PCL CONSTRUCTORS CANADA INC.

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA ADVANCED MEDICAL RESEARCH CENTRE (AMRC) SERVICING REPORT

APRIL 18, 2024







UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA ADVANCED MEDICAL RESEARCH CENTRE (AMRC), OTTAWA, ON SERVICING REPORT

PCL CONSTRUCTORS CANADA INC.

SITE PLAN APPLICATION

PROJECT NO.: CA0009956.0165 DATE: APRIL 2024

WSP CANADA INC. 2611 QUEESVIEW DRIVE, SUITE 300 OTTAWA, ON, CANADA, K2B 8K2

TEL.: +1 613-829-2800

WSP.COM

SIGNATURES


PREPARED BY:



Zarak Ali Designer

REVIEWED BY:

May



Victoria Teng, P.Eng Senior Engineer

This report was prepared by WSP Canada Inc. for the account of PCL Constructors Canada Inc., in accordance with the professional services agreement. The disclosure of any information contained in this report is the sole responsibility of the intended recipient. The material in it reflects WSP Canada Inc.'s best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. WSP Canada Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report. This limitations statement is considered part of this report.

The original of the technology-based document sent herewith has been authenticated and will be retained by WSP for a minimum of ten years. Since the file transmitted is now out of WSP's control and its integrity can no longer be ensured, no guarantee may be given with regards to any modifications made to this document.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL1
1.1	Executive summary1
1.2	Date and Revision Number2
1.3	Location Map and Plan2
1.4	Adherence to zoning and related requirements3
1.5	Pre-Consultation meetings3
1.6	Higher level studies3
1.7	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria3
1.8	Available existing and proposed infrastructure3
1.9	Environmentally significant areas, watercourses and municipal drains4
1.10	Concept level master grading plan4
1.11	Impacts on private services4
1.12	Development phasing4
1.13	Geotechnical study5
1.14	Drawing requirement5
2	WATER DISTRIBUTION6
2.1	Consistency with master servicing study and availability of public infrastructure6
2.2	System constraints and boundary conditions6
2.3	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure?
2.4	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection7
2.5	Check of high pressure8
2.6	Phasing constraints8
2.7	Reliability requirements8
2.8	Need for pressure zone boundaRy modification8



2.9	Capability of major infrastructure to supply sufficient water
210	
2.10	Description of proposed water distribution network 8
2.11	Off-site requirements8
2.12	Calculation of water demands8
2.13	Model Schematic8
3	WASTEWATER DISPOSAL 9
3.1	Design Criteria9
3.2	Consistency with master servicing study9
3.3	Review of Soil conditions9
3.4	Description of existing sanitary sewer9
3.5	Verification of available capacity in downstream sewer10
3.6	Calculations for New sanitary sewEr10
3.7	Description of proposed sewer network10
3.8	Environmental constraints10
3.9	Pumping requirements10
3.10	Forcemains10
3.11	Emergency overflows from sanitary pumping stations 10
3.12	Special considerations10
4	SITE STORM SERVICING11
4.1	Existing condition11
4.2	Analysis of availabLe capacity in public infrastructure 11
4.3	Drainage drawing11
4.4	Water quantity control objective11
4.5	Water quality control objective11
4.6	Design criteria12



4.7	Proposed minor system	12
4.8	Stormwater management	12
4.9	Inlet Controls	12
4.10	On-site detention	12
4.11	Watercourses	12
4.12	Pre and Post development peak flow rates	13
4.13	Diversion of drainage catchment areas	13
4.14	Downstream capacity where quanTity control is not proposed	
4.15	Impacts to receiving watercourses	13
4.16	Municipal drains and related approvals	13
4.17	Means of conveyance and storage capacity	13
4.18	Hydraulic analysis	13
4.19	Identification of floodplains	13
4.20	Fill constraints	13
5	SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL	14
5.1	General	14
6	APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	15
6.1	General	15
7	CONCLUSION CHECKLIST	16
7.1	Conclusions and recommendations	16
7.2	Comments received from review agencies	16



TAB	LES				
	TABLE 2-1: FIRE HYDRANT TESTING RESULTS				
FIGU	IRES				
FIGUI	RE 1-1 SITE LOCATION2				
APP	ENDICES				
Α					
•	PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES				
•	ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN				
•	TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PLAN				
В					
• FOR	FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY - FIRE FLOW CALCULATION ADDITION				
•	WATER DEMAND CALCULATION				
•	UPDATED BOUNDARY CONDITION				
•	HYDRANT FLOW TEST RESULTS				
С					
•	STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET				
•	STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN C110				
•	ROOF PLAN C111				
•	RESPONSE LETTER - SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION				
•	FLOW CONTROL ROOF DRAINAGE DECLARATION				
•	SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET				
•	DWG C103 TO C105 - GRADING PLAN				
•	DWG C106 TO C108 - SERVICING PLAN				



D

• EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN C109

Ε

• SUBMISSION CHECK LIST

1 GENERAL

1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WSP was retained by PCL Constructors Canada Inc. to provide servicing, grading and stormwater management design services for a proposed addition to the University of Ottawa Roger Guidon Hall (RGN) located on a 20.35 ha site at 451 Smyth Road, south of the Ring Road. The site to be redeveloped for the proposed addition is approximately 2.02 ha. The sanitary, storm and water services will be provided by the private utilities on the Ring Road of the site. This report outlines findings and calculations pertaining to the servicing of the proposed building with a gross building footprint area of 0.54 ha for the addition. The stormwater management of the site is discussed under separate cover.

The proposed addition is a six-storey building with a gross floor area of 13,726 square metres and a maximum building height of 40.3m, located on the north of the existing Roger Guidon Hall building. To the west of the addition, there will be a parking lot and an access road providing access to the Ring Road. To the east of the addition, there will be a lay-by/drop-off area fronting on a privately owned road connected to the Ring Road. The fire route access to the building is located on the lay-by/drop-off entrance.

Currently the land proposed for the building is an existing parking lot with a landscaped buffer between the parking lot and the Ring Road. The total study is currently zoned as I2. The legal description of the site as indicated on the Plan of Survey is Part of Lots 30, 31 & 32, Part of Terrace Road (Closed by Judge's Order INST GL40441), Registered Plan 405, Part of Lot 15, Junction Gore, Geographic Township of Gloucester, Being Part of the Northerly and Westerly Limits of P.I.N. 04258-0412, City of Ottawa. Surveyed by Farley, Smith & Denis Surveying Ltd. 2022 (File No.: ca0009). Based on the topographic survey, the site is relatively flat with a slight slope to the north and west of the site. Storm and sanitary maintenance holes are located on the Ring Road. Stormwater collected from this site is directed towards the Ottawa River located to the west of the site.

Design of a drainage and stormwater management system in this development must be prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, October 2012;
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003; and
- Stormwater Management Facility Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, April 2012

This report was prepared utilizing servicing design criteria obtained from the City of Ottawa and outlines the design for water, sanitary wastewater, and stormwater facilities, including stormwater management.

The format of this report matches that of the servicing study checklist found in Section 4 of the City of Ottawa's Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications, November 2009.

The following municipal services are available at the north-east property line as recorded from GeoOttawa. Ring Road:

- 375mm diameter sanitary sewer, 900mm storm sewer and 305mm watermain.

Private access road:

- 152mm watermain

It is proposed that:

- On-site stormwater management systems, employing surface storage, underground storage and roof storage will be provided to attenuate flow rates leaving the site. Existing drainage patterns, previously established controlled flow rates and storm sewers will be maintained.

1.2 DATE AND REVISION NUMBER

This version of the report is the third issue, dated April 18th, 2024.

1.3 LOCATION MAP AND PLAN

The proposed institutional development is located at 451 Smyth Road, Ottawa, Ontario at the location shown in Figure 1-1 below. The 2.02 ha study area (the smaller area that is to be redeveloped within the larger 20.35 ha site) excludes the Ring Road to the north as this is outside the scope of the area to be redeveloped. Refer to drawing C110 in Appendix C for the study site limits. Note that the access on the east side of the site will be referred to as the 'Private Access Road' throughout this report.




Figure 1-1 Site Location

1.4 ADHERENCE TO ZONING AND RELATED REQUIREMENTS

The proposed property use will be in conformance with zoning and related requirements prior to approval and construction and is understood that a minor zoning bylaw amendment is currently required.

1.5 PRE-CONSULTATION MEETINGS

A pre-consultation meeting was held with the City of Ottawa on August 23rd, 2023. On October 26th, 2023, the Pre-Consultation Meeting Feedback was revised. Revised notes from this meeting are provided in Appendix A.

1.6 HIGHER LEVEL STUDIES

The review for servicing has been undertaken in conformance with, and utilizing information from, the following documents:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Second Edition, Document SDG002, October 2012, City of Ottawa including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2012-4 (20 June 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01 (05 February 2014)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 (September 6, 2018)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-01 (21 March 2018)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-04 (27 June 2018)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution, July 2010 (WDG001), including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 (May 27, 2014)
 - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 (21 March 2018)
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, March 2003 (SMPDM).
- Ottawa Health Sciences Centre Stormwater Master Plan, prepared by Morrison Hershfield, dated July 2019, report no. 180398000.
- Ottawa Health Sciences Centre Storm and Sanitary Sewer Capacity Assessment, prepared by Morrison Hershfield, dated May 2017, report no. 2160501.01.
- Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, 2008 (GDWS).
- Fire Underwriters Survey, Water Supply for Public Fire Protection (FUS), 2020.

1.7 STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND SERVICING CRITERIA

The objective of the site servicing is to meet the requirements for the proposed modification of the site while adhering to the stipulations of the applicable higher-level studies and City of Ottawa servicing design guidelines. The site plan includes a new addition, a new parking area, a lay-by/drop off area and a new access road.

1.8 AVAILABLE EXISTING AND PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE

There is an existing storm sewer line within the site currently drain the parking lot of the proposed development, a portion of the lot to the west of the site and includes a connection leading to Roger Guidon Hall. The connections to catchbasins within the site will be removed from this storm sewer however the remainder of the storm sewer will be protected during project works. The storm sewer connects to the 900mm storm sewer located on the Ring Road. The storm sewer outlets to city-owned storm sewers located west of the site which eventually discharge into the Ottawa River.

There is an existing sanitary sewer located on the Ring Road which eventually outlets to the Rideau River Collection Sewer.

Water service for this addition is proposed to connect to the existing 152mm watermain located on the privately owned road and the 305mm watermain located on the Ring Road.

Site access is proposed from the Ring Road north of the building.

1.9 ENVIRONMENTALLY SIGNIFICANT AREAS, WATERCOURSES AND MUNICIPAL DRAINS

There are no watercourses, municipal drains or environmentally significant areas on the site. The proposed changes to the site will not require any additional approvals or amendments to approvals pertaining to environmentally significant areas, watercourses or municipal drains.

1.10 CONCEPT LEVEL MASTER GRADING PLAN

As the design is being submitted for site plan approval, the grading plan has been developed to the final design level. The existing and proposed grading are shown on Drawing C103 through C105 - Grading Plan. Existing grading information is based on a topographic survey of the site completed in November 2022 and is noted in the background of the Drawing C103. No changes in grading are proposed beyond the site boundaries. The proposed grading plan confirms the feasibility of the proposed stormwater management system, drainage, soil removal and fills. The geotechnical investigation was completed in 2023 by Paterson Group.

1.11 IMPACTS ON PRIVATE SERVICES

There is an existing storm sewer located on the west side of the site that drains a portion of the loading dock, a portion of the parking lot located west of the site and includes a connection to the existing Roger Guidon Hall. With the construction of the addition, storm sewers are proposed to drain the proposed site and the westerly parking lot separately. The storm sewer capturing flow from the existing westerly parking lot and the existing Roger Guidon Hall will be unaffected by the changes to the site and will drain per existing conditions. The parking lot within the site boundaries will be captured and treated per the stormwater management requirements of the site.

1.12 DEVELOPMENT PHASING

There are no development phasing considerations for the site.

1.13 GEOTECHNICAL STUDY

A geotechnical investigation report was previously prepared by Arcadis Canada Inc. in 2021. A more recent report was prepared by Paterson Group on October 20th, 2023. No additional geotechnical information was required for the design of the modified site services, including paving. This geotechnical report will be included with the contract documents to be issued for construction, and the recommendations of the reports will be referenced in the construction specifications.

1.14 DRAWING REQUIREMENT

The engineering plans submitted for site plan approval will be in compliance with City requirements.

2 WATER DISTRIBUTION

2.1 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY AND AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

The new addition at 451 Smyth Road is within zone 2W2C. The water services for the proposed development are proposed to connect to the existing 300mm watermain on Smyth Road and the existing 152mm diameter private watermain along the access road west of the building. The new addition will be protected with a supervised automatic fire protection sprinkler system and a 2-hour fire wall is proposed between the existing building and addition. The fire department connection is located at the east side of the addition fronting to the private access road. It is 12m and 76m away, respectively, from the proposed and existing municipal fire hydrants on the private access road. No changes are required to the existing City water distribution system to allow servicing for this property. The water entry room for the addition is located in the south-east corner.

Fire hydrant testing was completed on site in October 2023. The table below summarizes the results of the hydrant testing.

Table 2-1: Fire Hydrant Testing Results

Hydrant Location	Residual Hydrant	Residual Hydrant	Flowing Hydrant	Measured flow	Available Fire
	Static Pressure	Flowing Pressure	Pitot Pressure	(GPM / L/s)	Flow at 20 psi
	(psi)	(psi)	(psi)		(GPM/L/s)
Private Access	67	65	55	672/42.4	2231/140.8
Road					
Southwest of	67	67	30	2044/129.0	
RGN					

2.2 SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

A boundary service request was submitted to the City of Ottawa and boundary conditions have been received and summarized below. A fire flow of 8,000 l/min (133 l/s) was estimated for the proposed addition.

Table 2-2: Boundary Conditions

Boundary Conditions at Connection 1			
SCENARIO	HGL (m) /Hydraulic		
	Pressure (kPa)		
Average Day (MAX HGL)	131.1 / 462.0		
Peak Hour (MIN HGL)	123.6 /388.5		
Max Day + Fire Flow	123.1 / 383.6		
Boundary Conditions	at Connection 2		
SCENARIO	HGL (m)/ Hydraulic		
	Pressure (kPa)		
Average Day (MAX HGL)	130.8 / 449.3		
Peak Hour (MIN HGL)	123.6 / 378.7		
Max Day + Fire Flow	123.0 / 372.8		

2.3 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE DOMESTIC SUPPLY AND PRESSURE

Water demands are based on Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution. As previously noted, the development is considered as institutional development. A water demand calculation sheet is included in Appendix B, and the total water demands are summarized as follows:

	WSP
Average Day	0.65 l/s
Maximum Day	0.98 l/s
Peak Hour	1.77 l/s

The 2010 City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines stated that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 552 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand conditions shall not be less than 276 kPa (40

psi)

Fire Flow During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall not be less than 140 kPa (20

psi) during a fire flow event.

Maximum Pressure Maximum pressure at any point the distribution system shall not exceed 689 kPa (100 psi).

Based on the hydrant flow test, the residual pressure within the hydrant located at the private access road is 67 psi (462 kPa), the measured flow is 42.4 l/and thus meets the requirements of minimum system pressure.

2.4 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE FIRE FLOW PROTECTION

The fire flow rate has been calculated using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method. The method takes into account the type of building construction, the building occupancy, the use of sprinklers and the exposures to adjacent structures.

For the addition, assuming non-combustible construction and a fully supervised sprinkler system, a fire flow demand of 8,000 l/min (133 l/s) has been calculated. The demand of max day + fire flow results in a flow requirement of 133.98 l/s. A copy of the FUS calculations is included in Appendix B.

The demand of 133.98 l/s for the addition can be delivered through one existing municipal fire hydrant and one proposed relocated fire hydrant. The addition is serviced by the 152mm watermain on the private access road. There is one proposed relocated hydrant and one existing hydrant located on this stretch of watermain rated at 95 l/s and 63 l/s respectively. The hydrant flow test completed indicates that the flow available from the hydrant is sufficient. The two hydrants have a combined total of 9,480 l/min (158 l/s). There is also a hydrant on the south-west side of the addition 257m away from the Siamese connection rated at 2,820 l/min.

The residual pressure calculated from the hydrant flow test is 67 psi (462 kPa) which exceeds the minimum residual pressure requirement of 140 kPa.

2.5 CHECK OF HIGH PRESSURE

The recommended pressure range is respected during the Maximum Day plus Fire Flow scenario as well as the Peak Hour demands. A pressure check should be conducted at the completion of construction to determine if pressure control is required.

2.6 PHASING CONSTRAINTS

No development phasing constraint has been detailed for the site.

2.7 RELIABILITY REQUIREMENTS

There is a looped watermain network on the Ring Road. A shut off valve is provided for the private watermain at the connection to the 152mm watermain on the private road and at the connection to the 305mm watermain on the Ring Road. Water can be supplied from both sides of the watermain within the Ring Road.

2.8 NEED FOR PRESSURE ZONE BOUNDARY MODIFICATION

There is no need for a pressure zone boundary modification.

2.9 CAPABILITY OF MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPLY SUFFICIENT WATER

The capability of the major infrastructure to supply sufficient water is confirmed.

2.10 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WATER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

Two new 150mm service mains are proposed to service the addition from the 152mm watermain on the private access road and from the 305mm watermain on the Ring Road.

2.11 OFF-SITE REQUIREMENTS

No off-site improvements to watermains, feedermains, pumping stations, or other water infrastructure are required to maintain existing conditions and service the adjacent developments.

2.12 CALCULATION OF WATER DEMANDS

Water demands were calculated as described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 above.

2.13 MODEL SCHEMATIC

A model schematic is not required.

3 WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with the City of Ottawa's Sewer Design Guidelines, the following design criteria have been utilized in order to predict wastewater flows generated by the subject site and complete the sewer design;

•	Minimum Velocity	$0.6 \mathrm{m/s}$
•	Maximum Velocity	3.0 m/s
•	Manning Roughness Coefficient	0.013
•	Total est. Hectares for Institutional use	2.02

Average sanitary flow for Institutional use
 28,000 L/Ha/day

• Commercial/Institutional Peaking Factor 1.5

Infiltration Allowance (Total)
 Minimum Sewer Slopes – 200 mm diameter
 0.33 L/Ha/s
 0.32%

The area of 2.02 ha represents the lot area of the site, excluding the Ring Road. This is the sanitary collection area that is being considered to contribute to the proposed 200mm sanitary service connection to the municipal sanitary sewer.

3.2 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY

The outlet for the sanitary service from the existing building is the 375 mm diameter municipal sewer at the north-east corner of the Site at the intersection of the Ring Road and the private access road. The Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines provide estimates of sewage flows based on institutional development.

The criteria to determine anticipated actual peak flow based on site used as described in Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4-A are as follows;

For the school and the addition:

- Institutional
 28000 L/Ha/day = 0.324 L/Ha/s
- Peak flow = (0.324 L/Ha/s x 2.02 ha x 1.5 peaking factor) + 0.33 l/Ha/s x 2.02 ha = 1.65 L/s

3.3 REVIEW OF SOIL CONDITIONS

There are no specific local subsurface conditions that suggest the need for a higher extraneous flow allowance. Soil conditions have been reviewed by Paterson Group. Bedding and backfill will be provided as recommended, conventional sewer materials will be utilized, and dewatering will be undertaken as necessary in accordance with the geotechnical recommendations and conditions encountered. The geotechnical report indicates that groundwater table was observed to be between 74.56 m and 77.61 m with the boreholes nearest to the sanitary service showing groundwater table elevations of 74.56m and 75.63m. It is therefore expected that the groundwater impact on the sanitary sewer service will be minimal.

3.4 DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SANITARY SEWER

The outlet sanitary sewer for the addition will be the 375mm sanitary sewer located on the Ring Road which runs from the south-east corner of the site to the north-west corner of the site. From there, the sanitary sewer travels west and ultimately discharges into the Rideau River Collection Sewer.

3.5 VERIFICATION OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN DOWNSTREAM SEWER

The existing sanitary sewer on the Ring Road is a 375mm diameter sewer at 0.52% slope. This size and slope of sewer provides a capacity of 126.4 l/s.

Based on the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre Storm and Sanitary Sewer Capacity Analysis report, the existing sanitary system is being used at 5-12% of its full capacity in 2011. The additional flow from the site of 1.65 l/s is approximately 1.3% of the existing 375mm sanitary sewer's capacity. Thus, the capacity of the sanitary sewer is not a concern.

3.6 CALCULATIONS FOR NEW SANITARY SEWER

The new sanitary service from the site is a 200 mm diameter sewer at a slope of 1%. This size and slope of sewer provides a capacity of 32.8 L/s.

For the 2.02 ha site, the sanitary peak flow is calculated at 0.98 l/s with an infiltration flow of 0.67 l/s (based on a peak extraneous flow of 0.33 l/s/ha) for a total flow of 1.65 l/s. The new sanitary sewer connection, with a capacity of 32.8 l/s is adequate to convey this flow.

3.7 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED SEWER NETWORK

The proposed sanitary sewer network on site will consist of a 200mm sanitary service and three 1200mm maintenance holes.

3.8 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

There are no previously identified environmental constraints that impact the sanitary servicing design in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, or soil cover, or to manage water quantity or quality.

3.9 PUMPING REQUIREMENTS

The proposed development will have no impact on existing pumping stations and will not require new pumping facilities.

3.10 FORCEMAINS

There are no sanitary forcemains proposed on this site.

3.11 EMERGENCY OVERFLOWS FROM SANITARY PUMPING STATIONS

No sanitary pumping stations are proposed on this site.

3.12 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no known need for special considerations for sanitary sewer design related to existing site conditions.

4 SITE STORM SERVICING

4.1 EXISTING CONDITION

The subject property is within the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre (OHSC) campus at 451 Smyth Road. Most of the runoff from the institutional land is directed towards the 600mm storm sewer at the north-west corner of the site south of the Ring Road. The sewer discharges ultimately to the Rideau River.

Based on the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre Stormwater Master Plan and the City of Ottawa pre-consultation notes, the allowable release rate from the site must consider a pre-development C value of 0.5 and control peak flows to a 2-year event. The allowable release rate has been set to 215.7 l/s for the site. Flow exceeding this amount up to the 100-year storm are retained on site and released at a rate not exceeding 215.7 l/s.

4.2 ANALYSIS OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

Based on the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre Stormwater Master Plan, the overall existing OHSC campus dual drainage system does not meet City of Ottawa criteria which resulted in the criteria for future development noted in section 4.1. For the proposed development, the allowable release rate from the site is consistent with the recommendations of the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre Stormwater Master Plan, which will reduce the runoff from the site to the existing storm sewer.

The total controlled site draining to the existing 900mm storm sewer is approximately 1.805 ha. There is approximately 0.215 ha of uncontrolled area (including the one-storey roof) draining towards the site boundary in all directions. Runoff from the controlled areas discharges to the 600mm storm sewer at the north-west corner of the site which ultimately drains to the 900mm storm sewer on Ring Road. On-site attenuation to a 100-year flow results in a flow of 208 l/s from this area. Using information from the previous studies, the slope of the existing 900mm storm sewer is at a slope of 1.8% with a capacity of 2538.8 l/s. as the proposed stormwater management works for the site will reduce the runoff rate to a peak discharge at the outlet equal to 215.7 l/s, capacity in the minor system is not a concern.

The release rate of 215.7 l/s is calculated in the Stormwater Management Report.

4.3 DRAINAGE DRAWING

Drawing C106 to C108 shows the detailed site sewer network. Drawings C103 to C105 provides proposed grading and drainage and includes existing grading information. Drawing C110 and C111 provides post-development drainage area plans, including both site and roof information. Site sub-area information is also provided on the storm sewer design sheet attached in Appendix C. An overall grading plan and Servicing plan have also been attached to Appendix C for reference.

4.4 WATER QUANTITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

Refer to the Stormwater Management Report for the water quantity objective for the site.

4.5 WATER QUALITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

On-site quality control measures are expected for the proposed development per the previous studies. It is assumed that enhanced protection (80% TSS removal of suspended solids) will be required for the site.

4.6 DESIGN CRITERIA

The stormwater system was designed following the principles of dual drainage, making accommodation for both major and minor flow.

Some of the key criteria include the following:

Design Storm (minor system)
 1:2 year return (Ottawa)

Rational Method Sewer Sizing

Initial Time of Concentration
 10 minutes

• Runoff Coefficients

Landscaped AreasC = 0.25Playground Mulch AreasC = 0.40Gravel AreasC = 0.75Asphalt/ConcreteC = 0.90Traditional RoofC = 0.90

Pipe Velocities
 Minimum Pipe Size
 0.80 m/s to 6.0 m/s
 250 mm diameter

(200 mm CB Leads and service pipes)

4.7 PROPOSED MINOR SYSTEM

The detailed design for this site will maintain the existing 600mm storm sewer connection to the 900mm storm sewer located on the Ring Road. The drainage system consists of a series of manholes, catchbasins and storm sewers leading to the outlet manhole Ex.MH at the north-west of the site. All drainage areas on the site are collected in the site piped drainage system.

It is also customary for larger buildings to be provided with piped storm services for roof drainage. The roof drains for the proposed school are connected to the storm sewer that flows into the sewer in an uncontrolled capacity, ensuring an unobstructed flow for these areas.

Using the above noted criteria, the existing on-site storm sewers were sized accordingly. A detailed storm sewer design sheet and the associated post development storm sewer drainage area plan are included in Appendix C.

4.8 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Refer to the Stormwater Management Report.

4.9 INLET CONTROLS

Refer to the Stormwater Management report.

4.10 ON-SITE DETENTION

Refer to the Stormwater Management report.

4.11 WATERCOURSES

There will be no modification to watercourses as a result of this proposed site plan.

4.12 PRE AND POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES

Pre and post development peak flow rates have been noted in the Stormwater Management Report.

4.13 DIVERSION OF DRAINAGE CATCHMENT AREAS

There will be no diversion of existing drainage catchment areas arising from the proposed work described in this report.

4.14 DOWNSTREAM CAPACITY WHERE QUANTITY CONTROL IS NOT PROPOSED

This checklist item is not applicable to this development as quantity control is provided.

4.15 IMPACTS TO RECEIVING WATERCOURSES

No significant negative impact is anticipated to downstream receiving watercourses due to proposed quantity and quality control measures.

4.16 MUNICIPAL DRAINS AND RELATED APPROVALS

There are no municipal drains on the site or associated with the drainage from the site.

4.17 MEANS OF CONVEYANCE AND STORAGE CAPACITY

The means of flow conveyance and storage capacity are described in Sections 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.10 above.

4.18 HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

Hydraulic calculations for the site storm sewers are provided in the storm sewer design sheet.

4.19 IDENTIFICATION OF FLOODPLAINS

There are no designated floodplains on the site of this development.

4.20 FILL CONSTRAINTS

There are no known fill constraints applicable to this site related to any floodplain. The site is generally being raised higher relative to existing conditions.

5 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

5.1 GENERAL

During construction, existing storm sewer system can be exposed to sediment loadings. Several construction techniques designed to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings will be used, including:

- Silt sacks will remain on open surface structures such as manholes and catchbasins until these structures are commissioned and put into use;
- Installation of silt fence, where applicable, around the perimeter of the proposed work area.
- The installation of straw bales within existing drainage features surrounding the site;
- Bulkhead barriers will be installed in the outlet pipes;
- Mud mats will be placed at the site entrances;

During construction of the services, any trench dewatering using pumps will be fitted with a "filter sock." Thus, any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filter sock as needed including sediment removal and disposal.

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree, manholes, convey surface water to sewers. Consequently, until the surrounding surface has been completed, these structures will be covered to prevent sediment from entering the minor storm sewer system. These measures will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build-out until it is appropriate to remove them.

During construction of any development both imported and native soils are placed in stockpiles. Mitigative measures and proper management to prevent these materials entering the sewer system are needed.

During construction of the deeper watermains and sewers, imported granular bedding materials are temporarily stockpiled on site. These materials are however quickly used up and generally placed before any catchbasins are installed.

Refer to the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan C109 provided in Appendix D.

6 APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

6.1 GENERAL

The proposed development is subject to site plan approval and building permit approval.

No approvals related to municipal drains are required.

No permits or approvals are anticipated to be required from the Ontario Ministry of Transportation, National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, or any other provincial or federal regulatory agency.

7 CONCLUSION CHECKLIST

7.1 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded that the proposed development can meet all provided servicing constraints and associated requirements. It is recommended that this report be submitted to the City of Ottawa in support of the application for site plan approval.

7.2 COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM REVIEW AGENCIES

Phase 3 Pre-Consultation Review Feedback for 451 Smyth Road was received March 28^{th} , 2024. There were no engineering comments to be addressed in this 3^{rd} version of the report.

APPENDIX

A

- PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES
- ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN
- TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PLAN



File No.: PC2023-0222

August 25, 2023

Nadia De Santi WSP

Via email: nadia.de-santi@wsp.com

Subject: Phase 1 - Pre-Consultation: Meeting Feedback

Proposed Site Plan and likely Zoning By-Law Amendment Application – 451 Smyth Road and 630 Peter Morand

Please find below information regarding next steps as well as consolidated comments from the above-noted pre-consultation meeting held on August 23, 2023.

<u>Pre-Consultation Preliminary Assessment</u>

1 1	9 1	3	1 1 1 1	5
,			T 🔼	5 🗆

One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required while five (5) suggests that the proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.

Next Steps

- 1. A review of the proposal and materials submitted for the above-noted preconsultation has been undertaken. Please proceed to complete a Phase 2 Preconsultation Application Form and submit it together with the necessary studies and/or plans to planningcirculations@ottawa.ca.
- 2. In your subsequent pre-consultation submission, please ensure that all comments or issues detailed herein are addressed. A detailed cover letter stating how each issue has been addressed must be included with the submission materials. Please coordinate the numbering of your responses within the cover letter with the comment number(s) herein.
- 3. Please note, if your development proposal changes significantly in scope, design, or density before the Phase 3 pre-consultation, you may be required to repeat the Phase 2 pre-consultation process.

Supporting Information and Material Requirements

1. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** (SPIL) outlines the information and material that has been identified, during this phase of pre-consultation, as either required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.



a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on Ottawa.ca. These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.

Consultation with Technical Agencies

1. You are encouraged to consult with technical agencies early in the development process and throughout the development of your project concept. A list of technical agencies and their contact information is enclosed.

<u>Planning (Tracey Scaramozzino, Mitch Lesage – Zoning):</u>

Basic Understanding of the Site and proposed development:



Green star is proposed new AMRC bldg and the pink is the 'one lot for zoning purposes (if we are only looking at TOH – General Campus – but there is the possibility of including the CHEO site immediately to the west). The Peter Morand site is the blue star and is not on the same lot for zoning purposes.

- 1. Site is part of TOH General Campus. It was determined (in Dec 2022 pre pre con notes) that the site of 451 Smyth would be reviewed as one site for zoning purposes (S. 93) since the entire property (despite the various bldgs and roads etc) functions as one lot and was developed together.
- 2. One option for the AMRC building is to request a MV for the site to allow:



- a. Reduced parking for the AMRC bldg
- b. To permit parking for AMRC bldg to be located away from the bldg

Note: City staff cannot guarantee a positive decision from the cofa panel

3. The Peter M site would need to be rezoned to allow it to be used as a parking lot (for the AMRC bldg)

Questions from the Applicant for the Phase 1 precon, Aug 23, 2023:

- Confirmation that the AMRC building would be considered a "postsecondary educational institution" at 451 Smyth.
- City Response: At the Aug 23, 2023 Phase 1 Preconsultation meeting, the Applicant provided confirmation that this facility functions as a Post-secondary educational institution – as described in the Zoning Definition outlined below. If the site needs to be rezoned – the Applicant could consider adding in the R&D use, but this is not necessary for the current proposal.

Post-secondary educational institution includes a:

- university which means a place of higher education, which has a body of teachers and students on the premises, and that offers
 instruction at the undergraduate level, post-graduate level, or both, and which is empowered by law to grant a degree upon the
 successful completion of a prescribed course of study;
- college which means a college of applied arts and technology or other similar place of post secondary education which has a
 body of teachers and students on the premises, and that provides instruction in business, a trade, or a craft; and that is
 empowered by law to grant diplomas, licenses or certificates that permit the holders to represent themselves as qualified to work
 in a particular trade or occupation; or
- any residential use buildings, dwelling units or rooming units ancillary to and located on the same lot as a university or college. (établissement d'enseignement postsecondaire)


2. Can the overflow proposed Parking lot at 630 Peter Morand Crescent be considered accessory parking to the proposed use at 451 Smyth?

- City Response: The lot at 630 Peter Morand could not be considered as 'accessory parking'. The 630 Peter Morand site would be a parking lot and would have a clause on title to confirm that XXX spaces were for the developments at 451 Smyth (including the RGN and AMRC buildings and others). This 'parking lot' use would require a rezoning application.
- Other Brainstorming ideas to assist with timing (to start construction on the AMRC building soon, which likely then puts parking numbers into noncompliance as per zoning):
 - Applicant to consider applying for MV to reduce the parking rate for 451 Smyth. City staff can't be sure that the cofa panel would be supportive, esp since it is a public process and nearby residents may have concerns over over-flow parking on the local streets.



- Applicant to determine if they are OVER-providing parking at 451 Smyth that they can then allocate to the new building
- City staff are reviewing if this file can be fast-tracked with High Economic Impact Project (HEIP) process
- 3. Is there another option to allow a parking lot on Peter Morand to be tied to the proposed AMRC use without needing another development application approval? Would an internal bus service from another lot help resolve this issue?
- The Peter M site would need to be rezoned to allow for a parking lot and then it would be tied to the 451 smyth/AMRC bldg.
- I don't believe there is a way to avoid another devt application.
- The bus idea would be useful to make the sites function together and would make the request to reduce the parking on site at the AMRC bldg more amenable, but won't help in the zoning provisions to allow the site to be used as a parking lot.
- 4. The December 2022 Pre consultation meeting minutes referred to "the point in the top left corner is a rear point" for 451 Smyth. Please confirm what this means in relation to the rear yard setback zoning requirement. Please note that the Ring Road is privately owned.
- City Response: Pls refer to marked-up drawing below. The rear lot is the blue point in the north-west corner. The rear yard setback would be drawn out as a straight line from the centre as shown in dark blue. The front lot line is along Smyth and shown in pink and the remaining lines are interior lot lines and shown in green.
- If CHEO and TOH are under the same 'ownership', the lot line would change to the dashed green line to the west and then the light-blue point and arc would be the rear lot point and setback.





- 5. Please confirm that the minimum parking space rate for a post secondary educational institution of 0.75 m per 100 m² of gross floor area would be applied for both 451 Smyth and 630 Peter Morand only.
- City Response: With the AMRC bldg being considered a post-secondary facility, then that parking rate would be used for the amount of req'd parking at the site as well as what is allocated to the AMRC bldg
- 6. Do we need to use the minimum parking space rate shown in Section 206, provision 11(h) for 630 Peter Morand ?

(h) parking must be provided for all uses at the rate of one space per 100 square metres of gross floor area;

- City Response: 11(h) above does not apply, as that would only be for uses that located on that site. If this site is rezoned as a parking lot for 451 Smyth, the parking rate would be the requirement for the post-secondary institution as the spaces would be tied to AMRC building. BUT the parking lot doesn't have a GFA so this wouldn't apply...
- 7. The construction of the AMRC building at 451 Smyth will result in the removal of the parking lot that currently services the existing RGN building, which is adjacent to the site. Does the proposed parking lot at 630 Peter Morand need to be operational before decommissioning the existing parking lot at 451



Smyth? If yes, would the 630 Peter Morand parking be considered a permanent or a temporary lot?

 City Response: If REQD parking is being removed and can't be located elsewhere at 451 Smyth (possibly adding additional spaces if required/possible – including smaller car sizes if appropriate), then yes, Peter M parking lot needs to be up 1st (zoning in place and parking lot built);

TOH (George): explained that the 630 Peter Morand site was conveyed to university for development. He will forward the conveyance agreement in case there is an opportunity to develop the site for a parking lot without the zoning requirement for the parking lot use. (630 Peter M has a building and a surface parking lot and part of the lot is also vacant grassed lands.)

if there is no where else for the vehicular parking at 451 Smyth Road, then yes, the lot at 630 Peter M would need to be operational. I presume it would be a permanent parking lot, as it will be needed on a permanent basis for the existing RGN bldg and the proposed AMRC bldg.

- 8. Regarding the list of plans and studies, we would like to understand where the City is at with the revisions to the Terms of Reference.
- City Response: The TOR's have been updated and are on the City's website.
- 9. What would be needed in a Zoning Conformance Report that wouldn't be provided in a Planning Rationale?
- City Response: the Zoning Conformance Report should go through every provision of the Zoning By-Law that applies to this site. It may or may not be the same as what you already provide in the Planning Rationale.

10. Confirmation that we don't need to go through the UDRP process.

- City Response: no requirement for UDRP, as it is not within a 'design priority area' in Schedule C7-A.
- 11. Confirmation of Phases 2 and 3 requirements and timing, under the City's new multi-tiered pre application consultation process.
- City Response: Our goal is to conduct a meeting within 10 business days and provide feedback to the Applicant in 3 business days for phases 1 and 2. Phase 3



- allows the City 10 business days for internal review against the City's TOR and to provide feedback in 5 business days. These timelines are NOT regulated and will strive to meet them.
- Phase 2 is required to discuss the parking situation and whether the Rezoning is required for the parking lot at 630 Peter Morand and also to review the conveyance agreement with the City and the High Economic Impact Project opportunity.

<u>Urban Design (Nader Kadri):</u>

Formal comments not received.

Engineering (Tyler Cassidy):

- 1. The Stormwater Management Criteria, for the subject site located at **451 Smyth Road**, is to be based on the following:
 - a. The 2-yr & 5-yr storm event using the IDF information derived from the Meteorological Services of Canada rainfall data, taken from the MacDonald Cartier Airport, collected 1966 to 1997.
 - b. Flows to the storm sewer in excess of the allowable storm release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, must be detained on site.
 - c. The City of Ottawa requires, at minimum, controlling the post-development flows to the pre-development peak flow during the 5-year event. The applicant has stated that the Ottawa Hospital General Campus has more restrictive SWM criteria, with a recommended post-development release rate being controlled to the pre-development 2 year storm event.
 - d. The pre-development runoff coefficient or a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.5, whichever is less (§ 8.3.7.3).
 - e. A calculated time of concentration (Cannot be less than 10 minutes).
 - f. Quality control is to be provided on-site to the 'enhanced' criteria (80% TSS removal). Records show that there is an existing OGS unit providing some level of quality control downstream the site on Hospital Link Road. It is the consultant/applicant's responsibility to confirm what level of service is being provided by existing infrastructure downstream, and to provide detailed OGS sizing calculations that confirm an overall TSS removal of 80% is being achieved. Any shortfall in TSS removal from the existing OGS unit is expected to be made up on site.



- g. If the soils are conducive to LIDs then explore LID measures on-site or use the City's Low Impact Development Technical Guidance Report (Dillon – February 2021) to develop Best Management Practices
- 2. The Stormwater Management Criteria, for the subject site located at **630 Peter Morand Crescent**, is to be based on the following:
 - a. The 2-yr & 5-yr storm event using the IDF information derived from the Meteorological Services of Canada rainfall data, taken from the MacDonald Cartier Airport, collected 1966 to 1997.
 - b. Flows to the storm sewer in excess of the allowable storm release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, must be detained on site.
 - c. The Allowable release rate is to be based on the approved Stormwater Management Report "Proposed Relocation of the Existing Stormwater Pond at the Ottawa Life Sciences Technology Park" prepared by Stantec, dated November 19, 2002, revised December 17, 2002.
 - d. All runoff beyond the minor system allowable release rate is to be controlled/stored on site up to the 100-year design storm.
 - e. Quality control is to be provided to the 'enhanced' criteria (80% TSS removal). There is an existing stormwater management facility located at 775 Peter Morand Crescent which provides some level of quality control for this site. It is the consultant/applicant's responsibility to confirm that 80% TSS removal is being provided to the site. Any shortfall in TSS removal from the existing stormwater management facility is expected to be made up on site.
- 3. Deep Services **451 Smyth Road** (Storm, Sanitary & Water Supply)
 - a. 305mm dia. Watermain on Hospital Link Rd. (Private)
 - b. Service areas with a basic day demand greater than 50 m³/day shall provide a minimum of two water main connections to avoid the creation of vulnerable service areas.
 - c. 375 mm dia. Conc. Sanitary Sewer on Hospital Link Road.(Private)
 - d. Existing STM MH connecting to 600 mm dia. Conc. Storm Sewer on Hospital Link Road (MHST49588), or;
 - e. Existing STM MH connecting to 300 mm dia. Conc. Storm Sewer on Hospital Link Road (MHST49589)
- 4. Deep Services **630 Peter Morand Crescent** (Storm, Sanitary & Water Supply)



- a. 305mm dia. PVC Watermain on Peter Morand Crescent.
- b. 250 mm dia. PVC sewer on Peter Morand Crescent.
- c. Existing 750 mm dia. Conc. STM sewer on Peter Morand Crescent.
- 5. General Servicing Comments:
 - d. Connections to trunk sewers and easement sewers are typically not permitted.
 - e. A sanitary monitoring maintenance hole is required if the sanitary service connects to a *public* sanitary sewer. The monitoring maintenance hole should be located in an accessible location on private property near the property line (ie. Not in a parking area). If the proposed sanitary service connects to a *private* sanitary sewer, a monitoring maintenance hole will not be required.
 - f. Sewer connections to be made above the springline of the sewermain as per:
 - i. Std Dwg S11.1 for flexible main sewers connections made using approved tee or wye fittings.
 - ii. Std Dwg S11 (For rigid main sewers) lateral must be less that 50% the diameter of the sewermain,
 - Std Dwg S11.2 (for rigid main sewers using bell end insert method)
 for larger diameter laterals where manufactured inserts are not available; lateral must be less that 50% the diameter of the sewermain,
 - iv. Connections to manholes permitted when the connection is to rigid main sewers where the lateral exceeds 50% the diameter of the sewermain. Connect obvert to obvert with the outlet pipe unless pipes are a similar size.
 - v. No submerged outlet connections.
- 6. Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service (map or plan with connection location(s) indicated) and the expected loads required by the proposed development, including calculations. Please provide the following information:
 - a. Location of service
 - b. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS).
 - c. Average daily demand: I/s.



d.	Maximum daily demand:l/s	
e.	Maximum hourly daily demand:	l/s.

- 7. An MECP Environmental Compliance Approval **Industrial Sewage Works or Private Sewage Works** maybe required for the proposed development. Please contact the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Ottawa District Office to arrange a pre-submission consultation:
 - f. Emily Diamond at (613) 521-3450, ext. 238 or Emily.Diamond@ontario.ca
- 8. Background studies include:
 - a. 451 Smyth Road: "Design Brief Hospital Link Storm Drainage System Alta Vista Transportation Corridor" prepared by Delcan, consultant report no. T03016EOD, dated May 21, 2014.
 - b. **451 Smyth Road:** "Design Brief Hospital Link Storm Drainage System Alta Vista Transportation Corridor ADDENDUM" prepared by Delcan, consultant report no. T03016EOD, dated August 13, 2014.
 - c. **630 Peter Morand Crescent:** Stormwater Management Report "Proposed Relocation of the Existing Stormwater Pond at the Ottawa Life Sciences Technology Park" prepared by Stantec, dated November 19, 2002, revised December 17, 2002.
- 9. Frontage Charges do not apply to this application.
- 10. There are no Capital Works Projects scheduled within the vicinity of this project.

Feel free to contact Tyler Cassidy, Infrastructure Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

Noise (Mike Giampa):

11. A Road Noise Impact Study is required

Feel free to contact Mike Giampa, TPM, for follow-up questions.

Transportation (Mike Giampa):

12. A TIA is warranted- proceed to scoping (step 2). Required modules can be adjusted at this step. The Scoping report must be submitted at Phase 2 precon (if applicable) or 14 calendar days prior to Phase 3 precon.



- 13. The application will not be deemed complete until the submission of the draft step 2-3. Synchro files are required at Step 3/Phase 3 precon for a complete submission.
- 14. Ensure that the clear throat requirements meet TAC guidelines (applies to arterial and collectors only).
- 15. A Road Noise Impact Study is required.
- 16. Ensure that the development proposal complies with the Right-of-Way protection requirements of the Official Plan's Schedule C16. The ROW protection will then be verified at submission. Any requests for exceptions to ROW protection requirements must be discussed with Transportation Planning and concurrence provided by Transportation Planning management.
 - i. See Schedule C16 of the Official Plan.
- 17. Any requests for exceptions to ROW protection requirements <u>must</u> be discussed with Transportation Planning and concurrence provided by Transportation Planning management.

Feel free to contact Mike Giampa, Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

Environment and Trees

1. Comments not received.

Feel free to contact Matthew Hayley, Environmental Planner, or Mark Richardson, Forester, for follow-up questions.

Parkland (Steve Gauthier):

- 18. Cash-in-lieu of parkland will be required as per the Parkland Dedication Bylaw
 - a. Parkland Dedication By-law No. 2022-280



Feel free to contact Steve Gauthier, Parks Planner, for follow-up questions.

Conservation Authority (RVCA - Eric Lalande)

19. Ensure the reduction of quantity control from 5yrs to 2yrs does not negatively impact erosion.

Feel free to contact Eric Lalande, RVCA, for follow-up questions.

Other

- 20. For the Site Plan Control stage: The High Performance Development Standard (HPDS) is a collection of voluntary and required standards that raise the performance of new building projects to achieve sustainable and resilient design. The HPDS was passed by Council on April 13, 2022.
 - a. At this time, the HPDS is not in effect and Council has referred the 2023 HPDS Update Report back to staff with direction to bring forward an updated report to Committee with recommendations for revised phasing timelines, resource requirements and associated amendments to the Site Plan Control By-law by no later than Q1 2024.
 - b. Please refer to the HPDS information attached and ottawa.ca/HPDS for more information.
- 21. The City is reviewing this application for potential "High Economic Impact Process HEIP" which would help to speed the file through the approval process. The File Lead or the HEIP team (while the file lead is away Sept 2-Sept 18) will advise if the file is selected.

Submission Requirements and Fees

- 1. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** (SPIL) outlines the information and material that has been identified as either required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.
 - a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on <u>Ottawa.ca</u>. These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.
- 2. <u>All</u> of the above comments or issues should be addressed to ensure the effectiveness of the application submission review.




Should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact myself or the contact identified for the above areas / disciplines.

Yours Truly, Tracey Scaramozzino

CC.

City contacts, as per above



APPENDIX

B

- FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY FIRE FLOW
 CALCULATION FOR ADDITION
- WATER DEMAND CALCULATION
- UPDATED BOUNDARY CONDITION
- HYDRANT FLOW TEST RESULTS

Fire Flow Design Sheet (FUS) uOttawa Advanced Medical Research Centre Building 451 Smyth Road

Project: CA0009956.0165 Date: February 2024



New Addition

Fire Flow Requirements Based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) 2020

1. An estimate of the Fire Flow required for a given fire area may be estimated by: F = 220 C \

F = required fire flow in litres per minute

C = coefficient related to the type of construction

1.5 for **Type V** Wood Frame Construction

0.8 for **Type IV-A** Mass Timber Construction

0.9 for Type IV-B Mass Timber Construction

1.0 for **Type IV-C** Mass Timber Construction

1.5 for Type IV-D Mass Timber Construction

1.0 for Type III Ordinary Construction

0.8 for Type II Noncombustible Construction

0.6 for **Type I** Fire resistive Construction

A =2-b) The single largest Floor Area plus 25% of each of the two immediately adjoining floors

7448 m² A = 8.0 15189.1 L/min

rounded off to 15,000 L/min (min value of 2000 L/min)

2. The value obtained in 1. may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having a low contents fire hazard.

Non-combustible -25% Limited Combustible -15% Combustible 0% Free Burning 15% Rapid Burning 25%

Reduction due to low occupancy hazard 0% x 15,000 =

3. The value obtained in 2. may be reduced by as much as 50% for buildings equipped with automatic sprinkler protection.

Adequate Sprinkler confirms to NFPA13 -30% Water supply common for sprinklers & fire hoses -10% -10% Fully supervised system No Automatic Sprinkler System 0%

Reduction due to Sprinkler System $-50\% \times 15,000 =$ -7,500 L/min

4. The value obtained in 2. is increased for structures exposed within 45 metres by the fire area under consideration.

Separation	<u>Charge</u>
0 to 3 m	25%
3.1 to 10 m	20%
10.1 to 20 m	15%
20.1 to 30 m	10%
30.1 to 45 m	0%

Side 1 0% north side 60 Side 2 90 0% east side Side 3 0% south side 2-Hr FW Side 4 0% west side 60

> 0% (Total shall not exceed 75%)

Increase due to separation $0\% \times 15,000 = [$

5. The flow requirement is the value obtained in 2., minus the reduction in 3., plus the addition in 4.


The fire flow requirement is 8,000 L/min (Rounded to nearest 1000 L/min) 133 L/sec 2,113 gpm (us)

or 1,760 gpm (uk) or

Water Demand Calculation Sheet

Project: uOttawa Advanced Medical Research Centre Building

Location: 451 Smyth Road WSP Project No. CA0009956.0165





		Residential		School		Non-Residentia	al	Av	erage Daily		ľ	Maximum Dail	У	M	aximum Hou	rly	Fire
Proposed Buildings		Units		nor Ctudont	Industrial	Institutional	Commercial	De	emand (I/s)			Demand (I/s)			Demand		
	SF	APT	ST	per Student	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	Res.	Non-Res.	Total	Res.	Non-Res.	Total	Res.	Non-Res.	Total	(I/min)
uOttawa AMRC						2.02			0.65	0.65		0.98	0.98		1.77	1.77	8,000


Population Densities	
Single Family	3.4 person/unit
Semi-Detached	2.7 person/unit
Duplex	2.3 person/unit
Townhome (Row)	2.7 person/unit
Bachelor Apartment	1.4 person/unit
1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 person/unit
2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 person/unit
3 Bedroom Apartment	3.1 person/unit
4 Bedroom Apartment	4.1 person/unit
Avg. Apartment	1.8 person/unit


Average Daily Demand

Residential 280 l/cap/day Industrial 35000 l/ha/day Institutional 28000 l/ha/day Commercial 28000 l/ha/day

School 70 l/day/student Assume: 8 hours of operating day Maximum Daily Demand Maximum Hourly Demand

2.5 x avg. day Residential 2.2 x max. day Residential 1.5 x avg. day 1.8 x max. day Industrial Industrial 1.5 x avg. day 1.8 x max. day Institutional Institutional 1.8 x max. day Commercial 1.5 x avg. day Commercial





From: Cassidy, Tyler <tyler.cassidy@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, October 31, 2023 1:29 PM

To: Ali, Zarak
Cc: Teng, Victoria

Subject: RE: UOttawa AMRC Boundary Conditions Request - 451 Smyth Road

Attachments: 451 Smyth Road October 2023.pdf

Hi Zarak,

Please find below the boundary conditions for 451 Smythe Road.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 451 Smyth Road (zone 2W2C) assumed to be connected at the public 305mm watermain on Smyth Road (see attached PDF for location).

Connection 1:

Minimum HGL = 123.6 m

Maximum HGL = 131.1 m

Max Day + Fire Flow (133 L/s) = 123.1 m

Max Day + Fire Flow (167 L/s) = 121.2 m

Connection 2:

Minimum HGL = 123.6 m

Maximum HGL = 130.8 m

Max Day + Fire Flow (133 L/s) = 123.0 m

Max Day + Fire Flow (167 L/s) = 121.0 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Regards,

Tyler Cassidy, P.Eng

Infrastructure Project Manager,

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique - South Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2424 ext./poste 12977, Tyler.Cassidy@ottawa.ca

From: Cassidy, Tyler

Sent: October 19, 2023 8:26 AM **To:** Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>

Cc: Teng, Victoria < Victoria. Teng@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: UOttawa AMRC Boundary Conditions Request - 451 Smyth Road

Hi Zarak,

Thank you for providing your calculations. I've submitted the boundary condition request to our Water Resources group. Please allow for up to 10 business days for the results to be provided. I'll forward them to your attention once they come in.

Regards,

Tyler Cassidy, P.Eng

Infrastructure Project Manager,

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique - South Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2424 ext./poste 12977, <u>Tyler.Cassidy@ottawa.ca</u>

From: Ali, Zarak < Zarak.Ali@wsp.com Sent: October 18, 2023 11:58 AM

To: Cassidy, Tyler < tyler.cassidy@ottawa.ca **Cc:** Teng, Victoria < Victoria. Teng@wsp.com

Subject: RE: UOttawa AMRC Boundary Conditions Request - 451 Smyth Road

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Tyler,

See attached the requested FUS calculations and water demand calculations.

Regards,

Zarak Ali

Designer E.I.T



Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 343-227-9179 **Zarak.ali@wsp.com**

WSP Global Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Cassidy, Tyler < tyler.cassidy@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 11:01 AM

To: Ali, Zarak < Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>

Cc: Teng, Victoria < <u>Victoria.Teng@wsp.com</u>>

Subject: RE: UOttawa AMRC Boundary Conditions Request - 451 Smyth Road

Hi Zarak,

I'll begin looking into this. Are you able to provide me with the FUS (2020) calculations, as well as the water demand calculations, for your proposed site plan? I am required to confirm the RFF was calculated with the FUS 2020 methodology before I can reach out to our Water Resources Group. Once submitted, it usually takes about 10 business days for boundary condition results to be provided.

Thank you,

Tyler Cassidy, P.Eng

Infrastructure Project Manager,

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique - South Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2424 ext./poste 12977, Tyler.Cassidy@ottawa.ca

From: Ali, Zarak < Zarak.Ali@wsp.com Sent: October 18, 2023 9:00 AM

To: Cassidy, Tyler < tyler.cassidy@ottawa.ca > **Cc:** Teng, Victoria < Victoria.Teng@wsp.com >

Subject: UOttawa AMRC Boundary Conditions Request - 451 Smyth Road

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning Tyler,

We are requesting water boundary conditions for the proposed institutional development: 6-storey building addition (Advanced Medical Research Centre - AMRC) to the existing University of Ottawa Roger Guindon Hall (RGN), located at 451 Smyth Road.

See the attached sketch for the location of the proposed building services, fire flow separation distances and hydrant locations near the proposed development.

The water demands are listed below:

- Avg. Day Demand = 0.66 L/s
- Max. Day Demand = 1.00 L/s
- Peak Hour Demand = 1.79 L/s
- Required Fire Flow Demand = 133 L/s

Note that the total peak sanitary flows from the proposed AMRC building is approximately 1.67 L/s.

Please let me know if you require any additional information.

Regards,



Zarak Ali

Designer E.I.T Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

T+ 1 343-227-9179 **Zarak.ali@wsp.com**

WSP Global Inc. 2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/casl. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to castcompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement antipourriel au www.wsp.com/lcap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com/cap.


-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



AMRC - FLOW TEST

Inspecting Firm: Fast Response Fire Systems

Date of Test

Oct. 25, 2023

Name of Property: University of Ottawa

Time of Test

5 A.M

Inspector Name: Robert Drapeau

Location

501 Smyth Road, Ottawa Ontario K1H 8L6

Hydrant 13 - Flow Test

Static Pressure 67 P.S.I.

Flow #1			
Nozzle Size	Pitot Pressure	G.P.M.	Residual Pressure
13/4"	55	672	65 PSI
Flow #2			
Nozzle	Pitot Pressure	G.P.M.	Residual Pressure
21/2"	55	914	58 PSI
Flow # 3			
Nozzle Size	Pitot Pressure	G.P.M.	Residual Pressure
21/2"	10	590	50 PSI
21/2"	10	590	50 PSI

Note 1 : Residual readings were taken at Hydrant 12

Note 2: Hydrant 13 is fed from one direction and is located at the end of the run

AMRC - FLOW TEST

Inspecting Firm: Fast Response Fire Systems

Date of Test

Oct. 25, 2023

Name of Property: University of Ottawa

Time of Test

5:30 A.M

Inspector Name: Robert Drapeau

Location

501 Smyth Road, Ottawa Ontario K1H 8L6


Hydrant 18 - Flow Test

Static Pressure 67 P.S.I.

Flow # 1													
Nozzle Size	Pitot Pressure	G.P.M.	Residual Pressure										
21/2"	30	1022	67 PSI										
21/2"	30	1022	67 PSI										

Note 1: Residual readings were taken at Hydrant 28

Note 2: Hydrant 18 is fed from 2 direction and is located on a loop main



APPENDIX

C

STC	MQ	SEIM	/FD	DESIC	IN SE	1FFT
316	\mathcal{M}	$\supset L \lor \lor$	$^{\prime}$ LR	DLSIC		


- STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN C110
- ROOF PLAN C111
- RESPONSE LETTER SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION
- FLOW CONTROL ROOF DRAINAGE
 DECLARATION
- SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET
- DWG C103 TO C105 GRADING PLAN
- DWG C106 TO C108 SERVICING PLAN


STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET


uOttawa Advanced Medical Research Centre Building 451 Smyth Road Project: CA0009956.0165 Date: February 2024




		LOCATION				ARE	A (Ha)						F	RATIONAL D	ESIGN FLOW							PRO	PSOED SEWER	DATA			
STREET	AREA ID	FROM	то	C=	C=	C=	C=	C= C:				NLET	TOTAL	i (2)	i (5)	i (100)	2yr PEAK	DESIGN	MATERIAL	SIZE		LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME		CAP (2yr)
	7.11.2.7.1.2			0.20	0.35	0.50	0.75	.80 0.9	0 2.78	AC 2.78	B AC (I	min)	(min)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	FLOW (L/s)	FLOW (L/s)	PIPE	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(I/s)	(m/s)	IN PIPE	(L/s)	(%)
							<u> </u>				POST-DE	VFLOPM	1FNT					<u> </u>									
											1001.22	12201111	12.41														
	A-18	CB 20	STMH 109	0.012				0.1				0.00	10.38	76.81	104.19	178.56	27.42	27.42	PVC DR-35	200	1.00	23.80	32.83	1.04	0.38	5.42	16.50%
	A-17	CB 01	MAIN	0.007				0.13				0.00	10.08	76.81	104.19	178.56	26.24	26.24	PVC DR-35	200	2.00	7.50	46.43	1.48	0.08	20.19	43.48%
	A-16	EX-CB 02	MAIN	0.013				0.0				0.00	10.13	76.81	104.19	178.56	10.55	10.55	PVC DR-35	200	2.00	11.70	46.43	1.48	0.13	35.88	77.28%
	A-15	CB 02	MAIN	0.011				0.0				0.00	10.05	76.81	104.19	178.56	9.25	9.25	PVC DR-35	200	2.00	4.00	46.43	1.48	0.05	37.18	80.08%
	A-14	CB 03 STMH 109	MAIN STMH 108	0.015				0.0	0.14 0.00			0.00	10.14 11.77	76.81 75.38	104.19 102.23	178.56 175.16	11.18 83.06	11.18 83.06	PVC DR-35 CONC.	200	2.00 0.20	12.10 73.90	46.43 192.52	1.48	0.14 1.39	35.25	75.92% 56.86%
	A-20	CB 04	MAIN	0.026				0.0				0.00	10.31	76.81	104.19	173.16	6.92	6.92	PVC DR-35	525 200	1.00	19.60	32.83	0.89 1.04	0.31	109.46 25.91	78.92%
	A-12	CB 05	MAIN	0.020				0.0				0.00	10.15	76.81	104.19	178.56	15.70	15.70	PVC DR-35	200	2.00	13.60	46.43	1.48	0.15	30.74	66.20%
	A-13	CB 06	MAIN	0.002				0.0				0.00	10.06	76.81	104.19	178.56	8.95	8.95	PVC DR-35		2.00	5.70	46.43	1.48	+	37.48	
	A-21	CB 08	MAIN	0.029				0.04				0.00	10.05	76.81	104.19	178.56	10.03	10.03	PVC DR-35	200	1.00	3.10	32.83	1.04	0.05	22.80	69.44%
	A-22	CB 13	MAIN	0.017				0.04				0.00	10.06	76.81	104.19	178.56	8.94	8.94	PVC DR-35	200	1.00	4.00	32.83	1.04	0.06	23.89	72.76%
		STMH 108	SMALL POND						0.0	00 1.7	760 1	1.77	12.99	70.63	95.71	163.88	124.31	124.31	CONC.	525	0.24	71.60	210.90	0.97	1.23	86.59	41.06%
		SMALL POND	BIG POND						0.0	00 1.7	760 1	2.99	13.10	66.95	90.66	155.16	117.84	117.84	HDPE	525	1.00	13.10	430.50	1.99	0.11	312.66	72.63%
	A-6	BIG POND	EFO6	0.085				0.0				3.10	13.25	66.64	90.24	154.43	123.28	123.28	PVC DR-35	375	1.40	16.10	207.66	1.88	0.14	84.38	40.63%
*SEE NOTE		EFO6	EX. STMH						0.0	00 1.8	350 1	3.25	13.49	66.25	89.69	153.49	122.55	122.55	PVC DR-35	375	1.25	26.40	196.22	1.77	0.25	73.68	37.55%
	A 0	OP 40	ODMII 400	0.000				0.00	20 0.0	20 0 (200	0.00	40.05	70.04	10110	170.50	0.04	0.04	D) (0 DD 05	000	1.00	45.00	00.00	1.01	0.05	00.40	00.000/
	A-9	CB 10	CBMH 102 MAIN	0.000				0.03				0.00	10.25	76.81	104.19	178.56	6.34	6.34	PVC DR-35	200	1.00	15.80	32.83	1.04	0.25	26.49	
	A-11 A-10	CB 12 CBMH 102	STMH 102 / CHAMBERS	0.008				0.03				0.00	10.02 10.50	76.81 75.85	104.19 102.88	178.56 176.29	7.16 17.82	7.16 17.82	PVC DR-35 CONC,	200 450	2.00	1.50 19.20	46.43 201.80	1.48 1.27	0.02	39.27 183.98	84.58% 91.17%
	A-10	CDIVIT 102	STWIN 102/ CHAMBERS	0.003				0.07	3 0.0	0.2	200 1	0.23	10.50	75.05	102.00	170.29	17.02	17.02	CONC,	450	0.50	19.20	201.00	1.21	0.25	103.30	91.17/8
	A-7	CB 14	CBMH 108	0.005				0.0	54 0.13	37 0.1	137 1	0.00	10.41	76.81	104.19	178.56	10.53	10.53	PVC DR-35	200	1.00	25.50	32.83	1.04	0.41	22.30	67.93%
	A-8	CBMH 108	STMH 114 / CHAMBERS	0.002				0.04				0.41	10.85	75.28	102.09	174.92	18.39	18.39	PVC DR-35	250	0.50	22.90	42.09	0.86	0.45	23.70	56.31%
	A-4	CB 15	STMH 114 / CHAMBERS	0.120				0.0				0.00	10.19	76.81	104.19	178.56	10.51	10.51	PVC DR-35	200	2.00	17.20	46.43	1.48		35.92	77.36%
		STMH 114 / CHAMBERS	STORM CHAMBERS						0.0			0.85	10.85	73.68	99.90	171.12	28.08	28.08									
**SEE NOTE		STMH 112 / CHAMBERS							0.0			0.85	10.99	73.68	99.90	171.12	45.40	45.40	PVC DR-35				175.51	1.59			74.13%
		EFO4	EX-STMH						0.0	0.6	616 1	0.99	11.11	73.19	99.23	169.97	45.10	45.10	PVC DR-35	375	1.00	11.50	175.51	1.59	0.12	130.41	74.30%
***055 NOT5	A 00	00.07	F . A A A I A I	0.044				0.0	20 0.0	20 0.6	200 4	0.00	10.10	70.04	10110	170.50	17.50	17.50	D) (0 DD 05	000	0.00	44.00	40.40	1 10	0.40	00.04	20.100/
***SEE NOTE	A-23	CB 07	Ex. MAIN	0.011				0.0	39 0.2	29 0.2	229 1	0.00	10.12	76.81	104.19	178.56	17.59	17.59	PVC DR-35	200	2.00	11.00	46.43	1.48	0.12	28.84	62.12%
†SEE NOTE	R1, R2	BLDG	Ex. STMH	0.000				0.5	37 1.3	14 1 3	344 1	0.00	10.07	76.81	104.19	178.56	103.19	103.19	PVC DR-35	300	2.00	7.80	136.89	1.93	0.07	33.70	24.62%
JOEL NOTE	111,112	BLDG	LX. STIVIT	0.000				0.5	1.5	1.0	344 1	0.00	10.07	70.01	104.13	170.50	103.13	103.19	1 40 011-33	300	2.00	7.00	130.09	1.95	0.07	33.70	24.02 /6
Definition:				Notes:												Designed:	Z.A			Revis	sion				Da	te	
Q=2.78CiA, where:				1. Mannin	gs coeffici	ent (n) =	0.013												City	Submis	ssion No.	. 1			2023-1		
Q = Peak Flow in Litres	s per Second (L/s))		2-YR Flow:	•	` '													City	Submis	ssion No.	. 2			2024-0		
A = Area in Hectares (H	Ha)			*Flow con	trolled to 5	52.15 l/s										Checked:	V.T										
i = Rainfall Intensity in i					ntrolled to																						
i = 732.951/(TC+6.1	,		2 Year			18.15 l/s																					
i = 1174.184/(TC+6.	,			†Flow fror	n roofs co	ntrolled to 3	39.14 l/s									Dwg. Referen											
i = 1735.688/(TC+6.	.014)^0.820		100 Year														C110	File			Date	:			Sheet		
																									1 o	1	







REV	ISION:		
			Т
6	2024-04-10	ISSUED FOR PHASE 3 RE-SUBMISSION	\
5	2024-02-26	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	V
4	2024-02-02	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	V
3	2023-11-30	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	V
2	2023-11-20	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT	V
1	2023-10-31	ISSUED FOR PRICING PROPOSAL	٧
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	В



DISCLAIMER: COPYRIGHT
THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USEI REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.

ORIGINAL SCALE:	DATE:
AS NOTED	2023-09-25
DESIGNED BY: V. TENG	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUS
DRAWN BY: B. NANDLAL	YOUR PLOTTING SCAI
APPROVED BY: I. JAFFERJEE	25mm
DISCIPLINE: CIVII	





ADVANCED MEDICAL
RESEARCH CENTRE

ROOF AREA PLAN

6

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

uOttawa Advanced Medical Research Centre Building 451 Smyth Road Project: CA0009956.0165

Date: February 2024

	LOCATIO	N					RESI	DENTIAL ARE	EA AND PO	PULATION						II.	IDUSTRIAL		COMI	MERCIAL	INSTITU	TIONAL	I+C+I		LTRATIO	<u> </u>				PIPE		
	FROM	то	SANITARY DRAINAGE	INDV ACCU NUMBER OF UNITS POPU			POPUL				ACCU.	ACCU. PEAK INDIV ACCU.		ACCU.	INDIV	ACCU.	PEAK	INDIV	ACCU.	INFILT.	TOTAL	LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	CAP. VEL.	. AVAIL.						
LOCATION	M.H.	M.H.	AREA ID	AREA (ha)	AREA SINGL	ES SEMIS	TOWNS	1-BED APT.	2-BED APT.	3-BED APT.	INDIV POP.	ACCU POP.	FACT.	FLOW (I/s)	AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	FACTOR	AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ARE (ha)	FLOW (I/s)	AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	FLOW (I/s)	FLOW (I/s)	(m)	(mm)		FULL) (FULL (I/s) (m/s)	
	<u>.</u>	<u>. </u>			 							•	, ,	BASED OF	N AREA																	
	BLDG	SAMH100																			2.02	2.02	0.98	2.0	2 2 <mark>.02</mark>	0.67	1.6	18.80	200	1.12	34.71 1. ⁻	.10 95.2 5
	SAMH100	SAMH101																			0.00	2.02	0.98	0. <mark>0</mark>	2.02	0.67	1.6	29.60	200	1.00	32.80 1.0	.04 94.9 7
	SAMH101	Ex. SAMH 1																			0.00	2.02	0.98	0.0	2.02	0.67	1.6	60.60	200	1.00	32.80 1.0	.04 94.9 7
							DE	ESIGN PARA	METERS																							
																									DESIGNED	•		NO.		REVISION		DATE
RESIDENTIAL AVG. DAIL	LY FLOW =	280	I/cap/day		COMM	ERCIAL PEAK	FACTOR =		1.5	(WHEN ARE	EA > 20%)		PEAK PO	PULATION F	LOW, (I/s)) =	P*q*M/86	100		UNIT TYPE		PERSONS	/UNIT	_	Victoria Ter	g, P.E <mark>ng</mark>		1.	City Su	ubmission	No.1 30	0/11/2023
COMMERCIAL AVG. DAIL	LY FLOW =	28,000	l/ha/day						1.0	(WHEN ARE	EA < 20%)		PEAK EXT	TRANEOUS I	FLOW, (I/s	s) =	I*Ac			SINGLES	•	3.4			CHECKED:			2	City Su	ubmission	No.2 26	6/02/2024
		0.324	I/ha/s										RESIDEN'	TIAL PEAKIN	NG FACTO)R, M =	1+(14/(4+P^).5))*K		SEMI-DETAC	HED	2.7			Ishaq <mark>ue Jaf</mark>	<mark>erjee,</mark> P.Eng						
INSTITUTIONAL AVG. DA	AILY FLOW =	28,000	l/ha/day		INSTIT	JTIONAL PEA	K FACTOR =		1.5	(WHEN ARE	EA > 20%)		Ac = CUM	ULATIVE AR	REA (ha)					TOWNHOME	:S	2.7			PROJECT:							
		0.324	I/ha/s						1.0	(WHEN ARE	EA < 20%)		P = POPU	LATION (TH	OUSANDS	S)				SINGLE APT.	. UNIT	1.4			uOTTAWA	AMRC						
LIGHT INDUSTRIAL FLOV	W =	35,000	l/ha/day																	2-BED APT. U	JNIT	2.1			451 Smy <mark>th</mark>	<mark>Ro</mark> ad		1				
		0.405	I/ha/s		RESIDI	NTIAL CORR	ECTION FACTO	OR, K =	0.80				SEWER C	APACITY, Q	Qcap (I/s) =		1/N S^(1/2	R^(2/3) Ac		3-BED APT. U	JNIT	3.1			LOCATION			1				
HEAVY INDUSTRIAL FLO	OW =	55,000	l/ha/day		MANNI	NG N =			0.013				(MANNING	G'S EQUATIO	ON)										Ottawa, On	ario						
	•	0.637	I/ha/s		PEAK E	XTRANEOUS	FLOW, I (I/s/ha	a) =	0.33																PAGE NO:			FILE & DW	G. REFERE	NCE:		
INSTITUTIONAL A <mark>V</mark> G. DA	AILY FLOW =	70	l/student/day																						1 of 1							



Adjustable Accutrol Weir

Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains


ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below. Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2"of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be: [5 gpm (per inch of head) \times 2 inches of head] + 2-1/2 gpm (for the third inch of head) = 12-1/2 gpm.



Adjustable Upper Cone

Fixed Weir

1/2 Weir Opening Exposed Shown Above

TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings

Wain Ononing	1"	2"	3"	4"	5"	6"				
Weir Opening Exposed	Flow Rate (gallons per minute)									
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	25	30				
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25	25				
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20				
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75	15				
Closed	5	5	5	5	5	5				


Job Name	Contractor
lab l apation	Contractorio D.O. No
Job Location	Contractor's P.O. No.
Engineer	Representative
<u>e</u>	·


Watts product specifications in U.S. customary units and metric are approximate and are provided for reference only. For precise measurements, please contact Watts Technical Service. Watts reserves the right to change or modify product design, construction, specifications, or materials without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make such changes and modifications on Watts products previously or subsequently sold.




USA: Tel: (800) 338-2581 • Fax: (828) 248-3929 • Watts.com **Canada:** Tel: (905) 332-4090 • Fax: (905) 332-7068 • Watts.ca

Latin America: Tel: (52) 81-1001-8600 • Fax: (52) 81-8000-7091 • Watts.com








CAR ONLY PARKING AREA PAVEMENT STRUCTURE -


GRASS/ LANDSCAPE AREA

PROPOSED RIP-RAP

ACCESS LANE & HEAVY TRUCK



REV	'ISION:		
			\perp
6	2024-04-10	ISSUED FOR PHASE 3 RE-SUBMISSION	\
5	2024-02-26	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	\
4	2024-02-02	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	١
3	2023-11-30	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	١
2	2023-11-20	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT	١
1	2023-10-31	ISSUED FOR PRICING PROPOSAL	\
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	E



DISCLAIMER:
COPYRIGHT:
THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED,
REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR
SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL
ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.

	ORIGINAL SCALE: AS NOTED	DATE: 2023-09-25
	DESIGNED BY: V. TENG	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST
	DRAWN BY: B. NANDLAL	YOUR PLOTTING SCAL
	APPROVED BY: I. JAFFERJEE	25mm
	DISCIDI INE:	

DISCIPLINE: CIVIL




PROJECT NUMBER: CA0009956.0165-CA




CLIENT REF. #:


PROJECT:


SCALE: 1:250




IG NUMBER: RE










REV	ISION:		
6	2024-04-10	ISSUED FOR PHASE 3 RE-SUBMISSION	V
5	2024-02-26	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	V
4	2024-02-02	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	V
3	2023-11-30	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	V
2	2023-11-20	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT	V
1	2023-10-31	ISSUED FOR PRICING PROPOSAL	V
REV	DATE	DESCRIPTION	В




DISCLAIMER: COPYRIGHT THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USEI REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.

AS NOTED	2023-09-25
DESIGNED BY: V. TENG	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST
DRAWN BY: B. NANDLAL	YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
APPROVED BY: I. JAFFERJEE	25mm
DISCIPLINE: CIVII	








SERVICING PLAN

6

APPENDIX

D

 EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN C109



APPENDIX

Ε

SUBMISSION CHECK LIST