

# **Groundwater Impact Assessment**

## **Proposed Residential Development**

Wateridge Block 105 – Mikinak Road & Vedette Way  
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Mattamy Homes

Report PH5061-REP.01  
dated May 2, 2025



## Table of Contents

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Proposed Development.....	1
<b>2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3.0 SITE CONDITIONS .....</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1 Geology .....	2
3.2 Hydrogeology .....	3
<b>4.0 POTENTIAL IMPACTS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Adverse Effects on Neighbouring Water Wells.....	7
4.2 Adverse Effects on Adjacent Structures .....	8
4.3 Soil, Surface Water and Groundwater.....	8
4.4 Adjacent Permits to Take Water.....	10
4.5 Existing Servicing .....	10
<b>5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>6.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS .....</b>	<b>12</b>

## Appendices

<b>Appendix 1</b>	Drawing PH5061-1 Site Plan Drawing PH5061-2 Surficial Geology Plan Drawing PH5061-3 Bedrock Geology Plan Drawing PH5061-4 MECP Water Well Location Plan
<b>Appendix 2</b>	PG7353 - Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets PG7353-1 - Test Hole Location Plan
<b>Appendix 3</b>	Table 2 – Horizontal Hydraulic Gradient Summary
<b>Appendix 4</b>	Stantec – Grading and Servicing Plans

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Mattamy Homes to complete a groundwater impact assessment (GIA) for the proposed residential development to be located at Mikinak Road and Vedette Way in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (Refer to Paterson Drawing PH5061-1 - Site Plan in Appendix 1).

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project described herein. It contains a hydrogeological review and assessments pertaining to the proposed development as it is understood by Paterson at the time of writing this report.

### **1.1 Proposed Development**

Based on available drawings and information at the time of report preparation, the proposed development will consist back-to-back and stacked townhouses with basements or slab-on-grade construction. It is anticipated the proposed development will be municipally serviced.

## **2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The field investigations in support of the proposed development was carried out by Paterson and others between February 2014 and March 2025. During that time, a total of six (6) boreholes were advanced across the subject site to a maximum sampling depth of 7.5 m below ground surface (bgs). The borehole locations were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the subject site. Borehole information can be found in Appendix 2 of this report. The approximate locations of the boreholes are presented on drawing PG7353-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

## **3.0 SITE CONDITIONS**

The subject site is vacant and grassed covered. It is bordered to the north by Hemlock Road, to the east by a park block, to the south by Mikinak Road, and to the west by Vedette Way. The ground surface across the subject site gradually slopes downward towards the west with an approximate elevation difference of approximately 2 m.

The subject site was previously used as a military base known as CFB Rockcliffe. The majority of the subject site was previously occupied by single family dwellings, local roadways and car parking areas in addition to some landscaped areas. All structures within the subject site were demolished by 2013.

### **3.1 Geology**

Generally, the soil profiles at the borehole locations consist of topsoil and fill material underlain by silty clay and/or glacial till. Specific details of the soil profile at each borehole location within the subject site are presented on the borehole logs included in Appendix 2.

According to surficial mapping prepared by the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, MRD128-Revised) the subject site is in an area where the surficial geology consists of fine-textured glaciomarine deposits. This information is generally consistent with the results of the field investigations. The surficial mapping is presented on drawing PH5061-2 - Surficial Geology Plan included in Appendix 1.

#### **Fill Material**

Fill material was encountered at all borehole locations. The fill generally consists of brown silty clay and/or silty sand with gravel, crushed stone, organics, and construction debris to a maximum depth of 2.7 m bgs.

#### **Silty Clay**

A hard to stiff brown silty clay crust was encountered below the fill and/or topsoil at the majority of borehole locations to a maximum depth of 4.9 m bgs. A firm grey silty clay deposit was encountered in BH2-25 to a maximum depth of 5.9 m bgs.



---

## **Glacial Till**

A compact to dense glacial till deposit was encountered at all borehole locations underlying the fill material and/or silty clay. The glacial till deposit is comprised of brown silty sand, with varying amounts of clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders to a maximum sampling depth of 7.5 m bgs.

## **Bedrock**

Available geological mapping provided by the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, MRD 219) has noted the subject site to consist of interbedded limestone and dolostone of the Gull River formation with a drift thickness of 2 to 5 m bgs. The bedrock geology is presented on drawing PH5061-3 - Bedrock Geology Plan included in Appendix 1.

It is anticipated that minor bedrock removal may be required for building construction and servicing installations at select locations.

## **Karst Features**

The term “karst” refers to a geologic formation characterized by the dissolution of carbonate bedrock, such as limestone or dolostone. For karstification to occur, precipitation must be able to infiltrate the top of the bedrock and enlarge previously existing joints and bedding planes through the process of dissolution. Based on available mapping by the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, GRS005), the subject site is located within an area with potential karstic landforms due to areas of carbonate rock units identified as being susceptible to karst processes. However, the impermeable silty clay layer identified throughout the majority of the site inhibits the infiltration of water necessary for the dissolution of the underlying carbonate rocks. Therefore, impacts related to karstification are considered to be negligible within the site boundary.

## **3.2 Hydrogeology**

### **Existing Aquifer Systems**

Aquifer systems may be defined as a geological media, either overburden soils or fractured bedrock, which permit the movement of groundwater under hydraulic gradients. Although groundwater has been observed within the overburden material at the subject site, the hydrogeological characteristics of the overburden aquifer makes it an unlikely source for water supply wells. Based on the well records within the subject area, the water supply wells have been noted to be accessing the bedrock aquifer.

Based on the available geological mapping provided by the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, MRD 219) and bedrock aquifer mapping, provided by Natural Resources Canada Urban Geology of the National Capital Region, the bedrock aquifer system consists of limestone and dolostone of the Gull River formation. The subject area primarily accesses the Gull River formation aquifer system or the bordering Rockcliffe and Bobcaygeon aquifer systems.

Existing potable water wells have been noted for domestic supply in the Fairhaven Community located approximately 330 m south (upgradient) of the subject site. Based on the well records, the wells have been noted to be accessing the bedrock aquifer, extending to depths ranging from 18 to 122 m bgs. Water bearing fractures were encountered at depths ranging from 17 to 119 m bgs. Bedrock was encountered between ground surface to 6 m bgs.

### **Groundwater Levels**

Groundwater was observed in the monitoring wells and piezometers installed in the overburden at the borehole locations. Based on a review of the water well records, groundwater is also present in the bedrock at depth. Groundwater levels in the overburden at the subject site were measured between 82.31 m asl (4.11 m bgs) and 85.2 m asl (3.3 m bgs) following the completion of the geotechnical field investigations.

While groundwater infiltration from the silty clay deposit is expected to be negligible, the potential exists for a moderate amount of groundwater inflow through the glacial till and bedrock, depending on the compaction and composition of the deposit or bedrock quality at a given location. It is anticipated that pumping from open sumps will be sufficient to control groundwater influx through the sides of the excavations. It should be noted that groundwater levels can fluctuate seasonally and with precipitation events. Therefore, groundwater levels could vary at the time of construction.

### **Groundwater Flow Paths**

Due to the nature of the water levels obtained from field work conducted at the subject site (groundwater monitoring wells and piezometers), the absolute direction and magnitude of horizontal hydraulic gradients in the vicinity of the subject site was not determined. However, using the available data, it was possible to approximate the horizontal hydraulic gradients in the overburden materials given that the horizontal hydraulic gradient between any 2 points is the slope of the hydraulic head between those points:

$$i = (h_2 - h_1) / L$$

Where:  $i$  = horizontal gradient  
 $h$  = water elevation (m asl)  
 $L$  = horizontal distance between test hole locations

Using the above noted formula, the horizontal hydraulic gradients were observed to reflect local topography with a magnitude generally ranging between 0.008 to 0.040 m/m in the overburden materials. The approximate hydraulic gradients are presented in Table 2 in Appendix 3.

Groundwater flow in the vicinity of the subject site is generally expected to reflect local topography. The subject site is located within the Ottawa East of Core 2 subwatershed with a regional flow direction expected to trend north towards the Ottawa River.

### **Hydraulic Conductivity**

The hydraulic conductivity values for the overburden and bedrock material were conservatively estimated based on experience at similar sites and published values. Hydraulic conductivity for glacial till generally ranges from  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  m/sec and is dependent on the compaction and composition of the deposit at a given location. Hydraulic conductivity for brown to grey silty clay generally ranges from  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-12}$  m/sec and is dependent on the moisture level and consistency of the material. The hydraulic conductivity for bedrock generally ranges from  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  m/sec, depending on the quality of the bedrock at a given location.

At the time of report preparation and for the purpose of this study, the maximum elevation depth of excavation for the buildings and servicing is expected to be approximately 84.5 m asl and 83 m asl, respectively. These depths are based on drawings prepared by Stantec that are included in Appendix 4. Given the observed groundwater infiltration levels in the test holes and proposed excavation depths, it is conservatively estimated that up to 2.5 m of saturated overburden and bedrock material could be encountered at the excavation locations.

### **Groundwater Recharge and Discharge**

In general, groundwater will follow the path of least resistance from areas of higher hydraulic head to areas of lower hydraulic head. While upward and downward hydraulic gradients may be indicative of discharge and recharge respectively, other factors must be considered.

Based on the Source Protection Information Atlas mapping provided by the MECP, the subject site is not considered a significant groundwater recharge area. Furthermore, the silty clay layer encountered throughout the majority of the site is generally considered to act as a confining layer. It is our interpretation that groundwater will generally flow laterally through the underlying glacial till material and bedrock, as opposed to vertically through the soils with lower hydraulic conductivity such as the silty clay. As such, the volume of recharge occurring within the site boundaries is expected to be minimal.

With regards to discharge zones, neither the topographical nor geological conditions are suitable for large scale discharge to occur at the subject site. Furthermore, no indication of groundwater discharge zones was identified during Paterson's field investigations.

## 4.0 POTENTIAL IMPACTS

### 4.1 Adverse Effects on Neighbouring Water Wells

A search of the Ontario Water Well Records database indicates there are several monitoring well installations within 500 m of the site. While no potable water supply wells were identified in close proximity to the subject site, the Fairhaven Community located approximately 330 m south of the property (upgradient) is privately serviced. Additionally, the area within 500 m of the site is primarily serviced by municipal water supplies, with the exception of the Fairhaven Community. Surrounding water wells are depicted on Paterson Drawing PH5061-4 - MECP Water Well Location Plan included in Appendix 1.

As previously noted, the wells within the Fairhaven Community are accessing the bedrock aquifer, extending to depths ranging from 18 to 122 m bgs. Water bearing fractures were encountered at depths ranging from 17 to 119 m bgs. Bedrock was encountered between ground surface to 6 m bgs.

In order to determine potential impacts to well users for the purpose of this study, conservative theoretical radii of influence have been calculated based on the saturated material encountered on site.

These calculations were completed based on Sichardt (1992) using the equation:

$$R = 3000 \cdot \Delta h (k^{0.5})$$

R = radius of influence (m)

$\Delta h$  = thickness of drawdown within the aquifer (m)

k = hydraulic conductivity (m/sec)

For the purposes of completing the calculations, the following assumptions were made:

- ☐ k =  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  m/s for silty clay;  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  m/s for glacial till;  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  m/s for bedrock
- ☐  $\Delta h$  = 2 m silty clay; 1.5 m glacial till; 1.5 m bedrock

Using the above equation and assumptions, the following radii of influence will develop as a steady state condition, extending from the edge of the excavation, in the area of the subject site. A factor of safety has been applied to the calculated radius of influence for the purpose of this review.

- ☐ R = 2 m (4 m factored) for silty clay
- ☐ R = 14 m (28 m) for glacial till
- ☐ R = 14 m (28 m) for bedrock

Based on the above noted calculations, the Fairhaven Community is located well outside the factored radii of influence that will develop during steady state conditions. Furthermore, the anticipated water taking activities at the subject site are located downgradient from the existing well users. Lastly, water takings are expected to be short term in duration, given the nature of the development.

As the potential to interfere with the water quality/quantity of the existing well users within the Fairhaven Community is negligible, a water well monitoring program is not recommended for the aforementioned water takings.

## **4.2 Adverse Effects on Adjacent Structures**

Existing structures adjacent to the subject site include the residential dwellings located to the south and west. The distance from the building excavations where dewatering will take place to the existing residential dwellings have been noted to be approximately 25 m or greater.

While the adjacent structures are anticipated to be founded on either silty clay, glacial till or bedrock, the majority of the groundwater infiltration is expected to occur within the glacial till deposit or bedrock with minimal compressibility. Furthermore, adjacent structures founded on silty clay are located outside the factored theoretical radius of influence of 4 m, as per Section 4.1. As such, any effects related to ground surface settlement due to the water taking activities during construction are expected to be negligible.

## **4.3 Soil, Surface Water and Groundwater**

A search of the MECP Environmental Site Registry for Records of Site Condition (RSCs) was conducted as part of the assessment of the site, neighbouring properties, and the general area. A total of seven (7) RSCs were identified within 500 m of the subject site and are presented in Table 1. None of the RSC sites have ongoing monitoring controls.

**Table 1 - Summary of Adjacent RSC Sites**

RSC #	Address	Distance to RSC from Site	Soil Remediation	GW Remediation	Monitoring Controls
236493	1076 Hemlock Rd.	25 m N	4,630 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required	Not Required
226470	1076-1335 Hemlock Rd.	150 m E	2,250 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	5,500 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required
226318	715 Mikinak Rd.	235 m E	4,931 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	5,314 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required
223064	335 St. Laurent Blvd	400 m E	3,188 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	2 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required
223850	335 St. Laurent Blvd.	250 m SE	1,220 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	60 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required
221266	335 St. Laurent Blvd.	25 m S	3,350 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	37.5 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required
229794	1076 Hemlock Rd.	25 m W	527 m <sup>3</sup> Removed	Not Required	Not Required

All excess soils generated by construction activities that will be transported on-site or off-site should be handled as per Ontario Regulation 406/19: On-Site and Excess Soil Management.

With respect to surface water features, there is an unidentified tributary to the Ottawa River located approximately 375 m west of the subject site and well outside the anticipated radii of influence that may develop due to dewatering activities at the subject site. As such, adverse effects to surface water features resulting from dewatering activities at the subject site are expected to be negligible.

If the discharged water is to be directed to overland drainage within 30 m of a watercourse, the turbidity of the water shall not exceed 8 NTU above background levels of the nearest water body. The contractor will be required to maintain appropriate Best Management Practices with respect to sediment and erosion control to ensure negative effects to the surrounding environment are minimized.

The water that is pumped from the excavations must be managed in an appropriate manner. The contractor may be required to implement a water management and treatment program to dispose of the pumped water. It is expected the water will be discharged to overland. Further treatment may be required should the discharge not meet the required guidelines.



## **4.4 Adjacent Permits to Take Water**

A search of the MECP Permit to Take Water database provided one (1) PTTW within a 500 m radius of the subject site.

Permit number 0565-A5AMP8 has been registered to Canada Lands Company CLC Ltd. The PTTW contains three (3) sources within the subject area and is for construction dewatering related to municipal servicing within the Wateridge development. The cumulative potential taking for these sources is 94,500 L/day. It is understood that municipal servicing has been completed under the current PTTW. Furthermore, the above noted PTTW will expire on December 31, 2025, prior to any water takings at the subject site. Therefore, cumulative impacts related to adjacent water taking permits are anticipated to be negligible.

A search of the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) database provided one (1) EASR within a 500 m radius of the subject site. EASR R-009-2112511249 is registered to Mattamy (Jock River) Ltd. The EASR has a total potential taking of 400,000 L/day and is located approximately 90 m east of the site and well outside the theoretical radius of influence. Furthermore, it is understood that all water taking activities have been completed. Therefore, cumulative impacts related to water taking activities or ground surface settlement between the two sites are expected to be negligible.

## **4.5 Existing Servicing**

It is understood there are no existing supply wells located within the subject site. Existing monitoring wells at the subject site should be properly decommissioned by a licensed well contractor as per O.Reg. 903 prior to construction.

## 5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Further testing and site preparation is recommended for the detailed hydrogeological assessment. The following aspects of the program should be performed prior to commencing construction for the proposed residential development:

- ☐ All existing wells within the proposed development should be properly decommissioned as per O.Reg. 903 prior to construction, if they are not intended to be maintained in accordance with the regulation.
- ☐ Prior to and during site development, it is recommended that construction best management practices with respect to fuels and chemical handling, spill prevention, and erosion and sediment control be followed.
- ☐ For any water taking of volumes greater than 50,000 L/day, an active Environmental Activity and Sector Registration (EASR) or a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) is required from the MECP, dependant on dewatering requirements.

## 6.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

The recommendations provided in this report are in accordance with our present understanding of the project.

A hydrogeological review of this nature is a limited sampling of a site. The recommendations are based on information gathered at the specific test locations and can only be extrapolated to an undefined limited area around the test locations. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, we request notification immediately in order to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Mattamy Homes or their agent(s) is not authorized without review by Paterson Group for the applicability of our recommendations to the altered use of the report.

**Paterson Group Inc.**



Nicholas Zulinski, P.Geo., géo.



# APPENDIX 1

DRAWING PH5061-1 - SITE PLAN

DRAWING PH5061-2 - SURFICIAL GEOLOGY PLAN

DRAWING PH5061-3 - BEDROCK GEOLOGY PLAN

DRAWING PH5061-4 - MECP WATER WELL LOCATION PLAN









**LEGEND:**

- SITE BOUNDARY
- FINE-TEXTURED GLACIOMARINE DEPOSITS
- PALEOZOIC BEDROCK
- ALLUVIAL DEPOSITS

SCALE: 1:1500





**PATERSON GROUP**  
9 AURIGA DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON  
K2E 7T9  
TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DD/MM/YYYY	INITIAL

MATTAMY HOMES  
GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT  
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
WATERIDGE BLOCK 105 - MIKINAK ROAD & VELETTE WAY


OTTAWA,  
Title:

ONTARIO


**SURFICIAL GEOLOGY PLAN**

Scale:	1:1500	Date:	04/2025
Drawn by:	GK	Report No.:	PH5061-1
Checked by:	NZ	Dwg. No.:	<b>PH5061-2</b>
Approved by:	NZ	Revision No.:	





- LEGEND:**
- SITE BOUNDARY
  - GULL RIVER FORMATION, LIMESTONE, DOLOSTONE, SHALE, SANDSTONE
  - BOBCAYGEON FORMATION, LIMESTONE, WITH MINOR SHALES





**PATERSON GROUP**  
9 AURIGA DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON  
K2E 7T9  
TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DD/MM/YYYY	INITIAL


MATTAMY HOMES  
GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT  
PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
WATERIDGE BLOCK 105 - MIKINAK ROAD & VELETTE WAY  
ONTARIO

OTTAWA,  
Title:

**BEDROCK GEOLOGY PLAN**

Scale:	1:1500	Date:	04/2025
Drawn by:	GK	Report No.:	PH5061-1
Checked by:	NZ	Dwg. No.:	<b>PH5061-2</b>
Approved by:	NZ	Revision No.:	






**LEGEND:**

- MECP WATER WELL LOCATIONS
- SITE BOUNDARY
- WATER FEATURE

SCALE: 1:10000

0 100 200 300 400 500m 750m

 <p>9 AURIGA DRIVE OTTAWA, ON K2E 7T9 TEL: (613) 226-7381</p>					<b>MATTAMY HOMES GROUNDWATER IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WATERIDGE BLOCK 105 - MIKINAK ROAD &amp; VEDETTE WAY</b>		Scale:	1:10000	Date:	04/2025
							Drawn by:	GK	Report No.:	PH5061-1
					<b>OTTAWA, ONTARIO</b>		Checked by:	NZ	Dwg. No.:	<b>PH5061-4</b>
							Approved by:	NZ	Revision No.:	
	NO.	REVISIONS	DD/MM/YYYY	INITIAL	<b>MECP WATER WELL LOCATION PLAN</b>					



# APPENDIX 2

PG7353 - SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS

PG7353-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

**COORD. SYS.:** MTM ZONE 9

**EASTING:** 372289.43

**NORTHING:** 5035042.12

**ELEVATION:** 86.69

**PROJECT:** Proposed Residential Development


**FILE NO. : PG7353**

**ADVANCED BY: CME-55 Low Clearance Drill**

**DATE:** March 10, 2025


HOLE NO.: BH 1-25

REMARKS:



DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

<b>COORD. SYS.:</b> MTM ZONE 9	<b>EASTING:</b> 372313.90	<b>NORTHING:</b> 5034952.10	<b>ELEVATION:</b> 86.42
<b>PROJECT:</b> Proposed Residential Development			<b>FILE NO. :</b> PG7353
<b>ADVANCED BY:</b> CME-55 Low Clearance Drill			<b>HOLE NO. :</b> BH 2-25
<b>REMARKS:</b>			<b>DATE:</b> March 10, 2025




DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

<b>COORD. SYS.:</b> MTM ZONE 9	<b>EASTING:</b> 372399.54	<b>NORTHING:</b> 5035001.50	<b>ELEVATION:</b> 87.36
--------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------

<b>PROJECT:</b> Proposed Residential Development	<b>FILE NO. :</b> PG7353
--	--------------------------

<b>ADVANCED BY:</b> CME-55 Low Clearance Drill	<b>HOLE NO. :</b> BH 3-25
--	---------------------------

<b>REMARKS:</b>	<b>DATE:</b> March 10, 2025
-----------------	-----------------------------




DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.

**ELEVATION:** 88.46

**FILE NO. : PG7353**

**HOLE NO. : BH 4-25**

**DATE:** March 10, 2025



**COORD. SYS.:** MTM ZONE 9      **EASTING:** 372335.12      **NORTHING:** 5035084.75      **ELEVATION:** 87.58

**PROJECT:** Proposed Residential Development

**FILE NO. :** PG7353

**ADVANCED BY:** CME-55 Low Clearance Drill

**REMARKS:**

**DATE:** March 10, 2025

**HOLE NO. :** BH 5-25

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE				■ PEN. RESIST. (BLOWS/0.3m) DCPT (50mm DIA. CONE)				PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION	ELEVATION (m)
			TYPE AND NO.	RECOVERY (%)	N OR RQD	WATER CONTENT (%)	20	40	60	80		
							△ REMOULDED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)					
							▲ UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)					
							PL (%)      WATER CONTENT (%)      LL (%)					
				20	40	60	80					
GROUND SURFACE												
Overburden												87
		1										86
		2										85
		3										84
		4										83
		5										82
Inferred Glacial Till												81
End of Borehole												80
Practical refusal to augerings at 5.38 m depth		6										79
(GWL at 4.54 m depth - March 21, 2025)		7										78
		8										77


DISCLAIMER: THE DATA PRESENTED IN THIS SHEET IS THE PROPERTY OF PATERSON GROUP AND THE CLIENT FOR WHOM IT WAS PRODUCED. THIS SHEET SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ITS CORRESPONDING REPORT. PATERSON GROUP IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE UNAUTHORIZED USE OF THIS DATA.



# LOG OF BOREHOLE BH14-30

DST REF. No.: **OE-OT-015358**  
 CLIENT: **Canada Lands Company**  
 PROJECT: **Former CFB Rockcliffe**  
 LOCATION: **Ottawa, Ontario**  
 SURFACE ELEV.: **85.85 metres**

Drilling Data  
 METHOD: **Hollow Stem Auger**  
 DIAMETER: **80 mm ID**  
 DATE: **February 24, 2014**  
 COORDINATES: **5033327.89 m N, 450239.28 m E**




BOREHOLE (STANDARD) - OTTAWA GS-OT-015358 ROCKCLIFFE PHASE I GPJ DST\_MIN.GDT 4/11/14




DST Consulting Engineers Inc.  
 203 - 2150 THURSTON DRIVE  
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1G 5T9  
 PH: (613)748-1415  
 FX: (613)748-1356  
 Email: ottawa@dstgroup.com  
 Web: www.dstgroup.com

## SAMPLE TYPE LEGEND



**ENCLOSURE 5**






**LEGEND:**

- BOREHOLE LOCATION, 2025
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION, 2025
- BOREHOLE LOCATION PATERSON GROUP REPORT PG5756, 2021
- BOREHOLE LOCATION (DTS, 2015)
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (DTS, 2014)
- BOREHOLE LOCATION (DTS, 2013)
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (DTS, 2013)
- TEST PIT LOCATION (DTS, 2013)
- BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION (DTS, 2004)

83.87 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)  
[83.74] BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)  
{80.11} PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING/EXCAVATION ELEV. (m)  
(80.11) PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO DCPT ELEV. (m)

CONCEPTUAL PLAN PROVIDED BY NAK DESIGN

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.



9 AURIGA DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON  
K2E 7T9  
TEL: (613) 226-7381

1	2025 BOREHOLES ADDED TO PLAN UPDATED TO NEW CONCEPTUAL PLAN	25/03/2025	KP
NO.	REVISIONS	DD/MM/YYYY	INITIAL

**MATTAMY HOMES**  
**GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION**  
**PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT**  
**WATERIDGE BLOCK 15 - MIKINAK ROAD & VELETTE WAY**  
**ONTARIO**

**TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN**

Scale:	1:1500	Date:	11/2024
Drawn by:	GK	Report No.:	PG7353-1
Checked by:	MA	Dwg. No.:	<b>PG7353-1</b>
Approved by:	KP	Revision No.:	1



## APPENDIX 3

TABLE 2 – HORIZONTAL HYDRAULIC GRADIENT SUMMARY

<b>Table 2 - Horizontal Hydraulic Gradient Summary</b>						
<b>Well 'A'</b>		<b>Well 'B'</b>				
<b>Well ID</b>	<b>GW Elevation (m asl)</b>	<b>Well ID</b>	<b>GW Elevation (m asl)</b>	<b>Distance (m)</b>	<b>Hydraulic Gradient (m/m)*</b>	<b>Date</b>
BH2-25	82.3	BH3-25	82.5	100	-0.0020	2025-05-02
BH3-25	82.5	BH4-25	85.2	90	-0.0300	2025-05-02
BH4-25	85.2	BH5-25	83.4	45	0.0400	2025-05-02
BH5-25	83.4	BH2-25	82.3	135	0.0081	2025-05-02

\*Hydraulic Gradient = (GW Elevation Well 'A' - GW Elevation Well 'B') / Distance

# APPENDIX 4

## STANTEC – GRADING AND SERVICING PLAN







**Legend**

- PROPOSED WATERMAIN
- PROPOSED VALVE AND VALVE BOX
- PROPOSED VALVE CHAMBER
- PROPOSED REDUCER
- PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER AND ETOBICOKE SYSTEM
- PROPOSED CATCH-BASIN
- EXISTING WATERMAIN
- EXISTING VALVE AND VALVE BOX
- EXISTING VALVE CHAMBER
- EXISTING REDUCER
- EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT
- EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
- EXISTING STORM SEWER
- EXISTING CATCH-BASIN MANHOLE
- EXISTING CATCH-BASIN
- PROPOSED DEPRESSION CURB LOCATIONS
- PROPOSED BARRIER CURB
- THERMAL INSULATION ON STORM SEWER WHERE COVER IS LESS THAN 1.5m. THERMAL INSULATION ON WATERMAIN WHERE COVER IS LESS THAN 2.4m AS PER W22.
- PROPOSED 2" RATED FIRE WALL LOCATION
- PROPOSED HOUSE SERVICES
- 200mm STORM SERVICE PVC SDR 26 @ 1% MIN
- 150mm SANITARY SERVICE PVC SDR 26 @ 1% MIN
- 19mm PEH TUBING WATER SERVICE C/W CURB STOP AND SERVICE POST
- SCUPPER LOCATIONS

**Notes**

- SERVICING INFORMATION WITHIN PROPERTY LIMITS AND ADJACENT ROADS NETWORK RETRIEVED FROM IB GROUP: WATERIDGE VILLAGE AT ROOFTOP PHASE 1A, DATED OCT 26, 2009.

1	ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW	JP	PM	25.04.04
Revision		By	Appd.	YY.MM.DD

File Name:	160402127-08	JP	PM	JP	25.03.24
		Dwn.	Chkd.	Dsgn.	YY.MM.DD

**Permit-Seal**

**Client/Project**

MATTAMY HOMES

WATERIDGE VILLAGE  
BLOCK 105  
OTTAWA, ON, CANADA

**Title**

SITE SERVICING PLAN

Project No.	160402127	Scale	0 4 12 20m
Drawing No.	Sheet	Revision	

SSP-1

3 of 10

1

PLAN # XXXXX

