## SEPTIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT OFFICE BUILDING – 1037 CARP ROAD



Project No.: CP-19-0125 City File No.: PC2019-0167

Prepared for:

Jim Bell Architecture Design Inc. 26 Bert G Argue Drive Stittsville, ON K2S 1X9

Prepared by:

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. 115 Walgreen Road Carp, ON K0A 1L0

October 4, 2021

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	3
1.1	Sit	e Description	3
1.2	Exi	sting Conditions and Infrastructure	3
1.3	Pro	oposed Development and Statistics	4
2.0	INVE	STIGATION	4
2.1	Sit	e Setting	4
2.2	Ne	ighbouring Properties and Land Uses	4
2.3	Hy	drology and Hydrogeology	4
2.4	Wa	ater Well Record Review	5
2.5	Ва	ckground Geology and Hydrology	6
2	2.5.1	Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) – Surficial Geology	6
2	.5.2	Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) – Bedrock Geology	6
3.0	TERR	AIN ANALYSIS	7
3.1	On	-Site Investigation	7
3.2	Sit	e Evaluation	7
3	.2.1	Overburden Depth	7
3	.2.2	Overburden Characterization	7
3	.2.3	Soil Classification for Private Sanitary Servicing	9
3	8.2.4	Groundwater	9
3	.2.5	Bedrock	. 10
3	3.2.6	Recharge and Discharge Areas	. 10
3	3.2.7	Hydrogeologically Sensitive Areas	. 10
4.0	SEPT	IC IMPACT ASSESSMENT	10
5.0	RECO	OMMENDATIONS	12
5.1	Wa	astewater Servicing	. 12
6.0	LIMI	TATIONS	12
7.0	REFE	RENCES	14

#### **FIGURES**

- Figure 1 Site Location Plan
- Figure 2 Study Area and Surrounding Land Use
- Figure 3 Drainage and Topography
- Figure 4 MECP Well Record Summary

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A - Geotechnical Report (DRAFT)

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

McIntosh Perry (MP) was retained by Jim Bell Architectural Design Inc. to conduct a Sewage System Impact Assessment Report for the Site located at 1037 Carp Road, Carp, Ontario (the Site, Figure 1). It is our understanding that the Client wishes to construct a sewage system to service the proposed office building at the Site, which has triggered the need for a Site Plan Control Application. As part of pre-consultation with the City of Ottawa, it was identified that a Septic Impact Assessment was required to ensure that the proposed septic system does not impact the groundwater should it be used as a source of drinking water in the surrounding area.

This work was conducted in general accordance with the City of Ottawa's guidance document as follows:

- City of Ottawa Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Guidelines (March 2021)
- City of Ottawa memo Carp Road Corridor Nitrate Impact Assessment Recommendations (September 2016)

The following report describes the Terrain Analysis and associated Sewage System Impact Assessment that was undertaken. This Hydrogeological Assessment and Septic Impact Assessment addresses the following:

- General Site setting information;
- Geological and hydrogeological background;
- Site-specific conditions; and
- Existing and proposed water and wastewater infrastructure (on-site and off-site).

#### **1.1** Site Description

The property is located at 1037 Carp Road. It is described as Plan 5R-4714, Part of Lot 23, Concession 12, Geographic Township of Goulbourn, City of Ottawa. The land in question covers approximately 0.27 ha and is located between Rothbourne Rd and Echowoods Ave. The development area for the proposed works is approximately 0.27 ha.

See Figure 1 for the Site Location Plan for more details.

#### **1.2** Existing Conditions and Infrastructure

The existing site is currently undeveloped and is made up of a gravel lane, trees and bushes. There are no sanitary, water or storm services currently on site. Storm water currently sheet flows to the east corner of the site where it is collected by a rear yard swale system which flows to an existing catchbasin.

Sewer and watermain mapping collected from the topographic survey completed by Fairhall Moffatt & Woodland Ltd. on December 2018 indicates that the following services exist across the property frontages within the adjacent municipal right-of-way:

• 200 mm diameter ductile iron watermain; and

• 150 mm diameter private polyethene sanitary forcemain.

#### **1.3** Proposed Development and Statistics

The proposal is to develop a 2-storey office building. The building will contain 14 office units with a total area of 513 m<sup>2</sup>.

## 2.0 INVESTIGATION

#### 2.1 Site Setting

At the present time, the existing lot consists of an undeveloped treed area with a gravel entrance on Carp Road. On-site vegetation consists primarily of trees. Based on a review of historical aerial photographs available on GeoOttawa along with field observations, it appears that the subject property has never been developed (earliest photo is 1976) beyond its current use, with the exception of the addition of a gravel entrance onto Carp Road and the associated tree clearing that occurred between 2017 and 2019.

The climate is humid continental with cool winters and warm summers. The 1981-2010 mean annual precipitation is approximately 943.4 mm with 223.5 cm as snow, and the mean daily temperature is 6.4 °C (Environment Canada Climate Normals for Ottawa MacDonald-Cartier Int'l Airport, ON).

#### 2.2 Neighbouring Properties and Land Uses

The Site is bounded to the north, south and west by mixed use/commercial, and residential first density land to the east.

Based on a review of MECP well records, McIntosh Perry's local knowledge of the area, as well as publicly available data from the City of Ottawa's GeoOttawa GIS database, the municipal water supply network services the subject site and all immediately surrounding properties. It is understood that even though a number of properties fronting on Carp Road which were initially serviced by individual drinking water wells have since been connected to the municipal water supply, there may still remain some properties along Carp Road that are serviced by individual drinking water wells. All residential properties immediately east of the subject site along Lloydalex Crescent and further east are of more recent construction (constructed between 2002 and present) and are understood to be fully serviced by the City's municipal infrastructure (i.e. water, storm and sanitary). Additionally, there are no available municipal sanitary sewers in the vicinity of the site along Carp Road and therefore all neighbouring properties along Carp Road are expected to be serviced with private sewage systems. Figures 2 presents the land usage for the surrounding areas, while Figure 3 presents the local topographical and hydrological information.

### 2.3 Hydrology and Hydrogeology

Ground surface at the Site is generally relatively flat. Regional relief appears to slope to the east-northeast. Ground surface elevation at the Site varies from 122.5-125 m (geodetic). Surface drainage at the Site appears to be largely controlled by sheet flow runoff to the east with a small part of the western edge of the site

currently draining to the roadside which drains south along the east side of Carp Road and eventually discharges into Feedmill Creek. Note that site is near the headwaters of Feedmill Creek, with headwaters of Feedmill Creek originating in a small wetland located just north of Hazeldean Rd and west of Carp Rd. From there it flows to the northeast, under Hwy 417, and then through the Tanger outlet mall property. Feedmill Creek ends where it reaches the Carp River just east of Huntmar Dr. Regional groundwater is interpreted to generally follow thew alignment of Feedmilk Creek and flow east/northeast, towards Carp River; a review of a publicly available geotechnical report for a nearby proposed residential development at 6171 Hazeldean Road does support this (EXP Services Inc., July 24, 2020). As part of that investigation, three boreholes were advanced in the overburden/ shallow limestone bedrock to intercept the shallow groundwater aquifer and instrumented with piezometers. Static water levels monitoring conducted in the piezometers confirms that local shallow groundwater flow with the overburden/shallow limestone is to the east/north-east.

#### 2.4 Water Well Record Review

MP conducted a review of MECP WWIS records within 250 m of the Site. All nineteen wells found within the study area are listed for domestic water supply usage and shown in Figure 4. The MECP Water Well Information System Records are summarized in Table 2-1 below.

Well ID	Depth (m)	Overburden Material	Depth to Bedrock (m)	Completion Material	Static Water Level (mBGS)	Well Type	Year Completed
1502945	22.9	Gravel, Medium Sand	9.1	Gray Limestone	4.6	Domestic	1956
1502952	17.7	Medium Sand, Boulders	11.6	Sandstone	4.3	Domestic	1960
1502956	24.4	Gravel, Medium Sand	12.5	Limestone	4.6	Domestic	1962
1502957	14.6	Shale, Medium Sand	9.8	Black Limestone	2.4	Domestic	1962
1502958	22.9	Gravel, Medium Sand	14.0	Black Limestone	4.9	Domestic	1963
1503046	20.7	Hardpan	11.6	Limestone	9.1	Domestic	1955
1503049	27.4	Gravel, Boulders, Quicksand	13.7	Limestone	4.9	Domestic	1961
1503100	29	Gravel, Boulders, Medium Sand	11	Blue Lime	12.2	Domestic	1962
1512249	19.5	Clay, Boulders	8.8	Gray Limestone	3.7	Domestic	1972
1513299	21.3	Clay, Stones	13.4	Gray Limestone	4.6	Domestic	1973

#### Table 2-1: MECP WWIS Summary (MECP 2021)

Well ID	Depth (m)	Overburden Material	Depth to Bedrock (m)	Completion Material	Static Water Level (mBGS)	Well Type	Year Completed
1513334	14.6	Sand, Gravel, Boulders	7.9	Dark Limestone	1.5	Domestic	1973
1513378	7	Gravel	0	Brown Gravel	1.2	Domestic	1973
1514315	10.1	Sand, Boulders, Gravel	0	Gray Gravel	3	Domestic	1974
1514493	11.9	Gravel, Boulders	0	Gray Gravel	3.7	Domestic	1974
1515281	25.9	Sand, Gravel, Boulders, Hardpan	16.5	Gray Limestone	6.7	Domestic	1976
1515305	29.6	Sand, Gravel, Boulders	10.4	Gray Limestone	4.6	Domestic	1976
1515752	37.5	Sand, Boulders, Stones	12.5	Gray Limestone	3	Domestic	1976
1517181	22.9	Sand, Gravel, Boulders	9.4	Gray Limestone	2.1	Domestic	1979
1535454	83.2	Sand, Boulders	14.6	Gray Limestone	6.2	Domestic	2005

Geological information provided by the well drillers in the WWIS records was generally consistent with Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) data published for the area. Well records described the overburden as sand and gravel and gray limestone as the bedrock. Bedrock was found between 7.9–16.5 m below ground surface (bgs), with the average of 11.7m bgs (MECP, 2021).

## 2.5 Background Geology and Hydrology

### 2.5.1 Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) – Surficial Geology

Geological maps of the area classify the overburden at the Site as glaciofluvial deposits, namely river deposits and delta topset facies. Surficial geology maps of southern Ontario indicate the site is situated between organic deposits to the east and southwest, coarse-textured glaciomarine deposits to the northwest, and Paleozoic bedrock formation to the northeast and southeast. Public geological mapping also identifies three north-south linear features consisting of beach ridges and near shore bars linear features in the immediate vicinity of the site (OGS, 2021).

### 2.5.2 Ontario Geological Survey (OGS) – Bedrock Geology

Geological maps of the area classify the bedrock under the Site as limestone, dolostone, shale, arkose, and sandstone of the Ottawa Group, Simcoe Group, and/or of the Shadow Lake Formation. (OGS, 2021)

## **3.0 TERRAIN ANALYSIS**

### 3.1 On-Site Investigation

As part of a geotechnical investigation, boreholes were advance via drilling at various locations throughout the Site to assess its geology and subsurface conditions, including properties of the on-site overburden. In total, six boreholes advanced.

Boreholes were advanced using hollow stem augers aided by track-mounted CME 850 drill rig. Boreholes were advanced to a maximum depth of 9.3 m (El. 114.2 m) below the ground level. Boreholes BH20-1 to BH20-4 were advanced to refusal on inferred bedrock, while BH-20-5 and BH20-6 were terminated in the overburden. Soil samples were obtained at 0.75 m intervals in boreholes up to 3.7 m (El. 119.9 m). Below this level, due to the uniformity of the sand layer, samples were obtained at 1.5 m intervals between 3.7 m depth (El. ~ 114.2 m) and 7.6 m depth (El. ~ 116.0 m). below this level, the sample collection interval was changed back to 0.75 m as the soil stratigraphy changed. The samples were collected using a 51 mm outside diameter split spoon sampler following the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) procedure. Boreholes were backfilled with auger cuttings and restored to the original surface. Refer to Appendix A for draft geotechnical report, including the borehole locations and borehole logs.

All samples were logged as retrieved, and visual description and soil type identification were added to the logs. Subsequently, soil descriptions were confirmed by additional tactile examination of the soils in the laboratory. Laboratory grain-size distribution analysis on representative SPT samples was performed at McIntosh Perry geotechnical lab in accordance with the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) test procedures.

### 3.2 Site Evaluation

#### 3.2.1 Overburden Depth

Where boreholes were advanced to refusal, overburden across the site was found to be between 8.6m to 9.4m bgs, with an inferred bedrock elevation between 114.2 m and 115.2m.

#### 3.2.2 Overburden Characterization

In general, the site stratigraphy consists of four layers of shallow topsoil, followed by a thick deposit of sand with different portions of silt and gravel. A till layer composed of silty sand with different portions of gravel and clay was encountered below the sand layer. The till layer is underlain by Inferred bedrock at ~ El 115.0 m. For classification purposes, the soils encountered at this site can be divided into three major zones.

- a) Topsoil
- b) Sand
- c) Till
- d) Inferred Bedrock

The soils encountered during the investigation, together with the field and laboratory test results, are shown on the Record of Borehole sheets included in the Appendix A. Laboratory test results for Particle Size Distribution are also included in Appendix A. Description of the strata encountered are given below.

#### 3.2.2.1 Topsoil

A layer of topsoil was encountered in at the existing surface that extend to an approximate depth of 0.9 (El.  $\sim$  122.5 m). The topsoil layer was observed to be dark brown and composes of organic maters including peat, roots, and wood chips. Gravel and cobbles "Limestone" were encountered at the surface in BH20-3 and 20-06. The topsoil was observed to be dry to damp, very loose to loose with SPT 'N' value ranges from 2 to 9 blows/300mm.

#### 3.2.2.2 Sand

Underlying the topsoil, was a thick layer of sand with traces of silt and gravel, observed to be light brown, dry to moist, and loose to compact. The SPT 'N' value ranges from 7 to 30 blows/300mm. The sand layer is followed by a till layer.

Five samples underwent grain size analysis testing, and the layer was observed to contain, on average, 2.0% gravel, 90% sand, 9% silt and clay. In BH20-03 between 4.5 m and 5.5 m depths (El. 118.9 m to 117.9 m), the sand gradation changes to gravelly sand with traces of silt. The grainsize distribution of the soil between these levels changes to contain 22% gravel, 68% sand and 10% fins. Below level 117.9, the soil change back to sand.

A summary of the grain size distribution for this layer is shown in Table 3-1. Test results are shown in Appendix A.

Grain Size	Range (%)
Gravel	0-4
Sand	82 – 96
Fines	4 – 15

#### Table 3-1: Grain Size Distribution of the Sand Layer

#### 3.2.2.3 Till: Silty Sand, Some Gravel and Clay

A till layer composes of silty sand with different portions of gravel and clay was encountered below the sand at an approximate El. 116.0 m. The till was observed to grey, wet, and very loose to dense, with SPT 'N' values ranging from 1 to 54 blows/300mm. Two representative sample underwent grain size analysis testing, and the layer was observed to contain 15% gravel, 47% sand, 14% silt and clay. A summary of the grain size distribution for this layer is shown in Table 3-2.

Grain Size	(%)
Gravel	13 – 17
Sand	51 – 52
Silt	26 – 23
Clay	8 - 11

#### Table 3-2: Grain Size Distribution of the Silty Sand Layer in BH20-1

#### 3.2.3 Soil Classification for Private Sanitary Servicing

Comparison of the soil classification for the Unified Soil Classification as provided in the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MMAH) Supplementary Standard SB-6: Time and Soil Descriptions, reveals that the main shallow horizon native soil assessed on-site into which any private sewage system would discharge consists of the following:

- SP to SW: well-graded and poorly graded sands, gravelly sands, little or no fines
  - According to Table 2 of SB-6, the SP and SW group of soils have a coefficient of permeability (K) of 10<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup> with a percolation time (T) of 2 to 12 min/cm. This soil type has a medium permeability, and is deemed acceptable as the native receiving soil for a proposed Class 4 sewage system.

Based on the above-noted soil classifications, it is proposed the development be serviced with a Class 4 sewage system with a leaching bed constructed to discharge withing the native sand deposits present throughout the Site.

#### 3.2.4 Groundwater

Groundwater was observed in five open boreholes. At the time of investigation, October 14 and 15, 2020, the depth of the groundwater ranged between 5.8 m (El. 117.8 m) to 6.1 m (El. 117.2 m). The depth and level of groundwater in five boreholes are summarized in Table 3-3. The groundwater level may be expected to fluctuate due to seasonal changes.

Borehole	Measuring Date	Surface El. (m)	Groundwater Depth (m bgs)	Water Table El. (m)
BH20-01	2020-10-14	123.6	5.8	117.8
BH20-02	2020-10-14	124.1	5.8	118.3
BH20-03	2020-10-14	123.4	5.7	117.7
BH20-04	2020-10-15	123.5	5.8	117.7
BH20-05	2020-10-15	123.3	6.1	117.2

#### Table 3-3: Groundwater Level Readings in Open Boreholes

#### 3.2.5 Bedrock

As previously discussed, on-site bedrock is generally characterized as limestone, dolostone, shale, arkose, and sandstone of the Ottawa Group, Simcoe Group, and/or of the Shadow Lake Formation (OGS 2021), which is supported by well records that list the bedrock as either "sandstone" or "limestone". Based on OGS karst mapping (OGS 2021), the subject is within a potential karst area, with inferred karst areas identified approximately 200 m and 400 m further east and south-west, respectively. No observations of the bedrock were made during the site investigation and given the depth of overburden on the subject site, this was does not identified as significant concern for the proposed development.

#### 3.2.6 Recharge and Discharge Areas

Based on a review of topographic data, geological maps, and a site visit, it is our interpretation that the Site is predominantly a groundwater recharge zone. The Site is located on a ridge and appears to be generally well drained. It should be noted that the site is situated atop a north-south ridge that is approximately 3 meters higher than land immediately further east.

#### 3.2.7 Hydrogeologically Sensitive Areas

Based on McIntosh Perry's test pitting program and available well records in the vicinity, the Site has soil thicknesses generally exceeding 8.5 m and there were no observed areas of bedrock outcrop or karst conditions on or near the site. The proposed development area appears to be well drained and there were no areas of groundwater upwelling or significant discharge noted during fieldwork. The Site is therefore not considered to be in hydrogeologically sensitive area.

### 4.0 SEPTIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

As part of the development application process, the City of Ottawa requires that a septic impact assessment be completed as per the City's Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Guidelines. The City's guidelines generally follow the MECP's Procedure D-5-4 (Technical Guideline for Individual On-site Sewage Systems: Water Quality Impact Risk Assessment) outlines the following steps to be completed as part of a septic impact assessment:

- Step 1 Lot Size Consideration
- Step 2 System Isolation Consideration
- Step 3 Contaminant Attenuation Considerations

The following outlines the results of the sewage system impact assessment as undertaken by McIntosh Perry.

#### Step 1 - Lot Size Consideration

The proposed development is located on a lot 0.2705 hectares in size. Lot size consideration is typically used for residential subdivision developments, where attenuative processes within a one hectare residential lot residential is assumed to be sufficient to reduce the nitrate-nitrogen to an acceptable concentration in

groundwater below adjacent properties. Where lot size considering is used in residential developments, each residential lot is assumed to generate a 1000 L/day of typical domestic-strength sewage effluent.

Given that the daily sewage effluent flow for the proposed commercial office space has been calculated at 6720 L/day and is expected of to be of typical domestic-strength, a lot size of 6.7ha would be required to ensure enough spatial area exist to naturally attenuate nitrate-nitrogen to acceptable concentration based on MECP Procedure D-5-4 and City of Ottawa Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Guidelines. Due to this, a review of Step 2 – System Isolation Consideration was undertaken.

#### Step 2 - System Isolation Consideration

As previously outlined, the lot to be developed is too small for lot size consideration when accounting for the proposed scale of the development; therefore McIntosh Perry assessed whether System Isolation Considerations were applicable to the proposed Site Plan application. If it can be demonstrated that the sewage system effluent is hydrogeologically isolated from the existing or potential drinking water supply aquifer, then the risk to groundwater is considered to be low. The system isolation argument applies to lands that extend up to 500 metres from the Site.

Based on a review of available geological information and mapping, in conjunction with site observations made during the Terrain Analysis, overburden depth on-site is relatively deep (> 8.5 m) but generally consists primarily of coarse-grained soils. Shallow bedrock conditions are also expected to exist existing approximately 200m east of the site and 400m south-west of the site based on a review of available surficial geology mapping (OGS, 2021). Given this, sewage system discharge on the subject site is therefore not deemed technically isolated from the local groundwater aquifer; however, a set of circumstances specific to this site greatly reduces/removes the risk that any sewage system discharge will affect any existing or potential drinking water supply aquifer since the local water supply aquifer on-site and downgradient (from a groundwater flow perspective) is not currently (and is not expected to be) used as a water supply aquifer given the availability of municipal drinking water service in the area.

It should be noted that it is expected that there could remain a few private drinking water supply wells in use along Carp Road, but that from a shallow and regional groundwater flow perspective, it is expected that along Carp Road, only the properties immediately north and south of the subject site (i.e. 1027 and 1031 Carp Road) would be reasonably expected to potentially be impacted by subsurface discharge of sewage effluent on the subject site. It was confirmed via telephone interviews conducted with the landowners of both of these properties in August of 2021 that they are both currently serviced by the municipal water supply.

Overall, the primary concern with respect to septic impact assessment for the proposed development is associated with subsurface flow of sewage effluent discharge on the subject site towards the east, and therefore with the residential properties located east of the subject site (i.e. along Lloyalex Crescent and further east). To that end, all the residential properties immediately east of the subject site along Lloyalex Crescent

and further east are of more recent construction (constructed between 2002 and present) and are known to be fully serviced by the City's municipal infrastructure.

Based on the above-noted discussion, the proposed development is not expected to affect any existing or potential drinking water supply aquifer and therefore the septic impact assessment is not required to move to Step 3 to review contaminant attenuation considerations.

## 5.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 5.1 Wastewater Servicing

#### Private Sewage Systems

- Approval for on-site septic treatment will be governed by the OBC as it is understood that the Daily Design Flow proposed commercial office building will be approximately 6,720 litres per day (i.e. less than 10,000 litres per day).
- It is recommended that the proposed commercial development be serviced with Class 4 sewage systems with leaching beds constructed to discharge withing the native sand as is present throughout the Site.
- Any septic systems must be constructed with all appropriate setbacks, treatment units and stipulations as per applicable Ontario Regulations.

#### Servicing Layout

• The proposed development and associated new Class 4 sewage system should follow the layout included in the Site Plan application.

### 6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared and the work referred to in this report has been undertaken by McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. for Jim Bell Architecture Design Inc. It is intended for the sole and exclusive use of Jim Bell Architecture Design Inc., their affiliated companies and partners and their respective insurers, agents, employees, advisors, and reviewers. The report may not be relied upon by any other person or entity without the express written consent (Reliance Letter) of McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, without a reliance letter are the responsibility of such third parties. McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. accept no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

The investigation undertaken by McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. with respect to this report and any conclusions or recommendations made in this report reflect McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.

judgment based on the Site conditions observed at the time of the site inspection on the date(s) set out in this report and on information available at the time of the preparation of this report.

This report has been prepared for specific application to this site and it is based, in part, upon visual observation of the Site, subsurface investigation at discrete locations and depths, and specific analysis of specific chemical parameters and materials during a specific time interval, all as described in this report. Unless otherwise stated, the findings cannot be extended to previous or future Site conditions, portions of the Site which were unavailable for direct investigation, subsurface locations which were not investigated directly, or chemical parameters, materials or analysis which were not addressed. Substances other than those addressed by the investigation described in this report may exist within the Site, substances addressed by the investigation may exist in areas of the Site not investigated and concentrations of substances addressed which are different than those reported may exist in areas other than the locations from which samples were taken.

If site conditions or applicable standards change or if any additional information becomes available at a future date, modifications to the findings, conclusions and recommendations in this report may be necessary.

We trust that this information is satisfactory for your present requirements. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.



Patrick Leblanc, P.Eng. Practice Area Lead (Environmental Engineer) (613) 714-4586 p.leblanc@mcintoshperry.com

Ref.: U:\Ottawa\01 Project - Proposals\2019 Jobs\CP\0CP-Projects\0CP-19-0125 Jim Bell\_Office Buildings\_1037 Carp Road\Civil\03 - Servicing\Sanitary\Septic Impact Assessment\CP-19-0125 - Septic Impact Assessment - 1037 Carp Road.Oct.4.2021.docx

## 7.0 REFERENCES

City of Ottawa, March 2021. Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis Guidelines.

EXP Services Inc, July 24, 2020. Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development – 6171 Hazeldean Road, Ottawa, ON (Project No. OTT-00258780-B0).

OGS Earth, 2021. Ontario Ministry of Northern Development, Mines and Forestry, - Ontario Geological Survey Earth – for Google Earth. Overburden classification data for Eastern Ontario.

OGS Earth, 2021. Ontario Ministry of Northern Development, Mines and Forestry, - Ontario Geological Survey Earth – for Google Earth. Bedrock classification data for Eastern Ontario.

McIntosh Perry, November 2020. Geotechnical Report (DRAFT) – Office Complex 1037, Carp Road (Project No. CP-19-0125).

MOE, 1996. Procedure D-5-4 Individual On-Site Sewage Systems: Water Quality Impact Risk Assessment.

# **FIGURES**









# **APPENDIX A – GEOTECHNICAL REPORT (DRAFT)**

## OFFICE COMPLEX\_1037 CARP ROAD GEOTECHNICAL REPORT



Project No.: CP-19-125

Prepared for:

Jim Bell Architectural Design Inc. 26 Bert G. Argue Dr, Stittsville, ON K2S 1X9

Prepared by:

McIntosh Perry 104-215 Menten Place Ottawa, ON K2H 9C1

November 2020

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRC	DDUCTION	1					
2.0	SITE D	SITE DESCRIPTION						
3.0	PROJE	PROJECT UNDERSTANDING						
4.0	FIELD	PROCEDURES	2					
5.0	IDENT	TIFICATION AND TEST PROCEDURES	2					
6.0	SITE G	EOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	3					
6.1	Site	Geology	3					
6.2	Subs	surface Conditions	3					
6.	2.1	Topsoil	3					
6.	2.2	Sand	4					
6.	2.3	Till: Silty Sand, Some Gravel and Clay	4					
6.3	Grou	undwater	5					
6.4	Chei	mical Analysis	5					
7.0	DISCU	ISSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5					
7.1	Gen	eral	5					
7.2	Ove	rview	6					
7.3	Four	ndations	7					
7.	3.1	Foundation Excavation	7					
7.	3.2	Shallow Foundations	8					
7.4	Seisi	mic Site Classification	9					
7.	4.1	Liquefaction Potential	10					
7.5	Engi	ineered Fill	10					
7.6	Slab	ps-on-Grade	10					
7.7	Late	eral Earth Pressure	11					
7.8	Side	walks and Hard Surfacing	11					
7.9	Cem	nent Type and Corrosion Potential	12					
8.0	PAVE	MENT STRUCTURE	. 12					
9.0	CONS	TRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	. 13					

10.0	GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE	14
11.0	SITE SERVICES	14
12.0	CLOSURE	15

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A – Limitations of Report

Appendix B – Figures

Appendix C – Borehole Records

Appendix D – Laboratory Test Results

Appendix E – Seismic Hazard Calculation

Appendix F – Relevant Standards

## GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION and FOUNDATION DESIGN AND RECOMMENDATION REPORT Proposed Office Complex\_1037 Carp Road, Stittsville, Ontario

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

This report presents the factual findings obtained from a geotechnical investigation performed at the abovementioned site for a proposed two-storey office complex with parking lot and no basement. The fieldwork was carried out on October 14, 2020, to October 15, 2020, and comprised of five foundation boreholes to a maximum depth of 9.3 m, and one pavement borehole in the parking lot to a depth of 2.1m below existing surface.

The purpose of the investigation was to explore the subsurface conditions at this site and to provide borehole location plans, a record of borehole logs, and laboratory test results. This report provides anticipated geotechnical conditions influencing the design and construction of the proposed two-storey office buildings and the parking lot. The report also includes recommendations for the foundation and parking lot pavement design. Recommendations are offered based on the authors' interpretation of the subsurface investigation and test results. The readers are referred to Appendix A, Limitations of Report, which is an integral part of this document.

The investigation was performed at the request of the Jim Bell Architectural Design Inc.

## 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION

The site is located in a mixed residential and commercial area. It is bounded by residential dwellings with chain link fence from the northeast side, and commercial properties at the northwest and southeast. The site is accessible from Carp Road at the southwest side through a gravel driveway. A drainage ditch is bounded the site along Carp Road and a corrugated steel pipe side culvert connects the ditch under the gravel driveway.

At the time of the investigation the lot was heavily vegetated with mature trees, dead logs, and bushes and the ground is covered with limestone, wood chips, roots, and tree leaves. Trees and bushes were partially cleared from the middle of the lot to provide access to the lot. The property and borehole locations are shown in Figure 2, in Appendix B.

## 3.0 PROJECT UNDERSTANDING

It is understood the site will cleared from all vegetation. The proposed office complex includes three buildings with 1750, 3500, and 3500 square feet of footprint area which may be constructed through separate phases. All three phases are proposed as two storey buildings without a basement. A total number of 46 parking spots are provisioned.

## 4.0 FIELD PROCEDURES

The staff of McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers (McIntosh Perry) visited the site before the drilling investigation to mark out the proposed borehole locations to obtain utility clearance to identify the location of underground infrastructures. Utility clearance was carried out by Underground Service Locators (USL-1) on behalf of McIntosh Perry. Public and private utility authorities were informed, and all utility clearance documents were obtained before the commencement of drilling work.

The equipment used for drilling was owned and operated by CCC Geotechnical & Environmental Drilling Ltd. of Ottawa, Ontario. Boreholes were advanced using hollow stem augers aided by track-mounted CME 850 drill rig. Boreholes were advanced to a maximum depth of 9.3 m (El. 114.2 m) below the ground level. Soil samples were obtained at 0.75 m intervals in boreholes up to 3.7 m (El. 119.9 m). Below this level, due to the uniformity of the sand layer, samples were obtained at 1.5 m intervals between 3.7 m depth (El. ~ 114.2 m) and 7.6 m depth (El. ~ 116.0 m). below this level, the sample collection interval was changed back to 0.75 m as the soil stratigraphy changed. The samples were collected using a 51 mm outside diameter split spoon sampler following the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) procedure. Boreholes were backfilled with auger cuttings and restored to the original surface. Borehole locations are shown in Figure 2, included in Appendix B.

## 5.0 IDENTIFICATION AND TEST PROCEDURES

All samples were logged as retrieved, and visual description and soil type identification were added to the logs. Subsequently, soil descriptions were confirmed by additional tactile examination of the soils in the laboratory. Laboratory grain-size distribution analysis on representative SPT samples was performed at McIntosh Perry geotechnical lab in accordance with the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) test procedures.

Paracel Laboratories Ltd., in Ottawa, carried out chemical tests on two representative soil samples to determine the soil corrosivity characteristics.

Test procedures are listed below;

ASTM C136 – Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates (LS-602) LS-702 – Determination of Particle Size Analysis of Soils ASTM D1586 – Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils

The rest of the soil samples recovered will be stored in McIntosh Perry storage facility for a period of one month after submission of the final report. Samples will be disposed of after this time unless otherwise requested in writing by the Client.

## 6.0 SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

### 6.1 Site Geology

Based on published physiography maps of the area (Ontario Geological Survey), the site is located within the boundary region between Ottawa Valley Clay Plains and Smiths Falls Limestone Plain. Surficial geology maps of southern Ontario indicate the site is situated on glaciofluvial deposits, between organic deposits to the east and southwest, coarse-textured glaciomarine deposits to the northwest, and Paleozoic bedrock formation to the northeast and southeast. The glaciofluvial deposits in this region are predominantly river deposits, gravel, sand, silt and clay, and delta topset facies.

#### 6.2 Subsurface Conditions

In general, the site stratigraphy consists of four layers of shallow topsoil, followed by a thick deposit of sand with different portions of silt and gravel. A till layer composes of silty sand with different portions of gravel and clay was encountered below the sand layer. It was inferred the till layer is underlain by bedrock at ~ El 115.0 m. For classification purposes, the soils encountered at this site can be divided into four distinctive strata.

- a) Topsoil
- b) Sand
- c) Till
- d) Inferred Bedrock

The soils encountered during the investigation, together with the field and laboratory test results, are shown on the Record of Borehole sheets included in Appendix C. Laboratory test results are included in Appendix D. Description of the strata encountered are given below.

#### 6.2.1 Topsoil

A layer of topsoil was encountered at the existing surface that extends to an approximate depth of 0.9 (El.  $\sim$  122.5 m). The topsoil layer was observed to be dark brown and composes of organic maters including peat, roots, and wood chips. Gravel and cobbles "Limestone" were encountered at the surface in BH20-3 and 20-06. The topsoil was observed to be dry to damp, very loose to loose with SPT 'N' value ranges from 2 to 9 blows/300mm.

#### 6.2.2 Sand

Underlying the topsoil, was a thick layer of sand with traces of silt and gravel, observed to be light brown, dry to moist, and loose to compact. The SPT 'N' value ranges from 7 to 30 blows/300mm. The sand layer is followed by a till layer.

Five samples underwent grain size analysis testing, and the layer was observed to contain, on average, 2.0% gravel, 90% sand, 9% silt and clay. In BH20-03 between 4.5 m and 5.5 m depths (El. 118.9 m to 117.9 m), the sand gradation changes to gravelly sand with traces of silt. The grainsize distribution of the soil between these levels changes to contain 22% gravel, 68% sand and 10% fins. Below level 117.9, the soil change back to sand.

A summary of the grain size distribution for this layer is shown in Table 6-1. Test results are shown in Figures 4 and 5, included in Appendix B.

#### Table 6-1: Grain Size Distribution of the Sand Layer

Grain Size	Range (%)		
Gravel	0 - 4		
Sand	82 – 96		
Fines	4 - 15		

#### 6.2.3 Till: Silty Sand, Some Gravel and Clay

A till layer composes of silty sand with different portions of gravel and clay was encountered below the sand at an approximate El. 116.0 m. The till was observed grey, wet, and very loose to dense, with SPT 'N' values ranging from 1 to 54 blows/300mm. Two representative sample underwent grain size analysis testing, and the layer was observed to contain 15% gravel, 47% sand, 14% silt and clay. A summary of the grain size distribution for this layer is shown in Table 6-2.

#### Table 6-2: Grain Size Distribution of the Silty Sand Layer in BH20-1

Grain Size	(%)	
Gravel	13 – 17	
Sand	51 – 52	
Silt	26 – 23	
Clay	8-11	

#### 6.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was observed in five open boreholes. At the time of investigation, October 14 and 15, 2020, the depth of the groundwater ranged between 5.8 m (El. 117.8 m) to 6.1 m (El. 117.2 m). The depth and level of groundwater in five boreholes are summarized in Table 6-3. The groundwater level may be expected to fluctuate due to seasonal changes.

Borobolo	Measuring	Surface El.	Groundwater	Water Table
Borenole	Date	(m)	Depth (m)	El. (m)
BH20-01	2020-10-14	123.6	5.8	117.8
BH20-02	2020-10-14	124.1	5.8	118.3
BH20-03	2020-10-14	123.4	5.7	117.7
BH20-04	2020-10-15	123.5	5.8	117.7
BH20-05	2020-10-15	123.3	6.1	117.2

#### Table 6-3: Groundwater Level Readings in Open Boreholes

#### 6.4 Chemical Analysis

The chemical test results conducted by Paracel Laboratories in Ottawa, Ontario, to determine the resistivity, pH, sulphate and chloride content of two representative soil samples are shown in Table 6-4 below. Chemical test results are included in Appendix D and summarized in below table.

#### **Table 6-4: Soil Chemical Analysis Results**

Borehole	Sample	Depth / El. (m)	рН	Sulphate (%)	Chloride (%)	Resistivity (Ohm-m)
BH20-01	SS-03	1.5 ~ 2.1	8.06	<0.0005	0.0009	126
BH20-03	SS-03	1.5 ~ 2.1	7.92	<0.0005	0.0007	92

## 7.0 DISCUSSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 7.1 General

This section of the report provides engineering recommendations on the geotechnical design aspect of the project based on the project requirements and our interpretation of the subsurface soil information. The

recommendations presented herein are subject to the limitations noted in Appendix A "Limitations of Report" which forms an integral part of this document.

The foundation engineering recommendations presented in this section have been developed following Part 4 of the 2015 National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) and 2012 Ontario Building Code (OBC) extending the Limit State Design approach.

#### 7.2 Overview

It is understood that the proposed office complex consists of two-storey structures without a basement. It is also understood that the finished floor elevation for the proposed development will be approximately at El. 125.5 m to 126.0 m.

For the current project, the following list summarizes some key geotechnical facts that were considered in the suggested geotechnical recommendations:

- Topsoil is not a competent engineering material for construction and can undergo significant volume changes that can adversely affect the integrity of the structure, utilities as well as the parking lot pavement. Therefore, any loose materials, topsoil and organic maters need to be cleared from the footprint of the proposed buildings, the parking lot, and any form of hard landscaping.
- Considering the order of structural loads expected at the foundation level, the provision of conventional spread and strip footings is adequate. Footings are expected to be buried to resist overturning, sliding, and also to provide protection against frost action.
- The proposed structure can be designed using a seismic Site Class D provided that the boundary zones of the shear walls and all column loads are extended to and supported on the compact to dense sand layer by spread footings.
- Excavation for foundations will be advanced below the existing ground level through the topsoil and sand deposits. The sand deposit can exhibit collapsing behavior upon excavation. The sides of excavation shall be sloped from its bottom at a minimum gradient of 3H:1V. For trench excavation that is deeper than 1.2 m or a worker is required to enter, excavation shall be carried out within trench boxes, which is fully braced to resist lateral earth pressure.
- In addition, the footprint of the proposed development is adjacent to occupied residential and commercial buildings on the south, north and east, and Carp Road at west side. If excavations depth near adjacent building extend below their foundation depth, shoring system, such as sheet piles is required.

The surface and groundwater inflow to the excavation can be handled by pumping from well-filtered sumps established on the floor of the excavation. The actual inflow into the excavation will depend on many factors including, but not limited to, the contractor's schedule, the rate of excavation, the size of the excavation, and the time of the year at which the excavation is to occur. Based on the encountered stratigraphy and the amount of groundwater intake, application for PTTW will be required only if excavations extend below groundwater level (El. ~ 119.0 m). If more precise information on potential groundwater seepage is needed, a separate permeability test can be carried in the existing monitoring well as part of a separate scope of work.

#### 7.3 Foundations

In general, the subsurface conditions in the area of the proposed low-rise building consists of a thick layer of sand that is followed by a till layer composed of silty sand with some gravel and clay layer. The depth of the bedrock is approximately at 8.6 to 9.4 m (El. ~ 114.8 m) from the existing ground surface.

It is understood that the level of finished floor for the new proposed buildings is approximately at 125.5 m to 126.0 m. Based on the freezing index for the Southern Ontario Region provided for this site, the frost penetration depth is expected at 1.8 m below the ground surface. Frost depth can be reduced to 1.5 m below finished surface for those buildings constantly heated during winter season. The underside of the foundations will likely be at an elevation of 123.7 to 124.2 m. Based on these elevations, grade raise on engineered fill is required. Granular A conforming to OPSS 1010 compacted to minimum 100% Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) shall be used for grade raise below the footings.

The SPT field test results, 'N' values within the expected depth and influence zone (twice of the footing width) of a spread footing range between 4 to 24 blows/300mm. The sand layer can be classified according to the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM) (2006) as loose to compacted sand. The estimated average angle of internal friction ( $\phi$ ) within the stress influence zone below the footing is approximately 28°. The sand layer is a competent layer and can provide suitable support to the expected loads from the structure.

#### 7.3.1 Foundation Excavation

Excavation for the construction of the foundation will proceed through the native topsoil and sand deposits. Excavating of overburden soil shall be performed using conventional hydraulic excavating equipment. The Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) of Ontario indicated that side slopes in the sand above the water table could be classified as Type 3 soil and below the water table as Type 4 soil and sloped no steeper than 3H:1V or be shored. If space restrictions exist, the excavations of depth greater than 1.2 m can be carried out within trench boxes, which is fully braced to resist lateral earth pressure.

In order to limit the amount of differential settlement, all footings shall be bearing on similar subgrade conditions. The subgrade shall be cleaned from all deleterious material and to be proof rolled to reduce loose spots and to prepare a smooth surface before receiving the foundation concrete. Granular A conforming to OPSS 1010 compacted to minimum of 100% SPMDD shall be used for grade raise or to level any over excavation below the foundation level.

Excavation shall be kept reasonably free of water or dry and cobbles or boulders larger than 300 mm in diameter, if encountered, should be removed from the side slopes for worker safety.

#### 7.3.2 Shallow Foundations

For shallow spread footings, the overburden soil below the columns and foundation walls can be excavated to the level of founding. The subgrade shall be proof rolled before constructing the spread footings.

#### 7.3.2.1 Bearing Resistance

Due to the presence of a competent sand layer, shallow footings with a minimum of 1.2 m for strip footings and 1.5 m for spread footings in a shorter dimension bearing on the sand may be considered to support the structural loads of the proposed development if recommended bearing capacities are adequate.

Bearing capacities are calculated based on the methodology recommended by the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM). The mechanical properties of the sand layer were derived from SPT field test. The average value of SPT 'N' blows for 2B distance below the foundation level was used to estimate the effective soil friction angle,  $\phi'$ . The  $\phi'$ -value and the horizontal soil-footing interface friction angle,  $\delta'$  are given in Table 8-2. Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) approach following the National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) (2015) recommendations were used to determine the Ultimate Limit State (ULS) and Serviceability Limit State (SLS) geotechnical resistances. For ULS conditions, the unfactored ULS bearing capacity of the spread footing was determined using the general bearing capacity formula as per the CFEM (2006) using the effective soil friction angle,  $\phi'$  value in Table 7-2. A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 as per the NBCC recommendations can be used to obtain the factored ULS bearing resistance. Furthermore, For SLS bearing capacity, allowable bearing capacity based on SPT test results and 25 mm settlement was determined.

Bearing capacities are calculated for an undisturbed subgrade. The bearing capacity of footings is also a function of the soil surcharge above the footing. Footings shall not be designed for any elevation above those noted in the bearing capacity table.

Geotechnical resistance values at the founding level (bearing capacities) are provided for Ultimate Limit State (ULS) and Serviceability Limit State (SLS). Bearing capacities are listed in the below table;

Footing Type	Max. El. (m)	Min. Soil Cover (m)	Min dim. (m)	ULS (kPa)	SLS (kPa)
Spread footing	121.5	1.8	1.5	300	175
Strip footing	121.5	1.8	1.2	250	150

#### Table 7-2: Unfactored Shearing Parameters for the Sand and Till based on SPT 'N' values

Soil Laver	¢' <sup>§</sup>	* '۶	
Juli Layer	Hatanaka and Uchida (1996)	Schmertmann (1975)	0
Sand	28°	28°	21°
Till	30°	30°	21°

§  $\phi'$ : Effective Soil Friction Angle

\*  $\delta'$ : Horizontal Soil-Footing Interface Friction Angle ( $\delta' = 0.75 \phi'$ )

#### 7.3.2.2 Frost Protection

Based on the freezing index for the Southern Ontario Region provided for this site, the frost penetration depth is expected at 1.8 m below the ground surface. Frost penetration depth is estimated based on the OPSD 3090.101, Foundation Frost Penetration Depths for Southern Ontario.

The encountered native sand is classified as low frost susceptibility material based on provincial guidelines.

All perimeter and exterior foundation elements or interior foundation elements in unheated areas should be provided with a minimum of 1.8 meters of earth cover for frost protection purposes. Frost protection depth can be reduced to 1.5 m for those buildings constantly heated during the cold season.

#### 7.4 Seismic Site Classification

Seismic site classification is completed based on NBCC (2015) and OBC (2012) Section 4.1.8.4 and Table 4.1.8.4.A. This classification system is based on the average soil properties in the upper 30 m and accounts for site-specific shear wave velocity, standard penetration resistance, and plasticity parameters of cohesive soils.

Selected spectral responses in the general vicinity of the site for 2% chance of exceedance in 50 years (2500 years return period) are as indicated in Table 7-3, shown below and in Appendix E;

Sa(0.2)	Sa(0.5)	Sa(1.0)	Sa(2.0)	PGA
0.630	0.305	0.136	0.046	0.322

#### Table 7-3: Selected Seismic Spectral Responses (2% in 50 Yrs) – NRCan 2010

Based on the subsurface condition and field and SPT values, the site can be classified as Seismic Site Class (D).

#### 7.4.1 Liquefaction Potential

Soil stratigraphy for the site consists of a thick sand deposit that extends to approximately 7.6 m below the existing ground level. The native sand layer is followed by a till layer that is approximately 1.3 m thick and followed by inferred bedrock. The groundwater is approximately at 5.7 m depth below the existing ground surface.

Liquefaction susceptibility of the native sand and till was evaluated. The native sand and till were found nonsusceptible to liquefaction. The results of the analysis are presented in Appendix E.

#### 7.5 Engineered Fill

Footings shall be installed on native soil. Any over excavation shall be leveled by engineered fill. Granular A conforming to OPSS 1010 compacted to 100% Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) shall be used to level any over excavation below the foundation level. The proposed engineered fill, beyond footings influence zone, can be any material conforming to granular criteria as outlined in OPSS 1010. Material conforming to 'Granular' criteria are considered free draining and compactable and can be utilized as the engineered fill. This can apply to the backfill beyond foundation walls and engineered fill in between the footings. The engineered fill shall be compacted to a minimum of 98% SPMDD.

All fill should be placed in horizontal lifts of uniform thickness of no more than 300 mm before compaction at appropriate moisture content determined by the Proctor test. The requirement for fill material and compaction may be addressed with a note on the structural drawing for foundation or grading drawing, and with a Non-Standard Special Provision (NSSP). Any topsoil, organics, or loose sand should be removed before placing engineered fill material.

#### 7.6 Slabs-on-Grade

Slab-on-grades are considered free-floating (not attached to the foundation walls) and should be supported on a minimum of 200 mm of Granular A bedding compacted to 100% SPMDD. The requirements of the fill underneath slab-on-grade is noted in section 7.7 Engineered Fill.

CP-19-125

If the slab on grade is proposed to support concentrated linear or point loads, the design loading shall be indicated in the structural specifications.

It is recommended that subgrade preparation and compaction efforts are approved under the supervision of a geotechnical representative.

For the design of the slab-on-grade, the modulus of subgrade reaction (k) is required. Modulus of subgrade reaction is a multi-function complex correlation that varies with the subgrade material, grade-raise fill material, and the flexural stiffness of the structural slab. However, simplified assumptions were made to estimate the spring modulus for slab-on-grade on compacted Granular A. To estimate the modulus of subgrade reaction, it was assumed that a 2 m square section of the concrete slab-on-grade under the applied loads. Since the modulus of subgrade reaction is needed for the ultimate failure design of the slab, it is assumed the failure can occur at a 25 mm deformation. Considering these assumptions, a subgrade reaction modulus of 20,000  $kN/m^2/m$  can be used for the design of the interior slab-on-grade. This k-value is only valid for the construction of slab-on-grade on compacted Granular A bedding. This value shall not be used for the native subgrade.

#### 7.7 Lateral Earth Pressure

Free draining material should be used as backfill material for foundation walls. If proper drainage is provided, "at rest" condition may be assumed for calculation of earth pressure on foundation walls. The following parameters are recommended for the granular backfill.

		Expected Value				
Pressure P	Granular A	Granular B	Other OPSS1010 'Granular'	Native Sand		
Linit Weight (y)	Above groundwater	22.5	21.7	21.7	17.0	
kN/m <sup>3</sup>	Below groundwater	12.7	11.9	11.9	7.19	
Angle of Internal Frict	35°	32°	31°	28°		
Coefficient of Active E	0.27	0.31	0.32	0.36		
Coefficient of Passive	3.69	3.23	3.12	2.77		
Coefficient of Earth Pr	essure at Rest (k <sub>o</sub> )	0.43	0.47	0.48	0.53	

Table 7 A.	I a trained	Durane	a sure and a horizon	f C			and a set of the	the state of the later.	D = -1.611
1 anie 7-4	Lateral	Pressure	narameters	TOT (1	anillar I	а апа к	and	Horizontal	касктш
	Euteral	i i coodi c	parameters	101 01	unuiui /	and D	und i	10112011tui	Duckini

#### 7.8 Sidewalks and Hard Surfacing

The width and extent of the sidewalks will be defined as per the architectural drawings. The designer shall provision adequate slope, based on applicable codes, to provide appropriate runoff discharge. Expansion, construction, and dummy joints shall be spaced as required by the applicable standards. Sidewalks can be categorized under residential/commercial use, and therefore, the concrete sidewalks should have a thickness

of 150 to 200 mm. Requirements of OPSD 310.010 'Concrete Sidewalk', OPSD 310.020 'Concrete Sidewalks Adjacent to Curb and Gutter' and OPSD 310.030 'Concrete Sidewalk Ramps at intersection' are recommended for the construction of the concrete sidewalk. A minimum of 150 mm bedding of OPSS Granular A compacted to 100% SPMDD is required for the concrete sidewalk panels.

All proposed new curbs shall be constructed as per applicable standards. It is recommended to follow City of Ottawa detail provided in SC3, Concrete Curb, and Sidewalk as a minimum requirement. All curbs shall receive a minimum of 150 mm Granular A bedding on approved subgrade free from soft, loose, and organic material.

#### 7.9 Cement Type and Corrosion Potential

Seven soil samples were submitted to Parcel laboratories for testing of chemical properties relevant to exposure of concrete elements to sulphate attacks as well as potential soil corrosivity effects on buried metallic structural elements. Test results are presented in Table 6-4.

The potential for sulphate attack on concrete structures is moderate to low. Therefore, Type GU Portland cement may be adequate to protect buried concrete elements in the subsurface conditions encountered.

Based on electrical resistivity results and chloride content, the corrosion potential for buried steel elements is within the nonaggressive range.

## 8.0 PAVEMENT STRUCTURE

No details are provided on the traffic loads but it is understood that the parking lot and surrounding paved area is to be used frequently by light to heavy weight vehicles, and transport trucks on a daily basis. Pavement structure most likely to be placed on engineered fill material overlaying native soil. If the native soil is peat or contains high organic matter, it is recommended to be replaced with compacted Granular A or Granular B Type II and compacted to 98% SPMDD. If excavation through native subgrade is required to accommodate the pavement structure, then the subgrade should be proof rolled under the supervision of a geotechnical engineer. Should grade raise be required, compacted Granular B Type II or Granular A should be placed as needed and compacted to 98% SPMDD prior to construction of pavement structure.

The proposed pavement structure for light vehicles parking area and access road is included in Table 8-1:

#### Table 8-1: "Light Duty" Pavement Structure

	Material			
Surface	Superpave 12.5 mm, PG 58-34	50		
Base	OPSS Granular A	150		
Sub-base	OPSS Granular B Type II	350		

A heavier pavement structure is needed for access roads and loading docs which are known for heavy transport truck access.

	Thickness (mm)	
Surface	Superpave 12.5 mm, PG 58-34	40
Binder	Superpave 19.0 mm, PG 58-34	50
Base	OPSS Granular A	150
Sub-base	OPSS Granular B Type II	450

#### Table 8-2: Truck Traffic Pavement Structure

The proposed pavement structures are designed for proof rolled subgrades or proper grade raise using granular material conforming to OPSS 1010 Granular criteria.

The base and sub base materials, i.e., Granular A for base and Granular Type B or SSM for subbase, shall be in accordance with OPSS 1010. Both base and sub-base should be compacted to 100% SPMDD. Asphalt layers should be compacted to comply with OPSS 310. Where the pavement structure is to be placed on engineered fill, the upper 600 mm of the fill should be compacted to 98% SPMDD to act as subbase.

Above recommended Superpave 12.5 and 19.0 can be replaced with HL-3 and HL-8 if required. If the required quantity of SP-19/HL-8 is small, and to avoid providing multiple asphalt mix designs, SP-19 can be replaced with SP-12.5 as long as they are placed in two separate layers. McIntosh Perry will not be responsible for cost implications of such decision.

## 9.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

Any organic material and loose sand of any kind should be removed from the footprint of the footings and all structurally load-bearing elements. Site preparation and requirements of engineered fill placement are noted in through previous sections. Refer to relevant sections for material and compaction requirements.

As noted in the previous sections, all grade adjustments due to over-excavation, within the shallow footings influence zone, shall be done using OPSS Granular A.

All backfilling shall comply with the City of Ottawa Special Provision General No. D-029 for compaction requirements, unless the design recommendations included in this report exceed provisions of D-029.

Foundation walls should be backfilled with free-draining material with granular material conforming to OPSS 1010 Granular criteria. However, the native soil can provide drainage if it is proposed to be used for any portion of the design with no compaction requirement.

A geotechnical engineer or technician should attend the site to confirm the native subgrade, type of fill material, and level of compaction. All bearing surfaces should be inspected by experienced geotechnical personnel prior to placing the footings to ensure the excavated subgrade it as the reported and recommended condition.

Vibration monitoring should be carried out during excavation and construction phases to ensure that the vibration levels at the existing surrounding structures and utilities are maintained below tolerable levels.

## **10.0 GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE**

The groundwater is expected to be below the depth of the foundation level. However, depending on the construction season, surface runoff can seep into the excavation due to high hydraulic permeability of the native sand and groundwater may present above the depth of excavation. Hydraulic conductivity value of the native sand is expected approximately 1x10E-3. This hydraulic conductivity values are estimated based on soil gradation analysis. In-situ percolation tests were not performed as part of this investigation. The provided hydraulic conductivity value can be used for the selection of the pump capacity for dewatering. The excavated subgrade must be kept dry at all times to minimize the disturbance of the subgrade. If excavation proceeds below the groundwater level, the water level shall be lowered to a minimum of 1 m below the proposed bottom of excavation before excavation and compaction. Groundwater elevation is expected to fluctuate seasonally. Any surface water infiltrating into the open excavation can be removed through conventional sump and pump methods. The subgrade shall be kept dry at all times, especially before compaction and proof rolling.

Under the new regulations (O.Reg 63/16 and O.Reg 387/04), a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) is required from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MOECP) if a volume of water greater than 400,000 liters per day is pumped from the excavation under normal operation, but more than 50,000 liters per day, the water taking will not require a PTTW, but will need to be registered in the EASR as a prescribed activity. Since the excavations will likely be above the groundwater level, it is considered unlikely that a PTTW would be required. The site designer shall decide on the permit application based on the excavation volume.

The design of the dewatering system should be the responsibility of the contractor. An outlet(s) should be identified, which the contractor can use to dispose of the pumped groundwater and incident precipitation. In order for pumped groundwater to be discharged to a City sewer, the groundwater quality needs to meet the City of Ottawa Sewer Use By-law limits, and a separate sewer discharge permit or City approval is required.

## **11.0 SITE SERVICES**

At the subject site, the burial depth of water-bearing utility lines is typically 2.4 m below the ground surface. If this depth is not achievable, equivalent thermal insulation should be provided. The contractor should retain a

professional engineer to provide detailed drawings for excavation and temporary support of the excavation walls during construction.

The Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) of Ontario indicated that side slopes in the sand above the water table could be classified as Type 3 soil and below the water table as Type 4 soil and sloped no steeper than 3H:1V or be shored. If space restrictions exist, the excavations can be carried out within trench boxes, which is fully braced to resist lateral earth pressure.

Due to the potential for long term settlement of topsoil and organic materials and the effects of this settlement on service lines sensitive to level change, the existing topsoil, and organic materials are not considered suitable for the support of site services. Utilities should be supported on a minimum of 150 mm bedding of Granular A compacted to a minimum of 98% of SPMDD. Utility cover can be Granular A or Granular B type II compacted to 96% SPMDD. All covers are to be compacted to 100% SPMDD if they are intersecting structural elements. The engineer designing utilities shall ensure the proposed utility pipes can tolerate compaction loads.

To extend the life of buried utilities, it is recommended utility bedding and backfill to be separated from the native soil by filter geotextile.

## **12.0 CLOSURE**

We trust this geotechnical investigation report meets the requirements of your project. The "Limitations of Report" presented in Appendix A are an integral part of this report. Please contact the undersigned should you have any questions or concerns.

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.



Mohammed Al-Khazaali, Ph.D., P.Eng. Geotechnical Engineer N'eem Tavakkoli, M.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Geotechnical Engineer

## REFERENCES

- 1) Canadian Geotechnical Society, "Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual", 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, 2006.
- 2) Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (OMNR), Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 2, "The Physiography of Southern Ontario", 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, 1984.
- 3) Google Earth, Google, 2015.
- 4) Government of Canada, National Building Code of Canada (NBCC), "Seismic Hazard Calculation" (online), 2010.
- 5) Canadian Standards Association (CSA), "Concrete Materials and Methods of Concrete Construction", A23.1, 2009
- 6) Government of Ontario, "Ontario Building Code (OBC)," (online), 2012.
- 7) MTO Pavement Design and Rehabilitation Manual
- 8) Natural Resources Canada Seismic Hazard Calculator

# GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICE BUILDNG AT 1037 CARP ROAD

APPENDIX A LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

## LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. (McIntosh Perry) carried out the field work and prepared the report. This document is an integral part of the Foundation Investigation and Design report presented.

The conclusions and recommendations provided in this report are based on the information obtained at the borehole locations where the tests were conducted. Subsurface and groundwater conditions between and beyond the boreholes may differ from those encountered at the specific locations where tests were conducted and conditions may become apparent during construction, which were not detected and could not be anticipated at the time of the site investigation. The benchmark level used and borehole elevations presented in this report are primarily to establish relative differenced in elevations between the borehole locations and should not be used for other purposes such as to establish elevations for grading, depth of excavations or for planning construction.

The recommendations presented in this report for design are applicable only to the intended structure and the project described in the scope of the work, and if constructed in accordance with the details outlined in the report. Unless otherwise noted, the information contained in this report does not reflect on any environmental aspects of either the site or the subsurface conditions.

The comments or recommendation provided in this report on potential construction problems and possible construction methods are intended only to guide the designer. The number of boreholes advanced at this site may not be sufficient or adequate to reveal all the subsurface information or factors that may affect the method and cost of construction. The contractors who are undertaking the construction shall make their own interpretation of the factual data presented in this report and make their conclusions, as to how the subsurface conditions of the site may affect their construction work.

The boundaries between soil strata presented in the report are based on information obtained at the borehole locations. The boundaries of the soil strata between borehole locations are assumed from geological evidences. If differing site conditions are encountered, or if the Client becomes aware of any additional information that differs from or is relevant to the McIntosh Perry findings, the Client agrees to immediately advise McIntosh Perry so that the conclusions presented in this report may be re-evaluated.

Under no circumstances shall the liability of McIntosh Perry for any claim in contract or in tort, related to the services provided and/or the content and recommendations in this report, exceed the extent that such liability is covered by such professional liability insurance from time to time in effect including the deductible therein, and which is available to indemnify McIntosh Perry. Such errors and omissions policies are available for inspection by the Client at all times upon request, and if the Client desires to obtain further insurance to protect it against any risks beyond the coverage provided by such policies, McIntosh Perry will co-operate with the Client to obtain such insurance.

McIntosh Perry prepared this report for the exclusive use of the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decision to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. McIntosh Perry accepts no responsibility and will not be liable for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this report.

## **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICE BUILDNG AT 1037 CARP ROAD**

APPENDIX B FIGURES







Checked By: H.Smith

ese results are for the exclusive use of the client for whom they were obtained



Checked By: H.Smith

These results are for the exclusive use of the client for whom they were obtained

## **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICE BUILDNG AT 1037 CARP ROAD**

APPENDIX C BOREHOLE LOGS

#### EXPLANATION OF TERMS USED IN REPORT

N-VALUE: THE STANDARD PENETRATION TEST (SPT) N-VALUE IS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS REQUIRED TO CAUSE A STANDARD 51mm O.D SPLIT BARREL SAMPLER TO PENETRATE 0.3m INTO UNDISTURBED GROUND IN A BOREHOLE WHEN DRIVEN BY A HAMMER WITH A MASS OF 63.5 kg, FALLING FREELY A DISTANCE OF 0.76m. FOR PENETRATIONS OF LESS THAN 0.3m N-VALUES ARE INDICATED AS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS FOR THE PENETRATION ACHIEVED. AVERAGE N-VALUE IS DENOTED THUS N.

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST: CONTINUOUS PENETRATION OF A CONICAL STEEL POINT (51mm O.D. 60° CONE ANGLE) DRIVEN BY 475J IMPACT ENERGY ON 'A' SIZE DRILL RODS. THE RESISTANCE TO CONE PENETRATION IS MEASURED AS THE NUMBER OF BLOWS FOR EACH 0.3m ADVANCE OF THE CONICAL POINT INTO THE UNDISTURBED GROUND.

SOILS ARE DESCRIBED BY THEIR COMPOSITION AND CONSISTENCY OR DENSENESS.

CONSISTENCY: COHESIVE SOILS ARE DESCRIBED ON THE BASIS OF THEIR UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (c,) AS FOLLOWS:

C <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	0 – 12	12 – 25	25 – 50	50 – 100	100 – 200	>200
	VERY SOFT	SOFT	FIRM	STIFF	VERY STIFF	HARD

DENSENESS: COHESIONLESS SOILS ARE DESCRIBED ON THE BASIS OF DENSENESS AS INDICATED BY SPT N VALUES AS FOLLOWS:

N (BLOWS/0.3m)	0 – 5	5 – 10	10 – 30	30 – 50	>50
	VERY LOOSE	LOOSE	COMPACT	DENSE	VERY DENSE

ROCKS ARE DESCRIBED BY THEIR COMPOSION AND STRUCUTRAL FEATURES AND/OR STRENGTH.

RECOVERY: SUM OF ALL RECOVERED ROCK CORE PIECES FROM A CORING RUN EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT OF THE TOTAL LENGTH OF THE CORING RUN.

MODIFIED RECOVERY: SUM OF THOSE INTACT CORE PIECES, 100mm+ IN LENGTH EXPRESSED AS A PERCENT OF THE LENGTH OF THE CORING RUN. THE ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD), FOR MODIFIED RECOVERY IS:

RQD (%)	0 – 25	25 – 50	50 – 75	75 – 90	90 - 100
	VERY POOR	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT

JOINT AND BEDDING:

SPACING	50mm	50 – 300mm	0.3m – 1m	1m – 3m	>3m
JOINTING	VERY CLOSE	CLOSE	MOD. CLOSE	WIDE	VERY WIDE
BEDDING	VERY THIN	THIN	MEDIUM	THICK	VERY THICK

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

#### FIELD SAMPLING

#### MECHANICALL PROPERTIES OF SOIL

SPLIT SPOON	TP	THINWALL PISTON	m <sub>v</sub>	kPa	COEFFICIENT OF VOLUME CHANGE
WASH SAMPLE	OS	OSTERBERG SAMPLE	Cc	1	COMPRESSION INDEX
SLOTTED TUBE SAM	IPLE RC	ROCK CORE	Cs	1	SWELLING INDEX
BLOCK SAMPLE	PH	TW ADVANCED HYDRAULIC	ALLY c <sub>a</sub>	1	RATE OF SECONDARY CONSOLIDATION
CHUNK SAMPLE	PM	TW ADVANCED MANUALLY	Cv	m²/s	COEFFICIENT OF CONSOLIDATION
THINWALL OPEN	FS	FOIL SAMPLE	Н	m	DRAINAGE PATH
			Τ <sub>v</sub>	1	TIME FACTOR
	STRESS AN	D STRAIN	U	%	DEGREE OF CONSOLIDATION
kPa	PORE WATER PR	RESSURE	σ'vo	kPa	EFFECTIVE OVERBURDEN PRESSURE
1	PORE PRESSUR	E RATIO	σ'n	kPa	PRECONSOLIDATION PRESSURE
kPa	TOTAL NORMAL	STRESS	τ <sub>f</sub>	kPa	SHEAR STRENGTH
kPa	EFFECTIVE NOR	MAL STRESS	c'	kPa	EFFECTIVE COHESION INTERCEPT
kPa	SHEAR STRESS		Φ,	_0	EFFECTIVE ANGLE OF INTERNAL FRICTION
σ <sub>3</sub> kPa	PRINCIPAL STRE	ESSES	Cu	kPa	APPARENT COHESION INTERCEPT
%	LINEAR STRAIN		Φu	_0	APPARENT ANGLE OF INTERNAL FRICTION
s <sub>3</sub> %	PRINCIPAL STRA	AINS	τ <sub>R</sub>	kPa	RESIDUAL SHEAR STRENGTH
kPa	MODULUS OF LI	NEAR DEFORMATION	τ <sub>r</sub>	kPa	REMOULDED SHEAR STRENGTH
kPa	MODULUS OF SH	HEAR DEFORMATION	St	1	SENSITIVITY = $c_u / \tau_r$
1	COEFFICIENT O	F FRICTION			-
	SPLIT SPOON WASH SAMPLE SLOTTED TUBE SAN BLOCK SAMPLE CHUNK SAMPLE THINWALL OPEN kPa kPa kPa kPa % % kPa kPa 1	SPLIT SPOON TP WASH SAMPLE OS SLOTTED TUBE SAMPLE RC BLOCK SAMPLE PH CHUNK SAMPLE PH CHUNK SAMPLE PM THINWALL OPEN FS <u>STRESS AN</u> kPa PORE WATER PH 1 PORE PRESSUR kPa TOTAL NORMAL kPa EFFECTIVE NOR kPa SHEAR STRESS % LINEAR STRAIN % PRINCIPAL STR4 kPa MODULUS OF SH 1 COEFFICIENT OI	SPLIT SPOON     TP     THINWALL PISTON       WASH SAMPLE     OS     OSTERBERG SAMPLE       SLOTTED TUBE SAMPLE     RC     ROCK CORE       BLOCK SAMPLE     PH     TW ADVANCED HYDRAULIC       CHUNK SAMPLE     PM     TW ADVANCED MANUALLY       THINWALL OPEN     FS     FOIL SAMPLE       kPa     PORE WATER PRESSURE     1       1     PORE PRESSURE RATIO     kPa       kPa     EFFECTIVE NORMAL STRESS       kPa     SHEAR STRESS       %     LINEAR STRAINS       %     PRINCIPAL STRAINS       %	SPLIT SPOON     TP     THINWALL PISTON     mv       WASH SAMPLE     OS     OSTERBERG SAMPLE     cc       SLOTTED TUBE SAMPLE     RC     ROCK CORE     cg       BLOCK SAMPLE     PH     TW ADVANCED HYDRAULICALLY     ca       CHUNK SAMPLE     PH     TW ADVANCED MANUALLY     cq       CHUNK SAMPLE     PM     TW ADVANCED MANUALLY     cq       THINWALL OPEN     FS     FOIL SAMPLE     H       T     STRESS AND STRAIN     U       KPa     PORE WATER PRESSURE     σ'vo       1     PORE PRESSURE RATIO     σ'p       KPa     TOTAL NORMAL STRESS     tr       KPa     EFFECTIVE NORMAL STRESS     c'       va     LINEAR STRESS     Φ'       %     LINEAR STRESS     Φ'       %     PRINCIPAL STRAINS     tr       %     PRINCIPAL STRAINS     tr	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{SPLIT SPOON} & \text{TP} & \text{THINWALL PISTON} & \text{m}_v & \text{kPa} & \text{WASH SAMPLE} & \text{OS} & \text{OSTERBERG SAMPLE} & \text{c}_c & 1 \\ \text{SLOTTED TUBE SAMPLE} & \text{RC} & \text{ROCK CORE} & \text{c}_s & 1 \\ \text{BLOCK SAMPLE} & \text{PH} & \text{TW} & \text{ADVANCED HYDRAULICALLY} & \text{c}_a & 1 \\ \text{CHUNK SAMPLE} & \text{PH} & \text{TW} & \text{ADVANCED MANUALLY} & \text{c}_v & \text{m}^2/\text{s} \\ \text{THINWALL OPEN} & \text{FS} & \text{FOIL SAMPLE} & \text{H} & \text{m} \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ & & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline$

#### PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF SOIL

Ps	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	DENSITY OF SOLID PARTICLES	е	1,%	VOID RATIO	e <sub>min</sub>	1,%	VOID RATIO IN DENSEST STATE
$\Upsilon_{s}$	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	UNIT WEIGHT OF SOLID PARTICLES	n	1,%	POROSITY	ID	1	DENSITY INDEX = $\frac{e_{max} - e}{e_{max} - e_{min}}$
Pw	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	DENSITY OF WATER	w	1,%	WATER CONTENT	D	mm	GRAIN DIAMETER
$Y_{w}$	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	UNIT WEIGHT OF WATER	Sr	%	DEGREE OF SATURATION	Dn	mm	N PERCENT – DIAMETER
P	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	DENSITY OF SOIL	WL	%	LIQUID LIMIT	Cu	1	UNIFORMITY COEFFICIENT
r	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	UNIT WEIGHT OF SOIL	WP	%	PLASTIC LIMIT	h	m	HYDRAULIC HEAD OR POTENTIAL
$P_{\rm d}$	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	DENSITY OF DRY SOIL	Ws	%	SHRINKAGE LIMIT	q	m³/s	RATE OF DISCHARGE
$\dot{Y}_{d}$	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	UNIT WEIGHT OF DRY SOIL	I <sub>P</sub>	%	PLASTICITY INDEX = $(W_{L} - W_{L})$	v	m/s	DISCHARGE VELOCITY
Psat	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	DENSITY OF SATURATED SOIL	l,	1	LIQUIDITY INDEX = $(W - W_P)/I_P$	i	1	HYDAULIC GRADIENT
$\gamma_{sat}$	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	UNIT WEIGHT OF SATURATED SOIL	I <sub>c</sub>	1	CONSISTENCY INDEX = $(W_L - W) / 1_P$	k	m/s	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY
Ρ'	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	DENSITY OF SUBMERED SOIL	e <sub>max</sub>	1,%	VOID RATIO IN LOOSEST STATE	i	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	SEEPAGE FORCE
r	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	UNIT WEIGHT OF SUBMERGED SOIL	,			-		

	Μ	c	NT O	SH PERRY	BC	RE	10	)LE	ΞN	lo 20	)-1										Pa	ge 1 of 2
	DAT PRO CLIE	E: JECT NT:	<u>14/</u> : <u>19-</u> <u>Jim</u>	10/2020 - 14/10/2020 0125_1037_CARP 1 Bell Architectural Design Inc.	LOCATION COORDIN DATUM:	1: <u>1(</u> ATES: <u>La</u> G	037 C at: 45 eode	Carp F 5.2718 tic	Road, 1 321 , L	Ottawa .on: -75.94	44760				ORIG COM CHEC	INAT PILE CKEC	ED E D BY	BY: / ': /	A.L. AL NT			
	ELE	/ΑΤΙΟ	<b>DN:</b> <u>12</u>	3.60 m	REMARK:	_									REPO	ORT I	DATE	: 1	3/11	/2020	1	
	DEPTH - feet	DEPTH - meters	ELEVATION - m DEPTH - m	SOIL PROFILE	SYMBOL	TYPE AND NUMBER	STATE	RECOVERY	"N" or RQD	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	DYNAI RESIS 20  SHEA Van \$Ir \$R 20	MIC C TANC 0 AR ST ne test ntact Remole 0 40		PEN. OT 60 GTH 0 Lab v □ Inta Re 80	80 kPa) ane tot molded 100	C L W		TER TEN nd ГS (° W 0 7	l IT %) ₩ <sub>L</sub> ⊣ ′5	F G Dis	RAIN TRII (9	ARKS I SIZE BUTION
┢	_		<u>123.6</u> 0.0	Natural ground surface Topsoil: Peat, dark brown, loose.	272	33							μĤ	щĻ	чļіч	+	μιι	ļim	ļim	G	5	MC
-		-	123.0	Presence of organic matter.		SS-01		0	4													
-	-	1	0.6	brown, dry, compact.	ngrit •••• •••	SS-02		54	9													
-	5	- 2				SS-03		58	21													
-		-				SS-04		54	16													
-	10	- 3 - - -				SS-05		87	7											4	82	15
-	15	- 4 - - - 5				<b>S</b> S-06		83	24													
-		- - - - 6								<b>5</b> .8 m												
'5_NEW.Sty	20	-				SS-07		79	12													
e\Log_Borehole_v	25	- 7 - -	<u>116.0</u> 7.6	Silty sand, some clay and gravel,	grey,			7														
otec80\Styl		- 8		wet, compact.		SS-08		71	34		$\left  - \right $											
ES 7\Sobek\Ge		- -				SS-09		87	9											13	51	26 11
\\LICENS	30	9 - -	114.2			SS-10		>	REF													

Μ	c۱۱	V T O	SH PERRY	E	BO	REF	10	LE	EN	lo 20	)-1							Page 2 of 2
DAT PRO CLIE ELE	E: JECT INT: VATIC	1 <u>4/</u> : <u>19-</u> <u>Jim</u> <b>DN:</b> 12:	10/2020 - 14/10/2020 0125 1037 CARP Bell Architectural Design Inc. 3.60 m	LOCAT COOR DATUI REMA	FION: DINA <sup>:</sup> M: RK:	<u>10</u> TES: <u>La</u> <u>Ge</u>	0 <u>37 C</u> 1t: 45 eode	Carp F .2718 tic	<u>Road,</u> 121 , L	Ottawa on: -75.94	4760			ORIG COM CHEC REPO	INATE PILED CKED I	D BY BY: BY: ATE:	: <u>A.L.</u> <u>AL</u> <u>NT</u> 13/11	/2020
DEPTH - feet	DEPTH - meters	ELEVATION - m DEPTH - m	SOIL PROFILE		SYMBOL	TYPE AND NUMBER	STATE	RECOVERY	"N" or RQD	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	DYNAM RESIS 20 	MIC CON TANCE P D 40 H STRE e test tact emolded 40 6	E PEN. 60 50 GTH Lab R 60 80	80 (kPa) vane tact emolded 100	V CC LII W <sub>P</sub> 25	VATE DNTE and MITS W 	:R :NT (%) W <sub>L</sub> 	REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
- - - 35 -	- - - - - - - - - 11		Inferred Bedrock END OF BOREHOLE Water was mesured in open be	orehole														Spoon Refusal at 9.4 m
- - <b>40</b> -	- - 12 - - - - 13																	
- - <b>45</b> - -	- - - - - - -																	
- - <b>50</b> - -	- 15 - - - 16 -																	
- 55  - -	- - 17 - - -																	
- 60	- 18 - - -																	

٢	10	:11	110	SH PERRY	во	RE	10	LE	ΞN	o 20	)-2								Page 1 of 1
DA PR CL	IEN	: ECT IT:	<u>14/</u> : <u>19-</u> Jim	10/2020 - 14/10/2020 0125_1037_CARP 1 Bell Architectural Design Inc.	LOCATION: COORDINA DATUM:	<u>1(</u> TES: <u>La</u> <u>G</u>	037 C at: 45 eode	Carp F .2719 tic	<u>Road, (</u> 908 , L	Ottawa on: -75.94	4623			ORI COI CHI	GINA MPILI ECKE	TED ED B\ D BY	BY: <u>A.</u> /: <u>Al</u> : <u>N</u>	. <u>L.</u> L	
EL	EV	ATIC	<b>DN:</b> <u>124</u>	4.10 m	REMARK:	_								REF	PORT	DATI	E: <u>13</u>	3/11/	/2020
DEPTH - feet		EPTH - meters	EVATION - m DEPTH - m	SOIL PROFILE	SYMBOL	TYPE AND NUMBER S	STATE	ECOVERY S	'N" or RQD	ROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	DYNAM RESIST 20 SHEAF Vane $\Diamond$ Int	ANCE P 40 R STRE test act molded	E PEN LOT 60 NGTH Lab	80 H (kPa) vane ntact	•	WA CON a LIMI <sup>T</sup> W <sub>P</sub>	ITER ITENI nd TS (%	Г 6) W <sub>L</sub>	REMARKS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
				Natural ground surface				<u>م</u>		ច	20	40 6	8 0	0 100	-	25 5	50 75	5	<b>д S M C</b>
-	-		124.1 0.0 123.5 0.6	Topsoil: Peat, dark brown, dry, loo Presence of organic matter.	ose.	SS-01		29	9								+++++++		
-		1		compact.		SS-02		79	22										
-	5	2				SS-03		79	20										
-	-	3				SS-04		79	22										
-	-	J				SS-05		75	9										
-	-	4																	
-	-	5				SS-06		71	17										
	0	6				•				<b>5</b> .8 m									
						SS-07		79	18										
	-	7	116.5			, , , , ,													
-	- - -	8	7.6	Silty sand, grey, wet, very loose to loose.		SS-08		100	2										
-		9	<u>115.2</u> 8.9	Inferred Bedrock		SS-09		44	REF										Split spoon sampler refusal at 8.8 m
- 3	0_	-		END OF BOREHOLE Water was measured in open															Auger refusal at 8.9 m

Μ	c	IN	1 T O	SH PERRY	B	01	REF	10	LE	ΞN	o 20	)-3										Pa	ge 1	of 1
DA PR CL EL	te: Oje Ien <sup>-</sup> Eva	ECT: T: \TIO	1 <u>4/</u> : <u>19-</u> Jim N: <u>123</u>	10/2020 - 14/10/2020 0125_1037_CARP Bell Architectural Design Inc. 3.40 m	LOCATIO COORDI DATUM: REMARK	3N: NAT	<u>10</u> TES: <u>La</u> <u>Ge</u>	037 C at: 45 eode	arp F .2720	Road, (	Ottawa on: -75.94	14466		_		ORIGI OMP HEC REPO	NAT PILEC KED RT D	ED E D BY BY: DATE	BY: / : N N	A.L. M.A. NT 13/11,	/2020	 		
				SOIL PROFILE			S	AMF	LES	;	~	DYN	AMIC	CON	I. •	·		w۸.	TEE	2				
DEPTH - feet		DEPTH - meters	DEPTH - m			SYMBOL	TYPE AND NUMBER	STATE	RECOVERY	"N" or RQD	GROUNDWATEF CONDITIONS	RESI SHE Va ¢ 4	ARSAN Ane te Intac Rem	NCE P           40           5TRE           sst           t           olded           40	80 H (kF b vane Intact Remo	•< ) Pa) e Ided 00	C Ll W  - 2		TEN nd TS (* N O 70 7	IT %) ₩ <sub>L</sub> ⊣ 75	F G DIS G	RAIN RAIN TRII (۶	ARK N SIZ BUTI (6) M	S E ON C
	-		0.0 123.2/ 0.2	Topsoil: Gravel, peat, Presence o cobbles and organic matter. Topsoil: Peat and organic matter, brown, dry to moist.	f ////////////////////////////////////		SS-01		0	5														
-		1	<u>122.5</u> 0.9	Sand, traces of silt and gravel, ligh brown, dry, compact.	ht •		SS-02		29	7														
	-	2				ð	SS-03	X	87	28											-			
-		3				9 9	SS-04		96	22														
-	-					6 9	SS-05		100	26														
- 1!	- 5 -	4	<u>119.0</u> 4.4	Gravelly sand, traces of silt, light l damp to moist, compact. Presenc cobbles.	brown, e of	\$ \$	SS-06		92	58											22	68	1	0
-	-	5	<u>117.9</u> 5.5	Sand, traces of silt and gravel, bro wet, compact.	own,	° • • °			4		📢 5.7 m										Αυς	jer rut	tling	
1= W.sty	<b>D</b>	6				<ul> <li></li> <li><!--</td--><td>SS-07</td><td></td><td>92</td><td>23</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></li></ul>	SS-07		92	23														
StyleLog_Borehole_v5	5-	7	<u>115.8</u> 7.6	Silty sand, some gravel, traces of grey, wet, loose.	clay,	0 0 0	SS-08		29	6														
Sobek/Geotec80	-	8					SS-09	$\mid$	95	REF											17	52	23	8
ILICENSES7IS	- D_ -	9	<u>114.5</u> 8.9	Inferred Bedrock END OF BOREHOLE Water was measured in open	0 P 9 P 9 P																			

Ν	10	:11	NT O	SHPERRY	ВО	RE	10	LE	EN	o 20	)-4			Page 1 of 1
D/ Pf Cl El	ATE Roj Lien Lev	: ECT NT: ATIC	1 <u>5/</u> : <u>19-</u> <u>Jim</u> <b>DN:</b> 1 <u>2</u> 3	10/2020 - 15/10/2020 0125_1037_CARP 1 Bell Architectural Design Inc. 3.50 m	LOCATION COORDINA DATUM: REMARK:	: <u>1(</u> ATES: <u>La</u> <u>G</u>	037 C at: 45 eode	Carp F .2718 tic	Road, (	Ottawa on: -75.94	44295	ORIGI COMF CHEC REPO	NATED BY: <u>A.L.</u> PILED BY: <u>M.A.</u> KED BY: <u>N.T.</u> RT DATE: <u>13/</u> 11	/2020
t		ers	ε	SOIL PROFILE		S	АМ F 	PLES	;	TER 4S	DYNAMIC CON RESISTANCE I 20 40	PLOT	WATER CONTENT	REMARKS
DEPTH - fe		DEPTH - met	ELEVATION - DEPTH - m	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	TYPE AND NUMBER	STATE	RECOVERY	"N" or RQD	GROUNDWA CONDITION	SHEAR STRE Vane test Intact Remolded 20 40	ENGTH (kPa) Lab vane Intact Remolded 60 80 100	and LIMITS (%) ₩ <sub>P</sub> ₩ ₩ <sub>L</sub> ├──── 25 50 75	& GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
-	-		123.5 0.0 123.0 0.5	Topsoil: Peat and organic matter brown, dry, loose. Sand, traces of silt and gravel, lig	, dark	SS-01		29	7					
-	-	• 1		brown, dry to moist, compact.	100 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	SS-02		100	24					-
-	5	- 2				SS-03		100	25					
-		- 3				SS-04		75	30					
-	-					SS-05		100	24					
- -	-	- 4						7						
-	-	- 5				SS-06	X	79	18	ε				
- 2	20	- 6						7		<b>5</b> .8				_
<u>v5_NEW.sty</u>	-	- 7				SS-07		<u>\</u>	14					
sc80\Style\Log_Borehol	- 25 - -	- 8	<u>115.9</u> 7.6	Silty sand, grey, wet, very loose.		SS-08		25	1					_
S 7/Sobek/Geote			<u>114.9</u> 8.6	Inferred Bedrock END OF BOREHOLE		SS-09		83	REF					
ILICENSE	80	- 9		Water was measured in open										

Ν	1c	:1N	110	SH <b>P</b> ERRY	BO	REI	10	DLE	E N	o 20	)-5							Pag	e 1 of 1
D/ PF		: ECT: IT·	<u>15/</u> : <u>19-</u>	10/2020 - 15/10/2020 0125_1037_CARP Bell Architectural Decign Inc.		l: <u>1</u> Ates: <u>L</u>	037 C at: 45	Carp F 5.2716	<u>Road, 1</u> 35 , L	<u>Ottawa</u> on: -75.94	14536	_				BY: <u>A.L.</u> Y: <u>M.A</u>			
EL	.EV/	ATIO	<b>N:</b> 123	.30 m	REMARK:	<u>e</u>	leoue	illC				-	F	REPOF		E: 13/1	1/202	0	
et		ters	E	SOIL PROFILE		5	SAM F	PLES		TER VS	DYNAMIC RESISTAN 20	CONE I ICE PLO 40	PEN. • DT 60 80	2	W/ CON			REMA	RKS
DEPTH - fe		:PTH - met	EVATION - EPTH - m	DESCRIPTION	SYMBOL	TYPE AND NUMBER	STATE	ECOVERY	N" or RQD		SHEAR S Vane te	STREN st	GTH (kF Lab vane	Pa)	EIMI W <sub>P</sub>	nd TS (%) W W <sub>L</sub>	D	& GRAIN STRIB (%	SIZE UTION
1		۳ ا		Natural ground surface				<b>—</b>	:	В С	◆ Remo	olded 10 60	■ Remo	00	25	-O	G	s	мс
			0.0	Topsoil: Peat, wood chips, organi	c 200											+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	<b>~</b>		
-	-	-	<u>122.7</u> 0.6	Sand, traces of silt and gravel, lig	ht	SS-01		0	2										
-		1		brown to brown, dry, Loose to cor	npact.	SS-02		54	8										
-	5_	2				• SS-03		75	15										
-	-					SS-04		71	15								1	96	4
- 1	0 - -	3				SS-05		33	27										
-		4															_		
- 1	5_	5				SS-06		75	15								_		
-	-									m L.									
- <b>2</b>	:0 _ _	6	<u>117.2</u> 6.1	Sand, some silt, grey, wet, compa dense.	act to	SS-07		92	16	9									
	L	7					$\square$	7											
	-					SS-08		62	32								0	89	11
		8	115.1			SS-09		71	54										
			0.2	Water was measured in open borehole															
- 3	0_	9																	

Ν	10	:1N	1 T O	SH <b>P</b> ERRY	BC	)	REF	10	LE	E N	lo 20	)-6										Pag	e 1 of 1
D	ΛTE		<u>15/</u>	10/2020 - 15/10/2020	LOCATIO	N:	<u>10</u>	37 C	arp F	load,	Ottawa				c	ORIGI	NAT	ED B	8 <b>Y</b> : <u>A</u>	\.L.			
PF	lOJ	ECT	: <u>19</u> -	0125_1037_CARP	COORDIN	IAT	ES: La	ıt: 45	.2718	66 , L	on: -75.94	14450			C	COMF	PILED	BY	: <u>N</u>	1.A.			
С	IEN	IT:	Jim	Bell Architectural Design Inc.	DATUM:		Ge	eode	tic						C	CHEC	KED	BY:	N	IT			
EL	EV.	ATIO	N: <u>123</u>	.60 m	REMARK	:									F	REPO	RT D	ATE	: 1	3/11/	2020		
- feet		meters	м - м - ш -	SOIL PROFILE		٠ ١	VND EB B	AMF ш	LES	ßD	WATER	DYN/ RESI	AMIC CO STANCI 20 4	ONE PI E PLO 0 6	EN. T 50 8	<b>.</b> 0	С	WA1 ON1 an	TER TEN Ind IS (9	Т	F	EMA &	RKS
DEPTH		DEPTH -	DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	Jamas		TYPE / NUMB	STAT	RECOVE	"N" or F	GROUND	SHE Va ♦	AR ST Intact Remold	RENG	TH (kl Lab van Intact Remo	Pa) e olded	w,	р V ——(	v >	w <sub>∟</sub> ⊣	DIS	TRIB	
			<b>H</b> 123.6	Natural ground surface	-1						-	2	0 40	60	80 1	00	2	5 5	07	5	G	S	МС
-	-	-	0.0 <u>123.3</u> 0.3	cobbles and organic matter. Topsoil: Peat,organic matter.			SS-01	X	12	6													
-	-	1	0.8	Sand, traces of silt and gravel, lig brown, dry, loose to compact.	ht	0 9 9	SS-02		42	4													
-	5	2			8 - 1 - 1 	<b>,</b>	SS-03		71	19											1	93	7
ŀ	-	-	121.5 2.1	ENF OF BOREHOLE																			
-	-																						
- 1  -	0	3																					
-	-																						
-	-	4																					
- 1  -	5_																						
-	-	5																					
-	-																						
- 2  -	0	6																					
	-	7																					
	-																						
°− 2	:5 - -	8																					
-	-																						
-	-	9																					
- 3	0_ _																						

## GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICE BUILDNG AT 1037 CARP ROAD

APPENDIX D LAB RESULTS

Only selected pages from the third-party lab are included in this appendix



RELIABLE.

300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

## Certificate of Analysis

#### McIntosh Perry Consulting Eng. (Nepean)

215 Menten Place, Unit 104 Nepean, ON K2H 9C1 Attn: Harrison Smith

Client PO: Project: CP19-0125 Custody: 128663

Report Date: 2-Nov-2020 Order Date: 28-Oct-2020

Order #: 2044382

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID **Client ID** 2044382-01 BH20-01 SS03 - Carp Rd. 2044382-02 BH20-03 SS03 - Carp Rd.

Approved By:

Mark Foto

Mark Foto, M.Sc. Lab Supervisor

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



Certificate of Analysis Client: McIntosh Perry Consulting Eng. (Nepean) Client PO: Report Date: 02-Nov-2020

Order #: 2044382

Order Date: 28-Oct-2020

Project Description: CP19-0125

#### **Analysis Summary Table**

Analysis	Method Reference/Description	Extraction Date	Analysis Date
Anions	EPA 300.1 - IC, water extraction	30-Oct-20	30-Oct-20
pH, soil	EPA 150.1 - pH probe @ 25 °C, CaCl buffered ext.	28-Oct-20	29-Oct-20
Resistivity	EPA 120.1 - probe, water extraction	30-Oct-20	30-Oct-20
Solids, %	Gravimetric, calculation	29-Oct-20	29-Oct-20

OTTAWA • MISSISSAUGA • HAMILTON • CALGARY • KINGSTON • LONDON • NIAGARA • WINDSOR • RICHMOND HILL



#### Certificate of Analysis

Client: McIntosh Perry Consulting Eng. (Nepean)

Client PO:

Order #: 2044382

Report Date: 02-Nov-2020

Order Date: 28-Oct-2020

Project Description: CP19-0125

	Client ID:	BH20-01 SS03 - Carp	BH20-03 SS03 -	-	-
		Rd.	Carp Rd.		
	Sample Date:	15-Oct-20 09:00	15-Oct-20 09:00	-	-
	Sample ID:	2044382-01	2044382-02	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	Soil	-	-
Physical Characteristics					
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	96.6	94.3	-	-
General Inorganics					
рН	0.05 pH Units	8.06	7.92	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	126	92.0	-	-
Anions					
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	9	7	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	<5	-	-



Certificate of Analysis Client: McIntosh Perry Consulting Eng. (Nepean) Client PO:

#### **Qualifier Notes:**

None

Sample Data Revisions

None

#### Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

#### Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected MDL: Method Detection Limit Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples %REC: Percent recovery. RPD: Relative percent difference. NC: Not Calculated

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis when the units are denoted with 'dry'. Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

Order #: 2044382

Report Date: 02-Nov-2020 Order Date: 28-Oct-2020 Project Description: CP19-0125

## **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICE BUILDNG AT 1037 CARP ROAD**

APPENDIX E SEISMIC HAZARD CALCULATION

## 2010 National Building Code Seismic Hazard Calculation

INFORMATION: Eastern Canada English (613) 995-5548 français (613) 995-0600 Facsimile (613) 992-8836 Western Canada English (250) 363-6500 Facsimile (250) 363-6565

Site: 45.272N 75.945W

User File Reference: 1037 Carp Road

2020-11-12 15:13 UT

Requested by: McIntosh Perry

Probability of exceedance per annum	0.000404	0.001	0.0021	0.01
Probability of exceedance in 50 years	2 %	5 %	10 %	40 %
Sa (0.2)	0.600	0.369	0.234	0.083
Sa (0.5)	0.293	0.178	0.117	0.041
Sa (1.0)	0.132	0.084	0.053	0.017
Sa (2.0)	0.044	0.027	0.017	0.006
PGA (g)	0.308	0.191	0.115	0.034

**Notes:** Spectral (Sa(T), where T is the period in seconds) and peak ground acceleration (PGA) values are given in units of g (9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>). Peak ground velocity is given in m/s. Values are for "firm ground" (NBCC2015 Site Class C, average shear wave velocity 450 m/s). NBCC2015 and CSAS6-14 values are highlighted in yellow. Three additional periods are provided - their use is discussed in the NBCC2015 Commentary. Only 2 significant figures are to be used. **These values have been interpolated from a 10-km-spaced grid of points. Depending on the gradient of the nearby points, values at this location calculated directly from the hazard program may vary. More than 95 percent of interpolated values are within 2 percent of the directly calculated values.** 

## References

National Building Code of Canada 2015 NRCC no. 56190; Appendix C: Table C-3, Seismic Design Data for Selected Locations in Canada

Structural Commentaries (User's Guide - NBC 2015: Part 4 of Division B) Commentary J: Design for Seismic Effects

**Geological Survey of Canada Open File 7893** Fifth Generation Seismic Hazard Model for Canada: Grid values of mean hazard to be used with the 2015 National Building Code of Canada

See the websites www.EarthquakesCanada.ca and www.nationalcodes.ca for more information





#### Liquefaction Evaluation for the Proposed Development on

#### 1037 Carp Road

#### Project #: CP-19-0125

Soil stratigraphy for the site consists of a thick sand deposit that extends to approximately 7.6 m below the existing ground level. The native sand layer is followed by a till layer that is approximately 1.3 m thick and followed by inferred bedrock. The groundwater is approximately at 5.7 m depth below the existing ground surface. Herein liquefaction susceptibility of the native sand layer and the till layer is evaluated.

For coarse-grained soils with fines content up to 35%, the corrected SPT resistance can be used to determine the susceptibility of the coarse-grained soil to liquefaction according to Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual CFEM (2006). Seven representative samples from the native sand and till layers underwent grain size analysis. The percentage of gravel, sand, silt and clay are presented in Table 1.

Borehole No.	Sample No.	(N1)60	Depth (m)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	<b>r</b> d	CSR
BH20-01	O SS-05	9	3.0 – 3.6	4	82	15		0.97	0.020
BH20-01	▲ SS-09	11	8.3 – 8.9	13	51	26	11	0.93	0.024
BH20-03	♦ SS-06	64	4.5 – 5.1	22	68	10		0.96	0.020
BH20-03	SS-09	8	7.6 – 8.2	17	52	23	8	0.94	0.023
BH20-05	▼ SS-04	23	2.3 – 2.9	1	96	4		0.98	0.020
BH20-05	SS-08	40	8.3 - 8.9	0	89	11		0.93	0.024
BH20-05	🔹 SS-03	34	1.5 – 2.1	1	93	7		0.99	0.020

#### Table 1: Grain Size Distribution of native Sand/Silty Sand

To evaluate the liquefaction susceptibility of the native sand and till layers using SPT test results, Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR) has to be estimated based on site seismicity characteristics that were obtained from seismic calculator available on Natural Resources Canada website. CSR can be calculated using the following formula:

$$CSR = 0.65 \times \frac{a_{max} \cdot \sigma_v}{g \cdot \sigma'_{v0}} \times r_d$$

where  $a_{max}$  is the peak ground surface acceleration for the designed earthquake, g is gravity acceleration (9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>),  $\sigma_v$  is total vertical overburden pressure,  $\sigma'_{v0}$  is the initial effective overburden pressure and  $r_d$  is stress reduction factor at the depth of interest.  $r_d$  and *CSR* values are presented in Table 1.

Based on the calculated CSR and corrected SPT values, Figure 1 from CFEM can be used to evaluate the native sand and till layers susceptibility to liquefaction. The CSR results and the corrected SPT 'N' values were plotted on the figure and the native sand and till layers were found to be non-susceptible to liquefaction.



Figure 1: CRS vs Corrected SPT N value,  $(N_1)_{60}$  (modified from CFEM 2006)

## **GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION OF OFFICE BUILDNG AT 1037 CARP ROAD**

APPENDIX F RELEVANT STANDARDS







