

# **Geotechnical Investigation**

Proposed Addition -AY Jackson High School, 150 Abbey Hill Drive, Ottawa, ON OCDSB EXP SOA # 24-008

## Client:

Ottawa Carleton District School Board 1224 Stittsville Main Street. Stittsville, ON K2S 0E2

**Type of Document:** FINAL REPORT, REVISION 2

#### Project Number: OTT-23012778-D0

#### **Prepared By:**

EXP Services Inc. 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8H6 Canada

#### Date Submitted:

June 13, 2025 (supersedes April 30. 2025 report)

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 12, 2025

# **Table of Contents**

Execu	tive Summary		
1.	Introduction .		3
2.	Site Description	on	4
3.	Background Ir	nformation	5
4.	Geology of th	e Site	6
	4.1	Surficial Geology Map	6
	4.2	Bedrock Geology Map	6
5.	Procedure		7
	5.1	Test Hole Fieldwork	7
	5.2	Laboratory Testing Program	7
	5.3	Seismic Shear Wave Velocity Survey	8
6.	Subsurface Co	onditions and Groundwater Levels	9
	6.1	Topsoil	9
	6.2	Asphaltic Concrete and Granular Fill	9
	6.3	Fill	9
	6.4	Buried Topsoil/Organics	9
	6.5	Silty Sand	9
	6.6	Silty Clay	10
	6.7	Silty Sand Glacial Till	10
	6.8	Auger and DCPT Cone Refusal and Bedrock	11
	6.9	Groundwater Level Measurements	12
7.	Test Pit Invest	igation of Existing Founding Conditions	
8.	Site Classifica	tion for Seismic Site Response and Liquefaction Potential of Soils	
	8.1	Site Classification for Seismic Site Response	14
	8.2	Liquefaction Potential of Soils	14
9.	Grade Raise R	estrictions	
10.	Site Grading		16
11.	Foundation C	onsiderations	

		AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, C OTT-230123	vision 2 Ontario
12.	Floor Slab and	Drainage Requirements	19
13.	Excavation and	d De-Watering Requirements	20
	13.1	Excess Soil Management	20
	13.2	Excavations	20
	13.3	De-Watering Requirements	21
14.	Pipe Bedding F	Requirements	22
15.	Backfilling Req	uirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes	23
16.	Pavement Stru	actures and Concrete Sidewalks	24
	16.1	Pavement Structures	24
	16.2	Concrete Sidewalks and Plazas	25
17.	Corrosion Pote	ential	26
18.	New Sports Fie	eld Installation	27
19.	Tree Planting F	Restrictions	28
20.	Additional Con	nments	29
21.	General Comm	nents	30

# **List of Tables**

Table I: Summary of Laboratory Testing Program	8
Table II: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination – Silty Clay .	10
Table III: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination – Glacial Til	II 10
Table IV: Summary of Auger and DCPT Refusal and Bedrock Depths (Elevations) in Boreholes	11
Table V: Summary of Unconfined Compressive Strength Test Results – Bedrock Cores	12
Table VI: Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements	12
Table VII: Recommended Pavement Structure Thicknesses	24
Table VIII: Corrosion Test Results on Soil Samples	26



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## **List of Figures**

- Figure 1 Site Location Plan
- Figures 2 and 3 Borehole Location Plan
- Figure 4 Test Pit Log
- Figures 5 to 19 Boreholes Logs

Figures 20 to 23 - Grain-size Distribution Curves

## **List of Appendices**

Appendix A – MASW seismic survey Appendix B – Bedrock Core Photographs Appendix C – Laboratory Certificate of Analysis Report Legal Notification List of Distribution



<sup>%</sup>ехр.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## **Executive Summary**

#### Introduction

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation completed for the proposed addition to the AY Jackson High School, located at 150 Abbey Hill Drive, Ottawa, ON (Figure 1). The terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP's proposal number: OTT-23012778-D0 dated April 25, 2024, and authorized by the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) by PO 333240056958, dated May 10, 2024. This report supersedes the final report submitted on December 18, 2024.

#### **Proposed Development**

A review of architectural drawing A202, titled "Life Safety Plan" and drawing A008, titled "Site Demolition Plan", each dated February 17, 2025, by Edward J. Cuhaci and Associates Architects Inc. (EJC) indicate the proposed addition will comprise of a 2,313 m<sup>2</sup> two-storey slab on grade structure to be constructed on the east of the existing school building. The finished floor elevation (FFE) of the proposed addition based on civil drawing by Jp2g Consultants Inc. (Jp2g), dated June 1, 2025, will be at Elevation 106.20 m with the design underside of footing (USF) elevation and Elevation 104.55 m. Structural drawing S300, titled "Sections", by Cunliffe & Associates Inc (C&A), dated June 12, 2025, indicates that the underside of footing (USF) elevation will match the existing footing elevations, noted in the civil drawing set to be at Elevation 105.0 m. A preliminary topographical survey of the site by Farley, Smith & Denis Surveying Ltd. (FSD), on April 29, 2024, was also provided to EXP.

A review of the Jp2g civil drawing set dated June 1, 2025, indicates that the drawings are in general conformance with the recommendation of this geotechnical report.

Portables and basketball courts currently located within the proposed addition footprint will have to relocated to allow for the construction of the proposed addition.

#### **Borehole Fieldwork Program**

The fieldwork for this geotechnical investigation was undertaken between June 27 to July 4, 2024, and consists of the drilling of fifteen (15) boreholes (Borehole Nos. 1 to 15) to auger refusal and termination depths ranging from 3.4 m to 7.2 m below the existing grade and the excavation of one test pit (Test Pit No. 1) to a depth of 1.85 m below the existing grade. The borehole and test pit fieldwork were supervised on a full-time basis by EXP. The locations of the testholes were established by EXP and surveyed as part of the FSD topographical survey. The locations and geodetic elevations are shown on the borehole location plan, Figure 2.

#### **Subsurface Conditions**

The borehole information indicates the subsurface conditions consist of a surficial topsoil or asphaltic concrete layer underlain by fill. A buried topsoil/organics layer was present in two of the boreholes. The fill or buried topsoil/organic layer was underlain by silty clay which extends to extends to 2.1 m to 4.0 m depths (Elevation 104.0 m to 100.2 m). In some of the boreholes a layer of silty sand was present between the fill and the silty clay. A layer of glacial till was present underlying the silty clay and was present to the depth of auger refusal, met at 2.9 m to 7.2 m depths (Elevation 103.3 m to Elevation 97.9 m). Two of the boreholes were extended past the depth of auger refusal and sandstone bedrock was proven to be present at 3.3 m and 4.4 m (Elevation 102.9 m and 101.3 m). The groundwater level within the standpipes ranges from 1.2 m to 1.9 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 103.9 m).

A test pit (Test Pit No. 1) was excavated at the eastern extend of the existing building for the purpose of exposing the existing foundations and assessing the founding type and founding conditions. The test pit revealed that the existing school building at the location of the test pit is supported by strip footing at a depth of 1.7 m (Elevation 105.1) set on a concrete mud slab placed on native silty clay at 1.85 m depth (Elevation 105.0). The test pit was dry upon completion of excavation. Details of the findings in the test pit is presented in Figure 4.

#### **Geotechnical Comments and Recommendations**

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

Seismic shear wave survey conducted at the site indicates a site classification for seismic response of **Class C.** The subsurface soils are not considered to be liquefiable during a seismic event.

A grade raise of up to 1.0 m is considered to be permissible from a geotechnical point of view.

Footings founded on the native silty clay or on an engineered fill pad constructed on the native silty clay at or below Elevation 105.0 m may be designed for a bearing capacity at serviceability limit state (SLS) of 80 kPa and factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of 130 kPa. The total and differential settlements of well designed and constructed footings placed in accordance with the above recommendations are expected to be less than 25 mm and 19 mm respectively. The SLS and factored ULS values are valid provided the site grade raise discussed in Section 9 is respected. It should be noted that according to the boreholes data, fill or loose silty sand may be present at the proposed underside of footing in certain locations. If this the case, all fill and loose silty sand should be removed down the native silty clay and the area raised using engineered fill placed and compacted as described in the main body of the report.

It is recommended that the footings of the addition that are immediately adjacent to the footings of the existing school building be set at the same level as the existing footings to eliminate the need for underpinning. Based on the results of the test pit investigation, the mud slab supporting the underside of the exposed footing was determined to be at 1.85 m depth (Elevation 105.0 m). This is subject to confirmation that the founding soil at the same level as the existing footings is capable of supporting the recommended SLS and factored ULS values noted above. If deeper excavation is required for new footings located adjacent to existing footings, underpinning of the existing footings may be required.

A perimeter drainage system should be provided around the proposed addition. An underfloor drainage system will not be required for the proposed school addition.

Excavations in overburden may be undertaken by conventional heavy mechanical equipment and should comply with the most recent Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario Regulations 213/91 (August 1, 1991). Excavation for the construction of footings and the installation of underground services are anticipated to extend to a maximum depth of 3.0 m below the existing grade and will extend through the topsoil, fill and silty sand into the native silty clay or glacial till. The groundwater level ranges from 1.2 m to 1.9 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 103.9 m) at the standpipe locations. Excavations for footings at the same elevation as the existing footings and mud slabs, at approximately Elevation 105.0 m are anticipated to be above groundwater level. Excavations for underground services are anticipated to be below the groundwater level.

It is anticipated that the majority of the material required for backfilling purposes in the interior and exterior of the proposed building and for trench backfill would have to be imported and should preferably conform to Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) 1010 Granular B Type II and OPSS 1010 Select Subgrade Material (SSM) specifications. Portions of the existing granular fill (free of debris, topsoil (organic soil), cobbles and boulders) from above the groundwater table may be able to be re-used as a SSM material. The suitability of re-using these soils should be assessed during early stages of construction.

Pavement structure for light duty traffic areas should consist of 65 mm thick asphaltic concrete, 150 mm thick OPSS Granular A base and 450 mm thick OPSS Granular B Type II subbase. Pavement structure for heavy duty traffic areas should consist of 110 mm thick asphaltic concrete, 150 mm thick OPSS Granular A base and 600 mm thick OPSS Granular B Type II subbase. Concrete sidewalks should be designed and constructed in accordance with OPSS specification OPSS.MUNI 351. The recommended granular structure beneath the concrete sidewalks should consist of 150 mm thick OPSS Granular A overlying 300 mm thick OPSS Granular B Type II placed on competent subgrade. Concrete should consist of 32 MPa air entrained concrete with a welded wire mech and a minimum thickness of 150 mm.

A landscape architect should be consulted for the design of the new sport facility.

The above and other related considerations are discussed in greater detail in the main body of the attached report.

This executive summary is a brief synopsis of the attached preliminary geotechnical report and should not be read in lieu of reading the attached report in its entirety.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 1. Introduction

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation completed for the proposed addition to the AY Jackson High School, located at 150 Abbey Hill Drive, Ottawa, ON (Figure 1). The terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP's proposal number: OTT-23012778-D0 dated April 25, 2024, and authorized by the Ottawa-Carleton District School Board (OCDSB) by PO 333240056958, dated May 10, 2024. This report supersedes the final report submitted on December 18, 2024.

A review of architectural drawing A202, titled "Life Safety Plan" and drawing A008, titled "Site Demolition Plan", each dated February 17, 2025, by Edward J. Cuhaci and Associates Architects Inc. (EJC) indicate the proposed addition will comprise of a 2,313 m<sup>2</sup> two-storey slab on grade structure to be constructed on the east of the existing school building. The finished floor elevation (FFE) of the proposed addition based on civil drawing by Jp2g Consultants Inc. (Jp2g), dated June 1, 2025, will be at Elevation 106.20 m with the design underside of footing (USF) elevation and Elevation 104.55 m. Structural drawing S300, titled "Sections", by Cunliffe & Associates Inc (C&A), dated June 12, 2025, indicates that the underside of footing (USF) elevation will match the existing footing elevations, noted in the civil drawing set to be at Elevation 105.0 m. A preliminary topographical survey of the site by Farley, Smith & Denis Surveying Ltd. (FSD), on April 29, 2024, was also provided to EXP.

A review of the Jp2g civil drawing set dated June 1, 2025, indicates that the drawings are in general conformance with the recommendation of this geotechnical report.

Portables and basketball courts currently located within the proposed addition footprint will have to relocated to allow for the construction of the proposed addition.

The geotechnical investigation was undertaken to:

- a) Establish the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions at fifteen (15) borehole locations,
- b) Establish the details regarding the existing foundations at one (1) test pit location,
- c) Classify the site for seismic site response in accordance with the requirements of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (as amended January 1, 2022) and assess the potential for liquefaction of the subsurface soils during a seismic event,
- d) Comment on grade-raise restrictions and provide site grading requirements,
- e) Make recommendations regarding the most suitable type of foundations, founding depth and bearing pressure at serviceability limit state (SLS) and factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of the founding strata and comment on the anticipated total and differential settlements of the recommended foundation type,
- f) Provide comments regarding slab-on-grade construction and the requirement for perimeter and underfloor drainage systems
- g) Comment on excavation conditions and de-watering requirements during construction,
- h) Provide pipe bedding requirements for underground services,
- i) Discuss backfilling requirements and suitability of on-site soils for backfilling purposes,
- j) Recommend pavement structure thicknesses for access roads and parking lots, and
- k) Recommend concrete pavement structure thicknesses for sidewalks and plaza, and
- Comment on subsurface concrete requirements and corrosion potential of subsurface soils to buried metal structures/members;

The comments and recommendations given in this report are based on the assumption that the above-described design concepts will proceed into construction. If changes are made either in the design phase or during construction, this office must be retained to review these modifications. The result of this review may be a modification of our recommendations, or it may require additional field or laboratory work to check whether the changes are acceptable from a geotechnical viewpoint.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 2. Site Description

The AY Jackson High School is bounded to the west by Abbey Hill Drive and to the north, south and east by residential developments. The existing building consists of a two-storey structure with no basement and has a Finished Floor Elevation (FFE) of Elevation 106.20 m.

To the east of the existing school building are two rows of portables. An asphaltic concrete parking lot is present to the south of the existing building. The remainer school property is occupied by grass covered areas, sports fields and an oval shaped track.

The elevations of the boreholes on the site range from Elevation 106.17 m to Elevation 103.87 m and based on the FSD topographical survey the grade of the site generally slopes downwards from the northwest to the southeast.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 3. Background Information

A drawing from John D. Patterson and Associated Ltd. (Patterson), titled "Borehole Information", drawing number 0-412, dated January 29, 1975, was provided as background material. The drawing contained a borehole location plan as well as graphical borehole information for the boreholes drilled during the geotechnical investigation for the existing school building. A total of nine (9) boreholes were drilled (Borehole Nos. 1 to 3, 5 to 7 and 9 to 11) with borehole elevations ranging from Elevation 106.1 m to Elevation 105.6 m. Using the provided scale, the thickness of the subsurface layers encountered in the boreholes were measured. Where referenced in this report, the prefix "75-" has been added to the borehole number.

Borehole Nos. 75-3, 75-6 and 75-9 are within or near the proposed addition footprint. The following is a summary of the relevant borehole logs:

- A layer of fill, 0.2 m to 0.3 m thick, was present at the surface and was underlain by native silty clay
- The silty clay extended to 2.0 m depth (Elevation 104.1 m to Elevation 103.6 m)
- Glacial till was encountered underlying the silty clay and extended to the depth of auger refusal, encountered at 3.7 m to 4.3 m depths (Elevation 102.0 m to Elevation 101.3 m)

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 4. Geology of the Site

## 4.1 Surficial Geology Map

The surficial geology was reviewed via the Google Earth applications published by the Ontario Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines available via www.mndm.gov.on.ca/en/mines-and-minerals/applications/ogsearth/surficial-geology and was last modified on May 23, 2017. The map indicates that beneath any fill the site is underlain by fine-textured glaciomarine deposits consisting of silt and clay with minor sand and gravel. Stone poor sandy silt to silty sand textured glacial till on Paleozoic terrain is expected to underly the silty clay. The surficial deposits are shown in Image 1 below.



Fine-textured glaciomarine deposits: silt and clay, minor sand and gravel

Stone-poor, sandy silt to silty sand-textured till on Paleozoic terrain

Image 1 – Surficial Geology

## 4.2 Bedrock Geology Map

The bedrock geology was reviewed via the Google Earth applications published by the Ontario Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines available via http://www.geologyontario.mndm.gov.on.ca/mines/data/google/MRD219/geology/ doc.kml and publish in 2007. The map indicates that the bedrock at the site is expected to be sandstone and minor conglomerate of the Nepean formation. Sandstone, dolomitic sandstone and dolostone of the March formation and limestone and shale of the Verulam Formation are present near the site.



Image 2 – Bedrock Geology



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 5. Procedure

#### **5.1 Test Hole Fieldwork**

The fieldwork for this geotechnical investigation was undertaken between June 27 to July 4, 2024, and consists of fifteen (15) boreholes (Borehole Nos. 1 to 15) to auger refusal and termination depths ranging from 3.4 m to 7.2 m below the existing grade and the excavation of a test pit (Test Pit No. 1) to a depth of 1.85 m below the existing grade. The fieldwork was supervised on a full-time basis by EXP.

Borehole Nos. 1 to 8 are located within the proposed addition footprint, Borehole No. 9 is located on the laneway north of the proposed addition, Boreholes Nos. 10 to 12 are located in the proposed parking area and Borehole Nos. 14 and 15 are located within the proposed baseball diamond.

The locations of the test holes were established by EXP. The geodetic elevations of the boreholes were surveyed by FSD as part of the topographical survey. The borehole locations and ground surface elevations are shown on the borehole location plan, Figure 2.

The boreholes were drilled using a CME-55 truck-mounted drill rig or a CME 55LC rubber track-mounted drill rig, each equipped with continuous flight hollow-stem auger equipment and rock coring capabilities and operated by a drilling contractor subcontracted to EXP. Standard penetration tests (SPTs) were performed in all the boreholes at 0.6 m to 1.5 m depth intervals and soil samples were retrieved by the split-spoon sampler. The undrained shear strengths of the clayey cohesive soils were measured by conducting in-situ vane tests and selected samples were also tested with a penetrometer. Dynamic cone penetration tests (DCPT) were conducted next to Borehole Nos. 2, 4, 7 and 8 and encountered cone refusal at depths of 2.7 m to 7.2 m below the existing grade. Two (2) boreholes were advanced beyond the depth of refusal to augers by conventional coring techniques using the N-size core barrel. A field record of wash water return, colour of wash water and any sudden drops of the drill rods were kept during rock coring operations. The subsurface soil conditions in each borehole were logged with each soil sample placed in labelled plastic bags. Similarly, the rock cores were visually examined, placed in core boxes, identified, and logged.

Nineteen (19) mm diameter standpipes were installed in selected boreholes for long-term monitoring of the groundwater table. The standpipes were installed in accordance with EXP standard practice, and the installation configuration is documented on the respective borehole log. The boreholes were backfilled upon completion of drilling and completion of the installation of the standpipes.

The test pit was excavated using a CAT 420F rubber tire backhoe to a depth of 1.85 m in order to expose the existing foundation and confirm the founding material. Samples of the soils exposed in the test pit were collected at selected depth intervals. The test pit was backfilled upon completion of excavating operations and the backfill was nominally packed in place using the backhoe bucket.

#### 5.2 Laboratory Testing Program

On completion of the borehole fieldwork, the soil and rock samples were transported to the EXP laboratory in Ottawa and borehole logs prepared. The soils are classified by their main constituents in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) using the soil group name and symbol and by the modified Burmister soil classification method for the classification of the minor constituents using adjectives and modifiers such as trace and some. The rock cores were visually examined by the geotechnical engineer and logged in general accordance with the 2023 Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM) Fifth Edition. Photographs were taken of the bedrock cores and are presented in Appendix B.

A summary of the soil sample and rock core laboratory testing program is shown in Table I.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

Table I: Summary of Laboratory Testing Program					
Type of Test	Number of Tests Completed				
Soil S	amples				
Moisture Content Determination	76				
Grain Size Analysis	4				
Atterberg Limit Determination	4				
Corrosion Analysis (pH, sulphate, chloride and resistivity)	2				
Rock	Samples				
Unconfined Compressive Strength and Unit Weight Determination	2				

## 5.3 Seismic Shear Wave Velocity Survey

A seismic shear wave velocity survey consisting of one (1) survey line was conducted at the site on July 11,2024 by Geophysics GPR International Inc. (GPR). The purpose of the seismic shear wave survey was to determine the shear wave velocity of the site and based on the shear wave velocity provide the site classification for seismic site response. The seismic shear wave velocity survey report prepared by GPR is shown in Appendix A.

<sup>»</sup>ехр.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 6. Subsurface Conditions and Groundwater Levels

A detailed description of the subsurface conditions and groundwater levels from the boreholes are given on the attached Borehole Logs, Figures 5 to 19. The borehole logs and related information depict subsurface conditions only at the specific locations and at the times indicated. Subsurface conditions and water levels at other locations may differ from conditions at the locations where sampling was conducted. The passage of time also may result in changes in the conditions interpreted to exist at the locations where sampling was conducted.

Boreholes were drilled to provide representation of subsurface conditions as part of a geotechnical exploration program and are not intended to provide evidence of potential environmental conditions.

It should be noted that the soil boundaries indicated on the borehole logs are inferred observations during drilling operations. These boundaries are intended to reflect approximate transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design and should not be interpreted as exact planes of geological change. The "Notes on Sample Descriptions" preceding the borehole logs form an integral part of this report and should be read in conjunction with this report.

A review of the borehole logs indicates the following subsurface conditions with depth and groundwater level measurements.

## 6.1 Topsoil

A 180 mm to 300 mm thick surficial topsoil layer was encountered in Borehole Nos. 4, 5, 7 and 11 to 15.

## 6.2 Asphaltic Concrete and Granular Fill

A 50 mm to 100 mm thick asphaltic concrete layer was encountered in Borehole Nos. 1, 3, 6, 8 and 9. The asphaltic concrete underlain by granular fill consisting of sand with crushed gravel and extended to depths ranging from 500 mm to 900 mm (Elevation 105.5 m to Elevation 105.1 m) and is underlain by a geotextile. Granular fill was also encountered at the surface in Borehole No. 2 and extended to a depth of 250 mm below the existing ground surface (Elevation 105.5 m). The granular fill is in a loose to dense state based on standard penetration tests (SPT) N-values ranging from 6 to 30. The moisture content ranges from 2 to 6 percent.

#### 6.3 Fill

Fill was contacted underlying the ground surface in Borehole No. 10 and underlying the topsoil or granular fill in Borehole Nos. 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11 and 13 to 15. The fill extends to 0.25 m to 0.9 m depths (Elevation 105.5 m to Elevation 103.3 m). The fill consists of silty clay with sand. The fill in a loose to compact state based on standard penetration tests (SPT) N-values ranging from 6 to 13. The moisture content ranges from 14 to 26 percent.

Fill was not encountered in Borehole No. 12.

## 6.4 Buried Topsoil/Organics

In Borehole Nos. 2 and 10 a buried layer of topsoil or an organic layer was encountered at 0.25 m depth (Elevation 105.5 m and Elevation 105.0 m) and was 150 mm and 350 mm thick in Borehole Nos. 2 and 10, respectively. In Borehole No. 2 a further layer of fill, extending to 0.9 m depth (Elevation 104.8 m) was encountered underlying the topsoil/organics.

## 6.5 Silty Sand

Silty sand was contacted in Borehole Nos. 4, 10 and 12 underlying the fill or topsoil and extends to 0.6 m to 1.2 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 104.0 m). The of silty sand contains varying amounts of gravel. The silty sand is in a loose state based on standard penetration tests (SPT) N-values ranging from 6 to 8. The moisture content of the silty sand ranges from 20 percent to 24 percent.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 6.6 Silty Clay

A silty clay layer was contacted below the fill or the silty sand in all the boreholes and extends to 2.1 m to 4.0 depths (Elevation 104.0 m to 100.2 m). The undrained shear strength of the silty clay generally ranges from 72 kPa to 250 kPa, indicating a stiff to hard consistency. In Borehole Nos. 11 and 15, the silty clay below 3.0 m and 3.1 m depths (Elevation 101.7 m and Elevation 101.3 m), respectively, had undrained shear strengths of 38 kPa and 43 kPa, respectively, indicating a firm consistency. The natural moisture content ranges from 20 percent to 64 percent.

Borehole Nos. 11, 12, 14 and 15 terminated within the silty clay at 3.4 m to 4.0 m depth (Elevation 101.0 m to Elevation 100.2 m)

Results from grain-size analysis and Atterberg limit determination conducted on two (2) samples of the silty clay are summarized in Table II. The grain-size distribution curves are shown in Figures 20 and 21.

	Table II: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination – Silty Clay								
Borehole No.		Grain-Size Analysis (%) and Atterberg Limits							erg Limits
(BH) – Sample No. (SS)	Depth (m)	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Soil Classification (USCS)
BH1-SS3	1.5 - 2.1	0	1	56	43	41	17	24	Silty Clay of Medium Plasticity (CI), trace sand
BH7 - SS4	2.3 - 2.9	0	2	38	60	52	19	33	Silty Clay of High Plasticity (CH), trace sand

Based on a review of the results of the grain-size analysis and Atterberg limits, the soil may be classified as a silty clay of medium plasticity (CI) to a silty clay of high plasticity (CH), each with trace sand.

## 6.7 Silty Sand Glacial Till

The silty clay was underlain by glacial till in Borehole Nos. 1 to 10 and 13, encountered at 2.1 m to 3.0 m depths (Elevation 104.0 m to Elevation 102.1 m). The glacial till contains varying amounts of gravel, sand, silt and clay within the soil matrix as well as cobbles and boulders. Based on the SPT N-values of the weight of the SPT hammer to 31 the till is in a very loose to dense state. The moisture content of the glacial till is 4 percent to 36 percent.

The results from the grain-size analysis and Atterberg limit determination conducted on two (2) samples of the glacial till are summarized in Table III. The grain-size distribution curves are shown in Figures Nos. 22 and 23.

Table III: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination – Glacial Till									
			Grain-Size Analysis (%) and Atterberg Limits						
Borehole No. (BH) – Sample No. (SS)	Depth (m)	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Plasticity Index	Soil Classification (USCS)		
BH4 - SS5	3.0 - 3.6	7	47	35	11	Non-plastic	Silty Sand (SM), some clay and trace gravel		
BH8 - SS6	4.6 - 5.2	10	51	33	6	Non-plastic	Silty Sand (SM), trace clay and trace to some gravel		

Based on a review of the laboratory test results, the glacial till may be classified as a silty sand (SM) with trace to some clay and gravel.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 6.8 Auger and DCPT Cone Refusal and Bedrock

Auger refusal was met at 2.9 m to 7.2 m depths (Elevation 103.3 m to Elevation 97.9 m) in Borehole Nos. 1 to 9 and 75-3, 75-6 and 75-9. DCPT refusal was met at 2.7 m to 7.2 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 97.9 m) in Borehole Nos. 1, 4, 7 and 8.

Auger and DCPT refusal may indicate cobble/boulder within the glacial till or the bedrock surface.

A summary of the auger and DCPT refusal depths as well as the depth of bedrock confirmed by coring are shown in Table IV.

Tabl	e IV: Summary	of Auger and DCPT Re	fusal and Bedroc	k Depths (Elevations) in Boreholes
Borehole (BH) No.	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Refusal Depth (m) (Elevation(m))	Depth (Elevation) of Proven Bedrock (m)	Comment wrt to Depth (Elevation) of Bedrock Surface
BH24-01	106.09	3.8 (102.3)		Auger Refusal at 3.8 m depth
BH24-02	105.72	Auger - 4.4 (101.3) DCPT - 4.5 (101.2)	4.4 (101.3)	Auger Refusal at 4.4 m depth. DCPT Refusal at 4.5 m depth. 1.8 m of bedrock cored below 4.4 m depth
BH24-03	105.99	4.0 (102.0)		Auger Refusal at 4.0 m depth
BH24-04	105.59	DCPT - 2.7 (102.9) Auger - 3.4 (102.2)		DCPT Refusal at 2.7 m depth Auger Refusal at 3.4 m depth
BH24-05	105.73	3.4 (102.3)		Auger Refusal at 3.4 m depth
BH24-06	106.01	6.3 (99.7)		Auger Refusal at 6.3 m depth
BH24-07	105.10	7.2 (97.9)		Auger and DCPT Refusal at 7.2 m depth
BH24-08	105.63	DCPT - 4.9 (100.7) Auger - 5.3 (100.3)		DCPT Refusal at 4.9 m depth Auger Refusal at 5.3 m depth
BH24-09	106.17	2.9 (103.3)	3.3 (102.9)	Auger Refusal at 2.9 m depth. Cobbles and boulders from 2.9 m to 3.3 m depth. 0.7 m of bedrock cored below 3.3 m depth
BH24-10	105.20			Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m. No refusal
BH24-11	104.72			Borehole Terminated at 4.0 m. No refusal
BH24-12	104.62			Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m. No refusal
BH24-13	105.15			Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m. No refusal
BH24-14	103.87			Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m. No refusal
BH24-15	104.42			Borehole Terminated at 3.4 m. No refusal
BH 75-3	105.6	4.3 (101.3)		Auger Refusal at 4.3 m depth
BH 75-6	105.7	3.7 (102.0)		Auger Refusal at 3.7 m depth
BH 75-9	106.1	4.2 (101.9)		Auger Refusal at 4.2 m depth

Borehole Nos. 2 and 9 were advanced beyond the depth of auger refusal by conventional coring techniques using the N-size core barrel. A review borehole logs indicates that refusal depth in Borehole Nos. 9 at 2.9 m depth (Elevation 103.3 m) indicated cobbles or boulders within the glacial till. Sandstone bedrock of the Nepean Formation was encountered at 3.3 m depth (Elevation 102.9 m) in Borehole No. 9 and 4.4 m depth (Elevation 101.3 m), the depth of auger refusal, in Borehole No. 2.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

In Borehole No. 2, Run 2 and Borehole No. 9, Run 1, the total core recovery (TCR) ranges between 79 percent and 97 percent and the rock quality designation (RQD) ranges between 56 percent and 96 percent indicating a sandstone bedrock of a fair to excellent quality. The initial coring run in Borehole No. 2, from 4.4 m to 4.6 m depth (Elevation 101.3 m to Elevation 101.1 m), had a total recovery of 100% and an RQD of 0, indicating a bedrock of vey poor rock quality.

Photographs of the bedrock cores are included in Appendix B.

Unit weight determination and unconfined compressive strength tests were conducted on two (2) rock core samples. The test results are summarized in Table V.

Table V: Summary of Unconfined Compressive Strength Test Results – Bedrock Cores								
Borehole (BH) No. – Run No. Depth (m) Unit Weight (kN/m³) Unconfined Classification of Run No. (MPa)								
BH2 Run2	5.0-5.2	25.1	192.5	Very Strong R5				
BH9 Run1	3.4-3.5	25.0	90.7	Strong R4				

A review of the test results in Table V indicates the strength of the rock may be classified as very strong (R5) to strong (R4) in accordance with the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM), Fifth Edition, 2023.

## **6.9 Groundwater Level Measurements**

The groundwater level was measured in each borehole upon completion of drilling and ranges from 1.2 m to 3.9 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 101.0 m). Borehole Nos. 5 and 11 to 14 were found to be dry to 3.4 m to 4.0 m depths (Elevation 102.3 m to Elevation 100.7 m).

A summary of the groundwater level measurements taken in the boreholes equipped with standpipes is shown in Table VI.

Table VI: Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements								
Borehole No. (BH)	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Date of Measurement (Elapsed Time in Days from Date of Installation)	Screened Material	Depth Below Ground Surface (Elevation), m				
BH-1	106.09	June 17, 2024 (14 Days)	Glacial Till	1.9 (104.2)				
BH-4	105.59	June 17, 2024 (15 Days)	Silty Clay	1.2 (104.4)				
BH-8	105.63	June 17, 2024 (15 Days)	Glacial Till	1.7 (103.9)				

The groundwater level within the standpipes ranges from 1.2 m to 1.9 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 103.9 m).

The groundwater levels were determined in the boreholes at the time and under the condition stated in this report. Note that fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to a seasonal variation such as precipitation, snowmelt, rainfall activities, and other factors not evident at the time of measurement and therefore may be at a higher level during wet weather periods.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 7. Test Pit Investigation of Existing Founding Conditions

A test pit (Test Pit No. 1) was excavated at the eastern extent of the existing building for the purpose of exposing the existing foundations and assessing the founding type and founding conditions. The following observations were made:

- The foundation wall is a cast in place concrete wall
- The footing has a thickness of 200 mm and has an underside of footing (USF) elevation of Elevation 105.1 m
- The footing is founded on a mud slab, 150 mm in thickness, is founded on native silty clay at Elevation 105.0 m
- No perimeter drainage was noted
- The existing foundation wall was noted as not having any damp proofing or a drainage board.
- The test pit was dry upon completion of excavation

Detail of the findings in the test pit are presented in Figure 4.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 8. Site Classification for Seismic Site Response and Liquefaction Potential of Soils

## 8.1 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response

The results of the seismic shear wave survey conducted at the site are provided in the report attached in Appendix A. The survey indicates a shear wave velocity of 769.6 m/s. For footings placed on silty clay at similar depth to the existing footings, Table 4.1.8.4.A of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (as amended January 1, 2022) indicates a site classification for seismic response of **Class C.** 

## 8.2 Liquefaction Potential of Soils

The borehole investigation revealed the subsurface conditions within the area of the proposed addition generally comprises of fill overlain by stiff to hard clay over very loose to dense till in turn underlain by sandstone bedrock contacted at depths of 3.4 m to 7.2 m. The MASW conducted within the proposed addition revealed a shear wave velocity of 200 m/s or higher within the overburden deposit.

Based on a review of the borehole information and the seismic survey, it can be concluded that the subsurface soils are not considered to be susceptible to liquefaction during a seismic event.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 9. Grade Raise Restrictions

The FFE of the proposed addition is Elevation 106.20 m. Based on the borehole elevations within the proposed addition footprint, this will result in a grade raise of 0.1 m to 1.1 m.

From a geotechnical perspective, a grade raise of up to 1.2 m is considered to be permissible in conjunction with the footings designed in accordance with Section 11 of this report.

A review of the Jp2g civil drawings prepared for this project and dated June 1, 2025, indicates that they in general compliance with the recommendations of this report from a geotechnical view point.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 10. Site Grading

Borehole No. 2, located within the building footprint and Borehole No. 10, located within the proposed parking lot encountered a buried topsoil/organic layer which extend up to 0.6 m below the existing grade. This buried topsoil layer may exist in other areas of the site.

Site grading within the **proposed building addition footprint** should consist of the removal of all existing fill, surficial and buried topsoil (organic) layers and organic stained soils down to the native undisturbed material. The native subgrade should be examined and proofrolled if directed by a geotechnician. Any loose/soft areas identified during the subgrade examination should be excavated, removed and replaced with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II material compacted to 98 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD). Once the subgrade has been approved, the grades may be raised to the design underside footing and floor slab elevation by the construction of an engineered fill pad constructed in accordance with Section 11 of this report.

Site grading within the **proposed portable areas** should consist of the removal of all existing fill, surficial and buried topsoil (organic) layers and organic stained soils down to the native undisturbed material. The native subgrade should be examined and proof rolled in the presence of a geotechnician. Any loose/soft areas identified during the subgrade examination should be excavated, removed and replaced with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II material compacted to 98 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD). Once the subgrade has been approved, the grades may be raised to the design subgrade level by the construction of an engineered fill pad constructed in accordance with the procedure in Section 11 of this report.

Site grading within the **proposed sports field, concrete plaza, parking lot and access road areas** should consist of the removal surficial topsoil and organic stained soils down the native undisturbed material. The subgrade should be proofrolled in the presence of a geotechnician. It may be possible for the non-organic portion of existing fill to remain in place subject to examination during construction, however, for budgeting purposes, the contractor should assume that existing fill contains buried topsoil (organic) layers and/or organic stained soils and all of the fill will require removal and replacement with well-compacted fill. The necessity of the removal of the existing fill will be determined at the time of the proof rolling. Any loose/soft areas identified during the proofrolling process should be excavated, removed and replaced with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II or OPSS Select Subgrade Material (SSM) compacted to 95 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD). Alternatively, portions of the excavated and removed existing granular fill that is free of debris, cobbles, boulders and topsoil (organic soils), may be reused to raise the site grades to the design subgrade level. The suitability of re-using the existing fill to raise the grades will have to be further assessed at time of construction by examining the fill material and conducting additional tests on the material.

In place density tests should be performed on each lift of placed material to ensure that it has been compacted to the project specifications.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# **11. Foundation Considerations**

Borehole Nos. 1 to 8 and 75-6 are located within the proposed building footprint. Based on a review of the logs of the borehole within the building addition footprint, footings for the proposed addition can be founded on the native silty clay contacted at depths of 0.5 m to 1.2 m depths (Elevation 105.5 m to Elevation 104.3 m), or on engineered fill founded on the native silty clay. The existing topsoil, fill and loose silty sand (as encountered in Borehole No. 4 and other areas) are not considered as a suitable founding medium for the footings and where present should be removed and area backfilled with engineered fill to underside of footing. Engineered fill should comprise of OPSS Granular B Type II Placed in 300 mm lift and each lift compacted to 100 % of SPMDD.

Footings founded on the native silty clay or on an engineered fill pad founded on native silty clay may be designed for a bearing capacity at serviceability limit state (SLS) of 80 kPa and factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of 130 kPa. The total and differential settlements of well designed and constructed footings placed in accordance with the above recommendations are expected to be less than 25 mm and 19 mm respectively. The SLS and factored ULS values are valid provided the site grade raise discussed in Section 9 is respected.

It is recommended that the footings of the addition that are located immediately adjacent to the existing footings of the existing school building should be founded at the same level as the existing footings to eliminate the need for underpinning. Based on the results of the test pit investigation, the mud slab for the existing footing was determined to be 1.85 m depth (Elevation 105.0). This is subject to confirmation that the founding soil at the same level as the existing footings is capable of supporting the recommended SLS and factored ULS values noted above. If deeper excavation is required for new footings located adjacent to existing footings, underpinning of the existing footings may be required.

It is understood that plans call for setting of footings adjacent to the existing building at same Elevation of 105.0 m with subsequent footings as low as Elevation 104.55 m. Footings founded in soils at different elevations should be located such that the higher footings are set below a line drawn up at 10 horizontal to 7 vertical (10H:7V) from the near edge of the lower footing, as shown below. This concept should also be applied to service excavation, etc. to ensure that undermining is not a problem.



FOOTINGS NEAR SERVICE TRENCHES OR AT DIFFERENT ELEVATIONS

All footing beds should be examined by a geotechnical engineer to ensure that the founding surfaces are capable of supporting the design bearing pressure at SLS and that the footing beds have been properly prepared. A 50 mm concrete mud slab is recommended to be place on the surface of the approved silty clay to prevent disturbance of the founding soils.

Once the native subgrade has been approved and if required, the grade may be raised to the design underside footing and floor slab elevation by the construction of an engineered fill pad. The excavation for the removal of fill, topsoil/organic layer and silty sand should extend to a sufficient distance beyond the limits of the proposed structure to accommodate a 1.0 m wide horizontal bench of engineered fill that extends beyond the perimeter of the proposed building on all sides, which should thereafter be sloped at an inclination of 1H to 1V down to the approved subgrade. The engineered fill should consist of OPSS Granular B Type II that is placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 100 percent SPMDD. The placement and compaction of the engineered fill can in this way be undertaken to the founding level of the footings. From the footing level to the underside of the



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

floor slab, each lift should consist of Granular B Type II or an approved material and should be compacted to 98 percent of SPMDD. The engineered fill should be placed under the full-time supervision of a geotechnician working under the direction of a geotechnical engineer. In-place density tests should be undertaken on each lift of the engineered fill to ensure that it is properly compacted prior to placement of subsequent lift.

A minimum of 1.5 m of earth cover should be provided to the footings to protect them from damage due to frost penetration. The frost cover should be increased to 2.1 m for unheated structures if snow will not be removed from their vicinity. If snow will be removed from the vicinity of the unheated structures, the frost cover should be increased to 2.4 m. Rigid insulation thermally equivalent to the required soil cover may be used instead of the soil cover. Alternatively, a combination of rigid insulation and soil cover may be used to achieve the required frost protection for the footings.

The recommended factored geotechnical resistance at ULS and bearing pressure at SLS have been calculated by EXP from the borehole information for the design stage only. The investigation and comments are necessarily on-going as new information of underground conditions becomes available. For example, more specific information is available with respect to conditions between boreholes when foundation construction is underway. The interpretation between boreholes and the recommendations of this report must therefore be checked through field monitoring provided by an experienced geotechnical engineer to validate the information for use during the construction stage.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 12. Floor Slab and Drainage Requirements

The ground slab for the proposed building addition may be designed and constructed as a slab-on-grade placed on a 200 mm thick layer of 19 mm sized clear stone bed itself placed on a minimum 300 mm thick engineered fill pad set on the approved native subgrade constructed in accordance with Section 11 of this report. The clear stone would minimize the capillary rise of moisture from the sub-soil to the floor slab. Alternatively, the floor slab may be cast on a 200 mm thick bed of OPSS Granular A overlain by a vapour barrier. Adequate saw cuts should be provided in the floor slabs to control cracking.

It is recommended that a perimeter drainage system should be provided around the proposed addition. An underfloor drainage system will not be required.

The floor slab should be set at a minimum of 150 mm higher than the surrounding final exterior grade.

The final exterior grade surrounding the proposed building should be sloped away from the proposed building to prevent ponding of surface water close to the exterior walls of the proposed building.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 13. Excavation and De-Watering Requirements

## 13.1 Excess Soil Management

Ontario Regulation 406/19 specifies protocols that are required for the management and disposal of excess soils. As set forth in the regulation, specific analytical testing protocols need to be implemented and followed based on the volume of soil to be managed and the requirements of the receiving site. The testing protocols are specific as to whether the soils are stockpiled or in situ. In either scenario, the testing protocols are far more onerous than have been historically carried out as part of standard industry practices. These decisions should be factored in and accounted for prior to the initiation of the project-defined scope of work. EXP would be pleased to assist with the implementation of a soil management and testing program that would satisfy the requirements of Ontario Regulation 406/19.

## 13.2 Excavations

This section of the report discusses excavation requirements for the construction of the building addition, the construction of the underground services (see Section 14) and the new surface parking lot (see Section 15).

Excavation for the construction of footings and the installation of underground services are anticipated to extend to a maximum depth of 3.0 m below the existing grade and will extend through the topsoil, fill, silty sand and into the native silty clay or glacial till. The groundwater level ranges from 1.2 m to 1.9 m depths (Elevation 104.4 m to Elevation 103.9 m). Excavations for footings at approximately Elevation 104.7 m are anticipated to be above groundwater level. Excavations for underground services are anticipated to be below the groundwater level.

The excavations may be undertaken by conventional heavy equipment capable of removing debris within the fill and cobbles and boulders within the glacial till.

All excavations must be undertaken in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils on site are considered to be Type 3 and as such must be cut back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation. Within zones of seepage, the excavation side slopes are expected to slough and eventually stabilize at 2H:1V to 3H:1V from the bottom of the excavation.

If side slopes cannot be achieved due to space restrictions on site such as the proximity of open cut excavations to the property limits, existing infrastructure or to foundations of adjacent existing building(s), the new building construction would have to be undertaken within the confines of an engineered support system (shoring system). The installation of the municipal underground services may be undertaken within the confines of a prefabricated support system (trench box) designed and installed in accordance with OHSA, provided the excavation is properly dewatered.

The need for a shoring system, the most appropriate type of shoring system and the design and installation of the shoring system should be determined by the contractors bidding on this project. The design of the shoring system should be undertaken by a professional engineer experienced in shoring design and the installation of the shoring system should be undertaken by a contractor experienced in the installation of shoring systems. The shoring system should be designed and installed in accordance with latest edition of Ontario Regulation 213/91 under the OHSA and the 2023 Fifth Edition of the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM).

It is recommended that a pre-construction condition survey of adjacent buildings and infrastructure be undertaken prior to the start of construction activities and that vibration monitoring be conducted during construction activities.

Based on the confirmed bedrock depth, it has been assumed that excavations will not extend beyond the bedrock surface. This assumption should be revised once the proposed proposed pipe inverts are available.

Many geologic materials deteriorate rapidly upon exposure to meteorological elements. Unless otherwise specifically indicated in this report, walls and floors of excavations must be protected from moisture, desiccation, and frost action throughout the course of construction.

<sup>»</sup>ехр.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 13.3 De-Watering Requirements

It is anticipated that excavations may extend up to 1.8 m below the recorded groundwater level and seepage of the surface and subsurface water into the excavations is anticipated. However, it should be possible to remove any water entering the excavation by collecting water in the perimeter of the excavation or pumping from sumps. In areas of high infiltration or in areas where more permeable soil layers may exist, a higher seepage rate should be anticipated and will require high-capacity pumps to keep the excavation dry.

For construction dewatering, an Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) approval may be obtained for water takings greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup> and less than 400 m<sup>3</sup> per day. If more than 400 m<sup>3</sup> per day of groundwater are generated for dewatering purposes, then a Category 3 Permit to Take Water (PTTW) must be obtained from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). A Category 3 PTTW would require a complete hydrogeological assessment and would take at least 90 days for the MECP to process once the application is submitted.

Although this investigation has estimated the groundwater levels at the time of the fieldwork, and commented on dewatering and general construction problems, conditions may be present which are difficult to establish from standard boring and excavating techniques and which may affect the type and nature of dewatering procedures used by the contractor in practice. These conditions include local and seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater table, erratic changes in the soil profile, thin layers of soil with large or small permeabilities compared with the soil mass, etc. Only carefully controlled tests using pumped wells and observation wells will yield the quantitative data on groundwater volumes and pressures that are necessary to adequately engineer construction dewatering systems.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 14. Pipe Bedding Requirements

The maximum depth at which municipal services will be installed is anticipated/assumed to be at a 3.0 m depth below the existing grade. Therefore, the subgrade for the underground service pipes is expected to be within the silty clay or glacial till.

The bedding for the underground services including material specifications, thickness of cover material and compaction requirements should conform to municipal requirements and/or Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and Drawings (OPSS and OPSD).

The frost penetration depth in Ottawa is 1.8 m below grade. Where the available soil cover is less than the required for the underground services, a combination of soil cover and rigid insulation board may be used to protect the underground service from frost action.

It is recommended that the pipe bedding be 300 mm thick and consist of OPSS Granular A. The bedding material should be placed along the sides and on top of the pipe to provide a minimum cover of 300 mm. The bedding should be compacted to at least 98 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

The bedding thickness may be increased in areas where the subgrade is subject to disturbance. Trench base stabilization techniques, such as the removal of loose material, placement of sub-bedding, consisting of Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II completely wrapped in a non-woven geotextile, may be used if trench base disturbance becomes a problem in wet or soft/loose areas.

To minimize settlement of the pavement structure over services trenches, the trench backfill material within the frost zone, to 1.8 m depth below final grade, should match the existing material along the trench walls to minimize differential frost heaving of the subgrade soil, provided this material is compactible. Otherwise, frost tapers may be required.

The municipal services should be installed in short open trench sections that are excavated and backfilled the same day.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 15. Backfilling Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes

The on-site soils to be excavated are fill, silty sand, silty clay and glacial till.

The materials to be excavated from the site will comprise of topsoil, fill, silty sand, silty clay and glacial till. From a geotechnical perspective, the topsoil is not considered suitable for reuse as backfill material in the interior or exterior of the buildings and should be discarded. Portions of the non-organic fill (free of organics, debris, cobbles and boulders), silty sand and silty clay and glacial till, free of organics, cobbles and boulders, and above the groundwater level may be re-used as fill in locations away from the proposed building as backfill in service trenches and subgrade fill in paved, outdoor sports field and landscaped areas, subject to further geotechnical examination and testing during construction. These soils are subject to moisture absorption due to precipitation and must be protected at all times from the elements. Subject to additional examination and testing during construction, portions of the non-organic fill (free of organics, debris, cobbles and boulders), silty sand to sandy silt, silty clay and glacial till, free of organics, cobbles and boulders and below the groundwater level, may be re-used as fill in locations away from the proposed building as backfill in service trenches and subgrade fill in paved and landscaped areas, but will likely require air-drying to reduce the moisture content to compact the materials to the specified degree of compaction. Air-drying may be problematic (difficult) since it is weather dependent, may take time and that the soils are subject to moisture absorption from precipitation and must be protected at all times from the elements.

Therefore, it is anticipated that the majority of the material required for backfilling purposes in the interior and exterior of the proposed building, trench backfill and parking lot subgrade would have to be imported and should preferably conform to the following specifications: on-site excavated soils may be used for grading purposes in the landscaped areas

- Engineered fill under slab-on-grade and footings for the proposed school building and portables OPSS Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 98 percent SPMDD beneath the floor slab and 100 percent SPMDD beneath footings,
- Backfill material for footing trenches and against foundation walls located outside the proposed school building OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 95 percent SPMDD,
- Trench backfill and subgrade fill for access roads, parking lots, and outdoor sports fields OPSS Granular B Type I, Type II or Select Subgrade Material (SSM) or approved on site non-organic material (free of organics, debris, cobbles and boulders) placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 95 percent SPMDD; and
- Landscaped areas clean fill that is free of organics and deleterious material, debris, cobbles and boulders and is placed in 300 mm thick lifts with each lift compacted to 92 percent of the SPMDD.

Portions of the existing granular fill (free of debris, topsoil (organic soil), cobbles and boulders) from above the groundwater table may be able to be re-used a SSM material. The suitability of re-using these soils should be assessed during early stages of construction.

\*ехр.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# **16.** Pavement Structures and Concrete Sidewalks

## 16.1 Pavement Structures

Pavement structures for the parking lot and access roads are anticipated to consist of the existing fill, native silty sand or silty clay, OPSS Granular B Type II material, OPSS Select Subgrade material (SSM) and approved on-site materials. Pavement structure thicknesses required for the access roads and parking lots set on the anticipated approved subgrade materials were computed and are shown in Table VII. The pavement structures assume a functional design life of 15 to 20 years. The proposed functional design life represents the number of years to the first rehabilitation, assuming regular maintenance is carried out.

Table VII: Recommended Pavement Structure Thicknesses							
		Computed Pavement Structure					
Pavement Layer	Compaction Requirements	Light Duty Traffic (Cars Only)	Heavy Duty Traffic (Buses and Trucks)				
Asphaltic Concrete	92 percent-97 percent MRD	65 mm HL3/SP12.5 mm/ Cat. B (PG 58-34)	50 mm HL3/SP12.5 Cat. B (PG 58-34) 60 mm HL8/SP 19 Cat. B (PG 58-34)				
OPSS 1010 Granular A Base (crushed limestone)	100% percent SPMDD	150 mm	150 mm				
OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II Sub-base	100% percent SPMDD	450 mm	600 mm				

Notes:

- 1. SPMDD denotes standard Proctor maximum dry density, ASTM, D-698-12e2.
- 2. MRD denotes Maximum Relative Density, ASTM D2041.
- 3. The upper 300 mm of the subgrade fill must be compacted to 98 percent SPMDD.
- 4. The approved subgrade should be covered with a woven geotextile prior to placement of granular sub-base of the pavement structure.

The foregoing design assumes that construction is carried out during dry periods and that the subgrade is stable under the load of construction equipment. If construction is carried out during wet weather and, heaving or rolling of the subgrade is experienced, additional thickness of granular material may be required in addition to the woven geotextile indicated in Table VII.

Additional comments on the construction of the parking lot and access roads are as follows:

- As part of the subgrade preparation, the proposed parking area and access roads should be stripped of all existing fill, surficial and buried topsoil (organic) layers, organic stained soils and other obviously unsuitable material. The subgrade should be properly shaped, crowned, then proofrolled with a heavy vibratory roller in the full-time presence of a representative of this office. Any soft or spongy subgrade areas detected should be sub excavated and properly replaced with suitable approved backfill compacted to 95 percent SPMDD (ASTM D698-12e2).
- 2. The long-term performance of the pavement structure is highly dependent upon the subgrade support conditions. Stringent construction control procedures should be maintained to ensure that uniform subgrade moisture and density conditions are achieved. The need for adequate drainage cannot be over-emphasized. Permanent subdrains should be installed on both sides of the access road(s). Permanent subdrains must be installed in the proposed parking area at low points and should be continuous between catchbasins to intercept excess surface and subsurface moisture and to prevent subgrade softening. This will ensure no water collects in the granular course, which could result in pavement



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

failure during the spring thaw. The location and extent of subdrains required within the paved areas should be reviewed by this office in conjunction with the proposed site grading.

- 3. To minimize the problems of differential movement between the pavement and catchbasins/manhole due to frost action, the backfill around the structures should consist of free-draining granular preferably conforming to OPSS Granular B Type II material. Weep holes should be provided in the catchbasins/manholes to facilitate drainage of any water that may accumulate in the granular fill.
- 4. The most severe loading conditions on light-duty pavement areas and the subgrade may occur during construction. Consequently, special provisions such as restricted lanes, half-loads during paving, temporary construction roadways, etc., may be required, especially if construction is carried out during unfavorable weather.
- 5. The finished pavement surface should be free of depressions and should be sloped (preferably at a minimum cross fall of 2 percent) to provide effective surface drainage towards catch basins. Surface water should not be allowed to pond adjacent to the outside edges of paved areas.
- 6. Relatively weaker subgrade may develop over service trenches at subgrade level. These areas may require the use of thicker/coarser sub-base material and the use of a geotextile at the subgrade level. If this is the case, it is recommended that additional 150 mm thick granular sub-base, OPSS Granular B Type II, should be provided in these areas, in addition to the use of a geotextile at the subgrade level.
- 7. The granular materials used for pavement construction should conform to Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS 1010) for Granular A and Granular B Type II and should be compacted to 100 percent of the SPMDD.

The asphaltic concrete used, and its placement should meet OPSS 1150 or 1151 requirements. It should be compacted from 92 percent to 97 percent of the MRD (ASTM D2041). Asphalt placement should be in accordance with OPSS 310 and OPSS 313.

It is recommended that EXP be retained to review the final pavement structure design and drainage plans prior to construction to ensure they are consistent with the recommendations of this report.

## 16.2 Concrete Sidewalks and Plazas

The concrete sidewalks and concrete plazas should be designed and constructed in accordance with OPSS specification OPSS.MUNI 351. Additional comments on the construction of the concrete sidewalks are as follows:

- The subgrade is anticipated to consist of fill. The subgrade should be properly shaped, crowned and proofrolled in the full-time presence of a representative from this office. Any soft or spongy subgrade areas detected should be excavated and replaced with suitable approved backfill material compacted to 98 percent SPMDD.
- A filter cloth may be required to be placed at subgrade if directed by the geotechnical engineer
- The recommended granular structure beneath the concrete sidewalks should consist of 150 mm thick OPSS Granular A overlying 300 mm thick OPSS Granular B placed on competent subgrade. The Granular A and Granular B should be compacted to 100 percent SPMDD.
- Concrete should consist of 32 MPa air entrained (5 to 8 %) concrete with a welded wire mech
- The concrete should have a minimum thickness of 150 mm.

<sup>»</sup>exµ.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# **17.** Corrosion Potential

Chemical tests limited to pH, sulphate, chloride and resistivity were undertaken on two (2) soil samples. A summary of the results is shown in Table VIII. The laboratory certificate of analysis is shown in Appendix C.

	Table VIII: Corrosion Test Results on Soil Samples									
Borehole – Sample No.	Depth (m)	Soil Type	рН	Sulphate (%)	Chloride (%)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)				
BH1 SS4	2.3 - 2.9	Glacial Till	8.52	0.016	0.0076	2,970				
BH7 SS4	2.3 - 2.9	Silty Clay	8.31	0.006	0.0035	5,150				

The results indicate the silty clay have a negligible sulphate attack on subsurface concrete. The concrete should be designed in accordance with CSA A.23.1-14.

The results of the resistivity tests indicate that tested soils are mildly corrosive to bare steel as per the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE). Appropriate measures should be taken to protect the buried bare steel from corrosion.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# 18. New Sports Field Installation

A landscape architect should be consulted for the design of the new sport field installation (baseball diamond).

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

# **19. Tree Planting Restrictions**

The guidelines indicate that for street trees in the road right-of-way, where sensitive marine clays have been identified, the trees are to have a setback equal to or greater than the full mature height of the tree. This setback can be reduced to 4.5 m for small (mature tree height up to 7.5m) and medium (mature tree height 7.5 m to 14.0 m) sized trees if a total of six conditions are met. Two of the six requirements, listed below, require comment from a geotechnical perspective.

- The modified plasticity index of the soil between the underside of footing (USF) and a depth of 3.5m generally does not exceed 40%. This corresponds to soils with low/medium potential for soil volume change.
- The foundation walls are to be reinforced at least nominally (minimum of two upper and two lower 15M bars in the foundation wall) to provide ductility as described in the Geotechnical Report

The silty clay which extends to 2.1 m to 4.0 depths (Elevation 104.0 m to 100.2 m) is considered to have a medium potential for soil volume change based on the modified plasticity index values ranging from 24 percent to 34 percent. For foundations walls which are reinforced at least nominally (minimum of two upper and two lower 15M bars in the foundation wall), the geotechnical conditions for a reduced setback have been met.

It should be noted that the following conditions below must also be met in order for the reduced setback to apply:

- The USF is 2.1m or greater below the lowest finished grade. Note: this footing level must be satisfied for footings within 10m of the tree, as measured from the centre of the tree trunk, and verified by means of the Grading Plan as indicated in the Procedural Changes below.
- A small size tree must be provided with a minimum of 25m3 of available soil volume, as determined by a Landscape Architect. A medium size tree must be provided with a minimum of 30m3 of available soil volume, as determined by a Landscape Architect. The developer will ensure the soil is generally uncompacted when backfilling in street tree planting locations.
- The tree species must be small to medium size, as confirmed by a Landscape Architect in the Landscape Plan
- Grading surrounding the tree must promote draining to the tree root zone (in such a manner as not to be detrimental to the tree), as noted on the subdivision Grading Plan.

Therefore, a reduced setback is applicable at this site for small size tree with a minimum of 25  $m^3$  of available soil volume and medium size trees with a minimum of 30  $m^3$  of available soil volume, as determined by a Landscape Architect.

this report supersedes

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 20. Additional Comments

All earthwork activities from subgrade preparation to placement and compaction of engineered fill, fill in service trenches, placement and compaction of granular materials and asphaltic concrete, should be inspected by qualified geotechnicians to ensure that construction proceeds according to the project specifications.

All the footing beds should be examined by a geotechnical engineer to ensure that the founding surfaces are capable of supporting the design bearing pressure and that the footing beds have been properly prepared.



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 13, 2025

## 21. General Comments

The comments given in this report are intended only for the guidance of design engineers. The number of boreholes required to determine the localized underground conditions between boreholes affecting construction costs, techniques, sequencing, equipment, scheduling, etc., would be much greater than has been carried out for the design purposes. Contractors bidding on or undertaking the works should, in this light, decide on their own investigations, as well as their own interpretations of the factual test hole results, so that they may draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect them.

The information contained in this report is not intended to reflect on environmental aspects of the soils. Should specific information be required, including for example, the presence of pollutants, contaminants or other hazards in the soil, additional testing may be required.

We trust that the information contained in this report will be satisfactory for your purposes. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Sincerely

Daniel Wall, M. Eng., P.Eng. Geotechnical Engineer Earth & Environment



AUUU

Ismail Taki, M. Eng., P.Eng. Senior Manager, Eastern Region Earth & Environment



Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 12, 2025

**Figures** 

\*exp.








## **Notes On Sample Descriptions**

1. All sample descriptions included in this report follow the Canadian Foundations Engineering Manual soil classification system. This system follows the standard proposed by the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Laboratory grain size analyses provided by **exp** Services Inc. also follow the same system. Different classification systems may be used by others; one such system is the Unified Soil Classification. Please note that, with the exception of those samples where a grain size analysis has been made, all samples are classified visually. Visual classification is not sufficiently accurate to provide exact grain sizing or precise differentiation between size classification systems.

CLAY	1	SILT			SAN	D	-	GF	RAVEL		COBBLES	BOULDERS
	FIN	E MEDIUM	COAR	SE FINE	E MED	IUM CO	ARSE FIN	JE ME	EDIUM	COARSE		
	0.002	0.006	0.02	0.06	0.2	0.6	2.0	6.0	20	60	2	00
				1.12								
				FOLIDIA			TER IN MI					

FINE	MEDIUM	CRS.	FINE	COARSE
	SAND	Allow of the other		GRAVEL
	FINE			

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION

- 2. Fill: Where fill is designated on the borehole log it is defined as indicated by the sample recovered during the boring process. The reader is cautioned that fills are heterogeneous in nature and variable in density or degree of compaction. The borehole description may therefore not be applicable as a general description of site fill materials. All fills should be expected to contain obstruction such as wood, large concrete pieces or subsurface basements, floors, tanks, etc., none of these may have been encountered in the boreholes. Since boreholes cannot accurately define the contents of the fill, test pits are recommended to provide supplementary information. Despite the use of test pits, the heterogeneous nature of fill will leave some ambiguity as to the exact composition of the fill. Most fills contain pockets, seams, or layers of organically contaminated soil. This organic material can result in the generation of methane gas and/or significant ongoing and future settlements. Fill at this site may have been monitored for the presence of methane gas and, if so, the results are given on the borehole logs. The monitoring process does not indicate the volume of gas that can be potentially generated nor does it pinpoint the source of the gas. These readings are to advise of the presence of gas only, and a detailed study is recommended for sites where any explosive gas/methane is detected. Some fill material may be contaminated by toxic/hazardous waste that renders it unacceptable for deposition in any but designated land fill sites; unless specifically stated the fill on this site has not been tested for contaminants that may be considered toxic or hazardous. This testing and a potential hazard study can be undertaken if requested. In most residential/commercial areas undergoing reconstruction, buried oil tanks are common and are generally not detected in a conventional geotechnical site investigation.
- 3. Till: The term till on the borehole logs indicates that the material originates from a geological process associated with glaciation. Because of this geological process the till must be considered heterogeneous in composition and as such may contain pockets and/or seams of material such as sand, gravel, silt or clay. Till often contains cobbles (60 to 200 mm) or boulders (over 200 mm). Contractors may therefore encounter cobbles and boulders during excavation, even if they are not indicated by the borings. It should be appreciated that normal sampling equipment cannot differentiate the size or type of any obstruction. Because of the horizontal and vertical variability of till, the sample description may be applicable to a very limited zone; caution is therefore essential when dealing with sensitive excavations or dewatering programs in till materials.



	Log of	f Bo	orehole <u>BH-1</u>	*eyn
Project No:	OTT-23012778-D0			
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School	Addition		Figure No. <u>5</u>
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON			Page. <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Date Drilled:	'June 28, 2024		_ Split Spoon Sample	Combustible Vapour Reading
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mounted Drill F	Rig	Auger Sample II	Natural Moisture Content     X       Atterberg Limits     O
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation		Dynamic Cone Test Shelby Tube	Undrained Triaxial at $\oplus$ Strain at Failure
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.		Shear Strength by + Vane Test S	Shear Strength by Area
G Y M W B L O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m 106.09	Development of the standard Penetration Test N Value P 20 40 60 80 t Shear Strength kPa 50 100 150 200	Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm) 250 500 750 M Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight) 20 40 60
GR/	PHALT ~100 mm thick ANULAR FILL shed gravel and sand, grey, moist, use)	106.0	0 	× ss1

11 Q

÷÷÷÷

.....

.....

......

1.000

······

**O** .....

105.3

104.19

104.0

102.3

Geotextile at 0.8 m depth

boulders, grey, (compact)

GLACIAL TILL Silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and

Auger Refusal at 3.8 m Depth

Augers grinding below 2.2 m depth

SILTY CLAY

Brown, moist, (stiff)

.....

×

÷

....

·-1

 $\cdots \div$ 

.....

÷:-;÷

\*

×

.....

. . . . . . . .

••••••••••••••••

÷.;

÷÷÷÷

.....

SS2

SS3

SS4

SS5

÷ ; · ; ·

÷÷;÷

÷ (• ) •

.....

÷÷÷÷

.....

**→X**()`

4-1-0-4

. . . . . .

÷.

••••••

•••••

------

÷ ; . ; ;

.....

·÷÷÷

÷.;

......

• • • • • • •

••••••

REHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 12/18/24		
REHOLE AV JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024. GPJ TROW OTTAWA. GDT	12/18/24	
REHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024.GPJ	TROW OTTAWA.GDT	
REHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION	JULY 12, 2024.GPJ	
REHOLE AY JACKSON SCHO	OL ADDITION	
REHOLE AY JAC	CKSON SCHO	
	SEHOLE AY JAC	

Š.								
JACK	NOTES: 1.Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before	WA	TER LEVEL RECO	RDS		CORE DF	RILLING RECOR	D
AΥ	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
OLE	2.A 19 mm diameter standpipe was installed as shown.	July 12, 2024	1.9					
BOREHOLE	3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.							
	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions							
OG OF	5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0							

Project No:	<u>OTT-23012778-D0</u>	g of E	Зо	r	eho	ole	<u> </u>	<u>8H-</u>		Figure	No.	6	*	exp
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Second	lary School A	dditio	n								1 of	2	1
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, 0	ON								Га	ige	<u> </u>	2	
Date Drilled:	'June 28, 2024				Split Spoo	on Sampl	e	$\boxtimes$	]	Combu	stible Vap	our Readi	ng	
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mou	unted Drill Rig	]		Auger Sar SPT (N) V	•			-		Moisture rg Limits	Content	F	<b>×</b>
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation				Dynamic (	Cone Tes	st		-	Undrain	ned Triaxia n at Failur		•	⊕
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by:	I.T.			Shelby Tu Shear Stre Vane Test	ength by		+ s		Shear S	Strength b	у		<b>A</b>
G M B O	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Ele	eodetic evation m	D e p t h	Stan 20 Shear Si	0 4	netration 1 0 6		ilue 80 kPa		250 5	our Readir 500 7: ture Conte s (% Dry W	50	S A M P Unit Wt. KN/m <sup>3</sup>
	NULAR FILL ~ 250 mm thick shed sand and gravel with topso isions, brown, moist, (loose) textile at 0.25 m depth SOIL ~150 mm thick			0	6 0	0 1	20 1	50 2	200	×	20	40 6	0	SS1
mois	dy silty clay with sand pockets, l it, (compact) <b>TY CLAY</b> t brown, moist, (very stiff)	brown,104	l.8	1	13 O					*	<b>(</b>			SS2
		_		2	8	)	140 k + s = 1	1			×			ss3
Silty	<u>CIAL TILL</u> sand with clay, gravel, cobbles ders, grey, wet, (very loose)	103	3.0	3	3.						×			SS4
				. imei   (	r Weight					*				SS5
Grey	DSTONE BEDROCK	_		5						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				- Run 1
Retu	ırn water lost while coring at 5.5 h	m —												Run 2 25.1
NOTES:	Continued Next Page		~~~~~	-'6				·						
	requires interpretation by EXP before	Date	VAIEF		EVEL RE Water		Hole Op		Run	Dep	oth	LLING R % Re		RQD %
	illed upon completion of drilling.	Prior to Corir	ng	L	<u>evel (m)</u> 3.0	0	<u>To (m)</u> pen to 6		<u>No.</u> 1 2	(n 4.4 - 4.6 -	4.6	100 97		0 96

LOG OF BOREHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 8/22/24

4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions

# Log of Borehole <u>BH-2</u>



Project: OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School Addition

Project No: OTT-23012778-D0

Figure No.

Page.	_2	
Combustible	Vanaur	D,

				_										ge.	2	0	<u> </u>		
	S		Geodetic	D	Sta	andar	d Per	netrat	ion Te	est N Va	lue	Cor		stible V 50	apou 500		ing (ppm '50	) S A	Natur
G W L	SY MBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation	e p t		20		0	60	) ;	30	<u> </u>	Nati	ural Mo	pistur	e Conte	ent % Veight)	P	Unit W
-	0 L		m	h	Shear	Stren 50		00	15	n 2	kPa 100			erg Lir 0	nits ( 40		weight) 60	) SAMPLES	kN/m
	::::	_	99.72	6					::]			:::	:::	: : :	1		<u> </u>	:	
ļ			99.5							****						<del></del>			
		Borehole Terminated at 6.2 m Depth			::::	1::	÷ ÷	::	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1::	:::	:::	:	::::	1:::	:	
						1 : :	÷ ÷	: :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$	1 : : : :	1::	: : :	: : :	:	: : : : :	1 : : :	:	
							÷ ;						::		-			:	
													::					:	
						1 : :	÷ ÷	: : :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$	1 : : : :	1::	:::	: : :	:	: : : :	1:::	:	
																		:	
						1::	÷ ÷	: :	::	$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \end{array}$	1 : : : :	1 : :	÷÷	: : :	:	: : : :	1 : : :	:	
							÷÷			* * * *			÷÷			* * * *		-	
					: : : :	1::	÷ ÷	::	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1 : :	:::	: : :	:	: : : :	1 : : :	:	
									::	::::			÷÷		-	::::		:	
						1 : :	: :	: :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1 : :	: :	:::	:	::::	1 : : :	:	
													::					:	
					::::	1::	÷ ÷	::	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1::	:::	:::	:	::::	1:::	:	
										* * * *			÷÷			* * * *		:	
							÷÷	::		:::::		1::	:::	:::	:	::::		:	
																		:	
							÷÷		::				÷÷					:	
							::	1::	::	::::		1::	::			::::		:	
							÷ ;		::				::: :::					:	
							÷÷	::	::	::::			÷÷			::::		:	
													::					:	
						1 : :	÷÷	::	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$	1 : : : :	1 : :	: : :	: : :	:	::::	1 : : :	:	
							÷ ;						÷ ÷					:	
									::						-			:	
						1 : :	÷ ÷	::	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1::	:::	:::	:	::::		:	
									11	::::			÷÷		-	::::		-	
						1::	÷÷	: :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$	1 : : : :	: :	:::	:::	:	::::		:	
													÷ ÷					-	
									::				::		-	::::		:	
													÷÷					-	
							1			::::			÷÷		-	::::		-	
						1 : :	÷ ÷	::	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1::	:::	:::	:	::::		:	
									11	::::			÷÷		-	::::		-	
						1 : :	: :	: :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1 : :	: : :	:::	:	::::	1 : : :	:	
													::					:	
						1::	÷ ÷	: :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$	1 : : : :	1 : :	: :	:::	:	::::	1 : : :	:	
										* * * *					÷	* * * *		:	
					: : : :	1 : :	÷ ÷	: :	::	$\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$ $\vdots$		1 : :	:::	:::	:	: : : :	1:::	:	
													÷÷		-	::::		:	
							: :		::	::::			::		:			:	
									11				:::		1			:	
							÷÷		::	::::			÷÷		:	::::		:	
									::				::		-			:	
							÷÷		::				::		:	::::		:	
										:::;			:::		-	:::;		:	
							÷÷	1::	::				::			::::		:	
							÷÷		::				÷÷					:	
							::		::				::		:			:	
							÷÷		::	::::			÷÷		:			:	
						1::	:::		::	::::			::			::::		:	
															:			:	
									::				::		:			:	
				_!		1::		.::	: : 1			1::		. : : :			1:::	.	
	TES:		WATE	R LI	EVEL R	ECC							CO	RE DI	RILL	ING F	RECOR	D	
1.E เ	Boreho use by	ole data requires interpretation by EXP before others	Date		Water		ŀ	Hole	Ope	n	Run	[	Dep		Τ	% Re	C.	R	QD %
	-		ior to Coring	L	<u>evel (m</u> 3.0	)		To	( <u>m)</u> to 6.2		No.		<u>(m)</u> .4 - 4	)	-	100			0
		vork supervised by an EXP representative.			5.0			Jeiil	.0 0.2		1 2		.4 - 4 .6 - 6			97			0 96
											-	<i>.</i>				01			
		otes on Sample Descriptions																	
51	Loa to	be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0									ı				1				
0.1	5																		

roject No:	<u>OTT-23012778-D0</u>	of BC	<b>)</b> r	en	Ole	9 _	BH				7	**(	Э	X
roject:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary Sch	ool Additio	on						Figure I		1 of	- 1		1
ocation:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON								Ра	ge	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
ate Drilled	: <u>'July 2, 2024</u>		_	Split Spo	on Sam	ole		$\boxtimes$	Combus	stible Vap	our Readi	ng		
rill Type:	CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig		_	Auger Sa SPT (N)				<b>n</b> 0	Natural Atterber	Moisture a Limits	Content	F		<b>×</b> −⊖
atum:	Geodetic Elevation		_	Dynamic	Cone Te	est		_ _	Undrain	ed Triaxia 1 at Failur		-		⊕
ogged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.			Shelby T Shear St Vane Tes	rength b	у		+ s	Shear S	trength b meter Te	у			•
S Y M B O	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	2 Shear S	20 Strength	40	on Test N 60	80 kPa	2	250 5	ture Conte s (% Dry V	50 ent % Veight)	SAMPLES	Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
GR/ Crui	PHALT ~80 mm thick ANULAR FILL ~370 mm thick shed sand and gravel, brown, moist, mpact) otextile at 0.45 m depth	105.99 105.9 105.5	0	13 0	50	100	150	200	×	20	40 6	50 	s	SS1
FILI San SIL	•	/105.4	1	12 ©					3	<b>×</b>				SS2
		_		7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	08 kPa					~			SS3
		_	2				170 ki	2a						553
Silty	ACIAL TILL / sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and lders, grey, wet, (very loose to loose)	103.3	3				s = 5.	7						
		_		5					*					SS4
		Har	nme	r Weight										
	Auger Refusal at 4.0 m Depth	102.0	4						× *				Й	SS5

õ								
ACK.	NOTES: 1.Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before	WAT	ER LEVEL RECC	RDS		CORE DR	RILLING RECOF	۶D
ΡΥ	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
Ш	2. Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion		Open to 4.0 m		,		
Ĭ	3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.							
BO	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions							ĺ
D D	5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0							
ŏ					1 1			1

Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Second	any School Additi	or						Figure	No.	8	_		
ocation:		-							P	age.	of	1		
	ed: 'June 27, 2024	214							-		_			_
			-	Split Spc Auger Sa		ple		$\square$			apour Read e Content	ing		×
orill Type		Inted Drill Rig	-	SPT (N) Dynamic		eet		0		erg Limits ned Tria:			-	—O
atum:	Geodetic Elevation		-	Shelby T	ube				% Stra	in at Fail Strength	ure			$\oplus$
ogged b	y: <u>M.Z.</u> Checked by:	1.1.		Shear St Vane Te		У		+ s		ometer 1				
SYMBO-	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m		Shear	20 Strength		60	80 kP		250 atural Mo rberg Lin	isture Conte hits (% Dry \	750	M	Natural Unit Wt kN/m <sup>3</sup>
<u> </u>	<b>OPSOIL</b> ~ 180 mm thick	105.59 105.4	0	1	50 	<u>100</u>	150	200		20	40	60	<u> </u>	
	TILL Silty clay, light brown, moist, (loose)			9 0	72 kPa					×				SS1
Š	SILTY SAND Vith gravel, brown, moist, (loose)			8						×				ss2
S	SILTY CLAY nterbedded with silty sand layers, b	<sup></sup> 104 <sub>6</sub> 4.3 rown,	9											
v	vet, (firm)	_		5 ©				······································			×			SS3
		103.3	2											
	ight brown, moist	_		1	$\succ$	<u></u>						¥	=	SS4
	GLACIAL TILL Silty sand with with clay, gravel, cob not boulders, grey, wet, (very loose pose)	102.9 bles to	3											
	5036)			<b>4</b> O									X	SS5
	Auger Refusal at 3.4 m Dept	102.2 h												1
OTES:	]		_					<u>· I · · · ·</u>	<u>:   : : :</u>		<u>.  </u>	1	<u> </u>	
	data requires interpretation by EXP before ers	Date		EVEL R Water		Hole Op		Run	De	pth	RILLING F			RQD %
2.A 19 mm c 3.Field work	tiameter stand pipe installed as shown. supervised by an EXP representative. s on Sample Descriptions	July 12, 2024	<u> </u>	<u>.evel (m)</u> 1.2		<u>To (m</u>	1 <u>)</u>	No.		<u>n)</u>				

	Log of I	Boi	rehole	BH-5	**	ovr
Project No:	OTT-23012778-D0		_		-	CNP
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School A	Addition			Figure No. 9	1
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON				Page. <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>	
Date Drilled	: <u>'</u> June 27, 2024		Split Spoon Sample		Combustible Vapour Reading	
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mounted Drill Rig	g	Auger Sample SPT (N) Value		Natural Moisture Content Atterberg Limits	<b>×</b> ──⊖
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation		Dynamic Cone Test Shelby Tube		Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure	$\oplus$
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.		Shear Strength by Vane Test		Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test	<b></b>
G M B O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION EIG	eodetic levation m 5.73	Standard Penetration	on Test N Value 60 80 kPa 150 200	Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm) 250 500 750 Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight) 20 40 60	Natural M Unit Wt. L KN/m <sup>3</sup>

		m	t h	Shear St	treng	th	kPa	Atterberg Lin	nits (% Dry Weight)	LES	kN/n
		105.73	0		<u>0</u>	100 150	200	20	40 60	Ī	
<u>×1 1/</u>	TOPSOIL ~ 200 mm thick	105.5			• • • • •	÷ :   ÷ : ÷ ÷   · ; ÷ :	· · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·÷•{ /	
1 XXX	<u>FILL</u>	105.5		6. O	÷÷÷		****		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷W	
	<u>FILL</u> Silty along trace cond with teneoil			0	· : : : ·	**********	****	×		÷ΪÅ	SS
	Silty clay, trace sand, with topsoil inclusions, brown, moist, (loose)									1/1	
		-								1/	
	K										
	8	104.9								$\mathbb{N}$	
V//	SILTY CLAY			7 O				×		.:	SS
V///	Interbedded with silty sand, brown, v	vet, _	1			**+***				÷Λ	
V///	(stiff)				• • • • •	6446644664	· · · · · · · · · ·			.⊹.∦ \	
V//					• • • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	÷+-	
V///				122121	·····	ee   ee e   ee e	****			÷:	
V//				122121	111		1111			21	
<i>\///</i>		_								: 17	
V///						96 kPa				.:: I\/	
V///				6. O					. <b>x</b>	.: ЦҮ	SS
V///					· : · : ·	4 <b>-</b> 74444444				÷ΙΛ	
V///	<u>_</u>	_	2		- <u></u> -	<del> </del>	+++++		<del>·   · · · ·   · · ·</del>	÷//\	
<i>\///</i>					·÷·÷·	99 <b> </b> 99994 999	****		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	÷+-	
V///				12222	• • • • •	**********	*****			21	
V///					•••••					307	
V///										:::1\/	
V///		Ha	mme	er Weight		96 kPa		<b>x</b>		Y	SS
V///				Teered	· : · : ·	4 <b></b> 4444 444	>2	50 kPa		÷ΙΛ	
V///					• • • • •				• • • • • • • • • • • •	÷ // \	
V///		102.7			• • • • •	<u> </u>	****			÷+-	
	GLACIAL TILL		3		- <u></u>	*******			*********	: 17	
K/X	Silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles	and 2,2/7	5 mn	n then bou	ıncin	g				71 Y	6
XZZ	boulders, grey, wet, (loose)			0				×		Ξ1Λ	SS
1/LX		102.3									
	Auger Refusal at 3.4 m Dept	n			::					:	
					::	:: :::: :::	: : : : :			:	
					÷ ÷					-	
					÷ ÷					-	
					÷ ÷					-	
					÷ ÷					-	
					÷ ÷					-	
					÷ ÷		: : : : :				
					::	:: :::: :::	: : : : :			-	
					::	:: :::: :::	: : : : :			-	
					::		: : : : :			-	
					::					-	
					::		: : : : :			-	
					÷ ÷		: : : : :				
1					: :						
1						:: :::: :::			:   : : :   : : :		
1				1::::	::	:: :::: :::			:   : : :   : : !	:	
					::	:: :::: :::			:   : : :   : : !	:	
1						:: :::: :::					
					::	:: :::: :::				:	
1						:: :::: :::					
-	1	I		L:::I						I	I
DTES:		WATE	ERI	EVEL RE	COF	RDS		CORE DI	RILLING RECOF	RD	
	nole data requires interpretation by EXP before			Water		Hole Open	Run	Depth	% Rec.		QD %
use b	y others	Date	I	_evel (m)		To (m)	No.	(m)	/01100.		
		Upon Completion				Open to 3.4 m	1.0.	+ ···/	-		

2. Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling. 3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.

LOG OF BOREHOLE 4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions

WAT	WATER LEVEL RECORDS				CORE DF	RILLING RECOF	RD
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)		Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
Upon Completion		Open to 3.4 m					

Project No:	<u>OTT-23012778-D0</u>	g of Bo	oreho	le <u>BH</u>			10	exp
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Second	ary School Addition	on			Figure No.		I
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, C	ON				Page	1_of_2	
Date Drilled:	'June 27, 2024		_ Split Spoon S	ample	$\boxtimes$	Combustible Va	pour Reading	
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mou	inted Drill Ria	Auger Sample	e		Natural Moisture	e Content	×
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation		<ul> <li>SPT (N) Valu</li> <li>Dynamic Con</li> </ul>		0	Atterberg Limits Undrained Triax		<b>⊢</b> ⊖ ⊕
	M.Z. Checked by:	IT	Shelby Tube			% Strain at Failu Shear Strength		•
Logged by.		1.1.	Shear Streng Vane Test	un by	+ s	Penetrometer T		
G Y M B O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h Shear Stren o 50	d Penetration Test N <u>40 60</u> gth 100 150	Value 80 kPa 200	250	apour Reading (ppr 500 750 isture Content % its (% Dry Weight) 40 60	M Natural
GRA Crusi (com Geot	HALT ~80 mm thick NULAR FILL ~420 mm thick hed sand and gravel, brown, m pact) extile at 0.5 m depth Y CLAY brown, moist, (very stiff)	106.01 105.9 oist, 105.5	15 	144 kPa		×		SS1
	CIAL TILL		2 2	144 kPa 144 kPa 160 kPa 160 kPa s = 8.0:			*	SS3
Silty :	sand with clay, gravel, cobbles ders, grey, wet, (loose to compa	and _ ict) _	3			×		SS4
		-	4 24			*		SS5
		_	5			×		SS6
		_	6			*		SS7
NOTES:	Continued Next Page	WATE	R LEVEL RECO	RDS		CORE DF		RD
1.Borehole data ro use by others	equires interpretation by EXP before	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
3. Field work supe 4. See Notes on S	lled upon completion of drilling. ervised by an EXP representative. ample Descriptions with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0	Upon Completion	<u>Lever (m)</u> 3.3	5.5				

$\gamma$	
¥1	3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
<b>-</b> I	3 Field work supervised by an FXP representative
111	5.1 Icid work supervised by an EXI representative.

## Log of Borehole BH-6



Project: OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School Addition

Project No: OTT-23012778-D0

Figure No.

Page. <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

	0				St	andar	d Pen	etration 1	est N Va	lue		ige. ustible Va	∠_Of apour Read	Ling (ppm)	s	
G W L	SY MBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation		2	20	40			80		250	500	750	SAMPLES	Natura Unit W
Ϊ	В О		m	t h	Shear	Stren	gth			kPa	Atte		sture Cont its (% Dry		Ē	kN/m <sup>3</sup>
	GHIN		100.01	6		50	10		50 2	200	::::	20	40	60	S	
	1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		99.7	125	mm ther	ו bou	ncing	÷ : • •			×				$\mathbb{N}$	SS8
	eR	Auger Refusal at 6.3 m Depti	h												ſ	
								::::					: : : : :			
													:   : : : :			
							::									
10	TES:	]	14/4								~				<u> </u>	
1.1	Boreho	le data requires interpretation by EXP before		κL	EVEL F	ECC		; lole Op	an	Run	CC De		RILLING F			QD %
		others	Date	L	_evel (m	)		To (m)		No.	De (n	n)	70 140	50.	R	70 U
		le backfilled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion		3.3			5.5								
		ork supervised by an EXP representative.														
		otes on Sample Descriptions														
5.1	Log to	be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0														

	Log of	f Bo	r	ehole <u>BH</u>	-7		F	xn
Project No:	OTT-23012778-D0					igure No. 11		~ <b>Γ</b>
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary Scho	ol Additio	'n		г 	·		
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON					Page. <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>		
Date Drilled:	'June 27, 2024		-	Split Spoon Sample	$\boxtimes$	Combustible Vapour Reading		
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mounted Drill	l Rig			<b>II</b> 0	Natural Moisture Content Atterberg Limits	⊢	<b>×</b> ⊸⊖
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation			Dynamic Cone Test Shelby Tube	_	Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure		$\oplus$
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.				+ s	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test		•
G Y M W B U O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m 105.1	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N V 20 40 60 Shear Strength 50 100 150	Value 80 kPa 200	Combustible Vapour Reading (ppr 250 500 750 Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight) 20 40 60	n) SAMPLES	Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
FILL Silty	SOIL ~200 mm thick sand, some clay, topsoil inclusions, /n, damp, (loose) –	104.9	0			×		SS1
	Y CLAY t brown, moist, (hard)	104.3	1	6. O		×	X	SS2

Hammer Weight

Ċ

3

Hammer Weight

Ø

mmer Weight | ♀I

5

Ha

102.1



Or affirment March Draw		6							
Continued Next Page									
terpretation by EXP before	WAT	WATER LEVEL RECORDS CORE DRILLING RECORD							
	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec. RQD			
completion of drilling.	Upon Completion	3.0	5.5						
an EXP representative.									
scriptions									
Report OTT-23012778-D0									

250 kPa + s = 6.8

×

X

X

×

SS3

SS4

SS5

SS6

SS7

SS8

X

X

# Log of Borehole <u>BH-7</u>



Project: OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School Addition

Project No: OTT-23012778-D0

-														Pag	_	2_of	_2_			
		S Y		Geodetic	C		Sta	ndar	d Per	etration 1	est N Va	lue	C			pour Readir 500 7	ng (ppn 50	n) S	Natural	1
	G W L	SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation			2	20	4	06	60	80 kPa		Nati	ural Mois	sture Conte ts (% Dry W	nt %	n) SANPLES	Unit Wt	t.
	-	P					ear S 5	stren i0	gin 1(	00 1	50	кра 200	'	Allerb 2			so	ES	kN/m <sup>3</sup>	
Ī			GLACIAL TILL		6	, <u></u>	1		÷			1							_	_
			Silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles an	d					÷÷				÷ŀ÷		••••••			÷A	Λ	
		1D	boulders, grey, wet, (very loose to dens (continued)	se)					31					~				:::!\	SS9	
		1D		_						$\mathbf{X}$				<b>X</b>				-1/	009	
		1 A				÷ :		· · · ·	÷÷		· : : : : :	+ : · ? ÷	÷ŀ÷	÷ ÷ ÷ ·	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$		÷÷:	÷./	V	
		B									$\mathbb{N}$							2		
									÷÷									÷		
		Uk	_	-	7	' <u>  : :</u>	::	::	::	::::		N		::::				:		
		XXA	August Defined at 7.0 m Denth	97.9									N÷							
			Auger Refusal at 7.2 m Depth										:   : :	::::				-		
							:::		÷÷				-					-		
							:::		÷÷									:		
							:::		÷÷				:   :	::::				:		
							:::		÷÷				:   : :	:::				-		
						÷ ÷	:::	::	÷÷	::::			:   :	::::	::::			:		
							::::		÷÷				:   :					:		
																		:		
									÷ ;				:   :					-		
							:::						:   :					:		
							:::							::::				:		
							:::		÷÷					::::				:		
							:::						:   : :					-		
						1 : :	:::	::	÷ ÷	:::::		1 : : :	:   :	: : : :				:		
																		-		
							:::						:   :					-		
							:::	::					:   :					:		
							:::		÷÷									-		
							:::		÷ ;				:   : :	::::				-		
							:::	::	::	::::	::::		:   :	::::	::::		:::	:		
							:::											-		
							:::		÷÷				:   : :	::::				-		
							:::		÷÷	::::			:   :	::::				:		
24							:::		÷÷					::::				-		
122							:::		÷ ;				:   : :					-		
OTTAWA.GDT 8/22/24							:::	::	::	::::	::::		:   :	::::	::::		:::	:		
9							:::		÷ ;									:		
×							:::						:   : :	::::				-		
È							:::	::	÷÷	::::			:   :	:::				:		
									÷÷					::::				-		
R S													:   :					-		
2							:::													
4.G							:::		÷÷									-		
202							÷ :		÷÷				:   :	::::				:		
12,							:::		÷÷				:   :					:		
									÷				:   :					:		
							:::		÷÷				:   :	::::				:		
þ							:::		÷ ;				:   :	::::				:		
							:::		::				:   :					:		
LA							:::		::				:   :   :					-		
읽							:::		÷÷				:   :					:		
SCF							:::						:   :					:		
No No					_	Ŀ÷			::		1:::	1:::	:   :	:::		1::::		:	1	-
AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024.GPJ TROW		TES:	ale data requires interpretation by EVD before	WATE	RL	EVE	L RE	ECC								ILLING R	ECOR	RD		
۲J	1.1	use by	ole data requires interpretation by EXP before others	Date		Wa Leve			ŀ	Hole Op To (m)	en	Run No.		Dep (m)	th	% Re	C.	F	RQD %	_
	2.1	Boreho	ble backfilled upon completion of drilling.	pon Completion		3.				5.5	·	1.0.	1		,					-
윎	3.1	Field w	ork supervised by an EXP representative.																	
30R	4.	See No	otes on Sample Descriptions																	
OFE			be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0																	
LOG OF BOREHOLE		0																		

.	
51	5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0

Project No:	отт-23012778-D0	Во	r	ehole <u>BH-8</u>	_		<sup>*</sup> e	Xp.
,					Figure No.	12		
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary Schoo	ol Additio	n		Page.	1 of 1		•
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON				r ugo.			
Date Drilled:	'June 27, 2024			Split Spoon Sample	Combustible	e Vapour Reading		
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mounted Drill	Rig		Auger Sample		sture Content		×
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation			SPT (N) Value O Dynamic Cone Test	Atterberg Li Undrained 1	Triaxial at		€ ⊕
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.			Shelby TubeShear Strength by+Vane TestS	% Strain at Shear Stren Penetromet	gth by		<b>↓</b>
G Y W B L O	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N Value           20         40         60         80           Shear Strength         kF	250 Natural	e Vapour Reading () 500 750 Moisture Content % Limits (% Dry Weig		P   Unit Wt.
GRA Crus (com Geo SILT	HALT ~50 mm thick NULAR FILL ~450 mm thick hed sand and gravel, brown, damp, pact) textile at 0.5 m depth Y CLAY t brown, moist, (stiff to very stiff) -	105.63 105.6 105.1	0	26 26 12 96 kPa 50 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	×:	40 60		SS1 SS2 SS3

102.6

100.3

3

1 0

D

18 ....O

GLACIAL TILL Silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, grey, wet, (very loose to compact)

Auger Refusal at 5.3 m Depth

s = 7.5

×

Х

х

SS4

SS5

SS6

22/24		
A.GDT 8/22/24		
NA.G		
DTTA	ŗ.	
SOW 0		
PJ TF		
024.G		
12, 2	:	
JULY		
ADDITION -		
- BOREHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024.GPJ TROW OTTAW		
JACKSO	1	N
E AY,		,
EHOLI		
E BOR		4

so						• • • • • • • •					
JACK:	NOTES: 1.Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before	WAT	ER LEVEL RECC	RDS		CORE DRILLING RECORD					
Α	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %			
BOREHOLE	2.A 19 mm diameter Standpipe was installed as shown.	July 12, 2024	1.7								
REH	3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.										
	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions										
LOG OF	5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0										

Project:       OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School Addition       Figure No. 13         Page.       1 of 1         Location:       150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON       Split Spoon Sample       Contrustible Vapour Reading         Date Drilled:       'July 2, 2024       Split Spoon Sample       Contrustible Vapour Reading       Atteria Moisture Content       X         Datum:       Geodetic Elevation       Split Spoon Sample       Contrustible Vapour Reading       Atteriaed Tradial at % Stan at Failure       Contrustible Vapour Reading on the split Spoon Sample       Contrustible Vapour Reading       Atteriaed Tradial at % Stan at Failure       Contrustible Vapour Reading on the split Spoon Sample         Logged by:       M.Z.       Checked by: L.T.       Stan at Failure       Contrustible Vapour Reading on the split Spoon Sample       Stan at Failure       Contrustible Vapour Reading on the split Spoon Sample       Stan at Failure       Contrustible Vapour Reading Split Spoon Sample       Contrustible Vapour Reading Split Spoon Sample       Contrustible Vapour Reading Split Split Spoon Sample       Stan at Failure       Contrustible Vapour Reading Split		Log o	of Bo	<b>)</b> r	eh	ol	e	E	<u>BH</u>	-6	)				*	е	xc
Location:       150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON       Page.       1 of 1         Date Drilled:       'July 2, 2024       Split Spoon Sample       Combustible Vapour Reading       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading         Drill Type:       CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig       Split Spoon Sample       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading         Datum:       Geodetic Elevation       Dorantic Come Test       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading (promoter Test       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading (promoter Test         Logged by:       M.Z.       Checked by: I.T.       Shara Strength by       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading (promoter Test       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading (promoter Test         Soll DESCRIPTION       Geotextile at 0.5 m depth       Image: Compact (Compact)       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading (promoter Test       Image: Combustible Vapour Reading (promoter Test         Geotextile at 0.5 m depth       106.7       105.3       Image: Compact (Compact)       Image: Compact (Compact (Compact))       Image: Compact (Compact (Com	Project No:										F	igure	No.	13	3		
Date Drilled:       'July 2, 2024         Drill Type:       CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig         Datum:       Geodetic Elevation         Logged by:       M.Z.         Checked by:       L.T.         Solit Spent Struck-Mounted Drill Rig       Split Spoon Sample         Solit Description       Split Splot Split Mithole Split Mithole Split Mithole Split Mithole Split Mithole Split Mithole Split Split Mithole Split Mithol	•	·	iool Additio	on							-	Pa	age	<u>1</u> of	_1_		
Drill Type: CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig Datum: Geodetic Elevation Logged by: M.Z. Checked by: I.T. Selev Tube Solic DESCRIPTION Crushed Imestone, grey, damp, (compact) GRANULAR FILL ~400 mm thick Crushed Ime		*									-						
Drill Type:       CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig       SPT (N) Value       Atterberg Linits         Datum:       Geodetic Elevation       Dynamic Cone Test       Undrained Trisual at the Stear at Palue       Dynamic Cone Test         Logged by:       M.Z.       Checked by: I.T.       Stear Strength by       Stea	Date Drilled:	'July 2, 2024		-			nple								ding		_
Conduction       Conduction       Solution	Drill Type:	CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig		_		•				_				Content	F		
Logged by: M.Z. Checked by: I.T. Shear Strength by Shear Strengthy	Datum:	Geodetic Elevation		_	•		Test			_							$\oplus$
Grad         SOIL DESCRIPTION         Geodetic Binerity of the strength         20         40         60         80         Natural Missing Content of the strength         Natural Missing Content of the streng Missing Content of the strength	Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.			Shear S	trength	by			+ s		Shear	Strength b	у			<b></b>
M         SOIL DESCRIPTION         Elevation         Bioard         Read         Rea </td <td>s</td> <td></td> <td>Condutio</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>andard F</td> <td>Pene</td> <td>tration -</td> <td>Test N</td> <td>Value</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>S</td> <td>Natural</td>	s		Condutio			andard F	Pene	tration -	Test N	Value						S	Natural
L       ASPHALT ~100 mm thick       106.17       50       100       150       200       20       40       60       5       300         GRANULAR FILL ~400 mm thick       106.17       106.17       106.17       106.17       106.17       106.17       106.17       SS1         Geotextile at 0.5 m depth       105.3       105.3       105.3       105.3       105.3       SS2       SS2       SS2       SS2         Light brown, moist, (stiff)       105.3       105.3       105.3       105.3       SS2       SS3       SS3         GLACIAL TILL       Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)       103.9       103.9       103.9       103.9       103.9       SS4         GLACIAL TILL       102.9       102.9       102.9       102.9       Not set to the set t	W B	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation	e p t					60	80	kPa						Unit Wt.
GRANULAR FILL ~400 mm thick Crushed limestone, grey, damp, (compact)       105.7       105.7       105.3       105.7       105.3 <td< td=""><td>Ľ</td><td><b>HALT</b> ~100 mm thick</td><td>106.17</td><td>h 0</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td><u> </u></td><td>50</td><td>200</td><td></td><td>+</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>S</td><td></td></td<>	Ľ	<b>HALT</b> ~100 mm thick	106.17	h 0		-		<u> </u>	50	200		+				S	
Geotextile at 0.5 m depth       105.7         FILL       Clayey silty sand, brown, moist, (compact)         105.3       105.3         Siltry CLAY       105.3         Light brown, moist, (stiff)       105.3         GLACIAL TILL       103.9         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)       102.9         102.9       102.9	GRA GRA	NULAR FILL ~400 mm thick				<b>23</b>						×				$\mathbb{N}$	SS1
Clayey silty sand, brown, moist, (compact)       105.3       105.		extile at 0.5 m depth	∕ 105.7													-1/	
Light brown, moist, (stiff)	Clay		105.3									×	<			Ŵ	SS2
GLACIAL TILL       103.9         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)       103.9         102.9       102.9			-	1												1/\	
GLACIAL TILL       103.9         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)       103.9         102.9       102.9																	
GLACIAL TILL       103.9         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)       103.9         102.9       102.9																1	
GLACIAL TILL         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)         102.9         102.9					7	72 kP	a							×		ÌX	SS3
GLACIAL TILL         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)         102.9         102.9			_	2												-//\	
GLACIAL TILL         Sandy silt with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)         102.9         102.9			103.9														
boulders, light brown, moist to wet, (loose)																	
102.9 102.9 Run			-		4							×				ļĮ	SS4
102.9 102.9 Run																://	
SANDSTONE BEDROCK			_	3						· : : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : -						-	
SANDSTONE BEDROCK			100.0													:	
	<u> </u>	DSTONE BEDROCK	102.9														Run 1

8	
VA.GDT	
OTTAV	
TROW	
2, 2024.GPJ	
12,	
- JULY	
ADDITION	
ACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION	
g	
JACKS	
Ą	
REHOLE	

õ.						• • • • • • • • • •		
JACK	NOTES: 1.Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before	WAT	ER LEVEL RECO	RDS		CORE DR	RILLING RECOF	RD
Ă,	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
BOREHOLE	2. Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.				1	2.9 - 4	79	56
REH	3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.							
	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions							
LOG OF	5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0							

102.2

Borehole Terminated at 4.0 m Depth

Log	of	Bo	rel	ho	le	BH	1-1	0
•								

*ex	p.

Project No.	011-23012778-D0			igure No. 14	
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary School Addition		• <u> </u>	1	
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON			Page. <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>	
Date Drilled:	'June 27, 2024	Split Spoon Sample		Combustible Vapour Reading	
Drill Type:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mounted Drill Rig	Auger Sample SPT (N) Value	0	Natural Moisture Content Atterberg Limits	× ⊷⊖
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation	Dynamic Cone Test Shelby Tube		Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure	$\oplus$
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.	Shear Strength by Vane Test	- + s	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test	

G W L SY MBO		Geodetic	De			andai 20		netr 40		Test	N Va	lue 80			250	0	500	Read	'50	- A	Na Uni	tur
G Y W B L O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation m	p t h	SI	hear		gth	40 100		150			kPa	Atte	latur erbe 20		isture hits (% 40	Conte 6 Dry V	ent % Veight 60	t) L	kN	v/m
	<b><u>GRANULAR FILL</u></b> ~ 250 mm thick Sand and fine gravel, crushed, light bro	105.2	0														40				1	
	∴ ∖moist, (loose)	wn, 105.0		7 C	)									×							s	ss
<u>//</u>	TOPSOIL ~ 300 mm thick							÷												÷÷//	V	
	SILTY SAND Brown, moist										·····										1	
		104.3			10 O									×							s	;5
	Light brown, moist, (very stiff)	_	1								÷										V	
											÷ : · ;											
	_	_						ļ:	<u></u>		÷÷÷ ••••											
				4											-							
				Q	• • • •												×			1	s	,5
			2	· · · ·				1.			÷ : · ;		÷÷					•				
										170	) kPa										4	
		_						+		14	+ 8.5				+							
					•						÷				-							
		102.2			• • • •						÷ • • •				4			• • • •				
	GLACIAL TILL Silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and		3	, 																	7	
	boulders, grey, wet, (very loose)			<b>2</b> O										×							s	55
		_						Ŧ			÷ • • • •										V	
	Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m Dept	101.5 h						Ŧ														
															:							
						÷	:::								-		:					
							::															
						:																
IOTES	:	WATE	RI	EVF	ELR	ECC	RD	s						C	OR		RILL	NG F	RECO	RD		_
1.Bore	hole data requires interpretation by EXP before	Date		Wa					le Op	ben	_	Ru	in		epth		1	% Re			RQD	0/

GDT T OTTAWA TDOW d U 2024 ç JULY ADDITION LOG OF BOREHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL

Project No: OTT-23012778-D0

NOTES:	WAT	ER LEVEL RECO	ORDS		CORE DF	RILLING RECOF	RD
1. Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
2. Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion	2.7	Open to 3.7 m				
3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.							
4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions							
5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0							

Pr	oject I	No: <u>OTT-23012778-D0</u>	f Bo	)ľ	e	h	ole	e <u>E</u>	<u>8H-</u>		Figure	No	15	*	Э	xp.
Pr	oject:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary Sch	ool Additio	on							-	_	1 of			
Lc	cation	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON									Га	ye	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Da	ite Dril	lled: 'July 2, 2024		_	Split	Spo	on Sampl	e		]	Combus	stible Vap	our Read	ing		
Dr	ill Type	e: CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig		Auger Sample  SPT (N) Value  O				-	Natural Atterber		<b>×</b> −⊖					
Da	itum:	Geodetic Elevation		Dynamic Cone Test Shelby Tube					-	Undrain % Strair		$\oplus$				
Lo	gged I	by: <u>M.Z.</u> Checked by: I.T.				r Str	ength by	Ś			Shear S	trength b meter Te	у			<b>▲</b>
G W L	SY MBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h		2 ear S	Strength	0	60	80 kPa	2	250 5 tural Mois berg Limit	ture Conte s (% Dry \	750 ent % Weight)	SAMPLES	Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
	-	TOPSOIL ~ 220 mm thick	104.72	0			0 1	00 1	150	200 		20 	40	60 	- S -	
		FILL Silty clay, some sand, brown, moist, (compact)	104.5		1	1					<b>×</b>					SS1
		<u>SILTY CLAY</u> Brown, moist, (very stiff)	104.1		10	)						<b>*</b>			$\left  \right $	SS2
		Some sand from 0.6 m to to 1.2 m depth	_	1												
	_		_								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · ·			
			_	2	6 0						· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b>X</b>			SS3
									180 kF	)a						
			_						s = 9.	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			101.7	3							· · · · · · · · ·					
		<u>SILTY CLAY</u> Grey, wet, (firm)			<b>2</b>								×		$\mathbb{N}$	SS4
			_		3	8 kP	a				• • • • • • • •					
			100.7		133	+ = 7.									Ш.	
		Borehole Terminated at 4.0 m Depth														

LOG OF BOREHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024 GPJ TROW OTTAWA GDT 8/22/24

	TEO												
	TES:		CORE DRILLING RECORD										
		ole data requires interpretation by EXP before others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %				
2.	Boreho	ble backfilled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion	no water	Open to 4.0 m	110.	(,						
3.	Field v	ork supervised by an EXP representative.											
4.	See N	otes on Sample Descriptions											
5.	Log to	be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0											

oject:	OTT-23012778-D0 OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Second	lary School Additic	որ					F	igure	No.	1	6		
ojeci. ocation:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, 0	-	ווכ						Pa	age	<u>1</u> o	f <u>1</u>	-	
	'June 27, 2024										_			_
ill Type:		unted Drill Dig	_	Split Spoon S Auger Sample							pour Rea e Conten	-		×
atum:	CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mou Geodetic Elevation			SPT (N) Value Dynamic Con		-	0		Atterberg Limits Undrained Triaxial at					-0
	M.Z. Checked by:	1.7	-	Shelby Tube					% Stra	in at Failu Strength	ure			<b>⊕</b>
gged by.		1.1.		Shear Streng Vane Test	n by		+ s			ometer T				
S Y M B O	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	Standar 20 Shear Stren	40	ration Te		ue 30 kPa		250	apour Rea 500 isture Con iits (% Dr	750	M P	Natura Unit W kN/m
L	SOIL ~ 300 mm thick	104.62	h O	50	100	15	0 2	00		20	40	60		
1/ <u>1/</u>		104.3		6 0						×			Ì	SS1
Brow	<u>Y SAND</u> 'n, moist, (loose)						· · · · · · · ·							
SILT Brow	Y CLAY /n, moist, (stiff to very stiff)	104.0											$\mathbf{h}$	1
	,		1	8 ©	12	0 kPa					*		X	SS2
														1
				3 O							×		X	SS3
		-	2										_/	<u> </u>
					6 kPa		••••••							1
		-			+  = 7.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Y CLAY	101.9												
Grey	, wet	_	3				·····							
		Han	nmej	r Weight										SS4
				P								×	/	554
	avabala Tauminatad at 0.7 m D	100.9					· · · · · ·							
<sup>B</sup>	orehole Terminated at 3.7 m D	eptn												
TES:		WATE	RI		RDS						RILLING	RECO	RD	
Borehole data r use by others	Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before					Run Depth			11211NG		RQD %			
	lled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion		<u>evel (m)</u> y to 3.7 m		<u>To (m)</u> n to 3.7	' m	No.	<u>(</u> 1					
<ul> <li>leid work supe</li> </ul>	ervised by an EXP representative.												1	

	Log of	f Bo	rehole BH-13	8 <sup>%</sup> eyn
Project No:	OTT-23012778-D0			
Project:	OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary Scho	ol Additio	n	Figure No. <u>17</u>
Location:	150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON			Page. <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Date Drilled	'July 2, 2024		Split Spoon Sample	Combustible Vapour Reading
Drill Type:	CME 55 Truck-Mounted Drill Rig		Auger Sample II - SPT (N) Value O	Natural Moisture Content     X       Atterberg Limits     ————————————————————————————————————
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation		Dynamic Cone Test	Undrained Triaxial at $\oplus$ Strain at Failure
Logged by:	M.Z. Checked by: I.T.		Shear Strength by + Vane Test S	Shear Strength by Area
G Y M W BO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D         Standard Penetration Test N Value           p         20         40         60         80           t         Shear Strength         kF	Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm) 250 500 750 Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight) 20 40 60
	SOIL ~ 300 mm thick	105.15	0 50 100 150 200	
San	dy silty clay, brown, moist, (loose)	104.6	Ŏ	

7 O

2

2

3 O

·····

3

102.9

101.5

96 kPa

190 kPa +| s = 7.6 SS2

SS3

SS4

X

X

Х

 8/22/24
 V ULLAWA.GDI
2 2 2
 , 2024.GPJ
11
SCHOOL ADDI I ON
SON SCHOOL ADDI IION - (
JACKSON SCHOOL ADDI IION - 1
= AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDI IION
BUREHULE AY JACKSON SCHOUL ADDIIION

SILTY CLAY Light brown, moist, (stiff to very stiff)

GLACIAL TILL Silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders, grey, wet, (very loose)

Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m Depth

8								
JACK:	NOTES: 1.Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before	WAT	ER LEVEL RECC	RDS		CORE DF	RILLING RECOF	RD
Ϋ́	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
ОГЕ	2. Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion	Dry to 3.7 m	Open to 3.7 m				
BOREHOLE	3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.							
BOF	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions							
LOG OF	5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0							

Pi	ojec	t No: OTT-23012778-D0	f Bo	<b>r</b>	eh	ole	e <u> </u>	<u>8H-</u>					**(	Э	xp.
Pi	ojec	t: OCDSB A.Y. Jackson Secondary Scho	ol Additic	n					F		No		-		I
Lo	ocatio	on: 150 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, ON								Pa	ge1	of	1		
Da	ate D	orilled: 'June 28, 2024			Split Spc	on Sampl	e	$\boxtimes$		Combus	tible Vapo	ur Readii	ng		
Dr	ill Ty	/pe: CME 55LC Rubber Track-Mounted Drill	Rig		Auger Sa SPT (N)						Moisture C	Content	· _		×
Da	atum			-		Cone Te	st				ed Triaxial		ſ		<b>⊕</b>
Lo	ggeo	d by: M.Z. Checked by: I.T.		-	Shelby T Shear St Vane Te	rength by		■ + s		Shear St	at Failure trength by meter Tes				▲
G W L	SYMBO.	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h				Test N Valı 60 8	ue 0 kPa	2	stible Vapo 50 50 ural Moistu erg Limits	0 7	50	S A M L	Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
-	Ľ	TOPSOIL ~ 300 mm thick	103.87	h 0			00 1	50 20	0	2	20 4		i0	LES	
			103.6		8 O						×				SS1
		SILTY CLAY Brown, moist, (very stiff)	103.3		7						×			$\mathbb{N}$	SS2
		— With sand seams from 0.6 m to 1.2 m — depth	-	1	y									$\mathbb{A}$	
			-		8										
			-	2	Ō							×		$\mathbb{N}$	SS3
						1	15 kPa		• • • • • • •					11	
							s = 4.6								
		<u>SILTY CLAY</u> Grey, wet, (firm)	100.9 Han	3 Ime	r Weight							×			SS4
			-											$\mathbb{N}$	334
		Borehole Terminated at 3.7 m Depth	100.2											$\square$	

LOG OF BOREHOLE AY JACKSON SCHOOL ADDITION - JULY 12, 2024.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 8/22/24

OTES:	WAT	ER LEVEL RECC	RDS		CORE DE	RILLING RECOF	חא
I. Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %
2. Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.	Upon Completion	2.9	Open to 3.7 m		. ,		
3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.							
I.See Notes on Sample Descriptions							
5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23012778-D0							

CDSB A.Y. Jackson Second 50 Abbeyhill Drive, Ottawa, C une 28, 2024 ME 55LC Rubber Track-Mou eodetic Elevation Z. Checked by: SOIL DESCRIPTION IL ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) CLAY moist, (stiff) CLAY et, (firm)	DN unted Drill R I.T. 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 10		_	Shear	amp Valu c Coi Tube ttreng est 20 Strei 50 	le ne Tes gth by rd Per 4 ngth	st netration 0	150		0 kF	1 1 9 9 9 9	Combu Natura Atterbe Undrai % Stra Shear Penetr Comb	l Mois erg Lir ned T in at f Stren omete	Vap sture mits Triaxia Failur gth b er Te: Mois Limit	oour R Conte al at re yy st oour R	Readir ent Readir 75 Conter Dry W	ng ng (pp 50		5	<b>&lt;</b>
une 28, 2024 ME 55LC Rubber Track-Mou eodetic Elevation .Z. Checked by: SOIL DESCRIPTION IL ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) ELAY SLAY	I.T.	Geodetic Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8	Depth	Auger S SPT (N) Dynami Shelby' Shear S Vane Te St Shear Shear S 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	amp Valu c Coi Tube ttreng est 20 Strei 50 	e ne Tes yth by rd Per 4 ngth 11	st netration 0	60	O 	0 kF	1 1 9 9 9 9	Natura Atterbe Undrai % Stra Shear Penetri Comb	I Mois erg Lir ned T in at F Stren omete 250 atural rberg 20	sture mits riaxia Failur gth b er Te t Mois Limit	Conte al at re st 500 ture C ts (% I	Readir 75 Conter Dry W	ng (pp 50 nt % /eight			atura hit W N/m
ME 55LC Rubber Track-Mou eodetic Elevation .Z. Checked by: SOIL DESCRIPTION IL ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) CLAY moist, (stiff)	I.T.	Geodetic Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8	Depth	Auger S SPT (N) Dynami Shelby' Shear S Vane Te St Shear Shear S 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	amp Valu c Coi Tube ttreng est 20 Strei 50 	e ne Tes yth by rd Per 4 ngth 11	st netration 0	60	O 	0 kF	ן ע פ פ ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו ו	Natura Atterbe Undrai % Stra Shear Penetri Comb	I Mois erg Lir ned T in at F Stren omete 250 atural rberg 20	sture mits riaxia Failur gth b er Te t Mois Limit	Conte al at re st 500 ture C ts (% I	Readir 75 Conter Dry W	ng (pp 50 nt % /eight			atura nit W N/m
eodetic Elevation Z. Checked by: SOIL DESCRIPTION L ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) CLAY moist, (stiff)	I.T.	Geodetic Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8	Depth	Dynami Shelby' Shear S Vane Te Shear Shear 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	c Col Tube ttrengest 20 Stren 50 	ne Tes th by rd Per 4 ngth 11	DO	60	H S N Valu 8	0 kF	I S F	Undrai % Stra Shear Penetr Comb	ned T in at F Stren omete 250 atural rberg 20	riaxia Failur gth b er Te e Vap timit	re y st 500 F 500 ture C is (% I	75 Conter Dry W	50 nt % /eight			atura nit W N/m
Z. Checked by: SOIL DESCRIPTION IL ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) LAY moist, (stiff)	11 11 11 11	Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8	D e p t h	Shelby' Shear S Vane Te St Shear 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 3 36 kl	Tube ttreng est 20 Stren 50 	rd Per 4 ngth 11	DO	60	N Valu 8	0 kF	i i i	% Stra Shear Penetr Comb	in at F Stren omete 250 atural rberg 20	Failur gth b er Te e Vap t Mois Limit	re y st 500 F 500 ture C is (% I	75 Conter Dry W	50 nt % /eight			atura nit W N/m
SOIL DESCRIPTION <u>IL</u> ~ 300 mm thick  y, brown, moist, (loose) <u>CLAY</u> moist, (stiff)  CLAY	11 11 11 11	Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8	D e p t h	Vane Te Stear Shear G Shear 3 36 kl	anda 20 Strer 50	rd Per 4 ngth 11	0	60	N Valu 8	0 kF		Penetr Comb	ustible 250 atural rberg 20	er Ter e Vap t Mois Limit	oour F 500 ture C ts (% I	75 Conter Dry W	50 nt % /eight		5	sS1
IL ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) CLAY moist, (stiff)	11 11 11 11	Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8		Shear 6. 0. 8. 0. 	20 Strei 50	4 ngth 11	0	60	8	0 kF	Pa		250 atural rberg	Mois	500 ture C ts (% I	75 Conter Dry W	50 nt % /eight		5	sS1
IL ~ 300 mm thick y, brown, moist, (loose) CLAY moist, (stiff)	11 11 11 11	Elevation m 04.42 04.1 03.8		Shear 6. 0. 8 © 3 36 kl	Stree 50	ngth 11	20			kF	Pa		atural rberg 20	Mois Limit	ture C ts (% I	Conter Dry W	nt % /eight		5	sS1
y, brown, moist, (loose) CLAY moist, (stiff)	1(	04.1 03.8		8 8 3 3 3 6 kl				150	20				20		40 	6	0		5	
CLAY moist, (stiff)	1	03.8	1	8 © 3 36 kl	Pa	96 k	Pa						*		×					
CLAY moist, (stiff)	_		1	⊙ 3 <sup>.</sup> 36 kl	Pa	96 k	Pa								×					382
moist, (stiff)	11	03.0	2	⊙ 3 <sup>.</sup> 36 kl	Pa	96 k	Pa								×					3S2
CLAY	11	03.0	1	⊙ 3 <sup>.</sup> 36 kl	Pa										×					SS2
CLAY et, (firm)	11	03.0	2		Pa															
EAY et, (firm)	1	03.0	2		Pa															
et, (firm)	-		2		Pa														7	
	_		2												1:::				/	
	-		2										:111			×			(  s	SS3
	_						1	~ +	- : - : -1											
	_																			
			1.4	1					· · · · · ·	· · · · · ·		÷ ; · ; ·							Λ	_
				D:											>	<				SS4
																		ļ		
			3		kPa													r	4	
		01.0			9.0							· · · · · ·								
whole Terminated at 3.4 m De	epth																			
	i	WATE			REC	יחפר	3		י ר ר			 		יפח			FCO		<u> </u>	
ires interpretation by EXP before	Date			Water			Hole O		┥┝		ו ו	De	pth						RQD	%
upon completion of drilling.		oletion	L	<u>evel (m</u> 2.9	<u>)</u>	0			┥┝	No.		<u>(</u> r	<u>n)</u>	+						
ed by an EXP representative.																				
	ires interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling. ed by an EXP representative.	upon completion of drilling. Upon Completed by an EXP representative.	ires interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling. Upon Completion ed by an EXP representative.	ires interpretation by EXP before Date L upon completion of drilling. Upon Completion ed by an EXP representative.	ires interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling. ued by an EXP representative.	Interpretation by EXP before     Date     Water       upon completion of drilling.     Upon Completion     2.9	Image: Interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling.     Date     Water Level (m)       upon completion of drilling.     Upon Completion     2.9     Option	upon completion of drilling. Hole C Level (m) To (r Upon Completion 2.9 Open to Date Nater Hole C Level (m) To (r Upon Completion 2.9 Open to	Image: Interpretation by EXP before     Date     Water     Hole Open       upon completion of drilling.     Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m	Image: Interpretation by EXP before     Date     Water     Hole Open       upon completion of drilling.     Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m	Image: Interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling.     Date     Water Level (m)     Hole Open To (m)       Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m	Image: Interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling.     Date     Water Level (m)     Hole Open To (m)       Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m	Image: Interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling.     Date     Water Level (m)     Hole Open To (m)       Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m     No.     (r	irres interpretation by EXP before Date Water Hole Open Level (m) To (m) Upon Completion 2.9 Open to 3.4 m	Image: Interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling.     Date     Water Level (m)     Hole Open To (m)       Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m     No.     (m)	irres interpretation by EXP before Upon completion of drilling. Date Water Hole Open Level (m) To (m) No. (m)	ires interpretation by EXP before Date Water Hole Open Level (m) To (m) Wpon Completion 2.9 Open to 3.4 m	Date     Water     Hole Open       Level (m)     To (m)       Upon completion of drilling.	Image: Interpretation by EXP before upon completion of drilling.     Date     Water Level (m)     Hole Open To (m)       Upon Completion of drilling.     Upon Completion     2.9     Open to 3.4 m     No.     (m)	irres interpretation by EXP before Date Water Hole Open Level (m) To (m) Wpon Completion 2.9 Open to 3.4 m



100-2650 Queensview Drive

Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

#### Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate ASTM C-136

SAND GRAVEL CLAY AND SILT Fine Medium Coarse Fine Coarse GRAIN SIZE IN MICROMETERS SIEVE DESIGNATION (Imperial) 3 10 50 75 #200 1 5 30 1/2" 3/4" 1" 3" #100 #50 #16 #4 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 -0.00 0.01 0.10 1.00 10.00 100.00 Grain size (mm)

EXP Project No.:	OTT-23012778-D0	Project Name :		Geotechnical In	vestigat	ion - Proposed F	Residenti	ial Development	t.
Client :	Ottawa Carleton District School Board	Project Location	ı:	50 Abbey Hill D	rive,, Ka	nata Ottawa			
Date Sampled :	June 28, 2024	Borehole No:		BH 1	Sample	:	3	Depth (m) :	1.5 - 2.1 m
Sample Composit	ion :	Gravel (%)	0	Sand (%)	1	Silt & Clay (%)	98	Figure 1	20
Sample Description	on:	Silty Clay of Medi	um Plas	sticity (CI), trace	sand			Figure :	20

Unified Soil Classification System

\*ex



100-2650 Queensview Drive

Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

#### Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate ASTM C-136

SAND GRAVEL CLAY AND SILT Fine Medium Coarse Fine Coarse GRAIN SIZE IN MICROMETERS SIEVE DESIGNATION (Imperial) 3 50 75 #200 1 5 10 30 1/2" 3/4" 1" 3" #100 #50 #16 #4 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 -0.00 0.01 0.10 1.00 10.00 100.00 Grain size (mm)

EXP Project No.:	OTT-23012778-D0	Project Name :		Geotechnical In	vestigat	ion - Proposed A	ddition		
Client :	Ottawa Carleton District School Board	Project Location	ו:	50 Abbey Hill D	rive,, Ka	nata Ottawa			
Date Sampled :	June 27, 2024	Borehole No:		BH 7	Sample	:	4	Depth (m) :	2.3-2.9m
Sample Composit	ion :	Gravel (%)	0	Sand (%)	1	Silt & Clay (%)	98	Figure :	21
Sample Description	on: S	Silty Clay of High	Plastic	ity (CH), trace sai	nd			riguie .	21

Unified Soil Classification System

\*exp.



100-2650 Queensview Drive

Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

#### Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate ASTM C-136

**Unified Soil Classification System** 

SAND GRAVEL CLAY AND SILT Fine Medium Coarse Fine Coarse GRAIN SIZE IN MICROMETERS SIEVE DESIGNATION (Imperial) 3 50 75 #200 1 5 10 30 1/2" 3/4" 1" 3" #100 #50 #16 #4 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 -0.00 0.01 0.10 1.00 10.00 100.00 Grain size (mm)

EXP Project No.:	OTT-23012778-D0	Project Name :		Geotechnical In	vestigat	ion - Proposed A	ddition		
Client :	Ottawa Carleton District School Board	Project Location	n:	50 Abbey Hill D	rive,, Ka	nata Ottawa			
Date Sampled :	June 27, 2024	Borehole No:		BH 4	Sample	:	5	Depth (m) :	3.0 - 3.6
Sample Composit	ion :	Gravel (%)	7	Sand (%)	47	Silt & Clay (%)	46	Figure	22
Sample Description	ample Description : Glaci		(SM), so	me clay and trac	e gravel		Figure :	22	

**Percent Passing** 

\*exp.



#### Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate ASTM C-136

EXP Services Inc. 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6



EXP Project No.:	OTT-23012778-D0	Project Name :		Geotechnical In	vestigat	ion - Proposed A	ddition		
Client :	Ottawa Carleton District School Board	Project Location	n :	50 Abbey Hill D	rive,, Ka	nata Ottawa			
Date Sampled :	June 27, 2024	Borehole No:		BH 8	Sample	:	6	Depth (m) :	4.6 - 5.2 m
Sample Composit	ion :	Gravel (%)	10	Sand (%)	51	Silt & Clay (%)	69	Figure :	23
Sample Description	on : Glaci	al Till - Silty Sand	d (SM),	some gravel, tra	ce clay		-	i igure .	23

Percent Passing

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 12, 2025

## Appendix A – MASW Seismic Survey

<sup>%</sup>exp.



July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2024

Transmitted by email : ismail.taki@exp.com Our ref : GPR24-05486-e

Mr. Ismail Taki, M.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Manager, Earth & Environment, Eastern Region **exp** Services inc. 100 - 2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa ON K2B 8H6

#### Subject: Shear Wave Velocity Sounding for the Site Class Determination 150 Abbeyhill Drive, Kanata, Ottawa (ON)

[Project: OTT-23012778-D0]

Dear Mr. Taki,

Geophysics GPR International inc. has been mandated by **exp** Services inc. to carry out seismic surveys at the AY Jackson Secondary School, located at 150 Abbeyhill Drive, Kanata, in Ottawa (ON). The geophysical investigation used the Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW), the Spatial AutoCorrelation (SPAC), and the seismic refraction method. From the subsequent results, the seismic shear wave velocity values were calculated for the soils and the rock, to determine the Site Class.

The surveys were conducted on May 15<sup>th</sup>, 2024, by Mrs. Karyne Faguy, B.Sc. geophysics and Mr. Charles Trottier, M.Sc. physics. Figure 1 shows the regional location of the site and Figure 2 illustrates the location of the seismic spread. Both figures are presented in the Appendix.

The following paragraphs briefly describe the survey design, the principles of the testing methods, and the results presented in table and graph.

Mr. Ismail Taki, M.Eng., P.Eng. July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2024

#### **MASW Principle**

The *Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves* (MASW) and the *SPatial AutoCorrelation* (SPAC or MAM for *Microtremors Array Method*) are seismic methods used to evaluate the shear wave velocities of subsurface materials through the analysis of the dispersion properties of the Rayleigh surface wave. The MASW is considered an "active" method, as the seismic signal is induced at known location and time in the geophones' spread axis. Conversely, the SPAC is considered a "passive" method, using the low frequency "signals" produced far away. The method can also be used with "active" seismic source records. The SPAC method generally allows deeper V<sub>S</sub> soundings. Its dispersion curve can then be merged with the one of higher frequency from the MASW to calculate a more complete inversion. The dispersion properties are expressed as a change of velocities with respect to frequencies. Surface wave energy will decay exponentially with depth. Lower frequency surface waves will travel deeper and thus be more influenced by deeper velocity layering than the shallow higher frequency waves. The inversion of the Rayleigh wave dispersion curve yields a shear wave (V<sub>S</sub>) velocity depth profile (sounding).

Figure 3 schematically outlines the basic operating procedure for the MASW method. Figure 4 illustrates an example of one of the MASW/SPAC records, a corresponding spectrogram analysis and resulting 1D  $V_s$  model.

#### **INTERPRETATION**

The main processing sequence involved data inspection and edition when required; spectral analysis (from MASW and SPAC); picking the fundamental mode; and 1D inversion of the MASW and SPAC shot records using the SeisImagerSW<sup>™</sup> software. The data inversions used a nonlinear least squares algorithm.

In theory, all the shot records for a given seismic spread should produce a similar shear-wave velocity profile. In practice, however, differences can arise due to energy dissipation, local surface seismic velocities variations, and/or dipping of overburden layers or rock. In general, the precision of the calculated seismic shear wave velocities (V<sub>s</sub>) is around 15% or better.

More detailed descriptions of these methods are presented in *Shear Wave Velocity Measurement Guidelines for Canadian Seismic Site Characterization in Soil and Rock*, Hunter, J.A., Crow, H.L., et al., Geological Surveys of Canada, General Information Product 110, 2015.



#### SURVEY DESIGN

The seismic spreads were laid out south of the actual building (Figure 2). The geophone spacing was 3.0 metres for the main spread, using 24 geophones, and a shorter seismic spread, with geophone spacing of 1.0 metre was dedicated to the near surface materials. The seismic records were produced with a seismograph Terraloc MK6 (from ABEM Instrument), and the geophones were 4.5 Hz.

The seismic records counted 4096 data, sampled at 1000  $\mu$ s for the MASW surveys, and at 50  $\mu$ s for the seismic refraction. The records included a pre-trigged portion of 10 ms. An 8 kg sledgehammer was used as the energy source, with impacts being recorded off both ends of the seismic spreads. A stacking procedure was also used to improve the Signal / Noise ratio for the seismic records.

The shear wave depth sounding can be considered as the average of the bulk area within the geophone spread, especially for its central half-length.

#### RESULTS

From seismic refraction ( $V_P$ ) the rock was calculated between 4.5 and 7.4 metres deep (± 1 metre). Its rock seismic velocity ( $V_S$ ) was calculated at 1860 m/s. These parameters were used for the geophysical models prior to the MASW results inversions.

The MASW calculated V<sub>S</sub> results are illustrated at Figure 5.

The  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  value results from the harmonic mean of the shear wave velocities, from the surface to 30 metres deep. It is calculated by dividing the total depth of interest (30 metres) by the sum of the time spent in each velocity layer from the surface down to 30 metres, as:

$$\bar{V}_{S30} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i / V_i} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i = 30 \text{ m}$$

(N: number of layers; H<sub>i</sub>: thickness of layer "i"; V<sub>i</sub>: V<sub>s</sub> of layer "i")

Thus, the  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  value represents the seismic shear wave velocity of an equivalent homogeneous single layer response, between the surface and 30 metres deep.

The calculated  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  value of the actual site is 769.6 m/s (Table 1), corresponding to the Site Class "B". However, the Site Classes A and B are not to be used if there is 3 metres or more of unconsolidated material between the rock and the bottom of the spread footing or mat foundation.

In the case there would be 1.0 metre or less of soil between the rock and the bottom of the foundation, the  $\overline{V}_{S30}^*$  value would be greater than 1500 m/s, corresponding to the Site Class A.



#### CONCLUSION

Geophysical surveys were carried out at the AY Jackson Secondary School, located at 150 Abbeyhill Drive, Kanata, in Ottawa (ON). The seismic surveys used the MASW and SPAC analysis, and the seismic refraction to calculate the  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  value.

The  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  value of the actual site is 770 m/s, corresponding to the Site Class "B" (760 <  $\overline{V}_{S30} \le$  1500 m/s), as determined through the MASW and SPAC methods, Table 4.1.8.4.-A of the NBC (2015), and the Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12. It must be noted that the Site Classes A and B are not to be used if there is 3 metres or more of unconsolidated material between the rock and the bottom of the spread footing or mat foundation.

In the case there would be 1.0 metre or less of soil between the rock and the bottom of the foundation, the  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  \* value would be greater than 1500 m/s, corresponding to the Site Class A.

It must also be noted that other geotechnical information gleaned on site; including the presence of liquefiable soils, very soft clays, high moisture content etc. (cf. Table 4.1.8.4.-A of the NBC 2015) can supersede the Site classification provided in this report based on the  $\overline{V}_{S30}$  value.

The V<sub>s</sub> values calculated are representative of the in situ materials and are not corrected for the total and effective stresses.

Hoping the whole to your satisfaction, we remain yours truly,

P. Eng.

Jean-Luc Arsenault, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Senior Project Manager







Figure 1: Regional location of the Site (Source : OpenStreetMap©)



Figure 2: Location of the seismic spreads (source: Google Earth™)





Figure 3: MASW Operating Principle



Figure 4: Example of a MASW/SPAC record, Phase Velocity - Frequency curve of the Rayleigh wave and resulting 1D Shear Wave Velocity Model





Figure 5: MASW Shear-Wave Velocity Soundings



Depth		Vs		Thickness	Cumulative	Delay for	Cumulative	Vs at given
Depth	Min.	Median	Max.	THICKNESS	Thickness	med. Vs	Delay	Depth
(m)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m)	(m)	(s)	(s)	(m/s)
0	186.3	214.8	226.9		Grade	Level (May 1	5 <sup>th</sup> , 2024)	
1.0	172.1	177.2	192.3	1.00	1.00	0.004654	0.004654	214.8
2.0	202.2	210.9	248.6	1.00	2.00	0.005643	0.010297	194.2
3.0	245.0	283.9	333.4	1.00	3.00	0.004741	0.015038	199.5
4.0	282.6	302.9	347.9	1.00	4.00	0.003523	0.018561	215.5
5.0	262.8	309.9	352.0	1.00	5.00	0.003302	0.021863	228.7
6.0	1554.8	1624.6	1795.0	1.00	6.00	0.003227	0.025090	239.1
8.0	1612.0	1700.8	1834.5	2.00	8.00	0.001231	0.026321	303.9
11.0	1640.2	1753.2	1851.6	3.00	11.00	0.001764	0.028085	391.7
16.0	1649.8	1733.0	1825.9	5.00	16.00	0.002852	0.030937	517.2
22.0	1649.8	1746.0	1845.3	6.00	22.00	0.003462	0.034399	639.6
30				8.00	30.00	0.004582	0.038981	769.6
							Vs30 (m/s)	769.6

# $\frac{\text{TABLE 1}}{\bar{V}_{\text{S30}}}$ Calculation for the Site Class (actual site)

(1) The Site Classes A and B are not to be used if there is 3 metres or more of unconsolidated material between the rock surface and the bottom of the spread footing or mat foundation.

#### TABLE 2 Limit for the Site Class A

Depth		Vs		Thickness	Cumulative	Delay for	Cumulative	Vs at given		
Deptil	Min.	Median	Max.	THICKNESS	Thickness	med. Vs	Delay	Depth		
(m)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m)	(m)	(s)	(s)	(m/s)		
0	186.3	214.8	226.9							
1.0	172.1	177.2	192.3							
2.0	202.2	210.9	248.6	] .	imit for the Ci		1.0 matra af a	-:I)		
3.0	245.0	283.9	333.4		imit for the Si	le Class A (	1.0 metre of s	011)		
4.0	282.6	302.9	347.9							
5.0	262.8	309.9	352.0							
6.0	1554.8	1624.6	1795.0	1.00	1.00	0.003227	0.003227	309.9		
8.0	1612.0	1700.8	1834.5	2.00	3.00	0.001231	0.004458	672.9		
11.0	1640.2	1753.2	1851.6	3.00	6.00	0.001764	0.006222	964.3		
16.0	1649.8	1733.0	1825.9	5.00	11.00	0.002852	0.009074	1212.3		
22.0	1649.8	1746.0	1845.3	6.00	17.00	0.003462	0.012536	1356.1		
35.0				13.00	30.00	0.007446	0.019982	1501.4		
							Vs30* (m/s)	1501.4		
							Class	А		



B <sup>(1)</sup>

Class

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 12, 2025

## **Appendix B – Bedrock Core Photographs**

<sup>%</sup>exp.

	4.	DRY BEDROCK CORES	
	4.	6.2m WET BEDROCK CORES 4 m 4.6 m Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add	
	*e	Unit 180, 1407 John Counter Boulevard K7K 6A9 Kingston, Ontario (T)613-542-1253 (F)613-547-3767 www.exp.com	
Borehole No: BH-2 Date Cored	Core Runs Run 1: 4.4 m - 4.6 m Run 2: 4.6 m - 6.2 m	Geotechnical Investigation A.Y. Jackson Secondary School - Proposed Addition	Project N0: OTT-23012778-D0
Jun 28, 2024		Rock Core Photographs	B-1



EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 12, 2025

**Appendix C – Laboratory Certificate of Analysis Report** 

\*ехр.



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

#### CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC 2650 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, UNIT 100 OTTAWA, ON K2B8H6 (613) 688-1899 ATTENTION TO: Matthew Zammit PROJECT: OTT-23012778-D0 AGAT WORK ORDER: 24Z169861 SOIL ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Sukhwinder Randhawa, Inorganic Team Lead DATE REPORTED: Jul 12, 2024 PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 5 VERSION\*: 1

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

*Notes	

Disclaimer:

- All work conducted herein has been done using accepted standard protocols, and generally accepted practices and methods. AGAT test methods may
  incorporate modifications from the specified reference methods to improve performance.
- All samples will be disposed of within 30 days after receipt unless a Long Term Storage Agreement is signed and returned. Some specialty analysis may be exempt, please contact your Client Project Manager for details.
- AGAT's liability in connection with any delay, performance or non-performance of these services is only to the Client and does not extend to any other third party. Unless expressly agreed otherwise in writing, AGAT's liability is limited to the actual cost of the specific analysis or analyses included in the services.
- This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
- The test results reported herewith relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.
- Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of
  merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. AGAT assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the guidelines
  contained in this document.
- All reportable information is available on request from AGAT Laboratories, in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017, ISO/IEC 17025:2005 (Quebec), DR-12-PALA and/or NELAP Standards.
- This document is signed by an authorized signatory who meets the requirements of the MELCCFP, CALA, CCN and NELAP.
- For environmental samples in the Province of Quebec: The analysis is performed on and results apply to samples as received. A temperature above 6°C upon receipt, as indicated in the Sample Reception Notification (SRN), could indicate the integrity of the samples has been compromised if the delay between sampling and submission to the laboratory could not be minimized.

**AGAT** Laboratories (V1)

Member of: Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta
(APEGA)
Western Enviro-Agricultural Laboratory Association (WEALA)
Environmental Services Association of Alberta (ESAA)

Page 1 of 5

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. Measurement Uncertainty is not taken into consideration when stating conformity with a specified requirement.



## **Certificate of Analysis**

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24Z169861 PROJECT: OTT-23012778-D0

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

SAMPLING SITE:

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

#### ATTENTION TO: Matthew Zammit

SAMPLED BY:

				(Soi	il) Inorganic	Chemistry
DATE RECEIVED: 2024-07-03						DATE REPORTED: 2024-07-12
				BH1 SS4 (7.	BH7 SS4 (7.	
		SAMPLE DES	CRIPTION:	5'-9.5')	5'-9.5')	
		SAM	PLE TYPE:	Soil	Soil	
		DATES	SAMPLED:	2024-06-28	2024-06-27	
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5980858	5980859	
Chloride (2:1)	µg/g		2	76	35	
Sulphate (2:1)	µg/g		2	163	57	
pH (2:1)	pH Units		NA	8.52	8.31	
Electrical Conductivity (2:1)	mS/cm		0.005	0.337	0.194	
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	ohm.cm		1	2970	5150	

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

5980858-5980859 EC, pH, Chloride and Sulphate were determined on the extract obtained from the 2:1 leaching procedure (2 parts DI water: 1 part soil). Resistivity is a calculated parameter.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by \*)



**Certified By:** 



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

## **Quality Assurance**

#### CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

#### PROJECT: OTT-23012778-D0

#### SAMPLING SITE:

AGAT WORK ORDER: 24Z169861

ATTENTION TO: Matthew Zammit

SAMPLED BY:

Detab		C	UPLICAT	E		REFEREN	ICE MA	TERIAI	METHOD		CDIKE	МАТ				
Datab									METHOD	DEAN	SFIRE	IVIAI	TIN SFI	RIX SPIKE		
Batch	Sample	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Method Blank	Measured	Acceptable Limits		Recoverv	Acceptable Limits		Recoverv	Lin	eptable mits		
	Id					value	Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		
5980859 5	5980859	35	36	2.8%	< 2	97%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	97%	70%	130%		
5980859 5	5980859	57	57	0.0%	< 2	96%	70%	130%	100%	80%	120%	99%	70%	130%		
5980035		7.54	7.77	3.0%	NA	93%	80%	120%								
5980035		0.271	0.224	19.0%	< 0.005	103%	80%	120%								
	5980859 5980035	5980859 5980859 5980859 5980859 5980035	Id         Id           5980859         5980859         35           5980859         5980859         57           5980035         7.54	5980859         5980859         35         36           5980035         57         57         57           5980035         7.54         7.77	5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%           5980859         5980859         57         57         0.0%           5980035         7.54         7.77         3.0%	5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         < 2           5980859         5980859         57         57         0.0%         < 2	5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         < 2         97%           5980859         5980859         57         57         0.0%         < 2	Id         I         Value         Lower           5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         < 2	Id         I         I         I         I         I         Lower         Upper           5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         <2	Id         I         I         I         I         I         I         Lower         Upper           5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         <2	Id         I         Value         Lower         Upper         Lower           5980859         35         36         2.8%         <2	Id         I         I         Value         Lower         Upper         Lower         Upper           5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         <2	Id         I         Value         Lower         Upper         Lower         Upper           5980859         5980859         35         36         2.8%         < 2	Id         I         I         Value         Lower         Upper         Lower         Lower         Upper         Lower         Lower		

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.

pH duplicates QA acceptance criteria was met relative as stated in Table 5-15 of Analytical Protocol document.





#### **AGAT** QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. RPDs calculated using raw data. The RPD may not be reflective of duplicate values shown, due to rounding of final results.

Page 3 of 5



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

## **Method Summary**

#### CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

#### PROJECT: OTT-23012778-D0

#### AGAT WORK ORDER: 24Z169861

**ATTENTION TO: Matthew Zammit** 

SAMPLING SITE:		SAMPLED BY:										
PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE									
Soil Analysis		L	1									
Chloride (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH									
Sulphate (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH									
рН (2:1)	INOR 93-6031	modified from EPA 9045D and MCKEAGUE 3.11	PH METER									
Electrical Conductivity (2:1)	INOR-93-6075	modified from MSA PART 3, CH 14 and SM 2510 B	PC TITRATE									
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	INOR-93-6036	McKeague 4.12, SM 2510 B,SSA #5 Part 3	EC METER									

GGGGT Laboratories       Have feedback?       Maxe feedback?       Maxe feedback?         Scan here for a quick survey!       Physics.712.5129       Physics.712.5129         Chain of Custody Record       If this is a Drinking Water sample, please use Drinking Water Chain of Custody Form (potable water consumed by humans)												Laboratory Use Only         Work Order #:         2472         Cooler Quantity:         Arrival Temperatures:         Denot Temperatures:         Denot Temperatures:         Denot Temperatures:         Denot Temperatures:										
Report Information:         Company:       EXP Services Inc         Contact:       Matthew Zammit         Address:       2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100         Ottawa, Ontario. K2B 8H6         Phone:       613-688-1899         Reports to be sent to:       1. Email:         Yan.digiuseppe@exp.com       79         Project Information:       Project:         OTT-23012778-D0       Site Location:         AY Jackson School       Artice				Regulatory Requirements:         IPlease check all applicable bores         IPlease check all applicable bores         Regulation 153/04       Regulation 406         Table       Indicate One         Ind/Corm       Indicate One         Ind/Corm       Indicate One         Ind/Corm       Res/Park         Agriculture       Agriculture         Soil Texture (check One)       Regulation 558         Coarse       CCME         Is this submission for a Record of Site Condition (RSC)?       No			5 Sewer Use Sanitary Storm Region Prov. Water Quality Objectives (PWQ0)					Depot Temperatures: Custody Seal Intact: Yes No N/A Notes: Turnaround Time (TAT) Required: Regular TAT S to 7 Business Days Rush TAT (Rush Surcharges Apply) 3 Business 2 Business Days Days Days Days Day OR Date Required (Rush Surcharges May Apply): Please provide prior notification for rush TAT *TAT is exclusive of weekends and statutory holidays										
Sampled By: AGAT Quote #:	EXP	PO:			al Sample 🗌		crvl, DOC	0.	. Reg 15	3				<b>'Same</b> g 406	0, Re		s, plea	ise co	ntact	your A	GAT CS	SR (N/A)
Involce Inform Company: Contact: Address: Email:		Date	II To Same: Yes 🗹 No [	Sample	Paint R Soil	D Sediment W Surface Water	Finit Filtered - Metals, Hg.	Metals & Inorganics	Metals - 🗆 CrVI, 🗆 Hg, 🗆 HWSB	BTEX, F1-F4 PHCs VOC	PAHS	PCBs: Aradors	Metals,		Disposal Characterization	sivity: D Moisture D Su	Hq	Sulphate	Chlorides	Electro Resivity		entialty Hazardous or High Concer
	dentification	Sampled	Sampled Containers	Matrix	Special	Instructions		Ŵ	ž		PA	8	E	L B	<u> </u>	2 8	-		_	0.0		8
BH1 554 (7.5'- BH7 SS4 (7.5'-'		June 28 June 27	PM 1 PM 1														Ø	Ø			+	-
ji •			AM PM																			
			AM PM																			
			AM PM													4			_		-	-
		_	AM PM				1												_			-
			AM PM										-									
			AM PM																			
			AM PM																			
).			AM PM											_								
1,			AM PM																			
nplie: Relinizioshed By (Prin	Nome and Signi:		Date Terrie		Samples Beperes Bro	The second secon					040	7/03	24	16)	NO							
Benefors Ratinguesting By Heart Rome and Stant		shar	Stantister Michael Tradition Name and Name. Data						.3	5.24 915 an Page of												

\*ехр.

## **Legal Notification**

This report was prepared by EXP Services for the account of Ottawa Carleton District School Board.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. EXP Services Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this project.

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition Revision 2 AY Jackson High School, Ottawa, Ontario OTT-23012778-D0 June 12, 2025

### **List of Distribution**

#### **Report Distributed To:**

Jean Voth, P.Eng. jean.voth@ocdsb.ca

<sup>%</sup>exp.