Committee of Adjustment



) that the definition of the

DECISION CONSENT/SEVERANCE

Date of Decision	July 14, 2023
Panel:	3 - Rural
File No(s).:	D08-01-23/B-00148
Application:	Consent under Section 53 of the Planning Act
Owner(s)/Applicant(s):	Harvey and Derrick Hill
Property Address:	4639 Highway 17
Ward:	5 – West Carleton-March
Legal Description:	Part of Lots 18 & 19, Concession 3, former Geographic Township of West Carleton
Zoning:	AG
Zoning By-law:	2008-250
Hearing Date:	July 4, 2023, in person and by videoconference

APPLICANTS' PROPOSAL AND PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION(S)

[1] The Owners want to subdivide their property into two separate parcels of land to create one new lot for a surplus farm dwelling.

CONSENT IS REQUIRED FOR THE FOLLOWING

- [2] The Owners require the consent of the Committee for a conveyance.
- [3] The severed land, shown on a sketch filed with the application, will have a frontage of 70.1 metres, a depth of 127.7 metres, and will contain a lot area of 0.9189 hectares. This parcel will contain the existing dwelling and outbuildings and will be municipally known as 4639 Highway 17.
- [4] The retained land, shown on the sketch, will have a frontage of 480.8 metres, an irregular depth, and a lot area of 56.9058 hectares. This parcel contains agricultural fields and crops with the existing steel storage buildings known municipally as 4663 Highway 17.

PUBLIC HEARING

Oral Submissions Summary

- [5] Harvey Hill, one of the Owners of the property, and Tracy Zander, Agent for the Owners, were present. Ms. Zander highlighted no concerns with any of the requested conditions of provisional consent.
- [6] City Planner Jack Graham confirmed he had no concerns with the application.

DECISION AND REASONS OF THE COMMITTEE: APPLICATION GRANTED

Application Must Satisfy Statutory Tests

[7] Under the *Planning Act*, the Committee has the power to grant a consent if it is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Also, the Committee must be satisfied that an application is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and has regard for matters of provincial interest under section 2 of the Act, as well as the following criteria set out in subsection 51(24):

Criteria

(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

(a) the effect of development of the proposed subdivision on matters of provincial interest as referred to in section 2;

(b) whether the proposed subdivision is premature or in the public interest;

(c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;

(d) the suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;

(d.1) if any affordable housing units are being proposed, the suitability of the proposed units for affordable housing;

(e) the number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them, and the highways linking the highways in the proposed subdivision with the established highway system in the vicinity and the adequacy of them;

(f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;

(g) the restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;

(h) conservation of natural resources and flood control;

(i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services;

(j) the adequacy of school sites;

(k) the area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;

(I) the extent to which the plan's design optimizes the available supply, means of supplying, efficient use and conservation of energy; and

(m) the interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of subdivision and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41 (2) of this Act or subsection 114 (2) of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006.* 1994, c. 23, s. 30; 2001, c. 32, s. 31 (2); 2006, c. 23, s. 22 (3, 4); 2016, c. 25, Sched. 4, s. 8 (2).

Evidence

- [8] Evidence considered by the Committee included all oral submissions made at the hearing, as highlighted above, and the following written submissions held on file with the Secretary-Treasurer and available from the Committee Coordinator upon request:
 - Application and supporting documents, including a planning rationale, plans, a photo of the posted sign, and a sign posting declaration.
 - City Planning Report received June 28, 2023, with no concerns
 - Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority email dated June 27, 2023, with no objections
 - Hydro Ottawa email dated June 27, 2023, with no comments
 - Ministry of Transportation email dated June 20, 2023, with no objections

Effect of Submissions on Decision

[9] The Committee considered all written and oral submissions relating to the application in making its decision and granted the application.

- [10] The Committee notes that the City's Planning Report raises "no concerns" regarding the application.
- [11] Based on the evidence, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement that promotes efficient land use and development as well as intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas, based on local conditions. The Committee is also satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard to matters of provincial interest, including the orderly development of safe and healthy communities; the appropriate location of growth and development; and the protection of public health and safety. Additionally, the Committee is satisfied that a plan of subdivision of the land is not necessary for the proper and orderly development of the municipality. Moreover, the Committee is satisfied that the proposal has adequate regard for the criteria specified under subsection 51(24) of the *Planning Act* and is in the public interest.
- [12] THE COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT therefore grants the provisional consent, subject to the following conditions, which must be fulfilled within a two-year period from the date of this Decision:
 - That the Owners enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owners and to the satisfaction of Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, which provides the following covenant/notice that runs with the land and binds future Owner(s) on subsequent transfers:

"The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the quality or quantity of the groundwater. If, at some future date, the quality or the quantity of the groundwater becomes deficient, the City of Ottawa bears no responsibility, financial or otherwise, to provide solutions to the deficiency, such solutions being the sole responsibility of the homeowner."

The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

2. That the Owners enter into an Agreement with the City, at the expense of the Owners and to the satisfaction of Development Review Manager of the Rural Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, which provides the following covenant/notice that runs with the land and binds future Owners on subsequent transfers:

"The City of Ottawa has identified that there are potential sensitive marine clays within the area that may require site specific detailed geotechnical engineering solutions to allow for development, the City of Ottawa bears no responsibility, financial or otherwise, to provide solutions to the deficiency, such solutions being the sole responsibility of the home owner." The Committee requires a copy of the Agreement and written confirmation from City Legal Services that it has been registered on title.

- 3. That the Owners acknowledge and agree to convey to the City, at no cost to the City, an unencumbered road widening across the complete frontage of the lands, measuring 15 meters from the existing centerline of pavement/the abutting right-of-way along Highway 17, pursuant to Section 50.1(25)(c) of the Planning Act and Schedule C16 of the City's new Official Plan. The exact widening must be determined by legal survey. The Owners shall provide a reference plan for registration, indicating the widening, to the City Surveyor for review and approval prior to its deposit in the Land Registry Office. Such reference plan must be tied to the Horizontal Control Network in accordance with the municipal requirements and guidelines for referencing legal surveys. The Owners must provide to the City Surveyor a copy of the Committee of Adjustment Decision and a draft Reference Plan that sets out the required widening. The Committee requires written confirmation from City Legal Services that the transfer of the widening to the City has been registered. All costs shall be borne by the Owners.
- 4. That the Owners provide proof to the satisfaction of **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that each existing parcel has its own independent private sewage system, storm/foundation drainage, and well and that they do not cross the proposed severance line. If the systems cross the proposed severance line, are not independent, or do not meet the minimum spacing requirements of the Ontario Building Code and City of Ottawa Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Guidelines, the Owners will be required to relocate the existing systems or construct new systems, at his/her own cost.
- 5. That the Owners obtain a Zoning By-law Amendment, satisfactory to the Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate, to be confirmed in writing from the Department to the Committee, that restricts residential development on the retained lands, with all levels of appeal exhausted.
- 6. That the Owners provide proof, to the satisfaction of the **Development Review Manager of the Relevant Branch within Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department, or his/her designate**, of the purchase of the lands to be consolidated by the farm operator or a legally binding agreement of purchase and sale.
- 7. That the Owners file with the Committee a copy of the registered Reference Plan prepared by an Ontario Land Surveyor registered in the Province of Ontario, and signed by the Registrar, **confirming the frontage and area of the severed land. If the Registered Plan does not indicate the lot area, a letter**

from the Surveyor confirming the area is required. The Registered Reference Plan must conform substantially to the Draft Reference Plan filed with the Application for Consent.

8. That upon completion of the above conditions, and **within the two-year period outlined above**, the Owners file with the Committee, the "electronic registration in preparation documents" for the conveyance for which the consent is required.

"William Hunter" WILLIAM HUNTER VICE-CHAIR

"Terence Otto" TERENCE OTTO MEMBER Absent BETH HENDERSON MEMBER

"Martin Vervoort" MARTIN VERVOORT MEMBER Absent JOCELYN CHANDLER MEMBER

I certify this is a true copy of the Decision of the Committee of Adjustment of the City of Ottawa, dated **July 14, 2023**.

mille Allemarc

Michel Bellemare Secretary-Treasurer

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

To appeal this decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT), a completed appeal form along with payment must be received by the Secretary-Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment by <u>August 3, 2023</u>, delivered by email at <u>cofa@ottawa.ca</u> and/or by mail or courier to the following address:

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment, 101 Centrepointe Drive, 4th floor, Ottawa, Ontario, K2G 5K7

The Appeal Form is available on the OLT website at <u>https://olt.gov.on.ca/</u>. The Ontario Land Tribunal has established a filing fee of \$400.00 per type of application with an additional filing fee of \$25.00 for each secondary application. Payment can be made by certified cheque or money order made payable to the Ontario Minister of Finance, or by

credit card. Please indicate on the Appeal Form if you wish to pay by credit card. If you have any questions about the appeal process, please contact the Committee of Adjustment office by calling 613-580-2436 or by email at <u>cofa@ottawa.ca</u>.

Only the applicant, the Minister or a specified person or public body that has an interest in the matter may appeal the decision to the Ontario Land Tribunal. A "specified person" does not include an individual or a community association.

There are no provisions for the Committee of Adjustment or the Ontario Land Tribunal to extend the statutory deadline to file an appeal. If the deadline is not met, the OLT does not have the authority to hold a hearing to consider your appeal.

If a major change to condition(s) is requested, you will be entitled to receive Notice of the changes only if you have made a written request to be notified.

NOTICE TO APPLICANT(S)

All technical studies must be submitted to Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department a minimum of **40 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent. Should a Development Agreement be required, such request should be initiated **15 working days** prior to lapsing date of the consent and should include all required documentation including the approved technical studies.

Ce document est également offert en français.

Committee of Adjustment City of Ottawa Ottawa.ca/CommitteeofAdjustment <u>cofa@ottawa.ca</u> 613-580-2436



Comité de dérogation Ville d'Ottawa <u>Ottawa.ca/Comitedederogation</u> <u>cded@ottawa.ca</u> 613-580-2436