

Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

LeBreton Flats Library Parcel **Mixed-use Development**

ISSUED FOR SPA RESUBMISSION

November 2022 — TYLin Ref. 10399 Dream Asset Management

30 Adelaide Street East, Suite 301, Toronto, Ontario M5C 3H1

dream 🔶 🛛 'IYLin

TYLin

Contents

1.	Intro	oduction	1
1.1	1.	Project Background	2
1.2	<u>2</u> .	Proposed Development	2
1.3	3.	Site Access	2
1.4	4.	Utilities	2
1.5	5.	Groundwater	2
2.	Stor	rmwater Management	5
2.1	1.	Existing Stormwater Management	5
2.2	2.	Proposed Stormwater Management	5
	2.2.1	1. Stormwater Management Design Strategy	5
	2.2.2	2. Proposed Stormwater Outlet Connection	5
	2.2.3	3. Stormwater Quantity Control	5
	2.2.4	4. Stormwater Quality Control	6
	2.2.5	5. Stormwater Water Balance	6
2.3	3.	Construction Erosion & Sediment Control	7
3.	Sani	itary Servicing1	0
3.1	1.	Existing Sanitary Servicing1	0
3.2	2.	Proposed Sanitary Servicing1	0
4.	Wat	ter Servicing1	3
4.1	1.	Existing Water Servicing1	3
4.2	2.	Proposed Water Servicing1	3
5.	Con	nclusion1	6

Appendices

- Appendix A Stormwater Calculations
- Appendix B Sanitary Calculations
- Appendix C Water Demand Calculations
- Appendix D MSR Excerpts
- Appendix F Drawings

Figures

Figure 1-1 Location Plan	. 1
Figure 1-2 Proposed Development Plan	. 3
Figure 2-1 Proposed Drainage Figure	. 9

1. Introduction

TYLin has been retained by Dream Asset Management to prepare a detailed Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report along with a corresponding grading and servicing design for the redevelopment of LeBreton Flats Library Parcel. The subject property is located at the northeast corner of Booth Street and Albert Street at municipal address 665 Albert Street in the City of Ottawa (refer to **Figure 1.1**).

This report will:

- Provide background information regarding the subject property;
- Summarize the existing site conditions;
- Provide information regarding the proposed development conditions;
- Outline the proposed grading for the development; and
- Outline the existing and proposed municipal servicing.

The recommended servicing has been developed in accordance with the applicable design criteria and requirements of the City of Ottawa.



Figure 1-1 Location Plan

1.1. PROJECT BACKGROUND

The total property is approximately 1.26ha in area at municipal address 665 Albert Street in the City of Ottawa, however this area also includes the air rights reserved by NCC over the Pimisi station and a small parcel located north of the station. Therefore, the proposed development is approximately 0.96ha in area.

The subject site is bound by Booth Street to the west and Albert Street to the south. The site is currently vacant and Ottawa Public Library will be constructed northeast of the subject site.

The existing general topography of the site has a gentle slope from north to south. Existing site drainage primarily is discharged towards the Albert Street right-of-way, which is captured by existing municipal storm infrastructure. At the northern side of the site there is a steep bank sloping down to the transit line.

The overall servicing design and strategy is based on the allocated servicing allowances for the site, as detailed in the Master Servicing Report (MSR) for the LeBreton Flats Development area. This report was prepared by CIMA+ and details the anticipated development and resulting servicing requirements for the surrounding area. As per the MSR, the redevelopment site (conceptual Block 1) was allocated conceptual breakdown of 403 apartment units, 3,063m² of retail area, and 19,307m² of office/loft area. The MSR was completed based on the LeBreton Flats Master Concept Plan, prepared by O2 Planning and Design in 2020. Relevant excerpts from the MSR can be found in **Appendix D**.

1.2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed site includes the construction of a 36-storey (plus penthouse) 2-tower mixed-use development. The development will include 604 residential units, 1,005m² of retail space, and a childcare facility. The development will utilize a 2-storey underground parking structure which will cover the majority of the subject site. Refer to **Figure 1.2** for the proposed development plan.

1.3. SITE ACCESS

Vehicular access into the site and underground parking will be through a vehicular entrance at the southeastern corner of the site at the intersection of Albert Street and Empress Avenue. Loading and garbage access will be from the same entrance. Pedestrian access into the site is available along Albert Street and Booth Street.


1.4. UTILITIES

The property is in a well-established location in the City of Ottawa, all utilities including telephone, cable, electricity, and gas are readily available to service the subject property.

1.5. GROUNDWATER

A Hydrogeological investigation for the subject site was completed in November 2022 by Golder Associates. The investigation indicates that permanent/long-term dewatering will be required for the subject site. The Hydrogeological Investigation calculated a long-term discharge rate of 125,000L/day (1.45L/s). Based on the currently available groundwater quality data from wells on site, some of the water quality parameters exceeded the City's allowable limits for discharge to the storm sewer. As such,

the hydrogeological investigation recommends long term groundwater discharge to the sanitary sewer. Calculations have been completed to confirm that the capacities of the downstream sanitary sewers will not be negatively impacted by this additional flow. This is discussed in further detail in **section 3.2** and calculations are provided in **Appendix B.**



1.

2. Stormwater Management

2.1. EXISTING STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The existing site servicing details obtained from The City of Ottawa utility plan, engineering plan and profiles and a topographical survey completed of the area indicate that there is a 1050mm diameter storm sewer located within the Albert Street right-of-way that flows southwest. Existing site drainage primarily is discharged towards the Albert Street right-of-way, where the runoff is captured by catch basins, leading into the existing 1050mm municipal storm sewer system. Refer to the **Servicing Drawing (S1)** for the existing storm sewer infrastructure.

2.2. **PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT**

Stormwater Management Design Strategy

The stormwater strategy for the proposed development is based on the MOE 2003 Stormwater Management Planning & Design (SWMPD) and The City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (2012) for stormwater quality and quantity controls, including water quality treatment, water balance and water quantity treatment through the reduction of peak storm flows.

As per Section 1.1, the allocated storm discharge allowances for the site are based on the Master Servicing Report (MSR) for the LeBreton Flats Development area. As per the MSR, the allowable release rate for the redevelopment site (Block 1) will be limited to the 5-year pre-development flow (0.50 runoff co-efficient), with quantity control on site up to and including the 100-year event. Relevant excerpts from the MSR can be found in **Appendix D**.

The development will be pursuing LEED ND and LEED NC certification and therefore must meet the mandatory requirements for rainwater management. Based on the sustainability requirements, a portion of the rainwater runoff from the developed site must be retained onsite (i.e. infiltrated, evapotranspirated or collected and reused) using low-impact development (LID) and green infrastructure (GI) practices. The site will be designed to retain the 80th percentile of regional or local rainfall events. Based on the City of Ottawa rainfall records, a rainfall depth of 15.7mm will be used to achieve 80th percentile of rainfall retained.

2.2.2. Proposed Stormwater Outlet Connection

Storm servicing for the proposed development will consist of a 300mm diameter connection at a 1.0% slope connecting into the 1050mm storm main within Albert. The service will enter the site adjacent to the stormwater management vault located at the center of the site along the southern property line. The connection will be made as per City of Ottawa standards.

The proposed sewer infrastructure is shown on the **Servicing Plan (S1)**.

2.3. Stormwater Quantity Control

As per Section 2.2.1, the allowable release rate for the site will be limited to the 5-year predevelopment flow (0.50 runoff co-efficient), with quantity control on site up to and including the 100-year event. A portion of the site along the northern property line and a triangular section at the northwest corner (2,585m² area total) will be developed as enhanced landscape area that will provide extensive area for the rainwater runoff to infiltrate onsite. Therefore, this area will act



independently of the remainder of the site in reference to the stormwater management and rainwater retainment requirements.

Based on a remaining development area of 7,044m², and a runoff coefficient of 0.5 (as per the MSR), the 5-year allowable release rate from the development site into the storm sewer system is 101.9L/s. Due to design constraints, the site has been designed to allow some area along the property line to flow unrestricted offsite to Albert Street and Booth Street. The total area of uncontrolled flow is 665.0m². The unrestricted portion of the site will release 29.7L/s during the 100-year storm. The stormwater discharge for the remainder of the site will be controlled release from the stormwater management vault. Therefore, the total allowable 100-year post-development release rate is 72.2L/s (101.9L/s total minus the 29.7L/s uncontrolled). The detailed calculations of the discharge rates can be found in **Appendix A**.

Onsite storage will be provided via green roofs equipped with roof drains on the new buildings in addition to a storage vault within the underground parking facility. The 739m² of green roof area will provide 26.1m³ of storage volume through saturation storage and ponding on the roofs. The stormwater storage vault, located on P1 level, will provide the remaining storage volume.

Under proposed conditions, runoff from the rooftops and ground surface will drain via the mechanical system and into the stormwater management vault prior to discharging into the Albert Street storm sewer system. The stormwater management vault is to be located on the south side of the underground parking structure. The restricted outlet rate from the tank will be mechanically pumped to the control maintenance hole at a maximum controlled flow rate in order for the storm service to cross above the 1220mm HPTM watermain. The control maintenance hole will then outlet into the Albert Street storm sewer. The pump will be designed with a maximum pumping rate of 70.0L/s, which is less than the maximum allowable release rate of 72.2L/s.

Controlling flows to the allowable release rate during the 100-year storm event will fill the vault to the designated high-water level (60.70m) and provide an active storage volume within the vault required to meet the reduced peak flow rate from the site. If there is a pump failure inside the stormwater management tank, the emergency flows will spill out the access lid at the surface and discharge out to the Albert Street right of way. Overland emergency flow routes for the site will mainly flow out to Albert Street with a small portion of the northwest corner flowing out to Booth Street.

Pump design and maintenance requirements will be provided by the mechanical engineer.

Refer to **Figure 2.1** for the post-development drainage area plan and **Appendix A** for the detailed stormwater management calculations.

2.2.4. Stormwater Quality Control

As per discussions with the RVCA, they do not require any water quality controls for the subject site based on the current site plan. Correspondence with the RVCA concerning quality control requirements can be found in **Appendix A**. Similarly, there is no specific reference to any required quality control measures associated with the LEED certification. However, surface runoff will be routed to landscaped areas wherever possible in order to increase TSS removal onsite.

2.2.5. Stormwater Water Balance

A portion of the site along the northern property line and a triangular section at the northwest

corner (2,585m² area total) will be developed as enhanced landscape area that will be provide extensive area for the rainwater runoff to infiltrate onsite. Therefore, this area will act independently of the remainder of the site in reference to rainwater retainment requirements.

Based on a remaining area of 7,044m², the 80th percentile (15.7mm depth) volume required to be retained on site is 110.6m³. Due to the large underground parking area, infiltration of stormwater into the subsurface is not a viable water balance solution for the remainder of the site. The volume of water to be retained will be captured in the storage vault and reused on site. The proposed vault will be designed with a 6.55m baffle wall which will separate the vault into two separate storage sections. One section will provide re-use storage while the other section will provide the active storage requirement. Flows collected on site will flow into the re-use section via the building's mechanical system. Flows stored in this re-use section will be reused onsite via mechanical reuse systems or landscape irrigation. Refer to mechanical and landscape drawings for additional information. Once the re-use section of the vault is full, flows will spill over the baffle wall and into the active storage portion of the tank to be directed off site as discussed in **section 2.2.3**. The baffle wall height and position within the tank have been determined to achieve the required retention volume of 110.6m³. Refer to drawings **S1** and **D1** for details.

Water balance calculations can be found in Appendix A.


2.3. CONSTRUCTION EROSION & SEDIMENT CONTROL

Details for erosion and sedimentation control during construction will be subject to the City of Ottawa approval prior to issuance of Building Permit. During the construction works, there is potential for sediment-laden runoff to be directed toward the adjoining properties, municipal streets, and existing storm infrastructure. Therefore, prior to any grading activity, sediment control and hoarding fencing must be installed along the site perimeter. Additional measures will include construction of an entrance "mud-mat' on the access to be used during construction to minimize mud tracking offsite. Material stockpiles are to be located in appropriate locations. Inlet sediment control devices are to be used on existing catchbasins in municipal rights-of-way that may be affected by the construction of this site. The sequencing of the implementation of the above and additional erosion and sediment control measures is summarized in the following table.

Activity	Erosion Control Practice
Area Grading	 Construct and maintain entrance "mud-mat". Construct and maintain sediment control fencing around the downstream perimeter of the site. Locate stockpiles away from sensitive areas.
Servicing and Asphalt Works	 Limit open trench lengths to minimize erosion potential of excavated material. Prevent erosion of material stockpiles. During work stoppages or inclement weather, plug ends of open sewers to prevent downstream sedimentation. Protect catchbasin inlets with Terrafix 240R non-woven geotextile.

Table 2-1: Erosion Control Sequencing

Maintenance	 Remove accumulated sediments when depth exceeds 0.30 m. Maintain and repair sediment control fencing as required.
	Maintain and repair catchbasin sediment controls as required.



3. Sanitary Servicing

3.1. EXISTING SANITARY SERVICING

The existing site servicing details obtained from The City of Ottawa utility plan, engineering plan and profiles and a topographical survey completed of the area indicate that there is sanitary sewer infrastructure in the vicinity of the site. The following sanitary infrastructure is adjacent to the subject site;

- A 450mm diameter sanitary sewer located within the Albert Street right-of-way that flows southwest
- A 1.67m × 1.67m sanitary collector sewer located within the Booth Street right-of-way that flows northeast

Refer to the **Servicing Drawing (S1)** for the existing sanitary sewer infrastructure and proposed sanitary service design.

3.2. PROPOSED SANITARY SERVICING

Both the allocated (as per the MSR) and the proposed demand determination for the site has been calculated using the parameters defined in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 (CoO SDG). A summary of the sanitary design parameters is provided in **Table 3.1**.

Pa	rameter	Value	
Residential	Baseflow	280 L/cap/day	
	Apartment	1.8 person/unit	
ulation per Dwelling	Townhome	2.7 person/unit	
	Harmon Correction Factor (K)	0.8	
mon Peaking Factor Parameters	Max Harmon P.F	4	
Falameters	Min Harmon P.F.	2	
	Baseflow	2.8 L/m²/day	
Commercial	Peaking Factor	1.5	
Commerciar	Peaking Factor if Commercial area is <20% of total area	1.0	
Infiltration		0.33 L/s/ha	

 Table 3.1 - Sanitary design parameters

A breakdown of MSR allocated vs proposed sanitary demands for the site is presented in **Table 3.2**, below. Refer to **Appendix B** for the detailed sanitary design calculations and **Appendix D** for the excerpts from the MSR.



		Allocated (as per MSR)	Proposed Development
	Area	1.26 ha	1.26 ha
	Apartment Units	403	604
	Population	726	1,088
	Average Design Flow	2.35 L/s	3.53 L/s
Residential	Harmon Correction Factor	0.8	0.8
	Peaking Factor	3.31	3.22
	Peak Residential Flow	7.78 L/s	11.36 L/s
	Total Non-Res Floor Area	22,370 m ²	2,127 m ²
Non-Residential	Average Design Flow	0.72 L/s	0.07 L/s
	Peaking Factor	1.5	1.0
	Peak Non-Res Flow	1.09 L/s	0.07 L/s
	Infiltration	0.32 L/s	0.32 L/s
Long Term	n Groundwater Discharge	0 L/s	1.45 L/s
TOTAL WET WEATHER PEAK FLOW		9.19 L/s	13.20 L/s

Table 3.2 - Proposed Vs. As-Zone	d Sanitary Design Flow Calculation
----------------------------------	------------------------------------

As shown in the table above, the proposed site will result in a 4.01L/s increase in the anticipated design flow as compared to the allocated design flow specified in the MSR downstream sewer calculations. Therefore, further investigation was completed to assess whether the downstream municipal infrastructure has capacity for the increase in density.

As detailed in Section 5.4.4.3 of the MSR, the Library Parcel (Block 1) is part of the Cave Creek Collector system. As per the MSR, the City of Ottawa has indicated that there is likely no capacity limitation for the Cave Creek Collector collector but has provided the current estimated capacity in order to compare the proposed sanitary flows with the current estimated capacity. The Library Parcel discharges into the Albert Street Sewer, which has a current estimated capacity of 110L/s. Using the 9.19L/s MSR allocated design flow, the total sanitary flow into the sewer is 75.08L/s, representing 68.3% of the total estimated capacity. With the 4.01L/s increase in proposed design flow, the new total sanitary flow into the sewer is 79.1L/s. This revised design flow represents only 71.9% of the total estimated capacity, and therefore the receiving sanitary sewer system has adequate capacity to handle the increase in site density.

Under proposed conditions the sanitary flows generated from the site will be redirected via the mechanical system to the sanitary control manhole located in the first level of the underground parking garage, at the south side of the site. The sanitary flow calculations included in **Appendix B** indicate that a 150mm diameter sanitary service connection will be required to service the proposed



development. However, a new 300mm diameter sanitary service connection with a 2.0% slope will be provided in order to accommodate the internal mechanical system design. The proposed service will be connected to the existing 450mm sanitary sewer located within the Albert Street. Access to the sanitary control manhole will be at-grade, adjacent to the Albert Street property line.

Refer to the **Servicing Drawing (S1)** for the location of the existing sanitary sewers and **Appendix B** for the detailed sanitary design calculations.

4. Water Servicing

4.1. **EXISTING WATER SERVICING**

The existing site servicing details obtained from The City of Ottawa utility plans, engineering plan and profiles and a topographical survey completed of the area, indicate that there are existing watermain infrastructure in the vicinity of the site, including a 406mm diameter watermain running along the south side of Albert Street

Refer to the Servicing Drawing (S1) for the location of the existing watermain infrastructure.

4.2. **PROPOSED WATER SERVICING**

The proposed water service connections for the subject site will be made to the existing 406mm watermain located within the Albert Street right-of-way. The primary connection will consist of a 150mm fire main/domestic connection into the site. The fire and domestic connection will be separated them inside the building. The As per NFPA 14 "Standard for the Installation of Standpipe and Hose Systems", high-rise buildings greater than 84 m in height shall be equipped with at least two remotely located fire department connections. Additionally, the required domestic water consumption is over 50m³/day, and therefore a secondary domestic water service connection. The secondary water service connection will consist of a 150mm fire/domestic connection installed at the southeast corner of the site. As with the first connection, the fire and domestic connection will be separated them inside the building.

Fire protection for the proposed development will be achieved through the existing/proposed hydrants that surround the site and through internal sprinkler systems. Siamese connections for each building will be placed near the main lobby entrances, within the maximum allowable distance (less than 45m) from the nearest hydrant. Because there isn't an existing hydrant within 45m of the eastern tower, a hydrant will be installed at the southeast corner of the site to service the eastern building. The 'principal entrances' to each building are also located no more than 90m from a municipal hydrant.

Booster pumps may be required for fire and domestic pressure at the upper levels of the condominium developments. The internal onsite water supply and distribution system will be designed and specified to comply with the current standards and specifications of the City of Ottawa and the Ontario Building Code and NFPA 13. The mechanical consultant will provide details building permit application stage.

Both the allocated (as per the MSR) and the proposed demand determination for the site has been calculated using the parameters defined in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 (CoO SDG). A summary of the water design parameters is provided in **Table 4.1**.

Ра	Value	
	Baseflow	350 L/cap/day
	Apartment Population	1.8 person/unit
Residential	Maximum Day Peak Factor	2.5
	Peak Hour Peak Factor	2.2
	Commercial Baseflow	2.8 L/m²/day
	Office/Childcare Baseflow	8.06 L/m²/day
Non-Residential	Maximum Day Peak Factor	1.5
	Maximum Day Peak Factor	1.5
	Peak Hour Peak Factor	1.8

A breakdown of MSR allocated vs proposed water demands for the site is presented in **Table 4.2**, below. Refer to **Appendix C** for the detailed water design calculations and **Appendix D** for the excerpts from the MSR.

		Allocated (as per MSR)	Proposed Development
	Population	726	1,088
	Average Day Demand	2.94 L/s	4.41 L/s
Residential	Maximum Day Demand	7.35 L/s	11.02 L/s
	Peak Hour Demand	16.18 L/s	24.24 L/s
	Total Floor Area	3,063 m ²	1,005 m ²
	Average Day Demand	0.10 L/s	0.03 L/s
Commercial	Maximum Day Demand	0.15 L/s	0.05 L/s
	Peak Hour Demand	0.27 L/s	0.09 L/s
	Total Floor Area	19,307 m ²	1,122 m ²
	Average Day Demand	1.80 L/s	0.10 L/s
Office & Childcare	Maximum Day Demand	2.70 L/s	0.16 L/s
	Peak Hour Demand	4.87 L/s	0.29 L/s
TOTAL A	VERAGE DAY DEMAND	4.84 L/s	4.54 L/s
TOTAL MA	XIMUM DAY DEMAND	10.20 L/s	11.22 L/s
ΤΟΤΑΙ	L PEAK HOUR DEMAND	21.31 L/s	24.62 L/s

Table 4.2 - PROPOSED VS. AS-ZONED water demand CALCULATION

As shown in the table above, the proposed site will result in a decrease in the average day demand (93% of allocated), an increase in the maximum day demand (1.10% of allocated), and an increase in the peak hour demand (1.15% of allocated). As per the MSR, the fire flow demand of 217L/s was considered per the maximum fire demand level of service in core areas as stipulated in the 2013 City of Ottawa Water Master Plan (Stantec, 2013). Under proposed conditions, the site is anticipated to have a maximum fire flow demand of 198L/s, (based on the Fire Underwriters Survey). Therefore, the proposed fire flow demand is less than the anticipated demand as per the MRS and there is no concern with the revised water demand based on the revised site design.

The boundary conditions and HGL were analyzed based on a maximum day demand + fire flow of 209.54L/s and the two boundary condition connection locations shown in **Appendix C**. The proposed HGL of the two connections (109.8m and 109.6m) were within the minimum and maximum HGL provided by the City (107.8m and 115.6m, respectively).

The water demand calculations are shown in **Appendix C** and the proposed and existing watermain infrastructure are shown on the **Servicing Drawing (S1)**.

5. Conclusion

The proposed development will see the construction of two podium/tower mixed-use development with two levels of below grade parking in the City of Ottawa. The proposed development can be serviced utilizing the existing and proposed infrastructure outlined in the **Servicing Drawing (S1)**. Our conclusions and recommendations for servicing of the proposed development is summarized as follows:

Stormwater Servicing

- The allowable release rate for the site will be limited to the 5-year pre-development flow (0.50 runoff co-efficient), with quantity control on site up to and including the 100-year event.
- The water balance requirement will be achieved by capturing the reuse volume in the re-use section of the stormwater management vault and reused for mechanical or irrigation purposes on-site.
- There are no additional stormwater quality measures required as per LEED requirements or discussions with the RVCA.
- The site will be serviced by a new 300mm storm sewer with a slope of 1.0%, connected to the 1050mm storm sewer within Albert Street.
- Onsite storage will be provided via green roofs and a storage vault within the underground parking facility. The restricted outlet rate from the tank will be mechanically pumped to the control maintenance hole at a maximum pumping rate of 70.0L/s.
- Sediment and erosion control measures to be taken during construction have been presented in this report.

Sanitary Servicing

- The anticipated peak sanitary peak flow for the proposed development is 13.20L/s.
- Sanitary servicing is proposed to be connected into the existing 450mm sanitary sewer located within the Albert Street right-of-way.
- The proposed site will result in a 4.01L/s increase in the anticipated design flow as compared to the allocated design flow specified in the MSR downstream sewer calculations. Even with the additional flow, the downstream sewer is 28.1% below the total capacity and therefore has adequate capacity to handle the increase in site density.

Water Servicing

- The calculated maximum day and peak hour demands were calculated as 11.22L/s and 24.62L/s, respectively.
- Two 150mm combined fire and domestic service connections will be made to the existing 406mm watermain located within the Albert Street. The fire and domestic connections will be separated them inside the building. Both domestic connections for the site will be used with an internal connection.
- Fire protection for the proposed development will be achieved through the existing/proposed hydrants that surround the site and through internal sprinkler systems. Siamese connections

TYLin

for each building will be placed near the main lobby entrances. A new hydrant will be installed at the southeast corner of the site to service the eastern building.

• Under proposed conditions, the site is anticipated to have a maximum fire flow demand of 198L/s, less than the anticipated the fire flow demand of 217L/s, as per the MSR. Therefore, there is no concern with the revised water demand based on the revised site design.

Recommendations

The following Stormwater Management Report is sufficient for your purposes. If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

TYLin

Prepared By:

Brendan Grimes, P.Eng. Site Plan Engineer



TYLin



STORMWATER CALCULATIONS



Project Name: LeBreton Library Parcel	
Project #: 10399	Prepared by: B.G.
Date: September 2022	Checked by: B.D.

ALLOWABLE RELEASE FLOW

Table 1. a) Total Uncontrolled Area			te. 1 Ost Dev. Controlled	Area is shown in Orifice Ca Uncontrolled			
Type of Land	T (min)	Runoff Coef. (C)	Pre Dev. Site (A)	Pre Dev. Incoming External (A)		Dev. Uncontrolled (A)	
Total Unrestricted Area Combined T (min)			7044	-	7044 10	665.02	
Combined Runoff Coefficient			0.50		0.50	10 0.90	
Landscape	10	0.25	0.00	0	0.50	0.50	
Impervious	10	0.90	ů 0	0	ů 0	665.02	
General	10	0.50	7044	0	7044	0	
2. Formulas, Coefficients & Average Rain	all Intensity:						
= a(T+b)⁻º, where i (mm/h) ; T (min) Q = A(i)C/3600, where A (m²) ; i (mm/h)	N	ote: a,b,c = coefficients	as per municipal standar				
				Return Peri			
Description Units		2	5	10	25	50	10
а		732.951	998.071	1174.184	1402.884	1569.58	1735.68
b		6.199	6.053	6.014	6.018	6.014	6.01
C		0.81	0.814	0.816	0.819	0.82	0.8
Total Unrestricted Area i (mm/h)		76.81	104.19	122.14	144.69	161.47	178.5
8. Pre Development Flow:	Г			Return Peri	od (years)		
Description Units		2	5	10	25	50	100
Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s)		75.14	101.94	119.50	141.56	157.97	174.69
Summary: The Pre Development Flow is Q2=75.1L/s, Q5	=101.9L/s, Q10=	119.5L/s, Q25=141.6L/s	. Q50=158L/s, Q100=17	<u>ł.7L/s.</u>			
A Boot Development Uncentrelled Flow				Doturn Dori	od (years)		
4. Post Development Uncontrolled Flow:	Г			Return Pen			
I. Post Development Uncontrolled Flow: Description Units	F	2	5	10	25	50	10
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s)		12.77	17.32	10 20.31	25 24.06	26.85	29.69
				10	25		100 29.69 29.6 9
· Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s)	2=12.8L/s, Q5=1	12.77 12.77	17.32 17.32	10 20.31 20.31	25 24.06	26.85	29.69
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s) Cumulative Flow Q (L/s)	2=12.8L/s. Q5=1	12.77 12.77	17.32 17.32	10 20.31 20.31 20.31 Q100=29.7L/s.	25 24.06 24.06 24.06	26.85	29.69
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s) Cumulative Flow Q (L/s) summary: The Post Development Uncontrolled Flow is Q	2=12.8L/s, Q5=1	12.77 12.77 7.3L/s, Q10=20.3L/s, Q2	17.32 17.32 5=24.1L/s. Q50=26.8L/s	10 20.31 20.31 Q100=29.7L/s. Return Peri	25 24.06 24.06 24.06	26.85 26.85	29.65 29.6 5
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s) Cumulative Flow Q (L/s) Summary: The Post Development Uncontrolled Flow is Q Description Units	2=12.8L/s. Q5=1	12.77 12.77 7.3L/s. Q10=20.3L/s. Q2 2	17.32 17.32 5=24.1L/s, Q50=26.8L/s 5	10 20.31 20.31 .0100=29.7L/s. 	25 24.06 24.06 0d (years) 25	26.85 26.85 50	29.69
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s) Cumulative Flow Q (L/s) ummary: The Post Development Uncontrolled Flow is Q Allowable Flow: Description Units Pre Development Flow Q (L/s)	2=12.8L/s, Q5=1	12.77 12.77 7.3L/s, Q10=20.3L/s, Q2	17.32 17.32 5=24.1L/s. Q50=26.8L/s	10 20.31 20.31 Q100=29.7L/s. Return Peri	25 24.06 24.06 24.06	26.85 26.85	29.6 29.6 10
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s) Cumulative Flow Q (L/s) ummary: The Post Development Uncontrolled Flow is Q Allowable Flow: Pre Development Flow Q (L/s) Allowable Release Flow Q (L/s)	2=12.8L/s. Q5=1	12.77 12.77 7.3L/s, Q10=20.3L/s, Q2 75.14	17.32 17.32 5=24.1L/s. Q50=26.8L/s 5 101.94	10 20.31 20.31 .0100=29.7L/s. Return Peri 10 119.50	25 24.06 24.06 0d (years) 25 141.56	26.85 26.85 50 157.97	29.6 29.6 10 174.6
Description Units Total Unrestricted Area Q (L/s) Cumulative Flow Q (L/s) Summary: The Post Development Uncontrolled Flow is Q Allowable Flow: Description Units Pre Development Flow Q (L/s)	2=12.8L/s. Q5=1	12.77 12.77 7.3L/s, Q10=20.3L/s, Q2 7.3L/s, Q10=20.3L/s, Q2 75.14 101.94	17.32 17.32 5=24.1L/s, Q50=26.8L/s 5 101.94 101.94	10 20.31 20.31 0.00=29.7L/s. Return Peri 10 119.50 101.94	25 24.06 24.06 24.06 0d (years) 25 141.56 101.94	26.85 26.85 50 157.97 101.94	29.6 29.6 10 174.6 101.9

Project Name: LeBreton Library Parcel					
Project #: 10399	Prepared by: B.G.				
Date: September 2022	Checked by: B.D.				

ORIFICE CALCULATIONS - POST DEV. CONTROLLED FLOWS

	: г			Return Perio	d (vears)		
Description	Units	2	5	10	25	50	100
Allowable Release Flow		101.94	101.94	101.94	101.94	101.94	101.94
Uncontrolled Release Flow		12.77	17.32	20.31	24.06	26.85	29.69
Remaining Release Flow for Vault	Q (L/s)	89.17	84.61	81.63	77.88	75.09	72.25
ummary: The remaining release flow for the orifi	<u>ce is Q100</u>	=72.2L/s.					
. Total Post Development Area:							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Area (m ²)			
Types of Land	T (min)	Runoff Coef. (C)	Post Dev. Uncontrolled (A)	Post Dev. Controlled (A)	Total Post Dev. (A)		
Total Area			665.02	6378.98	7044		
Combined T (min)			10	10	10		
Combined Runoff Coefficient			0.9	0.88	0.88		
Total Area (Unrestricted)			665.02	5639.98	6305		
Combined T (min) (Unrestricted)			10	10	10		
Combined Runoff Coefficient (Unrestricted)	10	0.25	0.9 0	0.87 245	0.87 245		
Landscape Impervious	10 10	0.25	0 665.02	245 1419.98	245 2085		
Impervious Unrestricted Flat Roof	10	0.9	665.U2 0	1419.98 3975	2085 3975		
Restricted Green Roof (42L/s/ha)	10	0.9	0	739	739		
()					Total		
igh Water Head from invert (h) Iowable Vault Flow (Q)	= = =	6.55 72.25 I	_/s				
igh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) <u>ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s.</u>	= = =	6.55	n ./s ./s				
ligh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) Summary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s.	= = =	6.55 72.25 I	n ./s ./s	Storm Service Connection:			low Capacity Ac
ligh Water Head from invert (h) Illowable Vault Flow (Q) fax Pump Flow (Q) Summary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. D. Storage Facility Design: Storage Facility	= = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 /	n _/s _/s	Diameter (mm)	Slope (%)	Velocity (m/s)	(L/s)
High Water Head from invert (h) Mowable Vault Flow (Q) Max Pump Flow (Q) Summary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. D. Storage Facility Design: Storage Facility	= = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 /	n ./s ./s		Slope (%) 1	Velocity (m/s) Full F 1.37	
Pre Development Return Period to Control to tigh Water Head from invert (h) Nilowable Vault Flow (Q) Aax Pump Flow (Q) Summary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. 9. Storage Facility Design: Storage Facility Storage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E Vault Elevations: Surface Elevation Top of Vault Elevation	= = = = = = = =	6.55 i 72.25 i 70.00 i ′ault 116.0 i	n _/s _/s n³ (Storage Calc's)	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention:	1	Velocity (m/s)	(L/s)
ligh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) Isummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. 5. Storage Facility Design: Itorage Facility Itorage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E Isufface Elevation Top of Vault Elevation	= = = = \ = Dead Sto	6.55 72.25 70.00 /ault 116.0 orage Volume 62.64	n _/s _/s m ³ (Storage Calc's) []] n []	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention:	1	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m²) 7044	(L/s) 96.7 IA (m ³) 16.1
ligh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) Storage Facility Design: Itorage Facility Itorage Facility Itorage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E fault Elevation iop of Vault Elevation ligh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation	= = = Dead Sto = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 4 2 4 2 4 4 116.0 1 6 0.70 6 0.70 6 0.70 5 4.04 1	n _/s _/s n ³ (Storage Calc's) n n n n n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction TOTAL Landscape	1 Depth (mm) A 5	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m ²) 7044 245	(L/s) 96.7 16.1 1.2
ligh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) <u>ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s.</u> D. Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E <u>iault Elevations</u> : urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation ligh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation otom of Vault Elevation	= = = Dead Sto = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 /ault 116.0 62.64 61.00 60.70 54.04 54.04	n _/s _/s m³ (Storage Calc's) [] n n n n n n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention; Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious	1 Depth (mm) 4 5 0.6	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m²) 7044 245 2085	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3
igh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) <u>ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s.</u> 5. Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E <u>ault Elevations</u> ; urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation igh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation	= = = Dead Sto = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	n _/s _/s n³ (Storage Calc's) [] n n [n n [n n [n n [n n [n n [n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel	1 Depth (mm) 4 5 0.6 5	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m²) 7044 245 2085 0	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0
igh Water Head from invert (h) llowable Vault Flow (Q) lax Pump Flow (Q) ummarv: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. A Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E ault Elevations: urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation igh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation 2 Slab Elevation	= = = Dead Sto = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 /ault 116.0 62.64 61.00 60.70 54.04 54.04	n _/s _/s m ³ (Storage Calc's) n n n n n n n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof)	1 Depth (mm) 4 5 0.6 5 2.5	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m ²) 7044 245 2085 0 3975	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0 9.9
igh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) ax Pump Flow (Q) ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. . Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E ault Elevations: urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation igh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation 2 Slab Elevation ault Details:	= = = Dead Sto = = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 4 2 4 2 4 4 5 4.04 1 5 4.04 1 5 4.04 1 5 4.04 1	n _/s _/s n ³ (Storage Calc's) [] n n [n n [n n [n n [n n [n n [n n [n n []	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof) Building (Green Roof)	1 Depth (mm) 4 5 0.6 5	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m²) 7044 245 2085 0	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0
Igh Water Head from invert (h) Iowable Vault Flow (Q) ax Pump Flow (Q) <u>ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s.</u> Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) ctive Storage Volume using 0% of E <u>ault Elevations</u> urface Elevation gh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation pot Vault Elevation Ditom of Vault Elevation 2 Slab Elevation 2 Slab Elevation <u>ault Details:</u> reeboard Height	= = = Dead Sto = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 70.00 1 70.00 1 70.00 1 70.00 1 70.00 1 70.00 1 60.70 60.70 60.70 54.04 54.04 54.04 54.04 1 54.04	n _/s _/s n/s n ³ (Storage Calo's) = n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention; Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof) Building (Green Roof) Building (Green Roof) Building (Green Roof)	1 Depth (mm) 4 5 0.6 5 2.5 5	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 Area (m²) 7044 245 2085 0 3975 739	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0 9.9
igh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. A Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E ault Elevations: urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation igh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation otom of Vault Elevation 2 Slab Elevation ault Details: reeboard Height affle Wall Height	= = = Dead Sto = = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 /ault 116.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	n _/s _/s _/s n^3 (Storage Calc's) [] n _	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof) Building (Flat Roof) Building (Flat Roof) Building (Flat Roof) Building (Flat Roof) Building (The Roof) Bui	1 Depth (mm) A 5 0.6 5 2.5 5 A =	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 1.37 1.37 7044 245 2085 0 3975 739 7044 m ²	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0 9.9
igh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. b. Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E ault Elevations: urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation ligh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation 2 Slab Elevation ault Details: reeboard Height affle Wall Height otal Vault Area	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 4 2 4 2 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	n _/s _/s _/s n ³ (Storage Calc's) [n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof) Building (Green Roof) Both Percentile Water Reuse Total Unrestricted Area Storm Event to be Captured	1 Depth (mm) A 5 0.6 5 2.5 5 4 = E =	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 1.37 Area (m ²) 245 2085 0 3975 739 7044 m ² 15.7 mm	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0 9.9
igh Water Head from invert (h) Ilowable Vault Flow (Q) Iax Pump Flow (Q) ummary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. b. Storage Facility Design: torage Facility torage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E ault Elevations: urface Elevation op of Vault Elevation ligh Water Level (HWL) ump Invert Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation ottom of Vault Elevation 2 Slab Elevation ault Details: reeboard Height affle Wall Height otal Vault Area	= = = = = = = = = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 /ault 116.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	n _/s _/s n ³ (Storage Calc's) n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof) Building (Green Roof) Building (Green Roof) Building (Green Roof) Building (Stat Rouse Total Unrestricted Area Storm Event to be Captured Required Dead Storage Volume	1 Depth (mm) / 5 0.6 5 2.5 5 A = E = V =	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 1.37 7044 245 2085 0 3975 739 7044 m ² 15.7 mm 110.6 m ³	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0 9.9
tigh Water Head from invert (h) Illowable Vault Flow (Q) Max Pump Flow (Q) Summary: The Actual Pump Flow is Q100=70L/s. D. Storage Facility Design: Storage Facility Storage Volume Required (V ₁) Active Storage Volume using 0% of E Staut Elevations: Starface Elevation	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	6.55 72.25 70.00 4 2 4 2 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4	n _/s _/s _/s n^3 (Storage Calc's) = n n = = n = n =	Diameter (mm) 300 15.7mm Storm Retention: Initial Abstraction I TOTAL Landscape Impervious Gravel Building (Flat Roof) Building (Green Roof) Both Percentile Water Reuse Total Unrestricted Area Storm Event to be Captured	1 Depth (mm) A 5 0.6 5 2.5 5 4 = E =	Velocity (m/s) 1.37 1.37 Area (m ²) 245 2085 0 3975 739 7044 m ² 15.7 mm	(L/s) 96.7 96.7 16.1 1.2 1.3 0.0 9.9

Summary: The Vault Active Storage Volume Required is 116m³. Summary: The Vault Active Storage Volume Provided is 119.5m³.

=

=

17.7 m²

119.5 m³

Active Storage Area Active Storage Volume Provided

> Summary: The Dead Storage Volume Required is 110.6 m³. Summary: The Dead Storage Volume Provided is 110.7 m³.

TYLin

a = 1735.688

b = 6.014

c = 0.82

 $Q = flow (m^3/s)$

A = area (m²)

Project Name: LeBreton Library Parcel
Project #: 10399
Prepared by: B.G.
Date: September 2022
Checked by: B.D.

STORAGE CALCULATIONS

10. Storage Calculations Note: Restricted Flat Roof & Restricted Green Roof are controlled to 42L/s/ha. Restricted Flat Restricted Green Total Site Vault Controlling the 100 Year Post Development Flow to the 5 Year Pre Development Flow. Roof Roof Area (m²) 7044 5639.98 739 i = a[(T+b)/60]^{-c}, where i (mm/h) ; T (min) i = average rainfall intensity (mm/h) Runoff Coef. 0.88 0.87 0.90 Q = A(i)C/3600, where A (m²); i (mm/h) a,b,c = coefficients as per municipal standards Q_{controlled} (m³/s) 0.07225 0.07 0.0031038 T = time of concentration (min)

A = area (m)	
C = runoff coefficient	
	Note: 'Vault' Inflow calculation = 100 year flow + 'restri

Note: 'Vault' Inflow calculation = 100 year flow + 'restricted flat roof' flow (0m3/s) + 'Green Roof' flow (0.0031m2	³/s).
Note: Max. 'Vault' Inflow = 0.247m ³ /s	

	Total Site Storage							Vault Storage			Restri	cted Flat Roof St	orage		Restricte	d Green Roof	Storage	
			Inflow,	Flow Store	ed,	Req. Storage,	Inflow,	I	low Stored,	Req. Storage,	Inflow,	F	low Stored,	Req. Storage,	Inflow,	Flo	ow Stored,	Req. Storage,
'ime (min)	i (mm/h)		Q (m³/s)	Q (m³/s)		V (m ³)	Q ₁ (m ³ /s)	(⊋ ₁ (m³/s)	V ₁ (m ³)	Q ₂ (m ³ /s)	(⊋_ (m³/s)	V ₂ (m ³)	Q ₃ (m ³ /s)	Q_3	(m³/s)	V ₃ (m ³)
Maxi	num	-		-	-	161.2		0.247		- 116.0)	-		- 0.0)	-		- 26
	10	178.56		0.307	0.235	141.123		0.246	0.17	6 105.887	7	0.000	0.000	0.000)	0.033	0.03	
	11	169.91		0.293	0.220	145.402		0.235	0.16			0.000	0.000			0.031	0.02	
	12	162.13		0.279	0.207	148.983		0.224	0.15			0.000	0.000)	0.030	0.02	
	13	155.11		0.267	0.195	151.962		0.215	0.14			0.000	0.000)	0.029	0.02	
	14	148.72		0.256	0.184	154.418		0.206	0.13			0.000	0.000)	0.027	0.02	
	15	142.89		0.246	0.174			0.198	0.12			0.000	0.000)	0.026	0.02	
	20	119.95		0.207	0.134	161.146		0.167	0.09			0.000	0.000)	0.022	0.01	
	25	103.85		0.179	0.107	159.841		0.145	0.07			0.000	0.000)	0.019	0.01	
	30	91.87		0.158	0.086	154.683		0.128	0.05			0.000	0.000)	0.017	0.01	
	35	82.58		0.142	0.070			0.116	0.04			0.000	0.000)	0.015	0.01	
	40	75.15		0.129	0.057	137.136		0.106	0.03			0.000	0.000)	0.014	0.01	
	45	69.05		0.119	0.047	125.943		0.097	0.02			0.000	0.000			0.013	0.01	
	60	55.89		0.096	0.024	86.375		0.079	0.00			0.000	0.000)	0.010	0.00	
	70	49.79		0.086	0.013			0.071	0.00			0.000	0.000)	0.009	0.00	
	80	44.99		0.077	0.005	25.048		0.064	0.00			0.000	0.000			0.008	0.00	
	90	41.11		0.071	0.000	0.000		0.059	0.00			0.000	0.000			0.008	0.00	
	120	32.89		0.057	0.000	0.000		0.048	0.00			0.000	0.000			0.006	0.00	
	150	27.61		0.048	0.000	0.000		0.041	0.00			0.000	0.000			0.005	0.00	
	180	23.90		0.041	0.000	0.000		0.036	0.00	0.000)	0.000	0.000	0.000)	0.004	0.00	1 14.1

Summary:

Vault Storage 1 'Vault Storage' Required = 116m³.

2 'Vault Storage' Provided = 119.5m³.

Restricted Flat Roof Storage

3 'Restricted Flat Roof Storage' Available = 50% (0.5) x flat roof area (0m²) x 150mm ponding depth (0.15m) = 0m³.

4 'Restricted Flat Roof Storage' Utilized < Available. Utilized Storage = 0m³.

Green Roof Storage

5 'Restricted Green Roof Storage' Available (saturation storage) = 25mm (0.025m) x green roof area (739m²) = 18.4m³.

6 'Restricted Green Roof Storage' Utilized > Available storage. Utilized storage = 26.1m³.

7 Ponding Depth = [utilized storage (26.1m³) - available storage (18.4m³)] / green roof area (739m²) x 1000 = 10.42mm.

SWM Measure	Value
Allowable Release Flow (Q)	101.94L/s
Uncontrolled Release Flow (Q)	17.32L/s
Allowable Vault Flow (Q)	72.25L/s
Max Pump Flow (Q)	70L/s
'Vault Storage' Required	116m ³
'Vault Storage' Provided	119.5m ³
'Restricted Flat Roof Storage' Utilized	0m³
'Restricted Green Roof Storage' Utilized	26.1m ³
'Dead Storage' Required	110.6m ²
'Dead Storage' Provided	110.7m ³

Caitlin Beaudoin

Subject:

FW: LeBreton Library Parcel - SWM Enquiry

Caitlin Beaudoin project manager, urban development t +1 647.914.3008

TYLin

From: Ben Worth <ben.worth@tylin.com>
Sent: April 7, 2022 5:08 PM
To: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>; Evelyn Liu <evelyn.liu@rvca.ca>
Cc: Caitlin Beaudoin <caitlin.beaudoin@tylin.com>
Subject: RE: LeBreton Library Parcel - SWM Enquiry

Thanks for confirming Eric – quick response much appreciated.

Yes, we will certainly let you know if there are any significant changes in site design intent, however the plans are relatively well advanced and I wouldn't envision anything changing from this point.

Ben Worth senior project manager, water resources m +1 613.986.8997

TYLin

From: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>
Sent: April 7, 2022 5:03 PM
To: Ben Worth <<u>ben.worth@tylin.com</u>>; Evelyn Liu <<u>evelyn.liu@rvca.ca</u>>
Cc: Caitlin Beaudoin <<u>caitlin.beaudoin@tylin.com</u>>
Subject: RE: LeBreton Library Parcel - SWM Enquiry

Hi Ben,

Based on the plan provided, the RVCA will not require any water quality controls for the subject site. Please keep me in the loop should the plan change significantly from what's shown below.

Cheers,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP Planner, RVCA 613-692-3571 x1137


From: Ben Worth <<u>ben.worth@tylin.com</u>> Sent: Thursday, April 7, 2022 4:56 PM To: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>>; Evelyn Liu <<u>evelyn.liu@rvca.ca</u>>
Cc: Caitlin Beaudoin <<u>caitlin.beaudoin@tylin.com</u>>
Subject: RE: LeBreton Library Parcel - SWM Enquiry

Hi Eric,

The site plan is still in progress (due to be submitted to the City within the next few weeks), but I've provided a snip below of work-in-progress architectural site plan.

The only vehicular access to the site is at the east end (short extension of Empress Ave, north off Albert) with a basement entry/egress ramp in the north-east corner of the basement structure. The remainder of at-grade areas are a mixture of hard/soft landscaping, no surface parking is proposed on site.

Thanks, Ben



Ben Worth

SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER, WATER RESOURCES M +1 613.986.8997

TYLin

From: Eric Lalande <<u>eric.lalande@rvca.ca</u>> Sent: April 7, 2022 3:29 PM To: Ben Worth <<u>ben.worth@tylin.com</u>>; Evelyn Liu <<u>evelyn.liu@rvca.ca</u>> Cc: Caitlin Beaudoin <<u>caitlin.beaudoin@tylin.com</u>> Subject: RE: LeBreton Library Parcel - SWM Enquiry



SANITARY CALCULATIONS

Project Name: LeBreton Library Parcel Project No.: 10399 Task: **Sanitary Servicing Analysis**

Apartment

Townhome

Peaking Factor

Residential Peak Flow (L/s)

Population

Residential

TYLin

3.31

7.78

Prepared by: BG Checked by: BW Date: Nov 2022

PROPOSED

DREAM

604

0

1088

280

3.53

0.8

3.22

11.36

4.01 L/s

ALLOTTED CIMA 403 Persons/unit: 1.8 Persons/unit: 2.7 726 Per Capita Flow (Lpcd) 280 Average Flow (L/s) 2.35 Harmon Correction Factor 0.8

	Commercial/Retail	3,063	1,005
	Office/Loft	19,307	
	Childcare		1,122
Non-Res	Total Gross Floor Area (m ²)	22,370	2,127
	Flow (L/m2/day)	2.8	2.8
	Peaking	1.5	1.0
	Average Flow (L/s)	1.09	0.07

	TOTAL DRY WEATHER DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	8.87	11.43
	Site Area (m ²)	9,629	9,629
Wet-Weather	Infiltration Allowance (L/ha/s)	0.33	0.33
wet-weather	Long term groundwater discharge (L/s)	0	1.45
	Wet Weather Flow (L/s)	0.32	1.77
	TOTAL WET WEATHER DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	9.19	13.20

PROP INCREASE FROM ALLOTTED SAN FLOW:

Service Connection	Design Flow (L/s)	Diameter (m)	Slope (%)	Velocity (m/s)	Capacity (L/s)	Total Usage (%)
Residential	13.20	150	2.00	1.22	21.54	61.3

Note:

The above calculations show that a 150mm service connection is sufficient to convey the proposed sanitary flows from the proposed development. However, a 300mm service connection has been proposed on drawing S1 to accommodate the internal mechanical system design.



WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

Project Name: LeBreton Library Parcel Project No.: 10399 Task: Water Demand Analysis

TYLin

Prepared by: CB Checked by: BD Date: Aug 2022

ALLOTTED

PROPOSED

	Apartment	Persons/unit:	1.8					
	Townhome	Persons/unit:	2.7					
	Population							
	Baseflow (Lpcd)							
Residential	al Average Day Demand Flow (L/s)							
	Maximum Day Peaking Fact	or						
	Peak Hour Peaking Factor							
	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)							
	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)							

Average Day Demand Flow (L/s)

Maximum Day Peaking Factor Peak Hour Peaking Factor Maximum Day Demand (L/s) Peak Hour Demand (L/s)

Commercial/Retail

Commercial

Baseflow (L/m2/day)

CIMA	DREAM
403	604
	0
726	1088
350	350
2.94	4.41
2.5	2.5
2.2	2.2
7.35	11.02
16.18	24.24

3,063	1,005
2.8	2.8
0.10	0.03
1.5	1.5
1.8	1.8
0.15	0.05
0.27	0.09

	Office/Loft	19,307	
	Childcare		1,122
	Baseflow (L/m2/day)	8.06	8.06
Office &	Average Day Demand Flow (L/s)	1.80	0.10
Childcare	Maximum Day Peaking Factor	1.5	1.5
	Peak Hour Peaking Factor	1.8	1.8
	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	2.70	0.16
	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)	4.87	0.29
	TOTAL AVERAGE DAY DEMAND FLOW (L/s)	4.84	4.54

TOTAL AVERAGE DAY DEMAND FLOW (L/s) TOTAL MAX DAY DEMAND FLOW (L/s) TOTAL PEAK HOUR DEMAND FLOW (L/s)

	4.54
	11.22
	24.62

10.20

21.31

Project Name:	LeB	reton Library Parc	el		Prepared by: CB
Project No.:	1039		,	TYLin	Checked by: BW
Task:		Water Calculation	าร		Date: Aug 2022
					8
Standards	=	Based on Fire Un	derwriters Survey		
Where:					
C	=	Coefficient relate	d to construction type		
A	=		n m ² (excluding basemen	ts. including above are	ound garage)
M _A	=	Area Multiplier (R		ie,	
Mo	=		olier (<100% = Reductior	, >100% = Increase)	
M _{SPR}	=	Sprinkler Multiplie	er (Reduction)		
M _{SEP}	=	Separation Multip	olier (Increase)		
F	=	Required Flow (L	/min)		
Initial Required Fire Flow	,				
C		Non-combustible	construction		
	=	0.8			
		Floor	$A_0 (m^2)$	M _A	
		Ground	3,801	100%	
		3		100%	
		4	2,272	50%	
		5		50%	
		6		50%	
		7	,	50%	
		8		50%	
		9	,	50% 50%	
		10	1,401	50%	
А	=	Σ [A _{0 i} (M _{A i})]	1,401	50 70	
	=	12839	m ²		
F ₁	=	220(C)A ^{1/2}			
·	=	19,942	L/min		
	=		L/min (round to nearest	1000)	
Occupancy Multiplier					
M _C	, =	Limited combustil	ble		
	=	85%			
F ₂		F ₁ (M _O)			
0	=	17000	L/min		
Sprinkler Multiplier					
M _{SPR}		NFPA Sprinkler s			
Fa	=	70% F ₂ (M _{SPR})			
Гз	=	F ₂ (M _{SPR}) 11900	l /min		
Separation Multiplier	_	11500	<u> </u>		
		Direction	Separation Distance	M _{SEP}	
		Total		100%	
		North Side	45m +	0%	
		East Side	45m +	0%	
		South Side	45m +	0%	
		West Side	45m +	0%	
		Note: Maximum N	/lultiplier is 175%		
Required Fire Flow					
F ₄		F ₃ (M _{SEP})	$(2000 \le F \le 45000)$		
	=	11900			

198.33 L/s





EXCERTS FROM THE MESP

4. Water Servicing

4.1 Existing Infrastructure

A summary of the existing water servicing infrastructure in the vicinity of the LeBreton Flats Development area has been provided in the *LeBreton Flats Servicing Vision*, prepared by Parsons in 2019. A detailed catalogue of the existing infrastructure has also been presented in that report.

Based on a review of available as-built drawings, the principal watermains surrounding the site are a 400mm Dr 18 PVC watermain on Albert St., the 300mm DR 18 PVC watermain on Wellington Street, the 400mm DR 18 PVC stub on Booth Street at Fleet Street. Detailed information on the existing water supply infrastructure can be found on the water servicing plan in Appendix A.

Recommendations have been made in previous reports regarding options for the relocation of the low-pressure transmission main (Parsons, 2019), however, this is no longer required since buildings are no longer conflicting with its existing location as per the LeBreton Flats Development Master Concept Plan (Appendix I).



4.2 Proposed Development

4.2.1 Potable Water Demands

Potable water demands are calculated using the parameters defined in the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines 2010, updated with the relevant Technical Bulletins 2020 (CoO WDG), and the Ministry of Environment, Climate and Parks (MECP) Design Guidelines for Water Supply (2008). A summary of those design parameters is provided in the following table:

	Parameter	Value Units		Source	
Residential	Base Demand	350	l/p/d	CoO WDG	
Population per dwelling	Apartment	1.8	p/dwelling	CoO WDG	
	Townhome	2.7	p/dwelling	CoO WDG	
	Maximum Day	2.5	unitless	CoO WDG	
Peaking Factors	Peak Hour	2.2	unitless	CoO WDG	
Commercial	Base Demand	2.8	L/m2/d	CoO WDG	
De chiner Freetone	Maximum Day	1.5	unitless	CoO WDG	
Peaking Factors	Peak Hour	1.8	unitless	CoO WDG	
Unit Flows					
	Baseflow	225	L/bedspace/d	CoO WDG	
	beds per room	2	bedspace / room		
Hotel	% rooms	65%	room area/ GFA	CIMA+	
	325 sq.ft / room	30.1	room area (m2) / room	Assumption	
	rooms per GFA	0.022	Rooms/GFA (m2)		
	Density / acre	75	Person per acre	CoOSDG / Assumption	
	Density /hectare	185.33	Person per hectare	CoOSDG / Assumption	
Parks	Picnic area; flush toilet	20	L/p/d	CoOSDG	
	Contingency	25%	Unitless	CIMA+ Assumption	
	Peaking Factor	As per commercial	unitless	CIMA+ / CoO WDG	
Flow	Arena / Major Event Centre				
Monitoring	Base Demand	110	L/seat/d	Parsons (2019)	

Table 4.1 : Potable Water Demand Calculation Parameters

Hotel water demands were determined with a baseflow value per the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines, 2010 and based on a value of 0.022 Rooms per m² of gross floor area. This value represents a 65% utilization rate for rooms, with 325 sq. ft per room, and was validated with information from previous CIMA+ projects.

Park water demands were determined based on daily sanitary demand unit rates for parks with washrooms only, using a population density of 75 persons per acre, as presented in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines with a contingency of 25%. The commercial peaking factors were assumed for this demand.



Major Event Centre demands were based on the values provided in the Parsons Servicing Vision 2019, which is based on flow monitoring of an arena. The value obtained of 110 L/seat/d corresponds to the OBC value (20 L/d) (Ontario Building Code, 2017) multiplied by a factor of 5.5. Commercial peaking factors were assumed for this demand.

A breakdown of the resulting estimated potable water demands for each phase is presented in Table 4.2. The water demand calculation sheet supporting these values is included in Appendix E.

Concept Dev.	Residential Population (persons)	Gross Floor Area (m²)			Average Day	Maximum Day	Peak Hour
Block		Commercial/ Institutional	Office/ Loft	Hotel	Demand (L/s)	Demand (L/s)	Demand (L/s)
Block 1	726	3 063	19 307	-	4.84	10.20	21.31
Block 2	512	1 559	-	11 246	2.76	6.21	13.25
Block 3	1 834	5 169	27 000	-	10.12	22.61	48.12
Block 4	-	3 717	24 098	-	2.37	3.55	6.40
Block 5	3 114	3 921	-	7 956	13.19	32.40	70.93
Block 6	1 716	2 687	-	-	28.68	71.62	135.86
Block 7	571	-	-	-	2.31	5.78	12.72
LeBreton Place	-	2722			0.09	0.13	0.24
Parks	-	-	-	-	0.42	0.85	1.87
Total	8 473	22 838	70 405	19 202	64.78	153.35	310.70

Table 4.2 : LeBreton Water Demands

4.2.2 Fire Protection

Given the design is at a conceptual stage with limited available building information, it would not be appropriate to develop fire flows in accordance with the FUS and City requirements at this time. For a preliminary analysis and determination of boundary conditions, a fire flow demand of 217 L/s (13 000 L/min) was considered per the maximum fire demand level of service in core areas as stipulated in the 2013 City of Ottawa Water Master Plan (Stantec, 2013).

4.2.3 Proposed Connections

The proposed LeBreton Flats water servicing configuration utilizes the connection points proposed in the *LeBreton Flats Servicing Vision* (Parsons, 2019) and the *Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 557-584 Wellington Street & 550 Albert Street* (Stantec, 2017). Table 4.3 summarises the proposed primary and secondary connection points while the water servicing plan provided in Appendix A demonstrates the proposed water servicing layout.

For each individual building, multiple connections will be required if the average day demand is over 50 m³/d, as per the requirements of the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines.



The 2019 Parsons report also highlighted a need for pressure reducing valves at each building. The updated boundary conditions may also highlight this requirement, which will need to be confirmed at detailed design.

Concept Dev. Phase	Primary Connection	Size*	Secondary Connection	Size*
Block 1	Albert St.	406mm PVC	Albert St.	406mm PVC
Block 2	Albert St.	406mm PVC	Albert St.	406mm PVC
Block 3	Preston Street North of Albert	406mm DR 18 PVC	Albert St. near Rochester St.	406mm PVC
Block 4	Preston Street North of Albert	406mm DR 18 PVC	Albert St. near Rochester St.	406mm PVC
Block 5	Wellington St. and Preston St.	305mm Class 52 DI (+300mm PVC and Stubs)	Booth St. and Fleet St.	406 mm booth st. / 305mm Fleet street PVC
Block 6	Albert St. and City Centre Ave.	406mm DR 18 PVC	Preston Street North of Albert	406mm DR 18 PVC
Block 7	Wellington St. and Preston St.	305mm Class 52 DI (+300mm PVC and Stubs)	Booth St. and Fleet St.	406 mm booth st. / 305mm Fleet street PVC
LeBreton Place	Connected to Block 4 Network	-	-	-
Parks	Connected to LeBreton Place Network	-	-	-

 Table 4.3 : LeBreton Proposed Watermain Connection Points

*Based on a review of as-built drawings available to CIMA+ at the time of publication of this report



4.2.4 Watermain Boundary Conditions

The following boundary condition was obtained from the City of Ottawa on March 16th, 2020, using a fire flow of 217 L/s at each connection. The connection points used are shown in Appendix A. Based on the boundary conditions, and the proposed site grading, the resulting pressure at the connection points ranges approximately from 59 to 89 psi. To satisfy the City of Ottawa hydraulic objectives and Ontario Building Code requirements, pressure-reducing valves will be required at the building connections where the watermain pressure exceeds 80 psi, as per the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines.

Concept Dev. Phase	Connection points	Min. HGL	Max HGL	Max Day + Fire Flow
·		(m)	(m)	(m)
Block 1	Connection 1A,1B	107.0	116.5	109.0
Block 2	Connection 2A, 2B*	107.0	116.5	109.0
Block 3	Connection 3A, 3B	107.0	116.0	109.0
Block 4, Block 5,	Connection 5A	107.0	116.5	97.0
LeBreton Place, Parks	Connection 5B	107.0	116.5	107.0
Block 6	Connection 6A, 6B	107.0	116.0	109.0
Block 7	Connection 7A	107.0	116.5	107.0
DIUCK /	Connection 7B, 7C	107.0	116.5	108.0

Table 4.4 : LeBreton Proposed Watermain Connection Points

*Assuming a connection to a future 406mm diameter watermain on Albert St.

4.3 Conclusions and Recommendations – Water Servicing

Water Servicing for the LeBreton Flats development is feasible and meets the City of Ottawa hydraulic objectives provided that connection 2B connects to a future 406mm dia. watermain. However, it should be noted that actual fire protection requirements may dictate otherwise.

Actual fire flow demands will need to be assessed and fire scenarios analysed as part of the detailed design. It should also be noted that there may be difficulty in achieving FUS fire flow requirements depending on construction type, gross floor area and percent of unprotected openings. The final design will need to meet the available fire flow with capacity of the existing network or provide additional measures to meet the requirements of the Fire Marshall and appropriate governing bodies.



5. Sanitary Servicing

5.1 Existing Infrastructure

The sanitary sewer network in the vicinity of the LeBreton Flats Development Area is complex and consists of multiple components, including infrastructure such as collectors, regulators, and interceptor sewers, as well as local sewers. Sanitary flow distribution to sewers in this area is actively and passively distributed between the major trunk sewers by various diversion and regulating chambers in the area near Booth St., Albert St., and Preston St., south of the LeBreton development.

A detailed catalogue of the existing infrastructure has been presented in the LeBreton Flats Servicing vision prepared by Parsons in 2019 (Appendix K).

The principal infrastructure which will service the LeBreton Flats Development Area as follows:

- + The Albert Street Sanitary Sewer at Brickhill St. (Albert St.)
- + The Fleet Street Sanitary Sewer and LeBreton Flats Sanitary Pumping station (LFPS)
- + The West Nepean collector and Cave Creek Collectors (WNC/CCC)
- + The Interceptor Outfall Sewer (IOS)

Additional information on sanitary allocations for the LeBreton Development Area is presented in the 2004 *LeBreton Flats Master Servicing Report* prepared by Dessau-Soprin (Appendix J). The flows outlined in this report have previously been approved by the City of Ottawa. The City has requested that CIMA+ ensure the sanitary allocations discussed in the 2004 report are adhered to as part of this Master Servicing Report.



5.1.1 Capacity analysis for existing outfall infrastructure

A review of the available information on the principal sanitary infrastructure was undertaken to assess the available capacity in each outlet. Table 5.1 summarises estimated capacities, per the principal outlet and its components.

Outlets	Element	Element Estimated Capacity (L/s)					
	Fleet St. Sewer	117.6	Dessau-Soprin, 2004; Novatech 2017				
LFPS	Pumping station (Current Capacity)	100	ECA - MOE 2010				
	Flowrate until overflow to Storm	140	City of Ottawa 2018				
Albert St.	Sewer + ICD	233	City of Ottawa 2020				
Sewer	Design Sanitary Flow	110	Robinson 2015				
CCC	-	Capacity not limited	Parsons, 2019; City of Ottawa, 2018				

Table 5.1 : Summary of outlet-specific sanitary flow allocations and estimated capacity, including external contributions

5.1.1.1 Fleet street gravity sewer, LFPS and IOS

The Fleet street sanitary sewer conveys sewage to the LFPS, and its capacity was estimated at 117.6 L/s in the 2004 LeBreton Master Servicing Report (Dessau-Soprin, 2004). In discussions with the City, the City has indicated that it could be acceptable for this sewer to surcharge in order to accommodate the required flow, provided acceptable justification and analysis.

The LFPS itself has a current firm rated at a capacity of 100L/s, as indicated in its associated Environmental Compliance Approval No. 8494-84GSRF (MOE, 2010), and in the LFPS Design Brief (Stantec 2006, 2008).

As referred to in the LeBreton Flats Servicing Vision (Parsons, 2019), the City of Ottawa's analysis demonstrated additional capacity of 130 L/s in the downstream pipe connecting the effluent of the LFPS to the IOS (Tousignant, 2018).

5.1.1.2 Albert St. Sanitary Sewer

The Albert St. Sanitary sewer is the planned outlet for the Chaudière and Albert Island developments as well as the outlet of a 600mm combined sewer. The outlet of the combined sewer contains an inlet control device (ICD) to control the flow going into the sanitary sewer. It also has a 450mm overflow pipe that discharges to the 900mm storm sewer when the flow exceeds the ICD's capacity. Design sanitary capacity for this outlet were determined at 110.68 L/s (Robinson, 2015). However, it should be noted that this structure is part of the combined sewer system and that sanitary flows directed to this outlet impact the return period of combined sewer overflow at this location (Tousignant, 2020).



5.1.1.3 Cave Creek Collector Capacity

The City of Ottawa has indicated that there are likely no capacity limitations for this outlet but has requested to be provided with the proposed design flows to this collector in order to update their models. Additionally, the City has provided the HGL within the CCC, at the anticipated connection point ()

Return Period	HGL @ MHSA00189 (m)	Source
2-year	52.28	
5-year	52.33	City of Ottawa, 2020
100-year	52.48	2020

Table 5.2 : HGL of the Cave Creek Collector at Preston St.



5.2 External Flow Contributions

This section summarises the external developments and constructed infrastructure that are or are expected to discharge to the proposed outlets of the LeBreton Flats Development Area. These flow contributions must be considered when determining allocated flows for the LeBreton Flats Development Area. A summary of the proposed external sanitary flows is indicated in Table 5.3. The external contributions only consider the most recently proposed sanitary flows for each site.

		Residential	GF	A (m²)			Peak	
Concept Dev. Phase	Area (ha)	Population	Commercial/ Institutional	Office/ Loft	Hotel	Proposed Outlet	Flow (L/s)	Source
External Flow	Contrib	utions						
Canadian War Museum	-	-	-	-	-	LFPS	3.18	Parsons, November 2019
Claridge Phase I-V	-	-	-	-	-	LFPS	33.95*	Novatech, March 2020
OPL-LAC Joint Facility	-	-	-	-	-	Albert St.	4.3*	Stantec, September 2017
Chaudière and Albert Isl.	-	-	-	-	-	Forcemain to Albert St.	53.6*	David Shaeffer Engineering, June 2018
Victoria Island	-	-	-	-	-	Portage Bridge/ LFPS	20.0	Dessau- Soprin, 2004
Future Cultural/ Institutional Use	-	-	30 000	-	-	LFPS	1.52*	CIMA+, Parsons 2019

*External demands provided in this table only consider the proposed sanitary flows. Approved flow allocations by the NCC and the City of Ottawa remain to be confirmed.

5.2.1 Canadian War Museum

Based on the LeBreton Flats Servicing Vision (Parsons, 2019) and the LeBreton Flats Master Servicing Report, the Canadian War Museum contributes 3.18 L/s in peak sanitary flows to the LFPS. This is the allocation considered as part of this MSR.



5.2.2 Claridge Homes Phase I-V

Currently, only phases I, II and III of the Claridge Homes Development are occupied and contribute flows to the LFPS. Based on the most recent servicing brief for this development, a proposed buildout sanitary peak flow of 33.95 L/s (Novatech, 2020; Mottalib, 2020) (Appendix P). This exceeds the allocated capacity of 22.10 L/s from the Dessau-Soprin Master Servicing report that was previously approved by the City of Ottawa (Mottalib, 2020; Dessau-Soprin, 2004).

5.2.3 Ottawa Public Library – Library and Archives Canada Joint Facility

This Master Servicing Report considers developments that were also addressed in a 2017 Servicing Study titled *Servicing and Stormwater Management Report 557-584 Wellington Street & 550 Albert Street* prepared by Stantec (Appendix K, appended to the Parsons report).

The sanitary contributions of the sites addressed by this MSR were reassessed based updated design and land use parameters. For the sanitary contribution of the sites not considered in this Master Servicing Report, the demand totaled 4.3 L/s (Stantec, 2017).

5.2.4 Albert and Chaudière Islands Development (Zibi)

The Albert and Chaudière Islands Development, situated north of the LeBreton Development Area, are currently serviced by a pumping station and twin forcemain discharging to the Albert Street Sewer (DSEL 2018; Parsons 2019; City of Ottawa Wastewater Service Interactive Map 2020). The sanitary forcemain discharges into the Brickhill Dr. and Albert St. gravity sewers.

This allocation was previously determined to be 39.7 L/s discharging to the LFPS in the 2019 *LeBreton Flats Servicing Vision* (Parsons, 2019), but was updated with more recent available information.

Based on a revised version of the Windmill Developments Phase 1 Master Servicing Study (DSEL, 2018), the sanitary flows to the Albert St. sewer via the Brickhill St. sanitary sewer have been revised to 53.6 L/s and discharging to the Albert St. Sewer via a twinned forcemain. This updated information was used in CIMA+'s analysis.

The flow contributions from this development have been revised from 39.7 L/s to 53.6 L/s from the 2019 Parsons report (Appendix M) with the most recent available information.

5.2.5 Victoria Island

Based on previous engineering reports (Dessau-Soprin 2004, Parsons 2019), Victoria Island sanitary servicing is expected to discharge to the LFPS. However, based on information provided by the NCC, Victoria Island is already serviced by its own system of pumping stations and forcemains, ultimately discharging to the IOS near the intersection of Bronson Ave. and Sparks St.



Victoria Island is currently serviced by sanitary sewers and a pumping station to the of Portage bridge, pumping sanitary flows across the Hydro-Ottawa generating station tailrace. Sanitary sewage then is lifted a second time, through a pumping station northeast of the Portage Bridge and Wellington St. Intersection to the IOS. The body of engineering reports also provide for a future allocation of 20 L/s of sanitary flows from Victoria Island to the LFPS (Dessau-Soprin 2004, Parsons 2019). It is anticipated that the NCC uses this allocation to redirect flows from the existing system of pumping stations to the LFPS in the future.

5.3 Proposed Configuration

5.3.1 Design Constraints

The planned outlet from Block 4 is the Cave Creek Collector which was selected to avoid an additional crossing of the covered aqueduct. Due to the currently proposed grading for this block, a sanitary pumping station is likely to be required to service this parcel through to the CCC.



5.3.2 Sanitary Demands

The sanitary demand determination for this site has been performed using the parameters defined in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 (CoO SDG), as updated with the relevant Technical Bulletins. A summary of those design parameters is provided in Table 5.4.

aseflow partment fownhome larmon Correction Factor (K):	280 1.8 2.7	l/capita/d p/dwelling	CoO SDG CoO SDG
ownhome		p/dwelling	
	2.7		COO 3DG
larmon Correction Factor (K)		p/dwelling	CoO SDG
	0.8	unitless	CoO SDG
1ax Harmon P.F.	4	unitless	CoO SDG
1in Harmon P.F.	2	unitless	CoO SDG
aseflow	2.8	L/m2/d	CoO SDG
eaking Factor	1.5	unitless	CoO SDG
Peaking Factor if Commercial area is <20% of total area1.0unitless		CoO SDG	
Infiltration		L/s/ha	CoO SDG
			-
aseflow	225	L/bedspace/d	CoO SDG
eds per room	2	bedspace / room	
o rooms	0.65	room area/ gfa	
25 sq. ft / room	30.1	room area (m2) / room	CIMA+ Assumption
ooms per GFA	0.022	Rooms/GFA (m2)	
ensity / acre	75	Person per acre	CoO SDG / Assumption
ensity /hectare	185.33	Person per hectare	CoO SDG / Assumption
icnic area; flush toilet	20	L/p/d	CoO SDG
Contingency	25%	unitless	-
rena / Major Event Centre aseflow	110	L/seat/d	Parsons (2019)
	aseflow eaking Factor eaking Factor if Commercial rea is <20% of total area aseflow eds per room o rooms 25 sq. ft / room ooms per GFA ensity / acre ensity / hectare icnic area; flush toilet ontingency rena / Major Event Centre	aseflow2.8eaking Factor1.5eaking Factor if Commercial rea is <20% of total area	aseflow2.8L/m2/deaking Factor1.5unitlesseaking Factor if Commercial rea is <20% of total area

Table 5.4 : Sanitary Demand Calculation Parameters

Assumptions for the sanitary unit flow parameters are identical to the ones discussed for water demands in Section 4.2.1. The assumptions are restated below for the reader's convenience.

Hotel sanitary demands were determined with a baseflow value per the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 and based on a value of 0.022 Rooms per m² of gross floor area. This value represents a 65% utilization rate for rooms, with 325 sq. ft per room, and was validated with information from previous CIMA+ projects.



Park sanitary demands were determined based on daily sanitary demand unit rates for parks with washrooms only, using a population density of 75 persons per acre, as presented in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines with a contingency of 25%. The commercial peaking factors were assumed for this demand.

Major Event Centre demands were based on the values provided in the Parsons Servicing Vision 2019, which is based on flow monitoring of an arena. The value obtained of 110 L/seat/d corresponds to the OBC value (20 L/d) (Ontario Building Code, 2017) multiplied by a factor of 5.5. Commercial peaking factors were assumed for this demand.

A breakdown of estimated sanitary demands for each development block is presented in Table 5.5, with the proposed outlets identified for each. The sanitary design sheet supporting these values is included in Appendix F, and a preliminary servicing plan is included in Appendix B

Concept		Residential		GFA (m²)		Proposed	Peak		
Dev. Phase	Area (ha)	Population	Commercial/ Institutional	Office/ Loft	Hotel	Outlet	Flow (L/s)	Source	
LeBreton Sa	LeBreton Sanitary Demands								
Block 1	1.26	726	3 063	19 307	-	Albert St.	9.29	CIMA+	
Block 2	0.97	512	1 559	-	11 246	Albert St.	7.89	CIMA+	
Block 3	2.77	1 834	5 169	27 000	-	CCC	20.85	CIMA+	
Block 4	3.00	-	3 717	24 098	-	CCC	2.34	CIMA+	
Block 5	5.19	2 940	3 921	-	7 956	LFPS	32.43	CIMA+	
Block 6	3.75	1 716	2 687	-	-	CCC	40.26	CIMA+	
Block 7	1.19	503	-	-	-	LFPS	6.60	CIMA+	
LeBreton Place	0.48	-	2722	-	-	CCC	0.29	CIMA+	
Park Area	7.91	-	-	-	-	CCC	3.25	CIMA+	

Table 5.5 : Sanitary Demand for the LeBreton Flats Development



5.4 Recommendations – Sanitary Servicing

5.4.1 Cave Creek Collector Relocation

The current alignment of the Cave Creek Collector conflicts with the proposed site plan. In order to develop Blocks 3 and 5, a realignment of the Cave Creek Collector will be required. The NCC and the City of Ottawa have agreed on a re-alignment geometry of the Cave Creek Collector, with a separation distance from the High-Pressure Transmission Main of 5.0m centre to centre, and a future easement extending 4.5m from the Cave Creek Collector centreline (Chakraburtty, 2020) (Appendix N).

The NCC and the City of Ottawa have initiated discussions towards reaching a cost-sharing agreement to relocate the Cave Creek Collector into the Albert Street corridor in upcoming years, as early as 2024.

A pre-feasibility analysis was performed to assess whether the relocation of the CCC to this proposed alignment was possible by micro-tunneling, and to determine the associated constraints and risks related to this undertaking. A feasibility study is required for more detailed information on this aspect.

To confirm the feasibility of this option, the following items will be required as part of a feasibility study supporting this work:

+ Geotechnical Interpretation and validation

The geotechnical information supporting the pre-feasibility analysis would need to be validated with the appropriate information, as the reality of the site conditions is critical to the construction risk.

There are records of seven (7) boreholes performed in proximity of the site, but the associated borehole logs were not available for pre-feasibility analysis. This information should be consulted in order to quantify and validate the risks and preliminary information.

The preparation of a geotechnical interpretation will be required with the support of an additional geotechnical investigation, likely consisting of 2-3 boreholes.

- + Survey and location validation of underground infrastructure
- + Preliminary design and quantity estimation

The feasibility study should also include preliminary design, quantity estimation and feasibility of the preliminary design.

+ Tender design package

The relocation would also require the preparation of a detailed design package (drawings and specifications) to support the tender process.

As for geotechnical risks related to construction, the pre-feasibility analysis noted the presence of a limestone bedrock with shale interbedding, some partial mixed-face conditions at the proposed tunnelling elevation, and presence of fill with wood debris in proximity of the proposed alignment.

To this undertaking, mixed-face conditions present risks associated with increased complexity and difficulty of the tunneling operation, and the presence of the wood and debris presents a major risk related to the potential stalling the tunneling operation caused by debris, which would then require an open excavation to free the stalled tunneling equipment.

Additional risks highlighted were related to the provisions required for traffic management and construction laydown.



Consultation with appropriate stakeholders for approvals and coordination will be required as part of the Cave Creek Collector relocation.

5.4.2 Block 4 Sanitary Pumping Station

A sanitary pumping station is required for the sanitary servicing of block 4, the Park Area and LeBreton Place. The following design elements were established on a preliminary design basis:

- + Pump station wet well invert: 48.820m
- + Invert at forcemain outfall to SAN MH 11: 54.850m
- + Design flow rate (subject to modifications): ±5.88 L/s

The proposed outfall for this pumping station must cross underneath the Confederation Line LRT tracks south towards SAN MH 11.

The functional design of this pump station should be completed under a separate mandate once the Park Area sanitary demands have been confirmed.

5.4.3 Sanitary Flow Allocations from Previous Servicing Reports and Studies

The LeBreton Flats Sanitary Pumping Station is shared with existing and planned external developments as well as with the proposed developments in the LeBreton Flats Development area. To alleviate concerns of conflicting sanitary flow allocations, a comparison between the current design flows and the ones presented in the 2004 *LeBreton Flats Master Servicing Report* was undertaken to quantify any discrepancy or conflict.

This exercise concluded that the allocated capacities from the 2004 report were of 66.40 L/s, on basis of allocated sanitary flows; while proposed sanitary flows total 60.55 L/s, corresponding to 91% of allocated. This analysis and calculations are presented in Appendix H.

A similar exercise was undertaken for the sanitary flows provisioned in the Stantec 2017 report which confirmed agreement with present allocations of Blocks 1 and 2.

A comparison of the Master Concept Plan sanitary demands with the sanitary allocations of both the Dessau-Soprin and Stantec reports is presented in Table 5.6.

Outlet	Sanitary Demands for LeBreton Master Concept Plan (L/s)	2004 Dessau- Soprin Flow Allocation (L/s)	Source
LFPS	60.55	66.40	Appendix H
Albert St. Sewer	17.18	28.9	Stantec, 2017
WNC/CCC	66.99	-	-

Table 5.6 : Comparison of demands with previous allocations



5.4.4 Proposed Sanitary Flow Allocations

This section discusses the proposed sanitary allocations vs capacity for each outlet identified in Section 5.1 (LFPS, Albert St., WNC/CCC) for the buildout of the LeBreton Flats Development Area.

5.4.4.1 LeBreton Flats Sanitary Pumping Station

Based on the design sanitary demands for this outlet, 14.17 L/s of pump station capacity remains unallocated (Table 5.7). Thus, this remaining unallocated capacity has been redistributed between the proposed developments, based on their relative contributions to the total sanitary allocations prior to redistribution (Table 5.8).

		concept plan.		
Outlet	Concept Dev. Phase	Pre-Authorised Sanitary Flow Allocations (L/s)	Proposed Sanitary Flow Allocations (L/s)	
	Block 5	-	32.43	
	Block 7	-	6.60	
	Victoria Island	20.0 ^[1]		
	Future Cultural/ Institutional	-	1.52	
	Canadian War Museum	3.18 ^[1]		
LFPS	Claridge ph. I-III	8.73 ^[1,2]		
	Claridge ph.IV-V	-	13.37 ^[2]	
	Subtotal	31.91	53.92	
	Total Allocation	85.83		
	LFPS Available Capacity	100.00		
	Remaining Capacity (see Table 5.8 for redistribution)	14.17		

 Table 5.7 : LeBreton Flats Pumping Station proposed sanitary flow allocations per master concept plan.

Note: Values in bold denote proposed developments

^[1] Values Previously Approved by the City of Ottawa, based on the Dessau-Soprin Servicing Report

^[2] Novatech 2020 – RE: Phase 1 LeBreton Flats – Revised Building Statistics & City of Ottawa Comments (May 12th 2020); Flow split confirmed by NCC.



Outlet	Concept Dev. Phase	Sanitary Flow Allocation (L/s)	Distributed Remaining Capacity (L/s)	Total Sanitary Allocation (L/s)
	Block 5	32.43	8.52 (60.14%)	40.95
	Block 7	6.60	1.73 (12.24%)	8.33
	Victoria Island	20.0 ^[1]		20.0
LFPS	Future Cultural/ Institutional	1.52	0.40 (2.82%)	1.92
	Canadian War Museum	3.18 ^[1]		3.18
	Claridge ph. I-III	8.73 ^[1,2]		8.73
	Claridge ph.IV-V	13.37 ^[2]	3.51 (24.80%)	16.88
	Subtotal	85.83	14.17 (100%)	100.00

Table 5.8 : LeBreton Flats Pumping Station proposed redistribution of unallocated flows

Note: Values in bold denote proposed developments

^[1] Values Previously Approved by the City of Ottawa, based on the Dessau-Soprin Servicing Report

^[2] Novatech 2020 – RE: Phase 1 LeBreton Flats – Revised Building Statistics & City of Ottawa Comments (May 12th 2020); Flow split confirmed by NCC.



5.4.4.2 Albert St. Sanitary Sewer

For the Albert Street Sewer, the capacity of the sewers and ICD is sufficient to accommodate both the Windmill Developments Phase 1 (noted as Chaudiere and Albert Isl.) and the LeBreton Developments Block 1 and 2 flows (Stantec, 2017; Robinson Consultants, 2015).

5.4.4.3 Cave Creek Collector

As mentioned previously in Section 5.1.1.3, the City of Ottawa has indicated that there is likely no capacity limitation for this collector but has requested to be provided with the proposed design flows to this collector in order to update their models.

Outlet	Concept Dev. Phase	Sanitary Allocation (L/s)		Total Sanitary Allocation (L/s)	Current Estimated Capacity (L/s)	
	Block 1	9.29	17.18			
	Block 2	7.89	17.10			
Albert St. Sewer	Ottawa Central Library	4.3 ^[2]		75.08	75.08 110	Capacity OK
	Chaudière and Albert Isl.	53.6 ^[3]				
	Block 3 20.85					
	Block 4	2.34				
ccc	Block 6	40.2	26	66.99	Capacity not limited	Capacity
	LeBreton Place	0.29				OK
	Park Area	3.2	25			

 Table 5.9 : Albert Street Sewer and the Cave Creek Collector proposed sanitary flow allocations vs. estimated capacity.

Note: Values in bold denote proposed developments

^[1] Values Previously Approved by the City of Ottawa, based on the Dessau-Soprin Servicing Report

^[2] Stantec 2017 – Servicing and Stormwater Mangement Report 557-584 Wellington Street & 550 Albert Street (September 11, 2017)

^[3] DSEL. 2018. – Master Servicing Study (Phase 1) – Revision 7. Ottawa



5.5 Conclusions – Sanitary Servicing

The proposed servicing and flow allocations for the LeBreton Flats development area are feasible provided that the relocation of the Cave Creek Collector is performed prior to the development of Blocks 3 and 6. A summary of the proposed sanitary flow allocations for each development is shown below.

Outlet	Development	Total Sanitary Allocation (L/s)
LFPS	Block 5	40.95
	Block 7	8.33
	Victoria Island	20.0
	Future Cultural/ Institutional	1.92
	Canadian War Museum	3.18
	Claridge ph. I-III	8.73
	Claridge ph.IV-V	16.88
Albert St. Sewer	Block 1	9.29
	Block 2	7.89
	Ottawa Central Library	4.3
	Chaudière and Albert Isl.	53.6
CCC	Block 3	20.85
	Block 4	2.34
	Block 6	40.26
	LeBreton Place	0.29
	Park Area	3.25

Table 5.10 : Summary of proposed sanitary flow allocations

Note: Values in bold denote proposed developments





DRAWINGS