

120 Iber Road, Unit 203 Stittsville, Ontario K2S 1E9 Tel (613) 836-0856 Fax (613) 836-7183 www.dsel.ca

EMAIL

MEMORANDUM

- DATE: 2015-12-11
- TO: Ryan Hicks, B.E.D.S., LEED BD+C Lic. Tech. OAA srm Architects Inc.

SUBJECT: Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief in support of Site Plan Amendment for 774 Bronson Avenue

- OUR FILE: DSEL Project No. 15-807
- ATTACHMENTS: Previously approved Site Plan prepared by Marco Manini February 27, 2013
 - Proposed Site Plan prepared by SRM Architects Inc., dated December 10, 2015
 - Reduced Copy of approved Site Servicing Plan by DSEL, dated January 2014
 - Previously approved Water Demand Calculation Sheet by DSEL, dated February 2013
 - Water Demand Calculation Sheet by DSEL, Dated December 2015
 - Updated Boundary Conditions from City of Ottawa, Dated November 2015
 - Previously approved Wastewater Discharge Calculation Sheet by DSEL, dated June 2012
 - Wastewater Discharge Calculation Sheet by DSEL, Dated December 2015
 - Previously approved Stormwater Management Plan (SWM-1) prepared by DSEL, dated February 2013
 - Previously approved Stormwater Management Calculation sheet, dated February 2013
 - Stormwater Management Plan (SWM-1) prepared by DSEL, December 2015
 - Stormwater Management Calculation Sheet by DSEL, Dated December 2015
 - Ipex Tempest LMF Flow Curve, provided by Ipex
 - Summary of Triton U/G Storage calculation, dated December 2015

DSEL has been retained by SRM Architects Inc. to provide a servicing memo in support of the Site Plan Amendment of a proposed development at 774 Bronson Avenue. DSEL had previously prepared a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report prepared for the Site Plan Application (SPA) for 774 Bronson Avenue and 551 Cambridge Street, approved by the City of Ottawa in February 2013. Since the February 2013 approval, the subject property has been combined into a single parcel, 774 Bronson Avenue. The new proposed plan, dated December 2015, including a 12-storey student residence. See *Appendix* for proposed plan prepared by SRM Architects Inc.

Site Plan Approval (SPA) was obtained for the subject site based on the Functional Servicing Report (FSR) & Site Servicing Plan (SSP) prepared by DSEL, dated February 2013 & October 2013, respectively. A reduced copy of the approved SSP can be found in *Appendix.*

The approved AES and FSR both show that the previously proposed development was supported by existing water, sanitary and stormwater services. The following serviceability study will confirm that the updated concept plan will continue to be sufficiently supported by existing services.

The approved FSR proposed **193** residential units and **804m**² of commercial floorspace. The new application contemplates **181** student residence units and **136m**² of commercial/amenity space.

1.0 Water Servicing

Water servicing is proposed to follow the approved FSR and SSP. As the contemplated development has a water demand greater than 50m³/day a redundant water connection is required as per the *City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines* (2010). Water servicing will continue to be achieved as per the approved SSP with a dual connection to the existing 200mm diameter watermain within Cambridge Street. *Table 1* summarizes the anticipated water demand for the proposed development. See *Appendix* for detailed calculations of water demand based on the December 2015 concept plan.

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand (L/min)	Boundary (Bronson (m H ₂ C	Conditions ² Avenue) / kPa)
Average Daily Demand	48.5	117.1	429.3
Max Day + Fire Flow	145.0 + 14,000 = 14,145.0	7500 L/min	@ 140 kPa
Peak Hour	217.6	106.1	321.4
 Water demand calcul 	ation per <i>Water Supply Guidelines</i> .		
Water demand and b	oundary conditions from City of Ottawa, received Octo	ober. 2015.	

Table 1: Water Demand and Boundary Conditions

Fire demand is determined based on the FUS method in accordance with the City of Ottawa Guidelines. The FUS calculation resulted in approximately **14,000 L/min** of water demand required as shown in the attached calculation sheet. From *Table 1*, the municipal system can provide a maximum fire flow of **7500L/min**. Fire flow for the proposed building will be confirmed

by a fire suppression system specialist to ensure adequate fire protection is available.

It is our understanding that the city is undertaking off-site watermain improvements that may improve the service to the area.

2.0 Sanitary Servicing

The existing approved FSR proposed sanitary sewer connections to Cambridge and Bronson Avenue. It is proposed to discharge sanitary flow to the existing 250mm diameter combined sewer within Cambridge Street.

Table 2 below summarizes the design guidelines for wastewater sewer systems required by the City of Ottawa.

Design Parameter	Value
Commercial Floor Space	5 L/m²/d
Residential Average Apartment Demand	1.8 person/unit
Residential Daily Average	350 L/person/d
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 4.0, Min 2.0
Institutional Floor Space	5 L/m ² /d
Office Space	75 L/9.3m²/d
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.28L/s/ha
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Minimum Sewer Size	200mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottaw	a Sewer Design Guidelines, November 2004.

Table 2: Wastewater Design Criteria

Table 3 below summarizes the sanitary discharge from the subject site from the approved FSR and from the proposed December 2015 Plan. See *Appendix* for detailed calculations of anticipated wastewater discharge.

	Wastewater Di	scharge (L/s)
Design Parameter	FSR February 2013	December 2015 Plan
Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow	1.51	0.97
Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow	5.79	3.70
Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow	5.79	3.70

Table 3: Total Anticipated Wastewater Discharge

The approved FSR contemplated wastewater discharge to both Cambridge and Bronson Avenue combined sewers. The December 2015 Plan results in a decrease in total wastewater discharge when compared to what was contemplated in the FSR, however, results in an increase in sanitary discharge directed to the Cambridge Street combined sewer, as shown in **Table 4**. The appropriate calculations have been attached.

	Wastewater D	ischarge (L/s)
Design Parameter	FSR February	December 2015
	2013	Plan
Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow	0.29	0.97
Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow	1.15	3.70
Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow	1.15	3.70

Table 4: Anticipated Wastewater Discharge to Cambridge Street

A single outlet to the Cambridge Street is a preferable to the dual connection in the approved FSR to eliminate a connection to the high traffic Bronson Avenue and take advantage of the deeper sewer within Cambridge Street.

The proposed increase in sanitary flow is accommodated by the anticipated decrease to stormwater flow to the combined sewer through rooftop and subsurface stormwater controls.

3.0 Stormwater Management

Stormwater servicing is contemplated to be achieved by a stormwater connection to the 250mm diameter combined sewer within Cambridge Street and connection to the combined sewer within Bronson Avenue. The approved FSR contemplated 2 outlets to the combined sewer within Cambridge Street and Bronson Avenue.

Consistent with the currently approved FSR, the allowable release rate has been split equally to each of the Cambridge and Bronson Avenue sewers, resulting in an allowable release of **11.0L/s** at each outlet. The total allowable release rate of **11.0L/s** directed to each outlet, described in the approved FSR, was determined by the rational method based on following criteria provided by the City of Ottawa:

- Lesser of existing or 0.40 runoff coefficient
- Attenuate to the 2-year storm event, design capacity of the existing combined sewer
- Time of concentration of 20 minutes

Attenuation will be provided by a Tempest LMF 55 and Tempest LMF 60 inlet control devices located at *STM103 & STM201*, respectively. Stormwater storage is provided by an internal stormwater cistern controlling flow to Cambridge Street and an underground storage system controlling flow to Bronson Avenue. See attached for manufacturer information on the inlet control devices flow rates and proposed underground storage system used for sizing of the chamber footprint.

Table 5 summarizes the anticipated total release rates and storage requirements from the existing FSR. A calculation sheet of the existing approved plan is attached.

Design Parameter	5-Year Release Rate (L/s)	5-Year Required Storage (m ³)	100-Year Release Rate (L/s)	100-Year Required Storage (m ³)
Cambridge Street	5.0	63.3	10.3	128.6
Bronson Avenue	5.1	12.6	10.7	26.7
Total	10.1	75.4	21.0	154.4

Table 5: Proposed Amendment SWM Summary

The contemplated stormwater servicing will be designed to meet the allowable release rate determined in the approved FSR of 11.0L/s at each outlet, with a total release rate of 21.0 L/s. It is anticipated that $154.4m^3$ of total storage will be required to attenuate stormwater runoff.

4.0 Combined Sewer Servicing

It is contemplated to direct stormwater and sanitary discharge to the combined sewer within Cambridge Street. **Table 6 & 7** below summarizes the combined system flow contemplated in the approved FSR and December 2015 Plan to the Cambridge Street sewer.

	FSR Febr	uary 2013	December	2015 Plan
Flow Type	Pre- Development (L/s)	Post- Development (L/s)	Pre- Development (L/s)	Post- Development (L/s)
Sanitary	0.27	1.15	0.27	3.70
Storm (2-year uncontrolled, 100-year controlled)	31.1	10.7	31.1	10.3
Combined Flow	31.4	11.9	31.4	13.8

Table 6: Combined Sewer Flow to Cambridge Street

Table 7: Combined Sewer Flow to Bronson Avenue

	FSR Febr	uary 2013	December	2015 Plan
Flow Type	Pre- Development (L/s)	Post- Development (L/s)	Pre- Development (L/s)	Post- Development (L/s)
Sanitary	0.27	4.64	0.27	0.00
Storm (2-year uncontrolled, 100-year controlled)	31.1	10.7	31.1	10.7
Combined Flow	31.4	15.3	31.4	10.7

The proposed sanitary and stormwater servicing contemplated in the December 2015 Plan, results in a net reduction of 17.6 L/s and 20.7 L/s of flow entering the combined sewer on Cambridge and Bronson, respectively. The increase in sanitary discharge to the Cambridge Street combined sewer is accommodated with the significant decrease in stormwater discharge.

Yours truly, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**



Yours truly, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**

Nent

Per: Steven L. Merrick, EIT.

APPENDIX

FLOOR	UNITS / FLOOR	QTY	TYPE	ARE
10 - 11 - 12	11	7	3 1/2	613-660
		4	4 1/2	824-995
5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9	13	5	Loft	430-580
5-0-7-0-9	13	6	3 1/2	613-650
		2	4 1/2	825-865
3 - 4	16	8	Loft	485-650
		6	3 1/2	613-650
		2	4 1/2	825-865
2	8	6	3 1/2	613-650
		2	4 1/2	825-865
		1	COMMERCIAL SPACE	4,300 s
RDC	8	6	3 1/2	613-650
		2	4 1/2	825-865
		2	COMMERCIAL	3 067 s



UNITS / FLOOR	QTY	TYPE	AREA
4	4	3 1/2	585-690 sq.ft.
7	2	5 1/2 / 2 niveau	1325-1420 sq.ft
	1	4 1/2 / 2 niveau	1050 sq.ft.
	4	3 1/2	585-690 Sq.II.
7	2	4 1/2	1005-1080 sq.ft
	5	3 1/2	585-780 sq.ft.
7	1	4 1/2	1000 sq.ft.
	6	3 1/2	585-780 sq.ft.
39 UNITS			
185 + (2	COMMERC	CIAL SPACES)	
154 INTERIOF	R + 2 EXTERIO	DR	
	UNITS / FLOOR 4 7 7 7 7 7 39 UNITS 39 UNITS	UNITS / FLOOR QTY 4 4 4 4 7 7 2 7 2 1 7 2 1 7 2 7 1 4 7 7 2 5 7 7 2 5 7 7 6 7 39 UNITS 185 + (2 COMMERC 154 INTERIOR + 2 EXTERIO	UNITS / FLOOR QTY TYPE 4 4 3 1/2 4 4 3 1/2 7 2 5 1/2 / 2 niveau 1 4 1/2 / 2 niveau 4 4 3 1/2 3 1/2 7 2 4 1/2 7 2 4 1/2 7 2 4 1/2 7 2 4 1/2 7 2 4 1/2 7 1 4 1/2 6 3 1/2 39 UNITS 39 UNITS

	PROJECT INFORMATION		PHASE 1		PHASE 2	
S	Site Area(PHASE 1) 1 513 sq m. Site Area(PHASE 2) 2 115 sq m. Site Area(TOTAL) 3 628 sq m.		PROJECT STATISTICS Building height 20.5 m		PROJECT STATISTICS Building height 38 m Amenity space height 5 m	
CAR PARKI	Density 14 723 sq m - 1 12 515 / 3 628	5% = 3.45	GROSS BUILDING AREAS Parking level 1 1 263 sq m Parking level 2 1 263 sq m		GROSS BUILDING AREAS Parking level 1 1 851 sq m Parking level 2 1 851 sq m	
			Ground Floor	583 sq m	Ground Floor	980 sq m
		02	Level 2,3,4 3 x 590 sq m	1 770 sq m	Level 2,3,4 3 X 1 075 sq m	3 225 sq m
VISITOR	0.2/UNIT (185 UNITS)	32	Level 5,6 2 x 500 sq m	1 000 sq m	Level 5,6,7,8,9 5 x 863 sq m	4 315 sq m 2 580 sq m
	0.270011 (18500113)	-	Total area	3 353 sq m	Amenity Level	2 380 sq m 270 sq m
COMMERCIAL	2.5 / 100 sq m of GFA	7			Total area	11 370 sq m
		136	Note: Does not include parking level and amenity level		Note: Does not include parking level	
TOTAL		156	UNIT STATISTICS		UNIT STATISTICS	
BICYCLE P	ARKING		1 Bedroom unit 29		Studio 41	
			2 Bedroom unit 10		1 Bedroom unit 75	
REQUIRED			Total 39		2 Bedroom unit 30	
RESIDENTIAL	0.5 / UNIT	92			Total 146	
COMMERCIAL	2.5 / 100 sq m of GFA	7			Commercial 685 sq m	
TOTAL		99				
PROVIDED Underground (wall mounted rack	c at end of parking space)	99				



8

ОВ

>

Do not scale drawings. Contractors must check and verify all dimensions and report any discrepancies to the Architect before proceeding with the work. All documents is prohibited without written permission. The Contract Documents were prepared by the Consultant for the account of the Owner. The material contained herein reflects the Consultants best judgement in light of the information available to him at the time of preparation Any use which a third parties. The Consultant accepts no responsibility of such third parties. The Consultant accepts no responsibility of such third parties. The Consultant accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on the Contract Documents.

C:\Users\rhicks\Documents\15076_774 Bronson_rhicks.rvt



NIDARD ZONING MECHANISM - AM	
	222200
NEQUINEIVIEIVI	
No Minimum	39.14 m
No Minimum	3628 m ²
No Minimum	5.50 m
o minimum unless abutting a residential zone (7.5 m)	4.24 m
3 m	3 m
Ranges from 11 to 25 m	39.25 m
5 (if 80% of parking is below grade), 2 (otherwise)	3.02
3 m	4.24 m
0.5 spaces per dwelling unit (91 spaces)	
spaces per dwelling unit, first 12 units exempt (34 spaces)	31 spaces
0.5 spaces per dwelling unit (91 spaces)	95 spaces
2.6 m (width) by 5.2 m (length)	2.6 m by 5.2 m
6.7 m minimum width for double traffic lane	6.7 m minimum
0.6 m by 1.8 m	0.6 m by 1.8 m
om ² per dwelling unit, 50% minimum communal	720.14 m ² (interior) + 599.92 m ² (exterior) = 1,320.06
amenity area (1,086m²)	m²
	NDARD ZONING MECHANISM - AMI REQUIREMENT No Minimum Sam Sm Siges from 11 to 25 m Ranges from 11 to 25 m Sam Spaces per dwelling unit (91 spaces) Spaces per dwelling unit (91 spaces) 2.6 m (width) by 5.2 m (length) 2.6 m (width) by 5.2 m (length) S.7 m minimum width for double traffic lane 0.6 m by 1.8 m Sm ² per dwelling unit, 50% minimum communal amenity area (1,086m ²)

	SITE DA	TA CHART	
PROPOSED	DATA	REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED
39.14 m	ZONING	Arterial Main St	reet - AM1 Zone
3628 m ²	LOT AREA	3,628.	09 m ²
5.50 m			
	TOTAL DENSITY		181 units (341 beds)
4.24 m	GROSS FLOOR AREA (m ²)		10,965.52 m ²
3 m	NUMBER OF STOREYS		12
39.25 m	BUILDING HEIGHT (m)	maximum 41.5 m	39.25 m
3.02	COMMERCIAL / RETAIL AREA (m)		135.62 m ²
	AMENITY AREA	minimum 6m ² / unit	1,320.06 m ²
4.24 m			
	LANDSCAPE AREA (%)		$1,088 \text{ m}^2 \div 3,628 \text{ m}^2 = 29.9\%$
31 spares	LANDSCAPE AREA (m ²)		1,088 m ²
95 spaces	G RESIDENTIAL	0.5 / unit = 91	
26 m hv 5 2 m	\leq VISITOR (first 12 units exempt)	0.2 / unit = 34	31
	AR TOTAL	125	
0.6 m by 1.8 m	BARRIER FREE SPACES		1 (incl.)
	RESIDENTIAL	0.5 / unit = 91	
(Interlor) + 399.92 m (exterlor) = 1,320.06		$2.5 / 100 \text{ m}^2 = 4$	05
Ш	BIC		C C
	[±] TOTAL	95	

PROPOSED Street - AM1 Zone 8.09 m² 181 units (341 beds) 10,965.52 m² 12 39.25 m 135.62 m² 1,088 m² ÷ 3,628 m² = 29 1,088 m² ÷ 3,628 m² 1,088 m² ÷ 3,628 m² = 29 1,088 m² ÷ 3,628 m² 1,088 m² 1,088 m² 1,088 m²	$2.5 / 100 \text{ m}^2 = 4$	0 5 / unit = 91	125	0.2 / unit = 34	0.5 / unit = 91			minimum 6m² / unit		maximum 41.5 m				3,62	Arterial Main S	REQUIREMENT	A CHART	
	95	1 (incl.)		31		1,088 m ²	$1,088 \text{ m}^2 \div 3,628 \text{ m}^2 = 29.9\%$	1,320.06 m ²	135.62 m ²	39.25 m	12	10,965.52 m ²	181 units (341 beds)	8.09 m ²	Street - AM1 Zone	PROPOSED		



1 SITE PLAN 1: 150

774 BRONSON AVENUE, OTTAWA

INC.				
Plot Date / Time 12/8/2015 2:00:33 PM	Checked by RH	Drawn by MS	Issue Date	Project No 15076







No.

Da

2 KEY PLAN 1: 2000









GENERAL NOTES

- ALL WORKS AND MATERIALS SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISION OF THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS), WHERE APPLICABLE. LOCAL UTILITY STANDARDS AND MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTING STANDARDS WILL APPLY WHERE REQUIRED.

- UTAMA, UNLAD, HONKLAL, STANDARD DRAWINGS (DESD) AND SECONDATORS (DESS), WHERE APPLICABLE LOCAL UTILITY STANDARDS AND MISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARDS WILL APPLY WHERE REQUESE. 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTINUE THE LOCATION OF ALL DESTING UTILITIES WITHIN THE SITE AND ADACOM WORK AREA. THE DISTURBED DUNIE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTINUE THAT AND ADACOM WORK AREA. THE DISTURBED DUNIE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE CASONABLE FOR THE REPAIR OF REPLACEMENT OF ANY SERVICES OR UTILITIES UTILITIES WITHING CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONDED FOR THE STANDARD START OF CONTRACTORS TO CONTRACTOR TO C CONTROL DEVICES FEE CALLEST AMENDMENT. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT WORKS BY OTHERS MAY BE ONGOING DURING THE PERIOD OF THIS CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITES TO PREVENT CONFLICTS.

- SHOLL COMUNITIE CURSINGUISMIN ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT CONTUNIS. 8. ALL DIMENSIONE ARE IN METTES UNISES SPECIFIED CHEMINE. 10. THERE MILL BE NO SUBSTITUTION OF MATERIAS UNLESS PRORE WRITEN APPROVAL IS RECEIVED FROM THE ENGINEER. 11. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARBED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE IN THE EXCITECHNICAL REPORT. 12. FOR DEFAILS RELATING TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND ROOF DRAINAGE REFER TO THE SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT.
- MANAGEMENT REPORT: 13. ALL SEWERS CONSTRUCTED WITH GRADES LESS THAN 1.0% SHALL BE INSTALLED USING LASER ALIGNMENT AND CHECKED WITH LEVEL INSTRUMENT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING
- NOTINUENT PROF TO BADORILING. 14 CONTRACTOR RELEGATOR GENERATION ALL PENNES REQUERS AND TO BEAR THE COST OF THE SMALL 14 CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSELE FOR ADDITIONAL BEDONG, OR ADDITIONAL STRENCTH PIPE IF THE MAXIMUM TRENCH WOTH AS SPECIFIED FOR SOIS IS EXCEEDED.
- 5. SPECIDITO BY GREAT IS DOCUMENTIAL FOR ADDITIONAL DECOMPLY OF ADDITIONAL DIFFUSION FOR E IN EXAMINING INCLUMENTIAL OF ADDITIONAL DIFFUSION INCLUMENT ADDITIONAL DIFFUSION INCLUMENT ADDITIONAL DIFFUSION INCLUMENT ADDITIONAL DIFFUSION INCLUMENTATION ADDITIONAL DIFFUSIONI ADDI
- 21. BENCHMARKS: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT THE SITE BENCHMARK(S) HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED OF DISTURBED AND THAT ITS RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREES WITH THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS PLAN.

- SITE GRADING NOTES PRORE TO THE COMMENSEMENT OF THE SITE GRADING WORKS, ALL SILTATION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED AND OP PER EROSION CONTROL, PLAN.
 ALL GRANILLAR AND PAKEMENT FOR ROADS/PARKING AREAS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL DEVIDENCEYS OF CONTINUENT AND ROADS/PARKING AREAS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL
- ALL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD AND PARKING AREAS ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- CONCRETE CHEW SHALL BE IN ACCOMDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STD. SCI.1. PROVISION SHALL BE MADE FOR CURB DEPRESSIONS AS NGUCATED ON ARCHITECTURAL STE FAN. COMMETE SDEWALK SHALL BE IN ACCOMDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STD SCI.4. ALL CURBS, CONCRETE ISJANDS, AND SDEWALKS SHORN ON THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE FREDE IN THE STEWARDS FORTON OF THE
- CONTINUUL: PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT FOR SERVICE AND UTILITY CUTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. R10 AND OPSD 509.010, AND OPSS 310.
- ALL BE PLACED TO A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 300mm AROUND ALL STRUCTURES WITHIN THE PAVEMENT AREA. GRANULAR X STALL BE FALCED TO A MINIMUM HIGHORESS OF 3000M ANDUNO ALL STRUCTURES MITHIN THE PAREMENT AREA. BUB-CRAVATE SOFT TARESS AND OT LIMIN GRANULAR TO COMPACTED IN ANDUMA 3000m LITY PRIOR TO BACKTLING. ALL WORK ON THE MUNICIPAL ROLF OF WHY AND LISEMENTS TO BE RESPECTED BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR TO BACKTLING. ALLOWING, IF REQUERED BY THE MUNICIPALITY.
- 10. ALL PAVEMENT MARKING FEATURES AND SITE SIGNAGE SHALL BE PLACED PER ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. LINE PAINTING AND DIRECTIONAL SYMODOLS SHALL BE APPLIED WITH A MINIMUM OF TWO COATS OF ORGANIC SOLVENT PAINT.
- REFER TO ACHIECTURAL SET PLAN FOR DUBLISHING OF THE COARS OF CHORNED SCHUT PAINT.
 REFER TO ACHIECTURAL STPEPAN FOR DUBLISHING AND THE DETAILS.
 12. STEP JOINTS ARE TO BE USED WHERE PROPOSED ASPHALT MEETS EXISTING ASPHALT. ALL JOINTS MUST BE SEALED.
 13. SDEWAKES TO BE 2000 THE PRIVISED FLOOR SLAB ELEVATION AT ENTRANCES UNLESS OTHERWSE NOTED.
- 4. WHERE APPLICABLE THE CONTRACTOR IS TO SUBJIT SHOP DRAWS FOR RETAINING WALL (INCLUDE RAUNGS IF APPLICABLE) TO THE ENDINEER FOR APPROVAL PROFILO CONSTRUCTION. SHOP DRAWNEG MUST BE STEP SPECIFIC, SORED AND SALED BY A LUGISED STRUCTURAL ENDINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY STRUCTURAL AND GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION OF THE AS-CONSTRUCTOR DETAINING WAIL TO THE FORMATE PROBE TO FORM ACCEPT AND SALED BY A LUGISED STRUCTURAL ENDINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY STRUCTURAL AND GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION OF THE AS-CONSTRUCTOR DETAINING WAIL TO THE FORMATE PROBE TO FORM ACCEPT AND SALED BY A LUGISED STRUCTURAL ENDINEER. AND THE AS A DE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY STRUCTURAL AND GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION OF THE AS-CONSTRUCTOR DETAINING WAIL TO THE FORMATE PROBE TO FORM ACCEPTANCE.

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE



EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

CENERAL THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SUFFACE EROSION AND SEDMENT RUNOFF RESULTING FROM THEIR CONSTRUCTION OFERATIONS HAS POIENTIAL TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL IMPACT TO ANY DOWNSTREAM WATERCOURSE OR SEWER, AND THAT ALL CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS THAT MAY IMPACT UPON WATER QUALITY SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN A MANNER THAT STRICTLY MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING OUT THEIR OPERATIONS, AND SUPPLYING AND ANY APPROPRIATE CONTROL MEASURES, SO AS TO PREVENT SEDMENT LADEN RUNOFF FROM ENTERING ANY WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NO ONE MEASURE IS LIKELY TO BE 100% EFFECTIVE FOR EROSION PROTECTION AND CONTROLLING SEDMENT RUNOFF AND DISCHARGES FROM THE SITE. ITEREFORE, WHERE NECESSARY THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPELENT ADDITIONAL MEASURES ARRANGED IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO MITCATE SEDMENT ROLCOS, DISCHARDED SIZUETA MANNER AS TO MITCATE SEDMENT ROLCOS, DISCHARDED SIZUETA MANNER AS TO MITCATE SEDMENT ROLCOS, DISCHARDED SIZUETA MANNER AS TO MITCATE SEDMENT ROLCOS, FILTER BAS, POMM RESARIES MAY, INCLUDE, BUT SHALL, NOT BE LIMITED TO, MET FOLOWING METHOOS, SEDMENT RONCS, FILTER BAS, POMM RESARIES MAY, INCLUDE, BUT SHALL, NOT BE LIMITED TO, MET FOLOWING METHOOS, SEDMENT RONCS, FILTER BAS, POMM BERMS, OR OTHER RECOONTED TECHNOLOGIES AND METHODS AVAILABLE AT THE THE OF CONSTRUCTION. SPECIFIC MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF OPSS 577 WHERE APPROPRIATE, OR IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMISSION OF RECULATORY ACENCY, THE INSTALLED CONTROL MEASURE FAIL TO PERFORM ADEQUATELY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY AND INSTALL ADDITIONAL OR ALTERNATIVE MEASURES A DIRECTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR RECULATORY ACENCY, AS SUCH, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAN ADDITIONAL CONTROL MATERIALS ON SITE AT ALL TIMES WHICH ARE EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND MAY BE IMPLEMENTED BY H AT A MOMENTS NOTICE.

PIOP TO COMMENCING WORK Commission norms, the contribution shall submit to the contract administrator six Confersor in Erosion and Someric Control plan (ESCP). The ESCP NULL CONSTO OF A WRITEN DESCRIPTION AND DRAMINGS INDICATING THE ON-STE ACTIVITIES AND MEASURES TO BE USED TO CONTROL EROSION AND SEDIMENT IT FOR EACH STEP OF THE WORK.

CONTRACTORS. RESPONSEDUITES THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT ALL WORKERS, INCLUDING SUB-CONTRACTORS, IN THE WORKING AREA ARE AWARE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EROSION AND SEDMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INFORMED OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE FAURLE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL REGULATORY AGENCES.

CONTRACTOR SHALL PERCONCALLY, AND WHEN REQUESTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, GEAN OF CONTRACTOR SHALL PERCONCALLY, AND WHEN REQUESTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, GEAN OF CONTRACT EDEMON, OFFICE AND ADDITIONAL AND ADDITIONAL DESERVENT SHALL NOT REMOVED IN SICH A INTER THAT FREVENTS THE DEFORMING OF THIS MATERIAL INTO ANY SERVER OF NATISCOTORES AND ADDIS DAVARCE TO CONTROL MEASURENTS THE DEFORMING OF THIS MATERIAL INTO ANY SERVER OF NATISCOTORES AND ADDIS DAVARCE TO CONTROL MEASURENTS THE SEDMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND AGED IN COMPLICACE WITH THE REQUERTING FOR EXCESS EAST MATERIAL AS SPECIFIED ELSEWHERE IN THE

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPORT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR ANY ACCIDENTAL DISCHAR EDMENTI MATERIAL INTO DIHER THE WARECOURSE OF THE STORM SLARE SYSTEM FALURE TO REPORT VIGATIVIES A BREED FEDULATORY COLOMNIA TO ADDITIONAL CONTRACT REPORT STORTON RESULTED FEDULATORY COLOMNIA TO ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRED OUT CONTROL MEASURES OR THE IMMEDIATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRED OUT CONTROL MEASURES OR THE IMMEDIATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRED OUT CONTROL MEASURES OR THE IMMEDIATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRED OUT

Contractor without delayers shall only be removed when, in the opinion of the contract administra the security of messages, is no longer required. No control message way be permanently removed with prior authorization from the contract administrator, all demonstrator all second control wessages shall removed in a manner that avoids the entry of any complexity complexity frequency. In the matricourse, and prevents the release of may second be demonstration and the relocated from a matricourse intercourse without a second because the relocated from the works area of contractorse performed and matricourse the matrix of any second because the relocate with the downstream of the works area all accomplexed because the relocated from the works area at contractorse performed and matrixed by the metal accomplexement for access careful the metal.

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF EITHER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY, ANY OF THE TERMS SPECIFIED HEREIN HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLEE WITH OR PERFORMED IN A SUITABLE MANNER, OR AT ALL THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY GACINY HAS THE RIGHT TO IMMEDIATELY WITHORW ITS FEMBISION TO CONTINUE THE WORK BUT MAY RENEW ITS PERMISSION UPON BEING SAVESTED THAT THE DEFAULTS OR DEFICIENCES IN THE REFORMANCE OF THIS SPECIFICATION BY THE CONTRACTOR HAVE SHER RELEDED.

SPILL CONTROL NOTES

- ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL BE RE-FUELED, MAINTAINED, AND STORED NO LESS THAN 30 WETRES FROM WATERCOURSES, STREAMS, CREEKS, WOODLOTS, AND ANY ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS, OR AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. THE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL MECEDARY CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL MECEDARY.
- PECIFIED. HE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL NECESSARY MEASURES IN ORDER TO PREVENT LEAKS, DISCHARGES OR SPILLS IF POLITIANTS, DELETERIOUS MATERIALS, OR OTHER SUCH MATERIALS OR SUBSTANCES WHICH WOULD OR COULD AUGE ANA DURGE MMFACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT. IN THE EVENT OF A LEAK, DISCHARGE OR SPILL OF A POLITIANT, DELETERIOUS MATERIAL OR OTHER SUCH MATERIAL RE SUBSTANCE WITH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN AUGURES MMFACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
- Character with world of could cause an auterse what to the natural environment, ine counting the second second

SANITARY AND STORM SEWER NOTES

INERAL I ASER ALIGNMENT CONTROL TO BE UTILIZED ON ALL SEWER INSTALLATIONS

- LARGEAL LASER AURONENT CONTROL TO BE UTULZED ON ALL SEWER INSTALLATIONS. 1. LASER AURONENT CONTROL TO BE UTULZED ON ALL SEWER INSTALLATIONS. 2. CLAY SEALS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER OT'S TARGAND DRAWING SE. THE SEALS SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.5m LONG (IN THE TRENCH DRECTION), AND SHOLD EXTERD FORM TERCH WALL LINE DRAWING ALL THE SEALS SHOULD EXTERD FIRM THE PROST LINE AND COMPACTABLE BROWN SETV CLAY FLACED IN AVAILANT 220mm LITES AND COMPACTED TO A NAMEMA OF 955 SHAGO. THE CLAY SEALS SHOLD BE FLACED AT THE BE DRAVARGES AND AT IGON INTERVALS. IN THE SERVES THERENOES. 3. SERVICES TO BULDANCS TO BE TERMINATED 1.5m. FROM THE OUTSIDE FACE OF BULDRO UNLESS OTHERWING. MICH COMPACTED TO 955 SHAGO. THE CLAY SEALS SHOLD DURING IN THE OUTSIDE FACE OF BULDRO UNLESS OTHERWING. AURONAL OF 955 SHAGO. THE CLAY SHARED ADDITIONED DISCIPT, CHANNEN OF SOOL AND/ON STRUCTURES. 5. "ADDILOCO" OR APPROVED PREC-AST UNANTERNAME STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN ADJUSTERS TO BE USED IN LIEU OF BRIDONG. PAREG ADJUST UNIST IN THE OUTSIDE CONT. 5. SHATETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE FER ORSD AND ON THE OTHERSE INCUDING FROM REPORT. ONE (1) CD COPY AND THEORY STALL VERY CONCINNENT IN THE REPORT DOLD IN THE ONE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN ADJUSTERS TO BE USED IN LIEU OF BRIDONG. PAREG ADJUSTES SHALL BE FER ORSD AND ON THE OUTSIDE FORMER. AULDING REPORT. ONE (1) CD COPY AND THEORY STALL VERY CONCINNENT IN THE REPORT DOLD AND THAL CONCERNENT. ALL SERVESS ARE TO BE TURGED TO SHALL SHARED SHALL BE FER ORSD SHALL THE REPORT DOLD AND THAL SEARCES AND THE SERVES SHALL ON THE REPORT. ONE (1) CD COPY AND THEORY SHALL WERK CONCERNENT AND ADDUCTIONS FOR LISTERS. ADJUSTER STORE TO SERVESS AND ADJUSTERS SHALL STATEMENT THE OSS AND AND OPESS SHALL TO THE REPORT DUCTOR DEVENTS. ADJUSTERS AND REPORT TO AND THEORY SHALL WERK CONCERNING. IN THE REPORD WIDD DREPORTION AND PROVED STRUCTURES. ADJUSTER SHALL WERK SHALL DREPORT TO AND ADD OPESS SHALL THE REPORT DUCTOR DREPORTS TO ADDUCTOR SHALL REPORT THE DREPORT DAVID DREPORT DAVID SHALL REPORT THE DREPORT DAVID DREPORTION ADDUCTS SHALL REPOR
- NULTARY S.LL SANTARY SEWER INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE GITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINGLA, STANDARD DRAWINGS (DPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS). LL SANTARY GRAVITY SEWER SHALLE PU'S CBR 33, DEV. RING-THE' (OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT) PER CSA STANDARD B182.2 OR LATEST MARXINGHENT, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWERS. DE STITUS MAINTAINEES STRUCTURES TO BE RE-RECENDE WHERE A NEW CONNECTION IS MADE. S SANTARY GRAVITY SEWER SHALL TO BE RE-RECEND WHERE A NEW CONNECTION IS MADE. S SANTARY GRAVITY SEWER SHALL NO. BEDDING SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. SE AND ST, CLASS 'B' BEDDING, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWER.
- WINE. INANCE STRUCTURE FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S24 AND S25. INANCE STRUCTURES SHALL BE BENCHED PER OPEN 701.021

- REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.2, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. ALL REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.1, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. PIPE SHALL INDED WITH STOR JUBBER CASKETS AS PER CSA A257.3, OR LATEST AMENDMENT.

- BE UNDER WIN 15TD RUBBER GARKETS AS FER CA ASS7. OF LATEST AMERIMENT. MOST, OF LATEST AMERIMENT, PER SARLE SARL
- MILER AFFOLGER. MIP-RAP RESATMENT FOR SEWER AND CULVERT OUTLETS PER OPSD 810.010. ALL STORM SEWERS / CULVERTS TO BE INSTALLED WITH FROST TREATMENT PER OPSD 803.031 WHERE APPLICABLE



WATERMAIN NOTES

- AVALUMENTAL IN A DISCOURSE AND A DISCOURSE TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL ALL MANTERMAN INSTALLATION SCALL CONSCIONATION (OPS). ALL POV INTERMANS SHALL CAN AND C-PAO CLASS TO, DO PILO DE APPROVED FOUNVELMT. WATERMAN TENCH AND RECOME SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W7. UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWSE. RECOME AND CONST MATERIAL SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY THE PRODECT ECONFUNCIAL ENDINES. ALL P/V WATERMANS SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A TO GAUGE STRANDED COPPER TWU OR RWU TRACER WIRE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STU MAS.
- ALL IVE WINDWARD SALL BE INSILLE WIN A TO GAUGE STWARDED COPPER WU OF WAR IMAGE WINE A ACCREDANCE WIN CATHOOR PROTECTION IS REQUERED ALL WETALLE TIMES FER CITY OF TAWAS STD. WAO AND WA2. VALVE BOXES SHALL BE VISTALLED WINE STREAMED DANTS FER CITY OF OTTAMA STD. 25.5. AND W25.6. THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERAMINS TO BE INSTALLED FER CITY OF OTTAMA STD. 25.3. AND W25.4. THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERAMINS TO BE INSTALLED FER CITY OF OTTAMA STD. 25.3. AND W25.4. DECONTRACTOR SHALL PROVOE ALL TIMPORARY CARS, PLUSE, BLOCKING-OFFS, AND W02ZLES REQUIRED FOR TESTING AND DISMETRION OF THE WATERAMAN.
- RESPECTIVELT, WATER SERVICES ARE TO BE INSULATED PER CITY STD. W23 WHERE SEPARATION BETWEEN SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE HOLES ARE LESS THAN 2-4m.

- LESS THAY 2-AM. LESS THAY 2-AM. THE MINIMUL VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN WAITEMAIN AND SENER / UTILITY IS 0.50m PER MICE GUIDELINES. FOR CROSSING UNCER SMERER, ADEQUATE STIMULTURAL SUPPORT FOR THE SWERES IS REQUIRED TO PERFONT EXCESSING DEFILETION OF GONTS AND ESTIMULTURAL STIMULTURAL SUPPORT FOR THE SWERES IS REQUIRED TO PERFONT EXCESSING DEFILETION OF GONTS AND ESTIMULTURAL STIMULTURAL SUPPORT ALL WHITEMAINS SHALL HAVE A WINNUM COVER OR 2-AM, OTHERWESE THEMAL INSULATION IS REQUIRED AS PER STD DWG W22. A CHERAW WHITE FAULT TO LITUTE CLEARANCE AS PER STD DWG R02. A RE HYDRAIT INSTALLATION AS PER STD DWG W19, ALL BOTTOM OF HYDRAIT FLANCE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROCOSED INSUED GRADE AT HYDRAIT. FIRE HYDRAIT LOCATIONA SP PER 500 DWG W18. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0m OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROCOSED INSUER TO BE CAPPED 1.0m OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROCOSED INSUER AND RAY FROM THE WITHOUT INCOLORION AS PER STD DWG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0m OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROCOSED INSUER AND RAY FROM THE HYDRAIT INCOLORION AS PER STD DWG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0m OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROCOSED INSUER AND RAY FROM THE HYDRAIT. FLANCE DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0m OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROCOSED INSUER AND RAY FROM THE HYDRAIT. FLANCE DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT PLANCE DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFT IMPORTANT DAG W19. BULIDION GSRIVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M MINIMUM OF 1271 BACK FROM STOB. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED, PROVISIONS FOR FLUSHING WATER LINE PRIOR TO TESTING, ETC. MUST BE PROVDED.
- UIMENTING DIRECTLIN, MENUTIONE TO METALINING WAITER UNE MENUTIO LESINO, ELL MOSS DE MENUTURE. LA LIN MATEMINIS SHALLE BE ACTERICIOCOLLIY, TESTE DI A CACOBRACE UNI THE CITY OF OTTANA AND ONTARIO QUIDELINES. ALL OLIGINALTE WITER TO BE DISCIARED AND PRETERATED TO ACCEPTANEL LEVELS PROR TO DISCIARES, ALL DISCIARED WATER MUST BE CONTRACTE. MON TERLES DO AS NO TO ADMETRICA TERLE VISUES PROR TO DISCIARES, ALL DISCIARED WATER CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT ALL MANGHAN, MANJOR PROVINCIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE FOLLOWED. 9. ALL WATEMANNA STUBS SHALL BE LEVENNATED WITH A PLUG AND SOME DUVO FUNCTIONES OTHERMES NOTED.

z:\projects\12-557_samcon_bronson-cambridge\b_design\b2_drawings\b2-2_main (dsel)\spa_sub_2\2014-01-08.dwg



Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010

Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4		0
2 Bedroom	2.1		0
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8	193	348

	Рор	Avg. [aily Max		Day	Peak Hour	
		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Total Domestic Demand	348	121.8	84.6	365.4	253.8	548.1	380.6

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

		Avg. Daily		Daily	Max	Day	Peak Hour		
Property Type	Unit	Rate Un	its	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Commercial floor space	2.5	L/m²/d	804	2.01	1.4	3.0	2.1	5.4	3.8
Office	75	L/9.3m ² /d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Total I/CI Demand		2.0	1.4	3.0	2.1	5.4	3.8
		Total Der	mand	123.8	86.0	368.4	255.8	553.5	384.4



774 Bronson Ave SRM Architects Proposed Conditions

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010

Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4		0
2 Bedroom	2.1		0
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0
Type of Housing	Per/Bed	Beds	Рор
Boarding*	1	341	341

	Рор	Avg. Daily		Max	Day	Peak Hour		
		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	
Total Domestic Demand	341	68.2	47.4	204.6	142.1	306.9	213.1	
i otal Bollicotto Bolliana	011	00.2		20110		000.0	210.1	

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

				Avg. Daily		Max Day		Peak Hour	
Property Type	Unit	Rate Ur	nits	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Commercial floor space**	2.5	L/m²/d	136	0.34	0.2	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.6
Office	75	L/9.3m ² /d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Total I/CI De	Total I/CI Demand		0.2	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.6
		Total De	mand	68.5	47.6	205.1	142.4	307.8	213.8

* Based on a daily demand of 200L/day per person as identified by Appendix 4-A of the Sewer design guidelines

** Comprises all proposed commercial and amenity space



Fire Flow Estimation per Fire Underwriters Survey

Water Supply For Public Fire Protection - 1999

Fire Flow Required

1. Base Requirement

 $F = 220C\sqrt{A}$ L/min Where **F** is the fire flow, **C** is the Type of construction and **A** is the Total floor area

Type of Construction: Non-Combustible Construction

C 0.8 Type of Construction Coefficient per FUS Part II, Section 1
 A 10965.0 m² Total floor area based on FUS Part II section 1

Fire Flow 18429.6 L/min

18000.0 L/min rounded to the nearest 1,000 L/min

Adjustments

2. Reduction for Occupancy Type

Fire Flow	13500.0 L/min
Non-Combustible	-25%

2	Reduction	for	Sprinkler	Protection
J .	Reduction	IOF	Sprinkler	Protection

Reduction	-6750 L/min
Sprinklered	-50%

4. Increase for Separation Distance

	Increase	6750.0 L/min	-
	% Increase	50%	value not to exceed 75% per FUS Part II, Section 4
W	20.1m-30m	10%	_
Е	>45m	0%	
S	3.1m-10m	20%	
Ν	3.1m-10m	20%	

Total Fire Flow

Fire Flow 13500.0 L/min fire flow not to exceed 45,000 L/min nor be less than 2,000 L/min per FUS Section 4 14000.0 L/min rounded to the nearest 1,000 L/min

Notes:

-Type of construction, Occupancy Type and Sprinkler Protection information provided by SRM Architects Inc.

-Calculations based on Fire Underwriters Survey - Part II



Steve Merrick

To: Subject: Steve Merrick RE: 774 Bronson Ave - Water Boundary Conditions

From: White, Joshua [<u>mailto:Joshua.White@ottawa.ca</u>] Sent: November-12-15 3:41 PM To: 'Steve Merrick' <<u>smerrick@dsel.ca</u>> Subject: RE: 774 Bronson Ave - Water Boundary Conditions

Hello Steve,

Please find the Boundary Conditions for the proposal at 774 Bronson. If you have any questions please let me know.

Josh

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 774 Bronson (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203mm on Cambridge (see attached PDF for location).

Minimum HGL = 106.1m

Maximum HGL = 117.1m

Available Flow = 125 L/s, assuming a residual of 20 psi and a ground elevation of 73.9m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

From: Steve Merrick [mailto:smerrick@dsel.ca] Sent: Monday, November 09, 2015 5:03 PM To: White, Joshua Cc: Wu, John Subject: RE: 774 Bronson Ave - Water Boundary Conditions

Thanks Josh,

You are correct, a bit of a miscommunication between Adam and I on the proposed connections to the municipal system. Let me know if you get any updating timing for the new watermain within Bronson Ave. In the meantime, can we proceed with the boundary conditions request assuming a dual connection to the Cambridge Street watermain as per the approved servicing plan?

Thanks,

Steve Merrick, EIT. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 561 cell: (613) 222-7816 email: smerrick@DSEL.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: White, Joshua [mailto:Joshua.White@ottawa.ca] Sent: November-09-15 2:55 PM To: 'Steve Merrick' <<u>smerrick@dsel.ca</u>> Cc: Wu, John <<u>John.Wu@ottawa.ca</u>> Subject: RE: 774 Bronson Ave - Water Boundary Conditions

Hey Steve,

I will go through my email and check and see if we heard back from public works. It should be noted that the previous approval <u>did not</u> contemplate a connection into the 600 mm water main on Bronson as it is a back bone water main. In the previous approval the site would be serviced off of Cambridge entirely with a new connection into Bronson when the new local water main is installed during the future reconstruction of Bronson.

Josh

Joshua White, P.Eng. Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals Development Review, Urban Services, City of Ottawa Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.



City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 15843 Email: joshua.white@ottawa.ca ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme From: Steve Merrick [mailto:smerrick@dsel.ca]
Sent: Monday, November 09, 2015 1:14 PM
To: White, Joshua; Wu, John
Subject: RE: 774 Bronson Ave - Water Boundary Conditions

Hi John & Josh,

I don't believe we received boundary conditions based on the correspondence below. There have been updates to the site plan that have led to a decrease in total demand as shown below:

	L/min	L/s
Avg. Daily	48.6	0.81
Max Day	145.4	2.42
Peak Hour	218.2	3.64

Please use the above demands and the connection points and assumptions as discussed below to provide boundary conditions for the subject site.

Thanks,

Steve Merrick, EIT. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 561 cell: (613) 222-7816 email: smerrick@DSEL.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Steve Merrick [<u>mailto:smerrick@dsel.ca]</u> Sent: August-26-15 2:29 PM To: White, Joshua (<u>Joshua.White@ottawa.ca</u>) <<u>Joshua.White@ottawa.ca</u>>; 'Wu, John' <<u>John.Wu@ottawa.ca</u>> Subject: 774 Bronson Ave - Water Boundary Conditions

Hi John,

This job has been previously submitted and approved by Josh White back in October 2013. The lands have since changed hands and the site plan has been modified since our last submission. We are starting by preparing a serviceability letter for the client and hope that in Josh's absence you could forward on a boundary condition request

for this site. We are hoping to provide the client with the serviceability letter by the end of the week and hope you can forward this on to the water resources group as soon as possible for their analysis.

The approved plans contemplated a looped water connection to the existing 600mm watermain within Bronson Avenue and the existing 200mm watermain on Cambridge Street. The proposed water service will be achieved by the same way, see attached sketch

I have summarized the development water demands below:

L/min	L/s
55.0	0.92
163.6	2.73
245.8	4.10
	L/min 55.0 163.6 245.8

As the plan is still in the preliminary concept phase, we don't have a calculated FUS and will require available fire flow @ 20 psi.



Thanks in advance!

Steve Merrick, EIT. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 561

fax: (613) 836-7183 email: smerrick@DSEL.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Site Area

774 Bronson Avenue Samcon **Existing Conditions**

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



_. E

0.18 ha

Extraneous Flow Allowan	ces			
	Infilti	ration / Inflow*	0.00	L/s
	*Additional flow	due to infiltratio	n is taken into a	ccount in stormwater calculations
Domestic Contributions				
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор	
Single Family	3.4		0	
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0	
Townhouse	2.7	,	0	
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0	
Apartment				
Bachelor	1.4		0	
1 Bedroom	1.4		0	
2 Bedroom	2.1		0	
3 Bedroom	3.1		0	
Average	1.8	154	278	
		Total Pop	278	
		_		
	Average I	Domestic Flow	1.13	L/s
	F	eaking Factor	4.00	
	Peak I	Domestic Flow	4.50	L/s
Institutional / Commercial	/ Industrial Co	ntributions		
Property Type	Unit	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Commercial floor space*	5	L/m²/d	804	0.09
Institutional	5	L/m²/d		0.00
Hospitals	900	L/bed/d		0.00
School	70	L/student/d		0.00
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
		Ave	rage I/C/I Flow	0.09

Peak I/C/I Flow	0.14
Peak Industrial Flow**	0.00
Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow	0.14

* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

** peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.22 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	4.64 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	4.64 L/s

Site Area

774 Bronson Avenue Samcon Existing Conditions

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2004



Extraneous Flow Allowar

0.19 **ha**

Extraneous Flow Allowan	ces			
	Infiltr	ation / Inflow*	0.00	L/s
	*Additional flow	due to infiltratio	n is taken into a	ccount in stormwater calculations
Domestic Contributions				
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор	
Single Family	3.4		0	
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0	
Townhouse	2.7		0	
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0	
Apartment				
Bachelor	1.4		0	
1 Bedroom	1.4		0	
2 Bedroom	2.1		0	
3 Bedroom	3.1		0	
Average	1.8	39	71	
5				
		Total Pop	71	
	Averado F	omestic Flow	0.20	l /e
	Average	onnestic r low	0.23	L/3
	P	eaking Factor	4.00	
	Peak D	Oomestic Flow	1.15	L/s
Institutional / Commercial	/ Industrial Co	ntributions		
Property Type	Unit	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater
				(L/s)
Commercial floor space*	5	L/m²/d		0.00
Institutional	5	L/m²/d		0.00
Hospitals	900	L/bed/d		0.00
School	70	L/student/d		0.00
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
		Ave	rage I/C/I Flow	0.00
			-	

Peak Industrial Flow**	0.00
Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow	0.00

* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

** peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	0.29 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.15 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	1.15 L/s

774 Bronson Avenue SRM Architects Inc. Proposed Conditions

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area

0.37 ha

ations
ations

* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

** peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	0.81 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	3.18 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	3.18 L/s



			KEY PLAN
		ПЛ	and the second second
' //			onwork in the second of the se
SE			SUBJECT PROPERTY
TTT-/ROGE	m HYDR		
	680m	HRAFFIC	Longing Barrier Ba
OF SPI	MARK NDLEH 76.061 [T CRUNC ST T MORRAGY
	11/1	FH FH	and the second s
	[/ 		DOW'S LAKE UNCOMPANY TO N.T.S.
		OHW	LEGEND
1		3	PROPERTY LINE PROPOSED STORM SEWER DRAWAGE DUVE
	$\ \ $		
趪		L / .	
		\searrow	
CONTRO 72.28 72.27		E	0.19
	Ħ		
TION TO I	EXISTING S	storm Eed tee in D S11 1	
	D PY CON	ITRACTOR	
1 , 4	$] \setminus$		
		$\langle \rangle$	
	P		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
	P		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOULD AND A SULLIVAN, VOLLEBERK LTD. FROM ING. 1984/511 SANGTA SULLIVAN, VOLLEBERK LTD.
	P		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROJ. NO. 1942-11 SANGON LYS 34,372/88 P1 28 T D3 DATED JANE 2012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION
	P		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROMED BY ANINS, O'SULIVAN, VOLLEBERK LTD. PROJ. NO. 1243-11 SANGON LTS 3.4,37638 PI 20 TO 3 PATED JAME 2012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION ARCHITECTE PROJ. NO. 1170 DATED FEBRICATEV 22, 2013 GEOFICIC HNICAL STUDY
	P		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPIC UNIT OF A STATE OF
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FROMED BY ANNS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBERK LTD. FINATE 0012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE FLAN FROMED BY MARCO MANNI ARCHTECTE PROJ. NO. 107 GEOTECHAL STUDY GEOTECHAL RECOMMENDATIONS FROMED BY CATED SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERVICING AND STORMMATER MANAGEMENT STUDY PROJ. NO. 12–557 DATED
	P	Ан	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROL NO. 12483-11 SAMCON LTS 3A,37438 PI 28 T D3 DATED JARE 2012 STEE PLAN INFORMATION STE FAN FRONT VIEW AND ALCONT STE FAN FROM VIEW ALCONT STE STE FAN FROM VIEW ALCONT STE STE STE STE STE STE STE STE STE STE
		А	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROL NO. 12483-11 SAMCON LTS JAJ37438 PI 28 T D3 DATED JARE 2012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE ANA ROUTED BY AMARCO MAINN ARCHTECTE DATED GENERATIVE 22 2013 GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY MACHINE SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERVINGO AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY PROJ. NO. 15069 BENCH MARK TOP OF SPINDLE LOCATED ELEV=76.06
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROLING: 100. 128.34.374.38 PI 28 T 03 DATED JARE 2012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DATED DATED SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY PROL NO. 12-557 DATED DATED <td< th=""></td<>
	P P		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC SUMMON PROVIDE BY ANNE, O'SULUVAN, VOLLEBERK LTD. DATED JANE 2013 SAMON BY 28 T 33 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY REDIFFENDING RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY PROL NO. 12-357 SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SETTEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY PROL NO. 12-357 BENCH MARK TOP of SPINLE LOCATED ELEV-76.06 1 B.N.C. 13.02.28 1st SITE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 1 B.N.C. 13.02.21 ISSUED FOR CONSULTANT COORDINATION NO. BY TYLMADD DESCRIPTION
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROL NO. 7243-11 SUMCON LTS 34,37638 PI 28 T D3 DATED JARE 2012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE SERVICIAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY MATED SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DSL MATED SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DSL MATED SERVICING ANDR DATED SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY STE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SENCH MARK TOP SENDIC LOCATED INTE SENDIC LOCATED <t< th=""></t<>
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROLING 128 3,437438 PI 28 T 03 DATED JARE 2012 SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GEOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY PROVIDED BY PROVIDED BY DECIMICAL RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DECIMPTON SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DECIMPTON BENCH MARK TOP OF SPINUL LOCATED LINE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 BINC, 13.02.26 1st STE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 BINC, 13.02.26 1st STE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 DATED INFORMATER MANAGEMENT COORDINATION NOTED DATED INFORMATE INFORMATION INFORMENT STUDY </th
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SPROWDED BY MADE DESCRIPTION SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SET SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SPROWDED BY DEL DATE DIFFERENCE LOATED SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SPROWDED BY DEL DATE DIFFERENCE LOATED SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVDED BY DEL DATE DIFFERENCE DATE DIFFERENCE DITE DIFFERENCE DITE SERVICING AND STORMULT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DEL DITE DIFFERENCE DITE DIFFERENCE DITE DIFFERENCE
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROJUCT INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE SERVICE VALUE MARED COMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY MARED SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERCH MARK TOP SPENDLE LOCATED LEW-78.06 INTE SIZE Int SITE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 BINCL 13.02.28 INTE SIZE Int SITE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 INTE SIZE Int SITE PLAN CONTROL SUBMISSION INTE SIZE Int SITE PLAN CONTR
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROJECT NO. 12-557 STE PLAN INFORMATION STE PLAN INFORMATION MACHTER MANAGEMENT STUDY STE SERVICING AND STORMWATE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROWLED BY DSEL STE SERVICING AND STORMWATE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DSEL MODIO STE SERVICING AND STORMWATE MANAGEMENT STUDY STE SERVICING AND STORMATE MANAGEMENT CONTROL SUBMISSION 1 BINC. 13.02.26
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGERAPHIC INFORMATION PROJECT ING ADD STORMAN, VOLLEBERK LTD. PROJECT NICAL STUDY RECOMMENDATION STRUCTURE STE PLAN INFORMATION STE PLAN INFORMATION ARCHIECTE STECHMICAL ECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY MED STE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DISL. STE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DISL. STORM TERMANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DISL. INTED DENCH MARK TO STORMATER MANAGEMENT COONTROL SUBMISSION 1 INTED INTED SECTION. STESENVICE TNO. 12-557 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN </th
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION POGRAPHIC INFORMATION SITE PLAN INFORMATION SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY SITE SERVICIONE of MARK TOP OF SPRUE LOATED INTED DENCH MARK TOP OF SPRUE LOATED LEV-76.06 INTE SERVICIONED DESCRIPTION INTE SERVICIONED
			NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PORTUGE PLANES OF AUTOR OF 2010/0000000000000000000000000000000000

Target Flow Rate

Area	0.19 ha	
С	0.40 Rational Method runoff coefficient	ent
t _c	20.0 min	

2-year 52.0 mm/hr i Q 10.7 L/s

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas Area ID: U2

Total Area 0.02 ha С

0.41 Rational Method runoff coefficient

_		5-year					100-year				
	t _c	i	Qactual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q actual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
ĺ	10.0	104.2	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	178.6	4.1	4.1	0.0	0.0

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Area ID: A2

Total Area 0.20 ha С

0.75 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q actual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Qactual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	42.3	3.1	39.2	23.5	178.6	90.7	6.7	84.0	50.4
15	83.6	33.9	3.1	30.8	27.7	142.9	72.6	6.7	65.9	59.3
20	70.3	28.5	3.1	25.4	30.5	120.0	60.9	6.7	54.2	65.1
25	60.9	24.7	3.1	21.6	32.4	103.8	52.7	6.7	46.1	69.1
30	53.9	21.9	3.1	18.8	33.8	91.9	46.7	6.7	40.0	72.0
35	48.5	19.7	3.1	16.6	34.8	82.6	41.9	6.7	35.3	74.1
40	44.2	17.9	3.1	14.8	35.6	75.1	38.2	6.7	31.5	75.6
45	40.6	16.5	3.1	13.4	36.1	69.1	35.1	6.7	28.4	76.7
50	37.7	15.3	3.1	12.2	36.5	64.0	32.5	6.7	25.8	77.4
55	35.1	14.3	3.1	11.1	36.7	59.6	30.3	6.7	23.6	77.9
60	32.9	13.4	3.1	10.2	36.9	55.9	28.4	6.7	21.7	78.2
65	31.0	12.6	3.1	9.5	36.9	52.6	26.7	6.7	20.1	78.3
70	29.4	11.9	3.1	8.8	36.9	49.8	25.3	6.7	18.6	78.2
75	27.9	11.3	3.1	8.2	36.8	47.3	24.0	6.7	17.3	78.0
80	26.6	10.8	3.1	7.6	36.7	45.0	22.8	6.7	16.2	77.7
85	25.4	10.3	3.2	7.2	36.5	43.0	21.8	6.7	15.1	77.2
90	24.3	9.9	3.2	6.7	36.3	41.1	20.9	6.7	14.2	76.7
95	23.3	9.5	3.2	6.3	36.0	39.4	20.0	6.7	13.4	76.1
100	22.4	9.1	3.2	5.9	35.7	37.9	19.2	6.7	12.6	75.5
105	21.6	8.8	3.2	5.6	35.4	36.5	18.5	6.7	11.9	74.8
110	20.8	8.5	3.2	5.3	35.0	35.2	17.9	6.7	11.2	74.0

5-year Qattenuated 5-year Max. Storage Required

3.15 L/s 36.9 m³ 100-year Max. Storage Required

6.67 L/s 78.3 m³

100-year Qattenuated

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year 5-Year Release Storage Rate		100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage
	(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m ³)
Unattenuated Areas	1.9	0.0	4.1	0.0
Attenutated Areas	3.1	36.9	6.7	78.3
Total	5.0	36.9	10.7	78.3



Target Flow Rate

Area	0.19 ha	
С	0.40 Rational Method runoff coefficient	ent
t _c	20.0 min	

2-year 52.0 mm/hr i Q 10.7 L/s

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas Area ID: U1

> **Total Area** 0.02 ha

0.56 Rational Method runoff coefficient С

_		5-year					100-year				
	t _c	i	Qactual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q actual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
	10.0	104.2	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	178.6	7.6	7.6	0.0	0.0

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Area ID: A1

Total Area 0.13 ha С

0.73 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q actual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	26.8	1.4	25.4	15.2	178.6	57.5	3.1	54.4	32.6
15	83.6	21.5	1.4	20.1	18.1	142.9	46.0	3.1	42.9	38.6
20	70.3	18.1	1.4	16.6	20.0	120.0	38.6	3.1	35.5	42.6
25	60.9	15.7	1.4	14.2	21.4	103.8	33.4	3.1	30.3	45.5
30	53.9	13.9	1.4	12.4	22.4	91.9	29.6	3.1	26.5	47.7
35	48.5	12.5	1.4	11.0	23.2	82.6	26.6	3.1	23.5	49.4
40	44.2	11.4	1.4	9.9	23.8	75.1	24.2	3.1	21.1	50.7
45	40.6	10.5	1.4	9.0	24.3	69.1	22.2	3.1	19.1	51.7
50	37.7	9.7	1.5	8.2	24.7	64.0	20.6	3.1	17.5	52.5
55	35.1	9.0	1.5	7.6	25.1	59.6	19.2	3.1	16.1	53.2
60	32.9	8.5	1.5	7.0	25.3	55.9	18.0	3.1	14.9	53.7
65	31.0	8.0	1.5	6.5	25.5	52.6	16.9	3.1	13.9	54.1
70	29.4	7.6	1.5	6.1	25.7	49.8	16.0	3.1	12.9	54.4
75	27.9	7.2	1.5	5.7	25.8	47.3	15.2	3.1	12.1	54.6
80	26.6	6.8	1.5	5.4	25.9	45.0	14.5	3.1	11.4	54.7
85	25.4	6.5	1.5	5.1	25.9	43.0	13.8	3.1	10.7	54.8
90	24.3	6.3	1.5	4.8	25.9	41.1	13.2	3.1	10.2	54.8
95	23.3	6.0	1.5	4.5	25.9	39.4	12.7	3.1	9.6	54.8
100	22.4	5.8	1.5	4.3	25.9	37.9	12.2	3.1	9.1	54.7
105	21.6	5.6	1.5	4.1	25.8	36.5	11.7	3.1	8.7	54.6
110	20.8	5.4	1.5	3.9	25.8	35.2	11.3	3.1	8.3	54.5

5-year Qattenuated 5-year Max. Storage Required

1.46 L/s 25.9 m³ 100-year Max. Storage Required

100-year Qattenuated 3.08 L/s 54.8 m³

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage
	(L/S)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)
Unattenuated Areas	3.6	0.0	7.6	0.0
Attenutated Areas	1.5	25.9	3.1	54.8
Total	5.0	25.9	10.7	54.8





	KEY PLAN
	Convertue normal 3 1
	SUBJECT PROPERTY
	A CONTRACT OF THE STATE OF THE
	some conscent Hyrs a grant a g
SITE BENC Spike in utili	DOW'S LAKE UNDER THE THE REPORT NTS
	LEGEND
	PROPERTY LINE O PROPOSED STORM MANHOLE
ICE I	PROPOSED STORM SEMER PROPOSED CATCH BASIN DRAINAGE DIVIDE O PROPOSED CB 'T'
VEN	
NA	MAJOR SYSTEM FLOW ROUTE
MSC /	EX6 DRAINAGE AREA ID
3RO	1 010 AREA IN H⊄
ne la	0.19
- #	SURFACE PONDING AREA
° / / / ·	
	NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
74.42	TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION
	TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROVIDED BY ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBERK LTD. PROL. NO. 160-0-15 DATED NOVEMBER 19, 2015
	STLE PLAP NOT WHAT ION STE PLAN PROVIDE BY STM ARCHITECTS INC. PROJ. NO. 15076 DATED DECEMBER 10, 2015
	GEOTECHNICAL STUDY GOTECHNICAL RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY GENIVAR PROL. MD. 11-26660-00
	DATED DECEMBER 2011
	SERVICING AND SOURMAINER MARADEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS PROVIDED BY DELL PARID DECEMBER 2015 BENICH MARK
Of Market Alexandree	LOCATED TOP OF SPIRDLE OF HYDRINT ON BRONSON AVENUE ELEV-75.06
0HW	
73.72	
	1 SLM 15.1.11 ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL REVIEW No. BY YY.MM.DD DESCRIPTION
	SO PROFESSIONAL OF
	R. D. (REEL 100163197
	774 BRONSON AVENUE
	SRM ARCHITECTS INC 279 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 200
DIAMETER TO SUIT OUTLET SEWER	KITCHENER, ON N2G 181 TEL (519)885-5600
	120 lber Road Unit 103 Stittsville, Ontario, K28 1E9 Tel. (613) 836-0856
	Baseline Fax. (613) 836-7183 DRAWN BY: S.L.M. CHECKED BY: R.D.F. DRAWING NO. SHEET NO.
	DESIGNED BY: S.L.M. CHECKED BY: R.D.F. SCALE: 1:200 DATE: DECEMBER 2015 SWM-1 1 of 1



Target Flow Rate

 Area
 0.1900 ha

 C
 0.40 Rational Method runoff coefficient

 t_c
 20.0 min

20.0 11111

2 year 52.0 mm/hr

Q 11.0 L/s

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas

Total Area 0.02 ha **C** 0.82 Ra

i

0.82 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10.0	104.2	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	178.6	7.9	7.9	0.0	0.0

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

Contributions to Building Cistern

Total Area 0.071 ha

C 0.74 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	15.2	1.3	13.9	8.3	178.6	32.6	2.8	29.8	17.9
20	70.3	10.3	1.3	8.9	10.7	120.0	21.9	2.8	19.1	22.9
30	53.9	7.9	1.3	6.6	11.8	91.9	16.8	2.8	14.0	25.2
40	44.2	6.4	1.3	5.1	12.3	75.1	13.7	2.8	10.9	26.3
50	37.7	5.5	1.3	4.2	12.6	64.0	11.7	2.8	8.9	26.7
60	32.9	4.8	1.3	3.5	12.6	55.9	10.2	2.8	7.4	26.7
70	29.4	4.3	1.3	3.0	12.5	49.8	9.1	2.8	6.3	26.5
80	26.6	3.9	1.3	2.6	12.3	45.0	8.2	2.8	5.4	26.1
90	24.3	3.5	1.3	2.2	12.1	41.1	7.5	2.8	4.7	25.5
100	22.4	3.3	1.3	2.0	11.8	37.9	6.9	2.8	4.1	24.9
110	20.8	3.0	1.3	1.7	11.4	35.2	6.4	2.8	3.7	24.1
120	19.5	2.8	1.3	1.5	11.1	32.9	6.0	2.8	3.2	23.3
130	18.3	2.7	1.3	1.4	10.6	30.9	5.6	2.8	2.9	22.4
140	17.3	2.5	1.3	1.2	10.2	29.2	5.3	2.8	2.5	21.4
150	16.4	2.4	1.3	1.1	9.7	27.6	5.0	2.8	2.3	20.4
160	15.6	2.3	1.3	1.0	9.3	26.2	4.8	2.8	2.0	19.4
170	14.8	2.2	1.3	0.9	8.8	25.0	4.6	2.8	1.8	18.3
180	14.2	2.1	1.3	0.8	8.3	23.9	4.4	2.8	1.6	17.2
190	13.6	2.0	1.3	0.7	7.7	22.9	4.2	2.8	1.4	16.1
200	13.0	1.9	1.3	0.6	7.2	22.0	4.0	2.8	1.2	14.9
210	12.6	1.8	1.3	0.5	6.6	21.1	3.9	2.8	1.1	13.7

1.3 L/s	100-year Q _{attenuated}	2.8 L/s
12.6 m ³	00-year Max. Storage Required	26.7 m ³
72.94 m	Storage Elevation	73.44 m

5-year Q_{attenuated} 5-year Max. Storage Required Storage Elevation T/L

Total Available Storage

S	tage	Α	h₀	delta d	V	V _{acc}	Q _{release}
((m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(L/s)
	72.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0
	73.45	0.00	0.96	0.96	27.00	27.0	2.8

55

Orifice Loc STM103 LMF

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year	5-Year	100-Year	100-Year
	Release	Storage	Release	Storage
	Rate		Rate	
	(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m ³)
Unattenuated	3.8	0.0	7.9	0.0
Areas				
Attenutated	1.3	12.6	2.8	26.7
Areas				
Total	5.1	12.6	10.7	26.7



Target Flow Rate

Area 0.1900 ha C 0.40 Rational Method runoff coefficient t_c 20.0 min 2 year

52.0 mm/hr 11.0 L/s

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas

0.02 ha

```
Total Area
C
```

i Q

0.43 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year					
t _c	i	Q actual	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	
10.0	104.2	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	178.6	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas Area ID: A200

Total Area	0.072
С	0.66
Area ID: BLDG	
Total Area	0.184
С	0.90

Total Area C 0.256 ha

0.83 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	61.7	2.7	59.0	35.4	178.6	127.0	5.5	121.4	72.9
20	70.3	41.6	2.7	38.9	46.6	120.0	85.3	5.5	79.8	95.7
30	53.9	31.9	2.7	29.2	52.6	91.9	65.3	5.5	59.8	107.6
40	44.2	26.2	2.7	23.4	56.2	75.1	53.4	5.5	47.9	114.9
50	37.7	22.3	2.7	19.6	58.7	64.0	45.5	5.5	39.9	119.8
60	32.9	19.5	2.7	16.8	60.4	55.9	39.7	5.5	34.2	123.1
70	29.4	17.4	2.7	14.7	61.6	49.8	35.4	5.5	29.9	125.4
80	26.6	15.7	2.7	13.0	62.4	45.0	32.0	5.5	26.5	127.0
90	24.3	14.4	2.7	11.6	62.9	41.1	29.2	5.5	23.7	127.9
100	22.4	13.3	2.7	10.5	63.2	37.9	27.0	5.5	21.4	128.5
110	20.8	12.3	2.7	9.6	63.3	35.2	25.0	5.5	19.5	128.6
120	19.5	11.5	2.7	8.8	63.3	32.9	23.4	5.5	17.9	128.5
130	18.3	10.8	2.7	8.1	63.2	30.9	22.0	5.5	16.4	128.2
140	17.3	10.2	2.7	7.5	62.9	29.2	20.7	5.5	15.2	127.6
150	16.4	9.7	2.7	7.0	62.6	27.6	19.6	5.5	14.1	126.8
160	15.6	9.2	2.7	6.5	62.2	26.2	18.7	5.5	13.1	125.9
170	14.8	8.8	2.7	6.1	61.7	25.0	17.8	5.5	12.2	124.9
180	14.2	8.4	2.7	5.7	61.2	23.9	17.0	5.5	11.5	123.7
190	13.6	8.0	2.7	5.3	60.6	22.9	16.3	5.5	10.7	122.5
200	13.0	7.7	2.7	5.0	60.0	22.0	15.6	5.5	10.1	121.1
210	12.6	7.4	2.7	4.7	59.3	21.1	15.0	5.5	9.5	119.6
		5-yeai		2.7	L/s		100-yea	ar Q _{attenuated}	5.5	L/s

2.7 L/s	100-year Q _{attenuated}	5.5 L/s
63.3 m ³	00-year Max. Storage Required	128.6 m ³
71.82 m	Storage Elevation	73.27 m

5-year Q_{attenuated} 5-year Max. Storage Required Storage Elevation

 $\label{eq:linear} Z:\end{tabular} Projects\15-807_srm-arch_774-bronson\B_Design\B1_Analysis\B1-3_Storm\stm-2015-12-09_807_slm-1.xlsx$

SRM Architects Inc. 774 Bronson Stormwater to Cambridge

Total Available Storage

	Stage A		h _o	delta d	V	V _{acc}	Q _{release}	
	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(L/s)	
	70.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.0	
T/L	73.30	0.00	2.89	2.89	130.00	130.0	5.6	

Orifice Location STM201 LMF 60

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release	100-Year Storage
		l .	Rate	5
	(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m ³)
Unattenuated	2.2	0.0	4.8	0.0
Areas				
Attenutated	2.7	63.3	5.5	128.6
Areas				
Total	5.0	63.3	10.3	128.6

													S	ewer Data	1			
Area ID	Up	Down	Area	С	Indiv AxC	Acc AxC	Tc	I	Q	DIA	Slope	Length	A _{hydraulic}	R	Velocity	Qcap	Time Flow	Q / Q full
			(ha)	(-)			(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(min)	(-)
TO BRON	SON AVE																	
A100	STM103	STM102	0.071	0.74	0.05	0.05	10.0	104.2	15.2	250	1.00	23.9	0.049	0.063	1.21	59.5	0.3	0.26
EX1	CB102A	STM102	0.085	0.90	0.08	0.13	10.0	104.2	37.3	250	1.00	3.7	0.049	0.063	1.21	59.5	0.1	0.63
	STM102	STM101	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.18	10.3	102.5	51.7	250	2.00	8.6	0.049	0.063	1.71	84.1	0.1	0.61
	STM101	EX. CMBMH	0.000	0.00	0.00	0.18	10.4	102.1	51.5	250	2.00	10.5	0.049	0.063	1.71	84.1	0.1	0.61

TEMPEST LMF flow curves



12/10/2015 See the advanatges of the Tritonsws products over Stormtech, Cultec, Contech, Kingstar, Atlantis, GEOlight, JFC and Hydrologic Products

# of Chambers long:	18
# of rows:	1
Actual Trench Length:	17.597 M
Actual Trench Width:	2.108 M

Field Diagram



iston fizmon Bunn fizmon fiz

Chamber Type



Dimensions 59" x 36" x 35" (WxHxL) 1498.6mm x 914.4mm x 889mm Weight 32 lbs / 14.5 kg Bare Chamber Storage 29 ft³ / 0.82 m³

Project Results



- Type of header row chambers required: S-29 2
- # of header row chambers required:

12/10/2015 See the advanatges of the Tritonsws products over Stormtech, Cultec, Contech, Kingstar, Atlantis, GEOlight, JFC and Hydrologic Products

Floors:	0
Bins:	0
Dumpsters:	0
Required Bed Size:	37.1 Sq. M
Volume of Embedment Stone Required:	29.65 Cu. M
Volume of Fill Material Required:	11.31 Cu. M
Volume of Excavation:	56.54 Cu. M
Area of Filter Fabric:	85.15 Sq. M
# of Chambers long:	18
# of rows:	1
Actual Trench Length:	17.597 M
Actual Trench Width:	2.108 M



Triton Stormwater Solutions, LLC 9864 E. Grand River, Suite 110 #176 Brighton, Michigan 48116 Phone: (810) 222-7652 - Fax: (810) 222-1769



- 重
- . E
- How We Got Started
- •
- <u>Latest News</u>|
- <u>Case Studies</u>
- <u>Contact Us</u>
- •
- Products
- •
- <u>Site Calculator</u>

© 2007-2015 <u>Triton Stormwater Solutions</u>. All Rights Reserved. Hosting and website support provided by <u>ProWeb Technology Solutions</u> powered by XSDOND