

Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief

Apartment Building 3040/3044 Innes Road Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for:

Landric Homes Inc. 1173 Cyrville Road, Suite #202 Ottawa, ON K1J 7S6

Attention: Matthew Firestone

Revision: October 23, 2023 March 03, 2022

LRL File No.: 210374

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION1				
2	EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION2				
3	SC	OPE OF WORK2			
4	RE	GULATORY APPROVALS			
5	WA	TER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION			
5	5.1	Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage			
5	5.2	Water Supply Servicing Design3			
6	SA	NITARY SERVICE			
6	6.1	Existing Sanitary Sewer Services6			
6	6.2	Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design6			
7	ST	ORMWATER MANAGEMENT6			
-	ST(7.1	ORMWATER MANAGEMENT6 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure6			
7					
7	7.1	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure6 Design Criteria6			
7	7.1 7.2	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure			
7	7.1 7.2 7.2	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure			
777	7.1 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure			
777	7.1 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.3 7.4	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure			
7777	7.1 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.3 7.4 ER	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure 6 Design Criteria 6 .1 Water Quality 7 .2 Water Quantity 7 Method of Analysis 7 Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls 7			

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Pre-consultation / Correspondence
- Appendix B Water Supply Calculations
- Appendix C Wastewater Collection Calculation
- Appendix D Stormwater Management Calculation Watts Roof Drain Specification Hydrovex ICD Stormceptor OGS Existing and Post Development Drainage to NE PL
- Appendix E Civil Engineering Drawings
- Appendix F Proposed Site Plan Legal Survey As-built

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: City of Ottawa Design Guidelines Design Parameters	3
Table 2: Development Residential Population Estimate	4
Table 3: Summary of Anticipated Demands and Boundary Conditions	
Table 4: Fire Protection Summary Table	5
Table 5: Drainage Areas	
Table 6: Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary (100 Year)	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Aerial View of Proposed Development1

1 INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION

LRL Associates Ltd. was retained by Landric Homes Inc. to complete a Stormwater Management Analysis and Servicing Brief for the development of a proposed 4-storey apartment building with surface and underground parking area within the site boundary, located at 3040/3044 Innes Road.

The subject property consists of two (2) lots that are legally described as part of Lot 10, concession 3, in the Township of Gloucester. The subject lots are designated as residential and are zoned R2N (Residential Second Density Zone).



Figure 1: Aerial View of Proposed Development

The subject property, as a whole, is rectangular shaped and measures approximately 45 m in frontage along Innes Road and 61 m in depth. The total site area is approximately **0.28 ha**.

The proposed development will be constructed in a single phase, which includes a 4-storey apartment building consisting of a total of **42** units. One (1) underground level garage with 46 indoor parking spaces is proposed to be constructed. Approximately 14 outdoor surface parking

spaces are also proposed at the ground level. Refer to *Site Plan* included in *Appendix F* for more details.

This report has been prepared in consideration of the terms and conditions noted above and with the civil drawings prepared for the new development. Should there be any changes in the design features, which may relate to the stormwater and servicing considerations, LRL Associates Ltd. should be advised to review the report recommendations.

2 EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION

The subject site measures **0.28 ha** and currently consists of two separate property lots each consisting currently of an existing residential dwelling. Elevations of existing site range between 85.04 m at southeast corner to 86.32 m at the southwest corner of the site. In existing site conditions approximately 0.24 ha of the site ultimately flows to the property located east of the site. Refer to **Appendix D** for drawings detailing the pre and post overland drainage to the NE property.

Sewer and watermain mapping, along with as-built information collected from the City of Ottawa indicate the following existing infrastructure located within the adjacent right-of-ways:

Innes Road:

- 406 mm diameter ductile iron watermain
- 250 mm diameter concrete sanitary sewer
- 450 mm diameter concrete storm sewer

3 SCOPE OF WORK

As per applicable guidelines, the scope of work includes the following:

Stormwater management

- Calculate the allowable stormwater release rate.
- Calculate the anticipated post-development stormwater release rates.
- Demonstrate how the target quantity objectives will be achieved.

Water services

- Calculate the expected water supply demand at average and peak conditions.
- Calculate the required fire flow as per the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method.
- Confirm the adequacy of water supply and pressure during peak flow and fire flow.
- Describe the proposed water distribution network and connection to the existing system.

Sanitary services

- Describe the existing sanitary sewers available to receive wastewater from the building.
- Calculate peak flow rates from the development.

Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief Apartment Building 3040/3044 Innes Road, Ottawa, Ontario

- Describe the proposed sanitary sewer system.
- Review impact of increased sanitary flow on downstream sanitary sewer.

4 **REGULATORY APPROVALS**

An MECP Environmental Compliance Approval is not expected to be required for installation of the proposed storm and sanitary sewers within the site. A Permit to Take Water is not anticipated to be required for pumping requirements for sewer installation. The Rideau Valley Conservation Authority will need to be consulted in order to obtain municipal approval for site development. No other approval requirements from other regulatory agencies are anticipated.

5 WATER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION

5.1 Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 2E water distribution network pressure zone. There is an existing 406 mm watermain within Innes Road. There are currently three (3) existing fire hydrants within close proximity of the subject property. Refer to *Appendix B* for the location of fire hydrants.

5.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

Considering the presence of automatic sprinkler system inside the building and a recommended size to service the sprinkler system, the subject property is proposed to be serviced via a 150 mm diameter service lateral connected to the 406 mm watermain located within Innes Rd. Refer to Site Servicing Plan C.401 in *Appendix E* for servicing layout and connection point.

Table 1 summarizes the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines & Design Parameters employed in the preparation of the water demand estimate.

Design Parameter	Value
Residential Bachelor / 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit
Other Commercial Average Daily Demand	2.8 L/m ² /d
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4 m from top of watermain to finished grade
Desired operating pressure range during normal	345 kPa and 552 kPa
operating conditions	
During maximum hourly demand conditions	276 kPa
pressure must not drop below	
During normal operating conditions pressure shall	552 kPa
not exceed	
During fire flow operating conditions pressure must	140 kPa
not drop below	
*Table updated to reflect technical Bulletin ISDTB-2021-03	

The interior layout and architectural floor plans have been reviewed, and it was determined that the building will house **19** one-bedroom units and **23** two-bedroom units. Based on the City of Ottawa Design guidelines for population projection, this translates to approximately **74.9** residents. *Table 2* below summarizes the anticipated development population as interpreted using Table 4.1 of the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines, and Appendix 4-A of the Sewer Design Guidelines.

Proposed Unit type	Persons Per Unit	Number of Units	Population
Studio/1 Bedroom	1.4	19	26.6
2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1	23	48.3
		Total Residential Population	74.9

Table 2: Development Residential Population Estimate

The required water supply requirements for the residential units in proposed building have been calculated using the following formula:

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = (\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{P} \times \boldsymbol{M})$$

Where,

- q = average water consumption (L/capita/day)
- P = design population (capita)

M = Peak factor

The following factors were used in calculations as per Table 3-3 in the MOECP Guidelines;

- Maximum Daily Demand Residential Factor = 6.5
- Peak Hour Demand Residential Factor = 9.7

Using the above-mentioned factors and design parameters listed in Table 1, anticipated demands were calculated as follows:

- > Average daily domestic water demand is 0.24 L/s,
- > Maximum daily demand is **1.57** L/s, and
- Maximum hourly is **15.35** L/s.

Refer to *Appendix B* for water demand calculations.

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand, as indicated in the boundary request correspondence included in *Appendix B*. *Table 3* below summarizes boundary conditions for the proposed development.

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand	Boundary Conditions @ Innes Road*		
	(L/s)	(m H2O / kPa)		
Average Daily Demand	0.24	131.0 / 439.2		
Max Day + Fire Flow (per FUS)	1.57 + 166.7	125.7 / 388.2		
Peak Hour	15.35	127.1 / 401.3		
*Assumed Ground elevation at connection point = 86.20 m. Water demand calculation per City of Ottawa Water Design guidelines. See Appendix B for details.				

Table 3: Summary of Anticipated Demands and Boundary Conditions

As indicated in Table 3, pressures in all scenarios meet the required pressure range stated in Table 1 as per City of Ottawa Design Guidelines. Refer to *Appendix B* for Boundary Conditions.

The estimated fire flow for the proposed buildings was calculated in accordance with *ISTB-2018-02*. The following parameters were provided by the Architect, see **Appendix A** for collaborating correspondence:

- Type of construction Wood Frame;
- Occupancy type Limited Combustibility; and
- Sprinkler Protection Fully Supervised Sprinkler System.

The estimated fire flow demand was estimated to be **10,000 L/min**, see *Appendix B* for details.

There are three (3) existing fire hydrants in close proximity to the proposed buildings that are available to provide the required fire flow demands of 10,000 L/min. Refer to *Appendix B* for fire hydrant locations. Table 4 below summarizes the aggregate fire flow of the contributing hydrants in close proximity to the proposed development based on Table 18.5.4.3 of *ISTB-2018-02*.

Building	Fire Flow Demand (L/min)	Fire Hydrants(s) within 75m	Fire Hydrant(s) within 150m	Available Combined Fire Flow (L/min)
Proposed 4- storey building	10,000	1	2	(1 x 5678) + (2 x 3785) = 13,248

Table 4: Fire Protection Summary Table

The total available fire flow from contributing hydrants is equal to **13,248 L/min** which is sufficient to provide adequate fire flow for the proposed development. A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

The proposed water supply design conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.

6 SANITARY SERVICE

6.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer Services

The subject property is tributary to the Innes Road Trunk. There is an existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Innes Road.

The post-development wet total flow was calculated to be is **1.06 L/s** as a result of the proposed residential population and a small portion of infiltration. Refer to **Appendix C** for further information on the calculated sanitary flows.

6.2 Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design

The proposed development will be serviced via a 150 mm dia. sanitary service connected to proposed manhole SAN MH 01 at the existing 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Innes Rd. Refer to LRL drawing C.401 for the proposed sanitary servicing.

The parameters used to calculate the anticipated sanitary flows are; residential average population per unit of 1.4 person for single units and 2.1 persons for two-bedroom units, a residential daily demand of 280 L/p/day, a residential peaking factor of 4.0 and a total infiltration rate of 0.33 L/s/ha. Based on these parameters and the total site area of 0.28 ha, the total anticipated wet wastewater flow was calculated to be **1.06 L/s**. Refer to *Appendix C* for the site sanitary sewer design sheet.

7 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure

The subject property is tributary to the Ottawa River East sub-watershed. Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system as such, approvals for the proposed development within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

In pre-development conditions, drainage from the subject lots is depicted by existing watersheds EWS-01-A, EWS-01-B, EWS-02-A and EWD-02-B, which have a combined area of 0.277 ha. In pre-development conditions, approximately 90% of the site (EWS-02-A AND EWS-02-B) flow uncontrolled overland to the property located east of the site. The is a total area of 0.259 ha. The remaining 10% flows uncontrolled towards the Innes Road ROW. Refer to plan C701 and C702 included in *Appendix E* for pre-development and post-development drainage characteristics. There is currently an existing 450 mm dia. storm sewer within Innes Rd right-of-way.

7.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater management criteria for this development are based on the pre-consultation with City of Ottawa officials, the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines 2012 (City standards), as

well as the Ministry of the Environment's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, 2003 (SWMPD Manual).

7.2.1 Water Quality

The subject property lies within the Ottawa River East sub-watershed and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). It was determined that 'enhanced' treatment (80% TSS Removal) is required for stormwater runoff from the proposed development. Correspondence with RVCA is included in *Appendix A*.

7.2.2 Water Quantity

Based on pre-consultation with the City, correspondence included in *Appendix A*, the following stormwater management requirements were identified for the subject site:

- Meet an allowable release rate based on a Rational Method runoff coefficient (C) of 0.50 or calculated pre-development C (whichever is less), employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 2-year storm with a calculated time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 minutes; and
- > Attenuate all storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year storm event on site.

The total allowable storm release rate was calculated to be **22.50 L/s**. Refer to *Appendix D* for calculations.

7.3 Method of Analysis

The Modified Rational Method has been used to calculate the runoff rate from the site to quantify the detention storage required for quantity control of the development. Refer to *Appendix D* for storage calculations.

7.4 Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls

The proposed stormwater management quantity control for this development will be accomplished using roof drains with controls, a catchbasin with an Inlet Control Device (ICD) as well as a proposed cistern in the underground garage that will pump at a specified constant release rate. Storage required as a result of quantity control will be accomplished through a combination of rooftop storage, surface storage and cistern in the underground garage.

The subject site is proposed to be serviced via a catchbasin and 250 mm diameter storm sewer outlet that will connect to the existing 450 mm diameter storm sewer within Innes Rd. The proposed servicing layout and connection points are shown on drawing C.401 in *Appendix E*, and detailed calculations can be found in *Appendix D*.

The existing site is delineated by catchments EWS-01-A, EWS-01-B, EWS-02-A and EWS-02-B, which currently flows uncontrolled towards the Innes Rd ROW and uncontrolled towards the property located east of the site.

The site has been analyzed and six (6) post-development watersheds have been allocated. Watershed WS-01-A (0.017 ha) consisting of grass, landscaping and paved patios, which will flow uncontrolled to the Innes Road ROW.

Watershed WS-01-B (0.046 ha) consists of grass, landscaping and paved patios. Runoff from WS-01-B will ultimately surface drain to the property located to the east of the site. In predevelopment conditions, approximately 0.259 ha of the site is flowing uncontrolled to the neighbouring property. In post-development conditions the amount of area draining uncontrolled to the northeast property has been reduced to 0.046 ha, i.e. there is approximately an 82% reduction of uncontrolled flow to the neighbouring property in post-development conditions. The majority of this area will sheet flow over grassed areas, which will provide opportunity for infiltration. In the ultimate design of the site the amount of uncontrolled flow to the neighbouring the pre- and post-development overland drainage to the neighbouring property.

Watershed WS-02 (0.092 ha) consists of the proposed building's envelope and will be captured via roof drains with controls.

Watershed WS-03 (0.069 ha) consists mainly of the surface parking lot, some landscaped area and drive aisle. Runoff will be captured via a proposed catchbasin manhole (CB MH01) with a restricted flow downstream at MH01 via a **Hydrovex 100VHV-1** ICD.

Watershed WS-05 (0.003 ha) consists of grass and flows uncontrolled offsite towards the City ROW.

Finally, watershed WS-04(0.051 ha) consists mainly of the paved ramp leading to the underground garage and a landscaped area above the garage. Runoff will be collected via a proposed trench drain at the end of the ramp and a proposed area drain in the landscaped area, both of which will direct captured flows to an underground cistern. The cistern is proposed to pump runoff at a constant flow towards the storm outlet pipe. Refer to grading plan C301 and servicing plan C401 in *Appendix E* for reference.

In order to achieve the allowable post-development stormwater release rate established in *Section 7.2.2,* above, the proposed development will utilize rooftop storage, surface storage in the parking lot, as well as an internal cistern to be designed by a mechanical engineer using the specified release rates determined in this analysis.

The site will be serviced via a free-flowing network of 250 mm diameter storm pipes. The proposed catchbasin in WS-03 (*CB MH01*) will capture runoff and release it downstream to the proposed Oil-Grit Separator (*OGS*) at a restricted flow rate via a **Hydrovex 100VHV-1** ICD installed at STM MH01. The building will be serviced via a 250mm diameter storm service lateral which outlets to STM MH02, downstream of the OGS. The building's storm service conveys flows from;

- 1. The proposed cistern pumped at a specific release rate;
- 2. Roof drain outlet to be connected downstream of cistern;
- 3. Foundation drain (sump pump) outlet to be connected downstream of cistern.

STM MH02 finally discharges flows to the existing 450 mm diameter storm sewer within Innes Rd via a 250 mm diameter storm pipe. Refer to C401 in *Appendix E* for servicing layout and connection points

Table 5 below summarizes post-development drainage areas. Calculations can be seen in *Appendix D.*

Drainage Area Name	Area (ha)	Weighted Runoff Coefficient	100 Year Weighted Runoff Coefficient (25% increase)
WS-01A & WS-01B (UNCONTROLLED)	570.0	0.0	55.0
WS-02 (ROOF -CONTROLLED)	0.0	0.0	924.0
WS-03 (CONTROLLED)	232.3	0.0	456.3
WS-04 (CISTERN - CONTROLLED)	49.7	79.0	381.1
WS-05 (UNCONTROLLED)	25.6	0.0	0.0

Table 5: Drainage Areas

The proposed building's rooftop was analysed and divided into five (5) ponding areas. A total of **five (5)** roof drains, each of which is restricting the discharge rate to **0.63 L/s**, resulting in a total release rate from the roof of **3.15 L/s** is proposed. Each of the roof drain flow control devices has been selected to provide a flow rate of **0.63 L/s** at a maximum flow depth of **0.15 m**. Proposed roof drains are to be **Watts RD-100-A with a closed exposed weir opening**. See *Appendix D* for more information about the selected roof drain and flow restrictor.

Based on volume analysis completed in Civil-3D, it was calculated that **42.31** m^3 of rooftop storage is available in the 100-year event. For additional details on the calculations for available area of rooftop storage, refer to *Appendix D*.

Table 6 below summarizes the release rates and storage volumes required to meet the allowable release rate of **22.50 L/s** for the 100-year flow rates.

Catchment Area	Drainage Area (ha)	100-year Release Rate (L/s)	100-Year Required Storage (m ³)	Total Available Storage (m ³)
WS-01A, WS-01B* & WS-05 (UNCONTROLLED)	0.065	10.46	0	0
WS-02 (ROOF - CONTROLLED)	0.092	3.15	40.47	42.31
WS-03 (ICD-CONTROLLED)	0.069	6.88	14.61	27.30
WS-04 (CISTERN - CONTROLLED)	0.051	2.00	21.32	22.00
TOTAL	0.277	22.50	76.39	91.61
*The release rate at the 100 year storm in WS-01-B, which is uncontrolled to the neighbouring east property will be 5.7L/s.				

Table 6: Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary (100 Year)

To attenuate flows to the allowable release rate of **22.50** L/s, it is calculated that a total of **76.39** m^3 of storage will be required. The required storage is proposed to be met via a combination of building rooftop ponding, surface ponding in the paved parking lot and an internal building cistern. The total required storage and allowable release rate was divided as per the following;

- 40.47 m³ is required rooftop storage in WS-02 corresponding to a maximum restricted flow of 3.15 L/s via roof drain controls;
- 14.61 m³ is required surface storage in WS-03 corresponding to maximum restricted flow of 7.57 L/s via proposed Hydrovex 100VHV-1 ICD located in MH01;
- 21.32 m³ is required cistern storage in WS-04 corresponding to the maximum proposed pumping flow of 2.00 L/s.

The 100-year maximum ponding extent can be found on drawing "C601 – Stormwater Management Plan" of *Appendix E*.

To meet stormwater quality control identified by RVCA, a **Stormceptor EF04** Oil/Grit Separator is proposed to provide enhanced (80% TSS removal) treatment. Refer to C401 for location of OGS an Appendix D for sizing report and specs.

8 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

During construction, erosion and sediment controls will be provided primarily via a sediment control fence to be erected along the perimeter of the site where runoff has the potential of leaving

Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief Apartment Building 3040/3044 Innes Road, Ottawa, Ontario

the site. Inlet sediment control devices are also to be provided in any catch basin and/or manholes in and around the site that may be impacted by the site construction. Construction and maintenance requirements for erosion and sediment controls are to comply with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification OPSS 577. Refer to LRL Associates drawing C.101 for erosion and sediment control details.

9 CONCLUSION

This Stormwater Management and Servicing Report for the development proposed at 3040-3044 Innes Road presents the rationale and details for the servicing requirements for the subject property.

In accordance with the report objectives, the servicing requirements for the development are summarized below:

Water Service

- The maximum required fire flow was calculated at **10,000 L/min** using the FUS method.
- There are at least three (3) existing fire hydrants available to service the proposed development. They will provide a combined fire flow of **13,248** L/min to the site.
- The new development will be serviced with a new 150 mmΦ water service connection to be connected to the existing 406 mmΦ watermain within Innes Rd.
- Boundary conditions received from the City of Ottawa indicate that sufficient pressure is available to service the proposed site.

Sanitary Service

- The total calculated wet wastewater flow from the proposed development is **1.06 L/s**.
- The proposed development will discharge **1.06** L/s to the existing 250 mm dia. sanitary sewer within Innes Rd via a proposed 150 mm diameter sanitary service lateral.

Stormwater Management

- An OGS is proposed to meet the required 80% TSS removal specified as per consultation with RVCA.
- The stormwater release rates from the proposed development will meet calculated allowable release rate of **22.50 L/s.**
- Stormwater quantity control objectives will be met through on-site storm water ponding on the roof, surface parking lot, and internal building cistern.

10 REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The report conclusions are applicable only to this specific project described in the preceding pages. Any changes, modifications or additions will require a subsequent review by LRL Associates Ltd. to ensure the compatibility with the recommendations contained in this document. If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief Apartment Building 3040/3044 Innes Road, Ottawa, Ontario

LRL File: 210374 October 2023

Prepared by: LRL Associates Ltd.

Tamara Harb Civil Engineer-In-Training



Mohan Basnet, P.Eng. Civil Engineer

APPENDIX A

Pre-consultation / Correspondence

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST	
Project #: 210374	
2022-02-09 4.1 General Content	
Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
Date and revision number of the report.	Report Cover shee
Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	Drawings/Figures
Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figure 1
Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere	Section 1.0
Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 4.0 & Appen A
Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	Section 5.1, 6.1, 7.
Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0
Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Section 5.1, 6.1, 7.
Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	Section 7.0
Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	C301

Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	C401
All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:	
∘Metric scale	
∘North arrow (including construction North)	
∘⊠ey plan	
∘Name and contact information of applicant and property owner	C401
 Property limits including bearings and dimensions 	
∘Existing and proposed structures and parking areas	
∘Easements, road widening and rights-of-way	
∘Adjacent street names	
4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water	
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A
Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	Section 5.1
Identification of system constraints	Section 5.1
Identify boundary conditions	Section 5.2
Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	Section 5.2

Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should Section 5.2 show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.

Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	Section 5.2
Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	N/A
Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	N/A
Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.	N/A
Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Section 5.2
Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	Section 5.2
Description of off -site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 5.2
Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater	
Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 6.2
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A

Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N.A
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 6.1
Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	Section 6.2
Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 6.2 Appendix C
Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 6.2
Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A
Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	Section 6.1
Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A
Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A
4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist	
Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 7.1

Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	N/A
A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	N/A
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	Section 7.2.2
Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	Section 7.2.1
Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.	Section 7.4
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	N/A
Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	N/A
Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	Section 7.4
Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	N/A
Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	Section 7.4 Appendix D

Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A
Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	Appendix D
If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100 year return period storm event.	N/A
Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A
Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	Section 7.4
100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	NA
Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A
Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	Section 8.0
Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.	N/A
Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation	N/A
4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist	

Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.

Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	N/A
Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A

Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)

4.6 Conclusion Checklist

Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 9.0
Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	Noted
All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario	Noted



Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique

Site Plan Pre- Application Consultation Notes

Date: Monday, July 26, 2021
Site Location: 3040 Innes
Type of Development:

Residential (□ townhomes, □ stacked, □ singles,
□ apartments), □ Office Space, □ Commercial, □ Retail, □ Institutional,
□ Industrial, Other: N/A

Infrastructure

Water

Existing public services:

• Innes – 406mm Ductile Iron



Watermain Frontage Fees to be paid (\$190.00 per metre) on Woodroffe Avenue 🛛 Yes 🛛 🗆 No

Boundary conditions:

Civil consultant must request boundary conditions from the City's assigned Project Manager prior to first submission.

- Water boundary condition requests must include the location of the service(s) and the expected loads required by the proposed developments. Please provide all the following information:
 - Location of service(s)
 - Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS, 1999)
 - Average daily demand: ____ L/s
 - Maximum daily demand: ____ L/s
 - Maximum hourly daily demand: ____ L/s
 - Fire protection (Fire demand, Hydrant Locations)
- Please submit sanitary demands with the water boundary conditions to identify any capacity constraints at the local pumping station


General comments

- Service areas with a basic demand greater than 50 m³/day shall be connected with a minimum of two water services, separated by an isolation valve, to avoid creation of vulnerable service area.
- A District Metering Area Chamber (DMA) is required for services 150mm or greater in diameter.
- The existing water services must be blanked at the main.

Sanitary Sewer

Existing public services:

• Innes – 250mm Conc.



Is a monitoring manhole required on private property? 🛛 Yes

🗆 No

General comments

- Please submit sanitary demands with the water boundary conditions to identify any capacity constraints at the local pumping station.
- For concrete sewer pipe, maintenance holes shall be installed when the service is greater than 50% of the diameter of the mainline concrete pipe.

Storm Sewer

Existing public services:

• Innes – 450mm Conc.



General comments

- Ensure that the proposed drive ramp entrance to the underground parking garage is protected from the major overland flow route.
 - A minimum freeboard elevation of 350mm from highpoint of the ramp to the street spill elevation.
 - A minimum freeboard elevation of 300mm from the invert of the ramp drain to the 100 year HGL of the storm sewer.
 - In general conformity of City of Ottawa Standard S17.
- In order to minimize number of storm sewer connections the foundation drain and the drive ramp drain may connect to site sewer under free-flow conditions. The system must be designed to ensure that drainage does not back-up into the building drain or drive ramp.

Stormwater Management

Quality Control:

- Rideau Valley Conservation Authority to confirm quality control requirements.
- Quantity Control:
- Site is located within the Mud (Green's) Creek Area Subwatershed Study Area draining to the Ottawa River
- Time of concentration (Tc): Tc = pre-development; maximum Tc = 10 min
- Allowable run-off coefficient C = 0.5
- Allowable flowrate: Allowable flowrate: Control the 100-year storm events to the 2-year storm event.

General Service Design Comments

- Existing sewer or watermains that are not reused must be decommissioned as per City Standards. Please show all road cuts on the plans.
- The City of Ottawa Standard Detail Drawings should be referenced where possible for all work within the Public Right-of-Way.

Other

Capital Works Projects within proximity to application?

Yes
No

References and Resources

- As per section 53 of the Professional Engineers Act, O. Reg 941/40, R.S.O. 1990, all documents prepared by engineers must be signed and dated on the seal.
- All required plans & reports are to be provided in *.pdf format (at application submission and for any, and all, re-submissions)
- Please find relevant City of Ottawa Links to Preparing Studies and Plans below: https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/information-developers/development- application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans#standards-policies-and-guidelines
- To request City of Ottawa plan(s) or report information please contact the City of Ottawa Information Centre: <u>InformationCentre@ottawa.ca<mailto:InformationCentre@ottawa.ca</u>> (613) 580-2424 ext. 44455
- geoOttawa <u>http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoOttawa/</u>

PLANS & STUDIES LIST

For information on preparing required studies and plans refer to:

http://ottawa.ca/en/development-application-review-process-0/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans

S/A	Number of copies	ENGINEERING		S/A	Number of copies
<mark>S</mark>		1. Site Servicing Plan	2. Site Servicing Brief	<mark>S/Z</mark>	
<mark>S</mark>		 Grade Control and Drainage Plan 	4. Geotechnical Study	<mark>s/z</mark>	
		5. Composite Utility Plan	6. Groundwater Impact Study		
		 Servicing Options Report 	8. Wellhead Protection Study		
		 Community Transportation Study and/or Transportation Impact Study / Brief 	10. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan / Brief	<mark>S</mark>	
<mark>S/Z</mark>		11. Storm water Management Brief	12. Hydro-geological and Terrain Analysis		
		13. Water main Analysis	14. Noise / Vibration Study	S	
		15. Roadway Modification Design Plan	16. Confederation Line Proximity Study		

S – Required for Site Plan Control

Z – Required for Zoning By-Law Amendment

It is important to note that the need for additional studies and plans may result during application review. If following the submission of your application, it is determined that material that is not identified in this checklist is required to achieve complete application status, in accordance with the Planning Act and Official Plan requirements, City Planning will notify you of outstanding material required within the required 30 day period. Mandatory pre-application consultation will not shorten the City's standard processing timelines, or guarantee that an application will be approved. It is intended to help educate and inform the applicant about submission requirements as well as municipal processes, policies, and key issues in advance of submitting a formal development application. This list is valid for one year following the meeting date. If the application is not submitted within this timeframe the applicant must again pre-consult with the City.

Notes:

4. Geotechnical Study / Slope Stability Study – required as per Official Plan section 4.8.3. All site plan applications need to demonstrate the soils are suitable for development. A Slope Stability Study may be required with unique circumstances (Schedule K or topography may define slope stability concerns).
10. Erosion and Sediment Control Plan – required with all site plan applications as per Official Plan section 4.7.3.

11. Stormwater Management Report/Brief - required with all site plan applications as per Official Plan section 4.7.6.

Amr Salem

From:	Ryan Koolwine <koolwine@project1studio.ca></koolwine@project1studio.ca>
Sent:	October 19, 2021 11:59 AM
To:	Amr Salem
To: Cc: Subject: Attachments:	Matthew Firestone; Bailey Haskins RE: LRL210374 - 3040/3044 Innes Rd - Fire Flow Architectural Assumptions 2110 210415 Prelim Design.pdf
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed

Hi Amr,

Please see the attached preliminary plans, there may be some adjustments to this however there will not be huge deviations. With respect to your bullet points, responses as follows:

• Unit breakdown as per the table below.

	1 Bedroom	1 Bedroom + Den	2-Bedroom	2-Bedroom + Den
Level 01		4	5	
Level 02	1	4	4	2
Level 03	1	4	4	2
Level 04	1	4	4	2
Total	3	16	17	6

- Total Floor area is listed on the first page of the attached PDF.
- The building will be sprinklered and will have a fire alarm system.
- The building will be wood framed, so I would assume ISO Class 1.

Cheers,

Ryan Koolwine

project1studio | 613 884-3939 x1

From: Amr Salem <asalem@lrl.ca>
Sent: October 5, 2021 11:11 AM
To: Ryan Koolwine <koolwine@project1studio.ca>
Cc: Matthew Firestone <matthew.firestone@landrichomes.com>
Subject: LRL210374 - 3040/3044 Innes Rd - Fire Flow Architectural Assumptions

Hey Ryan,

Kindly provide your input on the following to help us finalize our fireflow demand calculations for the proposed development at 3040/3044 Innes Rd;

- Can you please confirm breakdown of unit types?
- Can you please confirm the total floor area?
- Can you confirm if sprinklers are proposed for the building? If yes, please specify if sprinkler system is *fully supervised* and *automatic*?
- Kindly provide the **ISO class** for the building as per ISO Guide sections 1, 2 and 3. I have included a brief summary of ISO Guide (review chapter 2 for construction types) as well as the section from the City's technical bulletin. Note that ISO refers only to fire-resistive for fire ratings not less than 1-hour.

A. Determine the type of construction.

• Coefficient C in the FUS method is equivalent to coefficient F in the ISO method:

FUS type of construction	ISO class of construction	Coefficient C
Fire-resistive construction	Class 6 (fire resistive)	0.6
	Class 5 (modified fire resistive)	0.6
Non-combustible construction	Class 4 (masonry non-combustible)	0.8
	Class 3 (non-combustible)	0.8
Ordinary construction	Class 2 (joisted masonry)	1.0
Wood frame construction	Class 1 (frame)	1.5

Correspondence between FUS and ISO construction coefficients

However, the FUS definition of fire-resistive construction is more restrictive than those of ISO construction classes 5 and 6 (modified fire resistive and fire resistive). FUS requires structural members and floors in buildings of fire-resistive construction to have a fire-resistance rating of 3 hours or longer.

- With the exception of fire-resistive construction that is defined differently by FUS and ISO, practitioners can refer to the definitions of the ISO construction classes (and the supporting definitions of the types of materials and assemblies that make up the ISO construction classes) found in the current ISO guide [4] (see Annex i) to help select coefficient *C*.
- To identify the most appropriate type of construction for buildings of mixed construction, the rules included in the current ISO guide [4] can be followed (see Annex i). For a building to be assigned a given classification, the rules require 3/ (67%) or more of the total wall area and 3/ (67%) or more of the total floor and roof area of the building to be constructed according to the given construction class or a higher class.
- New residential developments (less than 4 storeys) are predominantly of wood frame construction (C = 1.5) or ordinary construction (C = 1.0) if exterior walls are of brick or masonry. Residential buildings with exterior walls of brick or masonry veneer and those with less than ¾ (67%) of their exterior walls made of brick or masonry are considered wood frame construction (C = 1.5).

Thanks,

Amr Salem, PMP®

B.Eng, Civil Engineering Services

Amr Salem

From:	Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca></jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>
Sent:	October 19, 2021 9:17 AM
To:	Amr Salem
Subject:	RE: LRL210374 - 3040/3044 Innes Road - SWM Quality Requirements
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

Good Morning Amr,

Stormwater from this site outlets less than 2 km to a watercourse. Therefore, on-site water quality treatment would be required. The appropriate water quality target is 'enhanced' (80% TSS removal). We would strongly encourage that you explore the opportunity to incorporate LID measures into the stormwater management plan for this site.

Jamie Batchelor, MCIP, RPP Planner, ext. 1191 Jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca



3889 Rideau Valley Drive PO Box 599, Manotick ON K4M 1A5 T 613-692-3571 | 1-800-267-3504 F 613-692-0831 | www.rvca.ca

This message may contain information that is privileged or confidential and is intended to be for the use of the individual(s) or entity n may contain confidential or personal information which may be subject to the provisions of the Municipal *Freedom of Information & I* you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, any use, review, revision, retransmission, distribution, dissemination, copying, printing taking of any action in reliance upon this e-mail, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the send and any copy of the e-mail and any printout thereof, immediately. Your cooperation is appreciated.

From: Amr Salem <asalem@lrl.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, October 6, 2021 5:39 PM
To: Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>
Subject: LRL210374 - 3040/3044 Innes Road - SWM Quality Requirements

Hello Jamie,

I wanted to consult with you regarding a residential development we are working on located at 3040/3044 Innes Road.

Existing runoff from the site is tributary to Ottawa River East subwatershed and drains into municipal sewer along Innes Rd travelling approx. 1.9 km before discharging into a creek that ultimately conveys to the Rideau River.

Site area currently consists of 2 existing residential buildings with paved driveways and majority of landscaping.

The development proposes a residential 4-storey with 14 surface parking spots and an underground parking garage. The site will be landscape with stormwater coming primarily from rooftop, landscaped rear yard and paved area surface parking lot. *Refer to draft site plan attached for reference.*



Please provide your input about quality controls that may be required for this site.



Amr Salem, PMP[®] B.Eng, Civil Engineering Services LRL Engineering 5430 Canotek Road Ottawa, Ontario K1J 9G2

T (613) 842-3434 or (877) 632-5664 ext 248


F (613) 842-4338

E <u>asalem@lrl.ca</u> W <u>www.lrl.ca</u>

2

APPENDIX B

Water Supply Calculations



Water Supply Calculations



LRL File No. 210374 Date October 27, 2021 Prepared by Amr Salem

Residential Demand based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines-Water Distribution, 2010

Unit Type	Persons Per Unit	Number of Units	Population
1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4	19	26.6
2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1	23	48.3
	Total	42	74.9

Average Water Consumption Rate	280	L/c/d	
Average Day Demand	20,972	L/d	0.24 L/s
Maximum Day Factor	6.5		(MOE Table 3-3)
Maximum Daily Demand	136,068	L/d	1.57 L/s
Peak Hour Factor	9.7		(MOE Table 3-3)
Maximum Hour Demand	1,326,195	L/d	15.35 L/s

Water Service Pipe Sizing

Q = VA

Where: V = velocity A = area of pipe Q = flow rate

Assuming a maximum velocity of 1.8m/s, the diameter of pipe is calculated as:

Minimum pipe diameter (d) =	(4Q/πV) ^{1/2}	
=	0.104	m
=	104	mm
Proposed pipe diameter (d) =	150	mm
=	6	Inches



Fire Flow Calculations

	Julutions
LRL File No.	210374
Date	October 27, 2021
Method	Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS)
Prepared by	Amr Salem

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	Unit	Fire Flow
			Structural Framing M	aterial				
		Wood Frame	1.5					
	Choose frame used for	Coefficient C	Ordinary Construction	1.0]			
1 Choose frame used for building	related to the type of	Non-combustible construction	0.8	Wood Frame	1.5			
		construction	Fire resistive construction <2 hrs	0.7]			
			Fire resistive construction >2 hrs	0.6				
			Floor Space Area	(A)				
2			Total area			3,616	m²	
3	Obtain fire flow before reductions	e Required fire flow Fire Flow = 220 x C x A ^{0.5}		L/min	19,844			
	Reductions or surcharge due to factors affecting burning							
4	Choose combustibility of contents Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge		Non-combustible	-25%				16,867
			Limited combustible	-15%				
		reduction or surcharge	Combustible	0%	Limited combustible -1	-15%	L/min	
			Free burning	15%				
			Rapid burning	25%				
			Full automatic sprinklers	-30%	True -30%	-30%		
5	5 Choose reduction for sprinkler redu	Sprinkler reduction	Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines	-10%	True	-10%	L/min	8,434
			Fully supervised system	-10%	True	-10%		
			North side	>30m	0%			
6	Choose separation	Exposure distance	East side	>30m	0% 0%		L/min	in 9,699
0		between units	South side	>30m			L/11111	
			West side	10.1 to 20m	15%	15%		
	Net required fire flow							
	- Obtain fire flow, Minimum required fire flow rate (rounded to nearest 1000)			L/min	10,000			
7	7 Obtain the now, duration, and volume Minimum required fire flow rate			L/s	166.7			
					Required duration of	of fire flow	hr	2

Boundary Conditions 3040 & 3044 Innes Rd

Provided Information

Seenerie	De	Demand		
Scenario	L/min	L/s		
Average Daily Demand	12	0.24		
Maximum Daily Demand	94	1.57		
Peak Hour	921	15.35		
Fire Flow Demand #1	10,000	166.67		

Location



Results

Connection 1 – Innes Rd.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	131.0	63.7
Peak Hour	127.1	58.2
Max Day plus Fire 1	125.7	56.3


Ground Elevation = 86.2 m

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection Calculations





LRL File No Project: Location: Date:				210374 4-Storey Apartment Bldg 3040/3044 Innes Road October 23, 2023			Average Daily Flow = 280 L/p/day Commercial & Institutional Flow = 50000 L/ha/day Light Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/day				ry Design Parameters Industrial Peak Factor = as per Appendix 4-B = 7 Extraneous Flow = 0.33L/s/gross ha				Pipe Design Parameters Minimum Velocity = 0.60 m/s Manning's n = 0.013											
	LOCATION	V		RESIDEN	TIAL AREA	AND POPL	JLATION		COMM	ERCIAL		NDUSTRIA	\L	INSTITU	ITIONAL	C+I+I	IN	FILTRATIC	ON	TOTAL			F	PIPE		
STREET	FROM MH	ТО МН	AREA (Ha)	POP.	CUMMU AREA (Ha)	JLATIVE POP.	PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	PEAK FACT.	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	INFILT. FLOW (I/s)	TOTAL FLOW (I/s)	LENGTH (m)	DIA. (mm)	SLOPE (%)	MATERIAL	CAP. (FULL) (I/s)	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)
INNES	Bldg	SAN MH01	0.280	74.9	0.28	74.9	4.0	0.97	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.280	0.280	0.09	1.06	1.2	150	2.00%	PVC	21.54	1.22
RD	SAN MH01	SAN MH02																		1.06	4.3	150	2.00%	PVC	21.54	1.22

NOTES Existing inverts and slopes are estimated. They are to be confirmed on-site.


Desię Chec Dwg

esigned:		PROJECT:								
Т.Н.		Apartment Building								
necked:	LOCATION:									
M.B.		3040/3044 Innes Rd								
vg. Reference:	File Ref.:	Date:	Sheet No.							
C.401	210374	2023-10-23	1 of 1							

APPENDIX D

Stormwater Management Calculations Watts Roof Drain Specification Hydrovex ICD Stormceptor OGS Existing and Post Development Drainage to NE PL

LRL Associates Ltd. Storm Watershed Summary



Pre-Development Catchments

WATERSHED	C = 0.2	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Total Area (m ²)	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
EWS-01-A (TO ROW)	38.6	0.0	0.0	38.6	0.004	0.20
EWS-02-A (TO EAST PROPERTY)	1151.7	55.0	479.7	1686.4	0.169	0.42
EWS-01-B(TO ROW)	73.5	0.0	70.2	143.7	0.014	0.54
EWS-02-B (TO EAST PROPERTY)	788.2	0.0	116.1	904.3	0.090	0.29
TOTAL	2052.0	55.0	666.0	2773.0	0.277	0.38

Post-Development Catchments

WATERSHED	C = 0.20	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Total Area (m ²)	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
WS-01A & WS-01B (UNCONTROLLED)	570.0	0.0	55.0	625.0	0.063	0.26
WS-02 (ROOF - CONTROLLED)	0.0	0.0	924.0	924.0	0.092	0.90
WS-03 (CONTROLLED)	232.3	0.0	456.3	688.6	0.069	0.66
WS-04 (CISTERN - CONTROLLED)	49.7	79.0	381.1	509.8	0.051	0.82
WS-05 (UNCONTROLLED)	25.6	0.0	0.0	25.6	0.003	0.20
TOTAL	877.6	79.0	1816.4	2773.0	0.277	0.68

	LRL File No. Project: Location: Date: Designed: Drawing Ref.:	210374 New 4-Storey Building 3040-3044 Innes Rd October 10, 2023 Tamara Harb C.601				water Managem Design Sheet	ent	
C = Ru I = Ra A = Are	8CIA (L/s) noff coefficient infall intensity (mm/hr) aa (ha) ne of concentration (min)	= A / (Td + C) ^B						
Pre-development Stormwater Ma I ₂ = 732	a <u>nagement</u> 2.95 / (Td + 6.199) ^{0.81}		a =	732.951	b =	0.81	C =	6.199
C = I = Tc = Total Area = Allowable Reic	0.38 max of 0.5 as pe 76.8 mm/hr 10 min 0.277 ha pase Rate= 22.50	r City of Ottawa						
Allowable Reis		L'5						
	Total Site	Area =	0.277	ha	∑R=	ΣR _{2&5} 0.68	∑R ₁₀₀ 0.84	
	WS-02 (BOOF -C		0.002	ha	 P-	0.00	1.00	

	WS-02 (ROOF -CONTROLLED)	0.092	ha	R=	0.90	1.00
Controlled	WS-03 (CONTROLLED)	0.069	ha	R=	0.66	0.83
Controlled	WS-04 (CISTERN -CONTROLLED)	0.051	ha	R=	0.82	1.00
	Total Controlled =	0.212	ha	∑R=	0.80	1.00
	WS-01A & WS-01B (UNCONTROLLED)	0.063	ha	R=	0.26	0.33
Un-controlled	WS-05 (UNCONTROLLED)	0.003	ha	R=	0.20	0.25
	Total Un-Controlled =	0.065	ha	∑R=	0.26	0.32

Post-development Stormwater Management (Uncontrolled Catchment WS-01 & WS-05)

2 Year Storm Event:

Г

l ₂ =	732.95 / (Td +	6.199) ^{0.81}		a =	732.951	b = 0.81	C =	6.199	
	Intensity	Uncontrolled	Controlled Release Rate						
Time (min)	(mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s)	Constant (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)					
10	76.8	3.60	0.00	3.60					

Post-development Stormwater Management (WS-03) - ICD

2 Year Storm Event:

			Storage Required	d				
Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	*Controlled Release Rate Constant (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)		
10	76.8	9.76	3.79	3.44	0.00	3.44		
15	61.8	7.85	3.97	3.44	0.00	3.44		
20	52.0	6.61	3.80	3.44	0.00	3.44		
25	45.2	5.74	3.45	3.44	0.00	3.44		
30	40.0	5.09	2.96	3.44	0.00	3.44		
35	36.1	4.58	2.40	3.44	0.00	3.44		
40	32.9	4.18	1.76	3.44	0.00	3.44		
45	30.2	3.84	1.08	3.44	0.00	3.44		
50	28.0	3.56	0.37	3.44	0.00	3.44		
60	24.6	3.12	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
70	21.9	2.78	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
90	18.1	2.31	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
110	15.6	1.98	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
130	13.7	1.74	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
150	12.3	1.56	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
170	11.1	1.41	0.00	3.44	0.00	3.44		
he 100-year peak	flow for undergrou	nd storage calculat	tion					

Total Storage Required = 3.97 Available Underground Storage 4.24 ICD Design Head = 1.39 ICD Dype Hydrovex 100VHV-1

 Available Underground Storage

 r
 Area (m2)
 Length (m)

 0.16
 11.8

 r
 Area (m2)
 Depth from 85.88m

 1.13
 1

 1.13
 1.09

 Total Analiable Underground Starage Starage
 Oversized Pipe Storm Sewer Sructures CB MH-01 MH-01 A Diameter 0.375 Diameter 1.2 1.2 Volume (m3) 1.88 Volume (m3) 1.13 1.23 4.24 3.66 Total Available Underground Storage = Required 2-Year Storage =



210374 New 4-Storey Building 3040-3044 Innes Rd October 10, 2023 LRL File No. Proiect: Location: Date: Designed: Tamara Harb Drawing Ref.: C.601

Stormwater Management Design Sheet

Post-development Stormwater Management (WS-04) - Cistern

2 Year Storm Event:

	l ₂ = 732.95 / (Td +	6.199) ^{0.81}		a =	732.951	b = 0.	31	C =	6.19
			Storage Required						
	Intensity	Controlled	2	Controlled Release Rate	Uncontrolled	Total Release			
Time (min)	(mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Constant (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Rate (L/s)			
10	76.8	8.89	4.13	2.00	0.00	2.00			
15	61.8	7.15	4.63	2.00	0.00	2.00			
20	52.0	6.02	4.82	2.00	0.00	2.00			
25	45.2	5.23	4.84	2.00	0.00	2.00			
30	40.0	4.63	4.74	2.00	0.00	2.00			
35	36.1	4.17	4.56	2.00	0.00	2.00			
40	32.9	3.80	4.32	2.00	0.00	2.00			
45	30.2	3.50	4.05	2.00	0.00	2.00			
50	28.0	3.24	3.73	2.00	0.00	2.00			
60	24.6	2.84	3.03	2.00	0.00	2.00			
70	21.9	2.53	2.25	2.00	0.00	2.00			
90	18.1	2.10	0.53	2.00	0.00	2.00			
110	15.6	1.80	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00			
130	13.7	1.58	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00			
150	12.3	1.42	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00			
170	11.1	1.29	0.00	2.00	0.00	2.00			
			Total Storage Required =	4.84	m ³	refer to LRL Plan C.60	1		
		Ava	ilable CISTERN Storage =		m ³				

Post-development Stormwater Management (WS-02 On Roof)

2 Year Storm Event: I₂ = 732.95 / (Td + 6.199)^{0.81} a = 732.951 b = 0.81 C = 6.199 Storage Required Intensity Controlled Controlled Release Rate Total Release Uncontrolled Controlled Runoff (L/s) 17.76 14.28 Runoff (L/s) Time (min) (mm/hr) Storage Volume (m³) Constant (L/s) Rate (L/s) 76.8 61.8 52.0 10 8.76 3.15 3.15 0.00 15 10.01 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 20 12.03 10.65 3.15 25 45.2 10.44 9.26 3.15 0.00 10.93 30 10.99 10.88 10.66 35 40 45 36.1 32.9 8.34 7.60 3.15 3.15 0.00 3.15 3.15 30.2 28.0 24.6 6.99 10.36 3.15 3.15 0.00 3.15 6.48 5.68 3.15 3.15 50 9.98 9.08 3.15 0.00 60 24.0 21.9 19.8 18.1 16.7 15.6 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 3.15 5.07 4.58 4.19 0.00
0.00
0.00 8.03 6.86 70 80 90 5.62 100 3.87 3.60 4.30 0.00 2.94 110 0.00 120 14.6 3.37 1.53 3.15 0.00 3.15 Summary of Roof Storage Maximum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 10.99 ${\sf m}^3$ Proposed Head = Control Flow/Drain = 150 0.63 mm L/s *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height. Number of Roof Drains = Total Flow from Roof Drain = **5** 3.15 L/s
 Available Roof Storage =
 42.31
 m³
 (Analysed using Civil 3D)

 Roof Drain Model =
 Watts Roof Drain with Adjustable Flow Setting (Watts RD-100-A-ADJ Weir Opening = Closed)

	orage Required = le Roof Storage =	10.99 42.31	m³ m³	refer to LRL Plan C.601
SUMMARY	OF RELEASE RATES A	ND STORAGE VOLUMES		
Desiman	2 Delesse			

	SUMMARY OF RELEASE RATES AND STORAGE VOLUMES												
Catchment Area	Drainage Area (ha)	2-year Release Rate (L/s)	2-Year Required Storage (m3)	Total Available Storage (m3)									
WS-01 & WS-05 (Un- Controlled)	0.065	3.60	0	0									
WS-02 (Roof Controls)	0.092	3.15	10.99	42.31									
WS-03 (ICD)	0.069	3.44	3.97	3.66									
WS-04 (Cistern)	0.051	2.00	4.84	22.00									
TOTAL	0.277	12.20	19.79	67.97									

	LRL File No. Project: Location: Date: Designed: Drawing Ref.:	210374 New 4-Storey Building 3040-3044 Innes Rd October 10, 2023 Tamara Harb C.601				vater Managem Design Sheet	ent	
Runoff Equation								
C = Rı I = Ra A = Ar	78CIA (L/s) Inoff coefficient Iinfall intensity (mm/hr) ea (ha) me of concentration (min)	= A / (Td + C) ^B						
	2.95 / (Td + 6.199) ^{0.81}		a =	732.951	b =	0.81	C =	6.199
C = I = Tc = Total Area =	0.38 max of 0.5 as per 76.8 mm/hr 10 min 0.277 ha	r City of Ottawa						
Allowable Rel	ease Rate= 22.50	L/s						
Post-development Stormwater I	Management					ΣR ₂₈₅	ΣR ₁₀₀	
	Total Site		0.277	ha	∑R=	0.68	0.84	
	WS-02 (ROOF -0	CONTROLLED)	0.092	ha	R=	0.90	1.00	

	WS-02 (ROOF -CONTROLLED)	0.092	ha	R=	0.90	1.00
Controlled	WS-03 (CONTROLLED)	0.069	ha	R=	0.66	0.83
Controlled	WS-04 (CISTERN -CONTROLLED)	0.051	ha	R=	0.82	1.00
	Total Controlled =	0.212	ha	∑R=	0.80	1.00
	WS-01A & WS-01B (UNCONTROLLED)	0.063	ha	R=	0.26	0.33
Un-controlled	WS-05 (UNCONTROLLED)	0.003	ha	R=	0.20	0.25
	Total Un-Controlled =	0.065	ha	∑R=	0.26	0.32

Post-development Stormwater Management (Uncontrolled Catchment WS-01 & WS-05)

Post-development Stormwater Management (WS-03) - ICD

100 Year Storm Event:

Г

I ₁₀₀ =	1735.688 / (Td	+ 6.014) ^{0.820}		a =	1735.688	b = 0.82	0	C = 6.014
	Internetter	Unanaturalla d	Controlled Delegas Date		1			
Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Controlled Release Rate Constant (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)				
10	178.6	10.46	0.00	10.46				
					1			

100 Year Storm Event:

I ₁₀	_o = 1735.688 / (To	I + 6.014) ^{0.820}		a =	1735.688	b = (0.820	C = 6.014
			Storage Required					
Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate Constant (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)		
10	178.6	28.36	12.89	6.88	0.00	6.88		
15	142.9	22.70	14.23	6.88	0.00	6.88		
20	120.0	19.05	14.61	6.88	0.00	6.88		
25	103.8	16.50	14.42	6.88	0.00	6.88		
30	91.9	14.59	13.88	6.88	0.00	6.88		
35	82.6	13.12	13.09	6.88	0.00	6.88		
40	75.1	11.94	12.13	6.88	0.00	6.88		
45	69.1	10.97	11.03	6.88	0.00	6.88		
50	64.0	10.16	9.83	6.88	0.00	6.88		
60	55.9	8.88	7.18	6.88	0.00	6.88		
70	49.8	7.91	4.31	6.88	0.00	6.88		
90	41.1	6.53	0.00	6.88	0.00	6.88		
110	35.2	5.59	0.00	6.88	0.00	6.88		
130	30.9	4.91	0.00	6.88	0.00	6.88		
150	27.6	4.39	0.00	6.88	0.00	6.88		
170	25.0	3.97	0.00	6.88	0.00	6.88		
			Total Storage Required =		m ³			
			Available Storage =		m ³	refer to LRL Plan C.6	01	

otorago noquirou	
Available Storage =	27.30
ICD Design Head =	1.39
ICD Type	Hydrovex 100VHV-1



 LRL File No.
 210374

 Project:
 New 4-Storey Building

 Location:
 3040-3044 Innes Rd

 Date:
 October 10, 2023

 Designed:
 Tamara Harb

 Drawing Ref.:
 C.601

Stormwater Management Design Sheet

Post-development Stormwater Management (WS-04) - Cistern

100 Year Storm Event:

l ₁₀₀ = 1735.688 / (Td + 6.014) ^{0.820}		a =	1735.688	b = 0.	b = 0.820 C = 6.014			
			Storage Required	1				
Гime (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate Constant (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)		
10	178.6	25.31	13.98	2.00	0.00	2.00		
15	142.9	20.25	16.43	2.00	0.00	2.00		
20	120.0	17.00	18.00	2.00	0.00	2.00		
25	103.8	14.72	19.08	2.00	0.00	2.00		
30	91.9	13.02	19.84	2.00	0.00	2.00		
35	82.6	11.70	20.38	2.00	0.00	2.00		
40	75.1	10.65	20.76	2.00	0.00	2.00		
45	69.1	9.79	21.02	2.00	0.00	2.00		
50	64.0	9.06	21.19	2.00	0.00	2.00		
60	55.9	7.92	21.32	2.00	0.00	2.00		
70	49.8	7.06	21.24	2.00	0.00	2.00		
90	41.1	5.83	20.66	2.00	0.00	2.00		
110	35.2	4.99	19.73	2.00	0.00	2.00		
130	30.9	4.38	18.56	2.00	0.00	2.00		
150	27.6	3.91	17.22	2.00	0.00	2.00		
170	25.0	3.54	15.75	2.00	0.00	2.00		
			Total Storage Required =		m ³	refer to LRL Plan C.60	1	
		Ava	ilable CISTERN Storage =	22.00	m ³			

Post-development Stormwater Management (WS-02 On Roof)

կ	₀₀ = 1735.688 / (To	1+6.014)		a =	1735.688	b = 0	.820	C = 6.014
			Storage Require	ed				
Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate Constant (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)		
10	178.6	45.87	25.63	3.15	0.00	3.15		
15	142.9	36.71	30.20	3.15	0.00	3.15		
20	120.0	30.81	33.19	3.15	0.00	3.15		
25	103.8	26.68	35.28	3.15	0.00	3.15		
30	91.9	23.60	36.80	3.15	0.00	3.15		
35	82.6	21.21	37.92	3.15	0.00	3.15		
40	75.1	19.30	38.76	3.15	0.00	3.15		
45	69.1	17.74	39.37	3.15	0.00	3.15		
50	64.0	16.43	39.82	3.15	0.00	3.15		
60	55.9	14.36	40.33	3.15	0.00	3.15		
70	49.8	12.79	40.47	3.15	0.00	3.15		
80	45.0	11.56	40.33	3.15	0.00	3.15		
90	41.1	10.56	39.99	3.15	0.00	3.15		
100	37.9	9.74	39.49	3.15	0.00	3.15		
110	35.2	9.04	38.86	3.15	0.00	3.15		
120	32.9	8.45	38.13	3.15	0.00	3.15		
Maximum	Required Roof Sto	Summary of Roo		m ³				
		Proposed Head =		mm	*An Emergency overflo	ow scupper is provided al	bove this height.	
	Co	ontrol Flow/Drain =	0.63	L/s			-	
	Numbe	er of Roof Drains =	5					
	Total Flow	from Roof Drain =	3.15	L/s				
	Availab	le Roof Storage =	42.31	m ³	(Analysed using Civil 3	D)		
				able Flow Setting (Watts RD-100-				

Total Storage Required = Available Roof Storage =

40.47 42.31

m³ m³

refer to LRL Plan C.601

	SUMMARY	OF RELEASE RATES A	ND STORAGE VOLUMES	
Catchment Area	Drainage Area (ha)	100-year Release Rate (L/s)	100-Year Required Storage (m3)	Total Available Storage (m3)
WS-01 & WS-05 (Un- Controlled)	0.065	10.46	0	0
WS-02 (Roof Controls)	0.092	3.15	40.47	42.31
WS-03 (ICD)	0.069	6.88	14.61	27.30
WS-04 (Cistern)	0.051	2.00	21.32	22.00
TOTAL	0.277	22.50	76.39	91.61

LRL Associates Ltd. Storm Design Sheet



 LRL File No.
 210374

 Project:
 New 4-Storey Apartment Building

 Location:
 3040/3044 Innes Rd

 Date:
 October 10, 2023

 Designed:
 Tamara Harb

 Drawing Reference:
 C.401

	Storm De	sign Parameters	
Rational Method Q = 2.78CIA			Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport IDF curve
			equation (2 year event, intensity in mm/hr)
Q = Peak flow in litres per second (L/s)	Runoff Coefficient (C)		$I_2 = 732.95 / (Td + 6.199)^{0.81}$
A = Drainage area in hectares (ha)	Grass	0.20	Min. velocity = 0.80 m/s
C = Runoff coefficient	Gravel	0.80	Manning's "n" = 0.013
I = Rainfall intensity (mm/hr)	Asphalt / rooftop	0.90	

LOC	CATION			AREA (ha) FLOW STORM SEWER															
WATERSHED / STREET	From MH	To MH	C = 0.20	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Indiv. 2.78AC	Accum. 2.78AC	Time of Conc. (min.)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Peak Flow Q (L/s)	Controlled Flow Q (L/s)	Pipe Diameter (mm)	Туре	Slope (%)	Length (m)	Capacity Full (L/s)	Velocity Full (m/s)	Time of Flow (min.)	Ratio (Q/Q _{FULL})
	CB MH01	STM MH01	0.023	0.000	0.046	0.127	0.13	10.00	76.8	9.76	7.57	375	PVC	0.30%	11.8	96.0	0.87	0.23	0.10
WS-03	STM MH01	OGS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.13	10.23	75.9	9.65	7.57	250	PVC	0.45%	1.6	39.9	0.81	0.03	0.19
	OGS	STM MH02	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.13	10.26	75.8	9.64	7.57	250	PVC	0.50%	1.8	42.0	0.86	0.04	0.18
	CISTERN & ROOF	STM MH05	0.005	0.008	0.131	0.347	0.35	10.00	76.8	26.64	5.15	250	PVC	0.45%	1.5	39.9	0.81	0.03	0.13
WS-04 & WS-02	STM MH05	STM MH04	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.35	10.03	76.7	26.60	5.15	250	PVC	0.45%	11.8	39.9	0.81	0.24	0.13
	STM MH04	STM MH02	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.35	10.27	75.8	26.28	5.15	250	PVC	0.45%	4.3	39.9	0.81	0.09	0.13
WS-02, WS-03, WS-04	STM MH02	STM MH03	0.028	0.008	0.176	0.474	0.47	10.36	75.4	35.76	12.72	250	PVC	2.00%	15.1	84.1	1.71	0.15	0.43

Surface Properties - ROOF PONDING

Statistics	Value	
General		
Revision number	0	
Number of points	845	
Minimum X coordinate	395969.38m	
Minimum Y coordinate	5043718.53m	
Maximum X coordinate	396004.51m	
Maximum Y coordinate	5043766.28m	
Minimum elevation	0.00m	
Maximum elevation	0.15m	
Mean elevation	0.05m	
TIN		
Number of triangles	1500	
Maximum triangle area	0.00hectares	
Minimum triangle area	0.00hectares	
Minimum triangle lengt	n 0.00m	
Maximum triangle leng	h 11.64m	
🗉 Volume		
Base Surface	ROOF	
Comparison Surface	HWL 100.15	
Cut Factor	1.00	
Fill Factor	1.00	
Cut volume (adjusted)	0.00 Cu, M.	
Fill volume (adjusted)	42.31 Cu. M.	
Net volume (adjusted)	42.31 Cu, M. <fill></fill>	
Cut volume (unadjusted) 0.00 Cu. M.	
Fill volume (unadjusted	42.31 Cu. M.	
Net volume (unadjusted) 42.31 Cu. M. <fill></fill>	

Information Definition Analysis Statistics

– 🗆 🗙

Surface Properties - PARKING PONDING

Information Definition Analysis Statistics

	Value
General	
Revision number	0
Number of points	685
Minimum X coordinate	379446.23m
Minimum Y coordinate	5033650.13m
Maximum X coordinate	379468.38m
Maximum Y coordinate	5033682.63m
Minimum elevation	-0.11m
Maximum elevation	0.30m
Mean elevation	0.11m
TIN	
Number of triangles	1182
Maximum triangle area	0.00hectares
Minimum triangle area	0.00hectares
Minimum triangle length	0.00m
Maximum triangle length	10.78m
J Volume	
Base Surface	PROPOSED
Comparison Surface	HWL(86.18)
Cut Factor	1.00
Fill Factor	1.00
Cut volume (adjusted)	0.23 Cu. M.
Fill volume (adjusted)	31.35 Cu, M.
Net volume (adjusted)	31.12 Cu. M. <fill></fill>
Cut volume (unadjusted)	0.23 Cu. M.
Fill volume (unadjusted)	31.35 Cu. M.
rin forunne (unuajustea)	31.12 Cu. M. <fill></fill>

– 🗆 X



Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains

ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

WATTS®

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below. Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be: [5 gpm(per inch of head) x 2 inches of head] + $2 \cdot 1/2$ gpm(for the third inch of head) = $12 \cdot 1/2$ gpm.




TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings

8			Head o	f Water		
Weir Opening Exposed	1"	2"	3"	4"	5"	6"
LAPOSEd			Flow Rate (gall	ons per minute)		
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	25	30
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25	25
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75	15
Closed	5	10	10	10	10	10
b Name b Location igineer			Contractor			
		ny obligation to make similar c		oducts previously or subseque	ut prior notice and without incurr ntly sold. See your WATTS Drain	
ecification Drainage Pro	ducts C	ANADA: 5435 North Service R	toad, Burlington, ON, L7L 5H7 1	EL: 905-332-6718 TOLL-FR	EE: 1-888-208-8927 Website: w	ww.wattscanada.ca

ES-WD-RD-ACCUTROLADJ CANADA 0110


(Dimension) Denotes Millimeters



ACCUTROL WEIR FLOW CONTROL

SPECIFICATION: Watts Drainage Products epoxy coated cast iron Accutrol Weir is designed with parabolic openings which limit the flow of rain water off a roof. Each weir slot controls flow to 5 gpm per inch of head to a maximum of 30 gpm at 6" head(for large sump), 25 gpm at 5" head(for small sump) . The Accutrol Weir is secured to the flashing clamp of the roof drain. The Accutrol Weir is available with 1 to 4 slots for the large sump drain and up to 3 slots for the small sump drain.


For Large Sump Roof Drains Specify the "-A" option and number of slots required. (ie. "RD-100-A2" for two slot weir) For Small Sump Roof Drains Specify the "-A" option and number of slots required. (ie. "RD-200-A1" for one slot weir)



CSO/STORMWATER MANAGEMENT



[®] HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulator



JOHN MEUNIER

HYDROVEX® VHV / SVHV VERTICAL VORTEX FLOW REGULATOR

APPLICATIONS

One of the major problems of urban wet weather flow management is the runoff generated after a heavy rainfall. During a storm, uncontrolled flows may overload the drainage system and cause flooding. Due to increased velocities, sewer pipe wear is increased dramatically and results in network deterioration. In a combined sewer system, the wastewater treatment plant may also experience significant increases in flows during storms, thereby losing its treatment efficiency.

A simple means of controlling excessive water runoff is by controlling excessive flows at their origin (manholes). John Meunier Inc. manufactures the HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV line of vortex flow regulators to control stormwater flows in sewer networks, as well as manholes.

The vortex flow regulator design is based on the fluid mechanics principle of the forced vortex. This grants flow regulation without any moving parts, thus reducing maintenance. The operation of the regulator, depending on the upstream head and discharge, switches between orifice flow (gravity flow) and vortex flow. Although the concept is quite simple, over 12 years of research have been carried out in order to get a high performance.

The HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulators (refer to Figure 1) are manufactured entirely of stainless steel, and consist of a hollow body (1) (in which flow control takes place) and an outlet orifice (7). Two rubber "O" rings (3) seal and retain the unit inside the outlet pipe. Two stainless steel retaining rings (4) are welded on the outlet sleeve to ensure that there is no shifting of the "O" rings during installation and use.




FIGURE 1: HYDROVEX[®] VHV-SVHV VERTICAL VORTREX FLOW REGULATORS

ADVANTAGES

- The **HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV** line of flow regulators are manufactured entirely of stainless steel, making them durable and corrosion resistant.
- Having no moving parts, they require minimal maintenance.
- The geometry of the **HYDROVEX**[®] **VHV** / **SVHV** flow regulators allows a control equal to an orifice plate, having a cross section area 4 to 6 times smaller. This decreases the chance of blockage of the regulator, due to sediments and debris found in stormwater flows. **Figure 2** illustrates the comparison between a regulator model 100 SVHV-2 and an equivalent orifice plate. One can see that for the same height of water, the regulator controls a flow approximately four times smaller than an equivalent orifice plate.
- Installation of the **HYDROVEX**[®] **VHV** / **SVHV** flow regulators is quick and straightforward and is performed after all civil works are completed.
- Installation requires no special tools or equipment and may be carried out by any contractor.
- Installation may be carried out in existing structures.




FIGURE 2: DISCHARGE CURVE SHOWING A HYDROVEX® FLOW REGULATOR VS AN ORIFICE PLATE

SELECTION

Selection of a VHV or SVHV regulator can be easily made using the selection charts found at the back of this brochure (see Figure 3). These charts are a graphical representation of the maximum upstream water pressure (head) and the maximum discharge at the manhole outlet. The maximum design head is the difference between the maximum upstream water level and the invert of the outlet pipe. All selections should be verified by John Meunier Inc. personnel prior to fabrication.

Example:

- 2m (6.56 ft.) ✓ Maximum design head
- ✓ Maximum discharge ✓ Using **Figure 3** - VHV

6 L/s (0.2 cfs) model required is a 75 VHV-1

INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS

All HYDROVEX[®] VHV / SVHV flow regulators can be installed in circular or square manholes. Figure 4 gives the various minimum dimensions required for a given regulator. It is imperative to respect the minimum clearances shown to ensure easy installation and proper functioning of the regulator.

SPECIFICATIONS

In order to specify a **HYDROVEX**[®] regulator, the following parameters must be defined:

- The model number (ex: 75-VHV-1)
- The diameter and type of outlet pipe (ex: 6" diam. SDR 35)
- The desired discharge (ex: 6 l/s or 0.21 CFS)
- The upstream head (ex: 2 m or 6.56 ft.) *
- The manhole diameter (ex: 36" diam.)
- The minimum clearance "H" (ex: 10 inches)
- The material type (ex: 304 s/s, 11 Ga. standard)
- * Upstream head is defined as the difference in elevation between the maximum upstream water level and the invert of the outlet pipe where the HYDROVEX[®] flow regulator is to be installed.

PLEASE NOTE THAT WHEN REQUESTING A PROPOSAL, WE SIMPLY REQUIRE THAT YOU PROVIDE US WITH THE FOLLOWING:

- project design flow rate
- > pressure head
- chamber's outlet pipe diameter and type



Typical VHV model in factory




VHV-1-O (standard model with odour control inlet)



VHV with Gooseneck assembly in existing chamber without minimum release at the bottom



FV – SVHV (mounted on sliding plate)



FV – *VHV-O* (mounted on sliding plate with odour control inlet)



VHV with air vent for minimal slopes






FIGURE 3 - VHV

JOHN MEUNIER



SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulator





FIGURE 3 - SVHV

JOHN MEUNIER


Model Number	Regulator Diameter		Minimum Manhole Diameter		Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter		Minimum Clearance	
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)
50VHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
75VHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
100VHV-1	325	13	900	36	150	6	200	8
125VHV-2	275	11	900	36	150	6	200	8
150VHV-2	350	14	900	36	150	6	225	9
200VHV-2	450	18	1200	48	200	8	300	12
250VHV-2	575	23	1200	48	250	10	350	14
300VHV-2	675	27	1600	64	250	10	400	16
350VHV-2	800	32	1800	72	300	12	500	20


FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN CIRCULAR MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL VHV)



FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN CIRCULAR MANHOLE
FIGURE 4 (MODEL SVHV)

Model Number	Regulator Diameter			Minimum Manhole Diameter		Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter		Minimum Clearance	
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)	
25 SVHV-1	125	5	600	24	150	6	150	6	
32 SVHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6	
40 SVHV-1	200	8	600	24	150	6	150	6	
50 SVHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6	
75 SVHV-1	375	15	900	36	150	6	275	11	
100 SVHV-2	275	11	900	36	150	6	250	10	
125 SVHV-2	350	14	900	36	150	6	300	12	
150 SVHV-2	425	17	1200	48	150	6	350	14	
200 SVHV-2	575	23	1600	64	200	8	450	18	
250 SVHV-2	700	28	1800	72	250	10	550	22	
300 SVHV-2	850	34	2400	96	250	10	650	26	
350 SVHV-2	1000	40	2400	96	250	10	700	28	







Model Number	Regulator Diameter		Minimum Chamber Width		Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter		Minimum Clearance	
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)
50VHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
75VHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
100VHV-1	325	13	600	24	150	6	200	8
125VHV-2	275	11	600	24	150	6	200	8
150VHV-2	350	14	600	24	150	6	225	9
200VHV-2	450	18	900	36	200	8	300	12
250VHV-2	575	23	900	36	250	10	350	14
300VHV-2	675	27	1200	48	250	10	400	16
350VHV-2	800	32	1200	48	300	12	500	20

FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN SQUARE MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL VHV)

NOTE: In the case of a square manhole, the outlet flow pipe must be centered on the wall to ensure enough clearance for the unit.






Model Number	Regulator Diameter		Minimum Chamber Width		Minimum Outlet Pipe Diameter		Minimum Clearance	
	A (mm)	A (in.)	B (mm)	B (in.)	C (mm)	C (in.)	H (mm)	H (in.)
25 SVHV-1	125	5	600	24	150	6	150	6
32 SVHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
40 SVHV-1	200	8	600	24	150	6	150	6
50 SVHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
75 SVHV-1	375	15	600	24	150	6	275	11
100 SVHV-2	275	11	600	24	150	6	250	10
125 SVHV-2	350	14	600	24	150	6	300	12
150 SVHV-2	425	17	600	24	150	6	350	14
200 SVHV-2	575	23	900	36	200	8	450	18
250 SVHV-2	700	28	900	36	250	10	550	22
300 SVHV-2	850	34	1200	48	250	10	650	26
350 SVHV-2	1000	40	1200	48	250	10	700	28


FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN SQUARE MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL SVHV)

NOTE:

In the case of a square manhole, the outlet flow pipe must be centered on the wall to ensure enough clearance for the unit.







INSTALLATION

The installation of a HYDROVEX[®] regulator may be undertaken once the manhole and piping is in place. Installation consists of simply fitting the regulator into the outlet pipe of the manhole. John Meunier Inc. recommends the use of a lubricant on the outlet pipe, in order to facilitate the insertion and orientation of the flow controller.

MAINTENANCE

HYDROVEX[®] regulators are manufactured in such a way as to be maintenance free; however, a periodic inspection (every 3-6 months) is suggested in order to ensure that neither the inlet nor the outlet has become blocked with debris. The manhole should undergo periodically, particularly after major storms, inspection and cleaning as established by the municipality

GUARANTY

The HYDROVEX[®] line of VHV / SVHV regulators are guaranteed against both design and manufacturing defects for a period of 5 years. Should a unit be defective, John Meunier Inc. is solely responsible for either modification or replacement of the unit.

John Meunier Inc. ISO 9001 : 2008 Head Office 4105 Sartelon Saint-Laurent (Quebec) Canada H4S 2B3 Tel.: 514-334-7230 www.johnmeunier.com Fax: 514-334-5070 cso@johnmeunier.com

Ontario Office

2000 Argentia Road, Plaza 4, Unit 430 Mississauga (Ontario) Canada L5N 1W1 Tel.: 905-286-4846 www.johnmeunier.com Fax: 905-286-0488 ontario@johnmeunier.com Fax: 215-885-4741 asteele@johnmeunier.com

USA Office 2209 Menlo Avenue Glenside, PA USA 19038 Tel.: 412-417-6614 www.johnmeunier.com







Province:	Ontario	Project Name:	3040-3044 Innes R	d.
City:	Ottawa	Project Number:	LRL210374	
Nearest Rainfall Station	OTTAWA CDA RCS	Designer Name:	Brandon O'Leary	
Climate Station Id:	6105978	Designer Compar	ny: Forterra	
Years of Rainfall Data:	20	Designer Email:	brandon.oleary@f	orterrabp.com
		Designer Phone:	905-630-0359	
Site Name:	3040-3044 Innes Rd.	EOR Name:	Amr Salem	
Drainage Area (ha):	0.277	EOR Company:	LRL Associates Ltd	
Runoff Coefficient 'c':	0.66	EOR Email:		
Target TSS Removal (%): Required Water Quality Ru	80.0 Inoff Volume Capture (%): 90.0			Reduction ummary
Estimated Water Quality F	low Rate (L/s):	6.27	Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal Provided (%)
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?		Yes	EFO4	89
Upstream Flow Control?		No	EFO6	96
Peak Conveyance (maximu	m) Flow Rate (L/s):		EFO8	98
			EFO10	99
			EFO12	100
		Recommende	ed Stormceptor EFO	Model: EFO







THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

Stormceptor[®] **EF and Stormceptor**[®] **EFO** are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor[®] oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the **ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV)** protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patentpending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including highintensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterways.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle	Percent Less	Particle Size	Percent	
Size (µm)	Than	Fraction (µm)	reicent	
1000	100	500-1000	5	
500	95	250-500	5	
250	90	150-250	15	
150	75	100-150	15	
100	60	75-100	10	
75	50	50-75	5	
50	45	20-50	10	
20	35	8-20	15	
8	20	5-8	10	
5	10	2-5	5	
2	5	<2	5	



info@imbriumsystems.com






Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
1	22.3	22.3	0.51	31.0	26.0	100	22.3	22.3
2	17.8	40.0	1.03	62.0	51.0	98	17.4	39.7
3	13.1	53.1	1.54	92.0	77.0	94	12.3	52.0
4	9.2	62.4	2.05	123.0	103.0	89	8.2	60.2
5	6.5	68.9	2.57	154.0	128.0	87	5.6	65.9
6	5.1	74.0	3.08	185.0	154.0	83	4.2	70.1
7	3.4	77.3	3.60	216.0	180.0	80	2.7	72.8
8	3.0	80.3	4.11	247.0	205.0	77	2.3	75.1
9	3.6	84.0	4.62	277.0	231.0	76	2.8	77.8
10	2.5	86.5	5.14	308.0	257.0	75	1.9	79.7
11	1.7	88.2	5.65	339.0	283.0	74	1.3	81.0
12	1.4	89.6	6.16	370.0	308.0	73	1.1	82.0
13	1.9	91.5	6.68	401.0	334.0	72	1.4	83.4
14	1.3	92.8	7.19	432.0	360.0	70	0.9	84.3
15	1.3	94.1	7.71	462.0	385.0	69	0.9	85.2
16	0.8	94.9	8.22	493.0	411.0	68	0.5	85.7
17	0.8	95.7	8.73	524.0	437.0	67	0.5	86.3
18	0.4	96.1	9.25	555.0	462.0	66	0.3	86.6
19	0.5	96.6	9.76	586.0	488.0	65	0.3	86.8
20	0.2	96.8	10.27	616.0	514.0	64	0.2	87.0
21	0.5	97.3	10.79	647.0	539.0	63	0.3	87.3
22	0.3	97.6	11.30	678.0	565.0	62	0.2	87.5
23	1.1	98.7	11.82	709.0	591.0	60	0.7	88.1
24	0.3	99.0	12.33	740.0	616.0	60	0.2	88.3
25	0.0	99.0	12.84	771.0	642.0	60	0.0	88.3
30	1.0	100.0	15.41	925.0	771.0	59	0.6	88.9
35	0.0	100.0	17.98	1079.0	899.0	58	0.0	88.9
40	0.0	100.0	20.55	1233.0	1027.0	57	0.0	88.9
45	0.0	100.0	23.12	1387.0	1156.0	54	0.0	88.9
50	0.0	100.0	25.69	1541.0	1284.0	51	0.0	88.9
	•	-	Es	timated Ne	t Annual Sedim	ent (TSS) Loa	ad Reduction =	89 %

Climate Station ID: 6105978 Years of Rainfall Data: 20










RAINFALL DATA FROM OTTAWA CDA RCS RAINFALL STATION

INCREMENTAL AND CUMULATIVE TSS REMOVAL FOR THE RECOMMENDED STORMCEPTOR® MODEL









Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inlet Pipe Diameter		Max Out Diam	•	Peak Conveyance Flow Rate	
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100
EF12 / EF012	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100

Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION

Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, **Stormceptor® EFO** has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid reentrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.








info@imbriumsystems.com

Stormceptor*





Stormceptor* EF Sizing Report

INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1. For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

Pollutant Capacity

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Mo Diam		Pipe In	(Outlet vert to Floor)	Oil Vo		Sedi	mended ment nce Depth *	Maxi Sediment	-	Maxin Sediment	-
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft³)	(kg)	(lb)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EF012	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = $1.6 \text{ kg/L} (100 \text{ lb/ft}^3)$

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To	
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment and scour prevention technology	Superior, verified third-party performance	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer	
Third-party verified light liquid capture and retention for EFO version	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot locations	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer, Site Owner	
Functions as bend, junction or inlet structure	Design flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer	
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor	
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection and maintenance	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner	

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef







STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR "OIL GRIT SEPARATOR" (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1 4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.19 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 265 \ L \ oil \\ 3.48 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 609 \ L \ oil \\ 8.78 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 1,071 \ L \ oil \\ 17.78 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 1,673 \ L \ oil \\ 31.23 \ m^3 \ sediment \ / \ 2,476 \ L \ oil \\ \end{array}$



info@imbriumsystems.com





PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing shall be determined using historical rainfall data and a sediment removal performance curve derived from the actual third-party verified laboratory testing data. The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.**

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators,** with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This reentrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m2 to 2600 L/min/m2) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.** However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.



info@imbriumsystems.com

STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR "OIL GRIT SEPARATOR" (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREAMENT DEVICE

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The **minimum** sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1	4ft (1219mm) Diameter OGS Units: 6ft (1829mm) Diameter OGS Units: 8ft (2438mm) Diameter OGS Units: 10ft (3048mm) Diameter OGS Units: 12ft (3657mm) Diameter OGS Units:	1.19m ³ sediment / 265L oil 3.48m ³ sediment / 609Ll oil 8.78m ³ sediment / 1,071L oil 17.78m ³ sediment / 1,673L oil 31.23m ³ sediment / 2,476L oil
	12ft (365/mm) Diameter OGS Units:	31.23m° sediment / 2,476L 01

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 <u>GENERAL</u>

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing shall be determined using historical rainfall data and a sediment removal performance curve derived from the actual third-party verified laboratory testing data. The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**.

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This re-entrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m² to 2600 L/min/m²) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.

APPENDIX E

Civil Engineering Drawings

3040/3044 INNES ROAD

REVISION 04



KEY PLAN (N.T.S.)

DRAWING INDEX

TITLE PAGE

GENERAL NOTES

SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN

GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN SERVICING PLAN

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

PRE-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN

POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN

CONSTRUCTION DETAIL PLAN



ENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE

5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434

C001	
C101	
C301	
C401	
C601	
C701	
C702	
C901	



2023

23,

 $\mathbf{\mathcal{L}}$

OCTOBE

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL WORKS MATERIALS SHALL CONFORM TO THE LAST REVISION OF THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS), WHERE APPLICABLE. LOCAL UTILITY STANDARDS AND MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARDS WILL APPLY WHERE REQUIRED.
- 2. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WITHIN THE SITE AND ADJACENT WORK AREAS. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ALL EXISTING UTILITIES TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT OF ANY SERVICES OR UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION.
- 3. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER. LOST TIME DUE TO FAILURE OF THE CONTRACTORS TO CONFIRM UTILITY LOCATIONS AND NOTICY ENGINEER OF POSSIBLE CONFLICTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION WILL BE AT CONTRACTORS EXPENSE 4. ANY AREA BEYOND THE LIMIT OF THE SITE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR
- BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE RELOCATING OF EXISTING SERVICES AND/OR UTILITIES SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR DETECTED BY THE ENGINEER AT THE EXPENSE OF DEVELOPERS
- 5. ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 'OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS', THE GENERAL CONTRACTORS SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE 'CONTRACTOR' AS DEFINED IN THE ACT. 6. ALL THE CONSTRUCTION SIGNAGE MUST CONFIRM TO THE MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION OF ONTARIO MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC
- CONTROL DEVICES PER LATEST AMENDMENT 7. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT WORKS BY OTHERS MAY BE ONGOING DURING THE PERIOD OF THE CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT CONFLICTS.
- 8. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 9. THERE WILL BE NO SUBSTITUTION OF MATERIALS UNLESS PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL IS RECEIVED FROM THE ENGINEER. 10. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- 11. FOR DETAILS RELATING TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND ROOF DRAINAGE REFER TO THE SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT
- 12. ALL SEWERS CONSTRUCTED WITH GRADES LESS THAN 1.0% SHALL BE INSTALLED USING LASER ALIGNMENT AND CHECKED WITH LEVEL INSTRUMENT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING.
- 13. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS REQUIRED AND TO BEAR THE COST OF THE SAME. 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADDITIONAL BEDDING, OR ADDITIONAL STRENGTH PIPE IF THE MAXIMUM TRENCH WIDTH AS
- SPECIFIED BY OPSD IS EXCEEDED.
- 15. ALL PIPE/CULVERT SECTION SIZES REFER TO INSIDE DIMENSIONS. 16. SHOULD DEEPLY BURIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL REMAINS BE FOUND ON THE PROPERTY DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, THE HERITAGE OPERATIONS UNIT OF THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF CULTURE MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY.
- 17. ALL NECESSARY CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTOR. REVIEW WITH CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA PRIOR TO ANY TREE CUTTING/REMOVAL. 18. DRAWINGS SHALL BE READ ON CONJUNCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN.
- 19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE PROJECT ENGINEER ONE SET OF AS CONSTRUCTED SITE SERVICING AND GRADING DRAWINGS. 20.BENCHMARKS: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT THE SITE BENCHMARK(S) HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT ITS RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREES WITH THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS PLAN.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

GENERAL

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE. DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SURFACE EROSION AND SEDIMENT RUNOFF RESULTING FROM THEIR CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS HAS POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL IMPACT TO ANY DOWNSTREAM WATERCOURSE OR SEWER. AND THAT ALL CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS THAT MAY IMPACT UPON WATER QUALITY SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN MANNER THAT STRICTLY MEETS THE REQUIREMENT OF ALL APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS.

AS SUCH, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING OUT THEIR OPERATIONS, AND SUPPLYING AND INSTALLING ANY APPROPRIATE CONTROL MEASURES, SO AS TO PREVENT SEDIMENT LADEN RUNOFF ENTERING ANY SEWER OR WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NO ONE MEASURE IS LIKELY TO BE 100% EFFECTIVELY FOR EROSION PROTECTION AND CONTROLLING SEDIMENT RUNOFF AND DISCHARGES FROM THE SITE. THEREFORE, WHERE NECESSARY THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL MEASURES ARRANGED IN SUCH MANNER AS TO MITIGATE SEDIMENT RELEASE FROM THE CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS AND ACHIEVE SPECIFIC MAXIMUM PERMITTED CRITERIA WHERE APPLICABLE. SUGGESTED ON-SITE MEASURES MAY INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING METHODS: SEDIMENT PONDS, FILTER BAGS, PUMP FILTERS, SETTLING TANKS, SILT FENCE, STRAW BALES, FILTER CLOTHS, CATCH BASIN FILTERS, CHECK DAMS AND/OR OTHER RECOGNIZED TECHNOLOGIES AND METHOD AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION, SPECIFIC MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF OPSS 577 WHERE APPROPRIATE, OR IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY AGENCY, THE INSTALLED CONTROL MEASURES FAIL TO PERFORM ADEQUATELY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY AND INSTALL ADDITIONAL OR ALTERNATIVE MEASURES AS DIRECTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY AGENCY, AS SUCH, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE ADDITIONAL CONTROL MATERIALS ON SITE AT ALL TIME WHICH ARE EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND MAY BE IMPLEMENTED BY HIM AT THE MOMENT'S NOTICE.

PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR SIX COPIES OF A DETAILED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP). THE ESCP WILL CONSIST OF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION AND DETAILED DRAWINGS INDICATING THE ON-SITE ACTIVITIES AND MEASURES TO BE USED TO CONTROL EROSION AND SEDIMENT MOVEMENT FOR EACH STEP OF THE WORK.

CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT ALL WORKERS, INCLUDING SUB-CONTRACTOR, IN THE WORKING ARE ARE AWARE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INFORMED OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL REGULATORY AGENCIES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERIODICALLY, AND WHEN REQUESTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, CLEAN OUT ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT DEPOSITS AS REQUIRED AT THE SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES, INCLUDING THOSE DEPOSITS THAT MAY ORIGINATE FROM OUTSIDE THE CONSTRUCTION AREA. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT PREVENTS THE DEPOSITION OF THIS MATERIAL INTO THE SEWER WATERCOURSE AND AVOIDS DAMAGE TO CONTROL MEASURES. THE SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND MANAGED IN COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FRO EXCESS EARTH MATERIAL, AS SPECIFIED ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPORT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR ANY ACCIDENTAL DISCHARGES OF SEDIMENT MATERIAL INTO EITHER THE WATERCOURSE OR THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM. FAILURE TO REPORT WILL BE CONSTITUTE A BRACH OF THIS SPECIFICATION AND THE CONTRACTOR MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE PENALTIES IMPOSED BY THE APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY. APPROPRIATE RESPONSE MEASURES, INCLUDING ANY REPAIRS TO EXISTING CONTROL MEASURES OR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRIED OUT BY THE CONTRACTOR WITHOUT DELAY.

THE SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ONLY BE REMOVED WHEN, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, THE MEASURE OR MEASURES, IS NO LONGER REQUIRED. NO CONTROL MEASURE MAY BE PERMANENTLY REMOVED WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR. ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED IN A MANNER THAT AVOIDS THE ENTRY OF ANY EQUIPMENT, OTHER THAN HAND-HELD EQUIPMENT, INTO ANY WATERCOURSE, AND PREVENTS THE RELEASE OF ANY SEDIMENT OR DEBRIS INTO ANY SEWER OR WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA. ALL ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE WORKING AREA AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND MANAGED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR EXCESS EARTH MATERIAL

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF EITHER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY, ANY OF THE TERMS SPECIFIED HEREIN HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLIED WITH OR PERFORMED IN A SUITABLE MANNER, OR TAT ALL, THE CONTRACTOR ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY HAS THE RIGHT TO IMMEDIATELY WITHDRAW ITS PERMISSION TO CONTINUE THE WORK BUT MAY RENEW ITS PERMISSION UPON BEING SATISFIED THAT THE DEFAULTS OR DEFICIENCIES IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS SPECIFICATION BY THE CONTRACTOR HAVE BEEN REMEDIED.

SPILL CONTROL NOTES

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL BE RE-FUELED, MAINTAINED, AND STORED NO LESS THAN 30 METRES FROM WATERCOURSE, STEAMS, CREEKS, WOODLOTS, AND ANY ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS, OR AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL NECESSARY MEASURES IN ORDER TO PREVENT LEAKS, DISCHARGES OR SPILLS OF POLLUTANTS, DELETERIOUS MATERIALS, OR OTHER SUCH MATERIALS OR SUBSTANCES WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
- 3. IN THE EVENT OF A LEAK, DISCHARGE OR SPILL OF POLLUTANT, DELETERIOUS MATERIAL OR OTHER SUCH MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL:
- 3.1. IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY APPROPRIATE FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT MINISTRIES, DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND AUTHORITIES OF THE INCIDENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CURRENT LAWS, LEGISLATION, ACTS, BY-LAWS, PERMITS, APPROVALS,
- 3.2. TAKE IMMEDIATE MEASURES TO CONTAIN THE MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE, AND TO TAKE SUCH MEASURES TO MITIGATE AGAINST ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT 3.3. RESTORE THE AFFECTED AREA TO THE ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITIES HAVING

MUD MAT NOTES

JURISDICTION

SEDIMENT DISPOSAL AREA.

1. THE GRANULAR MATERIAL WILL REQUIRE PERIODIC REPLACEMENT AS IT BECOMES CONTAMINATED BY VEHICLE TRAFFIC.

2. SEDIMENT SHALL BE CLEANED FROM PUBLIC ROADS AT THE END OF EACH DAY. 3. SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM PUBLIC ROADS BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING AND DISPOSED OR PROPERLY IN A CONTROLLED

- SITE GRADING NOTES
- EROSION CONTROL PLAN
- RECOMMENDATIONS AS SHOWN ON DWG C301.
- OF CONSTRUCTION.
- CONCRETE ISLANDS, AND SIDEWALKS SHOWN O THIS DRAWING ARE TO BR PRICED IN SITE WORKS PORTION OF THE CONTRACT. AND OPSS 310
- 7. SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS AND FILL WITH GRANULAR 'B' COMPACTED IN MAXIMUM 30MM LIFTS.
- REQUIRED BY THE MUNICIPALITY.
- SYMBOLS SHALL BE APPLIED WITH A MINIMUM OF TWO COATS OF ORGANIC SOLVENT PAINT.
- 11. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN FOR DIMENSIONS AND SITE DETAILS.
- STANDARDS
- 14. WHERE APPLICABLE THE CONTRACTOR IS TO SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SHOP DRAWINGS MUST BE SITE SPECIFIC, SIGNED AND SEALED BY A LICENSED STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY AND GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION OF THE AS-CONSTRUCTED RETAINING WALL TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE.

ROADWORK SPECIFICATIONS

- DATED JUNE 23, 2021.
- 17. SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS AND FILL WITH GRANULAR 'A', TYPE II COMPACTED IN MAXIMUM 300MM LIFTS.
- 19. ALL EDGE SOF DISTRUBED PAVEMENT SHALL BE SAW CUT TO FORM A CLEAN STRAIGHT LINE PRIOR TO PLACING NEW PAVEMENT. PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT SHALL BE WITH STEP JOINTS OF 500mm WIDTH MINIMUM.

SANITARY, FOUNDATION DRAIN, STORM SEWER AND WATERMAIN NOTES

GENERAL

- 1. LASER ALIGNMENT CONTROL TO BE UTILIZED ON ALL SEWER INSTALLATIONS.
- AND AT 60M INTERVALS IN THE SERVICE TRENCHES.
- PROCTOR DENSITY. A MINIMUM OF 300MM AROUND STRUCTURES.
- ADJUSTING UNITS ON THE OUTSIDE ONLY.
- 6. SAFETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE PER OPSD 404.02.
- 7. DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 1003.01, IF APPLICABLE.
- SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER.
- 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM LEAKAGE TESTING, IN THE PRESENCE OF THE CONSULTANT, FOR SANITARY SEWERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 407. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM VIDEO INSPECTION OF ALL SEWERS. A COPY OF THE VIDEO AND INSPECTION REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CONSULTANT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF WEAR COURSE ASPHALT.

SANITARY

- STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD). AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS).
- AMENDMENT, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE
- 13. SANITARY GRAVITY SEWER TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S6 AND S7 CLASS 'B' BEDDING AND AS PER SECTION 6.4
- OF THE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION COMPLETED BY PATTERSON GROUP INC., REPORT# PG5763-1 ON JUNE 23, 2021
- 15. SANITARY MAINTENANCE STRUCTURES SHALL BE BENCHED PER OPSD 701.021.
- DRAWING SSP-1.

STORM

- GASKETS AS PER CSA A257.3. OR LATEST AMENDMENT.

- 19. CATCH BASIN SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 705.010.
- 21. ALL CATCH BASINS SHALL HAVE 600MM SUMPS, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 22. ALL CATCH BASIN LEAD INVERTS TO BE 1.5M BELOW FINISHED GRADE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- MADE NECESSARY BY THE WIDENED TRENCH.
- APPLICABLE
- 26. RIP-RAP TREATMENT SEWER AND CULVERT OUTLETS PER OPSD 810.010. 27. ALL STORM SEWER/ CULVERTS TO BE INSTALLED WITH FROST TREATMENT PER OPSD 803.031 WHERE APPLICABLE.

WATERMAIN

WATERMAIN

THE SEWER.

BACK FROM STUB.

2.4M.

- DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS)
- 30. ALL PVC WATERMAINS SHALL BE AWWA C-900 CLASS 150, SDR 18 OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.
- 31. ALL WATER SERVICES LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 50MM IN DIAMETER TO BE TYPE 'K' COPPER.
- AND COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- ON JUNE 23, 2021
- 35. VALVE BOXES SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD W24.

47. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE BACTERIOLOGICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES. ALL CHLORINATED WATER TO BE DISCHARGED AND PRETREATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS PRIOR TO DISCHARGE. ALL DISCHARGED WATER MUST BE CONTROLLED AND TREATED SO AS NOT TO ADVERSELY EFFECT ENVIRONMENT. IT IS RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT ALL MUNICIPAL AND/OR PROVINCIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE FOLLOWED

46. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED. PROVISIONS FOR FLUSHING WATER LINE PRIOR TO TESTING, ETC. MUST BE PROVIDED.

44. FIRE HYDRANT INSTALLATION AS PER STD DWG W19, ALL BOTTOM OF HYDRANT FLANGE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROPOSED FINISHED GRADE AT HYDRANT; FIRE HYDRANT LOCATION AS PER STD DWG W18. 45. BUILDING SERVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFF THE FACE OF THE BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND MUST BE RESTRAINED A MINIMUM OF 12M

42. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COVER OR 2.4M, OTHERWISE THERMAL INSULATION IS REQUIRED AS PER STD DWG W22. 43. GENERAL WATER PLANT TO UTILITY CLEARANCE AS PER STD DWG R20.

41. THE MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN WATERMAIN AND SEWER/UTILITY IS 0.5M PER MOE GUIDELINES. FOR CROSSING UNDER SEWERS, ADEQUATE STRUCTURAL SUPPORT FOR THE SEWER IS REQUIRED TO PREVENT EXCESSIVE DEFLECTION OF JOINTS AND SETTLING. THE LENGTH OF WATER PIPE SHALL BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING TO ENSURE THAT THE JOINTS WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM

39. WATERMAIN CROSSING OVER AND BELOW SEWERS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W25,2 AND W25, RESPECTIVELY. 40. WATER SERVICES ARE TO BE INSULATED PER CITY STD. W23 WHERE SEPARATION BETWEEN SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE HOLES ARE LESS THAN

38. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY CAPS, PLUGS, BLOW-OFFS, AND NOZZLES REQUIRED FOR TESTING AND DISINFECTION OF THE

36. WATERMAIN IN FILL AREAS TO BE INSTALLED WITH RESTRAINED JOINTS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.25.5 AND W25.6. 37. THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERMAINS TO BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W25.3 AND W25.4.

34. CATHODIC PROTECTION IS REQUIRED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.25.5 AND W25.6.

OTTAWA STD. W.36. AND AS PER SECTION 6.4 OF THE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION COMPLETED BY PATTERSON GROUP INC., REPORT# PG5763-1,

33. ALL PVC WATERMAINS, SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A 10 GAUGE STRANDED COPPER TWU OR RWU TRACER WIRE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF

32. WATERMAIN TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W17. UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. BEDDING

29. ALL WATERMAIN INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD

28. ALL STORM MANHOLES WITH PIPE LESS THAN 900MM IN DIAMETER SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH A 300MM SUMP AS PER SDG, CLAUSE 6.2.6.

PERFORATED SUBDRAIN FOR ROAD AND PARKING LOT CATCH BASIN SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY STD R1 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 25. PERFORATED SUBDRAIN FOR REAR YARD AND LANDSCAPING APPLICATIONS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY STD S29, S30 AND S31, WHERE

EXCEEDED, THE CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR EXTRA TEMPORARY AND/OR PERMANENT REPAIRS 24. ALL ROAD AND PARKING LOT CATCH BASINS TO BE INSTALLED WITH ORTHOGONALLY PLACED SUBDRAINS IN ACCORDANCE WITH DETAIL.

23. THE STORM SEWER CLASSES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED BASED ON BEDDING CONDITIONS SPECIFIED ABOVE. WHERE THE SPECIFIED TRENCH WIDTH IS

18. ALL PVC STORM SEWERS ARE TO BE SDR 35 APPROVED PER C.S.A. B182.2 OR LATEST AMENDMENT, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. 20. CATCH BASIN LEADS SHALL BE IN 200MM DIA. AT 1% SLOPE (MIN) UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.

ALL STORM SEWER TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S6 AND S7 CLASS 'B' AND AS PER SECTION 6.4 OF THE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION COMPLETED BY PATTERSON GROUP INC., REPORT# PG5763-1, ON JUNE 23, 2021.

17. ALL REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.2, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. ALL NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.1, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. PIPE SHALL BE JOINED WITH STD. RUBBER

14. SANITARY MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S24 AND S25. 16. 100MM THICK HIGH-DENSITY GRADE 'A' POLYSTYRENE INSULATION TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STD W22 WHERE INDICATED ON

11. ALL SANITARY GRAVITY SEWER SHALL BE PVC SDR 35, IPEX 'RING-TITE' (OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT) PER CSA STANDARD B182.2 OR LATEST 12. EXISTING MAINTENANCE STRUCTURES TO BE RE-BENCHED WHERE A NEW CONNECTION IS MADE.

10. ALL SANITARY SEWER INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL

VIDEO RECORDING IN A FORMAT ACCEPTABLE TO ENGINEER. ALL SEWER ARE TO BE FLUSHED PRIOR TO CAMERA INSPECTION. ASPHALT WEAR COURSE SHALL NOT BE PLACED UNTIL THE VIDEO INSPECTION OF SEWERS AND NECESSARY REPAIRS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED TO THE

8. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO PROVIDE CCTV CAMERA INSPECTIONS OF ALL SEWERS, INCLUDING PICTORIAL REPORT, ONE (1) CD COPY AND TWO (2)

3. SERVICES TO BUILDING TO BE TERMINATED 1.0M FROM THE OUTSIDE FACE OF BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 4. ALL MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN EXCAVATIONS TO BE BACKFILLED WITH GRANULAR MATERIAL COMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD 5. "MODULOC" OR APPROVED PRE-CAST MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN ADJUSTERS TO BE USED IN LIEU OF BRICKING. PARGE

SHOULD EXTEND FROM TRENCH WALL TO TRENCH WALL. THE SEALS SHOULD EXTEND FROM THE FROST LINE AND FULLY PENETRATE THE BEDDING, SUB-BEDDING, AND COVER MATERIAL. THE BARRIERS SHOULD CONSIST OF RELATIVELY DRY AND COMPATIBLE BROWN SILTY CLAY PLACED IN MAXIMUM 225MM LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMDD. THE CLAY SEALS SHOULD BE PLACED AT THE SITE BOUNDARIES

2. CLAY SEALS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY STANDARD DRAWING S8. THE SEALS SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.5M LONG (IN THE TRENCH DIRECTION) AND

STOCK PILLED ON SITE AS PER GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION BY PATERSON GROUP INC., REPORT# PG5763-1, DATED JUNE 23, 2021. 18. ALL GRANULAR FOR ROADS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO MINIMUM OF 100% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD).

16. AL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND

15. ROADWORK TO BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION, PREPARED BY PATERSON GROUP INC. REPORT# PG5763-1

12. STEP JOINTS ARE TO BE USED WHERE PROPOSED ASPHALT MEETS EXISTING ASPHALT, ALL JOINTS MUST BE SEALED. 13. SIDEWALKS TO BE 13MM & BEVELED AT 2:1 OR 6MM WITH NO BEVEL REQUIRED BELOW THE FINISHED FLOOR SLAB ELEVATION AT ENTRANCES REQUIRED TO BE BARRIER-FREE, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH OBC 3.8.1.3 & OTTAWA ACCESSIBILITY DESIGN

10. ALL PAVEMENT MARKING FEATURES AND SITE SIGNAGE SHALL BE PLACED PER ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. LINE PAINTING AND DIRECTIONAL

8. ALL WORK ON THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENTS TO BE INSPECTED BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR BACKFILLING. 9. CONTRACTOR TO OBTAIN A ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALLOWANCE, IF

5 PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT FOR SERVICE AND UTILITY CUTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD R10 AND OPSD 509 010 6. GRANULAR 'A' SHALL BE PLACED TO A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 300MM AROUND ALL STRUCTURES WITHIN THE PAVEMENT AREA.

4. CONCRETE CURB SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. SC1.1 PROVISION SHALL BE MADE OR CURB DEPRESSIONS AS INDICATED ON ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. CONCRETE SIDEWALK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STD SC1.4. ALL CURBS,

3. ALL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD AND PARKING AREAS ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT

2. ALL GRANULAR AND PAVEMENT FOR ROADS/PARKING AREAS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER'S

1. PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE SITE GRADING WORKS, ALL SILTATION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED AND OPERATIONAL PER

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF TH CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. T CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO T WNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER DNFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. TH DNTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSEI WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS. VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS BSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMEN

AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE LISED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT. INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER

UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT.

THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED ANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS A HE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT TH WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT C IE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING

IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO E

UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES:

MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTH CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM AN IABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW O INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES. LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR ONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OF ODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIO WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION.

GENERAL NOTES:

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM E BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS

BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS

WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

05 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 23 (OCT 2023
04 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 27 / APPROVAL	APR 2023
03 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 09 N APPROVAL	MAR 2023
02 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 21 M	NOV 2022
01 ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL A.S. 03 M	MAR 2022
No. REVISIONS BY	DATE





5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434

LANDRIC HOMES INC.

APPROVED BY Т.Н. M.B. Т.Н. PROJECT **PROPOSED 42 UNITS RESIDENTIAL**


DEVELOPMENTS 3040-3044 INNES RD, OTTAWA ON

DRAWING TITLE

GENERAL NOTES

210374

OCTOBER, 2023



	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
	PROPOSED CURB
DC	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
իսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիսիս	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
	PROPOSED FENCE
	TREE PROTECTION FENCE
	WOOD FENCE
▼	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
$\psi \psi \psi$	PROPOSED GRASS AREA
Ψ Ψ Ψ Υ Ψ Ψ Ψ	(100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED RIP RAP
< 50.00	PROPOSED ELEVATION
< 50.00HP	PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION
¢ 50.00S	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION
ς 50.00BC	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
< 50.00TC	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
< 50.00BW	PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF RETAINING WALL
< 50.00TW	PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL
< 50.00EX	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
< 70.19	EXISTING ELEVATION
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
——————————————————————————————————————	PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN
——— STM ——	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
——— SAN ——	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
WTR	PROPOSED WATERMAIN
STM	EXISTING STORM SEWER
SAN	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
WTR	EXISTING WATERMAIN
GAS	EXISTING GAS LINE
•	EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBAS
•	PROPOSED MANHOLE
\otimes	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION

•	EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCH
•	PROPOSED MANHOLE
\otimes	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
	PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
S-01	WATERSHED NAME
0.745	-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
	- AREA IN HECTARES

* CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL INSTALLATION, MONITORING, REPAIR AND REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL FEATURES **

THE SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE MODIFIED IN THE FIELD AT THE DISCRETION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA SITE INSPECTOR OR CONSERVATION AUTHORITY**

. PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION:

- PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF ANY VEGETATIVE COVER, MOVING OF SOIL AND - INSTALL SILT FENCE IMMEDIATELY DOWNSTREAM FROM AREAS TO BE

DISTURBED (SEE PLAN FOR LOCATION). - INSTALL GEOSOCK INSERTS WITH AN OVERFLOW IN ALL THE DOWNSTREAM CATCHBASINS AND MANHOLES - INSTALL SILTSACK FILTERS IN ALL CONCRETE CATCH BASINS STRUCTURES - INSPECT MEASURES IMMEDIATELY AFTER INSTALLATION.

- MINIMIZE THE EXTENT OF DISTURBED AREAS AND THE DURATION OF

- PROTECT DISTURBED AREAS FROM RUNOFF. - PROVIDE TEMPORARY COVER SUCH AS SEEDING OR MULCHING IF DISTURBED AREA WILL NOT BE REHABILITATED WITHIN 30 DAYS. - INSPECT SILT FENCES, FILTER CLOTHS AND CATCH BASIN SUMPS WEEKLY AND AFTER EVERY MAJOR STORM EVENT. CLEAN AND REPAIR WHEN NECESSARY. - PLAN TO BE REVIEWED AND REVISED AS REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION

- DO NOT LOCATE TOPSOIL PILES AND EXCAVATION MATERIAL CLOSER THAN 2.5m FROM ANY PAVED SURFACE, OR ONE WHICH IS TO BE PAVED BEFORE THE PILE IS REMOVED. ALL TOPSOIL PILES ARE TO BE SEEDED IF THEY ARE TO REMAIN ON SITE LONG ENOUGH FOR SEEDS TO GROW (LONGER THAN 30

- CONTROL WIND-BLOWN DUST OFF SITE TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS BY SEEDING TOPSOIL PILES AND OTHER AREAS TEMPORARILY (PROVIDE WATERING AS

- ALL EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED GROUND SURFACES HAVE BEEN STABILIZED EITHER BY PAVING OR RESTORATION OF VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER. - NO ALTERNATE METHODS OF EROSION PROTECTION SHALL BE PERMITTED UNLESS APPROVED BY THIS CONSULTING ENGINEER AND THE CITY

- CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CITY ROADWAY AND SIDEWALK TO BE CLEANED OF ALL SEDIMENT FROM VEHICULAR TRACKING ETC. AT THE END OF


- PROVIDE GRAVEL ENTRANCE WHEREVER EQUIPMENT LEAVES THE SITE TO PREVENT MUD TRACKING ONTO PAVED SURFACES. GRAVEL BED SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 15m LONG, 4M WIDE AND 0.3m DEEP AND SHALL CONSIST OF COARSE (50mm CRUSHERT-RUN LIMESTONE) MATERIAL. MAINTAIN GRAVEL ENTRANCE IN CLEAN CONDITION. - DURING WET CONDITIONS, TIRES OF ALL VEHICLES/EQUIPMENT LEAVING THE

SITE ARE TO BE SCRAPED. - ANY MUD/MATERIAL TRACKED ONTO THE ROAD SHALL BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY BY HAND OR RUBBER TIRE LOADER.

- TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO PREVENT BUILDING MATERIAL, CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OR WASTE BEING SPILLED OR TRACKED ONTO ABUTTING PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC STREETS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND PROCEED IMMEDIATELY TO CLEAN UP ANY AREAS SO AFFECTED.

- PROVIDE PERMANENT COVER CONSISTING OF TOPSOIL AND SEED TO


- REMOVE STRAW BALE FLOW CHECK DAMS, SILT FENCES AND FILTER CLOTHS ON CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLE COVERS AFTER DISTURBED AREAS HAVE BEEN REHABILITATED AND STABILIZED. - INSPECT AND CLEAN CATCH BASIN SUMPS AND STORM SEWERS.





EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

PROJECT NO. 210374

OCTOBER, 2023







USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. T ONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO TH WNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, T EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IE REQUIRED BY ALL WORK PROPOSED CURB NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110 WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS BSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CAUD FILS OF OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TREE PROTECTION FENCE TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER. WOOD FENCE UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. PROPOSED GRASS AREA THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD) RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING. UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES: PROPOSED RIP RAP IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER PROPOSED ELEVATION CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LIL AND TO RELEASE IRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION CHANGES. PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF FROM SUCH CHANGES. RETAINING WALL IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION. EXISTING ELEVATION GENERAL NOTES: EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING WORK. CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS - STM - STM - PROPOSED STORM SEWER BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE - WTR - WTR - PROPOSED WATERMAIN ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. — GAS — GAS — EXISTING GAS LINE 6.0m EXISTING MANHOLE EXISTING CATCHBASIN SCALE: 1:150 PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN PROPOSED MANHOLE PROPOSED CURB STOP PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL STORM WATERSHED EXTENT - WATERSHED NAME -RUNOFF COEFFICIENT RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 23 OCT 2023 05 APPROVAL RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 27 APR 2023 APPROVAL RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 09 MAR 2023 03 <u>APPROVA</u> RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL 02 T.H. 21 NOV 2022 _____ <u>APPROVAL</u> ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL 01 A.S. 03 MAR 2022 <u>APPROVA</u> No. REVISIONS BY DATE NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND I LRJENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE 5430 Canotek Road I Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca I (613) 842-3434

LANDRIC HOMES INC.

 DESIGNED BY:
 DRAWN BY:
 APPROVED BY:

 T.H.
 T.H.
 M.B.

 PROJECT
 Image: Comparison of the second seco

PROPOSED 42 UNITS RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS 3040-3044 INNES RD, OTTAWA ON

DRAWING TITLE


PROJECT NO. 210374

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

C601



DATE OCTOBER, 2023



		USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE
		CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO THE OWNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, THE
	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN	SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK
	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB	NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY
n111111-	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)	ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110	CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS
	PROPOSED FENCE	OBSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR
	TREE PROTECTION FENCE	OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT.
		CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.
· •	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT PROPOSED GRASS AREA	UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT.
¥ `	(100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)	THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND
4	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB	PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES
	PROPOSED RIP RAP	DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING. <u>UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES:</u> IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR
	PROPOSED ELEVATION	ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER
	PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION	CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT
	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION	AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES.
	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION	IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW,
	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION	TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES.
1	PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF RETAINING WALL	IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR
1	PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL	ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR
	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION	WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION.
	EXISTING ELEVATION	GENERAL NOTES:
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE	EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING
SUB —	PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN	WORK. CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS
STM — SAN —	PROPOSED STORM SEWER PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER	BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR
WTR —	PROPOSED WATERMAIN	PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS
STM ——	EXISTING STORM SEWER EXISTING SANITARY SEWER	WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.
WTR —	EXISTING WATERMAIN	CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES, DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.
gas —	EXISTING GAS LINE	3.0m 1.5 0 3.0 6.0m
	EXISTING MANHOLE	
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN	SCALE: 1:150
	PROPOSED MANHOLE	
	PROPOSED CURB STOP	
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION	
	PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL STORM WATERSHED EXTENT	
\mathbf{i}		
	-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT	
45		
	- AREA IN HECTARES	RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL
		APPROVAL 1.H. 23 OCT 2023
		04 APPROVAL T.H. 27 APR 2023 02 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 00 MAR 2023
		03 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 09 MAR 2023 02 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 21 NOV 2022
		APPROVAL ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL
		APPROVAL APPROVAL A.S. 03 MAR 2022
		No. REVISIONS BY DATE
		PROFESSION
		Bernard
0441		2 april and 2
03-C		9 M. BASNET H
1 D D M		100501996
(44		2073/10/22
3 PLAN PIN 04403-1		B. alo
J PIN		NOLE OF ONTAN
-		NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED
\vdash		
HYC MYC		

ENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE 5430 Canotek Road I Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca I (613) 842-3434

LANDRIC HOMES INC.

DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
T.H.	T.H.	M.B.
PROJECT		
[D 42 UNITS F DEVELOPMEN 4 INNES RD, (NTS

DRAWING TITLE

PROJECT NO.


CLIENT

PRE-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN

210374 DATE

OCTOBER, 2023

18716



USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. TH CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO TH WNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, TH EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REOLURED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REOLURED BY ALL WORK PROPOSED CURB WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WANN NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110 WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS BSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE. ALL DRAWINGS. SPECIFICATIONS. CADD FILES OR AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OF OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TREE PROTECTION FENCE TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER. WOOD FENCE PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. PROPOSED GRASS AREA THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD) RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING. UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES: PROPOSED RIP RAP IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT PROPOSED ELEVATION OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE IRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION CHANGES. PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION FROM SUCH CHANGES. PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR RETAINING WALL CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION. EXISTING ELEVATION GENERAL NOTES: EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING WORK. CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS - STM - STM PROPOSED STORM SEWER BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. — GAS — GAS — EXISTING GAS LINE EXISTING MANHOLE SCALE: 1:150 EXISTING CATCHBASIN PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN PROPOSED MANHOLE PROPOSED CURB STOP PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL STORM WATERSHED EXTENT - WATERSHED NAME -RUNOFF COEFFICIENT AREA IN HECTARES 05 APPROVAL

RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 23 OCT 2023 RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 27 APR 2023 APPROVAL RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 09 MAR 2023 03 <u>APPROVAL</u> RE-ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL T.H. 21 NOV 2022 02 _____ <u>APPROVAL</u> ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL 01 A.S. 03 MAR 2022 <u>APPROVAL</u> BY DATE No. REVISIONS






5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434


LANDRIC HOMES INC. APPROVED BY: DESIGNED B DRAWN B M.B. Т.Н. Т.Н. PROJECT **PROPOSED 42 UNITS RESIDENTIAL** DEVELOPMENTS 3040-3044 INNES RD, OTTAWA ON DRAWING TITLE POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN


210374 DATE OCTOBER, 2023


PROJECT NO.


18716




































OCTOBER, 2023

APPENDIX F DRAWINGS/FIGURES


Proposed Site Plan Legal Survey As-builts




TOPOGRAPHIC PLAN OF SURVEY OF PART OF LOT 10 CONCESSION 3 (OTTAWA FRONT) (2) EXISTING STRUCTURE TO BE DEMOLISHED (GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF GLOUCESTER) CITY OF OTTAWA (3) RETAINING WALL ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBEKK LTD. SURVEY COMPLETED ON APRIL 19, 2021 (4) INTERLOCKING STONE PAVERS (5) DEPRESSED CURB (6) FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION 7 STEEL GUARD MOUNTED TO TOP OF RETAINING WALL (8) OUTDOOR BICYCLE PARKING SPACES 9 NEW CONCRETE SIDEWALK (10) SOFT LANDSCAPING, REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS (11) RIVERSTONE

PLEASE NOTE PINS AND BEARINGS ARE INDICATED FOR REFERENCE ONLY. SEE SURVEY PLAN. 5 SURVEY INFO SP-01 SCALE: N.T.S. 1 ASPHALT

3 LOCATION SP-01 SCALE: N.T.S.	NPLAN	TSTE	 GENERAL ARCHITECTURAL NOTES: 1. This drawing is the property of the Architect and may not be reproduced or used without the expressed consent of the Architect. 2. Owings are not to be scaled. The Contract or is responsible for checking and verifying all levels and dimensions and shall report all discrepancies to the Architect and obtain clarification protote in writing. The Architect will provide written/graphic clarification or supplementary information regarding the intent of the Contract Documents. 3. The Architectural drawings are to be read in conjuction with all other Contract Documents including Project Manuals and the Structural, Mechanical and Electrical Drawings. 4. The Architectural Drawings shall govern over Mechanical and Electrical Drawings. Machine are indicated on the Architectural Drawings. Locations shown on the Architectural Drawings shall govern over Mechanical and Electrical Drawings. 4. These documents are not to be used for construction unless specifically noted for such purpose.
Site & Project Statistics Zoning Designation:	R4Z		
Lot Width: Total Lot Area: Average Existing Grade:	45.78m 2775.6m ² 86.6m		
Gross Floor Area: Proposed Unit Count:	5424.8m ² 47 Residential Units		-
Proposed Development - 47 Unit Lo Zoning Mechanism	Required	Provided	_
Minimum Lot Width 162(a) Minimum Lot Area	18m 450m ²	45.78m 2775.6m ²	-
162(a) Min. Front Yard Setback	3m	4.78m	-
162(a) Min. Interior Side Yard Setback 162(a)	6m	6m	-
Min. Rear Yard Setback 162(a)	1m	8.438m (1m at corner of parking structure)	
Maximum Building Height 162(a)	15m 56 Spaces	12.41m 56 Spaces	_
Parking Space Rates 101	56 Spaces 1.2 spaces/unit Row R11 - Area "C"	56 Spaces (53 underground spaces, 3 surface spaces)	
Minimum Visitor Parking Rates	9 Spaces 0.2 spaces/unit - Area "C"	9 Spaces	
Bicycle Parking Rates Table 111A	24 Spaces 0.5 spaces/unit for 47 units[111A(b)(i)]	32 Spaces (20 underground spaces, 12 surface spaces)	-
Landscaped Area 161 (8)	832.7m ² 30% of Lot Area (2775.6m ²)	1159.8m2 (41.8%)	
Total Amenity Area	282m ²	382m ²	_
137 (12) Communal Amenity Area	6 <i>m</i> ² /unit @ 47 units 141m ²	145m ²	5 REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL 23-10-13
137 (12)	50% of total amenity area		4REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL23-08-013REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL23-02-09
2 ZONING SP-01 SCALE: N.T.S. TOTAL AMENITY AREA CALCULATION AT-GRADE AMENITY AREA	145m ²		2REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL22-11-141ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL22-03-07ISSUE RECORD
LEVEL 01 PATIOS LEVEL 02 BALCONIES LEVEL 03 BALCONIES <u>LEVEL 04 BALCONIES</u> TOTAL	80m ² 49m ² 56m ² 52m ² 382m ²		SENO ASSOCIA
COMMUNAL AMENITY AREA CALCULATION AT-GRADE AMENITY AREA	145m ²		ARCHITECTS 2 ARCHITECTS 2 HYAN M. KOOLWINE LICENCE 7370
			project1 Studio Incorporated [613.884.3939] mail@project1studio.ca 3040 INNES ROAD
			3040 INNES ROAD 3040 Innes Road Ottawa, ON PROJ SCALE DRAWN REVIEWED 2110 NOTED JH/BH RMK
			site plan SP-01





TOPOGRAPHICAL PLAN OF SURVEY OF PART OF LOT 10 **CONCESSION 3 (OTTAWA FRONT)** (Geographic Township of Gloucester) CITY OF OTTAWA

Surveyed by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd.


DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

- 1. This survey and plan are correct and in accordance with the Surveys Act, the Surveyors Act and the regulations made under them.

April 20,	2021	

Date	Tyler J. Allison	
	Ontario Land Surveyor	

		Denotes			
	0	"	Survey Monument Planted		
		"	Survey Monument Found		
	SIB	"	Standard Iron Bar		
	SSIB	"	Short Standard Iron Bar		
	IB (OLI)	"	Iron Bar		
	(OU)	"	Origin Unknown		
	(WIT)		Witness		
	Meas.		Measured		
	(AOG) (PI)		Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk	Ltd.	
	(P1) (P2)		Plan 4R-27717	Suproving Inc.	
	(F2)		Plan by Arpentage Dutrisac Index No. GL-OF-3-10-2	Surveying inc.	
	(P3)	"	Plan 5R-799		
	(P4)		Plan 5R-456		
	(P5)		(AOG) Plan Dated September		
	(P6)	"	Plan by Webster & Simmons Ref. No. 4-CON 3 O.F.	s Surveying Ltd.	
	(P7)	"	Plan 4R-14004		
	(DI)		Inst. N644579		
	(D2)		Inst. NS159597		
	EPI	"	Plan and Profile Drawing of I		
	EP2	"	•	6 Rev. 1 "As-Built" Dated Aug./77 al Regsitry Data, Sheets H-28-04 1	
	\bigcirc		Deciduous Tree		
	₩	"	Coniferous Tree		
	-0		Fire Hydrant		
	Υ FH	"	Water Valve		
	O MH-S	"	Maintenance Hole (Sanitary)		
	O MH-ST	"	Maintenance Hole (Storm Sewer)		
	OMH	"	Maintenance Hole (Unidentified)		
	🛄 мн-т	"	Maintenance Hole (Traffic)		
	O W	"	Concrete Well		
	\otimes GV		Gas Valve		
	- M		Gas Meter		
	GM □CB	"	Catch Basin		
	T/G		Top of Grate		
	ICLF		Irregular Chain link Fence	ASSOCIATION OF ONTARIO	
	BF	"	Board Fence	PLAN SUBMISSION FORM	
	CLF	"	Chain link Fence	V-11264	
	WRW	"	Wood Retaining Wall		
	CRW	"	Concrete Retaining Wall		
	OUP	"	Utility Pole		
	\wedge an	"	Anchor		
PS	O LS	"	Light Standard	THIS PLAN IS NOT VALID UNLESS IT IS AN EMBOSSED ORIGINAL	
	Ø	"	Diameter	COPY ISSUED BY THE SURVEYOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
	+ 65.00	"	Location of Elevations	Regulation 1026, Section 29 (3)	
	+ 6 ^{5.00} + 6 ^{5.00*}	"	Top of Concrete Curb Elevat	ions	
'36.56 36.11		"	Top of Retaining Wall Elevat	ions	
NO.11	В	"	Underground Bell Overhead Wires		
	——— онw - ——— Р —	"	Underground Hydro		
	G	"	Underground Gas		
			k Ltd, 2021. "THIS PLAN IS PROTEC		
/	A	ANNIS,		OLLEBEKK LTD.	
	$\mathbf{\nabla}$		165 Bay Str Embrun, Ont. K		
	X 7	•	Phone: (613) 44		
	Ontario Email: Embrun@aovltd.com				
<u> </u>	Land Surve	yors Job	No. E-1150-21	A.M.	



	NO. REVISION	
	1 ISSUED FOR TENDER	
	2 ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	
	3 ASBUILT	
Stantec		
Horizontal 5.0m 0 5.0 10m 0.5m 0 0.5 1.0m Vertical	NOTE: The location of the utilities is approximate location should be determined by consultir authorities and utility companies concerner The contractor shall prove the location of be responsible for adequate protection fro	ng d. uti

85.36	B5.59 B5.41	85.28	STUMPS 85.89	INNES ROAD RECONSTRUCTION EASTPARK DRIVE WEST TO CLEROUX CRESCENT EAST Image: Construction Sector Infrastructure Services GRADING AND DRAINAGE STA. 3+900 TO STA. 4+200 Image: Construction Services Image: Construction Services Director Infrastructure Services Dum V.F. Chkd: E.D.V. Dest L.D.S. Chkd: E.D.V. Image: Construction Services Image: Construction Services NOTE: I. OFFSETS AND GRATE ELEVATIONS FOR CATCHBASINS REFER TO THE CENTER OF THE GRATE. Image: Construction Services **2. LOCATION AND INVERT ELEVATIONS TO BE CONFIRMED IN FIELD BY ENGINEER UPON EXPOSURE OF EXISTING UNDERGROUND UTILITIES BY CONTRACTOR. 3. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE EXCAVATION, DEWATERING, BEDDING AND BACKFILLING FOR RELOCATION OF EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT BY
BS BALE CLF CLF CLF CLF CLF CLF CLF CLF CLF CLF	d CR	85.18 85.18 98 85.47 5 98 85.47 5 0 0 15 0 0 85.92 0 85 0 85 0 85 98 85 0 85 98 85 0 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	86.06 98	If E CITY OF OTTAWA. If Construction Image: Construction of the constr
NITARY EXISTING 457mm DIA. STORM			4+150	83 82 81 PAVEMENT GRADES (C/L) STATION