

Hydrogeological Existing Conditions Report

Proposed Residential Development

5993 & 6115 Flewellyn Road & 6030 & 6070 Fernbank
Road

Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. & Caivan
(Stittsville West) Ltd.

Report PH4625-REP.01.R2
dated August 7, 2024

Table of Contents

	PAGE
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Scope of Work.....	1
2.0 Previous Reports.....	2
3.0 Method of Investigation	3
3.1 Records Review	3
3.2 Field Program.....	3
3.3 Laboratory Testing	4
3.4 Monitoring Well Installation	5
3.5 Piezometer Installation	5
3.6 Groundwater Level Measurement	5
3.7 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing	6
3.8 Pask Permeameter Testing.....	7
3.9 Stable Isotope Investigation	7
4.0 Review and Evaluation.....	9
4.1 Physical Setting.....	9
4.2 Geology.....	9
4.3 Hydrogeological Setting	11
5.0 Assessment and Recommendations	21
6.0 Closure.....	24

List of Tables

Table 1 - Groundwater Level Measurement Summary

Table 2 - Single Well Response Test Results Summary

Table 3 - Overburden Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Results and Estimated Infiltration Rates

Table 4 & 4b - Horizontal Hydraulic Gradient Summary

Table 5 - Vertical Hydraulic Gradient Summary

List of Figures

Figure 1: BH1-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 2: BH2-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 3: BH3-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 4: BH22-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 5: BH24-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 6: BH33-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 7: BH1-21 & BH1A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 8: BH2-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 9: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 10: BH4-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 11: BH5-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 12: HA1-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations

Figure 13: Figure13: BH1-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 14: Figure14: BH2-21 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 15: Figure15: BH5-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 16: Figure16: BH1A-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Appendices

Appendix 1	Paterson - Terms of Reference
Appendix 2	Paterson - Soil Profile and test Data Sheets PG5570-1 - Test Hole Location Plan Paterson - Grain Size Analysis Results Others - Soil Analytical Results
Appendix 3	PH4625-1 - Surficial Geology Plan PH4625-2 - Drift Thickness Plan PH4625-3 - Bedrock Geology Plan PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan PG5570-2 - Bedrock Contour Plan
Appendix 4	Hydraulic Conductivity Results - Falling and Rising Head Tests
Appendix 5	MECP Water Well Records

1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was retained by Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. and Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd. to complete a hydrogeological study for the proposed residential development to be located at 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as the “subject site”).

The purpose of this report is to characterize the hydrogeological setting of the subject site, with respect to bedrock and surficial geology, aquifers, aquitards, horizontal and vertical flow patterns, existing groundwater use, and aquifer vulnerability, in support of the 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road Community Urban Expansion.

1.1 Scope of Work

Paterson has completed this report in accordance with Sub-section 4.2 of the finalized Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation Terms of Reference (GHI TOR), prepared for the City of Ottawa and the Rideau Valley conservation Authority (RVCA). As per the GHI TOR, the purpose of the hydrogeological study is to assist in maintaining the current hydrogeologic function of the subject site. As per the GHI TOR, the study will provide a summary of existing hydrogeological conditions and identify the following:

- ☐ Hydraulic conductivities and aquifer characteristics
- ☐ Groundwater levels and seasonal fluctuations
- ☐ Hydraulic gradients
- ☐ Delineation of the aquifer
- ☐ Vulnerability of aquifers encountered
- ☐ Zones of influence for potential dewatering
- ☐ Water supply wells and the potential risk of impacts to these wells from the proposed development
- ☐ Sites identified by environmental site assessments as potential sources of groundwater contamination
- ☐ Key locations to conduct sampling and monitoring of baseline groundwater quality (i.e. Subdivision Packages, PHC's, BTEX and VOC's)
- ☐ Recharge/potential infiltration areas and mitigation measures

2.0 Previous Reports

In addition to a review of the general literature in the following sections and in the 'References' section of this report (MECP water well database, available geological and physiographic mapping, City of Ottawa Official Plan), Paterson reviewed the following reports:

- ❑ The Jock River - Reach Two Subwatershed - Phase 1 Report (Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited and WESA, 2009)
- ❑ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG5570-2 Revision 4 - Flewellyn Road - (August 7, 2024)
- ❑ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2802-1 - Maguire Lands - Hartsmere Drive (November 2012) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ❑ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2853-1 - Proposed Residential Development - Stittsville Main Street (January 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ❑ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2983-1 - Faulkner Lands - Fernbank Road at Main Street (July 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ❑ Houle Chevrier Engineering - Technical Memorandum - Hydrogeological Study - (D007-16-13-0033) - Area 6, Stittsville South (April 2015) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ❑ Houle Chevrier Engineering - Report on Private Well Monitoring Program Stittsville South Residential Development and Stormwater Management Pond - (November 2015) - As part of D07-16-15-0008.

3.0 Method of Investigation

3.1 Records Review

A review of available physiographic, geological, and hydrogeological data was completed as a part of this assessment. As discussed above, the literature review and previous reports provided a regional overview regarding the overburden and bedrock aquifers that included the subject site. Further detail is provided in following sections.

3.2 Field Program

A field program was developed to assess geology, groundwater conditions, and hydraulic gradients in the overburden and bedrock at the subject site. The test holes were advanced to various depths at the subject site to assess hydrogeological conditions at the approximate depth of the proposed construction activities at the site. A supplemental field program was performed to provide additional hydraulic properties of the surficial soils and bedrock at the subject site.

The initial field programs were carried out between November 2020 and January 2022. At that time, a total of thirty-eight (38) boreholes and eighteen (18) test pits were advanced to a maximum depth of 10.2 m below ground surface (bgs).

A supplemental field program was completed between September to October 2022 consisted of advancing seven (7) boreholes and one (1) hand auger hole to a maximum depth of 9.1 m bgs, completing permeameter tests at twelve (12) locations across the subject site, installing data loggers and slug testing the monitoring well installations. A total of twenty-four (24) Pask Permeameter tests were conducted at 12 testing locations across the subject site at depths between 0.3 to 0.6 m bgs.

The test holes for both field investigations were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the subject site.

Of the test holes completed on site, fourteen (14) were instrumented with monitoring wells. The test hole locations are shown on Drawing PG5570-1 - Test Hole Location Plan, located in Appendix 2.

The initial field program was completed between November 2020 to January 2022 and the supplemental program was completed between September to October 2022. The boreholes were advanced using a low clearance drill rig operated by a two-person crew while the test pits were advanced using a hydraulic shovel excavator. Both drilling and excavating occurred under full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. Soil samples were obtained from test holes by means of grab sampling, split spoon or the sampling of shallow soils directly from auger flights. Split spoon samples were taken at approximate 0.76 m intervals. In addition to soil

sampling, rock core samples were obtained with the use of a standard diamond drill bit. The depths at which grab, split spoon, auger flight and rock core samples were obtained from the test holes are shown as "**G**", "**SS**", "**AU**" and "**RC**" respectively on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report in Appendix 2.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

All soil samples were classified on site, placed in sealed plastic bags and were transported to our laboratory for further review and testing. Transportation of the samples was completed in accordance with ASTM D4220-95 (2007) - Standard Practice for Preserving and Transporting Soil Samples.

Rock core samples were recovered from select boreholes (BH1-21, BH2-21, BH3-21, BH22A-21, BH24-21, BH33-21, BH34-21, BH1-22, BH2-22, BH3-22, BH4-22 and BH5-22) drilled during the geotechnical investigations using a core barrel and diamond drilling techniques. The bedrock samples were classified on site, placed in hard cardboard core boxes and transported to Paterson's laboratory.

The recovery value and a Rock Quality Designation (RQD) value were calculated for each drilled section of bedrock and are presented on the borehole logs. The recovery value is the length of the bedrock sample recovered over the length of the drilled section. The RQD value is the total length of intact rock pieces longer than 100 mm over the length of the core run. The values indicate the bedrock quality.

Subsurface conditions observed in the test holes were recorded in detail in the field. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 2 for specific details of the soil profile encountered at the test hole locations.

3.3 Laboratory Testing

All soil samples were retained for laboratory review following the field portion of the subsurface investigation. The soils were classified in general accordance with ASTM D2488-09a, Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure).

A total of 8 split spoon samples were submitted for grain size analyses from the initial investigation. A total of 2 split spoon samples and 2 grab samples were submitted for resistivity, pH, sulphate and chloride analyses. The supplemental investigation included an additional 4 aggregated surficial soil samples that were

submitted for grain size analyses. The testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM C117 Test Method for Materials Finer Than 75- μ m (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates and Washing and ASTM C136 - Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates. Grain size analysis results are appended to this report. Based on the soil descriptions encountered across the subject site during the various geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations and based on the spatial distribution of the above-noted samples, these samples are considered to be sufficiently representative of the subject site. Grain size, resistivity, pH, sulphate and chloride analyses can be found in Appendix 2.

3.4 Monitoring Well Installation

A total of 13 groundwater monitoring wells were installed by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. The monitoring wells consisted of 51 mm diameter Schedule 40 threaded PVC risers and screens. A sand pack consisting of silica sand was placed around the screen, and a bentonite seal was placed above the screen and extended to ground surface to minimize cross-contamination.

An additional shallow groundwater monitor was installed using a hand auger to measure shallow overburden water levels at the west side of the subject site.

Monitoring well construction details are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report.

3.5 Piezometer Installation

Flexible polyethylene standpipes were installed in select boreholes to permit the monitoring of groundwater levels subsequent to the completion of the initial field program.

3.6 Groundwater Level Measurement

Groundwater levels were measured at the piezometer installations after the initial field investigation and at the monitoring well installations as part of both field investigations using an electronic water level meter. Groundwater levels were measured relative to the ground surface elevation at each monitoring installation. Groundwater levels at all locations are summarized in Table 1 appended in the Tables section of this report.

Multiple groundwater level measurement events have been completed to date with measurements occurring between January 2022 to May 2023. Groundwater levels in piezometers and the monitoring wells varied between 0.6 to 2.8 m below ground surface (bgs) and 0.0 to 3.7 m bgs, respectively.

Long term groundwater monitoring was undertaken using the VanEssen TD-Diver Water Level Datalogger (10 m) at the fourteen monitoring well locations between October 2022 and May 2023. The monitoring data is presented in Figures 1-12 appended in the Figures section of this report.

3.7 Hydraulic Conductivity Testing

Hydraulic conductivity testing was completed in select monitoring wells installed during both subsurface investigations. Falling head and rising head tests (“slug tests”) were completed in accordance with ASTM Standard Test Method D 4404 - Field Procedure for Instantaneous Change in Head (Slug) Tests for Determining Hydraulic Properties of Aquifers.

Slug testing was completed in October 2022 by Paterson personnel. The general test method consisted of the measurement of the static water level in the well, followed by inducing a near-instantaneous change of head in the monitoring well and subsequent monitoring of water level recovery with an electronic water level tape and a Mini Diver water level logger. The change in head was induced by the introduction of either an acetal slug, 0.9 m in length and 38 mm in diameter, or a metal slug, 1.0 m in length and 19 mm in diameter, depending on the well diameter. The slug was introduced to raise the groundwater level in the monitoring well, following which the decrease in water level over time was monitored (falling head test). Once the water level had stabilized (or nearly stabilized), the slug was then removed to lower the groundwater level, following which the increase in water level over time was monitored (rising head test).

Following the completion of the slug tests, the test data was analyzed as per the method set out by Hvorslev (1951). Assumptions inherent in the Hvorslev method include a homogeneous and isotropic aquifer of infinite extent, zero-storage assumption, and a screen length significantly greater than the monitoring well diameter. The assumption regarding aquifer storage is considered to be appropriate for groundwater flow through the overburden and bedrock aquifer. The assumption regarding screen length and well diameter is considered to be met based upon a typical length of 1.52 m and a diameter of 0.03 to 0.05 m.

While the idealized assumptions regarding aquifer extent, homogeneity, and isotropy are not strictly met in this case (or in any real-world situation), it has been our experience that the Hvorslev method produces effective point estimates of hydraulic conductivity in conditions similar to those encountered at the subject site. Hvorslev analysis is based on the line of best fit through the field data (hydraulic head recovery vs. time), plotted on a semi-logarithmic scale.

Based on the above test methods, the overburden and bedrock monitoring wells displayed hydraulic conductivity values ranging from 4.2×10^{-6} to 2.2×10^{-5} m/sec and 4.3×10^{-7} to 1.6×10^{-4} m/sec, respectively. The hydraulic conductivity test

results can be found in Appendix 4 as well as in a summary table (Table 2) appended in the Tables section of this report.

3.8 Pask Permeameter Testing

Permeameter testing was conducted using a Pask (Constant Head Well) Permeameter in September 2022. An 83 mm diameter hole was excavated using a Riverside/Bucket auger to a depth of 0.3 m bgs and a separate hole was excavated to a depth of 0.6 m bgs at 12 locations. All soil from the auger flights were visually inspected and initially classified on site. The permeameter reservoir was filled with water and inverted into the hole, ensuring it was relatively vertical and rests on the bottom of the hole. The water level of the reservoir was monitored at 1-minute intervals until the rate of fall out of the permeameter reached equilibrium, known as quasi “steady state” flow rate. Quasi steady state flow can be considered to have been obtained after measuring 3 to 5 consecutive rate of fall readings with identical values. The values for the steady state rate of fall were recorded for each location.

Preparation and testing of this investigation are in accordance with the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) B65-12 - Annex E. The hydraulic conductivity (K_{fs}) values for each test hole location are presented in Table 2.

Hydraulic conductivity values were determined using Engineering Technologies Canada (ETC) Ltd. reference tables provided in the most recent ETC Pask Permeameter User Guide dated March 2016.

Based on the above testing, field saturated hydraulic conductivity values (K_{fs}) in the test holes ranged from 1.1×10^{-7} to 6.4×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.3 m and $\leq 8.3 \times 10^{-9}$ to 5.9×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.6 m. A summary of field saturated hydraulic conductivity results can be found in Table 3 appended in the Tables section of this report.

The field saturated hydraulic conductivity test results have been shown to be lower than the saturated hydraulic conductivity values typically measured. Reynolds (1993) has shown that the K_{fs} value can be less than or equal to half of K_s due to partial blocking of soil pores by air bubbles.

3.9 Stable Isotope Investigation

Stable isotopes, specifically deuterium (^2H) and oxygen-18 (^{18}O), are a useful tool to trace the water cycle and identify potential groundwater recharge and/or discharge zones. The ^2H and ^{18}O signature of local meteoric water will vary seasonally, apposed to the ^2H and ^{18}O signature of groundwater which remains constant (an average of local meteoric water). By comparing site specific isotope results to local meteoric water results, we can determine if surface water features are primarily impacted by groundwater or precipitation derived discharge.

A select number of monitoring wells were sampled for stable isotopes, specifically, deuterium (^2H) and oxygen-18 (^{18}O), to provide insight on groundwater recharge and discharge at the subject site. Prior to collecting each sample, a minimum of three well volumes were purged from the well to ensure that the sample was representative of the groundwater system. Once the well was developed and deemed to contain a representative sample, a 500 mL polyethylene sample bottle was filled with minimal to no headspace and refrigerated until it was submitted to the lab for isotopic analyses.

4.0 Review and Evaluation

4.1 Physical Setting

The subject site is a mix of agricultural land, forested areas, and a hydro corridor. The western portion of the proposed residential development consists of forested areas. There is a Stormwater Management Pond (SWMP) located adjacent to the northwestern boundary of the proposed residential development with the outlet extending southeast between the subject site parcels. The hydro corridor extends in a northerly direction across the site with a second SWMP located centrally on property owned by others. The eastern portion of the study area consists of a cleared area that has been converted into agricultural land. Northwest of the subject site has municipal services with existing and proposed residential developments. An existing, privately serviced residential development with country estate lots is located to the west of the proposed residential development. Shea Road is located to the east of the proposed residential development while Flewellyn Road is located to the south.

The subject site has topographical relief extending from the west corner of the site that ranges from approximately 109 m asl down to 102 m asl in the east corner. The ground surface exhibits a greater slope in the west portion of the site with a reduced slope extending eastward.

The Faulkner Drain transects the subject site from the northwest to the southeast. The west corner of the site contains a small shallow man-made excavation that was likely used for private aggregates. The excavation has been observed to be filled with water with a connection noted to extend to a man-made drainage ditch leading to the Faulkner Drain.

According to available mapping, the region is generally characterized by non-cohesive material with glaciomarine deposits which is generally consistent with field observations at the subject site. To the north, a small portion of the region is characterized by glacial till deposits which is generally consistent with field observations at the subject site.

4.2 Geology

4.2.1 Surficial Geology

The surficial geology mapping of the National Capital Region provided by the Ontario Geologic Survey was reviewed as a part of this assessment. Available mapping indicates that overburden soils at the subject site consist primarily of glaciomarine deposits with fine grained material to the east and coarse-grained material to the west. To the north, a small portion of the subject site consists of glacial till. Overburden soils mapping is shown on Drawing PH4625-1 - Surficial Geology Plan in Appendix 3.

Overburden soils identified by the geotechnical investigations by Paterson were generally consistent with the available mapping. Overburden thickness was observed to extend from 0.3 to 6.1 m bgs across the subject site, with available mapping indicating between 0 to 10 m of soil generally present which is shown on Drawing PH4625-2 - Overburden Drift Thickness Plan in Appendix 3. The overburden generally consisted of topsoil over silty sand to a sandy silt deposit underlain by glacial till. Clay was observed interbedded with the sandy silt layer on the eastern portion of the subject site. All layers were not observed in all test holes.

Specific details are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report in Appendix 2.

4.2.2 Bedrock Geology

Bedrock mapping, provided by Ontario Geologic Survey of the National Capital Region was reviewed as a part of this assessment. Available mapping indicates that bedrock across the subject site consists of limestone, dolostone, shale and sandstone of the Gull River Formation (Middle Ordovician). The Gull River Formation is a member of the Simcoe Group. Bedrock Geology mapping is shown on Drawing PH4625-3 - Bedrock Geology Plan in Appendix 3.

Bedrock was encountered and cored during the geotechnical investigations and was generally consistent with available mapping. Bedrock was encountered between 0.8 to 6.0 m bgs across the subject site and cored to a maximum depth of 10.2 m bgs. Shallower bedrock was encountered within the western portion of the subject site and deeper bedrock within the eastern portion. Generally, bedrock was characterized as excellent quality dolostone interbedded with limestone across the subject site. Bedrock depths are identified on the appended Drawing PG5570-2 - Bedrock Contour Plan.

4.2.3 Karst Features

The term 'karst' refers to a geologic formation characterized by the dissolution of carbonate bedrock, such as limestone. Based on a review of Ontario Geological Survey available mapping, a small area within the western portion of the subject site is inferred to contain karst, while the remainder of the site falls within an area that can potentially contain karst. It should be noted that no evidence of karstification was observed at the time of the field investigations completed at the subject site. Site specific testing provides better resolution than high level mapping as well as our experience at other sites in the area, it is our opinion that the subject site does not contain karst.

4.3 Hydrogeological Setting

4.3.1 Existing Aquifer Systems

Aquifer systems may be defined as geological media, either overburden soils or fractured bedrock, which permit the movement of groundwater under hydraulic gradients. In general, aquifer systems may be present in overburden soils or bedrock. The overburden soils at the subject site are relatively shallow and consist of moderate hydraulic conductivities with lower value materials on the east side of the subject site. Given the limited thickness and available quantity of groundwater within the overburden aquifer, it is not considered an adequate source for water supply wells. The domestic water wells surrounding the subject site are accessing the bedrock aquifers.

Based on a review of the MECP water well record database, Paterson has identified one aquifer system in the vicinity of the study area which consists of the underlying bedrock aquifer. The Gull River Formation aquifer system is located over the entirety of the study area. The majority of water wells are completed at greater depths within the bedrock unit.

This assessment will address the overburden aquifer and maintaining the existing water balance in order to protect existing water users/uses and the quantity/quality. The existing man-made excavation, as previously noted, allows a mixture of precipitation and limited volumes of shallow groundwater to daylight to surface. It currently allows for localized surficial flows to be directed to an unnamed man-made drainage ditch that connects to the Faulkner Drain. Isotope testing results, which are presented in Section 4.3.6.1, and the high RQD values observed in the bedrock aquifer support the interpretation that this man-made surface water feature is primarily impacted by surficial flows and surface water runoff. Construction of servicing and building excavations are expected to contribute to altering the existing flow paths and would limit the ability of the man-made water feature to function in the same manner. However, limiting the surficial contributions to this man-made surface water feature will not have an impact to the overall hydrogeological function of the site given that shallow groundwater will continue to flow laterally at the bedrock interface until it is discharged at the Faulkner Drain or roadside ditch.

4.3.2 Groundwater Levels

Piezometers and monitoring wells were placed across the study area for the purpose of monitoring groundwater levels. The piezometers were installed in the overburden and the monitoring wells were installed in the bedrock. Groundwater levels were observed to be between 0.6 to 2.8 m bgs in the piezometers and between 0.0 to 3.7 m bgs in the monitoring wells. The initial groundwater levels are shown on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report in Appendix 2. Groundwater elevations that were collected on October 11, 2022,

were used to determine hydraulic gradients which are displayed in Table 4, Table 4b and Table 5 appended in the Tables section of this report, and to determine a general groundwater flow direction at the subject site which is shown on Paterson Drawing PH4625-5 - Groundwater Contour Plan within Appendix 3.

The water level monitoring program provides an overview of the variations in the monitoring well water levels based upon seasonal fluctuations. The manual measurements from the monitoring program are summarized in Table 1 at the end of this report.

The monitoring program extended from October 2022 to May 2023. The monitoring data was compared with Environment and Natural Resources Canada precipitation data from the Ottawa International Airport over the same timeframe as part of the monitoring program. The monitoring data is presented in Figures 1-12 appended in the Figures section of this report.

It is our interpretation that saturated conditions in the permeable overburden soils represent the existing water table at the subject site with the potential for minor groundwater lowering due to servicing installation and a typical minor water budget deficit after development. Groundwater levels in overburden soils are expected to vary seasonally and provide insignificant recharge to the underlying bedrock aquifer. Localized perched water conditions should lower during periods of low precipitation and increase during greater precipitation events. It should be noted that groundwater within the shallow overburden aquifer is expected to flow laterally at the bedrock interface until it is discharged at the Faulkner Drain or roadside ditch.

4.3.3 Horizontal Hydraulic Gradients

The direction of hydraulic gradients shows that groundwater flow travels predominantly from west to east towards the eastern corner of the subject site. The study area is located within the Flowing Creek subwatershed where local groundwater flow is generally in an eastward direction towards the Faulkner Drain. Regional groundwater flow is also in an eastward direction towards the Jock River.

The overburden and bedrock groundwater flow in the vicinity of the study area is considered to partially reflect local topography and subwatershed regional boundaries. The horizontal hydraulic gradient in the bedrock was observed to be in a general eastward direction with increased values within the western portion of the subject site. The bedrock horizontal gradients ranged from approximately 0.001 to 0.026 m/m. As for the horizontal hydraulic gradient in the overburden material, it was interpreted to have a similar magnitude and direction as the bedrock given the similarities in groundwater levels at the nested well locations. The overburden horizontal gradient was measured to be approximately 0.006 m/m east. A summary of the site values can be found in Table-4 - Horizontal Hydraulic Gradient Summary appended in the Tables section of this report.

4.3.4 Vertical Hydraulic Gradients

Vertical hydraulic gradients were calculated within two nested well installations across the study area. BH 1-22 and BH 1A-22 (west area of the study area) had a vertical upward gradient of approximately 0.011 to 0.015 m/m while BH 3-22 and BH 3A-22 (east area of the study area) had a vertical downward gradient of 0.004 to 0.035 m/m. It is anticipated that the vertical gradient observed in the west portion of the site is due to the higher topography to the west of the subject site providing additional head where groundwater may daylight in areas such as the man-made excavation observed in the west portion of the site. The eastern portion of the site is showing a slight downward gradient which is indicative of the overburden providing insignificant recharge to the underlying bedrock aquifer. A summary of the vertical gradients is displayed in Table 5 - Vertical Hydraulic Gradient Summary appended in the Tables section of this report.

4.3.5 Hydraulic Conductivity

Based on the field hydraulic conductivity testing undertaken as part of this assessment, the hydraulic conductivity of the overburden materials were observed to range between 4.2×10^{-6} m/sec to 2.2×10^{-5} m/sec while the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock were observed to range between 4.3×10^{-7} to 1.6×10^{-4} m/sec. These values are consistent with tabulated values from Freeze and Cherry (1979) and field values encountered at similar sites. A summary of the hydraulic conductivity results can be found in Table 2 in appended in the Tables section of this report.

To determine the field hydraulic conductivity of the unsaturated soils at the subject site, Pask Permeameter testing was conducted at depths of 0.3 and 0.6 m bgs. Twelve test locations were identified across the subject site to provide general coverage of surficial K_{fs} values.

The test results showed the surficial field saturated hydraulic conductivity ranged from 1.1×10^{-7} to 6.4×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.3 m and $\leq 8.3 \times 10^{-9}$ to 5.9×10^{-6} m/sec at a depth of 0.6 m. The values observed at 0.6 m depth were generally lower than the values at 0.3 m depth. Highest surficial field saturated values were observed within the western portion of the subject site indicating that the western portion of the site will exhibit more permeable characteristics than the eastern portion of the subject site. A summary of the surficial field saturated hydraulic conductivity results can be found in Table 3 appended in the Tables section of this report.

The hydraulic conductivity testing results suggest that the overburden materials act as a permeable layer to predominantly transmit groundwater in a horizontal direction with insignificant recharge to the bedrock layer below. Due to the higher RQD values noted, the recharge to the bedrock aquifer is anticipated to be

negligible. Based on the available information, the overburden soils will generally behave as an unconfined aquifer.

4.3.6 Groundwater Recharge and Discharge

In general, groundwater will follow the path of least resistance from areas of higher hydraulic head to areas of lower hydraulic head. Upward and downward hydraulic gradients are typically indicative of areas of discharge and recharge, respectively.

Based on field saturated hydraulic conductivity testing in the overburden soils, the overburden soils are considered to have a moderate hydraulic conductivity, which are mapped as a significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA). The Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Region (MRSPR) SGRA mapping shows that the site area mapped as a recharge area is negligible compared to the overall SGRA zones. Site specific testing shows that subject site is underlain by high RQD bedrock which supports the interpretation that the significance of the recharge to the bedrock aquifer is insignificant given most of the surficial groundwater flow occurs laterally at the bedrock interface until it is discharged at the Faulkner Drain. It should be noted that site specific testing provides better resolution than the high level SGRA mapping provided by the MRSPR.

It is our interpretation that precipitation will intercept the soil surface where it will flow vertically downward through the unsaturated surficial soils to the groundwater table before travelling laterally through the overburden aquifer at the bedrock interface. There is inferred minor groundwater discharge to a man-made surface water feature in the western portion of the subject site due to topographic variations, however, is expected to be limited in nature due to the isotope results discussed in Section 4.3.6.1. This man-made surface water feature has a negligible impact to the overall hydrogeologic function of the subject site.

The vertical gradients observed at the site support the general assertion that the site provides recharge to the shallow overburden aquifer and insignificant recharge to the bedrock aquifer with a limited area of groundwater discharge to the west. This limited area of groundwater discharge is considered to be insignificant with respect to the overall hydrogeologic system.

The study area intersects one subwatershed as previously mentioned and will have flow generally travelling in an eastward direction within the western portion of the subject site, and in a southeastern direction within the eastern portion of the subject site, towards the Faulkner Drain which is tributary to the Jock River.

The presence of overburden soils with moderate hydraulic conductivity overlying the bedrock aquifer units are considered to provide the potential for insignificant groundwater recharge in these areas. It should be noted that the subject site is not identified by the MRSPR as a drinking water protection zone.

Based on the foregoing, groundwater recharge from ground surface to the bedrock aquifer units are considered to extend well beyond the boundary of the study area.

Additional measures to maintain post-development recharge should be reviewed by the Civil Consultant based on the soil properties and water budget information. The shallow bedrock, perched groundwater in the shallow overburden, and high RQD values may make it impractical to use infiltrating Low Impact Development (LID) measures on the site. The use of best management practices (BMP) should be used for stormwater quality and quantity control to assist in infiltrating clean water, treating salt impacted water where possible or redirecting salt impacted water away from the SGRA during seasonal periods with expected elevated salt levels.

4.3.6.1 Isotopes - Deuterium and ^{18}O

Isotope testing was conducted to provide further review of the groundwater flow regime. By comparing the isotopic sample results to the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL), interpretations about potential groundwater recharge and discharge zones can be made. A LMWL shows the relationship between ^2H and ^{18}O in precipitation for a specific geographic region, in this case Ottawa. Water features that are largely impacted by precipitation events will generally consist of a ^2H and ^{18}O signature that is similar to the ^2H and ^{18}O isotopes associated with that specific event. However, groundwater will generally have a ^2H and ^{18}O signature similar to the average ^2H and ^{18}O value of the LMWL. Therefore, it is expected that precipitation derived water ^2H and ^{18}O values will show seasonal variability where groundwater will not. Assessing temporal trends in the isotopic data will provide insight on potential discharge and recharge zones at the subject site.

To date, samples were collected from the man-made surface water feature and adjacent monitoring wells on October 28, 2022, December 5, 2022, February 1, 2023, April 4, 2023 and May 30, 2023. The results show that the bedrock water well samples collected during the sampling events all have similar ^2H and ^{18}O signatures. Therefore, it is apparent that the bedrock monitoring well samples are representative of the local bedrock groundwater system given the lack of seasonal variability in the isotope results. The samples collected from the man-made surface water feature had different ^2H and ^{18}O signatures between the sampling events, showing a more depleted isotopic signature in the winter than the fall and spring. The evolution in the surface water feature's isotopic signature follows the same trend as local meteoric waters, therefore, indicating that the man-made surface water feature is likely impacted by precipitation derived water within the overburden soil with minimal influence from bedrock aquifer discharge. Stable isotope results can be found in Figures 13-16: $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results, appended to this report.

4.3.7 Gravity Driven Flow Paths

The potential for large-scale gravity driven flow pathways was assessed as part of this investigation. The majority of the study area consists of moderately permeable

material characterized by coarse to fine-grained non-cohesive glaciomarine deposits. The site-specific geological data and hydraulic conductivity testing confirmed the properties of the permeable subsoils across the site. However, localized conditions within the overburden material show variations in the hydraulic conductivity values at the subject site. Regions with more cohesive soils and higher percentages of fine-grained soils have lower hydraulic conductivity values than areas with non-cohesive soils.

The groundwater flow over the study area is considered to be predominantly lateral and with some influence due to topography. Infiltration of groundwater from the overburden material to the underlying bedrock aquifer is considered to be negligible.

4.3.8 Impact of Proposed Development on Surrounding Wells

As a component of this investigation, a review of water well records in the vicinity of the subject site was conducted, using the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) online water well record search tool. Water well records within 500 m of the subject site can be found in Appendix 5, and the locations of the water wells provided by MECP's mapping tool are shown on the attached Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan in Appendix 3.

If service trench dewatering is necessary, the radius of influence of the dewatering of service trench excavations can be estimated by using the Sichardt (1992) formula for unconfined aquifers:

$$R_0 = 3000[H - h_w]\sqrt{k}$$

Where R_0 (m) is the steady state radius of influence, H (m) is the thickness of the saturated aquifer, h_w (m) is the thickness of the dewatered aquifer and k (m/sec) is the hydraulic conductivity of the aquifer unit. The Sichardt formula assumes predominantly horizontal equipotential lines within the unconfined aquifer. This leads to increased accuracy for radius of influence approximations for the excavation being analyzed with increased distance from the dewatering source.

The hydraulic conductivity of the overburden materials was observed to range between 4.2×10^{-6} m/sec to 2.1×10^{-5} m/sec while the hydraulic conductivity of the bedrock was observed to range between 4.3×10^{-7} m/sec to 1.6×10^{-4} , respectively. The groundwater levels used for analysis purposes ranged from 0.6 to 4.4 m bgs with groundwater elevations varying seasonally. Groundwater varies seasonally and may be below the anticipated servicing and housing excavation depths at the time of development.

A steady state condition was used as the point of analysis; however, this condition may not be reached due to the typically short duration that servicing excavations are open prior to backfilling. Based on the above-noted assumed parameter values, radius of influence values for service trenches (maximum depth of 2 to 5

m below existing ground surface) within the development were estimated to be between 5 to 50 m.

A search of the Ontario Water Well Records online mapping database indicates there are several wells within 500 m of the site as depicted on Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan included in Appendix 3. The development to the northwest is municipally serviced and any wells in that area would be erroneously located. The development to the west of the subject site is privately serviced and is considered to be upgradient. A number of WWR for the adjacent subdivision have been placed at the previous centroid of the Lot/Concession where they were drilled with multiple well records mapped on top of one another. However, due to the estate lot sizing, the majority of the wells would be expected to be outside of the theoretical radius of influence and extend well below any proposed excavation depth.

A groundwater impact assessment completed at the detailed design stage will inform the baseline sampling program area. Typically, wells accessing deeper aquifers are at lower risk of impacts by construction dewatering activities due to the greater vertical separation between the dewatering zone and the zone(s) at which water was encountered in these wells. Existing developments have been constructed in the area and Paterson is unaware of negative impacts on private wells related to the previous dewatering / bedrock removal for pre or post development conditions.

The water wells shown on Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan in Appendix 3 should be reviewed based upon available MECP mapping and well installation logs to determine potential monitoring locations.

4.3.9 Environmental Concerns

A review of environmental concerns was performed based upon known and potential concerns related to the subject site.

Brownfield Environmental Site Registry

A review of the MECP's Brownfield Environmental Site Registry did not identify any environmental concerns within a search radius of greater than 500 m of the subject site. Based on observations of Paterson staff during field work, no potential environmental concerns were identified with respect to the subject site. No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed in the soil, groundwater, or bedrock at the subject site.

Agricultural Practices

There are active agricultural sites in the downgradient direction of the subject site; however, given the typical nature of agricultural activities in the Ottawa area,

agricultural practices are considered to have a low potential to impact groundwater quality at the subject site.

Existing Permits to Take Water

There are two Permits to Take Water (PTTW) within 500 m of the subject site. Two of these permits (MECP Reference Numbers - 2630-AUPJNY and 3353-A8KQF) are construction dewatering permits that are used on an intermittent basis during the construction of site servicing and storm ponds related to residential developments in the area. The closest developments are anticipated to have completed the majority of the servicing requirements. The approved daily water taking volumes for all sources is 16,491,000 L/day for Permit 2630-AUPJNY and 5,165,000 L/day for permit number.

Groundwater

The overburden aquifer consists of coarser grained non-cohesive soils to the west and fine grained more cohesive soils to the east and is considered a significant groundwater recharge area (SGRA) under the Clean Water Act (2006). As an SGRA, it is important to protect the aquifer from contaminating activities. In order to maintain the pre-development water balance, it is recommended that a restriction on land uses be considered and alternative winter road maintenance within the SGRA to reduce the potential road salting impacts.

Land Use Restrictions

The majority of the development is expected to consist of residential low-density construction and parks. The proposed land uses are not typically potentially contaminating activities. It is recommended that all potentially contaminating activities, as described within O. Reg. 153/04: Records of Site Condition - Part XV.1 of the Act as set out in Schedule D - Table 2, be restricted. These restrictions would prevent the placement of land uses such as storage of gasoline and related products in fixed tanks, commercial autobody shops, dry cleaning operations and salt manufacturing, processing and bulk storage.

Winter Road Maintenance

As the maintenance of safe roadways is required by law, a comprehensive system must be in place to clear roadways in a timely manner and using cost effective methods. Rock salt has been one of the most cost-effective approaches to maintaining safe and clear roadways, however, a balance must be struck that also minimizes the negative effects of road salt entering the surface water and aquifers. Source Water Protection (SWP) encourages that in areas where road salt application and snow storage would be a drinking water threat to a highly vulnerable aquifer. A Road Salt Management Plan should be prepared and

implemented in accordance with Environment Canada's Code of Practice for the Environmental Management of Road Salts (ECC PEMRS) dated 2004.

The ECC PEMRS provides recommendations to prevent and/or control actions related to the protection of the environment from road salts. The Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) produced a guideline called "Syntheses of Best Practices - Road Salt Management" (SBPRSM) dated April 2013. The ECC PEMRS recommends that existing salt management plans be compared with the SBPRSM and the most current recommended practices. As the City of Ottawa was one of the many proponents providing funding for the SBPRSM study, they may have ongoing implementation of recommended salt best management practices within the guidelines.

Best management practices are not considered as a requirement under the ECC PEMRS, however, consideration should be given to following the SBPRSM when in an SGRA in order to facilitate the infiltration of clean precipitation to meet the pre-development water balance. Additional benefits to the road authority for following the recommended practices include more efficient operations, improved roadway safety and savings in material usage.

There are many recommended practices listed within the SBPRSM to facilitate the protection of the environment and while all are applicable, some of the practices will yield better results. These include:

- ☐ Salt Management Plans
- ☐ Training
- ☐ Infrastructure Design
- ☐ Drainage
- ☐ Pavements and Salt Management
- ☐ Vegetation Management
- ☐ Design and Operation of Maintenance Yards
- ☐ Snow Storage and Disposal
- ☐ Winter Maintenance Equipment and Technologies
- ☐ Salt Use on Private Roads, Parking Lots and Walkways

A salt management plan is recommended to identify the optimum quantity of salt to apply to maintain road safety and minimize environmental impacts. The best method to reducing road salt entering the environment is to reduce the quantity of the salt application. Many technologies exist to facilitate salt reduction and should be considered for all areas in proximity to SGRA's.

Drainage design is important to control road salt entering the environment through overland drainage/storm sewer systems, infiltration into the ground and salt spray caused by traffic. Various management options can be found within the SBPRSM to be evaluated against the local conditions. Snow and ice control management

should be based upon pavement temperatures as they can fluctuate greatly depending on many conditions (i.e. time of day, cloud cover, sub-surface conditions etc.).

Snow removal over the winter occurs to increase safety and allow for future snow clearing storage adjacent to roadways. The snow that is removed can be impacted by ice control chemicals, oil/grease, heavy metals, litter, dirt and other pollutants. The SBPRSM notes that chlorides found in snow leave the snow soon after it is stockpiled along the roadside. The report does not specify the length of time before the chlorides leave the stockpiled snow. It is recommended that methods be reviewed that would reduce road salt application and provide removal of snow prior to chlorides leaving the roadway stockpiles unless the timeframe is unreasonable. In addition, snow removal should be deposited at an off-site location where meltwater would not be at risk of infiltrating into the SGRA.

Education of private contractors may also reduce potential salt effects due to the number of parking areas associated with institutional developments. It is recommended that a Smart About Salt certification be required for contractors operating within salt vulnerable areas.

The preceding recommendations are a brief summary of TAC's best practices for road salt management. Any design of a salt management plan should be done in accordance with the ECC PEMRS and SBPRSM guidelines.

The City of Ottawa Material Application Policy dated October 2011 is appended within Appendix 7. The application policy states that some minor collector and all residential roads will undergo the standard treatment for snow packed roadways. A snow packed roadway requires snow and ice be cleared after completion of the storm and abrasives applied at areas of concern.

The City of Ottawa policy provides a treatment standard for the majority of the roadways in the proposed development that fall under snow packed. The snow packed treatment will minimize the road salt potential and allow the capture of clean precipitation for infiltration without requiring treatment.

5.0 Assessment and Recommendations

Existing Wells

Existing water supply wells in the vicinity of the subject site are completed at depths well below the anticipated municipal servicing depths with WWRs noting that water-bearing zones were encountered below the anticipated servicing depths at the subject site. As such, these wells are considered to have a relatively low potential to be impacted by construction dewatering activities at the subject site. The majority of the wells are located upgradient of the subject site with potential impacts related to historical developments that are existing. It is expected that a baseline monitoring program will provide information on the existing water supply wells. The baseline sampling program would be completed as a due diligence measure during the detailed design stage with pre-consultation with the City hydrogeologist.

The proposed development of the subject site will be serviced by municipally supplied services. The assessment of the suitability of groundwater resources for the proposed development of the subject site was not considered.

The proposed development contains one water well believed to be erroneously located as per the MECP mapping available online. As such, decommissioning of existing on-site water wells may be required. These wells should be decommissioned by licensed water well contractors as per Ontario Regulation 903 (Wells) under the Ontario Water Resources Act. Based on the MECP database, the locations of existing water wells within the subject site are shown on Drawing PH4625-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan Located in Appendix 3. There are additional residences in proximity to the subject site boundary that could be included in the overall development. If these properties are acquired and incorporated into the development area, the wells will be required to be decommissioned. The decommissioning of the wells can be completed at the development construction stage.

Existing Private Sewage Systems

It is recommended that existing private sewage systems, if encountered, within the subject site be properly decommissioned by a qualified contractor prior to the redevelopment of the subject site. No systems are anticipated to be encountered.

Existing Tile Drains

The presence of tile drains was not confirmed on the subject site. A typical design for agricultural fields includes tile drains, however, the current agricultural field is not anticipated to have tile drains due to its age. It is recommended that tile drains be removed and/or capped on an as-encountered basis.

Sources of Contamination

Road salt mitigation is expected as an ongoing concern for the SGRA. It is recommended to follow the guidelines presented by ECC PEMRS and the TAC. The mitigation of future road salt contamination must be a joint venture between on site design (i.e. BMP and stormwater design) and City of Ottawa road maintenance programs. Recommendations were provided in Section 4.3.9.5 - Groundwater to provide a maintenance program to minimize exposure of the SGRA to potential contaminants.

Bedrock was encountered at depths between 0.8 to 6.0 m bgs during the geotechnical investigations. Bedrock mapping indicates that bedrock is at a depth of 0 to 10 m bgs. It is anticipated that bedrock removal will be required during development of the proposed site. As such, a groundwater impact assessment and baseline sampling program will be required for adjacent water supply wells. Previous reporting by others for the adjacent subdivision recommended a sampling radius of 200 m. The City will be consulted on the proposed sampling program and parameters.

Services

The subject site is to be developed with municipal sewer and water services. General recommendations regarding site servicing are provided under separate cover in our geotechnical investigation report. Specific hydrogeological and geotechnical recommendations will be provided during the detailed design phase. Although specific details regarding site servicing are not currently available, it is our expectation that servicing depths within the subject site will be in the range of 2 to 5 m below existing ground surface based upon existing servicing depths in the surrounding area and the preliminary grade raises proposed.

Permit To Take Water

For any water taking of greater than 50,000 L/day, a Permit To Take Water (PTTW) or Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) is required from the MECP. A permit may be required for construction dewatering or works below the water table. The requirement for a PTTW at the subject site will be determined during the detailed design phase dependent upon proposed servicing depths and potential to intercept the groundwater table. The information contained in this report may be used as supporting documentation for a PTTW or EASR application for the subject site. Depending on the nature of the proposed water taking, additional hydrogeological investigation may be required.

Areas of Recharge Potential

Based on geological and hydrogeological conditions at the subject site, as discussed in previous sections, the potential for groundwater recharge through

overburden soils to the underlying bedrock aquifer is mapped over the majority of the subject site. However, based on the bedrock quality, it is inferred that the recharge to the bedrock aquifer will be insignificant within the boundaries of the subject site. Given that the MRSPR SGRA mapping shows that the site area mapped as a recharge area is minimal compared to the overall SGRA zones in the area, the majority of recharge to bedrock aquifers is interpreted to occur in areas off-site to the west/southwest where bedrock quality may be lower within the SGRA, however, specific areas are not known. It is expected that groundwater recharge from the overburden soils to the bedrock aquifer will be negligible on site with specific information available within the site-specific water budget.

Opportunities and Constraints

Based on geological and hydrogeological conditions at the subject site, as discussed in previous sections, the potential for shallow overburden groundwater recharge exists over portions of the site that are characterized by glaciofluvial or coarse-textured glaciomarine deposits. The groundwater provides recharge to the shallow overburden aquifer in addition to discharge to the Faulkner Drain which is tributary to the Jock River. It is expected there is limited contribution to the bedrock aquifer due to the high RQD values.

Based on isotope testing results, the existing man-made surface water feature collects localized surface water runoff and limited groundwater discharge, allowing for surficial flows to be directed to an unnamed man-made drainage ditch that connects to the Faulkner Drain. Therefore, the man-made surface water feature is prominently recharged by surface water runoff and isolated groundwater discharge from shallow overburden materials, not the bedrock aquifer. During the construction of the proposed development (i.e. Site servicing and building excavations), it is expected that the shallow overburden will be disturbed with the existing flow paths being altered and would limit the ability for the man-made surface water feature to function in the same manner subsequent to development. However, this will have a negligible impact to the overall water balance at the subject site given that the man-made surface water feature has negligible impacts to the hydrogeological function of the subject site. The opportunity exists for BMPs to maintain recharge to the shallow overburden aquifer at various locations across the subject site.

6.0 Closure

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only, and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes.

This report has been prepared for Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. and Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd. in support of the proposed residential development to be located at 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road. It is hereby acknowledged that Caivan (Stittsville South) Inc. and Caivan (Stittsville West) Ltd. may rely upon and utilize this report for the purpose of obtaining approval of the proposed development.

Paterson Group Inc.



Michael Killam, P.Eng.



Oliver Blume, P.Geo.



TABLES

TABLE 1 - GROUNDWATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT SUMMARY

TABLE 2- SINGLE WELL RESPONSE TEST RESULTS SUMMARY

TABLE 3 - OVERBURDEN FIELD SATURATED HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY
RESULTS AND ESTIMATED INFILTRATION RATES

TABLE 4 & 4b - HORIZONTAL HYDRAULIC GRADIENT SUMMARY

TABLE 5 - VERTICAL HYDRAULIC GRADIENT SUMMARY

Table 1 - Monitoring Well Water Level Measurement Summary															
Well ID		BH1-21	BH2-21	BH3-21	BH22A-21	BH24-21	BH33-21	HA1-22	BH1-22	BH1A-22	BH2-22	BH3-22	BH3A-22	BH4-22	BH5-22
Ground Surface Elevation (m asl)		104.29	107.19	108.41	102.98	103.07	104.7	106.78	107.31	107.31	103.58	102.25	102.25	105.71	105.7
Groundwater (GW) Measurements															
11-Jan-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.22	0.82	0.89	2.49	0.67	1.84	Wells Were Not Installed At This Time							
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.07	106.37	107.52	100.49	102.40	102.86								
11-Oct-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.12	1.16	0.90	2.61	0.60	2.12	0.31	1.33	1.44	1.52	0.84	0.81	3.62	1.62
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.17	106.03	107.51	100.37	102.47	102.59	106.48	105.99	105.87	102.06	101.42	101.44	102.10	104.09
28-Oct-22	GW Level (m bgs)	1.01	0.95	0.92	N/A	0.46	1.98	0.28	1.35	1.43	1.52	0.61	0.40	3.65	1.64
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.28	106.25	107.49	N/A	102.61	102.72	106.51	105.97	105.88	102.06	101.64	101.85	102.07	104.06
04-Apr-23	GW Level (m bgs)	0.09	0.33	0.52	1.77	-0.03	1.20	0.14	0.83	0.94	0.59	0.11	0.00	3.08	0.90
	GW Elevation (m asl)	104.21	106.87	107.89	101.21	103.10	103.51	106.64	106.48	106.38	102.99	102.15	102.25	102.64	104.80
31-May-23	GW Level (m bgs)	0.97	0.87	0.84	2.72	0.74	2.22	0.29	1.35	1.46	1.31	0.93	0.99	3.48	1.56
	GW Elevation (m asl)	103.33	106.32	107.57	100.26	102.34	102.49	106.49	105.96	105.86	102.27	101.32	101.26	102.23	104.14

Table 2 - Single Well Response Test Results Summary

Test Hole ID	Ground Surface Elevation (m asl)	Screened Interval (m bgs)	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)	Test Type	Screened Media
BH1-22	107.31	7.5 - 9.0	1.2×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.5×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			1.6×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			1.9×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			1.5×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH2-22	103.58	7.5 - 9.0	8.9×10^{-6}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			9.1×10^{-6}	Rising Head	
BH3-22	102.25	7.5 - 9.0	6.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			6.6×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH3A-22	102.25	1.7 - 3.2	4.2×10^{-6}	Falling Head	Silty Sand to Sandy Silt & Glacial Till
			4.8×10^{-6}	Rising Head	
BH4-22	105.71	7.5 - 9.0	8.7×10^{-7}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			9.1×10^{-7}	Rising Head	
BH5-22	105.70	7.5 - 9.0	1.2×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			2.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.4×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			1.5×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
HA1-22	106.78	0.4 - 0.7	2.2×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Silty Sand
			8.8×10^{-6}	Rising Head	
BH1-21	104.29	2.8 - 5.8	1.4×10^{-4}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			1.1×10^{-4}	Rising Head	
BH2-21	107.19	2.6 - 5.6	4.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			4.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			3.9×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			4.1×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH3-21	108.41	2.7 - 5.7	3.0×10^{-6}	Falling Head	Bedrock
BH22A-21	102.98	7.2 - 10.2	4.3×10^{-7}	Falling Head	Bedrock
BH24-21	103.07	4.9 - 7.9	6.0×10^{-5}	Falling Head	Bedrock
			7.3×10^{-5}	Falling Head	
			5.8×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
			5.7×10^{-5}	Rising Head	
BH33-21	104.70	3.3 - 6.3	1.6×10^{-4}	Rising Head	Bedrock

Table 3 - Overburden Field Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity Results and Estimated Infiltration Rates

Test Completed Adjacent to Borehole ID	Infiltration Testing Elevation (m asl)	Material	K_{fs} (m/s)*	Unfactored Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)**
BH1-21	103.90	Brown Silty Sand	2.1×10^{-6}	56
	103.63	Brown Silty Sand	1.9×10^{-6}	56
BH2-21	106.95	Brown Silty Sand	6.4×10^{-6}	76
	106.65	Brown Silty Sand	5.3×10^{-7}	39
BH7-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand	1.1×10^{-6}	47
	106.44	Brown Silty Sand	1.6×10^{-6}	52
BH11-21	104.68	Brown Silty Sand	2.7×10^{-6}	60
	104.38	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.6×10^{-6}	52
BH15-21	102.70	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.1×10^{-7}	31
	102.48	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	$< 8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	≤ 13
BH17-21	106.74	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.9×10^{-6}	74
	106.44	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	4.1×10^{-6}	67
BH22-21	102.58	Brown Silty Sand	1.1×10^{-6}	47
	102.28	Brown Silty Sand	1.6×10^{-6}	52
BH23-21	102.33	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	5.3×10^{-7}	39
	101.70	Brown Silty Clay	$< 8.1 \times 10^{-9}$	≤ 13
BH26-21	102.74	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.1×10^{-7}	26
	102.44	Brown Silty Clay w/ Sand	1.1×10^{-7}	26
BH29-21	101.87	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.3×10^{-7}	39
	101.57	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	2.7×10^{-7}	33
BH31-21	103.19	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.1×10^{-6}	47
	102.89	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	1.4×10^{-7}	27
BH37-21	103.21	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.3×10^{-6}	72
	102.91	Brown Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	5.9×10^{-6}	74

*Field hydraulic conductivity (Kfs)

**The infiltration rates do not include a safety correction factor. Based on our testing results, a safety correction factor can range between 2.5 to ≥ 3.5 .

Table 4 - Horizontal Hydraulic Gradient Summary						
Well 'A'		Well 'B'				
Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Distance (m)	Hydraulic Gradient (m/m)*	Date
BH3-21	107.515	BH1-22	105.985	73	0.0208	October 11, 2022
BH3-21	107.515	BH5-22	104.085	131	0.0263	October 11, 2022
BH3-21	107.515	BH4-22	102.095	206	0.0263	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH2-21	106.03	197	-0.0002	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH1-21	103.17	442	0.0064	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH5-22	104.085	148	0.0128	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.985	BH2-22	102.06	447	0.0088	October 11, 2022
BH1A-22	105.87	BH3A-22	101.44	708	0.0063	October 11, 2022
BH2-21	106.03	BH1-21	103.17	296	0.0097	October 11, 2022
BH2-21	106.03	BH2-22	102.06	358	0.0111	October 11, 2022
BH5-22	104.085	BH4-22	102.095	137	0.0145	October 11, 2022
BH5-22	104.085	BH2-22	102.06	330	0.0061	October 11, 2022
BH2-22	102.06	BH3-22	101.415	397	0.0016	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH3-22	101.415	485	0.0024	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH22A-21	100.37	549	0.0040	October 11, 2022
BH33-21	102.585	BH24-21	102.47	307	0.0004	October 11, 2022
BH3-22	101.415	BH22A-21	100.37	296	0.0035	October 11, 2022
BH24-21	102.47	BH22A-21	100.37	524	0.0040	October 11, 2022
BH4-22	102.095	BH3-22	101.415	584	0.0012	October 11, 2022
BH4-22	102.095	BH33-21	102.585	404	-0.0012	October 11, 2022

*Hydraulic Gradient = (GW Elevation Well 'A' - GW Elevation Well 'B') / Distance

Table 4b - Horizontal Hydraulic Gradient Summary						
Well 'A'		Well 'B'				
Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Distance (m)	Hydraulic Gradient (m/m)*	Date
BH3-21	107.57	BH1-22	105.96	73	0.0219	May 30, 2023
BH3-21	107.57	BH5-22	104.14	131	0.0263	May 30, 2023
BH3-21	107.57	BH4-22	102.23	206	0.0259	May 30, 2023
BH1-22	105.96	BH2-21	106.32	197	-0.0018	May 30, 2023
BH1-22	105.96	BH1-21	103.325	442	0.0060	May 30, 2023
BH1-22	105.96	BH5-22	104.14	148	0.0123	May 30, 2023
BH1-22	105.96	BH2-22	102.27	447	0.0082	May 30, 2023
BH1A-22	105.855	BH3A-22	101.26	708	0.0065	May 30, 2023
BH2-21	106.32	BH1-21	103.325	296	0.0101	May 30, 2023
BH2-21	106.32	BH2-22	102.27	358	0.0113	May 30, 2023
BH5-22	104.14	BH4-22	102.23	137	0.0139	May 30, 2023
BH5-22	104.14	BH2-22	102.27	330	0.0057	May 30, 2023
BH2-22	102.27	BH3-22	101.32	397	0.0024	May 30, 2023
BH33-21	102.485	BH3-22	101.32	485	0.0024	May 30, 2023
BH33-21	102.485	BH22A-21	100.26	549	0.0041	May 30, 2023
BH33-21	102.485	BH24-21	102.335	307	0.0005	May 30, 2023
BH3-22	101.32	BH22A-21	100.26	296	0.0036	May 30, 2023
BH24-21	102.335	BH22A-21	100.26	524	0.0040	May 30, 2023
BH4-22	102.23	BH3-22	101.32	584	0.0016	May 30, 2023
BH4-22	102.23	BH33-21	102.485	404	-0.0006	May 30, 2023

*Hydraulic Gradient = (GW Elevation Well 'A' - GW Elevation Well 'B') / Distance

Table 5 - Vertical Hydraulic Gradient Summary							
Well 'A'			Well 'B'				
Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well Depth (m)	Well ID	GW Elevation (m asl)	Well Depth (m)	Hydraulic Gradient (m/m)*	Date
BH1-22	105.985	98.29	BH1A-22	105.87	105.69	-0.0155	October 11, 2022
BH3-22	101.415	93.13	BH3A-22	101.44	99.1	0.0042	October 11, 2022
BH1-22	105.965	98.29	BH1A-22	105.88	105.69	-0.0115	October 28, 2022
BH3-22	101.64	93.13	BH3A-22	101.85	99.1	0.0352	October 28, 2022
BH1-22	105.96	98.29	BH1A-22	105.855	105.69	-0.0142	May 30, 2023
BH3-22	101.32	93.13	BH3A-22	101.26	99.1	-0.0101	May 30, 2023

*Hydraulic Gradient = (GW Elevation Well 'A' - GW Elevation Well 'B') / (Well Depth Well 'A' - Well Depth Well 'B')

FIGURES

FIGURE 1: BH1-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 2: BH2-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 3: BH3-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 4: BH22-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 5: BH24-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 6: BH33-21 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 7: BH1-22 & BH1A-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 8: BH2-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 9: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 10: BH4-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 11: BH5-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 12: HA1-22 - MONITORING WELL WATER ELEVATIONS

FIGURE 13: BH1-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS

FIGURE 14: BH2-21 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS

FIGURE 15: BH5-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS

FIGURE 16: BH1A-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ RESULTS


Figure 1: BH1-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 2: BH2-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 3: BH3-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 4: BH22A-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 5: BH24-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 6: BH33-21 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 7: BH1-22 & BH1A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 8: BH2-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 9: BH3-22 & BH3A-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 10: BH4-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 11: BH5-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 12: HA1-22 - Monitoring Well Water Elevations


Figure 13: BH1-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results


Figure 14: BH2-21 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results



Figure 15: BH5-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

Figure 16: BH1A-22 $\delta^2\text{H}/\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Results

APPENDIX 1

PATERSON - TERMS OF REFERENCE

Geotechnical
Engineering

Environmental
Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological
Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

Noise and Vibration
Studies

Terms of Reference – Geotechnical and Hydrogeological Investigation

Proposed Residential Development
5993, 6030 & 6115 Flewellyn Road
& 6070 Fernbank Road - Ottawa

Prepared For

Caivan Communities

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers
154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario
Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381
Fax: (613) 226-6344
www.patersongroup.ca

March 15, 2022

Report: PG5570-3 – REV.02

Table of Contents

	PAGE
1.0 Background	1
1.1 Study Area	1
2.0 Objectives.....	1
3.0 Existing Studies.....	1
4.0 Work Plan.....	2
4.1 Geotechnical Existing Information.....	2
4.2 Hydrogeological Investigation	3
5.0 Recommendations and Deliverables.....	4

Appendices

Appendix 1	Figure 1 - Key Plan PG5570-1 – Proposed Monitoring Well Mark-up of Testhole Location Plan
-------------------	---

1.0 Background

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Caivan Communities to prepare a Terms of Reference document for the geotechnical and hydrogeological investigations for the proposed residential development to be located at 5993, 6030, 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6070 Fernbank Road in the City of Ottawa (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 1 of this report).

1.1 Study Area

The proposed limits of the study area presented in Figure 1 are contained within the Flowing Creek catchment of the Jock River Subwatershed. This area falls under the purview of the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA).

The Faulkner Drain extends southeast along the east bounds of 6070 Fernbank Road and 6035 Flewellyn Road until it reaches Flewellyn Road. The Drain continues northeast along Flewellyn Road until it turns southeast to follow Shea Road.

2.0 Objectives

The current objective of the existing and proposed reports are to provide reviewing agencies with the available information pertaining to the proposed study area to allow review of planning recommendations that are consistent with their objectives and policies.

The proposed development will be reviewed in the context of Official Plan Policy 4.9.4 and will consider the protection of natural resources.

3.0 Existing Studies

Previous studies that are relevant to the proposed study area consist of the following:

- ☐ The Jock River – Reach Two Subwatershed – Phase 1 Report (Marshall Macklin Monaghan Limited and WESA, 2009)
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG5570-2 – Flewellyn Road – (January 2022)
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2802-1 – Maguire Lands – Hartsmere Drive (November 2012) – As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2853-1 – Proposed Residential Development – Stittsville Main Street (January 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.

- ☐ Paterson Geotechnical Report PG2983-1 – Faulkner Lands – Fernbank Road at Main Street (July 2013) - As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Houle Chevrier Engineering – Technical Memorandum - Hydrogeological Study – (D007-16-13-0033) – Area 6, Stittsville South (April 2015) – As part of D07-16-13-0033.
- ☐ Houle Chevrier Engineering – Report on Private Well Monitoring Program Stittsville South Residential Development and Stormwater Management Pond – (November 2015) – As part of D07-16-15-0008.

Further studies may be identified that are relevant to the proposed development.

4.0 Work Plan

The work plan for the hydrogeological investigation will be based on the requirements of the Policy Development and Urban Design Branch at the City of Ottawa and the RVCA. Fotenn Planning has completed the memo on New Urban Expansion Development for Caivan Communities at the subject site and dated January 27, 2022. The memo provides an outline for the Concept Plan and development approvals process for the subject site. Through the development process there will be Concept Plan options produced that will be evaluated internally within the team of consultants based on current guidelines. Through the various iterations and review, an ultimate development plan will be created through the proposed planning and approval process per the Official Plan policies and objectives.

4.1 Geotechnical Existing Information

The existing geotechnical study (PG5570-2 – Geotechnical Investigation dated January 20, 2022) provides a characterization of the local physiography and geology of the subject area. The study results will be used to provide design recommendations for the proposed development.

The geotechnical field program consisted of the following:

- ☐ Test pits to delineate the surficial overburden material in three dimensions through multiple samples of the various strata retained for laboratory analyses.
- ☐ Boreholes were augered to the bedrock surface, where required, to provide the overburden soil profile and soil characterization.
- ☐ Boreholes were cored into the bedrock at select locations.

The geotechnical reporting consists of the following:

- ☐ The geotechnical report addresses geotechnical conditions for the proposed study area and construction recommendations relevant to the site conditions.
- ☐ Detailed test hole logs for all exploratory holes.

Test holes were distributed in compliance with the “Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa” (latest revision). See attached PG5570-1 – Proposed Monitoring Well Mark-up of Testhole Location Plan for the existing testhole coverage completed with supplemental work noted.

4.2 Hydrogeological Investigation

The hydrogeological investigation will collect and analyze general information to support the water balance, infrastructure design and constraints, and potential effects on nearby wells due to the proposed development.

The hydrogeological field program will be carried out to provide supplemental information to the geotechnical program.

- ☐ Additional monitoring wells will be installed during the field program for hydrogeological testing as shown on the attached PG5570-1 plan mark-up.
- ☐ Slug testing to determine hydraulic conductivities and aquifer characteristics within the formations/horizons deemed necessary and sieve analyses completed under the existing geotechnical report.
- ☐ Groundwater level measurement and recording of seasonal fluctuations.
- ☐ Permeameter testing will be completed at locations across the subject site within the overburden accessible from the existing ground surface as per best practices.

Evaluation of the hydrogeological conditions will consist of an evaluation of the groundwater resources encountered. The following will be provided:

- ☐ Delineation and characterization of the encountered aquifers.
- ☐ Assess the vulnerability of the aquifers.
- ☐ Calculation of the zone of influence for potential dewatering.
- ☐ Water supply wells will be located using MECP well record mapping and in the field, where required. Assess the potential risk of impacts to the water wells from the proposed development.
- ☐ Review requirements of a monitoring program for existing drinking water wells.

The analysis and recommendations for all aspects of the development will be performed in conjunction with the experts within the other disciplines to ensure an integrated approach to the development of the site. As previously mentioned, the results will be used in support of the water budget analysis. The report will include the preceding information documented, in addition to a description of the groundwater flow systems and connections.

5.0 Recommendations and Deliverables

Recommendations will be provided based upon the available information and in conjunction with the experts within other disciplines to ensure an integrated and cohesive approach to the development of the site.

The deliverables for the project will consist of the hydrogeological reporting, supplemental to the existing geotechnical information, to detail the existing site conditions and the information as set out in Section 4.1 and 4.2.

Paterson Group Inc.



Michael S. Killam, P.Eng.



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng

Report Distribution:


- ☐ Caivan Communities (Digital copy)
- ☐ Paterson Group (Digital copy)


APPENDIX 1

FIGURE 1 – KEY PLAN

PG5570-1 – PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL PROGRAM MARK-UP - TESTHOLE LOCATION PLAN

Figure 1 - Key Plan





LEGEND:

- BOREHOLE LOCATION
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION
- TEST PIT LOCATION
- 104.29 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- [102.10] BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- (102.39) PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING / EXCAVATION ELEVATION (m)

BASE PLAN PROVIDED BY KILGOUR & ASSOCIATES.
GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.
SCALE: 1:4000

patersongroup
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

1	BH 1-21 - BH 38-21 ADDED TO PLAN	01/20/2022	OC
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN COMMUNITIES
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5993, 6070 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD
ONTARIO

OTTAWA,
Title:

TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

Scale:	1:4000	Date:	01/2022
Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PG5570-2
Checked by:	OC	Dwg. No.:	PG5570-1
Approved by:	DJG	Revision No.:	1

APPENDIX 2

PATERSON - SOIL AND TEST DATA SHEETS

PG5570-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

PATERSON - GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS RESULTS

PATERSON - SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 1-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30					0	107.31					
Loose to compact, brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel	0.60	AU	1					○				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	2	45	17	1	106.31	○				
		SS	3	14	65			○				
	2.34					2	105.31					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	89							
		RC	2	100	100							
		RC	3	100	100							
		RC	4	98	98							
		RC	5	100	100							
End of Borehole	9.02					9	98.31					
(GWL @ 1.33m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation
5993, 6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 1A-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
OVERBURDEN						0	107.31					
						1	106.31					
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 1.62m depth												
(GWL @ 1.44m - Oct. 11, 2022)												

1.62

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 2-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30					0	103.58					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT , trace clay and gravel	0.76	AU	1									
BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	77	1	102.58					
		RC	2	100	97	2	101.58					
		RC	3	100	100	3	100.58					
		RC	4	100	100	4	99.58					
		RC	5	100	97	5	98.58					
		RC	6	100	100	6	95.58					
End of Borehole	9.02					9	94.58					
(GWL @ 1.52m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 29, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 3-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	102.25					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	58	19	1	101.25					
		SS	3	58	17	2	100.25					
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand to sandy silt with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		SS	4	67	3							
		SS	5	67	50+	3	99.25					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with doloston		RC	1	100	96	4	98.25					
		RC	2	100	98	5	97.25					
		RC	3	100	100	6	96.25					
		RC	4	100	100	7	95.25					
		RC				8	94.25					
		RC				9	93.25					
		RC										
End of Borehole	9.12											
(GWL @ 0.84m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 29, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 3A-22

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 29, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 4-22

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE September 30, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 5-22

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	105.70					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	79	21	1	104.70					
		SS	3	71	29							
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand to sandy silt, trace gravel	1.96					2	103.70					
	2.29	SS	4	100	50+							
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone		RC	1	100	100							
						3	102.70					
		RC	2	100	100							
						4	101.70					
						5	100.70					
		RC	3	100	100							
						6	99.70					
		RC	4	100	100							
						7	98.70					
		RC	5	100	100							
End of Borehole	8.99											
(GWL @ 1.62m - Oct. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Hand Auger

DATE September 28, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
HA 1-22

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 14, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 1-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 3-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 5-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 7-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 8-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH 9-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 15, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH10-21

[illegible]

SOIL DESCRIPTION		STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
			TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
									20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE													
TOPSOIL	0.33						0	104.98					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND	0.66		AU	1									
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT	1.12		SS	2	67	24	1	103.98					
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders			SS	3	67	32	2	102.98					
	2.54		SS	4	80	50+							
End of Borehole													
Practical refusal to augering at 2.54m depth													
(GWL @ 1.32m - Jan. 11, 2022)													
										</			

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH12-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.36					0	104.05					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND	0.69	AU	1									
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT	1.45	SS	2	67	13	1	103.05					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	2.26	SS	3	17	36	2	102.05					
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 2.26m depth												
(GWL @ 1.58m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

Shear Strength (kPa)
▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH13-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.36					0	103.54					
Loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	25	6	1	102.54					
	1.60	SS	3	0	50+							
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 1.60m depth												
(GWL @ 1.44m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH14-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.36					0	103.28					
Loose, brown SILTY SAND	0.69	AU	1									
Loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT	1.45	SS	2	67	6	1	102.28					
GLACIAL TILL: Loose to dense, brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders	2.34	SS	3	25	7							
End of Borehole		SS	4	0	50+	2	101.28					
Practical refusal to augering at 2.34m depth												
(GWL @ 1.37m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH15-21

[illegible]

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL 0.25	[Pattern]					0	104.19					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel 0.69	[Pattern] AU	AU	1									
GLACIAL TILL: Compact, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders 1.50	[Pattern] SS	SS	2		22	1	103.19					
End of Borehole												
Practical refusal to augering at 1.50m depth (GWL @ 1.32m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH17-21

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH18-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30					0	105.06					
Compact, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT - grey by 2.0m depth		AU	1									
		SS	2	75	10	1	104.06					
		SS	3	100	19	2	103.06					
		SS	4		50+							
End of Borehole	2.67											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.67m depth (GWL @ 1.40m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 16, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH19-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 17, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH21-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 10, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH22A-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.20					0	102.98					
Loose, brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel	0.69	AU	1									
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	2	100	22	1	101.98					
		SS	3	92	29	2	100.98					
		SS	4	83	46	3	99.98					
		SS	5	50	50+	4	98.98					
		RC	1	77		5	97.98					
		RC	2	14		6	96.98					
		RC	3	100	94	7	95.98					
		RC	4	100	100	8	94.98					
		RC	5	100	100	9	93.98					
						10	92.98					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey dolostone interbedded with grey limestone												
End of Borehole	10.21											
(GWL @ 2.49m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------











REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 20, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH23-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
								20	40	60	80		
GROUND SURFACE													
TOPSOIL ----- 0.28						0	102.38						
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY , some sand		AU	1										
----- 1.12		SS	2	25	32	1	101.38						
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay													
----- 1.83		SS	3	55									
End of Borehole													
Practical refusal to augering at 1.83m depth													
(Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)													

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Monitoring Well Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.30					0	103.07					
Loose to dense, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		AU	1									
		SS	2	58	8	1	102.07					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders - boulders cored from 2.46 to 4.42m depth	1.83	SS	3	75	32	2	101.07					
		SS	4	50	50+							
		RC	1	100		3	100.07					
		RC	2	19		4	99.07					
	4.42											
BEDROCK: Good to excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone - 15mm thick mud seam at 5.25m depth		RC	3	100	81	5	98.07					
		RC	4	100	100	6	97.07					
		RC	5	100	100	7	96.07					
End of Borehole (GWL @ 0.67m - Jan. 11, 2022)	7.92											

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH25-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH26-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.25					0	103.04					
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY , some sand	0.69	AU	1									
		SS	2	40	50+	1	102.04					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		SS	3	61	33	2	101.04					
End of Borehole	2.16											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.16m depth												
(GWL @ 0.78m - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH27-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH28-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH29-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.28					0	102.31					
Loose to very loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT , trace clay - grey by 1.9m depth - intermittent layers of grey silty clay by 3.0m depth		AU	1									
		SS	2	50	9	1	101.31					
		SS	3	67	8	2	100.31					
		SS	4	67	4							
		SS	5	58	2	3	99.31					
End of Borehole	3.96	SS	6	67								
Practical refusal to augering at 3.96m depth (Piezometer damaged - Jan. 11, 2022)												

20406080100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH30-21

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE December 21, 2021

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH31-21

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.36					0	103.43					
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT , trace clay - grey by 3.2m depth		AU	1									
		SS	2	50	14	1	102.43					
		SS	3	50	22	2	101.43					
		SS	4	42	9							
		SS	5	58	5	3	100.43					
		SS	6	42	12	4	99.43					
	4.72					5	98.43					
GLACIAL TILL: Dense, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		SS	7	58	37							
		SS	8		58							
	6.12					6	97.43					
End of Borehole		SS	9	0	50+							
Practical refusal to augering at 6.12m depth (GWL @ 1.27m - Jan. 11, 2022)												
								20 40 60 80 100				
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Prop. Residential Development - 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH33-21

DATE December 22, 2021

[illegible]

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE						0	102.65					
TOPSOIL	0.25	SS AU	5	17	8							
Compact to loose, brown SILTY SAND to SANDY SILT		SS	2	42	10	1	101.65					
		SS	3	25	9	2	100.65					
	2.21	SS	4	17	2	3	99.65					
GLACIAL TILL: Very loose to loose, grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, trace clay		RC	1	31		4	98.65					
		RC	2	100	100	5	97.65					
	5.21	RC	3	100	100	6	96.65					
BEDROCK: Excellent quality, grey limestone interbedded with dolostone												
End of Borehole	6.61											

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 7, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH35-21

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Track-Mount Power Auger

DATE January 7, 2022

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
BH36-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------




FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 1

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1			0	105.94					
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel and some clay		G	2					○				
BEDROCK Weathered interbedded limestone		G	3									
End of Test Pit												
Practical refusal to excavation at 0.44m depth												
(TP dry upon completion)												

20 40 60 80 100

Shear Strength (kPa)

▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 2

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.21	G	1			0	105.06					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel	0.92	G	2									
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	1.64	G	3			1	104.06					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.64m depth (TP dry upon completion)												
	</											

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 3

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1			0	102.10					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace sea shells		G	2									
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3			1	101.10					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.61m depth (TP dry upon completion)												

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation
6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 4

DATE November 20, 2020

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 5

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE						0	108.36	20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.22	G	1									
Brown SILTY SAND		G	2			1	107.36					
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand, some gravel, cobble, and boulder	1.16	G	3									
End of Test Pit	1.46											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.46m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.28m - Nov 20, 2020)												
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								20	40	60	80	100
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation
6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
TP 6

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
								20	40	60	80		
GROUND SURFACE						0	107.91						
TOPSOIL		G	1										
0.27													
Brown SILTY SAND , trace cobble, boulders and seashells		G	2			1	106.91						
		G	3										
1.70													
BEDROCK: Weathered interbedded limestone		G	4			2	105.91						
2.89													
End of Test Pit													
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.89m depth													
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.70m - Nov 20, 2020)													

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 7

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.22	G	1			0	106.31					
Brown SILTY SAND, trace clay	0.81	G	2									
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3			1	105.31					
		G	4			2	104.31					
						3	103.31					
End of Test Pit	3.37											
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 3.37m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.24m - Nov 20, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 8

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.21	G	1			0	105.48					
		G	2									
						1	104.48					
		G	3									
	2.15					2	103.48					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 2.15m depth												
(TP dry upon completion)												

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. **TP 9**

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE November 20, 2020

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

**Geotechnical Investigation
6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario**

DATUM Geodetic

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. **TP 10**

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80		
TOPSOIL	0.17		G	1			0	103.62					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel and cobbles			G	2									
0.51													
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand, with gravel, trace cobble and boulders			G	3									
0.76													
End of Test Pit													
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 0.76m depth													
(Groundwater infiltration at 0.51m - Dec 10, 2020)													

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation
6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO.
PG5570

HOLE NO.
TP 11

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
								20	40	60	80	
GROUND SURFACE												
TOPSOIL	0.15	G	1			0	103.01					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel		G	2									
		G	3									
	0.89											
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand, with gravel, cobbles, and boulders		G	1			1	102.01					
	1.49											
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.49m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 0.89m - Dec 10, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 12

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

[illegible]

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 13

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE						0	104.30	20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1									
Brown SILTY SAND , trace organics		G	2									
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3									
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 0.91m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 0.61m - Dec 10, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. **TP 14**

[illegible]

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation
6070 and 6115 Flewellyn Road
Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill


DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO.

PG5570

HOLE NO.

TP 15



DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 16

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL		G	1			0	104.62					
	0.35											
		G	2									
						1	103.62					
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel												
						2	102.62					
	2.34											
GLACIAL TILL : Grey silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders.		G	3									
	3.09					3	101.62					
End of Test Pit												
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 3.09m depth												
(Groundwater infiltration at 2.33m - Dec 10, 2020)												
								20	40	60	80	100
								Shear Strength (kPa)				
								▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded				

DATUM Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

FILE NO. PG5570

HOLE NO. TP 17

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE										0	103.90	20	40
TOPSOIL		G	1										
0.33													
Brown SILTY SAND , trace gravel		G	2										
1													
1.37													
GLACIAL TILL : Brown silty sand, with gravel cobbles and boulders		G	3										
1.78													
End of Test Pit													
TP terminated on inferred bedrock surface at 1.78m depth													
(Groundwater infiltration at 1.37m - Dec 10, 2020)													
								</					

DATUM	Geodetic
-------	----------

FILE NO. PG5570

REMARKS

HOLE NO. TP 18

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

DATE December 10, 2020

[illegible]

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

Compactness Condition	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their “sensitivity”. The sensitivity, S_t , is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

Low Sensitivity:	$S_t < 2$
Medium Sensitivity:	$2 < S_t < 4$
Sensitive:	$4 < S_t < 8$
Extra Sensitive:	$8 < S_t < 16$
Quick Clay:	$S_t > 16$

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called “mechanical breaks”) are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

RQD %	ROCK QUALITY
90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler
G	-	"Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WC%	-	Natural water content or water content of sample, %
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
PL	-	Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)
PI	-	Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL)
Dxx	-	Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer
Cc	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D_{30})^2 / (D_{10} \times D_{60})$
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D_{60} / D_{10}

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have: $1 < Cc < 3$ and $Cu > 4$

Well-graded sands have: $1 < Cc < 3$ and $Cu > 6$

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay
(more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST


p'_o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p'_c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'_c)
Cc	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'_c)
OC Ratio		Overconsolidation ratio = p'_c / p'_o
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

PERMEABILITY TEST


k	-	Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.
---	---	--

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)


STRATA PLOT




Topsoil




Asphalt




Fill




Peat




Sand




Silty Sand




Silt



Sandy Silt



Clay




Silty Clay




Clayey Silty Sand



Glacial Till




Shale




Bedrock


MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION

MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION




PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION





 <p>PATERSON GROUP 9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381</p>	6	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	03/07/2024	KP	<p>CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.</p> <p>GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION</p> <p>PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT</p> <p>OTTAWA, 5993 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD & 6030 & 6070 FERNBANK ROAD ONTARIO</p> <p>Title:</p> <p>TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN</p>	Scale:	1:4000	Date:	01/2022
	5	UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	28/08/2023	KP		Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PG5570-2, REVISION 4
	4	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	KP		Checked by:	KP	Dwg. No.:	PG5570-1
	3	UPDATED SITE BOUNDARY	13/02/2023	KP		Approved by:	DJG	Revision No.:	6
	2	BH 1-22 - BH 5-22 & HA1-22 ADDED TO PLAN	10/03/2022	KP					
	NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL					

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31575
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH4-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel		Cobble
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	

Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		0.40	2.5
	26.5	0.025	0.01	0.01	6.5	24.2			69.3		

Comments:



REVIEWED BY:

Curtis Beadow


Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.

[Signature]

[Signature]

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136		
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570		
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31575		
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22		
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22		
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH4-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22		
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.		
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					275.9		
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					93.5		
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5	0.0	0.0	100.0				
19	17.7	6.4	93.6				
16	17.7	6.4	93.6				
13.2	17.7	6.4	93.6				
9.5	17.7	6.4	93.6				
6.7	17.7	6.4	93.6				
4.75	17.9	6.5	93.5				
2.36	21.2	7.7	92.3				
1.18	26.5	9.6	90.4				
0.6	36.0	13.0	87.0				
0.3	51.9	18.8	81.2				
0.15	68.4	24.8	75.2				
0.075	84.7	30.7	69.3				
PAN	93.3						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.21	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31576
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH11-21; SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	5'0" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel		Cobble
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	

Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)				0.87	24.2
	26.5	0.29	0.055	0.012	14.4	50.1				35.5	

Comments:



REVIEWED BY:

Curtis Beadow


Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.



[Signature]



[Signature]

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136		
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570		
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31576		
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22		
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22		
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH11-21; SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22		
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 5'0" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.		
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					503.6		
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					339.5		
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5	0.0	0.0	100.0				
19	29.1	5.8	94.2				
16	39.2	7.8	92.2				
13.2	44.3	8.8	91.2				
9.5	51.6	10.2	89.8				
6.7	63.0	12.5	87.5				
4.75	72.5	14.4	85.6				
2.36	99.3	19.7	80.3				
1.18	125.1	24.8	75.2				
0.6	153.1	30.4	69.6				
0.3	199.7	39.7	60.3				
0.15	263.4	52.3	47.7				
0.075	325.0	64.5	35.5				
PAN	339.3						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.06	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31577
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH14-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay		Sand			Gravel			Cobble			
		Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine		Coarse				
Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
										0.70	76.7
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)		Silt (%)		Clay (%)	
	26.5	1.15	0.11	0.015	25.9	48.9		25.2			
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.					
											

patersongroup consulting engineers						SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan			DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -			SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31577	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road			INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
			PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21			SOURCE LOCATION: BH14-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton			SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH						553.4	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH						428.6	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5	0.0	0.0	100.0				
19	19.1	3.5	96.5				
16	36.0	6.5	93.5				
13.2	68.2	12.3	87.7				
9.5	91.4	16.5	83.5				
6.7	118.6	21.4	78.6				
4.75	143.3	25.9	74.1				
2.36	181.6	32.8	67.2				
1.18	215.4	38.9	61.1				
0.6	250.3	45.2	54.8				
0.3	301.8	54.5	45.5				
0.15	362.7	65.5	34.5				
0.075	414.2	74.8	25.2				
PAN	428.2						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.09	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31578
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH19-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel		Cobble
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	

Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		0.83	1.2
	9.5	0.012	0.01	0.01	0.1	13.8			86.1		

Comments:



REVIEWED BY:

Curtis Beadow


Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.

[Signature]



[Signature]

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31578	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH19-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					397.5	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					69.5	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5						
19						
16						
13.2						
9.5	0.0	0.0	100.0			
6.7	0.5	0.1	99.9			
4.75	0.5	0.1	99.9			
2.36	1.1	0.3	99.7			
1.18	2.5	0.6	99.4			
0.6	8.5	2.1	97.9			
0.3	20.8	5.2	94.8			
0.15	33.5	8.4	91.6			
0.075	55.3	13.9	86.1			
PAN	69.3					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.29	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31579
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH24-21; SS2 + SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	2'6" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.





Identification		Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
		D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
		19	0.098	0.055	0.028	4.9	46.3	48.8		1.10	3.5
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:		Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.				

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31579	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH24-21; SS2 + SS3			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 2'6" to 7'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					421.6	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					278.2	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5						
19	0.0	0.0	100.0			
16	8.1	1.9	98.1			
13.2						
9.5	12.2	2.9	97.1			
6.7	16.8	4.0	96.0			
4.75	20.8	4.9	95.1			
2.36	28.7	6.8	93.2			
1.18	36.7	8.7	91.3			
0.6	47.6	11.3	88.7			
0.3	67.5	16.0	84.0			
0.15	102.5	24.3	75.7			
0.075	216.0	51.2	48.8			
PAN	278.2					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.00	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						


CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	GLACIAL TILL	LAB NO:	31580
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH35-22; SS4 + SS5	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	7'6" to 12'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Identification		Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
		D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	17.82	1100.0
		37.5	16.5	2.1	0.015	61.0	25.5	13.5			
Comments:											
REVIEWED BY:		Curtis Beadow					Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.				

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31580	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
		PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH35-22; SS4 + SS5			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 7'6" to 12'0"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH					470.5	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH					411.0	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5	0.0	0.0	100.0			
26.5	42.6	9.1	90.9			
19	131.9	28.0	72.0			
16	175.4	37.3	62.7			
13.2	208.2	44.3	55.7			
9.5	243.2	51.7	48.3			
6.7	264.7	56.3	43.7			
4.75	286.9	61.0	39.0			
2.36	323.5	68.8	31.2			
1.18	349.0	74.2	25.8			
0.6	365.3	77.6	22.4			
0.3	380.1	80.8	19.2			
0.15	393.9	83.7	16.3			
0.075	406.9	86.5	13.5			
PAN	410.9					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.02	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO. RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						

CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31581
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH37-22; SS3	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	5'7" to 7'0"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Silt and Clay	Sand			Gravel		Cobble
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse	

Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		0.65	2.4
	1.18	0.13	0.068	0.055	0.0	64.2			35.8		

Comments:



REVIEWED BY:

Curtis Beadow


Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.

[Signature]

[Signature]

patersongroup consulting engineers					SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan		DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate		FILE NO.: PG5570		
CONTRACT NO.: -		SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay		LAB NO.: 31581		
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road		INTENDED USE: -		DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22		
		PIT OR QUARRY: -		DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22		
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21		SOURCE LOCATION: BH37-22; SS3		DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22		
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton		SAMPLE LOCATION: 5'7" to 7'0"		TESTED BY: D.K.		
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH				354.8		
WEIGHT AFTER WASH				256.6		
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK
150						
106						
75						
63						
53						
37.5						
26.5						
19						
16						
13.2						
9.5						
6.7						
4.75						
2.36						
1.18	0.0	0.0	100.0			
0.6	0.6	0.2	99.8			
0.3	9.3	2.6	97.4			
0.15	96.8	27.3	72.7			
0.075	227.7	64.2	35.8			
PAN	256.4					
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.08	0.3% max.		REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS				RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.		
						



CLIENT:	Caivan	DESCRIPTION:	Fine Aggregate	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION:	SILTY SAND/SANDY SILT	LAB NO:	31582
PROJECT:	Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road	INTENDED USE:	-	DATE RECEIVED:	10-Jan-22
		PIT OR QUARRY:	-	DATE TESTED:	11-Jan-22
DATE SAMPLED:	15/16/17-DEC-21	SOURCE LOCATION:	BH38-22; SS3 + SS4	DATE REPORTED:	14-Jan-22
SAMPLED BY:	A. Emmerton	SAMPLE LOCATION:	5'0" to 9'6"	TESTED BY:	D.K.



Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)		Silt (%)		Clay (%)	
	4.75	0.018	0.01	0.01	0.0	21.0		79.0			

Comments:	
-----------	--


REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow	Joe Fosyth, P. Eng.
	<i>[Signature]</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>

patersongroup consulting engineers						SIEVE ANALYSIS ASTM C136	
CLIENT: Caivan			DESCRIPTION: Fine Aggregate			FILE NO.: PG5570	
CONTRACT NO.: -			SPECIFICATION: Silty Clay			LAB NO.: 31582	
PROJECT: Geotechnical Investigation - 6115 Flewellyn Road			INTENDED USE: -			DATE REC'D: 10-Jan-22	
			PIT OR QUARRY: -			DATE TESTED: 11-Jan-22	
DATE SAMPLED: 15/16/17-DEC-21			SOURCE LOCATION: BH38-22; SS3 + SS4			DATE REP'D: 14-Jan-22	
SAMPLED BY: A. Emmerton			SAMPLE LOCATION: 5'0" to 9'6"			TESTED BY: D.K.	
WEIGHT BEFORE WASH						299.7	
WEIGHT AFTER WASH						88.6	
SIEVE SIZE (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING	LOWER SPEC	UPPER SPEC	REMARK	
150							
106							
75							
63							
53							
37.5							
26.5							
19							
16							
13.2							
9.5							
6.7							
4.75	0.0	0.0	100.0				
2.36	0.2	0.1	99.9				
1.18	1.1	0.4	99.6				
0.6	4.5	1.5	98.5				
0.3	13.4	4.5	95.5				
0.15	25.4	8.5	91.5				
0.075	63.0	21.0	79.0				
PAN	88.5						
SIEVE CHECK FINE		0.11	0.3% max.			REFERENCE MATERIAL	
OTHER TESTS					RESULT	LAB NO.	RESULT
REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow			Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			
							



SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	2'6" to 4'6"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH1-22 SS2	LAB NO:	39280
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd		GLACIAL TILL	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
				DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE REPORTED:	20-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	9.6					
					Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
					18.3	47.9	31.2	2.5		
Comments:										
Curtis Beadow					Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.					
REVIEWED BY:										

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	2'6" to 4'6"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH1-22 SS2	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39280	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	20-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
525.7		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	49.72	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	28.95	AIR DRY	528.40
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	525.70
		CORRECTED	0.994

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5	0	0.0	100.0
19	52.1	9.9	90.1
13.2	62.5	11.9	88.1
9.5	69.8	13.3	86.7
4.75	96.3	18.3	81.7
2.0	120.1	22.8	77.2
Pan	404.8		
0.850	3.27	27.9	72.1
0.425	7.64	34.6	65.4
0.250	13.36	43.5	56.5
0.106	24.66	60.9	39.1
0.075	28.13	66.3	33.7
Pan	28.95		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA


ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:01	20.0	6.0	23.0	0.0467	27.8	21.5
2	6:02	17.0	6.0	23.0	0.0336	21.9	16.9
5	6:05	14.5	6.0	23.0	0.0216	16.9	13.0
15	6:15	13.0	6.0	23.0	0.0126	13.9	10.7
30	6:30	12.0	6.0	23.0	0.0090	11.9	9.2
60	7:00	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0064	7.9	6.1
250	10:10	8.0	6.0	23.0	0.0032	4.0	3.1
1440	6:00	8.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	4.0	3.1

Moisture = 9.60%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.
		

**SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136**

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH3 -22 SS4	LAB NO:	39281
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT		DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			DATE REPORTED:	20-Oct-22
				TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
					0.0	7.5	87.0	5.5		

Comments:

REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow				Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.			

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH4-22 SS4	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39281	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	20-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
130.5		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	49.75	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	3.95	AIR DRY	130.90
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	130.50
		CORRECTED	0.995

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5			
19			
13.2			
9.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
4.75	0.0	0.0	100.0
2.0	0.1	0.1	99.9
Pan	130.4		
0.850	0.22	0.5	99.5
0.425	0.39	0.9	99.1
0.250	0.80	1.7	98.3
0.106	2.17	4.4	95.6
0.075	3.71	7.5	92.5
Pan	3.95		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA

ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:21	30.0	6.0	23.0	0.0435	47.7	47.6
2	6:22	26.0	6.0	23.0	0.0317	39.7	39.7
5	6:25	20.0	6.0	23.0	0.0209	27.8	27.8
15	6:35	14.0	6.0	23.0	0.0125	15.9	15.9
30	6:50	13.0	6.0	23.0	0.0089	13.9	13.9
60	7:20	12.0	6.0	23.0	0.0063	11.9	11.9
250	10:30	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0031	7.9	7.9
1440	6:20	8.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	4.0	4.0


Moisture = 22.91%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow		Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.	
				



SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH4 22 SS4	LAB NO:	39282
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd		GLACIAL TILL	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE TESTED:	20-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			DATE REPORTED:	21-Oct-22
				TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification				MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)		
					19.4	23.3	53.8	3.5		

Comments:

REVIEWED BY:	Curtis Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	7'6" to 9'6"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH5-22 SS4	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39282	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	21-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	20-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
563.6		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	49.61	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	12.87	AIR DRY	567.60
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	563.60
		CORRECTED	0.992

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5	0	0.0	100.0
19	60.1	10.7	89.3
13.2	77.4	13.7	86.3
9.5	94.2	16.7	83.3
4.75	109.4	19.4	80.6
2.0	130.8	23.2	76.8
Pan	432.8		
0.850	2.05	26.4	73.6
0.425	5.20	31.2	68.8
0.250	8.09	35.6	64.4
0.106	11.50	40.9	59.1
0.075	12.67	42.7	57.3
Pan	12.87		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA

ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:25	32.0	6.0	23.0	0.0428	51.8	39.8
2	6:26	30.0	6.0	23.0	0.0307	47.8	36.7
5	6:29	18.0	6.0	23.0	0.0211	23.9	18.4
15	6:39	16.0	6.0	23.0	0.0124	19.9	15.3
30	6:54	13.0	6.0	23.0	0.0089	13.9	10.7
60	7:24	11.0	6.0	23.0	0.0064	10.0	7.6
250	10:34	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0031	8.0	6.1
1440	6:24	7.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	2.0	1.5


Moisture = 15.10%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.
		



SIEVE ANALYSIS
ASTM C136

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	5'0" to 7'0"	FILE NO:	PG5570
CONTRACT NO.:		BH OR TP No.:	BH5 -22 SS3	LAB NO:	39283
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT W/ GRAVEL		DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
				DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22
DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22			DATE REPORTED:	20-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB			TESTED BY:	DK/CS



Identification	Soil Classification					MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc	Cu
	D100	D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)					
					3.3	25.1					

Comments:											
-----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Curtis Beadow						Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.					
REVIEWED BY:											

CLIENT:	Caivan	DEPTH:	5'0" to 7'0"	FILE NO.:	PG5570
PROJECT:	6115 Flewellyn Rd	BH OR TP No.:	BH6-22 SS3	DATE SAMPLED:	30-Sep-22
LAB No. :	39283	TESTED BY:	DK/CS	DATE RECEIVED:	6-Oct-22
SAMPLED BY:	KB	DATE REPT'D:	20-Oct-22	DATE TESTED:	18-Oct-22

SAMPLE INFORMATION

SAMPLE MASS		SPECIFIC GRAVITY	
131.1		2.700	
INITIAL WEIGHT	50.00	HYGROSCOPIC MOISTURE	
WEIGHT CORRECTED	53.01	TARE WEIGHT	50.00
WT. AFTER WASH BACK SIEVE	13.56	AIR DRY	126.50
SOLUTION CONCENTRATION	40 g/L	OVEN DRY	131.10
		CORRECTED	1.060

GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS

SIEVE DIAMETER (mm)	WEIGHT RETAINED (g)	PERCENT RETAINED	PERCENT PASSING
26.5	0	0.0	100.0
19	0.0	0.0	100.0
13.2	0.0	0.0	100.0
9.5	0.0	0.0	100.0
4.75	4.3	3.3	96.7
2.0	6.8	5.2	94.8
Pan	124.3		
0.850	0.91	6.9	93.1
0.425	2.04	9.1	90.9
0.250	3.96	12.7	87.3
0.106	9.23	22.7	77.3
0.075	12.25	28.4	71.6
Pan	13.56		
SIEVE CHECK	0.0	MAX = 0.3%	

HYDROMETER DATA

ELAPSED	TIME (24 hours)	Hs	Hc	Temp. (°C)	DIAMETER	(P)	TOTAL PERCENT PASSING
1	6:25	32.5	6.0	23.0	0.0426	49.4	46.8
2	6:26	26.0	6.0	23.0	0.0317	37.3	35.3
5	6:29	20.0	6.0	23.0	0.0209	26.1	24.7
15	6:39	15.5	6.0	23.0	0.0124	17.7	16.8
30	6:54	14.0	6.0	23.0	0.0089	14.9	14.1
60	7:24	11.5	6.0	23.0	0.0064	10.3	9.7
250	10:34	10.0	6.0	23.0	0.0031	7.5	7.1
1440	6:24	9.0	6.0	23.0	0.0013	5.6	5.3

Moisture = 18.69%

REVIEWED BY:	C. Beadow	Joe Forsyth, P. Eng.
		

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 27-Nov-2020

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 20-Nov-2020

Client PO: 31285

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	TP4-GR3	-	-	-
Sample Date:	20-Nov-20 13:00	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2047663-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	89.0	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.60	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	93.8	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 17-Dec-2020

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 14-Dec-2020

Client PO: 31363

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	TPF-G2	-	-	-
Sample Date:	11-Dec-20 15:30	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2051099-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	82.7	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.33	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	101	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 22-Dec-2021

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 17-Dec-2021

Client PO: 33505

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	BH17-21 SS3	-	-	-
Sample Date:	16-Dec-21 09:00	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2151599-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	81.9	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.73	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	48.9	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	34	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	24	-	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Jan-2022

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-Dec-2021

Client PO: 33585

Project Description: PG5570

Client ID:	BH34-21 SS3	-	-	-
Sample Date:	22-Dec-21 09:00	-	-	-
Sample ID:	2152465-01	-	-	-
MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-

Physical Characteristics

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	84.6	-	-	-
----------	--------------	------	---	---	---

General Inorganics

pH	0.05 pH Units	7.75	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	81.3	-	-	-

Anions

Chloride	5 ug/g dry	12	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	9	-	-	-

APPENDIX 3

PH4625-1 - SURFICIAL GEOLOGY PLAN


PH4625-2 - DRIFT THICKNESS PLAN

PH4625-3 - BEDROCK GEOLOGY PLAN

PH4625-4 - MECP WATER WELL LOCATION PLAN

PH4625-5 - GROUNDWATER CONTOUR PLAN

PG5570-2.- BEDROCK CONTOUR PLAN



LEGEND:

SITE BOUNDARY

TILL: STONE-POOR, SANDY SILT TO SILTY SAND-TEXTURED TILL ON PALEOZOIC TERRAIN.

COARSE-TEXTURED GLACIOMARINE DEPOSITS: SAND, GRAVEL, MINOR SILT AND CLAY, FORSHORE & BASINAL DEPOSITS


FINE-TEXTURED GLACIOMARINE DEPOSITS: SILT AND CLAY, MINOR SAND AND GRAVEL, MASSIVE TO WELL LAMINATED.


ORGANIC DEPOSITS INCL. PEAT & MUCK.


PALEOZOIC BEDROCK

SCALE: 1:7500

0100200300500m

<div><div><div>9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381</div></div></div>					CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD. HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 5993 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD & 6030 & 6070 FERNBANK ROAD OTTAWA, ONTARIO	Scale: 1:7500	Date: 10/2022
						Drawn by: JM	Report No.: PH4625-REP.01
						Checked by: OB	Dwg. No.: PH4625-1
						Approved by: MK	
	2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB		Title: SURFICIAL GEOLOGY PLAN	
	1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB			
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL				






9 AURIGA DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON
K2E 7T9
TEL: (613) 226-7381

2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB
1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.
HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5993 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD & 6030 & 6070 FERNBANK ROAD
ONTARIO

OTTAWA,
Title:
DRIFT THICKNESS PLAN

Scale:	1:7500	Date:	10/2022
Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01
Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.:	PH4625-2
Approved by:	MK	Revision No.:	2



LEGEND:

--- **SITE BOUNDARY**

**SIMCOE GROUP, AND GULL RIVER FORMATION:
LIMESTONE, DOLOSTONE, SHALE, SANDSTONE.**

SCALE: 1:7500

0 100 200 300 500m

<div><div><div></div><div>PATERSON GROUP</div><div>9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381</div></div></div>					CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD. HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 5993 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD & 6030 & 6070 FERNBANK ROAD ONTARIO OTTAWA, Title: BEDROCK GEOLOGY PLAN	Scale:	1:7500	Date:	10/2022
						Drawn by:	JM	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01
	2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB		Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.: PH4625-3	
	1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB		Approved by:	MK		Revision No.:
	NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL					





LEGEND:

— · — SITE BOUNDARY

⊙ MECP WELL LOCATIONS

SCALE: 1:12500

<div><div>9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381</div></div>				CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD. HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT 5993 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD & 6030 & 6070 FERNBANK ROAD ONTARIO	Scale: 1:12500	Date: 10/2022
					Drawn by: JM	Report No.: PH4625-REP.01
	2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB	Checked by: OB	Dwg. No.: PH4625-4
	1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB	Approved by: MK	Revision No.: 2
	NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL	OTTAWA, Title: MECP WATER WELL LOCATION PLAN	






PATERSON GROUP
9 AURIGA DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON
K2E 7S9
TEL: (613) 226-7381

2	REVISED SITE BOUNDARY	04/07/2024	OB
1	UPDATED CLIENT'S NAME AND SITE ADDRESS	12/06/2023	OB
NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE SOUTH) INC. & CAIVAN (STITTSVILLE WEST) LTD.
HYDROGEOLOGICAL EXISTING CONDITIONS
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT
5993 & 6115 FLEWELLYN ROAD & 6030 & 6070 FERNBANK ROAD
OTTAWA,
Title: **GROUNDWATER CONTOUR PLAN**

ONTARIO

Scale:	1:4000	Date:	11/2022
Drawn by:	RCG	Report No.:	PH4625-REP.01
Checked by:	OB	Dwg. No.:	PH4625-5
Approved by:	MK	Revision No.:	2



APPENDIX 4

HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY RESULTS - FALLING AND RISING HEAD TESTS


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.027 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.35E-04 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.033 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.10E-04 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 3

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.516 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.23E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 3

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.428 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 1.48E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Falling Head - 3 of 3

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.406 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.56E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.341 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.86E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH1-22

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.411 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.54E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.091 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 3.99E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.092 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 3.95E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.093 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 3.94E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH2-21

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.090 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.06E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH2-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.712 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 8.89E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH2-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.697 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 9.09E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH3-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	1.223 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 2.98E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH3-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.105 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 6.01E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH3-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.096 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 6.57E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH3A-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.31086

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* : 3.485 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.17E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH3A-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.31086

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	3.038 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.78E-06 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH4-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	7.262 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 8.72E-07 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH4-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	6.985 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 9.06E-07 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L 1.5 m

Saturated length of screen or open hole

D 0.03175 m

Diameter of well

 r_c 0.01588 m

Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

 t^* : 0.521 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37**Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity****K = 1.21E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.318 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.99E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.444 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.43E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH5-22

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 2.07207

Well Parameters:

L	1.5 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.437 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.45E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH22A-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	8.463 minutes	$\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	---------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 4.31E-07 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.061 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 5.96E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Falling Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.050 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 7.29E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.063 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 5.83E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH24-21

Test: Rising Head - 2 of 2

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r _c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t*:	0.064 minutes	ΔH*/ΔH₀:	0.37
-----	---------------	----------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 5.69E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: BH33-21

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 11, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 3.59613

Well Parameters:

L	3 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.03175 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.01588 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* :	0.023 minutes	$\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$:	0.37
---------	---------------	-----------------------------	------

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 1.60E-04 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: HA1-22

Test: Falling Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 0.92098

Well Parameters:

L	0.4064 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* : 1.695 minutes $\Delta H^*/\Delta H_0$: 0.37

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 2.15E-05 m/sec**


Hvorslev Hydraulic Conductivity Analysis

Project: Caivan - 5993 and 6115 Flewellyn Road and 6030 and 6070 Fernbank Road

Test Location: HA1-22

Test: Rising Head - 1 of 1

Date: October 7, 2022



Hvorslev Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity

Hvorslev Shape Factor

$$K = \frac{\pi r_c^2}{F} \frac{1}{t^*} \ln \left(\frac{\Delta H^*}{\Delta H_0} \right)$$

$$F = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln \left(\frac{2L}{D} \right)}$$

Valid for $L \gg D$

Hvorslev Shape Factor F: 0.92098

Well Parameters:

L	0.4064 m	Saturated length of screen or open hole
D	0.0508 m	Diameter of well
r_c	0.0254 m	Radius of well

Data Points (from plot):

t^* : 4.164 minutes $\Delta H^* / \Delta H_0$: 0.37

Horizontal Hydraulic Conductivity**K = 8.76E-06 m/sec**

APPENDIX 5

MECP WATER WELL RECORDS

JTM 1 8 ² 4 2 9 8 6 0 ^E

5 ^B 5 0 VIII 10 0 7 0 ^N

Elev. 4 ^R 0 3 3 4 8

The O

Basin 2 5



GROUND WATER BRANCH
JUN 5 1959
15
ONTARIO WATER
RESOURCES COMMISSION

No. 2540

The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act, 1957

WATER WELL RECORD

County or District CARLETON Township, Village, Town or City GOULBOURN
completed 16 MAY 59
(day month year)
Address STANLEY CARL

Casing and Screen Record

Inside diameter of casing.....	4"
Total length of casing.....	43' 8"
Type of screen.....	—
Length of screen.....	—
Depth to top of screen.....	—
Diameter of finished hole.....	4"

Pumping Test

Static level *Flowing*

Test-pumping rate *10* G.P.M.

Pumping level *Top*

Duration of test pumping *1 HR*

Water clear or cloudy at end of test *Clear*

Recommended pumping rate *3* G.P.M.

with pumping level of *Top*

Well Log

Water Record

[illegible]

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?

For what purpose(s) is this information being collected?
House

Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside?

Drilling Firm W J King

Address 48 KEMASTER

BR. TAN C. A.

Licence Number.....70

Name of Driller..... **SAME**


Address

Date 1799 22/5

W. J. King
(Signature of Licensed Drilling Contractor)

Location of Well


In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.



316/4e



ONTARIO

UTM 1182 430730 E5 R 5010830 NElev. 4 R 0335Basin 25 The Water-well Drillers Act, 1954
Department of Mines

Water-Well Record

County or Territorial District Carleton Township GoulbournVillage, Town or City Stittsville OntAddress Stittsville OntDate completed (day) (month) (year)

Pipe and Casing Record

Pumping Test

Casing diameter(s) 4 inch
Length(s) 15 ft
Type of screen NO screen
Length of screen Static level 15 ft
Pumping rate 200 g.p.h.
Pumping level 20 ft
Duration of test half hour

Well Log

Water Record

Overburden and Bedrock Record

From
ft.To
ft.Depth (s)
at which
water (s)
foundNo. of feet
water risesKind of water
(fresh, salty,
or sulphur)gravel
limestone rock0
1515
75Depth to
water
horizons
15 ft
7060fresh

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?


1. Farm buildings

Is water clear or cloudy?.....

Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside?.....

Drilling firm F. P. SparksAddress Stittsville Ont.Name of Driller F. P. SparksAddress Licence Number 396I certify that the foregoing
statements of fact are true.Date April 20 1954 Clayton F. Sparks
Signature of Licensee

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from
road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.Stittsville Ont.



316/54

P

1510222


The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act

WATER WELL RECORD

County or District Carleton Place Township, Village, Town or City Carleton Place
 Con. 11 Lot 24 Date completed 9 69
 (day month year)
 Address Stittsville, Ont.

Casing and Screen Record

Inside diameter of casing 2"
 Total length of casing 11'
 Type of screen none
 Length of screen 0'
 Depth to top of screen 4'
 Diameter of finished hole 4"



Pumping Test

Static level 14'
 Test-pumping rate 5 G.P.M.
 Pumping level 25'
 Duration of test pumping 1/2 hr
 Water clear or cloudy at end of test clear
 Recommended pumping rate 5 G.P.M.
 with pump setting of 30 feet below ground surface

Well Log


Water Record

Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)
<u>loose gravel</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>8</u>		
<u>shale rock</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>		
<u>gray limestone</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>25-25 feet</u>	

For what purpose(s) is the water to be used? new house
 Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? upland
 Drilling or Boring Firm L. H. B. Boring
 Address 122 Main St. Stittsville
 Licence Number 5519
 Name of Driller or Borer John H. Boring
 Address 122 Main St. Stittsville
 Date Sept 11 1969
 (Signature of Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor)

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.





Ontario

WATER WELL RECORD

31/8/76

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

1515196

15003

CON. C/N

108

COUNTY OR DISTRICT TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC. LOT 25-27

DATE COMPLETED DAY 07 MO 01 YR 76

1515196 18 430888 5010845 4 334 4 26 AUG 04, 1977 303

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

GENERAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH - FEET	
				FROM	TO
grey	clay			0	6
grey	hardpan	stones	packed	6	23
grey	limestone		medium	23	100
grey	limestone	streaks of red	soft	100	160

31 0006205 0023214 1279 0100215 016021585

41 WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER
0135	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
0156	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM. INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET
6 1/2	STEEL	188	0 0025
5 1/2	GALVANIZED		25 260
6	STEEL		0160
	GALVANIZED		
	CONCRETE		
	OPEN HOLE		
	STEEL		
	GALVANIZED		
	CONCRETE		
	OPEN HOLE		

SCREEN

SIZES (S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	DIAMETER	LENGTH
	INCHES	FEET
		41-44

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH SET AT - FEET	MATERIAL AND TYPE
FROM TO	(CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.)
10-13 14-17	
18-21 22-25	
26-29 30-33	

71 PUMPING TEST

PUMPING TEST METHOD	PUMPING RATE	DURATION OF PUMPING
1 <input type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAILER	0030 GPM	01 15-16 HOURS 00 MINS
STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	WATER LEVELS DURING
005 FEET	015 FEET	15 MINUTES 015 FEET 30 MINUTES 015 FEET 45 MINUTES 015 FEET 60 MINUTES 015 FEET
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE	PUMP INTAKE SET AT	WATER AT END OF TEST
	GPM	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLEAR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> CLOUDY
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE	RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW <input type="checkbox"/> DEEP	025 FEET	0005 GPM

FINAL STATUS OF WELL


1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY	5 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY
2 <input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION WELL	6 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED, POOR QUALITY
3 <input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE	7 <input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED
4 <input type="checkbox"/> RECHARGE WELL	

WATER USE

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC	5 <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL
2 <input type="checkbox"/> STOCK	6 <input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL
3 <input type="checkbox"/> IRRIGATION	7 <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY
4 <input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL	8 <input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	9 <input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED

METHOD OF DRILLING

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL	6 <input type="checkbox"/> BORING
2 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> DIAMOND
3 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (REVERSE)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> JETTING
4 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (AIR)	9 <input type="checkbox"/> DRIVING
5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR PERCUSSION	



CONTRACTOR

NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	LICENCE NUMBER
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
ADDRESS	
Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario	
NAME OF DRILLER OR BORER	LICENCE NUMBER
J. Moore	
SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE
[Signature]	DAY 8 NO. 1 YR 76

OFFICE USE ONLY

DATA SOURCE	CONTRACTOR	DATE RECEIVED
1	1558	150376
DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTOR	
July 13/76	[Signature]	
REMARKS		



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

1522585

MUNICIPAL

11,50,03

CON

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC

LOT 25-27

Goulbourn

9

DATE COMPLETED _____

48-53

0 Fernbank Rd. Box 41 Stittsville

KOA_3GO

DAY 05 MO 07 YR. 88

NG	RC	ELEVATION	RC	BASIN CODE	II	III	IV
----	----	-----------	----	------------	----	-----	----

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible]

31

32

41 WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET		KIND OF WATER		
90	10-13	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FRESH 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS	10
	15-18	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FRESH 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS	15
118	20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS	20
	25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS	25
	30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS	30

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
6 1/4	10-11 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	12 .188	0	13-14 21
6 1/8	17-18 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	19	21	20-21 125
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	26		27-30

Z	SIZE(S) OF OPENING	31-33	DIAMETER	34-36	LENGTH	37-40
	(SLOT NO.)					

SCREEN	INCHES		FEET	
	MATERIAL AND TYPE	DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	41-44	3
			FEET	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER, ETC.)
FROM	TO	
10-13	14-17	
18-21	22-25	
26-29	30-33	80

71

PUMPING TEST


PUMPING TEST METHOD		10	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
71	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input type="checkbox"/> BAILER		6		GPM	1 15-16 HOURS 17-18 MINS.	
STATIC LEVEL		WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING		25 WATER LEVELS DURING		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUMPING 2 <input type="checkbox"/> RECOVERY	
19-21		22-24		15 MINUTES 28-30	30 MINUTES 29-31	45 MINUTES 32-34	60 MINUTES 35-37
10 FEET		75 FEET		75 FEET	75 FEET	75 FEET	75 FEET
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE		38-41	PUMP INTAKE SET AT		WATER AT END OF TEST		
		GPM	75 FEET		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLEAR 2 <input type="checkbox"/> CLOUDY		
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE		RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		46-49
<input type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEEP		100 FEET					5 GPM
50-53							

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

38203

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
	ADDRESS			
	Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K0A 3G0			
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN			WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENCE NUMBER
	S. Miller			
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR			SUBMISSION DATE
				DAY 05 MO. 07 YR. 87

OFFICE USE ONLY

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58 CONTRACTOR	59-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68
		1558		SEP 01 1988	
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR		
	REMARKS				

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY

FORM NO. 0506 (11 / 86) FORM 9

ROLL #309 - SEP 30/93



**Ministry
of the
Environment**

The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

1527414 150031 KAN 109

COUNTY OR DISTRICT		TOWNSHIP OR RANGE CITY TOWN VILLAGE		CON BLOCK ACRES SURVEY ETC		1901 20-01	
Carleton		Houlbourn		Con 9		25	
OWNER		ADDRESS		DATE COMPLETED		NO-11	
		54 Broad Street M1M 2M5		19 08		93	

21

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible][illegible]

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET		ANALYSIS OF WATER	
10-12	198	1	3
		2	4
		3	5
		4	6
		5	7
		6	8
		7	9
		8	10
		9	11
		10	12
		11	13
		12	14
		13	15
		14	16
		15	17
		16	18
		17	19
		18	20
		19	21
		20	22
		21	23
		22	24
		23	25
		24	26
		25	27
		26	28
		27	29
		28	30
		29	31
		30	32
		31	33
		32	34
		33	35
		34	36
		35	37
		36	38
		37	39
		38	40
		39	41
		40	42
		41	43
		42	44
		43	45
		44	46
		45	47
		46	48
		47	49
		48	50
		49	51
		50	52
		51	53
		52	54
		53	55
		54	56
		55	57
		56	58
		57	59
		58	60
		59	61
		60	62
		61	63
		62	64
		63	65
		64	66
		65	67
		66	68
		67	69
		68	70
		69	71
		70	72
		71	73
		72	74
		73	75
		74	76
		75	77
		76	78
		77	79
		78	80
		79	81
		80	82
		81	83
		82	84
		83	85
		84	86
		85	87
		86	88
		87	89
		88	90
		89	91
		90	92
		91	93
		92	94
		93	95
		94	96
		95	97
		96	98
		97	99
		98	100

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

PLATE NO. & ANCHOR		MATERIAL	OR TYPE & NO. ANCHOR	DATE 11-1-77	
				1 INCH	10
10-17	1	1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 SUPER MALL 5 PLASTIC	188	0	25
10-18	2	1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 SUPER MALL 5 PLASTIC		25	203
10-19	3	1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 4 SUPER MALL 5 PLASTIC			21-56

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

SHIP SET AT		MATERIAL AND TYPE	CEMENT ABOUT LEAD PACKED ETC.
TONS	10		
0-10	10-20	Cement grates	
10-20	20-30		
20-30	30-40		00

[illegible]

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND
LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	1	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED - INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> OVERFLOW WELL	<input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED - POOR QUALITY
WATER USE	3	<input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED
	4	<input type="checkbox"/> RECHARGE WELL	<input type="checkbox"/> DETERIORATED
	5	<input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL
	6	<input type="checkbox"/> STOCK UTILIZATION	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	7	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY
	8	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING
	9	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	10	<input type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL	<input type="checkbox"/> DRIVING
	11	<input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)	<input type="checkbox"/> DRAMMED
	12	<input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (REVERSE)	<input type="checkbox"/> JETTING
	13	<input type="checkbox"/> AIR LIFT (AIR)	<input type="checkbox"/> DRIVING
	14	<input type="checkbox"/> AIR PUMP	<input type="checkbox"/> SINKING
	15	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW

Test Well No. 1.

76768

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NUMBER	
	Jd Maine Well Drilling		3688	
	ADDRESS			
	Box 326 Richmond Ont.			
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENSE NUMBER	
	[Signature]		7-0006	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR		EXPIRATION DATE	
	[Signature]		DAY 19 MONTH 8 YEAR 93	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATE ISSUANCE	36	CONTINUED FROM	15-02	DATE RECEIVED	02-06
	3644		SEP 10 1993			
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTION			
	REMARKS					

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY

FORM NO. DS-08 (11/88) FORM 1

ROLL #309 - SEP 30/93



**Ministry
of the
Environment**

The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

9. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

8. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

99

1527415

11.5.003

com.
150

24.

109

COUNTY OR DISTRICT <i>Carleton</i>	TOWNSHIP (RURAL) CITY OR VILLAGE <i>Louthville</i>	CON. BLOCK (FACT, SURVEY ETC) <i>Con 9</i>	SECTION <i>25</i>
POST OFFICE <i>54 Howard Bld. Scarborough M1M 2M5</i>	ADDRESS	DATE COMPLETED MO-DA <i>23</i> - <i>08</i>	YEAR <i>93</i>

21

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS : SEE INSTRUCTIONS:

[illegible][illegible]

32

WATER RECORD

WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER			
15-20	1	<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	3	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	4	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS
			5	<input type="checkbox"/> GAS
20-25	1	<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	3	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	4	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS
			5	<input type="checkbox"/> GAS
25-30	1	<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	3	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	4	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS
			5	<input type="checkbox"/> GAS
30-35	1	<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	3	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	4	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS
			5	<input type="checkbox"/> GAS

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

[illegible]


PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

DEPTH SET BY		MATERIAL AND TYPE	
FROM	TO	(CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PAPER, ETC.)	
0-10	10-20	22 cement grout	
10-20	20-30		
30-40	40-50		

[illegible]

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW




Shea
RD

Flewethyn Rd

76770


FINAL STATUS OF WELL	1	<input type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY	6	<input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION WELL	7	<input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED POOR QUALITY
WATER USE	3	<input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE	8	<input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED
	4	<input type="checkbox"/> INCREASING WELL	9	<input type="checkbox"/> WASTEWATER
	5	<input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC	10	<input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL
	6	<input type="checkbox"/> STOCK	11	<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	7	<input type="checkbox"/> IRRIGATION	12	<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY
	8	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL	13	<input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING
	9	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	14	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED
	10	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	11	<input type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL	6	<input type="checkbox"/> BORING
	12	<input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY CONVENTIONAL	7	<input type="checkbox"/> SHIMBARD
	13	<input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (RECENT)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> JETTING
	14	<input type="checkbox"/> DRIFT CROWN	9	<input type="checkbox"/> MOTHER
	15	<input type="checkbox"/> AIR PERCUSSION	10	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	WELL CONTRACTOR'S ADDRESS
	Ed. Manns Well Drilling Box 326, Richmond, Ont.	
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN	WELL TECHNICIAN'S ADDRESS
	_____ _____ _____	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE
		DATE: 93 MONTH: 8 YEAR: 93

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATE ISSUED	30	CONTINUATION	3644	30 OF 32	DATE RECEIVED	SEP 10 1993	00	00
	DATE OF INSPECTION			INSPECTION					
REMARKS									

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY

FORM NO. 0508 (11/84) FORM 8



1527698

MUNICIP.
15003

CON.
|C|O|N|

19

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

COUNTY OR DISTRICT	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE	CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY ETC	LOT	25-27
	Elmhurst	9	24	
100 Matheson Blvd. East Mississauga, Ontario			DATE COMPLETED	48-53
			DAY 02	MO 02 YR 94
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31				

[illegible]

31

32

30 10 14 15 31 32 43 54 65 75 80

41		WATER RECORD			
WATER FOUND AT - FEET		KIND OF WATER			
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR	14			
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS				
31		6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS			
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR	19			
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS				
57-58		6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS			
20-23	NOT TESTED				24
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR				
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS				
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS			
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR	29			
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS				
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS			
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR	34			
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS				
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS			

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET		
			FROM	TO	
6 1/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE 5 <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	.188	0	22.5	
6	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE 5 <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC		22.5	63	
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE 5 <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC				

SCREEN	SIZE(S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	31-33	DIAMETER	34-38	LENGTH	39-40
				INCHES	FEET	
	MATERIAL AND TYPE		DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN		41-44	INCHES
					FEET	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.)	
FROM	TO		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (10)	
21	0		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	PUMPING TEST METHOD		PUMPING RATE		11-14		DURATION OF PUMPING		
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAILER		20		GPM		1 15-18 HOURS 17-18 MINS		
	STATIC LEVEL		WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING		25		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUMPING 2 <input type="checkbox"/> RECOVERY		
	19-21		22-24		15 MINUTES 26-28		30 MINUTES 29-31		
	2 FEET		20 FEET		20 FEET		20 FEET		
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE		38-41		PUMP INTAKE SET AT		WATER AT END OF TEST		42	
		GPM		FEET		1 <input type="checkbox"/> CLEAR 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLOUDY			
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE		RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45		RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		46-49	
<input type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEEP		45		FEET		5		GPM	
50-53									

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY 2 <input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION WELL 3 <input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> RECHARGE WELL	5 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED POOR QUALITY 7 <input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED <input type="checkbox"/> DEWATERING
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STOCK 3 <input type="checkbox"/> IRRIGATION 4 <input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	5 <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL 6 <input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL 7 <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY 8 <input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING <input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED
WATER USE		
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (REVERSE) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (AIR) 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR PERCUSSION	6 <input type="checkbox"/> BORING 7 <input type="checkbox"/> DIAMOND 8 <input type="checkbox"/> JETTING 9 <input type="checkbox"/> DRIVING <input type="checkbox"/> DIGGING <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER
	22.5-63 0-22.5	

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Fernbank Road


X Proposed Lot #5

Shea Road

Flewellyn Road

142237


DRILLERS REMARKS

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
	ADDRESS			
	P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6			
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	S. Miller/ J. Moore		T0097/T0098	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/ CONTRACTOR		SUBMISSION DATE	
			DAY 14 MO 2 YR 94	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58 CONTRACTOR	58-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68	8
		1558		APR 13 1994		
	DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTOR				
	REMARKS					

11

3132



109

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON	BLOCK TRACT SURVEY ETC
-----	------------------------

LOT	25-27
-----	-------

Coulbourn

C

24

Matheson Blvd.east Mississauga,Ontario

DATE COMPLETED

48-53

DAY 30 MO 6 YR 94

ING M7

Re

ELEVATION

RC

BASIN CODE

11

120

1

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58	CONTRACTOR	59-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68	80
	1558			AUG 24 1994			
	DATE OF INSPECTION			INSPECTOR			
	REMARKS						



WATER WELL RECORD

MUNICIP.
15003

CON

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

153

MUNICIP

CON

COUNTY OR DISTRICT

TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE

CON. BLOCK TRACT SURVEY ETC

LOT	25.27
-----	-------

Goulbourn

9

24

#4 Pakenham, Ontario KOA 2X0

DATE COMPLETED

48-53

DAY 13 MO 9 YR 94

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

31								
32	 1 2	 10 14 15	 21	 32	 43	 64	 87	 98

SCREEN	SIZE-S* OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	31-33	DIAMETER	34-38	LENGTH	39-40
	INCHES			FEET		
	MATERIAL AND TYPE		DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN		41-44	30
					FEET	

71	PUMPING TEST METHOD		1D	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAILER		3		GPM	1	15-16 HOURS	17-18 MINS
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	25 WATER LEVELS DURING				1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUMPING 2 <input type="checkbox"/> RECOVERY	
	19-21	22-24	15 MINUTES 26-28	30 MINUTES 29-31	45 MINUTES 32-34	60 MINUTES 35-37		
2 FEET		67 FEET	67 FEET	67 FEET	67 FEET	67 FEET		
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE			PUMP INTAKE SET AT			WATER AT END OF TEST		
GPM			FEET			1 <input type="checkbox"/> CLEAR 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLOUDY		
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE			RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING		43-45	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		46-49
<input type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEEP			75		FEET	3		GPM
50-53								

<div>34</div> <div>FINAL STATUS OF WELL</div>	<div>1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY</div> <div>2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION WELL</div> <div>3 <input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE</div> <div>4 <input type="checkbox"/> RECHARGE WELL</div>	<div>5 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY</div> <div>6 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED POOR QUALITY</div> <div>7 <input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> DEWATERING</div>
<div>55-56</div> <div>WATER USE</div>	<div>1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC</div> <div>2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STOCK</div> <div>3 <input type="checkbox"/> IRRIGATION</div> <div>4 <input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> OTHER</div>	<div>5 <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL</div> <div>6 <input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL</div> <div>7 <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY</div> <div>8 <input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING</div> <div>9 <input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED</div>
<div>57</div> <div>METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION</div>	<div>1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL 20.5-85</div> <div>2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)</div> <div>3 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (REVERSE)</div> <div>4 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (AIR)</div> <div>5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR PERCUSSION 0-20.5</div>	<div>6 <input type="checkbox"/> BORING</div> <div>7 <input type="checkbox"/> DIAMOND</div> <div>8 <input type="checkbox"/> JETTING</div> <div>9 <input type="checkbox"/> DRIVING</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> DIGGING <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER</div>

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Test Well
#5


O.C. #5

Flewelyn Rd

Shea Road

147733

DRILLERS' REMARKS

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR		WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	Capital Water Supply Ltd.		1558	
	ADDRESS			
	P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6			
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN		WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENCE NUMBER	
	S. Miller/ J. Moore		T0097/T0096	
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR		SUBMISSION DATE	
			DAY 15 MO 09 YR. 94	

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58 1558	59-62 CONTRACTOR	DATE RECEIVED OCT 07 1994	63-68 80
	DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTOR			
REMARKS					



The Ontario Water Resources Act **WATER WELL RECORD**

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname 2B 47 Technical Dimensions Inc.	First name	Address B50-36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5	Date completed 24 day 5 month 97 year	41-53

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 1990 Census of Agriculture. The structure is organized into columns and rows, with specific data fields identified by numbers and letters. The top row contains a box labeled '21' which includes fields: U Zone, Easting, Northing, RC, Elevation, RC, Basin Code, and a sequence of Roman numerals (ii, iii, iv). Below this, a horizontal line separates the header from the data fields. The data fields are organized into columns: T (10), M (12), 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 30, 31, and 47. The 'T' column is further divided into 'M' and '10'. The 'M' column is further divided into '12' and '17'. The '17' column is further divided into '18' and '24'. The '24' column is further divided into '25' and '26'. The '26' column is further divided into '30' and '31'. The '31' column is further divided into '47'.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

41		WATER RECORD	
Water found at – feet	Kind of water		
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14
26	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19
92	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24
125	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29
NOT TESTED	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22	
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	129	
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic				

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
				inches	feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				41-44	30	
				feet		

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17		
20	1		
18-21	22-25		
		Grouted Cement 1 bag	
		Hole Plug 1 bag	
26-29	30-33	80	

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 5 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 1 ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Hours Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping		Water levels during 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21		22-24		15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹ 45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷	
	10 feet		70 feet		37 feet 60 feet 20 feet 70 feet	
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at ⁴³ feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 120 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 4 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 ☒ Water supply
2 ☐ Observation well
3 ☐ Test hole
4 ☐ Recharge well

5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality
7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)
8 ☐ Dewatering

9 ☐ Unfinished
10 ☐ Replacement well

WATER USE		
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Flewellyn Road

Woodside Acres

House

15'


11'8"

G

Lot #15

Poplarwood

175643

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh	T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 26 mo 5 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
			1558		JUN 27 1997		
	Date of inspection	Inspector					
	Remarks						



Ministry
of the
Environment
Ontario

The Ontario Water Resources Act
WATER WELL RECORD

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED
2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

1529429

MUNICIPALITY
15003

CON. NO.
CON

109

COUNTY OR DISTRICT OTTAWA-CARLETON	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE TWP. OF GOLDBOURN	CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC. CONCESSION 9	LOT 24				
OWNER (SURNAME FIRST) TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS INC.	ADDRESS 850-36 Antlers, Nepean, Ont. K2E 7W5	DATE COMPLETED DAY 25 MO 10 YEAR 96					
21	ZONE U	EASTING 10	NORTHING 12	RC 17	ELEVATION 24	SUBDIVISION 25	SUBLOT # 8

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

GENERAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH - FEET	
				FROM	TO
BROWN	SAND			0	7
GREY	SAND	BOULDERS		7	14
GREY	TILL	BOULDERS		14	21
GREY	LIMESTONE SHALE		BRKEN (23)	21	71

31	10	14	15	21	32	43	54	65	75	80
32	10	14	15	21	32	43	54	65	75	80

41 WATER RECORD	
WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER
10-13	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 5 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 5 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 5 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 5 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> FRESH 3 <input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR 4 <input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS 5 <input type="checkbox"/> SALTY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> GAS

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
INSIDE DIAM. INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET
10-11	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE 5 <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC		FROM TO
10-11	6 1/4"	.108	+3 31
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE 5 <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC		31 71
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED 3 <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE 5 <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC		27-30

SIZE (S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	DIAMETER	LENGTH
31-33	34-38	39-40
MATERIAL AND TYPE		DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN
		41-44
		FEET

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
DEPTH SET AT - FEET	MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER ETC.)
FROM TO	
10-13	31 14-17
18-21	22-25
26-29	30-33

71 PUMPING TEST	PUMPING TEST METHOD	10	PUMPING RATE	11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	15-18
	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input type="checkbox"/> BAILER		5	GPM	2	HOURS 0
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	WATER LEVELS DURING	1	PUMPING	2
	19-21	22-24	15 MINUTES 25-28	30 MINUTES 29-31	45 MINUTES 32-34	60 MINUTES 35-37
	IF FLOWING GIVE RATE	38-41	PUMP INTAKE SET AT	42	WATER AT END OF TEST	43
		GPM	60	FEET	1 <input type="checkbox"/> CLEAR 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLOUDY	
	RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE	RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING	43-45	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE	46-49	
	<input type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEEP	60	FEET	105	GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION WELL 3 <input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE 4 <input type="checkbox"/> RECHARGE WELL	5 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY 6 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED, POOR QUALITY 7 <input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED 8 <input type="checkbox"/> DEWATERING	
	WATER USE	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC 2 <input type="checkbox"/> STOCK 3 <input type="checkbox"/> IRRIGATION 4 <input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL 5 <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	5 <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL 6 <input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL 7 <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY 8 <input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING 9 <input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED
		METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL 2 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (REVERSE) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (AIR) 5 <input type="checkbox"/> AIR PERCUSSION

LOCATION OF WELL	
IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.	
DRILLER'S REMARKS	150476

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR STANTON DRILLING INC	WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NUMBER 4875
	ADDRESS Box 219, Pakenham, Ont. N0A 2X0	
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN Fred Stanton	WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENSE NUMBER 1-0006
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR 	SUBMISSION DATE DAY 31 MO 10 YEAR 96

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE 4875	CONTRACTOR 4875	DATE RECEIVED JUN 24 1997
	DATE OF INSPECTION	INSPECTOR	
	REMARKS 		
	CSS. S		



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED

2. CHECK ☒ CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE

11

1529448

MUNICIP.
15,003

CON.
|CON

109

COUNTY OR DISTRICT OTTAWA - CARLETON	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGE TWP. OF COULBOURN	CON. BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC. CONCESSION 9	LOT 27 24
OWNER (SURNAME FIRST) TECHNICAL DIMENSIONS INC	ADDRESS 850-36 Antlers, Nepean, Ont. K2E 7W5	DATE COMPLETED 10 01 97	48-53 YR

21

U
T
M

ZONE

EASTING

NORTHING

ELEVATION

Basin Code

II III IV

Re Woodside Acres Sublot #12

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)

[illegible][illegible]

41	WATER RECORD										
WATER FOUND AT - FEET			KIND OF WATER								
10-13			14								
2			<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR							
2			<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS							
10B (4 1/2 yds)			NOT TESTED								
10-16			19								
1			<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR							
2			<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS							
10B (4 1/2 yds)			H								
10-20			24								
1			<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR							
2			<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS							
10B (5 1/2 yds)			16								
10-25			29								
1			<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR							
2			<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS							
10-33			34								
1			<input type="checkbox"/> FRESH	<input type="checkbox"/> SULPHUR							
2			<input type="checkbox"/> SALTY	<input type="checkbox"/> MINERALS							
			<input type="checkbox"/> GAS								

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
INSIDE DIAM INCHES	MATERIAL	WALL THICKNESS INCHES	DEPTH - FEET	
			FROM	TO
10-11 6 1/4"	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	12 0.88"	+2	20
17-18 5 7/8"	<input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	19 -	20	152
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> GALVANIZED <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE <input type="checkbox"/> OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC	26		27-30

SCREEN	SIZE(S) OF OPENING (SLOT NO.)	31-33	DIAMETER	34-38	LENGTH	39-40
	INCHES			FEET		
	MATERIAL AND TYPE			DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	41-44	JOINTS
			FEET			

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
DEPTH SET AT - FEET		MATERIAL AND TYPE	(CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER ETC.)
FROM	TO		
3 ¹⁰⁻¹³	20 ¹⁴⁻¹⁷	Grout	
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	PUMPING TEST METHOD		10	PUMPING RATE		11-14	DURATION OF PUMPING	
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> PUMP 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BAILER			6		GPM	1 15-16 0 17-18 HOURS MIN	
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL END OF PUMPING	25	WATER LEVELS DURING		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUMPING 2 <input type="checkbox"/> RECOVERY		
	19-21	22-24	15 MINUTES	30 MINUTES	45 MINUTES	60 MINUTES		
	2B FEET	41 FEET	35 FEET	37 FEET	39 FEET	41 FEET		
IF FLOWING GIVE RATE		38-41	PUMP INTAKE SET AT		WATER AT END OF TEST		42	
—		GPM	120		1 <input type="checkbox"/> CLEAR 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLOUDY			
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE		RECOMMENDED PUMP SETTING	43-45	RECOMMENDED PUMPING RATE		46-49		
<input type="checkbox"/> SHALLOW <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DEEP			120 FEET		5		GPM	
50-53								

<div>54</div> <div>FINAL STATUS OF WELL</div>	<div>1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY</div> <div>2 <input type="checkbox"/> OBSERVATION WELL</div> <div>3 <input type="checkbox"/> TEST HOLE</div> <div>4 <input type="checkbox"/> RECHARGE WELL</div>	<div>5 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED. INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY</div> <div>6 <input type="checkbox"/> ABANDONED POOR QUALITY</div> <div>7 <input type="checkbox"/> UNFINISHED</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> DEWATERING</div>
<div>55-56</div> <div>WATER USE</div>	<div>1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC</div> <div>2 <input type="checkbox"/> STOCK</div> <div>3 <input type="checkbox"/> IRRIGATION</div> <div>4 <input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____</div>	<div>5 <input type="checkbox"/> COMMERCIAL</div> <div>6 <input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL</div> <div>7 <input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SUPPLY</div> <div>8 <input type="checkbox"/> COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING</div> <div>9 <input type="checkbox"/> NOT USED</div>
<div>57</div> <div>METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION</div>	<div>1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CABLE TOOL</div> <div>2 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)</div> <div>3 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (REVERSE)</div> <div>4 <input type="checkbox"/> ROTARY (AIR)</div> <div>5 <input type="checkbox"/> AIR PERCUSSION</div>	<div>6 <input type="checkbox"/> BORING</div> <div>7 <input type="checkbox"/> DIAMOND</div> <div>8 <input type="checkbox"/> JETTING</div> <div>9 <input type="checkbox"/> DRIVING</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> DIGGING <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER</div>

LOCATION OF WELL

IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND ROAD LINE INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.

Sublot 12

Fessenden Rd

House

50'

20'

Well

Poplarwood Dr

Woodside Acres Subdivision

150478

DRIILLERS REMARKS

CONTRACTOR	NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	WELL CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE NUMBER
	STANTON DRILLING INC	4875
	ADDRESS	
	Box 219, Pakenham, Ont. N0A 2X0	
	NAME OF WELL TECHNICIAN	WELL TECHNICIAN'S LICENSE NUMBER
	Peter V.A. Stanton	T-0026
	SIGNATURE OF TECHNICIAN/CONTRACTOR	SUBMISSION DATE
	[Signature]	DAY 11 MO 01 YR 97

OFFICE USE ONLY	DATA SOURCE	58 CONTRACTOR	59-62	DATE RECEIVED	63-68	69-74
		4875		JUN 24 1997		
	DATE OF INSPECTION		INSPECTOR			
	REMARKS					



11

1529457

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions	First name	Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario	Date completed 3 day 6 month 97 year	

Figure 1 is an aerial photograph of the study area. It includes a scale bar at the bottom ranging from 0 to 24 km. Various labels are present: 'Zone' with a box containing '21', 'Easting' with 'K2E' and 'M5', 'Northing', 'RC', 'Elevation', 'Basin Code', and 'ii', 'iii', 'iv'.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand		Fill	0	2
Brown	Sand	Gravel	Packed	2	5
Gray	Limestone		Hard	5	110
Brown	Limestone		Medium	110	139
Gray	Limestone		Hard	139	155

31

32

41		WATER RECORD		42	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
10-13	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14	
92					
15-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19	
143					
20-23	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	24	
152					
25-28	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29	
NOT TESTED					
30-33	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34	

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	25	
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		25	155	
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic				

SCREEN	34	65	75	80
	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38
			Length	36-40
		inches		feet
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen	30
			41-44	
			feet	

61	PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment		
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13 20 18-21	14-17 0 22-25	Grouted Cement (1) Hole Plug (1)		
26-29	30-33			
	80			

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ <input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 6 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 15 Mins	
	Static level		25 Water level end of pumping		Water levels during <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	27 feet	80 feet	58 feet	67 feet	78 feet	80 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		36 Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 130 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 ☒ Water supply
2 ☐ Observation well
3 ☐ Test hole
4 ☐ Recharge well

5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply
6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality
7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)
8 ☐ Dewatering

9 ☐ Unfinished
10 ☐ Replacement well

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Poplarwood Road

Lot # 13

Steps

10'6"

Veranda


House

13'5"

Flewellyn Road

Woodside Acres

175650

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd. Address	1558
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh Signature of Technician/Contractor	T0095
	Submission date
	day 4 mo 6 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUL 15 1997		
Date of inspection			Inspector				
Remarks							



The Ontario Water Resources Act **WATER WELL RECORD**

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23
Owner's surname 28-47 [REDACTED]	First name [REDACTED]	Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario	Date completed 18 y 6 month 97 year	48-53 [REDACTED]

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 21st basin. The timeline shows the following segments and markers:

- Zone:** 1 to 10
- Easting:** 12 to 17
- Northing:** 18 to 24
- RC:** 25
- Elevation:** 26
- RC:** 30
- Basin Code:** 31
- Sub-basins:** ii, iii, iv

The timeline markers are: 1, 2, 10, 12, 17, 18, 24, 25, 26, 30, 31.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible]

Figure 1 shows a schematic representation of the 128-point ECG grid. The grid is divided into two rows of six boxes each. The top row is labeled '31' and the bottom row is labeled '32'. Each box contains a 10-second ECG trace. The traces are labeled with time intervals: 10, 14, 15, 21, 32, 43, 50, 64, 85, and 75. A scale bar labeled '500ms' is shown between the two rows.

41. WATER RECORD	
Water found at - feet	Kind of water
10-13 54	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
15-18 96	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
20-23 149	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas
25-28	NOT TESTED
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22	
17 18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	155	
24 25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic				

SCREEN	24	65	75	80
	31-33	34-38		
	inches		feet	
	Depth at top of screen 41-44		30	
			feet	

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17		
20	5		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	Grouted Cement (2)	
		Hole Plug (1)	

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 4-5 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level		25 Water levels during <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	12 feet	100 feet	45 feet	68 feet	98 feet	100 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 130 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 4 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Woodside Acres.


Lot 19

Forestgrave Dr.

driveway G

18' 30' 7"

Poplarwood Place 175657

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh	T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 18 mo 6 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUL 15 1997		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

Con.

69

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions	First name Technical Dimensions	Address 850 - 36 Antares Dr. Nepean Ont.	Date completed 23 day 7 month 97 year

21 Zone Easting **K2E** **7W5** Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code ii iii iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible][illegible]

41		14 15		21	
WATER RECORD					
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
90 ¹⁰⁻¹³	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
125 ¹⁵⁻¹⁸	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
20-23	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
25-28	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
30-33	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
			6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	

51		35				41		Casing & Open Hole Record	
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet						
			From	To					
6 1/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	20					
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			20-23					
6 1/8	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	130					
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			27-30					

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches			feet
	Material and type				Depth at top of screen	41-44
						feet

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
20 ¹⁰⁻¹³	0 ¹⁴⁻¹⁷	Grouted cement (3)	
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 10 GPM		Duration of pumping 1 ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Hours Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping ²⁵		Water levels during 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	18 feet	41 feet	39 feet	41 feet	41 feet	41 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 100 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		54	
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION *5f*

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	20-130	8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	0-20	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring		10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)		7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond		11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)		5 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting		


LOCATION OF WELL PO # 493

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Woodside Acres

Poplarwood Road

Flewellyn Road 175680

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd. Address	1558
Box 490, Stittsville, Ont. K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
W. Kavanagh	T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor 	Submission date day 22 mo 7 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	30
	1558		AUG 14 1997			
Date of inspection			Inspector			
Remarks						



1529569

Municipality
15003

Con. CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Technical Dimensions	First name	Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario	Date completed 7 day 8 month 97 year

21 Zone Easting **K2E 7W5** Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code I II III IV

[illegible]

31

32

WATER RECORD			
41	Water found at - feet	Kind of water	
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14
96			
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19
142			
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	24
169			
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29
NOT TESTED			
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34

51		32		41		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD	
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet				
			From	To			
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22			
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	175			
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic						

SCREEN	34		35		36	
	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)		31-33		34-38	
			Diameter		Length	
			inches		feet	
	Material and type				Depth at top of screen	
					41-44	
					feet	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space			<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
20	0	Grouted Cement (3)		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

71	Pumping test method		Pumping rate		Duration of pumping	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailor	10 GPM		1 15-16 Hours 16-18 Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes 26-28	30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34	60 minutes 35-37
	37 feet	55 feet	44 feet	53 feet	55 feet	55 feet
If flowing give rate		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test		
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting		Recommended pump rate		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		100 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		54	
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well

WATER USE		55 56	
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION		57	
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging
		11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Lot # 14

House

Deck

Steps

26'

15'


x

Poplarwood

Flewellyn Rd

Woodside
Acres

175696

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanaugh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 8 mo 8 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-64
			1558		SEP 15 1997	
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11	
1	2

1529575

Municipality

Con.

15003

CON

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 23	
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 40px;"></div>		Address 850-36 Antares Drive Nepean, Ontario				Date completed 27 day 8 month 97 year	
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 40px;"></div>		Easting K2E 7W5		Northing RC		Elevation RC	
<div style="background-color: black; width: 100%; height: 40px;"></div>		Basin Code ii		Basin Code iii		Basin Code iv	

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

41		WATER RECORD		
Water found at - feet	Kind of water			
65	10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14
	15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19
81	20-23	NOT TESTED		24
	23-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29
	30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4 ¹⁰	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel ¹² 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	27
6 1/8 ¹⁷⁻¹⁸	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel ¹⁹ 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastic		27	30 ²⁰⁻²³
6 ²⁴⁻²⁵	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel ²⁶ 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastic		30	87 ²⁷⁻³⁰

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen			41-44	30
					feet	

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet				Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)			
From		To		Grouted Cement (5)			
10-13		14-17					
25 18-21		0 22-25					
26-29		30-33		60			

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 15 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 18 Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during ²⁵ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	19-21 17 feet	22-24 22 feet	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 22 feet	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹ 22 feet	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 22 feet	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷ 22 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM	Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep	Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 60 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		

<h2>FINAL STATUS OF WELL</h2>			54
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
<h2>WATER USE</h2>			
55-56			
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<h2>METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION</h2>			57
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging
		11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Poplarwood

14'8"

13'1"

Lot #3

Flewellyn Rd

183312

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>[Signature]</i>	day 28 mo 8 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
	1558		SEP 15 1997			
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					



11

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village		Con block tract survey, etc.		Lot	
		Goulbourn		9		23	
		Address		Date completed		48 53	
		100 Herzberg Rd., P.O. Box 13000 Kanata		21 day 10 month 97 year			
21		Ontario K2K 2A6		Northings		Elevations	
				RC		RC	
				Basin Code		ii iii iv	

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand	Stones (Shale)	Packed	0	6
Gray	Limestone			6	20
Gray	Limestone		Hard	20	48
Gray	Limestone		Medium	48	79
Brown	Limestone		Medium	79	141
Gray	Limestone		Medium	141	165
Brown	Limestone		Medium	165	172
Gray	Limestone		Medium	172	190

Figure 1 displays 12 histograms arranged in a 2x6 grid, showing the distribution of the number of nodes in the network. The top row is labeled 31 and the bottom row is labeled 32. Each histogram has a horizontal axis representing the number of nodes (ranging from 0 to 100) and a vertical axis representing frequency. The distributions are unimodal and shift to the right as the number of nodes increases.

41		WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
147	10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
165	15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
163	20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
	25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
	30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD					
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22.5	
5 15/16	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22.5	190	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic				

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-44
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen	41-44	45
					feet	

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (3)	
21 18-21	0 22-25		
26-29	30-33		
	80		

71	Pumping test method		Pumping rate	Duration of pumping	
	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump	2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer	13 GPM	1..... Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes	30 minutes	45 minutes
	23 feet	26 feet	26-28	29-31	32-34
PUMP TEST	If flowing give rate		Pump intake set at	Water at end of test	
	38-41			42	
	GPM		feet	feet	
	Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting	Recommended pump rate	
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		140 feet	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
50-53				5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well

WATER USE			55-56
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging
		11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Flewellyn Rd

Woodside Acres

Lot 41

Poplarwood

Basement window

12'3"

14'

Forest Grove Dr.

183257

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490	Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 21 mo 10 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		DEC 22 1997	
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					



Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1529791

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	24
Owner's surname	First name	Date completed	
Technical Dimensions Inc	850-36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5	4 day 12 month 97 year	

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 21st zone. The timeline shows the following data points and intervals:

Time Interval	Data Type
12 - 17	Easting
18 - 24	Northing
25	RC
26	Elevation
30	RC
31	Basin Code
32 - 37	Empty Categories

[illegible]

31

32

41		14 15		21	
WATER RECORD					
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14		
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
65		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas			
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19		
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
126		5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas			
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24		
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
142		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas			
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29		
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
-		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas			
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34		
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas			

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22.5
6 1/8	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22.5	145
	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-44
			inches		feet	
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen 41-44 feet			

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space			<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (3)		
20	0			
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

71	Pumping test method		10	Pumping rate		11-14	Duration of pumping		15-18
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailor			10 GPM			1... Hours Mins		
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	25	Water levels during		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	19-21	22-24		15 minutes	30 minutes	45 minutes	60 minutes		
				26-28	29-31	32-34	35-37		
12 feet		60 feet		34 feet	47 feet	60 feet	60 feet		
If flowing give rate			38-41	Pump intake set at		Water at end of test		42	
GPM				feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy			
Recommended pump type				Recommended pump setting		Recommended pump rate		46-49	
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep				100 feet		5 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well

WATER USE			55-56
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging
		11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

20'10"

28'7"


Lot #17

Poplarwood Ave

Flewellyn Rd

Woodside Acres

182762

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 5 mo 12 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contact no.	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		JAN 08 1998	
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1529793

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	23
Owner's surname	First name	Date completed	
Technical Dimensions Ltd.	850-36 Altares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5	22	12 month 97

Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code
21	12	17	18	24	25	28
						30
						31
						47

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

31

32

41	14 15 21				WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet		Kind of water						
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14					
85	15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19				
121	20-23	NOT TESTED		24				
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29					
39-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34					

51		32		45		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD	
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet				
			From	To			
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	44			
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		44	125			
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic						

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-44
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				41-44		
				feet		

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space			<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
21	4	Grouted - Cement		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

71	Pumping test method		Pumping rate		Duration of pumping	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer		15 GPM		1 Hours 15 Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes 26-28	30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34	60 minutes 35-37
	12 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet
If flowing give rate		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test		
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting		Recommended pump rate		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		90 feet		5 GPM		

<h3>FINAL STATUS OF WELL</h3>			54		
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/>	Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/>	Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/>	Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/>	Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/>	Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/>	Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/>	Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/>	Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/>	Dewatering		

<h3>WATER USE</h3>			55 56		
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/>	Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/>	Not used
2 <input type="checkbox"/>	Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/>	Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/>	Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/>	Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/>	Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/>	Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/>	Cooling & air conditioning		

<h3>METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION</h3>			57		
1 <input type="checkbox"/>	Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/>	Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/>	Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/>	Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/>	Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/>	Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/>	Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/>	Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/>	Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/>	Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/>	Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Lot #27

H

Veranda

11'7"


11'3"

Woodside

Poplarwood

Flewellyn Road.

182799

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Spittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 24 mo 12 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		JAN 0 8 1998	
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1529795

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 109

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot	25-27
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	23	
Owner's surname	First name	Address		Date completed
28-47				48-50
Technical Dimensions	850-36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5		18 day 12 month	97 year

21 U Zone Easting Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Gray	Hardpan	Slab Rocks	Fill	0	20
Gray	Limestone		Hard	20	54
Gray	Limestone		Medium	54	83
Light Gray	Limestone		Medium	83	128
Brown	Limestone		Soft	128	147
Gray	Limestone		Soft	147	200

31

32

	10	14	15	21
41	WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet	Kind of water			
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14	
68	15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19
135	20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	24
196	25-28	NOT TESTED		29
	30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34

51		32		43		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD	
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet				
			From	To			
6 1/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22			
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic						
6 1/8	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	200			
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic						

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No)	31-33	Diameter inches	34-38	Length feet	39-40
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen feet	41-44	30

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space			<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
10-13	14-17			
20	4	Grouted Cement (3)		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

71	Pumping test method		10	Pumping rate		11-14	Duration of pumping		15-18	
	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailor			8		GPM	1..... Hours	 Mins	
	Static level		25	Water levels during		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping	<input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	Water level end of pumping									
	19-21		22-24	15 minutes 26-28		30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34		60 minutes 35-37	
27 feet		65 feet	40 feet		61 feet	65 feet		65 feet		
If flowing give rate			38-41	Pump intake set at			Water at end of test			42
GPM				feet			<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy			
Recommended pump type				Recommended pump setting			Recommended pump rate			
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep				125 feet			5 GPM			

FINA STATUS OF WELL		
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

20-200 **0-20**

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Poplarwood


Forestgrave Dr.

30'

17'-4"

Lot 39

182795

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 19 mo 12 yr 97

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
	1558			JAN 0 8 1998	
	Date of inspection	Inspector			
Remarks					

Ministry of
Environment
and Energy

The Ontario Water Resources Act **WATER WELL RECORD**

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530039

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village		Con block tract survey, etc.		Lot	
Ottawa Carleton		Goulbourn		9		23	
Address		Date completed					
38 Argue Dr., suit 245 Nepean, Ontario		28 day 5 month 98 year					
21		K2E 8A5					

[illegible][illegible]

41		WATER RECORD			
Water found at – feet		Kind of water			
65	10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14	
		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals		
111	15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19	
		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals		
20-23		NOT TESTED			
	25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29	
		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals		
	30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34	
		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals		

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	40
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastic			20-23
5 7/8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		40	115
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			27-30

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
						feet

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space <input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (8)	
39	0		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer	20 GPM		1 Hours Mins	
	Static level ²⁵		Water level during		<input type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	Water level end of pumping					
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
10 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	16 feet	
If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²		
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		80 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		54
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply ⁹	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	¹⁰ <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (correctional)	6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

40-115 **0-40**

LOCATION OF WELL

→

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Forest Grove Dr.

Flewellyn Rd

60'4" 37'6"


House

G

Lot # 32

Woodside Acres

183867

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 29 mo 5 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUL 22 1998		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						
	CSS. S9						



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	25
	Address	Date completed	
	11 Forest Heights Stittsville, Ontario	29 day 6 month 98 year	
	K2S 1C3		

[illegible]

41		WATER RECORD				42	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water					
24	10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur			14	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
69	15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur			19	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
20-23		NOT TESTED				24	
	25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur			29	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
	30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur			34	
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 17/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22.5
6	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22.5	73
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-42
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				41-44		
				feet		

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted Cement (3) Hole Plug (1)	
21	22-25		
6	30-33		

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 20 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 0 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹		Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴		Water levels during <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹		45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	
	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷					
	10' 6" ³⁸⁻⁴¹		12' 4" ³⁸⁻⁴¹		13' 10" ³⁸⁻⁴¹	
PUMPING TEST	If flowing give rate		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test	
	GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		30 feet		5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION ⁵⁷

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Shea Rd.


40'


22'

1.8 km

Flewellyn Road

Huntley Road O.C. # 5 183897

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 30 mo 6 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
			1558		JUL 22 1998	
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					
	CSS. S9 					



1530046

Municipality 15003 Con. CON 09

County or District		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village		Con block tract survey, etc.		Lot	
Ottawa Carleton		Goulbourn		9		24	
Owner's surname		First name		Address		Date completed	
Technical Dimensions Ltd				850 - 36 Antares Dr. Nepean, Ontario		18 May 6 month 98 year	

21 Zone Easting **K2E 7W5** Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code ii iii iv

[illegible]

31

32

10 14 15 21 32 43 54 65 75 80

41		WATER RECORD		
Water found at – feet	Kind of water			
73	10-13	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14
	15-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19
127	20-23	NOT TESTED		24
	25-28	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29
	30-33	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34

51					CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD		
Inside diam inches	Material		Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet			
				From	To		
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	12	.188	0	22.4		
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	19		22.4	30		
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	26		30	130		

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
				41-44		feet

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement (6)	
21	3		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate		Duration of pumping ¹⁷⁻¹⁸	
	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailor		15 GPM		1 Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁵ 26-28	30 minutes 29-31	45 minutes 32-34	60 minutes 35-37
	18 feet	25 feet	25 feet	25 feet	25 feet	25 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²	
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting		Recommended pump rate		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		75 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished	
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used	
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool 30-130	5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion 0-30	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Forest Grove

House


Lot #24

17'6"

11'

183883

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/W. Kavanagh	T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>[Signature]</i>	day 22 mo 6 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
	1558		JUL 22 1998				
Date of inspection	Inspector						
Remarks	<div style="text-align: right;"> CSS. S9  </div>						

Print only in spaces provided. Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530217

Municipality 15003 Con. 09

County or District
Township/Borough/City/Town/Village
Con block tract survey, etc.
Lot
Address
Date completed
P.O. Box 98 Greely, Ontario KOA 1Z0

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand	Stones	Dry	0	5
Brown	Hardpan	Boulders	Packed	5	16
Gray	Hardpan	Boulders	Packed	16	25
Gray	Limestone		Hard	25	105

31
32

41 WATER RECORD

Water found at - feet	Kind of water
65	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 14 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 15 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 16
103	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 19 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 20 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 21
NOT TESTED	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 24 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 25 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 26
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 29 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 30 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 31
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 34 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 35 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas 36

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 12 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 13 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 14 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 15 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 16	.188	0	40
6 1/8	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 19 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 20 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 21 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 22 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 23		40	50
5 7/8	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 26 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 27 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 28 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 29 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 30		50	105

SCREEN

Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	Diameter inches	Length feet
Material and type		Depth at top of screen feet

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

Annular space		Abandonment
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)
From	To	
39	0	Grouted Cement (5)

71 PUMPING TEST

Pumping test method 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer	Pumping rate 15 GPM	Duration of pumping 15-18 Hours 1 Mins
Static level 8 feet	Water level end of pumping 10 feet	Water levels during 15 minutes 10 feet 30 minutes 10 feet 45 minutes 10 feet 60 minutes 10 feet
If flowing give rate 8 feet	Pump intake set at 75 feet	Water at end of test 10 feet
Recommended pump type 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep	Recommended pump setting 75 feet	Recommended pump rate 5 GPM

FINAL STATUS OF WELL


1 <input type="checkbox"/> Water supply 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other) 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
---	--	---

WATER USE

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stock 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	--	--

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Boring 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging 11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
--	---	--



Name of Well Contractor
Capital Water Supply Ltd.
Well Contractor's Licence No.
1558
Address
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6
Name of Well Technician
S. Milletr/ W. Kavanagh
Well Technician's Licence No.
T0097/T0095
Signature of Technician/Contractor
Submission date
day 17 mo 8 yr 98

MINISTRY USE ONLY

Data source 1558	Date received OCT 15 1998
Date of inspection	Inspector
Remarks	

CSS. ES9



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1530297

Municipality 15003 Con. CAN 09

County or District Ottawa-Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village West Carleton	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23
Address Rte 1 Carleton		Date completed 2 day 7 month 98 year	

21

North

RC

Elevation

RC

Basin Code

iii

iv

10

12

17

18

24

25

26

30

31

47

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

31

32

10 14 15 21 32 43 54 65 75

41 WATER RECORD		51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD													
Water found at - feet		Kind of water		Inside diam inches		Material		Wall thickness inches		Depth - feet		From		To		Material and type		Depth at top of screen	
10-13		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 14		10-11		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 12		10-11		13-16		13-16		13-16		13-16		13-16	
15-18		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 19		15-18		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 19		15-18		20-23		20-23		20-23		20-23		20-23	
20-23		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 24		20-23		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 24		20-23		25-28		25-28		25-28		25-28		25-28	
25-28		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 29		25-28		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 29		25-28		30-33		30-33		30-33		30-33		30-33	
30-33		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 34		30-33		1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic 34		30-33		35-38		35-38		35-38		35-38		35-38	

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 10 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping ² <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁵⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	6 feet	100 feet	6 feet	6 feet	6 feet	6 feet
	If flowing give rate	Pump intake set at	Water at end of test			
GPM		feet	<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy			
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting	Recommended pump rate			
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		100 feet	10 GPM			

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54	
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other) 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
WATER USE 55-56	
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57	
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional) 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse) 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond 8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving 10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging 11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other

192750

Name of Well Contractor Air-Rock Drilling Co Ltd 1119		Well Contractor's Licence No.	
Address RR# 2 Jasper, AB			
Name of Well Technician Shannon Purcell		Well Technician's Licence No. T2112	
Signature of Technician/Contractor [Signature]		Submission date 13 07 98 day mo yr	

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source 58		Contractor 1119		Date received 59-62 NOV 26 1998	
	Date of inspection			Inspector		
	Remarks CSS. ES9					

0506 (07/94) Front For

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530491

Municipality 15003 Con. 09

County or District: Ottawa-Carleton Township/Borough/City/Town/Village: Goulbourn Con block tract survey, etc.: 9 Lot: 24
Address: Technical Dimension Homes 36 Antares Date completed: 22 day 2 month 99 year
Nepean, Ontario K2E 7W5


LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand	Gravel	Backfill	0	3
Gray	Hardpan	Gravel	Packed	3	6
Gray	Limestone		Medium	6	74
Dark Gray	Limestone		Medium	74	123
Light Reddish	Limestone		Medium	123	140
Gray	Limestone		Medium	140	200

31 32

41 WATER RECORD Water found at - feet: 90 Kind of water: 1 Fresh 2 Salty 3 Sulphur 4 Minerals 5 Gas 15-18: 1 Fresh 2 Salty 3 Sulphur 4 Minerals 5 Gas 20-23: 1 Fresh 2 Salty 3 Sulphur 4 Minerals 5 Gas 23-28: NOT TESTED 30-33: 1 Fresh 2 Salty 3 Sulphur 4 Minerals 5 Gas	51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD Inside diam inches: 6 1/4 Material: 1 Steel 2 Galvanized 3 Concrete 4 Open hole 5 Plastic Wall thickness inches: .188 Depth - feet: From 0 To 25 17-18: 1 Steel 2 Galvanized 3 Concrete 4 Open hole 5 Plastic 5 7/8 20-23: 25 200 24-25: 1 Steel 2 Galvanized 3 Concrete 4 Open hole 5 Plastic 27-30	61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD Annular space: 1 2 Abandonment Depth set at - feet: From 10-13 To 14-17 Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.): 23.5 3 Grouted - Hole Plug (4) 26-29 30-33 80
--	---	--

71 PUMPING TEST Pumping test method: 1 Pump 2 Bailor Pumping rate: 13 GPM Duration of pumping: 1 Hours 17-18 Mins Static level: 22 feet Water level end of pumping: 60 feet Water levels during: 15 minutes 40 feet 30 minutes 51 feet 45 minutes 60 feet 60 minutes 60 feet If flowing give rate: 22 feet 60 feet GPM: 150 Recommended pump type: 1 Shallow 2 Deep Recommended pump setting: 150 feet Recommended pump rate: 5 GPM

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 1 Water supply 2 Observation well 3 Test hole 4 Recharge well 5 Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 Abandoned, poor quality 7 Abandoned (Other) 8 Dewatering 9 Unfinished 10 Replacement well	WATER USE 1 Domestic 2 Stock 3 Irrigation 4 Industrial 5 Commercial 6 Municipal 7 Public supply 8 Cooling & air conditioning 9 Not used 10 Other	METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 1 Cable tool 2 Rotary (conventional) 3 Rotary (reverse) 4 Rotary (air) 5 Air percussion 6 Boring 7 Diamond 8 Jetting 9 Driving 10 Digging 11 Other
---	--	---



Name of Well Contractor: Capital Water Supply Ltd. Address: P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6 Name of Well Technician: W. Kavanagh Signature of Technician/Contractor: [Signature]	Well Contractor's Licence No.: 1558 Well Technician's Licence No.: T0095 Submission date: day 23 mo 2 yr 99	MINISTRY USE ONLY Data source: 1558 Date of inspection: APR 13 1999 Remarks: CSS.ES9
---	---	---



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

bc

County or District	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village	Con block tract survey, etc.	Lot
Ottawa Carleton	Goulbourn	9	24
	Address	Date completed	
	6 Eagle Rock Way Stittsville, Ontario	18 May 5 month 99 year	

21

1 9

T

M

10

12

17

18

24

25

26

30

31

47

North

RC

Elevation

RC

Basin Code

ii

iii

iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

41		WATER RECORD	
Water found at – feet	Kind of water		
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14
109			
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19
173			
20-23	NOT TESTED		24
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth – feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22 ¹⁸
5 7/8	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	177 ²⁰⁻²³
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			27-30 ²⁶

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
						41-44
						feet

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement	
20 18-21	4 22-26		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸	
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump	2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer	15+ GPM	 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ₂₆₋₂₈	30 minutes ₂₉₋₃₁	45 minutes ₃₂₋₃₄	60 minutes ₃₅₋₃₇
	30 feet	36 feet	36 feet	36 feet	36 feet	36 feet
If flowing give rate		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test		
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting		Recommended pump rate		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		100 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
9		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
WATER USE			
55-56			
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging
		11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

194849

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>S. Miller</i>	day 19 mo 5 yr 99

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
		1558		JUN 14 1999		
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES9					

Print only in spaces provided. Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1530702

Municipality 15003 Con. 09

County or District: [redacted] Township/Borough/City/Town/Village: Goulbourn Con block tract survey, etc.: 9 Lot: 24 Address: [redacted] Date completed: 17 day 8 month 99

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	Sand			0	5
Gray	Limestone		Medium	5	60
Gray	Limestone		Hard	60	90
Black	Stone	Shale		90	110

31 32

WATER RECORD

Water found at - feet	Kind of water
106	1 Fresh 2 Salty 3 Sulphur 4 Minerals 5 Gas

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 Steel 2 Galvanized 3 Concrete 4 Open hole 5 Plastic	.188	0	22.5
6	1 Steel 2 Galvanized 3 Concrete 4 Open hole 5 Plastic		22.5	60
5 7/8	1 Steel 2 Galvanized 3 Concrete 4 Open hole 5 Plastic		60	110

SCREEN

Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	Diameter inches	Length feet

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

Depth set at - feet	Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)
21	Grouted - Cement

PUMPING TEST

1 Pump 2 Bailer	Pumping rate 15 GPM	Duration of pumping 1 Hours 17 Mins
Static level 18 feet	Water level end of pumping 40 feet	Water levels during 1 Pumping 2 Recovery

FINAL STATUS OF WELL


1 Water supply 2 Observation well 3 Test hole 4 Recharge well	5 Abandoned, insufficient supply 6 Abandoned, poor quality 7 Abandoned (Other) 8 Dewatering	9 Unfinished 10 Replacement well
---	---	----------------------------------

WATER USE

1 Domestic 2 Stock 3 Irrigation 4 Industrial	5 Commercial 6 Municipal 7 Public supply 8 Cooling & air conditioning	9 Not use 10 Other
--	---	--------------------

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION

1 Cable tool 2 Rotary (conventional) 3 Rotary (reverse) 4 Rotary (air)	5 Air percussion 6 Boring 7 Diamond 8 Jetting	9 Driving 10 Digging 11 Other
--	---	-------------------------------



Name of Well Contractor: Capital Water Supply Ltd. Well Contractor's Licence No.: 1558 Address: P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6 Name of Well Technician: S. Miller Well Technician's Licence No.: T0097 Signature of Technician/Contractor: [Signature] Submission date: day 18 mo 8 yr 99

MINISTRY USE ONLY

Data source 1558	Contractor 1558	Date received SEP 15 1999
Date of inspection	Inspector	Remarks



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

11

Municipality
15003

Con.
CON 09

County or District Ontario, Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Address Box 190 Carp, Ontario K0A 1L0		Date completed 30 day 10 month 99	

99

[illegible][illegible]

31

32

41		WATER RECORD		42	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water			
10-13	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14		
15-19	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19		
20-23	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	24		
25-28	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29		
30-33	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34		

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22
6 1/8	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	50
5 7/8	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		50	75

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-43
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)			
From	To				
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement (3)			
21	0				
18-21	22-25				
26-29	30-33	80'			

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump ² <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer		25 GPM		1 ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Hours ¹⁹⁻²⁰ Mins	
	Static level ²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during ²⁵		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery ²	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	18'1" ³⁸	19'2" ³⁹	19 feet ⁴⁰	19'1" ⁴¹	19'2" ⁴²	19'2" ⁴³
	If flowing give rate ³⁶⁻⁴¹	Pump intake set at ⁴⁴		Water at end of test ⁴⁵		
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		50 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well		

WATER USE			55-56
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not use		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging		
11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

Forest Grove

G

11'


14'

Lot #28

Woodside Acres

Flewellyn Rd

208492

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 30 mo 10 yr 99

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		DEC 07 1999		
Date of inspection			Inspector				
Remarks							
CSS.ES0							



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District Ottawa-Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 22+23
Owner's surname John Gerard Hompes	Address Sittisville Ct	Date completed 22 day	12 month 99 year

Figure 1 illustrates the data structure for the 21 basins. The structure is organized into several columns: Zone, Easting, Northing, RC, Elevation, RC, Basin Code, and a final section with sub-sections ii, iii, and iv. A box labeled '21' is positioned at the top left, indicating the total number of basins. The diagram shows how data is organized and indexed for each basin.

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible]

41		WATER RECORD	
Water found at - feet	Kind of water		
10-13	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh;	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14
65	2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	15
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34
	2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	

51		CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD			
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
10-11	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	12		13-16	
6 1/4		188	0	22	
17-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	19		20-23	
8 3/4			0	20	
24-25	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	26		27-30	
6			20	70	

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
				feet		

61		PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To	Cement grout	
10-13	14-17		
2	22		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	80	

71	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 20 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping ²⁵		Water levels during 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	6 feet	feet	6 feet	6 feet	6 feet	6 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 60 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 20 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL 54

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	

WATER USE 55-56

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not used
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

A hand-drawn map showing the location of a well. A road labeled "Forestgrove Dr." runs diagonally from the top left towards the bottom right. A well, represented by a circle with a cross, is located to the left of this road. A double-headed arrow between the well and the road is labeled "80'". Another road, labeled "Flewellyn Rd", runs horizontally across the bottom of the map. A line connects the well to this road, and a label "4km" is placed near this line. A north arrow, consisting of a vertical line with a crossbar, is located in the upper right corner of the map.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Air-Rock Drilling Co Ltd	1119
Address	
RR # 2 Jasper Co	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
Shannon Purcell	T2122
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
[Signature]	14 day 01 mo 00 yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	90
			1119		FEB 10 2000		
	Date of inspection			Inspector			
	Remarks	<div style="text-align: right; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">CSS.ES0</div>					

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531192

Municipality

15003

Con.

CON

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23
Owner's surname Maple Mountain Homes	First Name	Address Box 730 Richmond, Ontario K0A 2Z0	Date completed 17 May 6 months 00 year

21

Zone
 U
Y
M
 10

Easting
 12 13 14 15 16 17

Northing
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

RC
 25

Elevation
 26

RC
 27

Basin Code
 II III IV

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible][illegible]

41		WATER RECORD				
Water found at - feet		Kind of water				
27	10-13	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14
		2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
160	15-18	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19
		2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
NOT TESTED	20-23	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24
		2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
	25-28	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29
		2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
	30-33	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34
		2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22
6	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	172
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-25	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement (3)					
21	0						
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	50					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Pump ² <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailor		7 GPM		1 ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Hours Mins	
	Static level	Water level end of pumping	Water levels during ¹ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping ² <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	13-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁵⁻²⁶	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	8 feet	125 feet	65 feet	90 feet	110 feet	125 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹	Pump intake set at	Water at end of test ⁴²			
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		150 feet		7 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		54
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	

WATER USE		55-56
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION		57
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool 50-172	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion 0-50	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.


Forest Grove

Woodside Acres

Public Road

Flewellyn Rd

208609

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 19 mo 6 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	a
		1558		JUL 17 2000		
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES0					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531197





Municipality

15003

Con.

CON

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 23	
Address 193 Winding Way Nepean, Ontario				Date completed 16 day 6 month 00 year			
							

[illegible][illegible]

41		WATER RECORD		42		
Water found at - feet		Kind of water				
85	10-13	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14
		4	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
	15-18	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals		
		5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
	20-23	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24
		4	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
	25-28	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals		
		5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
	30-33	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34
		4	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals	
		5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD					
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet		
			From	To	
6 1/4	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	73	
6	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		73	90	
	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Steel 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic				

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-35	Length	36-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13	14-17	Grouted -Bentonite (3)					
60	0						
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33						
		80					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 25 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
			15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	21 feet	35 feet	85 feet	60 feet	50 feet	35 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹	Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²		
	GPM	feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
		50 feet		5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well		

WATER USE			55-56
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not use		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging		
11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Old Hwy #16


Windward Way

House #193

18

28

208608

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 19 mo 6 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
		1558		JUL 17 2000		
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES0					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

1531200

09

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Amsted Construction	First Name	Address P.O. Box 129 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A2	Date completed 28 May 6 months 00 years

21

U
T
M

Easting

Northing

RC

RC

Basin Code

19

119

iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible]

31

32

WATER RECORD

Water found at - feet		Kind of water	
10-13	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	14
15-18	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	19
20-23	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	24
25-28	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	29
30-33	1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	34

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD

Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22
6 1/8	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22	50
6	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		50	88

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD

Logging & Strain Record			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17	Grouted-Cement (4)	
21	0		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33	50	

71

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 8 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹		Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴		Water levels during ²⁵ : 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹		45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	
	8 feet		16 feet		18 feet	
	18 feet		17 feet		16 feet	
If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 40 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		

FINAL STATUS OF WELL

1 ☒ Water supply 5 ☐ Abandoned, insufficient supply 9 ☐ Unfinished
2 ☐ Observation well 6 ☐ Abandoned, poor quality 10 ☐ Replacement well
3 ☐ Test hole 7 ☐ Abandoned (Other)
4 ☐ Recharge well 8 ☐ Dewatering

WATER USE

1 ☒ Domestic
2 ☒ Stock
3 ☐ Irrigation
4 ☐ Industrial
5 ☐ Commercial
6 ☐ Municipal
7 ☐ Public supply
8 ☐ Cooling & air conditioning
9 ☐ Not use
10 ☐ Other


METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION 57

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION


1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool 50-88	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air) 0-50	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL


In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.



208624

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Address Capital Water Supply Ltd	1558
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller/P. Stanton	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor 	Submission date day 30 mo 6 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
		1558		JUL 17 2000		
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES0					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531659

Municipality
15003

Con.
CON 09

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 23	
Address P.O. Box 190 Carp, Ontario KOA 1L0				Date completed 20 day 11 month 00 year			
Northings		RC		Elevation		RC	
Basin Code		ii		iii		iv	
21	U T M						

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

[illegible][illegible]

41		14 15				21	
WATER RECORD							
Water found at - feet		Kind of water					
65	10-13	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
91	15-18	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
NOT TESTED	20-23	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
25-28	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29		
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
30-33	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34		
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	22.5
6 1/16	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		22.5	102
	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type	Depth at top of screen			41-44	3'
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13	14-17	Grouted - Cement (4)					
22.5	0						
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 8 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during ²⁵ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	6 feet	14 feet	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	6 feet	14 feet	9 feet	12 feet	14 feet	14 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 60 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	55-102	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	0-55
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	0-55	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Flewellyn Rd

Lot #5


Piles

Forest Grove

Woodside Acres

Hunter Rd

224714

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 21 mo 11 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	8
	1558		JAN 30 2001			
	Date of inspection		Inspector			
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES1					



The Ontario Water Resources Act

WATER WELL RECORD

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1531905

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **08**

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 8	Lot 23
	Address 41 Delamere Dr., Stittsville, ON. K2S 1R2	Date completed 08 05 01	25-27 48-53 day month year

Parameter	Value
21	1
22	2
23	3
24	4
25	5
26	6
27	7
28	8
29	9
30	10
31	11
32	12
33	13
34	14
35	15
36	16
37	17
38	18
39	19
40	20
41	21
42	22
43	23
44	24
45	25
46	26
47	27
48	28
49	29
50	30
51	31
52	32
53	33
54	34
55	35
56	36
57	37
58	38
59	39
60	40
61	41
62	42
63	43
64	44
65	45
66	46
67	47
68	48
69	49
70	50
71	51
72	52
73	53
74	54
75	55
76	56
77	57
78	58
79	59
80	60
81	61
82	62
83	63
84	64
85	65
86	66
87	67
88	68
89	69
90	70
91	71
92	72
93	73
94	74
95	75
96	76
97	77
98	78
99	79
100	80
101	81
102	82
103	83
104	84
105	85
106	86
107	87
108	88
109	89
110	90
111	91
112	92
113	93
114	94
115	95
116	96
117	97
118	98
119	99
120	100
121	101
122	102
123	103
124	104
125	105
126	106
127	107
128	108
129	109
130	110
131	111
132	112
133	113
134	114
135	115
136	116
137	117
138	118
139	119
140	120
141	121
142	122
143	123
144	124
145	125
146	126
147	127
148	128
149	129
150	130
151	131
152	132
153	133
154	134
155	135
156	136
157	137
158	138
159	139
160	140
161	141
162	142
163	143
164	144
165	145
166	146
167	147
168	148
169	149
170	150
171	151
172	152
173	153
174	154
175	155
176	156
177	157
178	158
179	159
180	160
181	161
182	162
183	163
184	164
185	165
186	166

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible]

31

32

10 14 15 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

41		42		43		44		45		46		47		48		49		50			
WATER RECORD																					
Water found at - feet				Kind of water																	
69				10-13		NOT TESTED														14	
				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur															
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		6 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals															
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas															
				15-18																19	
				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur															
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals															
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas															
				20-23																24	
				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur															
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals															
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas															
				25-28																29	
				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur															
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals															
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas															
				30-33																34	
				1 <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh		3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur															
				2 <input type="checkbox"/> Salty		4 <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals															
						6 <input type="checkbox"/> Gas															

CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 10-1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic	.188	0	27 14-16
6 17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic		27	70 20-23
6 24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			27-30

SCREEN	54	65	75	80
	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter 34-38 inches	Length 39-40 feet
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen 41-44 feet	30

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)					
From	To						
10-13 27	14-17 0	Grouted-cement (4)					
18-21	22-25						
26-29	30-33	80					

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 50 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ 1 Hours ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ Mins	
	Static level		25 Water levels during		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21 5' 6" feet		22-24 30 feet		26-28 60 feet	
			29-31 50 feet		32-34 40 feet	
					35-37 30 feet	
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 40 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM		
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well		


WATER USE			55-56
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not use		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1	<input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting
9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving		
10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging		
11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

230090

Name of Well Contractor Capital Water Supply Ltd.	Well Contractor's Licence No. 1558
Address Box 490, Stittsville, ON. K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician S. Miller	Well Technician's Licence No. T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor 	Submission date day 09 mo 05 yr 01

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		JUN 15 2001		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						
	CSS.ES1						



Print only in spaces provided.
Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1532031

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District Ottawa Carleton	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Gopitbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 23	25-27
Address 63 Barrow Crescent, Kanata ON/ K2L 2K9		Date completed 25 06 01 day month year		48-53

21

U
T
M

10 12 14 16 17

18 20 22 24

25

26

30

31

47

Northing RC Elevation RC Basin Code ii iii iv

LOG OF OVERBORER AND DEEPER WELLS - 1958					
General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
Brown	sand	stones		0	11
Grey	limestone		med hard	11	50
Grey	limestone			50	64
NOTE: casing was left 1 ft. above ground level at time of drilling.					

[illegible]

41		14 15 21				WATER RECORD	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water					
58	10-13	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
				6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas		
	15-18	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
				6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas		
	20-23	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
				6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas		
	25-28	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
				6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas		
	30-33	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34	
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals			
				6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas		

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
6 1/4	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	12 .188	0	21'6"
6 1/8	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	19	21'6"	50
5 3/4	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	26	50	64

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen	3	
				41-44		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.).					
From To							
10-13 21'-6"		14-17 0		Grouted-cement (4)			
18-21		22-25					
26-29		30-33					

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> B ailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 16 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours ¹⁷⁻¹⁸ _____ Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹ 7 feet		Water level end of pumping ²⁵ Water levels during <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> R ecovery			
	22-24 12 feet		15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 8 feet		30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹ 7 feet	
			45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 7 feet		60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷ 7 feet	
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> X Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 40 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well

WATER USE			55-56
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Public supply
4	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Not use
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57	
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	50-64	5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion
2	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	
3	<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	
		9	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
		10	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
		11	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram shows a well located between Forestgrove #1 and Flewellyn Road. The well is 54' from the road and 38'6" from the lot line. North is indicated by an arrow.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
Box 490, Stittsville ON. K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller P. STANTON	T0097 T0086
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
<i>[Signature]</i>	day 27 mo 06 yr 00

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68
		1558		JUL 18 2001	
	Date of inspection	Inspector			
	Remarks				
	CSS.ES1				



The Ontario Water Resources Act WATER WELL RECORD

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1532642

Municipality

15003

Con.

CON

108

County or District Ottawa	Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 8	Lot 25
Address Stittsville Ont		Date completed 8 day 02 month 02 year	48-53 25-27

21

UTM

Northings

RC

Elevation

RC

Basin Code

ii

iii

iv

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)[illegible][illegible]

32

41		42		43		44		45		46		47	
WATER RECORD													
Water found at - feet				Kind of water									
33				10-13		1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14			
				2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals						
53				15-18		1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh	5	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19			
				2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	6	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals						
54				20-23		1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fresh	7	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24			
				2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	8	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals						
				25-28		1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29			
				2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals						
				30-33		1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34			
				2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals						

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11 6 1/4	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	12 188	0	13-16 22
17-18 8 3/4	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	19	0	20-23 20
24-25 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	26	20	27-30 61

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		
				feet		

61				PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annular space				<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment			
Depth set at - feet			Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)				
From		To					
10-13		14-17	cement grout				
2		22					
18-21		22-25					
26-29		30-33	80				

PUMPING TEST	71 Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 26 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level		25 Water levels during 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recovery			
	Water level end of pumping					
	19-21	22-24	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
	4 feet	50 feet	4 feet	4 feet	4 feet	4 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 50 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 26 GPM		
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL		54
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering	
WATER USE		
55-56		
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning	
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION		57
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

Huntley Rd.

106'

90'

Flewelyn Rd.

237772

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Air-Rock Drilling Co Ltd	1119
Address	
RR # 2 Jasper, AB	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
Shannon Purcell	T2122
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
Kenne	15 02 03 day mo yr

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58 Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	69
		1119		FEB 25 2002		
	Date of inspection	Inspector				
	Remarks					
	CSS.ES2					

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1533027

Municipality **15003** Con. **CON** **09**

County or District Ottawa Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn	Con block tract survey, etc. 9	Lot 24
Owner's surname Maple Mountain Homes	First Name	Address P.O. Box 730 Richmond, Ontario K0A 2Z0	Date completed 2 day 7 month 02 year	

21		Zone	Easting	Northing	RC	Elevation	RC	Basin Code	ii	iii	iv																												
		UT M	10	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47

[illegible]

31

32

41 WATER RECORD			
Water found at - feet	Kind of water		
10-13	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
15-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
20-23	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
25-28	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	
30-33	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Salty	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur <input type="checkbox"/> Minerals <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			13-16
17-18	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			20-23
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> Steel <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic			27-30

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)		Diameter	Length
			inches	feet
	Material and type		Depth at top of screen	
				feet

61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment	
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)	
From	To		
10-13	14-17		
18-21	22-25		
26-29	30-33		

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Bailer		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 20 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level		Water level end of pumping ²⁵		Water levels during <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	19-21		22-24			
	6' 2" feet		20 feet		115 feet	
					30 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸ 29-31	
					45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴ 35-37	
If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹		Pump intake set at		Water at end of test ⁴²		
GPM		feet		<input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy		
Recommended pump type		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹		
<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		50 feet		5 GPM		
50-53						

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering		

WATER USE			55-56
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning		


METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 <input type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting		

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow.

Flewellyn Rd

238194

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
P.O. Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 5 mo 7 yr 02

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		AUG 13 2002		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks	CSS.ES					

Print only in spaces provided.

Mark correct box with a checkmark, where applicable.

11

1533078

Municipality

Con.

15003

CON

09

County or District Ottawa, Carleton		Township/Borough/City/Town/Village Goulbourn		Con block tract survey, etc. 9		Lot 23	
Address Box 190 Carp, Ontario K0A 1L0				Date completed 12 day 88 month 02 year			

LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (see instructions)

General colour	Most common material	Other materials	General description	Depth - feet	
				From	To
brown	soil	stones		0	8
grey	limestone		med hard	8	181
Note: Casing was left 1 foota above ground level at time of drilling.					

41		WATER RECORD						27	
Water found at - feet		Kind of water							
10-13 125	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	14				
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
15-18 145	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	19				
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
20-23 176	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	24				
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
25-28 not tested	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	29				
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
30-33	1	<input type="checkbox"/> Fresh	3	<input type="checkbox"/> Sulphur	34				
	2	<input type="checkbox"/> Salty	4	<input type="checkbox"/> Minerals					
			6	<input type="checkbox"/> Gas					

51 CASING & OPEN HOLE RECORD				
Inside diam inches	Material	Wall thickness inches	Depth - feet	
			From	To
10-11 6 1/4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	12 .188	0	13-16 21'6"
17-18 5 7/8	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	19	21'6	20-23 48
24-25	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 Steel <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Galvanized <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> 4 Open hole <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Plastic	26	48	27-30 181

SCREEN	Sizes of opening (Slot No.)	31-33	Diameter	34-38	Length	39-40
			inches		feet	
	Material and type			Depth at top of screen		30
				41-44		
				feet		

61	PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD			
<input type="checkbox"/> Annular space		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment		
Depth set at - feet		Material and type (Cement grout, bentonite, etc.)		
From	To			
21'±	0	grouted cement (4)		
18-21	22-25			
26-29	30-33	80		

PUMPING TEST	Pumping test method ¹⁰ 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Pump 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bailor		Pumping rate ¹¹⁻¹⁴ 12 GPM		Duration of pumping ¹⁵⁻¹⁸ 1 Hours 17-18 Mins	
	Static level ¹⁹⁻²¹	Water level end of pumping ²²⁻²⁴	Water levels during ²⁵ <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pumping		2 <input type="checkbox"/> Recovery	
	24 feet	50 feet	15 minutes ²⁶⁻²⁸	30 minutes ²⁹⁻³¹	45 minutes ³²⁻³⁴	60 minutes ³⁵⁻³⁷
			42 feet	45 feet	48 feet	50 feet
	If flowing give rate ³⁸⁻⁴¹ GPM		Pump intake set at feet		Water at end of test ⁴² <input type="checkbox"/> Clear <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cloudy	
	Recommended pump type <input type="checkbox"/> Shallow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deep		Recommended pump setting ⁴³⁻⁴⁵ 75 feet		Recommended pump rate ⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ 5 GPM	

FINAL STATUS OF WELL			54
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water supply	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, insufficient supply	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Unfinished	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Observation well	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, poor quality	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement well	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Test hole	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned (Other)		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge well	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering		


WATER USE			55-56
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	5 <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Not use	
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Stock	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Public supply		
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & air conditioning		

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION			57
1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cable tool	50-181	3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion	0-50
2 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (conventional)	6 <input type="checkbox"/> Boring	9 <input type="checkbox"/> Driving	
3 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (reverse)	7 <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	10 <input type="checkbox"/> Digging	
4 <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (air)	8 <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	11 <input type="checkbox"/> Other	

LOCATION OF WELL

In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line.
Indicate north by arrow.

The diagram illustrates the location of a well (marked with a circle) relative to a road and a lot line. The road is labeled "Forest Grove" and "Woodside Acres". The lot line is labeled "Flewelyn". The distance from the well to the road is 54'. The distance from the well to the lot line is 61'. A north arrow is shown in the upper left corner.

Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.
Capital Water Supply Ltd.	1558
Address	
Box 490 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1A6	
Name of Well Technician	Well Technician's Licence No.
S. Miller	T0097
Signature of Technician/Contractor	Submission date
	day 13 mo 08 yr 02

MINISTRY USE ONLY	Data source	58	Contractor	59-62	Date received	63-68	80
			1558		SEP 16 2002		
	Date of inspection		Inspector				
	Remarks						
	CSS. EC2						

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) 14 Poplarwood (Lot 43)		Township Goulbourn	Lot 23	Concession 9
County/District/Municipality Ottawa Carleton		City/Town/Village Stittsville	Province Ontario	Postal Code
UTM Coordinates NAD 83	Zone 18	Easting 429114	Northing 5010184	Municipal Plan and Sublot Number Other

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft) From	To
	Previously Drilled			0	33.52
				33.52	83.20

Annular Space			
Depth Set at (m/ft) From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)

Method of Construction		Well Use	
<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	<input type="checkbox"/> Public	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse)	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole
<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion		<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify		<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	

Construction Record - Casing				Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft) From	To	
					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Supply
					<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction)
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify
					<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify

Construction Record - Screen				Status of Well	
Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft) From	To	
					<input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply
					<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction)
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify
					<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify


Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft)	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untested	Depth (m/ft) From	Diameter (cm/in) To
67.35 (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	33.52	83.20
81.98 (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas	<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify		15.23

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information			
Business Name of Well Contractor Capital Water Supply Ltd.	Well Contractor's Licence No. 1 5 5 8	Municipality Stittsville	
Business Address (Street Number/Name) Box 490	Province Ontario	Postal Code K2S 1A6	Business E-mail Address office@capitalwater.ca
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) 613 836 1766	Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) Miller, Stephen	Well Technician's Licence No. 0 0 9 7	Date Submitted 2 0 1 1 1 1 6 2

Results of Well Yield Testing			
After test of well yield, water was:		Draw Down	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free		Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify		Static Level	9.89
If pumping discontinued, give reason:		1	11.10
Pump intake set at (m/ft) 60.95		2	12.12
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM) 45.5		3	13.35
Duration of pumping 1 hrs + min		4	14.49
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft) 27.32		5	15.41
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)		10	19.12
Recommended pump depth (m/ft) 39.62		15	19.62
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM) 45.5		20	20.59
Well production (l/min / GPM)		25	23.96
Disinfected? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		30	24.80
		40	25.52
		50	26.03
		60	27.32

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.



Comments:

Well owner's information package delivered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Date Package Delivered 2 0 1 1 1 1 0 2	Date Work Completed 2 0 1 1 1 1 0 2
Ministry Use Only Audit No. Z 139723 FEB 09 2012		Received



Measurements recorded in: ☒ Metric ☐ Imperial

A136701

MW 14+801/12-20

Page 1 of 1

Well Owner's Information

First Name	Last Name / Organization CITY OF OTTAWA	E-mail Address	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Well Constructed by Well Owner
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name) 100 CONSTELLATION CRESCENT	Municipality OTTAWA	Province ON	Postal Code K2G 6J8
Telephone No. (inc. area code)			

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) FLEWELLYN ROAD / SHEA ROAD	Township	Lot	Concession
County/District/Municipality	City/Town/Village	Province Ontario	Postal Code
UTM Coordinates NAD 83 18 43 05 15 50 11 08 99	Zone Easting	Northings	Municipal Plan and Sublot Number
Other			

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft) From	To
GREY	FILL	GRAVELLY SAND, TRACE SILT		0	0.6
	TILL	SANDY SILT, GRAVEL	LOOSE TO DENSE	0.6	4.1
GREY	TILL	SILTY SAND, GRAVEL	VERY DENSE	4.1	5.1

Annular Space		
Depth Set at (m/ft) From	To	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) BENTONITE
0	0.6	

Method of Construction	Well Use
<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional) <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse) <input type="checkbox"/> Boring <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, specify HSA	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting <input type="checkbox"/> Driving <input type="checkbox"/> Digging <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic <input type="checkbox"/> Livestock <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify
	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitoring <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning

Construction Record - Casing				Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in) 5.08	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) PVC	Wall Thickness (cm/in) SCHED 40	Depth (m/ft) From To 0 3.6	<input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	

Construction Record - Screen				
Outside Diameter (cm/in) 5.8	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) PVC	Slot No. 10	Depth (m/ft) From To 3.6 5.1	

Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested	Depth (m/ft) From To	Diameter (cm/in)
Water found at Depth (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested		
Water found at Depth (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested		

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information			
Business Name of Well Contractor GEORGE DOWNING ESTATE DRILLING	Well Contractor's Licence No. 1 8 4 4		
Business Address (Street Number/Name) 410 RVE PRINCIPALE GRENVILLE-SUR-LA-ROCHE	Municipality		
Province QC	Postal Code J0V 1B0	Business E-mail Address downing@hawk	
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code)	Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)		
Well Technician's Licence No.	Signature of Technician and/or Contractor	Date Submitted 20130801	

Results of Well Yield Testing				
After test of well yield, water was: <input type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify	Draw Down		Recovery	
	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
If pumping discontinued, give reason:	Static Level			
	1		1	
Pump intake set at (m/ft)	2		2	
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)	3		3	
Duration of pumping hrs + min	4		4	
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)	5		5	
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)	10		10	
	15		15	
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)	20		20	
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)	25		25	
Well production (l/min / GPM)	30		30	
Disinfected? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	40		40	
	50		50	
	60		60	

Map of Well Location	
Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.	

Comments: MW 12-20, SEE ATTACHED ALSO	Well owner's information package delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Date Package Delivered Y Y Y Y M M D D 20130523	Date Work Completed 20130523	Ministry Use Only Audit No. Z 161278 AUG 07 2013
--	--	---	---------------------------------	---

Measurements recorded in: ☐ Metric ☒ Imperial

A **Book of the Month**

Well Owner's Information

First Name	Last Name / Organization	E-mail Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Well Constructed <input type="checkbox"/> by Well Owner
	J.P. Chenier Company Ltd	1384341	

Mailing Address (Street Number/Name)	Municipality	Province	Postal Code	Telephone No. (inc. area code)
9094 Cavanagh Road	Ashton	ON	K0A 1B0	

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name)	Township	Lot	Concession
6279 Pembank Road	Goulbourn	P/L 3	10

County/District/Municipality Ottawa-Carleton	City/Town/Village Stittsville	Province Ontario	Postal Code
--	---	----------------------------	-----------------

UTM Coordinates	Zone	Easting	Northing	Municipal Plan and Sublot Number	Other
NAD 83	18	428097	5011040		

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m)	
				From	To
	1 1/4" PVC	DRILLED WELL		0'	27'

Monitoring well

Annular Space

Depth Set at (mft)		Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)
From	To		
27'	6'	3/8 Hole Plug	1 Bag
6'	0'	Back fill	

Method of Construction

<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	<input type="checkbox"/> Public	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse)	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole	<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring
<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning	
<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion		<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		

Well Use

<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	<input type="checkbox"/> Public	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial	<input type="checkbox"/> Not used
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse)	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock	<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole	<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring
<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning	
<input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion		<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		

Construction Record - Casing

Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)		
			From	To	
					<input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply
					<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Observation and Monitoring Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction)
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Inefficient or

Status of Well

Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)		
			From	To	
					<input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply
					<input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well
					<input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole
					<input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction)
					<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Pump

Construction Record - Screen

Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)		<input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, specify <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Quality <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify
			From	To	
			New		Const

Results of Well Yield Testing

After test of well yield, water was:		Draw Down		Recovery	
<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free <input type="checkbox"/> Other, <i>specify</i> _____		Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)	Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
If pumping discontinued, give reason:		Static Level			
		1		1	
Pump intake set at (m/ft)		2		2	
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)		3		3	
		4		4	
Duration of pumping _____ hrs + _____ min		5		5	
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)		10		10	
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)		15		15	
		20		20	
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)		25		25	
		30		30	
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)		40		40	
		50		50	
Well production (l/min / GPM)		60		60	
Disinfected? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No					

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.

tion

1.4 KM


0.9 KM

6279
FERNBANK FOREST

Stittsville Main Street

\$

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd.		Well Contractor's Licence No. 7719	
Business Address (Street Number, Name) 6659 Franktown Road		Municipality and Richmond	
Province Ont	Postal Code K0A 2Z0	Business E-mail Address air.rock@sympatico.ca	
Bus. telephone No. (inc. area code) 613 838 2170		Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) Desautniers, Ken	
Well Technician's Licence No. 74	Signature of Technician and/or Contractor 	Date Submitted 2010 9 3 2016 09 30	

Comments:

Well owner's information package delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Date Package Delivered [REDACTED] Y Y Y Y M M D D	Ministry Use Only Audit No. Z23713 NOV 28 2016 Received
	Date Work Completed 2016 07 26 Y Y Y Y M M D D	



Measurements recorded in: ☒ Metric ☐ Imperial

Well Owner's Information

First Name _____ Last Name / Organization Davidson Co - Tenancy / c/o Tartan Lands Consultants Inc. E-mail Address _____ ☐ Well Constructed by Well Owner

Mailing Address (Street Number/Name) 237 Somerset St. W Municipality Ottawa Province ON Postal Code K2P1A1J3 Telephone No. (inc. area code) _____

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) Shea and Fernbank Flewellyn Township _____ Lot _____ Concession _____

County/District/Municipality _____ City/Town/Village Stittsville Province Ontario Postal Code _____

UTM Coordinates Zone Easting Northing NAD 8 3 18429941 5610554 Municipal Plan and Sublot Number _____ Other _____

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

General Colour	Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (m/ft)
BRN	top soil		soft	0 .31
BRN	sand	silt, stones	soft	.31 2.44
GRY	silt	stones	dense	2.44 4.57
GRY	shale		layered	4.57 10.06

Annular Space		
Depth Set at (m/ft)	Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (m³/ft³)
0 .31	concrete/mortar	
.31 5.49	bentonite	
5.49 10.06	filter sand	

Method of Construction		Well Use	
<input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool	<input type="checkbox"/> Diamond	<input type="checkbox"/> Public	<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional)	<input type="checkbox"/> Jetting	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal
<input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse)	<input type="checkbox"/> Driving	<input type="checkbox"/> Livestock	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Test Hole
<input type="checkbox"/> Boring	<input type="checkbox"/> Digging	<input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation	<input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air percussion		<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____	

Construction Record - Casing				Status of Well	
Inside Diameter (cm/in)	Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel)	Wall Thickness (cm/in)	Depth (m/ft)	From	To
5.20	PVC	.340	0 7.01		

Construction Record - Screen				Status of Well	
Outside Diameter (cm/in)	Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel)	Slot No.	Depth (m/ft)	From	To
6.03	PVC	10	7.01 10.06		

Water Details		Hole Diameter	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested	Depth (m/ft)	Diameter (cm/in)
		From To	
Water found at Depth (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested	0 6.1	11.43
Water found at Depth (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____	Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested	6.1 10.06	7.62

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information			
Business Name of Well Contractor <u>Strata Drilling Group</u>	Well Contractor's Licence No. <u>712411</u>		
Business Address (Street Number/Name) <u>165 Shields Court</u>	Municipality <u>Markham</u>		
Province <u>ON</u>	Postal Code <u>L3R8V2</u>	Business E-mail Address <u>2wrecords@stratasail.com</u>	
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) <u>905 940 7919</u>	Name of Well Technician (last Name, First Name) <u>Beatty Brian</u>		
Well Technician's Licence No. <u>3616</u>	Signature of Technician and/or Contractor <u>[Signature]</u>	Date Submitted <u>20180408</u>	

Results of Well Yield Testing			
After test of well yield, water was:		Draw Down	
<input type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free		Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
<input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____		Time (min)	Water Level (m/ft)
If pumping discontinued, give reason:		Static Level	
Pump intake set at (m/ft)		1	1
Pumping rate (l/min / GPM)		2	2
Duration of pumping _____ hrs + _____ min		3	3
Final water level end of pumping (m/ft)		4	4
If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM)		5	5
Recommended pump depth (m/ft)		10	10
Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM)		15	15
Well production (l/min / GPM)		20	20
Disinfected? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		25	25
		30	30
		40	40
		50	50
		60	60

Map of Well Location	
Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.	
Comments:	
Well owner's information package delivered <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Date Package Delivered <u>20180408</u>
Date Work Completed <u>20180408</u>	Ministry Use Only
	Audit No. <u>277801</u>
	<u>AUG 20 2018</u>
	Received _____