


**PEDESTRIAN LEVEL
WIND STUDY**

381 Kent Street
Ottawa, Ontario

Report: 21-429-PLW



March 10, 2023

PREPARED FOR

Katasa Groupe + Développement
69 Jean-Proulx Street, unit 301
Gatineau, QC J8Z 1W2

PREPARED BY

Sunny Kang, B.A.S., Project Coordinator
Omar Rioseco, B.Eng., Junior Wind Scientist
Justin Ferraro, P.Eng., Principal

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study undertaken to satisfy Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) application requirements for the proposed mixed-use residential development located at 381 Kent Street in Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as “subject site” or “proposed development”). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind conditions within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify areas where conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, where required.

The study involves simulation of wind speeds for selected wind directions in a three-dimensional (3D) computer model using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique, combined with meteorological data integration, to assess pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site according to City of Ottawa wind criteria. The results and recommendations derived from these considerations are detailed in the main body of the report (Section 5), illustrated in Figures 3A-8, and summarized as follows:

- 1) All grade-level areas within and surrounding the subject site are predicted to experience conditions that are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. Specifically, conditions over surrounding sidewalks, outdoor amenity area, and in the vicinity of building access points, are considered acceptable.
- 2) Regarding the potential common amenity terrace serving the proposed development at Level 10, wind comfort conditions are predicted to be suitable for sitting during the typical use period, which is considered acceptable.
- 3) The foregoing statements and conclusions apply to common weather systems, during which no dangerous wind conditions, as defined in Section 4.4, are expected anywhere over the subject site. During extreme weather events, (for example, thunderstorms, tornadoes, and downbursts), pedestrian safety is the main concern. However, these events are generally short-lived and infrequent and there is often sufficient warning for pedestrians to take appropriate cover.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 1

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE 1

3. OBJECTIVES 2

4. METHODOLOGY..... 2

4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling3

4.2 Wind Speed Measurements.....3

4.3 Historical Wind Speed and Direction Data4

4.4 Pedestrian Wind Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa.....6

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 8

5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions – Ground Floor9

5.2 Wind Comfort Conditions – Potential Common Amenity Terrace9

5.3 Wind Safety9

5.4 Applicability of Results9

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 10

FIGURES

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Simulation of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer



1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by Katasa Groupe + Développement to undertake a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study to satisfy Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) application requirements for the proposed mixed-use residential development located at 381 Kent Street in Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as “subject site” or “proposed development”). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind conditions within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify areas where conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, where required.

Our work is based on industry standard computer simulations using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique and data analysis procedures, City of Ottawa wind criteria, architectural drawings prepared by NEUF architect(e)s, in February 2023, surrounding street layouts and existing and approved future building massing information obtained from the City of Ottawa, as well as recent satellite imagery.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The subject site is located at 381 Kent Street in Ottawa; situated on the west side of a city block bounded by Gilmour Street to the northwest, Bank Street to the northeast, James Street to the southeast, and Kent Street to the southwest. Throughout this report, Kent Street is referred to as project west. The proposed development comprises a near ‘Z’-shaped 10-storey mixed-use residential building, with its long axis-oriented along Kent Street.

Above two below-grade parking levels, the ground floor includes a commercial space at the northwest corner, residential main entrances to the north and south, and residential units throughout the remainder of the level. An outdoor amenity area is situated to the west, near the southwest corner of the subject site and walkways are provided along the east and west elevations of the proposed development. Access to below-grade parking is provided by a ramp at the northeast corner from Gilmour Street. Levels 2-9 are reserved for residential use. At Level 3, the building extends from the west elevation, near the northwest corner of the building. The building steps back from the west, north, and east elevations of the northern short-axis and from the east, south, and west elevations of the southern short-axis of the building at Levels 3 and 8. Level 10 is served by a potential amenity terrace which encompasses a central area reserved for indoor amenities.



The near-field surroundings, defined as an area within 200-metres (m) of the subject site, include low-rise buildings in all compass directions with a high-rise building to the north and isolated mid-rise buildings from the northwest clockwise to the south. Notably, a nine-storey mixed-use residential building, referred to as “James House”, is under construction at 390-394 Bank Street, approximately 130 m to the east. In addition, a five-storey mixed-use residential building is approved (Site Plan Control) to the immediate south of James House at 406-408 Bank Street, approximately 165 m to the east of the subject site. The far-field surroundings, defined as an area beyond the near-field but within a 2-kilometre (km) radius of the subject site, include low-rise massing with isolated mid- and high-rise buildings in all compass directions, with a high-density cluster of mid- and high-rise buildings from the northwest clockwise to the north, which define the Ottawa downtown core. Dow’s Lake is situated approximately 1.8 km to the south-southwest and the Rideau Canal flows from the southeast to the north where it meets the Ottawa River flowing from the west to the north.

Site plans for the proposed and existing massing scenarios are illustrated in Figures 1A and 1B, while Figures 2A-2H illustrate the computational models used to conduct the study. The existing massing scenario includes the existing massing and any future developments approved by the City of Ottawa.

3. OBJECTIVES

The principal objectives of this study are to (i) determine pedestrian level wind conditions at key areas within and surrounding the development site; (ii) identify areas where wind conditions may interfere with the intended uses of outdoor spaces; and (iii) recommend suitable mitigation measures, where required.

4. METHODOLOGY

The approach followed to quantify pedestrian wind conditions over the site is based on CFD simulations of wind speeds across the study site within a virtual environment, meteorological analysis of the Ottawa area wind climate, and synthesis of computational data with City of Ottawa wind criteria¹. The following sections describe the analysis procedures, including a discussion of the noted pedestrian wind criteria.

¹ City of Ottawa Terms of References: Wind Analysis
https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf



4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling

A computer based PLW study was performed to determine the influence of the wind environment on pedestrian comfort over the proposed development site. Pedestrian comfort predictions, based on the mechanical effects of wind, were determined by combining measured wind speed data from CFD simulations with statistical weather data obtained from Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport. The general concept and approach to CFD modelling is to represent building and topographic details in the immediate vicinity of the study site on the surrounding model, and to create suitable atmospheric wind profiles at the model boundary. The wind profiles are designed to have similar mean and turbulent wind properties consistent with actual site exposures.

An industry standard practice is to omit trees, vegetation, and other existing and planned landscape elements from the model due to the difficulty of providing accurate seasonal representation of vegetation. The omission of trees and other landscaping elements produces slightly stronger wind speeds.

4.2 Wind Speed Measurements

The PLW analysis was performed by simulating wind flows and gathering velocity data over a CFD model of the site for 12 wind directions. The CFD simulation model was centered on the study building, complete with surrounding massing within a radius of 480 m. The process was performed for two context massing scenarios, as noted in Section 2.


Mean and peak wind speed data obtained over the study site for each wind direction were interpolated to 36 wind directions at 10° intervals, representing the full compass azimuth. Measured wind speeds approximately 1.5 m above local grade and the potential Level 10 common amenity terrace serving the proposed development were referenced to the wind speed at gradient height to generate mean and peak velocity ratios, which were used to calculate full-scale values. Gradient height represents the theoretical depth of the boundary layer of the earth's atmosphere, above which the mean wind speed remains constant. Further details of the wind flow simulation technique are presented in Appendix A.

4.3 Historical Wind Speed and Direction Data

A statistical model for winds in Ottawa was developed from approximately 40 years of hourly meteorological wind data recorded at Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport and obtained from Environment and Climate Change Canada. Wind speed and direction data were analyzed for each month of the year to determine the statistically prominent wind directions and corresponding speeds, and to characterize similarities between monthly weather patterns.

The statistical model of the Ottawa area wind climate, which indicates the directional character of local winds on a seasonal basis, is illustrated on the following page. The plots illustrate seasonal distribution of measured wind speeds and directions in kilometers per hour (km/h). Probabilities of occurrence of different wind speeds are represented as stacked polar bars in sixteen azimuth divisions. The radial direction represents the percentage of time for various wind speed ranges per wind direction during the measurement period. The preferred wind speeds and directions can be identified by the longer length of the bars. For Ottawa, the most common winds occur for westerly wind directions, followed by those from the east, while the most common wind speeds are below 36 km/h. The directional preference and relative magnitude of wind speed changes somewhat from season to season.

SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF WIND OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT



Notes:

1. Radial distances indicate percentage of time of wind events.
2. Wind speeds are mean hourly in km/h, measured at 10 m above the ground.

4.4 Pedestrian Wind Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa

Pedestrian wind comfort and safety criteria are based on the mechanical effects of wind without consideration of other meteorological conditions (that is, temperature, relative humidity). The comfort criteria assume that pedestrians are appropriately dressed for a specified outdoor activity during any given season. Five pedestrian comfort classes are based on 20% non-exceedance mean wind speed ranges, which include (1) Sitting; (2) Standing; (3) Strolling; (4) Walking; and (5) Uncomfortable. More specifically, the comfort classes and associated mean wind speed ranges are summarized as follows:

- 1) **Sitting:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 10 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 16 km/h.
- 2) **Standing:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 14 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 22 km/h.
- 3) **Strolling:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 17 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 27 km/h.
- 4) **Walking:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 20 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 32 km/h.
- 5) **Uncomfortable:** Uncomfortable conditions are characterized by predicted values that fall below the 80% target for walking. Brisk walking and exercise, such as jogging, would be acceptable for moderate excesses of this criterion.

The pedestrian safety wind speed criterion is based on the approximate threshold that would cause a vulnerable member of the population to fall. A 0.1% exceedance gust wind speed of 90 km/h is classified as dangerous. The gust speeds, and equivalent mean speeds, are selected based on 'The Beaufort Scale', presented on the following page, which describes the effects of forces produced by varying wind speed levels on objects. Gust speeds are included because pedestrians tend to be more sensitive to wind gusts than to steady winds for lower wind speed ranges. For strong winds approaching dangerous levels, this effect is less important because the mean wind can also create problems for pedestrians.

THE BEAUFORT SCALE

Number	Description	Gust Wind Speed (km/h)	Description
2	Light Breeze	9-17	Wind felt on faces
3	Gentle Breeze	18-29	Leaves and small twigs in constant motion; wind extends light flags
4	Moderate Breeze	30-42	Wind raises dust and loose paper; small branches are moved
5	Fresh Breeze	43-57	Small trees in leaf begin to sway
6	Strong Breeze	58-74	Large branches in motion; Whistling heard in electrical wires; umbrellas used with difficulty
7	Moderate Gale	75-92	Whole trees in motion; inconvenient walking against wind
8	Gale	93-111	Breaks twigs off trees; generally impedes progress

Experience and research on people’s perception of mechanical wind effects has shown that if the wind speed levels are exceeded for more than 20% of the time, the activity level would be judged to be uncomfortable by most people. For instance, if a mean wind speed of 10 km/h (equivalent gust wind speed of approximately 16 km/h) were exceeded for more than 20% of the time most pedestrians would judge that location to be too windy for sitting. Similarly, if mean wind speed of 20 km/h (equivalent gust wind speed of approximately 32 km/h) at a location were exceeded for more than 20% of the time, walking or less vigorous activities would be considered uncomfortable. As these criteria are based on subjective reactions of a population to wind forces, their application is partly based on experience and judgment.

Once the pedestrian wind speed predictions have been established throughout the site, the assessment of pedestrian comfort involves determining the suitability of the predicted wind conditions for discrete regions within and surrounding the subject site. This step involves comparing the predicted comfort classes to the desired comfort classes, which are dictated by the location type for each region (that is, a sidewalk, building entrance, amenity space, or other). An overview of common pedestrian location types and their typical windiest desired comfort classes are summarized on the following page. Depending on the programming of a space, the desired comfort class may differ from this table.

DESIRED PEDESTRIAN COMFORT CLASSES FOR VARIOUS LOCATION TYPES

Location Types	Desired Comfort Classes
Primary Building Entrance	Standing
Secondary Building Access Point	Walking
Public Sidewalk / Bicycle Path	Walking
Outdoor Amenity Space	Sitting / Standing
Café / Patio / Bench / Garden	Sitting / Standing
Transit Stop (Without Shelter)	Standing
Transit Stop (With Shelter)	Walking
Public Park / Plaza	Sitting / Standing
Garage / Service Entrance	Walking
Parking Lot	Walking
Vehicular Drop-Off Zone	Walking

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following discussion of the predicted pedestrian wind conditions for the subject site is accompanied by Figures 3A-6B, illustrating wind conditions at grade level for the proposed and existing massing scenarios, and by Figures 7A-7D, illustrating wind conditions over the potential common amenity terrace the proposed development at Level 10. Conditions are presented as continuous contours of wind comfort throughout the subject site and correspond to the comfort classes presented in Section 4.4. Conditions suitable for sitting are represented by the colour blue, standing by green, strolling by yellow, and walking by orange; uncomfortable conditions are represented by the colour magenta.

Wind comfort conditions within the noted potential amenity terrace serving the proposed development are also reported for the typical use period, which is defined as May to October, inclusive. Figure 8 illustrates wind comfort conditions over the noted potential common amenity terrace, consistent with the comfort classes in Section 4.4.

Conditions at all areas studied are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses. The details of these conditions are summarized in the following pages for each area of interest.

5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions – Ground Floor

Following the introduction of the proposed development, the public sidewalks adjacent to the subject site along Kent Street, Gilmour Street, and James Street are predicted to be suitable for their intended uses throughout the year. Specifically, conditions are predicted to be suitable for sitting throughout the year with a small, isolated region suitable for standing during winter along James Street. Owing to the protection of the building façades, conditions in the vicinity of all building access points are predicted to be suitable for sitting throughout the year, and conditions over the outdoor amenity area near the southwest corner of the subject site are predicted to be suitable for sitting during the typical use period. The noted conditions are considered acceptable.

Wind conditions in the area with the existing massing are predicted to be suitable for sitting throughout the year. While the introduction of the proposed development produces slightly windier conditions along James Street during the winter, in comparison to existing conditions, wind comfort conditions with the proposed development are considered acceptable.

5.2 Wind Comfort Conditions – Potential Common Amenity Terrace

Level 10 Amenity Terrace: During the typical use period, as illustrated in Figure 8, wind comfort conditions within the potential common amenity terrace serving the proposed development at Level 10 are predicted to be suitable for sitting. The noted conditions are considered acceptable.

5.3 Wind Safety

Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas within or surrounding the subject site are expected to experience conditions that could be considered dangerous, as defined in Section 4.4.

5.4 Applicability of Results

Pedestrian wind comfort and safety have been quantified for the specific configuration of existing and foreseeable construction around the subject site. Future changes (that is, construction or demolition) of these surroundings may cause changes to the wind effects in two ways, namely: (i) changes beyond the immediate vicinity of the subject site would alter the wind profile approaching the subject site; and (ii) development in proximity to the subject site would cause changes to local flow patterns.



6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A complete summary of the predicted wind conditions is provided in Section 5 and illustrated in Figures 3A-8. Based on computer simulations using the CFD technique, meteorological data analysis of the Ottawa wind climate, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, and experience with numerous similar developments, the study concludes the following:

- 1) All grade-level areas within and surrounding the subject site are predicted to experience conditions that are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. Specifically, conditions over surrounding sidewalks, outdoor amenity area, and in the vicinity of building access points, are considered acceptable.
- 2) Regarding the potential common amenity terrace serving the proposed development at Level 10, wind comfort conditions are predicted to be suitable for sitting during the typical use period, which is considered acceptable.
- 3) The foregoing statements and conclusions apply to common weather systems, during which no dangerous wind conditions, as defined in Section 4.4, are expected anywhere over the subject site. During extreme weather events, (for example, thunderstorms, tornadoes, and downbursts), pedestrian safety is the main concern. However, these events are generally short-lived and infrequent and there is often sufficient warning for pedestrians to take appropriate cover.

Sincerely,

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.




Omar Rioseco, B.Eng.
Junior Wind Scientist




Sunny Kang, B.A.S.
Project Coordinator



Justin Ferraro, P.Eng.
Principal



GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS 127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON 613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM	PROJECT 381 KENT STREET, OTTAWA PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY		DESCRIPTION FIGURE 1A: PROPOSED SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT
	SCALE 1:1500	DRAWING NO. 21-429-PLW-1A	
	DATE MARCH 10, 2023	DRAWN BY A.K.	



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON
613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM

PROJECT

381 KENT STREET, OTTAWA
PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY

SCALE

1:1500

DRAWING NO.

21-429-PLW-1B

DATE

MARCH 10, 2023

DRAWN BY

A.K.

DESCRIPTION

FIGURE 1B:
EXISTING SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT




FIGURE 2A: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, PROPOSED MASSING, NORTH PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2B: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2A






FIGURE 2C: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, EXISTING MASSING, NORTH PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2D: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2C






FIGURE 2E: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, PROPOSED MASSING, SOUTH PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2F: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2E






FIGURE 2G: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, EXISTING MASSING, SOUTH PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2H: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2G






FIGURE 3A: SPRING – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – PROPOSED MASSING




FIGURE 3B: SPRING – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – EXISTING MASSING






FIGURE 4A: SUMMER – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – PROPOSED MASSING




FIGURE 4B: SUMMER – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – EXISTING MASSING






FIGURE 5A: AUTUMN – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – PROPOSED MASSING




FIGURE 5B: AUTUMN – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – EXISTING MASSING






FIGURE 6A: WINTER – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – PROPOSED MASSING




FIGURE 6B: WINTER – WIND COMFORT, GRADE LEVEL – EXISTING MASSING





FIGURE 7A: SPRING – WIND COMFORT, LEVEL 10 COMMON AMENITY TERRACE



FIGURE 7B: SUMMER – WIND COMFORT, LEVEL 10 COMMON AMENITY TERRACE





FIGURE 7C: AUTUMN – WIND COMFORT, LEVEL 10 COMMON AMENITY TERRACE



FIGURE 7D: WINTER – WIND COMFORT, LEVEL 10 COMMON AMENITY TERRACE





FIGURE 8: TYPICAL USE PERIOD – WIND COMFORT, COMMON AMENITY TERRACES

GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS



APPENDIX A

SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

The atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) is defined by the velocity and turbulence profiles according to industry standard practices. The mean wind profile can be represented, to a good approximation, by a power law relation, Equation (1), giving height above ground versus wind speed (1), (2).

$$U = U_g \left(\frac{Z}{Z_g} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

where, U = mean wind speed, U_g = gradient wind speed, Z = height above ground, Z_g = depth of the boundary layer (gradient height), and α is the power law exponent.

For the model, U_g is set to 6.5 metres per second (m/s), which approximately corresponds to the 60% mean wind speed for Ottawa based on historical climate data and statistical analyses. When the results are normalized by this velocity, they are relatively insensitive to the selection of gradient wind speed.

Z_g is set to 540 m. The selection of gradient height is relatively unimportant, so long as it exceeds the building heights surrounding the subject site. The value has been selected to correspond to our physical wind tunnel reference value.

α is determined based on the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings (that is, the area that it not captured within the simulation model).

Table 1 presents the values of α used in this study, while Table 2 presents several reference values of α . When the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings is a mixture of multiple types of terrain, the α values are a weighted average with terrain that is closer to the subject site given greater weight.

TABLE 1: UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE) VS TRUE WIND DIRECTION

Wind Direction (Degrees True)	Alpha Value (α)
0	0.31
49	0.28
74	0.26
103	0.25
167	0.24
197	0.24
217	0.23
237	0.24
262	0.24
282	0.26
301	0.28
324	0.31

TABLE 2: DEFINITION OF UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE)

Upstream Exposure Type	Alpha Value (α)
Open Water	0.14-0.15
Open Field	0.16-0.19
Light Suburban	0.21-0.24
Heavy Suburban	0.24-0.27
Light Urban	0.28-0.30
Heavy Urban	0.31-0.33

The turbulence model in the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations is a two-equation shear-stress transport (SST) model, and thus the ABL turbulence profile requires that two parameters be defined at the inlet of the domain. The turbulence profile is defined following the recommendations of the Architectural Institute of Japan for flat terrain (3).

$$I(Z) = \begin{cases} 0.1 \left(\frac{Z}{Z_g} \right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z > 10 \text{ m} \\ 0.1 \left(\frac{10}{Z_g} \right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z \leq 10 \text{ m} \end{cases} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

$$L_t(Z) = \begin{cases} 100 \text{ m} \sqrt{\frac{Z}{30}}, & Z > 30 \text{ m} \\ 100 \text{ m}, & Z \leq 30 \text{ m} \end{cases} \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

where, I = turbulence intensity, L_t = turbulence length scale, Z = height above ground, and α is the power law exponent used for the velocity profile in Equation (1).

Boundary conditions on all other domain boundaries are defined as follows: the ground is a no-slip surface; the side walls of the domain have a symmetry boundary condition; the top of the domain has a specified shear, which maintains a constant wind speed at gradient height; and the outlet has a static pressure boundary condition.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Arya, "Chapter 10: Near-neutral Boundary Layers," in *Introduction to Micrometeorology*, San Diego, California, Academic Press, 2001.
- [2] S. A. Hsu, E. A. Meindl and D. B. Gilhousen, "Determining the Power-Law Wind Profile Exponent under Near-neutral Stability Conditions at Sea," vol. 33, no. 6, 1994.
- [3] Y. Tamura, H. Kawai, Y. Uematsu, K. Kondo and T. Okhuma, "Revision of AIJ Recommendations for Wind Loads on Buildings," in *The International Wind Engineering Symposium, IWES 2003*, Taiwan, 2003.