TIP GLADSTONE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

951 GLADSTONE AVENUE AND 145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH, MIXED-USE AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, OTTAWA, ON

SERVICING REPORT

DECEMBER 23, 2021 2ND SUBMISSION



wsp



951 GLADSTONE AVENUE AND 145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH, MIXED-USE AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, OTTAWA, ON SERVICING REPORT

TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP

SITE PLAN APPLICATION 1ST SUBMISSION

PROJECT NO.: 20M-01441-00 DATE: APRIL 2021

WSP 2611 QUEESVIEW DRIVE, SUITE 300 OTTAWA, ON, CANADA, K2B 8K2

TEL.: +1 613-829-2800

WSP.COM



2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, ON, Canada K2B 8K2

Tel.: +1 613-829-2800

wsp.com

December 23, 2021

Oz Dewniak oz.drewniak@clvgroup.com TIP Gladstone Limited Partnership by its General Partner TIP Gladstone GP Inc.

Via:

CLV Group Developments Inc. 485 Bank Street, Suite 200 Ottawa, ON, K2P 1Z2

Attention: Oz Dewniak

Dear Sir:

Subject: 951 Gladstone Avenue And 145 Loretta Avenue North - Mixed-Use And Residential Development - Servicing Report

Please find attached our revised servicing report, including civil engineering design drawings, prepared for your review prior to submission.

Yours sincerely,

ideal Flow

Michael Flowers, P.Eng. LEED Green Associate. Project Engineer

WSP ref.: 20M-01441-00

QUALITY MANAGEMENT

ISSUE/REVISION	FIRST ISSUE	REVISION 1	REVISION 2
Remarks	Issued for Site Plan Application	Re-Issued for Site Plan Application	
Date	April 14, 2021	December 23, 2021	
Prepared by	Michael Flowers	Michael Flowers	
Signature	Michael Flow	Midned Flow	
Project number	20M-01441-00	20M-01441-00	

SIGNATURES

REVIEWED AND PREPARED BY

Minard Flower

Michael Flowers, P.Eng. Project Engineer



This report was prepared by WSP Canada Inc. for the account of TIP Gladstone Limited Partnership by its General Partner TIP Gladstone GP Inc., c/w CLV Group Developments Inc., in accordance with the professional services agreement. The disclosure of any information contained in this report is the sole responsibility of the intended recipient. The material in it reflects WSP Canada Inc.'s best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. WSP Canada Group Ltd. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report. This limitations statement is considered part of this report.

The original of the technology-based document sent herewith has been authenticated and will be retained by WSP for a minimum of ten years. Since the file transmitted is now out of WSP's control and its integrity can no longer be ensured, no guarantee may be given with regards to any modifications made to this document.

Page iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL9
1.1	Executive Summary9
1.2	Date and Revision Number10
1.3	Location Map and Plan10
1.4	Adherence to Zoning and Related Requirements11
1.5	Pre-Consultation Meetings11
1.6	Higher Levels Studies and Reports11
1.7	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria12
1.8	Available Existing and Proposed Indrastructure12
1.9	Environmentally Significant Areas, Watercourses and MunicIpal Drains13
1.10	Concept Level Master Grading Plan
1.11	Impacts on Private Services13
1.12	Development Phasing14
1.13	Drawing Requirement14
2	WATER DISTRIBUTION
2.1	Consistency with Master Servicing Study and Availability of Public Infrastructure15
2.2	Existing Conditions15
2.3	System Constraints and Boundary Conditions16
2.4	Confirmation of Adequate Domestic Supply and Pressure
2.5	Capability of Major Infrastructure to Supply Sufficient Water17
2.6	Description of Proposed Water Distribution Network18
2.7	Off-site Requirements and Hydrants

Athletics and Recreation Centre Algonquin College, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 191-01517-00 HOK Architects Corporation

3	WASTEWATER SERVICING	19
3.1	Design Criteria	19
3.2	Consistency with Master Servicing Study	19
3.3	existing Wastewater services	19
3.4	Review of Soil Conditions	21
3.5	Verification of Available Capacity in Downstream Sewer	21
3.6	Special Considerations	21
4	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	23
4.1	Existing Stormwater Services	23
4.2	Post-development Stormwater Management Targe	t 24
4.3	Water Quantity Control Objective	24
4.4	Water Quality Control Objective	24
4.5	Design Criteria	24
4.6	Proposed Minor and Major System	25
4.7	Stormwater Management	25
4.8	Pre and Post Development Peak Flow Rates	25
4.9	Quality Control	26
4.10	Diversion of Drainage Catchment Areas	26
4.11	Impacts to Receiving Watercourses	26
5	SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL	27
5.1	General	27
6	APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	. 28
6.1	General	28

Page vi

7	CONCLUSION CHECKLIST	29
7.1	Conclusions and Recommendations	. 29

TABLES

TABLE 2-1:	WATER DEMAND AND BOUNDARY	
	CONDITIONS	.16
TABLE 3-1:	SUMMARY OF ESTIMATED	
	CONTEMPLATED PEAK WASTEWATER	
	FLOW	21

FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1 SITE LOCATION	
FIGURE 2-1 EXISTING WATER SUPPLY SERVICES	15
FIGURE 3-1 EXISTING SANITARY SEWER SERVICES	20
FIGURE 4-1 EXISTING STORM SEWER SERVICES	23

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A -

PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

APPENDIX B -

SERVICING STUDY GUIDELINES CHECKLIST

APPENDIX C -

ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES

APPENDIX D -

CALCULATIONS AND SKETCHES

APPENDIX E -

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

APPENDIX F -

CIVIL DRAWINGS

1 **GENERAL**

1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WSP Canada Inc. has been retained by TIP Gladstone Limited Partnership by its Hernal Partner TIP Gladstone GP Inc. c/o CLV Group Developments Inc. to provide Civil site plan control drawings and to prepare this servicing study report. The report is based on the pre-consultation between the consulting partners and the City of Ottawa on June 8, 2020 and includes references and servicing sizing as per the site Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services completed by DSEL Engineering Ltd. (November, 2019). This servicing report supports the application for Site Plan Control at 145 Loretta Avenue North and 951 Gladstone Avenue. The property is located within the City of Ottawa as shown in **Figure 1-1** as highlighted in red.

The subject property measures approximately 1.0 ha and is zoned General Industrial, (IG1 H(11)). The existing site which includes four separate buildings and a surface parking lot will be developed for three proposed high-rise buildings. The redevelopment includes multi-storey residential towers (30, 33, and 35 stories) above a common retail and office podium with a contemplated zoning of Mixed-Use Centre (MC). The redevelopment of the property will not involve removing the 3-storey Standard Bread Building located at the south-east corner of the property.

This property is being planned to consist of approximately 745 residential units, 17,569m² of office space and 3,276m³ of retail space. Construction is being contemplated in phases while this servicing report details the complete site for all phases. This report provides the detailed summary of information in accordance with the city of Ottawa Site Plan control Guidelines for servicing studies and development applications. Specifically, this report includes a summary for Storm, Sanitary and Water servicing.

This report was prepared utilizing servicing design criteria obtained from available sources, and outlines the design for water, sanitary wastewater, and stormwater facilities.

The format of this report matches that of the servicing study checklist found in Section 4 of the City of Ottawa's Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications, November 2009.

The following municipal services are available within streets surrounding the proposed development as recorded from asbuilt drawings from City of Ottawa:

Loretta Avenue North:

- Watermains
 - o 203 mm diameter unlined cast iron watermain;
 - o 406 mm diameter PVC watermain stub, north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
 - o 1372 mm diameter concrete pressure watermain backbone pipe;
- Storm Sewer
 - 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and out-letting approximately
 1.5 km downstream;

- Sanitary Sewer
 - o 1050 mm diameter concrete sanitary Mooney's Bay trunk sewer; and
 - \circ 300 mm diameter concrete combined sewer.

Gladstone Avenue:

- Watermains
 - o 203 mm diameter PVC watermain, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
 - o 406 mm diameter PVC watermain, west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
- Storm Sewer
 - 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and out-letting approximately 1.5 km downstream;
 - \circ $\,$ 375 mm diameter PVC storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and out-letting approximately 1 km downstream;
- Sanitary Sewer
 - o 1050mm diameter concrete Mooney's Bay sanitary sewer, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection; and
 - o 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

It is proposed that:

- Two watermain services be installed from Loretta Ave N and Gladstone Avenue. (200mm diameter)
- One Sanitary sewer service be installed connecting to Galdstone Avenue. (250mm diameter)
- On-site stormwater management systems, employing surface storage and the underground storm chambers will be provided to attenuate flow rates leaving the new parking lot and new building roof. Existing drainage patterns, previously established controlled flow rates, and storm sewers will be maintained. Refer to the stormwater management report for details. The final outlet location is to connect to Loretta Avenue N. (300mm diameter)

1.2 DATE AND REVISION NUMBER

This version of the report is the first revision, dated April 14, 2020.

1.3 LOCATION MAP AND PLAN

The proposed residential development for Parcel 1 and 2 are located at 6301 Campeau Drive, in the City of Ottawa at the location shown in **Figure 1-1** below.



Figure 1-1 Site Location

1.4 **ADHERENCE TO ZONING AND RELATED REQUIREMENTS**

The proposed property use will be in conformance with zoning and related requirements prior to approval and construction.

1.5 **PRE-CONSULTATION MEETINGS**

Pre-consultation correspondence from the City of Ottawa, along with the servicing guidelines checklist, is located in Appendix A.

1.6 HIGHER LEVELS STUDIES AND REPORTS

The following reports were utilized in the preparation of this report:

• DSEL Engineering Ltd. Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services (November, 2019)

The review for servicing has been undertaken in conformance with, and utilizing information from, the following documents:

- City of Ottawa Official Plan Section 4 Review of Development Applications
- Geotechnical and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa
- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Second Edition, Document SDG002, October 2012, City of Ottawa including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2012-4 (20 June 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01 (05 February 2014)

- Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 (September 6, 2018)
- Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-01 (21 March 2018)
- Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-04 (27 June 2018)
- City of Ottawa Stormwater Management Policies
- City of Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution, July 2010 (WDG001), including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 (May 27, 2014)
 - o Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 (21 March 2018)
- City of Ottawa Design Specifications
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, March 2003 (SMPDM).Ontario Building Code
- Fire Underwriters Survey, Water Supply for Public Fire Protection (FUS), 1999.

For the reported studies above, the latest version as of the documents as of the submission date (April, 2021) were used.

1.7 STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND SERVICING CRITERIA

The objective of the site servicing is to meet the requirements for the proposed modification of the site while adhering to the stipulations of the applicable higher-level studies and City of Ottawa servicing design guidelines.

1.8 **AVAILABLE EXISTING AND PROPOSED INDRASTRUCTURE**

Sewer and watermain mapping collected from past studies and from the City of Ottawa (GeoOttawa Mapping) indicate that the following services exist across the property frontages within the adjacent municipal right-of-ways:

Loretta Avenue North:

- Watermains
 - o 203 mm diameter unlined cast iron watermain;
 - \circ 406 mm diameter PVC watermain stub, north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
 - o 1372 mm diameter concrete pressure watermain backbone pipe;
- Storm Sewer
 - 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and out-letting approximately
 1.5 km downstream;
- Sanitary Sewer
 - \circ 1050 mm diameter concrete sanitary Mooney's Bay trunk sewer; and
 - $\circ \quad$ 300 mm diameter concrete combined sewer.

Gladstone Avenue:

- Watermains
 - o 203 mm diameter PVC watermain, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
 - o 406 mm diameter PVC watermain, west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
- Storm Sewer
 - 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and out-letting approximately 1.5 km downstream;
 - \circ $\,$ 375 mm diameter PVC storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and out-letting approximately 1 km downstream;
- Sanitary Sewer
 - o 1050mm diameter concrete Mooney's Bay sanitary sewer, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection; and
 - 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

1.9 ENVIRONMENTALLY SIGNIFICANT AREAS, WATERCOURSES AND MUNICIPAL DRAINS

The proposed development site is bordered by commercial and general industrial land uses to the north, south and west, areas to the east include the LRT lands. Runoff from existing site is currently directed to the LRT lands based on the survey information. Refer to the Appendix E for the Stormwater Management Report for additional details. There are no known municipal drains on the property.

1.10 CONCEPT LEVEL MASTER GRADING PLAN

A detailed grading plan for entire site for the final proposed construction has been developed and is included in the Civil drawing package. The concept level master grading plan was developed to minimize directing emergency major storm runoff flows towards the LRT lands to the east and redirect flows towards Loretta Avenue North for the majority of the site area.

The master grading concept includes smooth transitions from the new work areas to existing grades with an emphasis made towards ensuring grades are below 5% slope to ensure accessibility along walking areas. No changes will be made to grades at the development perimeter and tie-in locations.

1.11 IMPACTS ON PRIVATE SERVICES

There are no existing domestic private services (septic system and well) located on the site. There are no neighbouring properties using private services.

1.12 DEVELOPMENT PHASING

Development phasing is expected for the current proposal. There are two phases for construction with the first including development of Towers 1 and 2 and the underground parking facilities. In phase 2, the Third tower (Tower 3) located at the northern extents of the site will be developed.

1.13 DRAWING REQUIREMENT

The Civil engineering plans submitted for site plan approval are in compliance with City requirements. Refer to the drawing package in for details.

2 WATER DISTRIBUTION

2.1 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY AND AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

There are an existing 205mm diameter public watermain along Campeau Drive and 203mm diameter municipal watermain along Cordillera Street providing water to Parcel 1 and Parcel 2. For Parcel 1, a 203mm diameter private watermain looping extended from the existing 305mm municipal watermain along Campeau Drive will provide redundancy for the Parcel 1 development. Two water services connections will be extended to the Apartment Building A and B mechanical room.

The new buildings will be protected with a supervised automatic fire protection sprinkler system and will require a looped 203mm diameter water service. Existing fire hydrants are available to supply the site along Loretta Ave N. and Gladstone Avenue. No changes are required to the existing City water distribution system to allow servicing for this property.

2.2 **EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1W pressure zone. A local 203 mm diameter UCI watermain is located within Loretta Ave. N. The existing 200mm diameter UCI watermain on Loretta Ave. N. is planned to be replaced with a new 200mm PVC watermain. A 203 mm diameter PVC watermain exists within the Gladstone Avenue right-of-way east of the intersection. A 1372 mm diameter backbone pipeline exist within the Loretta Avenue right-of-way; connection to this is pipeline is not permitted, however the 400mm stub connection located at the intersection will be considered which connects to the 205mm along Gladstone Avenue. **Figure 2-1** illustrates the existing water supply services surrounding the site.



Figure 2-1 Existing Water Supply Services

Below are the estimates for the water demand of the existing buildings, based on the Water Supply Guidelines.

Demand (L/min)
22.5 L/min
33.8 L/min
60.8 L/min

2.3 SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Boundary conditions have been provided by the City of Ottawa as part assessment of service adequacy study completed by DSEL Engineering Ltd. The details for the conditions are included in their report which is included under **Appendix C**. Calculations for fire flow based on the Fire Underwriters Survey are included in **Appendix D**. **Table 2-1**, below, summarizes the anticipated water supply demand and boundary conditions based on the review the and information provided from the City of Ottawa for the proposed development.

Table 2-1: Water Demand and Boundary Conditions

Design Parameter	Estimated	Connection 1 Boundary Conditions		Connection 2 Boundary Conditions	
	Demand ¹	Gladstone Avenue		Loretta Avenue North	
	(L/min)	(m H_2O / kPa)		(m H ₂ O / kPa)	
Average Daily	373.4	47.6	466.7	47.3	464.2
Demand					
Max Day + Fire Flow	823.8 +5,768 ²	41.6	407.8	40.2	394.6
Peak Hour	1,746.5	40.3	395.0	40.2	392.6
* Information originally prepared and calculated by DSEL Engineering Ltd.					
1) Water demand calculation per Water Supply Guidelines.					

2) Information adjusted based on Fire Underwriters Survey Calculation for Fire Flow

2.4 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE DOMESTIC SUPPLY AND PRESSURE

Water demands are based on Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution. The development is considered as mixed-use residential and commercial consisting of retail space and office space. A water demand calculation was computed by DSEL Engineering Ltd. based on the distribution of the tenet space. Water fixture calculations were completed based on unit density while retail space was based on the Ottawa Design Guidelines for commercial usage. WSP confirmed the proposed space requirements for the buildings and the summary calculation sheet is included in Appendix D.

	Proposed
Average Day	373.2 L/min
Maximum Day	823.8 L/min
Peak Hour	1,747.2 L/s

The 2010 City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines stated that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 552 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure	Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand conditions shall not be less than 276 kPa (40 psi)
Fire Flow	During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall not be less than 140 kPa (20 psi) during a fire flow event.
Maximum Pressure	Maximum pressure at any point the distribution system shall not exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). In accordance with the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, the maximum pressure should not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure reduction controls may be required for buildings where it is not possible/feasible to maintain the system pressure below 552 kPa.

The summary below includes additional Water Supply design criteria used to complete the water demand estimate.

•	Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit
•	Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit3.0 m/s
•	Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment	3.1 P/unit
•	Residential Average Daily Demand	280 L/cap/day
•	Residential Maximum Daily Demand	2.5 x Average Daily
•	Residential Maximum Hourly	5.5 x Average Daily
•	Commercial Space	2,500 L/(1000m ² /d)
•	Minimum Watermain Size	150 mm diameter
•	Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4 m

Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons. Above 500 persons, refer to Table 4.2 from City Guidelines.

As a result, the total fire flow under the FUS method is estimated to be 5,768 L/min, refer to the supporting calculation in Appendix D - Table 2. The calculated fire flow assumed that a dedicated automatic sprinkler system that is fully supervised is to be accounted for in the building design. Based on the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, there will be sufficient supply available for fire flow.

High pressure is not a concern for the Proposed Development. The maximum water pressure inside the building at the connection is determined with the maximum HGL condition, resulting in the range of 466.7 to 464.20 kPa which is less than the 552 kPa threshold in the guideline. Based on this result, pressure controls are not required for the development.

2.5 CAPABILITY OF MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPLY SUFFICIENT WATER

The current infrastructure is capable of meeting the domestic demand based on City requirements and fire demand as determined by FUS requirements for the proposed mixed-use residential and commercial buildings.

2.6 **DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WATER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK**

The proposed development will be serviced via a minimum of two (2) water service connections to the 203 mm diameter and 400mm watermains within Gladstone and Loretta Avenues respectively. As the water demand exceeds 50 m^3/day services will be looped internally in the building's footprint (within the parking garage) to allow for redundancy in case of interruption of service to either service.

2.7 OFF-SITE REQUIREMENTS AND HYDRANTS

No off-site improvements to watermains, feedermains, pumping stations, or other water infrastructure are required to maintain existing conditions and service the adjacent buildings, other than the connection of the new private watermain to the City watermain in the south frontage of the site.

A review of hydrant spacing and coverage was based on existing hydrant locations. Refer to Figure Sk 1-1 in Appendix F for more details on hydrant coverage and locations. As existing hydrants will be maintained for the proposed development there will be adequate coverage for the property.

3 WASTEWATER SERVICING

3.1 **DESIGN CRITERIA**

•

In accordance with the City of Ottawa's Sewer Design Guidelines, the following design criteria have been utilized in order to predict wastewater flows generated by the subject site and complete the sewer design;

Minimum Velocity	0.6 m/s
Maximum Velocity	3.0 m/s
Manning Roughness Coefficient	0.013
Average sanitary flow for residential use	280 L/cap/day
Average sanitary flor for commercial use	28,000 L/Ha/day
Commercial/Institutional Peaking Factor	1.5
Infiltration Allowance (Total)	0.33 L/s/Ha
Minimum Sewer Slopes – 200 mm diameter	0.32%

3.2 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY

For the proposed development, the outlet for the private sanitary sewer network is the 1050mm diameter concrete municipal sewer on Gladstone Avenue. The Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines provide estimates of sewage flows based on residential development. As proposed domestic flows are not anticipated to be significantly altered from the system adequacy findings the calculations for flow for peak dry weather and wet weather flow rates have been estimated and included in the DSEL Engineering Ltd. report appended.

3.3 EXISTING WASTEWATER SERVICES

The subject site lies within Mooney's Bay Collector Sewer catchment area. There is an existing 1050 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Trunk sanitary sewer within Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue and 250mm diameter sanitary sewer within Gladstone Avenue. **Figure 3-1** illustrates the locations and pipe size of existing sanitary sewer.

It is to be noted that an existing separate combined sewer is located along Loretta Avenue North. The sewer will not be considered for use as a servicing outlet.



Figure 3-1 Existing Sanitary Sewer Services

The summary of the estimated wastewater flows for the existing development are below.

	Existing
Average Dry Weather Flow	0.75 L/s
Peak Dry Weather Flow	1.13 L/s
Peak Wet Weather Flow	1.46 L/s

The existing building is comprised primarily of commercial space and is estimated to have a peak wastewater flow of 1.46 L/s.

3.4 **REVIEW OF SOIL CONDITIONS**

There are no specific local subsurface conditions that suggest the need for a higher extraneous flow allowance. The groundwater at this site has been found to be contaminated. Any groundwater material discharged from an onsite groundwater remediation system is required to be directed to the sanitary sewer system as per the Sewer Use By-law.

3.5 VERIFICATION OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN DOWNSTREAM SEWER

For the proposed development, the capacity of the downstream 1050 mm diameter sewer on Gladstone Avenue was reviewed. The City was contacted by DSEL Engineering Ltd. during the original System Adequacy review in in order to confirm available capacity and resulting HGL within the existing 1050 mm sanitary trunk sewer. The 1050 mm trunk sewer was found to have sufficient capacity to accommodate the increase in wastewater flow from the proposed development. Anticipated connections to the existing trunk sewer are to be a minimum of 0.30m above the receiving sewer's HGL, or anticipated wastewater flow from the contemplated development shall be pumped. A summary of the

The City of Ottawa conducted a Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) analysis of the sanitary sewers surrounding the site. **Table 3-1** below, summarized the results provided by the City at three maintenance structures.

Maintenance	Location	HGL (m)		
Structure		6 hr SCS	3 hr Chicago	Hurricane Frances
				(scaled)
MHSA00934	Northwest Corner	59.5	59.1	58.9
MHSA00935	Southwest Corner	60.1	59.6	59.4
MHSA00936	Southeast Corner	60.3	59.8	59.6

Table 3-1: Summary of Estimated Contemplated Peak Wastewater Flow

The proposed site sanitary sewer outlet is set higher then the calculated HGL at maximum level to ensure that no backwater effects will negatively impact the site and to prevent basement (parking garage) flooding in the event of an overflow in the municipal sewer. The summary of calculated flows for the site based on the domestic demand is summarized as follows.

	Proposed
Average Dry Weather Flow	6.41 L/s
Peak Dry Weather Flow	16.95 L/s
Peak Wet Weather Flow	17.28 L/s

The anticipated peak wet weather flow of 17.28 L/s is a 15.82 L/s increase from the existing condition. Based on the review of capacities it is verified that the receiving sewer has capacity to accept the proposed development flows.

3.6 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are no previously identified environmental constraints that impact the sanitary servicing design in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, or soil cover, or to manage water quantity or quality. A sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole will be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3) Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.

The proposed development will have no impact on existing pumping stations and will not require new pumping facilities. No pumping stations are required for this site, except as required internally for the plumbing design to service the lower area of the building (Parking Garage Area).

No force-mains are required specifically for this development.

4 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

4.1 EXISTING STORMWATER SERVICES

Stormwater runoff from the property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system and is located within the Ottawa Central sub-watershed. Flows that influence the watershed in which the subject property is located are further reviewed by the principal authority. The subject property is located within the Ottawa River watershed and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA).

An existing 1350 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Storm Sewer Trunk runs along Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection. Additionally, 375mm diameter PVC storm sewer runs along Gladstone Avenue. **Figure 4-1** below illustrates the locations and size of existing storm sewer.



Figure 4-1 Existing Storm Sewer Services

It is anticipated that the existing development contains no stormwater management controls for flow attenuation. The estimated pre-development peak flows have been reviewed in the Stormwater Management Report included in Appendix E.

It is to be noted that an existing separate combined sewer is located along Loretta Avenue North. The sewer will not be considered for use as a servicing outlet.

4.2 POST-DEVELOPMENT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT TARGET

City of Ottawa Standards and pre-consultation was used to determine stormwater management requirements, where the development is required to:

- Meet an allowable release rate based on the lesser of either the existing calculated Rational Method Coefficient of 0.50, employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 2-year storm with a time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 minutes;
- Attenuate all storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event on site; and
- Based on coordination with the RVCA, enhanced quality level treatment (80% TSS removal) will be required for the contemplated development; correspondence with the RVCA is included in Appendix A.

Based on the above, the allowable release rate for the development is be below the pre-development levels. The initial system adequacy assessment and pre-consultation comments from the City of Ottawa are summarized in the DSEL Engineering Ltd. report as found in Appendix C.

4.3 WATER QUANTITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

Refer to the Stormwater Management Report in Appendix E for the water quantity objective for the site.

4.4 WATER QUALITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

The site is not required to achieve water quality objectives. Water quality objectives are achieved through downstream works as noted in the upper level study.

4.5 **DESIGN CRITERIA**

The stormwater system was designed following the principles of dual drainage, making accommodation for both major and minor flow.

Some of the key criteria include the following:

- Design Storm (minor system)
- Rational Method Sewer Sizing
- Initial Time of Concentration
 - Runoff Coefficients Landscaped Areas Asphalt/Concrete Traditional Roof
- Pipe Velocities
- Minimum Pipe Size

1:2 year return (Ottawa)

10 minutes

C = 0.25 C = 0.90 C = 0.90 0.80 m/s to 6.0 m/s 250 mm diameter (200 mm CB Leads and service pipes)

4.6 **PROPOSED MINOR AND MAJOR SYSTEM**

The detailed design for this site will maintain the existing storm sewer network to Loretta Avenue North to the west of the development site and Galdstone Avenue to the south of the development site.

For the development, the drainage system consists of a series of manholes, catchbasins and storm sewers leading to the outlet manhole at the west side of the site. All drainage areas on the site are collected in the site piped drainage system. Given that the site will be completely redeveloped all site flows and generated runoff for the minor system will be collected via on-site sewer piping directly into the building systems from roofs and parking garage surface drainage features. The areas located outside the property line will continue to drain to the respective locations off-site.

It is also customary for larger buildings to be provided with piped storm services for roof drainage. There are no downspouts proposed. Separate outlet pipes are provided for foundation drains and roof drains, and therefore roof drainage will not negatively impact the foundation. The storm services are connected to the storm sewer downstream of the controlled flow point, ensuring an unobstructed flow for these areas. An on-site cistern tank which is to be located within the parking garage is to be sized to capture both the minor and major storm water flows with 380m³ of storage. The tank system will be fitted with an orifice plate to control flow to pre-development release rates to reduce any adverse impacts to the existing storm sewer. The system will also be placed above the 100yr HGL of the received storm sewer to ensure no backwater impacts will impede the drainage system.

Using the above noted criteria, the existing on-site storm sewers were sized accordingly. A detailed storm capacity for the associated post development conditions are included in the stormwater management report included in Appendix E.

It's noted that under both minor and major system designs in post-development that the collection of footing drainage will be completed internal to the building via a new pumping and piping system which will include electrical pumps with pump backup with an integrated ICD. Details on this system will be part of a separate design package as part of the internal building piping design.

4.7 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Refer to the Stormwater Management report in Appendix E for details.

4.8 PRE AND POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES

Pre and post development peak flow rates for the impacted areas of the site are summarized as follows:

Pre-Development Flows

	Peak Flow
2-year storm event	100 L/s
5-year storm event	140 L/s
100-year storm event	270 L/s

Post-Development Flows

	Peak Flow
2-year storm event	210L/s

5-year storm event	290 L/s
100-year storm event	500 L/s

By providing quantity control with the proposed system the following post-development release rates will be anticipated for the minor and major storms.

Controlled Post-Development Flows (Proposed)

	Peak Flow	Storage Utilized
2-year storm event	100 L/s	145 m ³
5-year storm event	140 L/s	205 m ³
100-year storm event	270 L/s	380 m ³

Additional details are provided in the Stormwater Management Report in Appendix E.

4.9 **QUALITY CONTROL**

Refer to the Stormwater Management report in Appendix E for details.

4.10 DIVERSION OF DRAINAGE CATCHMENT AREAS

There will be no diversion of existing drainage catchment areas arising from the proposed work described in this report.

4.11 IMPACTS TO RECEIVING WATERCOURSES

No significant negative impact is anticipated to downstream receiving watercourses due to proposed quantity and quality control measures, the separation of the site from the eventual receiving watercourse as a result of discharge through City owned sewers, and the planned stormwater management retention systems on site

5 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

5.1 GENERAL

During construction, existing storm sewer system can be exposed to sediment loadings. A number of construction techniques designed to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings will be used including;

- Filter cloths will remain on open surface structures such as manholes and catchbasins until these structures are commissioned and put into use;
- Installation of silt fence, where applicable, around the perimeter of the proposed work area.

During construction of the services, any trench dewatering using pumps will be fitted with a "filter sock." Thus, any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filter sock as needed including sediment removal and disposal.

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree, manholes, convey surface water to sewers. Consequently, until the surrounding surface has been completed, these structures will be covered to prevent sediment from entering the minor storm sewer system. These measures will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build-out until it is appropriate to remove them.

During construction of any development, both imported and native soils are placed in stockpiles. Mitigative measures and proper management to prevent these materials entering the sewer system are needed.

During construction of the deeper watermains and sewers, imported granular bedding materials are temporarily stockpiled on site. These materials are however quickly used up and generally placed before any catchbasins are installed.

6 APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

6.1 **GENERAL**

The proposed development is subject to site plan approval and building permit approval.

No approvals related to municipal drains are required.

No permits or approvals are anticipated to be required from the Ontario Ministry of Transportation, National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, or any other provincial or federal regulatory agency.

7 CONCLUSION CHECKLIST

7.1 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded that the proposed development can meet all provided servicing constraints and associated requirements. It is recommended that this report be submitted to the City of Ottawa in support of the application for site plan approval.

7.2 COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM REVIEW AGENCIES

Comments received from the $1^{\rm st}$ submission have been reviewed and revisions to the report and drawings have been incorporated.

APPENDIX A – PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Formal Pre-application Consultation Meeting Minutes

Address: 951 Gladstone Avenue & 145 Loretta Avenue North Formal Pre-consultation File No.: PC2020-0113 (Site Plan Control) Date: Monday June 8, 2020, 11:00am to noon Location: Videoconference – Teams City Contact: Ann O'Connor

City of Ottawa Invitees:

Ann O'Connor – Planner, Development Review, PIED - <u>ann.oconnor@ottawa.ca</u> Mark Fraser – Infrastructure Project Manager, PIED – <u>mark.fraser@ottawa.ca</u> Wally Dubyk – Transportation Project Manager, PIED – <u>wally.dubyk@ottawa.ca</u> Randolph Wang – Urban Designer, ROWHUD – <u>Randolph.wang@ottawa.ca</u> MacKenzie Kimm – Heritage Program Manager, ROWHUD – <u>lesley.collins@ottawa.ca</u>

Community Association Representative:

Linda Hoad – Hintonburg Community Association – linda.hoad@teksavvy.com

Applicant Team:

Jenn Morrison – CLV (Owner) – jennifer.morrison@clvgroup.com Oz Drewniak – CLV (Owner) – oz.drewniak@clvgroup.com Maria J. Martinez – PBC Group (Owner) – <u>mmartinez@pbcgroup.ca</u> Aaron Cameron – Trinity Group (Project Manager) – <u>acameron@trinity-group.com</u> Paul Black – Planner, Fotenn (Planning) – <u>black@fotenn.com</u> Scott Alain – Planner, Fotenn (Planning) – <u>alain@fotenn.com</u> Barry Hobin – Architect (Hobin Architects) – <u>bjhobin@hobinarc.com</u> Todd Duckworth – Architect (Hobin Architects) – <u>tduckworth@hobinarc.com</u> Jafferjee Ishaque – WSP (Civil Engineer) – <u>guy.somers@wsp.com</u> Michael Jans – WSP (Civil Engineer) – <u>michael.jans@wsp.com</u> Ben Worth – WSP (Civil Engineer) – <u>ben.worth@wsp.com</u>

Introductions and Acknowledgements

- Round table introductions
- Acknowledgement that Linda Hoad is in attendance representing the Hintonburg Community Association and has signed an NDA.

Overview of Proposal (applicant team)

- Jenn Morrison and Oz Drewniak confirm that CLV and PBC have purchased the property from Trinity
 - Trinity will remain on the file as consultants
 - CLV and PBC are excited to be involved in the project
- Paul Black provides an overview of the status of the associated Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications
- The team is working through the noise issues with the Canadian Bank Note building.
- Proposal is to be a Mixed-Use Centre in parking Area Z
- The Site Plan Control process will implement and refine the previous designs.
- Todd and Barry provide an overview of the design of the Site Plan proposal

Preliminary Comments from the City

Planning Comments (Ann O'Connor)

- Based on the current proposal and policy context, the following applications and processes will apply:
 - Site Plan Control, New, Complex, Non-Rural application (potentially multiple, depending on the phasing / timing for construction of the entire site)
 - Formal Review at the Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP) during the application process is recommended.
- The associated Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments are on-going and are to be followed through the Site Plan Control submission. Depending on the timing of the Site Plan Control submission, please provide an update on progress on the outstanding items for the associated applications.
- A Planning Rationale should address the policy context, including the proposed new policy designations, the Draft Gladstone Station District Secondary Plan, and all applicable urban design guidelines.
- The submission should also address the proposed phasing for the development.

Infrastructure Comments (Mark Fraser)

- An application to consolidate the parcels of land will be required otherwise the proposed stormwater works will be servicing more than one parcel of land and thus does not meet the exemption set out in O.Reg. 525/98. This would mean an ECA would be required regardless of who owns the parcels.
- Concerns about roadway drainage spilling into the underground parking garage. Please make sure that the entrances to the underground garage is 0.30m higher than the spill point on the street. Entrance should not be located within a sag (low point) in the road.
- A deep excavation and dewatering operations have the potential to cause damages to the neighboring adjacent buildings/ City infrastructure. Document that construction activities (excavation, dewatering, vibrations associated with

construction, etc.) will not have an impact on any adjacent buildings and infrastructure.

- The developer shall be aware that the City is planning on reconstructing Lorretta Ave. N. in 2021 (road, sewer and water). As the development is planned to occur during the same time-period as the City project, works will need to be coordinated. The Owner may encounter potential restrictions and delays associated with the development of the lands, which will be reasonably mitigated through coordination of construction activities, as required. The developer shall contact and consult with Marc Tremblay (ext. 14391), City of Ottawa Project Manager Infrastructure Services, as early as possible to obtain design drawings and to coordinate the planned works, ensuring the projects will function together.
- A Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change in property use from industrial to residential.
- A 1372mm dia. backbone watermain and Trunk Sewers are located within Loretta Ave. N and Gladstone Ave. Please note that in order to ensure the integrity of the nearby watermain and sewers during construction the applicant will be required to develop a Vibration Monitoring Program. A Vibration Monitoring Specialist Engineer shall undertake vibration monitoring, develop a vibration monitoring plan, and prepare a protection plan, an emergency response plan, ensure conformance and shall issue certificates of conformance. The Vibration Monitoring Specialist Engineer shall be a licensed engineer in the Province of Ontario with a minimum of five years of experience in the field of Vibration Monitoring. Vibration monitors are to be to be placed directly on the watermain. The Maximum Peak Particle Velocities are to be in accordance with Table 1 of the City of Ottawa Specification F-1201.

General:

- It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area to avoid any conflict with utilities. The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an Existing Conditions Plan.
- All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plans to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the existing property lines and sight triangles and/or future road widening protection limits.
- Please note that the proposed servicing design and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:
 - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
 - Technical Bulletins ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2018-03.

- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution (2010)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, MECP, 2008
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, MECP, March 2003
- Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
- City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
- City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January 2016)
- City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (November 2015) (City recommends development be in accordance with these standards on private property)
- Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
- Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
- Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at <u>InformationCentre@ottawa.ca</u> or by phone at (613) 580-424 x.44455). Include copies in the Appendix of the report as supporting documentation.



Disclaimer:

The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the data and information contained on the above image(s) and does not assume any responsibility or liability with respect to any damage or loss arising from the use or interpretation of the image(s) provided. This image is for schematic purposes only.

Stormwater Management Criteria (Quantity and Quality Control) and Information:

- Refer to the Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report prepared by DSEL dated August 2019-REV.2 in support of the OPA and ZBLA applications for servicing and SWM requirements.
- Water Quantity Control: Control post-development runoff, up to and including the 100-year storm event, to a 2-year pre-development level. The pre-development runoff coefficient will need to be determined using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of C=0.5 or the actual existing site runoff coefficient. The time of concentration used to determine the pre-development condition will be the larger of 10min. or the calculated time of concentration. [Tc should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; Tc of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations].
- Any storm events greater than the calculated 2-year allowable release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, shall be detained on-site by appropriate SWM measures to avoid impact on the downstream sewer system.
- Water Quality Control: An enhanced quality level of protection (80% TSS Removal) is required to be achieved for this development. Please consult with the local conservation authority (RVCA) regarding water quality criteria and requirements prior to submission of an application. It is consultant's responsibility to check with the RVCA for quality control issues and include this information in the SWM report.
- Compare pre-development flows to post-developments flows in the SWM report.
- The receiving storm sewer system is uncontrolled therefore subject to surcharge (HGL will be elevated for events greater than the 2-year). The impact from the receiving system HGL will need to be considered if proposing underground storage The SWM solution will need to be designed accordingly. The storm connection will need to be above the receiving sewer HGL.
- If rooftop control and storage is considered as part of the SWM solution sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and documented in the report and on the plans. A roof drainage plan and detailed roof drain summary table with supporting drain manufacturer information will be required. The roof drainage plan will need to document roof drain type, flow rates, emergency scupper locations and spill over elevations and ponding areas.
- Please note that the HGL within the receiving sewer system will need to be assessed if underground storage (cistern) is proposed as part of the stormwater management solution to ensure the system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective do to a loss in available storage.
- Underground Storage: Underground storage volumes are to be based on 50% peak flow rates or use dynamic compute model. The Modified Rational Method

for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.

- When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.
- In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.
- Note that the above will added to upcoming revised Sewer Design Guidelines to account for underground storage, which is now widely used.
- If a storage tank (internal cistern) is considered as part of the SWM solution sufficient details and system information will need to be provided. A detailed cross-section of such system (provided from the mechanical engineer and shown on the plans) with sufficient details and information (HWLs, release rate, volume, location, size (dimensions), control device, emergency flow outlet and backflow protection, etc.) will need to be provided. An appropriate emergency overflow location will need to be determined and documented. Backup power supply necessary if pump controlled. Details regarding the proposed on-site stormwater management system are to be provided for review.
- Please include a Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan to define the predevelopment drainage areas/patterns. Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution. Runoff shall not be directed toward to adjacent LRT corridor.

Storm Sewer:

- Existing 1350mm storm trunk sewer within Loretta Ave. N. and Gladstone Ave. and a 375mm dia. storm sewer within Gladstone Ave. draining to the Ottawa River.
- A storm sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-

Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3) Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.

- As-built drawings of the existing services within the vicinity of the site are available and Loretta Ave. N. road, sewer and watermain reconstruction plans are to be obtained from Infrastructure Services and reviewed in order to determine proper servicing and SWM plan for the subject site.
- Foundation drainage system details are to be discussed in the report and document how the system will be integrated into the servicing design. Please note that foundation drain is to be independently connected to sewermain unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention.

Sanitary Sewer:

- The subject site is located within the Mooney's Bay Trunk Collector Sewer area.
- Existing 1050mm Mooney's Bay sanitary trunk collector sewer within Loretta Ave. N. and Gladstone Ave and 250mm dia. sanitary sewer within Gladstone Ave.
- An analysis and demonstration that there is sufficient/adequate residual capacity to accommodate any increase in wastewater flows in the receiving and downstream wastewater system is required to be provided. It is suggested to calculate the total peak wastewater demand for the proposed development and send it to the City as soon as possible in advance of a submission of an application, as an initial step to determine whether or not there is sufficient capacity in the city system to accommodate the proposed wastewater flow. Please note that it takes approx. 10 business days to get a response back from the internal circulation.
- The groundwater at this site has been found to be contaminated. Any groundwater material discharged from an onsite groundwater remediation system is required to be directed to the sanitary sewer system as per the Sewer Use By-law.
- The sanitary sewer criteria shall reflect the new Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01.
- A sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3)Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.
- A backwater valve is required on the sanitary service for protection.

Water:

- A local 203mm dia. PVC watermain is located within Gladstone Ave. and a local 203mm dia. UCI watermain is located within Loretta Ave. N. The existing 200mm dia. UCI watermain on Loretta Ave. N. is planned to be replaced within a new 200mm dia. PVC watermain as part of the road reconstruction project.
- A connection to the 1371 dia. backbone watermain within Loretta Ave. N. will not be permitted.
- Water Supply Redundancy: Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m3/day (0.57 L/s) are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area as per the Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, WDG001, July 2010 Clause 4.3.1 Configuration. This proposed development will required two (2) separate water service connections if the basic day demand for this site exceeds 50m3/day. There shall be a primary water service (Loretta Ave. N.) and a secondary connection (Gladstone Ave.). This is a corner lot so we will not support the installation of a new isolation valve on the City watermain to satisfy this requirement.
- Include a hydrant coverage figure and demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the building per Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02. Multiple municipal hydrants will be required for fire protection.
- Boundary conditions, HGL, shall be requested and a hydraulic analysis completed to show that there is adequate flow and pressure in the water distribution system to meet the required water demands. Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons. provide the following information to the City of Ottawa via email to request water distribution network boundary conditions for the subject site. Please note that once this information has been provided to the City of Ottawa it takes approximately 5-10 business days to receive boundary conditions.
 - Type of Development and Units
 - Site Address (Street Number and Name)
 - Location of service(s).
 - A plan showing the proposed water service connection locations.
 - Average Daily Demand (L/s)
 - Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)
 - Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
 - Required Fire Flow (L/min) FUS calculations are to be provided with request for boundary conditions.
 - [Fire flow demand requirements shall be based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Water Supply for Public Fire Protection
 - o 1999 and Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02]

- Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the FUS calculation and required fore flow (RFF).
- Fire flow demands will be inputted as point loads at each connection separately unless otherwise noted. A multi-hydrant analysis can be requested if necessary.
- If fire protection is provided by existing municipal hydrants, hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Identify which hydrants are being considered to meet the RFF on a fire hydrant coverage figure as part of the boundary conditions request.
- Hydrant capacity shall be assessed if relying on any public hydrants to provide fire protection particularly if high design fire flows are being proposed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Refer to Table 1: Maximum flow to be considered from a given hydrant in Appendix I of Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02.Appropriate fire protection mitigation measures shall be investigated/proposed to lower the RFF for the site to an appropriate level.
- The subject site is located within the 1W Pressure Zone.

Permits and Approvals:

• The consultant shall determine if this project will be subject to an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for Private Sewage Works. It shall be determined if the exemptions set out in Ontario Regulation 525/98: Approval Exemptions are satisfied. All regulatory approvals shall be documented and discussed in the report.

Source Protection Policy Screening:

- The address lies within the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Region and is subject to the policies of the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan.
- <u>The entire property lies within the Surface Water Intake Protection Zone</u> (IPZ) for the Ottawa River (Lemieux) Intake, IPZ-2 (vulnerability score of 8.1) where significant threat policies apply. Policies are only applicable for significant drinking water threat activities as outlined in the Clean Water Act.
 - The Clean Water Act Tables of Circumstances identify circumstances under which certain activities would be considered a significant threat to drinking water within certain designated vulnerable area, and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan contains policies related to significant drinking water threat activities to protect the drinking water supply.
 - Activities that may be considered a significant drinking water threat within the IPZ-2 (score 8.1) include the following:
 - Untreated stormwater from a stormwater retention pond
 - Note that a stormwater management facility is only considered a significant drinking water threat within this zone

if the facility drains more than 100 ha of industrial/commercial land.

- Sewage treatment plant effluent discharges
- Combined sewer discharge from a stormwater outlet
- Sewage treatment plant bypass discharge
- Industrial effluent discharge
- Waste disposal site
- Agricultural activities (application or storage of manure or chemical fertilizers or pesticides, or use of land for livestock grazing)
- Based on the information available to date, the proposed activity does not meet the circumstances to be considered a significant drinking water threat, thus there are no applicable legally-binding source protection policies.
- The area is not within a Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA).
- The area is located within a Highly Vulnerable Aquifer (HVA). Note that there are no legally binding policies under the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan for activities within Highly Vulnerable Aquifers.
- The area is not within a Significant Groundwater Recharge Area.

Capital Works:

• The developer shall be aware that the City is planning on reconstructing Lorretta Ave. N. in 2021 (road, sewer and water). As the development is planned to occur during the same time-period as the City project, works will need to be coordinated. The Owner may encounter potential restrictions and delays associated with the development of the lands, which will be reasonably mitigated through coordination of construction activities, as required. The developer shall contact and consult with Marc Tremblay (ext. 14391), City of Ottawa Project Manager Infrastructure Services, as early as possible to obtain design drawings and to coordinate the planned works, ensuring the projects will function together.

Sight Triangle and Any Road widening Requirement (By Transportation Project Manager Wally Dubyk)

Required Engineering Plans and Studies in Support of SPC application:

PLANS:

- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan
- Site Servicing Plan (includes Profile Detail of the proposed service connections and crossings)
- Grade Control and Drainage Plan
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan

- Post-Development Drainage Area Plan
- Roof Drainage Plan w/ Roof Drain Summary Table (if rooftop SWM storage is being considered)
- Stormwater Storage System Detail (Cistern Details from the Mechanical Engineer if being considered)
- Foundation Drainage System Details
- Legal Survey Plan
- Site Lighting Plan, Photometric Plan and Site Lighting Certification Letter

REPORTS:

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report
- Geotechnical Study/Investigation
- Detailed Noise Study (Transportation Noise Assessment, Stationary Noise Assessment, Class 4 Designation)
- Vibration Study
- Phase I ESA (in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04)
- Phase II ESA
- Record of Site Condition (RSC) will be required for this property.
- Wind Study (Type 1 Wind Analysis)
- LRT Proximity Study

Servicing Report Template and Guidelines:

- Please find attached the Servicing Report Template & Study Guidelines" and prepare the servicing study accordingly. For capacity issue, please see section 3.2.1 page 3-3 and follow this section. A completed checklist with corresponding references from the servicing study is mandatory for the completeness of the study. Please add a completed checklist in the report. Please ensure you are using current guidelines, by-laws and standards.
- Please refer to the City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]:
- <u>https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/developing-property/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans</u>

Phase One Environmental Site Assessment (Official Plan Section 4.8.4):

- A NEW updated Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 (not per CSA standards) in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. The 2017 Phase I ESA will not be accepted.
- A NEW updated Phase II ESA will be required in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04. Assessment of potential off-site migration to be reflected in the updated report. The 2017 Phase II ESA will not be accepted.

- A Site Remediation Action Plan and potential off-site Contamination Management Plan will be required to be provided and will be subject to City review and approval. The remediation action plan must detail all remedial activities, method of disposal for contaminated soil and groundwater and volume of disposed contaminated soil and groundwater.
- The Phase I ESA shall discuss the requirement to file a RSC with the Ministry. A Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change in property use from commercial (less sensitive) to residential (more sensitive). As per the Official Plan (4.8.4) we do not consider an RSC acknowledged by the Ministry until either its has been confirmed that it will not be audited or it has passed the Ministry audit.
- Please also note that in the event soil and/or groundwater contamination is identified on this site and the proposal is for a more sensitive land use, the MECP will require approximately 1-1.5 years to review the RSC. PIED will apply appropriate conditions, based on Environmental Protection Act (Section 168.3.1 (1)) and O.Reg. 153/04 (Parts IV and V) regarding requirements for RSC prior to building permit issuance. Dependent on the levels/types of contamination, timelines for building permit issuance may be longer than expected and we recommend applicant speak to Building Code Services, at the earliest convenience, so as to discuss these timelines in more detail, if deemed applicable.
- Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) report is required to be included as part of the Phase I ESA.
 - <u>https://www.ontario.ca/page/guide-completing-phase-one-environmental-site-assessments-under-ontario-regulation-15304</u>
 - o https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/040153#BK43

Geotechnical Investigation (Official Plan Section 4.8.3):

- A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- As per the recommendations of the Due Diligence Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by DST Consulting Engineers Inc. a Hydrogeological Investigation and Ground Settlement Analysis and Impact Assessment due to dewatering are required to investigate the effect of short-term and long-term lowering of the groundwater level and the impact on the adjacent lands and existing neighboring structures. The City is concerned that reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to

ensure there will be no short term and long term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.

- Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications.
- <u>https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/cap137602.pdf</u>

Noise Study:

- A Transportation Noise Assessment is required as the subject development is located within 100m of Gladstone Ave. (Major Collector Road), adjacent to light rail transit corridor (Trillium Line), and within 500m of HWY 417.
- A Stationary Noise Assessment is required in order to assess the noise impact of the proposed sources of stationary noise (mechanical HVAC system/equipment) of the development onto the surrounding residential area to ensure the noise levels do not exceed allowable limits specified in the City Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- Detailed Noise Study in Support of Class 4 Designation that verifies applicable sound level limits will be met at the new noise sensitive land use with the appropriate mitigation measures for all noise sources to achieve a Class 4 designation.
- Noise Study shall be consistent with the City's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- <u>https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/enviro_noise_guide_en_.pdf</u>

Vibration Study [Official Plan Section 4.8.7]:

- LRT Vibration Assessment/Study is required to be undertaken as the subject site is located within 75m of the light-rail transit corridor (Trillium Line).
- Vibration mitigation and warning clauses required if vibration levels due to LRT activity are determined to be above acceptable limits.

Wind Study:

- Windy Analysis, required as the development exceeds 10-storeys.
- <u>https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf</u>

Exterior Site Lighting:

• Any proposed light fixtures (both pole-mounted and wall mounted) must be part of the approved Site Plan. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for Full Cut-off Classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the please provide the City with a Site Lighting Plan, Photometric Plan and Certification (Statement) Letter from an acceptable professional engineer stating that the design is compliant.

Transportation Comments (Wally Dubyk)

- A TIA reflecting the most up to date proposal is required.
- Gladstone Avenue is designated as a Major Collector roadway

Urban Design Comments (Randolph Wang)

- The site is not within a Design Priority Area currently. But the project was reviewed by the UDRP previously for the OPA and ZBLA. UDRP review is highly recommended for the site plan control process.
- A Design Brief is required for the site plan control application. The Terms of Reference for the Design Brief is attached for reference. Please note that:
 - A secondary wind study is required as detailed in the City's <u>Terms of</u> <u>Reference</u>. The preliminary wind study, including the Addendum has found a few challenging conditions on the site, particularly in the POPS between Towers 2 and 3. The design should address to these findings and the detailed design measures should be tested for their effectiveness.
 - A shadow study is also required to reflect the latest massing option.
- With respect to the design, please consider the following. Please note some of these comments were provided previously through the OPA and ZBLA process but have not been addressed to-date.
 - Stepping back the top of the podium along Loretta.
 - Providing an architectural reveal between the podium and Tower 1.
 - Examining the horizontal relationship between the base of the heritage building and the base of the podium, including the three dimensional effects.
 - Considering the material palette of towers, and exploring opportunities for contextualization (The materials proposed look very similar to those used in some of the recent projects done by the architect).
 - Extending the POPS between Towers 2 and 3 to Lorretta.
 - Designing the drop-off area as a forecourt where people and cars can mingle.
 - Mitigating the impacts the parking ramp on the forecourt (drop-off area).
 - Considering a transition zone between the POPS and the MUP along the O-Train, and resolving the relationship between the POPS, the MUP, and the walkway east of Tower 2.

Heritage Comments (MacKenzie Kimm)

- As Council issued their notice of intention to designate this property under Part IV
 of the Ontario Heritage Act at the time of the ZBA and OPA associated with this
 proposal, a heritage permit application will be required to facilitate the alterations
 to the property.
- The heritage permit application should be submitted concurrently with the Site Plan and staff recommend visiting the UDRP prior to the submission of the heritage permit package.
- Staff can follow up with the applicant directly in terms of application requirements when they are preparing for the submission. As discussed in the meeting, a Phase II of the Cultural Heritage Impact Statement (CHIS) will be required as part of the Site Plan and Heritage applications.
- Staff will also follow up with the details on application type and the associated fee closer to the submission.
- The CHIS should provide details on the conservation approach, identify any impacts and propose mitigation measures, as well as outline the specific recommendations for how the work will be undertaken, as part of an associated Conservation Plan.
- Staff continue to have questions about the following aspects of the proposal, which will require further consideration:
 - The treatment of the entry/entrance to the designated building as well as any sign board being proposed
 - The treatment of the west façade and how the glass link will be attached to the heritage building
 - The relationship between the horizontal features of the heritage building (cornice, windows, sills/lintels, entrance etc.) and those of the podium for Tower 1, particularly at both bases
 - The ground floor expression of the podium for Tower 1, particularly the canopies which may distract from the heritage building
 - How the interior columns (identified as heritage attributes) will be incorporated into the interior floor plan design
 - How the paint will be removed on the exterior
 - The introduction of the residential-style windows on the east façade/ how the existing openings on this façade are to be incorporated and conserved

Environmental Planner Comments (Matthew Haley)

• An EIS is required to address potential species at risk habitat.

Forestry Comments (Mark Richardson)

• A Tree Conservation Report, which can be included in the Landscape Plan, is required.

- The TCR must address all trees on the site, and all trees on adjacent sites if the Critical Root Zone extends onto the development site.
- Below is the list of TCR requirements:
 - 1. a Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City; an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval
 - 2. any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Urban Tree Conservation Bylaw; the permit is based on the approved TCR
 - 3. any removal of City-owned trees will require the permission of Forestry Services who will also review the submitted TCR
 - 4. for this site, the TCR may be combined with the Landscape Plan provided all information is clearly displayed
 - 5. the TCR must list all trees on site by species, diameter and health condition separate stands of trees may be combined using averages
 - 6. the TCR must address all trees with a critical root zone that extends into the developable area all trees that could be impacted by the construction that are outside the developable area need to be addressed.
 - 7. trees with a trunk that crosses/touches a property line are considered coowned by both property owners; permission from the adjoining property owner must be obtained prior to the removal of co-owned trees
 - 8. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they can not be retained please provide a plan showing retained and removed treed areas
 - All retained trees must be shown and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines listed on Ottawa.ca

a. the location of tree protection fencing must be shown on a plan b. include distance indicators from the trunk of the retained tree to the nearest part of the tree protection fencing

c. show the critical root zone of the retained trees

d. if excavation will occur within the critical root zone, please show the limits of excavation and calculate the percentage of the area that will be disturbed

- 10. the City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.
- 11. Please ensure newly planted trees have an adequate soil volume for their size at maturity. The minimum recommended soil volumes are:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume
		(m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9

Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

• For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson <u>mark.richardson@ottawa.ca</u>

Hintonburg Community Association Representative Comments (Linda Hoad)

- Standard Bread building
 - very pleased that the building will be leased to the artists on a subsidized basis using Section 37 funds
 - looking forward to the Heritage Permit Application and the CHIS part 2 including the conservation approach and plan
 - concern about the Gladston Station sign shown on the heritage building glad to learn that it is a placeholder only. The Heritage Permit Application should address signage – suggest that the tenants be involved in designing the signage
 - unfortunate that the live/work units are not intended to be 'affordable' (or at least some of them)
- Live/work units
 - suggest that city work on a definition of this type of use which seems to be useful addition to the mix of units in a Mixed-Use Zone
- POPS
 - o glad to learn that these spaces do not replace CIL of parkland
 - other than the link between Loretta and the MUP/Transit Station, I do not find these spaces attractive or useful to the public – residents, office employees maybe
 - good signage will be required to ensure that the public know that the link exists and is public, not private
- Bicycle Parking
 - more needed since times are changing
 - many people who are car free (and many will have to be in these residential towers) own more than one bike
 - the present situation is encouraging more people to use bicycles and cities are devoting more road space to bikes and pedestrians – this change is almost certainly permanent for many residents

Next Steps

• Refine the proposal to address issues raised through the pre-consultation.

• It is recommended that the applicant team seek continued input from the Ward Councillor Jeff Leiper, Community Associations, and neighbouring property owners.

APPENDIX B – SERVICING STUDY GUIDELINES CHECKLIST

SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

Included?	Requirement	Comments
	General Requirements	
Yes	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	1.1
Yes	Date and revision number of the report.	1.2
Yes	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and	13
	layout of proposed development.	1.5
Yes	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Appendices - Drawings
Yes	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and	
	official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and	1.1 & 1.4
	watershed plans that provide context to which individual	
	developments must adhere.	
Yes	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval	1.5
	agencies.	
Yes	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and	
	reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments,	
	Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in	1.6
	conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a	
	defendable design criteria.	
Yes	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	1.7
Yes	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the	1.8
	immediate area.	
Yes	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and	19
	Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development	
	(Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	
Yes	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed	
	grades in the development. This is required to confirm the reasibility	
	of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and	1.10
	This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not	
	impede existing major system flow paths	
	Identification of notential impacts of proposed niped services on	
163	nrivate services (such as wells and sentic fields on adjacent lands) and	1.11
	mitigation required to address potential impacts.	
Yes	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	1.12
No	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning	Inclusion by others
	servicing.	,

Included?	Requirement	Comments
Yes	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale	Refer to Drawings
	-North arrow (including construction North)	
	-Key plan	
	-Name and contact information of applicant and property owner	
	-Property limits including bearings and dimensions	
	-Existing and proposed structures and parking areas	
	-Easements, road widening and rights-of-way	
	-Adjacent street names	
	Confirm consistence with Master Consistence Study, if evailable	
Yes	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	2.1
Yes	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	2.2
Yes	Identification of system constraints	2.3
Yes	Identify boundary conditions	2.4
Yes	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	2.4 - Appendices
Yes	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development	2.5
Voc	Brovide a chack of high proceuros. If proceuro is found to be high an	
163	assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	Appendices
Yes	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	Appendices
Yes	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut- off valves	Refer to drawings
Yes	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.	Appendices
Yes	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Appendices
Yes	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	2.6
Yes	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	2.7
Yes	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Appendices

Included?	Requirement	Comments
Yes	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
	Wastewater Requirements	
Yes	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	3.1
Yes	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	3.2
Yes	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	3.3 - Appendices
Yes	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	3.4
Yes	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	3.5
Yes	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	3.5
Yes	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	N/A
Yes	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A
Yes	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	N/A
Yes	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A
Yes	Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
Yes	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	3.6
	Stormwater Requirements	
Yes	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	4.1
Yes	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	4.1

Included?	Requirement	Comments
Yes	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Appendices
Yes	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long- term cumulative effects.	4.2
Yes	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	4.3
Yes	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.	Appendices
Yes	Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	Appendices
Yes	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
Yes	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	Appendices
Yes	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	Appendices
Yes	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	Appendices
Yes	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	Appendices
Yes	Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	4.6 - 4.8
Yes	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	Appendices
Yes	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	Appendices
Yes	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.	Appendices
Yes	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	N/A
Yes	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A
Yes	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	N/A
Yes	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	Appendices

Included?	Requirement	Comments
YES	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line	Appendices
	elevations.	
Yes	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during	_
	construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage	5
	corridors.	
Yes	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain	N/A
	information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The	
	proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the	
	satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not	
	available or if information does not match current conditions.	
Yes	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical	N/A
	investigation.	
	Approval and Permit Requirements	
Yes	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for	N/A
	modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed	
	works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval	
	under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority	
	is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement	
	Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place,	
	approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not	
	required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	
Yes	Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario	N/A
	Water Resources Act.	
Yes	Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
Yes	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public	
	Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation	
	etc.)	
	Conclusion Requirements	
Yes	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	
No	Comments received from review agencies including the City of	N/A
	Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final	
	sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	
Yes	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a	
	professional Engineer registered in Ontario	

APPENDIX C – ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES



120 lber Road, Suite 103 Ottawa, Ontario K2S 1E9 Tel. (613) 836-0856 Fax (613) 836-7183 www.DSEL.ca

ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES

FOR

TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC. 145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH & 951 GLADSTONE AVENUE

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 18-1026 CITY APPLICATION NO.: D07-12-XX-XXXX

> NOVEMBER 2019 – REV. 3 © DSEL

ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES FOR 145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH & 951 GLADSTONE AVENUE

TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Existing Conditions	2
1.2	Required Permits / Approvals	3
1.3	Pre-consultation	3
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	4
2.1	Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports	4
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	6
3.1	Existing Water Supply Services	6
3.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	6
3.3	Water Supply Conclusion	8
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	9
4.0 4.1	WASTEWATER SERVICING	9
4.0 4.1 4.2	WASTEWATER SERVICING Existing Wastewater Services Wastewater Design	9 9
4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3	WASTEWATER SERVICING Existing Wastewater Services Wastewater Design Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	9 9 9 11
 4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 5.0 	WASTEWATER SERVICING Existing Wastewater Services Wastewater Design Wastewater Servicing Conclusions STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	9 9 9 11 12
 4.1 4.2 4.3 5.0 5.1 	WASTEWATER SERVICING Existing Wastewater Services Wastewater Design Wastewater Servicing Conclusions STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Existing Stormwater Services	9 9 9
 4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 5.0 5.1 5.2 	WASTEWATER SERVICING Existing Wastewater Services Wastewater Design Wastewater Servicing Conclusions STORMWATER MANAGEMENT Existing Stormwater Services Post-development Stormwater Management Target	9 9 9 11 12 12
 4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 	WASTEWATER SERVICING. Existing Wastewater Services	9 9 9 11 12 12 12 12
 4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 	WASTEWATER SERVICING. Existing Wastewater Services	9

FIGURES

Figure 1	Site Location
	TABLES
Table 1	Water Demand Existing Conditions
	water Supply Design Criteria
Table 3	Water Demand and Boundary Conditions Contemplated Conditions
Table 4	Summary of Estimated Existing Peak Wastewater Flow
Table 5	Wastewater Design Criteria
Table 6	Summary of Estimated Contemplated Peak Wastewater Flow
Table 7 Table 8 Table 9	Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates Stormwater Flow Rate Summary Summary of 100-Year HGL Levels

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Pre-consultation Notes
------------	-------------------------------

- Appendix B Water Supply
- Appendix C Wastewater Collection
- Appendix D Stormwater Management
- Drawings / Figures Proposed Site Plan

ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES FOR 145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH & 951 GLADSTONE AVENUE TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC. NOVEMBER 2019 – REV. 3

CITY OF OTTAWA PROJECT NO.: 18-1026

1.0 INTRODUCTION

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained by Trinity Development Group Inc. to prepare an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report in support of the application for Official Plan Amendment (OPA) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) at 145 Loretta Avenue North and 951 Gladstone Avenue.

The subject property is located within the City of Ottawa urban boundary, in the Kitchissippi Ward. As illustrated in *Figure 1*, below, the subject property is located north east of the intersection of Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue. The subject property measures approximately *1.0 ha* and is zoned General Industrial, (IG1 H(11)).



Figure 1: Site Location

The existing site area consists of two 2-storey, one 1-storey, and one 3-storey commercial buildings. Surface parking also exists on site. The application for OPA and ZBLA would allow for the mixed-use development of three multi-storey residential towers (30, 33, and 35 stories) above a common retail and office podium with a contemplated zoning of

Mixed-Use Centre (MC). The redevelopment of the subject property involves the retention of the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building constructed in 1924.

The contemplated redevelopment is anticipated to be constructed in 2 phases. Phase 1 includes residential Towers 1 and 2 (35 and 33 storeys respectively) consisting of approximately **553** residential units. Both towers are contemplated to share a common podium consisting of **3,276** m^2 of total retail area (including existing retail), and approximately **17,569** m^2 of office space. The underground parking garage is also estimated to be constructed as part of the first phase. The contemplated phase 2 development includes the 30-storey residential tower (Tower 3) consisting of approximately **192** residential units. A total of **745** residential units is contemplated between the two phases.

The objective of this report is to provide sufficient detail to demonstrate that the contemplated development is supported by existing municipal services.

1.1 Existing Conditions

Sewer and watermain mapping collected from the City of Ottawa indicate that the following services exist across the property frontages within the adjacent municipal right-of-ways:

Loretta Avenue:

- > 203 mm diameter unlined cast iron watermain;
- > 1372 mm diameter concrete pressure watermain backbone pipe;
- 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and outletting approximately 1.5 km downstream;
- > 1050 mm diameter concrete sanitary Mooney's Bay trunk sewer; and
- > 300 mm diameter concrete combined sewer.

Gladstone Avenue:

- > 203 mm diameter PVC watermain, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
- > 406 mm diameter PVC watermain, west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
- 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and outletting approximately 1.5 km downstream;
- 375 mm diameter PVC storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and outletting approximately 1 km downstream;

- 1050mm diameter concrete Mooney's Bay sanitary sewer, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection; and
- > 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

1.2 Required Permits / Approvals

The contemplated development is subject to the Zoning By-law Amendment approval process. The City of Ottawa must approve engineering reports prior to issuing ZBLA approval.

1.3 **Pre-consultation**

Pre-consultation correspondence from the City of Ottawa, along with the servicing guidelines checklist, is located in *Appendix A*.

Pre-consultation with RVCA was conducted to confirm stormwater management targets on July 24, 2019, see *Appendix A*.

2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, SDG002, October 2012. (City Standards)
 - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01
 City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.
 (ISTB-2018-01)
 - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03
 City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.
 (ISTB-2018-03)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution
 City of Ottawa, July 2010.
 (Water Supply Guidelines)
 - Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2
 City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010.
 (ISD-2010-2)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02
 City of Ottawa, May 27, 2014.
 (ISDTB-2014-02)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-02
 City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.
 (ISDTB-2018-02)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008. (MOE Design Guidelines)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (SWMP Design Manual)
- Ontario Building Code Compendium
 Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Building Development Branch,

January 1, 2010 Update. (OBC)

Standard for the Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems

National Fire Protection Association, 2016 Edition. *(NFPA)*

3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

3.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1W pressure zone. A local 203 mm diameter watermain and a 1372 mm diameter backbone pipeline exist within the Loretta Avenue right-of-way and a 203 mm diameter watermain exists within the Gladstone Avenue right-of-way east of the intersection, as shown by the *City Water Distribution Mapping* located in *Appendix B*.

Table 1, below, estimates the water demand of the existing buildings, based on the *Water Supply Guidelines* shown in *Table 2.*

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand ¹ (L/min)	
Average Daily Demand	22.5	
Max Day	33.8	
Peak Hour	60.8	
 Water demand calculation per Water Supply Guidelines. See Appendix B for detailed calculations. 		

Table 1 Water Demand Existing Conditions

3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

It is anticipated that the contemplated development will be serviced via a minimum of 2 service connections to the 203 mm diameter watermains within Gladstone and Loretta Avenues. As the water demand exceeds 50 m³/day it is contemplated to loop the services internally to allow for redundancy in case of interruption of service to either service.

Table 2, below, summarizes the *Water Supply Guidelines* employed in the preparation of the preliminary water demand estimate.

Design Parameter	Value	
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit	
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit	
Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment	3.1 P/unit	
Residential Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/P	
Residential Maximum Daily Demand	2.5 x Average Daily *	
Residential Maximum Hourly	5.5 x Average Daily *	
Commercial Space	2500 L/(1000m²/d)	
Minimum Watermain Size	150 mm diameter	
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4 m from top of watermain to finished grade	
During normal operating conditions desired	350 kPa and 480 kPa	
operating pressure is within		
During normal operating conditions pressure must	275 kPa	
not drop below		
During normal operating conditions pressure must	552 kPa	
not exceed		
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop	140 kPa	
below		
* Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons. Above		
Jub persons, reler to Table 4.2 from Orly Guidelines. - Table undated to reflect ISD-2018-02		

Table 2 Water Supply Design Criteria

Table 3, below, summarizes the anticipated water supply demand and boundary conditions, received from the City of Ottawa, for the Contemplated development based on the Water Supply Guidelines. Refer to Appendix B for correspondence with the City of Ottawa.

Table 3 Water Demand and Boundary Conditions **Contemplated Conditions**

Design Parameter	Estimated Demand ¹ (L/min)	Connection 1 Boundary Conditions ² (m H ₂ O / kPa)		Connection 2 Boundary Conditions ³ (m H ₂ O / kPa)	
Average Daily Demand	373.4	47.6	466.7	47.3	464.2
Max Day + Fire Flow Scenario 1 (per ISDTB-2018-02)	823.8 +4,150	41.6	407.8	40.2	394.6
Peak Hour	1746.5	40.3	395.0	40.2	392.6
1) Water demand calculation per Water Supply Guidelines . See Appendix B for detailed calculations.					

Boundary conditions above for connection 1 to Gladstone Avenue assumed ground elevation equal to 67.2m 2)

3) Boundary condition for connection 2 to Loretta Avenue assumed ground elevation equal to 67.5m The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand, as indicated in the boundary request correspondence included in *Appendix B*.

Based on correspondence with the City of Ottawa, Loretta North Avenue will undergo reconstruction, resulting in the replacement of the existing 203 mm diameter watermain between Gladstone and Laurel with a 203 mm diameter watermain. The future watermain project could potentially affect the boundary condition results, refer to *Appendix B* for correspondence with the City.

For the purpose of estimating fire flow, the short method within the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards was utilized. As indicated by Section 11.2.2 from the *NFPA Standards*, fire flow requirements are to be determined by combining the required flow rate for the sprinkler system, along with the estimated hose stream. As indicated by Table 11.2.2.1 and Table 11.2.3.1.2 extracted from the *NFPA Standards* and included in *Appendix B*, the estimated fire flow requirements for the sprinkler system is *3,200 L/min* (850 gpm) and the estimated internal and external total combined inside and outside hose stream demand is *950 L/min* (250 gpm).

As a result, the total fire flow is estimated to be **4,150** *L/min* (1,100 gpm), refer to supporting calculation in *Appendix B*. Based on the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, sufficient supply is available for fire flow. A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

3.3 Water Supply Conclusion

The anticipated water demand based on the concept plan was submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions. As demonstrated by *Table 3*, the municipal system is capable of delivering water within the *Water Supply Guidelines* pressure range.

A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed in order to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the maximum fire flow demand for the design. However, the current maximum fire flow that can be supplied to the contemplated development exceeds the maximum fire flow required as per *NFPA Standards*.

DSEL employed a daily consumption rate of 280 L/person/day to align with the revised wastewater rates identified by City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03. As a result, DSEL is submitting for a deviation from the *Water Supply Guidelines*.
4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The subject site lies within Mooney's Bay Collector Sewer catchment area, as shown by the **Sanitary & Storm Collection System Maps**, included in **Appendix C**. There is an existing 1050 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Trunk sanitary sewer within Loretta Avenue and within Gladstone Avenue east of the Gladstone and Loretta intersection. A 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer exists within Gladstone Avenue fronting the subject property.

Table 4, below, summarizes the estimated wastewater flows for the existing development.

Design Parameter	Existing Flow (L/s)
Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow	0.75
Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow	1.13
Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow	1.46

Table 4Summary of Estimated Existing Peak Wastewater Flow

The existing building is comprised primarily of commercial space and is estimated to have a peak wastewater flow of **1.46 L/s**.

4.2 Wastewater Design

The contemplated development is anticipated to discharge to the 1050 mm diameter sanitary trunk within Loretta Avenue.

Table 5, below, summarizes the *City Standards* employed in the design of the Contemplated wastewater sewer system.

Design Parameter	Value		
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit		
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit		
Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment	3.1 P/unit		
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per		
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 3.8, Min 2.0		
Commercial Floor Space	5 L/m²/d		
Commercial Office Space	75 L/9.3m²/d		
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha		
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$		
Minimum Sewer Size	250 mm diameter		
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013		
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5 m from crown of sewer to grade		
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6 m/s		
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0 m/s		
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012.			

Table 5 Wastewater Design Criteria

Table 6, below demonstrates the anticipated peak flow from the Contemplated development. See *Appendix C* for associated calculations.

Table 6Summary of Estimated Contemplated Peak Wastewater Flow

Design Parameter	Contemplated Flow (L/s)
Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow	6.41
Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow	16.95
Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow	17.28

The anticipated peak wet weather flow of **17.28** L/s is a **15.82** L/s increase from the existing condition.

The City was contacted in order to confirm available capacity and resulting HGL within the existing 1050 mm sanitary trunk sewer. As indicated in the correspondence located in *Appendix A*, the 1050 mm trunk sewer has sufficient capacity to accommodate the increase in wastewater flow from the proposed development. Anticipated connections to the existing trunk sewer are to be a minimum of 0.30m above the receiving sewer's *HGL*, or anticipated wastewater flow from the contemplated development shall be pumped.

The City of Ottawa conducted a Hydraulic Grade Line (*HGL*) analysis of the sanitary sewers surrounding the site. *Table 7* below, summarized the results provided by the City at three maintenance structures.

Table 7Summary of 100-Year HGL Levels

		HGL (m)		
Maintenance Structure	Location	6 hr SCS	3 hr Chicago	Hurricane Frances (scaled)
MHSA00934	Northwest Corner	59.5	59.1	58.9
MHSA00935	Southwest Corner	60.1	59.6	59.4
MHSA00936	Southeast Corner	60.3	59.8	59.6

4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The site is tributary to the Mooney's Bay Collector Trunk sanitary sewer. The anticipated wet weather flow is **17.28** *L*/**s** which is a **15.82** *L*/**s** increase from the existing condition.

The City provided confirmation that the existing 1050 mm sanitary trunk sewer within Loretta and Gladstone Avenues is capable of accommodating the increase in flow as indicated in the correspondence located in *Appendix A*.

The contemplated wastewater servicing design conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.

5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

5.1 Existing Stormwater Services

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system and is located within the Ottawa Central sub-watershed. As such, approvals for contemplated developments within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

Flows that influence the watershed in which the subject property is located are further reviewed by the principal authority. The subject property is located within the Ottawa River watershed, and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). Consultation with the RVCA is located in *Appendix A*.

An existing 1350 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Storm Sewer Trunk runs along Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

It is anticipated that the existing development contains no stormwater management controls for flow attenuation. The estimated pre-development peak flows for the 2, 5, and 100-year events are summarized in *Table 8,* below

City of Ottawa Design Storm	Estimated Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
2-year	192.0
5-year	260.5
100-year	496.0

Table 8Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates

5.2 Post-development Stormwater Management Target

City of Ottawa Standards and pre-consultation was used to determine stormwater management requirements, where the development is required to:

- Meet an allowable release rate based on the lesser of either the existing calculated Rational Method Coefficient of 0.50, employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 2-year storm with a time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 minutes;
- Attenuate all storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event on site; and
- Based on coordination with the RVCA, enhanced quality level treatment (80% TSS removal) will be required for the contemplated development; correspondence with the RVCA is included in *Appendix A*.

Based on the above, the allowable release rate for the contemplated development is **106.7** *L*/**s**. Refer to city pre-consultation correspondence in *Appendix A*.

5.3 **Proposed Stormwater Management System**

It is anticipated that the stormwater outlet from the contemplated development will discharge to the existing 1350 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Storm sewer within Loretta Avenue. The proposed development is contemplated to utilize an internal cistern to meet the stormwater objectives.

Table 9, below summarizes post-development flow rates. The following storage requirement estimate assumes that approximately 10% of the development area will be directed to Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue right-of-ways without flow attenuation. These areas will be compensated for in areas with flow attenuation.

	Control Area	ea 5-Year 5-Year 100-Year		100-Year	
		Release Rate Storage Release Rate		Storage	
		(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m ³)
	Unattenuated Areas	23.2	0.0	49.6	0.0
Attenuated Areas		28.2	155.0	57.1	313.9
	Total	51.3	155.0	106.7	313.9

Table 9 Stormwater Flow Rate Summary

It is anticipated that approximately 314 m³ of storage, provided via an internal cistern, will be required on site to attenuate flow to the established release rate of 106.7 L/s; storage calculations are contained within *Appendix D*.

The City of Ottawa conducted a Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) analysis of the storm sewers surrounding the site. **Table 10** below, summarized the results provided by the City at three maintenance structures.

Table 10
Summary of 100-Year HGL Levels

Maintenance Structure	Location	HGL (m)
MHST101877	Northwest Corner	60.53
MHST101876	Southwest Corner	61.76
MHST101875	Southeast Corner	62.40

The HGL analysis will need to be reviewed and included during the detailed design. Refer to Appendix A for correspondence with the City, identifying the maintenance structures above. Anticipated connections to the existing 1350 mm diameter collector storm sewer are to be a minimum of 0.30m above the receiving sewer's HGL. Alternatively, anticipated storm flow from the contemplated development will be required to be pumped.

Actual storage volumes will need to be confirmed at the detailed design stage based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, grading constraints and external drainage.

To meet quality controls, on-site treatment including LID measures and oil/grit separators will be contemplated to achieve 80% TSS removal.

5.4 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

In accordance with City of Ottawa *City Standards*, post development stormwater runoff will be required to be restricted to the allowable target release rate for storm events up to and including the 100-year storm. The post-development allowable release rate was calculated as *106.7 L/s*; it is estimated that *314 m*³ of storage provided by an internal cistern to meet the established release rate.

Based on coordination with the RVCA, enhanced quality level treatment (80% TSS removal) will be required for the contemplated development; correspondence with the RVCA is included in *Appendix A*. To meet quality controls, on-site treatment including LID measures and oil/grit separators will be contemplated to achieve 80% TSS removal.

The contemplated stormwater design conforms to all relevant *City Standards* and Policies for approval.

6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) has been retained by Trinity Development Group Inc. to prepare an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report in support of the application for an Official Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendment at 145 Loretta Avenue North and 951 Gladstone Avenue. The preceding report outlines the following:

- Based on boundary conditions provided by the City, the existing municipal water infrastructure is capable of providing the contemplated development with water within the City's required pressure range;
- The NFPA Standards method for estimating maximum fire flow indicated 4,150 L/min is required for the contemplated development;
- The contemplated development is anticipated to have a peak wet weather flow of 17.28 L/s, which is a 15.82 L/s increase from the existing condition. It is anticipated that the 1050 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Trunk sewer is capable of accommodating this increase in flow;
- Based on the City of Ottawa's City Standards the contemplated development will be required to attenuate post development flows to an equivalent release rate of **106.7 L/s** for all storms up to and including the 100-year storm event;
- > It is contemplated that stormwater objectives will be met by an internal cistern, it is estimated that 314 m^3 of onsite storage will be required to attenuate flow to the established release rate;
- ➢ To meet quality controls, on-site treatment including various LID and oil/grit separators will be contemplated to achieve 80% TSS removal.

Prepared by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Per: Amr Salem

Reviewed by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.



Per: Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Prepared by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**

Per: Brandon Chow

© DSEL

z:\projects\18-1026_trinity_loretta-gladstone\b_design\b3_reports\b3-2_servicing (dsel)\2019-10-17_sub3_aes\aes-2019-11-08_1026_aas.docx

APPENDIX A

Pre-Consultation

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

18-1026

	General Content	
	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
\boxtimes	Date and revision number of the report.	Report Cover Sheet
\boxtimes	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	Drawings/Figures
\boxtimes	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figure 1
	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.	Section 1.0
\boxtimes	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 1.3
\boxtimes	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	Section 2.1
\boxtimes	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0
\boxtimes	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Sections 3.1, 4.1, 5.1
	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	N/A
	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	N/A
	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	N/A
	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale -North arrow (including construction North) -Key plan -Name and contact information of applicant and property owner -Property limits including bearings and dimensions -Existing and proposed structures and parking areas -Easements, road widening and rights-of-way -Adjacent street names	N/A
4.2	Development Servicing Report: Water	
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A
\boxtimes	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	Section 3.1

\boxtimes	Identification of system constraints	Section 3.1
\boxtimes	Identify boundary conditions	Section 3.1, 3.2
\boxtimes	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	Section 3.3

\boxtimes	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.	Section 3.2
	Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	N/A
	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	N/A
	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	N/A
	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification	N/A
\boxtimes	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Section 3.2, 3.3
	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	N/A
	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
\boxtimes	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 3.2
	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
4.3	Development Servicing Report: Wastewater	
\boxtimes	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 4.2
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A
	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A
\boxtimes	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 4.1
	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be	Section 4.2

\boxtimes	upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to	Section 4.2
	previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	
\boxtimes	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 4.2, Appendix C
\boxtimes	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 4.2
	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST 18-1026

	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping	N/A	
	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and	N/A	
	maximum flow velocity.		
	Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A	
	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A	
4.4	Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist		
\boxtimes	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 5.1	
	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	N/A	
	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving	N/A	
	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development neak flows		
	to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event		
	(dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other		
X	objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to	Section 5.2	
	hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into		
	account long-term cumulative effects.		
\boxtimes	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection		
	based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage	Section 5.2	
	requirements.		
\boxtimes	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and	Section 5.3	
	Set back from private sowage dispecal systems	N/A	
	Watercourse and bazard lands setbacks	N/A	
	Record of pre-consultation with the Optario Ministry of Environment and the	N/A	
\boxtimes	Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed	Appendix A	
_	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if		
	applicable study exists.	N/A	
	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for		
\boxtimes	minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return	Section 5.3	
	period).		
	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how		
	watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed	N/A	
	development with applicable approvals.		
<u> </u>	Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of		
\boxtimes	existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage	Section 5.1, Section 5.3	
	catchments in comparison to existing conditions.		
	another.	N/A	
	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater	N/A	
	trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.		
	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has		
\Box	adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-	N/A	
	year return period storm event.		
	identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	N/A	
	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A	

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST 18-1026

\boxtimes	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	Section 5.3
	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development	
	from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall	N/A
	grading.	
	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A
	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for	NI / A
	the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	N/A
	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain	
	information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may	
	be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the	N/A
	Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information	
	does not match current conditions.	
	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical	N/A
	investigation.	N/A
4.5	Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist	
	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of	
	floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a	
	watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement	
	Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and	N/A
	Rivers Improvement ct. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in	
	place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required,	
	except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	
	Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water	N/A
<u> </u>	Resources Act.	
	Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and	N/A
	Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	
4.6	Conclusion Checklist	
\boxtimes	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 6.0
	Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and	
	information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the	
	responsible reviewing agency.	
	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional	
	Engineer registered in Ontario	

Charlotte Kelly

Subject:

FW: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue

From: Fraser, Mark <<u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>
Sent: August 7, 2019 4:09 PM
To: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>
Cc: O'Connor, Ann <Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca
Subject: RE: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue

Hi Brandon,

The stormwater management criteria noted in the attached correspondence was provided in error after further review of the install year of the receiving storm sewer. Based on the install year of **1967** the 1350mm dia. storm sewer within Loretta Ave. was only designed to a 2-year level of service not a 5-year level of service [pre-1970 the design of the storm sewers were based on a 2-year storm].

Post-development flows from the subject site are to be controlled up to a 100-year storm event, to a **2-year allowable release rate** calculated using a runoff coefficient (C) determined using the pre-development runoff coefficient or a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.5, whichever is less (Cl.8.3.7.3) [If 0.5 applies it needs to be clearly demonstrated in the report that the pre-development runoff coefficient is greater than 0.5], and a calculated time of concentration (T_c) using an appropriate method to justify the parameter selection [T_c of 20 minutes should be used for all pre-development calculations without engineering justification, Tc should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; T_c of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations].

Please note that the impact from the receiving storm system HGL will need to be assessed if underground storage is proposed as part of the stormwater management solution. The receiving storm sewer system is uncontrolled and therefore subject to surcharge (HGL will be elevated for events greater than 2-year storm event).

If using the modified rational method to calculate the storage requirements for the site any underground storage should not be included in the overall available storage. The modified rational method assumes that the restricted flow rate is constant throughout the storm which underestimates the storage requirement prior to the 1:100 year head elevation being reached. Please note that if you wish to utilize any underground storage as available storage, the Q_(release) must be modified to compensate for the lack of head on the orifice. An assumed average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied. Otherwise, disregard the underground storage as available storage or provide modeling to support the SWM strategy.

If you have any questions or require any clarification please let me know.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>> Sent: August 06, 2019 5:41 PM To: Fraser, Mark <<u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>> Subject: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Mark,

We would like to confirm stormwater management requirements for the proposed development at the above noted site.

A City comment on the Adequacy of Services Report noted that the receiving storm sewer system is a 2-year system. Previous correspondence with the City (attached) indicated the allowable release rate to be based on the below criteria.

- 1:5 year storm
- C=0.5
- 10min concentration time

Can you please confirm?

Thanks,

Brandon Chow Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext.532 fax: (613) 836-7183 email: bchow@DSEL.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

ī

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

ī

Amr Salem

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca> September 26, 2018 9:29 AM Amr Salem Steve Merrick RE: 1026- 145 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Hi Amr,

The RVCA looks for on-site enhance level of protection (80% TSS Removal) for quality control for sites less than 2km away from an outlet without an intervening storm water management facility. Specifically as it relates to surface parking, this standard is expected to be achieved, on-site best management practices including LID could be provided and demonstrated through the Site Servicing report.

Thanks,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP Planner, Rideau Valley Conservation Authority 613-692-3571 x1137

From: Amr Salem <ASalem@dsel.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:24 AM
To: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>
Cc: Steve Merrick <SMerrick@dsel.ca>
Subject: FW: 1026- 145 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Good morning Eric,

I just wanted to follow up on this. Did you get a chance to review?

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Amr Salem Project Coordinator

DSEL david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 512 email: <u>asalem@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>
Sent: September 21, 2018 1:47 PM
To: Amr Salem <<u>ASalem@dsel.ca</u>>
Cc: Steve Merrick <<u>SMerrick@dsel.ca</u>>; Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 1026- 1045 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Good Afternoon Amr,

I am forwarding this to Eric as it would be in his area.

From: Amr Salem <<u>ASalem@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: Friday, September 21, 2018 11:47 AM
To: Jamie Batchelor <<u>jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca</u>>
Cc: Steve Merrick <<u>SMerrick@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: 1026- 1045 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Good morning Jamie,

We wanted to consult with you regarding a mixed-use development we are working on located at the intersection of Gladstone Avenue and Lorretta Avenue North.

The existing stormwater on site discharges to the municipal infrastructure (1350 mm Diameter Storm Sewer) within Gladstone Avenue and Lorretta Avenue. The stormwater collected from the site travels approximately 1.3 km through municipal sewer to a direct outlet into the Ottawa River.

The development proposes to construct new mixed use buildings (commercial/office/residential) consisting of three highrise residential towers with one of which stemming from a large commercial/office building fronting Gladstone Ave with the other towers located to the North. The site will be landscape with storm water primarily coming from the roof tops collected from the towers. There will be approximately parking for 14 cars on the surface of the lot with the majority of parking located underground.

At present, the existing site area consists of mostly paved asphalt for surface parking (50+ spots) and 4 buildings.

Can you please provide your input regarding quality controls that maybe required for the site.



Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

Amr Salem Project Coordinator

DSEL david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 512 email: <u>asalem@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

Brandon Chow

To: Subject: Fraser, Mark RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

From: Fraser, Mark < Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>
Sent: November 7, 2019 3:15 PM
To: Brandon Chow < BChow@dsel.ca>
Subject: RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

The Water Resources Assets Unit has no anticipated issues with the proposed peak wastewater flow from the development discharging to the 1050mm dia. Collector Sewer. No additional analysis is necessary.

As this proposal only proposes a private building service the OBC method of calculating fire flow can be used. However please note that there are internal discussions happening with Building Code Services (BCS) and Ottawa Fire Services (OFS) regarding this approach so requirements may change at the time of Site Plan Control.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: <u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Fraser, Mark
Sent: November 05, 2019 2:50 PM
To: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

Please see the below wastewater flows within the sanitary trunk sewer as requested.

#	STRUCT_ID	From MH	To MH	100 year Peak Flow (L/s)		
				6 hr SCS	3 hr Chicago	Hurricane Frances (scaled)
1	SAN00976	MHSA00935	MHSA00934	1420	1220	940
2	SAN00975	MHSA00934	MHSA00933 (1A)	1440	1240	960

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: <u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>> Sent: October 30, 2019 3:54 PM To: Fraser, Mark <<u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>> Subject: RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Mark,

We would like to request the flows that have been computed in the City's model for the 1050mm sanitary trunk that is anticipated to receive flows from the subject proposal.

Can you please provide the computed flows for the sanitary trunk between nodes 1A to MHSA00934 and MHSA00934 to MHSA00935? See attachment for reference.

Thanks,

Brandon Chow Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

DSEL david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext.532 fax: (613) 836-7183 email: <u>bchow@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Fraser, Mark <<u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>> Sent: October 28, 2019 3:51 PM

To: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>> **Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

The Water Resources Assets Unit has no anticipated issues with the proposed peak wastewater flow however confirmation of available sanitary sewer capacity needs to be discussed and assessed to demonstrate that the sewer system can accommodate the anticipated wastewater flow from the subject proposal for documentation purposes.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: October 25, 2019 5:25 PM
To: Fraser, Mark <<u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Mark,

Thank you for providing the HGL. Would you be able confirm with the modelling group that the receiving sanitary trunk has capacity to support the anticipated flows from the subject development?

Thanks,

Brandon Chow Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext.532

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Fraser, Mark <<u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>> Sent: October 24, 2019 3:18 PM To: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>> Subject: RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

Please see attached and below the 100-year HGL in the sanitary trunk sewer model as requested.

#	STRUCT_ID	100 year HGL (m)		
		6 hr SCS	3 hr Chicago	Hurricane
				Frances (scaled)
1	MHSA00934	59.5	59.1	58.9
2	MHSA00935	60.1	59.6	59.4
3	MHSA00936	60.3	59.8	59.6

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: <u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Fraser, Mark
Sent: October 23, 2019 9:22 AM
To: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

I have been advised that some preliminary design drawings were completed for the reconstruction of Loretta Ave. N. (North of Gladstone Ave.) however Asset Management is now considering replacing the existing backbone watermain within Loretta Ave. N. as part of the reconstruction works which will change the design. There is no timeline to revise the preliminary design prior to Spring 2020 thus no plans are available at this time.

You will need to contact and discuss with the City Project Manager (Marc Tremblay) of this reconstruction project to ensure both projects are planned to function together and the latest design details are obtained. It is my understanding

there has been no discussion to date on how this development proposal will function with the ultimate condition of Loretta Ave. N.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: <u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.



Charlotte Kelly

Subject:

FW: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - D02-02-18-0099

From: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>>
Sent: May 29, 2019 12:05 PM
To: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>>
Cc: O'Connor, Ann <Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca>
Subject: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - D02-02-18-0099

Hi Brandon

This is the 100-year HGL at three MH near this site:

MHST101877: 60.53 m MHST101876: 61.76 m MHST101875: 62.40 m



Richard Buchanan, CET

Coordinator, Front Ending Agreements and Brownfields Programs Planning Services, Development Review Branch Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801 From: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: May 29, 2019 11:10 AM
To: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning Richard,

I would like to touch base regarding the above noted site. We've received the City's engineering comments relating to the submission of the Adequacy of Public Services Report dated October 2018 and would like to request info based on the comment below. Would you be able to direct me to the contact who will be looking after this project?

J.1 - The consultant must keep in mind that the receiving storm system is only a 2 year system and not a 5 year system. In addition, if they plan to use underground storage, they will need to consider the impact from the receiving system HGL. The receiving system is uncontrolled, therefore the HGL will be elevated for events greater than 2 years.

We will require the City to provide the HGL in the receiving system in order to review the impacts on the system.

Thanks,

Brandon Chow Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

DSEL

david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext.532 fax: (613) 836-7183 email: bchow@DSEL.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>
Sent: October 11, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Amr Salem <<u>ASalem@dsel.ca</u>
Cc: O'Connor, Ann <Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca>
Subject: FW: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Amr

FYI

Richard Buchanan, CET

Project Manager, Development Approvals Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department Planning & Growth Management Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801 ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

From: Tremblay, Marc (ISD)
Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2018 9:23 AM
To: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Hi Richard

The existing 200mm watermain on Loretta North between Gladstone and Laurel is to be replaced with a new 200mm diameter watermain as part of the road reconstruction project. This reconstruction work will not occur until 2020 at the earliest.

Regards Marc

From: Buchanan, Richard Sent: Thursday, October 11, 2018 8:24 AM To: 'Amr Salem' <<u>ASalem@dsel.ca</u>> Subject: FW: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Good Morning Amr

Please note that I believe there's future watermain projects (on Loretta specifically) in this area that could affect the results, especially the fire flow results. I'm trying to confirm with our water division to see what the plan is and when it's scheduled for.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 1026 Loretta/Gladstone (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203mm on Gladstone (Connection 1) and 203mm on Loretta (Connection 2). See attached PDF for locations.

	Connection 1 (Gladstone)	Connection 2 (Loretta)
Min HGL	107.5m	107.5m
Max HGL	114.8m	114.8m

Max day + FireFlow (57.5L/s),	108.5m	107.3m
Max day + FireFlow (317 L/s),	104.8m	85.5m
Max day + FireFlow (433 L/s),	102.1m	Available Flow @ 20psi = 350 L/s assuming a ground elevation of 67m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Richard Buchanan, CET

Project Manager, Development Approvals Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department Planning & Growth Management Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801 ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

From: Amr Salem <<u>ASalem@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:04 PM
To: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>>
Cc: Steve Merrick <<u>SMerrick@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Good afternoon Richard,

We would like to kindly request boundary conditions for the proposed development at **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue** using the following proposed development demands:

1. Location of Service / Street Number: **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue**

2. Type of development: **The proposed mixed-use development involves 3 multi-storey** residential towers (30, 35 and 40 storeys) above a common retail and office podium, consisting of a <u>total of 931 residential units</u>. An underground parking garage extending the footprint of the site is also proposed. Please note that the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building is to be retained. Please find attached the Site Plan for reference.

3. Proposed Connection points:

- Connection 1 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.
- Connection 2 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Loretta Avenue north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection. Please see the diagram below for reference.

4. <u>Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development</u>:

	L/min	L/s
Avg. Daily	397.6	6.63
Max Day + FUS 1	904.8 + 26000.0 = 26904.8	15.1 + 433.3 = 448.4
Max Day + FUS 2/3	904.8 + 19000.0 = 19904.8	15.1 + 316.7 = 331.8
Max Day + OBC	904.8 + 3450.0 = 4354.8	15.1 + 57.5 = 72.6
Peak Hour	1937.1	32.3



Please find attached the related water demand and FUS calculations as well as OBC demand methodology used for reference.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Amr Salem Project Coordinator

DSEL david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

ī

ı

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 512 email: asalem@DSEL.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

APPENDIX B

Water Supply
Water Pressure Zone Map



Water Distribution Map



145 Loretta Avenue North / 951 Gladstone Avenue Trinity Development Group Inc Existing Site Water Demand

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010

Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4		0
2 Bedroom	2.1		0
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0

	Pop Avg. Daily		Daily	Max	Day	Peak Hour		
		-	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
	Total Domestic Demand	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Institutional / Commercial / Inc	lustrial Demand							
			Avg. D	Daily	Max	Day	Peak I	Hour
Property Type	Unit Rate	Units	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Water Closets	150.0 L/hr		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Restaurant	125.0 L/seat/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial floor space**	5.0 L/m²/d	6,482	32.41	22.5	48.6	33.8	87.5	60.8
Laundry	1,200.0 L/machine/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
School	70 L/student/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Light	35,000 L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Heavy	55,000 L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Total	/CI Demand	32.4	22.5	48.6	33.8	87.5	60.8
	Тс	tal Demand	32.4	22.5	48.6	33.8	87.5	60.8

* Based on a daily demand of 200L/day per person as identified by Appendix 4-A of the Sewer design guidelines

**Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation



EEL

145 Loretta Avenue North / 951 Gladstone Avenue Trinity Development Group Inc Proposed Site Water Demand

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010

Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4	120	168
1 Bedroom	1.4	244	342
2 Bedroom	2.1	336	706
3 Bedroom	3.1	45	140
Average	1.8		0

	Рор	Avg. D	aily	Max [Day	Peak I	Hour
		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Total Domestic Demand	1356	379.7	263.7	949.2	659.2	2088.2	1450.2
-							

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

				Avg. D	Avg. Daily		Day	Peak Hour	
Property Type	Unit	Rate Ur	nits	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Office	75	L/9.3m ² /d 1	7,569	141.68	98.4	212.5	147.6	382.5	265.7
Commercial floor space**	5	L/m²/d	3,276	16.38	11.4	24.6	17.1	44.2	30.7
Laundry	1,200	L/machine/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
School	70	L/student/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Total I/CI De	mand	158.1	109.8	237.1	164.7	426.8	296.4
		Total De	mand	537.7	373.4	1186.3	823.8	2515.0	1746.5

**Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

Boundary Conditions Unit Conversion

CONNECTION 1 [203mm dia. – Gladstone Ave.] Grnd Elev 67.23

	Hight (m)	m H2O	PSI	kPa
Avg. Day	114.8	47.57	67.7	466.7
Peak Hour	107.5	40.27	57.3	395.0
Max Day + FF	108.8	41.57	59.1	407.8

CONNECTION 2 [203mm dia. - Loretta Ave. N.]

Grnd Elev 67.48

	Hight (m)	m H2O	PSI	kPa
Avg. Day	114.8	47.32	67.3	464.2
Peak Hour	107.5	40.02	56.9	392.6
Max Day + FF	107.7	40.22	57.2	394.6

Amr Salem

From:	Amr Salem
Sent:	July 26, 2019 3:52 PM
То:	'Buchanan, Richard'
Cc:	Brandon Chow
Subject:	145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue - Updated Boundary Conditions
	Request
Attachments:	2019-07-22 - Architecture Coordination Set.pdf; 2019-07-26 _wtr_Proposed_Conditions_aas.pdf; 2019-07-23_1026_OBC_NFPA_aas.pdf

Hello Richard,

We would like to kindly request updated boundary conditions for the proposed development at **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue** using the following proposed development demands:

- 1. Location of Service / Street Number: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue
- Type of development: The proposed mixed-use development involves 3 multi-storey residential towers
 (30, 33 and 35 storeys) above a common retail and office podium, consisting of a total of 745 residential
 <u>units</u>. An underground parking garage extending the footprint of the site is also proposed. Please note that
 the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building is to be retained.
 Please find attached the Site Plan for reference.
- 3. Proposed Connection points:
 - Connection 1 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.
 - Connection 2 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Loretta Avenue north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

Please see the diagram below for reference.

4. Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development:

	L/min	L/s
Avg. Daily	373.4	6.2
Max Day + NFPA	823.8 + 4150.0 = 4,973.8	13.7 + 69.2 = 82.9
Peak Hour	1746.5	29.1



Thank you in advance,

Amr Salem Project Coordinator

DSEL david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 512 email: <u>asalem@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

Amr Salem

From:	Fraser, Mark <mark.fraser@ottawa.ca></mark.fraser@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	August 2, 2019 11:50 AM
То:	Amr Salem
Cc:	O'Connor, Ann; Brandon Chow
Subject:	RE: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue - Updated Boundary Conditions Request
Attachments:	145 Loretta_Gladstone Aug 2019.pdf; 2019-07-22 - Architecture Coordination Set.pdf; 2019-07-26_wtr_Proposed_Conditions_aas.pdf; 2019-07-23_1026_OBC_NFPA_aas.pdf

Hi Arm,

Please find below updated boundary conditions for hydraulic analysis at 145 Loretta Ave. N. / 951 Gladstone Ave. (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203m on Gladstone (Connection 1) and 203mm on Loretta (Connection 2) as requested. See attached PDF for connection locations.

CONNECTION 1 [203mm dia. – Gladstone Ave.] Minimum HGL = 107.5M Maximum HGL = 114.8m MaxDay + Fire Flow (69 L/s) = 108.8m

CONNECTION 2 [203mm dia. – Loretta Ave. N.] Minimum HGL = 107.5mm Maximum HGL = 114.8m MaxDay + Fire Flow (69 L/s) = 107.7m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Please refer to City of Ottawa, Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution, First Edition, July 2010, WDG001 Clause 4.2.2 for watermain pressure and demand objectives.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

If you have any questions please let me know.

Regards,

Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services Development Review Central Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1 <u>Tel:613.580.2424</u> ext. 27791 Fax: 613-580-2576 Mail: Code 01-14 Email: <u>Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca</u>

*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

From: Amr Salem <<u>ASalem@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: July 26, 2019 3:55 PM
To: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>>
Cc: Brandon Chow <<u>BChow@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue - Updated Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hello Richard,

We would like to kindly request updated boundary conditions for the proposed development at **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue** using the following proposed development demands:

- 1. Location of Service / Street Number: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue
- Type of development: The proposed mixed-use development involves 3 multi-storey residential towers
 (30, 33 and 35 storeys) above a common retail and office podium, consisting of a total of 745 residential
 <u>units</u>. An underground parking garage extending the footprint of the site is also proposed. Please note that
 the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building is to be retained.
 Please find attached the Site Plan for reference.
- 3. Proposed Connection points:
 - Connection 1 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.
 - Connection 2 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Loretta Avenue north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection. Please see the diagram below for reference.
- 4. Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development:



Thank you in advance,

Amr Salem Project Coordinator

DSEL david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 512 email: <u>asalem@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

ı.

ı

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 13 – Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems

Table 11.2.2.1, Table 11.2.3.1.2

National Fire Protection Association 13 - Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems Report, Table 11.2.2.1

Occupancy	Minimum Residual Pressure Required		Acceptab Base o (Includi Stream A	Dumilar	
Classification -	psi	bar	gpm	L/min	(minutes
Light hazard	15	1	500-750	1900-2850	30-60
Ordinary hazard	20	1.4	850-1500	3200-5700	60-90

Table 11.2.2.1 Water Supply Requirements for Pipe Schedule Sprinkler Systems

National Fire Protection Association 13 - Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems Report, Table 11.2.3.1.2

	Inside	e Hose	Total Combined Inside and Outside Hose		Duration
Occupancy	gpm	L/min	gpm	L/min	(minutes)
Light hazard	$\substack{0,50,\alpha r\\100}$	0, 190, or 380	100	380	30
Ordinary hazard	0, 59, or 100	0, 190, or 380	250	950	6090
Extra hazard	0, 59, or 100	0, 190, or 389	50.0	1900	90-129

Table 11.2.3.1.2 Hose Stream Allowance and Water Supply Duration Requirements for Hydraulically Calculated Systems

APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection



145 Loretta Avenue North / 951 Gladstone Avenue Trinity Development Group Inc Existing Development Sanitary Flow

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area			1.00	ha
Extraneous Flow Allowance	S			
	In	filtration / Inflow	v 0.33	L/s
Domestic Contributions				
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор	
Single Family	3	3.4	. 0	
Semi-detached and duplex		2.7	0	
Townhouse		2.7	0	
Stacked Townhouse (Duplex)		2.3	0	
Apartment				
Bachelor		1.4	0	
1 Bedroom		1.4	0	
2 Bedroom		21	0	
3 Bedroom	-	3.1	0	
Average		18	0	
Type of Housing	Per/Bed	Beds	Pop	
Boarding*		1	0	
20010119			0	
		Total Po	o 0	
			-	
	Average	e Domestic Flov	v 0.00	L/s
		Peaking Facto	r 3.80	
				-
	Pea	k Domestic Flow	v <u>0.00</u>	_L/s
Institutional / Commercial / I	ndustrial Con	tributions		
Property Type	Ur	nit Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater
				(L/s)
Water Closets	1	50 L/hr		0.00
Restaurant	12	25 L/seat/d		0.00
Commercial floor space*		5 L/m²/d	6,482	0.75
Laundry*	1,2	00 L/machine/d		0.00
Hospitals	90	00 L/bed/d		0.00
School	7	70 L/student/d		0.00
		A	verage I/C/I Flow	0.75
	Deels	Institutional / C	ommoroial Elaw	4 4 0
	1.13			
		Peak		0.00
			Peak I/C/I FIOW	1.13

* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	0.75 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.13 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	1.46 L/s

145 Loretta Avenue North / 951 Gladstone Avenue Trinity Development Group Inc Proposed Development Sanitary Flow

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area			1.00	ha
Extraneous Flow Allowances	Infili	ration / Inflow	0.33	I /s
Domestic Contributions				
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор	
Single Family	3.4		0	
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0	
Townhouse	2.7		0	
Stacked Townhouse (Duplex)	2.3		0	
Apartment				
Bachelor	1.4	120	168	
1 Bedroom	1.4	244	342	
2 Bedroom	2.1	336	706	
3 Bedroom	3.1	45	140	
Average	1.8		0	
		Total Pop	1356	
	Average D	omestic Flow	4.39	L/s
	Р	eaking Factor	3.17	
	Peak D	omestic Flow	13.92	L/s
Institutional / Commercial / Indu	ustrial Contri	butions		
Property Type	Unit	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Office	75	L/9.3m ² /d	17,569	1.64
Restaurant	125	L/seat/d	,	0.00
Commercial floor space*	5	L/m²/d	3.276	0.38
Laundry*	1,200	L/machine/d	- , -	0.00
Hospitals	900	L/bed/d		0.00
School	70	L/student/d		0.00
		Ave	rage I/C/I Flow	2.02
	Peak In	stitutional / Cor	nmercial Flow	3 03
	0.00			
		F	Peak I/C/I Flow	3.03
*				

* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	6.41 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	16.95 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	17.28 L/s

APPENDIX D

Stormwater Management

Estimated Peak Stormwater Flow Rate City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012

Existing Drainage Charateristics From Internal Site

Area	1.00	ha	
С	0.90	Rationa	al Method runoff coefficient
L	139	m	
Up Elev	67.25	m	
Dn Elev	64.25	m	
Slope	2.2	%	
Тс	6.0	min	
Тс	10.0	min	< Assume 10 minutes as minimum

1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration

$$t_c = \frac{1.8(1.1 - C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$$

tc, in minutes

C, rational method coefficient, (-)

L, length in ft

S, average watershed slope in %

Estimated Peak Flow

	2-year	5-year	100-year	
i	76.8	104.2	178.6	mm/h
Q	192.0	260.5	496.0	L/s



Stormwater - Proposed Development

t_c

City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 **Target Flow Rate**

Area 1.00 ha

С 0.50 Rational Method runoff coefficient

> 10.0 min *Based on a time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 min

2-year

- i 76.8 mm/hr
- Q 106.7 L/s

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas

0.100 ha

Total Area

С

*Conservative estimate of 10% of total site area for unattenuated areas 0.80 Rational Method runoff coefficient

		5-year					100-year				
	t _c	i	\mathbf{Q}_{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual} *	$\mathbf{Q}_{release}$	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
ſ	10.0	104.2	23.2	23.2	0.0	0.0	178.6	49.6	49.6	0.0	0.0

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Total Area 0.90 ha

0.84 Rational Method runoff coefficient С

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	218.8	28.0	190.8	114.5	178.6	446.4	57.1	389.3	233.6
15	83.6	175.5	28.0	147.4	132.7	142.9	357.2	57.1	300.2	270.1
20	70.3	147.5	28.1	119.4	143.3	120.0	299.9	57.1	242.8	291.4
25	60.9	127.9	28.1	99.8	149.7	103.8	259.6	57.1	202.5	303.8
30	53.9	113.2	28.1	85.1	153.2	91.9	229.7	57.1	172.6	310.7
35	48.5	101.9	28.2	73.7	154.8	82.6	206.4	57.1	149.4	313.7
40	44.2	92.8	28.2	64.6	155.0	75.1	187.9	57.1	130.8	313.9
45	40.6	85.3	28.2	57.1	154.2	69.1	172.6	57.1	115.6	312.0
50	37.7	79.1	28.2	50.8	152.5	64.0	159.9	57.1	102.8	308.4
55	35.1	73.8	28.2	45.5	150.2	59.6	149.1	57.1	92.0	303.6
60	32.9	69.2	28.3	40.9	147.3	55.9	139.7	57.1	82.7	297.6
65	31.0	65.2	28.3	36.9	144.0	52.6	131.6	57.1	74.5	290.7
70	29.4	61.7	28.3	33.4	140.3	49.8	124.5	57.1	67.4	283.1
75	27.9	58.6	28.3	30.3	136.2	47.3	118.1	57.1	61.1	274.8
80	26.6	55.8	28.3	27.5	131.9	45.0	112.5	57.1	55.4	265.9
85	25.4	53.3	28.3	25.0	127.3	43.0	107.4	57.1	50.3	256.6
90	24.3	51.0	28.3	22.7	122.5	41.1	102.8	57.1	45.7	246.8
95	23.3	48.9	28.3	20.6	117.5	39.4	98.6	57.1	41.5	236.6
100	22.4	47.1	28.3	18.7	112.3	37.9	94.8	57.1	37.7	226.1
105	21.6	45.3	28.4	17.0	106.9	36.5	91.2	57.1	34.2	215.3
110	20.8	43.7	28.4	15.4	101.4	35.2	88.0	57.1	30.9	204.2

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

5-year Q _{attenuated}	28.19 L/s	100-year Q _{attenuated}	57.07 L/s
5-year Max. Storage Required	155.0 m ³	100-year Max. Storage Required	313.9 m ³

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage
	(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m ³)
Unattenuated Areas	23.2	0.0	49.6	0.0
Attenutated Areas	28.2	155.0	57.1	313.9
Total	51.3	155.0	106.7	313.9

DRAWINGS / FIGURES



Information		
g Zone: IGI H(11) – Gen	eral Industrial Zone, Subzone 1	, Height Limit 11m
ed Zone: MC[XXXX] SX	XX	
al: Mixed-use developn	nent with office, retail, and resid	lential uses in three high-rise towers at 30,
41 storeys in height		
Mechanism	Required	Provided
n Lot Width	NO MINIMUM	66.7m IRREGULAR

& Loading Information					
le 1A, Zoning By-law 2008-250: Area X: Inner Urban					
ance Standard	Required	Proposed			
ar Parking	1,973 MAX. SPACES	521 SPACES			
Parking	451 SPACES	518 SPACES			

1231		
ZONING: IG1 H(11)		
MENT STATS		PROPOSED
A		10,012.3 m² (107,772 sq.ft.)
ТН		66.7m IRREGULAR
ΎТН		150.9m
NITS		168
ARD SETBACK	GLADSTONE AVE.	5 m
ARD SETBACK	N/A	5 m
SIDE YARD SETBACK	LORETTA AVE. N.	3 m
R SIDE YARD SETBACK	TRILLIUM RAIL CORRIDOR	2 m
1 HEIGHT		± 123 m
OF STOREYS		35
FOOTPRINT AREA		5,841 m² (62,874 sq.ft)
LOOR AREA		94,673 m² (1,019,062 sq.ft)
REQUIREMENTS		· · · · · ·

EDP	ARKING	
SE	REQUIRED	PROVIDED VEHICLE PARKING
ENT	1.75 MAX SPACE PER UNIT	375 SPACES (0.5 SPACES/UNIT)
	O.I SPACE MIN. PER UNIT BUT MAX. 30 SPACES	30 SPACES
	3.6 MAX SPACES / IOOm² GFA	17 SPACES (I SPACE / 1076 ft²)
	2.2 MAX SPACES / 100m² GFA	99 SPACES (0.75 SPACES / 1076 ft ²)
		52I SPACES

2		PROPERTY LINE	
D WIRE		SETBACK LINE	
RANT	-\$-	RETAINING WALL	
HYDRO POLE	0	ROAD CENTRELINE	

05		CHANGES TO TITLEBLO
04		ENTRY TO BUILDING B
03		EXTENT OF PH 1 & PH
02	MAY 24, 2019	LANDSCAPE UPDATED
0	APRIL 17, 2019	LANDSCAPE & PODIUM
no	date	revision
It is cont sions or c	the responsib ractor to chec on site and omissions to th	vility of the appropriate of and verify all dimen- report all errors and ne architect.
perti	nent codes ar	nd by-laws.
Do r	not scale draw	vings.
This cons	drawing may struction until	not be used for signed.
Сору	right reserved	
	-	
	TI	RINIT
H	Din Architecture	RINIT
Ha	Define the first f	
Ha in 63 Ot	Din Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario	
Ha In 63 Ot Ca	Din Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7	RINIT
Ha in 63 Ot Ca T:	Din Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-238-7200	RINIT
Ha in 63 Ot Ca T: F:	Debin Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-238-7200 613-235-2005	
Ha in 63 Ot Ca F: E:	Debin Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-238-7200 613-235-2005 mail@hobinarc.com	
H4 In 63 Ot Ca T: F: E: h4	Din Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-235-2005 mail@hobinarc.com	RINIT IVI IVI IVI IVI IVI IVI IVI IVI IVI I
Ha in 63 Ot Ca F: E: ha	Debin Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-238-7200 613-235-2005 mail@hobinarc.com	RINIT IN:
Ha in 63 Ot Ca F: E: ha PRO	Debin Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-235-2005 mail@hobinarc.com Dinarc.com	RINIT N: DSTONF AVF
Ha 63 0t Ca F: E: ha PRO	Debin Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-238-7200 613-235-2005 mail@hobinarc.com Dinarc.com JECT/LOCATIO 951 GLAE 45 LORE	RINIT RINIT N: DSTONE AVE. TTA AVE. NORT
H4 In 63 0t C2 T: E: ht PRO	Debin Architecture corporated Pamilla Street tawa, Ontario inada K1S 3K7 613-238-7200 613-235-2005 mail@hobinarc.com Dinarc.com JECT/LOCATIO 951 GLAE 45 LORE	RINIT RINIT N: DSTONE AVE. TTA AVE. NOR

	DRAWING NO.:
	1726
	PROJECT:
19/04/17	1:300
DATE:	SCALE:

DRAWN BY:

REVISION NO.:



<u>GLADTONE + LORETTA</u> SITE STATS

Site Area (sq.ft.): Total GFA, Excl. Parking (sq.ft.) FSI:

Area Schedule (GFA by Floor)

Level	Retail (Incl. Pedestrian	Retail	Office	Existing Building	Residential			GFA / Level	(x) # of	GFA Totals
	Street) (sq.ft.)	Loading (sq.ft.)	(sq.ft.)	(sq.ft.)	(sq.ft.)			(sq.ft.)	Floors	(sq.ft.)
Level P1 - P2								103,476	2	206,952
					Podium 1	Podium 2	Podium 3			
					Ground Flr (Res.)	Ground Flr	Ground Flr			
Level 1	17,894	5,514	1,390	5,790) 5,185	13,258	10,656	59,687	1	59,687
					Tower 1	Tower 2	Tower 3			
					(35 Flrs.)	(33 Flrs.)	(30 Flrs.)			
Level 2 - 3	0	0 0	46,930	5,790)		12,733	65,453	2	130,906
Level 4	C) 0	46,930	()		12,733	59,663	1	59 <i>,</i> 663
Level 5	C	0 0	46,930	()		8,311	. 55,241	1	55,241
Level 6 - 18	C	0 0	0	(8,791	8,751	8,311	. 25,853	13	336,089
Level 19 - 25	C	0 0	0	(9,308	8,751	8,311	. 26,370	7	184,590
Level 26 - 29	C	0 0	0	(9,308	8,751	8,593	26,652	4	106,608
Level 30	C	0 0	0	(8,799	8,751	8,593	26,143	1	26,143
Level 31	C	0 0	0	(8,799	9,089		17,888	1	17,888
Level 32	C	0 0	0	(8,799	9,089		17,888	1	17,888
Level 33	C	0 0	0	(5,090	9,089		14,179	1	14,179
Level 34	C	0 0	0	(5,090			5,090	1	5,090
Level 35	C	0 0	0	(5,090)		5,090	1	5,090
Level 36	C	0	0	(0	1	0

7/19/2019

107,772 1,019,062 9.46

1,019,062



<u>GLADTONE + LORETTA</u> SITE STATS

Area Schedule (GFA by Type)

GFA Type			GFA Totals (sq.ft)
Retail			17,894
Retail Loading			5,514
Office			189,110
Existing Building			17,370
Residential	Cumulative Podium Res. / Amenity	67,298	
	Tower 1 (30 / 35 Flrs.)	258,338	
	Tower 2 (29 / 33 Flrs.)	246,042	
	Tower 3 (26 / 30 Flrs.)	217,496	
	Total Res.		789,174
			1,019,062

Residential GFA vs Net Area Comparison

Area Type	GFA	Net Area	Efficiency
Tower 1 (35 Flrs.)	258,338	219,500	85.0%
Tower 2 (33 Flrs.)	246,042	211,640	86.0%
Tower 3 (30 Flrs.)	217,496	187,446	86.2%
	721,876	618,586	85.7%

<u>Unit Count</u>

Unit Type	% of total	TOWER 1	TOWER 2	TOWER 3	RES. TOTALS
BACHELOR	16.1%	54	56	10	120
1 BED	32.8%	96	112	36	244
2 BED	45.1%	120	112	104	336
3 BED	6.0%	3	0	42	45
Totals		273	280	192	745

Bylaw Amenity Requirements (Bylaw 2008-250, Table 137 - "Amenity Area")

(5) Apartment Bldg Mid - High Rise: $6m^2$ per dwelling unit (x740) = 4,440 m²

Amenity Area Provided

Location of Amenity	Area (m²)
Landscape Area at Grade	1,233
Rooftop Terrace	3,179
Indoor Communal Amenity	1,150
Balconies	1,894
Total	7,456



<u>GLADTONE + LORETTA</u> SITE STATS

Parking Information

No. of Parking Level	s:	2
No. of Spaces:	Surface	8
	(P1)	253
	(P2)	260
	Total	521

Bylaw Parking Rates (Bylaw 2008-250, Section 103 - "Maximum Limit on Number of Parking Spaces Near Rapid Transit Stations"):

(a) Apartment Bldg Mid - High Rise (Combined Resident & Visitor)	1.75 MAX. spaces per dwelling unit
(e) Office	2.2 MAX. spaces per 1076 sq.ft. (100m ²) GFA
(h) Retail	3.6 MAX. spaces per 1076 sq.ft. (100m ²) GFA

Visitor Parking (Bylaw 2008-250, Section 102 - "Minimum Visitor Parking Space Rates"):

(2) / Table 102 0.1 MIN. spaces per unit MAX. required =30 spaces

Total Anticipated

	# of Units	Total Area	# of Spaces / Unit	# Spaces / 1076ft2		# Spaces
Apartment Bldg	745		0.50			375
Office		142,180		0.75		99
Retail		17,894		1		17
Visitor Parking						30
					Total	521

Bylaw Bicycle Parking Rates (Bylaw 2008-250, Section 111 - "Bicycle Parking Space Rates & Provisions"

(b) Apartment Bldg	0.5 MIN. per dwelling unit (x787)	372.5
(e) Office, Retail & Studio	1 MIN. Space per 2691 sq.ft. (250m²) GFA (208,006 / 2,691)	78
Total Required		450.5
Total Provided (Anticipated)		518

7/19/2019

APPENDIX D – CALCULATIONS AND SKETCHES



TABLE 1: Fire Flow Calculation

\\S])

Fire Underwriters Survey Calculation for Fire Flow			COMMENTS		
Coefficient related to the Type of Construction	Non-Combustable Construction 0.8		Taken From Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection document Effective Area calculated as 219,500 m2 net area based on Hobin Archtecture site statistics for Tower 1 (Largest Buidling). Calculated using FUS "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" Clause 2) b) Total Effective Area (A) "If all vertical openings and exterior vertical communications are properly protected in accordance with the National Building Code, consider only the single largers Floor Area plus 25% of each of the two immediately adjoining floors". Effective area (a) = 2,864m2 +		
Fire Flow (F) =	11,536 L/min	а	$(25\%^{2},864m2)+(25\%2,864m2) =$ Calcuated using FUS "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection" formula F=220C \sqrt{A}		
Occupancy Hazard	0%	b	Step 2 using the FUS "Water Supply For Public Fire Protection" guide for determination of required fire flow - Table 3 for Recommended Occupanct/Conents - 0% selected based on mixed-use occupancy)		
Fire Flow Reduction (a*b) =	0	с			
Fire Flow (a-c)	11,536	d			
Sprinkler Protection	50%	е	Step 3 using the FUS "Water Supply For Public Fire Protection" guide for determination of required fire flow. Automatic sprinkler protection desizned and installed in accordance with NFPA 13 is anticipated with fully supervised system and water supply standard for both the system and fire department hose lines.		
Structure Exposure	0%	f	Step 4 using the FUS "Water Supply For Public Fire Protection" guide for determination of required fire flow - One Building 20.1m to 30m		
Fire Flow Reduction (d*(e+f))	5,768	g			
Fire Flow (d-g) Required Fire Flow	5,768 L/min 96 L/s	-			
Project: 951 Gladetone Avenue and 1	15 Loretta Avenue North	Location:			
Prepared By:	45 Eoletta Avende North				
Michael Flowers P Eng					
Project Number:					
20M-01441-00					
TABLE 2A: Water Demand Design Flows and Boundary Condition Summary

Existing Site Water D	emands								
Institutional / Commercial	/ Industrial Demand								
Property Type	Unit Rate		Units	Average Day Fl	low (ADF)	Maximum Day F	low (MDF)	Peak Hour Flow	v (PHF)
				m^3/d	L/min	m^3/d	L/min	m^3/d	L/min
Water Closets	150	L/hr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Restaurant	125	L/seat/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Commercial Floor Space	5	L/m^2/d	6482.00	32.41	22.51	48.60	33.75	87.50	60.76
Laundry	1200	L/machine/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
School	70	L/student/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Industrial - Light	35000	L/gross ha/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Industrial - Heavy	55000	L/gross ha/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
			Total	32.4	1 22.5	1 48.60	33.7	5 87.50	60
Proposed Site Water	Demands								
Domestic Demand									
Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор	Average Day Fl	low (ADF)	Maximum Day F	low (MDF)	Peak Hour Flow	v (PHF)
				m^3/d	L/min	m^3/d	L/min	m^3/d	L/min
Single Family	3.4		0.00						
Semi-detached	2.7		0.00						
Townhouse	2.7		0.00						
Apartment			0.00						
Bachelor	1.4	120	168.00						
1 Bedroom	1.4	244	342.00						
2 Bedroom	2.1	336	706.00						
3 Bedroom	3.1	45	140.00						
Average	1.8		0.00	_					
	Total Domestic Demand		1356.00	379.70) 263.6	8 949.20	659.1	7 2088.20	1450
Institutional / Commercial	/ Industrial Demand								
Propoerty Type	Unit Rate		Units	Average Day Fl	low (ADF)	Maximum Day F	low (MDF)	Peak Hour Flow	v (PHF)
				m^3/d	L/min	m^3/d	L/min	m^3/d	L/min
Office	75	L/9.3m^2/dr	17569.00	141.68	98.39	212.50	147.57	382.50	265.62
Commercial Floor Space	5	L/m^2/d	3276.00	16.38	11.37	24.60	17.08	44.20	30.69
Laundry	1200	L/machine/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
School	70	L/student/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Industrial - Light	35000	L/gross ha/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Industrial - Heavy	55000	L/gross ha/d	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		Total I/C/I Dem	nand	158.06	6 109.7	6 237.10	164.6	5 426.70	296
		Total Demand		537.70	373.4	4 1186.30	823.82	2 2514.90	1746

********]

	COMMENTS
	Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation
	Domestic Demand and Calculation based on DSEL
	Engineering Ltd and Hobin Architecture Calculations (November, 2019)
70	
16	
_	
_	COMMENTS
	COMMENTS
	Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation
	Domestic Demand and Calculation based on DSEL
	Engineering Ltd and Hobin Architecture Calculations
	(November, 2019)
_	
14	
	COMMENTS
	Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation
	Domestic Demand and Calculation based on DSEL
	Engineering Ltd and Hobin Architecture Calculations
	(November, 2019)
32	
46	

TABLE 2B: Boundary Conditions and Design Criteria

Connection 1 [203mm dia. - Gladstone Ave.]

Ground Elevation =	67.23				
			m H2O	PSI	kPa
Peak Hour	Min HGL	107.5m	40.27	57.3	395
Avg. Day	Max HGL	114.8m	47.57	67.70	466.7
Max Day + Fire Flow	Max Day + Fire Flow (96 L/s)	108.8m	41.57	59.10	407.80
Connection 2 [403mm di	ia Loretta Ave. N.]				
Ground Elevation =	67.48				
			m H2O	PSI	kPa
Peak Hour	Min HGL	107.5m	40.02	56.90	464.20
Avg. Day	Max HGL	114.8m	47.32	67.30	464.20
Max Day + Fire Flow	Max Day + Fire Flow (96 L/s)	107.7m	40.22	57.20	394.60

Project:	
951 Gladstone Avenue and 145 Loretta Avenue North	
Prepared By:	
Michael Flowers, P.Eng.	
Project Number:	
20M-01441-00	

COMMENTS
Fire Flow Based on Fire Underwriters Survey Calculation (Refer to Table 2)
Location:

Kingston, ON

Dwg. Reference:

C1.2



7	6) C	5 -		4

	1
1224 GARDINERS KINGSTON CANADA PHONE: 61 WWW.W	B ROAD, SUITE 201 A, ONTARIO K7P 0G2 13-634-7373 VSP.COM
CONSULTANT:	
	_
SEAL:	
	HINON
CLIENT:	L
	GROUD
	GhOUP
CLV GROUP DE	
485 BANK S OTTAWA	A, ON, K2P 1Z2
CLIENT REF. #: PROJECT [.]	
	NITY-MIXED-USE
KEY PLAN:	
	SITE
DISCLAIMED:	CODVRIGHT
THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTE REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. TH	ED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED, REPRODUCED OR IE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL
DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT AN COMMENCING WORK. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED.	LL ERRORS AND UMISSIONS PRIOR TO
ISSUED FOR - REVISION:	
1 SITE PL	
PROJECT NO:	
20M-01441-00 ORIGINAL SCALE:	FEBRUARY 2021
1:250 DESIGNED BY:	IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST YOUR PLOTTING SCALE.
JT/RN DRAWN BY:	
	_
MF	25mm
	VIL
I ITLE:	
GLADSTONE A	ND LORETTA
HYDRANT C	COVERAGE
SHEET NUMBER:	
SHEET #:	OF
DATE OF: 2021-04-14	
	1

TABLE 3: Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count



Existing Development Sanitary Flow

Site Are	ea = 1	ha		Extraneous Flo	ow Allowances:	Infiltration/ Inflow	0.33 L/s
Domestic Contributions							COMMENTS
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор				
Single Family	3.4		0				
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0				
Townhouse	2.7		0				Domestic Demand and Calcula
Stacked Townhourse (Duplex)	2.3		0				based on DSEL Engineering L
Apartment							Hobin Architecture Calculation
Bachelor	1.4		0				(November, 2019)
1 Bedroom	1.4		0				
2 Bedroom	2.1		0				
3 Bedroom	3.1		0				
Average	1.8		0				
Unit Type	Per/Bed	Beds	Рор				
Boarding	1		0				Assuming a 12 hour commercial
		Tatal Davi	0				operation
	Aver	Total Pop	0	I (-			
	Avera	age Domestic Flow	0.00	L/S			
	P	Peaking Factor	3.80	I (a			
Institutional / Commercial / Indu	Letrial Domand	eak Domestic Flow	0.00	L/5			COMMENTS
Property Type	Unit Rate		No. of Units	Ava Wastewat	tor		COMMENTS
Fioperty Type							Domestic Demand and Calculation
Water Closets	150	l /br	0.00	(L / 3)			Hobin Architecture Calculation
Restaurant	125	L/m L/seat/d	0.00	0.00			(November, 2019)
riestaurant	125	L/Seal/u	0.00	0.00			Assuming a 12 hour commercial
Commercial Floor Space	5	L/m^2/d	6482.00	0.75			operation
Laundry	1200	L/machine/d	0.00	0.00			
Hospitals	900	L/bed/d	0.00	0.00			
School	70	L/student/d	0.00	0.00			
		Aver	age I/C/I Flo	w	0.75		
	Pea	ak Institutional / Con	nmercial Flo	w	1.13		
		Peak Ir	ndustrial Flo	w	0.00		
		Р	eak I/C/I Flo	w	1.13		
	Total Estimate	d Average Dry Weat	her Flow Ra	te	0.75	L/s	
	Total Estim	ated Peak Dry Weat	her Flow Ra	te	1.13	L/s	
	Total Estima	ted Peak Wet Weath	ner Flow Rat	е	1.46	L/s	

Ilation Ltd and ons	
al	
Ilation Ltd and ons al	

Site Area =	= 1	ha		Extraneous F	low Allowances	: Infiltrat	ion/ Inflow	0.33 L/s
Domestic Contributions								COMMENTS
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор					Domestic Demand and Calcu
Single Family	3.4		0					based on DSEL Engineering
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0					Hobin Architecture Calculation
Townhouse	2.7		0					(November, 2019)
Stacked Townhourse (Duplex)	2.3		0					
Apartment								
Bachelor	1.4	120	168					
1 Bedroom	1.4	244	342					
2 Bedroom	2.1	336	706					
3 Bedroom	3.1	45	140					
Average	1.8		0					
		Total Pop	1356.00					
	Avera	ge Domestic Flow	4.39	L/s				
		Peaking Factor	3.17					
	Pe	eak Domestic Flow	13.92	L/s				
Institutional / Commercial / Indust	rial Demand							COMMENTS
Property Type	Unit Rate		No. of Units	Avg. Wastew	ater			Domestic Demand and Calcu
				(L/s)				based on DSEL Engineering
Office	75	L/9.3m^2/hr	17569.00	1.64				Hobin Architecture Calculatio
Restaurant	125	L/seat/d	0.00	0.00				(November, 2019)
Commercial Floor Space	5	$1/m^2/d$	3276.00	0.38				Assuming a 12 hour commercia
	5	L/III^2/0	0.00	0.00				operation
	1200	L/machine/u	0.00	0.00				
	900 70	L/DEU/U	0.00	0.00				
School	70			0.00	2.02			
	Pop	Aver k Institutional / Cor	moroial Flor	NV	2.02			
	rea	R IIIStitutional / Col Dook li	nductrial Flov	N	0.00			
		reak li	Poak I/C/I Flow	N	2.02			
		r		v	3.03			
т	otal Estimated	l Average Dry Weat	her Flow Bat	۵	6 41	l /e		
	Total Estimated	ated Peak Dry Weat	ber Flow Rat		16.95	L/S		
	Total Estimat	ted Peak Wet Weat	her Flow Rate		17.28	L/S		
Project:	Total Estimat	leu Feak wei weali		7	17.20	L/3	Locat	tion:
951 Gladetone Avenu	o and $1/1$	5 Lorotta Av	onuo No	rth			Ott	
951 Glaustolle Avellu			enue nu					
Prepared By:								
Michael Flowers, P.Eng.								
								
Project Number:							1	
-								



APPENDIX E – STORMWATER MANAGEMENT



wsp



APRIL 14, 2021

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT 951 GLADSTONE AVE & 145 LORETTA AVE N

TIP GLADSTONE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT 951 GLADSTONE AVE & 145 LORETTA AVE N

TIP GLADSTONE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

PROJECT NO.: 20M-01441 DATE: APRIL 2021

WSP SUITE 300 2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE OTTAWA, ON, CANADA K2B 8K2

TEL.: +1 613 829-2800 FAX: +1 613 829-8299 WSP.COM

SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Nick Zorn, P.Eng. Project Engineer, Water Resources



REVIEWED BY

Michelle Hughes, P.Eng. Water Resources Lead

This report was prepared by WSP for the account of TIP Gladstone Limited Partnership by its General Partner TIP Gladstone GP Inc. c/o CLV Group Developments Inc., in accordance with the professional services agreement. The disclosure of any information contained in this report is the sole responsibility of the intended recipient. The material in it reflects WSP's best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. WSP accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report. This limitations statement is considered part of this report.

The original of the technology-based document sent herewith has been authenticated and will be retained by WSP for a minimum of ten years. Since the file transmitted is now out of WSP's control and its integrity can no longer be ensured, no guarantee may be given with regards to any modifications made to this document.

wsp

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Scope	1
1.2	Site Location	1
1.3	Objectives	2
1.4	Design Criteria	2
2	PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS	3
2.1	Existing Land-Use and Drainage Patterns	3
2.2	Allowable Flow Rates	4
3	POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS	5
3.1	Quantity Control	5
3.2	Quality Control	6
3.3	Tailwater Conditions	6
4	CONCLUSIONS	7

wsp

TABLES

FIGURES

FIGURE 1: PROJECT LOCATION1 FIGURE 2: EXISTING DRAINAGE (LEFT) AND LAND COVER (RIGHT)3

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

APPENDIX B - CALCULATIONS

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 SCOPE

WSP has been retained to provide civil engineering consulting services to support the Site Plan Approval application for mixed-use development at 951 Gladstone Ave and 145 Loretta Ave N. This stormwater management (SWM) report examines the potential water quality and quantity impacts of the proposed development and details SWM measures to be provided to address these impacts in accordance with the City of Ottawa *Sewer Design Guidelines* (2012) and associated Technical Bulletins, Pre-application consultation meeting minutes (**Appendix A**), the City of Ottawa *Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications* (2009), and the *Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services* completed by DSEL (2019).

1.2 SITE LOCATION

The site of the proposed development is located within the City of Ottawa, within the Kitchissippi Ward, as shown in **Figure 1**. The site is approximately 1 ha and is bounded by Gladstone Avenue to the south, Loretta Avenue to the west, the O-Train Trillium Line to the east, and 131 Loretta Ave (Loretta Studios and Gallery) to the north.



Figure 1: Project Location

1.3 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this SWM plan are noted below:

- Determine the site-specific stormwater management requirements for the proposed development, as indicated by associated Provincial, Municipal, and Conservation Authority regulations and guidelines, as well as pre-consultation with the City of Ottawa and the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA).
- In collaboration with the design team and the Client, develop a strategy to address the SWM criteria onsite. Complete calculations and analyses necessary to determine the required size of the SWM features and demonstrate compliance with the design criteria.

1.4 DESIGN CRITERIA

Based on applicable design guidelines and standards, pre-application consultation with the City (**Appendix A**), and coordination with the City and RVCA completed previously by DSEL, the SWM design criteria for the development have been summarized below:

- Stormwater runoff from all events up to and including the 100-year storm will be controlled to 2-year predevelopment rates, calculated using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of 0.5 or the actual existing site runoff coefficient.
- If proposing underground storage, stormwater detained on-site must be above the HGL of the receiving storm sewer.
- Storage volumes calculated using the Modified Rational Method must be calculated to control to 50% of the release rate. Otherwise, a hydrodynamic model should be used to calculate storage volume.
- Enhanced treatment (80% TSS removal) shall be provided to stormwater runoff prior to discharge off-site.

2 PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

2.1 EXISTING LAND-USE AND DRAINAGE PATTERNS

The project site is approximately 1 ha in area and is currently occupied by several commercial buildings with paved and gravel parking areas, grassed boulevards and landscaped areas. In its existing condition, the site has an imperviousness of approximately 94% and a runoff coefficient of 0.85. Site runoff is generally collected by parking lot CBs and roof drains. The receiving storm sewer is a 1350-mm RCP that flows north along Loretta Ave alongside a 300-mm combined sewer. A combined sewer overflow (CSO) is located approximately 60 m north of the site. Drainage area and land use information is shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 2: Existing Drainage (left) and Land Cover (right)

2.2 ALLOWABLE FLOW RATES

As noted in Section 1.4, stormwater runoff from all events up to and including the 100-year storm will be controlled to 2-year pre-development rates, calculated using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of 0.5 or the actual existing site runoff coefficient. IDF parameters are as per the City of Ottawa *Sewer Design Guidelines* (2012) and shown below.

$= 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014)^{0.820}$
$= 1569.580 / (Time in min + 6.014)^{0.820}$
$= 1402.884 / (Time in min + 6.018)^{0.819}$
$= 1174.184 / (Time in min + 6.014)^{0.816}$
$= 998.071 / (Time in min + 6.053)^{0.814}$
$= 732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199)^{0.810}$

Release rates using a runoff coefficient of 0.5 (smaller than the actual existing site runoff coefficient) calculated using PCSWMM have been shown in **Table 1**. PCSWMM output has been included in **Appendix B**.

Storm Event	Peak Flow (L/s)
2-year	100
5-year	140
10-year	170
25-year	210
50-year	240
100-year	270

Table 1:	Existing	Conditions	Peak Flow
----------	----------	------------	------------------

Site runoff from all events up to and including the 100-year storm must therefore be controlled to the release rate of 100 L/s. As a hydrodynamic model as been used, there is no requirement to reduce the release rate to 50% as noted in Section 1.4.

3 POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

The proposed development will consist of three high rise mixed-use towers with two levels of underground parking. The development will be constructed in two phases and will retain the Standard Bread Building (constructed in 1924). At-grade features include driveways, pedestrian walkways, limited vehicular parking, landscaped features and plaza areas. The proposed development includes approximately 300 m² of pervious surfaces, resulting in an overall imperviousness and runoff coefficient of 97% and 0.87, respectively. Uncontrolled peak flow rates of stormwater runoff generated by the site in response to the 2- through 100-year storm events have been shown in **Table 2**. PCSWMM output is included in **Appendix B**.

Storm Event	Peak Flow (L/s)
2-year	210
5-year	290
10-year	340
25-year	400
50-year	450
100-year	500

Table 2: Proposed Conditions (Uncontrolled) Peak Flows

As shown in the civil drawing set, surface grading has been completed to direct all site runoff toward CBs and storm sewers. Runoff will subsequently drain to an underground storage tank and oil-grit separator (OGS) before its outlet into the Loretta Ave storm sewer. Stormwater management is detailed further in the following subsections. During conveyance of storm events that exceed the capacity of the storm sewer system, runoff will generally drain away from the buildings toward the major flow route outlet into Loretta Avenue ROW, as shown in the civil drawings. The only exception is approximately 450 m² of pedestrian plaza area between Tower 2 and 3, which is required to drain east during storm events exceeding the capacity of the storm sewer system in order to provide an at-grade connection to the Trillium Pathway. This overland flow route is reflective of the existing conditions drainage pattern in the area.

3.1 QUANTITY CONTROL

As discussed in Section 1.4 and 2.2, runoff from 2- through 100-year events must be controlled on-site to a release rate of 100 L/s. To this end, 380 m³ of storage will be provided in an underground tank to achieve the quantity control requirements of the site. The tank will be located in parking garage immediately west of Tower 2, upstream of the outlet into the Loretta Ave sewer. As shown in the civil drawing set, the outlet of the tank will include a 220-mm orifice plate. Controlled peak flow rates downstream of the storage tank and the associated storage volume utilized have been summarized in **Table 3**. PCSWMM output is included in **Appendix B**.

Storm Event	Peak Flow (L/s)	Storage Utilized (m ³)
2-year	50	145
5-year	65	205
10-year	75	245
25-year	85	300
50-year	90	335
100-year	95	380

Table 3: Proposed Conditions (Controlled) Peak Flows and Volume Utilized

3.2 QUALITY CONTROL

As noted in Section 1.4, Enhanced treatment (80% TSS removal) must be provided to runoff prior to discharge from the site. This requirement will be achieved through the use of a Hydro International First Defence FD-4HC (or equivalent) OGS, as shown in **Appendix B**. The OGS will be located downstream of the storage tank, as shown in the civil drawing set. As noted in Section 3.0, site grading has been completed to ensure the entire 1.0-ha site drains to the OGS unit.

3.3 TAILWATER CONDITIONS

The City of Ottawa has provided the 100-year hydraulic grade line (HGL) for storm sewers in the vicinity of the site, as noted in the *Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services* (DSEL 2019) and summarized in **Table 4**.

Maintenance Structure	Location	HGL (m)
MHST101877	Northwest Corner	60.53
MHST101876	Southwest Corner	61.76
MHST101875	Southeast Corner	62.40

Table 4: Summary of 100-year HGL Elevations

As noted in the *Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services*, the connection to the receiving sewer must be a minimum of 0.3 m above the HGL of the sewer. This freeboard above the receiving sewer HGL has been incorporated into the design of the connection as shown in the civil drawing set.

4 CONCLUSIONS

WSP has completed stormwater management analysis, calculations, and reporting in support of the Site Plan Application for the proposed development at 951 Gladstone Ave & 145 Loretta Ave N. Stormwater management requirements for the site have been determined and associated on-site quantity and quality control infrastructure has been sized. A total of 380 m³ of storage will be provided in an underground storage tank with a 220-mm outlet orifice plate to control post-development peak flows for the 2- through 100-year storm events to a pre-development release rate of 100 L/s. Enhanced treatment (80% TSS removal) of runoff will provided using a Hydro International First Defence FD-4HC (or equivalent) OGS.

APPENDIX A – PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES

Formal Pre-application Consultation Meeting Minutes

Address: 951 Gladstone Avenue & 145 Loretta Avenue North Formal Pre-consultation File No.: PC2020-0113 (Site Plan Control) Date: Monday June 8, 2020, 11:00am to noon Location: Videoconference – Teams City Contact: Ann O'Connor

City of Ottawa Invitees:

Ann O'Connor – Planner, Development Review, PIED - <u>ann.oconnor@ottawa.ca</u> Mark Fraser – Infrastructure Project Manager, PIED – <u>mark.fraser@ottawa.ca</u> Wally Dubyk – Transportation Project Manager, PIED – <u>wally.dubyk@ottawa.ca</u> Randolph Wang – Urban Designer, ROWHUD – <u>Randolph.wang@ottawa.ca</u> MacKenzie Kimm – Heritage Program Manager, ROWHUD – <u>lesley.collins@ottawa.ca</u>

Community Association Representative:

Linda Hoad – Hintonburg Community Association – linda.hoad@teksavvy.com

Applicant Team:

Jenn Morrison – CLV (Owner) – jennifer.morrison@clvgroup.com Oz Drewniak – CLV (Owner) – oz.drewniak@clvgroup.com Maria J. Martinez – PBC Group (Owner) – <u>mmartinez@pbcgroup.ca</u> Aaron Cameron – Trinity Group (Project Manager) – <u>acameron@trinity-group.com</u> Paul Black – Planner, Fotenn (Planning) – <u>black@fotenn.com</u> Scott Alain – Planner, Fotenn (Planning) – <u>alain@fotenn.com</u> Barry Hobin – Architect (Hobin Architects) – <u>bjhobin@hobinarc.com</u> Todd Duckworth – Architect (Hobin Architects) – <u>tduckworth@hobinarc.com</u> Jafferjee Ishaque – WSP (Civil Engineer) – <u>guy.somers@wsp.com</u> Michael Jans – WSP (Civil Engineer) – <u>michael.jans@wsp.com</u> Ben Worth – WSP (Civil Engineer) – <u>ben.worth@wsp.com</u>

Introductions and Acknowledgements

- Round table introductions
- Acknowledgement that Linda Hoad is in attendance representing the Hintonburg Community Association and has signed an NDA.

Overview of Proposal (applicant team)

- Jenn Morrison and Oz Drewniak confirm that CLV and PBC have purchased the property from Trinity
 - Trinity will remain on the file as consultants
 - CLV and PBC are excited to be involved in the project
- Paul Black provides an overview of the status of the associated Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications

- The team is working through the noise issues with the Canadian Bank Note building.
- Proposal is to be a Mixed-Use Centre in parking Area Z
- The Site Plan Control process will implement and refine the previous designs.
- Todd and Barry provide an overview of the design of the Site Plan proposal

Preliminary Comments from the City

Planning Comments (Ann O'Connor)

- Based on the current proposal and policy context, the following applications and processes will apply:
 - Site Plan Control, New, Complex, Non-Rural application (potentially multiple, depending on the phasing / timing for construction of the entire site)
 - Formal Review at the Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP) during the application process is recommended.
- The associated Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments are on-going and are to be followed through the Site Plan Control submission. Depending on the timing of the Site Plan Control submission, please provide an update on progress on the outstanding items for the associated applications.
- A Planning Rationale should address the policy context, including the proposed new policy designations, the Draft Gladstone Station District Secondary Plan, and all applicable urban design guidelines.
- The submission should also address the proposed phasing for the development.

Infrastructure Comments (Mark Fraser)

- An application to consolidate the parcels of land will be required otherwise the proposed stormwater works will be servicing more than one parcel of land and thus does not meet the exemption set out in O.Reg. 525/98. This would mean an ECA would be required regardless of who owns the parcels.
- Concerns about roadway drainage spilling into the underground parking garage. Please make sure that the entrances to the underground garage is 0.30m higher than the spill point on the street. Entrance should not be located within a sag (low point) in the road.
- A deep excavation and dewatering operations have the potential to cause damages to the neighboring adjacent buildings/ City infrastructure. Document that construction activities (excavation, dewatering, vibrations associated with

construction, etc.) will not have an impact on any adjacent buildings and infrastructure.

- The developer shall be aware that the City is planning on reconstructing Lorretta Ave. N. in 2021 (road, sewer and water). As the development is planned to occur during the same time-period as the City project, works will need to be coordinated. The Owner may encounter potential restrictions and delays associated with the development of the lands, which will be reasonably mitigated through coordination of construction activities, as required. The developer shall contact and consult with Marc Tremblay (ext. 14391), City of Ottawa Project Manager Infrastructure Services, as early as possible to obtain design drawings and to coordinate the planned works, ensuring the projects will function together.
- A Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change in property use from industrial to residential.
- A 1372mm dia. backbone watermain and Trunk Sewers are located within Loretta Ave. N and Gladstone Ave. Please note that in order to ensure the integrity of the nearby watermain and sewers during construction the applicant will be required to develop a Vibration Monitoring Program. A Vibration Monitoring Specialist Engineer shall undertake vibration monitoring, develop a vibration monitoring plan, and prepare a protection plan, an emergency response plan, ensure conformance and shall issue certificates of conformance. The Vibration Monitoring Specialist Engineer shall be a licensed engineer in the Province of Ontario with a minimum of five years of experience in the field of Vibration Monitoring. Vibration monitors are to be to be placed directly on the watermain. The Maximum Peak Particle Velocities are to be in accordance with Table 1 of the City of Ottawa Specification F-1201.

General:

- It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area to avoid any conflict with utilities. The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an Existing Conditions Plan.
- All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plans to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the existing property lines and sight triangles and/or future road widening protection limits.
- Please note that the proposed servicing design and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:
 - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
 - Technical Bulletins ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2018-03.

- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution (2010)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, MECP, 2008
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, MECP, March 2003
- Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
- City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
- City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January 2016)
- City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (November 2015) (City recommends development be in accordance with these standards on private property)
- Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
- Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
- Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at <u>InformationCentre@ottawa.ca</u> or by phone at (613) 580-424 x.44455). Include copies in the Appendix of the report as supporting documentation.



Disclaimer:

The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the data and information contained on the above image(s) and does not assume any responsibility or liability with respect to any damage or loss arising from the use or interpretation of the image(s) provided. This image is for schematic purposes only.

Stormwater Management Criteria (Quantity and Quality Control) and Information:

- Refer to the Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report prepared by DSEL dated August 2019-REV.2 in support of the OPA and ZBLA applications for servicing and SWM requirements.
- Water Quantity Control: Control post-development runoff, up to and including the 100-year storm event, to a 2-year pre-development level. The pre-development runoff coefficient will need to be determined using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of C=0.5 or the actual existing site runoff coefficient. The time of concentration used to determine the pre-development condition will be the larger of 10min. or the calculated time of concentration. [Tc should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; Tc of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations].
- Any storm events greater than the calculated 2-year allowable release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, shall be detained on-site by appropriate SWM measures to avoid impact on the downstream sewer system.
- Water Quality Control: An enhanced quality level of protection (80% TSS Removal) is required to be achieved for this development. Please consult with the local conservation authority (RVCA) regarding water quality criteria and requirements prior to submission of an application. It is consultant's responsibility to check with the RVCA for quality control issues and include this information in the SWM report.
- Compare pre-development flows to post-developments flows in the SWM report.
- The receiving storm sewer system is uncontrolled therefore subject to surcharge (HGL will be elevated for events greater than the 2-year). The impact from the receiving system HGL will need to be considered if proposing underground storage The SWM solution will need to be designed accordingly. The storm connection will need to be above the receiving sewer HGL.
- If rooftop control and storage is considered as part of the SWM solution sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and documented in the report and on the plans. A roof drainage plan and detailed roof drain summary table with supporting drain manufacturer information will be required. The roof drainage plan will need to document roof drain type, flow rates, emergency scupper locations and spill over elevations and ponding areas.
- Please note that the HGL within the receiving sewer system will need to be assessed if underground storage (cistern) is proposed as part of the stormwater management solution to ensure the system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective do to a loss in available storage.
- Underground Storage: Underground storage volumes are to be based on 50% peak flow rates or use dynamic compute model. The Modified Rational Method

for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.

- When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.
- In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.
- Note that the above will added to upcoming revised Sewer Design Guidelines to account for underground storage, which is now widely used.
- If a storage tank (internal cistern) is considered as part of the SWM solution sufficient details and system information will need to be provided. A detailed cross-section of such system (provided from the mechanical engineer and shown on the plans) with sufficient details and information (HWLs, release rate, volume, location, size (dimensions), control device, emergency flow outlet and backflow protection, etc.) will need to be provided. An appropriate emergency overflow location will need to be determined and documented. Backup power supply necessary if pump controlled. Details regarding the proposed on-site stormwater management system are to be provided for review.
- Please include a Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan to define the predevelopment drainage areas/patterns. Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution. Runoff shall not be directed toward to adjacent LRT corridor.

Storm Sewer:

- Existing 1350mm storm trunk sewer within Loretta Ave. N. and Gladstone Ave. and a 375mm dia. storm sewer within Gladstone Ave. draining to the Ottawa River.
- A storm sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-

Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3) Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.

- As-built drawings of the existing services within the vicinity of the site are available and Loretta Ave. N. road, sewer and watermain reconstruction plans are to be obtained from Infrastructure Services and reviewed in order to determine proper servicing and SWM plan for the subject site.
- Foundation drainage system details are to be discussed in the report and document how the system will be integrated into the servicing design. Please note that foundation drain is to be independently connected to sewermain unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention.

Sanitary Sewer:

- The subject site is located within the Mooney's Bay Trunk Collector Sewer area.
- Existing 1050mm Mooney's Bay sanitary trunk collector sewer within Loretta Ave. N. and Gladstone Ave and 250mm dia. sanitary sewer within Gladstone Ave.
- An analysis and demonstration that there is sufficient/adequate residual capacity to accommodate any increase in wastewater flows in the receiving and downstream wastewater system is required to be provided. It is suggested to calculate the total peak wastewater demand for the proposed development and send it to the City as soon as possible in advance of a submission of an application, as an initial step to determine whether or not there is sufficient capacity in the city system to accommodate the proposed wastewater flow. Please note that it takes approx. 10 business days to get a response back from the internal circulation.
- The groundwater at this site has been found to be contaminated. Any groundwater material discharged from an onsite groundwater remediation system is required to be directed to the sanitary sewer system as per the Sewer Use By-law.
- The sanitary sewer criteria shall reflect the new Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01.
- A sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3)Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.
- A backwater valve is required on the sanitary service for protection.

Water:

- A local 203mm dia. PVC watermain is located within Gladstone Ave. and a local 203mm dia. UCI watermain is located within Loretta Ave. N. The existing 200mm dia. UCI watermain on Loretta Ave. N. is planned to be replaced within a new 200mm dia. PVC watermain as part of the road reconstruction project.
- A connection to the 1371 dia. backbone watermain within Loretta Ave. N. will not be permitted.
- Water Supply Redundancy: Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m3/day (0.57 L/s) are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area as per the Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, WDG001, July 2010 Clause 4.3.1 Configuration. This proposed development will required two (2) separate water service connections if the basic day demand for this site exceeds 50m3/day. There shall be a primary water service (Loretta Ave. N.) and a secondary connection (Gladstone Ave.). This is a corner lot so we will not support the installation of a new isolation valve on the City watermain to satisfy this requirement.
- Include a hydrant coverage figure and demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the building per Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02. Multiple municipal hydrants will be required for fire protection.
- Boundary conditions, HGL, shall be requested and a hydraulic analysis completed to show that there is adequate flow and pressure in the water distribution system to meet the required water demands. Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons. provide the following information to the City of Ottawa via email to request water distribution network boundary conditions for the subject site. Please note that once this information has been provided to the City of Ottawa it takes approximately 5-10 business days to receive boundary conditions.
 - Type of Development and Units
 - Site Address (Street Number and Name)
 - Location of service(s).
 - A plan showing the proposed water service connection locations.
 - Average Daily Demand (L/s)
 - Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)
 - Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
 - Required Fire Flow (L/min) FUS calculations are to be provided with request for boundary conditions.
 - [Fire flow demand requirements shall be based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Water Supply for Public Fire Protection
 - o 1999 and Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02]

- Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the FUS calculation and required fore flow (RFF).
- Fire flow demands will be inputted as point loads at each connection separately unless otherwise noted. A multi-hydrant analysis can be requested if necessary.
- If fire protection is provided by existing municipal hydrants, hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Identify which hydrants are being considered to meet the RFF on a fire hydrant coverage figure as part of the boundary conditions request.
- Hydrant capacity shall be assessed if relying on any public hydrants to provide fire protection particularly if high design fire flows are being proposed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Refer to Table 1: Maximum flow to be considered from a given hydrant in Appendix I of Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02.Appropriate fire protection mitigation measures shall be investigated/proposed to lower the RFF for the site to an appropriate level.
- The subject site is located within the 1W Pressure Zone.

Permits and Approvals:

• The consultant shall determine if this project will be subject to an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for Private Sewage Works. It shall be determined if the exemptions set out in Ontario Regulation 525/98: Approval Exemptions are satisfied. All regulatory approvals shall be documented and discussed in the report.

Source Protection Policy Screening:

- The address lies within the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Region and is subject to the policies of the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan.
- <u>The entire property lies within the Surface Water Intake Protection Zone</u> (IPZ) for the Ottawa River (Lemieux) Intake, IPZ-2 (vulnerability score of 8.1) where significant threat policies apply. Policies are only applicable for significant drinking water threat activities as outlined in the Clean Water Act.
 - The Clean Water Act Tables of Circumstances identify circumstances under which certain activities would be considered a significant threat to drinking water within certain designated vulnerable area, and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan contains policies related to significant drinking water threat activities to protect the drinking water supply.
 - Activities that may be considered a significant drinking water threat within the IPZ-2 (score 8.1) include the following:
 - Untreated stormwater from a stormwater retention pond
 - Note that a stormwater management facility is only considered a significant drinking water threat within this zone

if the facility drains more than 100 ha of industrial/commercial land.

- Sewage treatment plant effluent discharges
- Combined sewer discharge from a stormwater outlet
- Sewage treatment plant bypass discharge
- Industrial effluent discharge
- Waste disposal site
- Agricultural activities (application or storage of manure or chemical fertilizers or pesticides, or use of land for livestock grazing)
- Based on the information available to date, the proposed activity does not meet the circumstances to be considered a significant drinking water threat, thus there are no applicable legally-binding source protection policies.
- The area is not within a Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA).
- The area is located within a Highly Vulnerable Aquifer (HVA). Note that there are no legally binding policies under the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan for activities within Highly Vulnerable Aquifers.
- The area is not within a Significant Groundwater Recharge Area.

Capital Works:

• The developer shall be aware that the City is planning on reconstructing Lorretta Ave. N. in 2021 (road, sewer and water). As the development is planned to occur during the same time-period as the City project, works will need to be coordinated. The Owner may encounter potential restrictions and delays associated with the development of the lands, which will be reasonably mitigated through coordination of construction activities, as required. The developer shall contact and consult with Marc Tremblay (ext. 14391), City of Ottawa Project Manager Infrastructure Services, as early as possible to obtain design drawings and to coordinate the planned works, ensuring the projects will function together.

Sight Triangle and Any Road widening Requirement (By Transportation Project Manager Wally Dubyk)

Required Engineering Plans and Studies in Support of SPC application:

PLANS:

- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan
- Site Servicing Plan (includes Profile Detail of the proposed service connections and crossings)
- Grade Control and Drainage Plan
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan

- Post-Development Drainage Area Plan
- Roof Drainage Plan w/ Roof Drain Summary Table (if rooftop SWM storage is being considered)
- Stormwater Storage System Detail (Cistern Details from the Mechanical Engineer if being considered)
- Foundation Drainage System Details
- Legal Survey Plan
- Site Lighting Plan, Photometric Plan and Site Lighting Certification Letter

REPORTS:

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report
- Geotechnical Study/Investigation
- Detailed Noise Study (Transportation Noise Assessment, Stationary Noise Assessment, Class 4 Designation)
- Vibration Study
- Phase I ESA (in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04)
- Phase II ESA
- Record of Site Condition (RSC) will be required for this property.
- Wind Study (Type 1 Wind Analysis)
- LRT Proximity Study

Servicing Report Template and Guidelines:

- Please find attached the Servicing Report Template & Study Guidelines" and prepare the servicing study accordingly. For capacity issue, please see section 3.2.1 page 3-3 and follow this section. A completed checklist with corresponding references from the servicing study is mandatory for the completeness of the study. Please add a completed checklist in the report. Please ensure you are using current guidelines, by-laws and standards.
- Please refer to the City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]:
- <u>https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/developing-property/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans</u>

Phase One Environmental Site Assessment (Official Plan Section 4.8.4):

- A NEW updated Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 (not per CSA standards) in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. The 2017 Phase I ESA will not be accepted.
- A NEW updated Phase II ESA will be required in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04. Assessment of potential off-site migration to be reflected in the updated report. The 2017 Phase II ESA will not be accepted.

- A Site Remediation Action Plan and potential off-site Contamination Management Plan will be required to be provided and will be subject to City review and approval. The remediation action plan must detail all remedial activities, method of disposal for contaminated soil and groundwater and volume of disposed contaminated soil and groundwater.
- The Phase I ESA shall discuss the requirement to file a RSC with the Ministry. A Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change in property use from commercial (less sensitive) to residential (more sensitive). As per the Official Plan (4.8.4) we do not consider an RSC acknowledged by the Ministry until either its has been confirmed that it will not be audited or it has passed the Ministry audit.
- Please also note that in the event soil and/or groundwater contamination is identified on this site and the proposal is for a more sensitive land use, the MECP will require approximately 1-1.5 years to review the RSC. PIED will apply appropriate conditions, based on Environmental Protection Act (Section 168.3.1 (1)) and O.Reg. 153/04 (Parts IV and V) regarding requirements for RSC prior to building permit issuance. Dependent on the levels/types of contamination, timelines for building permit issuance may be longer than expected and we recommend applicant speak to Building Code Services, at the earliest convenience, so as to discuss these timelines in more detail, if deemed applicable.
- Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) report is required to be included as part of the Phase I ESA.
 - <u>https://www.ontario.ca/page/guide-completing-phase-one-environmental-site-assessments-under-ontario-regulation-15304</u>
 - o https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/040153#BK43

Geotechnical Investigation (Official Plan Section 4.8.3):

- A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- As per the recommendations of the Due Diligence Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by DST Consulting Engineers Inc. a Hydrogeological Investigation and Ground Settlement Analysis and Impact Assessment due to dewatering are required to investigate the effect of short-term and long-term lowering of the groundwater level and the impact on the adjacent lands and existing neighboring structures. The City is concerned that reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to

ensure there will be no short term and long term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.

- Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications.
- <u>https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/cap137602.pdf</u>

Noise Study:

- A Transportation Noise Assessment is required as the subject development is located within 100m of Gladstone Ave. (Major Collector Road), adjacent to light rail transit corridor (Trillium Line), and within 500m of HWY 417.
- A Stationary Noise Assessment is required in order to assess the noise impact of the proposed sources of stationary noise (mechanical HVAC system/equipment) of the development onto the surrounding residential area to ensure the noise levels do not exceed allowable limits specified in the City Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- Detailed Noise Study in Support of Class 4 Designation that verifies applicable sound level limits will be met at the new noise sensitive land use with the appropriate mitigation measures for all noise sources to achieve a Class 4 designation.
- Noise Study shall be consistent with the City's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- <u>https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/enviro_noise_guide_en_.pdf</u>

Vibration Study [Official Plan Section 4.8.7]:

- LRT Vibration Assessment/Study is required to be undertaken as the subject site is located within 75m of the light-rail transit corridor (Trillium Line).
- Vibration mitigation and warning clauses required if vibration levels due to LRT activity are determined to be above acceptable limits.

Wind Study:

- Windy Analysis, required as the development exceeds 10-storeys.
- <u>https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf</u>

Exterior Site Lighting:

• Any proposed light fixtures (both pole-mounted and wall mounted) must be part of the approved Site Plan. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for Full Cut-off Classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the please provide the City with a Site Lighting Plan, Photometric Plan and Certification (Statement) Letter from an acceptable professional engineer stating that the design is compliant.

Transportation Comments (Wally Dubyk)

- A TIA reflecting the most up to date proposal is required.
- Gladstone Avenue is designated as a Major Collector roadway

Urban Design Comments (Randolph Wang)

- The site is not within a Design Priority Area currently. But the project was reviewed by the UDRP previously for the OPA and ZBLA. UDRP review is highly recommended for the site plan control process.
- A Design Brief is required for the site plan control application. The Terms of Reference for the Design Brief is attached for reference. Please note that:
 - A secondary wind study is required as detailed in the City's <u>Terms of</u> <u>Reference</u>. The preliminary wind study, including the Addendum has found a few challenging conditions on the site, particularly in the POPS between Towers 2 and 3. The design should address to these findings and the detailed design measures should be tested for their effectiveness.
 - A shadow study is also required to reflect the latest massing option.
- With respect to the design, please consider the following. Please note some of these comments were provided previously through the OPA and ZBLA process but have not been addressed to-date.
 - Stepping back the top of the podium along Loretta.
 - Providing an architectural reveal between the podium and Tower 1.
 - Examining the horizontal relationship between the base of the heritage building and the base of the podium, including the three dimensional effects.
 - Considering the material palette of towers, and exploring opportunities for contextualization (The materials proposed look very similar to those used in some of the recent projects done by the architect).
 - Extending the POPS between Towers 2 and 3 to Lorretta.
 - Designing the drop-off area as a forecourt where people and cars can mingle.
 - Mitigating the impacts the parking ramp on the forecourt (drop-off area).
 - Considering a transition zone between the POPS and the MUP along the O-Train, and resolving the relationship between the POPS, the MUP, and the walkway east of Tower 2.

Heritage Comments (MacKenzie Kimm)

- As Council issued their notice of intention to designate this property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act at the time of the ZBA and OPA associated with this proposal, a heritage permit application will be required to facilitate the alterations to the property.
- The heritage permit application should be submitted concurrently with the Site Plan and staff recommend visiting the UDRP prior to the submission of the heritage permit package.
- Staff can follow up with the applicant directly in terms of application requirements when they are preparing for the submission. As discussed in the meeting, a Phase II of the Cultural Heritage Impact Statement (CHIS) will be required as part of the Site Plan and Heritage applications.
- Staff will also follow up with the details on application type and the associated fee closer to the submission.
- The CHIS should provide details on the conservation approach, identify any impacts and propose mitigation measures, as well as outline the specific recommendations for how the work will be undertaken, as part of an associated Conservation Plan.
- Staff continue to have questions about the following aspects of the proposal, which will require further consideration:
 - The treatment of the entry/entrance to the designated building as well as any sign board being proposed
 - The treatment of the west façade and how the glass link will be attached to the heritage building
 - The relationship between the horizontal features of the heritage building (cornice, windows, sills/lintels, entrance etc.) and those of the podium for Tower 1, particularly at both bases
 - The ground floor expression of the podium for Tower 1, particularly the canopies which may distract from the heritage building
 - How the interior columns (identified as heritage attributes) will be incorporated into the interior floor plan design
 - How the paint will be removed on the exterior
 - The introduction of the residential-style windows on the east façade/ how the existing openings on this façade are to be incorporated and conserved

Environmental Planner Comments (Matthew Haley)

• An EIS is required to address potential species at risk habitat.

Forestry Comments (Mark Richardson)

• A Tree Conservation Report, which can be included in the Landscape Plan, is required.

- The TCR must address all trees on the site, and all trees on adjacent sites if the Critical Root Zone extends onto the development site.
- Below is the list of TCR requirements:
 - 1. a Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City; an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval
 - 2. any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Urban Tree Conservation Bylaw; the permit is based on the approved TCR
 - 3. any removal of City-owned trees will require the permission of Forestry Services who will also review the submitted TCR
 - 4. for this site, the TCR may be combined with the Landscape Plan provided all information is clearly displayed
 - 5. the TCR must list all trees on site by species, diameter and health condition separate stands of trees may be combined using averages
 - 6. the TCR must address all trees with a critical root zone that extends into the developable area all trees that could be impacted by the construction that are outside the developable area need to be addressed.
 - 7. trees with a trunk that crosses/touches a property line are considered coowned by both property owners; permission from the adjoining property owner must be obtained prior to the removal of co-owned trees
 - 8. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they can not be retained please provide a plan showing retained and removed treed areas
 - 9. All retained trees must be shown and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines listed on Ottawa.ca

a. the location of tree protection fencing must be shown on a plan b. include distance indicators from the trunk of the retained tree to the nearest part of the tree protection fencing

c. show the critical root zone of the retained trees

d. if excavation will occur within the critical root zone, please show the limits of excavation and calculate the percentage of the area that will be disturbed

- 10. the City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.
- 11. Please ensure newly planted trees have an adequate soil volume for their size at maturity. The minimum recommended soil volumes are:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9

Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

• For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson <u>mark.richardson@ottawa.ca</u>

Hintonburg Community Association Representative Comments (Linda Hoad)

- Standard Bread building
 - very pleased that the building will be leased to the artists on a subsidized basis using Section 37 funds
 - looking forward to the Heritage Permit Application and the CHIS part 2 including the conservation approach and plan
 - concern about the Gladston Station sign shown on the heritage building glad to learn that it is a placeholder only. The Heritage Permit Application should address signage – suggest that the tenants be involved in designing the signage
 - unfortunate that the live/work units are not intended to be 'affordable' (or at least some of them)
- Live/work units
 - suggest that city work on a definition of this type of use which seems to be useful addition to the mix of units in a Mixed-Use Zone
- POPS
 - o glad to learn that these spaces do not replace CIL of parkland
 - other than the link between Loretta and the MUP/Transit Station, I do not find these spaces attractive or useful to the public – residents, office employees maybe
 - good signage will be required to ensure that the public know that the link exists and is public, not private
- Bicycle Parking
 - more needed since times are changing
 - many people who are car free (and many will have to be in these residential towers) own more than one bike
 - the present situation is encouraging more people to use bicycles and cities are devoting more road space to bikes and pedestrians – this change is almost certainly permanent for many residents

Next Steps

• Refine the proposal to address issues raised through the pre-consultation.
• It is recommended that the applicant team seek continued input from the Ward Councillor Jeff Leiper, Community Associations, and neighbouring property owners.

APPENDIX B – CALCULATIONS



Hydro First Defense [®] - HC)						Hy	dro Sational
Rev. 9.9					Net	Annual Remo	val Model: FD-	4HC
Project Name: Gladstone + Loretta Street: - Province: ON	Report Date: City: Country:	2021-03-2 Ottawa Canada	2	Paste	Intensity ⁽¹⁾	Fraction of Rainfall ⁽¹⁾	FD-4HC Removal Efficiency ⁽²⁾	Weighted Net Annual Efficiency
Designer: Nick Zorn	email:	Nick.Zorn	@wsp.c	om	(mm/hr)	(%)	(%)	(%)
					0.50	0.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Treatment Parameters:		RESUL	TS SUM	MARY	1.00	14.1%	95.8%	13.5%
Structure ID: OGS			700		1.50	14.2%	92.3%	13.1%
TSS Goal: 80 % Removal		Model	ISS	Volume	2.00	14.1%	89.8%	12.7%
ISS Particle Size: Fine		FD-3HC	80.8%	96.3%	2.50	4.2%	88.0%	3.7%
Area: 1.02 na		FD-4HC	85.3%	99.4%	3.00	1.5%	86.5%	1.3%
Percent Impervious: 97%		FD-5HC	89.2%	99.8%	3.50	8.5%	85.3%	7.3%
Rational C Value: 0.85 Calc. Off		FD-6HC	91.5%	100.0%	4.00	5.4%	84.2%	4.6%
Rainiali Station: Ottawa, ONI	IVIAP	FD-6HC	94.7%	99.9%	4.50	1.2%	83.3%	1.0%
Peak Storn Flow					5.00	0.0%	02.3%	4.0%
Model Specification:					7.00	4.3%	01.1% 80.0%	3.5%
model Specification.					7.00	4.5 /0	79.0%	2.4%
Model: ED-4HC					9.00	2.3%	78.1%	1.8%
Diameter: 1200 mm					10.00	2.6%	77.4%	2.0%
					20.00	9.2%	72.5%	6.7%
Peak Flow Canacity: 510.00 1/s					30.00	2.6%	69.8%	1.8%
Sediment Storage: 0.54 m ³					40.00	1.2%	68.0%	0.8%
Oil Storage: 723.00 L					50.00	0.5%	66.6%	0.4%
					100.00	0.7%	62.4%	0.4%
Installation Configuration:					150.00	0.1%	60.1%	0.0%
Placement: Online					200.00	0.0%	58.5%	0.0%
Outlet Pipe Size: mm OK								
Inlet Pipe 1 Size: mm OK					Total Net	Annual Remo	val Efficiency:	85.3%
Inlet Pipe 2 Size: mm OK					Total Ann	ual Runoff Vo	lume Treated:	99.4%
Inlet Pipe 3 Size: mm OK					1. Rainfall Data: 196	0:2007, HLY03, Ottawa	a, ONT, 6105976 & 610	5978.
Rim Level: m Calc Invs	ò.				 Based on third par the STC Fine distribut 	ty verified data and ap ion	poximating the remova	of a PSD similar to
Outlet Pipe Invert: m					2 Painfall adjusted to	o E min pook intersity	based on bourly everage	
Invert Pipe 1: m OK!						o o min peak intensity	based on nouny averag	JE.
Invert Pipe 2: m								
Designer Notes:								

Hydro First Defense® - HC





All drawing elevations are metres.

FD-4HC Specification

1	Vortex Chamber Diameter	1200 mm
2	Inlet Pipe Diameter	<u>0</u> mm
3	Oil Storage Capacity	723.00 L
4	Min. Provided Sediment Storage Capacity	0.54 m ³
5	Outlet Pipe Diameter	<u>0</u> mm
6	Height(Final Grade to Outlet Invert)	<u>0</u> mm
7	Sump Depth(Outlet Invert to Sump)	<u>1130 mm</u>
	Total Depth	1130 mm

Notes:

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.013) _____

**************************************	20							
Number of rain gages Number of subcatchme Number of nodes Number of links Number of pollutants Number of land uses	20 21 1 1 0 0 0 0 0							
***************** Raingage Summary ******								
Name	Data Source			Data Type	Record: Interva	ing al		
100yr 100yr_3hr_Chicago 100yr_3hr_Chicago Cl	100yr 100yr_3hr_Chi	cago Olvr 3hr	Chicago 1	INTENSITY INTENSITY	10 min 10 min Opercent	 n. n. INTENSITY	10 min	
100yr_6hr_Chicago_Cl	100yr_6hr_Chi	.cago	Chicago	INTENSITY	10 mii 10 mii	INTENSITI 1. INTENSITY	10 min	
100yr_onr_chicago_cr 100yr+20% 10yr_3hr_Chicago	100yr+20% 10yr 10yr_3hr_Chic	cago	_Chicago_i	INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY	10 min 10 min 10 min 10 min	INIENSIII n. n.	10 1111.	
25mm_3hr_Chicago 25mm_4hr_Chicago 25yr_3hr_Chicago	25mm_3hr_Chic 25mm_4hr_Chic 25yr_3hr_Chic	ago cago cago cago		INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY	10 min 10 min 10 min 10 min	n. n. n.		
25yr_6hr_Chicago 2yr 2yr_3hr_Chicago 2yr_6hr_Chicago	25yr_6hr_Chic 2yr 2yr_3hr_Chica 2yr_6hr_Chica	rago 1go		INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY	10 mi) 10 mi) 10 mi) 10 mi)	n. n. n.		
50yr_3hr_Chicago 50yr_6hr_Chicago 5yr_3hr_Chicago	50yr_3hr_Chico 50yr_6hr_Chico 5yr_3hr_Chico	cago cago ago		INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY	10 min 10 min 10 min 10 min	n. n.		
Syr_6nr_Chicago	5yr_6hr_Chica	igo		INTENSITY	IU mii	n.		

Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope	Rain Ga	ge	Outlet	
S4	1.02	127.05	46.00	0.3500	2yr		OF1	
************ Node Summary								
**************************************	Туре	Ir E	nvert Slev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow		
OF1	OUTFALL		0.00	0.00	0.0			
**************************************	**************** atistics disp nd at every co from each repo ***********	ayed in to mputation orting tin	this repor al time s ne step.	***** t are tep,				

Analysis Options

**** Flow Units CMS Process Models: Rainfall/Runoff YES RDII NO Snowmelt NO Groundwater NO Flow Routing NO Water Quality NO

Infiltration Method	HORTON
Surcharge Method	EXTRAN
Starting Date	11/10/2013 00:10:00
Ending Date	11/10/2013 09:00:00
Antecedent Dry Days	0.0
Report Time Step	00:05:00
Wet Time Step	00:05:00
Dry Time Step	00:05:00

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Total Precipitation	0.032	31.860
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	0.017	17.201
Surface Runoff	0.014	14.064
Final Storage	0.001	0.728
Continuity Error (%)	-0.417	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Dry Weather Inflow	0.000	0.000
Wet Weather Inflow	0.014	0.143
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Outflow	0.014	0.143
Flooding Loss	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Exfiltration Loss	0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Continuity Error (%)	0.000	

Subcatchment Runoff Summary ******

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Imperv	Perv	Total	Total
Peak Runoff								
	Precip	Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff	Runoff	Runoff	Runoff
Runoff Coeff								
Subcatchment	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	10^6 ltr
CMS								
	21 06	0 00	0 00	17 20	14 06	0 01	14 06	0 1 4
0 1 0 0 4 4 1	31.00	0.00	0.00	17.20	14.00	0.01	14.00	0.14
0.10 0.111								

Analysis begun on: Tue Apr 6 20:17:44 2021 Analysis ended on: Tue Apr 6 20:17:44 2021 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.013)

*********** Element Count ************ Number of rain gage Number of subcatch Number of nodes Number of links Number of land uses	es 19 ments 1 2 1 ts 0 s 0					
******************* Raingage Summary ******						
Name	Data Source		Data Type	Recording Interval		
100yr 100yr_3hr_Chicago 100yr_3hr_Chicago 100yr_6hr_Chicago 100yr_6hr_Chicago 100yr=20% 10yr 10yr_3hr_Chicago 25mm_3hr_Chicago 25yr_3hr_Chicago 25yr_3hr_Chicago 25yr_6hr_Chicago 2yr_3hr_Chicago 2yr_6hr_Chicago 50yr_3hr_Chicago 50yr_3hr_Chicago 50yr_3hr_Chicago 50yr_3hr_Chicago	100yr 100yr_3hr_Ch Climate_Change 100yr_6hr_Ch Climate_Change 100yr+20% 10yr 10yr_3hr_Chi 25mm_3hr_Chi 25mm_3hr_Chi 25yr_3hr_Chi 2yr_3hr_Chi 2yr_6hr_Chi 2yr_6hr_Chi 50yr_3hr_Chi 50yr_6hr_Chi 5yr_3hr_Chi 5yr_3hr_Chi 5yr_6hr_Chi	icago 100yr_3hr_Chicago icago 100yr_6hr_Chicago cago cago cago cago cago cago cago	INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY INTENSITY	10 min. 10 min.	INSITY 10 min.	
Subcatchment Summa: ***********************************	ry ** Area	Width %Imper	rv %Slope	e Rain Gage	Outlet	
S4	1.02	127.05 97.0	3.0000	100yr	J1	
************ Node Summary *****	(Turner)	Invert	Max.	Ponded Ext	ernal	
Name OF1	UTFALL	5.00	0.00	Area Ini 0.0		
J1	STORAGE	5.00	5.00	0.0		
*********** Link Summary ******						
Name Fi	com Node	To Node	Туре	Length	%Slope Roughness	
OR1 J1	L	OF1	ORIFICE			
**************************************	*** ary *** nape	Full Ful Depth Are	l Hyd. ea Rad.	Max. No Width Bar	o. of Full crels Flow	
**************************************	*** ary *** nape	Full Ful Depth Are	l Hyd. Sa Rad.	Max. No Width Bar	o. of Full crels Flow	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Analysis Options ******		
Flow Units	CMS	
<pre>Flow Units Process Models: Rainfall/Runoff RDII Groundwater Ponding Allowed Water Quality Infiltration Method Flow Routing Method Surcharge Method Starting Date Starting Date Antecedent Dry Days Antecedent Dry Days Report Time Step Wet Time Step Variable Time Step Variable Time Step Number of Threads Head Tolerance</pre>	CMS YES NO NO YES YES NO HORTON DYNWAVE EXTRAN 11/10/2013 11/10/2013 0.0 00:05:00 00:05:00 00:05:00 1.00 sec YES 20 1 0.001500 m	00:10:00 09:00:00

*********	Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm

Total Precipitation	0.073	71.677
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	0.001	1.317
Surface Runoff	0.071	69.487
Final Storage	0.002	1.531
Continuity Error (%)	-0.917	

*******	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Dry Weather Inflow	0.000	0.000
Wet Weather Inflow	0.071	0.706
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Outflow	0.070	0.703
Flooding Loss	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Exfiltration Loss	0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.000	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.000	0.004
Continuity Error (%)	0.000	

Average Time Step	:	1.00 sec
Maximum Time Step	:	1.00 sec
Percent in Steady State	:	0.00
Average Iterations per Step	:	2.00
Percent Not Converging	:	0.00

Subcatchment Runoff Summary ****

	Total	Total	Total	Total	Imperv	Perv	Total	Total
Peak Runoff								
	Precip	Runon	Evap	Infil	Runoff	Runoff	Runoff	Runoff
Runoff Coeff								
Subcatchment	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	10^6 ltr
CMS								
S4	71.68	0.00	0.00	1.32	68.53	0.95	69.49	0.71
0.50 0.969								

Node Depth Summary *****

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
OF1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	5.00	0 00:00	0.00
J1	STORAGE	0.19	0.95	5.95	0 01:14	0.95

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Туре	Maximum Lateral Inflow CMS	Maximum Total Inflow CMS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Flow Balance Error Percent
OF1	OUTFALL	0.000	0.097	0 01:14	0	0.703	0.000
J1	STORAGE	0.501	0.501	0 01:00	0.706	0.706	0.000

***** Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

***** Node Flooding Summary

No nodes were flooded.

Storage Volume Summary

Storage Unit	Average	Avg	Evap	Exfil	Maximum	Max	Time of Max	Maximum
	Volume	Pcnt	Pcnt	Pcnt	Volume	Pcnt	Occurrence	Outflow
	1000 m3	Full	Loss	Loss	1000 m3	Full	days hr:min	CMS
J1	0.076	4	0	0	0.379	19	0 01:14	0.097

Outfall Loading Summary ****

Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow CMS	Max Flow CMS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr
OF1	96.40	0.023	0.097	0.703
System	96.40	0.023	0.097	0.703

Link Flow Summary

Link	Туре	Maximum Flow CMS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Maximum Veloc m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth
OR1	ORIFICE	0.097	0 01:14			1.00

***** Flow Classification Summary

	Adjusted			Fract	ion of	Time	in Flo	w Clas	s	
Conduit	/Actual Length	Dry	Up Dry	Down Dry	Sub Crit	Sup Crit	Up Crit	Down Crit	Norm Ltd	Inlet Ctrl

***** Conduit Surcharge Summary

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Wed Apr 7 08:50:50 2021 Analysis ended on: Wed Apr 7 08:50:50 2021 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

APPENDIX F – CIVIL DRAWINGS

GLADSTONE LORETTA MIXED-USE & RESIDENTIAL OTTAWA, ONTARIO



CLV GROUP DEVELOPMENTS INC. 485 BANK STREET, SUITE 200 OTTAWA, ON, K2P 1Z2



KEY PLAN



1224 GARDINERS ROAD, SUITE 201 KINGSTON, ONTARIO CANADA K7P 0G2 PHONE: 613-634-7373 WWW.WSP.COM

CIVIL DRAWING LIST

- C1.0 GLADSTONE AND LORETTA NOTES
- C1.1 GLADSTONE AND LORETTA GENERAL ARRANGEMENT PLAN I
- C1.2 GLADSTONE AND LORETTA GRADING PLAN I
- C1.3 GLADSTONE AND LORETTA SERVICING PLAN I C1.4 GLADSTONE AND LORETTA DETAILS
- R1.0 GLADSTONE AND LORETTA REMOVAL PLAN

RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL

DATE: DECEMBER 23,2021

	10 9 8	7 6 ¢	5 4 3	2 1
	1. UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON DRAWINGS	WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION FROM THE ENGINEER IN WRITING, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL	10. SEWERS	
	1.1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METERS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.	FEES ASSOCIATED WITH ADDITIONAL EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL. 5.10.CIVIL WORK SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH BUILDING WORK INCLUDING THE PARKING GARAGE INSTALLATION AND	10.1. SEWERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 410 AND CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS	
	1.2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED ON THE SITE AND ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER.		10.2. STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 1840. 10.3. SANITARY SEWERS SHALL BE TO CSA B182.2 IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 1841.	1224 GARDINERS ROAD SUITE 201
	1.3. THIS DRAWING IS PART OF A SET AND MUST BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL OTHER DRAWINGS, DETAILS, NOTES, AND WRITTEN SPECIFICATIONS INCLUDED IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. 1.4. DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED	6. <u>SILT MITIGATION</u>	10.4. ALL SEWERS AND SERVICE LATERALS WITH LESS THAN 2.0m COVER REQUIRE THERMAL INSULATION AS PER DETAIL 11/ C1.4 OR APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.INSULATION SHALL BE RIGID HIGHLOAD 40 EXTRUDED POLYSTYRENE BY DOW OR AS PER THE APPROVED CITY MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS(MW-19.15)	KINGSTON, ONTÁRIO CANADA K7P 0G2 PHONE: 613-634-7373
F	1.5. THE TERM "ENGINEER" REFERS TO THE OWNERS CONSULTING ENGINEER OR REPRESENTATIVE OBSERVING THE WORK BEING PERFORMED BY THE CONTRACTOR FOR COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPLICABLE STANDARDS AND	6.1. SILT MITIGATION AND THE CONTROL OF AIRBORNE CONTAMINANTS SHALL FORM A MAJOR COMPONENT IN THIS PROJECT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSIDER SILT MITIGATION PRIOR TO UNDERTAKING ANY ACTIVITY ON THE SITE	10.5. ALL SANITARY SEWER, SANITARY SEWER APPURTENANCES AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. PROVIDE CCTV INSPECTION REPORTS FOR ALL NEW	E WWW.WSP.COM
	SPECIFICATIONS. 1.6. THE TERM "GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT" REFERS TO THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVE	AND TAKE ALL REQUIRED MEASURES AND PRECAUTIONS TO PREVENT SILT OR OTHER CONTAMINANTS FROM ENTERING THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT OR AREAS BEYOND LIMITS OF THE WORK AREA. SILT MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED	SANITARY PIPING. PROVIDE DYE TESTING FOR NEW SERVICES. 10.6. ALL STORM SEWER MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA	A
	THAT IS PROVIDING GEOTECHNICAL SERVICES TO ENSURE COMPLIANT INSTALLATION AND TESTING OF MATERIALS IN ORDER TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION THAT WILL FORM PART OF THE CONSULTING ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION PACKAGE TO THE CITY.	6.2. SILT MITIGATION FEATURES SHALL BE INSTALLED TO SUIT THE CONDITIONS. SHALL INCLUDE TEMPORARY SEDIMENT TRAPS (TYPE 2) PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS FOR CATCH BASINS. THE FOLLOWING OPSD STANDARD	STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. PROVIDE CCTV INSPECTION REPORTS FOR ALL NEW STORM SEWERS, SERVICES AND CB LEADS. 10.7. SEWER BEDDING AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL S6	
- F►	1.7. CONTRACTOR MUST WORK WITH THE LATEST REVISION OF THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS. COORDINATE WITH ENGINEER. ALL ENGINEERING DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE ISSUED TO ALL SUBS - ANY DISCREPANCY SHOULD BE	DRAWINGS SHALL ALSO BE USED TO IMPLEMENT THE SILT MITIGATION MEASURES AS REQUIRED (ADDITIONAL MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED):	10.8. ALL CATCHBASIN LEADS TO BE MINIMUM 200mm DIAMETER AT MINIMUM 1.0% SLOPE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.	
	REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER. 1.8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, THE CONTRACTOR	6.2.1. 219.100 LIGHT DUTY STRAW BALE BARRIER 6.2.2. 219.110 LIGHT DUTY SILT FENCE BARRIER 6.2.3 219.150 SANDBAG BARRIER	11. <u>SUBDRAINS</u>	
	ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.	6.2.4. 219.180 STRAW BALE FLOW CHECK DAM 6.2.5. 219.190 SILT FENCE FLOW CHECK DAM	11.1. SUBDRAINS SHALL BE 150mm RIGID PERFORATED HDPE PIPE COMPLETE WITH FILTER SOCK AND SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 405.	SEAL:
		6.3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE MUNICIPAL ROADWAYS ARE KEPT FREE OF MUD OR DIRT AND PROMPTLY CLEAN THE ROADWAY SHOULD THERE BE AN OCCURRENCE.	12. ASPHALT AND GRANULARS	BOFESSIONA
		6.4. ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED CATCH BASIN GRATES WITHIN IMMEDIATE VICINITY OF WORK AREA TO BE TREATED WITH A SEDIMENT CAPTURE DEVICE (SCD).	12.1. ALL MATERIALS MUST CONFORM TO THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS, REFER TO TESTING SECTION FOR GEOTECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS AND A LIST OF SUBMITTALS	S Mita Aumite
	2.1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS REQUIRED BY CITY. 2.2. TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED BY STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD. DATED JULY 6,2017 2.3. ELEVATIONS ARE COVD28	6.5. SILT MITIGATION MEASURES SHALL BE MONITORED ON A REGULAR BASIS AND REPAIRED OR MAINTAINED AS REQUIRED TO ENSURE SILT OR AIRBORNE CONTAMINANTS DO NOT ENTER THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT. 6.6. ALL SILT MITIGATION MEASURES ARE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL VEGETATION IS WELL ESTABLISHED. CONTRACTOR	12.2. HOT MIX ASPHALT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 310. 12.3. COMPACTION OF ASPHALT SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH TABLE 10 OF OPSS 310.	S M. J. FLOWERS 55 100173446
Е	2.4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOCATION AND PROTECTION OF ALL UTILITIES AND SERVICES. ALL UTILITIES ARE NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.	TO REMOVE SILT MITIGATION ONCE VEGETATION IS WELL ESTABLISHED. 6.7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR DUST SUPPRESSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 506. WATER OR	12.4. ALL SAWCUTS SHALL BE STRAIGHT MATCH LINES BETWEEN THE EXISTING PAVEMENT AND NEW PAVEMENT. KEY GRIND A MINIMUM 0.50m WIDE BY 35mm DEEP EDGE WHEN TYING INTO EXISTING ASPHALT AND SEAL JOINTS WITH	BOULDION OF OUTPHI
	2.5. LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING DETAIL SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS IS APPROXIMATE AND SHALL BE CONFIRMED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR. FIELD LOCATE UTILITIES AND COORDINATE WITH LOCAL AUTHORITIES.	CALCIUM CHLORIDE SHALL BE PROVIDED AS REQUIRED TO PREVENT DUST. 6.8. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO KEEP THE ROADS FREE AND CLEAN FROM MUD OR DEBRIS.	DENSO ASPHALT REINSTATEMENT TAPE. 12.5. THE LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE OF THE PAVEMENT STRUCTURE IS HIGHLY DEPENDENT UPON THE INITIAL SUBGRADE SUPPORT CONDITIONS STRINGENT CONSTRUCTION CONTROL PROCEDURES MUST BE MAINTAINED	ACE OF OL
	2.6. ITEMS ENCOUNTERED BELOW GRADE THAT ARE NOT SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER.	7. <u>WATERMAIN:</u>	THROUGHOUT TO ENSURE THAT UNIFORM SUBGRADE MOISTURE AND DENSITY CONDITIONS ARE ACHIEVED. THE FINISHED PAVEMENT SURFACE AND UNDERLYING SUBGRADE SHALL BE FREE OF DEPRESSIONS AND SLOPED TO	CLIENT:
	2.7. ALL WORKS SHALL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH CITY STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARDS DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 2.8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY ALL THE MATERIALS IN NEW CONDITION AND IN LABOUR QUANTITIES SUFFICIENT	7.1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION, ELEVATION, SIZE, AND TYPE OF THE EXISTING WATERMAIN WITHIN THE WORK AREA PRIOR TO CONNECTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE AND SUBMIT A DETAILED PLAN	PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE. 12.6. ALL ASPHALT, BASE, AND SUBBASE THICKNESS INDICATED REPRESENT THICKNESS REQUIRED AT COMPACTION	
	TO COMPLETE THE WORK SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. 2.9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL CONTROL, AND FOR THE LAYOUT OF	TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL FOR THE WATERMAIN PRIOR TO ORDERING FITTINGS. THE PLAN SHALL DETAIL THE TYPE, MAKE AND LOCATION OF ALL PROPOSED FITTINGS, RESTRAINTS AND ASSOCIATED	12.7. COMPACTION OF BASE AND SUBBASE MATERIALS SHALL BE TO 100% SPMDD.	CLV GROUP DEVELOPMENTS INC.
	THE WORK. CONTRACTOR TO CONFIRM REFERENCE POINTS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF THE WORK. 2.10. TRENCHING, BACKFILLING AND COMPACTING SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 401.	DURATION OF WORK REQUIRED FOR THE WATERMAIN. 7.2. CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE TIMING AND DURATION OF SERVICE DISRUPTIONS WITH THE OWNER AND SHALL	13. <u>CURBS</u>	485 BANK STREET, SUITE 200 OTTAWA, ON, K2P 1Z2
	THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY DRAINAGE MEASURES AND/OR PUMPING FOR THE DEWATERING OF THE CONSTRUCTION AREA AND TO KEEP EXCAVATION AND WORK AREAS FREE FROM WATER DURING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 517 AND OPSS 518, AS REQUIRED.	PROVIDE WRITTEN NOTIFICATION A MINIMUM OF TWO WEEKS PRIOR TO ANY PROPOSED DISRUPTION. NO WORK AFFECTING THE WATER OR OTHER MUNICIPAL SERVICES MAY COMMENCE UNTIL THE CONTRACTOR HAS RECEIVED	13.1. CONCRETE CURBS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 353.	CLIENT REF. #:
	2.12.EXCAVATING, BACKFILLING AND COMPACTING FOR MAINTENANCE HOLES, CATCH BASINS, DITCH INLETS AND VALVE CHAMBERS SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 402.	WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE OWNER OR ENGINEER. 7.3. ALL WATERMAIN 300MM DIAMETER AND SMALLER TO BE POLY VINYL CHLORIDE (PVC) CLASS 150 DR 18 MEETING AWWA SPECIFICATION C900	14. <u>SIDEWALKS</u>	PROJECT:
	2.13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REINSTATEMENT OF ALL DISTURBED AREAS TO A CONDITION EQUAL TO OR BETTER THAN EXISTING TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE OWNER. 2.14. COMPACTION OF ALL MATERIAL SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ORSS 501 AND SHALL BE VERIELED BY	7.4. ALL WATERMAIN TO BE INSTALLED AT MINIMUM COVER OF 2.4M BELOW FINISHED GRADE. WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS OVER OTHER UTILITIES, A MINIMUM 0.30M CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED; WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS	14.1. SIDEWALKS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 351. 14.2. TACTILE PLATES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS NOTED ON DRAWINGS.	
D	GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING. REFER TO TESTING AND SUBMITTALS SECTIONS FOR GEOTECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS.	UNDER OTHER UTILITIES, A MINIMUM 0.50M CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED. WHERE THE MINIMUM SEPARATION CANNOT BE ACHIEVED, THE WATERMAIN SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS W25 AND W25.2. WHERE 2 4M MINIMUM DEPTH CANNOT BE ACHIEVED. THERMAL INSULATION SHALL BE PROVIDED AS PER CITY OF	15. LANDSCAPING	MIXED-USE & RESIDENTIAL
	2.15. WHERE THE CONTRACTOR REQUESTS A DEVIATION OR ALTERATION TO A STANDARD OR SPECIFICATION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL, AT THEIR EXPENSE, AND PRIOR TO ANY NON-STANDARD WORK BEING PERFORMED, SEEK AND	OTTAWA STANDARD W22. WHERE A WATERMAIN IS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO AN OPEN STRUCTURE, THERMAL INSULATION SHALL BE PROVIDED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W23.	15.1. ALL GRASSED AREAS DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED WITH 100mm TOPSOIL AND SOD IN	
	MADE BY THE CONTRACTOR TO MODIFY AND/OR DELETE CITY STANDARDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED DURING CONSTRUCTION.	7.5. CONCRETE THRUST BLOCKS AND MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS ARE TO BE INSTALLED AT ALL TEES, BENDS, HYDRANTS, REDUCERS, ENDS OF MAINS AND CONNECTIONS 100MM AND LARGER, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA	15.2. AT THE TIME OF FINAL INSPECTION ALL SODDED AND SEEDED AREAS SHALL BE IN A HEALTHY, VIGOROUS GROWING CONDITION, IN FULL ACCORDANCE WITH THE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS.	KEY PLAN:
	2.16. WHERE THE CONTRACTOR WISHES A MODIFICATION OR DEVIATION FROM THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS OR DOCUMENTS, THE CONTRACTOR WILL SUBMIT A DETAILED REQUEST IN WRITING TO THE	7.6. CATHODIC PROTECTION REQUIRED FOR ALL IRON FITTINGS AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W40 & W42. 7.6. ALL VALVES AND VALVE BOXES AND CHAMBERS, HYDRANTS, AND HYDRANT VALVES AND ASSEMBLES SHALL BE	16. SIGNAGE	
С 🛏	ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO ANY MODIFIED OR DEVIATED WORK BEING PERFORMED. SHOULD THE CONTRACTOR MAKE UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES OR DEVIATIONS TO THE DESIGN REQUIREMENTS WITHOUT THE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER. THEY WILL BE RESPONSIBLE TO PERFORM AND/OR PAY FOR REMEDIES	INSTALLED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD. 7.8. FIRE HYDRANT LOCATION AND INSTALLATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W18 & W19. CONTRACTOR TO	16.1. ALL SIGNS ARE NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL REMOVE, SALVAGE AND	SITE NORTH
	REQUIRED BY THE ENGINEER.	PROVIDE FLOW TEST AND PAINTING OF NEW HYDRANT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARDS. 7.9. IF WATERMAIN MUST BE DEFLECTED TO MEET ALIGNMENT, ENSURE THAT THE AMOUNT OF DEFLECTION USED IS	REINSTALL EXISTING SIGNAGE AS REQUIRED. 16.2. SUPPLY AND INSTALL VAN ACCESSIBLE PARKING SIGNS WHERE SPECIFIED ON THE DRAWINGS COMPLETE WITH POST AS DED ODSD 000 110	Т
	3. <u>TESTING</u>	LESS THAN HALF THAT RECOMMENDED BY THE MANUFACTURER. 7.10. WATERMAIN DEAD ENDS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARD DETAIL W37.2 7.11. CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING WATERMAIN SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH THE ENGINEER, OWNER AND THE CITY OF	AS PER OPSD 990.110.	DISCLAIMER: COPYRIGHT:
	3.1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RETAIN AN INDEPENDENT GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT FOR SUBGRADE, ASPHALT, GRANULAR AND CONCRETE TESTING, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY AND OPSS REQUIREMENTS. GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER TO BE APPROVED IN WRITING BY THE OWNER OR ENGINEER	OTTAWA. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY ALL MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT REQUIRED TO MAKE THE CONNECTION. AT NO TIME SHALL THE CONTRACTOR OPERATE VALVES WITHIN CITY OF OTTAWA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM. THIS	17. PAINTING 17.1 LINE PAINTING SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER OPSS 710	THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED, REPRODUCED OR REVISED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO
	3.2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE ALL REQUIRED GEOTECHNICAL FIELD TESTING AND PROVIDE COPIES OF REPORTS, CERTIFICATIONS AND LETTERS OF APPROVAL TO THE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW.	FUNCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT BY CITY OF OTTAWA UTILITY PROVIDERS ONLY. ALL FEES CHARGED BY CITY OF OTTAWA UTILITY PROVIDERS SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR.		COMMENCING WORK. THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED.
C F►	3.3. SUBGRADE TESTING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE ENGINEER WITH WRITTEN APPROVAL FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING.	GRANULAR FILL. BACKFILL MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 200mm AND COMPACTED ACCORDING TO OPSS 501 AND APPROVED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT.		
	 3.4. COMPACTION TESTING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. 3.5. WHERE SAMPLED MATERIAL OR INSTALLATION FAILS TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS, THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR REMOVING AND REINSTALLING COMPLIANT MATERIAL AT THEIR OWN 	8. <u>STORM STRUCTURES</u>		ISSUED FOR - REVISION:
	EXPENSE. 3.6. WATER SERVICE PRESSURE TESTING, CHLORINATION, AND BACTERIOLOGICAL TESTING SHALL BE AS PER OPSS 441	8.1. DITCH INLETS, CATCHBASINS AND MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 407 AND		
	AND AWWA C651-05 AND CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH WATERMAIN TESTING AND SHALL PROVIDE THE ENGINEER WITH AT LEAST 72 HOURS WRITTEN NOTICE IN ADVANCE OF ANY SUCH TESTING	8.2. PRECAST STORM SEWER MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE 1200mm & 1500mm DIAMETER AS PER OPSD 701.030, 701.011 AND 701.031 c/w STEPS AS PER OPSD 405.010. DECK DRAINS, TANKS, OGS AND OTHER STRUCTURES SHALL BE		
	4 SUBMITTALS	ACCORDANCE TO DRAWINGS AS SPECIFIED. 8.3. FROST STRAPPING FOR ALL STRUCTURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 701.100.		
	4.1. ALL MATERIAL TEST REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO BACKFILLING.	8.4. DITCH INLETS, CATCHBASINS SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH 600mm. DEEP SUMP UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. MAINTENANCE HOLES SHALL BE PROVIDED WITH 300mm DEEP SUMPS.		
	4.2. COMPACTION TEST REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ASPHALT AND CONCRETE.	8.5. MAINTENANCE HOLE FRAME AND GRATES SHALL BE AS PER OPSD 401.010 - TYPE A UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. CATCH BASIN FRAME AND GRATES SHALL BE PER CITY STANDARD DETAIL S19. 8.6. ADJUSTMENT OF MAINTENANCE HOLE SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 408.		
	4.3. WATER SAMPLING AND PRESSURE TESTING RESULTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ASPHALT. 4.4. IT IS THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY TO MAINTAIN A NEAT AND ACCURATE RECORD OF CONSTRUCTION AND	8.7. BASEMENT AREA DRAINS SHALL BE PVC, OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT. REFER TO DRAWING PACKAGE FOR RESPECTIVE MECHANICAL DETAILS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR PARKING GARAGE PIPING FOR DRAINAGE AND		
	AS-BUILT DRAWINGS FOR THE OWNER'S RECORD.	COLLECTION WHERE APPLICABLE. 8.8. BASEMENT DRAINAGE STRUCTURE FRAME & GRATES SHALL BE AS SPECIFIED IN DRAWINGS, AS MANUFACTURED BY NYLOPLAST OR APPROVED FOLIVALENT		22021-12-23RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL12021-04-14ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
В	5. SITE PREPARATION AND REMOVALS	9. STORMWATER QUANITY/QUALITY DEVICES		IS RE DATE DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO: DATE:
	5.1. REMOVALS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 510 UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE. 5.2. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL GRADING SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.	9.1. TANK CISTEN SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS. FINAL		20M-01441-00 FEBRUARY 2021 ORIGINAL SCALE:
	5.3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DISPOSE OF ALL CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS AND SURPLUS OR UNWANTED MATERIAL AT LEGALLY DESIGNATED SITES IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAW AT THE THEIR OWN EXPENSE. THE OWNER, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE ENGINEER AND GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT, SHALL FIELD DETERMINE MATERIALS	DETAILS AND DESIGN SHALL BE REVIEWED BY ENGINEER PRIOR TO SELECTION AND FINAL LOCATION/ARRANGEMENT SHALL BE COORDINATED BETWEEN MECHANICAL, CIVIL AND ARCHITECTURE FOR PLACEMENT ON SITE WITHIN PARKING GARAGE		1:250 IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm LONG, ADJUST YOUR DESIGNED BY: PLOTTING SCALE.
	SUITABLE FOR USE WITHIN THE PROJECT. 5.4. THE MOST SEVERE LOADING CONDITIONS ON THE SUBSOIL COULD OCCUR DURING CONSTRUCTION DUE TO HEAVY	9.2. OIL AND GRIT SEPARATORS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS. FINAL DETAILS AND DESIGN SHALL BE REVIEWED BY ENGINEER PRIOR TO SELECTION AND FINAL		RN/MF DRAWN BY:
	ADDITIONAL SUBBASE AND/OR RESTRICTED LOADINGS OR PROVISIONS FOR TEMPORARY ROADS, ETC. 5.5. ABANDONED SECTIONS OF STORM SEWER SHALL BE PLUGGED WITH GROUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA	LOCATION/ARRANGEMENT SHALL BE COORDINATED BETWEEN MECHANICAL, CIVIL AND ARCHITECTURE FOR PLACEMENT ON SITE WITHIN PARKING GARAGE.		JT/STR CHECKED BY: 25mm
	STANDARDS. GROUT SHALL CONSIST OF A MIXTURE OF ONE PART PORTLAND CEMENT ACCORDING TO OPSS 1301 AND TWO PARTS MORTAR SAND ACCORDING TO OPSS 1004, WETTED WITH SUFFICIENT WATER TO MAKE THE			
	MIXTURE PLASTIC. 5.6. WHERE A REMOVAL OR PARTIAL REMOVAL REQUIRES THE FILLING OF A RESULTING TRENCH, HOLE, OR PIT, BACKFILLING SHALL BE TO THE REQUIRED GRADE USING SUITABLE F EXCAVATED MATERIAL AND SHALL INCLUDE			TITLE:
	LEVELLING AND TRIMMING OF THE SITE TO MATCH REQUIRED CONTOURS AND PROVIDE ADEQUATE DRAINAGE. BACKFILL MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN LAYERS NOT EXCEEDING 200mm AND COMPACTED ACCORDING TO			
Δ	OPSS 501 AND APPROVED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. 5.7. CONTRACTOR SHALL STOCKPILE TOPSOIL ON SITE FOR REUSE.			GLADSTONE AND LORETTA NOTES
13	3.0. THE SUDGRADE SHALL BE FREE OR ORGANICS, SHAPED, PROOF ROLLED AND APPROVED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING. REFER TO SUBMITTALS SECTION FOR GEOTECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS AND LIST OF SUBMITTALS.			
	5.9. IF EXCAVATION IS REQUIRED BEYOND THE DEPTHS NOTED ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE ENGINEER IN WRITING PRIOR TO EXCAVATING, ADDITIONAL DEPTHS. IF EXCAVATION CONTINUES			SHEET NUMBER:
				SHEET #: 1 OF 6 ISSUE: REV #
				RE-ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL 0
	10 9 8	7 6	5 4 3	2 1





			10		r ▼			G	9			8				ŧ
												ן <u>GE</u>	ENERAL NOT	<u>ES</u>		
				INLET		PE DATA F	Proposed Stor	m	C	ITY	MIN.		1.1. ALL DIM	ENSIONS AR	E IN ME	TERS UI
		FROM	TO	ELEV. (m)) ELEV. (m)	SIZE	LENGTH	I SLOP	PE STAN	NDARD	COVER (m)	-	1.2. TOPOGF	RAPHIC SURV	/EY CON	IPLETE
		STMH-1	STMH10	58.732	58.541	250 mn	n 19.1 m	1.00%	6 DR 35 P	VC Pipe SI	5.93		1.3. HYDRO RELOCA	POLES AND (TED/REMOV	OVERHE ED. PRO	AD WIR
F					PIP	E DATA Pro	oposed Sanita	ary					vaulis 1.4. PROPO	SED LOCATIC	N OF 13	350mm E
		FROM	то	INLET	OUTLET	SIZE	LENGTH	SLOPE		TY	MIN.		NORTH	RECONSTRU	CTION F	ROJEC
		SA-1	SAN200	ELEV. (m)	58,900	200 mm	3.4 m	1.01%	DR 35 PV	DARD /C Pipe SI	0.00					
┍╼		SAN200	SA-2	58.880	58.823	200 mm	5.6 m	1.01%	DR 35 PV	/C Pipe SI	8.02		1	 50mmØ PVC S	TM	Obve 58.96
		[2 2	50mmØ PVC S	TM	58.97
				INLET					CITY	MIN.		3	3* 21	00mmØ PVC S	AN	59.04
		FROM	10	ELEV. (m)	ELEV. (m)		ENGIH S		STANDARD	COVER (n)		4 1	50mmØ PVC V	VM	65.00
		W-1 WV02	WV02 W-2	64.854	64.757 1 64.550 1	50 mm	6.4 m 1	3.22%	PVC Pipe Si PVC Pipe SI	2.60		*Not	te: Provide Co	oncrete Encas	ed for cc	orssing c
		WV01	W-2	64.670	64.531 1	50 mm	4.0 m 3	3.45%	PVC Pipe SI	2.40	_					
		W-3	WV01	64.581	64.689 1	50 mm	3.2 m -3	3.36%	PVC Pipe SI	2.40						
-																
													$+^{61.00}$		/	
											60.50		55%		64	.50
1									60.00		+00.0			RS - REFER		
						.50-			61. 6	00	49	15	FOR DETAIL	_S (TYP)		TTTT .
						+	6			63.00	+64.	.20				
						6965	29 - 10	A F	5%		ŧ°					• • •
•	159.50):		61	A.OO			m Eng			•
	TOP OF	62.25			E'm		the fill			11111	TTTT I					,
		F 2.50	1	E's	W.~3		Mars -		TTTTTT	Ì			2 russ	E S		
		En.s	3				TTTTT			L _						•
	203	63.	00		ATT THE	ς Γ										, ,
*	ern ern	/												Lever Lever		
				ł			٦									•
						Г						i				
								WER FRO								
_							/		TOWER 3				E E E E	L L	╗┤ ┚ ┤┤┢ ║┼╻╶┤┝	
						ľ			FFE =64.20				in wind		╠┤ <mark>┣</mark> ┤ <mark>╞</mark> ┥ ┝┤ <mark>┛</mark> ┥┤┥	
			0	↓ ↓ ↓ ↓		L	ŗ		 					E ST	│ <mark>╞┤┣</mark> ═┤╞═┤ │ <mark>╞┤┛</mark> ╶┝═┤	╺┥┝┥┝┥ ┥┝┥┝┥┝╸ ┝┥┝┥┡╋
			ΤC										20 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2		╽╞┤ <u>┛</u> ╡┤╞╡ ╽╞┤┛╴╡╴╡ ╽╞┨┛╶┥╴┥	
													Son with	Enge		
				×.			— BU	IILDING O	VERHANG							/
				v											STMH1	
	~						- <u> </u>		_	ר	Г		HYDRO POLE	E TO BE	DC C	
		- on	2	√ √ 4.									X OTTAWA			M SEWER
_																nØ STOR
			V V V V			U(► ````	ר בייער איז					0₩ - 2 >	EW 250mr
							·	En 3		ISTING	E Sol	£ \$ 3 ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	w	<u>پې</u>		Z
	SA			SA	SA				SA		- SA	SA EXISTING 10	50mmg SANITARY,	SEWER SA	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· co			co				co		co	SAN06	CO		5A CO	STMH-1	SA
		ST		ST		ST	S	Τ ———	ST		MHSA T/G=0	ST ST .N06 .4.54 .54	ST -		- 55 <u></u>	
		w		₩ • • •	W	V V V	₩ ₩ ₩		P . P	W	▼ [↓] ↓ ↓	Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т Т	× 3 ×	PROPOSED	OR .	
			<u></u>		<u> </u>		`````````````````````````````````	<u>. '.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. <u>k</u>	<u> </u>	(TYP). NEW/	/ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SCULPTURE OTHERS)	: (BY	<u>_</u>
						Ø						HYDRO VAULT PROPOSED AT THIS	L			
												LOCATION		UG		
			10		A			Ç)			8	<u> </u>			











		1		
	1224 GAR KIN C PH	DINERS ROAD, SUITE 2 NGSTON, ONTARIO CANADA K7P 0G2 ONE: 613-634-7373 WWW.WSP.COM	01	_
CONSULTANT:				F
				⊲ P
SEAL:	ROFESSIONAL MUM AUMA M. J. FLOWERS 100173446 (2/23/Joll WINCE OF ON TANK	MEER	Hizon) E
CLIENT:				с —
CLIENT REF. #:	485 B/	ANK STREET, SUITI TTAWA, ON, K2P 1Z	E 200 2	0.
PROJECT:	gladst Mixed-use	ONE LORE E & RESIDE	tta Intial	D
KEY PLAN:		STE	NOR	
DISCLAIMER: THIS DRAWING / REVISED WITHO DIMENSIONS AN COMMENCING W THIS DRAWING I	AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT F UT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY D UTILITY LOCATIONS AND R /ORK. S NOT TO BE SCALED.	PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NG WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SH EPORT ALL ERRORS AND OW	DT BE USED, REPROD IALL CHECK AND VER IISSIONS PRIOR TO	
ISSUED FOR - I	REVISION:			
2 1 IS RE PROJECT NO:	2021-12-23 F 2021-04-14 IS DATE	RE-ISSUED FOR SIT SSUED FOR SITE P DESC	E PLAN CON LAN CONTRC RIPTION DATE:	TROL DL B
20M-01441 ORIGINAL SCA 1:250 DESIGNED BY: RN/MF DRAWN BY: JT/STR	-00 LE:		IF THIS BAR IS LONG, ADJUS PLOTTING	2U21 NOT 25mm ST YOUR SCALE.
CHECKED BY: MF DISCIPLINE:		CIVII	25mr	n
TITLE:	GLADSTO REMO	NE AND LORE DVALS PLAN I	ΕΤΤΑ	A
SHEET NUMBE	R:	R1.0		
SHEET #: ISSUE: RE-ISSU		6 OF 6	ROL	REV #
DATE OF: 202	21-12-23	4		



