

Prepared for:

**ZIAD ZAMAT**  
361 Trailsedge Way  
Ottawa, ON  
K1W 0G7

Prepared by:

**J.L. RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED**  
343 Preston Street, Tower II, Suite 1000  
Ottawa, ON  
K1S 1N4  
TEL: 613-728-3571

# **Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)**

**2701 Page Road**



# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)

## 2701 Page Road

---

### Table of Contents

---

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background .....	1
1.2	Site Description and Proposed Development .....	1
1.3	Proposed Servicing and Existing Infrastructure .....	1
1.4	Consultation and Permits .....	2
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Water Servicing .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Water Supply Design Criteria and Proposed Water Servicing .....	2
2.2	Domestic Water Demands and Required Fire Flow .....	3
2.3	Boundary Condition Request and Headloss Calculations .....	3
2.4	Fire Protection .....	4
2.5	Summary and Conclusion .....	5
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Wastewater Servicing .....</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Design Criteria and Proposed Sanitary Servicing .....	5
3.2	Design Flows and Downstream Capacity .....	5
3.3	Summary and Conclusion .....	5
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Storm Servicing and Stormwater Management.....</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Background Studies and Existing Infrastructure .....	6
4.2	Design Criteria .....	6
4.3	Allowable Release Rates and Servicing Strategies .....	7
4.3.1	Allowable Release Rates.....	7
4.3.2	Servicing Strategies .....	8
4.4	Summary and Conclusion .....	9
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Erosion and Sedimentation Control.....</b>	<b>9</b>

### List of Appendices

---

Appendix A	Conceptual Site Plan, Surveys and Pre-Consultation Meeting Notes
Appendix B	Existing Drawings
Appendix C	Water Servicing
Appendix D	Wastewater Servicing
Appendix E	Storm Servicing and Stormwater Management
Appendix F	Conceptual Grading and Servicing Plans

# **Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road**

---

## **1.0 Introduction**

---

### **1.1 Background**

In 2025, J.L. Richards and Associates Limited (JLR) was retained by the Owner of 2701 Page Road to prepare an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) Report in support of a Zoning By-law Amendment. The Owner seeks to rezone and sever the subject property to facilitate the development of 12 townhouse dwellings thus the proposed development is intended to proceed in two phases:

- i) The property, currently zoned DR – Development Reserve, is proposed to be rezoned to an appropriate R3 – Residential Third Density Zone.
- ii) Following approval of the rezoning application, a consent application will be submitted to sever the property into two blocks, each accommodating six townhouse units, resulting in a total of 12 townhouse lots.

This AAPS Report has been prepared to outline the design objectives and criteria, servicing constraints and strategies for developing the subject site with water, wastewater, storm and stormwater management services in accordance with the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines and the criteria outlined in the pre-consultation meeting (refer to Appendix A).

In addition, a copy of the Conceptual Site Plan, the Legal Plan and the Topographical Survey has been included in Appendix A.

### **1.2 Site Description and Proposed Development**

The subject property is a 0.23 ha parcel located at 2701 Page Road within the City of Ottawa's Official Plan. The site is bounded by Page Road to the west, Trailsedge Way to the north, and Contour Street to the east. It is currently occupied by an existing residential dwelling, which is proposed to be demolished to accommodate the redevelopment. The proposed development consists of two townhouse blocks, each containing six units, for a total of 12 residential units. The legal description of the subject property and the Conceptual Site Plan are provided in Appendix A.

A topographical survey was prepared by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. (AOV) on June 12, 2025. Based on a review of the survey, the majority of the property appears to slope towards the existing roadside ditches to the east, with an ultimate outlet at the culvert located at the intersection of Contour Street and Trailsedge Way. A portion of the western area of the property slopes toward Page Road.

### **1.3 Proposed Servicing and Existing Infrastructure**

The proposed townhouse development will be serviced by municipal townhouse services fronting Trailsedge Way. To confirm servicing availability, a review of the existing civil drawings along Trailsedge Way was undertaken and is included in Appendix B.

# **Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road**

---

Based on this review, the following municipal infrastructure has been identified along Trailsedge Way:

- 375 mm diameter storm sewer
- 200 mm diameter watermain
- 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer

In addition to these municipal services, an existing 600 mm diameter corrugated steel pipe (CSP) culvert currently conveys the majority of stormwater runoff from the subject property and discharges to Pond 1. Stormwater runoff from the western portion of the site fronting Page Road is tributary to existing catch basin maintenance hole (CBMH) 133 and is conveyed via a 600 mm diameter storm sewer that also ultimately discharges to Pond 1. References to the existing servicing infrastructure are provided in Appendix B.

## **1.4 Consultation and Permits**

A pre-consultation meeting was held on July 24, 2025, to discuss the planning process, design criteria, and servicing constraints. A copy of the pre-consultation meeting notes been provided in Appendix A.

## **2.0 Water Servicing**

---

### **2.1 Water Supply Design Criteria and Proposed Water Servicing**

A Hydraulic Network Analysis (HNA) was carried out for the proposed site to confirm that the existing watermain and hydrants can provide adequate supply while complying with both the Ottawa Design Guidelines for Water Distribution (July 2010) and Technical Bulletins ISDTB-2014-02, ISTB-2018-02 and ISDTB-2021-03.

Section 4.2.2 of the Water Design Guidelines requires that all new development additions to the public water distribution system be designed such that the minimum and maximum water pressure, as well as the fire flow rates, conform to the following:

- Under maximum hourly demand conditions (peak hour), the pressures shall not be less than 276 kPa
- During periods of maximum day and fire flow demand, the residual pressure at any point in the distribution system shall not be less than 140 kPa (20 psi)
- In accordance with the Ontario Building Code in areas that may be occupied, the static pressure at any fixture shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi)
- The maximum pressure at any point in the distribution system in unoccupied areas shall not exceed 689 kPa (100 psi); and
- Feedermains, which have been provided primarily for the purpose of redundancy, shall meet, at a minimum, the basic day plus fire flow demand.

Water will be supplied by extending typical townhouse services to the existing 200 mm diameter watermain on Trailsedge Way (refer to Appendix B for existing drawings) meanwhile fire protection will be provided by the existing hydrants within the area.

# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road

---

## 2.2 Domestic Water Demands and Required Fire Flow

Water demand calculations were completed for the proposed development which consists of 12 townhouse units, as shown in the Conceptual Site Plan (see Appendix A). The total population is estimated at 33 persons, based on a density of 2.7 persons per unit in accordance with the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.

Residential water consumption rates for average day, maximum day, and peak hour demands were determined by applying Table 4-2 of the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines and Table 3-3 of the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) guidelines, as the total population is fewer than 500 persons. Table 1 summarizes the water consumption rates and peaking factors applied in the hydraulic network analysis (HNA). Detailed calculation sheets are provided in Appendix C.

**Table 1: Water Demands**

Average Day Demand (L/s)	Max Day Peaking Factor	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Peaking Factor	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
0.11	9.39 x ADD	1.00	14.13 x ADD	1.51

The City has specified that the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method shall be used for any public or private site where new fire hydrants are being designed. Specifically, the required fire flow (RFF) for each structure was calculated in accordance with TB-2018-02. The RFF was calculated as 217 L/s, refer to Appendix C for the detailed RFF calculations.

## 2.3 Boundary Condition Request and Headloss Calculations

Hydraulic boundary conditions were provided by the City of Ottawa at Trailsedge Way, at the proposed locations of the townhouse service connections, for the demand scenarios and fire flow requirements outlined in Section 2.2. In addition to the required fire flow boundary condition of 217 L/s, an alternate boundary condition of 167 L/s was requested, as this value represents the City cap for townhouse developments in accordance with ISDTB 2018-02. The applicable boundary conditions are summarized in the table below (refer to Appendix C for email correspondence).

**Table 2: Water Boundary Conditions**

Water Demand Scenario	HGL at Trailsedge Way (m)	Pressure at Trailsedge Way (psi)	Pressure at Trailsedge Way (kPa)
Max HGL	130.8	64.3	443
Peak Hour	127.8	60.0	414
Max Day + Fire Flow (RFF = 167 L/s)	122.9	53.0	365
Max Day + Fire Flow (RFF = 217 L/s)	119.2	47.8	330

# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road

---

The hydraulic boundary conditions provided by the City at Trailsedge Way are considered representative of the hydraulic grade line and resulting pressures at the proposed service connections, with any headlosses along the short laterals expected to be negligible. Furthermore, as this report is conceptual in nature and intended to demonstrate the overall feasibility and servicing adequacy of the site, detailed hydraulic calculations are not required at this stage of the design. As such, the boundary condition results indicate that sufficient system pressure is available under maximum HGL and peak hour demand scenarios, as well as under the maximum day plus fire flow scenario.

## 2.4 Fire Protection

The total RFF for the site, based on the FUS is 217 L/s. A review of existing hydrants using GeoOttawa (see figure below) indicates the following:

- **West townhouse block:** The hydrant on Trailsedge Way directly fronting the block provides 95 L/s. In addition, there are three additional hydrants on Page Road: one hydrant at 95 L/s and two hydrants at 63 L/s each. The total aggregate flow is 316 L/s.
- **East townhouse block:** The same Trailsedge Way hydrant contributes to this block at 95 L/s, along with two hydrants on Contour Street (95 L/s and 63 L/s) and a second Trailsedge Way hydrant (63 L/s), for an aggregate total of 316 L/s.

In both cases, the available fire flow exceeds the required 217 L/s, confirming that the site has adequate fire protection capacity.

**Figure 1: Hydrant Locations**



# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road

---

## 2.5 Summary and Conclusion

Based on the results presented above, the existing system is expected to provide sufficient pressure to service both townhouse blocks, and the existing hydrant coverage is adequate to meet the required fire flow for the proposed redevelopment.

## 3.0 Wastewater Servicing

---

### 3.1 Design Criteria and Proposed Sanitary Servicing

The sanitary sewer system within the development is designed in accordance with the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines and subsequent technical bulletins. The design parameters are applied under two scenarios as per ISTB Technical Bulletin 2018-01. The key design parameters have been summarized in the table below.

**Table 3: Wastewater Key Design Parameters**

Design Parameter	Design Value
Average Townhouse Density	2.7 ppu
Residential Average Flow	280 L/Cap/Day
Residential Peaking Factor	Harmon's Formula
Harmon's Correction Factor (K)	0.8
Infiltration Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha

Wastewater for the townhouse blocks will be serviced by extending typical townhouse services to the existing 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Trailsedge Way (refer to Appendix B for existing drawings).

### 3.2 Design Flows and Downstream Capacity

Wastewater flows for the proposed development were calculated in accordance with the design criteria outlined in Table 3, with detailed calculations provided in Appendix D. The total design peak sanitary flow for the site is estimated at 0.46 L/s. The calculated sanitary design flow was submitted to the City for review of downstream system capacity. The City has confirmed that there are no apparent concerns associated with the addition of 0.46 L/s of sanitary flow to the existing system (refer to Appendix D for correspondence).

### 3.3 Summary and Conclusion

Based on the results presented above, it is expected that the existing 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Trailsedge Way can provide wastewater servicing for the proposed development.

# **Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road**

---

## **4.0 Storm Servicing and Stormwater Management**

---

### **4.1 Background Studies and Existing Infrastructure**

In 1993, the City of Ottawa (formerly the City of Gloucester) completed the East Urban Community (EUC) Master Drainage Plan, which established the stormwater management framework for the area, including a system of three stormwater management ponds. Pond 1 was located within the Mud Creek valley upstream of Page Road, while Ponds 2 and 3 were situated southeast and southwest of the study area, respectively.

In 2005, Stantec prepared the Gloucester East Urban Community (EUC) Infrastructure Servicing Study Update, which provided additional stormwater analysis to the study area. This study identified the Page Road Subdivision, including the subject lands at 2701 Page Road, as tributary to Pond 1 with an allowable release rate of 85 L/s/ha. Following this design brief, Pond 1 was constructed in 2010.

Subsequently, in 2011, Stantec completed the Page Road Subdivision Gloucester EUC, City of Ottawa Stormwater Management Report, which advanced the detailed stormwater design for the subdivision which includes stormwater strategies applicable to the subject property. The report identified that the lands at 2701 Page Road were approximately 0.7 m lower than the surrounding proposed Page Road subdivision. As a result, a culvert was installed at the intersection of Trailsedge Way and Contour Street to capture and convey the 100-year storm event from the subject property across Trailsedge Way to EUC Pond 1.

In 2015, David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) prepared a Design Brief for the Trailsedge West Subdivision on behalf of Richcraft Homes. This brief noted that previous studies had identified the need for modifications and expansion to Pond 1 in order to support both the Trailsedge West Subdivision and the Brian Coburn Boulevard Extension.

Simultaneously in 2015, Robinson Consultants prepared the Brian Coburn New Road Construction – Pond 1 Outlet Storm Sewer Design Brief in which storm sewer infrastructure was designed for the extension of Brian Coburn Boulevard from Mer Bleue Road to Navan Road, including the installation of oil-grit separators at Pond 1. As part of this work, the subject lands at 2701 Page Road were accounted for as tributary to a 600 mm diameter storm sewer on Page Road, which crosses Brian Coburn Boulevard and discharges directly to the main cell of Pond 1.

As noted in Section 1, the following stormwater infrastructure has been identified adjacent to the site (refer to Appendix B for drawings):

- 375 mm diameter storm sewer on Trailsedge Way
- 600 mm diameter CSP culvert at the intersection of Trailsedge Way and Contour Street
- 600 mm diameter storm sewer on Page Road.

### **4.2 Design Criteria**

This AAPS Report has been prepared based on discussions held during the pre-consultation meeting and in accordance with the storm and stormwater management criteria outlined in the City of Ottawa 2012 Sewer Design Guidelines (OSDG) and the more recent Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 (dated September 6, 2016). For the purposes of this section, these documents

# **Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road**

---

are collectively referred to as the Design Guidelines. A summary of the key storm and stormwater management criteria is provided below.

- Storm servicing and stormwater management in accordance with the approved studies identified in Section 4.1.
- Runoff coefficients (C-Factors) are calculated based on the ratio of pervious and impervious surfaces as depicted on the purposed conceptual site plan;
- Storm event peak flows are calculated using the Rational Method and using the regressions derived from the Intesity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) equations as per the Design Guidelines
- Peak flows are estimated based on an inlet time of ten minutes as per the Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2012-4.
- Quality control will be accommodated by Pond 1 to meet an MECP Enhanced Level of Protection (80% TSS removal)
- Provide freeboard to the underside of footing (USF) of 300 mm where weeping tile connections are present
- Provide measures to ensure that site preparation and construction is in accordance with the current Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control.

## **4.3 Allowable Release Rates and Servicing Strategies**

### **4.3.1 Allowable Release Rates**

Storm servicing and stormwater management for the subject property will be designed to limit the post-development 1:100 year peak flow to the aggregate allowable release rates established by the applicable stormwater criteria. The allowable peak flows are summarized below, with supporting documentation provided in Appendix E.

- As documented in the City of Ottawa Stormwater Management Report for the Page Road Subdivision (Stantec, 2011), the existing culvert located at Trailsedge Way and Contour Street was designed to accommodate an allowable peak flow of 87 L/s. Furthermore, the culvert consists of a 600 mm diameter pipe at a slope of 0.5%, providing an estimated capacity of approximately 460 L/s.
- Although the Trailsedge storm sewer design presented in the Page Road Subdivision report (Stantec, 2011) does not explicitly include frontage contributions from the subject property, the 375 mm diameter sewer between MH108 and MH107 demonstrates an available capacity of approximately 59%, corresponding to 64.1 L/s. Furthermore, downstream of MH107, the storm sewer system transitions to trunk 750 mm diameter sewers with substantial residual capacity.
- As outlined in the Brian Coburn New Road Construction – Pond 1 Outlet Storm Sewer Design Brief (Robinson Consultants, 2015), drainage from the subject property at 2701 Page Road was included in the design of the 600 mm diameter storm sewer on Page Road. The contributing drainage area was assigned a runoff coefficient of 0.55, with a 5-year capture rate in the sewer system. Application of the Rational Method for a 5-year design storm, based on a site area of 0.23 ha and a time of concentration of 10 minutes, results in a peak flow of 36.6 L/s. Furthermore, the design sheets for the sewers on Page Road confirm that additional capacity is available within the downstream sewer network.

# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road

---

- Stormwater quality control will be provided via Pond 1, which is designed to meet MECP Enhanced Level of Protection, achieving a minimum of 80% total suspended solids (TSS) removal.

To further assess the allowable peak flows, pre-development flow rates for a 5-year storm event were calculated based on existing site conditions and corresponding runoff coefficients. The table below summarizes the estimated pre-development flows for the site. Detailed calculations are provided in Appendix E.

**Table 4: Pre-Development Flows (5-Year Storm Event)**

To Page Road	To Ex. Culvert on Trailsedge Way and Contour Street
6.94 L/s	21.1 L/s

## 4.3.2 Servicing Strategies

To satisfy the storm servicing and stormwater management requirements established by the approved studies and applicable design criteria noted above, the following servicing approach is proposed:

- The property will be raised to achieve the required freeboard above the street spill points and the existing storm sewer on Trailsedge Way. Unit grading has been conceptually set to align with the existing grades of the units located across the street and the geotechnical recommendations provided for the site.
- During the 1:100-year storm event, runoff from the front yards of the proposed dwellings will be captured and conveyed via the existing storm sewer system on Trailsedge Way.
- During the 1:100-year storm event, runoff from the rear yards of the proposed dwellings will be split, with a portion directed to the existing storm sewer on Page Road and the remainder conveyed to the culvert at the intersection of Trailsedge Way and Contour Street.
- Stormwater quality control will be provided via Pond 1, which is designed to meet MECP Enhanced Level of Protection, achieving a minimum of 80% total suspended solids (TSS) removal.

Post-development flows for the site were calculated using the Rational Method for a 100-year storm event. Detailed calculations are provided in Appendix E and summarized in the table below. The front yard 100-year peak flow was calculated as 36 L/s. Based on a review of the existing 375 mm storm sewer on Trailsedge Way and the downstream trunk sewers, adequate capacity is available to accommodate this flow.

The rear yard 100-year peak flow is calculated as 32 L/s. This flow will be divided between the 600 mm diameter storm sewer on Page Road and the existing 600 mm diameter culvert at the

# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS) 2701 Page Road

---

intersection of Trailsedge Way and Contour Street. If the flow is assumed to be split evenly with each townhouse block tributary to each outlet respectively, both outlets appear to have sufficient capacity. During detailed design, the distribution of flow will be optimized to ensure efficient use of available capacity in both outlets.

**Table 5: Post-Development Flows (100-Year Storm Event)**

Front Yard To Ex. 375 mm Sewer on Trailsedge Way	Rear Yard Split Between Ex. 600 mm Sewer on Page Road and Ex. 600 mm Culvert on Trailsedge Way / Contour Street
36 L/s	32 L/s

## 4.4 Summary and Conclusion

Based on the results presented above, it is expected that sufficient capacity existing in the surrounding municipal storm infrastructure for the proposed development.

## 5.0 Erosion and Sedimentation Control

---

Erosion and sediment control measures, as outlined in the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites, will be implemented to trap sediment on site.

- Supply and installation of a silt fence barrier as required, as per OPSD 219.110.
- Supply and installation of siltsack or sentinel CB inserts between the frame and cover of catch basins and maintenance holes adjacent to the project area during construction, to prevent sediment from entering the sewer system.
- Stockpiling of material during construction is to be located along flat areas away from drainage paths. For material placed on sloped areas, stockpiles are to be enclosed with a silt fence to protect watercourses.
- All catch basins are to be equipped with sumps, inspected frequently, and cleaned as required.
- Temporary ICDs are to be placed blocking part of the sewer pipe in the connecting storm maintenance holes to eliminate construction debris from entering the existing storm sewer system. The ICDs are to be removed after the proposed storm sewers have been fully cleaned.

The proposed removal and reinstatement measures as well as the erosion control measures shall conform to the following documents:

# Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)

## 2701 Page Road

---


- "Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites" published by Ontario Ministries of Natural Resources, Environment, Municipal Affairs, and Transportation & Communication, Association of Construction Authorities of Ontario and Urban Development Institute, Ontario, May 1987.
- "MTO Drainage Manual", Chapter F: "Erosion of Materials and Sediment Control", Ministry of Transportation & Communications, 1985.
- "Erosion and Sediment Control" Training Manual by Ministry of Environment, Spring 1998.
- Applicable Regulations and Guidelines of the Ministry of Natural Resources.

This report has been prepared by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for the Owner's exclusive use. Its discussions and conclusions are summary in nature and cannot properly be used, interpreted or extended to other purposes without a detailed understanding and discussions with the client as to its mandated purpose, scope and limitations. This report is based on information, drawings, data, or reports provided by the named client, its agents, and certain other suppliers or third parties, as applicable, and relies upon the accuracy and completeness of such information. Any inaccuracy or omissions in information provided, or changes to applications, designs, or materials may have a significant impact on the accuracy, reliability, findings, or conclusions of this report.

This report was prepared for the sole benefit and use of the named client and may not be used or relied on by any other party without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited, and anyone intending to rely upon this report is advised to contact J.L. Richards & Associates Limited in order to obtain permission and to ensure that the report is suitable for their purpose.

J.L. RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Prepared by:



Mahad Musse, P.Eng.  
Civil Engineer

Reviewed by:



Karla Ferrey, P.Eng.  
Senior Associate  
Director of National Capital Region Operations

**Ottawa**  
343 Preston Street  
Tower II, Suite 1000  
Ottawa ON Canada  
K1S 1N4  
613-728-3571  
[ottawa@jlrichards.ca](mailto:ottawa@jlrichards.ca)

**Kingston**  
203-863 Princess Street  
Kingston ON Canada  
K7L 5N4  
613-544-1424  
[kingston@jlrichards.ca](mailto:kingston@jlrichards.ca)



**Sudbury**  
314 Countryside Drive  
Sudbury ON Canada  
P3E 6G2  
705-522-8174  
[sudbury@jlrichards.ca](mailto:sudbury@jlrichards.ca)

**Timmins**  
834 Mountjoy Street South  
Timmins ON Canada  
P4N 7C5  
705-360-1899  
[timmins@jlrichards.ca](mailto:timmins@jlrichards.ca)

**North Bay**  
122 Main Street West, Suite 3  
North Bay ON Canada  
P1B 2T5  
705-495-7597  
[northbay@jlrichards.ca](mailto:northbay@jlrichards.ca)

**Guelph**  
107-450 Speedvale Avenue West  
Guelph ON Canada  
N1H 7Y6  
519-763-0713  
[guelph@jlrichards.ca](mailto:guelph@jlrichards.ca)

**London**  
380 Wellington Street  
Tower B, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
London ON Canada  
N6A 5B5  
226-700-5127  
[london@jlrichards.ca](mailto:london@jlrichards.ca)



JLR ® is a Registered Trademark of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.  
Copyright 2025, J.L. Richards & Associates Limited. All rights reserved.




**Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)  
2701 Page Road**

---

---

## **Appendix A**

Conceptual Site Plan, Surveys,  
and Pre-Consultation Meeting  
Notes









File No.: PC2025-0193

July 24, 2025

Morgan Jones  
JL Richards & Associates  
Via email: [mjones@jlrichards.ca](mailto:mjones@jlrichards.ca)

**Subject: Pre-Consultation: Meeting Feedback  
Proposed Zoning by-law Amendment & Consent / Severance  
2701 Page Road, Ottawa**

Please find below information regarding next steps as well as consolidated comments from the above-noted pre-consultation meeting held on July 16, 2025.

### **Pre-Consultation Preliminary Assessment**

#### **Next Steps**

1. A review of the proposal and materials submitted for the above-noted pre-consultation has been undertaken. For your next submission, please submit the required Application Form, together with the necessary studies and/or plans to [planningcirculations@ottawa.ca](mailto:planningcirculations@ottawa.ca), copy (cc:) to the file lead and planning support.
2. In your subsequent application submission, please ensure that all comments or issues detailed herein are addressed. A detailed cover letter stating how each issue has been addressed is requested with the submission materials. Please coordinate the numbering of your responses within the cover letter with the comment number(s) herein.
3. Please note, if your development proposal changes significantly in scope, design, or density it is recommended that a subsequent pre-consultation application be submitted.

#### **Supporting Information and Material Requirements**

1. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** outlines the information and material that has been identified, during this phase of pre-consultation, as either required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.
  - a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on [Ottawa.ca](http://Ottawa.ca). These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.

#### **Consultation with Technical Agencies**

1. You are encouraged to consult with technical agencies early in the development process and throughout the development of your project concept. A list of technical agencies and their contact information is enclosed.

## **Planning**

Comments:

1. The subject lands are zoned Development Reserve (DR) and designated as Neighbourhood within the Suburban (East) Transect.
2. The surrounding neighbourhood is zoned DR, R1WW and, most commonly, R3Z.
3. Staff have no concerns at this time with the proposal to rezone the lands to the appropriate R3 Subzone.
  - a. Staff note that the minimum lot width for a townhouse in R3Z is 6m and the minimum lot area is 150sqm – both of which appear to be met based on the submitted concept plan
  - b. The minimum front yard setback and interior side yard setback is 3m (based on the Z subzone only), and the minimum rear yard setback is 6m. These setbacks appear to be met based on the provided concept plan.
- i. Some zoning compliance cannot be confirmed based on the submitted concept plan, such as the location of garages/parking/etc, which may change the minimum setbacks requirements. Please refer to the R3Z zoning provisions and parking provisions to confirm compliance with the necessary setbacks, as applicable.
- ii. Ensure compliance with the endnotes, otherwise site-specific exceptions will be required.
4. Proposed garages and legal parking should be shown on the concept plan. Front yard parking is not permitted.
5. Lifting of 30 Reserve application will be required prior to development.
  - a. The applicant has confirmed
6. The proposed lot depths are shallower than the typical townhouse lot and than those low-rise residential lots nearby. Although the provisions of the proposed R3Z zone can be met, please provide thorough consideration of the amount of outdoor amenity area being provided to residents, soft landscaping amounts (including tree planting) and buffering between structures. Staff will be looking for a strong rationale supporting the reduced lot depths.

7. Staff have no concerns with the division of the lands into two development blocks via consent. The remaining lots can be divided once construction is prepared. I would recommend reaching out Elizabeth King ([Elizabeth.King@ottawa.ca](mailto:Elizabeth.King@ottawa.ca)) and Nivethini Jekku Einkaran ([nivethini.jekkueinkaran@ottawa.ca](mailto:nivethini.jekkueinkaran@ottawa.ca)) prior to submitting your consent applications.
8. Please provide information as to the planned structure for rear yard access for those interior townhouse lots. If easements are required, they can accompany the consent applications once the project has moved further along.
9. The lands are located within the East Urban Community Landowners Group, based on Official Plan Policy 49.1, Volume 2C
  - a. Cost Sharing – the Owner must provide a letter from the Trustee of the East Urban Community (EUC) Cost Sharing Agreement confirming that the said Owner is in good standing under the terms of the said EUC Cost Sharing Agreement and that all amounts owing and/or works and services to be performed under the said Agreement have been paid and/or satisfied by the Owner. Please reach out to Kris Kilborn at [kris.kilborn@stantec.com](mailto:kris.kilborn@stantec.com) regarding the cost sharing.
  - b. The applicant will be required to satisfy the above for any consent or Lifting of 30cm Reserve application to be approved (or conditions of approval will be applied)
  - c. ASP Policy 49.1 reads:

*Landowners within the boundary of the East Urban Community – CDP For The Phase 1 Area and the East Urban Community - Community Design Plans for the Phase 2 Areas, approved by Council, shall enter into private agreements to share the costs of the major infrastructure projects and associated studies and plans (including but not limited to Infrastructure Planning, Environmental Assessments and Restoration Plans) required for the development of East Urban Community, so that the costs shall be distributed fairly among the benefiting landowners. Each agreement shall contain a financial schedule describing the estimated costs of the major infrastructure projects and associated studies and plans, as well as the proportionate share of the costs for each landowner. The City shall include a condition of draft approval for all plans of subdivision, plans of condominium and severance applications, and as a condition of approval for site plans in the East Urban Community, Phases 1 and 2, requiring notification from the Trustees of the East Urban Community Phases 1 and 2, that the owner is party to the agreements and has paid its share of any costs pursuant to the agreements*

10. Conditions 33 of the subdivision agreement requires that block 87 be consolidated into 2701 Page Road. Please confirm whether this has been completed:

33. **Blocks 87, 112 and 113**

The Owner covenants and agrees that, immediately after registration of the Plan of Subdivision, Block 87 will be consolidated with the lands municipally known as 2701 Pagé Road, Block 112 will be consolidated with the lands municipally known as 2705 Pagé Road, and Block 113 will be consolidated with the lands municipally known as 2709 Pagé Road, all at the sole expense of the Owner.

## Urban Design

Comments:

11. Please couple driveways to support tree planting within the front yards.
12. An Urban Design Brief is **not** required.
13. Drawings and studies are required as shown on the SPIL. Please follow the terms of references ([Planning application submission information and materials | City of Ottawa](#)) to prepare these drawings and studies. These include:
  - a. Site Plan (Conceptual)
  - b. Landscape Plan (Conceptual)
  - c. Building Elevations (Conceptual)

Please contact Nader Kadri ([Nader.Kadri@ottawa.ca](mailto:Nader.Kadri@ottawa.ca)) for follow-up questions.

## Engineering

Comments:

14. Site Servicing Study:
  - a. **Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services** shall be prepared for water, storm, and sanitary services.
    - i. Servicing and Storm water management (as applicable) shall be in accordance with approved Trailsedge subdivision master servicing study if connecting into the subdivisions infrastructure.
    - ii. Excerpts from higher level subdivision studies prepared by Richcraft shall be included in the report to justify the proposed added servicing demands.



- iii. Sewer connection fees are to be paid to the developer prior to lifting of the 30cm reserve along Trailsedge Way.
- b. Storm Sewer:
  - i. A 375mm dia. PVC storm sewer is available within Trailsedge Way.
  - ii. Post-development runoff is to be analyzed and controlled to the satisfaction of the City of Ottawa.
- c. Sanitary Sewer:
  - i. A 200 mm dia. PVC Sanitary sewer is available within Trailsedge Way.
  - ii. Include correspondence from the Architect within the Appendix of the report confirming the number of residential units and a unit type breakdown to support the calculated building populations.
  - iii. Please apply the wastewater design flow parameters in Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01.
  - iv. Proposed sanitary flows are to be confirmed for capacity downstream.
- d. Water:
  - i. A 203 mm dia. PVC watermain is available within Trailsedge Way.
  - ii. Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service (map or plan with connection location(s) indicated) and the expected loads required by the proposed development, including calculations. Please provide the following information:
    - 1. Plan showing the proposed location of service(s).
    - 2. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (L/min). Note: The OBC method can be used if the fire demand for the private property is less than 9,000 L/min. If the OBC fire demand reaches 9000 L/min, then the FUS method is to be used.
    - 3. Average daily demand: \_\_\_ L/s.
    - 4. Maximum daily demand: \_\_\_ L/s.
    - 5. Maximum hourly daily demand: \_\_\_ L/s.

6. Note: Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons and use Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution for 501 to 3,000 persons.

- iii. Please review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, maximum fire flow hydrant capacity is provided in Section 3 Table 1 of Appendix I. A hydrant coverage figure shall be provided and demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the proposal.

## 15. Environmental

- a. A Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. Depending on the Phase I recommendations a Phase II ESA may be required.
- b. The Phase I ESA shall provide all the required Environmental Source Information as required by O. Reg. 153/04. ERIS records are available to public at a reasonable cost and need to be included in the ESA report to comply with O.Reg. 153/04 and the Official Plan. The City will not be in a position to approve the Phase I ESA without the inclusion of the ERIS reports.
- c. See Terms of Reference for ESA (1 & 2) submission:  
[https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/environmental\\_assess\\_1and2\\_en.pdf](https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/environmental_assess_1and2_en.pdf)
- d. See section 10.1.6 within the official plan for more information. [Official Plan: Section 10. Protection of Health and Safety \(ottawa.ca\)](#)

## 16. Geotechnical

- a. A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- b. Grade raise limits shall be restricted to the recommendations of the geotechnical engineer.
- c. Reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to potential damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to ensure there will be no short term and long-term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.



- d. Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications. [Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting \(ottawa.ca\)](#)
- e. If Sensitive marine clay soils are present in this area that are susceptible to soil shrinkage that can lead to foundation and building damages. All six (6) conditions listed in the Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils-2017 Guidelines are required to be satisfied. Note that if the plasticity index of the soil is determined to be less than 40% a minimum separation between a street tree and the proposed building foundations of 4.5m will need to be achieved. A memorandum addressing the Tree in Clay Soil Guidelines prepared by a geotechnical engineer is required to be provided to the City. [Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils - 2017 Guidelines \(ottawa.ca\)](#)

## 17. Slope Stability Assessment Report

- a. A slope stability assessment may be required if the topography of the land falls within the parameters below:
  - i. A report addressing the stability of slopes, prepared by a qualified geotechnical engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, should be provided wherever a site has slopes (existing or proposed) steeper than 5 horizontal to 1 vertical (i.e., 11 degree inclination from horizontal) and/or more than 2 meter in height.
  - ii. A report is also required for sites having retaining walls greater than 1 meter high, that addresses the global stability of the proposed retaining walls.
- b. See Terms of Reference for Slope Stability Submission:  
[https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/slope\\_stability\\_tor\\_en.pdf](https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/slope_stability_tor_en.pdf)

## 18. SWM Development Charges

- a. This site is subject to the Gloucester Urban Center SWM development charges. Please visit [Area Specific Development Charges](#) for more information.

## 19. General

- a. As-built plans and studies (if available) can be requested by contacting [geoinformation@ottawa.ca](mailto:geoinformation@ottawa.ca).
- b. An asphalt overlay for road reinstatement is required when 3 or more road cuts for service installation are proposed within 12m of each other as per the Road Activity Bylaw. See [Construction in the Right-of-Way](#) for more information.

- c. If information provided in this feedback contradicts the information within applicable higher-level studies, please contact the undersigned to clarify which requirement shall govern.

Please refer to the City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]: [Planning application submission information and materials](#). The guide outlines the requirement for a statement to be provided on the plan about where the property boundaries have been derived from.

Feel free to contact Terenzo Giovannitti, Infrastructure Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

### **Noise**

Comments:

- 20. No comments.

Feel free to contact Rochelle Fortier-Lesage, Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

### **Transportation**

Comments:

- 21. Ensure that the development proposal complies with the Right-of-Way protection requirements - See [Schedule C16 of the Official Plan](#).
  - a. The development proponent should protect the corner triangles to accommodate protected intersections per policies 2.1.1 (e) & (f). The City requires the following corner triangles at these locations:
    - i. Collector/Local: a 3 metre x 9 metre triangle, with the longer portion on the higher road segment
    - ii. Local/Local: a 3 metre x 3 metre triangle
  - b. Any requests for exceptions to ROW protection requirements must be discussed with Transportation Planning and concurrence provided by Transportation Planning management.
- 22. Ensure to pair driveways where possible.
- 23. The closure of an existing private approach shall reinstate the sidewalk, shoulder, curb, and boulevard to City standards.

24. For corner lots, ensure the Private Approach Bylaw will be met: "on a corner lot or a lot abutting on more than one road allowance, the minimum distance between the nearest limit of a private approach and an intersecting street line or its extension shall not be less than 6 metres." The "street line" means the lot line that abuts a public street. Note that depending on the frontage width of the lot or block and the proposed land use, this may limit the driveway width that is achievable for the lot (i.e. a lot may be limited to a single-car width driveway versus double-width driveway).
25. Surface transportation noise should be taken into account, due to proximity to collector (Page Rd) and arterial roadways (Brian Coburn Blvd).

Feel free to contact Rochelle Fortier-Lesage, Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

## **Environment**

Comments:

26. Based on the submission, the development will be undertaken in phases, whereby the land is proposed to be rezoned from DR (Development Review Zone) to R3 (Residential Third Density Zone), and if approved, the proponent will subsequently sever the land into two separate blocks. Once the two separate blocks are approved via the consent application process, the applicant proposes building six (6) townhouse units; one on each of the two blocks. Eventually, twelve (12) townhouse units will result from this proposal. Based on this description, site plan control may not be triggered for this development.
27. According to the Terms of Reference for Landscape Plan, a plan is required for site plan control, plans of subdivision, and plans of condominium. With that said, a high-level conceptual landscape plan may be required to support applications for zoning By-law amendments if deemed necessary by staff at pre-consultation. The Landscape plan was requested by the undersigned in light of the site characteristics and findings.
28. Locally appropriate tree species and plantings are recommended. Furthermore, according to the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC) site, endangered Butternut tree species may be in the vicinity of the subject parcel. The landscape plan must follow the City of Ottawa Terms of Reference for Landscape plans, including but not limited to existing and proposed tree species. The landscape plan will help ensure the policies of the Official Plan (OP) are adhered to, specifically OP Sections 2.2.3, 4.8.1, and 4.8.2.
29. The city has a long-term urban forest canopy target of forty percent (40%) or more at the neighbourhood scale to help offset the extreme temperatures and urban heat island effect. The retention of trees and the introduction of



additional trees helps offset extreme temperatures by providing cooling and shade. Trees also have the added benefit of providing beautification, public health and well-being, pollution control, and other environmental and natural heritage benefits (OP Sections 2.2, 4.8, 10.3).

30. Any site alterations, clearing and/or tree removal must consider sensitive timing restrictions, such as bird breeding season (March through mid-August for most species) into consideration. In addition, and prior to any site alterations and clearing, please ensure you reach out to the staff forester to verify permit requirements.
31. For helpful information concerning timing restrictions and any wildlife that may be encountered, the city's Protocol for Wildlife Protection during Construction is helpful, even in urban areas.
32. The undersigned reached out to the staff Forester, Haley Murray, as discussed during pre-consultation, and Haley has confirmed that a Tree Information Report (TIR) will be a requirement. Please refer to the Forestry comments for specifics. As far as Environmental commentary, the TIR will help further identify any Species at Risk (SAR) trees located adjacent to the site, as well as identify relevant protection measures.
33. Providing adequate setbacks and Low Impact Development Strategies, where feasible, may help provide greenspace for tree plantings.
34. The subject property is located across the street from Urban Greenspace, sub-designation Open Space, but an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) shall not be a requirement. The Landscape Plan and TIR will provide sufficient information to address the characteristics.

Feel free to contact Kim Macdonald, Environmental Planner, for follow-up questions.

## **Forestry**

Comments:

35. There are protected trees in proximity to this development that triggers a Tree Information Report. All City trees of any size and privately owned trees 30 cm in diameter or greater are protected and must be accounted for. For the consent to sever application, a Tree Information Report is required.
36. It is recommended a conceptual landscape plan be provided with the Zoning By-law Amendment application. To provide a livable space for future residents and contribute to the urban forest canopy, suitable soil volume must be provided. While a detailed landscape plan is not required, please provide the following:
  - o Dimensions of the soft landscaped area available

- Estimated soil volume, based on at least 1 m depth
- The number and size class of tree that could feasibly be planted (table for reference).

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m <sup>3</sup> /tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

*\*\*These minimums do not apply where sensitive marine clay soils are present. Please refer to the "Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils - 2017 Guidelines Background: Existing" for soil volumes related to tree planting in the right of way\*\**

Feel free to contact Hayley Murray, Planning Forester, for follow-up questions.

### **Parkland**

Comments:

37. Cash-in-lieu of parkland / parkland dedication

- a. Parkland Dedication [By-law No. 2022-280](#)
- b. Parkland dedication will be collected in the form of cash in lieu of parkland dedication.
- c. If parkland dedication has been collected for this site, kindly outline how it has been collected.

Feel free to contact Jessica Button, Parks Planner, for follow-up questions.

### **Conservation Authority**

Comments:

38. Please contact the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority for comment.

Please contact Rideau Valley Conservation Authority for follow-up questions.

### **Community issues**

Comments:

39. N/a

## **Other**

40. Under the Affordable Housing Community Improvement Plan, a Tax Increment Equivalent Grant (TIEG) program was created to incentivize the development of affordable rental units. It provides a yearly fixed grant for 20 years. The grant helps offset the revenue loss housing providers experience when incorporating affordable units in their developments.
  - a. To be eligible for the TIEG program you must meet the following criteria:
    - i. the greater of five units OR 15 per cent of the total number of units within the development must be made affordable
    - ii. provide a minimum of 15 per cent of each unit type in the development as affordable
    - iii. enter into an agreement with the city to ensure the units maintain affordable for a minimum period of 20 years at or below the city-wide average market rent for the entire housing stock based on building form and unit type, as defined by the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation
    - iv. must apply after a formal Site Plan Control submission, or Building Permit submission for projects not requiring Site Plan Control, and prior to Occupancy Permit issuance
  - b. Please refer to the TIEG information at [Affordable housing community improvement plan / Plan d'améliorations communautaires pour le logement abordable](#) for more details or contact the TIEG coordinator via email at [affordablehousingcip@ottawa.ca](mailto:affordablehousingcip@ottawa.ca).

## **Submission Requirements and Fees**

1. Major Zoning By-law Amendment & Lifting of 30cm Reserve
  - a. Additional information regarding fees related to planning applications can be found [here](#).
2. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** outlines the information and material that has been identified as either required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.
  - a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on [Ottawa.ca](#). These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.
3. **All** of the above comments or issues should be addressed to ensure the effectiveness of the application submission review.



Should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact myself or the contact identified for the above areas / disciplines.

Yours Truly,  
Craig Hamilton

Encl. SPIL

c.c. Sera Celebi  
Hayley Murray  
Kim Macdonald  
Terenzo Giovannitti  
Jessica Button  
Rochelle Fortier-Lesage  
Nader Kadri  
Phil Castro


**Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)  
2701 Page Road**

---

---

**Appendix B**

Existing Drawings



**Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)**  
**2701 Page Road**

---

---

## **Appendix C**

Water Servicing

Water Demand Calculations		
2701 Page Road (JLR 33647-001)		
Residential Unit Break Down	No.	Persons Per Unit (Table 4.1, City of Ottawa)
Row Townhouses	12	2.7
Total Unit Count =	12	Units
Total Population=	33	ppl
Average Day Consumption Rate	280	L/c/d
<b>Average Day Demand</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>L/s</b>
Maximum Day Peaking Factor	9.39	x Avg Day (Table 3-3, MOE 2008)
<b>Maximum Day Demand</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>L/s</b>
Peak Hour Peaking Factor	14.13	x Avg Day (Table 3-3, MOE 2008)
<b>Peak Hour Demand</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>L/s</b>
<b>Total Demands</b>		
<b>Average Day Demand</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>L/s</b>
<b>Maximum Day demand</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>L/s</b>
<b>Peak Hour Demand</b>	<b>1.51</b>	<b>L/s</b>

## LOCATION PLAN

## Location of Requested Boundary Condition

## Property Line

ex. 300 mm dia. WM on Page Road

ex. 200 mm dia. WM on Trailedge W  
**Trailedge**

ex. 200 mm dia. WM on Trailsedge Way

ex. 155 mm dia. WM on Contour

## Proposed Development: 12 Row Townhouse Units

Assumed Block Area  
= 500 sqm per Block

## PROJECT

2701 PAGE ROAD

## OTTAWA, ONTARIO

## DRAWING

## PRELIMINARY CONCEPT PLAN



**J.L.Richards**  
ENGINEERS · ARCHITECTS · PLANNERS  
[www.jlrichards.ca](http://www.jlrichards.ca)

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.J. Richards & Associates Limited


DESIGN:	MJ/T
DRAWN:	TB
CHECKED:	MJ
JLR #:	3364


— | DRAWING #

## FIGURE 1

location: P:\330000\333647-0000 - 2701 Page Road ZB1\10-Production\06-Plan\GIS\33647 PrelimConceptPlan.mxd

Plot Date: Monday, June 9, 2025 3:30:58 PM





Press down to  
start and let go to  
finish

## FUS Fire Flow Calculations

2701 Page Road - Row Townhouse  
(JLR 33647-001)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Ground Floor Area	500	m <sup>2</sup>
C	Height in storeys	2	storeys
	Total Floor Area	1000	m <sup>2</sup>
D	Fire Flow Formula	$F=220C\sqrt{A}$	
	Fire Flow	10436	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	10000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential units have a limited combustible occupancy.
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1500	
	Fire Flow	8500	L/min
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	North Side Exposure		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	Existing Units on Trailsedge Way - 2 Storey TH
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	45.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	2	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	90.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	30	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	8%	
	East Side Exposure		Proposed 6 Units to East
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	18.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	2	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	36.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	2.4	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	21%	
	South Side Exposure		Existing unit on 2705 Page Rd
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	44.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	2	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	88.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	7	m
	South Side Exposure Charge	19%	
	West Side Exposure		Existing Units on 2704 Page Road
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	11.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	2	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	22.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	38.5	m
	West Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	Total Exposure Charge	48%	The total exposure charge is below the maximum value of 75%.
	Increase for Exposures	4080	L/min
H	Fire Flow	12580	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	13000	L/min
	Required Fire Flow (RFF)	13000	L/min
		217	L/s

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations  
In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

## Mahad Musse

---

**From:** Giovannitti, Terenzo <terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 7, 2025 10:30 AM  
**To:** Mahad Musse  
**Cc:** Karla Ferrey  
**Subject:** RE: 2701 Page Road - BC Request + Sanitary  
**Attachments:** 2701 Page Boundary Condition.docx

**[CAUTION]** This email originated from outside JLR. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Do not forward suspicious emails, if you are unsure, please send a separate message to Helpdesk.

Hi Mahad,

Please see attached results of the boundary condition request.

And The City's Water Recourses group has confirmed there are no apparent issues 0.46 L/s sanitary flow. However, the master servicing study for the adjacent subdivision must be consulted to double check downstream capacity.

Regards,  
**Terenzo Giovannitti, P.Eng**  
*Project Manager  
Development Review  
Planning, Development and Building Services Department  
City of Ottawa  
110 Laurier Ave W. Ottawa, ON  
613-580-2424 (ext. 23436)  
[terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca](mailto:terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca)*

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

---

**From:** Mahad Musse <mmusse@jlrichards.ca>  
**Sent:** October 30, 2025 3:51 PM  
**To:** Giovannitti, Terenzo <terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca>  
**Cc:** Karla Ferrey <kferrey@jlrichards.ca>  
**Subject:** FW: 2701 Page Road - BC Request + Sanitary

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hello Terenzo,

We have been retained by Ziad Zamat (Our Client) to assess the servicing strategies for the proposed redevelopment of 2701 Page Road for residential use. The current preliminary concept plan involves the demolition of the existing dwelling and the construction of two townhouse blocks, each comprising six units, for a total of twelve (12) townhouse units.

To facilitate the preparation of an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS), we require a review of the water and sanitary servicing strategies. Specifically, we request that the City provide the water boundary conditions for the water supply and review the available capacity for the existing sanitary services.

**Water Boundary Condition Request:**

We kindly request the water boundary conditions at Trailsedge Road, immediately east of Page Road (refer to the attached Location Plan). The proposed units will be located in front of the existing townhouse blocks at 351 to 375 Trailsedge Way, with a 200 mm diameter watermain on Trailsedge Way available to service the proposed units. Please find attached the site demand and required fire flow (RFF) calculations, in accordance with FUS guidelines, for your reference.

**Demands and Fire Flow**

**Average Day Demand: 0.11 L/s**

**Max Day Demand: 1.00 L/s**

**Peak Hour Demand: 1.51 L/s**

**RFF 1: 167 L/s**

**RFF 3: 217 L/s**

We have requested a Required Fire Flow (RFF) of 167 L/s, in accordance with the City's cap for townhouses. However, to account for the case where the cap may not apply, we have also requested RFFs of 217 L/s.

**Sanitary Capacity Analysis:**

Following our meeting on October 30, 2025, to confirm the downstream capacities, please find below the estimated wastewater demands for the site with the calculations attached.

**Peak Wastewater Flow: 0.46 L/s**

Thanks  
Mahad

## Boundary Conditions 2701 Pagé Road

### Provided Information

Scenario	Demand	
	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	7	0.11
Maximum Daily Demand	60	1.00
Peak Hour	91	1.51
Fire Flow Demand #1	10,000	166.67
Fire Flow Demand #2	13,000	216.67

### Location



## **Results**

### **Connection 1 – Trailesdge Way**

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)
Maximum HGL	130.8	64.3
Peak Hour	127.8	60.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	122.9	53.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #2	119.2	47.8

<sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation = 85.6 m

### **Disclaimer**

*The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.*

**Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)**  
**2701 Page Road**

---

---

## **Appendix D**

Wastewater Servicing

**Wastewater Calculations**  
**2701 Page Road**  
**(JLR 33647-001)**

<b>Site Area</b>	0.2300	Ha
<b>Unit Breakdown</b>	<b>No.</b>	
Row Townhouse	12	2.7 persons/unit (Table 4.1)
Total Unit Count	12	
Total Population	32	ppl
Theoretical Wastewater Flow	280	L/c/d
<b>Average Wastewater Flow</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>L/s</b>
Harmon Peaking Factor	3.679	
<b>Peak Wastewater Flow</b>	<b>0.39</b>	<b>L/s</b>
Commercial/Office Area (ha)	0.00	
Commercial PF =	1	
Peak Flow (Comm) =	0.00	L/s
Dry & Wet I/I (0.33 L/s/ha)	0.08	L/s
<b>Peak WW Flow (L/s)</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>L/s</b>

## Mahad Musse

---

**From:** Giovannitti, Terenzo <terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 7, 2025 10:30 AM  
**To:** Mahad Musse  
**Cc:** Karla Ferrey  
**Subject:** RE: 2701 Page Road - BC Request + Sanitary  
**Attachments:** 2701 Page Boundary Condition.docx

**[CAUTION]** This email originated from outside JLR. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Do not forward suspicious emails, if you are unsure, please send a separate message to Helpdesk.

Hi Mahad,

Please see attached results of the boundary condition request.

And The City's Water Recourses group has confirmed there are no apparent issues 0.46 L/s sanitary flow. However, the master servicing study for the adjacent subdivision must be consulted to double check downstream capacity.

Regards,  
**Terenzo Giovannitti, P.Eng**  
*Project Manager  
Development Review  
Planning, Development and Building Services Department  
City of Ottawa  
110 Laurier Ave W. Ottawa, ON  
613-580-2424 (ext. 23436)  
[terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca](mailto:terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca)*

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

---

**From:** Mahad Musse <mmusse@jlrichards.ca>  
**Sent:** October 30, 2025 3:51 PM  
**To:** Giovannitti, Terenzo <terenzo.giovannitti@ottawa.ca>  
**Cc:** Karla Ferrey <kferrey@jlrichards.ca>  
**Subject:** FW: 2701 Page Road - BC Request + Sanitary

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hello Terenzo,

We have been retained by Ziad Zamat (Our Client) to assess the servicing strategies for the proposed redevelopment of 2701 Page Road for residential use. The current preliminary concept plan involves the demolition of the existing dwelling and the construction of two townhouse blocks, each comprising six units, for a total of twelve (12) townhouse units.

To facilitate the preparation of an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS), we require a review of the water and sanitary servicing strategies. Specifically, we request that the City provide the water boundary conditions for the water supply and review the available capacity for the existing sanitary services.

**Water Boundary Condition Request:**

We kindly request the water boundary conditions at Trailsedge Road, immediately east of Page Road (refer to the attached Location Plan). The proposed units will be located in front of the existing townhouse blocks at 351 to 375 Trailsedge Way, with a 200 mm diameter watermain on Trailsedge Way available to service the proposed units. Please find attached the site demand and required fire flow (RFF) calculations, in accordance with FUS guidelines, for your reference.

**Demands and Fire Flow**

**Average Day Demand: 0.11 L/s**

**Max Day Demand: 1.00 L/s**

**Peak Hour Demand: 1.51 L/s**

**RFF 1: 167 L/s**

**RFF 3: 217 L/s**

We have requested a Required Fire Flow (RFF) of 167 L/s, in accordance with the City's cap for townhouses. However, to account for the case where the cap may not apply, we have also requested RFFs of 217 L/s.

**Sanitary Capacity Analysis:**

Following our meeting on October 30, 2025, to confirm the downstream capacities, please find below the estimated wastewater demands for the site with the calculations attached.

**Peak Wastewater Flow: 0.46 L/s**

Thanks  
Mahad

**Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)  
2701 Page Road**

---

---

## **Appendix E**

Storm Servicing and Stormwater  
Management

#### UTILITY NOTES

- This drawing cannot be accepted as acknowledging all of the utilities and it will be the responsibility of the user to contact the respective utility authorities for the required information.
- Only visible surface utilities were located.
- A field location of underground plant by the pertinent utility authority is mandatory before any work involving breaking ground, probing, excavating etc.

#### ELEVATION NOTES

- Elevations shown are geodetic and are referred to the CGVD28 geodetic datum, derived from Geodetic Survey of Canada Vertical Control Monument No. 001196530227 having an elevation of 85.707 metres.
- It is the responsibility of the user of this information to verify that the job benchmark has not been altered or disturbed and that its relative elevation and description agrees with the information shown on this drawing.

Bearings are grid, derived from N66°48'15"E on Reg. the Central Meridian of NAD-83 (CSRS) (2010)

#### PREDEVELOPMENT BREAKDOWN:

##### TO PAGE ROAD:

**TOTAL AREA = 472.03 SQM = 0.047 ha**  
**TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA = 209.29 sqm = 0.021 ha**  
**TOTAL PERVIOUS AREA = 0.047 - 0.021 = 0.026 ha**



**IMPERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.9**  
**PERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.2**

**C\_Weighted Avg = (0.9 \* 0.021 + 0.2 \* 0.026) / (0.047) = 0.51**

**Assumed T\_C (Uplands Method - minimum time) = 10 mins**

**5 Year Intensity (Ottawa @ 10 mins) = i = 104.19 mm/hr**

**Q\_5yr = 2.78 \* C \* A \* i = 2.78 \* 0.51 \* 0.047 \* 104.19 = 6.94 L/s**



#### PREDEVELOPMENT BREAKDOWN:

##### TO CULVERT:

**TOTAL AREA = 2628.36 SQM = 0.26 ha**  
**TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA = 6.74+3.44+2.8+231.1+12.35+2.64+18.72 = 277.79 sqm = 0.028 ha**  
**TOTAL PERVIOUS AREA = 0.26 - 0.028 = 0.232 ha**

**IMPERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.9**  
**PERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.2**

**C\_Weighted Avg = (0.9 \* 0.028 + 0.2 \* 0.232) / (0.26) = 0.28**

**Assumed T\_C (Uplands Method - minimum time) = 10 mins**

**5 Year Intensity (Ottawa @ 10 mins) = i = 104.19 mm/hr**

**Q\_5yr = 2.78 \* C \* A \* i = 2.78 \* 0.28 \* 0.26 \* 104.19 = 21.1 L/s**



#### TOPOGRAPHIC PLAN OF SURVEY OF

**PART OF LOT 5,  
CONCESSION 3 (OTTAWA FRONT)  
GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP GLOUCESTER  
& BLOCK 87  
REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1450  
CITY OF OTTAWA  
Surveyed by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd.**

Scale 1 : 150  
6 15 30 1.5 0 3 Metres


Metric  
DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN  
ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY  
DIVIDING BY 0.3048.

**Surveyor's Certificate**  
I CERTIFY THAT:  
1. This survey and plan are correct and in accordance with the Surveys Act, the Surveyors Act and the regulations made under them.  
2. The survey was completed on the 2nd day of June, 2025.

June 12, 2025  
Date  
Andrew Hanitsch  
Ontario Land Surveyor

#### Notes & Legend

Denotes	
□	Survey Monument Planted
■	Survey Monument Found
SIB	Standard Iron Bar
SSIB	Short Standard Iron Bar
IB	Iron Bar
IBP	Round Iron Bar
(WIT)	Witness
Meas.	Measured
(AOG)	Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd.
Acc.	Accepted
(P1)	Plan 4M-1450
(P2)	Plan 4R-28318
(P3)	Plan 4R-21464
(P4)	(1491) Plan Dated January 15, 2015
(DI)	Inst. NS87017
○ M+ST	Maintenance Hole (Storm Sewer)
○ M+H	Maintenance Hole (Sanitary)
○ FH	Fire Hydrant
○ WV	Water Valve
CLF	Chain Link Fence
BF	Board Fence
RF	Rail Fence
TOS	Top of Slope
BOS	Bottom of Slope
+ 6.00	Diameter
+ 6.00	Location of Elevations
C/L	Top of Concrete Curb / Wall Elevation
	Centreline
IRW	Deciduous Tree
WRW	Interlock Retaining Wall
○ WP	Wooden Retaining Wall
O LS	Utility Pole
○ GM	Light Standard
○ HM	Gas Meter
○ HM	Hydro Meter
○ AC	Catch Basin
○ AC	Air Conditioner
CSP	Corrugated Steel Pipe
CPP	Corrugated Plastic Pipe
OWW	Overhead Wires



## **POST-DEVELOPMENT BREAKDOWN:**

## **REAR YARD:**

**TOTAL AREA = 1238 sqm = 0.124 ha**  
**TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA = 298 + 285 = 583 sqm**  
**TOTAL PERVIOUS AREA = 655sqm**

**IMPERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.9**  
**PERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.2**

$$C\text{-Weighted Avg} = (0.9 * 583 + 0.2 * 655) / (588+655) = \underline{0.53}$$

**Assumed T\_C (minimum time) = 10 mins**

**5 Year Intensity (Ottawa @ 10 mins) =  $i = \underline{104.19 \text{ mm/hr}}$**

$$Q_{5\text{yr}} = 2.78 * C * A * i = 2.78 * 0.53 * 0.124 * 104.19 = \underline{19 \text{ L/s}}$$

**100 Year Intensity (Ottawa @ 10 mins) =  $i = 176.56 \text{ mm/hr}$**

$$Q_{100\text{yr}} = 2.78 * C * A * i = 2.78 * 0.53 * 0.124 * 176.56 = \underline{32 \text{ L/s}}$$

## **POST-DEVELOPMENT BREAKDOWN:**

## **FRONT YARD:**

**TOTAL AREA = 1005 sqm = 0.1 ha**  
**TOTAL IMPERVIOUS AREA = 323+312+132 = 767 sqm**  
**TOTAL PERVIOUS AREA = 370-132 sqm**

**IMPERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.9**  
**PERVIOUS C-FACTOR: 0.2**

$$C\_Weighted\ Avg = (0.9 * 767 + 0.2 * 232) / (767+232) = 0.74$$


**Assumed T\_C (minimum time) = 10 mins**

**5 Year Intensity (Ottawa @ 10 mins) =  $i = 104.19 \text{ mm/hr}$**

$$Q_{5\text{yr}} = 2.78 * C * A * i = 2.78 * 0.74 * 0.1 * 104.19 = \underline{21 \text{ L/s}}$$

**100 Year Intensity (Ottawa @ 10 mins) =  $i = 176.56 \text{ mm/hr}$**

$$Q_{100\text{yr}} = 2.78 * C * A * i = 2.78 * 0.74 * 0.1 * 176.56 = 36 \text{ L/s}$$



have been assumed to be restricted to 85 L/s/ha prior to reaching the site's minor system. In addition, there is a possibility of building an access road to Cyrville /Navan Road complete with a second storm sewer outlet to the EUC Pond 3 in the future. As a result, runoff from the potential future area S125 and its associated restricted release rate to the minor system (26.8 L/s) have been accounted for in the major system analysis and the overall release rate from the site. **Table 4.1** shows a comparison between the drainage areas included in the EUC Servicing Study and the drainage areas from the proposed SWM design.

**Table 4.1: Page Road Subdivision Drainage Area Comparison**

Area	2005 EUC Servicing Study	Current SWM Design
Site Area to EUC Pond 1	1.77 ha @ C=0.67	2.03 ha @ C=0.59
Site Area + Future Residential Parcel to EUC Pond 1	1.98 ha @ C=0.67	2.24 ha @ C=0.59
Site Area to EUC Pond 3	4.57 ha @ C=0.67	4.53 ha @ C=0.57
Site Area + Future Residential Parcels to EUC Pond 3	4.94 ha @ C=0.67	4.90 ha @ C=0.57

**Table 4.1** shows that the overall drainage area to the trunk sewer to the EUC Pond 1 from the site is slightly higher than outlined in the EUC ISS. As a result, the inflows to the minor system to the EUC Pond 1 from the site and future residential parcel will be over restricted to meet the overall target peak outflow of 168.3 L/s (1.98 ha x 85 L/s/ha). Drawing **SD-1** summarizes the discretized subcatchments used in the analysis of the Page Road subdivision, the contributing external areas, and outlines the major overland flow paths.

**Table 4.2** and **Table 4.3** summarize the minor system inflow and major system sag storage and overflow results for the Page Road Subdivision and external areas during the 100 year, 6hr Chicago storm and the July 1<sup>st</sup>, 1979 storm respectively. **Appendices A2, A3 and A4** summarize the DDSWMM modeling results for the various storm events.

**Table 4.2: Subdivision Major and Minor System 100 Year Storm Results**


Segment <sup>3</sup>	Total Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s) <sup>1</sup>	Ponding Depth (cm)	Maximum Capture (L/s)	Minor System Inlet	Maximum Storage Used (m <sup>3</sup> )	Overflow Spill (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
N105	0.077	14.51	21	106N	0	0.056
N107	0.352	11.14	21	107N	10.7	0.329
N108	0.061	4.8	13.4	108N	9.3	0.047
N108A	0.035	15.29	13.4	108N	0	0.021
N109	0.315	9.02	21	109N	29.8	0.294
N110A	0.084	5.41	21	110N	5.8	0.062
N110B	0.061	20.17	21	110N	0	0.040
N115	0.139	6.57	13.4	116N	0	0.123
N117	0.139	6.58	21	117N	60.7	0.118
NSWALE <sup>2</sup>	0.087	23.94	87.01	CVT-N	0	0.000

Segment <sup>3</sup>	Total Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s) <sup>1</sup>	Ponding Depth (cm)	Maximum Capture (L/s)	Minor System Inlet	Maximum Storage Used (m <sup>3</sup> )	Overflow Spill (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
NCVT	0.084	11.47	0	NONE	0	0.063
S107A	0.083	15.04	21	107S	0	0.062
S108A	0.879	13.24	21	108S	0	0.857
S110A	0.059	12.87	21	110S	0	0.038
S112A	0.347	9.32	21	112S	66.4	0.325
S112B	0.106	26.31	21	112S	0	0.085
S112C	0.130	29	21	112S	0	0.109
S114A	0.107	16.79	21	114S	0	0.086
S114B	0.123	7.2	21	114S	0	0.101
S117A	0.038	4.6	21	117S	0	0.016
S117B	0.060	12.99	21	117S	0	0.039
S118	0.161	6.95	42	118S	3	0.118
S122A	0.117	7.05	21	122S	0	0.096
S122B	0.094	24.8	21	122S	0	0.073
S124A	0.872	13.2	42	113S	0	0.829
S125	0.841	13.01	26.8	ULT2	1.6	0.813
S126	0.093	6.44	21	126S	0.3	0.072
EXT-OV1	0.019	6.74	0	NONE	0	0.019
EXT-OV2	0.022	7.1	0	NONE	0	0.022
EXT-OV3	0.026	7.55	0	NONE	0	0.026
EXT-OV4	0.064	10.43	0	NONE	0	0.064
EXT-OV5	0.010	5.26	0	NONE	0	0.010
EXT-107 <sup>4</sup>	0.063	20.43	24.65	107S	0	0.036
EXT-110C <sup>4</sup>	0.024	12.52	6.8	110S	0	0.016
EXT-E	1.427	15.92	433	107S	316.89	0.872
EXT-SE	2.683	20.76	895.9	123S	210.8	1.782

1. Total peak flow is equal to the sum of the runoff generated by the catchment plus the upstream overflow spill
2. The maximum capture at segment NSWALE represents the peak flow design for the proposed culvert crossing Trailsedge Way
3. The first letter of the segment name (N or S) represents whether the catchment is tributary to the minor system to EUC Pond 1, represented with an 'N', or to EUC Pond 3, represented with an 'S'
4. Minor system inflows from the future residential parcel EXT-107 and EXT-110C are tributary to the EUC Pond 3 and are included when calculating the actual release from the site

Table 4.3: Subdivision Major and Minor System July 1st, 1979 Storm Results

Segment <sup>3</sup>	Total Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s) <sup>1</sup>	Ponding Depth (cm)	Maximum Capture (L/s)	Minor System Inlet	Maximum Storage Used (m <sup>3</sup> )	Overflow Spill (m <sup>3</sup> /s)
N105	0.080	14.8	21.0	106N	0.0	0.059
N107	0.325	10.8	21.0	107N	10.7	0.302
N108	0.049	4.5	13.4	108N	9.3	0.036
N108A	0.037	15.7	13.4	108N	0.0	0.020
N109	0.299	8.8	21.0	109N	29.8	0.277
N110A	0.079	5.3	21.0	110N	5.8	0.058
N110B	0.064	20.7	21.0	110N	0.0	0.043
N115	0.111	6.1	13.4	116N	0.0	0.098



Stantec Consulting Ltd.  
1505 Lapierre Avenue  
Ottawa ON Canada  
K1Z 7T1  
Tel. 613.722.4420  
Fax. 613.722.2799  
www.stantec.com



Copyright Reserved  
The Contractor shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions. DO NOT SCALE THE drawing — any errors or omissions shall be reported to Stantec without delay.  
The Copyrights to all designs and drawings are the property of Stantec. Reproduction or use for any purpose other than that authorized by Stantec is forbidden.

Legend


	DRAINAGE AREA NO.
	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
	STORM DRAINAGE AREA (ha)
	DRAINAGE DIVIDE
	DRAINAGE DIVIDE (INTERIM CONDITION)
	MAJOR SYSTEM ONLY
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
	PROPOSED CATCH BASIN
	PROPOSED CATCH BASIN C/W ICD TYPE 'A'
	PROPOSED CATCH BASIN C/W ICD TYPE 'X'
	TYPIAL SERVICE LATERAL LOCATION
	EXISTING STORM SEWER
	EXISTING CATCH BASIN
	DIRECTION OF OVERLAND FLOW
	PONDING AREA
	EUC BOUNDARY POND 1/3

Notes

- 1) AREAS N-CVT, EXT-OV1, EXT-OV2, EXT-OV3, EXT-OV4 SHEET DRAIN TOWARDS THE SITE UNDER EXISTING CONDITIONS (INTERIM CONDITIONS). AREAS ARE USED ONLY TO EVALUATE SITE'S MAJOR SYSTEM.
- 2) AREA EXT-SE WAS ASSUMED TO PROVIDE 20 CU.M./HA OF SURFACE STORAGE AND WAS USED TO EVALUATE THE SITE'S MINOR SYSTEM AT 85L/S/HA.
- 3) CATCHBASINS TO BE EQUIPPED WITH EITHER ICD TYPE 'A' OR ICD TYPE 'X' AS SHOWN.  
TYPE 'A' Q=21L/s, HEAD=1.5m.  
TYPE 'X' Q=13.4L/s, HEAD=1.5m.
- 4) AREA N108 INCLUDES REAR YARD AREA FROM THE FUTURE DASHED AREA TO POND 1.
- 5) NSWALE AND N-CVT WERE USED TO SIZE THE CULVERT THAT CROSSES TRAILSEDGE WAY AND CONVEYS RUNOFF FROM THESE AREAS IN THE INTERIM CONDITION.
- 6) AREA EXT-E, AS OBTAINED FROM IBI GROUP USED TO EVALUATE THE SITE'S MINOR SYSTEM AT 85L/S/HA.
- 7) MAJOR FLOW HYDROGRAPHS FROM AREA EXT-E WAS READ IN DDSMM AS OBTAINED FROM IBI GROUP.

3	REVISED AS PER NEW SITE PLAN	MJS	TJW	11.01.12
2	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	MJS	AMP	10.06.22
1	ISSUED FOR REVIEW	MJS	AMP	10.04.08
By	Appd.	YY.MM.DD		
Revision	DCT	TJW	AMP	09.06.15
File Name: 160400477	Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD

Permit-Seal



Client/Project  
RICHCRAFT HOMES

PAGE ROAD DEVELOPMENT

Ottawa ON Canada

Title

STORM DRAINAGE PLAN

Project No. 160400477 Scale 1:750 0 7.5 22.5 37.5  
Drawing No. Sheet Revision

SD-1 17 of 18 3

ICD SCHEDULE			ICD SCHEDULE			PAGE ROAD		
CATCHMENT ID	NUMBER AND TYPE OF ICD	MAXIMUM RELEASE RATE (L/S)	CATCHMENT ID	NUMBER AND TYPE OF ICD	MAXIMUM RELEASE RATE (L/S)	SECTION	SECTION	SECTION
N105	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S114B	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0			
N107	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S117A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0			
N108	1 X TYPE 'X'	13.4	S117B	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0			
N109	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S118	2 X TYPE 'A'	42.0			
N110A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S122A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0			
N110B	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S122B	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0			
N111	1 X TYPE 'X'	13.4	S124A	2 X TYPE 'A'	42.0			
N117	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S125	2 X TYPE 'X'	26.8			
S107A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	S126	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0			
S108A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	EXT-107	85 L/s/ha	24.7			
S110A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0	EXT-110C	85 L/s/ha	6.8			
S112A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0						
S112B	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0						
S112C	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0						
S114A	1 X TYPE 'A'	21.0						



Stantec

SUBDIVISION:  
Page Road Development  
Richcraft Homes

DATE: February 11, 2008

REVISION: January 18, 2011

DESIGNED BY: DT

CHECKED BY: AMP

**STORM SEWER  
DESIGN SHEET**  
(City of Ottawa)

FILE NUMBER: 604-00477

**DESIGN PARAMETERS**

$$I = a / (b + t_c)^c$$

a =	5yr	10yr
b =	6.053	6.014
c =	0.814	0.816

2004 Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines


MANNING'S n = 0.013

MINIMUM COVER: 2.00 m

TIME OF ENTRY: 15 min

LOCATION	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	C	DRAINAGE AREA				LENGTH (m)	PIPE SIZE (mm)	SLOPE %	CAP. (FULL) (L/s)	CAP. AVAIL. (%)	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)	TIME OF FLOW (min)			
					ACCUM. AREA (ha)	A x C (ha)	ACCUM. Ax C (ha)	T of C (min)										
<b>Northern System to SWM Pond 1 (EUC)</b>																		
Trailsedge Way (N108, N108A)	108	107	0.32	0.60	0.32	0.19	0.19	15.00	83.56	44.5	105.0	375	0.35	108.6	59%	0.95	0.90	1.95
Contour Avenue (N110A, N110B)	110	109	0.57	0.51	0.57	0.29	0.29	15.00	83.56	67.9	62.1	600	0.15	250.5	73%	0.86	0.70	1.47
Contour Avenue (N109)	109	107	0.38	0.69	0.95	0.26	0.55	16.47	79.09	121.9	70.9	600	0.15	246.5	51%	0.84	0.84	1.41
Trailsedge Way (N107)	107	106	0.17	0.69	1.44	0.12	0.86	17.88	75.27	180.6	33.6	750	0.30	633.6	72%	1.39	1.18	0.47
Trailsedge Way (N105)	106	104	0.33	0.42	1.77	0.14	1.00	18.36	74.07	206.2	60.9	750	0.10	364.6	43%	0.80	0.82	1.23
Trailsedge Way	104	103			1.77	0.00	1.00	19.59	71.16	198.1	12.7	750	0.16	460.9	57%	1.01	0.96	0.22
Morningstar Way (N117)	117	116	0.40	0.71	0.40	0.28	0.28	15.00	83.56	65.9	95.7	450	0.20	132.5	50%	0.81	0.80	2.00
Morningstar Way (N115)	116	103	0.07	0.62	0.47	0.04	0.33	17.00	77.62	70.6	30.9	525	0.20	201.0	65%	0.90	0.81	0.64
Trailsedge Way	103	Ex. Stub			2.24	0.00	1.33	19.81	70.67	261.0	14.5	750	0.10	373.6	30%	0.82	0.89	0.27

Return Frequency	A	B	C	Q = 2.78 CIA I = (A / T_c + C)^B Where Q = peak flow (L/s) A = area (ha) I = rainfall intensity (mm/hr) C = runoff coefficient T_c = time of concentration (min)	Table A.1 PRELIMINARY STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET BRIAN COBURN BOULEVARD - ULTIMATE CONDITIONS POND 1 OUTLET IDF - Macdonald-Cartier Airport, 1967-1997 RCI_STM v1.02 (130204)												Project Information						Revisions																
	2 yr	732.951	0.810	6.199													File No.	Brian Coburn Boulevard			No.	Description		By	Date														
5 yr	998.071	0.814	6.053													13026	Contract No.		n/a	1	Issued for MOECC ECA Approval		DJMR	Oct 27/15															
10 yr	1174.184	0.816	6.014														Name		Company	Date																			
25 yr	1402.884	0.819	6.018													Design	DJMR		RCI	Oct 27/15																			
50 yr	1569.580	0.820	6.014													Check	GB		RCI	Oct 27/15																			
100 yr	1735.688	0.820	6.014																					Page 1 of 1															
LOCATION				CATCHMENT AREA																						FLOW DATA													
DRAINAGE AREA	LOCATION	PIPE ID	U/S MH ID	D/S MH ID	5-Year Design Storm			10-Yr Design Storm			25-Yr Design Storm			Time of Concentration T_c (min)	Rainfall Intensity			Peak Flow			Fixed Flow Contribution Q_fic (L/s)	Total Peak Flow Q_total (L/s)			SEWER DATA				ELEVATION DATA										
					Area A (ha)	C	Individual 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	Area A (ha)	C	Individual 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	Area A (ha)	C	Individual 2.78 AC	Accum. 2.78 AC	5-Yr I_5yr (mm/hr)	10-Yr I_10yr (mm/hr)	25-Yr I_25yr (mm/hr)	5-Yr Q_5yr (L/s)	10-Yr Q_10yr (L/s)	25-Yr Q_25yr (L/s)	Material Type	Roughness Coefficient (n)	Nominal Diameter (mm)	Actual Diameter (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	Capacity Q_ull (L/s)	Full Flow Velocity (m/s)	Time of Flow (min)	Q/Q_ull	Invert (m)	Approx. Surface Elev. (m)	Approx. Cover to Overtr (m)	Approx. Surface Elev. (m)	Approx. Cover to Overtr (m)		
Brian Coburn Boulevard (12+661 to Pond 1 Outlet Junction)																																							
107	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH104	MH105		0.00	0.00	0.540	0.65	0.97	0.97				0.00	0.00	10.00	104.19	122.14	144.69	0.00	118.63	0.00	0.00	118.63	0.013	900	914	0.12	100.00	653.46	1.00	1.67	0.18	83.409	86.030	1.721	83.289	85.950	1.761
108	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH105	MH106		0.00	0.00	0.457	0.65	0.82	1.79				0.00	0.00	11.67	96.11	112.63	133.38	0.00	201.97	0.00	0.00	201.97	0.013	900	914	0.10	85.00	596.53	0.91	1.56	0.34	83.289	85.950	1.761	83.204	85.770	1.666
109	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH106	MH107		0.00	0.00	0.457	0.65	0.82	2.62				0.00	0.00	13.23	89.74	105.13	124.47	0.00	274.94	0.00	0.00	274.94	0.013	900	914	0.10	120.00	596.53	0.91	2.20	0.46	83.204	85.770	1.666	83.084	85.670	1.686
110	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH107	MH108		0.00	0.00	0.421	0.65	0.76	3.37				0.00	0.00	15.43	82.19	96.24	113.92	0.00	324.58	0.00	0.00	324.58	0.013	900	914	0.10	83.00	596.53	0.91	1.52	0.54	83.084	85.670	1.686	83.001	85.560	1.659
Brian Coburn Boulevard (14+750 to Pond 1 Outlet Junction)																																							
111	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH126	MH125		0.00	0.00	0.560	0.65	1.01	1.01				0.00	0.00	10.00	104.19	122.14	144.69	0.00	123.60	0.00	0.00	123.60	0.013	900	914	0.20	90.00	843.62	1.20	1.17	0.15	85.880	88.030	2.150	85.700	88.750	2.150
112	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH125	MH124		0.00	0.00	0.573	0.65	1.03	2.04				0.00	0.00	11.17	98.41	115.33	136.60	0.00	235.57	0.00	0.00	235.57	0.013	900	914	0.20	120.00	843.62	1.29	1.56	0.28	85.700	88.750	2.150	85.480	88.410	2.050
113	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH124	MH123		0.00	0.00	0.492	0.65	0.35	2.39				0.00	0.00	12.72	91.72	107.46	127.24	0.00	256.59	0.00	0.00	256.59	0.013	900	914	0.20	120.00	843.62	1.29	1.56	0.30	85.460	88.410	2.050	85.220	88.140	2.020
114	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH123	MH122		0.00	0.00	0.225	0.65	0.41	2.79				0.00	0.00	14.26	85.97	100.69	119.20	0.00	281.35	0.00	0.00	281.35	0.013	900	914	0.20	60.00	843.62	1.29	0.78	0.33	85.220	88.310	2.310	85.100	88.080	2.200
115	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH122	MH121		0.00	0.00	0.357	0.65	0.64	3.44				0.00	0.00	15.06	83.38	97.64	115.58	0.00	335.55	0.00	0.00	335.55	0.013	975	991	0.20	60.00	1046.69	1.36	0.74	0.32	85.025	88.310	2.310	84.905	88.200	2.200
116	Brian Coburn Blvd	MH121	MH120		0.00	0.00	0.372	0.65	0.67	4.11				0.00	0.00	15.79	81.08	94.94	112.37	0.00	389.79	0.00	0.00	389.79	0.013	975	991	0.20	60.00	1046.69	1.36	0.74	0.37	84.905	88.200	2.100			



**Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (AAPS)  
2701 Page Road**

---

---

## **Appendix F**

Conceptual Grading and Servicing  
Plans

