## FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY

FOR

## ASHCROFT HOMES CENTRAL PARK

CITY OF OTTAWA

**PROJECT NO.: 10-473** 

JULY 2011 - REV 1 © DSEL

## FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY FOR ASHCROFT HOMES CENTRAL PARK

## JULY 2011 - REV 1

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	.1
1.1	Existing Conditions	2
1.2	Required Permits / Approvals	3
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	3
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	4
3.1	Existing Water Supply Services	4
3.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	5
3.3	Water Supply Conclusion	6
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	6
4.1	Existing Wastewater Services	6
4.2	Wastewater Design	6
4.3	Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	7
5.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	.7
5.1	Existing Stormwater Services	7
5.2	Post-development Stormwater Management Target	8
5.3	Proposed Stormwater Management System	9
6.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	1

## **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site Location
	TABLES
Table 1 Table 2	Fire Hydrant Testing Results Water Demand and Boundary Conditions Existing / Approved SP Conditions
Table 3	Water Supply Design Criteria
Table 4	Water Demand and Boundary Conditions Proposed Conditions
Table 5	Wastewater Design Criteria
Table 6	Summary of Proposed Release Rate and Storage Characteristics
Table 7	Stormwater Flow Rate Summary
	APPENDICES
Appendix A	Pre-consultation Notes

Appendix B	Water Supply
Appendix C	Waterwater Collection
Appendix D	Stormwater Management

## FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY FOR ASHCROFT HOMES CENTRAL PARK

## CITY OF OTTAWA

## JULY 2011 – REV 1

## PROJECT NO.: 10-473

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Ashcroft Homes have retained David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) to prepare an Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Study in support of their Site Plan Application for the first phase of their proposed Central Park development.

The subject property is located within City of Ottawa urban boundary. As illustrated in *Figure 1*, the subject property has the Civic addresses of 1230 and 1232 Merivale Road and 1 and 300 Central Park Drive. The site is west of the Experimental north of Baseline Road.



## Figure 1: Site Location

The subject property measures approximately *2.9ha* and has a mixture of zoning. The existing site zoning is summarized as follows:

- > 0.41 R5K: High Density Residential
- > 0.70 AM1: Arterial Mainstreet
- > 0.62 AM2: Arterial Mainstreet
- > 1.17 AM5: Arterial Mainstreet

1230 and 1232 Merivale are currently developed as retail. The Region of Ottawa-Carleton approved as previously submitted site plan on September 1, 2002 for 1 and 300 Central Park Drive parcels. The previously approved plan included two one storey restaurants, 10 townhomes, and one 8 storey office building. The approved servicing plan prepared by Oliver, Mangione, McCalla and Assoc has been included in **Drawings** / **Figures**.

The proposed development by Ashcroft Homes involves the construction of seven buildings to include approximately  $18,105m^2$  of retail,  $4,440m^2$  of office space, 727 apartment / condominiums, as well as 7 townhomes. The proposed preliminary site plan has been included in **Drawings** / **Figures** at the rear of this study.

The site is conceived to be developed in three phases; North, Central, and South precincts, with the Central Precinct proposed to develop first.

The objective of this report is to provide sufficient detail with respect to the availability of existing site services in addition to proposed servicing strategy to support the application for site plan control for the Central Precinct. Ashcroft Homes are pursuing Stage 2 site plan approval for the North and South Precincts.

## 1.1 Existing Conditions

Ashcroft Homes retained Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. to complete a detailed topographical survey of the site. A reduction plot of the survey is included in *Drawings* / *Figures*.

The existing site consisted of developed as well as undeveloped portions. 1230 Merivale Road contained an asphalt parking lot and grasses areas. 1232 Merivale Road was developed into as retail and included a Tim Horton's restaurant and one storey strip mall. Total floor space measuring approximately 1,260m<sup>2</sup>. A temporary Ascroft Sales Centre and associated asphalt parking is situated on 1 Central Park Drive. 300 Central Park Drive is primarily undeveloped.

## **1.2 Required Permits / Approvals**

The proposed development is subject to the site plan control approval process.

The City of Ottawa must to approve the engineering design drawings and reports prior to the issuance of site plan control.

## 2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report.

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, November 2004. (City Standards)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution City of Ottawa, July 2010 (Water Supply Guidelines)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (SWMP Design Manual)
- Water Supply for Public Fire Protection Fire Underwriters Survey, 1999. (FUS)
- Addendum to the Stormwater Design Plan Clyde/Merivale Lands, City of Ottawa – Ashcroft Development Inc., Cumming Cockburn Limited, March 1999 (Existing SWM Plan)
- Clyde and Merivale, MP12491A OMM Trow, March 18, 1999 (SWM Addendum #1)
- Stormwater Drainage Area Report for Remaining areas of Central Park Subdivision
   OMM Trow, May 17, 2001 (SWM Addendum #2)

## 3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

## 3.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa Carlington Heights (ME) pressure zone. Potable water is available to the site via an existing 305mm PVC watermain within the Festive Private easement, an existing 406mm PVC watermain on Central Park North at Merivale and an existing 305mm PVC watermain on Central Park South at Merivale. The existing surrounding watermains are illustrated on drawing *EX-1* included in *Drawings/Figures*.

The City of Ottawa Drinking Water Services branch completed fire hydrant testing in 2009. *Table 1* summarizes the results of the hydrant testing. Correspondence with the Drinking Water Services branch is located in *Appendix B* and location of existing services in *Drawings / Figures*.

Flow Hydrant	Residual Hydrant	Static Pressure (kPa)	Dynamic Pressure (kPa)	Pitot Pressure (kPa)	Measured Flow (L/min)	Available Fire Flow at 140kPa (L/min)
6425011	6425013	303.4	248.2	151.7	2986.8	5405.3
6425012	6425013	303.4	248.2	206.8	3486.9	6310.0
6425013	6425015	303.4	248.2	165.5	3118.6	5646.2
6425054	6425059	551.6	496.4	386.1	4764.3	14142.9
6425055	6425054	537.8	482.6	413.7	4932.5	14374.7
6425080	6425081	524.0	468.9	303.4	4223.3	12079.0
6425081	6425080	510.2	441.3	386.1	4764.3	11847.1

Table 1Fire Hydrant Testing Results

As discussed in *Sections 1.0 and 1.1*, the subject property has a previously approved site plan as well as existing retail development. *Table 2* summarizes the anticipated water demand per current *Water Supply Guidelines*.

# Table 2Water Demand and Boundary ConditionsExisting / Approved SP Conditions

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand <sup>1</sup> (L/min)	Boundary Condition <sup>2</sup> (m H <sub>2</sub> O / kPa)
Average Daily Demand	78.3	
Max Day + Fire Flow	169.9 + 8,000 = 8,169.9	
Peak Hour	287.5	
<ol> <li>Water demand calcula detailed calculations.</li> <li>Boundary conditions of</li> </ol>	ation per <i>Water Supply Guidel</i>	ines. See Appendix B for
96.4m.	supplied by the Oily Of Ollawa. P	Source ground elevation

## 3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

*Table 2* summarizes the *Water Supply Guidelines* employed in the preparation of the water demand estimate.

Design Parameter	Value						
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit						
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit						
Residential Average Daily Demand	350 L/d/P						
Residential Maximum Daily Demand	3.0 x Average Daily *						
Residential Maximum Hourly	4.5 x Average Daily *						
Commercial Average Daily Demand (Retail)	2.5 L/m²/d						
Residential Maximum Daily Demand	1.5 x Average Daily						
Residential Maximum Hourly	1.8 x Maximum Daily						
Minimum Watermain Size	150mm diameter						
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4m from top of watermain to finished grade						
During Peak Hourly Demand operating pressure	275kPa and 552kPa						
must remain within							
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop	140kPa						
below							
* Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors as per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water							
Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons.							

Table 3Water Supply Design Criteria

*Table 3* summarizes the anticipated water supply demand and boundary conditions for the proposed development based on the *Water Supply Guidelines*.

# Table 4Water Demand and Boundary ConditionsProposed Conditions

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand <sup>1</sup> (L/min)	Boundary Condition <sup>2</sup> (m H <sub>2</sub> O / kPa)								
Average Daily Demand	379.0	685.7								
Max Day + Fire Flow	891.1 + 8,000= 8,929.1	282.5								
Peak Hour	1926.2	298.2								
<ol> <li>Water demand calcula detailed calculations.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Water demand calculation per Water Supply Guidelines. See Appendix B for detailed calculations.</li> </ol>									
<ol> <li>Boundary conditions s 96.4m. See <i>Appendix</i></li> </ol>	Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa. Assumed ground elevation 96.4m. See <i>Appendix B.</i>									

Fire flow requirements are to be determined in accordance with Local Guidelines (*FUS*), City of Ottawa Guidelines, and the Ontario Building Code. The *FUS* indicates that the minimum size water supply credited must be capable of delivering not less than 1000 L/min for two hours or 2000 L/min for one hour in addition to maximum daily demand. Furthermore, the provision for Fire Flow should not exceed 45,000L/s. If buildings are

contiguous, such as multi-block dwellings, a minimum of 8,000 L/min is recommended by the *FUS*.

## 3.3 Water Supply Conclusion

Anticipated water demand under proposed conditions was submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions.

As demonstrated in **Table 4**, the recommended pressure range is respected during Maximum Day plus Fire Flow as well as Peak Hour demands. During average daily demand the pressure exceeds the recommended range. A pressure check should be conducted at the completion of construction to determine if pressure control is required.

The proposed water supply design conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.

## 4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

## 4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The subject property is tributary to the Cave Creek collector sewer catchment. An existing 525mm diameter sanitary sewer located on Central Park Drive North is available to the development. The existing sewer flows East and empties in the Merivale Road sewer where it is conveyed North via a 525mm dia sewer. The Merivale Road sewer connects to the Cave Creek collector 750mm diameter at Kirkwood Avenue and Larose Avenue. The existing surrounding sanitary sewers are illustrated on drawing *EX-1* included in *Drawings/Figures* as well as as-built drawings of the Merivale Road sewer.

## 4.2 Wastewater Design

*Table 4* summarizes the *City Standards* employed in the design of the proposed wastewater sewer system.

Design Parameter	Value
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit
Average Daily Demand	350 L/d/per
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 4.0, Min 2.0
Commercial Floor Space	5 L/m²/d
Office Space	75 L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.28L/s/ha
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the	$1 + p^{\frac{1}{2}} c^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Manning's Equation	$Q = -AR^{73}S^{72}$
	n

#### Table 5 Wastewater Design Criteria

Minimum Sewer Size	200mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa	a Sewer Design Guidelines, November 2004

As demonstrated on the attached calculation sheets the anticipated peak flow from the development was estimated to be *29.73L/s* including a 0.28L/s/ha allowance for extraneous flow, see *Appendix C* for associated calculations.

The available capacity of the receiving sewer was reviewed. **Appendix C** contains drainage area plans and calculation sheet for the first leg of sanitary sewer on Merivale Road. Based on the attached analysis this existing 525mm diameter sanitary sewer on Merivale with a slope of 0.226% has a full flowing capacity of **204.0L/s**. The total area tributary to this leg contributes **71.1L/s**. Including the proposed development the total peak flow was estimated to be **96.1L/s**. The sanitary drainage area plan indicates that the area tributary to the first leg of sewer was considered to have a population equivalent of **9,657p**. The population equivalent for the combined existing and proposed developments is approximately **7,146p**.

## 4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The proposed wastewater design conforms to all relevant City guidelines. The existing sanitary sewer on Merivale Road has sufficient capacity to convey the existing and proposed peak wastewater flow. A review of the population equivalent considered in the original design indicates further demonstrates that the sewers downstream have been sufficient sized.

## 5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

## 5.1 Existing Stormwater Services

The subject lands are located within Ottawa Central sub-watershed which is under the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority jurisdiction.

Stormwater Management for the subject parcels of land were considered in the following reports:

- Addendum to the Stormwater Design Plan Clyde/Merivale Lands, City of Ottawa – Ashcroft Development Inc., Cumming Cockburn Limited, March 1999
- Clyde and Merivale, MP12491A OMM Trow, March 18, 1999

## Stormwater Drainage Area Report for Remaining areas of Central Park Subdivision

OMM Trow, May 17, 2001

The above reports have identified that the subject parcel of land is tributary to the existing 1500mm diameter storm sewer on Merivale Road. The total flow directed to the subject sewer is to be restricted to  $6.0m^3/s$  (page 4 CCL March 1999). All stormwater runoff directed to the Merivale storm sewer must be treated to "Normal" level of TSS removal per Ministry of Environment SWMP guidelines. Due to grading constraints storm runoff generated within 1 Central Park, 1230 and 1232 Merivale by-pass the existing stormwater management pond. 300 Central Park is tributary to the existing stormwater management pond. Figure 1 as well as Table 2, from the 1999 CCL is has been included in *Appendix D*. The figure illustrates the areas that by-pass the existing stormwater management pond on Central Park Drive. Furthermore, emergency overland flow routes for storms less frequent than 100-year event or catastrophic failure have been indicated. There are two locations that emergency overland flow routes that must be considered in the development of the subjects lands. One to the most northern property line and the other bi-secting 1 and 300 Central Park Drive.

## 5.2 Post-development Stormwater Management Target

Stormwater management requirements for the proposed development have been based on the review of available background material:

- Allowable release rate for
  - 300 Central Park Drive (approximately 1.1ha) directed to the existing 750mm diameter storm sewer on Central Park Drive South is approximately 170L/s.
  - 1 Central Park Drive and 1232 Merivale Road (approximately 0.70ha) directed to the existing 1500mm diameter storm sewer on Central Park Drive North is approximately 57L/s.
  - 1230 Merivale Road (approximately 1.0ha) directed to the existing 1500mm diameter storm sewer on Central Park Drive North is approximately **170L/s**.
- All storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event are to be attenuated on site.
- Quality controls are required for 1 Central Park Drive, 1230 Merivale Road, and 1232 Merivale Road where runoff is to be treated to the MOE "Normal" level, 70% TSS removal.

## 5.3 Proposed Stormwater Management System

The proposed development will contain a combination of surface storage, roof top flow attenuation, and potentially a cistern storage and / or underground storage. Quality Treatment will be provided through a Stormceptor® structure located at the outlet of the development.

Although this application for site plan control is specific to the Central Precinct, a stormwater management solution was developed to accommodate both the South and Central areas. The North precinct will be required to provide a standalone stormwater solution to address the required quantity and quality controls. Therefore, the target release rates are summarized as follows:

## South and Central Precinct (170L/s + 57L/s) 227L/s

## North Precinct 170L/s

The proposed development will contain a combination of roof top flow attenuation, surface storage, and underground storage. Drawing *SWM-1*, located in *Appendix D* illustrates the sub-drainage catchments.

As illustrated, stormwater runoff from Building's Three and Four are proposed to be directed downstream of the proposed Inlet Control Device Located in MH102. See **Appendix D** for ICD sizing. The remaining site area is to be attenuated in the subsurface and surface through a single restrictive control device.

*Table 6* summarizes the release rate characteristics for each area. See *Appendix D* for detailed calculations.

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage	Available Storage
	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Un-attenuated Areas	8.39	0.0	15.92	0.0	0.0
Building Three	3.87	46.0	6.56	78.0	161.4
Building Four	0.47	7.7	0.79	13.1	25.0
Attenuated Areas	107.39	202.6	203.73	384.4	385.9
Total	120.1	256.3	227.0	475.5	572.3

 Table 6

 Summary of Proposed Release Rate and Storage Characteristics

The release rate and storage calculations for roof top attenuation were estimated based Zurn Industries Ltd. design guidelines for Model Z-105-5 Control-Flo Single Notch drains. Other products may be specified provided that the restricted release rate and sufficient storage is provided to meet or exceed the values summarized in *Table 6*.

*Table 7* summarizes the target and post-development flow rates for the South and Central Precincts.

Design Storm Event	Target Release Rate	Post-Dev Peak Rate	Required Storage
	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
5-year	227	120.1	256.3
100-year	227	227.0	475.5

Table 7Stormwater Flow Rate Summary

Detailed storage calculations are contained within *Appendix D*.

## 5.4 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

The proposed stormwater design conforms to all relevant City guidelines and Policies and meets the design objectives.

The proposed stormwater management target results in a significant reduction in peak flow from existing conditions.

## 6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Ashcroft Homes are applying for site plan control for the first phase of their Central Park development, referred herein as the Central Precinct. Furthermore, Ashcroft Homes are pursuing Stage 2 site plan approval for the North and South Precincts. DSEL was retained to prepare a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management report in support of their Central Precinct.

- The existing watermain network on Merivale and Central Park has sufficient water supply for the proposed development
- The recommended pressure range is respected during Maximum Day plus Fire Flow as well as Peak Hour demands;
- The existing 525mm dia. Sanitary sewer on Merivale Road has adequate capacity available for the proposed development;
- Stormwater management for the subject lands were considered in previous studies. Ultimately all flow will be directed to the existing 1500mm diameter storm sewer on Merivale Road. 1230 and 1232 Merivale Road as well as 1 Central Park Drive will be required to provide separated quality control measures.

Prepared by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.** 

Reviewed by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.** 

Per: Adam D. Fobert, P.Eng.

Per: Stephen J. Pichette, P.Eng.

© DSEL

z:\projects\11-473 ashcroft - central park\b\_design\b3\_reports\b3-2\_servicing (dsel)\2011-07-07\_fsr\fsr-2011-07-07\_ashcroft\_central\_park-adf.doc

## APPENDIX A

**Pre-Consultation** 

Development Servicing Study Checklist       NA         If all the propries the checkles of the required context of servicing studies. It is the propries the checkles of the required context of servicing studies. It is the propries the checkles of the required context of servicing studies. It is the propries and the finance and the finance and the finance and the checkles of the required context of servicing studies. It is the propries and the finance and the finance and the finance is and the finance of the propress the servicing studies. It is not the propress of change in hand and the odderments for the propress of change in hand and the context of the propress of change in hand and the context of the propress of change in hand and the servicing studies. The constant and the finance of the propress of change in hand and the servicing within the development boundary.         If the servicing within the development boundary.       NA         If the servicing within the development.       NA         If the servicing within the development boundary.       NA         If the servicing within the development boundary. <th><ul> <li>Metric scale</li> <li>North arrow (including construction North)</li> <li>Key plan</li> <li>Name and contact information of applicant and property owner</li> <li>Property limits including bearings and dimensions</li> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> <li>Existing and videnting and rights-of-way</li> <li>Adjacent street names</li> <li>Adjacent street names</li> <li>Development Servicing Study, if available</li> <li>Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available</li> <li>Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development</li> <li>Identify boundary conditions</li> <li>Confirmation of system constraints</li> <li>Identify boundary conditions</li> <li>Ide</li></ul></th> <th>Development Servicing Report: Water</th> <th><ul> <li>Adjacent street names</li> </ul></th> <th><ul> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> <li>Easements, road widening and rights-of-way</li> </ul></th> <th><ul> <li>Name prime:</li> <li>Name and contract information of applicant and property owner</li> <li>Property limits including bearings and dimensions</li> </ul></th> <th><ul> <li>Metric scale</li> <li>North arrow (including construction North)</li> </ul></th> <th><ul> <li>All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:</li> </ul></th> <th>Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.</th> <th>Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.</th> <th>Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.</th> <th>neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.</th> <th>Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater to a set of the set of the</th> <th>DPMEMT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST</th>	<ul> <li>Metric scale</li> <li>North arrow (including construction North)</li> <li>Key plan</li> <li>Name and contact information of applicant and property owner</li> <li>Property limits including bearings and dimensions</li> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> <li>Existing and videnting and rights-of-way</li> <li>Adjacent street names</li> <li>Adjacent street names</li> <li>Development Servicing Study, if available</li> <li>Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available</li> <li>Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development</li> <li>Identify boundary conditions</li> <li>Confirmation of system constraints</li> <li>Identify boundary conditions</li> <li>Ide</li></ul>	Development Servicing Report: Water	<ul> <li>Adjacent street names</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> <li>Easements, road widening and rights-of-way</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Name prime:</li> <li>Name and contract information of applicant and property owner</li> <li>Property limits including bearings and dimensions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Metric scale</li> <li>North arrow (including construction North)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:</li> </ul>	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater to a set of the	DPMEMT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST
<b>Development Servicing Study Checklist</b> Ange section describes the checklist of the required content of servicing studies. It that the proposent will address section core of the following time for the study to the complete and reash for review by City to Datava Infrastructure Approvals stuff. A for example, for Official Plan menodments and the proposed change in hard and the financing of works when the proposed change in hard and sing prime the copedity requirements for the proposed change in hard and sing prime the copedity requirements for the proposed change in hard and sing prime the copedity requirements for the proposed change in hard and sing prime the development boundary. If the servicing within the development boundary and layout of provide channels of the report. If the and revision number of the report. If the and revision number of the reports and only the servicing and official plan, and where the statistics, land use, derestry, and layout of provide laterelopment. If the individual development must provide plants that provide context to intimudual development must provide plants from and where the individual development must provide plants and where the issue the configure direst and reprovide plants and where the issue the configure direst and reprovide plants and where the issue the configure direst and reprovide plants and where the iss		4.2					þ	م ا	□ 4/	□ <b>►</b> /1		र्व	DEVELC
Development Servicing Study Checklist of the required content of servicing studies. I that the proponent will address each one of the following terms for the study of complete and ready for review by City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approach state in the Servicing Study will increase depending on the type of the required detail in the Servicing study will increase depending on the type of the reverse will be to determine the capacity requirements for the proposed change in lar address the experity constraint. For use and size the evolopment boundary, tworks and the financing of works to address the capacity constraint. For use and size plans, the above will be required with additional detailed informating g the servicing within the development boundary. Constraint For use and spins the evolopment boundary. However, and the financing of works to address the capacity constraint. For use and arevision number of the reports only. He and revision number of the reports only. He and revision number of the reports content to a difficultial detailed informating g the servicing within the development boundary. He and revision number of the reports only. He and revision number of the reports only. He and revision number of the reports on the provide onder sphere are and revision number of the report. It is not no conformation and use denoments must adhres. Houndary, and layout of spoeed development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, are velopment statistic and use denoments and reports (Master velopment statistics) functioned and watershed plans the substate show the proposed there are a confirm conformance to higher level studies simplation meetings with substance the spliched so there and secricing and transformation and velop a defendable design criteria.	ਓ <u>ਦ</u> ੋ ਦੇ ਕ						ц	ਯੋ		Lf.			
	<ul> <li>ubdivisions and site plans, the above will be required with additional declared in upporting the servicing within the development boundary.</li> <li><b>1.1 General Content</b> Executive Summary (for larger reports only). Date and revision number of the report. Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development. Plan showing the site and location of all existing services. Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, an reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere. Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies. Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in th case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria. Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area. Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).</li></ul>	$\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	Executive Summary (for larger reports only). Oate and revision number of the report.	1.1 General Content	upporting the servicing within the development boundary.	ise and contirm this against the existing capacity constraint, and to derive the solutions, shasing of works and the financing of works to address the capacity constraint. For ubdivisions and site plans, the above will be required with additional detailed information	nain issues will be to determine the capacity requirements for the proposed change in land	The level of required detail in the Servicing Study will increase depending on the type of milication. For example, for Official Plan amendments and re-zoning applications, the	The following section describes the checklist of the required content of servicing studies. It is expected that the proponent will address each one of the following items for the study to be deemed complete and ready for review by City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approvals staf		1. Development Servicing Study Checklist	

<u>v/v</u>

**A**/2

,

377776A101\_WB0620090000TT

	ORVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST	DEVELOP	viant) servicing study checklist
	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	U V/V	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).
	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	NA []	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development. Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.
	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.		Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sauitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basemen flooding.
	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.		Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.
	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	4.4	Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of
~~	Development Servicing Report: Wastewater	□ ₹	ouues (i.e. muucipat utati, right-oi-way, watercourse, of private property) Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.
1	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	र्ष वि	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern. Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.		pre-acvetopment jevel for storint events ranging from use $\geq 0.5$ year event (dependent on the receiving sever design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analysies of the obtentially affected subwatersheds, itaking into account
	Consideration or local conditions that that they conditione to extrations nows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of severs.	Þ	long-term cumulative effects. Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection base
1	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	MA D	on me sensurvates or me receiving water contest and storage requirements. Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and docorientions with references and environtion information
	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)		Set-back from private sewage disposal systems. Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.
<	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.		Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Mirustry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.
	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	A A	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicabl study exists.
*	с <del>)</del>	4	317776A/01, VH51 (L20060

<b>Benefit and the properties of the conclusion of convergence capacity for the interaction of the interact</b>	IMMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement	Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except	in cases of dams as defined in the Act. Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	Changes to Municipal Drains.	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	Conclusion Checklist	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the	responsible reviewing agency. All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer	registered in Ontario						777784181, JASU2080000
<ul> <li>Moleculations) and conveyance capacity for the removement of complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for the more events of yater terms period) and major events (1300 year return period). Identification of vatercourses within the proposed development with the proposed development and how eventses within the proposed development and how proposed development with the proposed development and how the conveyance areas and tariange carchinenes to a stronge requirements in comparison to drainage carchinenes and how present areas from or outer to another another protections and piper development pair (more the proposed diversion of drainage carchinenes). Any proposed diversion of drainage carchinenes and proposed minor and major systems including the 1000-year term pair system in the protection of point and improvement in the proposed minor and any or systems including the 1000-year term pair system in a start of starm event. Individual proving the 1000-year event pair of the protection of drainage carchinenes of the post development from the protection of point and improvement in the propesed development from the event pair of the post development from the start of the post development fr</li></ul>	DEVELOPN						4.6	Þ	۲ ک	¢							<del>4</del>
The network of the proposed development and how are return period. How are network of the proposed development and how are return period, and major events (1:100 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period). How are not any and the proposed development and how are proposed development with applicable approvals. Calculate per and post development period in mervious areas and dramage cachiners and strandog technical approval. They proposed diversion of variange cachiners and strandog cachiners and strandog technical approval. They proposed diversion of dramage cachiners and strandog cachiners and strandog technical approval. The antive control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has a distribution of potential impervious. The antive control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has a distribution of potential impervious. The antive control is not proposed development flows up to and individing the 100-year mute second strandog cachiners and strandog cachiners and strandog to the solution of potential impervious. The antification of potential impervious (ARB) and overall gradies and strandog to the 100-year flow of the angle strandom of potential impervious. The antification of potential impervious (ARB) and overall gradies and strandog to the convolution of potential imperiod of the proposed development. To a distribution of potential impervious (ARB) and overall gradies of the solution of strandog constructures. The antification of strange constructures (ARB) and overall gradies of the solution of strandog constructures. To strandog the solution of strandog constructures of the construction of the constructures (ARB) and overall gradies of the solution of strandog constructures of the construction of the constructures of the solution of strandog constructures of the solution of strandog constructures of the solution of stran		e l'a		MN	2/20	s/s											
	DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STLOP CHECKLIST	nts (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	of watercourses within the proposed development and how will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed with applicable approvals.	te and post development peak flow rates including a description of conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in t to existing conditions.	sed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater vers, and stormwater management facilities.	ty control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has e capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year eriod storm event.	ication of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	ication of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	ptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the pment.	tr flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from g for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	on of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	ption of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the tion of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	ication of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to ate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if nformation is not available or if information does not match current ions.	cation of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	oproval and Permit Requirements: Checklist Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:	1011
		V Storage requireme minor events (1:5	Identification watercourses development	Calculate p existing site comparison	Any prope	Proposed trunk sev	If quant adequat return p	Identif		develo	A 100 yes	🔲 Inclusi	Description Description	A Identif A from the delines such ir condit	a 🗌 Identifi	<b>4.5 A</b> The Servicing necessary for t approval. The	37776A101_MB10200800

## APPENDIX B

Water Supply

#### Ashcroft Homes Central Park Proposed Site Conditions

#### Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010

#### **Domestic Demand**

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7	7	19
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4		0
2 Bedroom	2.1		0
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8	727	1309

	Pop Avg. Dai		Avg. Daily		Avg. Daily		Day	Peak I	Hour
		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min		
Total Domestic Demand	1328	464.6	322.7	1161.6	806.6	2555.4	1774.6		

#### Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

				Avg. [	Daily	Max	Day	Peak	Hour
Property Type	Unit	Rate	Units	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Commercial floor space	2.5	L/m²/d	18,105	45.26	31.4	67.9	47.1	122.2	84.9
Office	75	L/9.3m²/d	4,440	35.81	24.9	53.7	37.3	96.7	67.1
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Total I/C	Demand	81.1	56.3	121.6	84.4	218.9	152.0
		Tota	al Demand	545.7	379.0	1283.2	891.1	2774.3	1926.6



## **Blair Pearen**

From:Crowder, Murray [Murray.Crowder@ottawa.ca]Sent:April 18, 2011 8:22 AMTo:bpearen@dsel.caSubject:RE: North and South Intersection of Central Park Drive and Merivale RoadAttachments:Central Park @ Merivale.pdf

Note: the computed flows are approximate and performed for hydrant colour coding purposes, thus these values are not intended for design purposes.

`	Blair Pearen
Company:	DSEL_David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.
Tel:	(613) 836-0856 ext.258
Fax:	(613) 836-7183
Location:	Central Park @ Merivale
Request_dt:	11-04-18-08:10:19
Email:	bpearen@dsel.ca

Inspection	Flow	Residual	Pressure (psi)			(igpm)	
Date	Hydrant	Hydrant	Static	Dynamic	Pitot	actual	@ 20 psi
2009/09/24	6425011	6425013	44	>36	22	657	1189
2009/09/24	6425012	6425013	44	>36	30	767	1388
2009/09/24	6425013	6425015	44	>36	24	686	1242
2009/09/24	6425054	6425059	80	72	56	1048	3111
2009/09/25	6425055	6425054	78	70	60	1085	3162
2009/09/28	6425080	6425081	76	>68	44	929	2657
2009/09/28	6425081	6425080	74	64	56	1048	2606

Flow

### **Murray Crowder**

Technical Support Drinking Water Operations Branch Environmental Services Department City of Ottawa 951 Clyde Avenue, Ottawa, On K1Z 5A6 Mail Code 06-65 Tel: (613) 580-2424 x 22231 Fax: (613) 728-4183 e-mail: murray.crowder@ottawa.ca



From <sup>.</sup>	Mottalib, Abdul [Abdul Mottalib@ottawa.ca]
Sent:	Wednesday, June 15, 2011 2:45 PM
To:	afobert@DSEL.ca
Cc:	Mottalib, Abdul
Subiect:	FW: Ashcroft - Central Park
Attachments:	Ashcroft Central Park with node_20110609.pdf

Hi Adam,

Please note water boundary conditions in the e-mail below as requested.

Thank you, Abdul

#### **Boundary Condition results**

\*\*\*\*The following information may be passed on to the consultant, but do NOT forward this e-mail directly.\*\*\*\*

# Note: When further design is completed, boundary conditions shall be completed at the connection to Merivale Rd and to Central Park Rd with appropriate Average day, maximum day and Peak hour flows provided by the consultant.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at a point on the 406 mm watermain on Merivale Road approximately midway between Central Park Drive North and Central Park Drive South (see attached PDF for location).

Max Day + FF = 125.2 m assuming a fire flow of 135 L/s

Minimum Pressure during Peak Hour = 126.8 m. The estimated ground elevation is 96.4 m, which corresponds to a minimum pressure of approx. 43.2 psi, which is greater than the minimum allowable pressure of 40psi.

Max Pressure Check = 166.3 m. The estimated ground elevation is 96.4 m, the maximum pressure is estimated to be 99.4 psi which is more than 80 psi. A pressure check at completion of construction is recommended to determine if pressure control is required.

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

From: Adam Fobert [mailto:afobert@dsel.ca] Sent: June 06, 2011 10:19 AM To: Mottalib, Abdul Subject: RE: Ashcroft - Central Park

Hello Abdul,

For the site description, I've inserted the introduction from our updated servicing study. See below.

The subject property is located within City of Ottawa urban boundary. As illustrated in *Figure 1*, the subject property has the Civic addresses of 1230 and 1232 Merivale Road and 1 and 300 Central Park Drive. The site is west of the Experimental north of Baseline Road.



**Figure 1: Site Location** 

The proposed development by Ashcroft Homes involves the construction of seven buildings to include approximately 16,750m<sup>2</sup> of retail, 4,440m<sup>2</sup> of office space, 768 apartment / condominiums, as well as 7 townhomes. The proposed buildings will range in height from 1 storey to 25 stories. Please refer to the attached site plan prepared by BBB for additional details.

Adam Fobert, P.Eng. Senior Design Engineer

## **DSEL** david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 203 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext.231 fax: (613) 836-7183 email: <u>afobert@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

**From:** Adam Fobert [mailto:afobert@dsel.ca] **Sent:** Thursday, June 02, 2011 2:39 PM

#### **To:** 'Mottalib, Abdul' **Subject:** RE: Ashcroft - Central Park

#### Hello Abdul,

As discussed, I am updating our Adequacy of Services Estimate. It appears that I do have the majority of background work completed in order to satisfy the City Report Guidelines. However, I have noticed that boundary conditions for water supply services have not been requested. Would you kindly coordinate with your Water Resources group the following water supply demands? Thank you for your help. Feel free to call should you have any questions or comments.

Average Daily Demand: 393.2L/min Max Day + Fire Flow: 929.1L/min + 8.000L/min = 8,929.1L/min Peak Hour = 2011.7L/min

For this analysis we would like to request the simulated pressure with proposed a 250mm dia connection to the existing 400mm dia watermain on Merivale, approximately mid way between Central Park Drive North and Central Park Drive South. See image below.

Ultimately we would extend and connect the existing private 250mm dia to the 406mm on Merivale as well as extend a new 250mm dia main to the existing 400mm dia on Central Park North.



Adam Fobert, P.Eng. Senior Design Engineer

**DSEL** david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 203 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9



## APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection

#### Ashcroft Homes Central Park Existing Site / Approved SP Conditions

Existing Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



Site Area			2.900	ha
Extraneous Flow Allowance	ae an			
	,s Infilt	ration / Inflow	0.81	L/s
Domostia Contributions				
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Pop	
Single Family	34	Onits	100	
Semi-detached and duplex	27		0	
Duplex	2.3		0	
Townhouse	2.7	10	27	
Apartment				
Bachelor	1.4		0	
1 Bedroom	1.4		0	
2 Bedroom	2.1		0	
3 Bedroom	3.1		0	
Average	1.8		0	
5				
		Total Pop	27	
	Average D	omestic Flow	0.11	L/s
	-			
	P	eaking Factor	4	
	0.44	L/s		
Institutional / Commercial /	Industrial Cor	ntributions		
Property Type	Unit I	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Commercial floor space*	5	L/m²/d	1,260	0.15
Office	75	L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d	11.200	1.05
Restaurant**	125	L/seat/d	78	0.11
Industrial - Light***	35,000	L/gross ha/d	-	0.00
Industrial - Heavy***	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
		Ave	rage I/C/I Flow	1.30
			-	
	Peak Ins	stitutional / Cor	mmercial Flow	1.96
		Peak Inc	dustrial Flow**	0.00
* ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		F	Peak I/C/I Flow	1.96

\* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

\*\* Estimated number of seats at 1 seat per 9.3m<sup>2</sup>
\*\* peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Tatal Fatimated Average Dry Weather Flow Date	1 41 1/2
Total Estimated Average Dry weather Flow Rate	1.41 L/S
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	2.39 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	3.21 L/s

#### Ashcroft Homes Central Park Proposed Development

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



Site Area			2.900	ha
Extraneous Flow Allowance	es			
	Infiltr	ation / Inflow	0.81	L/s
<b>Domestic Contributions</b>				
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор	
Single Family	3.4		0	
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0	
Townhouse	2.7	7	19	
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0	
Apartment				
Bachelor	1.4		0	
1 Bedroom	1.4		0	
2 Bedroom	2.1		0	
3 Bedroom	3.1		0	
Average	1.8	727	1309	
		Total Pop	1328	
	Average Do	omestic Flow	5.38	L/s
	Pe	aking Factor	3.72	
	Peak Do	omestic Flow	20.00	L/s
Institutional / Commercial /	Industrial Con	tributions		
Property Type	Unit R	late	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Commercial floor space*	5	L/m²/d	18,105	2.10
Office	75	l /9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d	4 440	3 85
Industrial - Light**	35 000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
industrial fieldvy	00,000	L/gross na/a		0.00
		Ave	rage I/C/I Flow	5.95
			<b>.</b>	
	Peak Inst	titutional / Co	mmercial Flow	8.92
		Peak Inc	dustrial Flow**	0.00
			Peak I/C/I Flow	8.92
* assuming a 12 hour commercia	al operation			

\*\* peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	11.33 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	28.92 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	29.73 L/s

#### SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

PROJECT:Ashcroft - Central ParkLOCATION:Merivale Road - OttawaFILE REF:10-473DATE:7-Jun-11

#### DESIGN PARAMETERS

Avg. Daily Flow Res.	350	L/p/d
Avg. Daily Flow Comm	50,000	L/ha/d
Avg. Daily Flow Instit.	50,000	L/ha/d
Avg. Daily Flow Indust	35,000	L/ha/d

 Peak Fact Res. Per Harmons: Min = 2.0, Max =4.0

 Peak Fact. Comm.
 1.5

 Peak Fact. Instit.
 1.5

 Peak Fact. Indust. per MOE graph

Infiltration / Inflow Min. Pipe Velocity Max. Pipe Velocity Mannings N

	Location					Resider	ntial Area	and Popu	Ilation				Com	nercial	Instit	utional	Indu	strial			nfiltratio	۱					Pipe	Data			
Area ID	Up	Down	Area		Numbe	r of Units		Pop.	Cumu	Ilative	Peak.	Q <sub>res</sub>	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Q <sub>C+I+I</sub>	Total	Accu.	Infiltration	Total	DIA	Slope	Length	A <sub>hydraulic</sub>	R	Velocity	<b>Q</b> <sub>cap</sub>	Q / Q full
					by	type			Area	Pop.	Fact.			Area		Area		Area		Area	Area	Flow	Flow								
			(ha)	Singles	Semi's	Town's	Apt's		(ha)	-	(-)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(-)
Α			4.380	49	9			167.0	4.380	167.0	4.00	2.71		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	4.380	4.380	1.226	3.93								
В			7.000	)	151	1		408.0	11.380	575.0	3.94	9.18		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	7.000	11.380	3.186	12.37								
С			2.700	)		95		257.0	14.080	832.0	3.85	12.98		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	2.700	14.080	3.942	16.92								
D			1.000	)		37		100.0	15.080	932.0	3.82	14.42		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	1.000	15.080	4.222	18.64								
E			3.970	84	4			286.0	19.050	1218.0	3.74	18.47		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	3.970	19.050	5.334	23.80								
F			7.250	)		156	220	817.0	26.300	2035.0	3.58	29.51		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	7.250	26.300	7.364	36.88								
G			7.350	97	7 12	2 17		408.0	33.650	2443.0	3.52	34.80		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	7.350	33.650	9.422	44.22								
Н			0.740	)			184	331.0	34.390	2774.0	3.47	39.01		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	0.740	34.390	9.629	48.63								
1			5.430	19	9	211		634.0	39.820	3408.0	3.39	46.87		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	5.430	39.820	11.150	58.02								
J			2.570	36	6	19		174.0	42.390	3582.0	3.38	48.99		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	2.570	42.390	11.869	60.85								
K			2.820	)		136		367.0	45.210	3949.0	3.34	53.40		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	2.820	45.210	12.659	66.06								-
L			0.640	)			228	410.0	45.850	4359.0	3.30	58.27		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	0.640	45.850	12.838	71.10								-
Subject Lands	3		2.900	)		5	727	1322.0	48.750	5681.0	3.19	73.49		0.00		0.00		0.00	8.9	2.900	48.750	13.650	96.06	525	0.23	101.8	0.216	0.131	1 0.94	204.	0.47

0.28 L/s/ha 0.60 m/s full flowing 3.00 m/s full flowing 0.013







4130

and the second

## APPENDIX D

## Stormwater Management



### TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF PEAK FLOWS AND SURFACE STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

DRAINAGE SUB-AREA OR FLOW	TYPE OF SURFACE	5 YEAR S	STORM	100 YEAR STORM			
POINT LOCATION (HA)	PONDING	ATTENUATED PEAK FLOW (cms)	REQUIRED STORAGE (m³/ha)	ATTENTUATED PEAK FLOW (cms)	REQUIRED STORAGE (m <sup>3</sup> /ha)		
1 (10.70)	Street	0.64	0	0.86	47		
2 (3.63)	Street Parking Lots Roofs	0.58	0	0.58	124		
3 (6.70)	Street	0.65	0	0.87	45		
4 (8.54)	Street	0.69	0	0.92	52		
5 (2.60)	Street	0.24	0	0.31	58		
6 (2.63)	Street	0.26	0	0.34	50		
7 (3.28)	Street Parking Lot Roofs	0.27	0	0.27	73		
9 (1.00)	Street Parking Lot Roofs	0.16	0	0.17	120		
10 (13.26)	Street	1.25	0	1.68	45		
Control Weir Manhole 50	N/A	3.8	N/A	4.9	N/A		
Total Flow At Merivale	N/A	4.7	N/A	6.0	N/A		

The above noted results indicate that during the 1:100 year storm event, the total outflow at the Merivale Road outlet sewer will be reduced to  $6.0 \text{ m}^3$ /s which is equal to the maximum outflow recommended in the previous studies. On-site detention storage requirements are similar to the volumes recommended in the 1994 study <sup>(1)</sup>.

The maximum storage required within residential areas is between 45  $m^3$ /ha and 73  $m^3$ /ha and the maximum storage requirement within the commercial area is from

Addendum to the Stormwater Design Plan Clyde/Merivale Lands City of Ottawa March 1999 Cumming Cockburn Limited

#### Stormwater - Proposed Development

#### City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004

#### Target Flow Rate

#### Area

1.8537 ha 0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient С tc 20.0 min

227.0 L/s Q

#### Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas

Total Area 0.04778 ha

#### 0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient С

	5-year					100-year				
t <sub>c</sub>	i	<b>Q</b> actual	Qrelease	<b>Q</b> <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>	i	<b>Q</b> actual	Qrelease	<b>Q</b> <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
20.0	70.3	8.4	8.4	0.0	0.0	120.0	15.9	15.9	0.0	0.0

Estimated Post Development Storage Requirement - Roof top: Building Three

Roof Area Avail. Storage Area

0.16144 ha, assuming 50% of the roof area is available for storage 0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient

С

#### Zurn Model Z-105-5 Control-Flo Single Notch Roof Drain

0.2018 ha

m<sup>2</sup> / Notch 232 as recommended by Zurn for Ottawa 9

**Required Notches** 

Roof Top Rating Curve per Zurn Model Z-105-5												
d	Q <sub>notch</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>roof</sub>	V <sub>avail</sub>	V <sub>drawdown</sub>								
(m)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(hr)								
0.000	0.00	0.0	0.0	0								
0.025	0.38	3.4	40.4	3.30								
0.050	0.75	6.8	80.7	4.95								
0.075	1.13	10.2	121.1	6.05								
0.100	1.51	13.6	161.4	6.88								
flow per notch based on Zurn Control Flow Manual (23L/min per Inch of depth at the drain)												

	5-year					100-year				
t <sub>c</sub>	i	<b>Q</b> actual	Qrelease	<b>Q</b> <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>	i	Qactual	Qrelease	Q <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
20	70.3	35.4	3.9	31.6	37.9	120.0	60.5	6.6	54.0	64.7
25	60.9	30.7	3.9	26.9	40.3	103.8	52.4	6.6	45.8	68.7
30	53.9	27.2	3.9	23.3	42.0	91.9	46.3	6.6	39.8	71.6
35	48.5	24.5	3.9	20.6	43.3	82.6	41.7	6.6	35.1	73.7
40	44.2	22.3	3.9	18.4	44.2	75.1	37.9	6.6	31.3	75.2
45	40.6	20.5	3.9	16.6	44.9	69.1	34.8	6.6	28.3	76.3
50	37.7	19.0	3.9	15.1	45.4	64.0	32.3	6.6	25.7	77.1
55	35.1	17.7	3.9	13.9	45.7	59.6	30.1	6.6	23.5	77.6
60	32.9	16.6	3.9	12.8	45.9	55.9	28.2	6.6	21.6	77.9
65	31.0	15.7	3.9	11.8	46.0	52.6	26.6	6.6	20.0	78.0
70	29.4	14.8	3.9	10.9	46.0	49.8	25.1	6.6	18.6	77.9
75	27.9	14.1	3.9	10.2	45.9	47.3	23.8	6.6	17.3	77.8
80	26.6	13.4	3.9	9.5	45.7	45.0	22.7	6.6	16.1	77.5
85	25.4	12.8	3.9	8.9	45.5	43.0	21.7	6.6	15.1	77.1
90	24.3	12.3	3.9	8.4	45.3	41.1	20.7	6.6	14.2	76.6
95	23.3	11.8	3.9	7.9	45.0	39.4	19.9	6.6	13.3	76.0
100	22.4	11.3	3.9	7.4	44.6	37.9	19.1	6.6	12.6	75.4
105	21.6	10.9	3.9	7.0	44.2	36.5	18.4	6.6	11.9	74.7
110	20.8	10.5	3.9	6.6	43.8	35.2	17.8	6.6	11.2	73.9
115	20.1	10.2	3.9	6.3	43.3	34.0	17.2	6.6	10.6	73.1
120	19.5	9.8	3.9	6.0	42.9	32.9	16.6	6.6	10.0	72.2

Q <sub>roof</sub>	3.87 L/s	100-year Q <sub>roof</sub>	6.56 L/s
ired	46.0 m <sup>3</sup>	100-year Max. Storage Required	78.0 m <sup>3</sup>
epth	0.028 m	100-year Storage Depth	0.048 m
Time	3.53 hr	0-year Estimated Drawdown Time	4.84 hr

5-year 5-year Max. Storage Requ 5-year Storage D 5-year Estimated Drawdown

Z:\Projects\11-473 Ashcroft - Central Park\B\_Design\B1\_Analysis\B1-4\_SWM\stm-2011-07-06\_473\_adf.xlsx

#### Estimated Post Development Storage Requirement - Roof top: Building Four

Roof Area	0.0312 ha
Avail. Storage Area	0.02496 ha, assuming 50% of the roof area is available for storage
С	0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient

#### Zurn Model Z-105-5 Control-Flo Single Notch Roof Drain

m<sup>2</sup> / Notch 232 as recommended by Zurn for Ottawa 1

**Required Notches** 

Roof Top Rating Curve per Zurn Model Z-105-5

d	Q <sub>notch</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>roof</sub>	V <sub>avail</sub>	V <sub>drawdown</sub>							
(m)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(hr)							
0.000	0.00	0.0	0.0	0							
0.025	0.38	0.4	6.2	4.59							
0.050	0.75	0.8	12.5	6.89							
0.075	1.13	1.1	18.7	8.42							
0.100	1.51	1.5	25.0	9.57							
flow per notch b	flow per notch based on Zurn Control Flow Manual (231 /min per Inch of depth at the drain)										

100-year 5-year tc i **Q**actual **Q**<sub>release</sub> **Q**<sub>stored</sub> V<sub>stored</sub> i **Q**actual **Q**<sub>release</sub> **Q**<sub>stored</sub> V<sub>stored</sub> (mm/hr) (min) (mm/hr) (L/s) (L/s) (L/s) (m<sup>3</sup>) (L/s) (L/s) (L/s) (m<sup>3</sup>) 20 5.5 0.5 5.0 6.0 9.4 0.8 8.6 10.3 70.3 120.0 4.3 103.8 0.8 7.3 25 60.9 4.7 0.5 6.4 8.1 11.0 30 3.7 7.2 6.4 53.9 4.2 0.5 6.7 91.9 0.8 11.5 7.0 7.2 35 48.5 0.5 5.7 3.8 3.3 82.6 6.4 0.8 11.9 40 44.2 3.4 0.5 3.0 75.1 5.9 0.8 5.1 12.2 7.3 7.4 45 40.6 3.2 0.5 2.7 69.1 5.4 0.8 4.6 12.4 50 55 37.7 2.9 0.5 2.5 64.0 5.0 0.8 4.2 12.6 2.3 7.5 35.1 2.7 0.5 59.6 4.7 0.8 3.9 12.7 60 32.9 2.6 0.5 2.1 7.6 55.9 44 0.8 3.6 12.9 7.6 7.7 65 70 2.0 31.0 2.4 0.5 52.6 4.1 0.8 3.3 12.9 29.4 2.3 0.5 1.8 49.8 3.9 0.8 3.1 13.0 75 27.9 2.2 0.5 1.7 7.7 47.3 3.7 0.8 2.9 13.0 80 26.6 2.1 0.5 1.6 7.7 45.0 3.5 0.8 2.7 13.1 85 25.4 2.0 0.5 1.5 7.7 43.0 3.4 0.8 2.6 13.1 90 24.3 1.9 0.5 1.4 7.7 41.1 3.2 0.8 2.4 13.1 95 23.3 1.8 0.5 1.4 7.7 39.4 3.1 0.8 2.3 13.0 100 22.4 1.7 0.5 1.3 7.7 37.9 3.0 0.8 2.2 13.0 105 21.6 1.7 0.5 1.2 7.7 36.5 0.8 2.1 2.8 13.0 110 0.5 7.6 35.2 0.8 2.0 12.9 20.8 1.6 1.2 2.7 1.1 115 20.1 1.6 0.5 7.6 34.0 2.7 0.8 1.9 12.9 120 7.6 19.5 1.5 0.5 1.1 32.9 2.6 0.8 1.8 12.8

5-year Q <sub>roof</sub>	
5-year Max. Storage Required	
5-year Storage Depth	

0.47 L/s 7.7 m<sup>3</sup> 0.031 m 5-year Estimated Drawdown Time 5.14 hr

100-year Q<sub>roof</sub> 100-year Max. Storage Required 100-year Storage Depth

00-year Estimated Drawdown Time

0.79 L/s 13.1 m<sup>3</sup> 0.052 m 7.03 hr

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Total Area 1.5729 ha

С

0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t <sub>c</sub>	í	<b>Q</b> <sub>actual</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>release</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>	í	<b>Q</b> <sub>actual</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>release</sub>	<b>Q</b> <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
20	70.3	276.2	107.4	168.9	202.6	120.0	524.1	203.7	320.4	384.4
25	60.9	239.5	107.5	131.9	197.9	103.8	453.7	203.7	250.0	375.0
30	53.9	212.1	107.6	104.4	188.0	91.9	401.4	203.7	197.7	355.8
35	48.5	190.8	107.7	83.1	174.4	82.6	360.8	203.7	157.1	329.9
40	44.2	173.7	107.8	65.9	158.2	75.1	328.3	203.7	124.6	299.0
45	40.6	159.8	107.9	51.9	140.1	69.1	301.7	203.7	98.0	264.5
50	37.7	148.1	108.0	40.1	120.3	64.0	279.4	203.7	75.7	227.1
55	35.1	138.1	108.0	30.1	99.3	59.6	260.5	203.7	56.8	187.4
60	32.9	129.5	108.1	21.5	77.3	55.9	244.2	203.7	40.5	145.8
65	31.0	122.1	108.1	14.0	54.4	52.6	230.0	203.7	26.3	102.6
70	29.4	115.5	108.2	7.3	30.8	49.8	217.5	203.7	13.8	58.0
75	27.9	109.7	108.2	1.5	6.6	47.3	206.5	203.7	2.7	12.3
80	26.6	104.5	108.3	0.0	0.0	45.0	196.6	203.7	0.0	0.0
85	25.4	99.8	108.3	0.0	0.0	43.0	187.7	203.7	0.0	0.0
90	24.3	95.5	108.3	0.0	0.0	41.1	179.6	203.7	0.0	0.0
95	23.3	91.6	108.4	0.0	0.0	39.4	172.3	203.7	0.0	0.0
100	22.4	88.1	108.4	0.0	0.0	37.9	165.6	203.7	0.0	0.0
105	21.6	84.9	108.4	0.0	0.0	36.5	159.5	203.7	0.0	0.0
110	20.8	81.9	108.5	0.0	0.0	35.2	153.8	203.7	0.0	0.0
115	20.1	79.1	108.5	0.0	0.0	34.0	148.6	203.7	0.0	0.0
120	19.5	76.6	108.5	0.0	0.0	32.9	143.7	203.7	0.0	0.0

5-year Qattenuated 5-year Max. Storage Required

107.39 L/s 202.6 m<sup>3</sup>

100-year Qattenuated 203.73 L/s 100-year Max. Storage Required 384.4 m<sup>3</sup>

2011-07-07

#### Available Surface Storage

Stage	Α	d	delta d	v	Vacc
(m)	(m²)	(m)	(m)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )
95.67	1	0			
95.82	562	0.15	0.15	29.6	29.6
95.93	1,566	0.26	0.11	112.4	142.0

 $\rm V_{\rm structure}$ 

#### Available Sub-surface Storage

Maintenance Strue	ctures				
	ID	102	103	104	105
Mair	tenance Structure dia, mm	2400	3000	3000	2400
	T/L	95.85	95.85	96.00	96.00
$\pi D^2 L$	INV	92.91	93.04	93.14	93.42
V =	depth	1.62	1.49	1.54	1.26

8.3

11.5

11.8

6.7

106 2400 96.5 93.75 1.43 \*excludes 1.32m chimney

7.4 \*added 0.95m3 for vol in chimney

#### Sewers

$V = \frac{\pi D^2 L}{2}$	Storage Pipe Dia L	1350 mm 138.5 m
4	V <sub>sewer</sub>	198.2 m <sup>3</sup>
٦	Total Subsurface Storage	243.9 m <sup>3</sup>

#### Orifice Equation for Restricted outlet from MH 102

Dia	240	mm
Cd	0.6	
Area	0.0452389	m <sup>2</sup>
100-year elev	95.93	
INV	92.91	
h <sub>o</sub>	2.90	m
Q =	204.7	L/s

Per Eq 7.68 Haestad

#### Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage Storage	100-Year Release Rate Release Rate	100-Year Storage Storage	Available Storage
	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Unattenuated	8.39	0.0	15.92	0.0	0.0
Building Three	3.87	46.0	6.56	78.0	161.4
Building Four	0.47	7.7	0.79	13.1	25.0
Attenutated Areas	107.39	202.6	203.73	384.4	385.9
Total	120.1	256.3	227.0	475.5	572.3



## Stormceptor Sizing Detailed Report PCSWMM for Stormceptor

## **Project Information**

Date	7/7/2011
Project Name	Central Park
Project Number	N/A
Location	Ottawa, Ontario

## **Stormwater Quality Objective**

This report outlines how Stormceptor System can achieve a defined water quality objective through the removal of total suspended solids (TSS). Attached to this report is the Stormceptor Sizing Summary.

## **Stormceptor System Recommendation**

The Stormceptor System model STC 2000 achieves the water quality objective removing 73% TSS for a Fine (organics, silts and sand) particle size distribution and 88% runoff volume.

## The Stormceptor System

The Stormceptor oil and sediment separator is sized to treat stormwater runoff by removing pollutants through gravity separation and flotation. Stormceptor's patented design generates positive TSS removal for all rainfall events, including large storms. Significant levels of pollutants such as heavy metals, free oils and nutrients are prevented from entering natural water resources and the re-suspension of previously captured sediment (scour) does not occur.

Stormceptor provides a high level of TSS removal for small frequent storm events that represent the majority of annual rainfall volume and pollutant load. Positive treatment continues for large infrequent events, however, such events have little impact on the average annual TSS removal as they represent a small percentage of the total runoff volume and pollutant load.

Stormceptor is the only oil and sediment separator on the market sized to remove TSS for a wide range of particle sizes, including fine sediments (clays and silts), that are often overlooked in the design of other stormwater treatment devices.



#### Small storms dominate hydrologic activity, US EPA reports

"Early efforts in stormwater management focused on flood events ranging from the 2-yr to the 100-yr storm. Increasingly stormwater professionals have come to realize that small storms (i.e. < 1 in. rainfall) dominate watershed hydrologic parameters typically associated with water quality management issues and BMP design. These small storms are responsible for most annual urban runoff and groundwater recharge. Likewise, with the exception of eroded sediment, they are responsible for most pollutant washoff from urban surfaces. Therefore, the small storms are of most concern for the stormwater management objectives of ground water recharge, water quality resource protection and thermal impacts control."

"Most rainfall events are much smaller than design storms used for urban drainage models. In any given area, most frequently recurrent rainfall events are small (less than 1 in. of daily rainfall)."

"Continuous simulation offers possibilities for designing and managing BMPs on an individual site-by-site basis that are not provided by other widely used simpler analysis methods. Therefore its application and use should be encouraged."

– US EPA Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide, Volume 1 – General Considerations, 2004

## **Design Methodology**

Each Stormceptor system is sized using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, a continuous simulation model based on US EPA SWMM. The program calculates hydrology from up-to-date local historical rainfall data and specified site parameters. With US EPA SWMM's precision, every Stormceptor unit is designed to achieve a defined water quality objective.

The TSS removal data presented follows US EPA guidelines to reduce the average annual TSS load. Stormceptor's unit process for TSS removal is settling. The settling model calculates TSS removal by analyzing (summary of analysis presented in Appendix 2):

- Site parameters
- Continuous historical rainfall, including duration, distribution, peaks (Figure 1)
- Interevent periods
- Particle size distribution
- Particle settling velocities (Stokes Law, corrected for drag)
- TSS load (Figure 2)
- Detention time of the system

The Stormceptor System maintains continuous positive TSS removal for all influent flow rates. Figure 3 illustrates the continuous treatment by Stormceptor throughout the full range of storm events analyzed. It is clear that large events do not significantly impact the average annual TSS removal. There is no decline in cumulative TSS removal, indicating scour does not occur as the flow rate increases.





**Figure 1.** Runoff Volume by Flow Rate for OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A – ON 6000, 1967 to 2003 for 1.854 ha, 90% impervious. Small frequent storm events represent the majority of annual rainfall volume. Large infrequent events have little impact on the average annual TSS removal, as they represent a small percentage of the total annual volume of runoff.



**Figure 2.** Long Term Pollutant Load by Flow Rate for OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A – 6000, 1967 to 2003 for 1.854 ha, 90% impervious. The majority of the annual pollutant load is transported by small frequent storm events. Conversely, large infrequent events carry an insignificant percentage of the total annual pollutant load.





**Figure 3.** Cumulative TSS Removal by Flow Rate for OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A – 6000, 1967 to 2003. Stormceptor continuously removes TSS throughout the full range of storm events analyzed. Note that large events do not significantly impact the average annual TSS removal. Therefore no decline in cumulative TSS removal indicates scour does not occur as the flow rate increases.



## Appendix 1 Stormceptor Design Summary

## **Project Information**

Date	7/7/2011
Project Name	Central Park
Project Number	N/A
Location	Ottawa, Ontario

## Designer InformationCompanyDSEL

Company Contact

### Notes

N/A

## **Drainage Area**

Total Area (ha)	1.854
Imperviousness (%)	90

Adam Fobert

The Stormceptor System model STC 2000 achieves the water quality objective removing 73% TSS for a Fine (organics, silts and sand) particle size distribution and 88% runoff volume.

## Rainfall

Name	OTTAWA MACDONALD- CARTIER INT'L A
State	ON
ID	6000
Years of Records	1967 to 2003
Latitude	45°19'N
Longitude	75°40'W

## Water Quality Objective

TSS Removal (%)	70
Runoff Volume (%)	85

## **Upstream Storage**

Storage	Discharge
(ha-m)	(L/s)
0	0

## Stormceptor Sizing Summary

Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal	Runoff Volume	
	%	%	
STC 300	54	57	
STC 750	66	79	
STC 1000	67	79	
STC 1500	67	79	
STC 2000	73	88	
STC 3000	74	88	
STC 4000	78	94	
STC 5000	79	94	
STC 6000	82	96	
STC 9000	86	98	
STC 10000	85	98	
STC 14000	88	99	



## **Particle Size Distribution**

Removing silt particles from runoff ensures that the majority of the pollutants, such as hydrocarbons and heavy metals that adhere to fine particles, are not discharged into our natural water courses. The table below lists the particle size distribution used to define the annual TSS removal.

	Fine (organics, silts and sand)							
Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity		Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity
μm	%		m/s		μm	%		m/s
20 60 150 400 2000	20 20 20 20 20	1.3 1.8 2.2 2.65 2.65	0.0004 0.0016 0.0108 0.0647 0.2870					

### **Stormceptor Design Notes**

- Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor version 1.0
- Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal.
- Only the STC 300 is adaptable to function with a catch basin inlet and/or inline pipes.
- Only the Stormceptor models STC 750 to STC 6000 may accommodate multiple inlet pipes.
- Inlet and outlet invert elevation differences are as follows:

#### Inlet and Outlet Pipe Invert Elevations Differences

Inlet Pipe Configuration	STC 300	STC 750 to STC 6000	STC 9000 to STC 14000
Single inlet pipe	75 mm	25 mm	75 mm
Multiple inlet pipes	75 mm	75 mm	Only one inlet pipe.

- Design estimates are based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.
- Design estimates assume that the storm drain is not submerged during zero flows. For submerged applications, please contact your local Stormceptor representative.
- Design estimates may be modified for specific spills controls. Please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further assistance.
- For pricing inquiries or assistance, please contact Imbrium Systems Inc., 1-800-565-4801.



## Appendix 2 Summary of Design Assumptions

## SITE DETAILS

## Site Drainage Area

Total Area (ha)	1.854	Imperviousness (%)	90
Surface Characteristics		Infiltration Parameters	
Width (m)	272.3233	Horton's equation is used to estimate in	filtration
Slope (%)	2	Max. Infiltration Rate (mm/h)	61.976
Impervious Depression Storage (mm)	0.508	Min. Infiltration Rate (mm/h)	10.16
Pervious Depression Storage (mm)	5.08	Decay Rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.00055
Impervious Manning's n	0.015	Regeneration Rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.01
Pervious Manning's n	0.25		
		Evaporation	
Maintenance Frequency		Daily Evaporation Rate (mm/day)	2.54
Sediment build-up reduces the storage v sedimentation. Frequency of maintenar	volume for nce is	Dry Weather Flow	L
Maintenance Frequency (months)	12	Dry Weather Flow (L/s)	No

## **Upstream Attenuation**

Stage-storage and stage-discharge relationship used to model attenuation upstream of the Stormceptor System is identified in the table below.

Winter Infiltration

False

Storage	Discharge
na-m	L/S
Ū	Ū



## PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

## Particle Size Distribution

Removing fine particles from runoff ensures the majority of pollutants, such as heavy metals, hydrocarbons, free oils and nutrients are not discharged into natural water resources. The table below identifies the particle size distribution selected to define TSS removal for the design of the Stormceptor System.

			Fine (organics	s, s	silts and sand)			
Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity		Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity
μm	%		m/s		μm	%		m/s
20	20	1.3	0.0004					
60	20	1.8	0.0016					
150	20	2.2	0.0108					
400	20	2.65	0.0647					
2000	20	2.65	0.2870					



PCSWMM for Stormceptor Grain Size Distributions

Figure 1. PCSWMM for Stormceptor standard design grain size distributions.



## **TSS LOADING**

## **TSS Loading Parameters**

**TSS Loading Function** 

#### **Buildup/Washoff Parameters**

Target Event Mean Concentration (EMC) (mg/L)	125
Exponential Buildup Power	0.4
Exponential Washoff Exponential	0.2

#### Buildup / Washoff

## **TSS Availability Parameters**

Availability = A + Bi <sup>C</sup>	
Availability Constant A	0.057
Availability Factor B	0.04
Availability Exponent C	1.1
Min. Particle Size Affected by Availability (µm)	400

## HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS

PCSWMM for Stormceptor calculates annual hydrology with the US EPA SWMM and local continuous historical rainfall data. Performance calculations of the Stormceptor System are based on the average annual removal of TSS for the selected site parameters. The Stormceptor System is engineered to capture fine particles (silts and sands) by focusing on average annual runoff volume ensuring positive removal efficiency is maintained during all rainfall events, while preventing the opportunity for negative removal efficiency (scour).

Smaller recurring storms account for the majority of rainfall events and average annual runoff volume, as observed in the historical rainfall data analyses presented in this section.

## **Rainfall Station**

Rainfall Station	OTTAWA MAC	DONALD-CARTIER INT'L A	
Rainfall File Name	ON6000.NDC	Total Number of Events	4536
Latitude	45°19'N	Total Rainfall (mm)	20974.3
Longitude	75°40'W	Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	566.9
Elevation (m)	371	Total Evaporation (mm)	1851.0
Rainfall Period of Record (y)	37	Total Infiltration (mm)	2090.2
Total Rainfall Period (y)	37	Percentage of Rainfall that is Runoff (%)	81.6



## **Rainfall Event Analysis**

Rainfall Depth	No. of Events	Percentage of Total Events	Total Volume	Percentage of Annual Volume
mm		%	mm	%
6.35	3563	78.5	5667	27.0
12.70	508	11.2	4533	21.6
19.05	223	4.9	3434	16.4
25.40	102	2.2	2244	10.7
31.75	60	1.3	1704	8.1
38.10	33	0.7	1145	5.5
44.45	28	0.6	1165	5.6
50.80	9	0.2	416	2.0
57.15	5	0.1	272	1.3
63.50	1	0.0	63	0.3
69.85	1	0.0	64	0.3
76.20	1	0.0	76	0.4
82.55	0	0.0	0	0.0
88.90	1	0.0	84	0.4
95.25	0	0.0	0	0.0
101.60	0	0.0	0	0.0
107.95	0	0.0	0	0.0
114.30	1	0.0	109	0.5
120.65	0	0.0	0	0.0
127.00	0	0.0	0	0.0
133.35	0	0.0	0	0.0
139.70	0	0.0	0	0.0
146.05	0	0.0	0	0.0
152.40	0	0.0	0	0.0
158.75	0	0.0	0	0.0
165.10	0	0.0	0	0.0
171.45	0	0.0	0	0.0
177.80	0	0.0	0	0.0
184.15	0	0.0	0	0.0
190.50	0	0.0	0	0.0
196.85	0	0.0	0	0.0
203.20	0	0.0	0	0.0
209.55	0	0.0	0	0.0
>209.55	0	0.0	0	0.0

## Frequency of Occurence by Rainfall Depths





Flow Rate Influent Mass Effluent Mass **Total Mass Cumulative Mass** kg 118008 118008 118008 % 29.5 kg 34802 kg 83410 L/s 91625 103943 57.3 77.6 88.1 9 16 25 36 49 64 81 100 121 144 169 196 26452 112948 5065 118008 93.1 95.7 2048 1333 118008 118008 97.3 98.3 98.9 116678 118008 117493 517 99.3 99.6 148 76 45 26 117862 117933 118008 118008 99.8 99.9 99.9 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 225 256 289 324 361 100.0 100.0 100.0 117982 118008 100.0 100.0 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 100.0 100.0 100.0 441 529 576 625 676 729 784 841 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 118008 100.0 100.0 100.0 

Cumulative Mass Transported by Flow Rate For area: 1.854 (ha), imperviousness: 90%, rainfall station: OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A Annual Mass Transported (%) TIT Ó Flow (L/s)



Runoff Rate	Runoff Volume	Volume Overflowed	Cumulative Runoff Volume
L/s	m³	m³	%
1	39226	278133	12.4
4	119693	197646	37.7
9	193987	123432	61.1
16	241333	75984	76.1
25	268996	48337	84.8
36	285363	31948	89.9
49	296161	21150	93.3
64	303296	14010	95.6
81	307975	9331	97.1
100	311244	6061	98.1
121	313435	3867	98.8
144	314979	2322	99.3
169	316082	1220	99.6
196	316722	579	99.8
225	316986	315	99.9
256	317160	141	100.0
289	317242	59	100.0
324	317288	13	100.0
361	317301	0	100.0
400	317301	0	100.0
441	317301	0	100.0
484	317301	0	100.0
529	317301	0	100.0
576	317301	0	100.0
625	317301	0	100.0
676	317301	0	100.0
729	317301	0	100.0
784	317301	0	100.0
841	317301	0	100.0
900	317301	0	100.0

## Cumulative Runoff Volume by Runoff Rate



**DRAWINGS / FIGURES** 



MANHOLE	STRUCTURE	DATA	

•	rt Elevatio	Remarks		
	Eost Invert	North Invert	South Invert	
			_	
	96.20	84.34	96.78	1680 x 3000
	\$4.25	94.19	94.28	1680 x 3000
		94.10	94.13	STORMCEPTOR
	\$7.35	97.28	96.97	1500 DM
		94.81	94.63	1200 DM
	94.64	94.43	94.40	1200 DM
		96.48	96.48	1200 DM
			87 30	1200 DM
		97.53	97.47	1200 DM
		96.60	96.59	1200 DM
		96.65		
1		97.80		
		97.00		
			96.85	
	96.25			
_			94.85	
		94.50	94.62	1200 DM
	\$5.50	94.95		1200 DIA
	95.27		95.33	1200 DIA

Storm	Water
ev, Dia, Slope	Elev, Dia
80, (300), 1.00%	\$7.80, (200)
89, (300), 1.00%	\$7.10, (200)
25, (300), 1 00%	96.40, (200)
40, (200), 1 00%	95.70, (50)
70, (150), 1,00%	95.00. (50)

#### GENERAL NOTES

1) INLET CONTROL DEVICE TO BE INSTALLED IN THE UPSTREAM END OF STORM MINHOLE RUN MINICE-MINION AT THE MORTH INVERTI OF WANHOLE 102, INLET RESTRUCTOR TO BE IFEX TYPE C INSTRUCTOR TO 30 U/SEC THE ESTIMAE DICH MILET CARCHARUM TO BE REMARKS AND INSTALL A FLAP CARTE "ADVICE MOREL INC" WITHIN MANUALE 102 ON THE 37M MULTER FROM MINHOLE 102 TO CARCHARUM MANUAL 202

2) THE FINISHED GRADE ELEVATION OF EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE (BESIDE STORM MH 101) BE RASED FROM CURRENT ELEVATION OF 96.26 TO 97 50 WITH APPROVED MANHOLE RISER SECTORS AS REQUIRED

3) NEW CATCHBASIN TO BE INSTALLED ON EXISTING CATCHBASIN LEAD FRO REMAINING PIPE TO BE ABANDONED AND CONCRETED AT BOTH ENDS TO TH INSTALLED AND CONCRETED AT BOTH ENDS TO THE INSTALLED AND CONCRETED AT BOTH ENDS TO THE ENGINEER

4) BACKFLOW PREVENTERS TO BE INSTALLED ON ALL STORM SEWER SERVICE LATERALS 5) ALL MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS TO OPSS AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA STA SPECIFICATIONS.

6) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS REQUIRED TO COMPLI-THIS WORK AND BEAR COST OF SAME.

7) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL EXCAN BACKFILL TO STANDARDS. SPECIFIED

8) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING ALL CONNEL SEWERS. 9) SITE LAYOUT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTO

10) AS-BUILT "SITE SERVICING & GRADING PLANS" WILL BE MAINT CONTRACTOR.

11) ALL WATERMAIN TO BE 2.4m BELOW FINISHED GRADE AS INDICATED IN THE WATER 12) THERMAL INSULATION AT OPEN STRUCTURES AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA WSD-23

13) CATHODIC PROTECTION FOR WATERMAINS AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA WSD-40, WSD-

4) FIRE HYDRANT ISOLATION VALVE TO BE 1.0m FROM WATERMAIN AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA TANDARDS WSD-19.

15) CATCH BASIN LEADS WILL BE 200mm DIAMETER (MINIMUM) AND HAVE J 1.0% UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. LEADS TO HAVE A MINIMUM 0.25m VERTI WATERMAIN CROSSINGS.

16) CONTRACTOR TO ADJUST FINAL GRADE OF EXISTI VALVE CHAMBERS AND VALVE BOXES AS REQUIRED. 17) SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS & FILL WITH GRANULAR 'B' COM

18) SEWERS SHALL BE VIDEO INSPECTED AFTER CONSTRUCTION

19) FOR THE ENTIRE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD MAINTAIN A FILTER FABRIC AROUND ALL CATE AND MANHOLE TOPS

20) GRANULAR "A" SHALL BE PLACED TO A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 300 mm STRUCTURES WITHIN PAVEMENT

21) SEWER TRENCH SHALL CONSIST OF A CLASS "B" BEDDING CONSISTING GRANULAR "A" BEDDING AND BACKFILLED WITH GRANULAR "A" TO 300mm COMPACTION SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 98 % STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY.

22) ALL GRANULAR FOR ROADS AND PARKING AREAS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO % STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY

23) CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL NECESSARY PRECAUTIONS TO PROTECT FXISTING FXUI OF ADJACENT BUILDINGS DURING EXCAVATION AND CONSTRUCTION PERIOD 24) CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND SALT FENCES STORMWATER RUNOFF FROM THE CONSTRUCTION SITE.

25) THE LOCATION OF UTILITIES IS APPROXIMATE ONLY, AND THE EXACT LOCATION SHOUL DETERMINED BY CONSULTING THE MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES AND UTILITY COMPANIES CONS THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE THE LOCATION AND STATUS OF UTILITIES SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADEQUATE PROTECTION OF PLANT AND EQUIPMENT FROM C

26) SOIL INFORMATION, IF SHOWN, IS NOT GUARANTEED. CONTRACTORS ARE ADVISED TO COLLECT ADDITIONAL SOIL FORMATION AS DEEMED NECESSARY.

27) ALL CONNECTIONS OF NEW W.M. TO EXIST, W.M. AND ALL BLANKINGS OF EXIST, MAINS AND SERVICES SHALL BE PERFORMED BY CITY OF OTTAWA FORCES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVID EXCAVATION, BACKFILL AND REINSTREMENT. 28) ALL NEW WATER SERVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED AT 2.4m COVER.

CONTINUED ON DRAWING 12491E-GP1



154 COLONNADE ROAD SOUTH NEPEAN, ONTARIO K2E 7,36

Tel: (013) 225-9940 Fax: (013) 225-7337



Res



	ioronio.			_
No	Date	Description	escription Drawn By	
1	03/04/2001	SUBMITTED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JLF.	B.M T
2	05/04/2001	REVISED AS PER CLIENT, RESUBMITTED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	JLF.	B.M.T.
3	25/04/2001	SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	JLF.	B M.T
4	03/04/2001	GENERAL REVISIONS	JLF.	B.M.T
5	20/07/2001	REVISED BUILDING LAYOUT	J.L.F.	B.M.T.
		REUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL		
6	26/07/2001	ISSUED FOR ROAD CUT PERMIT	C.C C.	B.M.T
7	31/07/2001	REVISIONS TO SAN, STORM CHART RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	J.L.F.	B.M.T.
8	25/09/2001	REVISED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	J.L.F.	B.M.T.
9	01/11/2001	REVISED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA RESUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL	J.L.F.	B.M.T.



ommissioner			Branch Director	P.Eng	
CENTRAL PARK SUBDIVISION SOUTH COMMERCIAL AREA SITE SERVICING PLAN					

DWG # 11013





<u>building</u>	<u>data</u>	
<u>AREAS (gfa)</u>		
<u>Retail</u>		
Retail S1 Retail S2 Retail C1 Retail C2 Retail C3 Retail C4 Retail N1 Retail N2 Retail N3	2350 5730 553 570 183 300 2384 2820 1860	
TOTAL	<u>16,750m²</u>	(177,710ft²)
<u>Office</u> Office S1	4440	
total	4440m²_(	47,790ft²)
<u>Residential</u>	Area	Units
SP Tower One SP Tower Two	16,100 11,200	160 128
Centre Condo Apts Townhomes	5060 430	56 5
NP Tower One NP Tower Two North Condo Apts One North Condo Apts Two	16,100 9100 3360 2920	184 104 40 40
Total	64,270m <sup>2</sup>	773
(0	,	
TOTAL AREA :	85,460m <sup>2</sup>	(919,890ft <sup>2</sup> )





N		HE BY	
E ONLY, AND THE EXAC	·		
ERNED THE CONTRACTO	DR DES		
E PROTECTION OF PLAN	T		
		OF	
		OTTAWA - CARIFTON	
		DEPARTMENTAL SERVICES	
-*]		ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMISSIONER	
		Approved by: PROFESSIONAL	
		W. BENNETT P.Eng	
-2 KM		Manager of Design & Construction	
123-CO		1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 - 1940 -	
		Dote: JULY 4, 1994	
		S FORESTELL, P Eng JULY 4, 1994	
		Drawn by: Verified by: Dote	
		DENIS DORE JIM. JULT 4, 1994 Survey details by: Book # Date	
		"As Built" Inspection by: Dote	
		NOTES:	
		STANDARDS.	
		2 ALL WATERMAIN CONSTRUCTION TO REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF OTTAWA CARLETON STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.	
		3 ALL MANHOLES SHALL BE PRE CAST TO ASTM	
		DRAWINGS ALL 12004, 15004 AND 18004 MANHOLES TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD	
		4 ALL SEWER PIPE IS TO BE CONCRETE IN THE	
		CLASS NOTED ON THE DRAWINGS TO ASTM C-78 C/W RUBBER GASKET JOINTS TO ASTM C443	
		5 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE ALL DROP STRUCTURES ARE TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA DRAWNE NO. C. 12 OF 47 AND NO OF 47	
		SOILS REPORT NO S6208-94 BY JOHN D	
ourn Limited 🛛	m	PATERSON AND ASSOCIATES IS AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW CONTRACTORS ARE ADVISED TO COLLECT ADDITIONAL SOILS INFORMATION AS NECESSARY,	
d Environmental Scientists		THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR	
		STRUCTURES WITH THE EXCEPTION OF LAPERIERRE AVENUE WHERE ROADWAY RESTORATION WILL	
		RESTORATION WILL BE TRENCH RESTORATION	
		B EXISTING SANITARY SEWER IN MERIVALE ROAD IS TO BE ABANDONED.	
		EXISTING 150mm# GAS MAIN IN MERIVALE ROAD IS TO BE RELOCATED BY OTHERS PRIOR TO	
	96 -	CONSTRUCTION. O ALL STORM BOX MANHOLES ARE TO HAVE	
		300mm DEEP SUMPS IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.	
	95	11 ALL EXISTING WATERMAINS ARE ASSUMED TO BE APPROXIMATELY 2 20M BELOW EXISTING CENTRE UNE DOAD CRADES TO TOO CENTRE	
+		2 CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE THREE 3.0M WDE LANES	
	04	AND TWO 3 25m WIDE LANES AT ALL OTHER TIMES	
	94 -		
	- 93 -		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 AS BUILT 95/12/04 1 CENERAL REVISIONS 94/07/25	
	92 -	0 ISSUED FOR APPROVAL 94/07/04	
	·····	No Revision Dote	
		VERT. 1:50	
		Project Title:	
	<b>90</b> -	CLYDE - MERIVALE	
		EXTERNAL SERVICES	
	89 -	KIRKWOOD/MERIVALE	
<u> </u>		INTERSECTION	
		TO BOD METERS SOUTH	
	STORM	MERIVALE	
	INVERTS		
	SANITARY SEWER	То	
	INVERTS	STA. 1+420	
420	STATION	Drawing No.: Rev No :	
<u>+</u>		<u>CS-41/2-1</u>	



## TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROVIDED BY ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBEKK LTD. PROJ. NO. 11859–11.

## SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN PROVIDED BY bbb architects PROJ. NO.: 1003

## BENCH MARK

TOP OF SPINDLE FH CENTRAL PARK DRIVE SOUTH ELEV=99.34

1	A.D.F.	11.07.06	ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL REVIEW
No.	BY	YY.MM.DD	DESCRIPTION

PROJECT	No. 1	0-473
---------	-------	-------

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

## CENTRAL PARK - MERIVALE ROAD © DSEL

ASHCROFT	T HOMES					18 Antares Drive Ottawa, ON K2E 1A9 Tel. (613) 226-7266
DE					S	120 Iber Road Unit 203 Stittsville, Ontario, K2S 1E9 Tel. (613) 836-0856
david schaeffer	engineering	ltd				Fax. (613) 836-7183
SMART SUBDIVISIONS™ www.DSEL.					www.DSEL.ca	
DRAWN BY:	A.D.F.	CHECKED BY:	S.J.P.	DRAWING N	٧٥.	SHEET NO.

1 of 1

 DESIGNED BY:
 A.D.F.
 CHECKED BY:
 S.J.P.

 SCALE:
 1:500
 DATE:
 2011-07-06
 SWM-1