect the design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. The report contains Paterson's findings and includes geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as understood at the time of writing this report.

2.0 Proposed Development

The development is understood to consist of a 30 storey multi-use residential complex with up to five levels of underground parking with a ground floor mezzanine and commercial areas. It is further understood that the proposed building will encompass the majority of the subject site. Associated at-grade access lanes, car parking and landscaped areas are also anticipated. The proposed building is anticipated to be municipally serviced.

The subject property is presently occupied by a slab on grade commercial building. It is expected that the existing building within the north portion of the site will be demolished as part of the proposed project.

3.0 Method of Investigation

3.1 Field Investigation

Field Program

The field program for the current investigation was completed between November 10 and 11, 2019. At that time, 3 boreholes were advanced to a maximum depth of 18.2 m below existing grade. The borehole locations were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the proposed development taking into consideration existing site features. The borehole locations are shown on Drawing PG4928-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in appendix 2.

Sampling and In-Situ Testing

Soil samples were recovered with a 50 mm diameter split-spoon sample or from the auger flights. The split-spoon and auger samples were classified on site and placed in sealed plastic bags. All samples were transported to Paterson's laboratory. The depths at which the split-spoon and auger samples were recovered from the boreholes are presented as SS and AU, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

Rock samples were recovered from all boreholes using a core barrel and diamond drilling techniques. The bedrock samples were classified on site, placed in hard cardboard core boxes and transported to Paterson's laboratory. The depths at which rock core samples were recovered from the boreholes are presented as RC on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

The recovery value and a Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value were calculated for each drilled section of bedrock and are presented on the borehole logs. The recovery value is the length of the bedrock sample recovered over the length of the drilled section. The RQD value is the total length of intact rock pieces longer than 100 mm over the length of the core run. The values indicate the bedrock quality.

The subsurface conditions observed in the boreholes were recorded in detail in the field. The soil profiles are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

Groundwater

A 32 mm diameter groundwater monitoring wells were installed in all boreholes to monitor the groundwater level subsequent to the completion of the sampling program. The groundwater observations are discussed in subsection 4.3 and presented in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets in Appendix 1.

3.2 Field Survey

The test hole locations were determined and located in the field by Paterson. The locations of the boreholes and the ground surface elevations for each borehole location are presented on Drawing PG4928-1 -Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

3.3 Laboratory Testing

The soil samples and bedrock cores were recovered from the subject site and visually examined in Paterson's laboratory to review the field logs.

All samples will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one month after issuance of this report. The samples will then be discarded unless otherwise directed.

3.4 Analytical Testing

One soil sample was submitted for analytical testing to assess the corrosion potential for exposed ferrous metals and the potential of sulphate attacks against subsurface concrete structures. The sample was submitted to determine the concentration of sulphate and chloride, the resistivity and the pH of the sample. The results are presented in Appendix 1 and are discussed further in Subsection 6.7.

4.0 Observations

4.1 Surface Conditions

The subject property is presently occupied a slab on grade commercial building with associated above ground parking structure.

The ground surface across the subject site is relatively flat and at grade with the Dumaurier Avenue. A slope was noted on the north side of the property. The residential property situated to the west of the subject site is slightly elevated. The site is bordered by high rise residential building and parking lot to the west, Dumaurier Avenue and Ramsey Crescent to the east and south, and an institutional property to the north.

4.2 Subsurface Profile

Overburden

Generally, the subsurface profile encountered at the boreholes consist of asphaltic concrete overlying a fill layer consisting of crushed stone with sand and trace concrete and brick. A thin layer of brown silty clay was encountered at BH1A underlying the above noted layers. Glacial till was encountered below the above noted layers consisting of a compact to a very dense silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, and boulders.

Bedrock

Bedrock was cored at all borehole locations. Weathered shale bedrock was encountered at depths ranging between 3.9 and 5.3 m below the existing ground surface. Upon review of the core hole samples, the upper first meter of the bedrock was found to be of fair quality.

Based on available geological mapping, the subject site is located in an area where the bedrock consists of shale and dolomite of the Rockcliffe Formation. The overburden drift thickness is anticipated to be between 2 to 5 m in depth.

4.3 Groundwater

Three groundwater monitoring wells were installed as part of our geotechnical investigation. Groundwater level measurements were recorded at the monitoring well locations and our findings are presented in Table 1. It should be noted that no groundwater was encountered in any of the monitoring wells. It should also be noted that the groundwater level is subject to seasonal fluctuations. Therefore, groundwater could vary at the time of construction.

Table 1 - Groundwater Measurements at Monitoring Well Locations											
Test Hole Location	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	GW Level Reading (m)	GW Level Elevation (m)	Date							
BH 1	74.80	7.12	67.68	November 20, 2019							
BH 2	73.38	5.75	67.63	November 20, 2019							
BH 3	73.56	5.94	67.62	November 20, 2019							

5.0 Discussion

5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is considered satisfactory for the proposed development. The proposed hi-rise building is anticipated to be founded on spread footings placed directly or indirectly by the use of a lean concrete in-filled trench on a clean, surface sounded bedrock bearing surface.

Bedrock removal may be required to complete the underground level. Hoe ramming is an option where only small quantities of bedrock need to be removed. Line drilling and controlled blasting where large quantities of bedrock need to be removed is recommended. The blasting operations should be planned and completed under the guidance of a professional engineer with experience in blasting operations.

The above and other considerations are further discussed in the following sections.

5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

Stripping Depth

Since the building will occupy the entire boundaries of the subject site, all overburben will be removed to bedrock.

Bedrock Removal

Bedrock removal can be accomplished by hoe ramming where only small quantity of the bedrock needs to be removed. Sound bedrock may be removed by line drilling and controlled blasting and/or hoe ramming. Prior to considering blasting operations, the blasting effects on the existing services, buildings and other structures should be addressed. A pre-blast or pre-construction survey of the existing structures located in proximity of the blasting operations should be completed prior to commencing site activities. The extent of the survey should be determined by the blasting consultant and should be sufficient to respond to any inquiries/claims related to the blasting operations.

As a general guideline, peak particle velocities (measured at the structures) should not exceed 25 mm/s during the blasting program to reduce the risks of damage to the existing structures.

The blasting operations should be planned and conducted under the supervision of

a licensed professional engineer who is also an experienced blasting consultant.

Excavation side slopes in sound bedrock can be carried out using almost vertical side walls. A minimum 1 m horizontal ledge, should be left between the bottom of the overburden excavation and the top of the bedrock surface to provide an area to allow for potential sloughing or to provide a stable base for the overburden shoring system.

Vibration Considerations

Construction operations are the cause of vibrations, and possibly, sources of nuisance to the community. Therefore, means to reduce the vibration levels as much as possible should be incorporated in the construction operations to maintain, as much as possible, a cooperative environment with the residents.

The following construction equipments could be the source of vibrations: hoe ram, compactor, dozer, crane, truck traffic, etc. Vibrations, whether caused by blasting operations or by construction operations, could be the source of detrimental vibrations on the nearby buildings and structures. Therefore, all vibrations are recommended to be limited.

Two parameters are used to determine the permissible vibrations, namely, the maximum peak particle velocity and the frequency. For low frequency vibrations, the maximum allowable peak particle velocity is less than that for high frequency vibrations. As a guideline, the peak particle velocity should be less than 15 mm/s between frequencies of 4 to 12 Hz, and 50 mm/s above a frequency of 40 Hz (interpolate between 12 and 40 Hz). The guidelines are for current construction standards. Considering that these guidelines are above perceptible human level and, in some cases, could be very disturbing to some people, a pre-construction survey is recommended be completed to minimize the risks of claims during or following the construction of the proposed building.

Protection of Potential Expansive Bedrock

It is possible that expansive shale will be encountered at the subject site. Although the effects of expansive shale will not affect the proposed building structure, it is possible that it will affect the proposed basement floor slabs founded close to the shale bedrock and the basement floor slab of the adjacent hotel.

A potential for heaving and rapid deterioration of the shale bedrock exists at this site. To reduce the long term deterioration of the shale, exposure of the bedrock surface to oxygen should be kept as low as possible. The bedrock surface within the proposed building footprint should be protected from excessive dewatering and exposure to ambient air.

To accomplish this a 50 mm thick concrete mud slab should be placed on the exposed bedrock surface within a 48 hour period of being exposed. A 17 MPa sulphate resistant lean concrete may be used. As an alternative to the mud slab, keeping the shale surface covered with granular backfill is also acceptable.

Selected excavated vertical sides of the exposed bedrock can be protected using a sprayed elastomeric coating or shotcrete to seal the bedrock from exposure to air and dewatering.

5.3 Foundation Design

Bearing Resistance Values

Auxiliary footings placed on an undisturbed, **dense glacial till bearing surface** can be designed using a bearing resistance value at serviceability limit states (SLS) of **250 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of **500 kPa**. A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the above noted bearing resistance value at ULS. Footings designed using the above-noted bearing resistance value at SLS will be subjected to potential post-construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively.

Footings placed on the **upper levels of the fractured shale and dolomite bedrock** a clean, surface sounded shale bedrock bearing surface can be designed using a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of **1,500 kPa**, incorporating a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5. Where the design underside of footing is slightly above the bedrock surface, footings can be placed over concrete infilled (17 MPa). zero entry, near vertical trenches extended to a surface sounded bedrock bearing surface using the same bearing resistance values. The concrete infilled trenches should extend a minimum 300 mm beyond the footing faces in all directions.

A clean, surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface should be free of loose materials, and have no near surface seams, voids, fissures or open joints which can be detected from surface sounding with a rock hammer.

A factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **4,000 kPa**, incorporating a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 if founded on **sound dolomite and shale bedrock** and the bedrock is free of seams, fractures and voids within 1.5 m below the founding level. This could be verified by completing and probing 50 mm diameter drill holes to a depth

of 1.5 m below the founding level within the footing footprint(s). One drill hole should be completed per footing. The drill hole inspection should be completed by the geotechnical consultant.

Settlement

Footings bearing on an acceptable bedrock bearing surface and designed using the bearing resistance values provided herein will be subjected to negligible potential post-construction total and differential settlements.

Soil/Bedrock Transition

It's expected that all footings will be founded on bedrock. However, between the footings for the main building and any auxiliary footings (canopy, vent shafts, etc.) where the building is founded on bedrock the auxiliary footings on the glacial till deposit, it is recommended to decrease the soil bearing capacity by 25% for the footing placed on soil bearing media to reduce the potential long term total and differential settlements. Also, at the soi/bedrock and bedrock/soil transitions, it is recommended that a 2 m transition zone composed of 0.5 m layer of nominally compacted OPSS Granular A or Granular B type II be placed directly on sound bedrock. Steel reinforcement, extending at least 3 m on both sides of the 2 m long transition should be placed in the top part of the footing and foundation walls.

Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to a sound bedrock bearing medium when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1H:6V (or flatter) passes only through sound bedrock or a material of the same or higher capacity as the bedrock, such as concrete. A weathered bedrock bearing medium will require a lateral support zone of 1H:1V (or flatter).

5.4 Design for Earthquakes

The site class for seismic site response can be taken as **Class C** for the foundations considered at this site. However, A higher site class (**Class A**) can be achieved. The higher site class will require a site specific shear wave velocity test to be completed in confirmation of the seismic site classification. The soils underlying the subject site are not susceptible to liquefaction. Refer to the latest revision of the Ontario Building Code for a full discussion of the earthquake design requirements.

5.5 Basement Slab

All overburden soil will be removed from the subject site leaving the bedrock as the founding medium for the lower basement floor slab. It is expected that the basement area will be mostly parking and a rigid pavement structure designed by a structural engineer will be applicable. However, if storage or other uses of the lower level where a concrete floor slab will be used it is recommended that the upper 200 mm of sub-slab fill consists of 19 mm clear crushed stone. All backfill material within the footprint of the proposed building should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose layers and compacted to at least 98% of its SPMDD.

5.6 Basement Wall

It is understood that the basement walls are to be poured against a dampproofing system, which will be placed against the exposed bedrock face. Below the bedrock surface, a nominal coefficient for at-rest earth pressure of 0.01 is recommended in conjunction with a bulk unit weight of 24.5 kN/m³ (effective 15.5 kN/m³). A seismic earth pressure component will not be applicable for the foundation wall, which is to be poured against the bedrock face. It is expected that the seismic earth pressure will be transferred to the underground floor slabs, which should be designed to accommodate these pressures. A hydrostatic groundwater pressure should be added for the portion below the groundwater level.

Where soil is to be retained, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. Undrained conditions are anticipated (i.e. below the groundwater level). Therefore, the applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m^3 , where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight.

Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, must be reviewed for design calculations. The parameters for design calculations for the two conditions are presented below.

Static Conditions

The static horizontal earth pressure (p_o) can be calculated using a triangular earth pressure distribution equal to $K_o \cdot \gamma \cdot H$ where:

- K_{o} = at-rest earth pressure coefficient of the applicable retained soil, 0.5
- γ = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m³)
- H = height of the wall (m)

An additional pressure having a magnitude equal to $K_o \cdot q$ and acting on the entire height of the wall should be added to the above diagram for any surcharge loading, q (kPa), that may be placed at ground surface adjacent to the wall. The surcharge pressure will only be applicable for static analyses and should not be used in conjunction with the seismic loading case.

Actual earth pressures could be higher than the "at-rest" case if care is not exercised during the compaction of the backfill materials to maintain a minimum separation of 0.3 m from the walls with the compaction equipment.

Seismic Conditions

The total seismic force (P_{AE}) includes both the earth force component (P_o) and the seismic component (ΔP_{AE}).

The seismic earth force (ΔP_{AE}) can be calculated using 0.375 $\cdot a_c \cdot \gamma \cdot H^2/g$ where:

- $a_c = (1.45 a_{max}/g)a_{max}$ $\gamma = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m³)$ H = height of the wall (m)
 - $g = gravity, 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$

The peak ground acceleration, (a_{max}) , for the Ottawa area is 0.32g according to OBC 2012. Note that the vertical seismic coefficient is assumed to be zero.

The earth force component (P_o) under seismic conditions can be calculated using P_o = 0.5 K_o γ H², where K_o = 0.5 for the soil conditions noted above.

The total earth force (P_{AE}) is considered to act at a height, h (m), from the base of the wall, where:

 $h = \{P_{o} \cdot (H/3) + \Delta P_{AE} \cdot (0.6 \cdot H)\} / P_{AE}$

The earth forces calculated are unfactored. For the ULS case, the earth loads should be factored as live loads, as per OBC 2012.

5.7 Rock Anchor Design

The geotechnical design of grouted rock anchors in sedimentary bedrock is based upon two possible failure modes. The anchor can fail either by shear failure along the grout/rock interface or by pullout of a 60 to 90 degree cone of rock with the apex of the cone near the middle of the bonded length of the anchor. It should be noted that interaction may develop between the failure cones of anchors that are relatively close to one another resulting in a total group capacity smaller than the sum of the load capacity of each anchor taken individually.

A third failure mode of shear failure along the grout/steel interface should also be reviewed by a qualified structural engineer to ensure all typical failure modes have been reviewed. Typical rock anchor suppliers, such as Dywidag Systems International (DSI Canada), have qualified personnel on staff to recommend appropriate rock anchor size and materials.

It should be further noted that center to center spacing between bond lengths be at least four times the anchor hole diameter and greater than 1.2 m to lower the group influence effects. It is also recommended that anchors in close proximity to each other be grouted at the same time to ensure any fractures or voids are completely in-filled and that fluid grout does not flow from one hole to an adjacent empty one.

Anchors can be of the "passive" or the "post-tensioned" type, depending on whether the anchor tendon is provided with post-tensioned load or not prior to being put into service. To resist seismic uplift pressures, a passive rock anchor system can be used. It should be noted that a post-tensioned anchor will take the uplift load with much less deflection than a passive anchor.

Regardless of whether an anchor is of the passive or the post tensioned type, it is recommended that the anchor be provided with a bonded length, or fixed anchor length, at the base of the anchor, which will provide the anchor capacity, as well an unbonded length, or free anchor length, between the rock surface and the start of the bonded length. As the depth at which the apex of the shear failure cone develops is midway along the bonded length, a fully bonded anchor would tend to have a much shallower cone, and therefore less geotechnical resistance, than one where the bonded length is limited to the bottom part of the overall anchor.

Permanent anchors should be provided with corrosion protection. As a minimum, this requires that the entire drill hole be filled with cementitious grout. The free anchor length is provided by installing a plastic sleeve to act as a bond break.

Grout to Rock Bond

A factored tensile grout to rock bond resistance value at ULS of **1.0 MPa**, incorporating a resistance factor of 0.3, can be used. A minimum grout strength of 30 MPa is recommended.

Rock Cone Uplift

As discussed previously, the geotechnical capacity of the rock anchors depends on the dimensions of the rock anchors and the configuration of the anchorage system. Based on existing bedrock information, a **Rock Mass Rating (RMR) of 64** was assigned to the bedrock, and Hoek and Brown parameters (**m and s**) were taken as **0.575 and 0.00293**, respectively.

Recommended Rock Anchor Lengths

Parameters used to calculate rock anchor lengths are provided in Table 2.

Table 2 - Parameters used in Rock Anchor Review									
Grout to Rock Bond Strength - Factored at ULS	1.0 MPa								
Compressive Strength - Grout	30 MPa								
Rock Mass Rating (RMR) - Good quality interbedded Shale and Doloston bedrock Hoek and Brown parameters	64 m=0.574 and s=0.00293								
Unconfined compressive strength - black shale bedrock	40 MPa								
Unit weight - Submerged Bedrock	15.2 kN/m ³								
Apex angle of failure cone	60°								
Apex of failure cone	mid-point of fixed anchor length								

From a geotechnical perspective, the fixed anchor length will depend on the diameter of the drill holes. Recommended anchor lengths for a 75 and 125 mm diameter hole are provided in Table 3 below.

Table 3 - Recommended Rock Anchor Lengths - Grouted Rock Anchor										
Diameter of	A	Factored Tensile								
Corehole (mm)	Bonded Length	Unbonded Length	Total Length	Resistance (kN)						
	2.1	0.7	2.8	500						
75	3.4	0.6	4.0	800						
	4.5	0.5	5.0	1,000						
	1.3	1.1	2.4	500						
125	2.1	1.2	3.3	800						
	2.7	1.2	3.9	1,000						

It is recommended that the anchor drill hole diameter be within 1.5 to 2 times the rock anchor tendon diameter and the anchor drill holes be inspected by geotechnical personnel and should be flushed clean prior to grouting. The use of a grout tube to place grout from the bottom up in the anchor holes is further recommended.

The geotechnical capacity of each rock anchor should be proof tested at the time of construction. More information on testing can be provided upon request. Compressive strength testing is recommended to be completed for the rock anchor grout. A set of grout cubes should be tested for each day grout is prepared.

Bedrock Excavation Face Stabilisation

Due to the poor quality of bedrock near surface and potential founding of the proposed development, bedrock stabilization may be required when the proposed foundation extends into the shale bedrock.

Horizontal rock anchors may be required at specific locations to prevent pop-outs of the bedrock, especially in areas where bedrock fractures are conducive to the failure of the bedrock surface.

The requirement for horizontal rock anchors and/or shotcrete for face protection excavation should be evaluated during the excavation operations and should be discussed with the structural engineer during the design stage.

5.8 Pavement Structure

For design purposes, the flexible pavement structure presented in the following table could be used for the design of car only parking areas in the lower level of the parking garage.

Table 4 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Parking Areas									
Thickness (mm)	Material Description								
50	Wear Course - HL 3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete								
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone								
300	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II								
SUBGRADE - Either fill, in situ silty clay or sand or crushed stone material placed over in situ soil.									

Table 5 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Local Roadways, Access Lanes and Heavy Vehicle Parking										
Thickness (mm)	Material Description									
40	Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete									
50	Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete									
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone									
400	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II									
SUBGRADE - situ soil.	Either fill, in situ silty clay or sand or crushed stone material placed over in									

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for parkibg areas and local roadways and PG 64-34 asphalt cement should be used for roadways with bus traffic. The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 100% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment.

The proposed pavement structure, where it abuts the existing pavement, should match the existing pavement layers. It is recommended that a 300 mm wide and 50 mm deep stepped joint be provided where the new asphalt layer joins with the existing asphalt layer to provide more resistance to cracking at the joint.

6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

Foundation Drainage

It is understood that the building foundation walls will be placed in close proximity to all the boundaries. It is expected that insufficient room will be available for exterior backfill along these walls and, therefore, the foundation wall will be poured against a drainage system placed against the shoring face.

It is recommended that the composite drainage system (such as Miradrain G100N, Delta Drain 6000 or equivalent) extend down to the footing level. It is recommended that 150 mm diameter sleeves at 3 m centres be cast in the foundation wall at the footing interface to allow the infiltration of water to flow to an interior perimeter drainage pipe. The perimeter drainage pipe should direct water to sump pit(s) within the lower basement area.

Underfloor Drainage

It is anticipated that underfloor drainage will be required to control water infiltration. The spacing of the underfloor drainage system should be confirmed at the time of excavation when water infiltration can be better assessed. For design purposes, we suggest a 150 mm in diameter perforated pipe with a geotextile sock be placed in each bay.

Foundation Backfill

Above the bedrock surface, backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of free-draining non frost susceptible granular materials. The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a drainage geocomposite, such as Miradrain G100N or Delta Drain 6000, connected to the perimeter foundation drainage system. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should otherwise be used for this purpose.

6.2 Protection of Footings Against Frost Action

The parking garage is expected to not require protection against frost action due to the founding depth. Unheated structures such as the access ramp may required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action.

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effects of frost action. A minimum of 1.5 m of soil cover alone, or a minimum of 0.6 m of soil cover, in conjunction with adequate foundation insulation, should be provided. More details regarding foundation insulation can be provided, if requested.

Exterior unheated footings, such as those for isolated exterior piers, are more prone to deleterious movement associated with frost action than the exterior walls of the heated structure and require additional protection, such as soil cover of 2.1 m or an equivalent combination of soil cover and foundation insulation.

6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

Unsupported Side Slopes

The side slopes of excavations in the soil and fill overburden materials should either be excavated at acceptable slopes or should be retained by shoring systems from the beginning of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. Insufficient room is expected for majority of the excavation to be constructed by open-cut methods (i.e. unsupported excavations).

The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be excavated at 1H:1V or shallower. The shallower slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsurface soils are considered to be a Type 2 and 3 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be kept away from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress. A trench box is recommended to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. Services are expected to be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations should not remain open for extended periods of time.

Temporary Shoring

Temporary shoring will be required to support the overburden soils. The design and implementation of these temporary systems will be the responsibility of the excavation contractor or the shoring contractor and their design team. Inspections and approval of the temporary system will also be the responsibility of the designer. Geotechnical information provided below is to assist the designer in completing a suitable and safe shoring system. The designer should take into account the potential for a fully saturated condition following a significant precipitation event. Any changes to the approved shoring design system should be reported immediately to the owner's representative prior to implementation.

Temporary shoring may be required to complete the required excavations where insufficient room is available for open cut methods. The shoring requirements will depend on the depth of the excavation, the proximity of the adjacent buildings and underground structures and the elevation of the adjacent building foundations and underground services. Additional information can be provided when the above details are known.

For design purposes, the temporary system may consist of soldier pile and lagging system or interlocking steel sheet piling. Any additional loading due to street traffic, construction equipment, adjacent structures and facilities, etc., should be added to the earth pressures described below. These systems can be cantilevered, anchored or braced. The earth pressures acting on the shoring system may be calculated using the following parameters.

Table 6 - Soil Parameters for Shoring System Design									
Parameters	Values								
Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _a)	0.33								
Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient (K_p)	3								
At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient (K_o)	0.5								
Unit Weight (γ), kN/m³	20								
Submerged Unit Weight (γ), kN/m ³	13								

Generally, it is expected that the shoring systems will be provided with tie-back rock anchors to ensure their stability. It is further recommended that the toe of the shoring be adequately supported to resist toe failure.

The geotechnical design of grouted rock anchors in sedimentary bedrock is based upon two possible failure modes. The anchor can fail either by shear failure along the grout/rock interface or by pullout of a 60 to 90 degree cone of rock with the apex of the cone near the middle of the bonded length of the anchor.

The anchor derives its capacity from the bonded portion, or fixed anchor length, at the base of the anchor. An unbonded portion, or free anchor length, is also usually provided between the rock surface and the start of the bonded length. A factored tensile grout to rock bond resistance value at ULS of **1.0 MPa**, incorporating a resistance factor of 0.3, can be used. A minimum grout strength of 40 MPa is recommended.

It is recommended that the anchor drill hole diameter be within 1.5 to 2 times the rock anchor tendon diameter and the anchor drill holes be inspected by geotechnical personnel and should be flushed clean prior to grouting. The use of a grout tube to place grout from the bottom up in the anchor holes is further recommended.

The geotechnical capacity of each rock anchor should be proof tested at the time of construction. More information on testing can be provided upon request. Compressive strength testing is recommended to be completed for the rock anchor grout. A set of grout cubes should be tested for each day grout is prepared.

Soldier Pile and Lagging System

The active earth pressure acting on a soldier pile and lagging shoring system can be calculated using a rectangular earth pressure distribution with a maximum pressure of 0.65 K γ H for strutted or anchored shoring or a triangular earth pressure distribution with a maximum value of K γ H for a cantilever shoring system. H is the height of the excavation.

The active earth pressure should be used where wall movements are permissible while the at-rest pressure should be used if no movement is permissible.

The total unit weight should be used above the groundwater level while the submerged unit weight should be used below the groundwater level.

The hydrostatic groundwater pressure should be added to the earth pressure distribution wherever the submerged unit weights are used for earth pressure calculations should the level on the groundwater not be lowered below the bottom of the excavation. If the groundwater level is lowered, the total unit weight for the soil should be used full weight, with no hydrostatic groundwater pressure component.

Concrete Underpinning

Based on proximity of existing adjacent buildings support in the form of concrete underpinning maybe required during excavation for the proposed building. It is expected that the founding elevations of the existing foundations will be in close proximity to the bedrock surface (less than 1.5 m) and conventional concrete underpinning may be used to support the full width and length of the foundation.

It is expected that the structural engineer along with the geotechnical engineer will review the site conditions at the time of construction and finalize the underpinning program based on their observations at that time.

6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

Bedding and backfill materials should be in accordance with the most recent Material Specifications & Standard Detail Drawings from the Department of Public Works and Services, Infrastructure Services Branch of the City of Ottawa.

A minimum of 150 mm of OPSS Granular A should be placed for bedding for sewer or water pipes when placed on soil subgrade. If the bedding is placed on bedrock, the thickness of the bedding should be increased to 300 mm for sewer pipes. The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to a minimum of 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe should consist of OPSS Granular A (concrete or PSM PVC pipes) or sand (concrete pipe). The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick lifts and compacted to 95% of the SPMDD.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to reduce the potential differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

6.5 Groundwater Control

It is anticipated that groundwater infiltration into the excavations should be controllable using open sumps. The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium. A temporary Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Category 3 Permit to Take Water (PTTW) may be required if more than 400,000 L/day are to be pumped during the construction phase. At least 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MECP.

For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, typically between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16. If a project qualifies for a PTTW based upon anticipated conditions, an EASR will not be allowed as a temporary dewatering measure while awaiting the MECP review of the PTTW application.

6.6 Winter Construction

Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project. The subsoil conditions at this site mostly consist of frost susceptible materials. In presence of water and freezing conditions ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur.

In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.

The trench excavations should be carried out in a manner to avoid the introduction of frozen materials, snow or ice into the trenches. Precaution must be taken where excavations are carried in proximity of existing structures which may be adversely affected due to the freezing conditions. In particular, it should be recognized that where a shoring system is used, the soil behind the shoring system will be subjected to freezing conditions and could result in heaving of the structure(s) placed within or above frozen soil. Provisions should be made in the contract document to protect the walls of the excavations from freezing, if applicable.

6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The analytical testing results indicate that the sulphate content is less tan 0.1%. This results indicates that Type 10 Portland Cement (i.e. normal cement) would be appropriate for this site. The chloride content and pH of the samples indicate that they are not significant factors in creating a corrosive environment, whereas the resistivity is indicative of an aggressive corrosive environment.

7.0 Recommendations

A materials testing and observation services program is a requirement for the provided foundation design data to be applicable. The following aspects of the program should be performed by the geotechnical consultant:

- Review of the geotechnical aspects of the excavating contractor's shoring design, prior to construction.
- **Q** Review the bedrock stabilization and excavation requirements.
- **D** Review proposed foundation drainage design and requirements.
- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- □ Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials used.
- □ Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- **□** Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued, upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory materials testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.

8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations provided in this report are in accordance with our present understanding of the project. We request permission to review our recommendations when the drawings and specifications are completed.

A soils investigation is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, we request immediate notification to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The recommendations provided herein should only be used by the design professionals associated with this project. They are not intended for contractors bidding on or undertaking the work. The latter should evaluate the factual information provided in this report and determine its suitability and completeness for their intended construction schedule and methods. Additional testing may be required for their purposes.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than 3223701 Canada Inc. or their agents is not authorized without review by Paterson for the applicability of our recommendations to the alternative use of the report.

Paterson Group Inc.

Joey R. Villeneuve, M.A.Sc., P.Eng

December 18, 20 D. J. GILBER 100116130 PROVINCE OF

David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

Report Distribution

- □ 3223701 Canada Inc.
- Paterson Group

APPENDIX 1

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

patersongroup Consulting SOIL PROFILE Geotechnical Investigation

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Monitoring Well Construction

<u>ԱՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒՄՈՒ</u>Մ

100

△ Remoulded

▲ Undisturbed

Ţ

- 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	tario K2	- E 7J	5		28 Ot	29 Duma tawa, Or	urier Aventario	enue		
TBM - Top spindle of fire h Geodetic elevation = 74.95 REMARKS	nydrant 52m.	loca	ted a	t the n	orthe	ast corne	r of subj	ect site.	FILE NO.	PG4928
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE 2	2019 Nov	ember 1	1	HOLE NO.	BH 1
			SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	FLEV	Pen. R	esist. Blo	ws/0.3m
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PL	ы	ER	ERY	D UE	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	Cone
	STRA	ЧХТ	NUMB!	ECOV	N VAJ of R			• V	Vater Con	tent %
	×			<u></u> м	~	0-	74.80	20	40 60) 80
FILL: Brown clayey silt, some sand and gravel		AU	1							
0.86							70.00			
FILL: Brown silty sand 1.52		SS	2	42	7	1-	-73.80			
		22	3	62	5					
FILL: Brown sand, trace gravel		00	0	02		2-	-72.80			
		SS	4	8	3					
2.90						3+71.80	-71.80			
Loose, brown FINE SAND with silty		SS	5	75	5				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
clay 3.81	Δ									
		SS	6	92	35	4-	-70.80			
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty										
fragments		SS	7	78	50+	50+				
5.28						5-	-69.80			
		RC	1	100	67	6-	-68.80			
						0	00.00			
REDROCK: Fair to availant quality		RC	2	93	88	7-	-67.80			
green shale interbedded with										
dolomite										
						8-	-66.80			
		RC	3	100	84					
						9-	-65.80			
		RC	4	97	92	10	-64.80			
						10-		20 Shor	40 60) 80 1 b (kBc)
	1			1	1	1		J	a stieligt	וו (הדמ)
Date Soil PROFILE AND TEST DATA 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Geotechnical Investigation 2829 Dumaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario DATUM TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located at the northeast corner of subject site. Geodetic elevation = 74.952m. File NO.

DATE 2019 November 11

DEPTH

(m)

N VALUE of ROD ELEV.

(m)

10+64.80

HOLE NO.

Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m

• 50 mm Dia. Cone

40

Ο

20

Water Content %

60

BH 1

80

Monitoring Well Construction

111111

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				0		
	гот	SAMPLE				
	STRATA P	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	°⊗ ECOVERY		
GROUND SURFACE				2		
BEDROCK: Fair to excellent quality, green shale interbedded with		RC	5	97		

REMARKS

								Sh Und	40 Iear S listurbe	, 60 trengt d ∆	h (kPa Remou	u · I) Ilded	100	
(GWL @ 7.12m - Nov. 20, 2019)														
End of Borehole		_												
18.19	(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1					18-56.8	0							
		RC	9	95	85									
		_				17-57.8	0							
			•			16-58.8	0							
grey dolosione		RC	8	92	74									
BEDROCK: Good excellent quality,		_				15-59.8	0							
		RC	7	100	93									
						14-60.8	0							իրիրիր
13.51		_				13-61.8	0							իկկկկ
		RC	6	100	98									իկկկկ
dolomite		_				12-62.8	0							լիիկիլ
BEDROCK: Fair to excellent		RC	5	97	92									իկկկկ
						11-63.8	0							իրիկի
		-											E	E

patersongroup

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

▲ Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

Geotechnical Investigation 2829 Dumaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

154	Colonnade	Road	South,	Ottawa,	Ontario	K2E	7J5

TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located at the northeast corner of subject site. FILE NO. DATUM Geodetic elevation = 74.952m. **PG4928** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH 2** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE 2019 November 11 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Monitoring Well Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) VALUE r ROD RECOVERY NUMBER TYPE 0/0 \bigcirc Water Content % N V OF **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+73.38Asphaltic concrete 0.08 AU 1 FILL: Brown sand with gravel, 0.33 \crushed stone FILL: Brown silty sand, trace clay 1 + 72.38SS 2 15 25 1.62 PEAT SS 3 62 4 2.03 2+71.38 Loose, grey FINE SAND with silt SS 4 6 62 and clay 3.05 3+70.38 SS 5 2 75 Grey SILTY CLAY, trace sand SS 6 0 50+ 4+69.38 4.37 RC 1 100 0 5+68.38 RC 2 100 100 6 + 67.38**BEDROCK:** Excellent quality, green RC 3 98 89 shale interbedded with dolostone 7+66.38 8+65.38 RC 4 100 97 9+64.38 RC 5 100 100 10+63.38 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa)

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA patersongroup **Geotechnical Investigation** 2829 Dumaurier Avenue 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Ottawa, Ontario TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located at the northeast corner of subject site. DATUM FILE NO. Geodetic elevation = 74.952m. **PG4928** REMARKS HOLE NO. **BH 2** BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE 2019 November 11 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Monitoring Well Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 Water Content % Ο **GROUND SURFACE** 40 60 80 20 10+63.38 111111

								2	0	40	60	<u> </u>	80	100)
(GWL @ 5.75m - Nov. 20, 2019)															
End of Borehole	10.00					10-	-55.56								<u>. H</u>
	18.08					10-	-55 38								
		RC	10	98	98	17-	-56.38								
quality, grey dolostone		RC	9	100	98	16-	-57.38								
BEDROCK: Good to excellent						15-	-58.38								
		RC	8	95	88	14-	-59.38								
	13 49	RC	7	100	95	13-	-60.38								
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, gree shale interbedded with dolostone	en in					12-	-61.38								
		- RC	6	85	80	11-	-62.38								

▲ Undisturbed

Shear Strength (kPa)

△ Remoulded

patersongroup Consulting SOIL PROFILE Geotechnical Investigation

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Monitoring Well Construction

ներերեր

Ν

իներիներին երի երիներիներին երիներին

100

Shear Strength (kPa)

 \triangle Remoulded

▲ Undisturbed

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	tario k	∎ 2E 7J	5		28 Ot	29 Duma tawa. Or	urier Ave	enue				
DATUM TBM - Top spindle of fire h Geodetic elevation = 74.95	nydrar 52m.	nt loca	ted a	t the n	orthe	ast corne	er of subje	ect site.	FILE NO	PC	} 4928	8
				_			rombor 1	4	HOLE NO	^{э.} ВН	3	
BORINGS BY CIVIE 55 Power Auger				D	AIE	2019 100	ember i					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAMPLE			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Re • 5	esist. Bl 0 mm Dia	ows/0. a. Con	.3m e	
		гурЕ	UMBER	COVER	VALUE r RQD			• v	later Co	ntent %	%	_
GROUND SURFACE	ß	-	N	RE	zö		70 50	20	40	60 (80	
Asphaltic concrete0.08 FILL: Brown sand with gravel0.28 FILL: Brown silty clay, trace sand0.76		AU	1			0-	-73.56					
FILL: Dark brown silt, some sand 0.97		ss	2	50	4	1-	-72.56					
FILL: Grey-brown silty clay, some organics, trace sand		ss	3	0	3	2-	-71.56					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		ss	4	62	1							
GLACIAL TILL: Grey sandy silt, some clay and gravel		ss	5	83	Р	3-	-70.56	Δ		·····		
<u>3.86</u>		≖ SS RC	6 1	100 100	50+ 88	4-	-69.56					
		- RC	2	96	88	5-	-68.56					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
BEDROCK: Good quality, green		_				6-	-67.56					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		RC	3	98	93	7-	-66.56					**************************************
		RC	4	100	100	8-	-65.56					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		=				9-	-64.56					
		RC	5	98	88	10-	-63.56	20	40	60	80	1

Date Soil PROFILE AND TEST DATA 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Geotechnical Investigation 2829 Dumaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario DATUM TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located at the northeast corner of subject site. Geodetic elevation = 74.952m. FILE NO.

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE 2	2019 Nov	ember 1	1	HOLI	E NO.	BH 3	3	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re 5	esist. 0 mm	Blov Dia.	ws/0.3 Cone	m	Well
	STRATA TYPE		NUMBER % COVERY		VALUE SE ROD	(m)	(m)	• Water Content %					onitoring onstructio
GROUND SURFACE	01	_	А	RE	N O	10-	-63 56	20	40	60	80)	Ξŏ
BEDROCK: Good quality, green shale interbedded with dolostone		RC	6	98	81	11-	-62.56						րերերերին երերերին երերուներին։ Դերերերերերին երերերին
12.20		-				12-	-61.56						րերերերեր 1444-0444444444444444444444444444444444
		RC	7	90	57	13-	-60.56						<u>իկկկկկկկ</u>
BEDROCK: Fair to excellent quality.		RC	8	100	92	14-	-59.56						<u>։ ԴՈՒՐՈՒՐՈՒՐՈՒՐՈ</u>
grey dolostone		_				15-	-58.56						
		RC	9	95	95	16-	-57.56						
		RC	10	97	97	17-	-56.56						
<u>18.11</u>		_				18-	-55.56						
(GVVL @ 5.94M - NOV. 20, 2019)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stre urbed	60 ength △ F	80 1 (kPa) Remoul) 1() ded	00

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

Compactness Condition	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85
-		

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity, St, is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

Low Sensitivity:	St < 2
Medium Sensitivity:	$2 < S_t < 4$
Sensitive:	$4 < S_t < 8$
Extra Sensitive:	$8 < S_t < 16$
Quick Clay:	St > 16

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

RQD % ROCK QUALITY

90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler
G	-	"Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WC%	-	Natural water content or water content of sample, %		
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)		
PL	-	Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)		
ΡI	-	Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL)		
Dxx	-	Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size		
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)		
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer		
Сс	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$		
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10		
_				

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels: Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST

p'o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth	
p'c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample	
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)	
Сс	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)	
OC Ratio		Overconsolidaton ratio = p'_{c} / p'_{o}	
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids	
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)	

PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.



Slotted PVC Screen

Silica Sand



Certificate of Analysis Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers Client PO: 29072

Report Date: 14-Nov-2019

Order Date: 12-Nov-2019

Project Description: PG4928

	-				
	Client ID:	BH1-SS3, 5'-7'	-	-	-
	Sample Date:	11-Nov-19 11:00	-	-	-
	Sample ID:	1946129-01	-	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-
Physical Characteristics					
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	80.4	-	-	-
General Inorganics			-		
рН	0.05 pH Units	7.34	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	15.8	-	-	-
Anions					
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	333	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	21	-	-	-

APPENDIX 2

FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN

DRAWING PG4928-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN



FIGURE 1

KEY PLAN

patersongroup



Appendix E External Reports

E.2 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (GHD 2018)



Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DiMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

3223701 Canada Inc.





Table of Contents

1.	Introc	Juction1		
2.	Site D	Description		
	2.1	Building and Property		
	2.2	Site Operations		
	2.3	Environmental Setting		
		2.3.1Geological Setting		
3.	Histo	rical Records Review		
	3.1	1 Previous Reports		
	3.2	Property Title Search		
	3.3	Fire Insurance Plans		
	3.4	City Directories		
	3.5	Aerial Photographs		
	3.6	Ecolog ERIS Environmental Database7		
	3.7	Environmental Databases		
	3.8	Regulatory Agency Correspondence		
4.	Site \	/isit		
	4.1	Utility Services		
	4.2	Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)		
	4.3	Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs)		
	4.4	Chemical Use and Storage		
	4.5	Chemical Spills/Releases		
	4.6	Solid Waste/Recyclables		
	4.7	Hazardous Material		
	4.8	Wastewater		
		4.8.1 Floor/Trench Drains, Pits and Sumps		
	4.9	Stormwater		
	4.10	Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM)12		
	4.11	Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)		
	4.12	Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)		
	4.13	Urea Formaldehyde Foam Insulation (UFFI)13		
	4.14	Lead-Based Paint		
	4.15	Air Emissions		
	4.16	Ionizing Radiation		



	4.17 Radon	13
	4.18 Interviews	13
5.	Conclusion	14
6.	Limitation of the Investigation	14

Figure Index

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Site Plan

Table Index

Table 3.1	Summary of Chain of Title Records	5
Table 3.2	Aerial Photographs	6

Appendix Index

Appendix A	Title Search
Appendix B	Ecolog ERIS Report
Appendix C	TSSA Correspondence
Appendix D	Ministry of Environment Freedom of Information Correspondence
Appendix E	City of Ottawa HLUI Correspondence



1. Introduction

GHD was retained by 3223701 Canada Inc. (Client), represented by Mr. Jean-Luc-Rivard, to conduct a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of a commercial property located at Civic Nos. 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Property). The building on Site consists of a single storey commercial plaza building. The Phase I ESA was conducted as detailed in our email quote dated August 8, 2018.

The purpose of the Phase I ESA was to identify, through a non-intrusive investigation, significant actual or potential environmental impairment associated with the Site, the on-Site building, and the immediate vicinity of the Site. GHD understands that the Phase I ESA has been conducted for the Client for the purpose of due diligence purposes as part of a potential purchase of the Property.

The Phase I ESA was conducted in general accordance with the Canadian Standards Association CSA Z768-01 publication for conducting Environmental Site Assessments. CSA Z768-01 is the accepted Canadian standard for Environmental Assessments. The CSA Z768-01 ESA process was developed using Federal and Provincial environmental regulations, policies and guidelines. This Phase I ESA included a Site visit, a review of Site history using publicly available and readily accessible documents including historical Property title records, documentation review, and inquiries with regulatory agencies. The following tasks were conducted by GHD during this assessment:

- A review of publicly available historical documents, city directories, aerial photographs, and fire insurance plans of the Site and surrounding area.
- Submission of inquiries to applicable regulatory agencies regarding environmental infractions or notices registered against the Property, owner, or occupant.
- Interviews with person(s) knowledgeable about the Site and the Site operations.
- A review of past and current Site usage and adjacent property occupancy.
- A review of environmental databases.
- An inspection of the Property, equipment, operations, utility services, and associated records available for the Site.
- Inquiries of present and historical activities of the current and former occupants or owners that may have caused or are causing potential environmental impact to the Site.
- A review of available aboveground storage tank (AST) and underground storage tank (UST) records.
- A review of chemical use, storage and handling practices, and spill/release incidents.
- A review of waste handling, storage, and disposal practices as they may impact the environment.
- A review of wastewater discharges.
- Identification of point-source air emissions.
- A review of equipment that potentially contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs).



- Visual identification of potential asbestos-containing materials (ACM), urea formaldehyde foam insulation (UFFI), and lead-based paint.
- A review of available third party environmental reports, documents, and correspondence provided by Site personnel or the Client.

This Phase I ESA was conducted for due diligence purposes and therefore GHD has followed CSA documents as described earlier. If this Phase I ESA is intended to be utilised in support of the filing of a Record of Site Condition under Ontario Regulation 153/04 or for submission to the City of Ottawa for Site Plan submission, additional work would be required in order to produce a Phase One ESA report per Ontario Regulation 153/04 requirements.

As part of the Phase I ESA, a Site visit was conducted on August 10, 2018, by Mr. Luke Lopers, P. Eng., a technical representative of GHD. At the time of the Site visit, GHD conducted an interview with Mr. Daniel Blais, Property Manager to assist in documenting the Site conditions and operating history. Mr. Blais reported that he has been familiar with the Site for at least 20 years.

The following report summarises the information gathered by GHD during the Phase I ESA in order to identify significant actual or potential environmental liabilities associated with the Site and the on-Site buildings. GHD relied on information received from all parties as accurate unless contradicted by field observations or written documentation.

This report has been prepared for the use of 3223701 Canada Inc., and may not be relied upon by any other party without the written concurrence of GHD.

2. Site Description

2.1 Building and Property

The Site is located in the Foster Farm borough within the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The Property is located on the west side of DuMaurier Avenue, within the neighbourhood that is bordered by DuMaurier Avenue to the east and west, Ramsey Crescent to the south, and Richmond Road to the north. The Civic Nos. associated with the Property are 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Property). The Site is legally described as Part of Lot 20, Concession 2, being Parts 4 to 7 and Parts 9 to 17 on Registered Plan 4R16235, Nepean, City of Ottawa. The property identification numbers associated with the Site are 03944-0827, 03944-0826, and 03944-0238.

The coordinates of the approximate center of the Site are 45° 21' 01" N by 75° 47' 37" W (UTM Coordinates 437840 m E, 5022180 m N). A Site Location Map (Figure 1) and a Site Plan (Figure 2) are provided following this report. The municipal zoning for the Site is currently General Mixed Use Zone (residential, commercial, and/or institutional).

The Site is rectangular in shape, covers an area of approximately 0.8 hectares (2 acres), and is located in an area that is developed for mixed use (residential, commercial, and/or institutional). The Site is occupied by a single storey slab-on-grade commercial plaza building. The Site building covers approximately 30 percent of the Site. The remainder of the Site consists of asphalt paved parking, access laneways and landscaped sections along the perimeter. Vehicular access to the Site



is from DuMaurier Avenue to the east and Ramsey Crescent to the south. The topography in the Phase I Study Area is undulating with a general slope downward to the south and southwest. The property to the northwest of the Site is elevated approximately 3 to 4 m with respect to the Site, while the property to the west of the Site is elevated approximately 0.6 m with respect to the Site.

General exterior building construction materials consist of brick veneer finishes, metal and wood siding and metal framed glass windows and doors consisting of metal framed glazing units. Interior construction materials consist of drywall board walls, drywall board, suspended tile ceilings, mixture of vinyl tile, carpet, ceramic tile, and poured concrete floor finishes. The roof which was accessed at the time of the Site visit, is a flat tar and gravel roof.

2.2 Site Operations

The Site building consisted of an occupied single storey slab-on-grade commercial plaza building at the time of the Site visit. Tenants of the Site building included: Pinecrest Deli (2831), Halal Meat and Grocery (2833), Da Al Sahaba Association (2835), Barney's Pizza (2841), and Giant Tiger (2865). There were no identified Potentially Contaminating Activities (PCAs) observed during the site walkover. No visual evidence of areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) with respect to current Site operations was noted by GHD at the time of the Site visit.

2.3 Environmental Setting

The Site is located in an area that is developed for mixed use (residential, commercial, and/or institutional). The Site is generally flat and at grade with respect to DuMaurier Avenue and Ramsey Crescent and is lower with respect to the surrounding neighbouring properties to the north and west. The topography in the area of the Site slopes slightly down to the south and southwest towards the Queensway. The nearest significant surface water body is the Ottawa River, located approximately 1.3 km northwest of the Property. There are no natural surface water bodies or open drainage ditches currently located on the Site.

2.3.1 Geological Setting

Knowledge of the geological setting is based on published bedrock, soil and groundwater maps for the general area, as well as previous GHD studies conducted in the area. According to the 1987 Geological Survey of Canada, Surficial Geology Map for Ottawa (Map No.: 1506A), the natural soil consists of "Abandoned river channel deposits of silt and silty clay; commonly including lenses of sand and generally underlain at variable depth by stratified, buff, medium grained sand".

Based on regional topography and the location of the closest significant water body, the interpreted local groundwater flow direction in the shallow groundwater aquifer unit is toward the southwest, while it is suspected that regional groundwater flow is toward the north-northwest towards the Ottawa River.

2.3.2 Neighbouring Properties

At the time of Site inspection, the properties adjacent to the Site were visually inspected by GHD for evidence of potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) that may result in APECs for the Site. The inspection was conducted from public rights-of-way without physically accessing adjoining



properties. At the time of Site inspection the area within 100 m of the Site is occupied by the following facilities or features:

- Northeast | Institutional property (Church) located at Civic No. 2821 DuMaurier Avenue.
- Northwest | Institutional property (community center and school) located at Civic No. 1085 Grenon Avenue.
- East | DuMaurier Avenue followed by parkland (baseball fields) located at 2850 DuMaurier Avenue.
- South | Ramsey Crescent followed by residential dwellings at Civic No. 2891 DuMaurier Avenue.
- West | Residential apartment and dwellings at Civic No. 2891 DuMaurier Avenue.

The Site and surrounding properties are located in a predominantly residential, institutional and commercial sector of the City of Ottawa. It should be noted that the parkland to the east of DuMaurier Avenue is a known closed landfill Site, which is a PCA and is considered to represent an APEC for the Site. No other PCAs were identified in the Phase I Study Area at the time of the Site inspection.

3. Historical Records Review

Historical land use of the Site was investigated by GHD through the review of historical records including, Property title documents, city directories, available fire insurance plans, aerial photographs, and environmental databases. The following sections present the findings of the historical records reviewed.

3.1 **Previous Reports**

The Client did not provide any previous reports (environmental or geotechnical) for review. No previous environmental studies for the Property were discovered as a result of our assessment.

It should be noted that a historical Ecolog ERIS search was completed for the Property (2865 DuMaurier Avenue) in August 2013 by Pinchin Ltd. Additionally, two monitoring wells were observed at the Site during the Site visit. These observations suggest that previous environmental reports have been completed for the Site.

3.2 Property Title Search

A request for current ownership title search was submitted to Ecolog ERIS on behalf of GHD. The Phase I ESA Property is legally described as Part of Lot 20, Concession 2, being Parts 4 to 7 and Parts 9 to 17 on Registered Plan 4R16235, Nepean, City of Ottawa, with associated property identification numbers 03944-0827, 03944-0826, and 03944-0238. The results of the Title search with respect to current ownership of the Site are summarized in Table 3.1 below. A summary of the results of the search are included in Appendix A.



Table of Calification of The Records			
	Year	Property Ownership	
2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 DuMaurier Avenue			
	January 8, 2001	1248816 Ontario Inc.	
2865 DuMaurier Avenue			
	January 8, 2001	Giant Tiger Stores Limited	

Table 3.1 Summary of Chain of Title Records

No PCAs or APECs were identified for the Site with respect to the current property ownership.

3.3 Fire Insurance Plans

Fire insurance plans (FIP) assist in the identification of historical land use and commonly indicate building layouts, detached structures, Site improvements, facility operations, names of tenants, the existence and location of boiler rooms, aboveground and underground storage tanks and adjoining property uses. GHD conducted a search for publicly available historical fire insurance plans for the Site and adjacent lands from the National Archives Library in Ottawa, Ontario.

No FIPs were available for the Site or neighbouring properties. No other fire insurance plans or reports were obtained by GHD or were provided by the Client for review.

3.4 City Directories

City directories list occupant(s) at a site address for a specific year, and infer land use with respect to occupant history. GHD consulted National Archives Canada located in Ottawa, Ontario, for any publicly available historical city directories for intermittent years between 1940 and 2000.

According to the information obtained from the reviewed City Directories, DuMaurier Avenue was first listed in 1970, however, the civic address of the Site was not listed.

The first description of the Site as Civic Nos.: 2835, 2845 and 2851 DuMaurier Avenue were in 1980, when the Site was listed as being occupied by DuMaurier Shopping Plaza, Livio's Pizza, and Le Quickie Convenience Store. The Site has been listed for commercial (retail) purposes since the 1980s.

The surrounding properties are listed as both institutional and residential since at least 1970. In general, no current or historical service stations, automotive garages or dry cleaners are listed within a 100 m radius of the Site. No operations, which are considered PCAs, were identified within 100 m of the Site in the City directories.

3.5 Aerial Photographs

Aerial photographs are reviewed to generally document development of the Site and properties in close vicinity of the Site. They identify potential waste disposal areas, storage activities, landfilling, and other PCAs on Site and in the immediate vicinity of the Site. Typically, the scale of aerial photographs only permits the identification of large features on the landscape. Aerial photographs of the Site and surrounding area were obtained for intermittent years between 1956 and 2011 from the National Air Photo Library (NAPL) and on the City of Ottawa Mapping System



(http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoottawa/). Comments for each photograph are presented in the following Table 3.2.

Year	Site Description	Neighbourhood Description
1956	The Site is undeveloped, is partially tree covered and may have been used for agricultural purposes on the southern portion of the Site. What appears to be a creek or drainage feature is present on the north portion of the Site.	Neighbouring properties are undeveloped and generally consist of agricultural land or tree covered areas. A railway line is present approximately 180 m south of the Site. Pinecrest Road has been constructed further east of the Site. Some residential development is apparent further to the north of the Site.
1965	Increased tree cover is apparent on the north portion of the Site. An additional drainage feature (ditch) is present on the south portion of the Site and is present in an east-west orientation. No developed use of the Site was observed.	The property to the east of the Site appears to have undergone some soil disturbance, suspected to be associated with landfilling activities. The railway line to the south of the Site has been removed and replaced with Highway 417 (Queensway). The institutional property to the northeast of the Site appears to be under construction. Residential development is apparent further northeast of the Site.
1976	The Site has been cleared of trees and appears to be grass covered. Soil disturbance is apparent on the north portion of the Site. Previously observed drainage features at the Site are no longer present. What appears to be a walking path is present across the approximate centre of the Site in a northeast-southwest orientation.	DuMaurier Avenue has been constructed immediately east of the Site, while Ramsey Crescent has been constructed immediately to the south. The neighbouring properties to the east and south of the Site have been developed with residential apartments and townhomes in the present orientations. Some indications of soil disturbance remain on the property to the east of the Site. What appears to be an access path or road is present to the north of the Site. The institutional property to the northwest of the Site has been partially developed with the present day school building.
1991	The Site has been developed with a commercial building, similar to the present day orientation. The south portion of the existing commercial plaza appears to be connected to the north portion of the building by a narrow walkway.	Neighbouring properties appear to be developed to their present configurations. The property to the east of DuMaurier Avenue is occupied by baseball fields. The institutional property to the northwest of the Site has been fully developed with a community centre and previously existing school building.
1999	Essentially unchanged from 1991.	Essentially unchanged from 1991.
2005	The Site building is present in the current configuration. An addition to the central portion of the building has been constructed.	Essentially unchanged from 1999.
2011	Essentially unchanged from 2005.	Essentially unchanged from 2005.

Table 3.2 Aerial Photographs

The Site appears to have been undeveloped until at least 1976. The Site was fully developed as a commercial plaza since at least 1991. What appear to be two drainage features were present on the



Site and were filled in prior to Site development. Based on the above information, the fill material used to grade the Site, which is of unknown environmental quality is considered a PCA and APEC for the Site.

In addition, the property to the east of DuMaurier Avenue appears to have undergone soil disturbance from at least 1965 to 1976. This property is a known closed landfill site (as identified in Sections 3.6 and 3.7 of this report). The presence of this closed landfill site (PCA) in close proximity to the subject Site represents an APEC for the Site.

No additional PCAs resulting in APECs for the Site were identified during the review of the aerial photographs.

3.6 Ecolog ERIS Environmental Database

GHD contracted Ecolog ERIS to conduct a search of available Federal, Provincial and private environmental databases, within a 250-m radius of the Site. The database searches were completed to assist in the identification of environmental conditions at the Site and on immediate and extended neighbouring properties. A total of 69 Provincial, Federal, and private environmental databases were searched by Ecolog ERIS in the August 8, 2018 report.

This report was prepared in general accordance with the Canadian Standards Association CSA Z768-01 publication for conducting Environmental Site Assessments. The CSA Z768-01 considers sites located within 100 m radius of the subject Site as the most influential for APEC. As such only the properties that could have potential adverse environmental concerns for the subject Site are summarized below while all findings are presented in the complete report provided in Appendix B.

The Site was listed in the ERIS Historical Searches and Pesticide Register databases. The following records were noted for the Site:

- A historical ERIS search was completed for the Site by Pinchin Ltd. in August of 2013.
- Giant Tiger Store #22 was listed in the Pesticide Register as a vendor. Given that the records pertain to a Site occupant who is listed as a vendor, the application of pesticides at the Site is not suspected.

Of the aforementioned On-Site records, neither are considered to be PCAs or were considered APECs for the Site.

Immediate neighbouring properties within a 100-m radius of the Site were listed in the Waste Disposal Sites – MOE 1991 Historical Approval Inventory, Anderson's Waste Disposal Sites, Environmental Compliance Approval, Certificates of Approval, Ontario Spills, Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Generators Summary, ERIS Historical Searches, and TSSA Historic Incidents databases.

One property identified in the historic records, a former landfill site, located approximately 20 m east of the Site is a PCA and is considered to represent an APEC for the Site. Based on the records, this property operated as a municipal landfill, however, the period of operation of this landfill Site is uncertain.



3.7 Environmental Databases

GHD reviewed several published environmental summary documents and databases as part of the Site history review to determine if past use of the Site or land in close vicinity of the Site may have resulted in environmental impairment of the Site. The following documents were reviewed with the findings presented as follows:

1. Inventory of Coal Gasification Plant Waste Sites in Ontario, April 1987:

The report titled Inventory of Coal Gasification Plant Waste Sites in Ontario, April 1987 provides an inventory and preliminary assessment of the potential environmental impacts of 41 known manufactured gas plant waste sites in the Province of Ontario as of April 1987. Industrial facilities that utilized coal carbonization for manufacturing of gas, coke, ammonia and other products were addressed in this study. The Site was not listed in the Inventory of Industrial Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars. There were no former Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars identified within 2 km of the Site.

2. MOE Waste Disposal Site Inventory, June 1991:

The MOE Waste Disposal Site Inventory June 1991 contains a list, prepared by the MOE, of all known active and closed waste disposal sites in the Province of Ontario as of October 31, 1990. This document is a "working document", subject to continual revisions and updating. The document contains an active site inventory, a closed site inventory, a closed municipal coal gasification plant site inventory, and an inventory of industrial sites producing and using coal tars and related tars in Ontario. No active waste disposal sites were identified within 250 m of the Site; however, a closed landfill was identified to the east of the Site. Landfill No. X1006 is classified as A5 – Potential Human Impact – Urban Municipal/Domestic Waste. The MOE Waste Disposal Site Inventory June 1991 was confirmed by the results of the subcontracted Ecolog Environmental ERIS search; a copy of the Ecolog ERIS Database Summary is included in Appendix B. Further information is provided in the below Mapping and Assessment of Former Industrial Sites section.

3. Ontario PCB Inventory:

The Ontario Inventory of PCB Storage Sites (1987 – October 2004), as noted in the subcontracted Ecolog ERIS Database Summary report dated May 26, 2011 and January 25, 2018, contains information on PCB Storage Sites in the Province of Ontario, which is maintained by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Waste Management Branch. The database is an inventory of known private and provincially-operated PCB storage sites as of 1987. The document does not include Federal PCB storage sites, which are under Environment Canada jurisdiction. The Site was not listed in the Ontario Inventory of PCB Storage Sites. No properties within 250 m of the Site were identified in the Ontario Inventory of PCB Storage Sites. A copy of the Ecolog ERIS Database Summary is included in Appendix B.

4. Inventory of Industrial Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars in Ontario, November 1988:

The report titled Inventory of Industrial Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars in Ontario, November 1988 provides the results of an inventory and preliminary assessment of



potential environmental impacts of 44 known industrial sites in Ontario which produced or used coal tar and related tars, as of November 1988. This report was prepared to continue the inventory and assessment process started by the Inventory of Coal Gasification Plant Waste Sites in Ontario, April 1987. The Site was not listed in the Inventory of Industrial Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars. There were no former Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars identified within 2 km of the Site.

5. Mapping and Assessment of Former Industrial Sites, City of Ottawa:

The report titled Mapping and Assessment of Former Industrial Sites, City of Ottawa, July 1988, provides the results of an inventory and preliminary assessment of 177 known former industrial sites in the City of Ottawa, as of July 1988. The Site is not listed in the Mapping and Assessment of Former Industrial Sites report, and no former industrial sites were identified within 250 m of the Site. However, a former landfill (L-1: Pinecrest Road – DuMaurier Avenue and Watson Street) was identified at the Site and on the adjacent property to the east. As noted in the MOE Waste Disposal Inventory (June 1991) section above, a closed landfill was identified to the east of the Site and contained urban municipal/domestic wastes.

GHD also reviewed the report titled Old Landfill Management Strategy, Phase I - Identification of Sites, City of Ottawa, October 2004, prepared by Golder Associates, which indicated the neighbouring property to the east of DuMaurier Avenue as part of the Pinecrest and DuMaurier closed landfill site. The following information was reviewed as part of this Phase One ESA:

- Active Time Period | 1953 to 1957.
- Total Approximate Area | 4 hectares, bounded by Pinecrest Road, DuMaurier Avenue, Hwy 417 Interchange, and line north of St. Remi Church and through 1000 and 999 Watson Road and 2793 DuMaurier Avenue.
- Waste Thickness | Estimated depth of refuse is 3 to 5 m, locally at the southern end; fill layer is at least 6 m in thickness but thins towards the north end.
- Type of Waste | mainly domestic some commercial and industrial waste.

Based on the above information, the closed landfill is considered a PCA and is considered to represent an APEC for the Site.

6. MOECC Notices, Instruments and Records of Site Condition:

The Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) Brownfields Environmental Site Registry (ESR) was consulted for historical certificates and instrument compliance records and records of site condition (RSCs). The Site was not listed in the Brownfields ESR. No properties within 100 m of the Site were listed in the Brownfields ESR.

3.8 Regulatory Agency Correspondence

GHD contacted the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) and the City of Ottawa to request any information they may have in their files concerning the Site. GHD also contacted the Technical Standards and Safety Authority (TSSA) to request a search of their databases for the current or historical presence of any ASTs or USTs at the Site.



Summary of TSSA Correspondence

An email response was received from the TSSA on August 10, 2018, indicating that there were no records were found in the TSSA database indicating the presence of underground storage tanks, spills, incidents or infractions at the Property or at immediately adjacent properties. A copy of the TSSA response is included in Appendix C.

Summary of MOECC Freedom of Information Correspondence

A request was submitted to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) under the Freedom of Information (FOI) and Protection of Privacy Act relating to the Site. The requested information included environmental approvals, certificates and instruments maintained by the MECP for the Site. The MECP response was not received in the timeframe allowed for this mandate. A copy of the MECP request is included in Appendix D. A copy of the MECP response and an addendum to this report will be provided should the documentation alter the findings and conclusions of this assessment.

Summary of City of Ottawa HLUI Correspondence

A request was made to the City of Ottawa to review their Historic Land Use Inventory (HLUI). The HLUI response was not received in the timeframe allowed for this mandate. A copy of the HLUI request is included in Appendix E. A copy of the HLUI response and an addendum to this report will be provided should the documentation alter the findings and conclusions of this assessment.in Appendix E of this report.

4. Site Visit

The following Site conditions were observed by GHD during the August 10, 2018 Site visit and are considered pertinent to the current Phase I ESA.

4.1 Utility Services

Electricity is supplied to the Site by Hydro-Ottawa. Natural gas is supplied by Enbridge Inc.

The Site building is heated by natural gas fired heating ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) units, located on the roof of the building. Domestic hot water for the building is heated by natural gas; the hot water tanks are located in the individual commercial units.

Potable water and sanitary sewer services are supplied to the Site by the municipal system. No water supply wells or septic systems were reported to exist on Site and no evidence of on-Site water supply wells or septic systems were noted during the Site visit.

4.2 Underground Storage Tanks (USTs)

No visual evidence (such as filler or vent pipes), suggesting the presence of current or former USTs, was observed by GHD during the Site visit. The presence of former or current USTs was not reported by the Site representative.



4.3 Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs)

No visual evidence (such as filler or vent pipes, or retention walls), suggesting the presence of existing ASTs, was observed by GHD during the Site visit. The presence of former or current ASTs was not reported by the Site representative.

4.4 Chemical Use and Storage

The on-Site operations (commercial/retail) are not anticipated to require the use and storage of chemicals, with the exception of small volumes of commercially available cleaning products.

4.5 Chemical Spills/Releases

No significant oil or chemical staining was noted on the finished or landscaped surfaces exterior to the Site buildings. No distressed vegetation, or abnormal odours suggesting the presence of significant chemical or petroleum spills or releases, were noted at the time of the current Site visit.

It should be noted that two monitoring wells were observed at the Site at the time of the Site visit. No reports were provided to document the installation or chemical analysis of soil and/or groundwater from these locations. The locations of the observed monitoring wells are noted on Figure 2.

4.6 Solid Waste/Recyclables

The solid waste generated at the Site typically includes general domestic waste and recyclable materials such as cardboard, paper and plastic. In addition, cooking oil and grease can be expected to be generated, due to the on site restaurant. Solid waste and recyclable materials were observed at the time of the Site visit, stored in commercial waste containers for collection on a bi-weekly basis by private waste collection services for off-Site disposal and recycling, respectively. No evidence of on-Site solid waste disposal was noted by GHD at the time of the Site visit.

4.7 Hazardous Material

Based on GHD's field observations and interviews with the Client representative, no hazardous material is generated on the Site, nor is such material expected to be stored or generated based on the nature of the operations (light commercial) currently conducted at the Site. No visual evidence of hazardous material disposal at the Site was noted during the Site visit.

4.8 Wastewater

Wastewater generated at the Site includes domestic liquid effluent. The domestic effluent is discharged into the municipal wastewater system. No visual evidence suggesting the presence of other wastewater production was observed during the Site visit.

4.8.1 Floor/Trench Drains, Pits and Sumps

No floor drains, pits or sumps were noted by GHD during the course of the Site Visit.



4.9 Stormwater

Stormwater at the Site is collected and/or discharged by overland flow on improved Site surfaces for subsequent discharge to the municipal wastewater system or storm sewer system. No evidence of process-related effluent discharges to the storm water system from on-Site sources was observed by GHD during the Site visit. No areas of potential environmental concern to the Site with respect to the on-Site storm sewer system were observed by GHD.

4.10 Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM)

Under the Hazardous Products Act, as of April 24, 1980, the use of asbestos was prohibited in most consumer products where dust particles are generated during normal use. Asbestos is considered a health hazard generally when asbestos fibres become airborne.

Friable types of ACM (pipe insulation, sprayed-on insulation, boiler wrap, and ceiling tiles) may remain on Site and in use indefinitely, provided the ACM are adequately maintained, covered and prevented from being disturbed under normal use. Friable types of asbestos are more likely to become airborne as compared to non-friable types. Products containing non-friable ACM (floor tiles, asbestos cement tiling, and piping) present a limited potential danger for airborne fibre release.

During the Site visit, GHD made visual observations in easily accessible areas of the building for the presence of friable and non-friable ACM. No intrusive investigations were conducted to examine concealed spaces for the presence of ACM. Given the age of the building (late 1970s), there is a potential for the presence of ACM to be present in the vinyl floor tiles, drywall joint compound, suspended ceiling tiles, caulking and insulation.

4.11 Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

According to the Chlorobiphenyls Regulation, which is part of the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, the manufacturing, processing, using, selling, or importing of new equipment containing more than 50 ppm PCBs was prohibited as of July 1, 1980. Existing PCB-containing equipment (prior to 1977, and prior to 1980 for electrical transformers and capacitors) could remain in place within the context of the Regulation, although the dismantling and disposal of PCB-containing equipment are subject to the Regulation.

Based on GHD's visual observations during the Site visit and information obtained during the course of the study, no evidence of on-Site waste PCB storage or potential PCB-containing equipment was identified.

4.12 Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs)

Based on observations during the Site visit, equipment which was present at the Site that potentially contains chlorofluorocarbons (ozone-depleting substances) consists of commercial refrigerators, freezers, air conditioners, and fire extinguishers. The presence of CFCs in the on-Site refrigeration, cooling, and fire extinguishing equipment is not considered to represent a significant potential environmental concern for the Property.



4.13 Urea Formaldehyde Foam Insulation (UFFI)

Urea formaldehyde foam insulation (UFFI) was introduced to the Canadian building industry in 1960 as a means of insulating enclosed or inaccessible cavities in building walls. It was typically made at a construction site from a mixture of urea formaldehyde resin, a foaming agent, and compressed air. Most installations in Canada occurred prior to December 17, 1980, when it was banned due to the possibility of long-term health risks to occupants of buildings insulated with UFFI.

The presence of urea formaldehyde foam insulation was not reported by the Site representative; however, due to the period of construction it may be a risk to be present, unless a document can be presented to prove that it is not present.

4.14 Lead-Based Paint

The amount of lead in surface coating (paint) has been regulated since 1976 through Health Canada's Hazardous Products Act to below 0.5 percent, and in recent years the limit was reduced to 0.009 percent. Based on the initial year (late 1970s) of construction of the Site building, lead-based paint may be present on-Site. Any demolition or renovation activities should take into account the potential presence of lead-based paint.

4.15 Air Emissions

From observations made by GHD during the Site visit, there is no fixed-point air emission sources (other than domestic heating associated emission sources) that may constitute a potential adverse environmental impact presently operated on Site.

4.16 Ionizing Radiation

No evidence of ionising radiation sources was observed at the Site by GHD.

4.17 Radon

According to publicly available maps reviewed (2004, Carson, J M; Holman, P B; Ford, K L; Grant, J A; Shives, R B K; Airborne Gamma Ray Spectrometry Compilation Equivalent Uranium Ontario Map 4551; Geologic Survey of Ontario), the Site is located in an area with the equivalent Uranium (eU) appearing to range from 0.750 to 0.950 eU (ppm), generally representing a low radon gas emission potential.

A long-term radon test would need to be conducted by a certified radon measurement professional to determine if there is a potential for radon to represent a significant potential adverse environmental concern for the subject Site.

4.18 Interviews

Mr. Daniel Blais, Property Manager, was present during the Site inspection and was interviewed to assist in documenting the Site conditions and operating history. Mr. Blais stated that construction of the Site building was completed in the late 1970s and the Site has operated for commercial purposes since that time. Mr. Blais stated that the building is heated with natural gas and was not aware of any current fuel storage at the Site. Mr. Blais stated that, to the best of his knowledge,



there has never been a dry cleaners on Site. Mr. Blais was unaware of any environmental concerns with the Site since his involvement with the Property. Mr. Blais stated that he was aware that the property to the east of DuMaurier Avenue had operated as a landfill, however, he was uncertain of the time period of operation.

5. Conclusion

GHD has performed this Phase I ESA of the Site in general accordance with the CSA Z768-01 publication for conducting Environmental Site Assessments. Based on the Phase I ESA study including the Site visit, documents reviewed, and the review of Site history and information provided by regulatory agencies, the following conclusions are noted.

There was one potentially contaminating activity (PCA) identified at the Site; the placement of fill material of unknown environmental quality used for grading the Site, specifically, for infilling previously observed drainage features at the Site.

There was one PCA identified at neighbouring properties; the presence of a former landfill Site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site.

The aforementioned PCAs are considered to represent areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) for the Site.

Following the completion of the Phase I ESA for the subject Property, **it is our opinion that a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment is recommended for the Site**.

6. Limitation of the Investigation

The findings and conclusions of the Phase I ESA are founded on the accuracy and reliability of the information obtained from all parties, unless contradicted by visual Site observations or written documentation.

The conclusions are presented based upon the readily available public information within the time frame of this mandate by trained professionals, following a prescribed and recognised assessment procedure.

This report is not intended to address, or provide comment on the presence, or absence of organic growth organisms commonly referred to as mould, through statements, inferences, or omissions.

The report is prepared for the use of the Client and his named representatives in making an informed financial and business decision regarding environmental liabilities that may be associated with the Site. The use of this report for any other purpose is at the Client's own risk.

The Client must understand that changing circumstances in the physical or regulatory environment, the administration and use of the Site, as well as changes in any substances stored, used, or disposed of at the Site, could significantly alter the conclusions and information contained in this report. Therefore, it is important that the Client periodically re-evaluates the Site and reviews developments or operations, which may potentially impact the Site.



All of Which is Respectfully Submitted,

GHD

Jake Im

Luke Lopers, P. Eng.

X meran

Kevin Emenau, P. Geo.



-Source: MNRF NRVIS, 2018. Produced by GHD under licence from Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, © Queen's Printer 2018 Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 18N



3223701 CANADA INC. 11181273 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 AND 2865 DUMAURIER AVENUE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO Aug 14, 2018 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

SITE LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 1





 3223701 CANADA INC.
 11181273

 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 AND 2865 DUMAURIER AVENUE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO
 Aug 14, 2018

 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

SITE PLAN

FIGURE 2

GIS File: Q:\GIS\PROJECTS\11181000s\11181273\Layouts\001\11181273-00(001)GIS-OT002.mxd



GHD | Phase I Environmental Site Assessment | 11181273 (1)

Appendix A Title Search
\mathcal{D}				PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENT	NIFIER	
C.	Ontario	ServiceOr	ntario REGIS	TRY	PAGE 1 OF 2 PREPARED FOR EEGOOLAB	
			OFFIC * CER	E #4 03944-0827 (LT) TIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT * SUBJECT TO RESE	ON 2018/08/14 AT 10:52:27 RVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT *	
PROPERTY DES	SCRIPTION:	PART OF LOT 20 CON 4R16235, OTTAWA. SU TOGETHER WITH A RIC PART 5 PLAN 4R1623	CESSION 2 OTTAWA FR UBJECT TO OVER PART GHT OF WAY OVER PAR 5 AS IN LT1345806.	ONT, AND PART DUMAURIER AVENUE PLAN 479600 AS CLOSED BY NS41961 12 PLAN 4R16235 AS IN NS87431. SUBJECT TO RIGHT OVER PARTS 5, TS 10 TO 12 PLAN 4R16235 AS IN LT1345806. SUBJECT TO A RIGHT OF SUBJECTTO AN EASEMENT IN FAVOUR OF HYDRO OTTAWA LIMITED OVER PA	, 1 FOOT RESERVE PLAN 4R479600 PARTS 1TO 8 PLAN 10, 11, 12 AND 16 PLAN 4R16235AS IN N502320. WAY IN FAVOUR OF PARTS 9 TO 17 PLAN 4R16235 OVER RTS 3 AND 8 PLAN 4R16235 AS IN LT1353269.	
PROPERTY REN	MARKS:					
ESTATE/QUALI FEE SIMPLE LT CONVERSIO	IFIER: DN QUALIFIED		<u>RECENTLY:</u> DIVISION FRO	DM 03944-0237	PIN CREATION DATE: 2001/07/30	
OWNERS' NAME 1248816 ONTA	<u>IS</u> ARIO INC.		<u>CAPACITY</u> <u>SI</u>	HARE		
REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
** PRINTOUI	INCLUDES AL	L DOCUMENT TYPES (DEI	ETED INSTRUMENTS NO	PT INCLUDED) **		
**SUBJECT,	ON FIRST REG.	STRATION UNDER THE 1	AND TITLES ACT, TO			
**	SUBSECTION 4	4(1) OF THE LAND TITI	es act, except para	GRAPH 11, PARAGRAPH 14, PROVINCIAL SUCCESSION DUTIES *		
**	AND ESCHEATS	OR FORFEITURE TO TH	CROWN.			
**	THE RIGHTS O	F ANY PERSON WHO WOUL	D, BUT FOR THE LANI	D TITLES ACT, BE ENTITLED TO THE LAND OR ANY PART OF		
**	IT THROUGH L	NGTH OF ADVERSE POSS	ESSION, PRESCRIPTIC	N, MISDESCRIPTION OR BOUNDARIES SETTLED BY		
**	CONVENTION.					
**	ANY LEASE TO	which the subsection	1 70(2) OF THE REGI	TRY ACT APPLIES.		
**DATE OF C	ONVERSION TO	LAND TITLES: 1993/08	8/09 **			
4R268	1971/09/23	PLAN REFERENCE				С
CR710766	1977/06/22	AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA	с
NS24195	1978/08/09	AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA	С
5R5222	1980/08/25	PLAN REFERENCE				с
5R5439	1980/12/16	PLAN REFERENCE				С
NS106449	1980/12/17	AGREEMENT				С
NS106503	1980/12/18	DEPOSIT				с
5R5458	1980/12/23	PLAN REFERENCE				С
NS140195	1982/01/06	ORDER				с

Ne		
		LAND
UP Ontario	ServiceOntario	REGISTRY
		OFFICE #4

PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER

03944-0827 (LT)

PAGE 2 OF 2 PREPARED FOR EEGOOLAB ON 2018/08/14 AT 10:52:27

 \star certified in accordance with the land titles act \star subject to reservations in crown grant \star

REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
LT1078867	1997/10/01	TRANS POWER SALE	\$155,000	BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT BANK OF CANADA	1248816 ONTARIO INC.	С
4R16235	2000/11/20	PLAN REFERENCE				С
LT1353269	2001/01/08	TRANSFER EASEMENT		1248816 ONTARIO INC.	HYDRO OTTAWA LIMITED	с



© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2018

meters

0449

08050

	Ontario	ServiceOr	Itario REGIS OFFIC * CER	PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENT TRY E #4 03944-0826 (LT) TIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT * SUBJECT TO RESE	TIFIER PAGE 1 OF 2 PREPARED FOR EEGOOLAB ON 2018/08/14 AT 10:55:08 RVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT *	
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: PART OF LOT 20 CONCESSION 2 OTTAWA FRONT, PARTS 9 TO 17 PLAN 4R16235, OTTAWA. TOGETHER WITH PART 5 PLAN 4R16235 AS IN LT1345806. SUBJECT TO A RIGHT OF WAY IN FAVOUR OF PARTS 1 TO 8 PLA LT1345806.					IN N502320. TOGETHER WITH A RIGHT OF WAY OVER 5 OVER PARTS 10 TO 12 PLAN 4R16235 AS IN	
<u>PROPERTY REM</u> ESTATE/QUALI FEE SIMPLE LT CONVERSIO	MARKS: FIER: N QUALIFIED		<u>recently:</u> division fro	DM 03944-0237	PIN CREATION DATE: 2001/07/30	
OWNERS' NAME GIANT TIGER	<u>s</u> Stores limit	ED	<u>CAPACITY</u> <u>S</u> BENO	HARE		
REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
** PRINTOUT	INCLUDES AL.	L DOCUMENT TYPES (DEI	ETED INSTRUMENTS NO	DT INCLUDED) **		
**SUBJECT,	ON FIRST REG	STRATION UNDER THE 1	AND TITLES ACT, TO			
**	SUBSECTION 4	(1) OF THE LAND TITI	es act, except par	AGRAPH 11, PARAGRAPH 14, PROVINCIAL SUCCESSION DUTIES *		
**	AND ESCHEATS	OR FORFEITURE TO TH	CROWN.			
**	THE RIGHTS O	F ANY PERSON WHO WOUL	D, BUT FOR THE LAN	D TITLES ACT, BE ENTITLED TO THE LAND OR ANY PART OF		
**	IT THROUGH L	ENGTH OF ADVERSE POSS	SESSION, PRESCRIPTIO	N, MISDESCRIPTION OR BOUNDARIES SETTLED BY		
**	CONVENTION.					
**	ANY LEASE TO	WHICH THE SUBSECTION	V 70(2) OF THE REGI	TRY ACT APPLIES.		
**DATE OF C	ONVERSION TO	LAND TITLES: 1993/08	8/09 **			
4R268	1971/09/23	PLAN REFERENCE				с
CR710766	1977/06/22	AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA	с
NS24195	1978/08/09	AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA	с
5R5222	1980/08/25	PLAN REFERENCE				с
5R5439	1980/12/16	PLAN REFERENCE				с
NS106449	1980/12/17	AGREEMENT				с
NS106503	1980/12/18	DEPOSIT				с
5R5458	1980/12/23	PLAN REFERENCE				с
NS140195	1982/01/06	ORDER				с



PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER

LAND REGISTRY OFFICE #4

03944-0826 (LT)

PAGE 2 OF 2 PREPARED FOR EEGOOLAB ON 2018/08/14 AT 10:55:08

 \star certified in accordance with the Land Titles act \star subject to reservations in crown grant \star

REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
4R16235	2000/11/20	PLAN REFERENCE				с
LT1345806 <i>REI</i>	2000/12/01 MARKS: PLANNI	TRANSFER NG ACT CERTIFICATE.	\$150,000 S/T & T/W RIGHTS OF	1248816 ONTARIO INC. WAY IN LT1345806.	GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED	С
LT1345807 <i>REI</i>	2000/12/01 MARKS: PARTS	NOTICE 9 TO 17 INCLUSIVE ON	PLAN 4R16235	GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED	1248816 ONTARIO INC.	с



[©] Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2018

\sim				PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENT	TIFIER			
	Ontario	ServiceOr	Itario	TRY E #4 03944-0238 (LT) TIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT * SUBJECT TO RESE	PAGE 1 OF 2 PREPARED FOR EEGOOLAB ON 2018/08/14 AT 10:58:30 RVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT *			
PROPERTY DES	RTY DESCRIPTION: PT LT 20 CON 2 OF, PTS 1, 2 & 3 5R5439; S/T & T/W NS106448 S/T EASEMENT IN FAVOUR OF HYDRO OTTAWA LIMITED OVER PART 24 4R16235 AS IN LT1353268.							
PROPERTY REM ESTATE/QUALI FEE SIMPLE LT CONVERSIO OWNERS' NAME GIANT TIGER	MARKS: FIER: N QUALIFIED STORES LIMITI	ED	<u>recently:</u> first conver <u>capacity</u> <u>Si</u> beno	RSION FROM BOOK NEP 25 HARE	<u>PIN CREATION DATE:</u> 1993/08/09			
REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD		
EFFECTIVE	2000/07/29	THE NOTATION OF THE	BLOCK IMPLEMENTATIO	ON DATE" OF 1993/08/09 ON THIS PIN				
WAS REPLA	CED WITH THE	"PIN CREATION DATE"	OF 1993/08/09					
** PRINTOUT	INCLUDES ALL	DOCUMENT TYPES (DEI	ETED INSTRUMENTS NO	PT INCLUDED) **				
**SUBJECT,	ON FIRST REG	STRATION UNDER THE I	AND TITLES ACT, TO					
**	SUBSECTION 4	(1) OF THE LAND TITI	es act, except par	AGRAPH 11, PARAGRAPH 14, PROVINCIAL SUCCESSION DUTIES *				
**	AND ESCHEATS	OR FORFEITURE TO TH	CROWN.					
**	THE RIGHTS O	F ANY PERSON WHO WOUL	D, BUT FOR THE LAN	D TITLES ACT, BE ENTITLED TO THE LAND OR ANY PART OF				
**	IT THROUGH LI	ENGTH OF ADVERSE POSS	SESSION, PRESCRIPTIC	N, MISDESCRIPTION OR BOUNDARIES SETTLED BY				
**	CONVENTION.							
* *	ANY LEASE TO	WHICH THE SUBSECTION	1 70(2) OF THE REGIS	STRY ACT APPLIES.				
**DATE OF C	ONVERSION TO	LAND TITLES: 1993/08	8/09 **					
4R268	1971/09/23	PLAN REFERENCE				с		
CR710766	1977/06/22	AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA	с		
NS24195	1978/08/09	AGREEMENT			THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA	с		
NS92283	1980/07/29	AGREEMENT TO LEASE			D.A.P.I. LIMITED	с		
REI	MARKS: RE SKE	TCH ATTACHED RE TERM	OF 10 YEARS					
5R5439	1980/12/16	PLAN REFERENCE				с		
NS106448	1980/12/17	TRANSFER	\$150,000		GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED	с		
NS106448Z	1980/12/17	REST COV APL ANNEX				с		
NS106449	1980/12/17	AGREEMENT				с		



PARCEL REGISTER (ABBREVIATED) FOR PROPERTY IDENTIFIER

PAGE 2 OF 2 PREPARED FOR EEGOOLAB ON 2018/08/14 AT 10:58:30

C REGISTRY OFFICE #4

03944-0238 (LT)

* CERTIFIED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LAND TITLES ACT * SUBJECT TO RESERVATIONS IN CROWN GRANT *

REG. NUM.	DATE	INSTRUMENT TYPE	AMOUNT	PARTIES FROM	PARTIES TO	CERT/ CHKD
5R5458	1980/12/23	PLAN REFERENCE				с
N412985	1987/10/22	NO ASSG LESSEE INT	- - -		LEIZERT, RUTH ROMANZAS, LEONIDA	С
N415003	1987/11/04	NO ASSG LESSEE INT			LEIZERT, RUTH	с
4R16235	2000/11/20	PLAN REFERENCE				с
LT1353268	2001/01/08	TRANSFER EASEMENT		GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED	HYDRO OTTAWA LIMITED	с



© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2018

Appendix B Ecolog ERIS Report



DATABASE REPORT

Project Property:	Phase I ESA 2865 Dumaurier Avenue Ottawa ON K2B 7W3
Project No:	11177877Rivard-1
Report Type:	Standard Express Report
Order No:	20180808091
Requested by:	GHD Ltd.
Date Completed:	August 8, 2018

Environmental Risk Information Services A division of Glacier Media Inc. P: 1.866.517.5204 E: info@erisinfo.com

www.erisinfo.com

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	
Executive Summary	
Executive Summary: Report Summary	4
Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Project Property	6
Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Surrounding Properties	7
Executive Summary: Summary By Data Source	8
Мар	11
Aerial	12
Topographic Map	
Detail Report	14
Unplottable Summary	24
Unplottable Report	
Appendix: Database Descriptions	
Definitions	43

Notice: IMPORTANT LIMITATIONS and YOUR LIABILITY

Reliance on information in Report: This report DOES NOT replace a full Phase I Environmental Site Assessment but is solely intended to be used as a database review of environmental records.

License for use of information in Report: No page of this report can be used without this cover page, this notice and the project property identifier. The information in Report(s) may not be modified or re-sold.

Your Liability for misuse: Using this Service and/or its reports in a manner contrary to this Notice or your agreement will be in breach of copyright and contract and ERIS may obtain damages for such mis-use, including damages caused to third parties, and gives ERIS the right to terminate your account, rescind your license to any previous reports and to bar you from future use of the Service.

No warranty of Accuracy or Liability for ERIS: The information contained in this report has been produced by ERIS Information Limited Partnership ("ERIS") using various sources of information, including information provided by Federal and Provincial government departments. The report applies only to the address and up to the date specified on the cover of this report, and any alterations or deviation from this description will require a new report. This report and the data contained herein does not purport to be and does not constitute a guarantee of the accuracy of the information contained herein and does not constitute a legal opinion nor medical advice. Although ERIS has endeavored to present you with information that is accurate, ERIS disclaims, any and all liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in such information and data, whether attributable to inadvertence, negligence or otherwise, and for any consequences arising therefrom. Liability on the part of ERIS is limited to the monetary value paid for this report.

Trademark and Copyright: You may not use the ERIS trademarks or attribute any work to ERIS other than as outlined above. This Service and Report(s) are protected by copyright owned by ERIS Information Limited Partnership. Copyright in data used in the Service or Report(s) (the "Data") is owned by ERIS or its licensors. The Service, Report(s) and Data may not be copied or reproduced in whole or in any substantial part without prior written consent of ERIS.

Executive Summary

Property Information:

Project Property:Phase I ESA2865 Dumaurier AvenueOttawa ON K2B 7W3

236 FT 72.06 M

Project No:

11177877Rivard-1

Coordinates:

Latitude:	45.350177
Longitude:	-75.79332
UTM Northing:	5,022,157.92
UTM Easting:	437,856.27
UTM Zone:	UTM Zone 18T

Elevation:

Order Information:

Order No:	20180808091
Date Requested:	August 8, 2018
Requested by:	GHD Ltd.
Report Type:	Standard Express Report

Historical/Products:

Land Title Search

Current Land Title Search

Executive Summary: Report Summary

Database	Name	Searched	Project Property	Within 0.25 km	Total
AAGR	Abandoned Aggregate Inventory	Y	0	0	0
AGR	Aggregate Inventory	Y	0	0	0
AMIS	Abandoned Mine Information System	Y	0	0	0
ANDR	Anderson's Waste Disposal Sites	Y	0	1	1
AUWR	Automobile Wrecking & Supplies	Y	0	0	0
BORE	Borehole	Y	0	2	2
CA	Certificates of Approval	Y	0	2	2
CFOT	Commercial Fuel Oil Tanks	Y	0	0	0
CHEM	Chemical Register	Y	0	0	0
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas Stations	Y	0	0	0
COAL	Inventory of Coal Gasification Plants and Coal Tar Sites	Y	0	0	0
CONV	Compliance and Convictions	Y	0	0	0
CPU	Certificates of Property Use	Y	0	0	0
DRL	Drill Hole Database	Y	0	0	0
DRYCLEANERS	Dry Cleaning Facilities	Y	0	0	0
EASR	Environmental Activity and Sector Registry	Y	0	0	0
EBR	Environmental Registry	Y	0	0	0
ECA	Environmental Compliance Approval	Y	0	2	2
EEM	Environmental Effects Monitoring	Y	0	0	0
EHS	ERIS Historical Searches	Y	1	1	2
EIIS	Environmental Issues Inventory System	Y	0	0	0
EMHE	Emergency Management Historical Event	Y	0	0	0
EXP	List of TSSA Expired Facilities	Y	0	0	0
FCON	Federal Convictions	Y	0	0	0
FCS	Contaminated Sites on Federal Land	Y	0	0	0
FOFT	Fisheries & Oceans Fuel Tanks	Y	0	0	0
FST	Fuel Storage Tank	Y	0	0	0
FSTH	Fuel Storage Tank - Historic	Y	0	0	0
GEN	Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Generators Summary	Y	0	3	3
GHG	Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Large Facilities	Y	0	0	0
HINC	TSSA Historic Incidents	Y	0	1	1
IAFT	Indian & Northern Affairs Fuel Tanks	Y	0	0	0
INC	TSSA Incidents	Y	0	0	0
LIMO	Landfill Inventory Management Ontario	Y	0	0	0
MINE	Canadian Mine Locations	Y	0	0	0
MISA PENALTY	Environmental Penalty Annual Report	Y	0	0	0

Database	Name	Searched	Project Property	Within 0.25 km	Total
MNR	Mineral Occurrences	Y	0	0	0
NATE	National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System (NATES)	Y	0	0	0
NCPL	Non-Compliance Reports	Y	0	0	0
NDFT	National Defense & Canadian Forces Fuel Tanks	Y	0	0	0
NDSP	National Defense & Canadian Forces Spills	Y	0	0	0
NDWD	National Defence & Canadian Forces Waste Disposal Sites	Y	0	0	0
NEBI	National Energy Board Pipeline Incidents	Y	0	0	0
NEBW	National Energy Board Wells	Y	0	0	0
NEES	National Environmental Emergencies System (NEES)	Y	0	0	0
NPCB	National PCB Inventory	Y	0	0	0
NPRI National Pollutant Release Inventory		Y	0	0	0
OGW Oil and Gas Wells		Y	0	0	0
OOGW	Ontario Oil and Gas Wells	Y	0	0	0
OPCB	Inventory of PCB Storage Sites	Y	0	0	0
ORD	Orders	Y	0	0	0
PAP	Canadian Pulp and Paper	Y	0	0	0
PCFT	Parks Canada Fuel Storage Tanks	Y	0	0	0
PES	Pesticide Register	Y	3	0	3
PINC	TSSA Pipeline Incidents	Y	0	0	0
PRT	Private and Retail Fuel Storage Tanks	Y	0	0	0
PTTW	Permit to Take Water	Y	0	0	0
REC	Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Receivers Summary	Y	0	0	0
RSC	Record of Site Condition	Y	0	0	0
RST	Retail Fuel Storage Tanks	Y	0	0	0
SCT	Scott's Manufacturing Directory	Y	0	0	0
SPL	Ontario Spills	Y	0	2	2
SRDS	Wastewater Discharger Registration Database	Y	0	0	0
TANK	Anderson's Storage Tanks	Y	0	0	0
TCFT	Transport Canada Fuel Storage Tanks	Y	0	0	0
VAR	TSSA Variances for Abandonment of Underground Storage Tanks	Y	0	0	0
WDS	Waste Disposal Sites - MOE CA Inventory	Y	0	0	0
WDSH	Waste Disposal Sites - MOE 1991 Historical Approval Inventory	Y	0	1	1
WWIS	Water Well Information System	Y	0	1	1
		Total:	4	16	20

Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Project Property

Мар Кеу	DB	Company/Site Name	Address	Dir/Dist (m)	Elev diff (m)	Page Number
2	EHS		2865 Dumaurier Ave Ottawa ON K2B7W3	S/11.7	0.00	<u>14</u>
<u>2</u>	PES	GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED	2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B7W3	S/11.7	0.00	<u>14</u>
2	PES	GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED	2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B 7W3	S/11.7	0.00	<u>14</u>
<u>2</u>	PES	GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED	2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B 7W3	S/11.7	0.00	<u>15</u>

Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Surrounding Properties

Map Key	DB	Company/Site Name	Address	Dir/Dist (m)	Elev Diff (m)	Page Number
<u>1</u>	WDSH		Pinecrest Rd. & DuMaurier Ave. OTTAWA ON	NNE/11.1	0.00	<u>15</u>
<u>3</u>	ANDR	Pinecrest & DuMaurier Dump	Ottawa ON K2B	ENE/31.8	-0.19	<u>15</u>
<u>4</u>	ECA	City of Ottawa	Bayshore Transit Station to Pinecrest Road Ottawa ON K1P 1J1	SE/66.9	-1.19	<u>16</u>
<u>4</u>	ECA	City of Ottawa	Bayshore Transit Station to Pinecrest Road Ottawa ON K1P 1J1	SE/66.9	-1.19	<u>16</u>
<u>5</u>	CA	Ottawa Community Housing Corporation	1065 Ramsey Crescent Ottawa ON	SW/88.4	0.90	<u>16</u>
<u>5</u>	SPL	UNKNOWN	1065 RAMSEY CRESC., OTTAWA/CARLETON REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY COMPLEX. OTTAWA CITY ON K2B 841	SW/88.4	0.90	<u>17</u>
<u>6</u>	EHS		2825 Dumaurier Ave. Ottawa ON K2B 7W3	NNW/130.6	3.81	<u>17</u>
<u>7</u>	GEN	CONSEIL SCOLAIRE DE LANGUE FRANCAIS	ECOLE FRANCO-NATIONS 1085 AVE GRENON	NNW/189.5	4.78	<u>17</u>
<u>7</u>	GEN	CONSEIL DES ECOLES PUBLIQUES	GRENON	NNW/189.5	4.78	<u>18</u>
<u>7</u>	GEN	CONSEIL (OUT OF BUS)	OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7 ECOLE FRANCO-NATIONS 1085 AVE GRENON	NNW/189.5	4.78	<u>18</u>
<u>8</u>	CA	OTTAWA CITY	OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7 DUMAURIER AVE. NEAR WATSON ST. OTTAWA CITY ON	NNE/192.7	3.53	<u>18</u>
<u>9</u>	HINC		2921 DUMAURIER AVENUE OTTAWA ON K2B 8A2	S/194.4	-2.32	<u>19</u>
<u>10</u>	BORE		ON	ESE/203.3	-2.73	<u>19</u>
<u>11</u>	SPL	Ottawa Community Housing Corporation	1095 Ramsey Crescent Ottawa ON K2B 7Z9	SSW/226.5	-0.49	<u>19</u>
<u>12</u>	BORE		ON	ENE/230.7	0.73	<u>20</u>
<u>12</u>	WWIS		ON	ENE/230.7	0.73	<u>20</u>

Executive Summary: Summary By Data Source

ANDR - Anderson's Waste Disposal Sites

A search of the ANDR database, dated 1860s-Present has found that there are 1 ANDR site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
Pinecrest & DuMaurier Dump	Ottawa ON K2B	ENE	31.83	3

BORE - Borehole

A search of the BORE database, dated 1875-Jul 2014 has found that there are 2 BORE site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	<u>Address</u>	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
	ON	ENE	230.67	<u>12</u>
Lower Elevation	<u>Address</u>	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
	ON	ESE	203.34	<u>10</u>

CA - Certificates of Approval

A search of the CA database, dated 1985-Oct 30, 2011* has found that there are 2 CA site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
Ottawa Community Housing Corporation	1065 Ramsey Crescent Ottawa ON	SW	88.43	<u>5</u>
OTTAWA CITY	DUMAURIER AVE. NEAR WATSON ST. OTTAWA CITY ON	NNE	192.72	<u>8</u>

ECA - Environmental Compliance Approval

A search of the ECA database, dated Oct 2011-Jun 30, 2018 has found that there are 2 ECA site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
City of Ottawa	Bayshore Transit Station to Pinecrest Road Ottawa ON K1P 1J1	SE	66.88	<u>4</u>
City of Ottawa	Bayshore Transit Station to Pinecrest Road Ottawa ON K1P 1J1	SE	66.88	<u>4</u>

EHS - ERIS Historical Searches

A search of the EHS database, dated 1999-Feb 28, 2018 has found that there are 2 EHS site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
	2865 Dumaurier Ave Ottawa ON K2B7W3	S	11.70	<u>2</u>
	2825 Dumaurier Ave. Ottawa ON K2B 7W3	NNW	130.61	<u>6</u>

GEN - Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Generators Summary

A search of the GEN database, dated 1986-December 31, 2017 has found that there are 3 GEN site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
CONSEIL (OUT OF BUS)	ECOLE FRANCO-NATIONS 1085 AVE GRENON OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7	NNW	189.46	<u>7</u>
CONSEIL SCOLAIRE DE LANGUE FRANCAIS	ECOLE FRANCO-NATIONS 1085 AVE GRENON OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7	NNW	189.46	<u>7</u>
CONSEIL DES ECOLES PUBLIQUES	FRANCO-NATIONS 1085, AVENUE GRENON OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7	NNW	189.46	<u>7</u>

HINC - TSSA Historic Incidents

A search of the HINC database, dated 2006-June 2009* has found that there are 1 HINC site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Lower Elevation	<u>Address</u>	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
	2921 DUMAURIER AVENUE OTTAWA ON K2B 8A2	S	194.42	<u>9</u>

PES - Pesticide Register

A search of the PES database, dated 1988-Mar 2018 has found that there are 3 PES site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	<u>Address</u>	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED	2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B7W3	S	11.70	<u>2</u>
GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED	2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B 7W3	S	11.70	<u>2</u>
GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED	2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B 7W3	S	11.70	<u>2</u>

SPL - Ontario Spills

A search of the SPL database, dated 1988-Feb 2018 has found that there are 2 SPL site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

erisinfo.com	Environmental Risk Information Services
--------------	---

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
UNKNOWN	1065 RAMSEY CRESC., OTTAWA/CARLETON REGIONAL HOUSING AUTHORITY COMPLEX. OTTAWA CITY ON K2B 8A1	SW	88.43	5
Lower Elevation	Address	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
Ottawa Community Housing Corporation	1095 Ramsey Crescent Ottawa ON K2B 7Z9	SSW	226.49	<u>11</u>

WDSH - Waste Disposal Sites - MOE 1991 Historical Approval Inventory

A search of the WDSH database, dated Up to Oct 1990* has found that there are 1 WDSH site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	<u>Address</u>	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
	Pinecrest Rd. & DuMaurier Ave. OTTAWA ON	NNE	11.11	<u>1</u>

WWIS - Water Well Information System

A search of the WWIS database, dated Dec 31, 2017 has found that there are 1 WWIS site(s) within approximately 0.25 kilometers of the project property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	<u>Address</u>	Direction	<u>Distance (m)</u>	<u>Map Key</u>
		ENE	230.67	12
	ON			





Address: 2865 Dumaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON K2B 7W3 CA

75°48'W

Source: ESRI World Imagery

Order No: 20180808091

45°21'N



© ERIS Information Limited Partnership



Topographic Map

Address: 2865 Dumaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON K2B 7W3 CA

Source: ESRI World Topographic Map

Order No: 20180808091



© ERIS Information Limited Partnership

Detail Report

Map Key	Number Records	of S	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site		DB
<u>2</u>	1 of 4		S/11.7	72.1 / 0.00	2865 Dumaurier Ave Ottawa ON K2B7W3		EHS
Order ID: Order No: Customer ID: Company ID: Status: Report Code: Report Type: Report Date: Report Date: Report Reque Nearest Inters Previous Site Additional Info	sted by: ection: Name: o Ordered:	265335 201308060 92028 77 C 4CAN Custom Re 13-AUG-13 F	935 eport 3 Pinchin Ltd		Date Received: Lot/Building Size: Municipality: Client Prov/State: Search Radius (km): Large Radius: X: Y:	06-AUG-13 ON .25 2 -75.793327 45.350072	
<u>2</u>	2 of 4		S/11.7	72.1 / 0.00	GIANT TIGER STORE 2865 DUMAURIER AV OTTAWA	# 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED E DN K2B7W3	PES
Licence No: Detail Licence Licence Type Licence Class Licence Cont Trade Name: Post Office B Lot: Concession: Region: District: County:	e No: Code: : s: rol: ox:	23 Limited Ver	ndor		Operator Box: Operator Class: Operator No: Operator Type: Operator Lot: Oper Concession: Operator Region: Operator Region: Operator District: Operator County: Oper Phone Area Cd: Ext: Oper Phone No: Proponent Ext:		
2	3 of 4		S/11.7	72.1 / 0.00	GIANT TIGER STORE 2865 DUMAURIER AV OTTAWA	# 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED E DN K2B 7W3	PES
Licence No: Detail Licence Licence Type Licence Class Licence Cont Trade Name: Post Office B Lot: Concession: Region: District: County:	e No: Code: : s: rol: ox:	Vendor			Operator Box: Operator Class: Operator No: Operator Type: Operator Lot: Oper Concession: Operator Region: Operator Region: Operator District: Operator County: Oper Phone Area Cd: Ext: Oper Phone No: Proponent Ext:		

Map Key	Number Records	of Direc s Dista	tion/ nce (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site	DB
2	4 of 4	S/11.7	,	72.1 / 0.00	GIANT TIGER STORE # 22 - ISHIKO LIMITED 2865 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B 7W3	PES
Licence No: Detail Licence Licence Type Licence Clas Licence Clas Licence Con Trade Name. Post Office E Lot: Concession: Region: District: County:	ce No: e Code: e: ss: ntrol: : Box: :	23-01-13515-0 LIMITED			Operator Box: Operator Class: Operator No: Operator Type: Operator Lot: Oper Concession: Operator Region: Operator District: Operator County: Oper Phone Area Cd: Ext: Oper Phone No: Proponent Ext:	
<u>1</u>	1 of 1	NNE/11	.1	72.1/0.00	Pinecrest Rd. & DuMaurier Ave. OTTAWA ON	WDSH
Site No.: Region: County: Concession: Lot: Easting:: Northing:: Zone:: Date Closed: Status:: Classification %Commericia %DomesticW %LiquidWste %Hazardous %Non-haz.W %Sewage/Slu %Other Wste	n:: alWste:: Vste Rec:: e Rec:: Wste Rec:: wste Rec:: udge Rec:: e Rec::	X1006 SOUTHE OTTAWA Pinecres 437860 5021950 18 CLOSEE A5 - POT n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	AST CARLET t Rd. & Dul	ON Maurier Ave. UMAN IMPACT-	URBAN MUNICIPAL/DOMESTIC WASTE - CLOSED 10-20 YRS	
<u>3</u>	1 of 1	ENE/31	.8	71.9 / -0.19	Pinecrest & DuMaurier Dump Ottawa ON K2B	ANDR
Legal Descrip Location Des Municipality: Current Muni RM: Facility: Date Active: Date Active: Date Begun: Date Comple Area (Ha): Landfill Type Group Name. Operated By: Serial: NTS: Diameter (m)	ption: scription: icipality: tte: : :	Nepean (Pinecres Ottawa C Ottawa C Dump 1950s? MOEE 10 31G05	Con 2 Lot 2 t Rd & DuN City Carleton Re	20 pt /aurier Ave, 100/ gion	m S of Sprague Ave*, 100m N of DuMaurier Ave*, 100m W of Pine	crest Rd*

Historical Summary:

Pinecrest & DuMaurier Dump MOEE 1994 Pinecrest Rd & DuMaurier Ave cited as closed waste disposal site (Ontario Ministry of the Environment [1994] Waste disposal site inventory, [Toronto]: Ontario Environment, 1994., i, 196 pp., maps, ISBN 0772984093). datapoint plots to Nepean Con 2 Lot 20 pt. 1954 Airphotomap Slight ground disturbance visible at or near this location [YUML: 1954 Airphotomap 453754E]. 1965 Military Town Plan ASE 306 Not marked, partly wooded site [1965 Military Town Plan Ottawa-Hull ASE 306 Edition 1 (produced 1965)]. 1968 NTS Map 31G05 Not marked, site is 100m S of Sprague Ave*, 100m N of DuMaurier Ave*, 100m W of Pinecrest Rd* [1968 NTS Map Ottawa-Hull Sheet 31G05 edition 7 (air photos 1967, publication 1968)]. 1973 Military Town Plan MCE 306 Not marked [1973 Military Town Plan Ottawa-Hull MCE 306 Edition 2 (information 1972, produced 1973)]. 1976 NTS Map 31G05 Not marked [1976 NTS Map Ottawa-Hull Sheet 31G05 edition 8 (air photos 1975, culture check 1975, publication 1976)]. 1983 NTS Map 31G05 Not marked [1983 NTS Map Ottawa-Hull Sheet 31G05 edition 5 (information 1975, publication 1982)]. 1983 NTS Map 31G05 Not marked [1987 NTS Map Ottawa-Hull Sheet 31G05 edition 10 (air photos 1979, culture check 1979, publication 1983)]. 1987 NTS Map 31G05 Not marked [1987 NTS Map Ottawa-Hull Sheet 31G05 edition 10 (air photos 1974, culture check 1975, publication 1987)]. 1987 NTS Map 31G05 Not marked [1987 NTS Map Ottawa-Hull Sheet 31G05 edition 10 (air photos 1984, culture check 1985, publication 1987)]. *[1992] MapArt Corporation Ontario, Towns and Cities [Street Atlas].

Waste Type UTM X Nao UTM Y Nao UTM Zone:	e: 27: 27:	437860 5021950 18				
<u>4</u>	1 of 2	SE/66.9	70.9 / -1.19	City of Ottawa Bayshore Transit S Ottawa ON K1P 1J1	Station to Pinecrest Road 1	ECA
Approval N Approval D Status: Record Tyµ Link Sourc Approval T Project Tyµ Address: Full Addres Full PDF Li	lo: Date: De: e: Type: De: SS: Tak:	2842-7AQRZZ 2008-01-30 Approved ECA IDS ECA-MUNICIP MUNICIPAL A Bayshore Tran https://www.ac	PAL AND PRIVATE SE ND PRIVATE SEWAG sit Station to Pinecres cessenvironment.ene.	SWP Area Name: MOE District: City: Longitude: Latitude: WAGE WORKS E WORKS t Road gov.on.ca/instruments/617	Rideau Valley Ottawa -75.7928 45.3497 72-74LPZS-14.pdf	
4	2 of 2	SE/66.9	70.9 / -1.19	City of Ottawa Bayshore Transit S Ottawa ON K1P 1J1	itation to Pinecrest Road	ECA
Approval N Approval D Status: Record Typ Link Sourc Approval T Project Typ Address: Full Addres Full PDF Li	lo: Date: e: ype: oe: ss: ink:	9001-776HB6 2007-09-18 Approved ECA IDS ECA-MUNICIP MUNICIPAL A Bayshore Tran https://www.ac	PAL AND PRIVATE SE ND PRIVATE SEWAG sit Station to Pinecres cessenvironment.ene.	SWP Area Name: MOE District: City: Longitude: Latitude: WAGE WORKS E WORKS t Road gov.on.ca/instruments/604	Rideau Valley Ottawa Ottawa -75.7928 45.3497 41-76JHVU-14.pdf	
5	1 of 2	SW/88.4	73.0 / 0.90	Ottawa Community 1065 Ramsey Creso Ottawa ON	r Housing Corporation cent	СА
Certificate Application Issue Date Approval T Status: Application Client Nam	#: י י уре: י Туре: e::	6610-5HUS4N 2003 1/18/2003 Air Approved				

Мар Кеу	Numbe Record	r of s	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site		DB
Client Addres Client City:: Client Postal Project Desc Contaminant Emission Co	ss:: Code:: ription:: s:: ntrol::						
5	2 of 2		SW/88.4	73.0 / 0.90	UNKNOWN 1065 RAMSEY CRESC REGIONAL HOUSING OTTAWA CITY ON K2	C., OTTAWA/CARLETON AUTHORITY COMPLEX. B 8A1	SPL
Ref No: Site No: Incident Dt: Year: Incident Even Contaminant Contaminant Contaminant Contaminant Contaminant Contaminant Contaminant Contaminant Receiving Ma Receiving Ma Re	se: nt: Code: Name: Limit 1: Freq 1: UN No 1: Qty: Impact: pact: pact: pact: sonseq: nse: on Scn: ed Dt: t Closed: Class: son:	115040 6/28/1995 UNKNOWN POSSIBLE Water cour WATER 6/28/1995	N Se or lake	NNED VN: MOTOR OILE	Discharger Report: Material Group: Client Type: Sector Type: Source Type: Nearest Watercourse: Site Name: Site Address: Site District Office: Site County/District: Site County/District: Site Region: Site Region: Site Region: Site Region: Site Municipality: Site Lot: Site Conc: Northing: Easting: Site Geo Ref Accu: Site Geo Ref Meth: Site Geo Ref Meth: Site Map Datum:	20101 G.NUMBER ISSUED TO DRAINALL	
<u>6</u>	1 of 1		NNW/130.6	75.9 / 3.81	2825 Dumaurier Ave. Ottawa ON K2B 7W3		EHS
Order ID: Order No: Customer ID: Company ID: Status: Report Code. Report Type: Report Date: Report Requi Nearest Inter Previous Site Additional In	ested by: section: Name: fo Ordered	98543 200704100 47508 97 C 3CAN CAN - Con 4/13/2007 T	010 nplete Report Frow Associates Ind Fire Insur. Maps An	c. d /or Site Plans	Date Received: Lot/Building Size: Municipality: Client Prov/State: Search Radius (km): Large Radius: X: Y:	4/10/2007 0.25 2 -75.793761 45.351311	
<u>7</u>	1 of 3		NNW/189.5	76.8 / 4.78	CONSEIL SCOLAIRE ECOLE FRANCO-NA1 OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7	DE LANGUE FRANCAIS TIONS 1085 AVE GRENON	GEN
Generator No Status: Approval Yea	o.: ars:	ON128575 94,95,96	4		PO Box No.: Country: Choice of Contact:		

Мар Кеу	Number Records	of S	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site	DB
Contam. Facilit MHSW Facilit SIC Code: SIC Descriptio	lity: y: on:	8511	ELEMT./SECON. E	DUC.	Co Admin: Phone No. Admin:	
<u>Details</u> Waste Code: Waste Descri _l	ption:		243 PCB'S			
<u>7</u>	2 of 3		NNW/189.5	76.8 / 4.78	CONSEIL DES ECOLES PUBLIQUES FRANCO-NATIONS 1085, AVENUE GRENON OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7	GEN
Generator No	.:	ON1879	409		PO Box No.:	
Approval Yea Contam. Facil MHSW Facilit	rs: lity: v:	94,95,96	6,97,98		Country. Choice of Contact: Co Admin: Phone No. Admin:	
SIC Code: SIC Description	on:	8511	ELEMT./SECON. E	DUC.		
<u>Details</u> Waste Code: Waste Descri _l	ption:		243 PCB'S			
<u>7</u>	3 of 3		NNW/189.5	76.8 / 4.78	CONSEIL (OUT OF BUS) ECOLE FRANCO-NATIONS 1085 AVE GRENON OTTAWA ON K2B 8L7	GEN
Generator No	.:	ON1285	754		PO Box No.:	
Approval Yea Contam. Facilit	rs: lity: v:	97,98			Country: Choice of Contact: Co Admin: Phone No. Admin:	
SIC Code: SIC Description	on:	8511	ELEMT./SECON. E	DUC.		
<u>Details</u> Waste Code: Waste Descri	ption:		243 PCB'S			
<u>8</u>	1 of 1		NNE/192.7	75.6 / 3.53	OTTAWA CITY DUMAURIER AVE. NEAR WATSON ST. OTTAWA CITY ON	CA
Certificate #: Application Y Issue Date: Approval Typ Status: Application T Client Name:: Client Addres Client Citv::	ear: e: ype: s::		8-4101-87- 87 5/13/1988 Industrial air Approved in 1988			
Client Postal Project Descr Contaminants Emission Cor	Code:: iption:: s:: ntrol::		LANDFILL GAS VE Methane (Incl. Hydr No Controls	NT ocarbons Expr. A	s Ch4	

Мар Кеу	Number Records	of S	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site		DB
<u>9</u>	1 of 1		S/194.4	69.7 / -2.32	2921 DUMAURIER AVE OTTAWA ON K2B 8A2	ENUE	HINC
External File Date of Occu Fuel Occurre Fuel Type In Status Desc: Job Type De Oper. Type I Service Inter Property Dar Fuel Life Cyc Root Cause:	Num: urrence: ence Type: volved: :: sc:: nvolved:: ruptions:: mage:: cle Stage:: :		FS INC 0710-05604 9/17/2007 Pipeline Strike Natural Gas Completed - Causa Incident/Near-Miss Construction Site (p No No Transmission, Distri Root Cause: Equipr Management:Yes	l Analysis(End) Occurrence (FS) ipeline strike) ibution and Transp nent/Material/Com Human Factors:N	portation ponent:No Procedures:No	Maintenance:No Design:No	Training:No
Reported De Fuel Categor Occurrence Affiliation:: County Nam Approx. Qua Nearby body Enter Draina Approx. Qua Environment	tails:: ry:: Type:: e:: nt. Rel:: of water:: ge Syst.:: nt. Unit:: tal Impact::		Gaseous Fuel Incident Industry Stakeholde Ottawa	er (Licensee/Regis	tration/Certificate Holder, Fac	cility Owner, etc.)	
10	1 of 1		ESE/203 3	69 3 / -2 73			
Boroholo ID:		610827	202/200.0	00.07 2.70	ON Turno:	Borehole	BORE
Use: Drill Method. Easting:: Location Acc Elev. Reliabi Total Depth I Township::	:: curacy:: lity Note:: m::	438041 -999			Status:: UTM Zone:: Northing:: Orig. Ground Elev m:: DEM Ground Elev m:: Primary Name:: Concession::	18 5022072 72.2 70.9	
Lot:: Completion I Primary Wat	Date:: er Use::				Municipality: Static Water Level:: Sec. Water Use::	-999.9	
<u>Details</u> Stratum ID: Bottom Dept	th(m):	21838665 1.8	5		Top Depth(m): Stratum Desc:	0.0 FILL.	
Stratum ID: Bottom Dept	th(m):	21838665	6		Top Depth(m): Stratum Desc:	1.8 BEDROCK,SHALE. WEATHERE SAND,CLAY. BROWN,GREY,FIS SAND-FINE. VERY LOOSE. S	ED. LAYERED SSURED.
<u>11</u>	1 of 1		SSW/226.5	71.6 / -0.49	Ottawa Community Ho 1095 Ramsey Crescen Ottawa ON K2B 7Z9	using Corporation t	SPL
Ref No: Site No: Incident Dt: Year:		1237-7LW	QCF		Discharger Report: Material Group: Client Type: Sector Type:	Other Motor Vehicle	
Incident Cau	ise:	Other Disc	charges		Source Type:		

19

erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

Order No: 20180808091

Мар Кеу	Number Records	of	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site		DB
Incident Ever	nt:				Nearest Watercourse:		
Contaminant	Code:	12			Site Name:	Ottawa Community Housing Corporation <unofficial></unofficial>	
Contaminant	Name:	GASOLINE			Site Address:		
Contaminant	Limit 1:				Site District Office:	Ottawa	
Contam Limit	t Freq 1:				Site County/District:		
Contaminant	UN No 1:				Site Postal Code:		
Contaminant	Qty:	20 L			Site Region:		
Environment	Impact:	Not Anticipa	ited		Site Municipality:	Ottawa	
Nature of Imp	bact:				Site Lot:		
Receiving Me	edium:				Site Conc:		
Receiving En	v:				Northing:		
Health/Env C	onseq:				Easting:		
MOE Respon	se:	No Field Re	sponse		Site Geo Ref Accu:		
Dt MOE Arvl	on Scn:				Site Geo Ref Meth:		
MOE Reporte	ed Dt:	12/1/2008			Site Map Datum:		
Dt Document	Closed:	12/4/2008			-		
SAC Action C	Class:	Ŵ	atercourse Spills				
Incident Reas	son:	U	nknown - Reason	not determined			
Incident Sum	mary:	0	ttawa Community	Housing, Gas 20	L to Cb Cln		
	-						

<u>12</u>	1 of 2	ENE/230.7	72.8 / 0.73	ON	BORE
Borehole II Use: Drill Method Easting:: Location Au Elev. Reliak Total Depth Township:: Lot:: Completion Primary Wa	D: d:: ccuracy:: bility Note:: o m:: o Date:: oter Use::	610845 438051 35.1 NOV-1953		Type: Status:: UTM Zone:: Northing:: Orig. Ground Elev m:: DEM Ground Elev m:: Primary Name:: Concession:: Municipality: Static Water Level:: Sec. Water Use::	Borehole 18 5022282 73.2 73.7 -999.9
<u>Details</u> Stratum ID: Bottom Dep	oth(m):	218386706 4.6		Top Depth(m): Stratum Desc:	0.0 SAND. BROWN.
Stratum ID: Bottom Dep	oth(m):	218386707 8.8		Top Depth(m): Stratum Desc:	4.6 HARDPAN.
Stratum ID: Bottom Dep	oth(m):	218386708 32.0		Top Depth(m): Stratum Desc:	8.8 LIMESTONE.
Stratum ID: Bottom Dep	oth(m):	218386709 35.1		Top Depth(m): Stratum Desc:	32.0 SHALE,SANDSTONE. BLACK. 00112DOLOMITE. BEDROCK,DOLOMITE. BEDROCK,DOLOMITE. 00000 020 0
<u>12</u>	2 of 2	ENE/230.7	72.8/0.73	ON	WWIS
Well ID: Constructio Primary Wa Sec. Water Final Well S Water Type Casing Mat	on Date: ater Use: Use: Status: :: erial:	1508638 Domestic 0 Water Supply		Data Entry Status: Data Src: Date Received: Selected Flag: Abandonment Rec: Contractor: Form Version:	1 1/6/1954 Yes 3566 1

20

_

Мар Кеу	Number of Records	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site		DB
Audit No: Tag: Construction Elevation (m): Elevation Reli Depth to Bedr Well Depth: Overburden/B Pump Rate: Static Water L Flowing (Y/N): Flow Rate: Clear/Cloudy:	Method: ability: rock: Bedrock: .evel: :			Owner: Street Name: County: Municipality: Site Info: Lot: Concession: Concession Name: Easting NAD83: Northing NAD83: Zone: UTM Reliability:	OTTAWA-CARLETON OTTAWA CITY	
Bore Hole Info	ormation					
Bore Hole ID: DP2BR: Spatial Status Code OB: Code OB Desc Open Hole: Cluster Kind: Date Complete Remarks: Elevrc Desc: Location Sour Improvement Improvement Source Revisi Supplier Com	10030672 29 c: r c: Bedrock ed: 18-NOV-5 rce Date: Location Source: Location Method: ion Comment: ment:	3		Elevation: Elevrc: Zone: East83: Org CS: North83: UTMRC: UTMRC Desc: Location Method:	73.72 18 438050.7 5022282 9 unknown UTM p9	
<u>Overburden a</u> <u>Materials Inter</u>	<u>nd Bedrock</u> rval					
Formation ID: Layer: Color: General Color Mat1: Most Common Mat2: Other Materian Mat3: Other Materian Formation Top Formation End	r: n Material: ls: ls: p Depth: d Depth: d Depth:	931010204 2 14 HARDPAN 15 29 ft				
Formation ID: Layer: Color: General Color Mat1: Most Common Mat2: Other Materian Mat3: Other Materian Formation Top Formation Env	r: n Material: ls: ls: p Depth: d Depth: d Depth: d Depth:	931010203 1 6 BROWN 09 MEDIUM SAND 0 15 ft				
Formation ID:	•	931010205				

21

Мар Кеу	Number of Records	Direction/ Distance (m)	Elev/Diff (m)	Site	DB
Layer: Color: General Colo Mat1:	r:	3			
Most Commo Mat2: Other Materia Mat3: Other Materia	n Material: Ils:	LIMESTONE			
Formation To Formation En Formation En	ns. p Depth: nd Depth: nd Depth UOM:	29 105 ft			
Formation ID. Layer: Color: General Colo. Mat1: Most Commo	: r: n Material:	931010206 4 8 BLACK 17 SHALE			
Mat2: Other Materia Mat3: Other Materia	ıls:	18 SANDSTONE			
Formation To Formation En Formation En	p Depth: Ind Depth: Ind Depth UOM:	105 115 ft			
<u>Method of Co</u> <u>Use</u>	nstruction & Well				
Method Cons Method Cons Method Cons Other Method	truction ID: truction Code: truction: I Construction:	961508638 1 Cable Tool			
<u>Pipe Informat</u>	tion				
Pipe ID: Casing No: Comment: Alt Name:		10579242 1			
Construction	<u> Record - Casing</u>				
Casing ID: Layer: Material: Open Hole or Depth From:	Material:	930053975 2 4 OPEN HOLE			
Depth To: Casing Diame Casing Diame Casing Depth	eter: eter UOM: 0 UOM:	115 4 inch ft			
Casing ID: Layer: Material: Open Hole or Depth From:	Material:	930053974 1 1 STEEL			
Depth To: Casing Diame Casing Diame Casing Depth	eter: eter UOM: o UOM:	30 4 inch ft			

Results of Well	Yield Testing
------------------------	---------------

Pump Test ID:	991508638
Pump Set At:	
Static Level:	38
Final Level After Pumping:	48
Recommended Pump Depth:	
Pumping Rate:	6
Flowing Rate:	
Recommended Pump Rate:	
Levels UOM:	ft
Rate UOM:	GPM
Water State After Test Code:	1
Water State After Test:	CLEAR
Pumping Test Method:	1
Pumping Duration HR:	1
Pumping Duration MIN:	0
Flowing:	Ν

Water Details

Water ID: Layer: Kind Code: Kind: Water Found Depth: Water Found Depth UOM:	933463247 1 FRESH 70 ft
Water ID:	933463248
Layer:	2
Kind Code:	1
Kind:	FRESH
Water Found Depth:	112
Water Found Depth UOM:	ft

Unplottable Summary

Total: 20 Unplottable sites

DB	Company Name/Site Name	Address	City	Postal
CA	City of Ottawa	Bayshore Station to Pinecrest Road	Ottawa ON	
CA	CAMPEAU CORP.	PINECREST OFFICE PARK	OTTAWA CITY ON	
CA	R.M. OF OTTAWA-CARLETON	PINECREST RD., WEST TRANSITWAY	NEPEAN CITY ON	
CA	REG.MUN.OF OTTAWA- CARLETON	QUEENSWAY N.	OTTAWA ON	
CA	SAKTO DEVELOPMENTS	PRESTON ST. QUEENSWAY CENTRE	OTTAWA CITY ON	
CA	City of Ottawa	Bayshore Transit Station to Pinecrest Road	Ottawa ON	
CA	R.M. OF OTTAWA-CARLETON	MCEWEN AVE./PINECREST COLL.	OTTAWA CITY ON	
CA	CAMPEAU CORP.	PINECREST OFFICE PARK	OTTAWA CITY ON	
EBR	Laurent Leblanc Ltd.,	Watson Road, Lot 13, Concession 4, formerly the Township of Cumberland (geographic township). CITY OF OTTAWA	ON	
SPL	OTTAWA STRUCTURAL CONCRETE SER	GRAHAM CREEK AT QUEENSWAY FROM BAYSHORE SHOPP'G CTRE.	NEPEAN CITY ON	
SPL		Watson Mill Dam - Manitec	Ottawa ON	
SPL	TRANSPORT TRUCK	QUEENSWAY MOTOR VEHICLE (OPERATING FLUID)	OTTAWA CITY ON	
SPL	TRANSPORT TRUCK	EAST SIDE OF QUEENSWAY (HIGHWAY 417) BETWEEN MOODIE & EAGLESON ROADS. TRANSPORT TRUCK (CARGO)	NEPEAN CITY ON	
SPL	City of Ottawa	Bike path north of Hurdman/Queensway Bridge	Ottawa ON	
SPL	Loblaws Company East <unofficial></unofficial>	Queensway, from Greenbank Exit to 1735 Iris Road (Pine Crest Shopping Centre - infront of IKEA) <unofficial></unofficial>	Ottawa ON	
SPL	BUS	RAMSEY CRESCENT AND DU MAURIER INTERSECTION. MOTOR VEHICLE (OPERATING FLUID)	OTTAWA CITY ON	
SPL	OTTAWA-CARLETON TRANSIT	MOODIE BETWEEN QUEENSWAY AND	OTTAWA CITY ON	

		CARLING AVE, CARLING E AND W TO CORKSTOWN ROAD	
SPL	TANK TRUCK	QUEENSWAY (EASTBOUND) BETWEEN EAGLESON AND MOODY TANK TRUCK (CARGO)	NEPEAN CITY ON
SPL	O.C. TRANSPO	PINECREST STATION IN QUEENSDALE OTTAWA SITE 1500 ST. LAURENT BOULEVARD	OTTAWA CITY ON
SPL	UNKNOWN	BLAIR STATION AND QUEENSWAY	OTTAWA CITY ON

Unplottable Report

Site: City of Ottawa Bayshore Station to Pinecrest Road Ottawa ON

Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: Project Description:: Contaminants:: **Emission Control::**

2842-7AQRZZ 2008 1/30/2008 Municipal and Private Sewage Works Approved

CAMPEAU CORP. Site: PINECREST OFFICE PARK OTTAWA CITY ON

Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: **Client Postal Code:: Project Description::** Contaminants:: **Emission Control::**

3-1380-85-006 85 11/15/85 Municipal sewage Approved

Site: R.M. OF OTTAWA-CARLETON PINECREST RD., WEST TRANSITWAY NEPEAN CITY ON

Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: **Project Description::** Contaminants:: **Emission Control::**

3-0011-99-99 2/22/1999 Municipal sewage Approved

Site: **REG.MUN.OF OTTAWA-CARLETON** QUEENSWAY N. OTTAWA ON

Certificate #: Application	3-0468-85-006 /ear: 85	
26	erisinfo.com Environmental Risk Information Services	Order No: 20180808091

Database: CA

Database: CA

Database: CA



Database: CA
Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: Project Description:: Contaminants:: Emission Control:: 6/4/85 Municipal sewage Approved

<u>Site:</u> SAKTO DEVELOPMENTS PRESTON ST. QUEENSWAY CENTRE OTTAWA CITY ON

Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: Project Description:: Contaminants:: Emission Control:: 7-1268-88-88 8/16/1988 Municipal water Approved

<u>Site:</u> City of Ottawa Bayshore Transit Station to Pinecrest Road Ottawa ON

Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: Project Description:: Contaminants:: Emission Control:: 9001-776HB6 2007 9/18/2007 Municipal and Private Sewage Works Approved

<u>Site:</u> R.M. OF OTTAWA-CARLETON MCEWEN AVE./PINECREST COLL. OTTAWA CITY ON

Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: Project Description:: Contaminants:: Emission Control:: 3-1219-93-93 10/26/1993 Municipal sewage Approved Database:

CA

Database:

Database: CA Certificate #: Application Year: Issue Date: Approval Type: Status: Application Type: Client Name:: Client Address:: Client City:: Client Postal Code:: Project Description:: Contaminants:: Emission Control:: 7-1039-85-006 85 11/15/85 Municipal water Approved

<u>Site:</u> Laurent Leblanc Ltd., Database: Watson Road, Lot 13, Concession 4, formerly the Township of Cumberland (geographic township). CITY OF EBR OTTAWA ON

Company Name: EBR Registry No.: Ministry Ref. No.: Notice Type: Notice Date: Proposal Date: Year: Proponent Address: Instrument Type: Location Other: Laurent Leblanc Ltd., IB06E2033 FSD KEM 02/06 Instrument Decision March 22, 2016 May 01, 2006 2006 3000 Navan Road, Gloucester Ontario, K1C 7G4 (ARA s. 13 (2)) - Add, rescind, or vary a condition of a licence

Location:

Watson Road, Lot 13, Concession 4, formerly the Township of Cumberland (geographic township). CITY OF OTTAWA

<u>Site:</u> OTTAW GRAHA	A STRUCTURAL CONCRETE SER M CREEK AT QUEENSWAY FROM	Databas BAYSHORE SHOPP'G CTRE. NEPEAN CITY ON SPL	e:
Ref No: Site No:	74243	Discharger Report: Material Group:	
Incident Dt: Year:	7/27/1992	Client Type: Sector Type:	
Incident Cause: Incident Event: Contaminant Co Contaminant Na Contaminant Lin Contam Limit Fr Contaminant UN Contaminant Qt	OTHER CAUSE (N.O.S.) de: me: mit 1: req 1: I No 1: y: consumption	Source Type: Nearest Watercourse: Site Name: Site Address: Site District Office: Site County/District: Site Postal Code: Site Region:	
Environment Im Nature of Impac Receiving Mediu Receiving Env: Health/Env Cons MOF Response	pact: CONFIRMED t: Water course or lake um: WATER seq:	Site Municipality: 20104 Site Lot: Site Conc: Northing: Easting: Site Geo Ref Accu:	
Dt MOE Arvl on MOE Reported I Dt Document Cl SAC Action Clas Incident Reason Incident Summa	Scn: Dt: 7/27/1992 osed: ss: h: NEGLIGENCE (/ ory: OTTAWA STRU	Site Geo Ref Meth: Site Map Datum: PARENT) 'L CONCRETE -WASHED OUT CONTAINERS IN STORM DRAIN.	

Site:

Database: SPL

Ref No: Site No: Incident Dt: Year: Incident Cause: Incident Event:	4685-7ETJRK Ship's Tank / Bilge Pumping	Discharger Report: Material Group: Client Type: Sector Type: Source Type: Nearest Watercourse:	Pleasure Craft
Contaminant Code:	12	Site Name:	Ottawa River <unofficial></unofficial>
Contaminant Name:	GASOLINE	Site Address:	
Contaminant Limit 1:		Site District Office:	Ottawa
Contam Limit Freq 1:		Site County/District:	
Contaminant ON NO 1.	other - see incident description	Site Postal Code.	
Environment Impact	Possible	Site Municipality	Ottawa
Nature of Impact:	Surface Water Pollution	Site Lot:	
Receiving Medium:		Site Conc:	
Receiving Env:		Northing:	
Health/Env Conseq:		Easting:	
MOE Response:		Site Geo Ref Accu:	
Dt MOE Arvl on Scn:	E/00/0000	Site Geo Ref Meth:	
MOE Reported Dt:	5/20/2008	Site Map Datum:	
Dt Document Closed:	5/25/2000		
SAC ACTION Class:	Spill		
Incident Summary:	Ottawa River: Gas spill from pleasure o	craft	

<u>Site:</u> TRANSPORT TRUCK QUEENSWAY MOTOR VEHICLE (OPERATING FLUID) OTTAWA CITY ON

Ref No:	224201	Discharger Report:	
Site No:		Material Group:	
Incident Dt:	4/19/2002	Client Type:	
Year:		Sector Type:	
Incident Cause:	OTHER TRANSPORTATION ACCIDENT	Source Type:	
Incident Event:		Nearest Watercourse	
Contaminant Codo:		Sito Namo:	
Contaminant Code.		Site Address	
Contominant Limit 1		Site District Office	
		Site District Office.	
Contam Limit Freq 1:		Site County/District:	
Contaminant UN NO 1:		Site Postal Code:	
Contaminant Qty:		Site Region:	
Environment Impact:	CONFIRMED	Site Municipality:	20107
Nature of Impact:	Soil contamination	Site Lot:	
Receiving Medium:	LAND	Site Conc:	
Receiving Env:		Northing:	
Health/Env Conseq:		Easting:	OPP-KANATA; MTO
MOE Response:		Site Geo Ref Accu:	
Dt MOE Arvl on Scn:		Site Geo Ref Meth:	
MOE Reported Dt:	4/19/2002	Site Map Datum:	
Dt Document Closed:			
SAC Action Class:			
Incident Reason	FRROR		
Incident Summary:	LOBLAWS 450LDIESEL FROMTRI		ΜΤΟ
Incident Summary:	LOBLAWS: 450L DIESEL FROM I RU	JCK TO ROAD ONLY; OPP;	MTO.

<u>Site:</u> TRANSPORT TRUCK EAST SIDE OF QUEENSWAY (HIGHWAY 417) BETWEEN MOODIE & EAGLESON ROADS. TRANSPORT TRUCK (CARGO) NEPEAN CITY ON

Ref No: Site No:	76887
Incident Dt:	9/28/1992
Year: Incident Cause: Incident Event: Contaminant Code:	OTHER CONTAINER LEAK

Discharger Report: Material Group: Client Type: Sector Type: Source Type: Nearest Watercourse: Site Name: Database: SPL

29

Contaminant Name: Site Address: Contaminant Limit 1: Site District Office: Contam Limit Freq 1: Site County/District: Contaminant UN No 1: Site Postal Code: Contaminant Qty: Site Region: NOT ANTICIPATED Environment Impact: Site Municipality: 20104 Nature of Impact: Soil contamination Site Lot: Receiving Medium: LAND Site Conc: **Receiving Env:** Northing: Health/Env Conseq: Easting: F.D., MTO MOE Response: Site Geo Ref Accu: Dt MOE Arvl on Scn: Site Geo Ref Meth: MOE Reported Dt: 9/28/1992 Site Map Datum: Dt Document Closed: SAC Action Class: UNKNOWN Incident Reason: TRANSPORT TRUCK-30 L DIESEL FUEL TO DITCH. Incident Summary: Site: City of Ottawa Database: SPL Bike path north of Hurdman/Queensway Bridge Ottawa ON Ref No: 7517-7QRMF9 Discharger Report:

Site No: Incident Dt: Year: Incident Cause: Incident Event: Contaminant Code:	Unknown	Material Group: Client Type: Sector Type: Source Type: Nearest Watercourse: Site Name:	Sewer City Storm Sewer Outfall to Rideau River- Bike
Contaminant Name: Contaminant Limit 1: Contam Limit Freq 1: Contaminant UN No 1:	OIL (PETROLEUM BASED, NOT SPECIFIED)	Site Address: Site District Office: Site County/District: Site Postal Code:	Path <unofficial></unofficial>
Contaminant Qty: Environment Impact: Nature of Impact: Receiving Medium:	Not Anticipated	Site Region: Site Municipality: Site Lot: Site Conc:	Ottawa
Health/Env Conseq: MOE Response: Dt MOE Arvl on Scn: MOE Reported Dt:	Planned Field Response 4/3/2009	Easting: Easting: Site Geo Ref Accu: Site Geo Ref Meth: Site Map Datum:	
Dt Document Closed: SAC Action Class: Incident Reason: Incident Summary:	Pollution Incident Reports (PIRs) and ز Ottawa Rideau River - oily sheen water	Other¿ calls from storm outfall	

Site: Loblaws Company East<UNOFFICIAL> Database: Queensway, from Greenbank Exit to 1735 Iris Road (Pine Crest Shopping Centre - infront of IKEA)<UNOFFICIAL> SPL Ottawa ON 0 6833-6H4GWP Discharger Report: Ref No: Material Group: Site No: Oil Incident Dt: 10/12/2005 Client Type: Sector Type: Other Motor Vehicle Year: Incident Cause: Pipe Or Hose Leak Source Type: Incident Event: Nearest Watercourse: Contaminant Code: Site Name: Queensway, from Greenbank Exit to 1735 Iris Road Contaminant Name: DIESEL FUEL Site Address: Contaminant Limit 1: Site District Office: Ottawa Contam Limit Freq 1: Site County/District: Contaminant UN No 1: Site Postal Code: Contaminant Qty: Site Region: Environment Impact: Not Anticipated Site Municipality: Ottawa

Site Lot:

Site Conc:

30

Nature of Impact:

Receiving Medium:

Land

Receiving Env: Health/Env Conseq: MOE Response: Dt MOE Arvl on Scn: MOE Reported Dt: Dt Document Closed: SAC Action Class: Incident Reason: Incident Summary:

10/12/2005

Land Spills Unknown - Reason not determined Loblaws: 10 to 15 L diesel to road/parking lot

Northing: Easting: Site Geo Ref Accu: Site Geo Ref Meth: Site Map Datum:

Site: BUS Database: RAMSEY CRESCENT AND DU MAURIER INTERSECTION. MOTOR VEHICLE (OPERATING FLUID) OTTAWA CITY ON

Ref No: Site No:	119082	Discharger Report: Matorial Group:	
Incident Dt:	9/29/1995	Client Type:	
Year:		Sector Type:	
Incident Cause:	OTHER CONTAINER LEAK	Source Type:	
Incident Event:		Nearest Watercourse:	
Contaminant Code:		Site Name:	
Contaminant Name:		Site Address:	
Contaminant Limit 1:		Site District Office:	
Contam Limit Freq 1:		Site County/District:	
Contaminant UN No 1:		Site Postal Code:	
Contaminant Qty:		Site Region:	
Environment Impact:	NOT ANTICIPATED	Site Municipality:	20101
Nature of Impact:		Site Lot:	
Receiving Medium:	LAND	Site Conc:	
Receiving Env:		Northing:	
Health/Env Conseq:		Easting:	OTTAWA CITY WORKS
MOE Response:		Site Geo Ref Accu:	
Dt MOE Arvl on Scn:		Site Geo Ref Meth:	
MOE Reported Dt:	9/29/1995	Site Map Datum:	
Dt Document Closed:			
SAC Action Class:			
Incident Reason:	EQUIPMENT FAILURE		
Incident Summary:	SCHOOL BUS-MOTOR OIL TO ROA	D & INTERSECTION.	

Site: **OTTAWA-CARLETON TRANSIT** Database: SPL MOODIE BETWEEN QUEENSWAY AND CARLING AVE, CARLING E AND W TO CORKSTOWN ROAD OTTAWA CITY ON

Ref No: Site No: Incident Dt:	223236 3/13/2002	Discharger Report: Material Group: Client Type:
Year: Incident Cause: Incident Event: Contaminant Code: Contaminant Name: Contaminant Limit 1:	PIPE/HOSE LEAK	Sector Type: Source Type: Nearest Watercourse: Site Name: Site Address: Site District Office:
Contam Limit Freq 1: Contaminant UN No 1: Contaminant Qty: Environment Impact: Nature of Impact:	POSSIBLE Soil contamination	Site County/District: Site Postal Code: Site Region: Site Municipality: 20107 Site Lot:
Receiving Medium: Receiving Env: Health/Env Conseq: MOE Response:	LAND	Site Conc: Northing: Easting: Site Geo Ref Accu:
DT MOE ARVI on Scn: MOE Reported Dt: Dt Document Closed: SAC Action Class: Incident Reason:	3/13/2002 UNKNOWN	Site Geo Ker Meth: Site Map Datum:

31

Order No: 20180808091

SPL

<u>Site:</u> TANK TRUCK QUEENSWAY (EASTBOUND) BETWEEN EAGLESON AND MOODY TANK TRUCK (CARGO) NEPEAN CITY ON

Ref No:	95884	Discharger Report:	
Site No:	1/20/4004	Material Group:	
Incident Dt:	1/30/1994	Client Type:	
Year:		Sector Type:	
Incident Cause:	OTHER CONTAINER LEAK	Source Type:	
Incident Event:		Nearest Watercourse:	
Contaminant Code:		Site Name:	
Contaminant Name:		Site Address:	
Contaminant Limit 1:		Site District Office:	
Contam Limit Freg 1:		Site County/District:	
Contaminant UN No 1:		Site Postal Code:	
Contaminant Qtv:		Site Region:	
Environment Impact:	NOT ANTICIPATED	Site Municipality:	20104
Nature of Impact:		Site Lot:	
Receiving Medium:	LAND	Site Conc:	
Receiving Env:		Northing:	
Health/Env Conseq:		Easting:	FIRE DEPARTMENT, OPP
MOE Response:		Site Geo Ref Accu:	
Dt MOE Arvl on Scn:		Site Geo Ref Meth:	
MOE Reported Dt:	1/30/1994	Site Map Datum:	
Dt Document Closed:			
SAC Action Class:			
Incident Reason:	UNKNOWN		
Incident Summary:	TANK TRUCK: 15 L FURNACE OIL TO	ROAD	

Site: O.C. TRANSPO

PINECREST STATION IN QUEENSDALE OTTAWA SITE 1500 ST. LAURENT BOULEVARD OTTAWA CITY ON

Site:	UNKNOWN	
	BLAIR STATION AND QUEENSWAY	OTTAWA CITY ON

Ref No:	239018	Discharger Report:
Site No:		Material Group:
Incident Dt:	9/11/2002	Client Type:
Year:		Sector Type:
Incident Cause:	UNKNOWN	Source Type:



Database: SPL

Database: SPL

32

erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

Incident Event: Contaminant Code: Contaminant Name: Contaminant Limit 1: Contam Limit Freq 1: Contaminant UN No 1: Contaminant Qty: Environment Impact: Nature of Impact: Receiving Medium: Receiving Env: Health/Env Conseq: MOE Response: Dt MOE Arvl on Scn: MOE Reported Dt: Dt Document Closed: SAC Action Class: Incident Reason: Incident Summary:

POSSIBLE Water course or lake LAND, WATER

9/11/2002

Nearest Watercourse: Site Name: Site Address: Site District Office: Site County/District: Site Postal Code: Site Region: Site Municipality: Site Lot: Site Conc: Northing: Easting: Site Geo Ref Accu: Site Geo Ref Meth: Site Map Datum:

20107

UNKNOWN

SOURCE UNK: UNK VOLUME OF ANTIFREEZE IN THE STORMSEWER, CLEANING

Appendix: Database Descriptions

Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) can search the following databases. The extent of historical information varies with each database and current information is determined by what is publicly available to ERIS at the time of update. Note: Databases denoted with " * " indicates that the database will no longer be updated. See the individual database description for more information.

Abandoned Aggregate Inventory: Provincial AAGR The MAAP Program maintains a database of abandoned pits and guarries. Please note that the database is only referenced by lot and concession and city/town location. The database provides information regarding the location, type, size, land use, status and general comments.* Government Publication Date: Sept 2002*

Provincial Aggregate Inventory: AGR The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources maintains a database of all active pits and quarries. The database provides information regarding the registered owner/operator, location name, operation type, approval type, and maximum annual tonnage. Government Publication Date: Up to Sep 2017

Provincial Abandoned Mine Information System: AMIS The Abandoned Mines Information System contains data on known abandoned and inactive mines located on both Crown and privately held lands. The information was provided by the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines (MNDM), with the following disclaimer: "the database provided has been compiled from various sources, and the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines makes no representation and takes no responsibility that such information is accurate, current or complete". Reported information includes official mine name, status, background information, mine start/end date, primary commodity, mine features, hazards and remediation. Government Publication Date: 1800-Nov 2016

Anderson's Waste Disposal Sites: The information provided in this database was collected by examining various historical documents which aimed to characterize the likely position of former waste disposal sites from 1860 to present. The research initiative behind the creation of this database was to identify those sites that are missing from the Ontario MOE Waste Disposal Site Inventory, as well as to provide revisions and corrections to the positions and descriptions of sites currently listed in the MOE inventory. In addition to historic waste disposal facilities, the database also identifies certain auto wreckers and scrap yards that have been extrapolated from documentary sources. Please note that the data is not warranted to be complete, exhaustive or authoritative. The information was collected for research purposes only.

Government Publication Date: 1860s-Present

Automobile Wrecking & Supplies:

This database provides an inventory of known locations that are involved in the scrap metal, automobile wrecking/recycling, and automobile parts & supplies industry. Information is provided on the company name, location and business type.

Government Publication Date: 1999-Jan 31, 2018

Borehole:

A borehole is the generalized term for any narrow shaft drilled in the ground, either vertically or horizontally. The information here includes geotechnical investigations or environmental site assessments, mineral exploration, or as a pilot hole for installing piers or underground utilities. Information is from many sources such as the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) boreholes from engineering reports and projects from the 1950 to 1990's in Southern Ontario. Boreholes from the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) including The Urban Geology Analysis Information System (UGAIS) and the York Peel Durham Toronto (YPDT) database of the Conservation Authority Moraine Coalition. This database will include fields such as location, stratigraphy, depth, elevation, year drilled, etc. For all water well data or oil and gas well data for Ontario please refer to WWIS and OOGW. Government Publication Date: 1875-Jul 2014

Certificates of Approval: CA This database contains the following types of approvals: Air & Noise, Industrial Sewage, Municipal & Private Sewage, Waste Management Systems and Renewable Energy Approvals. The MOE in Ontario states that any facility that releases emissions to the atmosphere, discharges contaminants to ground or surface water, provides potable water supplies, or stores, transports or disposes of waste, must have a Certificate of Approval before it can operate lawfully. Fields include approval number, business name, address, approval date, approval type and status. This database will no longer be updated, as CofA's have been replaced by either Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) or Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA). Please refer to those individual databases for any information after Oct.31, 2011.

Government Publication Date: 1985-Oct 30, 2011*

BORE

ANDR

AUWR

Provincial

Private

Private

Provincial

Order No: 20180808091

(TSSA). This data would include all commercial underground fuel oil tanks in Ontario with fields such as location, registration number, tank material, age of tank and tank size. Government Publication Date: Feb 28, 2017

Commercial Fuel Oil Tanks:

Chemical Register: This database includes information from both a one time study conducted in 1992 and private source and is a listing of facilities that manufacture or

Government Publication Date: 1999-Jan 31, 2018

(i.e. fractionation, solvent extraction, crystallization, etc.).

Inventory of Coal Gasification Plants and Coal Tar Sites:

Compressed Natural Gas Stations:

3,000 pounds per square inch (psi), the pressure which is allowed within the current Canadian codes and standards. The majority of natural gas refuelling is located at existing retail gasoline that have a separate refuelling island for natural gas. This list of stations is made available by the Canadian Natural Gas Vehicle Alliance Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2012

Canada has a network of public access compressed natural gas (CNG) refuelling stations. These stations dispense natural gas in compressed form at

Since May 2002, Ontario developed a new act where it became mandatory for fuel oil tanks to be registered with Technical Standards & Safety Authority

distribute chemicals. The production of these chemical substances may involve one or more chemical reactions and/or chemical separation processes

This inventory includes both the "Inventory of Coal Gasification Plant Waste Sites in Ontario-April 1987" and the Inventory of Industrial Sites Producing or Using Coal Tar and Related Tars in Ontario-November 1988) collected by the MOE. It identifies industrial sites that produced and continue to produce or use coal tar and other related tars. Detailed information is available and includes: facility type, size, land use, information on adjoining properties, soil condition, site operators/occupants, site description, potential environmental impacts and historic maps available. This was a one-time inventory.* Government Publication Date: Apr 1987 and Nov 1988*

This database summarizes the fines and convictions handed down by the Ontario courts beginning in 1989. Companies and individuals named here have been found guilty of environmental offenses in Ontario courts of law.

Government Publication Date: 1989-Apr 2018

Government Publication Date: 1994-Apr 30, 2018

Certificates of Property Use:

Certificate of Property Use.

Compliance and Convictions:

Drill Hole Database: Provincial DRL The Ontario Drill Hole Database contains information on more than 113,000 percussion, overburden, sonic and diamond drill holes from assessment

files on record with the department of Mines and Minerals. Please note that limited data is available for southern Ontario, as it was the last area to be completed. The database was created when surveys submitted to the Ministry were converted in the Assessment File Research Image Database (AFRI) project. However, the degree of accuracy (coordinates) as to the exact location of drill holes is dependent upon the source document submitted to the MNDM. Levels of accuracy used to locate holes are: centering on the mining claim; a sketch of the mining claim; a 1:50,000 map; a detailed company map; or from submitted a "Report of Work".

Government Publication Date: 1886-Nov 30, 2017

Dry Cleaning Facilities:

List of dry cleaning facilities made available by Environment and Climate Change Canada. Environment and Climate Change Canada's Tetrachloroethylene (Use in Dry Cleaning and Reporting Requirements) Regulations (SOR/2003-79) are intended to reduce releases of tetrachloroethylene to the environment from dry cleaning facilities.

Government Publication Date: Jan 2004-Dec 2016

Environmental Activity and Sector Registry:

On October 31, 2011, a smarter, faster environmental approvals system came into effect in Ontario. The EASR allows businesses to register certain activities with the ministry, rather than apply for an approval. The registry is available for common systems and processes, to which preset rules of operation can be applied. The EASR is currently available for: heating systems, standby power systems and automotive refinishing. Businesses whose activities aren't subject to the EASR may apply for an ECA (Environmental Compliance Approval), Please see our ECA database. Government Publication Date: Oct 2011-Jun 30, 2018

Provincial

CFOT

CHFM

CNG

COAL

CPU

Private

Private

Provincial

Provincial CONV

Provincial This is a subset taken from Ontario's Environmental Registry (EBR) database. It will include all CPU's on the registry such as (EPA s. 168.6) -

Federal

Provincial

EASR

DRYCLEANERS

erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

35

The Environmental Registry lists proposals, decisions and exceptions regarding policies, Acts, instruments, or regulations that could significantly affect the environment. Through the Registry, thirteen provincial ministries notify the public of upcoming proposals and invite their comments. For example, if a local business is requesting a permit, license, or certificate of approval to release substances into the air or water; these are notified on the registry. Data includes: Approval for discharge into the natural environment other than water (i.e. Air) - EPA s. 9, Approval for sewage works - OWRA s. 53(1), and EPA s. 27 - Approval for a waste disposal site. For information regarding Permit to Take Water (PTTW), Certificate of Property Use (CPU) and (ORD) Orders please refer to those individual databases.

Government Publication Date: 1994-Apr 30, 2018

Environmental Compliance Approval:

Environmental Registry:

On October 31, 2011, a smarter, faster environmental approvals system came into effect in Ontario. In the past, a business had to apply for multiple approvals (known as certificates of approval) for individual processes and pieces of equipment. Today, a business either registers itself, or applies for a single approval, depending on the types of activities it conducts. Businesses whose activities aren't subject to the EASR may apply for an ECA. A single ECA addresses all of a business's emissions, discharges and wastes. Separate approvals for air, noise and waste are no longer required. This database will also include Renewable Energy Approvals. For certificates of approval prior to Nov 1st, 2011, please refer to the CA database. For all Waste Disposal Sites please refer to the WDS database.

fisheries resources. Since 1992, pulp and paper mills have been required to conduct EEM studies under the Pulp and Paper Effluent Regulations. This

Government Publication Date: Oct 2011-Jun 30, 2018

Environmental Effects Monitoring:

The Environmental Effects Monitoring program assesses the effects of effluent from industrial or other sources on fish, fish habitat and human usage of

Government Publication Date: 1992-2007*

ERIS Historical Searches:

ERIS has compiled a database of all environmental risk reports completed since March 1999. Available fields for this database include: site location, date of report, type of report, and search radius. As per all other databases, the ERIS database can be referenced on both the map and "Statistical Profile" page.

was established to determine the location and severity of contaminated sites on inhabited First Nation reserves, and where necessary, to remediate

Government Publication Date: 1999-Feb 28, 2018

Environmental Issues Inventory System:

those that posed a risk to health and safety; and to prevent future environmental problems. The EIIS provides information on the reserve under investigation, inventory number, name of site, environmental issue, site action (Remediation, Site Assessment), and date investigation completed. Government Publication Date: 1992-2001*

database provides information on the mill name, geographical location and sub-lethal toxicity data.

Emergency Management Historical Event:

Government Publication Date: Feb 28, 2017

List of locations of historical occurrences of emergency events, including those assigned to the Ministry of Natural Resources by Order-In-Council (OIC) under the Emergency Management and Civil Protection Act, as well as events where MNR provided requested emergency response assistance. Many of these events will have involved community evacuations, significant structural loss, and/or involvement of MNR emergency response staff. These events fall into one of ten (10) type categories: Dam Failure; Drought / Low Water; Erosion; Flood; Forest Fire; Soil and Bedrock Instability; Petroleum Resource Center Event, EMO Requested Assistance, Continuity of Operations Event, Other Requested Assistance. EMHE record details are reproduced by ERIS under License with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources © Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2017. Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2016

List of TSSA Expired Facilities: FXP List of facilities with removed tanks which were once registered with the Fuels Safety Program of the Technical Standards and Safety Authority (TSSA). Includes private fuel outlets, bulk plants, fuel oil tanks, gasoline stations, marinas, propane filling stations, liquid fuel tanks, piping systems, etc. Tanks which have been removed automatically fall under the expired facilities inventory held by TSSA.

Federal Convictions: **FCON** Environment Canada maintains a database referred to as the "Environmental Registry" that details prosecutions under the Canadian Environmental Protection Act (CEPA) and the Fisheries Act (FA). Information is provided on the company name, location, charge date, offence and penalty. Government Publication Date: 1988-Jun 2007*

Private

Federal

Federal The Environmental Issues Inventory System was developed through the implementation of the Environmental Issues and Remediation Plan. This plan

Provincial

Provincial

Federal

Provincial

Provincial

EBR

ECA

EEM

FHS

FIIS

FMHF

Contaminated Sites on Federal Land:

Government Publication Date: Jun 2000-May 2018

Fisheries & Oceans Fuel Tanks:

Fisheries & Oceans Canada maintains an inventory of aboveground & underground fuel storage tanks located on Fisheries & Oceans property or controlled by DFO. Our inventory provides information on the site name, location, tank owner, tank operator, facility type, storage tank location, tank contents & capacity, and date of tank installation. *Government Publication Date: 1964-Sep 2017*

are under the control of, enterprise Crown corporations, private individuals, firms or other levels of government.

 Fuel Storage Tank:
 Provincial
 FST

 The Technical Standards & Safety Authority (TSSA), under the Technical Standards & Safety Act of 2000 maintains a database of registered private and retail fuel storage tanks in Ontario with fields such as location, tank status, license date, tank type, tank capacity, fuel type, installation year and facility

The Federal Contaminated Sites Inventory includes information on known federal contaminated sites under the custodianship of departments, agencies and consolidated Crown corporations as well as those that are being or have been investigated to determine whether they have contamination arising from past use that could pose a risk to human health or the environment. The inventory also includes non-federal contaminated sites for which the Government of Canada has accepted some or all financial responsibility. It does not include sites where contamination has been caused by, and which

Government Publication Date: Feb 28, 2017

Fuel Storage Tank - Historic:

type.

The Fuels Safety Branch of the Ontario Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations maintained a database of all registered private fuel storage tanks. Public records of private fuel storage tanks are only available since the registration became effective in September 1989. This information is now collected by the Technical Standards and Safety Authority.

Government Publication Date: Pre-Jan 2010*

Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Generators Summary:

Regulation 347 of the Ontario EPA defines a waste generation site as any site, equipment and/or operation involved in the production, collection, handling and/or storage of regulated wastes. A generator of regulated waste is required to register the waste generation site and each waste produced, collected, handled, or stored at the site. This database contains the registration number, company name and address of registered generators including the types of hazardous wastes generated. It includes data on waste generating facilities such as: drycleaners, waste treatment and disposal facilities, machine shops, electric power distribution etc. This information is a summary of all years from 1986 including the most currently available data. Some records may contain, within the company name, the phrase "See & Use..." followed by a series of letters and numbers. This occurs when one company is amalgamated with or taken over by another registered company. The number listed as "See & Use", refers to the new ownership and the other identification number refers to the original ownership. This phrase serves as a link between the 2 companies until operations have been fully transferred.

Government Publication Date: 1986-December 31, 2017

Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Large Facilities:

List of greenhouse gas emissions from large facilities made available by Environment Canada. Greenhouse gas emissions in kilotonnes of carbon dioxide equivalents (kt CO2 eq). Government Publication Date: 2013-Dec 2016

This database will cover all incidences recorded by TSSA with their older system, before they moved to their new management system. TSSA's Fuels Safety Program administers the Technical Standards & Safety Act 2000, providing fuel-related safety services associated with the safe transportation, storage, handling and use of fuels such as gasoline, diesel, propane, natural gas and hydrogen. Under this Act, TSSA regulates fuel suppliers, storage facilities, transport trucks, pipelines, contractors and equipment or appliances that use fuels. The TSSA works to protect the public, the environment and property from fuel-related hazards such as spills, fires and explosions. This database will include spills and leaks from pipelines, diesel, fuel oil, gasoline, natural gas, propane and hydrogen recorded by the TSSA.

Government Publication Date: 2006-June 2009*

Indian & Northern Affairs Fuel Tanks:

TSSA Historic Incidents:

The Department of Indian & Northern Affairs Canada (INAC) maintains an inventory of aboveground & underground fuel storage tanks located on both federal and crown land. Our inventory provides information on the reserve name, location, facility type, site/facility name, tank type, material & ID number, tank contents & capacity, and date of tank installation.

Government Publication Date: 1950-Aug 2003*

Federal

FCS

FOFT

FSTH

GEN

GHG

HINC

IAFT

Federal

Provincial

Provincial

Federal

Provincial

Federal

Order No: 20180808091

covered by the Municipal Industrial Strategy for Abatement (MISA) regulations.

In the early 70's, the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines created an inventory of approximately 19,000 mineral occurrences in Ontario, in regard to metallic and industrial minerals, as well as some information on building stones and aggregate deposits. Please note that the "Horizontal Positional Accuracy" is approximately +/- 200 m. Many reference elements for each record were derived from field sketches using pace or chain/tape measurements against claim posts or topographic features in the area. The primary limiting factor for the level of positional accuracy is the scale of the source material. The testing of horizontal accuracy of the source materials was accomplished by comparing the plan metric (X and Y) coordinates of that point with the coordinates of the same point as defined from a source of higher accuracy.

National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System (NATES):

In 1974 Environment Canada established the National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System (NATES) database, for the voluntary reporting of significant spill incidents. The data was to be used to assist in directing the work of the emergencies program. NATES ran from 1974 to 1994. Extensive information is available within this database including company names, place where the spill occurred, date of spill, cause, reason and source of spill, damage incurred, and amount, concentration, and volume of materials released. Government Publication Date: 1974-1994*

Non-Compliance Reports: NCPL The Ministry of the Environment provides information about non-compliant discharges of contaminants to air and water that exceed legal allowable limits, from regulated industrial and municipal facilities. A reported non-compliance failure may be in regard to a Control Order, Certificate of Approval, Sectoral Regulation or specific regulation/act.

Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2016

National Defense & Canadian Forces Fuel Tanks:

Government Publication Date: Up to May 2001*

38

INC TSSA's Fuels Safety Program administers the Technical Standards & Safety Act 2000, providing fuel-related safety services associated with the safe transportation, storage, handling and use of fuels such as gasoline, diesel, propane, natural gas and hydrogen. Under this Act, TSSA regulates fuel suppliers, storage facilities, transport trucks, pipelines, contractors and equipment or appliances that use fuels. Includes incidents from fuel-related hazards such as spills, fires and explosions. This database will include spills and leaks from diesel, fuel oil, gasoline, natural gas, propane and hydrogen recorded by the TSSA.

Government Publication Date: Feb 28, 2017

Landfill Inventory Management Ontario:

The Landfill Inventory Management Ontario (LIMO) database is updated every year, as the ministry compiles new and updated information. The inventory will include small and large landfills. Additionally, each year the ministry will request operators of the larger landfills complete a landfill data collection form that will be used to update LIMO and will include the following information from the previous operating year. This will include additional information such as estimated amount of total waste received, landfill capacity, estimated total remaining landfill capacity, fill rates, engineering designs, reporting and monitoring details, size of location, service area, approved waste types, leachate of site treatment, contaminant attenuation zone and more. The small landfills will include information such as site owner, site location and certificate of approval # and status. Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2013

Canadian Mine Locations: MINE This information is collected from the Canadian & American Mines Handbook. The Mines database is a national database that provides over 290 listings on mines (listed as public companies) dealing primarily with precious metals and hard rocks. Listed are mines that are currently in operation, closed, suspended, or are still being developed (advanced projects). Their locations are provided as geographic coordinates (x, y and/or longitude, latitude). As of 2002, data pertaining to Canadian smelters and refineries has been appended to this database. Government Publication Date: 1998-2009*

Environmental Penalty Annual Report: Provincial **MISA PENALTY** This database contains data from Ontario's annual environmental penalty report published by the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change. These reports provide information on environmental penalties for land or water violations issued to companies in one of the nine industrial sectors

Government Publication Date: Jan 1, 2011 - Dec 31, 2017

Mineral Occurrences:

Government Publication Date: 1846-Jan 2018 Federal NATE

NDFT The Department of National Defense and the Canadian Forces maintains an inventory of all aboveground & underground fuel storage tanks located on DND lands. Our inventory provides information on the base name, location, tank type & capacity, tank contents, tank class, date of tank installation, date tank last used, and status of tank as of May 2001. This database will no longer be updated due to the new National Security protocols which have prohibited any release of this database.

TSSA Incidents:

Provincial

I IMO

MNR

Federal

Provincial



Private

Provincial

National Defense & Canadian Forces Spills:

of spill, as well as the quantity of substance spilled & recovered. Government Publication Date: Mar 1999-Apr 2018

National Defence & Canadian Forces Waste Disposal Sites: Federal NDWD The Department of National Defence and the Canadian Forces maintains an inventory of waste disposal sites located on DND lands. Where available, our inventory provides information on the base name, location, type of waste received, area of site, depth of site, year site opened/closed and status. Government Publication Date: 2001-Apr 2007*

The Department of National Defense and the Canadian Forces maintains an inventory of spills to land and water. All spill sites have been classified under the "Transportation of Dangerous Goods Act - 1992". Our inventory provides information on the facility name, location, spill ID #, spill date, type

National Energy Board Pipeline Incidents:

Locations of pipeline incidents from 2008 to present, made available by the National Energy Board (NEB). Includes incidents reported under the Onshore Pipeline Regulations and the Processing Plant Regulations related to pipelines under federal jurisdiction, does not include incident data related to pipelines under provincial or territorial jurisdiction. Government Publication Date: 2008-Mar 31, 2018

National Energy Board Wells: Federal **NEBW** The NEBW database contains information on onshore & offshore oil and gas wells that are outside provincial jurisdiction(s) and are thereby regulated by the National Energy Board. Data is provided regarding the operator, well name, well ID No./UWI, status, classification, well depth, spud and release date.

Government Publication Date: 1920-Feb 2003*

National Environmental Emergencies System (NEES):

In 2000, the Emergencies program implemented NEES, a reporting system for spills of hazardous substances. For the most part, this system only captured data from the Atlantic Provinces, some from Quebec and Ontario and a portion from British Columbia. Data for Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba and the Territories was not captured. However, NEES is also a repository for previous Environment Canada spill datasets. NEES is composed of the historic datasets ' or Trends ' which dates from approximately 1974 to present. NEES Trends is a compilation of historic databases, which were merged and includes data from NATES (National Analysis of Trends in Emergencies System), ARTS (Atlantic Regional Trends System), and NEES. In 2001, the Emergencies Program determined that variations in reporting regimes and requirements between federal and provincial agencies made national spill reporting and trend analysis difficult to achieve. As a consequence, the department has focused efforts on capturing data on spills of substances which fall under its legislative authority only (CEPA and FA). As such, the NEES database will be decommissioned in December 2004

Government Publication Date: 1974-2003*

National PCB Inventory: NPCB Environment Canada's National PCB inventory includes information on in-use PCB containing equipment in Canada including federal, provincial and private facilities. Federal out-of-service PCB containing equipment and PCB waste owned by the federal government or by federally regulated industries such as airlines, railway companies, broadcasting companies, telephone and telecommunications companies, pipeline companies, etc. are also listed. Although it is not Environment Canada's mandate to collect data on non-federal PCB waste, the National PCB inventory includes some information on provincial and private PCB waste and storage sites. Some addresses provided may be Head Office addresses and are not necessarily the location of where the waste is being used or stored.

National Pollutant Release Inventory: Environment Canada has defined the National Pollutant Release Inventory ("NPRI") as a federal government initiative designed to collect

Government Publication Date: 1993-May 2017

The Nickle's Energy Group (publisher of the Daily Oil Bulletin) collects information on drilling activity including operator and well statistics. The well information database includes name, location, class, status and depth. The main Nickle's database is updated on a daily basis, however, this database is updated on a monthly basis. More information is available at www.nickles.com.

comprehensive national data regarding releases to air, water, or land, and waste transfers for recycling for more than 300 listed substances.

OOGW In 1998, the MNR handed over to the Ontario Oil, Gas and Salt Resources Corporation, the responsibility of maintaining a database of oil and gas wells drilled in Ontario. The OGSR Library has over 20,000+ wells in their database. Information available for all wells in the ERIS database include well owner/operator, location, permit issue date, and well cap date, license No., status, depth and the primary target (rock unit) of the well being drilled. All geology/stratigraphy table information, plus all water table information is also provide for each well record.

Government Publication Date: 1800-May 2018

erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

Oil and Gas Wells:

Government Publication Date: 1988-April 30, 2018

Ontario Oil and Gas Wells:

Government Publication Date: 1988-2008*

Private

Provincial

Federal

NDSP

NEBI

NFFS

NPRI

OGW

Federal

Federal

Federal

Federal

Inventory of PCB Storage Sites: The Ontario Ministry of Environment, Waste Management Branch, maintains an inventory of PCB storage sites within the province. Ontario Regulation

Government Publication Date: 1987-Oct 2004; 2012-Dec 2013

quantities; 2) major and minor sites storing liquid or solid waste; and 3) a waste storage inventory.

conformity with Act for waste disposal sites, (EPA s. 136) - Order for performance of environmental measures.

Government Publication Date: 1994-Apr 30, 2018

This information is part of the Pulp and Paper Canada Directory. The Directory provides a comprehensive listing of the locations of pulp and paper mills and the products that they produce.

The database details information on site name, location, tank install/removal date, capacity, fuel type, facility type, tank design and owner/operator.

The Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change maintains a database of licensed operators and vendors of registered pesticides.

remedial work, (EPA s. 18) - Order for preventative measures, (EPA s. 43) - Order for removal of waste and restoration of site, (EPA s. 44) - Order for

11/82 (Waste Management - PCB) and Regulation 347 (Generator Waste Management) under the Ontario EPA requires the registration of inactive PCB storage equipment and/or disposal sites of PCB waste with the Ontario Ministry of Environment. This database contains information on: 1) waste

Government Publication Date: 1999, 2002, 2004, 2005, 2009-2014

Parks Canada Fuel Storage Tanks:

Government Publication Date: 1920-Jan 2005*

Government Publication Date: 1988-Mar 2018

Canadian Pulp and Paper:

Pesticide Register:

TSSA Pipeline Incidents:

Orders:

transportation, storage, handling and use of fuels such as gasoline, diesel, propane, natural gas and hydrogen. Under this Act, TSSA regulates fuel suppliers, storage facilities, transport trucks, pipelines, contractors and equipment or appliances that use fuels. This database will include spills, strike and leaks from recorded by the TSSA. Government Publication Date: Feb 28, 2017

Private and Retail Fuel Storage Tanks: PRT The Fuels Safety Branch of the Ontario Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations maintained a database of all registered private fuel storage tanks and licensed retail fuel outlets. This database includes an inventory of locations that have gasoline, oil, waste oil, natural gas and/or propane storage tanks on their property. The MCCR no longer collects this information. This information is now collected by the Technical Standards and Safety Authority (TSSA).

Government Publication Date: 1989-1996*

Permit to Take Water:

40

This is a subset taken from Ontario's Environmental Registry (EBR) database. It will include all PTTW's on the registry such as OWRA s. 34 - Permit to take water. Government Publication Date: 1994-Apr 30, 2018

Ontario Regulation 347 Waste Receivers Summary: Part V of the Ontario Environmental Protection Act ("EPA") regulates the disposal of regulated waste through an operating waste management system or a waste disposal site operated or used pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Certificate of Approval or a Provisional Certificate of Approval. Regulation 347 of the Ontario EPA defines a waste receiving site as any site or facility to which waste is transferred by a waste carrier. A receiver of regulated waste is required to register the waste receiving facility. This database represents registered receivers of regulated wastes, identified by registration number, company name and address, and includes receivers of waste such as: landfills, incinerators, transfer stations, PCB storage sites, sludge farms and water pollution control plants. This information is a summary of all years from 1986 including the most currently available data. Government Publication Date: 1986-2016

Provincial This is a subset taken from Ontario's Environmental Registry (EBR) database. It will include all Orders on the registry such as (EPA s. 17) - Order for

Private

PCFT Canadian Heritage maintains an inventory of known fuel storage tanks operated by Parks Canada, in both National Parks and at National Historic Sites.

OPCB

ORD

PAP

PES

PINC

PTTW

Provincial

Federal

Provincial TSSA's Fuels Safety Program administers the Technical Standards & Safety Act 2000, providing fuel-related safety services associated with the safe

Provincial

Provincial

Provincial

RFC

Record of Site Condition:

The Record of Site Condition (RSC) is part of the Ministry of the Environment's Brownfields Environmental Site Registry. Protection from environmental cleanup orders for property owners is contingent upon documentation known as a record of site condition (RSC) being filed in the Environmental Site Registry. In order to file an RSC, the property must have been properly assessed and shown to meet the soil, sediment and groundwater standards appropriate for the use (such as residential) proposed to take place on the property. The Record of Site Condition Regulation (O. Reg. 153/04) details requirements related to site assessment and clean up.

RSCs filed after July 1, 2011 will also be included as part of the new (O.Reg. 511/09). Government Publication Date: 1997-Sept 2001, Oct 2004-Apr 2018

Retail Fuel Storage Tanks:

This database includes an inventory of retail fuel outlet locations (including marinas) that have on their property gasoline, oil, waste oil, natural gas and / or propane storage tanks. Government Publication Date: 1999-Jan 31, 2018

Scott's Manufacturing Directory: SCT Scott's Directories is a data bank containing information on over 200,000 manufacturers across Canada. Even though Scott's listings are voluntary, it is the most comprehensive database of Canadian manufacturers available. Information concerning a company's address, plant size, and main products are included in this database.

Government Publication Date: 1992-Mar 2011*

Ontario Spills: SPI This database identifies information such as location (approximate), type and quantity of contaminant, date of spill, environmental impact, cause, nature of impact, etc. Information from 1988-2002 was part of the ORIS (Occurrence Reporting Information System). The SAC (Spills Action Centre) handles all spills reported in Ontario. Regulations for spills in Ontario are part of the MOE's Environmental Protection Act, Part X. Government Publication Date: 1988-Feb 2018

Wastewater Discharger Registration Database: Provincial SRDS Information under this heading is combination of the following 2 programs. The Municipal/Industrial Strategy for Abatement (MISA) division of the Ontario Ministry of Environment maintained a database of all direct dischargers of toxic pollutants within nine sectors including: Electric Power Generation; Mining; Petroleum Refining; Organic Chemicals; Inorganic Chemicals; Pulp & Paper; Metal Casting; Iron & Steel; and Quarries. All sampling information is now collected and stored within the Sample Result Data Store (SRDS).

Government Publication Date: 1990-Dec 31, 2016

Anderson's Storage Tanks: The information provided in this database was collected by examining various historical documents, which identified the location of former storage tanks, containing substances such as fuel, water, gas, oil, and other various types of miscellaneous products. Information is available in regard to business operating at tank site, tank location, permit year, permit & installation type, no. of tanks installed & configuration and tank capacity. Data contained within this database pertains only to the city of Toronto and is not warranted to be complete, exhaustive or authoritative. The information was collected for research purposes only.

List of fuel storage tanks currently or previously owned or operated by Transport Canada. This inventory also includes tanks on The Pickering Lands, which refers to 7,530 hectares (18,600 acres) of land in Pickering, Markham, and Uxbridge owned by the Government of Canada since 1972; properties

Government Publication Date: 1915-1953*

Transport Canada Fuel Storage Tanks:

on this land has been leased by the government since 1975, and falls under the Site Management Policy of Transport Canada, but is administered by Public Works and Government Services Canada. This inventory provides information on the site name, location, tank age, capacity and fuel type. Government Publication Date: 1970-Aug 2017

TSSA Variances for Abandonment of Underground Storage Tanks:

List of variances granted for abandoned tanks. Under the Technical Standards and Safety Authority (TSSA) Liquid Fuels Handling Code and Fuel Oil Code, all underground storage tanks must be removed within two years of disuse. If removal of a tank is not feasible, an application may be sought for a variance from this code requirement. Government Publication Date: Feb 28, 2017

Waste Disposal Sites - MOE CA Inventory: The Ontario Ministry of Environment, Waste Management Branch, maintains an inventory of known open (active or inactive) and closed disposal sites in the Province of Ontario. Active sites maintain a Certificate of Approval, are approved to receive and are receiving waste. Inactive sites maintain Certificate(s) of Approval but are not receiving waste. Closed sites are not receiving waste. The data contained within this database was compiled from the MOE's Certificate of Approval database. Locations of these sites may be cross-referenced to the Anderson database described under ERIS's Private Source Database section, by the CA number. All new Environmental Compliance Approvals handed out after Oct 31, 2011 for Waste Disposal Sites will still be found in this database.

Government Publication Date: Oct 2011-Jun 30, 2018

41

RSC

RST

Private

Private

Provincial

Private

Federal

Provincial

Provincial

WDS

TANK

TCFT

VAR

Provincial

Waste Disposal Sites - MOE 1991 Historical Approval Inventory:

In June 1991, the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Waste Management Branch, published the "June 1991 Waste Disposal Site Inventory", of all known active and closed waste disposal sites as of October 30st, 1990. For each "active" site as of October 31st 1990, information is provided on site location, site/CA number, waste type, site status and site classification. For each "closed" site as of October 31st 1990, information is provided on site location, site/CA number, closure date and site classification. Locations of these sites may be cross-referenced to the Anderson database described under ERIS's Private Source Database section, by the CA number.

Government Publication Date: Up to Oct 1990*

Water Well Information System:

This database describes locations and characteristics of water wells found within Ontario in accordance with Regulation 903. It includes such information as coordinates, construction date, well depth, primary and secondary use, pump rate, static water level, well status, etc. Also included are detailed stratigraphy information, approximate depth to bedrock and the approximate depth to the water table.

Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2017

Provincial

Provincial

WDSH

WWIS

Definitions

Database Descriptions: This section provides a detailed explanation for each database including: source, information available, time coverage, and acronyms used. They are listed in alphabetic order.

Detail Report: This is the section of the report which provides the most detail for each individual record. Records are summarized by location, starting with the project property followed by records in closest proximity.

Distance: The distance value is the distance between plotted points, not necessarily the distance between the sites' boundaries. All values are an approximation.

Direction: The direction value is the compass direction of the site in respect to the project property and/or center point of the report.

<u>Elevation</u>: The elevation value is taken from the location at which the records for the site address have been plotted. All values are an approximation. Source: Google Elevation API.

Executive Summary: This portion of the report is divided into 3 sections:

'Report Summary'- Displays a chart indicating how many records fall on the project property and, within the report search radii.

'Site Report Summary'-Project Property'- This section lists all the records which fall on the project property. For more details, see the 'Detail Report' section.

'Site Report Summary-Surrounding Properties'- This section summarizes all records on adjacent properties, listing them in order of proximity from the project property. For more details, see the 'Detail Report' section.

<u>Map Key:</u> The map key number is assigned according to closest proximity from the project property. Map Key numbers always start at #1. The project property will always have a map key of '1' if records are available. If there is a number in brackets beside the main number, this will indicate the number of records on that specific property. If there is no number in brackets, there is only one record for that property.

The symbol and colour used indicates 'elevation': the red inverted triangle will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Lower Elevation', the yellow triangle will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Higher Elevation' and the orange square will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Same Elevation.'

<u>Unplottables:</u> These are records that could not be mapped due to various reasons, including limited geographic information. These records may or may not be in your study area, and are included as reference.

Appendix C TSSA Correspondence

No Records Found

Hello,

Thank you for your request for confirmation of public information.

 We confirm that there are <u>no fuel storage tanks records</u> in our database at the subject address(es).

For copies of documents, please complete the Release of Public Information form, found at https://www.tssa.org/en/about-tssa/resources/Release-of-Records-form--Jan-2018Final.pdf and email the completed form to <u>publicinformationservices@tssa.org</u> or through mail along with the appropriate fee. TSSA's fee schedule can be found at: https://www.tssa.org/en/about-tssa/resources/Release-of-Records-form--Jan-2018Final.pdf and email the completed form to <u>publicinformationservices@tssa.org</u> or through mail along with the appropriate fee. TSSA's fee schedule can be found at: https://www.tssa.org/en/about-tssa/resources/Documents/Public-Information-Fee-Schedule_Jan_2018.pdf. Fees are payable with a credit card (Visa or MasterCard) or by a cheque made payable to TSSA.

Although TSSA believes the information provided pursuant to your request is accurate, please note that TSSA does not warrant this information in any way whatsoever. Kind regards,

Connie

From: Luke.Lopers@ghd.com <Luke.Lopers@ghd.com>
Sent: August 8, 2018 3:48 PM
To: Public Information Services <publicinformationservices@tssa.org>
Cc: filing@craworld.com
Subject: Environmental Assessment - TSSA Records Search Request ~COR-11181273-E1~

Good Afternoon,

Could you please search the TSSA database for records of fuel storage tanks, spills, incidents or infractions for the following addresses located in the **City of Ottawa (formerly Nepean)**, ON:

- 2821, 2829, 2831, 2850, 2851, 2865, 2891 DuMaurier Avenue
- 1085 Grenon Avenue

Thank you for your time,

Luke Lopers, P.Eng. Project Manager

GHD

?

Direct Line: +1 613 288 1723 | Ottawa Office: +1 613 727 0510 | Email: <u>Luke.Lopers@ghd.com</u> 179 Colonnade Road South Suite 400 Ottawa Ontario K2E 7J4 Canada | <u>www.ghd.com</u> <u>WATER | ENERGY & RESOURCES | ENVIRONMENT | PROPERTY & BUILDINGS | TRANSPORTATION</u>

Please consider our environment before printing this email

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email, including any attachments, is confidential and may be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender immediately, and please delete it; you should not copy it or use it for any purpose or disclose its contents to any other person. GHD and its affiliates reserve the right to monitor and modify all email communications through their networks.

This electronic message and any attached documents are intended only for the named recipients. This communication from the Technical Standards and Safety Authority may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure and it must not be disclosed, copied, forwarded or distributed without authorization. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message.

This e-mail has been scanned for viruses

Appendix D Ministry of Environment Freedom of Information Correspondence



Ministry of Environment

Freedom of Information Request

This form is for requesting documents which are in the Ministry's files on environmental concerns related to properties. Please refer to the guide on the completion and use of this form. Our fax no. is (416) 314-4285.

Name, Title, Company Name and Mailing Address of Requester FOI Request No. Date Request Received Luke Lopers GHD Limited T79 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Fee Paid Image: Suite 400 Fee Paid Image: Suite 400 Fee Paid Image: Suite 400 Image:	Requester Data		For Ministry Use Only		
Luke Lopers GHD Limited 179 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7.J4 Fee Paid CACT CHQ VISA/MC CASH ACCT CHQ VISA/MC CHN SWA ACCT CHQ CHSA/MC CHN SWA ACCT CHQ CHN SWA ACCT CHQ CHN SWA ACCT CHQ CHN ACCT CHQ CHN ACCT CHA CHN SWA ACCT CHQ CHN ACCT CHA CHN ACCHN ACC	Name, Title, Company Name and Mailing Address of Requester		FOI Request No.	Date Request Received	
TelephoneFax Nos. Your Project/Reference Signature of Requester CNR ER NOR SWR WCR Tel: 613-727-0881 No. 11181273-E1 Multiplat Address (Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cilles, towns or regions) SAC IEB EAA EMR SWA 2831, 2833 2835, 2841 Du/Maurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario (all adjacent properties with common ownership) Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership 1248816 ONTARIO INC. (January 2001-present) Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Requested Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Specify Year(s) Requested Environmental concerns (General cost. Requested All Drivers All All Spills All All Investigations/prosecutions Owner and temant information must be provided All 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval Nerval number (s) (ft known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All All All All All <	Luke Lopers GHD Limited 179 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J4 ~ CCT ~ C		Fee Paid \$ ~ ACCT ~ CHQ ~ \	/ISA/MC ~ CASH	
Tel: 613-727-0895 No. 11191273-E1 Image: SAC In tel: Image: SWR Image:	Telephone/Fax Nos.	Your Project/Reference Signature of Requester			
Request Parameters Muricipal Address Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Muricipal address essential for cities, towns or regions) 2831, 2832 2835, 2841 DutMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario (all adjacent properties with common ownership) Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership 124816 ONTARIO INC. (January 2001-present) Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Present Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided All Vaste Generator number/classes All Vaste So be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested All waste wate - industrial discharge All waste wate - industrial discharge All	Tel : 613-727-08 Fax : 613-727-05	95 No. 11181273-E1		AA I EMR I SWA	
Municipal Address / Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cilles, towns or regions) 2831, 2833 2835, 2841 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario (all adjacent properties with common ownership) Present Prevent Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership 1248816 ONTARIO INC. (January 2001-present) Prevent Previous Tenant(c), (if applicable) Present Previous Tenant(c), (if applicable) Previous Tenant(c), (if applicable) Present Previous Tenant(c), (if applicable) Present Previous Tenant(c), (if applicable) Previous Tenant Tenant Tenant Information must be provided Previous Tenant Tenant Tenant Information must be provided Previous Tenant Tenan	Request	Parameters			
2831, 2832 2835, 2841 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario (all adjacent properties with common ownership) Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership 1248816 ONTARIO INC. (January 2001-present) Previous Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Present /Previous Tenent(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions Owner and tenant information must be provided Vaste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All waste water - industrial discharge All waste water -	Municipal Address / Lot, C	oncession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cities, tow	ns or regions)		
Tresent Property Unite(s) and Jate(s) of Oxmership 12438316 ONTARIO INC. (January 2001-present) Previous Property Owne(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All So specify Year(s) Requested 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested All waste water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & boster) All waste water - industrial discharge All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste water - industrial di	2831, 2833 2835	, 2841 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario (all ac	ljacent properties with co	mmon ownership)	
I2+00 to CHY LATION INC. (Jailulary 2001-present) Previous Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided All 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All All All All water - industrial discharge All All All All All </td <td>10/00/10 ONTAC</td> <td>And Date(s) or Ownership</td> <td></td> <td></td>	10/00/10 ONTAC	And Date(s) or Ownership			
Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided All 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All All Specify Year(s) Requested All waste water - industrial discharge All Specify Year(s) Requested All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All All waste sites - disposal, landfill discharge All All Specify Year(s) Requested waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites	Previous Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership			
Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Specify Year(s) Search Parameters Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All waste water - industrial discharge All waste water - industrial discharge All waste water - industrial discharge stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing All waste systems	to an anna na tha anna ann an than ann an tha tha ann an				
Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - industrial discharge All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste sites - licenses All	Present /Previous Tenant	s), (if applicable)			
Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems -haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All	Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. Requested			Specify Year(s) Requested	
Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems -haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing All pesticides - licenses All All	Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement)) All	
Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing All pesticides - licenses All All	Orders			All	
Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Spills			All	
Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. air - emissions SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Investigations/p	rosecutions > Owner and tenant information	on must be provided	All	
Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All waste water - industrial discharge waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction pesticides - licenses	Waste Generat	or number/classes		All	
SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	Certificates of Approval Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc.				
air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All			S	D Specify Year(s) Requested	
water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	air - emissions			All	
sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster)			All	
waste water - Industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations			All	
waste sites - disposal, landtill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	waste water - industrial discharge			All	
waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites			All	
pesticides - licenses All	waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing All units, PCB destruction			All	
	pesticides - licenses			All	

A \$5.00 non-refundable application fee, payable to the Minister of Finance, is mandatory. The cost of locating on-site and/or preparing any record is \$30.00/hour and 20 cents/page for photocopying and you will be contacted for approval for fees in excess of \$30.00.



Ministry of Environment

Freedom of Information Request

This form is for requesting documents which are in the Ministry's files on environmental concerns related to properties. Please refer to the guide on the completion and use of this form. Our fax no. is (416) 314-4285.

Name, Title, Company Name and Mailing Address of Requester FOI Request No. Date Request Received Luke Lopers For Partial S Pree Part S Pree Part S 179 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Pree Part S Pree Part S Pree Part S 179 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Pree Part S Pree Part S Pree Part S Pree Part S 181727-0895 No. 11181727-E1 Pree Part S Part S Pree Part S Pree Part S Pree Part S Pree Part S Part	Requester Data		For Ministry Use Only	
Luke Lopers GHD Limited 179 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario Fee Paid \$ -ACCT ~ CHQ ~ VISA/MC ~ CASH Telephone/Fex Nex. Tel: 613-727-0855 No. 11181273-E1 ACCT 3 CHQ ~ VISA/MC ~ CASH CASH Careater Composition of the second of the s	Name, Title, Company Name and Mailing Address of Requester		FOI Request No.	Date Request Received
Telephone/Fax Nos. Your Project/Reference Signature of Requester CNR ER NOR SWR WCR Tel: 613-727-0895 No. 11181273-E1 Submature of Requester SAC IEB EAA EMR SWA Request Parameters Municipal Address / Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cities, towns or regions) 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario Prevent Preperty Women(s) and Date(s) of Ownership GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Previous Property Gener(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Requested Previous Tenant(c), (ri applicable) Specify Year(s) Requested Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions All Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided All Vaste Generator number/classes All Spocify Year(s) Requested 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incured, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested 1987 and prior records are searched manually. S	Luke Lopers GHD Limited 179 Colonnade Drive, Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J4		Fee Paid \$ ~ ACCT ~ CHQ ~ VI	SA/MC ~ CASH
Tel: 613-727-0895 No. 11181273-E1 SAC IEB EAA EMR SWA Request Parameters Municipal Address / Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cities, towns or regions) 2865 DulMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Present/Parameters Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. There is no guaranitee that records responsive to your request will be located. Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Specify Year(s) Requested Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided Vaste Generator number/classes All Vaster Generator number/classes All Vaster of specify Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions	Telephone/Fax Nos.	Your Project/Reference Signature of Requester		
Request Parameters Municipal Address / Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Municipal address escential for cities, towns or regions) 2865 Du/Maurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Prevent Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. Requested Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided All Vaste Generator number/classes All 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All All All Specify Year(s) Req	Tel : 613-727-0895 No. 11181273-E1			AA 🗆 EMR 🗆 SWA
Municipal Address / Lot, Concession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cities, towns or regions) 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario Present Porenty Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Previous Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Giant TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Present Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - omissions All waste water - industrial discharge All waste searched, Iandfilli site, stransfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste water - industrial discharge All waste system - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous waste, mobile waste processing - haulers: sewage severe - industrial discharge - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous waste, mobile waste processing	Request	Parameters		
2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario Present Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership GIANT TIGER STORES LIMITED (December 2000-present) Previous Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided All Vaste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided All 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested All water - mains, ireatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All waste water - industrial discharge All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfe	Municipal Address / Lot, C	oncession, Geographic Township (Municipal address essential for cities, tow	vns or regions)	
Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Present /Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Spills Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided air - emissions Water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) air - emissions Waste water - industrial discharge All Waste System All Waste System All All All Waste Severe - sanilary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All Waste system All Waste Severe - industrial discharge All Waste - industrial discharge All Waste System All All All All All All All All All Al	2865 DuMaurier	Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario		
GrAVP Trister (STORES LIW) TED (December 2000-present) Previous Property Owner(s) and Date(s) of Ownership Previous Tenant(s), (if applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Usate Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided All 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All All All water - industrial discharge All All water - industrial discharge All All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, tr	CIANT TICEP S	TORES LIMITED (December 2000 procent)		
Present /Previous Tenant(s), (If applicable) Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information forming documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions SD Specify Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information formation for a locuments are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions SD Specify Certificates of Approval ▶ If supporting documents are also required, mark so evage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & teatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing	Previous Property Owner	s) and Date(s) of Ownership		
Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All All waste water - industrial discharge All All waste water - industrial discharge All All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste sites - licenses All	Present /Previous Tenant	s), (if applicable)		
Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions Specify Year(s) Requested storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems -haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All	Search Parameters Specify Year(s) Files older than 2 years may require \$60.00 retrieval cost. Requested There is no guarantee that records responsive to your request will be located. Requested			Specify Year(s) Requested
Orders All Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems -haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All	Environmental	Environmental concerns (General correspondence, occurrence reports, abatement) All		
Spills All Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Orders			All
Investigations/prosecutions ▶ Owner and tenant information must be provided All Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval ▶ Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions SD Specify Year(s) Requested All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All All waste water - industrial discharge All All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Spills			All
Waste Generator number/classes All Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions SD Specify Year(s) Requested All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems -haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Investigations/prosecutions > Owner and tenant information must be provided		All	
Certificates of Approval > Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc. SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Waste Generator number/classes		All	
SD Specify Year(s) Requested air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All All	Certificates of Approval Proponent information must be provided 1987 and prior records are searched manually. Search fees in excess of \$300.00 could be incurred, depending on the types and years to be searched. Specify Certificates of Approval number (s) (if known). If supporting documents are also required, mark SD box and specify type e.g. maps, plans, reports, etc.			
air - emissions All water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All			SD	Specify Year(s) Requested
water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster) All sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	air - emissions		All	
sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations All waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	water - mains, treatment, ground level, standpipes & elevated storage, pumping stations (local & booster)		All	
waste water - industrial discharge All waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	sewage - sanitary, storm, treatment, stormwater, leachate & leachate treatment & sewage pump stations		All	
waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites All waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	waste water - industrial discharge		All	
waste systems - haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mobile waste processing units, PCB destruction All pesticides - licenses All	waste sites - disposal, landfill sites, transfer stations, processing sites, incinerator sites		All	
pesticides - licenses All	waste systems	 haulers: sewage, non-hazardous & hazardous waste, mo units, PCB destruction 	obile waste processing	All
	pesticides - licenses All		All	

A \$5.00 non-refundable application fee, payable to the Minister of Finance, is mandatory. The cost of locating on-site and/or preparing any record is \$30.00/hour and 20 cents/page for photocopying and you will be contacted for approval for fees in excess of \$30.00.

Appendix E City of Ottawa HLUI Correspondence



Historic Land Use Inventory

Application Form

Notice of Public Record

All information and materials required in support of your application shall be made available to the public, as indicated by Section 1.0.1 of *The Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, C.P.13.

Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection Act

Personal information on this form is collected under the authority the *Planning Act*, RSO 1990, c. P. 13 and will be used to process this application. Questions about this collection may be directed by mail to Manager, Business Support Services, Planning Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, 110 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, K1P 1J1, or by phone at (613) 580-2424, ext. 24075

		Background I	nformation	
*Site Address or Location:	or 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON			
	* Mandatory Field			
Applicant/Agent	Information:			
Name:	GHD Limited			
Mailing Address:	179 Colonnade Road South, Suite 400, Ottawa, ON, K2E 7J4			
Telephone:	613-288-1723	Email Address:	luke.lopers@ghd.com	
Registered Prope	rty Owner Information:	Same as abo	ve	
Name:	Giant Tiger Stores Limited			
Mailing Address:	2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON, K2B 7W3			
Telephone:	613-829-6581	Email Address:		

	Site Details
Legal Description and PIN:	Part of Lot 20, Concession 2, being Parts 4 to 7 and Parts 9 to 17 on Registered Plan 4R16235, Nepean, City of Ottawa PINs: 03944-0827, 03944-0826, and 03944-0238
What is the land currently used for	Commercial Plaza (Retail) ?
Lot fronta OR L Does the :	ige: m Lot depth: m Lot area: m ² .ot area: (irregular lot) m ² site have Full Municipal Services: @ Yes C No
Please don't hesit	Required Fees tate to visit <u>the Historic Land Use Inventory</u> website
Planning Fee	s fees must be paid in full at the time of application submission.
Planning Fee	\$100.00
Planning Fee	\$100.00 Submittal Requirements
Planning Fee The following are	\$100.00 Submittal Requirements e required to be submitted with this application:
Planning Fee The following are of an ine provide authoriz Consent concern name of	\$100.00 Submittal Requirements e required to be submitted with this application: It to Disclose Information: Consultants and other third parties may make requests for information on behalf dividual or corporation. However, if the requester is not the owner of the property, the requester must e the City of Ottawa with a 'consent to disclose information' letter, signed by the property owner. This will ze the City of Ottawa to release any relevant information about the property or its owner(s) to the requester. t for disclosure is required in the event that personal information or proprietary company information is found ing the property and its owner. All consents must clearly indicate the name of the property owner as well as the f the requester, and must be signed and dated.

- 3. A site plan or key plan of the property, its location and particular features.
- 4. Any significant dates or time frames that you would like researched.

Disclaimer For use with HLUI Database

CITY OF OTTAWA ("the City") is the owner of the Historical Land Use Inventory ("HLUI"), a database of information on the type and location of land uses within the geographic area of Ottawa, which had or have the potential to cause contamination in soil, groundwater or surface water.

The City, in providing information from the HLUI, to GHD Limited ("the Requester") does so only under the following

conditions and understanding:

- The HLUI may contain erroneous information given that such records and sources of information may be flawed. Changes in
 municipal addresses over time may have introduced error in such records and sources of information. The City is not responsible
 for any errors or omissions in the HLUI and reserves the right to change and update the HLUI without further notice. The City
 does not, however, make any commitment to update the HLUI. Accordingly, all information from the HLUI is provided on an "as
 is" basis with no representation or warranty by the City with respect to the information's accuracy or exhaustiveness in
 responding to the request.
- 2. City staff will perform a search of the HLUI based on the information given by the Requester. City staff will make every effort to be accurate, however, the City does not provide an assurance, guarantee, warranty, representation (express or implied), as to the availability, accuracy, completeness or currency of information which will be provided to the Requester. The HLUI in no way confirms the presence or absence of contamination or pollution of any kind. The information provided by the City to the Requester is provided on the assumption that it will not be relied upon by any person whatsoever. The City denies all liability to any such persons attempting to rely on any information provided from the HLUI database.
- The City, its employees, servants, agents, boards, officials or contractors take no responsibility for any actions, claims, losses, liability, judgments, demands, expenses, costs, damages or harm suffered by any person whatsoever including negligence in compiling or disseminating information in the HLUI.
- 4. Copyright is reserved to the City.
- 5. Any use of the information provided from the HLUI which a third party makes, or any reliance on or decisions to be based on it, are the responsibilities of such third parties. The City, its employees, servants, agents, boards, officials or contractors accept no responsibility for any damages, if any, suffered by a third party as a result of decisions made as a result of an information search of the HLUI.
- 6. Any use of this service by the Requestor indicates an acknowledgement, acceptance and limits of this disclaimer.
- All information collected under this request and all records provided in response to this request are subject to the provisions of the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M.56, as amended.

Signed: Dated (dd/mm/yyyy): 10/08/2018 Per: Luke Lopers

(Please print name)

Title: Project Manager

Company: GHD Limited

August 8, 2018

Reference No. 11181273-E1

Mr. Michael Boughton, MCIP, RPP Infrastructure Services City of Ottawa 110 Laurier Ave. W. 4th Floor Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

Re: Request for Information Municipal Freedom and Information and Protection of Privacy Act Historical Land Use Records 2831,2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario

Dear Mr. Boughton;

GHD Limited (GHD) has been retained to conduct a Phase One Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the commercial properties located at Civic Nos. 2831,2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, in Ottawa, Ontario. Please note that the Site is one continuous commercial property with multiple civic addresses.

As part of the Phase I ESA, GHD would like to ensure that this property is currently and was formerly in compliance with applicable municipal/city by-laws/ regulations relative to the environment. Therefore, could you please search the City of Ottawa's Historical Land Use database and provide any information regarding former or outstanding infractions, control or other orders, violation notices, permits and approvals or reported spill incidents to the above reference properties or nearby properties to GHD.

As this information search is urgently required, we would appreciate if you could provide a response as soon as possible (via email). Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter.

Yours truly,	1
Signature:	122
Name:	Joon-Inc Rivard
Organization:	Brigil
Address:	98 Lois Street, Crotingon, De
Telephone:	613-355-1260



about GHD

GHD is one of the world's leading professional services companies operating in the global markets of water, energy and resources, environment, property and buildings, and transportation. We provide engineering, environmental, and construction services to private and public sector clients.

Luke Lopers Luke.Lopers@ghd.com 613.727.0510

Kevin Emenau Kevin.Emenau@ghd.com 613.727.0510

www.ghd.com

Appendix E External Reports

E.3 PHASE II ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (GHD 2019)



Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment

2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

3223701 Canada Inc.





Executive Summary

GHD (Consultant) was retained by 3223701 Canada Inc. (Client), to complete a Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment (Phase Two ESA) in general accordance with the O. Reg. 153/04 Phase Two ESA format for the current commercial/institutional property identified as 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Phase Two Property). GHD has previously prepared a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I ESA) (Ref No: 11181273-E1-RPT-1, dated August 16, 2018) for 3223701 Canada Inc. The Phase I ESA was conducted for environmental due diligence for potential purchase of the Site.

The Phase I ESA identified one on-Site potentially contaminating activity (PCA); the suspected historical placement of fill material during redevelopment of the Site with the present day building. This PCA was considered to represent an area of potential environmental concern (APEC) for the Site. There was one PCA identified at a neighboring property in the Phase One Study Area as part of this assessment: the presence of a former landfill to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the site; this off-Site PCA was also considered to represent an APEC for the subject Site.

The Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment (Phase Two ESA) was recommended based on the APECs identified as part of the Phase I ESA. The purpose of the Phase Two ESA is to assess the soil and groundwater quality at the Site. The investigation involved the advancement of five boreholes which were sampled for environmental purposes. All of the boreholes were completed with groundwater monitoring well screened in the overburden or upper bedrock.

Six soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of Metals, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and pH. One duplicate soil sample was submitted for analysis of Metals, PAH and pH parameters. One soil sample was also submitted for toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) analysis to determine options for off-Site disposal. Six groundwater samples, including one duplicate sample, were submitted for laboratory analysis of a combination of Metals, VOCs, PHCs and pH. One trip blank water sample was also submitted for analysis of VOCs.

Based on the soil depths, Site setting, and the existing land uses in the vicinity of the Site, the Full depth Generic Criteria provided in O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (non-potable, residential/institutional, coarse texture) is be considered to be the applicable comparison. The Site is not a sensitive Site, a Surface Water Site, or a potable groundwater use Site.

Site Compliance

There were O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential land use, coarse soil texture) criteria exceedances in four of the six submitted soil samples, including the duplicate QA/QC soil sample.

There were O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential land use, coarse soil texture) criteria exceedances in two of the six submitted groundwater samples, including the duplicate QA/QC soil sample.

The Site is not considered to be in compliance with the O. Reg. 153/04 criteria for the proposed land use and requires soil remediation/removal or a risk assessment prior to redevelopment.



Note that a remedial action plan that includes a soil and groundwater management program may be developed as part of the Site preparation prior to the construction phase of the Site redevelopment in order to manage and dispose of the contaminated soil at the time of redevelopment.



Table of Contents

1.	Introc	luction	. 1
	1.1	Site Description	. 1
	1.2	Property Ownership	. 1
	1.3	Current and Proposed Future Uses	. 1
	1.4	Applicable Site Condition Standard	. 2
2.	Back	ground Information	. 3
	2.1	Physical Setting	. 3
	2.2	Past Investigation	. 4
3.	Scop	e of the Investigation	. 4
	3.1	Overview of Site Investigation	. 4
	3.2	Media Investigated	. 6
	3.3	Phase One Conceptual Site Model	. 7
	3.4	Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan	. 8
	3.5	Impediments	. 9
4.	Inves	tigation Method	. 9
	4.1	General	. 9
	4.2	Drilling and Excavating	. 9
	4.3	Soil Sampling	10
	4.4	Field Screening Measurements	11
	4.5	Ground Water: Monitoring Well Installation	11
	4.6	Ground Water: Field Measurement of Water Quality Parameters	12
	4.7	Ground Water: Sampling	12
	4.8	Sediment Sampling	12
	4.9	Analytical Testing	12
	4.10	Residue Management Procedures	13
	4.11	Elevation Surveying	13
	4.12	Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures	13
5.	Revie	ew and Evaluation	14
	5.1	Geology	14
	5.2	Ground Water - Elevations and Flow Direction	15
	5.3	Ground Water - Hydraulic Gradients	16
	5.4	Fine-Medium or Coarse Soil Texture	16
	5.5	Soil Field Screening	16



	5.6	Soil Quality	17
	5.7	Ground Water Quality	18
	5.8	Sediment Quality	19
	5.9	Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results	20
	5.10	Phase Two Conceptual Site Model	21
6.	Conclusions		25
7.	Refer	ences	27

Figure Index

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	Borehole Location Plan

Table Index

Table 4.1	Elevations	. 13
Table 5.1	Monitoring Well Installation	. 15
Table 5.2	Water Table Details	. 16
Table 5.3	Soil Sample Details	. 17
Table 1	DNAPL/LNAPL Layers	
Table 2	Summary of Soil Analysis	
Table 3	Summary of Groundwater Analysis	
Table 4	Maximum Soil Parameter Concentrations	
Table 5	Maximum Groundwater Parameter Concentrations	

Appendix Index

Appendix A	Utility Clearances
Appendix B	Sampling Analysis Plan
Appendix C	Borehole Logs
Appendix D	Laboratory Certificates of Analysis



1. Introduction

1.1 Site Description

Location and Identifier

The Site is located in the Foster Farm borough within the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The Property is located on the west side of DuMaurier Avenue, within the neighbourhood that is bordered by DuMaurier Avenue to the east and west, Ramsey Crescent to the south, and Richmond Road to the north. The Civic Nos. associated with the Property are 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Property). The Site is legally described as Part of Lot 20, Concession 2, being Parts 4 to 7 and Parts 9 to 17 on Registered Plan 4R16235, Nepean, City of Ottawa. The property identification numbers associated with the Site are 03944-0827, 03944-0826, and 03944-0238.

The coordinates of the approximate center of the Site are 45° 21' 01" N by 75° 47' 37" W (UTM Coordinates 437840 m E, 5022180 m N). A Site Location Map (Figure 1) and a Borehole Location Plan (Figure 2) are provided following this report. The municipal zoning for the Site is currently General Mixed Use Zone (residential, commercial, and/or institutional).

In all aspects of this report the Phase Two property is referred to as the Site or Phase Two Property.

Size and Boundaries

The Site is rectangular in shape, covers an area of approximately 0.8 hectares (2 acres), and is located in an area that is developed for mixed use (residential, commercial, and/or institutional). The Site is occupied by a single storey slab-on-grade commercial plaza building. The Site building covers approximately 30 percent of the Site. The remainder of the Site consists of asphalt paved parking, access laneways and landscaped sections along the perimeter. Vehicular access to the Site is from DuMaurier Avenue to the east and Ramsey Crescent to the south. The topography in the Phase I Study Area is undulating with a general slope downward to the south and southwest. The property to the northwest of the Site is elevated approximately 3 to 4 m with respect to the Site, while the property to the west of the Site is elevated approximately 0.6 m with respect to the Site.

The boundaries of the Site and location of the existing buildings are shown on Figure 2, Borehole Location Plan, following the text of this report.

1.2 Property Ownership

The north portion of the Site with Civic Nos. 2831, 2833, 2835, and 2841 DuMaurier Avenue are currently owned by 1248816 Ontario Inc. The south portion of the Site, with Civic No. 2865 DuMaurier Ave. is currently owned by Giant Tiger Stores Limited.

1.3 Current and Proposed Future Uses

The current property use is mixed commercial and institutional. The Site building consists of an occupied single storey slab-on-grade commercial plaza building with tenants including: Pinecrest


Deli (2831), Halal Meat and Grocery (2833), Da Al Sahaba Association (2835), Barney's Pizza (2841), and Giant Tiger (2865).

The Site and surrounding properties are located in a predominantly residential, institutional and commercial sector of the City of Ottawa. The properties surrounding the Site consist of the following facilities and features:

- Northeast | Institutional property (Church) located at Civic No. 2821 DuMaurier Avenue.
- Northwest | Institutional property (community center and school) located at Civic No. 1085 Grenon Avenue.
- East | DuMaurier Avenue followed by parkland (baseball fields) located at 2850 DuMaurier Avenue.
- South | Ramsey Crescent followed by residential dwellings at Civic No. 2891 DuMaurier Avenue.
- West | Residential apartment and dwellings at Civic No. 2891 DuMaurier Avenue.

The Site consists of the existing plaza with associated surrounding paved parking and driveways. A landscaped area is present at the northern limits of the Site. Under Ottawa Bylaw 2008-250, the Site property has a municipal zoning designation of GM "General Mixed Use Zone".

GHD understands that the Client intends to demolish the existing structures and construct a 40-storey residential building with six underground parking levels. The Property is currently used for mixed commercial and institutional purposes and therefore requires a Record of Site Condition (RSC), required for a change in land use to more sensitive land uses. This report will also be used to support a submission to the local municipal planning department and may also be used as a supporting document for a RSC.

1.4 Applicable Site Condition Standard

The pH of the soil was tested and observed to be within the range of 5-9. There are no Areas of Natural Scientific Interest (ANSI) identified by the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) within 250 m of the Site. There are no areas designated by the municipality in its current official plan (Bylaw 2008-250-Zoning) as 'EP' (Environmentally Protected zoning) identified within 250 m of the Site. As the Site does not contain an area of natural significance, and properties within 30 m of the Site limits do not contain areas of natural significance, the Site is not classified as an environmentally sensitive property (O. Reg. 153/04, s41).

Drilling conducted as part of this investigation revealed that there is more than 2 m of soil in all boreholes locations advanced on the Site. The native soils encountered show a single native soil deposit consisting of a mixture of silt, clay and sand. In the absence of grain size analysis testing, the Site will be compared to the Coarse grain size condition

No natural surface water bodies were identified on the Site or within the Phase One Study Area. The nearest natural surface body is the Ottawa River, which is located approximately 1.5 km to the Northwest of the Site. There is no open surface water bodies within 30 m of the Site limits. As the property does not include all or part of a water body, and the property is not adjacent to a water body, and does not include land that is within 30 m of a water body, the Property is not considered a Surface Water site (O. Reg. 153/04, s43.1[1]).



The existing property use is mixed commercial and institutional. The proposed property use is residential. The Site is considered as being residential/parkland/institutional land use as per Section 3 of the Ontario Regulation 153/04 as amended 2011 (O. Reg. 153/04, s1[3]).

The Property, and all other properties located, in whole or in part, within 250 m of the boundaries of the property, are supplied by a municipal drinking water system. The Site is not in an area designated on the City of Ottawa official plan as an intake protection zone. The Site is not in an area designated on the City of Ottawa official plan as a well-head protection area (WHPA). The Site is not an agricultural property. The Site is considered as being non-potable water use as per Section 35 of the Ontario Regulation 153/04 as amended 2011 (O. Reg. 153/04, s35).

Grain Size analysis of the recovered soil samples was not conducted as part of this investigation; accordingly, the most conservative soil texture criteria will be applied to the Site. The Site is considered as being medium and fine grained soil texture as per Section 42 of the Ontario Regulation 153/04 as amended 2011 (O. Reg. 153/04, s42).

Based upon the above described assessments, accordingly, the full depth generic O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (full depth, residential/institutional land use, non-potable groundwater use, coarse grained soil texture) criteria is considered the applicable Site comparison.

2. Background Information

2.1 Physical Setting

Surface Water

No natural surface water bodies were identified on the Site or within the Phase One Study Area. The nearest natural surface water body is the Ottawa River, which is located approximately 1.5 km to the Northwest of the Site.

Topography and Drainage

The Site is relatively flat, sloping up at the northern property limits in the landscaped area, and sloping away from the Site building, directing storm water away from the buildings towards the property perimeter, and towards the central storm drain. The Site is generally elevated approximately 0.3 m with respect to the neighbouring streets to the east, and south.

The regional topography in the general area of the Site slopes down to the south and southwest.

The Phase Two ESA drilling program identified limestone bedrock between 3.2 and 3.9 meters below grade (mBG), with an upper surface described as ranging from very poor to good quality that become fair to good with depth. This material was overlain with a native soil deposit consisting of a mixture of silt, clay, and sand, covered with a fill material to provide engineered grading.

Hard surfaces at the Site include the paved parking area and driveway surrounding the existing buildings on Site. Precipitation falling on improved paved surfaces will travel by sheet flow to the Site storm drains. Precipitation falling on unimproved landscaped surfaces will infiltrate at the ground surface.



2.2 Past Investigation

The following environmental report was reviewed prior to conducting this Phase Two ESA:

• "Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario" Reference No. 11181273-E1-RPT-1, prepared by GHD, dated August 16, 2018

The Phase I ESA was completed for the entire Site which contains a one-storey plaza building, an associated paved parking and driveway areas, and a landscaped area at the north end of the site.

According to the historical research, the earliest developed use of the site is approximately 1980, based on information provided from the property owner's representative, listings from the City directories and observations from the aerial photographs. Based on the historical research, the Site has been used for commercial purposes since 1980 to the time of report preparation, with portions of the Site being used for institutional purposes since 2011. Based on aerial photographs, the Site was redeveloped with the present day building between 1976 and 1991.

At the time of the Phase I ESA, the Site was developed for mixed commercial and institutional uses. The Site building consists of an occupied single storey slab-on-grade plaza building with tenants including: Pinecrest Deli (2831), Halal Meat and Grocery (2833), Da Al Sahaba Association (2835), Barney's Pizza (2841), and Giant Tiger (2865).

Based on the historical research and known information of the general area of the Site, there was one suspected on-Site potentially contaminating activities (PCA); the suspected historical placement of fill material that is suspected to have occurred during the construction of the existing building, specifically for infilling previously observed drainage features at the Site. This PCA (identified as PCA#1) is considered to represent an area of potential environmental concern (APEC) for the Site (identified as APEC#1).

There was one off-Site PCA; the presence of a former landfill site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site. This PCA (identified as PCA#2) is considered to represent an APEC for the Site (identified as APEC#2).

A Phase Two ESA was recommended for the Phase I Property to assess the soil and groundwater quality at the Site as a result of the identified APECs.

3. Scope of the Investigation

3.1 **Overview of Site Investigation**

Underground utilities (both public and private services) were identified by GHD prior to drilling activities. Copies of the underground utility clearances are included in Appendix A.

On October 5, 2018, five boreholes (BH1/MW1, BH2/MW2, BH3S/MW3S, BH3D/MW3D, and BH4/MW4) were advanced by means of a truck mounted CME 55 power drill rig equipped for environmental soil sampling under the supervision of GHD field staff. Samples were recovered using 50 mm diameter split spoon samplers advanced to the sampling depth with a hydraulic hammer. Recovered soil samples were screened in the field for evidence of visual and olfactory evidence of



contamination. All boreholes were outfitted with groundwater monitoring wells to measure the groundwater levels and collect representative groundwater samples.

- Borehole BH1 was advanced to a depth of approximately 3.8 metres below grade (mBG), located to the west of 2829 DuMaurier Avenue. The borehole was equipped with a groundwater monitoring well screened in the overburden to intercept the shallow groundwater table. This borehole/monitoring well was located to assess the environmental soil and groundwater quality associated with the imported fill materials (APEC#1) and potential impacts from the former off-Site landfill (APEC#2).
- Borehole BH2 was advanced to a depth of approximately 4.9 mBG, near the southeast limit of the Site property boundary. The borehole was equipped with a groundwater monitoring well screened in the bedrock. This borehole/monitoring well was located to assess the environmental soil and groundwater quality associated with the imported fill materials (APEC#1) and potential impacts from the former off-Site landfill (APEC#2).
- Boreholes BH3D was advanced to a depth of approximately 5.4 mBG, near the northeast limit of the Site property boundary. The borehole was equipped with a groundwater monitoring well screened in the bedrock. This borehole/monitoring well was located to assess the environmental soil and groundwater quality associated with the imported fill materials (APEC#1) and potential impacts from the former off-Site landfill (APEC#2).
- Boreholes BH3S was advanced to a depth of approximately 3.7 mBG, approximately 0.9 m to the south of BH3D. The borehole was equipped with a groundwater monitoring well screened in the overburden. This borehole/monitoring well was located to assess the environmental groundwater quality associated with the imported fill materials (APEC#1) and potential impacts from the former off-Site landfill (APEC#2).
- Borehole BH4 was advanced to a depth of approximately 5.4 mBG, located to the east of 2829 DuMaurier Ave. The borehole was equipped with a groundwater monitoring well screened in the bedrock. This borehole/monitoring well was located to assess the environmental soil and groundwater quality associated with the imported fill materials (APEC#1) and potential impacts from the former off-Site landfill (APEC#2).

The following soil samples were submitted to the laboratory for analysis: BH1-SS3, BH2-SS5, BH3-SS3, BH4-SS2/SS3, DUP (a blind duplicate sample of BH4-SS2/SS3), and auger cuttings collected from BH3. The samples were submitted to AGAT Laboratories in Ottawa, Ontario under Chain of Custody (CoC) T075943 on October 5, 2018.

- All soil samples were analysed according to O. Reg. 153/04 requirements. All samples except the BH3 auger cuttings were tested for a combination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), metals and inorganics and pH. The BH3 auger cuttings were not tested for metals and inorganics.
- The sample collected from the BH3 auger cuttings was also analysed for flashpoint and Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) analysis for metals and inorganics on leachate, VOCs on leachate, and PCBs on leachate, for comparison to Ontario Regulation 558/00 to provide a waste classification of the soil.



On October 15, 2018, GHD returned to the Site to measure the static groundwater levels in all monitoring wells. The monitoring wells were then developed, with GHD purging the wells dry at least twice or a minimum of three well volumes. On October 19, 2018, GHD returned to Site to collect groundwater samples from all monitoring wells. A duplicate groundwater sample was collected from BH3S. The groundwater samples to be analysed for metals were filtered using dedicated 45 μ m filters, the remainder of the samples were unfiltered. The collected groundwater samples, along with a trip blank, were submitted to the laboratory on October 19, 2018.

The groundwater samples were submitted to AGAT Laboratories in Ottawa, Ontario under Chain of Custody (CoC) T080076. The samples were analysed according to O. Reg. 153/04 requirements.

- All collected groundwater samples were analysed VOCs, metals and inorganics, and pH.
- Groundwater sample MW3S was additionally analysed for PHCs.
- Trip Blank (prepared by the laboratory on October 18, 2018) were analysed for VOCs.

On November 11, 2018 GHD surveyed the relative elevations of the advanced boreholes and monitoring wells, relative to an assigned elevation of 100.00 m of the fire hydrant on the northeast corner of the property, the location of this temporary benchmark is shown on Figure 2.

3.2 Media Investigated

Rationale for Inclusion of Various Media

Based on known historic potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) in the Phase One Study Area of the Site, it was suspected that overburden soil and groundwater have the potential to have been impacted by the PCAs. A Phase One Study Area is defined in O. Reg. 153/04 as 250 m from the Site's property limits, or any other property beyond this limit that the qualified person considers should be included.

PCAs in the Phase One Study area, which are considered to represent areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) for the Site, consisted of on-Site imported fill material of unknown quality (PCA/APEC#1), and the presence of a former landfill site, identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site (PCA/APEC#2). Accordingly, boreholes were placed across the Site to allow for collection of soil samples from the Site for an assessment of their environmental quality.

To assess the environmental quality of the groundwater, all of the boreholes advanced at the Site were outfitted with groundwater monitoring wells, which were screened in the overburden or the bedrock to intercept the groundwater table and possible aquifers in the bedrock. A seal was installed above the sand pack to the ground surface. The groundwater was sampled from the aforementioned monitoring wells using a peristaltic pump and Waterra tubing, following a period of stabilization, well development, and purging performed prior to the day of sampling. There were no natural surface water bodies observed at the Site during the Phase Two ESA, and therefore no sampling of surface water or sediment was conducted at the time of this investigation.



3.3 Phase One Conceptual Site Model

The Site is located in an area that is developed for mixed use (residential, commercial, and/or institutional).

The Civic Nos. associated with the Property are 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario (Site or Property) and is approximately 0.8 hectares (2 acres) in area. The Site building consisted of an occupied single storey slab-on-grade commercial plaza building at the time of the Site visit. Tenants of the Site building included: Pinecrest Deli (2831), Halal Meat and Grocery (2833), Da Al Sahaba Association (2835), Barney's Pizza (2841), and Giant Tiger (2865). Based on the historical research, the Site has been used for commercial purposes since 1980 to the time of report preparation, with portions of the Site being used for institutional purposes since 2011.

No natural surface water bodies were identified on the Site or within the Phase One Study Area. The nearest natural surface body is the Ottawa River, which is located approximately 1.5 km to the Northwest of the Site. There are no open surface water bodies within 30 m of the Site limits. The Site is generally elevated approximately 0.3 m with respect to the neighbouring streets to the east, and south. The soil conditions in the vicinity of the Site are expected to consist of silt, clay, and sand type soils over limestone bedrock at approximately 3 to 4 meters below grade (mBG) and a water table, if present to be near 2 to 3 m below grade.

The historical records and use and present operations of properties located within 100 m of the subject land were considered from an environmental perspective for the purposes of this report. Properties located outside of the Phase I Study Area (100 m radius) were not considered to have had the potential to have impacted the subject land. Based on the historical research and known information of the general area of the Site, there was one suspected on-Site potentially contaminating activity (PCA): the suspected historical placement of fill material during redevelopment of the Site with the present day building. This PCA is considered to represent an area of potential environmental concern (APEC) for the Site.

There was one PCA identified for the surrounding properties in the Phase One Study Area as part of this assessment: the presence of a former landfill site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site. This PCA is considered to represent an APEC for the subject Site.

The Phase One Study area is serviced by municipal water and sewer services and is in a non-potable area within the City of Ottawa. Electrical and natural gas services are available from private utility companies. Given the location of underground services on the Site and the locations of the PCAs at the Site and neighbouring properties, the presence of underground services are considered to have the potential to have contributed to contaminant distribution on the subject land.

Subsurface Conditions

Municipal water and sewer services are supplied by underground service trenches on the east portion of the Site leading to DuMaurier Avenue. Electricity is supplied to the Site buildings from underground service trenches from the west of the building. Telecommunications are supplied to the Site building from Ramsey Crescent to the south of the Site. These service trenches are considered to have the potential to act as conduits for contaminant migration.



According to the 1987 Geological Survey of Canada, Surficial Geology Map for Ottawa (Map No.: 1506A), the natural soil consists of "Abandoned river channel deposits of silt and silty clay; commonly including lenses of sand and generally underlain at variable depth by stratified, buff, medium grained sand". The thickness of overburden is expected to be approximately 3 to 4 m and the bedrock in the general area of the Site is expected to be limestone. According to records from the water well information system and borehole databases, as presented in the results of the subcontracted Ecolog Environmental ERIS search, the overburden soil in the vicinity of the Site consists of a mixture of silt, clay, and sand type soils. The overburden soil was reportedly underlain by shale or limestone bedrock at an approximate depth ranging from 1.8 to 8.8 m below ground surface.

Regional groundwater flow direction in the overburden within the Study Area was expected to be towards the south to southwest, following regional topography.

Potentially Contaminating Activities (PCAs)

Based on the known information of the general area of the Site, there was one on-Site PCA, and one off-Site PCAs identified in the Study Area.

- PCA#1 (Item 30: Importation of Fill Material of Unknown Quality) | On-Site, importation of Fill
 material of unknown environmental quality used for grading the Site, specifically, for infilling
 previously observed drainage features at the Site.
- PCA#2 (Item 58: Waste Disposal and Waste Management, including thermal treatment, landfilling and transfer of waste, other than use of biosolids as soil conditioners) | Off-Site, a former landfill Site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site.

Areas of Potential Environmental Concern (APECs)

The potentially contaminating activities provided above are considered to represent areas of potential environmental concern for the Site.

Contaminants of Potential Concern (CPCs)

The contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) in soil and groundwater for the Site were based on the APECs identified at the Site during Phase One ESA and observations at the time of the drilling program. The following CPCs for the Site were suspected to be associated with fill material at the Site, and the off site former landfill:

- 1. Metals and Inorganics
- 2. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)
- 3. Additionally, Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) were considered a CPC in the groundwater only.

3.4 Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan

The Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) proposed



- Screens in each groundwater monitoring well should have a maximum wetted length of 3.0 m and a minimum total length of 1.5 m. GHD installed screens up to 1.5 m in length based on uncertainty of the elevation of the static groundwater table. The water table was lower than anticipated in areas of the Site and higher in others, resulting in a wetted screen length less than 1.5 m and other greater than 3.0 m. This modification to the SAP did not adversely affect this assessment.
- The possible presence of hydrocarbons was noted during the groundwater sampling at the MW3S well location using olfactory observations. One groundwater sample from MW3S was submitted for analysis of Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs). The analysis showed O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of PHCs. The groundwater samples collected from all other wells were then analysed for PHCs as well.

No other deviations were made to the Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP). A copy of the SAP is presented in Appendix B.

3.5 Impediments

There were no impediments that prevented completion of the work plan.

4. Investigation Method

4.1 General

The investigation method took into account the surficial features of the Site, the location and observations on the Site and the PCAs and APECs identified for the Site. Based upon these conditions, GHD determined that boreholes with split spoon soil sampling, installation and sampling of four new groundwater monitoring wells were considered adequate to assess if contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) would be detected within the soil and groundwater.

The fieldwork as part of the Phase Two ESA included advancement of boreholes, and selection of soil samples for testing based upon visual and olfactory observations and combustible vapour screening. All of the boreholes were then equipped with groundwater monitoring wells to allow collection of water level data, and sample collection for testing of CPC in the groundwater. The boreholes were surveyed relative to a readily identified benchmark (top of spindle of a fire hydrant located on the northeast corner of the property.

Samples were kept in ice chilled coolers and then submitted to an accredited laboratory under Chain of Custody control for chemical analysis of CPCs. Quality control procedures, including analysis of trip blanks, were followed.

The following sections present more detailed descriptions of each activity of the investigation.

4.2 Drilling and Excavating

Advancement of BH1/MW1 through BH4/MW4 was conducted on October 5, 2018 by George Downing Estate Drilling Ltd. of Grenville Sur La Rouge, Quebec, using a truck mounted CME 55 power drill rig. Drilling was conducted under full-time supervision and direction by GHD personnel.



Soil samples were recovered using 50 mm diameter split spoon samplers advanced to the sampling depth with a hydraulic hammer, and washed with soapy water between uses to avoid cross contamination. Soil samples were collected at pre-defined intervals to the maximum proposed depth of drilling.

4.3 Soil Sampling

Equipment

Split spoon soil samples were recovered from BH1/MW1 through BH4/MW4 on October 5, 2018 using 50 mm diameter continually advanced stainless steel split spoon samplers advanced with a hydraulic hammer, and washed between uses, as stated in the SAP. Samples of soil intended for PHCs F1 range and VOCs analysis were collected using 2 cc syringes and placed in methanol vials supplied by the laboratory. Additional soil samples were collected in laboratory supplied jars. Laboratory samples were held in coolers with ice packs prior to delivery to the laboratory.

Description

The findings reflect that there are generally six main materials above the limestone bedrock:

- Asphalt
- Grass vegetation and Topsoil
- Fill (Sand and Gravel) or (Silty Clay) or (Silty Sand)
- Sandy Silt
- Silty Clay
- Peat

The subsurface soil encountered in the five borehole locations is described in the following sections, and is presented graphically on the borehole logs, in Appendix C.

Asphalt

A surface layer of asphalt was encountered in boreholes BH1, BH2, and BH4. This layer was found at the ground surface extending down approximately 0.05 mBG.

Topsoil

A surface layer of topsoil and grass was encountered in boreholes BH3D/3S. This material was described as silty sand with organics, loose, dark brown, and damp. This layer was found at the ground surface extending down approximately 0.08 mBG.

No petroleum odours or visible evidence of staining were noted within the topsoil material.

Fill

A layer fill material consisting of a mixture of silt, sand and gravel was encountered underlying the surficial materials at all borehole locations. This material was described as grey and brown, loose to compact, and damp. The thickness of the fill material ranged from approximately 1.4 m (BH2) to 3.0 m (BH2).



It is suspected that the encountered fill material was used to backfill the foundation of the existing building and provide the granular structure for the existing pavement on the Site.

Visible evidence of staining was noted within the fill materials encountered in all boreholes except BH4.

Silty Clay

Native material was encountered beneath the fill material at the BH1, BH3D, and BH4 locations.

A native deposit of silty clay was encountered beneath the fill material at the borehole BH1 location. It was described as grey, moist, and very stiff. The layer was found to be approximately 1.2 m thick.

No evidence of staining was noted in the native material.

Sandy Silt

Underlying the clay deposit was a deposit of sandy silt that was found to be greyish brown in colour, damp to moist, and loose to very dense. The deposit was found to be approximately 0.9 m thick.

A thin sandy silt deposit was encountered beneath the peat layer at the BH4 location. The sandy silt deposit was found to be approximately 0.5 m thick and was recovered in a moist to wet condition and loose to very dense.

No evidence of staining was noted in the native material.

Peat

A native deposit of peat was encountered at the BH3D and BH4 locations underlying the fill material. The peat deposit was found to be approximately 1.0 to 1.2 m thick and was recovered in a damp to wet condition and very loose to loose.

No evidence of staining was noted in the native material.

4.4 Field Screening Measurements

The soil samples were field screened using visual and olfactory observations as well as combustible vapour screening using a Photoionization Detector (PID) for the CPCs. Field observations and PID readings are provided in the borehole logs provided in Appendix C.

4.5 Ground Water: Monitoring Well Installation

Advancement of boreholes BH1/MW1 through BH4/MW4 was conducted on October 5, 2018 by George Downing Estate Drilling Ltd. of Grenville Sur La Rouge, Quebec.

All of the boreholes were equipped with 51 mm diameter temporary groundwater monitoring wells respectively identified as MW1, MW2, MW3S, MW3D, and MW4. The well screens consisted of slotted No. 10, 51 mm diameter PVC. The 51 mm PVC risers extended from the top of the screen to finish height, approximately 0.05 mBG. The risers were encased in flush mount well protectors. A PVC slip cap was installed at the base of the screen to prevent sediment infiltration. A J-plug was used in the installations at the top of the riser to prevent surface water or precipitation influence.



Each well was backfilled with clean sand to 0.3 m above the top of the screen. The remaining annular space (directly above the sand pack) was backfilled with bentonite hole plug to within approximately 0.5 m of the ground surface, then backfilled with sand and equipped with a well protector.

Each well was equipped with dedicated 12 mm LDPE Waterra[™] tubing and a dedicated Waterra[™] footvalve. The Waterra[™] tubing/footvalve was then used to develop the well. Wells were purged dry or up to three additional well volumes were removed prior to sampling to allow the collection of fresh groundwater and stabilization of field parameters.

4.6 Ground Water: Field Measurement of Water Quality Parameters

Field measurements of groundwater quality were measured in the field using a Hanna Water Quality Meter prior to sampling. Measurements of pH, turbidity, electrical conductivity (EC), and temperature were collected during purging prior to sampling. Measurements were collected following the removal of 1 L, one well volume and each subsequent well volume until the water quality parameters stabilized (subsequent readings within 10 percent difference).

4.7 Ground Water: Sampling

Stabilized groundwater levels were recorded in each monitoring well prior to disturbance of the water column.

All wells were developed then purged dry or up to three additional well volumes prior to sampling using a footvalve with dedicated 12 mm LDPE tubing in each monitoring well. Field measurements of water quality parameters were collected, as described above, to ensure stabilization of these parameters.

Following the repeated purging of the well, a groundwater sample was collected from all monitoring wells using dedicated 12 mm LDPE Waterra tubing and a Waterra footvalve.

All groundwater samples were collected in dedicated amber glass bottles and vials prepared by the laboratory. The groundwater samples for metal analysis were field filtered; the samples for PHCs, VOCs, and pH analysis were not field filtered.

Following sampling, the groundwater samples were stored in an ice pack chilled cooler and were taken directly to the laboratory following the sampling event.

4.8 Sediment Sampling

Sediment was not sampled as part of this assessment, as no natural surface water bodies were present at the Site.

4.9 Analytical Testing

All soil and groundwater analytical testing as part of this assessment was conducted by AGAT Laboratories (AGAT). AGAT is a member of the Standards Council of Canada (SCC) and Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (CALA).



4.10 Residue Management Procedures

Soil cuttings from drilling activities were containerized in metal drums and placed in designated areas of the Site. The analytical results indicated there are exceedances of the O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 criteria, which was determined to be applicable for the Site. The cuttings should be disposed of off-Site at a facility licenced to accept contaminated, non-hazardous waste soil.

Purge water was containerized in the field and was retained on-Site in one metal drum. The purge water should be disposed of off-Site at a facility licenced to accept contaminated, non-hazardous waste water.

4.11 Elevation Surveying

Survey data of the ground surface elevation of all boreholes and elevation of the top of pipe (riser) of all groundwater monitoring wells was collected as part of this assessment. Survey elevations were based on an assumed elevation of a temporary benchmark (TBM) of 100 m for the top of spindle of a fire hydrant located on the northeast corner of the property. The assigned elevations were as follows:

Location	Relative Grade Elevation (m)	Relative Top Of Piezometer (TOP) Elevation (m)	Remarks
TBM Hydrant	100.00	-	Assigned elevation 100 m
BH1/MW1	98.64	98.54	
BH2/MW2	98.39	98.29	
BH3D/MW3D	99.25	99.15	
BH3S/MW3S	99.33	99.23	
BH4/MW4	98.53	98.43	

Table 4.1 Elevations

4.12 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures

All soil sample jars were provided by AGAT. All PHC F1 range and BTEX soil samples were collected with laboratory provided single use 2 cc soil syringes. Each sample was given a unique identification.

Stainless steel split spoons were used to recover soil samples from the boreholes; the spoons were washed in soapy water between uses. A metal spatula was used to transfer samples from the split spoons to the sample jars; this spatula was cleaned between uses. While transferring samples from split spoons into the sample jars, GHD field staff wore nitrile gloves, which were changed prior to jarring each sample to prevent cross contamination.

To ensure laboratory quality control, a blind duplicate sample of soil was submitted for laboratory analysis of one of the six submitted soil samples. Duplicate sample DUP was submitted for laboratory analysis of metals and inorganics, and PAHs. This duplicate sample provides quality assurance and quality control of soil samples submitted as part of this assessment.



All groundwater sample jars were provided by AGAT. Each sample was given a unique identification. All groundwater samples were transferred directly from the dedicated LDPE tubing directly to the sampling containers. The bottles and vials for PHCs, BTEXs, VOCs, and general chemistry (pH) were not field filtered.

To ensure laboratory quality control, a field duplicate was submitted for laboratory analysis of one of the five collected groundwater samples. One field duplicate (DUP) was submitted for laboratory analysis of metals and inorganics, VOCs, BTEXs, and pH. A trip blank groundwater sample was submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs for each day that sampling was completed. These duplicate and blank samples provide quality assurance and quality control of all parameter sets analysed for groundwater samples submitted as part of this assessment.

5. **Review and Evaluation**

5.1 Geology

Asphalt

A surface layer of asphalt was encountered in boreholes BH1, BH2, and BH4. This layer was found at the ground surface extending down approximately 0.05 mBG.

Topsoil

A surface layer of topsoil and grass was encountered in boreholes BH3D/3S. This material was described as silty sand with organics, loose, dark brown, and damp. This layer was found at the ground surface extending down approximately 0.08 mBG.

No petroleum odours or visible evidence of staining were noted within the topsoil material.

Fill

A layer fill material consisting of a mixture of silt, sand and gravel was encountered underlying the surficial materials at all borehole locations. This material was described as grey and brown, loose to compact, and damp. The thickness of the fill material ranged from approximately 1.4 (BH1) to 3.0 m (BH2).

It is suspected that the encountered fill material was used to backfill the foundation of the existing building and provide the granular structure for the existing pavement on the Site.

Visible evidence of staining was noted within the fill materials encountered in all boreholes except BH4.

Silty Clay and Sandy Silt (Native)

Native silty clay material was encountered beneath the fill material at the BH1, BH3D, and BH4 locations.

A native deposit of silty clay was encountered beneath the fill material at the borehole BH1 location. It was described as grey, moist, and very stiff. The layer was found to be approximately 1.2 m thick.



Underlying the clay deposit was a deposit of sandy silt that was found to be greyish brown in colour, damp to moist, and loose to very dense. The deposit was found to be approximately 0.9 m thick.

A native deposit of peat was encountered at the BH3D and BH4 locations underlying the fill material. The peat deposit was found to be approximately 1.0 to 1.2 m thick and was recovered in a damp to wet condition and very loose to loose.

A thin sandy silt deposit was encountered beneath the peat layer at the BH4 location. The sandy silt deposit was found to be approximately 0.5 m thick and was recovered in a moist to wet condition and loose to very dense.

No evidence of staining was noted in the native material.

5.2 Ground Water - Elevations and Flow Direction

In order to assess the environmental quality of the groundwater at the Site, five groundwater monitoring wells (MW1, MW2, MW3S, MW3D, and MW4) were installed on the subject Property as part of this assessment. Wells MW1 and MW3S were screened in the overburden. All other wells were screened in the upper surface of the bedrock aquifer. A bentonite seal above the monitoring well screen/sandpack was formed during all monitoring well installations. Monitoring well construction details are presented in Table 5.1.

Well ID	Grade Elevation (m)	TOP Elevation (m)	Borehole Bottom Elevation (m)	Screen Elevation (m)	Sand Pack Elevation (m)	Bentonite Seal Elevation (m)	Well Bottom Depth (mBG)
BH1/MW1	98.64	98.54	94.8	96.7 to 95.2	97.1 to 95.2	98.2 to 97.1	3.4
BH2/MW2	98.39	98.29	93.5	94.6 to 93.5	94.8 to 93.5	97.9 to 94.8	4.9
BH3D/MW3D	99.25	99.15	93.9	95.2 to 93.9	95.3 to 93.9	98.8 to 95.3	5.4
BH3S/MW3S	99.33	99.23	95.6	97.1 to 95.6	97.5 to 95.6	98.8 to 97.5	3.7
BH4/MW4	98.53	98.43	93.1	94.2 to 93.1	94.3 to 93.1	98.0 to 94.3	5.4
Notes:							
*Elevations are	not geodeti	c. Relative to	assigned TBI	M as discusse	ed in Section 4	.11	

Table 5.1 Monitoring Well Installation

well. Water table elevation details are presented in Table 5.2.

Following a period of stabilization of the groundwater in the overburden wells, water levels were collected on October 15, 2018. Groundwater elevations were determined based on the assigned elevation of 100 m for the surveyed benchmark (fire hydrant located on the northeast corner of the Site), and the monitoring well survey and the measured groundwater level within each monitoring



Well ID	Grade Elevation (m)	TOP Elevation (m)	Depth to groundwater (m below grade)	Depth to Groundwater (m below TOP)	Water Table Elevation (m)
BH1/MW1	98.64	98.54	2.07	1.97	96.57
BH2/MW2	98.39	98.29	3.21	3.11	95.18
BH3D/MW3D	99.25	99.15	1.37	1.27	97.88
BH3S/MW3S	99.33	99.23	1.26	1.16	98.07
BH4/MW4	98.53	98.43	1.28	1.18	97.25

Table 5.2 Water Table Details

Free product was not identified during any monitoring. The results of the LNAPL/DNAPL investigation are presented in Table 1.

Three groundwater monitoring wells are required to triangulate groundwater elevations and provide a direction of groundwater flow. Five wells were installed as part of this investigation. BH1/MW1 BH3S/MW3S were installed in the overburden. All other wells were installed in the upper bedrock. These wells were used to determine a direction of groundwater flow and hydraulic gradient. Based on the water table elevations recorded in the monitoring wells installed as part of this investigation on the Site, the direction of groundwater flow in the vicinity of the boreholes was determined to be towards the south. This direction of groundwater flow is logical based on the surficial topography observed at the Site and neighbouring properties.

5.3 Ground Water - Hydraulic Gradients

The hydraulic gradient was calculated by dividing the difference in hydraulic head by the lateral distance between monitoring locations. Based on the recorded groundwater elevations in Table 5.2 above, the horizontal hydraulic gradient is approximately 0.025 m/m.

5.4 Fine-Medium or Coarse Soil Texture

Borehole advancement has identified a native deposit consisting of a mixture or silt, clay, and sand. A significant layer of fill material was also encountered as part of the borehole drilling program. As no grain size analysis was completed as part of this assessment, the Coarse textured soil conditions will be applied, as this provides a conservative comparison of contaminant concentrations to their criteria.

5.5 Soil Field Screening

The soil samples were field screened for the olfactory and visible presence of CPCs and for combustible vapour. The combustible vapour readings for the soil samples collected as part of this assessment were negligible.



5.6 Soil Quality

Location and Depth of Sampling

The locations of the boreholes and sampling locations are indicated on Figure 2: Borehole Location Plan, in the Figures section of this report. During the investigation, soil layers were sampled for metals, PAHs, pH, Flashpoint, and TCLP for metals and inorganics, VOCs, and PCBs, as follows:

Sample ID	Submitted Sample	Sample depth	Parameters
BH1-SS3	BH1-SS3	1.4-2.0	metals, PAHs, pH
BH2-SS5	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0	metals, PAHs, pH
BH3-SS3	BH3-SS3	1.2-1.8	metals, PAHs, pH
BH4-SS2/SS3	BH4-SS2/SS3	0.6-1.8	metals, PAHs, pH
BH3 (Auger Cuttings)	BH3 (Auger Cuttings)	2.5-3.5	PAHs, pH, TCLP metals, TCLP VOCs, TCLP PCBs, Flashpoint
BH5-SS3 (DUP of BH4-SS2/SS3)	BH4-SS2/SS3	0.6-1.8	metals, PAHs, pH

Table 5.3 Soil Sample Details

Comparison of Analytical Results to O. Reg. 153/04 Criteria

As determined in Section 1.4 (Applicable Site Class), full depth generic O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential/parkland land use, non-potable groundwater use, coarse grain soil texture) criteria is considered the applicable Site comparison.

Analytical testing of the aforementioned soil samples indicated the following parameters exceed the O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 criteria (residential/parkland, coarse grained texture):

- BH2-SS5
 - Boron, Electrical Conductivity, and Sodium Adsorption Ratio
- BH1-SS3, BH2-SS5, BH4-SS2/SS3, BH5-SS3 (DUP of BH4-SS2/SS3)
 - Electrical Conductivity, and Sodium Adsorption Ratio

A complete summary of the analytical soil testing conducted as part of this Phase Two ESA and comparison to O. Reg. 153/04 criteria are present in Table 2. The maximum soil concentrations detected as part of this investigation are presented in Table 4, following the text of this report. A copy of the laboratory certificates of analysis for the soil samples are presented in Appendix D.

Contaminants of Concern

The contaminants of potential concern (CPC) in soil for the Site were based on the APECs identified at the Site during the Phase One ESA:

- PCA#1 (on-Site, fill of an unknown origin)/APEC #1 (various locations) | metals and PAHs
- PCA#2 (off-Site, a former landfill)/APEC #2 (approx. 20 m east of Site) | metals and PAHs



Comparison of TCLP Analytical Results to O. Reg. 558/00 Schedule IV Criteria

A soil sample was collected for leachate testing and was analyzed using the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP). The results of the submitted TCLP sample are shown on the laboratory Certificate of Analysis – AGAT #18Z394508 in Appendix D. The results of the TCLP testing were compared to O. Reg. 558/00 criteria. The TCLP results are in compliance with the O. Reg. 558/00 criteria. In the event that the Site soil cannot be handled as clean fill, the Site soil can be considered solid non-hazardous waste.

Chemical or Biological Transformations

Parameters related to chemical or biological transformations of CPCs were not suspected to be present and were not detected in the analytical results as part of this investigation.

Soil Acting as a Contaminant Mass Contributing to Other Media

Based on the laboratory results of the analysed soil samples, there is no soil on the Site which is acting as a contaminant mass contributing to other media.

LNAPL/DNAPL

Light Non Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL) and Dense Non Aqueous Phase Liquids (DNAPL) were not suspected to be present in the groundwater at the Site as a result of the identified PCAs/APECs. Free product was not detected in the groundwater recovered from the monitoring wells.

5.7 Ground Water Quality

Location and Depth of Sampling

The depths of the screens are indicated in Table 5.1 in Section 5.2 of this report; the screened intervals were situated in the upper (weathered) portion of the limestone bedrock or in the overburden, straddling the shallow static groundwater table.

Field Filtering

Field filtering is not conducted under standard field protocols for groundwater sampling of PHCs, VOCs, BTEXs, PAHs, or pH.

Samples intended for metal analysis were field filtered using a 45 μ m filter.

Contaminants of Concern

The contaminants of potential concern (CPC) in groundwater for the Site were based on the APECs identified at the Site during the Phase One ESA:

- PCA#1 (on-Site, fill of an unknown origin)/APEC #1 (various locations) | metals and inorganics, BTEXs, PHCs
- PCA#2 (off-Site, a former landfill)/APEC #2 (approx. 20 m east of Site) | metals and inorganics, VOCs



Comparison of Analytical Results to O. Reg. 153/04 Criteria

As determined in Section 1.4 (Applicable Site Class), full depth generic O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential/parkland land use, non-potable groundwater use, coarse soil texture) criteria is considered the applicable Site comparison.

Analytical testing of the aforementioned groundwater samples indicated the following parameters exceed the O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 criteria (residential/parkland, coarse grained texture):

- MW2
 - Chloride
- MW3S
 - PHCs (F2 and F3)

A complete summary of the analytical groundwater testing conducted as part of this Phase Two ESA and comparison to O. Reg. 153/04 criteria are present in Table 3, following the text of this report. The maximum groundwater concentrations detected as part of this investigation are presented in Table 5, following the text of this report. A copy of the laboratory certificates of analysis for the groundwater samples are presented in Appendix D.

Chemical or Biological Transformations

Parameters related to chemical or biological transformations of the CPCs were not suspected to be present and were not detected in the analytical results as part of this investigation.

LNAPL/DNAPL

Light Non Aqueous Phase Liquids (LNAPL) and Dense Non Aqueous Phase Liquids (DNAPL) were not considered to have the potential to be present in the groundwater at the Site as a result of the identified PCAs/APECs.

There were detections of PHCs (F2 and F3 range) in the analyzed groundwater sample collected from MW3S, which was reported at concentrations in exceedance of O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 Site criteria.

There were detection of VOCs parameters in the analyzed groundwater sample collected from MW1,MW3S, MW4, and MW6 (a duplicate sample of MW3S), at concentrations in compliance with of O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 Site criteria.

Free product was not detected in the groundwater recovered from the monitoring wells.

5.8 Sediment Quality

Natural Surface Water Bodies were not present on the Site; as such sediment sampling was not conducted at the Site as part of the Phase Two ESA.



5.9 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results

Quality Control

One field duplicate soil sample (BH5-SS3, a duplicate of sample BH4-SS2/SS3) was submitted for laboratory analysis of metals, PAHs, and pH. This duplicate analysis provides quality assurance and quality control of parameter sets analysed in the six soil samples submitted as part of this assessment. In general the relative percent differences (RPDs) were less than 40 percent for the duplicate soil samples. This range of RPDs is typically greater than that which would indicate consistency in laboratory results, however, given the low level concentrations of parameters which were generally detected in the analysed soil sample duplicates, the level of variability among results is considered acceptable to indicate that the duplicate soil results do indicate general consistency in the laboratory data.

One blind field duplicate groundwater sample (MW6, a duplicate of MW3S) was submitted for laboratory analysis of metals, VOCs, PHCs, and pH. This duplicate provides quality assurance and quality control of parameter sets analysed in the six groundwater samples submitted as part of this assessment. The relative percent differences (RPDs) were less than 70 percent for the duplicate groundwater samples. This range of RPDs is typically greater than that which would indicate consistency in laboratory results, however, given the low level concentrations of parameters which were generally detected in the analysed soil sample duplicates, the level of variability among results is considered acceptable to indicate that the duplicate soil results do indicate general consistency in the laboratory data.

One trip blank samples (one for each day of groundwater sampling) was submitted for laboratory analysis of VOCs in groundwater. This trip blanks provides quality assurance and quality control of volatile parameter sets analysed for groundwater samples submitted as part of this assessment. No contaminants concentrations were detected in the analyzed trip blank sample.

Handling and Transport

All samples were delivered to the laboratory in laboratory supplied containers. Samples were hand delivered to the laboratory in coolers, equipped with ice packs and trip blanks. All samples were delivered to the Laboratory within the mandated holding time and temperature requirements.

Analytical Documentation

The documentation provided from the laboratory meets the requirements of O. Reg. 153/04 Section 47(3). Documentation for each laboratory submitted sample has been included as an appendix to this report. Full documentation, including the laboratory certificates of analysis, is provided in Appendix D.

Analytical Qualifiers

No analytical qualifiers were reported on laboratory report 18Z394508 (soil samples) or report 18Z399894 (groundwater samples) with respect to submissions as part of this assessment.



General Comments on Field Data

For the purposes of this investigation, the quality of the field data:

- Meets the objectives of the investigation.
- Did not affect the decision making process.

5.10 Phase Two Conceptual Site Model

Potentially Contaminating Activities (PCAs)

Based on the known information of the general area of the Site, there was one on-Site PCA, and one off-Site PCA identified in the Study Area.

- PCA#1 (Item 30: Importation of Fill Material of Unknown Quality) | On-Site, importation of Fill
 material of unknown environmental quality used for grading the Site, specifically, for infilling
 previously observed drainage features at the Site.
- PCA#2 (Item 58: Waste Disposal and Waste Management, including thermal treatment, landfilling and transfer of waste, other than use of biosolids as soil conditioners) | Off-Site, a former landfill Site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site.

Areas of Potential Environmental Concern (APECs)

The potentially contaminating activities considered to represent areas of potential environmental concern for the Site are:

- APEC#1 (Item 30: Importation of Fill Material of Unknown Quality) | On-Site, importation of Fill
 material of unknown environmental quality used for grading the Site, specifically, for infilling
 previously observed drainage features at the Site.
- APEC#2 (Item 58: Waste Disposal and Waste Management, including thermal treatment, landfilling and transfer of waste, other than use of biosolids as soil conditioners) | Off-Site, a former landfill Site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site.

Contaminants of Potential Concern (CPCs)

The contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) in soil and groundwater for the Site were based on the APECs identified at the Site during Phase I ESA and observations at the time of the drilling program. The following CPCs for the Site were suspected to be associated with fill material at the Site, and the off-Site former landfill:

- 1. Petroleum Hydrocarbons ranges F1-F4 (PHCs) and Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEXs)
- 2. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in groundwater only
- 3. Metals and Inorganics
- 4. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)



An assessment of the CPCs for the Site was completed as part of the Phase Two ESA analytical submission program. Soil and groundwater samples were submitted for a combination of the CPCs dependent on borehole/monitoring well locations with respect to the APECs.

Subsurface Conditions

Municipal water and sewer services are supplied by underground service trenches on the east portion of the Site leading to DuMaurier Avenue. Electricity is supplied to the Site buildings from underground service trenches from the west of the building. The phone line is supplied to the Site building from Ramsey Crescent to the south of the Site. These service trenches are considered to have the potential to act as conduits for contaminant migration.

According to the 1987 Geological Survey of Canada, Surficial Geology Map for Ottawa (Map No.: 1506A), the natural soil consists of "Abandoned river channel deposits of silt and silty clay; commonly including lenses of sand and generally underlain at variable depth by stratified, buff, medium grained sand". The thickness of overburden is expected to be approximately 3 to 4 m thick and the bedrock in the general area of the Site is expected to be limestone. According to records from the water well information system and borehole databases, as presented in the results of the subcontracted Ecolog Environmental ERIS search, the overburden soil in the vicinity of the Site consist of a mixture of silt, clay, and sand type soils. The overburden soil was reportedly underlain by shale or limestone bedrock at an approximate depth ranging from 1.8 to 8.8 m below ground surface.

Physical Setting - Stratigraphy

As presented in the Borehole Logs in Appendix C, the investigation identified seven materials within the depth investigated, namely:

- Asphalt
- Grass vegetation and Topsoil
- Fill (Sand and Gravel) or (Silty Clay) or (Silty Sand)
- Sandy Silt
- Silty Clay
- Peat
- Limestone bedrock

Physical Setting – Hydrogeological

The interpretation of groundwater flow was based upon the generally known geology, the location and flow direction of surface water bodies and the static water levels collected from monitoring wells completed on the Site as part of this assessment. The overburden/weathered upper bedrock groundwater flows to the south. Based on the recorded groundwater elevations in Table 5.2, and a lateral separation distance between monitoring well locations, the horizontal hydraulic gradient is approximately 0.025 m/m. The hydraulic gradient is expected to vary with seasonal and weather conditions.



Based on the geology observed at the Site, the overburden/weathered upper bedrock aquifer is considered as unconfined.

Physical Setting – Bedrock

Limestone bedrock was encountered in boreholes BH2, BH3D, and BH4 at the Site, generally at depths ranging between 3.2 and 3.9 mBG. In general, the upper surface (top 0.5-1.5 m) of the bedrock was revealed to be very poor to good quality, becoming to fair to good rock quality with increasing depth.

Physical Setting – Groundwater Table

The static groundwater table in overburden wells installed by GHD, were measured at depths ranging from approximately 1.3 to 2.1 mBG on October 15, 2018.

The groundwater table in the wells installed in the upper bedrock were measured at depths ranging from approximately 1.3 to 3.2 mBG.

Groundwater flow direction was interpreted to be towards the south with a calculated hydraulic gradient of 0.025 m/m, based on measured relative groundwater elevations.

The water table details are summarized in Table 5.2. It should be noted that the water table elevation is expected to vary with seasonal and weather conditions.

Physical Setting – Sensitive or Shallow or Surface Water

The pH of the soil was tested and observed to be within the range of 5-9. There are no Areas of Natural Scientific Interest (ANSI) identified by the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) within 250 m of the Site. There are no areas designated by the municipality in its current official plan (Bylaw 2008-250-Zoning) as 'EP' (Environmentally Protected zoning) identified within 250 m of the Site. As the Site does not contain an area of natural significance, and properties within 30 m of the Site limits do not contain areas of natural significance, the Site is not classified as an environmentally sensitive property (O. Reg. 153/04, s41).

No natural surface water bodies were identified on the Site or within the Phase One Study Area. The nearest natural surface body is the Ottawa River, which is located approximately 1.5 km to the Northwest of the Site. There is no open surface water bodies within 30 m of the Site limits. As the property does not include all or part of a water body, and the property is not adjacent to a water body, and does not include land that is within 30 m of a water body, the Property is not considered a Surface Water site (O. Reg. 153/04, s43.1[1]).

Drilling conducted as part of this investigation revealed that there is more than 2 m of soil in all boreholes locations advanced on the Site. The native soils encountered show a single native soil deposit consisting of a mixture of silt, clay and sand; coarse grained soils were assumed as a conservative comparison approach. The full depth generic criteria of Tables 3 of O. Reg. 153/04 is considered applicable.

The Property, and all other properties located, in whole or in part, within 250 m of the boundaries of the property, are supplied by a municipal drinking water system. The Site is not in an area designated on the City of Ottawa official plan as an intake protection zone (IPZ). The Site is not in an area designated on the City of Ottawa official plan as a well-head protection area (WHPA). The Site



is not an agricultural property. The Site is considered as being non-potable water use as per Section 35 of the Ontario Regulation 153/04 as amended 2011 (O. Reg. 153/04, s35).

The existing property use is mixed commercial and institutional. The proposed property use will be residential. The Site is considered as being residential/parkland/institutional land use as per Section 3 of the Ontario Regulation 153/04 as amended 2011 (O. Reg. 153/04, s1[3]).

Accordingly, the appropriate Site classification under O. Reg. 153/04 will be full depth generic non-potable residential land use (O. Reg. 153/04, Table 3).

Physical Setting – Fill

A layer fill material consisting of a mixture of silt, sand and gravel was encountered underlying the surficial materials at all borehole locations. This material was described as grey and brown, loose to compact, and damp. The thickness of the fill material ranged from approximately 1.4 (BH1) to 3.0 m (BH2).

It is suspected that the encountered fill material was used to backfill the foundation of the existing building and provide the granular structure for the existing pavement on the Site.

Visible evidence of staining was noted within the fill materials encountered in all boreholes except BH4.

In addition to the fill material identified in the Phase Two ESA drilling program, it is expected that underground services on the property are bedded in imported granular material. It is expected that the construction of the foundations of the existing on-Site building included backfill, and material from off-Site. Backfill around the existing structures and services was not investigated as part of the Phase Two ESA.

Physical Setting – Existing and Proposed Structures

At the time of report preparation:

- The current property use is mixed commercial and institutional
- The existing building on Site is surrounded by asphalt paved parking area that is accessed from Dumaurier Avenue to the east and Ramsey Crescent to the south.
- The north portion of the Site is a landscaped area.

GHD understands that the Client intends to demolish the existing structures and construct a 40-storey residential building with six underground parking levels. The Property is currently used for mixed commercial and institutional purposes and therefore requires a Record of Site Condition (RSC), required for a change in land use to more sensitive land uses. This report will also be used to support a submission to the local municipal planning department.

Contamination

Soil samples were collected from the boreholes advanced on October 5, 2018 and were analysed for a combination of PAHs, metals and inorganics, and pH.

Four soil samples, BH1-SS3 (1.4-2.0 mBG), BH2-SS5 (2.4-3.0 mBG), BH4-SS2/SS3 (0.6-1.8 mBG) and BH5-SS3 (a duplicate sample of BH4-SS2/SS3) presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3



exceedances of electrical conductivity and sodium adsorption ratio. The soil sample BH2-SS5 also presented exceedances of Boron.

The sample BH1-SS3 was collected from the native silty clay deposit. All other samples were collected from fill material at various locations around the site. It is suspected that areas of contaminated soil are present across the Site and in localized areas where poor quality fill material was used for grading and/or backfill for the foundations of the existing on-site building. It is suspected that there may be additional contaminated soil present in other localised areas of the Site.

Groundwater was sampled on October 19, 2018 and analysed for Metals, VOCs, BTEX, and PHCs. Groundwater sample, MW2 presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of Chloride. Sample MW3S presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of PHCs (F2 and F3). The PHC contamination appears to be localized in the area of MW3S as PHCs were not detected in the analysis of the groundwater samples collected from the other well locations.

A remedial action plan and groundwater management program will be developed for the Client in order to manage and dispose of the contaminated soil and groundwater at the time of redevelopment.

6. Conclusions

Soil samples were collected from the boreholes advanced on October 5, 2018 and were analysed for a combination of Metals, PAHs, and pH.

Four soil samples, BH1-SS3 (1.4-2.0 mBG), BH2-SS5 (2.4-3.0 mBG), BH4-SS2/SS3 (0.6-1.8 mBG) and BH5-SS3 (a duplicate sample of BH4-SS2/SS3) presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of electrical conductivity and sodium adsorption ratio. The soil sample BH2-SS5 also presented exceedances of Boron.

The sample BH1-SS3 was collected from the native silty clay deposit. All other samples were collected from fill material at various locations around the site. It is suspected that areas of contaminated soil are present across the Site and in localized areas where poor quality fill material was used for grading and/or backfill for the foundations of the existing on-site building. It is suspected that there may be additional contaminated soil present in other localised areas of the Site.

Groundwater was sampled on October 19, 2018 and analysed for Metals, VOCs, BTEX, and PHCs. Groundwater sample, MW2 presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of Chloride. Sample MW3S presented O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 exceedances of PHCs (F2 and F3). The PHC contamination appears to be localized in the area of MW3S as PHCs were not detected in the analysis of the groundwater samples collected from the other well locations.

A remedial action plan and groundwater management program will be developed for the Client in order to manage and dispose of the contaminated soil and groundwater at the time of redevelopment.

Site Compliance

There were O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential land use, coarse soil texture) criteria exceedances in four of the six submitted soil samples, including the duplicate QA/QC soil sample.



There were O. Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (residential land use, coarse soil texture) criteria exceedances in two of the six submitted groundwater samples, including the duplicate QA/QC soil sample.

The Site is not considered to be in compliance with the O. Reg. 153/04 criteria for the proposed land use and requires soil and groundwater remediation/removal or a risk assessment.



7. References

Ontario Regulation 153/04

Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. Reference No. 11181273-E1 (1), prepared by GHD Ltd., dated August 16, 2018

Certificate of Analysis – AGAT #18Z394508 – Soil and TCLP– October 15, 2018

Certificate of Analysis – AGAT #18Z399894 – Groundwater – October 30, 2018



All of Which is Respectfully Submitted,

GHD

Ryan Vanden Tillaart, EIT

she 1

Luke Lopers, P. Eng.



Luke Lopers, P. Eng., Qualified Person for Environmental Site Assessment under O. Reg. 153/04, confirms the carrying out of the Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment and the findings and conclusions of this report.



Source: MNRF NRVIS, 2018. Produced by GHD under licence from Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, © Queen's Printer 2018. Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 18N

11181273-E2



3223701 CANADA INC. 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 AND 2865 DUMAURIER AVENUE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO Nov 6, 2018 TWO ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

SITE LOCATION MAP

FIGURE 1





 3223701 CANADA INC.
 11181273-E2

 2831, 2833, 2835, 2841 AND 2865 DUMAURIER AVENUE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO
 Mar 29, 2019

 PHASE TWO ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN

FIGURE 2

DNAPL/LNAPL Layers Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

WELL ID	Grade Elevation	TOP Elevation	Bottom Depth	Bottom Elevation	September 8, 2017 Depth to Watertable	September 8, 2017 Elevation Watertable	Thickness of LNAPL	Thickness of DNAPL
	(m)	(m)	(m below grade)	(m)	(m below grade)	(m)	(m)	(m)
BH1/MW1	98.64	98.54	3.84	94.80	2.07	96.57	0.00	0.00
BH2/MW2	98.39	98.29	4.89	93.50	3.21	95.18	0.00	0.00
BH3D/MW3D	99.25	99.15	5.35	93.90	1.37	97.88	0.00	0.00
BH3S/MW3S	99.33	99.23	3.73	95.60	1.26	98.07	0.00	0.00
BH4/MW4	98.53	98.43	5.43	93.10	1.28	97.25	0.00	0.00

Elevation relative to Site BM=100.00 m, assigned to Top of Spindle of Hydrant on the northeast corner of the Site

Summary of Soil Analysis Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

			O.Reg.153/04 Table 1	O.Reg.153/04 Table 3	BH1	BH2	BH3D	BH4	BH5 (duplicate of BH4)
			Background	Full Depth non-potable, coarse grained	1.4-2.0 mBG	2.4-3.0 mBG	1.2-1.8 mBG	0.6-1.8 mBG	0.6-1.8 mBG
Parameter	Units	MDL	residential/industrial	residential/ parkland/ institutional	Silty Clay	Fill	Fill	Fill	Fill
Metals									
Antimony	ug/g dry	0.8	1.3	7.5	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8
Arsenic	ug/g dry	1	18	18	2	5	2	2	2
Barium	ug/g dry	2	220	390	94	118	56	91	91
Beryllium	ug/g dry	0.5	2.5	4	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Boron	ug/g dry	5	36	120	6	9	<5	6	6
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	ug/g dry	0.1	NV	1.5	0.27	1.54	0.37	0.34	0.32
Cadmium	ug/g dry	0.5	1.2	1.2	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
Chromium	ug/g dry	2	70	160	37	22	15	29	31
Cobalt	ug/g dry	0.5	21	22	8.2	6.1	4.7	6.9	7.3
Copper	ug/g dry	1	92	140	14	105	19	17	18
Lead	ug/g dry	1	120	120	11	61	28	5	6
Molybdenum	ug/g dry	0.5	2	6.9	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<0.5	0.5
Nickel	ug/g dry	1	82	100	18	21	10	18	19
Selenium	ug/g dry	0.4	1.5	2.4	< 0.4	0.5	<0.4	0.7	<0.4
Silver	ug/g ary	0.2	0.5	20	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Inallum	ug/g dry	0.4	1	1	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4
Vanadium	ug/g dry	0.5	2.5	23	1.3	0.9	0.5	1	1.2
Zino	ug/g dry	5	200	80	41	Z I 102	21	32	33 26
Chromium VI	ug/g dry	0.2	230	340	<0.2	-0.2	<0.2	<0.2	-0 2
Childhildhi Vi	ug/g dry	0.2	0.00	0.051	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Mercury	ug/g dry	0.04	0.001	0.27	<0.040	<0.0 4 0 0.23	<0.040	<0.040	<0.040
Electrical Conductivity	ug/g dry	0.1	0.57	0.7	1.87	1.01	0.372	0.10	0.10
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	ug/g dry ug/a dry	NA	24	5	15.5	11.01	1.88	13.6	8.98
PAHs	ug/g ury	1474	2.1	0	10.0		1.00	10.0	0.00
Nanhthalana	ua/a day	0.05	0.00	0.6	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
	ug/g dry	0.05	0.09	0.0	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Acenaphthene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.035	7.9	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Fluorene	ug/g dry ug/a dry	0.05	0.072	62	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05
Phenanthrene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.69	6.2	<0.00	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.06
Anthracene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.16	0.67	<0.00	<0.05	<0.00	<0.00	<0.00
Fluoranthene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.56	0.69	<0.05	0.25	0.11	<0.05	<0.05
Pvrene	ua/a drv	0.05	1	78	<0.05	0.21	0.09	< 0.05	<0.05
Benz(a)anthracene	ua/a drv	0.05	0.36	0.5	< 0.05	0.11	0.06	< 0.05	< 0.05
Chrysene	ua/a drv	0.05	2.8	7	< 0.05	0.14	0.06	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.47	0.78	< 0.05	0.16	0.07	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.48	0.78	< 0.05	0.06	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.3	0.3	< 0.05	0.1	0.06	< 0.05	<0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.23	0.38	<0.05	0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.1	0.1	<0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.68	6.6	<0.05	0.07	0.05	< 0.05	<0.05
2-and 1-methyl Naphthalene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.59	0.99	<0.05	< 0.05	<0.05	0.09	0.1
pН	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.82	7.23	7.44	7.78	7.49
Lab ID	-	-	-	-	9610083	9610085	9610086	9610087	9610088
Sample Date Notes	-	-	-	-	5-Oct-18	5-Oct-18	5-Oct-18	5-Oct-18	5-Oct-18

BOLD NA ble 3 (non-potable, residential land use, fine grained soil) criteria ot Analysed by laboratory

Summary of Groundwater Analysis Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

			O.Reg.153/04 Table 1	O.Reg.153/04 Table 3	MW1	MW2	MW3S	MW3D	MW4	MW6 (Duplicate of MW3S)	
			background	non-potable, coarse grained							TRIP BLANK
Parameter	Units	MDL									
Metals											
Antimony	µg/L	1	1.5	20000	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-
Arsenic	µg/L	1	13	29000	7.2	1.2	3.1	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-
Bervllium	µg/L µg/L	0.5	0.5	67	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Boron	µg/L	10	1700	45000	26.3	240	58.4	20	76.9	62.8	-
Cadmium	µg/L	0.2	0.5	2.7	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	-
Chromium	µg/L	2	11	810	31.4	5.9	12.6	14.5	14.7	10	-
Cobalt	µg/L	0.5	3.8	66	2.2	1.8	1.2	<0.5	0.5	1.3	-
Copper	µg/L	0.5	5	87	1.1	1.2	<1.0	2.2	<1.0	< 1.0	-
Molybdenum	µg/L	0.5	23	9200	<0.5 0.6	<0.5 0.8	1.5	1.3	-0.5	1.8	-
Nickel	µg/L	1	14	490	1.5	1.1	<1.0	1	<1.0	<1.0	-
Selenium	µg/L	1	5	63	1.8	1.7	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-
Silver	µg/L	0.2	0.3	1.5	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	-
Thallium	µg/L	0.3	0.5	510	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3	-
Uranium	µg/L	0.5	8.9	420	0.8	<0.5	<0.5	1.5	< 0.5	<0.5	-
Vanadium	µg/L	0.4	3.9	250	4.7	1.1	3.3	4.1	4.7	2.8	-
ZINC	µg/L	5 0.02	160	0.29	< 5.0	0.3 <0.02	< 0.0	< 0.02	< 5.0	<0.02	
Chromium VI	ua/L	5	25	140	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	<5	-
Cyanide	µg/L	2	5	66	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	<2	-
Sodium	µg/L	2500	490000	2300000	651000	1150000	384000	606000	214000	385000	-
Chloride	µg/L	1000	790000	2300000	1830000	2350000	1150000	1400000	472000	1130000	-
Electrical Conductivity VOCs	µg/L	2	NA	NA	5560	7260	3630	4580	2000	3640	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	µg/L	0.2	590	4400	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L	0.17	0.5	0.5	<0.17	<0.68	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17
Bromomethane	µg/L	0.2	0.89	5.6	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
I richlorofluoromethane	µg/L	0.4	150	2500	<0.40	<1.60	<0.40	<0.40	< 0.40	<0.40	< 0.40
1 1-Dichloroethylene	µg/L	03	2700	130000	< 1.0	<4.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	0.3	5	610	<0.30	<1.20	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30
trans- 1.2-Dichloroethylene	ua/L	0.2	1.6	1.6	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Methyl tert-butyl ether	µg/L	0.2	15	190	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L	0.3	0.5	320	<0.30	<1.20	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	µg/L	1	400	470000	<1.0	<4.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
cis- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	µg/L	0.2	1.6	1.6	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	< 0.20	0.27	< 0.20
1 2 Dichleroothana	µg/L	0.2	2	2.4	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	< 0.20
1 1 1-Trichloroethane	µg/L	0.2	0.5	640	<0.20	<1.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Carbon Tetrachloride	µg/L	0.2	0.2	0.79	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Benzene	µg/L	0.2	0.5	44	<0.20	<0.80	0.49	<0.20	<0.20	0.85	<0.20
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L	0.2	0.5	16	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Trichloroethylene	µg/L	0.2	0.5	1.6	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	0.2	2	85000	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	< 0.20	<0.20	< 0.20
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	µg/L	1	640	140000	<1.0	<4.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Toluene	µg/L	0.2	0.5	4.7	<0.20	< 0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Dibromochloromethane	ua/L	0.2	2	82000	<0.10	<0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.20	<0.10	<0.10
Ethylene Dibromide	ua/L	0.1	0.2	0.25	<0.10	< 0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Tetrachloroethylene	µg/L	0.2	0.5	1.6	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.1	1.1	3.3	<0.10	<0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Chlorobenzene	µg/L	0.1	0.5	630	<0.10	<0.40	0.64	<0.10	<0.10	1.3	<0.10
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	0.1	0.5	2300	< 0.10	< 0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Bromotorm	µg/L	0.1	5	380	<0.10	<0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	< 0.10
3 Julie 1 2 2 Tetrachloroethano	µg/L	0.1	0.5	1300	U.23	< 0.40	<0.10	<0.10	0.54 <0.10	<0.10	<0.10
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L ug/l	0.1	0.5	9600	<0.10	<0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ua/L	0.1	0.5	8	<0.10	<0.40	0.79	<0.10	<0.10	1.6	<0.10
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.1	0.5	4600	<0.10	<0.40	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.14	<0.10
1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	0.3	0.5	5.2	<0.30	<1.20	<0.30	<0.30	< 0.30	<0.30	<0.30
Xylene Mixture	µg/L	0.2	72	4200	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20

Page 2 of 2

Summary of Groundwater Analysis Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

		O.Reg.153/04 Table 1		O.Reg.153/04 Table 3	MW1	MW2	MW3S	MW3D	MW4	MW6 (Duplicate of MW3S)	
			background	non-potable, coarse grained							TRIP BLANK
Parameter	Units	MDL									
n-Hexane	µg/L	0.2	5	51	<0.20	<0.80	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20
PHCs / BTEX											
F1 (C6 - C10)	µg/L	25	NA	NA	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	-
F1 (C6 to C10) minus BTEX	µg/L	25	420	750	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	-
F2 (C10 to C16)	µg/L	100	150	150	<100	<100	170	<100	<100	<100	-
F3 (C16 to C34)	µg/L	100	500	500	<100	<100	860	<100	<100	<100	-
F4 (C34 to C50)	µg/L	100	500	500	<100	<100	170	<100	<100	<100	-
Gravimetric Heavy Hydrocarbons	µg/L	500	500	500	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-
pН	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.8	7.26	7.09	7.57	7.34	7.12	-
Lab ID					9641620	9641666	9641876	9641877	9641878	9641879	9641881
Sample Date					19-Oct-18	19-Oct-18	19-Oct-18	19-Oct-18	19-Oct-18	19-Oct-18	19-Oct-18
Notes											

Notes BOLD BOLD NA

- concentration meets or exceeds O.Reg. 153/04 Table 1 (non-agricultural) criteria
 - concentration meets or exceeds O.Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (non-potable, any land use, fine grained soil) criteria
 - Parameter not Analysed by laboratory

Maximum Soil Parameter Concentrations Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

O.Reg.153/04 Table 1 O.Reg.153/04 Table 3

			Background	Full Depth non-potable, coarse grained	Maximum Soil	sample location	sample depth
Parameter	Units	MDL	residential/industrial	residential/ parkland/	oblicentration		(mBG)
Metals				monutional			
Antimony	ug/g dry	0.8	1.3	7.5	<0.8	all	-
Arsenic	ua/a drv	1	18	18	5	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Barium	ua/a drv	2	220	390	118	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Bervllium	ua/a drv	0.5	2.5	4	<0.5	all	-
Boron	ua/a drv	5	36	120	9	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	ua/a drv	0.1	NV	1.5	1.54	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Cadmium	ua/a drv	0.5	1.2	1.2	<0.5	all	
Chromium	ua/a dry	2	70	160	37	BH1-SS3	1 4-2 0 mBG
Cobalt	ua/a dry	0.5	21	22	82	BH1-SS3	1 4-2 0 mBG
Copper	ug/g dry	1	92	140	105	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Lead	ug/g dry	1	120	120	61	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Molybdenum	ug/g dry	0.5	2	6.9	1.4	BH2-885	2.4-3.0 mBG
Nickel	ug/g dry	1	82	100	21	BH2 995	2.4-3.0 mBG
Solonium	ug/g dry	0,4	1.5	2.4	0.7	DHZ-333	2.4-3.0 mbG
Selenium	ug/g dry	0.4	1.5	2.4	0.7 <0.2	BH4-332/333	0.0-1.0 116G
Thellium	ug/g ury	0.2	0.5	20	<0.2	all	-
Inallum	ug/g dry	0.4	2.5	1	<0.4 1.2		- 1 4 2 0 mBC
Venedium	ug/g dry	0.5	2.5	23	1.0	BH1-553	1.4-2.0 IIIBG
vanadium	ug/g ary	1	86	86	41	BH1-553	1.4-2.0 mBG
	ug/g ary	5	290	340	183	BH2-555	2.4-3.0 mBG
	ug/g ary	0.2	0.66	8	<0.2	all	-
Cyanide	ug/g dry	0.04	0.051	0.051	<0.04	all	-
Mercury	ug/g dry	0.1	0.27	0.27	0.23	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Electrical Conductivity	ug/g dry	0.005	0.57	0.7	1.87	BH1-SS3	1.4-2.0 mBG
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	ug/g dry	NA	2.4	5	15.5	BH1-SS3	1.4-2.0 mBG
Naphthalene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.09	0.6	< 0.05	all	-
Acenaphthylene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.093	0.15	< 0.05	all	-
Acenaphthene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.072	7.9	< 0.05	all	-
Fluorene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.12	62	< 0.05	all	-
Phenanthrene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.69	6.2	0.16	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Anthracene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.16	0.67	< 0.05	all	-
Fluoranthene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.56	0.69	0.25	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Pyrene	ug/g dry	0.05	1	78	0.21	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Benz(a)anthracene	ua/a drv	0.05	0.36	0.5	0.11	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Chrysene	ua/a drv	0.05	2.8	7	0.14	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ua/a dry	0.05	0.47	0.78	0.16	BH2-SS5	2 4-3 0 mBG
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ua/a dry	0.05	0.48	0.78	0.06	BH2-SS5	2 4-3 0 mBG
Benzo(a)pyrene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.3	0.3	0.1	BH2-SS5	2 4-3 0 mBG
Indeno(1.2.3-cd)nyrene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.23	0.38	0.05	BH2-SS5	2.4-3.0 mBG
Dibenz(a b)anthracene	ug/g dry	0.05	0.1	0.1	<0.00	2112-005	2.4-0.0 11100
Benzo(a h i)nervlene	ug/g diy	0.05	0.68	6.6	0.03	BH2-SS5	- 24-30 mBC
2 and 1 mothyl Nanhthalana	ug/g ury	0.05	0.00	0.0	0.07		2.4-3.0 IIIDG
Lab ID Sample Date	uy/y ury	0.05	0.08	0.99	0.1	710 (uup. 01 BH4-332/332	0.0-1.0 IIIBG

Notes BOLD

- concentration meets or exceeds O.Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (non-potable, residential land use, fine grained soil) criteria

Maximun Groundwater Parameter Concentrations Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

Parameter	Units	MDL	O.Reg.153/04 Table 1 Background	O.Reg.153/04 Table 3 Non-Porable Course grained	Maximum groundwater concentration	Sample ID	Location
Metals							
Antimony	μg/L	1	1.5	20000	<1.0	all	all
Arsenic	µg/L	1	13	1900	7.2	MW1	MW1
Barium	µg/L	2	610	29000	1190	MW2	MW2
Beryllium	µg/L	0.5	0.5	67	<0.5	all	all
Boron	µg/L	10	1700	45000	240	MW2	MW2
Cadmium	µg/L	0.2	0.5	2.7	<0.2	all	all
Chromium	µg/L	2	11	810	31.4	MW1	MW1
Cobalt	µg/L	0.5	3.8	66	2.2	MW1	MW1
Copper	µg/L	1	5	87	2.2	MW3D	MW3D
Lead	µg/L	0.5	1.9	25	<0.5	all	all
Molybdenum	µg/L	0.5	23	9200	1.8	MW6 (dup. of MW3S)	MW6 (dup. of MW3S)
Nickel	µg/L	1	14	490	1.5	MW1	MW1
Selenium	µg/L	1	5	63	1.8	MW1	MW1
Silver	µg/L	0.2	0.3	1.5	<1.0	all	all
Thallium	µg/L	0.3	0.5	510	<0.2	all	all
Uranium	µg/L	0.5	8.9	420	1.5	MW3D	MW3D
Vanadium	µg/L	0.4	3.9	250	4.7	MW4	MW4
Zinc	µg/L	5	160	1100	6.3	MW2	MW2
Mercury	µg/L	0.02	0.1	0.29	<0.02	all	all
Chromium VI	µg/L	5	25	140	<5	all	all
Cyanide	µg/L	2	5	66	<2	all	all
Sodium	µg/L	2500	490000	2300000	1150000	MW2	MW2
Chloride	µg/L	1000	790000	2300000	2350000	MW2	MW2
Electrical Conductivity	µg/L	2	NA	NA	7260	MW2	MW2
VUCS		0.0	500	4400	-0.00	-	all
Dicniorodifiuorometnane	µg/L	0.2	590	4400	<0.20	all	all
Vinyi Chioride	µg/L	0.17	0.5	0.5	<0.17	all	all
Bromometnane	µg/L	0.2	0.89	5.6	<0.20	all	all
I richiorofiuoromethane	µg/L	0.4	150	2500	<0.40	all	all
Acetone	µg/L	1	2700	130000	< 1.0	all	all
1,1-Dichloroethylene	µg/L	0.3	0.5	1.6	<0.30	all	all
methylene Chloride	µg/L	0.3	5	610	<0.30	all	all
Mathul text butul ather	µg/L	0.2	1.0	1.0	<0.20	all	all
1 1 Dichlereethere	µg/L	0.2	15	190	<0.20		all
I, I-Dichloroethane	µg/L	0.3	0.5	320	<0.30	all	all
sie 1.2 Dieblezeetbulene	µg/L	0.0	400	470000	< 1.0		
Cls- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	µg/L	0.2	1.0	1.0	<0.27		
1.2 Disklarasthans	µg/L	0.2	2	2.4	<0.20		all
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L	0.2	0.5	1.0	<0.20		all
Carbon Totraphlarida	µg/L	0.3	0.5	040	<0.30	all	all
Calbon Tetrachionde	µg/L	0.2	0.2	0.79	<0.20 0.85		
1 2 Diobleronronano	µg/L	0.2	0.5	44	<0.05	all	all
Trichloroothylopo	µg/L	0.2	0.5	10	<0.20		all
Promodiobleromothana	µg/L	0.2	0.5	95000	<0.20	all	all
Methyl leebutyl Ketene	µg/L	0.2	£	140000	<0.20	all	all
1 1 2 Trichloroothane	µg/L	0.2	040	4 7	<0.20	all	all
Toluono	µg/L	0.2	0.5	4.7	~0.20		all MM/6 (dup. of MM/3S)
Dibromochloromothono	µg/L	0.2	0.8	18000	-0.10		
Ethylong Dibromide	µg/L	0.1	2	0.25	<0.10		all
	µg/L	0.1	0.2	0.25	<0.10		all
	µg/L	0.2	0.5	1.0	<0.20		all
Chlorohonzono	µg/L	0.1	1.1	3.3	<0.10		
Chioropenzene	µg/L	0.1	0.5	630	1.3		
Eurypenzene	µg/L	0.1	0.5	2300	<0.10	all	all
Diomonolim Shurana	µg/L	0.1	5	300	<u. iu<="" td=""><td></td><td></td></u.>		
July 2 Totrophoraethere	µg/L	0.1	0.5	1300	U.54	11/11/14	11/1/14
	µg/L	0.1	0.5	3.2	SU.10	all	all
	µg/L	0.1	0.5	9600	<0.10		all MMA (due of MMA)
	µg/L	0.1	0.5	ð 4000	1.6	MARE (dup. of MARAS)	MAR (dup. of MARCO)
I,Z-DICINIOFODENZENE	µq/L	0.1	0.5	4000	0.14	www.d.(dup. 01 www.35)	www.cuup.or.www.35)

Maximun Groundwater Parameter Concentrations Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue Ottawa, Ontario

Parameter	Units	MDL	O.Reg.153/04 Table 1 Background	O.Reg.153/04 Table 3 Non-Porable Course grained	Maximum groundwater concentration	Sample ID	Location
1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	0.3	0.5	5.2	<0.30	all	all
Xylene Mixture	µg/L	0.2	72	4200	<0.20	all	all
n-Hexane	µg/L	0.2	5	51	<0.20	all	all
PHCs / BTEX							
F1 (C6 - C10)	µg/L	25	NA	NA	<25	MW3S	MW3S
F1 (C6 to C10) minus BTEX	µg/L	25	420	750	<25	MW3S	MW3S
F2 (C10 to C16)	µg/L	100	150	150	170	MW3S	MW3S
F3 (C16 to C34)	µg/L	100	500	500	860	MW3S	MW3S
F4 (C34 to C50)	µg/L	100	500	500	170	MW3S	MW3S
Gravimetric Heavy Hydrocarbons	µg/L	500	500	500	NA	MW3S	MW3S
Notes							

BOLD BOLD - concentration meets or exceeds O.Reg. 153/04 Table 1 (non-agricultural) criteria

- concentration meets or exceeds O.Reg. 153/04 Table 3 (non-potable, any land use, fine grained soil) criteria
Appendices

Appendix A Utility Clearances

Monique Larocque

From:Solutions@on1call.comSent:Wednesday, September 19, 2018 10:51 AMTo:moniquel@usl-1.comSubject:Request 20183813706Attachments:MapSelection_19092018_10492764.png; GHD.2831_Du_Maurier.png

LOCATE REQUEST CONFIRMATION

TICKET #: 20183813706 REQUEST PRIORITY: STANDARD REQUEST TYPE: REGULARWORK TO BEGIN DATE:09/26/201809/26/2018Update of Ticket #Project #Transmit date: 09/19/2018 10:51:00 AM

REQUESTOR'S CONTACT INFORMATION Contractor ID#: 202 Company Phone #: (613) 226-8750 Contact Name: JACQUES DESJARDINS Cell #: Alternate Contact Name: JEFF FORRESTER Fax #: (613) 226-8677 Company name: U S L Email: moniquel@usl-1.com Address: 775 TAYLOR CREEK DR Alternate Contact #:

DIG INFORMATION Region/County: OTTAWA Mark & Fax: NO Type of work: BORE HOLES Max Depth: 32.81 FT Community: Area is not marked: NO City: OTTAWA Machine Dig: YES Area is marked: YES Address: 2831, DUMAURIER AVE To 2865 Hand Dig: NO Site Meet Req.: NO Directional Drilling: NO Work being done for: GHD Intersecting Street 1: RAMSEY CRES **Public Property: YES** Intersecting Street 2: WATSON ST **Private Property: YES**

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF WORKREMARKSCORLOT=U Drilling trhourhout properties. Clear all of 2831 to 2865 DUmaurier Ave to all property lin es and to sidewalkedge on Dumaurier Ave.Civic # 2831, 2833, 2835, 2865.

MEMBERS NOTIFIED: The following owners of underground infrastructure in the area of your excavation site have been notified.

Member name Station CodeInitial StatusHYDRO OTTAWA (HOT1)HOT1Notification sentPROMARK FOR ENBRIDGE GAS (ENOE01)ENOE01Notification sentCITY OF OTTAWA WATER/SEWER (OTWAWS01)OTWAWS01Notification sentBLACK AND MC DONALD FOR CITY OF OTTAWA STREET LIGHTS (OTWASL01)OTWASL01Notification sentCLI FOR ROGERS (ROGOTT01)ROGOTT01Notification sentPROMARK FOR BELL CANADA (BCOE01) BCOE01Notification sent

MAP SELECTION: Map Selection provided by the excavator through Ontario One Call's map tool or through agent interpretation by phone

CONTRACTOR'S SKETCH: A file provided directly by the excavator, not generated by Ontario One Call:

IMPORTANT INFORMATION: Please read.

Defining "NC" - Non-Compliant

- Non-compliant members have not met their obligations under section 5 of the Ontario Underground Infrastructure Notification Act.ON1Call has notified these members to ensure they are aware of your excavation. In this circumstance, should the member not respond, the excavator should contact the member directly to obtain their locates or request a status. ON1Call will not be provided with a locate status from the member regarding this ticket and therefore, cannot provide further information at this time.For locate status contact information please refer to our website.

You have a valid locate when...

- You have reviewed your locate request information for accuracy. CONTACT Ontario One Call (ON1Call) IMMEDIATELY if changes are needed and obtain a corrected locate request confirmation.

- You have obtained locates or clearances from all ON1Call members listed in this ticket before beginning your dig.

You've met your obligations when...

- In addition to this locate request, you have DIRECTLY contacted all owners of infrastructure who ARE NOT current members of ON1Call (such as owned buried infrastructure on private property), as well as arranged for contract locates for your private lines on your private property - where applicable. For a list of locate status contacts visit www.on1call.com.

- You respect the marks and instructions provided by the locators and dig with care; the marks and locator instructions MUST MATCH.

- You have obtained any necessary permits from the municipality in whichyou are excavating.

What does "Cleared" mean in the "Initial Status" section?

1. The information that you have provided about your dig will not affect that member's underground infrastructure and they have provided you with a

clearance, if anything about your excavation changes, please ensure that you update your ticket immediately.

What are the images under "Map Selection":

1. A drawing created by an excavator directly within Ontario One Call's web ticket tool, this is expected to be an accurate rendition of the dig site, and it is the excavator's responsibility to ensure the location matches the information they provide under the 'Dig Location' section OR;

2. A drawing created by an Ontario One Call agent, this drawing is based on a verbal description by phone of the area by the excavator. Agents may create drawings that are larger than the proposed dig to minimize risk of interpretation. It is the excavator's responsibility to review these map selections for accuracy. Changes can be made by the excavator through the web ticket tool, to learn how visit www.on1call.com/contractors.

3. All drawings dictate which members are notified.

		2	01836	313706	_HOT1				Page 1 of	10
TELECON	ARK		Primary	Locate S	LINION heet 1-877-5	gas emergi 969-0999	ENCY #			
Phone	F	ах.	Toll	free	E	mail:		Reques 201838	t# 313706	
613-723-9	688 6	3-723-9277	1-80	00-371-88	166			NORMAL	n de la composition de la comp	
	Hydro Otta	wa Ci Hudio One	R	evised Exca	wation Date	Excavation I	Daile		Status	
Colored. El Videntron El Akefron	l Litilities (1 ve	ridian Conner	tions	N	/A 👘	10/01/2018 0	6.00.00		Homeowner	ŕ
Penilesteri hy	Comp			m/ddiyyyy		mmiddlyggy Fax/amail:			Contractor	ſ
JACQUES DESJARDINS	USL	nc (z +	(6	13)-226-875	Dext.	(613)-226-86	i77 ext.		Project	r 1
Appt Date:	Received Dat	e:	Locate /	Address: 28	131 to 2865,	DUMAURI	ER AVE		1	
middlygg	nandddiaga		1 st Inters.:	RAMSEY	' CRES	2nd		WATSON	ST	والمتعقد
BORE HOLES							OTTAWA			
Caller's Remarks:							1			
CIVIC # 2831, 2833, 2835, 2865 MACH DIG	5.									
CORLOT-U DRILLING TRHOUR	HOUT PROPERTE	S. CLEARALL C	of 2831 To	2865 DUMA	URIER AVE TO	ALL PROPER	TY LINES A	AND TO SIDE	EWALK EDGE ON	
-75.793499, 45.350499, NB_SE	COMENTS::2, NO_	PLAN: 513 828,	BOOED1, R	00001101,0	TWASLO1, OT	WAWS01, EN	10801 , HO	T1		
Bell Enbridge Ga	ns HydroOtta	es Street Ligt	ilear Ma	akefront	Hydio One Mark I Class	Veridi Mark I C	an U	nion Gas	Videotron Mark I Cia	102
1 1		er inider i v		in j Cileai	many erea	a indirita	1631 17	an joicai	maintoie	(2)
OCATED AREA: EXCA	VATOR SHAL	L NOT WOR	K OUTSI	DE THE LO	DCATED AR	ea witho	UT OBT	AINING A	NOTHER LOC	ATE
Records Reference:			Third	Party Noti	fication					
MapNetwork X #	G-MOBIL	E								
_ Byers 👤 Datapak: 🧕	LAC Multivie	wer		***		DO NOT	PROC	FED***	7	
Field Notes: CPU1389 N	E123			Buried	l high volta	ge cables	within t	1.5M of		
Other: 6N592-1.6N40	12-6			the lo	cated area	. You MUS	ST send	l locate t	o	
OPT Remarks:				HOL	supervisio	ons@hy	drootta	iwa.cor	n	
				or con	tact Hydro	Ottawa a	t 613-7	38-6418	k .	
				AFT	FR HOURS	ner morn *EMERGEI	nauon. NCY* NII		:	
					61:	3-738-642	22			
				L				. *		
Fy countar phall patify 2	raceivo a cie	Tance from	i leiliter nu	riar to exc	Apply Slici	the follow	Required			
Telacon D	High Priority C	ahle	Cantra Cantra	I Office Vid	cinity	N/A	undr.			
dethod of Field Marking	• Paint []	itakes 🛢 Fla	na (*) 046	not Flaur	1 Other (Te	lecom=0r	anne Ga	e-Yellow	. Hvdro OH	Rei
Caution: Locales are VOI	D after 30 days	Hydro One vali	d for 50 day	vs.See Disc	laimer for th	e specific F	acility O	wner's Gu	idelines.	
Caution: Any changes to I	ocation or nati	ire of work rec	quire new	locate. Ti	ne Excavator	must not v	vork outs	ide the	1.1	
Localeo Area without a nev senice/monenty muner Fr	w locate. Mrival w all 1 aceta rei	ely owned se weete includi	INCES WI	inin ine loc ke contect	aleo area na	ve not beer	1 marked	- CRECK V	WEN .	
Ontario One Call at 1-800-4	00-2255 or	www.on1call.	com.	NO CUIMOUL	•.					
.ocator Name: ROOTS DA	ł	Start Tim	e:9:00)	Mark 8	LFax _	Left on	Site !	Emailed	
ID #:1717			e: <u>14:(</u>	0	Print:		N/A			
Date 09%	28/2018	Total Hou	rs:5H	R	Signature:		N/A		.:	
A copy of this Primary I	Locate Shee	t and Auxili	ary Loc	ate Shee	t(s) must b	e on site (and in th	ne hands	s of the mact	line
perator during work o	perations. If	sketch and	markin	gs do not	coincide,	the Excev	ator mu	ist obtai	n a new loca	18.
his form revised Decemb	er 2016								······································	





















MESSAGE REGARDING REQUESTED LOCATE

Dear Excavator,

Hydro One has completed your locate request based on the information you provided to Ontario One Call. The underground facility locate on our **Distribution system** is valid for **60 days** from the date shown on the Underground Cable Stakeout Report attached. The 60 day validity <u>does not</u> include Transmission locate requests. Please be aware new underground facilities can be installed any time after this locate was completed. It is your responsibility to contact Ontario One Call for a new locate if any changes are known or suspected or for a Relocate if excavation continues beyond 60 days.

Hydro One expects excavators to protect and preserve the paint marks and flags placed at the time of the original locate ticket. If markings are removed due to weather or excavation work, the excavator is expected to recreate the markings based on the tie-in measurements provided on the original locate ticket. However, if an excavator would like Hydro One to refresh their markings, please contact Ontario One Call at 1-800-400-2255 to request a Remark.

WARNINGS AND DISCLAIMERS:

Hand dig cautiously 1 meter around Hydro One's Red Paint Markings as indicated on the Underground Cable Stakeout Report. It is understood that the attached information has been provided from our records, and represents our knowledge of the approximate location of **Hydro One** plant only: the contractor must request stakeouts from other utilities to establish the location of their plant. The company or contractor must exercise extreme caution where mechanical equipment is used in the vicinity of the underground cable plant and where necessary to locate by hand its actual position. Liability for damages rests with the company or contractor.

Thank you, Hydro One

20183922522

Bell

Dear Excavator:

We are in receipt of your recent request for a Bell relocate.

Please be advised that the original locate(s) issued for the request in question will remain valid for the duration of your project. If any of the exceptions below apply and you would like the site remarked for Bell or you would like to discuss your relocate request, please contact us during business hours at **1-844-225-5550**, **Option # 8**:

- The excavator did not start their work within 60 days of the original locate completion date
- The in's/offsets used as measurements on the original Bell locate are no longer there
- The excavator suspects new Bell plant has been added in the locate area since the original locate
- The excavator has lost control of the site for an extended period of time (i.e. the excavation begins however the excavator has left site and did not return for 60 days or more)
- There is a sticker on your locate indicating there is a Bell Hydro line in the locate area and you're entitled to a Relocate of that area.

Bell expects excavators will protect and preserve the paint marks placed at the time of their original locate. If markings are removed due to weather or ongoing excavation work, the excavator is expected to recreate the markings based on the tie-in measurements provided on the original locate sheet.

If an excavator would like their markings refreshed they can contact the Bell LSP (Locate Service Provider) directly and arrange for this at the excavator's expense. The LSP contact information is available on the original locate sheet.

Sincerely,

Bell Screening Centre

DISCLAIMER

Warning!

The Excavator must have a copy of this locate on the job site during excavation.

Located Area: The Excavator must not work outside the area indicated by the Located Area in the Diagram without a further locate by the Company

Locate the plant: The plant location information provided is the best we have available but constitutes only an estimate. Depth of underground plant varies and the exact location must be determined by hand digging prior to excavation with mechanical equipment.

Mechanical equipment must not be used within one metre of the estimated location of the plant.

Hydro Ottawa must be notified prior to excavation and inspector on site

Expose the plant: Once the plant has been located by hand digging, it must be exposed along its length adjacent to or in the immediate vicinity of the proposed excavation. For this purpose, mechanical equipment must not be used within 0.5 metres of the plant.

Digging around the exposed plant: When the plant has been exposed, any further excavation within 0.3 metres, must only be done by hand digging and not with mechanical equipment.

Support Requirements: If the underground plant is exposed over a distance of more than 1.25 metres, the Facility Owner must be notified. Underground plant must be supported at all times.

O. Reg. 210/01 Oil and Gas Pipeline systems EXCERPTS

9. (1) No person shall dig, bore, trench, grade, excavate or break ground with mechanical equipment or explosives without first ascertaining the location of any pipeline that may be interfered with.

10. No person shall interfere with or damage any pipeline without authority to do so.

Technical Standards & Safety Act 2000 EXCERPT

37 (1) Every person who contravenes or fails to comply with any provision of this act or the regulations; etc... is guility of an offense and on conviction is liable to a fine of not more than \$50,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or to both.

Caution: The markings may disappear or be misplaced. Should sketch and markings not coincide, Excavator must obtain a new locate. This is based on information given at the time. Any changes to location or nature of work require a new locate. The Excavator must not work outside the indicated Located Area without a further locate. Privately owned services within the located area have not been marked - check with service/property owner.

Locate is VOID after 30 days.

For remarks contact Ontario One Call 1-800-400-2255. or www.on1call.com



DISCLAIMER

Warning!

The Excavator must have a copy of this locate on the job site during excavation.

Located Area: The Excavator must not work outside the area indicated by the Located Area in the Diagram without a further locate by the Company

Locate the plant: The plant location information provided is the best we have available but constitutes only an estimate. Depth of underground plant varies and the exact location must be determined by hand digging prior to excavation with mechanical equipment.

Mechanical equipment must not be used within one metre of the estimated location of the plant.

Hydro Ottawa must be notified prior to excavation and inspector on site

Expose the plant: Once the plant has been located by hand digging, it must be exposed along its length adjacent to or in the immediate vicinity of the proposed excavation. For this purpose, mechanical equipment must not be used within 0.5 metres of the plant.

Digging around the exposed plant: When the plant has been exposed, any further excavation within 0.3 metres, must only be done by hand digging and not with mechanical equipment.

Support Requirements: If the underground plant is exposed over a distance of more than 1.25 metres, the Facility Owner must be notified. Underground plant must be supported at all times.

O. Reg. 210/01 Oil and Gas Pipeline systems EXCERPTS

9. (1) No person shall dig, bore, trench, grade, excavate or break ground with mechanical equipment or explosives without first ascertaining the location of any pipeline that may be interfered with.

10. No person shall interfere with or damage any pipeline without authority to do so.

Technical Standards & Safety Act 2000 EXCERPT

37 (1) Every person who contravenes or fails to comply with any provision of this act or the regulations; etc... is guility of an offense and on conviction is liable to a fine of not more than \$50,000 or to imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or to both.

Caution: The markings may disappear or be misplaced. Should sketch and markings not coincide, Excavator must obtain a new locate. This is based on information given at the time. Any changes to location or nature of work require a new locate. The Excavator must not work outside the indicated Located Area without a further locate. Privately owned services within the located area have not been marked - check with service/property owner.

Locate is VOID after 30 days.

For remarks contact Ontario One Call 1-800-400-2255. or www.on1call.com



6HD

City of Ottawa Locate Report - Water and Sewer Utilities Rapport de localisation des conduites d'eau et d'égout d'Ottawa

For more information / Pour de plus amples renseignements : 3-1-1 or/ou (613) 580-2424, ext. (poste) 22336.

Date :	Work Order # / No d'ordre de travail ·
ablalia	11/1/2505
Location of Work / Lieu de travail :	ON1CALL #/ No d'appel ON1 :
2865 DUMANNIER ADR.	201828127061
Type of Work / Type de travail :	ArcView attached
boreholis	Plan ArcView ci-joint
Contractor / Entrepreneur :	Fax / Télécopieur
LISI	a nor a la nanonoparata a
Skatah Nat Ta Saala / La a	nomia alest ana 2 lléaballe
Sketch Not To Scale / Le ch	oquis n'est pas a l'echelle
2829 2865	26M 26M A S M M M M M M M M M M M M M

Ramsey Cr

Contractor signature Signature de l'entrepreneur

Riddel Locator (please print) Marqueurs [en lettres moulées]

Method of marking / Méthode de marquage

的 Paint / Peinture Flags / Drapeaux Other (specify) / Autre [précisez] : olockina Remarks / Commentaires : in NH IDL

Office copy : White Copie du bureau : Blanc

Contractor copy: Yellow Copie de l'entrepreneur : Jaune Void after 60 days. Périmé après 60 jours.

Monique Larocque

From:	ESD-Locate / SE-Localiser <esd-locate@ottawa.ca></esd-locate@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Thursday, September 27, 2018 8:01 AM
То:	'moniquel@usl-1.com'
Cc:	ESD-Locate / SE-Localiser
Subject:	Locate: DUMAURIER AVE - 20183813706
Attachments:	11668505.pdf; City of Ottawa Disclaimer - ville d'Ottawa avis de non-responsabilité - Copy - Copy.pdf

Please find attached the locate for DUMAURIER AVE.

Thank you,

Axel Grissa

City of Ottawa

Technology, Innovation & Engineering Support Services Public Works & Environmental Services Department

(613)-580-2424 x22336

<http://ottawa.ca/en>

Please note: City of Ottawa locates are only valid for sixty (60) days.

DISCLAIMER



The excavator must have a copy of this locate on the job site during excavation.

Locate area: The excavator must not work outside the area indicated in the location of work or located area in the diagram without an updated locate. Stakes or markings may disappear or be displaced. If any delays occur in acting on the stakeout information, or if markings become unclear, a new locate must be obtained.

Locating the plant: The plant location information provided is only an estimate. Depth of underground plant varies and the exact location must be determined by hand digging prior to excavation with mechanical equipment.

Warning: Do not use mechanical equipment within one (1) metre of the estimated location of the water or sewer plant. If the plant is larger than 406mm, mechanical equipment must not be used within three (3) meters.

Digging around exposed plants: Must do any further excavation within 0.3 metres of an exposed water or sewer plant by hand.

Contractors are to perform all work in accordance with applicable City of Ottawa By-laws and any applicable federal and provincial legislation or regulations, including but not limited to the *Public Utilities Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.52, s. 56(1)*; Ontario Regulation 210/01 under the Technical Safety Standards Act, 2000, S.O. 2000 c. 16; Ontario Regulation 213/91 under the Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. 0.1.

AVIS DE NON-RESPONSABILITÉ

L'opérateur de l'excavatrice doit avoir en sa possession ce rapport de localisation pendant l'excavation.

Zone de localisation : l'opérateur de l'excavatrice ne doit pas creuser en dehors de la zone indiquée sur l'ordre de travail ni à l'extérieur de la zone indiquée sur le diagramme, à moins d'avoir en sa possession un rapport de localisation actualisé. Les piquets ou les marques peuvent disparaître ou être déplacés. S'il y a un retard à intervenir sur la base des données de surveillance ou si le marquage devient imprécis, il faut obtenir un nouveau rapport de localisation.

Déterminer l'emplacement des conduites : les renseignements sur l'emplacement des conduites sont approximatifs. Pour déterminer l'emplacement et la profondeur, on doit creuser manuellement avant d'utiliser une excavatrice.

Avertissement : n'utilisez pas d'équipement mécanique [excavatrice] à moins d'un [1] mêtre de l'emplacement supposé de la conduite d'eau ou d'égout. Si la conduite compte plus de 406 mm de diamètre, aucun équipement mécanique ne doit être utilisé à moins [3] de trois mètres de celle-ci.

Creuser autour des conduites exposées : toute excavation à moins de 0,3 m d'une conduite d'eau ou d'égout doit se faire manuellement.

Les entrepreneurs doivent exécuter tous les travaux conformément aux règlements de la Ville d'Ottawa et aux lois et règlements fédéraux ou provinciaux applicables, y compris, mais sans s'y limiter, la *Loi sur les services publics, L.R.O. 1990, chap. P.52, art. 56[1]*; le *Règlement 210/01 de l'Ontario* en vertu de la *Loi de 2000 sur les normes techniques et la sécurité, L.O. 2000, chap. 16*; et le *Règlement 213/91 de l'Ontario* en vertu de la *Loi sur les services publics ur la santé et la sécurité au travail L.R.O. 1990, chap. 0.1.*

3 CANANIAN		ROGER	S		ON 1 Call Ticket # :		
LOCATOR	S INC.	Primary Local	Primary Locate Sheet				
Dis 19051479-5674 Email: ontarioReanadianlocators.com							
	and the second	na sa sa na sa na sa na sa na sa na sa na sa	Cantast Name -				
			JACQUES DESJARDI	45			
Fel: 613-225-8750	All. Phone :	Email: moniquel@usl-1.com					
Received Date :	Excavation Date :	Revised Excavation Date:	Type of Work :				
.ocate Address :	A the first of a second set for the first second se		City / Municipality :		<u>,</u>		
learest Intersection :	RISR AVE		OTTAWA, ONTARIO				
AMSEY CREE & W	ATSON ST		and has contained and a new new new new rest and the state of the second second second second second second sec	<u></u>	an the second state at an address of the second state second state of the second state		
netriou or riero marki	'9 · M Paint S	lakes M Flags					
aller's Remarks (Add OBCOT≈U Drilli	<i>Wonal (nfo</i>): ng trhourhout prot	erties. Clear all of 2	2831 to 2865 DUmau	rier Ave to) all property line		
nd to sidewalk	edge on Dumaurie:	: Ave.//Civic # 2831, 2	1833, 2835, 2865.		, veronen nen ∑oriner nelfter onriter en \$* men verking som		
tilgies Marked :				This locate	has multiple work areas		
∑ Coaxial Plant	M Fibre Optics Plant						
otal Length :	fotal Length :			- Tomard			
205 m	5 m						
an a sea na aite an thathan an thailte an tha na air an thair an thair an thair an thair an thair an thair an t	n o soliti na printere soliti a contra con priore angle o serie sur can entra brog fi en o telobe telobe.	ให้มีขัดสินให้สำหรัก ของสารณากระหารการแรก และกลับการแรกการการการการการการการการการการการการสารสุดภาพสาร	รระบาทรายารางใหมากสราวการกระทางออกสาวสาวอาการกระทาง	******	n der Anfrecari in norman van Amerika andre en de andre en andre en an gester forgen en belge teteren het op mo		
		a di kommuna di kana di kana	i wana ania amang kabupatèn ka	erore skewad	a series and a series of the s		
		anan kulon ankara sa kula Watasa	e se rener renerve e rener à la sur-	n kre nitecs			
	I HAN GARAGE	As the ROUPES day	รราก โรงโรงเนติสามัตรสุดจาก				
	is in as an and a set of an an a	n en franke de rekende andere andere andere en de service andere en andere en andere en andere en andere en an En en	une - en el en en en en en el esta	in in the second se			
	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -						
		Apply sticker her	elf required				
		ар на то 					
			a da anta da da ana gangana ya da ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an				
AUTION	ata in 117117 altar a	A Alasia I					



Page 2 of 2

Ontario One Call TF

City of Ottawa Street Light Locate



NOTICE OF INTENT TO EXCAVATE

Header Code: Request Type: STANDARD

Ticket No: 20183813706

Original Call Date:	09/19/2018 10:51:27 AM
Work To Begin Date:	09/26/2018
Company:	USL

Contact Name:JACQUES DESJARDINSContact Phone:(613)-226-8750 ext.Fax:(613)-226-8677 ext.Alternate Contact:JEFF FORRESTER

Pager: Cell:

Alt. Phone:

Place: OTTAWA Street: 2831 DUMAURIER AVE Nearest Intersecting Street: RAMSEY CRES Second Intersecting Street: WATSON ST

Subdivision: OTTAWA

Additional Dig Information:

CIVIC #2831, 2833, 2835, 2865. CORLOT=U DRILLING TRHOURHOUT PROPERTIES. CLEAR ALL OF 2831 TO 2865 DUMAURIER AVE TO ALL PROPERTY LINES AND TO SIDEWALK EDGE ON DUMAURIER AVE. NO_PLAN::613 828

WO/ JOB #: ANYTIME Type Of Work: BORE HOLES

Remarks:

-75.793499 45.350499 NB_SEGMENTS::2 BCOE01 ROGOTT01 OTWASL01 OTWAWS01 ENOE01 HOT1

On1 Call #	20183813706	City of Ottaw	a Street Lia	ht Locate		
Date	09/19/2018 10:51:27	Dispatcher	Lisa Bisaillon			Black & M ^c Donald
Requested	АКЛ	Phone: 613-	726-1226			the state of the s
				ud -		
Company	USL		Instructions) 		
Name	JACQUES DESJARDINS		2831 to 2865, DU	MAURIERAVE 33 2835 2885 CC	SRIOT=∐DRILIN	
Phone	(613)-226-8750 ext.		PROPERTIES. CLE	EAR ALL OF 2831	TO 2865 DUMAU	RIER AVE TO ALL
FAX	(613)-226-8677 ext.		PROPERTY LINES	SAND TO SIDEWAI	LK EDGE ON DUI	MAURIER AVE.
Site Contact	JEFF FORRESTER		1 4 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ta haf		
Phone						
		LOCATOR	R SKETCH			N
						
		Cle	ear			
	No	City of Otta	iwa Stree	et		
	Lig	ght assets i	n dig area	a		
	С	LEAR PAS	T SIDEV	VALK		
					· .	
st Undergr X Street L	ound Street Light Cable Jight	-он- с х с)verhead/Aerial Wi Globe/Decorative Li	res ight		Source/Transformer Hydro Pole
.ocator Notes/C	omments:					
l nesta je valid fru	r ED dave lifebatch is differen	nt from markinga lancija				
new locate mus	st be requested. Hand dig wi	thin 1m (3.28tt) on eithe	r side of markings.	Depth of	Date Located	03/21/2018
	burie	d plant varies.	2.00	-	Time of day	
Cette fiche n'est pas valide 60 jours de calendrier apres le reperade. Si les marques ne concordent						
as avec celles sur le croquis, un nouveau reperage est requis. Tout changement a l'emplacement ou Clamatura						
a la nature du tr	avail necessite un nouveau r	eperage. Creuser a la r	nain un metre (3.28	3 pieds) du 🔔	əigilatti e	
<i>r</i> *	iopere, La proiondeur des l	III SIAII AUDITI VARIE O'UN ER				mage 2 lot 2

Disclaimer

Warning!

The Excavator must have a copy of this locate on the job site during excavation.

Located Area: The Excavator must not work outside the area indicated, by the located area in the diagram, without a further locate completed by Black & McDonald Limited.

Black & MCDonal

Locate the Plant: The plant location information provided is the best we have available, but constitutes only an estimate. Depth of underground plant varies and the exact location must be determined by hand digging prior to excavation with mechanical equipment.

Mechanical equipment must not be used within 1.0 meter of the estimated location of the plant.

Valid Documentation: This locate is valid only for the Agency accepting it. Other parties must obtain and accept their respective underground locate from Ontario 1 Call.

Excavator Alterations: Under no circumstance shall an Excavator touch or move an underground power cable. Arrangements must be made to have qualified personnel relocate any such cable.

Expose the plant: Once the plant has been located by hand digging, it must be exposed along its length adjacent to or in the immediate vicinity of the proposed excavation. For this purpose, mechanical equipment must not be used within 0.5 meters of the plant.

Digging around the Exposed Plant: When the plant has been exposed, any further excavation within 0.3 meters, must only be done by hand digging and not with mechanical equipment.

Support Requirements: If the underground plant is exposed over a distance of more than 1.25 meters, the Facility Owner must be notified. Underground plant must be supported at all times.

Private Cables: Please be advised that Black & McDonald Limited is not responsible for and does not locate private cables

New Cables: Be aware that new cables could be installed at any time after the locate has been completed. It is the Excavator's responsibility to call for new locates if any changes are known or suspected.

<u>Caution:</u> The markings may disappear or be misplaced. Should sketch and markings not coincide, the Excavator must obtain a new locate. This is based on the information given at the time. Any changes to location or nature of work require a new locate. The Excavator must not work outside the indicated located area without a further locate. Privately owned services within the located area have not been marked- check with service/property owner.

Liability: Any person or Excavator who interferes with or damages any underground electrical cable without having obtained a valid locate/clearance from Black & McDonald Limited, shall be liable for all cost incurred during the repair of the cable as well as any resulting legal actions.

This locate has been given as accurately as possible, but no locate is guaranteed. Excavators must always dig with extreme caution to prevent the possibility of damaging electrical cables and endangering safety.

Locate is void after 60 days

For remarks contact Ontario One Call 1-800-400-2255 or www.on1call.com



UNDERGROUND SERVICE LOCATORS - P	RIVATE UTILITY REPORT DATE: 10-04-2018
ONE-CALL SYSTEMS INC.	10F.3
775 TAYLOR CREEK DRIVE	PHONE (613) 226-8750
OTTAWA, ON, K4A 0Z9	FAX (613) 226-8677
CUSTOMER: GHD	REQUESTED BY: RYAN
LOCATION OF WORK: 2865 DU MAURIER	AVE LIMITS OF WORK: AS PER MAP
HYDRO H CABLE T.V.	TV STEAM STEAM
BELL B STORM	- (SAU ELECTRICAL 7EB - (ST COMMUNICATIONS COM
UNIDENTIFIED CABLE UC FIBER OPTIC	FOC OTHER:
	O ABOVE - LOCATES VOID AFTER 30 DAYS!
	1 SKRTCH NOT TO SCALE
O STM	sport
	C SW + SW - + SM -
	THAN GE
	REFERENCE
	CATV
C.6	
x + <i>p</i>	4
A	
C-O	← = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
	C-6
 USL-1 as a Private utility locator, is not permitted to locate Publicly o FOR REFERENCE ONLY, and under no circumstances shall be use 	whed utilities. In some cases, Public utilities may be noted on a sketch, but are
Public utilities noted on the USL-1 sketch by referring to the Public u	tility locate sheets for physical LOCATION AND ACCURACY. USL-1 DOES NOT
 If the proposed work area is on Private property, it does NOT mean the proposed depth of excavation is it is the law to patient One of the proposed depth of excavation is it is the law to patient. 	hat all buried utilities are Private. Regardless of when you are digging, and what
COMMENTS. ALO OTHER PRIMATE INTILIT	TES DETECTED
COMMENTO. YOU DIACK INTATC DIVEST	
THIS SKETCH IS NOT A PUBLIC UTILITY LOCATE/DOCUMENT	. PUBLIC UTILITIES SHOWN ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY. REFER TO
COMMENCING WORK.	BEE TO ENSURE THET HAVE FUBLIC UTIENT ECCATES BEFORE
LOCATORS NAME: CHRIS WHARTON	SIGNATURE: ··· (10
LUCATE RECEIVED AND REVIEWED BY	Print Name Signature
CAUTION: HAND DIG WITH	IIN 1.5 METERS OF MARKINGS
Ibita - Oliant Vallan - HOL4 Dista	

UNDERGROUND SERVICE LOCATORS - PRIV	ATE UTILITY REPORT DATE: 10-04-2018
ONE-CALL SYSTEMS INC.	20F 3
775 TAYLOR CREEK DRIVE	PHONE (613) 226-8750
OTTAWA, ON, K4A 0Z9	FAX (613) 226-8677
CUSTOMER: GHD	REQUESTED BY: RYAN
LOCATION OF WORK: 2865 Du MAURIER AND	LIMITS OF WORK: AS PER MAP
HYDRO H CABLE T.V T.V	STEAM STEAM
GAS G SANITARY - SA	COMMUNICATIONS COM
UNIDENTIFIED CABLE UC FIBER OPTIC FO	OTHER:
WATER W	POVE - LOCATES VOID AFTER 30 DAVSI
LOCATES ONLY APPLICABLE TO INFO A	SKETCH NOT TO SCALE
	C'B GRETOTHOT TO GOALE
\uparrow	
Bc.s	REFERENCE
	NORTH
DC-B 1 1865	
2000	
	ĘL
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
USL-1 as a Private utility locator, is not permitted to locate Publicly owned	utilities. In some cases, Public utilities may be noted on a sketch, but are
POR REFERENCE ONLY, and under no circumstances shall be used for e Public utilities noted on the USL-1 sketch by referring to the Public utility lo	excavation purposes. It is the contractor's responsibility to verify any acate sheets for physical LOCATION AND ACCURACY, USL-1 DOES NOT
 ASSUME LIABILITY FOR PUBLIC LOCATE INNACCURACIES. If the proposed work area is on Private property, it does NOT mean that all 	buried utilities are Private. Regardless of when you are digging, and what
the proposed depth of excavation is, it is the law to notify Ontario One Call	(or Info-Excavation in Quebec) to obtain Public utility locates.
COMMENTS: NO OTHER PRIVATE UTILITIES	DETECTED
THIS SKETCH IS NOT A PUBLIC UTILITY LOCATE/DOCUMENT. PUB	LIC UTILITIES SHOWN ARE FOR REFERENCE ONLY. REFER TO
USL-1 DISCLAIMER - FORM 101. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE COMMENCING WORK.	TO ENSURE THEY HAVE PUBLIC UTILITY LOCATES BEFORE
LOCATORS NAME: CHRIS WHARTON S	SIGNATURE: (Are
LOCATE RECEIVED AND REVIEWED BY	Print Name Signature
CAUTION: HAND DIG WITHIN	1.5 METERS OF MARKINGS
Brite a Oliant Valleur - HOL 4 Disk - HOL	

UNDERGROUND SE	RVICE LOCATORS - PR	VATE UTILITY REPOR	T DATE: 10-04-2018
ONE-CALL SYSTEM	SINC.		30F3
775 TAYLOR CREEK	DRIVE		PHONE (613) 226-8750
OTTAWA, ON, K4A 0	Z9		FAX (613) 226-8677
CUSTOMER:	BHD	REQUESTED BY: RY	AN
I OCATION OF WORK:	2865 DU MANRIER ANE	LIMITS OF WORK: A	5 PEL MAP
HYDRO H	H CABLE T.V	r.v Steam	STEAM
GAS - C	G SANITARY	SAN ELECTRIC	AL E CATIONS COM
UNIDENTIFIED CABLE U	JC FIBER OPTIC I	OC OTHER:	
WATER \	N	ADOVE LOCATES VOI	
LOCATES ON	LY APPLICABLE TO INFO	ABUVE - LOCATES VOI	SKETCH NOT TO SCALE
		1	SKETOTINGT TO SOALE
	and a second	and comments of	
			// (N)/
с. д.		U	REFERENCE
	· · · ·	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NORTH
		ø /	
		//	
			4
			4
· .		1	
		(\land)	is k
			R
			z
1815			
a U65			~
USL-1 as a Private utility locat	If is not permitted to locate Publicly own	ad utilities. In some cases, Bubils utilitie	as may be noted on a sketch but are
FOR REFERENCE ONLY, and Rublic utilities noted on the US	d under no circumstances shall be used for	r excavation purposes. It is the contract	ctor's responsibility to verify any
ASSUME LIABILITY FOR PU	BLIC LOCATE INNACCURACIES.	Tocate sheets for physical LOCATION	AND ACCORACT. USL-1 DOES NOT
In the proposed work area is of the proposed depth of excaval	tion is, it is the law to notify Ontario One C	all buried utilities are Private. Regardle all (or Info-Excavation in Quebec) to o	ess of when you are digging, and what btain Public utility locates.
COMMENTS: NO OTHE	EL PRIVATE UTILIT	IES DETECTED	
THIS SKETCH IS NOT A PUBL		IBUIC LITH ITIES SHOWN ARE F	
USL-1 DISCLAIMER - FORM 10 COMMENCING WORK.	1. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBL	E TO ENSURE THEY HAVE PUB	LIC UTILITY LOCATES BEFORE
OCATORS NAME: CHU	S WHARTON	SIGNATURE: ··· ()	h
OCATE RECEIVED AN	D REVIEWED BY -		
	TAND DIG WITHI	N 1.5 MEIERS O	r MARKINGS

USL-1 DISCLAIMER - FORM 101

- It is our Cilents responsibility to fully read and understand this document, prior to any ground disturbance taking place.
 Should any questions or ciarifications be required, contact USL-1 before commencing work
- Should any queepons or communications or required, contact cost both contact USL-1 for remarks and/or new ticket
 Locate is VOID after 30 days from the date the locate was completed. Contact USL-1 for remarks and/or new ticket requests, with a minimum notice of 5 business days
- requests, with a minimum nonce or o publicate days
 If the scope of work, locate area, or site information changes, contact USL-1 before continuing work. In certain instances, a new ticket request may be required
- a new ticket request may be required
 Any work within 1.5 metres interaily of a marked utility, must be hand dug or daylighted. Utility depths vary, as does the accuracy of the locate equipment, and therefore depths are typically not provided and should not be used for excavation accuracy of the locate equipment, and therefore depths are typically not provided and should not be used for excavation purposes. Depth of utilities should also be verified by hand digging or daylighting. The best information is provided at the purposes. Depth of utilities should also be verified by hand digging or daylighting. The best information is provided at the time of the locate, however the accuracy of field markings can vary with regard to equipment accuracy and external interference
- If the paint markings or flags on site differ from that of the sketch provided, please contact USL-1 before commencing work. If possible, the issue will be clarified by USL-1 and/or a site meet may be requested with the appropriate parties work.
- work. If possible, and record will be called by contraining a current copy of the locates on site, with the operators and in/on the exception equipment AT ALL TIMES
- aucevation squarement of other inner
 it is the "Excevator/Contractor's" responsibility to read ALL locate ensets, both public and private, to ensure they
 understand what potential hezards or buried utilities exist in their work area
- Special purpose isostes such as sever condeing, locate surveys, tunnel identification, conduit identification, ground fault
 Special purpose isostes such as sever condeing, locate surveys, tunnel identification, conduit identification, ground fault
 Special purpose isostes such as sever condeing, locate surveys, tunnel identification, conduit identification, ground fault
 Special purpose isostes such as sever condeing, locate surveys, tunnel identification, conduit identification, ground fault
 Special purpose isostes such as sever condeing, locate surveys, tunnel identification, conduit identification, ground fault
 Radiodetection equipment, must be identified at the time of the original locate request. Should a USL-1 locator identify any special needs services during a normal Private utility locate, the client will be notified for the appropriate course of action.
- Not all buried utilities can be traced. In many instances, water and sewer lines, irrigation systems, grounding cables, fibre
 optic cables, heating cables, protection cables, and communication cables may not be traceable. Typically, sewer lines
 will be painted and lined up directionally from manhole to manhole where possible. It may not be possible to detect bends
 in the sewer lines between manholes. If tracer wires have been buried with the utility, they will be used to locate the
 buried utility where possible. If a buried utility cannot be traced, it will be noted on the USL-1 report. USL-1 is not liable for
 damage to untraceable utilities
- Public utility locators have maps, plans and as-built diagrams for reference to work from. Private utility locators, for the most part, do not. USL-1 will attempt to locate any Private utilities on a site, using as-built plans provided to them. Building access is mandatory and must be arranged by our client. Any conduits or utilities noted entering or exiting a building will be traced if possible, as well as any other visible utilities observed on site, it is the responsibility of the contractor to provide any and all buried utility information and site contacts that they have. There is no guarantee that USL-1 can find all buried utilities if the property owner does not have records or information regarding their own buried utilities
- USL- 1 cannot be held liable for damage to Private water and/or sewer interals unless building access is granted, and the utility is locatable
- Thick snow and ice, frozen manhole lide, live traffic, parked care, construction debris and activities etc, are all factors that can interfere with USL-1's ability to perform Private utility locates. USL-1 cannot guaranty location of all buried utilities when such factors impede the locate process. It is the contractor's responsibility to ensure that the work areas are safe and accessible for locates, prior to USL-1's arrival to site
- USL-1 as a Private utility locator, is not permitted to locate Publicly owned utilities. In some cases, Public utilities may be noted on a sketch, but are FOR REFERENCE ONLY, and under no circumstances shall be used for excavation purposes. It is the contractor's responsibility to verify any Public utilities noted on the USL-1 sketch by referring to the Public utility locate sheets for physical LOCATION AND ACCURACY. USL-1 DOES NOT ASSUME LIABILITY FOR PUBLIC LOCATE INNACCURACIES
- If the proposed work area is on Private property, it does NOT mean that all buried utilities are Private. Regardless of where you are digging, and what the proposed depth of excevation is, it is the law to notify Ontario One Call (or info-Excevation in Quebec) to obtain Public utility locates
- NCC PROPERTY assuming the contractor has been issued a Land Access Permit from the NCC, it is typically indicated within the permit that it is the contractor's responsibility to contact NCC for utility locates of their buried utilities

2

USL-1 - Jenuary 2018

Appendix B Sampling Analysis Plan



Table of Contents

1.	Back	ground	1
2.	Speci	fic Objectives	1
3.	Utility	Service Clearances	2
4.	Speci	fic Requirements	2
	4.1	Media for Investigation	2
	4.2	Locations and Depths for Sampling	2
	4.3	Parameters for Laboratory Analysis	3
5.	Qualit	ty Assurance/Quality Control	4
	5.1	Decontamination of Sampling Equipment	4
	5.2	Field Duplicates	4
	5.3	Trip Blanks	4
	5.4	Soil Vapour Screening	4
6.	Stand	lard Operating Procedures	5
	6.1	Well Development	5
	6.2	Borehole Locating	5
	6.3	Elevation Survey	5
	6.4	Groundwater Elevation Survey	5
	6.5	Groundwater Sampling	5

Table Index

Table 4.1	Soil Sampling	3
Table 4.2	Groundwater Sampling	4

Attachment Index

Attachment A Proposed Borehole/Monitoring Well Locations



1. Background

A Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment is to be completed for the mixed commercial/institutional property identified as 2831, 2851, and 2865 DuMaurier Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario ("Site") to investigate the areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) identified by the Phase One ESA.

The Phase One ESA identified

- PCA#1 / APEC#1 (Item 30: Importation of Fill Material of Unknown Quality) | On-Site, importation of Fill material of unknown environmental quality used for grading the Site, specifically, for infilling previously observed drainage features at the Site.
- PCA#2 / APEC#2 (Item 58: Waste Disposal and Waste Management, including thermal treatment, landfilling and transfer of waste, other than use of biosolids as soil conditioners)
 | Off-Site, a former landfill Site was identified to the east of DuMaurier Avenue, approximately 20 m east of the Site.

The contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) to be assessed as part of the Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment in the soil and groundwater are:

- APEC#1 | VOCs, Metals, PAHs
- APEC#2 | VOCs, Metals, PAHs

Select boreholes/monitoring wells placed in locations of the Site will be sampled to provide an assessment of the Contaminants of Potential Concern (CPCs) in the vicinity of each APEC.

Should additional contaminants be suspected during the course of the drilling program, additional sampling should be discussed with the project manager to determine appropriate analytical testing.

2. Specific Objectives

The following are the specific objectives of the planning of the site investigation component this Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment, as stated in O. Reg. 153/04:

- 1. Plan an investigation that will achieve the general objectives of a Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment:
 - Through the use of appropriate and complete information base concerning the Phase Two property.
 - Through the conduct of an investigation based both on information obtained before the Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment begins and on the incorporation of information obtained during the Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment.
- 2. To develop a sampling and analysis plan that will adequately assess all areas of the Phase Two property where contaminants may be present in land or water on, in or under the property.



3. To develop a quality assurance program that is designed to effectively limit errors and bias in sampling and analysis through implementation of assessment and control measures that will ensure data are useful, appropriate and accurate in the determination of whether the Phase Two property, meets applicable site condition criteria.

3. Utility Service Clearances

Public and private utility service clearances will be provided to the field technician prior to commencing the drilling program. The project manager must be contacted immediately should any conflicts arise during the drilling program with the locations of underground services and the proposed borehole locations. Service clearances are included with the field instructions.

4. Specific Requirements

4.1 Media for Investigation

- Overburden soil sampling will be conducted on the day(s) of drilling.
- Groundwater monitoring wells will be installed during the drilling program to facilitate the collection of groundwater samples at a later date.
- No sediment is present on the Site, as such; sediment sampling will not be conducted as part of this investigation.
- No surface water bodies are present on the Site, as such; surface water sampling will not be conducted as part of this investigation.

4.2 Locations and Depths for Sampling

Locations

The proposed seven borehole locations are illustrated on the Proposed Borehole Location Plan sketch attached as Attachment A.

- Five boreholes advanced to practical auger refusal
- Approximately 1.5 m coring of bedrock at three borehole locations following refusal
- Install groundwater monitoring wells in all borehole locations including one nested well

The approximate locations and labelling of the boreholes/monitoring wells are indicated on the provided plan.

Depths

Continuous soil samples will be collected from the boreholes in 0.6 m intervals using stainless steel split spoons. Soil sampling will be conducted from ground surface down to proposed drilling depth (bedrock refusal).



Three of the groundwater monitoring wells will be screened screens within the upper layers of the bedrock. Two of the wells will be screened in the overburden material. Screens should be limited to a maximum wetted length of 3.0 m and a minimum total length of 1.5 m. The base of monitoring well screens used to assess PHCs should extend approximately 1.0 m below the expected groundwater table based on field observations. If additional drilling is required to intercept the groundwater table, please contact the project manager. Screens shall have a sand pack that extends a minimum of 0.15 m above the screen and must be sealed with a bentonite hole plug with a thickness of at least 0.6 m. If the depth of the groundwater table interface.

4.3 Parameters for Laboratory Analysis

Soil

A total of five original samples plus one duplicate sample will be selected for lab analysis. The following soil samples from the suggested depths below are suggestions for submittal for laboratory analysis of the specified analytical parameters:

Location	Analytical Parameter	Approximate Depth/Stratigraphy
All Boreholes	Metals, PAHs, pH	 Sample Near water table interface, or Just above impermeable layer or Fill layer, or Area of obvious contamination
Duplicate Sample	DUP-1	Any material sampled for Metals, PAHs, and pH
Worst Case	Reg. 558 – laflesche unknown petroleum package	Select 1 sample for leachate (TCLP) Metal, Flashpoint, PAH, VOC, and PAH testing from borehole with most evident contamination. Bulk sample from the same borehole should be worst case soil followed by TCLP sample.

Table 4.1 Soil Sampling

Should contamination be detected in other locations or evident contamination requiring vertical delineation is suspected in any borehole, this should be discussed with the project manager immediately.

Soil Jarring requirements:

- Metals, PAHs, pH one large soil jar and one vial
- Reg. 558 TCLP three large soil jars

Should any visually or olfactory observations be made with respect to the potential presence of contaminants in the soil at a specific depth in a particular borehole location, the soil sample with the suspected contaminants will be submitted for laboratory analysis in lieu of the sample depth referenced in the table above.



Groundwate r

The following groundwater samples from the screened intervals will be submitted for laboratory analysis of the specified analytical parameters:

Table 4.2	Groundwater Sampling	
Location	Analytical Parameters	Approximate Depth of Sample
All wells	Metals, VOCs, BTEX, pH	Screened Interval
Duplicate	DUP-1-Duplicate of Metals, VOCs, BTEX, pH	1/10 samples
Trip Blank	VOC	1/cooler

Should contamination be detected in other locations or evident contamination, this should be discussed with the project manager immediately.

5. Quality Assurance/Quality Control

5.1 Decontamination of Sampling Equipment

All non-dedicated sampling equipment such as stainless steel split spoons will be washed between uses.

Water level monitoring equipment, including water level meters and interface probes will be decontaminated with Alconox and rinsed with deionized water between water level readings to prevent cross contamination.

5.2 Field Duplicates

Field duplicate samples shall be collected in each medium (soil and groundwater) being sampled. At least one field duplicate sample will be submitted for laboratory analysis for every ten samples submitted for laboratory analysis.

Field duplicates will be selected from samples which have the greatest probability of environmental contamination (i.e., where field observations indicate potential contamination is present). A duplicate sample will be selected should the presence of contamination be suspected in any of the soil or groundwater samples.

5.3 Trip Blanks

One laboratory prepared trip blank shall be analyzed for VOC when submitting groundwater samples for analysis.

5.4 Soil Vapour Screening

All soil samples will be screened for organic vapours in the field using a Photoionization detector (PID) in the field. Soil samples with notably elevated combustible gas concentrations should be discussed with the Project Manager and may be selected for laboratory analysis.


6. Standard Operating Procedures

GHD standard operating procedures (SOP) shall be used during borehole drilling and soil sampling. Deviations to the SOP shall be discussed with the project manager.

6.1 Well Development

Groundwater monitoring wells will be developed on the day of drilling. At least three and up to ten well volumes will be removed from the monitoring wells in order to remove all sediment from the wells. In cases where the monitoring well goes dry prior to purging three well volumes, the well should be purged dry a minimum of three times, waiting approximately 30 minutes between purging events. Waterra tubing should be removed from the monitoring wells following well development.

6.2 Borehole Locating

The locations of all boreholes and monitoring wells must be measured in the field on the day of drilling. Borehole locations should be measured with respect to building corners or known property boundaries and shown on a plan.

6.3 Elevation Survey

An elevation survey of all boreholes and monitoring wells will be conducted following the completion of the drilling program. A fixed temporary benchmark should be used as a reference elevation; the top of the spindle of a fire hydrant is preferred for this purpose as geodetic elevations can be obtained for these points. The ground surface elevation of all boreholes should be surveyed. The top of riser of each monitoring well should also be surveyed; this will ensure maximum accuracy in the interpretation of groundwater elevations.

6.4 Groundwater Elevation Survey

Following a period of stabilization (one week is recommended) a groundwater elevation survey will be completed for all monitoring wells. The depth to groundwater is recorded prior to disturbance of the water column and is recorded with respect to the top of riser of the monitoring well.

6.5 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater sampling is conducted following the collection of groundwater elevations. A peristaltic pump or Waterra tubing and footvalve are used for groundwater sampling. The wells are purged of standing water by removing at least one well volume. Samples are collected in dedicated bottles prepared by the laboratory. Samples are field filtered in the case of metals sampling.

Attachment A Proposed Borehole/Monitoring Well Locations



Appendix C Borehole Logs

REFER	ENCE N	o.:	11181273-A1	-								ENCL	OSUF	RE N	0.:		1		
				BORI	EHOLE No.:	BH1	/ N	IW1					BO	RF	НС)LF		G	
		G		ELEV		98.6	64	m					Pa	ge:	1	of	1	•	
		000704	Canada Inc											L	.EG	END			
	INT: <u>3</u> 2	<u>Cente</u>	chanada Inc.									⊠s	S Spl	it Spo	on				
LOC	ATION:	2831	. 2851, and 2865 DuMau	rier Ave.								II G Ø∕s	iS Auę T She	ger Sa elbv T	ample ube				
DES	CRIBED	BY:	S. Wheeler		CHECKED BY	(:		L. Lop	pers			Ţ	Wa	ter Le	vel				
DAT	E (STAF	T):	5 October 2018		DATE (FINISH	H):		5 Octob	er 20'	18		$\stackrel{\circ}{\vdash}$	Wa Atte	ter co erberg	ntent 1 limits	(%) s (%)			
SC	ALE		STRATIGRAPHY		MONITO WELL	R		SAN	IPLE	DATA		• N • N	Per Spl Per	netrati it Spo netrati	on Ind on sa on Ind	dex ba: mple lex bas	sed or ed on	I	
Depth BGS	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRIPTION (SOIL AND BEDRO	DF DCK			State	Type and Number	Recovery	OVC	Penetration Index / RQD	△ C □ C S	Dyr u She u She Ser She Poo	namic ear St ear St nsitivit ear St cket P	Cone rengti rengti y Valu rengti enetre	sample n based n based ue of S n based ometer	e d on F d on L oil d on	ield V ab Va	'ane ane
meters	98.64		GROUND SURFA	CE	-				%	ppm	Ν	10	SCA 50kPa 20	LE FC 100 30 4	DR TE	EST RE 150kPa	SULT 20 70	-S 10kPa 80	90
_	98.6	\otimes			98.54 —) T													
0.5			dry, compact	, grey,	Sand — 0.41 —		M	SS1	67	2.2	32			•					
 1.0 	97.8		FILL - Silty clay, grey, loose Black staining encounte 0.8 mbgs	damp, ered at	Riser —		X	SS2	17	1.9	6	•							
 1.5 	97.3		SILTY CLAY- grey, mo stiff Black staining encounte	ist, very ered	1.52 <i>—</i> Sand <i>—</i>		Ŵ	SS3	79	1.3	3	•							
2.0 2			110111 1.4 to 2.2 mbgs		1.88 — WL 2.07 — 10/15/2018	X	$\langle \rangle$												-
- - - 2.5							M	SS4	92	1.7	10	•					_		-
	96.0		SANDY SILT- greyish to damp to moist, loose to dense	orown, very	Screen —		M	SS5	83	1.6	9	•					-		-
- 3.0							Δ												
- 3.5	95 1		Spoon and auger refuse encountered at 3.5 mbg	al js	3.40 -		X	SS6	100	1.7	50+				-		_		
			Borehole terminated at auger refusal	practical															
4.5																	_		
5.0																	+		-
																	+		+
5.5																	+		+
																	+		+
NOTES	:																		<u> </u>
mbgs: Approx	meters b imately (elow g).1 m c	round surface If open borehole caved in	prior to we	ell installation. W	/ell install	ed	at 3.4 m	bgs										

REFER	ENCE N	o.:	11181273-A1	-								ENCLO	SURE	: No.:		2	<u> </u>	
				BOR	EHOLE No	.: <u>BH2</u>	/ N	W2					BOF	REH	OLE	ELC)G	
		G	HD	ELE\	ATION:	98.	39	m					Page	: 1	0	f 1		
													0	LEG)		
CLIE	ENT: <u>32</u>	23701	Canada Inc.									🔀 ss	Split S	Spoon				
PRC	JECI:	Geote	chnical Investigation									GS	Auger	Sampl	е			
		2831	, 2851, and 2865 DuiMau	rier Ave.	CHECKED				oro			∑ SI	Water	· Level				
	E (STAR	от т)•	5 October 2018			ыт SH):		L. LOP	er 201	8		0	Water	conten	: (%)			
		·/·						0 0000	01 20	0		• N	Penet	ration li	idex b	ased o	n	
SC	ALE		STRATIGRAPHY		WEL	L		SAN		DATA	1	• N	Penet	ration Ir	idex ba	ised or	1	
Depth BGS	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRIPTION (SOIL AND BEDRO	DF DCK			State	Type and Number	Recovery	OVC	Penetration Index / RQD	△ Cu □ Cu S	Shear Shear Sensi Shear Pocke	Streng Streng tivity Va Streng t Penel	th base th base lue of th base romete	ed on F ed on I Soil ed on er	-ield V .ab Va	/ane ane
meters	98.39		GROUND SURFA	CE	-				%	ppm	Ν	50	SCALE	FOR T	EST R 150ki	RESUL [®] Pa 2	TS 00kPa 80	90
_	98.3	\times			98.29-	- 17												
_	98.0		FILL - Sandy gravel, group _ compact	ey, dry,	Sand –		М	001	100	2.0	17			_		_	+	
- 0.5	50.0	\bigotimes	FILL - Gravelly sand, bi	rown,	0.46		\mathbb{N}	551	100	3.0	17							
-		\bigotimes	damp, compact				\square										+	-
							IVI	SS2	58	3.9	11							
- 1.0		\bigotimes	Becomes silty at 1.1 mł	nae														
-			Decomes sity at 1.1 mi	93	Riser-		\mathbb{H}										+	
- 15							W	553	50	3.2	13							
								333	50	5.2	13							
_	96.6		FILL - Silty sandy grave	arev.	_		\mathbb{H}									_	+-	
- 2.0	96.3		and brown, damp, com	pact	Bentonite -	╼╴╡	M											
–	00.0	\bigotimes	FILL - Silty sand, trace dark brown to black, da	gravel, mp to			M	SS4	79	4.0	27						+	
F		\bigotimes	moist, very loose to cor	npact			Д										_	
- 2.5		\bigotimes	odour encountered	nic			М											
-		\bigotimes					X	SS5	54	4.5	3	•					+	
- 3.0	95.3	\bigotimes			_		\square											
_	95.2		HIGHLY WEATHERED	ONE-	WL 3.21 -	₋▤▾▤		SS6		1.4	50+							
			grey		10/15/2018											_	+-	
- 3.5			encountered at 3.2 mbg	js	3.61-	- 3333 - 3333												
•			LIMESTONE- grey, ver	y poor	Sand –			RC1	68		11							
					0.01												+	
					Screen -												+	-
4.5								RC2	100		74							
	93.5		End of borehole		4.88-									+		+	+	+
5.5														_		_	+	+
4-0/																		
																	+	
mbgs:	meters b	elow g	round surface															
б																		

KEFER	ENCE N	J.:	11181273-A1	_								ENCL	0506).: 		3	
				BOR	EHOLE No.: <u>B</u>	H3D	/ N	IW3D					во	RE	HOL	ΕL	.00	3
		9		ELEV	ATION:	99.2	25	m					Pag	ge:	1	of _	1	_
	NT: 22	22701	Canada Inc											LI	EGEN	<u>ID</u>		
PRO	JFCT	Geote	chnical Investigation									S	S Spli	t Spoo	n			
LOC	ATION:	2831	, 2851, and 2865 DuMau	rier Ave.								s S	S Aug T She	er Sar elby Tu	npie be			
DES	CRIBED	BY:	S. Wheeler		CHECKED BY:			L. Lop	oers			Ţ	Wa	ter Lev	el			
DAT	E (STAR	T):	5 October 2018		DATE (FINISH):		:	5 Octobe	er 201	18		°	Wat Atte	ter con erberg	tent (%) limits (%	6)		
SC	ALE		STRATIGRAPHY		MONITOR			SAN	IPLE I	DATA		• N	Per Spli	netratio it Spoo	n Index n samp	base le	d on	
Depth BGS	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRIPTION (SOIL AND BEDRO	DF DCK			State	Type and Number	Recovery	OVC	Penetration Index / RQD	∆ C □ C S	Dyr U She U She Ser She Poo	amic C ear Stre ear Stre sitivity ear Stre ket Pe	Cone sa ength ba value value ength ba	mple ased c ased c of Soil ased c eter	n Fiel n Lab	ld Vane Vane
meters	99.25		GROUND SURFA	CE					%	ppm	Ν	10	SCA 50kPa	LE FO 100kl	R TEST	RES		Pa 90
-	99.2		TOPSOIL		99.15-	F	М											<u> </u>
- - - 0.5	99.0		FILL - Gravelly silty sar brown, damp, compact FILL - Sandy gravel, br damp, compact	own,	Sand — 0.46		Å	SS1	46	2.8	25		•					
- 1.0							X	SS2	50	3.0	19		•					
 1.5	98.0		FILL - Silty sand, grey, to wet, very loose to loo Black staining encounte	moist ose ered	Riser WL 1.37 – 10/15/2018		$\left \right\rangle$	SS3	71	3.9	10	•						
2.0					Pontonito -		Ŵ	SS4	13	2.8	2	•						
 2.5 	96.8		PEAT - black, organics organic odour, wet, ver	and y loose			Ň	SS5	75	0	PH							
- 3.0 	05.0	700 700 700 700 700 700 700 70 700 700 700 70 700 700 700 70 700 700 700 70 700 700 70 700 700 70 700 700 70	Auger refusal encounte 3.7 mbgs	red at			$\left \right\rangle$	SS6	83	0	3	•						
4.0	95.6		HIGHLY WEATHERED FRACTURED LIMEST grey) AND ONE-	4.01 — Sand 4.14 —		T	RC1	90		0							
4.5	94.8		LIMESTONE- grey, poo quality	or	Screen — ►													
								RC2	100		35							
5.5	93.9		End of borehole		- 5.36 — ^{Less}													
NOTES	:																	
mbgs: i	meters b	elow g	round surface															

REFER	RENCE No	o.:	11181273-A1	_							ENCLC	SURE	No.:			4	
				BOR	EHOLE No.: <u>BH</u>	3S / I	MW3S					BOF	REH	OL	ΕL	.00	6
		g	HD	ELE\	/ATION:9	9.33	m					Page	: 1		of _	1	
		00704	Concede Inc										LEC	SEN	D		
	-INT: <u>32</u>	<u>23701</u>									🔀 ss	Split S	Spoon		_		
	CATION.	2831	2851 and 2865 DuMau	rier Ave							GS ST	Auger Shelb	[.] Sampl v Tube	е			
DES	SCRIBED	BY:	S. Wheeler		CHECKED BY:		L. Lop	bers			<u>₹</u>	Water	Level				
DAT	E (STAR	T):	5 October 2018		DATE (FINISH):		5 Octobe	er 20	18		°	Water Atterb	conten	t (%) its (%	.)		
sc	ALE		STRATIGRAPHY		MONITOR		SAN	/IPLE	DATA		• N	Penet Split S	ration I Spoon s	ndex ampl	basec e	i on	
Depth BGS	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRIPTION SOIL AND BEDRO	OF DCK		State	Type and Number	Recovery	OVC	Penetration Index / RQD	• N □ Cu S ▲	Shear Shear Shear Sensi Shear Pocke	Streng Streng Streng tivity Va Streng tet Pene	ite san ofth ba ofth ba alue o ofth ba trome	iple sed of sed of f Soil sed o eter	n Fiel n Lab n	d Vane Vane
meters	99.33		GROUND SURF	ACE				%	ppm	Ν	50 10	SCALE	FOR 1 100kPa	TEST 150	RESU	JLTS 200k	Pa 90
-					99.23 -												
F					Sand —								_				
- 0.5					0.46												
-					Riser — —												
													_			+	
					Bentonite												
					10/15/2018												
- 1.5													-			+	
-					1.83 -											\square	
- 2.0					Sand —												
-					2.19-											+	
25													_		\square	\dashv	
					Screen												
- 3.0					Screen											+	
- 3.5																	
	95.6		Augered to practical ret	usal at	3.71 -											+	
			3.7 mbgs for direct well	20									_			\square	
																\uparrow	
- 4.5 -													_		$\left - \right $	+	_
5.0																	
															\vdash	+	
5.5															$\left - \right $	\dashv	
																\neg	
	 3:																
mbgs:	meters be	elow g	round surface														
-																	

REFER	ENCE N	o.:	11181273-A1	-								ENCLO	SUR	E No	.:		5	
				BOR	EHOLE No.:	BH4	/ M	W4					BO	RFł	101	FΙ	OG	
		G	HD	ELE\	ATION:	98.5	53	m					Pag	e:	<u>1</u>		<u>1</u>	
	-NT: 30	022701	Canada Inc											LE	GEN	D		
PRC		Geote	chnical Investigation										Split	Spoor	n			
LOC	ATION:	2831	, 2851, and 2865 DuMau	rier Ave.								ST	S Auge Shel	er San by Tul	ipie pe			
DES	CRIBED	BY:	S. Wheeler		CHECKED BY:			L. Lop	pers			Ţ	Wate	er Leve	el			
DAT	E (STAR	RT):	5 October 2018		_ DATE (FINISH):		į	5 Octob	er 20'	18		Ĥ	Atter	er cont berg li	ent (%) imits (%)		
SC	ALE		STRATIGRAPHY		MONITOR WELL			SAM	/IPLE	DATA		• N • N	Pene Split Pene	etration Spoon etration	n Index n sampl n Index I	based e based	on on	
Depth BGS	Elevation (m)	Stratigraphy	DESCRIPTION (SOIL AND BEDR(OF OCK			State	Type and Number	Recovery	OVC	Penetration Index / RQD	△ Cι □ Cι S	Dyna Shea Shea Sens Shea Pock	amic C ar Stre ar Stre sitivity ar Stre ket Pei	one sar ngth ba ngth ba Value c ngth ba netrome	npie sed or sed or of Soil sed or eter	ו Field ו Lab ' ו	l Vane Vane
meters	98.53		GROUND SURFA	ACE	- 		B		%	ppm	Ν	5 10	SCAL ^{0kPa} 20 30	E FOF 100kF) 40	R TEST Pa 150 50 (RESU 0kPa 30 70	LTS 200kP 80	'a 90
	98.5		ASPHALT FILL - Sand and gravel damp, loose	, grey,	98.43 – Sand –		M	SS1	56	1.4	6	•					_	
- 0.5 -	97.8		FILL Sandy ailty alow	drov	0.46 -		A										_	
- 1.0			damp, firm	grey,			Å	SS2	83	2.7	9	•						
 1.5 					WL 1.28		M	SS3	75	3.5	2	•						
2.0					Riser		\square	SS4	33	5.7	3	•						
- 2.5 	96.1	市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市 市	PEAT - dark brown to b damp, very loose to loo	lack, se			\mathbb{N}	SS5	79	2.5	3	•						
- 3.0 - - - - 3.5	95.1		SANDY SILT- grey to b	prown,			$\left \right $	SS6	88	3.4	6	•						
	94.6		moist to wet, loose to v dense Spoon and auger refus ∖encountered at 3.9 mbg	ery al gs				SS7	100	3.1	50+				•		_	
			LIMESTONE- grey, goo quality	bd	4.22 - 4.32 -													
					Screen			RC1	90		71							
	93.1				5.39 -													
A-6/21011																	+	
	;·																	
mbgs:	meters b	elow g	round surface															

Appendix D Laboratory Certificates of Analysis



CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED 455 Phillip St WATERLOO, ON N2V1C2 (519) 884-0510

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508

SOIL ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Amanjot Bhela, Inorganic Supervisor

TRACE ORGANICS REVIEWED BY: Oksana Gushyla, Trace Organics Lab Supervisor

DATE REPORTED: Oct 15, 2018

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 16

VERSION*: 1

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

*NOTES	

All samples will be disposed of within 30 days following analysis. Please contact the lab if you require additional sample storage time.

AGAT Laboratories (V1)

Member of: Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta (APEGA) Western Enviro-Agricultural Laboratory Association (WEALA) Environmental Services Association of Alberta (ESAA) AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.

Page 1 of 16

Results relate only to the items tested and to all the items tested All reportable information as specified by ISO 17025:2005 is available from AGAT Laboratories upon request



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

O. Reg. 153(511) - Metals &	Inorganics	(Soil)
-----------------	--------------	------------	--------

				,	-	. ,				
DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07							l	DATE REPORTE	D: 2018-10-15	
					BH1	BH2	BH3	BH4	BH5	
			SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	SS3-10-5-18	SS5-10-5-18	SS3-10-5-18	SS2/3-10-5-18	SS3-10-5-18	
				SAMPLE TYPE:	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil	
				DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-05	2018-10-05	2018-10-05	2018-10-05	2018-10-05	
Parameter	Unit	G/S RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9610083	9610085	9610086	9610087	9610088	
Antimony	µg/g	0.8	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	<0.8	
Arsenic	µg/g	1	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	2	5	2	2	2	
Barium	µg/g	2	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	94	118	56	91	91	
Beryllium	µg/g	0.5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Boron	µg/g	5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	6	9	<5	6	6	
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	µg/g	0.10	2018-10-11	2018-10-11	0.27	1.54	0.37	0.34	0.32	
Cadmium	µg/g	0.5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	
Chromium	µg/g	2	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	37	22	15	29	31	
Cobalt	µg/g	0.5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	8.2	6.1	4.7	6.9	7.3	
Copper	µg/g	1	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	14	105	19	17	18	
Lead	µg/g	1	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	11	61	28	5	6	
Molybdenum	µg/g	0.5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.5	1.4	<0.5	<0.5	0.5	
Nickel	µg/g	1	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	18	21	10	18	19	
Selenium	µg/g	0.4	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.4	0.5	<0.4	0.7	<0.4	
Silver	µg/g	0.2	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Thallium	µg/g	0.4	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	<0.4	
Uranium	µg/g	0.5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	1.3	0.9	0.5	1.0	1.2	
Vanadium	µg/g	1	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	41	21	21	32	33	
Zinc	µg/g	5	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	58	183	124	36	36	
Chromium VI	µg/g	0.2	2018-10-11	2018-10-11	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	
Cyanide	µg/g	0.040	2018-10-11	2018-10-11	<0.040	<0.040	<0.040	<0.040	<0.040	
Mercury	µg/g	0.10	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.10	0.23	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Electrical Conductivity	mS/cm	0.005	2018-10-11	2018-10-11	1.87	1.01	0.372	0.929	0.913	
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	NA	NA	2018-10-11	2018-10-11	15.5	11.2	1.88	13.6	8.98	
pH, 2:1 CaCl2 Extraction	pH Units	NA	2018-10-11	2018-10-11	6.82	7.23	7.44	7.78	7.49	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

9610083-9610088 EC & SAR were determined on the DI water extract obtained from the 2:1 leaching procedure (2 parts DI water:1 part soil). pH was determined on the 0.01M CaCl2 extract prepared at 2:1 ratio. Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:





AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

O. Reg. 558 Metals and Inorganics

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07

				SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	BH3-10-5-18	
					SAMPLE TYPE:	Soil	
				I	DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-05	
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9610090	
Arsenic Leachate	mg/L	2.5	0.010	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.010	
Barium Leachate	mg/L	100	0.100	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	1.21	
Boron Leachate	mg/L	500	0.050	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	0.102	
Cadmium Leachate	mg/L	0.5	0.010	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.010	
Chromium Leachate	mg/L	5	0.010	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.010	
Lead Leachate	mg/L	5	0.010	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	0.196	
Mercury Leachate	mg/L	0.1	0.01	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.01	
Selenium Leachate	mg/L	1	0.010	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.010	
Silver Leachate	mg/L	5	0.010	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.010	
Uranium Leachate	mg/L	10	0.050	2018-10-13	2018-10-13	<0.050	
Fluoride Leachate	mg/L	150	0.05	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	0.08	
Cyanide Leachate	mg/L	20	0.05	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.05	
(Nitrate + Nitrite) as N Leachate	mg/L	1000	0.70	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	<0.70	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard: Refers to O. Reg. 558 - Schedule IV Leachate Quality Criteria

Guideline values are for general reference only. The guidelines provided may or may not be relevant for the intended use. Refer directly to the applicable standard for regulatory interpretation.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)



DATE REPORTED: 2018-10-15



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

	O. Reg. 558 TCLP Preparation													
DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07							DATE REPORTED: 2018-10-15							
				SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	BH3-10-5-18								
					SAMPLE TYPE:	Soil								
					DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-05								
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9610090								
Analysis Start Date						2018/10/11								
pH (Initial)	pH Units		N/A			7.96								
pH (Final)	pH Units		N/A			5.41								
Extraction Fluid						1								
Comments: RDL - Reported Da	staction Limit	G / S - Guideli	na / Stand	dard: Refers to O F	200 558 - Schodula	N/ Leachate Quality Crit								

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard: Refers to O. Reg. 558 - Schedule IV Leachate Quality Criteria

Guideline values are for general reference only. The guidelines provided may or may not be relevant for the intended use. Refer directly to the applicable standard for regulatory interpretation. Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)



Certified By:



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

	,	,					
				F	lash Point A	Analysis	
DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07							DATE REPORTED: 2018-10-15
				SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	BH3-10-5-18	
					SAMPLE TYPE:	Soil	
					DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-05	
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9610090	
Flash point (Pensky Martin Closed Cup)	Deg C		NA	2018-10-12	2018-10-12	>100	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

Analysis performed at AGAT Calgary (unless marked by *)

Certified By:

teus



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

			O. Re	eg. 153(511) ·	- PAHs (So	il)				
DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07								DATE REPORTE	D: 2018-10-15	
			SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	BH1 SS3-10-5-18	BH2 SS5-10-5-18	BH3 SS3-10-5-18	BH4 SS2/3-10-5-18	BH5 SS3-10-5-18	
				SAMPLE TYPE: DATE SAMPLED:	Soil 2018-10-05	Soil 2018-10-05	Soil 2018-10-05	Soil 2018-10-05	Soil 2018-10-05	
Parameter	Unit	G/S RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9610083	9610085	9610086	9610087	9610088	
Naphthalene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Acenaphthylene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Acenaphthene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Fluorene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Phenanthrene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.16	0.06	0.06	0.06	
Anthracene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Fluoranthene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.25	0.11	<0.05	<0.05	
Pyrene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.21	0.09	<0.05	<0.05	
Benz(a)anthracene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.11	0.06	<0.05	<0.05	
Chrysene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.14	0.06	<0.05	<0.05	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.16	0.07	<0.05	<0.05	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.06	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Benzo(a)pyrene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.10	0.06	<0.05	<0.05	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	0.07	0.05	<0.05	<0.05	
2-and 1-methyl Naphthalene	µg/g	0.05	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.09	0.10	
Moisture Content	%	0.1	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	24.4	22.9	15.1	18.3	20.9	
Surrogate	Unit	Acceptable Limits								
Chrysene-d12	%	50-140	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	109	106	106	110	91	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

9610083-9610088 Results are based on the dry weight of the soil.

Note: The result for Benzo(b)Fluoranthene is the total of the Benzo(b)&j)Fluoranthene isomers because the isomers co-elute on the GC column.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

				O. Re	g. 558 - Ben	zo(a) pyren	e
DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07							DATE REPORTED: 2018-10-15
				SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	BH3-10-5-18	
					SAMPLE TYPE:	Soil	
				ŗ	DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-05	
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9610090	
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/L	0.001	0.001	2018-10-15	2018-10-15	<0.001	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard: Refers to O. Reg. 558 - Schedule IV Leachate Quality Criteria

Guideline values are for general reference only. The guidelines provided may or may not be relevant for the intended use. Refer directly to the applicable standard for regulatory interpretation.

9610090 The sample was leached according to Regulation 558 protocol. Analysis was performed on the leachate.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY: Steven Wheeler

O. Reg. 558 - PCBs DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07 DATE REPORTED: 2018-10-15 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: BH3-10-5-18 SAMPLE TYPE: Soil DATE SAMPLED: 2018-10-05 G/S 9610090 Parameter Unit RDL Date Prepared Date Analyzed Polychlorinated Biphenyls mg/L 0.3 0.005 2018-10-12 2018-10-12 < 0.005 Surrogate Unit Acceptable Limits % 60-130 99 Decachlorobiphenyl 2018-10-12 2018-10-12

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard: Refers to O. Reg. 558 - Schedule IV Leachate Quality Criteria

Guideline values are for general reference only. The guidelines provided may or may not be relevant for the intended use. Refer directly to the applicable standard for regulatory interpretation.

9610090 The soil sample was leached using the Regulation 558 procedure. Analysis was performed on the leachate.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

1,2-Dichlorobenzene

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY: Steven Wheeler O. Reg. 558 - VOCs DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-07 DATE REPORTED: 2018-10-15 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: BH3-10-5-18 SAMPLE TYPE: Soil DATE SAMPLED: 2018-10-05 G/S Parameter Unit RDL Date Prepared Date Analyzed 9610090 Vinyl Chloride mg/L 0.2 0.030 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.030 1,1 Dichloroethene mg/L 1.4 0.020 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 <0.020 Dichloromethane 5.0 2018-10-12 < 0.030 mg/L 0.030 2018-10-15 200 Methyl Ethyl Ketone mg/L 0.090 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.090 Chloroform 10.0 0.020 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.020 mg/L 1.2-Dichloroethane mg/L 0.5 0.020 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.020 Carbon Tetrachloride mg/L 0.5 0.020 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.020 Benzene mg/L 0.5 0.020 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.020 5.0 0.020 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 <0.020 Trichloroethene mg/L Tetrachloroethene mg/L 3.0 0.050 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.050 Chlorobenzene mg/L 8.0 0.010 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.010

< 0.010

0.5 1,4-Dichlorobenzene mg/L 0.010 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 < 0.010 Unit Surrogate Acceptable Limits Toluene-d8 % Recovery 60-130 2018-10-12 2018-10-15 79

2018-10-15

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard: Refers to O. Reg. 558 - Schedule IV Leachate Quality Criteria

0.010

2018-10-12

Guideline values are for general reference only. The guidelines provided may or may not be relevant for the intended use. Refer directly to the applicable standard for regulatory interpretation.

9610090 Sample was prepared using Regulation 558 protocol and a zero headspace extractor.

mg/L

20.0

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:



Page 10 of 16

Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

Soil Analysis

				001		ary 513									
RPT Date: Oct 15, 2018 PARAMETER Batch Sam			C	UPLICATI	E		REFEREN	NCE MA	TERIAL	METHOD	BLANK	SPIKE	MAT	RIX SPI	KE
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured	Acce Lir	ptable nits	Recovery	Acce Lin	ptable nits	Recovery	Acce Lir	ptable nits
	Datem	ld	Dup #1	Dup #2	N D		Value	Lower	Upper	1 1 COOVERY	Lower	Upper	TRECOVERY	Lower	Upper
O. Reg. 153(511) - Metals & Inorg	ganics (Soil)													
Antimony	9610083	9610083	< 0.8	<0.8	NA	< 0.8	98%	70%	130%	107%	80%	120%	74%	70%	130%
Arsenic	9610083	9610083	2	2	NA	< 1	101%	70%	130%	112%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Barium	9610083	9610083	94	90	4.3%	< 2	89%	70%	130%	99%	80%	120%	86%	70%	130%
Beryllium	9610083	9610083	< 0.5	<0.5	NA	< 0.5	80%	70%	130%	112%	80%	120%	105%	70%	130%
Boron	9610083	9610083	6	6	NA	< 5	101%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	90%	70%	130%
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	9610083	9610083	0.27	0.31	NA	< 0.10	114%	60%	140%	101%	70%	130%	118%	60%	140%
Cadmium	9610083	9610083	< 0.5	<0.5	NA	< 0.5	102%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	97%	70%	130%
Chromium	9610083	9610083	37	36	2.7%	< 2	74%	70%	130%	97%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%
Cobalt	9610083	9610083	8.2	8.0	2.5%	< 0.5	84%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	90%	70%	130%
Copper	9610083	9610083	14	13	7.4%	< 1	84%	70%	130%	113%	80%	120%	92%	70%	130%
Lead	9610083	9610083	11	11	0.0%	< 1	94%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	88%	70%	130%
Molybdenum	9610083	9610083	< 0.5	<0.5	NA	< 0.5	94%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Nickel	9610083	9610083	18	18	0.0%	< 1	89%	70%	130%	107%	80%	120%	93%	70%	130%
Selenium	9610083	9610083	< 0.4	<0.4	NA	< 0.4	111%	70%	130%	98%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Silver	9610083	9610083	< 0.2	<0.2	NA	< 0.2	96%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	91%	70%	130%
Thallium	9610083	9610083	< 0.4	<0.4	NA	< 0.4	86%	70%	130%	93%	80%	120%	92%	70%	130%
Uranium	9610083	9610083	1.3	1.3	NA	< 0.5	83%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Vanadium	9610083	9610083	41	40	2.5%	< 1	78%	70%	130%	96%	80%	120%	74%	70%	130%
Zinc	9610083	9610083	58	57	1.7%	< 5	90%	70%	130%	110%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%
Chromium VI	9610083	9610083	<0.2	<0.2	NA	< 0.2	74%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Cyanide	9613642		<0.040	<0.040	NA	< 0.040	99%	70%	130%	105%	80%	120%	107%	70%	130%
Mercury	9610083	9610083	< 0.10	<0.10	NA	< 0.10	97%	70%	130%	97%	80%	120%	91%	70%	130%
Electrical Conductivity	9610083	9610083	1.87	1.88	0.5%	< 0.005	94%	90%	110%	NA			NA		
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	9610083	9610083	15.5	16.0	3.2%	NA	NA			NA			NA		
pH, 2:1 CaCl2 Extraction	9610083	9610083	6.82	6.77	0.7%	NA	101%	80%	120%	NA			NA		
O. Reg. 558 Metals and Inorgani	cs														
Arsenic Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.010	<0.010	NA	< 0.010	104%	90%	110%	105%	80%	120%	115%	70%	130%
Barium Leachate	9610090	9610090	1.21	1.20	0.8%	< 0.100	101%	90%	110%	102%	80%	120%	106%	70%	130%
Boron Leachate	9610090	9610090	0.102	0.107	NA	< 0.050	104%	90%	110%	94%	80%	120%	83%	70%	130%
Cadmium Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.010	<0.010	NA	< 0.010	102%	90%	110%	103%	80%	120%	111%	70%	130%
Chromium Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.010	<0.010	NA	< 0.010	101%	90%	110%	111%	80%	120%	114%	70%	130%
Lead Leachate	9610090	9610090	0.196	0.191	2.6%	< 0.010	102%	90%	110%	98%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Mercury Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.01	<0.01	NA	< 0.01	99%	90%	110%	101%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Selenium Leachate	9610090	9610090	< 0.010	< 0.010	NA	< 0.010	105%	90%	110%	108%	80%	120%	112%	70%	130%
Silver Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.010	<0.010	NA	< 0.010	102%	90%	110%	106%	80%	120%	102%	70%	130%
Uranium Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.050	<0.050	NA	< 0.050	108%	90%	110%	101%	80%	120%	111%	70%	130%
Fluoride Leachate	9610090	9610090	0.08	0.08	NA	< 0.05	100%	90%	110%	108%	90%	110%	107%	70%	130%
Cyanide Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.05	<0.05	NA	< 0.05	92%	90%	110%	104%	90%	110%	84%	70%	130%
(Nitrate + Nitrite) as N Leachate	9610090	9610090	<0.70	<0.70	NA	< 0.70	98%	80%	120%	97%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%

AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.



Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

Soil Analysis (Continued)

RPT Date: Oct 15, 2018	E		REFEREN	ICE MA	TERIAL	METHOD	BLANK	SPIKE	MAT	RIX SPI	KE				
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured	Acce Lin	ptable nits	Recoverv	Acce Lin	ptable nits	Recoverv	Acce Lin	ptable nits
		Id	1				value	Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.

Duplicate Qualifier: As the measured result approaches the RL, the uncertainty associated with the value increases dramatically, thus duplicate acceptance limits apply only where the average of the two duplicates is greater than five times the RL





AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

Page 11 of 16

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.



Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

Trace Organic	s Ar	nalysis	

RPT Date: Oct 15, 2018			C	UPLICATI	E		REFEREN	NCE MA	TERIAL	METHOD	BLAN	(SPIKE	MAT	RIX SPI	KE
		Sample	D //4	D #0	200	Method Blank	Measured	Acce Lin	ptable nits	_	Acce	eptable mits	6	Acce	ptable nits
PARAMETER	Batch	ld	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD		Value	Lower	Upper	Recovery	Lower	Upper	Recovery	Lower	Upper
O. Reg. 153(511) - PAHs (Soil)			1	II											
Naphthalene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	92%	50%	140%	98%	50%	140%	93%	50%	140%
Acenaphthylene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	96%	50%	140%	98%	50%	140%	90%	50%	140%
Acenaphthene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	93%	50%	140%	98%	50%	140%	89%	50%	140%
Fluorene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	94%	50%	140%	94%	50%	140%	90%	50%	140%
Phenanthrene	9610086	9610086	0.06	0.06	NA	< 0.05	97%	50%	140%	97%	50%	140%	90%	50%	140%
Anthracene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	94%	50%	140%	91%	50%	140%	89%	50%	140%
Fluoranthene	9610086	9610086	0.11	0.11	NA	< 0.05	98%	50%	140%	98%	50%	140%	98%	50%	140%
Pyrene	9610086	9610086	0.09	0.08	NA	< 0.05	98%	50%	140%	99%	50%	140%	100%	50%	140%
Benz(a)anthracene	9610086	9610086	0.06	0.06	NA	< 0.05	97%	50%	140%	95%	50%	140%	102%	50%	140%
Chrysene	9610086	9610086	0.06	0.06	NA	< 0.05	96%	50%	140%	94%	50%	140%	104%	50%	140%
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	9610086	9610086	0.07	0.07	NA	< 0.05	98%	50%	140%	97%	50%	140%	88%	50%	140%
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	98%	50%	140%	88%	50%	140%	97%	50%	140%
Benzo(a)pyrene	9610086	9610086	0.06	0.06	NA	< 0.05	97%	50%	140%	95%	50%	140%	91%	50%	140%
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	96%	50%	140%	91%	50%	140%	96%	50%	140%
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	95%	50%	140%	91%	50%	140%	90%	50%	140%
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	9610086	9610086	0.05	0.05	NA	< 0.05	97%	50%	140%	96%	50%	140%	97%	50%	140%
2-and 1-methyl Naphthalene	9610086	9610086	< 0.05	< 0.05	NA	< 0.05	95%	50%	140%	91%	50%	140%	90%	50%	140%
O. Reg. 558 - PCBs															
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	9610090	9610090	< 0.005	< 0.005	NA	< 0.005	103%	60%	130%	95%	60%	130%	NA	60%	130%
O. Reg. 558 - VOCs															
Vinyl Chloride	9610090	9610090	< 0.030	< 0.030	NA	< 0.030	73%	60%	140%	79%	60%	140%	NA	60%	140%
1,1 Dichloroethene	9610090	9610090	< 0.020	< 0.020	NA	< 0.020	85%	70%	130%	72%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Dichloromethane	9610090	9610090	< 0.030	< 0.030	NA	< 0.030	96%	70%	130%	85%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	9610090	9610090	< 0.090	< 0.090	NA	< 0.090	117%	70%	130%	116%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Chloroform	9610090	9610090	< 0.020	< 0.020	NA	< 0.020	75%	70%	130%	85%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
1,2-Dichloroethane	9610090	9610090	< 0.020	< 0.020	NA	< 0.020	96%	70%	130%	88%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Carbon Tetrachloride	9610090	9610090	< 0.020	< 0.020	NA	< 0.020	85%	70%	130%	94%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Benzene	9610090	9610090	< 0.020	< 0.020	NA	< 0.020	88%	70%	130%	87%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Trichloroethene	9610090	9610090	< 0.020	< 0.020	NA	< 0.020	99%	70%	130%	74%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Tetrachloroethene	9610090	9610090	< 0.050	< 0.050	NA	< 0.050	78%	70%	130%	71%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
Chlorobenzene	9610090	9610090	< 0.010	< 0.010	NA	< 0.010	89%	70%	130%	79%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	9610090	9610090	< 0.010	< 0.010	NA	< 0.010	94%	70%	130%	77%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	9610090	9610090	< 0.010	< 0.010	NA	< 0.010	97%	70%	130%	85%	70%	130%	NA	60%	140%
O. Reg. 558 - Benzo(a) pyrene															
Benzo(a)pyrene	9610090	9610090	< 0.001	< 0.001	NA	< 0.001	95%	70%	130%	94%	70%	130%	NA	70%	130%

Comments: When the average of the sample and duplicate results is less than 5x the RDL, the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) will be indicated as Not Applicable (NA).

AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

Page 12 of 16

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.



CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

Trace Organics Analysis (Continued)

RPT Date: Oct 15, 2018 DUPLICATE							REFEREN	NCE MA	TERIAL	METHOD	BLANK	SPIKE	MAT	RIX SPI	KE
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured	Acce Lin	ptable nits	Recoverv	Acce Lin	ptable nits	Recoverv	Acce Lin	ptable nits
		ld					Value	Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper
Flash Point Analysis															

 Flash point (Pensky Martin Closed
 2424
 butanol
 35
 35
 0.0%
 100%
 80%
 120%

Cup)

Comments: If the RPD value is NA, the results of the duplicates are under 5X the RDL and will not be calculated.

Certified By:

puz

AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific tests tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.

Page 13 of 16



Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE
Soil Analysis			
Antimony	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Arsenic	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Barium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Beryllium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Boron	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Boron (Hot Water Soluble)	MET-93-6104	EPA SW 846 6010C; MSA, Part 3, Ch.21	ICP/OES
Cadmium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Chromium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Cobalt	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Copper	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Lead	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Molybdenum	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Nickel	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Selenium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Silver	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Thallium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Uranium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Vanadium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Zinc	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Chromium VI	INOR-93-6029	SM 3500 B; MSA Part 3, Ch. 25	SPECTROPHOTOMETER
Cyanide	INOR-93-6052	MOE CN-3015 & E 3009 A;SM 4500 CN	TECHNICON AUTO ANALYZER
Mercury	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 3050B & 6020A	ICP-MS
Electrical Conductivity	INOR-93-6036	McKeague 4.12, SM 2510 B	EC METER
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	INOR-93-6007	McKeague 4.12 & 3.26 & EPA SW-846 6010C	CP/OES
pH, 2:1 CaCl2 Extraction	INOR-93-6031	MSA part 3 & SM 4500-H+ B	PH METER
Arsenic Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Barium Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Boron Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Cadmium Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Chromium Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Lead Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Mercury Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Selenium Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Silver Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Uranium Leachate	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 1311 & 3010A & 6020A	ICP-MS
Fluoride Leachate	INOR-93-6018	EPA SW-846-1311 & SM4500-F- C	ION SELECTIVE ELECTRODE
Cyanide Leachate	INOR-93-6052	EPA SW-846-1311 & MOE 3015 & SM 4500 CN- I	TECHNICON AUTO ANALYZER
(Nitrate + Nitrite) as N Leachate	INOR-93-6053	EPA SW 846-1311 & SM 4500 - NO3- I	LACHAT FIA
Analysis Start Date			N/A
pH (Initial)	LAB 4025	EPA SW-846 1311	PH METER
pH (Final)	LAB 4025	EPA SW-846 1311	PH METER
Extraction Fluid	LAB-93-4025	EPA SW-846 1311	N/A



Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273-E2 (PO#73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: DuMaurier Avenue, Ottawa, ON

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z394508 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:Steven Wheeler

PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE
Trace Organics Analysis			
Flash point (Pensky Martin Closed Cup)	TO 2210	ASTM D93	Pensky Martin Closed Cup
Naphthalene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Acenaphthylene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Acenaphthene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Fluorene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Phenanthrene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Anthracene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Fluoranthene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Pyrene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Benz(a)anthracene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Chrysene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Benzo(a)pyrene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
2-and 1-methyl Naphthalene	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Moisture Content	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW-846 3541 & 8270	BALANCE
Chrysene-d12	ORG-91-5106	EPA SW846 3541 & 8270	GC/MS
Benzo(a)pyrene	ORG-91-5114	EPA SW846 3540 & 8270	GC/MS
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	ORG-91-5112	Regulation 558, EPA SW846 3510C/8082	GC/ECD
Decachlorobiphenyl	ORG-91-5112	EPA SW846 3510C/8082	GC/ECD
Vinyl Chloride	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1 Dichloroethene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Dichloromethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Chloroform	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,2-Dichloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Carbon Tetrachloride	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Benzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Trichloroethene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Tetrachloroethene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Chlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Toluene-d8	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5230B & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS

Chain of Custody Record	d Ithis is a		abora	ato	Ories M	Custody Form (Ph: 90	Miss 5.712.9	58 sissaug 5100 i web	35 Coope a, Ontario Fax: 905. earth.aga	ers Ave L4Z 712.5 tiabs	enue 1Y2 5122 .com		Labe Work Coole Arriva	Order Order r Quar I Temp	ory #: ntity: perate		Only Z3 Me 10	- 1 - 1	508	3 	2-1
Report Information: Company: GHD L+ Contact: Luke Lope	d. -g de RI G	164	00		Regulatory Requ (Please check all applicable boxe	irements:	I Ner Use	lo Re	gulato	ory Req	uire	ment		Custo Notes	dy Sea	al Inta	act: Time		es		<u> </u> 0 	?9
Phone: Reports to be sent to: 1. Email: 2. Email: Project Information: Project: Site Location: Sampled By: Contraction: Project Linformation: Project: Site Location: Project Linformation: Project: Proje	Secher Secher Secher Secher Secher Avenue	com: GHD.	com		Table Indicate One Indicate One Com Res/Park Agriculture Soil Texture (check One) Coarse Fine Is this submission Record of Site Co Yes	San	nitary rm ate One	Rep Certi	Cont Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot Ot	ME ov. Water jectives (her Indicate (uldelin of Ana D	Quali PWQC ne e on alysi NC	ty)) s	F	Regul Rush	ar TA TAT (3 Bu Days OR I *TAT	AT Rush S S Date Date de S S S Date	urcharge SS Requir P provi Clusive ' anal	Apply)	5 to 7 E 2 Busin Days sh Sur <i>r notifi</i> ekends	Business I ess charges I cation for and state)ays Next Day Aay Apply r rush TA utory holi our AGAT	Busine /): T idays
AGAT Quote #: Please note: If quotation number Please note: If quotation number Invoice Information: Secure as R Company: Contact: Address: Email:	PT MA BI	be billed full price I	for analysis. Yes 💐 No		Sample Matrix Leg B Biota GW Ground Water O Oil P Paint S Soil SD Sediment SW Surface Water	gend	Field Filtered - Metals, Hg, CrVI	and Inorganics	als L 153 Metals (exc. Hydrides) O Metals L 153 Metals (Incl. Hydrides)	コB-HWS コCi・コCN 55 JEC コFOC コHg SAR	tals Scan	ion/Custom Metals					1 Total 🛛 🗆 Aroclors	chlorine Pesticides M&I ĈYvocs □ ABNs □ BraiP ĈPecBs	lse	hpomt (Tull)		
Sample Identification BHJ 553-10-5-18 BHD 555-10-5-18 BHD 553-10-5-18 BHD 553-10-5-18 BHD 552/3-10-5-18	Date Sampled Oct, 5, 14 11 11	Time Sampled	# of Containers	Samp Matr	nple Comme strix Special Inst	nts/ uctions	Y/N	XXX Metals	L All Met	ORPs: 1 Cr ⁶⁺ [Full Me	Regulat		PHCs F	ABNS		PCBs: C	Organo TCLP: M	Sewer L	Flac		
BHS 553 -10-5-18 BH3-10-5-18			45	5				×								XX				×		
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign):	helen	Date OctS, Date Date			Samples Received By (F	int Name and State	zur Z	-	2	-	/	Bate 81	010	F.	Time 16 Time Time	93	00 3	Nº:	Page	- <u>1</u> 759	of	

cument (D: DIV 78-1511.015	Pink Copy - Client Yellow Copy - AGAT White Copy- AGAT	Panesys: Argin 16 2018



CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED 455 Phillip St WATERLOO, ON N2V1C2 (519) 884-0510

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391)

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894

TRACE ORGANICS REVIEWED BY: Oksana Gushyla, Trace Organics Lab Supervisor

WATER ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Yris Verastegui, Report Reviewer

DATE REPORTED: Nov 13, 2018

PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 14

VERSION*: 2

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

<u>*NOTES</u>

VERSION 2: Revised report issued November 13, 2018.

All samples will be disposed of within 30 days following analysis. Please contact the lab if you require additional sample storage time.

AGAT Laboratories (V2)

Member of: Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta (APEGA) Western Enviro-Agricultural Laboratory Association (WEALA) Environmental Services Association of Alberta (ESAA) AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.

Page 1 of 14

Results relate only to the items tested and to all the items tested

All reportable information as specified by ISO 17025:2005 is available from AGAT Laboratories upon request



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

O. Reg. 153(511) - PHCs F1 - F4 (-BTEX) (Water)

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-20

			SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	MW1-101918	MW2-101918	MW3S-101918	MW3D-101918	MW4-101918	MW6-101918
				SAMPLE TYPE:	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water	Water
				DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	2018-10-19
Parameter	Unit	G/S RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9641620	9641666	9641876	9641877	9641878	9641879
F1 (C6 - C10)	µg/L	25	2018-11-08	2018-11-09	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
F1 (C6 to C10) minus BTEX	μg/L	25	2018-11-08	2018-11-09	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25	<25
F2 (C10 to C16)	µg/L	100	2018-11-12	2018-11-12	<100	<100	170	<100	<100	<100
F3 (C16 to C34)	µg/L	100	2018-11-12	2018-11-12	<100	<100	860	<100	<100	<100
F4 (C34 to C50)	µg/L	100	2018-11-12	2018-11-12	<100	<100	170	<100	<100	<100
Gravimetric Heavy Hydrocarbons	µg/L	500	2018-11-12	2018-11-12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Surrogate	Unit	Acceptable Limits								
Terphenyl	%	60-140	2018-11-12	2018-11-12	100	120	85	84	93	100

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

9641620-9641879 The C6-C10 fraction is calculated using Toluene response factor.

The C10 - C16, C16 - C34, and C34 - C50 fractions are calculated using the average response factor for n-C10, n-C16, and nC34.

Gravimetric Heavy Hydrocarbons are not included in the Total C16 - C50 and are only determined if the chromatogram of the C34 - C50 Hydrocarbons indicated that hydrocarbons >C50 are present. The chromatogram has returned to baseline by the retention time of nC50.

Total C6-C50 results are corrected for BTEX contributions.

This method complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory.

nC6 and nC10 response factors are within 30% of Toluene response factor.

nC10, nC16 and nC34 response factors are within 10% of their average.

C50 response factor is within 70% of nC10 + nC16 nC34 average.

Linearity is within 15%.

Extraction and holding times were met for this sample.

Fractions 1-4 are quantified with the contribution of PAHs. Under Ontario Regulation 153, results are considered valid without determining the PAH contribution if not requested by the client.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:

DATE REPORTED: 2018-11-13



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391)

O. Reg. 153(511) - VOCs (Water)

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-20								DA	TE REPOR	TED: 2018-11-13	
				SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	MW1-101918		MW2-101918		MW3S-101918	MW3D-101918
					SAMPLE TYPE:	Water		Water		Water	Water
					DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-19		2018-10-19		2018-10-19	2018-10-19
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9641620	RDL	9641666	RDL	9641876	9641877
Dichlorodifluoromethane	μg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L		0.17	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.17	0.68	<0.68	0.17	<0.17	<0.17
Bromomethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L		0.40	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.40	1.60	<1.60	0.40	<0.40	<0.40
Acetone	µg/L		1.0	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<1.0	4.0	<4.0	1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,1-Dichloroethylene	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	1.20	<1.20	0.30	<0.30	< 0.30
Methylene Chloride	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	1.20	<1.20	0.30	<0.30	< 0.30
trans- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Methyl tert-butyl ether	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	1.20	<1.20	0.30	<0.30	< 0.30
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	µg/L		1.0	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<1.0	4.0	<4.0	1.0	<1.0	<1.0
cis- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Chloroform	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	1.20	<1.20	0.30	<0.30	<0.30
Carbon Tetrachloride	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Benzene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	0.49	<0.20
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Trichloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	µg/L		1.0	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<1.0	4.0	<4.0	1.0	<1.0	<1.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Toluene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Dibromochloromethane	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Ethylene Dibromide	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Tetrachloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Chlorobenzene	μg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	0.64	<0.10
Ethylbenzene	μg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
m & p-Xvlene	ua/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20

Certified By:

teus



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: 2865 DuMaurier

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-20						TED: 2018-11-13	ED: 2018-11-13			
			SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	MW1-101918		MW2-101918		MW3S-101918	MW3D-101918
				SAMPLE TYPE:	Water		Water		Water	Water
				DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-19		2018-10-19		2018-10-19	2018-10-19
Parameter	Unit	G/S RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9641620	RDL	9641666	RDL	9641876	9641877
Bromoform	μg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
Styrene	μg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	0.23	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
o-Xylene	μg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	0.79	<0.10
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.40	<0.40	0.10	<0.10	<0.10
1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	1.20	<1.20	0.30	<0.30	<0.30
Xylene Mixture	μg/L	0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
n-Hexane	µg/L	0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.80	<0.80	0.20	<0.20	<0.20
Surrogate	Unit	Acceptable Limits								
Toluene-d8	% Recovery	50-140	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	77		79		80	73
4-Bromofluorobenzene	% Recovery	50-140	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	108		90		109	91

O. Reg. 153(511) - VOCs (Water)

Certified By:

teus



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE: 2865 DuMaurier

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler O. Reg. 153(511) - VOCs (Water)

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-20									DATE REPORTED: 2018-11-13
				SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	MW4-101918	MW6-101918	TRIP BLANK	
					SAMPLE TYPE:	Water	Water	Water	
				I	DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9641878	9641879	9641881	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Vinyl Chloride	µg/L		0.17	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	
Bromomethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Trichlorofluoromethane	µg/L		0.40	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.40	<0.40	<0.40	
Acetone	µg/L		1.0	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	
Methylene Chloride	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	
trans- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
1,1-Dichloroethane	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	µg/L		1.0	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
cis- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.27	<0.20	
Chloroform	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	µg/L		0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	<0.30	<0.30	
Carbon Tetrachloride	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Benzene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.85	<0.20	
1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Trichloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	µg/L		1.0	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Toluene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	0.32	<0.20	
Dibromochloromethane	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Ethylene Dibromide	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Tetrachloroethylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Chlorobenzene	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	1.3	<0.10	
Ethylbenzene	µg/L		0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
m & p-Xylene	µg/L		0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	

Certified By:

teus



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier

O. Reg. 153(511) - VOCs (Water)

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-20								DATE REPORTED: 2018-11-13
			SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	MW4-101918	MW6-101918	TRIP BLANK	
				SAMPLE TYPE:	Water	Water	Water	
				DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	2018-10-19	
Parameter	Unit	G/S RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9641878	9641879	9641881	
Bromoform	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Styrene	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	0.54	<0.10	<0.10	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
o-Xylene	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	1.6	<0.10	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	0.10	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.10	0.14	<0.10	
1,3-Dichloropropene	µg/L	0.30	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.30	< 0.30	<0.30	
Xylene Mixture	µg/L	0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
n-Hexane	µg/L	0.20	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	<0.20	<0.20	<0.20	
Surrogate	Unit	Acceptable Limits						
Toluene-d8	% Recovery	50-140	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	76	73	90	
4-Bromofluorobenzene	% Recovery	50-140	2018-10-24	2018-10-25	107	107	81	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

9641666 Dilution factor=4

The sample was diluted because it was foamy. The reporting detection limit has been corrected for the dilution factor used.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:

teus



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) 5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

O. Reg. 153(511) - Metals & Inorganics (Water) DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-20 **DATE REPORTED: 2018-11-13** SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: MW1-101918 MW2-101918 MW3S-101918 MW3D-101918 SAMPLE TYPE: Water Water Water Water DATE SAMPLED: 2018-10-19 2018-10-19 2018-10-19 2018-10-19 G/S 9641620 9641666 RDL 9641876 RDL 9641877 Parameter Unit RDL **Date Prepared** Date Analyzed µg/L 1.0 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 <1.0 <1.0 1.0 <1.0 1.0 <1.0 Antimonv Arsenic µg/L 1.0 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 7.2 1.2 1.0 3.1 1.0 <1.0 747 2.0 Barium 2.0 2018-10-25 1190 2.0 680 493 µg/L 2018-10-25 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 Beryllium µg/L 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 < 0.5 Boron µg/L 10.0 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 26.3 240 10.0 58.4 10.0 20.0 0.2 Cadmium µg/L 0.2 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 < 0.2 < 0.2 0.2 < 0.2 < 0.2 Chromium µg/L 2.0 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 31.4 5.9 2.0 12.6 2.0 14.5 Cobalt µg/L 0.5 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 2.2 1.8 0.5 1.2 0.5 < 0.5 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 1.1 1.2 1.0 1.0 Copper µg/L 1.0 <1.0 2.2 Lead µg/L 0.5 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 < 0.5 < 0.5 0.5 <0.5 0.5 < 0.5 Molybdenum µg/L 0.5 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 0.6 0.8 0.5 1.5 0.5 1.3 Nickel 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 1.5 1.0 1.0 µg/L 1.0 1.1 <1.0 1.0 Selenium 1.7 1.0 1.0 µg/L 1.0 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 1.8 <1.0 <1.0 Silver 0.2 2018-10-25 <0.2 < 0.2 0.2 <0.2 0.2 < 0.2 µg/L 2018-10-25 Thallium µg/L 0.3 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 < 0.3 < 0.3 0.3 < 0.3 0.3 < 0.3 Uranium µg/L 0.5 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 0.8 <0.5 0.5 <0.5 0.5 1.5 Vanadium µg/L 0.4 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 4.7 1.1 0.4 3.3 0.4 4.1 Zinc µg/L 5.0 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 < 5.0 6.3 5.0 < 5.0 5.0 < 5.0 < 0.02 Mercury µg/L 0.02 2018-10-24 2018-10-24 < 0.02 0.02 < 0.02 0.02 < 0.02 5 Chromium VI µg/L 5 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 <5 <5 <5 5 <5 <2 <2 Cyanide µg/L 2 2018-10-26 2018-10-26 2 <2 2 <2 5000 2500 2500 Sodium 2018-10-29 2018-10-29 651000 1150000 384000 606000 µg/L Chloride µg/L 5000 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 1830000 2350000 2000 1150000 5000 1400000 Electrical Conductivity uS/cm 2 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 5560 7260 2 3630 2 4580 pН pH Units NA 2018-10-25 2018-10-25 6.80 7.26 NA 7.09 NA 7.57

Certified By:

Inis Verastegui



AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391)

ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.aqatlabs.com

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier

O. Reg. 153(511) - Metals & Inorganics (Water)

DATE RECEIVED: 2018-10-	-20						[DATE REPORTED: 2018-11-13
			SAMPL	E DESCRIPTION:	MW4-101918		MW6-101918	
				SAMPLE TYPE:	Water		Water	
				DATE SAMPLED:	2018-10-19		2018-10-19	
Parameter	Unit	G/S RDL	Date Prepared	Date Analyzed	9641878	RDL	9641879	
Antimony	μg/L	1.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<1.0	1.0	<1.0	
Arsenic	μg/L	1.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<1.0	1.0	<1.0	
Barium	µg/L	2.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	1110	2.0	688	
Beryllium	µg/L	0.5	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<0.5	0.5	<0.5	
Boron	μg/L	10.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	76.9	10.0	62.8	
Cadmium	μg/L	0.2	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<0.2	0.2	<0.2	
Chromium	μg/L	2.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	14.7	2.0	10.0	
Cobalt	μg/L	0.5	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	0.5	0.5	1.3	
Copper	μg/L	1.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<1.0	1.0	<1.0	
Lead	μg/L	0.5	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<0.5	0.5	<0.5	
Molybdenum	μg/L	0.5	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	1.0	0.5	1.8	
Nickel	μg/L	1.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<1.0	1.0	<1.0	
Selenium	μg/L	1.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<1.0	1.0	<1.0	
Silver	μg/L	0.2	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<0.2	0.2	<0.2	
Thallium	μg/L	0.3	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<0.3	0.3	<0.3	
Uranium	μg/L	0.5	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<0.5	0.5	<0.5	
Vanadium	μg/L	0.4	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	4.7	0.4	2.8	
Zinc	μg/L	5.0	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<5.0	5.0	6.0	
Mercury	μg/L	0.02	2018-10-24	2018-10-24	<0.02	0.02	<0.02	
Chromium VI	μg/L	5	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	<5	5	<5	
Cyanide	μg/L	2	2018-10-26	2018-10-26	<2	2	<2	
Sodium	μg/L	2500	2018-10-29	2018-10-29	214000	2500	385000	
Chloride	μg/L	1000	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	472000	2000	1130000	
Electrical Conductivity	uS/cm	2	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	2000	2	3640	
рН	pH Units	NA	2018-10-25	2018-10-25	7.34	NA	7.12	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

9641620-9641879 Elevated RDLs indicate the degree of sample dilutions prior to the analysis to keep analytes within the calibration range, reduce matrix interference and/or to avoid contaminating the instrument. Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)

Certified By:

Iris Verastegui



Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: 2865 DuMaurier

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

Trace Organics Analysis

			9				,								
RPT Date: Nov 13, 2018			DUPLICATE			REFERENCE MATERIAL		METHOD BLANK SPIKE			MAT	RIX SPI	KE		
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured	Acce Lir	ptable nits	Recovery	Acce Lir	eptable nits	Recovery	Acce Lir	ptable nits
							Value	Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper
O. Reg. 153(511) - VOCs (Water)															
Dichlorodifluoromethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	77%	50%	140%	104%	50%	140%	96%	50%	140%
Vinyl Chloride	9641620	9641620	< 0.17	< 0.17	NA	< 0.17	75%	50%	140%	81%	50%	140%	75%	50%	140%
Bromomethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	79%	50%	140%	79%	50%	140%	71%	50%	140%
Trichlorofluoromethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.40	< 0.40	NA	< 0.40	71%	50%	140%	107%	50%	140%	87%	50%	140%
Acetone	9641620	9641620	< 1.0	< 1.0	NA	< 1.0	108%	50%	140%	111%	50%	140%	111%	50%	140%
1,1-Dichloroethylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.30	< 0.30	NA	< 0.30	81%	50%	140%	114%	60%	130%	119%	50%	140%
Methylene Chloride	9641620	9641620	< 0.30	< 0.30	NA	< 0.30	105%	50%	140%	114%	60%	130%	100%	50%	140%
trans- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	98%	50%	140%	105%	60%	130%	109%	50%	140%
Methyl tert-butyl ether	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	107%	50%	140%	98%	60%	130%	83%	50%	140%
1,1-Dichloroethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.30	< 0.30	NA	< 0.30	110%	50%	140%	102%	60%	130%	115%	50%	140%
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	9641620	9641620	< 1.0	< 1.0	NA	< 1.0	75%	50%	140%	112%	50%	140%	115%	50%	140%
cis- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	105%	50%	140%	114%	60%	130%	108%	50%	140%
Chloroform	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	119%	50%	140%	113%	60%	130%	107%	50%	140%
1,2-Dichloroethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	119%	50%	140%	110%	60%	130%	105%	50%	140%
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.30	< 0.30	NA	< 0.30	109%	50%	140%	116%	60%	130%	80%	50%	140%
Carbon Tetrachloride	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	114%	50%	140%	113%	60%	130%	102%	50%	140%
Benzene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	111%	50%	140%	109%	60%	130%	102%	50%	140%
1,2-Dichloropropane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	106%	50%	140%	118%	60%	130%	98%	50%	140%
Trichloroethylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	106%	50%	140%	112%	60%	130%	87%	50%	140%
Bromodichloromethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	109%	50%	140%	116%	60%	130%	95%	50%	140%
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	9641620	9641620	< 1.0	< 1.0	NA	< 1.0	106%	50%	140%	111%	50%	140%	107%	50%	140%
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	109%	50%	140%	92%	60%	130%	78%	50%	140%
Toluene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	98%	50%	140%	98%	60%	130%	81%	50%	140%
Dibromochloromethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	100%	50%	140%	92%	60%	130%	79%	50%	140%
Ethylene Dibromide	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	101%	50%	140%	92%	60%	130%	81%	50%	140%
Tetrachloroethylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	106%	50%	140%	101%	60%	130%	83%	50%	140%
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	115%	50%	140%	89%	60%	130%	74%	50%	140%
Chlorobenzene	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	106%	50%	140%	93%	60%	130%	77%	50%	140%
Ethylbenzene	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	100%	50%	140%	89%	60%	130%	72%	50%	140%
m & p-Xylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	107%	50%	140%	95%	60%	130%	77%	50%	140%
Bromoform	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	107%	50%	140%	78%	60%	130%	89%	50%	140%
Styrene	9641620	9641620	0.23	0.23	NA	< 0.10	116%	50%	140%	108%	60%	130%	88%	50%	140%
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	98%	50%	140%	104%	60%	130%	92%	50%	140%
o-Xylene	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	112%	50%	140%	96%	60%	130%	74%	50%	140%
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	114%	50%	140%	94%	60%	130%	73%	50%	140%
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	110%	50%	140%	91%	60%	130%	79%	50%	140%
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	9641620	9641620	< 0.10	< 0.10	NA	< 0.10	107%	50%	140%	85%	60%	130%	70%	50%	140%
1,3-Dichloropropene	9641620	9641620	< 0.30	< 0.30	NA	< 0.30	95%	50%	140%	86%	60%	130%	81%	50%	140%
n-Hexane	9641620	9641620	< 0.20	< 0.20	NA	< 0.20	97%	50%	140%	76%	60%	130%	109%	50%	140%

AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V2)

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.

Page 9 of 14



Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: 2865 DuMaurier

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

Trace Organics Analysis (Continued)

RPT Date: Nov 13, 2018			DUPLICATE				REFERENCE MATERIAL			METHOD	BLANK	SPIKE	MATRIX SPIKE		
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured Value	Accep Lim	otable iits	Recoverv	Acceptable Limits		Recoverv	Acceptable Limits	
	Baton							Lower	Upper	,	Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper

O. Reg. 153(511) - PHCs F1 - F4 (-BTEX) (Water)

e ()	. , . ,													
F1 (C6 - C10)	9641876 9641876	< 25	< 25	NA	< 25	96%	60%	140%	98%	60%	140%	94%	60%	140%
F2 (C10 to C16)	TW	< 100	< 100	NA	< 100	104%	60%	140%	76%	60%	140%	72%	60%	140%
F3 (C16 to C34)	TW	< 100	< 100	NA	< 100	102%	60%	140%	105%	60%	140%	85%	60%	140%
F4 (C34 to C50)	TW	< 100	< 100	NA	< 100	92%	60%	140%	93%	60%	140%	90%	60%	140%

Comments: Tap water analysis has been performed as QC sample testing for duplicate and matrix spike due to insufficient sample volume. When the average of the sample and duplicate results is less than 5x the RDL, the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) will be indicated as Not Applicable (NA).

O. Reg. 153(511) - PHCs F1 - F4 (-BTEX) (Water)

F1 (C6 - C10)	9641879 9641879	< 25	< 25	NA	< 25	99%	60%	140%	88%	60%	140%	94%	60%	140%
F2 (C10 to C16)	TW	< 100	< 100	NA	< 100	92%	60%	140%	78%	60%	140%	77%	60%	140%
F3 (C16 to C34)	TW	< 100	< 100	NA	< 100	94%	60%	140%	105%	60%	140%	95%	60%	140%
F4 (C34 to C50)	TW	< 100	< 100	NA	< 100	86%	60%	140%	101%	60%	140%	90%	60%	140%

Certified By:

wg

AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V2)

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.

Page 10 of 14


5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391)

SAMPLING SITE: 2865 DuMaurier

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS

SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

Water Analysis

RPT Date: Nov 13, 2018			DUPLICATE			REFERENCE MATERIAL		METHOD BLANK SPIKE			MATRIX SPIKE				
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured Value	Acceptable Limits		Recovery	Acceptable Limits		Recoverv	Acceptable Limits	
								Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper
O. Reg. 153(511) - Metals & Inorg	anics (Wa	ter)													
Antimony	9641620	9641620	<1.0	<1.0	NA	< 1.0	101%	70%	130%	101%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%
Arsenic	9641620	9641620	7.2	8.2	13.0%	< 1.0	100%	70%	130%	103%	80%	120%	106%	70%	130%
Barium	9641620	9641620	747	706	5.6%	< 2.0	98%	70%	130%	104%	80%	120%	101%	70%	130%
Beryllium	9641620	9641620	<0.5	<0.5	NA	< 0.5	98%	70%	130%	96%	80%	120%	112%	70%	130%
Boron	9641620	9641620	26.3	25.1	NA	< 10.0	99%	70%	130%	99%	80%	120%	117%	70%	130%
Cadmium	9641620	9641620	<0.2	<0.2	NA	< 0.2	101%	70%	130%	103%	80%	120%	99%	70%	130%
Chromium	9641620	9641620	31.4	29.6	5.9%	< 2.0	99%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Cobalt	9641620	9641620	2.2	2.1	NA	< 0.5	101%	70%	130%	101%	80%	120%	98%	70%	130%
Copper	9641620	9641620	1.1	1.2	NA	< 1.0	100%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Lead	9641620	9641620	<0.5	<0.5	NA	< 0.5	104%	70%	130%	103%	80%	120%	94%	70%	130%
Molybdenum	9641620	9641620	0.6	0.6	NA	< 0.5	102%	70%	130%	101%	80%	120%	102%	70%	130%
Nickel	9641620	9641620	1.5	1.6	NA	< 1.0	99%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	93%	70%	130%
Selenium	9641620	9641620	1.8	5.3	NA	< 1.0	99%	70%	130%	99%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Silver	9641620	9641620	<0.2	<0.2	NA	< 0.2	101%	70%	130%	110%	80%	120%	96%	70%	130%
Thallium	9641620	9641620	<0.3	<0.3	NA	< 0.3	102%	70%	130%	102%	80%	120%	93%	70%	130%
Uranium	9641620	9641620	0.8	0.7	NA	< 0.5	107%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	91%	70%	130%
Vanadium	9641620	9641620	4.7	4.4	6.6%	< 0.4	100%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
Zinc	9641620	9641620	<5.0	<5.0	NA	< 5.0	101%	70%	130%	99%	80%	120%	92%	70%	130%
Mercury	9641620	9641620	<0.02	<0.02	NA	< 0.02	98%	70%	130%	99%	80%	120%	102%	70%	130%
Chromium VI	9641877	9641877	<5	<5	NA	< 5	102%	70%	130%	101%	80%	120%	101%	70%	130%
Cyanide	9641666	9641666	<2	<2	NA	< 2	97%	70%	130%	97%	80%	120%	79%	70%	130%
Sodium	9641879	9641879	385000	383000	0.5%	< 500	96%	70%	130%	96%	80%	120%	90%	70%	130%
Chloride	9641878	9641878	472000	460000	2.6%	< 100	95%	70%	130%	103%	70%	130%	95%	70%	130%
Electrical Conductivity	9641620	9641620	5560	5790	4.1%	< 2	97%	90%	110%						
рН	9641620	9641620	6.80	6.88	1.2%	NA	99%	90%	110%						

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.

Duplicate Qualifier: As the measured result approaches the RL, the uncertainty associated with the value increases dramatically, thus duplicate acceptance limits apply only where the average of the two duplicates is greater than five times the RL.

Certified By:

Inis Verastegui

AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V2)

Page 11 of 14

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation.



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier

AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE
Trace Organics Analysis			
F1 (C6 - C10)	VOL-91- 5010	MOE PHC E3421	(P&T)GC/FID
F1 (C6 to C10) minus BTEX	VOL-91-5010	MOE PHC E3421	(P&T)GC/FID
F2 (C10 to C16)	VOL-91-5010	MOE PHC E3421	GC / FID
F3 (C16 to C34)	VOL-91-5010	MOE PHC E3421	GC / FID
F4 (C34 to C50)	VOL-91-5010	MOE PHC E3421	GC / FID
Gravimetric Heavy Hydrocarbons	VOL-91-5010	MOE PHC E3421	BALANCE
Terphenyl	VOL-91-5010		GC/FID
Dichlorodifluoromethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Vinyl Chloride	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Bromomethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Trichlorofluoromethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Acetone	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1-Dichloroethylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Methylene Chloride	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
trans- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Methyl tert-butyl ether	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1-Dichloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
cis- 1,2-Dichloroethylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Chloroform	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,2-Dichloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Carbon Tetrachloride	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Benzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,2-Dichloropropane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Trichloroethylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Bromodichloromethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Toluene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Dibromochloromethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Ethylene Dibromide	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Tetrachloroethylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Chlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Ethylbenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
m & p-Xylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Bromoform	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Styrene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
o-Xylene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
1,3-Dichloropropene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Xylene Mixture	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
n-Hexane	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
Toluene-d8	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS
4-Bromofluorobenzene	VOL-91-5001	EPA SW-846 5030 & 8260	(P&T)GC/MS



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: GHD LIMITED

PROJECT: 11181273 (PO# 73513391) SAMPLING SITE:2865 DuMaurier AGAT WORK ORDER: 18Z399894 ATTENTION TO: LUKE LOPERS SAMPLED BY:S. Wheeler

PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE
Water Analysis	I		
Antimony	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Arsenic	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Barium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Beryllium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Boron	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Cadmium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Chromium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Cobalt	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Copper	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Lead	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Molybdenum	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Nickel	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Selenium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Silver	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Thallium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Uranium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Vanadium	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Zinc	MET-93-6103	EPA SW-846 6020A & 200.8	ICP-MS
Mercury	MET-93-6100	EPA SW-846 7470 & 245.1	CVAAS
Chromium VI	INOR-93-6034	SM 3500-Cr B	SPECTROPHOTOMETER
Cyanide	INOR-93-6052	MOE METHOD CN- 3015 & SM 4500 CN- I	TECHNICON AUTO ANALYZER
Sodium	MET-93-6105	EPA SW-846 6010C & 200.7	ICP/OES
Chloride	INOR-93-6004	SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH
Electrical Conductivity	INOR-93-6000	SM 2510 B	PC TITRATE
рН	INOR-93-6000	SM 4500-H+ B	PC TITRATE

Chain of Custody Record If this is a Drinking Water sample, please	Laboratory Use Only Mississauga, Ontario L4Z 1Y2 Mississauga, Ontario L4Z 1Y2 Ph: 905.712.5100 Fax: 905.712.5122 webearth.agatlabs.com a use Drinking Water Chain of Custody Form (potable water consumed by humans)	109			
Report Information: Company: GHD Contact: LNK2 LOPERS Address: 179 colonnade RD # 4000 Ottama ON Phone: Fax: Reports to be sent to: LNK2. Lopers@GHD.Con 1. Email: LNK2. Lopers@GHD.Con	Regulatory Requirements: No Regulatory Requirement (Please check all applicable boxes) Regulation 153/04 Sewer Use Table Indicate One Ind/Com Sanitary Res./Park Storm Prov. Water Quality Objectives (PWQO) Soil Texture (check One) Region Indicate One Indicate One Indicate One Other	L-T. 2.4 2.6 2.0 Custody Seal Intact: Yes No Notes: On On Turnaround Time (TAT) Required: Regular TAT 5 to 7 Business Days Rush TAT (Rush Surcharges Apply) 3 Business 2 Business Next Business			
2. Email: Project Information: Project: 11181273 Site Location: 2865 Dymaurier Sampled By: 5. wheeler	Coarse Days Days Days Fine MISA Indicate One OR Date Required (Rush Surcharges May Apply) Is this submission for a Report Guideline on OR Date Required (Rush Surcharges May Apply) Is this submission for a Report Guideline on Please provide prior notification for rush TAT Yes No Yes No	: lays CPM			
AGAT Quote #: PO: 73513391 Please note: If quotation number is not provided, client will be billed full price for analysis. Invoice Information: Bill To Same: Yes No Company: Contact: Address: Email:	Sample Matrix Fedend 0. B Biota GM Ground Mater O Oil A DB-HWS D Oil B Biota GM Ground Mater O Oil A DB-HWS D Oil B Bist S Soil D ON/Clustom Metals S Soil D DNu, Trkin D ON/Clustom Metals S Soil D DNu, Trkin D DNu, Trkin S Soil S Soil D DNu, Trkin S Soil S Soil D DNu, Trkin D DNu, Trkin S Soil D DNu, Trkin S Soil D Nu, Trkin S Soil S Soil <td< td=""><td></td></td<>				
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Mple Comments/ Special Instructions Y/N Wetage I <td></td>				
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Anthony O'Brien Surreles Belinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Date	3 Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): 3 Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): 3 Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): 3 Date 3 Date 3 Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): 3 Date 4 23 3 Date 4 23 3 Date 4 23 3 Date 10 Date 11 Date 12 Date 13 Page 14 Date	46 2018			



about GHD

GHD is one of the world's leading professional services companies operating in the global markets of water, energy and resources, environment, property and buildings, and transportation. We provide engineering, environmental, and construction services to private and public sector clients.

Ryan Vanden Tillaart Ryan.VandenTillaart@ghd.com 613.727.0510

Luke Lopers Luke.Lopers@ghd.com 612.727.0510

www.ghd.com

Appendix E External Reports

E.4 PHASE I ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT (LOPERS & ASSOCIATES 2021)

(Report Attached Separately)



Appendix F Drawings

Appendix F DRAWINGS