

## **Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief**

Nouvelle école ÉSP Orléans-Sud  
2405 & 2419, Mer-Bleue Road, Orleans, ON K4A 3V1

Prepared for:

GRC Architects  
47 Clarence Street, Suite 401  
Ottawa, Ontario

Attention: Patrick Dubuc

LRL File No.: 240462-01

January 31, 2025





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>SCOPE OF WORK</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>REGULATORY APPROVALS</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>WATER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1	Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage	3
5.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	4
<b>6</b>	<b>SANITARY SERVICE</b>	<b>6</b>
6.1	Existing Sanitary Sewer Services	6
6.2	Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design	7
<b>7</b>	<b>STORMWATER MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>7</b>
7.1	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure	7
7.2	Design Criteria	7
7.2.1	Water Quality	8
7.2.2	Water Quantity	8
7.3	Method of Analysis	8
7.4	Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls	8
<b>8</b>	<b>EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS</b>	<b>12</b>





## **APPENDICES**

- Appendix A** Pre-consultation / Correspondence
- Appendix B** Water Supply Calculations, Fire Protection Calculations & Fire Hydrant Coverage
- Appendix C** Wastewater Collection Calculation
- Appendix D** Stormwater Management Calculations
- Appendix E** Civil Engineering Drawings
- Appendix F** Proposed Site Plan, Legal Survey, As-builts
- Appendix G** Elementary School Grading & Drainage Plan (WSP)
- Appendix H** Extract of Design Brief Summerside West - Phase 2 Mer-Bleue Road (DSEL)





LIST OF TABLES

**Table 1:** Fire Protection Summary Table ..... 5

**Table 2:** Summary of Boundary Conditions..... 6

**Table 3:** Post-Development Estimated Areas & Runoff Coefficients ..... 9

**Table 4:** Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary (100 Year) ..... 10

LIST OF FIGURES

**Figure 1:** Aerial View Of Subject Lands ..... 1





## 1 INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION

LRL Associates Ltd. was retained by GRC Architects to complete a Stormwater Management Analysis and Servicing Brief for the proposed institutional development (a 3-storey high school building), including asphalt driveways and parking lot. Refer to the **Site Plan** included in **Appendix F** for more details.

The subject property consists of two (2) property parcels; 2405 Mer-Bleue Road (approx. 6.44ha), 2419 Mer-Bleue Road (approximately 1.20ha). The site location is legally described as Part of Lot 4 Concession 11 (Geographic Township of Cumberland). The subject lot is zoned Institutional Zone I1A [2530]/R3Z.

Property parcel 2405 Mer-Bleue Road has already been subdivided to accommodate the development of both an elementary school (east portion of the site, approx. 2.01ha, fronting Monardia Way) and a high school (west portion of the site, approximately 4.43ha, fronting Mer-Bleue Road). This report will focus on the development of the high school on the western portion of the 2405 Mer-Bleue Road lot, as well as 2419 Mer-Bleue Road.

The location of the subject site and additional lot for consideration is shown in **Figure 1** below.



**Figure 1: Aerial View of Subject Lands**



The subject sites are surrounded by a mix of residential, agricultural undeveloped, and developing areas. To the east, the sites are bordered by low to medium density residential subdivision, with the adjacent collector road, Promenade Jerome Jodoin Drive. To the north, there is a similar residential subdivision with local road Voie Monardia Way. To the south, the site is adjacent to a single-family detached home at 2431 Mer Bleue Road, with surrounding lands currently used for agriculture but intended for future residential development. To the west, can be found more residential and future development fronted by Mer-Bleue Road.

This report has been prepared in consideration of the terms and conditions noted above and with the civil drawings prepared for the new development. Should there be any changes in the design features, which may relate to the stormwater and servicing considerations, LRL Associates Ltd. should be advised to review the report recommendations.

## 2 EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION

The subject site (consisting of the west portion of 2405 Mer-Bleue, and 2419 Mer-Bleue) measures approximately **3.313 ha** and currently consists of two single family detached homes, paved driveways, a few accessory buildings and gravel driveways, and the balance agricultural land, all of which can be accessed from Mer-Bleue Road.

As far as site topography, the site is generally flat with a slight slope down towards the southwest. The highest point onsite is located at the northeast corner and has an elevation of  $\pm 80.00\text{m}$ , the lowest point onsite is located at the southwest corner of the site and has an elevation of  $\pm 77.50\text{m}$ . The site generally slopes towards the southwest. There is a drop of approximately 1m across the south property line, a drop of approximately 1m across the east property line and a drop of approximately 1.5m across the west property line.

Sewer and watermain mapping, along with as-built information collected from the City of Ottawa indicate the following existing infrastructure located within the adjacent rights-of-way:

### **Mer-Bleue Road:**

- 406mmØ DI watermain

### **Monardia Way:**

- 200mmØ sanitary sewer
- 1200mmØ storm sewer
- 203mmØ watermain

### **Jerome Jodoin Drive:**

- 200mmØ sanitary sewer
- 525mmØ storm sewer
- 305mmØ watermain

Refer to **Appendix F** for the relevant as-builts.





As per the pre-application consultation with the City of Ottawa planning department, it is intended that the proposed high school be serviced by the watermain within Mer-Bleue Road, as well as the sanitary sewer and storm sewer within Monardia Way. As tying to the Monardia Way sewers will create conflict with the proposed elementary school site development, the elementary school site has already proposed providing a servicing easement, equipped with sewer extensions and manholes, to allow the high school site to tie into the appropriate sewers simply and conveniently. A 1050mm storm sewer and storm manhole (STMH1), and a 200mm sanitary sewer and sanitary manhole (SAMH102) are proposed to be installed north of the elementary school site, extending from the Promenade Jerome Jodoin municipal sewers to the shared property line between the elementary school and high school sites, with the manholes to be installed at the northeast corner of the high school site. Please refer to **Appendix G** for greater detail on the servicing easement, sewer extensions and manholes.

### 3 SCOPE OF WORK

As per applicable guidelines, the scope of work includes the following:

#### **Stormwater management**

- Calculate the allowable stormwater release rate.
- Calculate the anticipated post-development stormwater release rates.
- Demonstrate how the target quantity objectives will be achieved.

#### **Water services**

- Calculate the expected water supply demand at average and peak conditions.
- Calculate the required fire flow as per the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method.
- Confirm the adequacy of water supply and pressure during peak flow and fire flow.
- Describe the proposed water distribution network and connection to the existing system.

#### **Sanitary services**

- Describe the existing sanitary sewers available to receive wastewater from the building.
- Calculate peak flow rates from the development.
- Describe the proposed sanitary sewer system.

### 4 REGULATORY APPROVALS

An MECP Environmental Compliance Approval is not expected to be required for installation of the proposed storm and sanitary sewers within the site. No other approval requirements from other regulatory agencies are anticipated.

### 5 WATER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION

#### **5.1 Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage**

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1E water distribution network pressure zone. There is an existing 200mm watermain within Mer-Bleue Road. There are currently three (3) existing fire hydrants within proximity to the subject property.





## 5.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

We have analyzed the water demand requirements for the proposed building. The institutional water demand based on anticipated population were determined using Table 4.2 from the *City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design*.

According to the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02), since the subject site is anticipated to have a basic day water demand greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day, the site is required to be serviced by two water service laterals. The laterals are to be separated by an isolation valve, allowing for a water service redundancy, and avoiding the creation of a vulnerable service area. Additionally, considering the presence of automatic sprinkler system inside the building, a recommended size to service the sprinkler system, as well as the addition of a private fire hydrant to site, the subject property is proposed to be serviced via 200mm diameter service laterals connected to the existing 406mmØ DI watermain within Mer-Bleue Road. Refer to *Site Servicing Plan* C.401 in **Appendix E** for servicing layout and proposed connection points.

The water supply requirements for the institutional development have been calculated using the following formulas:

$$Q = (q \times P \times M)$$

Where:

$q$  = average water consumption (L/capita/day)

$P$  = design population (# of students)

$M$  = Peak factor

The proposed building is expected to have a student population of 1035, including the future expansions and portables currently shown on the architectural site plan. *Table 4.2 of the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines* was used to determine the unit rate and peaking factors of the institutional space. A water consumption rate of **70L/student/day**, a Maximum Daily Demand Factor of **1.5** and a Maximum Hourly Demand Factor and **1.8** were used to perform the water demand calculations.

Using the peak factors, the anticipated institutional demands were calculated as follows:

- Average daily domestic water demand is 0.84 L/s,
- Maximum daily demand is 1.26 L/s, and
- Maximum hourly demand is 2.26 L/s.

Refer to **Appendix B** for detailed water demand calculations.





### 5.3 Fire Flow and Boundary Conditions

The estimated fire flow for the proposed buildings was calculated in accordance with *ISTB-2018-02*. The following parameters were provided by the Architect:

- Type of construction – Non-combustible construction
- Occupancy type – Limited Combustible
- Sprinkler Protection – Fully Automatic Sprinkler System

The estimated fire flow demand was estimated to be 13,000 L/min (216.7 L/s), see Appendix B for details.

There are three (3) existing fire hydrants in proximity to the proposed institutional building that are available to contribute the required fire flow demands of 13,000 L/min. Refer to **Appendix B** for fire hydrant locations.

Due to the expected lack of required available fire flow, considering the long path of travel for fire services to reach the rear doors of the proposed school, and considering the potential for future portable developments at the rear of the school, the site has been proposed to be developed with a private fire hydrant.

Table 1 below summarizes the aggregate fire flow of the contributing hydrants in proximity to the proposed development, and private hydrant based on Table 18.5.4.3 of *ISTB-2018-02*.

**Table 1: Fire Protection Summary Table**

	Max. Fire Flow Demand (L/min)	Fire Hydrants(s) within 76m	Fire Hydrant(s) within 152m	Fire Hydrant(s) within 305m	Available Combined Fire Flow* (L/min)
Contemplated Development	13,000	2	1	1	(2 x 5678) + (1 x 3785) + (1 x 2839) = 17,980

\*assuming residual pressure of minimum 20 psi (139.9 kPa)

The total available fire flow from contributing hydrants is equal to **17,980 L/min**, which is sufficient to provide adequate fire flow for the proposed development.

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand and fire flow calculations, as indicated in the boundary request correspondence included in **Appendix B**. Table 2 below summarizes boundary conditions for the proposed development.





**Table 2: Summary of Boundary Conditions**

As

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand (L/s)	Boundary Conditions @ Mer-Bleue Road
		(m H <sub>2</sub> O / kPa)
Average Daily Demand	0.84	130.5 / 61.6
Max Day + Fire Flow (per FUS)	1.26 + 216.67	125.4 / 54.3
Peak Hour	2.26	126.6 / 56.0
*Ground Elevation assumed at 87.20 for Connection 1 to Mer-Bleue WM		

indicated in Table 2, pressures in all scenarios meet the required pressure range stated in the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (Section 4.2.2). Refer to **Appendix C** for Boundary Conditions.

## 5.4 Water Distribution Network Hydraulic Modelling

To ensure that the proposed water distribution network can supply the required fire flow via the proposed new fire hydrant on-site, additional hydraulic analysis has been performed using EPANET (Version 2.2). The modeling results show that the proposed water distribution network is able to meet the designed fire flow of 5700 L/min while the residual pressure, at any point in the distribution network, is greater than 20 psi.

Three scenarios were analyzed in EPANET. For scenario 1 and 2, the anticipated average day and peak hour demand were applied to node J3 for the proposed school building. The residual pressures ranged from 60-61 psi. For scenario 3, a design fire flow of 5700 L/min was applied to the fire hydrant node (J9) with maximum day domestic demand simultaneously applied to building service entry node (J3). In this scenario, the residual pressure within the distribution network ranged from 57-61 psi thus exceeds the required minimum pressure of 20 psi.

For modeling results including pipe pressure and velocities, refer to Appendix B.

## 6 SANITARY SERVICE

### 6.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer Services

As per the pre-application consultation with the City of Ottawa, it is anticipated that the proposed high school development sanitary service will outlet to the sanitary sewer within Jerome Jodoin Drive. As per City of Ottawa resources (GeoOttawa), there is an existing 200mm dia. sanitary sewer located within Jerome Jodoin Dr.

In anticipation of this, the elementary school site was proposed to be developed with an additional sanitary sewer, running along the north property line, tying the Jerome Jodoin sanitary sewer to a sanitary maintenance hole (SANMH102) installed at the northwest corner of the site. This manhole is the proposed outlet point for the proposed high school site development sanitary discharge.





The elementary school servicing plan has been included within **Appendix G**.

## 6.2 Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design

The proposed development will be serviced via a 200mm dia. sanitary service connected & out letting to the existing sanitary manhole (SANMH102) at the northeast corner of the lot. Refer to LRL drawing C.401, included in **Appendix E**, for the proposed sanitary servicing.

The parameters used to calculate the anticipated institutional sanitary flows are a total site area of **3.313ha**, and institutional peak factor of **1.5**, and an extraneous flow of **0.33 L/s/ha**. Based on these parameters, the total anticipated wet wastewater flow was estimated to be **6.06 L/s**. Refer to **Appendix C** for the site sanitary sewer design sheet.

## 7 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

### 7.1 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system, and as such, approvals for the proposed development within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

As per the pre-application consultation with the City of Ottawa, it is anticipated that the proposed high school development storm runoff will be captured & conveyed to the storm sewer within Jerome Jodoin Drive. Based on the City of Ottawa resources (GeoOttawa), there is an existing 525mm dia. storm sewer located within Jerome Jodoin Dr.

In anticipation of this, the elementary school site was proposed to be developed with an additional storm sewer, running along the north property line, tying the Jerome Jodoin storm sewer to a storm maintenance hole (STMMH1) installed at the northwest corner of the site. This manhole is the proposed outlet point for the proposed developments storm runoff. The elementary school servicing plan has been included within **Appendix G**.

In the pre-development conditions, drainage from the subject lot is depicted by existing watershed EWS-01 (3.313ha), which drains primarily to the west towards Mer-Bleue Road and to the south towards the neighbouring lot at 2431 Mer-Bleue Road. Refer to plan C701 included in **Appendix E** for pre-development drainage characteristics. Refer to **Appendix D** for pre-development and post-development watershed information.

### 7.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater management criteria for this development are based on the pre-consultation with City of Ottawa officials, the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 (City standards), as





well as the Ministry of the Environment's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, 2003 (SWMPD Manual).

### 7.2.1 Water Quality

The proposed site does not require any stormwater quality treatment. As per the *Design Brief for Summerside West – Phase 2 Mer-Bleue Road* (as prepared by DSEL), Stormwater quality objectives are achieved downstream, as the Avalon West SWM facility has adequate capacity to meet the quality requirements for the proposed site. An extract of the Design Brief has been included in **Appendix H**.

### 7.2.2 Water Quantity

Based on pre-consultation with the City, correspondence included in **Appendix A**, the following stormwater management quantity requirements were identified for the subject site:

- Stormwater quantity objective for the total site (elementary, high school and future site) is to limit the release rate to 1062 L/s. The elementary school site has proposed limiting/controlling their runoff to 331 L/s. This would leave a balance of 731 L/s for the proposed high school site and future site, with a total of 4.518ha, resulting in an allowable release rate of **161.80 L/s/ha**. As the proposed high school site is 3.313ha (balance of 2409 Mer-Bleue, and 2419 Mer-Bleue), this would result in a total allowable release rate for of **536.03 L/s** for the proposed site.
- Attenuate all storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year storm event on site.

### 7.3 Method of Analysis

The modified Rational method has been used to calculate the runoff rate from the site to quantify the storage required for quantity control of the development. Refer to **Appendix D** for storage calculations.

### 7.4 Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls

The proposed stormwater management quantity control for this development will be accomplished using an orifice plate inlet control device for flow attenuation, and a combination of rooftop ponding, overland ponding and underground chambers to accommodate storage requirements.

A large portion of the site is proposed to be controlled, composed various catchment areas with stormwater structures capturing and conveying runoff to a single outlet point; the existing storm manhole (STMMH1) located at the northeast corner of the site. Stormwater will be controlled prior to release to the existing manhole, and ultimately be conveyed to the Jerome Jodoin storm sewer.

Many future expansions and additions have been included within the proposed architectural site plan. In anticipation of these future developments, stormwater management has taken these





areas into consideration, and calculations have been performed with runoff coefficients assuming these have already been developed.

A small portion of the site, mainly small buffers along the west, south and east property lines, will remain uncontrolled.

The proposed servicing layout and connection points are shown on drawing C401 in **Appendix E**, and detailed calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.

The site has been analyzed and fifteen (15) post-development watersheds have been allocated.

- CA-01, CA-02, CA-08, CA-09, CA-10 and CA-11 consist of grassed and paved areas at the rear (east) of the proposed high school, with some consideration for proposed future parking lot expansion and portable classrooms. Stormwater is to be captured by the respective catch basins and conveyed to the control point.
- CA-03, CA-04, CA-05 and CA-06 consist mainly of the proposed north driveway, parking lot and multi-use pathway, with some consideration for future auditorium expansion. Stormwater is to be captured by the respective catch basins and conveyed to the control point.
- CA-07 consists of the proposed high schools' roof. Stormwater is to be controlled by multiple flow-control roof drains, and ultimately outlet into the proposed site underground stormwater network.
- CA-12, CA-13 and CA-14 consist of the south driveway and bus loop. Stormwater is to be captured by the respective catch basins and conveyed to the control point.
- CA-15 consists of mainly small buffers along the west, south and east property lines. These areas are to remain uncontrolled.

Refer to C601, Stormwater Management Plan and C702, Post-Development Watershed Plan C702 in **Appendix E** for reference.

Table 3 below summarizes post-development drainage areas. Calculations can be seen in **Appendix D**.

**Table 3: Post-Development Estimated Areas & Runoff Coefficients**

WATERSHED	Total Area (ha)	Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C)
CA-01 (controlled)	0.106	0.25
CA-02 (controlled)	0.185	0.66
CA-03 (controlled)	0.173	0.73
CA-04 (controlled)	0.187	0.85
CA-05 (controlled)	0.213	0.63
CA-06 (controlled)	0.208	0.74
CA-07 (controlled)	0.435	0.90
CA-08 (controlled)	0.197	0.29
CA-09 (controlled)	0.218	0.48





CA-10 (controlled)	0.210	0.73
CA-11 (controlled)	0.215	0.43
CA-12 (controlled)	0.265	0.83
CA-13 (controlled)	0.182	0.64
CA-14 (controlled)	0.149	0.69
CA-15 (uncontrolled)	0.370	0.34
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3.313</b>	<b>0.63</b>

In post development, watersheds CA-01 through to CA-14, with the exception of CA-07 (rooftop) will be controlled via the proposed flow control unit, an orifice plate to be installed within the outlet of CMBH02. Watershed CA-07 will be controlled independently via multiple flow control roof drains.

Table 4 below summarizes the release rates and storage volumes required to meet the allowable release rate of **536.03 L/s** for 100-year flow rates.

**Table 4: Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary (100 Year)**

CATCHMENT AREAS	DRAINAGE AREAS (ha)	100-YEAR RELEASE RATE (L/s)	100-YEAR REQUIRED STORAGE (m³)	TOTAL AVAILABLE STORAGE (m³)
CA-01, CA-02, CA-03, CA-04, CA-05, CA-06	1.072	440.00	520.85	534.04
CA-08, CA-09, CA-10, CA-11, CA-12, CA-13, CA-14	1.436			
CA-07	0.435	15.11	155.53	183.40
<b>TOTAL CONTROLLED</b>	<b>2.943</b>	<b>455.11</b>	<b>676.38</b>	<b>717.44</b>
CA-15	0.370	78.93	/	/
<b>TOTAL UNCONTROLLED</b>	<b>0.370</b>	<b>78.93</b>	/	/
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3.313</b>	<b>534.04</b>		

For release rate and storage calculations, refer to **Appendix D**. For additional information on overland storage, rooftop storage and underground chamber location etc., refer to drawing C.601 in **Appendix E**.

To attenuate flows to the allowable release rate of **534.04 L/s**, it is calculated that a total of **676.38m³** of storage will be required (assuming a 50% peak allowable release rate due to the addition of underground stormwater structures). The required storage is proposed to be met through the combination of rooftop storage, overland ponding and underground chambers. The total required storage, available storage and allowable release rate are summarized below:

- **183.40 m³** will be provided on the proposed high schools rooftop, via 23 flow control roof drains at a total release rate of **21.60 L/s** (23 roof drains)
- **209.87 m³** will be provided via overland ponding within the proposed south driveway and north driveway parking lot, and **322.88 m³** provided by the proposed MC3500 Stormtech Chambers (or approved equivalent), controlled by a 371mm orifice plate limiting flow to **440.00 L/s**.





- The balance of the site will remain uncontrolled, with an allowable release rate of **78.93 L/s**.

## 8 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

During construction, erosion and sediment controls will be provided primarily via a sediment control fence to be erected along the perimeter of the site where runoff has the potential of leaving the site. Inlet sediment control devices are also to be provided in any catch basin and/or manholes in and around the site that may be impacted by the site construction. Construction and maintenance requirements for erosion and sediment controls are to comply with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification OPSS 577. For more details refer to drawing C101 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan in **Appendix E**.

## 9 CONCLUSION

This Stormwater Management and Servicing Report for the development proposed at 2409-2415 Mer-Bleue Road presents the rationale and details for the servicing requirements for the subject property.

In accordance with the report objectives, the servicing requirements for the development are summarized below:

### Water Service

- The maximum required fire flow was calculated to be **13,000 L/min** using the FUS method.
- Three (3) existing fire hydrants, and a proposed private hydrant, will be available to service the proposed development. They will provide a combined fire flow of **17,980 L/min** to the site.
- The new development will be serviced via two (2) 200mm diameter service laterals separated by an isolation valve, that will be connected to the existing 406mm DI watermain within Mer-Bleue Road.
- Boundary conditions received from the City of Ottawa indicate that sufficient pressure is available to service the proposed site.

### Sanitary Service

- The total calculated wet wastewater flow from the proposed development is **6.06 L/s**.
- The proposed development will discharge to the existing sanitary sewer within Jerome Jodoin via a proposed 200 mm PVC sanitary service lateral, tied to the existing sanitary manhole provided at the northeast corner of the site.

### Stormwater Management

- The stormwater release rates from the proposed development will meet the calculated allowable release rate of 536.03 L/s.





- The site stormwater quantity control objectives will be achieved through the use of the following;
  - a 371mm orifice place restricting flow to **440.00 L/s**, with **532.75m<sup>3</sup>** of required stormwater storage being accommodated on site via overland ponding and underground storage chambers
  - 23 flow control roof drains, at a combined release rate of **15.11 L/s**, with **183.24m<sup>3</sup>** of required stormwater storage being accommodated by rooftop ponding

## 10 REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The report conclusions are applicable only to this specific project described in the preceding pages. Any changes, modifications or additions will require a subsequent review by LRL Associates Ltd. to ensure compatibility with the recommendations contained in this document.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Prepared by:  
**LRL Associates Ltd.**



Kyle Herold  
Civil Engineering Services



Mohan Basnet, P.Eng.  
Civil Engineer





## **APPENDIX A**

### **Pre-consultation / Correspondance**





October 4, 2024

Carolyn Jones  
GRC Architects  
Via email: [cjones@grcarchitects.com](mailto:cjones@grcarchitects.com)

**Subject: Pre-Consultation: Meeting Feedback  
Proposed Site Plan Control, Revision (Complex) Application – 2405  
Mer Bleue Road**

Please find below information regarding next steps as well as consolidated comments from the above-noted pre-consultation meeting held on September 25, 2024.

**Pre-Consultation Preliminary Assessment**

1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required while five (5) suggests that the proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.

**Next Steps**

1. A review of the proposal and materials submitted for the above-noted pre-consultation has been undertaken. Please proceed to complete a Phase 2 Pre-consultation Application Form and submit it together with the necessary studies and/or plans to [planningcirculations@ottawa.ca](mailto:planningcirculations@ottawa.ca). A completion of Phase 2 and Phase 3 is advisable if you wish to have a 60-day turnaround on approvals for a site plan control's formal submission.
2. In your subsequent pre-consultation submission, please ensure that all comments or issues detailed herein are addressed. A detailed cover letter stating how each issue has been addressed must be included with the submission materials. Please coordinate the numbering of your responses within the cover letter with the comment number(s) herein.
3. Please note, if your development proposal changes significantly in scope, design, or density before the Phase 3 pre-consultation, you may be required to complete or repeat the Phase 2 pre-consultation process.

**Supporting Information and Material Requirements**



1. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** outlines the information and material that has been identified, during this phase of pre-consultation, as either required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.
  - a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on [Ottawa.ca](http://Ottawa.ca). These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.

### **Consultation with Technical Agencies**

You are encouraged to consult with technical agencies early in the development process and throughout the development of your project concept.

Please contact James Holland of South Nation Conservation Authority.

[jholland@nation.on.ca](mailto:jholland@nation.on.ca)

**James Holland | M.Sc. RPP, Senior Planner**

38 Victoria Street, Box 29, Finch, ON K0C 1K0

Tel: 613-984-2948 or 1-877-984-2948 | Fax: 613-984-2872

[nation.on.ca](http://nation.on.ca)

### **Planning**

Comments:

1. The site is located in the Suburban (East) Transect and is designated Neighbourhood with an Evolving Neighborhood Overlay in the Official Plan. Section 4.10 specifically relates to schools, however, these policies are to be read in the context of the entire Official Plan.
2. The site is located within the Mer Bleue Developing Neighborhood Secondary Plan.
3. The site is currently zoned I1A [2530]/R3Z, Minor Institutional Subzone A, Exception 2530/Residential Third Density Subzone Z.
4. Further information about the site and the surrounding developing community can be found in Section 3.8.3 and Figure 3 of the Mer-Bleue Expansion Area Community Design Plan.
5. Easements and JUMA will be required if a severance between the elementary and high school site is sought prior to site plan control approval.



6. The concept's bus lay-by, proposed on the Mer Bleue Right of Way will not be accommodated in the final Mer Bleue Realignment. It is best to find an alternate permanent location for a bus lay-by.
7. The timing of the Mer Bleue Realignment is unknown, however it will happen. It is strongly advised that you plan your site elements based on the final realignment.
8. The temporary bus lay-by location is not a feasible back-up plan. The timing of the roads coming in service from which you assume connection, south of the current dead end of Jerome-Jodoin is unknown. This area is within a future Mattamy South, Phases 2 and 3 draft approved subdivision.
9. A pedestrian pathway must be finalized (constructed by CEPEO), as part of this upcoming site plan control process, connecting Monardia Way to Mer Bleue Road.
10. A public access easement will be required over the aforementioned pedestrian pathway – that connects Monardia Way to Mer Bleue Road.
11. Please consider the following aspects from a CPTED perspective regarding site design as you solve the temporary/permanent bus lay-by location:
  - a) The U shaped building is not ideal-during off hours, it is hidden.
  - b) Explore shifting the walkway along the north property line to the other side of the trees (i.e. fence, trees and then walkway instead of having the walkway between the fencing and trees -CPTED again).
  - c) PRED also advises establishing formal walkway(s) to the basketball court - otherwise the grass will get beaten down
  - d) Identify snow storage area(s).
  - e) Maybe shift the basketball court to one side or the other to provide a larger grassed area.

Feel free to contact Shoma Murshid, Planner, for follow-up questions.


### **Urban Design**

Comments:

12. As part of a complete application, staff require detailed architectural plans (including Building Elevations) and a Landscape Plan. An Urban Design Brief is not required.
13. Staff encourage the Applicant to consider a public or private roadway to accommodate the required bus lay-bys and access to vehicular parking. If public,



the roadway may be able to connect into future planned subdivisions in the area and remove various cul-de-sacs on and off-site.



- 14.
15. The relocation of parking presents an opportunity to make the outdoor play areas visible and accessible to Mer Bleue Road.
16. Staff have some concerns with the courtyard from a CPTED perspective. With the future expansion plans, there is the potential for it to become an entrapment zone when the school is not in service.
17. Provide a planted landscape buffer between the surface parking areas and the adjacent residential properties.
18. Explore additional tree planting opportunities, particularly along the perimeter of the site, the planned MUP, and street frontages.
19. Staff generally appreciate the direction that the architecture is heading.

Feel free to contact Nader Kadri, Urban Design Planner, for follow-up questions.

## **Engineering**

Comments:

20. The Stormwater Management Criteria, for the subject site, is to be based on the following:
  - a. Demonstrate the servicing strategy is consistent with higher-level studies and plans. Excerpts from relevant higher-level studies and plans will need to be discussed and provided in the Appendix of the Site Servicing and SWM report as supporting documentation to the design. Any deviations will require an update or addendum to the subdivision level MSS to



support any changes at the discretion of the City. The following studies apply: **(Design Brief – Summerside West – Phase 2 Mer Bleue, Servicing and Stormwater Management Report – 675 Monardia Way – CEPEO Elementary School – May 2024 – by WSP).**

- b. Approved drainage patterns shall be respected as part of the proposed SWM solution otherwise an update or addendum to the subdivision level MSS will be required to support the project.
- c. HGL Analysis to be completed and included as part of the Site Servicing and SWM report if basement levels are contemplated.
- d. **Water Quality Control:** provided at Avalon West Pond.
- e. **Water Quantity Control:** Based on the Design Brief – Summerside West – Phase 2 Mer Bleue and the Servicing and Stormwater Management Report – 675 Monardia Way – CEPEO Elementary School – May 2024 – by WSP, the allowable release rate for the subject site is 731 l/s. Please control post-development runoff from the subject site, for all storm events up to and including the 100-year storm event.
- f. Please provide a Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan to define the pre-development drainage areas/patterns. Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution.
- g. Ponding Notes:
  - i. 100-year spill elevation must be 300mm lower than any building opening or ramp.
  - ii. Demonstrate that the stress test spill elevation (100-year +20% event) does not spill onto any permanent structures.
  - iii. The maximum permissible ponding depth for the 100-year storm event is 350mm. No spilling to adjacent sites.
  - iv. Please note that as per Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 section 8.3.11.1 (p.12 of 14) there shall be no surface ponding on private parking areas during the 2-year storm rainfall event. 100-year spill elevation must be 300mm lower than any building opening or ramp
- h. Document how any foundation drainage system will be integrated into the servicing design and show the positive outlet on the plan. Foundation drainage is to be independently connected to sewer main unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention. It is recommended that the foundation drainage system be drained by a sump pump connection to the storm sewer to minimize



risk of basement flooding as it will provide the best protection from the uncontrolled sewer system compared to relying on the backwater valve.

- i. Please note that the minimum orifice dia. for a plug style ICD is 83mm and the minimum flow rate from a vortex ICD is 6 L/s in order to reduce the likelihood of plugging.
- j. If rooftop control and storage is proposed as part of the SWM solutions, sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and documented in the report and on the plans. Roof drains are to be connected downstream of any incorporated ICDs within the SWM system and not to the foundation drain system. Provide a Roof Drain Plan as part of the submission.
- k. Dry ponds are only to be functional for events that are greater than the 2-year storm event, a freeboard of 0.3m between the 100-year HWL elevation and the emergency overflow elevation and to be designed with a maximum depth of 1.5m with 3:1 side slopes. An emergency overland flow route to an appropriate outlet (Rideau River) from the SWM facility needs to be designed.
- l. **Underground Storage:** Please note that the Modified Rational Method for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.
  - i. When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate. In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modelers in the Water Resources Group. Regarding all proposed UG storage, ground water levels (and in particular HGW levels) will need to be reviewed to ensure that the proposed system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective.
  - ii. Provide information on type of underground storage system including product name and model, number of chambers, chamber configuration, confirm invert of chamber system, top of chamber



system, required cover over system and details, interior bottom slope (for self-cleansing), chart of storage values, length, width and height, capacity, entry ports (maintenance) etc. UG storage to provide actual 5- and 100-year event storage requirements.

## 21. General Servicing

- a. Provide existing servicing information and the recommended location for the proposed connections. Services should ideally be grouped in a common trench to minimize the number of road cuts.
- b. CCTV sewer inspection of city infrastructure is required to record pre and post construction conditions and ensure there is no damage to City Assets.
- c. It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area and submit a request for locates to avoid conflict(s). The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an Existing Conditions Plan.
- d. Any easements on the subject site shall be identified and respected by any development proposal and shall adhere to the conditions identified in the easement agreement. A legal survey plan shall be provided, and all easements shall be shown on the engineering plans.
- e. All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plans to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the existing property lines and sight triangles.

## 22. Storm Sewer

- a. A 1200mm dia. concrete storm sewer stub will be available on the North-East corner of the site adjacent to 675 Monardia Way.
- b. A storm sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) Monitoring Devices.

## 23. Sanitary Sewer

- a. A 200 mm dia. PVC Sanitary sewer stub will be available on the North-East corner of the site adjacent to 675 Monardia Way.
- b. Please provide the new Sanitary sewer discharge and we will confirm if sanitary sewer main has the capacity. The allowable sanitary release rate for the high school site based on the Servicing and Stormwater



Management Report – 675 Monardia Way – CEPEO Elementary School – May 2024 – by WSP, is 3.61l/s.

- c. Include correspondence from the Architect within the Appendix of the report confirming the number of residential units per building and a unit type breakdown for each of the buildings to support the calculated building populations.
- d. Please apply the wastewater design flow parameters in Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01.
- e. Sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) Monitoring Devices.
- f. The proposed wastewater servicing design shall be consistent with higher-level studies and plans (Design Brief – Barrett Lands – Phase 1 – 4660 Bank Street – Leirim Development Area – Dated May 2018 and Memo – Dated August 2018).

#### 24. Water:

- a. A 400 mm dia. DI watermain (1976) is available at Mer Bleue Road.
- b. Water Supply Redundancy: Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m<sup>3</sup>/day (0.57 L/s) or with 50+ units are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services, with each their own meter, separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area.
- c. Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service (map or plan with connection location(s) indicated) and the expected loads required by the proposed development, including calculations. Please provide the following information:
  - i. Plan showing the proposed location of service(s).
  - ii. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (L/min).  
Note: The OBC method can be used if the fire demand for the private property is less than 9,000 L/min. If the OBC fire demand reaches 9000 L/min, then the FUS method is to be used.
  - iii. Average daily demand: \_\_L/s.
  - iv. Maximum daily demand: \_\_L/s.
  - v. Maximum hourly daily demand: \_\_L/s.



- vi. Note: Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons and use Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution for 501 to 3,000 persons.
- d. Please review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, maximum fire flow hydrant capacity is provided in Section 3 Table 1 of Appendix I. A hydrant coverage figure shall be provided and demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the proposal.
- e. A Water Data Card will have to be submitted to size the water meter.
- f. Any proposed fire (emergency) route is to be to the satisfaction of Fire Services. Please note that a siamese connection needs to be within 45m from an existing fire hydrant as per (OBC – 3.2.5.16 Fire Department Connections).

## 25. Grading and Erosion

- a. Post-development site grading shall match existing property line grades in order to minimize disruption to the adjacent residential properties. A topographical plan of survey shall be provided as part of the submission and a note provided on the plans.
- b. Erosion and sediment control plan must be provided.
- c. Any portion of the subject property which is intended to be used for permanent or temporary snow storage shall be as shown on the approved site plan and grading plan. Snow storage shall not interfere with approved grading and drainage patterns or servicing. Snow storage areas shall be setback from the property lines, foundations, fencing or landscaping a minimum of 1.5m. Snow storage areas shall not occupy driveways, aisles, required parking spaces or any portion of a road allowance. If snow is to be removed from the site, please indicate this on the plan(s).
- d. Street catch basins are not to be located at any proposed entrances.
- e. Depressed driveways are discouraged and are not allowed in sag locations. For other locations, the builder must ensure that the maximum depth of flow on the street during the 100-year and stress test events will not spill onto the depressed driveway.
- f. If Window wells are proposed, they are to be indirectly connected to the footing drains. A detail of window well with indirect connection is required, as is a note at window well location speaking to indirect connection.

## 26. Environmental



- a. A Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. Depending on the Phase I recommendations a Phase II ESA may be required.
- b. The Phase I ESA shall provide all the required Environmental Source Information as required by O. Reg. 153/04. ERIS records are available to public at a reasonable cost and need to be included in the ESA report to comply with O.Reg. 153/04 and the Official Plan. The City will not be in a position to approve the Phase I ESA without the inclusion of the ERIS reports.
- c. [Official Plan: Section 10. Protection of Health and Safety \(ottawa.ca\)](#)

## 27. Environmental Compliance Approval

- a. The consultant shall determine if this project will be subject to an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) for Private Sewage Works. It shall be determined if the exemptions set out under Ontario Regulation 525/98: *Approval Exemptions* are satisfied. All regulatory approvals shall be documented and discussed in the report.
- b. Please note that an ECA is required for:
  - i. Stormwater management works servicing more than one parcel of land
  - ii. Stormwater management works discharging to a combined sewer.
  - iii. A storm or sanitary sewer servicing multiple parcels.
- c. An MECP ECA [Industrial Sewage Works or Municipal/Private Sewage Works] will be required for the proposed development. Please contact the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Ottawa District Office to arrange a pre-submission consultation.
  - i. Emily Diamond at (613) 521-3450, ext. 238 or [Emily.Diamond@ontario.ca](mailto:Emily.Diamond@ontario.ca)
- d. [Environmental Compliance Approval | Ontario.ca](#)

## 28. Geotechnical

- a. A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- b. Reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to potential damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the



ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to ensure there will be no short term and long-term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.

- c. Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications. [Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting \(ottawa.ca\)](http://ottawa.ca/GeotechnicalInvestigationandReporting)
- d. If Sensitive marine clay soils are present in this area that are susceptible to soil shrinkage that can lead to foundation and building damages. All six (6) conditions listed in the Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils- 2017 Guidelines are required to be satisfied. Note that if the plasticity index of the soil is determined to be less than 40% a minimum separation between a street tree and the proposed building foundations of 4.5m will need to be achieved. A memorandum addressing the Tree in Clay Soil Guidelines prepared by a geotechnical engineer is required to be provided to the City. [Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils - 2017 Guidelines \(ottawa.ca\)](http://ottawa.ca/TreePlantinginSensitiveMarineClaySoils-2017Guidelines)

#### 29. Regarding Quantity Estimates

- a. Please note that external Garbage and/or bicycle storage structures are to be added to QE under Landscaping as it is subject to securities. In addition, sump pumps for Sanitary and Storm laterals and/or cisterns are to be added to QE under Hard items as it is subject to securities, even though it is internal and is spoken to under SWM and Site Servicing Report and Plan.

30. For any proposed exterior light fixtures, please provide certification from a licensed professional engineer confirming lighting has been designed only using fixtures that meet the criteria for full cut-off classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America and result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (maximum allowable spillage is 0.5 fc). Additionally, include in the submission the location of the fixtures, fixture type (make, model, part number and mounting height).

31. Gas pressure regulating stations: A gas pressure regulating station may be required depending on HVAC needs. Be sure to include this on the Grading, Site Servicing, SWM and Landscape plans. This is to ensure that there are no barriers for overland flow routes (SWM) or conflicts with any proposed grading or landscape features with installed structures and has nothing to do with supply and demand of any product.

Please refer to the City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]: [Planning application submission information and materials](http://ottawa.ca/Planningapplicationsubmissioninformationandmaterials). The guide outlines the requirement for a statement to be provided on the plan about where the property boundaries have been derived from.



Feel free to contact Anton Chetrar, Project Manager, for follow-up questions at [anton.chetrar@ottawa.ca](mailto:anton.chetrar@ottawa.ca).

## **Noise**

Comments:

32. Noise Impact Studies required for the following:

- a. Road, as the site is within proximity to Mer Bleue Rd. Mer Bleue should be assessed as a 4-lane divided arterial roadway.
- b. Stationary, due to the proximity to neighboring exposed mechanical equipment and/or if there will be any exposed mechanical equipment due to the proximity to neighboring noise sensitive land uses.

Feel free to contact Josiane Gervais, TPM, for follow-up questions.

## **Transportation**

Comments:

33. Follow Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines:

- a. Note that the [TIA Guidelines](#) have been updated, the changes are available on the City's website.
- b. A Transportation Impact Assessment is required. Please ensure the TIA considers trips generated for the ultimate site and includes future portables.
- c. Please submit the Scoping/Forecasting report to [josiane.gervais@ottawa.ca](mailto:josiane.gervais@ottawa.ca) at your earliest convenience, or as part of the Phase 2 pre-con package. The applicant is responsible to submit the Scoping Report prior to application and must allow for a 14 day circulation period.
- d. The Strategy Report must be submitted with the formal submission to deem complete. The applicant is strongly encouraged to submit the Strategy Report to the TPM prior to formal submission and allow for a 14 day circulation period.
- e. If an RMA is required to support the proposed development, the functional plan and/or RMA plans must be submitted with the formal submission to deem complete. Request base mapping asap if RMA is required, contact [Engineering Services](#)

34. ROW Protection:



- a. Ensure that the development proposal complies with the Right-of-Way protection requirements of the Official Plan's [Schedule C16](#).
  - b. Any requests for exceptions to ROW protection requirements must be discussed with Transportation Planning and concurrence provided by Transportation Planning management.
  - c. ROW and corner triangles must be unincumbered and conveyed at no cost to the City. Note that conveyance of the ROW/corner triangle will be required prior to registration of the SP agreement. Additional information on the conveyance process can be provided upon request.
  - d. Additional ROW is required to accommodate the Mer Bleue Widening. This plan was provided to the applicant via email. This ROW protection must be provided as part of this application.
35. As the proposed site is institutional and for general public use, AODA legislation applies.
- a. Ensure all crosswalks located internally on the site provide a TWSI at the depressed curb, per requirements of the Integrated Accessibility Standards Regulation under the AODA.
  - b. Clearly define accessible parking stalls and ensure they meet AODA standards (include an access aisle next to the parking stall and a pedestrian curb ramp at the end of the access aisle, as required).
  - c. Please consider using the City's [Accessibility Design Standards](#), which provide a summary of AODA requirements.
36. Clear throat requirements for on a collector is 25m. Ensure this length is provided. The clear throat length is measured from the ends of the driveway curb return radii at the roadway and the point of first conflict on-site. Note the minimum throat length provided must be maintained with the future ROW protection (as applicable).
37. Site access corner clearances should follow minimum distances set out within TAC Figure 8.8.2.
38. Nearby DC intersections include:
- a. Mer Bleue and Decoeur
  - b. Mer Bleue and Renaud
39. [Transportation Master Plan](#) includes:
- a. Widening of Mer Bleue Road (Affordable Network)




- b. Realignment of Mer Bleu Road, south of Renaud Rd (2031 Network Concept)

40. Nearby [planned construction and infrastructure projects](#) include:

- a. Intersection Modifications at Mer Bleue and Decoeur
- b. Mer Bleue widening between Decoeur and Renaud, Planned in 2-3 years (PM Joe Mojsej)

41. The *Mer Bleue Road Environmental Assessment Study* was completed in 2006. The EA plans show a realignment of Mer Bleue Rd, and a cul-de-sac of the existing corridor in proximity to the site's southern boundary, as shown below.



42. Functional plans are being prepared by ISD for the intersection of Mer Bleue and Renaud. More details are anticipated regarding this intersection within a few months.

- a. The active transportation connector along the northern property line is shown in grey on the site plan. This should be constructed as part of this Site Plan application, including provision for an easement for public access.
- b. How would access be provided to the temporary bus loop in the instance the subdivision is not built prior to Mer Bleue construction? Is this intended to be a "Future" Bus loop that would remain operational once the Mer Bleue widening and realignment has been implemented?



- c. The proposed lay-bys on Mer Bleue would require RMA. It is noted that due to the proximity to the Renaud Rd intersection and because of the ultimate plans for Mer Bleue Rd as per the EA, staff have concerns with the lay-bys.
- d. The lay-bys, as presented, would be considered throw-away and would be removed once Mer Bleue realignment is constructed.
- e. There would be no reinstatement of lay-bys on the future Mer Bleue Rd, as the roadway designation would change to arterial and lay-bys would not be supported.
- f. The applicant is strongly encouraged to assess options for providing bus a drop-off area on-site.
- g. A single private approach from Mer Bleue is supported. Ensure site access meets the City's [Private Approach Bylaw](#).
- h. The applicant is encouraged to review alternative access locations that function for the site both in the interim, and ultimately when Mer Bleue is realigned.
- i. On site plan:
- j. Show all details of the roads abutting the site; include such items as pavement markings, accesses and/or sidewalks.
- k. Turning movement diagrams required for all accesses showing the largest vehicle to access/egress the site.
- l. Turning movement diagrams required for internal movements (loading areas, garbage).
- m. Show all curb radii measurements; ensure that all curb radii are reduced as much as possible and fall within TAC guidelines (Figure 8.5.1).
- n. Show dimensions for site elements (i.e. lane/aisle widths, access width and throat length, parking stalls, sidewalks, pedestrian pathways, etc.)

Feel free to contact Josiane Gervais, Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

### **Environment**

Comments:

- 43. Environmental constraints on this site are minimal. The parcel has already been cleared and there are no natural heritage features, watercourse features, or



notable species at risk habitat (though some SAR may be on site – see below) present that would trigger the need for an environmental impact statement (EIS).

An EIS is not required as part of this submission.

44. There is the potential for species-at-risk field birds to be present on the open land currently. This does not preclude development, but makes adherence to the [Protocol for Wildlife Protection During Construction](#) essential. Of particular note are the sensitive timing windows and pre-stressing on site.

45. This site is located in the area covered by the Mer Bleu Environmental Management Plan and development will be required to conform to that document.

While it remains the duty of the applicant to ensure that the design conforms to the EMP specifications, there are no immediate outstanding concerns to flag at the moment.

46. The Bird Safe Design Guidelines will apply to this development. Of particular importance is Guideline 2, regarding glazing.

47. Additional tree plantings are always welcomed to help meet the City's urban forest canopy goals as well as to reduce the impacts of climate change and the urban heat island effect. Please note that the City prefers that all plantings be of native and non-invasive species.

Feel free to contact Mark Elliott, Environmental Planner, for follow-up questions.

## **Forestry**

Comments:

Here are my phase 1 pre-consultation comments for 2405 Mer Bleue and 675 Monardia.

48. A Tree Conservation Report and Landscape Plan are submission requirements.

49. There is strong policy in section 4.8.2 of the Official Plan including the prioritization of tree retention over removal and replacement where feasible (3d). Explore retention options of healthy mature trees around Mer Bleue. Having mature trees on the subject site would provide immediate benefit to school users.

50. The Official Plan has a policy, 4.10.3, titled "Make trees an important component of school's outdoor space". Provide large canopy shade trees, a diversity of species, and regular groupings of trees throughout the school yard and along street frontages.



51. Space for mature shade trees must be provided regularly throughout surface parking areas. Reference section 4.1.4, policy 11 of the Official Plan.

52. A tree removal permit is required prior to any tree removal on site. The permit will be released upon site plan approval. Early release of a permit will be considered if justified. Note the implications of the Migratory Bird Convention Act on tree removal. For more information on the process, contact the Planning Forester.

**Tree Conservation Report requirements. The following Tree Conservation Report (TCR) requirements have been adapted from the Schedule E of the Urban Tree Protection Guidelines – for more information on these requirements please contact [hayley.murray@ottawa.ca](mailto:hayley.murray@ottawa.ca)**

53. A Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City

54. Any tree 10 cm in diameter or greater and City-owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 – 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.

55. The TCR must contain 2 separate plans/maps:

- i. Plan/Map 1 - show existing conditions with tree cover information.
- ii. Plan/Map 2 - show proposed development with tree cover information.

56. The TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ (critical root zone) extends into the developed area, by species, diameter, and health condition. Please note that averages can be used if there are forested areas.

57. Please identify trees by ownership – private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, co-owned (trees on a property line)

58. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained.

59. The removal of trees on a property line will require the permission of both property owners.

60. All retained trees must be shown, and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at Tree Protection Specification or by searching Ottawa.ca

61. The city encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.

62. Removal of a City tree is not permitted unless justified. If justified, monetary compensation for the value of the tree must be paid before a tree removal permit is issued.



**Landscape Plan (LP) requirements.**

63. Landscape Plan Terms of Reference must be adhered to for all tree planting: [Click Here](#). For more information on these requirements please contact [hayley.murray@ottawa.ca](mailto:hayley.murray@ottawa.ca)

**Additional Elements for Tree Planting in the Right of Way:**

64. Please ensure any retained trees are shown on the LP

65. Sensitive Marine Clay - Please follow the City's 2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines.

66. Soil Volume - Please demonstrate as per the Landscape Plan Terms of Reference that the available soil volumes for new plantings will meet or exceed the minimum soil volumes requested.

67. The city requests that consideration be given to planting native species wherever there is a high probability of survival to maturity.

68. Efforts shall be made to provide as much future canopy cover as possible at a site level, through tree planting and tree retention. The Landscape Plan shall show/document that the proposed tree planting and retention will contribute to the City's overall canopy cover over time. Please provide a projection of the future canopy cover for the site to 40 years

**69. Minimum Setbacks**

- a) Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track or water service laterals.
- b) Maintain 2.5m from curb
- c) Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk, or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
- d) Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing, except where otherwise approved in naturalization / afforestation areas.
- e) Adhere to Ottawa Hydro's planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when planting around overhead primary conductors.

**70. Tree specifications**

- a) Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
- b) Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy coverage.
- c) Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Tree Planting Specification; and if possible, include watering and warranty as described in the specification.



- d) No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
- e) No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)

#### 71. Hard surface planting

- a) If there are hard surface plantings, a planting detail must be provided.
- b) Curb style planters are highly recommended.
- c) No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be provided) shall be used.
- d) Trees are to be planted at grade.

Feel free to contact Hayley Murray, Planning Forester, for follow-up questions.

### **Other**

72. The High Performance Development Standard (HPDS) is a collection of voluntary and required standards that raise the performance of new building projects to achieve sustainable and resilient design and will be applicable to Site Plan Control and Plan of Subdivision applications.

- a. The HPDS was passed by Council on April 13, 2022, but is not in effect at this time, as Council has referred the 2023 HPDS Update Report back to staff with the direction to bring forward an updated report to Committee at a later date. The timing of an updated report to Committee is unknown at this time, and updates will be shared when they are available.
- b. Please refer to the HPDS information at [ottawa.ca/HPDS](http://ottawa.ca/HPDS) for more information.

### **Submission Requirements and Fees**

1. This proposal, once it has exhausted the phased pre-consultations, will trigger an 'Application for a New Development, Complex Site Plan Control, which has a planning fee of \$72,000.22 plus an Initial Engineering Design Review and Inspection Fee, based on the value of Infrastructure and Landscaping, plus an Initial Conservation Authority Fee of \$1,120.00.
  - a. Additional information regarding fees related to planning applications can be found [here](#).
2. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** outlines the information and material that has been identified as either required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.
  - a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on [Ottawa.ca](http://Ottawa.ca). These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.





3. All of the above comments or issues should be addressed to ensure the effectiveness of the application submission review.

Should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact myself or the contact identified for the above areas / disciplines.

Yours Truly,  
Shoma Murshid

Encl. SPIL

c.c. Anton Chetnar, Nader Kadri, Josiane Gervais, Mark Elliott, Hayley Murray,  
Matthew Steeves,





File No.: PC2024-0496

December 18, 2024

Carolyn Jones  
GRC Architects  
Via email: [cjones@grcarchitects.com](mailto:cjones@grcarchitects.com)

**Subject: Phase 2 Pre-Consultation: Meeting Feedback  
Proposed Site Plan Control Revision Complex and Zoning By-law  
Amendment Applications – 2405 and 2419 Mer Bleue Road**

Please find below information regarding next steps as well as consolidated comments from the above-noted pre-consultation meeting held on December 12, 2024.

**Pre-Consultation Preliminary Assessment**

1 <input type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>	4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5 <input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------------------	----------------------------

One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required while five (5) suggests that the proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.

**Next Steps**

1. A review of the materials submitted for the above-noted pre-consultation has been undertaken and staff are satisfied that the information is consistent with previous direction provided and sufficient to move to a Phase 3 pre-consultation.
2. Please note that if your development proposal changes significantly in scope, design, or density between the Phase 2 pre-consultation review and Phase 3 pre-consultation submission, you may be required to repeat the Phase 2 pre-consultation process.
3. In your Phase 3 pre-consultation submission, please ensure that all comments detailed herein are addressed. A detailed cover letter stating how each comment has been addressed must be included with the submission materials. Please coordinate the numbering of your responses within the cover letter with the comment number(s) herein.

**Supporting Information and Material Requirements**

1. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** outlines the information and material that has been further identified and/or confirmed, during this phase of pre-



consultation, as required (R) or advised (A) as part of a future complete application submission.

- a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on [Ottawa.ca](http://Ottawa.ca). These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.

### **Consultation with Technical Agencies**


1. You are encouraged to consult with technical agencies early in the development process and throughout the development of your project concept. A list of technical agencies and their contact information is enclosed.

### **Planning**

Comments:

1. The site is located in the Suburban (East) Transect and is designated Neighbourhood with an Evolving Neighborhood Overlay in the Official Plan. Section 4.10 specifically relates to schools, however, these policies are to be read in the context of the entire Official Plan.
2. The site is located within the Mer Bleue Developing Neighborhood Secondary Plan.
3. The site is currently zoned I1A [2530]/R3Z, Minor Institutional Subzone A, Exception 2530/Residential Third Density Subzone Z.
4. Further information about the site and the surrounding developing community can be found in Section 3.8.3 and Figure 3 of the Mer-Bleue Expansion Area Community Design Plan.
5. Ensure Site Plan refers to Plan of Survey boundaries.
6. Easements and JUMA will be required if a severance between the elementary and high school site is sought prior to site plan control approval.
7. Landscape requirement – large shade trees recommended along City ROW, along pathway connecting Monardia Way to Mer Bleue Road, and along internal lay-by. Recommended to find locations throughout the recreational area for introduction of large trees.
8. Confirm if there is any depressed curbing, particularly along the bus drop off and garbage areas.
9. Confirm this is the garbage area, provide dimensions of loading area.





10. Staff appreciate that the school board is proposing the planting of trees in the parking lot.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to Shoma Murshid, Planner II, should you have any questions or concerns.

### **Urban Design**


Deficiencies:

11. N/A

Comments:

12. Staff are still unclear on how the school block is stitching into the larger community. It would be helpful if the Applicant provided a context plan that illustrates the approved developments surrounding the site.
13. Staff understand that the school board is in the process of acquiring 2431 Mer Bleue Road. Please advise on the long term plan for the remainder of the site:





14. Staff would encourage the school board to consider reorganizing the site to include the balance of 2431 Mer Bleue Road.

Please do not hesitate to reach out to Nader Kadri, Planner III, should you have any questions or concerns.

## **Engineering**

### **List of Studies and Plans Reviewed:**

- ☐ **Phase One Environmental Site Assessment - 2405 and 2419 Mer Bleue Road (Draft)**, prepared by Cambium Inc., dated October 2024.
- ☐ **Geotechnical Investigation Report - 2405 and 2419 Mer Bleue Road (Draft)**, prepared by Cambium Inc., dated November 2024.

Deficiencies:



15. Phase One Environmental Site Assessment recommends that a Phase II ESA be completed and submitted for review. In this case we will deem the application complete without the submittal of the Phase II ESA. However, the Phase II ESA study is required for review prior to approval.

Comments:

16. Phase 1 – Pre-Consult engineering comments (PC2024-0390) stand/remain outstanding.

Feel free to contact Anton Chettrar, Infrastructure Project Manager, for follow-up questions at [anton.chettrar@ottawa.ca](mailto:anton.chettrar@ottawa.ca)

### **Noise**

Deficiencies:

17. Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
- a. Road, as the site is within proximity to Mer Bleue Rd. Mer Bleue should be assessed as a 4-lane divided arterial roadway.
  - b. Stationary, due to the proximity to neighboring exposed mechanical equipment and/or if there will be any exposed mechanical

Feel free to contact Josiane Gervais, TPM, for follow-up questions.

### **Transportation**

#### **List of Studies and Plans Reviewed:**

- ☐ **Site Plan**, Drawing No. A002, prepared by GRC architects, dated Nov 5 2024.
- ☐ **Scoping Report**, prepared by J.L. Richards, dated October 18, 2024.

Deficiencies:

18. The Strategy Report must be provided with the formal submission to deem complete. The applicant is strongly encouraged to submit the Strategy Report to the TPM prior to formal submission and allow for a 14 day circulation period.

Comments:

19. The interim functional design for Mer Bleue and Renaud is now complete and is provided for your information. CAD files will be provided once they are available.



20. Additional ROW has been identified as part of the interim design of the Mer Bleue and Renaud Road intersection. This ROW must be conveyed as part of the Site Plan application. CAD files will be provided once they are available.
21. The sidewalk along Mer Bleue shown on the site plan is not existing, nor is it proposed. Please remove this from the plan.
22. The work at Mer Bleue/Renaud includes a sidewalk along Mer Bleue to the Renaud Rd intersection. Note that there is approximately 70m of missing sidewalk between the proposed signal and the school property, however this will be reviewed at detailed design. As commented below by TES, the school should provide a pedestrian pathway connection between the proposed building and the northwest corner of the site.
23. Review the throat length for the access to the parking lot under both existing and future scenarios. Ensure the throat length remains adequate, this must be discussed in the TIA strategy report.
24. Any pavers in the city ROW will require a Maintenance and Liability Agreement.
25. The removal of the lay-by and provision of a bus loop on south side of property is supported. Consideration for the future property, should it be acquired, must ensure there are no desire lines that would have pedestrians crossing bus loops and parking lots in the future. Fencing may be required.
26. In terms of timeline, the TIA can assume that the Mer Bleue/Renaud intersection is signalized as per the included design by the 2031 horizon. Assume existing conditions for the 2026 horizon.
27. Ensure all crosswalks located internally on the site provide a TWSI at the depressed curb, per requirements of the Integrated Accessibility Standards Regulation under the AODA.
28. Applicable comments provided for Phase 1 pre-application consultation should be reviewed and addressed within the next submission.

The following comments were provided to the consultant November 5 2024 and are included below for a complete record.

### **Transportation Engineering Services**

29. Section 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions – Area Road Network: Please clarify the description of Mer-Bleue Road to note that Mer-Bleue Road is currently designated as an arterial road north of Renaud Road, but a collector road south of Renaud Road.
30. Section 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions – Pedestrian/Cycling Network: Reference to the Crosstown Bikeway Network should reference the 2023 TMP,



not the 2013 TMP. Remove reference to designated cycling "Spine Routes" and "Local Routes". Remove Figure 5.

31. Section 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions – Peak Hour Travel Demands: In Figure 11, remove the northbound and southbound vehicle volumes shown adjacent to the site.

32. Section 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions – Other Area Development: Estimate the portion of Trailsedge Phase 3 that has yet to be built-out / occupied, and therefore should be added to traffic generated by other area developments.

33. Section 2.2 Study Area and Time Periods – Study Area: Justify not including other major intersections within 1km of the site, including:

- a. Brian Coburn Boulevard and Mer Bleue Road
- b. Mer Bleue Road and Copperhead Street / Décoeur Drive

34. Section 2.3 Development Related Travel Demand:

- a. Site generated trips should include some auto driver mode share to account for the percentage of staff who drive to the school. Consider separating the estimate of staff trips from the estimate of student trips.
- b. The paragraph below Table 6 states that, "the proposed development is projected to generate approximate two-way vehicle volumes of 475 veh/h and 103 veh/h during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively". School bus person trips are not the equivalent of vehicle trips. The number of school bus trips should be divided by the estimated # of students per bus to find the # of bus vehicle trips. Please correct.

35. Preliminary Site Plan Comments:

- a. The illustration of the ROW required for the Mer Bleue widening and realignment is appreciated.
- b. Please note that as part of Mer Bleue widening and realignment, the site's parking lot access may be limited to right-in/right-out.
- c. The east-west pathway illustrated along the north side of the site, between Mer Bleue Road and Monardia Way, is appreciated.
- d. Please note that Monardia Way is spelled incorrectly on the site plan.
- e. Provide a pedestrian connection between the proposed building and the northwest corner of the site to allow for future tie-in with the Renaud Road and Mer Bleue Road intersection.

## **Traffic Engineering**

36. The intersections of Mer Bleue Road & Renaud Road along with Mer Bleue Road & Décoeur Drive are currently under review for traffic signal installation or



roundabout construction. If traffic signals are the chosen intersection control, network impacts should be addressed.

## Transit Services

37. Comments were not provided.

## Transportation Project Manager

38. Revise section 2.1

- a. Description of Proposed Development: Include mention of the secondary access to a bus loop.
- b. Description of Proposed Development: Include size of proposed school development.
- c. Area Road Network: The school proposes temporary access onto Monardia Way - at a minimum, this roadway should be included in the study area.

39. Section 2.2, references that elementary schools end before afternoon peak hour, however this proposal is for a high school.

40. Section 2.1, Planned Conditions must also discuss the planned work along Mer Bleue and the intersection modifications at Mer Bleue and Decoeur, and Mer Bleue and Renaud, as described within the feedback form of the pre-application consultation.

41. Table 4 references Land Use Code 525, but the proposed school is for a high school land use. Please revise forecasting module accordingly.

42. Include trip distribution and assignment, as per the TIA Guidelines.

43. The following modules are to be included within the Strategy Report:

Module	Criteria	Inclusion
<b>Design Review Component</b>		
4.1.1: Development for Sustainable Modes	All	Yes
4.1.2: Circulation and Access	All	Yes
4.1.3: New Street Networks	Subdivisions Only	No
4.2.1: Parking Supply	All	Yes
4.2.2: Spillover	Deleted	No
4.3: Boundary Street Design	All	Yes
4.5.1: Context for TDM	All	Yes
4.5.2: Need and Opportunity	All	Yes
4.5.3: TDM Program	All	Yes



3.2: Background Network Travel Demands	> 75 auto and/or transit trips	Yes
3.3: Demand Rationalization	> 75 auto trips	Yes
<b>Network Impact Component</b>		
4.6: Neighborhood Traffic Calming	Reference criteria	No
4.7.1: Transit Route Capacity	> 75 transit trips	No
4.7.2: Transit Priority Requirements	> 75 auto trips	Yes
4.8: Network Concept	> 200 person trips	No
4.9.1: Intersection Controls & 4.4.2: Access Control)	> 75 auto trips	Yes
4.9.2: Intersection Design & 4.4.3: Access Design	> 75 auto trips	Yes

### ISD PM

44. In addition to existing conditions and the ultimate future ROW, there will most likely be an intermediate road design/constructed when the City upgrades the Mer Bleue/Renaud intersection. Functional plans for this will be forthcoming soon. [Refer to comment above, these plans are now available.]

### Transportation Project Manager

45. The applicant is encouraged to re-submit the Forecasting Report, as there are issues with the trip generation rates and results presented within the Scoping/Forecasting report submission.

46. At the applicant's discretion, you may proceed to the Strategy Report and the above comments can be addressed within the next submission. The applicant is strongly encouraged to submit the Strategy Report to the TPM prior to formal submission and allow for a 14 day circulation period. The Strategy Report must be submitted with the formal submission to deem complete.

Feel free to contact Josiane Gervais, Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

### Environment

Deficiencies:

47. N/A

Comments:

48. No change in comments from previous submission.

Feel free to contact Kim MacDonald, Environmental Planner, for follow-up questions.



## **Forestry**

### **List of Studies and Plans Reviewed:**

- ☐ **Proposed Site Plan, prepared by GRC Architects, dated Nov 5, 2024**
- ☐ **Geotechnical Report, prepared by Cambium, dated November 18, 2024**

### **Comments:**

49. In the Geotechnical Report, please update Section 5.15 “Effects of Trees” to:

- a. Identify the degree of sensitivity the soil is classified as for example, low/medium and/or high sensitivity soils. This is the direction given in the **Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils - 2017 Guidelines**, “A Geotechnical Engineer will provide a separate section within the Geotechnical Report on Sensitive Marine Clay soils, which includes a signed letter and corresponding map that confirms the locations of low/medium and/or high sensitivity clay soils, as determined by the plasticity tests.”
- b. Explain why the planting setbacks are more restrictive than the City’s 2017 guidelines.
- c. Address in the report whether the separation of a tree from the building foundation by asphalt/concrete would reduce the tree planting setback requirements.

50. Reduce hardscaping across the site. Provide minimum widths for drive isles, walkways...etc. A few examples include the shipping/receiving area, the entrance on the south side of the building, the pathways/pavers extending from the courtyard.

- a. Consider Low Impact Development technologies, like permeable pavers in the courtyard.

51. The City is working towards a 40% canopy cover target (OP Section 4.8.2). Based on the size of the site and the use, this is a suitable site to achieve this target.

- a. Incorporate large canopy trees wherever setbacks can be met, especially around the property perimeter.
- b. Providing grouping of trees wherever feasible.
- c. Refer to section 4.10.3, of the OP titled "Make trees an important component of school's outdoor space".





Feel free to contact Hayley Murray, Planning Forester, for follow-up questions.

**Parkland**

Comments:

52. Schools, where providing for outdoor recreation on site, are exempt from parkland dedication requirements. Kindly provide rationale to fulfil this requirement.

Feel free to contact Jessica Button, Parks Planner, for follow-up questions.

**Other**

We look forward to further discussing your project with you.

Should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact myself or the contact identified for the above areas / disciplines.

Yours Truly,  
Shoma Murshid

Encl. SPIL

c.c. Nader Kadri, Anton Chettrar, Josiane Gervais, Jessica Button, Abdul Mottalib, Hayley Murray, Wendy Tse, Kim MacDonald, Matthew Steeves



## **APPENDIX B**

### **Water Supply Calculations & Fire Hydrant Coverage**







## Water Supply Calculations

LRL File No. : 240462

**Project:** Prop. High School ESP Orleans South

**Location:** Mer Bleue Road, Ottawa

**Date:** 2025-01-31

**Designed:** K. Herold

**Checked:** M. Basnet

**Dwg Reference:** C401

### Water Demand based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines-Water Distribution, 2010

#### Institutional Demand

Property Type	Unit Rate (L/student/d)	# of Students	Demand (L/d)
Institutional Demand	70	1035	72450

<b>Average Day Demand</b>	<b>72,450 L/d</b>	<b>0.839 L/s</b>
Maximum Day Factor	1.5	( Design Guidelines-Water Distribution Table 4.2)
<b>Maximum Daily Demand</b>	<b>108,675 L/d</b>	<b>1.258 L/s</b>
Peak Hour Factor	1.8	( Design Guidelines-Water Distribution Table 4.2)
<b>Maximum Hour Demand</b>	<b>195,615 L/d</b>	<b>2.264 L/s</b>

TOTAL DEMAND			
<b>Average Day Demand</b>	<b>72,450 L/d</b>	<b>0.84 L/s</b>	
<b>Maximum Daily Demand</b>	<b>108,675 L/d</b>	<b>1.26 L/s</b>	
<b>Maximum Hour Demand</b>	<b>195,615 L/d</b>	<b>2.26 L/s</b>	

#### Water Service Pipe Sizing

$$Q = VA$$

Where: V = velocity (m/s)

A = area of pipe (m<sup>2</sup>)

Q = flow rate (L/s)

Assuming a maximum velocity of 1.8m/s, the diameter of pipe is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimum pipe diameter (d)} &= (4Q/\pi V)^{1/2} \\ &= 0.040 \text{ m} \\ &= 40 \text{ mm} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proposed pipe diameter (d)} &= 50 \text{ mm} \\ &= 2 \text{ Inches} \end{aligned} \quad \text{(to be confirmed with hydraulic pressure analysis)}$$





# Fire Flow Calculations

LRL File No. 240462

**Project:** Prop. High School - ESP Orleans South

**Location:** Mer Bleue Road, Ottawa

**Date:** January 31, 2025

**Method:** Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS)

**Prepared by:** K. Herold

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	Unit	Fire Flow	
Structural Framing Material									
1	Choose frame used for building	Coefficient C related to the type of construction	Wood Frame	1.5	Non-combustible construction	0.8			
			Ordinary Construction	1.0					
			Non-combustible construction	0.8					
			Fire resistive construction <2 hrs	0.7					
			Fire resistive construction >2 hrs	0.6					
Floor Space Area (A)									
2	Total area					10,311	m <sup>2</sup>		
3	Obtain fire flow before reductions	Required fire flow (rounded to nearest 1000)	Fire Flow = 220 x C x A <sup>0.5</sup>					L/min	18,000
Reductions or surcharge due to factors affecting burning									
4	Choose combustibility of contents	Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge	Non-combustible	-25%	Combustible	0%	L/min	18,000	
			Limited combustible	-15%					
			Combustible	0%					
			Free burning	15%					
			Rapid burning	25%					
5	Choose reduction for sprinklers	Sprinkler reduction	Full automatic sprinklers	-30%	True	-30%	L/min	12,600	
			Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines	-10%	False	0%			
			Fully supervised system	-10%	False	0%			
6	Choose separation	Exposure distance between units	North side	30m+	0%		L/min	12,600	
			East side	30m+	0%				
			South side	30m+	0%				
			West side	30m+	0%	0%			
Net required fire flow									
7	Obtain fire flow, duration, and volume	Minimum required fire flow rate (rounded to nearest 1000)					L/min	13,000	
		Minimum required fire flow rate					L/s	216.7	
		Required duration of fire flow					hr	2.5	








## Boundary Conditions 2409 Mer Bleue

### Provided Information

Scenario	Demand	
	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	50	0.84
Maximum Daily Demand	75	1.26
Peak Hour	136	2.26
Fire Flow Demand #1	13,000	216.67

### Location





## **Results**

### **Connection 1 – Mer Bleue Road**

<b>Demand Scenario</b>	<b>Head (m)</b>	<b>Pressure<sup>1</sup> (psi)</b>
Maximum HGL	130.5	61.6
Peak Hour	126.6	56.0
Max Day plus Fire Flow #1	125.4	54.3

<sup>1</sup> Ground Elevation = 87.2 m

## **Notes**

1. Any connection to a watermain 400 mm or larger should be approved by DWS as per the Water Design Guidelines Section 2.4 Review by Drinking Water Services

## **Disclaimer**




*The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.*



## **SUMMARY OF HYDRAULIC MODELING RESULTS**



## Scenario 1: Avg Day





```

*****
*                               E P A N E T                               *
*                               Hydraulic and Water Quality                 *
*                               Analysis for Pipe Networks                   *
*                               Version 2.2                                *
*****

```

Input File: 240462\_Hydraulic Analysis\_Avg Day\_Rev.net

Link - Node Table:

Link ID	Start Node	End Node	Length ft	Diameter in
P1	R1	J2	55.10	8
P2	J2	J3	12.46	8
P3	J2	J4	136.78	8
P4	J4	J5	4.92	8
P5	J5	J6	299.14	8
P6	J6	J7	4.59	8
P7	J7	J8	112.83	8
P8	J8	J9	16.4	6
P9	J8	J10	6.56	8
P10	J10	J11	4.59	8
P11	J11	J12	116.77	8
P12	J12	J13	4.59	8
P13	J13	J14	314.55	8
P14	J14	J15	5.25	8
P15	J15	J16	129.23	8
P16	J16	J17	4.59	8
P17	J17	R2	45.26	8

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality
J2	0.00	428.04	60.73	0.00
J3	13.30	428.04	60.61	0.00
J4	0.00	428.04	60.91	0.00
J5	0.00	428.04	60.89	0.00
J6	0.00	428.04	61.00	0.00
J7	0.00	428.04	60.97	0.00
J8	0.00	428.04	60.87	0.00
J9	0.00	428.04	60.93	0.00
J10	0.00	428.04	60.89	0.00
J11	0.00	428.04	60.90	0.00
J12	0.00	428.04	61.06	0.00
J13	0.00	428.04	61.07	0.00
J14	0.00	428.04	61.10	0.00
J15	0.00	428.04	61.14	0.00



## Node Results: (continued)



Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality
J16	0.00	428.04	60.90	0.00
J17	0.00	428.04	60.94	0.00
R1	-11.17	428.04	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
R2	-2.13	428.04	0.00	0.00 Reservoir

## Link Results:

Link ID	Flow GPM	Velocity fps	Unit Headloss ft/Kft	Status
P1	11.17	0.07	0.00	Open
P2	13.30	0.08	0.00	Open
P3	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P4	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P5	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P6	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P7	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P8	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
P9	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P10	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P11	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P12	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P13	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P14	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P15	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P16	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open
P17	-2.13	0.01	0.00	Open



## Scenario 2: Peak Hour





```

*****
*                               E P A N E T                               *
*                               Hydraulic and Water Quality                 *
*                               Analysis for Pipe Networks                   *
*                               Version 2.2                                *
*****

```

Input File: 240462\_Hydraulic Analysis\_Peak Hour\_Rev.net

Link - Node Table:

Link ID	Start Node	End Node	Length ft	Diameter in
P1	R1	J2	55.10	8
P2	J2	J3	12.46	8
P3	J2	J4	136.78	8
P4	J4	J5	4.92	8
P5	J5	J6	299.14	8
P6	J6	J7	4.59	8
P7	J7	J8	112.83	8
P8	J8	J9	16.4	6
P9	J8	J10	6.56	8
P10	J10	J11	4.59	8
P11	J12	J11	116.77	8
P12	J13	J12	4.59	8
P13	J14	J13	314.55	8
P14	J15	J14	5.25	6
P15	J16	J15	129.23	8
P16	J17	J16	4.59	8
P17	R2	J17	45.26	8

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality
J2	0.00	428.04	60.73	0.00
J3	35.89	428.04	60.61	0.00
J4	0.00	428.04	60.91	0.00
J5	0.00	428.04	60.89	0.00
J6	0.00	428.04	61.00	0.00
J7	0.00	428.04	60.97	0.00
J8	0.00	428.04	60.87	0.00
J9	0.00	428.04	60.93	0.00
J10	0.00	428.04	60.89	0.00
J11	0.00	428.04	60.90	0.00
J12	0.00	428.04	61.06	0.00
J13	0.00	428.04	61.07	0.00
J14	0.00	428.04	61.10	0.00
J15	0.00	428.04	61.14	0.00



## Node Results: (continued)




Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality
J16	0.00	428.04	60.90	0.00
J17	0.00	428.04	60.94	0.00
R1	-30.19	428.04	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
R2	-5.70	428.04	0.00	0.00 Reservoir

## Link Results:

Link ID	Flow GPM	Velocity fps	Unit Headloss ft/Kft	Status
P1	30.19	0.19	0.02	Open
P2	35.89	0.23	0.03	Open
P3	-5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P4	-5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P5	-5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P6	-5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P7	-5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P8	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
P9	-5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P10	-5.70	0.04	0.01	Open
P11	5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P12	5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P13	5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P14	5.70	0.06	0.01	Open
P15	5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P16	5.70	0.04	0.00	Open
P17	5.70	0.04	0.00	Open



### Scenario 3: Max Day + Fire Flow





```

*****
*                               E P A N E T                               *
*                               Hydraulic and Water Quality                 *
*                               Analysis for Pipe Networks                   *
*                               Version 2.2                                 *
*****

```

Input File: 240462\_Hydraulic Analysis\_Max Day + Fire Flow\_Rev.net

Link - Node Table:

Link ID	Start Node	End Node	Length ft	Diameter in
P1	R1	J2	55.10	8
P2	J2	J3	12.46	8
P3	J2	J4	136.78	8
P4	J4	J5	4.92	8
P5	J5	J6	299.14	8
P6	J6	J7	4.59	8
P7	J7	J8	112.83	8
P8	J8	J9	16.4	6
P9	J8	J10	6.56	8
P10	J10	J11	4.59	8
P11	J12	J11	116.77	8
P12	J13	J12	4.59	8
P13	J14	J13	314.55	8
P14	J15	J14	5.25	8
P15	J16	J15	129.23	8
P16	J17	J16	4.59	8
P17	R2	J17	45.26	8

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality
J2	0.00	427.49	60.49	0.00
J3	19.94	427.49	60.38	0.00
J4	0.00	426.19	60.11	0.00
J5	0.00	426.14	60.06	0.00
J6	0.00	423.29	58.94	0.00
J7	0.00	423.24	58.89	0.00
J8	0.00	422.17	58.33	0.00
J9	1505.78	419.91	57.40	0.00
J10	0.00	422.23	58.37	0.00
J11	0.00	422.27	58.40	0.00
J12	0.00	423.36	59.03	0.00
J13	0.00	423.40	59.06	0.00
J14	0.00	426.33	60.36	0.00
J15	0.00	426.37	60.42	0.00



## Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand GPM	Head ft	Pressure psi	Quality
J16	0.00	427.58	60.70	0.00
J17	0.00	427.62	60.76	0.00
R1	-777.82	428.04	0.00	0.00 Reservoir
R2	-747.90	428.04	0.00	0.00 Reservoir

## Link Results:

Link ID	Flow GPM	Velocity fps	Unit Headloss ft/Kft	Status
P1	777.82	4.96	10.00	Open
P2	19.94	0.13	0.01	Open
P3	757.88	4.84	9.53	Open
P4	757.88	4.84	9.53	Open
P5	757.88	4.84	9.53	Open
P6	757.88	4.84	9.53	Open
P7	757.88	4.84	9.53	Open
P8	1505.78	17.09	137.99	Open
P9	-747.90	4.77	9.29	Open
P10	-747.90	4.77	9.30	Open
P11	747.90	4.77	9.30	Open
P12	747.90	4.77	9.29	Open
P13	747.90	4.77	9.30	Open
P14	747.90	4.77	9.29	Open
P15	747.90	4.77	9.30	Open
P16	747.90	4.77	9.30	Open
P17	747.90	4.77	9.30	Open



## **APPENDIX C**

### **Wastewater Collection Calculations**





LRL Associates Ltd.  
Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet



LRL File No.: 240462  
Project: ESP Orleans South  
Location: 209 Mer-Bleue Rd, Ottawa  
Designed: K. Herold  
Checked: M. Basnet  
Date: January 31, 2025  
DWG. Reference: C401

Sanitary Design Parameters

Commercial & Institutional Flow = 28000 L/ha/day  
Light Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/day  
Heavy Industrial Flow = 55000 L/ha/day  
Maximum Residential Peak Factor = 4.0  
Commercial & Institutional Peak Factor = 1.5

Average Daily Flow = 280 L/p/day  
Industrial Peak Factor = as per Appendix 4-B  
Extraneous Flow = 0.33 L/s/ha

Pipe Design Parameters

Maximum Velocity = 3.00 m/s  
Minimum Velocity = 0.60 m/s  
Manning's n = 0.013

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL						COMMERCIAL		INDUSTRIAL			INSTITUTIONAL		C+I+I	INFILTRATION			TOTAL FLOW, Q	PIPE						
STREET	FROM	TO	AREA	POP.	ACCU.		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	PEAK FACT.	AREA	ACCU. AREA	PEAK FLOW	TOTAL AREA	ACCU. AREA	INFILT. FLOW		LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	MATERIAL	CAP. Q(FULL)	VEL. V(FULL)	RATIO Q /QFULL
			(Ha)		(Ha)			(L/s)	(Ha)	(Ha)		(Ha)		(Ha)	(Ha)	(L/s)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)		(L/s)	(m/s)	
	BLDG	SANMH204												3.313	3.313	4.97	3.313	3.313	1.09	6.06	13.0	200	1.00%	PVC	32.80	1.04	0.18
	SANMH204	SANMH203																			63.2	200	1.00%	PVC	32.80	1.04	0.18
	SANMH203	SANMH202																			63.7	200	1.00%	PVC	32.80	1.04	0.18
	SANMH202	SANMH201																			50.6	200	1.00%	PVC	32.80	1.04	0.18
	SANMH201	SANMH102																			11.9	200	1.00%	PVC	32.80	1.04	0.18

Notes: Existing inverts and slopes are estimated. They are to be confirmed on-site.



## **APPENDIX D**

### **Stormwater Management Calculations**





# LRL Associates Ltd.

## Storm Watershed Summary



**LRL File No.** 240462

**Project:** ESP Orleans South

**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road

**Date:** January 31, 2025

**Designed:** K.Herold

**Checked:** M.Basnet

**Dwg Reference:** C701, C702

### Pre-Development Catchments

Catchment	C = 0.20	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
ECA-01 (uncontrolled)	2.472	0.488	0.353	3.313	0.36
<b>Total</b>	<b>2.472</b>	<b>0.488</b>	<b>0.353</b>	<b>3.313</b>	<b>0.36</b>

### Post-Development Catchments

Catchment	C = 0.20	C = 0.8	C = 0.90	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
CA-01 (controlled)	0.098	0.000	0.008	0.106	0.25
CA-02 (controlled)	0.064	0.000	0.121	0.185	0.66
CA-03 (controlled)	0.042	0.000	0.131	0.173	0.73
CA-04 (controlled)	0.013	0.000	0.174	0.187	0.85
CA-05 (controlled)	0.081	0.000	0.132	0.213	0.63
CA-06 (controlled)	0.047	0.000	0.161	0.208	0.74
CA-07 (controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.435	0.435	0.90
CA-08 (controlled)	0.171	0.000	0.026	0.197	0.29
CA-09 (controlled)	0.131	0.000	0.087	0.218	0.48
CA-10 (controlled)	0.052	0.000	0.158	0.210	0.73
CA-11 (controlled)	0.145	0.000	0.070	0.215	0.43
CA-12 (controlled)	0.027	0.000	0.238	0.265	0.83
CA-13 (controlled)	0.068	0.000	0.114	0.182	0.64
CA-14 (controlled)	0.044	0.000	0.105	0.149	0.69
CA-15 (uncontrolled)	0.294	0.000	0.076	0.370	0.34
<b>Total</b>	<b>1.277</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>2.036</b>	<b>3.313</b>	<b>0.63</b>

\*factoring in future parking lot paving

\*factoring in future parking lot paving

\*factoring in future parking lot paving

& auditorium expansion

\*factoring in future portable

\*factoring in future portables

\*factoring in future auditorium

\*factoring in future portable

\*factoring in future classroom expansion





**LRL File No.** 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K.Herold  
**Checked:** M.Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

**Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet**

**STORM - 100 YEAR**

**Pre-Development Release Rate**

Area (High School + Future Site)\* = 4.518 ha  
 100 Year Allowable Release Rate\* = 731.00 L/s

\*as per Design Brief for Summerside West -  
Phase 2 Mer Bleue (as prepared by DESL)

Area (High School Site) = 3.313 ha  
 100 Year Allowable Release Rate = 536.03 L/s

Proposed high school site development will be  
controlled to this release rate

Area (Future Site) = 1.205 ha  
 100 Year Allowable Release Rate = 194.97 L/s

Future site development allowable release rate  
(not considered for this site development,  
but drainage easement provided in site design)

**Runoff Equation**

$$Q = 2.78CIA \text{ (L/s)}$$

C = Runoff coefficient

$$I = \text{Rainfall intensity (mm/hr)} = A / (T_d + C)^B$$

A = Area (ha)

T<sub>d</sub> = Time of duration (min)

**IDF Curve Equations**

$$I_{100} = 1735.688 / (T_d + 6.014)^{0.820}$$

$$A = 1735.688$$

$$B = 0.820$$

$$C = 6.014$$

$$C = 0.36 \text{ (max of 0.5 as per City Guidelines)}$$

$$I_{100} = 178.6 \text{ mm/hr}$$

$$T_d = 10 \text{ min}$$

$$A = 3.313 \text{ ha}$$

$$\text{Calculated 100 Year Allowable Release Rate} = 592.04 \text{ L/s}$$

$$\text{Design Brief 100 Year Allowable Release Rate} = 536.03 \text{ L/s}$$

As the Design Brief's 100y ARR is more conservative,  
it will be used for the following SWM calculations

**Post-development Stormwater Management**

Total Site Area =	3.313	ha	ΣR =	0.63	0.79
CA-01 (controlled)	0.106	ha	R =	0.25	0.32
CA-02 (controlled)	0.185	ha	R =	0.66	0.82
CA-03 (controlled)	0.173	ha	R =	0.73	0.91
CA-04 (controlled)	0.187	ha	R =	0.85	1.00
CA-05 (controlled)	0.213	ha	R =	0.63	0.79
CA-06 (controlled)	0.208	ha	R =	0.74	0.93
CA-08 (controlled)	0.197	ha	R =	0.29	0.37
CA-09 (controlled)	0.218	ha	R =	0.48	0.60
CA-10 (controlled)	0.210	ha	R =	0.73	0.91
CA-11 (controlled)	0.215	ha	R =	0.43	0.53
CA-12 (controlled)	0.265	ha	R =	0.83	1.00
CA-13 (controlled)	0.182	ha	R =	0.64	0.80
CA-14 (controlled)	0.149	ha	R =	0.69	0.87
<b>Total (ICD controlled)</b>	<b>2.508</b>	<b>ha</b>	<b>R =</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.78</b>
CA-07 (controlled)	0.435	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
<b>Total (Roof controlled)</b>	<b>0.435</b>	<b>ha</b>	<b>R =</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>1.00</b>
CA-15 (uncontrolled)	0.370	ha	R =	0.34	0.43
<b>Total (uncontrolled)</b>	<b>0.370</b>	<b>ha</b>	<b>R =</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>0.43</b>
<b>Total (Controlled+Uncontrolled)</b>	<b>3.313</b>	<b>ha</b>	<b>R =</b>	<b>0.63</b>	<b>0.79</b>





LRL File No. 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K. Herold  
**Checked:** M. Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

**Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet**

**100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Rooftop CA-07)  
Sample Calculation (Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-07A)**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	16.23	9.18	0.94	0.00	0.94
15	142.89	12.99	10.84	0.94	0.00	0.94
20	119.95	10.90	11.96	0.94	0.00	0.94
25	103.85	9.44	12.75	0.94	0.00	0.94
30	91.87	8.35	13.34	0.94	0.00	0.94
35	82.58	7.51	13.79	0.94	0.00	0.94
40	75.15	6.83	14.14	0.94	0.00	0.94
45	69.05	6.28	14.41	0.94	0.00	0.94
50	63.95	5.81	14.62	0.94	0.00	0.94
55	59.62	5.42	14.78	0.94	0.00	0.94
60	55.89	5.08	14.91	0.94	0.00	0.94
70	49.79	4.53	15.06	0.94	0.00	0.94
80	44.99	4.09	15.12	0.94	0.00	0.94
90	41.11	3.74	15.11	0.94	0.00	0.94
100	37.90	3.45	15.03	0.94	0.00	0.94
110	35.20	3.20	14.92	0.94	0.00	0.94
120	32.89	2.99	14.76	0.94	0.00	0.94

**100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Rooftop CA-07)  
Sample Calculation (Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-07Q)**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	9.18	4.75	1.26	0.00	1.26
15	142.89	7.35	5.48	1.26	0.00	1.26
20	119.95	6.17	5.89	1.26	0.00	1.26
25	103.85	5.34	6.12	1.26	0.00	1.26
30	91.87	4.72	6.24	1.26	0.00	1.26
35	82.58	4.25	6.27	1.26	0.00	1.26
40	75.15	3.86	6.25	1.26	0.00	1.26
45	69.05	3.55	6.19	1.26	0.00	1.26
50	63.95	3.29	6.09	1.26	0.00	1.26
55	59.62	3.07	5.96	1.26	0.00	1.26
60	55.89	2.87	5.81	1.26	0.00	1.26
70	49.79	2.56	5.46	1.26	0.00	1.26
80	44.99	2.31	5.06	1.26	0.00	1.26
90	41.11	2.11	4.61	1.26	0.00	1.26
100	37.90	1.95	4.14	1.26	0.00	1.26
110	35.20	1.81	3.63	1.26	0.00	1.26
120	32.89	1.69	3.11	1.26	0.00	1.26

**Summary of Roof Storage**

Select water depth (h) = 0.150	m	*An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
Flow per drain = 0.63	L/s	*Watts RD-100-A-ADJ (closed weir opening)
Flow per drain = 0.94	L/s	*Watts RD-100-A-ADJ (1/4 weir opening exposed)
No. of drain = 23		*21 @ closed weir, 2 @ 1/4 open weir
Total flow from roof = 15.11	L/s	
Total roof area (A) = 4350	m <sup>2</sup>	
Total effective* roof area (A) = 3668	m <sup>2</sup>	*roof area affected by ponding

For the given controlled release rate, the required roof storage ≤ available roof storage





LRL File No. 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K.Herold  
**Checked:** M.Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

**Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet**

**100yr Available Roof Storage Calculation:**

Catchment	Roof Catchment Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Effective Roof Catchment Area* (m <sup>2</sup> )	Depth (m)	# Roof Drains	Weir Opening Exposure	Required Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Effective Available Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Flow (L/s)
CA-07A	388	327	0.15	1	1/4 open	15.1	16.4	0.94
CA-07B	388	327	0.15	1	1/4 open	15.1	16.4	0.94
CA-07C	241	203	0.15	1	closed	9.2	10.2	0.63
CA-07D	230	194	0.15	1	closed	8.6	9.7	0.63
CA-07E	52	44	0.15	1	closed	1.0	2.2	0.63
CA-07F	187	158	0.15	2	closed	5.0	7.9	1.26
CA-07G	162	137	0.15	1	closed	5.4	6.9	0.63
CA-07H	203	171	0.15	1	closed	7.3	8.6	0.63
CA-07I	267	225	0.15	1	closed	10.5	11.3	0.63
CA-07J	65	55	0.15	1	closed	1.4	2.8	0.63
CA-07K	58	49	0.15	1	closed	1.2	2.5	0.63
CA-07L	296	250	0.15	1	closed	12.0	12.5	0.63
CA-07M	415	350	0.15	2	closed	15.1	17.5	1.26
CA-07N	283	239	0.15	2	closed	9.0	12.0	1.26
CA-07O	440	371	0.15	2	closed	16.3	18.6	1.26
CA-07P	454	383	0.15	2	closed	17.0	19.2	1.26
CA-07Q	219	185	0.15	2	closed	6.3	9.3	1.26
<b>CA-07</b>	<b>4350</b>	<b>3668</b>		<b>23</b>		<b>155.5</b>	<b>183.4</b>	<b>15.11</b>

\*effective roof area estimated at ±85%, as per architectural roof plan

**100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Overland, not including rooftop)**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	973.61	320.17	440.00	78.93	518.93
15	142.89	779.15	305.23	440.00	63.16	503.16
20	119.95	654.04	256.85	440.00	53.02	493.02
25	103.85	566.24	189.36	440.00	45.90	485.90
30	91.87	500.92	109.66	440.00	40.61	480.61
35	82.58	450.27	21.57	440.00	36.50	476.50
40	75.15	409.74	0.00	440.00	33.22	473.22
45	69.05	376.51	0.00	440.00	30.52	470.52
50	63.95	348.72	0.00	440.00	28.27	468.27
55	59.62	325.11	0.00	440.00	26.35	466.35
60	55.89	304.77	0.00	440.00	24.71	464.71
65	52.65	287.06	0.00	440.00	23.27	463.27
70	49.79	271.48	0.00	440.00	22.01	462.01
75	47.26	257.67	0.00	440.00	20.89	460.89
80	44.99	245.32	0.00	440.00	19.89	459.89
85	42.95	234.21	0.00	440.00	18.99	458.99
90	41.11	224.16	0.00	440.00	18.17	458.17
95	39.43	215.02	0.00	440.00	17.43	457.43
100	37.90	206.67	0.00	440.00	16.75	456.75
105	36.50	199.01	0.00	440.00	16.13	456.13
110	35.20	191.95	0.00	440.00	15.56	455.56
115	34.01	185.42	0.00	440.00	15.03	455.03
120	32.89	179.36	0.00	440.00	14.54	454.54

\*371mm ICD to control 100year storm to 440.00 L/s

**100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Overland, not including rooftop)**

**w/ 50% peak allowable release rate for u/q storage release rate fluctuations**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	973.61	452.17	220.00	78.93	298.93
15	142.89	779.15	503.23	220.00	63.16	283.16
20	119.95	654.04	520.85	220.00	53.02	273.02
25	103.85	566.24	519.36	220.00	45.90	265.90
30	91.87	500.92	505.66	220.00	40.61	260.61
35	82.58	450.27	483.57	220.00	36.50	256.50
40	75.15	409.74	455.37	220.00	33.22	253.22
45	69.05	376.51	422.57	220.00	30.52	250.52
50	63.95	348.72	386.15	220.00	28.27	248.27
55	59.62	325.11	346.85	220.00	26.35	246.35
60	55.89	304.77	305.18	220.00	24.71	244.71
65	52.65	287.06	261.54	220.00	23.27	243.27
70	49.79	271.48	216.23	220.00	22.01	242.01
75	47.26	257.67	169.50	220.00	20.89	240.89
80	44.99	245.32	121.53	220.00	19.89	239.89
85	42.95	234.21	72.48	220.00	18.99	238.99
90	41.11	224.16	22.48	220.00	18.17	238.17
95	39.43	215.02	0.00	220.00	17.43	237.43
100	37.90	206.67	0.00	220.00	16.75	236.75
105	36.50	199.01	0.00	220.00	16.13	236.13
110	35.20	191.95	0.00	220.00	15.56	235.56
115	34.01	185.42	0.00	220.00	15.03	235.03
120	32.89	179.36	0.00	220.00	14.54	234.54





**LRL File No.** 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K. Herold  
**Checked:** M. Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

**Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet**

**Stormwater Management Summary**

100yr Allowable Release Rate	536.03	L/s
Rooftop Controlled Release Rate	15.11	L/s
Overland Controlled Release Rate	440.00	L/s
Overland Uncontrolled Release Rate	78.93	L/s
<b>Total Combined Release Rate</b>	<b>534.04</b>	<b>L/s</b>

**On-site Stormwater Storage Requirements**

Rooftop Storage Required	155.53	m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Rooftop Storage Provided =</b>	<b>183.40</b>	<b>m<sup>3</sup></b>
Site Storage Required	520.85	m <sup>3</sup>
Overland Storage Provided	209.87	m <sup>3</sup>
Underground Storage Provided	322.88	m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Total Site Storage Provided</b>	<b>532.75</b>	<b>m<sup>3</sup></b>

**Overland Storage Summary**

Structure	Depth (m)	Storage (m3)
CBMH15	0.15	8.90
CBMH14	0.20	13.92
CBMH13	0.20	56.65
CBMH12	0.15	15.39
CBMH10	0.10	5.04
CBMH09	0.10	4.10
CBMH07	0.25	31.99
CBMH06	0.30	48.17
CB05	0.15	7.40
CBMH04	0.20	13.51
CBMH03	0.10	2.20
CBMH02	0.10	2.60
<b>Total</b>		<b>209.87</b>





LRL File No. 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K.Herold  
**Checked:** M.Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

**Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet**

**STORM - 5 YEAR**

**Runoff Equation**

$Q = 2.78CIA$  (L/s)  
 C = Runoff coefficient  
 $I = \text{Rainfall intensity (mm/hr)} = A / (T_d + C)^B$   
 A = Area (ha)  
 $T_d = \text{Time of duration (min)}$

**IDF Curve Equations**

$I_5 = 998.071 / (T_d + 6.053)^{0.814}$       A = 998.071      B = 0.814      C = 6.053

C = 0.36 (max of 0.5 as per City Guidelines)  
 $I_{100} = 104.2$  mm/hr  
 $T_d = 10$  min  
 A = 3.313 ha  
 Calculated 5 Year Allowable Release Rate = **345.47** L/s

**Pre-Development Release Rate**

Area (High School Site) = **3.313** ha  
**5 Year Allowable Release Rate = 345.47** L/s  
 Proposed high school site development will be controlled to this release rate

**Post-development Stormwater Management**

Total Site Area =	3.313	ha	$\Sigma R =$	0.63	0.79
CA-01 (controlled)	0.106	ha	R =	0.25	0.32
CA-02 (controlled)	0.185	ha	R =	0.66	0.82
CA-03 (controlled)	0.173	ha	R =	0.73	0.91
CA-04 (controlled)	0.187	ha	R =	0.85	1.00
CA-05 (controlled)	0.213	ha	R =	0.63	0.79
CA-06 (controlled)	0.208	ha	R =	0.74	0.93
CA-08 (controlled)	0.197	ha	R =	0.29	0.37
CA-09 (controlled)	0.218	ha	R =	0.48	0.60
CA-10 (controlled)	0.210	ha	R =	0.73	0.91
CA-11 (controlled)	0.215	ha	R =	0.43	0.53
CA-12 (controlled)	0.265	ha	R =	0.83	1.00
CA-13 (controlled)	0.182	ha	R =	0.64	0.80
CA-14 (controlled)	0.149	ha	R =	0.69	0.87
Total (ICD controlled)	2.508	ha	R =	0.63	0.78
CA-07 (controlled)	0.435	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
Total (Roof controlled)	0.435	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-15 (uncontrolled)	0.370	ha	R =	0.34	0.43
Total (uncontrolled)	0.370	ha	R =	0.34	0.43
Total (Controlled+Uncontrolled)	3.313	ha	R =	0.63	0.79

**5 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Rooftop CA-07)**

**Sample Calculation (Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-07A)**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	104.19	8.52	4.66	0.76	0.00	0.76
15	83.56	6.84	5.47	0.76	0.00	0.76
20	70.25	5.75	5.99	0.76	0.00	0.76
25	60.90	4.98	6.33	0.76	0.00	0.76
30	53.93	4.41	6.57	0.76	0.00	0.76
35	48.52	3.97	6.74	0.76	0.00	0.76
40	44.18	3.61	6.85	0.76	0.00	0.76
45	40.63	3.32	6.92	0.76	0.00	0.76
50	37.65	3.08	6.96	0.76	0.00	0.76
55	35.12	2.87	6.97	0.76	0.00	0.76
60	32.94	2.70	6.97	0.76	0.00	0.76
70	29.37	2.40	6.90	0.76	0.00	0.76
80	26.56	2.17	6.78	0.76	0.00	0.76
90	24.29	1.99	6.63	0.76	0.00	0.76
100	22.41	1.83	6.44	0.76	0.00	0.76
110	20.82	1.70	6.23	0.76	0.00	0.76
120	19.47	1.59	6.00	0.76	0.00	0.76





LRL File No. 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K.Herold  
**Checked:** M.Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

**Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet**

**5 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Rooftop CA-07)**

**Sample Calculation (Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-07Q)**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Required Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	104.19	4.82	2.14	1.26	0.00	1.26
15	83.56	3.87	2.35	1.26	0.00	1.26
20	70.25	3.25	2.39	1.26	0.00	1.26
25	60.90	2.82	2.34	1.26	0.00	1.26
30	53.93	2.50	2.23	1.26	0.00	1.26
35	48.52	2.25	2.07	1.26	0.00	1.26
40	44.18	2.05	1.88	1.26	0.00	1.26
45	40.63	1.88	1.68	1.26	0.00	1.26
50	37.65	1.74	1.45	1.26	0.00	1.26
55	35.12	1.63	1.21	1.26	0.00	1.26
60	32.94	1.52	0.95	1.26	0.00	1.26
70	29.37	1.36	0.42	1.26	0.00	1.26
80	26.56	1.23	0.00	1.26	0.00	1.26
90	24.29	1.12	0.00	1.26	0.00	1.26
100	22.41	1.04	0.00	1.26	0.00	1.26
110	20.82	0.96	0.00	1.26	0.00	1.26
120	19.47	0.90	0.00	1.26	0.00	1.26

**Summary of Roof Storage**

Select water depth (h) = 0.090 m  
 Flow per drain = 0.63 L/s  
 Flow per drain = 0.76 L/s  
 No. of drain = 23  
 Total flow from roof = 14.75 L/s  
 Total roof area (A) = 4350 m²  
 Total effective\* roof area (A) = 3668 m²

\*An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.  
 \*Watts RD-100-A-ADJ (closed weir opening)  
 \*Watts RD-100-A-ADJ 1/4 weir opening exposed  
 \*21 @ closed weir, 2 @ 1/4 open weir

\*roof area affected by ponding

For the given controlled release rate, the required roof storage ≤ available roof storage

**5yr Available Roof Storage Calculation:**

Catchment	Roof Catchment Area (m²)	Effective Roof Catchment Area* (m²)	Depth (m)	# Roof Drains	Weir Opening Exposure	Required Volume (m³)	Effective Available Volume (m³)	Total Flow (L/s)
CA-07A	388	327	0.09	1	1/4 open	7.0	9.8	0.76
CA-07B	388	327	0.09	1	1/4 open	7.0	9.8	0.76
CA-07C	241	203	0.09	1	closed	3.8	6.1	0.63
CA-07D	230	194	0.09	1	closed	3.5	5.8	0.63
CA-07E	52	44	0.09	1	closed	0.4	1.3	0.63
CA-07F	187	158	0.09	2	closed	1.8	4.7	1.26
CA-07G	162	137	0.09	1	closed	2.2	4.1	0.63
CA-07H	203	171	0.09	1	closed	2.9	5.1	0.63
CA-07I	267	225	0.09	1	closed	4.4	6.8	0.63
CA-07J	65	55	0.09	1	closed	0.5	1.7	0.63
CA-07K	58	49	0.09	1	closed	0.4	1.5	0.63
CA-07L	296	250	0.09	1	closed	5.0	7.5	0.63
CA-07M	415	350	0.09	2	closed	6.1	10.5	1.26
CA-07N	283	239	0.09	2	closed	3.5	7.2	1.26
CA-07O	440	371	0.09	2	closed	6.6	11.1	1.26
CA-07P	454	383	0.09	2	closed	7.0	11.5	1.26
CA-07Q	219	185	0.09	2	closed	2.4	5.6	1.26
<b>CA-07</b>	<b>4350</b>	<b>3668</b>		<b>23</b>	<b>closed</b>	<b>64.5</b>	<b>110.0</b>	<b>14.75</b>

\*effective roof area estimated at ±85%, as per architectural roof plan

**5 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Overland, not including rooftop)**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	104.19	454.50	104.70	280.00	46.06	326.06
15	83.56	364.48	76.04	280.00	36.93	316.93
20	70.25	306.44	31.73	280.00	31.05	311.05
25	60.90	265.63	0.00	280.00	26.92	306.92
30	53.93	235.24	0.00	280.00	23.84	303.84
35	48.52	211.64	0.00	280.00	21.45	301.45
40	44.18	192.74	0.00	280.00	19.53	299.53
45	40.63	177.23	0.00	280.00	17.96	297.96
50	37.65	164.25	0.00	280.00	16.64	296.64
55	35.12	153.21	0.00	280.00	15.53	295.53
60	32.94	143.70	0.00	280.00	14.56	294.56
65	31.04	135.42	0.00	280.00	13.72	293.72
70	29.37	128.12	0.00	280.00	12.98	292.98
75	27.89	121.65	0.00	280.00	12.33	292.33
80	26.56	115.87	0.00	280.00	11.74	291.74
85	25.37	110.66	0.00	280.00	11.21	291.21
90	24.29	105.95	0.00	280.00	10.74	290.74
95	23.31	101.66	0.00	280.00	10.30	290.30
100	22.41	97.74	0.00	280.00	9.90	289.90
105	21.58	94.14	0.00	280.00	9.54	289.54
110	20.82	90.83	0.00	280.00	9.20	289.20
115	20.12	87.76	0.00	280.00	8.89	288.89
120	19.47	84.92	0.00	280.00	8.61	288.61

\*371mm ICD to control 5year storm to 280.00 L/s





LRL File No. 240462  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
**Date:** January 31, 2025  
**Designed:** K.Herold  
**Checked:** M.Basnet  
**Drawing Ref.:** C601

Stormwater Management  
 Design Sheet

**5 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (Overland, not including rooftop)  
 w/ 50% peak allowable release rate for u/g storage release rate fluctuations**

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	104.19	454.50	188.70	140.00	46.06	186.06
15	83.56	364.48	202.04	140.00	36.93	176.93
20	70.25	306.44	199.73	140.00	31.05	171.05
25	60.90	265.63	188.45	140.00	26.92	166.92
30	53.93	235.24	171.43	140.00	23.84	163.84
35	48.52	211.64	150.44	140.00	21.45	161.45
40	44.18	192.74	126.57	140.00	19.53	159.53
45	40.63	177.23	100.51	140.00	17.96	157.96
50	37.65	164.25	72.74	140.00	16.64	156.64
55	35.12	153.21	43.60	140.00	15.53	155.53
60	32.94	143.70	13.33	140.00	14.56	154.56
65	31.04	135.42	0.00	140.00	13.72	153.72
70	29.37	128.12	0.00	140.00	12.98	152.98
75	27.89	121.65	0.00	140.00	12.33	152.33
80	26.56	115.87	0.00	140.00	11.74	151.74
85	25.37	110.66	0.00	140.00	11.21	151.21
90	24.29	105.95	0.00	140.00	10.74	150.74
95	23.31	101.66	0.00	140.00	10.30	150.30
100	22.41	97.74	0.00	140.00	9.90	149.90
105	21.58	94.14	0.00	140.00	9.54	149.54
110	20.82	90.83	0.00	140.00	9.20	149.20
115	20.12	87.76	0.00	140.00	8.89	148.89
120	19.47	84.92	0.00	140.00	8.61	148.61





LRL File No. 240462  
Project: ESP Orleans South  
Location: 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
Date: January 31, 2025  
Designed: K.Herold  
Checked: M.Basnet  
Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management  
Design Sheet

**Stormwater Management Summary**

5yr Allowable Release Rate	345.47	L/s
Rooftop Controlled Release Rate	14.75	L/s
Overland Controlled Release Rate	280.00	L/s
Overland Uncontrolled Release Rate	46.06	L/s
<b>Total Combined Release Rate</b>	<b>340.81</b>	<b>L/s</b>

**On-site Stormwater Storage Requirements**

Rooftop Storage Required	64.50	m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Rooftop Storage Provided =</b>	<b>110.04</b>	<b>m<sup>3</sup></b>
Site Storage Required	202.04	m <sup>3</sup>
Overland Storage Provided	0.00	m <sup>3</sup>
Underground Storage Provided	235.01	m <sup>3</sup>
<b>Total Site Storage Provided</b>	<b>235.01</b>	<b>m<sup>3</sup></b>



**LRL Associates Ltd.**  
Storm Sewer Design Sheet



**LRL File No.** 240462-01  
**Project:** ESP Orleans South  
**Location:** 2405 Mer-Bleue Rd  
**Date:** January 31st, 2025  
**Designed:** K. Herold  
**Checked:** M. Basnet  
**Dwg. Ref.:** C401,C702

**Rational Method**  
 $Q = 2.78CIA$   
 $Q$  = Peak flow (L/s)  
 $A$  = Drainage area (ha)  
 $C$  = Runoff coefficient  
 $I$  = Rainfall intensity (mm/hr)  
**Runoff coefficient (C)**  
 Grass = 0.2  
 Gravel = 0.8  
 Asphalt / rooftop = 0.9

**IDF curve**  
 Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport  
 Storm event: 5 Years  
**Intensity equation:**  
 $I_5 = 998.071 / (Td + 6.053)^{0.814}$  (mm/hr)  
**Pipe Design Parameters**  
 Minimum velocity = 0.80 m/s  
 Manning's "n" = 0.013

LOCATION			AREA (ha)			FLOW						STORM SEWER							
WATERSHED / STREET	From MH	To MH	C = 0.20	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Indiv. 2.78AC	Accum. 2.78AC	Time of Conc.	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow (Q)	Controlled Flow (Q)	Pipe Dia.	Type	Slope	Length	Capacity Full (Q <sub>FULL</sub> )	Velocity Full	Time of Flow	Ratio Q/Q <sub>FULL</sub>
								(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)		(%)	(m)	(L/s)	(m/s)	(min)	
CA-07	Roof	MH08	0.000	0.000	0.435	1.09	1.09	10.00	104.19		21.60	250	PVC	0.432%	150.7	39.09	0.80	3.15	0.55
FUT. SITE*	MH08	MH01								216.57		600	PVC	0.34%	20.8	358.03	1.27	0.27	0.60
CA-06	CBMH07	CBMH06	0.047	0.000	0.161	0.43	0.43	13.15	90.04	38.62		300	PVC	0.25%	34.9	48.35	0.68	0.85	0.80
CA-05	CBMH06	CBMH04	0.081	0.000	0.132	0.38	0.80	14.00	86.92	69.90		375	PVC	0.34%	47.2	102.23	0.93	0.85	0.68
CA-04	CB05	CBMH04	0.013	0.000	0.174	0.44	0.44	10.00	104.19	46.11		300	PVC	0.34%	34.7	56.39	0.80	0.73	0.82
CA-03	CBMH04	CBMH03	0.042	0.000	0.131	0.35	1.60	14.85	84.03	134.28		450	PVC	0.40%	33.4	180.32	1.13	0.49	0.74
CA-02	CBMH03	CBMH02	0.064	0.000	0.121	0.34	1.94	15.35	82.46	159.66		Stormtech chamber proposed between CBMH03 & CBMH02							
CA-14	CBMH17	CBMH16	0.044	0.000	0.105	0.29	0.29	10.00	104.19	29.92		300	PVC	0.34%	51.8	56.39	0.80	1.08	0.53
CA-13	CBMH16	CBMH15	0.068	0.000	0.114	0.32	0.61	11.08	98.80	60.29		375	PVC	0.25%	52.1	87.67	0.79	1.09	0.69
CA-12	CBMH15	CBMH14	0.027	0.000	0.238	0.61	1.22	12.18	93.95	114.68		450	PVC	0.25%	37.2	142.55	0.90	0.69	0.80
CA-11	CBMH14	CBMH12	0.145	0.000	0.070	0.26	1.48	12.87	91.14	134.57		450	PVC	0.34%	31.2	166.24	1.05	0.50	0.81





LRL File No. 240462  
Project: ESP Orleans South  
Location: 2405 / 2419 Mer Bleue Road  
Date: January 31, 2025  
Designed: K.Herold  
Checked: M.Basnet  
Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management  
Orifice Plate Design Sheet

Orifice Equation

$$Q = 0.61 * A * \sqrt{2 * g * H}$$

Where; Q = release rate (in m3/s)  
0.61 = coefficient  
A = area of the orifice (m2)  
g = gravitational constant (9.81 m/s2)  
H = head above CL of orifice (m)

Orifice Plate Diameter Design

Storm - 100 year

Allowable Release Rate = 441.99 L/s

Controlled Release Rate = 440.00 L/s


$$Q \text{ (m3/s)} = 0.4400$$

$$g \text{ (9.81m/s2)} = 9.81$$

$$A \text{ (m2)} = 0.10808$$

H (m) =	2.27
---------	------

100yr STM Design Head = 2.27 m



Storm - 5 year

Allowable Release Rate = 284.66 L/s

Controlled Release Rate = 280.00 L/s

$$Q \text{ (m3/s)} = 0.280$$

$$g \text{ (9.81m/s2)} = 9.81$$

$$A \text{ (m2)} = 0.10808$$

H (m) =	0.92
---------	------

5yr STM Design Head = 0.92 m

SWM Design Parameters

Orifice Plate  $\phi$  (m) 0.371

Host Pipe Invert 84.64

Head above C/L Orifice 84.83

100y High Water Level 87.10

100y Design Head 2.27

5y High Water Level 85.75

5y Design Head 0.92



## User Inputs

Chamber Model:	MC-4500
Outlet Control Structure:	Yes
Project Name:	240462-01.ES-PORleans
Engineer:	undefined undefined
Project Location:	Ontario
Measurement Type:	Metric
Required Storage Volume:	310.00 cubic meters.
Stone Porosity:	40%
Stone Foundation Depth:	229 mm.
Stone Above Chambers:	305 mm.
Design Constraint Dimensions:	(30.01 m. x 30.01 m.)


## Results

### System Volume and Bed Size

Installed Storage Volume:	322.88 cubic meters.
Storage Volume Per Chamber:	3.02 cubic meters.
Number Of Chambers Required:	62
Number Of End Caps Required:	6
Chamber Rows:	3
Maximum Length:	29.30 m.
Maximum Width:	8.69 m.
Approx. Bed Size Required:	251.12 square meters.
Average Cover Over Chambers:	N/A .

### System Components

Amount Of Stone Required:	323 cubic meters
Volume Of Excavation (Not Including Fill):	517 cubic meters
Total Non-woven Geotextile Required:	791 square meters
Woven Geotextile Required (excluding Isolator Row):	49 square meters
Woven Geotextile Required (Isolator Row):	176 square meters
Total Woven Geotextile Required:	225 square meters
Impervious Liner Required:	0 square meters





PROJECT INFORMATION	
ENGINEERED PRODUCT MANAGER	
ADS SALES REP	
PROJECT NO.	



# 240462-01.ESPORLEANS

## OTTAWA, ON, CANADA

### MC-4500 STORMTECH CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

- CHAMBERS SHALL BE STORMTECH MC-4500.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE ARCH-SHAPED AND SHALL BE MANUFACTURED FROM VIRGIN, IMPACT-MODIFIED POLYPROPYLENE COPOLYMERS.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE CERTIFIED TO CSA B184, "POLYMERIC SUB-SURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES", AND MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS" CHAMBER CLASSIFICATION 60x101.
- CHAMBER ROWS SHALL PROVIDE CONTINUOUS, UNOBSTRUCTED INTERNAL SPACE WITH NO INTERNAL SUPPORTS THAT WOULD IMPEDE FLOW OR LIMIT ACCESS FOR INSPECTION.
- THE STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THE CHAMBERS, THE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL, AND THE INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS SHALL ENSURE THAT THE LOAD FACTORS SPECIFIED IN THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS, SECTION 12.12, ARE MET FOR: 1) LONG-DURATION DEAD LOADS AND 2) SHORT-DURATION LIVE LOADS, BASED ON THE CSA S6 CL-625 TRUCK AND THE AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK WITH CONSIDERATION FOR IMPACT AND MULTIPLE VEHICLE PRESENCES.
- CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED, TESTED AND ALLOWABLE LOAD CONFIGURATIONS DETERMINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787, "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". LOAD CONFIGURATIONS SHALL INCLUDE: 1) INSTANTANEOUS (<1 MIN) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK LIVE LOAD ON MINIMUM COVER 2) MAXIMUM PERMANENT (75-YR) COVER LOAD AND 3) ALLOWABLE COVER WITH PARKED (1-WEEK) AASHTO DESIGN TRUCK.
- REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
  - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
  - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 75 mm (3").
  - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT AS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418 SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 450 LBS/FT/%. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 23° C / 73° F), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.
- ONLY CHAMBERS THAT ARE APPROVED BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER WILL BE ALLOWED. UPON REQUEST BY THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER OR OWNER, THE CHAMBER MANUFACTURER SHALL SUBMIT A STRUCTURAL EVALUATION FOR APPROVAL BEFORE DELIVERING CHAMBERS TO THE PROJECT SITE AS FOLLOWS:
  - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL BE SEALED BY A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER.
  - THE STRUCTURAL EVALUATION SHALL DEMONSTRATE THAT THE SAFETY FACTORS ARE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1.95 FOR DEAD LOAD AND 1.75 FOR LIVE LOAD, THE MINIMUM REQUIRED BY ASTM F2787 AND BY SECTIONS 3 AND 12.12 OF THE AASHTO LRFD BRIDGE DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS FOR THERMOPLASTIC PIPE.
  - THE TEST DERIVED CREEP MODULUS AS SPECIFIED IN ASTM F2418 SHALL BE USED FOR PERMANENT DEAD LOAD DESIGN EXCEPT THAT IT SHALL BE THE 75-YEAR MODULUS USED FOR DESIGN.
- CHAMBERS AND END CAPS SHALL BE PRODUCED AT AN ISO 9001 CERTIFIED MANUFACTURING FACILITY.
- MANIFOLD SIZE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER. SEE TECH NOTE #6.32 FOR MANIFOLD SIZING GUIDANCE. DUE TO THE ADAPTATION OF THIS CHAMBER SYSTEM TO SPECIFIC SITE AND DESIGN CONSTRAINTS, IT MAY BE NECESSARY TO CUT AND COUPLE ADDITIONAL PIPE TO STANDARD MANIFOLD COMPONENTS IN THE FIELD.
- ADS DOES NOT DESIGN OR PROVIDE MEMBRANE LINER SYSTEMS. TO MINIMIZE THE LEAKAGE POTENTIAL OF LINER SYSTEMS, THE MEMBRANE LINER SYSTEM SHOULD BE DESIGNED BY A KNOWLEDGEABLE GEOTEXTILE PROFESSIONAL AND INSTALLED BY A QUALIFIED CONTRACTOR.

### IMPORTANT - NOTES FOR THE BIDDING AND INSTALLATION OF MC-4500 CHAMBER SYSTEM

- STORMTECH MC-4500 CHAMBERS SHALL NOT BE INSTALLED UNTIL THE MANUFACTURER'S REPRESENTATIVE HAS COMPLETED A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH THE INSTALLERS.
- STORMTECH MC-4500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- CHAMBERS ARE NOT TO BE BACKFILLED WITH A DOZER OR EXCAVATOR SITUATED OVER THE CHAMBERS. STORMTECH RECOMMENDS 3 BACKFILL METHODS:
  - STONESHOOTER LOCATED OFF THE CHAMBER BED.
  - BACKFILL AS ROWS ARE BUILT USING AN EXCAVATOR ON THE FOUNDATION STONE OR SUBGRADE.
  - BACKFILL FROM OUTSIDE THE EXCAVATION USING A LONG BOOM HOE OR EXCAVATOR.
- THE FOUNDATION STONE SHALL BE LEVELED AND COMPACTED PRIOR TO PLACING CHAMBERS.
- JOINTS BETWEEN CHAMBERS SHALL BE PROPERLY SEATED PRIOR TO PLACING STONE.
- MAINTAIN MINIMUM - 230 mm (9") SPACING BETWEEN THE CHAMBER ROWS.
- INLET AND OUTLET MANIFOLDS MUST BE INSERTED A MINIMUM OF 300 mm (12") INTO CHAMBER END CAPS.
- EMBEDMENT STONE SURROUNDING CHAMBERS MUST BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE OR RECYCLED CONCRETE; AASHTO M43 #3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, OR 57.
- STONE SHALL BE BROUGHT UP EVENLY AROUND CHAMBERS SO AS NOT TO DISTORT THE CHAMBER SHAPE. STONE DEPTHS SHOULD NEVER DIFFER BY MORE THAN 300 mm (12") BETWEEN ADJACENT CHAMBER ROWS.
- STONE MUST BE PLACED ON THE TOP CENTER OF THE CHAMBER TO ANCHOR THE CHAMBERS IN PLACE AND PRESERVE ROW SPACING.
- THE CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH CHAMBER FOUNDATION MATERIAL BEARING CAPACITIES TO THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER.
- ADS RECOMMENDS THE USE OF "FLEXSTORM CATCH IT" INSERTS DURING CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL INLETS TO PROTECT THE SUBSURFACE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FROM CONSTRUCTION SITE RUNOFF.

### NOTES FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT

- STORMTECH MC-4500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- THE USE OF EQUIPMENT OVER MC-4500 CHAMBERS IS LIMITED:
  - NO EQUIPMENT IS ALLOWED ON BARE CHAMBERS.
  - NO RUBBER TIRED LOADER, DUMP TRUCK, OR EXCAVATORS ARE ALLOWED UNTIL PROPER FILL DEPTHS ARE REACHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
  - WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT CAN BE FOUND IN THE "STORMTECH MC-3500/MC-4500 CONSTRUCTION GUIDE".
- FULL 900 mm (36") OF STABILIZED COVER MATERIALS OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REQUIRED FOR DUMP TRUCK TRAVEL OR DUMPING.

**USE OF A DOZER TO PUSH EMBEDMENT STONE BETWEEN THE ROWS OF CHAMBERS MAY CAUSE DAMAGE TO CHAMBERS AND IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE BACKFILL METHOD. ANY CHAMBERS DAMAGED BY USING THE "DUMP AND PUSH" METHOD ARE NOT COVERED UNDER THE STORMTECH STANDARD WARRANTY.**

CONTACT STORMTECH AT 1-800-821-6710 WITH ANY QUESTIONS ON INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS OR WEIGHT LIMITS FOR CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.








ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS: STORMTECH MC-4500 CHAMBER SYSTEMS

MATERIAL LOCATION		DESCRIPTION	AASHTO MATERIAL CLASSIFICATIONS	COMPACTION / DENSITY REQUIREMENT
D	<b>FINAL FILL:</b> FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'D' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE 'C' LAYER TO THE BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT OR UNPAVED FINISHED GRADE ABOVE. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE PART OF THE 'D' LAYER	ANY SOIL/ROCK MATERIALS, NATIVE SOILS, OR PER ENGINEER'S PLANS. CHECK PLANS FOR PAVEMENT SUBGRADE REQUIREMENTS.	N/A	PREPARE PER SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S PLANS. PAVED INSTALLATIONS MAY HAVE STRINGENT MATERIAL AND PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS.
C	<b>INITIAL FILL:</b> FILL MATERIAL FOR LAYER 'C' STARTS FROM THE TOP OF THE EMBEDMENT STONE ('B' LAYER) TO 24" (600 mm) ABOVE THE TOP OF THE CHAMBER. NOTE THAT PAVEMENT SUBBASE MAY BE A PART OF THE 'C' LAYER.	GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES OR PROCESSED AGGREGATE.  MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE MATERIALS CAN BE USED IN LIEU OF THIS LAYER.	AASHTO M145 <sup>1</sup> A-1, A-2-4, A-3  OR  AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57, 6, 67, 68, 7, 78, 8, 89, 9, 10	BEGIN COMPACTIONS AFTER 24" (600 mm) OF MATERIAL OVER THE CHAMBERS IS REACHED. COMPACT ADDITIONAL LAYERS IN 12" (300 mm) MAX LIFTS TO A MIN. 95% PROCTOR DENSITY FOR WELL GRADED MATERIAL AND 95% RELATIVE DENSITY FOR PROCESSED AGGREGATE MATERIALS.
B	<b>EMBEDMENT STONE:</b> FILL SURROUNDING THE CHAMBERS FROM THE FOUNDATION STONE ('A' LAYER) TO THE 'C' LAYER ABOVE.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE OR RECYCLED CONCRETE <sup>5</sup>	AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	NO COMPACTION REQUIRED.
A	<b>FOUNDATION STONE:</b> FILL BELOW CHAMBERS FROM THE SUBGRADE UP TO THE FOOT (BOTTOM) OF THE CHAMBER.	CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR STONE OR RECYCLED CONCRETE <sup>5</sup>	AASHTO M43 <sup>1</sup> 3, 357, 4, 467, 5, 56, 57	PLATE COMPACT OR ROLL TO ACHIEVE A FLAT SURFACE. <sup>2,3</sup>

- PLEASE NOTE:
- THE LISTED AASHTO DESIGNATIONS ARE FOR GRADATIONS ONLY. THE STONE MUST ALSO BE CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR. FOR EXAMPLE, A SPECIFICATION FOR #4 STONE WOULD STATE: "CLEAN, CRUSHED, ANGULAR NO. 4 (AASHTO M43) STONE".
  - STORMTECH COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS ARE MET FOR 'A' LOCATION MATERIALS WHEN PLACED AND COMPACTED IN 9" (230 mm) (MAX) LIFTS USING TWO FULL COVERAGES WITH A VIBRATORY COMPACTOR.
  - WHERE INFILTRATION SURFACES MAY BE COMPROMISED BY COMPACTION, FOR STANDARD DESIGN LOAD CONDITIONS, A FLAT SURFACE MAY BE ACHIEVED BY RAKING OR DRAGGING WITHOUT COMPACTION EQUIPMENT. FOR SPECIAL LOAD DESIGNS, CONTACT STORMTECH FOR COMPACTION REQUIREMENTS.
  - ONCE LAYER 'C' IS PLACED, ANY SOIL/MATERIAL CAN BE PLACED IN LAYER 'D' UP TO THE FINISHED GRADE. MOST PAVEMENT SUBBASE SOILS CAN BE USED TO REPLACE THE MATERIAL REQUIREMENTS OF LAYER 'C' OR 'D' AT THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER'S DISCRETION.
  - WHERE RECYCLED CONCRETE AGGREGATE IS USED IN LAYERS 'A' OR 'B' THE MATERIAL SHOULD ALSO MEET THE ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA OUTLINED IN TECHNICAL NOTE 6.20 "RECYCLED CONCRETE STRUCTURAL BACKFILL".



NOTES:

- CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF ASTM F2418, "STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR POLYPROPYLENE (PP) CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS" CHAMBER CLASSIFICATION 60x101
- MC-4500 CHAMBERS SHALL BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS".
- THE SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ASSESSING THE BEARING RESISTANCE (ALLOWABLE BEARING CAPACITY) OF THE SUBGRADE SOILS AND THE DEPTH OF FOUNDATION STONE WITH CONSIDERATION FOR THE RANGE OF EXPECTED SOIL MOISTURE CONDITIONS. REFERENCE STORMTECH DESIGN MANUAL FOR BEARING CAPACITY GUIDANCE.
- PERIMETER STONE MUST BE EXTENDED HORIZONTALLY TO THE EXCAVATION WALL FOR BOTH VERTICAL AND SLOPED EXCAVATION WALLS.
- REQUIREMENTS FOR HANDLING AND INSTALLATION:
  - TO MAINTAIN THE WIDTH OF CHAMBERS DURING SHIPPING AND HANDLING, CHAMBERS SHALL HAVE INTEGRAL, INTERLOCKING STACKING LUGS.
  - TO ENSURE A SECURE JOINT DURING INSTALLATION AND BACKFILL, THE HEIGHT OF THE CHAMBER JOINT SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN 3".
  - TO ENSURE THE INTEGRITY OF THE ARCH SHAPE DURING INSTALLATION, a) THE ARCH STIFFNESS CONSTANT SHALL BE GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 450 LBS/FT/%. THE ASC IS DEFINED IN SECTION 6.2.8 OF ASTM F2418. AND b) TO RESIST CHAMBER DEFORMATION DURING INSTALLATION AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURES (ABOVE 73° F / 23° C), CHAMBERS SHALL BE PRODUCED FROM REFLECTIVE GOLD OR YELLOW COLORS.

240462-01.ESPORLEANS

OTTAWA, ON, CANADA

DATE: 01/31/2025

PROJECT #:

CHECKED: N/A

DESCRIPTION

CHK

DRW

DATE

StormTech®

Chamber System

1-800-821-6710 | WWW.STORMTECH.COM

4640 TRUEMAN BLVD  
HILLIARD, OH 43026  
1-800-733-7473


ADS

THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVIDED TO ADS BY STORMTECH UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE PROJECT'S ENGINEER OF RECORD (EOR) OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE. THIS DRAWING IS NOT INTENDED FOR USE IN BIDDING OR CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT THE EOR'S PRIOR APPROVAL. EOR SHALL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO BIDDING AND/OR CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE EOR TO ENSURE THAT THE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.

SHEET

3 OF 5





**MC-4500 ISOLATOR ROW PLUS DETAIL**  
NTS

**INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE**

- STEP 1) INSPECT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR SEDIMENT
- A. INSPECTION PORTS (IF PRESENT)
    - A.1. REMOVE/OPEN LID ON NYLOPLAST INLINE DRAIN
    - A.2. REMOVE AND CLEAN FLEXSTORM FILTER IF INSTALLED
    - A.3. USING A FLASHLIGHT AND STADIA ROD, MEASURE DEPTH OF SEDIMENT AND RECORD ON MAINTENANCE LOG
    - A.4. LOWER A CAMERA INTO ISOLATOR ROW PLUS FOR VISUAL INSPECTION OF SEDIMENT LEVELS (OPTIONAL)
    - A.5. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
  - B. ALL ISOLATOR PLUS ROWS
    - B.1. REMOVE COVER FROM STRUCTURE AT UPSTREAM END OF ISOLATOR ROW PLUS
    - B.2. USING A FLASHLIGHT, INSPECT DOWN THE ISOLATOR ROW PLUS THROUGH OUTLET PIPE
      - i) MIRRORS ON POLES OR CAMERAS MAY BE USED TO AVOID A CONFINED SPACE ENTRY
      - ii) FOLLOW OSHA REGULATIONS FOR CONFINED SPACE ENTRY IF ENTERING MANHOLE
    - B.3. IF SEDIMENT IS AT, OR ABOVE, 3" (80 mm) PROCEED TO STEP 2. IF NOT, PROCEED TO STEP 3.
- STEP 2) CLEAN OUT ISOLATOR ROW PLUS USING THE JETVAC PROCESS
- A. A FIXED CULVERT CLEANING NOZZLE WITH REAR FACING SPREAD OF 45" (1.1 m) OR MORE IS PREFERRED
  - B. APPLY MULTIPLE PASSES OF JETVAC UNTIL BACKFLUSH WATER IS CLEAN
  - C. VACUUM STRUCTURE SUMP AS REQUIRED
- STEP 3) REPLACE ALL COVERS, GRATES, FILTERS, AND LIDS; RECORD OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS.
- STEP 4) INSPECT AND CLEAN BASINS AND MANHOLES UPSTREAM OF THE STORMTECH SYSTEM.

**NOTES**

1. INSPECT EVERY 6 MONTHS DURING THE FIRST YEAR OF OPERATION. ADJUST THE INSPECTION INTERVAL BASED ON PREVIOUS OBSERVATIONS OF SEDIMENT ACCUMULATION AND HIGH WATER ELEVATIONS.
2. CONDUCT JETTING AND VACTORING ANNUALLY OR WHEN INSPECTION SHOWS THAT MAINTENANCE IS NECESSARY.

240462-01.ESPORLEANS

OTTAWA, ON, CANADA

DATE: 01/31/2025

DRAWN: UU

PROJECT #:

CHECKED: N/A

DESCRIPTION

CHK

DRW

DATE

**StormTech®**  
Chamber System

1-800-821-6710 | WWW.STORMTECH.COM

4640 TRUEMAN BLVD  
HILLIARD, OH 43026  
1-800-733-7473




SHEET

4 OF 5

THIS DRAWING HAS BEEN PREPARED BASED ON INFORMATION PROVIDED TO ADS BY STORMTECH UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE PROJECT'S ENGINEER OF RECORD (EOR) OR OTHER PROJECT REPRESENTATIVE. THIS DRAWING IS NOT INTENDED FOR USE IN BIDDING OR CONSTRUCTION WITHOUT THE EOR'S PRIOR APPROVAL. EOR SHALL REVIEW THIS DRAWING PRIOR TO BIDDING AND/OR CONSTRUCTION. IT IS THE ULTIMATE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE EOR TO ENSURE THAT THE PRODUCT(S) DEPICTED AND ALL ASSOCIATED DETAILS MEET ALL APPLICABLE LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND PROJECT REQUIREMENTS.




MC-SERIES END CAP INSERTION DETAIL



NOTE: MANIFOLD STUB MUST BE LAID HORIZONTAL FOR A PROPER FIT IN END CAP OPENING.

MC-4500 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

NTS



NOMINAL CHAMBER SPECIFICATIONS

SIZE (W X H X INSTALLED LENGTH)	100.0" X 60.0" X 48.3"	(2540 mm X 1524 mm X 1227 mm)
CHAMBER STORAGE	106.5 CUBIC FEET	(3.01 m³)
MINIMUM INSTALLED STORAGE*	162.6 CUBIC FEET	(4.60 m³)
WEIGHT (NOMINAL)	125.0 lbs.	(56.7 kg)

NOMINAL END CAP SPECIFICATIONS


SIZE (W X H X INSTALLED LENGTH)	90.0" X 61.0" X 32.8"	(2286 mm X 1549 mm X 833 mm)
END CAP STORAGE	39.5 CUBIC FEET	(1.12 m³)
MINIMUM INSTALLED STORAGE*	115.3 CUBIC FEET	(3.26 m³)
WEIGHT (NOMINAL)	90 lbs.	(40.8 kg)

\*ASSUMES 12" (305 mm) STONE ABOVE, 9" (229 mm) STONE FOUNDATION AND BETWEEN CHAMBERS, 12" (305 mm) STONE PERIMETER IN FRONT OF END CAPS AND 40% STONE POROSITY.

PARTIAL CUT HOLES AT BOTTOM OF END CAP FOR PART NUMBERS ENDING WITH "B"  
PARTIAL CUT HOLES AT TOP OF END CAP FOR PART NUMBERS ENDING WITH "T"  
END CAPS WITH A PREFABRICATED WELDED STUB END WITH "W"

PART #	STUB	B	C
MC4500IEPP06T	6" (150 mm)	42.54" (1081 mm)	---
MC4500IEPP06B		---	0.86" (22 mm)
MC4500IEPP08T	8" (200 mm)	40.50" (1029 mm)	---
MC4500IEPP08B		---	1.01" (26 mm)
MC4500IEPP10T	10" (250 mm)	38.37" (975 mm)	---
MC4500IEPP10B		---	1.33" (34 mm)
MC4500IEPP12T	12" (300 mm)	35.69" (907 mm)	---
MC4500IEPP12B		---	1.55" (39 mm)
MC4500IEPP15T	15" (375 mm)	32.72" (831 mm)	---
MC4500IEPP15B		---	1.70" (43 mm)
MC4500IEPP18T	18" (450 mm)	29.36" (746 mm)	---
MC4500IEPP18TW		---	1.97" (50 mm)
MC4500IEPP18B			
MC4500IEPP18BW		24" (600 mm)	23.05" (585 mm)
MC4500IEPP24T	---		2.26" (57 mm)
MC4500IEPP24TW			
MC4500IEPP24B	---		2.95" (75 mm)
MC4500IEPP24BW			
MC4500IEPP30BW	30" (750 mm)	---	2.95" (75 mm)
MC4500IEPP36BW	36" (900 mm)	---	3.25" (83 mm)
MC4500IEPP42BW	42" (1050 mm)	---	3.55" (90 mm)

NOTE: ALL DIMENSIONS ARE NOMINAL



CUSTOM PARTIAL CUT INVERTS ARE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST. INVENTORIED MANIFOLDS INCLUDE 12-24" (300-600 mm) SIZE ON SIZE AND 15-48" (375-1200 mm) ECCENTRIC MANIFOLDS. CUSTOM INVERT LOCATIONS ON THE MC-4500 END CAP CUT IN THE FIELD ARE NOT RECOMMENDED FOR PIPE SIZES GREATER THAN 10" (250 mm). THE INVERT LOCATION IN COLUMN 'B' ARE THE HIGHEST POSSIBLE FOR THE PIPE SIZE.

240462-01.ESPORLEANS

OTTAWA, ON, CANADA

DATE: 01/31/2025

DRAWN: UU

PROJECT #:

CHECKED: N/A

DESCRIPTION

CHK

DATE

DRW

StormTech®  
Chamber System

1-800-821-6710 | WWW.STORMTECH.COM


4640 TRUMAN BLVD  
HILLIARD, OH 43026  
1-800-733-7473

ADS

SHEET

5 OF 5









## Adjustable Accutrol Weir

Tag: \_\_\_\_\_

## Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains

### ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)



For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below.

Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

#### EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be:  
[ 5 gpm (per inch of head) x 2 inches of head ] + 2-1/2 gpm (for the third inch of head) = 12-1/2 gpm.



1/2 Weir Opening Exposed Shown Above

TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings

Weir Opening Exposed	1"	2"	3"	4"	5"	6"
	Flow Rate (gallons per minute)					
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	25	30
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25	25
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75	15
Closed	5	5	5	5	5	5

Job Name \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor \_\_\_\_\_

Job Location \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor's P.O. No. \_\_\_\_\_

Engineer \_\_\_\_\_

Representative \_\_\_\_\_

Watts product specifications in U.S. customary units and metric are approximate and are provided for reference only. For precise measurements, please contact Watts Technical Service. Watts reserves the right to change or modify product design, construction, specifications, or materials without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make such changes and modifications on Watts products previously or subsequently sold.

USA: Tel: (800) 338-2581 • Fax: (828) 248-3929 • Watts.com

Canada: Tel: (905) 332-4090 • Fax: (905) 332-7068 • Watts.ca

Latin America: Tel: (52) 81-1001-8600 • Fax: (52) 81-8000-7091 • Watts.com



A Watts Water Technologies Company



## **APPENDIX E**

### **Civil Engineering Drawings**





# REVISION 01



KEY PLAN (N.T.S.)

DRAWING INDEX	
TITLE PAGE	
GENERAL NOTES	C001
SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN	C101
SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN	C201
GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN	C301
SERVICING PLAN	C401
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN	C601
PRE-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN	C701
POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN	C702
CONSTRUCTION DETAIL PLAN	C901



**LRL**

ENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE

5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2  
www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434

NOUVELLE ECOLE ESP ORLEANS SUD

2405 / 2419 MER-BI EUE ROAD OTTAWA

REV.01 - ISSUED FOR SPC & FP - JAN 31. 2025

REV.01 - ISSUED FOR SFC &  
LRL PROJECT no: 240462-01





NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED





1. ALL WORKS MATERIALS SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISION OF THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS), WHERE APPLICABLE. LOCAL UTILITY STANDARDS AND MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARDS WILL APPLY WHERE REQUIRED.
2. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL DETERMINE THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WITHIN THE SITE AND ADJACENT WORK AREAS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING EXISTING UTILITIES TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT OF ANY SERVICES OR UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION.
3. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER. LOST TIME DUE TO FAILURE OF THE CONTRACTORS TO CONFIRM UTILITY LOCATIONS AND NOTIFY ENGINEER OF POSSIBLE CONFLICTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION WILL BE AT CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.
4. ANY AREA BEYOND THE LIMIT OF THE SITE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER AT THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE RELOCATING OF EXISTING SERVICES AND/OR UTILITIES SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR DETECTED BY THE ENGINEER AT THE EXPENSE OF DEVELOPERS.
5. ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 'OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS'. THE GENERAL CONTRACTORS SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE 'CONTRACTOR' AS DEFINED IN THE ACT. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT WORKS BY OTHERS MAY BE ONGOING DURING THE PERIOD OF THE CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT CONFLICTS.
6. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
7. THERE WILL BE NO SUBSTITUTION OF MATERIALS UNLESS PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL IS RECEIVED FROM THE ENGINEER.
8. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
9. FOR DETAILS RELATING TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND ROOF DRAINAGE REFER TO THE SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT.
10. ALL SEWERS CONSTRUCTED WITH GRADES LESS THAN 1.0% SHALL BE INSTALLED USING LASER ALIGNMENT AND CHECKED WITH LEVEL INSTRUMENT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING.
11. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS REQUIRED AND TO BEAR THE COST OF THE SAME.
12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADDITIONAL BEDDING, OR ADDITIONAL STRENGTH PIPE IF THE MAXIMUM TRENCH WIDTH AS SPECIFIED BY OPSD IS EXCEEDED.
13. ALL PIPE/CULVERT SECTION SIZES REFER TO INSIDE DIMENSIONS.
14. SHOULD DEEPLY BURIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL REMAINS BE FOUND ON THE PROPERTY DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, THE HERITAGE OPERATIONS UNIT OF THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF CULTURE MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY.
15. ALL NECESSARY CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTOR. REVIEW WITH CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA PRIOR TO ANY TREE CUTTING OR REMOVAL.
16. DRAWINGS SHALL BE READ ON CONJUNCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN.
17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE PROJECT ENGINEER ON SET OF AS CONSTRUCTED SITE SERVICING AND GRADING DRAWINGS.
18. BENCHMARKS: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT THE SITE BENCHMARK(S) HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT ITS RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREES WITH THE INFORMATION SPECIFIED ON THIS PLAN.


NOT FOR  
CONSTRUCTION  
TENDER OR  
PERMIT






NOTE: A All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise shown.		Nov 2021	Rev	3	
ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING					
LIGHT-DUTY SILT FENCE BARRIER		OPSD 219.110			




ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING		Nov 2021	Rev	3	
STRAW BALE FLOW CHECK DAM		-----			
		OPSD 219.180			




# LEGEND:

	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
	PROPOSED CURB
	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
	PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED RIP RAP
× 50.00	PROPOSED ELEVATION
× 50.00BC	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
× 50.00TC	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
× 50.00EX	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
× 70.19	EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
— STM — STM —	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
— SAN — SAN —	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
— WTR — WTR —	PROPOSED WATERMAIN
— STM — STM —	EXISTING STORM SEWER
— SAN — SAN —	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
— WTR — WTR —	EXISTING WATERMAIN
	EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
	PROPOSED GRADING HIGH POINTS
	PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT

[illegible]





PAVEMENT STRUCTURE

COURSE	MATERIAL	THICKNESS (mm)	
		AUTOMOBILE PARKING	TRUCK ROUTE (HEAVY TRAFFIC)
SURFACE	HL.3 A/C (PG 58-34)	80	100
BASECOURSE	OPSS GRANULAR "A"	150	150
SUBBASE	OPSS GRANULAR "B" TYPE II	300	450

NOTE:  
IN PREPARATION FOR PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION AT THIS SITE, ANY SURFICIAL OR NEAR SURFACE/SUBGRADE LEVEL TOPSOIL AND ANY SOFT, WET OR DELETERIOUS MATERIALS SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM THE EXPOSED PAVED AREAS. THE EXPOSED SUBGRADE SHOULD BE INSPECTED AND APPROVED BY GEOTECHNICAL PERSONNEL AND ANY SOFT AREAS EVIDENT SHOULD BE SUBCAVATED AND REPLACED WITH SUITABLE EARTH BORROW APPROVED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. THE SUBGRADE SHOULD BE SHAPED AND CROWNED TO PROMOTE DRAINAGE OF THE SITE DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. FOLLOWING APPROVAL OF THE PREPARATION OF THE SUBGRADE, THE PAVEMENT GRANULARS MAY BE PLACED.

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE RECOMMENDATIONS AS PER RECOMMENDATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION "PROPOSED SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT 2405 & 2419 MER BLEUE ROAD" AS PREPARED BY GEMTEC, DATED MAY 3, 2018.

LEGEND:

- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
- PROPOSED CURB
- PROPOSED TERRACED CURB
- PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
- PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
- PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
- PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
- PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
- PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
- PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
- PROPOSED RIP RAP
- PROPOSED ELEVATION
- PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
- MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
- EXISTING ELEVATION
- EXISTING OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
- PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN
- EXISTING STORM SEWER
- EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
- EXISTING WATERMAIN
- EXISTING MANHOLE
- EXISTING CATCHBASIN
- PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
- PROPOSED MANHOLE
- PROPOSED CURB STOP
- PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
- PROPOSED GRADING HIGH POINTS
- PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
- STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
- WATERSHED NAME
- RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- AREA IN HECTARES



USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS  
GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THESE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND AGENCIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND AGENCIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND AGENCIES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE ENGINEER FROM ANY DAMAGES, LOSSES OR COSTS, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE ENGINEER FROM ANY DAMAGES, LOSSES OR COSTS, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES.

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING WORK.

CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION.


THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO OBTAIN THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONTAIN OR THE PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM CHANGES MADE TO THESE DRAWINGS WITHOUT THE ENGINEER'S WRITTEN APPROVAL. THE ENGINEER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND AGENCIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE APPROPRIATE AGENCIES AND AGENCIES.

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

1	JAN 31, 2025	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL & FOUNDATION PERMIT	KH
no.	date	revision / issue	by

**grc architects**  
A PROVENCER, ROY COMPANY  
47 Clarence Street, Suite 401  
Ottawa, Ontario K1N 9K1  
613-241-4203 F 613-241-4180  
www.grcarchitects.com

**LRL**  
ENGINEERING | INGENIERIE  
5430 Canotek Road Ottawa, ON K1J 9G2  
www.lrl.ca (613) 842-3834



project title  
**NOUVELLE ÉCOLE  
ESP ORLÉANS-SUD  
2405 / 2419 RUE MER-BLEUE**

Locations  
Ottawa Ontario

drawing title  
**GRADING & DRAINAGE  
PLAN**

date 2025-01-31 job no. **240462**  
scale 1:500  
drawn KH  
approved MB  
plot date 2025-01-31 drawing no. **C301**

**TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**  
TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION AS PROVIDED BY CALLON DIETZ INCORPORATED LAND SURVEYORS PLAN NO. X-3811 DATED AUGUST 15, 2024.

**BEARING NOTE**  
BEARINGS ARE WITH GRID, DERIVED FROM THE NORTHERLY LIMIT OF PART 1 PLAN 4R-30706, HAVING A GRID BEARING OF N67°18'30"E.

**ELEVATION NOTE**  
ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE GEODETIC (CGVD-1928/1978) AND ARE DERIVED FROM THE CANMET VRS NETWORK STATION OTTAWA ELEVATION=95.230.


CONTOURS ARE TO 0.5 METRES

**METRIC**  
DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048










Catchment	Roof Catchment Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Effective Roof Catchment Area* (m <sup>2</sup> )	Depth (m)	# Roof Drains	Weir Opening Exposure	Required Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Effective Available Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Flow (L/s)
CA-07A	388	327	0.15	1	1/4 open	15.1	16.4	0.94
CA-07B	388	327	0.15	1	1/4 open	15.1	16.4	0.94
CA-07C	241	203	0.15	1	closed	9.2	10.2	0.83
CA-07D	230	194	0.15	1	closed	8.6	9.7	0.63
CA-07E	52	44	0.15	1	closed	1.0	2.2	0.63
CA-07F	187	158	0.15	2	closed	5.0	7.9	1.26
CA-07G	102	137	0.15	1	closed	5.4	6.9	0.63
CA-07H	203	171	0.15	1	closed	7.3	8.6	0.63
CA-07I	267	225	0.15	1	closed	10.5	11.3	0.63
CA-07J	65	55	0.15	1	closed	1.4	2.8	0.63
CA-07K	49	49	0.15	1	closed	2.2	2.2	0.63
CA-07L	296	250	0.15	1	closed	12.0	12.5	0.63
CA-07M	415	350	0.15	2	closed	15.1	17.5	1.26
CA-07N	283	239	0.15	2	closed	9.0	12.0	1.26
CA-07O	440	371	0.15	2	closed	16.3	18.6	1.26
CA-07P	454	383	0.15	2	closed	17.0	19.2	1.26
CA-07Q	219	185	0.15	2	closed	6.3	9.3	1.26
<b>CA-07</b>	<b>4350</b>	<b>3668</b>		<b>23</b>		<b>155.5</b>	<b>183.4</b>	<b>15.11</b>

<sup>a</sup>effective roof area estimated at  $\pm 85\%$ , as per architectural roof plan

# LEGEND:

	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
	PROPOSED CURB
	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPD 219.110
	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
	PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED RIP RAP
	PROPOSED ELEVATION
	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
	PROPOSED WATERMAIN
	EXISTING STORM SEWER
	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
	EXISTING WATERMAIN
	EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
	PROPOSED GRADING HIGH POINTS
	PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
	WATERSHED NAME
	RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
	AREA IN HECTARES



**Orifice Plate Diameter Design**

**Storm - 100 year**

Allowable Release Rate = 441.99 L/s  
 Controlled Release Rate = 440.00 L/s

$$Q \text{ (m}^3\text{/s)} = \frac{0.4400}{9.81 \text{ (m/s}^2\text{)}} = 9.81$$

$$A \text{ (m}^2\text{)} = \frac{0.10808}{H \text{ (m)}} = 2.27$$

100yr STM Design Head = 2.27

**Storm - 5 year**

Allowable Release Rate = 284.65 L/s  
 Controlled Release Rate = 280.00 L/s

$$Q \text{ (m}^3\text{/s)} = \frac{0.280}{9.81 \text{ (m/s}^2\text{)}} = 9.81$$

$$A \text{ (m}^2\text{)} = \frac{0.10808}{H \text{ (m)}} = 0.92$$

5yr STM Design Head = 0.92

<b><u>SWM Design Parameters</u></b>	
Orifice Plate $\phi$ (m)	0.371
Host Pipe Invert	84.64
Head above C/L Orifice	84.83
100y High Water Level	87.10
100y Design Head	2.27
5y High Water Level	85.75
5y Design Head	0.92

[illegible]






# LEGEND:

	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
	PROPOSED CURB
	PROPOSED DEPRESSIONED CURB
	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
	PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED RIP RAP
	PROPOSED ELEVATION
	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
	PROPOSED WATERMAIN
	EXISTING STORM SEWER
	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
	EXISTING WATERMAIN
	EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
	PROPOSED GRADING HIGH POINTS
	PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
	WATERSHED NAME RUNOFF COEFFICIENT AREA IN HECTARES

[illegible]





**LEGEND:**

- EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
- PROPOSED CURB
- PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
- PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
- PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
- PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
- PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
- PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
- PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
- PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
- PROPOSED RIP RAP
- PROPOSED ELEVATION
- PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
- PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
- MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
- EXISTING ELEVATION
- EXISTING OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
- PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN
- EXISTING STORM SEWER
- EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
- EXISTING WATERMAIN
- EXISTING MANHOLE
- EXISTING CATCHBASIN
- PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
- PROPOSED MANHOLE
- PROPOSED CURB STOP
- PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
- PROPOSED GRADING HIGH POINTS
- PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
- STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
- WATERSHED NAME
- RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- AREA IN HECTARES



Conseil des écoles publiques de l'Est de l'Ontario

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL, PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL AUTHORITIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL, PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL AUTHORITIES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE LOCAL, PROVINCIAL AND FEDERAL AUTHORITIES.

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TENDER OR PERMIT

1	JAN 31, 2025	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL & FOUNDATION PERMIT	KH
no.	date	revision / issue	by

**grc architects**  
A PROVENCHER ROY COMPANY

47 Clarence Street, Suite 401  
Ottawa, Ontario K1N 9K1  
613-241-8203 F: 613-241-41-80  
info@grcarchitects.com  
www.grcarchitects.com

consultant

**LRL**  
ENGINEERING | INGENIERIE  
5430 Carleton Road Ottawa, ON K1J 9G2  
www.lrl.ca (613) 842-3834

northpoint



professional stamp



project title

**NOUVELLE ÉCOLE  
ESP ORLEANS-SUD  
2405 / 2419 RUE MER-BLEUE**

Ottawa Ontario
























drawing title

**POST-DEVELOPMENT  
WATERSHED PLAN**

date	2025-01-31	job no.	<b>240462</b>
scale	1:500	drawing no.	<b>C702</b>
drawn	KH		
approved	MB		
plot date	2025-01-31		

1. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING  
2. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES  
3. THIS DRAWING IS TO BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DRAWINGS: STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL





Conseil des écoles publiques de l'Est de l'Ontario

**NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION TENDER OR PERMIT**

**gpc architects**  
A PROVENCHER ROY COMPANY

47 Clarence Street, Suite 401  
Ottawa, Ontario K1N 9K1  
613-241-8203 F 613-241-4180  
info@gpcarchitects.com  
www.gpcarchitects.com

**LRL**  
ENGINEERING / INGENIERIE

5430 Canotek Road, Ottawa, ON K1J 9G2  
www.lrl.ca (613) 842-3634

**NOUVELLE ÉCOLE ESP ORLÉANS-SUD**  
2405 / 2419 RUE MER-BLEUE

**CONSTRUCTION DETAILS**

scale: 2025-01-31 job no. **240462**

drawn: MB drawing no. **C901**

approved: MB

plot date: 2025-01-31

1. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING  
2. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES  
3. THE ARCHITECT IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACCURACY OF THE FOLLOWING DRAWINGS: STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL



**APPENDIX F**  
**Proposed Site Plan**  
**Legal Survey**  
**As-builts**








no.	date	revision / issue	by
-----	------	------------------	----

**grc architects**  
A PROVENCHER ROY COMPANY  
47 Clarence Street, Suite 401  
Orleans, Ontario K1N 9K1  
1 813-241-8203 F 613-241-41-80  
info@grcarchitects.com  
www.grcarchitects.com

consultant




project title  
**PROPOSED HIGH SCHOOL - ÉSP ORLEANS SUD**  
Barrahaven, Ontario

drawing title  
**CONTEXT PLAN**

date	NOV 05, 2024	job no.	
scale	1 : 2500		<b>3024</b>
drawn	Author	drawing no.	
approved	Checker		<b>A001</b>
plot date	2025-01-10 5:04:32 PM		

1. DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING.  
2. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES.  
3. THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DRAWINGS: STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL.





GENERAL NOTES	
1.	ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MILLIMETERS EXCEPT GRADE, FLOOR ELEVATIONS AND PROPERTY LINE DIMENSIONS (SHOWN IN METERS).
2.	ALL LEGAL SURVEY INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM SURVEY PLANS PREPARED BY: CALON DIETZ INC., DATED AUGUST 8TH, 2024.
3.	REFERS TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS FOR SIDEWALK, CONCRETE CURBS, SOFTHARD LANDSCAPING, AND PAVEMENT MATERIAL PATTERN LAYOUT.
4.	REFERS TO CIVIL DRAWING FOR CATCH BASINS, MANHOLES, SITE SERVICES, SITE GRADING, SURFACE DRAINAGE, ROAD WORK, PAVEMENT, SIDEWALK AND CONCRETE CURBS.
5.	ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE REFERRED TO GEODETIC DATUM.
6.	ALL PARKING SPACES TO HAVE 100mm WIDE PAINTED LINES ON ASPHALT, INCLUDING ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACE LOGO AND ELECTRIC CAR CHARGING LOGO AS ILLUSTRATED.
FULL COURT BASKETBALL	
1.	ALL GAME LINES FOR FULL COURT BASKETBALL TO BE PAINTED WHITE, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
2.	LANE SPACE MARKS & NEUTRAL ZONE MARKS TO BE PAINTED A CONTRASTING COLOR TO THE BOUNDING LINES.
3.	ALL GAME LINES FOR BASKETBALL TO BE 50mm WIDE, UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
SITE PLAN LEGEND	
---	PROPERTY LINE
- - - - -	BUILDING SETBACK
- - - - -	NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE
▲	MAIN ENTRANCE
▲	SECONDARY ENTRANCE
▲	EXIT ONLY
↑	VEHICULAR DIRECTION
♿	BARRIER FREE PARKING STALL
🚲	BICYCLE LANE
🌳	PROPOSED SHRUBS & PERENNIALS, SEE LANDSCAPE
🌳	PROPOSED TREE, SEE LANDSCAPE
🌳	EXISTING TREE TO REMAIN, SEE LANDSCAPE
⚡	EXISTING UTILITY POLE
⚡	FIRE HYDRANT, SEE CIVIL
⚡	EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT
⚡	NEW FLAG POLE CW CONCRETE BASE, SEE DETAIL 2/2024
⚡	DEPRESSED CURB WITH TACTILE INDICATOR
■	CATCH BASIN, SEE CIVIL
■	EXISTING CATCH BASIN
○	B
○	NEW GALVANIZED STEEL BOLLARDS, PAINTED, SEE DETAIL 1/2024
○	NEW LIGHT POST CW CONCRETE BASE, SEE DETAIL 2/2024
○	EXISTING LIGHT POST
○	NEW SCHOOL TITLE SIGNAGE, SEE DETAIL 3/2024, REFER TO ELECTRICAL
○	EXISTING MANHOLE
SITE PLAN LEGEND - HATCH PATTERN	
	PROPOSED PRECAST CONCRETE PAVERS, REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
	PROPOSED PERMEABLE PAVERS, REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
	PROPOSED CONCRETE, REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
	PROPOSED MULCH, REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT, REFER TO CIVIL DRAWINGS
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT, REFER TO CIVIL DRAWINGS
	EXISTING ASPHALT

PROJECT NAME:	REPEAT HIGHSCHOOL STUDY - ORLEANS
OWNER:	CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO (CEPEO) 2445 ST-LAURENT BLVD, OTTAWA, ON K1G 6C3 T: 613 742-8960
ARCHITECT:	GRC ARCHITECTS 401-47 CLARENCE STREET, OTTAWA, ON K1N 9K1 T: 613 241 8203 F: 613 241 4180
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	PART OF LOT 4 CONCESSION 11 (GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF CUMBERLAND) PIN: 14563-1816(LT) & 14563-0513(LT) & 14563-0514(LT)
ADDRESS	2405 & 2419, MER-BLEUE ROAD OTTAWA, ON K4A 3V1
AREA OF SITE	52 457m <sup>2</sup> (564 642ft <sup>2</sup> )
GROSS FLOOR AREA:	7,873m <sup>2</sup> (84 746ft <sup>2</sup> )
GROUND FLOOR AREA:	4,287m <sup>2</sup> (46 142ft <sup>2</sup> )
SECOND FLOOR AREA:	1,949m <sup>2</sup> (20 981ft <sup>2</sup> )
THIRD FLOOR AREA:	1,637m <sup>2</sup> (17 622ft <sup>2</sup> )
LOT COVERAGE:	6.66%

ZONING PROVISION	REQUIRED	PROVIDED
PARKING, QUEUING AND LOADING PROVISIONS:		
PARKING RATES	50 Spaces (2 Classroom x 31 Classroom) Table 101, Row N40 (Subject to 2017-303)	88 Standard Spaces 2 Type A Accessible Spaces 3 Type B Accessible Spaces 93 Total Spaces
PARKING SPACE DIMENSIONS	106 (1) (a): min 2.6m width (b): 5.2m length	2.6m width 5.2m length
aisle & DRIVEWAY	107 (1) (a)(x): 6.0m driveway lane 107 (1) (a)(y): 6.7m aisle width	6.0m driveway 4.7m aisle width
LOCATION OF PARKING	N/A	yes
LANDSCAPING	110 (1) (a)(b): min. 15% of parking lot Table 110 (a), (b): 3m buffer width	>15% TBD
REFUSE COLLECTION	110 (2) (a): min. 9m from lot line abutting (b): public street (c): min. 3m from other lot line screened from view	yes waste stored below grade screened with soft landscaping
BICYCLE PARKING RATES	Table 111A(a): 1 space per 100m <sup>2</sup> Table 111B(a): 78.73 spaces required	80 spaces
LOCATION OF BICYCLE SPACES	111 (2), (4), (6), (7), (9)	yes
BICYCLE SPACE DIMENSIONS	Table 111B(a): 0.6m x 1.8m 111 (9): 1.5m access aisle	0.6m x 1.8m 1.5m access aisle
LOADING SPACE RATES	Table 113A(a): 1 space	1 space
LOADING SPACE DIMENSIONS	Table 113B(a)(i): 6.0m driveway width Table 113B(b): 5.0m access width Table 113B(c): 3.0m min width Table 113B(d): 7.0m min length Table 113B(e): 7.0m length	>0.0m driveway width 7.0m access width 3.0m width 7.0m length yes
ZONING PROVISIONS:		
INSTITUTIONAL ZONE I1A(230)R2, Schedule 1/1A - Area (D)(Rural)		
ZONE REGULATIONS		
Table 170A (a):	15m min lot width	150.85 m
(b):	400m <sup>2</sup> min lot area	52 457m <sup>2</sup>
(c):	6m front yard setback (Along Mer-bleue Rd)	>6m setback
(d):	7.5m rear yard setback (Along Mer-bleue Rd)	>7.5m setback
(e):	3m side yard setback	>3m setback
(f):	4.5m corner side yard setback	>4.5m setback
(g):	20m max or 4 storeys building height	13m max height



Conseil des  
écoles publiques  
de l'Est de l'Ontario

NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

ISSUED FOR COORDINATION.  
2025-01-10

no.	date	revision / issue	by
grc architects A PROVENCHER ROY COMPANY			
47 Clarence Street, Suite 401 Ottawa, Ontario K1N 9K1 T: 613-241-8203 F: 613-241-41-80 info@grcarchitects.com www.grcarchitects.com			
consultant			
northpoint			
professional stamp			
project title			
PROPOSED HIGH SCHOOL - ÉSP ORLEANS SUD			
Barthaven, Ontario			
drawing title			
PROPOSED SITE PLAN			
date	NOV 05, 2024	job no.	3024
scale	As indicated	drawing no.	A002
drawn	DH	approved	CJ/JP
approved	CJ/JP	plot date	2025-01-10 5:01:45 PM
DO NOT SCALE FROM THIS DRAWING CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE FOLLOWING DRAWINGS: STRUCTURAL, MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL			







TOPOGRAPHIC PLAN OF SURVEY  
OF PART OF  
**LOT 4**  
**CONCESSION 11**  
GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF CUMBERLAND  
CITY OF OTTAWA  
SCALE 1:500

GEORGE N. BRACKEN  
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR  
(SCALE IN METRES)

**LEGEND:**

- |         |                                  |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| □       | DENOTES SURVEY MONUMENT SET      |
| ■       | SURVEY MONUMENT FOUND            |
| SSB     | STANDARD IRON BAR                |
| SSIB    | SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR          |
| PB      | PLASTIC BAR                      |
| M       | MEASURED                         |
| S       | SET                              |
| CP      | CONCRETE PIN                     |
| OU      | ORIGIN UNKNOWN                   |
| BH      | BOREHOLE                         |
| BOLL    | BOLLARD                          |
| BPED    | BELL PEDESTAL                    |
| CB      | CATCH BASIN                      |
| DI      | DITCH INLET                      |
| FH      | FIRE HYDRANT TOP OF SPINDLE      |
| LS      | LAMP STANDARD                    |
| MH      | MANHOLE                          |
| MW      | MONITORING WELL                  |
| SMH     | STORM MANHOLE                    |
| SNMH    | SANITARY MANHOLE                 |
| SN      | MISCELLANEOUS STREET SIGN        |
| T/G     | TOP OF GRATE                     |
| UP      | UTILITY POLE                     |
| WV      | WATER VALVE                      |
| P1      | PLAN 4M-1580                     |
| P2      | PLAN 50R-3974                    |
| P3      | PLAN 50R-8110                    |
| P4      | PLAN 4R-30706                    |
| P5      | PLAN 4R-31218                    |
| AOG     | ANNIS, O'SALLIVAN, VOLLEBEK LTD. |
| JDB     | J. D. BARNES LIMITED             |
| 990     | PAYETTE, HIMMA, DELORME LTD.     |
| DEC #10 | DECIDUOUS TREE 0.1m. DIAMETER    |
| CON #20 | CONIFEROUS TREE 0.2m. DIAMETER   |

**SITE BENCHMARKS**

SITE BENCHMARK 1 IS THE TOP SPINDLE OF A  
FIRE HYDRANT (SHOWN ON PLAN)  
ELEVATION 88.664m.

SITE BENCHMARK 2 IS THE CUT CROSS (SHOWN ON PLAN)  
ELEVATION 87.449m.

SITE BENCHMARK 3 IS THE CUT CROSS (SHOWN ON PLAN)  
ELEVATION 87.965m.

SITE BENCHMARK 4 IS THE TOP SPINDLE OF A  
FIRE HYDRANT (SHOWN ON PLAN)  
ELEVATION 88.218m.

**BEARING NOTE**

BEARINGS ARE MTM GRID, DERIVED FROM THE NORTHERLY LIMIT OF PART 1  
PLAN 4R-30706, HAVING A GRID BEARING OF N67°18'30"E

DISTANCES ARE GROUND AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO GRID BY  
MULTIPLYING BY THE COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999968.

**SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE**

- I CERTIFY THAT:  
(1) THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE  
SURVEYS ACT, THE SURVEYORS ACT AND THE REGULATIONS MADE UNDER THEM.  
(2) THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE 12TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2024

August 15, 2024  
DATE

GEORGE N. BRACKEN  
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR

THIS PLAN OF SURVEY RELATES TO AOLS PLAN SUBMISSION FORM NUMBER V-81967.

O:\Projects\2024\24-26499 CEPED - 3 Schools\Drawings\Orleans\24-26499 ORLEANS CSD TOPO.dwg August 15, 2024

**Callon & Dietz** INCORPORATED  
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS  
CARLTON PLACE LONDON NORTH BAY  
requests@callondietz.com callondietz.com

SURVEY BY: CB DRAWN BY: DK FILE No: 24-26499 PLAN No: X-3811

**AREA**

AREA=6.45 Ha (15.94 Acres)


**ELEVATION NOTE**

ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE GEODETIC (CGVD-1928/1978) AND ARE  
DERIVED FROM THE CANNET VRS NETWORK STATION OTTAWA  
ELEVATION=95.230.

CONTOURS ARE TO 0.5 METRES

**METRIC**

DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES  
AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048





## **APPENDIX G**

### **Elementary School Grading & Servicing Plans (WSP)**





GENERAL

- DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL AND LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS.
- ALL SERVICES, MATERIALS, CONSTRUCTION METHODS AND INSTALLATIONS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS OF THE: CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND DRAWINGS, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL SPECIFICATION STANDARD SPECIFICATION (OPSS) AND ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD), UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY AND THE CONSULTANT.
- THE POSITION OF EXISTING POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMANS, SEWERS AND OTHER UNDERGROUND AND ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES, STRUCTURES AND APPURTENANCES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWING, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SATISFY HIMSELF OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, AND SHALL ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM DURING THE COURSE OF CONSTRUCTION. ANY RELOCATION OF EXISTING UTILITIES REQUIRED BY THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUBJECT LANDS IS TO BE UNDERTAKEN AT CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE.
- THE CONTRACTOR MUST NOTIFY ALL EXISTING UTILITY COMPANY OFFICIALS FIVE (5) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION AND HAVE ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND SERVICES LOCATED IN THE FIELD OR EXPOSED PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO HYDRO, BELL, CABLE TV, AND CONSUMERS GAS LINES.
- ALL TRENCHING AND EXCAVATIONS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. ALL INFORMATION SHALL BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- REFER TO ARCHITECTS PLANS FOR BUILDING DIMENSIONS, ELEVATIONS, LAYOUT AND REMOVALS. REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR LANDSCAPED DETAILS AND OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION. ALL INFORMATION SHALL BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
- TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY COMPLETED AND PROVIDED BY ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, VOLLEBEKK LTD. DATED MAY 2, 2023. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY IN THE FIELD PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION OF ANY WORK AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES.
- ALL ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND UTILIZE METRIC UNITS. VERIFY THAT JOB BENCHMARKS HAVE NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED.
- ALL GROUND SURFACES SHALL BE EVENLY GRADED WITHOUT PONDING AREAS AND WITHOUT LOW POINTS EXCEPT WHERE APPROVED SWALE OR DRAIN OUTLETS ARE PROVIDED.
- ALL EDGES OF DISTURBED PAVEMENT SHALL BE SAW CUT TO FORM A NEAT AND STRAIGHT LINE PRIOR TO PLACING NEW PAVEMENT. PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT SHALL BE WITH STEP JOINTS OF 500mm WIDTH MINIMUM.
- ALL DISTURBED AREAS OUTSIDE PROPOSED GRADING LIMITS TO BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL ELEVATIONS AND CONDITIONS UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. EXISTING PARKING LOT SHALL BE RE-ASPHALTED AT EXISTING GRADES EXCEPT AS NOTED TO EVEN OUT GRADES. ALL RESTORATION SHALL BE COMPLETED WITH THE GEOTECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR BACKFILL AND COMPACTION.
- ABUTTING PROPERTY GRADES TO BE MATCHED.
- CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION, INCLUDING WATER PERMIT AND ROAD CUT PERMIT.
- MINIMIZE DISTURBANCE TO EXISTING VEGETATION DURING THE EXECUTION OF ALL WORKS.
- REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED FROM THE ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE ALL ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS LOCATED WITHIN THE PROPOSED BUILDING, PARKING AND ROADWAY LOCATIONS.
- AT PROPOSED UTILITY CONNECTION POINTS AND CROSSINGS (I.E. STORM SEWER, SANITARY SEWER, WATER, ETC.) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE THE PRECISE LOCATION AND DEPTH OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR CONFLICTS TO THE ENGINEER BEFORE COMMENCING WORK.
- PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION, A GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER REGISTERED IN THE PROVINCE OF ONTARIO IS TO INSPECT ALL SUB-SURFACES FOR FOOTINGS, SERVICES AND PAVEMENT STRUCTURES.
- CONTRACTOR TO OBTAIN POST-CONSTRUCTION TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PERFORMED BY CERTIFIED OLS OR P.ENG. CONFIRMING COMPLIANCE WITH DESIGN GRADING AND SERVICING. SURVEY IS TO INCLUDE LOCATION AND INVERTS FOR BURIED UTILITIES.
- PROVIDE CCTV INSPECTION REPORT FOR ALL SEWERS AND CATCHBASIN LEADS 200MM DIAMETER AND LARGER. REPEAT CCTV INSPECTION FOLLOWING RECTIFICATION OF ANY DEFICIENCIES.
- REPORT REFERENCES
  - SERVICING AND SWM REPORT FOR CEPEO ES, PREPARED BY WSP CANADA INC. PROJECT NO. 221-06227-00, JUNE 30, 2023.
  - GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT - PROPOSED AVALON III ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, PREPARED BY PATERSON GROUP, PROJ. NO P6G715-1, JULY 10, 2023.
  - STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR SUMMERSIDE WEST PHASES 2 AND 3, PREPARED BY JFSA, JFSA REF NO. 1102-13, APRIL 2016, UPDATED JULY 2016
  - DESIGN BRIEF FOR SUMMERSIDE WEST - PHASE 2 MER BLEUE ROAD, PREPARED BY DSEL, PROJ NO. 15-808, APRIL 29, 2016.

PARKING LOT AND WORK IN PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY

- CONTRACTOR TO REINSTATE ROAD CUTS AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL R10.
- GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT - PROPOSED AVALON III ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, PREPARED BY PATERSON GROUP, PROJ. NO.P6G715-1, JULY 10, 2023.
- CONTRACTOR TO PREPARE SUBGRADE, INCLUDING PROOFROLLING, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF PLACEMENT OF GRANULAR B MATERIAL.
- FILL TO BE PLACED AND COMPACTED PER THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT REQUIREMENTS.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY, PLACE AND COMPACT GRANULAR B MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE CONSULTANT WITH SAMPLES OF GRANULAR B MATERIAL FOR TESTING AND CERTIFICATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT THAT THE MATERIAL MEETS THE GRADATION REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- GRANULAR A MATERIAL TO BE PLACED ONLY UPON APPROVAL BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT OF GRANULAR B PLACEMENT.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY, PLACE AND COMPACT GRANULAR A MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE CONSULTANT WITH SAMPLES OF GRANULAR A MATERIAL FOR TESTING AND CERTIFICATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT THAT THE MATERIAL MEETS THE GRADATION REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- ASPHALT MATERIAL TO BE PLACED ONLY UPON APPROVAL BY THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT OF GRANULAR A PLACEMENT.
- CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY, PLACE AND COMPACT ASPHALT MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE CONSULTANT WITH SAMPLES OF ASPHALT MATERIAL FOR TESTING AND CERTIFICATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL CONSULTANT THAT THE MATERIAL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING LINE AND GRADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS, AND FOR PROVIDING THE CONSULTANT WITH VERIFICATION PRIOR TO PLACEMENT.
- ALL EXCESS MATERIAL TO BE HAULED OFFSITE AND DISPOSED OF AT AN APPROVED DUMP SITE. SHOULD THE CONTRACTOR DISCOVER ANY HAZARDOUS MATERIAL, CONTRACTOR IS TO NOTIFY CONSULTANT. CONSULTANT TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE DISPOSAL METHOD/LOCATION.
- PAVEMENT STRUCTURE (MATERIAL TYPES AND THICKNESS) TO BE AS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.

TEMPORARY BENCHMARKS		
TBM#	ELEV.	DESCRIPTION
1	88.26	TOP OF SPINDLE ON EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT IN FRONT OF 2405 MER BLEUE ROAD
2	88.73	TOP OF SPINDLE ON EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT ON SOUTH SIDE OF MONARDIA WAY

STORM SEWERS AND STRUCTURES


- ALL STORM SEWER MATERIALS AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. PROVIDE CCTV INSPECTION REPORTS FOR ALL NEW STORM SEWERS, SERVICES AND CB LEADS.
- STORM SEWERS 450mm DIAMETER AND SMALLER SHALL BE PVC SDR-35, WITH RUBBER GASKET PER CSA A-257.3.
- STORM SEWER LARGER THAN 450mm SHALL BE REINFORCED CONCRETE CLASS 100D.
- SEWER BEDDING AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL S6.
- ALL STORM MANHOLES TO BE AS PER STORM STRUCTURE TABLE.
- ANY NEW OR EXISTING STORM SEWER WITH LESS THAN 2.0m COVER REQUIRES THERMAL INSULATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W22, OR APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- ALL CATCHBASIN LEADS TO BE MINIMUM 200mm DIAMETER AT MINIMUM 1.0% SLOPE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- STORM CATCHBASINS AS PER OPSD 705.010 AND FRAME/COVER AS PER CITY STANDARD DRAWINGS S19. STORM CBM'S AS INDICATED IN TABLE WITH SUMP. ADJUSTMENT SECTIONS SHALL BE AS PER OPSD 704.010.
- INSTALLATION OF FLOW CONTROL ICD'S TO BE VERIFIED BY QUALITY VERIFICATION ENGINEER RETAINED BY CONTRACTOR.
- PROVIDE BACKWATER VALVE ON FOUNDATION DRAIN, STORM DISCHARGE, AND OVERFLOW DISCHARGE PER S14
- ALL CATCHBASINS EXCLUDING LANDSCAPE CATCHBASINS TO HAVE 150 MMØ PERFORATED PIPE FOR 3.0M ON ALL AVAILABLE SIDES AT AN ELEVATION OF 300mm BELOW SUBGRADE LEVEL AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD DRAWING 'R1'
- CLAY SEALS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY STANDARD DRAWING NO. S8. THE SEALS SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.5m LONG (IN THE TRENCH DIRECTION) AND SHOULD EXTEND FROM TRENCH WALL TO TRENCH WALL AT NO MORE THAN 60m INTERVALS IN THE SERVICE TRENCHES. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR DETAILS.

SANITARY SEWER AND STRUCTURES

- ALL SANITARY SEWER, SANITARY SEWER APPURTENANCES AND CONSTRUCTION METHODS SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. PROVIDE CCTV INSPECTION REPORTS FOR ALL NEW SANITARY PIPING.
- SANITARY SEWER PIPE SIZE 150mm DIAMETER AND GREATER TO BE PVC SDR-35 (UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE) WITH RUBBER GASKET TYPE JOINTS IN CONFORMANCE WITH CSA B-162.2,3,4.
- SEWER BEDDING AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL S6.
- ALL SANITARY MANHOLES 1200mm IN DIAMETER TO BE AS PER OPSD 701.01. FRAME AND COVER TO BE AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD S25 AND S24.
- MAINTENANCE HOLE BENCHING AND PIPE OPENING ALTERNATIVES AS PER THE OPSD 701.021
- ANY SANITARY SEWER WITH LESS THAN 2.0m COVER REQUIRES THERMAL INSULATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W22, OR APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
- PROVIDE BACKWATER VALVE FOR BUILDING SANITARY SERVICES PER S14.1

WATERMAIN


- ALL WATERMAIN AND WATERMAIN APPURTANANCES, MATERIALS, CONSTRUCTION AND TESTING METHODS SHALL CONFORM TO THE CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA AND MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
- ALL WATERMAIN 300mm DIAMETER AND SMALLER TO BE POLY VINYL CHLORIDE (PVC) CLASS 150 DR 18 MEETING AWWA SPECIFICATION C900.
- ALL WATERMAIN TO BE INSTALLED AT MINIMUM COVER OF 2.4m BELOW FINISHED GRADE. WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS OVER OTHER UTILITIES, A MINIMUM 0.30m CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED; WHERE WATERMAINS CROSS UNDER OTHER UTILITIES, A MINIMUM 0.50m CLEARANCE SHALL BE MAINTAINED. WHERE THE MINIMUM SEPARATION CANNOT BE ACHIEVED, THE WATERMAIN SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS W25 AND W25.2. WHERE 2.4m MINIMUM DEPTH CANNOT BE ACHIEVED, THERMAL INSULATION SHALL BE PROVIDED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W22. WHERE A WATERMAIN IS IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO AN OPEN STRUCTURE, THERMAL INSULATION SHALL BE PROVIDED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W23.
- CONCRETE THRUST BLOCKS AND MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS ARE TO BE INSTALLED AT ALL TEES, BENDS, HYDRANTS, REDUCERS, ENDS OF MAINS AND CONNECTIONS 100mm AND LARGER, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS W25.3 & W25.4.
- CATHODIC PROTECTION REQUIRED FOR ALL IRON FITTINGS AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W40 & W42.
- ALL VALVES AND VALVE BOXES AND CHAMBERS, HYDRANTS, AND HYDRANT VALVES AND ASSEMBLES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD
- FIRE HYDRANT LOCATION AND INSTALLATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W18 & W19. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE FLOW TEST AND PAINTING OF NEW HYDRANT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARDS.
- IF WATER MAIN MUST BE DEFLECTED TO MEET ALIGNMENT, ENSURE THAT THE AMOUNT OF DEFLECTION USED IS LESS THAN HALF THAT RECOMMENDED BY THE MANUFACTURER.





EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

- \*\* CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL INSTALLATION, MONITORING, REPAIR AND REMOVAL OF ALL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL FEATURES. \*\*
- PRIOR TO START OF CONSTRUCTION:
    - INSTALL SILT FENCE IN LOCATION SHOWN.
    - INSTALL FILTER FABRIC OR SILT SACK FILTERS IN ALL THE CATCHBASINS AND MANHOLES TO REMAIN DURING CONSTRUCTION WITHIN THE SITE.
    - INSPECT MEASURES IMMEDIATELY AFTER INSTALLATION.
    - INSTALL MUD MAT AT CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCES.
  - DURING CONSTRUCTION:
    - MINIMIZE THE EXTENT OF DISTURBED AREAS AND THE DURATION OF EXPOSURE AND IMPACTS TO EXISTING GRADING.
    - PERIMETER VEGETATION TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL PERMANENT STORM WATER MANAGEMENT IS IN PLACE. OTHERWISE, IMMEDIATELY INSTALL SILT FENCE WHEN THE EXISTING SITE IS DISTURBED AT THE PERIMETER.
    - PROTECT DISTURBED AREAS FROM OVERLAND FLOW BY PROVIDING TEMPORARY SWALES TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE FIELD ENGINEER. TIE-IN TEMPORARY SWALE TO EXISTING CB'S AS REQUIRED.
    - PROVIDE TEMPORARY COVER SUCH AS SEEDING OR MULCHING IF DISTURBED AREA WILL NOT BE REHABILITATED WITHIN 30 DAYS.
    - INSPECT SILT FENCES, FILTER FABRIC FILTERS AND CATCH BASIN SUMPS WEEKLY AND WITHIN 24 HOURS AFTER A STORM EVENT. CLEAN AND REPAIR WHEN NECESSARY.
    - DOWNSTEAM STORM INFRASTRUCTURE SHALL BE PROTECTED FROM UNFILTERED RUNOFF DURING ON-SITE STORM INFRASTRUCTURE DEMOLITION.
    - DRAWING TO BE REVIEWED AND REVISED AS REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION.
    - EROSION CONTROL FENCING TO BE ALSO INSTALLED AROUND THE BASE OF ALL STOCKPILES. DO NOT LOCATE TOPSOIL PILES AND EXCAVATION MATERIAL CLOSER THAN 2.5m FROM ANY PAVED SURFACE, OR ONE WHICH IS TO BE PAVED BEFORE THE PILE IS REMOVED. ALL TOPSOIL PILES ARE TO BE SEEDED IF THEY ARE TO REMAIN ON SITE LONG ENOUGH FOR SEEDS TO GROW (LONGER THAN 30 DAYS).
    - CONTROL WIND-BLOWN DUST OFF SITE BY SEEDING TOPSOIL PILES AND OTHER AREAS TEMPORARILY (PROVIDE WATERING AS REQUIRED AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER).
    - NO ALTERNATE METHODS OF EROSION PROTECTION SHALL BE PERMITTED UNLESS APPROVED BY THE FIELD ENGINEER.
    - CITY ROADWAY AND SIDEWALK TO BE CLEANED OF ALL SEDIMENT FROM VEHICULAR TRACKING AS REQUIRED.
    - DURING WET CONDITIONS, TIRES OF ALL VEHICLES/EQUIPMENT LEAVING THE SITE ARE TO BE SCRAPPED.
    - ANY MUD/MATERIAL TRACKED ONTO THE ROAD SHALL BE REMOVED IMMEDIATELY BY HAND OR RUBBER TIRE LOADER.
    - TAKE ALL NECESSARY STEPS TO PREVENT BUILDING MATERIAL, CONSTRUCTION DEBRIS OR WASTE BEING SPILLED OR TRACKED ONTO ABUTTING PROPERTIES OR PUBLIC STREETS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND PROCEED IMMEDIATELY TO CLEAN UP ANY AREAS SO AFFECTED.
    - ALL EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURE TO REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED GROUND SURFACES HAVE BEEN STABILIZED EITHER BY PAVING OR RESTORATION OF VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER.
    - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.

PROPOSED LEGEND:



ESC LEGEND:



Recommended Pavement Structure - LIGHT DUTY	
Thickness (mm)	Material Description
50	Wear Course - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone
300	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II

**SUBGRADE** - Either fill, in-situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over fill or in-situ soil.


Recommended Pavement Structure - HEAVY DUTY	
Thickness (mm)	Material Description
40	Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete
50	Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone
450	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II

**SUBGRADE** - Either fill, in-situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over fill or in-situ soil.


Recommended Pavement Structure - Brick/Stone Pathways	
Thickness (mm)	Material Description
Specified by Others	Wear Course - Interlocking Stones/Brick pavers
25-40	Leveling Course - Stone Dust or Sand
450	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular A

**SUBGRADE** - Either fill, in-situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over fill or in-situ soil.

EXISTING LEGEND:




DRAINAGE AREA LEGEND:



PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE

AREA LEGEND:



- 0 2024/05/09 ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
- 0 2024/04/23 ISSUED FOR TENDER
- 0 2024/01/17 ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
- 0 2023/11/24 ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
- 0 2023/11/17 ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
- 0 2023/09/28 ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV. NO.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	----------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.



L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTS PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.

ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St, Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com

PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
**ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD**  
675 MONARDIA WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
  
CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN

NOTES AND DETAIL

SCALE ECHELLE	PROJ. No 0003850-9668	ISSUE No 8	REV. No 0
DRAWN BY DESSINE PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN	
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY		
DATE	MAY 2024		

ACAD FILE/FICHIER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg



STORM STRUCTURE AND ICD DATA TABLE														
STRUCTURE ID	AREA ID	SIZE	STRUCTURE	COVER	TOP OF GRATE	INVERT				DIAMETER (mm)	TYPE	HEAD (m)	FLOW (l/s)	ICD TYPE
						INLET	INLET	INLET	OUTLET					
675 MONARDIA WAY														
STMH1		1800mm DIA	OPSD 701.012	S24.1	87.60			84.130	83.980	1050	CONC. CL 100-D			
STMH3	S5	1800mm DIA	OPSD 701.012	S24.1	87.65		85.540	83.800	83.770	1050	CONC. CL 100-D			
CBMH5	S3	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S28.1	87.40			85.100	85.000	300	PVC SDR-35			
CBMH106	S6	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S28.1	87.43			85.920	85.820	300	PVC SDR-35			
CBMH107	S7	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S28.1	87.49			85.730	85.700	300	PVC SDR-35			
CBMH108	S18	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S28.1	87.65		86.000	86.000	85.820	450	CONC. CL 100-D			
CBMH105	S16	1800mm DIA	OPSD 701.012	S28.1	87.46		85.640	85.510	85.490	450	CONC. CL 100-D			
STMH104	S15	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S24.1	87.74	85.370	85.470	85.370	85.220	450	CONC. CL 100-D			
STMH103	S10	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S24.1	87.60			84.960	84.900	450	CONC. CL 100-D	2.59	155	Plug Type 215mm
CBMH102	S14	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S28.1	87.25			84.760	84.730	450	CONC. CL 100-D			
CBMH101A	S13	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S28.1	87.16			84.540	84.510	450	CONC. CL 100-D			
STMH101	S13	1200mm DIA.	OPSD 701.010	S24.1	87.49		85.340	84.460	84.430	450	CONC. CL 100-D			
CB1	S19	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.43				86.220	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB2	S17	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.59				85.890	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB3	S10	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.36				85.660	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB4	S15	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.52				85.820	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB7	S9	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.33				85.630	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB9	S8	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.38				85.770	300	PVC SDR-35			
CB16	S11	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.40				85.700	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB17	S5	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.38				85.680	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB18	S2	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.55				85.850	200	PVC SDR-35			
CB19	S4	600X600mm	OPSD 705.010	S19.1	87.44				85.740	200	PVC SDR-35			
TCB22	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	87.65				86.380	250	HDPE			
TCB23	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	87.95				86.270	250	HDPE			
TCB24	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	87.65				86.160	250	HDPE			
TCB25	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	85.65				86.160	250	HDPE			
TCB26	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	87.65				86.550	250	HDPE			
TCB27	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	87.65				86.380	250	HDPE			
TCB28	S18	300mm DIA.	S30	S30	87.95				86.270	250	HDPE			
TEMP CBMH		1800mm DIA	OPSD 701.012	OPSD 403.010	85.70				84.170	900	CONC. CL 100-D	1.25	731	Plug Type 560mm

Post Development Storm Drainage Area Table								
Catchment Area	Total (ha)	Grass	Gravel	Asphalt	Outlet Structure	Ponding Area (m2)	Ponding Depth	Ponding Volume
		0.2	0.4	0.9				
1	0.239			0.239				
2	0.051			0.051	CB18			
3	0.110	0.090		0.020	CBMH5			
4	0.048	0.048			CB19			
5	0.034	0.024		0.010	CB17			
6	0.108	0.077		0.031	CBMH106	280.0	0.170	15.87
7	0.138			0.138	CBMH107	161.0	0.11	5.90
8	0.081	0.031		0.050	CB9	367.0	0.22	26.91
9	0.109			0.109	CB7	762.0	0.27	68.58
10	0.154	0.019		0.135	CB3	460.0	0.240	36.80
11	0.089	0.069		0.020	CB16			0.00
12	0.025	0.010		0.016				0.00
13	0.044	0.044			CBMH101A			0.00
14	0.033	0.033			CBMH102			0.00
15	0.071	0.057	0.001	0.013	CB4	70.0	0.080	1.87
16	0.060	0.004		0.056	CBMH105	80.0	0.140	3.73
17	0.075	0.056	0.0113	0.008	CB2			0.00
18	0.319	0.319			CBMH108			0.00
19	0.099	0.071		0.029	CB1	156.0	0.170	8.84
20	0.074	0.074						
21	0.049	0.049						
Total	2.008	1.072		0.924		2336.000		168.503

SAN STRUCTURE TABLE								
STRUCTURE ID	TOP OF GRATE ELEVATION	INVERT				DESCRIPTION		
		INLET	INLET	INLET	OUTLET	SIZE	OPSD	COVER
SAMH100	87.50			85.110	84.640	1200mm DIA.	OPSD-701.010	S24
SAMH101	87.50			83.410	83.380	1200mm DIA.	OPSD-701.010	S24
SAMH102	87.78			83.860	83.830	1200mm DIA.	OPSD-701.010	S24
SAMH103	87.10				83.880	1200mm DIA.	OPSD-701.010	S24

PIPE CROSSING TABLE									
		Invert		Obvert		Invert		Obvert	
1	1050mmØ CONC STM	83.810	84.877	0.383	Clearance Under	85.260	85.460	200mmØ W/M	
2	200mmØ PVC SAN	85.151	85.351	0.250	Clearance Above	84.451	84.901	450mmØ CONC STM	
3	200mmØ W/M	84.950	85.150	0.210	Clearance Above	84.290	84.740	450mmØ CONC STM	
4	200mmØ SAN	83.260	83.460	0.850	Clearance Under	84.310	84.760	450mmØ CONC STM	
5	200mmØ W/M	85.200	85.400	1.710	Clearance Above	83.290	83.490	200mmØ SAN	
6	200mmØ SAN	83.420	83.620	1.980	Clearance Under	85.600	85.800	200mmØ PVC CB Lead	
7	200mmØ SAN	83.410	83.610	1.650	Clearance Under	85.260	85.460	200mmØ W/M	
8	200mmØ SAN	83.530	83.730	1.990	Clearance Under	85.720	85.920	200mmØ PVC CB Lead	
9	200mmØ SAN	83.550	83.750	1.750	Clearance Under	85.500	85.700	200mmØ PVC CB Lead	
10	200mmØ SAN	83.650	83.850	1.120	Clearance Under	84.970	85.270	300mmØ PVC STM	

WATERMAIN SCHEDULE					
STATION	DESCRIPTION	FINISHED GRADE	TOP OF WATERMAIN	AS-BUILT WATERMAIN	COVER
200mm W/M Service					
10+000	Connect to Ex. 200mm W/M WITH 200x200 Tee	87.570		85.170	2.400
10+006.6	200mm V&VB	87.800	85.400		2.400
10+008.7	Crossing 1050mmØ CONC STM	87.750	85.460		2.290
10+011.7	Crossing 200mmØ PVC SAN	87.750	85.460		2.290
10+019.7	200mm W/M Stub (School)	87.750	85.600		2.150
20+000	Connect to Ex. 200mm W/M WITH 300x200 Tee	87.460		85.060	2.400
20+015.8	200mm V&VB	87.550	85.150		2.400
20+019.7	Crossing 450mmØ CONC STM	87.550	85.150		2.400
20+029.3	Crossing 200mmØ PVC SAN	87.800	85.400		2.400
20+063	45 deg. horizontal bend	87.680	85.280		2.400
20+065	45 deg. horizontal bend	87.720	85.320		2.400
20+066.0	200mm W/M Stub (School)	87.750	85.600		2.150



JOHN SEVIGNY C.E.T.  
MANAGER (A), DEVELOPMENT REVIEW EAST  
PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT & BUILDING SERVICES  
DEPARTMENT, CITY OF OTTAWA

APPROVED

By sevignyjo at 10:39 am, Sep 05, 2024



- 802024/05/09ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
- 702024/04/23ISSUED FOR TENDER
- 602024/01/17ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
- 502023/11/24ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
- 402023/11/17ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
- 302023/09/28ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV. NO.	DATE	ISSUE
		YYMMDD	

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.



L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTS PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGÜITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLÉGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.

ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.


CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



EDWARD J. CUHACI  
& ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.  
171 Slater St, Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com

PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD 675 MONARDIA WAY OTTAWA, ONTARIO			
CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO 2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON			
DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN SERVICING TABLES			
SCALE ECHELLE	PROJ. No 0003850-9668	ISSUE No 8	REV. No 0
DRAWN BY DESSINE PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN <b>C02</b> ACAD FILE/FICHIER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg	
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY		
DATE	MAY 2024		





8	0	2024/05/09	ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
7	0	2024/04/23	ISSUED FOR TENDER
6	0	2024/01/17	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
5	0	2023/11/24	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
4	0	2023/11/17	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
3	0	2023/09/28	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.



L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTES PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU FAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.


ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



TRUE NORTH PLAN NORTH




**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St., Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com

PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD  
675 MONARDIE WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN  
**GRADING PLAN**

SCALE ECHELLE	1:300	PROJ. No. 0003850-9668	ISSUE No. 8	REV. No. 0
DRAWN BY DESSINÉ PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN	<b>C03</b>	
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY			
DATE	MAY 2024	ACAD FILE/FICHER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg		





8	0	2024/05/09	ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
7	0	2024/04/23	ISSUED FOR TENDER
6	0	2024/01/17	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
5	0	2023/11/24	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
4	0	2023/11/17	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
3	0	2023/09/28	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.


L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTES PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.


ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.


CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



TRUE NORTH



PLAN NORTH



LICENCED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER  
D. B. YANG  
100230568  
2024/05/09  
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St., Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com


PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD  
675 MONARDIA WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN  
**SERVICING PLAN**

SCALE ECHELLE	1:300	PROJ. No. 0003850-9668	ISSUE No. 8	REV. No. 0
DRAWN BY DESSINÉ PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN	<b>C04</b>	
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY			
DATE	MAY 2024	ACAD FILE/FICHIER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg		

**APPROVED**  
By sevignyjo at 10:39 am, Sep 05, 2024





8	0	2024/05/09	ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
7	0	2024/04/23	ISSUED FOR TENDER
6	0	2024/01/17	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
5	0	2023/11/24	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
4	0	2023/11/17	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
3	0	2023/09/28	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.



L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTS PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.


ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.


CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



TRUE NORTH PLAN NORTH



LICENCED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER  
D. B. YONG  
100230568  
2024/05/09  
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO



**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St., Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com

PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD  
675 MONARDIA WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO


CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN  
**STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN**

SCALE	PROJ. No	ISSUE No	REV. No
ECHELLE	1:300	0003850-9668	8
DRAWN BY	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN	
CHECKED BY	DY		
DATE	MAY 2024	ACAD FILE/FICHER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg	

**C05**





8	0	2024/05/09	ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
7	0	2024/04/23	ISSUED FOR TENDER
6	0	2024/01/17	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
5	0	2023/11/24	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
4	0	2023/11/17	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
3	0	2023/09/28	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV. NO.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	----------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.



L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTS PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.


ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



TRUE NORTH PLAN NORTH



LICENCED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER  
D. B. YANG  
100230568  
2024/05/09  
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO

**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St., Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com


PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD  
675 MONARDIA WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN  
**PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN**

SCALE ECHELLE	1:300	PROJ. No 0003850-9668	ISSUE No 8	REV. No 0
DRAWN BY DESSINE PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN		
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY	<b>C06</b>		
DATE	MAY 2024	ACAD FILE/FICHER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg		





8	0	2024/05/09	ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
7	0	2024/04/23	ISSUED FOR TENDER
6	0	2024/01/17	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
5	0	2023/11/24	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
4	0	2023/11/17	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
3	0	2023/09/28	ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.

L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTS PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGUÉS.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.

ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS

**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St., Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com

PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD  
675 MONARDIA WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN  
**EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN**

SCALE ECHELLE	1:300	PROJ. No 0003850-9668	ISSUE No 8	REV. No 0
DRAWN BY DESSINE PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN	<b>C07</b>	
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY			
DATE	MAY 2024	ACAD FILE/FICHER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg		


**APPROVED**  
By sevignyjo at 10:39 am, Sep 05, 2024

JOHN SEVIGNY C.E.T.  
MANAGER, DEVELOPMENT REVIEW EAST  
PLANNING, SEVERALTY & BUILDING SERVICES  
DEPARTMENT, CITY OF OTTAWA



Roof Drain	Area (m²)	Depth (m)	Theoretical Rooftop Storage Volume (m³)	Storage Volume (m³)	Max Flow Rate (L/s)
1	41.6	0.05	0.7	0.6	0.32
2	40.7	0.05	0.7	0.5	0.32
3	36.6	0.06	0.7	0.6	0.66
4	35.3	0.06	0.7	0.6	0.66
5	276.6	0.15	13.8	11.1	0.95
6	282.0	0.15	14.1	11.3	0.95
7	84.2	0.11	3.1	2.5	0.82
8	112.3	0.11	4.1	3.3	0.82
9	157.0	0.15	7.9	6.3	0.95
10	177.0	0.15	8.9	7.1	0.95
11	180.5	0.15	9.0	7.2	0.95
12	230.8	0.15	11.5	9.2	0.95
13	16.8	0.075	0.4	0.3	0.71
14	102.8	0.15	5.1	4.1	0.95
15	120.6	0.14	5.6	4.5	0.91
16	245.3	0.15	12.3	9.8	0.95
17	75.8	0.11	2.8	2.2	0.82
18	91.0	0.13	3.9	3.2	0.88
Total	2306.9			84.3	14.52

ROOF DRAIN PER WATTS ADJUSTABLE FLOW CONTROL  
FOR ROOF DRAINS OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.



**WATTS** Adjustable Accutrol Weir Tag: \_\_\_\_\_ Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains

**ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)**

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below.

Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

**EXAMPLE:**

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be:  
[5 gpm [per inch of head] x 2 inches of head ] + 2-1/2 gpm [for the third inch of head] = 12-1/2 gpm.

Weir Opening Exposed	1"	2"	4"	5"	6"
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	30
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75
Closed	5	5	5	5	5

Job Name \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor \_\_\_\_\_  
Job Location \_\_\_\_\_ Contractor's P.O. No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Engineer \_\_\_\_\_ Representative \_\_\_\_\_

Watts product specifications in U.S. customary units and metric are approximate and are provided for reference only. For precise measurements, please contact Watts Technical Services. Watts reserves the right to change or modify product design, construction, specifications, or materials without prior notice and without incurring any obligation to make such changes and modifications on Watts products previously or subsequently sold.

USA: Tel: (800) 338-2591 • Fax: (800) 245-3620 • Watts.com  
Canada: Tel: (905) 332-4090 • Fax: (905) 332-7068 • Watts.ca  
Latin America: Tel: (52) 81-1001-8600 • Fax: (52) 81-8000-7091 • Watts.com

ES-WD-RD-ACCUTROL-ADJ-CAN 1615 © 2016 Watts



- 8 0 2024/05/09 ISSUED FOR RESUBMISSION TO CITY AND ADDENDUM
- 7 0 2024/04/23 ISSUED FOR TENDER
- 6 0 2024/01/17 ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
- 5 0 2023/11/24 ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL
- 4 0 2023/11/17 ISSUED FOR COORDINATION
- 3 0 2023/09/28 ISSUED FOR BUILDING PERMIT

ISSUE NO.	REV. NO.	DATE	ISSUE
-----------	----------	------	-------

LES IDÉES, CONCEPTS, DISPOSITIONS ET PLANS MONTRÉS OU REPRÉSENTÉS PAR CE DESSIN APPARTIENNENT À EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. ET ONT ÉTÉ CRÉÉS, ET DÉVELOPPÉS POUR ÊTRE UTILISÉS DANS LE CADRE DU PRÉSENT PROJET. ILS NE DOIVENT PAS ÊTRE UTILISÉS À D'AUTRES FINS NI COMMUNIQUÉS À QUI QUE CE SOIT SANS LA PERMISSION ÉCRITE DE EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC.


L'ARCHITECTE DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS ET DEVIS OU DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS TRANSMETTENT, OU DE TOUTS PROBLÈMES POUVANT RÉSULTER DU DÉFAUT DE TIERS D'OBTENIR OU DE SUIVRE LES INSTRUCTIONS DE L'ARCHITECTE RELATIVEMENT AUX ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCOHÉRENCES, AMBIGÜITÉS OU CONTRADICTIONS ALLEGÜES.

L'ENTREPRENEUR DOIT VÉRIFIER TOUTES LES DIMENSIONS SUR PLACE ET INFORMER L'ARCHITECTE DE TOUT ÉCART AVANT LE DÉBUT DES TRAVAUX. NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS À L'ÉCHELLE.

ALL IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS, AND PLANS INDICATED OR REPRESENTED BY THIS DRAWING ARE OWNED BY AND THE PROPERTY OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC. AND WERE CREATED, EVOLVED, AND DEVELOPED FOR USE ON AND IN CONNECTION WITH THE SPECIFIED PROJECT. NONE OF THE IDEAS, DESIGNS, ARRANGEMENTS OR PLANS SHALL BE USED BY OR DISCLOSED TO ANY PERSON, FIRM, OR CORPORATION FOR ANY PURPOSE WHATSOEVER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF EDWARD J. CUHACI AND ASSOCIATES INC.

THE ARCHITECT WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ARCHITECT'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AMBIGÜITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ARCHITECT OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS



**EDWARD J. CUHACI & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS Inc.**  
171 Slater St, Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H7  
Fax: (613) 236-1944 Telephone: (613) 236-7135 E-mail: info@cuhaci.com

PROJECT TITLE/TITRE DU PROJET  
**ÉCOLE ÉLÉMENTAIRE PUBLIQUE ORLÉANS SUD**  
675 MONARDIA WAY  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

CONSEIL DES ÉCOLES PUBLIQUES DE L'EST DE L'ONTARIO  
2445 BOUL. ST-LAURENT, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE/TITRE DU DESSIN

**ROOF DRAINAGE AREA PLAN**

SCALE Echelle	1:150	PROJ. No 0003850-9668	ISSUE No 8	REV. No 0
DRAWN BY DESSINE PAR	ST-RVT	DRAWING/DESSIN		
CHECKED BY VÉRIFIÉ PAR	DY	<b>C08</b>		
DATE	MAY 2024	ACAD FILE/FICHIER: 0003850-9668 C01-8.dwg		

**APPROVED**  
By sevignyjo at 10:39 am, Sep 05, 2024




## **APPENDIX H**


### **DESIGN BRIEF SUMMERSIDE WEST – PHASE 2 MER-BLEUE ROAD (DSEL)**





CITY FILE No. D07-16-14-0011PH2 CITY PLAN No. 17133






**TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**  
TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROVIDED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED,  
PROJECT No. 8-10-989-02. SURVEY DATED JANUARY 30, 2015 &  
PROJECT No. 8-10-989-02. SURVEY DATED DECEMBER 18, 2015.  
PROJECT No. 8-10-989-02. SURVEY DATED APRIL 26, 2016.  
**LEGAL INFORMATION**  
CALCULATED M-PLAN PROVIDED BY J.D. BARNES LIMITED,  
PROJECT No. 8-10-989-00 (PHASE 2), DATED JULY 24, 2016.  
  
4th SUBMISSION 16-08-10

4.	16-08-10	Z.L.	4th SUBMISSION
3.	16-07-29	Z.L.	3rd SUBMISSION
2.	16-07-13	Z.L.	2nd SUBMISSION
1.	16-04-29	Z.L.	1st SUBMISSION
No.	DATE	BY	DESCRIPTION

<div> <p>PROJECT No. 15-808</p> </div>		<div> </div>	<div> <p>PLAN No. 17133</p> </div>
--	--	---	--

<h1 style="text-align: center;">SANITARY DRAINAGE PLAN</h1> <p style="text-align: right;">© DSEL</p>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>MATTAMY (MER BLEUE) LIMITED</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMERSIDE WEST PHASES 2 &amp; 3</b></p>
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: center;"> <div style="width: 30%;">  <p><b>david schaeffer engineering ltd</b></p> </div> <div style="width: 65%; text-align: right;"> <p>120 iber Road, Unit 103 Sittsville, ON K2S 1E9 Tel. (913) 836-0966 Fax. (913) 836-7183 www.DSEL.ca</p> </div> </div>	
<p><b>DRAWN BY:</b> D.A.</p> <p><b>CHECKED BY:</b> C.M.</p> <p><b>SCALE:</b> 1/1000</p>	<p><b>DRAWING NO.</b></p> <p><b>DATE:</b> APRIL 2016</p>
<p><b>DRAWN BY:</b> C.M.</p> <p><b>CHECKED BY:</b> Z.L.</p>	<p><b>SHEET NO.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center; font-size: 1.5em;"><b>38</b></p>



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013


LOCATION				RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION						COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+H	INFILTRATION			PIPE							
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE AREA (ha)	POP.	PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA Nominal (mm)	DIA Actual (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)
cercle Casa Grande Circle																											
	24A	25A	0.91	21	71.4	0.91	71.4	4.00	1.16								0.91	0.91	0.255	1.42	119.5	200	200	0.65	26.44	0.05	0.84
	25A	26A	0.23	3	10.2	1.14	81.6	4.00	1.32								0.23	1.14	0.319	1.64	13.0	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.08	0.66
	26A	27A	0.43	10	34.0	1.57	115.6	4.00	1.87								0.43	1.57	0.440	2.31	71.5	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.11	0.66
	27A	28A	0.56	13	44.2	2.13	159.8	4.00	2.59								0.56	2.13	0.596	3.19	72.0	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.15	0.66
	28A	29A	0.17	2	6.8	2.30	166.6	4.00	2.70								0.17	2.30	0.644	3.34	12.5	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.16	0.66
	29A	33A	0.11	2	6.8	2.41	173.4	4.00	2.81								0.11	2.41	0.675	3.49	29.0	200	200	1.20	35.93	0.10	1.14
To BLOCK 166 (Servicing & Walkway BLOCK) , Pipe 33A - 52A						2.41	173.4																				
	30A	31A	0.89	23	78.2	0.89	78.2	4.00	1.27								0.89	0.89	0.249	1.52	113.5	200	200	1.10	34.40	0.04	1.09
	31A	32A	0.16	2	6.8	1.05	85.0	4.00	1.38								0.16	1.05	0.294	1.67	13.0	200	200	1.50	40.17	0.04	1.28
	32A	33A	0.10	2	6.8	1.15	91.8	4.00	1.49								0.10	1.15	0.322	1.81	25.5	200	200	2.00	46.38	0.04	1.48
To BLOCK 166 (Servicing & Walkway BLOCK) , Pipe 33A - 52A						1.15	91.8																				
BLOCK 166 (Servicing & Walkway BLOCK)																											
Contribution From cercle Casa Grande Circle, Pipe 29A - 33A						2.41	173.4										2.41	2.41									
Contribution From cercle Casa Grande Circle, Pipe 32A - 33A						1.15	91.8										1.15	3.56									
	33A	52A	0.04	0	0.0	3.60	265.2	4.00	4.30								0.04	3.60	1.008	5.31	42.0	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.28	0.66
To ROAD ALLOWANCE (TRUNK), Pipe 52A - 53A						3.60	265.2																				
croissant Sweetfern Crescent																											
	6A	9A	0.34	16	43.2	0.34	43.2	4.00	0.70								0.34	0.34	0.095	0.80	70.5	200	200	0.65	26.44	0.03	0.84
To rue Mandalay Street, Pipe 9A - 10A						0.34	43.2																				
	1A	7A	0.24	12	32.4	0.24	32.4	4.00	0.53								0.24	0.24	0.067	0.60	86.5	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.02	1.04
	7A	8A	0.05	1	2.7	0.29	35.1	4.00	0.57								0.05	0.29	0.081	0.65	11.0	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.02	1.04
To rue Mandalay Street, Pipe 8A - 9A						0.29	35.1																				
	1A	2A	0.10	5	13.5	0.10	13.5	4.00	0.22								0.10	0.10	0.028	0.25	38.0	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.01	1.04
	2A	3A	0.06	1	2.7	0.16	16.2	4.00	0.26								0.06	0.16	0.045	0.31	11.0	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.01	1.04
	3A	4A	0.12	4	10.8	0.28	27.0	4.00	0.44								0.12	0.28	0.078	0.52	28.5	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.02	1.04
	4A	5A	0.18	4	10.8	0.46	37.8	4.00	0.61								0.18	0.46	0.129	0.74	11.0	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.02	1.04
	5A	6A	0.32	15	40.5	0.78	78.3	4.00	1.27								0.32	0.78	0.218	1.49	62.0	200	200	0.80	31.12	0.05	0.99
To rue Astervale Street, Pipe 6A - 13A						0.78	78.3																				
rue Mandalay Street																											
Contribution From croissant Sweetfern Crescent, Pipe 7A - 8A						0.29	35.1										0.29	0.29									
	8A	9A	0.18	6	16.2	0.47	51.3	4.00	0.83								0.18	0.47	0.132	0.96	36.5	200	200	1.50	40.17	0.02	1.28
Contribution From croissant Sweetfern Crescent, Pipe 6A - 9A						0.34	43.2										0.34	0.81									
	9A	10A	0.03	1	2.7	1.18	127.8	4.00	2.07								0.03	0.84									
	10A	11A	0.34	9	30.6	1.18	127.8	4.00	2.07								0.34	1.18	0.330	2.40	87.5	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.12	0.66
			0.43	11	37.4	1.61	165.2	4.00	2.68								0.43	1.61	0.451	3.13	66.5	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.15	0.66
To promenade Sweetvalley Drive, Pipe 11A - 150A						1.61	165.2																				
DESIGN PARAMETERS															Designed: K.M.					PROJECT: SUMMERSIDE WEST PHASE 1							
Average Daily Flow = 350 l/p/day															Checked: Z.L.					LOCATION: City of Ottawa							
Commercial/Institution Flow = 50000 L/ha/day															Dwg. Reference: Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwg. No. 35 and 36					File Ref: 12-609				Date: August, 2015			
Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/day																											
Max Res. Peak Factor = 4.00																											
Commercial/Institution/Park Peak Factor = 1.50																											
Park Average Flow = 9300 L/ha/day																											
Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph																											
Extraneous Flow = 0.280 L/s/ha																											
Minimum Velocity = 0.60 m/s																											
Manning's n = 0.013																											
Townhouse coeff= 2.7																											
Single house coeff= 3.4																											



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION				RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION							COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+I	INFILTRATION			TOTAL	DIST	PIPE					
STREET		FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA	ACCU. AREA	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	(m)	DIA Nominal (mm)	DIA Actual (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)
				(ha)			AREA (ha)	POP.			(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)		(ha)	(ha)									
rue Astervale Street																												
Contribution From croissant Sweetfern Crescent, Pipe 5A - 6A							0.78	78.3										0.78	0.78									
6A		13A		0.20	5	13.5	0.98	91.8	4.00	1.49							0.20	0.98	0.274	1.76	69.0	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.08	0.66	
Contribution From rue Broadleaf Street, Pipe 12A - 13A							0.33	27.0										0.33	1.31									
13A		15A		0.31	13	35.1	1.62	153.9	4.00	2.49							0.31	1.62	0.454	2.94	64.0	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.14	0.66	
To promenade Sweetvalley Drive , Pipe 15A - 17A							1.62	153.9																				
rue Maroma Street																												
16A		17A		0.21	12	32.4	0.21	32.4	4.00	0.53							0.21	0.21	0.059	0.59	51.0	200	200	0.65	26.44	0.02	0.84	
To promenade Sweetvalley Drive , Pipe 17A - 18A							0.21	32.4																				
rue Broadleaf Street																												
12A		14A		0.12	3	8.1	0.12	8.1	4.00	0.13							0.12	0.12	0.034	0.16	11.0	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.00	1.04	
14A		18A		0.32	13	35.1	0.44	43.2	4.00	0.70							0.32	0.44	0.123	0.82	55.5	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.03	1.04	
To promenade Sweetvalley Drive , Pipe 18A - 19A							0.44	43.2																				
12A		13A		0.33	10	27.0	0.33	27.0	4.00	0.44							0.33	0.33	0.092	0.53	82.5	200	200	1.60	41.49	0.01	1.32	
To rue Astervale Street, Pipe 13A - 15A							0.33	27.0																				
promenade Sweetvalley Drive																												
20A		21A		0.40	8	27.2	0.40	27.2	4.00	0.44							0.40	0.40	0.112	0.55	36.0	200	200	0.65	26.44	0.02	0.84	
21A		22A		0.44	9	30.6	0.84	57.8	4.00	0.94							0.44	0.84	0.235	1.18	53.0	200	200	0.40	20.74	0.06	0.66	
22A		23A		0.62	16	54.4	1.46	112.2	4.00	1.82							0.62	1.46	0.409	2.23	85.5	200	200	0.60	25.41	0.09	0.81	
To BLOCK 168 (Servicing & Walkway BLOCK) , Pipe 23A - 53A							1.46	112.2																				
Contribution From South Area							15.57	1108.0										15.57	15.57									
110A		11A		0.06	0	0.0	15.63	1108.0	3.77	16.92							0.06	15.63	4.376	21.30	33.5	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.22	0.87	
Contribution From rue Mandalay Street, Pipe 10A - 11A							1.61	165.2										1.61	17.24									
11A		150A		0.03	0	0.0	17.27	1273.2	3.73	19.24							0.03	17.27	4.836	24.08	21.0	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.25	0.87	
Contribution from BLOCK 163 (PARK)																												
San Control MH 1A		150A												1.19	1.19	0.19	1.19	1.19	0.333	5.52	11.0	200	200	1.00	32.80	0.17	1.04	
150A		15A		0.08	0	0.0	17.35	1273.2	3.73	19.24				1.19	0.19	0.08	18.54	5.191	29.62	49.5	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.31	0.87		
Contribution From rue Astervale Street, Pipe 13A - 15A							1.62	153.9										1.62	20.16									
15A		17A		0.09	1	3.4	19.06	1430.5	3.69	21.38				1.19	0.19	0.09	20.25	5.670	32.24	45.0	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.34	0.87		
Contribution From rue Maroma Street, Pipe 16A - 17A							0.21	32.4										0.21	20.46									
17A		18A		0.19	5	17.0	19.46	1479.9	3.68	22.06				1.19	0.19	0.19	20.65	5.782	33.03	45.0	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.34	0.87		



DESIGN PARAMETERS										Designed:		PROJECT:					
Average Daily Flow =		350	l/p/day		Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph					K.M.		SUMMERSIDE WEST PHASE 1					
Commercial/Institution Flow =		50000	L/ha/da		Extraneous Flow =		0.280	L/s/ha		Checked:		LOCATION:					
Industrial Flow =		35000	L/ha/da		Minimum Velocity =		0.60	m/s		Z.L.		City of Ottawa					
Max Res. Peak Factor =		4.00			Manning's n =		0.013			Dwg. Reference:		File Ref:		Date:		Sheet No.	
Commercial/Institution/Park Peak Factor =		1.50			Townhouse coeff=		2.7			Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 35 and 36		12-609		August, 2015		2 of	
Park Average Flow =		9300	L/ha/da		Single house coeff=		3.4										



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION				RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION						COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+H	INFILTRATION			TOTAL	PIPE							
STREET		FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA	UNITS	POP.	CUMULATIVE		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	DIST (m)	DIA Nominal (mm)	DIA Actual (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)
				(ha)			(ha)	POP.			(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)		(ha)	(ha)		(l/s)							
Contribution From rue Broadleaf Street, Pipe 14A - 18A							0.44	43.2										0.44	21.09									
		18A	19A	0.33	6	20.4	20.23	1543.5	3.67	22.95					1.19	0.19	0.33	21.42	5.998	34.14	61.5	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.36	0.87	
		19A	23A	0.11	1	3.4	20.34	1546.9	3.67	23.00					1.19	0.19	0.11	21.53	6.028	34.22	11.0	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.36	0.87	
To BLOCK 168 (Servicing & Walkway BLOCK) , Pipe 23A - 53A							20.34	1546.9							1.19													
BLOCK 168 (Servicing & Walkway BLOCK)																												
Contribution From promenade Sweetvalley Drive, Pipe 22A - 23A							1.46	112.2									1.46	1.46										
Contribution From promenade Sweetvalley Drive, Pipe 19A - 23A							20.34	1546.9							1.19	0.19	21.53	22.99										
		23A	53A	0.04	0	0.0	21.84	1659.1	3.65	24.53					1.19	0.19	0.04	23.03	6.448	36.17	42.0	375	375	0.30	96.03	0.38	0.87	
To ROAD ALLOWANCE (TRUNK), Pipe 53A - 54A							21.84	1659.1							1.19													
TRUNK																												
Contribution From FUTURE RESIDENTIAL AREA							9.70	679.0									9.70	9.70										
		Plug	46A	0.00	0	0.0	10.55	950.0									10.55	20.25										
		46A	47A	0.22	0	0.0	20.26	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.00	20.25	5.670	29.76	13.0	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	
		47A	48A	0.19	0	0.0	20.47	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.22	20.47	5.732	29.82	102.0	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	
		48A	49A	0.15	0	0.0	20.66	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.19	20.66	5.785	29.88	93.5	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	
		49A	50A	0.24	0	0.0	20.81	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.15	20.81	5.827	29.92	78.0	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	
		50A	51A	0.20	0	0.0	21.05	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.24	21.05	5.894	29.98	120.0	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	
		51A	510A	0.02	0	0.0	21.25	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.20	21.25	5.950	30.04	98.5	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.69	0.61	
		510A	52A	0.02	0	0.0	21.27	1629.0	2.00	13.20							0.02	21.27	5.956	19.16	13.0	300	300	0.20	43.25	0.44	0.61	
		52A	53A	0.20	0	0.0	21.47	1629.0	3.65	24.09							0.20	21.47	6.012	30.10	101.0	300	300	0.20	43.246	0.70	0.61	
Contribution From BLOCK 166 (Servicing & Walkway), Pipe 33A - 52A							3.60	265.2									3.60	25.07										
		52A	53A	0.22	0	0.0	25.29	1894.2	3.60	27.62							0.22	25.29	7.091	34.70	110.0	375	375	0.20	78.410	0.44	0.71	
Contribution From BLOCK 168 (Servicing & Walkway), Pipe 23A - 53A							21.84	1659.1							1.19		23.03	48.32										
		53A	54A	0.21	0	0.0	47.34	3553.3	3.38	48.65					1.19	0.19	0.21	48.53	13.588	67.43	105.5	450	450	0.20	127.503	0.53	0.80	
		54A	55A	0.18	0	0.0	47.52	3553.3	3.38	48.65					1.19	0.19	0.18	48.71	13.639	67.48	101.0	450	450	0.20	127.503	0.53	0.80	
Contribution From Existing Alavon South							98.22	6997.0										98.22	146.93									
Contribution From AREA 10 (TENTH LINE)							117.93	10240.0										117.93	264.86									
Contribution From URBAN EXPANSION AREA							26.60	1785.0										26.60	291.46									
		55A	Ex. 10128	0.00	0	0.0	290.27	22575.3	2.60	237.77					1.19	0.19	0.00	291.46	81.609	324.57	19.0	675	675	0.50	594.386	0.55	1.66	
To PUMPING STATION, Pipe Ex. 10128 - Pumping Station							290.27	22575.3							1.19													


LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

W. LIU

100167932

Aug 7, 2016

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO



DESIGN PARAMETERS					Designed:		PROJECT:					
Average Daily Flow =	350	l/p/day	Industrial Peak Factor = as per MOE Graph		K.M.		SUMMERSIDE WEST PHASE 1					
Commercial/Institution Flow =	50000	L/ha/da	Extraneous Flow =		0.280	L/s/ha	Checked:		LOCATION:			
Industrial Flow =	35000	L/ha/da	Minimum Velocity =		0.60	m/s	Z.L.		City of Ottawa			
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00		Manning's n =		0.013		Dwg. Reference:		File Ref:		Date:	Sheet No.
Commercial/Institution/Park Peak Factor =	1.50		Townhouse coeff=		2.7		Sanitary Drainage Plan, Dwgs. No. 35 and 36		12-609	August, 2015	3 of	3
Park Average Flow =	9300	L/ha/da	Single house coeff=		3.4							





IBI GROUP  
400-333 Preston Street  
Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada  
tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868  
ibigroup.com

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET  
MER BLEUE Urban Expansion Area  
MSS Preferred Concept  
CITY OF OTTAWA  
Owners Group

LOCATION				AREA (Ha)												RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW												SEWER DATA											
STREET	AREA ID	FROM	TO	C= 0.20	C= 0.30	C= 0.40	C= 0.54	C= 0.57	C= 0.70	C= 0.71	C= 0.73	C= 0.74	C= 0.70	C= 0.76	C= 0.80	IND 2.78AC	CUM 2.78AC	INLET (min)	TIME IN PIPE	TOTAL (min)	i (2) (mm/hr)	i (5) (mm/hr)	i (100) (mm/hr)	2yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	FIXED FLOW (L/s)	DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	CAPACITY (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	PIPE SIZE (mm)			SLOPE (%)	VELOCITY (m/s)	AVAIL CAP (2yr)			
																															DIA	W	H			(L/s)	(%)		
OUTLET #4: New Central SWM Pond (North)																																							
MER BLEUE ROAD	4000A/B	MH4000	MH4001														3.86	3.86	13.67	0.78	14.45	65.10	88.12	150.76	251.47				251.47	626.37	44.75	900			0.11	0.954	374.90	59.85%	
		MH4001	MH4002															0.00	3.86	14.45	0.77	15.22	63.09	85.37	146.02	243.73				243.73	626.37	43.86	900			0.11	0.954	382.64	61.09%
		MH4002	MH4010														0.00	3.86	15.22	0.79	16.01	61.26	82.86	141.69	236.64				236.64	626.37	45.49	900			0.11	0.954	389.73	62.22%	
	4010	MH4010	MH4011														0.52	1.01	4.88	16.01	0.70	16.71	59.47	80.42	137.48	289.94				289.94	775.41	42.33	975			0.11	1.006	485.47	62.61%
		MH4011	MH4012															0.00	4.88	16.71	1.51	18.22	58.00	78.40	133.99	282.73				282.73	775.41	91.15	975			0.11	1.006	492.68	63.54%
	4110	MH4110	MH4111														0.92	1.79	1.79	10.00	1.79	11.79	76.81	104.19	178.56	137.51				137.51	239.68	88.06	600			0.14	0.821	102.17	42.63%
		MH4111	MH4112															0.00	1.79	11.79	0.14	11.93	70.56	95.61	163.72	126.33				126.33	239.68	6.82	600			0.14	0.821	113.35	47.29%
		MH4112	MH4113															0.00	1.79	11.93	0.77	12.69	70.13	95.01	162.68	125.55				125.55	239.68	37.74	600			0.14	0.821	114.13	47.62%
		MH4113	MH4121															0.00	1.79	12.69	0.84	13.53	67.81	91.84	157.20	121.41				121.41	239.68	41.15	600			0.14	0.821	118.27	49.34%
	4120	MH4120	MH4121														0.79	1.54	1.54	10.00	2.39	12.39	76.81	104.19	178.56	118.08				118.08	93.27	117.46	375			0.26	0.818	-24.81	-26.60%
	4121	MH4121	MH4131														0.31	0.60	3.93	13.53	1.44	14.97	65.48	88.64	151.67	257.39				257.39	496.66	77.96	825			0.11	0.900	239.27	48.18%
	4130	MH4130	MH4131														0.78	1.52	1.52	10.00	2.40	12.40	76.81	104.19	178.56	116.58				116.58	93.27	117.68	375			0.26	0.818	-23.31	-25.00%
	4132	MH4132	MH4131														0.12	0.23	0.23	10.00	1.45	11.45	76.81	104.19	178.56	17.94				17.94	93.27	71.02	375			0.26	0.818	75.33	80.77%
	4131	MH4131	MH4140														0.33	0.64	6.32	14.97	1.19	16.16	61.84	83.65	143.06	391.09				391.09	775.41	72.00	975			0.11	1.006	384.32	49.56%
	4100	MH4100	MH4101														0.10	0.19	0.19	10.00	1.19	11.19	76.81	104.19	178.56	14.95				14.95	496.66	64.10	825			0.11	0.900	481.72	96.99%
	4107	MH4107	MH4101														0.40	0.78	0.78	10.00	1.67	11.67	76.81	104.19	178.56	59.79				59.79	93.27	82.00	375			0.26	0.818	33.48	35.90%
	4101	MH4101	MH4102														1.16	2.26	3.23	11.67	0.80	12.47	70.94	96.13	164.61	229.15				229.15	496.66	43.26	825			0.11	0.900	267.52	53.86%
		MH4102	MH4103															0.00	3.23	12.47	0.90	13.37	68.46	92.73	158.73	221.15				221.15	496.66	48.72	825			0.11	0.900	275.51	55.47%
		MH4103	MH4104															0.00	3.23	13.37	0.99	14.37	65.89	89.21	152.65	212.86				212.86	496.66	53.73	825			0.11	0.900	283.81	57.14%
		MH4104	MH4105															0.00	3.23	14.37	0.63	15.00	63.30	85.65	146.51	204.48				204.48	496.66	33.89	825			0.11	0.900	292.19	58.83%
		MH4105	MH4106															0.00	3.23	15.00	0.86	15.85	61.78	83.57	142.91	199.56				199.56	496.66	46.18	825			0.11	0.900	297.11	59.82%
		MH4106	MH4140															0.00	3.23	15.85	1.69	17.54	59.83	80.90	138.32	193.27				193.27	496.66	91.06	825			0.11	0.900	303.40	61.09%
		MH4140	MH4012															0.00	9.55	17.54	0.19	17.73	56.36	76.16	130.14	538.53				538.53	944.84	12.08	1050			0.11	1.057	406.31	43.00%
		MH4012	MH4020															0.00	14.43	17.73	1.08	18.81	56.00	75.67	129.28	808.05				808.05	1,846.76	80.96	1350			0.11	1.250	1038.71	56.24%
	4200	MH4200	MH4201														0.80	1.56	1.56	10.00	2.08	12.08	76.81	104.19	178.56	119.57				119.57	385.20	105.31	750			0.11	0.845	265.63	68.96%
	4201	MH4201	MH4202														1.06	2.06	3.62	12.08	2.13	14.21	69.65	94.36	161.56	252.11				252.11	385.20	107.95	750			0.11	0.845	133.09	34.55%
		MH4202	MH4204															0.00	3.62	14.21	1.44	15.64	63.70	86.21	147.47	230.57				230.57	496.66	77.56	825			0.11	0.900	266.09	53.58%
		MH4204	MH4220															0.00	3.62	15.64	0.38	16.02	60.29	81.53	139.40	218.21				218.21	496.66	20.27	825			0.11	0.900	278.45	56.06%
	4212	MH4212	MH4213														0.99	1.93	1.93	10.00	0.73	10.73	76.81	104.19	178.56	147.97				147.97	303.78	35.90	675			0.12	0.822	155.81	51.29%
		MH4213	MH4220															0.00	1.93	10.73	0.53	11.26																	



SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET



Manning's n=0.013

LOCATION		RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION						COMM		INSTIT		PARK		C+H		INFILTRATION					PIPE					
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	POP.	CUMULATIVE AREA (ha)	POP.	PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW l/s	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW l/s	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW l/s	TOTAL FLOW l/s	DIST (m)	DIA (mm)	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) l/s	RATIO Q act/Q cap	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)	(ACT.) (m/s)
TRUNK 2																										
			0.30	33	0.30	33			0.21	0.21						0.51	0.51									
			0.42	47	0.72	80				0.21						0.42	0.93									
			0.13	15	0.85	95				0.21						0.13	1.06									
			0.31	35	1.16	130				0.21						0.31	1.37									
		550A	1.19	131	2.35	261	3.28	2.78		0.21					0.10	1.19	2.56	0.84	3.72	90.0	200	0.35	19.40	0.19	0.62	0.47
			0.42	47	2.77	308				0.21						0.42	2.98									
			1.04	115	3.81	423				0.21						1.04	4.02									
		551A	0.12	14	3.93	437	3.20	4.54		0.21					0.10	0.12	4.14	1.37	6.00	51.5	200	0.35	19.40	0.31	0.62	0.54
			0.19	21	4.12	458				0.21						0.19	4.33									
			0.66	73	4.78	531				0.21						0.66	4.99									
		552A	1.04	115	5.82	646	3.13	6.56		0.21					0.10	1.04	6.03	1.99	8.65	79.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.29	0.61	0.52
			1.42	157	7.24	803				0.21						1.42	7.45									
		553A	0.10	11	7.34	814	3.08	8.14		0.21					0.10	0.10	7.55	2.49	10.73	40.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.36	0.61	0.56
			0.20	22	7.54	836	2.00	5.42	3.62	3.83						3.82	11.37									
		567A	1.56	172	9.10	1008	3.04	9.92		3.83					1.86	1.56	12.93	4.27	16.05	86.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.37	0.61	0.57
			0.51	34	9.61	1042				3.83						0.51	13.44									
		571A	1.36	150	10.97	1192	3.00	11.59		3.83	2.60	2.60			3.13	3.96	17.40	5.74	20.46	115.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.47	0.61	0.60
		572A	0.64	42	11.61	1234	2.99	11.96		3.83	2.60	1.87	1.87	3.43	2.51	19.91	6.57	21.96	115.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.51	0.61	0.61	
		573A	0.65	43	12.26	1277	2.98	12.35		3.83	2.60	1.87	3.43	0.65	20.56	6.78	22.56	115.0	300	0.20	43.25	0.52	0.61	0.62		
TRUNK 2 (BY OTHERS)			0.54	60	12.80	1337				3.83	2.60	1.87			0.54	21.10										
		588A	0.80	442	19.60	1779	2.90	16.72		3.83	2.60	1.87	3.43	6.80	27.90	9.21	29.35	59.5	300	0.20	43.25	0.68	0.61	0.66		
		589A	1.45	95	21.05	1874	2.89	17.53		3.83	2.60	1.87	3.43	1.45	29.35	9.69	30.64	49.5	375	0.15	67.91	0.45	0.61	0.60		
		590A (B.O.)	0.66	43	21.71	1917	2.88	17.89		3.83	2.60	1.87	3.43	0.66	30.01	9.90	31.22	79.0	375	0.15	67.91	0.46	0.61	0.60		
		591A (B.O.)	0.37	25	22.08	1942	2.88	18.10		3.83	2.60	1.87	3.43	0.37	30.38	10.03	31.56	48.0	375	0.15	67.91	0.46	0.61	0.60		
			0.30	20	22.38	1962				3.83	2.60	6.31	8.18		6.61	36.99										
		592A (B.O.)	12.50	813	34.88	2775	2.78	24.97		3.83	2.60	8.18	4.45	12.50	49.49	16.33	45.75	75.0	450	0.12	98.76	0.46	0.62	0.61		
			0.14	10	35.02	2785				3.83	2.60	8.18		0.14	49.63											
			0.81	53	35.83	2838				3.83	2.60	8.18		0.81	50.44											
		593A (B.O.)	1.94	127	37.77	2965	2.76	26.50		3.83	2.60	8.18	4.45	1.94	52.38	17.29	48.23	36.5	450	0.12	98.76	0.49	0.62	0.62		
		594A	0.12	8	37.89	2973	2.76	26.56		3.83	2.60	8.18	4.45	0.12	52.50	17.33	48.33	71.0	450	0.12	98.76	0.49	0.62	0.62		
			0.68	45	38.57	3018				3.83	2.60	0.59	8.77	1.27	53.77											
			0.72	47	39.29	3065				3.83	2.60		8.77	0.72	54.49											
			1.23	80	40.52	3145				3.83	2.60		8.77	1.23	55.72											
			1.42	93	41.94	3238				3.83	2.60		8.77	1.42	57.14											
		595A (B.O.)	2.73	178	44.67	3416	2.72	30.06		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	2.73	59.87	19.76	54.36	109.5	450	0.12	98.76	0.55	0.62	0.63		
		596A (B.O.)	0.26	17	44.93	3433	2.71	30.19		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.26	60.13	19.84	54.57	36.0	450	0.12	98.76	0.55	0.62	0.64		
		597A (B.O.)	0.48	32	45.41	3465	2.71	30.44		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.48	60.61	20.00	54.98	72.5	450	0.12	98.76	0.56	0.62	0.64		
			0.10	7	45.51	3472				3.83	2.60		8.77	0.10	60.71											
		598A (B.O.)	0.89	58	46.40	3530	2.71	30.95		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.89	61.60	20.33	55.82	58.0	675	0.10	265.82	0.21	0.74	0.59		
		599A (B.O.)	0.02	2	46.42	3532	2.70	30.96		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.02	61.62	20.33	55.84	10.5	675	0.10	265.82	0.21	0.74	0.59		
		600A (B.O.)	1.87	122	48.29	3654	2.69	31.91		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	1.87	63.49	20.95	57.40	114.0	675	0.10	265.82	0.22	0.74	0.59		
		601A (B.O.)			48.29	3654	2.69	31.91		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	63.49	20.95	57.40	81.5	675	0.10	265.82	0.22	0.74	0.59		
TRUNK 4 (BY OTHERS)																										
Contribution from Pump Station (via Forcemain)																		Fixed Flow =	147.37							
		8000A (B.O.)	3.17	349	51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	3.17	66.66	22.00	208.50	111.50	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8001A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	111.50	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8002A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	111.50	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8003A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	111.50	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8004A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	111.50	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8005A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	111.50	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8006A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	117.98	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		8007A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	370.07	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
		514A (B.O.)			51.46	4003	2.67	34.59		3.83	2.60	8.77	4.54	0.00	66.66	22.00	208.50	81.06	750	0.10	352.05	0.59	0.80	0.83		
					51.46	4003											66.66		208.50							
TRUNK 1B																										
		403A	0.50	55	0.50	55	3.44	0.61								0.50	0.50	0.17	0.78	118.0	200	0.34	19.12	0.04	0.61	0.29
		404A	0.11	13	0.61	68	3.43	0.76								0.11	0.61	0.20	0.96	62.0	200	0.34	19.12	0.05	0.61	0.31
		405A	0.04	5	0.65	73	3.42	0.81								0.04	0.65	0.21	1.02	11.0	200	0.34	19.12	0.05	0.61	0.32
		406A	0.45	50	1.10	123	3.37	1.35								0.45	1.10	0.36	1.71	108.0	200	0.34	19.12	0.09	0.61	0.37
			1.99	219	3.09	342										1.99	3.09									
		412A	0.31	21	3.40	363	3.23	3.80								0.31	3.40	1.12	4.93	71.0	200	0.34	19.12	0.26	0.61	0.51
		413A	1.48	97	4.88	460	3.19	4.76								1.48	4.88	1.61	6.37	76.0	200	0.34	19.12	0.33	0.61	0.55
		428A	Ex. 110A	308																						



The sanitary flows from the park (Block 247) can be estimated based on the information contained in City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Appendix 4-A - Daily Sewage Flow For Various Establishments. The amount of people estimated for this park is 75 people/acre and the estimated flow is 50 L/s/day. This calculates to be a peak flow of 9300 L/ha/day. For the park block with an area of 0.80 ha, the peak population flow is 0.35 L/s when applying a peaking factor of 1.5. An allowance of 5.0 L/s has been provided should the City want to incorporate a splash pad in the park. The sanitary drainage area plans and design sheets for Summerside West – Phase 1 are enclosed in **Appendix C**.

A sanitary trunk was designed and constructed with Phase 1 through the existing SWM facility block to service Phase 2.

#### 4.2.1 External Flows

There is a future school block southwest of Phase 2, which is serviced through the site. The flows are estimated as follows:

- Area = 5.61 ha
- Institutional average flow = 50,000 L/ha/day
- Institutional peak factor = 1.5
- Peak population flow = 4.87 L/s
- Peak infiltration flow = 1.57 L/s
- Total peak flow from future school block = 6.44 L/s

Refer to the sanitary drainage area plans and design sheets, enclosed in **Appendix C**.

#### 4.2.2 Design Flows

The peak sanitary flows from Summerside West – Phase 2 are as follows:

- Residential peak flow = 22.89 L/s;
- Park peak flow = 5.35 L/s (includes splash pad allowance); and
- School peak flow = 6.44 L/s

The total estimated sanitary peak flow from Summerside West – Phase 2 is 34.68 L/s. There is sufficient capacity in the downstream sanitary sewers and Tenth Line Road pump station.

#### 4.2.3 Sanitary Overflow

Please note that the sanitary hydraulic grade line (HGL) was evaluated for pump station failure in accordance with the **Sanitary Overflow Analysis for the Bisson Lands / Mer Bleue Road (Sanitary Overflow Analysis)** by DSEL and JFSA dated August 2015.



- The design includes sanitary servicing for a school block, which is located south west of Phase 2. This deviates from the **Bisson MSS** as this south west corner was anticipated to be residential future lands.
- A new sanitary overflow has been added to the Avalon West SWM Pond to provide HGL protection for the Phase 2 lands.
- The peak flow from Summerside West, Phase 2 is calculated to be 34.68 L/s, which is in general conformance with the **Bisson MSS** estimate of 29.74 L/s. The additional flows can be attributed to the inclusion of the school block through the Phase 2 lands.

#### 4.4 Wastewater Servicing Conclusion

Summerside West - Phase 2 outlets to the existing Tenth Line Road Pump Station via a trunk sewer which extends across the pond block and the Untraveled Road Allowance.

The sanitary sewers have been designed in accordance with City of Ottawa standards. The sanitary design generally conforms to the **Bisson MSS** with the exception being the sanitary servicing for the school block in the southwest corner, which was expected to be future residential. The sanitary sewers in Phase 1 were designed to accept flows from Summerside West – Phase 2.

The MOECC approved capacity of the Tenth Line Pump Station and downstream sewer system will support Summerside West – Phase 2.

### 5.0 STORMWATER CONVEYANCE

#### 5.1 Existing Conditions

Summerside West – Phase 2 is located within the McKinnon's Creek Watershed and is subject to regulations of the South Nation Conservation (SNC).

Further details of the existing conditions of the overall site are contained in the **Bisson MSS** and **Bisson ESMP**.

There is an existing interim SWM facility at the location of the ultimate SWM facility, on a block dedicated to the City of Ottawa by Mattamy Homes. The ultimate SWM facility is approved and currently under construction.

#### 5.2 Minor System

Summerside West – Phase 2 will be serviced by a conventional storm sewer system which will be designed in accordance with City of Ottawa standards. The storm sewers



are sized using a 5-year return frequency and City of Ottawa IDF curves. The storm sewers outlet to the Avalon West (N5) SWM facility, which is currently under construction.

The inlet to the pond is depicted on **Figure 5**.

**Table 7** summarizes the relevant **City Standards** employed in the design of the proposed storm sewer system referred to as the minor system.

**Table 7: Storm Sewer Design Criteria**

Design Parameter	Value
Intensity Duration Frequency Curve (IDF) 5-year storm event. A = 998.071 B = 6.053 C = 0.814	$i = \frac{A}{(t_c + B)^C}$
Initial Time of Concentration	10 minutes
Rational Method	$Q = CiA$
Runoff coefficient for paved and roof areas	0.9
Runoff coefficient for landscaped areas	0.2
Storm sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} AR^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$
Minimum Sewer Size	250 mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013
Service Lateral Size	100 mm dia PVC SDR 28 with a minimum slope of 1.0%
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.0 m from crown of sewer to grade
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.8 m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0 m/s
<i>Extracted from Sections 5 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012.</i>	

The paved area and grassed area runoff coefficients of 0.9 and 0.2 were used to calculate average runoff coefficients that were applied across the site. The runoff coefficient calculations are enclosed in **Appendix E** for reference. The runoff calculations have been completed for Summerside West – Phase 2 to determine the average for each lot type.

The storm system has been designed with capacity to service the school block, which is 5.61 ha in size. The service is restricted to the 5-year flow in the storm system and the major system is designed to be controlled on-site, in conformance with the Avalon West SWM Facility design.



The storm drainage area plan and storm sewer design sheet are enclosed in **Appendix F** for reference. The peak flow based on the Rational Method from the trunk sewer to the SWM Facility is 2889 L/s.

Inlet control devices (ICDs) will be employed to ensure that storm flows entering the minor system are limited to the 5-year peak storm flow. A detailed hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis has been completed and underside of footing elevations have been set at a minimum of 0.30 m above the 100-year HGL elevation. The HGL results are presented in the **Stormwater Management Report for Summerside West Phase 2** (J.F. Sabourin and Associates, April 2016).

It is noted that based on the Rational Method storm design sheets in **Appendix F**, that some of the storm pipes are oversized for the 5-year storm. These storm sewers were oversized based on recommendations from JFSA to try to keep the HGL flat. This situation has occurred due to the pond level and the presence of submerged pipes.

### 5.2.1 McKinnon's Creek Diversion

As described in the **Bisson MSS** and **Bisson ESMP**, McKinnon's Creek currently bisects the Summerside West Lands and there is flood plain associated as illustrated in the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-law. This section of McKinnon's Creek previously conveyed a 103.4 ha area, west of Mer Bleue Road, which was known as "Area 1". Ultimately, based on past studies, Area 1 will be diverted from the McKinnon's Creek watershed to the Mud Creek watershed. In the interim, McKinnon's Creek will be diverted along the Untraveled Road Allowance, discharging to the existing Western Trunk Sewer to the Avalon West (N5) SWM Pond. It has been confirmed that there is capacity in the Avalon West SWM Pond for the Area 1 flows.

Past studies have been completed to update regulatory floodplain levels, including a diversion of flows west of Mer Bleue Road (Area 1) from the McKinnon's Creek watershed to the Mud Creek watershed.

The details of the plans to divert McKinnon's Creek are shown on the following drawings, which are enclosed in **Appendix G**:

- Sheet 34 – Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Stage 1 (DSEL, Rev 1, 16-04-29); and
- Sheet 36 – Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Details (DSEL, Rev 1, 16-04-29)

As shown on Sheet 34, McKinnon's Creek will remain from Mer Bleue through the Minto Lands to the Untraveled Road Allowance (Existing McKinnon's Creek M-1). As previously noted, Minto and their consultants will have to confirm how the flows will be conveyed through or around the future Neighbourhood 5 development. McKinnon's



Creek cannot be completely redirected through the Untraveled Road Allowance due to presence of existing homes fronting Mer Bleue.

McKinnon's Creek will be redirected at the Untraveled Road Allowance via cut-off swales (Cut-Off Swale M-2 and Cut-Off Swale M-3) and discharge to a 1500 mm diameter culvert.

Flows captured are captured based on the existing ditches described above. It is proposed that Existing Ditch G-2 is conveyed across the construction access road via a culvert. The flows from Existing Ditch G-2 and G-1 converge and are conveyed to the Untraveled Road Allowance and discharge to a 1500 mm diameter culvert, which then discharges to the existing Western Storm Trunk at MH 690 to the Avalon West SWM Facility.

Refer to **Appendix G** for peak design flow and capacity calculations for the diversion ditches and proposed culvert. The peak 100-year flow to ditches M-2 and M-3 (McKinnon's Creek Realignment) is 5.288 m<sup>3</sup>/s. The proposed ditches are 3.0 m wide, 1.5 m deep with 3:1 side slopes. The slope ranges from 0.38% to 0.43% providing capacities of 5.306 m<sup>3</sup>/s and 5.368 m<sup>3</sup>/s. This indicates that there is capacity in diversion channel M-2 and M-3 for the 100-year peak design flow.

The peak 5- year flows to the ditches to the north, along Gerry Lalonde Drive, are all conveyed through the existing and proposed ditches, which converge with the McKinnon's Creek diversion. Please refer to **Appendix G** for peak design flow and capacity calculations for existing ditches G-1, G-2, regraded ditch G-3 and proposed ditches G-4, G-5 and G-6.

The 1500 mm diameter CSP culvert has been designed conservatively to convey the 100-year peak flow from McKinnon's Creek. The capacity of the 1500 mm diameter pipe at 2.50% slope is 6054 L/s, which has capacity to convey the 100-year peak flow of 5288 L/s. Please refer to **Appendix G** for the Rational Method calculation sheet for the culvert.

### 5.2.2 Temporary Flow Controls

As per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, temporary flow controls are required for both the sanitary and storm sewer during construction.

Temporary circular vertical orifices are proposed at the outlets of the storm MH 38 and sanitary MH 38A during construction. The details of the temporary flow controls for MH 38 and 38A are provided on Sheet 26 – Plan and Profile of Block 244. The details of the temporary flow controls for MH. The temporary flow control calculation sheets are enclosed in **Appendix H** for reference.



Before the temporary orifice controls are removed, the inlet control devices must be installed and certified in individual subdivision catch basins as per the approved design. The temporary orifice controls must be removed before any upstream homes are occupied.

### 5.3 Stormwater Management Design Criteria

#### 5.3.1 Quality Control Targets

As established in the **Bisson MSS** (DSEL, November 2014), stormwater management (SWM) criteria have been established on the basis of aquatic habitat protection and the sensitivity of receiving watercourses. Based on the recommendations of background studies, post development stormwater runoff will generally be required to meet the following objectives:

- SWM facilities tributary to McKinnon's Creek are to be designed to provide an 'Enhanced' Level of Protection or 80% total suspended solids (TSS) removal in accordance with the MOE Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual (March, 2003).

#### 5.3.2 Quantity Control Targets

Based on recommendations of background studies, post development stormwater runoff will generally be required to meet the following objectives:

- Flow control is required in McKinnon's Creek at "Point C" as per the Avalon West (Neighbourhood 5) SWM Facility Design (south of Wall Road at the McKinnon's Creek sub-watershed boundary).

### 5.4 Stormwater Management

The stormwater management design is further detailed in the **Stormwater Management Report for Summerside West Phase 2** report by J.F. Sabourin and Associates, April 2016).

The Avalon West (Neighbourhood 5) Stormwater Management Facility has been designed by IBI Group. Refer to the **Avalon West (Neighbourhood 5), Stormwater Management Facility Design** by IBI Group, October 2013. The subsequent **Update to Avalon West Stormwater Management Facility Design Report: Proposed Mattamy Bisson Lands** by IBI Group, November 2014 established that the facility is sufficiently sized to service all of Summerside West, including the Phase 2 lands.

The modelling of the SWM facility and associated drainage area was updated by IBI Group in April 2016. Among other changes, IBI Group reduced the 103.4 ha rural area to 14.43 ha to reflect the future diversion of a portion of the drainage area to Mud Creek;



however, the DSEL engineering submission package estimates a more conservative reduction to 22.73 ha and the model was revised accordingly for the present study. It is expected, based on current approval status, that the external flows will be reduced prior to the completion of Summerside West – Phase 2.

The full update to the Avalon West SWM Facility Modeling is described in the **Phase 2 SWM Report**.

#### 5.4.1 Quality Control Analysis

As described in the **Phase 2 SWM Report**, there is capacity in the Avalon West (N5) SWM Facility for Summerside West – Phase 2. It has been demonstrated that the Avalon West (N5) SWM facility adheres to the 2003 MOE SWM Design Guidelines and meets the design objectives, including quality and quantity control objectives for Summerside West – Phase 2.

As noted in the **Phase 2 SWM Report**, the overall urban lands (including Summerside West) tributary to the Avalon West (N5) SWM Facility is 214.18 ha at 58% imperviousness. For the purposes of calculating required quality control volumes, 103.4 ha of undeveloped Area 1 (rural area which will ultimately be developed and redirected to the Mud Creek watershed) and the 11.96 ha pond block were excluded.

The required permanent pool and active quality control volumes required to provide enhanced protection (80% long term total suspended solids removal) for this area are 33,626 m<sup>3</sup> and 8,593 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

As per the November 2014 *Avalon West Report Update*, the proposed facility provides sufficient permanent pool and active quality control volumes of 110,786 m<sup>3</sup> and 23,213 m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. Further characteristics of the Avalon West (N5) SWM Facility are detailed **Avalon West Report**.

During the course of detailed design of the proposed development, it was determined that the proposed 15.95 ha Summerside West – Phase 2 development has an average imperviousness of 54%.

#### 5.4.2 Quantity Control Analysis

As noted in the **Bisson MSS** (DSEL, November 2014), the future post-development peak flows on McKinnon's Creek should be controlled to pre-development peak flows at Control Point C.

Refer to the **Phase 2 SWM Report** for a complete quantity control analysis. A comparison of the flows on McKinnon's Creek under pre-development, interim and post-development conditions is presented in **Table 8**.