



Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief

Site Plan Control Design
85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON

Prepared for:

Centurion Appelt (1 Centrepoint) LP
#218, 3477 Lakeshore Road,
Kelowna, BC

Attention: Joshua Saltzman

LRL File No.: 230088

July 17, 2025
Rev 02: November 4, 2025



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION	1
2	EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION	2
3	SCOPE OF WORK	2
4	REGULATORY APPROVALS	3
5	WATER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION	3
5.1	Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage	3
5.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	3
6	SANITARY SERVICE	6
6.1	Existing Sanitary Sewer Services	6
6.2	Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design	6
7	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	6
7.1	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure	6
7.2	Design Criteria	7
7.2.1	Water Quality	7
7.2.2	Water Quantity	7
7.3	Method of Analysis	7
7.4	Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls	7
8	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	7
9	CONCLUSION	9
10	REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS	10



APPENDICES

Appendix A Pre-consultation / Correspondence

Appendix B Water Supply Calculations & Fire Hydrant Coverage

Appendix C Wastewater Collection Calculation

Appendix D Stormwater Management Calculation

Appendix E Civil Engineering Drawings

Appendix F Proposed Site Plan, Legal Survey, As-builts



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Development Residential Population Estimate	4
Table 2: Summary Of Boundary Conditions.....	5
Table 3: Fire Protection Summary Table.....	5
Table 4: Post-Development Estimated Areas & Runoff Coefficients	8
Table 5: Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary (100 Year)	9

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Aerial View Of Proposed Development	1
--	---



1 INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION

LRL Associates Ltd. was retained by Appelt Properties to complete a Stormwater Management Analysis and Servicing Brief for the construction of a 6-storey residential building with 2 levels of underground garage parking. The site is located at 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, Ontario.

The subject property consists of one (1) lot with an existing parking lot. The site location is legally described as Part of Lots 35, Concession 2 and Registered Plan 4M-623 in the City of Ottawa and is zoned MC F(2.0) H(34) (Mixed-Use Center Zone).




Figure 1: Aerial View of Proposed Development

The subject property measures approximately **39 m** in frontage along **Baseline Road** and approximately **49 m** along **Gemini Way**. Based on locations of the existing property line, the total site area is approximately **0.323 ha**.

The proposed development will be constructed in a single phase, which includes the demolition of the existing asphalt parking lot and the construction of the 6-storey residential building. Refer to **Site Plan** included in **Appendix F** for more details.

This report has been prepared in consideration of the terms and conditions noted above and with the civil drawings prepared for the new development. Should there be any changes in the design features, which may relate to the stormwater and servicing considerations, LRL Associates Ltd. should be advised to review the report recommendations.

2 EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION

The subject site measures **0.323 ha** and currently consists of a parking lot with associated asphalt parking. There is one existing entrance to the site via Gemini Way. The highest point on the site is located at the northwest and southeast edges, with an elevation of **86.63 m**. The lowest point is located in the middle of the south part of the site, with an elevation of **86.06 m**. The site generally slopes towards this low point, where an existing catch basin is located. Overall, the site is relatively flat, as it is predominantly occupied by an outdoor asphalt parking lot fronting Gemini Way.

Sewer and watermain mapping, along with as-built information collected from the City of Ottawa indicate the following existing infrastructure located within the adjacent right-of-way:

Gemini Way:

- **525 mmØ** CONC storm sewer
- **300 mmØ** PVC sanitary sewer
- **203 mmØ** DI watermain

3 SCOPE OF WORK

As per applicable guidelines, the scope of work includes the following:

Stormwater management

- Calculate the allowable stormwater release rate.
- Calculate the anticipated post-development stormwater release rates.
- Demonstrate how the target quantity control objectives will be achieved.
- Demonstrate how the target quality control objectives will be achieved.

Water services

- Calculate the expected water supply demand at average and peak conditions.
- Calculate the required fire flow as per the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method.
- Confirm the adequacy of water supply and pressure during peak flow and fire flow condition.
- Describe the proposed water distribution network and connection to the existing system.

Sanitary services

- Describe the existing sanitary sewers available to receive wastewater from the proposed building.
- Calculate peak flow rates from the development.
- Describe the proposed sanitary sewer system.
- Review impact of increased sanitary flow on downstream sanitary sewer.

4 REGULATORY APPROVALS

An MECP Environmental Compliance Approval is not expected to be required for installation of the proposed storm and sanitary sewers within the site. A Permit to Take Water is not anticipated to be required for pumping requirements for sewer installation. The Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) will need to be consulted to obtain municipal approval for site development. No other approval requirements from other regulatory agencies are anticipated.

5 WATER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION

5.1 Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 2W water distribution network pressure zone. It is situated in proximity to an existing 203 mm dia. watermain along Gemini Way. Additionally, there are at least two (2) existing fire hydrants located nearby. Refer to **Appendix B** for the location of fire hydrants.

5.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

According to the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02), since the subject site is anticipated to house more than 50 residential units, it is required to be serviced by two water service laterals, separated by an isolation valve, for redundancy and to avoid creation of a vulnerable service area. Additionally, considering the presence of automatic sprinkler system inside the building and a recommended size to service the sprinkler system, the subject property is proposed to be serviced via two (2) 150 mm diameter service laterals connected to the existing 203mm DI watermain within Gemini Way. Refer to *Site Servicing Plan C.401* in **Appendix E** for servicing layout and connection points.

We have analyzed the water demand requirements for the proposed 6-storey residential building. The residential water demands, and anticipated population were determined using Appendix 4-A, Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 from the *City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines* and Table 3-3 from the *MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems*.

Through reviewing the architectural floor plans of the proposed building, it was determined that the building will have a total combined above ground floor space of **11,079.6 m²**, **161** residential units.

The water supply requirements for the residential units and commercial space in the proposed development have been calculated using the following formulas:

$$Q = (q \times P \times M), \text{ for the residential and}$$
$$Q = (q \times A \times M), \text{ for the commercial space.}$$

Where:

q = average water consumption (L/capita/day) or (L/ha/day)

P = design population (capita)

M = Peak factor

A = area (ha)

Residential Demands

The proposed building will include **53** studio units, **75** one-bedroom units and **33** two-bedroom units. Based on the City of Ottawa Design guidelines for population projection, this translates to approximately **248.5** residents. *Table 1* below summarizes the proposed residential population count as interpreted using Table 4-1 from the *City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guideline*.

Table 1: Development Residential Population Estimate

Proposed Unit Type	Persons Per Unit	Number of Units	Total Population
Studio	1.4	53	74.2
1 Bedroom	1.4	75	105.0
2 Bedroom	2.1	33	69.3
	Total	161	248.5

With reference to *Table 4.1 of the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines*, an average water consumption rate of 280 L/c/d was used. With reference to Table 3-3 of the *MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems* a Maximum Daily Demand Factor and Maximum Hour Demand Factor were calculated to be approximately 3.90 and 5.83, respectively. The anticipated residential demands are calculated as follows:

- Average daily domestic water demand is **0.81 L/s**,
- Maximum daily demand is **3.14 L/s**, and
- Maximum hourly demand is **4.70 L/s**.

Refer to **Appendix B** for water demand calculations.

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the previously determined estimated water demand, as indicated in the boundary condition request (correspondence included in **Appendix B**). The previously anticipated residential demands were calculated as follows:

- Average daily domestic water demand is **0.76 L/s**,
- Maximum daily demand is **3.04 L/s**, and
- Maximum hourly demand is **4.54 L/s**.

The following hydraulic grade line (HGL) boundary conditions were obtained from the City:

- Minimum HGL = **126.0m**
- Maximum HGL = **132.2m**
- Maximum available Fire flow at 20 psi = **218 L/s**

As indicated in Table 3 below, the residual pressure at the proposed connection meets the required pressure range stated in the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (Section 4.2.2). The average daily domestic water demand has increased by 6.6%, and the maximum hourly demand has increased by 3.5% compared to the previously determined values. As the increase in water demand is minimal compared to the previously submitted values used for boundary condition requests, a new request was not deemed necessary. Refer to **Appendix B** for Boundary Conditions.

Table 2: Summary of Boundary Conditions

Design Parameter	Boundary Conditions @ Gemini way	
	(m H ₂ O)	*KPa (psi)
Minimum HGL	126.0	395.21 (57.32)
Maximum HGL	132.2	456.01 (66.14)

*Based on a ground elevation of approximately 85.7 m

The estimated fire flow for the proposed buildings was calculated in accordance with *ISTB-2018-02* by using the following parameters provided by the Architect:

- Type of construction – Wood Frame
- Occupancy type – Limited Combustible
- Sprinkler Protection –Fully Automatic Sprinkler System

The estimated fire flow demand was estimated to be **13,000 L/min (216.7 L/s- Building A)**, see **Appendix B** for details. The required fire flow does not exceed the available fire flow at 20 psi (218 L/s) as per the boundary conditions.

There are six (6) existing fire hydrants in proximity to the proposed buildings that are available to provide the required fire flow demands of 13,000 L/min. Refer to **Appendix B** for fire hydrant locations. Table 4 below summarizes the aggregate fire flow of the contributing hydrants in proximity to the proposed development based on Table 18.5.4.3 of *ISTB-2018-02*.

Table 3: Fire Protection Summary Table

	Max. Fire Flow Demand (L/min)	Fire Hydrants(s) within 75m	Fire Hydrant(s) within 150m	Available Combined Fire Flow (L/min)
Contemplated Development	13,000	3	3	(3 x 5678) + (3 x 3785) = 28,389

The total available fire flow from contributing hydrants is equal to **28,389 L/min** which is sufficient to provide adequate fire flow for the proposed development. A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

The proposed water supply design conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.

6 SANITARY SERVICE

6.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer Services

There is an existing 300 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer located within Gemini Way. The proposed development is anticipated to connect to this existing sewer.

6.2 Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design

The proposed development will be serviced via a 200 mm dia. sanitary service connected to the existing 300mm PVC sanitary sewer located within Gemini Way. Refer to LRL drawing C.401, included in **Appendix E**, for the proposed sanitary servicing.

The parameters used to calculate the anticipated residential sanitary flows are an average population count of 1.4 person per single unit, 2.1 persons per two-bedroom unit, a residential daily demand of 280 L/p/day, a residential peaking factor of 4.0 and a total infiltration rate of 0.33 L/s/ha. Based on these parameters and a total site area of 0.323 ha, the total anticipated wet wastewater flow was estimated to be **3.33 L/s**. Refer to **Appendix C** for the site sanitary sewer design sheet.

As requested in the pre-consultation with City staff, the calculated sanitary demands for the proposed development were coordinated with the City of Ottawa to confirm there is sufficient capacity in the downstream municipal sewers. As per correspondence attached, see **Appendix C**, the downstream municipal sewers can sufficiently accommodate the increase in sanitary flows from the proposed development.

7 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system as such, approvals for the proposed development within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

An existing 525 mm diameter concrete storm sewer is located within Gemini Way. Under pre-development conditions, the site is divided into four drainage catchments:

- **ECA-01 (0.203 ha):** drains southwest toward Gemini Way
- **ECA-02 (0.046 ha):** drains east toward the adjacent property boundary
- **ECA-03 (0.470 ha):** drains south to Gemini Way
- **ECA-04 (0.027 ha):** drains north toward Baseline Road

Pre-development drainage patterns are illustrated on Plan C701 in **Appendix E**. Additional details on pre-development and post-development watershed areas are provided in **Appendix D**.

7.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater management criteria for this development are based on the pre-consultation with City of Ottawa officials, the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 (City standards), as well as the Ministry of the Environment's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, 2003 (SWMPD Manual).

7.2.1 Water Quality

The subject property is located within the Ottawa River West sub-watershed and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). It was determined that a total suspended solids (TSS) removal efficiency of minimum 80% is required for this site. To meet this requirement, an oil grit separator (EFO4 or approved equivalent) is proposed for quality control.

7.2.2 Water Quantity

Based on pre-consultation with the city, correspondence included in **Appendix A**, the following stormwater management requirements were identified for the subject site:

- The 1:100-year post-development discharge from the site shall not exceed 33.5L/s/ha. Excess flows for all storm events up to and including 100-year event will be detained on-site.

Refer to **Appendix D** for calculations.

7.3 Method of Analysis

The Modified Rational Method has been used to calculate the runoff rate from the site to quantify the detention storage required for quantity control of the development. Refer to **Appendix D** for storage calculations.

7.4 Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls

The proposed stormwater management quantity control for this development will be accomplished using an underground cistern. The proposed cistern will be pumped, and a proposed 250 mm PVC diameter storm sewer pipe will outlet stormwater flows from the site to the existing 525mm CONC storm sewer located within Gemini Way. The proposed servicing layout and connection points are shown on drawing C.401 in **Appendix E**, and detailed calculations can be found in **Appendix D**.

The site has been analyzed, and eleven (11) post-development watersheds have been allocated.

- CA-01, CA-02 and CA-03 (0.185ha) are controlled areas which consist of the roof envelope. There will be roof storage and control. Flows from the roof will be directed to the outlet pipe.
- CA-04 (0.035ha) is a controlled area which consists of pavers and is located east of the building. This area is directed to an area drain which carries flows to the underground cistern.

- CA-05 and CA-06 (0.037ha) consist of ramp access. Flows from this area will be captured via a trench drain and directed to the underground cistern.
- CA-07 (0.021ha) is a controlled area which consists of pavers and grass and is located on the west side of the building. This area is directed to area drains which will convey flows to the underground cistern.
- CA-08, CA-09 and CA-10 (0.034ha) are controlled drainage areas located north of the building, fronting Baseline Road. These areas consist of a mix of grassed surfaces, concrete, and pavers. Runoff from these areas is collected via an area drain, trench drain, and catch basin, and then conveyed to the underground cistern.
- CA-11 (0.001ha) consists of areas south of the building that will flow uncontrolled towards the Gemini Right of Way.

Refer to C601, Stormwater Management Plan and C702, Post-Development Watershed Plan C702 in **Appendix E** for reference.

Table 5 below summarizes post-development drainage areas. Calculations can be seen in **Appendix D**.

Table 4: Post-Development Catchment Areas & Runoff Coefficients

Catchments	Total Area (ha)	Weighted Runoff Coefficient (C)
CA-01A (roof controlled)	0.034	0.90
CA-01B (roof controlled)	0.027	0.90
CA-01C (roof controlled)	0.018	0.90
CA-01D (roof controlled)	0.024	0.90
CA-02A (roof controlled)	0.019	0.90
CA-02B (roof controlled)	0.022	0.90
CA-02C (roof controlled)	0.025	0.90
CA-03A (roof controlled)	0.009	0.90
CA-03B (roof controlled)	0.007	0.90
CA-04 (cistern controlled)	0.035	0.90
CA-05 (cistern controlled)	0.022	0.90
CA-06 (cistern controlled)	0.015	0.85
CA-07 (cistern controlled)	0.021	0.86
CA-08 (cistern controlled)	0.013	0.38
CA-09 (cistern controlled)	0.008	0.77
CA-10 (cistern controlled)	0.013	0.58
CA-11 (uncontrolled)	0.001	0.53
Total	0.314	0.85

For release rate and storage calculations, refer to **Appendix D**. For additional information on cistern location etc., refer to drawing C.601 in **Appendix E**. Table 6 below summarizes the release

rates and storage volumes required to meet the allowable release rate of **10.53 L/s** for 100-year flow rates.

Table 5: Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary (100 Year)

Catchment Areas	Drainage Areas (ha)	Release Rate (L/s)	Required Storage (m ³)	Total Available Storage (m ³)
CA-04 to CA-10 (Cistern Controlled)	0.127	3.75	57.32	60.00
CA-01 to CA-03 (Roof Controlled)	0.185	6.31	82.66	84.13
CA-11 (Uncontrolled)	0.001	0.46	N/A	N/A
Total	0.314	10.53	139.99	144.13

To attenuate flows to the allowable release rate of **10.53 L/s**, it is calculated that a total of **139.99 m³** of storage will be required. The required storage is proposed to be met through roof top storage and underground storage in a cistern as summarized below.

- A storage volume of **57.32 m³** is required within the underground cistern to accommodate stormwater quantity control corresponding to a maximum restricted release rate of **3.75L/s**.
- The cistern will provide a minimum storage capacity of **60.00 m³**. The exact cistern size and location will be confirmed during the detailed design stage.
- A roof storage volume of **82.66 m³** is required to meet stormwater quantity control objectives, based on maximum restricted total release rate of **6.31L/s** via controlled roof drains.

8 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

During construction, erosion and sediment controls will be provided primarily via a sediment control fence to be erected along the perimeter of the site where runoff has the potential of leaving the site. Inlet sediment control devices are also to be provided in any catch basin and/or manholes in and around the site that may be impacted by the site construction. Construction and maintenance requirements for erosion and sediment controls are to comply with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification OPSS 577. For more details refer to drawing C101 Erosion and Sediment Control Plan in **Appendix E**.

9 CONCLUSION

This Stormwater Management and Servicing Report for the development proposed at 85 Gemini Way presents the rationale and details for the servicing requirements for the subject property.

In accordance with the report objectives, the servicing requirements for the development are summarized below:

Water Service

- The maximum required fire flow was calculated to be **13,000 L/min** using the FUS method.
- There are at least two (6) existing fire hydrants available to service the proposed development. They will provide a combined fire flow of **28,389 L/min** to the site.
- The new development will be serviced via two (2) 150mm diameter service laterals separated by an isolation valve, that will be connected to the existing 203mm DI watermain within Gemini Way.
- Boundary conditions received from the City of Ottawa indicate that sufficient pressure is available to service the proposed site.

Sanitary Service

- The total calculated wet wastewater flow from the proposed development is **3.15 L/s**.
- The proposed development will discharge **3.15 L/s** to the existing 300 mm PVC sanitary sewer within Gemini Way via a proposed 200mm PVC sanitary service lateral.

Stormwater Management

- The stormwater release rates from the proposed development will meet the calculated allowable release rate of **10.53L/s**.
- Majority of the site will be controlled to the 100-year post-development flow rate, restricted to the 2-year pre-development level. The remainder of the site will remain uncontrolled and will drain towards the right-of-way.
- The stormwater quantity control objectives will be met through a combination of storage in an underground cistern (**60 m³**) and rooftop storage (**84.13m³**).

10 REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS

The report conclusions are applicable only to this specific project described in the preceding pages. Any changes, modifications or additions will require a subsequent review by LRL Associates Ltd. to ensure compatibility with the recommendations contained in this document.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Prepared by:
LRL Associates Ltd.

Sarthak Vora

Sarthak Vora
Civil E.I.T



Mohan Basnet, P.Eng.
Civil Engineer

APPENDIX A

Pre-consultation / Correspondance



ADDRESS: 1 Centrepointe Drive
Pre-Consultation Meeting Minutes
Meeting Date: December 19, 2022

Attendee	Role	Organization
Lisa Stern	File Lead	
Selma Hassan	Urban Designer	
Justin Armstrong	Engineer	
Josiane Gervais	Transportation	
Louise Cerveny	Parks Planner	
Hayley Murray	Forester	
Thomas Freeman	Planner	Fotenn
Brian Casagrande		
Rebecca Waring	Landowner	Appelt Properties

Comments from the Applicant:

The subject property is currently occupied by a 4 storey medical building and associated surface parking. Current access to the site is off Gemini Way.

A phased redevelopment including 4 high rise buildings on 3-storey podiums.

Due to leasing obligations, would like to keep the medical building as long as possible.

Planning Comments:

- 1) A minor rezoning and complex site plan application will be required to facilitate development on the property. Please be aware that the City is currently updating submission and process requirements as a result of Bill 109 which may have an impact on submission and review of the eventual applications.
- 2) The site is designated as a Hub in the Outer Urban Transect and is identified as a Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA) in the Official Plan
- 3) The lands are zoned Mixed Use Centre Zone with a maximum FSI of 2 and a maximum height of 34 m (MC F(2.0) H(34)). A rezoning will be required to increase height and density.
- 4) Hubs should be mixed use and promote walkability. Please ensure that a mix of uses are provided in the podium of the proposed buildings.
- 5) Built form transition between a Hub and a surrounding Low-rise area should occur within the Hub. Please discuss the transition between the low rise neighbourhood area west of Centrepointe Drive and the subject development in the Planning Rationale. Typically, a 45 degree angular plane should be provided.
- 6) There is a significant grade change between the site and Baseline Road. Please consider this grade change when determining the interface between the development and public realm (uses, landscaping, building design).
- 7) Significant servicing capacity constraints have been identified as per the engineering comments below. We would recommend further discussion with staff prior to submission to ensure that a satisfactory solution can be found.

Urban Design (Selma.Hassan@ottawa.ca)

UDRP, Design Guidelines and submission requirements

1. This site is subject to review by the City's Urban Design Review Panel (UDRP).
2. A Shadow Study is required. The City's Terms of Reference for shadow studies is attached. The study must be completed as described in the City's terms of reference.
3. A Wind Study is required. The study must be completed as described in the City's terms of reference
4. A Design Brief is required. A Terms of Reference is attached. All the elements highlighted in yellow must be responded to in graphic and /or written format as appropriate. A complete Design Brief must also respond to the points raised below.
5. The City's Urban Design Guidelines for Highrise Buildings, Arterial Mainstreets, Transit Oriented Development and Bird Friendly Guidelines are applicable to the site and the proposed development is to respond to the direction of the guidelines. In particular, all sections of the Design Guidelines for Highrise Buildings are relevant to this proposal. The submission package is to address the direction of the design guidelines in both written and graphic format I the Planning Rationale and Design Brief.

Built Form

1. The wind and shadow studies, the Design Brief, and the direction of the UDRP will all help determine the appropriate tower heights for the site.
2. The interface with the low-rise residential on the west side of Centrepointe is important. A tower height in the range of 15 storeys may be an appropriate transition; an angular plan analysis is required to help determine actual tower heights on the west side of 1 Centrepointe.
3. At least two site massing option are required. The options are to consider various park locations, tower heights and locations and respond to wind, shadow and angular plane studies.
4. For all podiums, carefully consider what will be the optimum interface (use and design) with Baseline Road given the nature of Baseline Road, the slope condition and the hydro wires. For any residential uses, clearly provide a transition between the public ROW, the semi-public realm and the private realm of the units.
5. Carefully consider how the building podiums relate to each other. There would appear to be interesting opportunities presented by the facing conditions. Address the pedestrian walkways by avoiding blank walls and wrapping the active uses around the podium; this will increase visibility, safety and security.

Landscape

1. Across the site, the submission package needs to demonstrate how tree planting requirements will be met in a way that achieves long term, healthy tree growth. Setbacks from the ROWs and buildings, location in relation to underground parking and hydro wires, and available soil volumes will all affect successful tree growth. The need for trees on site is supported by the direction in the applicable Secondary Plan, the Official Plan (OP) policies for Hubs and Corridors, the OP policies on Urban Design and the City's applicable Urban Design Guidelines.
2. Clearly show how accessibility will be addressed (e.g., ramps versus stairs, mid-site connections to Baseline Road)

Drawings

1. Please ensure that drawings clearly show:
 - a. The locations of overhead hydro wires, utility easement and property lines
 - b. All setbacks from property lines
 - c. Sidewalk locations and widths (both public and private)

- d. The locations of principal building entries
- e. Tower separation distances
- f. The footprint of below grade parking

Parks (louise.cerveny@ottawa.ca)

1. The full 10% Parkland dedication will be taken on the development site, based on the total "...one (1) hectare for every three hundred (300) dwelling units, but for apartments, as defined by the zoning by-law this parkland conveyance will not exceed a maximum of 10% of the land area of the site being developed."
2. In the event that changes are made in the proposed use, block area, residential product and/or number of dwelling units increases in the final plan, the required parkland dedication will also be subject to change, and CIL will be taken.
3. Small parkettes on remnant, undevelopable land will not be considered.
4. A fit plan with cost estimate for the park is required as part of the draft plan approval of site plan. The plan shall include park layout, proposed amenities, grading plan and tree planting plan. Please refer to the Park development Manual for more information about these requirements.
5. Refer to Section 2.4.4 of the Park Development Manual for further information on design. [Park Development Manual](#).
6. No encumbrances on the park land are permitted including overhead and below ground utilities, stormwater management facilities, overland drainage from the development or below ground parking.
7. Linear pathways will not be calculated in the total parkland dedication calculation. Outdoor amenities spaces will not be included in the parkland dedication calculation.
8. Park servicing is required.
9. The park must be fully accessible.
10. Pedestrian linkages (as registered easements) from the surrounding roads offering access to the park and circulation through the site are required.
11. Consider impact of north wind/tunnel effect through the development and to the park location and open spaces.
12. The park block should be zoned as [O1 - Parks and Open Space Zone](#)

Transportation (Josiane.gervais@ottawa.ca)

- 1) Follow Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines:
 - a) Submit a Screening Form at your earliest convenience to josiane.gervais@ottawa.ca. A TIA is required.
 - b) Start this process asap. The application will not be deemed complete until the submission of the draft step 1-4, including the functional draft RMA package (if applicable) and/or monitoring report (if applicable).
 - c) Request base mapping asap if RMA is required. Contact Engineering Services (<https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/engineering-services>)
 - d) An update to the *TRANS Trip Generation Manual* has been completed (October 2020). This manual is to be utilized for this TIA. A copy of this document can be provided upon request.
- 2) ROW protection on Baseline between Cobden and Highgate is 44.3m even. Future ROW line must be shown on the site plan, and all set-backs must be measured from this new property line.
- 3) As the site proposed is residential, AODA legislation applies for all areas accessible to the public (i.e. outdoor pathways, parking, etc.).
- 4) SP must include a new sidewalk along Gemini Way.

- 5) On site plan:
 - a) Ensure site accesses meet the City's Private Approach Bylaw.
 - b) Show all details of the roads abutting the site up to and including the opposite curb; include such items as pavement markings, accesses and/or sidewalks.
 - c) Turning movement diagrams required for all accesses showing the largest vehicle to access/egress the site.
 - d) Turning movement diagrams required for internal movements (loading areas, garbage).
 - e) Show all curb radii measurements; ensure that all curb radii are reduced as much as possible and fall within TAC guidelines (Figure 8.5.1).
 - f) Show dimensions for site elements (i.e. lane/aisle widths, access width and throat length, parking stalls, sidewalks, pedestrian pathways, etc.)
 - g) Sidewalk is to be continuous across access as per City Specification 7.1.
 - h) Show slope of garage ramp on site plan. Note that underground ramps should be limited to a 12% grade and must contain a subsurface melting device when exceeding 6%. Ramp grades greater than 15% can be psychological barriers to some drivers.
 - i) Parking stalls at the end of dead-end parking aisles require adequate turning around space
 - j) Grey out any area that will not be impacted by this application.
- 6) Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
 - a) Road.
 - b) Stationary, due to the proximity to neighboring exposed mechanical equipment and/or if there will be any exposed mechanical equipment due to the proximity to neighboring noise sensitive land uses.

Engineering (Justin.Armstrong@ottawa.ca)

1. The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following address:

<https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/development-information-residents/development-application-20#section-servicing-study-guidelines-for-development-applications>

2. Servicing and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:

- ⇒ Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
- ⇒ Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (2010)
- ⇒ Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
- ⇒ City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
- ⇒ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January, 2016)
- ⇒ City of Ottawa Park and Pathway Development Manual (2012)
- ⇒ City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012)
- ⇒ Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
- ⇒ Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)

3. Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at geoinformation@ottawa.ca or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x.44455).

4. The Stormwater Management Criteria, for the subject site, is to be based on the Stormwater Management Design Criteria for the Pinecrest Creek/Westboro Area:

- i. Specific criteria are outlined within Table 1 of the Stormwater Management Design Criteria for the Pinecrest Creek/Westboro Area document. The site drains directly to Pinecrest Creek and discharges upstream of the Ottawa River Parkway pipe (ORPP) inlet.
- ii. As outlined in the Table 1 criteria, the 1:100 year discharge from the site shall not exceed 33.5L/s/ha with excess flows being detained on-site for all storm events up to and including the 100-yr.

5. The available sanitary sewer in Gemini Way is unable to provide the sanitary capacity required to support the proposed development at this time, both from a local perspective and from a higher-level trunk infrastructure perspective. There is no current City plan regarding improvement to the local infrastructure. The Wastewater Master Plan will identify trunk sanitary projects to accommodate growth to the 2046 planning horizon and the final report should be approved by Council in the fall of 2023 with implementation of required solutions to be implemented in the years to follow, however implementation could take quite some time. Note that additional internal discussion is currently ongoing with the City's Asset Management Branch and Infrastructure Planning Unit regarding current available capacity for this site, as well as the potential for local infrastructure improvement/potential funding methods, etc. As a result of the sanitary constraints, it is recommended that the proposed ZBLA be put on hold until necessary sanitary improvements are addressed for this site.

6. Water Boundary condition requests must be made to the Infrastructure Project Manager and must include the location of the service (map or plan with connection location(s) indicated) and the expected loads required by the proposed development, including calculations. Please provide the following information:

- i. Location of service
- ii. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS).
 1. Completed [FUS design declaration](#).
- iii. Average daily demand: ____ l/s.
- iv. Maximum daily demand: ____ l/s.
- v. Maximum hourly daily demand: ____ l/s.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me directly at (613) 580-2424, ext. 21746 or by email at Justin.Armstrong@ottawa.ca.

Forestry (Hayley.Murray@ottawa.ca):

- As stated in the Official Plan, growth, development and intensification shall maintain the urban forest canopy. If trees need to be removed for development purposes the expectation is that adequate space and soil are provided for the planting of new trees on the site.
- Three trees on the east side subject property are being protected through the construction of the development at 2140 Baseline. Assuming the trees are in good condition, staff expect that this development will also protect and retain these trees.
- Planning Forestry will not support reduced setbacks that impact tree retention or space for tree planting adjacent to and in the right of way.
- Retention of well-established trees, in particular the conifers along Gemini Way, is a high priority.
- The setbacks under the current zoning allow for a 0-3m setback, from a tree retention and planting perspective a 3m setback would be preferred.

TCR requirements

1. A Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City
 - a. an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval.
 - b. The TCR may be combined with the LP provided all information is supplied
2. Any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter, or city-owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 – 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.
3. The Planning Forester from Planning and Growth Management as well as foresters from Forestry Services will review the submitted TCR
 - a. If tree removal is required, both municipal and privately-owned trees will be addressed in a single permit issued through the Planning Forester
 - b. Compensation may be required for city owned trees – if so, it will need to be paid prior to the release of the tree permit
4. The TCR must contain 2 separate plans:
 - a. Plan/Map 1 - show existing conditions with tree cover information
 - b. Plan/Map 2 - show proposed development with tree cover information
 - c. Please ensure retained trees are shown on the landscape plan
5. The TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ extends into the developed area, by species, diameter and health condition
 - a. please identify trees by ownership – private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, boundary (trees on a property line)
6. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained
7. All retained trees must be shown, and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at [Tree Protection Specification](#) or by searching Ottawa.ca
8. The location of tree protection fencing must be shown on the plan
9. The City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.
10. For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Hayley Murray hayley.murray@ottawa.ca or on [City of Ottawa](#)

LP tree planting requirements (for pre-con note circulation):

For additional information on the following please contact tracy.smith@Ottawa.ca

Minimum Setbacks

- Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track or water service laterals.
- Maintain 2.5m from curb
- Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
- Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing, except where otherwise approved in naturalization / afforestation areas. Adhere to Ottawa Hydro's planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when **planting around overhead primary conductors**.

Tree specifications

- Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
- Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy coverage
- Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Tree Planting Specification; and include watering and warranty as described in the specification (can be provided by Forestry Services).
- Plant native trees whenever possible
- No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
- No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)

Hard surface planting

- Curb style planter is highly recommended
- No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be provided) shall be used.
- Trees are to be planted at grade

Soil Volume

- Please document on the LP that adequate soil volumes can be met:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

- Please follow the City's 2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines

Tree Canopy

- The landscape plan shall show how the proposed tree planting will replace and increase canopy cover on the site over time, to support the City's 40% urban forest canopy cover target.
- At a site level, efforts shall be made to provide as much canopy cover as possible, through tree planting and tree retention, with an aim of 40% canopy cover at 40 years, as appropriate.
- Indicate on the plan the projected future canopy cover at 40 years for the site.

Please refer to the links to ["Guide to preparing studies and plans"](#) and fees for general information. Additional information is available related to [building permits](#), [development charges](#), and the [Accessibility Design Standards](#). Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting informationcentre@ottawa.ca.


These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.

Please contact me at Lisa.Stern@ottawa.ca or at 613-580-2424 extension 21108 if you have any questions.

APPENDIX B

Water Supply Calculations & Fire Hydrant Coverage





Source: City of Ottawa GIS infrastructure database

Figure 5.1: City of Ottawa Water Distribution System, Facilities and Feedermains

FIRE HYDRANT LOCATIONS FIGURE




Table 18.5.4.3 Maximum Fire Hydrant Fire Flow Capacity

Distance to Building ^a		Maximum Capacity ^b	
(ft)	(m)	(gpm)	(L/min)
≤ 250	≤ 76	1500	5678
> 250 and ≤ 500	> 76 and ≤ 152	1000	3785
> 500 and ≤ 1000	> 152 and ≤ 305	750	2839

^aMeasured in accordance with 18.5.1.4 and 18.5.1.5.

^bMinimum 20 psi (139.9 kPa) residual pressure.

Momen Siam

From: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Sent: May 22, 2025 5:38 PM
To: Momen Siam
Cc: Virginia Johnson
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request
Attachments: 85 Gemini Way May 2025.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Momen,

Please note a requested fire flow of 267 L/s was not met.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 85 Gemini Way (zone 2W2C) assumed to be connected via a dual connection to the 203 mm watermain on Gemini Way (see attached PDF for location).

Minimum HGL: 126.0 m

Maximum HGL: 132.2 m

Maximum available Fire flow at 20 (psi): 218 L/s , assumed ground surface elevation is 85.7 m.

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer:

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account. "The IWSD has recently updated their water modelling software. Any significant difference between previously received BC results and newly received BC results could be attributed to this update."

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review – West Branch

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest

Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 70120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Fawzi, Mohammed
Sent: May 22, 2025 1:21 PM
To: Momen Siam <msiam@irl.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@irl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

Thanks for following up. I'm following up on my end as well and should have something for you soon hopefully.

Thanks Momen.

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review – West Branch
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 70120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

From: Momen Siam <msiam@irl.ca>
Sent: May 22, 2025 11:23 AM
To: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@irl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good Morning Mohammad,

I wanted to follow up on this request. Has the Water Dept provided the boundary conditions along Gemini?

Thank you,

Momen Siam, P.Eng



Head Office – 5430 Canotek Rd., Ottawa, ON
T +1 613-842-3434 C +1613-203-0746 E msiam@lrl.ca
Ottawa | Pembroke | Moncton
www.lrl.ca

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Sent: May 5, 2025 10:53 AM
To: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

Thank you for your email. This to confirm your request has been received, and results will be forwarded as soon as they are available. Please also note that during the Site Plan Control review, a stamped letter from the architect will be required to confirm that the parameters that are used in the FUS calculations are being incorporated in the building design.

Thanks Momen.

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review – West Branch
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 70120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Sent: April 30, 2025 1:46 PM
To: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>

Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Mohammed,

We have revised the site plan and a 2.0 hour rating firewall has been proposed to separate the building. The northern portion of the building has the higher fire flow demand. Please see attached calculation along with a site plan.

Please provide boundary conditions at connection points 1 & 2, shown below in the green circles.



The following table shows the expected water and fire demands.

	Demand (L/s)
Avg. Daily	0.74

Max Day + FUS	3.00 + 267
Peak Hour	4.48

Momen Siam, P.Eng



Head Office – 5430 Canotek Rd., Ottawa, ON
T +1 613-842-3434 **C** +1613-203-0746 **E** msiam@lrl.ca
Ottawa | Pembroke | Moncton
www.lrl.ca

From: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Sent: January 29, 2025 8:10 AM
To: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

Unfortunately, you will need to look for ways at reducing the required fire flow as Infrastructure Planning does not approve required fire flows exceeding 18,000 L/min.

Let me know if you wish to discuss further.

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.
Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review – West Branch
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 20120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

From: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Sent: January 28, 2025 12:07 PM
To: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Mohammed,

As requested, please see attached calculations along with figure with connection points.

Thank you,

Momen Siam, P.Eng

 **LRL ENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE**

Head Office – 5430 Canotek Rd., Ottawa, ON

T +1 613-842-3434 C +1613-203-0746 E msiam@lrl.ca

Ottawa | Pembroke | Moncton

www.lrl.ca

From: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>

Sent: January 23, 2025 1:39 PM

To: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>

Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>

Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

Thank you for sending your request. Can you please include calculations for the expected water demands as well as the FUS fire flow calculations? Furthermore, the figure attached in your email should show the proposed connection point(s) to the watermain.

Thanks Momen.

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review – West Branch

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest

Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 20120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

From: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>

Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2025 5:20 PM

To: Schaeffer, Gabrielle <gabrielle.schaeffer@Ottawa.ca>; Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@irl.ca>

Subject: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good Afternoon Gabrielle and Mohammad,

I'd like to request boundary conditions for the site located at 85 Gemini way, at the SW corner of Constellation Drive and Baseline Road. Please provide boundary conditions at connection points 1 & 2, shown below in the green circles.



The following table shows the expected water and fire demands.

	Demand (L/s)
Avg. Daily	0.75

Max Day + FUS	3.01 + 350
Peak Hour	4.50

Thank you,

Momen Siam, P.Eng



Head Office – 5430 Canotek Rd., Ottawa, ON
 T +1 613-842-3434 C +1613-203-0746 E msiam@lrl.ca
 Ottawa | Pembroke | Moncton
www.lrl.ca

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



Water Supply Calculations

LRL File No. : 230088

Project: 85 Gemini Way

Location: Ottawa, ON.

Date: 2025-07-09

Designed: MS

Checked: VJ

Dwg Reference: C401

Water Demand based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines-Water Distribution, 2010

Domestic Demand

Unit Type	Persons Per Unit	Number of Units	Population
Bachelor	1.4	40	56.0
1 Bedroom	1.4	87	121.8
2 Bedroom	2.1	27	56.7
	Total	154	234.5

Average Water Consumption Rate = 280 L/c/d

Average Day Demand = 65,660 L/d 0.76 L/s

Maximum Day Factor = 4.00 (Table 3-3 MOE Peaking Factors)

Maximum Daily Demand = 262,577 L/d 3.04 L/s

Peak Hour Factor = 5.98 (Table 3-3 MOE Peaking Factors)

Maximum Hour Demand = 392,653 L/d 4.54 L/s

Water Service Pipe Sizing

$$Q = VA$$

Where: V = velocity (m/s)

A = area of pipe (m²)

Q = flow rate (L/s)

Assuming a maximum velocity of 1.8m/s, the diameter of pipe is calculated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimum pipe diameter (d)} &= (4Q/\pi V)^{1/2} \\ &= 0.057 \text{ m} \\ &= 57 \text{ mm} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proposed pipe diameter (d)} &= 150 \text{ mm} & \text{(to be confirmed with hydraulic pressure analysis)} \\ &= 6 \text{ Inches} \end{aligned}$$


Fire Flow Calculations
LRL File No. 230088

Project: 85 Gemini Way

Location: Ottawa, ON.

BUILDING A
Date: March 31, 2025

Method: Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS)

Prepared by: M.Siam

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	Unit	Fire Flow
Structural Framing Material								
1	Choose frame used for building	Coefficient C related to the type of construction	Wood Frame	1.5				
			Ordinary Construction	1.0				
			Non-combustible construction	0.8				
			Fire resistive construction <2 hrs	0.7				
			Fire resistive construction >2 hrs	0.6				
Floor Space Area (A)								
2			Total area			6,082	m²	
3	Obtain fire flow before reductions	Required fire flow (rounded to nearest 1000)	Fire Flow = $220 \times C \times A^{0.5}$				L/min	26,000
Reductions or surcharge due to factors affecting burning								
4	Choose combustibility of contents	Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge	Non-combustible	-25%				
			Limited combustible	-15%				
			Combustible	0%				
			Free burning	15%				
			Rapid burning	25%				
5	Choose reduction for sprinklers	Sprinkler reduction	Full automatic sprinklers	-30%	True	-30%		
			Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines	-10%	True	-10%	L/min	11,050
			Fully supervised system	-10%	True	-10%		
6	Choose separation	Exposure distance between units	North side	Firewall	10%			
			East side	30.1 to 45m	0%			
			South side	30.1 to 45m	0%			
			West side	30.1 to 45m	0%	10%		
Net required fire flow								
7	Obtain fire flow, duration, and volume		Minimum required fire flow rate (rounded to nearest 1000)				L/min	13,000
			Minimum required fire flow rate				L/s	216.7
			Required duration of fire flow				hr	2.75


Fire Flow Calculations
LRL File No. 230088

Project: 85 Gemini Way

Location: Ottawa, ON.

Date: March 31, 2025

Method: Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS)

Prepared by: M.Siam

BUILDING B

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	Unit	Fire Flow
Structural Framing Material								
1	Choose frame used for building	Coefficient C related to the type of construction	Wood Frame Ordinary Construction Non-combustible construction Fire resistive construction <2 hrs Fire resistive construction >2 hrs	1.5 1.0 0.8 0.7 0.6	Wood Frame	1.5		
Floor Space Area (A)								
2			Total area			4,028	m ²	
3	Obtain fire flow before reductions	Required fire flow (rounded to nearest 1000)	Fire Flow = 220 x C x A ^{0.5}				L/min	21,000
Reductions or surcharge due to factors affecting burning								
4	Choose combustibility of contents	Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge	Non-combustible Limited combustible Combustible Free burning Rapid burning	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25%	Limited combustible	-15%	L/min	17,850
5	Choose reduction for sprinklers	Sprinkler reduction	Full automatic sprinklers Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines Fully supervised system	-30% -10% -10%	True True True	-30% -10% -10%	L/min	8,925
6	Choose separation	Exposure distance between units	North side East side South side West side	30.1 to 45m Firewall Firewall 30.1 to 45m	0% 10% 10% 0%		L/min	12,495
Net required fire flow								
7	Obtain fire flow, duration, and volume		Minimum required fire flow rate (rounded to nearest 1000)			L/min	12,000	
			Minimum required fire flow rate			L/s	200.0	
			Required duration of fire flow			hr	2.5	


Fire Flow Calculations
LRL File No.
Project:
Location:
Date:
Method: Fire Underwriter's Survey (FUS)

Prepared by:
BUILDING C

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	Unit	Fire Flow
Construction Coefficient (C)								
1	Choose frame used for building	Coefficient C related to the type of construction	Wood Frame Construction (Type V) Mass Timber Construction (Type IV-A) Mass Timber Construction (Type IV-B) Mass Timber Construction (Type IV-C) Mass Timber Construction (Type IV-D) Ordinary Construction (Type III) Noncombustible Construction (Type II) Fire Resistive Construction (Type I)	1.5 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.5 1.0 0.8 0.6	Wood Frame Construction (Type V)	1.5		
Floor Area (A)								
2	Total Effective Floor Area					970	m ²	
3	Obtain fire flow before reductions	Required fire flow (rounded to nearest 1000)	Fire Flow = 220 x C x A ^{0.5}					L/min 11,000
Occupancy and Contents Adjustment								
4	Choose combustibility of contents	Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge	Noncombustible Limited combustible Combustible Free burning Rapid burning	-25% -15% 0% 15% 25%	Limited combustible	-15%	L/min	9,350
Sprinkler Protection								
5	Choose reduction for sprinklers	Sprinkler reduction	Automatic sprinkler protection designed & installed in accordance with NFPA 13 Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines Fully supervised system	-30% -10% -10%	True True True	-30% -10% -10%	L/min	4,675
Exposure Adjustment								
6	Choose separation	Exposure distance	North side East side South side West side	>30m 3.1 to 10m 20.1 to 30m Firewall	0% 20% 10% 10%	40%	L/min	8,415
Net Required Fire Flow								
7	Obtain fire flow and duration		Minimum required fire flow (rounded to nearest 1000)			L/min	8,000	
			Minimum required fire flow			L/s	133.3	
			Required duration of fire flow			hr	2	

APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection Calculations



LRL Associates Ltd.
Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

 <p> LRL ENGINEERING INGÉNIERIE </p> <p> LRL File No.: 230088 Project: 85 Gemini Location: Ottawa, ON. Designed: MS Checked: VJ Date: July 9, 2025 DWG. Reference: C401 </p>								<p>Sanitary Design Parameters</p> <p> Commercial & Institutional Flow = 28000 L/ha/day Light Industrial Flow = 35000 L/ha/day Heavy Industrial Flow = 55000 L/ha/day Maximum Residential Peak Factor = 4.0 Commercial & Institutional Peak Factor = 1.5 </p> <p> Average Daily Flow = 280 L/p/day Industrial Peak Factor = as per Appendix 4-B Extraneous Flow = 0.33 L/s/ha </p>												<p>Pipe Design Parameters</p> <p> Maximum Velocity = 3.00 m/s Minimum Velocity = 0.60 m/s Manning's n = 0.013 </p>							
LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL					COMMERCIAL		INDUSTRIAL			INSTITUTIONAL		C+I+I	INFILTRATION			TOTAL FLOW, Q	PIPE							
STREET	FROM	TO	AREA	POP.	ACCU.		PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	PEAK FACT.	AREA	ACCU. AREA	PEAK FLOW	TOTAL AREA	ACCU. AREA	INFILT. FLOW	LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	MATERIAL	CAP. Q(FULL)	VEL. V(FULL)	RATIO Q/QFULL	
			(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	POP.	(L/s)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(L/s)	(Ha)	(Ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(L/s)	(m/s)		
85 Gemini Way	BLDG	Ex. SAN	0.323	234.5	0.323	234.5	4.0	3.04									0.323	0.323	0.11	3.15	9.3	200	5.00%	PVC	73.34	2.33	0.04

Notes: Existing invert and slopes are estimated. They are to be confirmed on-site.

Momen Siam

From: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Sent: February 4, 2025 11:01 AM
To: Momen Siam
Cc: Virginia Johnson; Dieme, Abi
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

This is to confirm that there are no capacity concerns with a proposed sanitary peak flow if 3.09 l/s. However, please note that any future phases or developments surrounding the subject site will need to be evaluated for capacity. Capacity is only reserved on a first come first serve basis and when a Site Plan Control application is submitted.

Thanks Momen.

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review – West Branch
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 20120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

From: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Sent: January 31, 2025 2:44 PM
To: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, sauf si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Mohammed,

See attached PDF for Sanitary demands.

Thank you,

Momen Siam, P.Eng



Head Office – 5430 Canotek Rd., Ottawa, ON
T +1 613-842-3434 C +1613-203-0746 E msiam@lrl.ca
Ottawa | Pembroke | Moncton
www.lrl.ca

From: Fawzi, Mohammed <mohammed.fawzi@ottawa.ca>
Sent: January 30, 2025 2:33 PM
To: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

Could you please provide me the anticipated sanitary demands? I'd like to verify for capacity.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

Mohammed Fawzi, P.Eng.

Senior Project Manager (A), Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review – West Branch
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West | 110 Avenue Laurier Ouest
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 20120, Mohammed.Fawzi@ottawa.ca

From: Fawzi, Mohammed
Sent: January 29, 2025 8:10 AM
To: Momen Siam <msiam@lrl.ca>
Cc: Virginia Johnson <vjohnson@lrl.ca>
Subject: RE: LRL 230088 - 85 Gemini Way Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Momen,

Unfortunately, you will need to look for ways at reducing the required fire flow as Infrastructure Planning does not approve required fire flows exceeding 18,000 L/min.

Let me know if you wish to discuss further.

Best Regards,

APPENDIX D

Stormwater Management Calculations



LRL Associates Ltd.
Storm Watershed Summary

 LRL <small>ENGINEERING INGÉNIERIE</small>	LRL File No. 230088 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON Date: July 11, 2025 Designed: M.Siam Checked: M.Basnet Dwg Reference: C701, C702
--	---

Pre-Development Catchments

Watershed	C = 0.20	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
ECA-01(controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.203	0.203	0.90
ECA-02(uncontrolled)	0.037	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.20
ECA-03(uncontrolled)	0.042	0.000	0.004	0.046	0.26
ECA-04(uncontrolled)	0.027	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.20
Total	0.107	0.000	0.207	0.314	0.66

Post-Development Catchments

Watershed	C = 0.20	C = 0.8	C = 0.90	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
CA-01A (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.034	0.034	0.90
CA-01B (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.027	0.027	0.90
CA-01C (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.018	0.90
CA-01D (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.024	0.90
CA-02A (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.019	0.90
CA-02B (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.022	0.90
CA-02C (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.025	0.025	0.90
CA-03A (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.009	0.009	0.90
CA-03B (roof controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.007	0.90
CA-04 (cistern controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.035	0.035	0.90
CA-05 (cistern controlled)	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.022	0.90
CA-06 (cistern controlled)	0.001	0.000	0.014	0.015	0.85
CA-07 (cistern controlled)	0.001	0.000	0.020	0.021	0.86
CA-08 (cistern controlled)	0.010	0.000	0.003	0.013	0.38
CA-09 (cistern controlled)	0.001	0.000	0.006	0.008	0.77
CA-10 (cistern controlled)	0.006	0.000	0.007	0.013	0.58
CA-11 (uncontrolled)	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.53
Total	0.021	0.000	0.293	0.314	0.85



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

STORM - 100 YEAR

Runoff Equation

$$Q = 2.78CIA \text{ (L/s)}$$

C = Runoff coefficient
 I = Rainfall intensity (mm/hr) = $A / (T_d + C)^B$
 A = Area (ha)
 T_d = Time of duration (min)

Pre-Development Release Rate

IDF Curve Equations

$$I_{100} = 1735.688 / (T_d + 6.014)^{0.820}$$

A = 1735.688 B = 0.820 C = 6.014

C =	0.50	(max of 0.5 as per City Guidelines)
I ₁₀₀ =	178.6	mm/hr
T _d =	10	min
A =	0.314	ha
100 Year Release Rate =	77.98	L/s
2 Year Release Rate =	33.54	L/s
Allowable Release Rate =	10.53	L/s

(2-year pre-development release rate)
 (33.5 L/s/ha as per pre-consultation notes)

Post-development Stormwater Management


Total Site Area =	0.314	ha	$\bar{R} =$	2y	100y
CA-04 (cistern controlled)	0.035	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-05 (cistern controlled)	0.022	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-06 (cistern controlled)	0.015	ha	R =	0.85	1.00
CA-07 (cistern controlled)	0.021	ha	R =	0.86	1.00
CA-08 (cistern controlled)	0.013	ha	R =	0.38	0.47
CA-09 (cistern controlled)	0.008	ha	R =	0.77	0.96
CA-10 (cistern controlled)	0.013	ha	R =	0.58	0.72
Total (cistern controlled)	0.127	ha	R =	0.79	0.99
CA-01A (roof controlled)	0.034	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-01B (roof controlled)	0.027	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-01C (roof controlled)	0.018	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-01D (roof controlled)	0.024	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-02A (roof controlled)	0.019	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-02B (roof controlled)	0.022	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-02C (roof controlled)	0.025	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-03A (roof controlled)	0.009	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-03B (roof controlled)	0.007	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
Total (roof controlled)	0.185	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-11 (uncontrolled)	0.001	ha	R =	0.53	0.66
Total (uncontrolled)	0.001	ha	R =	0.53	0.66
Total (controlled+uncontrolled)	0.314	ha	R =	0.85	1.00

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)



Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01A

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	16.79	9.51	0.95	0.00	0.95
15	142.89	13.44	11.24	0.95	0.00	0.95
20	119.95	11.28	12.40	0.95	0.00	0.95
25	103.85	9.77	13.23	0.95	0.00	0.95
30	91.87	8.64	13.85	0.95	0.00	0.95
35	82.58	7.77	14.32	0.95	0.00	0.95
40	75.15	7.07	14.69	0.95	0.00	0.95
45	69.05	6.49	14.98	0.95	0.00	0.95
50	63.95	6.01	15.20	0.95	0.00	0.95
55	59.62	5.61	15.38	0.95	0.00	0.95
60	55.89	5.26	15.52	0.95	0.00	0.95
70	49.79	4.68	15.69	0.95	0.00	0.95
80	44.99	4.23	15.77	0.95	0.00	0.95
90	41.11	3.87	15.77	0.95	0.00	0.95
100	37.90	3.56	15.71	0.95	0.00	0.95
110	35.20	3.31	15.60	0.95	0.00	0.95
120	32.89	3.09	15.46	0.95	0.00	0.95


Roof Drain Layout



Roof Drain Types Used



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
1/4 open	0.000	0.315	0.631	0.710	0.789	0.867	0.946
Closed	0.000	0.315	0.631	0.631	0.631	0.631	0.631



CA-01A	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Available Storage (m ³) Calculated using Civil 3D	0.000	0.080	0.600	2.020	4.780	9.350	16.330



LRL File No. 230088
Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
Date: July 11, 2025
Designed: M.Siam
Checked: M.Basnet
Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
Design Sheet


Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01A

Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 15.77 m³
 Proposed Head = 150 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.95 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.95 L/s
Available Roof Storage = 16.33 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- 1/4 exposed Weir Opening

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)

Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01B

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	13.51	7.54	0.95	0.00	0.95
15	142.89	10.81	8.88	0.95	0.00	0.95
20	119.95	9.08	9.76	0.95	0.00	0.95
25	103.85	7.86	10.37	0.95	0.00	0.95
30	91.87	6.95	10.81	0.95	0.00	0.95
35	82.58	6.25	11.13	0.95	0.00	0.95
40	75.15	5.69	11.37	0.95	0.00	0.95
45	69.05	5.22	11.55	0.95	0.00	0.95
50	63.95	4.84	11.68	0.95	0.00	0.95
55	59.62	4.51	11.76	0.95	0.00	0.95
60	55.89	4.23	11.82	0.95	0.00	0.95
70	49.79	3.77	11.85	0.95	0.00	0.95
80	44.99	3.40	11.80	0.95	0.00	0.95
90	41.11	3.11	11.69	0.95	0.00	0.95
100	37.90	2.87	11.53	0.95	0.00	0.95
110	35.20	2.66	11.33	0.95	0.00	0.95
120	32.89	2.49	11.11	0.95	0.00	0.95



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
1/4 Open	0.000	0.060	0.400	1.420	3.480	6.870	11.920


Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01B

Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 11.85 m³
 Proposed Head = 147 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.95 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.95 L/s
Available Roof Storage = 11.85 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- 1/4 exposed Weir Opening

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)

Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01C

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	8.84	4.93	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	7.08	5.80	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	5.94	6.37	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	5.14	6.77	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	4.55	7.05	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	4.09	7.26	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	3.72	7.42	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	3.42	7.53	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	3.17	7.61	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	2.95	7.66	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	2.77	7.69	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	2.47	7.71	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	2.23	7.67	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	2.04	7.59	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	1.88	7.48	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	1.74	7.34	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	1.63	7.19	0.63	0.00	0.63



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed	0.000	0.042	0.313	1.053	2.491	4.873	8.510

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01C

Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 7.71 m³
 Proposed Head = 150 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
Available Roof Storage = 8.51 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01D

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	11.94	6.78	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	9.55	8.03	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	8.02	8.87	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	6.94	9.47	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	6.14	9.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	5.52	10.27	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	5.02	10.54	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	4.62	10.76	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	4.28	10.93	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	3.99	11.07	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	3.74	11.18	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	3.33	11.33	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	3.01	11.41	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	2.75	11.43	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	2.53	11.42	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	2.35	11.37	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	2.20	11.29	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01D


Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 11.43 m³
 Proposed Head = 150 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 11.53 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-02 Roof-Controlled)


Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	9.52	5.33	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	7.62	6.29	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	6.40	6.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	5.54	7.36	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	4.90	7.68	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	4.40	7.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	4.01	8.10	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	3.68	8.24	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	3.41	8.34	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	3.18	8.41	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	2.98	8.46	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	2.65	8.50	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	2.40	8.48	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	2.19	8.43	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	2.02	8.34	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	1.88	8.22	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	1.75	8.08	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02A

Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 8.50 m³
 Proposed Head = 144 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 8.50 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening



CA-01D	Head of Water (mm)							
	Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed		0.000	0.056	0.424	1.426	3.375	6.602	11.530



CA-02A	Head of Water (mm)							
	Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed		0.000	0.044	0.329	1.108	2.623	5.130	8.960



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-02 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02B

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	11.14	6.30	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	8.91	7.45	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	7.48	8.22	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	6.48	8.77	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	5.73	9.18	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	5.15	9.49	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	4.69	9.73	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	4.31	9.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	3.99	10.07	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	3.72	10.19	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	3.49	10.28	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	3.11	10.39	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	2.81	10.44	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	2.56	10.44	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	2.36	10.40	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	2.20	10.32	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	2.05	10.23	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02B


Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 10.44 m³
 Proposed Head = 148 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 10.44 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-02 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02C


Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	12.33	7.02	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	9.87	8.31	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	8.28	9.18	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	7.17	9.81	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	6.34	10.28	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	5.70	10.65	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	5.19	10.94	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	4.77	11.17	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	4.42	11.36	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	4.12	11.51	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	3.86	11.62	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	3.44	11.79	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	3.11	11.89	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	2.84	11.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	2.62	11.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	2.43	11.88	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	2.27	11.81	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02C

Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 11.92 m³
 Proposed Head = 150 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 11.92 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed	0.000	0.053	0.401	1.350	3.193	6.247	10.910



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed	0.000	0.058	0.438	1.474	3.489	6.825	11.920



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-03 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03A

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	4.48	2.31	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	3.59	2.66	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	3.01	2.86	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	2.61	2.96	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	2.31	3.02	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	2.07	3.03	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	1.89	3.01	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	1.73	2.98	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	1.61	2.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	1.50	2.86	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	1.40	2.78	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	1.25	2.60	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	1.13	2.39	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	1.03	2.17	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	0.95	1.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	0.88	1.67	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	0.83	1.40	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03A


Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 3.03 m³
 Proposed Head = 124 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 3.03 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-03 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03B


Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	3.40	1.66	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	142.89	2.72	1.88	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	119.95	2.28	1.98	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	103.85	1.98	2.02	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	91.87	1.75	2.01	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	82.58	1.57	1.98	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	75.15	1.43	1.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	69.05	1.31	1.85	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	63.95	1.22	1.76	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	59.62	1.13	1.66	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	55.89	1.06	1.56	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	49.79	0.95	1.33	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	44.99	0.86	1.08	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	41.11	0.78	0.82	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	37.90	0.72	0.55	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	35.20	0.67	0.26	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	32.89	0.63	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03B

Minimum Required Roof Storage (100 Year) = 2.02 m³
 Proposed Head = 128 mm *An Emergency overflow scupper is provided above this height.
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 2.02 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening



CA-03A	Head of Water (mm)							
	Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed		0.000	0.021	0.155	0.521	1.232	2.411	4.210



CA-03B	Head of Water (mm)							
	Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed		0.000	0.016	0.117	0.393	0.931	1.821	3.180



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
Design Sheet

Summary of Roof Storage and Release Rates (100 Year)

Catchment	Roof Catchment Area (m ²)	Depth (mm)	# Roof Drains	Weir Opening Exposure	Required Volume (m ³)	Effective Available Volume* (m ³)	Total Flow (L/s)
CA-01A	338	150	1	1/4 exposed	15.77	16.33	0.95
CA-01B	272	147	1	1/4 exposed	11.85	11.85	0.95
CA-01C	178	150	1	closed	7.71	8.51	0.63
CA-01D	240	150	1	closed	11.43	11.53	0.63
CA-02A	192	144	1	closed	8.50	8.50	0.63
CA-02B	224	148	1	closed	10.44	10.44	0.63
CA-02C	248	150	1	closed	11.92	11.92	0.63
CA-03A	90	124	1	closed	3.03	3.03	0.63
CA-03B	68	128	1	closed	2.02	2.02	0.63
Total	1852		9		82.7	84.13	6.31

*Effective available volume as per Civil3D ponding volume modelling

100 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-04 to CA-10 Cistern Controlled)

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	178.56	62.30	35.13	3.75	0.46	4.22
15	142.89	49.85	41.49	3.75	0.37	4.12
20	119.95	41.85	45.71	3.75	0.31	4.07
25	103.85	36.23	48.71	3.75	0.27	4.02
30	91.87	32.05	50.94	3.75	0.24	3.99
35	82.58	28.81	52.62	3.75	0.21	3.97
40	75.15	26.22	53.91	3.75	0.19	3.95
45	69.05	24.09	54.91	3.75	0.18	3.93
50	63.95	22.31	55.68	3.75	0.17	3.92
55	59.62	20.80	56.26	3.75	0.15	3.91
60	55.89	19.50	56.69	3.75	0.14	3.90
70	49.79	17.37	57.19	3.75	0.13	3.88
80	44.99	15.70	57.32	3.75	0.12	3.87
90	41.11	14.34	57.18	3.75	0.11	3.86
100	37.90	13.22	56.82	3.75	0.10	3.85
110	35.20	12.28	56.28	3.75	0.09	3.85
120	32.89	11.48	55.60	3.75	0.09	3.84

On-site Stormwater Detention

Total Storage Required = **57.32 m³**
 Proposed Cistern Storage= 60.00 m³

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Catchment	Drainage Area (ha)	100-year Release Rate (L/s)	100-Year Required Storage (m ³)	Total Available Storage (m ³)
CA-04 to CA-10 (Cistern Controlled)	0.127	3.75	57.32	60.00
CA-01 to CA-03 (Roof Controlled)	0.185	6.31	82.66	84.13
Uncontrolled	0.001	0.46	N/A	N/A
Total	0.314	10.53	139.99	144.13



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

STORM - 2 YEAR

Runoff Equation

$Q = 2.78CIA$ (L/s)
 C = Runoff coefficient
 $I = \text{Rainfall intensity (mm/hr)} = A / (T_d + C)^8$
 A = Area (ha)
 $T_d = \text{Time of duration (min)}$

Pre-Development Release Rate (2 YEAR)

IDF Curve Equations

$$I_2 = 732.951 / (T_d + 6.199)^{0.810}$$

A = 732.951	B = 0.810	C = 6.199
$C = 0.50$ (max of 0.5 as per City Guidelines)		
$I_{100} = 76.8$ mm/hr		
$T_d = 10$ min		
$A = 0.314$ ha		
2 Year Release Rate = 33.54 L/s (2-year pre-development release rate)		
Allowable Release Rate = 10.53 L/s (33.5 L/s/h as per pre-consultation notes)		

Post-development Stormwater Management


Total Site Area =	0.314	ha	$\sum R =$	2yr	100yr
CA-04 (cistern controlled)	0.035	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-05 (cistern controlled)	0.022	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-06 (cistern controlled)	0.015	ha	R =	0.85	1.00
CA-07 (cistern controlled)	0.021	ha	R =	0.86	1.00
CA-08 (cistern controlled)	0.013	ha	R =	0.38	0.47
CA-09 (cistern controlled)	0.008	ha	R =	0.77	0.96
CA-10 (cistern controlled)	0.013	ha	R =	0.58	0.72
Total (cistern controlled)	0.127	ha	R =	0.79	0.99
CA-01A (roof controlled)	0.034	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-01B (roof controlled)	0.027	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-01C (roof controlled)	0.018	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-01D (roof controlled)	0.024	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-02A (roof controlled)	0.019	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-02B (roof controlled)	0.022	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-02C (roof controlled)	0.025	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-03A (roof controlled)	0.009	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-03B (roof controlled)	0.007	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
Total (roof controlled)	0.185	ha	R =	0.90	1.00
CA-11 (uncontrolled)	0.001	ha	R =	0.53	0.66
Total (uncontrolled)	0.001	ha	R =	0.53	0.66
Total (controlled+uncontrolled)	0.314	ha	R =	0.85	1.00

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01A Roof-Controlled)



Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01A

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	7.22	3.82	0.85	0.00	0.85
15	61.77	5.81	4.46	0.85	0.00	0.85
20	52.03	4.89	4.85	0.85	0.00	0.85
25	45.17	4.25	5.09	0.85	0.00	0.85
30	40.04	3.77	5.24	0.85	0.00	0.85
35	36.06	3.39	5.33	0.85	0.00	0.85
40	32.86	3.09	5.37	0.85	0.00	0.85
45	30.24	2.84	5.37	0.85	0.00	0.85
50	28.04	2.64	5.35	0.85	0.00	0.85
55	26.17	2.46	5.31	0.85	0.00	0.85
60	24.56	2.31	5.24	0.85	0.00	0.85
70	21.91	2.06	5.07	0.85	0.00	0.85
80	19.83	1.86	4.86	0.85	0.00	0.85
90	18.14	1.71	4.61	0.85	0.00	0.85
100	16.75	1.57	4.33	0.85	0.00	0.85
110	15.57	1.46	4.03	0.85	0.00	0.85
120	14.56	1.37	3.72	0.85	0.00	0.85


Roof Drain Layout



Roof Drain Types Used



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
1/4 open	0.000	0.315	0.631	0.710	0.789	0.867	0.946
Closed	0.000	0.315	0.631	0.631	0.631	0.631	0.631



Weir Opening	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
1/4 Open	0.000	0.080	0.600	2.020	4.780	9.350	16.330



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
Design Sheet


Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01A

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 5.37 m³
 Proposed Head = 103 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.85 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.85 L/s
Available Roof Storage = 5.37 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- 1/4 exposed Weir Opening

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)

Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01B

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	5.81	2.99	0.84	0.00	0.84
15	61.77	4.67	3.45	0.84	0.00	0.84
20	52.03	3.94	3.72	0.84	0.00	0.84
25	45.17	3.42	3.87	0.84	0.00	0.84
30	40.04	3.03	3.95	0.84	0.00	0.84
35	36.06	2.73	3.97	0.84	0.00	0.84
40	32.86	2.49	3.96	0.84	0.00	0.84
45	30.24	2.29	3.92	0.84	0.00	0.84
50	28.04	2.12	3.86	0.84	0.00	0.84
55	26.17	1.98	3.78	0.84	0.00	0.84
60	24.56	1.88	3.68	0.84	0.00	0.84
70	21.91	1.66	3.45	0.84	0.00	0.84
80	19.83	1.50	3.19	0.84	0.00	0.84
90	18.14	1.37	2.90	0.84	0.00	0.84
100	16.75	1.27	2.59	0.84	0.00	0.84
110	15.57	1.18	2.26	0.84	0.00	0.84
120	14.56	1.10	1.92	0.84	0.00	0.84




Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01B

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 3.97 m³
 Proposed Head = 98 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.84 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.84 L/s
Available Roof Storage = 3.97 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- 1/4 exposed Weir Opening

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	3.80	1.90	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	3.06	2.19	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	2.58	2.33	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	2.24	2.41	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	1.98	2.43	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	1.79	2.42	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	1.63	2.39	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	1.50	2.34	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	1.39	2.27	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	1.30	2.19	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	1.22	2.11	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	1.09	1.91	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	0.98	1.68	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	0.90	1.44	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	0.83	1.19	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	0.77	0.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	0.72	0.65	0.63	0.00	0.63



Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01C

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 2.43 m³
 Proposed Head = 101 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
Available Roof Storage = 2.43 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening

Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed	0.000	0.040	0.310	1.050	2.490	4.870	8.510



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-01 Roof-Controlled)

Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01D

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	5.13	2.70	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	4.13	3.15	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	3.48	3.42	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	3.02	3.58	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	2.68	3.68	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	2.41	3.74	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	2.20	3.76	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	2.02	3.75	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	1.87	3.73	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	1.75	3.69	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	1.64	3.64	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	1.46	3.50	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	1.33	3.33	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	1.21	3.14	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	1.12	2.93	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	1.04	2.71	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	0.97	2.47	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-01D

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 3.76 m³
 Proposed Head = 103 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 3.76 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening


2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-02 Roof-Controlled)

Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02A


Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	4.09	2.08	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	3.29	2.40	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	2.77	2.57	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	2.41	2.67	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	2.13	2.71	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	1.92	2.71	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	1.75	2.69	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	1.61	2.65	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	1.49	2.59	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	1.40	2.52	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	1.31	2.44	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	1.17	2.26	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	1.06	2.05	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	0.97	1.82	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	0.89	1.57	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	0.83	1.31	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	0.78	1.05	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02A

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 2.71 m³
 Proposed Head = 94 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 2.71 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening



CA-01D	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Weir Opening	0.000	0.06	0.42	1.43	3.37	6.60	11.530



CA-02A	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Weir Opening	0.000	0.04	0.33	1.11	2.62	5.13	8.960



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-02 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02B

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	4.79	2.50	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	3.85	2.90	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	3.24	3.14	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	2.82	3.28	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	2.50	3.36	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	2.25	3.40	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	2.05	3.40	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	1.89	3.39	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	1.75	3.35	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	1.63	3.30	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	1.53	3.24	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	1.37	3.09	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	1.24	2.91	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	1.13	2.70	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	1.04	2.48	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	0.97	2.24	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	0.91	2.00	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02B


Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 3.40 m³
 Proposed Head = 98 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 3.40 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-02 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02C


Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	5.30	2.80	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	4.27	3.27	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	3.59	3.55	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	3.12	3.73	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	2.77	3.84	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	2.49	3.90	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	2.27	3.93	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	2.09	3.93	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	1.94	3.92	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	1.81	3.88	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	1.70	3.83	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	1.51	3.71	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	1.37	3.54	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	1.25	3.36	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	1.16	3.15	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	1.08	2.93	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	1.01	2.70	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-02C

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 3.93 m³
 Proposed Head = 97 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 3.93 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening



CA-02B	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Weir Opening	0.000	0.05	0.40	1.35	3.19	6.25	10.910



CA-02C	Head of Water (mm)						
	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Weir Opening	0.000	0.06	0.44	1.47	3.49	6.82	11.920



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-03 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03A

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	1.93	0.78	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	1.55	0.63	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	1.31	0.81	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	1.13	0.75	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	1.01	0.67	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	0.91	0.58	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	0.83	0.47	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	0.76	0.35	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	0.70	0.22	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	0.66	0.09	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	0.62	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	0.55	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	0.50	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	0.46	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	0.42	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	0.39	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	0.37	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03A

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 0.83 m³
 Proposed Head = 78 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 0.83 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening


2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-03 Roof-Controlled)
 Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03B

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	1.46	0.50	0.63	0.00	0.63
15	61.77	1.17	0.49	0.63	0.00	0.63
20	52.03	0.99	0.43	0.63	0.00	0.63
25	45.17	0.86	0.34	0.63	0.00	0.63
30	40.04	0.76	0.24	0.63	0.00	0.63
35	36.06	0.69	0.12	0.63	0.00	0.63
40	32.86	0.63	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
45	30.24	0.58	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
50	28.04	0.53	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
55	26.17	0.50	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
60	24.56	0.47	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
70	21.91	0.42	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
80	19.83	0.38	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
90	18.14	0.35	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
100	16.75	0.32	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
110	15.57	0.30	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63
120	14.56	0.28	0.00	0.63	0.00	0.63

Summary of Rooftop Subcatchment Area CA-03B

Minimum Required Roof Storage (2 Year) = 0.50 m³
 Proposed Head = 80 mm
 Control Flow/Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Number of Roof Drains = 1
 Total Flow from Roof Drain = 0.63 L/s
 Available Roof Storage = 0.50 m³
 Roof Drain Model = WATTS Roof Drain- Closed Weir Opening


CA-03A - Volume vs Depth



CA-03A Head of Water (mm)

Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed	0.000	0.02	0.15	0.52	1.23	2.41	4.210

CA-03B - Volume vs Depth



CA-03B Head of Water (mm)

Weir Opening	0	25	50	75	100	125	150
Closed	0.000	0.02	0.12	0.39	0.93	1.82	3.180



LRL File No. 230088
 Project: Proposed 6 Storey Residential Apartment Building
 Location: 85 Gemini Way, Ottawa, ON
 Date: July 11, 2025
 Designed: M.Siam
 Checked: M.Basnet
 Drawing Ref.: C601

Stormwater Management
 Design Sheet

Summary of Roof Storage and Release Rates (2 Year)

Catchment	Roof Catchment Area (m ²)	Depth (mm)	# Roof Drains	Weir Opening Exposure	Required Volume (m ³)	Effective Available Volume* (m ³)	Total Flow (L/s)
CA-01A	338	103	1	1/4 exposed	5.37	5.37	0.85
CA-01B	272	98	1	1/4 exposed	3.97	3.97	0.84
CA-01C	178	101	1	closed	2.43	2.43	0.63
CA-01D	240	103	1	closed	3.76	3.76	0.63
CA-02A	192	94	1	closed	2.71	2.71	0.63
CA-02B	224	98	1	closed	3.40	3.40	0.63
CA-02C	248	97	1	closed	3.93	3.93	0.63
CA-03A	90	78	1	closed	0.83	0.83	0.63
CA-03B	68	80	1	closed	0.50	0.50	0.63
Total	1852		9		26.92	26.89	6.10

*Effective available volume as per Civil3D ponding volume modelling

2 Year Post-development Stormwater Management (CA-04 to CA-10 Cistern Controlled)

Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m ³)	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)
10	76.81	26.80	13.54	4.22	0.20	4.42
15	61.77	21.55	15.60	4.22	0.16	4.38
20	52.03	18.15	16.72	4.22	0.13	4.36
25	45.17	15.76	17.31	4.22	0.12	4.34
30	40.04	13.97	17.55	4.22	0.10	4.33
35	36.06	12.58	17.55	4.22	0.09	4.31
40	32.86	11.47	17.39	4.22	0.09	4.31
45	30.24	10.55	17.09	4.22	0.08	4.30
50	28.04	9.78	16.69	4.22	0.07	4.29
55	26.17	9.13	16.20	4.22	0.07	4.29
60	24.56	8.57	15.65	4.22	0.06	4.29
70	21.91	7.65	14.38	4.22	0.06	4.28
80	19.83	6.92	12.95	4.22	0.05	4.27
90	18.14	6.33	11.39	4.22	0.05	4.27
100	16.75	5.84	9.73	4.22	0.04	4.26
110	15.57	5.43	7.99	4.22	0.04	4.26
120	14.56	5.08	6.19	4.22	0.04	4.26

On-site Stormwater Detention

Total Storage Required = 17.55 m³

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Catchment	Drainage Area (ha)	2-year Release Rate (L/s)	2-Year Required Storage (m ³)
CA-04 to CA-10 (Cistern Controlled)	0.127	4.22	17.55
CA-01 to CA-03 (Roof Controlled)	0.185	6.10	26.92
Uncontrolled	0.001	0.20	N/A
Total	0.314	10.53	44.47

ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below.


Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be:

$$[5 \text{ gpm}(\text{per inch of head}) \times 2 \text{ inches of head}] + 2\text{-}1/2 \text{ gpm}(\text{for the third inch of head}) = 12\text{-}1/2 \text{ gpm.}$$


TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings



Weir Opening Exposed	Head of Water					
	1"	2"	3"	4"	5"	6"
Flow Rate (gallons per minute)						
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	25	30
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25	25
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75	15
Closed	5	10	10	10	10	10

Job Name _____ Model No. _____
 Job Location _____ Contractor _____
 Engineer _____ Representative _____

ACCUTROL WEIR FLOW CONTROL

SPECIFICATION: Watts Drainage Products epoxy coated cast iron Accutrol Weir is designed with parabolic openings which limit the flow of rain water off a roof. Each weir slot controls flow to 5 gpm per inch of head to a maximum of 30 gpm at 6" head(for large sump), 25 gpm at 5" head(for small sump) . The Accutrol Weir is secured to the flashing clamp of the roof drain. The Accutrol Weir is available with 1 to 4 slots for the large sump drain and up to 3 slots for the small sump drain.

For Large Sump Roof Drains Specify the "-A" option and number of slots required. (ie. "RD-100-A2" for two slot weir)
For Small Sump Roof Drains Specify the "-A" option and number of slots required. (ie. "RD-200-A1" for one slot weir)

**LARGE SUMP ACCUTROL WEIR****SMALL SUMP ACCUTROL WEIR**

Job Name _____

Model No. _____

Job Location _____

Contractor _____

Engineer _____

Representative _____

Imbrium® Systems																	
ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SEDIMENT (TSS) LOAD REDUCTION																	
06/27/2025																	
Province:	Ontario	Project Name:	85 Gemini Way														
City:	Ottawa	Project Number:	230088														
Nearest Rainfall Station:	OTTAWA CDA RCS	Designer Name:	Brandon O'Leary														
Climate Station Id:	6105978	Designer Company:	Rinker Pipe														
Years of Rainfall Data:	20	Designer Email:	brandon.oleary@RinkerPipe.com														
Site Name:	85 Gemini Way	Designer Phone:	905-630-0359														
Drainage Area (ha):	0.127	EOR Name:	Momen Siam														
Runoff Coefficient 'c':	0.68	EOR Company:	LRL Associates Ltd.														
		EOR Email:	msiam@lrl.ca														
		EOR Phone:	613-203-0746														
Particle Size Distribution:	Fine																
Target TSS Removal (%):	80.0																
Required Water Quality Runoff Volume Capture (%):	90.0																
Estimated Water Quality Flow Rate (L/s):	2.85																
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?	Yes																
Upstream Flow Control?	No																
Peak Conveyance (maximum) Flow Rate (L/s):																	
Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction Sizing Summary <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Stormceptor Model</th> <th style="text-align: center;">TSS Removal Provided (%)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">EFO4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">98</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">EFO5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">99</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">EFO6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">EFO8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">EFO10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: left;">EFO12</td> <td style="text-align: center;">100</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p style="text-align: center;"> Recommended Stormceptor EFO Model: EFO4 Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction (%): 98 Water Quality Runoff Volume Capture (%): > 90 </p>				Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal Provided (%)	EFO4	98	EFO5	99	EFO6	100	EFO8	100	EFO10	100	EFO12	100
Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal Provided (%)																
EFO4	98																
EFO5	99																
EFO6	100																
EFO8	100																
EFO10	100																
EFO12	100																



THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

► **Stormceptor® EF and Stormceptor® EFO** are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor® oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the **ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV)** protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► **Stormceptor® EF and EFO** remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patent-pending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including high-intensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterways.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle Size (μm)	Percent Less Than	Particle Size Fraction (μm)	Percent
1000	100	500-1000	5
500	95	250-500	5
250	90	150-250	15
150	75	100-150	15
100	60	75-100	10
75	50	50-75	5
50	45	20-50	10
20	35	8-20	15
8	20	5-8	10
5	10	2-5	5
2	5	<2	5





Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
0.50	8.6	8.6	0.12	7.0	6.0	100	8.6	8.6
1.00	20.3	29.0	0.25	15.0	12.0	100	20.3	29.0
2.00	16.2	45.2	0.49	29.0	25.0	100	16.2	45.2
3.00	12.0	57.2	0.74	44.0	37.0	100	12.0	57.2
4.00	8.4	65.6	0.98	59.0	49.0	100	8.4	65.6
5.00	5.9	71.6	1.23	74.0	61.0	100	5.9	71.6
6.00	4.6	76.2	1.47	88.0	74.0	100	4.6	76.2
7.00	3.1	79.3	1.72	103.0	86.0	98	3.0	79.2
8.00	2.7	82.0	1.97	118.0	98.0	97	2.7	81.9
9.00	3.3	85.3	2.21	133.0	111.0	95	3.2	85.0
10.00	2.3	87.6	2.46	147.0	123.0	93	2.1	87.2
11.00	1.6	89.2	2.70	162.0	135.0	92	1.4	88.6
12.00	1.3	90.5	2.95	177.0	147.0	91	1.2	89.8
13.00	1.7	92.2	3.19	192.0	160.0	88	1.5	91.3
14.00	1.2	93.5	3.44	206.0	172.0	87	1.1	92.4
15.00	1.2	94.6	3.69	221.0	184.0	86	1.0	93.4
16.00	0.7	95.3	3.93	236.0	197.0	84	0.6	94.0
17.00	0.7	96.1	4.18	251.0	209.0	83	0.6	94.6
18.00	0.4	96.5	4.42	265.0	221.0	82	0.3	94.9
19.00	0.4	96.9	4.67	280.0	233.0	82	0.3	95.3
20.00	0.2	97.1	4.92	295.0	246.0	81	0.2	95.4
21.00	0.5	97.5	5.16	310.0	258.0	81	0.4	95.8
22.00	0.2	97.8	5.41	324.0	270.0	80	0.2	96.0
23.00	1.0	98.8	5.65	339.0	283.0	79	0.8	96.8
24.00	0.3	99.1	5.90	354.0	295.0	79	0.2	97.0
25.00	0.0	99.1	6.14	369.0	307.0	78	0.0	97.0
30.00	0.9	100.0	7.37	442.0	369.0	76	0.7	97.7
35.00	0.0	100.0	8.60	516.0	430.0	72	0.0	97.7
40.00	0.0	100.0	9.83	590.0	492.0	70	0.0	97.7
45.00	0.0	100.0	11.06	664.0	553.0	67	0.0	97.7
Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction =								98 %

Climate Station ID: 6105978 Years of Rainfall Data: 20



RAINFALL DATA FROM OTTAWA CDA RCS RAINFALL STATION**INCREMENTAL AND CUMULATIVE TSS REMOVAL
FOR THE RECOMMENDED STORMCEPTOR® MODEL**

Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report

Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inlet Pipe Diameter		Max Outlet Pipe Diameter		Peak Conveyance Flow Rate	
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15
EF5 / EFO5	1.5	5	90	762	30	762	30	710	25
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION


► Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, Stormceptor® EFO has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid re-entrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.



INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.


45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.



HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1. For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 2.0.

Stormceptor® EF Sizing Report



Pollutant Capacity

Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Depth (Outlet Pipe Invert to Sump Floor)		Oil Volume		Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth *		Maximum Sediment Volume *		Maximum Sediment Mass **	
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft ³)	(kg)	(lb)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF5 / EFO5	1.5	5	1.62	5.3	420	111	305	10	2124	75	2612	5758
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = 1.6 kg/L (100 lb/ft³)

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment and scour prevention technology	Superior, verified third-party performance	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer
Third-party verified light liquid capture and retention for EFO version	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot locations	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer, Site Owner
Functions as bend, junction or inlet structure	Design flexibility	Specifying & Design Engineer
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection and maintenance	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit <http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef>

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit <http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef>



**STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR
“OIL GRIT SEPARATOR” (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE****PART 1 – GENERAL****1.1 WORK INCLUDED**

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS**2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE**

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The minimum sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1	4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	1.19 m ³ sediment / 265 L oil
	5 ft (1524 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	1.95 m ³ sediment / 420 L oil
	6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	3.48 m ³ sediment / 609 L oil
	8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	8.78 m ³ sediment / 1,071 L oil
	10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:	17.78 m ³ sediment / 1,673 L oil



12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units: 31.23 m³ sediment / 2,476 L oil

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing of the OGS shall be determined by use of a minimum ten (10) years of local historical rainfall data provided by Environment Canada. Sizing shall also be determined by use of the sediment removal performance data derived from the ISO 14034 ETV third-party verified laboratory testing data from testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV protocol Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, as follows:

3.2.1 Sediment removal efficiency for a given surface loading rate and its associated flow rate shall be based on sediment removal efficiency demonstrated at the seven (7) tested surface loading rates specified in the protocol, ranging 40 L/min/m² to 1400 L/min/m², and as stated in the ISO 14034 ETV Verification Statement for the OGS device.

3.2.2 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates between 40 L/min/m² and 1400 L/min/m² shall be based on linear interpolation of data between consecutive tested surface loading rates.

3.2.3 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates less than the lowest tested surface loading rate of 40 L/min/m² shall be assumed to be identical to the sediment removal efficiency at 40 L/min/m². No extrapolation shall be allowed that results in a sediment removal efficiency that is greater than that demonstrated at 40 L/min/m².

3.2.4 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates greater than the highest tested surface loading rate of 1400 L/min/m² shall assume zero sediment removal for the portion of flow that exceeds 1400 L/min/m², and shall be calculated using a simple proportioning formula, with 1400 L/min/m² in the numerator and the higher surface loading rate in the denominator, and multiplying the resulting fraction times the sediment removal efficiency at 1400 L/min/m².

The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING




The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**.

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

3.4 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Program's Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This re-entrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.4.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m² to 2600 L/min/m²) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.



STANDARD DETAIL
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

The design and information shown on this drawing is the property of Imbrum Systems ("Imbrum"). It is to be used as a service to the project owner. Imbrum Systems ("Imbrum") and contractor by this drawing, for any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

If changes occur between the supplied information upon which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these changes shall be made by the contractor.

Neither this drawing, nor any part thereof, may be used, reproduced or modified in any manner without the prior written consent of Imbrum. Imbrum reserves the right to cancel any contract for services or products if any unauthorized use is made of this drawing.

Stormceptor® EF

imbrum

TOFT RIDGE ROAD, SUITE 550, HANOVER, MD 21076
USA 888-271-8420 CA 800-588-4801 INTL +1-410-820-5850
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS CONFIDENTIAL AND IS THE PROPERTY OF IMBRUM SYSTEMS, INC. IT IS PROVIDED FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE ONLY AND IS NOT TO BE COPIED, DISCLOSED, OR USED FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE.

1

1

**STANDARD SPECIFICATION FOR
“OIL GRIT SEPARATOR” (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE
WITH THIRD-PARTY VERIFIED LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION
PERFORMANCE TESTING RESULTS**

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, designing, maintaining, and constructing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, **specifically an OGS device that has been third-party tested for oil and fuel retention capability using a protocol for light liquid re-entrainment simulation testing, with testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with all the provisions of ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV)**. Work includes supply and installation of concrete bases, precast sections, and the appropriate precast section with OGS internal components correctly installed within the system, watertight sealed to the precast concrete prior to arrival to the project site.

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS

1.2.1 For Canadian projects only, the following reference standards apply:

CAN/CSA-A257.4-14: Joints for Circular Concrete Sewer and Culvert Pipe, Manhole Sections, and Fittings Using Rubber Gaskets
CAN/CSA-A257.4-14: Precast Reinforced Circular Concrete Manhole Sections, Catch Basins, and Fittings
CAN/CSA-S6-00: Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code

1.2.2 For ALL projects, the following reference standards apply:

ASTM D-4097: Contact Molded Glass Fiber Reinforced Chemical Resistant Tanks
ASTM C 478: Specification for Precast Reinforced Concrete Manhole Sections
ASTM C 443: Specification for Joints for Concrete Pipe and Manholes, Using Rubber Gaskets
ASTM C 891: Standard Practice for Installation of Underground Precast Concrete Utility Structures
ASTM D2563: Standard Practice for Classification of Visual Defects in Reinforced Plastics

1.3 SHOP DRAWINGS

1.3.1 Shop drawings shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail the precast concrete components and OGS internal components prior to shipment, including the sequence for installation.

1.3.2 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record. Any and all changes to project cost estimates, bonding amounts, plan check fees for revision of approved documents, or design impacts due to regulatory requirements as a result of a product substitution shall be coordinated by the Contractor with the Engineer of Record.

1.4 HANDLING AND STORAGE

Prevent damage to materials during storage and handling.

1.4.1 OGS internal components supplied by the Manufacturer for attachment to the precast concrete vessel shall be pre-fabricated, bolted to the precast and watertight sealed to the precast vessel surface prior to site delivery to ensure Manufacturer's internal assembly process and quality control processes are fully adhered to, and to prevent materials damage on site.

1.4.2 Follow all instructions including the sequence for installation in the shop drawings during installation.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 GENERAL

2.1.1 The OGS vessel shall be cylindrical and constructed from precast concrete riser and slab components.

2.1.2 The precast concrete OGS internal components shall include a fiberglass insert bolted and watertight sealed inside the precast concrete vessel, prior to site delivery. Primary internal components that are to be anchored and watertight sealed to the precast concrete vessel shall be done so only by the Manufacturer prior to arrival at the job site to ensure product quality.

2.1.3 The OGS shall be allowed to be specified and have the ability to function as a 240-degree bend structure in the stormwater drainage system, or as a junction structure.

2.1.4 The OGS to be specified shall have the capability to accept influent flow from an inlet grate and an inlet pipe.

2.2 PRECAST CONCRETE SECTIONS

All precast concrete components shall be designed and manufactured to meet highway loading conditions per State/Provincial or local requirements.

2.3 GASKETS

Only profile neoprene or nitrile rubber gaskets that are oil resistant shall be accepted. For Canadian projects only, gaskets shall be in accordance to CSA A257.4-14. Mastic sealants, butyl tape/rope or Conseal CS-101 alone are not acceptable gasket materials.

2.4 JOINTS

The concrete joints shall be watertight and meet the design criteria according to ASTM C-990. For projects where joints require gaskets, the concrete joints shall be watertight and oil resistant and meet the design criteria according to ASTM C-443. Mastic sealants or butyl tape/rope alone are not an acceptable alternative.

2.5 FRAMES AND COVERS

Frames and covers shall be manufactured in accordance with State/Provincial or local requirements for inspection and maintenance access purposes. A minimum of one cover, at least 22-inch (560 mm) in diameter, shall be clearly embossed with the OGS manufacturer's product name to properly identify this asset's purpose is for stormwater quality treatment.

2.6 PRECAST CONCRETE

All precast concrete components shall conform to the appropriate CSA or ASTM specifications.

2.7 FIBERGLASS

The fiberglass portion of the OGS device shall be constructed in accordance with ASTM D2563, and in accordance with the PS15-69 manufacturing standard, and shall only be installed, bolted and watertight sealed to the precast concrete by the Manufacturer prior to arrival at the project site to ensure product quality.

2.8 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a fiberglass insert for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The total sediment storage capacity shall be a minimum 40 ft³ (1.1 m³). The total petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be a minimum 50 gallons (189 liters). The access opening to the sump of the OGS device for periodic inspection and maintenance purposes shall be a minimum 16 inches (406 mm) in diameter.

2.9 LADDERS

Ladder rungs shall be provided upon request or to comply with State/Provincial or local requirements.

2.10 INSPECTION

All precast concrete sections shall be level and inspected to ensure dimensions, appearance, integrity of internal components, and quality of the product meets State/Provincial or local specifications and associated standards.

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 HYDROLOGY AND RUNOFF VOLUME

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to treat a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume, unless otherwise stated by the Engineer of Record, using historical rainfall data. Rainfall data sets should be comprised of a minimum 15-years of rainfall data or a longer continuous period if available for a given location, but in all cases a minimum 5-year period of rainfall data.

3.3 ANNUAL (TSS) SEDIMENT LOAD AND STORAGE CAPACITY

The OGS device shall be capable of removing and have sufficient storage capacity for the calculated annual total suspended solids (TSS) mass load and volume without scouring previously captured pollutants prior to maintenance being required. The annual (TSS) sediment load and volume transported from the drainage area should be calculated and compared to the OGS device's available storage capacity by the specifying Engineer to ensure adequate capacity between maintenance cycles. Sediment loadings shall be determined by land use and defined as a minimum of 450 kg (992 lb) of sediment (TSS) per impervious hectare of drainage area per year, or greater based on land use, as noted in Table 1 below.

Annual sediment volume calculations shall be performed using the projected average annual treated runoff volume, a typical sediment bulk density of 1602 kg/m³ (100 lbs/ft³) and an assumed Event Mean Concentration (EMC) of 125 mg/L TSS in the runoff, or as otherwise determined by the Engineer of Record.

Example calculation for a 1.3-hectares parking lot site:

- 1.28 meters of rainfall depth, per year
- 1.3 hectares of 100% impervious drainage area
- EMC of 125 mg/L TSS in runoff
- Treatment of 90% of the average annual runoff volume
- Target average annual TSS removal rate of 60% by OGS

Annual Runoff Volume:

- $1.28 \text{ m rain depth} \times 1.3 \text{ ha} \times 10,000 \text{ m}^2/\text{ha} = 16,640 \text{ m}^3$ of runoff volume
- $16,640 \text{ m}^3 \times 1000 \text{ L/m}^3 = 16,640,000 \text{ L}$ of runoff volume
- $16,640,000 \text{ L} \times 0.90 = 14,976,000 \text{ L}$ to be treated by OGS unit

Annual Sediment Mass and Sediment Volume Load Calculation:

- $14,976,000 \text{ L} \times 125 \text{ mg/L} \times \text{kg/1,000,000 mg} = 1,872 \text{ kg}$ annual sediment mass
- $1,872 \text{ kg} \times \text{m}^3/1602 \text{ kg} = 1.17 \text{ m}^3$ annual sediment volume
- $1.17 \text{ m}^3 \times 60\% \text{ TSS removal rate by OGS} = 0.70 \text{ m}^3$ minimum expected annual storage requirement in OGS

As a guideline, the U.S. EPA has determined typical annual sediment loads per drainage area for various sites by land use (see Table 1). Certain States, Provinces and local jurisdictions have also established such guidelines.

Table 1 – Annual Mass Sediment Loading by Land Use								
	Commercial	Parking Lot	Residential			Highways	Industrial	Shopping Center
			High	Med.	Low			
(lbs/acre/yr)	1,000	400	420	250	10	880	500	440
(kg/hectare/yr)	1,124	450	472	281	11	989	562	494

Source: U.S. EPA Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide Volume 1, Appendix D, Table D-1, Burton and Pitt 2002

3.4 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in Table 2, Section 3.5, and based on third-party performance testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Sizing of the OGS shall be determined by use of a minimum ten (10) years of local historical rainfall data provided by Environment Canada. Sizing shall also be determined by use of the sediment removal performance data derived from the ISO 14034 ETV third-party verified laboratory testing data from testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV protocol *Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators*, as follows:

3.4.1 Sediment removal efficiency for a given surface loading rate and its associated flow rate shall be based on sediment removal efficiency demonstrated at the seven (7) tested surface loading rates specified in the protocol, ranging 40 L/min/m² to 1400 L/min/m², and as stated in the ISO 14034 ETV Verification Statement for the OGS device.

3.4.2 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates between 40 L/min/m² and 1400 L/min/m² shall be based on linear interpolation of data between consecutive tested surface loading rates.

3.4.3 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates less than the lowest tested surface loading rate of 40 L/min/m² shall be assumed to be identical to the sediment removal efficiency at 40 L/min/m². No extrapolation shall be allowed that results in a sediment removal efficiency that is greater than that demonstrated at 40 L/min/m².

3.4.4 Sediment removal efficiency for surface loading rates greater than the highest tested surface loading rate of 1400 L/min/m² shall assume zero sediment removal for the portion of flow that exceeds 1400 L/min/m², and shall be calculated using a simple proportioning formula, with 1400 L/min/m² in the numerator and the higher surface loading rate in the denominator, and multiplying the resulting fraction times the sediment removal efficiency at 1400 L/min/m².

The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 3.3.

3.4.5 The Peclet Number is not an approved method or model for calculating TSS removal, sizing, or scaling OGS devices.

3.4.6 If an alternate OGS device is proposed, supporting documentation shall be submitted that demonstrates:

- Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification Statement which verifies third-party performance testing conducted in accordance with the **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, including the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing.
- Equal or better sediment (TSS) removal of the PSD specified in Table 2 at equivalent surface loading rates, as compared to the OGS device specified herein.
- Equal or better Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Test results (using low-density polyethylene beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel) at equivalent surface loading rates, as compared to the OGS device specified herein. However, an alternative OGS device shall not be allowed as a substitute if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Test was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the low-density polyethylene beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.
- Equal or greater sediment storage capacity, as compared to the OGS device specified herein.
- Supporting documentation shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer. All costs associated with preparing and certifying this documentation shall be born solely by the Contractor.

3.5 PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD) FOR SIZING

The OGS device shall be sized to achieve the Engineer-specified average annual percent sediment (TSS) removal based solely on the test sediment used in the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. This test sediment is comprised of inorganic ground silica with a specific gravity of 2.65, uniformly mixed, and containing a broad range of particle sizes as specified in Table 2. No alternative PSDs or deviations from Table 2 shall be accepted.

Table 2 Canadian ETV Program Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators Particle Size Distribution (PSD) of Test Sediment		
Particle Diameter (Microns)	% by Mass of All Particles	Specific Gravity
1000	5%	2.65
500	5%	2.65
250	15%	2.65
150	15%	2.65
100	10%	2.65
75	5%	2.65
50	10%	2.65
20	15%	2.65
8	10%	2.65
5	5%	2.65
2	5%	2.65

3.6 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party scour testing conducted and have in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. This scour testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with test sediment comprised of the particle size distribution (PSD) illustrated in Table 2.

3.6.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².

Data generated from laboratory scour testing performed with an OGS device pre-loaded with a coarser PSD than in Table 2 (i.e. the coarser PSD has no particles in the 1-micron to 50-micron size range, or the D₅₀ of the test sediment exceeds 75 microns) shall not be acceptable for the determination of the device's suitability for on-line installation.

3.7 DESIGN ACCOUNTING FOR BYPASS

3.7.1 The OGS device shall be specified to achieve the TSS removal performance and water quality objectives without washout of previously captured pollutants. The OGS device shall also have sufficient hydraulic conveyance capacity to convey the peak storm event, in accordance with hydraulic conditions per the Engineer of Record. To ensure this is achieved, there are two design options with associated requirements:

3.7.1.1 The OGS device shall be placed **off-line** with an upstream diversion structure (typically in an upstream manhole) that only allows the water quality volume to be diverted to the OGS device, and excessive flows diverted downstream around the OGS device to prevent high flow washout of pollutants previously captured. This design typically incorporates a triangular layout including an upstream bypass manhole with an appropriately engineered weir wall, the OGS device, and a downstream junction manhole, which is connected to both the OGS device and bypass structure. In this case with an external bypass required, the OGS device manufacturer must provide calculations and designs for all structures, piping and any other required material applicable to the proper functioning of the system, stamped by a Professional Engineer.

3.7.1.2 Alternatively, OGS devices in compliance with Section 3.6 shall be acceptable for an **on-line** design configuration, thereby eliminating the requirement for an upstream bypass manhole and downstream junction manhole.

3.7.2 The OGS device shall also have sufficient hydraulic conveyance capacity to convey the peak storm event, in accordance with hydraulic conditions per the Engineer of Record. If an alternate OGS device is proposed, supporting documentation shall be submitted that demonstrates equal or better hydraulic conveyance capacity as compared to the OGS device specified herein. This documentation shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer. All costs associated with preparing and certifying this documentation shall be born solely by the Contractor.

3.8 LIGHT LIQUID RE-ENTRAINMENT SIMULATION TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of completed third-party Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**, with results reported within the Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV verification. This re-entrainment testing is conducted with the device pre-loaded with low density polyethylene (LDPE) plastic beads as a surrogate for light liquids such as oil and fuel. Testing is conducted on the same OGS unit tested for sediment removal to assess whether light liquids captured after a spill are effectively retained at high flow rates.

3.8.1 For an OGS device to be an acceptable stormwater treatment device on a site where vehicular traffic occurs and the potential for an oil or fuel spill exists, the OGS device must have reported verified performance results of greater than 99% cumulative retention of LDPE plastic beads for the five specified surface loading rates (ranging 200 L/min/m² to 2600 L/min/m²) in accordance with the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing within the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. However, an OGS device shall not be allowed if the Light Liquid Re-entrainment Simulation Testing was performed with screening components within the OGS device that are effective at retaining the LDPE plastic beads, but would not be expected to retain light liquids such as oil and fuel.

3.9 PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS AND FLOATABLES STORAGE CAPACITY

Petroleum hydrocarbons and floatables storage capacity in the OGS device shall be a minimum 50 gallons (189 Liters), or more as specified.

3.9.1 The OGS device shall have gasketed precast concrete joints that are watertight, and oil resistant and meet the design criteria according to ASTM C-443 to provide safe oil and other hydrocarbon materials storage and ground water protection. Mastic sealants or butyl tape/rope alone are not an acceptable alternative.

3.10 SURFACE LOADING RATE SCALING OF DIFFERENT MODEL SIZES

The reference device for scaling shall be an OGS device that has been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Other model sizes of the tested device shall only be scaled such that the claimed TSS removal efficiency of the scaled device shall be no greater than the TSS removal efficiency of the tested device at identical **surface loading rates** (flow rate divided by settling surface area). The depth of other model sizes of the tested device shall be scaled in accordance with the depth scaling provisions within Section 6.0 of the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**.

3.10.1 The Peclet Number and volumetric scaling are not approved methods for scaling OGS devices.

PART 4 – INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE

The OGS manufacturer shall provide an Owner's Manual upon request.

Maintenance shall be performed by a professional service provider who has experience in cleaning OGS devices and has been trained and certified in applicable health and safety practices, including confined space entry procedures.

- 4.1 A Quality Assurance Plan that provides inspection for a minimum of 5 years shall be included with the OGS stormwater quality device, and written into the Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) or the appropriate State/Provincial or local approval document.
- 4.2 OGS device inspection shall include determination of sediment depth and presence of petroleum hydrocarbons below the insert. Inspection shall be easily conducted from finished grade through a frame and cover of at least 22 inch (560 mm) in diameter.
- 4.3 Inspection and pollutant removal shall be conducted periodically. For routine maintenance cleaning activities, pollutant removal shall typically utilize a truck equipped with vacuum apparatus, and shall be easily conducted from finished grade through a frame and cover of at least 22-inches (560 mm) in diameter.
- 4.4 Diameter of the maintenance access opening to the lower chamber and sump shall be scaled consistently across all model sizes, and shall be 1/3 the inside diameter of the OGS structure, or larger.
- 4.5 No confined space entry shall be required for routine inspection and maintenance cleaning activities.

- 4.6 For OGS model sizes of diameter 72 inches (1828 mm) and greater, the access opening to the OGS device's lower chamber and sump shall be large enough to allow a maintenance worker to enter the lower chamber to facilitate non-routine maintenance cleaning activities and repairs, as needed.
- 4.7 The orifice-containing component (i.e. drop pipe, duct, chute, etc.) of the OGS device used to control flow rate into the lower chamber shall be removable from the insert to facilitate cleaning, repair, or replacement of the orifice-containing component, as needed.

PART 5 – EXECUTION

5.1 PRECAST CONCRETE INSTALLATION

The installation of the precast concrete OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall conform to ASTM C 891, ASTM C 478, ASTM C 443, CAN/CSA-A257.4-14, CAN/CSA-A257.4-14, CAN/CSA-S6-00 and all highway, State/Provincial, or local specifications for the construction of manholes. Selected sections of a general specification that are applicable are summarized below. The Contractor shall furnish all labor, equipment and materials necessary to offload, assemble as needed the OGS internal components as specified in the Shop Drawings.

5.2 EXCAVATION

5.2.1 Excavation for the installation of the OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall conform to highway, State/Provincial or local specifications. Topsoil that is removed during the excavation for the OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be stockpiled in designated areas and not be mixed with subsoil or other materials. Topsoil stockpiles and the general site preparation for the installation of the OGS stormwater quality device shall conform to highway, State/Provincial or local specifications.

5.2.2 The OGS device shall not be installed on frozen ground. Excavation shall extend a minimum of 12 inch (300 mm) from the precast concrete surfaces plus an allowance for shoring and bracing where required. If the bottom of the excavation provides an unsuitable foundation additional excavation may be required.

5.2.3 In areas with a high water table, continuous dewatering shall be provided to ensure that the excavation is stable and free of water.

5.3 BACKFILLING

Backfill material shall conform to highway, State/Provincial or local specifications. Backfill material shall be placed in uniform layers not exceeding 12 inches (300 mm) in depth and compacted to highway, State/Provincial or local specifications.

5.4 OGS WATER QUALITY DEVICE CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

5.4.1 The precast concrete OGS stormwater quality treatment device is installed and leveled in sections in the following sequence:

- aggregate base
- base slab, or base
- riser section(s) (if required)
- riser section w/ pre-installed fiberglass insert
- upper riser section(s)
- internal OGS device components
- connect inlet and outlet pipes
- riser section, top slab and/or transition (if required)
- frame and access cover

5.4.2 The precast concrete base shall be placed level at the specified grade. The entire base shall be in contact with the underlying compacted granular material. Subsequent sections, complete with oil resistant, watertight joint seals, shall be installed in accordance with the precast concrete manufacturer's recommendations.

5.4.3 Adjustment of the OGS stormwater quality treatment device can be performed by lifting the upper sections free of the excavated area, re-leveling the base, and re-installing the sections. Damaged sections and gaskets shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Once the OGS stormwater quality treatment device has been constructed, any lift holes must be plugged with mortar.

5.5 DROP PIPE AND OIL INSPECTION PIPE

Once the upper precast concrete riser has been attached to the lower precast concrete riser section, the OGS device Drop Pipe and Oil Inspection Pipe must be attached, and watertight sealed to the fiberglass insert using Sikaflex 1a. Installation instructions and required materials shall be provided by the OGS manufacturer.

5.6 INLET AND OUTLET PIPES

Inlet and outlet pipes shall be securely set using grout or approved pipe seals (flexible boot connections, where applicable) so that the structure is watertight. Non-secure inlets and outlets will result in improper performance.

5.7 FRAME AND COVER OR FRAME AND GRATE INSTALLATION

Precast concrete adjustment units shall be installed to set the frame and cover/grate at the required elevation. The adjustment units shall be laid in a full bed of mortar with successive units being joined using sealant recommended by the manufacturer. Frames for the cover/grate should be set in a full bed of mortar at the elevation specified.

5.7.1 A minimum of one cover, at least 22-inch (560 mm) in diameter, shall be clearly embossed with the OGS device brand or product name to properly identify this asset's purpose is for stormwater quality treatment.

APPENDIX E

Civil Engineering Drawings



PROPOSED 6 STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING

85 GEMINI WAY, OTTAWA, ON.


REVISION 02



KEY PLAN (N.T.S.)

DRAWING INDEX

TITLE PAGE	
SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN	C101
DEMOLITION PLAN	C102
GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN	C301
SERVICING PLAN	C401
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN	C601
PRE-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN	C701
POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN	C702
CONSTRUCTION DETAIL PLAN	C901



LRL

ENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE

5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2
www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434

PROPOSED 6-STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING
85 GEMINI WAY, OTTAWA, ON.
REV.02 : RE-ISSUED FOR APPROVAL - NOVEMBER 2025
LRL PROJECT NO: 230088



NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED

GENERAL NOTES

1. ALL WORKS MATERIALS SHALL CONFIRM TO THE LAST REVISION OF THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS), WHERE APPLICABLE. LOCAL UTILITY STANDARDS AND MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARDS WILL APPLY WHERE REQUIRED.
2. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WITHIN THE SITE AND ADJACENT WORK AREAS. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ALL EXISTING UTILITIES TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT OF ANY SERVICES OR UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION.
3. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER. LOST TIME DUE TO FAILURE OF THE CONTRACTORS TO CONFIRM UTILITY LOCATIONS AND NOTIFY ENGINEER OF POSSIBLE CONFLICTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION WILL BE AT CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.
4. ANY AREA BEYOND THE LIMIT OF THE SITE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION AT THE CONTRACTORS EXPENSE.
5. ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 'OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS'; THE GENERAL CONTRACTORS SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE CONTRACTOR AS DEFINED IN THE ACT.
6. ALL THE CONSTRUCTION SIGNAGE MUST CONFIRM TO THE MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION OF ONTARIO MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES PER LATEST AMENDMENT.
7. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT WORKS BY OTHERS MAY BE ONGOING DURING THE PERIOD OF THE CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT CONFLICTS.
8. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
9. THERE WILL BE NO SUBSTITUTION OF MATERIALS UNLESS PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL IS RECEIVED FROM THE ENGINEER.
10. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
11. FOR DETAILS RELATING TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND ROOF DRAINAGE REFER TO THE SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT.
12. ALL SEWERS CONSTRUCTED WITH GRADES LESS THAN 1.0% SHALL BE INSTALLED USING LASER ALIGNMENT AND CHECKED WITH LEVEL INSTRUMENT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING.
13. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS REQUIRED AND TO BEAR THE COST OF THE SAME.
14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADDITIONAL BEDDING, OR ADDITIONAL STRENGTH PIPE IF THE MAXIMUM TRENCH WIDTH AS SPECIFIED BY OPSD IS EXCEEDED.
15. ALL PIPE/CULVERT SECTION SIZES REFER TO INSIDE DIMENSIONS.
16. SHOULD DEEPLY BURIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL REMAINS BE FOUND ON THE PROPERTY DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, THE HERITAGE OPERATIONS UNIT OF THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF CULTURE MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY.
17. ALL NECESSARY CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTOR. REVIEW WITH CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA PRIOR TO ANY TREE CUTTING/REMOVAL.
18. DRAWINGS SHALL BE READ ON CONJUNCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN.
19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE PROJECT ENGINEER ON SET OF AS CONSTRUCTED SITE SERVICING AND GRADING DRAWINGS.
20. BENCHMARKS: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT THE SITE BENCHMARK(S) HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT ITS RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREES WITH THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS PLAN.

EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

GENERAL

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SURFACE EROSION AND SEDIMENT RUNOFF RESULTING FROM THEIR CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS HAS POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A DESTRUCTIVE IMPACT TO ANY DOWNSTREAM WATERCOURSE OR SEWER, AND THAT ALL CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS THAT MAY IMPACT UPON WATER QUALITY SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN MANNER THAT STRICTLY MEETS THE REQUIREMENT OF ALL APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS.

AS SUCH, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING OUT THEIR OPERATIONS, AND SUPPLYING AND INSTALLING ANY APPROPRIATE CONTROL MEASURES, SO AS TO PREVENT SEDIMENT/LADEN RUNOFF ENTERING ANY SEWER OR WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NO ONE MEASURE IS LIKELY TO BE 100% EFFECTIVE FOR EROSION PROTECTION AND CONTROLLING SEDIMENT RUNOFF AND DISCHARGES FROM THE SITE. THEREFORE, WHERE NECESSARY THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL MEASURES ARRANGED IN SUCH MANNER AS TO MITIGATE SEDIMENT RELEASE FROM THE CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS AND ACHIEVE SPECIFIC MAXIMUM PERMITTED CRITERIA WHERE APPLICABLE. SUGGESTED ON-SITE MEASURES MAY INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO, THE FOLLOWING METHODS: SEDIMENT PONDS, FILTER BAGS, PUMP FILTERS, SETTLING TANKS, SILT FENCE, STRAW BALES, FILTER CLOTHS, CATCH BASIN FILTERS, CHECK DAMS AND/OR OTHER RECOGNIZED TECHNOLOGIES AND METHOD AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION. SPECIFIC MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF OPSS 577 WHERE APPROPRIATE, OR IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY AGENCY, THE INSTALLED CONTROL MEASURES FAIL TO PERFORM ADEQUATELY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY AND INSTALL ADDITIONAL OR ALTERNATIVE MEASURES AS DIRECTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY AGENCY, AS SUCH, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE ADDITIONAL CONTROL MATERIALS ON SITE AT ALL TIME WHICH ARE EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND MAY BE IMPLEMENTED BY HIM AT THE MOMENT'S NOTICE.

PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR SIX COPIES OF A DETAILED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP). THE ESCP WILL CONSIST OF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION AND DETAILED DRAWINGS INDICATING THE ON-SITE ACTIVITIES AND MEASURES TO BE USED TO CONTROL EROSION AND SEDIMENT MOVEMENT FOR EACH STEP OF THE WORK.

CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT ALL WORKERS, INCLUDING SUB-CONTRACTOR, IN THE WORKING ARE ARE AWARE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INFORMED OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL REGULATORY AGENCIES.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERIODICALLY, AND WHEN REQUESTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, CLEAN OUT ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT DEPOSITS AS REQUESTED AT THE SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES, INCLUDING THOSE DEPOSITS THAT MAY ORIGINATE FROM OUTSIDE THE CONSTRUCTION AREA. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT PREVENTS THE DEPOSITION OF THIS MATERIAL INTO THE SEWER WATERCOURSE AND AVOIDS DAMAGE TO CONTROL MEASURES. THE SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND MANAGED IN COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FRO EXCESS EARTH MATERIAL, AS SPECIFIED ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPORT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR ANY ACCIDENTAL DISCHARGES OF SEDIMENT MATERIAL INTO EITHER THE WATERCOURSE OR THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM. FAILURE TO REPORT WILL BE CONSTITUTE A BREACH OF THIS SPECIFICATION AND THE CONTRACTOR MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE PENALTIES IMPOSED BY THE APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY. APPROPRIATE RESPONSE MEASURES, INCLUDING ANY REPAIRS TO EXISTING CONTROL MEASURES OR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRIED OUT BY THE CONTRACTOR WITHOUT DELAY.

THE SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ONLY BE REMOVED WHEN, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, THE MEASURE OR MEASURES IS NO LONGER REQUIRED. NO CONTROL MEASURE MAY BE PERMANENTLY REMOVED WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR. ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED IN A MANNER THAT AVOIDS THE ENTRY OF ANY EQUIPMENT, OTHER THAN HAND-HELD EQUIPMENT, INTO ANY WATERCOURSE, AND PREVENTS THE RELEASE OF ANY SEDIMENT OR DEBRIS INTO ANY SEWER OR WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA. ALL ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE WORKING AREA AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND MANAGED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR EXCESS EARTH MATERIAL.

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF EITHER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY, ANY OF THE TERMS SPECIFIED HEREIN HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLIED WITH OR PERFORMED IN A SUITABLE MANNER, OR THAT ALL THE CONTRACTOR ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY HAS THE RIGHT TO IMMEDIATELY WITHDRAW ITS PERMISSION TO CONTINUE THE WORK BUT MAY RENEW ITS PERMISSION UPON BEING SATISFIED THAT THE DEFAULTS OR DEFICIENCIES IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS SPECIFICATION BY THE CONTRACTOR HAVE BEEN REMEDIED.

SPILL CONTROL NOTES

1. ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL BE RE-FUELED, MAINTAINED, AND STORED NO LESS THAN 30 METRES FROM WATERCOURSE, STEAMS, CREEKS, WOODLOTS, AND ANY ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS, OR AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
2. THE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL NECESSARY MEASURES IN ORDER TO PREVENT LEAKS, DISCHARGES OR SPILLS OF POLLUTANTS, DELETERIOUS MATERIALS, OR OTHER SUCH MATERIALS OR SUBSTANCES WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
3. IN THE EVENT OF A LEAK, DISCHARGE OR SPILL OF POLLUTANT, DELETERIOUS MATERIAL OR OTHER SUCH MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL:
 - 3.1. IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY APPROPRIATE FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT MINISTRIES, DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND AUTHORITIES OF THE INCIDENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CURRENT LAWS, LEGISLATION, ACTS, BY-LAWS, PERMITS, APPROVALS, ETC.
 - 3.2. TAKE IMMEDIATE MEASURES TO CONTAIN THE MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE, AND TO TAKE SUCH MEASURES TO MITIGATE AGAINST ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.
 - 3.3. RESTORE THE AFFECTED AREA TO THE ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITIES HAVING JURISDICTION.

MUD MAT NOTES

1. THE GRANULAR MATERIAL WILL REQUIRE PERIODIC REPLACEMENT AS IT BECOMES CONTAMINATED BY VEHICLE TRAFFIC.
2. SEDIMENT SHALL BE CLEANED FROM PUBLIC ROADS AT THE END OF EACH DAY.
3. SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM PUBLIC ROADS BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING AND DISPOSED OR PROPERLY IN A CONTROLLED SEDIMENT DISPOSAL AREA.

SITE GRADING NOTES

1. PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE SITE GRADING WORKS, ALL SILTATION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED AND OPERATIONAL PER EROSION CONTROL PLAN.
2. ALL GRANULAR AND PAVEMENT FOR ROADS/PARKING AREAS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.
3. ALL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD AND PARKING AREAS ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION.
4. CONCRETE CURB SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. SC1.1 PROVISION SHALL BE MADE OR CURB DEPRESSIONS AS INDICATED ON ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. CONCRETE SIDEWALK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STD SC1.4. ALL CURBS, CONCRETE ISLANDS AND SIDEWALKS SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING ARE TO BE PRICED IN SITE WORKS PORTION OF THE CONTRACT.
5. PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT FOR SERVICE AND UTILITY CUTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. R10 AND OPSD 509.010
6. CHANNELS SHALL BE PLACED TO A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 30MM AROUND ALL STRUCTURES WITHIN THE PAVEMENT AREA.
7. SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS AND FILL WITH GRANULAR & COMPACTED IN MAXIMUM 30MM LIFTS.
8. ALL WORK ON THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENTS TO BE INSPECTED BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR BACKFILLING.
9. CONTRACTOR TO OBTAIN A ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALLOWANCE, IF REQUIRED BY THE MUNICIPALITY.
10. ALL PAVEMENT MARKING FEATURES AND SITE SIGNAGE SHALL BE PLACED PER ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. LINE PAINTING AND DIRECTIONAL SYMBOLS SHALL BE APPLIED WITH A MINIMUM OF TWO COATS OF ORGANIC SOLVENT PAINT.
11. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN FOR DIMENSIONS AND SITE DETAILS.
12. STEP JOINTS ARE TO BE USED WHERE PROPOSED ASPHALT MEETS EXISTING ASPHALT. ALL JOINTS MUST BE SEALED.
13. SIDEWALKS TO BE 13MM & BEVELLED AT 2.1 OR 6MM WITH NO BEVEL REQUIRED BELOW THE FINISHED FLOOR SLAB ELEVATION AT ENTRANCES REQUIRED TO BE BARRIER-FREE, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH OBC 3.8.1.3 & OTTAWA ACCESSIBILITY DESIGN STANDARDS.
14. WHERE APPLICABLE THE CONTRACTOR IS TO SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SHOP DRAWINGS MUST BE SITE SPECIFIC, SIGNED AND SEALED BY A LICENSED STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY AND GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION OF THE AS-CONSTRUCTED RETAINING WALL TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE.

ROADWORK SPECIFICATIONS

15. ROADWORK TO BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, PREPARED BY EXP SERVICES INC. DATED MARCH 03, 2025.
16. ALL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND STOCK PILLED ON SITE AS DIRECTED BY NATIONAL MUNICIPALITY.
17. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE CROWDED AND SLOPED AT LEAST 2% AND PROOF ROLLED WITH HEAVY ROLLERS.
18. SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS AND FILL WITH GRANULAR 'A' TYPE II COMPACTED IN MAXIMUM 300MM LIFTS.
19. ALL GRANULAR FOR ROADS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO MINIMUM OF 100% STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMD).
20. CONCRETE RAMP CW TACTILE WALKING SURFACE INDICATORS COMPONENT AS PER OPSD 310.039. TACTILE WALKING SURFACE INDICATORS TO BE INSTALLED AT ALL RAMPS. MATERIAL TO BE POLYMER COMPOSITE, COLOR GREY.

SAINTARY, FOUNDATION DRAIN, STORM SEWER AND WATERMAIN NOTES

GENERAL

1. LASER ALIGNMENT CONTROL TO BE UTILIZED ON ALL SEWER INSTALLATIONS.
2. CLAY SEALS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY STANDARD DRAWING 68. THE SEALS SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.5M LONG (IN THE TRENCH DIRECTION) AND SHOULD EXTEND FROM TRENCH WALL TO TRENCH WALL. THE SEALS SHOULD EXTEND FROM THE FROST LINE AND FULLY PENETRATE THE BEDDING, SUB-BEDDING, AND COVER MATERIAL. THE BARRIERS SHOULD CONSIST OF RELATIVELY DRY AND COMPATIBLE BROWN SILTY CLAY PLACED IN MAXIMUM 225MM LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMD. THE CLAY SEALS SHOULD BE PLACED AT THE SITE BOUNDARIES AND AT 60M INTERVALS IN THE SERVICE TRENCHES.
3. SERVICES TO BE BURIED TO A DEPTH OF 1.0M FROM THE OUTSIDE FACE OF BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
4. PROVIDE MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN EXCAVATIONS TO BE BACKFILLED WITH GRANULAR MATERIAL COMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD PRODUCED DENSITY A MINIMUM OF 30MM AROUND STRUCTURES.
5. "MODULOC" OR APPROVED PRE-CAST MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN ADJUSTERS TO BE USED IN LIEU OF BRICKING. PARGE ADJUSTING UNITS TO THE OUTSIDE ONLY.
6. SAFETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE PER OPSD 404.02.
7. DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 1003.01, IF APPLICABLE.
8. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO PROVIDE CCTV CAMERA INSPECTIONS OF ALL SEWERS, INCLUDING PICTORIAL REPORT, ONE (1) CD COPY AND TWO (2) VIDEO RECORDING IN A FORMAT ACCEPTABLE TO ENGINEER. ALL SEWER ARE TO BE FLUSHED PRIOR TO CAMERA INSPECTION. ASPHALT WEAR COURSE SHALL NOT BE PLACED UNTIL THE VIDEO INSPECTION OF SEWERS AND NECESSARY REPAIRS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER.
9. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM LEAKAGE TESTING, IN THE PRESENCE OF THE CONSULTANT, FOR SANITARY SEWERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 407. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM VIDEO INSPECTION OF ALL SEWERS. A COPY OF THE VIDEO AND INSPECTION REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE CONSULTANT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF WEAR COURSE ASPHALT.

SANITARY

10. ALL SANITARY SEWER INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD), AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS).
11. ALL SANITARY GRAVITY SEWER SHALL BE PVC SDR 35, IPEX 'RING-TITE' (OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT) PER CSA STANDARD B182.2 OR LATEST AMENDMENT, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
12. EXISTING MAINTENANCE STRUCTURES TO BE RE-BENCH WHERE A NEW CONNECTION IS MADE.
13. SANITARY GRAVITY SEWER TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S6 AND S7 CLASS 'B' BEDDING, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
14. SANITARY MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S24 AND S25.
15. SANITARY MAINTENANCE STRUCTURES SHALL BE BENCHED PER OPSD 701.02.
16. 100MM THICK HIGH-DENSITY GRADE 'A' POLYSTYRENE INSULATION TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STD W22 WHERE INDICATED ON DRAWING SSP-1.


STORM


17. ALL REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.2, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. ALL NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.1, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. PIPE SHALL BE JOINED WITH STD. RUBBER GASKETS AS PER CSA A257.3, OR LATEST AMENDMENT.
18. ALL STORM SEWER TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S6 AND S7 CLASS 'B' UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. BEDDING AND COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
19. ALL PVC STORM SEWERS ARE TO BE SDR 35 APPROVED PER C.S.A. B182.2 OR LATEST AMENDMENT, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
20. CATCH BASIN SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 705.010.
21. CATCH BASIN LEADS SHALL BE IN 200MM DIA. AT 1% SLOPE (MIN) UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
22. ALL CATCH BASIN SHALL HAVE 60MM SUMP, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
23. ALL CATCH BASIN LEAD INVERTS TO BE 1.5M BELOW FINISHED GRADE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
24. THE STORM SEWER CLASSES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED BASED ON BEDDING CONDITIONS SPECIFIED ABOVE. WHERE THE SPECIFIED TRENCH WIDTH IS EXCEEDED, THE CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR EXTRA TEMPORARY AND/OR PERMANENT REPAIRS MADE NECESSARY BY THE WIDENED TRENCH.
25. ALL ROAD AND PARKING LOT CATCH BASINS TO BE INSTALLED WITH ORTHOGONALLY PLACED SUBDRAINS IN ACCORDANCE WITH DETAIL.
26. PERFORATED SUBDRAIN FOR ROAD AND PARKING LOT CATCH BASIN SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY STD R1 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
27. PERFORATED SUBDRAIN FOR REAR YARD AND LANDSCAPING APPLICATIONS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY STD S29, S30 AND S31, WHERE APPLICABLE.
28. RIP-RAP TREATMENT SEWER AND CULVERT OUTLETS PER OPSD 810.010.
29. ALL STORM SEWER CULVERTS TO BE INSTALLED WITH FROST TREATMENT PER OPSD 803.031 WHERE APPLICABLE.
30. ALL STORM MANHOLES WITH PIPE LESS THAN 900MM IN DIAMETER SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH A 300MM SUMP AS PER SDG, CLAUSE 6.2.6.


WATERMAIN


30. ALL WATERMAIN INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS).
31. ALL PVC WATERMAINS SHALL BE AWWA C-900 CLASS 150, SDR 18 OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.
32. ALL WATER SERVICES LEAD TO 50MM IN DIAMETER TO BE TYPE 'K' COPPER.
33. WATERMAIN TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W17. UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE, BEDDING AND COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
34. ALL PVC WATERMAINS, SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A 10 GAUGE STRANDED COPPER TWIN OR RWU TRACER WIRE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W.36.
35. CATHODIC PROTECTION IS REQUIRED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.25.5 AND W25.
36. VALVE BOXES SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W24.
37. WATERMAIN IN FILL AREAS TO BE INSTALLED WITH RESTRAINED JOINTS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.25.5 AND W25.
38. THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERMAINS TO BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W23.3 AND W25.4.
39. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY CAPS, PLUGS, BLOW-OFFS, AND NOZZLES REQUIRED FOR TESTING AND DISINFECTION OF THE WATERMAIN.
40. WATERMAIN CROSSING OVER AND BELOW SEWERS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W25.2 AND W25, RESPECTIVELY.
41. WATER SERVICES ARE TO BE INSULATED PER CITY STD. W23 WHERE SEPARATION BETWEEN SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE HOLES ARE LESS THAN 2.4M.
42. THE MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN WATERMAIN AND SEWER/UTILITY IS 0.5M PER MOE GUIDELINES. FOR CROSSING UNDER SEWERS, ADEQUATE STRUCTURAL SUPPORT FOR THE WATERMAIN IS REQUIRED TO PREVENT EXCESSIVE DEFLECTION OF JOINTS AND SETTING. THE LENGTH OF WATER PIPE SHALL BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING TO ENSURE THAT THE JOINTS WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM THE SEWER.
43. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COVER OF 2.4M, OTHERWISE THERMAL INSULATION IS REQUIRED AS PER STD DWG W22.
44. GENERAL WATER PLANT TO UTILITY CLEARANCE AS PER STD DWG R20.
45. BUILDING SERVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFF THE FACE OF THE BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND MUST BE RESTRAINED A MINIMUM OF 12M BACK FROM STUB.
46. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES UNLESS OTHERWISE DIRECTED. PROVISIONS FOR FLUSHING WATER LINE PRIOR TO TESTING, ETC. MUST BE PROVIDED.
47. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE BACTERIOLOGICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES. ALL CHLORINATED WATER TO BE DISCHARGED AND PRETREATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS PRIOR TO DISCHARGE. ALL DISCHARGED WATER MUST BE CONTROLLED AND TREATED SO AS NOT TO ADVERSELY EFFECT ENVIRONMENT. IT IS RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO ENSURE THAT ALL MUNICIPAL AND/OR PROVINCIAL REQUIREMENTS ARE FOLLOWED.
48. ALL WATERMAIN STUBS SHALL BE TERMINATED WITH A PLUG AND 50MM BLOW OFF UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.


USE AND

















LEGEND:

EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
PROPOSED CURB
PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
PROPOSED FENCE
PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
PROPOSED GRASS AREA
(100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
PROPOSED ELEVATION
PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION
PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB
/ ASPHALT ELEVATION
PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF
RETAINING WALL
PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL
MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
EXISTING ELEVATION
PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN
PROPOSED STORM SEWER
PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
PROPOSED WATERMAIN
EXISTING STORM SEWER
EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
EXISTING WATERMAIN
EXISTING GAS LINE
EXISTING MANHOLE
EXISTING CATCHBASIN
PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
PROPOSED MANHOLE
PROPOSED WATER VALVE
PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION
PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL
STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
—WATERSHED NAME
—RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
— AREA IN HECTARES

INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS
CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. THE DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO THE CONTRACT AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, THE ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF WITH LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS OWNERSHIP WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

MENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER OR PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT

ND ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT.
O THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.

E REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS
CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A
TION DOCUMENT.

WINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT
LE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND
ES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF
TION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED
THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT
AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE
MITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF
SIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN
E OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES
SE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING.

CHANGES:
THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR
FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE
CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER
DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT
LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL
LIABILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT

WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM ANY
ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED
ACTS, WHETHER BASED ON CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE, TORT, OR
ANY OTHER THEORY. IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO
DEFEND, INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES,
LIABILITIES OR EXPENSES, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES
AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING OUT OF OR RELATED TO THE
CLIENT'S ACTS OR FAILURES TO COMPLY WITH THE TERMS OF
THIS AGREEMENT.

ON, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR
TION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR
CONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR
IONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR
PROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO
BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING
CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION.

OTES:
SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM
AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE.
YOU SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES
AND TANKS WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING
WORK.
YOU ARE ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS

ART OF CONSTRUCTION.

PLIER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS,

ONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS
ISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE
GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS,
NCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

OR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY

CIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

A horizontal line representing a 5m distance. The line is divided into segments: a 2m segment, a 0m segment, and a 5m segment. The 2m and 5m segments are marked with vertical tick marks at their ends. The 0m segment is marked with a vertical tick mark at its center.

E-ISSUED FOR APPROVAL	S.V.	NOV 04 2025
SUED FOR APPROVAL	M.S.	JUL 17 2025
REVISIONS	BY	DATE



Engineering | Ingénierie
400 Bank Street | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2

DRAWN BY: M.S. APPROVED BY: M.B.


—

WATERTHROUGH WATERSHED PLAN

Page 1 of 1


0088 | C703

0088 C702



APPENDIX F
Proposed Site Plan
Legal Survey
As-builts







BASELINE ROAD

DRIVE

CENTREPOINTE

ELEVATIONS



DATE	REVISIONS	BY	NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY	NO.	DATE	REVISIONS	BY
1 9-9-88	GENERAL	D.N.	6	27-11-89	REVISED ROAD REALIGNMENT	D.N.	11	13-8-90	ISSUED FOR TENDER	D.N.				
2 27-9-88	AS PER R.M.O.C.	D.N.	7	29-11-89	DETAILS OF M.H. 301 ADDED	D.N.	12	14-8-90	HYDRO DUCTS ADDED	D.N.				
3 29-9-88	AS PER UTILITIES	D.N.	8	11-12-89	AS PER R.M.O.C.	D.N.	13	24-9-90	AS PER R.M.O.C. - HYD.	D.N.				
4 27-10-88	AS PER R.M.O.C.	D.N.	9	15-3-90	AS PER C.M.H.C.	D.N.	14	21-11-90	" AS BUILT "	D.N.				
5 10-11-89	ROAD REALIGNMENT	D.N.	10	25-7-90	" AS BUILT " - BELL	D.N.								

NOTE 1. CONTRACTOR TO EXPOSE EXIST. 750 mm Ø STORM & 250 mm Ø SANITARY SEWERS TO VERIFY ELEVATIONS PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION OF THE SEWERS.
 2. ROAD SECTION AS PER CITY OF NEPEAN STANDARD DRAWING NS-200
 3. EXIST. WATERMAIN TO BE ABANDONED
 4. UTILITIES SHOWN ARE TAKEN FROM BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS. CONTRACTOR IS REQUESTED TO CHECK WITH ALL UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING

Cecil D. Naraine
Associates Limited
CONSULTING ENGINEERS MUNICIPAL CIVIL

CANADA MORTGAGE AND HOUSING CORPORATION
RE ALIGNMENT OF CONSTELLATION CRESCENT

SCALE: HORIZ. 1:500
DESIGNED: C.D.N.
DRAWN: C.D.W.
CHECKED: C.D.N.
DATE: SEPT. 1988
DRWG. NO. 3042-101

TOPOGRAPHICAL PLAN OF SURVEY

Scale 1:250
10 7.5 5.0 2.5 0
Metres
0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 4000 4500 5000 5500 6000 6500 7000 7500 8000 8500 9000 9500 10000

**BLOCK 39 (0.30 RESERVE) And
PART OF BLOCKS 22, 23 And
PART OF BLOCK 41 (ROAD WIDENING)**
(As Closed by Judge's Order Inst. LT819458)
REGISTERED PLAN 4M-623


Bearings are astronomic, derived from the southerly limit of Plan 4R-7298, shown to be N 58°43'50" E thereon.

PART OF LOT 35
CONCESSION 2 (RIDEAU FRONT)
Geographic Township of Nepean
CITY OF OTTAWA

Surveyed by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd.

Surveyor's Certificate

Location: 1000-1000
1. This survey and plan are correct and in accordance with the
Surveys Act, the Surveyors Act, the Land Titles Act and the
regulations made under them.
2. The survey was completed on the 21st day of June, 2023.



Notes & Legend

Denotes	Notes
—	Survey Monument Planted
—	Survey Monument Found
SIB	Standard Iron Bar
SSIB	Short Standard Iron Bar
IB	Iron Bar
CC	Cut Cross
(WIT)	Witness
Mes.	Measured
Cal'd	Calculated
(P1)	Registered Plan 4M-623
(P2)	Plan 4R-7298
(P3)	Plan 4R-23887
(P4)	Plan 4R-26884
(P5)	Plan 4R-13603
(P6)	Plan by (AO) dated December 10, 2009
(P7)	Plan by (AO) dated March 19, 2018

ELEVATION NOTES

1. Elevations shown are referred to geodetic datum.
2. It is the responsibility of the user to verify that the job benchmark
has not been altered or disturbed and that its relative elevation and description
agrees with the information shown on this drawing.

UTILITY NOTES

1. This drawing cannot be accepted as acknowledging all of the utilities and it will
be the responsibility of the user to contact the respective utility authorities for
confirmation.
2. Only visible surface utilities were located.
3. A field location of underground plant by the pertinent utility authority is
mandatory before any work involving breaking ground, probing, excavating etc.

