

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

2506 Innes Road Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Concorde Properties

Report: PE6214-3 September 24, 2024





TABLE OF CONTENTS

| EXE | CUTIV | 'E SUMMARY | iii |
|-----|-------|--|-----|
| 1.0 | INTF | RODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Site Description | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Property Ownership | 1 |
| | 1.3 | Current and Proposed Future Uses | 2 |
| | 1.4 | Applicable Site Condition Standard | 2 |
| 2.0 | BAC | KGROUND INFORMATION | 2 |
| | 2.1 | Physical Setting | 2 |
| | 2.2 | Past Investigations | 3 |
| 3.0 | SCO | PE OF INVESTIGATION | 6 |
| | 3.1 | Overview of Site Investigation | 6 |
| | 3.2 | Media Investigated | 6 |
| | 3.3 | Phase I Conceptual Site Model | 7 |
| | 3.4 | Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan | 10 |
| | 3.5 | Impediments | |
| 4.0 | INVE | STIGATION METHOD | |
| | 4.1 | Subsurface Investigation | 10 |
| | 4.2 | Soil Sampling | |
| | 4.3 | Field Screening Measurements | |
| | 4.4 | Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation | 12 |
| | 4.5 | Groundwater Sampling | 13 |
| | 4.6 | Analytical Testing | 13 |
| | 4.7 | Residue Management | 13 |
| | 4.8 | Elevation Surveying | 13 |
| | 4.9 | Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures | |
| 5.0 | REV | IEW AND EVALUATION | 14 |
| | 5.1 | Geology | |
| | 5.2 | Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient | 14 |
| | 5.3 | Fine-Coarse Soil Texture | |
| | 5.4 | Soil: Field Screening | |
| | 5.5 | Soil Quality | 15 |
| | 5.6 | Groundwater Quality | |
| | 5.7 | Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results | |
| | 5.8 | Phase II Conceptual Site Model | |
| 6.0 | | CLUSIONS | 25 |
| 7.0 | STA | TEMENT OF LIMITATIONS | 28 |



List of Figures

Figure 1 - Key Plan

Drawing PE6214-1 -Site Plan

Drawing PE6214-2 - Surrounding Land Use Plan

Drawing PE6214-3 – Test Hole Location Plan & Groundwater Contour Plan

Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil & Groundwater

Drawing PE6214-5 – Soil Remediation Plan

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 Sampling and Analysis Plan

Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets

Symbols and Terms

Laboratory Certificates of Analysis

Appendix 2 Table 1: Test Hole Summary

Table 2: Soil Testing Summary

Table 3: Groundwater Testing Summary

Table 4: Groundwater Levels

Table 5: Stabilized Water Quality Parameters

Table 6: Soil Analytical Test Results

Table 6A: Maximum Concentrations – Soil

Table 7: Groundwater Analytical Test Results

Table 7A: Maximum Concentrations – Groundwater

Table 8: QA/QC Calculations



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Assessment

A Phase II ESA was conducted for the property addressed 2506 Innes Road, in Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of this Phase II ESA was to address nine (9) potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) that were identified during the Phase I ESA and considered to result in nine (9) areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) on the Phase II Property.

The original subsurface investigation consisted of placing four boreholes, all of which were instrumented with groundwater monitoring wells. The general stratigraphy encountered during the field program consisted of an asphaltic concrete paved structure or granular fill, followed by fill material consisting of silty sand with traces of gravel, overlying native silty clay with traces of sand. Boreholes were terminated at a maximum depth of 6.7 m below the existing grade. Bedrock was not encountered during the field program. In 2024, an additional five boreholes, three of which were instrumented with groundwater monitoring wells, were advanced to further assess APECs identified in the Phase I ESA.

Eleven soil samples (plus one duplicate) were submitted for laboratory analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and metals. No VOC or PAH concentrations were identified in any of the soil samples analyzed. PHC concentrations were detected in the soil samples analyzed. The PHC, F2 and F3 concentrations identified in Samples BH2-23-SS4 and BH2-24-SS5 were in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. Samples collected within the native silty clay were found to contain concentrations of cobalt and vanadium that exceeded site standards. These concentrations are considered to be naturally occurring, and not of an anthropogenic source. All other identified concentrations comply with MECP Table 3 Residential Standards.

Based on the review of the Remediation Excavation Report completed by Aqua Terre in 2006, confirmatory test results for BTEX (benzene, xylenes) and PHCs (F2 and F3) parameters along the excavation walls and/or floors of Excavation C (EX-C) and Excavation A (EX-A) as well as stockpiles of soil used as backfill material exceeded the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. As a result of the former remediation program and use of stockpile soils used to backfill the excavations, it is possible that there are pockets of contaminated soil/fill within these former excavation areas.



Groundwater samples from monitoring wells BH1-23, BH2-23, BH3-23 and BH4-23 were collected on August 17, 2023. A second round of sampling was completed on November 6, 2023. During the 2024 subsurface investigation, groundwater samples from the monitoring wells BH3-24 through BH5-24 were collected on September 10, 2024 and September 17, 2024. No free product or petroleum hydrocarbon sheen was noted on the purge water at any of the borehole locations during the groundwater sampling events. The groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX, PHCs (F1-F4), VOCs, PAHs and/or lead. All PAH parameter concentrations in the groundwater samples analyzed comply with the MECP Table 3 Standards, with the exception of acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene in Sample BH3-24-GW1. It is our opinion that this exceedance is due to the presence of sediment within the sample. All remaining parameter concentrations analyzed in the groundwater samples comply with the MECP Table 3 Standards.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this assessment, contaminated soil/fill was identified in the immediate area of the former UST nest and former furnace oil AST location. Contaminated soil/fill will require remediation. It is our understanding that the subject site is to be redeveloped for residential purposes.

Soil

It is our recommendation that an environmental site remediation program be completed in conjunction with site redevelopment activities. This will require the segregation of clean soil from impacted soils, the latter of which will require disposal at an approved waste disposal facility and confirmatory sampling.

It is recommended that Paterson personnel be present on-site during remediation activities to direct the excavation and segregation of impacted soil, as well as to conduct confirmatory sampling as required.

Prior to off-site disposal at a licensed landfill, a leachate analysis of a representative sample of contaminated soil must be conducted in accordance with the Ontario Regulation 347/558.

Any clean soil that requires removal from the Phase II Property for construction purposes must be handled in accordance with Ontario Regulation 406/19: On-site and Excess Soil Management.



Monitoring Wells

If the groundwater monitoring wells are not going to be used in the future, then they must be decommissioned according to Ontario Regulation Reg. 903 (Ontario Water Resources Act), however, it is our recommendation that the wells remain on-site for future monitoring purposes. The monitoring wells will be registered with the MECP under this regulation. Further information can be provided upon request in this regard.

It is our recommendation that BH3-24 be retested in the future, to confirm the compliance of acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene within the groundwater.



1.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Concorde Properties, Paterson Group (Paterson) conducted a Phase II Environmental Site Assessment at 2506 Innes Road (the Phase II Property), in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of this Phase II ESA has been to address some areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) identified on the Phase II Property, during the Phase I ESA conducted by Paterson in August 2023.

1.1 Site Description

Address: 2506 Innes Road, Ottawa, Ontario

Legal Description: Part of Lot 15, Concession 3 of Ottawa River,

Gloucester, now in the City of Ottawa.

Location: The site is located on the south side of Innes Road

and east side of Scotland Private, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. Refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in the

Figures section following the text.

Latitude and Longitude: 45° 25' 45.59" N, 75° 34' 10.07" W

Site Description:

Configuration: Rectangular

Area: 4,025m² (approximately)

Zoning: AM11 – Arterial Mainstreet Zone.

Current Use: The Phase I Property is currently occupied by an

automotive service garage.

Services: The Phase I Property is situated in a municipally

serviced area.

1.2 Property Ownership

Paterson was engaged to conduct this Phase I-ESA by Mr. Jordan Tannis of Concorde Properties. Mr. Tannis can be reached by telephone at (613) 778-8118.



1.3 Current and Proposed Future Uses

The Phase II Property is currently occupied by an automotive service garage.

It is our understanding that the Phase II Property will be redeveloped for residential purposes. Due to the change in use to a more sensitive land use (commercial to residential), a record of site condition (RSC) will be required as per O.Reg 154/03.

1.4 Applicable Site Condition Standard

The site condition standards for the property were obtained from Table 3 of the document entitled "Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act", prepared by the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), April 2011. The MECP selected Table 3 Standards are based on the following considerations:

|] | Coarse-grained soil conditions |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| J | Full depth generic site conditions |
| J | Non-potable groundwater conditions |
|] | Residential land use |

Section 35 of O.Reg. 153/04 does apply to the Phase II Property in that the property does not rely upon potable groundwater.

Section 41 of O.Reg. 153/04 does not apply to the Phase II Property, as the property is not within 30m of an environmentally sensitive area.

Section 43.1 of O.Reg. 153/04 does not apply to the Phase II Property in that the property is not a Shallow Soil property.

The intended use of the Phase II Property is residential; therefore, the Residential Standards have been selected for the purpose of this Phase II ESA.

2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Physical Setting

The Phase II Property is situated in an area that consists primarily of residential land use with some commercial properties along Innes Road, east of the Phase II Property.

The central portion of the Phase I Property is occupied by a slab-on-grade building that was constructed circa 1975.



The subject building has been used as an automotive service garage and an associated office for the last 30 years. The ground surface of the northern portion of the property is asphaltic paved concrete with two (2) catch basins located at each of the access lanes situated on the west and east sides of the property. The remaining southern half of the Phase II Property is landscaped with a treeline along the southern property boundary.

The site topography is slightly above the grade of Innes Road, while the southern half is relatively flat and at the grade of the adjacent properties to the west, east and south. Site drainage consists of infiltration on the landscaped areas and sheet flow to a catch basin located on-site. The regional topography slopes down in a northerly/north-westerly direction towards Green's Creek.

A depiction of the Phase II Property is shown on Drawing PE6214-1 – Site Plan, appended in the Figures section of this report.

2.2 Past Investigations

The following report addressed to Petro-Canada was reviewed as part of this assessment:

"Remedial Excavation Monitoring – 2506 Innes Road, Ottawa (formerly Gloucester), Ontario (Former Outlet No. 53620)," prepared by Aqua Terre Solutions, dated August 9, 2006.

Based on the reviewed report, the subject site operated as a retail fuel outlet (RFO) circa 1975 until 1990. The RFO included, two 27,276-L gasoline USTs, one 36,368-L steel gasoline UST, one steel gasoline UST with an unknown capacity, and two pump islands. In 1995, all of the petroleum related equipment (i.e., piping and 4 USTs) were decommissioned by Triangle Pump Ltd., of Gloucester, Ontario.

In May of 2006, a 2,273-L fibreglass re-enforced plastic (FRP) fuel oil UST, a 2,273-L FRP waste oil UST, and a 1,135-L steel furnace oil AST and its associated piping were removed by Clarkway Construction Ltd., of Brampton, Ontario (Aqua Terre Solutions, 2006).

After the decommissioning of the aforementioned USTs and AST, the original subject building remaining on-site included, a service station building and office (J&S Service Station), which ceased operation at the time of the decommissioning work.



The garage consisted of three (3) service bays containing three (3) above ground service hoists, and a 3-chamber oil-water separator, located inside the subject building.

Following the decommissioning of the former USTs and other equipment associated with the RFO, a total of 1,875 metric tonnes of contaminated soil was excavated and disposal of off-site. Approximately 1,750 metric tonnes of soil were stockpiled on-site in order to assess hydrocarbon impacts.

Based on the review of the report, this stockpile was used to backfill the excavations. In addition to the stockpile, approximately 955 metric tonnes of sand and gravel fill was imported by Clarkway. During the remedial excavations, approximately 166,165 Litres of hydrocarbon impacted groundwater were pumped from the excavations over a seven (7) week period during the interim of June 5 to July 28, 2006.

The previously installed groundwater monitoring wells were also removed during the excavation program.

It should be noted that a site plan with the excavation areas was not provided in the report received. The confirmatory soil results from the remediation excavations (floor and wall excavations) complied with the former MOE (2004) site conditions (Table 3) for commercial land use. It should be noted that the impacted soil (exceeding the former standards) was separated into stockpiles and mixed with imported fill for backfilling the remediation excavations.

The analytical results for the stockpiles used as backfill were compared with the current MECP Table 3 Residential Standards as well as the MECP Excess Soil Standards, Tables 2.1 and Table 3.1. Based on the current MECP Table 3 Residential Standards, the fill material of Excavation C (EX-C – area of the former UST nest and west of the former pump islands) and within Excavation A (EX-A – former UST waste oil) exceeded the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards for BTEX and PHCs, while the majority of the stockpile test results exceeded the MECP Excess Soil Standards, Tables 2.1 and Table 3.1.

The confirmatory results for Excavation B (EX-B – area of the former furnace oil UST), and Excavation BU (EX-BU – beneath the southwest corner of the subject building associated with the fuel oil furnace) exceeded the MECP Excess Soil Standards, Tables 2.1 and Table 3.1.



It is our opinion that there are pockets of fill material within these former excavations that likely exceed the MECP Excess Soil Standards, Tables 2.1 and Table 3.1.

The estimated locations and footprints of the remediation excavation are shown on Drawing PE6214-5R – Soil Remediation Plan, appended in the Figures section of this report.

"Phase I-Environmental Site Assessment, 2506 Innes Road, Ottawa, Ontario," prepared by Paterson Group Inc. (Paterson), dated August 23, 2023

Based on the findings of the Phase I ESA, nine (9) on-site potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) were identified and represent areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) on the Phase I Property. The following APECs identified on the Phase I Property are:

- PCA 28 "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of 4 USTs containing gasoline and diesel fuel (APEC 1);
- PCA 28 "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of 2 pump islands (APEC 2);
- PCA 52 "Storage, maintenance, fuelling and repair of equipment, vehicles, and material used to maintain transportation systems," due to the presence of an automotive service garage (APEC 3);
- PCA 28 "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of a fuel oil UST (APEC 4);
- PCA 28 "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of a waste oil UST (APEC 5);
- PCA Other "Presence of oil-water separator," (APEC 6);
- PCA 28 "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the presence of a waste oil AST (APEC 7);
- PCA 30 "Importation of Fill Material of Unknown Quality," due to the backfill material used after remediation (APEC 8).
- PCA Other "Use of Road Salt for Deicing," across the Phase I Property (APEC 9).



Although not identified as a specific PCA in Table 2, the application of deicing salts for vehicular and pedestrian safety is considered to represent an APEC (APEC 9). In accordance with Section 49.1 of Ontario Regulation 153/04 standards are deemed to be met if an applicable site condition standard is exceeded at a property solely because the qualified person has determined that a substance has been applied to surfaces for the safety of vehicular or pedestrian traffic under conditions of snow or ice or both. The exemption outlined in Section 49.1 is being relied upon with respect to the Phase I Property; in other words, APEC 9 is exempted.

The locations of the APECs are shown on Drawing PE6214-1–Site Plan. Other off-site PCAs were not considered to result in APECs based on their separation distances and/or orientations (down or cross-gradient) with respect to the Phase I Property.

The off-site PCAs within the Phase I Study Area that do not represent APECs are identified in green on Drawing PE6214-2—Surrounding Land Use Plan.

The rationale for identifying the above APECs is based on a review of a previous report, aerial photographs, field observations, and personal interviews. A Phase II ESA was recommended to address the aforementioned APECs.

3.0 SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

3.1 Overview of Site Investigation

The original subsurface investigation was conducted on August 10 and August 11, 2023. The field program consisted of drilling four (4) boreholes to address 4 APECs (APEC 1, 2, 4and 5) identified on the Phase II Property. All of the boreholes were completed with monitoring well installations. The boreholes were drilled to a maximum depth of 6.71 m below the ground surface (mbgs).

An additional five boreholes were placed on the Phase II Property on August 28, 2024 to further assess the APECs.

3.2 Media Investigated

During the subsurface investigation, soil samples and groundwater samples were obtained and submitted for laboratory analysis. The rationale for sampling and analyzing this media is based on the Contaminants of Potential Concern identified in the Phase I ESA.



Contaminants of potential concern on the Phase II Property include Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX), Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4), Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Polycyclic Organic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), Lead, Metals, including hydride forming compounds (arsenic, antimony and selenium); and Electrical Conductivity and Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR).

These CPCs may be present in the soil and/or groundwater beneath the Phase II Property.

3.3 Phase I Conceptual Site Model

Geological and Hydrogeological Setting

The Geological Survey of Canada website on the Urban Geology of the National Capital Area was consulted as part of this assessment. Based on the information from NRCAN, the bedrock within the area of the subject property consists of shale of the Carlsbad Formation. The surficial geology within the area of the subject property consists of clay and silt. The overburden thickness throughout the subject property ranges from 25 to 50 metres.

Groundwater is expected to flow in a northwesterly direction towards Green's Creek.

Fill Material

No evidence of fill material was noted at the time of the site visit; however, based on the previous report reviewed, fill material of unknown quality was used to backfill the remediation excavations. As such, the quality of the fill material is unknown and therefore, represents an APEC on the Phase I Property.

Existing Buildings and Structures

The Phase I Property is occupied by the 1975 slab-on-grade commercial building consisting of 3 service bays each equipped with an above ground electric hoist and an office. The exterior of the building is finished in brick and metal siding with a flat tar and gravel style roof. The subject building is heated by a natural gas fired suspended ceiling furnace. Temporary structures included 4 sea containers located on the south exterior wall of the subject building, which have been used to store tires. No other structures are present.

Subsurface Structures and Underground Utilities

The Phase I Property is situated in a municipally serviced area. Underground utilities and/or structures includes natural gas, municipal water, sanitary and



stormwater sewers. A catch basin was noted on both the entrance and exit laneways.

Underground service locates were completed prior to the subsurface investigations. Buried utilities on the Phase I Property include water and sewer utilities that run parallel to the northern property boundary, and natural gas services that enter the northeastern portion of the Phase I Property from Innes Road.

Areas of Natural Significance and Natural Water Bodies

No areas of natural significance or natural water bodies were identified in the Phase I Study Area.

Drinking Water Wells

The well record search identified domestic wells were on properties within the Phase I Study Area; however, they are not expected to be in use anymore, since municipal water services have been provided in the study area.

Neighbouring Land Use

Neighbouring land use in the Phase I Study Area consists of some commercial along Innes Road, east of the Phase I Property, while the remaining lands consist of residential properties.

Potentially Contaminating Activities and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

| Table 1: Potentially Contaminating Activities and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Area of Potential Environmental Concern | Location of Area of Potential Environmental Concern | Potentially Contaminating Activity | Location of PCA (on-site or off- site) | Contaminants of Potential Concern | Media Potentially Impacted (Groundwater, Soil, and/or Sediment) |
| APEC 1: Resulting from the former of a UST nest | Central west side of the Phase I Property | PCA 28 – Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks | On-site | VOCs PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) Lead | Soil and Groundwater |
| APEC 2: Resulting from the former of 2 pump islands | Central north side of the Phase I Property | PCA 28 – Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks | On-site | VOCs PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) Lead | Soil and Groundwater |

Page 9



| Table 1: Potentially Contaminating Activities and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| Areas of Pote Area of Potential Environmental Concern | Location of Area of Potential Environmental Concern | Potentially Contaminating Activity | Location of PCA (on-site or off- site) | Contaminants of Potential Concern | Media Potentially Impacted (Groundwater, Soil, and/or Sediment) |
| APEC 3: Resulting from the presence of an automotive repair garage | Central part of the Phase I Property | PCA 52 – Storage, maintenance, fuelling and repair of equipment, vehicles, and material used to maintain transportation systems | On-site | VOCs PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) PAHs | Soil and Groundwater |
| APEC 4: Resulting from the former of a fuel oil UST | Central west side of the Phase I Property | PCA 28 – Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks | On-site | BTEX PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) | Soil and Groundwater |
| APEC 5: Resulting from the former waste oil UST | Southeast side of the Phase I Property | PCA 28 – Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks | On-site | VOCs PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) PAHs | Soil and Groundwater |
| APEC 6: Resulting from the 3-chamber oil water separator | Southeast side of the Phase I Property | PCA Other – oil-oil water separator | On-site | VOCs PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) PAHs | Soil and Groundwater |
| APEC 7: Resulting from a waste oil AST | Southeast side of the Phase I Property | PCA 28 – Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks | On-site | VOCs PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) PAHs | Soil and Groundwater |
| APEC 8: Resulting from fill material of unknown quality | Across the northern portion of the Phase I Property | PCA 30 – Importation of Fill Material of Unknown Quality | On-site | BTEX PHCs (F ₁ -F ₄) Metals As, Sb, Se | Soil |
| APEC 91: Resulting from the use of salt for deicing purposes for pedestrian and | Across the northern portion of the Phase I Property | Other – Use of Salt for Deicing Purposes | On-site | EC SAR | Soil |

^{1 -} In accordance with Section 49.1 of Ontario Regulation 153/04 standards are deemed to be met if an applicable site condition standard is exceeded at a property solely because the qualified person has determined that a substance has been applied to surfaces for the safety of vehicular or pedestrian traffic under conditions of snow or ice or both. The exemption outlined in Section 49.1 is being relied upon with respect to the Phase I Property.

Report: PE6214-3

vehicular safety



Contaminants of Potential Concern

As per Section 7.1, the Phase I ESA, the contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) in soil and/or groundwater include Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX), Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4), Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Polycyclic Organic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), Lead, Metals, including hydride forming compounds (arsenic, antimony and selenium); and Electrical Conductivity and Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR).

In accordance with Section 49.1 of O.Reg. 153/04, as amended, electrical conductivity (EC) and sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) are not considered to be CPCs.

Assessment of Uncertainty and/or Absence of Information

The information available for review as part of the preparation of this Phase I-ESA is considered to be sufficient to conclude that there are on-site PCAs that have resulted in APECs on the Phase I Property.

A variety of independent sources were consulted as part of this assessment, and as such, the conclusions of this report are not affected by uncertainty which may be present with respect to the individual sources.

3.4 Deviations from Sampling and Analysis Plan

There were no deviations from the Sampling and Analysis Plan which is included in Appendix 1 of this report.

3.5 Impediments

No impediments were encountered during the Phase II ESA field program.

4.0 INVESTIGATION METHOD

4.1 Subsurface Investigation

The original subsurface investigation conducted for this Phase II ESA consisted of drilling four (4) boreholes (BH1-23 through BH4-23) across the Phase II Property. The boreholes were drilled to a maximum depth of 6.71 m below ground surface (bgs) to intercept groundwater. The boreholes were drilled using a low clearance track mounted drill rig operated by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario, under full-time supervision of Paterson



personnel. The borehole locations are indicated on the attached Drawing PE6214-3 – Test Hole Location Plan.

An additional five boreholes were advanced on the Phase II Property on August 28, 2024, to further address the identified APECs and assess the soil quality onsite. The boreholes were drilled using a low clearance track mounted drill rig operated by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario, under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel. The borehole locations are indicated on the attached Drawing PE6214-3 – Test Hole Location Plan.

4.2 Soil Sampling

A total of 32 soil samples were obtained from the boreholes by means of grab sampling from auger flights/auger samples and split spoon sampling. Split spoon samples were taken at approximate 0.76m intervals.

An additional 30 soil samples were obtained during the 2024 subsurface investigation, by means of grab sampling from auger flights/auger samples and split spoon samples. Split spoon samples were taken at approximate 0.76m intervals.

The depths at which auger samples and split spoon were obtained from the boreholes are shown as "AU" and "SS", respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets.

The borehole profiles generally consist of an asphaltic concrete structure with engineered fill, followed by a fill material consisting of silty sand with traces of gravel, overlying silty clay with and without traces of sand. Bedrock was not encountered during the field program.

Olfactory odours and some staining were observed in some of the boreholes, at depths ranging from 0.76 to 3.81 m below the ground surface (mbgs) at during the field program.

4.3 Field Screening Measurements

Soil samples recovered at the time of sampling were placed immediately into airtight plastic bags with nominal headspace. All lumps of soil inside the bags were broken by hand, and the soil was allowed to come to room temperature prior to conducting the vapour survey. Allowing the samples to stabilize to room temperature ensures consistency of readings between samples.



To measure the soil vapours, the analyser probe is inserted into the nominal headspace above the soil sample. A photo ionization detector (PID) was used to measure the volatile organic vapour concentrations. The sample is agitated/manipulated gently as the measurement is taken. The peak reading registered within the first 15 seconds is recorded as the vapour measurement.

The PID readings were found to range from 0.1 to 311.5 ppm in the soil samples obtained. These results do not indicate the potential for significant contamination from volatile contaminants; however, some visual observations and olfactory odours were noted in most of the boreholes at depths ranging from 0.76 to 3.81 mbgs. Vapour readings are noted on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets in Appendix 1. The results of the vapour survey are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets.

4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation

Four (4) groundwater monitoring wells (BH1-23, BH2-23, BH3-23, and BH4-23) were installed on the Phase II Property as part of the initial subsurface investigation. An additional three (3) groundwater monitoring wells (BH3-24, BH4-24, and BH5-24) were installed on the Phase II Property as part of the 2024 subsurface investigation. The monitoring wells consisted of 50mm diameter, Schedule 40 threaded PVC risers and screens. Monitoring well construction details are listed in Table 1: Test Hole Summary Details in Appendix 2 and are also presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report.

Borehole locations and elevations were surveyed geodetically by Paterson personnel.

4.5 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation

Groundwater monitoring and sampling was conducted on September 10, 2024. At this time, water quality parameters were measured in the field using a multiparameter analyzer. Parameters measures in the field included temperature, pH and electrical conductivity.

Field parameters were measured after each well volume purged. Wells were purged prior to sampling until at least three well volumes had been removed or the field parameters were relatively stable. Stabilized field parameter values are listed in Table 5: Stabilized Water Quality Parameters in Appendix 2.



4.6 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater sampling protocols were followed using the MECP document entitled "Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario", dated May 1996.

Groundwater samples were obtained from each monitoring well, using dedicated sampling equipment. Standing water was purged from each well prior to sampling. Samples were stored in coolers to reduce analyte volatilization during transportation. Details of our standard operating procedure for groundwater sampling are provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

4.7 Analytical Testing

Based on the guidelines outlined in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, soil samples were submitted for analysis of the parameters listed in Table 2: Soil Testing Summary, in Appendix 2.

Based on the guidelines outlined in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, groundwater samples were submitted for analysis of the parameters listed in Table 3: Groundwater Testing Summary, in Appendix 2.

Paracel Laboratories (Paracel), of Ottawa, Ontario, performed the laboratory analysis on the samples submitted for analytical testing. Paracel is a member of the Standards Council of Canada/Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (SCC/CALA). Paracel is accredited and certified by SCC/CALA for specific tests registered with the association.

4.8 Residue Management

All soil cuttings, purge water and fluids from equipment cleaning were retained on-site.

4.9 Elevation Surveying

The ground surface elevations at each borehole location were surveyed using a GPS device by Paterson personnel and referenced to a geodetic datum.

4.10 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Measures

A summary of quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) measures, including sampling containers, preservation, labelling, handling, and custody, equipment



cleaning procedures, and field quality control measurements is provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan in Appendix 1.

5.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION

5.1 Geology

Site soils consist of an asphalt concrete structure, followed by fill material consisting of silty sand and trace gravel, overlying silty clay.

Bedrock was not encountered during the field program as bedrock is expected to be at an approximate depth of 20 to 25 mbgs.

Groundwater was encountered within the overburden at an approximate depth of 2.74 mbgs.

The stratigraphy of the Phase II ESA Property, from ground to surface to the deepest aquifer or aquitard investigated, is provided in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, in Appendix 1.

5.2 Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient

Groundwater levels were measured during the groundwater sampling events on September 10, 2024. The groundwater levels are summarized in Table 4: Groundwater Levels, in Appendix 2.

The groundwater at the Phase II Property was encountered within the overburden throughout all boreholes at depths ranging from approximately 2.76m to 2.92m below existing ground surface.

Using the groundwater elevations recorded during the September 10, 2024 sampling event, groundwater contour mapping was completed as part of this assessment. Groundwater contours are shown on Drawing PE6214-3 — Test Hole Location Plan. Based on the contour mapping, groundwater flow at the subject site is in a westerly direction. A horizontal hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.005m/m was calculated.

5.3 Fine-Coarse Soil Texture

Grain size analysis was not completed as part of this investigation. Coarse grained soil standards were chosen based on the nature of the recovered soil samples.



5.4 Soil: Field Screening

Field screening of the soil samples collected during drilling resulted in vapour readings ranging from 0.1 to 311.5 ppm. The field screening results of each individual soil sample are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report.

5.5 Soil Quality

A total of eleven soil samples (plus one duplicate) were submitted for laboratory analysis of either, BTEX, PHCs (F1-F4), VOCs, PAHs and/or metals. The results of the analytical testing are presented in Table 6: Soil Analytical Test Results, as well as on the laboratory certificates of analysis, appended to this report.

BTEX and PHCs

No detectable BTEX parameters were identified in any of the soil samples analyzed. All detected PHC concentrations comply with the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards, with the exception of PHCs Fractions 2 and 3 in samples BH2-23-SS4 and BH2-24-SS5. The analytical results are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

VOCs

No detectable VOC parameters were identified in any of the soil samples analyzed. The analytical results are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

PAHs

All detected PAH parameter concentrations comply with the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. The analytical results are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

Metals

All detected metal parameter concentrations comply with the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards, with the exception of cobalt and vanadium in samples BH1-24-SS5 and BH5-24-SS5. The analytical results are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

The elevated levels of cobalt and vanadium are consistent with metals identified in Ottawa area clays (Champlain Sea clays). Local Ottawa clays, also referred to



as Champlain Sea clays, are known to contain naturally elevated concentrations of metals such as barium, chromium, cobalt and vanadium. Given the absence of any anthropogenic activities on the Phase II Property, as well as Paterson's extensive dataset of background clay analysis, and published articles available to the public, it is Paterson's opinion that the elevated metals identified in the Phase II Property soil are of natural origin, consistent with Champlain Sea clays, and fall within the general range of concentrations identified in the Ottawa Area.

pН

All analytical results were found to be within the pH range of 5.0 and 9.0 and are therefore within the acceptable range for both surface and subsurface soils.

Maximum Soil Parameter Concentrations

The maximum concentration of each parameter identified in soil samples analyzed on the Phase II Property are presented in Table 6A: Maximum Concentrations – Soil, appended to this report.

5.6 Groundwater Quality

Five groundwater samples (plus one duplicate) were recovered from the monitoring wells installed in Boreholes BH1-23 through BH4-23 during the interim of August 17, 2023 to November 6, 2023. An additional three groundwater samples (plus one duplicate) were recovered from the monitoring wells installed in Boreholes BH3-24 through BH5-24 on August 29, 2024. Groundwater samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX, PHC (fractions, F1-F4), VOCs, lead and/or PAH analyses.

The results of the analytical testing are presented in Table 7: Groundwater Analytical Test Results, as well as on the laboratory certificates of analysis, appended to this report.

BTEX and PHCs (F1-F4)

All detected BTEX concentrations comply with the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. No detectable PHC concentrations were identified in any of the groundwater samples analyzed. The analytical test results for the tested groundwater are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.



VOCs

No detectable VOC concentrations were identified in any of the groundwater samples analyzed. The analytical results for the tested groundwater are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

Lead

No detectable lead concentrations were identified in any of the groundwater samples analyzed. The analytical results for the tested groundwater are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

PAHs

All detected PAH concentrations comply with the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards, with the exception of acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene in sample BH3-24-GW1. It is our opinion that these exceedances are due to the presence of sediment within the sample. The analytical results for the tested groundwater are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

Maximum Groundwater Parameter Concentrations

The maximum concentration of each parameter identified in soil samples analyzed on the Phase II Property are presented in Table 7A: Maximum Concentrations – Groundwater, appended to this report.

5.7 Quality Assurance and Quality Control Results

All samples submitted as part of the August and September 2024 sampling events were handled in accordance with the Analytical Protocol with respect to the preservation method, storage requirement, and container type. As per Subsection 47(3) of O.Reg. 153/04, as amended, under the Environmental Protection Act, a Certificate of Analysis has been received for each sample submitted for analysis and all Certificates of Analysis are appended to this report.

As per the Sampling and Analysis Plan, a duplicate soil sample was obtained from BH2-24-SS5 and submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F1-F4), VOCs, PAHs and metals. A duplicate groundwater sample obtained from BH3-24-GW1 was also submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F1-F4) and VOCs. The duplicates were collected with the intent of calculating the relative percent difference (RPD) between duplicate sample values, as a way of assessing the



quality of analytical test results. The RPD calculations for the soil and groundwater samples are provided in Table 8: QA/QC Calculations.

All of the RPD results are within acceptable range. Based on the analytical laboratory results, it is our opinion that the overall quality of the field data collected during this Phase II ESA is considered to be sufficient to meet the overall objectives of this assessment.

5.8 Phase II Conceptual Site Model

The following section has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of O.Reg. 153/04, as amended by the Environmental Protection Act. Conclusions and recommendations are discussed in a subsequent section.

Site Description

Potentially Contaminating Activity and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

As indicated in Table 1, Section 2.2 of this report, the on-site PCAs that were identified to have resulted in APECs on the Phase II Property are as follows:

| J | PCA 28 – "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of 4 USTs containing gasoline and diesel fuel (APEC 1); |
|----------|--|
| 3 | PCA 28 – "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of 2 pump islands (APEC 2); |
| J | PCA 52 – "Storage, maintenance, fuelling and repair of equipment, vehicles, and material used to maintain transportation systems," due to the presence of an automotive service garage (APEC 3); |
| J | PCA 28 – "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of a fuel oil UST (APEC 4); |
| J | PCA 28 – "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the historical presence of a waste oil UST (APEC 5); |
| _ | PCA Other – "Presence of oil-water separator," (APEC 6); |
| | PCA 28 – "Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks," due to the presence of a waste oil AST (APEC 7); |



| backfill material used after remediation (APEC 8). |
|---|
| PCA Other – "Use of Road Salt for Deicing," across the Phase I Property (APEC 9). |

In accordance with Section 49.1 of O.Reg. 153/04, the application of road salt is not considered a PCA that would result in an APEC on the Phase II Property, if the application of road salt was applied to the surface of the parking lot and laneway for the safety of vehicular and pedestrian traffic under conditions of ice and/or snow. Therefore, APEC 9 is exempted.

Contaminants of Potential Concern

The following Contaminants of Potential Concern (CPCs) were identified with respect to the soil and/or groundwater on the Phase II Property:

| Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX); |
|---|
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4); |
| Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs); |
| Polycyclic Organic Hydrocarbons (PAHs); |
| Metals, including hydride forming compounds (arsenic, antimony and selenium); and |
| Electrical Conductivity and Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR). |

Subsurface Structures and Utilities

Underground service locates were completed prior to the subsurface investigations. Buried utilities on the Phase II Property include water and sewer utilities that run perpendicular from the subject building to the municipal services along Innes Road.

Two (2) catch basins are situated on each access lane on the eastern and western lanes. Natural gas service enters the northcentral portion of the Phase II Property from Innes Road.

Based on standard practice for subsurface utility installation, service trenches are expected to be present approximately 1 to 2m below existing grade. In general, trench backfill may provide a preferential pathway for contaminant transport if the water table is at or above the base of the trenches.



Based on the findings of this Phase II ESA, service trenches are not considered to have created preferential pathways for contaminant migration.

Physical Setting

Site Stratigraphy

Site soils consisted of an asphalt concrete structure, followed by fill material consisting of silty sand and trace gravel, overlying silty clay.

Bedrock was not encountered during the field program as bedrock is expected to be at an approximate depth of 20 to 25 mbgs.

Groundwater was encountered within the overburden at an approximate depth of 2.74 mbgs.

The stratigraphy of the Phase II ESA Property, from ground to surface to the deepest aquifer or aquitard investigated, is provided in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, in Appendix 1.

Hydrogeological Characteristics

Groundwater levels were measured at the Phase II Property during the September groundwater sampling events. The measured levels ranged from approximately 2.76 to 2.92 mbgs. It is noted that groundwater elevations fluctuate seasonally.

Based on the most recent measured water levels, the groundwater is interpreted to flow in a westerly direction. A horizontal hydraulic gradient of 0.005m/m was calculated. Groundwater contours are presented on Drawing PE6214-3 – Test Hole Location Plan.

Free product was not observed in any of the monitoring wells during the sampling event conducted at the Phase II Property.

Approximate Depth to Bedrock

A pin test was completed in BH3-24 to confirm the bedrock depth at the Phase II Property; however, bedrock was not able to be confirmed. Based on available mapping provided by the Geological Survey of Canada, bedrock is reported to be present at a depth of approximately 20 to 25 m below grade.



Approximate Depth to Water Table

The depth to the water table at the Phase II Property varies between approximately 2.76 to 2.92 m below existing grade.

Section 35 of Ontario Regulation 153/04: Non-Potable Groundwater

Section 35 of O.Reg. 153/04 does apply to the Phase II Property in that the property, and the properties within the 250m study area do not rely upon potable groundwater.

Section 41 of Ontario Regulation 153/04: Environmentally Sensitive Areas

Section 41 of the Regulation (Site Condition Standards, Environmentally Sensitive Areas) does not apply to the Phase II Property, in that the Phase II Property is not within 30m of an environmentally sensitive area.

Section 43.1 of Ontario Regulation 153/04: Shallow Soil Property or Water Body

Section 43.1 of the Regulation does not apply to the Phase II Property as bedrock is not located less than 2 m below ground surface.

Section 43.1 of the regulation does not apply to the Phase II Property, as there are no water bodies located on or within 30 m of the Phase II Property.

Existing Buildings and Structures

The Phase II Property is occupied by the 1975 slab-on-grade commercial building consisting of 3 service bays each equipped with an above ground electric hoist and an office. The exterior of the building is finished in brick and metal siding with a flat tar and gravel style roof. The subject building is heated by a natural gas fired suspended ceiling furnace. Temporary structures included 4 sea containers located on the south exterior wall of the subject building, which have been used to store tires. No other structures are present.

Proposed Buildings and Other Structures

The proposed site redevelopment of the Phase II Property will include three (3) residential buildings with associated vehicular above ground parking.



Environmental Condition

Areas Where Contaminants are Present

Based on the findings of the 2023 subsurface investigation, PHC F2 contaminated fill material was identified in BH2-23-SS3, from 2.29 to 2.90 mbgs, which was retrieved from the immediate area of the former UST nest.

Based on the findings of the subsurface investigation, PHC F2 and F3 contaminated fill material was identified in BH2-24-SS5, from 3.05 to 3.66 mbgs, which was retrieved from the immediate area of the former furnace oil AST. Cobalt and vanadium concentrations identified in BH1-24-SS5 and BH5-24-SS5, were found to exceed the Site Condition Standards.

The silty clay samples obtained from the Phase II Property are typical in parts of eastern Ontario and western Quebec that fall within the Champlain Sea basin. Soils within this basin have distinct composition to soils of other origins due to their unique geological history.

Metals (primarily Barium, Cobalt, and Vanadium) are commonly identified in Champlain Sea clay deposits at concentrations exceeding the applicable site condition standards, in this case the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards.

The location of these naturally occurring soil exceedances at the Phase II Property are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.

Based on the review of the Remediation Excavation Report completed by Aqua Terre in 2006, confirmatory test results for BTEX (benzene, xylenes) and PHCs (F2 and F3) parameters along the excavation walls and/or floors of Excavation C (EX-C) and Excavation A (EX-A) as well as stockpiles of soil used as backfill material exceeded the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards.

All groundwater results complied to the selected MECP Table 3 Standards, with the exception of acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene identified in BH3-24-GW1. It is our opinion that these exceedances are due to the presence of sediment within the groundwater samples.

All soil and groundwater results from this program are shown on Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil and Groundwater.



Types of Contaminants

PHC, fraction F2 and F3, contamination was identified in the fill material in the immediate area of the former furnace oil AST and former gasoline USTs. All of the groundwater results complied to the selected MECP Table 3 Standards, with the exception of several PAHs identified in the area of the former pump island.

Based on the review of the Remediation Excavation Report completed by Aqua Terre in 2006, confirmatory test results for BTEX (benzene, xylenes) and PHCs (F2 and F3) parameters along the excavation walls and/or floors of Excavation C (EX-C) and Excavation A (EX-A) as well as stockpiles of soil used as backfill material exceeded the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. These excavation areas in which soil exceedances were identified from the 2006 Remediation Excavation Report are depicted in Drawing PE6214-5 —Soil Remediation Plan.

Contaminated Media

PHCs (F2 and F3) contamination was identified in the fill material in the immediate area of the former UST nest, the former furnace oil AST and along the floor and northern wall of EX-C, and along the floor of EX-A.

Groundwater impacted with acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene was identified in BH3-24 above MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. No concentrations of PAHs were identified in the soil samples across the Phase II Property and therefore it is considered that these parameters are elevated due to the presence of sediment.

What Is Known About Areas Where Contaminants Are Present

PHC, fraction F2 and F3, contamination identified in the fill material is expected to be a result of the former stockpile that was used to backfill the remediation excavations from 2006, as well as from the presence of the former tank nest. All of the groundwater results complied to the selected MECP Table 3 Standards.

Distribution of Contaminants

The former stockpiles used as backfill on-site in 2006, are expected to have some contaminants (i.e., PHCs, F2 and F3) in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Residential Standards.

The backfill was considered to be clean at the time of use in 2006, based on the former 2004 MOE Site Condition Standards (Table 3) for commercial land use; however, based on the confirmatory soil samples recovered from the remediation



excavation (EX-C and EX-A), compared with the selected MECP Table 3 Residential Standards, benzene, xylene and PHCs (F2 and F3) were in excess. These excavation areas in which soil exceedances were identified from the 2006 Remediation Excavation Report are depicted in Drawing PE6214-5 — Soil Remediation Plan. Additionally, the stockpile samples that were tested and used to backfill these excavations also contained PHC (F2) exceedances of the current MECP Table 3 Residential Standards.

Migration of Contaminants

The groundwater results comply with the selected MECP Table 3 Standards; with the exception of PAH impacts underneath the former pump island. Migration of contaminants does not appear to have occurred on-site.

Discharge of Contaminants

Based on the findings of this Phase II ESA, any discharge of contaminants is considered to have been the result of the former presence of the retail fuel outlet on-site.

Climatic and Meteorological Conditions

In general, climatic, and meteorological conditions have the potential to affect contaminant distribution. Two ways by which climatic and meteorological conditions may affect contaminant distribution include the downward leaching of contaminants by means of the infiltration of precipitation, and the migration of contaminants via groundwater levels and/or flow, which may fluctuate seasonally.

Based on the analytical results of the groundwater, contaminant distribution is not considered to have occurred on the Phase II ESA Property.

Potential for Vapour Intrusion

Given that the Phase II Property will be redeveloped in the near future, all contaminated soil will be removed from the site. As a result, there is no potential for any current or future vapour intrusion on the Phase II Property.



6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Assessment

A Phase II ESA was conducted for the property addressed 2506 Innes Road, in Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of this Phase II ESA was to address nine (9) potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) that were identified during the Phase I ESA and considered to result in nine (9) areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) on the Phase II Property.

The original subsurface investigation consisted of placing four boreholes, all of which were instrumented with groundwater monitoring wells. The general stratigraphy encountered during the field program consisted of an asphaltic concrete paved structure or granular fill, followed by fill material consisting of silty sand with traces of gravel, overlying native silty clay with traces of sand. Boreholes were terminated at a maximum depth of 6.7 m below the existing grade. Bedrock was not encountered during the field program. In 2024, an additional five boreholes, three of which were instrumented with groundwater monitoring wells, were advanced to further assess APECs identified in the Phase I ESA.

Eleven soil samples (plus one duplicate) were submitted for laboratory analysis of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEX) and petroleum hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and metals. No VOC or PAH concentrations were identified in any of the soil samples analyzed. PHC concentrations were detected in the soil samples analyzed. The PHC, F2 and F3 concentrations identified in Samples BH2-23-SS4 and BH2-24-SS5 were in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. Samples collected within the native silty clay were found to contain concentrations of cobalt and vanadium that exceeded site standards. These concentrations are considered to be naturally occurring, and not of an anthropogenic source. All other identified concentrations comply with MECP Table 3 Residential Standards.

Based on the review of the Remediation Excavation Report completed by Aqua Terre in 2006, confirmatory test results for BTEX (benzene, xylenes) and PHCs (F2 and F3) parameters along the excavation walls and/or floors of Excavation C (EX-C) and Excavation A (EX-A) as well as stockpiles of soil used as backfill material exceeded the MECP Table 3 Residential Standards. As a result of the former remediation program and use of stockpile soils used to backfill the



excavations, it is possible that there are pockets of contaminated soil/fill within these former excavation areas.

Groundwater samples from monitoring wells BH1-23, BH2-23, BH3-23 and BH4-23 were collected on August 17, 2023. A second round of sampling was completed on November 6, 2023. During the 2024 subsurface investigation, groundwater samples from the monitoring wells BH3-24 through BH5-24 were collected on September 10, 2024 and September 17, 2024. No free product or petroleum hydrocarbon sheen was noted on the purge water at any of the borehole locations during the groundwater sampling events. The groundwater samples were analyzed for BTEX, PHCs (F1-F4), VOCs, PAHs and/or lead. All PAH parameter concentrations in the groundwater samples analyzed comply with the MECP Table 3 Standards, with the exception of acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene in Sample BH3-24-GW1. It is our opinion that this exceedance is due to the presence of sediment within the sample. All remaining parameter concentrations analyzed in the groundwater samples comply with the MECP Table 3 Standards.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this assessment, contaminated soil/fill was identified in the immediate area of the former UST nest and former furnace oil AST location. Contaminated soil/fill will require remediation. It is our understanding that the subject site is to be redeveloped for residential purposes.

Soil

It is our recommendation that an environmental site remediation program be completed in conjunction with site redevelopment activities. This will require the segregation of clean soil from impacted soils, the latter of which will require disposal at an approved waste disposal facility and confirmatory sampling.

It is recommended that Paterson personnel be present on-site during remediation activities to direct the excavation and segregation of impacted soil, as well as to conduct confirmatory sampling as required.

Prior to off-site disposal at a licensed landfill, a leachate analysis of a representative sample of contaminated soil must be conducted in accordance with the Ontario Regulation 347/558.

Any clean soil that requires removal from the Phase II Property for construction purposes must be handled in accordance with Ontario Regulation 406/19: Onsite and Excess Soil Management.



Monitoring Wells

If the groundwater monitoring wells are not going to be used in the future, then they must be decommissioned according to Ontario Regulation Reg. 903 (Ontario Water Resources Act), however, it is our recommendation that the wells remain on-site for future monitoring purposes. The monitoring wells will be registered with the MECP under this regulation. Further information can be provided upon request in this regard.

It is our recommendation that BH3-24 be retested in the future, to confirm the compliance of acenaphthylene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene within the groundwater.



7.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment report has been prepared in general accordance with O.Reg. 153/04, as amended, and CSA Z769-00. The conclusions presented herein are based on information gathered from a limited sampling and testing program. The test results represent conditions at specific test locations at the time of the field program.

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes themselves.

Should any conditions be encountered at the subject site and/or historical information that differ from our findings, we request that we be notified immediately in order to allow for a reassessment.

This report was prepared for the sole use of Concorde Properties. Notification from Concorde Properties, and Paterson Group will be required to release this report to any other party.

Paterson Group Inc.

Joshua Dempsey, B.Sc.

Mark D'Arcy, P.Eng., QPESA

M. S. D'ARCY 90377839 ADVINCE OF ONTARIO

Report Distribution:

- Concorde Properties.
- Paterson Group

FIGURES

Figure 1 - Key Plan

Drawing PE6214-1 – Site Plan

Drawing PE6214-2 – Surrounding Land Use Plan

Drawing PE6214-3 – Test Hole Location Plan (Groundwater Contour Plan)


Drawing PE6214-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil & Groundwater


Drawing PE6214-5 – Soil Remediation Plan




FIGURE 1 KEY PLAN








ROAD INNES SIDEWALK **♣** FH BH 3-23 74.93 ASPHALTIC CONCRETE GRASSED PARKING LOT GRASSED FORMER PUMP (72.13)ISLAND 2526 INNES ROAD AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE GARAGE ₩ / BH 3A-24 (JOE'S AUTO INC.) SCOTLAND PRIV. ASPHALTIC CONCRETE 3 CHAMBER OIL / WATER SEPARATOR RESIDENTIAL PARKING LOT **/**(72.10) BH 4-24 FORMER (FORMER WASTE OIL UNDERGROUND UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK) **GASOLINE TANKS** (72.13) BH 2-23 74.93 (72.05) SERVICE STATION BUILDING BH 2-24 75.07 ⊕ BH 4-23 SCOTLAND PRIV. FORMER FURNACE OIL ABOVE (72.28)2514 INNES ROAD GROUND STORAGE TANK COMMERCIAL 2506 INNES ROAD (HIGH TIES CANNABIS STORE) FORMER FUEL OIL UNDER 75.05 (72.19) GROUND STORAGE TANK SEA CANS (TIRE STORAGE) -(72.15) EXISTING WASTE OIL ABOVEGROUND STORAGE TANK SCOTLAND PRIV RESIDENTIAL LEGEND: **BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING** WELL LOCATION **GRASSED** 74.91 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m) GROUNDWATER SURFACE ELEVATION (m) (SEPTEMBER 10, 2024) (72.10)-72 GROUNDWATER CONTOUR (m) APPROX. GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION (HORIZONTAL HYDRAULIC GRADIENT) 15-21 BEDDOE LANE GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE RESIDENTIAL LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM. 11 BEDDOE LANE 13 BEDDOE LANE RESIDENTIAL RESIDENTIAL SCALE: 1:400 **CONCORDE PROPERTIES** 1:400 09/2024 PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT Drawn by: Report No.: PATERSON GROUP SALES 2506 INNES ROAD PE6214-3 ONTARIO Checked by: **OTTAWA** PE6214-3 MW Title: **TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN** Approved by: K2É 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-738 MSD Revision No.: DATE REVISIONS





APPENDIX 1

SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

LABORATORY CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS



Sampling and Analysis

2506 Innes Road Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Concorde Properties

Report: PE6214-SAP August 26, 2024



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | SAMPLING PROGRAM | 1 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.0 | ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM | 2 |
| 3.0 | STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES | 3 |
| | 3.1 Environmental Drilling Procedure | 3 |
| | 3.2 Monitoring Well Installation Procedure | 6 |
| | 3.3 Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure | 7 |
| 4.0 | QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC) | 8 |
| 5.0 | DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES | 9 |
| 6.0 | PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS TO SAMPLING & ANALYSIS PLAN | 10 |



1.0 SAMPLING PROGRAM

Paterson Group Inc. (Paterson) was commissioned by Concorde Properties to conduct a Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA) at 2506 Innes Road, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario.

Based on the findings of the Phase I ESA, the following subsurface investigation program was developed.

| Borehole | Location & Rationale | Proposed Depth & Rationale |
|----------|---|--|
| BH1-24 | Placed on the northwestern portion of the Phase II property to assess for potential soil impacts resulting from APEC 1. | 5-6 m; Drill to intercept the native clay layer. |
| BH2-24 | Placed on the central portion of the Phase II property to assess for potential soil impacts resulting from the former furnace oil AST associated with the service garage. | 5-6 m; Drill to intercept the native clay layer. |
| BH3-24 | Placed on the northern portion of the Phase II property to assess for potential soil impacts and groundwater resulting from APEC 2. | 5-6 m; Drill to intercept the native clay layer and install a groundwater monitoring well. |
| BH4-24 | Placed on the northeastern portion of the Phase II property to assess for potential soil and groundwater impacts resulting from APEC 3. | 5-6 m; Drill to intercept the native clay layer and install a groundwater monitoring well. |
| BH5-24 | Placed on the eastern portion of the Phase II property, at the southeast corner of the service garage, to assess the potential soil and groundwater impacts resulting from APEC 6 and APEC 7. | 5-6 m; Drill to intercept the native clay layer and install a groundwater monitoring well. |

Borehole locations are shown on Drawing PE6214-3 – Test Hole Location Plan, appended to the main report.

At each borehole, split-spoon samples of the overburden soils will be obtained at 0.76 m (2'6") intervals until practical refusal to augering. All soil samples will be retained, and samples will be selected for submission following a preliminary screening analysis.

Following the borehole drilling, groundwater monitoring wells will be installed in all boreholes for the collection of groundwater samples.



2.0 ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM

general considerations: At least one sample from each borehole should be submitted, in order to delineate the horizontal extent of contamination across the site. ☐ At least one sample from each stratigraphic unit should be submitted, in order to delineate the vertical extent of contamination at the site. ☐ In boreholes where there is visual or olfactory evidence of contamination, or where organic vapour meter or photoionization detector readings indicate the presence of contamination, the 'worst-case' sample from each borehole should be submitted for comparison with MECP site condition standards. ☐ In boreholes with evidence of contamination as described above, a sample should be submitted from the stratigraphic unit below the 'worst-case' sample to determine whether the contaminant(s) have migrated downward. Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the Contaminants of Potential Concern identified in the Phase LESA. The analytical testing program for soil at the subject site is based on the following general considerations: ☐ Groundwater monitoring wells should be installed in all boreholes with visual or olfactory evidence of soil contamination, in stratigraphic units where soil contamination was encountered, where those stratigraphic units are at or below the water table (i.e. a water sample can be obtained). Groundwater monitoring well screens should straddle the water table at sites where the contaminants of concern are suspected to be LNAPLs. At least one groundwater monitoring well should be installed in a stratigraphic unit below the suspected contamination, where said stratigraphic unit is water-bearing. Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the Contaminants of Concern identified in the Phase I ESA and with the contaminants identified in the soil samples.

The analytical testing program for soil at the subject site is based on the following



3.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

3.1 Environmental Drilling Procedure

Purpose

The purpose of environmental boreholes is to identify and/or delineate contamination within the soil and/or to install groundwater monitoring wells in order to identify contamination within the groundwater.

Equipment

The following is a list of equipment that is in addition to regular drilling equipment stated in the geotechnical drilling SOP:

| П | Glass soil sample jars |
|---|--|
| | , , |
| | two buckets |
| | cleaning brush (toilet brush works well) |
| | dish detergent |
| | methyl hydrate |
| | water (if not available on site - water jugs available in trailer) |
| | latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant) |
| | RKI Eagle organic vapour meter or MiniRae photoionization detector |
| | (depending on contamination suspected) |

Determining Borehole Locations

If conditions on site are not as suspected, and planned borehole locations cannot be drilled, **call the office to discuss**. Alternative borehole locations will be determined in conversation with the field technician and supervising engineer.

After drilling is completed a plan with the borehole locations must be provided. Distances and orientations of boreholes with respect to site features (buildings, roadways, etc.) must be provided. Distances should be measured using a measuring tape or wheel rather than paced off. Ground surface elevations at each borehole should be surveyed relative to a geodetic benchmark, if one is available, or a temporary site benchmark which can be tied in at a later date if necessary.

Drilling Procedure

The actual drilling procedure for environmental boreholes is the same as geotechnical boreholes (see SOP for drilling and sampling) with a few exceptions as follows:



| | Continuous split spoon samples (every 0.6 m or 2') or semi-continuous (every 0.76 m or 2'6") are required. |
|----|--|
| | Make sure samples are well sealed in plastic bags with no holes prior to |
| | screening and are kept cool but unfrozen. If sampling for VOCs, BTEX, or PHCs F_1 , a soil core from each soil sample, which may be analyzed, must be taken and placed in the laboratory-provided methanol vial. |
| | Note all and any odours or discolouration of samples. |
| | Split spoon samplers must be washed between samples. |
| | If obvious contamination is encountered, continue sampling until vertical extent of contamination is delineated. |
| | As a general rule, environmental boreholes should be deep enough to intercept the groundwater table (unless this is impossible/impractical - call project manager to discuss). |
| | If at all possible, soil samples should be submitted to a preliminary screening procedure on site, either using a RKI Eagle, PID, etc. depending on type of suspected contamination. |
| Sp | oon Washing Procedure |
| | sampling equipment (spilt spoons, etc.) must be washed between samples in der to prevent cross contamination of soil samples. |
| | Obtain two buckets of water (preferably hot if available) Add a small amount of dish soap to one bucket Scrub spoons with brush in soapy water, inside and out, including tip Rinse in clean water Apply a small amount of methyl hydrate to the inside of the spoon. (A spray |
| | bottle or water bottle with a small hole in the cap works well) Allow to dry (takes seconds) |
| | Rinse with distilled water, a spray bottle works well. |

The methyl hydrate eliminates any soap residue that may be on the spoon and is especially important when dealing with suspected VOCs.

Screening Procedure

The RKI Eagle is used to screen most soil samples, particularly where petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is suspected. The MiniRae is used when VOCs are suspected, however it also can be useful for detecting petroleum. These tools are for screening purposes only and cannot be used in place of laboratory testing.

Page 5



Vapour results obtained from the RKI Eagle and the PID are relative and must be interpreted.

Screening equipment should be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, more frequently if heavily used. ☐ Samples should be brought to room temperature; this is specifically important in colder weather. Soil must not be frozen. ☐ Turn instrument on and allow to come to zero - calibrate if necessary ☐ If using RKI Eagle, ensure instrument is in methane elimination mode unless otherwise directed. ☐ Ensure measurement units are ppm (parts per million) initially. RKI Eagle will automatically switch to %LEL (lower explosive limit) if higher concentrations are encountered. ☐ Break up large lumps of soil in the sample bag, taking care not to puncture bag. ☐ Insert probe into soil bag, creating a seal with your hand around the opening. ☐ Gently manipulate soil in bag while observing instrument readings. ☐ Record the highest value obtained in the first 15 to 25 seconds ☐ Make sure to indicate scale (ppm or LEL); also note which instrument was used (RKI Eagle 1 or 2, or MiniRae).

☐ Jar samples and refrigerate as per Sampling and Analysis Plan.



3.2 Monitoring Well Installation Procedure

Equipment ☐ 5' x 2" threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC slotted well screen (5' x 1 1/4" if installing in cored hole in bedrock) ☐ 5' x 2" threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC riser pipe (5' x 1 1/4" if installing in cored hole in bedrock) ☐ Threaded end-cap ☐ Slip-cap or J-plug Asphalt cold patch or concrete ☐ Silica Sand ☐ Bentonite chips (Holeplug) ☐ Steel flushmount casing **Procedure** ☐ Drill borehole to required depth, using drilling and sampling procedures described above. ☐ If borehole is deeper than required monitoring well, backfill with bentonite chips to required depth. This should only be done on wells where contamination is not suspected, in order to prevent downward migration of contamination. Only one monitoring well should be installed per borehole. ☐ Monitoring wells should not be screened across more than one stratigraphic unit to prevent potential migration of contaminants between units. ☐ Where LNAPLs are the suspected contaminants of concern, monitoring wells should be screened straddling the water table in order to capture any free product floating on top of the water table. ☐ Thread the end cap onto a section of screen. Thread second section of screen if required. Thread risers onto screen. Lower into borehole to required depth. Ensure slip-cap or J-plug is inserted to prevent backfill materials entering well. ☐ As drillers remove augers, backfill borehole annulus with silica sand until the level of sand is approximately 0.3 m above the top of the screen. ☐ Backfill with holeplug until at least 0.3 m of holeplug is present above the top of the silica sand. ☐ Backfill remainder of borehole with holeplug or with auger cuttings (if contamination is not suspected). Install flushmount casing. Seal space between flushmount and borehole

annulus with concrete, cold patch, or holeplug to match surrounding ground

surface.



Equipment

3.3 Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure

| | Water level metre or interface probe on hydrocarbon/LNAPL sites Spray bottles containing water and methanol to clean water level tape or interface probe Peristaltic pump Polyethylene tubing for peristaltic pump Flexible tubing for peristaltic pump Latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant) Allen keys and/or 9/16" socket wrench to remove well caps Graduated bucket with volume measurements pH/Temperature/Conductivity combo pen Laboratory-supplied sample bottles |
|----|---|
| Sa | mpling Procedure |
| | Locate well and use socket wrench or Allan key to open metal flush mount protector cap. Remove plastic well cap. |
| | Measure water level, with respect to existing ground surface, using water level meter or interface probe. If using interface probe on suspected NAPL site, measure the thickness of free product. |
| | Measure total depth of well. |
| | Clean water level tape or interface probe using methanol and water. Change gloves between wells. |
| | Calculate volume of standing water within well and record. |
| | Insert polyethylene tubing into well and attach to peristaltic pump. Turn on peristaltic pump and purge into graduated bucket. Purge at least three well volumes of water from the well. Measure and record field chemistry. Continue to purge, measuring field chemistry after every well volume purged, until appearance or field chemistry stabilizes. |
| | Note appearance of purge water, including colour, opacity (clear, cloudy, silty), sheen, presence of LNAPL, and odour. Note any other unusual features (particulate matter, effervescence (bubbling) of dissolved gas, etc.). |
| | Fill required sample bottles. If sampling for metals, attach 75-micron filter to discharge tube and filter metals sample. If sampling for VOCs, use low flow rate to ensure continuous stream of non-turbulent flow into sample bottles. Ensure no headspace is present in VOC vials. |
| | Replace well cap and flushmount casing cap. |



4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

| Th | e QA/QC program for this Phase II ESA is as follows: |
|----|---|
| | All non-dedicated sampling equipment (split spoons) will be decontaminated according to the SOPs listed above. |
| | All groundwater sampling equipment is dedicated (polyethylene and flexible peristaltic tubing is replaced for each well). |
| | Where groundwater samples are to be analyzed for VOCs, one laboratory-provided trip blank will be submitted for analysis with every laboratory submission. |
| | Approximately one (1) field duplicate will be submitted for every ten (10) samples submitted for laboratory analysis. A minimum of one (1) field duplicate per project will be submitted. Field duplicates will be submitted for soil and groundwater samples |
| | Where combo pens are used to measure field chemistry, they will be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, according to frequency of use. |



5.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The purpose of setting data quality objectives (DQOs) is to ensure that the level of uncertainty in data collected during the Phase II ESA is low enough that decision-making is not affected, and that the overall objectives of the investigation are met.

The quality of data is assessed by comparing field duplicates with original samples. If the relative percent difference (RPD) between the duplicate and the sample is within 20%, the data are considered to be of sufficient quality so as not to affect decision-making. The RPD is calculated as follows:

$$RPD = \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)/2} \right| \times 100\%$$

Where x_1 is the concentration of a given parameter in an original sample and x_2 is the concentration of that same parameter in the field duplicate sample.

For the purpose of calculating the RPD, it is desirable to select field duplicates from samples for which parameters are present in concentrations above laboratory detection limits, i.e. samples which are expected to be contaminated. If parameters are below laboratory detection limits for selected samples or duplicates, the RPD may be calculated using a concentration equal to one half the laboratory detection limit.

It is also important to consider data quality in the overall context of the project. For example, if the DQOs are not met for a given sample, yet the concentrations of contaminants in both the sample and the duplicate exceed the MOE site remediation standards by a large margin, the decision-making usefulness of the sample may not be considered to be impaired. The proximity of other samples which meet the DQOs must also be considered in developing the Phase II Conceptual Site Model; often there are enough data available to produce a reliable Phase II Conceptual Site Model even if DQOs are not met for certain individual samples.

These considerations are discussed in the body of the report.



6.0 PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS TO SAMPLING & ANALYSIS PLAN

| Ph | ysical impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan may include: |
|----|--|
| | The location of underground utilities |
| | Poor recovery of split-spoon soil samples |
| | Insufficient groundwater volume for groundwater samples |
| | Breakage of sampling containers following sampling or while in transit to the |
| | laboratory |
| | Elevated detection limits due to matrix interference (generally related to soi |
| | colour or presence of organic material) |
| | Elevated detection limits due to high concentrations of certain parameters |
| | necessitating dilution of samples in laboratory |
| | Drill rig breakdowns |
| | Winter conditions |
| | Other site-specific impediments |
| | e-specific impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan are discussed in the dy of the Phase II ESA report. |

Report: PE6214-SAP

August 26, 2024



PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

2506 Innes Road

| DATUM: Geodetic EAST | ING: 3 | 37760 | 3.672 | 2 | NO | RTHING: 5032 | 2559.8 | 801 | | ELE | VATI | ON: 7 | 4.91 | | |
|---|---------|--------|----------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------------|------|----------|----------------|--------|---|---|-------------|---------------------------------|
| PROJECT: Phase II - Env | /ironm | ental | Site / | Asses | smen | t | | | FILE N | 10. Р | PE62 | 214 | | | |
| BORINGS BY: CME Low Cle | aranc | e Dril | I | | | | | | HOLE | NO. E |) LI 1 | 1 22 | | | |
| REMARKS: | | | | | DA | TE: August 10 | 0, 202 | 23 | HOLE | NO. L | 110 | 1-23 |) | | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | TA PLOT | SAM | MPLE | SAMPLE % RECOVERY | UE or RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | DEPTH (m) | | PID (pp | m) | G | Gas Te | ech (p | pm) | Monitoring Well Construction |
| | STRATA | No. | Туре | SAI | N VALUE | ANALYT | _ | 0 | 16.67 33 | 3.33 50 | 0 4 | 5 0 | 1 00 | 150 200 | Monit |
| Ground Surface EL 74.91 m | | | п | | | | 0 | | | | | 1 | | | |
| FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel and crushed stone, trace organics m | | AU1 | • | | | | - 0 - - - - | | | (169.8) | • | | | | |
| | | SS2 | ∇ | 92 | 19 | | 1 1 | | | -(67.9) | • | ; ; ; ; ; | | | |
| FILL: Brown silty sand, trace gravel | | SS3 | ∇ | 33 | 14 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SS4 | ∇ | 75 | 13 | | | | | (73.3) | • | 1 | 1 | | |
| 0.75 | | SS5 | ∇ | 50 | 12 | | -3 - - - - | | | (101.9) | • | ! ! ! | | | |
| 3.73 m EL 71.18 m | | SS6 | ∇ | 50 | 1 | | - -4 - | | | (80.1) | • | | | | |
| Grey SILTY CLAY, some sand | | SS7 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | | | | (88.6) | | | | | |
| group / admin. | | SS8 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | - - - - - | | | (77.3) | • | | | | |
| EL 68.81 m EL 68.81 m EL 68.81 m (GWL @ 2.60m - August 17, 2023) | []] | | | | | | - -6 - - - - - - | | | | | | | | |
| End of Borehole (GWL @ 2.60m - August 17, 2023) DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESE PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOUL | | | | | | | 7 - - - - - | | | | | | | | |
| DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESE PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOUL | D BE F | READ | IN CO | NJUNC | TION | | ESPON | NDIN | G REPOR | | | | | | |



PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

2506 Innes Road

| DATUM: Geodetic EASTI | NG: 0 |) | | | NO | RTHING: 0 | | | | | ELE | VAT | ION: | 74.9 | 3 | | | |
|--|---------|------------|----------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|------|-------|-------------|---------|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|--------|--|
| PROJECT: Phase II - Env | | | | Asses | smen | t | | | FIL | E N | 0. | PE6 | 214 | 4 | | | | |
| BORINGS BY: CME Low Cle. REMARKS: | aranc | e Dril | I | | DA | TE: August 10 | 0, 202 | 23 | но | LE | NO. [| 3H : | 2-2 | 3 | | | | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | TA PLOT | SAN | IPLE | SAMPLE % RECOVERY | JE or RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | DEPTH (m) | | PID | (ppn | n) | 1 | Gas ⁻ | Гесh | ech (ppm) 100 150 200 Monitoring Well | | | |
| | STRATA | No. | Type | SAN | SAMPI RECOV | ANALYT | | 0 - | 16.67 | ' 33. I | 33 50 | 0 | 50 | 100 | 15 | 0 200 | Monite | |
| Ground Surface EL 0 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 | |
| Asphaltic concrete 0.05 m / EL 74.88 m FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel and crushed stone 0.15 m / EL 74.78 m | | AU1 AU2 | • | | | | - 0 - - - | | | 21.5 7.7 | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 | | | | |
| EL 74.78 m | | SS2 | ∇ | 50 | 27 | | 1 1 | | | | (311.5) | | - 1 | | | | | |
| FILL: Brown silty sand, trace to some | | SS3 | ∇ | 100 | 35 | | | | | | (92.4) | | | | | | | |
| gravel | | SS4 | ∇ | 100 | 16 | | | | | | (74.8) | | | 1 | | | | |
| 3.66 m EL 71.27 m | | SS5 | ∇ | 75 | 30 | | -3 - - - - | | | | (86.6) | | | | | | | |
| Wd 81:300 823 500 82 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 50 | | SS6 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | - -4 - | | | 4i | 1.11 | | - + | | | | | |
| | | SS7 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | | | | | 38.6 | | | | | | | |
| -group / admir | | SS8 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | - - - - - | | | | ● 39.2 | 2 | | | | | | |
| Second to the se | | | | | | | -6 - - - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6.71 m EL 68.22 m End of Borehole | | | | | | | - , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GWL @ 2.82m - August 17, 2023) DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESE PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOUL | | | | | | | / | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESE PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOUL | D BE F | READ | IN CO | NJUNC | TION | | ERSOI ESPON | NDIN | G REF | PORT | | | | | | | | |



PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

2506 Innes Road

| | DATUM: Geodetic EAST | ING: 3 | 37759 | 94.113 | 3 | NO | RTHING: 5032 | 2589. | 393 | | | El | EVA | ATION | l: 74.9 | 93 | | |
|--|---|---------|--------|----------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|------|-------|------|-------------------------------------|------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | PROJECT: Phase II - En | vironm | nental | Site | Assess | smen | t | | | FII | LE N | Ю. | PE | 621 | 4 | | | |
| | BORINGS BY: CME Low Cle | earanc | e Dril | I | | | | | | | | | DL | 12 | | | | |
| | REMARKS: | | | | | DA | TE: August 11 | 1, 202 | 23 | н |)LE | NO. | ВГ | 1 3-2 | 23 | | | |
| | SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | та Рсот | SAN | IPLE | SAMPLE % RECOVERY | JE or RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | DEPTH (m) | | PID | (ppr | n) | | Gas | Tech | (ppr | m) | Monitoring Well Construction |
| | | STRATA | No. | Туре | SAN | N VALUE | ANALYTI | _ | 0 | 16.67 | 7 33 | .33 (| 50 0 | 50 | 100 |) 1 | 50 200 | Monito |
| Gro | ound Surface EL 74.93 m |) | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| FIL | phaltic concrete 0.05 m / EL 74.88 m LL: Brown silty sand with gravel | | AU1 | • | | | | - 0 - - - - - | • | 10.2 | | | | | ; ; ; ; | | ! ! ! ! ! | |
| | EL 74.83 m | | SS2 | ∇ | 67 | 8 | | - 1 | | 9.2 | | | | | | | | |
| FILI | L: Brown silty sand, trace gravel | | SS3 | ∇ | 33 | 6 | | | • | 9.1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | SS4 | ∇ | 83 | 3 | | - - - - | • | 8.8 | | | | ! ! ! ! ! ! | 1 1 1 1 1 | | | ¥ |
| | | | SS5 | ∇ | 33 | Р | | - - - - - - - | • 4 | .4 | | | | | - | | | |
| ugust 28, 2023 05:13 PM | 3.81 m EL 71.12 m | | SS6 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | - - -4 - | | | 7.3 | | | | - - | | | |
| | n, grey SILTY CLAY, trace sand | | SS7 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | | | | 4 | 1.2 | | | | | | |
| -group / admin | | | SS8 | ∇ | 100 | Р | | - - - - - | | | • 2 | 7.5 | | ! ! ! ! | 1 | | | |
| etic / paterson | 6.1 m EL 68.83 m d of Borehole | (//. | | | | | | -6 - - - - | | | | | | | i - ! ! ! | | | |
| RSLog / Environmental Borehole - Geodetic / paterson-group / admin / A | VL @ 2.76m - August 17, 2023) | | | | | | | - - - 7 - - - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Environment | DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESE | NTFD | IN TH | IIS I O | G IS TH | IE PRO | OPERTY OF PAT | - - - 8 ERSO | N GF | ROUP | AND | THE | CLIF | NT FC | DR WH | O IT \ | WAS | |
| 3SLog / | PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOUL | LD BE | READ | IN CO | NJUNC | TION | | SPON | IDIN | G RE | POR | | | | | | | |



PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

2506 Innes Road

DATUM: Geodetic **EASTING: 377625.371** NORTHING: 5032581.632 **ELEVATION: 75.16 PROJECT:** Phase II - Environmental Site Assessment FILE NO. **PE6214** BORINGS BY: CME Low Clearance Drill HOLE NO. BH 4-23 **REMARKS: DATE:** August 11, 2023 ANALYTICAL TESTS RQD STRATA PLOT Monitoring Well Construction **SAMPLE** SAMPLE % RECOVERY DEPTH (m) N VALUE or Gas Tech (ppm) PID (ppm) **SAMPLE DESCRIPTION** No. Type 150 200 16.67 33.33 50 0 50 100 Ground Surface EL 75.16 m Asphaltic concrete AU1 FILL: Brown silty sand with gravel and crushed stone 0.15 m EL 75.01 m SS2 50 9 • 4.5 SS3 FILL: Brown silty sand, trace gravel 25 -2 • 4.4 SS4 25 3 .3 6.3 SS5 75 05:13 PM SS6 100 Ρ Firm, grey SILTY CLAY rson-group / admin / August 28, SS7 100 Р -5 SS8 100 Ρ 6.1 m EL 69.06 m End of Borehole (GWL @ 2.78m - August 17, 2023) RSLog / Environmental Borehole DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.



Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING: 377587.03 NORTHING:** 5032565.50 **ELEVATION**: 74.93

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment FILE NO.: **PE6214** BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

HOLENO . DL 1 24

| REMARKS: | | | | | DATE: Au | gust 28, 2024 | HOLE NO.: BH 1-24 | |
|--|-------------|--------------|--|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--|---|
| | | | | | SAMPL | E | ■ GASTECH (ppm) | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION Ground Surface | STRATA PLOT | DEРТН (m) | TYPE AND NO. | RECOVERY (%) | N, Nc or RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | □ GASTECH (% LÉL) 50 100 150 200 A PID (ppm) △ PID (% LEL) 20 40 60 80 | PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION ELEVATION (m) |
| ASPHALT 0.05m [74.88m]/ | | 0 | ¥ \ | | | | 20 40 00 00 | |
| FILL: Crushed stone, with silty fine sand 0.38m [74.55m] | | 1 | | | | | | |
| FILL: Light brown silty fine sand | | 1- | SS 2 | 67 | 7-7-7-11 | | | 74 - |
| 1_22m[73.71m]1FILL: Dark brown silty fine sand, some gravel, trace | | 1 | \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | | 14 | | | |
| clay | | 1 | 883 | 92 | 8-8-8-8 | | | |
| | | 2-/ | | | 16 | | | 73- |
| | | 1 | SS 4 | 75 | 6-7-6-4 | | A | |
| 3.20m [71.73m] | | 3 | | | 13 | | | 72- |
| Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY | | = 1 | SS 5 | 100 | 2-1-1-1 2 | | A | |
| | | * | | | 2 | | | 74 |
| | | 4- | SS 6 | 115 | 0-1-1-2 2 | | | 71- |
| | | = | | | | | | |
| Fill lest to 25.00 fil | | 5 | | | | | | 70 - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | 69- |
| | | 6 | | | | | | 09- |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 7 | | | | | | 68 - |
| | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | 67 |
| | | 8- | | | | | | 67 - |
| | | = | | | | | | |
| | | 9- | | | | | | 66 - |
| | | = | | | | | | |
| | | 10 | | | | | | |
| | DDODE | 10 - | | | | | | 65- |

P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.



BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

FILE NO.:

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

PE6214

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING: 377587.03 ELEVATION**: 74.93 **NORTHING:** 5032565.50

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

HOLE NO.: BH 1-24 **REMARKS: DATE:** August 28, 2024

| | | — | | | | DAIL: A | ugust 28, 2024 | | | | | эп 1-24 | _ | |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|----|-------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | | | ļ | | | SAMP | LE | _ | GA | STEC | Н (ррі | n) | | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | | [6] | | Ñ. | (%) | & | 7 | 5 | | 100 | 150 th | . EL) 200 | ER TION | (8) |
| | | STRATA PLOT | DEPTH (m) | TYPE AND NO. | RECOVERY (%) | N, NC OR RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | | Δ | PID (| ppm) % LEL | | PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION | EI EVATION (m) |
| | Ground Surface | <i>•</i> | 10 - | | <u> </u> | | 4 F | 2 | 0 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 11- | | | | | | | | | | | 64 |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 12- | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | 13 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | (|
| | | | 14- | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 16 | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 17- | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 18 | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | 5 |
| | | | = | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1 | | Lance to | | | | | | |

P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

PAGE: 2 / 3



Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

FILE NO.:

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

PE6214

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING:** 377587.03 **NORTHING:** 5032565.50 **ELEVATION:** 74.93

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

REMARKS:

DATE: August 28, 2024

HOLE NO.: BH 1-24

REMARKS: DATE: August 28, 2024 **SAMPLE** GASTECH (ppm) **GASTECH (% LEL)** PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION ġ RECOVERY (%) ELEVATION (m) 150 50 100 STRATA PLOT N, Nc OR RQD SAMPLE DESCRIPTION ANALYTICAL TESTS TYPE AND DEPTH (m) PID (ppm) PID (% LEL) Ground Surface 60 80 20 54 21 53 22 52 23 24 25.00m [49.93m] 25 End of Borehole 49 26 27 28 29 30

P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

PAGE: 3 / 3



Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING: 377601.37 NORTHING:** 5032567.40 **ELEVATION: 75.06**

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment FILE NO.: PE6214 BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

| REMARKS: | | | | | DATE: Au | ugust 28, 2024 | | HOLE I | NO.: B | H 2-24 | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | SAMPL | .E | | | ECH (ppm | | | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION Ground Surface | STRATA PLOT | DEPTH (m) | TYPE AND NO. | RECOVERY (%) | N, NC OR RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | 5 | 0 100 ▲ PIE △ PIE | 150 150 O (ppm) O (% LEL) | 200 80 | PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION | ELEVATION (m) |
| ASPHALT 0.05m [75.02m]/ | | 0 - | A X | | | | | | | | | 75 – |
| | | 1- | SS 2 | 62 | 6-6-6-5 12 | | <u> </u> | | | | | 74 – |
| FILL: Dark brown silty fine sand, some gravel, trace clay | | 2 | SS 3 | 67 | 4-5-4-4 9 | | | | | | | 70 |
| | | | SS 4 | 58 | 4-3-4-3 7 | | | | | | | 73 |
| | | 3- | SS 5 | 46 | 1-2-3-2 5 | | | | | | | 72- |
| | | 4- | SS 6 | 100 | - | | | | | | | 71- |
| Enf od Borehole | 2 8 2 2 | 5- | | | | | | | | | | 70- |
| | | 6— | | | | | | | | | | 69- |
| | | 7— | | | | | | | | | | 68- |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | | : |
| | | 8- | | | | | | | | | | 67 |
| | | 9- | | | | | | | | | | 66 |
| | | 10 | | | | | | | | | | - - - - |

P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.



Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING:** 377600.43 **NORTHING:** 5032584.19 **ELEVATION**: 74.90

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment FILE NO.: PE6214

BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

| REMARKS: | | , , | | | | DATE: A | ugust 28, 2024 | | HOLE | NO. : | BH 3-2 | 4 | |
|--|------------------|-------------|---|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | SAMP | LE | _ | | ЕСН (р | | | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | Ground Surface | STRATA PLOT | DЕРТН (m) | TYPE AND NO. | RECOVERY (%) | N, NC OR RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | 51 | GAST 100 ▲ PI △ PI | ECH (%) 15 D (ppm) D (% LE | D 200 | MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION | ELEVATION (m) |
| ASPHALT | 0.08m [74.82m]/ | | 0 = | A L | | | | | J 40 | - 00 | 80 | 1 | |
| FILL: Crushed stone, with silty fine sar | | | = | F | | | • | | | | | | |
| FILL: Brown silty fine sand - Concrete at 1.04 m depth | | | 1- | SS 2 | | 8-50-/-/ 50/0.13 | | ^ | | | | | 74- |
| | | | 2 | SS 3 | 79 | 5-7-7-7 14 | | | | | | | 73- |
| - Brown to grey below 2.29 m depth | | | - - - - | SS 4 | 62 | 5-6-4-3 | , | | | | | 2.8 m ≥ 202 | |
| Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY | 2.97m [71.93m] | | 3- | SS 5 | 100 | 10 0-1-2-1 3 | | | | | | 2.8 m \$202 | 72 - |
| | | | 4- | 886 | 100 | | | | | | | | 71- |
| End of Borehole | 4.57m [70.33m] | Y | 5— | | | | | | | | | | 70- |
| (GWL at 2.80 m depth - September 10 |), 2024) | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6- | | | | | | | | | | 69- |
| | | | 7- | | | | | | | | | | 68- |
| | | | 8- | | | | | | | | | | 67 - |
| | | | · - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 9- | | | | | | | | | | 66- |
| | | | 10 - | | | | | | | | | | 65- |

P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.



P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING: 377616.23 NORTHING:** 5032586.08 **ELEVATION**: 75.05

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment FILE NO.: PE6214 BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

| REMARKS: | | | | | DATE: Au | ıgust 28, 2024 | HOLE NO.: BH 4-24 | |
|---|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|--|-----------|
| | | | | | SAMPL | .E | ■ GASTECH (ppm) | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION Ground Surface | STRATA PLOT | DEPTH (m) | TYPE AND NO. | RECOVERY (%) | N, NC OR RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | □ GASTECH (% LEL) 50 100 150 200 A PID (ppm) △ PID (% LEL) 20 40 60 80 | |
| ASPHALT0.03m [75.02m] FILL: Crushed stone, with silty fine sand | | 0 - | AU 1 | | | • | · | 75 |
| ILL: Brown silty fine sand | | 1— 1— | SS2 | 71 | 5-5-4-5 9 | | · | 74 |
| | | 2 | SS 3 | 83 | 6-9-8-8 17 | | \ | 73 |
| 2.97m [72.08m] | | - | SS 4 | 67 | 5-6-7-6 13 | į | ` 29m¥ | 2024-09-1 |
| Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY | | 3- | SS 5 | 83 | 0-1-1-1 2 | | | 72 |
| 4.57m [70.48m] | | 4- | SS 6 | 100 | Р | | ` | 7′ |
| ind of Borehole GWL at 2.92 m depth - September 10, 2024) | | 5- | | | | | | 70 |
| | | 6- | | | | | | 69 |
| | | 7- | | | | | | 68 |
| | | 8- | | | | | | 67 |
| | | 9- | | | | | | 6 |
| | | 10 | | | | | | |

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.



Phase II Environmental Site Assessment

2506 Innes Road, Ottawa Ontario

COORD. SYS.: MTM ZONE 9 **EASTING: 377623.87 NORTHING:** 5032575.07 **ELEVATION**: 75.05

PROJECT: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment FILE NO.: PE6214 BORINGS BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

| REMARKS: | | | | | | DATE: A | ugust 28, 2024 | | HOLE N | 10. : | BH 5 | -24 | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|----|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----|------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | SAMP | LE | | | ЕСН (рр | | | | |
| SAMPLE DESCRIPTION | Ground Surface | STRATA PLOT | DEPTH (m) | TYPE AND NO. | RECOVERY (%) | N, NC OR RQD | ANALYTICAL TESTS | 50 |) 100 ▲ PIE △ PIE | 150 (ppm) (% LE |) 20 L) | | MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION | ELEVATION (m) |
| ASPHALT | 0.08m [74.97m] | | 0 = | ¥ K | | | | | | | | | | 75 |
| | trace clay 0.20m [74.84m] | | - | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| FILL: Brown silty fine sand | | | 1- | SS 2 | 58 | 5-3-3-2 6 | , | | | | | | | 74- |
| - Brown to grey below 2.29 m depth | | | 2 | SS 3 | 71 | 4-4-7-7 11 | , | | | | | | | 73 |
| | 2.97m [72.08m] | | | SS 4 | 71 | 5-6-7-5 13 | | | | | | 2 | 9 m ₹ 202 | 4-09-10 |
| Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY | 2.91111 72.90111 | | 3- | SS 55 | 100 | 0-1-1-1 2 | | • | | | | | | 72· |
| | 4.57m [70.47m] | | 4 | SS 6 | 100 | Р | | | | | | | | 71 |
| End of Borehole | | | 5 <u>-</u> | | | | | | | | | | | 70 |
| GWL at 2.86 m depth - September 10, 2 | 2024) | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | 69 |
| | | | 7- | | | | | | | | | | | 68 |
| | | | 8- | | | | | | | | | | | 67 |
| | | | 9- | | | | | | | | | | | 66 |
| | | | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |

P:/Autocad Drawings/Test Hole Data Files/PE62xx/PE6214/data.sqlite 2024-09-20, 17:57 Paterson_Template

DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS LOG IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHO IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS LOG SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS COORESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

| Desiccated | - | having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc. |
|------------------|---|--|
| Fissured | - | having cracks, and hence a blocky structure. |
| Varved | - | composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay. |
| Stratified | - | composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay. |
| Well-Graded | - | Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution). |
| Uniformly-Graded | - | Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution). |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

| Relative Density | 'N' Value | Relative Density % |
|------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Very Loose | <4 | <15 |
| Loose | 4-10 | 15-35 |
| Compact | 10-30 | 35-65 |
| Dense | 30-50 | 65-85 |
| Very Dense | >50 | >85 |
| | | |

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

| Consistency | Undrained Shear Strength (kPa) | 'N' Value | | |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Very Soft | <12 | <2 | | |
| Soft | 12-25 | 2-4 | | |
| Firm | 25-50 | 4-8 | | |
| Stiff | 50-100 | 8-15 | | |
| Very Stiff | 100-200 | 15-30 | | |
| Hard | >200 | >30 | | |

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

| RQD % | ROCK QUALITY |
|--------|--|
| 90-100 | Excellent, intact, very sound |
| 75-90 | Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound |
| 50-75 | Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured |
| 25-50 | Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured |
| 0-25 | Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured |
| | |

SAMPLE TYPES

| SS | - | Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT)) |
|----|---|---|
| TW | - | Thin wall tube or Shelby tube |
| PS | - | Piston sample |
| AU | - | Auger sample or bulk sample |
| WS | - | Wash sample |
| RC | - | Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits. |

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

MC% - Natural moisture content or water content of sample, %

Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
 PL - Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)

PI - Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL)

Dxx - Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes

These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size

D10 - Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)

D60 - Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer

Cc - Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$

Cu - Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4 Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay

(more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST

p'_o - Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth

p'c - Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample

Ccr - Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)
Cc - Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)

OC Ratio Overconsolidaton ratio = p'_c/p'_o

Void Ratio Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids


Wo - Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

PERMEABILITY TEST


Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

STRATA PLOT



MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION





300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Mandy Witteman

Client PO: 58126

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023

Order Date: 11-Aug-2023

Order #: 2332357

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|------------------|
| 2332357-01 | BH1-23-SS5 |
| 2332357-02 | BH1-23-SS6 |
| 2332357-05 | BH2-23-SS4 |
| 2332357-06 | BH2-23-SS5 (Top) |

Approved By:

Mark Froto

Mark Foto, M.Sc.

Lab Supervisor



Order #: 2332357

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58126

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023

Order Date: 11-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS | 14-Aug-23 | 15-Aug-23 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 14-Aug-23 | 15-Aug-23 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 14-Aug-23 | 16-Aug-23 |
| Solids, % | CWS Tier 1 - Gravimetric | 14-Aug-23 | 15-Aug-23 |



Order #: 2332357

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58126

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023 Order Date: 11-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-23-SS5 | BH1-23-SS6 | BH2-23-SS4 | BH2-23-SS5 (Top) | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---|----------|
| | Sample Date: | 10-Aug-23 09:00 | 10-Aug-23 09:00 | 10-Aug-23 09:00 | 10-Aug-23 09:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2332357-01 | 2332357-02 | 2332357-05 | 2332357-06 | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | | | • | | |
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 86.4 | 63.5 | 89.2 | 87.1 | - | - |
| Volatiles | • | | | | • | • | |
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 113% | 122% | 112% | 111% | - | - |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | - | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g | <7 | <7 | <7 | <7 | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g | 14 | <4 | 101 | 7 | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g | 77 | <8 | 168 | 25 | - | <u>-</u> |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g | 40 | <6 | 31 | 17 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 11-Aug-2023

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023

Client PO: 58126

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.23 | | % | 103 | 50-140 | | | |



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023 Order Date: 11-Aug-2023 Project Description: PE6214

Client PO: 58126

| momoa Quanty Control Baphoato | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
| Hydrocarbons F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Physical Characteristics % Solids | 85.6 | 0.1 | % by Wt. | 84.9 | | | 0.8 | 25 | |
| Volatiles Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 9.31 | | % | | 109 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023 Order Date: 11-Aug-2023 Project Description: PE6214

Client PO: 58126

Method Quality Control: Spike

| mounda quanty control opine | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 179 | 7 | ug/g | ND | 89.7 | 85-115 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 81 | 4 | ug/g | ND | 101 | 80-120 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 182 | 8 | ug/g | ND | 92.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 120 | 6 | ug/g | ND | 96.8 | 80-120 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 3.05 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 76.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 3.63 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 90.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 3.59 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 89.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 7.77 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 97.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 3.89 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 97.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 9.56 | | % | | 120 | 50-140 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order #: 2332357

Report Date: 16-Aug-2023

Order Date: 11-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Qualifier Notes:

Client PO: 58126

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis unlesss otherwise noted.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.





Paracel Order Number Chain Of Custody (Lab Use Only) (Lab Use Only)

| ENDORATORIES LID. | | | | | | | 23 | 32 | 135 | 57 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------|------------|--------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|-------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| Client Name: Paterson Group Ir | 26 | | Proj | ect Ref: | PE 621 | // | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| Contact Name: Munchy Wittemar | + Marke, | DA | Quot | te #: | PE 621 | 7 | | | | | | + | | | ge <u>/</u> o | - | |
| | | | PO# | 5 | 0 01. | | | | | | | 1 | Turnaround Time | | | | |
| 9 Auriga Dr. Ottawa, o | 00 | X | | | 61V9 | 2000 | to | 1-1-0 | -1-5 | | | - | l 1 day | y | | □ 3 | day |
| Telephone: (6/3) 800 - 55 75 | | | + | 111 | wittema darcy (| naj pas | ers | ong | 9100 | y | ca | | 2 day | 1 | | ₽ R | Regular |
| N 95G 153/04 | | | | M, | darcya | <u>Opaten</u> | M | 110 | 40 | .0 | 1 | Date | Requ | ired: | | | |
| ☐ Table 1 ☐ Res/Park ☐ Med/Fine ☐ REG 558 | Regulation | 1 | Matrix | Туре: | \$ (Soil/Sed.) GW (| Ground Water) | 1 | | 1 | | De | and a | | | | | 190 |
| ☐ Table 2 ☐ Ind/Comm ☐ Coarse ☐ CCME | □ pwqo □ misa | | SW (Su | urface' P (| Water) SS (Storm/S Paint) A (Air) O (O | ianitary Sewer) | | | | | Ne. | quire | d Ana | lysis | | | |
| Table 3 Agri/Other SU-Sani | □ SU-Storm | _ | _ | т- | 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 | citer) | Į ž | | | 1 | | | | | | T | \Box |
| ☐ Table Mun: | □ 30-Storm | ' | | ners | | | 4+B | | | ۸, | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No Other: | | _ | Air Volume | of Containers | Sampl | e Taken | F1-F4+BTEX | | | Metals by ICP | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID/Location Name | | Matrix | ir Vol | of C | | | PHCs I | VOCs | PAHs | tals | | _ | (HWS) | | | | |
| 1 BH1-23-555 | | 2 | 4 | # | Date | Time | 4 | > | PA | Me | 롸 | CrV | B () | | | | |
| 2 BH1-23-556 | | 2 | - | 12 | Arg. 10/23 | 9 AM | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 247-22 (65/ | 1000 | 0 | _ | 2 | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 3602 - 23 - 532 (4 | (OLD) | 5 | | 2 | | | X | | | \nearrow | HO | 1 | $\langle \rangle$ | | | | |
| 5 BNO - 23 SSS (M | (0/5) | 2 | _ | 2 | | | X | | | * | H | OL | 1 | | | | |
| 6 2 4 1/2 - 25 | | S | | 2 | | | X | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| DA L 23-555 (1 | TOP) | S | | 2 | |)/ | X | | | | | | | | \top | | \Box |
| 7 BH2-23-556 (1 | YOLD) | S | | 2 | | | X | | | 7 | . X | 101 | N | \neg | + | + | \vdash |
| | | | | | Ų | | | | | | - / / | 04 | 4 | \top | + | + | - |
| 9 | | | | | | / | | \neg | | | | \neg | \dashv | + | + | + | - |
| 10 | - | | | | , | | | \neg | \dashv | \neg | \neg | \dashv | \dashv | + | + | + | - |
| omments: | | | | | | | | | | - | Method | of Dali | Vanu | | | A CONTRACTOR | 2002000 |
| elinquished By (Sign): | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 21 . | 2 | | |
| THA | Received By Driv | ver/Dep | pot: | | | Received at Lab: | HF |) | | 1 | Verified | By: | ce | 1 (| Can | er | |
| Plinquished By (Print): Mandy Witheman | Date/Time: | | | Date/Time: Aug 11/23, 16:32 Date/Tim | | | | | | | SO | | | | | | |
| Avg 10/200 | Temperature: | | | | °C | Temperature: | | | | _ | | | Au | 4/1, | 2023 | 4. | 36 p |
| in of Custorby (Alank) view | | | | -0.38 | Revsion 4.0 | - superacule, | 160 | 90 | - | 1 | pH Verif | fied: [| 1 (| JBy: | | | 1 |



300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Mandy Witteman

Client PO: 58127

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Report Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order Date: 14-Aug-2023

Order #: 2333079

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID Client ID

2333079-03 BH3-23-SS6 2333079-05 BH4-23-SS3

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc

Laboratory Director



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58127

Report Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order Date: 14-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS | 15-Aug-23 | 15-Aug-23 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 15-Aug-23 | 15-Aug-23 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 15-Aug-23 | 18-Aug-23 |
| Solids, % | CWS Tier 1 - Gravimetric | 15-Aug-23 | 15-Aug-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58127

Report Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order Date: 14-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH3-23-SS6 | BH4-23-SS3 | - | - | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 11-Aug-23 09:00 | 11-Aug-23 09:00 | - | - | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2333079-03 | 2333079-05 | - | - | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | - | - | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | • | | | | |
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 64.9 | 92.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Volatiles | | | | | | • | |
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 125% | 110% | - | - | - | - |
| Hydrocarbons | | | - | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g | <7 | <7 | - | - | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g | <4 | <4 | - | - | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g | <8 | 159 | - | - | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g | <6 | 69 | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Report Date: 18-Aug-2023 Order Date: 14-Aug-2023 Project Description: PE6214

Client PO: 58127

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.31 | | % | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58127

Report Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order Date: 14-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|------------------|------|---------------|------|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 55 | 4 | ug/g | 48 | | | 13.5 | 30 | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 76 | 8 | ug/g | 54 | | | NC | 30 | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 12 | 6 | ug/g | 6 | | | NC | 30 | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | | | | | | | |
| % Solids | 87.4 | 0.1 | % by Wt. | 87.1 | | | 0.4 | 25 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 9.38 | | % | | 109 | 50-140 | | | |



Report Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order Date: 14-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58127

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Method Quality Control: Spike | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 171 | 7 | ug/g | ND | 99.2 | 85-115 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 163 | 4 | ug/g | 48 | 133 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 310 | 8 | ug/g | 54 | 121 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 173 | 6 | ug/g | 6 | 124 | 60-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 3.57 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 89.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.30 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 107 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 4.22 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 105 | 60-130 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 9.19 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 115 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 4.64 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 116 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 7.88 | | % | | 98.6 | 50-140 | | | |



Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order #: 2333079

Report Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order Date: 14-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client PO: 58127

Qualifier Notes:

QC Qualifiers:

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis unlesss otherwise noted.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.





Paracel Order Number

Chain Of Custody

| LABORATORIES | LTD. | | | | | | 8ivd. 4./8 s.com | | (Lab | Use O | nly) | | | (Lab Use Only) | | | | ., |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|--------|---|--------------------------|---|------------------------|------------|------------|-------|--------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| Client Name: Paterson Group | 0 | | | Proje | ct Ref: | PEG214 | | | | | | | 124 | | Pa | ge / | of / | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Contact Name: Mandy W. | teman | | | Quot | e#: | | | | | | | | + | | | | | |
| Contact Name: Mandy W. Address: 9 Auriya Dr. | Otan | a, ON | | POH: 58127 E-mail: Mwitteman Opateux ongroup. ca | | | | | | | | | - | □ 1 day | | | | 3 day Regular |
| Telephone: (6/3) 800 - 53 | 75 | | | | m | darcy | | | _ | (| | | | Requi | | | , | , neguin |
| REG 153/04 REG 406/19 | | Regulation | | | | | | 12. 1 | 4 | 1.1 | , | 17.31 | Date | ricqui | icu. | | | |
| ☐ Table 1 ☐ Res/Park ☐ Med/Fine | ☐ REG 558 | ☐ PWQ0 | 1 ' | SW (Su | Type: irface \ | S (Soil/Sed.) GW (C Water) SS (Storm/S | Ground Water) | | | | | Re | quire | d Anal | ysis | | | |
| | ☐ CCME | ☐ MISA | | | P (F | Paint) A (Air) O (Ot | ther) | × | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table 3 Agri/Other | □ SU - Sani | □ SU - Storm | | Т | 2 | | | F1-F4+BTEX | | | | | | | | | | |
| □ Table | Mun: | | | e e | aine | Sample | e Taken | F4+ | | | by ICP | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No | Other: | | Matrix | Air Volume | Containers | | - runcii | Ę | | | s by | | | (8) | | | | |
| Sample ID/Locatio | Sample ID/Location Name | | | Air V | # of | Date | Time | PHCs | VOCs | PAHs | Metals | Đ. | CrV | (HWS) | | | | |
| 1 BH3-23-50 | 53 (H | 020 | 0 | | 2 | Aug 11/23 | 9-128 | X | <i>N</i> U | 0. | 2 | I | 0 | ω. | | \vdash | \dashv | |
| 2 BH3-23-5 | 54 (HO | 1111 | | | 1 | 1991/23 | 1-108 | 1 | 11 | 04 |) | _ | _ | | | \vdash | \rightarrow | + |
| 3 BH3-23-55 | 5/4 | | | | \vdash | | | X | M | 16 | | | | | | \vdash | \dashv | |
| 4 BH 3-23-55 | | ,) | | _ | \vdash | | | X | 4 . | | | | | | | | \dashv | \perp |
| 5 BH4-23-5 | |) | | - | | | | 1X | 170 | H) |) | | | | | | \dashv | \perp |
| | | | - | - | \vdash | | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 BHY-23-50 | 24 (H | 020) | + | - | | | // | X | /H | 011 | >) | | | | | | | |
| 7 BH4 - 23 - 55 | 55 (HO | (| Y | | V | -4 | V | X | THO | LD |) | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \top | |
| Relinquished By Sign) | | Received at Depo | ot: | | | | Received at Lab: | A | | > | | Metho Verific | dr | ace | (| Cov | | |
| Relinquished by Print: Date/Time: | | | | | Date/Time: Avo | | | | | 161 | 0 | Date/T | 1709/17/25 1099 | | | | | |
| 1119.14/23 @ | Jam. | remperature; | | | | °C | Temperature: | J 9 | 8 | 17.19 | | pH Ver | ified: [| 0 / | Ву: | | | |



300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO:

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Report Date: 5-Sep-2024 Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Order #: 2435485

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|------------|
| 2435485-02 | BH1-24-SS5 |
| 2435485-03 | BH2-24-SS5 |
| 2435485-05 | BH3-24-SS3 |
| 2435485-07 | BH4-24-SS2 |
| 2435485-10 | BH5-24-SS5 |
| 2435485-11 | DUP |

Approved By:

Mark Froto

Mark Foto, M.Sc.

Lab Supervisor



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024 Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS | 3-Sep-24 | 3-Sep-24 |
| pH, soil | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @ 25 °C, CaCl buffered ext. | 4-Sep-24 | 4-Sep-24 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 3-Sep-24 | 3-Sep-24 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 31-Aug-24 | 3-Sep-24 |
| REG 153: Metals by ICP/MS, soil | EPA 6020 - Digestion - ICP-MS | 3-Sep-24 | 3-Sep-24 |
| REG 153: PAHs by GC-MS | EPA 8270 - GC-MS, extraction | 4-Sep-24 | 4-Sep-24 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS | 3-Sep-24 | 3-Sep-24 |
| Solids, % | CWS Tier 1 - Gravimetric | 30-Aug-24 | 3-Sep-24 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-24-SS5 | BH2-24-SS5 | BH3-24-SS3 | BH4-24-SS2 | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-02 | 2435485-03 | 2435485-05 | 2435485-07 | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | • | • | • | • | |
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 61.2 | 84.9 | 91.0 | 91.0 | - | - |
| General Inorganics | | | • | | • | • | • |
| рН | 0.05 pH Units | - | 7.00 | - | 7.22 | - | - |
| Metals | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 1.0 ug/g | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | - | - |
| Barium | 1.0 ug/g | 272 | 28.3 | 14.4 | 24.6 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.5 ug/g | 0.8 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Boron | 5.0 ug/g | 7.1 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ug/g | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Chromium | 5.0 ug/g | 112 | 12.8 | 12.7 | 13.2 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 1.0 ug/g | 19.8 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 3.0 | - | - |
| Copper | 5.0 ug/g | 40.7 | 10.2 | 6.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Lead | 1.0 ug/g | 8.5 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 2.6 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Nickel | 5.0 ug/g | 58.3 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 6.1 | - | - |
| Selenium | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.3 ug/g | <0.3 | <0.3 | <0.3 | <0.3 | - | - |
| Thallium | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Uranium | 1.0 ug/g | 1.3 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Vanadium | 10.0 ug/g | 91.4 | 19.5 | 18.4 | 17.5 | - | - |
| Zinc | 20.0 ug/g | 107 | <20.0 | <20.0 | <20.0 | - | - |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 0.50 ug/g | - | <0.50 | - | <0.50 | - | |
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | <0.02 | - | - |

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024 Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-24-SS5 | BH2-24-SS5 | BH3-24-SS3 | BH4-24-SS2 | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---|
| | Sample Date: Sample ID: Matrix: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 2435485-02 Soil | 28-Aug-24 00:00 2435485-03 Soil | 28-Aug-24 00:00 2435485-05 Soil | 28-Aug-24 00:00 2435485-07 Soil | - | - |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | ļ | | <u>. </u> | | ļ | <u>L</u> | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Bromoform | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Chloroform | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Hexane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 0.50 ug/g | - | <0.50 | - | <0.50 | - | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 0.50 ug/g | - | <0.50 | - | <0.50 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024 Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-24-SS5 | BH2-24-SS5 | BH3-24-SS3 | BH4-24-SS2 | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-02 | 2435485-03 | 2435485-05 | 2435485-07 | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | • | • | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Styrene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | <0.02 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g | - | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | - | 79.6% | - | 73.6% | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | - | 125% | - | 116% | - | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | - | 115% | - | 108% | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | - | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | - | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 134% | - | 117% | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-24-SS5 | BH2-24-SS5 | BH3-24-SS3 | BH4-24-SS2 | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-02 | 2435485-03 | 2435485-05 | 2435485-07 | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Hydrocarbons | | | • | • | • | • | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g | <7 | <7 | <7 | <7 | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g | 49 | 403 | <4 | <4 | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g | 55 | 335 | <8 | <8 | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g | 29 | <6 | <6 | <6 | - | - |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | • | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.02 ug/g | - | 0.08 | - | - | - | - |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Anthracene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Chrysene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluorene | 0.02 ug/g | - | 0.14 | - | - | - | - |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.04 ug/g | - | <0.04 | - | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene | 0.01 ug/g | - | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Phenanthrene | 0.02 ug/g | - | 0.22 | - | - | - | - |
| Pyrene | 0.02 ug/g | - | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | - | 64.0% | - | - | - | - |

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024 Order Date: 29-Aug-2024 Project Description: PE6214

Client PO:

| | _ | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Client ID: | BH1-24-SS5 | BH2-24-SS5 | BH3-24-SS3 | BH4-24-SS2 | | |
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-02 | 2435485-03 | 2435485-05 | 2435485-07 | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soil | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | - | 84.3% | - | - | - | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH5-24-SS5 | DUP | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|---|--------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | | | _ | _ |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-10 | 2435485-11 | | | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | | | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Physical Characteristics | | | | • | ! | ! | |
| % Solids | 0.1 % by Wt. | 66.1 | 85.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Metals | • | • | | | • | | • |
| Antimony | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Arsenic | 1.0 ug/g | 3.6 | 2.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Barium | 1.0 ug/g | 286 | 32.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.5 ug/g | 0.8 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Boron | 5.0 ug/g | 7.6 | <5.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.5 ug/g | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Chromium | 5.0 ug/g | 129 | 13.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Cobalt | 1.0 ug/g | 23.2 | 4.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Copper | 5.0 ug/g | 47.5 | 11.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Lead | 1.0 ug/g | 8.3 | 7.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Nickel | 5.0 ug/g | 67.6 | 9.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Selenium | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Silver | 0.3 ug/g | <0.3 | <0.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Thallium | 1.0 ug/g | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Uranium | 1.0 ug/g | 1.7 | <1.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Vanadium | 10.0 ug/g | 103 | 20.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Zinc | 20.0 ug/g | 118 | 20.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Volatiles | · | | | | . | • | |
| Acetone | 0.50 ug/g | <0.50 | <0.50 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Bromoform | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214 BH5-24-SS5 DUP Client ID: 28-Aug-24 00:00 28-Aug-24 00:00 Sample Date: Sample ID: 2435485-10 2435485-11 Soil Soil Matrix: MDL/Units **Volatiles** Bromomethane 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 Carbon Tetrachloride 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 Chlorobenzene 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 Chloroform 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 Dibromochloromethane 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 Dichlorodifluoromethane < 0.05 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 1,2-Dichlorobenzene < 0.05 1.3-Dichlorobenzene 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 1.4-Dichlorobenzene 1,1-Dichloroethane 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 1,2-Dichloroethane 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 1,1-Dichloroethylene cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 _ trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 ug/g 1,2-Dichloropropane < 0.05 < 0.05 cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 _ _ 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene 1,3-Dichloropropene, total 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 Ethylbenzene Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 0.05 ug/g Hexane < 0.05 < 0.05 Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) 0.50 ug/g < 0.50 < 0.50 Methyl Isobutyl Ketone 0.50 ug/g < 0.50 < 0.50 Methyl tert-butyl ether 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05 Methylene Chloride 0.05 ug/g < 0.05 < 0.05

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH5-24-SS5 | DUP | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | | | _ | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-10 | 2435485-11 | | | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | | | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Styrene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.05 ug/g | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 143% | 121% | - | - | - | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | 92.9% | 80.3% | • | - | - | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | 140% | 109% | - | - | - | - |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 ug/g | <7 | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 ug/g | <4 | 359 | - | - | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 ug/g | <8 | 303 | - | - | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 6 ug/g | <6 | <6 | - | - | - | - |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | 0.07 | - | - | - | - |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Anthracene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH5-24-SS5 | DUP | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | 28-Aug-24 00:00 | | | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2435485-10 | 2435485-11 | | | | |
| | Matrix: | Soil | Soil | | | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | - | | • |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Chrysene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluoranthene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluorene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | 0.12 | - | - | - | - |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.04 ug/g | <0.04 | <0.04 | - | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene | 0.01 ug/g | <0.01 | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Phenanthrene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | 0.18 | - | - | - | - |
| Pyrene | 0.02 ug/g | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | 53.1% | 66.4% | - | - | - | - |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | 70.6% | 77.5% | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Client PO:

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 4 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 8 | ug/g | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 6 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 10.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 20.0 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client P

Method Quality Control: Blank

| t PO: | Project Description: PE6214 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| thed Ouglity Control, Plank | |

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Chrysene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ND | 0.04 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.01 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 0.902 | | % | 67.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 1.35 | | % | 101 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 0.50 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 0.50 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 0.50 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 8.83 | | % | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 5.56 | | % | 69.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.59 | | % | 107 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.59 | | % | 107 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Project Description: PE6214

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Client PO:

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|------|---------------|------|--------------|-------|
| General Inorganics | | | | 7.05 | | | 0.4 | 0.0 | |
| pH | 7.34 | 0.05 | pH Units | 7.35 | | | 0.1 | 2.3 | |
| Hydrocarbons | | _ | , | ND | | | NO | 40 | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 7 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 27 | 4 | ug/g | 28 | | | 3.5 | 30 | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 44 | 8 | ug/g | 39 | | | 13.3 | 30 | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 24 | 6 | ug/g | 7 | | | NC | 30 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Arsenic | 3.0 | 1.0 | ug/g | 3.0 | | | 2.4 | 30 | |
| Barium | 57.8 | 1.0 | ug/g | 74.9 | | | 25.7 | 30 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Boron | ND | 5.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chromium | 8.0 | 5.0 | ug/g | 7.9 | | | 8.0 | 30 | |
| Cobalt | 2.2 | 1.0 | ug/g | 2.2 | | | 8.0 | 30 | |
| Copper | 6.7 | 5.0 | ug/g | 7.3 | | | 8.1 | 30 | |
| Lead | 11.5 | 1.0 | ug/g | 11.4 | | | 8.0 | 30 | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | 1.1 | | | NC | 30 | |
| Nickel | 5.9 | 5.0 | ug/g | 6.3 | | | 5.9 | 30 | |
| Selenium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.3 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Thallium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Uranium | ND | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Vanadium | 14.0 | 10.0 | ug/g | 13.7 | | | 2.2 | 30 | |
| Zinc | 29.6 | 20.0 | ug/g | 31.7 | | | 7.1 | 30 | |
| Physical Characteristics % Solids | 67.6 | 0.1 | % by Wt. | 66.1 | | | 2.2 | 25 | |
| | 0.10 | 0.1 | 70 Dy VVI. | 00.1 | | | ۷.۷ | 20 | |
| Semi-Volatiles Acenaphthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND ND | 0.02 | ug/g ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.01 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 40 | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 0.926 | | % | | 59.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 1.46 | | % | | 93.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 0.50 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Hexane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 0.50 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 0.50 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 10.8 | | % | | 116 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 7.14 | | % | | 76.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 11.1 | | % | | 119 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Client PO:

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Benzene | ND | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | | | NC | 50 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 11.1 | | % | | 119 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Project Description: PE6214

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Client PO:

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 178 | 7 | ug/g | ND | 104 | 85-115 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 112 | 4 | ug/g | 28 | 90.4 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 292 | 8 | ug/g | 39 | 111 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 132 | 6 | ug/g | 7 | 86.3 | 60-140 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Arsenic | 46.6 | 1.0 | ug/g | 1.2 | 90.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Barium | 72.3 | 1.0 | ug/g | 30.0 | 84.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Beryllium | 48.2 | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | 96.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Boron | 47.4 | 5.0 | ug/g | ND | 92.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cadmium | 46.6 | 0.5 | ug/g | ND | 93.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Chromium | 51.0 | 5.0 | ug/g | ND | 95.6 | 70-130 | | | |
| Cobalt | 47.0 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 92.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Copper | 45.9 | 5.0 | ug/g | ND | 85.9 | 70-130 | | | |
| Lead | 51.2 | 1.0 | ug/g | 4.6 | 93.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 45.0 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 89.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nickel | 47.6 | 5.0 | ug/g | ND | 90.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Selenium | 44.9 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 89.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Silver | 43.0 | 0.3 | ug/g | ND | 86.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Thallium | 45.9 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 91.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Uranium | 50.9 | 1.0 | ug/g | ND | 101 | 70-130 | | | |
| Vanadium | 54.9 | 10.0 | ug/g | ND | 98.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Zinc | 55.9 | 20.0 | ug/g | ND | 86.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.168 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 86.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.171 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 87.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Anthracene | 0.158 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 80.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.137 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 70.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.140 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 72.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.132 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 67.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.151 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 77.5 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.135 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 69.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chrysene | 0.154 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 79.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.134 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 68.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluoranthene | 0.164 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 84.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluorene | 0.152 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 77.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.148 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 75.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.126 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 64.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.136 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 69.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 0.166 | 0.01 | ug/g | ND | 85.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Phenanthrene | 0.171 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 87.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Pyrene | 0.177 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 91.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 0.889 | | % | | 57.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 1.40 | | % | | 89.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| <i>V</i> olatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 6.66 | 0.50 | ug/g | ND | 66.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 3.76 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 94.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 3.87 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 96.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 3.75 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 93.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| 3romomethane | 3.96 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 99.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 4.81 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 120 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 4.32 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 108 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 3.63 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 90.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 4.15 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 104 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 4.16 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 4.43 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 111 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 4.34 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 109 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 3.99 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 99.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 3.94 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 98.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 3.37 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 84.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 4.39 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 4.22 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 105 | 60-130 | | | |

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 4.34 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 109 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 3.44 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 86.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 4.45 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 111 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 4.54 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 113 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.12 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | 4.79 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 120 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 3.35 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 83.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 10.4 | 0.50 | ug/g | ND | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 9.51 | 0.50 | ug/g | ND | 95.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 10.7 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 107 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 3.74 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 93.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 4.37 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 109 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 4.68 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 117 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 4.21 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 105 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 4.67 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 117 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 4.39 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 4.44 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 111 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 3.58 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 89.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 3.92 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 98.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 4.92 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 123 | 50-140 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 3.80 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 95.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 8.20 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 102 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 3.96 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 98.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 8.58 | | % | | 107 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 6.67 | | % | | 83.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8.56 | | % | | 107 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 3.76 | 0.02 | ug/g | ND | 94.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 4.12 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 4.39 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 8.20 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 102 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 3.96 | 0.05 | ug/g | ND | 98.9 | 60-130 | | | |



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO:

Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 8 56 | | % | | 107 | 50-140 | | | |



Report Date: 05-Sep-2024

Order Date: 29-Aug-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Qualifier Notes:

Client PO:

QC Qualifiers:

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis unlesss otherwise noted.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.

| GPARACEL LABORATORIES LTD. | Para | cel | ID: 2 | 243 | 5485 | jurient Blvd > KTG 4J8 M7 scollate.com | | (Lab | order N Use Or | nly) | r | | Ch | | Of Cu Use O | | dy | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------|------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------|--------|----------------|-------------|--------|----------|
| Client Name: Parterson | | | Projec | t Ref: | PE 6214 | | | | | | | | | Pa | ge <u>1</u> | of <u>2</u> | | |
| Contact Name: Mark D'Arcy | | | Quote | #: | | | | | | | | Turnaround Time | | | | e | | |
| Address: 9 Auriga Dri | In D | | PO #: | | | | | | | | | | 1 day | | | [| 🔲 3 da | ay |
| | VOC. | | E-mail | 1.1. | larcy @ patecso | | | | | | | | 2 day | | |) | Reg | gular |
| Telephone: 613 - 226 - 7381 | | | | M | beaudoin Op | natecsongco | Upica | | | | | Date | Requ | ired: | | | _ | |
| | er Regulation | | Vlatrix 1 | ype: | S (Soil/Sed.) GW (G | round Water) | T | | | | D.c. | and | d A1 | uelc | | | | |
| Table 1 Res/Park Med/Fine REG 558 | ☐ PWQO | | | rface \ | Water) SS (Storm/Sa | nitary Sewer) | | | | | ĸe | quire | d Anal | ysis | | | | , |
| ☐ Table 2 ☐ Ind/Comm ☐ Coarse ☐ CCME | ☐ MISA | _ | _ | P (I | Paint) A (Air) O (Oti | her) | Ă | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Table 3 ☐ Agri/Other ☐ SU-Sani ☐ Mun: | SU - Storm | | | Jers . | | | 4+B | | | 8 | | | | | 0 | | | |
| | | | nme | Containers | Sample | Taken | PHCs F1-F4+BTEX | | | Metals by ICP | | | (g) | 70 | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No Other: Sample ID/Location Name | | Matrix | Air Volume | 9 | | | - ° | VOCs | PAHs | etals | _ | CrVI | B (HWS) | F | F | | | |
| 1 BH1-24-SS3 | | S | 8 | 2 | Date OS IOS IOS | Time | - | ř | 4 | Ž | Hg | Ö | В | 0 | - | | _ | \dashv |
| 2 BH1-24-SS5 | | 7 | | 1 | 08/28/2024 | | | | | 2 | | Щ | | | X | | | = |
| 3 RH2-24-555 | | + | + | + | | | X | _ | | | Щ | | | Щ | | | | 4 |
| 4 BH2-24-556 | | + | Н | + | | | × | X | X | X | Щ | Щ | | \vee | | | | 닉 |
| 5112 2100 | | + | | + | | | | | H | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | 닏 | | | | _ |
| 5 BH3-24-SS3 6 RH2-04-SS5 | | + | - | + | | | ¥ | | H | Χ | Щ | | Щ | Ш | | | | _ |
| 917 61 223 | | + | 1 | + | | | H | | Щ | Щ | Щ | Ц | Щ | Щ | 7 | | | _ |
| V. () | | + | 1 | + | | | X | X | <u> </u> | X | Щ | Щ | Щ | oxdot | | | | |
| 8 BH4 - 24 - SS5 | | + | | - | | | Ľ | | | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | 7 | | | _ |
| 9 BH5-24-853 | | \bigvee | V | W/ | | 1 | Щ | | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | £ | | | |
| 10 BH 5 - 29 - SS5 Comments: | | | Y | P | W | V | X | Χ | 2 | * | | | | | | | | _ |
| Comments. | | | | | | | | | | | Metho | d of De | livery: | 201 | 10 | 10 | < | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): | Received at Dep | ot: | 100 | | | Received at Lab: | 2 | [< | (0 | Verified By: | | | | - | | | | |
| Relinquished By (Print): (WS) de Ridde (| Date/Time: | | Privile | XX | | Date/Time: | ia | 2 | 9- | th | Date/T | Time: Aug 30, 2024 (1:552) | | | | | | |
| Date/Time: Avous + 29th 2024 | US + 2984 2024 Temperature: | | | | °C Revision 5.0 | Temperature: PSG pH Ve | | | | pH Ver | Verified: ☐ By: | | | | | | | |

| 6 | P |) | A | R | A | C | E | L |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | |

hain of Custody (Emillales



Paracel Order Number

Chain Of Custody

| OPARACEL III | LABORATORIES LTD. 2435485 | | | | | | | | | | | | | (Lab | Use (| Only) | , | |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|---------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| Client Name: Paterson | | | Projec | t Ref: | PE 6214 | | | | | | | | | Pag | ge 2 | of 2 | , | \neg |
| Contact Name: Mark D'Arcy | | | Quote | #: | | | | | | | | Turnaround Time | | | | | | |
| Address: | (4.0 | PO #: | | | | | | | □ 1 day □ 3 da | | | ay | | | | | | |
| | W | | E-mail: | Md | way @ parecon | group.con | | | | | | | 2 day | | | ر | Reg | gular |
| Telephone: 613-226-7381 | | | | Mb | eaudoin@pate | esargroup, c | : 64 | | | | | Date | Requir | ed: | | | | |
| | ulation | N | 1atrix T | vpe: | S (Soil/Sed.) GW (G | iround Water) | T | | | | D | | A | | | | | _ |
| ☐ Table 1 ☐ Res/Park ☐ Med/Fine ☐ REG 558 | ☐ PWQO | | | rface V | Vater) SS (Storm/Sa | anitary Sewer) | | | | | кес | quirea | Analy | SIS | | | | |
| Table 2 Ind/Comm Coarse CCME | ☐ MISA | | | P (P | aint) A (Air) O (Ot | her) | Ĕ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Table 3 ☐ Agri/Other ☐ SU-Sani | SU - Storm | | | Je rs | | | 4+B | | | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| Table Mun: | | | nme | ontair | Sample | Taken | 14. | | | by | | | (S) | | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No Other: Sample ID/Location Name | | Air Volume # Of Containers Date | | | Date | T | PHCs F1-F4+BTEX | VOCs | PAHs | Metals by ICP | _ n | CrV | B (HWS) | | | | | |
| 1 000 | | S | Α . | 2 | 08/28/2024 | Time | ī V | = | A X | <u>×</u> | Ê | Ö | <u> </u> | | | $\overline{}$ | \dashv | \dashv |
| 2 | | 2 | | - | 0812011644 | | H | X | _ | | \square | \square | | | Н | H | \vdash | \dashv |
| 3 | | | | | | - | H | \vdash | H | | | | | | H | H | \dashv | = |
| 4 | | | | | | | H | \vdash | \vdash | | | | | | H | H | H | 퓜 |
| 5 | | | | _ | | | H | H | | | | | | = | H | H | 믬 | = |
| 6 | | | | | | | H | Н | | | | | | | $\vdash \vdash$ | \blacksquare | | = |
| 7 | | | | | | | H | Н | \vdash | | | | | | | = | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | H | | = | | | | | | = | | | |
| 9 | | _ | | | | | H | Н | | | | | | | = | | | |
| 10 | | _ | \vdash | | | | H | | \vdash | | | | | | \vdash | | | = |
| omments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ш | | | 괵 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | vietnoc | of Del | wery: | \cap_{ℓ} | DI. | 06 | 14 | |
| elinquished By (Sign): Received at Depot: | | | | | Received at Lab: | MA | , | 1 | 50 | /erified | By: | <u></u> | ۷ | | بر | 1 | <u>/\</u> | |
| elinquished By (Print): Carson de Ridder | Date/Time: | | | | | Date/Time: \ | Y 182 | 2 | 0 | | Date/Ti | | <u>So</u> |) | 200 | | 00 | 71 |
| ate/Time: AUGUST 29th 2024 | Temperature: | | <u> </u> | 3 | °C | Temperature: | وبا | 8 | 9 | v_{\perp} | | fied: [| Plug | <u>5∞,</u> By: | æd | -7 | 9.5 | scu |



300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Mandy Witteman

Client PO: 58149

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Order #: 2333523

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 2333523-01 | BH1-23-GW |
| 2333523-02 | BH2-23-GW |
| 2333523-03 | BH3-23-GW |
| 2333523-04 | BH4-23-GW |

Approved By:

Mark Froto

Mark Foto, M.Sc.



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 21-Aug-23 | 21-Aug-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 22-Aug-23 | 22-Aug-23 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 21-Aug-23 | 21-Aug-23 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 21-Aug-23 | 21-Aug-23 |
| REG 153: PAHs by GC-MS | EPA 625 - GC-MS, extraction | 23-Aug-23 | 23-Aug-23 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 21-Aug-23 | 21-Aug-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-23-GW | BH2-23-GW | BH3-23-GW | BH4-23-GW | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2333523-01 | 2333523-02 | 2333523-03 | 2333523-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | |
| Lead | 0.1 ug/L | - | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Volatiles | | | | | | т | |
| Acetone | 5 ug/L | - | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | - | 1.1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | - | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1 ug/L | - | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | • | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, | 0.2 ug/L | - | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-23-GW | BH2-23-GW | BH3-23-GW | BH4-23-GW | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | _ | _ |
| | Sample ID: | 2333523-01 | 2333523-02 | 2333523-03 | 2333523-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | <u> </u> | | ! | ! | · | ! | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Hexane | 1 ug/L | - | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5 ug/L | - | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5 ug/L | - | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2 ug/L | - | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | - | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 5 ug/L | - | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | - | 1.1 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1 ug/L | - | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | - | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | - | 84.8% | 88.2% | 89.9% | - | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | - | 110% | 109% | 109% | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | - | 92.0% | 92.1% | 93.0% | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-23-GW | BH2-23-GW | BH3-23-GW | BH4-23-GW | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2333523-01 | 2333523-02 | 2333523-03 | 2333523-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 95.2% | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | <25 | <25 | <25 | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 | - | - |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | <100 | - | - |
| Semi-Volatiles | | • | | | • | | • |
| Acenaphthene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Anthracene | 0.01 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.01 | - | - |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.01 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.01 | - | - |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.01 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.01 | - | • |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Chrysene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Fluoranthene | 0.01 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.01 | - | - |
| Fluorene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH1-23-GW | BH2-23-GW | BH3-23-GW | BH4-23-GW | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | 17-Aug-23 09:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2333523-01 | 2333523-02 | 2333523-03 | 2333523-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.1 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.10 | - | - |
| Naphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Phenanthrene | 0.05 ug/L | - | - | - | <0.05 | - | - |
| Pyrene | 0.01 ug/L | | • | - | <0.01 | - | • |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | - | - | - | 68.6% | - | - |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | - | - | - | 70.3% | - | - |

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Notes | |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|-----------|--|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 0.1 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 12.1 | | % | 60.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 16.0 | | % | 80.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |



Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023 **Project Description: PE6214**

Certificate of Analysis

Client PO: 58149

Method Quality Control: Blank

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 83.1 | | % | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 66.3 | | % | 82.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 88.6 | | % | 111 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 88.6 | | % | 111 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|----------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | ND | 05 | /! | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Metals Lead | ND | 0.1 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| | ND | 0.1 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Volatiles Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Benzene | ND ND | 0.5 | ug/L ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ND | 0.5 | ug/L ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromoform | | 0.5 | ug/L ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | | _ | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 47.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | 45.8 | | | 3.9 | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.50 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | 4.18 | 0.5 | ug/L | 4.03 | | | 3.7 | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 96.0 | | % | | 120 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 80.7 | | % | | 101 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 86.5 | | % | | 108 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 86.5 | | % | | 108 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 1880 | 25 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 85-115 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1820 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 114 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 4480 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 114 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 2740 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 60-140 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | 44.6 | 0.1 | ug/L | ND | 89.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 5.67 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 113 | 50-140 | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 6.46 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 129 | 50-140 | | | |
| Anthracene | 5.38 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 108 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 5.94 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 119 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 5.56 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 111 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 6.24 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 125 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 5.98 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 120 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 4.86 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 97.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chrysene | 5.70 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 114 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 5.68 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 114 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluoranthene | 5.11 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluorene | 5.13 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 103 | 50-140 | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 5.09 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 5.21 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 104 | 50-140 | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 6.00 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 120 | 50-140 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 4.71 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 94.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Phenanthrene | 5.64 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 113 | 50-140 | | | |
| Pyrene | 5.10 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 12.1 | | % | | 60.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 15.7 | | % | | 78.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 97.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 97.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 38.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 95.7 | 60-130 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Bromodichloromethane | 36.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 91.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 31.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 79.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 45.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 115 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 32.6 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 81.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 39.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 97.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 37.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 93.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 31.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 79.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 35.6 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 88.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 37.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 92.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 37.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 92.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 36.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 91.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 41.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 36.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 92.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 38.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 41.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 102 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 40.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 100 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 34.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 87.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 40.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 39.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 98.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 39.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | 35.2 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 88.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 43.6 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 109 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 99.2 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 99.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 99.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 99.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 110 | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 35.4 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 88.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 36.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 91.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 33.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 82.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 33.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 84.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 39.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 98.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 40.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 100 | 60-130 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 58149

Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 38.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 97.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 35.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 89.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 39.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 97.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 41.5 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 104 | 60-130 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 46.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 115 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 81.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 40.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 81.4 | | % | | 102 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 86.7 | | % | | 108 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 79.6 | | % | | 99.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 38.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 95.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 39.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 40.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 100 | 60-130 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 81.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 40.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 99.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 79.6 | | % | | 99.5 | 50-140 | | | |



Report Date: 24-Aug-2023

Order Date: 18-Aug-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Qualifier Notes:

Client PO: 58149

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.





Paracel Order Number (Lab Use Only)

Chain Of Custody (Lab Use Only)

| | LABORATORIES | LTD, | _ | | | | | lon lon | 2 | 33 | 350 | 35 | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------|------|---------------|-------|----------|----------------|-----------------|--------|------------|---------|-----|
| Clie | nt Name: PATERSON | GROUP | | | Proje | ect Ref: | PE6214 | | | | | | | | | Pag | ge <u></u> | f (| |
| L | tact Name: Mandy W | Heman | | | Quot | e #: | 7 | | | | | | | \vdash | | | round | - | |
| Add | tack Name: Mandy W ress: 9 Awiga | | | | PO #: | 7 | 8149 | | | | | | | 1 . | 1 day | | 0 41114 | c 3 | dav |
| 7.1 | | | | Po#: 58149 E-mail: nwitteman@patersongrup.ce | | | | | | | 1 | 2 day | | | | egular | | | |
| 1616 | phone: 613 - 226- | -7381 | | | | | | | | / | 0 | | | Date | Date Required: | | | | |
| - | REG 153/04 REG 406/19 | | egulation | Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Table 1 🔲 Res/Park 🗀 Med/Fin | e REG 558 | ☐ PWQO | 1 ' | SW (Su | ırface \ | Water) SS (Storm/Sa | anitary Sewer) | | Re | | | | | quired Analysis | | | | |
| | Table 2 Ind/Comm Coarse | ☐ CCME | ☐ MISA | | | P (F | Paint) A (Air) O (Ot | her) | X | Г | | | T | | | П | T | | |
| | 「able 3 ☐ Agri/Other | ☐ SU-Sani | □ SU - Storm | | | ers | | | 18+ | | | ۵ | | | | | | | |
| | For RSC: Yes No | Mun: | | | a me | Containers | Sample | e Taken | F1-F4+BTEX | | | oy IC | | | _ | 71 | - | + | |
| | Sample ID/Location | | Matrix | Air Volume | o _f Co | | | PHCs F | VOCs | 웃 | Metals by ICP | | _ | (HWS) | end | | | | |
| 1 | | | Bw | ξ | 12 | Date | Time | 표 | 9 | PAHs | Me | ЭĤ | CrV | 8 | Š | | | | |
| 2 | BH2-23-GW | | | | - | 3 | Aug 17/23 | | X | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | BH3-23-6W | | | ⊬ | - | 4 | - | | X | X | | | | | | X | | | |
| 4 | BH4 - 23 - GN | | | 1 | _ | 4 | | | X | Χ | | | | | | Χ | | | |
| 5 | D114 - 63 - 610 | | | ₹ | _ | 4 | _ ▼ | | X | Χ | χ | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | \perp | \perp | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | \square | - | _ | _ | | _ | _ | _ | \perp | \perp | |
| omm | ents: | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Method | | | - 1 | 0 | | |
| elingu | ished By (Sign): | | Received By Dri | ver/De | pot: | | | Received at Lab: | + | |) | | Verified | | 1300 | 2007 | Co | w | |
| lingu | ished By (Print): | Dempay | Date/Time: | | | | | 1 W | | | | | | So | | | | | |
| ate/T | | Vempay | Temperature: | J. | | | | Date/Time: Aug | 1 1 | 23 | 42 | | | MUMO AND GITAN | | | | | |
| in of | Custody (Blanky Silv.) (2/2e | 7 | Temperature: °C To | | | | Temperature: 14.4°C pH Veri | | | | ified: A By: | | | | | | | | |



300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 58869

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023

Order #: 2346502

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID Client ID

2346502-01 BH2-23-GW2 2346502-02 BH12-23-GW

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 58869

Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| BTEX by P&T GC-MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 20-Nov-23 | 20-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 58869 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH2-23-GW2 | BH12-23-GW | - | - | | |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 06-Nov-23 09:00 | 06-Nov-23 09:00 | - | - | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2346502-01 | 2346502-02 | - | - | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | - | - | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 103% | 106% | - | - | - | - |

Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 58869

Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 82.8 | | % | 103 | 50-140 | | | |



Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 58869

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| L Volatiles | | LIIIII | | resuit | | Liiliit | | Liiiit | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 86.5 | | % | | 108 | 50-140 | | | |



Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 58869

| meaning control opine | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Benzene | 44.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 111 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 42.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 107 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 45.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 114 | 60-130 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 78.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 98.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 41.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 105 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 65.2 | | % | | 81.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |



Report Date: 20-Nov-2023

Order Date: 17-Nov-2023

Project Description: PE6214

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 58869

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Data Revisions:

Certificate of Analysis

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.

| 6 | P | A | R | A | (| F | |
|---|---|------------|----|----|---|---|---|
| | • | <i>'</i> \ | 11 | ,, | C | _ | Ь |

Paracel ID: 2346502

Paracel Order Number

Chain Of Custody

| LABORATORIES LTD. | | | | | | abs.com cm | | | OSO OF | | | | | (Lab | Use O | nly) | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|--|----------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|----------|
| Client Name: PATERSON GROUP | | | Projec | ct Ref: | 9E6214 | | | | | | | | | Pag | ge / c | of (| |
| Contact Name: Mark D'Anny , Jose | ien | Quote | #: | | | | | | | | | | | round | | | |
| Contact Name: Mark D'Aun, Joshua Dempsey Address: 9 Aunga Drive | | | | | 8869 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 day | | | | day |
| 1 smila Onive | | | | | | oa terson | Chen | 1. Ca | | | | 1 | , | | | | Regular |
| Telephone: 613-226-7381 | | | | | dempsey (| paterson | rea | , y, (| · < | | | | 2 day Requ | | | ∠ R | eguiar |
| Element = | r Regulation | Γ. | | | | | | | 0.10.3 | THE PA | - S - C - C - | Date | nequ | iieu. | | | |
| □ Table 1 □ Res/Park □ Med/Fine □ REG 558 □ PWQO | | | | | S (Soil/Sed.) GW (G Water) SS (Storm/Sa | | | | | | Re | quire | d Ana | lysis | | | |
| ☐ Table 2 ☐ Ind/Comm ☐ Coarse ☐ CCME . | ☐ MISA | P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other) | | | | | × | | | | | | 1 | | 20000 | | |
| ☐ Table 3 ☐ Agri/Other ☐ SU - Sani | □ SU - Storm | , n | | | | | F1-F4+BTEX | | | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Table Mun: | | યું કું Sample Taken | | | | a Takon | F4+ | | | O P | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No Other: | | | | | raken | | | | s by | | | (3) | X | | | | |
| Sample ID/Location Name | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of | Date | Time | PHCs | VOCs | PAHs | Metals by ICP | В́Н | CrVI | B (HWS) | BTEX | | | |
| 1 BHZ-23-GWZ | | | | 2 | Nar 6/23 | | <u> </u> | - | ш. | _ | | 0 | 00 | | + | + | + |
| 2 BH12-23-GW | | | | 2 | 4 | | + | _ | | | - | | | X | + | + | + |
| 3, | | | | - | · | | \vdash | - | - | | | | - | - | + | +- | + |
| 4 | | | | | | | \vdash | - | _ | | | | | \vdash | + | + | + |
| 5 | | | | - | | | + | | | _ | | | _ | | + | + | \vdash |
| 6 | | | | | | | - | _ | _ | _ | | | _ | | \perp | | ╄ |
| 7 | | | | _ | | | - | | | | | | | | \perp | \perp | \perp |
| 8 | | | | _ | | | \vdash | | | _ | | | | | \perp | + | _ |
| 9 | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | \perp | \perp | _ |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | \perp | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Metho | d of De | - | | | | |
| delinquished By (Sign): | Received By Dri | ver/De | pot: | 24.0 | | Receive (Nah | | | | | Verifie |) | W | 16 | - | | |
| Pelinquished By (Print) | | | | | | Received Lab: | | 19/19 | | | verifie | ed By: SO | | | | | |
| Joshur Dempsey | Date/Time: | | | | | Date/Times 17 1973 1550 Da | | | | | Date/T | te/Time: Na17, 2023 4:02p | | | | | |
| Pate/Time: Nav 16/2/3 | Temperature: | | °C Temperature | | | | 3.4 | ° C | | | pH Ver | l Verified: ☐ By: | | | | | |



300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 61236

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Order #: 2437221

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Paracel ID | Client ID |
|------------|------------|
| 2437221-01 | BH3-24-GW1 |
| 2437221-02 | BH4-24-GW1 |
| 2437221-03 | BH5-24-GW1 |
| 2437221-04 | Dup1-GW1 |
| | |

Approved By:

Mark Froto

Mark Foto, M.Sc.



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 12-Sep-24 | 12-Sep-24 |
| PHC F1 | CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID | 11-Sep-24 | 11-Sep-24 |
| PHCs F2 to F4 | CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction | 12-Sep-24 | 12-Sep-24 |
| REG 153: PAHs by GC-MS | EPA 625 - GC-MS, extraction | 12-Sep-24 | 13-Sep-24 |
| REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS | EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS | 11-Sep-24 | 11-Sep-24 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH3-24-GW1 | BH4-24-GW1 | BH5-24-GW1 | Dup1-GW1 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 10-Sep-24 11:30 | 10-Sep-24 12:15 | 10-Sep-24 14:00 | 10-Sep-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2437221-01 | 2437221-02 | 2437221-03 | 2437221-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Metals | · | | • | • | • | | • |
| Lead | 0.1 ug/L | <0.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Benzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromoform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - | - |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Chloroform | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | _ |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, | 0.2 ug/L | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | - | - |

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH3-24-GW1 | BH4-24-GW1 | BH5-24-GW1 | Dup1-GW1 | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 10-Sep-24 11:30 | 10-Sep-24 12:15 | 10-Sep-24 14:00 | 10-Sep-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2437221-01 | 2437221-02 | 2437221-03 | 2437221-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Hexane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 ug/L | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | <2.0 | - | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 ug/L | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | <5.0 | - | - |
| Styrene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Toluene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 ug/L | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | - | - |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| m,p-Xylenes | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 ug/L | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | - | - |
| Dibromofluoromethane | Surrogate | 112% | 112% | 111% | 111% | - | - |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene | Surrogate | 88.5% | 87.5% | 87.0% | 86.6% | - | - |
| Toluene-d8 | Surrogate | 104% | 105% | 106% | 105% | - | - |
| Hydrocarbons | | | • | T | 1 | | - |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 25 ug/L | <25 | <25 | <25 | - | - | - |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - | - | - |

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH3-24-GW1 | BH4-24-GW1 | BH5-24-GW1 | Dup1-GW1 | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|----------|
| | Sample Date: | 10-Sep-24 11:30 | 10-Sep-24 12:15 | 10-Sep-24 14:00 | 10-Sep-24 00:00 | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2437221-01 | 2437221-02 | 2437221-03 | 2437221-04 | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | • | | • |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - | - | - |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 100 ug/L | <100 | <100 | <100 | - | - | - |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | , | , | • | <u>'</u> |
| Acenaphthene | 0.05 ug/L | 2.45 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.05 ug/L | 2.20 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Anthracene | 0.01 ug/L | 0.87 | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.01 ug/L | 1.29 | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.01 ug/L | 1.21 | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.50 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.36 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.37 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Chrysene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.96 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.18 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluoranthene | 0.01 ug/L | 1.72 | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluorene | 0.05 ug/L | 2.13 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.48 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | 5.62 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | 0.49 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.10 ug/L | 6.11 | <0.10 | - | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Phenanthrene | 0.05 ug/L | 2.09 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Pyrene | 0.01 ug/L | 2.72 | <0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | 63.0% | 63.7% | - | - | - | - |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | 81.9% | 82.7% | - | - | - | - |

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | | | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ND | 100 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 0.1 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 10.8 | | % | 53.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 14.9 | | % | 74.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Xylenes, total | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 70.8 | | % | 88.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 88.1 | | % | 110 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 84.0 | | % | 105 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ND | 25 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | ND | 0.1 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | ND | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Hexane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|------|--------------|-------|
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ND | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Styrene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Toluene | 15.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | 18.3 | | | 15.8 | 30 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichloroethylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Vinyl chloride | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| m,p-Xylenes | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 69.9 | | % | | 87.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 89.3 | | % | | 112 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 82.8 | | % | | 104 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024 Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Hydrocarbons | | | | | | | | | |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 1940 | 25 | ug/L | ND | 113 | 85-115 | | | |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 1460 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 91.3 | 60-140 | | | |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 3770 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 96.1 | 60-140 | | | |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | 1740 | 100 | ug/L | ND | 70.3 | 60-140 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | 44.6 | 0.1 | ug/L | ND | 89.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 3.75 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 74.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 3.73 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 74.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Anthracene | 3.21 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 64.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 3.84 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 76.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 3.94 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 78.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 3.54 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 70.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 3.86 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 77.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 3.45 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 68.9 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chrysene | 3.78 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 75.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 4.11 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 82.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluoranthene | 3.57 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 71.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluorene | 3.32 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 66.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 4.26 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 85.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 3.26 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 65.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 3.34 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 66.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 3.59 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 71.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Phenanthrene | 3.57 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 71.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Pyrene | 3.44 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 68.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 13.4 | | % | | 66.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 17.4 | | % | | 87.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone | 95.3 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 95.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzene | 37.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 93.9 | 60-130 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|--|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Bromodichloromethane | 38.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 94.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromoform | 31.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 79.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Bromomethane | 34.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 85.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 36.0 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 89.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 35.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 89.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| Chloroform | 38.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 95.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 35.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 89.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 42.3 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 106 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 34.8 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 86.9 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 37.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 92.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 36.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 90.5 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 41.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 41.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 103 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 42.7 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 107 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 37.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 92.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 42.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 106 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 36.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 90.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 38.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 96.2 | 60-130 | | | |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 38.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 95.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 33.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 84.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | 38.2 | 0.2 | ug/L | ND | 95.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Hexane | 32.4 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 81.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 97.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 97.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 97.7 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 97.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 83.3 | 2.0 | ug/L | ND | 83.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Methylene Chloride | 44.0 | 5.0 | ug/L | ND | 110 | 60-130 | | | |
| Styrene | 32.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 80.4 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 33.1 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 82.7 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 37.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 93.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 31.0 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 77.6 | 60-130 | | | |
| Toluene | 36.2 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 90.5 | 60-130 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 16-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 35.6 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 89.0 | 60-130 | | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 40.4 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 101 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichloroethylene | 33.5 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 83.8 | 60-130 | | | |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 46.8 | 1.0 | ug/L | ND | 117 | 60-130 | | | |
| Vinyl chloride | 29.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 73.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| m,p-Xylenes | 68.9 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 86.1 | 60-130 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 33.3 | 0.5 | ug/L | ND | 83.3 | 60-130 | | | |
| Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 60.8 | | % | | 76.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 89.6 | | % | | 112 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 81.1 | | % | | 101 | 50-140 | | | |



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Order Date: 10-Sep-2024

Client PO: 61236 Project Description: PE6214

Qualifier Notes:

Login Qualifiers:

Sample(s) received and not indicated on the COC. Proceed with analyses as directed by client

Applies to Samples: Dup1-GW1

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

CCME PHC additional information:

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.

| 6 | P | A | R | A | C | EL | |
|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|------|--|
| | LA | BOR | AT | 081 | ES | ITD. | |



Paracel Order Number

Chain Of Custody

| LABORATORES | | | | | int Blvd, 1G 4J8 labs.com | | (Lab | Use O | nly) | | | | | b Use | Only) | uy | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | L 1 D 7 | | _ | | | bom | 24 | 13= | 12 | 2/ | | | | | | | |
| Client Name: PATERSON Contact Name: | 1. 1 | | _ | ect Ref: | PEG14 | | | | | | | \top | | Pa | age ' | of) | |
| MARK D'AR | CY Josh DEMPSE | 4 | Quot | | | | | | | | | | Turnaround Time | | | | |
| 9 AURIGA Dr. NO | ener ii eel | / | PO # | 6 | 1236 | | | | | | | ۱. | l 1 day | | | |] 3 day |
| | | | E-ma | 11 | ARLY & PA | reasonar | очр | ca. | | | | ┥ | □ 2 day | | | | |
| Telephone: 613 226 - | - 7381 | | | JDEmpsey@ II II . II Date Required: | | | | | | | | C | r negu | | | | |
| REG 153/04 REG 406/19 | Other Regulation | T, | | | | | | | | Date | nequ | meu. | _ | | | | |
| ☐ Table 1 ☐ Res/Park ☐ Med/Fine | REG 558 PWQO | ┤ ' | SW (St | latrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) W (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) Req | | | | | quire | d Ana | lysis | | | | | | |
| ☐ Table 2 ☐ Ind/Comm ☐ Coarse | | | | | | ther) | × | _ | _ | _ | _ | 1 | | _ | | | |
| ☐ Table 3 ☐ Agri/Other | ☐ SU - Sani ☐ SU - Storm | | Τ | 2 | | | F1-F4+BTEX | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table | | 9 | Containers | Sample | e Taken | F4+ | | | 원 | | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No | ı.ĕ | Air Volume | Cont | | | Į Ę | | | δ. | | | (S) | 5 | | | | |
| Sample ID/Locatio | n Name | Matrix | Air | # of | Date | Time | PHCs | VOCs | PAHs | Metals | Нg | CrVI | B (HWS) | LEA | | | |
| 1 BH3-24-6W1 | • | GW | | 5 | SEP. 10/24 | 11:30A | × | X | X | 2 | I | 0 | B | X | \vdash | \rightarrow | + |
| 2 BH4 - 24 - GW1 | | 1 | | 4 | 1 | 12:15 | \ <u>\</u> | y. | X | | _ | | | ^ | \vdash | \rightarrow | + |
| 3 BH5-24-GW1 | | 1 | | 4 | | | × | - | X | | | | | | | + | \perp |
| 4 | | | | , | | 2:007 | * | X | X | | | | | | | \perp | \perp |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| omments: | | | | | | | | | | | | | \neg | \neg | \top | \top | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | N | Method | of Deli | very: | | | | |
| linquished By (Sign): | nt: | | | | | | | | | F | C-1 | a c | el | Co | uvi | er | |
| included at Depot; | | | | | | Received at Lab: Verifie | | | | | | led By: | | | | | |
| linquished By (Print): Date/Time: | | | | Date/Time: | | | | ime: Sept-29 16:30 Date/ | | | | | STADOM SO | | | | |
| e/Time: SEPT 10 - 2024 Temperature: | | | | °C Temperature: 19.9 | | | | 76.30 | | | | Sept. 10, 24 | | | | | |
| at Distanti (Enul viev | | | | Davids - F.O. | remperature: 19.9 20.6 pH Verif | | | | | fied: A By: So | | | | | | | |



300 - 2319 St. Laurent Blvd Ottawa, ON, K1G 4J8 1-800-749-1947 www.paracellabs.com

Certificate of Analysis

Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

9 Auriga Drive

Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9

Attn: Joshua Dempsey

Client PO: 61236

Project: PE6214

Custody:

Approved By:

Report Date: 18-Sep-2024

Order Date: 17-Sep-2024

Order #: 2438142

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

Paracel ID Client ID

2438142-01 BH5-24-GW1

Dos



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 18-Sep-2024

Order Date: 17-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| REG 153: PAHs by GC-MS | EPA 625 - GC-MS, extraction | 17-Sep-24 | 18-Sep-24 |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236 Project Description: PE6214

| | Client ID: | BH5-24-GW1 | - | - | - | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Sample Date: | 17-Sep-24 11:30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Sample ID: | 2438142-01 | - | - | - | | |
| | Matrix: | Ground Water | - | - | - | | |
| | MDL/Units | | | | | | |
| Semi-Volatiles | - | | | | • | | |
| Acenaphthene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Anthracene | 0.01 ug/L | <0.01 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 0.01 ug/L | <0.01 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 0.01 ug/L | <0.01 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Chrysene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fluoranthene | 0.01 ug/L | <0.01 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fluorene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | 0.10 ug/L | <0.10 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Naphthalene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Phenanthrene | 0.05 ug/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pyrene | 0.01 ug/L | <0.01 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Surrogate | 85.2% | - | - | - | - | - |
| Terphenyl-d14 | Surrogate | 94.5% | - | - | - | - | - |

Report Date: 18-Sep-2024

Order Date: 17-Sep-2024

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 18-Sep-2024

Order Date: 17-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Anthracene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Chrysene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Fluorene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ND | 0.10 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Naphthalene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 0.05 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Pyrene | ND | 0.01 | ug/L | | | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 10.1 | | % | 50.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 19.0 | | % | 95.0 | 50-140 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Client PO: 61236

Report Date: 18-Sep-2024

Order Date: 17-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|-------|------------------|------|---------------|-----|--------------|-------|
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | • | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | 3.87 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 77.3 | 50-140 | | | |
| Acenaphthylene | 4.04 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 80.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Anthracene | 3.27 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 65.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] anthracene | 3.74 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 74.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [a] pyrene | 4.34 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 86.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [b] fluoranthene | 3.46 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 69.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [g,h,i] perylene | 4.04 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 80.7 | 50-140 | | | |
| Benzo [k] fluoranthene | 3.70 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 74.1 | 50-140 | | | |
| Chrysene | 3.68 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 73.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene | 4.47 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 89.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluoranthene | 3.51 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 70.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Fluorene | 3.96 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 79.2 | 50-140 | | | |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 4.49 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 89.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 3.63 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 72.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 3.67 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 73.4 | 50-140 | | | |
| Naphthalene | 3.75 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 75.0 | 50-140 | | | |
| Phenanthrene | 3.73 | 0.05 | ug/L | ND | 74.6 | 50-140 | | | |
| Pyrene | 3.39 | 0.01 | ug/L | ND | 67.8 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 18.7 | | % | | 93.5 | 50-140 | | | |
| Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14 | 18.3 | | % | | 91.3 | 50-140 | | | |



Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers (Ottawa)

Order #: 2438142

Report Date: 18-Sep-2024

Order Date: 17-Sep-2024

Project Description: PE6214

Certificate of Analysis

Client PO: 61236

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liabilty in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.

| 6 | РΑ | RA | C | EL | |
|---|----|----|---|----|--|
| | | | | | |

Paracel ID: 2438142

Chain Of Custody Paracel Order Number

| CABORATORIES CID. RE | | | 2C | | Use 0 | | | | | (Lab l | Use Onl | () | | | | |
|---|----------|------------|-------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------|------|----------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------|---------------|----------|--------|
| Client Name: Parterson | | Projec | ct Ref: | PE6214 | | | | | | | \vdash | | Page | e <u>l</u> of | 1 | - |
| Address: Osh Demosey / Mark Bijaki. | | Quote | #: | | | | | | | | | Т | - | ound Ti | | \neg |
| Address: 9 Auriga drive | | PO#: | 61 | 236 | | | | | | | | 1 day | | | 3 | dav |
| | | E-mail | :50 | empsey & pate | erangrap. | · e(| | - | | | | 2 day | | | | egular |
| Telephone: C13 - 226 - 7381 | | 1 | Mo | jallie poterse | agrapaca | | | | | | | Date Required: | | | | |
| REG 153/04 REG 406/19 Other Regulation | M | | | | | | | | | | | | | | = | |
| ☐ Table 1 ☐ Res/Park ☐ Med/Fine ☐ REG 558 ☐ PWQO | s | W (Su | rface V | S (Soil/Sed.) GW (G Vater) SS (Storm/Sa | | | | | Re | equired Analysis | | | | | - | |
| ☐ Table 2 ☐ Ind/Comm ☐ Coarse ☐ CCME ☐ MISA | | | P (P | aint) A (Air) O (Oth | ner) | X | | Г | | | 1 | | Т | \top | Т | \Box |
| ☐ Table 3 ☐ Agri/Other ☐ SU-Storm ☐ SU-Storm ☐ Mun: | | | ers | | | PHCs F1-F4+BTEX | | | ۵ | | | | | | | |
| Muli. | | nme | Containers | Sample | Taken | 4- | | | y IC | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: Yes No Other: Sample ID/Location Name | Matrix | Air Volume | of Co | | | 200 | VOCs | PAHs | Metals by ICP | | _ | B (HWS) | | | | |
| a Directory | E Gw | Ϋ́ | # | Date | Time | Ŧ | 8 | A | Me Me | Hg | S S | B (| | | | |
| 2 | GW | | | Sept 17 2024 | 11:30An | | | \times | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | - | - | _ | | | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | | | | | | |
| 4 | \dashv | - | | | | Щ | | | Щ | Щ | | | | | | |
| 5 | \dashv | - | | | | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | | | | | |
| 6 | \dashv | \dashv | | | | Щ | Щ | | Щ | Щ | Щ | | | _ | | |
| 7 | \dashv | - | | | | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | Щ | | | | | | |
| 8 | \dashv | - | \dashv | | | Щ | Щ | Щ | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | \dashv | - | | | | | Щ | Щ | | | | | _ | | | |
| 10 | \dashv | \dashv | - | | | Щ | Щ | Щ | | | | | _ _ | | | |
| Comments: Replacement Sample - ERUC - Du Gu | | | | | a)) (1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Comments: Replace ment sample of BH5-24-600 Dempsy on Sept 16th 2024 Parac Relinquished By (Sign): March 1954. Received at Depot: | e/ | as p ID | 24 : | email loy 37221) | Stephan C | oleven | d to | Je | ish 1 | Methpd | pd of Delivery: | | | | | |
| 10-carc 12 /4, 1 | : | 17 | wr. | , , , , , | Received at Lab: | . 0 | | | - | /erified | ICUCIO. | | | | \dashv | |
| Relinquished By (Drint) | | | | | Date/Ti | So | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temperature: °C | | | | | Temperature: 23.8 pH Verified: By: | | | | ·lopin | | | | | | | |
| pain of Custody (Engl) view | | | d |) e Z |) | l, | | neur L | - | 21. | | | | | | |

APPENDIX 2

TABLE 1: TEST HOLE SUMMARY

TABLE 2: SOIL TESTING SUMMARY

TABLE 3: GROUNDWATER TESTING SUMMARY

TABLE 4: GROUNDWATER LEVELS

TABLE 5: STABILIZED WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS

TABLE 6: SOIL ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS

TABLE 6A: MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS – SOIL

TABLE 7: GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL TEST RESULTS

TABLE 7A: MAXIMUM CONCENTRATIONS – GROUNDWATER

TABLE 8: QA/QC CALCULATIONS



| Test Hole ID | Date of Construction (dd-mm-yy) | Well Diameter (mm) | Ground Surface Elevation (masl) | Test Hole Depth (m) | Test Hole Bottom Elevation (masl) | Well Screen Length (m) | Well Screen Interval (mbgs) | Well Screen Interval (masl) | Geologic Media Intercepted by Well Screen |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| BH1-23 | 10-Aug-2023 | 50 | 74.91 | 5.18 | 69.73 | 1.52 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 71.25 - 69.73 | Silty Clay |
| BH2-23 | 10-Aug-2023 | 50 | 74.93 | 5.18 | 69.75 | 1.52 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 71.27 - 69.75 | Silty Clay |
| BH3-23 | 11-Aug-2023 | 50 | 74.93 | 5.18 | 69.75 | 1.52 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 71.27 - 69.75 | Fill |
| BH4-23 | 11-Aug-2023 | 50 | 75.11 | 5.18 | 69.93 | 1.52 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 71.45 - 69.93 | Silty Clay |
| BH1-24 | 29-Aug-2024 | 50 | 74.93 | 25.00 | 49.93 | | - | • | Fill |
| BH2-24 | 29-Aug-2024 | 50 | 75.07 | 4.57 | 70.5 | | - | ı | Fill |
| BH3-24 | 29-Aug-2024 | 50 | 74.90 | 4.57 | 70.33 | 1.52 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 71.85 - 70.33 | Fill |
| BH4-24 | 29-Aug-2024 | 50 | 75.05 | 4.57 | 70.48 | 1.52 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 72 - 70.48 | Fill |
| BH5-24 | 29-Aug-2024 | 50 | 75.05 | 4.57 | 70.48 | 1.52 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 72 - 70.48 | Fill |



| | | | | | P | aramete | r Groups | Analyze | d |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Sample ID and Laboratory ID | Sample Depth (mbgs) | Sampling Date (dd-mm-yy) | Rationale | PID Vapour Reading (ppm) | SOHd | втех | SDOA | PAHs | Metals |
| BH1-23-SS5 2332357-01 | 3.05-3.66 | 10-Aug-2023 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of unknown fill material and former on-site fuel oil UST (APEC4, APEC8). | 101.9 | √ | ✓ | | | |
| BH1-23-SS6 2332357-02 | 3.05-3.66 | 10-Aug-2023 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the former on-site fuel oil UST (APEC4). | 80.1 | > | ✓ | | | |
| BH2-23-SS4 2332357-05 | 2.29-2.90 | 10-Aug-2023 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of unknown fill material and former on-site gasoline USTSs (APEC1, APEC8). | 74.8 | √ | ✓ | | | |
| BH2-23-SS5 (Top) 2332357-06 | 3.05-3.334 | 10-Aug-2023 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of unknown fill material and former on-site gasoline USTs (APEC1, APEC8). | 86.6 | √ | √ | | | |
| BH3-23-SS6 2333079-03 | 3.81-4.42 | 11-Aug-2023 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the former on-site pump island (APEC2). | 17.3 | ✓ | ✓ | | | |
| BH4-23-SS3 2333079-05 | 1.52-2.13 | 11-Aug-2023 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of unknown fill material and former on-site waste oil UST (APEC5, APEC8). | 4.5 | √ | ✓ | | | |
| BH1-24-SS5 2435485-02 | 3.05-3.66 | 28-Aug-2024 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of the former underground gasoline tanks (APEC1). | 2.3 | ✓ | √ | | | √ |
| BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 | 3.05-3.66 | 28-Aug-2024 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presenece of a former furnace oil above ground storage tank. | 75.8 | √ | | √ | √ | √ |
| BH3-24-SS3 2435485-05 | 0.76-1.37 | 28-Aug-2024 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of unknown fill material and former pump island (APEC2, APEC8) | 0.4 | √ | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| BH4-24-SS2 2435485-07 | 0.76-1.37 | 28-Aug-2024 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of unknown fill material and autromotive service garage (APEC3, APEC8). | 0 | √ | | √ | | ✓ |
| BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 | 3.05-3.66 | 28-Aug-2024 | Assess potential impacts in the soil resulting from the presence of the existing waste oil AST and oil water seperator (APEC6, APEC7). | 0.1 | √ | | √ | √ | √ |
| DUP 2435485-11 | 3.05-3.66 | 28-Aug-2024 | Duplicate soil sample (BH2-24-SS5) for QA/QC purposes. | 75.8 | ✓ | | √ | √ | ✓ |



| | | | | Par | ametei | r Group | s Analy | zed |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Sample ID and Laboratory ID | Sample Depth (mbgs) | Sampling Date (dd-mm-yy) | Rationale | PHCs | ВТЕХ | VOCs | PAHs | Lead |
| BH1-23-GW 2333523-01 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 17-Aug-2023 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the former on-site fuel oil UST. | √ | √ | | | |
| BH2-23-GW 2333523-02 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 17-Aug-2023 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the former on-site underground gasoline tanks. | √ | | √ | | √ |
| BH3-23-GW 2333523-03 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 17-Aug-2023 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the former on-site pump island. | √ | | √ | | √ |
| BH4-23-GW 2333523-04 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 17-Aug-2023 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the former on-site waste oil UST. | √ | | √ | √ | |
| BH2-23-GW2 2346502-01 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 6-Nov-2023 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the former on-site underground gasoline tanks. | | √ | | | |
| BH12-23-GW 2346502-02 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 6-Nov-2023 | Duplicate groundwater sample (BH2-23-GW2) for QA/QC purposes. | | √ | | | |
| BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 10-Sep-2024 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the former on-site pump island. | √ | √ | √ | \ | √ |
| BH4-24-GW1 2437221-02 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 10-Sep-2024 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the on-site maintenance operations. | > | √ | √ | > | |
| BH5-24-GW1 2437221-03 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 10-Sep-2024 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the on-site waste oil AST and oil water seperator. | √ | √ | √ | | |
| Dup1-GW1 2437221-04 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 10-Sep-2024 | Duplicate groundwater sample (BH3-24-GW1) for QA/QC purposes. | | | √ | | |
| BH5-24-GW1 2438142-01 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 17-Sep-2024 | To assess potential groundwater impacts from the on-site waste oil AST and oil water seperator. | | | | √ | |



| Test Hole ID | Ground Surface Elevation (masl) | Water Level Depth (m) | Water Level Elevation (masl) | Date of Measurement (dd-mm-yyyy) |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| BH1-23 | 74.91 | 2.76 | 72.15 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH2-24 | 74.93 | 2.88 | 72.05 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH3-23 | 74.93 | 2.80 | 72.13 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH4-23 | 75.11 | 2.88 | 72.23 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH3-24 | 74.93 | 2.80 | 72.13 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH4-24 | 75.07 | 2.92 | 72.15 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH5-24 | 74.90 | 2.86 | 72.04 | 10-Sep-2024 |



Table 5: Stabilized Water Quality Parameters

Phase II ESA 2506 Innes Road Ottawa, Ontario

| Test Hole ID | Temperature (°C) | Conductivity (μS) | рН | Date of Measurement (dd-mm-yyyy) |
|--------------|------------------|----------------------|------|--|
| BH3-24 | 14.9 | 3311 | 8.66 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH4-24 | 15 | 1082 | 8.79 | 10-Sep-2024 |
| BH5-24 | 15.2 | 572 | 8.54 | 10-Sep-2024 |

Report: PE6214-3 September 2024



| Part | Parameter | Units | MDL | Regulation | BH1-23-SS5 2332357-01 | BH1-23-SS6 2332357-02 | BH2-23-SS4 2332357-05 | BH2-23-SS5 (Top) 2332357-06 | BH3-23-SS6 2333079-03 | BH4-23-SS3 2333079-05 | BH1-24-SS5 2435485-02 | BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 | BH3-24-SS3 2435485-05 | BH4-24-SS2 2435485-07 | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 | DUP 2435485-11 |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------|------|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Company | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3.05-3.66 28-Aug-2024 |
| Section Sect | | ate | | coarse | 10-Aug-2023 | 10-Aug-2023 | 10-Aug-2025 | 10-Aug-2023 | 11-Aug-2025 | 11-Aug-2025 | 20-Aug-2024 | 20-Aug-2024 | 28-Aug-2024 | 20-Aug-2024 | 20-Aug-2024 | 20-Aug-2024 |
| March Marc | | % by Wt. | 0.1 | | 86.4 | 63.5 | 89.2 | 87.1 | 64.9 | 92 | 61.2 | 84.9 | 91 | 91 | 66.1 | 85.2 |
| Section Sect | рН | pH Units | 0.05 | NV | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7 | N/A | 7.22 | N/A | N/A |
| Section Section 1 | | ua/a dry | 1 | 75 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) |
| Exchange 1497 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2.1 |
| Company | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 32.4 ND (0.5) |
| Description 1997 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (5.0) |
| Early | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.5) |
| March March 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13.5 4.1 |
| Declaration | | ug/g dry | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11.2 |
| March | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7.2 ND (1.0) |
| March Marc | Nickel | ug/g dry | | 100 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 58.3 | 8.5 | 6.6 | 6.1 | 67.6 | 9.4 |
| Destart | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (1.0) ND (0.3) |
| Professor Prof | Thallium | | | 1.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) |
| Section Sect | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (1.0) 20.8 |
| March Marc | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 20.8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (0.00) | | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) |
| Description-Controlled Copy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.50) ND (0.02) |
| Demonstration | Bromodichloromethane | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 13 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Substitution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| Description | Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 0.05 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Demonstrate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| 12-00-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10- | Dibromochloromethane | | 0.05 | 9.4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| 1-2-2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| 1.5-Self-commitment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) |
| 2-89-Hersenhers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) |
| 1.5-00-10-00-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| 1000-12-0-12-0-12-0-12-0-12-0-12-0-12-0 | 1,1-Dichloroethylene | | 0.05 | 0.05 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| 2.5-01-05-09-09-09-09-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| Section of the composition of | 1,2-Dichloropropane | | 0.05 | 0.05 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| \$\$\frac{1}{2}\text{Discrepances, total with \$\frac{1}{2}\text{Discrepances, total with \$\frac{1}{2}\text{Discrepances}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Discrepance}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Discrepance}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Discrepance}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \text{Visible}\$ \qua | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| Compared former control of Endomer control of End | 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 0.05 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Passes Sept | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| Marth Workship Marther Marth Var Durph Service Wig 6 mp 0.5 1.7 N/A | Hexane | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 2.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Description | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.50) ND (0.50) |
| System | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) |
| \$\frac{1}{2}.1.3.7-\frac{1}{2}-referente forcethings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| Testacheroshylane uylg dry 0.55 2.33 N/A N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) |
| Tolume wile sty 5 to 5 0.38 N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) |
| 1.1.3.7 Tick-Discoethers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| Trichlororthylene | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) |
| Trichbordborromethance ug/g dny 0.05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) |
| m/p Nylene | Trichlorofluoromethane | | 0.05 | 4.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Define wight day 0.05 3.1 N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.02) ND (0.05) |
| Bername ugfg dry 0.02 0.21 ND (0.02) ND (0.05) ND (0.0 | o-Xylene | | 0.05 | 3.1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Benzeme | | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 3.1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) |
| Toluene ug/g dry 0.05 2.3 ND (0.05) ND (0.05 | Benzene | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | N/A N/A |
| Expenses Use | m/p-Xylene | ug/g dry | 0.05 | 3.1 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Pythocochons Pyth | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | N/A N/A |
| 12 PHCs (C10-C16) | Hydrocarbons | | 5.05 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 PHCs (C14-C24) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 359 |
| Fabric (C34-C50) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 359 |
| Acenaphthylene | | | 6 | 2800 | 40 | ND (6) | 31 | 17 | ND (6) | 69 | 29 | ND (6) |
| Acenaphthylene | | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 7.9 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.08 | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | 0.07 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene ug/g dry 0.02 0.02 0.03 N/A | Acenaphthylene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.15 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) |
| Benzo[a]pyrene ug/g dry 0.02 0.3 N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) |
| Benzo[k]hi]perylene ug/g dry 0.02 0.02 0.05 0.78 N/A | Benzo[a]pyrene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.3 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) |
| Benzo[A]Huoranthene | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) |
| Chrysene ug/g dry 0.02 7.0 N/A N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) |
| Fluoranthene ug/g dry 0.02 0.69 N/A N/ | Chrysene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 7.0 | N/A | | N/A | | | | | ND (0.02) | | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) |
| Fluorene ug/g dry 0.02 0.22 0.38 N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | Fluorene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 62 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.14 | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | 0.12 |
| 2-Methyinaphthalene ug/g dry 0.02 0.99 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/O.02) N/A N/A N/O.022 N/A N/A N/O.023 N/A N/O.024 N/O.045 N/O | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) |
| Naphthalene ug/g dry 0.01 0.6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/O (0.01) N/A N/A ND (0.01) | 2-Methylnaphthalene | ug/g dry | 0.02 | 0.99 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ND (0.04) |
| | Naphthalene Phenanthrene | ug/g dry ug/g dry | 0.01 | 6.2 | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 0.22 | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.01) ND (0.02) | ND (0.01) 0.18 |
| | | ug/g dry | 0.02 | | | | | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.02) | | | | ND (0.02) |

2.00 Result exceeds Reg 153/04-Table 3 Residential, coarse Standards
ND (0.2) MDL exceeds Reg 153/04-Table 3 Residential, coarse Standards
ND (0.2) No concentrations identified above the MDL
NA Parameter not analysed
NV No value given for indicated parameter



Table 6A: Maximum Concentrations Soil

Phase II ESA 2506 Innes Road Ottawa, Ontario

| Parameter | Sample ID / Depth (m) | Units | Reg 153/04-Table 3 Residential, coarse Standards | Concentration |
|-------------------------|--|----------|--|---------------|
| рН | BH4-24-SS2 2435485-07 - 0.76-1.37 | pH Units | NV | 7.22 |
| Arsenic | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 18 | 3.6 |
| Barium | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 390 | 286 |
| Beryllium | BH1-24-SS5 2435485-02 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 4.0 | 0.8 |
| Boron | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 120 | 7.6 |
| Chromium | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 160 | 129 |
| Cobalt | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 22 | 23.2 |
| Copper | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 140 | 47.5 |
| Lead | BH1-24-SS5 2435485-02 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 120 | 8.5 |
| Nickel | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 100 | 67.6 |
| Uranium | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 23 | 1.7 |
| Vanadium | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 86 | 103 |
| Zinc | BH5-24-SS5 2435485-10 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 340 | 118 |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | DUP 2435485-11 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 55 | 18 |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 98 | 403 |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 300 | 335 |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | BH4-23-SS3 2333079-05 - 1.52-2.13 | ug/g dry | 2800 | 69 |
| Acenaphthene | BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 7.9 | 0.08 |
| Fluorene | BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 62 | 0.14 |
| Phenanthrene | BH2-24-SS5 2435485-03 - 3.05-3.66 | ug/g dry | 6.2 | 0.22 |
| All remaining parameter | s analysed were reported non-detect in all sam | ples. | | |



| Parameter | Units | MDL | Regulation | BH1-23-GW 2333523-01 | BH2-23-GW 2333523-02 | BH3-23-GW 2333523-03 | BH4-23-GW 2333523-04 | BH2-23-GW2 2346502-01 | BH12-23-GW 2346502-02 | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 | BH4-24-GW1 2437221-02 | BH5-24-GW1 2437221-03 | Dup1-GW1 2437221-04 | BH5-24-GW1 2438142-01 |
|---|--------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sample Dep | pth (m) | | Reg 153/04-Table 3 Non-Potable | 3.66 - 5.18 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 3.66 - 5.18 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 3.05 - 4.57 | 3.05 - 4.57 |
| Sample D | Date | | Groundwater, coarse | 17-Aug-2023 | 17-Aug-2023 | 17-Aug-2023 | 17-Aug-2023 | 6-Nov-2023 | 6-Nov-2023 | 10-Sep-2024 | 10-Sep-2024 | 10-Sep-2024 | 10-Sep-2024 | 17-Sep-2024 |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead | ug/L | 0.1 | 25 | N/A | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.1) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acetone Benzene | ug/L | 5.0 0.5 | 130000 44 | N/A | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A 1.50 | N/A 1.50 | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L ug/L | 0.5 | 85000 | ND (0.5) N/A | 1.10 ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | 1.50 N/A | 1.50 N/A | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | N/A N/A |
| Bromoform | ug/L | 0.5 | 380 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 5.6 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ug/L | 0.2 | 0.79 | N/A | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | N/A |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 630 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Chloroform | ug/L | 0.5 | 2.4 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Dibromochloromethane Dichlorodifluoromethane | ug/L ug/L | 0.5 1.0 | 82000 4400 | N/A N/A | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) ND (1.0) | N/A N/A |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 4600 | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 9600 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 8.0 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 320 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | ug/L ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 1.6 | N/A N/A | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | N/A N/A |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 | N/A N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | N/A N/A |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 5.2 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 5.2 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | ug/L | 0.5 | 5.2 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | 0.5 | 2300 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1 | ug/L | 0.2 | 0.25 | N/A | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | N/A |
| Hexane | ug/L | 1.0 5.0 | 51 470000 | N/A | ND (1.0) ND (5.0) | ND (1.0) ND (5.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) ND (5.0) | ND (1.0) ND (5.0) | N/A N/A |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | ug/L ug/L | 5.0 | 140000 | N/A N/A | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) ND (5.0) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (5.0) ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A N/A |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | ug/L | 2.0 | 190 | N/A | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | N/A |
| Methylene Chloride | ug/L | 5.0 | 610 | N/A | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | N/A |
| Styrene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1300 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 3.3 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 3.2 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Tetrachloroethylene Toluene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 18000 | N/A ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) 1.10 | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | N/A ND (0.5) | N/A ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) ND (0.5) | N/A N/A |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L ug/L | 0.5 | 640 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | 0.5 | 4.7 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Trichloroethylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 1.6 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | 1.0 | 2500 | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A | N/A | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | N/A |
| Vinyl Chloride | ug/L | 0.5 | 0.5 | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| m/p-Xylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 4200 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| o-Xylene | ug/L | 0.5 | 4200 4200 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| Xylenes, total Hvdrocarbons | ug/L | 0.5 | 4200 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | N/A |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | ug/L | 25 | 750 | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | N/A | N/A | ND (25) | ND (25) | ND (25) | N/A | N/A |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | ug/L | 100 | 150 | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | N/A | N/A | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | N/A | N/A |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | ug/L | 100 | 500 | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | N/A | N/A | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | N/A | N/A |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) | ug/L | 100 | 500 | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | N/A | N/A | ND (100) | ND (100) | ND (100) | N/A | N/A |
| Semi-Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | ug/L | 0.05 | 600 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 2.45 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Acenaphthylene | ug/L | 0.05 | 1.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 2.20 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Anthracene Renge/alanthracene | ug/L | 0.01 | 2.4 4.7 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) | N/A | N/A | 0.87 | ND (0.01) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) |
| Benzo[a]anthracene Benzo[a]pyrene | ug/L ug/L | 0.01 | 4.7 0.81 | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.01) ND (0.01) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 1.29 | ND (0.01) ND (0.01) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.01) ND (0.01) |
| Benzo[a]pyrene Benzo[b]fluoranthene | ug/L ug/L | 0.01 | 0.81 | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.01) ND (0.05) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | 0.50 | ND (0.01) ND (0.05) | N/A N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.01) ND (0.05) |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | ug/L | 0.05 | 0.75 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A N/A | N/A | 0.36 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | ug/L | 0.05 | 0.4 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 0.37 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Chrysene | ug/L | 0.05 | 1.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 0.96 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | ug/L | 0.05 | 0.52 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 0.18 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Fluoranthene | ug/L | 0.01 | 130 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) | N/A | N/A | 1.72 | ND (0.01) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) |
| Fluorene | ug/L | 0.05 | 400 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 2.13 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | ug/L | 0.05 | 0.2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 0.48 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ug/L | 0.05 | 1800 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 5.62 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ug/L | 0.05 | 1800 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 0.49 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | ug/L | 0.1 | 1800 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.10) | N/A | N/A | 6.11 | ND (0.10) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.10) |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | 0.05 | 1400 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Phenanthrene | ug/L | 0.05 | 580 | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | 2.09 | ND (0.05) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.05) |
| Pyrene | ug/L | 0.01 | 68 ndwater, coarse Standards | N/A | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) | N/A | N/A | 2.72 | ND (0.01) | N/A | N/A | ND (0.01) |

2.00
ND (0.2)
ND (0.2



Table 7A: Maximum Concentrations Groundwater

Phase II ESA 2506 Innes Road Ottawa, Ontario

| Parameter | Sample ID / Screen Interval (m) | Units | Reg 153/04-Table 3 Non-Potable Groundwater, coarse Standards | Concentration |
|------------------------------|--|-------|---|---------------|
| Benzene | BH2-23-GW2 2346502-01 - 3.66 - 5.18 | ug/L | 44 | 1.5 |
| Toluene | BH2-23-GW 2333523-02 - 3.66 - 5.18 | ug/L | 18000 | 1.1 |
| Acenaphthene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 600 | 2.45 |
| Acenaphthylene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| Anthracene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 2.4 | 0.87 |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 4.7 | 1.29 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 0.81 | 1.21 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 0.75 | 0.5 |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 0.2 | 0.36 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 0.4 | 0.37 |
| Chrysene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 1.0 | 0.96 |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 0.52 | 0.18 |
| Fluoranthene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 130 | 1.72 |
| Fluorene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 400 | 2.13 |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 0.2 | 0.48 |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 1800 | 5.62 |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 1800 | 0.49 |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 1800 | 6.11 |
| Phenanthrene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 580 | 2.09 |
| Pyrene | BH3-24-GW1 2437221-01 - 3.05 - 4.57 | ug/L | 68 | 2.72 |
| All remaining parameters ana | lysed were reported non-detect in all samples. | | | |

Report: PE6214-3 September 2024



| | | BH2-24-SS5 | DUP | 6.0 | |
|--|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Parameter | MDL | 2435485-03 | 2435485-11 | RPD (%) | QA/QC Result |
| Metals | 1 | ND /1 0\ | NID (1.0) | 0.00/ | Within the acceptable ways |
| Antimony Arsenic | 1 | ND (1.0) 2.1 | ND (1.0) 2.1 | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Barium | 1 | 28.3 | 32.4 | 13.5% | Within the acceptable range |
| Beryllium | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Boron Cadmium | 5 0.5 | ND (5.0) ND (0.5) | ND (5.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Chromium | 5 | 12.8 | ND (0.5) 13.5 | 5.3% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Cobalt | 1 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 5.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Copper | 5 | 10.2 | 11.2 | 9.3% | Within the acceptable range |
| Lead Molybdenum | 1 | 7.4 ND (1.0) | 7.2 ND (1.0) | 2.7% 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Nickel | 5 | ND (1.0) 8.5 | 9.4 | 10.1% | Within the acceptable range |
| Selenium | 1 | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Silver | 0.3 | ND (0.3) | ND (0.3) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Thallium | 1 | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Uranium Vanadium | 10 | ND (1.0) 19.5 | ND (1.0) 20.8 | 0.0% 6.5% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Zinc | 20 | ND (20.0) | 20.8 | 3.9% | Within the acceptable range |
| Volatiles | | | | | |
| Acetone | 0.5 | ND (0.50) | ND (0.50) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Benzene Bromodichloromethane | 0.02 | ND (0.02) ND (0.05) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Bromodicnioromethane Bromoform | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Bromomethane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Chloroform Dibromochloromethane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.05 0.05 | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromo | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Hexane Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butan | 0.05 | ND (0.05) ND (0.50) | ND (0.05) ND (0.50) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 0.5 | ND (0.50) | ND (0.50) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Styrene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Toluene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Trichloroethylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| m/p-Xylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| o-Xylene | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Xylenes, total Hydrocarbons | 0.05 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| F1 PHCs (C6-C10) | 7 | ND (7) | 18.0 | 88.0% | Outside the acceptable range |
| F2 PHCs (C10-C16) | 4 | 403 | 359 | 11.5% | Within the acceptable range |
| F3 PHCs (C16-C34) | 8 | 335.0 | 303.0 | 10.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| F4 PHCs (C34-C50) Semi-Volatiles | 6 | ND (6) | ND (6) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Acenaphthene | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 13.3% | Within the acceptable range |
| Acenaphthylene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Anthracene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Benzo[a]pyrene Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Chrysene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene Fluoranthene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Fluorantnene | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 15.4% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 0.02 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methylnaphthalene (1&2) Naphthalene | 0.04 | ND (0.04) ND (0.01) | ND (0.04) ND (0.01) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range Within the acceptable range |
| • | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 20.0% | Outside the acceptable range |
| Phenanthrene | 0.02 | 0.22 | | | |



| Parameter | MDL | BH2-23-GW2 2346502-01 | BH12-23-GW 2346502-02 | RPD (%) | QA/QC Result |
|----------------|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| BTEX | | | | | |
| Benzene | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Toluene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| m/p-Xylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |

Report: PE6214-3 September 2024



| | | BH3-24-GW1 | Dup1-GW1 | 1:0 | |
|--|-----|------------|------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Parameter | MDL | 2437221-01 | 2437221-04 | RPD (%) | QA/QC Result |
| Volatiles | | | | | |
| Acetone | 5.0 | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Benzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Bromoform | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Bromomethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.2 | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Chlorobenzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Chloroform | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 1.0 | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,3-Dichloropropene, total | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-) | 0.2 | ND (0.2) | ND (0.2) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Hexane | 1.0 | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone) | 5.0 | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | 5.0 | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 2.0 | ND (2.0) | ND (2.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Methylene Chloride | 5.0 | ND (5.0) | ND (5.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Styrene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Tetrachloroethylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Toluene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Trichloroethylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 1.0 | ND (1.0) | ND (1.0) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Vinyl Chloride | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| m/p-Xylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| o-Xylene | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |
| Xylenes, total | 0.5 | ND (0.5) | ND (0.5) | 0.0% | Within the acceptable range |