

**ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE
ASSESSMENT**

1940 Carling Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario

REPORT: 21-085-Traffic Noise



November 12, 2021

PREPARED FOR

Vittoria Trattoria
3625 Rivergate Way
Ottawa, ON
K1V 2A4

PREPARED BY

Michael Lafortune, C.E.T., Environmental Scientist
Joshua Foster, P.Eng., Principal

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes a detailed roadway traffic noise assessment, in support of a Site Plan Control (SPA) application, for the proposed residential development located at 1940 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario. The development comprises a seven-storey building with an approximate rectangular planform. The major source of roadway traffic noise impacting the development is Carling Avenue to the north. Figure 1 illustrates a complete site plan with surrounding context.

The assessment is based on (i) theoretical noise prediction methods that conform to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) and City of Ottawa requirements; (ii) noise level criteria as specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG); (iii) future vehicular traffic volumes based on the City of Ottawa's Official Plan roadway classifications; and (iv) architectural drawings prepared by Woodman Architect Associates Ltd., dated March 2021.

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 61 and 75 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 59 and 67 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (75 dBA) occurs at the north façade, which is nearest and most exposed to Carling Avenue. Building components with a higher Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating will be required where exterior noise levels exceed 65 dBA, as indicated in Figure 3.

Results of the calculations also indicate that the development will require central air conditioning, which will allow occupants to keep windows closed and maintain a comfortable living environment. The following Warning Clause will also be required be placed on all Lease, Purchase and Sale Agreements, as summarized in Section 6.

Noise levels at the Level 5 terrace (Receptor 3) are expected to approach 61 dBA during the daytime period. If this area is to be used as an outdoor living area, noise control measures are required to reduce the L_{eq} to 55 dBA. Further analysis investigated the noise mitigating impact of incorporating a noise mitigating guardrail along the east and west sides of the terrace (see Figure 2). Results of the investigation proved that noise levels can be reduced to 58 dBA with a 2 m barrier. Further reducing noise levels to 55 dBA



would require excessive barrier heights that would not be feasible. The guardrail must be constructed from materials having a minimum surface density of 20 kg/m² (STC rating of 30) and contain no gaps.

Design of the guardrail will conform to the requirements outlined in Part 5 of the ENCG. The following information will be required by the City for review prior to installation of the barrier:

1. Shop drawings, signed and sealed by a qualified Professional Engineer licenced by the Professional Engineers of Ontario, showing the details of the acoustic barrier systems components, including material specifications.
2. Structural drawing(s), signed by a qualified Professional Engineer licenced by the Professional Engineers of Ontario, showing foundation details and specifying design criteria, climatic design loads, as well as applicable geotechnical data used in the design.
3. Layout plan, and wall elevations, showing proposed colours and patterns.

With regards to stationary noise impacts, Gradient Wind conducted a survey of the properties surrounding the development, and no significant sources of stationary noise were identified that may impact the study building. A stationary noise study will be performed once mechanical plans for the proposed building become available, to determine noise impacts from rooftop mechanical units of the proposed building on surrounding noise-sensitive areas. This study will include recommendations for any noise control measures that may be necessary to ensure noise levels fall below ENCG limits.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 1

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE..... 1

3. OBJECTIVES..... 2

4. METHODOLOGY..... 2

4.1 Background2

4.2 Roadway Traffic Noise2

4.2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise.....2

4.2.2 Theoretical Roadway Noise Predictions.....4

4.2.3 Roadway Traffic Volumes4

4.3 Indoor Noise Calculations5

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 6

5.1 Roadway Traffic Noise Levels6

5.2 Noise Control Measures.....7

5.3 Noise Barrier Calculation.....8

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 9

FIGURES

APPENDICES

Appendix A – STAMSON 5.04 Input and Output Data and Supporting Information



1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by Vittoria Trattoria to undertake a detailed roadway traffic noise assessment, in support of a Site Plan Control (SPA) application, for the proposed residential development located at 1940 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario. This report summarizes the methodology, results, and recommendations related to the assessment of exterior and interior noise levels generated by local roadway traffic.

Our work is based on theoretical noise calculation methods conforming to the City of Ottawa¹ and Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)² guidelines. Noise calculations were based on architectural drawings prepared by Woodman Architect Associates Ltd., dated March 2021, with future traffic volumes corresponding to the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) roadway classifications.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The subject site is located on the northeast side of a block, the block of which is bounded by Carling Avenue to the north, Dunlevie Avenue from the northeast to the southeast, Lenester Avenue to the south, and Maplecrest Avenue to the west. The development comprises a seven-storey building with an approximate rectangular planform. The primary entrance is provided on the northwest side of the site with vehicular access (driveway) provided on the northeast side. Above one level of underground parking, the ground floor comprises residential space, an amenity, lobby, admin office, and various building support functions. Yards are provided on the south and west sides of the building. Residential units occupy the remaining levels, with the addition of indoor amenities on Level 2 and an outdoor terrace on the south side of Level 5.

The site is surrounded by low-rise residential buildings. The major source of roadway traffic noise impacting the development is Carling Avenue to the north. Figure 1 illustrates a complete site plan with surrounding context.

¹ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines, January 2016

² Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change – Environmental Noise Guidelines, Publication NPC-300, Queens Printer for Ontario, Toronto, 2013



3. OBJECTIVES

The principal objectives of this study are to (i) calculate the future noise levels on the study buildings produced by local roadway traffic, and (ii) ensure that interior and exterior noise levels do not exceed the allowable limits specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines as outlined in Section 4.2 of this report.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Background

Noise can be defined as any obtrusive sound. It is created at a source, transmitted through a medium, such as air, and intercepted by a receiver. Noise may be characterized in terms of the power of the source or the sound pressure at a specific distance. While the power of a source is characteristic of that particular source, the sound pressure depends on the location of the receiver and the path that the noise takes to reach the receiver. Measurement of noise is based on the decibel unit, dBA, which is a logarithmic ratio referenced to a standard noise level (2×10^{-5} Pascals). The 'A' suffix refers to a weighting scale, which better represents how the noise is perceived by the human ear. With this scale, a doubling of power results in a 3 dBA increase in measured noise levels and is just perceptible to most people. An increase of 10 dBA is often perceived to be twice as loud.

4.2 Roadway Traffic Noise

4.2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise

For surface roadway traffic noise, the equivalent sound energy level, L_{eq} , provides a measure of the time varying noise levels, which is well correlated with the annoyance of sound. It is defined as the continuous sound level, which has the same energy as a time varying noise level over a period of time. For roadways, the L_{eq} is commonly calculated on the basis of a 16-hour (L_{eq16}) daytime (07:00-23:00) / 8-hour (L_{eq8}) nighttime (23:00-07:00) split to assess its impact on residential buildings. The City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG) specifies that the recommended indoor noise limit range (that is relevant to this study) is 45 and 40 dBA for living rooms and sleeping quarters respectively for roadway as listed in Table 1.



TABLE 1: INDOOR SOUND LEVEL CRITERIA (ROAD)³

Type of Space	Time Period	Leq (dBA)
General offices, reception areas, retail stores, etc.	07:00 – 23:00	50
Living/dining/den areas of residences , hospitals, schools, nursing/retirement homes, day-care centres, theatres, places of worship, libraries, individual or semi-private offices, conference rooms, etc.	07:00 – 23:00	45
Sleeping quarters of hotels/motels	23:00 – 07:00	45
Sleeping quarters of residences , hospitals, nursing/retirement homes, etc.	23:00 – 07:00	40

Predicted noise levels at the plane of window (POW) dictate the action required to achieve the recommended sound levels. An open window is considered to provide a 10 dBA reduction in noise, while a standard closed window is capable of providing a minimum 20 dBA noise reduction⁴. A closed window due to a ventilation requirement will bring noise levels down to achieve an acceptable indoor environment⁵. Therefore, where noise levels exceed 55 dBA daytime and 50 dBA nighttime, the ventilation for the building should consider the need for having windows and doors closed, which triggers the need for forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning. Where noise levels exceed 65 dBA daytime and 60 dBA nighttime, air conditioning will be required and building components will require higher levels of sound attenuation⁶.

The sound level criterion for outdoor living areas is 55 dBA, which applies during the daytime (07:00 to 23:00). When noise levels exceed 55 dBA, mitigation must be provided to reduce noise levels where technically and administratively feasible to acceptable levels at or below the criterion.

³ Adapted from ENCG 2016 – Tables 2.2b and 2.2c

⁴ Burberry, P.B. (2014). Mitchell’s Environment and Services. Routledge, Page 125

⁵ MECP, Environmental Noise Guidelines, NPC 300 – Part C, Section 7.8

⁶ MECP, Environmental Noise Guidelines, NPC 300 – Part C, Section 7.1.3



4.2.2 Theoretical Roadway Noise Predictions

Noise predictions were performed with the aid of the MECP computerized noise assessment program, STAMSON 5.04, for road analysis. Appendix A includes the STAMSON 5.04 input and output data. Roadway traffic noise calculations were performed by treating each roadway segment as separate line sources of noise. In addition to the traffic volumes summarized in Table 2, theoretical noise predictions were based on the following parameters:

- Truck traffic on all roadways was taken to comprise 5% heavy trucks and 7% medium trucks, as per ENCG requirements for noise level predictions.
- The day/night split for all streets was taken to be 92%/8%, respectively.
- Ground surfaces were taken to be reflective due to the presence of hard (paved) ground.
- Topography was assumed to be a flat/gentle slope surrounding the study building.
- Receptor height was taken to be 18.5 metres at Level 7, and 13 m at Level 5.
- Noise receptors were strategically placed at 4 locations around the study area (see Figure 2).
- Receptor distances and exposure angles are illustrated in Figure 2.

4.2.3 Roadway Traffic Volumes

The ENCG dictates that noise calculations should consider future sound levels based on a roadway's classification at the mature state of development. Therefore, traffic volumes are based on the roadway classifications outlined in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) and Transportation Master Plan⁷ which provide additional details on future roadway expansions. Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes are then based on data in Table B1 of the ENCG for each roadway classification. Table 2 (below) summarizes the AADT values used for each roadway included in this assessment.

⁷ City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan, November 2013



TABLE 2: ROADWAY TRAFFIC DATA

Segment	Roadway Traffic Data	Speed Limit (km/h)	Traffic Volumes
Carling Avenue	6-Lane Urban Arterial Divided	100	73,332

4.3 Indoor Noise Calculations

The difference between outdoor and indoor noise levels is the noise attenuation provided by the building envelope. According to common industry practice, complete walls and individual wall elements are rated according to the Sound Transmission Class (STC). The STC ratings of common residential walls built in conformance with the Ontario Building Code (2012) typically exceed STC 35, depending on exterior cladding, thickness and interior finish details. For example, brick veneer walls can achieve STC 50 or more. Standard commercially sided exterior metal stud walls have around STC 45. Standard good quality doubleglazed non-operable windows can have STC ratings ranging from 25 to 40, depending on the window manufacturer, pane thickness and inter-pane spacing. As previously mentioned, the windows are the known weak point in a partition.

As per Section 4.2, when daytime noise levels (from road and rail sources) at the plane of the window exceed 65 dBA, calculations must be performed to evaluate the sound transmission quality of the building components to ensure acceptable indoor noise levels. The calculation procedure⁸ considers:

- Window type and total area as a percentage of total room floor area
- Exterior wall type and total area as a percentage of the total room floor area
- Acoustic absorption characteristics of the room
- Outdoor noise source type and approach geometry
- Indoor sound level criteria, which varies according to the intended use of a space

⁸ Building Practice Note: Controlling Sound Transmission into Buildings by J.D. Quirt, National Research Council of Canada, September 1985



Based on published research⁹, exterior walls possess specific sound attenuation characteristics that are used as a basis for calculating the required STC ratings of windows in the same partition. Due to the limited information available at the time of the study, which was prepared for site plan approval, detailed floor layouts and building elevations have not been finalized; therefore, detailed STC calculations could not be performed at this time. As a guideline, the anticipated STC requirements for windows have been estimated based on the overall noise reduction required for each intended use of space (STC = outdoor noise level – targeted indoor noise levels).

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Roadway Traffic Noise Levels

The results of the roadway traffic noise calculations are summarized in Table 3 below. A complete set of input and output data from all STAMSON 5.04 calculations are available in Appendix A.

TABLE 3: EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS DUE TO ROAD TRAFFIC

Receptor Number	Receptor Height Above Grade (m)	Receptor Location	STAMSON 5.04 Noise Level (dBA)	
			Day	Night
1	18.5	POW – 7 th Floor – North Façade	75	67
2	18.5	POW – 7 th Floor – East Façade	71	64
3	13	OLA – 5 th Floor Terrace	61	N/A
4	18.5	POW – 7 th Floor – West Façade	67	59

⁹ CMHC, Road & Rail Noise: Effects on Housing



The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 61 and 75 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 59 and 67 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (75 dBA) occurs at the north façade, which is nearest and most exposed to Carling Avenue.

5.2 Noise Control Measures

The noise levels predicted due to roadway traffic exceed the criteria listed in Section 4.2 for building components. As discussed in Section 4.3, the anticipated STC requirements for windows have been estimated based on the overall noise reduction required for each intended use of space (STC = outdoor noise level – targeted indoor noise levels). As per city of Ottawa requirements, detailed STC calculations will be required to be completed prior to building permit application for each unit type. The STC requirements for the windows are summarized below for various units within the development (see Figure 3):

- **Bedroom Windows**

- (i) Bedroom windows facing north will require a minimum STC of 38
- (ii) Bedroom windows facing east and west toward the front façade will require a minimum STC of 34
- (iii) Bedroom windows facing east and west toward the rear façade will require a minimum STC of 30
- (iv) All other bedroom windows are to satisfy Ontario Building Code (OBC 2012) requirements

- **Living Room Windows**

- (i) Living room windows facing north will require a minimum STC of 33
- (ii) Living room windows facing east and west toward the front façade will require a minimum STC of 29
- (iii) Living room windows facing east and west toward the rear façade will require a minimum STC of 25
- (iv) All other living room windows are to satisfy Ontario Building Code (OBC 2012) requirements



- **Exterior Walls**

- (i) Exterior wall components on the north, east and west façades will require a minimum STC of 45, which will be achieved with brick cladding or an acoustical equivalent according to NRC test data¹⁰

The STC requirements apply to windows, doors, spandrel panels and curtainwall elements. Exterior wall components on these façades are recommended to have a minimum STC of 45, where a window/wall system is used. A review of window supplier literature indicates that the specified STC ratings can be achieved by a variety of window systems having a combination of glass thickness and inter-pane spacing. We have specified an example window configuration, however several manufacturers and various combinations of window components, such as those proposed, will offer the necessary sound attenuation rating. It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to ensure that the specified window achieves the required STC. This can only be assured by using window configurations that have been certified by laboratory testing. The requirements for STC ratings assume that the remaining components of the building are constructed and installed according to the minimum standards of the Ontario Building Code. The specified STC requirements also apply to swinging and/or sliding patio doors.

Results of the calculations also indicate that the development will require central air conditioning, which will allow occupants to keep windows closed and maintain a comfortable living environment. In addition to ventilation requirements, Warning Clauses will also be required in all Lease, Purchase and Sale Agreements, as summarized in Section 6.

5.3 Noise Barrier Calculation

Noise levels at the Level 5 terrace (Receptor 3) are expected to approach 61 dBA during the daytime period. If this area is to be used as an outdoor living area, noise control measures are required to reduce the L_{eq} to 55 dBA. Further analysis investigated the noise mitigating impact of incorporating a noise mitigating guardrail along the east and west sides of the terrace (see Figure 2). Results of the investigation proved that noise levels can be reduced to 58 dBA with a 2 m barrier. Further reducing noise levels to 55

¹⁰ J.S. Bradley and J.A. Birta. Laboratory Measurements of the Sound Insulation of Building Façade Elements, National Research Council October 2000.



dBA would require excessive barrier heights that would not be feasible. Table 4 summarizes the results of the barrier investigation.

TABLE 4: RESULTS OF NOISE BARRIER INVESTIGATION

Reference Receptor	Location	Daytime Leq Noise Levels (dBA)				
		No Barrier	1.1 m Barrier	1.5 m Barrier	2 m Barrier	4 m Barrier
3	OLA – 5 th Floor Terrace	61	60	59	58	55

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 61 and 75 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 59 and 67 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (75 dBA) occurs at the north façade, which is nearest and most exposed to Carling Avenue. Building components with a higher Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating will be required where exterior noise levels exceed 65 dBA, as indicated in Figure 3.

Results of the calculations also indicate that the development will require central air conditioning, which will allow occupants to keep windows closed and maintain a comfortable living environment. The following Warning Clause¹¹ will also be required be placed on all Lease, Purchase and Sale Agreements, as summarized below:

¹¹ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines, January 2016



“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing roadway traffic may, on occasion, interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants, as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change. To help address the need for sound attenuation, this development includes:

- *STC rated multi-pane glazing elements and spandrel panels*
- *STC rated exterior walls*

This dwelling unit has also been designed with air conditioning. Air conditioning will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change.

To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded, it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features.”

Noise levels at the Level 5 terrace (Receptor 3) are expected to approach 61 dBA during the daytime period. If this area is to be used as an outdoor living area, noise control measures are required to reduce the L_{eq} to 55 dBA. Further analysis investigated the noise mitigating impact of incorporating a noise mitigating guardrail along the east and west sides of the terrace (see Figure 2). Results of the investigation proved that noise levels can be reduced to 58 dBA with a 2 m barrier. Further reducing noise levels to 55 dBA would require excessive barrier heights that would not be feasible.

With regards to stationary noise impacts, Gradient Wind conducted a survey of the properties surrounding the development, and no significant sources of stationary noise were identified that may impact the study building. A stationary noise study will be performed once mechanical plans for the proposed building become available, to determine noise impacts from rooftop mechanical units of the proposed building on surrounding noise-sensitive areas. This study will include recommendations for any noise control measures that may be necessary to ensure noise levels fall below ENCG limits.



This concludes our traffic noise assessment and report. If you have any questions or wish to discuss our findings, please advise us. In the interim, we thank you for the opportunity to be of service.

Sincerely,

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.




Michael Lafortune, C.E.T.
Environmental Scientist

Gradient Wind File #21-085-Traffic Noise




Joshua Foster, P.Eng.
Principal





PROJECT	1940 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT	
SCALE	1:2000 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO. GW21-085-1
DATE	APRIL 6, 2021	DRAWN BY M.L.


DESCRIPTION	FIGURE 1: SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT
-------------	--



- ❶ OLA RECEPTOR
- ❶ POW RECEPTOR

<p>GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS</p> <p>127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON 613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM</p>	PROJECT	1940 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT	DESCRIPTION
	SCALE	1:300 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO.
	DATE	APRIL 6, 2021	DRAWN BY
			<p>FIGURE 2: RECEPTOR LOCATIONS, BARRIER LOCATIONS, STAMSON INPUT PARAMETERS</p>

CARLING AVENUE




- BEDROOM/LIVING ROOM: STC 38/33
- BEDROOM/LIVING ROOM: STC 34/29
- BEDROOM/LIVING ROOM: STC 30/25

PROJECT	1940 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA ROADWAY TRAFFIC NOISE ASSESSMENT	
SCALE	1:300 (APPROX.)	DRAWING NO. GW21-085-3
DATE	APRIL 6, 2021	DRAWN BY M.L.

GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS



APPENDIX A

STAMSON 5.04 – INPUT AND OUTPUT DATA

GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 06-04-2021 10:35:09
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 16.00 / 16.00 m
Receiver height : 18.50 / 18.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Carling (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 74.94 + 0.00) = 74.94 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--									
-90	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
74.94									

Segment Leq : 74.94 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 74.94 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 67.35 + 0.00) = 67.35 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--									
-90	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
67.35									

Segment Leq : 67.35 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 67.35 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 74.94
(NIGHT): 67.35



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 06-04-2021 10:35:14
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 18.00 / 18.00 m
Receiver height : 18.50 / 18.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Carling (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 71.42 + 0.00) = 71.42 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

0	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-0.79	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
71.42									

Segment Leq : 71.42 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 71.42 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 63.83 + 0.00) = 63.83 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

0	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-0.79	-3.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
63.83									

Segment Leq : 63.83 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 63.83 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 71.42
(NIGHT): 63.83



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-04-2021 11:18:05
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r3.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -58.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -58.00 deg
Barrier height : 11.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -58.00 deg 59.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -58.00 deg Angle2 : 59.00 deg
Barrier height : 20.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 59.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 59.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 11.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (day)

 Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
		11.29	!
			11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 57.71 + 0.00) = 57.71 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-90	-58	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-5.05
57.71										

 Segment Leq : 57.71 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.39 + 0.00) = 48.39 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-58	59	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00

SubLeq

--

48.39

--

Segment Leq : 48.39 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 57.58 + 0.00) = 57.58 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
59	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-5.05

SubLeq

57.58

Segment Leq : 57.58 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 60.91 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (night)

 Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
		11.29	!
			11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 50.12 + 0.00) = 50.12 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-90	-58	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-5.05
50.12										

 Segment Leq : 50.12 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (night)

 Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
		11.29	!
			11.29


ROAD (0.00 + 40.80 + 0.00) = 40.80 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

 --
 -58 59 0.00 67.63 0.00 -4.96 -1.87 0.00 0.00 -20.00
 40.80

 --

Segment Leq : 40.80 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 49.98 + 0.00) = 49.98 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
59	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-5.05

SubLeq

Segment Leq : 49.98 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.31 dBA



TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 60.91
(NIGHT): 53.31





GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-04-2021 11:18:13
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r3b.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -58.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -58.00 deg
Barrier height : 12.60 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -58.00 deg 59.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -58.00 deg Angle2 : 59.00 deg
Barrier height : 20.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 59.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 59.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 12.60 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Carling1 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 56.20 + 0.00) = 56.20 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-90	-58	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-6.56

SubLeq
56.20

Segment Leq : 56.20 dBA

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.39 + 0.00) = 48.39 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-58	59	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00

SubLeq
48.39

Segment Leq : 48.39 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29


ROAD (0.00 + 56.10 + 0.00) = 56.10 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

59	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-6.52
56.10									

Segment Leq : 56.10 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 59.51 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (night)

 Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence


Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
		11.29	!
			11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.61 + 0.00) = 48.61 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-90	-58	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-6.56
48.61										

 Segment Leq : 48.61 dBA



Results segment # 2: Carling2 (night)

 Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
		11.29	!
			11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 40.80 + 0.00) = 40.80 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-58	59	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00
40.80										

 --
 Segment Leq : 40.80 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.51 + 0.00) = 48.51 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

59	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-6.52
----	----	------	-------	------	-------	-------	------	------	-------

Segment Leq : 48.51 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 51.92 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 59.51
(NIGHT): 51.92



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-04-2021 11:18:18
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r3b2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -58.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -58.00 deg
Barrier height : 13.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -58.00 deg 59.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -58.00 deg Angle2 : 59.00 deg
Barrier height : 20.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 59.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 59.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 13.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (day)

 Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
		11.29	!
			11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 55.41 + 0.00) = 55.41 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-90	-58	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-7.36
55.41										

 Segment Leq : 55.41 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.39 + 0.00) = 48.39 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

-58	59	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00
48.39									

Segment Leq : 48.39 dBA

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 55.32 + 0.00) = 55.32 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

59	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-7.31
55.32									

Segment Leq : 55.32 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 58.79 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 47.81 + 0.00) = 47.81 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-90	-58	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-7.36

SubLeq
47.81

Segment Leq : 47.81 dBA

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 40.80 + 0.00) = 40.80 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-58	59	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00

SubLeq
40.80

Segment Leq : 40.80 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 47.72 + 0.00) = 47.72 dBA


Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
59	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-7.31

SubLeq

Segment Leq : 47.72 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 51.19 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 58.79
(NIGHT): 51.19



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

TAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-04-2021 11:18:24
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r3b3.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -58.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -58.00 deg
Barrier height : 15.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -58.00 deg 59.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -58.00 deg Angle2 : 59.00 deg
Barrier height : 20.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 59.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 59.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 15.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 51.07 + 0.00) = 51.07 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-90	-58	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-11.69

SubLeq
51.07

Segment Leq : 51.07 dBA

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.39 + 0.00) = 48.39 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-58	59	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00

SubLeq
48.39

Segment Leq : 48.39 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 51.02 + 0.00) = 51.02 dBA


Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
59	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-11.60

SubLeq

51.02

Segment Leq : 51.02 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 55.10 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 43.47 + 0.00) = 43.47 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-90	-58	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-11.69

SubLeq
43.47

Segment Leq : 43.47 dBA

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 40.80 + 0.00) = 40.80 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-58	59	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00

SubLeq
40.80

Segment Leq : 40.80 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 43.43 + 0.00) = 43.43 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
59	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-11.60

SubLeq
43.43

Segment Leq : 43.43 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 47.50 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 55.10
(NIGHT): 47.50



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-04-2021 11:20:21
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r3b4.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling1 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -58.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -58.00 deg
Barrier height : 13.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Carling2 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -58.00 deg 59.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -58.00 deg Angle2 : 59.00 deg
Barrier height : 20.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Road data, segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Carling3 (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 59.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
Receiver height : 13.00 / 13.00 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 59.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 13.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 54.41 + 0.00) = 54.41 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-90	-58	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-8.36

SubLeq
54.41

Segment Leq : 54.41 dBA

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 48.39 + 0.00) = 48.39 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
-58	59	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00

SubLeq
48.39

Segment Leq : 48.39 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 54.33 + 0.00) = 54.33 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

59	90	0.00	75.22	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-8.29
54.33									

Segment Leq : 54.33 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 57.90 dBA

Results segment # 1: Carling1 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	13.00	11.29	11.29

ROAD (0.00 + 46.81 + 0.00) = 46.81 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

-90	-58	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.50	0.00	0.00	-8.36
46.81									

Segment Leq : 46.81 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 2: Carling2 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
11.29	!	11.29	!

ROAD (0.00 + 40.80 + 0.00) = 40.80 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-58	59	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-1.87	0.00	0.00	-20.00
40.80										

Segment Leq : 40.80 dBA

Results segment # 3: Carling3 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	! Receiver ! Height (m)	! Barrier ! Height (m)	! Elevation of ! Barrier Top (m)
1.50	!	13.00	!
11.29	!	11.29	!

ROAD (0.00 + 46.74 + 0.00) = 46.74 dBA


Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	59	90	0.00	67.63	0.00	-4.96	-7.64	0.00	0.00	-8.29
46.74										

Segment Leq : 46.74 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 50.30 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 57.90
(NIGHT): 50.30



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 06-04-2021 11:00:11
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r4.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Carling (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 40480/3520 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 3220/280 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 2300/200 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 50000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Carling (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 0.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 34.00 / 34.00 m
Receiver height : 18.50 / 18.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -30.00 deg Angle2 : 0.00 deg
Barrier height : 20.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 7.00 / 7.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 m
Receiver elevation : 0.00 m
Barrier elevation : 0.00 m
Reference angle : 0.00



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	18.50	15.00	15.00

ROAD (66.90 + 43.89 + 0.00) = 66.92 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-90	-30	0.00	75.22	0.00	-3.55	-4.77	0.00	0.00	0.00
66.90										

--	-30	0	0.00	75.22	0.00	-3.55	-7.78	0.00	0.00	-20.00
43.89										

Segment Leq : 66.92 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 66.92 dBA



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Results segment # 1: Carling (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

Source Height (m)	Receiver Height (m)	Barrier Height (m)	Elevation of Barrier Top (m)
1.50	18.50	15.00	15.00

ROAD (59.30 + 36.29 + 0.00) = 59.32 dBA

Angle1	Angle2	Alpha	RefLeq	P.Adj	D.Adj	F.Adj	W.Adj	H.Adj	B.Adj
SubLeq									

--	-90	-30	0.00	67.63	0.00	-3.55	-4.77	0.00	0.00	0.00
59.30										

--	-30	0	0.00	67.63	0.00	-3.55	-7.78	0.00	0.00	-20.00
36.29										

Segment Leq : 59.32 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 59.32 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 66.92
(NIGHT): 59.32

