

J+B ENGINEERING INC. WWW.JANDB-INC.COM

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

FOR PROPERTY OF PETRO-CANADA LOCATED AT

8605 PROMENADE CAMPEAU DRIVE, OTTAWA, ON

Prepared For SUNCOR ENERGY PRODUCTS PARTNERSHIP



Prepared By J and B Engineering Inc. 25 Centurion Drive, Suite 201 Markham, Ontario L3R 5N8

Revised March 17, 2023



Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION1
1.	1 STUDY AREA
1.	2 BACKGROUND
1.	3 OBJECTIVES OF DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STUDY
2.	SITE DRAINAGE CONDITIONS
2.	1 EXISTING DRAINAGE CONDITIONS
2.	2 Allowable Release Rate
2.	3 PROPOSED DRAINAGE CONDITIONS
2.	4 OVERLAND FLOW
3.	PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN
3.	1 QUANTITY CONTROL
3.	2 SWM SUMMARY
3.	3 Infiltration
3.	4 QUALITY CONTROL
3.	5 CULVERT ASSESSMENT
л	FROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION 13
۰.	
5.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION14
AP	PENDIX1
Lis	t of Tables and Figures
Tal	ble 2-1 Existing and proposed runoff coefficients for Sub-catchment 1
Tal	ble 2- 2 Adjusted runoff coefficients for 2-100-yr events (Sub-catchments-1)
Tal	ble 2- 3 Proposed runoff coefficients for Sub-catchment 2
Tal	ble 2- 4 Existing and proposed runoff coefficients for Sub-catchment 3
Tal	ble 3- 1 Peak Flows 2 - 100 Year Events (Sub-Catchment-1)7
Tal	ble 3- 2 Required Storage Volume for 100yr storm event (Sub-catchment 1)
Tal	ble 3- 3 Stage Storage
Tal	ble 3- 4 Summary of Actual Storage Provided for Sub-catchment 1
Tal	ble 3- 5 Peak flows 2 – 100 Year Events (Sub-Catchment-2) 10
Tal	ble 3- 6 Peak flows 2 – 100 Year Events (Sub-Catchment-3) 10
Tal	ble 3- 7 Water balance requirement for the site 11
Tal	ble 3- 8 Water Balance - Initial Abstraction 11
Tal	ble 3- 9 Infiltration Gallery Volume 12

Figure 2-1: Study Area	



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Area

The subject property is located at the south-east corner of Campeau Drive & Palladium Drive, Ottawa ON. The civic address for this property is 8605 Campeau Drive, Ottawa and is shown in Figure 1-1.

The existing study area is an undeveloped site with an approximate area of 0.907 ha. The area is proposed to be developed as a commercial area with one single storey commercial building, pump islands and associated canopy, underground storage tanks and a car wash. The development will be in two phases.



Figure 1-1: Study Area

1.2 Background

This study addresses the Stormwater Management (SWM) requirements for the proposed facility and provides details for stormwater quantity and quality controls to ensure that the proposed development will not have any adverse effects on the existing drainage system.

According to the Design Brief - Kanata West Business Park (KWBP) – Phase 5, 425 Huntmar Drive, prepared by IBI dated September 2019, the subject property is part of the overall development, and this parcel is designed to discharge to Pond 6 East located to the east of the



Tanger Mall Outlet. KWBP – Phase 5 Design Brief also establishes the minor system capture rates and on-site storage requirements for KWBP (Refer to section 4.3.2, Section 4.4.1.2 and Table 4.2 and Appendix C of KWBP – Phase 5 Design Brief).

1.3 Objectives of Drainage and Stormwater Management Study

The objectives of the SWM study are to develop a strategy that will:

- Identify potential stormwater runoff (quality and quantity) impacts to the receiving watercourses from the proposed development area.
- Address concerns from the review agencies including the City of Ottawa, Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) for the preparation of a Stormwater Management study for quantity & quality purposes.
- Provide an appropriate site drainage system for safe operational use.



2. SITE DRAINAGE CONDITIONS

General Stormwater Management guidelines and information was obtained from the City of Ottawa Design Criteria and Standard Drawings and the Design Brief - Kanata West Business Park (KWBP) – Phase 5, 425 Huntmar Drive, prepared by IBI.

2.1 Existing Drainage Conditions

The subject property is an undeveloped parcel consisting of mainly landscape and is approximately 0.907 **ha** in size with no storm infrastructure in place. Based on existing topography, the site drains in the southeast direction into an existing conveyance swale and ultimately discharges into the Carp River.

In addition, there is external flow from an adjacent landscape area approximately 0.26ha in size (Refer to calculations provided by IBI located in Appendix) which is conveyed via existing drainage swales and a 600mm dia. culvert located along south property limits. Refer to Drainage Plan P-303 for reference.

According to the Design Brief - Kanata West Business Park (KWBP) – Phase 5, 425 Huntmar Drive report prepared by IBI, the subject property is part of the overall development and is identified with Area ID 135A (Table 4.2). This parcel is designed such that the minor storm events runoff is discharged to Pond 6 East (Figure 1 of KWBP – Phase 5 Design Brief) located to the east of the Tanger Mall Outlet and will provide both quantity and quality treatment control Plan.

2.2 Allowable Release Rate

The allowable release rate for the site, as per Table 4.2 of Design Brief - KWBP – Phase 5, September 2019, the subject site (Area #135 A) has a contribution area of 1.12 ha with an established allowable release rate of 257 l/s and storage requirement of 111m^3 .

However, the runoff coefficient and the area for the subject site differs from the KWBP Phase 5 Design Brief. The subject site is approximately 0.907ha in area and the adjacent landscape area from which the drainage is captured on to this site is approximately 0.26ha. Therefore, SWM of overall area of approximately 1.167ha is addressed in this report. Detailed calculations have been carried out using the "Modified Rational Method" to establish the 5yr allowable release rate using a conservative runoff coefficient of C=0.2 for the site as follows:

```
Q = 0.00278 \ C I A \leftarrow Equation (1)

Where Q := Maximum Runoff Rate (m^3/sec)

C := Runoff Coefficient

I := Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) (5 - year storm event)

A := Drainage Area (ha)
```

```
Q_{5-year pre} = 0.00278 * 0.2 * 104.19 * 1.16
Q_{5-year pre} = 0.0676 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec} \rightarrow 5-year allowable release rate
```



2.3 Proposed Drainage Conditions

The post-development hydrologic conditions for the entire site (Phase 1 and 2) were established utilizing the City of Ottawa's 2-Year to 100-Year IDF curve (Appendix A). A conservative surface run-off coefficient of 0.90 was used for impervious surfaces (i.e. Roof drainage, driveways and parking area) and 0.20 was used for landscaped areas (Refer to Pre/Post Drainage Plan P-303 in Appendix B).

Due to existing grading constraints, the external flow from the adjacent landscape area which is approximately 0.26ha is proposed to be captured, stored and discharged to an appropriate outlet at a controlled rate by the proposed new storm infrastructure as part of this development.

The study area is delineated into three sub-catchments, identified as controlled sub-catchment 1, uncontrolled sub-catchment 2 and uncontrolled sub-catchment 3 (Refer to Drainage Plan P-303), for stormwater management purposes and are described below.

Sub-catchment-1 (Controlled)

The controlled sub-catchement-1 consist of the majority of the site area (0.858 ha). The stormwater runoff from sub-catchment-1 will be captured by various drainage structures located around the proposed development. The flow will be treated by an OWS and controlled by an orifice tube installed at the outlet of STM.MH#02, prior to discharging onto 1350mm existing storm network on Campeau Dr. (Refer to Servicing Plan P-301 in Appendix C). This is consistent with the calculations and figure provided in the Appendix C of KWBP – Phase 5 Design Brief.

Additionally, the runoff from the adjacent landscape (0.26ha) south of the Palladium Drive Tanger Outlet entrance, will be included into the overall area of sub-catchment 1 for quantity purposes. Hence the total area under consideration is 1.118ha. The existing and proposed runoff coefficients for sub-catchment-1 are shown in Table 2- 1 below:

Surface Composition		Impervious	Pervious	Combined			
Existing	(m^2)	0.00	11042.38	11042.38			
Condition	(ha)	0.000	1.104	1.104			
Runoff Coefficient		0.90	0.20	0.20			
Surface Composition		Impervious	Pervious	Combined			
Proposed	(m^2)	5120.94	5921.44	11042.38			
Condition (ha) 0.512		0.592	1.104				
Runoff Coefficient		0.90	0.20	0.52			

Table 2-1 Existing and proposed runoff coefficients for Sub-catchment 1

For estimating flows using the Rational Method for storms greater than the 10-year return storm, individual components of the weighted runoff coefficients are increased 10%, 20% and 25% for the 25-year, 50-year and 100-year storms respectively to account for additional runoff due to soil saturation and the reduced accuracy associated with larger storms. (Refer to Table 2- 2 below)



St. Event	Existing	Proposed
2-Year	0.20	0.52
5-Year	0.20	0.52
10-Year	0.20	0.52
25-Year	0.20	0.58
50-Year	0.20	0.63
100-Year	0.20	0.66

Table 2- 2 Adjusted runoff coefficients for 2-100-yr events (Sub-catchments-1)

Sub-catchment-2 (Uncontrolled)

The uncontrolled sub-catchment -2 (0.009 ha) represents a small strip of landscape area along the east property limits. This area will flow uncontrolled towards the Tanger outlet parking lot following the existing drainage pattern due to grading constraints. The proposed runoff coefficients for the uncontrolled sub-catchment-2 are shown below in Table 2- 3.

Surface Composition		Impervious	Pervious	Combined
Existing and Proposed	(m^2)	0.00	90.00	90.00
Condition	(ha)	0.000	0.009	0.009
RunoffCoefficient		0.90	0.20	0.20

Table 2- 3 Proposed runoff coefficients for Sub-catchment 2

Sub-catchment-3 (Uncontrolled)

The uncontrolled sub-catchment -3 (0.040 ha) represents small strip of landscape area along the west property limits and the carwash building. Since the flow from the carwash building will be directed towards the landscape, we have considered the subject area as impervious. This area will flow uncontrolled towards the Campeau Dr, ROW following the existing drainage pattern due to grading constraints. The proposed runoff coefficients for the uncontrolled sub-catchment-3 are shown below in Table 2- 4.

Surface Composition		Impervious	Pervious	Combined
Existing & Proposed	(m^2)	0.00	534.20	534.20
Condition	(ha)	0.000	0.053	0.053
Runoff Coefficient		0.90	0.20	0.20

Table 2-4 Existing and proposed runoff coefficients for Sub-catchment 3

2.4 Overland Flow

Stormwater runoff for the majority of the site is captured by an internal storm drainage system and is conveyed into the proposed dry pond located at the N/E corner of the subject property. During a 100-year event the dry pond will provide the required quantity storage considering it is the lowest point within the site. In an overflow situation, the dry pond will overflow in the N/E direction and ultimately onto Campeau Drive ROW. The existing grades along North and West property limits are higher than the existing grades of the subject site. Due to grading constraints some storm water is expected to sheet



flow onto the Tanger outlet entrances during significant storm events if the localized drainage structure is blocked.

The flow from the uncontrolled subcatchemnt-3 will flow towards Campeau Drive ROW and from the Uncontrolled sub-catchment -2 will flow towards Tanger Outlet entrance



3. PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

3.1 Quantity Control

The drainage pattern is expected to change due to increase in impervious surfaces for the proposed development. In order to satisfy the City and MVCA requirements, quantity controls have been provided to ensure post development peak run-off is controlled to pre-development levels for the 5-100-year storm events.

Onsite storage and flow control is provided using an orifice tube restrictor located at the outlet for controlled sub-catchments 1 prior to discharge off-site to limit the release rates to the target rates.

Considering this is a relatively small area the "Modified Rational Method" was used to generate the surface runoff for each storm event as follows:

Sub-catchment 1 (Controlled)

The results of peak flow rates (m³) generated by the "Modified Rational Method" for existing and proposed conditions for the sub-catchment-1 is shown in Table 3- 1.

Storm		Rainfall Inte	ensity (mm/hr)	<equation 1=""> Flow Rate (m³/sec)</equation>			
Event	a	b	с	Ι	Existing	Proposed	Excess Flow
2-Year	732.951	0.810	6.199	76.81	0.0472	0.1237	0.0765
5-Year	998.071	0.814	6.053	104.19	0.0640	0.1678	0.1038
10-Year	1174.184	0.816	6.014	122.14	0.0750	0.1967	0.1217
25-Year	1402.884	0.819	6.018	144.69	0.0888	0.2563	0.1675
50-Year	1569.580	0.820	6.014	161.47	0.0991	0.3121	0.2129
100-Year	1735.688	0.820	6.014	178.56	0.1096	0.3595	0.2498

Table 3-1 Peak Flows 2 - 100 Year Events (Sub-Catchment-1)

As per Table 3- 1, the allowable release rate for sub-catchment-1 is based on the 5-year storm event which has a flow rate of 0.0640 m^3 /s. However, this flow rate will be reduced to compensate for the uncontrolled flow from sub-catchment 2 and sub-catchment 3. The target release rate will be achieved using an orifice tube restrictor at the outlet of STM.MH#02 and an on-site dry pond with a max. ponding elevation set at 102.60.

Sizing of the orifice is given by the formula.

 $Q = C A \sqrt{2 g h} \leftarrow Equation (2)$ Where $Q := Flow Rate Through Orifice (m^3/sec) = Q_{Allowable}$ C := Contraction Coefficient = 0.80 (For Orifice Pipe) $A := Area of Orifice Pipe (m^2)$ $g := Acceleration Due To Gravity (m/sec^2) = 9.81 (m/sec^2)$ h := Pressure Head To Be Dissipated (m)

By trial-and-error calculations, a 110mm orifice pipe is required to control the flow to the Target release rate.





$$Q = (0.80)\pi \left(\frac{0.110}{2}\right)^2 \sqrt{2 * 9.81 * \left(102.60 - 99.51 - \left(\frac{0.110}{2}\right)\right)}$$

 $Q = 0.0587 \ m^3 / \sec = 0.0587 \ m^3 / \sec (target release rate)$

Based on the calculated orifice release rate of $Q = 0.0587 \text{m}^3/\text{s}$, the required storage for the 100-year storm event is calculated using the "Modified Rational Method" and is shown below in Table 3-2

Stm Event	Td	Id	Qpost	Qorifce	Excess Flow	Volume(cum)
	5	243	0.4886	0.0587	0.430	128.977
	7	212	0.4261	0.0587	0.367	154.327
	10	179	0.3595	0.0587	0.301	180.476
	15	143	0.2877	0.0587	0.229	206.096
	20	120	0.2415	0.0587	0.183	219.368
100 Year	25	104	0.2091	0.0587	0.150	225.583
	30	92	0.1849	0.0587	0.126	227.293
	35	83	0.1662	0.0587	0.108	225.903
	40	75	0.1513	0.0587	0.093	222.262
	45	69	0.1390	0.0587	0.080	216.916
	50	64	0.1287	0.0587	0.070	210.240
	55	60	0.1200	0.0587	0.061	202.496
	60	56	0.1125	0.0587	0.054	193.879
	65	53	0.1060	0.0587	0.047	184.534
	70	50	0.1002	0.0587	0.042	174.574
			Max	Volume Requi	red cum	227.29

Table 3- 2 Required Storage Volume for 100yr storm event (Sub-catchment 1)

Therefore, the 110mm orifice tube at the outlet of STM.MH#02 will generate an on-site required storage of 227.29 m³. The storage is met by a combination of underground piping, storm drainage structures (CB's and MH's) and a proposed dry pond at the northeast corner of the subject property. Dry pond stage storage information is provided in Table 3- 3 and the generated storage is illustrated in Table 3- 4 below.



Water	Corresponding	Volume
Surface	Contour	above
Elevation	Area	Datum
m	m^2	m ³
(input)	(input)	(output)
102.15	327.92	0.00
102.20	327.92	24.66
102.30	360.36	74.01
102.40	393.93	111.01
102.50	430.36	160.35
102.60	465.09	202.64
102.70	500.39	246.70
102.90	572.69	333.04

Table 3-3 Stage Storage for the Dry pond

Structure	Diameter	Area	Maximum.	Invert	Volume
Structure	(mm)	(m ²)	Water		(m ³)
CB#1	600x600	0.36	102.15	99.89	0.81
CB#2	600x600	0.36	102.70	99.95	0.99
CB#3	600x600	0.36	102.65	101.12	0.55
CB#4	600x600	0.36	102.70	101.20	0.54
CB#5	600x600	0.36	102.70	101.17	0.55
CB/MH#1	1200.00	1.13	102.70	99.70	3.39
CB/MH#2	1200.00	1.13	102.70	100.51	2.48
CB/MH#3	1200.00	1.13	102.70	100.91	2.02
STM/MH#2	1200.00	1.13	102.70	99.64	3.46
STM/MH#3	1200.00	1.13	102.70	100.96	1.97
	<u> </u>			Sum	16.77

U/C Conduit	Diameter	Area	Length	Volume
0/G Conduit	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m ³)
1	250	0.05	110	5.40
2	300	0.07	42	2.97
3	400	0.13	59.5	7.48
4	400	0.13	3.5	0.44
	16.29			

Storage Volume For 100-Year Event (m ³)				
Catch Basins & Manholes	16.77			
Underground Conduits	16.29			
Dry Pond	202.64			
Total Provided	235.69			

Table 3- 4 Summary of Actual Storage Provided for Sub-catchment 1



The total storage provided on site for stormwater runoff is 235.69m³ which is more than the required volume of 227.29m³.

Sub-catchment 2 (Uncontrolled)

The results of peak flow rates (m^3) generated by the "Modified Rational Method" for existing and proposed conditions for the South sub-catchment is shown in Table 3- 5.

Storm		Rainfall	Intensity (mm/hr)	<equation 1=""> Flow Rate (m³/sec)</equation>				
Event	a	b	c	Ι	Existing	Proposed	Excess Flow	
2-Year	732.951	0.810	6.199	76.81	0.0004	0.0004	0.0000	
5-Year	998.071	0.814	6.053	104.19	0.0005	0.0005	0.0000	
10-Year	1174.184	0.816	6.014	122.14	0.0006	0.0006	0.0000	
25-Year	1402.884	0.819	6.018	144.69	0.0007	0.0008	0.0001	
50-Year	1569.580	0.820	6.014	161.47	0.0008	0.0010	0.0002	
100-Year	1735.688	0.820	6.014	178.56	0.0009	0.0011	0.0002	

Table 3- 5 Peak flows 2 – 100 Year Events (Sub-Catchment-2)

As per the table above, the release rate for Sub-catchment 2 is based on the 100-year storm event, which has a flow rate of $Q=0.0011 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

Sub-catchment 3 (Uncontrolled)

The results of peak flow rates (m³) generated by the "Modified Rational Method" for existing and proposed conditions for the South sub-catchment is shown in Table 3-6

Storm		Rainfall	Intensity (mm/hr)	<equation 1=""> Flow Rate (m³/sec)</equation>			
Event	a	b	c	Ι	Existing	Proposed	Excess Flow
2-Year	732.951	0.810	6.199	76.81	0.0023	0.0023	0.0000
5-Year	998.071	0.814	6.053	104.19	0.0031	0.0031	0.0000
10-Year	1174.184	0.816	6.014	122.14	0.0036	0.0036	0.0000
25-Year	1402.884	0.819	6.018	144.69	0.0043	0.0047	0.0004
50-Year	1569.580	0.820	6.014	161.47	0.0048	0.0057	0.0010
100-Year	1735.688	0.820	6.014	178.56	0.0053	0.0066	0.0013

Table 3- 6 Peak flows 2 - 100 Year Events (Sub-Catchment-3)

As per the table above, the release rate for Sub-catchment 3 is based on the 100-year storm event, which has a flow rate of $Q=0.0066 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

3.2 SWM Summary

The overall release rate for the site is the sum of the controlled flow rate from the Sub-catchment 1+ the uncontrolled flow from the Sub-catchment 2. See below for overall release rate.

Overall release rate for the site = Sub-catchment 1 + Sub-catchment 2

 $Q = 0.0587 \pm 0.0011 {\pm} 0.0066$

 $Q = 0.0664 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$



Therefore, the overall release rate is 0.0664 m³/s which is less than the allowable release rate of 0.0676 m³/s as per calculations shown in section 2.2.

The controlled flow from the site will discharge into the existing 1350mm City storm sewer via existing STM MH#108 along Campeau Dr. and is consistent with the calculations and figures of KWBP – Phase 5 Design Brief.

3.3 Infiltration

Section 4.3.5 of the Design Brief - KWBP – Phase 5, September 2019 indicates that each block will be required to provide infiltration galleries fed by rooftop drains. That study indicated that a range of 50 - 70mm/year of runoff be infiltrated from the eastern portion of the KWBP site, and a range of 70 - 100mm/year of runoff be infiltrated from the western portion of the KWBP site.

The subject development is on the eastern portion of the KWBP site and is only 1.4% of the overall area of the KWBP Phase 5.

The primary objective of the Water Balance Targets/Criteria is to capture and manage annual rainfall on the development site itself to preserve the pre-development hydrology through a combination of infiltration, evapotranspiration, landscaping, rainwater reuse and/or other low impact development practices. The water balance target for this site is to retain runoff from a **5mm**, **24-hour event** as illustrated in Table 3- 7.

Total Site Area	9065.65	m ²
Rainfall Depth to be retained	5	mm
Volume at 5mm	45.33	m ³

Table 3-7 Water balance requirement for the site

To achieve the required water balance, the site composition is assigned an initial abstraction value as follows: 5mm (landscape), 5mm (roof draining to landscape) and 1mm (hard surface -roof/asphalt).

Initial Abstract	ion:			
Surface	Area (m ²)	IA (mm)	Volume	Unit
Landscape	3,360.24	5.0	16.8	m ³
Asphalt	5,655.41	1.0	5.7	m ³
Building	286.25	1.0	0.3	m ³
Total	9,301.90		22.7	m ³

Table 3-8 Water Balance - Initial Abstraction

Additionally, a 6.5m x 3.0m x 1.5m infiltration gallery is proposed to direct the rooftop drainage towards the infiltration gallery to promote ground water recharge.

Based on the Geotech report prepared by Terrapex dated January 24, 2020, the groundwater depth at the vicinity of the proposed infiltration gallery is approx. 3.5m and the coefficient of permeability of the soil is estimated to be less than 10^{-8} cm/sec, that corresponds to a very low permeability.



Considering the permeability of the soil is very low, we are proposing a bioretention pond/rain garden based on the best management practices, which will act as a temporary storage and enhance ground water infiltration.

The proposed infiltration gallery will not interfere with the groundwater table as the ground water table is much deeper. Table below shows the infiltration volume of the infiltration gallery.

Infiltration Gallery #	Dimension	Porosity	Volume (m ³)
Infiltration Gallery #1	10.0mx5.0mx1.0m	0.4	20.0

Table 3-9 Infiltration Gallery Volume

Therefore, the total storage provided for the proposed development is 42.7m³. These infiltration galleries will promote groundwater recharge for the site.

Overall, various water balance techniques were explored, and best management practices have been implemented on this project, however, the estimated target was short by approximately 2.63m³.

3.4 Quality Control

A treatment train approach has been adopted for this site by incorporating the following treatment methods:

- Installation of the Stormceptor EFO6 at the outlet of the storm system prior to discharge into the existing City storm network on Campeau Dr. The EFO6 has ETV verification and has a TSS removal rate of 85% based on the sizing report in the Appendix D.
- Upstream of EFO6 is a proposed grass swale, infiltration gallery and a dry pond which provides additional on-site quality control.

The approval for site runoff quality control to be provided by the City as per the response received from Mississippi Valley Conservation (MVC), attached in appendix

3.5 Culvert Assessment

The existing 47.0m long x 600mm diameter CSP culvert crossing the Tanger Outlet entrance will be maintained as required and connected to the new CB via a 1.0m long, 300mm size reducer. Based on the calculations the proposed 300mm size reducer will be adequate to convey the proposed flows from the adjacent landscape parcel. Refer Appendix for calculations.



4. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION

The erosion potential of the study area was assessed using methods described in the "<u>MTO</u> <u>Drainage Management Manual</u>" of temporary erosion and sediment control measures suitable for construction sites close to highways.

During Site construction, various temporary measures will be implemented to prevent the discharge of sediment laden Stormwater from the Site. These measures include silt fencing, catchbasin silt-sacks and mud-mats, etc. as shown on DWG P-302 - Erosion Control Plan.

In addition to the above, the following "good housekeeping" measures are recommended:

- All exposed soil shall be stabilized as soon as possible with a seed and mulch application as directed by the Engineer.
- No construction activity or machinery shall intrude beyond the silt/snow fence or limit of construction area. All construction vehicles shall leave the site at designated locations as shown on the plans.
- Stockpiles of soil shall be set back from any watercourse and stabilized against erosion as soon as possible. A set back of at least 15m from any top-of-bank, watercourse or pond is required.
- Cleaning and repairs of mud-mats and any other temporary sediment control measures shall be completed as deemed necessary through regular inspection.
- Sediment/slit shall be removed from the sediment control devices after storm events and deposited in areas as approved by the engineer.
- All re-graded areas within the development which are not occupied by buildings, roadways, sidewalks, or driveways shall be top-soiled and sodded/seeded immediately after completion of final grading operations as directed by the engineer.



5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In summary, required conditions of the City of Ottawa and other authorities have been satisfied as follows:

- The Stormwater flow from the Site is controlled to pre-development conditions.
- The proposed SWM facilities provide ENHANCED level of protection as specified by the MECP
- The proposed SWM techniques meet both quantity and quality requirements.
- The Sediment and Erosion Control Plan demonstrates how erosion and sedimentation will be minimized during construction

This SWM Report satisfies all requirements for stormwater quantity, sedimentation, and erosion control.

James Sam, P.Eng Tel (416) 229-2636 j.sam@jandb-inc.com





STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Appendix

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965 CALGARY 707 – 10TH AVE SW, SUITE 150 CALGARY, AB, T2R 0B3 T: 403 355 2295 F: 403 355 2297



APPENDIX A: IDF CURVE

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965 CALGARY 707 – 10TH AVE SW, SUITE 150 CALGARY, AB, T2R 0B3 T: 403 355 2295 F: 403 355 2297





Ottawa IDF curve

IDF Curve Equation
I = 732.951 / (Tc + 6.199) 0.810
I = 998.071 / (Tc + 6.053) 0.814
I = 1174.184 / (Tc + 6.014) 0.816
I = 1402.884 / (Tc + 6.018) 0.819
I = 1569.580 / (Tc + 6.014) 0.820
I = 1735.688 / (Tc + 6.014) 0.820



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX B: IBI CALCULATIONS-EXTERNAL FLOW

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965



500-333 Preston Street Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868

2023-02-13

File: 14289-5.7

Mr. Alex Turner **Development Manager Taggart Realty Management** Suite 708 - 225 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario K2P 1P9

Dear Mr. Turner,

8605 Campeau Drive - Ottawa

Arcadis - IBI has been engaged by Taggart Realty Management to quantify the temporary off-site stormwater flow that will need to be collected and conveyed to an appropriate outlet by the storm sewer infrastructure proposed as part of the development located at 8605 Campeau Drive. More precisely, the stormwater flow from a parcel of property located to the south of the Palladium Drive Tanger Outlet entrance. The parcel of land in question is currently undeveloped, represents an approximate area of 2600m2 and currently drains via a swale across the entrance road via a culvert.

Formulas and Descriptions:

 $i_{100yr} = 1:100$ year Intensity = 1735.688 / $(T_c+6.014)^{0.820}$

T_c = Time of Concentration (min) C = Average Runoff Coefficient A = Area (Ha)Q = Flow = 2.78CiA (L/s)

<i>C</i> =	0.25	
$T_c =$	10	min
$i_{100yr} =$	178.56	mm/hr
$A_{uncontrolled} =$	0.26	Ha
Flow=	32.27	L/s

Upon our review we have access the temporary off-site stormwater flow to be collected as 32.27 L/s.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely,

IBI Group



Terry Brule, P.Eng. Associate Director - Practice Lead, Land Engineering



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX B: PRE-POST DRAINAGE PLAN

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965 CALGARY 707 – 10TH AVE SW, SUITE 150 CALGARY, AB, T2R 0B3 T: 403 355 2295 F: 403 355 2297



D07-12-21-0155



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX C: SITE SERVICING PLAN

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965

CALGARY 707 – 10TH AVE SW, SUITE 150 CALGARY, AB, T2R 0B3 T: 403 355 2295 F: 403 355 2297



#18629



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX D: STORMCEPTOR SIZING REPORT

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965 CALGARY 707 – 10TH AVE SW, SUITE 150 CALGARY, AB, T2R 0B3 T: 403 355 2295 F: 403 355 2297



Province:	Ontario	F	Project Name:	8605 Promenade	Campeau Drive
City:	Ottawa	F	Project Number:	60853	
Nearest Rainfall Station:	OTTAWA CDA RCS		Designer Name:	Mary Joseph	
Climate Station Id:	6105978	C	Designer Company:	J and B Engineerin	g
Years of Rainfall Data:	20		Designer Email:	m.joseph@jandb-i	nc.com
			Designer Phone:	647-762-4026	
Site Name:		E	OR Name:		
Drainage Area (ha): 1.	118	E	OR Company:		
% Imperviousness: 90	.00	E	OR Email:		
Runoff Coeffi	cient 'c': 0.84	E	OR Phone:		
Particle Size Distribution: F Farget TSS Removal (%): 8 Required Water Quality Rupoff X	0.0	90.00		Net Annua (TSS) Load Sizing S	I Sediment Reduction Summary
Estimated Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s):		30.31		Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal Provided (%)
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?		Yes		EFO4	73
Upstream Flow Control?		Yes		EFO6	85
Upstream Orifice Control Flow R	ate to Stormceptor (L/s):	58.40		EFO8	91
Peak Conveyance (maximum) Flo	ow Rate (L/s):			EFO10	95
Site Sediment Transport Rate (kg	/ha/vr):			EFO12	98
	,, j. j.				
			Recommended	stormceptor EFC	
	Catine ata	d Not A	ual Cadimant /	CCC) Lood Dod.	tion (%)
	Estimate	d Net Anı	nual Sediment (rss) Load Reduct	tion (%):



Forterra





THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

► Stormceptor® EF and Stormceptor® EFO are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor® oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patentpending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including highintensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterwavs.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV *Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators* for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle	Percent Less	Particle Size	Demonst		
Size (µm)	Than	Fraction (µm)	Percent		
1000	100	500-1000	5		
500	95	250-500	5		
250	90	150-250	15		
150	75	100-150	15		
100	60	75-100	10		
75	50	50-75	5		
50	45	20-50	10		
20	35	8-20	15		
8	20	5-8	10		
5	10	2-5	5		
2	5	<2	5		







Upstream Flow Controlled Results										
Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m ²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)		
0.5	8.6	8.6	1.31	78.0	30.0	100	8.6	8.6		
1	20.3	29.0	2.61	157.0	60.0	100	20.3	29.0		
2	16.2	45.2	5.22	313.0	119.0	93	15.1	44.1		
3	12.0	57.2	7.83	470.0	179.0	87	10.4	54.5		
4	8.4	65.6	10.44	627.0	238.0	82	6.9	61.4		
5	5.9	71.6	13.05	783.0	298.0	79	4.7	66.1		
6	4.6	76.2	15.66	940.0	357.0	76	3.5	69.6		
7	3.1	79.3	18.28	1097.0	417.0	73	2.2	71.9		
8	2.7	82.0	20.89	1253.0	476.0	71	1.9	73.8		
9	3.3	85.3	23.50	1410.0	536.0	68	2.3	76.1		
10	2.3	87.6	26.11	1566.0	596.0	65	1.5	77.6		
11	1.6	89.2	28.72	1723.0	655.0	64	1.0	78.6		
12	1.3	90.5	31.33	1880.0	715.0	64	0.8	79.4		
13	1.7	92.2	33.94	2036.0	774.0	63	1.1	80.5		
14	1.2	93.5	36.55	2193.0	834.0	63	0.8	81.3		
15	1.2	94.6	39.16	2350.0	893.0	62	0.7	82.0		
16	0.7	95.3	41.77	2506.0	953.0	62	0.4	82.4		
17	0.7	96.1	44.38	2663.0	1013.0	61	0.5	82.9		
18	0.4	96.5	46.99	2820.0	1072.0	60	0.2	83.1		
19	0.4	96.9	49.60	2976.0	1132.0	59	0.2	83.4		
20	0.2	97.1	52.22	3133.0	1191.0	57	0.1	83.5		
21	0.5	97.5	54.83	3290.0	1251.0	56	0.3	83.8		
22	2.5	100.0	57.44	3446.0	1310.0	54	1.3	85.1		
23	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
24	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
25	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
30	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
35	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
40	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
45	0.0	100.0	58.00	3480.0	1323.0	54	0.0	85.1		
			Es	timated Ne	t Annual Sedim	ent (TSS) Loa	d Reduction =	85 %		

Climate Station ID: 6105978 Years of Rainfall Data: 20









FORTERRA





	iviaximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance											
Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inle Diame	et Pipe eter	Max Out Diame	et Pipe eter	Peak Coi Flow	nveyance Rate			
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)			
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15			
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35			
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60			
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100			
EF12 / EF012	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100			

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

► Stormceptor[®] EF and EFO offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, **Stormceptor® EFO** has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid reentrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.













INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

 0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1. For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

	-				Pollu	utant C	apacity					
Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Depth Pipe In Sump	(Outlet vert to Floor)	Oil Volume		Recommended Sediment S Maintenance Depth *		Maxiı Sediment ^v	num Volume *	Maxin Sediment	num Mass **
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft³)	(kg)	(lb)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity ** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = 1.6 kg/L (100 lb/ft³)

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To	
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment	Superior, verified third-party	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer	
and scour prevention technology	performance		
Third-party verified light liquid capture	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer,	
and retention for EFO version	locations	Site Owner	
Functions as bend, junction or inlet	Decige flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer	
structure	Design nexionity		
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor	
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner	

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef







STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR "OIL GRIT SEPARATOR" (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1 4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.19 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 265 \ L \ oil \\ 3.48 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 609 \ L \ oil \\ 8.78 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 1,071 \ L \ oil \\ 17.78 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 1,673 \ L \ oil \\ 31.23 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 2,476 \ L \ oil \\ \end{array}$

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall







remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing of the OGS shall be determined by use of a minimum ten (10) years of local historical rainfall data provided by Environment Canada. Sizing shall also be determined by use of the sediment removal performance data derived from the ISO 14034 ETV third-party verified laboratory testing data from testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV protocol Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, as follows:

3.2.1 Sediment removal efficiency for a given surface loading rate and its associated flow rate shall be based on sediment removal efficiency demonstrated at the seven (7) tested surface loading rates specified in the protocol, ranging 40 L/min/m² to 1400 L/min/m², and as stated in the ISO 14034 ETV Verification Statement for the OGS device.

3.2.2 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates between 40 L/min/m² and 1400 L/min/m² shall be based on linear interpolation of data between consecutive tested surface loading rates.

3.2.3 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates less than the lowest tested surface loading rate of 40 $L/min/m^2$ shall be assumed to be identical to the sediment removal efficiency at 40 $L/min/m^2$. No extrapolation shall be allowed that results in a sediment removal efficiency that is greater than that demonstrated at 40 $L/min/m^2$.

3.2.4 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates greater than the highest tested surface loading rate of 1400 L/min/m² shall assume zero sediment removal for the portion of flow that exceeds 1400 L/min/m², and shall be calculated using a simple proportioning formula, with 1400 L/min/m² in the numerator and the higher surface loading rate in the denominator, and multiplying the resulting fraction times the sediment removal efficiency at 1400 L/min/m².

The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.**

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators,** with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This reentrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to







assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m² to 2600 L/min/m²) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.** However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.





STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX E: CULVERT ASSESSMENT

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965



Design Information			
	_		
Design Discharge	Q =	0.032	cms
Pipe Diameter	D =	0.300	m
Inlet Edge Type	Square En	Square End Projection	
Inlet Invert Elevation	I _e =	101.800	m
Outlet Invert Elevation	O _e =	101.690	m
Pipe Length	L =	1.000	m
Pipe Manning's n	n =	0.013	
Bend Loss Coefficient	K _b =	0.900	
Exit Loss Coefficient	K _x =	0.720	
Tailwater Water Surface Elevation	EI. Y _t =	101.229	m
Calculations			
Pipe Cross Sectional Area	A _o =	0.071	sqm
Culvert Slope	S _o =	0.004	m/m
Normal Flow Depth	Y _n =	0.064	m
Critical Flow Depth	$Y_c =$	0.137	m
Headwater Depth by Inlet Control			
Headwater Depth by Inlet Control	HW-inlet=	0.183	m
Headwater Depth by Outlet Control			
Tailwater Depth for Design	d =	0.219	m
Friction Loss Coefficient over Culvert Length	K _f =	0.100	
Sum of All Loss Coefficients	K _{'s} =	1.920	
Headwater Depth by Outlet Control	HW-outlet=	0.137	m
Design Headwater Depth	HW=	0.183	m
HW/D Ratio =	 HW/D=	0.610	



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX F: ESC PLAN

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965 CALGARY 707 – 10TH AVE SW, SUITE 150 CALGARY, AB, T2R 0B3 T: 403 355 2295 F: 403 355 2297



#18629



STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

APPENDIX F: EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE WITH MVC

TORONTO (HEAD OFFICE) 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON, L3R 5N8 T: 416 229 2636 F: 416 229 6965



Mary Joseph <m.joseph@jandb-inc.com>

Enquiry regarding project at 8605 Campeau Drive, Ottawa

Mercedes Liedtke <mliedtke@mvc.on.ca> To: Mary Joseph <m.joseph@jandb-inc.com> Cc: James Sam <j.sam@jandb-inc.com> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 4:05 PM

Good afternoon Mary,

Thank you for the inquiry. As of January 1, 2023, MVCA is concentrating on water quantity reviews with respect to natural hazards. It would be best to contact the City in regard to the water quality requirements.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Mercedes Liedtke, MSc.| Environmental Planner | Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority

10970 Highway 7, Carleton Place, ON K7C 3P1

www.mvc.on.ca | t. 613 253 0006 ext. 267 | f. 613 253 0122 | mliedtke@mvc.on.ca



From: Mary Joseph <m.joseph@jandb-inc.com>
Sent: March 9, 2023 3:32 PM
To: Mercedes Liedtke <mliedtke@mvc.on.ca>
Cc: James Sam <j.sam@jandb-inc.com>
Subject: Enquiry regarding project at 8605 Campeau Drive, Ottawa

Hello Mercedes,

Good Evening and hope all is well with you!

J+B Engineering Inc is the Civil engineering consultant working on the project at **8605 Campeau Drive** and would appreciate it if you could advise us regarding the proposed site run-off quality control for the site.

Based on the comments received from the City (See screenshot below), we understand that we require approval from the Conservation regarding the quality control.

B22. <u>City (Jan 2022)</u>: Provide confirmation of Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority approval for site runoff quality control.

We are proposing a Stormceptor EFO6 at the downstream of the storm network which will provide 85% TSS removal prior to discharge into the existing City storm network. In addition to that we are implementing best management practices upstream of the proposed stormceptor, such as installing an infiltration gallery, grass swales and a dry pond which would further enhance the quality of water. See attached draft Site Servicing Plan and the stormceptor design sheet/spec for your review.

Please let us know if this approach would be acceptable to the Conservation and we look forward to your comments/feedback.

Thanks and Regards,

Mary Joseph, EIT, M.Eng.

J+B Engineering Inc.

25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201

Markham, ON L3R 5N8

O: 416.229.2636 x 215

This e-mail message, including all attachments, is confidential and any dissemination or use of this message by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify J and B Engineering Inc. at the telephone number above or reply by e-mail and delete this message, any copies, and subsequent replies. Any view expressed and/or information provided herein is subject to change without notice. E-mail is not assured to be secure or error free. Messages could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, arrive late or contain viruses. J and B Engineering Inc. will not be liable for these risks.