

# **Geotechnical Investigation**

# **Proposed Residential Development** 5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road & 6030 & 6070 Fernbank Road,

Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. & Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd.

Report PG5570-2, Revision 4, dated August 7, 2024



# **Table of Contents**

1.0	PA Introduction	GE .1
2.0	Proposed Development	.1
3.0	Method of Investigation	.2
3.1	Field Investigation	2
3.2	Field Survey	<u>۔ د</u>
3.3	Laboratory Testing	4
3.4	Analytical Testing	4
4.0	Observations	.6
4.1	Surface Conditions	. 6
4.2	Subsurface Profile	6
4.3	Groundwater	10
5.0	Discussion	15
5 1	Geotechnical Assessment	15
5.2	Site Grading and Preparation	15
5.3	Foundation Design	18
5.4	Design for Earthquakes	19
5.5	Basement Slab	19
5.6	Basement Wall	20
5.7	Pavement Design	21
6.0	Design and Construction Precautions	23
6.1	Foundation Drainage and Backfill	23
6.2	Protection of Footings Against Frost Action	23
6.3	Excavation Side Slopes	24
6.4	Pipe Bedding and Backfill	25
6.5	Groundwater Control	26
6.6	Winter Construction	26
6.7	Corrosion Potential and Sulphate	27
7.0	Recommendations	28
8.0	Statement of Limitations	29



# Appendices

- Appendix 1Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets<br/>Symbols and Terms<br/>Grain Size Distribution Testing Results<br/>Analytical Testing Results
- Appendix 2Figure 1 Key PlanFigures 2 13 Monitoring Well Water ElevationsDrawing PG5570-1 Test Hole Location PlanDrawing PG5570-2 Bedrock Contour Plan



# 1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Caivan Communities to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed residential development to be located at 5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 & 6070 Fernbank Road in the City of Ottawa (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 2 of this report).

The objective of the geotechnical investigation was to:

- Determine the subsoil and groundwater conditions at this site by means of test holes.
- Provide geotechnical recommendations pertaining to design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect the design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. It contains our findings and includes geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as they are understood at the time of writing this report.

Investigating the presence or potential presence of contamination on the subject property was not part of the scope of work of the present investigation. Therefore, the present report does not address environmental issues.

# 2.0 **Proposed Development**

Based on available drawings, it is understood that the proposed development will consist of a series of low-rise single and townhouse style residential dwellings with associated driveways, local roadways and landscaped areas.

It is further anticipated that the site will be municipally serviced.



# 3.0 Method of Investigation

### 3.1 Field Investigation

#### **Field Program**

The field program for the geotechnical investigation was carried out between December 14, 2021 and January 10, 2022. At that time, a total of thirty-eight (38) boreholes were advanced to a maximum depth of 10.2 m below the existing ground surface. A supplemental field program was carried out by Paterson at the subject site from September 28 to 30, 2022 and consisted of advancing 7 boreholes and 1 hand auger hole to maximum depths of 9.1 and 0.7 m, respectively. The test holes were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the subject site taking into consideration site features.

A previous geotechnical investigation was also completed by Paterson between November 20 and December 10, 2020 for 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road. At that time, 18 test pits were excavated to a maximum depth of 3.4 m below ground surface using a hydraulic shovel excavator. The test hole locations are shown on Drawing PG5570-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

The test holes were completed using a low clearance drill rig operated by a twoperson crew. All fieldwork was conducted under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel under the direction of a senior engineer. The drilling procedure consisted of drilling to the required depth at the selected location and sampling the overburden.

#### Sampling and In Situ Testing

The soil samples were recovered from the auger flights and using a 50 mm diameter split-spoon sampler. The samples were initially classified on site, placed in sealed plastic bags, and transported to our laboratory. The depths at which the auger and split-spoon samples were recovered from the boreholes are shown as AU and SS, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.



Rock core samples were recovered from boreholes BH1-22 to BH5-22, BH1-21, BH2-21, BH3-21, BH22A-21, BH24-21, BH33-21 and BH34-21 drilled during the investigations using a core barrel and diamond drilling techniques. The bedrock samples were classified on site, placed in hard cardboard core boxes and transported to Paterson's laboratory. The depths at which rock core samples were recovered from the boreholes are presented as RC on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

The recovery value and a Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value were calculated for each drilled section of bedrock and are presented on the borehole logs. The recovery value is the length of the bedrock sample recovered over the length of the drilled section. The RQD value is the total length of intact rock pieces longer than 100 mm over the length of the core run. The values indicate the bedrock quality.

Soil samples from the test pits from the previous investigation were recovered from the side walls of the open excavation and all soil samples were initially classified on site. All samples were placed in sealed plastic bags and transported to our laboratory for further examination and classification. The depths at which the grab samples were recovered from the test pits are shown as "G" on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

Subsurface conditions observed in the test pits were recorded in detail in the field. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profile encountered at the test pits locations.

#### Groundwater

Monitoring wells were installed in all boreholes during the September 2022 investigation and outfitted with data loggers to permit monitoring of the groundwater level subsequent to the completion of sampling program. Additionally, data loggers were outfitted in the monitoring wells installed at boreholes BH1-21 to BH3-21, BH22A-21, BH24-21 and BH33-21.

The remaining boreholes were fitted with flexible piezometers to allow groundwater level monitoring. Further, the depth at which groundwater infiltration was encountered through the sidewalls of the test pits were recorded prior to the completion of excavation as noted in the field. The groundwater observations are discussed in Subsection 4.3 and presented in the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.



### 3.2 Field Survey

The test hole locations and ground surface elevation at each test hole location were surveyed by Paterson using a high precision handheld GPS and referenced to a geodetic datum. Reference should be made to Drawing PG5570-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

### 3.3 Laboratory Testing

Soil samples were recovered from the subject site and visually examined in our laboratory to review the results of the field logging. All samples will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one (1) month after issuance of this report. They will then be discarded unless we are otherwise directed.

A total of 12 grain size distribution tests were completed on selected soil samples. The results are presented in Subsection 4.2 and on Grain Size Distribution Results sheets presented in Appendix 1.

# 3.4 Analytical Testing

Four (4) soil samples were submitted for analytical testing to assess the corrosion potential for exposed ferrous metals and the potential of sulphate attacks against subsurface concrete structures. The sample was submitted to determine the concentration of sulphate and chloride, the resistivity, and the pH of the samples. The results are presented in Appendix 1 and are discussed further in Subsection 6.7.

#### 3.5 Permeameter Testing

In-situ permeameter testing was conducted using a Pask (Constant Head Well) Permeameter to confirm infiltration rates of the surficial soils at the subject site. At each location, two (2) 83 mm holes, located approximately 1.5 m away each other, were excavated using a Riverside/Bucket auger to approximate depths ranging from 0.3 to 0.6 m below the existing ground surface. All soils from the auger flights were visually inspected and initially classified on-site. The permeameter reservoir was filled with water and inverted into the hole, ensuring that it was relatively vertical and rested on the bottom of the hole. As the water infiltrated into the soil, the water level of the reservoir was monitored at various time intervals until the rate of fall reached equilibrium, known as *"quasi steady state"* flow rate. Quasi steady state flow can be considered to have been obtained after measuring 3 to 5 consecutive rate of fall readings with identical values. The values for the steady state rate of fall were recorded for each location. The results of testing are further discussed in Subsection 4.4.



# 3.6 Hydraulic Conductivity (Slug) Testing

Hydraulic conductivity (slug) testing was conducted at each monitoring well location with the exception of borehole BH1A-22. The testing was completed to assist in confirming anticipated groundwater flow rates within the subsoils and within the bedrock at the subject site. The test data was analyzed as per the method set out by Hvorslev (1951). Assumptions inherent in the Hvorslev method include a homogeneous and istropic aquifer of infinite extent with zero-storage assumption, and a screen length significantly greater than the monitoring well diameter. The assumption regarding aquifer storage is considered to be appropriate for groundwater inflow through the overburden and bedrock aquifers. The assumption regarding screen length and well diameter is considered to be met based on a screen length generally ranging from 1.5 to 3 m and a diameter ranging from 0.03 to 0.05 m.

While the idealized assumptions regarding aquifer extent, homogeneity, and isotropy are not strictly met in this case (or in any real-world situation), it has been our experience that the Hvorslev method produces effective point estimates of hydraulic conductivity in conditions similar to those encountered at the subject site.

The Horslev analysis is based on the line of best fit through the field data (hydraulic head recovery vs. time), plotted on a semi-logarithmic scale. In cases where the initial hydraulic head displacement is known with relative certainty, such as in this case where a physical slug has been introduced, the line of best fit is considered to pass through the origin. The semi-log drawdown vs. time plots for rising and falling head at each borehole locations are presented in Appendix 1.

The results of testing and hydrogeological recommendations are further discussed in Subsections 4.5



# 4.0 Observations

### 4.1 Surface Conditions

The subject site generally consists of undeveloped, vacant land. An existing garage/storage building is located on the 6115 Flewellyn Road property. The property parcel of 5993 Flewellyn Road is cleared of trees and vegetation, where the property parcels comprising 6070 & 6115 Flewellyn Road are heavily treed with mature growth.

The site gradually slopes downward from the northwest to the southeast. The site also gradually slopes downward from the northeast and southwest to the central portion of the site, resulting in a shallow valley striking northwest - southeast. The subject site is bordered to the south by Flewellyn Road, to the west by residential dwellings, to the north by a residential development, and to the east by agricultural land and residential dwellings.

#### 4.2 Subsurface Profile

Generally, the soil profile at the test hole locations consists of topsoil overlying a loose to compact, brown silty sand to sandy silt deposit, followed by compact to dense glacial till, underlain by bedrock. The glacial till deposit was generally observed to consist of compact to dense brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and trace clay. A thin veneer of stiff, brown silty clay with some sand was observed in boreholes BH23-21 and BH26-21. The silty clay veneer was observed to extend to a maximum depth of 1.1 m below the existing ground surface.

#### Bedrock

Bedrock was cored in 11 boreholes to a maximum depth of 8.3 m below the bedrock surface, with an average RQD value ranging from 57 to 100%. This is indicative of a fair to excellent quality bedrock within the footprint of the proposed building. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for the details of the soil profile encountered at borehole location.

Based on available geological mapping, the bedrock in this area consists of Paleozoic limestone of the Bobcaygeon Formation and an overburden drift thickness of 3 to 10 m depth.



#### Grain Size Distribution Testing

Grain size distribution testing (sieve analysis) was also completed on 12 selected soil sample. The results of the grain size analysis are summarized in Table 1 on the following page and presented on the Grain-size Distribution and Hydrometer Testing Results sheets in Appendix 1.

Table 1 - Summary of Grain Size Distribution Analysis					
Test Hole		Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Fines (%)	
Number	Sample			Silt (%)	Clay (%)
BH1-22	SS2	18.3	47.9	31.2	2.5
BH3-22	SS4	0.0	7.5	87.0	5.5
BH4-22	SS4	19.4	23.3	53.8	3.5
BH5-22	SS3	3.3	25.1	65.6	6.0
BH4-21	SS2 + SS3	6.5	24.2	69.3	
BH11-21	SS3	14.4	50.1	35.5	
BH14-21	SS2 + SS3	25.9	48.9	25.2	
BH19-21	SS2 + SS3	0.1	13.8	86.1	
BH24-21	SS2 + SS3	4.9	46.3	48.8	
BH35-21	SS4 + SS5	61.0	25.5	13.5	
BH37-21	SS3	0.0	64.2	35.8	
BH38-21	SS3 + SS4	0.0	21.0	79.0	

#### **Permeameter Testing Results**

A total of 24 permeameter tests were conducted at 12 locations to provide general coverage of the subject site. Preparation and testing of this investigation are in accordance with the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) B65-12-Annex E. Field saturated hydraulic conductivity ( $K_{fs}$ ) values and estimated infiltration values are presented in Table 2 on the following page.

Field saturated hydraulic conductivity values were determined using the Engineering Technologies Canada (ETC) Ltd. Reference tables provided in the most recent ETC Past Permeameter User Guide dated July 2018. Infiltration rates have been determined based on approximate relationships provided by the Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing - Supplementary Guidelines to the Ontario Building Code, 1997 - SG-6 - Percolation Time and Soil Descriptions.



Table 2 - Summary of Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Values and Infiltration Rates					
Permeameter Test Location	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Depth of Permeameter Testing (m)	K <sub>fs</sub> (m/sec)	Unfactored Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)	Soil Type
BH1-21	104 29	0.35	2.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	56	Silty Sand
5111 21	101.20	0.60	1.9x10 <sup>-6</sup>	56	
BH2-21	107 19	0.30	6.4x10 <sup>-6</sup>	76	Silty Sand
	101.10	0.60	5.3x10 <sup>-7</sup>	39	
BH7-21	107.04	0.30	1.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	47	Silty Sand
	107.04	0.60	1.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	52	
		0.30	2.7x10 <sup>-6</sup>	60	Silty Sand
BH11-21	104.98	0.60	1.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	52	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt
	103.08	0.35	2.1x10 <sup>-7</sup>	31	Silty Sand to
БП 13-21		0.55	≤8.1x10 <sup>-9</sup>	≤13	Sandy Silt
	104.42	0.30	5.9x10 <sup>-6</sup>	74	Silty Sand to
DITI7-21		0.60	4.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	67	Sandy Silt
BH22 21	102.98	0.30	1.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	47	Silty Sand
DI 122-21		0.60	1.6x10 <sup>-6</sup>	52	Silty Salid
BH23 21	102.38	0.30	5.3x10 <sup>-7</sup>	39	Silty Clay with
DI 123-21	102.30	0.65	≤8.1x10 <sup>-9</sup>	≤13	Sand
BH26-21	103.04	0.30	1.1x10 <sup>-7</sup>	26	Silty Clay with
DI 120-21	103.04	0.60	1.1x10 <sup>-7</sup>	26	Sand
BH20-21	102 31	0.30	5.3x10 <sup>-7</sup>	39	Silty Sand to
DH29-21	102.51	0.60	2.7x10 <sup>-7</sup>	33	Sandy Silt
BH31 21	103.43	0.30	1.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	47	Silty Sand to
DI 13 1-2 1	103.43	0.60	1.4x10 <sup>-7</sup>	27	Sandy Silt
BH37 21	103.54	0.30	5.3x10 <sup>-6</sup>	72	Silty Sand to
U 137-21	103.04	0.60	5.9x10 <sup>-6</sup>	74	Sandy Silt
Note: Infiltration	Note: Infiltration rates above do not include a safety correction factor.				

The measured field saturated hydraulic conductivity (K<sub>fs</sub>) values within the test holes are consistent with similar material Paterson has encountered on other sites and typical published values for silty sand, sandy silt and silty clay which typically range from  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-8}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  m/sec, respectively. The range in K<sub>fs</sub> values is generally due to the variability in composition and consistency of the material encountered. It is important to note that the infiltration rates derived from the K<sub>fs</sub> values in the table above are unfactored, and that a factor of safety will need to be applied prior to being considered for design purposes.



### Hydraulic Conductivity Values

Hydraulic conductivity (slug testing) values were recorded at each monitoring well location. The results are presented in Table 3 below.

Table 3 - Sui	Table 3 - Summary hydraulic conductivity values				
Test Hole ID	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Screened Interval (m)	K (m/sec)	Test Type	Soil Type/Bedrock
			1.2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	
			1.5x10⁻⁵	Falling Head	
BH1-22	107.31	7.5 - 9.0	1.6x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.9x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	
			1.5x10⁻⁵	Rising Head	
BH3 33	102 59	75 00	8.9x10 <sup>-6</sup>	Falling Head	Bodrock
DI 12-22	103.30	7.5 - 9.0	9.1x10 <sup>-6</sup>	Rising Head	Deulock
BH3 33	102.25	75 00	6.0x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Bodrock
DI 13-22	102.25	7.5 - 9.0	6.6x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	Deulock
			4.2x10 <sup>-6</sup>	Falling Head	Silty Sand to
BH3A-22	102.25	1.7 - 3.2	4.8x10 <sup>-6</sup>	Rising Head	Sandy Silt & Glacial Till
	105.71	7.5 - 9.0	8.7x10 <sup>-7</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
BH4-22			9.1x10 <sup>-7</sup>	Rising Head	Deulock
	105.70	7.5 - 9.0	1.2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Bodrock
BUE 22			2.0x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
DH0-22			1.4x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	
			1.5x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	
LIA1 22	106 78	04 07	2.2x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Silty Sond
1141-22	100.78	0.4 - 0.7	8.8x10 <sup>-6</sup>	Rising Head	
BH1 21	1 104.29	28 58	1.4x10 <sup>-4</sup>	Falling Head	Bodrock
DITI-21		2.0 - 5.0	1.1x10 <sup>-4</sup>	Rising Head	Deditock
			4.0x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	
BH2-21	107 10	26-56	4.0x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
0112-21	107.13	2.0 - 5.0	3.9x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	Dedrock
			4.1x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	
BH3-21	108.41	2.7 - 5.7	3.0x10 <sup>-6</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
BH22A-21	102.98	7.2 - 10.2	4.3x10 <sup>-7</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
			6.0x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	
BH24 21	102.07	10 70	7.3x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Falling Head	Bedrock
01124-21	103.07	4.9 - 7.9	5.8x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	Deurock
			5.7x10 <sup>-5</sup>	Rising Head	
BH33-21	104.70	3.3 - 6.3	1.6x10 <sup>-4</sup>	Rising Head	Bedrock



Slug testing completed at the monitoring wells screened primarily in the silty sand to sandy silty layer (BH 3A-22, HA1-22) identified hydraulic conductivity values ranging from approximately  $4.2x10^{-6}$  to  $2.2x10^{-5}$  m/sec. These values are generally consistent with similar material Paterson has encountered on other sites and typical published values for silty sand to sandy silt, which typically range from  $1x10^{-5}$  to  $1x10^{-7}$  m/sec and is dependent on the ratio of sand to silt within the material.

The slug testing completed at the monitoring wells screened in bedrock identified hydraulic conductivity values ranging from approximately  $4.3x10^{-7}$  to  $1.6x10^{-4}$  m/sec. These values are generally consistent to with similar material Paterson has encountered on other sites and typical published values for limestone bedrock, which typically range from  $1x10^{-5}$  to  $1x10^{-10}$  m/sec and is dependent on the quality of the bedrock at a given location.

#### 4.3 Groundwater

The groundwater levels were manually recorded within the monitoring wells and piezometers installed at each borehole. Data loggers were installed in all monitoring wells to record seasonal fluctuations and precipitation collected within the upper portion of the subsurface profile across the site. Where encountered, groundwater infiltration through the sidewalls of the test pits were recorded. The recorded groundwater levels are presented in Table 2 below, and are further noted on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1. The groundwater data recorded at the subject site to date is presented on Figures 2 to 13: Monitoring Well Water Elevations in Appendix 2.

Table 2 - Me	Table 2 - Measured Groundwater Levels				
	Ground Surface	Measured Groundwater Level			
Number	Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Dated Recorded	
		1.33	105.99	October 11, 2022	
	107.21	1.35	105.97	October 28, 2022	
DT1-22	107.51	0.83	106.48	April 4, 2023	
		1.35	105.96	May 31, 2023	
	107.31	1.44	105.87	October 11, 2022	
BH1A 22		1.43	105.88	October 28, 2022	
DITIA-22		0.94	106.38	April 4, 2023	
		1.46	105.86	May 31, 2023	
		1.52	102.06	October 11, 2022	
BH2 22	103 58	1.52	102.06	October 28, 2022	
DI 12-22	105.50	0.59	102.99	April 4, 2023	
		1.31	102.27	May 31, 2023	



Table 2 - Me	easured Groundwa	ter Levels		
Teat Hole Ground Surface Measured Groundwater Level				
Number	Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Dated Recorded
	( )	0.84	101.42	October 11, 2022
		0.61	101.64	October 28, 2022
BH3-22	102.25	0.11	102.15	April 4, 2023
		0.93	101.32	May 31, 2023
		0.81	101.44	October 11. 2022
		0.40	101.85	October 28, 2022
BH3A-22	102.25	0.00	102.25	April 4, 2023
		0.99	101.26	May 31, 2023
		3.62	102.10	October 11, 2022
		3.65	102.07	October 28, 2022
BH4-22	105.71	3.08	102.64	April 4, 2023
		3.48	102.23	May 31, 2023
		1.62	104.09	October 11, 2022
		1.64	104.06	October 28, 2022
BH5-22	105.70	0.90	104.80	April 4, 2023
		1.56	104.14	May 31, 2023
	106.78	0.31	106.48	October 11, 2022
HA1-22		0.28	106.51	October 28, 2022
		0.14	106.64	April 4, 2023
		0.29	106.49	May 31, 2023
		1.22	103.07	January 11, 2022
		1.12	103.17	October 11, 2022
BH1-21*	104.29	1.01	103.28	October 28, 2022
		0.09	104.21	April 4, 2023
		0.97	103.33	May 31, 2023
		0.82	106.37	January 11, 2022
		1.16	106.03	October 11, 2022
BH2-21*	107.19	0.95	106.25	October 28, 2022
		0.33	106.87	April 4, 2023
		0.87	106.32	May 31, 2023
		0.89	107.52	January 11, 2022
		0.90	107.51	October 11, 2022
BH3-21*	108.41	0.92	107.49	October 28, 2022
		0.52	107.89	April 4, 2023
		0.84	107.57	May 31, 2023
BH4-21	108.95	1.23	107.72	January 11, 2022
BH5-21	108.38	Dry	N/A	January 11, 2022
BH6-21	106.32	Dry	N/A	January 11, 2022
BH7-21	107.04	1.09	105.95	January 11, 2022
BH8-21	105.91	Dry	N/A	January 11, 2022



Table 2 - Measured Groundwater Levels					
Test Hole	Test Hele Ground Surface Measured Groundwater Level				
Number	Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Dated Recorded	
BH9-21	104.62	Blocked	N/A	January 11, 2022	
BH10-21	105.70	2.83	102.87	January 11, 2022	
BH11-21	104.98	1.32	103.42	January 11, 2022	
BH12-21	104.05	1.58	102.73	January 11, 2022	
BH13-21	103.54	1.44	101.96	January 11, 2022	
BH14-21	103.28	1.37	101.91	January 11, 2022	
BH15-21	103.08	0.92	102.16	January 11, 2022	
BH16-21	104.19	1.32	102.87	January 11, 2022	
BH17-21	104.42	1.25	103.17	January 11, 2022	
BH18-21	105.06	1.40	103.66	January 11, 2022	
BH19-21	101.85	1.04	100.81	January 11, 2022	
BH20-21	102.25	1.71	100.54	January 11, 2022	
BH21-21	102.92	Blocked	N/A	January 11, 2022	
		2.49	100.49	January 11, 2022	
	102.08	2.61	100.37	October 11, 2022	
DHZZA-ZI	102.90	1.77	101.21	April 4, 2023	
		2.72	100.26	May 31, 2023	
BH23-21	102.38	Blocked	N/A	January 11, 2022	
		0.67	102.40	January 11, 2022	
		0.60	102.47	October 11, 2022	
BH24-21*	103.07	0.46	102.61	October 28, 2022	
		-0.03	103.10	April 4, 2023	
		0.74	102.34	May 31, 2023	
BH25-21	102.73	0.71	102.02	January 11, 2022	
BH26-21	103.04	0.78	102.26	January 11, 2022	
BH27-21	102.71	0.84	101.87	January 11, 2022	
BH28-21	101.85	1.79	100.06	January 11, 2022	
BH29-21	102.31	Blocked	N/A	January 11, 2022	
BH30-21	102.44	1.62	100.82	January 11, 2022	
BH31-21	103.43	1.27	102.16	January 11, 2022	
BH32-21	103.74	1.62	102.12	January 11, 2022	
		1.84	102.86	January 11, 2022	
		2.12	102.58	October 11, 2022	
BH33-21*	104.70	1.98	102.72	October 28, 2022	
		1.20	103.51	April 4, 2023	
		2.22	102.49	May 31, 2023	
BH34-21	102.65	Blocked	N/A	January 11, 2022	
BH35-21	105.03	1.22	103.81	January 11, 2022	
BH36-21	102.79	0.62	102.17	January 11, 2022	
BH37-21	103.54	1.52	102.02	January 11, 2022	

Ground Surface Measured Groundwater Level					
Test Hole	Elevation	Depth	Elevation	Dated Recorded	
Numper	(m)	(m)	(m)		
BH38-21	103.62	1.94	101.68	January 11, 2022	
TP-1	105.94	Dry	-	November 20, 2020	
TP-2	105.06	Dry	-	November 20, 2020	
TP-3	102.10	Dry	-	November 20, 2020	
TP-4	108.49	Dry	-	November 20, 2020	
TP-5	108.36	1.28	107.08	November 20, 2020	
TP-6	107.91	1.70	106.21	November 20, 2020	
TP-7	106.31	2.24	104.07	November 20, 2020	
TP-8	105.48	Dry	-	November 20, 2020	
TP-9	104.47	Dry	-	November 20, 2020	
TP-10	103.62	0.51	103.11	December 10, 2020	
TP-11	103.01	0.89	102.12	December 10, 2020	
TP-12	103.21	1.82	101.39	December 10, 2020	
TP-13	104.30	0.61	103.69	December 10, 2020	
TP-14	105.60	Dry	-	December 10, 2020	
TP-15	106.80	2.28	104.52	December 10, 2020	
TP-16	104.62	2.33	102.29	December 10, 2020	
TP-17	103.90	1.78	102.53	December 10, 2020	
TP-18	103.42	Dry	-	December 10, 2020	
Notes: -The ground s	surface elevation at ea	ch test hole locati	on was surveyed usi	ng a handheld GPS	

-\* Denotes groundwater monitoring well

It should be noted that groundwater levels could be influenced by surface water infiltrating the backfilled boreholes. The long-term groundwater levels can also be estimated based on the observed colour, moisture content and consistency of the recovered samples.

In addition to manual water level measurements, a groundwater monitoring program was carried out at the subject site. The groundwater monitoring program provides an overview of the variations in the monitoring well water levels based upon seasonal fluctuations. The monitoring wells were equipped with a submersible datalogger (TD-Diver, VanEssen Instruments) to accurately monitor fluctuations in the water levels. The datalogger was programmed to continuously measure and record water levels at a fixed rate of one (1) reading every 24 hours.



The monitoring program was undertaken from October, 2022 to May 2023. The monitoring data was compared with Environment and Natural Resources Canada precipitation data from the Ottawa International Airport over the same timeframe as part of the monitoring program. The monitoring data is presented in Figures 2 to 13 in Appendix 2.

Upon review of the datalogger readings and manual measurements, the groundwater readings measured within the monitoring wells and the piezometers across the subject site varied from an elevation of 100.26 m to a maximum elevation of 108.1 m, generally decreasing with the topography of the site. Based on our analysis of the measured groundwater levels and the data logger groundwater readings, seasonal groundwater in piezometers and the monitoring wells varied between 0.6 to 2.8 m below ground surface and 0.0 to 3.7 m, respectively.



# 5.0 Discussion

#### 5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is considered satisfactory for the proposed development. It is expected that the proposed residential buildings will be founded on conventional style footings placed on a loose to compact silty sand to sandy silt, compact to dense glacial till, and/or bedrock bearing surface.

It is anticipated that bedrock removal may be required in localized areas across the site for building construction and service installation. All contractors should be prepared for bedrock removal within the subject site.

As the stiff, brown, silty clay layer was only encountered in two borehole locations and was only observed to a shallow depth. A 2 m permissible grade raise restriction is recommended for settlement sensitive structures placed over the silty clay deposit.

The above and other considerations are discussed in the following sections.

#### 5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

#### **Stripping Depth**

Topsoil and deleterious fill, such as those containing significant organic materials, or construction debris/remnants should be stripped from under any buildings, paved areas, pipe bedding and other settlement sensitive structures.

Existing foundations and other construction debris should be entirely removed from within the building perimeters. Under paved areas, existing construction debris should be excavated to a minimum of 1 m below final grade.

#### Bedrock Removal

Bedrock removal can be accomplished by hoe ramming where only small quantity of the bedrock needs to be removed. Sound bedrock may be removed by line drilling and controlled blasting and/or hoe ramming.

Prior to considering blasting operations, the blasting effects on the existing services, buildings and other structures should be addressed. A pre-blast or preconstruction survey of the existing structures located in proximity of the blasting operations should be completed prior to commencing site activities. The extent of



the survey should be determined by the blasting consultant and should be sufficient to respond to any inquiries/claims related to the blasting operations. As a general guideline, peak particle velocities (measured at the structures) should not exceed 25 mm/s during the blasting program to reduce the risks of damage to the existing structures.

The blasting operations should be planned and conducted under the supervision of a licensed professional engineer who is also an experienced blasting consultant.

Excavation side slopes in sound bedrock can be excavated almost vertical side walls. A minimum 1 m horizontal ledge, should remain between the overburden excavation and the bedrock surface. The ledge will provide an area to allow for potential sloughing or a stable base for the overburden shoring system.

#### Vibration Considerations

Construction operations are also the cause of vibrations, and possibly, sources of nuisance to the community. Therefore, means to reduce the vibration levels should be incorporated in the construction operations to maintain, as much as possible, a cooperative environment with the residents.

The following construction equipments could be a source of vibrations: piling rig, hoe ram, compactor, dozer, crane, truck traffic, etc. The construction of the shoring system using soldier piles or sheet piling will require the use of these equipments. Vibrations, whether it is caused by blasting operations or by construction operations, could be the cause of the source of detrimental vibrations on the adjoining buildings and structures. Therefore, it is recommended that all vibrations be limited.

Two parameters are used to determine the permissible vibrations, namely, the maximum peak particle velocity and the frequency. For low frequency vibrations, the maximum allowable peak particle velocity is less than that for high frequency vibrations. As a guideline, the peak particle velocity should be less than 15 mm/s between frequencies of 4 to 12 Hz, and 50 mm/s above a frequency of 40 Hz (interpolate between 12 and 40 Hz). It should be noted that these guidelines are for today's construction standards. Considering that several old or sensitive buildings are encountered in the vicinity of the subject site, considerations should be given to lowering these guidelines.

Considering that these guidelines are above perceptible human level and, in some cases, could be very disturbing to some people, it is recommended that a preconstruction survey be completed to minimize the risks of claims during or following the construction of the proposed building.



#### Fill Placement

Fill placed for grading beneath the building areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. The imported fill material should be tested and approved prior to delivery. The fill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted by suitable compaction equipment. Fill placed beneath the building should be compacted to a minimum of 98% of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

To in-fill existing channels/ditches below building areas, roadways or other settlement sensitive structures, it is recommended to place Granular A, Granular B Type I or II, well graded blast rock (maximum 200 mm diameter) or select subgrade material. The backfill material should be placed under dry conditions, in above freezing temperatures and approved by the geotechnical consultant. The backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm loose lifts and compacted to 98% of its SPMDD.

Non-specified existing fill along with site-excavated soil could be placed as general landscaping fill where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern. These materials should be spread in lifts with a maximum thickness of 300 mm and compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for placement as backfill against foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a geocomposite drainage membrane, such as Miradrain G100N or Delta Drain 6000.

If excavated rock is to be used as fill, it should be suitably fragmented to produce a well-graded material with a maximum particle size of 300 mm. This material should be used structurally only to build up the subgrade for pavements. Where the fill is open-graded, a blinding layer of finer granular fill and/or a woven geotextile may be required to prevent adjacent finer materials from migrating into the voids, with associated loss of ground and settlements. This can be assessed at the time of construction.



# 5.3 Foundation Design

#### Bearing Resistance Values (Conventional Shallow Foundation)

Bearing resistance values are provided in Table 3, on the following page, for footings placed on an undisturbed silty sand, glacial till or clean bedrock bearing surface.

Table 3 - Bearing Resistance Values				
Bearing Surface	Factored Bearing Resistance Value at ULS (kPa)	Bearing Resistance Value at SLS or Allowable Bearing Pressure (kPa)		
Loose to Compact Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	250	150		
Compact to Dense Glacial Till	250	150		
Engineered Fill (Granular A or Granular B Type II)	250	150		
Clean Surface Sounded Bedrock 1000 -				
<b>Note:</b> A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the bearing resistance values at ULS.				

An undisturbed soil bearing surface consists of a surface from which all organic materials and deleterious materials, such as loose, frozen or disturbed soil, whether in situ or not, have been removed, in the dry, prior to the placement of concrete for footings.

A clean, surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface should be free of loose materials, and have no near surface seams, voids, fissures or open joints which can be detected from surface sounding with a rock hammer.

Footings designed using the bearing resistance values at SLS provided in Table 1 will be subjected to potential post construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively. Footings placed on clean, surface sounded bedrock will be subjected to negligible settlements.

#### Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to an undisturbed soil bearing surface above the groundwater table when a plane extending horizontally and vertically from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1.5H:1V, passing through in situ soil of the same or higher capacity as the bearing medium soil.



Adequate lateral support is provided to a sound bedrock bearing medium when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1H:6V (or flatter) passes only through sound bedrock or a material of the same or higher capacity as the bedrock, such as concrete. A heavily fractured, weathered bedrock bearing medium will require a lateral support zone of 1H:1V (or flatter).

#### Bedrock/Soil Transition

Where a building is founded partly on bedrock and partly on soil, it is recommended to decrease the soil bearing resistance value by 25% for the footings placed on soil bearing media to reduce the potential long-term total and differential settlements.

Also, at the soil/bedrock and bedrock/soil transitions, it is recommended that the upper 0.5 m of the bedrock be removed for a minimum length of 2 m (on the bedrock side) and replaced with nominally compacted OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II material. The width of the sub-excavation should be at least the proposed footing width plus 0.5 m. Steel reinforcement, extending at least 3 m on both sides of the 2 m long transition, should be placed in the top part of the footings and foundation walls.

#### 5.4 Design for Earthquakes

The subject site can be taken as seismic site response **Class C** as defined in Table 4.1.8.4.A of the Ontario Building Code (OBC) 2012 for foundations considered at this site. A higher seismic class may be applicable, such as Class A or B, provided the footings are within 3 m of the bedrock surface.

However, this would need to be confirmed by performing a seismic shear wave velocity test at the subject site. The soils underlying the site are not susceptible to liquefaction. Reference should be made to the latest revision of the Ontario Building Code for a full discussion of the earthquake design requirements.

#### 5.5 Basement Slab

With the removal of all topsoil and deleterious fill, such as those containing organic materials, within the footprint of the proposed buildings, the native soil surface will be considered to be an acceptable subgrade on which to commence backfilling for floor slab construction. Provision should be made for proof rolling the soil subgrade using heavy vibratory compaction equipment prior to placing any fill.



Any soft areas should be removed and backfilled with appropriate backfill material prior to placing any fill. OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, are recommended for backfilling below the floor slab. All backfill material within the footprint of the proposed building(s) should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose layers and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the SPMDD.

#### 5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m3. However, undrained conditions are anticipated (i.e. below the groundwater level). Therefore, the applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m3, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight.

However, if a full drainage system is being implemented and approved by Paterson at the time of construction, hydrostatic pressure can be omitted in the structural design.

#### Lateral Earth Pressures

The static horizontal earth pressure ( $p_o$ ) can be calculated using a triangular earth pressure distribution equal to  $K_o \cdot \gamma \cdot H$  where:

- $K_{\circ}$  = at-rest earth pressure coefficient of the applicable retained soil (0.5)
- $\gamma$  = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)
- H = height of the wall (m)

An additional pressure having a magnitude equal to  $K_0 \cdot q$  and acting on the entire height of the wall should be added to the above diagram for any surcharge loading, q (kPa), that may be placed at ground surface adjacent to the wall. The surcharge pressure will only be applicable for static analyses and should not be used in conjunction with the seismic loading case. Actual earth pressures could be higher than the "at-rest" case if care is not exercised during the compaction of the backfill materials to maintain a minimum separation of 0.3 m from the walls with the compaction equipment.



#### Seismic Earth Pressures

The total seismic force ( $P_{AE}$ ) includes both the earth force component ( $P_{o}$ ) and the seismic component ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ).

The seismic earth force ( $\Delta P_{AE}$ ) can be calculated using 0.375  $\cdot a_c \cdot \gamma \cdot H^2/g$  where:

 $a_c = (1.45 - a_{max}/g)a_{max}$  $\gamma = unit weight of fill of the applicable retained soil (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)$ 

- H = height of the wall (m)
- $g = gravity, 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$

The peak ground acceleration,  $(a_{max})$ , for the site area is 0.30 g according to OBC 2012. Note that the vertical seismic coefficient is assumed to be zero.

The earth force component (P<sub>o</sub>) under seismic conditions can be calculated using

 $P_{o} = 0.5 \text{ K}_{o} \text{ y} \text{ H}^{2}$ , where  $K_{o} = 0.5$  for the soil conditions noted above.

The total earth force ( $P_{AE}$ ) is considered to act at a height, h (m), from the base of the wall, where:

 $h = \{P_{o} \cdot (H/3) + \Delta P_{AE} \cdot (0.6 \cdot H)\} / P_{AE}$ 

The earth forces calculated are unfactored. For the ULS case, the earth loads should be factored as live loads, as per OBC 2012.

#### 5.7 Pavement Design

Car only parking areas, access and heavy traffic access areas are expected at this site. The subgrade material is anticipated to consist of silty sand to sandy silt, glacial till, compacted engineered fill or bedrock. The proposed pavement structures are presented in Tables 4,5 and 6.

Table 4 – Recommended Pavement Structure – Car Only Parking Areas				
Thickness (mm)	Material Description			
50	Wear Course – HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete			
150	BASE – OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone			
300 SUBBASE – OPSS Granular B Type II				
<b>Subgrade –</b> Either fill, in-situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in-situ soil, or bedrock.				

Table 5 – Recommended Pavement Structure – Local and Collector Roadways Without Bus Traffic				
Thickness (mm)	Material Description			
40	Wear Course – HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete			
50	Wear Course – HL-8 or Superpave 19 Asphaltic Concrete			
150	BASE – OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone			
450 SUBBASE – OPSS Granular B Type II				
<b>Subgrade</b> – Either fill, in-situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in-situ soil, or bedrock.				

Table 6 – Recommended Pavement Structure – Roadways with Bus Traffic				
Thickness (mm)	Material Description			
40	Wear Course – HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete			
50	Upper Binder Course – HL-8 or Superpave 19 Asphaltic Concrete			
50	Lower Binder Course – HL-8 or Superpave 19 Asphaltic Concrete			
150	BASE – OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone			
600 SUBBASE – OPSS Granular B Type II				
<b>Subgrade –</b> Either fill, in-situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in-situ soil, or bedrock.				

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this project.

For residential driveways and car only parking areas, an Ontario Traffic Category A will be used. For local and collector roadways, an Ontario Traffic Category B should be used for design purposes. For roadways with bus traffic, an Ontario Traffic Category D should be used for design purposes.

If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type I or II material.

The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 100% of the material's SPMDD using suitable compaction equipment.

If bedrock is encountered at the subgrade level, the total thickness of the pavement granular materials (base and subbase) could be reduced to 300 mm. The upper 300 mm of the bedrock surface should be reviewed and approved by Paterson prior to placing the base and subbase materials. Care should be exercised to ensure that the bedrock subgrade does not have depressions that will trap water.



# 6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

#### 6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

#### **Foundation Drainage**

A perimeter foundation drainage system is recommended to be provided for the proposed structures. The system should consist of a 100 to 150 mm diameter perforated corrugated plastic pipe, surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of 10 mm clear crushed stone, placed at the footing level around the exterior perimeter of the structure. The pipe should have a positive outlet, such as a gravity connection to the storm sewer.

#### Foundation Backfill

Backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of freedraining, non-frost susceptible granular materials. The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a drainage geocomposite, such as Delta Drain 6000, connected to the perimeter foundation drainage system. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should otherwise be used for this purpose. A waterproofing system should be provided to the elevator pits (pit bottom and walls).

#### 6.2 **Protection of Footings Against Frost Action**

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. A minimum of 1.5 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided in this regard.

Exterior unheated footings, such as those for isolated exterior piers, are more prone to deleterious movement associated with frost action than the exterior walls of the structure proper and require additional protection, such as soil cover of 2.1 m or a combination of soil cover and foundation insulation.

#### Frost Susceptibility of Bedrock

When bedrock is encountered above the proposed founding depth and soil frost cover is less than 1.5 m, the frost susceptibility of the bedrock should be determined. This can be accomplished as follows:



- Drill supplemental coreholes within the bedrock in the vicinity of the foundations and assess the frost susceptibility.
- Examine service trench profiles extending in the bedrock in the vicinity of the foundations to determine if weathering is extensive.

If the bedrock is considered to be **non-frost susceptible**, the footings can be poured directly on the bedrock without any further frost protective measures.

If the bedrock is considered to be **frost susceptible**, the following measures should be implemented for frost protection:

- Option A Sub-excavate the weathered bedrock to sound bedrock or to the required frost cover depth. Pour footings at the lower level.
- Option B Use insulation to protect footings. It is preferable to pour footings on the insulation overlying weathered bedrock. However, due to potential undulating of the bedrock surface, consideration may have to be given to adopting an insulation detail that allows the footing to be poured directly on the weathered bedrock.

#### 6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

The side slopes of excavations in the overburden materials should be either cut back at acceptable slopes or should be retained by shoring systems from the start of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. It is anticipated that sufficient room will be available for the greater part of the excavation to be undertaken by open- cut methods (i.e. unsupported excavations). Where space restrictions exist, or to reduce the trench width, the excavation can be carried out within the confines of a fully braced steel trench box.

#### **Unsupported Side Slopes**

The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsoil at this site is considered to be mainly a Type 2 and 3 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

In bedrock, almost vertical side slopes can be used provided that all loose rock and blocks with unfavourable weak planes are removed or stabilized.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be kept away from the excavation sides.



Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

It is recommended that a trench box be used at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. It is expected that services will be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations will not be left open for extended periods of time.

#### 6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

The pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes should consist of at least 150 mm of OPSS Granular A material for areas over a soil subgrade. However, the bedding thickness should be increased to 300 mm for areas over a bedrock subgrade, if encountered. The material should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 99% of its SPMDD. The bedding material should extend at a minimum to the spring line of the pipe.

The cover material, which should consist of OPSS Granular A crushed stone, should extend from the spring line of the pipe to a minimum of 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe. The material should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 99% of its SPMDD.

Generally, it should be possible to re-use the moist (not wet) silty sand to sandy silt and glacial till above the cover material if the excavation and filling operations are carried out in dry weather conditions. Wet sub-excavated soil should be given a sufficient drying period to decrease its moisture content to an acceptable level to make compaction possible prior to being re-used. All stones greater than 300 mm in their greatest dimension should be removed prior to reuse of site-generated glacial till.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should consist of the soils exposed at the trench walls to minimize differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the SPMDD.



# 6.5 Groundwater Control

#### Groundwater Control for Building Construction

Based on our observations, it is anticipated that groundwater infiltration into the excavations should be moderate and controllable using open sumps. Pumping from open sumps should be sufficient to control the groundwater influx through the sides of shallow excavations. The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

#### Permit to Take Water

A temporary Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) permit to take water (PTTW) may be required for this project if more than 400,000 L/day of ground and/or surface water is to be pumped during the construction phase. A minimum 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the PTTW application package and issuance of the permit by the MECP.

For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, typically between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16. If a project qualifies for a PTTW based upon anticipated conditions, an EASR will not be allowed as a temporary dewatering measure while awaiting the MECP review of the PTTW application.

#### 6.6 Winter Construction

Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project.

The subsoil conditions at this site consist of frost susceptible materials. In the presence of water and freezing conditions, ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur.

In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means.

In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately



supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.

Trench excavations and pavement construction are also difficult activities to complete during freezing conditions without introducing frost in the subgrade or in the excavation walls and bottoms. Precautions should be taken if such activities are to be carried out during freezing conditions. Additional information could be provided, if required.

# 6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The results of analytical testing show that the sulphate content is less than 0.1%. This result is indicative that Type 10 Portland cement (normal cement) would be appropriate for this site. The chloride content and the pH of the sample indicate that they are not significant factors in creating a corrosive environment for exposed ferrous metals at this site, whereas the resistivity is indicative of a non-aggressive to slightly aggressive corrosive environment.



# 7.0 Recommendations

A materials testing and observation services program is a requirement for the provided foundation design data to be applicable. The following aspects of the program should be performed by the geotechnical consultant:

- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials used.
- Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

All excess soils generated by construction activities should be handled as per *Ontario Regulation 406/19: On-Site and Excess Soil Management.* 

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued upon the completion of a satisfactory inspection program by the geotechnical consultant.



# 8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations provided are in accordance with the present understanding of the project. Paterson requests permission to review the recommendations when the drawings and specifications are completed.

A soils investigation is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, Paterson requests immediate notification to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The recommendations provided herein should only be used by the design professionals associated with this project. They are not intended for contractors bidding on or undertaking the work. The latter should evaluate the factual information provided in this report and determine the suitability and completeness for their intended construction schedule and methods. Additional testing may be required for their purposes.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Caivan Communities or their agents is not authorized without review by Paterson for the applicability of our recommendations to the alternative use of the report.

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Kevin A. Pickard, P.Eng

Michael Killam, P.Eng.

#### **Report Distribution:**

- Caivan Communities (Digital copy)
- Paterson Group (1 copy)



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.



# **APPENDIX 1**

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION RESULTS

ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

# patersongroup

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

DATUM Geodetic									FILE	NO.				
REMARKS									HOLI	5570 E NO.				
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE September 28, 2022 BH 1-22													
SOIL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE			DEPTH ELEV.		Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone				Well			
		ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% COVERY	VALUE Dr ROD	(11)	(11)	• Water Content %				onitoring onstructi		
GROUND SURFACE			-	R	ZŬ	0-	107.31	20	40	60	80	ΣŌ		
		×												
$\gamma$ <b>SAND,</b> trace gravel $0.60$			1					O						
		ss	2	45	17	1-	-106.31	0				Ţ		
brown silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS 3 14 65	0	105.21	0									
2.34						2-	105.31							
		RC	1	100	89									
						3-	-104.31							
		RC	2	100	100	4-103.31								
		RC	3	100	100		5-102.31							
						5-								
<b>BEDROCK:</b> Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone						6-								
				4 98	98		7-100.31				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u>जितिति व</u>		
		RC	4			7-								
		—												
		RC	5	100	100	8+9	-99.31							
												目		
9.02						9-	98.31							
(GWL @ 1.33m - Oct. 11, 2022)														
								20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded						

# patersongroup

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9	Auriga	Drive,	Ottawa,	Ontario	K2E	7	٢S
---	--------	--------	---------	---------	-----	---	----

DATUM Geodetic						<u> </u>			FILE NO.	
REMARKS					HOLE NO.					
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE S	Septembe	er 28, 20	22	BH 1A-22	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE			DEPTH E	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m=● 50 mm Dia. Cone≥ 50 mm Dia.			
		ТҮРЕ	UMBER	% COVER	VALUE r RQD	()	(,	• Water Content %		
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	RE	z <sup>0</sup>	0-	-107 31	20	40 60 80	ĕõ
OVERBURDEN						1-	-106.31			
<u>1.62</u>		-								
End of Borenole Practical refusal to augering at 1.62m depth										
(GWL @ 1.44m - Oct. 11, 2022)								20 Shea ▲ Undistu	40 60 80 r Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded	00

# patersongroup

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

**Geotechnical Investigation** 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

#### DATUM Geodetic


### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

**PG5570** 

Geotechnical Investigation 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

Geodetic

#### REMARKS

DATUM

HOLE NO. BOBINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE September 29, 2022 BH 3-22													
	E O		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	esist. Blows/0.3m				Vell	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PI	ы	ER	ERY	Бg	(m)	(m)	• 50 mm Dia. Cone				ring V uctior	
	STRA	ГYР	NUMB	ECOV	N VA.			0 <b>V</b>	later (	iter Content %			
GROUND SURFACE	_			8	2 *	0-	102.25	20	40		80	۱ 	≥o
0.28		<b>₽</b> 2											
			1										
			_			4_	101 25						
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to		ss	2	58	19	1-	101.25						
SANDI SILI													
		ss	3	58	17					÷ • • • • •			
2.21		Δ				2-	-100.25						
GLACIAL TILL: Grev silty sand to		1			_								
sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and		ss	4	67	3								
boulders, trace clay		⊭ ≊ SS	5	67	50+	3-	-99.25					<u> </u>	
<u>3.43</u>													
		RC	1	100	96	4-	-98 25					<u></u>	
							00.20						
						_							
						5-	-97.25						
		RC	2	100	98								
BEDBOCK: Excellent quality grev						6-	-96.25					<u> </u>	
limestone interbedded with doloston													
		RC	3	100	100	7-	-95 25					<u> </u>	
							00.20						
							04.05						
						8-	-94.25						
		RC	4	100	100								
9.12						9-	-93.25					<u> </u>	
End of Borehole													
(GWL @ 0.84m - Oct. 11, 2022)													
								20	40	<u> </u>	80	) 1(	 00
								Shea ▲ Undist	<b>r Stre</b> urbed	ength ∆ R	(kPa) Remould	ded	
									-				

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

▲ Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

Geotechnical Investigation 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	70	
REMARKS	Drill			r		Sentembr	≏r 29_20	22	HOLE NO	). <b></b>	
SOIL DESCRIPTION			SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. Bl	ows/0.3m a. Cone	Well
	TRATA E	IVPE	JMBER	°° SOVERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	0 V	Vater Cor	ntent %	nitoring
GROUND SURFACE	ะร		NC	REC	N O		100.05	20	40 6	io 80	S S
TOPSOIL0.28		×				0-	102.25				
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT			1			1-	-101.25				
2 20						2-	100.25				
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		xtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxtxt				3-	-99.25				
End of Borehole	[^^^^										
Practical refusal to augering at 3.15m depth.											
(GWL @ 0.81m - Oct. 11, 2022)								20 Shea	40 effar Streng	50 80 1 th (kPa)	00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE	NO. 5570		
REMARKS	Drill				ATE	Sontomb	or 20, 20	22	HOL	E NO. 4-22		
BORINGS BT OWL-33 LOW Olearance			SAN					Pen F	Resist	Blows/0	3m	=
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLO					DEPTH (m)	ELEV.	• !	50 mm	Dia. Con	e	g Ve ion
	ATA	ΡE	BER	% VER3	ALUE RQD	(,	(,		Notor	Contont		toring
GROUND SUBFACE	STR	Χл	NUM	RECO	N OF			20	40	60	/o 80	Moni Cons
TOPSOIL 0.28	3					0-	105.71					
		B AU	1					0				
						1-	104 71					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT			2	/5	22		104.71	0				
			•	75								
2 2 <sup>-</sup>		ss	3	/5	21	2-	103.71	0				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense,		1	_									
brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		$\int_{\Delta}$ ss	4	67	17			0				
- grey by 3.0m depth			-		45	3-	102.71			·····		
<u>3.6</u>		1 22	5	57	45							T
				100		4-	-101 71					
		RC	1	100	84	-						
		_										
						5-	100.71					
		RC	2	100	98							
										·····		
BEDROCK: Good to excellent						6-	-99.71					
with dolostone												
		RC	3	100	100	7-	-98 71					
						,	00.71					
		-							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						8-	97.71					
		RC	4	100	100							
9.02	₩ <u>₽<u>₽</u>₽<u>₽</u></u>	-				9-	-96.71					
(GWL @ 3.62m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20 She	40 ar Stre	60 ength (kP	80 10 a)	00
								▲ Undis	sturbed	△ Remo	ulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO. **PG5570** 

HOLE NO. BH 5-22

50 mm Dia. Cone

Water Content %

60

40

80

Monitoring Well Construction

V

Geotechnical Investigation 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road

8+97.70

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

#### 9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9 Ottawa, Ontario DATUM Geodetic REMARKS BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE September 30, 2022 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION • (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD STRATA NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ **GROUND SURFACE** 20 0+105.70TOPSOIL 0.28 XXX Ö AU 1 Compact, brown SILTY SAND to 1+104.70 SS 2 79 21 SANDY SILT SS 3 71 29 <u>1.9</u>6 2+103.70 **GLACIAL TILL:** Compact to dense, brown silty sand to sandy silt, trace 2.29 SS 4 50+ 100 gravel .... RC 1 100 100 3+102.70O. 2 RC 100 100 4+101.70 5+100.70BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey RC 100 3 100 limestone interbedded with dolostone 6 + 99.704 100 RC 100 7 + 98.70

RC

8.99

End of Borehole

(GWL @ 1.62m - Oct. 11, 2022)

5

100

100

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

 $\blacktriangle$  Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

**Geotechnical Investigation** 5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM	Geoc

DATUM Geodetic									FILE N	NO. 570	
REMARKS									HOLE	NO.	
BORINGS BY Hand Auger				D	ATE	Septembe	er 28, 202	22	HA	1-22	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	АТА РІОТ	Э	SAN	IPLE	LUE	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. 0 mm	Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	oring Well ruction
	STR/	ЯЛ	NUME	ECOV	N VA or F			0 W	/ater C	Content %	Aonite Const
				щ		0-	-106.78	20	40		
Brown SILTY SAND, trace gravel		-									    
End of Hand Auger Hole		-									
(GWL @ 0.31m - Oct. 11, 2022)											
								20 Shea	40 I <b>r Stre</b> i	60 80 ngth (kPa)	100

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

DATUM	G

DATUM Geodetic										E NO. 5570		
REMARKS									но	LE NO.		
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	r 14, 202	21	BH	11-21		
	н		SAN	IPLE				Pen. R	3m	ell		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PL			ĸ	M .	(m)	(m)	• 5	0 mm	n Dia. Cone	!	ition V
	RATA	(PE	<b>IBER</b>	° ∣	ALUI RQD				Vator	Content %		itorir struc
GBOUND SUBFACE	STI	T	NUN	RECO	N N			20	40	60 80	n	Mon
						0-	-104.29					
		×										
Very loose, brown Sierr SAND		8 AU ∏	1									
- some clay by 0.6m depth		∦ ss	2	8	1	1-	-103.29					Ē₹Ē
1.52												
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and		∦ ss	3	25	23	2-	-102.20					비비
boulders, trace clay 2.19		<b>≅</b> SS	4	100	50+	2	102.29					
		RC	1	100	57						·····	
						3-	-101.29					
grey limestone interbedded with			0	100	00							
dolostone		RC	2	100	68							
Opening the element of a speed of the term						4-	-100.29					
depth		_										
- 12mm thick mud at 3 7m depth												
		RC	3	100	98	5-	-99.29			·····		
5.// End of Borehole	<u></u>	-										
(GWI @ 1 22m - Jan 11 2022)												
												.
								20 Shea	<sup>40</sup> ar Str	60 80 ength (kPa	) 10 )	10

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

DATUM Ge	odetic
----------	--------

#### **PG5570** REMARKS HOLE NO. BH 2-21 BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger DATE December 14, 2021 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Monitoring Well Construction STRATA PLOT DEPTH ELEV. SOIL DESCRIPTION 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER TYPE o/0 $\bigcirc$ Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+107.19Mulch 0.10 TOPSOIL 0.51 AU Compact, brown SILTY SAND 1 0.91 1+106.19 SS 2 75 12 GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles SS 3 75 50 and boulders 2+105.192.21 SS 4 50 +0 1 100 80 RC 3+104.19 **BEDROCK:** Good to excellent 2 quality, grey limestone RC 100 100 - 12mm thick mud seam at 4.1m 4+103.19 depth RC 3 100 95 5+102.195.61 End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.82m - Jan. 11, 2022) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) Undisturbed △ Remoulded

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

DATUM Geodetic

HOLE NO. BH 3-21           BOIL DESCRIPTION         BATE         DEPTH I         ELEW. (m)         Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m           SOIL DESCRIPTION         Solut of the second	Monitoring Well
SOIL DESCRIPTION         SAMPLe         Dependence         Dependence         Dependence         Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m           GROUND SURFACE         0.08         a         a         b         b         b         b         b         c         0         -108.41         Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m         e         50 mm Dia. Cone           Mulch         0.08         0.43         0.63         a         b         b         c         -108.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41         -0.08.41	Monitoring Well
SOIL DESCRIPTION         SAMPLE         DEPTH (m)         ELEV. (m)         Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone           GROUND SURFACE         0.08 (TOPSOIL- Loose to compact. Drown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT SAND to SANDY SILT         AU         1         -         0         108.41         -         20         40         60         80           Mulch TOPSOIL- Loose to compact. Drown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT         SS         2         50         10         1         -         -         108.41         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -	Monitoring Well
GROUND SURFACE       Mulch       0.08       Mulch       0.03       Mulch	Monitoring
GROUND SURFACE       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E       E	Monito
GROUND SURFACE       0       2       2       2       2       0       108.41       20       40       60       80         Mulch TOPSOIL       0.43       0.43       0       0.43       0       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 <t< th=""><th>l₹ç</th></t<>	l₹ç
Mulch       0.08       0.43       0.43       0.43       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13       0.13	
TOPSOIL       0.43       AU       1         Loose, brown SILTY SAND       0.63       F         SAND to SANDY SILT       SS       2       50       10       1-107.41         SAND to SANDY SILT       1.55       SS       3       0       50+         RC       1       100       100       2-106.41         BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone       RC       2       100       72         - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41         End of Borehole       GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41	
Loose to compact, brown SILTY       0.00       1       1       107.41         SAND to SANDY SILT       1.55       SS       3       0       50.4         RC       1       100       100       2       106.41         BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone       RC       2       100       72         -30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41         End of Borehole       GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41	
SAND to SANDY SILT       SS       2       50       10       1       107.41	<b>I</b> ₹
BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey       RC       1       100       100       2-106.41         BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey       RC       2       100       72       3-105.41         - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41         End of Borehole       GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone       RC       2       100       72       3-105.41         - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41         End of Borehole       GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)       RC       100       100       100       100	
BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022) RC 2 100 72 RC 2 100 72 RC 3 100 100 5-103.41	
BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
BEDROCK: Good to excellent, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022) RC 2 100 72 RC 3 100 100 RC 3 100 100 RC 3 100 100	
- 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth       RC       2       100       72         - 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41         End of Borehole       GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)       Image: Contract of the seam of the	
- 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth BRC 3 100 100 5-103.41 End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
- 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth RC 3 100 100 5-103.41 End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
- 30mm thick mud seam at 4.3m depth 5.72 End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
depth       RC       3       100       100       5-103.41	
5.72	
End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
(GWL @ 0.89m - Jan. 11, 2022)	
20 40 60 80	00
Shear Strength (kPa)  ▲ Undisturbed ∧ Remoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

PG5570

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

Geodetic

#### REMARKS

DATUM

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Aug	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 15, 202	21		4-21		
SOIL DESCRIPTION			SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R • 5	ster ction			
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% COVERY	VALUE Dr RQD	(11)	(11)	• V	Vater C	Content	%	Piezome Construc
GROUND SURFACE				R	ZŬ	0	109.05	20	40	60	80	
∩Mulch0.10						0-	100.95					$\otimes$
TOPSOIL       0.30         Compact, brown SILTY SAND,       0.60		E AU	1									
	+^^^^	∜ss	2	50	12	1-	107.95				+ : : :	፼፼
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		∬ ss	3	42	21	2-	-106.95					
2.23	<u> ^^^^</u>	<del>[</del> -										
Practical refusal to augering at 2.23m depth												
(GWL @ 1.23m - Jan. 11, 2022)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stre turbed	60 • <b>ngth (kF</b> ∧ Remo	80 1 Pa)	00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

> FILE NO. PG5570 HOLE NO.

	•	~

DATUM	Geodetic

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	ər			D	ATE	Decembe	r 15, 202	BH 5-21			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone ভূ			
	TRATA	TRATA		° COVERY VALUE		(11)	(11)	○ Water Content %			
GROUND SURFACE	ŝ	_	ž	RE	zö		100.00	20 40 60 80			
TOPSOIL 0.36						- 0-	-108.38				
		Banda B	1								
Loose, brown SILTY SAND							107.00				
		SS ∦	2		4	1-	-107.38				
sand with gravel, cobbles and 1.62		<b></b> SS /	3	0	50+						
Practical refusal to augering at 1.62m depth											
(BH dry - January 11, 2022)											
								20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kBa)			
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded			

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic REMARKS	ar					Decembe	ar 15, 202	21	FILE NO. PG557 HOLE NO	70 ). 21		
	SAMPLE				DEPTH ELEV.			Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m				
SOIL DESCHIFTION	TRATA P	ЭЛТЕ	JMBER	% COVERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	• 3. • W	ater Cor	ntent %	iezomet	
GROUND SURFACE	ะร		NC	REC	Z O		100.00	20	40 6	60 80	L 0	
TOPSOIL     0.41       Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace     0.60       clay     0.40		§ AU ₩	1			- 0-	-106.32					
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss 	2	83	17	1-	-105.32					
End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 1.55m depth												
(BH dry - January 11, 2022)								20 Shea ▲ Undistr	40 € r Streng urbed △	0 80 1 th ( <b>kPa</b> ) Remoulded	00	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

5 Adriga Drive, Ottawa, Oritario NZE 715					Ot	tawa, Or	ntario				
DATUM Geodetic					•				FILE NO.		
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	DATE	Decembe	er 15, 202	21	BH 7-21		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. Blow 0 mm Dia. (	<i>ı</i> s/0.3m Cone	eter ction
	TRATA	ЗŢ	JMBER	% COVERY	VALUE ROD	(,	(11)	• <b>N</b>	/ater Conte	ent %	iezom6 onstru
GROUND SURFACE	LS.		<b>N</b> C	REC	Z O			20	40 60	80	L C
		∰ AU	1			0-	-107.04				
Loose, brown SILTY SAND0.60											
		∬ss	2	100	19	1-	106.04				₩₽
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense,											
brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		∦ ss	3	67	44		105.04				
						2-	-105.04				
		ss	4		52						
2.97 End of Borehole		1									
Practical refusal to augering at 2.97m depth											
(GWL @ 1.09m - Jan. 11. 2022)											
								Shea	ar Strength	(kPa)	UU
				1				▲ Undist	urbed 🛆 R	emoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

DATUM	Ge

REMARKS									PG557	<u>/</u> 0	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE I	Decembe	er 15, 202	21	HOLE NO	). 21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blo	ows/0.3m a. Cone	er tion
	STRATA P	ЭЛХР	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)	0 V	Vater Cor	ntent %	Piezomet Construct
		<u>≫-</u> ∧⊥⊥	4			0-	105.91				× ×
TOPSOIL 0.38 Loose, brown SILTY SAND 0.60		<u>≫</u> AU 」_ +	I								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	2	67	20	1-	-104.91				
End of Borehole		≡.SS	3	0	50+						
End of Borenole Practical refusal to augering at 1.60m depth (BH dry - January 11, 2022)											
								20	40 6	0 80 11	00
								Shea	ar Strengt	th (kPa) Remoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic								FILE NO. PG5570
REMARKS								HOLE NO.
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 15, 202	21 BH 9-21
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	/IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	IUMBER	°° COVER	VALUE Sr RQD			○ Water Content %
GROUND SURFACE	01		2	RE	zo	0-	-104 62	20 40 60 80
TOPSOIL       0.36         Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace       0.69         clay       0.69         GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles .22         and boulders       0.69         End of Borehole       0.36	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	∑ AU	1 2		50+	1-	-103.62	
Practical refusal to augering at 1.22m depth								
(Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)								20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

o Adriga Brito, ottana, oritario tee i to					O	ttawa, Or	ntario				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE N	0. 5 <b>70</b>	
REMARKS									HOLE	NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er	1		C	DATE	Decembe	er 15, 202	21	BH1	)-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	ргот		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>	1	DEPTH	EPTH ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone			eter ction
	<b>FRATA</b>	ЭДХЛ	JMBER	COVERY	VALUE c RQD		()	• V	/ater Co	ontent %	iezome
GROUND SURFACE	ν.		N	REC	z 0			20	40	60 80	
TOPSOIL 0.36						- 0-	-105.70				
		B AU	1								
			2	67	23	1-	104.70				
Compact, brown SILTY SAND				07	20						
			2	67	16						
			3	07		2-	103.70				
			1	64	25						
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, 2.84		4.33	4	04	25						
grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles											
End of Borehole	+	. ]									
Practical refusal to augering at 2.84m depth											
(GWL @ 2.83m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
											_
								20 She	40 ar Stren	60 80 1 ath (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	urbed	$\triangle$ Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO. PG5570

DATUM	Geodetic
REMARKS	
BORINGS BY	r Track-Mo

				_		<b>-</b>	. 10, 000			).	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Jecembe	er 16, 202	21	ВЦП-	21	
	ГОД		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Bl	ows/0.3m	n n
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A F		ж	RY	Во	(m)	(m)	• 5	U MM Dia	a. Cone	mete
	RAT	ЭЛХ	IMBE	OVE ∾	VALI RQ			• V	Vater Cor	ntent %	iezo
GROUND SURFACE	LS L	н	NN	REC	N O			20	40 6	60 80	
TOPSOIL 0.33						0-	-104.98				
Compact, brown SILTY SAND 0.66		UA S	1								
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to		$\overline{\nabla}$	~	07	0.4	1-	-103 98				
		1-55	2	67	24	•	100.00				∷∭∎
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense,		$\nabla$									
brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	3	67	32	2-	-102.98				
2.54		x ss	4	80	50+						
End of Borehole	· · · · ·										
Practical refusal to augering at 2.54m											
depth											
(GWL @ 1.32m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20	40 6	· · · ·   · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-⊣ 100
								Shea	ar Streng	th (kPa)	
								L Indist	urbed 🛆	Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road

Piezometer Construction

Attawa Onta

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E / 19					Ot	tawa, Or	ntario	-			-
DATUM Geodetic					FILE	NO. 5 <b>570</b>					
REMARKS									HOLE	E NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	r			D	ATE İ	Decembe	r 16, 202	1	BH	12-21	
	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist.	Blow	/s/0.3m
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PI	ы	ER	ERY	D UE	(m)	(m)	• 5	) mm	Dia. C	one
	STRA	ТУР	NUMB.	ECOV	I VAI or R			0 <b>V</b>	ater (	Conte	nt %
GROUND SURFACE				<u></u>	4	0-	-104.05	20	40	60	80
TOPSOIL       0.36         Compact, brown SILTY SAND       0.69		₩ AU	1								
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		ss	2	67	13	1-	-103.05				
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	3	17	36	2-	-102.05				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2.20 End of Borehole	<u>^_^^</u>										
Practical refusal to augering at 2.26m depth											
(GWL @ 1.58m - Jan. 11, 2022)											

20 40 60 80 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

100

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

• • •						lawa, On	itario				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	o. <b>70</b>	
REMARKS									HOLEN	0.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er	1		D	ATE	Decembe	r 16, 202	21	BH13	-21	1
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. B ) mm Di	lows/0.3m a. Cone	eter ction
	TRATA	LYPE	UMBER	% COVERY	VALUE r ROD	(,	(,	0 W	ater Co	ntent %	iezome
GROUND SURFACE	ŝ		Ĩ	REC	z ö		100 54	20	40	60 80	
TOPSOIL 0.36						0-	-103.54				
Loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS AU	1 2	25	6	1-	-102.54				
<u>1.60</u>		≞.SS	3	0	50+				·····		
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 1.60m depth											
(GWL @ 1.44m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20	40	60 80 1	⊣ 00
								Shea	r Streng	<b>gth (kPa)</b> ∆ Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

PG5570 HOLE NO.

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9


DATUM Geodetic

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	ər			D	ATE	Decembe	er 16, 202	21 BH14-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone	ction
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	IUMBER	% COVERY	VALUE Sr RQD	(11)	(11)	○ Water Content %	Constru
GROUND SURFACE	0		Z	RE	z o		100.00	20 40 60 80	•
TOPSOIL 0.36						0-	103.28		$\bigotimes$
Loose, brown SILTY SAND 0.69		UA S	1						$\bigotimes$
Loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		ss	2	67	6	1-	-102.28		
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Loose to dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	3	25	7	2-	-101.28		
End of Borehole		'≡-SS	4	0	50+				<u></u>
Practical refusal to augering at 2.34m depth									
(GWL @ 1.37m - Jan. 11, 2022)								20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa)	
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9	Auriga	Drive,	Ottawa,	Ontario	K2E	7T9
---	--------	--------	---------	---------	-----	-----

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	0	
REMARKS	۲			п		Decembe	or 16 202	21	HOLE NO.	91	
			SAN	/IPLE				Pen. Re	esist. Blo	ws/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLO		~	ХХ	Що	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	) mm Dia.	Cone	neter 'uctio
	TRAT	ТҮРЕ	UMBEI	COVEI	VALU r RQI			• <b>v</b>	later Cont	ent %	Piezor
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	RE	z <sup>o</sup>	- 0-	-103.08	20	40 60	80	
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to		Satisfies AU	1								
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, brown silty 27		∦ ss	2	63	19	1-	102.08				
sand with gravel, cobbles and   boulders		 ↓									
Practical refusal to augering at 1.27m depth											
(GWL @ 0.92m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 I <b>r Strengtł</b> urbed △ '	80 10 ז <b>(kPa)</b> Remoulded	† 00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic						iana, er			FILE NO		
REMARKS									PG55	70	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	r			D	ATE	Decembe	er 16, 202	1	BH16	- <b>21</b>	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	∋sist. Bl 0 mm Di	ows/0.3m a. Cone	ster ction
	RATA	ΥРЕ	MBER	° SVERY	ALUE ROD			0 0	later Co	ntent %	ezome
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	ЮN	REC	N O H			20	40	60 80	ĒÖ
TOPSOIL 0.25		-				0-	-104.19				
Compact, brown SILTY SAND, trace gravel 0.69		S AU	1								
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		Śss	2		22	1-	-103.19				
End of Borehole	<u>^,^,^</u> ,										
Practical refusal to augering at 1.50m depth											
(GWL @ 1.32m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20 Shea	40 ( Ir Streng	60 80 1 <b>jth (kPa)</b>	⊣ ∣ <b>00</b>

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					01	tawa, Or	itario				
DATUM Geodetic					·				FILE NO.		
REMARKS									HOLE NO.		
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er	1		D	ATE	Decembe	r 16, 202	21	BH17-21		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	/IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. Blows/( ) mm Dia. Coi	).3m ne	ster ction
	RATA	ХРЕ	MBER	° overy	ROD	(,	()	• <b>N</b>	ater Content	%	ezome onstru
GROUND SURFACE	ST ST	F	<b>N</b> N	REC	N N			20	40 60	80	ΞŎ
TOPSOIL 0.28						0-	-104.42				⊠ 🕅
Loose. brown SILTY SAND to			1			1_	-102 42				
SANDY SILT		ss 7	2	75	5		103.42				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact, brown 2.16		SS A I	3	91	11	2-	-102.42				
boulders End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.16m depth											
(GWL @ 1.25m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20	40 60	80 10	0
								Shea	ar Strength (ki urbed △ Remo	<b>'a)</b> oulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

						lawa, Oi	itano				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	)	
REMARKS	.r				ATE	Jocombo	r 16 202	01	HOLE NO.	1	
BORINGS BY TRACK-WOULD FOWER Auge	E E		SAN	IPLE		DEDETH	FI FV	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PL		ж	RY	ЩО	(m)	(m)	• 50	) mm Dia.	Cone	mete
	TRAT	ТҮРЕ	UMBE	COVE	VALI r RQ			• w	ater Conte	ent %	Piezo Const
GROUND SURFACE	S		N	RE	z <sup>o</sup>	0-	-105.06	20	40 60	80	
0.30		×	1			Ū	100.00				
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		ss	2	75	10	1-	-104.06				
		0	3	100	10						
- arey by 2.0m depth		A 33	5	100	13	2-	-103.06				
<u>2.67</u>		ss	4		50+						
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.67m depth											
(GWL @ 1.40m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20 Shea	40 60 r Strength	80 10 (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	$arbed \triangle F$	Remoulded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

						iawa, Of	italio				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NC	70	
REMARKS									HOLE N	0.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er	1		D	ATE	Decembe	er 16, 202	21	BH19	-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. B 0 mm Di	lows/0.3m a. Cone	ster
	ATA	Ы	3ER	JERY	SOD LUE		(11)				zome
	STRI	ТУТ	NUME	ECO!	VA OF F			• <b>v</b>	later Co	ntent %	Con
				<b>×</b>		0-	101.85	20	40	60 80	
	- + +	×-	1								
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to											
SANDY SILT		ss	2	50	12	1-	-100.85				
1.73		¥-~~									
LOOSE brown SILTY SAND some		ss	3	75	8	2-	-99.85				
clay 2 59			1	100	10						
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, grey silty2.84		#-33 #/-	4		12						
boulders	L	   _									
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.84m depth											
(GWL @ 1.04m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20	<u> </u>	60 80 1	⊣ 100
								Shea	ar Streng	gth (kPa)	
				1				🔺 Undist	urbed 🛛	A Remoulded	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

▲ Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

Piezometer Construction

o Adriga Brive, Ottawa, Oritano NEE 710					01	ttawa, Or	ntario					
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	70		
REMARKS									HOLE NO	).		
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 17, 202	21	BH20-	21		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ	SAMPLE					ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone				
	TA	ы	ER	ERY	SD Ed	(11)	(11)				-	
	TRA	тур	IUMB	o∿ icov	LAN R R			0 V	Vater Cor	ntent %	li	
GROUND SURFACE	01		ų	RE	z	0-	-102 25	20	40 6	50     80		
0.23	-1+1+	<u>ś</u>					102.20				₿	
			1									
Compact to loose, brown SILTY		ss	2	58	11	1-	101.25				₿	
SAND to SANDY SILT		$\square$										
		$\sqrt{8}$	3	42	7							
		$\mathbb{V}$	0			2-	100.25				×	
		₹-مو	л	75	2							
grey SILTY CLAY		$\Lambda$	-	/3			00.05					
<u>3.2</u> 0		₹- •	F	67	00	3-	-99.25					
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, grey silty		1 22	Э	67	23							
sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		∛ ss	6		50+	4-	-98 25					
4.19	^^^^	Δ_00	Ū				00.20					
Practical refusal to augering at 4,19m												
depth												
(GWL @ 1.71m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20	40 €	50 80 10	1 00	
				1	1			Shear	ar Strend	tn (KPa)		

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

IUM	Geodelic

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	r	1		D	ATE	Decembe	er 17, 202	21	BH21-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone	eter iction
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	% COVER	VALUE r rod	(,	(,	• <b>v</b>	/ater Content %	Piezom Constru
GROUND SURFACE	Ŋ		Z	RE	N	0-	-102 92	20	40 60 80	
0.25							102.02			
Loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		B AU	1						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1.07		∦-ss	2	42	36	1-	101.92			
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	3	50	71		100.00			
End of Borebole	<u>`^^^^/</u>	<u>1</u> -				2-	-100.92			
Practical refusal to augering at 2.23m depth										
(Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)										
								20 Shea ▲ Undistr	40 60 80 I <b>r Strength (kPa)</b> urbed △ Remoulded	100

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation lewellyn Road

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

100

Piezometer Construction

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9	Pr Ot	Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Ottawa, Ontario									
DATUM Geodetic						,			FILE	NO. 5570	
REMARKS									HOL	E NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 20, 202	21	BH	22-21	<u> </u>
SOIL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE				DEPTH	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone			
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	°% COVER}	VALUE r RQD	(,	(,	0 V	Vater	Conte	nt %
GROUND SURFACE	s S		N	RE	z °		100.00	20	40	60	80
TOPSOIL 0.20 Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace gravel 0.69		AU	1			- 0-	-102.98				
		ss	2	100	22	1-	-101.98				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles		ss	3	92	29	2-	-100.98				
and boulders						2	100.30				
		ss	4	83	46	3-	-99 98				
3.48		ss	5	50	50+		00.00				
End of Borehole		_									
Practical refusal to augering at 3.48m depth.											

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation **5 Flewellyn Road** 

Monitoring Well Construction

1.11111

¥

80

△ Remoulded

100

DATUM	Geodetic
REMARKS	

End of Borehole

(GWL @ 2.49m - Jan. 11, 2022)

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9		Ottawa, Ontario									
DATUM Geodetic									FILE N	10. <b>570</b>	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE January 10, 2022 BH22A-21						1
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. ) mm [	Blows	₃/0.3m one
	TRATA P	ЭДХТ	UMBER	% COVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)	• <b>N</b>	/ater C	Conten	nt %
GROUND SURFACE TOPSOIL 0.20	- - + -'	-	N	RE	z <sup>0</sup>	- 0-	-102.98	20	40	60	80
gravel0.69		ss	2	100	22	1-	-101.98				
		ss	3	92	29	2-	-100.98				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		∦ss ∛ss	4 5	83 50	46 50+	3-	-99.98				
		RC	1	77		4-	-98.98			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		RC	2	14		5-	-97.98				
5.97		- - BC	3	100	94	6-	-96.98				
		_	0	100	04	7-	-95.98				
<b>BEDROCK:</b> Excellent quality, grey dolostone interbedded with grey limestone		RC	4	100	100	8-	-94.98				

RC

10.21

5

100 100

9+93.98

10+92.98

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

REMARKS	

DATUM	Geodetic

RKS			
	Treals	Mariat	

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	r			D	ATE	Decembe	r 20, 202	21	BH23-21		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Res ● 50	sist. Blows mm Dia. Co	/0.3m one	ster ction
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	IUMBER	% COVERY	VALUE F ROD	(11)	(11)	⊖ Wa	iter Conten	t %	Piezome Construe
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	E E	z	0	100.00	20	40 60	80	_
TOPSOIL0.28						0-	-102.38				88
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand		au AU	1						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××	
<u> </u>		∦.ss	2	25	32	1-	-101.38				
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay 1.83		∆ ∑ss	3	55							
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 1.83m depth											
(Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								Shear ▲ Undistur	40 60 Strength (I bed △ Rer	80 10 ( <b>Pa)</b> noulded	U

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

20

▲ Undisturbed

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

REMARKS	

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	). 20	
REMARKS									HOLE N	0.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 20, 202	21	BH24	-21	
	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. B	lows/0.3m	Nell
	TRATA P	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	°° COVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)	• 3	/ater Co	intent %	nitoring \
GROUND SURFACE	N N		Z	E E	z °	0	100.07	20	40	60 80	∣≚ö
TOPSOIL0.30	.1 .1 1					0-	103.07				
Loose to dense, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS 80	1 2	58	8	1-	-102.07				
<u>1.83</u>		ss ≤ ss	3 4	75 50	32 50+	2-	-101.07				<u>ինընդերին</u> Սուսինընդերին
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		RC	1	100		3-	-100.07				լիներիներին Անդերիներ
- boulders cored from 2.46 to 4.42m depth 4.42			2	19		4-	-99.07				<u>նիրիիին։</u> Դուսներին
		RC	3	100	81	5-	-98.07				
<b>BEDROCK:</b> Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		-		100	100	6-	-97.07				
- 15mm thick mud seam at 5.25m depth		- RC	4	100	100	7-	-96.07				
End of Borehole7.92		RC	5	100	100						
(GWL @ 0.67m - Jan. 11, 2022)											

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

60

Shear Strength (kPa)

80

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

100

Piezometer Construction

XX | XX

Geotechnical Investigation
 Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
 Ottawa, Ontario

TENNARTS         DATE December 21, 2021         SOIL DESCRIPTION       TOPSOIL       DATE December 21, 2021         GROUND SURFACE       DEPTH (m)       Pent (m)         <th colspan="2</th> <th>HOLE BH2 Pen. Resist. ● 50 mm</th> <th>E NO. 25-21 Blows/0.3m</th>	HOLE BH2 Pen. Resist. ● 50 mm	E NO. 25-21 Blows/0.3m
SOIL DESCRIPTION     Sample     Depth     ELEV. (m)     Pen (m)       GROUND SURFACE     0.25     0-102.73     0       TOPSOIL     0.25     0     1     0       Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace clay and gravel     1.17     SS     2     71     50+     1     101.73       End of Borehole     Practical refusal to augering at 1.17m depth     SS     2     71     50+     1     101.73	Pen. Resist. • 50 mm	Blows/0.3m
SOIL DESCRIPTION       Image: Solution of the second	• 50 mm	
GROUND SURFACE       0-102.73         TOPSOIL       0.25         Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace clay and gravel       1         1.17       SS       2       71       50+       1-101.73         End of Borehole       Practical refusal to augering at 1.17m depth       Image: state of the st		Dia. Cone
GROUND SURFACE     0     2     2     0     102.73       TOPSOIL     0.25     0     102.73     0       Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace clay and gravel     1.17     SS     2     71     50+     1     101.73       End of Borehole     1.17     SS     2     71     50+     1     101.73       Practical refusal to augering at 1.17m depth     (GWL @ 0.71m - Jan. 11, 2022)     Image: Simple state st	• Water C	Content %
Loose, brown SILTY SAND, trace clay and gravel 1.17 End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 1.17m depth (GWL @ 0.71m - Jan. 11, 2022)	20 40	60 80

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic							itario		FILE NO			
REMARKS									PG55	70 C		
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 21, 202	21	BH26			
SOIL DESCRIPTION			SAMPLE			DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				
	RATA	YPE	MBER	° overy	/ALUE ROD	(11)	(11)	- N	later Cor	ntent %	ezome	
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	NN	REC	NOL	0-	-103.04	20	40 (	<b>30 80</b>	ĒŎ	
TOPSOIL         0.25           Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY, some sand         0.00	X	AU	1				100.04					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense brown silty		× SS	2	40	50+	1-	-102.04					
sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay												
2.16		ss 	3	61	33	2-	-101.04					
Practical refusal to augering at 2.16m												
deptn (GWL @ 0.78m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 € Ir Streng urbed ∠	<b>i0 80 1</b> <b>th (kPa)</b> △ Remoulded	_  00	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.		
									PG557	70	
BORINGS BY Track Mount Power Aug	or					Docombo	r 01 000	01	HOLE NO	). 91	
BORINGS BY TRACK-MOUTH FOWER AUg	er DATE December 21, 2021									21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	ГОЛЧ		JAN			DEPTH	ELEV.	• 5	0 mm Dia	a. Cone	ster
	ATA	띮	BER	ÆRY	SOD LUE	(11)	(11)				come
	STRJ	ілт	MUM	ECO.	VA OF F			• <b>v</b>	Vater Cor	ntent %	Piez Con
GROUND SURFACE				<b>x</b>	4	0-	-102.71	20	40 €	60 80	× ×
		AU	1								
						1_	-101 71				፼፞₽
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT, trace clay		ss	2	67	19		101.71				
		0	2	02	21						
		A 33	5	00	21	2-	-100.71				
- grey by 2.4m depth		ss	4	50	9						
3.12		Δ				3-	-99.71				
GLACIAL TILL: Very loose, grey silty.43 sand with gravel, cobbles and	B	∦ ss /	5	86	3				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
End of Borehole	+	. 1									
Practical refusal to augering at 3.43m											
depth											
(GWL @ 0.84m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20	40 4	1 20 20 1	00
								Shea	ar Streng	th (kPa)	

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

REMARKS BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	ər			г	)ATE	Decembe	er 21, 202	21	FILE NO. PG5570 HOLE NO. BH28-21	
	TOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH ELEV.		Pen. R	esist. Blows/0.3m	er
SOIL DESCRIPTION		ТҮРЕ	UMBER	°° COVERY	VALUE r rod	(m)	(m)	• •	/ater Content %	Piezomet
GROUND SURFACE	s N								40 60 80	1
TOPSOIL 0.30			1 2	42	7	1-	-101.85			
SANDY SILT, trace clay		ss	3	58	8	2-	-99.85			
Interbedded layers of grey SILTY SAND and grey SILTY CLAY 2.97		ss V ss	4	100	2	3-	-98.85			
sand with clay, gravel and cobbles		100	5	100	5					
3.89 End of Borehole		≊.SS	6		50+					
Practical refusal to augering at 3.89m depth										
(GWL @ 1.79m - Jan. 11, 2022)										

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

FILE NO.

DATUM	Geodetic

REI	MΔ	RK	S	

REMARKS									PG55	70	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE İ	Decembe	r 21, 202	21	BH29	). • <b>21</b>	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE				DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m			
	FRATA F	LYPE	JMBER	°∾ COVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)	0	Water Col	ntent %	iezomet
GROUND SURFACE	Ω.	<b>-</b> .	Ĩ	REC	z ö		100.01	20	40	60 80	<u>п</u> О
TOPSOIL0.28						0-	-102.31				
		i AU ∭	1				101.01				
		∦ ss	2	50	9	1-	-101.31				
Loose to very loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT, trace clay		ss	3	67	8	2-	-100 31				
- grey by 1.9m depth		ss	4	67	4		100101				
<ul><li>intermittent layers of grey silty clay by</li><li>3.0m depth</li></ul>		ss	5	58	2	3-	-99.31				
3.96		 	6	67							
End of Borehole			U								
Practical refusal to augering at 3.96m depth											
(Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)								20	40		00
								20 Sh ▲ Undi	40 ( ear Streng ∆ isturbed	ou 80 10 t <b>h (kPa)</b> Remoulded	U

#### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

> Piezometer Construction

100

80

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

40

60

Shear Strength (kPa)

20

▲ Undisturbed

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9					Ot	tawa, Or	itario	velopinei	11 - UT	5110	wenyn
DATUM Geodetic									FILE N	₩0. 5 <b>570</b>	
REMARKS									HOLE	NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	r			D	ATE [	Decembe	r 21, 202	1	BH3	0-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	ргот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. 0 mm i	Blows Dia. C	s/0.3m cone
	IRATA	ТРЕ	JMBER	% :OVERY	VALUE RQD	(11)	(11)	0 <b>N</b>	/ater C	Conter	nt %
GROUND SURFACE	S		n	REC	N O			20	40	60	80
TOPSOIL0.25						0-	-102.44				
Compact to very loose, brown <b>SILTY</b>		SS ∎	1 2	50	12	1-	-101.44				
SAND to SANDY SILT, trace clay		ss	3	33	10	2-	-100.44				
3.45		∦ss Vss	4 5	92 83	1	3-	-99.44				
GLACIAL TILL: Very loose to compact, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		ss	6	33	24	4-	-98.44				
4.02	<u>`^^^</u> ^^	A_00	1	50	50+			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>		<u></u>
Practical refusal to augering at 4.82m depth (GWL @ 1.62m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road

Piezometer Construction

100

Shear Strength (kPa)

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

▲ Undisturbed

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K	2E 7T9					Ot	tawa, Or	itario	evelopinei	n - 01	5110	wenyn
DATUM Geodetic						-				FILE I	vo. 5 <b>570</b>	
REMARKS										HOLE	NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Powe	er Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	r 21, 202	21	BH3	1-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. 0 mm	Blow Dia. C	s/0.3m Cone
		STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% COVERY	VALUE DE ROD	(ጠ)	(m)	0 <b>V</b>	/ater C	Conte	nt %
GROUND SURFACE		01		N	RE	z º	0-	-103 /3	20	40	60	80
TOPSOIL	<u>0.36</u>						0	103.45				
			SS AU	1 2	50	14	1-	-102.43				
			ss	3	50	22	2	101 42				
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT, trace clay	,		ss	4	42	9	2-	-101.43				
- grey by 3.2m depth			ss	5	58	5	3-	-100.43				
			ss	6	42	12	4-	-99.43				
GI ACIAI TILL: Dense arey silty	_ <u>4.72</u>		ss	7	58	37	5-	-98.43				
sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	6 12		ss	8		58	6-	-97 43				
End of Borehole	0	<u>^ ^ ^</u>	-SS	9	0	50+		57.40				
Practical refusal to augering at 6. depth	12m											
(GWL @ 1.27m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
									20	40	60	80

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

						lawa, Oi	Itario							
DATUM Geodetic									FI P	LE NO. G557	70			
							04 000		н		).			
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Aug	jer				DATE	Decembe	er 21, 202	21	B	H32-	21			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	MPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen.	Resis 50 m	st. Blo Im Dia	ows/0.: 1. Cone	3m 9	eter	
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	° ∞ COVER	VALUE r RQD			0	Wate	er Cor	itent %	)	biezom	
GROUND SURFACE	S		Ŋ	RE	z <sup>o</sup>	0.	102 74	20	4(	40 60 80				
[OPSOIL0.1	5					0	103.74							
Compact to dense, brown SILTY			1	67	20	1-	-102.74							
and to sandy sil i				07	39									
grey 1.4m depth	4	ss	3	67	26	2-	-101.74							
ALACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand with 2.3	6	SS	4	50	50+							······		
ravel, cobbles and boulders		ļi												
Practical refusal to augering at 2.36m lepth														
GWL @ 1.62m - Jan. 11, 2022)														
_ ,														
												· · · ·		
									::				4	
								20 Ch	4( 00r 6	) 6 trong	0 8	01 	00	
								L Und	sturbe	ed ∆	Remou	<b>v</b> Ided		

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO. PG5570	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE	Decembe	er 22, 202	21	BH33-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Re • 50	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone	g Well ion
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	COVER	VALUE r RQD		()	• <b>v</b>	later Content %	onitorinç Instruct
GROUND SURFACE	ß		Z	RE	N O	0-	104 70	20	40 60 80	žö
Compact, brown <b>SILTY SAND,</b> trace clay and organics		Šau ∏	1				104.70			
		∦ ss	2	50	13	1-	-103.70			
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and		SS	3 1	8 30	11	2-	-102.70			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
boulders 2.74						3-	-101.70			
BEDROCK: Good to excellent		RC	2	100	73	4-	-100.70			
- 25mm thick mud seam at 3.7m depth		RC	3	95	85	5-	-99.70			
- 30mm thick mud seam at 3.8m depth		_ RC	4	100	100	6-	-98.70			
End of Borenole										
(GWL @ 1.84m - Jan. 11, 2022)								20	40 60 80	100
								Shea ▲ Undistr	ur Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded	d

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

DATUM Geodetic									FILI	E NO.	
REMARKS									HO	20070 LE NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er	1		D	ATE	Decembe	er 22, 202	21	BH	134-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen.	Resist 50 mn	t. Blows/0.3m n Dia. Cone	ter stion
	<b>FRATA</b>	LYPE	JMBER	% COVERY	VALUE ROD	(ጠ)	(m)	0	Water	Content %	iezome
GROUND SURFACE	<u>v</u>		Ŋ	REC	z ö			20	40	60 80	
TOPSOIL0.25	-1-1-1-1-	¥-00	_	1		0-	102.65				
		AU AU ∏	5 1	1/	8						
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		ss	2	42	10	1-	-101.65				-
		ss	3	25	9	2-	-100.65			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2.21			4	17	2		100.00				
		N OO	-			3-	-99.65				-
GLACIAL TILL: Very loose to loose, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay											
			1	31		4-	-98.65				-
5.01		RC	2	100	100	5-	-97.65				-
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grev											
limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	3	100	100	6-	-96.65				-
6.61											
End of Borehole											
								20 20 She	40 ear Sti sturbed	60 80 1 rength (kPa) I △ Remoulded	⊣ 00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

	•					itawa, Or	ntario				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	). 170	
REMARKS									HOLEN	10.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Aug	er			C	DATE	January 7	7, 2022	1	BH35	5-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	MPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R • 5	esist. E 0 mm D	lows/0.3m ia. Cone	ster
	<b>TRATA</b>	IYPE	JMBER	00VERY	VALUE ROD			• <b>v</b>	/ater Co	ontent %	iezome
GROUND SURFACE	<u>د</u>		Ň	REC	z ö		405.00	20	40	60 80	
	8	₩7-				0-	105.03				
Loose to compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS AU	1	50	7	1-	-104.03				
1.6	B	ss	3	50	25	2-	- 103.03				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Compact to very dense, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	4	25	56		400.00				
3.5 End of Borehole	<u>1 ^^^^</u>	ss	5	67	50+	3-	-102.03				-
Practical refusal to augering at 3.51m depth.											
(GWL @ 1.22m - Jan. 11, 2022)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stren urbed	60 80 1 gth (kPa) △ Remoulded	00

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

						lawa, Or	ntario					
DATUM Geodetic									FILE I	NO. 5 <b>570</b>		
REMARKS	٦r			r	ATE	lanuary 7	7 2022		HOLE	NO.		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	/IPLE			ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. 0 mm	Blows/0.3 Dia. Cone	3m	ter tion
	RATA F	ЯРЕ	MBER	°° overy	ROD	(m)	(m)	0 V	Vater C	Content %		ezomet
GROUND SURFACE	E S	Ĥ	<b>N</b> N	REC	N OF			20	40	60 8	0	άŏ
TOPSOIL0.30		₩-				- 0-	-102.79					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		88 AU √SS	1	42	15	1-	-101.79					Y
1.45			-									
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense to compact, brown silty sand with gravel,		∦X SS	3	60	50+	2-	-100.79		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
cobbles and boulders		ss	4	8	15							
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 2.90m depth.												
(GWL @ 0.62m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20 Shea	<sup>40</sup> ar Stre	60 8 ngth (kPa	0 1( 1)	JO
								▲ Undist	urbed	∆ Remou	lded	

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation ellyn Road

Piezometer Construction

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9					P   C	Prop. Resic Ottawa, Or	lential Do Itario	evelopmer	it - 61 <sup>-</sup>	15 Fle	wellyn
DATUM Geodetic									FILE I	NO.	
REMARKS									HOLE	<u>.</u> NO.	
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er	1		D	ATE	January 7	7, 2022		BH3	37-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist.	Blow Dia C	s/0.3m `one
	LA P	51	R	IRY	Ba	( <b>m)</b>	(m)				
	TRAT	туре	IUMBE	COVE	VAL)			• <b>v</b>	later C	Conte	nt %
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	RE	z	′ 0-	-103 54	20	40	60	80
TOPSOIL0.36		∭angereinen Santa Santa S Santa Santa S	1				100.01				
Compact to dense byour CILTV		Ss	2	42	22	1-	-102.54				······································
SAND to SANDY SILT											
2.21		ss	3	58	34	2-	-101.54				
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and 2.67		ss	4	50	50+	-					
End of Borehole	+	Ĺ									
Practical refusal to augering at 2.67m depth.											
(GWL @ 1.52m - Jan. 11, 2022)											

20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed  $\triangle$  Remoulded

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

9 Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7T9

					Ot	tawa, Or	itario		
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO. PG5570
REMARKS									HOLE NO.
BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auge	er			D	ATE 、	January 7	7, 2022		BH38-21
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. Blows/0.3m ) mm Dia. Cone
	АТА	ЪЕ	BER	VERY	ALUE ROD	(11)	(11)		
GROUND SUBFACE	STR	ΤΥ	MUN	RECO	N VI			20	
<b>FILL:</b> Crushed stone and gravel 0.15						0-	-103.62		
·		AU	1						
Dense to compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		ss	2		32	1-	-102.62		
- arey by 2.0m denth		ss	3		24	2-	-101 62		
2.64		⊔ ≊ SS	4	100	50+	2	101.02		
End of Borehole									
Practical refusal to augering at 2.64m depth.									
(GWL @ 1.94m - Jan. 11, 2022)									
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 80 100 r Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

 $\triangle$  Remoulded

100

Piezometer Construction

Geotechnical Investigation

# DA

REMARKS
---------

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	ario ł	(2E 7J	5		60 0t	70 and 6 <sup>-</sup>	115 Flewe	ellyn Road	ł		
DATUM Geodetic					•				FILE	NO.	PG5570
REMARKS									HOLE	NO.	
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			D	ATE	Novembe	er 20, 202	20		Т	P 1
	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist.	Blows	/0.3m
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LA PI	M	R	ERY	БQ	(m)	(m)	• 5	U mm	Dia. Co	
	STRA	IЧХТ	NUMBI	ECOVI	I VAL or R(			0 V	Vater (	Conten	t %
GROUND SURFACE				Ř	4	0-	105.94	20	40	60	80
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with 25 gravel and some clay BEDROCK Weathered interbedded_0.44 limestone End of Test Pit Practical refusal to excavation at 0.44m depth (TP dry upon completion)		GGGG	1 2 3					O			

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

#### DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS
---------

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance L	Drill			D	ATE	Novembe	er 20, 202	20					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	NPLE	м	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. R ● 5	esist. I 0 mm D	Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	neter uction		
	TRATA	ΞবλΓ	IUMBER	% COVER	VALUI F ROD			• V	Vater C	ontent %	Piezom Constri		
GROUND SURFACE	ß		z	RE	z °		105.00	20	40	60 80			
TOPSOIL		G	1			0-	-105.06	O.					
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace gravel		G	2					0					
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3			1-	-104.06	y					
1.64													
End of Test Pit TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.64m depth (TP dry upon completion)		<u> </u>						20	40	60 80 1			
								Shea Undist	ar Strer	<b>ngth (kPa)</b> △ Remoulded			

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

### DATUM REMARKS

FILE NO.	PG5570

HOLE NO.	TP 3	
----------	------	--

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			D	DATE	Novembe	er 20, 202	20		183					
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. F	Resist. 50 mm	Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	ter tion				
	RATA F	ЗdХ	MBER	°° ©VERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m) (m)	0	Nater	Content %	iezomet onstruc				
GROUND SURFACE	LS	н	<b>N</b> N	REC	N O		100.10	20	40	60 80	ā O				
TOPSOIL	5	G	1				-102.10	0							
Brown SILTY SAND, trace sea shells		G	2												
GI ACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with		-				1-	- 101 10	O							
gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3				101.10								
<u>1.6</u>		<u> </u>									<u></u>				
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.61m depth															
(TP dry upon completion)															
								20 She ▲ Undis	40 ar Stre turbed	60 80 ength (kPa) △ Remoulded	100				

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

HOLE NO.

Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m

**PG5570** 

TP 4

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

#### REMARKS

DATUM

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	Novembe	er 20, 202	20
	гол		SAN	<b>IPLE</b>		DEPTH	ELEV.	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	RATA PI	ЗАХ	IMBER	% OVERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	

SOIL DESCRIPTION	ЪГС			к	53	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	•	50 mn	n Dia. Co	ne	neter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	COVER.	VALUE DE ROD			0	Water	Content	: %	Piezom Constru
GROUND SURFACE	01	-,	А	RE	zo	0-	-108 49	20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL0.21		G	1			Ū	100.10					
Brown <b>SILTY SAND,</b> trace gravel, cobble and organics		G	2									
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand, some gravel, cobble, boulder, trace clay		G	3			1-	-107.49					
	<u>`^^^</u> ^^											
Test Pit terminated on bedrock surface at 1.43m depth												
(TP dry upon completion)												
								20	40	60	80 1(	00
								Sh Lund	ear Str	ength (k ∆ Ren	iPa) noulded	JU

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

#### REMARKS

						Novombo	~ 00 000	0	HOLE N	<sup>o.</sup> TP 5	
BORINGS BY CIVIE-35 LOW Clearance	Dill E		SAN	/IPLE	Pen. R	esist. B	lows/0.3m				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PL(		щ	RY	۲D	(m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	a. Cone	meter ructio	
	TRAT	ТҮРЕ	UMBE	UMBEI % COVEI	VALU r RQ			• •	Vater Co	ntent %	Piezol
GROUND SURFACE	ß	.,	Z	RE	z <sup>0</sup>	0-	-108.36	20	40	60 80	шU
TOPSOIL 0.2	2	G	1								
Brown SILTY SAND											
		G	2								
						1-	-107.36				_
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand.								O			 
some gravel, cobble, and boulder	6	G	3								
End of Test Pit											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.46m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.28m -											
1100 20, 2020)											
									40		
								Shea	ar Streng	ith (kPa)	00
								▲ Undis	turbed 2	A Remoulded	

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM	Geodetic
	acoucilo

#### REMARKS

					_				HOLE	NO. TD	:
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	Novembe	er 20, 202	20			<b>,</b>
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	MPLE	м	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. R • 5	esist. 0 mm l	Blows/0.3 Dia. Cone	m meter
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	IUMBER	COVER	VALUE Pr RQD			• <b>v</b>	/ater C	content %	Piezom
GROUND SURFACE	02		Z	RE	z º	0-	-107 91	20	40	60 8	0
TOPSOIL 0.27		G	1			0	107.01	0			
Brown <b>SILTY SAND,</b> trace cobble, boulders and seashells		G	2			1-	- 106.91				
<u>1.70</u>		G	3					C			
<b>BEDROCK:</b> Weathered interbedded limestone		G	4			2-	-105.91				
2.89 End of Test Pit											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.89m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.70m - Nov 20, 2020)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 I <b>r Strer</b> urbed	60 8 ngth (kPa △ Remou	0 100 ) Ided

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

#### DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS
---------



# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

#### DATUM Geodetic

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	Novembe	er 20. 202	20	HOLE	<sup>NO.</sup> TP 8			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN		_	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. R ● 5	esist. E 0 mm D	sist. Blows/0.3m ) mm Dia. Cone			
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	* SCOVER	VALUE Dr RQD			• V	Vater Co	ontent %	Piezom Constru		
GROUND SURFACE	07		4	R	zv	0-	105.48	20	40	60 80			
TOPSOIL 0.21		G	1					O					
		G	2					0					
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace clay and organics - increasing in silt content with depth						1-	-104.48						
		G	3										
2.15						2-	-103.48				-		
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.15m depth													
(TP dry upon completion)													
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stren urbed	60 80 gth (kPa) △ Remoulded	100		

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

#### REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance [	Drill			D	ATE	Novembe	er 20. 202	20	HOLE NO	<sup>).</sup> TP 9	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	/IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m			ter tion
	RATA F	ТРЕ	MBER	°° OVERY	ROD	(m)	(m)	(m)	Vater Cor	ntent %	ezomet
GROUND SURFACE	S.T.	Ε	ЮN	REC	N O N			20	40 6	50 80	i č č
TOPSOIL		G	1			- 0-	-104.47	0			
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace organics		G	2					Q			
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand trace gravel, cobbles, and boulders		G	3			1-	-103.47				
End of Test Pit TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.60m depth (TP dry upon completion)		(									
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 € ar Streng	60 80 10 th (kPa)	↓ 00

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
---------------------------------------------------

Geodetic

#### DATUM

PEMARKO										PG5570	)	
REMARKS		HOLE NO.								<sup>NO.</sup> TD 10		
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill	DATE Decem					r 10, 202	0		IP IU		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT	SA		SAMF		SAMPLE			ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. E ) mm D	ter tion
	TA I	ы	R	ΞRΥ	Ba	(m)	(m)				ome	
	TRA.	IYPI	IMBI	~ 50	VAL R(			0 <b>N</b>	ater Co	iezo		
GROUND SURFACE	Ñ	•	E.	RE	zö			20	40	60 80		
TOPSOIL		V G	1			0-	-103.62					
0.17		<u> </u>						0				
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace gravel and cobbles		G	2					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand, with gravel, trace cobble and boulders		G	3					O				
End of Test Pit	<u>`^^^</u> ^^	<u></u>										
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 0.76m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 0.51m - Dec 10, 2020)								20	40	60 80		
								20 Shea ▲ Undistr	40 I <b>r Stren</b> urbed	60 80 gth (kPa) △ Remoulded	100	

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	PG5570	I
				_				20	HOLE NO	<sup>).</sup> TP 11	
BORINGS BY GME-55 LOW Clearance		DATE December 10, 2020						20		owo/0.2m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLOT			איירר איירר	ы	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	● 5	50 mm Dia. Cone		neter uction
	STRAT?	ТҮРЕ							Water Content %	ntent %	Piezon Constr
GROUND SURFACE				8	ZŬ	0-	103.01	20	40 (	50 80	
0.15	5	G	1					0			
Brown SILTY SAND, trace gravel		G	2								
0.89		G	3								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand, with gravel, cobbles, and boulders		G	1			1-	-102.01				-
<u>1.49</u> End of Test Pit											-
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.49m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 0.89m - Dec 10, 2020)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 0 ar Streng turbed △	50 80 1 th (kPa) Remoulded	⊣ 00

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

#### REMARKS

DATUM

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			D	ATE	Decembe	er 10, 202	20	HOLE NO. TP	12	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAMPLE DEPTH				ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone		
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% ECOVERY	I VALUE or RQD	()	(,	• W	ater Content	Piezome	Constru
GROUND SURFACE		×		R	2	0-	-103.21	20	40 60	80	
TOPSOIL0.02		G	2				100.21		V		
0.51											
Organic silt with <b>PEAT</b> fibers		G	3						0		
		1				1-	102.21				
		G	4								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders						2-	- 101.21			2	¥
End of Test Pit											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.97m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.82m - Dec 10, 2020)								20 Shea	40 60 r Strength (kP	80 100	
								▲ Undist	urbed $\triangle$ Remo	ulded	

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM	Geodetic

REMARKS
---------

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	Decembe	er 10, 202	20	HOLE N	<sup>o.</sup> TP 13	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAMPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Bl 0 mm Di	lows/0.3m a. Cone	ter tion	
	FRATA F	ЭЧХЛ	JMBER	% COVERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	• Water Content %			
GROUND SURFACE	ν.		N	REC	z <sup>ö</sup>		104.00	20	40	60 80	L 0
TOPSOIL		G	1			0-	-104.30		0		-
Brown SILTY SAND, trace organics		G	2						0		
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders 0.91		G	3								
End of Test Pit											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 0.91m depth											
(Groundwater infiltration at 0.61m - Dec 10, 2020)											
								20 Shea	40 ar Streng	60 80 1 <b>jth (kPa)</b> ∖ Bemoulded	00

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	o. PG5570	
REMARKS									HOLE	NO. TD 44	
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill	rill DATE December 10, 2020 IP 14									1
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РІОТ	SAMPL				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone			eter uction
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	IUMBER	COVER!	VALUE Dr RQD			• <b>v</b>	Vater Co	ontent %	Piezom Constru
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	RE	z	0-	-105.60	20	40	60 80	_
TOPSOIL			1						0		
Brown SILTY SAND		<b>—</b> -	2						0		
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, and boulders.			3								
0.97											
Practical refusal to excavation at 0.94m depth											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 She	40 ar Stren	60 80 1 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	00
								▲ Undis	turbed	△ Remoulded	

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

	ווייכ				ATE	Decembe	× 10 000	20	HOLE	NO. TP	15	
BORINGS BY CIVIE-55 LOW Clearance I	лш н		SAN	Blows/0.3	3m	_						
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLO		DEPTH ELEV.			• 5	50 mm Dia. Cone		ieter	laction		
	RATA	Ч	MBER	°° OVER	VALUE			• V	• Water Content %			ezom onstru
GROUND SURFACE	LS LS	H	NN	REC	N O		100.00	20	40	60 8	0	Ö
TOPSOIL 0.18		G	1				-106.80					
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		V										
		G	2									
		$\land$										
						4	105.90		(	D		
		Ğ	3				-105.60		þ			
Brown SILTY SAND		V										
		G	4									
		$\land$										
						2	104 90					
						2	104.00					
									0		₽	z│
		G	5									
			_							0		
gravel, cobbles and boulders	<u>`^^^^</u>	Λ Ĵ	6									
End of Test Pit												
surface at 2.74m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.28m - Dec 10, 2020)												
, , , _ , _ , _ , _ , _												
								20 Shea	40 ar Stre	60 8 ngth (kPa	ວ 100 ເ)	
								▲ Undist	urbed	△ Remou	ided	

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

### SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

Geotechnical Investigation 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS
---------

BOBINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			п		Jecombe	ar 10 202	20	HOLE	<sup>NO.</sup> TP 16			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. F	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m				
	TRATA P	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	°° COVERY	VALUE r RQD	(m)	(m)	0	Nater C	ontent %	Piezomet		
GROUND SURFACE	Ŋ		z	RE	z <sup>o</sup>	0-	104 62	20	40	60 80			
TOPSOIL 0.35		G	1			0	104.02		0				
Brown <b>SILTY SAND,</b> trace gravel		G	2			1-	-103.62						
2.34		1				2-	-102.62		0				
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders.		G	3			3-	-101.62						
3.09 End of Test Pit TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 3.09m depth (Groundwater infiltration at 2.33m - Dec 10, 2020)							101.02	20 She ▲ Undis	40 ar Stren turbed	60 80 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	00		

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5570

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS
---------

BORINGS BY	CME-55 Low (	Clearance Drill

REMARKS									HOLE NO	TP 17	
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance L	Drill			D	ATE	Decembe	er 10, 202	20			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAMPLE DEPTH ELEV. Pen. Re (m) (m) Pen. Re • 50						esist. Blo 0 mm Dia	leter uction	
	TRATA	LYPE	UMBER	COVER'	VALUE r RQD			• <b>v</b>	/ater Con	tent %	iezom Sonstru
GROUND SURFACE	Ñ	•	ĨŇ	REC	zö	0	102.00	20	40 60	0 80	шО
<b>TOPSOIL</b> 0.33		G	1			0-	- 103.90				
Brown SILTY SAND, trace gravel		G	2			1-	-102.90		<b>Y</b>		
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand, with			0				102.90		Ó.		Ā
gravel cobbles and boulders <u>1.78</u> End of Test Pit TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.78m depth (Groundwater infiltration at 1.37m - Dec 10, 2020)		G	3								
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengt urbed △	0 80 10 h (kPa) Remoulded	00

# SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation** 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road Ottawa, Ontario

### FILE NO. **PG5570**

HOLE NO.

Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m

• 50 mm Dia. Cone

• Water Content %

60

40

O.

20

**TP 18** 

80

Piezometer Construction

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5 Ottawa, Ontario								
DATUM Geodetic								
REMARKS								
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	Decembe	er 10, 202	20
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	
	TA P	ы	ER	GRY GRY		ן (m) ג	(m)	_
	STRA	ТУР	IUMB	ov ∿	VAI R			
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	RE	z	0-	103.42	ļ
TOPSOIL 0.30		G	1					
Brown SILTY SAND, some gravel		G	2					
1.32		G	3			1-	-102.42	
End of Test Pit		+						
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.32m depth								

(TP

1.32 G 3 1 Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock is at 1.32m depth ry upon completion) G 3 1 -102.42 							Shea	r Stre	engtl	າ (kPa Bomou	I) Ilded
1.32 G 3							20	40	60	8	0 100
Interest Pit minated on inferred bedrock e at 1.32m depth y upon completion)											
Test Plt minated on inferred bedrock a at 1.32m depth y upon completion)											
Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock e at 1.32m depth y upon completion)											
I 1-102.42											
I define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a second define a sec											
I.32 G 3 1-102.42 Test Pit rminated on inferred bedrock re at 1.32m depth ry upon completion)											
I - 1.32 G 3 T Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock the at 1.32m depth ry upon completion)											
G 3 1.32 f Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock æ at 1.32m depth ry upon completion)											
G 3 1.32 G 3 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42											
G 3 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42											
f Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock e at 1.32m depth y upon completion)											
G 3 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42											
G 3 1.32 G 3 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42											
G 3 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42 1-102.42											
G 3 1-102.42 f Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock æ at 1.32m depth	upon completion)										
G 3 1-102.42 f Test Pit minated on inferred bedrock	at 1.32m depth										
G 3 1-102.42	ninated on inferred bedrock										
G 3 1-102.42	Test Pit	1.32	<u> </u>								
G 3 1-102.42			$\land$				-				
			G	3	1-	102.42					

### SYMBOLS AND TERMS

#### SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

Compactness Condition	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

#### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

#### **SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)**

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity,  $S_t$ , is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

St < 2
$2 < S_t < 4$
$4 < S_t < 8$
$8 < S_t < 16$
St > 16

#### **ROCK DESCRIPTION**

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

#### RQD % ROCK QUALITY

90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

#### SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler
G	-	"Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

#### PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WC%	-	Natural water content or water content of sample, %
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
PL	-	Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)
ΡI	-	Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL)
Dxx	-	Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer
Сс	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10
-		

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels: Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

#### **CONSOLIDATION TEST**

p'o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p'c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)
Сс	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)
OC Ratio		Overconsolidaton ratio = p'c / p'o
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

#### PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.

### SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued) STRATA PLOT Topsoil Asphalt Peat Sand Silty Sand Fill $\nabla$ Sandy Silt Clay Silty Clay Clayey Silty Sand Glacial Till Shale Bedrock

### MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION



PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION
















$\int$	HYDROMETER	 200	100	U.S. 50	SIEVE 30	NUMBE 16	RS 8	4 3	U.S /8 <sup>1/2</sup> 3	. SIEV <sub>3/4</sub> 1	/E OP 1.5 <sup>2</sup>		g in inche <sup>4</sup> 6	ES
100														
90														
80														
P F														
R 70 C														
E N T 60														
F														
N E 50 R					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
в Ү <sub>40</sub>														
W E														
। G30 н														
Ť														
20														
10														
0 0.0	0.01	0.1				 1			 10			 1(	00	
			GR	AIN SI	ZE IN		TERS		GB	AVFI				
	SILT OR CLAY		fine medium coa			coars	rse fine		C	coarse		COBBL	ES	
Sp	ecimen Identification	)	Classification					MC%	LL	.	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
×	ВП19-21552+553	SILTY	SAND	/5AN	DY 5								0.83	1.2
★ Sp	ecimen Identification D100	De	50	[	030	] [	D10	%Gra	vel	%Sa	and	%	Silt 9	%Clay
	BH19-21SS2+SS3 9.50							0.1		13.	.8		86.1	
*														
С	LIENT Caivan Communities					<u></u>		FI		Э.		PG	5570	_
	HOJEGI Geotechnical Investig	ation - <i>I</i> a, On.	5993,	6070	and	6115		ים	41E			16 D	ec 21	—
r	patersona	1 C	Jr		Cons	ulting			GF	<b>A</b>	IN	SI	ZE	
<b>Г</b> 9 /	Auriga Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K2	E 7T9	~ P	- 1	ngin	ieers		D	IS	TR	IB	UT	ION	

$\bigcap$	HYDROMETE	R 2	 :00 100	U.S. SIEVE N 50 30	UMBERS 16 8	U. 4 <sub>2/9</sub> 1/2	S. SIEVE OP		N INCHE	s
100										
90	)				•					
80										
P E R 70										
Е N <sup>T</sup> 60 F										
N E 50 R										
B Y 4( W E										
і G3( Н Т										
20										
10										
( 0.	001 0.01		0.1		1 1	10		100		
	SILT OB CL	Δ٧		SAND		G	RAVEL	C		-
			fine medium coars			e fine				->
•	BH24-21SS3+SS4		GLAC	AL TILL					1.10	<b>3.5</b>
X										
▲ ★										
Sp	becimen Identification	D100	D60	D30	D10	%Gravel	%Sand	%Sil	lt %	Clay
	BH24-21SS3+SS4	19.00	0.10			4.9	46.3		48.8	
- *										
	CLIENT Caivan Com	munities			·	FILE N	NO	PG55	70	_
F	PROJECT Geotechnica	al Investigati	on - 5993 On	, 6070 and 6 <sup>-</sup>	115	DATE		20 Dec	; 21	_
	natoren			Consul	ting	G	RΔIN	<u>SI7</u>	'F	
9	Auriga Drive, Ottawa, O	ntario K2E 7	т9	Engine	ers	DIS	TRIB	UTI	ON	



$\bigcap$		HYDROMETI	ER	 200 100	U.S. S 50	SIEVE N 30	NUMBE 16	ERS 8	4 /	U.9 <sub>3/8</sub> 1/2	5. SIE 3/4 <sup>1</sup>	EVE (	DPE	NING		HES	
100						T								ľ	<b>T</b>		
00					1												
90					/												
80																	
																	_
е Е R 70				<b>•</b>													
C E																	_
<sup>N</sup> Т 60														:			_
F I																	_
в Е 50 В														:			_
В																	
<sup>4</sup> 40 w																	
E I																	
G 30 H T																	
20																	
20																	
10																	
																	_
0	001	0.01		0.1			1			10				10	)0		
	· · ·			GF	RAIN SIZ		IILLIME	ETERS									7
		SILT OR CL	AY	fine medium coar				coars	rse fine coars			rse			BLES		
Sp	ecimen Ide	entification		Class	ificatio	n			MC%	6 L	L	PL		ΡI	Co	; C	Cu
	BH37-21	SS3	S	ILTY SANI	D/SAN	DY SI	LT						_		0.6	52	.4
*												_					
Sp	BH37-21	entification SS3	D100	D60		030		D10	%Gr	avel n	؟% 6	Sanc 3 <b>4 2</b>	1	%	Silt 35		ay
×		000	1.10	0.12					0.	•	0	7.2				.0	
P	ROJECT	al Investigat	ation - 5993, 6070 and 6115				-	DATE				PG5570 7 Jan 22					
		Flewellyn R	load, Ottawa	ı, On.				-									
K	oate	erso	nar	oui		onsu	lting ers			Gl	R/	11/	1	SĽ	ΖE		
9	Auriga Driv	ve, Ottawa, C	Ontario K2E	7T9	_	3			C	DIS	TF	RIE	<b>3</b> l	JT	101	N	

HYDROMETER	 200 100	U.S. SIEVE NUN 50 30 1	MBERS 6 8 4	U.S. SIE	EVE OPENIN	IG IN INCHES	5
100							
90	<u> </u>						
80							
Р							
E							
<sup>1</sup> 60							
R							
<sup>B</sup> Y40							
W							
G30							
Т							
20							
10							
0 001 0 01	0 1	1		10	1	100	
	GF	RAIN SIZE IN MILL	IMETERS				
SILT OR CLAY	fin	e mediu	m coarse	fine	coarse	COBBLE	S
Specimen Identification	Class	sification	MC	% LL	PL P	I Cc	Cu
● BH38-21SS3+SS4 ▼	SILTY SAN	D/SANDY SILT				0.56	1.8
Specimen Identification D100	) D60	D30	D10 %G	ravel %S	Sand %	Silt %	Clav
● BH38-21SS3+SS4 4.75			(	).0 2 <sup>°</sup>	1.0	79.0	<u></u>
▲ ★							
CLIENT Caivan Communiti	es			FILE NO.	PG	i5570	-
PROJECT Geotechnical Inves	stigation - 5993	3, 6070 and 611	5	DATE	7 J	lan 22	-
natorenno		Consultir	ng	GR/		17F	
9 Aurica Drive Ottawa Ontario		Engineer	s I	DISTE	RIBU	TION	



Client PO: 31285

### Certificate of Analysis Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Report Date: 27-Nov-2020

Order Date: 20-Nov-2020

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID: TP4-GR3 --20-Nov-20 13:00 Sample Date: \_ -2047663-01 Sample ID: -Soil MDL/Units \_ \_ \_ **Physical Characteristics** 0.1 % by Wt. % Solids 89.0 \_ \_ -General Inorganics 0.05 pH Units pН 7.60 -\_ -0.10 Ohm.m Resistivity 93.8 \_ \_ -Anions 5 ug/g dry Chloride <5 \_ -\_ Sulphate 5 ug/g dry <5 \_ -\_



Client PO: 31363

### Certificate of Analysis Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Report Date: 17-Dec-2020

Order Date: 14-Dec-2020

Project Description: PG5570

	Client ID:	TPF-G2	-	-	-
	Sample Date:	11-Dec-20 15:30	-	-	-
	Sample ID:	2051099-01	-	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-
Physical Characteristics					
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	82.7	-	-	-
General Inorganics			•		
рН	0.05 pH Units	7.33	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	101	-	-	-
Anions			·		
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-

OTTAWA • MISSISSAUGA • HAMILTON • CALGARY • KINGSTON • LONDON • NIAGARA • WINDSOR • RICHMOND HILL



### Certificate of Analysis Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers Client PO: 33505

Order #: 2151599

Report Date: 22-Dec-2021

Order Date: 17-Dec-2021

Project Description: PG5570

	Client ID:	BH17-21 SS3	-	-	-
	Sample Date:	16-Dec-21 09:00	-	-	-
	Sample ID:	2151599-01	-	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-
Physical Characteristics			•		
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	81.9	-	-	_
General Inorganics			•		
рН	0.05 pH Units	7.73	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	48.9	-	-	-
Anions			•	•	
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	34	-	-	_
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	24	-	<u>-</u>	_



### Certificate of Analysis Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 33585

Report Date: 04-Jan-2022

Order Date: 23-Dec-2021

Project Description: PG5570

-

BH34-21 SS3 Client ID: ---Sample Date: 22-Dec-21 09:00 ---2152465-01 -Sample ID: -\_ Soil MDL/Units -\_ -**Physical Characteristics** 0.1 % by Wt. % Solids 84.6 -\_ -General Inorganics 0.05 pH Units pН 7.75 -\_ -0.10 Ohm.m Resistivity 81.3 \_ -\_ Anions 5 ug/g dry Chloride 12 \_ -\_ Sulphate 5 ug/g dry

-

\_

9



## **APPENDIX 2**

## FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN

FIGURES 2 – 13 MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

DRAWING PG5570-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

DRAWING PG5570-2 - BEDROCK CONTOUR PLAN



# FIGURE 1

**KEY PLAN** 





Figure 2: BH1-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





Figure 3: BH2-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations



Figure 4: BH3-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





### Figure 5: BH22A-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





Figure 6: BH24-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





## Figure 7: BH33-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





### Figure 8: BH1-22 & BH1A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





Figure 9: BH2-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations



## Figure 10: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations



Figure 11: BH4-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





Figure 12: BH5-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





Figure 13: HA1-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations





autocad drawings\geotechnical\pg55xx\pg5570\pg5570-1 thlp (rev.06) r.



autocad drawings\geotechnical\pg55xx\pg5570\pg5570-1 thlp (rev.06)