

Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 327 Richmond Road Ottawa, Ontario November 24, 2021

**Prepared for :** 

**Richmond Churchill Limited Partnership** 

Submitted to :

**City of Ottawa** 

Parsons Project # 477093



delivering a better world

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODU	ICTION	3
	1.1	Site Description and Proposed Development	3
	1.2	Guidelines and Background Documents	4
	1.3	Existing Infrastructure	5
	1.4	Consultation and Permits	6
	1.5	Geotechnical and Environmental Recommendations	7
2.0	WATER S	ERVICING	
	2.1	Proposed Water Servicing.	
	2.2	Design Criteria	
	2.3	Water Calculations	12
		2.3.1 Fire Demand	13
	2.4	Water Results	13
		2.4.1 Fire Protection	13
	2.5	Summary and Conclusions	14
3.0	SANITAR	Y SERVICING	14
	3.1	Proposed Sanitary Servicing	14
	3.2	Design Criteria	14
	3.3	Calculations and Results	15
	3.4	Summary and Conclusions	15
4.0	STORM S	SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	
	4.1	Existing Storm Servicing	
	4.2	Proposed Storm Servicing	15
	4.3	Design Criteria and Allowable Release Rate	16
	4.4	Stormwater Management	17
	4.5	Storm Service Design	20
	4.6	Stormwater Quality	20
	4.7	Major Overland Flow	20
	4.8	Summary and Conclusions	20
5.0 6.0		NT AND EROSION CONTROL	21 21

#### List of Tables

Table 1: Recommended Pavement Structure - Car Only Parking Areas	8
Table 2: Recommended Pavement Structure - Access Lanes and Heavy Truck Parking Areas	9
Table 3: Water System Pressure – Criteria	11
Table 4: 406mm Diameter Watermain on Churchill Avenue Boundary Conditions	12
Table 5: 305mm Diameter Watermain on Richmond Road Boundary Conditions	12
Table 6: Water Demand Rates	13
Table 7: Residual Pressures Under Each Demand with a 200mm Service	13
Table 8: Sanitary Design Flows Criteria	14
Table 9: Rational Method Runoff Coefficients	16
Table 10: Controlled Roof Drain Flows and Storage	18
Table 11: Uncontrolled Roof Drain Flows	19
Table 12: Pre- and Post-Flows By Street	20

### List of Figures

Figure 1: 327 Richmond Road, Ottawa - Key Plan (Source: geoottawa)	.4
Figure 2: Existing Municipal Infrastructure Surrounding the Site	.6
Figure 3: Contributing Hydrants1	_4

#### List of Appendices

PPENDIX A   Correspondence
PPENDIX B I Servicing Checklist
PPENDIX C   Drawings
PPENDIX D   Boundary Conditions
PPENDIX E   Water Demand
PPENDIX F   Sanitary Flows, Sewer Design Sheet and Drainage Areas
PPENDIX G   Stormwater Management Calculations, City Correspondence, Sewer Design Sheet and Pre-Drainage Areas

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1** Site Description and Proposed Development

Richmond Churchill Limited Partnership has retained Parsons Inc. to prepare a *Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report* in support of the proposed mixed-use building at 327 Richmond Road. The mixed-use building will consist of residential and commercial space. **Figure 1** shows the site location.

The existing parcels (319, 325 and 327 Richmond Road, 380 Winona Avenue and 381 Churchill Avenue North) will be merged to house the proposed building. The existing parcels house a two storey commercial building, a garage, a single-family home and a two storey residential rental building.

The proposed building will consist of a multi-storey building with commercial space on the first floor and residential units on the remaining floors. There will be 184 residential rental units and three retail spaces for rent. There will be a two-level parking garage under the building, which will be accessed from Churchill Avenue. There will also be a loading aisle at the back of the property, with access from Winona Avenue. The total gross floor area of the building will be 16,540 m<sup>2</sup> (178,040 ft<sup>2</sup>).

The total property area is roughly 0.33 ha. The site ground elevation varies between approximately 68.5 m and 67.0 m and generally slopes from south to north.

The 327 Richmond Road property is surrounded by the features described below.

- North: Residential (single family and townhouse)
- East: Winona Avenue -commercial space
- South: Richmond Road commercial space
- West: Churchill Avenue commercial space



Figure 1: 327 Richmond Road, Ottawa - Key Plan (Source: geoottawa)

### 1.2 Guidelines and Background Documents

The 327 Richmond Road design is in accordance with the documents below.

- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution, 1st Edition, July 2010 (OWG and technical bulletins)
  - o Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2, December 15, 2010
  - o Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02, May 27, 2014
  - o Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, March 21, 2018
- Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, October 2012 (OSG and technical bulletins)
  - o Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2012-6, October 31, 2012
  - o Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01, February 5, 2014
  - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01, September 6, 2016



- o Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01, March 21, 2018
- Technical Bulletin ISTB-2019-02, July 8, 2019
- Water Supply for Public Fire Protection, Fire Underwrites Survey, 1999 (FUS)
- City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012)
- Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (2019)
- Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2019)

#### **1.3** Existing Infrastructure

The exiting municipal infrastructure surrounding the property is shown in Figure 2.

The existing municipal infrastructure on Richmond Road consists of:

- A 300 mm PVC watermain (2004)
- A 300 mm PVC sanitary sewer (2004)
- A 300 mm PVC storm sewer (2004)

The existing municipal infrastructure on Churchill Avenue North consists of:

- A 200/400 mm PVC watermain (2004/2010)
- A 250 mm PVC sanitary sewer (2010)
- A 375 mm PVC storm sewer (2010)

The existing municipal infrastructure on Winona Avenue consists of:

- A 152mm PVC/UCI watermain (2004/1931)
- A 225 mm concrete sanitary sewer (1931)
- A 450 mm concrete storm sewer (1981)

Figure 2: Existing Municipal Infrastructure Surrounding the Site



#### **1.4 Consultation and Permits**

The City of Ottawa and other agencies were consulted for this project. A summary of the consultations is provided below; copies of the correspondences and/or minutes are provided in **Appendix A**.

#### CONSULTATIONS

#### City of Ottawa

The City of Ottawa provided the following project specific criteria for the proposed development during the pre-application consultation, the minutes are provided in **Appendix A**:

• Concerned about capacity of sanitary. Churchill limited size for services;



- Site contamination is likely. Need full assessment in Phase II ESA. Of particular concern, is ground-water contamination, which if evident, the water needs to be treated and then directed to sanitary. Even treated water is not permitted as storm.
- Make sure the Geotech study and Phase II ESA specifically discuss impacts on ground water, and if contaminated propose solutions;
- The underground parking levels may impact water table and ground water;
- Site servicing connections can occur on any street;
- Water and storm should not have issues;
- Any soil contamination be addressed through safe removal and remediation; and
- Flow and capacity analysis to study area up to trunk connection, and surrounding area developments.

#### Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA)

Parsons contacted the RVCA who indicated that no water quality protections will be required, and best management practices are encouraged. The communication with the RVCA is included in **Appendix A**.

#### Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)

An Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) is not required for this site as the multiple parcels will be merged in to one, there is no industrial use proposed and the sewers in the area are fully separated.

#### PERMITS AND APPROVALS

The City of Ottawa and the various agencies consulted require the approvals and permits listed below. The City of Ottawa Development Servicing Study Checklist is included in **Appendix B**.

City of Ottawa

- Road Cut Permit
- Commence Work Order
- Water permit
- Water Data Card
- Flow Control Roof Drainage Declaration

#### **1.5 Geotechnical and Environmental Recommendations**

#### **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION**

Paterson Group completed a geotechnical report, Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Multi-Storey Building, 319-327 Richmond Road, 381 Churchill Avenue, 380 Winona Avenue – Ottawa, Ontario. The report is submitted separately.

The report's recommendations regarding grading, site servicing, and drainage are described below. These recommendations are integrated in the design.

#### Site Grading and Preparation

- Line-drilling in conjunction with hoe-ramming or controlled blasting may be required to remove the bedrock. In areas where only a small quantity of bedrock is to be removed, bedrock removal may be possible by hoe-ramming.
  - The effects on the existing services, buildings, and other structures should be addressed prior to considering blasting operations. Should blasting be required, a pre-blast or pre-construction survey of the existing structures located in proximity of the blasting operations should be carried out prior to commencing site activities.
  - Peak particle velocity should not exceed 25 mm/s during the blasting program to reduce the risks of damage to the existing structures.
  - The blasting operations should be planned and conducted under the supervision of a licensed professional engineer who is an experience blasting consultant.



- Excavation side slopes in sound bedrock could be completed with almost vertical side walls. A minimum of 1m horizontal bench should remain between the bottom of the overburden and the top of the bedrock surface to provide an area for potential sloughing or a stable base for the overburden shoring system.
- Means to reduce vibrations levels caused by construction operations should be incorporated in the construction operations as much as possible. Vibrations caused by blasting or construction operations could cause detrimental vibrations on the adjoining buildings and structures. Recommended that all vibrations be limited.
  - As a guidelines, the peak particle velocity should be less than 15 mm/s between frequencies of 4 to 12 Hz, and 500 mm/s above a frequency of 40 Hz (interpolate between 12 and 40 Hz).
  - These guidelines are perceptible at human level and can be disturbing to some people. Considering there are several sensitive buildings in close proximity to the subject site, consideration to lower these guidelines is recommended.
  - Recommended to carry out a pre-construction survey to minimize the risks of claims during or following construction.
- Topsoil and fill, containing deleterious materials, should be stripped from under any buildings and other settlement sensitive structures.
- Fill used for grading beneath the building areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II.
  - Fill should be placed in lifts no greater than 300mm thick and compacted using suitable compaction equipment for the lift thickness.
- Non-specified existing fill along with site-excavated soil can be used as general landscaping fill where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern.
  - These materials should be spread in thin lifts and at least compact by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids.
  - If these materials are to be used to build up the subgrade level for areas to be paved, they should be compacted in thin lifts to a minimum density of 95% of their respective SPMDD.
  - Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for use as backfill against foundation walls unless a composite drainage blanket connected to a perimeter drainage system is provided.

#### Pavement Structure

- Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this project.
- If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type II material.
- The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment.

Thickness (mm)	Material Description
50	WEAR COURSE – HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete
150	BASE – OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone
300	SUBBASE – OPSS Granular B Type II
SUBGRADE – Eith fill	er fill, in situ soils or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil or

Table	1. Dooo	mmandad	Dovomont	Ctructure	CorC	holy D	orling	Aroon
I avie .	T. KECO	IIIIIIeiiueu	raveilleill	Suuciale -	Car U	лну го	arning i	Aleas

Thickness (mm)	Material Description
40	WEAR COURSE – HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete
50	BINDER COURSE – HL-8 or Superpave 19 Asphaltic Concrete
150	BASE – OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone
450	SUBBASE – OPSS Granular B Type II
SUBGRADE – Eith soil or fill	er fill, in situ silty clay or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ

 Table 2: Recommended Pavement Structure - Access Lanes and Heavy Truck Parking Areas

#### Foundation Drainage and Backfill

- A waterproofing membrane will be required to lessen the effect of water infiltration for the underground parking levels starting at 1 m above the observed groundwater level down to the founding level.
- The waterproofing membrane layer shall be placed against the grinded bedrock face. A composite drainage system should be incorporated against the waterproofing membrane system.
  - It is recommended that the composite drainage system (such as Miradrain G100N, Delta Drain 6000 or equivalent) extend down to the footing level. It is recommended that 150 mm diameter sleeves at 3 m centres be cast in the foundation wall at the footing interface to allow the infiltration of water to flow to an interior perimeter drainage pipe. The perimeter drainage pipe should direct water to sump pit(s) within the lower level area.
- Backfill against foundation walls should be free-draining and non-frost susceptible granular materials.
  - The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a drainage geocomposite, such as Miradrain G100N or Delta Drain 6000, connected to the perimeter foundation drainage system. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should otherwise be used for this purpose.
- Underfloor drainage will be required to control water infiltration due to groundwater infiltration at the proposed founding elevation.
  - Recommend that 150 mm in diameter perforated pipes be placed along the interior perimeter of the foundation wall and one drainage line within each bay.
  - The spacing of the underfloor drainage system should be confirmed at the time of backfilling the floor completing the excavation when water infiltration can be better assessed.
- A local groundwater lowering is expected under short-term conditions due to construction of the proposed building.
  - The extent of any significant groundwater lowering will take place within a limited range of the subject site due to the minimal groundwater lowering.
  - The lower portion of the foundation walls will be waterproofed which will limit groundwater lowering within the subject site and surroundings.
  - No issues are expected with respect to groundwater lowering that would cause long term damage to adjacent structures surrounding the proposed building.

#### Excavation Side Slopes

- The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level.
- Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should maintain safe working distance from the excavation sides.



- Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.
- A trench box is recommended to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. Services are expected to be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations should not remain open for extended periods of time.
- Temporary shoring may be required for the overburden soil to complete the required excavations where insufficient room is available for open cut methods. The shoring requirements will depend on the depth of the excavation, the proximity of the adjacent buildings and underground structures and the elevation of the adjacent building foundations and underground services.
  - The design and approval of the shoring system will be the responsibility of the shoring contractor and the shoring designer hired by the shoring contractor.

#### Pipe Bedding and Backfill

- A minimum of 150 mm of OPSS Granular A should be placed for bedding for sewer or water pipes when placed on soil subgrade. The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to a minimum of 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe should consist of OPSS Granular A (concrete or PSM PVC pipes) or sand (concrete pipe). The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick lifts and compacted to 95% of the material's SPMDD.
- Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to reduce the potential differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

#### Groundwater Control

- It is anticipated that groundwater infiltration into the excavations should be low to moderate and controllable using open sumps.
- For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, ranging between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, there is a requirement to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR).
- The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

#### Winter Construction

- Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project. The subsoil conditions at this site mostly consist of frost susceptible materials. In presence of water and freezing conditions, ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur.
- In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.
- The trench excavations should be carried out in a manner to avoid the introduction of frozen materials, snow or ice into the trenches. As well, pavement construction is difficult during winter. The subgrade consists of frost susceptible soils which will experience total and differential frost heaving as the work takes place. Also, the introduction of frost, snow or ice into the pavement materials, which is difficult to avoid, could adversely affect the performance of the pavement structure.



#### PHASE II ESA

Paterson Group completed a Phase II Environmental Assessment (ESA) report, *Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment,* 381 *Churchill Avenue N, 319, 325 and 327 Richmond Road, 380 Winona Avenue – Ottawa, Ontario.* The report is submitted separately.

#### Soil Analysis

Soil samples from the boreholes and excavations were analyzed. Several sampling locations exceeded the MECP Table 7 Standards for PHCs, BTEX, PAHs and metals.

A remedial program is required to address impacted soil exceeding MECP Table 7 Standards for residential land use.

#### Groundwater Quality

Impacted groundwater had been identified during the initial field program at 319 Richmond Road and 380 Winona Avenue. Any monitoring wells re-tested by Paterson as part of the May 2020 Phase II ESA were in compliance with the MECP Table 7 Standards. Supplemental groundwater monitoring at 319 Richmond Road in August 2020 identified impacted groundwater at several monitoring wells. Prior to redevelopment of the property, groundwater monitoring should be completed to update the groundwater quality at the site.

Following the soil and groundwater remediation an RSC will be submitted to the MECP which will indicate the soil and groundwater contamination identified has been remediated and meets the applicable MECP Standards.

### 2.0 WATER SERVICING

#### 2.1 Proposed Water Servicing

The proposed building will be serviced with two (2) 200 mm diameter water services. One service will be provided from the 406 mm watermain on Churchill Avenue and the second will be provided from the 305 mm watermain on Richmond Road. The two services are separated by the existing isolation valve on the Churchill Avenue watermain.

Drawing C101, in Appendix C, shows the existing and proposed water distribution network.

#### 2.2 Design Criteria

The proposed water servicing has been designed in general conformance with OWG as amended by the City of Ottawa by its technical bulletins.

The system pressure criteria under normal and various operating conditions are listed in the table below.

OPERATING CONDITIONS	OPERATING CONDITIONS PRESSURE CRITERIA	
	КРа	psi
Average Daily Demand		
minimum to maximum	276-552	40-80
Desirable range	350-480	50-70
Peak Hourly Demand		
minimum to maximum	276-552	40-80
Desirable range	350-480	50-70
Maximum Daily Demand + Fire Flow		
minimum	140	20

Table 3: Water System Pressure – Criteria

The City of Ottawa provided the watermain boundary conditions for the existing 406 mm diameter watermain on Churchill Avenue and the existing 305 mm diameter watermain on Richmond Road, as shown in the table below. A copy of the correspondence is in **Appendix D**. The estimated water demand is included in **Appendix E**.

Table 4	Cable 4: 406mm Diameter Watermain on Churchill Avenue Boundary Conditions					
	MINIMUM HGL	MAXIMUM HGL	MAXIMUM DAY + FIRE FLOW			
	108.7 m	115.1 m	110.4 m			
	58.0 psi	67.1 psi	60.4 psi			
	399 KPa	463 KPa	417 KPa			

\*The associated pressures in psi and kPa are based on a ground elevation at the connection location of 67.9m.

 Table 5: 305mm Diameter Watermain on Richmond Road Boundary Conditions

MINIMUM HGL	MAXIMUM HGL	MAXIMUM DAY + FIRE FLOW
108.7 m	114.9 m	110.4 m
57.6 psi	66.4 psi	60.0 psi
397 KPa	458 KPa	414 KPa

\*The associated pressures in psi and kPa are based on a ground elevation at the connection location of 68.2m.

The boundary conditions provided demonstrate that the available pressure ranges from approximately 57.6 psi to 67.1 psi during normal operating conditions.

The fire flow was calculated using the FUS with the following parameters, as shown in Appendix E:

Type of construction:	non-combustible construction
Occupancy Type:	limited combustible
Sprinkler Protection:	fully monitored, automatic sprinkler system from standard water supply

The OWG requires that "Service areas with a basic day demand greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day (about 50 homes) shall be connected with a minimum of two watermains, separated by an isolation valve, to avoid the creation of a vulnerable service area. Individual residential facilities with a basic day demand greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day shall be connected with a minimum of two water services, separated by an isolation valve, to avoid the creation of a vulnerable service area." The proposed basic day demand is greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day, therefore; two new 200 mm water services will be provided to the building, one from Churchill Avenue and one from Richmond Road which are separated by the existing isolation valve on the Churchill Avenue watermain near the intersection with Richmond Road.

The new water services will be installed with a minimum cover of 2.4 m. Should there be less than 2.4 m cover or separation from an open structure, the pipes will be insulated as per City Standard Drawings W22 and W23.

High pressure is not an issue on this site as the boundary conditions are below 80 psi. Therefore, pressure reducing valves will not be required.

#### 2.3 Water Calculations

The table below, **Table 6**, summarizes the anticipated maximum water demand for the proposed building. Detailed calculations for the water demand and fire flow are in **Appendix E**. The calculations represent the demand from the 184 units proposed and the rental space on the first floor. The rental space is identified as retail; however, the actual tenants are unknown at this time. Given the outdoor courtyards around the building that can be used as patios, it is envisioned that restaurants or cafes are potential tenants for some or all of the three retail spaces. As restaurant water demand is



considerably higher than general retail demand we have assumed all three retail spaces will be used for restaurants to be conservative.

#### 2.3.1 Fire Demand

The fire demands were estimated using the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Detailed calculations for the fire flow are in **Appendix E**. The resultant required fire flow is 367 L/s based on the non-combustible construction type, total floor area, a limited combustible occupancy, full sprinkler protection and an exposure factor of 60% for adjacent buildings. The fire flow is presented in **Table 6**.

BUILDING	Table 6: Water De AVERAGE DAY DEMAND (ADD)	FIRE FLOW DEMAND (FF)	MDD+FF		
	L/s	L/s	L/s	L/s	L/s
327 Richmond Road	3.9	8.6	14.0	367.0	375.6

#### 2.4 Water Results

The pressures were determined for the average day demand (ADD), maximum daily demand plus fire flow (MDD+FF), and peak hourly demand (PHD) based on the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa using the Hazen-Williams head loss calculations. The calculations are provided in **Appendix E**. A 200 mm diameter service has been proposed, the resultant pressures are shown below. The resultant pressures meet the City's pressure criteria presented in **Table 3**.

#### Table 7: Residual Pressures Under Each Demand with a 200mm Service

	AVERAGE DAY			PEAK HOUR		MAX DAY + FIRE FLOW*		-0W*	
	(m)	(psi)	(kPa)	(m)	(psi)	(kPa)	(m)	(psi)	(kPa)
327 Richmond Road – Churchill Connection	40	57	395	40	57	396	37	53	363
327 Richmond Road – Richmond Connection	40	57	395	40	57	395	29	42	288

#### 2.4.1 Fire Protection

The estimated fire demand was assessed based on the boundary conditions to demonstrate that the flows can be met while maintaining a minimum pressure of 20 psi (140 kPa). As per Table 7 above, the pressure is maintained well above 20 psi for both service connections, specifically 53 psi when the fire demand is at the Churchill connection and 42 psi when the fire demand is at the Richmond connection.

In addition, the existing fire hydrants within 150 m of the building were also identified to determine the maximum available fire flow based on Table 1 of Technical Bulletin IST-2018-02 Appendix I of the OWG. In total, 12 hydrants were determined to be within 150 m of the building, based on the travel path of the fire hose from the hydrant to the building. The hydrant locations and the length of the hose travel path are presented in **Figure 3**. Four (4) AA (blue) hydrants are within 75 m and therefore have a maximum flow contribution of 5,700 L/min (95 L/s, at a pressure of 20 psi) each and eight (8) AA (blue) hydrants are more than 75 m but within 150 m and therefore have a maximum flow contribution of 3,800 L/min (63 L/s, at a pressure of 20 psi) each as per Table 1 of the above referenced Technical Bulletin. As a result, a total of 884 L/s is available from the surrounding contributing hydrants. This is well above the estimated fire demand of 367 L/s.

Therefore, the estimated fire demand, using the Fire Underwriters Survey, can be provided.







327 RICHMOND ROAD OTTAWA, ON

HYDRANT CONTRIBUTION



HYDRANT CONTRIBUTION				
HYDRANT	DISTANCE TO BUILDING	CONTRIBUTION TO FIRE FLOW		
01	38.9 m	95 L/s		
02	56.8 m	95 L/s		
03	22.7 m	95 L/s		
04	58.2 m	95 L/s		
05	115.6 m	63 L/s		
06	120.9 m	63 L/s		
07	148.2 m	63 L/s		
08	123.6 m	63 L/s		
09	149.5 m	63 L/s		
10	108.0 m	63 L/s		
11	107.4 m	63 L/s		
12	110.0 m	63 L/s		
	TOTAL	884 L/s		



HYDRANT TRAVEL PATH TO BUILDING EXISTING WATERMAIN EXISTING HYDRANT

EXISTING VALVE

November, 2021 477093 FIGURE 3

#### 2.5 Summary and Conclusions

A 200 mm diameter water service is required to supply the proposed building at 327 Richmond Road. A second 200 mm diameter water service will be provided to ensure redundancy as per the City's Guidelines. The two proposed services are separated by the existing isolation valve on the Churchill Avenue watermain at the Richmond Road intersection.

The water pressures, under average day demand, peak hour demand and maximum day plus fire flow demand are within the allowable pressure range specified by the City of Ottawa. The estimated fire demand can be provided based on the existing boundary conditions to meet minimum pressure requirements as well as through contributing hydrants within 150 m of the building, based on maximum flows provided in Table 1 of Technical Bulletin IST-2018-02 Appendix I which also demonstrate sufficient flows are available for fire demand.

The proposed water services are shown on Drawing C101 in Appendix C.

### 3.0 SANITARY SERVICING

#### 3.1 Proposed Sanitary Servicing

A 150 mm diameter sanitary service is proposed from the building to the existing 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer in Churchill Avenue. A monitoring manhole will be provided just inside the property line.

Drawing C101 in Appendix C shows the proposed sanitary service.

#### 3.2 Design Criteria

The proposed sanitary sewer flow has been designed in general conformance with the OSG and its technical bulletins.

The sanitary design flow rate is the peak flow plus the peak extraneous flow. The table below presents the values for the average flow, peak factor and peak extraneous flows used in the sanitary servicing calculations for the mixed-use development.

DEVELOPMENT TYPE	AVERAGE Sanitary flow	UNIT	PEAK FACTOR	PEAK EXTRANEOUS FLOW
Residential	280	L/c/d	Harmon Equation	0.33 L/s/gross ha
Restaurant	125	L/seat/d	1.0/1.5	
Commercial	28,000	L/ha/d	1.0/1.5	

#### Table 8: Sanitary Design Flows Criteria

The sanitary service is designed with a pipe roughness coefficient of 0.013.

The proposed service will be installed with a minimum cover of 2.0 m, where this is not possible insulation will be provided.

The pre-application consultation meeting minutes from the City included the following related to the sanitary design:

- Concerned about capacity of sanitary. Churchill limited size for services.
- Flow and capacity analysis to study area up to trunk connection, and surrounding area developments.
- Site contamination is likely. Need full assessment in Phase II ESA. Of particular concern, is ground-water contamination, which if evident, the water needs to be treated and then directed to sanitary. Even treated water not permitted as storm.

Based on these comments we prepared a sewer design sheet of the City's sanitary sewer in Churchill Avenue and Scott Street from the subject site to the collector sewer in Scott Street. We included all the contributing sewers from the side streets. The flows were estimated based on residential and commercial demands for the existing buildings. We also reviewed the City's development application website and found an application for development at 2070 Scott Street that is currently under review. We included the proposed sanitary flows from this development in the calculations. Based on



the "Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief – 2070 Scott Street, Ottawa, ON" dated October 2, 2019 prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd., the proposed peak sanitary flows are 5.78 L/s.

#### 3.3 Calculations and Results

The sewer design sheet, including the proposed development, the downstream analysis and associated sanitary drainage areas, are included in **Appendix F.** 

The proposed development consists of mainly residential rental space, with retail rental space on the first floor. The rental space is identified as retail; however, the actual tenants are unknown at this time. Given the outdoor courtyards around the building that can be used as patios, it is envisioned that restaurants or cafes are potential tenants for some or all of the three retail spaces. As sanitary flows from restaurants are considerably higher than those for general retail we have assumed the worst case scenario that all three retail spaces will be used for restaurants to be conservative. The resultant peak flow, including extraneous flows, from the subject site are estimated to be **7.6 L/s**. A 150 mm diameter sanitary service with a slope of 2% will be provided from the building to the existing sanitary sewer in Churchill Avenue.

There will be additional sanitary flows from the parking garage sump which will collect the drains from the parking garage for snow melt off cars, etc. The discharge rate from the sump pump is not known at this time, as it will be designed by the mechanical engineers, but is expected to be negligible compared to the sanitary flows from the domestic use. As the groundwater has not yet been proven to be in compliance with MECP Table 7 Standards, it is assumed that the foundation drain will be directed to a sump and through a treatment process before it is pumped to the sanitary service. The sump, treatment and pump will be designed by the mechanical engineers. Following site remediation and additional sampling, if it is demonstrated that the groundwater quality is acceptable for discharge to the storm sewer based on the City's sewer use by-law then the foundation drain will be directed to the storm sewer.

The capacity analysis of the existing City sewers from the subject site to the collector sewer in Scott Street demonstrates that the existing sanitary sewers, including the peak flows from the proposed development, will be operating at a maximum capacity of 46% full at the connection location to the collector sewer. The sewer on Churchill Avenue is at a maximum of 25% full and most of the side streets are less than 10% full. Therefore, it is expected that the existing Churchill Avenue sanitary sewer can accommodate the proposed flows from the subject site.

#### 3.4 Summary and Conclusions

A 150 mm diameter sanitary service is proposed from the subject building to the sanitary sewer in Churchill Avenue. A review of the capacity of the existing City sewers between the subject site and the collector sewer on Scott Street demonstrate that there is sufficient capacity to accommodate the proposed flows.

A backflow preventer will be required for the proposed building.

### 4.0 STORM SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 4.1 Existing Storm Servicing

The proposed development area is bordered by City roads on the south, east and west and private property to the north. The Richmond Road border of the site is approximately 1 metre higher than the elevations along the north border of the property. The existing overland flows generally drain to the adjacent roads. There are a couple catch basins on site with connections to the local storm sewers in the adjacent roads.

The existing drainage area for the site is shown in Appendix G.

#### 4.2 Proposed Storm Servicing

The site fronting Richmond Road consists of a small sitting area at the corner of Churchill Avenue which will drain to Churchill. Along Richmond Road there are widened sidewalks and a courtyard area near the centre of the building. These



areas will all drain to Richmond. Along Winona Avenue there will be a slightly wider sidewalk as well as an entrance at the corner with Richmond Road. This area will follow the existing drainage set by the road elevations and drain east to Winona.

There is an open courtyard space at the back of the building which will drain north towards the proposed loading aisle and then east along the loading aisle to Winona Avenue. Catchbasins along the north side of the loading aisle will capture the water in this area. An oversized pipe with an inlet control device (ICD) in the downstream manhole will be provided in this area to store stormwater and release it at a controlled rate.

The north border of the site will be green space. There is a retaining wall along a portion of the north border of the loading aisle that is less than 1m high and reduces in height to curb height before it reaches Winona Avenue. There will be a swale between the wall and the north property line to ensure drainage is directed from the back property line through the subject site. Landscape drains and a subdrain will be provided along the swale which will connect to the proposed storm service to Winona Avenue, downstream of the proposed ICD.

The roof will be drained with a combination of controlled and uncontrolled roof drains. There is a penthouse which houses all the mechanical and electrical equipment. The roof of the penthouse will have controlled roof drains to provide storage. The majority of the roof areas will have a raised terrace on pedestals that allows stormwater to be stored to a maximum height of 150 mm below the raised terrace, these areas will all be controlled. There are two small areas along the east and west sides of the building that are long and narrow which following discussions with the architect are difficult to create storage with using sloped insulation. The areas would need to be subdivided into many smaller areas each with their own drain. As each drain has a minimum flow rate, when multiplied by the number of needed drains the controlled roof drains do not provide a benefit, therefore these small areas will be uncontrolled. The roof drain service will be directed to the existing 375 mm storm sewer in Churchill Avenue.

The boundaries of the post-development drainage areas are shown in Drawing C102 in Appendix C.

#### 4.3 Design Criteria and Allowable Release Rate

In order to ensure no adverse impacts to the existing storm system, the 100-year post-development flow will be controlled to the 5-year storm pre-development flow with a runoff coefficient of 0.66. The flows to the storm sewer in excess of the 5-year storm release rate, up to and including the 100 year storm event, will be detained on site.

The Rational Method is used to calculate the allowable peak flow.

IDF curve equations used with the Rational formula:

- a. 5-year = 998.071/(Tc+6.053)<sup>0.814</sup>
- b. 100-year = 1735.688/(Tc+6.014)<sup>0.820</sup>

The Rational Method uses runoff coefficients for various surfaces. The table below shows the runoff coefficients used in this study. The runoff coefficient for a 100-year storm event is increased by 25% per the OSG.

Table 9: Rational Method Runoff Coefficients

SURFACE	5-YEAR COEFFICIENT	100-YEAR COEFFICIENT
Asphalt/Building/Pavers	0.90	1.00
Grass	0.20	0.25



The allowable release rate for the 0.327 ha site developed was calculated using the rational method formula based on the 5-year flow and a runoff coefficient of 0.66.

Q = 2.78 CiA

where

Q = Flow rate (L/s) C = Runoff coefficient i = Rainfall intensity (mm/hr) A = Area (ha)

The resultant allowable release rate is 62.9 L/s.

#### 4.4 Stormwater Management

The on-site storm water management has been designed to attenuate the 5-year and 100-year post-development flow rates to the pre-development 5-year flow rate as shown in the stormwater calculations included in **Appendix G** and summarized below.

#### CONTROLLED ROOF DRAINS (DRAINAGE AREAS WS-06 THROUGH WS-21)

Drainage areas WS-06 through WS-21 will be used to provide stormwater storage through the use of controlled roof drains (Watts Adjustable Accutrol roof drains). The available roof space for storage includes the roof of a mechanical/amenity space penthouse. Therefore mechanical equipment will all be housed within the penthouse and will not take up available roof storage. Beyond the penthouse roof, the remainder of the areas proposed for roof storage will have raised terraces on a pedestal system that will allow storage up to a maximum depth of 150 mm. Scuppers at 150 mm will ensure no ponding higher than 150 mm.

The available roof storage for each roof drain drainage area is equivalent to the volume within each drainage area at the maximum height of 150 mm over the roof drain. The available storage is calculated using V=(1/3)Ah where A is the drainage area and h is 150 mm.

The controlled roof drains, including the controlled flow rates, the max ponding depth, the required storage volume and the available storage volume are summarized in **Table 10** below. The associated calculations are included in **Appendix G**.

Та	ble 10:	Controlled	<b>Roof Drain</b>	Flows	and	Storage

		Watte -			5-year			100-year		Available
Controlled Roof Drain Area	# of Drains	Adjustable Accutrol Roof Drains	Drainage Area (sqm)	Controlled Flow (L/s)	Max Ponding Depth (mm)	Required Storage Volume (m3)	Controlled Flow (L/s)	Max Ponding Depth (mm)	Required Storage Volume (m3)	Storage Volume (m3)
WS-06A	1	1/4 Exposed	40.70	0.6	74.6	0.3	0.79	107.4	0.7	2.0
WS-06B	2	1/4 Exposed	50.82	1.18	62.5	0.2	1.46	94.5	0.6	2.5
WS-07	4	1/4 Exposed	70.94	2.11	48.3	0.1	2.73	83.7	0.6	3.5
WS-08A	1	1/4 Exposed	57.61	0.68	83.2	0.5	0.82	115.6	1.3	2.9
WS-09	1	1/4 Exposed	96.52	0.74	96.7	1.2	0.91	136.1	2.8	4.8
WS-10	1	1/4 Exposed	173.28	0.77	103.2	2.8	0.92	136.4	6.5	8.7
WS-11	1	1/4 Exposed	196.03	0.78	105.0	3.4	0.92	138.3	7.7	9.8
WS-12	1	1/4 Exposed	144.23	0.76	100.4	2.2	0.90	133.3	5.1	7.2
WS-13	1	1/4 Exposed	47.73	0.66	79.0	0.3	0.81	111.5	1.0	2.4
WS-14	1	1/4 Exposed	275.42	0.80	109.8	5.4	0.95	143.4	12.0	13.8
WS-15	1	1/4 Exposed	245.06	0.80	108.2	4.6	0.94	141.7	10.3	12.3
WS-16	1	1/4 Exposed	51.75	0.67	80.9	0.4	0.81	113.4	1.1	2.6
WS-17	1	1/4 Exposed	53.99	0.68	81.9	0.4	0.82	114.3	1.2	2.7
WS-18	1	1/4 Exposed	71.42	0.72	91.6	0.7	0.89	130.4	1.8	3.6
WS-19	1	1/4 Exposed	48.02	0.66	79.2	0.4	0.81	111.7	1.0	2.4
WS-20	1	1/4 Exposed	206.16	0.79	105.7	3.6	0.93	139.1	8.2	10.3
WS-21	1	1/4 Exposed	126.67	0.75	98.2	1.8	0.89	131.1	4.2	6.3
				14.2		28.2	17.3		66.3	97.8

PARSONS

The controlled flow from these drainage areas will be **14.2** L/s for the 5-year event and **17.3** L/s for the 100-year event. These flows will be directed to the buildings storm service that drains to the storm sewer in Churchill Avenue.

#### UNCONTROLLED ROOF DRAINS (DRAINAGE AREAS WS-05 and WS-08B)

Drainage areas WS-05 and WS-08B will be standard roof drains with no storage. The uncontrolled flow for each area is summarized below.

#### Table 11: Uncontrolled Roof Drain Flows

Uncontrolled Roof Drain Area	Drainage Area (sqm)	5-year Uncontrolled Flow (L/s)	100-year Uncontrolled Flow (L/s)
WS-05	155.85	4.1	7.7
WS-08B	66.75	1.7	3.3
		5.8	11.0

The uncontrolled flow from these drainage areas will be **5.8 L/s** for the 5-year event and **11.0 L/s** for the 100-year event. These flows will be directed to the buildings storm service that drains to the storm sewer in Churchill Avenue.

#### FRONT ENTRANCES, COURTYARD AND SIDEWALK ON RICHMOND (DRAINAGE AREAS WS-22A, WS-22B and WS-22C)

The front entrance at Richmond and Churchill will consist of a patio area with landscape features. This area will sheet drain to Churchill Avenue (WS-22A).

Along Richmond Road there will be a widened sidewalk between the building face and the property line as well as a courtyard and planter boxes. This area will drain south to Richmond Road (WS-22B).

There will be a second, smaller entrance at the corner of Richmond Road and Winona Avenue. This entrance will be at the east side of the building and will consist of stairs and landscape features. Along Winona Avenue there will be a slightly widened sidewalk between the building face and the property line. This area will be an extension of the existing sidewalk. This area will follow the existing drainage patterns draining east to Winona Avenue (WS-22C).

The combined uncontrolled flow from these drainage areas will be **13.9** L/s for the 5-year event and **26.5** L/s for the 100-year event.

#### BACK COURTYARD AND LOADING AISLE (DRAINAGE AREA WS-02 AND WS-04)

The courtyard at the back of the building will be graded from south to north towards the loading aisle and east along the loading aisle towards Winona Avenue. It will also include the east portion of the swale. There will be a catch basin at the end of the loading aisle to capture these flows. The courtyard and loading aisle water will be directed to catch basins along the north side of the loading aisle. The catch basins will convey flows to an oversized storm sewer (675/600mm diameter) within the loading aisle. An ICD will be provided in STMH-02 to store the stormwater in the oversized pipe which is then released at a controlled release rate.

The controlled flow from this drainage area will be 4.0 L/s for the 5-year event and 6.4 L/s for the 100-year event.

### NORTH SWALE (DRAINAGE AREA WS-01)

The drainage swale at the back of the property will be used to ensure storm flows from the area between the loading aisle retaining wall and the property line are kept on the subject site. The swale has a high point approximately 10 m from the

east property limit. This water will be captured in the swale and diverted to landscape drains and a subdrain. The subdrain will be connected to the storm service to Winona Avenue, downstream of the ICD in STMH-02.

The uncontrolled flow from this drainage area will be 0.4 L/s for the 5-year event and 0.9 L/s for the 100-year event.

#### NORTH PATHWAY (DRAINAGE AREA WS-03)

The pathway from the back courtyard to Churchill will drain overland from east to west towards Churchill Avenue.

The uncontrolled flow from this drainage area will be 0.4 L/s for the 5-year event and 0.7 L/s for the 100-year event.

The combined drainage areas discussed above result in a total flow from the site of **38.7** L/s for the 5-year event and **62.9** L/s for the 100-year event. The proposed flows from the site were broken down into which of the adjacent side streets they drain to for a comparison to the estimated existing flows per street as shown in **Table 12**. The flows to each street have been decreased significantly. The City completed a check with their hydraulic model to review the proposed flows, at the time of the check the flows were slightly higher than those shown in the table below, and were determined to be acceptable. Refer to **Appendix G** for the communication with the City regarding the hydraulic check. Since that check we added additional storage to further reduce the flows below what was reviewed and determined to be acceptable.

STREET	EXISTING 5-YEAR FLOW L/s	PROPOSED 5- YEAR FLOW L/s	EXISTING 100- YEAR FLOW L/s	PROPOSED 100- YEAR FLOW L/s
Churchill Avenue	31.6	21.6	65.3	31.5
Winona Avenue	20.8	5.8	44.6	9.8
Richmond Road	22.4	11.3	42.6	21.5

Table 12: Pre- and Post-Flows By St	reet
-------------------------------------	------

#### 4.5 Storm Service Design

A 200 mm storm service will be provided from the building to the existing 375 mm diameter storm sewer on Churchill Avenue for the controlled and uncontrolled roof drains.

An oversized sewer pipe (675/600 mm diameter) will be provided in the back courtyard with a vortex type ICD (Hydrovex Model No. 100 VHV-1) in STMH-02. A 250 mm diameter sewer pipe will drain the controlled flow from STMH-02 to the existing 450 mm diameter storm sewer in Winona Avenue.

Calculations showing the storm sewer design are included in Appendix G.

#### 4.6 Stormwater Quality

The RVCA has indicated that onsite water quality treatment will not be required for the site.

#### 4.7 Major Overland Flow

The major overland flow routes are to Churchill Avenue, Richmond Road and Winona Avenue.

#### 4.8 Summary and Conclusions

The proposed stormwater system will consist of mostly controlled roof drains as well as a small area of uncontrolled roof drains for the building area, an oversized pipe for the back courtyard and uncontrolled flows from the remaining areas. The stormwater flows are controlled with the post-development 100-year flows being controlled to the pre-development 5-year rate.



### 5.0 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

To mitigate the impacts due to erosion and sedimentation during construction, erosion and sediment control measures shall be installed and maintained throughout the duration of construction. Measures shall only be removed once the construction activities are complete, and the site has stabilized.

The measures will include:

- Siltsack® shall be installed between the frame and cover of existing and new catchbasins and maintenance holes, to minimize sediments entering the storm drainage system. These shall remain in place until construction is complete;
- A mud mat shall be provided where equipment will be leaving the site; and
- Light Duty Silt Fence Barriers shall be placed along the north border of the site. The barriers shall be installed and maintained according to OPSS 577 and OPSD 219.110.

#### 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

This report outlines the proposed servicing and stormwater management design for the proposed mixed-use building at 327 Richmond Road, Ottawa, ON.

The proposed drinking water system will consist of two 200 mm diameter water services, one from the City watermain in Churchill Avenue and one from the City watermain in Richmond Road. It was determined that the required fire demand can be met while maintaining pressures above 20 psi as required.

The proposed sanitary sewer system will consist of a 150 mm diameter sanitary service from the building to the existing sanitary sewer in Churchill Avenue. The capacity of the downstream sanitary system was investigated as far as the connection to the collector sewer in Scott Street. It was determined that there is sufficient capacity to accommodate the proposed development.

Stormwater runoff from the proposed site for the 100-year event will be controlled to the pre-development 5-year flow of 62.9 L/s.

Prepared by:



Meghan MacSween, M.Eng., P.Eng.

Reviewed by:

Trama Steving

Sharra Sterling, P.Eng.

H:\ISO\477093\1000\DOCS\Report\5 - Resubmission - Nov 2021\Richmond Churchill Site Servicing and SWM ReportNov2021.docx



APPENDIX A | CORRESPONDENCE

### **Pre-application Consultation Meeting Minutes**

Address: 381 Churchill, 319,325, 32, 331 Richmond Road Formal Pre-consultation File No.: PC2019-0177 Date: July 16, 2019, 3:00-4:30pm Location: Room 4102E, City Hall, 110 Laurier Ave W City Contact: Andrew McCreight

### City of Ottawa Staff Present:

Andrew McCreight – File Lead, Planner, Development Review Central John Wu – Infrastructure Project Manager Mike Giampa – Transportation Project Manager Christopher Moise – Architect/Urban Designer

### **Invitees Present:**

Evan Johnson, Interrent REIT (CLV Group) Oz Drewniak, Interrent REIT (CLV Group) Emilie Coyle, Fotenn Brian Casagrande, Fotenn Barry Hobin, Hobin Architecture Inc. Patrick Bisson, Hobin Architecture Inc. Chris Gordon, CGH Transportation Meghan MacSween, Parsons

Note: Westboro Community Association representatives were invited but were unable to attend. Gary Ludington and Karen Johnson will be included in the follow-up and has been requested to provide comments on behalf of the Community Association.

### Introductions and Acknowledgements

• Round table introductions

## **Overview of Proposal (Applicant team)**

Evan / Oz

- Overview about Interrent REIT and partnership with CLV Group, as a developer that lead community-oriented projects for rental projects.
- Lots of experience in eastern Ontario and Quebec, with several Ottawa projects.

Barry

- Interesting site context within Westboro historic and Westboro today, with frontage on three streets (Churchill, Westboro, Winona).
- Former City of Nepean City Hall one block west, now part of the Churchill Seniors Centre, and the original Charles Ogilvie Department store (building) a block east. Historic crossroads of Westboro.

- Site located at the bottom of the hill looking north along Churchill with significant grade changes between Byron and Richmond.
- Important intersection site central to the neighbourhood, and the mainstreet of Westboro is one of the most vital in Ottawa.
- The landholding and street frontages are a unique opportunity, and the site is not the traditional grid layout.
- Important to the project was providing a 2.0 metre front yard setback allowing for wider sidewalk treatment, the 2-storey podium treatment to reflect the existing character and enhancing the corner treatments and public realm experience.
- Some key design moves include offset notions, treatment of massing at various points across the site, and where stepping back occurs.
- The podium reflects the existing street scale.
- The site has excellent walkability, and parking provided on this development will be dictated by demand.
- Extending height to high-rise, despite good transit access in proximity to two rapid transit stations, was out of the question. This is not the site for the type of development that is occurring on Scott Street. Density and intensification can occur within a mid-rise built form.
- Emphasizing the corner elements and treatment to complement the surroundings and break down mass.
- Use and enjoyment of the top of building can take advantage of the long views, such as to the Gatineau hills.
- Very top of building designed with a roof-top amenity and terrace. This holds a lot of Urban value and opportunity for additional greening that is not possible at grade on a mainstreet.
- Questions were raised by City Staff (Christopher/Andrew) concerning the mass and transition. Response/discussion below.
  - We applied the angular plane and it only clips the top of the 7<sup>th</sup> storey.
  - We provided a 7.5m rear yard
  - Site is skewed, not a square grid, so you get some interesting building angles, and we strategically buffered and transitioned from the properties to the rear.
  - Angular plane evident in stepbacks shown at levels 7-8-9.
  - Churchill context is not residential, so less stepping here.

## Brian

- The applications will be for Site Plan and Zoning By-law amendment (minor, mainly to increase height).
- Planning Rationale will be formulated on the polices in effect at the time of submission.
- Strong focus on the Secondary Plan and polices that allow for taller buildings.
- This is a significant corner site
- Excellent access and opportunity to support transit.
- Reviewed the site, and others have pushed for high-rise buildings, but our assessment is that this is a mid-rise building at its maximum.

- Several examples of 8-9 storey buildings along corridor and within surrounding area.
- We will provide additional images to highlight the proposed transition and massing.

# PRELIMINARY COMMENTS FROM THE CITY

# Planning / Urban Design Comments (Christopher / Andrew)

- Building height is sensitive, and further analysis of the number of storeys and massing is required.
- The challenge is with the sense of site context and reviewing how the proposal fits within the surrounding context and planned function.
- Transition analysis needs to assess the future context. What does the Richmond Road corridor, Churchill and Winona experience yield?
- Mainstreets encourage density but with the correct built form, and illustrate the relationship context.
- 8/9 storey across the street or replicability of the proposed massing could result in a poor mainstreet condition. What is the surrounding vision supported by policy and zoning, and how does this proposal fit within?
- Review possible canyon effect and further explore those design moves that break down mass, like the corner treatments and stepbacks.
- Public realm treatment and streetscaping is really important. The initial thoughts on this with the wider sidewalk and opening the corners are a good start.
- Transition in mass and built form is more important than the actual number of storeys (relative to where transition is occurring). Assess the appropriate treatment for Winona and Churchill context. Initial assessment is that transition should result in the built form at the rear of the matching a low-rise condition.
- Questions were raised about how the 45 degree angular plane was being applied, and clarification will be provided in the follow-up. Staff do not believe it was applied correctly.
- Concerned about the treatment of the amenity level resulting in a 10-storey building (high-rise as opposed to mid-rise) and what impact that may have from a policy analysis. Explore a more sensitive approach to incorporating roof-top access and upper floor amenity room.
- Several mature trees exist around the perimeter of the site, some of which may be City owned, and others which may straddle property lines or be located on abutting properties, such as along Winona. The development should preserve the existing trees.
- S. 37 may be required. Please review and discuss with the City prior to submitting an application as potential changes resulting from Bill 108 are unknown at this time. Discussion to be included in Planning Rationale.

# Infrastructure Comments (John Wu)

- Concerned about capacity of Sanitary. Churchill limited size for services.
- Site contamination is likely. Need full assessment in Phase II ESA. Of particular concern, is ground-water contamination, which if evident, the water needs to be treated and then directed to sanitary. Even treated water not permitted as storm.
- Make sure the Geotech Study and Phase II ESA specifically discuss impacts on ground water, and if contaminated propose solutions.
- The underground parking levels may impact water table and ground water.
- Site Servicing connections can occur on any street.
- Water and Storm should not have issues.
- Any soil contamination be addressed through safe removal and remediation.
- Flow and capacity analysis to study area up to trunk connection, and surrounding area developments.

# Transportation Comments (Mike Giampa / Andrew)

Comments recorded as part of discussion with Chris Gordon

- Confirm if any road widening or corner sight-triangle requirements. Response:
  - Widening None required
  - Corner Sight Triangles
    - 5m x 5m sight triangles required at corners Richmond/Churchill and Richmond/Winona. Sight triangle to be unencumbered.
- Step 1 of the TIA process is complete, and all triggers were met. Moving forward to Step 2 (Scoping) the TOD modal shares will be applied, and site access will be evaluated.
- Applicant (Chris Gordon) to propose study area limits for TIA radius and intersection analysis. City will review internally to determine scope.
- Churchill access Sightlines and private approach by-law to be reviewed. Access on Churchill may have to be restricted if there is excessive queuing at the Richmond/Churchill signal.
- Loading access (Winona) will review design to determine need for curb returns etc. and travel routes on arterial. Design needs to accommodate the appropriate size of loading/heavy vehicle.
- For garbage / commercial loading area, need to review frequency of activity and type of trucks anticipated.
- Include mitigation measures of loading activity.
- Commercial unit size not yet determined, but if larger units require parking, explain how commercial parking is incorporated into development.
- Garage access proximity to Churchill/Richmond needs to look at potential queuing impacts or feasibility of left-turn egress. Queuing could be a problem.
- Focus on Transit Demand Management Strategies and identify how development is design to maximize active modes of transportation. The bicycle room at grade

shown on the plans is a good start, but more details required to understand function and use.

- Clarify if development will include commercial parking for the ground floor commercial units.
- Explore options to incorporate a car-share service, such as within the access off Winona at grade.

# Westboro Community Association Input (Gary and Karen)

Note: comments provided via email after the meeting as representatives were unable to attend.

- Concerned with problems at the intersections and safety issues for pedestrians.
- Concerned about the impact on the R4 neighbourhood behind the site.
- WCA often relies on "global" criteria in reviewing development proposals. These criteria reflect values our community has expressed when reacting to Westboro development applications. These criteria are:

1. protecting the environment (e.g., freedom from fumes and excessive heat, noise and light generation, stormwater management);

• 2. preserving trees and green space;

3. enhancing the walkability of our neighbourhoods (e.g., street level interest, podiums and friendly transitions to neighbourhoods, no wind tunnels, dark sidewalks, or "looming" structures);

4. fostering inclusivity and affordability; and

5. respecting our built heritage.

- We would like the developer to speak to these criteria: How does the proposal enhance Westboro's streetscape, contribute to an interesting, safe, and comfortable walk, and allow trees to be saved and greenspace to be created?
- The community association objects strenuously to all the parking being proposed for all the huge buildings going up around Scott. We can't have it both ways. Not sure how the city justifies granting additional height for proximity to transit while approving parking for all the units to boot.

## **Next Steps**

- Preliminary/informal meeting with the UDRP recommended.
- Reach out to community and Ward Councillor. If go public with the proposal, please waive the non-disclosure agreement obligations of the Westboro Community Association members.

# [EXTERNAL] Re: 327 Richmond Road, Ottawa, ON

# Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>

Thu 2020-05-07 9:26 AM

To: MacSween, Meghan <Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com>
Cc: Sterling, Sharra <Sharra.Sterling@parsons.com>
Hi Megan,

This looks pretty straight forward, for the design proposed the RVCA would not require any quality control measures as rooftop and landscaping are considered clean. Best management practices are encouraged.

Please let me know if you require anything else.

Cheers,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP Planner, RVCA 613-692-3571 x1137

From: MacSween, Meghan <Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com>
Sent: May 7, 2020 9:22 AM
To: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>
Cc: Sterling, Sharra <Sharra.Sterling@parsons.com>
Subject: 327 Richmond Road, Ottawa, ON

Hi Eric,

We would like to request any RVCA requirements or comments related to a proposed development at 327 Richmond Road (the corner of Richmond and Churchill).

We are working for the owner of this building (CLV Group) towards a Site Plan Approval from the City of Ottawa, for a proposed mixed-use building (residential with retail on the first floor). The drawing below gives you an idea of the site layout. Most of the storm water on site will be on the roof. There is a patio space at the front corner of the building, just for pedestrian use, and also a larger courtyard in the back of the building, again just for pedestrian use. There is a vehicle entrance at the north side of the site, from Winona Avenue, shown below. There is no parking in this area but there will be vehicle access for loading (move-in, move-out, deliveries for the retail, etc.). All on site parking is in an underground parking garage accessed by a ramp from Churchill, on the north side of the site, the ramp is not exposed it is covered by the building.



Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks,

Meghan

#### Meghan MacSween, M.Eng., P.Eng.

Municipal Engineer 1223 Michael St. North, Suite 100, Ottawa, ON K1J 7T2 <u>meghan.macsween@parsons.com</u> M: +1 343.997.3895 <u>Parsons / LinkedIn [linkedin.com] / Twitter [twitter.com] / Facebook [facebook.com] / Instagram [instagram.com]</u>



'NOTICE: This email message and all attachments transmitted with it may contain privileged and confidential information, and information that is protected by, and proprietary to, Parsons Corporation, and is intended solely for the use of the addressee for the specific purpose set forth in this communication. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and you should delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. The recipient may not further distribute or use any of the information contained herein without the express written authorization of the sender. If you have received this message in error, or if you have any questions regarding the use of the proprietary information contained therein, please contact the sender of this message immediately, and the sender will provide you with further instructions.'

APPENDIX B | SERVICING CHECKLIST

Development Servicing Study Checklist						
1 Ger	ieral Content	Comments				
NA	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).					
Y	Date and revision number of the report.	Title page				
Y	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of	Figure 1 and Drawing C101				
	proposed development.					
Y	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figure 2 and Drawing C101				
NA	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and					
	reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to					
	which individual developments must adhere.					
Y	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 1.4				
NA	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master					
	Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the					
	case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and					
	develop a defendable design criteria.					
Y	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 2.2/3.2/4.3				
Υ	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate	Section 1.3				
	area.					
NA	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal					
	Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made					
	to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).					
Y	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the	Drawing C101				
	development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed storm water					
	management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to					
	neighboring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading					
	will not impede existing major system flow paths.					
NA	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services					
	(such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address					
	potential impacts.					
NA	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable					
Y	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	Section 1.5				
	All preliminary and formal site plans submissions should have the following					
	information:					
Υ	Metric Scale	Drawing C101				
Y	<ul> <li>North arrow (including construction North)</li> </ul>	Drawing C101				
Y	<ul> <li>Key Plan</li> </ul>	Drawing C101				
Y	<ul> <li>Name and contact information of applicant and property owner</li> </ul>	Drawing C101				
Y	<ul> <li>Property limits including bearing and dimensions</li> </ul>	Drawing C101				
Y	<ul> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> </ul>	Drawing C101				
Y	<ul> <li>Easement, road widening and right-of-way</li> </ul>	Drawing C101				
Y	Adjacent street names	Drawing C101				
2 De	velopment Servicing Report : Water	Comments				
NA	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available.					
Y	Availability of public infrastructure to services proposed development.	Section 2.0				
Y	Identification of system constraints.	Section 2.2				
Y	Identification of boundary conditions.	Section 2.2				
Y	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	Section 2.3				
Y	Contirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is	Section 2.3				
	calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire					
	flow at locations throughout the development.					

	Development Servicing Study Checklist					
NA	Provided a check of high pressure. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is	Section 2.3				
	required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.					
NA	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing					
V	for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design.					
Y	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves.	Section 2.2				
NA	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.					
Ŷ	delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that choice	Section 2.3				
	that expected demands under average day, peak beur and fire flow conditions					
	inal expected demands under average day, peak nour and me now conditions					
v	Description of the proposed water distribution network including locations of	Section 2.1				
	proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and					
	annurtenances (values pressure reducing values value chambers and fire hydrants)					
	including special metering provisions					
NΔ	Description of off-site required feedermains booster numning stations and other					
1.07.	water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed					
	development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.					
v	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design	Section 2.2 and 2.3				
	Guidelines					
NA	Provision of model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets					
	parcels, and building locations for reference.					
2 Da	Parton, and Samuelan Banart, Wastewater	Commonte				
3 De	velopment Servicing Report: Wastewater	Comments				
ř	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note, wet-weather now criteria should not	Section 3.2				
	ldoviato trom tho (lity of (lttawa Sower Decign (-judalinac - Manitaring Flaw data					
	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data					
	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements					
NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations.					
NA	from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations.					
NA NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and					
NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.					
NA NA Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from	Section 4.1				
NA NA Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 4.1				
NA NA Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of	Section 4.1 Section 4.3				
NA NA Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made	Section 4.1 Section 4.3				
NA NA Y Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable).	Section 4.1 Section 4.3				
NA NA Y Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F				
NA NA Y Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F				
NA NA Y Y Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing numping stations;	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to services development	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to services development. Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to services development. Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				
NA NA Y Y Y NA NA	deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitoring Flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justification for deviations. Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flow that are higher than the recommended flow in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers. Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development. Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable). Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format. Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains. Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitation imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourse, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to services development. Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity. Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary	Section 4.1 Section 4.3 Appendix F Section 4.1				

	Development Servicing Study Checklist					
NA	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.					
4 De	velopment Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist	Comments				
Y	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of	Section 4.1				
	outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)					
NA	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.					
Y	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse,	Figure B in Appendix G and				
	existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage patterns.	Drawing C101				
Y	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to	Section 4.3				
	pre-development level for storm event ranging from the 2 or 5 years event					
	(dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 years return period); if other					
	objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to					
	hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatershed, taking into account					
	long-term cumulative effects.					
Y	Water Quality control objectives (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection	Section 4.7				
	based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.					
Υ	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and	Section 4.5				
	descriptions with references and supporting information.					
NA	Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.					
NA	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.					
Y	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the	Appendix A				
	Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.					
NA	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable					
	study exists.					
Y	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for	Section 4.5				
	minor events (1:5 years return period) and major events (1:100 years return period).					
NIA	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how					
ΝA	watercourses will be protected, or if passes any altered by the proposed					
	development with applicable approvals					
v	Calculate pro and post development peak flow rates including a descriptions of	Section 4.4.4.5 and Appendix				
I	existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in	G				
	comparison to existing conditions	0				
NA	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another					
Y	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk	Drawing C101				
-	sewers, and stormwater management facilities.					
NA	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has					
	adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year					
	return period storm event.					
NA	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses.					
NA	Identification of municipal drains and related approvals requirements.					
Y	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the	Section 4.5				
	development.					
Y	100 years flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from	Drawing C101				
	flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.					
NA	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.					
Y	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the	Section 5.0				
	protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.					

Development Servicing Study Checklist		
NA	Identification of floodplains - proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information	
	from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to	
	delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if	
	such information is not available or if information does not match current	
	conditions.	
NA	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	
5 Ap	proval and Permit Requirements: Checklist	Comments
NA	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of	
	floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a	
	watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approvals under Lakes and Rivers Improvements	
	Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and	
	Rivers Improvements Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in	
	place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvements Act is not required, except	
	in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	
NA	Application for Certificate of Approvals (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources	
	Act.	
NA	Change to Municipal Drains	
NA	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and	
	Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	
6 Conclusion Checklist		Comments
Y	Clearly stated conclusion and recommendations.	Section 6.0
Y	Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and	Appendix A
	information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the	
	responsible reviewing agency.	
Y	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer	Report
	registered in Ontario.	
**APPENDIX C | DRAWINGS** 



ST	ORM MAINTE	NANCE HOLE DA	ТА	
	<b>SIZE</b>		ELEV/	ATION
COVER	SIZE	STANDARD	T/G	INVERT
S31	300mm	S31	67.10	NE 66.44 (250mm)
S30	300mm	S30	67.24	SW 66.35 (250mm) NE 66.35 (250mm)
S30	300mm	S30	67.21	SW 66.20 (250mm) SE 66.20 (250mm)
S19	600x600mm	OPSD 705.010	67.04	SE 66.21 (250mm)
S19	600x600mm	OPSD 705.010	67.50	SE 66.22 (250mm)
S24.1	1500mm	OPSD 701.011	67.95	NE 65.87 (675mm)
S24.1	1500mm	OPSD 701.011	67.78	SW 65.86 (675mm) NE 65.86 (600mm)
S24.1	1200mm	OPSD 701.010	67.15	SW 65.83 (600mm) NE 65.80 (250mm)

			STORM SE	WER DATA			
LOCA	TION		ΜΛΤΕΡΙΛΙ			INVERT EL	EVATIONS
FROM	TO	DIAMETER	MATERIAL	CLASS	LENGTH	UPSTREAM	DOWNSTR
CAP 1.0m FROM BLDG	CHURCHILL AVE CONNECTION*	200mm	PVC	SDR-35	9.0m	66.35	66.17*
STLD-05	STLD-06	250mm HDPE - 18.2m				66.44	66.35
STLD-06	STLD-07	250mm	HDPE	-	29.8m	66.35	66.20
STLD-07	CONNECTION**	250mm	PVC	SDR-35	3.0m	66.20	66.17**
STCB-04	CONNECTION**	250mm	PVC	SDR-35	1.6m	66.21	66.19**
STCB-03	CONNECTION**	250mm	PVC	SDR-35	1.5m	66.22	66.21**
STMH-01	STMH-01A	675mm	CONC	50-D	13.2m	65.87	65.86
STMH-01A	STMH-02	600mm	PVC	SDR-35	19.4m	65.86	65.83
STMH-02	WINONA AVE CONNECTION*	250mm	PVC	SDR-35	14.8m	65.80	65.73*
	INVERT AT TOP	BEND. CONN ** INVERT A	ECT TO EXIS	STING PIPE AS	S PER CITY C O PIPE AS PE	F OTTAWA STD. R CITY OF OTTA	S11 AND S1 WA STD. S1

SANITARY SEWER DATA														
LOCA	ATION					INVERT ELEVATIONS								
FROM	TO	DIAMETER	WATERIAL	CLASS	LENGTH	UPSTREAM	DOWNSTREAM							
CAP 1.0m FROM BLDG	SA MONITORING MH	150mm	PVC	SDR-35	0.5m	66.35	66.34							
SA MONITORING MH	CHURCHILL AVE CONNECTION*	150mm	PVC	SDR-35	6.8m	66.31	66.17*							
	*INVERT AT TOP BEND, CONNECT TO EXISTING PIPE AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD, S11.1													

- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL LAYOUT FOR
- JOB BENCH MARK REFER TO SURVEY BY AOV LTD. CONFIRM WITH CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR PRIOR TO UTILIZATION OF BENCH MARK. ALL GROUND SURFACES SHALL BE EVENLY GRADED WITHOUT PONDING AREAS AND WITHOUT LOW POINTS EXCEPT WHERE
- COORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND
- A NEAT AND STRAIGHT LINE PRIOR TO PLACING NEW PAVEMENT. PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.
- OTTAWA DETAIL SC1.1. ELEVATIONS AT CURB INDICATE THE GRADE AT THE FINISHED ROAD SURFACE UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. RESTORE PAVEMENT STRUCTURE AND SURFACES ON EXISTING ROADS TO A CONDITION AT LEAST EQUAL TO ORIGINAL AND TO THE
- ALL MATERIAL SUPPLIED AND PLACED FOR PARKING LOT AND ACCESS ROAD CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE TO OPSS STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. CONSTRUCTION TO
- OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS
- MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING VEGETATION DURING THE
- 14. FILTER FABRIC TO BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED BETWEEN THE FRAME AND COVER OF ALL CATCHBASINS AND CATCHBASIN MANHOLES DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD TO MINIMIZE SEDIMENTS ENTERING THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM. ALL GRASSED AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF THE
- REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED FROM THE ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE ALL ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS LOCATED WITHIN THE PROPOSED BUILDING, PARKING AND ROADWAY LOCATIONS. ANY CONTAMINATED MATERIAL SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT A LICENSED
- FROM THE REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN THE VARIOUS PERMITS/APPROVALS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, SUCH AS BUT NOT LIMITED TO; ROAD CUT PERMITS,
- AT PROPOSED UTILITY CONNECTION POINTS AND CROSSINGS (I.E. STORM SEWER, SANITARY SEWER, WATER, ETC.) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE PRECISE LOCATION AND DEPTH AND SIZE OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR CONFLICTS TO THE ENGINEER BEFORE COMMENCING WORK. PROTECT AND ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING
- 18. REFER TO ARCHITECT AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR BUILDING, LANDSCAPE, AND HARD SURFACE AREAS AND

- 20. SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL SEWERS AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MOST CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS SEWER BEDDING AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD S6 FOR
- SINGLE TRENCH AND CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD S7 FOR COMBINED ALL WORK SHALL BE PERFORMED, AS APPLICABLE IN ACCORDANCE
- CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM ELEVATION OF EXISTING STORM AND SANITARY SEWERS AT PROPOSED CONNECTION POINTS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO THE ENGINEER BEFORE
- STORM AND SANITARY LATERALS SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH
- BACKWATER VALVES IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA 26. CONTRACTOR TO CCTV ALL NEW SEWERS, 250mmØ OR GREATER, TO ENSURE THEY ARE CLEAN AND OPERATIONAL UPON COMPLETION OF
- PROVIDE SANITARY BACKWATER VALVES IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD \$14.1 AND FOUNDATION DRAIN BACKWATER
- VALVE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD S14. SEWER CONNECTIONS TO BE MADE ABOVE THE SPRINGLINE OF THE SEWER AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD S11, S11.1, AND S11.2.

- SUPPLY AND INSTALL ALL WATERMAIN AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MOST CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS
- BELOW FINISHED GRADE, WHERE REQUIRED, PROVIDE INSULATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS W22 AND W23. WATER MAIN BEDDING AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W17.
- THAT THE AMOUNT OF DEFLECTION USED IS LESS THAN HALF THAT 35. EXCAVATION, INSTALLATION, AND BACKFILL BY CONTRACTOR.

SANITARY MAINTENANCE HOLE DATA													
STRUCTURE	COVER	STANDARD	ELEV/ T/G	ATION INVERT									
SA MONITORING MH	S24	1200mm	OPSD 701.010	68.31	NE 66.34 (150mm) SW 66.31 (150mm)								



#18218



SCAL	.E:	1::	20

					CONTROLLEI						
LOCATION		MODEL	WEIR OPENING	No. OF	CONTROLLE	D FLOW (L/s)	MAX PONDING	G DEPTH (mm)	REQUIRED VOLUM	STORAGE E (cu.m)	
	AREA (SqIII)		EXPOSED	DRAINS	5 YEAR	100 YEAR	5 YEAR	100 YEAR	5 YEAR	100 YEAR	VOLOME (cu.m)
WS-06A	40.70	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.64	0.79	74.6	107.4	0.3	0.7	2.0
WS-06B	50.82	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	2	1.18	1.46	62.5	94.5	0.2	0.6	2.5
WS-07	70.94	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	4	2.11	2.73	48.3	83.7	0.1	0.6	3.5
WS-08A	57.61	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.68	0.82	83.2	115.6	0.5	1.3	2.9
WS-09	96.52	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.74	0.91	96.7	136.1	1.2	2.8	4.8
WS-10	173.28	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.77	0.92	103.2	136.4	2.8	6.5	8.7
WS-11	196.03	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.78	0.92	105.0	138.3	3.4	7.7	9.8
WS-12	144.23	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.76	0.90	100.4	133.3	2.2	5.1	7.2
WS-13	47.73	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.66	0.81	79.0	111.5	0.3	1.0	2.4
WS-14	275.42	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.80	0.95	109.8	143.4	5.4	12.0	13.8
WS-15	245.06	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.79	0.94	108.2	141.7	4.6	10.3	12.3
WS-16	51.75	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.67	0.81	80.9	113.4	0.4	1.1	2.6
WS-17	53.99	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.68	0.82	81.9	114.3	0.4	1.2	2.7
WS-18	71.42	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.72	0.89	91.6	130.4	0.7	1.8	3.6
WS-19	48.02	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.66	0.81	79.2	111.7	0.4	1.0	2.4
WS-20	206.16	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.78	0.93	105.7	139.1	3.6	8.2	10.3
WS-21	126.67	WATTS ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL WEIR	1/4	1	0.75	0.89	98.2	131.1	1.8	4.2	6.3
		TOTAL FLOW			14.18	17.31					

- 1. CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL LAYOUT FOR
- 3. JOB BENCH MARK REFER TO SURVEY BY AOV LTD. CONFIRM WITH
- 4. ALL GROUND SURFACES SHALL BE EVENLY GRADED WITHOUT PONDING AREAS AND WITHOUT LOW POINTS EXCEPT WHERE
- 6. COORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND
- 7. ALL EDGES OF DISTURBED PAVEMENT SHALL BE SAW CUT TO FORM A NEAT AND STRAIGHT LINE PRIOR TO PLACING NEW PAVEMENT. PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.
- 8. CURBS TO BE CONCRETE BARRIER, CONSTRUCTED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL SC1.1. ELEVATIONS AT CURB INDICATE THE GRADE
- ROADS TO A CONDITION AT LEAST EQUAL TO ORIGINAL AND TO THE 10. ALL MATERIAL SUPPLIED AND PLACED FOR PARKING LOT AND ACCESS ROAD CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE TO OPSS STANDARDS AND
- 12. OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS
- 13. MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING VEGETATION DURING THE
- 14. FILTER FABRIC TO BE INSTALLED AND MAINTAINED BETWEEN THE FRAME AND COVER OF ALL CATCHBASINS AND CATCHBASIN MANHOLES DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD TO MINIMIZE SEDIMENTS ENTERING THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM. ALL GRASSED AREAS MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF THE
- 15. REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED FROM THE ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE ALL ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS LOCATED WITHIN THE PROPOSED BUILDING, PARKING AND ROADWAY LOCATIONS. ANY CONTAMINATED MATERIAL SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT A LICENSED
- 16. THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN DOES NOT EXEMPT THE CONTRACTOR FROM THE REQUIREMENTS TO OBTAIN THE VARIOUS PERMITS/APPROVALS REQUIRED TO COMPLETE A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT, SUCH AS BUT NOT LIMITED TO; ROAD CUT PERMITS,
- 17. AT PROPOSED UTILITY CONNECTION POINTS AND CROSSINGS (I.E. STORM SEWER, SANITARY SEWER, WATER, ETC.) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE PRECISE LOCATION AND DEPTH AND SIZE OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR CONFLICTS TO THE ENGINEER BEFORE COMMENCING WORK. PROTECT AND ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING
- 18. REFER TO ARCHITECT AND LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS FOR BUILDING, LANDSCAPE, AND HARD SURFACE AREAS AND



#18218





APPENDIX D | BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

# [EXTERNAL] RE: D07-12-20-0081 - 327 Richmond Road Site Plan - Watermain Connections

Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca>

Fri 2021-10-01 10:03 PM

To: MacSween, Meghan <Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com>

Cc: Fawzi, Mohammed < mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>

1 attachments (833 KB)327 Richmond Road September 2021.pdf;

Hi Meghan,

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 327 Richmond Road (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 406 mm watermain on Churchill Avenue and either the 305 mm on Richmond Road OR the 152 mm on Winona Avenue (see attached PDF for location).

Option A

Minimum HGL: 108.7 m (both connections)

Maximum HGL: 115.1 m (Churchill) and 114.9 (Richmond)

Max Day + FF (367 L/s): 110.4 m (both connections)

Option B

```
Minimum HGL: 108.7 m (both connections)
```

Maximum HGL: 115.1 m (Churchill) and 114.9 (Winona)

Max Day + FF (367 L/s): 110.4 m (Churchill)

Available Fireflow at 20 psi: 290 L/s (Winona), assuming a ground elevation of 67.6 m.

Please note that the fire demand is high. Per technical bulletin 2021-03 the OBC method can be used, only if the site is not designing a watermain and the OBC method results in a fire demand less than 150 L/s.

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed

### 10/4/21, 10:52 AM

### Mail - MacSween, Meghan - Outlook

in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Regards,

 Reza Bakhit, P.Eng, C.E.T

 Project Manager

 Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department - Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique

 Development Review - Centeral Branch

 City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

 613.580.2400 ext./poste 19346, reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca

 Please note: Given the current pandemic, I will be working from home until further notice; reaching me by email is the easiest. I will be checking my voicemail, just not as frequently as I normally would be.

From: MacSween, Meghan <Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com>
Sent: Friday, September 24, 2021 9:44 AM
To: Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Subject: Fw: D07-12-20-0081 - 327 Richmond Road Site Plan - Watermain Connections

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning Reza,

I'm contacting you regarding the 327 Richmond Road SPA, D07-12-20-0081, with a proposed revision to the water service location to reflect constructability issues noted based on as-builts of the City's watermain in Churchill Road. I mistakenly sent the request below yesterday to Mohammed who was previously the engineer on this project (my apologies Mohammed!). Please refer to the email below outlining the issue identified and our request for additional boundary conditions so we can assess the proposed change to the second water service.

Please don't hesitate to contact me by email, phone or teams meeting if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks,

# Meghan

Meghan MacSween, M.Eng., P.Eng. Municipal Engineer

1223 Michael St. North, Suite 100, Ottawa, ON K1J 7T2 meghan.macsween@parsons.com

M: +1 343.997.3895

<u>Parsons [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] / LinkedIn [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] / Twitter</u> [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] / <u>Facebook [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com]</u> / <u>Instagram</u> [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com]



From: MacSween, Meghan <<u>Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com</u>>
Sent: September 23, 2021 1:53 PM
To: Fawzi, Mohammed <<u>mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca</u>>
Subject: Re: D07-12-20-0081 - 327 Richmond Road Site Plan - Watermain Connections

Hi Mohammed,

I hope you're doing well!

We did end up purchasing the watermain as-built drawing for Churchill Road and as a result we think it's best to propose a revision to our water service locations, see as-built attached for your reference. In addition to the reducer we were concerned about, there is also two horizontal bends on the City watermain that would prevent at least one of our service connections, as originally shown, as well as the valve chamber that we need to install to separate our two water services. The other bigger issue we noted on the as-builts is that the watermain is very close to the sanitary sewer (just over 1m separation) so we wouldn't have the normal clearances to work with in terms of trying to install the 1.5m diameter valve chamber and could potentially end up over the existing City sanitary sewer. So we think it would be best to keep one water service from Churchill as per our original design, but then move the second service to either Richmond or Winona, to eliminate the need for the valve chamber on the Churchill watermain as the services will be separated by existing City valves. I've included a screenshot below showing the Churchill connection and then the two other potential options for the second service.

As a result, we would like to request boundary conditions for the two potential new connection locations (a - the Richmond Road watermain just east of Churchill and b - the Winona Ave watermain, just north of Richmond). Then we can identify which or both connection locations https://outlook.office.com/mail/inbox/id/AAQkADc0Yzc0ODI2LTE4NmYtNGU1ZC1hNmI0LWFmZDIkM2ViM2QxYwAQAI4OngY%2FG4BMphcNF5fi7%2Bw%3D

are feasible and update our drawings accordingly. The demands are as follows, I've also attached the FUS calculation again as they are frequently requested for boundary condition requests:

ADD = 3.9L/s MDD=8.6L/s PHD=14.0L/s Fire Demand = 367 L/s (reflects large gross floor area for 9 storeys and high exposure factor, 60%).

I also wanted to ask your preferred method for submitting this change to the service location. Is it easier for you if we resubmit the full report and drawing package or a memo outlining the change and the associated drawing?

Let me know if you have any concerns with the proposed change described above. I'm available to discuss by email, phone or teams.



Thanks,

Meghan



APPENDIX E | WATER DEMAND

## Table1 : Water Demand for Proposed Building

			Gross Floor Area	Average Daily Demand (ADD)	Maximum Daily Demand (MDD)**	Peak Hourly Demand (PHD)**	Fire Flow (FF)	MDD + FF
Building	Units	Population	(m2)		3.6*ADD (residential)	5.4*ADD (residential)		
					1.5*ADD (non-residential)	1.8*MDD (non-residential)		
				L/s	L/s	L/s	L/s	L/s
327 Richmond Road				3.9	8.6	14.0	367.0	375.6
Residential	184	332		1.3	4.8	7.3		
Commercial*			1736	2.5	3.8	6.8		

\* There are three separate retail spaces proposed on the ground floor that will be rented. The future tenants are unknown at this time but restaurant and café uses are likely. To be conservative, worst case scenario of all three of these retail spaces being used for restaurants/cafes was assumed.

## Average Daily Demands

Based on Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, 2010 and MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, 2008

Average Residential Daily Flow :350 L/p/dRetail Stores =5 L/d/m2

Restaurant (Ordinary not 24h) = 125 L/seat/d

\*\* Residential Peaking factors as per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons

## **Population Densities**

Appartment average	1.8	p./unit
Bachelor	1.4	p./unit
1 Bedroom	1.4	p./unit
2 Bedrooms	2.1	p./unit
3 Bedrooms	3.1	p./unit
Restaurant	1	seat/m2

Unit Summary		bach	1bed	1bed +	2 bed	2 bed +	TOTAL	GRAND TOTAL
LEVEL 2	x1	4	5	7	8	2	26	26
LEVEL 3	x1	4	5	7	8	2	26	26
LEVEL 4	x1	5	6	6	7	2	26	26
LEVEL 5	x1	4	9	2	9	1	25	25
LEVEL 6	x1	4	8	2	9	1	24	24
LEVEL 7	x1	4	7	2	9	1	23	23
LEVEL 8	x1	1	6	1	7	2	17	17
LEVEL 9	x1	2	5	1	6	3	17	17
			- 1				104	104
lotal Units		28	51	28	63	14	184	184
Unit Mix		15%	28%	15%	34%	8%	100%	
Persons per unit		1.4	1.4	2.1	2.1	2.1		
Population		39	71	59	132	29		332

227 Diehmend	Boundary	Condition				Demand Results							
Road - Churchill Connection #1	Head (m)	Elevation at Boundary Condition (m)	Pipe Segment Length (m)	t Diameter Demand (mm) (L/s)		Hazen Williams Coefficient, C	Area (m²)	Velocity (m/s)	Unit Conversion, K	Elevation at Building Connection (m)	Head loss (m)	Pressure (kPa)	Pressure (psi)
Peak Hour Demand	108.7	67.9	7.0	200	14.0	110	0.0314	0.45	6.79	68.30	0.0116	395	57
Average Daily Demand	108.7	67.9	7.0	200	3.9	110	0.0314	0.12	6.79	68.30	0.0011	396	57
Max Day + Fire Flow Demand	110.4	67.9	7.0	200	375.6	110	0.0314	11.96	6.79	68.30	5.0668	363	53

# Table 2: Hazen-Williams Head Loss Calculations: hl= c\*V<sup>1.85</sup>L/(C<sup>1.85</sup>D<sup>1.165</sup>)

Boundary Condition Description Demand Results 327 Richmond Elevation at Elevation at Hazen Road - Richmond Area Velocity Building Pipe Segment Diameter Demand Unit Head loss Pressure Pressure Head (m) Boundary Williams Conversion, K Connection Connection #2 Length (m) (mm) (L/s) (m²) (m/s) (m) (kPa) (psi) Condition (m) Coefficient, C (m) Peak Hour Demand 108.7 68.2 17.5 200 14.0 110 0.0314 0.45 6.79 68.30 0.0290 395 57 Average Daily Demand 108.7 68.2 17.5 200 3.9 110 0.0314 0.12 6.79 68.30 0.0027 395 57 Max Day + Fire Flow 110.4 68.2 17.5 375.6 110 42 200 0.0314 11.96 6.79 68.30 12.6669 288 Demand

Connection 1 to 406mm Watermain in Churchill Avenue

Connection 2 to 305mm Watermain in Richmond Road

# Table 3: Fire Flow Calculations

														Required F	Fire Flow
Building	Type of Construction C	Total Floor Area m <sup>2</sup> A	Fire Flow (min. 2,000) L/min F	Adjusted (nearest 1,000) L/min	Occupancy Factor O	Reduction / Increase due to Occupancy	Fire Flow with Occupancy (min. 2,000) L/min	Sprinklers Factor S	Reduction due to Sprinklers L/min	Exposure Factor % E	Increase due to Exposure L/min	Fire Flow L/min	Roof Contribution L/min R	Adjusted to the nearest 1000 (min. 2,000, max. L/min F	minimum 33 L/s
Puilding	0.9	16 540	22.625	22.000	150/	2.450	10.550	E00/	0.775	60%	11 720	22,000	0	22,000	267
Dulluling	0.0	10,340	22,035	23,000	-13%	-3,450	19,550	50%	9,775	00%	11,730	22,000	0	22,000	307
						+									
Outline of Procedure P. 20		•						•			•	•			
Reference:	Water Supply : Ottawa Design C <u>Type of Constr</u> Wood Frame Ordinary Cons Non-Combusti (unprotected Fire resistive c A <u>Total Floor Are</u> First Floor Basement exc	for Public Fire I or Guidelines - V cuction truction (joist m ble Construction d metal structur construction (= or ca (m <sup>2</sup> ) luded if at least	Protection , 1999 Vater Distribution nasonry) on e, masonry non- or > 3 hours) t 50% below gra	9 by Fire Under n, July 2010 an combustible) de	writers Survey (. nd subsequent 7 1.5 1 0.8 0.7	FUS) and Fechnical Bulleti	o ins O	Occupancy Non-Combu Limited Con Combustible Free Burnin Rapid Burni Commercial Sprinklers Automatic S Standard W Full Supervi	stible abustible g ng prinklers NFPA St ater Supply sion	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25% 0% <u>Com</u> j andards	<u>plete coverage</u> 30% 10% 10%	<ul> <li><u>Partial coverage</u> 30% * x% 10% * x% 10% * x%</li> </ul>	<u>9</u>		
								Residential	Sprinklers		30%	30% * x%			
	Fire-resistive E	Building Floor A	<u>irea</u>									(x%: percentage	e of total prote	cted floor area)	
	Less than 1 ho	two largest ad Additional floc . equal or more	joining floors ors (up to 8) at 50 e than 3 hours ra	0% ating			E	<u>Exposure</u> Cumulative	, maximum 75%						
	(reinforced cor	ncrete, protecte	d steel)	J				Distance (m	)	*	Ν	S	E	W	
		largest floor						0-3		25%	х				
	_	Additional two	adjoining floors	at 25%				3.1-10		20%					
	F Fire Flow (L/M	in)						20 1-20		15%		x	X	x	
		220*C*(A^0.5	)					30.1-45		5%		^		^	
		2,000 <f<45,0< td=""><td>00</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></f<45,0<>	00							-					
							R	Roof							
	FS Fire Wall Sepa	<u>iration</u>						Shake		2,000 to 4,000	) L/min				
	Per Wall		1,000 L/min					VVood		2,000 to 4,000	) L/min				



**APPENDIX F |** SANITARY FLOWS, SEWER DESIGN SHEET AND DRAINAGE AREAS

SANITARY SEWER DE	SIGN SH	EET																										Page 1 of 1
Proposed Development at 327 Ric Flow and Capacity Analysis of Ex	chmond Road sisting Sanitar	y Sewers from	n Subject S	Site to Col	lector Sev	wer in Sco	tt Street				Population of Restaurant	lensity 1	seat/m2					F R Ma	Restaurant (O tetail stores - r annings Roug	rdinary not 24h) washrooms only ness Coefficient	:: 125 7: 50000 1: 0.013	L/seat/d L/ha/d			R	eview Date: Reviewer repared by	: 12/16/2020 : S. Sterling : B. Villeneuv	e
											App House	1.8 3.4	people/unit people/unit					Residen	tial Unit avera daily con infiltration al	ge daily flow (q) nmercial flow (c) owance for Q(e	): 280 ): 28000 )) 0.33	L/cap.d L/ha/d L/s/gross ha						
																q = average Q(p) = peal Q(c) = peal Q(e) = peal Q(d) = peal	e daily per c k population k commercia k extraneous k design flov	apita flow (L/o flow (L/s) I flow (L/s) I flow (L/s) V (L/s)	cap.d)	Peaking Facto M = $1+14/(4+(Q(p) = (P/100))$ Q(d) = Q(p) +	or: (P/1000)^0.5)*0.8 0)qM/86.4 (L/s) Q(c) + Q(e) (L/s)	8 (max 4.0) )	Manning Eq Qcap = (D/1 D: pipe size S: slope of p n: roughnes	uation: 1000)^2.667' (mm) pipe (%) ss coeficient	*(S/100)^0	.5/(3.211*n	)*1000 (L/s)	
				Extraneous		Restaurant			Commercial						Residential						Peak Flo	ow		<u> </u>		Pipe		
Location	า			Peak flow								Apa	rtment	Но	using	Cum	ulative		Peak	Resid. Flow	Comm. Flow	Cumulative Design	Dia.	Slope	Length	Capacity	Velocity	
Street Name	From	То	Total	Q(e)	Gross Floo	r Avg. Flow	Peak	Gross Floor	Avg. Flow	Peak	Area	Units	Р	Units	Р	Area	Р	Avg. Flow	Factor	Q(p)	Q(c)	Q(d)	D	S	L	Qcap	v	Q(d)/Qcap
	MH	MH	Area (ha)	(L/s)	Area (ha)	(L/s)	Factor	Area (ha)	(L/s)	Factor	(ha)		(person)		(person)	(ha)	(person)	(L/s)	М	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(L/s)	(m/s)	
327 Richmond Road (Subject Site)			0.33	0.11	0.17	2.51	1.5				0.33	184	332			0.33	332	1.08	3.45	3.71	3.77	7.58	150	2.00	6.8	21.51	1.22	0.35
Madison	MHSA27561	MHSA61673	0.85	0.28				0.02	0.01	1.5	0.83			17	58	0.83	58	0.19	3.64	0.68	0.01	0.98	225	0.68	194.6	36.98	0.93	0.03
Whitby	MHSA27559	MHSA61674	1.45	0.48				0.01	0.00	1.0	1.44	48	87	29	99	1.44	186	0.60	3.53	2.13	0.00	2.61	225	0.82	207.0	40.61	1.02	0.06
Winston/Wilmont	MHSA27554	MHSA61675	2.62	0.86							2.62			80	272	2.62	272	0.88	3.48	3.06		3.93	225	0.50	461.9	31.71	0.80	0.12
Churchill	MHSA61672	MHSA61673	0.22	0.07	1			1			0.22			1	A	0.55	336	0.01	3.45	0.04		7 70	250	2 32	60.3	90.46	1.85	0.09
onaronni	MHSA61673	MHSA61674	0.65	0.07			1	0.29	0.09	15	0.22	6	11	4	14	1 74	419	0.01	3.41	0.04	0.14	9.31	250	0.39	78.8	37.09	0.76	0.05
	MHSA61674	MHSA61675	0.03	0.21		1		0.23	0.03	1.0	0.34			7	24	3.52	629	0.00	3.34	0.20	0.03	12.34	300	0.34	77.2	56.32	0.70	0.23
	MHSA61675	MHSA61676	0.50	0.17	0.04	0.54	1.5	0.20	0.06	1.5	0.27			1	4	6.41	905	0.01	3.26	0.04	0.91	17.39	300	0.52	81.2	69.65	0.99	0.25
Picton	MHSA27573	MHSA27574	0.26	0.09							0.26			10	34	0.26	3/	0.11	3.68	0.41		0.40	225	0.51	63.3	32.02	0.81	0.02
FICIOII	WI 13A21313	WI 13A21314	0.20	0.03							0.20			10	- 54	0.20	- 54	0.11	3.00	0.41		0.49	225	0.51	05.5	52.02	0.01	0.02
Elmgrove	MHSA27575	MHSA27576	1.24	0.41				0.20	0.07	1.0	1.04			23	79	1.04	79	0.26	3.62	0.93	0.07	1.40	375	0.31	81.2	97.51	0.88	0.01
Ashton	MHSA27577	MHSA27578	0.70	0.23							0.70	6	11	12	41	0.70	52	0.17	3.65	0.61		0.85	225	0.47	79.5	30.74	0.77	0.03
Winona	MUSA07570	MUSA27574	0.30	0.10		-		<del> </del>			0.30			5	17	0.30	17	0.06	3 71	0.20	1	0.30	225	0.77	11.2	30.25	0.00	0.01
WIIIOIIa	MHSA27572	MHSA27576	0.30	0.10		-		1			0.30			6	21	0.30	72	0.00	3.62	0.20		0.30	225	2.83	75.9	75.44	1.99	0.01
	MHSA27576	MHSA27578	0.00	0.15		1					0.50	6	11	11	38	2 44	200	0.07	3.52	0.56		3.28	225	0.58	77.7	34 15	0.86	0.02
2070 Scott Proposed Development*		11110/12/010	0.20	0.10							0.40	Ŭ			00	2.11	200	0.10	0.02	0.00		5 78	220	0.00	11.1	04.10	0.00	0.10
	MHSA27578	MHSA61680	0.28	0.09							0.28			5	17	3.42	269	0.06	3.48	0.19		10.19	225	1.60	80.4	56.72	1.43	0.18
Scott		MUSA61676	0.50	0.17		-	1				0.50	110	202		1	0.50	202	0.65	2.52	2.20		2.47	225	1.02	95.4	45.20	1 1 4	0.05
0001	MHSA61676	MHSA61684	0.50	0.17		+	+	1			0.00	112	202	1	1	6.91	1107	0.00	3.02	2.30	+	19.87	300	0.72	11 1	81.05	1.14	0.05
	MHSA61684	MHSA61680	0.04	0.06	ł			1			1			1		6.91	1107	1	3.22	1	1	19.92	300	1.51	64.8	118 68	1.68	0.17
	MHSA61680	MHSA61681	0.28	0.09		1	1	0.02	0.01	1.0	0.26	42	76	1	1	10.59	1452	0.25	3.15	0.78	0.01	30.99	375	0.25	59.4	87.56	0.79	0.35
	MHSA61681	MHSA61682	0.72	0.24	İ	1	1	0.16	0.05	1.5	0.56			l		11.15	1452	0.20	3.15	0.10	0.08	31.31	375	0.26	57.2	89,30	0.81	0.35
	MHSA61682	MHSA61683	1		1		1								1	11.15	1452		3.15	1		31.31	375	0.34	5.9	102.12	0.93	0.31
	MHSA61683	MHSA01710	1		I		1	1			1					11.15	1452		3.15	1	1	31.31	300	0.50	10.3	68.29	0.97	0.46
			Ī	Ĩ																								

\* The flows from the proposed development at 2070 Scott Street were taken from "Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Brief - 2070 Scott Street, Ottawa, ON" by Stantec, dated October 2, 2019





OTTAWA, ON

**FIGURE A** 

**APPENDIX G |** STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS, SEWER DESIGN SHEET AND PRE-DRAINAGE AREAS

### TABLE I - ALLOWABLE RUNOFF CALCULATIONS BASED ON PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS

				Mino	r Storm	Storm = 100 yr			
		Time of Conc,							
Area Description	Area (ha)	Tc (min)		l <sub>5</sub> (mm/hr)	C <sub>AVG</sub> *	Q <sub>ALLOW</sub> (L/s)	I <sub>100</sub> (mm/hr)	C <sub>AVG</sub>	Q <sub>ALLOW</sub> (L/sec)
EXISTING SITE	0.327	10	Storm = 5 yr	104.19	0.66	62.9	178.56	0.83	134.7

5-year Storm 100-year Storm C<sub>ASPHALT/ROOF</sub> = C<sub>ASPHALT/ROOF</sub> =  $\begin{array}{c} \underline{0.90} \\ \underline{1.00} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} C_{\text{GRASS}} = \\ C_{\text{GRASS}} = \end{array}$ 

<u>0.20</u> 0.25

## TABLE II- POST-DEVELOPMENT AVERAGE RUNOFF COEFFICIENTS

Watershed Area No.	Impervious Areas (m²)	A * C <sub>ASPH/ROOF</sub>	Pervious Grass Areas (m <sup>2</sup> )	A * C <sub>GRASS</sub>	Sum AC	Total Area (m²)	C <sub>AVG (5yr)</sub>	C <sub>AVG(100yr)</sub>
WS-01 (UC)	0.00	0	74.83	15	15	75	0.20	0.25
WS-03 (UC)	13.86	12	0.00	0	12	14	0.90	1.00
WS-02/WS-04 ('C)	446.25	402	22.82	5	406	469	0.87	1.00
WS-05 (UC)	155.85	140	0.00	0	140	156	0.90	1.00
WS-06A ('C)	40.70	37	0.00	0	37	41	0.90	1.00
WS-06B ('C)	50.82	46	0.00	0	46	51	0.90	1.00
WS-07 ('C)	70.94	64	0.00	0	64	71	0.90	1.00
WS-08A ('C)	57.61	52	0.00	0	52	58	0.90	1.00
WS-08B (UC)	66.75	60	0.00	0	60	67	0.90	1.00
WS-09 ('C)	96.52	87	0.00	0	87	97	0.90	1.00
WS-10 ('C)	173.28	156	0.00	0	156	173	0.90	1.00
WS-11 ('C)	196.03	176	0.00	0	176	196	0.90	1.00
WS-12 ('C)	144.23	130	0.00	0	130	144	0.90	1.00
WS-13 ('C)	47.73	43	0.00	0	43	48	0.90	1.00
WS-14 ('C)	275.42	248	0.00	0	248	275	0.90	1.00
WS-15 ('C)	245.06	221	0.00	0	221	245	0.90	1.00
WS-16 ('C)	51.75	47	0.00	0	47	52	0.90	1.00
WS-17 ('C)	53.99	49	0.00	0	49	54	0.90	1.00
WS-18 ('C)	71.42	64	0.00	0	64	71	0.90	1.00
WS-19 ('C)	48.02	43	0.00	0	43	48	0.90	1.00
WS-20 ('C)	206.16	186	0.00	0	186	206	0.90	1.00
WS-21 ('C)	126.67	114	0.00	0	114	127	0.90	1.00
WS-22A (UC)	30.87	28	0.00	0	28	31	0.90	1.00
WS-22B (UC)	433.91	391	0.00	0	391	434	0.90	1.00
WS-22C (UC)	69.07	62	0.00	0	62	69	0.90	1.00
Total	3173		98		2875	3271		
Total Controlled	2403		23		2167	2425		

UC indicates the area is uncontrolled

Time of concentration (min), Tc =

# C indicates the area is controlled

### TABLE III- TOTAL RUNOFF COEFFICIENT FOR CONTROLLED AREAS

10 mins

C <sub>AVG(5yr)</sub> =	Sum AC Total Area	=	<u>2,167</u> 2,425	=	0.89	C <sub>AVG(100yr)</sub> =	1.00

### TABLE IV- SUMMARY OF POST-DEVELOPMENT RUNOFF

			Storr	n = 5 yr		Storm = 100 yr				
Area No	Area (ha)	I₅ (mm/hr)	CAVG(5yr)	Q <sub>GEN</sub> (L/s)	Q <sub>CONT</sub> (L/s)	I100 (mm/hr)	CAVG(100yr)	Q <sub>GEN</sub> (L/s)	Q <sub>CONT</sub> (L/s)	
WS-01 (UC)	0.007	104.19	0.20	0.4	0.4	178.56	0.25	0.9	0.9	
WS-03 (UC)	0.001	104.19	0.90	0.4	0.4	178.56	1.00	0.7	0.7	
WS-02/WS-04 ('C)	0.047	104.19	0.87	11.8	4.0	178.56	1.00	23.3	6.4	
WS-05 (UC)	0.016	104.19	0.90	4.1	4.1	178.56	1.00	7.7	7.7	
WS-06A ('C)	0.004	104.19	0.90	1.1	0.6	178.56	1.00	2.0	0.8	
WS-06B ('C)	0.005	104.19	0.90	1.3	1.2	178.56	1.00	2.5	1.5	
WS-07 ('C)	0.007	104.19	0.90	1.8	2.1	178.56	1.00	3.5	2.7	
WS-08A ('C)	0.006	104.19	0.90	1.5	0.7	178.56	1.00	2.9	0.8	
WS-08B (UC)	0.007	104.19	0.90	1.7	1.7	178.56	1.00	3.3	3.3	
WS-09 ('C)	0.010	104.19	0.90	2.5	0.7	178.56	1.00	4.8	0.9	
WS-10 ('C)	0.017	104.19	0.90	4.5	0.8	178.56	1.00	8.6	0.9	
WS-11 ('C)	0.020	104.19	0.90	5.1	0.8	178.56	1.00	9.7	0.9	
WS-12 ('C)	0.014	104.19	0.90	3.8	0.8	178.56	1.00	7.2	0.9	
WS-13 ('C)	0.005	104.19	0.90	1.2	0.7	178.56	1.00	2.4	0.8	
WS-14 ('C)	0.028	104.19	0.90	7.2	0.8	178.56	1.00	13.7	0.9	
WS-15 ('C)	0.025	104.19	0.90	6.4	0.8	178.56	1.00	12.2	0.9	
WS-16 ('C)	0.005	104.19	0.90	1.3	0.7	178.56	1.00	2.6	0.8	
WS-17 ('C)	0.005	104.19	0.90	1.4	0.7	178.56	1.00	2.7	0.8	
WS-18 ('C)	0.007	104.19	0.90	1.9	0.7	178.56	1.00	3.5	0.9	
WS-19 ('C)	0.005	104.19	0.90	1.3	0.7	178.56	1.00	2.4	0.8	
WS-20 ('C)	0.021	104.19	0.90	5.4	0.8	178.56	1.00	10.2	0.9	
WS-21 ('C)	0.013	104.19	0.90	3.3	0.7	178.56	1.00	6.3	0.9	
WS-22A (UC)	0.003	104.19	0.90	0.8	0.8	178.56	1.00	1.5	1.5	
WS-22B (UC)	0.043	104.19	0.90	11.3	11.3	178.56	1.00	21.5	21.5	
WS-22C (UC)	0.007	104.19	0.90	1.8	1.8	178.56	1.00	3.4	3.4	
Total	0.327			83.3	38.7			159.6	62.9	
I <sub>5</sub> = 998.071 / (Tc + 6	.053) <sup>0.814</sup>									
	6 014) <sup>0.820</sup>									
1100 - 17 33.000 / (10 +	0.014)									

Allowable outlet flow = 62.9 L/s

	Table V - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-06A ('C)									
	C	0 90	(5_vear)	Olorage Nov	Junement					
	CAVG	4.00	(100 year)			4/4 Expose		-tabla Agou	tral Mair Deat	( Drain
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	1.00				1/4 Exposed				Drain
	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of I	Drains	1		
Dra	inage Area =	0.004	(nectares)							
	Re	lease Rate =	0.64	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0 79	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(vears)		Reti	urn Period =	100	(vears)	
	IDF Par	ameters A =	998.071	_(years) 	0 814	IDF Para	meters A =	1735 688	_(years) 	0 820
	ibi i di	$I = \Delta/$	(T + C)B	_ , <u>c</u> _	6.053		$I = \Lambda / (T_{c})$		, <u>_</u>	6.014
	Deinfell		Delesse	0.1		Deinfell		Delesse		
Duration	Rainfall	Deals Flaws	Release	Storage	Storage	Rainfall	Deals Flaw	Release	Characte	
(min)	(mm/br)	Peak Flow			$(m^3)$	(mm/br)	Peak Flow		Storage	Storago $(m^3)$
	(1111/111)	(L/Sec)	(L/Sec)	(L/Sec)	(111)	(11111/111)	(L/Sec)	(L/Sec)	Rale (L/Sec)	Storage (III )
5	- 1/1 2	-	- 0.6	- 0.8	-	-	- 27	-	- 20	-
10	141.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	179.6	2.7	0.8	2.0	0.0
15	83.6	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	1/2.0	2.0	0.0	0.8	0.7
20	70.3	0.3	0.0	0.2	0.2	142.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
25	60.9	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	103.8	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.6
30	53.9	0.5	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	91.9	1.2	0.0	0.3	0.5
35	48.5	0.5	0.6	-0.2	-0.3	82.6	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.3
40	44.2	0.4	0.6	-0.2	-0.5	75.1	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.0
45	40.6	0.4	0.6	-0.2	-0.6	69.1	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0
50	37.7	0.4	0.6	-0.3	-0.8	64.0	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.2
55	35.1	0.4	0.6	-0.3	-0.9	59.6	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.4
60	32.9	0.3	0.6	-0.3	-1.1	55.9	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.6
65	31.0	0.3	0.6	-0.3	-1.3	52.6	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.7
70	29.4	0.3	0.6	-0.3	-1.4	49.8	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.9
75	27.9	0.3	0.6	-0.4	-1.6	47.3	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.1
80	26.6	0.3	0.6	-0.4	-1.8	45.0	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.3
85	25.4	0.3	0.6	-0.4	-2.0	43.0	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.5
90	24.3	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-2.1	41.1	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.7
95	23.3	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-2.3	39.4	0.4	0.8	-0.3	-1.9
100	22.4	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-2.5	37.9	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-2.2
105	21.6	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-2.7	36.5	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-2.4
110	20.8	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-2.9	35.2	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-2.6
115	20.1	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-3.0	34.0	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-2.8
120	19.5	0.2	0.6	-0.4	-3.2	32.9	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-3.0
Max Storag	e Volume =				0.250					0.746
Average Po	nding Depth (	(mm)			6.1					18.3
Maximum P	onding Depth	ı (mm)			74.6					107.4

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table VI - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-06B ('C)									
	Curro =	0 90	(5_vear)	Olorage Nov	lanement					
		4.00	(100 year)			4/4 500000	-1 \ A / - ++ A diu			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Exposed	d vvatts Auju -	ISTADIE ACCU	troi weir Roo	r Drain
	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of I	Drains	2		
Dra	inage Area =	0.005	(hectares)							
				() ( )					<i></i>	
	Re		1.18	_(L/sec)		Rei	ease Rate =	1.46	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)	0.014	Reti		100	(years)	0.000
	IDF Par	ameters, A =	998.071	, В =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc	+C)B	, C =	6.014
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release		
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	2
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m³)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	141.2	1.8	1.2	0.6	0.2	242.7	3.4	1.5	2.0	0.6
10	104.2	1.3	1.2	0.1	0.1	178.6	2.5	1.5	1.1	0.6
15	83.6	1.1	1.2	-0.1	-0.1	142.9	2.0	1.5	0.6	0.5
20	70.3	0.9	1.2	-0.3	-0.3	120.0	1.7	1.5	0.2	0.3
25	60.9	0.8	1.2	-0.4	-0.6	103.8	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0
30	53.9	0.7	1.2	-0.5	-0.9	91.9	1.3	1.5	-0.2	-0.3
35	48.5	0.6	1.2	-0.6	-1.2	82.6	1.2	1.5	-0.3	-0.6
40	44.2	0.6	1.2	-0.6	-1.5	75.1	1.1	1.5	-0.4	-1.0
45	40.6	0.5	1.2	-0.7	-1.8	69.1	1.0	1.5	-0.5	-1.3
50	37.7	0.5	1.2	-0.7	-2.1	64.0	0.9	1.5	-0.6	-1.7
55	35.1	0.4	1.2	-0.7	-2.4	59.6	0.8	1.5	-0.6	-2.0
60	32.9	0.4	1.2	-0.8	-2.7	55.9	0.8	1.5	-0.7	-2.4
65	31.0	0.4	1.2	-0.8	-3.1	52.6	0.7	1.5	-0.7	-2.8
70	29.4	0.4	1.2	-0.8	-3.4	49.8	0.7	1.5	-0.8	-3.2
75	27.9	0.4	1.2	-0.8	-3.7	47.3	0.7	1.5	-0.8	-3.6
80	26.6	0.3	1.2	-0.8	-4.0	45.0	0.6	1.5	-0.8	-4.0
85	25.4	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-4.4	43.0	0.6	1.5	-0.9	-4.4
90	24.3	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-4.7	41.1	0.6	1.5	-0.9	-4.8
95	23.3	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-5.0	39.4	0.6	1.5	-0.9	-5.2
100	22.4	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-5.4	37.9	0.5	1.5	-0.9	-5.6
105	21.6	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-5.7	36.5	0.5	1.5	-0.9	-6.0
110	20.8	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-6.0	35.2	0.5	1.5	-1.0	-6.4
115	20.1	0.3	1.2	-0.9	-6.4	34.0	0.5	1.5	-1.0	-6.8
120	19.5	0.2	1.2	-0.9	-6.7	32.9	0.5	1.5	-1.0	-1.2
Max Storage	e Volume =	( )			0.184					0.636
Average Po	nding Depth (	(mm)			3.6					12.5
Maximum P	onding Depth	i (mm)			62.5					94.5

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table VII - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events)									
				Storage Re	quirement	for WS-07 ('	C)			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	0.90	(5-year)							
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	stable Accu	trol Weir Root	f Drain
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	4		
Dra	ainage Area =	0.007	(hectares)							
	Re	elease Rate =	2.11	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	2.73	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)	
	IDF Par	rameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc·	+C)B	, C =	6.014
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release		
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	141.2	2.5	2.1	0.4	0.1	242.7	4.8	2.7	2.1	0.6
10	104.2	1.8	2.1	-0.3	-0.2	178.6	3.5	2.7	0.8	0.5
15	83.6	83.6 1.5 2.1 -0.6 -0.6 142.9 2.8 2.7 0.1 0.1								
20	70.3	1.2	2.1	-0.9	-1.0	120.0	2.4	2.7	-0.4	-0.4
25	60.9	1.1	2.1	-1.0	-1.5	103.8	2.0	2.7	-0.7	-1.0
30	53.9	1.0	2.1	-1.2	-2.1	91.9	1.8	2.7	-0.9	-1.7
35	48.5	0.9	2.1	-1.3	-2.6	82.6	1.6	2.7	-1.1	-2.3
40	44.2	0.8	2.1	-1.3	-3.2	75.1	1.5	2.7	-1.3	-3.0
45	40.6	0.7	2.1	-1.4	-3.8	69.1	1.4	2.7	-1.4	-3.7
50	37.7	0.7	2.1	-1.4	-4.3	64.0	1.3	2.7	-1.5	-4.4
55	35.1	0.6	2.1	-1.5	-4.9	59.6	1.2	2.7	-1.6	-5.1
60	32.9	0.6	2.1	-1.5	-5.5	55.9	1.1	2.7	-1.6	-5.9
65	31.0	0.6	2.1	-1.6	-6.1	52.6	1.0	2.7	-1.7	-6.6
70	29.4	0.5	2.1	-1.0	-0.7	49.8	1.0	2.1	-1.8	-7.4
80	21.9	0.5	2.1	-1.0	-7.3	47.5	0.9	2.7	-1.0	-0.1
85	20.0	0.5	2.1	-1.0	-7.9	43.0	0.9	2.1	-1.0	-0.9
90	23.4	0.3	2.1	-1.7	-0.5	41.1	0.0	2.1	-1.9	-9.0
95	23.3	0.4	2.1	_17	_9.7	39.4	0.0	2.1	-20	_10. <del>4</del>
100	20.0	0.4	21	-1.7	-10.3	37.9	0.7	27	-2.0	-11.9
105	21.4	0.4	2.1	-17	-10.0	36.5	0.7	2.7	-2.0	-12 7
110	20.8	0.4	2.1	-1.7	-11.5	35.2	0.7	2.7	-2.0	-13.5
115	20.1	0.4	2.1	-1.8	-12.1	34.0	0.7	2.7	-2.1	-14.2
120	19.5	0.3	2.1	-1.8	-12.7	32.9	0.6	2.7	-2.1	-15.0
Max Storad	e Volume =		1		0.118					0.616
Average Po	onding Depth	(mm)			1.7					8.7
Maximum F	Pondina Denth	(mm)			48.3					83.7
	eang Bopt	• \/								

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

		Table VIII	- Storag	e Volume Storage Re	es (5-Year	r and 100 or WS-08A	-Year Sto	rm Even	ts)	
	C <sub>AVC</sub> =	0.90	(5-vear)		14	<b>bi iie</b> iii	,			
		1 00	(0, <u>,</u> , <u>,</u> , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				d Matte ∆diu	istable Acci	itral Wair Roo	f Drain
<b> </b> т;		5	(100-year)					Slavic Augu		Dialit
Dra		C 2000	(fillins) (bootaros)				Jrains	I		I
Dia	Illaye Area -	0.000	(nectares)							
	Re	elease Rate =	0.68	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.82	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)	
	IDF Par	ameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc <sup>.</sup>	+C)B	, C =	6.014
ĺ	Rainfall	1	Release	Storage		Rainfall	!	Release		
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )
0	- '	-	-	-	-	-	!	-		-
5	141.2	2.0	0.7	1.4	0.4	242.7	3.9	0.8	3.1	0.9
10	104.2	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.5	178.6	2.9	0.8	2.0	1.2
15	83.6	1.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	142.9	2.3	0.8	1.5	1.3
20	70.3	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.4	120.0	1.9	0.8	1.1	1.3
25	60.9	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.3	103.8	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.3
30	53.9	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.2	91.9	1.5	0.8	0.6	1.2
35	48.5	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	82.6	1.3	0.8	0.5	1.0
40	44.2	0.6	0.7	0.0	-0.1	75.1	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.9
45	40.6	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	69.1	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.8
50	37.7	0.5	0.7	-0.1	-0.4	64.0	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.6
55	35.1	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.6	59.6	1.0	0.8	0.1	0.4
60	32.9	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.7	55.9	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.3
65	31.0	0.4	0.7	-0.2	-0.9	52.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.1
70	29.4	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	49.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	-0.1
75	27.9	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.3	47.3	0.8	0.8	-0.1	-0.3
80	26.6	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.4	45.0	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.5
85	25.4	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.6	43.0	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.7
90	24.3	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.8	41.1	0.7	0.8	-0.2	-0.9
95	23.3	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-2.0	39.4	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.1
100	22.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.2	37.9	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.3
105	21.6	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.3	36.5	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.5
110	20.8	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.5	35.2	0.6	0.8	-0.3	-1.7
115	20.1	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.7	34.0	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.9
120	19.5	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.9	32.9	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.1
Max Storag	e Volume =				0.492					1.318
Average Po	nding Depth (	(mm)			8.5					22.9
Maximum P	onding Depth	ı (mm)			83.2					115.6

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

		Table IX	- Storage	e Volumes Storage Re	s (5-Year	and 100- for WS-09 ('	Year Stor	rm Event	s)	
	Cave =	0.90	(5-vear)	o to i ugo i to	4		-,			
	C -	1.00	(0, your)			1/1 Exposo	d Matta Adiu	atabla Acou	tral Mair Baat	f Droin
т:		1.00	(100-year)							I DIAIII
	me interval =	5	(mins)			Number of I	Drains	1		
Dra	iinage Area =	0.010	(nectares)							
	Re	lease Rate =	0.74	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.91	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(vears)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(vears)	
	IDF Par	ameters. A =	998.071		0.814	IDF Para	ameters. A =	1735.688	_() =	0.820
		I = A/	(T + C)B	, 	6.053		$I = A/(T_{C})$	+C)B	, 	6.014
		1 - 74		, 0 =	0.055		1 – 74 (10	10)0	, C =	0.014
	Deinfell		Deleges	Ctorers		Deinfell		Deleges		
Duration	Rainiali	Dook Flow	Release	Storage	Storage	Rainiali	Dook Flow	Release	Storage	
(min)	(mm/br)				$(m^3)$	(mm/br)			Rate (L/sec)	Storage $(m^3)$
(11111)	(11117/117)	(L/360)	(L/360)	(L/360)	(111)	(((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((((	(L/SEC)	(L/360)		Storage (III )
5	141 2	3.4	0.7	27	0.8	242 7	6.5	0.9	56	17
10	104.2	2.5	0.7	1.8	1 1	178.6	4.8	0.0	3.9	2.3
15	83.6	2.0	0.7	1.3	1.1	142.9	3.8	0.9	2.9	2.6
20	70.3	1.7	0.7	1.0	1.1	120.0	3.2	0.9	2.3	2.8
25	60.9	1.5	0.7	0.7	1.1	103.8	2.8	0.9	1.9	2.8
30	53.9	1.3	0.7	0.6	1.0	91.9	2.5	0.9	1.6	2.8
35	48.5	1.2	0.7	0.4	0.9	82.6	2.2	0.9	1.3	2.7
40	44.2	1.1	0.7	0.3	0.8	75.1	2.0	0.9	1.1	2.6
45	40.6	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.7	69.1	1.9	0.9	0.9	2.5
50	37.7	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.5	64.0	1.7	0.9	0.8	2.4
55	35.1	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.4	59.6	1.6	0.9	0.7	2.3
60	32.9	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.2	55.9	1.5	0.9	0.6	2.1
65	31.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	52.6	1.4	0.9	0.5	1.9
70	29.4	0.7	0.7	0.0	-0.1	49.8	1.3	0.9	0.4	1.8
75	27.9	0.7	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	47.3	1.3	0.9	0.4	1.6
80	26.6	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.5	45.0	1.2	0.9	0.3	1.4
85	25.4	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.6	43.0	1.2	0.9	0.2	1.2
90	24.3	0.6	0.7	-0.2	-0.8	41.1	1.1	0.9	0.2	1.0
95	23.3	0.6	0.7	-0.2	-1.0	39.4	1.1	0.9	0.1	0.8
100	22.4	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-1.2	37.9	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.6
105	21.6	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-1.4	36.5	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.4
110	20.8	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-1.6	35.2	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.2
115	20.1	0.5	0.7	-0.3	-1./	34.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0
120 Max Starra	19.5	0.5	0.7	-0.3	-1.9	32.9	0.9	0.9	0.0	-0.2
IVIAX Storag	e volume =	(100,000)			1.151					2.809
Average Po	naing Depth	(mm)			11.9					29.1
Maximum F	onding Depth	i (mm)			96.7					136.1

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table X - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-10 ('C)									
	Cuve =	0 90	(5-vear)	eteruge ne	44		-,			
		1.00	(100 year)			1/4 5,0000	d Matta Adiu	atabla Asau	tral Mair Dea	Drain
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	1.00				1/4 Expose		stable Accu		Drain
	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1		
Dra	iinage Area =	0.017	(hectares)							
	Re	lease Rate =	0.77	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.92	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(vears)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(vears)	
	IDF Par	ameters. A =	998.071	B=	0.814	IDF Para	ameters. A =	1735.688		0.820
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc)	+C)B	C =	6.014
	Painfall		Poloaso	Storago		Painfall		Poloaso		
Duration	Intensity I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)		(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)			Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )
0		-	-	-	-		-	-	-	
5	141 2	6.1	0.8	54	16	242 7	117	0.9	10.8	32
10	104.2	4.5	0.8	3.7	2.2	178.6	8.6	0.9	7.7	4.6
15	83.6	3.6	0.8	2.9	2.6	142.9	6.9	0.9	6.0	5.4
20	70.3	3.0	0.8	2.3	2.7	120.0	5.8	0.9	4.9	5.8
25	60.9	2.6	0.8	1.9	2.8	103.8	5.0	0.9	4.1	6.1
30	53.9	2.3	0.8	1.6	2.8	91.9	4.4	0.9	3.5	6.3
35	48.5	2.1	0.8	1.3	2.8	82.6	4.0	0.9	3.1	6.4
40	44.2	1.9	0.8	1.1	2.8	75.1	3.6	0.9	2.7	6.5
45	40.6	1.8	0.8	1.0	2.7	69.1	3.3	0.9	2.4	6.5
50	37.7	1.6	0.8	0.9	2.6	64.0	3.1	0.9	2.2	6.5
55	35.1	1.5	0.8	0.8	2.5	59.6	2.9	0.9	2.0	6.5
60	32.9	1.4	0.8	0.7	2.4	55.9	2.7	0.9	1.8	6.4
65	31.0	1.3	0.8	0.6	2.2	52.6	2.5	0.9	1.6	6.3
70	29.4	1.3	0.8	0.5	2.1	49.8	2.4	0.9	1.5	6.2
75	27.9	1.2	0.8	0.4	2.0	47.3	2.3	0.9	1.4	6.1
80	26.6	1.2	0.8	0.4	1.8	45.0	2.2	0.9	1.3	6.0
85	25.4	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.7	43.0	2.1	0.9	1.2	5.9
90	24.3	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.5	41.1	2.0	0.9	1.1	5.8
95	23.3	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.4	39.4	1.9	0.9	1.0	5.6
100	22.4	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.2	37.9	1.8	0.9	0.9	5.5
105	21.6	0.9	0.8	0.2	1.0	36.5	1.8	0.9	0.8	5.3
110	20.8	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.9	35.2	1.7	0.9	0.8	5.1
115	20.1	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.7	34.0	1.6	0.9	0.7	5.0
120	19.5	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.5	32.9	1.6	0.9	0.7	4.8
Max Storag	e Volume =				2.824					6.509
Average Po	onding Depth (	(mm)			16.3					37.6
Maximum F	onding Depth	ı (mm)			103.2					136.4

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XI - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-11 ('C)									
	C	0.90	(5-vear)	otorage ite	quirement		0)			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	0.30	(J-year)			4/4	-1 \ \ \ / - 44 - \ \ -!!			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d vvatts Adju	Istable Accu	Itrol Weir Roo	r Drain
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1		
Dra	inage Area =	0.020	(hectares)							
	Po	looso Poto -	0.79	(1 /200)		Pol	anna Pata -	0.02	(1/200)	
			0.70			Rei		100	(L/Sec)	
		ium Penou -	008.071		0.814			1725 699		0 820
		$I = \Lambda/$	(T +C)B	_ , D =	6.052		$I = \Lambda/(T_{\alpha})$	+C)P	_ ,D=	6.014
		1 - 74		, C –	0.000		I = AV(IC)	тс)в	, C –	0.014
	Rainfall		Release	Storage	Storago	Rainfall		Release	01	
Duration		Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage		Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	$C_{1}$
(min)	(mm/nr)	(L/Sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m)	(mm/nr)	(L/Sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m)
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	141.2	0.9	0.8	0.1	1.8	242.7	13.2	0.9	12.3	3.7
10	104.2	5.1 4.1	0.8	4.3	2.0	1/0.0	9.7	0.9	0.0	5.3
15	03.0 70.2	4.1	0.8	3.3	3.0	142.9	7.0 6.5	0.9	0.9 5.6	6.7
20	70.3 60.9	3.4	0.8	2.1	3.2	120.0	0.5	0.9	5.0	7.1
30	53.0	2.6	0.0	1.0	3.0	01.0	5.0	0.9	4.7	7.1
35	48.5	2.0	0.0	1.5	3.4	82.6	4.5	0.9	3.6	7.5
40	44.2	2.4	0.0	1.0	33	75.1	4.0	0.0	3.0	7.6
45	40.6	2.2	0.8	1.4	3.3	69.1	3.8	0.9	2.8	7.7
50	37.7	1.8	0.8	1.2	3.2	64.0	3.5	0.9	2.0	7.7
55	35.1	1.7	0.8	0.9	3.1	59.6	3.2	0.9	2.3	7.7
60	32.9	1.6	0.8	0.8	3.0	55.9	3.0	0.9	2.1	7.6
65	31.0	1.5	0.8	0.7	2.9	52.6	2.9	0.9	1.9	7.6
70	29.4	1.4	0.8	0.7	2.8	49.8	2.7	0.9	1.8	7.5
75	27.9	1.4	0.8	0.6	2.7	47.3	2.6	0.9	1.7	7.4
80	26.6	1.3	0.8	0.5	2.5	45.0	2.5	0.9	1.5	7.3
85	25.4	1.2	0.8	0.5	2.4	43.0	2.3	0.9	1.4	7.2
90	24.3	1.2	0.8	0.4	2.2	41.1	2.2	0.9	1.3	7.1
95	23.3	1.1	0.8	0.4	2.1	39.4	2.1	0.9	1.2	7.0
100	22.4	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.9	37.9	2.1	0.9	1.1	6.8
105	21.6	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.8	36.5	2.0	0.9	1.1	6.7
110	20.8	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.6	35.2	1.9	0.9	1.0	6.6
115	20.1	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.4	34.0	1.9	0.9	0.9	6.4
120	19.5	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.3	32.9	1.8	0.9	0.9	6.3
Max Storag	e Volume =				3.365					7.684
Average Po	nding Depth (	(mm)			17.2					39.2
Maximum P	onding Depth	(mm)			105.0					138.3

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XII - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-12 ('C)									
	C	0.90	(5-vear)	otorage ite	quirement	101 110-12 (	0)			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	0.90	(J-year)			4/4	-1 \ \ \ / - 44 - \ \ -!!	-4-1-1- 4		Dusia
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d vvatts Adju	stable Accu	troi weir Rooi	Drain
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of I	Drains	1		
Dra	ainage Area =	0.014	(hectares)							
	Re	elease Rate =	0.76	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.90	(L/sec)	
	Re	turn Period =	5	(veare)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(L/SCO)	
	IDF Par	rameters $\Delta =$	998 071	_(years) 	0.814	IDF Para	ameters $\Delta =$	1735 688	_(years) R =	0.820
		I = A/	(T +C)B	, D =	6.053		$I = \Delta/(T_{C})$	+C\B	, D =	6.014
		1 - 70		, C =	0.055		1 – 74 (10		, C =	0.014
	<b>D</b> · ( )		<b>.</b>					<b>D</b> 1		
Duration	Rainfall	Dook Flow	Release	Storage	Storage	Rainfall	Dook Flow	Release	Storage	
(min)	(mm/br)				$(m^3)$	(mm/br)			Bate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )
(11111)	-	(1/300)	(1/300)	(Ľ/300)	(111)	-	(Ľ/300)	(Ľ/300)	-	-
5	1/1 2	51	0.8	43	13	242.7	9.7	0.9	8.8	2.6
10	104.2	3.8	0.0	3.0	1.5	178.6	7.2	0.9	6.3	3.8
15	83.6         3.0         0.8         2.3         2.0         142.9         5.7         0.9         4.8         4.3									4.3
20	70.3	2.5	0.8	1.8	2.0	120.0	4.8	0.9	3.9	4 7
25	60.9	2.2	0.8	1.4	2.2	103.8	4.2	0.9	3.3	4.9
30	53.9	1.9	0.8	1.2	2.1	91.9	3.7	0.9	2.8	5.0
35	48.5	1.8	0.8	1.0	2.1	82.6	3.3	0.9	2.4	5.1
40	44.2	1.6	0.8	0.8	2.0	75.1	3.0	0.9	2.1	5.1
45	40.6	1.5	0.8	0.7	1.9	69.1	2.8	0.9	1.9	5.0
50	37.7	1.4	0.8	0.6	1.8	64.0	2.6	0.9	1.7	5.0
55	35.1	1.3	0.8	0.5	1.7	59.6	2.4	0.9	1.5	4.9
60	32.9	1.2	0.8	0.4	1.6	55.9	2.2	0.9	1.3	4.8
65	31.0	1.1	0.8	0.4	1.4	52.6	2.1	0.9	1.2	4.7
70	29.4	1.1	0.8	0.3	1.3	49.8	2.0	0.9	1.1	4.6
75	27.9	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.1	47.3	1.9	0.9	1.0	4.5
80	26.6	1.0	0.8	0.2	1.0	45.0	1.8	0.9	0.9	4.3
85	25.4	0.9	0.8	0.2	0.8	43.0	1.7	0.9	0.8	4.2
90	24.3	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.6	41.1	1.6	0.9	0.7	4.0
95	23.3	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.5	39.4	1.6	0.9	0.7	3.9
100	22.4	0.8	0.8	0.1	0.3	37.9	1.5	0.9	0.6	3.7
105	21.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.1	36.5	1.5	0.9	0.6	3.5
110	20.8	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	35.2	1.4	0.9	0.5	3.4
115	20.1	0.7	0.8	0.0	-0.2	34.0	1.4	0.9	0.5	3.2
120	19.5	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.4	32.9	1.3	0.9	0.4	3.0
Max Storag	e Volume =				2.161					5.066
Average Po	onding Depth	(mm)			15.0					35.1
Maximum F	onding Depth	า (mm)			100.4					133.3

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XIII - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-13 ('C)											
	Cave =	0.90	(5-vear)	eteragerte	44		-,					
	C -	1.00	(0, y)			1/4 540000	d Matta Adiu	atabla Asau		f Drain		
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	1.00				1/4 Expose				Drain		
	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1				
Dra	inage Area =	0.005	(nectares)									
	Re	elease Rate =	0.66	(L/sec)	/sec) Release Rate = 0.81 (I /sec)							
	Re	turn Period =	5	(vears)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(vears)			
	IDF Par	rameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	_, B =	0.820		
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc	+C)B	, C =	6.014		
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release				
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage			
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )		
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5	141.2	1.7	0.7	1.0	0.3	242.7	3.2	0.8	2.4	0.7		
10	104.2	1.2	0.7	0.6	0.3	178.6	2.4	0.8	1.6	0.9		
15	83.6	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	142.9	1.9	0.8	1.1	1.0		
20	70.3	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.2	120.0	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.9		
25	60.9	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.1	103.8	1.4	0.8	0.6	0.9		
30	53.9	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	91.9	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.7		
35	48.5	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.2	82.6	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.6		
40	44.2	0.5	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	75.1	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.5		
45	40.6	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.5	69.1	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.3		
50	37.7	0.4	0.7	-0.2	-0.6	64.0	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.1		
55	35.1	0.4	0.7	-0.2	-0.8	59.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0		
60	32.9	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.0	55.9	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.2		
65	31.0	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	52.6	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.4		
70	29.4	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.3	49.8	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.6		
75	27.9	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.5	47.3	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.8		
80	26.6	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.7	45.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.0		
85	25.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-1.8	43.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.2		
90	24.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.0	41.1	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.4		
95	23.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.2	39.4	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.6		
100	22.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.4	37.9	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.8		
105	21.6	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.6	36.5	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.0		
110	20.8	0.2	0.7	-0.4	-2.7	35.2	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.2		
115	20.1	0.2	0.7	-0.4	-2.9	34.0	0.5	0.8	-0.4	-2.4		
120	19.5	0.2	0.7	-0.4	-3.1	32.9	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-2./		
Max Storag	e volume =				0.349					0.981		
Average Po	nding Depth (	(mm)			7.3					20.5		
Maximum F	onding Depth	n (mm)			79.0					111.5		

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XIV - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events)												
	Storage Requirement for WS-14 ('C)												
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	0.90	(5-year)										
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	stable Accu	Itrol Weir Roo	f Drain			
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1					
Dra	inage Area =	0.028	(hectares)										
	U		· · ·										
	Re	elease Rate =	0.80	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.95	(L/sec)				
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)				
	IDF Par	rameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	_ , B =	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc·	+C)B	, C =	6.014			
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release					
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage				
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
5	141.2	9.7	0.8	8.9	2.7	242.7	18.6	0.9	17.6	5.3			
10	104.2	7.2	0.8	6.4	3.8	178.6	13.7	0.9	12.7	7.6			
15	83.6	5.8	0.8	5.0	4.5	142.9	10.9	0.9	10.0	9.0			
20	70.3	4.8	0.8	4.0	4.9	120.0	9.2	0.9	8.2	9.9			
25	60.9	4.2	0.8	3.4	5.1	103.8	8.0	0.9	7.0	10.5			
30	53.9	3.7	0.8	2.9	5.3	91.9	7.0	0.9	6.1	11.0			
35	48.5	3.3	0.8	2.5	5.3	82.6	6.3	0.9	5.4	11.3			
40	44.2	3.0	0.8	2.2	5.4	75.1	5.8	0.9	4.8	11.5			
45	40.6	2.8	0.8	2.0	5.4	69.1	5.3	0.9	4.3	11.7			
50	37.7	2.6	0.8	1.8	5.4	64.0	4.9	0.9	4.0	11.9			
55	35.1	2.4	0.8	1.6	5.4	59.6	4.6	0.9	3.6	11.9			
60	32.9	2.3	0.8	1.5	5.3	55.9	4.3	0.9	3.3	12.0			
65	31.0	2.1	0.8	1.3	5.2	52.6	4.0	0.9	3.1	12.0			
70	29.4	2.0	0.8	1.2	5.1	49.8	3.8	0.9	2.9	12.0			
75	27.9	1.9	0.8	1.1	5.1	47.3	3.6	0.9	2.7	12.0			
80	26.6	1.8	0.8	1.0	5.0	45.0	3.4	0.9	2.5	12.0			
85	25.4	1./	0.8	1.0	4.8	43.0	3.3	0.9	2.3	11.9			
90	24.3	1.7	0.0	0.9	4.7	41.1	3.1	0.9	2.2	11.9			
95	23.3	1.6	0.0	0.8	4.0	39.4	3.0	0.9	2.1	11.8			
100	22.4	1.5	υ.Ծ	0.7	4.5	37.9	2.9	0.9	2.0	11./			
105	21.0	1.0	0.0	0.7	4.3	30.5	∠.ŏ	0.9	1.0	11.0			
110	∠0.δ 20.1	1.4	0.0 0.0	0.0	4.Z	30.∠ 3/ 0	2.1	0.9	1./	11.5			
120	20.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	4.1 3.0	34.0	2.0	0.9	1./	11.4			
120 Max Stores		1.3	0.0	0.0	5.9 5 /05	52.9	2.0	0.9	1.0	12 026			
Average Do	e voluitte –	(mm)			10.400					12.030			
Movimum D	Average Ponding Depth (mm)         19.0         43.7           Maximum Daratic (mm)         19.0         410.0												
waximum P	ronaing Deptr	i (mm)			109.9					145.4			

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XV - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events)												
	Storage Requirement for WS-15 ('C)												
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	0.90	(5-year)										
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	stable Accu	trol Weir Root	i Drain			
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)	Number of Drains 1									
Dra	ainage Area =	0.025	(hectares)										
	-												
	Re	elease Rate =	0.79	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.94	(L/sec)				
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)				
	IDF Par	ameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc <sup>.</sup>	+C)B	, C =	6.014			
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release					
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	2			
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m³)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
5	141.2	8.7	0.8	7.9	2.4	242.7	16.5	0.9	15.6	4.7			
10	104.2	6.4	0.8	5.6	3.4	178.6	12.2	0.9	11.2	6.7			
15	83.6	5.1	0.8	4.3	3.9	142.9	9.7	0.9	8.8	7.9			
20	70.3	4.3	0.8	3.5	4.2	120.0	8.2	0.9	7.2	8.7			
25	60.9	3.7	0.8	2.9	4.4	103.8	7.1	0.9	6.1	9.2			
30	53.9	3.3	0.8	2.5	4.5	91.9	6.3	0.9	5.3	9.6			
35	48.5	3.0	0.8	2.2	4.6	82.6	5.6	0.9	4.7	9.8			
40	44.2	2.7	0.8	1.9	4.6	75.1	5.1	0.9	4.2	10.0			
45	40.6	2.5	0.8	1.7	4.6	69.1	4./	0.9	3.8	10.2			
50	37.7	2.3	0.8	1.5	4.6	64.0	4.4	0.9	3.4	10.3			
55	35.1	2.2	0.8	1.4	4.5	59.6	4.1	0.9	3.1	10.3			
60	32.9	2.0	0.8	1.2	4.4	55.9	3.8	0.9	2.9	10.3			
65	31.0	1.9	0.8	1.1	4.3	52.6	3.6	0.9	2.6	10.3			
70	29.4	1.8	0.8	1.0	4.2	49.8	3.4	0.9	2.5	10.3			
75	27.9	1.7	0.8	0.9	4.1	47.3	3.2	0.9	2.3	10.3			
80	20.0	1.0	0.8	0.8	4.0	45.0	3.1	0.9	2.1	10.2			
00	20.4	1.0	0.0	0.8	3.9	43.0	2.9	0.9	2.0	10.1			
90	<u>∠4.3</u>	1.0	0.0	0.7	ა.Ծ ენ	41.1	∠.ŏ	0.9	1.9	10.1			
90	∠3.3 22.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	3.0 3.5	39.4	2.1	0.9	1./	10.0			
100	22.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	3.5	31.9	2.0	0.9	1.0	9.9			
100	∠1.0 20.9	1.0	0.0	0.5	3.4 3.2	30.3	2.0	0.9	1.0	9.1			
115	20.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	3.Z	3/ 0	2.4	0.9	1.0	9.0			
120	10.5	1.2	0.0	0.4	2.0	32.0	2.3	0.9	1.4	9.5			
Max Storag		1.2	0.0	0.4	۲.۶ ۸ ۴0۸	52.3	۷.۷	0.9	1.3				
Average Po	nding Denth	(mm)			18.9					<u> </u>			
Movimum D	Average Fonding Depth (IIIII)         10.0         42.1           Maximum Danaling Danath (mm.)         400.2         444.7												
waximum F	ronaing Deptr	i (i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			108.2					141./			

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XVI - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-16 ('C)												
	Cuve =	0 90	(5-vear)	eteruge ite	quinoineine		-,						
	C -	1.00	(0 your)			1/4 5/10000	d Matta Adiu	atabla Asau	tral Mair Dea	Drain			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose		stable Accu		Drain			
		5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1					
Dra	inage Area =	0.005	(nectares)										
	Re	lease Rate =	0.67	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.81	(L/sec)				
	Re	turn Period =	5	(vears)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(vears)				
	IDF Par	ameters. A =	998.071	_() =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters. A =	1735.688	_() = = . B =	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	 C =	6 053		$I = A/(T_{C})$	+C)B	 C =	6 014			
			(	, 0	0.000		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0)2	, 0	0.011			
	Deinfell		Pologog	Storago		Doinfall		Pologoo					
Duration		Peak Flow	Release	Bate	Storage		Peak Flow	Release	Storage				
(min)	(mm/hr)				(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)			Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
0	-	(1/300)	(1/300)	(1/300)		-	(Ľ/300)	(Ľ/300)	-				
5	141 2	1.8	0.7	12	03	242 7	35	0.8	27	0.8			
10	104.2	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.0	178.6	2.6	0.8	1.8	1 1			
15	83.6	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.1	142.9	2.0	0.8	1.0	1.1			
20	70.3	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.3	120.0	17	0.8	0.9	1.1			
25	60.9	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.2	103.8	1.5	0.8	0.7	1.0			
30	53.9	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	91.9	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.9			
35	48.5	0.6	0.7	0.0	-0.1	82.6	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.8			
40	44.2	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.2	75.1	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.6			
45	40.6	0.5	0.7	-0.1	-0.4	69.1	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.5			
50	37.7	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.6	64.0	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.3			
55	35.1	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.7	59.6	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.1			
60	32.9	0.4	0.7	-0.2	-0.9	55.9	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0			
65	31.0	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	52.6	0.8	0.8	-0.1	-0.2			
70	29.4	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.2	49.8	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.4			
75	27.9	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.4	47.3	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.6			
80	26.6	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.6	45.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.8			
85	25.4	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.8	43.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.0			
90	24.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-1.9	41.1	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.2			
95	23.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.1	39.4	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.4			
100	22.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.3	37.9	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.6			
105	21.6	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.5	36.5	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.8			
110	20.8	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.7	35.2	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.0			
115	20.1	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.8	34.0	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.2			
120	19.5	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-3.0	32.9	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.5			
Max Storag	e Volume =				0.406					1.117			
Average Po	Average Ponding Depth (mm)7.921.6												
Maximum F	onding Depth	ı (mm)			80.9					113.4			

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XVII - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-17 ('C)												
	Cure =	0 90	(5_vear)	otoragente	quirement		0)						
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	0.90	(J-year)				-1 \ \ \ / - ++ - \ \ -!!			( Durain			
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d vvatts Adju	Istable Accu	itroi weir Roo	f Drain			
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1					
Dra	iinage Area =	0.005	(hectares)										
	Re	lease Rate =	0.68	(L/sec)	(sec) Release Rate = $0.82$ (L/sec)								
	Re	turn Period =	5	(vears)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(vears)				
	IDF Par	ameters. A =	998.071	B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters. A =	1735.688	_()ouro) 	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, 	6.053		I = A/(Tc)	+C)B	, C =	6 014			
			(	, 0	0.000		1 70(10	.0)5	, 0	0.011			
	Deinfall		Deleges	Storage		Deinfell		Deleges					
Duration		Pook Flow	Pata	Bato	Storage		Pook Flow	Poto	Storago				
(min)	(mm/hr)				$(m^3)$	(mm/hr)			Rate (L/sec)	Storage $(m^3)$			
0	-	(Ľ/300)	(1/300)	(Ľ/300)	(111)	-	(1/300)	(Ľ/300)	-				
5	- 1/1 2	1.0	0.7	12	0.4	242.7	3.6	0.8	2.8	0.8			
10	104.2	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.4	178.6	2.7	0.0	1.0	1 1			
15	83.6	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.4	1/0.0	2.7	0.0	1.3	1.1			
20	70.3	0.9	0.7	0.0	0.4	120.0	1.8	0.8	1.0	1.2			
25	60.9	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	103.8	1.0	0.0	0.7	1.2			
30	53.9	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.1	91.9	1.0	0.8	0.6	1.0			
35	48.5	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	82.6	12	0.8	0.0	0.9			
40	44.2	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.2	75.1	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.7			
45	40.6	0.5	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	69.1	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.6			
50	37.7	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.5	64.0	1.0	0.8	0.1	0.4			
55	35.1	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.7	59.6	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.3			
60	32.9	0.4	0.7	-0.2	-0.8	55.9	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.1			
65	31.0	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.0	52.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	-0.1			
70	29.4	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.2	49.8	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.3			
75	27.9	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.3	47.3	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.5			
80	26.6	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.5	45.0	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.7			
85	25.4	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.7	43.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.9			
90	24.3	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.9	41.1	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.1			
95	23.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.1	39.4	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.3			
100	22.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.2	37.9	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.5			
105	21.6	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.4	36.5	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.7			
110	20.8	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.6	35.2	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.9			
115	20.1	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.8	34.0	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.1			
120	19.5	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-3.0	32.9	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.3			
Max Storag	e Volume =				0.439					1.194			
Average Po	onding Depth (	(mm)			8.1					22.1			
Maximum F	Maximum Ponding Depth (mm) 81.9 114.3												

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

Table XVIII - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) Storage Requirement for WS-18 ('C)												
	с –	0.00	(E year)	Storage Re	quirement	101 103-10 (	0)					
	C <sub>AVG</sub> –	0.90	(J-year)							( <b>D</b> )		
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	istable Accu	itrol Weir Roo	f Drain		
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)	Number of Drains 1								
Dra	inage Area =	0.007	(hectares)									
	Da	Janan Data -	0.70	(1 /222)		Dal	aaaa Data -	0.90	(1 (2 2 2)			
	Re	elease Rale =	0.72	_(L/sec)		Rei		0.89	(L/Sec)			
		turn Period =	5	_(years)	0.014		urn Period =	100	_(years)	0.000		
	IDF Par	ameters, $A =$	<u>998.071</u>	, В =	0.814	IDF Para	A = A / T	1/30.000	_ , в =	0.820		
		I = A/	(1 <sub>с</sub> +С)В	, C =	6.053		I = A/(IC	+C)B	, C =	6.014		
	Rainfall		Release	Storage	Changers	Rainfall		Release				
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate		Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	<b>O</b> L ( <sup>3</sup> )		
(min)	(mm/nr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>-</sup> )	(mm/nr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>-</sup> )		
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
5	141.2	2.5	0.7	1.8	0.5	242.7	4.8	0.9	3.9	1.2		
10	104.2	1.9	0.7	1.1	0.7	178.6	3.5	0.9	2.7	1.6		
15	83.6	1.5	0.7	0.8	0.7	142.9	2.8	0.9	1.9	1.8		
20	70.3	1.3	0.7	0.5	0.6	120.0	2.4	0.9	1.5	1.8		
25	60.9	1.1	0.7	0.4	0.6	103.8	2.1	0.9	1.2	1.8		
30	53.9	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.4	91.9	1.8	0.9	0.9	1.7		
35	48.5	0.9	0.7	0.1	0.3	82.6	1.6	0.9	0.8	1.6		
40	44.2	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.2	75.1	1.5	0.9	0.6	1.4		
45	40.0	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.0	64.0	1.4	0.9	0.5	1.3		
50	37.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	-0.1	64.0 50.0	1.3	0.9	0.4	1.1		
55	35.1	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	59.0	1.2	0.9	0.3	1.0		
60	32.9	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.5	50.9	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.0		
05 70	31.0	0.6	0.7	-0.2	-0.0	52.0	1.0	0.9	0.2	0.0		
70	29.4	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.0	49.0	1.0	0.9	0.1	0.4		
75	21.9	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-1.0	47.5	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.2		
85	20.0	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-1.2	43.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0		
00	20.4	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.4	43.0	0.9	0.9	-0.1	-0.2		
90	24.3	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.5	30.7	0.0	0.9	-0.1	-0.4 _0.6		
100	23.3	0.4	0.7	-0.3	_1.7	37.0	0.0	0.9		-0.0 _0.8		
105	21.7	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-21	36.5	0.0	0.0	-0.7	-0.0		
110	20.8	0.4	0.7	-0.3	_23	35.2	0.7	0.0	-0.2	-1 3		
115	20.0	0.4	0.7	-0.4	-2.5	34.0	0.7	0.9	-0.2	-1.5		
120	19.5	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.0	32.9	0.7	0.0	-0.2	-1 7		
Max Stored	e Volume =	0.0	0.1	J.T	0.697	02.0	0.1	0.0	0.2	1 791		
Average Pr	nding Denth	(mm)			9.8					25.1		
Maximum F	Conding Depth	(mm)			91.6					130.4		
	Shang Dopti	• \•••••)			01.0					- <b>- - - - - - - - - -</b>		

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XIX - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events)												
	Storage Requirement for WS-19 ('C)												
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	0.90	(5-year)										
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	stable Accu	itrol Weir Root	f Drain			
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)	Number of Drains 1									
Dra	inage Area =	0.005	(hectares)										
	0		· · ·										
	Re	elease Rate =	0.66	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.81	(L/sec)				
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)				
	IDF Par	rameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc <sup>.</sup>	+C)B	, C =	6.014			
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release					
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage				
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
0	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
5	141.2	1.7	0.7	1.0	0.3	242.7	3.2	0.8	2.4	0.7			
10	104.2	1.3	0.7	0.6	0.4	178.6	2.4	0.8	1.6	0.9			
15	83.6	1.0	0.7	0.3	0.3	142.9	1.9	0.8	1.1	1.0			
20	70.3	0.8	0.7	0.2	0.2	120.0	1.6	0.8	0.8	1.0			
25	60.9	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.1	103.8	1.4	0.8	0.6	0.9			
30	53.9	0.6	0.7	0.0	0.0	91.9	1.2	0.8	0.4	0.8			
35	48.5	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.2	82.6	1.1	0.8	0.3	0.6			
40	44.2	0.5	0.7	-0.1	-0.3	75.1	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.5			
45	40.6	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.5	69.1	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.3			
50	37.7	0.5	0.7	-0.2	-0.6	64.0	0.9	0.8	0.0	0.1			
55	35.1	0.4	0.7	-0.2	-0.8	59.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0			
60	32.9	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.0	55.9	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.2			
65	31.0	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.1	52.6	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.4			
70	29.4	0.4	0.7	-0.3	-1.3	49.8	0.7	0.8	-0.1	-0.6			
75	27.9	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.5	47.3	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-0.8			
80	26.6	0.3	0.7	-0.3	-1.7	45.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.0			
85	25.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-1.8	43.0	0.6	0.8	-0.2	-1.2			
90	24.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.0	41.1	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.4			
95	23.3	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.2	39.4	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.6			
100	22.4	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.4	37.9	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-1.8			
105	21.6	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.5	36.5	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.0			
110	20.8	0.3	0.7	-0.4	-2.7	35.2	0.5	0.8	-0.3	-2.2			
115	20.1	0.2	0.7	-0.4	-2.9	34.0	0.5	0.8	-0.4	-2.4			
120	19.5	0.2	0.7	-0.4	-3.1	32.9	0.4	0.8	-0.4	-2.6			
Max Storag	e Volume =	(			0.353					0.991			
Average Po	Average Ponding Depth (mm)     7.3     20.6												
Maximum F	onding Depth	n (mm)			79.2					111.7			

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XX - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events)												
	Storage Requirement for WS-20 (°C)												
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	0.90	(5-year)										
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	stable Accu	trol Weir Roo	f Drain			
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)	Number of Drains 1									
Dra	ainage Area =	0.021	(hectares)										
	Re	elease Rate =	0.79	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.93	(L/sec)				
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)				
	IDF Par	ameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc·	+C)B	, C =	6.014			
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release					
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage	2			
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m³)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
5	141.2	7.3	0.8	6.5	1.9	242.7	13.9	0.9	13.0	3.9			
10	104.2	5.4	0.8	4.6	2.8	178.6	10.2	0.9	9.3	5.6			
15	83.6	4.3	0.8	3.5	3.2	142.9	8.2	0.9	7.3	6.5			
20	70.3	3.6	0.8	2.8	3.4	120.0	6.9	0.9	5.9	7.1			
25	60.9	3.1	0.8	2.4	3.5	103.8	6.0	0.9	5.0	7.5			
30	53.9	2.8	0.8	2.0	3.6	91.9	5.3	0.9	4.3	7.8			
35	48.5	2.5	0.8	1.7	3.6	82.6	4.7	0.9	3.8	8.0			
40	44.2	2.3	0.8	1.5	3.6	75.1	4.3	0.9	3.4	8.1			
45	40.6	2.1	0.8	1.3	3.5	69.1	4.0	0.9	3.0	8.2			
50	37.7	1.9	0.8	1.2	3.5	64.0	3.7	0.9	2.7	8.2			
55	35.1	1.8	0.8	1.0	3.4	59.6	3.4	0.9	2.5	8.2			
60	32.9	1.7	0.8	0.9	3.3	55.9	3.2	0.9	2.3	8.2			
65	31.0	1.6	0.8	0.8	3.2	52.6	3.0	0.9	2.1	8.2			
70	29.4	1.5	0.8	0.7	3.1	49.8	2.9	0.9	1.9	8.1			
75	27.9	1.4	0.8	0.7	2.9	47.3	2.7	0.9	1.8	8.0			
80	20.0	1.4	0.8	0.6	2.8	45.0	2.0	0.9	1.7	7.9			
C0	20.4	1.3	0.0	0.5	2.1	43.0	2.0	0.9	1.5	/.ö			
90	<u>∠4.3</u>	1.3	0.0	0.5	2.5	41.1	2.4	0.9	1.4	1.1			
90	∠3.3 22.4	1.2	0.0	0.4	2.4	39.4	2.3	0.9	1.3	7.0			
100	22.4	1.2	0.0	0.4	2.2	31.9	2.2	0.9	1.2	7.0			
100	∠1.0 20.9	1.1	0.0	0.3	2.1 1 0	30.3	2.1	0.9	1.2	7.0			
115	20.0	1.1	0.0	0.3	1.9	3/ 0	2.0	0.9	1.1	7.0			
120	10.5	1.0	0.0	0.3	1.7	32.0	1.9	0.9	1.0	6.0			
Max Storag	e Volume -	1.0	0.0	0.2	3 607	52.3	1.3	0.3	1.0	8 216			
Average Pr	nding Denth	(mm)			17.5					39.9			
Maximum F	Average Folding Depth (IIIII)         17.3         39.9           Maximum Danding Donth (mm)         405.7         400.4												
		(11111)			103.7					133.1			

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate

	Table XXI - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events)												
	Storage Requirement for WS-21 ('C)												
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	0.90	(5-year)										
	C <sub>AVG</sub> =	1.00	(100-year)			1/4 Expose	d Watts Adju	stable Accu	trol Weir Root	Drain			
Ti	me Interval =	5	(mins)			Number of	Drains	1					
Dra	inage Area =	0.013	(hectares)										
	-												
	Re	elease Rate =	0.75	(L/sec)		Rel	ease Rate =	0.89	(L/sec)				
	Re	turn Period =	5	(years)		Ret	urn Period =	100	(years)				
	IDF Par	rameters, A =	998.071	, B =	0.814	IDF Para	ameters, A =	1735.688	, B =	0.820			
		I = A/	(T <sub>c</sub> +C)B	, C =	6.053		I = A/(Tc	+C)B	, C =	6.014			
	Rainfall		Release	Storage		Rainfall		Release					
Duration	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Rate	Storage	Intensity, I	Peak Flow	Rate	Storage				
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(mm/hr)	(L/sec)	(L/sec)	Rate (L/sec)	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )			
0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
5	141.2	4.5	0.7	3.7	1.1	242.7	8.5	0.9	7.7	2.3			
10	104.2	3.3	0.7	2.6	1.5	178.6	6.3	0.9	5.4	3.2			
15	83.6	2.6	0.7	1.9	1.7	142.9	5.0	0.9	4.1	3.7			
20	70.3	2.2	0.7	1.5	1.8	120.0	4.2	0.9	3.3	4.0			
25	60.9	1.9	0.7	1.2	1.8	103.8	3.7	0.9	2.8	4.1			
30	53.9	1.7	0.7	1.0	1.7	91.9	3.2	0.9	2.3	4.2			
35	48.5	1.5	0.7	0.8	1.7	82.6	2.9	0.9	2.0	4.2			
40	44.2	1.4	0.7	0.7	1.6	75.1	2.6	0.9	1.8	4.2			
45	40.6	1.3	0.7	0.5	1.5	69.1	2.4	0.9	1.5	4.2			
50	37.7	1.2	0.7	0.4	1.3	64.0	2.3	0.9	1.4	4.1			
55	35.1	1.1	0.7	0.4	1.2	59.6	2.1	0.9	1.2	4.0			
60	32.9	1.0	0.7	0.3	1.1	55.9	2.0	0.9	1.1	3.9			
65	31.0	1.0	0.7	0.2	0.9	52.6	1.9	0.9	1.0	3.7			
70	29.4	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.8	49.8	1.8	0.9	0.9	3.6			
75	27.9	0.9	0.7	0.1	0.6	47.3	1.7	0.9	0.8	3.5			
80	26.6	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.5	45.0	1.6	0.9	0.7	3.3			
85	25.4	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.3	43.0	1.5	0.9	0.6	3.2			
90	24.3	0.8	0.7	0.0	0.1	41.1	1.4	0.9	0.6	3.0			
95	23.3	0.7	0.7	0.0	-0.1	39.4	1.4	0.9	0.5	2.8			
100	22.4	0.7	0.7	0.0	-0.2	37.9	1.3	0.9	0.4	2.7			
105	21.6	0.7	0.7	-0.1	-0.4	36.5	1.3	0.9	0.4	2.5			
110	20.8	0.7	0.7	-0.1	-0.6	35.2	1.2	0.9	0.3	2.3			
115	20.1	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.8	34.0	1.2	0.9	0.3	2.1			
120	19.5	0.6	0.7	-0.1	-0.9	32.9	1.2	0.9	0.3	1.9			
Max Storag	e Volume =	(			1.775					4.232			
Average Po	nding Depth	(mm)			14.0					33.4			
Maximum F	onding Depth	n (mm)			98.2					131.1			

1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A

2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>B</sup>
3) Release Rate = LESSER of Min (Release Rate, Peak Flow) - Minus 100 Year Flow Of Uncontroled Areas OR Pipe Outlet Capacity
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate

5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate
| Table XVIII - Storage Volumes (5-Year and 100-Year Storm Events) |   |               |                      |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|--|------------|--------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Storage Requirement for Oversized Pipe                           |   |               |                      |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | C <sub>AVG</sub> =                          | 0.90          | (3-year)             |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
| Tim  |   | 1.00          | (TOO-year)           |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | 5             | (mins)               |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
| Drair  | lage Area =                                 | 0.045         | (nectares)           |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | Re  | lease Rate =  | 4.0                  | (L/sec) |         | Rele                        | ease Rate =  | 6.4        | (L/sec)      |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | Re  | turn Period = | 5                    | (years) |         | Return Period = 100 (years) |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
|  | IDF Parameters, A = $998.071$ , B = $0.814$ |               |                      |         |         |                             | IDF Parameters, A = <u>1735.688</u> , B = <u>0.820</u> |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   | I = A/        | (T <sub>c</sub> +C)B | , C =   | 6.053   |                             | I = A/(Tc  | +C)B       | , C =        | 6.014          |  |  |  |  |
|  | Deinfell                                    |               | Poloooo              | Storago |         | Deinfell                    |  | Poloooo    | Storago      |                |  |  |  |  |
| Duration   | Intensity I                                 | Peak Flow     | Pate                 | Bate    | Storage |                             | Peak Flow  | Pate       | Bate         | Storage        |  |  |  |  |
| (min)  | (mm/br)                                     |               |                      |         | $(m^3)$ | (mm/br)                     |  |            |              | $(m^3)$        |  |  |  |  |
| 0  | -   | -             | (Ľ/360)              | -       | -       | -                           | -  | -          | -            | -              |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | 141.2                                       | 15.8          | 4.0                  | 11.8    | 3.5     | 242.7                       | 30.1   | 6.4        | 23.7         | 7.1            |  |  |  |  |
| 10   | 104.2                                       | 11.6          | 4.0                  | 7.6     | 4.6     | 178.6                       | 22.2   | 6.4        | 15.8         | 9.5            |  |  |  |  |
| 15   | 83.6  | 9.3           | 4.0                  | 5.3     | 4.8     | 142.9                       | 17.7   | 6.4        | 11.3         | 10.2           |  |  |  |  |
| 20   | 70.3  | 7.8           | 4.0                  | 3.8     | 4.6     | 120.0                       | 14.9   | 6.4        | 8.5          | 10.2           |  |  |  |  |
| 25   | 60.9<br>52.0                                | 6.8           | 4.0                  | 2.8     | 4.2     | 103.8                       | 12.9   | 6.4        | 6.5          | 9.7            |  |  |  |  |
| 30   | 53.9<br>48.5                                | 0.0<br>5.4    | 4.0                  | 2.0     | 3.0     | 91.9<br>82.6                | 11.4   | 6.4        | 5.0<br>3.0   | 9.0            |  |  |  |  |
| 40   | 44.2  | 4.9           | 4.0                  | 0.9     | 22      | 75.1                        | 9.3  | 6.4        | 2.9          | 7.0            |  |  |  |  |
| 45   | 40.6  | 4.5           | 4.0                  | 0.5     | 1.4     | 69.1                        | 8.6  | 6.4        | 2.2          | 5.9            |  |  |  |  |
| 50   | 37.7  | 4.2           | 4.0                  | 0.2     | 0.6     | 64.0                        | 7.9  | 6.4        | 1.5          | 4.6            |  |  |  |  |
| 55   | 35.1  | 3.9           | 4.0                  | -0.1    | -0.3    | 59.6                        | 7.4  | 6.4        | 1.0          | 3.3            |  |  |  |  |
| 60   | 32.9  | 3.7           | 4.0                  | -0.3    | -1.2    | 55.9                        | 6.9  | 6.4        | 0.5          | 1.9            |  |  |  |  |
| 65   | 31.0  | 3.5           | 4.0                  | -0.5    | -2.1    | 52.6                        | 6.5  | 6.4        | 0.1          | 0.5            |  |  |  |  |
| 70   | 29.4  | 3.3           | 4.0                  | -0.7    | -3.0    | 49.8                        | 6.2  | 6.4        | -0.2         | -0.9           |  |  |  |  |
| 75   | 27.9  | 3.1           | 4.0                  | -0.9    | -4.0    | 47.3                        | 5.9<br>5.6   | 6.4        | -0.5         | -2.4           |  |  |  |  |
| 85   | 25.0  | 2.8           | 4.0                  | -1.0    | -5.0    | 43.0                        | 5.0  | 6.4        | -0.8         | -5.9           |  |  |  |  |
| 90   | 24.3  | 2.7           | 4.0                  | -1.3    | -7.0    | 41.1                        | 5.1  | 6.4        | -1.3         | -7.0           |  |  |  |  |
| 95   | 23.3  | 2.6           | 4.0                  | -1.4    | -8.0    | 39.4                        | 4.9  | 6.4        | -1.5         | -8.6           |  |  |  |  |
| 100  | 22.4  | 2.5           | 4.0                  | -1.5    | -9.0    | 37.9                        | 4.7  | 6.4        | -1.7         | -10.2          |  |  |  |  |
| 105  | 21.6  | 2.4           | 4.0                  | -1.6    | -10.0   | 36.5                        | 4.5  | 6.4        | -1.9         | -11.8          |  |  |  |  |
| 110  | 20.8  | 2.3           | 4.0                  | -1.7    | -11.1   | 35.2                        | 4.4  | 6.4        | -2.0         | -13.4          |  |  |  |  |
| 115  | 20.1  | 2.2           | 4.0                  | -1.8    | -12.1   | 34.0                        | 4.2  | 6.4        | -2.2         | -15.0          |  |  |  |  |
| 120  | 19.0  | 2.2           | 4.0                  | -1.0    | -13.2   | 32.9                        | 4.1  | 6.4        | -2.3         | -10.7          |  |  |  |  |
| 130  | 18.3  | 2.0           | 4.0                  | -2.0    | -15.3   | 30.9                        | 3.8  | 6.4        | -2.6         | -20.0          |  |  |  |  |
| 135  | 17.8  | 2.0           | 4.0                  | -2.0    | -16.3   | 30.0                        | 3.7  | 6.4        | -2.7         | -21.6          |  |  |  |  |
| 140  | 17.3  | 1.9           | 4.0                  | -2.1    | -17.4   | 29.2                        | 3.6  | 6.4        | -2.8         | -23.3          |  |  |  |  |
| 145  | 16.8  | 1.9           | 4.0                  | -2.1    | -18.5   | 28.4                        | 3.5  | 6.4        | -2.9         | -25.0          |  |  |  |  |
| 150  | 16.4  | 1.8           | 4.0                  | -2.2    | -19.6   | 27.6                        | 3.4  | 6.4        | -3.0         | -26.7          |  |  |  |  |
| 155  | 15.9  | 1.8           | 4.0                  | -2.2    | -20.6   | 26.9                        | 3.3  | 6.4        | -3.1         | -28.4          |  |  |  |  |
| 160  | 15.0  | 1.7           | 4.0                  | -2.3    | -21.7   | 20.2                        | 3.3  | 6.4        | -3.1         | -30.1          |  |  |  |  |
| 103  | 14.8  | 1.7           | 4.0                  | -2.3    | -22.0   | 25.0                        | 3.2  | 6.4        | -3.2         | -33.6          |  |  |  |  |
| 175  | 14.5  | 1.6           | 4.0                  | -2.4    | -25.0   | 24.4                        | 3.0  | 6.4        | -3.4         | -35.3          |  |  |  |  |
| 180  | 14.2  | 1.6           | 4.0                  | -2.4    | -26.1   | 23.9                        | 3.0  | 6.4        | -3.4         | -37.0          |  |  |  |  |
| 185  | 13.9  | 1.5           | 4.0                  | -2.5    | -27.2   | 23.4                        | 2.9  | 6.4        | -3.5         | -38.8          |  |  |  |  |
| 190  | 13.6  | 1.5           | 4.0                  | -2.5    | -28.3   | 22.9                        | 2.8  | 6.4        | -3.6         | -40.5          |  |  |  |  |
| 195  | 13.3  | 1.5           | 4.0                  | -2.5    | -29.4   | 22.4                        | 2.8  | 6.4        | -3.6         | -42.3          |  |  |  |  |
| 200  | 13.0  | 1.5           | 4.0                  | -2.5    | -30.5   | 22.0                        | 2.7  | 6.4        | -3.7         | -44.0          |  |  |  |  |
| 205<br>210   | 12.ð<br>12.6                                | 1.4           | 4.0                  | -2.0    | -31.0   | 21.0<br>21.1                | 2.1  | 0.4<br>6.7 | -3./<br>_3.8 | -43.8<br>_/7.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 210  | 12.0  | 1.4           | 4.0                  | -2.0    | -33.8   | 21.1                        | 2.0  | 6.4        | -3.8         | -49.3          |  |  |  |  |
| 220  | 12.1  | 1.4           | 4.0                  | -2.6    | -35.0   | 20.4                        | 2.5  | 6.4        | -3.9         | -51.0          |  |  |  |  |
| Max Storag   | e Volume =                                  | 1             | I                    |         | 4.8     |                             |  |            | I            | 10.2           |  |  |  |  |
| Notes  |   |               |                      |         |         |                             |  |            |              |                |  |  |  |  |

Notes
1) Peak flow is equal to the product of 2.78 x C x I x A
2) Rainfall Intensity, I = A/(Tc/60)<sup>b</sup>
3) Release Rate = Existing 5 year Peak Flow Rate
4) Storage Rate = Peak Flow - Release Rate
5) Storage = Duration x Storage Rate
6) Maximium Storage = Max Storage Over Duration

# **STORM SEWER COMPUTATION FORM**

Rational Method				Ottawa IDF Curve - 5-y (MacDonald Cartier Airport)						Ottawa IDF Curve - 100-y (MacDonald Cartier Airport)									
Q = 2.78*A*I*R	Q = Flow (L/sec) A = Area (ha) I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/h) R = Ave. Runoff Coefficient			I <sub>5</sub> = 998.071 / (Tc + 6.053) <sup>0.814</sup> Minimum Time of Conc. Tc = <b>10 min</b> ning's n = /						0.013	$I_{100} = 1735.688 / (Tc + 6.014)^{0.820}$ Minimum Time of Conc. Tc = <b>10 min</b>								
				Runoff Parameters			Peak												
Drainage	From	То	Area	Runoff	Indiv.	Accum.	Time of	Rainfall	Flow***	Pi	ipe Dia.	Slope	Length	Capacity	Ve	locity	Time of	Q(d) / Q(f)	Volume*
Area			(ha)	Coeff.	2.78AR	2.78AR	Conc.	Intensity (mm/br)	Q (1./soc)	nom.	actual (mm)	(94)	(m)	full	full (m/soc)	actual	Flow (min)		(m3)
			(IId)	N.			(11111)	(11111/111)	(L/SEC)	(11111)	(1111)	(70)	(111)	(L/SEC)	(11/500)	(11/560)	(11111)		(113)
Total Roof (Controlled and Uncontrolled)	Bldg	Stm Sewer in Churchill Avenue (375mm diameter)							28.40	200	201.16	2.00	9.0	46.38	1.48	1.34	0.10	0.61	100 year
Back Courtyard Oversized Pipe (WS-02 and WS-04) - 100 year storm*	STMH-01	STMH-01A								675	686.00	0.11	13.2	282.57	0.79		0.28		10.21
Back Courtyard Oversized Pipe (WS-02 and WS-04) - 100 year storm*	STMH-01A	STMH-02	0.047	1.00	0.13	0.13	10.00	178.56	23.3	600	594.00	0.13	19.4	223.08	0.79	0.45	0.41	0.10	10.21
	STMH-02	Stm Sewer in Winona Avenue (450mm diameter)							6.4	250	251.46	0.50	14.8	42.05	0.86	0.53	0.29	0.15	
																			1

\* These pipes are oversized to provide storage to maintain the maximum allowable release rate of 6.4 L/s using an ICD in STMH-02 \*\*A total of 10.2 m3 of storage is provided

\*\*\*Peak flows are the controlled and uncontrolled flows as per Summary of Flows sheet (Table IV) for the 100 year

Design: S.Sterling Check: M. MacSween Date: 23-Nov-21

Project: 327 Richmond Road, Ottawa, ON

Client: Richmond Churchill Limited Partnership

## [EXTERNAL] RE: 327 Richmond Road Engineering Comments - Break down of Storm Flows

## Tousignant, Eric < Eric.Tousignant@ottawa.ca>

Mon 2021-11-22 12:50 PM

To: MacSween, Meghan <Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com>

Cc: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>; Sterling, Sharra <Sharra.Sterling@parsons.com>

### Thank you, Meghan

I checked with our hydraulic model and sending more flow to Churchill is actually beneficial to the local system given that Winona is considerably surcharged (and Churchill has the capacity). The proposed flows on both streets should not pose a problem under future conditions, however, please continue to look for opportunities to further control the roof discharge rates as per our discussion last week.

Regards Eric

# Eric Tousignant, P.Eng.

Senior Water Resources Engineer Infrastructure Services 613-580-2424 ext 25129

From: MacSween, Meghan <Meghan.Macsween@parsons.com>
Sent: November 18, 2021 11:26 AM
To: Tousignant, Eric <Eric.Tousignant@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>; Sterling, Sharra <Sharra.Sterling@parsons.com>
Subject: 327 Richmond Road Engineering Comments - Break down of Storm Flows

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Eric,

Thank you for your time this morning to discuss the 327 Richmond project.

As requested, please see below for the estimated existing flows to each road around the site as well as the proposed flows based on our current design:

Street	Existi	ng (L/s)	Proposed (L/s)					
Street	5 year	100 year	5 year	100 year				
Churchill	31.6	65.3	26.7	42.7				
Winona	20.8	44.6	5.8	10.6				
Richmond (drains to Winona)	22.4	42.6	11.3	21.5				

As discussed, we are working on changes to reduce these proposed flows further - including to increase the roof storage to bring that Churchill proposed flow down even further.

Thanks,

Meghan

Meghan MacSween, M.Eng., P.Eng.

**Municipal Engineer** 

1223 Michael St. North, Suite 100, Ottawa, ON K1J 7T2 meghan.macsween@parsons.com

M: +1 343.997.3895

<u>Parsons [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] / LinkedIn [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] / Twitter</u> [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com] / <u>Facebook [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com]</u> / <u>Instagram</u> [can01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com]



