

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

#### Engineering

Land / Site Development

Municipal Infrastructure

Environmental / Water Resources

Traffic / Transportation

Structural

Recreational

#### Planning

Land / Site Development

Planning Application Management

Municipal Planning Documents & Studies

Expert Witness (OMB)

Wireless Industry

## Landscape

## Architecture

Urban Design & Streetscapes

Open Space, Parks & Recreation Planning

Community & Residential Developments

Commercial & Institutional Sites

Environmental Restoration



# Proposed Office Building 2707 Solandt Road

Site Servicing & Stormwater Management Report

## Proposed Office Building 2707 Solandt Road

# Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Prepared For:

**KRP Properties Inc.** 

Prepared By:

NOVATECH Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2M 1P6

October 9, 2019

Novatech File: 119110 Ref: R-2019-157



October 9, 2019

City of Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department Planning and Infrastructure Approvals 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

### Attention: Santhosh Kuruvilla, P.Eng. Project Manager, Development Approvals

Dear Santhosh:

#### Reference: Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 2707 Solandt Road Our File No.: 119110

Enclosed is the 'Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report' prepared for the proposed office building located at 2707 Solandt Road in the City of Ottawa.

This report is submitted in support of a Site Plan Control application.

Please contact the undersigned, should you have any questions or require additional information.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH

Greg MacDonald, P.Eng. Director, Land Development and Public Sector Infrastructure

M:\2019\119110\DATA\Reports\Site Servicing and SWM\119110-Site\_Servicing\_and\_SWM\_Brief.docx

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	INTRODUCTION					
1.1	Loc	cation and Existing Site Description	1				
1.2	Pre	Pre-Consultation Information2					
1.3	Ret	Reference Material					
1.4	Re	gulatory Approvals	2				
1.0	PRO	POSED DEVELOPMENT	2				
2.0	SITE	SERVICING	2				
2.1	Exi	sting Municipal Services and Drainage Ditch	3				
2.2	Pro	posed Servicing Overview	3				
3.0	SAN	ITARY SERVICING	3				
4.0	WAT	ER SERVICING	4				
4.1	Wa	ter Demands	4				
4.2	Wa	ter Supply for Fire-Fighting	4				
4.3	Mu	nicipal Boundary Conditions and Summary of Watermain Analysis Results	5				
5.0	STO	RMWATER	6				
5.1	Sto	rmwater Management Criteria and Objectives	6				
5.2	Pre	e-Development Conditions	7				
5.3	Allo	wable Release Rate	. 7				
5.4	Pos	st-Development Conditions	8				
5.	.4.1	Areas D-1 and D-2– Uncontrolled Direct Runoff	. 8				
5.	4.2	Area R-1– Controlled Flows from Building Roof	. 9				
5.	4.3	Area S-1 – Controlled Flows from Parking Lot and Landscaped Areas	. 9				
5.	4.4	Summary of Post-Development Flows	10				
5.5	Sto	rmwater Quality Control	10				
6.0	SIT	E GRADING	.11				
6.1	6.1 Major System Overflow Route 1						
7.0	GE	OTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS	.11				
8.0	ER	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL11					
9.0	со	CONCLUSIONS					

## Figures

Figure 1: Aerial Plan

## <u>Tables</u>

- Table 3.1:Sanitary Design Flows for the Proposed Development
- Table 3.2:
   Existing Sanitary Trunk Sewer Capacity
- Table 4.1:
   Theoretical Water Demands for Proposed Development
- Table 4.2:
   Fire Flow Requirements for the Proposed Development
- Table 4.3-A:
   Hydraulic Boundary Conditions Provided by the City
- Table 4.3-B:
   Water Analysis Results Summary
- Table 4.3-C:
   Summary of Existing Fire Hydrants Within 150m of the Proposed Building and Combined Available Fire Flow
- Table 5.2: Pre-Development Site Peak Flows
- Table 5.4-A: Areas D-1 and D-2 Post-Development Uncontrolled Peak Flows
- Table 5.4-B:
   Area R-1 Controlled Flow Building Roof Drains
- Table 5.4-C: Area S-1 Post-Development Controlled Flows
- Table 5.4-D: Stormwater Flow Comparison Table

## **Appendices**

- Appendix A: Pre-Consultation Correspondence
- Appendix B: Site Plan
- Appendix C: Sanitary Flow Calculations
- Appendix D: Water Demand and FUS Calculations and Correspondence
- Appendix E: Stormwater Management Calculations, CDS Treatment Unit Details, MVCA Floodplain Mapping and Relevant Report Excerpts

## Attached Plans

- 119110-GP: General Plan of Services
- 119110-GR: Grading and Erosion Sediment Control Plan
- 119110-STM: Storm Drainage Area Plan

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

A new office building is being proposed by KRP Properties Inc. at 2707 Solandt Road, in the City of Ottawa. Novatech has been retained to complete a Site Servicing and Stormwater Management report for the proposed development.

This report addresses the approach to site servicing and stormwater management for the proposed development and is being submitted in support of a site plan control application.

### **1.1 Location and Existing Site Description**

The subject site is located at 2707 Solandt Road in the City of Ottawa, as shown in **Figure 1** (Aerial Plan), and is approximately 2.00 hectares (ha) in area. The site is bordered by an existing parking lot (2505 Solandt Road) to the east, the Marshes golf course to the north, an undeveloped parcel and Shirley's Brook to the west and Solandt Road to the south.

The subject site is currently undeveloped and is mostly forested - vegetation has re-generated on it since it was last cleared in the early 1990s. There are existing parallel easements along the eastern edge of the site for an existing municipal sanitary trunk sewer and an existing municipal drainage ditch.

The legal description of the site is Part of Block 29 Plan 4M-280 City of Ottawa Part 2 on Plan 4R-26736.



**Figure 1** – **Aerial Plan** provides an aerial view of the site.

Image Source: geoOttawa 2017 Aerial map

#### **1.2 Pre-Consultation Information**

A pre-consultation meeting was held with the City of Ottawa on July 11, 2019, at which time the client was advised of the general submission requirements. Refer to **Appendix A** for a summary of the correspondence related to the proposed development.

#### **1.3 Reference Material**

The following material has been consulted to develop the servicing and grading design.

- 1 "Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study", prepared by Dillon Consulting, dated June, 1999.
- 2 "Kanata Research Park, City of Kanata Stormwater Management Plan", prepared by Novatech, dated April 2000.
- 3 Shirley's Brook Floodplain Mapping, MVCA website, accessed September 2019.
- 4 "Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Commercial, 2707 Solandt Road, Ottawa, Ontario" report (18111016), prepared by Golder Associates Ltd, dated January 2019.
- 5 "Stormwater Site Management Plan, Dell Parking Lot, 2505 Solandt Drive", (R-2006-069) prepared by Novatech, dated March 31, 2006.

#### 1.4 Regulatory Approvals

The following regulatory approvals are understood to be required to facilitate this proposed development:

- City of Ottawa Site Plan Control
- Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) Permit for 'Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses'
- Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MOECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for stormwater management

### 1.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development is intended to be an eight (8) storey office building with total gross floor area (GFA) of approximately 18,500 m<sup>2</sup>. A surface parking lot around the proposed building, with access via two new entries from Solandt Road, and a vehicle and pedestrian connection to the existing parking lot at 2505 Solandt Road are proposed. A separate Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared and submitted with this application.

Refer to **Appendix B** for a copy of the latest Site Plan (by Figurr) showing the general layout of the proposed development.

### 2.0 SITE SERVICING

The objective of the site servicing design is to conform to the requirements of the City of Ottawa, to provide suitable sewage outlets and to ensure that a domestic water supply and appropriate fire protection are provided for the proposed development.

Servicing criteria, expected sewage flows and water demands for the proposed development have been established using the City of Ottawa design guidelines for sewer systems and water distribution.

## 2.1 Existing Municipal Services and Drainage Ditch

An existing 750mm dia. municipal sanitary trunk sewer runs along the eastern edge of the site. There is no municipal local sanitary sewer system in Solandt Road.

There are existing municipal watermain and storm sewer systems located in Solandt Road. At the eastern corner of the site, the storm sewer system discharges to the existing municipal drainage ditch that runs along the eastern side of the subject site. This drainage ditch runs to the northern corner of the site before outletting to Shirley's Brook via an existing culvert located under the golf course.

### 2.2 Proposed Servicing Overview

In general, the proposed development will be serviced for water and sanitary by extending new private water and sanitary services to the existing municipal watermain in Solandt Road and the existing municipal sanitary trunk sewer along the eastern edge of the site. The proposed development will be serviced for stormwater by constructing a new private storm sewer system which outlets to the existing municipal drainage ditch on the site.

Refer to the subsequent sections of the report and to the attached drawing **119110-GP** for further details.

## 3.0 SANITARY SERVICING

The proposed development will be serviced by extending a new private 200mm dia. sanitary system from the proposed building to the existing 750mm dia. municipal sanitary trunk sewer on the eastern edge of the site. A monitoring manhole will be installed before the service connection to the trunk sewer.

The theoretical sanitary flows for the proposed development are summarized below in **Table 3.1**. Refer to **Appendix C** for detailed calculations and design criteria.

Table 3.1: Sanitar	y Design Flows fo	or the Proposed Developmen	t
--------------------	-------------------	----------------------------	---

Site Area	Average Flow <sup>1</sup> (L/s)	Peak Flow <sup>2</sup> (L/s)
2.00 ha	0.65	1.63

<sup>1</sup> Average Dry Weather Flow includes a dry weather infiltration allowance of 0.05 L/s/gross ha

<sup>2</sup> Peak Wet Weather Flow includes a total infiltration allowance of 0.33 L/s/gross ha and a commercial peaking factor of 1.5

Based on Manning's Equation, a 200mm dia. sanitary gravity sewer at a minimum slope of 1.0% has a full flow conveyance capacity of approximately 34 L/s, which is sufficient to convey the theoretical sanitary design flows calculated above.

Based on sewer invert information available from GeoOttawa, the estimated capacity of the main segment of the trunk sewer which runs through the site is given in **Table 3.2**.

### Table 3.2: Existing Sanitary Trunk Sewer Capacity

Sanitary Sewer Size	Approximate Sewer Slope (%)	Approximate Sewer Capacity <sup>1</sup> (L/s)
750mm dia.	0.13%	401 L/s

<sup>1</sup>Capacity calculated using Manning's Formula with n=0.013

Based on calculations above, the theoretical peak flow from the proposed development represents approximately 0.2% of the total estimated capacity of the existing 750mm dia. sanitary trunk sewer. It is anticipated that the existing sanitary trunk sewer can adequately service the proposed development.

## 4.0 WATER SERVICING

There is an existing 305mm dia. municipal watermain located adjacent to the site in Solandt Road. The site is located in the City of Ottawa 'Energy Mines & Resources' (EMR) water distribution pressure zone. The proposed development will be serviced by extending a new 150mm dia. water service from the proposed building to the existing municipal watermain.

#### 4.1 Water Demands

The theoretical domestic water demands for the proposed development are given in **Table 4.1**. Refer to **Appendix D** for the design criteria used, taken from Section 4 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution.

#### Table 4.1: Theoretical Water Demands for Proposed Development

Average Water Demand	Maximum Day Demand	Peak Hour Demand
(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)
0.65	0.98	

## 4.2 Water Supply for Fire-Fighting

The Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) was used to estimate fire flow requirements for the proposed development. The following building construction details were confirmed with the architect:

- Fire-resistive construction (2-hour rating)
- Protected vertical openings between floors
- Fully sprinklered

There are three (3) existing municipal fire hydrants within 150m of the site. Refer to attached drawing **119110-GP** for their locations. The proposed building will be fully sprinklered and supplied with a fire department Siamese connection(s), located within 45m of the closest existing municipal fire hydrant on Solandt Road.

The fire flow requirements include both sprinkler system and hose allowances in accordance with the OBC and NFPA 13. The sprinkler system will be designed by the fire protection (sprinkler) contractor at the detailed design stage as this process involves detailed hydraulic calculations based on building layout, pipe runs, head losses, fire pump requirements, etc. Booster pumps may be required to provide adequate service pressure on the upper floors.

It should be noted that fire flow requirements calculated using the FUS method tend to generate higher values when compared to flows being calculated using the Ontario Building Code (OBC).

**Table 4.2** summarizes the Fire Flow Requirements for the proposed development based on FUS calculations.

Building Usage	Fire Flow Demand
Office	117 L/s (7,000 L/min)

Refer to **Appendix D** for a copy of the FUS fire flow calculations.

#### 4.3 Municipal Boundary Conditions and Summary of Watermain Analysis Results

The water demands and fire flow calculations presented above were provided to the City of Ottawa. These values were used to generate the municipal watermain network boundary conditions.

**Table 4.3-A** summarizes the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa for the existing municipal watermain network. Refer to **Appendix D** for a copy of the correspondence from the City of Ottawa.

<b>.</b>	Solandt Rd Watermain		
Municipal Watermain Boundary Condition	Head (m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)	
Minimum HGL	126.2 m	68.7 psi	
Maximum HGL	131.0 m	75.4 psi	
Maximum Day + Fire Flow	125.5 m	67.7 psi	

 $^{1}$  – Ground elevation = 77.9 m.

**Table 4.3-B** summarizes the theoretical water demands for the proposed development under the various operating conditions and compares the anticipated operating pressures at the existing water service connection to the acceptable operating pressures outlined in the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines. It is assumed that hydraulic losses in the proposed 150mm dia. water service are negligible.

Condition	Total Water Demand (L/s)	Approximate Design Operating Pressures (psi) / Relative Head (m) <sup>1</sup>	Acceptable Municipal Operating Pressures (psi)
Average Demand	0.65	76 psi (53.3 m)	40-80 psi
Peak Hour Demand	1.76	69 psi (48.5 m)	40-80 psi
Max Day + Fire Flow Demand	117.98	68 psi (47.8 m)	20 psi (Min.)

Table 4.3-B: Water Analysis Results Summary

1 – The finished floor elevation of the proposed building is 77.70 m.

**Table 4.3-C** summarizes the existing fire hydrants within 150m of the proposed building and the combined available fire flow for the site. The combined fire flow was calculated using the individual fire flow contribution rates given in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02.

# Table 4.3-C: Summary of Existing Fire Hydrants Within 150m of the Proposed Building and Combined Available Fire Flow

Building	Fire Flow Demand (L/min)	Fire Hydrants Within 0-75m	Fire Hydrants Within 75- 150m	Combined Available Fire Flow (L/min)
Proposed commercial building	7,000	1 X AA-rated hydrant	2 x AA-rated hydrants	13,300

The total combined available flow from the three existing fire hydrants exceeds the required fire flow. Based on the above analysis, the existing municipal watermain system can provide adequate water supply (domestic and fire) to the proposed development.

### 5.0 STORMWATER

### 5.1 Stormwater Management Criteria and Objectives

The site is located within Catchment Area 'SB-4' of the 'Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study Report' <sup>1</sup>, so the relevant environmental protection targets from this report were consulted. The site is located outside of the overall catchment area considered in the 'Kanata Research Park, City of Kanata - Stormwater Management Plan'<sup>2</sup>, so the stormwater management criteria in this report are not applicable.

Based on the 'Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study Report' <sup>1</sup> and the current City of Ottawa Sewer Guidelines, the stormwater management criteria and objectives for the site are as follows:

• Provide a dual drainage system (i.e. minor and major system flows).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study", prepared by Dillon Consulting, dated June, 1999.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Kanata Research Park, City of Kanata – Stormwater Management Plan", prepared by Novatech, dated April 2000.

- Control post-development flows from the site to an allowable release rate. Postdevelopment peak flows will be controlled for storms up to and including the 100-year design event, prior to being released into the existing municipal drainage ditch on the eastern side of the site.
- Provide on-site stormwater storage to control flows to the allowable release rate using a combination of rooftop storage, underground storage and surface ponding in the proposed parking lot areas. Limit rooftop ponding to 150mm depth and surface ponding to 300mm depth.
- Provide guidelines to ensure that site preparation and construction is in accordance with the current Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control.

## 5.2 Pre-Development Conditions

Under existing conditions, the 2.00 ha site is undeveloped with trees and other vegetation. Stormwater flows from the site currently drain either to the existing municipal drainage ditch along the eastern side of the site or to Shirley's Brook to the west of the site.

The existing municipal storm sewer system in Solandt Road outlets to the existing municipal drainage ditch at the southeast corner of the site. The municipal drainage ditch drains to Shirley's Brook via a 900mm dia. culvert under the golf course to the north of the subject site.

The uncontrolled pre-development site peak flows for the 5-year and the 100-year design events, assuming a time of concentration of 20 minutes and a runoff coefficient of 0.25, were calculated using the Rational Method and are summarized in **Table 5.2**.

### Table 5.2: Pre-Development Site Peak Flows

Design Event	Pre-Development Peak Flow
5-year	97.7 L/s
100-year	142.8 L/s

Refer to **Appendix E** for detailed calculations and design criteria.

### 5.3 Allowable Release Rate

The quantity control environmental protection target listed in the 'Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study Report' <sup>1</sup> for the subject site's catchment area is to control post-development peak flows to existing (pre-development) peak flows for all storm events up to the 100-year design event (i.e. control the 100-year post-development peak flow to the 100-year pre-development peak flow, etc.). Refer to **Appendix E** for relevant excerpts from this report.

However, as there is an existing 900mm dia. culvert at the downstream end of the existing municipal drainage ditch on the site, the allowable release rate has been conservatively set as the 5-year pre-development peak flow for all design events up to the 100-year storm.

As many areas around the exterior of the site will not be developed and left as existing, the allowable release rate was calculated based on the total area of the site to be developed (1.59 ha). Refer to attached plan **119110-STM** for details.

The allowable release rate was calculated using the Rational Method with the following variables: a runoff coefficient (C) of 0.25, a 5-year rainfall intensity of 70.3 mm/hr, based on City of Ottawa IDF Curves using a time of concentration of 20 minutes.

The allowable release rate was calculated to be 77.6 L/s. Refer to **Appendix E** for detailed calculations.

### 5.4 Post-Development Conditions

Under post-development conditions, stormwater from the site will be collected by a private storm sewer network and discharged to the existing municipal drainage ditch on the eastern side of the site via two (2) new outlet structures.

The proposed development will consist of three (3) main drainage sub-catchment areas. A brief description of these areas is as follows:

- D-1 and D-2: Direct Runoff Areas Runoff from grassed areas around the exterior of the proposed parking lot which are to be re-graded will drain uncontrolled towards Shirley's Brook and the municipal drainage ditch on the site as per existing drainage patterns.
- R-1: Controlled Roof Runoff Area Runoff from the proposed building roof will be controlled by controlled roof drains before discharging to the proposed building storm service. This storm service will drain directly to the existing municipal drainage ditch on the site, without any downstream inlet control devices.
- S-1: Controlled Runoff Area Runoff from the parking lot and proposed landscaped areas around the building will be controlled and stored in an underground storage pipe and on the surface of the parking lot and landscaped areas.

The foundation drain system for the building will be connected to the storm service from the building for the roof runoff. A cleanout/inspection port will be provided inside the building.

The post-development flows for the site were calculated using the Rational Method and are detailed in the subsequent sections of the report. Refer to **Appendix E** for detailed SWM calculations. The Stormwater Management Plan **119110-SWM** is attached to this report.

### 5.4.1 Areas D-1 and D-2– Uncontrolled Direct Runoff

The uncontrolled post-development flows from direct runoff sub-catchment areas D-1 (0.148 ha) and D-2 (0.012 ha) are shown in **Table 5.4-A**.

Decign Event	ι	Uncontrolled Peak Flow			
Design Event	Area D-1	Area D-2	Total		
5-year	8.6 L/s	0.7 L/s	9.2 L/s		
100-year	18.3 L/s	1.5 L/s	19.8 L/s		

## 5.4.2 Area R-1– Controlled Flows from Building Roof

Runoff from the roof area of the proposed building will be controlled through the use of control flow roof drains. At the time of writing, a roof plan was not available. It has been assumed that the proposed building will have a total of approximately fifteen (15) roof drains. Based on past experience, it has been estimated that each roof drain will discharge approximately 1.0 L/s during the 5-year design event and approximately 1.2 L/s during the 100-year design event. Detailed flow and storage calculations will be completed during detailed design when a roof plan is available.

The controlled flows will be conveyed internally to the building storm service. Scuppers will be included in the building design at a height of 0.15m above the roof drains to provide an overflow for excess runoff in the case of a storm event greater than the 100-year design storm. The controlled release rates, approximate ponding depths, required and maximum storage volumes for both the 5-year and 100-year design events are summarized in the **Table 5.4-B** below.

Area ID	Controlled A Flow (L/s)			ate Ponding h (cm)	Approximate Storage Volume Required (m <sup>3</sup> )		
	5-year	100-year	5-year	100-year	5-year	100-year	
R-1	15.0	18.0	5 -10	10 -15	35	83	

Refer to **Appendix E** for Modified Rational Method calculations.

### 5.4.3 Area S-1 – Controlled Flows from Parking Lot and Landscaped Areas

Stormwater runoff from the parking lot and landscaped areas will be directed to proposed catchbasins located in the parking lot. The post-development flows from this sub-catchment will be attenuated by the use of an ICD installed within proposed manhole CBMH1. Stormwater runoff from this drainage area will be temporarily stored within an underground 750mm dia. storage pipe system, and also on the surface of the parking lot in larger design events prior to being discharged to the existing municipal drainage ditch. There will be no ponding during the 2-year design event.

The design flows for this sub-catchment area were determined by subtracting the uncontrolled flows from Areas D-1 and D-2 and the controlled flows from Area R-1 from the allowable release rate for both the 5-year and 100-year design storms. The Modified Rational Method was used to determine the required storage volumes for the 2-year, 5-year and 100-year design events.

The stage-storage curve for the proposed catchbasin and parking lot ponding was determined from the proposed grading. Refer to attached drawing **119110-GR** for details of the proposed grading. An iterative process was used to determine the required orifice size for a plug type ICD and the approximate ponding depths for the 5-year and 100-year design storms.

**Table 5.4-C** summarizes the controlled flows, the required ICD orifice size, required storage volumes and approximate ponding depths for the 2-year, 5-year and 100-year design events and the total storage volume available.

Design Event	ICD Type	Controlled Flow	Storage Volume Required	Approximate Ponding Depth (Elevation)	Maximum Storage Volume Available <sup>1</sup>
2-year		20.0 158 m <sup>3</sup>		N/A	
5-year	115mm Orifice Plug	37.5 L/s	185 m <sup>3</sup>	(Underground storage provided)	718 m <sup>3</sup>
100-year		39.9 L/s	438 m <sup>3</sup>	22 cm (77.17m)	

1 – At the emergency spill elevation of 77.25m

Refer to **Appendix E** for detailed calculations. A total of 187 m<sup>3</sup> is provided underground, so there will not be any surface ponding during the 2-year or 5-year design event.

Based on Manning's Equation, a 300mm dia. gravity storm sewer at a minimum slope of 0.5% has a full flow conveyance capacity of approximately 71 L/s, which is sufficient to convey the stormwater design flows calculated above.

## 5.4.4 Summary of Post-Development Flows

**Table 5.4-D** compares the total post-development flows from the site to the allowable release rate and to the total pre-development flows for the 5-year and the 100-year design events.

	A 11	Post-Development			
Design Event	Allowable Release Rate (L/s)	D-1 and D-2 Uncontrolled Flow (L/s)	R-1 Controlled Roof Flow (L/s)	S-1 Controlled Surface Flow (L/s)	Total Flow (L/s)
5-Year	77.6	9.2	10.5	37.5	61.7
100-Year		19.8	18.0	39.9	77.6

 Table 5.4-D: Stormwater Flow Comparison Table

The post-development flows will meet the allowable release rate for both the 5-year and 100-year design storm events.

## 5.5 Stormwater Quality Control

The subject site is located within the jurisdiction of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and is in the Shirley's Brook tributary area. As per the 'Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study' <sup>1</sup>, "Level 2 water quality enhancement", which corresponds to a long-term average removal of 70% of total suspended solids (TSS) is required. It is understood that rooftop areas are considered "clean" and runoff from the proposed building roof is not required to be treated.

A CDS hydrodynamic separator unit will be installed downstream of CBMH1 on the proposed 300mm diameter stormwater sewer outlet pipe. Stormwater runoff collected by the main storm sewer network (sub-catchment Area S-1, which includes all proposed trafficked areas) will be directed through this treatment unit.

Echelon Environmental have modelled and analyzed the tributary area to provide a CDS unit capable of meeting the TSS removal requirements. It was determined that a CDS Model PMSU 20\_15\_5m will exceed the target removal rate, providing a net annual 84% TSS removal. The CDS unit will treat a net annual volume of approximately for the tributary area. Details of the proposed CDS treatment unit are included in **Appendix E**.

## 6.0 SITE GRADING

Most of existing site is generally flat at elevations between approximately  $\pm$ 77.0 and  $\pm$ 77.5. The existing municipal drainage ditch along the eastern side of the site is at an elevation of approximately 74.5m. There is an existing depression on the west side of the site which drains to Shirley's Brook. Refer to plan **119110-GR** for details.

The proposed stormwater outlets have been set at an invert level of 75.00m. This is based on the existing municipal ditch outlet being at an elevation of 74.40m, with some freeboard provided. The MVCA Shirley's Brook Floodplain Mapping (5) shows the predicted regulatory flood elevation in Shirley's Brook near the outlet of the existing 900mm diameter culvert under the golf course to the north of the site to be 76.0m. Refer to **Appendix E** for relevant floodplain maps. The finished floor elevation (FFE) of the proposed building is approximately 1.7m above this regulatory flood elevation.

The MVCA regulatory floodplain and MVCA regulatory limits from the floodplain mapping <sup>3</sup> are shown on the attached plans. No works are proposed within the regulatory floodplain limit.

### 6.1 Major System Overflow Route

In the case of a major rainfall event exceeding the design storms provided for, stormwater from the proposed development will overflow towards the municipal drainage ditch. The finished floor elevation (FFE) of the proposed building has been set to be a minimum of 0.3m above the major system overflow points. The major system overflow route is shown on plan **119110-GR**.

### 7.0 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS

A Geotechnical Investigation Report <sup>4</sup> has been prepared by Golder. Refer to the Geotechnical Report for sub-surface conditions, construction recommendations and geotechnical inspection requirements.

### 8.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

To mitigate erosion and to prevent sediment from entering the municipal drainage system, temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented on-site during construction in accordance with the Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control. This includes the following temporary measures:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Shirley's Brook Floodplain Mapping, MVCA website, accessed September 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Commercial, 2707 Solandt Road, Ottawa, Ontario" report (18111016), prepared by Golder Associates Ltd, dated January 2019.

- Filter socks will be placed under the grates of nearby catchbasins and manholes and will remain in place until construction is completed.
- Silt fencing will be placed per OPSS 577 and OPSD 219.110 along the surrounding construction limits, where applicable.
- Mud mats will be installed at the site entrances.
- Street sweeping and cleaning will be performed, as required, to suppress dust and to provide safe and clean roadways adjacent to the construction site.
- On-site dewatering is to be directed to a sediment trap and/or gravel splash pad and discharged safely to an approved outlet as directed by the engineer.

The temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented prior to construction and will remain in place during all phases of construction. Regular inspection and maintenance of the erosion control measures will be undertaken.

## 9.0 CONCLUSIONS

This report has been prepared in support of a site plan control application for the proposed commercial development at 2707 Solandt Road.

The conclusions are as follows:

- The proposed development is intended to be an eight (8) storey office building with total gross floor area (GFA) of approximately 18,500 m<sup>2</sup>.
- The proposed development will be serviced for water by extending a new water service from the proposed building to the existing municipal watermain in Solandt Road.
- The proposed development will be sprinklered and supplied with a fire department Siamese connection. The Siamese connection will be located within 45m of an existing municipal fire hydrant on Solandt Rd. The proposed development is located within 150m of two (2) additional existing municipal fire hydrants along Solandt Road.
- Based on hydraulic boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, the existing municipal watermain network within the vicinity of the site is adequate to service the proposed development.
- The proposed development will be serviced for sanitary by extending a new private sanitary sewer system from the proposed building to the existing municipal sanitary trunk sewer which runs down an easement on the eastern side of the site.
- Based on an analysis of the total capacity of the trunk sewer, the theoretical peak flow from the proposed development represents approximately 0.2% of the total estimated capacity of the municipal truck sewer. It is anticipated that the existing trunk sewer has adequate surplus capacity to accommodate the proposed development.
- On-site stormwater quantity control will be provided by using controlled roof drains on the proposed building and a combination of underground and surface storage with a controlled outlet in the proposed parking lot.
- The total post-development flows from the area of the site to be developed will be approximately 61.7 L/s during the 5-year design event and 77.6 L/s during the 100-year design event, both less than or equal to the allowable release rate of 77.6L/s.
- On-site stormwater quality control will be provided.
- Temporary erosion and sediment controls will be provided during construction.

## NOVATECH

Prepared by:



Lydia Bolam, P. Eng. Project Engineer

Approved by:



Greg MacDonald, P. Eng. Director | Land Development and Public Sector Infrastructure Reviewed by:

Jath Southit

Justin Gauthier, B.A.Sc. Project Manager | Land Development Engineering **APPENDIX A** 

## **Pre-Consultation Correspondence**





## Lydia Bolam

From:	McCreight, Laurel <laurel.mccreight@ottawa.ca></laurel.mccreight@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Thursday, July 18, 2019 11:05 AM
То:	James Ireland
Cc:	Greg Winters
Subject:	Pre-Consultation Follow-Up: 2505 & 2707 Solandt Road
Attachments:	Applicant's Study and Plan Identification List.pdf

Hi James,

Please refer to the below regarding the Pre-Application Consultation Meeting held on Thursday July 11, 2019 for the property at 2505 & 2707 Solandt Road for a Site Plan Control Application for an office building. I have also attached the required Plans & Study List for application submission.

Below are staff's preliminary comments based on the information available at the time of pre-consultation meeting:

### <u> Planning / Urban Design</u>

- Setback to the parking at front should be a least 13.0 metres to be consistent with neighbouring properties and will help to preserve as many mature trees as possible along the street edge.
- Will there be any other way for employees to exit/enter the building?
  - If so, the pedestrian circulation on site should reflect that; especially how to get to the recreation area.
- There is an existing drainage ditch in between the site and the existing parking lot.
  - This ditch should be properly landscaped on both sides to prevent pedestrians trying to cross it (to and from the parking lot) other than the provided access.
- The pedestrian route from the parking along the southwest edge of the site to the building needs to be considered.
- The building elevations look good.
  - The vertical expression using the stairwell is a nice feature and different materials used on the front and back of the building to create interest is positive.

#### Engineering

- The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following link: <u>https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/information-developers/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans</u>
- Record drawings and utility plans are available for purchase from the City's Information Centre. Contact the City's Information Centre by email at <u>informationcentre@ottawa.ca</u> or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x44455
- Stormwater quantity control criteria needs to be consistent with the Stormwater Management Plan, Kanata Research Park, City of Kanata and the previously approved report Stormwater Site Management Plan, Dell Parking Lot, 2505 Solandt Drive.
- Stormwater quality control Consult with the Conservation Authority (MVCA) for their requirements. Include the correspondence with MVCA in the stormater/site servicing report.
- MECP ECA is required.
- Sanitary & storm sewers and water main are available within Solandt Road for lateral service connections.
- Clearly show all the easements on the property on all plans.
- When calculating the composite runoff coefficient (C) for the site (post development), please provide a drawing showing the individual drainage area and its runoff coefficient.
- When using the modified rational method to calculate the storage requirements for the site, the underground storage should not be included in the overall available storage. The modified rational method assumes that the restricted flow rate is constant throughout the storm which, in this case, underestimates the storage

requirement prior to the 1:100 year head elevation being reached. Alternately, if you wish to include the underground storage, you may use an assumed average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate. Otherwise, disregard the underground storage as available storage or provide modeling to support the design.

- Engineering plans are to be submitted on standard A1 size (594mm x 841mm) sheets.
- Phase 1 ESA and Phase 2 ESA must conform to clause 4.8.4 of the Official Plan that requires that development applications conform to Ontario Regulation 153/04.
- Provide the following information for water main boundary conditions:
  - 1. Location map with water service connection location
  - 2. Average daily demand (I/s)
  - 3. Maximum daily demand (l/s)
  - 4. Maximum hourly demand (l/s)
  - 5. Fire flow demand (provide fire detailed flow calculations based on the fire underwriters survey method)
  - 6. If you are proposing any exterior light fixtures, all must be included and approved as part of the site plan approval. Therefore, the lights must be clearly identified by make, model and part number. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for full cut-off classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the applicant must provide certification from an acceptable professional engineer. The location of all exterior fixtures, a table showing the fixture types (including make, model, part number), and the mounting heights must be included on a plan.

Please contact Infrastructure Project Manager, <u>Santhosh Kuruvilla</u> for follow-up questions.

#### **Environmental**

- An EIS is required
  - Blanding's Turtle, Species at Risk, watercourse setback
- Crossing of the creek is within MVCA's jurisdiction
- Approval from MOECPP is required
  - It is recommended to contact them as soon as possible
- There will be a 15 metre no touch zone to Shirley's Brook
- Approval from MNRF may be required for the bridge if the watercourse is considered under the Public Lands Act
- Discuss pathways with MNRF

Please contact Environmental Planner, <u>Matthew Hayley</u> for follow-up questions.

#### **Forestry**

- A permit is not required because the land is federally owned
- A Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the various other plans/reports required by the City; an approved TCR is a requirement for Site Plan approval
- The removal of City-owned trees will require the permission of Forestry Services who will also review the submitted TCR
- In this case, the TCR may be combined with the EIS
- The TCR must list all trees on site by species, diameter and health condition; similar groupings (stands) of trees can combined using averages by species, diameter class
- The TCR must address all trees with a critical root zone that extends into the developable area all trees that could be impacted by the construction that are outside the developable area need to be addressed.
- Trees with a trunk that crosses/touches a property line are considered co-owned by both property owners; permission from the adjoining property owner must be obtained prior to the removal of co-owned trees

- If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they can not be retained please provide a plan showing retained and removed treed areas
- All retained trees must be shown and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines listed on Ottawa.ca
- Please ensure newly planted trees have an adequate soil volume for their size at maturity.
- The following is a table of recommended minimum soil volumes:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

• The City requests that all efforts are made to retain trees – trees should be healthy, and of a size and species that can grow into the site and contribute to Ottawa's urban forest canopy

Please contact Planning Forester, <u>Mark Richardson</u> for follow-up questions.

#### **Transportation**

- Follow Traffic Impact Assessment Guidelines
  - Submit TIA Step 2 (Scoping).
  - $\circ~$  A full TIA will be required.
  - The intersection of Terry Fox Drive at Legget Drive and March Road at Carling Avenue should be included to the proposed study area.
  - Please note that the application will not be deemed complete until it meets the necessary TIA components (Draft Steps 1-4 of the TIA).
- If roadway modifications are required, draft RMA package will have to be submitted with Step 4 of the TIA.
- Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
  - $\circ \quad \text{Road}$
  - Solandt Road is classified as a collector road. Noise Impact Study may be exempted in the case that the applicant can indicate the volume on Solandt Road is significantly low for any noise impacts.
- On site plan:
  - Show all details of the roads abutting the site up to and including the opposite curb; include such items as pavement markings, accesses and/or sidewalks.
  - Turning templates will be required for all accesses showing the largest vehicle to access the site; required for internal movements and at all access (entering and exiting and going in both directions).
  - Show all curb radii measurements; ensure that all curb radii are reduced as much as possible and ensure these measurements are identified on the site plan.
  - Show lane/aisle widths.
  - Grey out any area that will not be impacted by this application.

Please contact Transportation Project Manager, Neeti Paudel for follow-up questions.

#### **Other**

Please refer to the links to "<u>Guide to preparing studies and plans</u>" and <u>fees</u> for general information. Additional information is available related to <u>building permits</u>, <u>development charges</u>, <u>and the Accessibility Design Standards</u>. Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting <u>informationcentre@ottawa.ca</u>.

These pre-consultation comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards, Laurel

ı

ı

Laurel McCreight MCIP, RPP Planner Development Review West Urbaniste Examen des demandes d'aménagement ouest

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 16587 ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



#### APPLICANT'S STUDY AND PLAN IDENTIFICATION LIST

Legend: S indicates that the study or plan is required with application submission. A indicates that the study or plan may be required to satisfy a condition of approval/draft approval.

For information and guidance on preparing required studies and plans refer <u>here</u>:

S/A	Number of copies	ENGINEERING			Number of copies
S	<mark>5</mark>	1. Site Servicing Plan	2. Site Servicing Study	S	<mark>3</mark>
<mark>s</mark>	<mark>5</mark>	3. Grade Control and Drainage Plan	4. Geotechnical Study	S	<mark>3</mark>
	2	5. Composite Utility Plan	6. Groundwater Impact Study		3
	3	7. Servicing Options Report	8. Wellhead Protection Study		3
S	<mark>3</mark>	9. Transportation Impact Study (if required)	10.Erosion and Sediment Control Plan	S	<mark>3</mark>
S	<mark>3</mark>	11.Storm water Management Report / Brief	12.Hydro geological and Terrain Analysis		3
	3	13.Hydraulic Water main Analysis	14.Noise	S	3
	PDF only	15.Roadway Modification Functional Design	16.Confederation Line Proximity Study		3

S/A	Number of copies	PLANNING	/ DESIGN / SURVEY	S/A	Number of copies
	15	17.Draft Plan of Subdivision	18.Plan Showing Layout of Parking Garage		2
	5	19.Draft Plan of Condominium	20.Planning Rationale	S	<mark>3</mark>
S	<mark>5</mark>	21.Site Plan	22.Minimum Distance Separation (MDS)		3
	15	23.Concept Plan Showing Proposed Land Uses and Landscaping	24.Agrology and Soil Capability Study		3
	3	25.Concept Plan Showing Ultimate Use of Land	26.Cultural Heritage Impact Statement		3
<mark>s</mark>	5	27.Landscape Plan	28.Archaeological Resource Assessment Requirements: <b>S</b> (site plan) <b>A</b> (subdivision, condo)		3
S	<mark>2</mark>	29.Survey Plan	30.Shadow Analysis		3
S	<mark>3</mark>	31.Architectural Building Elevation Drawings (dimensioned)	32.Design Brief (includes the Design Review Panel Submission Requirements)		Available online
	3	33.Wind Analysis			

S/A	Number of copies	ENVIRONMENTAL		S/A	Number of copies
S	<mark>3</mark>	34.Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment	35.Impact Assessment of Adjacent Waste Disposal/Former Landfill Site		3
	3	36.Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment (depends on the outcome of Phase 1)	37.Assessment of Landform Features		3
	3	38.Record of Site Condition	39.Mineral Resource Impact Assessment		3
S	<mark>3</mark>	40.Tree Conservation Report	41.Environmental Impact Statement / Impact Assessment of Endangered Species	S	<mark>3</mark>
	3	42.Mine Hazard Study / Abandoned Pit or Quarry Study	43.Integrated Environmental Review (Draft, as part of Planning Rationale)		3

S/A	Number of copies	ADDITION	S/A	Number of copies	
s	<mark>1</mark>	44. Applicant's Public Consultation Strategy (may be provided as part of the Planning Rationale)	45.		

Meeting Date: June 5, 2019

Application Type: Site Plan Control

File Lead (Assigned Planner): Laurel McCreight Site Address (Municipal Address): 8700 Campeau

\*Preliminary Assessment: 1 2 3 4 5

Infrastructure Approvals Project Manager: Santhosh Kuruvilla

\*One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required before a planning application is submitted, while five (5) suggests that proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.


It is important to note that the need for additional studies and plans may result during application review. If following the submission of your application, it is determined that material that is not identified in this checklist is required to achieve complete application status, in accordance with the Planning Act and Official Plan requirements, the Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department will notify you of outstanding material required within the required 30 day period. Mandatory pre-application consultation will not shorten the City's standard processing timelines, or guarantee that an application will be approved. It is intended to help educate and inform the applicant about submission requirements as well as municipal processes, policies, and key issues in advance of submitting a formal development application. This list is valid for one year following the meeting date. If the application is not submitted within this timeframe the applicant must again preconsult with the Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department.

 110 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa ON K1P 1J1
 Mail code: 01-14
 Visit us: Ottawa.ca/planning


 110, av. Laurier Ouest, Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
 Courrier interne : 01-14
 Visitez-nous : Ottawa.ca/urbanisme

## **APPENDIX B**

Site Plan



		- PROPOSED	SITE	1 2 3 4 5	2019-08-19 2019-08-29 2019-08-29 2019-09-04 2019-09-04	COORDINATI     COORDINATI     COORDINATI     COORDINATI     COORDINATI     COORDINATI     COORDINATI     COORDINATI	ON ON ON ON ON	
	2							
ON DING								
ER		04517 - 083	8					
		2707 SOLA	NDT RD, KANATA, ON					
m²								
m m /								
2,306.45m <sup>2</sup> (2,495m2	w/vertical cores)							
A): 18,451.6m²				1				
OFFICE BUILDING, M				Ingénieur / (Mécaniqu	Engineer e & Électricité / Mechani	al & Electrical )		
	P6 REQUIRED		PROPOSED					
	4,000m <sup>2</sup>		19,986 m²			Goodkey Consulting I		nark
	45m		120.07 m	1		zənəmi titiy 1		
	12m		12 m	Ingénieur / (Structure	Engineer   Structure )			
	7.5m		7.5m					
	7.5m		7.5m					
	22m N/A		m					
	N/A		11,099 m <sup>2</sup>	Architecte	Architect			
	15% of parking area % of Lot	a (Section 110)	1,943 m2 of parking area 17.5 % of parking area 41.9 % of Lot area	(paysagist	e / Landscape)			
ENTS	2.4 per 100m2 of GF		391 existing +					
	443 spaces required N/A		196 new SPACES TBD with Owner requirements				7	
TS I1 Pa	art C -Section 111: 5	5 per 400-499	11 SPACES per O.Reg 191/11:	$\square$		NOV	/\TE	CH
<u>}</u>	.Reg 191/11: 2 + 2% 1 per 250m2 GFA	5 per 201-1000	(6 type 'A' + 5 type 'B') 80-SPACES total	Ingénieur / (Civil / Civi	Engineer I)		TANTS	ING
	74 Spaces required (25% to be indoors/s		including 24 secured					
	2 per 15,000-24,999 50% to be oversized		2 SPACES (1 regular + 1 oversized)					
ND:				Client / Cli	ent	. A		
UNIT PA	SOFT-SCAPE (SEE VERS ETE, STONE, ETC)		PLANS)			žκ	( RP <sup>™</sup>	
ASPHAL	T PAVING					/ 110		
HEAVY D	OUTY ASPHALT PA	VING		Architecte fig. 1	/ Architect	Collectif d'architec	tes / Architects Collective	
CONCRE	ETE			Montréal	QC H4C 1A9 514 861-5122	ΓΙΟ	JUI	• • •
FIRE RO	UTE			fig. 2 190 Somer	et St W #206			_
EXISTIN	G FENCE			Ottaw T.	a ON K2P 0J4 613 695-6122	www.fgurt.ca	Formerly Rubin & Rotman An	chitects
	RTY / LOT LINE				aur / Copyright essin est sujet au droit d'a	uteur. Il ne peut être rerror	duit pour auelaum interni	uns ou
• SETBAC				de l'e	estampe originale.	uteur. Il ne peut être repror tre utilisé uniquement aver		
CEDAR S	SCREEN TURTLE FENCE			This mea	drawing is subject to copy ns, and may only be used	right. It is not to be reprodu if it bears an original stamp	ced for any purpose or by and signature.	( any
	1 -4	- FIR	E HYDRANT. REFER TO CIVIL	Sceau / Sc	nal		Note:	
SIGNATED BUILDING FRANCE / EXIT	0	□ CA	TCH BASIN				L'entrepreneur do toutes les dimens informations sur le	it vérifier ions et
PRESSED CURB		·	NHOLE				aviser immédiater l'architecte de tour	
NTED CROSSWALK	LINES						ou omissions. Contractor shall w information and d	mensions
	0	_	DOR DRAIN				on site and immed report any errors i omissions to the a	stately or
ANDARD PARKING S	PACE	-	ILITY POLE ERHEAD UTILITY WIRES	Projet / Pr	niert			
	S.		HT STANDARD	Projet/ Pr		STORE		F
PE 'A' RRIER-FREE PARKIN AISLE, PAINTED MAI SIGN WHERE SHOWN	RKINGS		W TREE (REFER TO NDSCAPE DRAWINGS)		LIGHT	BUILDI		
PE 'B' ANDARD PARKING S	PACE	ን (RE	W SHRUB EFER TO LANDSCAPE AWINGS)					
AISLE, PAINTED MA	RKINGS	[TC	ISTING GROUND ELEVATION DETERMINE EXISTING ERAGE GRADEJ	Titre / Title		SOLANDT ROAD,	KANATA, ON	
SNAGE FOR RRIER-FREE PARKIN	IG $+$		W GROUND ELEVATION FER TO CIVIL			SITE PLA	N	
YCLE PARKING RIZONTAL) .S.		(NE) (RE	W RIPARIAN ZONE PLANTING FER TO LANDSCAPE AWINGS)	GB	ar I Drawn by	No. projet / Pi 1930		Philips /
	-			RC	/ Verified by	No. dessin / E	Irawing number	Révision / Revision
VINGS FOR GRADING DESIGN, RETAINING F DRAWINGS FOR T	G WALLS, ETC.		, UTILITIES & SITE SURFACE TREATMENTS	Echelle / S 1:40	0			<u> </u>
E DRAWINGS FOR T 2. IES (AND AISLES) TC					éation du dessin / reation date	Δ	105	
(**** ******************************	/ Willion Of	III I LING		2019	9/08/13	/\	100	



	LOCATION				RESIDENTI	AL FLOW				COMME	RCIAL FLO	w		EXTRANE	OUS FLOW	TOTAL FLOWS			PI	PE		
			Number of	Desim	<b>A</b>	Deals	Dee Deek	Accum.	Usage	A	Deals	Comm.	Accum.	Infiltration	n Allowance		Size	Slope	Longth	Consoity	Full Flow	Q/Qfull
Area ID	Use	Total Area	Units 1-bdrm	Design Population	Avg Flow	Peak Factor	Res. Peak Flow	Res. Flow	Gross commercial area	Avg Flow	Peak Factor	Peak Flow	Comm. Flow	Total I/I	Accum. Infil. Flow	Peak Design Flow	Size	Siope	Length	Сарасну	Full Flow Velocity	Q/QIUII
		(ha)	-	(persons)	(l/s)	-	(l/s)	(l/s)	(ha)	(l/s)	-	(l/s)	(I/s)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	(%)
2707 Solandt	Commercial (office)	2.00	0	0	0	N/A	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	1.5	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.66	0.66	200	1.00	25.00	32.77	1.04	2.01%
Total		2.00	0	0	0.00		0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	1.5	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.66	0.66	750	0.13	127.0	401.2	0.91	0.2%
<u>Design Paramete</u> Average Sanitary Commercial - Gen	Flows	28,000	L/gross ha/d			Infiltration A	<b>aneous Flows</b> Allowance (Dry Allowance (We Allowance (Tot	/ Weather) et Weather)	0.05 0.28 0.33			L/s/effective L/s/effective L/s/effective	gross ha				Designed: Checked: <u>Note</u> : Exist	JAG	y sewer in	formation f	rom GeoOt	tawa
Peaking Factors																						
Commercial		1.0 1.5	if commercial c if commercial c														Date:	Septembe	er 25, 2019	)		



## APPENDIX C

## Water Demand and FUS Calculations

## and Correspondence

## WATER DEMAND CALCULATION SHEET

FILE NO.: 119110

#### DATE: Sept 23, 2019

**NOVATECH** Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

#### WATER DEMAND

		DOMESTIC		COMMERCIAL					MAX. DAILY			PEAK HOURL	Y	TOTAL MAX
TO STREET			202111	SITE AREA	AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND		DEMAND				DAILY + MAX. FIRE DEMAND			
-	BACHELOR /	2-BEDROOM	POP'N			(I/s)		(I/s)			(I/s)			(l/s)
	1-BEDROOM	2-BEDROOW	(pers)	(ha)	RES.	NON-RES.	TOTAL	RES.	NON-RES.	TOTAL	RES.	NON-RES.	TOTAL	TOTAL
SOLANDT RD	-	-	-	2.00	-	0.65	0.65	-	0.98	0.98	-	1.76	1.76	117.98
TOTAL	-	-	-	2.00	-	0.65	0.65	-	0.98	0.98	-	1.76	1.76	-
ASSUMPTIONS: AVER. DAILY DEM MAX. DAILY DEMA			- Cor	nmercial	28000	L / ha. / day								
PEAK HOURLY DEMAND:			mmercial 1.5 * aver. day											
FIRE FLOW:			- Tot	al	7,000	l/min. =		117	7 l/s					

## **FUS - Fire Flow Calculations**

As per 1999 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

Novatech Project #: 119110 Project Name: 2707 Solandt Rd Date: 24/9/2019 Input By: LGB Reviewed By: JAG



Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Legend

Input by User No Information or Input Required

Building Description: 8-storey office building

**Fire Resistive Construction** 

Step			Choose		Value Used	Total Fire Flow (L/min)
	-	Base Fire Flov	N			
	Construction Ma	iterial		Mult	iplier	
1	Coefficient related to type of construction	Wood frame Ordinary construction Non-combustible construction		1.5 1 0.8	0.6	
	C Floor Area	Modified Fire resistive construction (2 hrs) Fire resistive construction (> 3 hrs)	Yes	0.6 0.6		
	FIOOT Area	Building Footprint (m <sup>2</sup> )	2495			
2	А	Number of Floors/Storeys Protected Openings (1 hr)	8 Yes			
		Area of structure considered (m <sup>2</sup> )			3,743	
	F	Base fire flow without reductions F = 220 C (A) <sup>0.5</sup>	-			8,000
		Reductions or Surc	harges			
	Occupancy haza	rd reduction or surcharge		Reduction	Surcharge	
3	(1)	Non-combustible Limited combustible Combustible	Yes	-25% -15% 0%	0%	8,000
		Free burning Rapid burning		15% 25%		
	Sprinkler Reduct				ction	
4	(2)	Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13) Standard Water Supply Fully Supervised System	Yes Yes No	-30% -10% -10%	-30% -10%	-3,200
		Fully Supervised System		nulative Total	-40%	
	Exposure Surch	arge (cumulative %)			Surcharge	
5	(3)	North Side East Side South Side West Side	10.1 - 20 m > 45.1m > 45.1m 10.1 - 20 m Cum	nulative Total	15% 0% 0% 15% <b>30%</b>	2,400
		Results				
		Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to near	rest 1000L/mir	n	L/min	7,000
6	(1) + (2) + (3)	(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		or or	L/s USGPM	<b>117</b> 1,849
7	Storage Volume	Required Duration of Fire Flow (hours) Required Volume of Fire Flow (m <sup>3</sup> )			Hours m <sup>3</sup>	2 840

## Lydia Bolam

From:	Kuruvilla, Santhosh <santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca></santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Thursday, October 3, 2019 10:47 AM
To:	Lydia Bolam
Cc:	Greg MacDonald
Subject:	RE: Watermain boundary conditions request - 2707 Solandt Road
Attachments:	2707 Solandt Road _Boundary Conditions_30Sept2019.docx
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

## Hi Lydia,

Please find attached the boundary conditions.

Thanks,

## Santhosh

From: Lydia Bolam <l.bolam@novatech-eng.com>
Sent: September 26, 2019 11:26 AM
To: Kuruvilla, Santhosh <Santhosh.Kuruvilla@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Greg MacDonald <g.Macdonald@novatech-eng.com>
Subject: Watermain boundary conditions request - 2707 Solandt Road

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

#### Hi Santhosh,

We would like to request municipal watermain boundary conditions for the proposed commercial development at 2707 Solandt Road. It is proposed to construct an 8-storey office building with a building footprint of approximately 2,500 m2.

The location of the proposed 150mm dia. water service connection to the existing 305mm municipal watermain in Solandt Road and the three (3) existing fire hydrants within the vicinity of the site are shown on the attached sketch. Ideally, the City could provide the boundary conditions and the maximum available fire flow for this development.

Based on preliminary calculations, using the City of Ottawa Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems, the water demands for the proposed development are as follows:

- Average Day Demand = 0.65 L/s (2.00 ha x 28,000 L/ha/d)
- Max. Day Demand = 0.98 L/s (1.5 x Avg. Demand)
- Peak Hour Demand = 1.76 L/s (1.8 x Max. Day Demand)

Based on the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Guidelines, the fire flow for the proposed sprinklered building is approximately 117 L/s (see attached FUS calculations sheet for details).

Please let me know if you have any questions or require any additional information.

Regards,

ı

Lydia Bolam, P.Eng., Project Engineer NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects 240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON, K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 Ext:276 | Fax: 613.254.5867 The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

# **Boundary Conditions for 2707 Solandt Road**

October-19

Scenario	Demand					
Scenario	L/min	L/s				
Average Daily Demand	39	0.65				
Maximum Daily Demand	59	0.98				
Peak Hour	106	1.76				
Fire Flow Demand #1	7020	117				

# of connections

1

## Location:



## **Results:**

Connection 1 - 2707 Solandt Road

Demand Cooncris	Head	Processia (noi)
Demand Scenario	(m)	Pressure <sup>1</sup> (psi)
Maximum HGL	131.0	75.4
Peak Hour	126.2	68.7
Max Day plus Fire 1	125.5	67.7

<sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation = 77.9 m


#### Notes:

Not Applicable

### Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

## 2707 SOLANDT ROAD - PROPOSED WATER SERVICE CONNECTION AND EXISTING FIRE HYDRANTS (NOVATECH 26/9/2019)



## September 26, 2019

- Property Parcels
- Water Labels / Étiquettes

## Valves / Vannes

- Valve / Vanne
- TVS, A, D

## Water Fittings / Raccords de conduite d'eau

Cap / bouchon

Reducer / réducteur

---

\_\_\_\_\_


- Hydrants / Bornes-fontaines
- Hydrant Laterals / Branchements de borne-fontaine
- Water Mains / Conduites d'eau principales
  - Private / Branchement privé
  - Public / Branchement public

PROPOSED WATER SERVICE



EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT WITHIN 0-75m OF PROPOSED SIAMESE CONNECTION

EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT WITHIN 75-150m OF PROPOSED SIAMESE CONNECTION



City of Ottawa


### APPENDIX E

### Stormwater Management Calculations, CDS Treatment

Unit Details, MVCA Floodplain Mapping and

Relevant Report Excerpts

Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines





#### Stormwater Management Design Proposed Development 2707 Solandt Rd Project No: 119110

Pre - Development: Overall Flows									
	A (ha)	A imp (ha)	A grav (ha)	A perv (ha)		C <sub>100</sub>	Q-pre (L/s)		
Description		C=0.9	C=0.60	C= 0.25	C <sub>5</sub>	(25% increase)	2 year	5 year	100 year
Site area to be developed	1.588	0.000	0.000	1.588	0.25	0.31	57.4	77.6	113.4
Undeveloped site area (to remain as existing) (U-1 and U-2)	0.413	0.000	0.000	0.413	0.25	0.31	14.9	20.2	29.5
Total =	2.000	0.000	0.000	2.000	0.25	0.31	72.3	97.7	142.8

 $t_c$ =20mins  $t_c$ =20mins  $t_c$ =20mins

i = 52.03 70.3 82.2	1
---------------------	---

Allowable Site Flows									
		A imp (ha)	A grav (ha)	A perv (ha)		C <sub>100</sub>	Q-allow (L/s)		
Description	A (ha)	(na) C=0.9	C=0.6	C= 0.25	U5	(25% increase)	2 year	5 year	100 year
Site area to be developed	1.588	0.000	0	1.588	0.25	0.31	77.6	77.6	77.6
							t <sub>c</sub> =20mins	t <sub>c</sub> =20mins	t <sub>c</sub> =20mins

i = 52.03 70.3 82.21

	Post - Development: Total Flows for Uncontrolled Sub Catchments										
Area	Description	A (m2)	A (ha)	A imp (ha)	A grav (ha)	A perv (ha)	C <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>100</sub>	Q-post uncontrolled (L/s)		
7.100	Decemption	A (III2)	А (ша)	C=0.9	C=0.6	C=0.20	-3	(25% increase)	2 year	5 year	100 year
D-1	Direct Runoff	1476	0.148	0.000	0.000	0.148	0.20	0.25	6.3	8.6	18.3
D-2	Direct Runoff	117	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.012	0.20	0.25	0.5	0.7	1.5
S-1	Controlled parking lot and landscaped areas	11790	1.179	0.993	0.000	0.186	0.79	0.88	198.8	269.7	516.2
R-1	Controlled Roof (15 roof drains assumed)	2500	0.250	0.250	0.000	0.000	0.90	1.00	48.0	65.2	124.1
					0.000						
	Total =	1.588	1.243	0.00	0.35	0.75	0.84	253.6	344.1	660.10	

 $t_c = 10 mins$   $t_c = 10 mins$   $t_c = 10 mins$ 

*i* = 76.81 104.20 178.60

	Post - Development : Total Flows for Controlled Site											
Area	Description	Q-	post controlle	d (L/s)	Stora	Provided						
Alea	Description	2 year	5 year	100 year	2 year	5 year	100 year	(m <sup>3</sup> )				
D-1 AND D-2	Direct Runoff (Uncontrolled)	6.8	9.2	19.8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
S-1	Controlled Parking Lot/Landscaped Area	20.0	37.5	39.9	158	185	438	718				
R-1	Controlled Roof Areas	10.5	15.0	18.0	< 34	35	83	>83				
	Total =	37.2	61.7	77.6	192	220	521	801				
		Mee	t Allowable Si	te Flow								

Over-Controlled by: 40.4 15.9 0.0


2707 Solandt R	d				
Project No: 119	110				
REQUIRED STO	ORAGE - 1	:5 YEAR E\	/ENT		
AREA S-1 Cont	rolled Flov	w-Parking L	ot Storage		
OTTAWA IDF C	URVE				
Area =	1.179	ha	Qallow =	37.5	L/s
C =	0.79		Vol(max) =	184.9	m3
Time	Intensity	Q	Qnet	Vol	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)	_
5	141.18	365.36	327.86	98.36	
10	104.19	269.64	232.14	139.28	
15	83.56	216.24	178.74	160.86	
20	70.25	181.80	144.30	173.16	
25	60.90	157.59	120.09	180.14	
30	53.93	139.56	102.06	183.71	
35	48.52	125.56	88.06	184.92	
40	44.18	114.35	76.85	184.43	
45	40.63	105.14	67.64	182.64	
50	37.65	97.44	59.94	179.83	
55	35.12	90.90	53.40	176.21	
60	32.94	85.25	47.75	171.92	
65	31.04	80.34	42.84	167.07	
70	29.37	76.01	38.51	161.75	
75	27.89	72.17	34.67	156.03	
90	24.29	62.86	25.36	136.92	
105	21.58	55.85	18.35	115.62	
120	19.47	50.38	12.88	92.74	
135	17.76	45.97	8.47	68.64	
150	16.36	42.34	4.84	43.59	

Structures	Size (mm)	Area (m²)	T/G	Inv IN	Inv OUT
STM MH1	1500	1.77	77.01	75.21	75.06
STM MH2	1500	1.77	77.04	75.26	75.25
STMMH 3	1500	1.77	77.20	75.34	75.33
STM MH4	1500	1.77	77.20	75.36	75.35
CB5	600 x 600	0.36	77.07	-	75.80
CB6	600 x 600	0.36	77.21	-	76.00
STM MH 5	1500	1.77	77.21	-	75.46
STM MH6	1500	1.77	76.90	75.26	75.25
CB 3	600 x 600	0.36	76.95	-	75.85
CB4	600 x 600	0.36	77.01	-	75.85
CB1	600 x 600	0.36	76.95	-	75.80
CB2	600 x 600	0.36	77.18	-	75.85
STM MH7	1500	1.77	77.18	75.31	75.30
STM MH8	1500	1.77	77.00	-	75.36

PI = 3.141592654 pipe I.D.= 762 (750 nominal) U/G Pipe Volume End Area 0.456 (m<sup>2</sup>) Total Length350.0(m)Pipe Volume159.6(m³)

U/G Pipe Size			
Pipe Segment	Whole length		
Centre-Centre Length	n 362.0		
Inside Structure	e 12.0		
U/G Storage Length	350.	0	

	Area S-1: Storage Table																			
									Undergroun	d Storage								Surface Storage		Total Storage
		STM MH1	STM MH2	STMMH 3	STM MH4	CB5	CB6	STM MH 5	STM MH6	CB 3	CB4	CB1	CB2	STM MH7	STM MH8	U/G 750mm	Total U/G	Ponding	Total Surface	1
Elevation	System Head	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	dia. Storage Pipe	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume
(m)	(m)	(m°)	(m ̆)	(m°)	(m ̃)	(m~)	(m °)	(m ̆)	(m°)	(m )	(m³)	(m°)	(m ̆)	(m )	(m ̆)		(m°)	(m°)	(m°)	(m°)
75.06	-0.15																0.00		0.00	0.00
75.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
75.46	0.25	0.71	0.37	0.23	0.19			0.00	0.37					0.28	0.18	43.00	45.33		0.00	45.33
75.71	0.50	1.15	0.81	0.67	0.64	0.0		0.44	0.81	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.72	0.62	105.00	110.80		0.00	110.80
76.00	0.79	1.66	1.33	1.18	1.15	0.1	0.0	0.95	1.33	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.24	1.13	143.65	153.92		0.00	153.92
76.20	0.99	2.01	1.68	1.54	1.50	0.1	0.1	1.31	1.68	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.59	1.48	159.61	173.14		0.00	173.14
76.40	1.19	2.37	2.03	1.89	1.86	0.2	0.1	1.66	2.03	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	1.94	1.84	159.61	176.40		0.00	176.40
76.60	1.39	2.72	2.39	2.24	2.21	0.3	0.2	2.01	2.39	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	2.30	2.19	159.61	179.66		0.00	179.66
76.80	1.59	3.07	2.74	2.60	2.56	0.4	0.3	2.37	2.74	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	2.65	2.54	159.61	182.92		0.00	182.92
76.95	1.74	3.34	3.00	2.86	2.83	0.4	0.3	2.63	2.92	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	2.92	2.81	159.61	185.28	0.00	0.00	185.28
77.00	1.79	3.43	3.09	2.95	2.92	0.4	0.4	2.72	2.92	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	3.00	2.90	159.61	185.97	2.80	2.80	188.77
77.10	1.89	3.45	3.16	3.13	3.09	0.5	0.4	2.90	2.92	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	3.18	2.90	159.61	186.87	68.40	68.40	255.27
77.20	1.99	3.45	3.16	3.30	3.27	0.5	0.4	3.07	2.92	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	3.32	2.90	159.61	187.60	322.00	322.00	509.60
77.25	2.04	3.45	3.16	3.30	3.27	0.5	0.4	3.09	2.92	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	3.32	2.90	159.61	187.62	531.00	531.00	718.62



2707 Solandt Ro	d				
Project No: 119	110				
REQUIRED STC	RAGE - 1	:100 YEAR	EVENT		
AREA S-1 Conti	rolled Flov	w-Parking L	ot Storage		
OTTAWA IDF CI	URVE				
Area =	1.179	ha	Qallow =	39.9	L/s
C =	0.88		Vol(max) =	437.8	m3
Time	Intensity	Q	Qnet	Vol	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)	
5	242.70	701.37	661.47	198.44	
10	178.56	516.00	476.10	285.66	
15	142.89	412.94	373.04	335.73	
20	119.95	346.63	306.73	368.08	
25	103.85	300.10	260.20	390.30	
30	91.87	265.48	225.58	406.05	
35	82.58	238.64	198.74	417.35	
40	75.15	217.16	177.26	425.41	
45	69.05	199.54	159.64	431.04	
50	63.95	184.82	144.92	434.75	
55	59.62	172.30	132.40	436.92	
60	55.89	161.52	121.62	437.85	
65	52.65	152.14	112.24	437.73	
70	49.79	143.88	103.98	436.73	
75	47.26	136.56	96.66	434.97	
90	41.11	118.80	78.90	426.08	
105	36.50	105.47	65.57	413.09	
120	32.89	95.06	55.16	397.15	
135	30.00	86.69	46.79	378.96	
150	27.61	79.79	39.89	359.01	

2707 Solandt Ro					
Project No: 119					
REQUIRED STC					
AREA S-1 Cont	rolled Flov	w-Parking L	ot Storage		
OTTAWA IDF CI	URVE				
Area =	1.179	ha	Qallow =	20.0	L/s
C =	0.79		Vol(max) =	157.9	m3
Time	Intensity	Q	Qnet	Vol	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)	
5	103.57	268.03	248.08	74.42	
10	76.81	198.76	178.81	107.29	
15	61.77	159.85	139.90	125.91	
20	52.03	134.65	114.70	137.64	
25	45.17	116.89	96.94	145.41	
30	40.04	103.63	83.68	150.62	
35	36.06	93.32	73.37	154.07	
40	32.86	85.05	65.10	156.24	
45	30.24	78.26	58.31	157.43	
50	28.04	72.57	52.62	157.85	
55	26.17	67.73	47.78	157.66	
60	24.56	63.55	43.60	156.97	
65	23.15	59.91	39.96	155.85	
70	21.91	56.71	36.76	154.38	
75	20.81	53.86	33.91	152.61	
90	18.14	46.95	27.00	145.81	
105	16.13	41.75	21.80	137.35	
120	14.56	37.68	17.73	127.69	
135	13.30	34.41	14.46	117.11	
150	12.25	31.71	11.76	105.81	

A (m²) = D (m) = D (mm) =	0.01039 0.115 115
1:2 yr Flow Checl	ĸ
$\begin{array}{c} Q \ (m^{3}/s) = \\ g \ (m/s^{2}) = \\ h \ (m) = \\ (Elev. = 76.08) \\ A \ (m^{2}) = \\ D \ (m) = \end{array}$	<u>1:2 yr</u> 0.0288 9.81 <b>1.02</b> 0.01039 0.115
D (mm) =	115

0.010377761 0.114949449 115

1:5 yr Flow Check

 $Q(m^3/s) = \frac{1:5 \text{ yr}}{0.0375}$ 

 $g (m/s^2) = 9.81$ h (m) = **1.73** 

1:100 Yr

1:5 Yr

Q=0.62xAx(2gh)^0.5

Q (m<sup>3</sup>/s) =

g (m/s<sup>2</sup>) = h (m) =

A (m<sup>2</sup>) = D (m) =

D (mm) =

Flow (L/s) = 39.9 Head (m) = 1.96 Elevation (m) = **77.17** Outlet Pipe Dia.(mm) = 300 Volume (m3) = 437.8

 Flow (L/s) = 37.5

 Head (m) = 1.73

 Elevation (m) = 76.94

 Outlet Pipe Dia.(mm) = 300

 Volume (m3) = 184.9

22

Maximum Ponding Depth(cm)1:100 Yr21:5 Yr0


ſ	2505 Solai	ndt Rd				
	Project No.					
	REQUIRED	STORAGE		EAR EVENT led Roof Draii	Average	
- E	OTTAWA IE		Control	ieu Rooi Diali	I (Average	*)
I	Area =	0.017	ha	Qallow =	1.00	L/s
I	C =	0.90	na	Vol(max) =	2.3	m3
I	0	0.00		vol(max)	2.0	mo
I	Time	Intensity	Q	Qnet	Vol	
I	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)	
ľ	5	141.18	5.89	4.89	1.47	
I	10	104.19	4.34	3.34	2.01	
I	15	83.56	3.48	2.48	2.24	
I	20	70.25	2.93	1.93	2.32	
I	25	60.90	2.54	1.54	2.31	
I	30	53.93	2.25	1.25	2.25	
I	35	48.52	2.02	1.02	2.15	
I	40	44.18	1.84	0.84	2.02	
I	45	40.63	1.69	0.69	1.87	
I	50	37.65	1.57	0.57	1.71	
I	55	35.12	1.46	0.46	1.53	
I	60	32.94	1.37	0.37	1.35	
I	65	31.04	1.29	0.29	1.15	
I	70	29.37	1.22	0.22	0.94	
I	75	27.89	1.16	0.16	0.73	
I	90	24.29	1.01	0.01	0.07	
I	105	21.58	0.90	-0.10	-0.63	
I	120	19.47	0.81	-0.19	-1.36	
I						

Watts Accutro	I Flow Control R	loof Drains:	TBC at detailed de	sign		
Design Flow (L/s) Total Flo		Total Flow (L/s)	Approximate	kimate Approximate Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )		
Event	11000 (E/3)		Ponding (cm)	Total Required	Provided (APPROX.)	
1:5 Year	1.00	15.0	10	34.7		
1:100 Year	1.20	18.0	15	82.7		

Roof Drai	n Storage Table	(Approximate)
Elevation	Area RD	Total Volume
m	m²	m³
0.00	0	0
0.05	13.50	0.3
0.10	54.00	2.0
0.15	166.67	7.5

Roof design unconfirmed. Total of 15 roof drains assumed


Stage Storage Curve: Area R-1 Average Controlled Roof Drain




2505 Sola									
	Project No. 113195								
REQUIRED STORAGE - 1:100 YEAR EVENT AREA R-1 Controlled Roof Drain (Average)									
AREA R-1			led Roof Dra	in (Average	9)				
OTTAWA IE									
Area =	0.017	ha	Qallow =	1.20	L/s				
C =	1.00		Vol(max) =	5.5	m3				
Time	Intensity	~	Qnet	Vol					
	Intensity	Q							
(min) 5	(mm/hr) 242.70	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)					
-		11.25	10.05	3.01					
10	178.56	8.27	7.07	4.24					
15	142.89	6.62	5.42	4.88					
20	119.95	5.56	4.36	5.23					
25	103.85	4.81	3.61	5.42					
30	91.87	4.26	3.06	5.50					
35	82.58	3.83	2.63	5.51					
40	75.15	3.48	2.28	5.48					
45	69.05	3.20	2.00	5.40					
50	63.95	2.96	1.76	5.29					
55	59.62	2.76	1.56	5.16					
60	55.89	2.59	1.39	5.00					
65	52.65	2.44	1.24	4.83					
70	49.79	2.31	1.11	4.65					
75	47.26	2.19	0.99	4.45					
90	41.11	1.90	0.70	3.81					
105	36.50	1.69	0.49	3.09					
120	32.89	1.52	0.32	2.33					

2707 Solandt Rd					
Project No: 119110					
1:5 YEAR EVENT					
AREAS D-1 AND D-2	Uncontrol				
	Uncontrol		e Drainaye		
OTTAWA IDF CURVE	0.450	h -	0 -	0.00	1./-
Total Area =	0.159	ha	Q =	9.23	L/s
C =	0.20		Vol =	0.0	m3
			_		
Time	Intensity	Q	Qnet	Vol	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)	
5	141.18	12.50	3.28	0.98	
10	104.19	9.23	0.00	0.00	
15	83.56	7.40	-1.83	-1.64	
20	70.25	6.22	-3.01	-3.61	
25	60.90	5.39	-3.83	-5.75	
30	53.93	4.78	-4.45	-8.01	
35	48.52	4.30	-4.93	-10.36	
40	44.18	3.91	-5.32	-12.76	
45	40.63	3.60	-5.63	-15.20	
50	37.65	3.33	-5.89	-17.68	
55	35.12	3.11	-6.12	-20.19	
60	32.94	2.92	-6.31	-22.72	
65	31.04	2.75	-6.48	-25.27	
70	29.37	2.60	-6.63	-27.83	
75	27.89	2.47	-6.76	-30.41	
90	24.29	2.15	-7.08	-38.22	
105	21.58	1.91	-7.32	-46.10	
120	19.47	1.72	-7.50	-54.03	
135	17.76	1.57	-7.66	-62.01	
150	16.36	1.45	-7.78	-70.01	

	ND D-2	Uncontro	led Off Site	Drainage		
TTAWA IDF	CURVE			_		
	Area =	0.159	ha	Q =	19.8	L/s
	C =	0.25		Vol=	0.0	m3
Time		Intensity	Q	Qnet	Vol	
(min)		(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m3)	
5		242.70	26.87	7.10	2.13	
10		178.56	19.77	0.00	0.00	
15		142.89	15.82	-3.95	-3.55	
20		119.95	13.28	-6.49	-7.79	
25		103.85	11.50	-8.27	-12.41	
30		91.87	10.17	-9.60	-17.28	
35		82.58	9.14	-10.63	-22.32	
40		75.15	8.32	-11.45	-27.48	
45		69.05	7.64	-12.12	-32.74	
50		63.95	7.08	-12.69	-38.06	
55		59.62	6.60	-13.17	-43.45	
60		55.89	6.19	-13.58	-48.89	
65		52.65	5.83	-13.94	-54.37	
70		49.79	5.51	-14.26	-59.88	
75		47.26	5.23	-14.54	-65.42	
90		41.11	4.55	-15.22	-82.17	
105		36.50	4.04	-15.73	-99.09	
120		32.89	3.64	-16.13	-116.11	
135		30.00	3.32	-16.45	-133.23	
150		27.61	3.06	-16.71	-150.41	





### CDS Average Annual Efficiency For TSS Removal & Total Annual Volume Treated

Project:	Solandt Rd 2707		
Location:	Ottawa, ON		
Date:	10/9/2019		
By:	EK		
PSD:	FINE	Area:	1.179 ha
CDS Model:	PMSU20_15_4	C-Value	0.79
CDS Design Flow:	20 l/s	IDF Data:	Ottawa, ON

Return	Period	Peak Flow	TSS Percentage Captured	Treated Flow Volume	Total Flow Volume	Annual Exceedance Probability	System Flow	CDS Flow	By-Pass Flow	Volume Percentage Treated
month / yr	Yr	l/s	%	litres	litres	%	l/s	l/s	l/s	%
1-M	0.08	6.19	93.01	17394	17394	100.00	6.19	6.19	0.00	100.00
2-M	0.17	8.85	90.53	24749	24749	99.75	8.85	8.85	0.00	100.00
3-M	0.25	9.74	89.69	27249	27249	98.17	9.74	9.74	0.00	100.00
4-M	0.33	12.65	86.94	35479	35479	95.04	12.65	12.65	0.00	100.00
5-M	0.42	13.78	85.86	38738	38738	90.91	13.78	13.78	0.00	100.00
6-M	0.50	14.91	84.78	41997	41997	86.47	14.91	14.91	0.00	100.00
7-M	0.58	15.77	83.96	44517	44517	82.01	15.77	15.77	0.00	100.00
8-M	0.67	16.63	83.14	47038	47038	77.67	16.63	16.63	0.00	100.00
9-M	0.75	17.49	82.31	49558	49558	73.64	17.49	17.49	0.00	100.00
10-M	0.83	20.50	78.40	56626	58820	69.90	20.50	20.01	0.49	97.16
11-M	0.92	23.51	74.49	63695	68081	66.40	23.51	20.01	3.50	94.33
1-Yr	1	26.52	70.58	70764	77343	63.21	26.52	20.01	6.51	91.49
2-Yr	2	29.14	67.03	75219	85844	39.35	29.14	20.01	9.12	87.62
5-Yr	5	37.84	56.86	87932	115843	18.13	37.84	20.01	17.83	75.91
10-Yr	10	38.37	56.31	88621	117749	9.52	38.37	20.01	18.35	75.26
25-Yr	25	39.17	55.50	89658	120678	3.92	39.17	20.01	19.16	74.30
50-Yr	50	40.36	54.32	91179	125093	1.98	40.36	20.01	20.35	72.89
100-Yr	100	43.89	51.02	95476	138568	1.00	43.89	20.01	23.88	68.90
Average	Annual <sup>-</sup>	TSS Rer	noval Efficie	ncy [%]:	83.6	Ave. Anr	ı. T. Volu	ume [%]	:	98.01%







This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under Licence with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2017

Imagery © Fugro Geospatial, May 2014 Digital Elevation Information © City of Ottawa

SCALE 1:2,000 ÉCHELLE

Cette carte et les renseignements connexes qui sont affichés sont fournis à titre d'exemple général seulement. En dépit de tous les efforts consentis pour en garantir l'exactitude, les représentations ou renseignements que l'on trouvera ici demeurent approximatifs du fait de la nature complexe et de l'étendue des données, et doivent donc être vérifiés par l'utilisateur. L'utilisateur reconnait par la présente que cette carte n'est pas conçue pour une navigation exacte et véridique, accepte et endosse les risques connexes associés à son utilisation.

Cette carte a été en partie réalisée à l'aide de données fournies par le Groupe d'échange de données géospatiales en Ontario, en vertu d'un contrat de licence passé avec le ministère des Richesses naturelles et l'Imprimeur de la Reine pour l'Ontario en 2017

Images aériennes © Fugro Geospatial, Mai 2014 Données altimétriques numériques © Ville d'Ottawa

### FLOOD RISK MAP SHIRLEY'S BROOK CARTE DU RISQUE D'INONDATION



COURBES DE NIVEAU PRINCIPALES DE 2.0 MÈTRE AVEC COURBES DE NIVEAU INTERMÉDIAIRES DE 0.5 MÈTRES SYSTÈME DE RÉFÉRENCE GÉODÉSIQUE NORD-AMÉRIQUE 1983

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

Vertical Datum: CGVD28 Horizontal Datum: North American 1983 Map Projection: Ottawa Transverse Mercator Projection

RENSEIGNMENTS GÉNÉRAUX


Niveau de référence vertical: CGVD28 Niveau de référence horizontal: Nord-americain 1983 Projection cartographique: Projection Mercator Tran

rse d'Ottawa

# Mississippi Valley



#### SHEET INDEX / TABLEAU D'ASSEMBLAGE




Revision #	Issue	DOFESSION.
1 - Oct. 25, 2017	Public Review	and all
2 - Dec. 6, 2017	Board approval	= ( Fruie )
		3 Y. s. a. price ∰
		3 Nov. 10/17 0
		ANGE OF ONTIP



This map and the associated information displayed are to be used for general illustrative purposes only. Although best efforts have been made to create accuracy; due to the complex and extensive nature of the data, all representations and/or information provided herein are approximate and to be verified by user. User hereby acknowledges that this map is not intended for true and accurate navigational purposes and hereby accepts and assumes all inherent risks associated with the use of this map.

This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under Licence with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2017

Imagery © Fugro Geospatial, May 2014 Digital Elevation Information © City of Ottawa




Cette carte et les renseignements connexes qui sont affichés sont fournis à titre d'exemple général seulement. En dépit de tous les efforts consentis pour en garantir l'exactitude, les représentations ou renseignements que l'on trouvera ici demeurent approximatifs du fait de la nature complexe et de l'étendue des données, et doivent donc être vérifiés par l'utilisateur. L'utilisateur reconnait par la présente que cette carte n'est pas conçue pour une navigation exacte et véridique, accepte et endosse les risques connexes associés à son utilisation.

Cette carte a été en partie réalisée à l'aide de données fournies par le Groupe d'échange de données géospatiales en Ontario, en vertu d'un contrat de licence passé avec le ministère des Richesses naturelles et l'Imprimeur de la Reine pour l'Ontario en 2017

Images aériennes © Fugro Geospatial, Mai 2014 Données altimétriques numériques © Ville d'Ottawa

5

### FLOOD RISK MAP SHIRLEY'S BROOK CARTE DU RISQUE D'INONDATION



COURBES DE NIVEAU PRINCIPALES DE 2.0 MÈTRE AVEC COURBES DE NIVEAU INTERMÉDIAIRES DE 0.5 MÈTRES SYSTÈME DE RÉFÉRENCE GÉODÉSIQUE NORD-AMÉRIQUE 1983

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

Vertical Datum: CGVD28 Horizontal Datum: North American 1983 Map Projection: Ottawa Transverse Mercator Projection

RENSEIGNMENTS GÉNÉRAUX


Niveau de référence vertical: CGVD28 Niveau de référence horizontal: Nord-americain 1983 Projection cartographique: Projection Mercator Tran

erse d'Ottawa


# Mississippi Valley Sonservation Authority



#### SHEET INDEX / TABLEAU D'ASSEMBLAGE



Revision #	Issue	DOFESSION.
1 - Oct. 25, 2017	Public Review	and all
2 - Dec. 6, 2017	Board approval	= ( Fruie )
		3 Y. s. a. price ∰
		3 Nov. 10/17 0
		ANGE OF ONTIP



Shirley's Brook Subwatershed Existing Drainage Conditions

0

7

7

A

W A

R

V E P

Shipleys

Bay

Figure 2.3a

Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study Report Appendix A

### Catchment Area SB-4

### Potential Development Impacts

Future Land Use

- increase in urban residential (118 ha), and industrial/commercial (89 ha) •
- area of imperviousness to increase from 16% to 46%

#### Groundwater Resources

- potential impacts due to road runoff as well as non-specific commercial/industrial point sources (e.g., gas
- potential increased bedrock contamination loading in potential infiltration areas depending upon subsurface profile (i.e., alluvial sands/bedrock connectivity)

#### Surface Water Resources

- increased runoff peak flows, aggravate existing flooding hazards
- water quality impairment of receiving watercourses
- for 2 year return period event, expected peak flow increase is 303%
- for 100 year return period event, expected peak flow increase is 218%

### In stream Water Quality

- increase in TSS
- moderate increase in E. Coli and fecal coliforms
- increased pollutant loading from vehicle traffic

#### Morphology

- widening of low flow channel: bank toe erosion
- expect more sediment transport and erosion rather than deposition meanders will increase in size, causing an increase in the spatial area that the watercourse occupies on the •
- further erosion of existing erosion sites and any other areas in which plantform has been straightened floodplain
- . especially of low order tributary channels and immediately upstream of Klondike Rd.
- increase in fine sediment within channel as a function of particulate matter in urbanized settings increase in flushing flows that will remove fines from channel - coarsening of average substrate size
- .
- general erosion process

#### Aquatic Habitat

- area already significantly impacted
- future development presents an opportunity to restore reach 9 .
- future impacts from commercial development possible for reach 10 .
- further increase in erosion and decrease in water quality may degrade habitat to beyond restoration capability •

### Environmental Protection Targets

Surface Water Quality and Quantity

- provide Level 2 water quality enhancement of urban storm water runoff
- maintain post-development runoff peak flows to existing levels for all storm events up to the 100-year

Shirley's Brook and Watts Creek Subwatershed Study Report Appendix A

#### Catchment Area SB-4

Protection Level Description Level 2 Applied in areas of Type 2 fish habitat. Includes: aquatic habitat identified as ecologically valuable, but is sufficiently abundant or not considered to be limiting factor for habitat productive capacity general feeding areas and pool-riffle-run complex-Source, Stormwater Management Practices Planning and Design Manual, (MOEE, 1994c) Note: 2 For wetlands and wet ponds, the required storage volume is comprised of 40 m<sup>3</sup>/ha extended detention, while the remainder is the permanent pool volume. 3 Storage volumes are based on 24 hour detention.

Storage volumes are based on a 80, 70, 60 and 50% TSS removal for Protection Levels 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.


#### Instream Water Quality

Parameter	Units	Recommended Criteria Level
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	> 3.0 mg/L
Temperature	0 C	< 2º C change (in stormwater discharge)
РН	pH	6.5 - 9.0
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	mg/L	10
Un-ionized ammonia	mg/L	0.02
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.03
Aluminum	mg/L	0.3
Cadmium	mg/L	0.0005
Copper	mg/L	0.005
Lead	mg/L	0.025
Zinc	mg/L	0.03

DILLON CONSULTING LIMITED

#### DILLON CONSULTING LIMITED

		<sup>3</sup> Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> /ha) for Impervious Le				
	2SWMP Type	35%	55%	70%	85%	
	Infiltration	20	20	25	30	
e, be a	Wetlands	60	70	80	90	
(es	Wet Ponds	90	110	130	150	
	Dry Pond	60	80	95	110	



### GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. COORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND CONTRACTORS. 2. DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL
- 3. OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND MVCA BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.
- 4. BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION OBTAIN AND PROVIDE PROOF OF COMPREHENSIVE, ALL RISK AND OPERATIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR \$2,000,000.00. INSURANCE POLICY TO NAME OWNERS, ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS AS CO-INSURED.
- 5. RESTORE ALL DISTURBED AREAS ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE, INCLUDING TRENCHES AND SURFACES ON PUBLIC ROAD ALLOWANCES TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES.
- UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE FROM SITE ANY CONTAMINATED MATERIAL. ALL CONTAMINATED MATERIAL SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT A LICENSED LANDFILL FACILITY.
- 7. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.
- 8. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT (No. 18111016, DATED SEPTEMBER, 2019), PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS, CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS, AND GEOTECHNICAL INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS. THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT IS TO REVIEW ON-SITE CONDITIONS AFTER EXCAVATION PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF THE GRANULAR MATERIAL.
- 9. REFER TO ARCHITECT'S AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS FOR BUILDING AND HARDSURFACE AREAS AND DIMENSIONS.
- 10. REFER TO THE 'SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT' (R-2019-157) PREPARED BY NOVATECH.
- 11. SAW CUT AND KEYGRIND ASPHALT AT ALL ROAD CUTS AND ASPHALT TIE-IN POINTS AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS (R10).
- 12. PROVIDE LINE/PARKING PAINTING.
- 13. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE THE CONSULTANT WITH A GENERAL PLAN OF SERVICES INDICATING ALL SERVICING AS-BUILT INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. AS-BUILT INFORMATION MUST INCLUDE: PIPE MATERIAL, SIZES, LENGTHS, SLOPES, INVERT AND T/G ELEVATIONS, STRUCTURE LOCATIONS, VALVE AND HYDRANT LOCATIONS, T/WM ELEVATIONS AND ANY ALIGNMENT CHANGES, ETC.

### SEWER NOTES:

- 1. SUPPLY AND CONSTRUCT ALL SEWERS AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 2. SPECIFICATIONS:
- STORM / SANITARY MANHOLE (1200Ø/1500Ø) CATCHBASIN MANHOLE (1200Ø/1500Ø) STORM / CBMH FRAME AND COVER WATERTIGHT SANITARY MH FRAME AND COVER CATCHBASIN (600x600) CATCHBASIN FRAME AND COVER CONCRETE HEADWALL STORM SEWER SANITARY SEWER CATCHBASIN LEAD
- SUBDRAIN SEWER TRENCH
- 3. ALL SERVICES ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO 1.0m FROM FACE OF BUILDING AT A MINIMUM SLOPE OF 1.0%.
- ALL STORM AND SANITARY SERVICE LATERALS SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH BACKFLOW
- 5. PIPE BEDDING, COVER AND BACKFILL ARE TO BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95% OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY. THE USE OF CLEAR CRUSHED STONE AS A BEDDING LAYER SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED.
- 6. INSULATE ALL SEWER PIPES THAT HAVE LESS THAN 1.5m COVER WITH HI-40 RIGID INSULATION AS PER INSULATION DETAIL.
- 7. FLEXIBLE CONNECTIONS ARE REQUIRED FOR CONNECTING PIPES TO MANHOLES (FOR EXAMPLE KOR-N-SEAL, PSX: POSITIVE SEAL AND DURASEAL). THE CONCRETE CRADLE FOR THE PIPE CAN BE ELIMINATED.
- 8. ALL STORM MANHOLES AND CATCHBASIN MANHOLES ARE TO HAVE 300mm SUMPS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, AND CATCHBASINS TO HAVE 600mm SUMPS.
- 9. CATCHBASIN MANHOLE WITH ICD TO BE INSTALLED (CBMH1) IS TO HAVE A 600mm SUMP UNLESS
- OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. 10. CONTRACTOR TO TELEVISE (CCTV) ALL PROPOSED SEWERS 200mmØ OR GREATER PRIOR TO BASE COURSE ASPHALT TO ENSURE THAT THEY ARE CLEAN AND OPERATIONAL. UPON COMPLETION OF CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO FLUSH AND CLEAN ALL
- 11. THE OWNER SHALL REQUIRE THAT THE SITE SERVICING CONTRACTOR PERFORM FIELD TESTS FOR QUALITY CONTROL OF ALL SANITARY SEWERS. LEAKAGE TESTING SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDNCE WITH OPSS 410.07.16, 410.07.16.04 AND 407.07.24. DYE TESTING IS TO BE COMPLETED ON ALL SANITARY SERVICES TO CONFIRM PROPER CONNECTION TO THE SANITARY SEWER MAIN. THE FIELD TESTS SHALL BE PERFORMED IN THE PRESENCE OF A CERTIFIED

### WATERMAIN NOTES:

- 1. SUPPLY AND CONSTRUCT ALL WATERMAIN AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MOST CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- 2. SPECIFICATIONS:
- WATERMAIN TRENCHING THERMAL INSULATION IN SHALLOW TRENCHES W22 THERMAL INSULATION BY OPEN STRUCTURES WATERMAIN CROSSING BELOW SEWERS WATERMAIN
- 2. EXCAVATION, INSTALLATION, BACKFILL AND RESTORATION OF ALL WATERMAINS BY THE CONTRACTOR. CONNECTIONS AND SHUT-OFFS AT THE MAIN AND CHLORINATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM SHALL BE PERFORMED BY CITY OFFICIALS. EXCAVATION, INSTALLATION, BACKFILL AND RESTORATION BY THE CONTRACTOR.
- 3. WATERMAIN SHALL BE MINIMUM 2.4m DEPTH BELOW GRADE UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED. OTHERWISE, THERMAL INSULATION IS REQUIRED AS PER STD DRAWING W22.
- 4. PROVIDE MINIMUM 0.50m CLEARANCE BETWEEN OUTSIDE OF PIPES AT ALL CROSSINGS WHEN WATERMAIN IS BELOW AND MINIMUM 0.25mm CLEARANCE WHEN WATERMAIN IS ABOVE.
- 5. WATER SERVICE IS TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO WITHIN 1.0m OF FOUNDATION WALL AND CAPPED, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.

				SCALE	DESIGN	FO
					LGB/JAG	PROFESSION
				1:500 ¤	GJM	Bdam
				1:500 C	LGB	으 L. G. BOLAN 100523457
				0 5 10 15 20	JAG	PROVINCE OF ON
1.	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	OCT 09/2019	GJM		PPROVED	WCE OF OT
No.	REVISION	DATE	BY		GJM	

EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NOT SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING.

6. REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL, ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS

REFERENCE

OPSD

OPSD


OPSD

OPSD

OPSD

OPSD

OPSD




HDPE PERF /NON-PERF PIPE CITY OF OTTAWA S6

## PREVENTERS AS PER THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD DETAILS S14 AND S14.1 OR S14.2.

SEWERS & APPURTENANCES. OBTAIN APPROVAL FROM THE CITY'S SEWER OPERATIONS. PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER WHO SHALL SUBMIT A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE TEST RESULTS.

W23 W25 PVC DR 18

REFERENCE CITY OF OTTAWA CITY OF OTTAWA CITY OF OTTAWA CITY OF OTTAWA



### LEGEND

NORTH

SANMH 1


STMMH 1

СВМН 2 🕀

	PROPERTY LINE	
	PROPOSED CURB	:
DC	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB	
150mmØ	PROPOSED WATERMAIN	
V&VB —⊗——	PROPOSED VALVE & VALVE BOX	
11.25°	PROPOSED BEND & THRUSTBLOCK	SA
$\bigcirc$ $\textcircled{M}$	PROPOSED WATER METER / REMOTE METER	\$7.
Y	PROPOSED SIAMESE CONNECTION	
Γ	PROPOSED CAP	6
NMH 1	PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE & SEWER	_
BMH 2 () → - ►	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN MANHOLE & SEWER	
	PROPOSED STORMWATER MANHOLE	
СВ	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN	
	PROPOSED BUILDING ENTRANCE	
	THERMAL INSULATION	
	PROPOSED INLET CONTROL DEVICE	
RD $_{\odot}$	PROPOSED ROOF DRAIN	
FFE=77.70	PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION	
L.S. 🔿	PROPOSED LIGHT STANDARD	

	EXISTING CURB
300mmØ WM	EXISTING WATERMAIN
<i>V&amp;VB</i>	EXISTING VALVE & VALVE BOX
HYD_	EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT
SAN MH	EXISTING SANITARY MH & SEWER
STM MH	EXISTING STORM MH & SEWER
CB	EXISTING CATCHBASIN C/W CB LEAD
CBMH	EXISTING CATCHBASIN MH
- <u>X X X</u>	EXISTING FENCE
<i>LS</i> ¢	EXISTING LIGHT STANDARD
	MVCA REGULATORY FLOODPLAN (APPROXIMATE) MVCA REGULATORY LIMIT (APPROXIMATE)

EXISTING GAS METER



INSULATION SHALL BE THE 1200-900 75						
EQUIVALENT OF 25mm FOR EVERY 900-600 100						
REQUIRED DEPTH OF COVER						
LESS THAN 1500mm (SEE TABLE).						

INSULATION DETAIL FOR SHALLOW SEWERS ONLY NOT TO SCALE

DESIGN         CIRCULAR         DIAMETER OF         DESIGN         DESIGN           EVENT         ORIFICE ICD         OUTLET PIPE         FLOW         HEAD	WATER
EVENT ORIFICE ICD OUTLET PIPE FLOW HEAD	
	ELEVATION
1:5 YR 115mm PLUG 300mm Ø 37.5 L/s 1.73m	76.94m
1:100 YR 115mm PLUG 300mm Ø 39.9 L/s 1.96m	77.17m

150mmØ WATERMAIN TABLE							
STATION         SURFACE ELEVATION         T/WM ELEVATION         COMMENTS							
0+00	77.40±	75.00± ∗	TEE CONNECTION TO EXISTING 305mmØ WM				
0+10.59	77.25±	74.43± ***	CROSS UNDER EXISTING STM SEWER				
0+13.08	77.25±	74.85±	CROSS UNDER EXISTING GAS				
0+22.62	77.54±	75.14±	150mm V&VB @ PROPERTY LINE				
0+83.12	77.41±	75.01±	45° HORIZONTAL BEND				
0+84.50	77.40±	75.00±	45° HORIZONTAL BEND				
0+90.46	77.59±	75.19±	CAP 1.0m FROM BUILDING FACE				

119110-00


REV # '

119110-GP

WING No

\*\* PROVIDE THERMAL INSULATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL W23 ADJACENT TO OPEN STRUCTURES AND DETAIL W22 WHERE COVER IS LESS THAN 2.4m.





DAMAGE TO THEM.

### **GENERAL NOTES:**

- 1. COORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND CONTRACTORS. DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NOT SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING.
- OBTAIN ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.
- BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION OBTAIN AND PROVIDE PROOF OF COMPREHENSIVE, ALL RISK AND OPERATIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR \$2,000,000. INSURANCE POLICY TO NAME OWNERS, ENGINEERS AND ARCHITECTS AS CO-INSURED.
- RESTORE ALL DISTURBED AREAS ON-SITE AND OFF-SITE, INCLUDING TRENCHES AND SURFACES ON PUBLIC ROAD ALLOWANCES TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES.
- REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL, ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE FROM SITE ANY CONTAMINATED MATERIAL. ALL CONTAMINATED MATERIAL SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT A LICENSED LANDFILL FACILITY.
- . ALL ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC.
- REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT (No. 18111016, DATED SEPTEMBER, 2019), PREPARED BY GOLDER FOR SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS, CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS, AND GEOTECHNICAL INSPECTION REQUIREMENTS. THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT IS TO REVIEW ON-SITE CONDITIONS AFTER EXCAVATION PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF THE GRANULAR MATERIAL.
- REFER TO ARCHITECT'S AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT'S DRAWINGS FOR BUILDING AND HARDSURFACE AREAS AND DIMENSIONS. 10. REFER TO THE 'SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT' (R-2019-157)
- PREPARED BY NOVATECH. 11. SAW CUT AND KEYGRIND ASPHALT AT ALL ROAD CUTS AND ASPHALT TIE-IN POINTS AS PER CITY
- OF OTTAWA STANDARDS (R10).
- 12. PROVIDE LINE/PARKING PAINTING. 13. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE THE CONSULTANT WITH A GRADING PLAN INDICATING THE AS-BUILT ELEVATION OF EVERY DESIGN GRADE SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.
- 14. MVCA REGULATORY FLOODPLAIN AND REGULATORY LIMITS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE ONLY. INFORMATION IS TAKEN FROM MVCA SHIRLEY'S BROOK FLOOD RISK MAPS DATED DECEMBER
- 15. TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING INFORMATION SHOWN IS FROM CITY OF OTTAWA 1:1000 TOPOGRAPHIC MAPPING.

### **GRADING NOTES:**

- ALL TOPSOIL, ORGANIC OR DELETERIOUS MATERIAL MUST BE ENTIRELY REMOVED FROM BENEATH THE PROPOSED BUILDING AND PAVED AREAS.
- EXPOSED SUBGRADES IN PROPOSED PAVED AREAS SHOULD BE PROOF ROLLED WITH A LARGE STEEL DRUM ROLLER AND INSPECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT.
- ANY SOFT AREAS EVIDENT FROM THE PROOF ROLLING SHOULD BE SUBEXCAVATED AND
- THE GRANULAR BASE AND SUBBASE SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 100% OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY VALUE. ANY ADDITIONAL GRANULAR FILL USED BELOW THE PROPOSED PAVEMENT SHOULD BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95% OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY VALUE.
- REQUIRED TO PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE.
- MINIMUM OF 2% GRADE FOR ALL GRASS AREAS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 7. ALL GRADES BY CURBS ARE EDGE OF PAVEMENT GRADES UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS (SC1.1).
- 9. REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR PLANTING AND OTHER LANDSCAPE FEATURE DETAILS.
- ELEVATION OF EVERY DESIGN GRADE SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

## EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR FILTER CLOTH UNDER THE GRATES OF CATCHBASINS AND MANHOLES AND INSTALLING SILT FENCES AND OTHER EFFECTIVE SEDIMENT TRAPS.
- ENGINEER AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA. THEY ARE TO BE APPROPRIATE TO THE SITE THESE PRACTICES ARE TO BE IMPLEMENTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CURRENT BEST MINIMUM THOSE MEASURES INDICATED ON THE PLAN.
- TO PREVENT SURFACE EROSION FROM ENTERING ANY DITCH OR STORM SEWER SYSTEM DURING CONSTRUCTION, FILTER CLOTH WILL BE PLACED UNDER GRATES OF CATCHBASINS HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED AND CONSTRUCTION IS COMPLETE.
- ENGINEER, THE MEASURES ARE NO LONGER REQUIRED. NO CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE PERMANENTLY REMOVED WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FROM THE ENGINEER.
- DISCHARGE OF SEDIMENT MATERIAL INTO ANY DITCH OR STORM SEWER SYSTEM. APPROPRIATE RESPONSE MEASURES, INCLUDING ANY REPAIRS TO EXISTING CONTROL OUT BY THE CONTRACTOR WITHOUT DELAY.
- THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.
- ROADWAYS ARE TO BE SWEPT AS REQUIRED OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER AND/OR MUNICIPALITY.
- APPLICATION OF WATER (AND IF REQUIRED, CALCIUM CHLORIDE) DURING DRY PERIODS.

### PAVEMENT STRUCTURES: (AS PER GEOTECHNICAL REPORT)

#### CAR PARKING AREAS 50mm SUPERPAVE 12.5 SURFACE COURSE 150mm OPSS GRANULAR "A" BASE 300mm OPSS GRANULAR "B" TYPE II SUBBASE

ACCESS & TRUCK TRAFFIC AREAS 40mm SUPERPAVE 12.5 SURFACE COURSE 50mm SUPERPAVE 19.0 BINDER COURSE 150mm OPSS GRANULAR "A" BASE 450mm OPSS GRANULAR "B" TYPE II SUBBASE

Image: Solution of the second seco					SCALE	DESIGN	FC FC
Image: Construction of the co							
Image: Construction of the co						GIM	PROFESSIO
Image: Construction of the co						DRAWN	& KBdam
Image: Construction of the co						LGB	U L. G. BOL/ 10052345
					1:500	CHECKED	
	1.	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	OCT 09/2019	GJM			POLINCE OF
NO. REVISION DATE BY GJIVI	No.	REVISION	DATE	BY		GJM	

# 2.0% GRADE AND DIRECTION MAXIMUM 3:1 OR 2:1 SIDESLOPE DIRECTION OF MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW SANMH 1 🍙 СВМН З 🔘 STMMH 1 ( СВ 🗌 $\otimes$ V&VE REPLACED WITH SUITABLE MATERIAL THAT IS FROST COMPATIBLE WITH THE EXISTING SOILS. ICD RD $_{\odot}$

CBMH 🔘

HYD - 8

-<u>X---X---X</u>

77.00

NORTH

LEGEND

× 77.14

× 70.44

77.00T/C

\_\_\_\_\_

GRADE AND/OR FILL BEHIND PROPOSED CURB AND BETWEEN BUILDINGS AND CURBS, WHERE

ALL CURBS SHALL BE BARRIER CURB (150mm) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND CONSTRUCTED

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE THE CONSULTANT WITH A GRADING PLAN INDICATING THE AS-BUILT

PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THIS INCLUDES LIMITING THE AMOUNT OF EXPOSED SOIL, USING

ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS ARE TO BE INSTALLED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CONDITIONS, PRIOR TO UNDERTAKING ANY SITE ALTERATIONS (FILLING, GRADING, REMOVAL OF VEGETATION, ETC.) AND DURING ALL PHASES OF SITE PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION. MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL AND SHOULD INCLUDE AS A

AND STRUCTURES. A LIGHT DUTY SILT FENCE BARRIER WILL ALSO BE INSTALLED AROUND THE CONSTRUCTION AREA. THESE CONTROL MEASURES WILL REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL VEGETATION

THE SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ONLY BE REMOVED WHEN, IN THE OPINION OF THE

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPORT TO THE ENGINEER ANY ACCIDENTAL MEASURES OR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRIED

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE PROPER DUST CONTROL IS PROVIDED WITH THE

# OR REVIEW ONLY

9,201

AM OVA



Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6 (613) 254-9643 Telephone (613) 254-5867 Facsimile Website www.novatech-eng.com

CITY OF OTTAWA 2707 SOLANDT ROAD

**GRADING AND EROSION SEDIMENT** CONTROL PLAN


119110-00 REV # 1

WING No 119110-GR

SANDHILL RD

CARLING AVL





PROPOSED ROOF DRAIN
PROPOSED FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
PROPOSED BUILDING ENTRANCE
APPROXIMATE PONDING LIMITS AND ELEVATIONS
EXISTING CONCRETE CURB
EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE
EXISTING CATCHBASIN MANHOLE
EXISTING STORM MANHOLE
EXISTING CATCHBASIN
EXISTING HYDRANT & VALVE
EXISTING FENCE
EXISTING LIGHT STANDARD
 EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR (1.0m INTERVAL)
 EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR (0.25m INTERVAL

(FROM 1:1000 TOPO MAPPING)

MVCA REGULATORY FLOODPLAN (APPROXIMATE)

- - - - - MVCA REGULATORY LIMIT (APPROXIMATE)

# PROPOSED VALVE AND VALVE BOX PROPOSED SIAMESE CONNECTION PROPOSED SILT FENCE PROPOSED BARRIER CURB PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB PROPOSED INLET CONTROL DEVICE PROPOSED ROOF DRAIN

KEY PLAN/


N.T.S.


PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION

PROPERTY LINE

PROPOSED ELEVATION EXISTING ELEVATION

EXISTING ELEVATION





## REFER TO 119110-GP FOR ADDITIONAL NOTES

LOCATION CITY OF OTTAWA 2707 SOLANDT ROAD	
	PROJECT No.
DRAWING NAME	
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	119110
PLAN	REV
	REV # 1
	DRAWING No.
	119110-SWM

REV	EW	ONLY





Website

(613) 254-9643

(613) 254-5867

www.novatech-eng.com