3845 Cambrian Road

Transportation Impact Assessment

Step 1 Screening Report

Step 2 Scoping Report

Step 3 Forecasting Report

Step 4 Strategy Report (Rev #3)

Prepared for:

Loblaw Properties Limited
1 President's Choice Circle
Brampton, Ontario L6Y 5S5

Prepared by:

6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON K2H 7W1

March 2025

PN: 2022-024

Table of Contents

List of Figures

Table of Tables

List of Appendices

1 Screening

This study has been prepared according to the City of Ottawa's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines, prior to the June 2023 updates. Accordingly, a Step 1 Screening Form has been prepared and is included as Appendix A, along with the Certification Form for the TIA Study PM. As shown in the Screening Form, a TIA is required including the Design Review component and the Network Impact Component. This study has been prepared to support a site plan application.

2 Existing and Planned Conditions

2.1 Proposed Development

The existing site, located at 3845 Cambrian Road, is zoned as General Mixed-Use Zone (GM[1628]). The proposed development consists of a gross floor area of 28,000 sq. ft. grocery store and a gross floor area of 5,430 sq. ft. retail store. A total of 177 surface parking spaces are proposed. The concept plan includes one new full-movement access on Cambrian Road in the interim condition. In the ultimate condition, a right-in/right-out access is proposed on Re-Aligned Greenbank Road corridor, and the access on Cambrian Road will be a right-in/right-out access. The ultimate condition is beyond the study horizon year and are not included in this report. The anticipated full build-out and occupancy horizon is 2025 with construction occurring in a single phase. The site is located within the Barrhaven South Community Design Plan area and Barrhaven South Community Core design priority area. Figure 1 illustrates the study area context. Figure 2 illustrates the proposed concept plan.

Figure 1: Area Context Plan



Source: http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoOttawa/ Accessed: June 7, 2022

2 / A001 CONTEXT PLAN
N.T.S

ZONING COMPLIANCE CHART - ZONING: GM[1628]		
	REQUIRED	PROPOSED
Minimum Lot Width (m)	N/A	77.02 m
Minimum Lot Area (acres)	N/A	3.66 acres
Minimum Front Yard Setback (Cambrian Rd) (m)	3 m	8.81 m
Minimum Rear Yard Setback (m)	7.5 m (from any portion of a rear lot line abutting a residential zone)	21.96 m
Minimum Interior Side Yard Setback (m)	5 m (for a non-residential or mixed-use building, from any portion of lot line abutting a residential zone)	18.45 m West 2.50 m East
Maximum Height (m)	18 m	7.48 m / 1 storey
Maximum Floor Space Index	N/A	0.30
Minimum Parking Dimensions (m)	2.6m x 5.2m	2.7m x 5.5m
Minimum Parking required (3.6 per 100 s.m. of total GFA)	163 spaces	177 spaces
Minimum Bicycle Parking required (1 per 500 s.m. of GFA)	9 spaces	10 spaces
Loading spaces (for up to 4999 s.m. of GFA)	1 standard space (3.5x9x4.2 m) 1 oversized space (4.3x13x4.2 m)	2 standard spaces 3 oversized space
Minimum Drive Aisle Width (parking angle at 90 degrees) (m)	6.7 m	6.7 m



1 / A001 SITE PLAN
1 : 400

TURNER FLEISCHER

Turner Fleischer Architects Inc.
67 Lesmill Road
Toronto, ON, M3B 2T8
T 416 425 2222
turnerfleischer.com

The site plan prepared by Turner Fleischer is based on a legal survey showing the boundaries of the lands and their relationship to the surrounding streets. The survey was prepared and signed by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk LTD., dated 2023-03-29 as provided by Loblaw Companies Limited.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

TOPOGRAPHIC PLAN OF PART OF LOT 10
CONCESSION 3 (RIDEAU FRONT)
GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF NEPEAN
CITY OF OTTAWA

STATISTICS

SITE AREA	±3.64	ACRES	±1.47
PROPOSED BUILDING A AREA	±28,000	S.F.	±2,601
MEZZANINE AREA	±1,920	S.F.	±178
TOTAL BUILDING A AREA	±29,920	S.F.	±2,779
PROPOSED BUILDING B	±5,430	S.F.	±505
PROPOSED M/E ROOM	±150	S.F.	±14
TOTAL BUILDING B AREA	±5,580	S.F.	±519
TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA	±35,500	S.F.	±3,298
TOTAL BUILDING AREA	±37,570	S.F.	±3,490
TOTAL PARKING PROVIDED	177 CARS 4.98/1000	S.F.	5.36/100
COVERAGE	22.36	%	

LEGEND

- PROPOSED BUILDING
- PROPOSED ENTRANCE ARROW
- PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK
- PROPOSED FIRE & TRUCK ROUTE (HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT)
- PROPOSED PCX PARKING
- PROPOSED EV PARKING
- PROPOSED TRANSFORMER
- EXTENT OF RIGHT OF WAY (R.O.W.)

#	DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY
17	2024-04-03	ISSUED FOR SPA	FPI
12	2023-10-25	ISSUED FOR SPA	FPI

Loblaw Companies Limited

PROJECT
PROPOSED NO FRILLS
CAMBRIAN RD (S. PARCEL)
BARRHAVEN, ONTARIO

DRAWING
SITE PLAN

PROJECT NO.	21.326SD
PROJECT DATE	2024-12-11
DRAWN BY	GEA
CHECKED BY	RCH
SCALE	1:400

DRAWING NO. A001

2.2 Existing Conditions

2.2.1 Area Road Network

Cambrian Road: Cambrian Road is a City of Ottawa arterial road. West of Seeley's Bay Street, it is two-lane rural cross-section with gravel shoulders present on both sides of the road. East of Seeley's Bay Street, it is two-lane urban cross-section with sidewalks on both sides of the road. The posted speed limit is 50 km/h within the study area, and the City-protected right-of-way is 37.5 metres.

River Mist Road: River Mist Road is a City of Ottawa collector road with a two-lane urban cross-section. Sidewalks are presented on both sides of the road. The unposted speed limit is assumed to be 50 km/hr, and the measured right-of-way is approximately 24.0 metres.

Apolune Street: Apolune Street is a City of Ottawa collector road with a two-lane urban cross-section including on-street parking and sidewalks on both sides of the road. The unposted speed limit is assumed to be 50 km/h and the measured right-of-way is 24.0 metres.

Grand Canal Street: Grand Canal Street is a City of Ottawa local road with a two-lane urban cross-section including on-street parking on both sides of the road and sidewalk on the west side of the road. The unposted speed limit is assumed to be 50 km/h and the measured right-of-way is 16.5 metres.

2.2.2 Existing Intersections

The key existing intersections within one kilometre of the site have been summarized below:

Cambrian Road at River Mist Road	The intersection of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road is an all-way stop-controlled intersection. Each approach consists of a shared all-movement lane. No turn restrictions were noted.
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street	The intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street is a T intersection with stop-control on Apolune Street. The southbound approach consists of a shared left-turn/right-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of an auxiliary left-turn lane and a through lane, and the westbound approach consists of a shared through/right-turn lane. No turn restrictions are noted.
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street	The intersection of Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street is an all-way stop-controlled intersection. Each approach consists of a shared all-movement lane. No turn restrictions were noted.

2.2.3 Existing Driveways

Construction accesses are located within 200 metres of the future site access intersections. As these are temporary or minor in nature and are not expected to provide access to significant traffic generators, they are not anticipated to have an impact on this TIA.

2.2.4 Cycling and Pedestrian Facilities

Figure 3 illustrates the pedestrian facilities in the study area and Figure 4 illustrates the cycling facilities.

Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Cambrian Road east of Seeley's Bay Street, River Mist Road, and Apolune Street and on the west side of Grand Canal Street. An approximate 760-metre sidewalk is provided on the north side of Cambrian Road west of Seeley's Bay Street. Paved shoulders are provided on both sides along Cambrian Road between Borrisokane Road and Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road.

In the ultimate cycling network, the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road will be a spine cycling route, and Cambrian Road, Apolune Street, and River Mist Road are local routes. South of Cambrian Road, Apolune Street will continue as Elevation Road, is a local route, and is anticipated to include multi-use pathways. The Transportation Master Plan Part 1 identifies Re-Aligned Greenbank Road for designation as a cross-town bikeway.

Figure 3: Study Area Pedestrian Facilities



Source: http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoOttawa/ Accessed: April 20, 2023

Figure 4: Study Area Cycling Facilities



Source: http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoOttawa/ Accessed: April 20, 2023

Pedestrian and cyclist volumes included in study area intersection counts, presented in Section 2.2.7, have been compiled and are illustrated in Figure 5 and Figure 6, respectively.

Figure 5: Existing Pedestrian Volumes



Figure 6: Existing Cyclist Volumes



2.2.5 Existing Transit

Figure 7 illustrates the transit system map in the study area and Figure 8 illustrates nearby transit stops. All transit information is from June 7, 2022, and is included for general information purposes and context to the surrounding area.

Within the study area, route #75 travels along Cambrian Road and River Mist Road. The frequency of these routes within proximity of the proposed site based on June 7, 2022, service levels are:

- Route # 75 – 10-minute service in the peak period/direction and 15-20-minute service all-day, 30-minute service after 8 PM

Figure 7: Existing Study Area Transit Service



Source: http://www.octranspo.com/ Accessed: June 7, 2022

Figure 8: Existing Study Area Transit Stops – Within 400 metres



Source: http://www.octranspo.com/ Accessed: June 7, 2022

2.2.6 Existing Area Traffic Management Measures

There are no existing area traffic management measures within the study area.

2.2.7 Existing Peak Hour Travel Demand

Existing turning movement counts at Cambrian Road and River Mist Road was acquired from the City of Ottawa, and existing turning movement counts at Cambrian Road and Grand Canal Street was acquired from the Traffic Specialist. The turning movements at Cambrian Road at Apolune Street intersection were derived from the first phases of the Half Moon Bay West CTS (Stantec, 2016). Table 1 summarizes the intersection count dates.

Table 1: Intersection Count Date

Intersection	Count Date	Source
Cambrian Road at River Mist Road	Wednesday, October 23, 2019	City of Ottawa
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street	-	Half Moon Bay West CTS (Stantec, 2016)
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street	Wednesday, October 19, 2022	The Traffic Specialist

Figure 9 illustrates the 2022 existing traffic counts and Table 2 summarizes the existing intersection operations. Synchro 11 has been used to model the unsignalized intersections and HCM 2010 methodology was used for unsignalized intersection operation. Detailed turning movement count data is included in Appendix B and the Synchro worksheets are provided in Appendix C.

Figure 9: Existing Traffic Counts



Table 2: Existing Intersection Operations

Intersection	Lane	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)	LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)
Cambrian Road at River Mist Road ***Unsignalized***	EB	C	0.71	23.1	43.5	C	0.76	25.0	53.3
	WB	C	0.68	21.9	38.3	D	0.82	30.3	66.0
	NB	C	0.56	17.5	25.5	B	0.38	13.6	13.5
	SB	B	0.23	12.5	6.8	B	0.13	11.4	3.0
	Overall	**C**	-	**20.3**	-	**C**	-	**24.7**	-
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street ***Unsignalized***	EBL	A	0.01	8.3	0.0	A	0.03	8.4	0.8
	EBT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WBT/R	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	SBL/R	C	0.25	15.9	6.8	C	0.21	19.0	6.0
	Overall	**A**	-	**2.2**	-	**A**	-	**1.6**	-
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street ***Unsignalized***	EB	C	0.59	17.7	28.5	C	0.71	20.8	43.5
	WB	C	0.60	18.2	30.0	C	0.64	18.3	34.5
	NB	C	0.46	15.1	18.0	B	0.32	12.6	10.5
	SB	B	0.40	14.1	14.3	B	0.21	11.6	6.0
	Overall	**C**	-	**16.6**	-	**C**	-	**17.8**	-

Notes:
Saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane
Queue is measured in metres
Peak Hour Factor = 0.90
V/C = volume-to-capacity ratio
Delay = average vehicle delay in seconds
m = metered queue
# = volume for the 95th %ile cycle exceeds capacity

During both peak hours in the existing conditions, the study area intersections operate well. No capacity issues are noted.

2.2.8 Collision Analysis

Collision data have been acquired from the City of Ottawa open data website (data.ottawa.ca) for five years prior to the commencement of this TIA for the surrounding study area road network. Table 3 summarizes the collision types and conditions in the study area, Figure 10 illustrates the intersections and segments analyzed, and Table 4 summarizes the total collisions for each of these locations. Collision data are included in Appendix D.

Table 3: Study Area Collision Summary, 2016-2020

		Number	%
Total Collisions		**2**	**100%**
Classification	**Fatality**	0	0%
	Non-Fatal Injury	1	50%
	Property Damage Only	1	50%
Initial Impact Type	**Angle**	1	50%
	SMV Other	1	50%
Road Surface Condition	**Dry**	1	50%
	Loose Snow	1	50%
Pedestrian Involved		0	0%
Cyclists Involved		0	0%

Figure 10: Representation of Study Area Collision Records



Table 4: Summary of Collision Locations, 2016-2020

	Number	%
Intersections / Segments	**2**	**100%**
Apolune St @ Cambrian Rd	1	50%
Cambrian Rd btwn Borrisokane Rd & Grand Canal St	1	50%

Within the study area, there are a total of two collisions during the 2016-2020 time period, with one involving property damage only and the remaining one having non-fatal injuries. No further collision review is required as part of this study.

2.3 Planned Conditions

2.3.1 Changes to the Area Transportation Network

The subject development is within the Barrhaven South Community Design Plan (CDP) Area. As such, it is subject to the planning polices outlined in the CDP. The CDP provides target population and employment densities in the four Sub-Planning Areas along with the plans for infrastructure to support community growth. As part of this plan, the right-of-way along the following roads has been protected to accommodate an expansion to a four-lane arterial:

- Re-Aligned Greenbank Road rapid transit corridor north and south of Cambrian Road with a protected right-of-way of 41.5 metres
- Cambrian Road between Borrisokane Road and Longfields Road with a protected right-of-way of 37.5 metres

Realigned Greenbank Road will be located on the east side of the proposed development. The Re-Aligned Greenbank Road includes the design of a new 4-lane arterial roadway with 2-lane segregated median Bus Rapid Transit and facilities for pedestrians and cyclists between Marketplace Avenue/Chapman Mills Drive in the north and Barnsdale Road in the south. The preliminary design is included in Appendix E. The Re-Aligned Greenbank Road construction has not been scheduled and is assumed beyond 2031. Therefore, the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road is assumed to be after the study horizons and will not be modeled within the subject analyses.

Within the study horizons, a temporary road will be constructed on the south leg of the Cambrian Road at future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road intersection to serve as interim access for the future grocery site on the southeast quadrant of the intersection.

The westbound and southbound auxiliary left-turn lanes at the intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road have recently been painted. It is expected that an auxiliary left-turn lane will be on the northbound movement and the intersection is expected to be signalized within future horizons and will be included in the future horizons.

2.3.2 Other Study Area Developments

Mattamy's Half Moon Bay West Phase 3

The proposed subdivision is situated within the Mattamy Development of Half Moon Bay West, this phase of which is anticipated to be built-out during 2025. The development will include 38 detached single-family homes, 190 townhomes, and a 0.43-hectare commercial block. (CGH Transportation, 2021)

3555 Borrisokane Road

The proposed development includes a site plan application consisting of a car wash. It is anticipated to be built by 2023. This development forms a portion of the commercial block assessed within the Half Moon Bay West Phase 3 area. (D. J. Halpenny & Associates Ltd, 2022)

Glenview Homes (3387 Borrisokane Road)

The proposed development includes a plan of subdivision application consisting of 179 single family homes and 109 townhomes. It is anticipated to be built by 2023. (Stantec 2017)

OCSB Elementary School (135 Halyard Lane)
The proposed development application includes a site plan to have a single storey elementary school with approximately 800 students and a 2,970 sq. ft of childcare centre. It is anticipated to be built by 2023. (Dillon Consulting, 2022)

Mattamy's Half Moon Bay West Phase 4
The proposed site is situated within the Mattamy Development of Half Moon Bay West, this phase of which is anticipated to be built-out during 2026. This phase of the development will include 59 detached single-family homes.

Minto's Kennedy (3432 Greenbank Road)
The proposed development includes a plan of subdivision application consisting of 523 units, including 103 single family homes, 274 executive townhomes, and 146 avenue townhomes, and is anticipated to be built by 2024. (CGH Transportation, 2022)

Choice Properties (3850 Cambrian Road)
The proposed development includes a site plan application consisting of gross floor area of 17,000 sq. ft pharmacy and gross leasable area of 18,905 sq. Ft retail buildings. It is anticipated to be built by 2024. The file has been initiated and no TIA is available at this time.

Metro Ontario Inc. (3831 Cambrian Road)
The proposed development includes a site plan application consisting of a 4,024 square metre supermarket, an attached 929 square metre retail store, an 830 square metre retail building, and a 1,060 square metre mixed-use building. It is anticipated to be built by 2023. (CGH Transportation, 2021)

Meadow's Phase 7-8 (3640 Greenbank Road)
The proposed development, which was named Phase 5 in the TIA, includes a plan of subdivision application. The concept plan considers a total of 221 townhouses and 125 single family units. The full build-out and occupancy of Phase 7 is now assumed to be 2023 and Phase 8 by 2025. (IBI, 2018)

Mattamy's Half Moon Bay South Phase 5 (3718 Greenbank Road)
The proposed development application includes a plan of subdivision application consisting of 67 single detached home units and 97 townhouse units. This development is under construction and is assumed to be completed by the end of 2022. (CGH Transportation, 2019)

Mattamy's Half Moon Bay South Phase 7/8 (3718 Greenbank Road)
The proposed development, located on the west of the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road corridor and includes a mixture of 228 stacked townhouse units, and is anticipated to be built by 2024. (CGH Transportation, 2022)

Caivan's Ridge Phases 1-2 (3809 Borrisokane Road)
This development will include 279 townhouse units and 311 detached home units. This development is expected to be built-out during 2025. (CGH Transportation, 2019)

Caivan's The Ridge Phase 3-4 (3713 Borrisokane Road)
This development will include 589 townhouse units and 61 detached housing units. This development is expected to be built-out during 2024. (CGH Transportation, 2021)

Caivan's Conservancy East Stage (3285, 3288, 3305 Borrisokane Road)
This development will include 600 single family homes and 600 townhouses and 100 mid-rise dwelling units. This development is expected to be built-out during 2029. (CGH Transportation, 2021).

Minto's Quinn's Pointe Stages 4 (3882 Barnsdale Road and 3960 Greenbank Road)
The proposed development application includes a plan of subdivision application consisting of 536 single-family dwelling units, 493 townhomes, 100 apartment units, and two elementary schools. Phases 2 and 3 have been completed, and Phase 4 is expected to be completed by 2025. (Stantec, 2018)

AIBC Manufacturing Site (3713 Borrisokane Road)
The site includes approximately 3,250 square metres of general office space and 9,385 square metres of industrial buildings. This development began operations in 2022, and the office component will be completed by 2023. (CGH Transportation, 2020)

3 Study Area and Time Periods

3.1 Study Area

The study area will include the intersections of:

- Cambrian Road at:
  - River Mist Road
  - Apolune Street
  - Grand Canal Street
  - Site Access #1 (Future)

Future volumes at the ultimate access locations will be shown for the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road access and as they are outside the study horizons, will not be assessed from an operational perspective. This is informational only and are to be coordinated by the City through the Re-Aligned Greenbank design team.

The boundary roads will be Cambrian Road and the preliminary design drawings will be used to assess the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road. No screenlines are present within proximity to the site.

3.2 Time Periods

The weekday AM and PM peak hours will be examined.

3.3 Horizon Years

The anticipated build-out year is 2025. As a result, the full build-out plus five years horizon year is 2030.

4 Exemption Review

Table 5 summarizes the exemptions for this TIA.

Table 5: Exemption Review

Module	Element	Explanation	Exempt/Required
Design Review Component			
4.1 Development Design	4.1.2 Circulation and Access	Only required for site plans	Required
	4.1.3 New Street Networks	Only required for plans of subdivision	Exempt
4.2 Parking	4.2.1 Parking Supply	Only required for site plans	Required
	4.2.2 Spillover Parking	Only required for site plans where parking supply is 15% below unconstrained demand	Exempt
Network Impact Component			

Module	Element	Explanation	Exempt/Required
4.5 Transportation Demand Management	All Elements	Not required for site plans expected to have fewer than 60 employees and/or students on location at any given time	Required
4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Management	4.6.1 Adjacent Neighbourhoods	Only required when the development relies on local or collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds	Exempt
4.8 Network Concept		Only required when proposed development generates more than 200 person-trips during the peak hour in excess of equivalent volume permitted by established zoning	Exempt

5 Development-Generated Travel Demand

5.1 Mode Shares

Examining the mode shares recommended in the TRANS Trip Generation Manual (2020) for the subject district, derived from the most recent National Capital Region Origin-Destination survey (OD Survey), the existing average district mode shares by land use for South Nepean have been summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: TRANS Trip Generation Manual Recommended Mode Shares – South Nepean

Travel Mode	Commercial Generator	
	AM	PM
Auto Driver	74%	61%
Auto Passenger	14%	27%
Transit	1%	1%
Cycling	0%	0%
Walking	11%	11%
Total	**100%**	**100%**

5.2 Trip Generation

This TIA has been prepared using the vehicle trip rates and derived person trip rates for commercial components from the ITE Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition using the City-prescribed conversion factor of 1.28. Table 7 summarizes the person trip rates for the non-residential land uses by peak hour.

Table 7: Trip Generation Person Trip Rates by Peak Hour

Land Use	Land Use Code	Peak Hour	Vehicle Trip Rate	Person Trip Rates
Supermarket	850 (ITE)	AM	2.86	3.66
		PM	8.95	11.46
Retail (<40k)	822 (ITE)	AM	2.36	3.02
		PM	6.59	8.44

Using the above person trip rates, the total person trip generation has been estimated. Table 8 summarizes the total person trip generation for the non-residential land uses.

Table 8: Total Person Trip Generation by Peak Hour

Land Use	GFA	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Supermarket	28,000 sq.ft	60	42	102	161	161	321
Retail (<40k)	5,430 sq.ft	10	6	16	23	23	46

It is noted that Internal capture rates from the ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition only include development's retail component for mixed-use developments. Therefore, the internal capture rates for Retail (<40k) to/from Supermarket were assumed to be 5% for the AM peak hour and 20% for the PM peak hour.

Pass-by reduction of 24% have been taken from the rates presented in the ITE Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition for the land use of supermarket. Since ITE Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition does not have pass-by reduction for the land use of retail (<40k), a pass-by reduction of 40% for the land use of Shopping Plaza (40 - 150k) was applied to the land use of retail (<40k) peak hours.

Using the above mode share targets for the internal capture and pass-by rates, and the person trip rates, the person trips by mode have been projected. Table 9 summarizes the non-residential trip generation by mode and peak hour.

Table 9: Trip Generation by Mode

Travel Mode		AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		Mode Share	**In**	**Out**	**Total**	**Mode Share**	**In**	**Out**	**Total**
Supermarket	Auto Driver	**74%**	30	21	51	**61%**	59	59	118
	Auto Passenger	**14%**	8	6	14	**27%**	43	43	86
	Transit	**1%**	1	0	1	**1%**	2	2	4
	Cycling	**0%**	0	0	0	**0%**	0	0	0
	Walking	**11%**	7	5	12	**11%**	18	18	36
	Pass-by	*24%*	*-14*	*-10*	*-24*	*24%*	*-39*	*-39*	*-78*
	Total	**100%**	**46**	**32**	**78**	**100%**	**122**	**122**	**244**
Retail (<40k)	Auto Driver	**74%**	3	3	6	**61%**	3	3	6
	Auto Passenger	**14%**	1	1	2	**27%**	5	5	10
	Transit	**1%**	0	0	0	**1%**	0	0	0
	Cycling	**0%**	0	0	0	**0%**	0	0	0
	Walking	**11%**	1	1	2	**11%**	2	2	4
	Pass-by	*40%*	*-4*	*-2*	*-6*	*40%*	*-9*	*-9*	*-18*
	Internal Capture	*5%*	*0*	*0*	*0*	*20%*	*-3*	*-3*	*-6*
	Total	**100%**	**5**	**5**	**10**	**100%**	**10**	**10**	**20**
Total	Auto Driver	**74%**	33	24	57	**61%**	62	62	124
	Auto Passenger	**14%**	9	7	16	**27%**	48	48	96
	Transit	**1%**	1	0	1	**1%**	2	2	4
	Cycling	**0%**	0	0	0	**0%**	0	0	0
	Walking	**11%**	8	6	14	**11%**	20	20	40
	Pass-by	*varies*	*-18*	*-12*	*-30*	*varies*	*-48*	*-48*	*-96*
	Internal Capture	*varies*	*0*	*0*	*0*	*varies*	*-3*	*-3*	*-6*
	Total	**100%**	**51**	**37**	**88**	**100%**	**132**	**132**	**264**

As shown above, a total of 57 AM and 124 PM new peak hour two-way vehicle trips are projected as a result of the proposed development.

5.3 Trip Distribution

Typically, the City's TRANS O-D distribution would be used to approximate the distribution of development traffic for employment and residential developments. As the proposed site is located to serve the local community, it was felt that a site-specific distribution would be required, factoring in the adjacent residential developments. As such, the local Barrhaven South distribution is summarized in Table 10.

Table 10: Local Barrhaven South Distribution

To/From	% of Trips
North	10%
South	30%
East	50%
West	10%
Total	**100%**

5.4 Trip Assignment

Using the distribution outlined above, turning movement splits, and access to major transportation infrastructure, the trips generated by the site have been assigned to the study area road network. Re-Aligned Greenbank Road will extend south of Cambrian Road to Barnsdale Road beyond 2031 and not within the horizons of this study.

To assist in the City's future planning, an assignment has been developed for this condition and has been supplied for informational purposes only. Any assessment of Re-Aligned Greenbank Road is a regional issue and unrelated to the planned right-in/right-out access arrangement.

Table 11 summarizes the proportional assignment to the study area roadways in the interim and ultimate conditions. Figure 11 and Figure 12 illustrate the new site generated volumes and pass-by volumes within the study horizons.

As noted above, Figure 13 illustrates the new site generated volumes once Re-Aligned Greenbank Road extends to the south, which will be beyond 2031. Since the ultimate condition is beyond the study horizon year, it will not be analysed in this TIA.

Table 11: Trip Assignment

To/From	Study Horizons	Beyond 2031 (informational only)	
	Via	Inbound Via	Outbound Via
North	5% Grand Canal (N) 3% River Mist (N) 2% Apolune (N)	3% Grand Canal (N) 2% River Mist (N) 5% Re-Aligned Greenbank (N)	3% Grand Canal (N) 2% River Mist (N) 5% Re-Aligned Greenbank (N)
South	25% Elevation (S) 5% River Mist (S)	25% Elevation (S) 5% River Mist (S)	25% Re-Aligned Greenbank (S) 5% River Mist (S)
East	30% Cambrian (E) 5% Grand Canal (S) 15% River Mist (S)	30% Cambrian (E) 5% Grand Canal (S) 15% River Mist (S)	30% Cambrian (E) 5% Grand Canal (S) 15% River Mist (S)
West	3% Cambrian (W) 7% Apolune (N)	3% Cambrian (W) 7% Apolune (N)	3% Cambrian (W) 7% Apolune (N)
Total	**100%**	**100%**	**100%**

Figure 11: New Site Generation Auto Volumes



Figure 12: Pass-by Volumes



Figure 13: New Site Generation and Pass-by Auto Volumes – Beyond 2031 (informational only)



6 Background Network Travel Demands

6.1 Transportation Network Plans

The transportation network plans were discussed in Section 2.3. The signalized intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road, including the planned auxiliary lanes will be analyzed at all future horizons. Within the study horizons, a temporary road will be constructed on the south leg of the Cambrian Road at future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road intersection to serve as interim access for the future grocery site on the southeast quadrant of the intersection.

The Re-Aligned Greenbank Road was noted to be planned for implementation after the study horizons.

6.2 Background Growth

All background developments within Barrhaven South have been included in this TIA. All growth is assumed to be captured within the background development; therefore, no annual growth rate will be applied. Regional growth would be present on the north-south arterial network outside the study area intersections, such as Borrisokane Road, Greenbank Road and Longfields Drive.

6.3 Other Developments

The background developments explicitly considered in the background conditions (Section 6.2) include:

- Mattamy Half Moon Bay West Phases 3, 4
- 3555 Borrisokane Road
- Glenview Homes (3387 Borrisokane Road)
- OCSB Elementary School (135 Halyard Lane)
- Minto's Kennedy (3432 Greenbank Road) (2024 new site generated auto volumes)
- Choice Properties (3850 Cambrian Road)
- Metro Ontario Inc. (3831 Cambrian Road)
- Meadow's Phase 7-8 (3640 Greenbank Road)
- Mattamy's Half Moon Bay South Phase 5 (3718 Greenbank Road)
- Mattamy's Half Moon Bay South Phase 7/8 (3718 Greenbank Road)
- Caivan's Ridge Phases 1-2 (3809 Borrisokane Road)
- Caivan's The Ridge Phase 3-4 (3713 Borrisokane Road)
- Caivan's Conservancy East Stage (3285, 3288, 3305 Borrisokane Road)
- Minto's Quinn's Pointe Stages 4 (3882 Barnsdale Road and 3960 Greenbank Road)
- AIBC Manufacturing Site (3713 Borrisokane Road)

Figure 14 and Figure 15 illustrate the 2025 and 2030 total background development volumes. The background development volumes within the study area have been provided in Appendix F.

Figure 14: 2025 Total Background Development Volumes



Figure 15: 2030 Total Background Development Volumes



7 Demand Rationalization

7.1 2025 Future Background Operations

The signalized intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road includes auxiliary left-turn lanes on all approaches. Figure 16 illustrates the 2025 background volumes and Table 12 summarizes the 2025 background intersection operations. Synchro 11 has been used to model the unsignalized intersections and HCM 2010 methodology was used for unsignalized intersection operation. The synchro worksheets for the 2025 future background horizon are provided in Appendix G.

Signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street and continues to not meet signal warrants. As the City does not have a planned improvement at this location, it is assumed to remain as an all-way stop-controlled intersection. Signal warrant calculation sheets are provided in Appendix H.

Figure 16: 2025 Future Background Volumes



Table 12: 2025 Future Background Intersection Operations

Intersection	Lane	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)	LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)
Cambrian Road at River Mist Road *Unsignalized*	EB	**F**	**1.20**	**145.7**	**179.3**	**F**	**1.14**	**92.7**	**144.0**
	WB	**F**	**1.04**	**66.7**	**94.5**	**F**	**1.16**	**106.4**	**158.3**
	NB	D	0.76	29.8	42.0	C	0.50	17.5	18.0
	SB	C	0.35	17.0	10.5	B	0.19	13.6	4.5
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**85.2**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**83.8**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street *Signalized*	EBL	A	0.04	9.6	3.6	A	0.08	7.4	8.2
	EBT/R	A	0.44	11.0	49.2	A	0.59	11.6	128.5
	WBL	A	0.11	9.8	9.4	A	0.25	9.4	19.4
	WBT/R	B	0.65	16.7	**#95.7**	A	0.51	10.5	94.3
	NBL	C	0.77	37.7	51.5	C	0.78	65.9	63.7
	NBT/R	A	0.14	0.3	0.0	A	0.12	0.4	0.0
	SBL	A	0.58	29.0	33.5	A	0.57	53.1	41.9
	SBT/R	A	0.07	0.2	0.0	A	0.04	0.1	0.0
	Overall	**B**	**0.69**	**18.4**	**-**	**B**	**0.63**	**19.0**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street *Unsignalized*	EB	**F**	**1.05**	**81.1**	**120.0**	**F**	**1.05**	**71.3**	**126.0**
	WB	**F**	**1.04**	**71.3**	**108.0**	**F**	**1.01**	**62.3**	**112.5**
	NB	C	0.52	19.7	21.0	B	0.34	14.4	10.5
	SB	C	0.46	18.2	16.5	B	0.22	13.3	6.0
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**60.3**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**57.9**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Temporary Driveway *Unsignalized*	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WB	A	0.01	8.5	0.0	A	0.01	8.9	0.0
	NB	C	0.08	19.5	2.3	D	0.22	26.2	6.0
	Overall	**A**	**-**	**0.4**	**-**	**A**	**-**	**1.0**	**-**

Notes:
Saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane
Peak Hour Factor = 1.00
V/C = volume-to-capacity ratio
Delay = average vehicle delay in seconds
m = metered queue
# = volume for the 95th %ile cycle exceeds capacity

The intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and at Grand Canal Street may experience high delays and extended queues during peak hours on the eastbound and westbound movements due to the background development.

The westbound share through/right-turn movement at the intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street during the AM peak may be subject to extended queues.

The capacity issues are due to the background developments and are considered the responsibility of the City to address through DC funding.

7.2 2030 Future Background Operations

Figure 17 illustrates the 2030 background volumes and Table 13 summarizes the 2030 background intersection operations. Synchro 11 has been used to model the unsignalized intersections and HCM 2010 methodology was used for unsignalized intersection operation. The synchro worksheets for the 2030 future background horizon are provided in Appendix I.

Signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street and continues to not meet signal warrants. As the City does not have a planned improvement at this location, it is assumed to remain as an all-way stop-controlled intersection. Signal warrant calculation sheets are provided in Appendix H.

Figure 17: 2030 Future Background Volumes



Table 13: 2030 Future Background Intersection Operations

Intersection	Lane	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)	LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)
Cambrian Road at River Mist Road ***Unsignalized***	EB	**F**	**1.25**	**155.2**	**185.3**	**F**	**1.18**	**104.5**	**155.3**
	WB	**F**	**1.08**	**73.0**	**99.0**	**F**	**1.21**	**116.7**	**167.3**
	NB	D	0.81	33.1	47.3	C	0.53	18.3	19.5
	SB	C	0.36	17.4	10.5	B	0.20	13.9	4.5
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**91.4**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**92.6**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street ***Signalized***	EBL	A	0.04	9.6	3.6	A	0.08	7.4	8.2
	EBT/R	A	0.46	11.2	50.8	A	0.60	11.9	133.1
	WBL	A	0.11	9.9	9.4	A	0.26	9.6	19.6
	WBT/R	B	0.66	17.3	**#107.4**	A	0.52	10.7	97.4
	NBL	C	0.77	37.7	51.5	C	0.78	65.9	63.7
	NBT/R	A	0.14	0.4	0.0	A	0.12	0.4	0.0
	SBL	A	0.58	29.0	33.5	A	0.57	53.1	41.9
	SBT/R	A	0.07	0.2	0.0	A	0.04	0.1	0.0
	Overall	**B**	**0.70**	**18.6**	-	**B**	**0.64**	**19.1**	-
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street ***Unsignalized***	EB	**F**	**1.08**	**83.4**	**121.5**	**F**	**1.06**	**78.9**	**135.0**
	WB	**F**	**1.07**	**78.7**	**115.5**	**F**	**1.03**	**64.9**	**115.5**
	NB	C	0.53	19.9	21.0	B	0.34	14.5	10.5
	SB	C	0.46	18.3	16.5	B	0.22	13.3	6.0
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**64.1**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**62.3**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Temporary Driveway ***Unsignalized***	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WB	A	0.01	8.5	0.0	A	0.01	8.9	0.0
	NB	C	0.08	19.8	2.3	D	0.22	26.9	6.0
	Overall	**A**	**-**	**0.4**	**-**	**A**	**-**	**1.0**	**-**

Notes:
Saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane
Queue is measured in metres
Peak Hour Factor = 1.00
Delay = average vehicle delay in seconds
m = metered queue
# = volume for the 95th %ile cycle exceeds capacity

Intersections within the study area will operate similar to the 2025 future background condition, with decreasing operations due to the background developments.

Capacity issues will remain at the intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street. Similar to the 2025 future background horizon, the capacity issues at Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and at Grand Canal Street are due to the background developments and are considered the responsibility of the City.

7.3 2025 Future Total Operations

Figure 18 illustrates the 2025 future total volumes and Table 14 summarizes the 2025 future total intersection operations. Synchro 11 has been used to model the unsignalized intersections and HCM 2010 methodology was used for unsignalized intersection operation. The synchro worksheets for the 2025 future total horizon are provided in Appendix J.

Signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street and continues to not meet signal warrants. As the City does not have a planned improvement at this location, it is assumed to remain as an all-way stop-controlled intersection. Signal warrant calculation sheets are provided in Appendix H.

Figure 18: 2025 Future Total Volumes



Table 14: 2025 Future Total Intersection Operations

Intersection	Lane	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)	LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)
Cambrian Road at River Mist Road ***Unsignalized***	EB	**F**	**1.27**	**157.9**	**188.3**	**F**	**1.22**	**120.0**	**171.0**
	WB	**F**	**1.08**	**75.0**	**101.3**	**F**	**1.23**	**126.4**	**176.3**
	NB	D	0.79	31.9	45.0	C	0.54	18.7	20.3
	SB	C	0.36	17.4	10.5	B	0.20	14.1	5.3
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**93.2**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**103.0**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street ***Signalized***	EBL	A	0.04	9.6	3.6	A	0.08	7.4	8.2
	EBT/R	A	0.45	11.0	49.3	A	0.59	11.7	128.8
	WBL	A	0.12	10.0	10.2	A	0.29	10.1	23.1
	WBT/R	B	0.65	16.8	**#97.6**	A	0.52	10.6	96.2
	NBL	C	0.77	37.7	51.5	C	0.78	65.9	63.7
	NBT/R	A	0.15	0.4	0.0	A	0.14	0.5	0.0
	SBL	A	0.60	29.7	34.2	A	0.60	55.3	44.1
	SBT/R	A	0.07	0.2	0.0	A	0.04	0.1	0.0
	Overall	**B**	**0.69**	**18.4**	**-**	**B**	**0.63**	**19.1**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street ***Unsignalized***	EB	**F**	**1.10**	**89.3**	**126.8**	**F**	**1.12**	**94.4**	**151.5**
	WB	**F**	**1.09**	**83.7**	**120.0**	**F**	**1.09**	**78.5**	**130.5**
	NB	C	0.53	20.3	21.0	B	0.35	14.9	11.3
	SB	C	0.47	18.6	16.5	B	0.23	13.7	6.0
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**68.1**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**74.7**	**-**

Intersection	Lane	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)	LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)
Cambrian Road at Temporary Driveway *Unsignalized*	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WB	A	0.01	8.6	0.0	A	0.01	9.0	0.0
	NB	C	0.08	20.3	2.3	D	0.24	29.2	6.8
	Overall	**A**	**-**	**0.4**	**-**	**A**	**-**	**1.0**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Site Access #1 *Unsignalized*	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WB	A	0.03	8.6	0.8	A	0.07	9.2	1.5
	NB	B	0.04	11.9	0.8	B	0.13	13.8	3.8
	Overall	**A**	**-**	**0.6**	**-**	**A**	**-**	**1.4**	**-**

Notes:
Saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane
Queue is measured in metres
Peak Hour Factor = 1.00
Delay = average vehicle delay in seconds
m = metered queue
# = volume for the 95th %ile cycle exceeds capacity

The study area intersections will operate similar to the 2025 future background condition. No additional capacity issues are noted.

The site is anticipated to generate less than a 2.9% increase in traffic during the AM peak and less than a 5.2% increase during the PM peak on Cambrian Road at River Mist Road intersection and generate less than a 3.3% increase in traffic during the AM peak and less than a 6.0% increase during the PM peak on Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street intersection. These volume increases are not considered significant impacts on the intersections and remain the responsibility of the City to address through DC funding.

7.4 2030 Future Total Operations

Figure 19 illustrates the 2030 future total volumes and Table 15 summarizes the 2030 future total intersection operations. Synchro 11 has been used to model the unsignalized intersections and HCM 2010 methodology was used for unsignalized intersection operation. The synchro worksheets for the 2030 future total horizon are provided in Appendix K.

Signal warrant analysis was performed for the intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street and continues to not meet signal warrants. As the City does not have a planned improvement at this location, it is assumed to remain as an all-way stop-controlled intersection. Signal warrant calculation sheets are provided in Appendix H.

Figure 19: 2030 Future Total Volumes



Table 15: 2030 Future Total Intersection Operations

Intersection	Lane	AM Peak Hour				PM Peak Hour			
		LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)	LOS	V/C	Delay (s)	Q (95th)
Cambrian Road at River Mist Road *Unsignalized*	EB	**F**	**1.30**	**165.2**	**192.0**	**F**	**1.27**	**133.2**	**183.0**
	WB	**F**	**1.11**	**81.7**	**106.5**	**F**	**1.27**	**137.9**	**186.0**
	NB	E	0.83	35.3	49.5	C	0.57	19.4	21.8
	SB	C	0.37	17.8	11.3	B	0.21	14.3	5.3
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**98.6**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**112.8**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Apolune Street *Unsignalized*	EBL	A	0.04	9.6	3.6	A	0.09	7.5	8.2
	EBT/R	A	0.46	11.2	51.2	A	0.60	11.9	133.3
	WBL	A	0.12	10.0	10.3	A	0.30	10.3	23.4
	WBT/R	B	0.67	17.4	**#108.3**	A	0.53	10.8	99.5
	NBL	C	0.77	37.7	51.5	C	0.78	65.9	63.7
	NBT/R	A	0.15	0.4	0.0	A	0.14	0.5	0.0
	SBL	A	0.60	29.7	34.2	A	0.60	55.3	44.1
	SBT/R	A	0.07	0.2	0.0	A	0.04	0.1	0.0
	Overall	**B**	**0.70**	**18.6**	**-**	**B**	**0.64**	**19.2**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street *Unsignalized*	EB	**F**	**1.13**	**96.1**	**133.5**	**F**	**1.15**	**102.1**	**160.5**
	WB	**F**	**1.11**	**92.5**	**129.0**	**F**	**1.11**	**84.9**	**138.0**
	NB	C	0.54	20.3	21.0	B	0.36	15.0	11.3
	SB	C	0.47	18.7	16.5	B	0.23	13.8	6.0
	Overall	**F**	**-**	**74.1**	**-**	**F**	**-**	**80.8**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Temporary Driveway *Unsignalized*	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WB	A	0.01	8.6	0.0	A	0.01	9.0	0.0
	NB	C	0.08	20.6	2.3	D	0.25	30.1	6.8
	Overall	**A**	**-**	**0.4**	**-**	**A**	**-**	**1.1**	**-**
Cambrian Road at Site Access #1 *Unsignalized*	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	WB	A	0.03	8.6	0.8	A	0.07	9.2	1.5
	NB	B	0.04	11.9	0.8	B	0.14	14.0	3.8
	Overall	**A**	**-**	**0.6**	**-**	**A**	**-**	**1.4**	**-**

Notes:
Saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane
Queue is measured in metres
Peak Hour Factor = 1.00
Delay = average vehicle delay in seconds
m = metered queue
# = volume for the 95th %ile cycle exceeds capacity

The Cambrian Road at River Mist Road intersection will operate similar to the 2030 future background condition. No additional capacity issues are noted.

As outlined in the 2025 future total conditions, the site-generated volumes will have minimal impact on the intersections of Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street. The capacity issues are due to the background developments and are considered the responsibility of the City to address through DC funding.

7.5 Modal Share Sensitivity and Demand Rationalization Conclusions

7.5.1 Network Rationalization

The background conditions identify capacity constraints at the intersection control at the Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and Cambrian Road at Grand Canal Street intersections. Specifically, these are related to the eastbound and westbound movement during the AM and PM peak hours. These operational constraints are expected and have been reported previously in area TIAs that have assessed these intersections. The proposed site has minimal impact on the Cambrian Road volumes.

In the short term, motorist behavior may start to change to take alternative routes through the community to avoid these constraints. This has already been occurring with area diversions to Half Moon Bay at Greenbank Road where the City has addressed these diversions with a new mini-roundabout intersection.

Ultimately, the signalization of the intersections would be a local improvement for operations at these intersections, and more regional solution is the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road implementation south beyond Cambrian Road. The segment south of Cambrian Road would allow motorists to access the north-south arterial road network from east-west collections (e.g. Dundonald) rather than needing to use Cambrian Road for that connectivity.

Beyond the infrastructure noted, the subject site is a step towards mitigating the current vehicle trips headed to retail and grocery options north of the Jock River. It may not have a notable reduction on Cambrian Road at this time, but it likely has regional benefits that balance out the existence of the local constraints.

7.5.2 Development Rationalization

The proposed trip generation rates and modal shares are consistent with the surrounding area context and do not unduly impact the surrounding road network. No site-specific demand rationalization is considered necessary as part of this TIA.

8 Development Design

8.1 Design for Sustainable Modes

The proposed development is a retail development with surface parking for both automobiles and bicycles. A total of 177 vehicle parking spaces and 10 bicycle parking spaces will be provided for the grocery store and retail.

A bus stop is proposed to locate on the boundary road of the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road approximately 31.2 metres from the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road at Cambrian Road intersection and approximately 6.1 metres from Access #2.

Future pedestrian and cycling facilities along Cambrian Road and Re-Aligned Greenbank Road are planned to be provided beyond the study horizon.

Concrete sidewalks are proposed along the frontage of the grocery store and retail to connect to the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and proposed bus stop.

The infrastructure TDM checklist is provided in Appendix L.

8.2 Circulation and Access

Within the study horizon, Access #1 will be an all-movement access. The two-way access onto Cambrian Road is 6.7 metres wide and the throat length is 38.5 metres, although it is functionally longer with a total of 48.5 metres measured from Cambrian Road to the first conflict point on-site. The internal drive aisles are 6.7 metres. The loading areas are provided at the back of the grocery store (Building A) and on the west side of the retail store (Building B).

Beyond 2031, Access #1 will become right-in/right-out with the new median as part of the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road signalized intersection when constructed by the City. Similarly, the right-in/right-out Accesses #2 will be opened with the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road construction. Access #2 width will be 6.7 metes and have an expected throat length of 12.8 metres, and it is functionally longer with a total of 17.3 metres measured from Re-Aligned Greenbank Road to the first conflict point on-site based on the City design for Cambrian Road and Re-Aligned Greenbank Road.

Access #1 is approximately 64 metres from the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road intersection, which meets the minimum corner clearance of 25 metres from TAC (2017). On the north side of Cambrian Road, the 3850 Cambrian Road development has an access proposed approximately 30 metres to the west of Access #1. TAC notes that the relative location should be examined but provides no direct guidance on the desirable offset except in conditions with inter-development interaction is expected to be significant. The drive-way volumes are not considered to be significant and low inter-development interaction is expected. General automobile and larger truck (garbage truck) movements will have no overlap in travel sweeps and can be completed without concern should they proceed at the same time. Truck/trailer (WB-20) vehicles would overlap should they proceed to make opposing left-turn movements at the same time. This situation is considered to be an exceedingly rare occurrence and would not be a typical design consideration at access locations. Overall, this condition can be permitted during the interim condition prior to Cambrian Road becoming a divided road as part of the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road construction, where no interaction between the access would be permitted.

Beyond 2031, Access #1 a right-in/right-out access, and it will meet the minimum corner clearance of left-turn storage length metres from TAC (2017). Access #2 would be located approximately 37 metres from the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road intersection. The right-in/right-out restrictions on the future access conditions necessitate accesses be provided on both Cambrian Road and Re-Aligned Greenbank Road. The interaction between the bus stop and access was considered and it was preferred to limit the interaction of vehicles weaving around a stopped bus to enter the driveway. If further south, space would be available for vehicles to bypass the bus, creating conflict points as the bus pulls out, or attempts to transition towards the centre median lanes.

The current design activities for Re-Aligned Greenbank Road will need to consider and be supportive of the surrounding land-use, either approved, in application, or planned through the Barrhaven South Community Design Plan and Barrhaven South Community Core Concept Plan and Design Framework. Turning templates are provided in Appendix M.

9 Parking

9.1 Parking Supply

The site provides a total of 177 vehicle surface parking spaces and 10 bicycle parking spaces. According to the parking provisions by-law, the minimum parking provision is 3.6 vehicle parking spaces per 100 m^2 of gross floor area and 1 bike space per 500 m^2 of gross floor area, which is 112 vehicle parking spaces and six bicycle parking spaces, and the minimum vehicle and bicycle parking requirements are satisfied.

The site provides eight accessible parking spaces, including seven Type A and one Type B spaces. This exceeds the minimum requirements in the Accessibility Design Standards, which requires for three Type A and four Type B spaces.

10 Boundary Street Design

Table 16 summarizes the MMLOS analysis for the boundary streets of Cambrian Road. The boundary street analysis is based on the land use of “General Urban Area” and the policy area of “Within 300 metres of a school”. The MMLOS worksheets have been provided in Appendix N.

Table 16: Boundary Street MMLOS Analysis

Segment	Pedestrian LOS		Bicycle LOS		Transit LOS		Truck LOS	
	PLOS	Target	BLOS	Target	TLOS	Target	TrLOS	Target
Cambrian Road (Existing)	**F**	C	**F**	B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cambrian Road (Future)	**C**	A	A	B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Re-Aligned Greenbank Road (Future)	**D**	A	A	A	A	A	A	D

Cambrian Road does not meet the pedestrian MMLOS targets and the operating speed would need to be lower than 30 km/h. Cambrian Road does not meet the bicycle MMLOS targets in the existing condition but will be met in the future condition.

No mitigation for the boundary street design of Cambrian Road is required as part of this application and require higher level City adjustments to the road operations, such as speed limits.

Future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road will not meet the pedestrian MMLOS target and needs at least 2 metre-wide of sidewalk and boulevard. The City's design team will need to rationalize the various elements and targets for the roadway.

11 Access Intersections Design

11.1 Location and Design of Access

The site is proposed to have a full-movement access (Access #1) within the study horizon years. Once the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road is built (Beyond 2031), right-in/right-out Access #2 will be provided along Re-Aligned Greenbank Road. Both accesses are proposed to be 6.7 metres wide and meet the private approach by law.

The TAC Geometric Design Guidelines throat length requirements for a grocery store of this size on an arterial road is 25.0 metres, as measured from the end of the corner radii. Access #1 will have a throat length of 38.5 metres, which is functionally longer with a total of 48.5 metres measured from Cambrian Road to the first conflict point on-site, and it meets the throat length requirement. Access #2 throat length is expected to have a throat length of 12.8 metres. This length is less than 15.0 metres primarily due to the larger radii required to support larger truck movements, and the actual space from Greenbank Road to the first conflict point on-site would be approximately 17.3 metres, depending on the City design for Cambrian Road and Re-Aligned Greenbank Road.

Overall, no concerns are noted with the proposed configurations and are considered to meet the intentions of TAC in function and future operations.

11.2 Intersection Control

Based upon the projected volumes, the site access will have stop-control on the minor approach.

11.3 Access Intersection Design

11.3.1 Future Access Intersection Operations

The operations are noted in Section 7.4 and both 2025 and 2030 future total access intersections operate well with all movements and the overall intersection operating at LOS A.

11.3.2 Access Intersection MMLOS

Based upon the projected volumes, the site access will have stop-control on the minor approach.

11.3.3 Recommended Design Elements

No changes to the site accesses are proposed.

12 Transportation Demand Management

12.1 Context for TDM

The mode shares used within the TIA represent the unmodified district shares for the Barrhaven South. A shift from auto modes to transit modes, in both the subject and surrounding developments, may be anticipated once the BRT network is extended along the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road Corridor, but any such shifts are expected to occur outside of the analysis horizons of this report. Overall, the modal shares are likely to be achieved and supporting TDM measures should be provided.

The subject site is within the Barrhaven South Community Core design priority area.

12.2 Need and Opportunity

The subject site has been assumed to rely predominantly on auto travel and those assumptions have been carried through the analysis.

12.3 TDM Program

The "suite of post occupancy TDM measures" has been summarized in the TDM checklists for the non-residential land uses. The checklist is provided in Appendix L. The key TDM measures recommended include:

- Provide a multimodal travel option package to new/relocating employees

13 Transit

13.1 Route Capacity

In Section 5.1 the trip generation by mode was estimated, including an estimate of the number of transit trips that will be generated by the proposed development. Table 17 summarizes the transit trip generation.

Table 17: Trip Generation by Transit Mode

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Transit	1%	1	0	1	2	2	4

The proposed development is anticipated to generate an additional 1 AM and 4 PM peak hour two-way transit trips. Overall, the existing transit service is expected to be accommodate these increased riders and be predominantly localized trips within Barrhaven South.

13.2 Transit Priority

Examining the study area intersection delays, negligible impacts are noted on the transit movements and no decrease in transit LOS at the study area intersections is noted as a result of forecasted site-generated traffic. It is expected that the local transit service may be reconfigured or improved by the City once the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road widening are completed, and it is outside of the study horizons.

14 Network Intersection Design

14.1 Network Intersection Control

No change to the existing signalized control is recommended for the network intersections.

14.2 Network Intersection Design

14.2.1 2025 & 2030 Future Total Network Intersection Operations

The operations are noted in Section 7.4 and no changes on the intersections within the study area are required.

14.2.2 Network Intersection MMLOS

Table 18 summarizes the MMLOS analysis for the intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road. The existing intersection is not signalized and therefore only the future conditions will be analyzed. The future intersection geometry is assumed to be the same as the functional design completed by Stantec without cycling infrastructure along the Cambrian Road within the study horizon years. The intersection analysis is based on the land use of "General Urban Area" and the policy area of "Within 300 metres of a school". The MMLOS worksheets have been provided in Appendix N.

Table 18: Study Area Intersection MMLOS Analysis

Intersection	Pedestrian LOS		Bicycle LOS		Transit LOS		Truck LOS		Auto LOS	
	PLOS	Target	BLOS	Target	TLOS	Target	TrLOS	Target	ALOS	Target
Cambrian Rd at Apolune St / Elevation Rd	**E**	A	**E**	B	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	B	D

The MMLOS targets will not be met for the pedestrian and bicycle LOS in the future condition within the study horizon years at the intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road. The pedestrian level of service would require crossing distances of a maximum of two lane-widths per crossing and protected left-turn on each approach to meet a LOS A. The left-turn configurations would need to be two-stage or include turn boxes on each approach to meet the bicycle LOS target. The City will be responsible for exploring options to address the area PLOS and BLOS deficiencies for this intersection.

The MMLOS review for the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road is considered a responsibility of the City and their current design exercise. As they are currently working through this design, any review within this study would be premature.

14.2.3 Recommended Design Elements

No study area intersection design elements are proposed as part of this study.

15 Summary of Improvements Indicated and Modifications Options

The following summarizes the analysis and results presented in this TIA report:

Proposed Site and Screening

- The proposed site includes a gross floor area of 28,000 sq. ft. grocery store and a gross floor area of 5,430 sq. ft. retail store
- The concept plan includes one new full-movement access on Cambrian Road in the interim condition
- In the ultimate condition, a right-in/right-out access is proposed on Re-Aligned Greenbank Road corridor, and the access on Cambrian Road will be a right-in/right-out access
- The development is proposed to be completed as a single phase by 2025
- The trip generation and location triggers were met for the TIA Screening

Existing Conditions

- Cambrian Road is an arterial road, and River Mist Road and Apolune Street are collector roads in the study area
- Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Cambrian Road east of Seeley's Bay Street, River Mist Road, and Apolune Street and on the west side of Grand Canal Street
- Paved shoulders are provided on both sides along Cambrian Road between Borrisokane Road and Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road
- Re-Aligned Greenbank Road will be a spine cycling route, and Cambrian Road, Apolune Street, and River Mist Road are local route
- The Transportation Master Plan Part 1 identifies Re-Aligned Greenbank Road for designation as a cross-town bikeway
- Within the study area, there are a total of two collisions during the 2016-2020 time period, and no further collision review is required as part of this study
- During peak hours in the existing conditions, the study area intersections operate well

Development Generated Travel Demand

- The proposed development is forecasted to produce 88 two-way people trips during the AM peak hour and 264 two-way people trips during the PM peak hour
- Of the forecasted people trips, 57 two-way trips will be vehicle trips during the AM peak hour and 124 two-way trips will be vehicle trips during the PM peak hour based on a 74% (61%) modal share target
- Of the forecasted trips, 10% are anticipated to travel north and the west, 50% to the east, and 30% to both the south
- The proposed trip generation rates and modal shares are consistent with the surrounding area context and do not unduly impact the surrounding road network

Background Conditions

- The signalized intersection of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road, including the planned auxiliary lanes will be analyzed at all future horizons
- All growth is assumed to be captured within the background development; therefore, no annual growth rate will be applied
- Within the study horizons, a temporary road will be constructed on the south leg of the Cambrian Road at future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road intersection to serve as interim access for the future grocery site on the southeast quadrant of the intersection
- The background conditions identify capacity constraints related to the intersection control at the Cambrian Road at River Mist Road intersection
- The capacity issues at Cambrian Road at River Mist Road and at Grand Canal Street are due to the background developments and are considered the responsibility of the City
- In the short term, motorist behavior may start to change to take alternative routes through the community to avoid these constraints
- Ultimately, the signalization of the intersections would be a local improvement for operations at these intersections, and more regional solution is the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road implementation south beyond Cambrian Road

Development Design

- The proposed development is a retail development with surface parking for both automobiles and bicycles
- Future pedestrian and cycling facilities along Cambrian Road and future Greenbank Road are planned to be provided beyond the study horizon
- Two loading zones are provided within the development
- Access #1 is approximately 64 metres from the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road intersection, and it meets the minimum corner clearance from TAC (2017)
- Access #2 would be approximately 37 metres from the future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road intersection
- The right-in/right-out restrictions on the future access conditions necessitate accesses be provided on both Cambrian Road and Re-Aligned Greenbank Road
- The interaction between the bus stop and access was considered and it was preferred to limit the interaction of vehicles weaving around a stopped bus to enter the driveway

Parking

- The site provides a total of 177 vehicle surface parking spaces and 10 bicycle parking spaces
- The minimum parking provisions by-law are satisfied
- The proposed accessible parking spaces exceed the minimum requirements in the Accessibility Design Standards

Boundary Street Design

- Cambrian Road does not meet the pedestrian MMLOS targets and needs less than 30 km/h operating speed
- Cambrian Road does not meet the bicycle MMLOS targets in the existing condition but will be met in the future condition
- No mitigation for the boundary street design of Cambrian Road is required as part of this application and require higher level City adjustments to the road operations, such as speed limits
- Future Re-Aligned Greenbank Road will not meet the pedestrian MMLOS target and needs at least 2 metre-wide of sidewalk and boulevard, and should be rationalized through the City's design team

Access Intersections Design

- The site accesses are proposed to be 6.7-metres-wide and operate with minor approach stop-controlled
- Once the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road is constructed by the City, the Access #2 can be opened and both accesses will operate as right-in/right-out
- The throat length requirement of 25.0 metres, per TAC, will be met at Access #1
- The throat length of Access #2 will depend on the final design for Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and is expected to be between 12.8 and 17.3 metres.
- No concerns are noted with the proposed configurations and are considered to meet the intentions of TAC in function and future operations
- Access #1 operates well, and no issues are noted

TDM

- Supportive TDM measures to be included within the proposed development should include:
    - Provide a multimodal travel option package to new/relocating employees

Transit

- The proposed development is anticipated to generate an additional 1 AM and 4 PM peak hour two-way transit trips
- The existing transit service is expected to be accommodate these increased riders and be predominantly localized trips within Barrhaven South
- Negligible impacts are noted on the transit movements and no decrease in transit LOS at the study area intersections are noted as a result of forecasted site-generated traffic
- It is expected that the local transit service may be reconfigured or improved by the City once the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road and Cambrian Road widening are completed, and it is outside of the study horizons

Network Intersection Design

- The capacity issues are due to the background developments and are considered the responsibility of the City to address through DC funding
- No changes on the intersections within the study area are required
- No change to the existing signalized control is recommended for the network intersections
- The MMLOS targets will not be met the pedestrian and bicycle LOS at the intersections of Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road in the future conditions within the study horizon years
- Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road would require crossing distances of a maximum of two lane-widths per crossing and protected left-turn on each approach to meet the PLOS at this intersection
- Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road would require improved left-turn configurations on each approach to meet the BLOS at this intersection
- The City will be responsible for exploring options to address the area PLOS and BLOS deficiencies for Cambrian Road at Apolune Street/Elevation Road
- The MMLOS review for the Re-Aligned Greenbank Road is considered a responsibility of the City and their current design exercise. As they are currently working through this design, any review within this study would be premature

16 Conclusion

It is recommended that, from a transportation perspective, the proposed development applications proceed.

Prepared By:

Yu-Chu Chen
Transportation Engineering-Intern

Reviewed By:

Andrew Harte, P.Eng.
Senior Transportation Engineer

Appendix A

TIA Screening Form and PM Certification Form

6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON K2H 7W1

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines
Step 1 - Screening Form

Date: 24-Jun-22
Project Number: 2022-024
Project Reference: 3845 Cambrian

1.1 Description of Proposed Development	
Municipal Address	3845 Cambrian Road
Description of Location	Ward 3. 1.5 ha retangular parcel on Cambrian Road between River Mist Road and Elevation Road
Land Use Classification	General Mixed Use Zone (GM[1628])
Development Size	A total of 49,100 sq ft (4561.54 sq m) retail
Accesses	One on Cambrian Road, three on the re-aligned Greenbank Road
Phase of Development	Single
Buildout Year	2025
TIA Requirement	Full TIA Required

1.2 Trip Generation Trigger		
Land Use Type	Destination retail	
Development Size	4,562	G.F.A.
Trip Generation Trigger	Yes	

1.3 Location Triggers		
Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit or Spine Bicycle Networks?	No	
Is the development in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit-oriented Development (TOD) zone?	Yes	Barrhaven South Community Core design priority area
Location Trigger	Yes	

1.4. Safety Triggers	
Are posted speed limits on a boundary street 80 km/hr or greater?	No
Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway?	No
Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions)?	No
Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection?	No
Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site?	No
Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development?	No
Does the development include a drive-thru facility?	No
Safety Trigger	No

TIA Plan Reports

On 14 June 2017, the Council of the City of Ottawa adopted new Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. In adopting the guidelines, Council established a requirement for those preparing and delivering transportation impact assessments and reports to sign a letter of certification.

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that s/he meets the four criteria listed below.

CERTIFICATION

1. I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines;
2. I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;
3. I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and
4. I am either a licensed[1] or registered[2] professional in good standing, whose field of expertise [check √ appropriate field(s)] is either transportation engineering √ or transportation planning □.

[1,2] **License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.**

City Of Ottawa
Infrastructure Services and Community Sustainability
Planning and Growth Management
110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th fl.
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
Tel. : 613-580-2424
Fax: 613-560-6006

Ville d'Ottawa
Services d 'infrastructure et Viabilité des collectivités
Urbanisme et Gestion de la croissance
110, avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
Tél. : 613-580-2424
Télécopieur: 613-560-6006

Dated at ___Ottawa_____ this __20__ day of _____September_____, 2018.
(City)

Name: _____Andrew Harte_____
(Please Print)

Professional Title: _____Professional Engineer_____

_____
Signature of Individual certifier that s/he meets the above four criteria

Office Contact Information (Please Print)
Address: 6 Plaza Court
City / Postal Code: Ottawa / K2H 7W1
Telephone / Extension: (613) 697-3797
E-Mail Address: Andrew.Harte@CGHTransportation.com

Appendix B

Turning Movement Counts

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study Diagram



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision



Comments

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision



Comments

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision



Comments

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 201

Total Observed U-Turns

Northbound: 1 Southbound: 0

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

AADT Factor .90

	RIVER MIST RD								CAMBRIAN RD								
	Northbound				Southbound				Eastbound				Westbound				
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	112	19	133	**264**	42	6	25	**73**	**337**	12	198	38	**248**	35	227	35	**297**	**545**	**882**
08:00 09:00	113	47	100	**260**	54	19	25	**98**	**358**	13	226	45	**284**	56	246	36	**338**	**622**	**980**
09:00 10:00	82	9	107	**198**	22	10	16	**48**	**246**	9	149	28	**186**	46	173	21	**240**	**426**	**672**
11:30 12:30	40	5	71	**116**	16	7	11	**34**	**150**	12	160	25	**197**	47	157	17	**221**	**418**	**568**
12:30 13:30	24	6	55	**85**	11	1	14	**26**	**111**	8	150	34	**192**	41	140	26	**207**	**399**	**510**
15:00 16:00	57	17	80	**154**	50	15	20	**85**	**239**	17	229	65	**311**	85	167	38	**290**	**601**	**840**
16:00 17:00	61	13	87	**161**	32	15	15	**62**	**223**	20	371	76	**467**	121	254	54	**429**	**896**	**1119**
17:00 18:00	69	14	101	**184**	34	15	20	**69**	**253**	24	355	102	**481**	127	248	63	**438**	**919**	**1172**
Sub Total	558	130	734	**1422**	261	88	146	**495**	**1917**	115	1838	413	**2366**	558	1612	290	**2460**	**4826**	**6743**
U Turns				**1**				**0**	**1**				**0**				**0**	**0**	**1**
Total	558	130	734	**1423**	261	88	146	**495**	**1918**	115	1838	413	**2366**	558	1612	290	**2460**	**4826**	**6744**
EQ 12Hr	776	181	1020	**1978**	363	122	203	**688**	**2666**	160	2555	574	**3289**	776	2241	403	**3419**	**6708**	**9374**
Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor.														**1.39**					
AVG 12Hr	658	153	865	**1678**	308	104	172	**584**	**2399**	136	2167	487	**2790**	658	1901	342	**2900**	**6037**	**8437**
Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr. totals by the AADT factor.														**0.9**					
AVG 24Hr	862	201	1134	**2198**	403	136	225	**765**	**2963**	178	2839	638	**3654**	862	2490	448	**3799**	**7453**	**10416**
Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr. totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.														**1.31**					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

		RIVER MIST RD									CAMBRIAN RD									
		Northbound				Southbound					Eastbound				Westbound					
Time Period		LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	24	4	33	61	10	0	4	14	1	3	50	7	60	9	57	2	68	1	203
07:15	07:30	22	5	37	64	10	2	7	19	3	2	53	9	64	11	46	8	65	3	212
07:30	07:45	28	5	30	63	13	2	7	22	2	4	43	11	58	7	61	12	80	2	223
07:45	08:00	38	5	33	76	9	2	7	18	2	3	52	11	66	8	63	13	84	2	244
08:00	08:15	32	12	28	72	9	1	10	20	5	5	57	12	74	12	65	14	91	5	257
08:15	08:30	33	28	22	83	26	6	6	38	5	4	56	10	70	10	58	15	83	5	274
08:30	08:45	18	5	21	44	14	7	3	24	4	2	63	16	81	19	61	3	83	4	232
08:45	09:00	30	2	29	61	5	5	6	16	1	2	50	7	59	15	62	4	81	1	217
09:00	09:15	32	7	52	91	9	5	4	18	4	1	49	12	62	13	66	5	84	4	255
09:15	09:30	18	0	18	36	9	2	3	14	0	5	38	3	46	13	38	5	56	0	152
09:30	09:45	14	1	26	41	2	1	3	6	1	1	37	3	41	13	34	7	54	1	142
09:45	10:00	18	1	11	30	2	2	6	10	1	2	25	10	37	7	35	4	46	1	123
11:30	11:45	16	0	21	37	2	3	5	10	3	2	38	10	50	13	46	2	61	3	158
11:45	12:00	7	1	8	16	5	1	5	11	1	2	39	4	45	10	41	5	56	1	128
12:00	12:15	9	3	20	32	7	2	1	10	1	2	47	5	54	12	41	4	57	1	153
12:15	12:30	8	1	22	31	2	1	0	3	5	6	36	6	48	12	29	6	47	5	129
12:30	12:45	10	2	16	29	2	0	5	7	1	2	41	6	49	8	38	7	53	1	138
12:45	13:00	7	0	7	14	6	1	4	11	1	1	40	12	53	12	36	2	50	1	128
13:00	13:15	2	3	17	22	2	0	4	6	3	3	33	8	44	10	30	6	46	3	118
13:15	13:30	5	1	15	21	1	0	1	2	2	2	36	8	46	11	36	11	58	2	127
15:00	15:15	10	2	11	23	21	3	4	28	7	4	61	11	76	18	37	10	65	7	192
15:15	15:30	7	5	14	26	12	4	10	26	2	3	52	16	71	25	40	9	74	2	197
15:30	15:45	12	2	23	37	8	7	2	17	4	6	67	18	91	16	45	7	68	4	213
15:45	16:00	28	8	32	68	9	1	4	14	3	4	49	20	73	26	45	12	83	3	238
16:00	16:15	18	3	24	45	11	4	3	18	2	7	91	17	115	30	63	14	107	2	285
16:15	16:30	8	3	18	29	8	5	5	18	5	3	75	21	99	27	63	12	102	5	248
16:30	16:45	16	3	23	42	7	5	5	17	0	5	119	18	142	29	65	14	108	0	309
16:45	17:00	19	4	22	45	6	1	2	9	3	5	86	20	111	35	63	14	112	3	277
17:00	17:15	13	5	40	58	8	4	5	17	2	6	83	31	120	24	67	14	105	2	300
17:15	17:30	21	3	18	42	8	2	3	13	2	4	85	25	114	35	65	22	122	2	291
17:30	17:45	12	3	21	36	10	5	9	24	3	5	105	23	133	36	58	16	110	3	303
17:45	18:00	23	3	22	48	8	4	3	15	2	9	82	23	114	32	58	11	101	2	278
Total:		558	130	734	1423	261	88	146	495	81	115	1838	413	2366	558	1612	290	2460	81	6,744

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

		RIVER MIST RD			CAMBRIAN RD			
Time Period		Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
08:15	08:30	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
09:30	09:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
15:15	15:30	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
16:00	16:15	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		6	4	**10**	2	2	4	14

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

		RIVER MIST RD			CAMBRIAN RD			
Time Period		NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	1	2	**3**	1	4	**5**	**8**
07:15	07:30	0	3	**3**	7	1	**8**	**11**
07:30	07:45	0	5	**5**	1	4	**5**	**10**
07:45	08:00	0	6	**6**	0	0	**0**	**6**
08:00	08:15	1	19	**20**	3	11	**14**	**34**
08:15	08:30	0	8	**8**	0	13	**13**	**21**
08:30	08:45	4	6	**10**	7	7	**14**	**24**
08:45	09:00	4	8	**12**	2	8	**10**	**22**
09:00	09:15	0	0	**0**	0	1	**1**	**1**
09:15	09:30	0	1	**1**	0	3	**3**	**4**
09:30	09:45	0	1	**1**	0	2	**2**	**3**
09:45	10:00	1	1	**2**	0	3	**3**	**5**
11:30	11:45	2	2	**4**	23	4	**27**	**31**
11:45	12:00	0	2	**2**	0	0	**0**	**2**
12:00	12:15	2	5	**7**	2	4	**6**	**13**
12:15	12:30	0	4	**4**	2	3	**5**	**9**
12:30	12:45	1	1	**2**	0	1	**1**	**3**
12:45	13:00	2	2	**4**	1	3	**4**	**8**
13:00	13:15	0	4	**4**	4	3	**7**	**11**
13:15	13:30	0	1	**1**	0	0	**0**	**1**
15:00	15:15	3	9	**12**	6	30	**36**	**48**
15:15	15:30	0	3	**3**	8	5	**13**	**16**
15:30	15:45	2	8	**10**	0	8	**8**	**18**
15:45	16:00	1	12	**13**	8	3	**11**	**24**
16:00	16:15	3	6	**9**	3	6	**9**	**18**
16:15	16:30	2	7	**9**	1	4	**5**	**14**
16:30	16:45	1	2	**3**	4	0	**4**	**7**
16:45	17:00	1	9	**10**	4	4	**8**	**18**
17:00	17:15	1	2	**3**	1	6	**7**	**10**
17:15	17:30	0	0	**0**	0	6	**6**	**6**
17:30	17:45	1	6	**7**	3	10	**13**	**20**
17:45	18:00	0	2	**2**	1	7	**8**	**10**
Total	.....	33	147	**180**	92	164	**256**	**436**

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

		RIVER MIST RD									CAMBRIAN RD									
		Northbound				Southbound					Eastbound				Westbound					
Time Period		LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	1	2	1	**4**	3	4	0	**7**	**11**	**12**
07:15	07:30	0	0	3	**3**	0	0	0	**0**	**3**	0	7	2	**9**	2	4	1	**7**	**16**	**19**
07:30	07:45	1	0	1	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	0	3	0	**3**	1	4	1	**6**	**9**	**11**
07:45	08:00	2	0	0	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	1	7	2	**10**	2	5	0	**7**	**17**	**19**
08:00	08:15	0	3	1	**4**	0	0	1	**1**	**5**	0	3	1	**4**	2	4	1	**7**	**11**	**16**
08:15	08:30	1	2	0	**3**	2	0	0	**2**	**5**	0	5	0	**5**	1	4	1	**6**	**11**	**16**
08:30	08:45	0	0	3	**3**	0	1	0	**1**	**4**	0	7	0	**7**	3	8	0	**11**	**18**	**22**
08:45	09:00	1	0	0	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	1	4	2	**7**	1	8	0	**9**	**16**	**17**
09:00	09:15	3	0	1	**4**	0	0	0	**0**	**4**	0	0	1	**1**	1	8	0	**9**	**10**	**14**
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	**0**	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	0	3	0	**3**	1	1	0	**2**	**5**	**5**
09:30	09:45	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	0	5	0	**5**	2	2	1	**5**	**10**	**11**
09:45	10:00	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	0	4	0	**4**	2	2	0	**4**	**8**	**9**
11:30	11:45	0	0	2	**2**	1	0	0	**1**	**3**	0	6	1	**7**	2	1	0	**3**	**10**	**13**
11:45	12:00	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	2	1	0	**3**	**3**	**4**
12:00	12:15	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	1	3	1	**5**	1	1	0	**2**	**7**	**8**
12:15	12:30	0	1	3	**4**	0	1	0	**1**	**5**	0	1	0	**1**	1	2	0	**3**	**4**	**9**
12:30	12:45	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	0	**0**	**1**	0	4	0	**4**	1	1	1	**3**	**7**	**8**
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	**0**	0	0	1	**1**	**1**	1	4	1	**6**	1	2	1	**4**	**10**	**11**
13:00	13:15	0	0	2	**2**	0	0	1	**1**	**3**	0	4	1	**5**	1	0	0	**1**	**6**	**9**
13:15	13:30	1	0	1	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	1	5	0	**6**	1	4	0	**5**	**11**	**13**
15:00	15:15	1	0	1	**2**	5	0	0	**5**	**7**	1	4	2	**7**	1	1	0	**2**	**9**	**16**
15:15	15:30	0	0	1	**1**	0	0	1	**1**	**2**	0	2	1	**3**	1	2	0	**3**	**6**	**8**
15:30	15:45	1	0	3	**4**	0	0	0	**0**	**4**	0	2	1	**3**	1	5	0	**6**	**9**	**13**
15:45	16:00	1	0	1	**2**	0	0	1	**1**	**3**	0	7	0	**7**	1	3	0	**4**	**11**	**14**
16:00	16:15	1	0	1	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	0	6	0	**6**	1	3	1	**5**	**11**	**13**
16:15	16:30	2	0	2	**4**	0	1	0	**1**	**5**	0	1	0	**1**	0	6	0	**6**	**7**	**12**
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	**0**	0	0	0	**0**	**0**	0	1	0	**1**	1	2	0	**3**	**4**	**4**
16:45	17:00	0	0	3	**3**	0	0	0	**0**	**3**	0	2	1	**3**	0	0	0	**0**	**3**	**6**
17:00	17:15	0	0	2	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	0	2	0	**2**	1	1	0	**2**	**4**	**6**
17:15	17:30	0	0	2	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	0	3	0	**3**	0	0	0	**0**	**3**	**5**
17:30	17:45	1	0	2	**3**	0	0	0	**0**	**3**	0	2	0	**2**	1	1	0	**2**	**4**	**7**
17:45	18:00	0	0	2	**2**	0	0	0	**0**	**2**	0	2	0	**2**	0	1	0	**1**	**3**	**5**
Total:	None	16	6	43	**65**	8	3	5	**16**	**81**	7	111	18	**136**	39	91	8	**138**	**274**	**355**

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CAMBRIAN RD @ RIVER MIST RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 **WO No:** 38918

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total

Time Period		RIVER MIST RD Northbound U-Turn Total	RIVER MIST RD Southbound U-Turn Total	CAMBRIAN RD Eastbound U-Turn Total	CAMBRIAN RD Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	1	0	0	0	1
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
Total		1	0	0	0	1

ACCURATE TRUSTED TRAFFIC DATA

Turning Movement Count

Summary Report Including Peak Hours, AADT and Expansion Factors

All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — Barrhaven West, ON

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 19, 2022 **Start Time:** 0700 **AADT Factor:** 0.9

Weather AM: Clear +2° C **Survey Duration:** 8 Hrs. **Survey Hours:** 0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800

Weather PM: Overcast 6° C **Surveyor(s):** T. Carmody

	Cambrian Rd. Eastbound					Cambrian Rd. Westbound						Grand Canal St. Northbound					Grand Canal St. Southbound						
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	UT	E/B Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	W/B Tot	Street Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	N/B Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	S/B Tot	Street Total	Grand Total
0700-0800	16	175	30	0	221	17	244	15	0	276	497	98	8	60	0	166	46	4	28	0	78	244	741
0800-0900	32	193	71	0	296	30	246	21	0	297	593	95	64	53	0	212	65	74	45	0	184	396	989
0900-1000	13	174	41	0	228	36	235	17	0	288	516	68	8	47	0	123	37	9	16	0	62	185	701
1130-1230	10	180	40	0	230	28	193	15	0	236	466	39	6	47	0	92	16	7	18	0	41	133	599
1230-1330	19	182	58	0	259	42	213	15	0	270	529	46	1	36	0	83	19	9	17	0	45	128	657
1500-1600	28	245	93	0	366	36	202	29	0	267	633	74	34	49	0	157	46	80	22	0	148	305	938
1600-1700	37	302	105	0	444	62	271	46	0	379	823	56	14	52	0	122	51	17	26	0	94	216	1039
1700-1800	30	308	67	0	405	63	293	43	0	399	804	50	10	51	0	111	34	13	18	0	65	176	980
Totals	185	1759	505	0	2449	314	1897	201	0	2412	4861	526	145	395	0	1066	314	213	190	0	717	1783	6644

Equivalent 12 & 24-hour Vehicle Volumes Including the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) Factor Applicable to the Day and Month of the Turning Movement Count

Expansion factors are applied exclusively to standard weekday 8-hour turning movement counts conducted during the hours of 0700h - 1000h, 1130h - 1330h and 1500h - 1800h

Equivalent 12-hour vehicle volumes. These volumes are calculated by multiplying the 8-hour totals by the 8 ➡12 expansion factor of 1.39																						
Equ. 12 Hr	257	2445	702	0	3404	436	2637	279	0	3353	6757	731	202	549	0	1482	436	296	264	0	997	2478	9235
Average daily 12-hour vehicle volumes. These volumes are calculated by multiplying the equivalent 12-hour totals by the AADT factor of: 0.9																						
AADT 12-hr	231	2201	632	0	3064	393	2373	251	0	3017	6081	658	181	494	0	1334	393	266	238	0	897	2231	8312
24-Hour AADT. These volumes are calculated by multiplying the average daily 12-hour vehicle volumes by the 12 ➡24 expansion factor of 1.31																						
AADT 24 Hr	303	2883	828	0	4013	515	3109	329	0	3953	7966	862	238	647	0	1747	515	349	311	0	1175	2922	10888

AADT and expansion factors provided by the City of Ottawa

AM Peak Hour Factor ➡ 0.92												Highest Hourly Vehicle Volume Between 0700h & 1000h										
AM Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
0815-0915	27	209	73	0	309	37	255	21	0	313	622	101	64	59	0	224	71	77	43	0	191	415	1037
OFF Peak Hour Factor ➡ 0.94												Highest Hourly Vehicle Volume Between 1130h & 1330h										
OFF Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
1230-1330	19	182	58	0	259	42	213	15	0	270	529	46	1	36	0	83	19	9	17	0	45	128	657
PM Peak Hour Factor ➡ 0.98												Highest Hourly Vehicle Volume Between 1500h & 1800h										
PM Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
1545-1645	35	282	107	0	424	48	277	42	0	367	791	78	25	56	0	159	51	26	20	0	97	256	1047

Comments:

OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 28.37% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Some drivers, from each direction, ignored the stop signs and when busy, assessing right-of-way was more difficult. There were 2 vehicle/vehicle conflicts and 1 vehicle/pedestrian conflict during this traffic count. A school crossing guard was present before and after school - primarily assisting pedestrians in the north and west side crossings.

Notes:

1. Includes all vehicle types except bicycles, electric bicycles, and electric scooters.
2. When expansion and AADT factors are applied, the results will differ slightly due to rounding.

ACCURATE TRUSTED TRAFFIC DATA

Turning Movement Count
Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — **Barrhaven West, ON**

All Vehicles (Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Wednesday, October 19, 2022
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ► 3

Grand Canal St. / Cambrian Rd.

(A) 717 | 1248 | 531
717: 190 213 314 0
(B) 2449 | 2613 | 5062
2449: 0 185 1759 505
(C) 1066 | 1032 | 2098
1066: 0 526 145 395
(D) 2412 | 4880 | 2468
2412: 201 1897 314 0

Total Volume
6644
Approaching Intersection
(A+B+C+D)

All Pedestrian Crossings
109 | 129 | 2 | 14
Total 254

AM Peak Hour Flow Diagram

(A) 191 | 303 | 112
191: 43 77 71 0
(B) 309 | 399 | 339
309: 0 27 209 73
(C) 224 | 187 | 411
224: 0 101 64 59
(D) 313 | 375 | 652
313: 21 255 37 0
652: 0 35 282 107
1037 (A+B+C+D)

Pedestrian Crossings During AM Peak Hour: 17 | 57 | 1 | 0

Summary - AM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	0815-0915
Volume	1037
PHF	0.92

PM Peak Hour Flow Diagram

(A) 97 | 199 | 102
97: 20 26 51 0
(B) 424 | 375 | 389
424: 0 78 25 56
(C) 159 | 181 | 340
159: 42 277 48 0
(D) 367 | 799 | 756
367: 42 277 48 0
1047 (A+B+C+D)

Pedestrian Crossings During PM Peak Hour: 14 | 7 | 0 | 0

Summary - PM Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1545-1645
Volume	1047
PHF	0.98

ACCURATE TRUSTED TRAFFIC DATA

Turning Movement Count
Summary, OFF and EVENING Peak Hour Flow Diagrams
All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — **Barrhaven West, ON**

All Vehicles (Except Bicycles & Electric Scooters)

Wednesday, October 19, 2022
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ► 3

Grand Canal St. / Cambrian Rd.

(A) 717 | 1248 | 531
717: 190 213 314 0
(B) 2449 | 2613 | 5062
2449: 0 185 1759 505
(C) 1066 | 1032 | 2098
1066: 0 526 145 395
(D) 2412 | 4880 | 2468
2412: 201 1897 314 0

Total Volume
6644
Approaching Intersection
(A+B+C+D)

All Pedestrian Crossings
109 | 129 | 2 | 14
Total 254

Off Peak Hour Flow Diagram

(A) 45 | 80 | 35
45: 17 9 19 0
(B) 259 | 276 | 237
259: 0 19 182 58
(C) 83 | 109 | 192
83: 0 46 1 36
(D) 270 | 535 | 507
270: 15 213 42 0
657 (A+B+C+D)

Pedestrian Crossings During OFF Peak Hour: 11 | 5 | 0 | 2

Summary - OFF Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	1230-1330
Volume	657
PHF	0.94

Evening Peak Hour Flow Diagram

(A) 0 | 0 | 0
0: 0 0 0 0
(B) 0 | 0 | 0
0: 0 0 0 0
(C) 0 | 0 | 0
0: 0 0 0 0
(D) 0 | 0 | 0
0: 0 0 0 0
0 (A+B+C+D)

Pedestrian Crossings During EVGN Peak Hour: N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A

Summary - EVNG Peak Hr.	
Peak Hr.	N/A
Volume	N/A
PHF	N/A

Turning Movement Count
Heavy Vehicle Summary (FHWA Class 4-13)
Flow Diagram

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — **Barrhaven West, ON**

Heavy Vehicles
(Construction Vehicles, Heavy Trucks, Buses & School Buses).
Heavy vehicle totals ARE included in the all vehicles summary and flow diagrams.

Wednesday, October 19, 2022
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ► 3



	Cambrian Rd. Eastbound					Cambrian Rd. Westbound					Grand Canal St. Northbound					Grand Canal St. Southbound					
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	UT	EB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	WB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	NB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	SB Tot	GR Tot
0700-0800	1	7	5	0	13	1	10	1	0	12	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	28
0800-0900	1	5	1	0	7	0	10	2	0	12	5	1	1	0	7	3	2	2	0	7	33
0900-1000	0	8	4	0	12	0	7	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	23
1130-1230	0	7	0	0	7	1	10	1	0	12	1	1	2	0	4	1	1	0	0	2	25
1230-1330	0	4	6	0	10	0	12	0	0	12	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	26
1500-1600	0	8	1	0	9	1	8	2	0	11	6	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	0	3	29
1600-1700	1	10	4	0	15	0	13	1	0	14	2	0	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	3	35
1700-1800	0	4	0	0	4	0	4	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9
Totals	3	53	21	0	77	3	74	8	0	85	16	3	6	0	25	9	10	2	0	21	208

Comments:
OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 28.37% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Some drivers, from each direction, ignored the stop signs and when busy, assessing right-of-way was more difficult. There were 2 vehicle/vehicle conflicts and 1 vehicle/pedestrian conflict during this traffic count. A school crossing guard was present before and after school - primarily assisting pedestrians in the north and west side crossings.

Turning Movement Count
All Buses Summary (FHWA Class 4 ONLY)
Flow Diagram

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — **Barrhaven West, ON**

Buses ONLY
(Transit, Intercity, School Buses & Other Buses).
Bus totals ARE included in the all vehicles summary , heavy vehicle summary & flow diagrams.

Wednesday, October 19, 2022
0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800
8 Hour Survey
City of Ottawa Ward ► 3



	Cambrian Rd. Eastbound					Cambrian Rd. Westbound					Grand Canal St. Northbound					Grand Canal St. Southbound					
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	UT	EB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	WB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	NB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	SB Tot	GR Tot
0700-0800	1	3	3	0	7	0	3	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	13
0800-0900	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	6	2	1	1	0	4	2	1	2	0	5	16
0900-1000	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	5
1130-1230	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
1230-1330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1500-1600	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	7
1600-1700	1	4	1	0	6	0	5	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	15
1700-1800	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Totals	3	10	4	0	17	0	17	7	0	24	2	1	2	0	5	7	4	2	0	13	59

Comments:
OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 28.37% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Some drivers, from each direction, ignored the stop signs and when busy, assessing right-of-way was more difficult. There were 2 vehicle/vehicle conflicts and 1 vehicle/pedestrian conflict during this traffic count. A school crossing guard was present before and after school - primarily assisting pedestrians in the north and west side crossings.

Turning Movement Count
Bicycle Summary
Flow Diagram

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — **Barrhaven West, ON**



	Cambrian Rd. Eastbound					Cambrian Rd. Westbound					Grand Canal St. Northbound					Grand Canal St. Southbound					
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	UT	EB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	WB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	NB Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	SB Tot	GR Tot
0700-0800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0800-0900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0900-1000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1130-1230	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1230-1330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1500-1600	0	2	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
1600-1700	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
1700-1800	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Totals	0	3	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	8

Comments:
OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 28.37% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Some drivers, from each direction, ignored the stop signs and when busy, assessing right-of-way was more difficult. There were 2 vehicle/vehicle conflicts and 1 vehicle/pedestrian conflict during this traffic count. A school crossing guard was present before and after school - primarily assisting pedestrians in the north and west side crossings.

Turning Movement Count
Pedestrian Crossings Summary
and Flow Diagram

Cambrian Road & Grand Canal Street — **Barrhaven West, ON**



Time Period	West Side Crossing Cambrian Rd.	East Side Crossing Cambrian Rd.	Street Total	South Side Crossing Grand Canal St.	North Side Crossing Grand Canal St.	Street Total	Grand Total
0700-0800	5	0	5	2	19	21	26
0800-0900	57	1	58	1	19	20	78
0900-1000	3	0	3	3	12	15	18
1130-1230	5	0	5	3	10	13	18
1230-1330	5	0	5	2	11	13	18
1500-1600	45	1	46	2	11	13	59
1600-1700	6	0	6	0	9	9	15
1700-1800	3	0	3	1	18	19	22
Totals	129	2	131	14	109	123	254

Comments:
OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 28.37% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Some drivers, from each direction, ignored the stop signs and when busy, assessing right-of-way was more difficult. There were 2 vehicle/vehicle conflicts and 1 vehicle/pedestrian conflict during this traffic count. A school crossing guard was present before and after school - primarily assisting pedestrians in the north and west side crossings.

AM Peak Hour



PM Peak Hour



Stantec

Mattamy Homes

Half Moon Bay West

Figure 9: Net New Site Traffic Volumes

Appendix C

Synchro Intersection Worksheets – Existing Conditions

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	20.3
Intersection LOS	C

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↕			↕			↕			↕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	14	308	49	49	247	45	121	50	104	58	16	26
Future Vol, veh/h	14	308	49	49	247	45	121	50	104	58	16	26
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	10	6	16	9	4	2	10	4	3	6	4
Mvmt Flow	16	342	54	54	274	50	134	56	116	64	18	29
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	23.1	21.9	17.5	12.5
HCM LOS	C	C	C	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	44%	4%	14%	58%
Vol Thru, %	18%	83%	72%	16%
Vol Right, %	38%	13%	13%	26%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	275	371	341	100
LT Vol	121	14	49	58
Through Vol	50	308	247	16
RT Vol	104	49	45	26
Lane Flow Rate	306	412	379	111
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.555	0.71	0.676	0.224
Departure Headway (Hd)	6.538	6.201	6.421	7.26
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	550	581	561	491
Service Time	4.603	4.263	4.485	5.349
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.556	0.709	0.676	0.226
HCM Control Delay	17.5	23.1	21.9	12.5
HCM Lane LOS	C	C	C	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	3.4	5.8	5.1	0.9

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	2.2

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	↰	↑	↱		↰↑	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	9	225	397	14	64	32
Future Vol, veh/h	9	225	397	14	64	32
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	5	0	0	5	2	2
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	21	6	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	10	250	441	16	71	36

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	462	0	-	0	726	456
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	454	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	272	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1099	-	-	-	391	604
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	640	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	774	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1094	-	-	-	384	600
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	384	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	631	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	770	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.3	0	15.9
HCM LOS			C

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	1094	-	-	-	436
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.009	-	-	-	0.245
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.3	-	-	-	15.9
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	C
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0	-	-	-	0.9

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	16.6
Intersection LOS	C

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		⇕			⇕			⇕			⇕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	27	209	73	37	255	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Future Vol, veh/h	27	209	73	37	255	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	2	2	2	4	10	5	2	2	4	3	5
Mvmt Flow	30	232	81	41	283	23	112	71	66	79	86	48
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	17.7	18.2	15.1	14.1
HCM LOS	C	C	C	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	45%	9%	12%	37%
Vol Thru, %	29%	68%	81%	40%
Vol Right, %	26%	24%	7%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	224	309	313	191
LT Vol	101	27	37	71
Through Vol	64	209	255	77
RT Vol	59	73	21	43
Lane Flow Rate	249	343	348	212
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.456	0.587	0.6	0.394
Departure Headway (Hd)	6.597	6.15	6.207	6.688
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	543	584	581	535
Service Time	4.666	4.21	4.267	4.761
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.459	0.587	0.599	0.396
HCM Control Delay	15.1	17.7	18.2	14.1
HCM Lane LOS	C	C	C	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.4	3.8	4	1.9

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	24.7
Intersection LOS	C

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		⇕			⇕			⇕			⇕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	325	94	123	285	64	69	15	103	29	12	15
Future Vol, veh/h	20	325	94	123	285	64	69	15	103	29	12	15
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	22	361	104	137	317	71	77	17	114	32	13	17
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	25	30.3	13.6	11.4
HCM LOS	C	D	B	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	37%	5%	26%	52%
Vol Thru, %	8%	74%	60%	21%
Vol Right, %	55%	21%	14%	27%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	187	439	472	56
LT Vol	69	20	123	29
Through Vol	15	325	285	12
RT Vol	103	94	64	15
Lane Flow Rate	208	488	524	62
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.38	0.768	0.829	0.126
Departure Headway (Hd)	6.577	5.666	5.69	7.305
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	546	639	640	488
Service Time	4.631	3.683	3.708	5.38
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.381	0.764	0.819	0.127
HCM Control Delay	13.6	25	30.3	11.4
HCM Lane LOS	B	C	D	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.8	7.1	8.8	0.4

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1.6

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	32	394	373	48	43	18
Future Vol, veh/h	32	394	373	48	43	18
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	6	0	0	6	3	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	75	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	0	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	36	438	414	53	48	20

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All	473	0	-	0	960	447
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	447	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	513	-
Critical Hdwy	4.12	-	-	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	2.218	-	-	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	1089	-	-	-	285	612
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	644	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	601	-
Platoon blocked, %		-	-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	1083	-	-	-	272	609
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	272	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	619	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	597	-

Approach	EB	WB	SB
HCM Control Delay, s	0.6	0	19
HCM LOS			C

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBLn1
Capacity (veh/h)	1083	-	-	-	325
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.033	-	-	-	0.209
HCM Control Delay (s)	8.4	-	-	-	19
HCM Lane LOS	A	-	-	-	C
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	-	0.8

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	17.8
Intersection LOS	C

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	35	282	107	48	277	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Future Vol, veh/h	35	282	107	48	277	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	4	4	2	5	2	3	2	2	4	4	2
Mvmt Flow	39	313	119	53	308	47	87	28	62	57	29	22
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	20.8	18.3	12.6	11.6
HCM LOS	C	C	B	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	49%	8%	13%	53%
Vol Thru, %	16%	67%	75%	27%
Vol Right, %	35%	25%	11%	21%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	159	424	367	97
LT Vol	78	35	48	51
Through Vol	25	282	277	26
RT Vol	56	107	42	20
Lane Flow Rate	177	471	408	108
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.32	0.708	0.642	0.205
Departure Headway (Hd)	6.523	5.52	5.671	6.848
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	553	660	640	525
Service Time	4.549	3.52	3.671	4.877
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.32	0.714	0.637	0.206
HCM Control Delay	12.6	20.8	18.3	11.6
HCM Lane LOS	B	C	C	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.4	5.8	4.6	0.8

Appendix D

Collision Data

Accident Date	Accident Year	Accident Time	Location	Environment Condition	Light	Traffic Control	Traffic Control Condition	Classification Of Accident	Initial Impact Type	Road Surface Condition	# Vehicles	# Motorcycles	# Bicycles	# Pedestrians
2019-10-10	2019	15:43	APOLUNE ST @ CAMBRIAN RD (0018897)	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	02 - Stop sign	01 - Functioning	03 - P.D. only	02 - Angle	01 - Dry	2	0	0	0
2016-01-30	2016	4:40	CAMBRIAN RD btwn BORRISOKANE RD & GRAND CANAL ST (__7N36UU)	03 - Snow	07 - Dark	10 - No control	0	02 - Non-fatal injury	07 - SMV other	03 - Loose snow	1	0	0	0

Appendix E

Greenbank Road and Southwest Transitway Extension Preliminary Design

CGH TRANSPORTATION



GREENBANK ROAD AND SOUTH WEST TRANSITWAY EXTENSION

MARKETPLACE AVENUE TO BARNSDALE ROAD

PRELIMINARY DESIGN

PLAN AND PROFILE
CAMBRIAN ROAD

CARINA DUCLOS, P. ENG. — Director, Infrastructure Services
JOSEE VALLEE, P. ENG. — Senior Engineer, Infrastructure Projects, Infrastructure Services

Stantec

Contract No. CP000521 | Dwg. No. 20
Sheet 20 of 32
Asset No.
Asset Group
Des. J.B. | Chkd. L.G.C.
Dwn. G.R.L. | Chkd. J.B.
Utility Circ. No. | Index No.
Const. Inspector
Scale: HORIZONTAL 1:250 — VERTICAL 1:50

NOTE: The location of utilities is approximate only, the exact location should be determined by consulting the municipal authorities and utility companies concerned. The contractor shall prove the location of utilities and shall be responsible for adequate protection from damage.

REVISIONS

No.	Description	By	Date
1	ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY GEOMETRY REVIEW	L.G.C.	28/03/2022
2	ISSUED FOR 50% DESIGN COORDINATION	L.G.C.	22/07/2022
3	ISSUED FOR PRELIMINARY DESIGN CIRCULATION	L.G.C.	08/03/2023

NOTES:

1. THE TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY AND DESIGN INFORMATION IN THIS DRAWING IS BASED ON THE NAD83_CSRS AND CGVD-2013 DATUM REFERENCES. A HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL SHIFT IS REQUIRED TO TRANSLATE THIS INFORMATION TO NAD83_ORIGINAL (CGVD28-78) COORDINATE SYSTEM.
2. CENTER MEDIANS HAVE BEEN SHADED FOR DRAWING CLARITY PURPOSES ONLY. GENERALLY, ALL CENTER MEDIANS ARE INTENDED TO BE PLACED AS A MONOLITHIC CONCRETE MEDIAN CAP, SC10 WHERE THE PROPOSED WIDTHS ARE LESS THAN 2.0m AND SURFACED WITH SOD AND/OR SOFT LANDSCAPING WHERE WIDTHS EXCEED 2.0m.

Appendix F

Background Development Volumes

CGH TRANSPORTATION

Figure 9: New Site Generation Auto Volumes – Blended Rate Mode Shares



Figure 8: New Site Generation Auto Volumes



Figure 7: New 2022 Site Traffic Auto Volumes



Figure 8: New 2027 Site Traffic Auto Volumes



Figure 9: New Site Generation Auto Volumes



Figure 25: 2024 New Site Generated Auto Volumes





IBI

Meadows Phase 4
Transportation Impact Assessment

EXHIBIT 13
Site Generated AM & PM
Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

PROJECT No.: 112881
DATE: FEBRUARY 2018
SCALE: NTS

Forecasting
October 30, 2018

Figure 10 and **Figure 11** summarize the trip assignment to the study area road network during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

Figure 10 Trip Assignment – 2022 Interim – Weekday AM Peak Hour



Figure 11 Trip Assignment – 2022 Interim – Weekday PM Peak Hour



Figure 30: Net New Site Generation Auto Volumes



Figure 9: Site Generated Trips





Figure 9: 2024 New Site Generation Auto Volumes



Figure 10: 2029 New Site Generated Auto Volumes



Table 2 – Auto Trip Generation - Original Draft Plan (October 2017)

Land Use Code	Units	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
		Inbound	Outbound	Rate	Inbound	Outbound	Rate
Step 1: ITE Trip Generation Rates							
210 - Single Detached Houses	518	25%	75%	0.72	63%	37%	0.89
230 - Residential Condo / Townhouse	427	17%	83%	0.39	67%	33%	0.46
220 - Apartments	92	20%	80%	0.53	65%	35%	0.74
Step 2: Auto Trips Generated							
210 - Single Detached Houses	518	93	280	373	290	171	461
230 - Residential Condo / Townhouse	427	28	139	167	131	65	196
220 - Apartments	92	10	39	49	44	24	68
Total Development		**131**	**458**	**589**	**465**	**260**	**725**

As can be seen in **Table 2,** the original draft plan was projected to generate 589 and 725 auto trips (two-way) during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

Table 3 – Auto Trip Generation - Revised Draft Plan (February 2019)

Land Use Code	Units	AM Peak Hour			PM Peak Hour		
		Inbound	Outbound	Rate	Inbound	Outbound	Rate
Step 1: ITE Trip Generation Rates							
210 - Single Detached Houses	446	25%	75%	0.72	63%	37%	0.89
230 - Residential Condo / Townhouse	455	17%	83%	0.39	67%	33%	0.46
220 - Apartments	72	20%	80%	0.53	65%	35%	0.74
Step 2: Auto Trips Generated							
210 - Single Detached Houses	446	80	241	321	250	147	397
230 - Residential Condo / Townhouse	455	30	147	177	140	69	209
220 - Apartments	72	8	30	38	34	19	53
Total Development		**118**	**418**	**536**	**424**	**235**	**659**

As can be seen in **Table 3**, the revised draft plan is expected to generate 536 and 659 auto trips (two-way) during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

CONCLUSION

A comparison of the original and revised plan shows that the proposed subdivision's collector road network and intersections with the existing boundary road network remain unchanged.



Figure 8: Site Traffic Assignment (Volumes)



Table 11: Trip Assignment

To/From	Interim	Beyond 2031 (informational only)
	Via	Via
North	8% River Mist (N) 2% Apolune (N)	5% River Mist (N) 5% Re-Aligned Greenbank (N)
South	25% Elevation (S) 5% River Mist (S)	20% Re-Aligned Greenbank (S) 5% River Mist (S) 5% Elevation (S)
East	30% Cambrian (E) 20% River Mist (S)	30% Cambrian Rd (E) 20% River Mist (S)
West	3% Cambrian (W) 7% Apolune (N)	3% Cambrian (W) 7% Apolune (N)
Total	**100%**	**100%**

Figure 11: New Site Generation Auto Volumes



Figure 12: Pass-by Volumes



Appendix G

Synchro Intersection Worksheets – 2025 Future Background Conditions

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	85.2
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	498	85	49	383	51	181	50	104	71	16	48
Future Vol, veh/h	24	498	85	49	383	51	181	50	104	71	16	48
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	10	6	16	9	4	2	10	4	3	6	4
Mvmt Flow	24	498	85	49	383	51	181	50	104	71	16	48
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	145.7	66.7	29.8	17
HCM LOS	F	F	D	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	54%	4%	10%	53%
Vol Thru, %	15%	82%	79%	12%
Vol Right, %	31%	14%	11%	36%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	335	607	483	135
LT Vol	181	24	49	71
Through Vol	50	498	383	16
RT Vol	104	85	51	48
Lane Flow Rate	335	607	483	135
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.718	1.234	0.985	0.326
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.33	7.319	7.888	9.478
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	439	504	464	382
Service Time	6.33	5.319	5.888	7.478
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.763	1.204	1.041	0.353
HCM Control Delay	29.8	145.7	66.7	17
HCM Lane LOS	D	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	5.6	23.9	12.6	1.4

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	247	129	53	451	93	270	0	112	172	0	42
Future Volume (vph)	14	247	129	53	451	93	270	0	112	172	0	42
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1476	0	1658	1482	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.370			0.504			0.730			0.685		
Satd. Flow (perm)	646	1476	0	880	1482	0	1274	1483	0	1076	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		52			20			564			322	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	376	0	53	544	0	270	112	0	172	42	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	39.3	39.3		39.3	39.3		30.7	30.7		30.7	30.7	
Total Split (%)	56.1%	56.1%		56.1%	56.1%		43.9%	43.9%		43.9%	43.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	39.1	39.1		39.1	39.1		19.3	19.3		19.3	19.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.56		0.56	0.56		0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.44		0.11	0.65		0.77	0.14		0.58	0.07	
Control Delay	9.6	11.0		9.8	16.7		37.7	0.3		29.0	0.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.6	11.0		9.8	16.7		37.7	0.3		29.0	0.2	
LOS	A	B		A	B		D	A		C	A	
Approach Delay		10.9			16.1			26.7			23.4	
Approach LOS		B			B			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.8	22.7		3.1	44.4		31.9	0.0		19.1	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.6	49.2		9.4	#95.7		51.5	0.0		33.5	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		192.0			258.6			97.9			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	361	847		491	837		451	889		381	733	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.44		0.11	0.65		0.60	0.13		0.45	0.06	

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.4	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.2%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	508	22	9	591	15	6
Future Vol, veh/h	508	22	9	591	15	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	508	22	9	591	15	6

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	530	0	1128	519
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	519	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	609	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1037	-	226	557
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	597	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	543	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1037	-	223	557
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	223	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	597	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	536	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	19.5
HCM LOS			C

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	269	-	-	1037	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.078	-	-	0.009	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	19.5	-	-	8.5	0
HCM Lane LOS	C	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	60.3
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		⇕			⇕			⇕			⇕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	27	445	73	37	473	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Future Vol, veh/h	27	445	73	37	473	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	2	2	2	4	10	5	2	2	4	3	5
Mvmt Flow	27	445	73	37	473	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	81.1	71.3	19.7	18.2
HCM LOS	F	F	C	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	45%	5%	7%	37%
Vol Thru, %	29%	82%	89%	40%
Vol Right, %	26%	13%	4%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	224	545	531	191
LT Vol	101	27	37	71
Through Vol	64	445	473	77
RT Vol	59	73	21	43
Lane Flow Rate	224	545	531	191
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.504	1.053	1.017	0.438
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.445	7.071	7.151	8.617
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	430	518	510	420
Service Time	6.445	5.071	5.151	6.617
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.521	1.052	1.041	0.455
HCM Control Delay	19.7	81.1	71.3	18.2
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.8	16	14.4	2.2

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	83.8
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		⇕			⇕			⇕			⇕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	36	475	142	123	467	76	113	15	103	38	12	29
Future Vol, veh/h	36	475	142	123	467	76	113	15	103	38	12	29
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	36	475	142	123	467	76	113	15	103	38	12	29
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	92.7	106.4	17.5	13.6
HCM LOS	F	F	C	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	49%	6%	18%	48%
Vol Thru, %	6%	73%	70%	15%
Vol Right, %	45%	22%	11%	37%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	231	653	666	79
LT Vol	113	36	123	38
Through Vol	15	475	467	12
RT Vol	103	142	76	29
Lane Flow Rate	231	653	666	79
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.468	1.101	1.14	0.177
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.818	6.38	6.424	8.741
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	464	575	573	413
Service Time	5.818	4.38	4.424	6.741
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.498	1.136	1.162	0.191
HCM Control Delay	17.5	92.7	106.4	13.6
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.4	19.2	21.1	0.6

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	42	446	255	100	416	130	193	0	74	121	0	27
Future Volume (vph)	42	446	255	100	416	130	193	0	74	121	0	27
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1649	0	1658	1500	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.409			0.322			0.740			0.709		
Satd. Flow (perm)	710	1649	0	562	1500	0	1291	1483	0	1106	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		47			26			442			459	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	42	701	0	100	546	0	193	74	0	121	27	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	82.0	82.0		82.0	82.0		38.0	38.0		38.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)	68.3%	68.3%		68.3%	68.3%		31.7%	31.7%		31.7%	31.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	85.3	85.3		85.3	85.3		23.1	23.1		23.1	23.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.71	0.71		0.71	0.71		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.59		0.25	0.51		0.78	0.12		0.57	0.04	
Control Delay	7.4	11.6		9.4	10.5		65.9	0.4		53.1	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.4	11.6		9.4	10.5		65.9	0.4		53.1	0.1	
LOS	A	B		A	B		E	A		D	A	
Approach Delay		11.4			10.3			47.8			43.5	
Approach LOS		B			B			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.7	68.3		7.4	49.2		43.5	0.0		26.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.2	128.5		19.4	94.3		63.7	0.0		41.9	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		122.9			258.0			171.4			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	504	1185		399	1073		345	720		295	732	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.08	0.59		0.25	0.51		0.56	0.10		0.41	0.04	

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78

Intersection Signal Delay: 19.0	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.9%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	588	57	6	613	38	9
Future Vol, veh/h	588	57	6	613	38	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	588	57	6	613	38	9

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	645	0	1242	617
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	617	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	625	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	940	-	193	490
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	538	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	534	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	940	-	191	490
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	191	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	538	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	529	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	26.2
HCM LOS			D

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	216	-	-	940	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.218	-	-	0.006	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	26.2	-	-	8.9	0
HCM Lane LOS	D	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.8	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	57.9
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	35	495	107	48	517	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Future Vol, veh/h	35	495	107	48	517	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	4	4	2	5	2	3	2	2	4	4	2
Mvmt Flow	35	495	107	48	517	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	71.3	62.3	14.4	13.3
HCM LOS	F	F	B	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	49%	5%	8%	53%
Vol Thru, %	16%	78%	85%	27%
Vol Right, %	35%	17%	7%	21%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	159	637	607	97
LT Vol	78	35	48	51
Through Vol	25	495	517	26
RT Vol	56	107	42	20
Lane Flow Rate	159	637	607	97
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.33	1.038	1.003	0.212
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.694	6.014	6.102	8.131
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	471	605	601	444
Service Time	5.694	4.014	4.102	6.131
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.338	1.053	1.01	0.218
HCM Control Delay	14.4	71.3	62.3	13.3
HCM Lane LOS	B	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.4	16.8	15	0.8

Appendix H

Signal Warrant Calculation Sheet

CGH TRANSPORTATION

Cambrian Road @ River Mist Road
FB 2025

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	798	111%	111%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	195	115%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	603	84%	84%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	117	156%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ River Mist Road
FB 2030

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	813	113%	113%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	201	118%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	611	85%	85%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	121	162%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ River Mist Road
FT 2025

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	822	114%	114%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	201	118%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	621	86%	86%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	122	162%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ River Mist Road
FT 2030

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	837	116%	116%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	207	122%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	630	88%	88%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	126	168%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ Grand Canal Street
FB 2025

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	748	104%	99%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	168	99%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	580	81%	81%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	101	135%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ Grand Canal Street
FB 2030

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	759	105%	99%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	168	99%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	591	82%	82%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	101	135%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ Grand Canal Street
FT 2025

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	776	108%	100%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	170	100%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	606	84%	84%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	102	136%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Cambrian Road @ Grand Canal Street
FT 2030

Justification #7

Justification	Description	Minimum Requirement 1 Lane Highway		Minimum Requirement 2 or More Lanes		Compliance Sectional		Compliance Entire %	Signal
		Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Free Flow	Restr. Flow	Numerical	%		
1. Minimum Vehicular Volume	A. Vehicle volume, all approaches (average hour)	480	720	600	900	788	109%	100%	No
	B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour)	120	170	120	170	170	100%		
2. Delay to Cross Traffic	A. Vehicle volumes, major street (average hour)	480	720	600	900	617	86%	86%	No
	B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour)	50	75	50	75	102	136%		

Notes
1. Refer to OTM Book 12, pg 92, Mar 2012
2. Lowest section percentage governs justification
3. Average hourly volumes estimated from peak hour volumes, AHV = PM/2 or (AM + PM) / 4, including amplifcation factors
4. T-intersection factor corrected, applies only to 1B

Appendix I

Synchro Intersection Worksheets – 2030 Future Background Conditions

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	91.4
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	502	90	51	385	51	191	50	109	71	16	48
Future Vol, veh/h	24	502	90	51	385	51	191	50	109	71	16	48
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	10	6	16	9	4	2	10	4	3	6	4
Mvmt Flow	24	502	90	51	385	51	191	50	109	71	16	48
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	155.2	73	33.1	17.4
HCM LOS	F	F	D	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	55%	4%	10%	53%
Vol Thru, %	14%	81%	79%	12%
Vol Right, %	31%	15%	10%	36%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	350	616	487	135
LT Vol	191	24	51	71
Through Vol	50	502	385	16
RT Vol	109	90	51	48
Lane Flow Rate	350	616	487	135
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.755	1.257	1.007	0.331
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.422	7.453	8.038	9.692
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	434	493	453	374
Service Time	6.422	5.453	6.038	7.692
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.806	1.249	1.075	0.361
HCM Control Delay	33.1	155.2	73	17.4
HCM Lane LOS	D	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	6.3	24.7	13.2	1.4

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	256	129	53	463	93	270	0	112	172	0	42
Future Volume (vph)	14	256	129	53	463	93	270	0	112	172	0	42
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1475	0	1658	1483	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.361			0.497			0.730			0.685		
Satd. Flow (perm)	630	1475	0	867	1483	0	1274	1483	0	1076	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		50			20			551			311	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	385	0	53	556	0	270	112	0	172	42	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	39.3	39.3		39.3	39.3		30.7	30.7		30.7	30.7	
Total Split (%)	56.1%	56.1%		56.1%	56.1%		43.9%	43.9%		43.9%	43.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	39.1	39.1		39.1	39.1		19.3	19.3		19.3	19.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.56		0.56	0.56		0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.46		0.11	0.66		0.77	0.14		0.58	0.07	
Control Delay	9.6	11.2		9.9	17.3		37.7	0.4		29.0	0.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.6	11.2		9.9	17.3		37.7	0.4		29.0	0.2	
LOS	A	B		A	B		D	A		C	A	
Approach Delay		11.2			16.6			26.7			23.4	
Approach LOS		B			B			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.8	23.7		3.1	45.9		31.9	0.0		19.1	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.6	50.8		9.4	#107.4		51.5	0.0		33.5	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		192.0			258.6			97.9			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	352	846		484	837		451	881		381	726	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.46		0.11	0.66		0.60	0.13		0.45	0.06	

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.6	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.9%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	513	22	9	601	15	6
Future Vol, veh/h	513	22	9	601	15	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	513	22	9	601	15	6

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	535	0	1143	524
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	524	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	619	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1033	-	221	553
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	594	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	537	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1033	-	218	553
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	218	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	594	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	530	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	19.8
HCM LOS			C

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	264	-	-	1033	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.08	-	-	0.009	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	19.8	-	-	8.5	0
HCM Lane LOS	C	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	64.1
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		⇕			⇕			⇕			⇕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	27	449	78	37	485	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Future Vol, veh/h	27	449	78	37	485	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	2	2	2	4	10	5	2	2	4	3	5
Mvmt Flow	27	449	78	37	485	21	101	64	59	71	77	43
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	83.4	78.7	19.9	18.3
HCM LOS	F	F	C	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	45%	5%	7%	37%
Vol Thru, %	29%	81%	89%	40%
Vol Right, %	26%	14%	4%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	224	554	543	191
LT Vol	101	27	37	71
Through Vol	64	449	485	77
RT Vol	59	78	21	43
Lane Flow Rate	224	554	543	191
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.506	1.06	1.043	0.44
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.512	7.115	7.172	8.687
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	426	515	510	416
Service Time	6.512	5.115	5.172	6.687
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.526	1.076	1.065	0.459
HCM Control Delay	19.9	83.4	78.7	18.3
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.8	16.2	15.4	2.2

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	92.6
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		⇕			⇕			⇕			⇕	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	36	478	152	128	471	76	120	15	106	38	12	29
Future Vol, veh/h	36	478	152	128	471	76	120	15	106	38	12	29
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	36	478	152	128	471	76	120	15	106	38	12	29
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	104.5	116.7	18.3	13.9
HCM LOS	F	F	C	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	50%	5%	19%	48%
Vol Thru, %	6%	72%	70%	15%
Vol Right, %	44%	23%	11%	37%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	241	666	675	79
LT Vol	120	36	128	38
Through Vol	15	478	471	12
RT Vol	106	152	76	29
Lane Flow Rate	241	666	675	79
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.49	1.134	1.167	0.179
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.917	6.467	6.526	8.923
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	458	566	560	404
Service Time	5.917	4.467	4.526	6.923
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.526	1.177	1.205	0.196
HCM Control Delay	18.3	104.5	116.7	13.9
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.6	20.7	22.3	0.6

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	42	459	255	100	427	130	193	0	74	121	0	27
Future Volume (vph)	42	459	255	100	427	130	193	0	74	121	0	27
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1651	0	1658	1502	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.403			0.315			0.740			0.709		
Satd. Flow (perm)	699	1651	0	550	1502	0	1291	1483	0	1106	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		46			25			430			449	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	42	714	0	100	557	0	193	74	0	121	27	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	82.0	82.0		82.0	82.0		38.0	38.0		38.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)	68.3%	68.3%		68.3%	68.3%		31.7%	31.7%		31.7%	31.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	85.3	85.3		85.3	85.3		23.1	23.1		23.1	23.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.71	0.71		0.71	0.71		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.60		0.26	0.52		0.78	0.12		0.57	0.04	
Control Delay	7.4	11.9		9.6	10.7		65.9	0.4		53.1	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.4	11.9		9.6	10.7		65.9	0.4		53.1	0.1	
LOS	A	B		A	B		E	A		D	A	
Approach Delay		11.7			10.5			47.8			43.5	
Approach LOS		B			B			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.7	70.7		7.5	50.9		43.5	0.0		26.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.2	133.1		19.6	97.4		63.7	0.0		41.9	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		122.9			258.0			171.4			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	496	1186		391	1074		345	711		295	725	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.08	0.60		0.26	0.52		0.56	0.10		0.41	0.04	

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78

Intersection Signal Delay: 19.1	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.6%	ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15	

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	598	57	6	620	38	9
Future Vol, veh/h	598	57	6	620	38	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	598	57	6	620	38	9

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	655	0	1259	627
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	627	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	632	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	932	-	188	484
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	532	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	530	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	932	-	186	484
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	186	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	532	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	525	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	26.9
HCM LOS			D

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	211	-	-	932	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.223	-	-	0.006	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	26.9	-	-	8.9	0
HCM Lane LOS	D	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.8	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	62.3
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	35	498	117	48	528	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Future Vol, veh/h	35	498	117	48	528	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	4	4	2	5	2	3	2	2	4	4	2
Mvmt Flow	35	498	117	48	528	42	78	25	56	51	26	20
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	78.9	64.9	14.5	13.3
HCM LOS	F	F	B	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	49%	5%	8%	53%
Vol Thru, %	16%	77%	85%	27%
Vol Right, %	35%	18%	7%	21%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	159	650	618	97
LT Vol	78	35	48	51
Through Vol	25	498	528	26
RT Vol	56	117	42	20
Lane Flow Rate	159	650	618	97
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.327	1.064	1.013	0.21
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.744	6.006	6.119	8.184
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	467	611	599	441
Service Time	5.744	4.006	4.119	6.184
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.34	1.064	1.032	0.22
HCM Control Delay	14.5	78.9	64.9	13.3
HCM Lane LOS	B	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.4	18	15.4	0.8

Appendix J

Synchro Intersection Worksheets – 2025 Future Total Conditions

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	93.2
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	25	506	90	49	393	51	188	50	104	71	16	49
Future Vol, veh/h	25	506	90	49	393	51	188	50	104	71	16	49
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	10	6	16	9	4	2	10	4	3	6	4
Mvmt Flow	25	506	90	49	393	51	188	50	104	71	16	49
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	157.9	75	31.9	17.4
HCM LOS	F	F	D	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	55%	4%	10%	52%
Vol Thru, %	15%	81%	80%	12%
Vol Right, %	30%	14%	10%	36%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	342	621	493	136
LT Vol	188	25	49	71
Through Vol	50	506	393	16
RT Vol	104	90	51	49
Lane Flow Rate	342	621	493	136
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.74	1.264	1.015	0.333
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.458	7.435	8.014	9.677
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	431	491	458	374
Service Time	6.458	5.435	6.014	7.677
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.794	1.265	1.076	0.364
HCM Control Delay	31.9	157.9	75	17.4
HCM Lane LOS	D	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	6	25.1	13.5	1.4

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	248	129	59	452	95	270	0	120	175	0	42
Future Volume (vph)	14	248	129	59	452	95	270	0	120	175	0	42
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1475	0	1658	1482	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.368			0.504			0.730			0.680		
Satd. Flow (perm)	642	1475	0	880	1482	0	1274	1483	0	1068	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		51			21			562			322	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	377	0	59	547	0	270	120	0	175	42	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	39.3	39.3		39.3	39.3		30.7	30.7		30.7	30.7	
Total Split (%)	56.1%	56.1%		56.1%	56.1%		43.9%	43.9%		43.9%	43.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	39.1	39.1		39.1	39.1		19.3	19.3		19.3	19.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.56		0.56	0.56		0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.45		0.12	0.65		0.77	0.15		0.60	0.07	
Control Delay	9.6	11.0		10.0	16.8		37.7	0.4		29.7	0.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.6	11.0		10.0	16.8		37.7	0.4		29.7	0.2	
LOS	A	B		A	B		D	A		C	A	
Approach Delay		11.0			16.2			26.2			24.0	
Approach LOS		B			B			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.8	22.8		3.4	44.6		31.9	0.0		19.4	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.6	49.3		10.2	#97.6		51.5	0.0		34.2	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		192.0			194.3			97.9			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	358	846		491	837		451	888		378	733	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.45		0.12	0.65		0.60	0.14		0.46	0.06	

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.4	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.4%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	524	22	9	612	15	6
Future Vol, veh/h	524	22	9	612	15	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	524	22	9	612	15	6

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	546	0	1165	535
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	535	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	630	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1023	-	215	545
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	587	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	531	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1023	-	212	545
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	212	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	587	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	524	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	20.3
HCM LOS			C

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	257	-	-	1023	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.082	-	-	0.009	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	20.3	-	-	8.6	0
HCM Lane LOS	C	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	525	18	30	597	18	21
Future Vol, veh/h	525	18	30	597	18	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	525	18	30	597	18	21

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	543	0	1191	534
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	534	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	657	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1026	-	207	546
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	588	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	516	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1026	-	198	546
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	198	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	588	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	493	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.4	11.9
HCM LOS			B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	546	-	-	1026	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.038	-	-	0.029	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.9	-	-	8.6	-
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	68.1
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	28	458	74	37	490	21	103	64	59	71	77	45
Future Vol, veh/h	28	458	74	37	490	21	103	64	59	71	77	45
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	2	2	2	4	10	5	2	2	4	3	5
Mvmt Flow	28	458	74	37	490	21	103	64	59	71	77	45
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	89.3	83.7	20.3	18.6
HCM LOS	F	F	C	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	46%	5%	7%	37%
Vol Thru, %	28%	82%	89%	40%
Vol Right, %	26%	13%	4%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	226	560	548	193
LT Vol	103	28	37	71
Through Vol	64	458	490	77
RT Vol	59	74	21	45
Lane Flow Rate	226	560	548	193
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.512	1.078	1.059	0.445
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.592	7.172	7.227	8.765
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	423	510	504	413
Service Time	6.592	5.172	5.227	6.765
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.534	1.098	1.087	0.467
HCM Control Delay	20.3	89.3	83.7	18.6
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.8	16.9	16	2.2

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	103
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	494	154	123	486	76	125	15	103	38	12	31
Future Vol, veh/h	38	494	154	123	486	76	125	15	103	38	12	31
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	38	494	154	123	486	76	125	15	103	38	12	31
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	120	126.4	18.7	14.1
HCM LOS	F	F	C	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	51%	6%	18%	47%
Vol Thru, %	6%	72%	71%	15%
Vol Right, %	42%	22%	11%	38%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	243	686	685	81
LT Vol	125	38	123	38
Through Vol	15	494	486	12
RT Vol	103	154	76	31
Lane Flow Rate	243	686	685	81
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.497	1.176	1.192	0.184
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.042	6.531	6.607	9.061
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	452	563	555	399
Service Time	6.042	4.531	4.607	7.061
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.538	1.218	1.234	0.203
HCM Control Delay	18.7	120	126.4	14.1
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.7	22.8	23.5	0.7

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	42	448	255	116	418	136	193	0	90	127	0	27
Future Volume (vph)	42	448	255	116	418	136	193	0	90	127	0	27
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1651	0	1658	1498	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.405			0.321			0.740			0.699		
Satd. Flow (perm)	703	1651	0	560	1498	0	1291	1483	0	1091	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		47			27			440			457	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	42	703	0	116	554	0	193	90	0	127	27	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	82.0	82.0		82.0	82.0		38.0	38.0		38.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)	68.3%	68.3%		68.3%	68.3%		31.7%	31.7%		31.7%	31.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	85.3	85.3		85.3	85.3		23.1	23.1		23.1	23.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.71	0.71		0.71	0.71		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.59		0.29	0.52		0.78	0.14		0.60	0.04	
Control Delay	7.4	11.7		10.1	10.6		65.9	0.5		55.3	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.4	11.7		10.1	10.6		65.9	0.5		55.3	0.1	
LOS	A	B		B	B		E	A		E	A	
Approach Delay		11.4			10.6			45.1			45.6	
Approach LOS		B			B			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.7	68.5		8.9	50.4		43.5	0.0		27.6	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.2	128.8		23.1	96.2		63.7	0.0		44.1	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		186.8			199.2			171.4			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	499	1186		397	1072		345	719		291	731	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.08	0.59		0.29	0.52		0.56	0.13		0.44	0.04	

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78

Intersection Signal Delay: 19.1	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.0%	ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15	

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	628	57	6	652	38	9
Future Vol, veh/h	628	57	6	652	38	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	628	57	6	652	38	9

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	685	0	1321	657
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	657	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	664	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	908	-	173	465
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	516	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	512	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	908	-	171	465
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	171	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	516	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	507	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	29.2
HCM LOS			D

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	195	-	-	908	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.241	-	-	0.007	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	29.2	-	-	9	0
HCM Lane LOS	D	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.9	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1.4

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	622	47	63	629	47	63
Future Vol, veh/h	622	47	63	629	47	63
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	622	47	63	629	47	63

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	669	0	1401	646
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	646	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	755	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	921	-	154	472
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	522	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	464	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	921	-	138	472
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	138	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	522	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	415	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.8	13.8
HCM LOS			B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	472	-	-	921	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.133	-	-	0.068	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	13.8	-	-	9.2	-
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	-	-	0.2	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	74.7
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	528	110	48	550	42	81	25	56	51	26	23
Future Vol, veh/h	38	528	110	48	550	42	81	25	56	51	26	23
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	4	4	2	5	2	3	2	2	4	4	2
Mvmt Flow	38	528	110	48	550	42	81	25	56	51	26	23
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	94.4	78.5	14.9	13.7
HCM LOS	F	F	B	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	50%	6%	7%	51%
Vol Thru, %	15%	78%	86%	26%
Vol Right, %	35%	16%	7%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	162	676	640	100
LT Vol	81	38	48	51
Through Vol	25	528	550	26
RT Vol	56	110	42	23
Lane Flow Rate	162	676	640	100
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.337	1.11	1.059	0.219
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.917	6.116	6.221	8.352
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	457	602	589	433
Service Time	5.917	4.116	4.221	6.352
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.354	1.123	1.087	0.231
HCM Control Delay	14.9	94.4	78.5	13.7
HCM Lane LOS	B	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.5	20.2	17.4	0.8

Appendix K

Synchro Intersection Worksheets – 2030 Future Total Conditions

CGH TRANSPORTATION

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	98.6
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	25	510	95	51	395	51	198	50	109	71	16	49
Future Vol, veh/h	25	510	95	51	395	51	198	50	109	71	16	49
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	7	10	6	16	9	4	2	10	4	3	6	4
Mvmt Flow	25	510	95	51	395	51	198	50	109	71	16	49
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	165.2	81.7	35.3	17.8
HCM LOS	F	F	E	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	55%	4%	10%	52%
Vol Thru, %	14%	81%	79%	12%
Vol Right, %	31%	15%	10%	36%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	357	630	497	136
LT Vol	198	25	51	71
Through Vol	50	510	395	16
RT Vol	109	95	51	49
Lane Flow Rate	357	630	497	136
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.775	1.281	1.037	0.337
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.536	7.563	8.148	9.876
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	428	485	447	367
Service Time	6.536	5.563	6.148	7.876
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.834	1.299	1.112	0.371
HCM Control Delay	35.3	165.2	81.7	17.8
HCM Lane LOS	E	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	6.6	25.6	14.2	1.5

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	14	257	129	59	464	95	270	0	120	175	0	42
Future Volume (vph)	14	257	129	59	464	95	270	0	120	175	0	42
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1475	0	1658	1483	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.359			0.496			0.730			0.680		
Satd. Flow (perm)	626	1475	0	866	1483	0	1274	1483	0	1068	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		50			20			549			311	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	386	0	59	559	0	270	120	0	175	42	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	39.3	39.3		39.3	39.3		30.7	30.7		30.7	30.7	
Total Split (%)	56.1%	56.1%		56.1%	56.1%		43.9%	43.9%		43.9%	43.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	39.1	39.1		39.1	39.1		19.3	19.3		19.3	19.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.56	0.56		0.56	0.56		0.28	0.28		0.28	0.28	
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.46		0.12	0.67		0.77	0.15		0.60	0.07	
Control Delay	9.6	11.2		10.0	17.4		37.7	0.4		29.7	0.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.6	11.2		10.0	17.4		37.7	0.4		29.7	0.2	
LOS	A	B		B	B		D	A		C	A	
Approach Delay		11.2			16.7			26.2			24.0	
Approach LOS		B			B			C			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.8	23.8		3.4	46.4		31.9	0.0		19.4	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.6	51.2		10.3	#108.3		51.5	0.0		34.2	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		192.0			196.7			97.9			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	349	846		483	837		451	879		378	726	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.46		0.12	0.67		0.60	0.14		0.46	0.06	

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 65
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.6	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.1%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.4

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	529	22	9	622	15	6
Future Vol, veh/h	529	22	9	622	15	6
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	529	22	9	622	15	6

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	551	0	1180	540
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	540	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	640	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1019	-	210	542
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	584	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	525	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1019	-	207	542
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	207	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	584	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	518	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	20.6
HCM LOS			C

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	251	-	-	1019	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.084	-	-	0.009	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	20.6	-	-	8.6	0
HCM Lane LOS	C	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.3	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	534	18	30	609	18	21
Future Vol, veh/h	534	18	30	609	18	21
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	534	18	30	609	18	21

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	552	0	1212	543
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	543	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	669	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1018	-	201	540
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	582	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	509	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1018	-	192	540
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	192	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	582	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	486	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.4	11.9
HCM LOS			B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	540	-	-	1018	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.039	-	-	0.029	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	11.9	-	-	8.6	-
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.1	-	-	0.1	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	74.1
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	28	462	79	37	502	21	103	64	59	71	77	45
Future Vol, veh/h	28	462	79	37	502	21	103	64	59	71	77	45
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	4	2	2	2	4	10	5	2	2	4	3	5
Mvmt Flow	28	462	79	37	502	21	103	64	59	71	77	45
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	96.1	92.5	20.3	18.7
HCM LOS	F	F	C	C

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	46%	5%	7%	37%
Vol Thru, %	28%	81%	90%	40%
Vol Right, %	26%	14%	4%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	226	569	560	193
LT Vol	103	28	37	71
Through Vol	64	462	502	77
RT Vol	59	79	21	45
Lane Flow Rate	226	569	560	193
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.509	1.099	1.087	0.442
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.675	7.194	7.242	8.853
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	418	506	504	409
Service Time	6.675	5.194	5.242	6.853
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.541	1.125	1.111	0.472
HCM Control Delay	20.3	96.1	92.5	18.7
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	C
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.8	17.8	17.2	2.2

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	112.8
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	497	164	128	490	76	132	15	106	38	12	31
Future Vol, veh/h	38	497	164	128	490	76	132	15	106	38	12	31
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	7	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	38	497	164	128	490	76	132	15	106	38	12	31
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	133.2	137.9	19.4	14.3
HCM LOS	F	F	C	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	52%	5%	18%	47%
Vol Thru, %	6%	71%	71%	15%
Vol Right, %	42%	23%	11%	38%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	253	699	694	81
LT Vol	132	38	128	38
Through Vol	15	497	490	12
RT Vol	106	164	76	31
Lane Flow Rate	253	699	694	81
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.514	1.21	1.221	0.185
Departure Headway (Hd)	8.139	6.607	6.697	9.238
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	445	552	548	391
Service Time	6.139	4.607	4.697	7.238
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.569	1.266	1.266	0.207
HCM Control Delay	19.4	133.2	137.9	14.3
HCM Lane LOS	C	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	2.9	24.4	24.8	0.7

Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Volume (vph)	42	461	255	116	429	136	193	0	90	127	0	27
Future Volume (vph)	42	461	255	116	429	136	193	0	90	127	0	27
Satd. Flow (prot)	1658	1653	0	1658	1500	0	1658	1483	0	1492	1483	0
Flt Permitted	0.398			0.314			0.740			0.699		
Satd. Flow (perm)	691	1653	0	548	1500	0	1291	1483	0	1091	1483	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		46			26			428			447	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	42	716	0	116	565	0	193	90	0	127	27	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6			4			8	
Permitted Phases	2			6			4			8		
Detector Phase	2	2		6	6		4	4		8	8	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0		10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	23.7	23.7		23.7	23.7		30.4	30.4		30.4	30.4	
Total Split (s)	82.0	82.0		82.0	82.0		38.0	38.0		38.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)	68.3%	68.3%		68.3%	68.3%		31.7%	31.7%		31.7%	31.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2		4.2	4.2		3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.5	1.5		1.5	1.5		2.6	2.6		2.6	2.6	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.7	5.7		5.7	5.7		5.9	5.9		5.9	5.9	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	C-Min	C-Min		C-Min	C-Min		None	None		None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	85.3	85.3		85.3	85.3		23.1	23.1		23.1	23.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.71	0.71		0.71	0.71		0.19	0.19		0.19	0.19	
v/c Ratio	0.09	0.60		0.30	0.53		0.78	0.14		0.60	0.04	
Control Delay	7.5	11.9		10.3	10.8		65.9	0.5		55.3	0.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.5	11.9		10.3	10.8		65.9	0.5		55.3	0.1	
LOS	A	B		B	B		E	A		E	A	
Approach Delay		11.7			10.7			45.1			45.6	
Approach LOS		B			B			D			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)	2.7	70.9		9.0	52.1		43.5	0.0		27.6	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	8.2	133.3		23.4	99.5		63.7	0.0		44.1	0.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		186.8			199.1			171.4			184.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	37.5			37.5			30.0			30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	491	1187		389	1073		345	710		291	724	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.09	0.60		0.30	0.53		0.56	0.13		0.44	0.04	

Intersection Summary
Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78

Intersection Signal Delay: 19.2	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.7%	ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15	

Splits and Phases: 2: Elevation/Apolune & Cambrian



Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	638	57	6	659	38	9
Future Vol, veh/h	638	57	6	659	38	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	638	57	6	659	38	9

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	695	0	1338	667
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	667	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	671	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	901	-	169	459
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	510	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	508	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	901	-	167	459
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	167	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	510	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	502	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.1	30.1
HCM LOS			D

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	190	-	-	901	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.247	-	-	0.007	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	30.1	-	-	9	0
HCM Lane LOS	D	-	-	A	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.9	-	-	0	-

Intersection	
Int Delay, s/veh	1.4

Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations						
Traffic Vol, veh/h	635	47	63	640	47	63
Future Vol, veh/h	635	47	63	640	47	63
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	635	47	63	640	47	63

Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	682	0	1425	659
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	659	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	766	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	911	-	149	464
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	515	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	459	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	911	-	133	464
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	133	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	515	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	410	-

Approach	EB	WB	NB
HCM Control Delay, s	0	0.8	14
HCM LOS			B

Minor Lane/Major Mvmt	NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)	464	-	-	911	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.136	-	-	0.069	-
HCM Control Delay (s)	14	-	-	9.2	-
HCM Lane LOS	B	-	-	A	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)	0.5	-	-	0.2	-

Intersection	
Intersection Delay, s/veh	80.8
Intersection LOS	F

Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations												
Traffic Vol, veh/h	38	531	120	48	561	42	81	25	56	51	26	23
Future Vol, veh/h	38	531	120	48	561	42	81	25	56	51	26	23
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heavy Vehicles, %	3	4	4	2	5	2	3	2	2	4	4	2
Mvmt Flow	38	531	120	48	561	42	81	25	56	51	26	23
Number of Lanes	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

Approach	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposing Approach	WB	EB	SB	NB
Opposing Lanes	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Left	SB	NB	EB	WB
Conflicting Lanes Left	1	1	1	1
Conflicting Approach Right	NB	SB	WB	EB
Conflicting Lanes Right	1	1	1	1
HCM Control Delay	102.1	84.9	15	13.8
HCM LOS	F	F	B	B

Lane	NBLn1	EBLn1	WBLn1	SBLn1
Vol Left, %	50%	6%	7%	51%
Vol Thru, %	15%	77%	86%	26%
Vol Right, %	35%	17%	6%	23%
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop
Traffic Vol by Lane	162	689	651	100
LT Vol	81	38	48	51
Through Vol	25	531	561	26
RT Vol	56	120	42	23
Lane Flow Rate	162	689	651	100
Geometry Grp	1	1	1	1
Degree of Util (X)	0.337	1.132	1.079	0.219
Departure Headway (Hd)	7.982	6.132	6.246	8.423
Convergence, Y/N	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cap	454	599	586	429
Service Time	5.982	4.132	4.246	6.423
HCM Lane V/C Ratio	0.357	1.15	1.111	0.233
HCM Control Delay	15	102.1	84.9	13.8
HCM Lane LOS	B	F	F	B
HCM 95th-tile Q	1.5	21.4	18.4	0.8

Appendix L

TDM Checklist

CGH TRANSPORTATION

TDM Measures Checklist:
Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

Legend

BASIC — The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users

BETTER — The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

★ — The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

		TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	
		1.1 Program coordinator	
BASIC	★	1.1.1 Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator	☐
		1.2 Travel surveys	
BETTER		1.2.1 Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress	☐
		2. WALKING AND CYCLING	
		2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes & destinations	
BASIC		2.1.1 Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances	☐
		2.2 Bicycle skills training	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	★	2.2.1 Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses	☐
		2.3 Valet bike parking	
		Visitor travel	
BETTER		2.3.1 Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐

		TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		3. TRANSIT	
		3.1 Transit information	
BASIC		3.1.1 Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances	☐
BASIC		3.1.2 Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information	☐
BETTER		3.1.3 Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances	☐
		3.2 Transit fare incentives	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER		3.2.1 Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit	☐
BETTER	★	3.2.2 Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER		3.2.3 Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐
		3.3 Enhanced public transit service	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER		3.3.1 Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends)	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER		3.3.2 Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐
		3.4 Private transit service	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER		3.4.1 Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends)	☐
		Visitor travel	
BETTER		3.4.2 Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐

			TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		4.	**RIDESHARING**	
		4.1	**Ridematching service**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	4.1.1	Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch.com	☐
		4.2	**Carpool parking price incentives**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		4.2.1	Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools	☐
		4.3	**Vanpool service**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		4.3.1	Provide a vanpooling service for long-distance commuters	☐
		5.	**CARSHARING & BIKESHARING**	
		5.1	**Bikeshare stations & memberships**	
BETTER		5.1.1	Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors	☐
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		5.1.2	Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel	☐
		5.2	**Carshare vehicles & memberships**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		5.2.1	Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants	☐
BETTER		5.2.2	Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel	☐
		6.	**PARKING**	
		6.1	**Priced parking**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	6.1.1	Charge for long-term parking (daily, weekly, monthly)	☐
BASIC		6.1.2	Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi-tenant sites	☐
			Visitor travel	
BETTER		6.1.3	Charge for short-term parking (hourly)	☐

TDM Measures Checklist **City of Ottawa**
Version 1.0 (30 June 2017)

			TDM measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if proposed & add descriptions
		7.	**TDM MARKETING & COMMUNICATIONS**	
		7.1	**Multimodal travel information**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	7.1.1	Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students	☑
			Visitor travel	
BETTER	★	7.1.2	Include multimodal travel option information in invitations or advertising that attract visitors or customers (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	☐
		7.2	**Personalized trip planning**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER	★	7.2.1	Offer personalized trip planning to new/relocating employees	☐
		7.3	**Promotions**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		7.3.1	Deliver promotions and incentives to maintain awareness, build understanding, and encourage trial of sustainable modes	☐
		8.	**OTHER INCENTIVES & AMENITIES**	
		8.1	**Emergency ride home**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER	★	8.1.1	Provide emergency ride home service to non-driving commuters	☐
		8.2	**Alternative work arrangements**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	8.2.1	Encourage flexible work hours	☐
BETTER		8.2.2	Encourage compressed workweeks	☐
BETTER	★	8.2.3	Encourage telework	☐
		8.3	**Local business travel options**	
			Commuter travel	
BASIC	★	8.3.1	Provide local business travel options that minimize the need for employees to bring a personal car to work	☐
		8.4	**Commuter incentives**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		8.4.1	Offer employees a taxable, mode-neutral commuting allowance	☐
		8.5	**On-site amenities**	
			Commuter travel	
BETTER		8.5.1	Provide on-site amenities/services to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands	☐

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist:
Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

Legend

REQUIRED	The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed
BASIC	The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
BETTER	The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	1.	**WALKING & CYCLING: ROUTES**	
	1.1	**Building location & access points**	
BASIC	1.1.1	Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances	☐
BASIC	1.1.2	Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations	☑
BASIC	1.1.3	Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort	☑
	1.2	**Facilities for walking & cycling**	
REQUIRED	1.2.1	Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)*	☑
REQUIRED	1.2.2	Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.12)*	☑

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
REQUIRED	1.2.3	Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)*	☑
REQUIRED	1.2.4	Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)*	☑
REQUIRED	1.2.5	Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and on-road cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.11)*	☑
BASIC	1.2.6	Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops	☐
BASIC	1.2.7	Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible	☐
BASIC	1.2.8	Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility	☐
	1.3	**Amenities for walking & cycling**	
BASIC	1.3.1	Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails	☐
BASIC	1.3.2	Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious)	☐

	TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*		Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	2.	**WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES**	
	2.1	**Bicycle parking**	
REQUIRED	2.1.1	Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible *(see Official Plan policy 4.3.6)*	☑
REQUIRED	2.1.2	Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well-used areas *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☑
REQUIRED	2.1.3	Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☑
BASIC	2.1.4	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met), plus the expected peak number of customer/visitor cyclists	☐
BETTER	2.1.5	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter and customer/visitor cyclists, plus an additional buffer (e.g. 25 percent extra) to encourage other cyclists and ensure adequate capacity in peak cycling season	☐
	2.2	**Secure bicycle parking**	
REQUIRED	2.2.1	Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single office building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☐
BETTER	2.2.2	Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met)	☐
	2.3	**Shower & change facilities**	
BASIC	2.3.1	Provide shower and change facilities for the use of active commuters	☐
BETTER	2.3.2	In addition to shower and change facilities, provide dedicated lockers, grooming stations, drying racks and laundry facilities for the use of active commuters	☐
	2.4	**Bicycle repair station**	
BETTER	2.4.1	Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided)	☐

	TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*		Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	3.	**TRANSIT**	
	3.1	**Customer amenities**	
BASIC	3.1.1	Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops	☐
BASIC	3.1.2	Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter	☐
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building	☐
	4.	**RIDESHARING**	
	4.1	**Pick-up & drop-off facilities**	
BASIC	4.1.1	Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones	☐
	4.2	**Carpool parking**	
BASIC	4.2.1	Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools	☐
BETTER	4.2.2	At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement	☐
	5.	**CARSHARING & BIKESHARING**	
	5.1	**Carshare parking spaces**	
BETTER	5.1.1	Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted non-residential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces *(see Zoning By-law Section 94)*	☐
	5.2	**Bikeshare station location**	
BETTER	5.2.1	Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection	☐

		TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: *Non-residential developments*	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	6.	**PARKING**	
	6.1	**Number of parking spaces**	
REQUIRED	6.1.1	Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for	☑
BASIC	6.1.2	Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking	☐
BASIC	6.1.3	Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly *(see Zoning By-law Section 104)*	☐
BETTER	6.1.4	Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking *(see Zoning By-law Section 111)*	☐
	6.2	**Separate long-term & short-term parking areas**	
BETTER	6.2.1	Separate short-term and long-term parking areas using signage or physical barriers, to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage employees from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa)	☐
	7.	**OTHER**	
	7.1	**On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips**	
BETTER	7.1.1	Provide on-site amenities to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands	☐

Appendix M

Turning Templates

CGH TRANSPORTATION



HSU IN-BOUND MOVEMENTS



HSU OUT-BOUND MOVEMENTS

Notes:





HSU

	mm
Width	: 2600
Track	: 2600
Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Steering Angle	: 40.0

REV:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
08	Issued for Review	EA	2025-03-12

STATUS:

CGH Transportation
6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON
K2H 7W1
(343) 999-9117

CLIENT: Loblaws

ARCHITECT:

SITE: 3845 Cambrian Road

TITLE: Turning Movement Analysis
HSU Turning Movements

SCALE AT A3:	DATE:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
NTS	2025-03-12	EA	AH

PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:	REVISION:
2022-024	001	08



WB-20 INBOUND MOVEMENTS



WB-20 OUTBOUND MOVEMENTS

Notes:





WB-20

mm

Tractor Width	: 2600	Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Trailer Width	: 2600	Steering Angle	: 28.2
Tractor Track	: 2600	Articulating Angle	: 70.0
Trailer Track	: 2600		

REV:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
08	Issued for Review	EA	2025-03-12

STATUS:

CGH Transportation
6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON
K2H 7W1
(343) 999-9117

CLIENT: Loblaws

ARCHITECT:

SITE: 3845 Cambrian Road

TITLE: Turning Movement Analysis
WB-20 Access Turning Movements

SCALE AT A3:	DATE:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
NTS	2025-03-12	EA	AH

PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:	REVISION:
2022-024	002	08







Notes:





WB–20

mm

Tractor Width	: 2600	Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Trailer Width	: 2600	Steering Angle	: 28.2
Tractor Track	: 2600	Articulating Angle	: 70.0
Trailer Track	: 2600		

REV:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
08	Issued for Review	EA	2025-03-12

STATUS:

CGH Transportation
6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON
K2H 7W1
(343) 999-9117

CLIENT: Loblaws

ARCHITECT:

SITE: 3845 Cambrian Road

TITLE: Turning Movement Analysis
WB-20 Loading Turning Movements

SCALE AT A3:	DATE:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
NTS	2025-03-12	EA	AH

PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:	REVISION:
2022-024	003	08



HSU IN-BOUND MOVEMENTS



HSU OUT-BOUND MOVEMENTS

Notes:





HSU

	mm
Width	: 2600
Track	: 2600
Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Steering Angle	: 40.0

REV:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
08	Issued for Review	EA	2025-03-12

STATUS:

CGH Transportation
6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON
K2H 7W1
(343) 999-9117

CLIENT: Loblaws Companies Ltd.
Loblaws

ARCHITECT:

SITE: 3845 Cambrian Road

TITLE: Future Re-Aligned Greenbank
HSU Turning Movements

SCALE AT A3:	DATE:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
NTS	2025-03-12	EA	AH

PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:	REVISION:
2022-024	004	08

WB-20 INBOUND MOVEMENTS



WB-20 OUTBOUND MOVEMENTS



Notes:





WB-20

mm

Tractor Width	: 2600	Lock to Lock Time	: 6.0
Trailer Width	: 2600	Steering Angle	: 28.2
Tractor Track	: 2600	Articulating Angle	: 70.0
Trailer Track	: 2600		

REV:	DESCRIPTION:	BY:	DATE:
08	Issued for Review	EA	2025-03-12

STATUS:

CGH Transportation
6 Plaza Court
Ottawa, ON
K2H 7W1
(343) 999-9117

CLIENT: Loblaws

ARCHITECT:

SITE: 3845 Cambrian Road

TITLE: Future Re-Aligned Greenbank
WB-20 Turning Movements

SCALE AT A3:	DATE:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
NTS	2025-03-12	EA	AH

PROJECT NO:	DRAWING NO:	REVISION:
2022-024	005	08

Appendix N

MMLOS Worksheets

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Intersections Form

Consultant	**CGH Transportation Inc**	**Project**	**2022-024**
Scenario	**Future**	**Date**	**8/21/2023**
Comments			

INTERSECTIONS		**Cambrian Rd at ApoluneSt / Elevation Rd**			
	Crossing Side	**NORTH**	**SOUTH**	**EAST**	**WEST**
Pedestrian	Lanes	4	4	5	5
	Median	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m
	Conflicting Left Turns	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	No	No	No	No
	Right Turn Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel
	Corner Radius	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m
	Crosswalk Type	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings
	PETSI Score	**53**	**53**	**37**	**37**
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	**D**	**D**	**E**	**E**
	Cycle Length				
	Effective Walk Time				
	Average Pedestrian Delay				
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	-	-	-	-
	Level of Service	**D**	**D**	**E**	**E**
		E			
	Approach From	**NORTH**	**SOUTH**	**EAST**	**WEST**
Bicycle	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic
	Right Turn Lane Configuration				
	Right Turning Speed				
	Cyclist relative to RT motorists	**#N/A**	**#N/A**	**#N/A**	**#N/A**
	Separated or Mixed Traffic	**Mixed Traffic**	**Mixed Traffic**	**Mixed Traffic**	**Mixed Traffic**
	Left Turn Approach	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed
	Operating Speed	> 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	> 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h
	Left Turning Cyclist	**B**	**B**	**C**	**C**
	Level of Service	**B**	**B**	**C**	**C**
		C			
Transit	Average Signal Delay				
	Level of Service	-	-	-	-
		-			
Truck	Effective Corner Radius				
	Number of Receiving Lanes on Departure from Intersection				
	Level of Service	-	-	-	-
		-			
Auto	Volume to Capacity Ratio	0.61 - 0.70			
	Level of Service	**B**			

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

Consultant	**CGH Transportation Inc**	**Project**	**2022-024**
Scenario	**Existing/Future**	**Date**	**8/21/2023**
Comments			

SEGMENTS			Cambrian Rd	Cambrian Rd	Re-Aligned Greenbank Rd
			Existing	Future	Future
Pedestrian	Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width	**F**	no sidewalk n/a	≥ 2 m > 2 m	1.8 m > 2 m
	Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume		> 3000	> 3000	> 3000
	Operating Speed On-Street Parking		> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no
	Exposure to Traffic PLoS		**F**	**C**	**D**
	Effective Sidewalk Width				
	Pedestrian Volume				
	Crowding PLoS		**A**	**A**	**A**
	Level of Service		**F**	**C**	**D**
Bicycle	Type of Cycling Facility	**D**	Mixed Traffic	Physically Separated	Physically Separated
	Number of Travel Lanes		≤ 2 (no centreline)		
	Operating Speed		≥ 50 to 60 km/h		
	# of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS		**D**	**-**	**-**
	Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width				
	Bike Lane Width LoS		**-**	**-**	**-**
	Bike Lane Blockages				
	Blockage LoS		**-**	**-**	**-**
	Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m)		< 1.8 m refuge		
	No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing		≤ 3 lanes		
	Sidestreet Operating Speed		≤ 40 km/h		
	Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS		**A**	**A**	
	Level of Service		**D**	**A**	**A**
Transit	Facility Type	**A**			Segregated ROW
	Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed				
	Level of Service		**-**	**-**	**A**
Truck	Truck Lane Width	**A**			≤ 3.5 m
	Travel Lanes per Direction				> 1
	Level of Service		**-**	**-**	**A**