

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

### **ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE ASSESSMENT**

Wateridge Village Block 6 Ottawa, Ontario

REPORT: GW23-174-Environmental Noise B6





March 26<sup>th</sup>, 2024

PREPARED FOR The Rohit Group 15 Fitzgerald Road, Suite 200 Ottawa, ON K2H 9G1

#### PREPARED BY

Adam Bonello, BASc., Junior Environmental Scientist Joshua Foster, P.Eng., Lead Engineer

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON, CANADA KOA 1L0 | 613 836 0934 **GRADIENTWIND.COM** 

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report describes an environmental noise assessment performed for Block 6 of the proposed residential development referred to as Wateridge Village, located on Hemlock Road in Ottawa, Ontario. Block 6 (hereafter referred to as the study site), comprises of two 4-storey, multi-tenant, residential buildings. The major source of roadway traffic noise is Hemlock Road. Figure 1 illustrates a complete site plan with surrounding context.

The assessment is based on (i) theoretical noise prediction methods that conform to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) and City of Ottawa requirements; (ii) noise level criteria as specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG); (iii) architectural drawings provided by NORR Architects and Engineers Limited in February 2024; (iv) future roadway traffic volumes corresponding to the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) roadway classifications; and (vi) satellite imagery of the surrounding properties.

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 43 and 54 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 36 and 47 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (54 dBA) occurs at the South façade of building B, which is nearest and most exposed to Hemlock Rd.

Results of the calculations indicate that standard building components will be sufficient to achieve acceptable indoor noise levels. Due to noise levels not exceeding 55 dBA, no mitigation is required.

Regarding stationary noise impacts from the development on the surroundings, these can be minimized by judicious placement mechanical equipment such as its placement on a roof or in a mechanical penthouse, or the incorporation of silencers and noise screens as necessary. Due to the size and nature of the development, the HVAC equipment is expected to be small roof top equipment and is expected to be in compliance with the MECP's noise guideline NPC-216 - Residential Air Conditioning and City of Ottawa Noise By-Law No. 2017-255.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| 1.  | INTRODUCTION1                              |
|-----|--|
| 2.  | TERMS OF REFERENCE                         |
| 3.  | OBJECTIVES                                 |
| 4.  | METHODOLOGY                                |
| 4.1 | Background2                                |
| 4.2 | Roadway Traffic Noise3                     |
| 4.  | 2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise     |
| 4.  | 2.2 Roadway Traffic Volumes4               |
| 4.  | 2.3 Theoretical Traffic Noise Predictions5 |
| 5.  | RESULTS                                    |
| 5.1 | Roadway Traffic Noise Levels5              |
| 5.2 | Noise Control Measures6                    |
| 6.  | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS            |

#### FIGURES

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A – STAMSON 5.04 Input and Output Data

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by The Rohit Group to undertake an environmental noise assessment for Block 6 of the proposed residential development, referred to as Wateridge Village. This report summarizes the methodology, results, and recommendations related to an environmental noise assessment.

The present scope of work involves assessing exterior and interior noise levels generated by local roadway traffic and existing stationary sources. The assessment was performed on the basis of theoretical noise calculation methods conforming to the City of Ottawa<sup>1</sup> and Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP)<sup>2</sup> guidelines. Noise calculations were based on architectural drawings provided by NORR Architects and Engineers Limited in February 2024, with future roadway traffic volumes corresponding to the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) roadway classifications.

#### 2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The proposed development comprises of two 4-storey residential buildings, named building B and C. Building B is a rectangular shaped building on the corner of Oshedinaa and Kijigong Streets, while building C is an L-shaped building located next to building B on Oshedinaa Street. The study site, block 6, is the second block to be studied which form Wateridge Village.

The major source of roadway traffic noise is Hemlock Road. The study site is surrounded by existing lowrise residential properties, parks, and local outdoor amenity areas. Figure 1 illustrates a complete site plan with surrounding context.

Other sources of traffic noise such as Aviation Parkway, and Promenade Sir George Etienne Cartier were deemed insignificant due to the large offset distances between them and the site. Nearby existing and proposed local roads such as Codd's Road, Oshedinaa, and Kijigon Streets were also deemed insignificant, due to their low traffic volumes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines, January 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks – Environmental Noise Guidelines, Publication NPC-300, Queens Printer for Ontario, Toronto, 2013

#### GRADIENTWIND ENGINEERS & SCIENTIS

The nearby Rockcliffe Airport was also researched as a potential noise influence. However, the study site is well outside of the 25 NEF Contour and outside the Macdonald–Cartier airport vicinity development zone, thus aircraft noise was deemed to be insignificant.

Regarding stationary noise impacts from the development on the surroundings, these can be minimized by judicious placement mechanical equipment such as its placement on a roof or in a mechanical penthouse, or the incorporation of silencers and noise screens as necessary. Due to the size and nature of the development, the HVAC equipment is expected to be small roof top equipment and is expected to be in compliance with the MECP's noise guideline NPC-216 - Residential Air Conditioning and City of Ottawa Noise By-Law No. 2017-255.

#### 3. **OBJECTIVES**

The main goals of this work are to (i) calculate the future noise levels on the study building produced by local transportation, (ii) ensure that interior noise levels do not exceed the allowable limits specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines as outlined in Section 4 of this report.

#### 4. **METHODOLOGY**

#### Background 4.1

Noise can be defined as any obtrusive sound. It is created at a source, transmitted through a medium, such as air, and intercepted by a receiver. Noise may be characterized in terms of the power of the source or the sound pressure at a specific distance. While the power of a source is characteristic of that particular source, the sound pressure depends on the location of the receiver and the path that the noise takes to reach the receiver. Measurement of noise is based on the decibel unit, dBA, which is a logarithmic ratio referenced to a standard noise level (2×10<sup>-5</sup> Pascals). The 'A' suffix refers to a weighting scale, which better represents how the noise is perceived by the human ear. With this scale, a doubling of power results in a 3 dBA increase in measured noise levels and is just perceptible to most people. An increase of 10 dBA is often perceived to be twice as loud.

#### 4.2 Roadway Traffic Noise

#### 4.2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise

For vehicle traffic, the equivalent sound energy level,  $L_{eq}$ , provides a measure of the time varying noise levels, which is well correlated with the annoyance of sound. It is defined as the continuous sound level, which has the same energy as a time varying noise level over a period of time. For roadways, the  $L_{eq}$  is commonly calculated on the basis of a 16-hour ( $L_{eq16}$ ) daytime (07:00-23:00)/8-hour ( $L_{eq8}$ ) nighttime (23:00-07:00) split to assess its impact on residential buildings. The NPC-300 guidelines specify that the recommended indoor noise limit range (that is relevant to this study) is 50, 45 and 40 dBA for general offices/retail stores, residence living rooms and hotel sleeping quarters, and sleeping quarters respectively, as listed in Table 1.

| Type of Space   | Time Period   | L <sub>eq</sub> (dBA)<br>Road |
|---|---------------|-------------------------------|
| General offices, reception areas, retail stores, etc.   | 07:00 – 23:00 | 50                            |
| Living/dining/den areas of residences, hospitals,<br>schools, nursing/retirement homes, day-care<br>centres, theatres, places of worship, libraries,<br>individual or semi-private offices, conference<br>rooms, etc. | 07:00 – 23:00 | 45                            |
| Living/dining, den areas of residences, hospitals,<br>nursing homes, etc. (except schools or daycare<br>centres)  | 23:00 - 07:00 | 45                            |
| Sleeping quarters of hotels/motels  | 23:00 - 07:00 | 45                            |
| Sleeping quarters of residences,<br>nursing/retirement homes, etc.  | 07:00 - 23:00 | 45                            |
| Sleeping quarters of residences, hospitals,<br>nursing/retirement homes, etc.   | 23:00 - 07:00 | 40                            |

#### TABLE 1: INDOOR SOUND LEVEL CRITERIA (ROAD)<sup>3</sup>



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Adapted from Table C-2, Part C, Section 3.2.3 of NPC-300

ENGINEERS & SCIENTIST

Predicted noise levels at the plane of window (POW) dictate the action required to achieve the recommended sound levels. An open window is considered to provide a 10 dBA reduction in noise while a standard closed window is capable of providing a minimum 20 dBA noise reduction<sup>4</sup>. Therefore, where noise levels exceed 55 dBA daytime and 50 dBA nighttime, the ventilation for the building should consider the need for having windows and doors closed, which triggers the need for forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning. Where noise levels exceed 65 dBA daytime and 60 dBA nighttime, air conditioning will be required and building components will require higher levels of sound attenuation<sup>5</sup>.

For designated Outdoor Living Areas (OLAs), the sound level limit is 55 dBA during the daytime period. An excess above the limit, between 55 dBA and 60 dBA, is acceptable only in cases where the required noise control measures are not feasible for technical, economic, or administrative reasons. Furthermore, balconies and terraces extending less than 4 metres in depth from the façade do not require consideration as Outdoor Living Areas and were excluded from the analysis.

#### 4.2.2 Roadway Traffic Volumes

The ENCG dictates that noise calculations should consider future sound levels based on a roadway's classification at the mature state of development. Therefore, traffic volumes are based on the roadway classifications outlined in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) and Transportation Master Plan<sup>6</sup> which provide additional details on future roadway expansions. Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes are then based on data in Table B1 of the ENCG for each roadway classification. Table 2 (below) summarizes the AADT values used for each roadway included in this assessment.

| Sogmont     | Readway Class             | Speed<br>Limit | Ultimate | Day/Night | Truck Volume<br>Percentages |                |
|-------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Segment     | Roadway Class             | (km/h)         | AADT     | Split     | Medium<br>Truck             | Heavy<br>Truck |
| Hemlock Rd. | 2-Lane Urban<br>Collector | 40             | 8,000    | 92/8      | 7                           | 5              |

#### **TABLE 2: ROADWAY TRAFFIC DATA**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Burberry, P.B. (2014). Mitchell's Environment and Services. Routledge, Page 125

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MOECP, Environmental Noise Guidelines, NPC 300 – Part C, Section 7.1.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan, November 2013

#### 4.2.3 Theoretical Traffic Noise Predictions

Noise predictions were performed with the aid of the MECP computerized noise assessment program, STAMSON 5.04, for road analysis. Appendix A includes the STAMSON 5.04 input and output data.

Roadway traffic noise calculations were performed by treating each roadway segment as a separate line source of noise, and by using proposed and existing building locations as noise barriers. In addition to the traffic volumes summarized in Table 2, theoretical noise predictions were based on the following parameters:

- Vehicle parameters such as truck traffic volume percentages, posted speed limit, and day/night split are summarized in Table 2.
- Default ground surfaces were taken to be reflective due to the presence of hard (paved) ground.
- Topography was assumed to be a flat/gentle slope surrounding the study building.
- Noise receptors were strategically placed at 6 locations around the study area (see Figure 2).
- For select sources where appropriate, receptors considered the proposed and existing building as a barrier partially or fully obstructing exposure to the source.
- Due to lack of building height specifications, each floor of the study site was assumed to be 3m tall.
- Receptor distances and exposure angles are illustrated in Figures A1, and A2.

### 5. **RESULTS**

#### 5.1 Roadway Traffic Noise Levels

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 43 and 54 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 36 and 47 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (54 dBA) occurs at the South façade of building B, which is nearest and most exposed to Hemlock Rd.

| Receptor<br>Number | Receptor<br>Height<br>Above | Receptor Location                      | Roadway | STAMSON<br>Roadway Noise Level<br>(dBA) |  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------|---|--|
|                    | Grade (m)                   |  | Day     | Night                                   |  |
| 1                  | 13.5                        | Building B: Level 4 – West Façade      | 51      | 43                                      |  |
| 2                  | 13.5                        | Building B: Level 4 – South Façade     | 54      | 47                                      |  |
| 3                  | 13.5                        | Building B: Level 4 – East Façade      | 51      | 44                                      |  |
| 4                  | 13.5                        | Building B: Level 4 – Northeast Façade | 49      | 42                                      |  |
| 5                  | 13.5                        | Building C: Level 4 – South Façade     | 47      | 40                                      |  |
| 6                  | 13.5                        | Building C: Level 4 – South Façade 2   | 43      | 36                                      |  |

#### TABLE 5: EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS DUE TO ROADWAY TRAFFIC SOURCES

#### 5.2 Noise Control Measures

The noise levels predicted due to roadway traffic do not exceed the 65 dBA criteria listed in Section 4.2 for building components for the development. Thus, building components compliant with Ontario Building Code Standards will be sufficient to attenuate indoor noise levels.

#### 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the current analysis indicate that noise levels will range between 43 and 54 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00) and between 36 and 47 dBA during the nighttime period (23:00-07:00). The highest noise level (54 dBA) occurs at the South façade of building B, which is nearest and most exposed to Hemlock Rd.

Results of the calculations indicate that standard building components will be sufficient to achieve acceptable indoor noise levels. Due to noise levels not exceeding 55 dBA, no mitigation is required.

Regarding stationary noise impacts from the development on the surroundings, these can be minimized by judicious placement mechanical equipment such as its placement on a roof or in a mechanical penthouse, or the incorporation of silencers and noise screens as necessary. Due to the size and nature of the development, the HVAC equipment is expected to be small roof top equipment and is expected to



be in compliance with the MECP's noise guideline NPC-216 - Residential Air Conditioning and City of Ottawa Noise By-Law No. 2017-255.

This concludes our assessment and report. If you have any questions or wish to discuss our findings, please advise us. In the interim, we thank you for the opportunity to be of service. Sincerely,

### Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.

Ma BALL


Adam Bonello, BASc. Junior Environmental Scientist


Gradient Wind File #23-174-Environmental Noise B6



Joshua Foster, P.Eng. Lead Engineer









### **APPENDIX A**

STAMSON 5.04 – INPUT AND OUTPUT DATA

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON, CANADA KOA 1LO | 613 836 0934 GRADIENTWIND.COM



|   | POJET WATERIDGE VILLAGI | Е. ВLOCK 6, ОТТАWA         | <image/>   |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| GRADIENTWIND<br>ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS<br>127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON | SCALE 1:2000 (APPROX.)  | DRAWING NO.<br>GW23-174-A2 | FIGURE A2:<br>RECEPTOR DISTANCES AND EXPOSURE ANGLES |
| 127 WALGREEN ROAD , OTTAWA, ON<br>613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM       | MARCH 22, 2024          | A.B.                       |  |

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

Date: 08-03-2024 16:20:44 STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r1.te Description: Road data, segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 40 km/h : 0 % : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road gradient : Road pavement \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume:7.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:92.00 Data for Segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Angle1Angle2: -90.00 deg0.00 degWood depth:0(No woods.)No of house rows:0 / 0Surface:2(Reflective ground surface) Receiver source distance : 146.00 / 146.00 m Receiver height : 13.50 / 13.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography:1Reference angle:0.00 Results segment # 1: Hemlock (day) -----Source height = 1.50 mROAD (0.00 + 51.06 + 0.00) = 51.06 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ -90 0 0.00 63.96 0.00 -9.88 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 51.06 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 51.06 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 51.06 dBA Results segment # 1: Hemlock (night) ------

1

Source height = 1.50 m

 ROAD (0.00 + 43.47 + 0.00) = 43.47 dBA

 Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

 -90 0 0.00 56.36 0.00 -9.88 -3.01 0.00 0.00 43.47

Segment Leq : 43.47 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 43.47 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 51.06 (NIGHT): 43.47



ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-03-2024 16:21:43 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r2.te Description: Road data, segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 40 km/h 0 % Road gradient : : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume:7.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:92.00 Data for Segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Angle1Angle2: -90.00 deg90.00 degWood depth: 0(No woods.)No of house rows: 0 / 0Surface: 2(Reflective ground surface) Receiver source distance : 137.00 / 137.00 m Receiver height : 13.50 / 13.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography : 1 Reference angle : 0.00 Results segment # 1: Hemlock (day) -----Source height = 1.50 mROAD (0.00 + 54.35 + 0.00) = 54.35 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ -90 90 0.00 63.96 0.00 -9.61 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 54.35 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 54.35 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 54.35 dBA Results segment # 1: Hemlock (night) -----

3

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 46.76 + 0.00) = 46.76 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq -90 90 0.00 56.36 0.00 -9.61 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 46.76

Segment Leq : 46.76 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 46.76 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 54.35 (NIGHT): 46.76



ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 08-03-2024 16:22:12 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r3.te Description: Road data, segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 40 km/h : 0 % : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road gradient : Road pavement \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume:7.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:92.00 Data for Segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg : 0 (No woods.) : 0 / 0 : 2 (Reflective ground surface) Angle1 Angle2 Wood depth No of house rows Surface Receiver source distance : 145.00 / 145.00 m Receiver height : 13.50 / 13.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography:1Reference angle:0.00 Results segment # 1: Hemlock (day) -----Source height = 1.50 mROAD (0.00 + 51.09 + 0.00) = 51.09 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 0 90 0.00 63.96 0.00 -9.85 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 51.09 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 51.09 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 51.09 dBA Results segment # 1: Hemlock (night) \_\_\_\_\_



Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 43.50 + 0.00) = 43.50 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 0 90 0.00 56.36 0.00 -9.85 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 43.50

Segment Leq : 43.50 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 43.50 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 51.09 (NIGHT): 43.50



ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 22-03-2024 15:04:19 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r4.te Description: Road data, segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 40 km/h : 0 % : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road gradient : Road pavement \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume:7.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:92.00 Data for Segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg : 0 (No woods.) : 0 / 0 : 2 (Reflective ground surface) Angle1 Angle2 Wood depth No of house rows Surface Receiver source distance : 225.00 / 225.00 m Receiver height : 13.50 / 13.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography:1Reference angle:0.00 Results segment # 1: Hemlock (day) -----Source height = 1.50 mROAD (0.00 + 49.18 + 0.00) = 49.18 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 0 90 0.00 63.96 0.00 -11.76 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.18 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 49.18 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 49.18 dBA Results segment # 1: Hemlock (night) -----

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 41.59 + 0.00) = 41.59 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 0 90 0.00 56.36 0.00 -11.76 -3.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 41.59

Segment Leq : 41.59 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 41.59 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 49.18 (NIGHT): 41.59



ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 22-03-2024 15:07:14 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r5.te Description: Road data, segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 40 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth Medium Truck % of Total Volume:7.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:92.00 Data for Segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) -----Angle1Angle2: -90.00 deg18.00 degWood depth:0(No woods.)No of house rows:0 / 0Surface:2(Reflective ground surface) Receiver source distance : 272.00 / 272.00 m Receiver height : 13.50 / 13.50 m Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier) Barrier angle1 : -25.00 deg Angle2 : 18.00 deg Barrier height : 15.00 m Barrier receiver distance : 25.00 / 25.00 m Source elevation : 0.00 m Receiver elevation : 0.00 m Barrier elevation : 0.00 m Reference angle : 0.00 Results segment # 1: Hemlock (day) \_\_\_\_\_ Source height = 1.50 mBarrier height for grazing incidence \_\_\_\_\_ Source ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m) 1.50 ! 13.50 ! 12.40 ! 12.40



ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

ROAD (46.95 + 35.24 + 0.00) = 47.23 dBA

| Angle1 Angle   | 2 Alpha   | RefLeq   | P.Adj                                      | D.Adj  | F.Adj                              | W.Adj                           | H.Adj                         | B.Adj | SubLeq         |
|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| -90 -2   |   |  | 0.00                                       | -12.58   | -4.42                              |                                 | 0.00                          |       | 46.95          |
| -25 1  | 8 0.00  | 63.96  |  |  |                                    | 0.00                            | 0.00                          | -9.92 | 35.24          |
| Segment Leq  |   |  |  |  |                                    |                                 |                               |       |                |
| Total Leq Al   | l Segmen  | ts: 47.2   | 23 dBA                                     |  |                                    |                                 |                               |       |                |
| Results segme  | ent # 1:  |  |  |  |                                    |                                 |                               |       |                |
| Source heigh<br>Barrier heig   | t = 1.50  | m  |  |  |                                    |                                 |                               |       |                |
| 0  |   |  |  |  |                                    |                                 |                               |       |                |
| Source<br>Height (m)   | ! Height  | (m) !  | Height                                     | (m) !  | Barrier                            | тор (                           | m)                            |       |                |
| Height (m)   | ! Height  | (m) !  | Height                                     | (m) !  | Barrier                            | Тор (                           | m)                            |       |                |
| Height (m)   | ! Height<br>+<br>!<br>+ 27.64   | (m) !<br>13.50 !<br>+ 0.00)  | Height<br>= 39.64                          | (m) !<br>+-<br>12.40 !<br>4 dBA                        | Barrier                            | Тор (<br><br>12.40              |                               | B.Adj | SubLeq         |
| Height (m)<br>   | ! Height<br>+<br>!<br>+ 27.64<br>2 Alpha<br>5 0.00                        | (m) !<br>+<br>13.50 !<br>+ 0.00)<br>RefLeq<br><br>56.36                        | Height<br>= 39.64<br>P.Adj<br>0.00         | (m) !<br>12.40 !<br>4 dBA<br>D.Adj<br>-12.58           | F.Adj<br>-4.42                     | Top (<br>12.40<br>W.Adj         | H.Adj<br><br>0.00             | 0.00  | 39.35          |
| Height (m)<br>1.50<br>ROAD (39.35<br>Angle1 Angle<br>-90 -2<br>-25 1 | ! Height<br>+<br>!<br>+ 27.64<br>2 Alpha<br>5 0.00<br>                    | (m) !<br>13.50 !<br>+ 0.00)<br>RefLeq<br>56.36                                 | Height<br>= 39.64<br>P.Adj<br>0.00         | (m) !<br>12.40 !<br>4 dBA<br>D.Adj<br>-12.58           | Barrier<br>F.Adj<br>-4.42<br>-6.22 | Top (<br>12.40<br>W.Adj<br>0.00 | H.Adj<br><br>0.00<br><br>0.00 | 0.00  | 39.35<br>27.64 |
| Height (m)<br>1.50<br>ROAD (39.35<br>Angle1 Angle<br>-90 -2<br>-25 1 | ! Height<br>!<br>2 Alpha<br>5 0.00<br>3 0.00                              | (m) !<br>13.50 !<br>+ 0.00)<br>RefLeq<br>56.36<br>56.36                        | Height<br>= 39.64<br>P.Adj<br>0.00         | (m) !<br>12.40 !<br>4 dBA<br>D.Adj<br>-12.58           | Barrier<br>F.Adj<br>-4.42<br>-6.22 | Top (<br>12.40<br>W.Adj<br>0.00 | H.Adj<br><br>0.00<br><br>0.00 | 0.00  | 39.35<br>27.64 |
| Height (m)<br>1.50<br>ROAD (39.35<br>Angle1 Angle<br>-90 -2<br>-25 1 | ! Height<br>+ 27.64<br>2 Alpha<br>5 0.00<br>3 0.00<br>: 39.64<br>1 Segmen | (m) !<br>13.50 !<br>+ 0.00)<br>RefLeq<br>56.36<br><br>56.36<br>dBA<br>ts: 39.6 | Height<br>= 39.64<br>P.Adj<br>0.00<br>0.00 | (m) !<br>12.40 !<br>4 dBA<br>D.Adj<br>-12.58<br>-12.58 | Barrier<br>F.Adj<br>-4.42<br>-6.22 | Top (<br>12.40<br>W.Adj<br>0.00 | H.Adj<br><br>0.00<br><br>0.00 | 0.00  | 39.35<br>27.64 |

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 47.23 (NIGHT): 39.64

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 22-03-2024 15:07:06 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r6.te Description: Road data, segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) \_\_\_\_\_ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod \* Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod \* Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod \* Posted speed limit : 40 km/h 0 % Road gradient : : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement \* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input: 24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume:7.00Heavy Truck % of Total Volume:5.00Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume:92.00 Data for Segment # 1: Hemlock (day/night) ------Angle1Angle2: -27.00 deg7.00 degWood depth:0(No woods.)No of house rows:0 / 0Surface:2(Reflective ground surface) Receiver source distance : 318.00 / 318.00 m Receiver height : 13.50 / 13.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography:1Reference angle:0.00 Results segment # 1: Hemlock (day) ------Source height = 1.50 mROAD (0.00 + 43.45 + 0.00) = 43.45 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ -27 7 0.00 63.96 0.00 -13.26 -7.24 0.00 0.00 0.00 43.45 \_\_\_\_\_ Segment Leq : 43.45 dBA Total Leq All Segments: 43.45 dBA Results segment # 1: Hemlock (night) \_\_\_\_\_



Source height = 1.50 m

 ROAD (0.00 + 35.86 + 0.00) = 35.86 dBA

 Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

 -27
 7
 0.00
 56.36
 0.00
 -13.26
 -7.24
 0.00
 0.00
 35.86

Segment Leq : 35.86 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 35.86 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 43.45 (NIGHT): 35.86

