

CLV GROUP DEVELOPMENTS INC.

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

## 951 GLADSTONE AVE & 145 LORETTA AVE N

APRIL 19, 2024





# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

951 GLADSTONE AVE &  
145 LORETTA AVE N

CLV GROUP DEVELOPMENTS INC.

PROJECT NO.: 20M-01441  
DATE: APRIL 2024

WSP  
SUITE 300  
2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON, CANADA K2B 8K2

TEL.: +1 613 829-2800  
FAX: +1 613 829-8299  
WSP.COM

---

# QUALITY MANAGEMENT

| ISSUE/REVISION | REMARKS                             | DATE        | PREPARED BY      | REVIEWED BY     | PROJECT NUMBER |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| First Issue    | Issued for Site Plan Application    | 9-Apr-2021  | Nick Zorn        | Michelle Hughes | 20M-01441-00   |
| Second Issue   | Re-Issued for Site Plan Application | 19-Apr-2024 | Jared Delpellaro | Michael Flowers | 20M-01441-00   |

---

# SIGNATURES

## PREPARED BY



---

Jared Delpellaro, E.I.T.  
Municipal Designer

## REVIEWED BY



---

Michael Flowers, P.Eng., PMP, LEED  
Municipal Engineer



This report was prepared by WSP for the account of CLV Group Developments Inc., in accordance with the professional services agreement. The disclosure of any information contained in this report is the sole responsibility of the intended recipient. The material in it reflects WSP's best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. WSP accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report. This limitations statement is considered part of this report.

The original of the technology-based document sent herewith has been authenticated and will be retained by WSP for a minimum of ten years. Since the file transmitted is now out of WSP's control and its integrity can no longer be ensured, no guarantee may be given with regards to any modifications made to this document.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| 1   | INTRODUCTION .....                            | 1 |
| 1.1 | Scope .....                                   | 1 |
| 1.2 | Site Location .....                           | 1 |
| 1.3 | Phasing .....                                 | 1 |
| 1.4 | Objectives .....                              | 2 |
| 1.5 | Design Criteria .....                         | 2 |
| 2   | PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS .....              | 3 |
| 2.1 | Existing Land-Use and Drainage Patterns ..... | 3 |
| 2.2 | Allowable Flow Rates .....                    | 4 |
| 3   | POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS .....             | 5 |
| 3.1 | Quantity Control .....                        | 5 |
| 3.2 | Quality Control .....                         | 6 |
| 4   | CONCLUSIONS .....                             | 7 |

---

## **TABLES**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| TABLE 1: EXISTING CONDITIONS PEAK FLOW .....   | 4 |
| TABLE 2: PROPOSED CATCHMENT AREA<br>PARAMETERS .....                                 | 5 |
| TABLE 3: PROPOSED CONDITIONS<br>(UNCONTROLLED) PEAK FLOWS ....                       | 5 |
| TABLE 4: PROPOSED CONDITIONS (CONTROLLED)<br>PEAK FLOWS AND VOLUME<br>UTILIZED ..... | 6 |

---

## **FIGURES**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| FIGURE 1: PROJECT LOCATION.....                                    | 1 |
| FIGURE 2: EXISTING DRAINAGE (LEFT) AND LAND<br>COVER (RIGHT) ..... | 3 |

---

## **APPENDICES**

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| <b>A</b> | PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING MINUTES |
| <b>B</b> | ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES    |
| <b>C</b> | CALCULATIONS                                 |
| <b>D</b> | CIVIL DRAWING                                |
| <b>E</b> | CATCHMENT MAP                                |

# 1 INTRODUCTION

---

## 1.1 SCOPE

WSP has been retained to provide civil engineering consulting services to support the Site Plan Approval application for mixed-use development at 951 Gladstone Ave and 145 Loretta Ave N. The proposed development has been broken up into three (3) parcels with one (1) tower on each parcel. This stormwater management (SWM) report examines the potential water quality and quantity impacts of the proposed development and details SWM measures to be provided to address these impacts in accordance with the City of Ottawa *Sewer Design Guidelines* (2012) and associated Technical Bulletins, Pre-application consultation meeting minutes (**Appendix A**), and the City of Ottawa *Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications* (2009). The associated submission checklist from the *Servicing Study Guidelines* has been included in **Appendix A**. The *Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services* completed by DSEL (2019) has been included in **Appendix B**.

---

## 1.2 SITE LOCATION

The site of the proposed development is located within the City of Ottawa, within the Kitchissippi Ward, as shown in **Figure 1**. The site is approximately 1 ha and is bounded by Gladstone Avenue to the south, Loretta Avenue to the west, the O-Train Trillium Line to the east, and 131 Loretta Ave (Loretta Studios and Gallery) to the north.



**Figure 1: Project Location**

---

## 1.3 PHASING

The proposed development is anticipated to be constructed in two (2) phases and will be split into three (3) parcels. The first phase will be the construction of Tower 3 located on the northernmost parcel. The second phase will be the

construction of tower 2 and Tower 1 which are located on the two (2) southern parcels. The stormwater management design of all three (3) towers and parcels has been considered herein although tower 2 and Tower 1 will be submitted under a separate Site Plan Control Application.

---

## 1.4 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this SWM plan are noted below:

- Determine the site-specific stormwater management requirements for the proposed development, as indicated by associated Provincial, Municipal, and Conservation Authority regulations and guidelines, as well as pre-consultation with the City of Ottawa and the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA).
  - In collaboration with the design team and the Client, develop a strategy to address the SWM criteria on-site. Complete calculations and analyses necessary to determine the required size of the SWM features and demonstrate compliance with the design criteria.
  - Produce a SWM report documenting the above tasks in a manner suitable for review by the City's development review department.
  - Address review comments by the City to refine and finalize the SWM report.
- 

## 1.5 DESIGN CRITERIA

Based on applicable design guidelines and standards, pre-application consultation with the City (**Appendix A**), and coordination with the City and RVCA completed previously by DSEL (**Appendix B**), the SWM design criteria for the development have been summarized below:

- Stormwater runoff from all events up to and including the 100-year storm will be controlled to 2-year pre-development rates, calculated using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of 0.5 or the actual existing site runoff coefficient.
- If proposing underground storage, stormwater detained on-site must be above the HGL of the receiving storm sewer.
- Storage volumes calculated using the Modified Rational Method must be calculated to control to 50% of the release rate. Otherwise, a hydrodynamic model should be used to calculate storage volume.
- Enhanced treatment (80% TSS removal) shall be provided to stormwater runoff prior to discharge off-site.



# 2 PRE-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

## 2.1 EXISTING LAND-USE AND DRAINAGE PATTERNS

The project site is approximately 1 ha in area and is currently occupied by several commercial buildings with paved and gravel parking areas, grassed boulevards and landscaped areas. In its existing condition, the site has an imperviousness of approximately 94% and a runoff coefficient of 0.85. Site runoff is generally collected by parking lot curbs and roof drains. The receiving storm sewer is a 1350-mm RCP that flows north along Loretta Ave alongside a 300-mm combined sewer. A combined sewer overflow (CSO) is located approximately 60 m north of the site. Drainage area and land use information is shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 2: Existing Drainage (left) and Land Cover (right)

---

## 2.2 ALLOWABLE FLOW RATES

As noted in Section 1.4, stormwater runoff from all events up to and including the 100-year storm will be controlled to 2-year pre-development rates, calculated using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of 0.5 or the actual existing site runoff coefficient. IDF parameters are as per the City of Ottawa *Sewer Design Guidelines* (2012) and shown below.

$$\begin{aligned} 100\text{-year intensity} &= 1735.688 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.014)^{0.820} \\ 50\text{-year intensity} &= 1569.580 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.014)^{0.820} \\ 25\text{-year intensity} &= 1402.884 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.018)^{0.819} \\ 10\text{-year intensity} &= 1174.184 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.014)^{0.816} \\ 5\text{-year intensity} &= 998.071 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.053)^{0.814} \\ 2\text{-year intensity} &= 732.951 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.199)^{0.810} \end{aligned}$$

Release rates using a runoff coefficient of 0.5 (smaller than the actual existing site runoff coefficient) calculated using PCSWMM have been shown in **Table 1**. PCSWMM output has been included in **Appendix C**.

**Table 1: Existing Conditions Peak Flow**

| Storm Event | Peak Flow (L/s) |
|-------------|-----------------|
| 2-year      | 100             |
| 5-year      | 140             |
| 10-year     | 170             |
| 25-year     | 210             |
| 50-year     | 240             |
| 100-year    | 270             |

Site runoff from all events up to and including the 100-year storm must therefore be controlled to the release rate of 100 L/s.

# 3 POST-DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

The proposed development will consist of three (3) high rise mixed-use towers with two levels of underground parking. The development will be constructed in two (2) phases and will retain the Standard Bread Building (constructed in 1924). At-grade features include driveways, pedestrian walkways, limited vehicular parking, landscaped features and plaza areas. The proposed development has been subdivided into three (3) post development catchment areas; B1, B2, and B3. The catchment areas parameters are shown in **Table 2**. Catchment maps are included in **Appendix E**.

**Table 2: Proposed Catchment Area Parameters**

|                    | B1     | B2     | B3     |
|--------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Area (ha)          | 0.22   | 0.34   | 0.44   |
| Imperviousness     | 86.36% | 82.35% | 90.45% |
| Runoff Coefficient | 0.84   | 0.81   | 0.87   |

Uncontrolled peak flow rates of stormwater runoff generated by the site in response to the 2- through 100-year storm events have been shown in **Table 3**. PCSWMM output is included in **Appendix C**.

**Table 3: Proposed Conditions (Uncontrolled) Peak Flows**

| Storm Event | B1 - Peak Flow (L/s) | B2 - Peak Flow (L/s) | B3 - Peak Flow (L/s) | Total (L/s) |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 2-year      | 40                   | 60                   | 90                   | 190         |
| 5-year      | 60                   | 90                   | 120                  | 270         |
| 10-year     | 70                   | 100                  | 150                  | 320         |
| 25-year     | 80                   | 130                  | 170                  | 380         |
| 50-year     | 100                  | 140                  | 190                  | 430         |
| 100-year    | 110                  | 160                  | 220                  | 490         |

As shown in **Appendix D**, surface grading has been completed to direct all site runoff toward CBs and storm sewers. Runoff will subsequently drain to one (1) of three (3) underground storage tank and oil-grit separator (OGS), one (1) located within each parcel, before the flow outlets into the Loretta Ave storm sewer. Stormwater management is detailed further in the following subsections. During conveyance of storm events that exceed the capacity of the storm sewer system, runoff will drain away from the buildings toward the major flow route outlet into Loretta Avenue ROW, as shown in **Appendix D**.

## 3.1 QUANTITY CONTROL

As discussed in Section 1.4 and 2.2, runoff from 2- through 100-year events must be controlled on-site to a release rate of 100 L/s. To this end, one (1) underground tank will be required for B1, B2, and B3 with sizes of 80 m<sup>3</sup>, 110 m<sup>3</sup>, and 155 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively to achieve the quantity control requirements of the site. The three (3) tanks will be located within each of the catchment areas to store runoff from the respective catchment area. As shown in **Appendix D**, the outlet of the tank will include a 100-mm orifice plate for catchment B1 and a 125-mm orifice plate for catchment areas B2 and B3. Controlled peak flow rates downstream of the storage tank and the associated storage volume utilized have been summarized in **Table 4**. PCSWMM output is included in **Appendix C**.

**Table 4: Proposed Conditions (Controlled) Peak Flows and Volume Utilized**

| Storm Event | Catchment B1    |                                    | Catchment B2    |                                    | Catchment B3    |                                    | Total           |                                    |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
|             | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storage Utilized (m <sup>3</sup> ) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storage Utilized (m <sup>3</sup> ) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storage Utilized (m <sup>3</sup> ) | Peak Flow (L/s) | Storage Utilized (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| 2-year      | 11              | 28                                 | 19              | 36                                 | 25              | 55                                 | 55              | 119                                |
| 5-year      | 13              | 40                                 | 24              | 54                                 | 30              | 80                                 | 67              | 174                                |
| 10-year     | 15              | 49                                 | 27              | 66                                 | 34              | 97                                 | 76              | 212                                |
| 25-year     | 17              | 60                                 | 31              | 82                                 | 38              | 119                                | 86              | 261                                |
| 50-year     | 18              | 69                                 | 33              | 95                                 | 40              | 136                                | 91              | 300                                |
| 100-year    | 19              | 78                                 | 36              | 107                                | 43              | 153                                | 98              | 338                                |

The phase 1 works will include the construction of Tower 3 and all proposed works within the most northern parcel of the site. Included in the phase 1 works all storm sewers, catchbasins, and stormwater storage tank within the parcel will be implemented to convey and control the stormwater runoff within the parcel. As part of the initiation of the phase 1 works existing stormwater drainage paths from the existing southern parcels will be maintained.

The existing buildings located on the southern two (2) parcels of the sites and all features within the southern two (2) parcels will be developed in phase 2.

## 3.2 QUALITY CONTROL

As noted in Section 1.4, Enhanced treatment (80% TSS removal) must be provided to runoff prior to discharge from the site. This requirement will be achieved through the use of a Hydro International First Defence FD-4HC (or equivalent) OGS units for all three catchment areas, as shown in **Appendix C**. The three (3) OGS's will be located downstream of the storage tanks, as shown in **Appendix D**. As noted in Section 3.0, site grading has been completed to ensure the entire 1.0-ha site drains to the OGS units.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

WSP has completed stormwater management analysis, calculations, and reporting in support of the Site Plan Application for the proposed development at 951 Gladstone Ave & 145 Loretta Ave N. Stormwater management requirements for the site have been determined and associated on-site quantity and quality control infrastructure has been sized. An underground storage tank with a minimum storage of 78 m<sup>3</sup> will be provided with a 100-mm outlet orifice plate for catchment area B1. An underground storage tank with a minimum storage of 107 m<sup>3</sup> will be provided with a 125-mm outlet orifice plate for catchment area B2. An underground storage tank with a minimum storage of 153 m<sup>3</sup> will be provided with a 125-mm outlet orifice plate for catchment area B3. The three (3) storage tanks and orifice plates will control the post-development peak flows for the 2- through 100-year storm events to a pre-development of 100 L/s. Enhanced treatment (80% TSS removal) of runoff will be provided using a Hydro International First Defence FD-4HC (or equivalent) OGS.

# APPENDIX

**A**

PRE-APPLICATION  
CONSULTATION MEETING  
MINUTES

## **Formal Pre-application Consultation Meeting Minutes**

Address: 951 Gladstone Avenue & 145 Loretta Avenue North  
Formal Pre-consultation File No.: PC2020-0113 (Site Plan Control)

Date: Monday June 8, 2020, 11:00am to noon

Location: Videoconference – Teams

City Contact: Ann O'Connor

### **City of Ottawa Invitees:**

Ann O'Connor – Planner, Development Review, PIED - [ann.oconnor@ottawa.ca](mailto:ann.oconnor@ottawa.ca)

Mark Fraser – Infrastructure Project Manager, PIED – [mark.fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:mark.fraser@ottawa.ca)

Wally Dubyk – Transportation Project Manager, PIED – [wally.dubyk@ottawa.ca](mailto:wally.dubyk@ottawa.ca)

Randolph Wang – Urban Designer, ROWHUD – [Randolph.wang@ottawa.ca](mailto:Randolph.wang@ottawa.ca)

MacKenzie Kimm – Heritage Program Manager, ROWHUD – [lesley.collins@ottawa.ca](mailto:lesley.collins@ottawa.ca)

### **Community Association Representative:**

Linda Hoad – Hintonburg Community Association – [linda.hoad@teksavvy.com](mailto:linda.hoad@teksavvy.com)

### **Applicant Team:**

Jenn Morrison – CLV (Owner) – [jennifer.morrison@clvgroup.com](mailto:jennifer.morrison@clvgroup.com)

Oz Drewniak – CLV (Owner) – [oz.drewniak@clvgroup.com](mailto:oz.drewniak@clvgroup.com)

Maria J. Martinez – PBC Group (Owner) – [mmartinez@pbcgroup.ca](mailto:mmartinez@pbcgroup.ca)

Aaron Cameron – Trinity Group (Project Manager) – [acameron@trinity-group.com](mailto:acameron@trinity-group.com)

Paul Black – Planner, Fotenn (Planning) – [black@fotenn.com](mailto:black@fotenn.com)

Scott Alain – Planner, Fotenn (Planning) – [alain@fotenn.com](mailto:alain@fotenn.com)

Barry Hobin – Architect (Hobin Architects) – [bjhobin@hobinarc.com](mailto:bjhobin@hobinarc.com)

Todd Duckworth – Architect (Hobin Architects) – [tduckworth@hobinarc.com](mailto:tduckworth@hobinarc.com)

Jafferjee Ishaque – WSP (Civil Engineer) – [guy.somers@wsp.com](mailto:guy.somers@wsp.com)

Michael Jans – WSP (Civil Engineer) – [michael.jans@wsp.com](mailto:michael.jans@wsp.com)

Ben Worth – WSP (Civil Engineer) – [ben.worth@wsp.com](mailto:ben.worth@wsp.com)

### **Introductions and Acknowledgements**

- Round table introductions
- Acknowledgement that Linda Hoad is in attendance representing the Hintonburg Community Association and has signed an NDA.

### **Overview of Proposal (applicant team)**

- Jenn Morrison and Oz Drewniak confirm that CLV and PBC have purchased the property from Trinity
  - Trinity will remain on the file as consultants
  - CLV and PBC are excited to be involved in the project
- Paul Black provides an overview of the status of the associated Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications

- The team is working through the noise issues with the Canadian Bank Note building.
  - Proposal is to be a Mixed-Use Centre in parking Area Z
  - The Site Plan Control process will implement and refine the previous designs.
- Todd and Barry provide an overview of the design of the Site Plan proposal

## **Preliminary Comments from the City**

### ***Planning Comments (Ann O'Connor)***

- Based on the current proposal and policy context, the following applications and processes will apply:
  - Site Plan Control, New, Complex, Non-Rural application (potentially multiple, depending on the phasing / timing for construction of the entire site)
  - Formal Review at the Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP) during the application process is recommended.
- The associated Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments are on-going and are to be followed through the Site Plan Control submission. Depending on the timing of the Site Plan Control submission, please provide an update on progress on the outstanding items for the associated applications.
- A Planning Rationale should address the policy context, including the proposed new policy designations, the Draft Gladstone Station District Secondary Plan, and all applicable urban design guidelines.
- The submission should also address the proposed phasing for the development.

### ***Infrastructure Comments (Mark Fraser)***

- An application to consolidate the parcels of land will be required otherwise the proposed stormwater works will be servicing more than one parcel of land and thus does not meet the exemption set out in O.Reg. 525/98. This would mean an ECA would be required regardless of who owns the parcels.
- Concerns about roadway drainage spilling into the underground parking garage. Please make sure that the entrances to the underground garage is 0.30m higher than the spill point on the street. Entrance should not be located within a sag (low point) in the road.
- A deep excavation and dewatering operations have the potential to cause damages to the neighboring adjacent buildings/ City infrastructure. Document that construction activities (excavation, dewatering, vibrations associated with




construction, etc.) will not have an impact on any adjacent buildings and infrastructure.

- The developer shall be aware that the City is planning on reconstructing Loretta Ave. N. in 2021 (road, sewer and water). As the development is planned to occur during the same time-period as the City project, works will need to be coordinated. The Owner may encounter potential restrictions and delays associated with the development of the lands, which will be reasonably mitigated through coordination of construction activities, as required. The developer shall contact and consult with Marc Tremblay (ext. 14391), City of Ottawa Project Manager Infrastructure Services, as early as possible to obtain design drawings and to coordinate the planned works, ensuring the projects will function together.
- A Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change in property use from industrial to residential.
- A 1372mm dia. backbone watermain and Trunk Sewers are located within Loretta Ave. N and Gladstone Ave. Please note that in order to ensure the integrity of the nearby watermain and sewers during construction the applicant will be required to develop a Vibration Monitoring Program. A Vibration Monitoring Specialist Engineer shall undertake vibration monitoring, develop a vibration monitoring plan, and prepare a protection plan, an emergency response plan, ensure conformance and shall issue certificates of conformance. The Vibration Monitoring Specialist Engineer shall be a licensed engineer in the Province of Ontario with a minimum of five years of experience in the field of Vibration Monitoring. Vibration monitors are to be placed directly on the watermain. The Maximum Peak Particle Velocities are to be in accordance with Table 1 of the City of Ottawa Specification F-1201.

**General:**

- It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area to avoid any conflict with utilities. The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an Existing Conditions Plan.
- All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plans to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the existing property lines and sight triangles and/or future road widening protection limits.
- Please note that the proposed servicing design and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:
  - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
  - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
  - Technical Bulletins ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2018-03.

- Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, MECP, 2008
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, MECP, March 2003
- Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
- City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
- City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January 2016)
- City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (November 2015) (City recommends development be in accordance with these standards on private property)
- Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
- Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
- Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at [InformationCentre@ottawa.ca](mailto:InformationCentre@ottawa.ca) or by phone at (613) 580-424 x.44455). Include copies in the Appendix of the report as supporting documentation.



**Disclaimer:**  
*The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the data and information contained on the above image(s) and does not assume any responsibility or liability with respect to any damage or loss arising from the use or interpretation of the image(s) provided. This image is for schematic purposes only.*

**Stormwater Management Criteria (Quantity and Quality Control) and Information:**

- Refer to the Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report prepared by DSEL dated August 2019-REV.2 in support of the OPA and ZBLA applications for servicing and SWM requirements.
- Water Quantity Control: Control post-development runoff, up to and including the 100-year storm event, to a 2-year pre-development level. The pre-development runoff coefficient will need to be determined using the smaller of a runoff coefficient of  $C=0.5$  or the actual existing site runoff coefficient. The time of concentration used to determine the pre-development condition will be the larger of 10min. or the calculated time of concentration. [Tc should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; Tc of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations].
- Any storm events greater than the calculated 2-year allowable release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, shall be detained on-site by appropriate SWM measures to avoid impact on the downstream sewer system.
- Water Quality Control: An enhanced quality level of protection (80% TSS Removal) is required to be achieved for this development. Please consult with the local conservation authority (RVCA) regarding water quality criteria and requirements prior to submission of an application. It is consultant's responsibility to check with the RVCA for quality control issues and include this information in the SWM report.
- Compare pre-development flows to post-developments flows in the SWM report.
- The receiving storm sewer system is uncontrolled therefore subject to surcharge (HGL will be elevated for events greater than the 2-year). The impact from the receiving system HGL will need to be considered if proposing underground storage The SWM solution will need to be designed accordingly. The storm connection will need to be above the receiving sewer HGL.
- If rooftop control and storage is considered as part of the SWM solution sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and documented in the report and on the plans. A roof drainage plan and detailed roof drain summary table with supporting drain manufacturer information will be required. The roof drainage plan will need to document roof drain type, flow rates, emergency scupper locations and spill over elevations and ponding areas.
- Please note that the HGL within the receiving sewer system will need to be assessed if underground storage (cistern) is proposed as part of the stormwater management solution to ensure the system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective do to a loss in available storage.
- Underground Storage: Underground storage volumes are to be based on 50% peak flow rates or use dynamic compute model. The Modified Rational Method

for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.

- When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.
- In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.
- Note that the above will be added to upcoming revised Sewer Design Guidelines to account for underground storage, which is now widely used.
- If a storage tank (internal cistern) is considered as part of the SWM solution sufficient details and system information will need to be provided. A detailed cross-section of such system (provided from the mechanical engineer and shown on the plans) with sufficient details and information (HWLs, release rate, volume, location, size (dimensions), control device, emergency flow outlet and backflow protection, etc.) will need to be provided. An appropriate emergency overflow location will need to be determined and documented. Backup power supply necessary if pump controlled. Details regarding the proposed on-site stormwater management system are to be provided for review.
- Please include a Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan to define the pre-development drainage areas/patterns. Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution. Runoff shall not be directed toward to adjacent LRT corridor.

**Storm Sewer:**

- Existing 1350mm storm trunk sewer within Loretta Ave. N. and Gladstone Ave. and a 375mm dia. storm sewer within Gladstone Ave. draining to the Ottawa River.
- A storm sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-

Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3) Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.

- As-built drawings of the existing services within the vicinity of the site are available and Loretta Ave. N. road, sewer and watermain reconstruction plans are to be obtained from Infrastructure Services and reviewed in order to determine proper servicing and SWM plan for the subject site.
- Foundation drainage system details are to be discussed in the report and document how the system will be integrated into the servicing design. Please note that foundation drain is to be independently connected to sewermain unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention.

**Sanitary Sewer:**

- The subject site is located within the Mooney's Bay Trunk Collector Sewer area.
- Existing 1050mm Mooney's Bay sanitary trunk collector sewer within Loretta Ave. N. and Gladstone Ave and 250mm dia. sanitary sewer within Gladstone Ave.
- An analysis and demonstration that there is sufficient/adequate residual capacity to accommodate any increase in wastewater flows in the receiving and downstream wastewater system is required to be provided. It is suggested to calculate the total peak wastewater demand for the proposed development and send it to the City as soon as possible in advance of a submission of an application, as an initial step to determine whether or not there is sufficient capacity in the city system to accommodate the proposed wastewater flow. Please note that it takes approx. 10 business days to get a response back from the internal circulation.
- The groundwater at this site has been found to be contaminated. Any groundwater material discharged from an onsite groundwater remediation system is required to be directed to the sanitary sewer system as per the Sewer Use By-law.
- The sanitary sewer criteria shall reflect the new Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01.
- A sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) (2)(3)Monitoring Devices as the site will have a commercial component with the residential development.
- A backwater valve is required on the sanitary service for protection.

## Water:

- A local 203mm dia. PVC watermain is located within Gladstone Ave. and a local 203mm dia. UCI watermain is located within Loretta Ave. N. The existing 200mm dia. UCI watermain on Loretta Ave. N. is planned to be replaced within a new 200mm dia. PVC watermain as part of the road reconstruction project.
- A connection to the 1371 dia. backbone watermain within Loretta Ave. N. will not be permitted.
- Water Supply Redundancy: Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m<sup>3</sup>/day (0.57 L/s) are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area as per the Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, WDG001, July 2010 Clause 4.3.1 Configuration. This proposed development will required two (2) separate water service connections if the basic day demand for this site exceeds 50m<sup>3</sup>/day. There shall be a primary water service (Loretta Ave. N.) and a secondary connection (Gladstone Ave.). This is a corner lot so we will not support the installation of a new isolation valve on the City watermain to satisfy this requirement.
- Include a hydrant coverage figure and demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the building per Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 . Multiple municipal hydrants will be required for fire protection.
- Boundary conditions, HGL, shall be requested and a hydraulic analysis completed to show that there is adequate flow and pressure in the water distribution system to meet the required water demands. Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons. provide the following information to the City of Ottawa via email to request water distribution network boundary conditions for the subject site. Please note that once this information has been provided to the City of Ottawa it takes approximately 5-10 business days to receive boundary conditions.
  - Type of Development and Units
  - Site Address (Street Number and Name)
  - Location of service(s).
  - A plan showing the proposed water service connection locations.
  - Average Daily Demand (L/s)
  - Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)
  - Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
  - Required Fire Flow (L/min) FUS calculations are to be provided with request for boundary conditions.
  - [Fire flow demand requirements shall be based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Water Supply for Public Fire Protection
  - 1999 and Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02]

- Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the FUS calculation and required fore flow (RFF).
- Fire flow demands will be inputted as point loads at each connection separately unless otherwise noted. A multi-hydrant analysis can be requested if necessary.
- If fire protection is provided by existing municipal hydrants, hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Identify which hydrants are being considered to meet the RFF on a fire hydrant coverage figure as part of the boundary conditions request.
- Hydrant capacity shall be assessed if relying on any public hydrants to provide fire protection particularly if high design fire flows are being proposed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Refer to Table 1: Maximum flow to be considered from a given hydrant in Appendix I of Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02. Appropriate fire protection mitigation measures shall be investigated/proposed to lower the RFF for the site to an appropriate level.
- The subject site is located within the 1W Pressure Zone.

**Permits and Approvals:**

- The consultant shall determine if this project will be subject to an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for Private Sewage Works. It shall be determined if the exemptions set out in Ontario Regulation 525/98: Approval Exemptions are satisfied. All regulatory approvals shall be documented and discussed in the report.

**Source Protection Policy Screening:**

- The address lies within the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Region and is subject to the policies of the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan.
- The entire property lies within the Surface Water Intake Protection Zone (IPZ) for the Ottawa River (Lemieux) Intake, IPZ-2 (vulnerability score of 8.1) where significant threat policies apply. Policies are only applicable for significant drinking water threat activities as outlined in the Clean Water Act.
  - The *Clean Water Act* Tables of Circumstances identify circumstances under which certain activities would be considered a significant threat to drinking water within certain designated vulnerable area, and the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan contains policies related to significant drinking water threat activities to protect the drinking water supply.
  - Activities that may be considered a significant drinking water threat within the IPZ-2 (score 8.1) include the following:
    - Untreated stormwater from a stormwater retention pond
      - Note that a stormwater management facility is only considered a significant drinking water threat within this zone

if the facility drains more than 100 ha of industrial/commercial land.

- Sewage treatment plant effluent discharges
  - Combined sewer discharge from a stormwater outlet
  - Sewage treatment plant bypass discharge
  - Industrial effluent discharge
  - Waste disposal site
  - Agricultural activities (application or storage of manure or chemical fertilizers or pesticides, or use of land for livestock grazing)
- Based on the information available to date, the proposed activity does not meet the circumstances to be considered a significant drinking water threat, thus there are no applicable legally-binding source protection policies.
- The area is not within a Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA).
  - The area is located within a Highly Vulnerable Aquifer (HVA). Note that there are no legally binding policies under the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan for activities within Highly Vulnerable Aquifers.
  - The area is not within a Significant Groundwater Recharge Area.

**Capital Works:**

- The developer shall be aware that the City is planning on reconstructing Lorretta Ave. N. in 2021 (road, sewer and water). As the development is planned to occur during the same time-period as the City project, works will need to be coordinated. The Owner may encounter potential restrictions and delays associated with the development of the lands, which will be reasonably mitigated through coordination of construction activities, as required. The developer shall contact and consult with Marc Tremblay (ext. 14391), City of Ottawa Project Manager Infrastructure Services, as early as possible to obtain design drawings and to coordinate the planned works, ensuring the projects will function together.

**Sight Triangle and Any Road widening Requirement (By Transportation Project Manager Wally Dubyk)**

**Required Engineering Plans and Studies in Support of SPC application:**

**PLANS:**

- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan
- Site Servicing Plan (includes Profile Detail of the proposed service connections and crossings)
- Grade Control and Drainage Plan
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan



- Post-Development Drainage Area Plan
- Roof Drainage Plan w/ Roof Drain Summary Table (if rooftop SWM storage is being considered)
- Stormwater Storage System Detail (Cistern Details from the Mechanical Engineer if being considered)
- Foundation Drainage System Details
- Legal Survey Plan
- Site Lighting Plan, Photometric Plan and Site Lighting Certification Letter

#### **REPORTS:**

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report
- Geotechnical Study/Investigation
- Detailed Noise Study (Transportation Noise Assessment, Stationary Noise Assessment, Class 4 Designation)
- Vibration Study
- Phase I ESA (in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04)
- Phase II ESA
- Record of Site Condition (RSC) will be required for this property.
- Wind Study (Type 1 Wind Analysis)
- LRT Proximity Study

#### **Servicing Report Template and Guidelines:**

- Please find attached the Servicing Report Template & Study Guidelines” and prepare the servicing study accordingly. For capacity issue, please see section 3.2.1 page 3-3 and follow this section. A completed checklist with corresponding references from the servicing study is mandatory for the completeness of the study. Please add a completed checklist in the report. Please ensure you are using current guidelines, by-laws and standards.
- Please refer to the City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]:
- <https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/developing-property/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans>

#### **Phase One Environmental Site Assessment (Official Plan Section 4.8.4):**

- A NEW updated Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 (not per CSA standards) in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. The 2017 Phase I ESA will not be accepted.
- A NEW updated Phase II ESA will be required in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04. Assessment of potential off-site migration to be reflected in the updated report. The 2017 Phase II ESA will not be accepted.

- A Site Remediation Action Plan and potential off-site Contamination Management Plan will be required to be provided and will be subject to City review and approval. The remediation action plan must detail all remedial activities, method of disposal for contaminated soil and groundwater and volume of disposed contaminated soil and groundwater.
- The Phase I ESA shall discuss the requirement to file a RSC with the Ministry. A Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04 will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change in property use from commercial (less sensitive) to residential (more sensitive). As per the Official Plan (4.8.4) we do not consider an RSC acknowledged by the Ministry until either its has been confirmed that it will not be audited or it has passed the Ministry audit.
- Please also note that in the event soil and/or groundwater contamination is identified on this site and the proposal is for a more sensitive land use, the MECP will require approximately 1-1.5 years to review the RSC. PIED will apply appropriate conditions, based on Environmental Protection Act (Section 168.3.1 (1)) and O.Reg. 153/04 (Parts IV and V) regarding requirements for RSC prior to building permit issuance. Dependent on the levels/types of contamination, timelines for building permit issuance may be longer than expected and we recommend applicant speak to Building Code Services, at the earliest convenience, so as to discuss these timelines in more detail, if deemed applicable.
- Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) report is required to be included as part of the Phase I ESA.
  - <https://www.ontario.ca/page/guide-completing-phase-one-environmental-site-assessments-under-ontario-regulation-15304>
  - <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/regulation/040153#BK43>

**Geotechnical Investigation (Official Plan Section 4.8.3):**

- A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- As per the recommendations of the Due Diligence Geotechnical Investigation Report prepared by DST Consulting Engineers Inc. a Hydrogeological Investigation and Ground Settlement Analysis and Impact Assessment due to dewatering are required to investigate the effect of short-term and long-term lowering of the groundwater level and the impact on the adjacent lands and existing neighboring structures. The City is concerned that reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to

ensure there will be no short term and long term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.

- Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications.
- <https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/cap137602.pdf>

#### **Noise Study:**

- A Transportation Noise Assessment is required as the subject development is located within 100m of Gladstone Ave. (Major Collector Road), adjacent to light rail transit corridor (Trillium Line), and within 500m of HWY 417.
- A Stationary Noise Assessment is required in order to assess the noise impact of the proposed sources of stationary noise (mechanical HVAC system/equipment) of the development onto the surrounding residential area to ensure the noise levels do not exceed allowable limits specified in the City Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- Detailed Noise Study in Support of Class 4 Designation that verifies applicable sound level limits will be met at the new noise sensitive land use with the appropriate mitigation measures for all noise sources to achieve a Class 4 designation.
- Noise Study shall be consistent with the City's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- [https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/enviro\\_noise\\_guide\\_en.pdf](https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/enviro_noise_guide_en.pdf)

#### **Vibration Study [Official Plan Section 4.8.7]:**

- LRT Vibration Assessment/Study is required to be undertaken as the subject site is located within 75m of the light-rail transit corridor (Trillium Line).
- Vibration mitigation and warning clauses required if vibration levels due to LRT activity are determined to be above acceptable limits.

#### **Wind Study:**

- Windy Analysis, required as the development exceeds 10-storeys.
- [https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents/files/torwindanalysis\\_en.pdf](https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf)

#### **Exterior Site Lighting:**

- Any proposed light fixtures (both pole-mounted and wall mounted) must be part of the approved Site Plan. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for Full Cut-off Classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of

North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the please provide the City with a Site Lighting Plan, Photometric Plan and Certification (Statement) Letter from an acceptable professional engineer stating that the design is compliant.

***Transportation Comments (Wally Dubyk)***

- A TIA reflecting the most up to date proposal is required.
- Gladstone Avenue is designated as a Major Collector roadway

***Urban Design Comments (Randolph Wang)***

- The site is not within a Design Priority Area currently. But the project was reviewed by the UDRP previously for the OPA and ZBLA. UDRP review is highly recommended for the site plan control process.
- A Design Brief is required for the site plan control application. The Terms of Reference for the Design Brief is attached for reference. Please note that:
  - A secondary wind study is required as detailed in the City's [Terms of Reference](#). The preliminary wind study, including the Addendum has found a few challenging conditions on the site, particularly in the POPS between Towers 2 and 3. The design should address to these findings and the detailed design measures should be tested for their effectiveness.
  - A shadow study is also required to reflect the latest massing option.
- With respect to the design, please consider the following. Please note some of these comments were provided previously through the OPA and ZBLA process but have not been addressed to-date.
  - Stepping back the top of the podium along Loretta.
  - Providing an architectural reveal between the podium and Tower 1.
  - Examining the horizontal relationship between the base of the heritage building and the base of the podium, including the three dimensional effects.
  - Considering the material palette of towers, and exploring opportunities for contextualization (The materials proposed look very similar to those used in some of the recent projects done by the architect).
  - Extending the POPS between Towers 2 and 3 to Lorretta.
  - Designing the drop-off area as a forecourt where people and cars can mingle.
  - Mitigating the impacts the parking ramp on the forecourt (drop-off area).
  - Considering a transition zone between the POPS and the MUP along the O-Train, and resolving the relationship between the POPS, the MUP, and the walkway east of Tower 2.

### ***Heritage Comments (MacKenzie Kimm)***

- As Council issued their notice of intention to designate this property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act at the time of the ZBA and OPA associated with this proposal, a heritage permit application will be required to facilitate the alterations to the property.
- The heritage permit application should be submitted concurrently with the Site Plan and staff recommend visiting the UDRP prior to the submission of the heritage permit package.
- Staff can follow up with the applicant directly in terms of application requirements when they are preparing for the submission. As discussed in the meeting, a Phase II of the Cultural Heritage Impact Statement (CHIS) will be required as part of the Site Plan and Heritage applications.
- Staff will also follow up with the details on application type and the associated fee closer to the submission.
- The CHIS should provide details on the conservation approach, identify any impacts and propose mitigation measures, as well as outline the specific recommendations for how the work will be undertaken, as part of an associated Conservation Plan.
- Staff continue to have questions about the following aspects of the proposal, which will require further consideration:
  - The treatment of the entry/entrance to the designated building as well as any sign board being proposed
  - The treatment of the west façade and how the glass link will be attached to the heritage building
  - The relationship between the horizontal features of the heritage building (cornice, windows, sills/lintels, entrance etc. ) and those of the podium for Tower 1, particularly at both bases
  - The ground floor expression of the podium for Tower 1, particularly the canopies which may distract from the heritage building
  - How the interior columns (identified as heritage attributes) will be incorporated into the interior floor plan design
  - How the paint will be removed on the exterior
  - The introduction of the residential-style windows on the east façade/ how the existing openings on this façade are to be incorporated and conserved

### ***Environmental Planner Comments (Matthew Haley)***

- An EIS is required to address potential species at risk habitat.

### ***Forestry Comments (Mark Richardson)***

- A Tree Conservation Report, which can be included in the Landscape Plan, is required.

- The TCR must address all trees on the site, and all trees on adjacent sites if the Critical Root Zone extends onto the development site.
- Below is the list of TCR requirements:
  1. a Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City; an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval
  2. any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Urban Tree Conservation Bylaw; the permit is based on the approved TCR
  3. any removal of City-owned trees will require the permission of Forestry Services who will also review the submitted TCR
  4. for this site, the TCR may be combined with the Landscape Plan provided all information is clearly displayed
  5. the TCR must list all trees on site by species, diameter and health condition – separate stands of trees may be combined using averages
  6. the TCR must address all trees with a critical root zone that extends into the developable area – all trees that could be impacted by the construction that are outside the developable area need to be addressed.
  7. trees with a trunk that crosses/touches a property line are considered co-owned by both property owners; permission from the adjoining property owner must be obtained prior to the removal of co-owned trees
  8. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they can not be retained – please provide a plan showing retained and removed treed areas
  9. All retained trees must be shown and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines listed on Ottawa.ca
    - a. the location of tree protection fencing must be shown on a plan
    - b. include distance indicators from the trunk of the retained tree to the nearest part of the tree protection fencing
    - c. show the critical root zone of the retained trees
    - d. if excavation will occur within the critical root zone, please show the limits of excavation and calculate the percentage of the area that will be disturbed
  10. the City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.
  11. Please ensure newly planted trees have an adequate soil volume for their size at maturity. The minimum recommended soil volumes are:

| Tree Type/Size | Single Tree Soil Volume (m3) | Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree) |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ornamental     | 15                           | 9                                   |

|          |    |    |
|----------|----|----|
| Columnar | 15 | 9  |
| Small    | 20 | 12 |
| Medium   | 25 | 15 |
| Large    | 30 | 18 |
| Conifer  | 25 | 15 |

- For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson [mark.richardson@ottawa.ca](mailto:mark.richardson@ottawa.ca)

### **Hintonburg Community Association Representative Comments (Linda Hoad)**

- Standard Bread building
  - very pleased that the building will be leased to the artists on a subsidized basis using Section 37 funds
  - looking forward to the Heritage Permit Application and the CHIS part 2 including the conservation approach and plan
  - concern about the Gladston Station sign shown on the heritage building – glad to learn that it is a placeholder only. The Heritage Permit Application should address signage – suggest that the tenants be involved in designing the signage
  - unfortunate that the live/work units are not intended to be ‘affordable’ (or at least some of them)
- Live/work units
  - suggest that city work on a definition of this type of use which seems to be useful addition to the mix of units in a Mixed-Use Zone
- POPS
  - glad to learn that these spaces do not replace CIL of parkland
  - other than the link between Loretta and the MUP/Transit Station, I do not find these spaces attractive or useful to the public – residents, office employees maybe
  - good signage will be required to ensure that the public know that the link exists and is public, not private
- Bicycle Parking
  - more needed since times are changing
  - many people who are car free (and many will have to be in these residential towers) own more than one bike
  - the present situation is encouraging more people to use bicycles and cities are devoting more road space to bikes and pedestrians – this change is almost certainly permanent for many residents

### **Next Steps**

- Refine the proposal to address issues raised through the pre-consultation.

- It is recommended that the applicant team seek continued input from the Ward Councillor Jeff Leiper, Community Associations, and neighbouring property owners.



# APPENDIX

**B**

ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY  
OF PUBLIC SERVICES

**ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF  
PUBLIC SERVICES**

**FOR**

**TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC.  
145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH  
& 951 GLADSTONE AVENUE**

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 18-1026  
CITY APPLICATION NO.: D07-12-XX-XXXX

NOVEMBER 2019 – REV. 3  
© DSEL



**ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES  
FOR  
145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH  
& 951 GLADSTONE AVENUE**

**TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|            |   |           |
|------------|---|-----------|
| <b>1.0</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>                             | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1        | Existing Conditions .....                             | 2         |
| 1.2        | Required Permits / Approvals .....                    | 3         |
| 1.3        | Pre-consultation.....                                 | 3         |
| <b>2.0</b> | <b>GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS.....</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| 2.1        | Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports.....        | 4         |
| <b>3.0</b> | <b>WATER SUPPLY SERVICING .....</b>                   | <b>6</b>  |
| 3.1        | Existing Water Supply Services.....                   | 6         |
| 3.2        | Water Supply Servicing Design .....                   | 6         |
| 3.3        | Water Supply Conclusion .....                         | 8         |
| <b>4.0</b> | <b>WASTEWATER SERVICING.....</b>                      | <b>9</b>  |
| 4.1        | Existing Wastewater Services .....                    | 9         |
| 4.2        | Wastewater Design .....                               | 9         |
| 4.3        | Wastewater Servicing Conclusions .....                | 11        |
| <b>5.0</b> | <b>STORMWATER MANAGEMENT .....</b>                    | <b>12</b> |
| 5.1        | Existing Stormwater Services.....                     | 12        |
| 5.2        | Post-development Stormwater Management Target .....   | 12        |
| 5.3        | Proposed Stormwater Management System .....           | 13        |
| 5.4        | Stormwater Servicing Conclusions .....                | 14        |
| <b>6.0</b> | <b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>           | <b>15</b> |

## **FIGURES**

Figure 1 Site Location

## **TABLES**

Table 1 Water Demand Existing Conditions  
Table 2 Water Supply Design Criteria  
Table 3 Water Demand and Boundary Conditions  
Contemplated Conditions  
Table 4 Summary of Estimated Existing Peak Wastewater  
Flow  
Table 5 Wastewater Design Criteria  
Table 6 Summary of Estimated Contemplated Peak  
Wastewater Flow  
Table 7 Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates  
Table 8 Stormwater Flow Rate Summary  
Table 9 Summary of 100-Year HGL Levels

## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A Pre-consultation Notes  
Appendix B Water Supply  
Appendix C Wastewater Collection  
Appendix D Stormwater Management  
Drawings / Figures Proposed Site Plan

**ASSESSMENT OF ADEQUACY OF PUBLIC SERVICES  
FOR  
145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH  
& 951 GLADSTONE AVENUE  
TRINITY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC.  
NOVEMBER 2019 – REV. 3**

**CITY OF OTTAWA  
PROJECT NO.: 18-1026**

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained by Trinity Development Group Inc. to prepare an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report in support of the application for Official Plan Amendment (OPA) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) at 145 Loretta Avenue North and 951 Gladstone Avenue.

The subject property is located within the City of Ottawa urban boundary, in the Kitchissippi Ward. As illustrated in **Figure 1**, below, the subject property is located north east of the intersection of Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue. The subject property measures approximately **1.0 ha** and is zoned General Industrial, (IG1 H(11)).



*Figure 1: Site Location*

The existing site area consists of two 2-storey, one 1-storey, and one 3-storey commercial buildings. Surface parking also exists on site. The application for OPA and ZBLA would allow for the mixed-use development of three multi-storey residential towers (30, 33, and 35 stories) above a common retail and office podium with a contemplated zoning of

---

Mixed-Use Centre (MC). The redevelopment of the subject property involves the retention of the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building constructed in 1924.

The contemplated redevelopment is anticipated to be constructed in 2 phases. Phase 1 includes residential Towers 1 and 2 (35 and 33 storeys respectively) consisting of approximately **553** residential units. Both towers are contemplated to share a common podium consisting of **3,276 m<sup>2</sup>** of total retail area (including existing retail), and approximately **17,569 m<sup>2</sup>** of office space. The underground parking garage is also estimated to be constructed as part of the first phase. The contemplated phase 2 development includes the 30-storey residential tower (Tower 3) consisting of approximately **192** residential units. A total of **745** residential units is contemplated between the two phases.

The objective of this report is to provide sufficient detail to demonstrate that the contemplated development is supported by existing municipal services.

## 1.1 Existing Conditions

Sewer and watermain mapping collected from the City of Ottawa indicate that the following services exist across the property frontages within the adjacent municipal right-of-ways:

### Loretta Avenue:

- 203 mm diameter unlined cast iron watermain;
- 1372 mm diameter concrete pressure watermain backbone pipe;
- 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and outletting approximately 1.5 km downstream;
- 1050 mm diameter concrete sanitary Mooney's Bay trunk sewer; and
- 300 mm diameter concrete combined sewer.

### Gladstone Avenue:

- 203 mm diameter PVC watermain, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
- 406 mm diameter PVC watermain, west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection;
- 1350 mm diameter concrete storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and outletting approximately 1.5 km downstream;
- 375 mm diameter PVC storm sewer tributary to the Ottawa River, and outletting approximately 1 km downstream;

- 
- 1050mm diameter concrete Mooney's Bay sanitary sewer, east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection; and
  - 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer west of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

## **1.2 Required Permits / Approvals**

The contemplated development is subject to the Zoning By-law Amendment approval process. The City of Ottawa must approve engineering reports prior to issuing ZBLA approval.

## **1.3 Pre-consultation**

Pre-consultation correspondence from the City of Ottawa, along with the servicing guidelines checklist, is located in **Appendix A**.

Pre-consultation with RVCA was conducted to confirm stormwater management targets on July 24, 2019, see **Appendix A**.



## 2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

### 2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report:

- **Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines,**  
City of Ottawa, *SDG002*, October 2012.  
**(City Standards)**
  - **Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01**  
City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.  
**(ISTB-2018-01)**
  - **Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03**  
City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.  
**(ISTB-2018-03)**
- **Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution**  
City of Ottawa, July 2010.  
**(Water Supply Guidelines)**
  - **Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2**  
City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010.  
**(ISD-2010-2)**
  - **Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02**  
City of Ottawa, May 27, 2014.  
**(ISDTB-2014-02)**
  - **Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-02**  
City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.  
**(ISDTB-2018-02)**
- **Design Guidelines for Sewage Works,**  
Ministry of the Environment, 2008.  
**(MOE Design Guidelines)**
- **Stormwater Planning and Design Manual,**  
Ministry of the Environment, March 2003.  
**(SWMP Design Manual)**
- **Ontario Building Code Compendium**  
Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Building Development Branch,

January 1, 2010 Update.  
**(OBC)**

- **Standard for the Inspection, Testing and Maintenance of Water-Based Fire Protection Systems**  
National Fire Protection Association,  
2016 Edition.  
**(NFPA)**

---

### 3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

#### 3.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1W pressure zone. A local 203 mm diameter watermain and a 1372 mm diameter backbone pipeline exist within the Loretta Avenue right-of-way and a 203 mm diameter watermain exists within the Gladstone Avenue right-of-way east of the intersection, as shown by the **City Water Distribution Mapping** located in **Appendix B**.

**Table 1**, below, estimates the water demand of the existing buildings, based on the **Water Supply Guidelines** shown in **Table 2**.

**Table 1**  
**Water Demand**  
**Existing Conditions**

| Design Parameter  | Anticipated Demand <sup>1</sup><br>(L/min) |
|---|--|
| Average Daily Demand  | 22.5                                       |
| Max Day   | 33.8                                       |
| Peak Hour   | 60.8                                       |
| 1) Water demand calculation per <b>Water Supply Guidelines</b> . See <b>Appendix B</b> for detailed calculations. |  |

#### 3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

It is anticipated that the contemplated development will be serviced via a minimum of 2 service connections to the 203 mm diameter watermains within Gladstone and Loretta Avenues. As the water demand exceeds 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day it is contemplated to loop the services internally to allow for redundancy in case of interruption of service to either service.

**Table 2**, below, summarizes the **Water Supply Guidelines** employed in the preparation of the preliminary water demand estimate.

**Table 2**  
**Water Supply Design Criteria**

| Design Parameter  | Value   |
|---|---|
| Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment   | 1.4 P/unit                                    |
| Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment   | 2.1 P/unit                                    |
| Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment   | 3.1 P/unit                                    |
| Residential Average Daily Demand  | 280 L/d/P                                     |
| Residential Maximum Daily Demand  | 2.5 x Average Daily *                         |
| Residential Maximum Hourly  | 5.5 x Average Daily *                         |
| Commercial Space  | 2500 L/(1000m <sup>2</sup> /d)                |
| Minimum Watermain Size  | 150 mm diameter                               |
| Minimum Depth of Cover  | 2.4 m from top of watermain to finished grade |
| During normal operating conditions desired operating pressure is within   | 350 kPa and 480 kPa                           |
| During normal operating conditions pressure must not drop below   | 275 kPa                                       |
| During normal operating conditions pressure must not exceed   | 552 kPa                                       |
| During fire flow operating pressure must not drop below   | 140 kPa                                       |
| * Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons. Above 500 persons, refer to Table 4.2 from City Guidelines.<br>-Table updated to reflect ISD-2018-02 |   |

**Table 3**, below, summarizes the anticipated water supply demand and boundary conditions, received from the City of Ottawa, for the Contemplated development based on the **Water Supply Guidelines**. Refer to **Appendix B** for correspondence with the City of Ottawa.

**Table 3**  
**Water Demand and Boundary Conditions**  
**Contemplated Conditions**

| Design Parameter   | Estimated Demand <sup>1</sup><br>(L/min) | Connection 1<br>Boundary Conditions <sup>2</sup><br>(m H <sub>2</sub> O / kPa) |       | Connection 2<br>Boundary Conditions <sup>3</sup><br>(m H <sub>2</sub> O / kPa) |       |
|--|--|--|-------|--|-------|
|  |  |  |       |  |       |
| Average Daily Demand   | 373.4                                    | 47.6   | 466.7 | 47.3   | 464.2 |
| Max Day + Fire Flow Scenario 1<br>(per ISDTB-2018-02)  | 823.8 +4,150                             | 41.6   | 407.8 | 40.2   | 394.6 |
| Peak Hour  | 1746.5                                   | 40.3   | 395.0 | 40.2   | 392.6 |
| 1) Water demand calculation per <b>Water Supply Guidelines</b> . See <b>Appendix B</b> for detailed calculations.<br>2) Boundary conditions above for connection 1 to Gladstone Avenue assumed ground elevation equal to 67.2m<br>3) Boundary condition for connection 2 to Loretta Avenue assumed ground elevation equal to 67.5m |  |  |       |  |       |

---

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand, as indicated in the boundary request correspondence included in **Appendix B**.

Based on correspondence with the City of Ottawa, Loretta North Avenue will undergo reconstruction, resulting in the replacement of the existing 203 mm diameter watermain between Gladstone and Laurel with a 203 mm diameter watermain. The future watermain project could potentially affect the boundary condition results, refer to **Appendix B** for correspondence with the City.

For the purpose of estimating fire flow, the short method within the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards was utilized. As indicated by Section 11.2.2 from the **NFPA Standards**, fire flow requirements are to be determined by combining the required flow rate for the sprinkler system, along with the estimated hose stream. As indicated by Table 11.2.2.1 and Table 11.2.3.1.2 extracted from the **NFPA Standards** and included in **Appendix B**, the estimated fire flow requirements for the sprinkler system is **3,200 L/min** (850 gpm) and the estimated internal and external total combined inside and outside hose stream demand is **950 L/min** (250 gpm).

As a result, the total fire flow is estimated to be **4,150 L/min** (1,100 gpm), refer to supporting calculation in **Appendix B**. Based on the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, sufficient supply is available for fire flow. A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

### **3.3 Water Supply Conclusion**

The anticipated water demand based on the concept plan was submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions. As demonstrated by **Table 3**, the municipal system is capable of delivering water within the **Water Supply Guidelines** pressure range.

A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed in order to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the maximum fire flow demand for the design. However, the current maximum fire flow that can be supplied to the contemplated development exceeds the maximum fire flow required as per **NFPA Standards**.

DSEL employed a daily consumption rate of 280 L/person/day to align with the revised wastewater rates identified by City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03. As a result, DSEL is submitting for a deviation from the **Water Supply Guidelines**.

---

## 4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

### 4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The subject site lies within Mooney’s Bay Collector Sewer catchment area, as shown by the **Sanitary & Storm Collection System Maps**, included in **Appendix C**. There is an existing 1050 mm diameter Mooney’s Bay Collector Trunk sanitary sewer within Loretta Avenue and within Gladstone Avenue east of the Gladstone and Loretta intersection. A 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer exists within Gladstone Avenue fronting the subject property.

**Table 4**, below, summarizes the estimated wastewater flows for the existing development.

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Estimated Existing Peak Wastewater Flow**

| Design Parameter                   | Existing Flow (L/s) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow | 0.75                |
| Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow    | 1.13                |
| Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow    | 1.46                |

The existing building is comprised primarily of commercial space and is estimated to have a peak wastewater flow of **1.46 L/s**.

### 4.2 Wastewater Design

The contemplated development is anticipated to discharge to the 1050 mm diameter sanitary trunk within Loretta Avenue.

**Table 5**, below, summarizes the **City Standards** employed in the design of the Contemplated wastewater sewer system.

**Table 5**  
**Wastewater Design Criteria**

| Design Parameter   | Value                                     |
|--|---|
| Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment                                  | 1.4 P/unit                                |
| Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment                                  | 2.1 P/unit                                |
| Residential 3 Bedroom Apartment                                  | 3.1 P/unit                                |
| Average Daily Demand   | 280 L/d/per                               |
| Peaking Factor   | Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 3.8, Min 2.0 |
| Commercial Floor Space   | 5 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d                     |
| Commercial Office Space  | 75 L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d                 |
| Infiltration and Inflow Allowance                                | 0.33 L/s/ha                               |
| Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation | $Q = \frac{1}{n} AR^{2/3} S^{1/2}$        |
| Minimum Sewer Size   | 250 mm diameter                           |
| Minimum Manning's 'n'  | 0.013                                     |
| Minimum Depth of Cover   | 2.5 m from crown of sewer to grade        |
| Minimum Full Flowing Velocity                                    | 0.6 m/s                                   |
| Maximum Full Flowing Velocity                                    | 3.0 m/s                                   |

*Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012.*

**Table 6**, below demonstrates the anticipated peak flow from the Contemplated development. See **Appendix C** for associated calculations.

**Table 6**  
**Summary of Estimated Contemplated Peak Wastewater Flow**

| Design Parameter                   | Contemplated Flow (L/s) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow | 6.41                    |
| Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow    | 16.95                   |
| Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow    | 17.28                   |

The anticipated peak wet weather flow of **17.28 L/s** is a **15.82 L/s** increase from the existing condition.

The City was contacted in order to confirm available capacity and resulting HGL within the existing 1050 mm sanitary trunk sewer. As indicated in the correspondence located in **Appendix A**, the 1050 mm trunk sewer has sufficient capacity to accommodate the increase in wastewater flow from the proposed development. Anticipated connections to the existing trunk sewer are to be a minimum of 0.30m above the receiving sewer's **HGL**, or anticipated wastewater flow from the contemplated development shall be pumped.

The City of Ottawa conducted a Hydraulic Grade Line (**HGL**) analysis of the sanitary sewers surrounding the site. **Table 7** below, summarized the results provided by the City at three maintenance structures.

**Table 7**  
**Summary of 100-Year HGL Levels**

| Maintenance Structure | Location         | HGL (m)  |              |                            |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|
|                       |                  | 6 hr SCS | 3 hr Chicago | Hurricane Frances (scaled) |
| MHSA00934             | Northwest Corner | 59.5     | 59.1         | 58.9                       |
| MHSA00935             | Southwest Corner | 60.1     | 59.6         | 59.4                       |
| MHSA00936             | Southeast Corner | 60.3     | 59.8         | 59.6                       |

### 4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The site is tributary to the Mooney’s Bay Collector Trunk sanitary sewer. The anticipated wet weather flow is **17.28 L/s** which is a **15.82 L/s** increase from the existing condition.

The City provided confirmation that the existing 1050 mm sanitary trunk sewer within Loretta and Gladstone Avenues is capable of accommodating the increase in flow as indicated in the correspondence located in **Appendix A**.

The contemplated wastewater servicing design conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.



---

## 5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

### 5.1 Existing Stormwater Services

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system and is located within the Ottawa Central sub-watershed. As such, approvals for contemplated developments within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

Flows that influence the watershed in which the subject property is located are further reviewed by the principal authority. The subject property is located within the Ottawa River watershed, and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). Consultation with the RVCA is located in **Appendix A**.

An existing 1350 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Storm Sewer Trunk runs along Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.

It is anticipated that the existing development contains no stormwater management controls for flow attenuation. The estimated pre-development peak flows for the 2, 5, and 100-year events are summarized in **Table 8**, below

**Table 8**  
**Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates**

| City of Ottawa Design Storm | Estimated Peak Flow Rate (L/s) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2-year                      | 192.0                          |
| 5-year                      | 260.5                          |
| 100-year                    | 496.0                          |

### 5.2 Post-development Stormwater Management Target

City of Ottawa Standards and pre-consultation was used to determine stormwater management requirements, where the development is required to:

- Meet an allowable release rate based on the lesser of either the existing calculated Rational Method Coefficient of 0.50, employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 2-year storm with a time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 minutes;
- Attenuate all storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event on site; and
- Based on coordination with the RVCA, enhanced quality level treatment (80% TSS removal) will be required for the contemplated development; correspondence with the RVCA is included in **Appendix A**.

Based on the above, the allowable release rate for the contemplated development is **106.7 L/s**. Refer to city pre-consultation correspondence in **Appendix A**.

### 5.3 Proposed Stormwater Management System

It is anticipated that the stormwater outlet from the contemplated development will discharge to the existing 1350 mm diameter Mooney’s Bay Collector Storm sewer within Loretta Avenue. The proposed development is contemplated to utilize an internal cistern to meet the stormwater objectives.

**Table 9**, below summarizes post-development flow rates. The following storage requirement estimate assumes that approximately 10% of the development area will be directed to Loretta Avenue and Gladstone Avenue right-of-ways without flow attenuation. These areas will be compensated for in areas with flow attenuation.

**Table 9  
 Stormwater Flow Rate Summary**

| Control Area       | 5-Year Release Rate | 5-Year Storage    | 100-Year Release Rate | 100-Year Storage  |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
|                    | (L/s)               | (m <sup>3</sup> ) | (L/s)                 | (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| Unattenuated Areas | 23.2                | 0.0               | 49.6                  | 0.0               |
| Attenuated Areas   | 28.2                | 155.0             | 57.1                  | 313.9             |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>51.3</b>         | <b>155.0</b>      | <b>106.7</b>          | <b>313.9</b>      |

It is anticipated that approximately **314 m<sup>3</sup>** of storage, provided via an internal cistern, will be required on site to attenuate flow to the established release rate of **106.7 L/s**; storage calculations are contained within **Appendix D**.

The City of Ottawa conducted a Hydraulic Grade Line (**HGL**) analysis of the storm sewers surrounding the site. **Table 10** below, summarized the results provided by the City at three maintenance structures.

**Table 10  
 Summary of 100-Year HGL Levels**

| Maintenance Structure | Location         | HGL (m) |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------|
| MHST101877            | Northwest Corner | 60.53   |
| MHST101876            | Southwest Corner | 61.76   |
| MHST101875            | Southeast Corner | 62.40   |

The HGL analysis will need to be reviewed and included during the detailed design. Refer to **Appendix A** for correspondence with the City, identifying the maintenance structures above. Anticipated connections to the existing 1350 mm diameter collector storm sewer are to be a minimum of 0.30m above the receiving sewer’s **HGL**. Alternatively, anticipated storm flow from the contemplated development will be required to be pumped.

Actual storage volumes will need to be confirmed at the detailed design stage based on a number of factors including, but not limited to, grading constraints and external drainage.

---

To meet quality controls, on-site treatment including LID measures and oil/grit separators will be contemplated to achieve 80% TSS removal.

#### **5.4 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions**

In accordance with City of Ottawa **City Standards**, post development stormwater runoff will be required to be restricted to the allowable target release rate for storm events up to and including the 100-year storm. The post-development allowable release rate was calculated as **106.7 L/s**; it is estimated that **314 m<sup>3</sup>** of storage provided by an internal cistern to meet the established release rate.

Based on coordination with the RVCA, enhanced quality level treatment (80% TSS removal) will be required for the contemplated development; correspondence with the RVCA is included in **Appendix A**. To meet quality controls, on-site treatment including LID measures and oil/grit separators will be contemplated to achieve 80% TSS removal.

The contemplated stormwater design conforms to all relevant **City Standards** and Policies for approval.

---


## 6.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) has been retained by Trinity Development Group Inc. to prepare an Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services report in support of the application for an Official Plan and Zoning Bylaw Amendment at 145 Loretta Avenue North and 951 Gladstone Avenue. The preceding report outlines the following:

- Based on boundary conditions provided by the City, the existing municipal water infrastructure is capable of providing the contemplated development with water within the City's required pressure range;
- The **NFPA Standards** method for estimating maximum fire flow indicated **4,150 L/min** is required for the contemplated development;
- The contemplated development is anticipated to have a peak wet weather flow of **17.28 L/s**, which is a **15.82 L/s** increase from the existing condition. It is anticipated that the 1050 mm diameter Mooney's Bay Collector Trunk sewer is capable of accommodating this increase in flow;
- Based on the City of Ottawa's City Standards the contemplated development will be required to attenuate post development flows to an equivalent release rate of **106.7 L/s** for all storms up to and including the 100-year storm event;
- It is contemplated that stormwater objectives will be met by an internal cistern, it is estimated that **314 m<sup>3</sup>** of onsite storage will be required to attenuate flow to the established release rate;
- To meet quality controls, on-site treatment including various LID and oil/grit separators will be contemplated to achieve 80% TSS removal.

---

Prepared by,  
**David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**



Per: Amr Salem

Reviewed by,  
**David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**



Per: Robert Freel, P.Eng.

Prepared by,  
**David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**



Per: Brandon Chow

---

---

***APPENDIX A***

***Pre-Consultation***

---

---



# DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

18-1026

2019-11-07

| 4.1 General Content                     |   |                        |
|---|---|------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Executive Summary (for larger reports only).  | N/A                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Date and revision number of the report.   | Report Cover Sheet     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.  | Drawings/Figures       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.  | Figure 1               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.   | Section 1.0            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.   | Section 1.3            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defensible design criteria.  | Section 2.1            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.   | Section 1.0            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.   | Sections 3.1, 4.1, 5.1 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).  | N/A                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.                                 | N/A                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.  | N/A                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.   | N/A                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.   | N/A                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:<br>-Metric scale<br>-North arrow (including construction North)<br>-Key plan<br>-Name and contact information of applicant and property owner<br>-Property limits including bearings and dimensions<br>-Existing and proposed structures and parking areas<br>-Easements, road widening and rights-of-way<br>-Adjacent street names | N/A                    |
| 4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water |   |                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/>                | Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available   | N/A                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development   | Section 3.1            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Identification of system constraints  | Section 3.1            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Identify boundary conditions  | Section 3.1, 3.2       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>     | Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure   | Section 3.3            |



|                                     |  |                  |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter’s Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.  | Section 3.2      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.  | N/A              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design   | N/A              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves   | N/A              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification  | N/A              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range | Section 3.2, 3.3 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.             | N/A              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Description of off-site required feeder mains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.   | N/A              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.  | Section 3.2      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.  | N/A              |

#### 4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater

|                                     |  |                         |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).  | Section 4.2             |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.  | N/A                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.  | N/A                     |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.  | Section 4.1             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)   | Section 4.2             |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix ‘C’) format.   | Section 4.2, Appendix C |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.  | Section 4.2             |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality). | N/A                     |

|                          |  |     |
|--------------------------|--|-----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.                           | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.   | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.   | N/A |

#### 4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist

|                                     |  |                          |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)  | Section 5.1              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.  | N/A                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.   | N/A                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects. | Section 5.2              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.  | Section 5.2              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information   | Section 5.3              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.   | N/A                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.   | N/A                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.  | Appendix A               |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.   | N/A                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).   | Section 5.3              |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.  | N/A                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.   | Section 5.1, Section 5.3 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.   | N/A                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.   | N/A                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.   | N/A                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses  | N/A                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.  | N/A                      |

|                                     |   |             |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.   | Section 5.3 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | 100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.  | N/A         |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.  | N/A         |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.  | N/A         |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions. | N/A         |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.  | N/A         |

#### 4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist

|                          |   |     |
|--------------------------|---|-----|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement ct. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act. | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.   | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Changes to Municipal Drains.  | N/A |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)   | N/A |

#### 4.6 Conclusion Checklist

|                                     |   |             |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations  | Section 6.0 |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency. |             |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario  |             |

## Charlotte Kelly

---

**Subject:** FW: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue

**From:** Fraser, Mark <[Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)>  
**Sent:** August 7, 2019 4:09 PM  
**To:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Cc:** O'Connor, Ann <[Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca](mailto:Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue

Hi Brandon,

The stormwater management criteria noted in the attached correspondence was provided in error after further review of the install year of the receiving storm sewer. Based on the install year of **1967** the 1350mm dia. storm sewer within Loretta Ave. was only designed to a 2-year level of service not a 5-year level of service [pre-1970 the design of the storm sewers were based on a 2-year storm].

Post-development flows from the subject site are to be controlled up to a 100-year storm event, to a **2-year allowable release rate** calculated using a runoff coefficient (C) determined using the pre-development runoff coefficient or a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.5, whichever is less (Cl.8.3.7.3) [If 0.5 applies it needs to be clearly demonstrated in the report that the pre-development runoff coefficient is greater than 0.5], and a calculated time of concentration ( $T_c$ ) using an appropriate method to justify the parameter selection [ *$T_c$  of 20 minutes should be used for all pre-development calculations without engineering justification,  $T_c$  should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min;  $T_c$  of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations*].

Please note that the impact from the receiving storm system HGL will need to be assessed if underground storage is proposed as part of the stormwater management solution. The receiving storm sewer system is uncontrolled and therefore subject to surcharge (HGL will be elevated for events greater than 2-year storm event).

If using the modified rational method to calculate the storage requirements for the site any underground storage should not be included in the overall available storage. The modified rational method assumes that the restricted flow rate is constant throughout the storm which underestimates the storage requirement prior to the 1:100 year head elevation being reached. Please note that if you wish to utilize any underground storage as available storage, the  $Q_{(release)}$  must be modified to compensate for the lack of head on the orifice. An assumed average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied. Otherwise, disregard the underground storage as available storage or provide modeling to support the SWM strategy.

If you have any questions or require any clarification please let me know.

Regards,

### Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West. 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Sent:** August 06, 2019 5:41 PM  
**To:** Fraser, Mark <[Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hi Mark,

We would like to confirm stormwater management requirements for the proposed development at the above noted site.

A City comment on the Adequacy of Services Report noted that the receiving storm sewer system is a 2-year system. Previous correspondence with the City (attached) indicated the allowable release rate to be based on the below criteria.

- 1:5 year storm
- C=0.5
- 10min concentration time

Can you please confirm?

Thanks,

Brandon Chow  
Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

**DSEL**

**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext.532

**fax:** (613) 836-7183

**email:** [bchow@DSEL.ca](mailto:bchow@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

## Amr Salem

---

**From:** Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>  
**Sent:** September 26, 2018 9:29 AM  
**To:** Amr Salem  
**Cc:** Steve Merrick  
**Subject:** RE: 1026- 145 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Hi Amr,

The RVCA looks for on-site enhance level of protection (80% TSS Removal) for quality control for sites less than 2km away from an outlet without an intervening storm water management facility. Specifically as it relates to surface parking, this standard is expected to be achieved, on-site best management practices including LID could be provided and demonstrated through the Site Servicing report.

Thanks,

**Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP**  
Planner, Rideau Valley Conservation Authority  
613-692-3571 x1137

---

**From:** Amr Salem <ASalem@dsel.ca>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 26, 2018 9:24 AM  
**To:** Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>  
**Cc:** Steve Merrick <SMerrick@dsel.ca>  
**Subject:** FW: 1026- 145 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Good morning Eric,

I just wanted to follow up on this. Did you get a chance to review?

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

**Amr Salem**  
Project Coordinator

**DSEL**  
**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext. 512  
**email:** [asalem@DSEL.ca](mailto:asalem@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

---

**From:** Jamie Batchelor <[jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca](mailto:jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca)>  
**Sent:** September 21, 2018 1:47 PM  
**To:** Amr Salem <[ASalem@dsel.ca](mailto:ASalem@dsel.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steve Merrick <[SMerrick@dsel.ca](mailto:SMerrick@dsel.ca)>; Eric Lalande <[eric.lalande@rvca.ca](mailto:eric.lalande@rvca.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 1026- 1045 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Good Afternoon Amr,

I am forwarding this to Eric as it would be in his area.

---

**From:** Amr Salem <[ASalem@dsel.ca](mailto:ASalem@dsel.ca)>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 21, 2018 11:47 AM  
**To:** Jamie Batchelor <[jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca](mailto:jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steve Merrick <[SMerrick@dsel.ca](mailto:SMerrick@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** 1026- 1045 Loretta Ave N/951 Gladstone Ave

Good morning Jamie ,

We wanted to consult with you regarding a mixed-use development we are working on located at the intersection of Gladstone Avenue and Lorretta Avenue North.

The existing stormwater on site discharges to the municipal infrastructure (1350 mm Diameter Storm Sewer) within Gladstone Avenue and Lorretta Avenue. The stormwater collected from the site travels approximately 1.3 km through municipal sewer to a direct outlet into the Ottawa River.

The development proposes to construct new mixed use buildings (commercial/office/residential) consisting of three high-rise residential towers with one of which stemming from a large commercial/office building fronting Gladstone Ave with the other towers located to the North. The site will be landscape with storm water primarily coming from the roof tops collected from the towers. There will be approximately parking for 14 cars on the surface of the lot with the majority of parking located underground.

At present, the existing site area consists of mostly paved asphalt for surface parking (50+ spots) and 4 buildings.

Can you please provide your input regarding quality controls that maybe required for the site.





Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

**Amr Salem**  
Project Coordinator

**DSEL**  
**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext. 512  
**email:** [asalem@DSEL.ca](mailto:asalem@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

## Brandon Chow

---

**To:** Fraser, Mark  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

**From:** Fraser, Mark <Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>  
**Sent:** November 7, 2019 3:15 PM  
**To:** Brandon Chow <BChow@dsel.ca>  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

The Water Resources Assets Unit has no anticipated issues with the proposed peak wastewater flow from the development discharging to the 1050mm dia. Collector Sewer. No additional analysis is necessary.

As this proposal only proposes a private building service the OBC method of calculating fire flow can be used. However please note that there are internal discussions happening with Building Code Services (BCS) and Ottawa Fire Services (OFS) regarding this approach so requirements may change at the time of Site Plan Control.

Regards,

### Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Fraser, Mark  
**Sent:** November 05, 2019 2:50 PM  
**To:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

Please see the below wastewater flows within the sanitary trunk sewer as requested.

| # | STRUCT_ID | From MH   | To MH          | 100 year Peak Flow (L/s) |              |                            |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
|   |           |           |                | 6 hr SCS                 | 3 hr Chicago | Hurricane Frances (scaled) |
| 1 | SAN00976  | MHSA00935 | MHSA00934      | 1420                     | 1220         | 940                        |
| 2 | SAN00975  | MHSA00934 | MHSA00933 (1A) | 1440                     | 1240         | 960                        |

Regards,

## Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Sent:** October 30, 2019 3:54 PM  
**To:** Fraser, Mark <[Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hi Mark,

We would like to request the flows that have been computed in the City's model for the 1050mm sanitary trunk that is anticipated to receive flows from the subject proposal.

Can you please provide the computed flows for the sanitary trunk between nodes 1A to MHSA00934 and MHSA00934 to MHSA00935? See attachment for reference.

Thanks,

Brandon Chow  
Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

## **DSEL**

**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext.532  
**fax:** (613) 836-7183  
**email:** [bchow@DSEL.ca](mailto:bchow@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

---

**From:** Fraser, Mark <[Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)>  
**Sent:** October 28, 2019 3:51 PM

**To:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>

**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

The Water Resources Assets Unit has no anticipated issues with the proposed peak wastewater flow however confirmation of available sanitary sewer capacity needs to be discussed and assessed to demonstrate that the sewer system can accommodate the anticipated wastewater flow from the subject proposal for documentation purposes.

Regards,

### Mark Fraser

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

*\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail*

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>

**Sent:** October 25, 2019 5:25 PM

**To:** Fraser, Mark <[Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)>

**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hi Mark,

Thank you for providing the HGL. Would you be able confirm with the modelling group that the receiving sanitary trunk has capacity to support the anticipated flows from the subject development?

Thanks,

Brandon Chow  
Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

## **DSEL**

**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext.532

fax: (613) 836-7183  
email: [bchow@DSEL.ca](mailto:bchow@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

---

**From:** Fraser, Mark <[Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)>  
**Sent:** October 24, 2019 3:18 PM  
**To:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

Please see attached and below the 100-year HGL in the sanitary trunk sewer model as requested.

| # | STRUCT_ID | 100 year HGL (m) |              |                            |
|---|-----------|------------------|--------------|----------------------------|
|   |           | 6 hr SCS         | 3 hr Chicago | Hurricane Frances (scaled) |
| 1 | MHSA00934 | 59.5             | 59.1         | 58.9                       |
| 2 | MHSA00935 | 60.1             | 59.6         | 59.4                       |
| 3 | MHSA00936 | 60.3             | 59.8         | 59.6                       |

Regards,

**Mark Fraser**

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.

---

**From:** Fraser, Mark  
**Sent:** October 23, 2019 9:22 AM  
**To:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - ZBLA engineering comments

Hi Brandon,

I have been advised that some preliminary design drawings were completed for the reconstruction of Loretta Ave. N. (North of Gladstone Ave.) however Asset Management is now considering replacing the existing backbone watermain within Loretta Ave. N. as part of the reconstruction works which will change the design. There is no timeline to revise the preliminary design prior to Spring 2020 thus no plans are available at this time.

You will need to contact and discuss with the City Project Manager (Marc Tremblay) of this reconstruction project to ensure both projects are planned to function together and the latest design details are obtained. It is my understanding

there has been no discussion to date on how this development proposal will function with the ultimate condition of Loretta Ave. N.


Regards,

**Mark Fraser**

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

*\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail*

This message, including any document or file attached, is intended only for the addressee and may contain privileged and /or confidential information. Any person is strictly prohibited from reading, using, disclosing or copying this message. If you received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the message. Thank you.



MHSA00934

MHSA00936

MHSA00935

2

## Charlotte Kelly

---

**Subject:** FW: 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - D02-02-18-0099

**From:** Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>

**Sent:** May 29, 2019 12:05 PM

**To:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>

**Cc:** O'Connor, Ann <[Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca](mailto:Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca)>

**Subject:** 145 Loretta and 951 Gladstone - D02-02-18-0099

Hi Brandon

This is the 100-year HGL at three MH near this site:

MHST101877: 60.53 m

MHST101876: 61.76 m

MHST101875: 62.40 m





**Richard Buchanan, CET**

Coordinator, Front Ending Agreements and Brownfields Programs  
Planning Services, Development Review Branch  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
☎ 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801

**From:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Sent:** May 29, 2019 11:10 AM  
**To:** Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone

**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Good morning Richard,

I would like to touch base regarding the above noted site. We've received the City's engineering comments relating to the submission of the Adequacy of Public Services Report dated October 2018 and would like to request info based on the comment below. Would you be able to direct me to the contact who will be looking after this project?

J.1 - The consultant must keep in mind that the receiving storm system is only a 2 year system and not a 5 year system. In addition, if they plan to use underground storage, they will need to consider the impact from the receiving system HGL. The receiving system is uncontrolled, therefore the HGL will be elevated for events greater than 2 years.

*We will require the City to provide the HGL in the receiving system in order to review the impacts on the system.*

Thanks,

Brandon Chow  
Project Coordinator / Intermediate Designer

## **DSEL**

**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext.532

**fax:** (613) 836-7183

**email:** [bchow@DSEL.ca](mailto:bchow@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

---

**From:** Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
**Sent:** October 11, 2018 9:25 AM  
**To:** Amr Salem <[ASalem@dsel.ca](mailto:ASalem@dsel.ca)>  
**Cc:** O'Connor, Ann <[Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca](mailto:Ann.O'Connor@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Amr

FYI

**Richard Buchanan, CET**

Project Manager, Development Approvals  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
Planning & Growth Management Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
☎ 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801  
[ottawa.ca/planning](http://ottawa.ca/planning) / [ottawa.ca/urbanisme](http://ottawa.ca/urbanisme)

---

**From:** Tremblay, Marc (ISD)  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 11, 2018 9:23 AM  
**To:** Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
**Subject:** RE: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Hi Richard

The existing 200mm watermain on Loretta North between Gladstone and Laurel is to be replaced with a new 200mm diameter watermain as part of the road reconstruction project. This reconstruction work will not occur until 2020 at the earliest.

Regards  
Marc

---

**From:** Buchanan, Richard  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 11, 2018 8:24 AM  
**To:** 'Amr Salem' <[ASalem@dsel.ca](mailto:ASalem@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** FW: 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Good Morning Amr

Please note that I believe there's future watermain projects (on Loretta specifically) in this area that could affect the results, especially the fire flow results. I'm trying to confirm with our water division to see what the plan is and when it's scheduled for.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 1026 Loretta/Gladstone (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203mm on Gladstone (Connection 1) and 203mm on Loretta (Connection 2). See attached PDF for locations.

|         | Connection 1<br>(Gladstone) | Connection 2<br>(Loretta) |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Min HGL | 107.5m                      | 107.5m                    |
| Max HGL | 114.8m                      | 114.8m                    |

|                                  |        |  |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|
| Max day + FireFlow<br>(57.5L/s), | 108.5m | 107.3m   |
| Max day + FireFlow<br>(317 L/s), | 104.8m | 85.5m  |
| Max day + FireFlow<br>(433 L/s), | 102.1m | Available Flow @<br>20psi = 350 L/s<br>assuming a ground<br>elevation of 67m |

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

*Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.*

**Richard Buchanan, CET**

Project Manager, Development Approvals  
 Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
 Planning & Growth Management Branch  
 City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
 ☎ 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801  
[ottawa.ca/planning](http://ottawa.ca/planning) / [ottawa.ca/urbanisme](http://ottawa.ca/urbanisme)

---

**From:** Amr Salem <[ASalem@dsel.ca](mailto:ASalem@dsel.ca)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 27, 2018 1:04 PM  
**To:** Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
**Cc:** Steve Merrick <[SMerrick@dsel.ca](mailto:SMerrick@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** 1026 - Loretta and Gladstone - Boundary Request

Good afternoon Richard,

We would like to kindly request boundary conditions for the proposed development at **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue** using the following proposed development demands:


1. Location of Service / Street Number: **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue**
2. Type of development: **The proposed mixed-use development involves 3 multi-storey residential towers (30, 35 and 40 storeys) above a common retail and office podium, consisting of a total of 931 residential units. An underground parking garage extending the footprint of the site is also proposed. Please note that the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building is to be retained.**

Please find attached the Site Plan for reference.

3. Proposed Connection points:
- **Connection 1 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.**
  - **Connection 2 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Loretta Avenue north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.**
- Please see the diagram below for reference.*

4. Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development:

|                          | <b>L/min</b>              | <b>L/s</b>           |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Avg. Daily</b>        | 397.6                     | 6.63                 |
| <b>Max Day + FUS 1</b>   | 904.8 + 26000.0 = 26904.8 | 15.1 + 433.3 = 448.4 |
| <b>Max Day + FUS 2/3</b> | 904.8 + 19000.0 = 19904.8 | 15.1 + 316.7 = 331.8 |
| <b>Max Day + OBC</b>     | 904.8 + 3450.0 = 4354.8   | 15.1 + 57.5 = 72.6   |
| <b>Peak Hour</b>         | 1937.1                    | 32.3                 |



Please find attached the related water demand and FUS calculations as well as OBC demand methodology used for reference.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

**Amr Salem**  
Project Coordinator

**DSEL**  
**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext. 512  
**email:** [asalem@DSEL.ca](mailto:asalem@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

---

---

***APPENDIX B***

***Water Supply***


---

---





# Water Pressure Zone Map



RESERVOIRS/TREATMENT PLANT & P.S.

ISLAND PURIFICATION PLANT & P.S. & RES.


**SUBJECT  
PROPERTY**

N PLANT & P.S. & RES.

ENERGY MINES &  
RESOURCES P.S.

FLEET STREET P.S.

CARLINGTON HEIGHTS P.S. & RES.



# Water Distribution Map



**145 Loretta Avenue North / 951 Gladstone Avenue  
Trinity Development Group Inc  
Existing Site Water Demand**

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count  
City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010



**Domestic Demand**

| Type of Housing | Per / Unit | Units | Pop |
|-----------------|------------|-------|-----|
| Single Family   | 3.4        |       | 0   |
| Semi-detached   | 2.7        |       | 0   |
| Townhouse       | 2.7        |       | 0   |
| Apartment       |            |       | 0   |
| Bachelor        | 1.4        |       | 0   |
| 1 Bedroom       | 1.4        |       | 0   |
| 2 Bedroom       | 2.1        |       | 0   |
| 3 Bedroom       | 3.1        |       | 0   |
| Average         | 1.8        |       | 0   |

|                              | Pop | Avg. Daily        |       | Max Day           |       | Peak Hour         |       |
|------------------------------|-----|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
|                              |     | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min |
| <b>Total Domestic Demand</b> | 0   | 0.0               | 0.0   | 0.0               | 0.0   | 0.0               | 0.0   |

**Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand**

| Property Type            | Unit Rate               | Units | Avg. Daily        |             | Max Day           |             | Peak Hour         |             |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
|                          |                         |       | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min       | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min       | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min       |
| Water Closets            | 150.0 L/hr              |       | 0.00              | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         |
| Restaurant               | 125.0 L/seat/d          |       | 0.00              | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         |
| Commercial floor space** | 5.0 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d | 6,482 | 32.41             | 22.5        | 48.6              | 33.8        | 87.5              | 60.8        |
| Laundry                  | 1,200.0 L/machine/d     |       | 0.00              | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         |
| School                   | 70 L/student/d          |       | 0.00              | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         |
| Industrial - Light       | 35,000 L/gross ha/d     |       | 0.00              | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         |
| Industrial - Heavy       | 55,000 L/gross ha/d     |       | 0.00              | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         | 0.0               | 0.0         |
| <b>Total I/CI Demand</b> |                         |       | <b>32.4</b>       | <b>22.5</b> | <b>48.6</b>       | <b>33.8</b> | <b>87.5</b>       | <b>60.8</b> |
| <b>Total Demand</b>      |                         |       | <b>32.4</b>       | <b>22.5</b> | <b>48.6</b>       | <b>33.8</b> | <b>87.5</b>       | <b>60.8</b> |

\* Based on a daily demand of 200L/day per person as identified by Appendix 4-A of the Sewer design guidelines

\*\* Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

**145 Loretta Avenue North / 951 Gladstone Avenue  
Trinity Development Group Inc  
Proposed Site Water Demand**

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count  
City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010



**Domestic Demand**

| Type of Housing | Per / Unit | Units | Pop |
|-----------------|------------|-------|-----|
| Single Family   | 3.4        |       | 0   |
| Semi-detached   | 2.7        |       | 0   |
| Townhouse       | 2.7        |       | 0   |
| Apartment       |            |       | 0   |
| Bachelor        | 1.4        | 120   | 168 |
| 1 Bedroom       | 1.4        | 244   | 342 |
| 2 Bedroom       | 2.1        | 336   | 706 |
| 3 Bedroom       | 3.1        | 45    | 140 |
| Average         | 1.8        |       | 0   |

|                              | Pop  | Avg. Daily        |       | Max Day           |       | Peak Hour         |        |
|------------------------------|------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|--------|
|                              |      | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min  |
| <b>Total Domestic Demand</b> | 1356 | 379.7             | 263.7 | 949.2             | 659.2 | 2088.2            | 1450.2 |

**Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand**

| Property Type            | Unit Rate                 | Units  | Avg. Daily        |              | Max Day           |              | Peak Hour         |               |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|
|                          |                           |        | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min        | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min        | m <sup>3</sup> /d | L/min         |
| Office                   | 75 L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d | 17,569 | 141.68            | 98.4         | 212.5             | 147.6        | 382.5             | 265.7         |
| Commercial floor space** | 5 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d     | 3,276  | 16.38             | 11.4         | 24.6              | 17.1         | 44.2              | 30.7          |
| Laundry                  | 1,200 L/machine/d         |        | 0.00              | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0           |
| School                   | 70 L/student/d            |        | 0.00              | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0           |
| Industrial - Light       | 35,000 L/gross ha/d       |        | 0.00              | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0           |
| Industrial - Heavy       | 55,000 L/gross ha/d       |        | 0.00              | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0          | 0.0               | 0.0           |
| <b>Total I/CI Demand</b> |                           |        | 158.1             | 109.8        | 237.1             | 164.7        | 426.8             | 296.4         |
| <b>Total Demand</b>      |                           |        | <b>537.7</b>      | <b>373.4</b> | <b>1186.3</b>     | <b>823.8</b> | <b>2515.0</b>     | <b>1746.5</b> |

\*\*Assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

### Boundary Conditions Unit Conversion

CONNECTION 1 [203mm dia. – Gladstone Ave.]

Grnd Elev 67.23

|              | <b>Hight (m)</b> | <b>m H2O</b> | <b>PSI</b> | <b>kPa</b> |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Avg. Day     | <b>114.8</b>     | 47.57        | 67.7       | 466.7      |
| Peak Hour    | <b>107.5</b>     | 40.27        | 57.3       | 395.0      |
| Max Day + FF | <b>108.8</b>     | 41.57        | 59.1       | 407.8      |

CONNECTION 2 [203mm dia. – Loretta Ave. N.]

Grnd Elev 67.48

|              | <b>Hight (m)</b> | <b>m H2O</b> | <b>PSI</b> | <b>kPa</b> |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Avg. Day     | <b>114.8</b>     | 47.32        | 67.3       | 464.2      |
| Peak Hour    | <b>107.5</b>     | 40.02        | 56.9       | 392.6      |
| Max Day + FF | <b>107.7</b>     | 40.22        | 57.2       | 394.6      |

## Amr Salem

---


**From:** Amr Salem  
**Sent:** July 26, 2019 3:52 PM  
**To:** 'Buchanan, Richard'  
**Cc:** Brandon Chow  
**Subject:** 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue - Updated Boundary Conditions Request  
**Attachments:** 2019-07-22 - Architecture Coordination Set.pdf; 2019-07-26 \_wtr\_Proposed\_Conditions\_aas.pdf; 2019-07-23\_1026\_OBC\_NFPA\_aas.pdf

Hello Richard,

We would like to kindly request updated boundary conditions for the proposed development at **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue** using the following proposed development demands:

1. Location of Service / Street Number: **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue**
2. Type of development: **The proposed mixed-use development involves 3 multi-storey residential towers (30, 33 and 35 storeys) above a common retail and office podium, consisting of a total of 745 residential units. An underground parking garage extending the footprint of the site is also proposed. Please note that the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building is to be retained.**  
*Please find attached the Site Plan for reference.*
3. Proposed Connection points:
  - **Connection 1 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.**
  - **Connection 2 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Loretta Avenue north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.***Please see the diagram below for reference.*
4. Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development:

|                       | L/min                    | L/s                |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Avg. Daily</b>     | 373.4                    | 6.2                |
| <b>Max Day + NFPA</b> | 823.8 + 4150.0 = 4,973.8 | 13.7 + 69.2 = 82.9 |
| <b>Peak Hour</b>      | 1746.5                   | 29.1               |



Thank you in advance,

**Amr Salem**  
Project Coordinator



# **DSEL**

**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext. 512

**email:** [asalem@DSEL.ca](mailto:asalem@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

## Amr Salem

---

**From:** Fraser, Mark <Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca>  
**Sent:** August 2, 2019 11:50 AM  
**To:** Amr Salem  
**Cc:** O'Connor, Ann; Brandon Chow  
**Subject:** RE: 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue - Updated Boundary Conditions Request  
**Attachments:** 145 Loretta\_Gladstone Aug 2019.pdf; 2019-07-22 - Architecture Coordination Set.pdf; 2019-07-26\_wtr\_Proposed\_Conditions\_aas.pdf; 2019-07-23\_1026\_OBC\_NFPA\_aas.pdf

Hi Amr,

Please find below updated boundary conditions for hydraulic analysis at 145 Loretta Ave. N. / 951 Gladstone Ave. (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203m on Gladstone (Connection 1) and 203mm on Loretta (Connection 2) as requested. See attached PDF for connection locations.

**CONNECTION 1 [203mm dia. – Gladstone Ave.]**

**Minimum HGL = 107.5M**

**Maximum HGL = 114.8m**

**MaxDay + Fire Flow (69 L/s) = 108.8m**

**CONNECTION 2 [203mm dia. – Loretta Ave. N.]**

**Minimum HGL = 107.5mm**

**Maximum HGL = 114.8m**

**MaxDay + Fire Flow (69 L/s) = 107.7m**

**These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.**

Please refer to *City of Ottawa, Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution, First Edition, July 2010, WDG001 Clause 4.2.2* for watermain pressure and demand objectives.

*Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.*

If you have any questions please let me know.

Regards,

**Mark Fraser**

Project Manager, Planning Services  
Development Review Central Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor, Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1  
[Tel:613.580.2424](tel:613.580.2424) ext. 27791  
Fax: 613-580-2576  
Mail: Code 01-14  
Email: [Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca](mailto:Mark.Fraser@ottawa.ca)

\*Please consider your environmental responsibility before printing this e-mail

---

**From:** Amr Salem <[ASalem@dsel.ca](mailto:ASalem@dsel.ca)>  
**Sent:** July 26, 2019 3:55 PM  
**To:** Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
**Cc:** Brandon Chow <[BChow@dsel.ca](mailto:BChow@dsel.ca)>  
**Subject:** 145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue - Updated Boundary Conditions Request


**CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.**

**ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.**

Hello Richard,

We would like to kindly request updated boundary conditions for the proposed development at **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue** using the following proposed development demands:

1. Location of Service / Street Number: **145 Loretta Avenue North/ 951 Gladstone Avenue**
2. Type of development: **The proposed mixed-use development involves 3 multi-storey residential towers (30, 33 and 35 storeys) above a common retail and office podium, consisting of a total of 745 residential units. An underground parking garage extending the footprint of the site is also proposed. Please note that the existing 3-storey Standard Bread Building is to be retained.**  
*Please find attached the Site Plan for reference.*
3. Proposed Connection points:
  - **Connection 1 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Gladstone Avenue east of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.**
  - **Connection 2 to existing 203mm diameter watermain along Loretta Avenue north of Loretta and Gladstone intersection.***Please see the diagram below for reference.*
4. Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development:



Thank you in advance,

**Amr Salem**  
Project Coordinator

# **DSEL**

**david schaeffer engineering ltd.**

120 Iber Road, Unit 103  
Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

**phone:** (613) 836-0856 ext. 512


**email:** [asalem@DSEL.ca](mailto:asalem@DSEL.ca)

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

# Boundary Condition for 1026 Loretta/Gladstone



## Legend

### Pipe Ownership

- Private
- Public



---

---

***National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 13 – Standard for the  
Installation of Sprinkler Systems***

***Table 11.2.2.1, Table 11.2.3.1.2***

---

---





**National Fire Protection Association 13 - Standard for the Installation  
of Sprinkler Systems Report, Table 11.2.2.1**

**Table 11.2.2.1 Water Supply Requirements for Pipe  
Schedule Sprinkler Systems**

| Occupancy<br>Classification | Minimum<br>Residual<br>Pressure<br>Required |     | Acceptable Flow at<br>Base of Riser<br>(Including Hose<br>Stream Allowance) |           | Duration<br>(minutes) |
|-----------------------------|---|-----|---|-----------|-----------------------|
|                             | psi   | bar | gpm   | L/min     |                       |
| Light<br>hazard             | 15  | 1   | 500-750   | 1900-2850 | 30-60                 |
| Ordinary<br>hazard          | 20  | 1.4 | 850-1500  | 3200-5700 | 60-90                 |

**National Fire Protection Association 13 - Standard for the Installation  
of Sprinkler Systems Report, Table 11.2.3.1.2**

**Table 11.2.3.1.2 Hose Stream Allowance and Water Supply  
Duration Requirements for Hydraulically Calculated Systems**

| Occupancy          | Inside Hose      |                   | Total Combined<br>Inside and Outside<br>Hose |       | Duration<br>(minutes) |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--|-------|-----------------------|
|                    | gpm              | L/min             | gpm  | L/min |                       |
| Light hazard       | 0, 50, or<br>100 | 0, 190, or<br>380 | 100  | 380   | 30                    |
| Ordinary<br>hazard | 0, 50, or<br>100 | 0, 190, or<br>380 | 250  | 950   | 60-90                 |
| Extra hazard       | 0, 50, or<br>100 | 0, 190, or<br>380 | 500  | 1900  | 90-120                |

---

---

***APPENDIX C***


***Wastewater Collection***

---

---



# Sanitary Trunk Sewer Map



Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count  
 City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area 1.00 ha

Extraneous Flow Allowances

Infiltration / Inflow 0.33 L/s

Domestic Contributions

| Unit Type                    | Unit Rate | Units | Pop             |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|
| Single Family                | 3.4       |       | 0               |
| Semi-detached and duplex     | 2.7       |       | 0               |
| Townhouse                    | 2.7       |       | 0               |
| Stacked Townhouse (Duplex)   | 2.3       |       | 0               |
| Apartment                    |           |       |                 |
| Bachelor                     | 1.4       |       | 0               |
| 1 Bedroom                    | 1.4       |       | 0               |
| 2 Bedroom                    | 2.1       |       | 0               |
| 3 Bedroom                    | 3.1       |       | 0               |
| Average                      | 1.8       |       | 0               |
| Type of Housing              | Per/Bed   | Beds  | Pop             |
| Boarding*                    |           | 1     | 0               |
| <b>Total Pop</b>             |           |       | <b>0</b>        |
| <b>Average Domestic Flow</b> |           |       | <b>0.00 L/s</b> |
| <b>Peaking Factor</b>        |           |       | <b>3.80</b>     |
| <b>Peak Domestic Flow</b>    |           |       | <b>0.00 L/s</b> |

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Contributions

| Property Type                               | Unit Rate             | No. of Units | Avg Wastewater (L/s) |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Water Closets                               | 150 L/hr              |              | 0.00                 |
| Restaurant                                  | 125 L/seat/d          |              | 0.00                 |
| Commercial floor space*                     | 5 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d | 6,482        | 0.75                 |
| Laundry*                                    | 1,200 L/machine/d     |              | 0.00                 |
| Hospitals                                   | 900 L/bed/d           |              | 0.00                 |
| School                                      | 70 L/student/d        |              | 0.00                 |
| <b>Average I/C/I Flow</b>                   |                       |              | <b>0.75</b>          |
| <b>Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow</b> |                       |              | <b>1.13</b>          |
| <b>Peak Industrial Flow**</b>               |                       |              | <b>0.00</b>          |
| <b>Peak I/C/I Flow</b>                      |                       |              | <b>1.13</b>          |

\* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

|  |                 |
|--|-----------------|
| <b>Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate</b> | <b>0.75 L/s</b> |
| <b>Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate</b>    | <b>1.13 L/s</b> |
| <b>Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate</b>    | <b>1.46 L/s</b> |

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count  
 City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area 1.00 ha

Extraneous Flow Allowances

Infiltration / Inflow 0.33 L/s

Domestic Contributions

| Unit Type                  | Unit Rate | Units | Pop |
|----------------------------|-----------|-------|-----|
| Single Family              | 3.4       |       | 0   |
| Semi-detached and duplex   | 2.7       |       | 0   |
| Townhouse                  | 2.7       |       | 0   |
| Stacked Townhouse (Duplex) | 2.3       |       | 0   |
| Apartment                  |           |       |     |
| Bachelor                   | 1.4       | 120   | 168 |
| 1 Bedroom                  | 1.4       | 244   | 342 |
| 2 Bedroom                  | 2.1       | 336   | 706 |
| 3 Bedroom                  | 3.1       | 45    | 140 |
| Average                    | 1.8       |       | 0   |

Total Pop 1356

Average Domestic Flow 4.39 L/s

Peaking Factor 3.17

Peak Domestic Flow 13.92 L/s

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Contributions

| Property Type           | Unit Rate                 | No. of Units | Avg Wastewater (L/s) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Office                  | 75 L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d | 17,569       | 1.64                 |
| Restaurant              | 125 L/seat/d              |              | 0.00                 |
| Commercial floor space* | 5 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d     | 3,276        | 0.38                 |
| Laundry*                | 1,200 L/machine/d         |              | 0.00                 |
| Hospitals               | 900 L/bed/d               |              | 0.00                 |
| School                  | 70 L/student/d            |              | 0.00                 |

Average I/C/I Flow 2.02

Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow 3.03

Peak Industrial Flow\*\* 0.00

Peak I/C/I Flow 3.03

\* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate | 6.41 L/s  |
| Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate    | 16.95 L/s |
| Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate    | 17.28 L/s |





---

---

***APPENDIX D***

***Stormwater Management***

---

---



**Estimated Peak Stormwater Flow Rate**  
City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012**Existing Drainage Characteristics From Internal Site**

|                |          |                                    |
|----------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| <b>Area</b>    | 1.00 ha  |                                    |
| <b>C</b>       | 0.90     | Rational Method runoff coefficient |
| <b>L</b>       | 139 m    |                                    |
| <b>Up Elev</b> | 67.25 m  |                                    |
| <b>Dn Elev</b> | 64.25 m  |                                    |
| <b>Slope</b>   | 2.2 %    |                                    |
| <b>Tc</b>      | 6.0 min  |                                    |
| <b>Tc</b>      | 10.0 min | <-- Assume 10 minutes as minimum   |

## 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration

$$t_c = \frac{1.8(1.1 - C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$$

tc, in minutes

C, rational method coefficient, (-)

L, length in ft

S, average watershed slope in %

**Estimated Peak Flow**

|          | <b>2-year</b> | <b>5-year</b> | <b>100-year</b> |
|----------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| <b>i</b> | 76.8          | 104.2         | 178.6 mm/hr     |
| <b>Q</b> | 192.0         | 260.5         | 496.0 L/s       |

**Stormwater - Proposed Development**  
City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012  
Target Flow Rate



Area 1.00 ha  
C 0.50 Rational Method runoff coefficient  
t<sub>c</sub> 10.0 min \*Based on a time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 min

**2-year**  
i 76.8 mm/hr  
Q 106.7 L/s

**Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas**

Total Area 0.100 ha \*Conservative estimate of 10% of total site area for unattenuated areas  
C 0.80 Rational Method runoff coefficient

| t <sub>c</sub><br>(min) | 5-year       |                              |                               |                              |  | 100-year     |                              |                               |                              |  |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
|                         | i<br>(mm/hr) | Q <sub>actual</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>release</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>stored</sub><br>(L/s) | V <sub>stored</sub><br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) | i<br>(mm/hr) | Q <sub>actual</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>release</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>stored</sub><br>(L/s) | V <sub>stored</sub><br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| 10.0                    | 104.2        | 23.2                         | 23.2                          | 0.0                          | 0.0                                      | 178.6        | 49.6                         | 49.6                          | 0.0                          | 0.0                                      |

Note:  
C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

**Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas**

Total Area 0.90 ha  
C 0.84 Rational Method runoff coefficient

| t <sub>c</sub><br>(min) | 5-year       |                              |                               |                              |  | 100-year     |                              |                               |                              |  |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
|                         | i<br>(mm/hr) | Q <sub>actual</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>release</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>stored</sub><br>(L/s) | V <sub>stored</sub><br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) | i<br>(mm/hr) | Q <sub>actual</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>release</sub><br>(L/s) | Q <sub>stored</sub><br>(L/s) | V <sub>stored</sub><br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| 10                      | 104.2        | 218.8                        | 28.0                          | 190.8                        | 114.5                                    | 178.6        | 446.4                        | 57.1                          | 389.3                        | 233.6                                    |
| 15                      | 83.6         | 175.5                        | 28.0                          | 147.4                        | 132.7                                    | 142.9        | 357.2                        | 57.1                          | 300.2                        | 270.1                                    |
| 20                      | 70.3         | 147.5                        | 28.1                          | 119.4                        | 143.3                                    | 120.0        | 299.9                        | 57.1                          | 242.8                        | 291.4                                    |
| 25                      | 60.9         | 127.9                        | 28.1                          | 99.8                         | 149.7                                    | 103.8        | 259.6                        | 57.1                          | 202.5                        | 303.8                                    |
| 30                      | 53.9         | 113.2                        | 28.1                          | 85.1                         | 153.2                                    | 91.9         | 229.7                        | 57.1                          | 172.6                        | 310.7                                    |
| 35                      | 48.5         | 101.9                        | 28.2                          | 73.7                         | 154.8                                    | 82.6         | 206.4                        | 57.1                          | 149.4                        | 313.7                                    |
| 40                      | 44.2         | 92.8                         | 28.2                          | 64.6                         | 155.0                                    | 75.1         | 187.9                        | 57.1                          | 130.8                        | 313.9                                    |
| 45                      | 40.6         | 85.3                         | 28.2                          | 57.1                         | 154.2                                    | 69.1         | 172.6                        | 57.1                          | 115.6                        | 312.0                                    |
| 50                      | 37.7         | 79.1                         | 28.2                          | 50.8                         | 152.5                                    | 64.0         | 159.9                        | 57.1                          | 102.8                        | 308.4                                    |
| 55                      | 35.1         | 73.8                         | 28.2                          | 45.5                         | 150.2                                    | 59.6         | 149.1                        | 57.1                          | 92.0                         | 303.6                                    |
| 60                      | 32.9         | 69.2                         | 28.3                          | 40.9                         | 147.3                                    | 55.9         | 139.7                        | 57.1                          | 82.7                         | 297.6                                    |
| 65                      | 31.0         | 65.2                         | 28.3                          | 36.9                         | 144.0                                    | 52.6         | 131.6                        | 57.1                          | 74.5                         | 290.7                                    |
| 70                      | 29.4         | 61.7                         | 28.3                          | 33.4                         | 140.3                                    | 49.8         | 124.5                        | 57.1                          | 67.4                         | 283.1                                    |
| 75                      | 27.9         | 58.6                         | 28.3                          | 30.3                         | 136.2                                    | 47.3         | 118.1                        | 57.1                          | 61.1                         | 274.8                                    |
| 80                      | 26.6         | 55.8                         | 28.3                          | 27.5                         | 131.9                                    | 45.0         | 112.5                        | 57.1                          | 55.4                         | 265.9                                    |
| 85                      | 25.4         | 53.3                         | 28.3                          | 25.0                         | 127.3                                    | 43.0         | 107.4                        | 57.1                          | 50.3                         | 256.6                                    |
| 90                      | 24.3         | 51.0                         | 28.3                          | 22.7                         | 122.5                                    | 41.1         | 102.8                        | 57.1                          | 45.7                         | 246.8                                    |
| 95                      | 23.3         | 48.9                         | 28.3                          | 20.6                         | 117.5                                    | 39.4         | 98.6                         | 57.1                          | 41.5                         | 236.6                                    |
| 100                     | 22.4         | 47.1                         | 28.3                          | 18.7                         | 112.3                                    | 37.9         | 94.8                         | 57.1                          | 37.7                         | 226.1                                    |
| 105                     | 21.6         | 45.3                         | 28.4                          | 17.0                         | 106.9                                    | 36.5         | 91.2                         | 57.1                          | 34.2                         | 215.3                                    |
| 110                     | 20.8         | 43.7                         | 28.4                          | 15.4                         | 101.4                                    | 35.2         | 88.0                         | 57.1                          | 30.9                         | 204.2                                    |

Note:  
C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

5-year Q<sub>attenuated</sub> 28.19 L/s      100-year Q<sub>attenuated</sub> 57.07 L/s  
5-year Max. Storage Required 155.0 m<sup>3</sup>      100-year Max. Storage Required 313.9 m<sup>3</sup>

**Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes**

| Control Area       | 5-Year Release Rate (L/s) | 5-Year Storage (m <sup>3</sup> ) | 100-Year Release Rate (L/s) | 100-Year Storage (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Unattenuated Areas | 23.2                      | 0.0                              | 49.6                        | 0.0                                |
| Attenuated Areas   | 28.2                      | 155.0                            | 57.1                        | 313.9                              |
| <b>Total</b>       | <b>51.3</b>               | <b>155.0</b>                     | <b>106.7</b>                | <b>313.9</b>                       |

---



---

***DRAWINGS / FIGURES***

---

---





**Zoning Information**

Existing Zone: IGI H(11) – General Industrial Zone, Subzone 1, Height Limit 11m  
 Proposed Zone: MC[XXXX] SXXX  
 Proposal: Mixed-use development with office, retail, and residential uses in three high-rise towers at 30, 35 and 41 storeys in height

| Zoning Mechanism  | Required   | Provided        |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Minimum Lot Width | NO MINIMUM | 66.7m IRREGULAR |
| Etc.              |            |                 |

**Parking & Loading Information**

Schedule 1A, Zoning By-law 2008-250: Area X: Inner Urban

| Performance Standard | Required          | Proposed   |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Vehicular Parking    | 1,973 MAX. SPACES | 521 SPACES |
| Bicycle Parking      | 451 SPACES        | 518 SPACES |
| Loading              |                   |            |

**ZONING NOTES:**

CURRENT ZONING: IGI H(11)

| DEVELOPMENT STATS          | PROPOSED                                 |
|----------------------------|--|
| LOT AREA                   | 10,012.3 m <sup>2</sup> (107,172 sq.ft.) |
| LOT WIDTH                  | 66.7m IRREGULAR                          |
| LOT DEPTH                  | 150.9m                                   |
| TOTAL UNITS                | 168                                      |
| FRONT YARD SETBACK         | GLADSTONE AVE. 5 m                       |
| REAR YARD SETBACK          | N/A 5 m                                  |
| CORNER SIDE YARD SETBACK   | LORETTA AVE. N. 3 m                      |
| INTERIOR SIDE YARD SETBACK | TRILLIUM RAIL CORRIDOR 2 m               |
| MAXIMUM HEIGHT             | ± 123 m                                  |
| NUMBER OF STOREYS          | 35                                       |
| BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA    | 5,841 m <sup>2</sup> (62,874 sq.ft.)     |
| GROSS FLOOR AREA           | 94,673 m <sup>2</sup> (1,019,062 sq.ft.) |

**PARKING REQUIREMENTS**

1. REQUIRED PARKING


| LAND USE  | REQUIRED                                   | PROVIDED VEHICLE PARKING                        |
|-----------|--|---|
| APARTMENT | 1.75 MAX SPACE PER UNIT                    | 315 SPACES (0.5 SPACES/UNIT)                    |
| VISITOR   | 0.1 SPACE MIN. PER UNIT BUT MAX. 30 SPACES | 30 SPACES                                       |
| RETAIL    | 3.6 MAX SPACES / 100m <sup>2</sup> GFA     | 17 SPACES (1 SPACE / 1076 ft <sup>2</sup> )     |
| OFFICE    | 2.2 MAX SPACES / 100m <sup>2</sup> GFA     | 44 SPACES (0.75 SPACES / 1076 ft <sup>2</sup> ) |
| TOTAL     |  | 521 SPACES                                      |

3. BICYCLE PARKING  
 REQUIRED BICYCLE PARKING SPACES  
 RESIDENTIAL: (0.5 SPACES/UNIT)  
 COMMERCIAL (1 / 500 SQ.M. COMMERCIAL GFA)
4. AMENITY SPACE REQUIREMENTS  
 REQUIRED AMENITY SPACE 6 m<sup>2</sup> REQUIRED PER UNIT
5. REQUIRED AREA FOR PRIVATELY OWNED PUBLIC SPACE - P.O.P.S.  
 REQUIRED 1,001m<sup>2</sup> (10,717 sq.ft.)  
 PROVIDED 1,233.1m<sup>2</sup> (13,273 sq.ft.)

NOTE: ALL EXISTING SITE INFORMATION AS PER SITE SURVEY PLAN DATED \_\_\_\_\_, 2018 AND PREPARED BY STANTEC

**LEGEND**

|                     |  |                 |  |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| BUS STOP            |  | PROPERTY LINE   |  |
| OVERHEAD WIRE       |  | SETBACK LINE    |  |
| FIRE HYDRANT        |  | RETAINING WALL  |  |
| EXISTING HYDRO POLE |  | ROAD CENTRELINE |  |



| no. | date           | revision                |
|-----|----------------|-------------------------|
| 05  | JULY 24, 2019  | CHANGES TO TITLEBLOCK   |
| 04  | JULY 19, 2019  | ENTRY TO BUILDING B     |
| 03  | JUNE 03, 2019  | EXTENT OF PH. 1 & PH. 2 |
| 02  | MAY 24, 2019   | LANDSCAPE UPDATED       |
| 01  | APRIL 17, 2019 | LANDSCAPE & PODIUMS     |

It is the responsibility of the appropriate contractor to check and verify all dimensions on site and report all errors and/or omissions to the architect.

All contractors must comply with all pertinent codes and by-laws.

Do not scale drawings.

This drawing may not be used for construction until signed.

Copyright reserved.

TRINITY

**Hobin Architecture Incorporated**  
 63 Pamela Street  
 Ottawa, Ontario  
 Canada K1S 8K7  
 T: 613-238-7200  
 F: 613-235-2005  
 E: mail@hobinarc.com  
 hobinarc.com

**HOBIN ARCHITECTURE**

PROJECT/LOCATION:  
 951 GLADSTONE AVE.  
 & 145 LORETTA AVE. NORTH

DRAWING TITLE:  
 SITE PLAN

|                 |                   |                 |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| DRAWN BY:<br>TD | DATE:<br>18/04/17 | SCALE:<br>1:300 |
|                 | PROJECT:<br>1726  | DRAWING NO.:    |
|                 |                   | <b>A001</b>     |
|                 |                   | REVISION NO.:   |

SITE PLAN  
 AO.01 1:300





# GLADTONE + LORETTA SITE STATS

7/19/2019

Site Area (sq.ft.):  
Total GFA, Excl. Parking (sq.ft.)  
FSI:

107,772  
1,019,062  
9.46

**Area Schedule (GFA by Floor)**

| Level         | Retail (Incl. Pedestrian Street) (sq.ft.) | Retail Loading (sq.ft.) | Office (sq.ft.) | Existing Building (sq.ft.) | Residential (sq.ft.)          | GFA / Level (sq.ft.)   | (x) # of Floors        | GFA Totals (sq.ft.) |
|---------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Level P1 - P2 |   |                         |                 |                            |                               | 103,476                | 2                      | 206,952             |
|               |   |                         |                 |                            | Podium 1<br>Ground Flr (Res.) | Podium 2<br>Ground Flr | Podium 3<br>Ground Flr |                     |
| Level 1       | 17,894                                    | 5,514                   | 1,390           | 5,790                      | 5,185                         | 13,258                 | 10,656                 | 59,687              |
|               |   |                         |                 |                            | Tower 1<br>(35 Flrs.)         | Tower 2<br>(33 Flrs.)  | Tower 3<br>(30 Flrs.)  |                     |
| Level 2 - 3   | 0   | 0                       | 46,930          | 5,790                      |                               |                        | 12,733                 | 65,453              |
| Level 4       | 0   | 0                       | 46,930          | 0                          |                               |                        | 12,733                 | 59,663              |
| Level 5       | 0   | 0                       | 46,930          | 0                          |                               |                        | 8,311                  | 55,241              |
| Level 6 - 18  | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 8,791                         | 8,751                  | 8,311                  | 25,853              |
| Level 19 - 25 | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 9,308                         | 8,751                  | 8,311                  | 26,370              |
| Level 26 - 29 | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 9,308                         | 8,751                  | 8,593                  | 26,652              |
| Level 30      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 8,799                         | 8,751                  | 8,593                  | 26,143              |
| Level 31      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 8,799                         | 9,089                  |                        | 17,888              |
| Level 32      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 8,799                         | 9,089                  |                        | 17,888              |
| Level 33      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 5,090                         | 9,089                  |                        | 14,179              |
| Level 34      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 5,090                         |                        |                        | 5,090               |
| Level 35      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          | 5,090                         |                        |                        | 5,090               |
| Level 36      | 0   | 0                       | 0               | 0                          |                               |                        | 0                      | 0                   |

1,019,062



# GLADTONE + LORETTA SITE STATS

### Area Schedule (GFA by Type)

| GFA Type          |                                  | GFA Totals (sq.ft) |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Retail            |                                  | 17,894             |
| Retail Loading    |                                  | 5,514              |
| Office            |                                  | 189,110            |
| Existing Building |                                  | 17,370             |
| Residential       | Cumulative Podium Res. / Amenity | 67,298             |
|                   | Tower 1 (30 / 35 Flrs.)          | 258,338            |
|                   | Tower 2 (29 / 33 Flrs.)          | 246,042            |
|                   | Tower 3 (26 / 30 Flrs.)          | 217,496            |
|                   | Total Res.                       | 789,174            |
|                   |                                  | <b>1,019,062</b>   |

### Residential GFA vs Net Area Comparison

| Area Type          | GFA     | Net Area | Efficiency |
|--------------------|---------|----------|------------|
| Tower 1 (35 Flrs.) | 258,338 | 219,500  | 85.0%      |
| Tower 2 (33 Flrs.) | 246,042 | 211,640  | 86.0%      |
| Tower 3 (30 Flrs.) | 217,496 | 187,446  | 86.2%      |
|                    | 721,876 | 618,586  | 85.7%      |

### Unit Count

| Unit Type     | % of total | TOWER 1    | TOWER 2    | TOWER 3    | RES. TOTALS |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| BACHELOR      | 16.1%      | 54         | 56         | 10         | 120         |
| 1 BED         | 32.8%      | 96         | 112        | 36         | 244         |
| 2 BED         | 45.1%      | 120        | 112        | 104        | 336         |
| 3 BED         | 6.0%       | 3          | 0          | 42         | 45          |
| <b>Totals</b> |            | <b>273</b> | <b>280</b> | <b>192</b> | <b>745</b>  |

### Bylaw Amenity Requirements (Bylaw 2008-250, Table 137 - "Amenity Area")

(5) Apartment Bldg Mid - High Rise: 6m<sup>2</sup> per dwelling unit (x740) = **4,440 m<sup>2</sup>**

### Amenity Area Provided

| Location of Amenity     | Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Landscape Area at Grade | 1,233                  |
| Rooftop Terrace         | 3,179                  |
| Indoor Communal Amenity | 1,150                  |
| Balconies               | 1,894                  |
| <b>Total</b>            | <b>7,456</b>           |



# APPENDIX

# C

## CALCULATIONS



# Hydro First Defense® - HC



Rev. 9.9

Project Name: **Gladstone + Loretta** Report Date: **2021-03-22** Paste  
 Street: - City: **Ottawa**  
 Province: **ON** Country: **Canada**  
 Designer: **Nick Zorn** email: **Nick.Zorn@wsp.com**

## Treatment Parameters:

Structure ID: OGS  
 TSS Goal: 80 % Removal  
 TSS Particle Size: Fine  
 Area: 1.02 ha  
 Percent Impervious: 97%  
 Rational C value: 0.85 Calc. Cn  
 Rainfall Station: Ottawa, ON MAP  
 Peak Storm Flow: - L/s

## RESULTS SUMMARY

| Model         | TSS          | Volume       |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| FD-3HC        | 80.8%        | 96.3%        |
| <b>FD-4HC</b> | <b>85.3%</b> | <b>99.4%</b> |
| FD-5HC        | 89.2%        | 99.8%        |
| FD-6HC        | 91.5%        | 100.0%       |
| FD-8HC        | 94.7%        | 99.9%        |

## Model Specification:

Model: **FD-4HC**  
 Diameter: 1200 mm  
 Peak Flow Capacity: 510.00 L/s  
 Sediment Storage: 0.54 m<sup>3</sup>  
 Oil Storage: 723.00 L

## Installation Configuration:

Placement: Online  
 Outlet Pipe Size: mm OK  
 Inlet Pipe 1 Size: mm OK  
 Inlet Pipe 2 Size: mm OK  
 Inlet Pipe 3 Size: mm OK  
 Rim Level: m Calc Invs.  
 Outlet Pipe Invert: m  
 Invert Pipe 1: m OK!  
 Invert Pipe 2: m  
 Invert Pipe 3: m

## Designer Notes:

## Net Annual Removal Model: FD-4HC


| Intensity <sup>(1)</sup><br>(mm/hr) | Fraction of<br>Rainfall <sup>(1)</sup><br>(%) | FD-4HC<br>Removal<br>Efficiency <sup>(2)</sup><br>(%) | Weighted Net<br>Annual<br>Efficiency<br>(%) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 0.50                                | 0.1%  | 100.0%  | 0.1%  |
| 1.00                                | 14.1%   | 95.8%   | 13.5%                                       |
| 1.50                                | 14.2%   | 92.3%   | 13.1%                                       |
| 2.00                                | 14.1%   | 89.8%   | 12.7%                                       |
| 2.50                                | 4.2%  | 88.0%   | 3.7%  |
| 3.00                                | 1.5%  | 86.5%   | 1.3%  |
| 3.50                                | 8.5%  | 85.3%   | 7.3%  |
| 4.00                                | 5.4%  | 84.2%   | 4.6%  |
| 4.50                                | 1.2%  | 83.3%   | 1.0%  |
| 5.00                                | 5.5%  | 82.5%   | 4.6%  |
| 6.00                                | 4.3%  | 81.1%   | 3.5%  |
| 7.00                                | 4.5%  | 80.0%   | 3.6%  |
| 8.00                                | 3.1%  | 79.0%   | 2.4%  |
| 9.00                                | 2.3%  | 78.1%   | 1.8%  |
| 10.00                               | 2.6%  | 77.4%   | 2.0%  |
| 20.00                               | 9.2%  | 72.5%   | 6.7%  |
| 30.00                               | 2.6%  | 69.8%   | 1.8%  |
| 40.00                               | 1.2%  | 68.0%   | 0.8%  |
| 50.00                               | 0.5%  | 66.6%   | 0.4%  |
| 100.00                              | 0.7%  | 62.4%   | 0.4%  |
| 150.00                              | 0.1%  | 60.1%   | 0.0%  |
| 200.00                              | 0.0%  | 58.5%   | 0.0%  |

**Total Net Annual Removal Efficiency: 85.3%**

**Total Annual Runoff Volume Treated: 99.4%**

- Rainfall Data: 1960:2007, HLY03, Ottawa, ONT, 6105976 & 6105978.
- Based on third party verified data and approximating the removal of a PSD similar to the STC Fine distribution
- Rainfall adjusted to 5 min peak intensity based on hourly average.

# Hydro First Defense® - HC



All drawing elevations are metres.

## FD-4HC Specification

|                    |   |                     |
|--------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1                  | Vortex Chamber Diameter                 | 1200 mm             |
| 2                  | Inlet Pipe Diameter                     | 0 mm                |
| 3                  | Oil Storage Capacity                    | 723.00 L            |
| 4                  | Min. Provided Sediment Storage Capacity | 0.54 m <sup>3</sup> |
| 5                  | Outlet Pipe Diameter                    | 0 mm                |
| 6                  | Height(Final Grade to Outlet Invert)    | 0 mm                |
| 7                  | Sump Depth(Outlet Invert to Sump)       | 1130 mm             |
| <b>Total Depth</b> |   | <b>1130 mm</b>      |

## Notes:

---



---



---



---



---



---



---

# **Proposed 100-Year PCSWMM Results**

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.015)

---

\*\*\*\*\*

Element Count

\*\*\*\*\*

Number of rain gages ..... 6  
 Number of subcatchments ... 3  
 Number of nodes ..... 6  
 Number of links ..... 3  
 Number of pollutants ..... 0  
 Number of land uses ..... 0

\*\*\*\*\*

Raingage Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| Name                | Data Source         | Data Type | Recording Interval |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 100-Year            | 100-year            | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 10-Year             | 10-year             | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 25-Year-3hr-Chicago | 25-Year-3hr-Chicago | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 2-Year              | 2-year              | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 50-Year-3hr-Chicago | 50-Year-3hr-Chicago | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 5-Year-3hr-Chicago  | 5-Year-3hr-Chicago  | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |

\*\*\*\*\*

Subcatchment Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| Name<br>Outlet | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope  | Rain Gage |
|----------------|------|-------|---------|---------|-----------|
| S1             | 0.44 | 94.32 | 90.45   | 12.0700 | 100-Year  |
| SU1            |      |       |         |         |           |
| S2             | 0.34 | 71.35 | 82.35   | 1.8100  | 100-Year  |
| SU2            |      |       |         |         |           |
| S3             | 0.22 | 52.70 | 86.36   | 2.4000  | 100-Year  |
| SU3            |      |       |         |         |           |

\*\*\*\*\*

Node Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

Invert      Max.      Poned      External



| Name | Type    | Elev. | Depth | Area | Inflow |
|------|---------|-------|-------|------|--------|
| OF1  | OUTFALL | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.0  |        |
| OF2  | OUTFALL | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.0  |        |
| OF3  | OUTFALL | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.0  |        |
| SU1  | STORAGE | 0.00  | 2.00  | 0.0  |        |
| SU2  | STORAGE | 0.00  | 2.00  | 0.0  |        |
| SU3  | STORAGE | 0.00  | 2.00  | 0.0  |        |

\*\*\*\*\*

Link Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| Name             | From Node | To Node | Type | Length |
|------------------|-----------|---------|------|--------|
| %Slope Roughness |           |         |      |        |

|     |     |     |         |  |
|-----|-----|-----|---------|--|
| OR1 | SU1 | OF1 | ORIFICE |  |
| OR2 | SU2 | OF2 | ORIFICE |  |
| OR3 | SU3 | OF3 | ORIFICE |  |

\*\*\*\*\*

Cross Section Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| Full    | Full  | Hyd.  | Max. | No. of |       |         |
|---------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|---------|
| Conduit | Shape | Depth | Area | Rad.   | Width | Barrels |
| Flow    |       |       |      |        |       |         |
|         |       |       |      |        |       |         |

\*\*\*\*\*

NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

Analysis Options

\*\*\*\*\*

Flow Units ..... CMS

Process Models:

Rainfall/Runoff ..... YES

RDII ..... NO

Snowmelt ..... NO

Groundwater ..... NO  
 Flow Routing ..... YES  
 Ponding Allowed ..... NO  
 Water Quality ..... NO  
 Infiltration Method ..... HORTON  
 Flow Routing Method ..... DYNWAVE  
 Surcharge Method ..... EXTRAN  
 Starting Date ..... 03/14/2024 00:00:00  
 Ending Date ..... 03/15/2024 00:00:00  
 Antecedent Dry Days ..... 0.0  
 Report Time Step ..... 00:01:00  
 Wet Time Step ..... 00:05:00  
 Dry Time Step ..... 00:05:00  
 Routing Time Step ..... 5.00 sec  
 Variable Time Step ..... YES  
 Maximum Trials ..... 8  
 Number of Threads ..... 1  
 Head Tolerance ..... 0.001524 m

| *****                      | Volume    | Depth  |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mm     |
| *****                      | -----     | -----  |
| Total Precipitation .....  | 0.072     | 71.677 |
| Evaporation Loss .....     | 0.000     | 0.000  |
| Infiltration Loss .....    | 0.006     | 5.845  |
| Surface Runoff .....       | 0.065     | 65.072 |
| Final Storage .....        | 0.001     | 1.363  |
| Continuity Error (%) ..... | -0.843    |        |

| *****                      | Volume    | Volume   |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity    | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| *****                      | -----     | -----    |
| Dry Weather Inflow .....   | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| Wet Weather Inflow .....   | 0.065     | 0.651    |
| Groundwater Inflow .....   | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| RDII Inflow .....          | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| External Inflow .....      | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| External Outflow .....     | 0.065     | 0.651    |
| Flooding Loss .....        | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| Evaporation Loss .....     | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| Exfiltration Loss .....    | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| Initial Stored Volume .... | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| Final Stored Volume .....  | 0.000     | 0.000    |
| Continuity Error (%) ..... | 0.000     |          |

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Time-Step Critical Elements

\*\*\*\*\*

None

\*\*\*\*\*

Highest Flow Instability Indexes

\*\*\*\*\*

All links are stable.

\*\*\*\*\*

Routing Time Step Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

```

Minimum Time Step      :      4.50 sec
Average Time Step      :      5.00 sec
Maximum Time Step      :      5.00 sec
Percent in Steady State :      0.00
Average Iterations per Step :      2.00
Percent Not Converging :      0.00
Time Step Frequencies :
    5.000 - 3.155 sec :    100.00 %
    3.155 - 1.991 sec :      0.00 %
    1.991 - 1.256 sec :      0.00 %
    1.256 - 0.792 sec :      0.00 %
    0.792 - 0.500 sec :      0.00 %

```

\*\*\*\*\*

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| -----        |        |          |        |        |       |       |        |
|--------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| -----        |        |          |        |        |       |       |        |
| Perv         | Total  | Total    | Total  | Total  | Total | Total | Imperv |
| Runoff       | Runoff | Total    | Peak   | Runoff | Evap  | Infil | Runoff |
| Subcatchment | Runoff | Precip   | Runoff | Runon  | mm    | mm    | mm     |
| mm           | mm     | 10^6 ltr | mm     | Coeff  | mm    | mm    | mm     |
| -----        |        |          |        |        |       |       |        |
| S1           |        | 71.68    |        | 0.00   | 0.00  | 4.19  | 63.57  |
| 3.04         | 66.62  | 0.29     | 0.22   | 0.929  |       |       |        |
| S2           |        | 71.68    |        | 0.00   | 0.00  | 7.87  | 58.09  |
| 5.08         | 63.17  | 0.21     | 0.16   | 0.881  |       |       |        |
| S3           |        | 71.68    |        | 0.00   | 0.00  | 6.03  | 60.85  |
| 4.07         | 64.93  | 0.14     | 0.11   | 0.906  |       |       |        |

\*\*\*\*\*  
Node Depth Summary  
\*\*\*\*\*

| Reported<br>Depth<br>Node<br>Meters | Type    | Average<br>Depth<br>Meters | Maximum<br>Depth<br>Meters | Maximum<br>HGL<br>Meters | Time of Max<br>Occurrence<br>days hr:min | Max |
|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----|
| 0.00                                | OUTFALL | 0.00                       | 0.00                       | 0.00                     | 0 00:00                                  |     |
| 0.00                                | OUTFALL | 0.00                       | 0.00                       | 0.00                     | 0 00:00                                  |     |
| 0.00                                | OUTFALL | 0.00                       | 0.00                       | 0.00                     | 0 00:00                                  |     |
| 1.53                                | STORAGE | 0.09                       | 1.53                       | 1.53                     | 0 01:23                                  |     |
| 1.07                                | STORAGE | 0.06                       | 1.07                       | 1.07                     | 0 01:23                                  |     |
| 0.78                                | STORAGE | 0.05                       | 0.78                       | 0.78                     | 0 01:24                                  |     |

\*\*\*\*\*  
Node Inflow Summary  
\*\*\*\*\*

| Total<br>Inflow<br>Volume<br>Node<br>10^6 ltr | Flow<br>Balance<br>Error<br>Percent | Type    | Maximum<br>Lateral<br>Inflow<br>CMS | Maximum<br>Total<br>Inflow<br>CMS | Time of Max<br>Occurrence<br>days hr:min | Lateral<br>Inflow<br>Volume<br>10^6 ltr |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| 0.293   | 0.000                               | OUTFALL | 0.000                               | 0.043                             | 0 01:23                                  | 0                                       |
|   |                                     | OUTFALL | 0.000                               | 0.036                             | 0 01:23                                  | 0                                       |

|       |       |         |       |       |   |       |       |
|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|
| 0.215 | 0.000 |         |       |       |   |       |       |
| OF3   |       | OUTFALL | 0.000 | 0.019 | 0 | 01:24 | 0     |
| 0.143 | 0.000 |         |       |       |   |       |       |
| SU1   |       | STORAGE | 0.215 | 0.215 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.293 |
| 0.293 | 0.000 |         |       |       |   |       |       |
| SU2   |       | STORAGE | 0.160 | 0.160 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.215 |
| 0.215 | 0.000 |         |       |       |   |       |       |
| SU3   |       | STORAGE | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.143 |
| 0.143 | 0.000 |         |       |       |   |       |       |

\*\*\*\*\*  
Node Surcharge Summary  
\*\*\*\*\*

No nodes were surcharged.

\*\*\*\*\*  
Node Flooding Summary  
\*\*\*\*\*

No nodes were flooded.

\*\*\*\*\*  
Storage Volume Summary  
\*\*\*\*\*

| of Max Occurrence |              | Maximum Outflow | Average Volume | Avg Pcmt Full | Evap Loss | Exfil Loss | Maximum Volume | Max Pcmt Full | Time days |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| hr:min            | Storage Unit | Unit CMS        | 1000 m3        | Full          | Loss      | Loss       | 1000 m3        | Full          |           |
| SU1               |              |                 | 0.009          | 5             | 0         | 0          | 0.153          | 77            | 0         |
| 01:23             | 0.043        |                 |                |               |           |            |                |               |           |
| SU2               |              |                 | 0.006          | 3             | 0         | 0          | 0.107          | 54            | 0         |
| 01:23             | 0.036        |                 |                |               |           |            |                |               |           |
| SU3               |              |                 | 0.005          | 3             | 0         | 0          | 0.078          | 39            | 0         |
| 01:24             | 0.019        |                 |                |               |           |            |                |               |           |

\*\*\*\*\*

Outfall Loading Summary  
 \*\*\*\*\*

| Outfall Node | Flow Freq Pcmt | Avg Flow CMS | Max Flow CMS | Total Volume 10^6 ltr |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| OF1          | 32.19          | 0.011        | 0.043        | 0.293                 |
| OF2          | 31.39          | 0.008        | 0.036        | 0.215                 |
| OF3          | 34.59          | 0.005        | 0.019        | 0.143                 |
| System       | 32.72          | 0.023        | 0.098        | 0.651                 |

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Link Flow Summary  
 \*\*\*\*\*

| Link | Type    | Maximum  Flow  CMS | Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min | Maximum  Veloc  m/sec | Max/ Full Flow | Max/ Full Depth |
|------|---------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| OR1  | ORIFICE | 0.043              | 0 01:23                            |                       |                | 1.00            |
| OR2  | ORIFICE | 0.036              | 0 01:23                            |                       |                | 1.00            |
| OR3  | ORIFICE | 0.019              | 0 01:24                            |                       |                | 1.00            |

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Flow Classification Summary  
 \*\*\*\*\*

| Inlet<br>Conduit<br>Ctrl | Adjusted /Actual Length | Fraction of Time in Flow Class |      |     |      |      |      |      |     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------|-----|------|------|------|------|-----|
|                          |                         | Up                             | Down | Sub | Sup  | Up   | Down | Norm | Ltd |
|                          |                         | Dry                            | Dry  | Dry | Crit | Crit | Crit | Crit | Ltd |

\*\*\*\*\*  
 Conduit Surcharge Summary  
 \*\*\*\*\*

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Fri Apr 19 09:00:13 2024

Analysis ended on: Fri Apr 19 09:00:14 2024

Total elapsed time: 00:00:01

# **Existing 2-Year PCSWMM Results**



EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.013)

\*\*\*\*\*

Element Count

\*\*\*\*\*

Number of rain gages ..... 20  
 Number of subcatchments ... 1  
 Number of nodes ..... 1  
 Number of links ..... 0  
 Number of pollutants ..... 0  
 Number of land uses ..... 0

\*\*\*\*\*

Raingage Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| Name                             | Data Source                          | Data Type | Recording Interval |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| 100yr                            | 100yr                                | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 100yr_3hr_Chicago                | 100yr_3hr_Chicago                    | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 100yr_3hr_Chicago_Climate_Change | 100yr_3hr_Chicago_Increase_20percent | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 100yr_6hr_Chicago                | 100yr_6hr_Chicago                    | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 100yr_6hr_Chicago_Climate_Change | 100yr_6hr_Chicago_Increase_20percent | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 100yr+20%                        | 100yr+20%                            | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 10yr                             | 10yr                                 | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 10yr_3hr_Chicago                 | 10yr_3hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 10yr_6hr_Chicago                 | 10yr_6hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 25mm_3hr_Chicago                 | 25mm_3hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 25mm_4hr_Chicago                 | 25mm_4hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 25yr_3hr_Chicago                 | 25yr_3hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 25yr_6hr_Chicago                 | 25yr_6hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 2yr                              | 2yr                                  | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 2yr_3hr_Chicago                  | 2yr_3hr_Chicago                      | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 2yr_6hr_Chicago                  | 2yr_6hr_Chicago                      | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 50yr_3hr_Chicago                 | 50yr_3hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 50yr_6hr_Chicago                 | 50yr_6hr_Chicago                     | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 5yr_3hr_Chicago                  | 5yr_3hr_Chicago                      | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |
| 5yr_6hr_Chicago                  | 5yr_6hr_Chicago                      | INTENSITY | 10 min.            |

\*\*\*\*\*

Subcatchment Summary

\*\*\*\*\*

| Name | Area | Width | %Imperv | %Slope | Rain Gage |
|------|------|-------|---------|--------|-----------|
|------|------|-------|---------|--------|-----------|



|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.6656 | 0.6765 | 0.6873 | 0.6988 | 0.7228 |
| 0.7553 | 0.7878 | 0.8571 | 0.8836 | 0.8876 |
| 0.8917 | 0.8957 | 0.8997 | 0.9037 | 0.9077 |
| 0.9118 | 0.9158 | 0.9198 | 0.9238 | 0.9278 |
| 0.9319 | 0.9359 | 0.9399 | 0.9439 | 0.9480 |
| 0.9520 | 0.9634 | 0.9756 | 0.9878 | 1.0000 |

Transect 507+210\_pr

Area:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0009 | 0.0020 | 0.0033 | 0.0048 | 0.0066 |
| 0.0086 | 0.0107 | 0.0131 | 0.0157 | 0.0186 |
| 0.0217 | 0.0258 | 0.0319 | 0.0403 | 0.0511 |
| 0.0644 | 0.0802 | 0.0980 | 0.1178 | 0.1395 |
| 0.1624 | 0.1858 | 0.2099 | 0.2345 | 0.2597 |
| 0.2855 | 0.3120 | 0.3391 | 0.3668 | 0.3948 |
| 0.4230 | 0.4512 | 0.4797 | 0.5083 | 0.5370 |
| 0.5659 | 0.5950 | 0.6242 | 0.6535 | 0.6830 |
| 0.7127 | 0.7425 | 0.7724 | 0.8026 | 0.8332 |
| 0.8646 | 0.8970 | 0.9303 | 0.9647 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0319 | 0.0584 | 0.0820 | 0.1036 | 0.1242 |
| 0.1440 | 0.1633 | 0.1822 | 0.2008 | 0.2191 |
| 0.2180 | 0.1795 | 0.1578 | 0.1494 | 0.1510 |
| 0.1582 | 0.1697 | 0.1863 | 0.2032 | 0.2217 |
| 0.2514 | 0.2805 | 0.3091 | 0.3371 | 0.3643 |
| 0.3909 | 0.4171 | 0.4429 | 0.4708 | 0.5039 |
| 0.5369 | 0.5696 | 0.6022 | 0.6347 | 0.6670 |
| 0.6991 | 0.7310 | 0.7628 | 0.7945 | 0.8260 |
| 0.8574 | 0.8886 | 0.9197 | 0.9446 | 0.9650 |
| 0.9706 | 0.9770 | 0.9841 | 0.9918 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0279 | 0.0338 | 0.0398 | 0.0458 | 0.0518 |
| 0.0578 | 0.0638 | 0.0698 | 0.0759 | 0.0819 |
| 0.0963 | 0.1411 | 0.1998 | 0.2674 | 0.3367 |
| 0.4059 | 0.4717 | 0.5257 | 0.5797 | 0.6297 |
| 0.6461 | 0.6626 | 0.6790 | 0.6955 | 0.7129 |
| 0.7305 | 0.7480 | 0.7656 | 0.7791 | 0.7833 |
| 0.7875 | 0.7918 | 0.7960 | 0.8003 | 0.8046 |
| 0.8088 | 0.8131 | 0.8173 | 0.8216 | 0.8258 |
| 0.8301 | 0.8343 | 0.8386 | 0.8484 | 0.8622 |
| 0.8898 | 0.9173 | 0.9449 | 0.9724 | 1.0000 |

Transect 507+330\_pr

Area:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0006 | 0.0014 | 0.0024 | 0.0037 | 0.0052 |
| 0.0076 | 0.0113 | 0.0163 | 0.0225 | 0.0300 |
| 0.0388 | 0.0488 | 0.0602 | 0.0728 | 0.0867 |
| 0.1019 | 0.1185 | 0.1363 | 0.1555 | 0.1761 |
| 0.1979 | 0.2211 | 0.2454 | 0.2709 | 0.2969 |
| 0.3231 | 0.3493 | 0.3757 | 0.4022 | 0.4288 |

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.4556 | 0.4824 | 0.5094 | 0.5366 | 0.5638 |
|  | 0.5912 | 0.6187 | 0.6463 | 0.6741 | 0.7020 |
|  | 0.7300 | 0.7582 | 0.7866 | 0.8153 | 0.8445 |
|  | 0.8743 | 0.9046 | 0.9354 | 0.9670 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0282 | 0.0506 | 0.0706 | 0.0894 | 0.0962 |
|  | 0.0834 | 0.0879 | 0.0980 | 0.1106 | 0.1246 |
|  | 0.1393 | 0.1546 | 0.1703 | 0.1857 | 0.2012 |
|  | 0.2169 | 0.2328 | 0.2489 | 0.2650 | 0.2813 |
|  | 0.2977 | 0.3152 | 0.3331 | 0.3538 | 0.3858 |
|  | 0.4177 | 0.4494 | 0.4810 | 0.5125 | 0.5438 |
|  | 0.5749 | 0.6059 | 0.6368 | 0.6676 | 0.6982 |
|  | 0.7287 | 0.7590 | 0.7892 | 0.8189 | 0.8482 |
|  | 0.8773 | 0.9063 | 0.9345 | 0.9510 | 0.9675 |
|  | 0.9841 | 1.0006 | 1.0172 | 1.0135 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0207 | 0.0273 | 0.0339 | 0.0405 | 0.0531 |
|  | 0.0906 | 0.1281 | 0.1656 | 0.2031 | 0.2406 |
|  | 0.2781 | 0.3157 | 0.3532 | 0.3917 | 0.4308 |
|  | 0.4699 | 0.5090 | 0.5482 | 0.5873 | 0.6264 |
|  | 0.6655 | 0.7021 | 0.7376 | 0.7667 | 0.7704 |
|  | 0.7741 | 0.7778 | 0.7815 | 0.7852 | 0.7889 |
|  | 0.7926 | 0.7963 | 0.8000 | 0.8037 | 0.8074 |
|  | 0.8111 | 0.8148 | 0.8185 | 0.8226 | 0.8270 |
|  | 0.8314 | 0.8358 | 0.8409 | 0.8566 | 0.8722 |
|  | 0.8878 | 0.9035 | 0.9191 | 0.9538 | 1.0000 |

Transect 507+450\_pr

Area:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0007 | 0.0016 | 0.0027 | 0.0041 | 0.0058 |
|  | 0.0077 | 0.0098 | 0.0122 | 0.0148 | 0.0176 |
|  | 0.0208 | 0.0251 | 0.0342 | 0.0485 | 0.0677 |
|  | 0.0885 | 0.1098 | 0.1316 | 0.1537 | 0.1761 |
|  | 0.1988 | 0.2216 | 0.2448 | 0.2681 | 0.2917 |
|  | 0.3155 | 0.3396 | 0.3639 | 0.3885 | 0.4133 |
|  | 0.4388 | 0.4653 | 0.4928 | 0.5205 | 0.5484 |
|  | 0.5764 | 0.6045 | 0.6328 | 0.6613 | 0.6898 |
|  | 0.7185 | 0.7474 | 0.7764 | 0.8059 | 0.8360 |
|  | 0.8669 | 0.8984 | 0.9306 | 0.9644 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0307 | 0.0551 | 0.0768 | 0.0973 | 0.1169 |
|  | 0.1361 | 0.1549 | 0.1735 | 0.1919 | 0.2102 |
|  | 0.2284 | 0.1385 | 0.1064 | 0.1050 | 0.1204 |
|  | 0.1536 | 0.1861 | 0.2182 | 0.2521 | 0.2857 |
|  | 0.3189 | 0.3517 | 0.3843 | 0.4165 | 0.4484 |
|  | 0.4801 | 0.5114 | 0.5425 | 0.5733 | 0.6011 |
|  | 0.6136 | 0.6265 | 0.6490 | 0.6820 | 0.7148 |
|  | 0.7474 | 0.7799 | 0.8122 | 0.8444 | 0.8764 |
|  | 0.9083 | 0.9400 | 0.9695 | 0.9837 | 0.9980 |
|  | 1.0126 | 1.0273 | 1.0297 | 1.0131 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0216 | 0.0283 | 0.0350 | 0.0417 | 0.0484 |
| 0.0551 | 0.0618 | 0.0685 | 0.0752 | 0.0819 |
| 0.0886 | 0.1793 | 0.3208 | 0.4622 | 0.5637 |
| 0.5775 | 0.5913 | 0.6044 | 0.6110 | 0.6175 |
| 0.6241 | 0.6307 | 0.6372 | 0.6438 | 0.6504 |
| 0.6569 | 0.6635 | 0.6701 | 0.6766 | 0.6863 |
| 0.7140 | 0.7418 | 0.7583 | 0.7622 | 0.7661 |
| 0.7700 | 0.7739 | 0.7778 | 0.7817 | 0.7856 |
| 0.7895 | 0.7934 | 0.7991 | 0.8177 | 0.8362 |
| 0.8548 | 0.8734 | 0.9029 | 0.9515 | 1.0000 |

Transect c42

Area:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0006 | 0.0024 | 0.0058 | 0.0115 | 0.0192 |
| 0.0281 | 0.0383 | 0.0502 | 0.0635 | 0.0773 |
| 0.0915 | 0.1059 | 0.1206 | 0.1356 | 0.1510 |
| 0.1667 | 0.1831 | 0.2001 | 0.2176 | 0.2358 |
| 0.2558 | 0.2773 | 0.3015 | 0.3263 | 0.3512 |
| 0.3762 | 0.4012 | 0.4263 | 0.4515 | 0.4768 |
| 0.5021 | 0.5275 | 0.5529 | 0.5785 | 0.6041 |
| 0.6298 | 0.6555 | 0.6813 | 0.7072 | 0.7332 |
| 0.7592 | 0.7853 | 0.8116 | 0.8380 | 0.8645 |
| 0.8911 | 0.9180 | 0.9450 | 0.9722 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0161 | 0.0306 | 0.0389 | 0.0508 | 0.0698 |
| 0.0892 | 0.1045 | 0.1189 | 0.1418 | 0.1669 |
| 0.1933 | 0.2193 | 0.2449 | 0.2690 | 0.2916 |
| 0.3126 | 0.3304 | 0.3486 | 0.3672 | 0.3774 |
| 0.3698 | 0.3750 | 0.3660 | 0.3937 | 0.4215 |
| 0.4490 | 0.4764 | 0.5036 | 0.5305 | 0.5573 |
| 0.5839 | 0.6103 | 0.6366 | 0.6627 | 0.6886 |
| 0.7143 | 0.7399 | 0.7653 | 0.7906 | 0.8156 |
| 0.8399 | 0.8632 | 0.8864 | 0.9094 | 0.9322 |
| 0.9534 | 0.9739 | 0.9942 | 1.0091 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0418 | 0.0825 | 0.1597 | 0.2398 | 0.2921 |
| 0.3342 | 0.3888 | 0.4482 | 0.4753 | 0.4911 |
| 0.5009 | 0.5106 | 0.5204 | 0.5321 | 0.5465 |
| 0.5627 | 0.5846 | 0.6051 | 0.6247 | 0.6584 |
| 0.7294 | 0.7798 | 0.8682 | 0.8714 | 0.8741 |
| 0.8767 | 0.8792 | 0.8818 | 0.8843 | 0.8869 |
| 0.8894 | 0.8920 | 0.8944 | 0.8969 | 0.8993 |
| 0.9017 | 0.9041 | 0.9066 | 0.9090 | 0.9114 |
| 0.9147 | 0.9189 | 0.9232 | 0.9275 | 0.9318 |
| 0.9376 | 0.9442 | 0.9508 | 0.9628 | 1.0000 |

Transect c43

Area:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0045 | 0.0141 | 0.0257 | 0.0379 | 0.0507 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0639 | 0.0777 | 0.0919 | 0.1067 | 0.1219 |
| 0.1374 | 0.1533 | 0.1695 | 0.1862 | 0.2031 |
| 0.2205 | 0.2381 | 0.2560 | 0.2741 | 0.2926 |
| 0.3113 | 0.3303 | 0.3496 | 0.3693 | 0.3893 |
| 0.4099 | 0.4309 | 0.4523 | 0.4743 | 0.4974 |
| 0.5211 | 0.5449 | 0.5688 | 0.5927 | 0.6167 |
| 0.6407 | 0.6648 | 0.6891 | 0.7135 | 0.7379 |
| 0.7626 | 0.7875 | 0.8127 | 0.8384 | 0.8644 |
| 0.8907 | 0.9173 | 0.9443 | 0.9718 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0150 | 0.0387 | 0.0610 | 0.0863 | 0.1105 |
| 0.1341 | 0.1572 | 0.1790 | 0.2012 | 0.2247 |
| 0.2475 | 0.2698 | 0.2911 | 0.3123 | 0.3339 |
| 0.3553 | 0.3780 | 0.4004 | 0.4217 | 0.4428 |
| 0.4638 | 0.4841 | 0.5041 | 0.5236 | 0.5386 |
| 0.5546 | 0.5706 | 0.5855 | 0.5933 | 0.5899 |
| 0.6149 | 0.6397 | 0.6644 | 0.6890 | 0.7134 |
| 0.7376 | 0.7609 | 0.7834 | 0.8058 | 0.8281 |
| 0.8503 | 0.8720 | 0.8934 | 0.9142 | 0.9346 |
| 0.9540 | 0.9732 | 0.9920 | 1.0054 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.2954 | 0.3663 | 0.4123 | 0.4298 | 0.4481 |
| 0.4653 | 0.4820 | 0.5007 | 0.5169 | 0.5284 |
| 0.5404 | 0.5528 | 0.5661 | 0.5793 | 0.5910 |
| 0.6025 | 0.6113 | 0.6200 | 0.6301 | 0.6402 |
| 0.6501 | 0.6607 | 0.6715 | 0.6826 | 0.6994 |
| 0.7148 | 0.7301 | 0.7468 | 0.7729 | 0.8151 |
| 0.8175 | 0.8200 | 0.8225 | 0.8249 | 0.8274 |
| 0.8298 | 0.8332 | 0.8373 | 0.8414 | 0.8455 |
| 0.8519 | 0.8643 | 0.8768 | 0.8892 | 0.9011 |
| 0.9122 | 0.9233 | 0.9367 | 0.9557 | 1.0000 |

Transect c47

Area:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0016 | 0.0072 | 0.0142 | 0.0224 | 0.0315 |
| 0.0418 | 0.0528 | 0.0644 | 0.0773 | 0.0928 |
| 0.1089 | 0.1257 | 0.1429 | 0.1605 | 0.1784 |
| 0.1966 | 0.2152 | 0.2341 | 0.2534 | 0.2730 |
| 0.2929 | 0.3131 | 0.3336 | 0.3545 | 0.3757 |
| 0.3973 | 0.4194 | 0.4421 | 0.4655 | 0.4898 |
| 0.5143 | 0.5389 | 0.5636 | 0.5884 | 0.6133 |
| 0.6383 | 0.6633 | 0.6885 | 0.7137 | 0.7391 |
| 0.7645 | 0.7900 | 0.8156 | 0.8413 | 0.8670 |
| 0.8929 | 0.9189 | 0.9453 | 0.9723 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0182 | 0.0328 | 0.0563 | 0.0774 | 0.0961 |
| 0.1171 | 0.1398 | 0.1618 | 0.1528 | 0.1750 |
| 0.1973 | 0.2206 | 0.2444 | 0.2688 | 0.2930 |
| 0.3175 | 0.3410 | 0.3641 | 0.3872 | 0.4101 |
| 0.4329 | 0.4555 | 0.4780 | 0.4986 | 0.5191 |

|        |        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|        | 0.5382 | 0.5548 | 0.5690 | 0.5774 | 0.5917 |
|        | 0.6175 | 0.6430 | 0.6684 | 0.6936 | 0.7186 |
|        | 0.7436 | 0.7685 | 0.7931 | 0.8176 | 0.8419 |
|        | 0.8661 | 0.8901 | 0.9140 | 0.9377 | 0.9612 |
|        | 0.9846 | 1.0078 | 1.0299 | 1.0441 | 1.0000 |
| Width: | 0.0943 | 0.2300 | 0.2661 | 0.3039 | 0.3447 |
|        | 0.3752 | 0.3965 | 0.4173 | 0.5308 | 0.5558 |
|        | 0.5785 | 0.5964 | 0.6116 | 0.6243 | 0.6362 |
|        | 0.6467 | 0.6588 | 0.6709 | 0.6825 | 0.6937 |
|        | 0.7048 | 0.7156 | 0.7264 | 0.7396 | 0.7527 |
|        | 0.7676 | 0.7859 | 0.8077 | 0.8381 | 0.8595 |
|        | 0.8629 | 0.8663 | 0.8697 | 0.8731 | 0.8764 |
|        | 0.8794 | 0.8825 | 0.8856 | 0.8886 | 0.8917 |
|        | 0.8948 | 0.8978 | 0.9008 | 0.9038 | 0.9068 |
|        | 0.9098 | 0.9208 | 0.9385 | 0.9603 | 1.0000 |

Transect Cholette\_Cr\_Ditch

Area:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0002 | 0.0010 | 0.0022 | 0.0039 | 0.0060 |
|  | 0.0087 | 0.0118 | 0.0154 | 0.0195 | 0.0240 |
|  | 0.0289 | 0.0343 | 0.0401 | 0.0463 | 0.0529 |
|  | 0.0600 | 0.0676 | 0.0756 | 0.0843 | 0.0935 |
|  | 0.1034 | 0.1140 | 0.1251 | 0.1369 | 0.1493 |
|  | 0.1624 | 0.1766 | 0.1930 | 0.2147 | 0.2401 |
|  | 0.2699 | 0.3005 | 0.3317 | 0.3636 | 0.3962 |
|  | 0.4295 | 0.4635 | 0.4982 | 0.5336 | 0.5697 |
|  | 0.6065 | 0.6441 | 0.6824 | 0.7214 | 0.7616 |
|  | 0.8043 | 0.8498 | 0.8978 | 0.9481 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0260 | 0.0521 | 0.0781 | 0.1041 | 0.1302 |
|  | 0.1562 | 0.1823 | 0.2083 | 0.2363 | 0.2645 |
|  | 0.2924 | 0.3199 | 0.3473 | 0.3744 | 0.4014 |
|  | 0.4278 | 0.4494 | 0.4715 | 0.4902 | 0.5087 |
|  | 0.5281 | 0.5483 | 0.5691 | 0.5905 | 0.6124 |
|  | 0.6245 | 0.6186 | 0.6144 | 0.6022 | 0.5452 |
|  | 0.4699 | 0.5116 | 0.5526 | 0.5929 | 0.6326 |
|  | 0.6717 | 0.7103 | 0.7479 | 0.7851 | 0.8219 |
|  | 0.8582 | 0.8939 | 0.9293 | 0.9643 | 0.9690 |
|  | 0.9580 | 0.9572 | 0.9613 | 0.9776 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|  |        |        |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | 0.0091 | 0.0183 | 0.0274 | 0.0365 | 0.0457 |
|  | 0.0548 | 0.0639 | 0.0731 | 0.0815 | 0.0896 |
|  | 0.0977 | 0.1058 | 0.1139 | 0.1220 | 0.1301 |
|  | 0.1384 | 0.1484 | 0.1584 | 0.1698 | 0.1817 |
|  | 0.1937 | 0.2056 | 0.2175 | 0.2294 | 0.2413 |
|  | 0.2575 | 0.2831 | 0.3746 | 0.4455 | 0.5429 |
|  | 0.5731 | 0.5860 | 0.5989 | 0.6120 | 0.6251 |
|  | 0.6382 | 0.6513 | 0.6649 | 0.6784 | 0.6920 |
|  | 0.7057 | 0.7195 | 0.7333 | 0.7471 | 0.7850 |

0.8390 0.8874 0.9337 0.9697 1.0000

Transect Cholette\_Cr\_Swale

Area:

0.0009 0.0034 0.0076 0.0132 0.0203  
0.0291 0.0392 0.0499 0.0612 0.0732  
0.0857 0.0988 0.1125 0.1266 0.1412  
0.1561 0.1716 0.1874 0.2037 0.2204  
0.2375 0.2551 0.2731 0.2915 0.3105  
0.3299 0.3497 0.3701 0.3909 0.4122  
0.4348 0.4594 0.4858 0.5127 0.5398  
0.5670 0.5945 0.6221 0.6499 0.6779  
0.7060 0.7343 0.7629 0.7916 0.8204  
0.8500 0.8810 0.9183 0.9583 1.0000

Hrad:

0.0185 0.0370 0.0575 0.0770 0.0942  
0.1118 0.1391 0.1672 0.1942 0.2204  
0.2458 0.2706 0.2980 0.3248 0.3510  
0.3768 0.4021 0.4273 0.4523 0.4769  
0.5012 0.5248 0.5472 0.5694 0.5914  
0.6132 0.6348 0.6562 0.6775 0.6920  
0.6724 0.6553 0.6615 0.6918 0.7219  
0.7516 0.7811 0.8103 0.8392 0.8679  
0.8963 0.9245 0.9524 0.9800 1.0058  
1.0055 1.0057 1.0027 1.0007 1.0000

Width:

0.0403 0.0806 0.1149 0.1491 0.1877  
0.2264 0.2450 0.2593 0.2736 0.2879  
0.3022 0.3164 0.3268 0.3371 0.3475  
0.3578 0.3682 0.3783 0.3881 0.3980  
0.4079 0.4181 0.4291 0.4401 0.4511  
0.4621 0.4730 0.4840 0.4950 0.5109  
0.5553 0.6025 0.6309 0.6351 0.6393  
0.6436 0.6478 0.6520 0.6562 0.6605  
0.6647 0.6689 0.6731 0.6774 0.6828  
0.7072 0.7910 0.9234 0.9617 1.0000

Transect generic\_major

Area:

0.0044 0.0176 0.0375 0.0580 0.0785  
0.0990 0.1195 0.1399 0.1604 0.1809  
0.2014 0.2218 0.2423 0.2628 0.2833  
0.3038 0.3242 0.3447 0.3652 0.3857  
0.4061 0.4266 0.4471 0.4676 0.4881  
0.5085 0.5290 0.5495 0.5700 0.5904  
0.6109 0.6314 0.6519 0.6724 0.6928  
0.7133 0.7338 0.7543 0.7747 0.7952  
0.8157 0.8362 0.8567 0.8771 0.8976  
0.9181 0.9386 0.9590 0.9795 1.0000

Hrad:



|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0143 | 0.0287 | 0.0523 | 0.0801 | 0.1075 |
| 0.1345 | 0.1610 | 0.1871 | 0.2127 | 0.2380 |
| 0.2629 | 0.2873 | 0.3115 | 0.3352 | 0.3586 |
| 0.3816 | 0.4043 | 0.4266 | 0.4486 | 0.4703 |
| 0.4917 | 0.5128 | 0.5335 | 0.5540 | 0.5742 |
| 0.5941 | 0.6137 | 0.6330 | 0.6521 | 0.6709 |
| 0.6895 | 0.7078 | 0.7259 | 0.7437 | 0.7613 |
| 0.7787 | 0.7959 | 0.8128 | 0.8295 | 0.8460 |
| 0.8623 | 0.8783 | 0.8942 | 0.9099 | 0.9254 |
| 0.9407 | 0.9558 | 0.9707 | 0.9854 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.4286 | 0.8571 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |
| 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 | 1.0000 |

Transect SWALE\_507+100

Area:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0010 | 0.0033 | 0.0064 | 0.0103 | 0.0162 |
| 0.0234 | 0.0316 | 0.0409 | 0.0528 | 0.0682 |
| 0.0842 | 0.1006 | 0.1173 | 0.1343 | 0.1516 |
| 0.1692 | 0.1870 | 0.2051 | 0.2235 | 0.2422 |
| 0.2613 | 0.2809 | 0.3016 | 0.3239 | 0.3487 |
| 0.3737 | 0.3988 | 0.4241 | 0.4494 | 0.4748 |
| 0.5003 | 0.5259 | 0.5515 | 0.5772 | 0.6030 |
| 0.6288 | 0.6547 | 0.6807 | 0.7068 | 0.7329 |
| 0.7591 | 0.7853 | 0.8117 | 0.8381 | 0.8647 |
| 0.8914 | 0.9182 | 0.9452 | 0.9724 | 1.0000 |

Hrad:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0172 | 0.0356 | 0.0533 | 0.0713 | 0.0700 |
| 0.0882 | 0.1064 | 0.1214 | 0.1094 | 0.1262 |
| 0.1522 | 0.1775 | 0.2033 | 0.2288 | 0.2542 |
| 0.2793 | 0.3042 | 0.3283 | 0.3514 | 0.3737 |
| 0.3942 | 0.4098 | 0.4094 | 0.4084 | 0.4066 |
| 0.4332 | 0.4595 | 0.4857 | 0.5124 | 0.5388 |
| 0.5651 | 0.5912 | 0.6172 | 0.6430 | 0.6687 |
| 0.6944 | 0.7199 | 0.7452 | 0.7704 | 0.7955 |
| 0.8204 | 0.8452 | 0.8689 | 0.8920 | 0.9149 |
| 0.9377 | 0.9595 | 0.9794 | 0.9992 | 1.0000 |

Width:

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.0625 | 0.0959 | 0.1257 | 0.1511 | 0.2411 |
| 0.2770 | 0.3101 | 0.3512 | 0.5044 | 0.5643 |
| 0.5777 | 0.5913 | 0.6019 | 0.6122 | 0.6216 |
| 0.6308 | 0.6400 | 0.6499 | 0.6614 | 0.6737 |

|        |        |        |        |        |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 0.6888 | 0.7122 | 0.7656 | 0.8330 | 0.8903 |
| 0.8942 | 0.8982 | 0.9020 | 0.9046 | 0.9073 |
| 0.9099 | 0.9125 | 0.9152 | 0.9178 | 0.9204 |
| 0.9229 | 0.9254 | 0.9278 | 0.9303 | 0.9327 |
| 0.9352 | 0.9377 | 0.9413 | 0.9455 | 0.9498 |
| 0.9540 | 0.9591 | 0.9662 | 0.9733 | 1.0000 |

\*\*\*\*\*  
NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.  
\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
Analysis Options  
\*\*\*\*\*

Flow Units ..... CMS  
Process Models:  
  Rainfall/Runoff ..... YES  
  RDII ..... NO  
  Snowmelt ..... NO  
  Groundwater ..... NO  
  Flow Routing ..... NO  
  Water Quality ..... NO  
Infiltration Method ..... HORTON  
Surcharge Method ..... EXTRAN  
Starting Date ..... 11/10/2013 00:10:00  
Ending Date ..... 11/10/2013 09:00:00  
Antecedent Dry Days ..... 0.0  
Report Time Step ..... 00:05:00  
Wet Time Step ..... 00:05:00  
Dry Time Step ..... 00:05:00

| *****                      | Volume    | Depth  |
|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mm     |
| *****                      | -----     | -----  |
| Total Precipitation .....  | 0.032     | 31.860 |
| Evaporation Loss .....     | 0.000     | 0.000  |
| Infiltration Loss .....    | 0.017     | 17.201 |
| Surface Runoff .....       | 0.014     | 14.064 |
| Final Storage .....        | 0.001     | 0.728  |
| Continuity Error (%) ..... | -0.417    |        |

| *****                    | Volume    | Volume   |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Flow Routing Continuity  | hectare-m | 10^6 ltr |
| *****                    | -----     | -----    |
| Dry Weather Inflow ..... | 0.000     | 0.000    |

|                            |       |       |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Wet Weather Inflow .....   | 0.014 | 0.143 |
| Groundwater Inflow .....   | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow .....          | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow .....      | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Outflow .....     | 0.014 | 0.143 |
| Flooding Loss .....        | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss .....     | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Exfiltration Loss .....    | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Initial Stored Volume .... | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Final Stored Volume .....  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Continuity Error (%) ..... | 0.000 |       |

\*\*\*\*\*  
Subcatchment Runoff Summary  
\*\*\*\*\*

| Perv         |              | Total    | Total  | Total  | Total | Total | Imperv |
|--------------|--------------|----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| Runoff       | Runoff       | Total    | Peak   | Runoff | Evap  | Infil | Runoff |
| Subcatchment | Subcatchment | Precip   | Runoff | Runoff | mm    | mm    | mm     |
| mm           | mm           | 10^6 ltr | mm     | CMS    |       |       |        |
| S4           |              | 31.86    | 0.00   |        | 0.00  | 17.20 | 14.06  |
| 0.01         | 14.06        | 0.14     | 0.10   | 0.441  |       |       |        |

Analysis begun on: Fri Apr 19 10:33:39 2024  
Analysis ended on: Fri Apr 19 10:33:39 2024  
Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

# APPENDIX

**D**

CIVIL DRAWING



# APPENDIX

**E**

CATCHMENT MAP




| CATCHMENT ID | CATCHMENT CHARACTERISTICS |                         |                             |                         | TOTAL AREAS (ha) | WEIGHTED C-FACTOR |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|              | GRASED ● C-FACTOR BELOW   | GRAVEL ● C-FACTOR BELOW | ASPH./CONC ● C-FACTOR BELOW | ROOFED ● C-FACTOR BELOW |                  |                   |
| A1           | 0.05                      | 0.05                    | 0.567                       | 0.407                   | 0.98             | 0.92              |
| TOTAL        | 0.005                     | 0.000                   | 0.567                       | 0.407                   | 0.979            | 0.92              |

**TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATION - CATCHMENT A1**  
**(BRANSBY WILLIAM FORMULA, RUNOFF COEFFICIENT GREATER THAN 0.4)**



$T_c = (0.057 \cdot L) / (Sw^{0.5} \cdot A^{0.1})$   
 $T_c = (0.057 \cdot 74.32) / (2.33^{0.5} \cdot 1.00^{0.1})$   
 $T_c = 3.57 \text{ min}$


WHERE:  
 Tc = TIME OF CONCENTRATION, MINUTES = 3.57 min (USE MINIMUM Tc OF 10 min)  
 C = RATIONAL METHOD RUNOFF COEFFICIENT = 0.92  
 L = CATCHMENT LENGTH, m = 74.32m  
 Sw = CATCHMENT SLOPE, % = 2.33%  
 A = CATCHMENT AREA, ha = 1.00 ha

**LEGEND:**  
 --- CATCHMENT AREA  
 --- WATERSHED LENGTH  
 --- ROOF AREA  
 --- CONCRETE/ASPHALT AREA



## CATCHMENT ID  
 0.00 ha RUNOFF COEFFICIENT  
 0.00 CATCHMENT AREA





1224 GARDINERS ROAD, SUITE 201  
KINGSTON, ONTARIO  
CANADA K7P 0G2  
PHONE: 613-634-7373  
WWW.WSP.COM


---

CONSULTANT:

---

SEAL:

---



**CLV GROUP DEVELOPMENTS INC.**  
485 BANK STREET, SUITE 200  
OTTAWA, ON, K2P 1Z2

---

CLIENT REF #

---


PROJECT:

---

**951 GLADSTONE AVENUE AND  
145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH  
MIXED-USE & RESIDENTIAL**

---

KEY PLAN:



DISCLAIMER:  
THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED, REPRODUCED OR  
PHOTOCOPIED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL  
DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO  
COMMENCING WORK.  
THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED.

---

| ISSUED FOR - REVISION |
|-----------------------|
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |
|                       |

---

| IS | RE | DATE       | DESCRIPTION                      |
|----|----|------------|----------------------------------|
| 1  |    | 2022-04-19 | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION |

---

PROJECT NO:  
**20M-01441-00**

ORIGINAL SCALE:  
**1:250**

DESIGNED BY:  
**JD**

DRAWN BY:  
**JD**

CHECKED BY:  
**MF**

DISCIPLINE:  
**CIVIL**

TITLE:  
**PRE-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT MAP**

---

SHEET NUMBER:  
**SK1**

SHEET #:  
**1 OF 2**

---

ISSUE:  
**ISSUED FOR REVIEW**

DATE OF: **2024-04-16**

REV #:  
**0**

| CATCHMENT CHARACTERISTICS |                          |                         |                             |                         |                  |                   |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                           | GRASSED ● C-FACTOR BELOW | GRAVEL ● C-FACTOR BELOW | ASPH./CONC ● C-FACTOR BELOW | ROOFED ● C-FACTOR BELOW |                  |                   |
|                           | 0.25                     | 0.65                    | 0.90                        | 0.95                    |                  |                   |
| CATCHMENT ID              | GRASSED AREA (ha)        | GRAVEL AREA (ha)        | ASPH./CONC AREA (ha)        | ROOFED AREA (ha)        | TOTAL AREAS (ha) | WEIGHTED C-FACTOR |
| B1                        | 0.027                    | 0.000                   | 0.071                       | 0.123                   | 0.22             | 0.85              |
| B2                        | 0.057                    | 0.000                   | 0.129                       | 0.161                   | 0.34             | 0.81              |
| B3                        | 0.043                    | 0.000                   | 0.071                       | 0.327                   | 0.44             | 0.87              |
| TOTAL                     | 0.127                    | 0.000                   | 0.271                       | 0.602                   | 1.000            | 0.85              |

**TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATION - CATCHMENT B1**  
(BRANSBY WILLIAM FORMULA, RUNOFF COEFFICIENT GREATER THAN 0.4)

$$T_c = (0.057 \cdot L) / (S_w^{0.2} \cdot A^{0.3})$$

$$T_c = (0.057 \cdot 32.20) / (2.40^{0.2} \cdot 0.16^{0.3})$$

$$T_c = 1.85 \text{ min}$$

WHERE:  
**T<sub>c</sub> = TIME OF CONCENTRATION, MINUTES** = 1.85 min (USE MINIMUM T<sub>c</sub> OF 10 min)  
**C = RATIONAL METHOD RUNOFF COEFFICIENT** = 0.84  
**L = CATCHMENT LENGTH, m** = 32.20m  
**S<sub>w</sub> = CATCHMENT SLOPE, %** = 2.40%  
**A = CATCHMENT AREA, ha** = 0.16 ha

**TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATION - CATCHMENT B2**  
(BRANSBY WILLIAM FORMULA, RUNOFF COEFFICIENT GREATER THAN 0.4)

$$T_c = (0.057 \cdot L) / (S_w^{0.2} \cdot A^{0.3})$$

$$T_c = (0.057 \cdot 39.11) / (1.81^{0.2} \cdot 0.40^{0.3})$$

$$T_c = 2.17 \text{ min}$$

WHERE:  
**T<sub>c</sub> = TIME OF CONCENTRATION, MINUTES** = 2.17 min (USE MINIMUM T<sub>c</sub> OF 10 min)  
**C = RATIONAL METHOD RUNOFF COEFFICIENT** = 0.81  
**L = CATCHMENT LENGTH, m** = 39.11m  
**S<sub>w</sub> = CATCHMENT SLOPE, %** = 1.81%  
**A = CATCHMENT AREA, ha** = 0.40 ha



**TIME OF CONCENTRATION CALCULATION - CATCHMENT B3**  
(BRANSBY WILLIAM FORMULA, RUNOFF COEFFICIENT GREATER THAN 0.4)

$$T_c = (0.057 \cdot L) / (S_w^{0.2} \cdot A^{0.3})$$

$$T_c = (0.057 \cdot 15.69) / (12.07^{0.2} \cdot 0.44^{0.3})$$

$$T_c = 0.59 \text{ min}$$


WHERE:  
**T<sub>c</sub> = TIME OF CONCENTRATION, MINUTES** = 0.59 min (USE MINIMUM T<sub>c</sub> OF 10 min)  
**C = RATIONAL METHOD RUNOFF COEFFICIENT** = 0.87  
**L = CATCHMENT LENGTH, m** = 15.69m  
**S<sub>w</sub> = CATCHMENT SLOPE, %** = 12.07%  
**A = CATCHMENT AREA, ha** = 0.44 ha



CLIENT:  
 SEAL:  
 CLIENT REF. #:  
 PROJECT:



951 GLADSTONE AVENUE AND  
 145 LORETTA AVENUE NORTH  
 MIXED-USE & RESIDENTIAL



DISCLAIMER:  
 THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED WHICH SHALL NOT BE USED, REPRODUCED OR  
 REPRODUCED WITHOUT WRITTEN PERMISSION BY WSP. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL  
 DIMENSIONS AND UTILITY LOCATIONS AND REPORT ALL ERRORS AND OMISSIONS PRIOR TO  
 COMMENCING WORK.  
 THIS DRAWING IS NOT TO BE SCALED.

| ISSUED FOR - REVISION | DATE       | DESCRIPTION                      |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------------------------|
| 1                     | 2022-04-19 | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION |

|                             |   |
|-----------------------------|---|
| PROJECT NO:<br>20M-01441-00 | DATE:<br>APRIL 2024   |
| ORIGINAL SCALE:<br>1:250    | IF THIS BAR IS NOT 25mm<br>LONG, ADJUST YOUR<br>PLOTTING SCALE. |
| DESIGNED BY:<br>JD          |   |
| DRAWN BY:<br>JD             |   |
| CHECKED BY:<br>MF           |   |
| DISCIPLINE:<br>CIVIL        |   |

|  |
|--|
| TITLE:<br>POST-DEVELOPMENT CATCHMENT MAP |
| SHEET NUMBER:<br>SK2                     |
| SHEET #:<br>2 OF 2                       |
| ISSUE:<br>ISSUED FOR REVIEW              |
| DATE OF:<br>2024-04-16                   |
| REV #:<br>0                              |

1350mmØ WATERMAIN