

Geotechnical Investigation

Proposed Residential Development

Cardinal Creek Village South Old Montreal Road Ottawa, Ontario

Taggart Investments

Report PG5201-1 Revision 7 dated October 17, 2024



Table of Contents

	PAGE
1.0	Introduction1
2.0	Proposed Development1
3.0	Method of Investigation2
3.1	Field Investigation2
3.2	Field Survey
3.3	Laboratory Testing4
3.4	Analytical Testing4
4.0	Observations5
4.1	Surface Conditions5
4.2	Subsurface Profile5
4.3	Groundwater7
5.0	Discussion8
5.1	Geotechnical Assessment8
5.2	Site Grading and Preparation8
5.3	Foundation Design
5.4	Design for Earthquakes12
5.5	Basement Slab / Slab-on-Grade Construction12
5.6	Pavement Structure
6.0	Design and Construction Precautions15
6.1	Foundation Drainage and Backfill15
6.2	Protection of Footings Against Frost Action15
6.3	Excavation Side Slopes15
6.4	Pipe Bedding and Backfill17
6.5	Groundwater Control19
6.6	Winter Construction19
6.7	Corrosion Potential and Sulphate20
6.8	Limit of Hazard Lands
6.9	Landscaping Considerations
7.0	Recommendations29
8.0	Statement of Limitations



Appendices

 Appendix 1 Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets Symbols and Terms Grain Size Distribution Analysis Results Atterberg Limit Testing Results Analytical Testing Results
 Appendix 2 Figure 1 - Key Plan Photographs from Site Visits – 2012, 2020, and 2023 Figures 6 to 41 - Slope Stability Sections Figure 42 - Slope In-Filling Detail Drawing PG5201-1 - Bedrock Contour Plan Drawing PG5201-2 - Test Hole Location Plan

Drawing PG5792-3 - Permissible Grade Raise Restriction Areas



1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Taggart Investments to conduct a geotechnical investigation for Cardinal Creek Village South residential development to be located along Old Montreal Road in the City of Ottawa (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan presented in Appendix 2).

The objectives of the geotechnical investigation were to:

determine the subsoil and groundwater conditions at the site by means of test holes.

provide geotechnical recommendations for the design of the proposed development based on the results of the test holes and other soil information available. These recommendations include permissible grade raises, long term settlements and other construction considerations which may affect the design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. The report contains the geotechnical findings and includes recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as understood at the time of writing this report.

Investigating the presence or potential presence of contamination on the subject property was not part of the scope of work of the present investigation. Therefore, the present report does not address environmental issues.

2.0 **Proposed Development**

Based on the available drawings, it is understood that the proposed development will consist of single and townhouse style residential dwellings with basement or slab-on-grade construction, attached garages, associated driveways, local roadways and landscaped areas. The construction of schools, a park, and a storm water management pond are also included in the proposed development.

It is further anticipated that the proposed development will be serviced by future municipal water, sanitary and storm services.



3.0 Method of Investigation

3.1 Field Investigation

Field Program

Paterson has undertaken several geotechnical investigations throughout the subject site. The initial portion of the geotechnical investigation for the overall development was carried out between January 22 and 26, 2009. At that time, seven (7) boreholes were advanced within the subject site to depths varying between 0.7 and 9.4 m below ground surface. Supplemental investigations were completed in April and June 2012, and January and February 2013. At that time, thirty-two (32) additional boreholes were advanced to depths varying between 0.8 and 10.0 m below ground surface.

Additional geotechnical investigations were carried out in December 2012 and between February and March 2021 and consisted of excavating a total of fifty-four (54) test pits. The test holes were advanced within the subject site to depths between 0.7 and 6.5 m below the existing ground surface. A bedrock delineation program consisting of advancing probeholes to the bedrock surface was also carried out in November 2019 to assess the overburden thickness across the subject site.

The test hole locations were placed in a manner to provide general coverage taking into consideration site access, features and underground utilities. The test hole locations were determined by Paterson personnel and surveyed in the field by Paterson or Stantec Geomatics. It is understood that all test hole elevations are referred to a geodetic datum. The test hole locations for the investigations are presented on Drawing PG5201-2 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

The boreholes and probeholes were completed using a track mounted drill rig operated by a two-person crew. The test pits were excavated using a rubber-tired backhoe or a hydraulic shovel. All fieldwork was conducted under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel under the direction of a senior engineer from the geotechnical division. The testing procedure consisted of augering or excavating to the required depths and at the selected locations sampling and testing the overburden.



Sampling and In Situ Testing

Soil samples were collected from the boreholes using a 50 mm diameter splitspoon sampler, from the auger flights, or using a 73 mm diameter thin walled Shelby tubes in conjunction with a piston sampler. Grab samples were also collected along the excavated sidewalls of the test pits. All samples were visually inspected and initially classified on site. The auger, grab and split-spoon samples were placed in sealed plastic bags and the Shelby tubes were sealed at both ends on site and protected from disturbances over the entire process.

All samples were transported to our laboratory for further examination and classification. The depths at which the auger, grab, split spoon and Shelby tube samples were recovered from the test holes are shown as AU, G, SS and TW, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

Undrained shear strength testing was carried out in cohesive soils using a field vane apparatus.

Subsurface conditions observed in the test holes were recorded in detail in the field. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profile encountered at the test hole locations.

Groundwater

Boreholes were fitted with flexible piezometers to allow groundwater level monitoring. Groundwater conditions were also noted upon completion of the test pits. The groundwater observations are presented in the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 and discussed in Subsection 4.3.

3.2 Field Survey

The test hole locations were selected by Paterson to provide general coverage of the current phase of the residential development taking into consideration existing site features and underground utilities. The test hole locations and ground surface elevation at each test hole location were surveyed by Paterson or provided by Stantec Geomatics Ltd.



All ground surface elevations at the test hole locations are understood to be referenced to a geodetic datum. The test hole locations and ground surface elevations at the test hole locations are presented on Drawing PG5201-2 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

3.3 Laboratory Testing

The soil samples recovered from the subject site were visually examined in our laboratory to review the results of the field logging. A total of 17 soil samples collected from the test holes were submitted for Atterbeg Limits Testing and a total of 5 soil samples were submitted for grain size distribution analysis. Moisture content analysis was also completed on the majority of the test holes. The results of this testing are provided in Appendix 1 and discussed in Subsection 4.2.

3.4 Analytical Testing

One (1) soil sample was submitted for analytical testing to assess the corrosion potential for exposed ferrous metals and the potential of sulphate attacks against subsurface concrete structures by Paterson. The sample was submitted to determine the concentration of sulphate and chloride, the resistivity, and the pH of the samples. The results are presented in Appendix 1 and are discussed further in Subsection 6.7.



4.0 Observations

4.1 Surface Conditions

The subject site consists mostly of undeveloped agricultural lands with several areas covered with trees and mature vegetation. The study area was observed to be intersected by a series of tributary ravines, which drain into Cardinal Creek. Piles of granular and crushed material have been observed on the west portion of the property and north of the main tributary ravine.

The ground surface across the subject site slopes downward gradually from east to west, and in general towards the tributary ravines. The slopes of the ravines were noted to be treed and stable based on our most recent site visit. Some signs of toe erosion were noted throughout where the watercourse is in close proximity to the valley corridor wall.

Due to the presence of the tributary ravines within the subject site, a slope stability assessment was carried out considering the slope conditions present in the subject site and along the sidewalls of the aforementioned watercourses. The results of the slope stability analysis are discussed further in Subsection 6.8 of this report.

4.2 Subsurface Profile

Overburden

Generally, the overburden profile consisted of topsoil, fill and/or asphaltic concrete underlain by a stiff to very stiff silty clay layer followed by a glacial till deposit. The fill was mostly encountered in the boreholes located next to Old Montreal Road. Where encountered, the existing fill layer was observed to extend to ranges between 0.7 and 1.4 m in depth. The fill generally consisted of crushed stone followed by brown silty sand with clay, gravel, and cobbles.

The surficial layer of topsoil and/or fill was observed to be underlain by a silty clay deposit. The upper portion of the silty clay has been weathered to a brown desiccated crust. In situ shear vane field tests carried out within the silty clay crust yielded peak undisturbed shear strength values between 80 and 249 kPa. These values reflect a stiff to hard consistency in the silty clay crust.

Unweathered, grey silty clay was encountered below the brown silty clay crust. The silty clay deposit was observed to present a thickness in excess of 9 m at the west portion of the subject site and thinning out towards the east.



Glacial till was observed underlying the above-noted deposits at most locations at the subject site. The fine matrix of the glacial till generally consisted of silty clay with varying amounts of sand. Gravel, cobbles, and boulders were also present throughout the glacial till deposit at the subject site.

Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location.

Laboratory Testing

Atterberg Limits and Moisture Content Test

Atterberg limits testing, as well as associated moisture content testing, was completed on the recovered silty clay samples at selected locations throughout the subject site. The results of the Atterberg limits tests are presented in Table 1 and on the Atterberg Limits Results sheet in Appendix 1. The test samples classify as inorganic clays of high plasticity (CH) and inorganic silts of high plasticity (MH), in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

Table 1 – Atterberg Limits Results										
Borehole	Sample	Depth (m)	LL (%)	PL (%)	PI (%)	w (%)	Classification			
TP 1-21	G4	2.0	61	31	30	36.0	СН			
TP 3-21	G3	1.9	69	31	38	40.7	СН			
TP 4-21	G3	1.1	57	32	25	37.6	MH			
TP 5-21	G3	2.1	73	37	36	45.0	MH			
TP 6-21	G2	0.9	63	34	29	42.3	MH			
TP 7-21	G3	0.7	59	32	27	38.5	MH			
TP 8-21	G3	1.0	70	44	26	49.7	MH			
TP 9-21	G2	0.6	58	32	26	23.6	MH			
TP 10-21	G4	1.5	60	33	27	35.8	MH			
TP 11-21	G4	2.1	65	35	30	43.6	MH			
TP 12-21	G2	0.8	75	37	38	37.4	MH			
TP 16-21	G1	0.3	57	29	28	36.9	СН			
TP 17-21	G1	0.6	65	36	29	39.9	MH			
TP 17-21	G2	1.3	57	31	26	35.1	MH			
TP 18-21	G1	0.4	66	36	30	35.5	MH			
TP 19-21	G2	1.5	61	32	29	32.9	MH			
TP 20-21	G1	1.0	76	39	37	39.2	MH			
	•	L: Plastic Limit; / of High Plastic				ticity				

Shrinkage Test

The results of the shrinkage limit test indicate a shrinkage limit of 22% and a shrinkage ratio of 1.71.



Grain Size Distribution Results

Grain size distribution (sieve and hydrometer analysis) was also completed on selected soil samples. The results of the grain size analysis are summarized in Table 2 and presented on the Grain-Size Distribution and Hydrometer Testing Results sheets in Appendix 1.

Table 2 – Summary of Grain Size Distribution Analysis										
Borehole	Sample	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)					
TP 2-21	G4	0.0	0.2	27.3	72.5					
TP 7-21	G3	0.0	14.4	29.6	56.0					
TP 10-21	G4	0.0	14.4	31.2	67.5					
TP 12-21	G2	0.0	2.7	26.8	70.5					
TP 18-21	G1	0.0	15.4	34.1	50.5					

Bedrock

Based on available geological mapping, the depth to bedrock across the site generally ranges from ground surface to 25 m. The depth to bedrock throughout the western portion of the tributary creek has been mapped to range between 15 to 25 m. Limestone of the Bobcaygeon formation is located throughout the majority of the subject site, with the exception of the western portion which is underlain by interbedded limestone and dolomite of the Gull River formation.

Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for the details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location and Drawing PG5201-1 - Bedrock Contour Plan in Appendix 2 for approximate bedrock contours based on refusal elevations.

4.3 Groundwater

Groundwater levels were recorded at each borehole instrumented with a piezometer and as noted upon completion of the test pits. The long-term groundwater can be estimated based on these observations, recovered soil samples' moisture levels, and observed colouring and consistency of the recovered samples. Based on these observations, the long-term groundwater level is anticipated at a depth of approximately 3 to 4 m below ground surface.

The recorded groundwater levels are noted on the applicable Soil Profile and Test Data sheet presented in Appendix 1. It should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations and could vary at the time of construction.



5.0 Discussion

5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is adequate for the proposed residential development. It is expected that the proposed buildings will be founded on conventional spread footings placed on an undisturbed, silty clay, glacial till, engineered fill and/or surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface.

Due to the presence of a silty clay layer, proposed grading throughout the subject site will be subjected to a permissible grade raise restriction. Our permissible grade raise recommendations are discussed in Subsection 5.3. Should existing grades be raised at the site for the proposed development, it is expected that several options, such as engineered fill or well graded blast rock, would act as suitable subgrade material for the proposed buildings provided the material is adequately placed and approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of placement.

It is anticipated that some bedrock removal will be required for basement construction and site servicing activities. All contractors should be prepared for bedrock removal within the subject site.

Follow-up site visits have been completed to review the slope conditions along the tributary ravine slopes to confirm that our original Limit of Hazard Lands recommendations are still applicable for the subject slopes. Photographs from our site visits are presented in Appendix 2.

The above and other considerations are discussed in the following paragraphs.

5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

Stripping Depth

Topsoil, and any deleterious fill, such as those containing significant amounts of organic materials, should be stripped from under any buildings, paved areas, pipe bedding and other settlement sensitive structures. Care should be taken not to disturb adequate bearing soils below the founding level during site preparation activities. Disturbance of the subgrade may result in having to sub-excavate the disturbed material and the placement of additional suitable fill material.

Due to the relatively shallow bedrock depth at the east portion of the subject site, bedrock removal might be required for basement construction and site servicing activities.



Fill Placement

Fill placed for grading beneath the building areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. The imported fill material should be tested and approved prior to delivery.

Non-specified existing fill along with site-excavated soil can be placed as general landscaping fill where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern. These materials should be spread in thin lifts compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. If the material is to be placed to increase the subgrade level for areas to be paved, the fill should be compacted in maximum 300 mm lifts and compacted to 95% of the material's SPMDD. Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for placement as backfill against foundation walls unless a composite drainage blanket connected to a perimeter drainage system is provided.

Where blast rock it to be used as fill to build up the bearing medium, it should be suitably fragmented to produce a well-graded material with a maximum particle size of 400 mm placed in maximum 600 mm loose lifts and compacted using a large smooth drum vibratory roller making several passes per lift and approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of placement. Any blast rock greater than 400 mm in diameter should be segregated and hoe rammed into acceptable fragments. The blast rock fill should be capped with a minimum of 300 mm of Granular B Type II or Granular A crushed stone material and should be compacted to at least 98% of its SPMDD.

Bedrock Removal

In areas where shallow bedrock is encountered, and only a small quantity of bedrock is to be removed, bedrock removal may be possible by hoe-ramming. However, dependent on the quantity and condition of the bedrock, line-drilling in conjunction with hoe-ramming may be required to remove the bedrock. All contractors should be prepared for bedrock removal within the subject site.

Vibration Considerations

Construction operations could cause vibrations, and possibly, sources of nuisance to the community. Therefore, means to reduce the vibration levels as much as possible should be incorporated in the construction operations to maintain a cooperative environment with the residents.



The following construction equipment could cause vibrations: hoe ram, compactor, dozer, truck traffic, etc. Vibrations caused by construction operations could cause detrimental vibrations on the adjoining buildings and structures. Therefore, it is recommended that all vibrations be limited.

Two parameters determine the recommended vibration limit: the maximum peak particle velocity and the frequency. As a guideline, the peak particle velocity should be less than 15 mm/s between frequencies of 4 to 12 Hz, and 50 mm/s above a frequency of 40 Hz (interpolate between 12 and 40 Hz). These guidelines are for current construction standards. These guidelines are above perceptible human level and, in some cases, could be very disturbing to some people. A preconstruction survey is recommended to minimize the risks of claims during or following the construction of the proposed buildings.

5.3 Foundation Design

Bearing Resistance Values

Strip footings, up to 2 m wide, and pad footings, up to 4 m wide, placed on an undisturbed, stiff silty clay bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at serviceability limit states (SLS) of **150 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ultimate limit states (ULS) of **225 kPa**.

Footings placed on an undisturbed, compact silty sand and/or glacial till bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **150 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **225 kPa**.

An undisturbed soil bearing surface consists of a surface from which all topsoil and deleterious materials, such as loose, frozen or disturbed soil, whether in situ or not, have been removed, in the dry, prior to the placement of concrete for footings.

Footings placed on clean, surface-sounded bedrock can be designed using a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **500 kPa**. A clean, weathered bedrock surface consists of one from which all topsoil, soils, deleterious materials and loose rock have been removed prior to concrete placement.

Footings placed over an approved engineered fill bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **150 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **225 kPa**.

A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the above noted bearing resistance value at ULS.



Settlement

Footings designed using the bearing resistance value at SLS given above will be subjected to potential post construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively. A post-development groundwater lowering of 0.5 m was assumed.

Footings bearing on an acceptable bearing surface and designed for the bearing resistance value provided herein will be subjected to negligible potential postconstruction total and differential settlement.

Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to a silty sand, clayey silt, silty clay, glacial till or engineered fill bearing medium above the groundwater table when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edges of the footing, at a minimum of 1.5H:1V, passes only through in situ soil of the same or higher capacity as that of the bearing medium.

Adequate lateral support is provided to a sound bedrock bearing medium when a plane extending horizontally and vertically from the footing perimeter at a minimum of 1H:6V (or shallower) passing through sound bedrock or a material of the same or higher capacity as the bedrock, such as concrete.

A heavily fractured, weathered bedrock will require a lateral support zone of 1H:1V, or flatter.

Permissible Grade Raise

Based on our soil information for the subject site, a **2.0 m** permissible grade raise restriction is recommended for areas where the silty clay deposit is located below design footing level and in the immediate area of settlement sensitive structures. However, in areas where the silty clay deposit is located below roads, a permissible grade raise restriction of **2.5 m** is recommended. Permissible grade raise areas are indicated on Drawing PG5201-3 – Permissible Grade Raise Restriction Area, included in Appendix 2 of this report.

If higher than permissible grade raises are required, preloading with or without a surcharge, lightweight fill, and/or other measures should be investigated to reduce the risks of unacceptable long-term post construction total and differential settlements.



5.4 Design for Earthquakes

The subject site can be taken as seismic site response **Class D** as defined in Table 4.1.8.4.A of the Ontario Building Code (OBC) 2012 for foundations considered at this site. A site specific shear wave velocity test may be completed to accurately determine the applicable seismic site classification for foundation design of the proposed residential development.

The soils underlying the site are not susceptible to liquefaction. Reference should be made to the latest revision of the Ontario Building Code for a full discussion of the earthquake design requirements.

5.5 Basement Slab / Slab-on-Grade Construction

With the removal of all topsoil and deleterious fill within the footprint of the proposed buildings, the native undisturbed soil surface or approved engineered fill surface will be considered to be an acceptable subgrade upon which to commence backfilling for floor slab construction.

Any soft areas should be removed and backfilled with appropriate backfill material prior to placing any fill. OPSS Granular B Types I or II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, are recommended for backfilling below the floor slab.

For structures with basements, it is recommended that the upper 200 mm of subfloor fill consist 19 mm clear crushed stone. For structures with slab-on-grade construction, the upper 200 mm of sub-slab fill is recommended to consist of OPSS Granular A crushed stone.

All backfill material within the footprint of the proposed buildings should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose layers and compacted to a minimum of 98% of SPMDD.

5.6 Pavement Structure

For design purposes, the pavement structure presented in the following tables could be used for the design of driveways, local residential streets and roadways with bus traffic. It should be noted that for residential driveways and car only parking areas, an Ontario Traffic Category A is applicable. For local roadways an Ontario Traffic Category B should be used for design purposes.



Table 3 - Recommended Asphalt Pavement Structure - Driveways									
Thickness (mm)	Material Description								
50	Wear Course - HL 3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete								
150	Base - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone								
300	Subbase - OPSS Granular B Type II								

Notes:

SUBGRADE - Either in situ soil or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil. Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this pavement structure.

Table 4 - Recommended Asphalt Pavement Structure - Local Residential Roadways								
Thickness (mm)	Material Description							
40	Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete							
50	Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete							
150	Base - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone							
400	Subbase - OPSS Granular B Type II							
Notes:								

SUBGRADE - Either in situ soil or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil. Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this pavement structure.

Table 5 - Recommended Asphalt Pavement Structure - Collector/Arterial Roadways with Bus Traffic										
Thickness (mm)	Material Description									
40	Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete									
50	Upper Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete									
50	Lower Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete									
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone									
600	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II									

Notes:

SUBGRADE - Either in situ soil or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil. Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 64-34 asphalt cement should be used for this pavement structure.



If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type I or II material. Weak subgrade conditions may be experienced over service trench fill materials. This may require the use of a geotextile, thicker subbase or other measures that can be recommended at the time of construction as part of the field observation program.

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for driveways and local roadways and PG 64-34 asphalt cement should be used for roadways with bus traffic. The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 100% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment.

Pavement Structure Drainage

Satisfactory performance of the pavement structure is largely dependent on the contact zone between the subgrade material and the base stone in a dry condition. Failure to provide adequate drainage under conditions of heavy wheel loading can result in the fine subgrade soil being pumped into the voids in the stone subbase, thereby reducing load carrying capacity.

Due to the low permeability of the subgrade materials consideration should be given to installing subdrains during the pavement construction as per City of Ottawa standards. The subdrain inverts should be approximately 300 mm below subgrade level. The subgrade surface should be crowned to promote water flow to the drainage lines.



6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

It is recommended that a perimeter foundation drainage system be provided for the proposed structures. The system should consist of a 100 to 150 mm diameter perforated and corrugated plastic pipe surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of 19 mm clear crushed stone, placed at the footing level around the exterior perimeter of the structure. The pipe should have a positive outlet, such as a gravity connection to the storm sewer.

Foundation Backfill

Backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of freedraining, non frost susceptible granular materials. The site materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill unless a composite drainage system is connected to a drainage system is provided.

6.2 Protection of Footings Against Frost Action

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effects of frost action. A minimum 1.5 m thick soil cover (or insulation equivalent) should be provided in this regard.

Other exterior unheated footings are more prone to deleterious movement associated with frost action. These should be provided with a minimum 2.1 m thick soil cover (or insulation equivalent).

6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

Open Excavation

The side slopes of the excavations in the soil and fill overburden materials should either be cut back at acceptable slopes or be retained by shoring systems from the beginning of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. It is assumed that sufficient room will be available for the greater part of the excavations to be undertaken by open-cut methods (i.e., unsupported excavations).



The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsoil at this site is considered to be mainly a Type 2 and 3 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

It is recommended that a dewatering program, such as a series of well points design and installed by a licensed contractor specialized in dewatering, be completed for service installation completed below the groundwater level for areas in non-cohesive soils.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be kept away from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

It is recommended that a trench box be used at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. It is expected that services will be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations will not be left open for extended periods of time.

Excavation Base Stability

The base of supported excavations can fail by three (3) general modes:

- □ Shear failure within the ground caused by inadequate resistance to loads imposed by grade difference inside and outside of the excavation,
- Piping from water seepage through granular soils, and
- Heave of layered soils due to water pressures confined by intervening low permeability soils.

Shear failure of excavation bases is typically rare in granular soils if adequate lateral support is provided. Inadequate dewatering can cause instability in excavations made through granular or layered soils. The potential for base heave in cohesive soils should be determined for stability of flexible retaining systems.

The factor of safety with respect to base heave, FS_b, is:

$$FS_b = N_b s_u / \sigma_z$$



where:

- N_{b} stability factor dependent upon the geometry of the excavation and provided in Figure 1 below.
- su undrained shear strength of the soil below the base level.
- σ_z total overburden and surcharge pressures at the bottom of the excavation.




Figure 1 – Stability Factors for Various Cut Geometries

In the case of soft to firm clays, a factor of safety of 2 is recommended for base stability.

6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

Bedding and backfill materials should be in accordance with the most recent Material Specifications and Standard Detail Drawings from the City of Ottawa.

The pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes placed on a relatively dry, undisturbed subgrade surface should consist of a minimum of 150 mm of OPSS Granular A crushed stone. However, the bedding thickness should be increased to 300 mm for areas over a bedrock subgrade or grey silty clay.



The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe, should consist of OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II with a maximum size of 25 mm. The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick lifts compacted to 99% of the material's SPMDD.

It is recommended that the subgrade medium be inspected in the field to determine how steeply the bedrock surface, where encountered, drops off. A transition should be provided where the bedrock slopes more than 3H:1V. At these locations, the bedrock should be excavated and replaced with additional bedding materials to provide a 3H:1V (or flatter) transition from the bedrock subgrade towards the soil subgrade. This treatment reduces the propensity for bending stress to occur in the services.

Generally, it should be possible to re-use the moist (not wet) brown silty clay above the cover material if the excavation and filling operations are carried out in dry weather conditions. Wet silty clay should be given a sufficient drying period to decrease its moisture content to an acceptable level to make compaction possible prior to being re -used. All cobbles greater than 200 mm in the longest direction should be removed prior to the site materials being reused.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should consist of the soils exposed at the trench walls to minimize differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

To reduce long-term lowering of the groundwater level at the subject site, clay seals should be provided in the servicing trenches. The seals should be at least 1.5 m long and should extend from trench wall to trench wall. Generally, the seals should extend from the frost line and fully penetrate the bedding, subbedding and cover material. The barriers should consist of a relatively dry brown silty clay placed in maximum 225 mm thick loose layers and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the material's SPMDD. The clay seals should be placed at the site boundaries and at strategic locations at no more than 60 m intervals in the service trenches.



6.5 Groundwater Control

Groundwater Control for Building Construction

Due to the relatively impervious nature of the silty clay, it is expected that groundwater infiltration into the excavations should be controllable using open sumps and pumps for the relatively shallow excavations.

The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

Permit to Take Water

A temporary Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) permit to take water (PTTW) may be required for this project if more than 400,000 L/day of ground and/or surface water is to be pumped during the construction phase. A minimum 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the PTTW application package and issuance of the permit by the MECP.

For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, typically between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16.

6.6 Winter Construction

Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project.

The subsoil conditions at this site consist mostly of frost susceptible materials. In the presence of water and freezing conditions, ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur.

In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.



Trench excavations and pavement construction are also difficult activities to complete during freezing conditions without introducing frost in the subgrade or in the excavation walls and bottoms. Precautions should be taken if such activities are to be carried out during freezing conditions. Additional information could be provided, if required.

6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The results of analytical testing from an adjacent site show that the sulphate content is less than 0.1%. This result is indicative that Type 10 Portland cement (normal cement) would be appropriate for this site. The chloride content and the pH of the sample indicate that they are not significant factors in creating a corrosive environment for exposed ferrous metals at this site, whereas the resistivity is indicative of a slightly aggressive to non-aggressive corrosive environment.

6.8 Limit of Hazard Lands

Summary of Assessment

The existing slope conditions were reviewed by Paterson field personnel throughout multiple site visits. The initial visit was completed on April 18, 2012, to document the conditions of the tributaries to Cardinal Creek (south tributary, Mid Branch 1 and Mid Branch 2). A second site visit was completed on September 10, 2022. At the time, it was concluded that the slopes along the tributaries to Cardinal Creek were observed to be stable. However, some toe erosion was noted throughout the watercourse, close to the valley corridor wall.

A third site visit was completed on July 12, 2023, to compare the current conditions with previous observations made at the subject site. Photos comparing the previous and current conditions have been included in Appendix 2.

The field review completed during the aforementioned visits generally consisted of observing surface conditions along the length of the tributaries, including identifying the presence of vegetation, erosion and other features associated with slope stability. Paterson field personnel verified subsurface information and in-situ shear strength of cohesive soils at select slope sections using a hand-auger and field vane apparatus, respectively, to compare them to the findings from our borehole and test pit test hole observations.

Water levels and flow within the watercourses were generally observed, including identifying signs of recent high-water marks or other signs of previous rises in the water levels.



Overall, a total of twenty-three (23) slope cross sections throughout the abovenoted locations were analyzed as part of the slope stability analysis. Topographic surface elevation measured at the selected locations and LiDAR information were used to complete the slope stability analysis.

Based on the results of our field observations and slope stability analysis, a Limit of Hazard Lands was assigned from the top of slope for the above-noted sections of the study areas. The cross-section locations and associated Limit of Hazard Lands setbacks are presented on Drawing PG5201-2 - Test Hole Location Plan, included in Appendix 2 of the present geotechnical report.

Summary of Field Observations

The following section is a summary of our observations during the time of our field review of the subject slopes.

The subject site is intersected by a number of watercourses including the south tributary ravine that drains into Cardinal Creek and two branches opening from the aforementioned tributary designated in this report, from south to north, as Mid Branch 1 and Mid Branch 2. The south tributary was observed to flow in east to west direction throughout the central portion of the subject site and the two branches were observed to flow from a southeast to a northwest direction.

The general slope of the bank was observed to range between 3 to 15 m high and appeared to have a profile generally shaped 5H:1V with local sections with approximate steepness of up to 1H:1V. The watercourses were observed to be up to 6 m wide, with seasonal variations in water flow.

The majority of the slope appeared to consist of stiff, brown silty clay, which was underlain by firm, grey silty clay in close proximity to the water level. Some erosion of the toe of slope had been observed where the watercourse is located in close proximity to the valley corridor wall. This generally consisted of some erosion of the bank face resulting in some undercutting along the channels edge at some locations. Occasional shallow and low slip surfaces restricted to close proximity to the water level were observed in areas with sharp bends in the channel alignment. Overall, vegetation was observed to be intact and mature across the majority of the tributary valley. The bed of the water course generally observed to consist of glacial till and transition to stiff, grey silty clay further downstream along its footprint.

Reference should be made to Drawing PG5201-2 – Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2 which depicts the above-noted tributaries and the associated slope stability cross-sections and setback information.



Slope Stability Analysis

A slope stability assessment has been conducted to determine the applicable geotechnical Limit of Hazard Lands setback along the north bank of the main tributary to Cardinal Creek within the subject site. The analysis of the stability of the slope was carried out using SLIDE, a computer program which permits a twodimensional slope stability analysis using several methods including the Bishop's method, which is a widely used and accepted analysis method. The program calculates a factor of safety, which represents the ratio of the forces resisting failure to those favoring failure.

Theoretically, a factor of safety of 1.0 represents a condition where the slope is stable. However, due to intrinsic limitations of the calculation methods and the variability of the subsoil and groundwater conditions, a factor of safety greater than one is usually required to ascertain that the risks of failure are acceptable. A minimum factor of safety of 1.5 is generally recommended for conditions where the failure of the slope would endanger permanent structures.

A total of twenty-three (23) slope cross-sections were analyzed under static and seismic conditions. The cross-sections for existing and proposed conditions were analyzed utilizing the latest topographic mapping and proposed grading, respectively, and assuming the worst-case-scenario by assigning cohesive soils under fully saturated conditions.

Subsoil conditions at the sections were determined based on the findings at borehole locations along the top of slope, field observations during site visits and general knowledge of the area's geology. The soil parameters were determined for the slope soils based on subsoil conditions at the boreholes along the top of slope. The analysis was carried out in accordance with the City of Ottawa's standard guidelines prepared by Golder Associates titled Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa, dated 2004.

The effective strength soil parameters used for static analysis were chosen based on the subsoil information recovered during the geotechnical investigation. The effective strength soil parameters used for static analysis are presented in Table 6 on the following page.



Table 6 - Effective Soil and Material Parameters (Static Analysis)										
Soil Layer	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Friction Angle (degrees)	Cohesion (kPa)							
Brown Silty Clay Crust	17	36	9							
Grey Silty Clay	16	36	12							
Glacial Till	20	33	1							
Bedrock	Impenetrable									

The total strength parameters for seismic analysis were chosen based on the in situ, undrained shear strengths recovered within the test holes completed at the time of our geotechnical investigation and based on our general knowledge of the area's geology. The strength parameters used for seismic analysis at the slope cross-sections are presented in Table 7 below.

Table 7 - Total Stress Soil and Material Parameters (Seismic Analysis)												
Soil Layer	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	c 3 c c										
Brown Silty Clay Crust	17	-	100									
Grey Silty Clay	16	-	80									
Glacial Till	20 33 N/A											
Bedrock	Impenetrable											

Static Conditions Analysis - Existing Conditions

The results for the existing static slope conditions at the slope stability sections are presented in Appendix 2. The slope stability factors of safety were found to be greater than 1.5 at all sections analyzed, except for Sections F and JJ, which require a 4.7 and 17 m setback, respectively from top of slope to obtain a factor or safety greater than 1.5.

Static Conditions Analysis - Proposed Conditions

The results for the analysis of the stability of the slope under proposed conditions are presented in Appendix 2. The slope stability factors of safety were found to be greater than 1.5 at all sections analyzed.



Seismic Loading Analysis- Existing Conditions

An analysis considering seismic loading was also completed as part of our slope stability assessment. A horizontal seismic acceleration, K_h , of 0.21g was considered for the analyzed sections. This acceleration is considered to be higher than half of the peak (horizontal) ground acceleration (PGA) of 0.32g, specified in the National Building Code of Canada (NBCC 2015) seismic calculator for the subject site.

A factor of safety of 1.1 is considered to be satisfactory for stability analysis including seismic loading (i.e., pseudo-static) as per the City of Ottawa's Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications.

The results of the analysis including seismic loading fully saturated conditions (worst-case-scenario) are shown in Appendix 2. The overall slope stability factor of safety at all slope cross-sections when considering seismic loading was found to be greater than 1.1 which is considered to be stable under seismic loading.

Seismic Loading Analysis- Proposed Conditions

An analysis considering seismic loading was completed for the proposed conditions as part of the slope assessment. A horizontal seismic acceleration, K_h , of 0.16g was considered for the slopes. This acceleration is considered as half of the peak (horizontal) ground acceleration (PGA) of 0.32g, specified in the NBCC 2015. A factor of safety of 1.1 is considered to be satisfactory for stability analyses including seismic loading.

The results of the analysis including seismic loading fully saturated conditions (worst-case-scenario) are shown in Appendix 2. The overall slope stability factor of safety at all slope cross-sections when considering seismic loading was found to be greater than 1.1 which is considered to be stable under seismic loading.

Limit of Hazard Lands

Based on our review, the slopes reviewed as part of this assessment are considered stable from a geotechnical perspective. Since the slopes are in close proximity to an active watercourse, erosion of the toe of slope is considered to be a notable factor in assessing slope stability. The banks abutting the watercourse were observed to be affected by minor signs of erosion. Signs of active erosion were mostly noted in the lower portion of the slopes and consisted of occasional small patches of loss vegetation or exposed root systems along the face of slope.



Generally, subsurface conditions at the toe of slope varied between in-situ, stiff, brown to grey silty clay. Based on the cohesive nature of the soils, the observed current erosional activities and the width and location of the current watercourse, the toe erosion allowance for the valley corridor slopes was determined.

Based on the above-note observations, and in accordance with the City of Ottawa's *Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications* (2004) and the Ministry of Natural Resource's *Technical Guide – River and Stream Systems: Erosion Hazard Limit* (2002), it is considered that a toe erosion allowance of 5 m is appropriate for the corridor walls confining the subject tributaries. The toe erosion allowance should be applied from the top of stable slope.

If portions of the slope were to be improved by the use of erosion protection methods, those portions would not be subject to the aforementioned toe erosion allowance as the toe of slope would no longer be susceptible to erosion that would impact the stability of the overlying slopes.

A stable slope allowance in accordance with the requirements outlined for Section F and Section JJ should also be taken from the top of slope, as required.

The limit of hazard lands, including a 6 m erosion access allowance, stable slope allowance (where required) and a 5 m toe erosion allowance, is presented on Drawing PG5201-2 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

In-filling The Side Slopes at Select Locations

Based on Paterson's geotechnical review of select locations along the creek, it can be observed that the creek is branching out towards the north side of the creek, just east of Section EE and Section FF. This is a consequence of the predevelopment conditions associated with the agricultural use of the lands and the current grading. However, it is expected that under the proposed conditions, and providing a favourable grading, the creek will cease branching and the slope will remain stable. To mitigate the temporary branching of the tributary, it is recommended that the slope face be in-filled using the following methodology:

- Site excavated material such as workable, brown silty sand or any approved site excavated material, free of deleterious fill such as organics or construction debris, can be used as backfill material to in-fill the current slope and extend the slope face to match the existing adjacent slopes.
- □ The existing slope should be excavated in a benching style where each "bench" should be excavated with a minimum 1.2 m long horizontal ledge and maximum vertical cut of 600 mm.



- □ The backfill material should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum 98% of the material's SPMDD using suitable compaction equipment. The placement of the backfill material should be completed under dry conditions and above freezing temperatures to achieve optimum compaction levels.
- □ The backfill material should be topped with a minimum 300 mm thick layer of topsoil followed by an erosion control blanket (coco mat) and hardy grass seed. The erosion control blanket should be anchored to the top of the slope using steel pins penetrating the top of slope by a minimum of 600 mm to keep the erosion control blanket stable until vegetation is established.
- □ The proposed slope face should be finished to match the adjacent slope faces material and inclination. Planting trees along the slope face can be beneficial to increase the stability of the slope face and minimize erosion, if applicable.
- All work within the slope face should be reviewed and approved by Paterson at the time of construction to ensure the work is being completed in accordance with the recommendations provided herein.
- Refer to Figure 42 Recommended Slope In-filling Program presenting a cross section of the above noted program. The limit of hazard lands line will also be modified as a new top of slope line will be identified, please refer to Drawing PG5201-2 Test Hole Location Plan, in Appendix 2.

6.9 Landscaping Considerations

Tree Planting Restrictions

Paterson completed a soils review of the site to determine applicable tree planting setbacks, in accordance with the City of Ottawa Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils (2017 Guidelines) for trees planted within a public right-of-way (ROW). Atterberg limits testing was completed for recovered silty clay samples at selected locations throughout the subject site. Grain size distribution analysis was also completed on selected soil samples.

The above-noted test results were completed on samples taken at depths between the anticipated underside of footing elevation and a 3.5 m depth below finished grade. The results of our testing are presented in Tables 1 and 2 in Subsection 4.2 and in Appendix 1.

A low to medium sensitivity clay was encountered between the anticipated design underside of footing elevation and 3.5 m below finished grade as per City Guidelines. Based on the results of the Atterberg limit testing mentioned above, the modified plasticity index does not exceed 40% across the subject site.



The following tree planting setbacks are recommended for the proposed development. Large trees (mature height over 14 m) can be planted within these areas provided a tree to foundation setback equal to the full mature height of the tree can be provided (e.g. in a park or other green space).

Tree planting setback limits may be reduced to 4.5 m for small (mature height up to 7.5 m) and medium size trees (mature tree height 7.5 to 14 m), provided that the condition noted below are met:

- □ The underside of footing (USF) is 2.1 m or greater below the lowest finished grade must be satisfied for footings within 10 m from the tree, as measured from the centre of the tree trunk and verified by means of the Grading Plan. Based on our review of the silty clay crust at the founding elevation, this number can be lowered to 1.8 m due to the depth of the groundwater table and our assessment of the impacts of tree planting on the founding medium.
- □ A small tree must be provided with a minimum of 25 m³ of available soil volume while a medium tree must be provided with a minimum of 30 m³ of available soil volume, as determined by the Landscape Architect. The developer is to ensure that the soil is generally un-compacted when backfilling in street tree planting locations.
- □ The tree species must be small (mature tree height up to 7.5 m) to medium size (mature tree height 7.5 m to 14 m) as confirmed by the Landscape Architect.
- □ The foundation walls are to be reinforced at least nominally (minimum of two upper and two lower 15M bars in the foundation wall).
- Grading surrounding the tree must promote drainage to the tree root zone (in such a manner as not to be detrimental to the tree).

It is well documented in the literature, and is our experience, that fast-growing trees located near buildings founded on cohesive soils that shrink on drying can result in long-term differential settlements of the structures.

Tree varieties that have the most pronounced effect on foundations are seen to consist of poplars, willows and some maples (i.e., Manitoba Maples) and, as such, they should not be considered in the landscaping design.

Preference should be provided throughout the subject site to landscaping that do not require overwatering and/or sprinkler systems.



Aboveground Swimming Pools

The in-situ soils are considered to be acceptable for in-ground swimming pools. Above ground swimming pools must be placed at least 5 m away from the residence foundation and neighbouring foundations. Otherwise, pool construction is considered routine, and can be constructed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

Aboveground Hot Tubs

Additional grading around hot tubs should not exceed permissible grade raises. Otherwise, hot tub construction is considered routine, and can be constructed in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.

Decks and Building Additions

Additional grading around proposed decks or additions should not exceed permissible grade raises. Otherwise, standard construction practices are considered acceptable.

Additional Recommendations

Swimming pools, hot tubs and ponds located along the south tributary of Cardinal Creek should not be permitted to sheet drain/outlet along the existing slope, including top, face and/or toe of slope. Aboveground swimming pools or building additions that might result in increased surcharge along the slope of the tributary ravine should require reassessment of the slope stability analysis by Paterson at the time of planning those works.



7.0 Recommendations

It is recommended that the following be completed by Paterson once the final master plan and site development are determined:

- > Review detailed grading plan(s) from a geotechnical perspective.
- > Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials.
- > Review and inspection of all foundation drainage systems.
- Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- > Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued upon the completion of a satisfactory inspection program by the geotechnical consultant.

All excess soil, with the exception of engineered crushed stone fill, generated by construction activities that will be transported on-site or off-site, must be handled as per *Ontario Regulation 406/19: On-Site and Excess Soil Management*.



8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations provided are in accordance with the present understanding of the project. Paterson requests permission to review the recommendations when the drawings and specifications are completed.

A soils investigation is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, Paterson requests immediate notification to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The recommendations provided herein should only be used by the design professionals associated with this project. They are not intended for contractors bidding on or undertaking the work. The latter should evaluate the factual information provided in this report and determine the suitability and completeness for their intended construction schedule and methods. Additional testing may be required for their purposes.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Taggart Investments or their agents is not authorized without review by Paterson for the applicability of our recommendations to the alternative use of the report.

Paterson Group Inc.

Drew Petahtegoose, P.Eng.



Faisal I. Abou-Seido, P.Eng.

- Taggart Investments (Digital copy)
- Paterson Group (1 copy)

Report Distribution:



APPENDIX 1

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS SYMBOLS AND TERMS GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND ANALYSIS RESULTS ATTERBERG LIMIT TESTING RESULTS ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

patersongroup Consulting Engineers						SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA					
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or	Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development - Queen Street Ottawa, Ontario						t				
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovid	ed by S	Stante	ec Geor	-				FILE NO.	PG1796	
				_		January 23	0000		HOLE NO	BH 8	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger								Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT				Шо	(m)	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Dia		Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Con	itent %	Piezo Constr
GROUND SURFACE		3	Ч	RE	z		87.11	20	40 6	0 80	ब्दा एक
0.30		AU	1							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		ss	2	42	9	1-	86.11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		- -
			0	07	F					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		ss	3	67	5	2-	85.11				
											39
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY						3-	84.11		<u>\</u>		5 9
- stiff and grey-brown by 4.3m depth						4+	83.11	4		1	05
							00.11	4			
						5+ 	82.11		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
						6+	81.11				
							01.11	4			
						7-	80.11				-
- grey by 7.9m depth						8-	79.11		······································		
											-
						9-	78.11				
End of Borehole									······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
(GWL @ 0.82m-Feb. 3/09)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt urbed △		00

patersongroup Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5						Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development - Queen Street Ottawa, Ontario					
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	PG1796										
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	DUMO	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger DATE January 22, 2009										BH10	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE						-	esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		tion	
	STRATA PLOT	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE E ROD	(m)	(m)		Vater Cont		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	IUN	RECO	N N			20	40 60	80	ĒÖ
TOPSOIL 0.20		XX				9-0	86.36				
		× AU	1	58	10	1-8	85.36				
		ss	3	75	11						¥
						2+8	84.36		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		ss	4	83	8	3-8	83.36				
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY								A		2	39
- stiff and grey by 4.3m depth						4-8	82.36			1 1	89
						5-8	81.36				
						6-8	80.36	A			
						7-7	79.36	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						8-7	78.36				
9.45						9-7	77.36				
End of Borehole											
(GWL @ 1.52m-Feb. 3/09)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	$\begin{array}{ccc} 40 & 60 \\ ar Strength \\ urbed & \Delta F \end{array}$	80 1 n (kPa) Remoulded	00

Datum Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatics Ltd. Consulting Engineers SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development - Queen Street Datum Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatics Ltd. File NO.

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On	K2E 7.	J5			tawa, On						
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geo	matics	s Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE 、	January 23	3, 2009			BH11	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blov) mm Dia.		neter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD				ater Conte		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE		~	-	8	Z ·	0-	-89.75	20	40 60	80	व्या कर
TOPSOIL0.30 Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY with organic matter0.69		AU	1								
		ss	2	75	8	1-	-88.75				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	3	50	20	2-	-87.75				
0.05		ss	4	80	50+						
2.95 End of Borehole	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	-									
Practical refusal to augering @ 2.95m depth											

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

20

▲ Undisturbed

60

80

 \triangle Remoulded

100

natoreonard		n	Con	sultina		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ID TES	T DATA	
patersongro		_		ineers		eotechnic roposed F			oment - Q	ueen Street	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On				0	0	ttawa, On					
DATUM Ground surface elevations p REMARKS	rovia	ea by s	Stante	c Geon	natic	S Lta.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				۵۵	TE	January 26	3 2009		HOLE NO.	BH13	
	ы		SAM	IPLE			5, 2000	Pen. R	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	_
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT				ы о	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Dia.		Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• •	later Cont	ent %	Piezo Consti
GROUND SURFACE	ũ	_	Ľ.	RE	zö		-92.03	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL 0.30 Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand 0.71		₩ AU	1				-92.03				
End of Borehole		-									
Practical refusal to augering @ 0.71m depth											
								20 Choo	40 60	80 10	00
								Shea	urbed \triangle I	1 (kPa) Remoulded	

L

patersongro		In	Con	sultin	a	SOI	l pro		ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-	-	sultin	P	eotechnic roposed F ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by \$	Stante	c Geo	matic	s Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	_			D	ATE	January 26	6, 2009		HOLE NO.	BH14	-
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.		ter tion
	STRATA P	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)		ater Cont		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ι δ	L .	N.	REC	N OF		-88.88	20	40 60) 80	_0
0.20		XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1				-00.00			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY 0.91		ss	2	67	54	1-	-87.88			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles		Δ							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
and boulders2.21		ss	3	33	54	2-	-86.88				
		ss	4	50	24					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			_	50	10	3-	-85.88				
	1^^^/	IX SS	5	50	13			1			801 BB

	SS SSS SSS SSSS SSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	5	50 33	13	4-84.88	
GLACIAL TILL: Very stiff, grey silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders	ss		38	30	5-83.88	
	ss	8	25	31	6-82.88	
	ss	9	38	44		
End of Borehole	ss	10	42	42	7-81.88	
(GWL @ 0.61m-Feb. 3/09)						
						20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

patersongro		n	Con	sultin	g	SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed l ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	:
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geo					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	BH15	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					ATE	January 2	6, 2009 				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			IPLE 건	M -	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.		meter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	NALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
		5¢	4	RE	z Ö	- 0-	-88.13	20	40 60	80	जना करत
		SS	1 2	8	9		-87.13				
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY , some organic matter to 1.4m depth		ss	3	42	4	2-	-86.13				
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles		ss	4	100	2		-85.13 -84.13			2	
5.13 GLACIAL TILL: Compact, grey silts.39 sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders End of Borehole		ss ss	6 7	42 0	14 50+	5-	-83.13				
Practical refusal to augering @ 5.39m depth (GWL @ 1.86m-Feb. 3/09)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengti urbed △		00

patersongro	DU	D	Con	sulting					ND TEST	DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		1110013	P	eotechnic roposed F ttawa, On	Resident		pment - Qu	een Stree	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovido	ed by S	Stante	c Geor	natic	s Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	;
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	BH17	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					ΔTE	January 23	8, 2009				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		neter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• w	later Conte	ent %	Piezometer Construction
			4	R	zö	- 0-	86.28	20	40 60	80	
_ TOPSOIL 0.20		AU	1 2	54	14		85.28				
		ss	3	50	12	2-	84.28				
		∦ ss ∦ ss	4 5	71	9 5	3-	83.28				
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		A 33	5	75	5	4-	82.28				89 A
- stiff and grey-brown by 5.9m depth							81.28 80.28				39
- grey by 6.6m depth						0	00.20				
						7-	79.28				
						8-	78.28				
<u>9.45</u> End of Borehole						9-	77.28				
(GWL @ 0.88m-Feb. 3/09)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undistu	40 60 ar Strength urbed △ F		⊣ 100

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Ρ	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - G	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geor					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	April 4, 20	12	1		BH 8-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia		tion
	STRATA F	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	° © © © © © © © ©	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)		Vater Con		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	ION	REC	N N N N			20	40 6		ĒÖ
TOPSOIL 0.23						- 0-	90.00				
Hard, brown SILTY CLAY		¥ AU	1			-	-89.00				F
<u>1.45</u>		∦ ss	2	100	34		-09.00				त्रोतिति वृत्तितिति
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown		∦ ss	3	82	50+	2-	-88.00		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	
silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay 3.43		× SS	4	100	50+	3-	-87.00				
End of Borehole		-									
Practical refusal to augering @ 3.43m depth											
(GWL @ 0.62m-April 13, 2012)											
								20 20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt		00

patersongro)U	q	Con	sulting	g				D TEST	DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-			P	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Resident	ial Develop	ment - Qu	een Stree	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	ec Geo	matic	s Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				П	ATE	April 2, 20	12		HOLE NO.	BH24-1	2
	E 1		SAM				12	Pen Be	sist. Blov		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT				ы́о	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		mm Dia.		Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Wa	ater Conte	ent %	Piezol
GROUND SURFACE				RE	z ^o	0-	-87.44	20	40 60	80	Ŭ
Brown SILTY SAND, trace clay0.20		SAU SAU	1 2				07.44				
		ss	3	100	21	1-	-86.44				
		ss	4	100	16	2-	- 85.44				
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	5	100	9	3-	-84.44				
- firm and grey by 3.6m depth							04.44				
						4-	-83.44				
		TW	6	100		5-	-82.44				
6.63						6-	-81.44				
						7-	-80.44				
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	7	62	15	8-	-79.44				
<u>8.81</u> End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering @ 8.81m											
depth (BH dry - April 13, 2012)											
								20 Shear	40 60 Strength rbed △ F		⊣ I 00

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed I vttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor			itario		FILE NO.	DC1706	
REMARKS									HOLE NO	PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	April 3, 20	12			BH26-1	2
	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo		er ion
SOIL DESCRIPTION	1	M	IR	ERY	ВQ	(m)	(m)	• •	0 mm Dia	. Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• V	Vater Con	tent %	Piez Cons
GROUND SURFACE			4	RE	z ^o		-89.45	20	40 6	D 80	
TOPSOIL0.30											
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace sand		ss	1	100	18	1-	-88.45				あって むさい
1.45		SS =	2		50+				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 2.16					50+		-87.45				
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering @ 2.16m depth											
(GWL @ 0.97m-April 13, 2012)											
								20	40 6		 00
								Shea	ar Strengt urbed △	i h (kPa) Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	ST DATA	
		-		ineers		eotechnic roposed F			oment - C	Queen Street	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On					0	ttawa, Or					
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geon	natic	s Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO	[.] BH27-1	2
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					TE	April 9, 20	12				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia	ows/0.3m a. Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD		(11)	• v	later Cor	itent %	ezom
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	NUN	REC	и v N			20		io 80	ĒĞ
	^^ <i>_</i>	🕈 AU	1			- 0-	-96.23				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		∑ss	2	60	50+	1-	-95.23				
<u>1.45</u> End of Borehole	<u>`^^^</u>	-							<u> </u>		
Practical refusal to augering @ 1.45m depth											
(BH dry upon completion)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	ar Streng	0 80 11 th (kPa) Remoulded	00

patersongro		In	Cor	nsulting Jineers	g	SOI	L PRO	FILE AI	ND TES	F DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		jineers	P	eotechnic roposed I Ottawa, Or	Resident		pment - Qı	ueen Street	:
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by	Stante	ec Geo		-			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		•
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	April 3, 20	12			BH28-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE			ELEV.		esist. Blov 60 mm Dia.		eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE F ROD	(m)	(m)	• N	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS		N	REC	N V OF			20	40 60	80	10
TOPSOIL 0.30		§ AU	1			- 0-	-89.10				
		₿ AU	2								
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	3	100	23	1-	-88.10				
		$\overline{\Omega}$									
2.21		ss	4	100	15	2-	87.10				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders 2.82		ss	5	79	49						
End of Borehole	<u> </u>	Ē								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>en Hen</u>
Practical refusal to augering @ 2.82m depth											
(GWL @ 0.40m-April 13, 2012)											
									40 60 ar Strength	ו (kPa)	00
								▲ Undis	urbea 🛆 I	Remoulded	

patersongro		n	Con	sulting	3	SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ND TES	DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-	_	ineers	P	eotechnic roposed l ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Qı	ieen Street	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by S	Stante	ec Geor	natic	s Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	BH29-1	2
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					ATE	April 3, 20)12				2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ		SAN	IPLE ㅋ		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	-	esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		neter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			N	RE	zÓ	- 0-	-87.20	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL 0.25		∰ AU	1				07.20				
		ss	2	100	21	1-	-86.20				
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	3	100	20	2-	- 85.20				ातित्तां सिद्धः नित्तित्वा सिद्धः
		ss	4	100	17						
3.73		ss	5	100	12	3-	-84.20				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	6	50	34	4-	-83.20				
5.26		ss	7	4	9	5-	-82.20				
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty clay with 5.54 sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		- SS	8	100	50+						
Practical refusal to augering @ 5.54m depth											
(Piezometer damaged - April 13, 2012)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strength urbed △ F		00

patersongro		in	Con	sulting	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA							
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		jineers	P	eotechnic roposed l ttawa, Or	Resident		oment - Q	ueen Stree	t	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovid	ed by S	Stante	ec Geon	-				FILE NO.	PG1796		
REMARKS								-	HOLE NO			
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger		1		DA	TE	April 3, 20)12			BH30-1	12	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE					esist. Blo) mm Dia	ows/0.3m . Cone	eter	
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	VALUE r ROD	(m)	(m)		ater Con		Piezometer Construction	
GROUND SURFACE	LS	E F	ŊŊ	REC	N O N U			20	40 6		۳Ğ	
	5					- 0-	-88.74		····			
		SS ≦	1 2	83	18	1-	-87.74					
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	3	75	12	2-	-86.74			2		
						3-	-85.74			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
4.34 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		× SS	4	100	50+		-84.74			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
5.16		+				5-	-83.74					
Practical refusal to augering @ 5.16m depth (Piezometer damaged-April 13,2012)												
								~~~				
								20 Shea ▲ Undistu	40 60 r Strengt irbed △		100	

patersongro		in	Con	sulting	ulting SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA Geotechnical Investigation						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		lineers	P		Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Geoi		<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Ltd.	itario		FILE NO.	DC1700	
REMARKS									HOLE NO	PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	April 3, 20	)12			BH31-1	2
	턴		SAN	IPLE		DEDTU		Pen. R	esist. Blo	ows/0.3m	~ <u>-</u> <u>-</u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			к	E a	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	i0 mm Dia	. Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Pr ROD			• v	Vater Con	tent %	iezoi onstr
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	ION I	REC	N 0 L V			20	40 60		٩Ö
TOPSOIL 0.28		**				- 0-	-86.70				
		₿ AU	1								
		ss	2	100	12	1-	-85.70				
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	्रोगिति इतितिह
		ss	3	100	19	2-	-84.70				کرال ککرال
		ss	4	100	19						
CLACIAL TILL - Brown silty alov with						3-	-83.70				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	5	82	50+						
3. <u>76</u> End of Borehole		1									
Practical refusal to augering @ 3.76m											
depth											
(GWL @ 1.12m-April 13, 2012)											
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strengt		⊣   <b>00</b>
								▲ Undist	turbed $ riangle$	Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	Ulting neers Geotechnical Investigation						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		_		ineers	Pr	eotechnic oposed I ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Stree	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	provide	ed by S	Stante	c Geor	-	•			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					TE	June 26, 2	2012			BH32-1	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	-	esist. Blo 0 mm Dia		neter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• <b>v</b>	Vater Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		N	RE	z ⁰	0-	-87.94	20	40 60	80	
		ss	1	100	22		-86.94				
		ss	2	100	18	2-	-85.94			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		ss	3	100	13	3-	-84.94			······	
- firm by 4.3m depth						4-	-83.94			1	
						5-	-82.94				
6.60		ss	4	100	2	6-	-81.94				
		ss	5	42	38	7-	-80.94				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Grey silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		× SS	6	67	50+	8-	-79.94				
		x ss	7	100	50+	9-	-78.94				
10.06 End of Borehole						10-	-77.94				
(GWL @ 4.6m depth based on field observations)											
								20 20 Shea ▲ Undist	<u>40</u> 60 40 60 47 Strengt 40 40		⊣ IOO

patersongro					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
patersongit		Υ	Eng	gineers		Geotechnic			nmont O	ueen Street	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or	tario	K2E 7.	J5			Ottawa, Or		iai Develo	pment - Qi	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovid	ed by S	Stante	ec Geor	nati	cs Ltd.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		•
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger		1		DA	ΔTE	June 27, 2	2012	1		BH33-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>		DEPTH			esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE	(m)	(m)	• v	later Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	ŊŊ	REC	z (			20	40 60	80	шO
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders		À AU	1 2	33	50-		-91.15				
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 0.86m depth											
(BH dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strengtl	80 10 n (kPa)	10

▲ Undisturbed

 $\bigtriangleup \text{ Remoulded}$ 

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	3	SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	ieotechnic roposed l ottawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	ec Geor	_				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	1	1		D	ATE	June 26, 2	2012			BH34-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia		eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Dr ROD		(11)	• v	Vater Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	ŊŊ	REC	N N O K			20	40 60	80	шö
		AU	1				-89.99				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> CLAY		ss	2	100	17		- 87.99			2	
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with							- 86.99				49
sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders 3.28 End of Borehole		∑ ss	3	33	50+		00.00				
Practical refusal to augering at 3.28m depth											
(GWL @ 2.8m depth based on field observations)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengt turbed △	) 80 1 h (kPa) Remoulded	00

natorsonarc		in	Con	sulting	8	SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
<b>patersongro</b> 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		—		ineers	G P	eotechnic roposed l ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - G	ueen Street	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geor	-				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO	BH35-1	2
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					ATE	June 27, 2	2012				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT			IPLE 것	Шо	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia		Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• V	later Con	tent %	Piezo Constr
GROUND SURFACE			4	RE	z		90.07	20	40 6	D 80	
Hard, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	1	100	23		-89.07				
		ss	2	76	50+		-88.07				
End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 2.00m depth											
(GWL @ 1.9m depth based on field observations)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt urbed △	0 80 1 h (kPa) Remoulded	00

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	Ulting SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA							
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street		
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovid	ed by S	Stante	c Geor					FILE NO.	PG1796		
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		•	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	1			DA	TE	June 26, 2	2012			BH36-1	2	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia		neter uction	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD				Vater Con		Piezometer Construction	
				<u></u>	-	- 0-	91.15	<b>20</b>	40 60	) 80	₩ ₩	
Brown SILTY SAND with clay		≂ AU	1									
		ss	2	100	6	1-	-90.15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders		ss	3	67	16	2-	-89.15					
		ss	4	54	39	3-	-88.15					
<u>3.8</u> 1		ss	5	56	50+							
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 3.81m depth												
(BH dry upon completion)												
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengt	) 80 10 h (kPa) Remoulded	00	

Date Source Data         134 Colonnade Read South, Ottawa, Ontario         Ted Colonnade Read South, Ottawa, Ontario         DATUM       Conund surface elevations provided by Startec Geomatics Ltd.         IMENON COLSPAN       Participation Proprior Residential Dovelopment - Queen Street: Ted Water Content %         Source S5 Power Auger       DATE       June 26, 2012         Source S5 Power Auger       Detter June 26, 2012         Source S5 Power Auger       Detter June 26, 2012         Source Description       Part June 26, 2012         Source Content %         Source Content %         Source Content %         Sou	natersonard		In	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRC	FILE AND TEST D	ATA						
BATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Startez Geomatics Ltd.         FILEN: PC1796           BORNOS BY CME 55 Power Auger         DATE June 26, 2012         PENE No 26, 2012         PENE No 26, 2012           SOIL DESCRIPTION         SAMPLE         DETE June 26, 2012         Pen. Resist. Blows:0.3m         output to 100 to 0           GROUND SURFACE         DATE June 26, 2012         Pen. Resist. Blows:0.3m         output to 100 to 0         output to 100 to 0         OUTPUT ON           ALL DESCRIPTION         SAMPLE         DETE TION         OUTPUT TO NOT SURFACE           Auge: Colspan="2">OUTPUT CLAY         SS 2         DO 16         SAMPLE         DATE JUNE 22, 87           COLD SURFACE         SS 2         DO 16         SS 3         S 5         S 7         SOIL DESCRIPTION           SE 20         SS 2         DO 16         SS 3         S 5         S 7         SOIL DESCRIPTION           COLSPANE         SS 2         DO 16         SS 2	-		-		ineers	P	roposed l	Residen		n Street						
IDEENO         BH37-12           BH37-12           SOIL DESCRIPTION         SAMPLE         PEPTH         PEETH         PEETH <th <="" colspan="6" th=""><th>DATUM Ground surface elevations p</th><th>orovide</th><th>ed by S</th><th>Stante</th><th>c Geon</th><th></th><th></th><th>Itario</th><th>FILE NO.</th><th>C1706</th></th>	<th>DATUM Ground surface elevations p</th> <th>orovide</th> <th>ed by S</th> <th>Stante</th> <th>c Geon</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Itario</th> <th>FILE NO.</th> <th>C1706</th>						DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geon			Itario	FILE NO.	C1706
BORINGS BY         CME 55 Power Auger         DATE         June 26, 2012         Pen. Resist. Blows(0.3m)         Pen. Resist. Blo	REMARKS															
SOIL DESCRIPTION         Ref	BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	1			DA	TE	June 26, 2	2012	E	3H37-12						
BROWND SURFACE       BROWND SURFACE       Constraint of the second of the secon	SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		_			ne see						
GROUND SURFACE       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I       I		1	ЭЛТ	MBER	% OVERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	<ul> <li>Water Content</li> </ul>	% Diezome						
Hard, brown SiLTY CLAY 221 SS SS S S S S S S S	GROUND SURFACE	LS.	F	<b>N</b>	REC	z ⁰		00.40	20 40 60							
Clacking at the server of the							0-	-89.42								
Clacking at the server of the			$\nabla$					00.40								
2.21 CLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders 2.87 End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 2.87m depth (GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field observations)	Hard, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	1	100	22	1-	-88.42								
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silv claw with 28 SS and gravel, cobbles, boulders 287       3       75       50+         End of Borehole       Practical refusal to augering at 2.87m (GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field observations)       3       75       50+         (GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field observations)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       00       00       Shear Strength (Pa)       100			ss	2	100	16										
End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 2.87m depth (GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field observations)				3	75	50 .	2-	-87.42								
Practical refusal to augering at 2.87m (GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field observations)	sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders 2.87			5		50+										
depth (GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field observations)																
observations)	Practical refusal to augering at 2.87m depth															
20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa)	(GWL @ 2.6m depth based on field															
Shear Strength (kPa)	observations)															
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
Shear Strength (kPa)																
									20 40 60 Check Church (	80 100						
▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded																

patersongro		in	Con	sulting	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		sulting ineers	P	eotechnic roposed l ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Stree	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geor					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	1	1		DA	TE	June 26, 2	2012	I		BH38-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.		leter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	VALUE r ROD		(,	• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	N.		E	REC	N O		-88.92	20	40 60	80	
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	1	100	19		-87.92				
		ss	2	100	16	2-	-86.92				्तत्तित्तिति जन्तत्त्वात्ति
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with		x ss	3	100 100	20 50+	3-	-85.92				
sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders 4.22		ss	5	50	50+	4-	-84.92				
Practical refusal to augering at 4.22m depth (GWL @ 3.5m depth based on field observations)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengti urbed △		100

patersongro	Consulting Engineers					SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		_		ineers	Pr		Residenti		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon		t <b>tawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	February 8	5, 2013			BH67-1	2
	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo		20
SOIL DESCRIPTION			ĸ	RY	E۵	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	mete
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r RQD			• v	Vater Cont	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			ĥ	REC	N OF V	0-	-67.29	20	40 60	80	
Asphaltic concrete0.20 $\square$ FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.36		≩ AU	1				07.23				
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.60		au ∏	2								
		ss	3	83	11	1-	-66.29				
				100	-						
		ss	4	100	5	2-	65.29		0		
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY											
						3-	-64.29				
		ss	5	100	3					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						1-	-63.29				
						4-	-03.29	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
- grey-brown by 4.4m depth		ss	6	100	2					0	
5.18 End of Borehole	fX.	1 33	0		۷	5-	-62.29				
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strengt		00
								▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sultin	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Qu	ieen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geo	_				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		<u>ი</u>
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger					ATE	February 8	5, 2013			BH68-1	<b>∠</b>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	-	esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r ROD		(11)	• V	Vater Conte	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LI S	Ĥ	<b>NN</b>	REC	N O N			20	40 60	80	٩Ö
Asphaltic concrete 0.20 FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.46		⊠ AU	1			- 0-	-70.35				
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.68		⊠ AU ∏	2				00.05				
		ss	3	25	12	1-	-69.35		0		
		ss	4	100	11		-68.35				
							00.35				
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	5	100	12	3-	-67.35		0		
							07.00				9
						1-	-66.35				
atiff by 4 4m denth						4	00.55	4		<b>1</b> 1	5
- stiff by 4.4m depth						5-	-65.35				
End of Borehole							00.00				
(BH dry upon completion)											
									40 60 ar Strength	i (kPa)	00 DO
								▲ Undist	urbed $\triangle$ F	Remoulded	

patersongro		in	Con	sulting	9	SO	L PRO		ND TEST	<b>DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	ieotechnio roposed ottawa, Or	Resident		pment - Qı	ieen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geor	-				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		n
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	February	5, 2013	1		BH69-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	-	esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r ROD	1		• V	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS.	F	ŊŊ	REC	N O N			20	40 60	80	шO
Asphaltic concrete0.20		<u>≩</u> AU	1			- 0-	-71.21				
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.69		ig AU ∏	2								
		ss	3	75	18	1-	-70.21				
		ss	4	100	13		00.01		0		
						2	-69.21				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY</b>							00.01			24	9
stiff by 0.0m doubt						3-	-68.21				4
- stiff by 3.8m depth											
		ss	5	100	3	4-	-67.21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	
5.03											
End of Borehole		1				5-	-66.21				
(BH dry upon completion)											
								20	40 60		DO
								Shea	ar Strength $\Delta$ F	<b>(kPa)</b> Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	g	SOI	L PRO		ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		—		ineers	F	eotechnic roposed l ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovid	ed by S	Stante	c Geo					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	BH70-1	2
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger			CAN		ATE	February !	5, 2013	Dom D	esist. Blov		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			IPLE ਮ	61	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Бю 0 mm Dia.		Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE	â		• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezor Constr
GROUND SURFACE			Z	RE	z		72.78	20	40 60	80	
Asphaltic concrete0.18	$\bigotimes$	🕸 AU	1				_				
<b>FILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel 0.79	X	AU	2				71 70				
		ss	3	58	11	1-	-71.78	<u>о</u>			
		ss	4	100	20						
						2-	70.78				
		ss	5	100	12				0		
Very stiff to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>						3-	69.78				
		ss	6	100	9						
						4-	-68.78				
								<u> </u>			
5.03						_					
End of Borehole		+				5-	-67.78				
(BH dry upon completion)											
									40 60 ar Strengtl	ו (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	urbed △	Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed F ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovid	ed by S	Stante	c Geor					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	1	1		DA	TE	February 4	4, 2013	1		BH71-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.		tion
	STRATA P	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)				Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STR	Ъ	MUN		N VZ			0 V 20	Vater Cont 40 60		Pie Col
Asphaltic concrete 0.20	··· ^· · ^· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					- 0-	75.79				
FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.38			1 2								
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel		ss	3		15	1-	-74.79				
1.45		Å.			10						
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders 2.16		ss	4		40	2-	73.79	0			
End of Borehole	<u>^^^</u>						10.70				
Practical refusal to augering at 2.16m depth											
(BH dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	<b>40 60</b> ar Strengt		00

patersongro	sulting	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA									
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P		Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor		<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	FG1790	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	February 4	4, 2013		HOLE NO.	BH72-1	2
	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo		er on
SOIL DESCRIPTION			æ	RY	Ĕ٥	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	Cone	mete
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r RQD			• v	Vater Cont	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	Ω.	-	E	RE	N O U N		-77.23	20	40 60	80	_0
Asphaltic concrete 0.20 FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.38	$\mathbb{R}$	⊠ AU ⊗ AU	1 2				-77.23		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.69 Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY		ss	3	50	23	1-	-76.23				
1.45		1 33	3	50	23		70.20		):   : : :		
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, trace cobbles		ss	4	67	13						
2.20						2-	-75.23				
		∦ ss	5	43	50+						
		$\nabla$				3-	-74.23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders		ss	6	83	27			O			
						4-	-73.23				
4.67			_								
End of Borehole	<u>`^^^^^</u>	imes SS	7		50+						<u>88   88</u>
(BH dry upon completion)											
									40 60 ar Strengt	h (kPa)	U
								▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro	OUD Consulting				SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA								1		
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On				lineers	F	Geotechnic Proposed I	Resident			ome	nt - C	Queer	n Stre	et	1
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geo	-	<b>Ottawa, Or</b> cs Limited	ntario			FIL	E NO.				_
REMARKS	ovia	Juby			main							P	G179	16	_
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	January 3	1,2013			НО	LE NC	^{).} B	H73	-12	
	Ę		SAN	IPLE				Pe	n. Re	sis	t. Blo	ows/0	).3m	, c	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			×.	M	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		► 50	) mr	n Dia	a. Cor	ıe	neter uctio	
	STRATA	ТУРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r ROD				> W	ater	· Cor	ntent	%	Piezometer Construction	,
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	REC	N N N N		00.01	2	20	40	6	60	80	1.0	
FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.33	$\bigotimes$	∯ AU	1			0-	-80.21								XXXX
<b>FILL:</b> Brown silty sand with clay and $_{\gamma}$ gravel 0.69	X	₿ AU	2												
	X	ss	3	33	9	1-	-79.21		0	: :					
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY	X	$\square$													XXXX
	X	ss	4	83	13	2-	78.21			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			-	100	10			• • • • • • •							
2.90	XX	ss	5	100	18		-77.21								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders		ss	6	67	10		- / / .21								
<u>3.73</u>			-												
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay		ss	7		21	4 -	-76.21					0			
_clay4.32 End of Borehole		-													-
Practical refusal to augering at 4.32m depth															
(GWL @ 0.56m-Mar. 27, 2013)															

20 40 60 80 Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed

100

natersonard	Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		jineers	P		Resident		pment - (	Queen Street		
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Geon	_	ottawa, On the s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	DC1706		
REMARKS									HOLE NO	PG1796		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	January 3	1, 2013		HOLE NO	[,] BH74-1	2	
	РГОТ		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.			ows/0.3m	re D	
SOIL DESCRIPTION			8	RY	Що	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	a. Cone	mete	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD	1		• •	/ater Cor	ntent %	Piezometer Construction	
GROUND SURFACE	N N		z	RE	z ^o		-81.02	20	40 (	50 80		
FILL: Crushed stone with sand0.30 FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel	${\times}$	-					01.02					
0.69	XX	_										
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders		ss	1	80	15	1-	-80.02		<b>5</b>			
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 1.35m depth												
(BH dry upon completion)												
								20	40 (	60 80 1 ⁰	00	
									r Streng		00	

Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

patersongro	Consulting				g SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P		Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon	-	ttawa, Or s Limited.	ntario		FILE NO.		
REMARKS		-							HOLE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	February ⁻	1, 2013	1	HOLE NO.	BH75-1	2
	РІОТ		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo		er on
SOIL DESCRIPTION			Ř	RY	Be	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	Cone	omete
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	~ RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			4	RE	z ^o		83.02	20	40 60	80	×× ××
Asphaltic concrete0.20 FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.51		⊠ AU	1								
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.81		∝ AU	2								▓₹
Compact, brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> with gravel		∦ ss	3	50	10	1-	82.02	<u>.</u>	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.45		∐ ≖ SS	4	100	50+					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
							01.00				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with		= SS	5	0	50+		-81.02				
sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders									0		
3.22						3-	80.02				
End of Borehole	<u>``^``^`</u> ^	-							·····	······································	
Practical refusal to augering at 3.22m depth											
(GWL @ 0.64m-Mar. 27, 2013)											
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strengt		bo

▲ Undisturbed

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

nater	songroup	Consulting	SOIL PROFILE AN	ID TEST	DATA
-	bad South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7		Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Develop Ottawa, Ontario	pment - Qu	een Street
	nd surface elevations provided by \$	Stantec Geom	atics Limited.	FILE NO.	PG1796
REMARKS				HOLE NO.	BH76-1

Г

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				C	ATE	February ⁻	1,2013		HOLE NO	BH7	6-12
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH			esist. Bl 0 mm Di	ows/0.3m a. Cone	eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)	• V	Vater Co	ntent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			4	R	z	0	07.00	20	40	60 80	
Asphaltic concrete0.20		⊠ AU	4			1 0-	-87.83				
FILL: Crushed stone with sand0.46			1						• • • • • • • • •		
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.69		餐AU	2								
Loose, brown SILTY SAND with gravel, trace cobbles		ss	3	83	6	1-	-86.83	0			
1.49.		ss ≓	4	100	50+						
						0	05.00				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace		2				2	-85.83				
with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay			-	50							
Cidy		∦ ss	5	50	1						
- brown silty clay matrix from 1.8 to						3-	84.83				
3.0m depth		∦ ss	6	77	50+						
3.81		2									
End of Borehole		4									
Practical refusal to augering at 3.81m depth											
(GWL @ 2.88m-Mar. 27, 2013)											
								20		60 80	100
									ar Streng		-l
								▲ Undist	urbed 2	Remoulde	a

patersongro	sulting		SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ID TEST [	)ATA				
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		sulting ineers	Pr		Resident		oment - Quee	n Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor	-	<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	01706	
REMARKS										G1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger	_			DA	TE	February ⁻	1,2013		HOLE NO.	3H77-1	2
	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blows		er on
SOIL DESCRIPTION	1		R	ïRY	Ë Q	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia. Co	ne	omet tructi
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• <b>v</b>	ater Content	%	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE		~		RE	z ^o	0-	-88.17	20	40 60	80	_
FILL: Crushed stone with sand       0.28         FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel		资 AU	1				00.17				
		San	2								
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand		ss	3	33	15	1-	87.17				
with gravel, cobbles, boulders											
		ss	4	0	14	0-	86.17				
2.26 End of Borehole		-				2	00.17				
Practical refusal to augering at 2.26m depth											
								20	40 60	80 10	00
								Shea	r Strength (k	(Pa)	
								▲ Undist	urbed $ riangle$ Rem	ouided	

natoreonard						SOI	l pro		ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		_		ineers	P		Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon		<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Limited.	ntario		FILE NO.	<b>DO</b> 4 <b>D</b> 0	
REMARKS										PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	February ⁻	1,2013		HOLE NO.	BH77A-	·12
	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo		er on
SOIL DESCRIPTION			Ř	RY	Ë e	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	° ≈	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezo
GROUND SURFACE	ß		z	RE	z ⁰	- 0-	-88.17	20	40 60	80	
OVERBURDEN						1-	-87.17				
2.21						2-	86.17				
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.21m depth											
								20 Sho:	40 60 ar Strengtl	80 10 kPa	<b>DO</b>
								Snea ▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA										
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		isulting ineers	P	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by S	Stante	c Geo					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	February	1,2013	1		BH78-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo [.] 0 mm Dia.		ion
SUL DESCRIPTION	STRATA P	TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r ROD	(m)	(m)		later Cont		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS	H	- DN	REC	N OF			20	40 60		٩Ğ
FILL: Crushed stone 0.30			1			- 0-	-91.80				
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel 0.69	$\boxtimes$	au 🖁	2								
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with		F V aa		0.5	•						
sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders		ss	3	25	3	1-	-90.80	0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.75									• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		-				2-	-89.80				
		x ss	4		50+	-	00.00				
					001						
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders		≍ SS	5	100	50+	3-	88.80				
with graver, coopies, boulders		- 00			J0+						
						4-	87.80				
4.65		≍ SS	6	0	50+					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(GWL @ 2.88m-Mar. 27, 2013)											
(GWL @ 2.0011 - Mai. 27, 2013)											
								20 Shea	40 60 Ir Strengtl	80 10 n (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	sulting neers Geotechnical Investigation						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor			Itario		FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS											
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	February ⁻	1,2013		HOLE NO.	BH79-1	2
	ц		SAN	IPLE		DEDTU		Pen. R	esist. Blo	ws/0.3m	r n
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			ĸ	M .	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	. Cone	netel uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Dr RQD			• V	Vater Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	IS	E E	DN N	REC	N N N N			20	40 60	) 80	шO
FILL: Crushed stone 0.28	$\boxtimes$					- 0-	-94.45				
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel		AU	1								
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand		ss	2	50	8	1-	-93.45				
with gravel, trace clay and organics 1.68		∐ ≍ SS	3	100	50+						
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 1.68m depth											
(BH dry upon completion)											
								20	40 60	) 80 10	00
								Shea	ar Strengt	h (kPa)	
								▲ Undist		Remoulded	

	patersongroup Consulti Enginee	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA					
154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa Ontario K2E 7.15		Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development - Queen Stree Ottawa, Ontario					
	DATUM Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Ge	pmatics Limited. FILE NO.					

- 154 Colonn	ade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5	Proposed Residential Development - Queen Stre Ottawa, Ontario						
	FILE NO.	PG1796						
REMARKS BORINGS BY	CME 55 Power Auger DAT	E January 31, 2013	HOLE NO.	BH80-12				

SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT	SAMPLE		DEPTH	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m 50 mm Dia. Cone					eter stion				
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)	• Water Content %				Piezometer Construction		
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	DN	REC	N O			:	20	40	(	50	80	шO
FILL: Crushed stone 0.30	$\times\!\!\times$	₿ AU	1			0-	-96.02							
<b>FILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, clay		Sau ⊡	2					· · · · · · · ·						
GLACIAL TILL:       Brown silty sand         with gravel, cobbles, boulders       1.58		ss	3	38	20	1-	-95.02	<u> </u>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
End of Borehole	^_^^^	≖ SS	4	100	50+						· · · ·			
Practical refusal to augering at 1.58m depth											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(BH dry upon completion)														
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
											· · · · ·			
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
											· · · ·			
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
											· · · ·			
											· · · ·			
											· · · ·			
											· · · ·			
									20	<u> </u>		50 50	80 10	00
									She	ear Starbed	treng	<b>th (kP</b> Remo	Pa)	

patersongro		n	Con	Consulting Engineers		SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA							
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On	Proposed Residential Development - Queen Street												
DATUM     Ground surface elevations provided by Stantec Geomatics Limited.										FILE NO. PG1796			
REMARKS													
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	E February 1, 2013			HOLE NO.	2			
	Ę		SAN	IPLE		DEDTU		Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m			<b>۔ د</b>		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		. X ₪		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	mm Dia. Cone				
	STRATA	TYPE NUMBER		% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• Water Content %			Piezometer Construction		
GROUND SURFACE	S		Z	RE N	z ^o	0	05 77	20 40 60 80					
FILL: Crushed stone with sand 0.30		ĝ ≩ AU	1			] 0-	-95.77						
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel		₿ AU	2										
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay 1.35		ss	3	57	15	1-	-94.77	<u> </u>					
End of Borehole													
Practical refusal to augering at 1.35m depth													
(Piezometer damaged - March 27, 2013)													
									40 60 ar Strength		00		
								▲ Undist	urbed 🛆 F	Remoulded			

natoreonard		in	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO		ND TEST DAT	<b>A</b>
<b>patersongro</b> 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Pr		Resident		pment - Queen St	reet
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon		<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	706
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	90
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				DA	TE	January 3	1,2013		BH8	82-12
	РГОТ		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blows/0.3n	u Po
SOIL DESCRIPTION			R	IRΥ	Ë Q	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia. Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Content %	Piezo
GROUND SURFACE			ž	RE	zö	0-	-95.86	20	40 60 80	
FILL: Crushed stone with gravel 0.30		₿ AU	1				90.00			
FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel										
		ss	2	58	31	1-	94.86	0		
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand										
with gravel, trace clay 2.11		ss	3	78	31	2-	-93.86	Ċ	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	·····
End of Borehole										
Practical refusal to augering at 2.11m depth										
(BH dry upon completion)										
								20	40 60 80	<u> </u>
								Shea	ar Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulde	∋d

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	3	SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P		Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor		<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Limited.	ntario		FILE NO.		
REMARKS										PG1796	
BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger				D	ATE	January 3 ⁻	1,2013		HOLE NO.	BH82A-	12
¥	Ę		SAN	IPLE				Pen. R	esist. Blo	ws/0.3m	. L
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			×.	м	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE DE ROD			• V	Vater Cont	ent %	iezor onstr
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	INN	REC	N OF			20	40 60		٩Ö
						- 0-	-95.86				
OVERBURDEN											
						1-	-94.86				
1.52 End of Borehole		+									
Practical refusal to augering at 1.52m											
depth											
								20	40 60	80 10	
								Shea	ar Strengt	h (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro		n	Con	sulting	1	SOI	l pro		ID TEST	DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		lineers	Pr		Resident		oment - Qu	een Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon	-	<b>tawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.		
REMARKS		,								PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE I	Decembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP 1-12	2
	E		SAN	IPLE					esist. Blov	vs/0.3m	_
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT		æ	RY	벌ㅇ	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	● 50	0 mm Dia.	Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• w	ater Conte	ent %	Piezo Const
GROUND SURFACE	ß		Z	RE	z ^o	0-	-87.12	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL0.30							-86.12				
							-85.12				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> trace sand seams		G	1						6		¥
		-				3-	-84.12				
						4-	-83.12				
5.00	YXX	-				5-	-82.12				
TP terminated in very stiff silty clay at 5.00m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.5m depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undistu	40 60 In Strength Jrbed △ F		00

patersongro		n	Con	sulting	SO	IL PRO	FILE AN	ND TEST	DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		_		ineers	Proposed	Resident		pment - Qu	een Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Georr	Ottawa, O			FILE NO.		
REMARKS	10 Viac	Juby	Otanic						PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				D۵	re Decembe	er 18 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP 2-12	
	н		SAN	IPLE				esist. Blov	vs/0.3m	_
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT				DEPTH	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Dia.		Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	OL ROD		• V	Vater Conte	ent %	Piezo Constr
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	RE	z ⁰	-86.43	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL0.10	XX	_			0	00.43				
Very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> some sand										
<u>1.25</u>		-			1	-85.43			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					2	- 84.43				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY,</b> trace sand seams		– G	1		3	- 83.43		>		Ā
					4	- 82.43				
		G	2		5	-81.43		Q.		
5.50 End of Test Pit	<u>AX</u>	_								
TP terminated in very stiff silty clay at 5.50m depth										
(Groundwater infiltration at 3.0m										
depth)										
							20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strength urbed △ F		00

natoreonard		in	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ID TEST	DATA	
<b>patersongro</b> 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Pro	posed F			oment - Qı	ieen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Geon	-	<b>awa, Or</b> Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	DO1700	
REMARKS								-	HOLE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE D	ecembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP 3-12	
	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blov		го
SOIL DESCRIPTION			щ	RY		(m)	(m)	• 50	) mm Dia.	Cone	pmete
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• w	ater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			z	RE	z°	0-	-87.65	20	40 60	80	
_TOPSOIL0.20						0-	-87.00				
						1-	-86.65				
Hard to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>						2-	-85.65				
		G	1			3-	-84.65				
5.00							-83.65				Ā
End of Test Pit		-				5-	-82.65				
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at 5.00m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 4.5m depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undistu	<b>40 60</b> <b>r Strength</b> ⊮bed △ F		00

natorsonard		in	Con	sulting	SO	IL PRO	FILE AN	ND TEST	DATA	
patersongro		—		ineers		Resident		pment - Qu	een Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	provid	ed by s	Stante	ec Georr				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS								HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1	1		DA	TE Decemb	er 18, 201	2		TP 4-12	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE	DEPTH			esist. Blow 0 mm Dia. (		eter ction
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	VALUE r RQD (m)	(m)	• V	Vater Conte	nt %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	IUN	REC			20	40 60	80	ĒÖ
TOPSOIL					C	-88.33				
0.25		G	1		1	-87.33		0		
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> trace sand seams					2	- 86.33				
					3	-85.33				Σ
4.30		G	2		4	- 84.33		0		
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders		G	3				Ċ			
5.00 End of Test Pit					5	-83.33				
TP terminated in dense glacial till at 5.00m depth										
(Groundwater infiltration at 3.5m depth)										
							20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strength urbed △ Re		 DO

patersongro		n	Con	sulting ineers		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Pr				pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon	_		itario		FILE NO.	DO1700	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1	[		DA	TE [	Decembe	r 18, 2012	2		TP 5-12	)
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo [.] 0 mm Dia.		ter tion
		Ы	BER	VERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)				Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VA OL ]			0 V 20	Vater Cont 40 60		Piero
TOPSOIL0.05		l.				0-	-89.64				
Brown SILTY SAND, some clay 0.25											
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						1-	88.64				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, boulders											
						2-	-87.64				
						3-	86.64				
3.30 End of Test Pit	<u>`^^^^</u>	-									
TP termination on inferred bedrock surface at 3.30m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strengt	80 10 h (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting ineers		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	ST DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	P	ieotechnic Proposed F Ottawa, On	Residenti		pment - C	Queen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by	Stante	c Geon					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NC		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 2012	2		[*] TP 6-12	1
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia	ows/0.3m a. Cone	tion
	STRATA P	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE F ROD	(m)	(m)		Vater Cor		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STF	T.	NUN	RECO	N O R			20		io 80	ĒS
TOPSOIL0.20Stiff, brownSILTY CLAY0.30						- 0-	-90.33		·····		
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						1-	-89.33				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact, brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles,										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
trace boulders										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
						2-	-88.33		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		¥
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.00 End of Test Pit						3-	-87.33				
TP terminated in compact glacial till at											
3.00m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration high at 2.2m depth)											
								20 20	40 6	60 80 10	00
								Shea Undist	ar Streng urbed △	<b>th (KPa)</b> Remoulded	

patersongro		n	Con	sulting	S	OIL	- PRO	FILE AN	ID TES	<b>DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or				ineers	Propose	d F	lesidenti		oment - Qı	Jeen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor	Ottawa,		tario		FILE NO.		
REMARKS		-								PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE Decem	ber	18, 2012	2	HOLE NO.	TP 7-12	
	PLOT		SAN	IPLE	DEPT	н	ELEV.		esist. Blov		er on
SOIL DESCRIPTION		ы	R	IRY	(m)		(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	ometo
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	OF ROD			• <b>v</b>	later Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			4	RE	z	0+	89.82	20	40 60	80	
0.20						Ū	00.02				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY</b>		G	1			1-	88.82	Ō			
						2-	87.82				
2.80 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, trace boulder8.10						3-	86.82				Ā
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, trace cobbles and boulders		_ G	2			4-	85.82	Ö			
						5-	84.82				
5.50 End of Test Pit	<u>`^^^^</u>	-									
TP terminated in dense glacial till at 5.50m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.8m depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 Ir Strength urbed △ F		bo

patersongro		n	Con	sulting ineers		SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ND TES	<b>ST DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	Pr		Resident		pment - C	Queen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor		tawa, On Limited.	ntario		FILE NO.		
REMARKS		<b>,</b>								PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE [	Decembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NC	[°] TP 8-12	
	5		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blo	ows/0.3m	<b>۔ د</b>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT		~	Х	ы	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	a. Cone	mete
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Cor	itent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ົ້		N	REC	z ⁰	0-	-90.51	20	40 6	0 80	щО
TOPSOIL <u>0.30</u>		_				0	90.51				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b>							00 54				
CLAY						1-	-89.51			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.70		G	1						0		
1./0		_									
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, trace gravel, cobbles, boulders						2-	-88.51		·····		
		G	2					0			¥
2.70 End of Test Pit	<u>`^^^^</u>	-									-
TP terminated on inferred bedrock											
surface at 2.70m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.6m depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	ar Streng		00
										nemoulaea	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	l pro	FILE AND ⁻	TEST DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or				ineers	Pre				nt - Queen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovido	ed by	Stante	ec Geon	-			FILE	^{E NO.} <b>PG1796</b>	
REMARKS								но		
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1			DA	те [	Decembe	r 18, 201		TP 9-12	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		t. Blows/0.3m n Dia. Cone	eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Pr RQD	(11)	(11)	• Water	Content %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ν.		E E	REC	N Or N	0	- 89.98	20 40	60 80	
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY 		G	1				- 88.98			¥
								20 40 Shear Str ▲ Undisturbed	60 80 10 rength (kPa) △ Remoulded	00

patersongro		n	Con	sulting	1	SOI	l pro	FILE AI	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Residenti		pment - G	ueen Street	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by	Stante	c Geon					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO	1	
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1			DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		<b>TP10-1</b>	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo i0 mm Dia		leter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	<i>∞</i> RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD		(,	• <b>v</b>	Vater Con	itent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ũ	_	ž	RE	z ^ö		-92.09	20	40 6	0 80	
<b>TOPSOIL</b> <u>0.20</u>	· ^ ^ ^ ^ /	_					92.09			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders						1-	-91.09				
Sand, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders		G	1								
2.00							-90.09	Φ			
End of Test Pit						2-	-90.09				
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.00m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt turbed △	0 80 1 th (kPa) Remoulded	<b>00</b>

patersongro		in	Con	sulting	SC	IL PRC	FILE AND TEST DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		—		isulting ineers	Proposed	Residen	stigation tial Development - Queen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Geom	Ottawa, C		FILE NO.	
REMARKS							PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	re Decemb	er 18, 20 ⁻	12 HOLE NO. <b>TP11-12</b>	
	E		SAN	IPLE	DEDT		Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m	<u> </u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT		~	2	DEPTI	H ELEV. (m)	• 50 mm Dia. Cone	mete uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	r ROD		• Water Content %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	<u>5</u>		ŭ	REC	zo		20 40 60 80	-0
TOPSOIL0.15	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -				(	)+87.13		
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY with sand		G	1				0	
0.90		_			1	86.13		
Hard to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>					2	2-85.13		_
								<b>∑</b>
3.00								
3.00					3	3+84.13		
		G	2					
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY					2	1-83.13		
					Ę	5-82.13		
							Υ	
5.50	μ <i>X</i>	-						
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at								
5.50m depth								
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.2m depth)								
							20         40         60         80         100           Shear Strength (kPa)         ▲         Undisturbed         △         Remoulded	

natorsona	rni	in	Con	sulting	SO	L PRC	FILE AN	ND TEST	<b>DATA</b>	
<b>patersong</b> 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa		_		isulting ineers	Proposed	Residen		pment - Qı	ieen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevation				c Geom	Ottawa, Or atics Limited.			FILE NO.		
REMARKS		,							PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	<b>TE</b> Decembe	er 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP12-12	2
	Ę		SAN	IPLE				esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			<u></u> ,	DEPTH	ELEV. (m)	• 5	i0 mm Dia.	Cone	neter uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	r ROD		• V	Vater Conte	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	L S		DN I	REC	zo		20	40 60	80	шO
	0.10				0	87.50				
Stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> trace sand	0.50									
	1									
					1	86.50				
		G	2							
Hard to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY										
								φ		
					2	85.50				
	<u>2.60</u>	4								₽
					3	-84.50				
						01.00				
									0	
		G	3						<b>O</b>	
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY					4	83.50				
					5	-82.50				
						02.00				
	5.50	4								
End of Test Pit										
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at 5.50m depth										
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.6m										
depth)										
							20	40 60	<u> </u>	00
							Shea	ar Strength	ı (kPa)	
							▲ Undist		Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	Pr	eotechnic oposed I tawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Qi	ueen Street	t
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor		-			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY Backhoe		1		DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		TP13-1	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH			esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.		eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE F ROD	(m)	(m)	• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	<b>N</b> N	REC	и о И			20	40 60		ЪQ
TOPSOIL     0.30       Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace     0.60	VX X	G	1				-88.52		O		
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b>		G	2				- 87.52 - 86.52				
CLAY		G	2				- 85.52		Ó		
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders 4.40		G	3			4-	-84.52	Ō			V
End of Test Pit TP terminated in dense glacial till at 4.40m depth (Groundwater infiltration at 3.4m depth)								20	10 60	80 1	8
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengti turbed △ 1		00

patersongro		in	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	<b>F DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		sulting ineers	Pro	posed F			pment - Qı	Jeen Street	:
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor		<b>awa, Or</b> Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	DC1700	
REMARKS										PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE D	)ecembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP14-12	2
	ЧТ		SAN	IPLE				Pen. Re	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	. с
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			×		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	➡ 50	0 mm Dia.	Cone	neter uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Dr RQD			• <b>N</b>	later Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ST	Ĥ	IÚN I	REC	и v N			20	40 60	80	₽Ğ
TOPSOIL 0.15		-				0-	-92.23			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY</b> , trace sand		G	1								-
1.15		_ G				1-	-91.23		<u> </u>		
1		-									
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty						2-	-90.23				-
sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay											- - -
						3-	89.23				
3 70											Ţ
End of Test Pit	<u>. ^ . ^ . /</u>	-									
TP terminated on inferred bedrock											
surface at 3.70m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 3.5m depth)											
								20 20 Shea ▲ Undistu	$\begin{array}{c c} 40 & 60 \\ ar Strength \\ urbed & \triangle 1 \\ \end{array}$		00 00

patersongro		In	Con	sulting	1	SOI	l pro	FILE AI	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P	ieotechnic roposed I ottawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor		-			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	ATE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		TP15-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo i0 mm Dia		neter Iction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• v	Vater Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ũ	_	ž	RE	zö		-95.08	20	40 6	0 80	
TOPSOIL 0.30						0	95.06				
						1-	-94.08				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders							94.00				
						2-	-93.08				
2.80											₽
End of Test Pit		-									
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.80m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.7m											
depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengt turbed △		1 DO

patersong	<b>'</b> NI	In	Con	sulting	SO	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa		—		isulting jineers	Proposed	Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street	
<b>DATUM</b> Ground surface elevation				ec Geom	Ottawa, O			FILE NO.	DC1700	
REMARKS									PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	re Decembe	er 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	<b>TP16-1</b> 2	2
	Ę		SAN	IPLE			Pen. R	esist. Blo	ws/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			2	DEPTH	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	meter
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	r ROD		• V	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	<u>0</u>		L L	RE(	zo	00.51	20	40 60	80	
	.20	r.			0	-88.51				
Stiff to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY</b> , trace sand	.00					07.51				
		G	1			-87.51			o	
Hard to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>					2	-86.51				¥
		G	2		3	-85.51		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3	. <u>60</u>									
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY					4	-84.51				
					5	-83.51				
5	.50	4								
End of Test Pit TP terminated in stiff silty clay at 5.50m depth										
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.3m depth)										
							20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengtl urbed △		00

patersongro		in	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AI	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	Pr		Residenti		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon		<b>tawa, Or</b> s Limited.			FILE NO.		
REMARKS		-								PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP17-12	2
	Ę		SAN	IPLE				Pen. R	esist. Blo	ws/0.3m	. c
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			X	M -	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	50 mm Dia	. Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Pr RQD			• V	Vater Con	tent %	iezor onstr
GROUND SURFACE	LI S	H	<b>N</b> N	REC	N O U			20	40 60		۳Q
TOPSOIL0.15		_				0-	-87.74				
Brown SILTY SAND with clay											
Very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b> , trace	IXX	-									
_sand1.05		-				1-	-86.74				
Very stiff to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY											
						2-	85.74				
2.30 End of Test Pit	AX.	-									
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.30m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
									40 60 ar Strengt	h (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	turbed △	Remoulded	

natersonard	Consulting Engineers						SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA					
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		_		jineers	Pr	eotechnic oposed I	Resident		pment - C	Queen Street		
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Geor		tawa, Or	ntario		FILE NO.			
REMARKS	i o ria		ortainte		latioe					PG1796		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE [	Decembe	r 18. 201:	2	HOLE NO	[.] TP18-1:	2	
	5		SAN						esist Bla	ows/0.3m		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		-		M .	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Dia		Piezometer Construction	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	later Cor	itent %	piezor	
GROUND SURFACE	เง		Ы	REC	z ⁶		07.04	20	40 6	0 80	<b>EO</b>	
0.15	77 X	-				0-	-87.64					
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY with sand						1-	-86.64					
Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY												
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty clay with		G	1			2-	-85.64	0			Ţ	
sand, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders 3.20						3-	-84.64					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 3.20m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.9m depth)												
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	ar Streng		1 00	

patersongro		in	Con	sulting ineers		SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ND TES	<b>F DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Pr	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Qı	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geon	_		Italio		FILE NO.	DC1706	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP19-12	2
	턴		SAN	IPLE		DEDTU		Pen. R	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	<u> </u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	<b>PLOT</b>		~	۲X	ы .	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	mete uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	∾ RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS I	H	<b>NN</b>	REC	N O L O			20	40 60		шĞ
TOPSOIL 0.25						- 0-	-90.02			······	
Compact, brown SILTY SAND,											
some clay											
<u>0.95</u>		-				1-	-89.02				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, trace		G	1			2-	-88.02				
boulders								0			
- grey by 2.8m depth		-									⊻
3 30		G	2			3-	-87.02	0			
End of Test Pit		-									
TP terminated in dense glacial till at 3.30m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.8m											
depth)											
								20	40 60		DO
									ar Strength		

patersongro		in	Con	sulting		SOI	l pro	FILE AN	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	Pr	eotechnic oposed F tawa, On	Residenti		oment - G	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor					FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO		
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1			DA	TE [	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		<b>TP20-1</b>	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia	ows/0.3m . Cone	eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	()	()	• <b>v</b>	/ater Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	Ñ	_	N	L RE	zÓ	0-	-89.24	20	40 6	0 80	
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, trace clay and boulders <u>1.70</u> End of Test Pit TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.70m depth (Groundwater infiltration at 1.7m depth)		G	1			1-	- 88.24	O			¥
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt urbed △		00

patersongro		n	Con	sulting		SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	<b>F DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		-		ineers	P		Residenti		pment - Qı	leen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon		<b>ttawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1			DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 2012	2		TP21-12	2
	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blov		er
SOIL DESCRIPTION	1		R	ιRY	ËQ	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• V	Vater Cont	ent %	Piez
GROUND SURFACE	ß		z	RE	zÓ	- 0-	-89.41	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL 0.30		_					00.11				
Compact, brown SILTY SAND 0.40		-									
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace							00.44				
sand						1-	-88.41				
1.60											
Fractured <b>BEDROCK</b>		-									
2.00 End of Test Pit		<u> </u>				2-	-87.41				
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20	40 60	80 10	
								Shea	ar Strength	n (kPa)	
								▲ Undist	urbed 🛆 l	Remoulded	

patersongro		In	Con	sulting		SOI	l pro	FILE AI	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Pre	otechnic oposed I tawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor			Itario		FILE NO.	DC1706	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1	1		DA	TE [	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		TP22-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		lesist. Blo 50 mm Dia		er
SOIL DESCRIPTION	1	ы	IR	IRY	ВQ	(m)	(m)	• 3	o mm Dia	. Cone	omet
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• V	Water Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	03		4	RE	z ^o	0-	-89.36	20	40 60	) 80	_
TOPSOIL		_				-					
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace											
sand						4	-88.36				
1.40						I	00.30			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<u>1.40</u> End of Test Pit											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock											
surface at 1.40m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								~	40 ~~		
									40 60 ar Strengt	h (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	turbed △	Remoulded	

natersonard	Consulting Engineers					SOI	l pro	FILE AI	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	P	ieotechnic roposed F ottawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Q	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor	-				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		TP23-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	PLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blo 0 mm Dia		ster
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)		Vater Con		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	<b>DN</b>	REC	N OF			20	40 60		۳Q
TOPSOIL						- 0-	-93.53				
0.35 Very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY,</b> trace sand0.75											
End of Test Pit		-									
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 0.75m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 6 ar Strengt urbed △		00

patersongro	roup Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA					
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ot		-		ineers	Pr		Resident		pment - Qı	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon		<b>tawa, Or</b> s Limited.			FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1	1		DA	TE [	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		TP24-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.		eter Stion
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	°% © © © © © © ©	VALUE DE ROD	(m)	(m)	• V	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ST	F	<b>N</b>	REC	N O L O			20	40 60	80	шÖ
TOPSOIL 0.30						0-	-93.69				
GLACIAL TILL: Very stiff, brown silty clay with sand, gravel, trace						1-	-92.69				
silty clay with sand, gravel, trace cobbles and boulders		G	1				92.09	<b>.</b>			
1.65 End of Test Pit	<u>;   ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ / </u>	F						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.65m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengti urbed △	80 10 h (kPa) Remoulded	00

natorsonard	OUP Consulting Engineers					SOI	L PRO	FILE AI	ND TES	T DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On		—		ineers	Pr	eotechnic oposed I tawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Qi	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	rovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor	-				FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	FG1730	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE [	Decembe	r 17, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP25-12	2
	턴		SAN	IPLE				Pen. R	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	<u> </u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			۲X	ы .	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	mete uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r RQD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	IS			REC	N Or N			20	40 60	80	шO
TOPSOIL 0.25						0-	-88.41				
Brown SILTY SAND, trace clay 0.35		-									
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace											
sand 1.00											
						1-	-87.41				
	X									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
							00.44				
Hard to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY	X					2-	-86.41				
	X										
	X						05.44				
3.30						3-	-85.41				
<u>3.30</u>		1									₽
	X										
							0.4.44				
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY		G	1			4-	-84.41			0	
4.70	X										
End of Test Pit											
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at											
4.70m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 3.3m											
depth)											
									40 60 ar Strengtl	ו (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	turbed ∆ I	Remoulded	

patersongro	Consulting					SOI	l pro	FILE AN	<b>ID TEST</b>	DATA	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	Pro				oment - Que	en Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovide	ed by	Stante	c Geor			Itario		FILE NO.	PG1796	
REMARKS								-			
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE D	ecembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLL NO.	TP26-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAM	IPLE	I	DEPTH	ELEV.		sist. Blows ) mm Dia. C		tion
		ы	ER	ERY	H Q	(m)	(m)				Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• w	ater Conter	nt %	Piez
GROUND SURFACE					z	0-	-88.44	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL       0.15         Hard to very stiff, brown SILTY         CLAY, trace sand       0.60											
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY						1-	-87.44				
<u>1.80</u>											
						2-	-86.44				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders											
- grey by 2.8m depth						3-	-85.44				Ţ
3.30 End of Test Pit		-									
TP terminated in dense glacial till at 3.30m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration high at 3.14m depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undistu			00

patersongro	Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	P		Resident		pment - Qı	ieen Street		
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geon	_	ttawa, On s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	D01700		
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	PG1796		
BORINGS BY Backhoe	1	1		DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2		TP27-12	2	
	PLOT		SAM	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist. Blov		ion Ion	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	1		R	IRY	۲	(m)	(m)	• 3	0 mm Dia.	Cone	omet	
	STRATA	ТУРЕ	NUMBER	<i>∞</i> RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• v	Vater Conte	ent %	Piezometer Construction	
GROUND SURFACE			2	RE	z ⁰		-90.90	20	40 60	80	_	
<b>TOPSOIL</b> 0.15						0	00.00					
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact to dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders		G	1			1-	- 89.90	D				
<u>1.30</u> End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.30m depth												
(TP dry upon completion)												
								20	40 60	80 10	00	
								Shea	ar Strength	ı (kPa)		
								▲ Undist		Remoulded		

natersonard	Consulting Engineers				SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA					
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed I ttawa, Or	Resident		ent - Queen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p	orovido	ed by	Stante	ec Geor			Italio	FIL	LE NO. PG1796	
REMARKS								но		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 18, 201	2	TP28-12	2
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		st. Blows/0.3m Im Dia. Cone	leter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD		()	• Wate	er Content %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ō	-	ž	RE	zö		-87.94	20 40	0 60 80	
TOPSOIL/ORGANICS							07.04			
0.60	^^^^	_								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay with		G	1			1-	-86.94			
sand, gravel, cobbles, trace boulders										
End of Test Pit										
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.75m depth										
(TP dry upon completion)										
								20 40 Shear Si ▲ Undisturbed	trength (kPa)	0 <b>0</b>

patersongro	Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA							
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		_		ineers	Pro	posed F			pment - Qı	ueen Street			
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geor		<b>awa, Or</b> Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	D01700			
REMARKS										PG1796			
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE D	ecembe	r 18, 201	2	HOLE NO.	<b>TP29-1</b> 2	2		
	F		SAM	IPLE				Pen. R	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT					DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	● 5	i0 mm Dia.	Cone	Piezometer Construction		
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	RECOVERY	VALUE DE ROD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezo Const		
GROUND SURFACE	ū		E E	RE	N 0 N	0	07.00	20	40 60	80			
TOPSOIL0.15		-				0-	-87.08						
Very stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace													
sand													
<u>1.00</u>						1-	86.08						
						·	00.00						
						2-	85.08						
Hard to atiff brown SILTY CLAY													
Hard to stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>													
						3-	84.08						
						4-	83.08						
4.35													
End of Test Pit													
TP terminated on inferred bedrock													
surface at 4.35m depth													
(TP dry upon completion)													
								20 Show	40 60		00		
								Shea	ar Strengtł turbed ∆ I	<b>1 (KPa)</b> Remoulded			

patersongr	OUD Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, 0		-		ineers	Pr		Resident		pment - Q	ueen Street		
DATUM Ground surface elevations				c Geor		t <b>tawa, Or</b> s Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	DC1700		
REMARKS										PG1796		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 18 201:	2	HOLE NO.	<b>TP30-1</b> 2	2	
			CAN	IPLE			, 2011		esist. Blo	wo/0.2m		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT				Щ о	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Dia.		Piezometer Construction	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezo Consti	
GROUND SURFACE	N N		z	RE	z ^o		00 E7	20	40 60	80		
TOPSOIL 0.1		_				0-	-86.57					
Loose, brown SILTY SAND 0.2 Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace		1									- -	
sand		]										
0.8		1										
		1				1-	-85.57					
		1									-	
		1				2-	-84.57					
Hard to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY												
		1										
		]										
						3-	-83.57					
		1										
											-	
		1										
		]				4-	-82.57					
4.6	50 <b>1</b>	1									. ⊥	
		1										
		1				5-	-81.57				-	
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY						_						
		1									4	
5.6	30 I I											
End of Test Pit		T				6-	-80.57					
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at 5.80m depth							00.07					
(Groundwater infiltration at 4.6m												
depth)												
								20 20	40 60		00	
								Snea ▲ Undist	ar Strengt	n (KPa) Remoulded		

natoreonard		in	Cons	sulting	SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
<b>patersongro</b> 154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		-		neers	Propo	osed F			pment - Qı	ueen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				c Geom	Ottaw		itario		FILE NO.	<b>DO1</b>	
REMARKS										PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	re Dec	embe	r 17, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP31-12	2
	ЪТ		SAM	PLE				Pen. R	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	~ ~
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT		~	2	(	EPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	mete uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE Dr ROD			• v	later Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS	H	DN	REC	ч Ч С		~~ ~~	20	40 60	80	шO
		_				0-	-82.57				
Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace		-									
						1-	81.57				
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b>											
CLAY						2-	-80.57				
						-	00.07				
						3-	-79.57				
<u>3.50</u>											¥
Stiff, grey <b>SILTY CLAY</b>						4-	-78.57				
End of Test Pit		-									
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 4.17m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 3.5m											
depth)											
								20	40 60	80 10	00
								Shea	ar Strengtl	ו (kPa)	
								▲ Undist	urbed $ riangle$ I	Remoulded	

patersongro	Consulting Engineers					SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA						
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Or		_		jineers	Pr		Residenti		pment - Qı	ieen Street		
DATUM Ground surface elevations p				ec Georr		<b>tawa, On</b> s Limited.	ntario		FILE NO.	<b>DO</b> ( <b>D</b> 00		
REMARKS		-								PG1796		
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE [	Decembe	r 17. 2012	2	HOLE NO.	TP32-12	2	
			SAN	IPLE					esist. Blov	vs/0.3m		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT				Що	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Dia.		Piezometer Construction	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• V	Vater Conte	ent %	Piezo Constr	
GROUND SURFACE	S		Z	RE	z ^o	0-	-82.26	20	40 60	80		
Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace	• • • •	_				0	02.20					
		G	1						0			
						1-	-81.26					
						2-	-80.26					
						_	00.20					
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	2									
						3-	-79.26					
						4-	-78.26					
									d			
<u>4.90</u>		1				5-	-77.26				<b>₽</b>	
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY						0	77.20					
Surf, grey SILTT CLAT												
5.89												
End of Test Pit		+										
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 5.89m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration high at 4.9m												
depth)												
								20 Shor	40 60 ar Strength		00	
								Indist	-	Remoulded		

natersonar	roup Consulting Engineers					SOI	L PRO	FILE AN	ND TES	<b>F DATA</b>	
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, C		-		ineers	P	eotechnic roposed F ttawa, Or	Residenti		pment - Qı	Jeen Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations	provid	ed by	Stante	ec Geor			Itario		FILE NO.	DC1706	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE	Decembe	r 17, 2012	2	HOLE NO.	TP33-12	2
	5		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blov	ws/0.3m	<u>ہ</u> ہے
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT		~	ЗХ	що	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	mete
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	VALUE Dr RQD			• v	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS		RC	REC	N O U		00 70	20	40 60	80	щО
	5	L G	1			- 0-	-86.70	0			
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace 0.5	-					1-	-85.70				
		_ G	3				00.70			0	
Hard to very stiff, brown <b>SILTY</b> <b>CLAY</b>		_ G	3			2-	-84.70				
3.3	0					3-	-83.70				V
		G	4			4-	-82.70			¢	
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY						5-	-81.70				
6.5	0					6-	-80.70				
End of Test Pit											
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at 6.50m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 3.3m depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	$\begin{array}{ccc} 40 & 60 \\ ar Strengthurbed                                    $		<b>DO</b>

patersongr	<b>TOUD</b> Consulting Engineers					Ulting SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA					
154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, C		-		ineers	Pr	oposed F			pment - Qu	een Street	
DATUM Ground surface elevations				c Geon		tawa, Or Limited.	itario		FILE NO.	DC1706	
REMARKS										PG1796	
BORINGS BY Backhoe				DA	TE [	Decembe	r 17, 201	2	HOLE NO.	TP34-12	2
	E		SAN	IPLE		D-D-11		Pen. R	esist. Blow	/s/0.3m	L
SOIL DESCRIPTION	<b>PLOT</b>		~	۲.	ы	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia.	Cone	Piezometer Construction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	VALUE r rod			• v	Vater Conte	ent %	^o iezo
GROUND SURFACE	LS.		<b>N</b>	REC	N OF OF	0	00.01	20	40 60	80	щО
TOPSOIL 0.2	5					0-	-86.21				
Loose, brown SILTY SAND 0.5											
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, trace											
	0					1-	-85.21				
						·	00.21				
						2-	-84.21				
Hard to stiff, brown SILTY CLAY											
						3-	-83.21				
		1									
						4-	-82.21			······	
										·····	
4.7	o	1									¥
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY		G	1			F	-81.21		·····	Э	
						5-	-01.21				
End of Test Pit		Ť									
TP terminated in stiff silty clay at 5.30m depth											
Groundwater infiltration at 4.7m											
depth)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strength urbed △ R		00

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	G5201	
REMARKS										P 1-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator					DATE 2	2021 Feb	oruary 26				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT			/PLE 것	Що	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blows/ 0 mm Dia. Co		ter ction
	STRATA	Ξ₫ХТ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• <b>v</b>	later Content	%	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	_			8	2 *	0-	82.71	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL       0.13         Brown SILTY CLAY       0.52		G G	1 2					0			-
Very stiff brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b> some silty sand		G	3			1-	-81.71				
							01.71				
		_ G	4			2-	-80.71		0		-
2.58 End of Test Pit		G	5						.0		
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strength (k	80 1 Pa)	00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

 $\blacktriangle$  Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE N	ю. PG5201	
REMARKS									HOLE	^{NO.} TP 2-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator					ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 26				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT			/IPLE	Шо	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)			Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	ter
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• •	Vater C	content %	Piezometer
GROUND SURFACE TOPSOIL		G	1	R	ZŬ	0-	-86.51	20	40	60 80	ĒĊ
0. <u>19</u>		_ G									
Brown SILTY CLAY with sand0.31 Very stiff brown SILTY CLAY		G	2						0		
						1-	-85.51				
		G	3						O		
						2-	-84.51		C	)	
2.49		G	4								
End of Test Pit								20	40	60 80 1 ngth (kPa)	

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

 $\blacktriangle$  Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									<u> </u>	FILE I	بە. PG	<b>5201</b>	
REMARKS					_					HOLE	^{NO.} TP	3-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator SOIL DESCRIPTION		PLOT		SAN	D APLE	DATE 2	2021 Feb	ELEV.	Pen. R		Blows/0. Dia. Con	3m	
SUIL DESCRIPTION		STRATA P	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)			Content %		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE		STF	Ъ	NUN	RECO	N OF			20	40		o 30	Piezo
TOPSOIL	<u>0.14</u>		G	1			0-	-86.26					
Brown SILTY CLAY some sand	<u>0.31</u>	1/1/1/											
Very stiff brown SILTY CLAY	_ <u>0.01</u>												-
			_ G	2			1-	-85.26		ρ			-
			_										
			_ G	3			2-	-84.26		0			
End of Test Pit	_ <u>2.38</u>		G	4									
									20	10	60 1		
									20 Shea	40 ar Stre	60 8 ngth (kPa	30 10 a)	00

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic										E NO.	PG5201	
REMARKS BORINGS BY Excavator				F		2021 Feb	ruary 26		HOL	.e No	[•] TP 4-21	
			SVI	/PLE					•		ows/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			1	M .	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)				. Cone	ter tion
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• V	Vater	Con	tent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	N.		N	REC	z ö	- 0-	-87.13	20	40	6	0 80	O Eie
<b>FILL:</b> Brown silty sand with crushed stone, gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	1				07.10					
0.98		G 	2						0			-
Stiff brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	3			1-	-86.13		0			
						2-	-85.13					
End of Test Pit		G	4					20	40	6	0 80 11	
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Str turbed	6 engl	0 8 <mark>0</mark> 10 : <b>h (kPa)</b> Remoulded	00

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

40

20

▲ Undisturbed

80

△ Remoulded

60 Shear Strength (kPa) 100

# Geotechnical Investigation

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, On	tario k	(2E 7J	15					al Develop		, Ontario	
DATUM Geodetic					-				FILE NO	D. PG5201	
REMARKS									HOLE N	10	
BORINGS BY Excavator				DA	TE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 26	1		^O TP 5-21	1
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.			lows/0.3m ia. Cone	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		ы	ER	ERY	Ba	(m)	(m)				neter uctio
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			0 <b>N</b>	/ater Co	ontent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE		G	1	Ř	4	0-	-86.65	20	40	60 80	чо
Very stiff brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	2				-85.65		0		
End of Test Pit											

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

REMARKS         HOLE NO.         TP 6-21           SOIL DESCRIPTION         5         SAMPLE         DEPTH (m)         Pen. Resist. Blows0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone • 0 Water Content % 20 40 60 80 • 0 40         • 0 • 0 Water Content % 20 40 60 80 • 0 40           GROUND SURFACE         C         1         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         0         Water Content % 20 40 60 80         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         - <t< th=""><th>DATUM Geodetic</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>•</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>FILE NO. PG5201</th></t<>	DATUM Geodetic					•				FILE NO. PG5201
SOIL DESCRIPTION         SAMPLE         DEPTH M         ELEV (m)         Pen. Resist. Blows0.3m           GROUND SURFACE         0.19         -6         1         -6         -7         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9         -9					_			00		HOLE NO. TP 6-21
SOIL DESCRIPTION         OPENAL         ELEV. (m)         • 50 mm Dia. Cone         Digging of the transmission of the transmissinterval definition	BORINGS BY Excavator			C 4 4		ATE 2	2021 Feb	oruary 26	Den D	
TOPSOIL       0.19       G       1         Brown SILTY CLAY       G       2         GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders       G       4         End of Test Pit       G       4	SOIL DESCRIPTION					M -				
TOPSOIL       0.19       G       1         Brown SILTY CLAY       G       2         GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders       G       4         End of Test Pit       G       4		<b>FRATA</b>	LYPE	JMBER	°° COVER	VALUE RQD			• <b>v</b>	/ater Content %
Brown SILTY CLAY       0.19       G       2         G       2       G       3		Ω.			REC	z Ö	0-	87 93	20	40 60 80 <u><u>a</u> O</u>
G 2 G 3 CLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with boulders 2.22 G 4 End of Test Pit	TOPSOIL		_ G 	1				07.30		
G 2 G 3 1.79 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders End of Test Plt C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4	Brown SILTY CLAY									
G 2 G 3 1.79 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders End of Test Plt C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4										
G 2 G 3 1.79 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders End of Test Plt C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4 C 4										
G 2 G 3 Some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders End of Test Pit							1-	- 86 03		0
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 2.22 G 4 G 4								00.95		
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 2.22 G G 4			G	2						
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 2.22 G 4 End of Test Pit			G	3						0
boulders <u>2.22</u> (A) G 4 End of Test Pit	1.79									
boulders <u>2.22</u> (A) G 4 End of Test Pit	GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with							05.00		
End of Test Pit	some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		_ G	4			2-	-85.93		Ó.
Shear Strength (kPa)		<u>^.^.</u>	u							
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
Shear Strength (kPa)										
									Shea	ar Strength (kPa)

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE	NO.	G5201	
REMARKS									HOLE	^{Е NO.} ТР	7-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Feb	oruary 26			1	1-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ			/IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)			Blows/0 Dia. Cor		ter tion
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE of ROD			• <b>v</b>	ater (	Content	%	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	01		2	RE	zÓ	0-	-88.71	20	40	60	80	i č
TOPSOIL		G	1				00.71					
Brown SILTY SAND 0.46		G	2					Φ				
Stiff brown SILTY CLAY			0						0			-
		G	3			1-	-87.71					
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty clay, with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	4					0				
2.08 End of Test Pit						2-	-86.71					-
								20 Shea	40 r Stre	60 ength (kF	80 11 <b>2</b> a)	00

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

 $\blacktriangle$  Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	G5201	
REMARKS				_						P 8-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator					ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 26				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			NPLE 건	M	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blows/ 0 mm Dia. Co		ter
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	° ≈ © © ©	N VALUE or RQD			• <b>v</b>	Vater Content	%	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ω		Z	RE	zö	- 0-	-89.57	20	40 60	80	ĒČ
TOPSOIL 0.19		G	1					0			
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b>		G	2						Ó		
Stiff brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	3			1-	-88.57	C			
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 1.92		G	4					O	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
End of Test Pit											
Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 1.92 m depth											
								20 Shea	40 60 ar Strength (k	80 10 Pa)	00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic					·				FILE	NO. PG520	1
						2001 Eab			HOLE	^{E NO.} TP 9-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator	<b>E</b> 4		GVI	/IPLE		2021 Feb	luary 20		ociet	Blows/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT					DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)			Dia. Cone	er
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	° ≈ © © © ©	N VALUE or RQD			0 <b>V</b>	/ater (	Content %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	NUN	RECO	N O H			20	40	60 80	Piez
TOPSOIL0.16		_				0-	-89.24				
Stiff brown SILTY CLAY		G	1								
		G	2					0			
						1-	88.24		0		
		G	3								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
								Ō			
1.81 GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with brown silty sand, gravel, cobbles an@02		G	4								
boulders	<u>`^^^^</u>					2-	-87.24				
End of Test Pit											
Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 2.02 m depth											
								20 Shea	40 ar Stre	ength (kPa)	100
			1	1				▲ Undist	urbed	△ Remoulded	

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

 $\blacktriangle$  Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

datum Geodetic remarks								5		PG5201	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 26		HOLE NO.	P10-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blows 0 mm Dia. C		
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(11)	(11)	• <b>v</b>	/ater Conter	nt %	Diozomotor
GROUND SURFACE	s.		N	REC	z ^õ	0	-88.32	20	40 60	80	
<b>TOPSOIL</b>	9	G	1				-00.32				
Brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	2						0		
		G	3			1-	-87.32		0		
2. <u>18</u> End of Test Pit	3	G	4			2-	-86.32				•
Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 2.18 m depth											
								20	40 60 ar Strength (	80 10	00

## patersongroup Consulting SOIL PROFILE / Geotechnical Investigation

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

100

DATUM	G

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	ario ł	(2E 7J	5		Pr Ca	oposed F ardinal Cr	Residenti reek Villa	al Develo ge South	pment - Otta	t wa, (	Ontari	0	
DATUM Geodetic									FILE	NO.	PG	5201	
REMARKS									HOL	e no.	TP1 ⁻	1 01	
BORINGS BY Excavator		1		DA	TE	2021 Feb	ruary 26				IFI	1-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. F	Resist. 50 mm				ы U
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(,	(11)	0	Nater	Cont	ent %		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS.	н	NN	REC	Z O			20	40	60	80	)	Cor Cor
TOPSOIL		G	1			- 0-	-86.87						
0.21_ Brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	2						0				
		G	3			1-	-85.87						
						2-	-84.87		0				
End of Test Pit		G	4						O				

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

**Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development** Cardinal Creek Village South - Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	PG5201	
REMARKS									HOLE NO	า	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Mar	rch 1	1		[•] TP12-21	1
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			/IPLE 거	M -	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Bl 0 mm Dia	ows/0.3m a. Cone	ter tion
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	°% RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD			• <b>v</b>	later Col	ntent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE			4	RE	zo	0-	82.56	20	40	60 80	ĔŎ
FILL: Fragmented rock with brown silty sand		_ G	1								
Hard brown SILTY CLAY		_ G	2						- O		
		_				1-	-81.56				
										2	28
<u>2.3</u>		G G	3 4			2-	-80.56	0 0			
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand, some clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders2.58 End of Test Pit	5 <u>\^^^^^</u>	G	5								
Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 2.55 m depth											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	r Streng		00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO. PG5201
REMARKS									HOLE NO. TP13-21
BORINGS BY Excavator					ATE 2	2021 Mar	rch 1		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			/IPLE 건	M -	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			• <b>v</b>	0 mm Dia. Cone     um Dia. Cone       Vater Content %     um Dia. Cone       40     60     80
GROUND SURFACE	07		4	RE	z	0-	-87.22	20	40 60 80 ⊡Ŭ
TOPSOIL       0.22         GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay some sand, trace gravel, cobbles and boulders       0.60         GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand some gravel, cobbles and boulders       0.60         GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand some gravel, cobbles and boulders       2.95		G	1			1-	-86.22	0 0	
End of Test Pit Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 2.95 m depth								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 80 100 ar Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

△ Remoulded

Undisturbed

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

 •••	•	-		~	•

DATUM Geodetic					·				FILE NC	PG5201	
REMARKS				_		0001 Ma	unda al		HOLE N	^{o.} TP14-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator	<b>E</b> 1		641	/IPLE		2021 Mar		Don P	point P	lows/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT			1	Ë۵	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)			a. Cone	eter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	°% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD					ntent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE				2	2	0-	-93.44	20	40	60 80	<u> </u>
TOPSOIL 0.2		G	1								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand some gravel, cobbles and boulders						1-	-92.44				
		G	2				01.44	O			
2 0	5					2-	-91.44				
End of Test Pit											
Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 2.95 m depth								20	40	60 80 1	00
										60 80 1 gth (kPa)	00

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

^{rs} Geotechnical Investigation
 Proposed Residential Development
 Cardinal Creek Village South - Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

											E NO.	PG	5201	
REMARKS				_		0004 14				но	LE NC	TP1	5-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator					DATE	2021 Mar	rch 1							
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	MPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)					ows/0.: . Cone		er tion
	STRATA	STRATA TYPE NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER NUMBER						• Water Content %				, 0	Piezometer Construction	
GROUND SURFACE	S		z	RE	z o	0	04 54	2	20	40	6	0 8	80	¦≞ S
TOPSOIL						0-	-94.54							
0.27	' `^^^^	<u>–</u> -								o				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand some gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	1											
		ĵ												-
1 10						1-	93.54							-
End of Test Pit		-												
Refusal to excavation on bedrock														
surface at 1.10 m depth														
														-
									20 Shea	40 ar Sti	6 rengt	0  8 th ( <b>kPa</b>		00
								▲ U	ndis	turbed		Remou		

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FIL	e no.	PG	5201	
REMARKS BORINGS BY Excavator				-		2021 Mai	rch 1		но	LE NO	TP1	6-21	
	FI		SAN	/PLE				Pen. F	esist	. Blo			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT				ы	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)				. Cone		tion
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			0	Vater	Con	tent %	<b>)</b>	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	LS	H	NN	REC	N		00.40	20	40	60			Con
TOPSOIL						- 0-	-90.42						
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay, some sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	1						0				
End of Test Pit													
								20 She ▲ Undis			) 8 <b>h (kPa</b> Remou	I)	 00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5201

Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development Cardinal Creek Village South - Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

## REMARKS

				_	(		ala d		HOLE	^{E NO.} <b>TP17-21</b>	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Mar					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT			IPLE 것	ы	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)			Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	ter ction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	∾ RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD					Content %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE				Ř	4	0-	-89.57	20	40	60 80	L 0
TOPSOIL											
0.27 Hard brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>											
		G	1						•		
						1-	-88.57			2	260
		G	2						0		
1.95											
Refusal to excavation on bedrock surface at 1.95 m depth								20	40	60 80 1	00
								Sheat Mundisi	ar Stre	angth (kPa) △ Remoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

**Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development** Cardinal Creek Village South - Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

										^{^/} PG5201	
REMARKS									HOLE N	^{o.} TP18-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Mar	ch 1	1		1 - 10-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>	1	DEPTH	ELEV.			lows/0.3m a. Cone	r n
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or ROD	(m)	(m)		/ater Co	ntent %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ĥ	NU N	REC	N OF			20		60 80	Piez
TOPSOIL						0-	-89.96				
0.26											
Hard brown SILTY CLAY		_							0		
		G	1							2	260
		_ G	2			1-	-88.96		- <del> </del>		-
1.30		_									
End of Test Pit											1
Refusal to excavation on bedrock											
surface at 1.30 m depth											
								20 Shea	40 ar Streng	60 80 1 gth (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	urbed 4	∆ Remoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5201

Geotechnical Investigation
 Proposed Residential Development
 Cardinal Creek Village South - Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

### REMARKS

REMARKS					ATE (	2021 Mar	ah 1		HOLI	^{E NO.} T	P19-21	
BORINGS BY Excavator SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.		esist.	Blows Dia. Co	/0.3m	, r
	STRATA I	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)			Conten		Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	RE	z ^o	0-	-88.27	20	40	60	80	E S
TOPSOIL 0.28						0	00.27					
ard brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	1			1-	-87.27		0			
1.80		G 	2						O.		2	60
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stre urbed	60 ength (k △ Ren	80 1 (Pa) noulded	00

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5201

Geotechnical Investigation
 Proposed Residential Development
 Cardinal Creek Village South - Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

### DEMADKS

					ATE (	2021 Mar	ab 1		HOLE	^{NO.} <b>TP20-21</b>	
BORINGS BY Excavator SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	APLE		DEPTH	ELEV.			Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	, L
	STRATA F	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)			Content %	Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	ß		Z	RE	z ^o	0-	07 12	20	40	60 80	S Pie
TOPSOIL 0.2	9					0-	-87.43				
Hard brown <b>SILTY CLAY</b>		G	1			1-	-86.43		0		
1.7 End of Test Pit Refusal to excavation on bedrock	0	G	2						•		
surface at 1.70 m depth								20	40	60 80 10	00
								She	ar Stre	ngth (kPa) △ Remoulded	

### SYMBOLS AND TERMS

### SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

Relative Density	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value		
Very Soft	<12	<2		
Soft	12-25	2-4		
Firm	25-50	4-8		
Stiff	50-100	8-15		
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30		
Hard	>200	>30		

### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

### **SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)**

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

### **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

#### RQD % ROCK QUALITY

90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

### SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard
		Penetration Test (SPT))

- TW Thin wall tube or Shelby tube
- PS Piston sample
- AU Auger sample or bulk sample
- WS Wash sample
- RC Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

### **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**

MC% LL PL PI	- - -	Natural moisture content or water content of sample, % Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid) Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically) Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL)				
Dxx	-	Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size				
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)				
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer				
Сс	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$				
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10				
Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:						

Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

### **CONSOLIDATION TEST**

p'o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth				
p'c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample				
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)				
Сс	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)				
OC Ratio	)	Overconsolidaton ratio = $p'_c / p'_o$				
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids				
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)				

### PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.


### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued) STRATA PLOT Topsoil Asphalt Peat Sand Silty Sand Fill $\nabla$ Sandy Silt Clay Silty Clay Clayey Silty Sand Glacial Till Shale Bedrock


### MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION





PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION



















#### Certificate of Analysis

#### Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

#### Client PO: 58163

Report Date: 22-Aug-2023

Order Date: 17-Aug-2023

Project Description: PG5201

	-						
	Client ID:	TP5-21 @ 6FT	-	-	-		
	Sample Date:	16-Aug-23 09:00	-	-	-	-	-
	Sample ID:	2333440-01	-	-	-		
	Matrix:	Soil	-	-	-		
	MDL/Units						
Physical Characteristics	-						
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	69.7	-	-	-	-	-
General Inorganics							
рН	0.05 pH Units	6.94	-	-	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.1 Ohm.m	104	-	-	-	-	-
Anions							
Chloride	10 ug/g	12	-	-	-	-	-
Sulphate	10 ug/g	25	-	-	-	-	-



## **APPENDIX 2**

FIGURE 1 – KEY PLAN

PHOTOGRAPHS FROM SITE VISITS - 2012, 2020 AND 2023


FIGURES 6 to 41 - SLOPE STABILITY SECTIONS

FIGURE 42 - SLOPE IN-FILLING DETAIL

DRAWING PG5201-1 BEDROCK CONTOUR PLAN

DRAWING PG5201-2 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

DRAWING PG5201-3 PERMISSIBLE GRADE RAISE RESTRICTION PLANS



## FIGURE 1

**KEY PLAN** 



## Photographs from Site Visit

Photo 1A: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 from the north bank of the valley corridor wall along the South Tributary looking east (upstream) near Section I.



Photo 1B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 from the north bank of the valley corridor wall along the South Tributary looking east (upstream) near Section I.





Photo 2A: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 from the centre of the watercourse along the South Tributary looking west (downstream) near Section H.



Photo 2B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 from the centre of the watercourse along the South Tributary looking west (downstream) near Section H.





## Photographs from Site Visit

Photo 3: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 from the north bank of the valley corridor wall along the South Tributary looking west (downstream) at Section G.



Photo 4: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 from the south bank of the South Tributary looking west (downstream) near Section F.



Photo 5: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 of the east bank of the valley corridor along Mid-Branch 1, north of Section J.





Photo 6A: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking east (upstream) near Section N.



Photo 6B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking east (upstream) near Section N.







Photo 7A: Photo taken on April 18, 2012 of the drainage ravine near Section K.

Photo 7B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the drainage ravine near Section K.







Photo 8A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking northwest.

Photo 8B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking northwest.







Photo 9A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking northwest.

Photo 9B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking northwest.







Photo 10A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking north.

Photo 10B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking north.







Photo 11A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the south slope face looking southeast near Section O

Photo 11B: Photo taken on July 14, 2023 of the south slope face, looking southeast near Section O





Photo 12A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking northwest.

Photo 12B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking northwest.





Photo 13A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking east (upstream) near Section H.



Photo 13B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking east (upstream) near Section H.





Photo 14A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking northwest near Section CC.



Photo 14B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking northeast near Section CC.





Photo 15A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking northwest near sections G and II



Photo 15B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking northwest near sections G and II







Photo 16A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the south slope face near section HH.

Photo 16B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the south slope face near section HH.







Photo 17A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 along the watercourse, looking east (upstream).

Photo 17B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 along the watercourse, looking east (upstream).







Photo 18A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 of the north slope face, looking north at section GG.

Photo 18B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking north at section GG.







Photo 19A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 along the watercourse, looking east (upstream).

Photo 19B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 along the watercourse, looking east (upstream).





Photo 20A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking east (upstream) near Section OO.



Photo 20B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking east (upstream) near Section OO.





Photo 21A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking east (upstream) near Section N.



Photo 21B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking east (upstream) near Section N.







Photo 22A: Photo taken on September 10, 2020 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking north.

Photo 22B: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 along the watercourse along Mid-Branch 1 looking north.





Photo 23: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking west (downstream) near Section H.




Photo 24: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking west (downstream) near Section CC.









Photo 25: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking east (upstream) near Section CC.


Photo 26: Photo taken on July 19, 2023 of the north slope face, looking west (downstream) near Section F.















































































# Figure 29C - Section CC - Proposed Static Conditions


















## Figure 31C - Section EE - Proposed Static Conditions





## Figure 31D - Section EE - Proposed Seismic Conditions































### **Figure 34D - Section HH - Proposed Seismic Conditions** Safety Factor Cohesion (kPa) Phi (deg) Material Name Color Unit Weight (kN/m3) Strength Type 0.000 Soil Fill 18 Mohr-Coulomb 5 32 0.250 Brown Silty Clay 17 ▶ 0.16 Undrained 100 0.500 Property Line Crust Property Line 0.750 Grey Silty Clay 16 Undrained 80 ₩₩ 1.000 33 Glacial Till 20 Mohr-Coulomb 1 30 1.250 Bedrock 22 Infinite strength 1.500 Limit of Hazard Lands 1.750 2.000 2.250 11 m 2.500 2.750 120 3.000 Lot 70 Lot 69 3.250 3.500 3.750 4.000 **Erosion Access Allowance** 4.250 4.500 19 4.750 6 m Top of Slope (86.00 m) 5.000 5.250 5.500 5.750 6.000+ 8 1.546 Property Line Proposed Building Footprint Toe Erosion Allowance 10.00 kN/m2 5 m 6 = 8 -30 -20 20 -60 -50 -40 -10 10 30 40 Project PG5201 - Proposed Residential Development - Cardinal Creek Village South Old Montreal Road, Ottawa, Ontario PATERSON GROUP Cross Section Scenario Seismic - Proposed Condition HH Drawn By Company EA Tamarack Homes Date File Name 8/15/2023 Geotechnical Investigation












































p:\autocad drawings\geotechnical\pg52xx\pg5201\pg5201-fig.42-slope infilling detail.dwg



drawings\geotechnical\pg52xx\pg5201\from rob c drive\pg5201\pg5201-2- pgr and br contour pla





rawn by:

Checked by:

pproved by:

RCG

FA

FA

08/2020

awing No.:

**Revision No.:** 

PG5201-2

5

