

Mr. David Young President Z.V. Holdings Corporation 1801 Woodward Drive Ottawa, Ontario, K2C 0R3

Date: 7 June 2023 Our Ref: 30127480 – Geotech Subject: **Geotechnical Review – Global Stability Analysis** Proposed Retaining wall, Merivale Warehouse 1881 Merivale Road, Ottawa Arcadis Canada Inc. 333 Preston Street Suite 500 Ottawa Ontario K2H 8K7 Phone: 613 225 1311 Fax: 613 225 9868 www.arcadis.com

Dear Mr. Young,

As per our proposal dated 8 May 2023, Arcadis Canada Inc,. (Arcadis) has prepared the following memorandum to provide a geotechnical review of the global stability analysis of the proposed retaining wall structure.

Background Information

As requested, Arcadis Canada Inc,. (Arcadis) completed a Redi-Rock retaining wall design to be located on the eastern side of the truck unloading bay of the proposed development. The Redi- Rock retaining wall system has been designed for the subject site to consider site constraints and grading requirements. The walls have also been designed in accordance with the National Building Code of Canada 2020 (NBCC). Details of the retaining wall are presented below and are depicted in Drawing C-01 attached.

The following grading plan prepared by McIntosh-Perry was reviewed as part of our retaining wall designs:

• Project No. CCO-23-1150, Drawing C101, Grading, Drainage and Erosion & Sediment Control Plan, Revision 1 dated 13 February 2023.

Based on our review, the exposed portions of the subject Redi Rock retaining wall vary in height between 0.3m to 1.9m.

Retaining Wall Fencing

The proposed fencing is recommended to be extended through the top two blocks of the Redi Rock wall and designed by others. Open guide rail, chain link fences and others of a "flow-through" configuration, will not impart significant wind loads on the wall. It should be noted that the fencing should be installed using galvanized steel to protect the railing/fencing system from long- term corrosion. Refer to City of Ottawa fencing standard - Figure 7.9

Geotechnical Review – Global Stability Analysis Arcadis Canada Inc, 7 June 2023

Global and Internal Stability Analysis

The global stability analysis was modeled using Redi-Rock+ software (part of the Fine suite by Geo 5), a computer program which permits a two-dimensional slope stability analysis calculating several methods including the Bishop's method, which is a widely accepted slope analysis method. The software further allows for the internal review of the design as per various codes including the CHBDC 2019. The program calculates a factor of safety, which represents the ratio of the forces resisting failure to forces favoring failure. Theoretically, a factor of safety of 1.0 represents a condition where the slope is stable. However, due to intrinsic limitations of the calculation methods and the variability of the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions, a factor of safety greater than 1.0 is generally required for the failure risk to be considered acceptable.

A minimum factor of safety of 1.5 is generally recommended for conditions where the slope failure would comprise permanent structures. Based on the configuration of the Site plans reviewed and the conservative nature of the software/parameters used, a factor of safety of 1.3 was considered acceptable. An analysis considering seismic loading was also completed. A horizontal acceleration of 0.1515 g was considered for the sections for the seismic loading condition. A factor of safety of 1.1 is considered to be satisfactory for stability analyses including seismic loading. Based on the conservative nature of the software/parameters used, including the fact that the model does not account for the wall being affixed to the adjacent structure, a factor of safety within rounding error is considered acceptable.

The highest retaining wall cross-section was studied as the worst-case scenario. The following parameters were used for the slope stability analysis under static and seismic conditions:

Table 1 - Effective Soil Parameters for Stability Analysis			
Soil Layer	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)	Friction Angle (degrees)	Cohesion (kPa)
SAND, some silt	18	35	0
Granular B Type II	21	40	0

The total strength parameters for seismic analysis were chosen based on the geotechnical testing results from the subject site, and are the same as those used above.

Analysis Results

The factor of safety for the retaining wall section was greater than 1.3 for static conditions. Similarly, the results under seismic loading yielded a factor of safety for this section greater than 1.1.

The internal and structural design reviewed the bearing capacity, overturning resistance, and sliding resistance of the retaining wall units. All analysis were found to be acceptable, the worst case scenarios are presented in attached calculation sheets.

Based on these results, the retaining wall design is considered suitable from a geotechnical perspective.

Geotechnical Review – Global Stability Analysis Arcadis Canada Inc, 7 June 2023

Geotechnical Recommendations

Backfill Material

The retaining wall should be backfilled with free-draining granular backfill materials and incorporate longitudinal drains and weep holes to provide positive drainage of the backfill. For the purpose of this report, it is recommended that the wall be backfilled with either OPSS Granular B Type II or Granular A materials. The backfill should be placed within a wedge-shaped zone defined by a line drawn up and back from the back edge of the base block of the wall at an inclination of 1H:1V or a minimum of 1 m behind the back of the blocks. All material should be compacted to a minimum of 98% of the material's SPMDD.

Drainage

A 100 mm diameter perforated drainage pipe wrapped in geotextile and surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of clear crushed stone, should be installed at the heel of the bottom block. The drainage should have positive drainage to a nearby outlet such as a catch basin or an existing ditch. It is recommended that the outlets be spaced evenly along the retaining wall with a minimum spacing of 30m center to center passing through the wall or connected to a nearby catch basin.

General Recommendations

It is recommended that the following be completed once the retaining wall design and course of action are determined

- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to backfill;
- Observation of all subgrades prior to placing backfilling materials;
- Observation of the drainage system prior to backfilling;
- Field density tests to ensure the specified level of compaction was achieved;
- Periodic observation of the retaining wall installation, especially at the first course.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with Arcadis's recommendations could be issued upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory material testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.

We trust the current memorandum satisfies your immediate requirements.

Sincerely, Arcadis Canada Inc.

Troy Austrins P.Eng., PMP Resource Manager



Ryan Janzen, P.Eng Geotechnical Engineer

Geotechnical Review – Global Stability Analysis Arcadis Canada Inc, 7 June 2023

Enclosures:

Attachment 1: Drawing C101: Grading, Drainage and Erosion & Sediment and Erosion Control Plan Attachment 2: Drawing C-01: Retaining Wall -1; Retaining Wall Design Attachment 3: Global Stability Section Plots



1. THE ORIGINAL TOPOGRAPHY, GROUND ELEVATION AND SURVEY DATA SHOWN ARE SUPPLIED FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY, AND IMPLY NO GUARANTEE OF ACCURACY. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL INFORMATION SHOWN.

THIS PLAN IS NOT A CADASTRAL SURVEY SHOWING LEGAL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES AND EASEMENTS. THE PROPERT BOUNDARIES SHOWN HEREON HAVE BEEN DERIVED INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY FAIRHALL MOFFATT & WOODLAN LTD. (JOB NO. AC21300) AND CANNOT BE RELIED UPON TO BE ACCURATE OR COMPLETE. THE PRECISE LOCATION OF THE CURRENT PROPERTY BOUNDARIES AND EASEMENTS CAN ONLY BE DETERMINED BY AN UP-TO-DATE LAND TITLES SEARCH AND A SUBSEQUENT CADASTRAL SURVEY PERFORMED AND CERTIFIED BY AN ONTARIO LAND

THE CONTRACTOR IS TO OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION.

4. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL LAYOUT.

5. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NOT SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS. IF THERE IS ANY DISCREPANCY THE CONTRACTOR IS TO NOTIFY THE ENGINEER PROMPTLY.

RESTORE ALL TRENCHES AND SURFACES OF PUBLIC ROAD ALLOWANCES TO CONDITION EQUAL OR BETTER THAN ORIGINAL CONDITION AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY AUTHORITIES.

7. EXCAVATE AND DISPOSE OF ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL, SUCH AS ASPHALT, CURBING AND DEBRIS, OFF SITI AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER AND THE CITY.

8. ALL DISTURBED AREAS TO BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL TRAFFIC CONTROL AND SAFETY MEASURES DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD, INCLUDING THE SUPPLY, INSTALLATION, AND REMOVAL OF ALL NECESSARY SIGNAGE,

10. DO NOT ALTER GRADING OF THE SITE WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER/CITY.

11. ALL ROADWAY, PARKING LOT, AND GRADING WORKS TO BE UNDERTAKEN IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARD AND SPECIFICATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO PROVIDE POSITIVE DRAINAGE AWAY FROM THE BUILDING.

12. CONTACT THE CITY FOR INSPECTION OF ROUGH GRADING OF PARKING LOTS, ROADWAYS AND LANDSCAPED AREA PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ASPHALT AND TOPSOIL. ALL DEFICIENCIES NOTED SHALL BE RECTIFIED TO THE CITY'S SATISFACTION PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF ANY ASPHALT, TOPSOIL, SEED & MULCH AND/OR SOD.

13. ALL DIMENSIONS AND INVERTS MUST BE VERIFIED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, IF THERE IS ANY DISCREPANCY THE CONTRACTOR IS TO NOTIFY THE ENGINEER PROMPTLY.

14. ELECTRICAL, GAS, TELEPHONE AND TELEVISION SERVICE LOCATIONS ARE SUBJECT TO THE INDIVIDUAL AGENCY: • ELECTRICAL SERVICE - HYDRO OTTAWA, GAS SERVICE - ENBRIDGE.

• TELEPHONE SERVICE - BELL CANADA, • TELEVISION SERVICE - ROGERS.

16. INSTALLATION TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT CODES AND STANDARDS OF APPROVAL AGENCIES HYDRO OTTAWA, BELL AND THE CITY.

17. CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE ALL APPLICABLE OPS SPECIFICATIONS ARE FOLLOWED DURING CONSTRUCTION

18. ALL PROPOSED CURB TO BE CONCRETE BARRIER CURB UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. 19. THIS PLAN MUST BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION COMPLETED BY ARCADIS,

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTE AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THIS INCLUDES LIMITING THE AMOUNT OF EXPOSED SOIL, TEMPORARY SEDIMENT CONTROL (GEOSOCK INSERTS WITH AN OVERFLOW UNDER GRATE OR COVER) TO BE IMPLEMENTED DURING CONSTRUCTION ON ALL PROPOSED ROAD CATCHBASINS, REARYARD CATCHBASINS AND CATCHBASIN MANHOLES AND OTHER SEDIMENT TRAPS. NO RECYCLED GEOSOCK MATERIAL SHALL BE PERMITTED FOR USE ON SITE.

2. AT THE DISCRETION OF THE PROJECT MANAGER OR MUNICIPAL STAFF, ADDITIONAL SILT CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED AT

3. FOR SILT FENCE BARRIER, USE OPSD 219.110. GEOTEXTILE FOR SILT FENCE AS PER OPSS 1860, TABLE 3.

PORTIONS OF THE SITE WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES HAVE TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY CEASED, BUT IN NO CASE MORE THAN 14 DAYS AFTER THE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY HAS TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY CEASED. 4.1. WHERE THE INITIATION OF STABILIZATION MEASURES BY THE 14TH DAY AFTER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY TEMPORARILY OR PERMANENTLY CEASE IS PRECLUDED BY SNOW COVER. STABILIZATION MEASURES SHALL BE INITIATED AS SOON AS FEASIBLE WHERE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY WILL RESUME ON A PORTION OF THE SITE WITHIN 21 DAYS FROM WHEN ACTIVITIES CEASED, (E.G. THE TOTAL TIME PERIOD THAT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY IS TEMPORARILY CEASED IS LESS THAN 21 DAYS) THEN STABILIZATION MEASURES DO NOT HAVE TO BE INITIATED ON THAT PORTION OF SITE BY THE 14TH DAY AFTER CONSTRUCTION

5. SEDIMENT THAT IS ACCUMULATED BY THE TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED IN A MANNER THAT AVOIDS ESCAPE OF THE SEDIMENT TO THE DOWNSTREAM SIDE OF THE CONTROL MEASURE AND AVOIDS DAMAGE TO THE CONTROL MEASURE. SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED TO THE LEVEL OF THE GRADE EXISTING AT THE TIME THE CONTROL MEASURE WAS CONSTRUCTED AND BE ACCORDING TO THE FOLLOWING 5.1. FOR LIGHT-DUTY SEDIMENT BARRIERS, ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED ONCE IT REACHES THE LESSER OF THE

A DEPTH OF ONE-HALF THE EFFECTIVE HEIGHT OF THE CONTROL MEASURE. A DEPTH OF 300 MM IMMEDIATELY UPSTREAM OF THE CONTROL MEASURE

FOR ALL CONTROL MEASURES, ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED AS NECESSARY TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED PRIOR TO THE REMOVAL OF THE CONTROL MEASURE. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT IS TO BE REMOVED AND DISPOSED OF AS PER OPSS 180. 5. ALL TEMPORARY EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MONITORED TO ENSURE THEY ARE IN EFFECTIVE WORKING ORDER. THE CONDITION OF THE CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE MONITORED PRIOR TO ANY FORECAST STORM EVENT AND FOLLOWIN

7. DUST CONTROL MEASURES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED PRIOR TO CLEARING AND GRADING. THE USE OF WATER, CALCIUM CHLORIDE FLAKES/SOLUTION OR MAGNESIUM CHLORIDE FLAKES/SOLUTION SHALL BE USED AS DUST SUPPRESSANTS AS PER OPSS 506. THIS IS TO LIMIT WIND EROSION OF SOILS WHICH MAY TRANSPORT SEDIMENTS OFFSITE, WHERE THEY MAY BE WASHED INTO THE RECEIVING

8. ALL 'GREEN AREAS' TO BE TREATED WITH 150mm TOPSOIL AND HYDROSEEDING AS SOON AS FEASIBLE, AS PER OPSS 570. 9. TOPSOIL TO BE STRIPPED. CLEAN FILL TO BE PLACED IN FILL AREAS AND COMPACTED TO 95% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY. 10. ALL DISTURBED AREAS TO BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

11. STOCKPILED MATERIAL IS TO BE STORED AWAY FROM POTENTIAL RECEIVERS (E.G. STORM CATCHBASINS, MANHOLES), AND BE URROUNDED BY EROSION CONTROL MEASURES WHERE MATERIAL IS LEFT IN PLACE IN EXCESS OF 14 DAYS.

12. IF REQUIRED, DEWATERING/SETTLING BASINS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED AS PER OPSD 219.240 AND LOCATED ON FLAT GRADE UPSTREAM OF OTHER EXISTING MITIGATION MEASURES. WATERCOURSES SHALL NOT BE DIVERTED, OR BLOCKED, AND TEMPORARY WATERCOURSES CROSSINGS SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUCTED OR UTILIZED, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED IN THE CONTRACT. IF CLOSUF OF ANY PERMANENT WATER PASSAGE IS NECESSARY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL RELEASE ANY STRANDED FISH TO THE OPEN PORTION

13. ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL CONFORM TO OPSS 577

14. WHERE DEWATERING IS REQUIRED, THE DISCHARGED WATER SHALL BE CONTROLLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 518.

15. ALL SETTLING/FILTRATION BASINS SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH TERRAFIX 270R GEOTEXTILE (OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT) AND SHALL BE

	40mm SUPERPAVE 12.5 50mm SUPERPAVE 19 150mm GRAN "A" 450mm	ASPHALT GRADE PG58-34	50mm SUPERPAVE 12.5 150mm GRAN "A" 300mm
7 P 7	GRAN "B"		GRAN "B"
JTY PAVEMENT P.		ARKING AREA PAVEME	ENT
SS-SECTION TO SCALE		CROSS-SECTION NOT TO SCALE	
ASPHALT (CROSS-SECTIONS TO CONFC COMPLETED BY ARCADIS, DA)RM TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT ATED JANUARY 29, 2023	r



roject Number:

rawing Number:

1:400

R.R.R.

R.D.F.

R.R.R.

Drawn By:

Checked By:

Designed By:

C102

#XXXXX

CCO-23-1150



Analysis of Redi Rock wall

Input data (Stage of construction 1)

Task :	Global Stability
Part :	1881 Merivale Development_Geotech
Description :	Truck Bay Retaining Wall
Author :	Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.
Date :	2023-05-17
Project ID : Project number :	Merivale Geotech Consult 30127480

Settings

USA - LRFD

Wall analysis

Verification methodology :	according to LRFD
Active earth pressure calculation :	Coulomb
Passive earth pressure calculation :	Mazindrani (Rankine)
Earthquake analysis :	Mononobe-Òkabe
Shape of earth wedge :	Calculate as skew
Allowable eccentricity :	0.333
Internal stability :	Standard - straight slip surface
Reduction coeff. of contact first block - base :	1.00

Load factors				
Design situation - Service I				
		Minim	num	Maximum
Dead load of structural components :	DC =	1.00	[—]	1.00 [-]
Dead load of wearing surfaces :	DW =	1.00	[—]	1.00 [-]
Earth pressure - active :	EH _A =	1.00	[]	1.00 [–]
Earth pressure - at rest :	EH _R =	1.00	[-]	1.00 [–]
Earth surcharge load (permanent) :	ES =	1.00	[-]	1.00 [-]
Vertical pressure of earth fill :	EV =	1.00	[-]	1.00 [-]
Live load surcharge :	LL =	0.00	[-]	1.00 []
Water load :	WA =	1.00	[-]	1.00 [–]
	Resistance fa	ctors		
Des	sign situation -	Service I		
Resistance factor on overturning :		φ ₀ =	:	1.00 [–]
Resistance factor on sliding :		φ _t =	-	1.00 [–]
Resistance factor on bearing capacity : $\phi_b = 1.00$ [-]			1.00 []	

Resistance factor on passive pressure : Blocks

No.	Description	Height h [mm]	Width w [mm]	Unit weight γ [kN/m ³]
1	Block 28	457.2	711.2	18.85
2	Block 41	457.2	1028.7	18.85
3	Block 60	457.2	1524.0	20.42
4	Top block 24 straight	457.2	609.6	16.97
5	Planter 41	457.2	1028.7	18.85
6	Planter 60	457.2	1524.0	17.59

 $\phi_{VE} =$

30127480

[Redi-Rock - Redi-Rock Wall + (32 bit) | version 5.2023.61.0 | Copyright © 2023 Fine spol. s r.o. All Rights Reserved | www.finesoftware.eu] [Redi-Rock International | (231) 237 - 9500 ext 3010| engineering@redi-rock.com] www.redi-rock.com] 1

1.00 [-]

	Arcadis Canada Inc.	Global Stability
ARCADIS	Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.	1881 Merivale Development_Geotech

No	Description	Height	Width	Unit weight
NO.	Description	h [mm]	w [mm]	γ [kN/m ³]
7	Top block 28	457.2	711.2	18.85
8	Top block 41	457.2	1028.7	18.85
9	Top block 24 straight garden	457.2	609.6	12.57
10	Block R-5236 HC	914.4	1320.8	17.28
11	Block R-7236 HC	914.4	1828.8	17.28
12	Block R-9636 HC	914.4	2438.4	17.28
13	Block R-41 HC	457.2	1028.7	17.28

No.	Description	Min. shear strength	Max. shear strength	Friction
		F _{min} [kN/m]	F _{max} [kN/m]	f [°]
1	Block 28	88.45	164.56	44.00
2	Block 41	88.45	164.56	44.00
3	Block 60	88.45	164.56	44.00
4	Top block 24 straight	88.45	164.56	44.00
5	Planter 41	88.45	164.56	44.00
6	Planter 60	88.45	164.56	44.00
7	Top block 28	88.45	164.56	44.00
8	Top block 41	88.45	164.56	44.00
9	Top block 24 straight garden	88.45	164.56	44.00
10	Block R-5236 HC	66.40	175.13	44.00
11	Block R-7236 HC	66.40	175.13	44.00
12	Block R-9636 HC	66.40	175.13	44.00
13	Block R-41 HC	78.19	188.35	37.00

Setbacks

No	Setback
NO.	s [mm]
1	0.254
2	9.525
3	41.275
4	238.125
5	422.275

Geometry

No. group	Description	Count	Setback s [mm]
1	Block R-5236 HC	2	82.6
2	Top block 24 straight	1	-

Base

Geometry Upper setback $a_1 = 0.15 \text{ m}$ Lower setback $a_2 = 0.30$ m Height Width h = 0.30 mb = 1.90 m

Material

Soil creating foundation - Granular

	Arcadis Canada Inc.
ARCADIS	Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

Basic soil parameters

No.	Name	Pattern	Φef [°]	c _{ef} [kPa]	γ [kN/m ³]	Ysu [kN/m ³]	δ [°]
1	SAND, some silt, trace clay		35.00	0.00	18.00	9.00	25.00
2	Granular		40.00	0.00	21.00	12.00	30.00

All soils are considered as cohesionless for at rest pressure analysis. Soil parameters

SAND, some silt, trace clay		
Unit weight :	γ =	18.00 kN/m ³
Stress-state :	effectiv	e
Angle of internal friction :	φ_{ef} =	35.00 °
Cohesion of soil :	c _{ef} =	0.00 kPa
Angle of friction strucsoil :	δ =	25.00 °
Saturated unit weight :	Ysat =	19.00 kN/m ³
Granular		
Unit weight :	γ =	21.00 kN/m ³
Stress-state :	effectiv	
	01100114	C
Angle of internal friction :	$\varphi_{ef} =$	40.00 °
Angle of internal friction : Cohesion of soil :		40.00 ° 0.00 kPa
Angle of internal friction : Cohesion of soil : Angle of friction strucsoil :		40.00 ° 0.00 kPa 30.00 °

Backfill

Assigned soil : Granular Slope = 45.00 ° Geological profile and assigned soils

No.	Thickness of layer t [m]	Depth z [m]	Assigned soil	Pattern
1	2.29	0.00 2.29	SAND, some silt, trace clay	
2	-	2.29 ∞	SAND, some silt, trace clay	

Terrain profile

Terrain behind the structure is flat. Water influence

Ground water table is located below the structure.

Input surface surcharges

No.	Surcharge		Action	Mag.1	Mag.2	Ord.x	Length	Depth
	new	change	Action	[kN/m ²]	[kN/m ²]	x [m]	l [m]	z [m]
1	Yes		variable	5.00		1.00	0.30	on terrain
No.				Name)			
1	Pedestrians							

Resistance on front face of the structure

Resistance on front face of the structure: not considered Soil on front face of the structure - Granular

Arcadis Canada Inc. ARCADIS Ryan Janzen, P.Eng. Global Stability 1881 Merivale Development_Geotech

Soil thickness in front of structure

h = 0.50 m

Terrain in front of structure is flat.

Applied forces acting on the structure

No.	Force new edit	Name	Action	F _x [kN/m]	F _z [kN/m]	M [kNm/m]	x [m]	z [m]
1	Yes	Fence Load	permanent	0.00	3.00	0.00	-0.30	0.00

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : Service I

Reduction of soil/soil friction angle : do not reduce

Verification No. 1 (Stage of construction 1)

Forces acting on construction

Name	F _{hor}	App.Pt.	F vert	App.Pt.	Coeff.	Coeff.	Coeff.
	[kN/m]	z [m]	[kN/m]	x [m]	overtur.	sliding	stress
Weight - wall	0.00	-1.07	57.40	0.98	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-0.48	1.60	1.72	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-2.35	5.14	1.33	1.000	1.000	1.000
Active pressure	13.69	-0.80	15.86	1.76	1.000	1.000	1.000
Pedestrians	0.47	-1.64	0.25	1.68	1.000	1.000	1.000
Fence Load	0.00	-2.59	3.00	0.77	1.000	1.000	1.000

Verification of complete wall

Check for overturning stability

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 8.19 Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY

Check for slip

Resisting horizontal force $H_{res} = 58.29 \text{ kN/m}$ Active horizontal force $H_{act} = 14.17 \text{ kN/m}$

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 4.11 Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY

Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY

Dimensioning No. 1 (Stage of construction 1)

Forces acting on construction

Name	F _{hor}	App.Pt.	Fvert	App.Pt.	Coeff.	Coeff.	Coeff.
	[kN/m]	z [m]	[kN/m]	x [m]	overtur.	sliding	stress
Weight - wall	0.00	-1.01	45.43	0.68	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-2.05	5.14	1.03	1.000	1.000	1.000
Active pressure	9.07	-0.78	5.48	1.35	1.000	1.000	1.000
Pedestrians	0.47	-1.34	0.25	1.38	1.000	1.000	1.000
Fence Load	0.00	-2.29	3.00	0.47	1.000	1.000	1.000

Verification of block No. 1

Check for overturning stability

Resisting moment $M_{res} = 45.45 \text{ kNm/m}$ Overturning moment $M_{ovr} = 7.69 \text{ kNm/m}$

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 5.91 Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY

Check for slip

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 5.21 Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY

Bearing capacity of foundation soil (Stage of construction 1)

Design load acting at the center of footing bottom

Slope stability analysis

Input data (Construction stage 1)

Settings

USA - LRFD

Stability analysis

Verification methodology : according to LRFD Earthquake analysis : Standard

Load factors									
Design situation - Service I									
Minimum Maximum									
Earth surcharge load (permanent) : $ES = 1.00 [-]$ 1.00 [-]									
Live load surcharge :	LL =	0.00 [-]	1.00 [–]						
	Resistance	factors							
Design situation - Service I									
Resistance factor on stability : $\phi_{SS} = 0.65$ [-]									

30127480

[Redi-Rock - Redi-Rock Wall + (32 bit) | version 5.2023.61.0 | Copyright © 2023 Fine spol. s r.o. All Rights Reserved | www.finesoftware.eu] [Redi-Rock International | (231) 237 - 9500 ext 3010| engineering@redi-rock.com] www.redi-rock.com]

	Arcadis Canada Inc.
ARCADIS	Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

Interface

No	Interface location	Coordinates of interface points [nts [m]	
NO.	interface location	x	z	x	z	X	z
1	Yt.	-0.60	0.00	-0.60	-0.01	0.00	-0.01
		0.00	-0.46	0.63	-0.46		
2	,	1.71	-1.37	2.83	0.00		
3		-10.00	-2.09	-0.77	-2.09	-0.77	-1.37
		-0.69	-1.37	-0.69	-0.46	-0.61	-0.46
	F	-0.61	0.00	-0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
		2.83	0.00	10.00	0.00		
4		-0.77	-2.29	0.55	-2.29	0.55	-1.37
		0.63	-1.37	0.63	-0.46		
5		0.63	-1.37	1.71	-1.37	10.00	-1.37
6		0.83	-2.29	1.71	-1.37		
7		-10.00	-2.29	-0.92	-2.29	-0.77	-2.29
		-0.77	-2.09				

	Arcadis Canada Inc.
ARCADIS	Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

No	Interface location		Coordin	ates of inte	erface po	ints [m]	
NO.	interface location	x	z	X	z	X	z
8		0.55	-2.29	0.83	-2.29		
9	[5]	-10.00	-2.59	-1.07	-2.59	-0.92	-2.29
10		-1.07	-2.59	0.83	-2.59	0.83	-2.29
		10.00	-2.29				

Soil parameters - effective stress state

No.	Name	Pattern	Фef [°]	c _{ef} [kPa]	γ [kN/m ³]
1	SAND, some silt, trace clay		35.00	0.00	18.00
2	Granular		40.00	0.00	21.00

Soil parameters - uplift

No.	Name	Pattern	Ysat [kN/m³]	Ys [kN/m³]	n [-]
1	SAND, some silt, trace clay		19.00		
2	Granular		22.00		

Soil parameters

SAND, some silt, trace clay

Unit weight :	$\gamma = 18.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Stress-state :	effective
Shear strength :	Mohr-Coulomb
Angle of internal friction :	$\varphi_{ef} = 35.00^{\circ}$
Cohesion of soil :	c _{ef} = 0.00 kPa
Saturated unit weight :	$\gamma_{sat} = 19.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$

30127480

[Redi-Rock - Redi-Rock Wall + (32 bit) | version 5.2023.61.0 | Copyright © 2023 Fine spol. s r.o. All Rights Reserved | www.finesoftware.eu] [Redi-Rock International | (231) 237 - 9500 ext 3010| engineering@redi-rock.com| www.redi-rock.com]

Granular

Unit weight :	$\gamma = 21.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Stress-state :	effective
Shear strength :	Mohr-Coulomb
Angle of internal friction :	$\varphi_{ef} = 40.00^{\circ}$
Cohesion of soil :	c _{ef} = 0.00 kPa
Saturated unit weight :	$y_{sat} = 22.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$

Rigid Bodies

No.	Name	Sample	γ [kN/m ³]
1	Material of structure		18.85

Assigning and surfaces

No	Surface position	Coordina	ites of si	urface poir	nts [m]	Assigned	
NO.	Surface position	X	z	X	z	soil	
1		1.71	-1.37	2.83	0.00	Granular	
		0.00	0.00	-0.60	0.00	Grandial	
		-0.60	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.000000000	
		0.00	-0.46	0.63	-0.46		
		0.63	-1.37				
2	<u>∧</u>	10.00	-1.37	10.00	0.00	SAND, some silt, trace	
		2.83	0.00	1.71	-1.37	clay	
3	₽ ₽ ₽	-0.77	-2.29	0.55	-2.29	Matarial of atructure	
		0.55	-1.37	0.63	-1.37	Material of Structure	
		0.63	-0.46	0.00	-0.46		
		0.00	-0.01	-0.60	-0.01		
		-0.60	0.00	-0.61	0.00		
		-0.61	-0.46	-0.69	-0.46		
		-0.69	-1.37	-0.77	-1.37		
		-0.77	-2.09				
4		0.83	-2.29	1.71	-1.37	Granular	
		0.63	-1.37	0.55	-1.37	Granulai	
		0.55	-2.29			0.00000000	
5	[5] /	10.00	-2.29	10.00	-1.37	SAND, some silt, trace	
		1.71	-1.37	0.83	-2.29	clay	

Global Stability 1881 Merivale Development_Geotech

Arcadis Canada Inc. ARCADIS Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

No	Surface position	Coordina	ates of su	urface poir	nts [m]	Assigned
NO.	Surface position	X	z	X	z	soil
6		-0.92	-2.29	-0.77	-2.29	Cropular
		-0.77	-2.09	-10.00	-2.09	Granular
		-10.00	-2.29			
7		-1.07	-2.59	-0.92	-2.29	Granular
		-10.00	-2.29	-10.00	-2.59	Granular
8		0.83	-2.59	0.83	-2.29	Cronular
		0.55	-2.29	-0.77	-2.29	Granulai
		-0.92	-2.29	-1.07	-2.59	5.0.0.0.0.0.0
9		0.83	-2.29	0.83	-2.59	SAND, some silt, trace
		-1.07	-2.59	-10.00	-2.59	clay
		-10.00	-7.59	10.00	-7.59	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		10.00	-2.29			

Surcharge

No.	Туре	e Type of action	Location	Origin	Length	Width	Slope	Ν	lagnitud	e
			z [m]	x [m]	l [m]	b [m]	α [°]	q, q ₁ , f, F, x	q ₂ , z	unit
1	strip	variable	on terrain	x = 1.00	l = 0.30		0.00	5.00		kN/m²

Surcharges

No.	Name
1	Pedestrians

Earthquake

Earthquake not included.

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : Service I

Results (Construction stage 1)

Analysis 1

Circular slip surface

		Sli	p surface	e parameters			
Center ·	X =	-1.03	[m]	Angles ·	α ₁ =	-35.12	[°]
	Z =	0.83	[m]	/ ligiou i	α ₂ =	76.56	[°]
Radius :	R =	3.57	[m]				
		The sli	p surface a	after optimization.			
Total weight of soil above Slope stability verificatio Sum of active forces : F Sum of passive forces : F	the slip sur n (Bishop) $f_a = 52.63$ $f_p = 113.14$	face: 145.) 3 kN/m 4 kN/m	52 kN/m				
Sliding moment : M Resisting moment : M Utilization : 71.6 %	1 _a = 187.91 1 _p = 262.53 0B: 1.397	kNm/m 8 kNm/m					
Slope stability ACCEPTA	BLE						
Name : Slip Stage 1				Sta	ige - analy	sis : 1 - 1	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~						
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
<u>2,0,0,0,0,0,0,0</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		222			

# Input data (Stage of construction 2) Geological profile and assigned soils

No.	Thickness of layer t [m]	Depth z [m]	Assigned soil	Pattern
1	2.29	0.00 2.29	SAND, some silt, trace clay	
2	-	2.29 ∞	SAND, some silt, trace clay	

#### **Terrain profile**

Terrain behind the structure is flat.

#### Water influence

Ground water table is located below the structure. **Resistance on front face of the structure** 

Terrain in front of structure is flat.

Applied forces acting on the structure

No	Foi	ce	Name	Action	F _x F _z		Μ	X	Z
NO.	new	edit	Hume	Auton	[kN/m]	[kN/m]	[kNm/m]	[m]	[m]
1	No	No	Fence Load	permanent	0.00	3.00	0.00	-0.30	0.00

#### Earthquake

Factor of horizontal acceleration  $K_h = 0.1515$ Factor of vertical acceleration  $K_v = 0.0000$ 

Water below the GWT is restricted.

Combination 1 - Seismic load reduction factor  $p_{1,ir} = 0.50$ 

Combination 1 - Earth pressure reduction factor  $p_{1,ae} = 1.00$ 

Combination 2 - Seismic load reduction factor  $p_{2,ir} = 1.00$ 

Combination 2 - Earth pressure reduction factor  $p_{2,ae} = 0.50$ 

#### Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : Service I Reduction of soil/soil friction angle : do not reduce Verification No. 1 (Stage of construction 2)

### Forces acting on construction - combination 1

Name	F _{hor}	App.Pt.	Fvert	App.Pt.	Coeff.	Coeff.	Coeff.
	[kN/m]	z [m]	[kN/m]	x [m]	overtur.	sliding	stress
Weight - wall	0.00	-1.07	57.40	0.98	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthq constr.	8.84	-1.12	0.00	0.97	0.500	0.500	0.500
FF resistance	-0.94	-0.17	0.01	-0.15	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-0.48	1.60	1.72	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	0.24	-0.48	0.00	1.72	0.500	0.500	0.500
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-2.35	5.14	1.33	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	0.78	-2.35	0.00	1.33	0.500	0.500	0.500
Active pressure	13.69	-0.80	15.86	1.76	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthq act.pressure	5.82	-1.75	7.37	1.64	1.000	1.000	1.000
Fence Load	0.00	-2.59	3.00	0.77	1.000	1.000	1.000

#### Verification of complete wall

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 4.01 Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY

#### Check for slip

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 2.69

Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY

### **Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY**

Forces acting on construction - combination 2

Name	F _{hor}	App.Pt.	<b>F</b> vert	App.Pt.	Coeff.	Coeff.	Coeff.
	[kN/m]	z [m]	[kN/m]	x [m]	overtur.	sliding	stress
Weight - wall	0.00	-1.07	57.40	0.98	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthq constr.	8.84	-1.12	0.00	0.97	1.000	1.000	1.000
FF resistance	-0.94	-0.17	0.01	-0.15	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-0.48	1.60	1.72	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	0.24	-0.48	0.00	1.72	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-2.35	5.14	1.33	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	0.78	-2.35	0.00	1.33	1.000	1.000	1.000
Active pressure	13.69	-0.80	15.86	1.76	0.500	0.500	0.500
Earthq act.pressure	5.82	-1.75	7.37	1.64	0.500	0.500	0.500
Fence Load	0.00	-2.59	3.00	0.77	1.000	1.000	1.000

#### Verification of complete wall

#### Check for overturning stability

Resisting moment  $M_{res} = 87.94$  kNm/m Overturning moment  $M_{ovr} = 22.27$  kNm/m

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 3.95 Wall for overturning is SATISFACTORY

#### Check for slip

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 2.95 Wall for slip is SATISFACTORY

### Overall check - WALL is SATISFACTORY Dimensioning No. 1 (Stage of construction 2)

#### Forces acting on construction - combination 1

Name	F _{hor}	App.Pt.	Fvert	App.Pt.	Coeff.	Coeff.	Coeff.
	[kN/m]	z [m]	[kN/m]	x [m]	overtur.	sliding	stress
Weight - wall	0.00	-1.01	45.43	0.68	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthq constr.	7.29	-1.03	0.00	0.68	0.500	0.500	0.500
FF resistance	-0.15	-0.07	0.00	0.00	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-2.05	5.14	1.03	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	0.78	-2.05	0.00	1.03	0.500	0.500	0.500
Active pressure	9.07	-0.78	5.48	1.35	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthq act.pressure	4.64	-1.56	5.44	1.31	1.000	1.000	1.000
Fence Load	0.00	-2.29	3.00	0.47	1.000	1.000	1.000

#### Verification of block No. 1

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 2.78

Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY

#### Check for slip

Arcadis Canada Inc. ARCADIS Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 3.08 Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY

#### Forces acting on construction - combination 2

Name	F _{hor}	App.Pt.	Fvert	App.Pt.	Coeff.	Coeff.	Coeff.
	[kN/m]	z [m]	[kN/m]	x [m]	overtur.	sliding	stress
Weight - wall	0.00	-1.01	45.43	0.68	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthq constr.	7.29	-1.03	0.00	0.68	1.000	1.000	1.000
FF resistance	-0.15	-0.07	0.00	0.00	1.000	1.000	1.000
Weight - earth wedge	0.00	-2.05	5.14	1.03	1.000	1.000	1.000
Earthquake - soil wedge	0.78	-2.05	0.00	1.03	1.000	1.000	1.000
Active pressure	9.07	-0.78	5.48	1.35	0.500	0.500	0.500
Earthq act.pressure	4.64	-1.56	5.44	1.31	0.500	0.500	0.500
Fence Load	0.00	-2.29	3.00	0.47	1.000	1.000	1.000

#### Verification of block No. 1

Check for overturning stabilityResisting moment $M_{res} = 44.99 \text{ kNm/m}$ Overturning moment $M_{ovr} = 16.21 \text{ kNm/m}$ 

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 2.78 Joint for overturning stability is SATISFACTORY

#### Check for slip

Resisting horizontal force  $H_{res} = 49.53 \text{ kN/m}$ Active horizontal force  $H_{act} = 14.77 \text{ kN/m}$ 

Capacity demand ratio CDR = 3.35 Joint for verification is SATISFACTORY

### Bearing capacity of foundation soil (Stage of construction 2)

#### Design load acting at the center of footing bottom

No.	Moment	Norm. force	Shear Force	Eccentricity	Stress
	[kNm/m]	[kN/m]	[kN/m]	[-]	[kPa]
1	4.83	90.37	23.50	0.028	50.40
2	9.15	78.76	18.68	0.061	47.23
3	9.15	78.76	18.68	0.061	47.23

#### Service load acting at the center of footing bottom

No	Moment	Norm. force	Shear Force
NO.	[kNm/m]	[kN/m]	[kN/m]
1	10.75	90.37	28.43

### Slope stability analysis

# Input data (Construction stage 1)

#### **Settings**

USA - LRFD

#### **Stability analysis**

Verification methodology : according to LRFD

Earthquake analysis : Standard

	Load fac	tors			
Des	sign situatior	n - Service I			
		Minimum	Maximum		
Earth surcharge load (permanent) :	ES =	1.00 []	1.00 [–]		
Live load surcharge :	LL =	0.00 [–]	1.00 [–]		
	Resistance	factors			
Design situation - Service I					
Resistance factor on stability : $\phi_{SS} = 0.65$ [-]					

#### Interface

No	Interface location		Coordina	ites of inte	rface poi	nts [m]	
110.		X	z	X	z	X	Z
1	¥ <b>1</b>	-0.60	0.00	-0.60	-0.01	0.00	-0.01
		0.00	-0.46	0.63	-0.46		
	/ /						
			4 07	0.00	0.00		
2		1./4	-1.37	2.83	0.00		
3		-10.00	-2.09	-0.77	-2.09	-0.77	-1.37
-		-0.69	-1.37	-0.69	-0.46	-0.61	-0.46
	<b>*</b>	-0.61	0.00	-0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00
		2.83	0.00	10.00	0.00		
4		-0.77	-2.29	0.55	-2.29	0.55	-1.37
		0.63	-1.37	0.63	-0.46		
5		0.63	-1 37	1 74	-1.37	10.00	-1.37
J		0.00	1.07	1.7 1	1.07	10.00	1.07
6		0.83	-2.29	1.74	-1.37		

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

[Redi-Rock - Redi-Rock Wall + (32 bit) | version 5.2023.61.0 | Copyright © 2023 Fine spol. s r.o. All Rights Reserved | www.finesoftware.eu] [Redi-Rock International | (231) 237 - 9500 ext 3010| engineering@redi-rock.com| www.redi-rock.com]

Global Stability 1881 Merivale Development_Geotech

Arcadis Canada Inc. ARCADIS Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

No	Interface location		Coordin	ates of inte	erface po	ints [m]	
NO.	Interface location	x	z	X	z	X	z
7		-10.00	-2.29	-0.92	-2.29	-0.77	-2.29
		-0.77	-2.09				
8		0.55	-2.29	0.83	-2.29		
9		-10.00	-2.59	-1.07	-2.59	-0.92	-2.29
10	[5]	-1.07	-2.59	0.83	-2.59	0.83	-2.29
		10.00	-2.29				

#### Soil parameters - effective stress state

No.	Name	Pattern	Фef [°]	c _{ef} [kPa]	γ [kN/m ³ ]
1	SAND, some silt, trace clay		35.00	0.00	18.00
2	Granular		40.00	0.00	21.00

#### Soil parameters - uplift

No.	Name	Pattern	Ysat [kN/m³]	Үѕ [kN/m³]	n [–]
1	SAND, some silt, trace clay		19.00		
2	Granular		22.00		

	Arcadis Canada Inc.
ARCADIS	Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

#### **Soil parameters**

#### SAND, some silt, trace clay

Unit weight :	$\gamma = 18.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Stress-state :	effective
Shear strength :	Mohr-Coulomb
Angle of internal friction :	$\varphi_{ef}$ = 35.00 °
Cohesion of soil :	c _{ef} = 0.00 kPa
Saturated unit weight :	$\gamma_{sat} = 19.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Granular	

Unit weight :	$\gamma = 21.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$
Stress-state :	effective
Shear strength :	Mohr-Coulomb
Angle of internal friction :	$\varphi_{ef} = 40.00^{\circ}$
Cohesion of soil :	c _{ef} = 0.00 kPa
Saturated unit weight :	$\gamma_{sat} = 22.00 \text{ kN/m}^3$

#### **Rigid Bodies**

No.	Name	Sample	Υ [kN/m ³ ]
1	Material of structure		18.85

#### Assigning and surfaces

No	Surface position	Coordinates of surface points [m]			Assigned	
NO.	Surface position	X	z	X	z	soil
1		1.74	-1.37	2.83	0.00	Granular
		0.00	0.00	-0.60	0.00	Granulai
		-0.60	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.0000000000
		0.00	-0.46	0.63	-0.46	
		0.63	-1.37			
2		10.00	-1.37	10.00	0.00	SAND some silt_trace
_		2.83	0.00	1.74	-1.37	clay
3	<b>† 1</b> -4	-0.77	-2.29	0.55	-2.29	Matarial of atrustura
		0.55	-1.37	0.63	-1.37	Material of Structure
		0.63	-0.46	0.00	-0.46	
		0.00	-0.01	-0.60	-0.01	
		-0.60	0.00	-0.61	0.00	
		-0.61	-0.46	-0.69	-0.46	
		-0.69	-1.37	-0.77	-1.37	
		-0.77	-2.09			

Global Stability 1881 Merivale Development_Geotech

Arcadis Canada Inc. ARCADIS Ryan Janzen, P.Eng.

No	Surface position	Coordinates of surface points [m]			Assigned	
NO.	Surface position	X	z	X	z	soil
4		0.83	-2.29	1.74	-1.37	Granular
		0.63	-1.37	0.55	-1.37	Granulai
		0.55	-2.29			
5		10.00	-2.29	10.00	-1.37	SAND, some silt, trace
		1.74	-1.37	0.83	-2.29	clay
6		-0.92	-2.29	-0.77	-2.29	Quandan
		-0.77	-2.09	-10.00	-2.09	Granular
		-10.00	-2.29			
7		-1.07	-2.59	-0.92	-2.29	Granular
		-10.00	-2.29	-10.00	-2.59	Grandia
8		0.83	-2.59	0.83	-2.29	Granular
		0.55	-2.29	-0.77	-2.29	Granulai
		-0.92	-2.29	-1.07	-2.59	
9		0.83	-2.29	0.83	-2.59	SAND, some silt, trace
		-1.07	-2.59	-10.00	-2.59	clay
		-10.00	-7.59	10.00	-7.59	
		10.00	-2.29			

### Earthquake

Horizontal seismic coefficient :  $K_h = 0.1515$ Vertical seismic coefficient :  $K_v = 0.0000$ 

Settings of the stage of construction

Design situation : Service I

**Results (Construction stage 1)** 

#### Analysis 1

**Circular slip surface** 

		Sli	p surface	parameters					
Center :	x =	-1.24	[m]	Angles	α ₁ =	-32.07 [°]			
	Z =	1.52	[m]	Angles .	α ₂ =	69.10 [°]			
Radius :	R =	4.26	[m]						
The slip surface after optimization.									
Total weight of soil above t <b>Slope stability verificatio</b> Sum of active forces : F Sum of passive forces : F	he slip sur <b>n (Bishop</b> ) _a = 69.39 _p = 113.67	face: 154. <b>)</b> 9 kN/m 7 kN/m	93 kN/m						
Sliding moment :MResisting moment :MUtilization :93.9 %	_a = 295.59 _p = 314.75	9 kNm/m 5 kNm/m							
Capacity demand ratio CD Slope stability ACCEPTA	R: 1.065 BLE								
Name : Slip Stage 2				Sta	ige - analys	sis : 1 - 1			
		-							
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0									