

# TREE CONSERVATION REPORT



**630 Montreal Road, Ottawa, Ontario.**

MP Project No.: CCO-21-4432

Prepared for:

MB Group and Associates  
657 Boulevard Cure-Labelle,  
Laval, QC H5V 2T8

Prepared by:

## McINTOSH PERRY

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.  
2010 Winston Park Drive, Suite 400  
Oakville, Ontario L6H 5R7

**TREE CONSERVATION REPORT**  
**630 Montreal Road, Ottawa, Ontario**

**Prepared for:**

MB Group and Associates  
657 Boulevard Cure-Labelle,  
Laval, QC H5V 2T8

**Prepared by:**

**McINTOSH PERRY**

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd.  
2010 Winston Park Drive, Suite 400  
Oakville, Ontario L6H 5R7

**August 11, 2021**

Prepared by:



---

Ken Burrell  
Senior Biologist

Reviewed by:



---

Kenneth Jobity  
Manager Natural Sciences

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION .....	1
2.0	CURRENT VEGETATION.....	2
3.0	TREE RESOURCE DESCRIPTION .....	2
4.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND CONSERVED VEGETATION.....	5
5.0	TREE PROTECTION MEASURES .....	7
5.1	<i>Temporary Tree Protection Fencing</i> .....	7
5.2	<i>Tree Pruning</i> .....	7
5.3	<i>Tree Monitoring</i> .....	7
5.4	<i>Wildlife Protection</i> .....	7
6.0	RECOMMENDATIONS .....	8
7.0	LIMITING TERMS AND CONDITIONS.....	8

## Figures

Figure 1. Current Vegetation .....	4
Figure 2. Proposed Development and Conserved Vegetation.....	6

## Tables

Table 1: Tree Resource Composition .....	3
--	---

## Appendices

Appendix A - Field Investigation Photo Log

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers Ltd. (McIntosh Perry) has been retained by MB Group and Associates to complete a Tree Conservation Report in support of an in-fill development application. The subject property is located in the City of Ottawa, legally described as 630 Montreal Road. The subject property is 0.10 ha in size and is bounded to the north by Montreal Road, Borthwick Avenue to the west, and residential properties to the south and east.

This Tree Conservation Report has been prepared in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Tree Protection By-law No. 2020-340. The report outlines the condition of all existing vegetation on site, any impacts of the proposed development on the vegetation, and the associated mitigation measures recommended to minimize impacts and preserve conserved trees.

An inventory of the subject property was conducted on May 7, 2021 by Erik Pohanka, Terrestrial Biologist at McIntosh Perry to review trees within the study area (where access permitted), including documenting conditions of the vegetation growing at 630 Montreal Road, Ottawa.

The objectives of the Tree Conservation Report include the following:

- To describe the existing trees ( $\geq 10$  cm diameter at breast height) growing on-site, including species composition, size (diameter at breast height), age, and condition and health of the trees;
- To identify vegetation that will be retained and the rationale to support this decision;
- To assess the impact of the development on the conserved portions of vegetation;
- To describe mitigation measures that will be used to promote the long-term survival of retained trees, and any other measures as required based on the site conditions;
- To describe protection measures being implemented on-site; and
- To provide a planting and/or compensation overview of the impacted tree(s).

Table 1: Tree Resource Composition

Tree No. <sup>1</sup>	Tree Species Common Name (Scientific Name)	Status	DBH (cm)	Critical Root Zone (m)	Estimated Age (year)	Ownership	Condition	Action
1	Siberian Elm ( <i>Ulmus pumila</i> )	Non-native	18	1.8	10	Off-site	Poor	Remove
2	Freeman's Maple ( <i>Acer x freemanii</i> )	Native	30	3.0	20	Off-site	Good	Retain
3	White Spruce ( <i>Picea glauca</i> )	Native	25	2.5	20	Off-site	Fair	Retain
4	White Spruce ( <i>Picea glauca</i> )	Native	25	2.5	20	Off-site	Fair	Retain

<sup>1</sup>refer to Figure 1 for an overview of tree locations

The health status of the inventoried trees varied slightly, with Fair (2), Good (1), and Poor (1) ratings accounting for the inventoried trees. No dead trees were observed within the study area during the inventory and assessment. The study area is highly developed in nature, with few trees or natural vegetation found throughout the area.

- If the trees are off-site + 1 is to be removed, do we legally have the right to remove it or does it depend on the person who owns the lot where the tree sits.
  - Get permission in written
  - for the other
- Considering setbacks imposed by the city, (3m) will that be enough to avoid any impacts on the trees that must be preserved?
- What is the distance between the trees and the property line?



**LEGEND**

- Approximate Site Boundary
- Critical Root Zone (1.8m) -Siberian Elm
- Tree Location
- Critical Root Zone (2.5m) -White Spruce
- 1 - Siberian Elm
- 2 - Freeman's Maple
- 3 - White Spruce
- 4 - White Spruce
- Critical Root Zone (3m) - Freeman's Maple

**REFERENCE**

GIS data provided by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2021.



<b>CLIENT:</b>	MB AND ASSOCIATES	
<b>PROJECT:</b>	TREE CONSERVATION REPORT 630 MONTREAL ROAD, OTTAWA, ON	
<b>TITLE:</b>	CURRENT VEGETATION	
<b>McINTOSH PERRY</b> <small>115 Walgreen Road, RR3, Carp, ON K0A1L0 Tel: 613-836-2184 Fax: 613-836-3742 www.mcintoshperry.com</small>	<b>PROJECT NO:</b> CCO-21-4432	<b>FIGURE:</b>
	Date	Aug., 09, 2021
	GIS	EU
	Checked By	KB
		<b>1</b>

---

## 4.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND CONSERVED VEGETATION

The proposed development will align closely to the original footprint of the subject property, resulting in a low impact to trees within the study area. There are no trees within the subject property; however, a single Siberian Elm, located off-site, directly adjacent to the subject property will be required to be removed (**Figure 2**). Siberian Elm is a non-native, invasive species. As this individual has grown, a portion of the tree has become intertwined with the boundary fence and the tree is in poor condition (see **Appendix A**).

The remaining trees (i.e. trees 2, 3, and 4) should be monitored over time. Care should be taken when removing the asphalt and existing fence and buildings on-site to avoid impacting these individual's critical root zones.




**LEGEND**

- Approximate Site Boundary
- Fencing
- Tree Location
- 2 - Freeman's Maple
- 3 - White Spruce
- 4 - White Spruce
- Critical Root Zone (2.5m) - White Spruce
- Critical Root Zone (3m) - Freeman's Maple

**REFERENCE**

GIS data provided by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, 2021.



<b>CLIENT:</b>	<b>MB AND ASSOCIATES</b>		
<b>PROJECT:</b>	<b>TREE CONSERVATION REPORT 630 MONTREAL ROAD, OTTAWA, ON</b>		
<b>TITLE:</b>	<b>PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND CONSERVED VEGETATION</b>		
<b>McINTOSH PERRY</b> <small>115 Walgreen Road, RRR3, Carp, ON K0A1L0 Tel: 613-836-2184 Fax: 613-836-3742 www.mcintoshperry.com</small>	<b>PROJECT NO:</b> CCO-21-4432	<b>FIGURE:</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>Date:</b> Aug., 09, 2021		
	<b>GIS:</b> EU		
	<b>Checked By:</b> KB		



## 5.0 TREE PROTECTION MEASURES

Tree protection measures described in this section are provided not only to ensure tree survival during the construction period, but also to ensure that trees will continue to grow and remain healthy. The tree protection strategy is to create a safe environment during the construction period while also preserving the trees and ensuring that they do not become a hazard in the long-term. Trees can be damaged in a number of ways during construction. It is recommended that the contractor take every precaution necessary to prevent damage to the trees to be retained/conserved.

### 5.1 Temporary Tree Protection Fencing

The most common injury to a tree is to the crown or trunk. These injuries are visible and permanent and in some cases can be fatal to the tree. The roots are susceptible to physical injury resulting from cutting of the roots, soil compaction and/or smothering of the roots.

To ensure protection of the root system of trees to be retained, temporary tree protection fencing should be erected at the critical root zone of trees located inside or adjacent to the construction area. Temporary fencing is proposed surrounding the perimeter of the subject property (**Figure 2**) and will sufficiently protect the retained trees that are adjacent to the subject property.

### 5.2 Tree Pruning

Prior to construction, any trees that have branches in the way of the proposed development should be pruned by a Certified Arborist. Pruning should not occur until after the leaves have come out in the spring. At this time, dead wood and hazardous limbs should also be removed, however, pruning of live branches should be avoided unless necessary.

Similarly, any roots that are/or partially exposed, due to earthworks, should be pruned by hand if possible, following standard arboricultural practices. **Roots that are exposed due to earthworks should be covered with native topsoil immediately to ensure that the roots do not dry out or have further damage occur to them.** Root pruning should be completed by a Certified Arborist.

### 5.3 Tree Monitoring

Trees located adjacent to construction works will experience a change in their immediate environment. As a result, tree health should be monitored. **Trees that have died or have been damaged beyond repair by the Contractor within two (2) years of construction shall be removed and replaced by the Contractor at their own expense according to City of Ottawa compensation requirements, or as directed by City staff.**

### 5.4 Wildlife Protection

Clearing and vegetation removal is prohibited during the nesting period of most migratory birds, under the Migratory Birds Convention Act. This period extends from April 1<sup>st</sup> to August 31<sup>st</sup> of each calendar year. Should tree removal during the bird nesting season be unavoidable, the Contractor is required to conduct a nesting

survey by a registered professional avian biologist to identify and ensure that there are no nesting birds within the site that may be negatively impacted. Clearance surveys during this period are valid for a period of 48 hours following the completion of the nest search.

Does this apply to the trees we have? only complete between April + August.

## 6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

A single Siberian Elm (tree No. 1) is proposed for removal and is <30cm DBH; as such no compensation plantings or cash-in-lieu is proposed or required as per the City of Ottawa's Tree By-law (2020-340).

This individual tree is located off-site, directly adjacent to the subject property. In accordance with the City of Ottawa's Tree By-law (2020-340) written permission will be required from the landowner prior to approval for removal.

If the tree isn't on our site do we have the authority to remove the tree? If the landowner doesn't want to

## 7.0 LIMITING TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The assessment of the trees presented within this report have been made using a visual examination of the above-ground parts of each tree for structural defects, external indications of decay, evidence of insect presence, and discoloured foliage. None of the trees examined were dissected, cored, probed, or climbed, and detailed root crown examinations involving excavation were not undertaken.

Notwithstanding the recommendations and conclusions made in this report, it must be realized that trees are living organisms and their health and vigour is constantly changing. They are not immune to changes in site conditions or seasonal variations in the weather.

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the trees recommended for retention are healthy, no guarantees are offered or implied, that these trees or any part of them will remain standing. It is both professionally and practically impossible to predict with absolute certainty the behaviours of single tree or group of trees in all circumstances. Every effort has been made to ensure that this assessment is reasonably accurate, however trees should be re-assessed periodically.

Tree 10% root extending to the site.

**Appendix A – Photo Log**



*Photo 1: View of the subject property from the northwest corner facing south. May 7, 2021.*



*Photo 2: View of the subject property from the northwest corner facing southeast. May 7, 2021.*



*Photo 3: View of the subject property from the northeast corner facing south. May 7, 2021.*



*Photo 4: View of the Siberian Elm proposed for removal. May 7, 2021.*