



3145 Conroy Road

Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Report

FINAL

November 2025



Certification Form for Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Study

TIA Reports

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines and 2023 amendments.

Please note that the Certification is only required for the submission of a TIA. The Screening can be undertaken by a non-certified individual for the purpose of identifying if a TIA is needed or not.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that they meet the four criteria listed below.

CERTIFICATION



I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines; (Update effective July 2023)



I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;



I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and



I am either a licensed or registered¹ professional in good standing, whose field of expertise



is either transportation engineering



or transportation planning.

¹ License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.

Dated at Ottawa this 19th day of November, 20 25.
(City)

Name : Basel Ansari P.Eng

Professional title: Transportation Engineer



Signature of individual certifier that they meet the above four criteria

| |
|--|
| Office Contact Information (Please Print) |
| Address: <u>1223 Michael Street North, Suite 100</u> |
| City / Postal Code: <u>Ottawa, Ontario, K1J 7TJ</u> |
| Telephone / Extension: <u>613-691-1581</u> |
| Email Address: <u>Basel.Ansari@parsons.com</u> |

Stamp



Transportation Impact Assessment Report

**3145 Conroy Rd,
Ottawa, ON**

Prepared for:
WO MW Realty Limited
180 Renfrew Drive
Suite 230
Markham ON L3R9Z2

prepared by:
 **PARSONS**
1223 Michael Street North
Suite 100
Ottawa, ON K1J 7T2

November 19, 2025

479371-01000

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| CLIENT: | WO MW Realty Limited |
| PROJECT NAME: | 3145 Conroy Road |
| REPORT TITLE: | TIA Report |
| PARSONS PROJECT NO: | 479371 - 01000 |
| IN SUPPORT OF: | Site Plan Application (SPA) |
| VERSION: | Final |
| DIGITAL MASTER: | https://parsons365can.sharepoint.com/sites/OttawaHub/Projects/Projects/479371 - 3145 Conroy Road - White Owl Approvals/4. 03000 - Transportation/Documents/4-TIA Report/3145 Conroy - TIA Report.docx |
| ORIGINATOR | Myles Fox, Juan Lavin, P.Eng., |
| REVIEWER: | Basel Ansari, P.Eng. |
| AUTHORIZATION: | |
| CIRCULATION LIST: | Rochelle Fortier, Mike Giampa |
| HISTORY: | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• TIA Step 2 Report – Scoping and Forecasting – May 26, 2025• TIA Step 3 Report – Strategy – August 26, 2025• TIA Report – November 19, 2025 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 1.0 | SCREENING FORM | 1 |
| 2.0 | SCOPING REPORT | 1 |
| 2.1. | EXISTING AND PLANNED CONDITIONS | 1 |
| 2.1.1. | PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT..... | 1 |
| 2.1.2. | EXISTING CONDITIONS..... | 5 |
| 2.1.3. | PLANNED CONDITIONS | 13 |
| 2.2. | STUDY AREA AND TIME PERIODS..... | 16 |
| 2.3. | EXEMPTION REVIEW | 17 |
| 3.0 | FORECASTING | 18 |
| 3.1. | DEVELOPMENT GENERATED TRAVEL DEMAND | 18 |
| 3.1.1. | TRIP GENERATION SOURCES | 18 |
| 3.1.2. | TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT | 20 |
| 3.2. | BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAFFIC..... | 23 |
| 3.2.1. | TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS..... | 23 |
| 3.2.2. | BACKGROUND GROWTH AND OTHER AREA DEVELOPMENTS | 23 |
| 3.2.3. | FUTURE BACKGROUND VOLUMES | 23 |
| 3.2.4. | FUTURE PROJECTED TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 24 |
| 3.3. | DEMAND RATIONALIZATION..... | 25 |
| 4.0 | ANALYSIS | 27 |
| 4.1. | DEVELOPMENT DESIGN..... | 27 |
| 4.1.1. | DESIGN FOR SUSTAINABLE MODES..... | 27 |
| 4.1.2. | CIRCULATION AND ACCESS..... | 27 |
| 4.1.3. | NEW STREET NETWORK..... | 27 |
| 4.2. | PARKING | 27 |
| 4.3. | BOUNDARY STREET DESIGN | 28 |
| 4.4. | ACCESS INTERSECTION LOCATION | 29 |
| 4.4.1. | LOCATION AND DESIGN OF ACCESS | 29 |
| 4.5. | TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT..... | 30 |
| 4.5.1. | CONTEXT FOR TDM | 30 |
| 4.5.2. | NEED AND OPPORTUNITY | 30 |
| 4.5.3. | TDM PROGRAM | 31 |
| 4.6. | NEIGHBORHOOD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT | 31 |
| 4.7. | TRANSIT | 31 |
| 4.7.1. | TRANSIT ROUTE CAPACITY | 31 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 4.7.2. TRANSIT PRIORITY REQUIREMENTS..... | 31 |
| 4.8. REVIEW OF NETWORK CONCEPT | 31 |
| 4.9. INTERSECTION DESIGN..... | 32 |
| 4.9.1. INTERSECTION CONTROL..... | 32 |
| 4.9.2. INTERSECTION DESIGN..... | 32 |
| 4.9.3. INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE | 33 |
| 4.9.4. QUEUEING ANALYSIS | 36 |
| 5.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 37 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|--|----|
| FIGURE 1: LOCAL CONTEXT | 3 |
| FIGURE 2: PROPOSED SITE PLAN (AUGUST 2025)..... | 4 |
| FIGURE 3: EXISTING DRIVEWAYS ADJACENT TO DEVELOPMENT | 7 |
| FIGURE 4: CROSSTOWN BIKEWAY NETWORK..... | 8 |
| FIGURE 5: AREA TRANSIT NETWORK..... | 8 |
| FIGURE 6: BUS STOP LOCATIONS..... | 9 |
| FIGURE 7: EXISTING PEAK HOUR VEHICLE TRAFFIC VOLUMES..... | 11 |
| FIGURE 8: EXISTING PEAK HOUR PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLIST VOLUMES | 11 |
| FIGURE 9: TMP PRIORITY TRANSIT NETWORK – MARCH 2025 | 13 |
| FIGURE 10: OFFICIAL PLAN – SCHEDULE C4 URBAN ROAD NETWORK | 14 |
| FIGURE 11: OTHER AREA DEVELOPMENTS..... | 15 |
| FIGURE 12: STUDY AREA AND INTERSECTIONS TO BE ANALYZED..... | 17 |
| FIGURE 13: PEAK HOUR SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC DISTRIBUTION, FOR STAFF AND TRUCKS..... | 21 |
| FIGURE 14: PEAK HOUR SITE GENERATED TRAFFIC VOLUMES FOR EMPLOYEE TRAFFIC (ALL WEEK)..... | 22 |
| FIGURE 15: PEAK HOUR SITE GENERATED COLLECTION VEHICLE TRAFFIC VOLUMES (WEDNESDAY)..... | 22 |
| FIGURE 16: PEAK HOUR SITE GENERATED COLLECTION VEHICLE TRAFFIC VOLUMES (REST OF THE WEEK) | 22 |
| FIGURE 17: TOTAL SITE GENERATED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES (WEDNESDAY) | 23 |
| FIGURE 18: TOTAL SITE GENERATED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES (REST OF THE WEEK)..... | 23 |
| FIGURE 19: PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES FOR OTHER DEVELOPMENTS..... | 24 |
| FIGURE 20: FUTURE BACKGROUND PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES | 24 |
| FIGURE 21: FUTURE PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES INCLUDING THE DEVELOPMENT (2033 – REST OF THE WEEK)..... | 25 |
| FIGURE 22: FUTURE PROJECTED PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES INCLUDING THE DEVELOPMENT (2033 – WEDNESDAY) | 25 |
| FIGURE 23: SITE ACCESS ALTERNATE ANALYSIS SCENARIOS | 35 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF PROPOSED LAND USES, SIZE AND LOCATION..... | 2 |
| TABLE 2: COLLISION SUMMARY BY TYPE AND SEVERITY..... | 12 |
| TABLE 3: COLLISION SUMMARY AT STUDY AREA INTERSECTIONS, VULNERABLE ROAD USERS | 12 |
| TABLE 4: COLLISION SUMMARY AT STUDY AREA MID-BLOCK LOCATIONS..... | 12 |
| TABLE 5: EXEMPTIONS REVIEW SUMMARY..... | 17 |
| TABLE 6: EMPLOYEE PEAK HOUR PERSON TRIP GENERATION..... | 18 |
| TABLE 7: PEAK HOUR TRIPS MODE SHARE BREAKDOWN | 19 |
| TABLE 8: SITE COLLECTION VEHICLE PEAK HOUR TRIP GENERATION BY TRAVEL MODE..... | 19 |
| TABLE 9: COMBINED SITE GENERATED PEAK HOUR TRIPS | 20 |
| TABLE 10: SUMMARY OF PARKING REQUIREMENTS AND PROPOSED PARKING..... | 28 |
| TABLE 11: MMLOS – BOUNDARY STREET SEGMENTS EXISTING AND FUTURE CONDITIONS..... | 29 |
| TABLE 12: MMLOS – EXISTING AND FUTURE INTERSECTION CONDITIONS..... | 32 |
| TABLE 13: EXISTING CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE | 33 |
| TABLE 14: FUTURE PROJECTED 2033 CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE (WEDNESDAY SCHEDULE) | 34 |
| TABLE 15: SITE ACCESS ALTERNATE SCENARIOS FUTURE PROJECTED 2033 CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE (WEDNESDAY SCHEDULE)..... | 35 |
| TABLE 16: FUTURE PROJECTED 2033 CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE (REST OF THE WEEK SCHEDULE)..... | 36 |
| TABLE 17: QUEUEING ANALYSIS AT SITE ACCESS – FUTURE PROJECTED 2033 | 36 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| |
|--|
| APPENDIX A: TIA SCREENING FORM AND SITE PLAN |
| APPENDIX B: OC TRANSPO TRANSIT ROUTES |
| APPENDIX C: EXISTING PEAK HOUR VOLUMES |
| APPENDIX D: HISTORIC COLLISION DATA |
| APPENDIX E: TRANS FORECASTED GROWTH CONROY |
| APPENDIX F: TRUCK TURNING TEMPLATES |
| APPENDIX G: MMLOS ANALYSIS SHEETS |
| APPENDIX H: TDM CHECKLISTS |
| APPENDIX I: TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SHEETS |
| APPENDIX J: SYNCHRO AND SIMTRAFFIC REPORTS |

TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Parsons has been retained by WO MW Realty Limited to prepare a Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) in support of a Site Plan Application (SPA) for a proposed office and truck fleet maintenance facility located at the municipal address of 3145 Conroy Road, referred to as the Subject Site herein. This document follows the TIA process as outlined in the City of Ottawa Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines (2017) and the 2023 revisions. The following TIA Report addresses latest City staff comments dated September 26, 2025.

1.0 SCREENING FORM

The screening form confirmed the need for a TIA Report based on the Trip Generation trigger, given that the proposed development consists of fleet and employee parking, a mechanic shop, office space, and a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) refueling area, and is forecasted to produce more than 60 person trips during peak hours.

Screening also determined that the conditions for additional analysis due to Location Triggers were met. The development proposes to maintain an existing access location to a boundary street (Conroy Road) that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority Network and Cross-Town Bikeway Network.

The Safety Trigger is also met as the proposed driveway is within the area of influence of the CN Rail at-grade crossing, which has railway traffic signal control, and the proposed driveway makes use of an existing median break along Conroy Road. The Screening Form and Site Plan have been provided in **Appendix A**.

2.0 SCOPING REPORT

2.1. Existing and Planned Conditions

2.1.1. Proposed Development

The proposed development is located at 3145 Conroy Road in the City of Ottawa. The site is approximately 4.86 hectares on a rectangular parcel, with a minimum depth of 457m. This site is located in Ward 10 (Gloucester-Southgate) between Walkley Road and Hunt Club Road and south-adjacent to a CN Rail Corridor. The site is currently vacant with remnants of a go-karting track and a mini-golf course. The site context is illustrated in **Figure 1**.

The proposed development includes an office space, a servicing garage with loading spaces, storage at the rear of the site for roll-off and front-end waste bins, and surface parking lots for 135 truck spaces and 267 employee parking spaces (including barrier-free spaces). Site modifications associated with the proposed development include site clearing and grading. The installation of a 1.8m sidewalk will provide pedestrian access to the main building from Conroy Road along with 10 bicycle parking spaces east of the building entrance. Site vehicular access will be provided through the existing driveway to Conroy Road, with full movements maintained.

Proposed surface parking consists of 259 standard vehicle spaces, 8 barrier-free parking spaces, and 12 motorcycle spaces. Landscaping buffers will surround the surface parking areas and around the edges of the site. The site plan is illustrated in **Figure 2**.

Zoning and planning provisions

The current Zoning By-law designates the development parcel as IG3 (General Industrial), which permits a 'wide range of low to moderate impact, light industrial uses in accordance with the Employment Area designation of the Official Plan'. As a **servicing and repair shop** and **office**, the development is subjected to provisions 199(3) to (5) of the Zoning By-law. Physical planning provisions, as per 199(3), are presented below in Table 1. 199(4) is not applicable, as there is no accessory display and sales area. It should be noted that a draft Zoning By-law is underway, with the only changed stipulation for the site applying to the minimum lot area, which is met under both the draft and current Zoning By-law. Additional provisions related to parking are discussed in **Section 4.2** of this report.

The 2025 Official Plan designates the development parcel as Industrial and Logistics in the Outer Urban Transect, which permits 'heavy equipment and vehicle sales and service' as well as 'offices that are accessory to a primary use'.


Table 1: Summary of Proposed Land Uses, Size and Location

| Statistic | Value | General Industrial (IG3) (Table 199, 2023 ZBL) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Lot Area | 48,611.80m ² | Minimum 1,000 m ² |
| Lot Width | 110m | No minimum |
| Lot Depth | 375.24m – 456.64m | - |
| Lot Coverage | 6.6% | Maximum 65% |
| Gross Floor Area | 3,962.09m ² | - |
| Building Area | 2,987.31m ² | - |
| Building Height | 9.64m | 22m |
| Setback | | |
| Front | 108.21m | Minimum 3m |
| Corner | N/A | Minimum 3m |
| Interior | 34.20m | Minimum 3m |
| Rear | 264.01m | Minimum 3m |
| Vehicle Parking Spaces | 267 | Minimum 59 |
| Bicycle Parking Spaces | 10 | Minimum 9 |
| Motorcycle Spaces | 12 | Maximum 13 |
| Fleet Parking Spaces | 135 | - |

Figure 1: Local Context



Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan (August 2025)



Note: The proposed access will continue to be through the City of Ottawa property as a Right-of-Way (ROW) easement agreement.

2.1.2. Existing Conditions

Area Road Network

A description for each road within the study area included in the TIA has been provided below.

Thurston Road is classified as a local road which extends from Conroy Road in the west followed by a 90-degree bend directing to St Laurent Boulevard in the north. Within the study area, Thurston Road has a two-lane cross section consisting of one eastbound lane and one westbound lane. According to the Official Plan Schedule C16, the protected right-of-way is 18m. The posted speed limit is 50km/h.

Johnston Road is classified as collector road traveling east from the Conroy Road intersection and a Major Collector Road traveling west extending to Bank Street. According to Official Plan Schedule C16, the protected right-of-way is 26m. The posted speed limit is 50km/h.

Conroy Road is classified as an arterial road which extends from Walkley Road in the north and merges into Bank Street in the south. Conroy Road has four lane cross section consisting of two northbound lanes, two southbound lanes, curbside bike lanes on either side, and a median break. According to the Official Plan Schedule C16, the protected right-of-way is 37.5m. The posted speed limit is 60km/h. Conroy Road is classified as a full-load truck route.

Existing Study Area Intersections

The following provides a description of study area intersections:

Thurston/Conroy Road

The Thurston/Conroy Road intersection is a four-legged signalized intersection. The northbound movements consist of a left-turn lane, two through lanes, and a channelized right-turn lane, with a pedestrian refuge island. The southbound approach consists of a left-turn lane, a through lane, and a shared through-right lane. A curbside bike lane and a pocket bike lane are provided on Conroy Road for the southbound and northbound directions, respectively. The northbound and southbound cross-section is separated by a median. The westbound movement consists of a left turn lane and a shared through-right lane. The eastbound approach consists of a shared left-turn, through-right lane. All movements are permitted at this location.



Johnston/Conroy Road

The Johnston/Conroy Road intersection is a four-legged intersection. The eastbound and westbound approach consists of a through lane, a right-turn lane, a pocket bike lane, and a left-turn lane. The northbound and southbound movements consist of a single through lane, a shared through-right lane and a left-turn lane separated by a painted buffer. A curbside bike lane is provided on Conroy Road. All movements are permitted at this location.



Existing Driveways to Adjacent Developments

Driveway accesses within 200m of the development access are indicated in red boxes for major accesses and yellow boxes for minor accesses in **Figure 3**. This includes:

- South of the Subject Site at:
 - 3225 Conroy Road (east side): single access to a place of worship and place of assembly, approximately 150m south of the site.
 - 3201 Conroy Road (east side): single access to a gas bar and car wash, approximately 200m south of the site with additional access to Johnston Street.
- North of the Subject site at:
 - 3138 Conroy Road (west side): single access to a train yard with restricted access, approximately 70m away across from the Subject Site on Conroy Road.
 - 3100 Conroy Road (west side): single access to light-industrial facility, approximately 350m north of the site that enters the four-legged intersection with Thurston Road.

Figure 3: Existing Driveways Adjacent to Development



Existing Area Traffic Management Measures

Below are the existing area traffic management measures within the study area:


- Railway crossing and barriers, including pavement markings warning of the train crossing
- No trucks allowed on Johnston Road
- A "No U-turn" sign travelling northbound on Conroy at the intersection with the Subject Site's driveway
- "This Lane" bike lane indicator signs on Conroy Road
- Centre flex poles on Johnston Rd

Existing Pedestrian/Cycling Network

A sidewalk facility approximately 1.6m wide is provided on the east side of Conroy Road, directly adjacent to the site. A multi-use pathway (MUP) facility approximately 3.5m wide is provided on the west side of Conroy Road. Both sides of Conroy Road have 2.1m wide painted curbside bike lanes. Johnston Road provides a 2m sidewalk on the south side only west of Conroy Road and on both sides of the road east of Conroy Road. Thurston Drive has sidewalk facilities approximately 2m wide on both sides of the road east of Conroy Road and no facilities west of Conroy Road. Throughout the study area, Conroy Road and Johnston Road (west of Conroy Road) are both part of the Crosstown Bikeway Network (March 1, 2023)¹ according to the new Transportation Master Plan (TMP) and as illustrated in **Figure 4**.

¹[Crosstown Bikeway Network, March 1, 2023](#)

Figure 4: Crosstown Bikeway Network



Transit Network

The transit network for the study area is illustrated in **Figure 5** with **Figure 6** illustrating the bus stop locations near to the site.

Figure 5: Area Transit Network





Figure 6: Bus Stop Locations



Figures for each OC Transpo transit route servicing the site are available in **Appendix B**. They are as follows:

Route #40 (St Laurent <-> Greenboro): Identified by OC Transpo as a “Frequent” service type, this route operates seven days a week in all time periods, with headways of approximately 30 minutes during the day. This route provides connectivity to the LRT Line 1 at St Laurent Mall and various destinations within Gloucester all the way to LRT Line 2 at South Keys and Greenboro. Stops for this route are available on both sides of Conroy Road. Stops #4334 and #4335 are immediately adjacent to the site, although there is no pedestrian crossing on Conroy Road from this location. Transit users riding from the north might instead stop at Stops #4333 or #0413, which are 300m from the site, but offer safe crossings at Thurston/Conroy and Johnston/Conroy, respectively.

Route #43 (Karsh <-> Greenboro): Identified by OC Transpo as a “Local” service type, this route operates on weekdays on an hourly basis. The route provides connectivity to LRT Line 2 at Greenboro and various destinations within the Greenboro and South Keys residential areas. Bus stops for this route are available on Johnston Road, located approximately 330m from the site (stops #8135 and #1305).

Route #644 (Canterbury H.S <-> Greenboro): Identified by OC Transpo as a customer service from home to school under the service type “School”. This route operates two times a day in the morning during the week. This route provides connectivity to the LRT Line 2 at Greenboro station to Canterbury Highschool and Canterbury Recreation complex. The nearest bus stops serving this route are the site-adjacent Stops #4334 and #4335, although transit users from the north might instead stop further north at Stop #4333, where they can cross safely at Thurston/Conroy.

Route #649 (Hillcrest <-> Greenboro): identified by OC Transpo as a customer service from home to school under the service type “School”. This route operates once daily on weekdays. This route provides connectivity between LRT Line 2 and Hillcrest Highschool, located close to the Ottawa Hospital. The nearest bus stops serving this route are the site-adjacent Stops #4334 and #4335, although transit users from the north might instead stop further north at Stop #4333, where they can cross safely at Thurston/Conroy.

CN Rail Corridor

The CN Rail corridor crosses Conroy Road at approximately 105m north of the proposed development access. Railroad crossing signs and gates are provided on Conroy Road. According to information provided on the OC Transpo website regarding coordination of the CN Freight Trains on LRT Line 2, the CN trains are infrequent, running twice on certain days. The timing of the two train is 8-9am and 4-5pm, both of which do not align with the peak hours of the development discussed in the following section.

Peak Hour Travel Demands

Traffic count data was obtained from the City of Ottawa. The vehicle traffic volumes at study area intersections are illustrated in **Figure 7** and active transportation volumes in **Figure 8**, with raw traffic count data provided in **Appendix C**. The City of Ottawa normally provides eight-hour counts, which includes the AM Peak Period (7:00-10:00 AM), the Mid-day Off-Peak Period (11:30 AM-1:30 PM) and the PM Peak Period (3:00-6:00 PM). However, it is noted that the morning peak hour of the generator (i.e. the proposed development) is estimated to be 6:00-7:00 AM.

For this analysis, the earliest available traffic data from the City from 7:00-8:00 AM will be used to represent the 6:00-7:00 AM period at the signalized intersections. Parsons conducted mid-block field counts on Thursday May 15th, 2025, to determine the proportion of traffic volumes from 6:00-7:00 AM versus 7:00-8:00 AM. The count determined that traffic volumes from 6:00-7:00 AM were approximately 50% lower than traffic volumes from 7:00-8:00 AM. Therefore, using the City's 7:00-8:00 AM data is very conservative.

During the PM, it is understood that trucks returning to the site and employees returning home subsequently will be staggered over a 3-hour window (between 4:00-7:00 PM). For the purpose of this assessment, the peak hour of the generator in the PM has been assumed to be 17:00-18:00 which is available within the data provided by the city and will be used as the PM peak hours.

A review of existing traffic volumes showed that there was a significant imbalance of vehicular volumes, particularly in the northbound direction. Additional counts were requested at adjacent intersections such as St. Laurent Boulevard (December 2023) and Lorry Greenburg Drive (April 2025). It was determined that Johnston Road (January 2024) was consistent with the other three counts while Thurston Drive (April 2019) was significantly higher, by about 700 to 900 additional vehicles during the morning peak hour. Since the Thurston Drive count was an outlier to the other three counts and is much older than the other three counts (pre-Covid count for Thurston Drive vs post-Covid counts for the other three), the volumes at Thurston Drive were balanced to the other intersections. A review of mid-block counts conducted by Parsons staff on May 15, 2025, confirmed that the reduced traffic volumes on Thurston Drive/balancing to the other three intersections was consistent with counts from the May 15, 2025. Active transportation volumes may be lower at the Johnston/Conroy intersection compared to other locations as that count was conducted during winter months where there is generally less walking and cycling activity.

Figure 7: Existing Peak Hour Vehicle Traffic Volumes





Figure 8: Existing Peak Hour Pedestrian and Cyclist Volumes



Existing Road Safety Conditions

A five-year collision history data (2018-2022, inclusive) was obtained from the City of Ottawa Open Data for the study area intersections and road segments. The data was analyzed as an initial screening. Detailed collision analysis has been provided in **Appendix D**.

The total number of collisions in the five-year study period was 34. Of the collisions, 25 of 34 (74%) resulted in property-damage-only (PDO), while the remaining incidents (nine, or 26%) resulted in non-fatal injury. There were no fatal collisions within the study area. **Table 2** provides a summary of collisions by type and summary.

Table 2: Collision Summary by Type and Severity

| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | SMV Other | SMV Unattended | Other | Total |
|----------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|---------|-------------|-----------|----------------|--------|-----------|
| Property-Damage-Only (PDO) | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 25 (74%) |
| Non-fatal injury | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 (26%) |
| Fatal Incidents | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 (0%) |
| Total | 9 (26%) | 9 (26%) | 2 (6%) | 9 (26%) | 0 (0%) | 5 (15%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) | 34 (100%) |

The most common collision types are rear end, turning movement and angle collisions, all with nine collisions each and making up nearly four fifths of all recorded collisions. Additionally, there were five single-motor-vehicle accidents (15%) and two sideswipes (6%). The study area contains many long, straight road segments with few driveways, which may lead to high speeds and sudden stops as traffic lights turn red, contributing to a high number of rear end collisions. Turning and angle type collisions may be a result of the faster operating speeds and vehicles turning at intersections where left or right-turns are permissive and misjudging the available vehicle gap to safely perform a turn maneuver or merging into traffic.

Table 3 summarizes the collision history by intersection, including the total number of collisions, percent causing injury, number of collisions with vulnerable road users, and the most frequent collision type. Similarly, the mid-block collisions are summarized in **Table 4**.

Table 3: Collision Summary at Study Area Intersections, Vulnerable Road Users

| Intersection Location | # Collisions in 5 Years | % Causing Injury | # Collisions with Peds | # Collisions with Bikes | Most frequent type of collision and % of total collision at that location |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Conroy/Thurston | 11 | 36% | 0 | 0 | Turning movement (45%) |
| Conroy/Johnston | 20 | 20% | 0 | 2 | Angle (35%) |

Table 4: Collision Summary at Study Area Mid-Block Locations

| Midblock Location on Conroy Between | # Collisions in 5 Years | Length of Segment | % Causing Injury | # Collisions with AT | Most frequent type of collision and % of total collision at that location |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
| St. Laurent & Thurston | 0 | 390m | 0% | 0 | N/A |
| Thurston & Johnston | 2 | 530m | 0% | 0 | Rear end (100%) |
| Johnston & Lorry Greenberg | 1 | 460m | 0% | 0 | Rear end (100%) |

The intersection of Conroy/Thurston recorded 11 total collisions. This intersection had an injury rate of 36% (4 of 11), but no vulnerable road user collisions were recorded. The most common collision type was turning movement (5 of 11, or 45%), followed by rear end (27%), and angle (18%). The relatively high injury rate (above 30%) may be reflective of the road design which is conducive of speeding given its long straight stretches of road, coupled with high impact turning movement collisions which tend to have a higher frequency of injury compared to other classifications of collisions. Albeit the frequency of collisions recorded at this intersection was considered low for an intersection between a collector road and an arterial road.

The intersection of Conroy/Johnston recorded 20 collisions within the five-year data, which is also considered generally low frequency of collision given that it is an intersection between an arterial road and a collector/major collector road. This intersection recorded two angled collisions with cyclists, only one of which resulted in injury. This intersection connects two segments of the Crosstown Bikeway Network but does not currently provide protected treatments or protected turning movements/time separated crossings. A more contemporary intersection design following the Protected Intersection Design Guide could be considered to mitigate these collisions with vulnerable users.


The midblock segment analysis showed that very few collisions occurred, and all resulted in property damage only. It is noteworthy that the Ontario Traffic Manual Book 18 Figure 5.5 suggests that the bike facilities on Conroy Road should be provided as physically separated; however, a 3.5m wide MUP facility is currently provided on the west side of Conroy Road, lessening the priority to upgrade the existing curbside bike lanes into cycle-tracks. There were no mid-block collisions with active users.

2.1.3. Planned Conditions

Future Transportation Network Changes

Within the Official Plan, Conroy Road is identified in an *Industrial and Logistics* designation. Based on the most recent update in the TMP as of March 31st, 2025, Conroy Road is identified within the Needs-Based Transit Network, and the Priority Transit Network, with continuous bus lanes from Findlay Creek to Walkley Road via Bank Street and Conroy Road as illustrated in **Figure 9**. The TMP update estimates the project to cost \$122 million for dedicated bus lanes on Heron Road between Conroy Road and Bank Street (including the Conroy Road segment fronting the site). This transit priority project rated second within the continuous bus lanes category. The Needs-Based Transit Network further includes transit priority measures on Hunt Club Road and Bank Street. At the time of submission, no design plans were available online for the section extending south of Walkley Road, on Conroy Road or on Bank Street. It should be noted that the 2025 TMP update has not yet been finalized and is still undergoing public consultation.


Figure 9: TMP Priority Transit Network – March 2025



Similarly, the road network classifications map and future network has recently been released as of March 31st, 2025 for the TMP. The TMP illustrates a northern extension of Conroy Road from Walkley Road to the Hospital Link Road within the Needs-Based Road Network and is consistent with Schedule C4 of the Official Plan as shown in **Figure 10**. However, the Priority Road Network within the new TMP update does not illustrate this link, meaning that it will likely not be built within the study horizon years.

The latest Crosstown Bikeway Network from the 2023 TMP (as shown previously in **Figure 4**) classifies Conroy Road and Johnston Road west of Conroy Road as part of the Crosstown Bikeway Route. The latest TMP Cycling Priority Map (March 2025) highlights Johnston Road as a “later priority” from Conroy Road to the Airport Parkway, likely to occur beyond 10 years from now.


Figure 10: Official Plan – Schedule C4 Urban Road Network



Other Area Developments

The following section outlines adjacent developments in the general area that were considered in the TIA. The criteria for inclusion of other area developments are for developments that have an active planning application and are generally within a one-kilometre radius of the subject site. **Figure 11** illustrates the location and relative size of relevant developments in other areas.

Figure 11: Other Area Developments



1) 2700 Swansea Crescent

Proposal to construct additions to the existing two-storey medium manufacturing facility, approximately 1,540m² large and will be constructed above the parking lot as an addition to the south side of the second story of the existing facility. The site is comprised of Light Industrial and Heavy Industrial uses and is bounded by a corridor zoned Parks and Open Space to the south, followed by an established low-rise residential neighbourhood. The purpose of this addition is to provide more research and office space and to expand current operations. The status of this Site Plan Control is post-approval as of January 7, 2025. No TIA Report was submitted with the application for this site and site generated trips will not be accounted for in the background volumes.

2) 2510 St-Laurent Boulevard

The site is currently vacant with remnants of past development, fronting Don Reid Drive and St. Laurent Boulevard. The City of Ottawa received a Zoning By-law Amendment and Site Plan Control application to construct a planned unit development that consists of 192 back-to-back townhomes and 36 townhomes on private streets with a section dedicated as a public park. The file is currently pending as of April 12, 2024. Based on the TIA submitted with the application, the development is expected to generate 170 person trips (67 vehicle trips) and 193 person trips (79 vehicle trips) in the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

3) 2145 Walkley Road

A proposal was submitted to the city to develop an 18-storey high-rise with 260 residential units, at the corner of Walkley Road and Halifax Drive. This site is situated in the Elmvale Acres community, directly to the east of Canterbury Highschool. The 4.36ha site is used for residential purposes and currently contains two 12-storey apartment buildings and 51 townhouse dwellings. The proposal retains all existing buildings on the site but will modify the existing parking structure. The file is currently active as of January 8, 2025. Based on the TIA prepared by Dillon Consulting on March 2025, the development is expected to generate 26 and 31 person trips in the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

4) 2375 St-Laurent Boulevard

This is a Site Plan Application approval for a 930m² one-storey warehouse building. The site is currently vacant. If developed, the site will contain eight parking spaces and side-façade loading facilities. The TIA screening form completed by D.J. Halpenny & Associates, Ltd. found that the development did not trigger the need for a TIA report.

Conroy Road Environmental Assessment Study

Based on City of Ottawa feedback comments during the pre-consultation, an EA study was completed in 1997 for Conroy Road widening. No new information regarding the study was found on City website. However, according to the City comment, the EA investigated grade-separating the rail corridor and recommended a below-grade crossing of Conroy Road as a preferred solution. However, there is currently no confirmation on when this modification may take place or whether it will be pursued.

2.2. Study Area and Time Periods

For the purposes of this report, occupancy of the proposed development is expected to be in 2028. The date of occupancy scenario (2028) and five-years post-occupancy scenario (2033) will be analyzed. The future horizon years analyzed will use the weekday morning and afternoon peak hour traffic volumes. Based on data provided by the developer's operations team, it is understood that the peak hours of the generator where collection trucks and employee vehicles enter and exit the facility are 6:00-7:00 AM and 5:00-6:00 PM. As discussed in **Section 2.1.2: Peak Hour Travel Demands**, the 7:00-8:00 AM period will be used conservatively for the AM peak hour analysis. The PM peak will use the 5:00-6:00 PM counts which generally coincide with the peak hour of the adjacent road network. Proposed study area intersections are listed below and illustrated in **Figure 12**.

- Thurston/Conroy
- CN Rail/Conroy
- Site Access/Conroy
- Johnston/Conroy
- Along the site frontage

Figure 12: Study Area and Intersections to be Analyzed



2.3. Exemption Review

The following modules/elements of the TIA process provided in **Table 5** are recommended to be exempt in the subsequent steps of the TIA process, based on the City's TIA guidelines and the subject site:

Table 5: Exemptions Review Summary

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 4.1 Development Design | 4.1.3 New Street Network | Only required for plans of subdivision. |
| 4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Calming | 4.6.1 Adjacent Neighbourhoods | The site is accessed by an arterial road. |
| 4.7 Transit | 4.7.1 Transit Route Capacity | Less than 75 transit trips per hour anticipated. |
| 4.8 Review of Network Concept | All | Site use consistent with existent zoning (IG3). |

3.0 FORECASTING

3.1. Development Generated Travel Demand

3.1.1. Trip Generation Sources

Travel demand and trip distribution by the proposed development was summarized in a schedule provided to Parsons by the developer, accounting for employee travel to and from the site before and after the workday, as well as the trip distribution of collection vehicle movements to and from the site.

The site operations schedule was created for each day of the week. The highest trip generation occurs Monday through Friday. As such, peak trip generation analysis will focus on the Monday-Friday period. For weeks experiencing a statutory holiday during the year, the work week may be shifted by a single day and truck will operate on Saturday using the same schedule as the rest of the week. The Saturday schedule is considered less critical compared to weekday peak hours and will not be assessed in this report.

Collection vehicles returning to the site in the afternoon are anticipated to primarily use Highway 417' Hunt Club ramp and arrive from the south of Conroy Road, turning right into the site. Collection vehicles leaving the site in the morning are expected to have two different travel patterns that were identified based on the operations schedule:

- Wednesdays: collection vehicles travel south from the site.
- Rest of the week: collection vehicles travel north from the site.

Employee commuting patterns using personal vehicles to and from the site are assumed to be consistent throughout the week with the majority travelling to/from the north.

The schedules differentiated between types of 'truck' traffic. For the purposes of this analysis, the ¾ ton pick-up trucks and Miller pick-up trucks were not considered trucks or heavy vehicles, and their use was categorized under typical passenger car traffic along with staff traffic.

Employee (Passenger Vehicle) Traffic

Of the 204 workers, 180 are scheduled to arrive between 6:00-7:00 AM, which is the AM peak hour for the site. During the afternoon, the total number of employees leaving the site is approximately 187, which is expected to occur mostly over a 3-hour (4:00-7:00 PM) window. For the purpose of analysis, the highest number of employee outbound trips is assumed to occur between the 5:00-6:00 PM time period, where 60% (or 112 trips) of employees are expected to leave the site.

Outside of these AM and PM peak hours of the development, trips generated by the site are very low (≤ 10 trips per hour), which would be trips from administrative and office staff. The PM peak hour of the development generally coincides with the PM peak hours of the adjacent road network and will be carried forward for PM peak analysis. However, the AM peak hour of the development occurs before the AM peak hour of the adjacent road network. During the first hour of the AM peak period (7:00 to 8:00 AM) of the adjacent road network, the 10 trips generated by the site will result in negligible impacts to the study area performance (approximately 1 new vehicle every 5 minutes). For this reason, the AM peak hour of the development will be considered for trip generation purposes (i.e. 6:00-7:00 AM), along with the PM peak hour of both the generator and adjacent road network (i.e. 5:00-6:00 PM). Employee peak hour person trip generation is summarized below in **Table 6**.

Table 6: Employee Peak Hour Person Trip Generation

| Land Use | AM Peak Hour Person Trips | PM Peak Hour Person Trips |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Collection Fleet & Drivers | 173 | 101 |
| Operational Support Staff | 2 | 11 |
| Equipment Staff | 5 | 0 |
| Total Employee Trips | 180 | 112 |

The 2020 TRANS Manual for the “Hunt Club” District was used as a basis for employee mode shares for staff arriving to work before they clock in and departing the worksite once their workday is complete. Overall, the TRANS mode shares, which suggest a high driver mode share of approximately 83%, were deemed reasonable for this site given that the start hour of the site is earlier than the traditional AM peak hour, potentially resulting in fewer public transit frequencies and less cycling/walking activity. **Table 7** provides the forecasted staff trip generation by mode shares assuming the TRANS mode shares for Hunt Club.

In addition, the proposed development is located across the street from a multi-use pathway that runs the length of Conroy Road from Walkley Road south to Hunt Club Road. The east side of the street also has a sidewalk. However, facilities for active transportation are otherwise lacking; crossings in intersections are unprotected and at-grade with a long distance between the site and the nearest traffic signal, there are few shade-providing trees for shelter, and surrounding land uses are not supportive of active transportation. The low-mode shares for active transportation (1% each for cycling and for pedestrians) are thus reasonable.

Table 7: Peak Hour Trips Mode Share Breakdown

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak Hour Person Trip ₁ | Mode Share | PM Peak Hour Person Trips ₂ |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Auto Driver | 83% | 149 | 83% | 93 |
| Auto Passenger | 5% | 9 | 5% | 6 |
| Transit | 10% | 18 | 10% | 11 |
| Cycling | 1% | 2 | 1% | 1 |
| Walking | 1% | 2 | 1% | 1 |
| Total Person Trips | 100% | 180 | 100% | 112 |
| 1. All AM trips are inbound. 2. All PM trips are outbound. | | | | |

Truck (Collection Vehicle) Traffic

The developer schedules also include a detailed schedule of collection vehicle traffic generated by the site, including volumes and directions. The truck traffic follow the same egress and return routing throughout most of the week, with a different egress route for only Wednesday. The quantity of trucks is the same, however the direction from the site differs (mostly left-turns vs. right-turns at the access).

Table 8 provides a summary of the commercial vehicle activity occurring to and from the site. Based on the schedule of operations, peak hours for truck traffic have been assumed to coincide with the peak hours for employee traffic (i.e. During the AM peak hour of the generator, employees enter the facility via passenger vehicle and exit via collection vehicle in the same hour, an vice versa for the PM peak hour). As stated previously, collection vehicles (90 vehicles) are expected to return over a 3-hour window. For the purpose of analysis, 60% (or 54 trips) are assumed to occur between 5:00-5:00 PM.

Table 8: Site Collection Vehicle Peak Hour Trip Generation by Travel Mode

| Travel Mode | AM Peak Hour Trips ₁ | PM Peak Hour Trips ₁ |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Heavy Vehicles | 90 | 54 |
| Light Vehicles | 3 | 11 |
| All Other Mode Shares | 0 | 0 |
| Total Commercial Vehicles | 93 | 65 |
| 1. All AM trips are outbound. 2. All PM trips are inbound. | | |

Combined Site Generated Trips

The combined site generated trip includes staff arriving in the morning and departing in the afternoon, plus all truck-related trips that depart from the site in the morning and return to their parking areas in the afternoon. The combined site generated trips have been summarized in **Table 9**.

Table 9: Combined Site Generated Peak Hour Trips

| Travel Mode | AM Peak Hour (Trips/Hr) | | | PM Peak Hour (Trips/Hr) | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Heavy Vehicle Driver | 0 | 90 | 90 | 54 | 0 | 54 |
| Light Vehicle Driver | 149 | 3 | 152 | 11 | 93 | 104 |
| Auto Passenger | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Transit | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 11 | 11 |
| Cycling | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Walking | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Total Person Trips | 180 | 93 | 273 | 65 | 112 | 177 |

3.1.2. Trip Distribution and Assignment

Based on the TRANS 2011 O-D Survey for the “Hunt Club” district, the trip assignment distribution for traffic is estimated as follows:

- 65% to/from the north;
- 5% to/from the east;
- 15% to/from the south; and,
- 15% to/from the west.

For inbound traffic to/from the east and west, routing favoured travel via Hunt Club Road, which is readily accessible from western districts and from the Highway 417 to the east. Therefore, the majority of east and west traffic were assigned to/from the south on Conroy Road, resulting in an assumed north/southbound split of 70/30. For a schematic, see **Figure 13**.

Based on the Mode Shares from the O-D Survey, the developer-provided schedule, and the location of adjacent arterial roadways and neighbourhoods, the distribution of site-generated traffic volumes for staff-generated traffic and for truck traffic on Wednesdays (W) and for the resto of the week (R) are illustrated in **Figure 13**.

Figure 13: Peak Hour Site-Generated Traffic Distribution, for Staff and Trucks



The trip assignments from **Figure 13** was used with the trips forecasted from **Table 9** to produce the site generated trips as illustrated for staff, the Wednesday truck distribution and the rest of the week truck distribution in **Figure 14**, **Figure 15**, and **Figure 16** respectively. It should be noted that the peak hour trips occur from 6:00-7:00 AM and 5:00-6:00 PM, which are the site's peak morning and afternoon hours.

Figure 14: Peak Hour Site Generated Traffic Volumes for Employee Traffic (All Week)




Figure 15: Peak Hour Site Generated Collection Vehicle Traffic Volumes (Wednesday)





Figure 16: Peak Hour Site Generated Collection Vehicle Traffic Volumes (Rest of the Week)



The total traffic generation for Wednesday and rest of the week schedules are summarized in **Figure 17** and **Figure 18**, respectively. As above, the site's peak hour trips occur from 6:00-7:00 AM and 5:00-6:00 PM.

Diagram illustrating the proposed development site and surrounding roads (Thurston, Johnston, Conroy). The diagram shows traffic volumes (xx) and specific volume conditions (yy) for various approaches.

Legend:

- xx 6:00-7:00 AM volumes
- (yy) 5:00-6:00 PM volumes

Approach Volumes:

- Thurston (Northbound):** 0(0), 0(0), 0(0)
- Thurston (Southbound):** 0(0), 104(0), 0(0)
- Conroy (Eastbound):** 104(0), 0(0)
- Conroy (Westbound):** 0(0), 104(0)
- Johnston (Northbound):** 0(0), 45(65), 0(0)
- Johnston (Southbound):** 0(0), 0(0), 0(0)
- Site (Eastbound):** 45(65), 0(0)
- Site (Westbound):** 5(65), 88(28)

The diagram illustrates the proposed site's location relative to the CN Rail corridor and major roads. Key features include:

- Thurston Road:** Approaches from the north and south. Northbound volumes: 0(0) left, 0(0) through, 0(0) right. Southbound volumes: 104(0) through, 0(0) right, 0(0) left.
- Site Access:** A yellow arrow indicates the proposed access point from the southbound Thurston Road approach.
- Site:** A blue rectangular area labeled "Site" with a red circle indicating a specific location. Internal site volumes: 91(65) left, 2(28) right.
- Johnston Road:** Approaches from the north and south. Northbound volumes: 0(0) left, 0(0) through, 0(0) right. Southbound volumes: 0(0) left, 2(28) through, 0(0) right.
- Conroy Road:** Approaches from the north and south. Northbound volumes: 0(0) left, 104(0) through, 0(0) right. Southbound volumes: 0(0) left, 104(0) through, 0(0) right.
- CN Rail:** A dashed line representing the rail corridor, with a volume of 91(65) shown for the approach from the north.
- Legend:**
 - xx: 6:00-7:00 AM volumes
 - yy: 5:00-6:00 PM volumes


3.2.1. Transportation Network Plans

3.2.2. Background Growth and Other Area Developments

3.2.3. Future Background Volumes

Four developments in the application process were flagged for potential traffic impacts near the site, three of which are sited within a one-kilometer radius of the development and one beyond. These are: 2700 Swansea Crescent, for which no TIA Report was submitted and no future site generated trips were layered on to background traffic volumes; 2375 St. Laurent Boulevard, for which no TIA Report was submitted and no future site generated trips were layered on to background traffic volumes; 2510 St. Laurent Boulevard, for which a

Figure 19: Peak Hour Traffic Volumes for Other Developments



The map shows the proposed rail alignment (dashed line) running north-south. The alignment is flanked by a 100-foot buffer zone (dotted line). The alignment is located between the existing rail line (solid line) and the proposed rail line (dashed line). The alignment is located between the existing rail line (solid line) and the proposed rail line (dashed line). The alignment is located between the existing rail line (solid line) and the proposed rail line (dashed line).

Legend:

- xx 7:00-8:00 Volumes
- yy 5:00-6:00 Volumes

Traffic Volumes:

- Thurston: 23(69) left, 0(0) through, 30(203) right
- Johnston: 15(23) left, 803(448) through, 28(52) right
- Conroy: 71(12) left, 346(966) through, 4(0) right
- Thurston/Johnston: 224(106) left, 900(579) through, 5(5) right
- Thurston/Johnston: 89(56) left, 16(36) through, 15(33) right
- Thurston/Johnston: 0(0) left, 1128(669) through, 0(0) right
- Thurston/Johnston: 0(0) left, 382(1169) through, 0(0) right
- Thurston/Johnston: 43(197) left, 275(745) through, 61(227) right
- Thurston/Johnston: 236(143) left, 13(42) through, 39(60) right

The background network traffic volumes developed in **Figure 20** were layered onto site generated traffic volumes from **Figure 17** for Wednesday and **Figure 18** for rest of the week to produce the combined total forecasted traffic volumes including the development, as shown in **Figure 21** for Wednesday and **Figure 22** for rest of the week. Considering the minimal change in background traffic volumes between existing conditions and the 2028 and 2033 horizon years, the 2033 total forecasted volumes have been shown below.

Figure 21: Future Projected Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Including the Development (2033 – Rest of the Week)





Figure 22: Future Projected Peak Hour Traffic Volumes Including the Development (2033 – Wednesday)



3.3. Demand Rationalization

The following section indicates factors that may be used to rationalize the future travel demands in the study area and determine if there are potential capacity limitations and how they may be addressed.

Site-Generated Traffic

The proposed development is anticipated to add approximately 273 and 177 total person trips to Conroy Road each morning and afternoon, respectively.

Site-generated AM trips would peak in the 6:00-7:00 AM hour, before Conroy Road's peak hour of 8:00-9:00 AM based on traffic counts. Nevertheless, the analysis from this TIA will conservatively use the traffic count data from the 7:00-8:00 AM period of the adjacent intersections with 6:00-7:00 AM site-generated values given available traffic turning data at study area intersections. This represents a sensitivity analysis of the site access as midblock traffic count data on Conroy Road indicates that traffic volumes during the 6:00-7:00 AM hour are approximately 50% lower than the 7:00-8:00 AM volumes.

It is also worth noting that the arrival rate of vehicles may potentially vary, particularly during the afternoon peak hours as vehicle activity of trucks returning and employees exiting the facility is expected to occur over a 3-hour window between 4:00-7:00 PM. Additionally, if internal traffic operations of the site experience excessive delays on the outbound movements during peak hours, the site's operations team can implement measures to limit the delays, such as further staggering employee hours to reduce pressure on the outbound

movement, as well as control the distribution of outbound traffic if needed to reduce additional delays from the outbound left-turn movement.

Background Traffic Volumes

Based on City historic traffic growth rates, traffic along Conroy Road is expected to decrease or to remain constant, even with additional development. This may be due to support from future road widening projects on parallel arterial roads such as Hawthorne Road or the Airport Parkway. Additional reductions may be caused by improved transit facilities such as the newly opened Trillium LRT Line 2. To maintain a conservative assumption, annual background traffic growth was assumed to be 0%.

Trip generation volumes were gathered from TIA Reports submitted for other area developments, though only two TIA Reports provided traffic volumes (2510 St. Laurent Blvd. and 2145 Walkley Road). Traffic volumes were added to the background traffic and modelled as part of the 7:00-8:00 AM and 5:00-6:00 PM peak hours. Increase in traffic due to adjacent future developments was found to be minimal with less than 10 vehicle trips in one direction during peak hours.

Future Transportation Network Modifications

Changes to the road network in the study area have been identified within the Needs-Based Road Network (long-term) of the City of Ottawa TMP update, but not in the Priority Road Network (short-term). The proposed Conroy Road extension north to Hospital Link Road within the Needs-Based Network would likely increase traffic volumes on the road but is not expected to occur within the horizon years since it is not included in the Priority Network.

There are active transportation improvements identified within the Official Plan and Transportation Master Plan that could potentially contribute to a mode shift from auto to cycling and transit use along Conroy Road. The TMP Cycling Projects Proposed Priority map indicates that cycling facilities may be improved along Johnston Road in a 'later phase', including separated cycling facilities from Bank Street to Southgate Road, and bike lanes from Southgate Road to Conroy Road. The proposed Priority Transit Network includes a continuous bus lane along Conroy Road from Leirrim Road to Walkley Road, which would connect the site to the O-Train Line 1 at Hurdman or St. Laurent.

Although these improvements could contribute to a modal shift, they are not anticipated to be completed within the horizon years of this study. This report thus only considers the more conservative scenario where the improvements to transit and cycling facilities are not completed, and a modal shift does not occur.

Transportation Demand Management Measures

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) comprises measures implemented by developers to encourage the use of alternative travel modes to personal vehicles, such as cycling, transit, or walking. For transit, TDM measures could include employee bus pass subsidies and high-quality connections from the development to transit stops. For walking and cycling, TDM measures might include the provision of active transportation facilities like walkable routes to the site and secure bicycle parking.

The proposed development contains four bicycle parking spaces and links the proposed site to the road with a 1.8m wide sidewalk. While the modal share for the 'Hunt Club' district heavily favours personal vehicle use (83% of trips) according to the 2020 TRANS Manual, the provision of active transportation connections to the site may help to encourage active transportation by employees given the adjacent multi-use pathway and curbside bike lanes on Conroy Road.

Road Capacity

Conroy Road currently has a four-lane cross-section and auxiliary turn lanes at major intersections. Based on the classification of Conroy Road as an arterial, it should have ample capacity to accommodate the existing traffic volumes, anticipated background growth and forecasted trip generation by this development. The latest traffic counts at study area intersections indicate the existing daily traffic volumes (AADT) of Conroy Road to be

less than 17,000 vehicles/day, which falls within the traffic volume range of 10,000 to 30,000 vehicles/day for arterial roads as indicated by TAC Guidelines.

4.0 ANALYSIS

4.1. Development Design

4.1.1. Design for Sustainable Modes

Pedestrian/Cycling Routes and Facilities

The Site Plan illustrates a 1.8m wide sidewalk that connects the proposed building to the existing sidewalk on Conroy Road, with five internal crosswalks across proposed site parking. There are 10 proposed bicycle parking spaces located near the proposed building's south entrance, meeting the number of spaces required by the Zoning By-law (further detail in **Section 4.2**). The east side of Conroy Road currently has a marked bike lane on the roadway, as well as a boulevard-separated pedestrian facility. A MUP on the other side of Conroy Road can be safely accessed from the signalized intersection at Conroy Road/Johnston Road to the south, or from the signalized intersection at Conroy Road/Thurston Drive to the north.

Given the industrial nature and location of the development which forecasts very few active transportation trips, the proposed internal pedestrian sidewalk and crossings and bike parking are considered sufficient as active transportation facilities.

Location of Transit Facilities

Transit facilities are located on Conroy Road just west of the site, as discussed in **Section 2.1.2**. While the northbound bus stop can be easily accessed from the site, the southbound stop is located on the other side with no nearby crossing of Conroy Road. Alternate stops for southbound travel can be reached 300m to the north or to the south at Thurston Drive or Johnston Road, respectively.

4.1.2. Circulation and Access

The site is currently accessed via a driveway on the east side of Conroy Road. The proposed design will add a sidewalk to the north side of the driveway and extend it into the site for a distance of approximately 140m.

Employee parking is located at the front of the proposed building, which can be accessed through site driveway and drive aisles and an internal road which loops around the building and connects to the site driveway. Larger collection vehicles are parked to the rear of the building and can also access the internal driveway. Access to both parking areas is restricted by gates. The fire route is anticipated to extend along the driveway into the site and around the proposed development building.

Truck turning templates have been provided in **Appendix F**. To be conservative, the truck turns reviewed simulate the movements of the NCHRP Report's "Rear-Loading Garbage Truck", which are larger in size than all trucks anticipated by the development. Based on the turning templates, no concerns are anticipated at the site access or within the site.

4.1.3. New Street Network

Exempt – refer to **Table 5**.

4.2. Parking

The following parking analysis reflects the minimum number of parking spaces required based on the City of Ottawa Zoning By-law for developments in Area C: Suburban. **Table 10** summarizes the minimum vehicle and bicycle parking rates for the site, as outlined in Tables 101, 102, and 111A of the Ottawa Zoning By-law.

It should be noted that the current draft of the Zoning By-law, Draft 2, has abolished parking minimums and significantly lowered parking maximums, while changing requirements for bicycle parking. However, these new parking rate requirements have not come into effect yet.

Table 10: Summary of Parking Requirements and Proposed Parking

| Land Use | Area (m ²) | Vehicle Parking | | | Bicycles | | |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | Base Rate | Min. Spaces | Prop. Spaces | Base Rate | Min. Spaces | Prop. Spaces |
| Heavy Equipment and Vehicle Sales, Rental and Servicing | 2,230 | 0.75/100m ² ₁ | 17 | 267 | 1/1500m ² ₂ | 9 | 10 |
| Office | 1,730 | 2.4/100m ² | 42 | | 1/250m ² | | |
| Total | | | 59 | 267 | Total | 9 | 10 |
| 1. The land use falls under the 'Heavy Equipment and Vehicle Sales, Rental and Servicing' category in Zoning By-law Section 101. 2. The land use falls under the 'all other non-residential uses' category in Zoning By-law Section 111. 3. Neither use requires visitor parking. | | | | | | | |

As shown above in **Table 10**, the site requires a minimum of 59 parking spaces and 9 bicycle parking spaces. The proposed development would contain 259 standard spaces and 8 barrier-free spaces (267 total), as well as 10 bicycle parking spaces. It should be noted that the high volume of proposed parking spaces (relative to the minimum value) is due to the nature of the development as an industrial site, the location and context of the site in a suburban region, and the lack of any nearby major transit stations. Additionally, as the development is not located within 600m of a rapid transit station, there is no maximum for parking spaces. The number of barrier-free parking spaces meets the minimum requirements set by the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA).

The proponent intends to offer the minimum number of cycling parking spaces required (which is higher than the expected site-generated number of cyclists), as well as on-site facilities such as showers, tools and equipment for bicycle maintenance, which are shared with collection vehicle operators. This will help leverage the site's access to a MUP on the west side of Conroy Road. Also proposed to be provided are 12 motorcycle parking spaces.

4.3. Boundary Street Design

For the purpose of this analysis, the newly approved MMLOS Tool will be used. The boundary street to the proposed development is Conroy Road, which is designated as an arterial road.

- *The following facilities and geometric features are available on Conroy Road:*
 - Two vehicle travel lanes in each direction
 - Curbside bike lane on both sides along with MUP on the west side
 - OC Transpo stops in both travel directions
 - Approximately 1.5m wide sidewalk on east side of road with 3m wide boulevard separation
 - Approximately 3m wide MUP on west side of road with 3m wide boulevard separation
 - At-grade railway crossing approximately 105m north of site driveway
 - Approximately 12m wide median boulevard, narrowing to 9m for the 3.5m wide southbound left-turn lane into site driveway
 - Approximately 21,000 veh/day (two-way)
 - Posted speed limit of 60km/h
 - More than 3,000 vehicles per day on curb lane

Multi-modal Level of Service analysis for the Conroy Road segment adjacent to the site is summarized in **Table 11** with detailed analysis provided in **Appendix G**. Note that the truck level of service is no longer calculated as

part of the new MMLOS Tool, but rather confirmed as part of the geometrics checks and truck turning templates in other sections of the report.

Table 11: MMLOS – Boundary Street Segments Existing and Future Conditions

| Road Segment | Level of Service | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| | Pedestrian | | Bicycle | | Transit | |
| | PLOS | Target | BLOS | Target | TLOS | Target |
| Existing and Future Conditions | | | | | | |
| Conroy Road (west side) | B | C | B | B | B | D |
| Conroy Road (east side) | E | C | C | B | B/C* | D |
| Note: Asterisk (*) indicates different future condition. | | | | | | |

Pedestrian

Pedestrian conditions along Conroy Road meet the target pedestrian LOS on the west side. On the east side, the target is not met due to narrower sidewalk width. Notably, the west side marks higher due to the 3.0m wide MUP and a wide 2.5-3.0m grassy boulevard. The east side has a 1.5m wide sidewalk and a 2.5-3.0m wide, grassy boulevard. No changes are anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

Bicycle

As Conroy Road forms part of the Crosstown Bikeway, target levels of service for cyclists are higher, at LOS 'B'. The west side of Conroy Road meets this target, in large part due to the well-buffered MUP. The east side of Conroy Road is graded at LOS 'C', with a 2.0-2.5m wide on-street bike lane. Notably, the west side of Conroy Road also has an on-street bike lane. No changes to cycling facilities are anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

Transit

Transit conditions are currently satisfactory and exceed the City's target level of service for a frequent transit route. Due to the addition of site-generated truck traffic to the east side Conroy Road, transit may be slightly impeded in the future given the location of the bus stop just south of the access, reducing the level of service to 'C'. However, TLOS for future conditions is still expected to meet the City's target.

4.4. Access Intersection Location

4.4.1. Location and Design of Access

Vehicle Access

The site plan proposes access via the existing driveway on the east side of Conroy Road, approximately 240 m north of the Johnston Road / Conroy Road intersection and about 105 m south of the at-grade railway crossing. The driveway currently permits full movements to/from Conroy Road via an existing median break for left turns.

The centre median at the access has an available storage width of approximately 12m, which can provide a refuge area sufficient to support a two-stage left turn for an outbound waste collection truck to wait clear of the northbound through traffic while awaiting a safe gap in the southbound through traffic stream. The median space is also large enough to sufficiently accommodate a single heavy vehicle without encroaching into the adjacent southbound left-turn lane. However, in practice, the auxiliary southbound left-turn lane's traffic has priority and outbound trucks would only stage in the median when the auxiliary lane is clear.

Based on TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (Chapter 9 – Intersections), the provision of a right-turn lane at an unsignalized intersection is suggested "when the volume of decelerating or accelerating vehicles compared with the through traffic volume causes undue hazard". Site-generated volumes indicate that the northbound right-turn traffic volume at the site access accounts for approximately 4% (45 vehicles) of

the total northbound approach volume during the morning peak hour and approximately 9% (65 vehicles) during the afternoon peak hour. The estimated number of right-turns is not considered significant enough to cause undue hazard to the through traffic volume of Conroy Road.

Throat Length

The Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, Chapter 8 (Access) provides guidelines for clear throat length. Clear throat lengths are only recommended for arterial and collector roads. Per TAC Table 8.9.3, the suggested minimum clear throat length to an arterial road for light industrial developments under 10,000 m² is 15m, which is well under the proposed throat length of 140m.

Private Approach By-law

The proposed design was also reviewed for compliance with the City of Ottawa's Private Approach By-law, with the following noted:

- The existing site approach is approximately 15m wide at the curb line. While this exceeds the requirement of 9m maximum width in Section 25.1(c) of the By-law, the width is necessary given the required truck turning radii of the design vehicles. For truck turning templates, refer to discussion in **Section 4.1.2**.
- The site abuts Conroy Road, and as such is subject to the provisions of Section 25.1(m)(ii), which specifies a minimum distance of 60m between the approach and any intersecting street lines. The site's access is located more than 75m from Thurston Drive, Johnston Drive, and CN Rail's Walkley Corridor.
- As per requirement 25.1(p) of the Private Approach By-Law, the site access provides more than 3m separation from the nearest property line.
- It is anticipated that the proposed approach will slope towards the roadway at a grade not exceeding 2%, in compliance with Section 25.1(u).

Therefore, the access design is expected to be in conformance with the City of Ottawa Private Approach By-law 2003-447 or have been justified based on the intended purpose of the development.

4.5. Transportation Demand Management

4.5.1. Context for TDM

The site is expected to be owned and managed by WO MW Realty Limited, but operated by Miller Waste Systems. Approximately 88% of all commuters enter the site between 6:00-7:00 AM and 55% leave the site between 5:00-6:00 PM. Collection vehicles are expected to exit the site during the 6:00-7:00 AM time period as commuters arrive at the site and return to the site at staggered times between the 4:00-7:00 PM time period. As such, the morning peak of the proposed site occurs prior to the peak of the Conroy Road, while the afternoon peak of the proposed site may coincide with the peak for Conroy Road. **Section 3.1** provides a detailed breakdown of the site-generated trips and their assignment to the surrounding road network.

OC Transpo bus route #40, which links to LRT Line #1 via St. Laurent Station and LRT Line #2 via South Keys Station and Greenboro Station, operates on Conroy Road with bus stops on both sides immediately adjacent to the site. Additionally, a sidewalk and bike lane are provided on Conroy Road on the east side, with a MUP on the west side.

4.5.2. Need and Opportunity

Given the type of development as a parking for waste collection vehicles, as well as the context of the site near the greenbelt region and away from major transit stations, the majority of trips are naturally anticipated to be vehicle trips. Nonetheless, TDM measures are proposed as summarized in **Section 4.5.3** below.

4.5.3. TDM Program

The TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist and TDM Measures Checklists have been provided in **Appendix H**. Checklists reflecting non-residential developments were completed.

Regarding the TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist, the following are anticipated:

- All ten (10) “required” measures related to walking and cycling (facilities and bicycle parking) and vehicle parking are anticipated to be satisfied.
- Seven (7) of sixteen (16) “basic” measures related to walking and cycling, transit, ridesharing and parking are anticipated to be satisfied.
- One (1) of the of the ten (10) candidate “better” measures is proposed, where tools and pumps available in the truck maintenance shop are expected to be usable for bike repair purposes.

Regarding the TDM Measures Checklist, the following are anticipated:

- Four (4) out of ten (10) “basic” measures related to walking, cycling, transit, parking and TDM marketing are expected to be satisfied. One (1) of those, which has been designated by an asterisk (*), is considered by the TDM Measures to be some of the most dependably effective tools to encourage sustainable travel modes. This includes:
 - Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances.
 - Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances.
 - Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information.
 - *Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students.
- None of the twenty-six (26) “better” measures related to walking, cycling, transit, parking and TDM marketing are expected to be provided.

4.6. Neighborhood Traffic Management

The site is directly accessible via an arterial road. This section is exempt as per **Table 5**.

4.7. Transit

4.7.1. Transit Route Capacity

Exempt – refer to **Table 5**.

4.7.2. Transit Priority Requirements

The development is expected to generate 21 and 20 transit trips in the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. Given the location of the existing northbound bus stop, truck trips may slightly impede northbound transit due to vehicles turns into the site. However, this will be limited to the site’s peak hours of 6:00 AM – 7:00 AM and 5:00 PM – 6:00 PM, which may cause minor delays.

The draft TMP proposes a continuous transit lane from Leitrim Road to Walkley Road, which could improve transit operations. It is not anticipated that further improvements to the transit network will be necessary due to the development.

4.8. Review of Network Concept

Exempt – refer to **Table 5**.

4.9. Intersection Design

4.9.1. Intersection Control

A traffic signal warrant analysis was completed for the site access using the Ontario Traffic Manual's (OTM) Traffic Signal Justification methodology. The analysis sheets have been provided in **Appendix I**, which was based on the two future projected peak hour volumes scenarios in **Figure 21** and **Figure 22**. The warrant analysis typically uses 8-hour traffic volumes at an intersection to determine if a traffic signal is warranted. Since only AM and PM peak hour volumes are available for the site access, the AM peak hour volumes were very conservatively assumed during each hour for the first four hours and the PM peak hours were conservatively assumed during each of the remaining four hours. However, it should be noted that traffic movements at the site access are mainly anticipated to occur during peak hours of the development, with minimal or negligible volumes outside of the peak hours.

Less than 70% of the warrant threshold was met at the access during the 'W' schedule, with less than 40% of the threshold met during the 'R' schedule. Based on the analysis results and despite the conservative estimation using peak hour traffic volumes, a traffic signal is not expected to be warranted at the site access in the future. As such, the existing site access stop control is proposed to be maintained in the future.

4.9.2. Intersection Design

Multi-Modal Level of Service

For the purpose of this analysis, the newly approved MMLOS Tool will be used. Only signalized intersections are considered for the intersection Level of Service analysis in the MMLOS Guidelines. The MMLOS analysis is summarized in **Table 12**, with detailed analysis sheets provided in **Appendix G**.

Table 12: MMLOS – Existing and Future Intersection Conditions

| Intersection | Level of Service | | | | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| | Pedestrian | | Bicycle | | Transit | |
| | PLOS | Target | BLOS | Target | TLOS | Target |
| Conroy/Thurston | C | C | D | B | A | D |
| Conroy/Johnston | C | C | F | B | A | D |

Pedestrian

Pedestrian levels of service at Conroy/Thurston and Conroy/Johnston meet the targets for an Outer Urban or Suburban intersection. No changes to pedestrian facilities at these intersections are anticipated as part of this development.

Bicycle

Bicycle levels of service at Conroy/Thurston do not meet the targets for an intersection along the Crosstown Bikeway. This is in part due to the lane configurations along Conroy Road and the lack of bike lanes on Thurston Drive. Of particular concern are conditions along the east and west leg of Conroy Road (graded 'E' and 'C', respectively), which form the Crosstown Bikeway elements in this intersection. While the development is not expected to generate many cycling trips or to affect cycling facilities at this intersection, the following could help improve the levels of service:

- Installation of dedicated left-turn infrastructure for cyclists, particularly on the north and south leg of the intersection (on Conroy Road), which currently require left-turning cyclists to cross two or more lanes to reach the left-turn lane.
- Implementation of a protected intersection with dedicated cyclist infrastructure, to avoid right-lane crossovers over the bike lane (in this case, on the east leg), or the conversion of right-turn lanes into shared right-through lanes.

Conditions at Conroy/Johnston are well-below the targets for a Crosstown Bikeway intersection. To improve cycling conditions to approach the target grade, similar measures to the ones indicated for the Conroy/Thurston intersection could be implemented, with protected intersection adjustments needed to north and south legs to improve levels of service. However, it is noted that the listed measures for both intersections would potentially have operational impacts on the area's traffic flows and may affect other modes' levels of service.

Transit

Transit levels of service are satisfactory, achieving an 'A' or 'B' grade and meeting the target 'D' grade. Modelling conducted with Synchro determined that these levels of service will be maintained at Conroy/Thurston and Conroy/Johnston in the future.

4.9.3. Intersection Performance

Synchro 11 Trafficware was used to analyze intersection performance of intersections within the study area. Critical movements at each of the intersections were assessed based on either the movement with the highest volume-to-capacity ratio (for signalized intersections), or the movement experiencing the highest average delay (for unsignalized intersections). Signalized intersections' results reflect the Synchro analysis method, while HCM 6th Edition was used to reflect the analysis results of the unsignalized site access.

The HCM 6th Edition methodology incorporates updated critical gap and follow-up time parameters that are known to produce more conservative delay estimates for minor-street approaches at two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) intersections. As a result, the reported delays for the WB approach appear higher than those shown in previous submission using the HCM 2000 methodology; however, this reflects a methodological update rather than a change in expected operating performance. Notably, the City TIA Guideline do not prescribe a specific HCM edition for TWSC analysis, and the conclusions regarding available capacity and the feasibility of maintaining full movements at the site access remain unchanged.

It should also be noted that, as per the TIA Guidelines, the Peak Hour Factor (PHF) used for analysis was 0.90 in existing conditions and 1.0 in all future scenario conditions. A queueing analysis using Synchro and SimTraffic was also conducted at the site access to identify any potential future queuing concerns at the existing southbound left-turn lane. All Synchro and SimTraffic report outputs for existing and future conditions have been provided in **Appendix J**.

Existing Conditions

The following **Table 13** provides a summary of the existing traffic operations at the study area intersection based on peak hour volumes (7:00 – 8:00 AM and 5:00 – 6:00 PM) from **Figure 7** and Synchro (V11) traffic analysis software.

Table 13: Existing Conditions Intersection Performance

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------|------------|
| | Critical Movement | | | Intersection 'as a whole' | | |
| | LOS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | v/c |
| Conroy/Thurston (S) | A(C) | 0.51(0.71) | NBT(WBL) | 14.6(13.9) | A(A) | 0.47(0.53) |
| Conroy/Johnston (S) | C(B) | 0.79(0.63) | EBL(EBL) | 19.9(14.5) | A(A) | 0.54(0.48) |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.90 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. (S) – Signalized intersection. | | | | | | |

As shown in **Table 13**, the two adjacent signalized intersections within the study area operate 'as a whole' at LOS 'A' during both peak hours, with the critical movements operating at LOS 'C' or better.

Future Background Conditions (2033)

As discussed in **Section 3.2**, a 0% annual growth factor plus layering of other area developments was used to develop the background traffic volumes shown in **Figure 20**. Traffic volumes from the other area developments

are expected to be minimal, with less than 10 vehicles/hour anticipated at study area intersections during peak hours. Therefore, since there are no forecasted future network changes at study area intersections, and the increase in future background traffic volumes is expected to be minimal compared to existing conditions, an assessment of future background traffic volumes was not considered necessary for the purpose of this report.

Future Projected Conditions (2033)

The future projected conditions account for both the future background volumes and the site-generated traffic volumes. Since no background growth is anticipated between horizon years 2028 and 2033 for traffic volumes at study area intersections, only the 2033 horizon year needs to be assessed. The two schedule conditions for Wednesday and rest of the week shown in **Figure 21** and **Figure 22** are analyzed as shown below. Given that the site generates truck traffic, the heavy vehicle percentages were appropriately accounted for in the Synchro analysis.

Wednesday Schedule

The projected traffic volumes Synchro analysis based on the Wednesday schedule are summarized in **Table 14** below.

Table 14: Future Projected 2033 Conditions Intersection Performance (Wednesday Schedule)

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------|------------|
| | Critical Movement | | | Intersection 'as a whole' | | |
| | LOS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | v/c |
| Conroy/Thurston (S) | A(B) | 0.47(0.61) | NBT(WBL) | 13.4(13.8) | A(A) | 0.44(0.52) |
| Conroy/Johnston (S) | C(A) | 0.75(0.59) | EBL(EBL) | 18.7(14.0) | A(A) | 0.50(0.44) |
| Conroy/Site Access (U) | F(C) | 918.3(20.2) | WB(WB) | 49.5(0.9) | E(A) | - |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.00 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. (S) – Signalized intersection, (U) – Unsignalized stop controlled intersection. | | | | | | |


As shown in **Table 14**, traffic operations of adjacent study area signals are expected to be similar to or slightly better compared to existing conditions for the intersections 'as a whole', with critical movements also showing a similar trend.

The unsignalized access is expected to operate at capacity for the critical WB movement during the AM peak hour and LOS 'C' during the PM peak hour. The WB movement's delay during the morning peak hour is notable and is the result of having most collection vehicles exiting the site via a WBL turn onto Conroy Road during the Wednesday schedule's travel routes.

However, as indicated previously, analysis of the AM peak hour is conservative as it overlays the morning site-generated traffic of the site, which are anticipated to occur between 6:00 and 7:00 AM, onto the 7:00 to 8:00 AM traffic volumes of Conroy Road, where volumes are approximately double the volumes in the preceding hour. Therefore, alternative AM peak hour scenarios that are considered to be realistic for traffic volumes at the site access are shown in **Figure 23**, which includes the following:

- **Scenario 1:** Since the directional splits of collection vehicles at the site access are based on an operations schedule indicated by the developer, it would be possible for the travel routes of the collection vehicles to be adjusted as needed to reduce pressure on the critical WBL movement. As shown in the traffic volumes below, a sensitivity analysis determined that the majority of the WBL collection vehicles would need to be shifted to the WBR to improve operations if the morning peak hour of the site were to occur between 7:00-8:00 AM.
- **Scenario 2a and 2b:** To align with the proposed schedule of the collection vehicles, the 6:00 to 7:00 AM traffic volumes of Conroy Road were assessed using the same outbound distribution as shown by the 2a scenario volumes below, as well as with a modified distribution as shown in the 2b scenario.

Figure 23: Site Access Alternate Analysis Scenarios



Based on the traffic volumes shown in **Figure 23** at the Conroy/Site Access intersection, Synchro analysis results of the two scenarios have been summarized in **Table 15** below.

Table 15: Site Access Alternate Scenarios Future Projected 2033 Conditions Intersection Performance (Wednesday Schedule)

| Conroy/Site Access Analysis Scenario | Weekday AM Peak Alternate Scenario Analysis | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----|-----|
| | Critical Movement | | | Intersection 'as a whole' | | |
| | LOS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | v/c |
| Scenario 1 | E | 47.7 | WB | 3.3 | A | - |
| Scenario 2 | F | 78.8 | WB | 8 | A | - |
| Scenario 3 | E | 48.2 | WB | 5.3 | A | - |

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.00 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane.

Results in **Table 15** indicate the following:

- **Scenario 1:** The 7:00-8:00 AM peak hour was conservatively assessed in this report despite anticipating the site's morning peak to occur in the preceding hour. This scenario determined that that majority of the outbound site-generated truck volumes would need to be shifted from the WBL to the WBR movement to result in acceptable operations.
- **Scenario 2a:** This scenario assesses morning peak hour operations between 6:00-7:00 AM, assuming the same critical outbound distribution where most truck would exit via a WBL movement. Despite the LOS for the WB movement remaining critical, the results indicate a notably reduced delay during the actual hour of operations.

- **Scenario 2b:** the distribution of outbound traffic in Scenario 2a was shifted slightly to determine sensitivity, where approximately two-thirds of the outbound volumes were assumed for the WBL movement, resulting in acceptable traffic operations for the movement.

These results indicate that capacity is available at the intersection and any impacts are limited to the outbound movement of the site. Should delays for the WB movement be excessive in the future, shifting some of the collection vehicle travel routes from WBL to WBR would be a plausible scenario to improve operations and is considered to be adjustable by the developer's operations management team.

Rest of the Week Schedule

The projected traffic volumes Synchro analysis based on the rest of the week schedule are summarized in **Table 16** below.

Table 16: Future Projected 2033 Conditions Intersection Performance (Rest of the Week Schedule)

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------|------------|
| | Critical Movement | | | Intersection 'as a whole' | | |
| | LOS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | v/c |
| Conroy/Thurston (S) | A(B) | 0.55(0.61) | NBT(WBL) | 14.4(13.4) | A(A) | 0.51(0.48) |
| Conroy/Johnston (S) | C(A) | 0.75(0.59) | EBL(EBL) | 18.9(14.2) | A(A) | 0.50(0.44) |
| Conroy/Site Access (U) | D(C) | 29.2(20.2) | WB(WB) | 2.3(0.9) | A(A) | - |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.00 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. (S) – Signalized intersection, (U) – Unsignalized stop controlled intersection. Red font indicates the respective metric is operating at capacity. | | | | | | |

As shown in **Table 16**, traffic operations of adjacent study area signals are expected to be similar to or slightly better compared to existing conditions for the intersections 'as a whole', with critical movements also showing a similar trend. The unsignalized access is expected to result in LOS 'D' or better for the WB movement during both peak hours.

4.9.4. Queueing Analysis

The following **Table 17** summarizes queueing results based on Synchro and SimTraffic analysis of different time periods and scenarios at the site access. The results are based on the future projected 2033 conditions analysis where traffic queues at both the southbound left-turn lane and the westbound movement of the intersection were reviewed.

Table 17: Queueing Analysis at Site Access – Future Projected 2033

| Schedule | Time Period | Storage Length + Taper (SBL, WB) | Traffic Queues SBL, WB (m) | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | Synchro | SimTraffic | |
| | | | 95 th Percentile | Average | 95 th Percentile |
| Wednesday | 7:00 – 8:00 AM | 45 + 20m, 140m | 5, 79 | 12, 38 | 22, 88 |
| | 5:00 – 6:00 PM | | 0, 8 | 0, 12 | 0, 21 |
| | 7:00 – 8:00 AM (Scenario 1) | | 5, 21 | 11, 25 | 21, 47 |
| | 6:00 – 7:00 AM (Scenario 2a) | | 3, 30 | 8, 24 | 18, 43 |
| | 6:00 – 7:00 AM (Scenario 2b) | | 3, 21 | 9, 24 | 17, 41 |
| Rest of the Week | 7:00 – 8:00 AM | 45 + 20m, 140m | 5, 13 | 11, 24 | 22, 43 |
| | 5:00 – 6:00 PM | | 0, 8 | 0, 12 | 0, 22 |

As shown in **Table 17**, queues anticipated for the SBL and WB movements are within their storage capacity in all scenarios and time periods. Based on SimTraffic results, a slightly longer traffic queue may be expected at the WB approach of the access during the 7:00 to 8:00 AM time period of the Wednesday schedule. However,

the queue length, consisting primarily of collection vehicles, is expected to remain within the available 140m throat length of the proposed driveway.

5.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results summarized herein the following findings and recommendations are provided:

Existing Conditions

- The site is currently vacant with remnants of a go-karting track and a mini-golf course.
- The site is currently accessed via an existing full movement access on Conroy Road, at the south end of the property. This includes a median break with an auxiliary left-turn lane.
- Sidewalks are provided on the east side of Conroy Road, along with MUP on the west side and bike lanes on both sides. Conroy Road is a designated Crosstown Bikeway in the TMP.
- Frequent bus route #40 currently operates along Conroy Road, with bus stops on both sides of the road, adjacent to the site.
- The CN Rail corridor is located approximately 105m north of the existing site access and is understood to operate twice a day between 8-9am and 4-5pm, which do not align with the expected peak hours of the proposed development.
- Two-way traffic volumes were found to be in the order of approximately 1,500 and 1,800 veh/h along Conroy Road, during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. However, it is noted that the morning peak hour reflects a 7:00-8:00 AM time period, whereas the morning peak hour of the development is expected to be between 6:00-7:00 AM, when traffic volumes on Conroy Road are approximately 800 veh/h.
- Based on five-year historic collision data, there are no existing safety concerns along the proposed development frontage and study area intersections.
- Based on Synchro analysis, all study area intersections currently operate at LOS 'A' during peak hours, with critical movements operating at LOS 'C' or better.

Proposed Development

- The proposed development is located at 3145 Conroy Road and will consist of office space, a servicing garage with loading spaces, storage at the rear of the site for roll-off and front-end waste bins, and a new surface parking lot for 135 truck spaces, 267 employee parking spaces (including 8 accessible spaces), and 12 motorcycle spaces. The date of occupancy is expected to be June 2028.
- The development is expected to maintain the existing full-movement site access on Conroy Road. A 1.8m wide sidewalk is proposed along the north side of the site driveway to connect the site to Conroy Road. The driveway throat length is approximately 140m.
- Parking requirements of the Zoning By-Law are met for vehicle parking (259 spaces), accessible parking (8 spaces) and bicycle parking (10 spaces).
- Trucks are expected to operate on two different schedules, primarily a Wednesday schedule and a different schedule for the rest of the week. During the Wednesday schedule, trucks would travel south from the site via WBL. During the rest of the week's schedule, trucks exit the site and primarily travel north via WBR at the access.

- Employees are expected to commute to the site between 6:00-7:00 AM and leave the site over a 3-hour period between 4:00-7:00 PM, where the peak hour was assumed to be 5:00-6:00 PM. The collection trucks will operate during the same hours, travelling opposite of the employee traffic.
- Total employee trips are estimated to be 180 and 112 veh/h during the respective morning and afternoon peak hours, while collection trucks are estimated at 93 and 65 veh/h during the same respective hours. Based on the TRANS 2011 O-D Survey, approximately 70% of employee traffic would travel to/from the north on Conroy Road, while 30% would travel to/from the south.
- Truck turns at the site access and internal to the site were reviewed using a conservative design vehicle. No concerns were raised for truck circulation.
- Requirements of the Private Approach By-Law and suggested TAC throat lengths are expected to be met by the site access.
- Traffic signal warrant analysis was completed for the site access, with highly conservative assumptions of 8-hour volumes at the site access. It was determined that a traffic signal is not warranted in the future.
- Proposed TDM Measures include the following, where an asterisk (*) is considered by the TDM Measures to be some of the most dependably effective tools to encourage sustainable travel modes:
 - Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances.
 - Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances.
 - Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information.
 - *Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students.

Future Conditions

- In planned conditions, continuous bus lanes are proposed on Conroy Road as part of the Priority Transit Network of the new TMP. The TMP also illustrates a northern extension of Conroy Road from Walkley Road to the Hospital Link Road within the Needs-Based Road Network.
- Future adjacent developments were accounted for and anticipated to result in minimal increase of traffic volumes of less than 10 veh/h along Conroy Road within the study area in the future.
- MMLOS analysis for the Conroy Road segment at site frontage indicates that all travel modes meet their respective LOS targets on both side of the road, with the exception of the pedestrian LOS on the east side due to a narrower 1.5m wide sidewalk.
- MMLOS analysis for study area traffic signal intersections at Conroy/Thurston and Conroy/Johnston indicates that the pedestrian and transit LOS meet their respective targets, but the cycling does not. This is due to lack of dedicated infrastructure and protected cycling facilities at the intersections.
- Intersection analysis was conducted for the future projected 2033 conditions using Synchro. It was determined that no operational concerns are anticipated for most weekdays. For The Wednesday schedule, critically high delays may be experienced at the WB approach of the access due to majority of trucks attempting to travel south via WBL movement. The 7:00–8:00 AM assessment reflects a conservative condition, as it applies the site's expected 6:00–7:00 AM outbound traffic to a busier hour where Conroy Road volumes are nearly double. To reflect more realistic operating conditions, alternative AM peak hour scenarios were evaluated as summarized below:
 - Scenario 1: Under the conservative 7:00–8:00 AM hour, acceptable operations can be achieved by shifting the majority of outbound trucks from the WBL to the WBR movement.

This adjustment is operationally feasible given the developer's ability to modify collection vehicle routing as needed.

- Scenario 2a: Using the actual 6:00–7:00 AM Conroy Road volumes and maintaining the original outbound distribution where most trucks turn WBL, delays at the WB approach remain critical but are considerably reduced relative to the conservative scenario.
- Scenario 2b: A slight modification to the Scenario 2a outbound distribution—where approximately two-thirds of trucks use the WBL movement—results in acceptable operations for the WB approach during the 6:00–7:00 AM peak.
- Overall, these scenarios demonstrate that sufficient capacity exists at the intersection, with operational impacts primarily limited to outbound movements from the site. Should delays at the WB approach become excessive in the future, minor adjustments to outbound truck routing (e.g., additional shifts from WBL to WBR) can be implemented by the operator to maintain acceptable performance.
- Queueing analysis from both Synchro and SimTraffic indicates no major queueing concerns for any movements at the site access for all time periods and scenarios.

Based on the preceding report, the proposed development located at 3145 Conroy Road is recommended to proceed from a transportation perspective.

Prepared By:



Basel Ansari, P.Eng.
Transportation Engineer

Reviewed By:



Austin Shih, MASc, P.Eng.
Senior Transportation Engineer

Appendix A:

TIA Screening Form and Site Plan

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines

Date

June 6th, 2025

TIA Screening Form

Project

3145 Conroy Rd

Project Number

479371 - 01000

| Results of Screening | Yes/No |
|---|--------|
| Development Satisfies the Trip Generation Trigger | Yes |
| Development Satisfies the Location Trigger | Yes |
| Development Satisfies the Safety Trigger | Yes |

Module 1.1 - Description of Proposed Development

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Municipal Address | 3145 Conroy Rd |
| Description of location | Currently occupied by a go-kart track and open grass. |
| Land Use | General Industrial (IG3). Proposed as a truck storage facility. |
| Development Size | ~260 staff parking spaces and 135 truck fleet parking spaces, plus minor ancillary office space. |
| Number of Accesses and Locations | 1: located on the southwest quadrant of the site. |
| Development Phasing | Single phase |
| Buildout Year | 2025 |
| Sketch Plan / Site Plan | See attached |

Module 1.2 - Trip Generation Trigger


| | | |
|------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| Land Use Type | Other | |
| Development Size | 100 | People Trips |
| Trip Generation Trigger Met? | Yes | |

Module 1.3 - Location Triggers

| | | |
|---|-----|---|
| Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority Network, Rapid Transit network or Cross-Town Bikeways? | Yes | Conroy Rd is part of a transit priority corridor and is part of the Cross-Town Bikeway Network. |
| Is the development in a Hub, a Protected Major Transit Station Area (PMTSA), or a Design Priority Area (DPA)? | No | |
| Location Trigger Met? | Yes | |

Module 1.4 - Safety Triggers

| | | |
|--|-----|--|
| Posted Speed Limit on any boundary road | <80 | km/h |
| Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway? | No | |
| A proposed driveway is within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions) or within auxiliary lanes of an intersection? | Yes | The access is within 100m of the CN Rail at-grade crossing which has railway traffic signal control. |
| Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site? | Yes | Conroy Rd is generally median separated and provides a break. |
| Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development? | No | |
| Does the development include a drive-thru facility? | No | |
| Safety Trigger Met? | Yes | |



NOTE:
THIS SITE PLAN HAS BEEN BASED ON THE
SURVEYOR'S REAL PROPERTY REPORT PREPARED
BY J.D. BARNES LTD., DATED SEPTEMBER 04, 2024.


ZONING INFORMATION

- GENERAL INFORMATION:**
 - LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**
PT LT 2, CON SRF, PTS 1,2,3, SR5712, EXCEPT PT 1,
4R11804, T/W IN N742746, OTTAWA/GLOUCESTER
 - TOTAL BUILDING AREA (B.A.):**
2,987.31m²
 - TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (G.F.A.):**
3,962.09m²
GROUND FLOOR:
GROUP D (BUSINESS & PERSONAL SERVICES):
790.73m² [8,511.34ft²]
GROUP F, DIV. 2 (MEDIUM HAZARD INDUSTRIAL):
2,230.04m² [24,003.95ft²]
SECOND FLOOR:
GROUP D (BUSINESS & PERSONAL SERVICES):
941.32m² [10,132.28ft²]
 - PROPOSED USE:**
HEAVY EQUIPMENT & VEHICLE SALES, RENTAL & SERVICING
- ZONING PROVISIONS:**
 - DESIGNATION:**
GENERAL INDUSTRIAL ZONE (IG3)
 - LOT AREA:**
REQUIRED: 1,000.00m² [10,763.90ft²] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 48,611.80m² [523,253.62ft²]
 - LOT FRONTAGE:**
REQUIRED: 0.00m (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 103.30m [338.91ft]
 - SETBACKS:**
FRONT YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 108.21m [355.02ft]
CORNER SIDE YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: N/A
INTERIOR SIDE YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 34.20m [112.21ft] (MIN.)
REAR YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 264.01m [866.18ft]
 - LOT COVERAGE:**
REQUIRED: 65% (MAX.)
PROPOSED: 6.6%
 - FLOOR SPACE INDEX (FSI):**
FSI = (3,962.09m² / 48,611.80m²) = 0.08
 - BUILDING HEIGHT:**
REQUIRED: 22.00m [72.17ft] (MAX.)
PROPOSED: 9.64m [31.64ft]
 - ACCESSORY BUILDING HEIGHT:**
REQUIRED: 6.00m [19'-8"] (MAX.)
PROPOSED: 8.08m [26'-6"]
- PARKING (PER SECTIONS 3.30 & 3.41):**
 - PARKING SPACES:**
STANDARD SPACES
HEAVY EQUIPMENT & VEHICLE SALES, RENTAL & SERVICING
PROVISION: 0.75 SPACES PER 100m² G.F.A.
(2,230.04m² / 100m²) x 0.75
16.72 SPACES = 17.00 SPACES
OFFICE
PROVISION: 2.40 SPACES PER 100m² G.F.A.
(41,732.05m² / 100m²) x 2.40
41.57 SPACES = 42 SPACES
STORAGE (ACCESSORY BUILDING)
PROVISION: 0.80 SPACES PER 100m² G.F.A.
(221.51m² / 100m²) x 0.80
1.77 SPACES = 2.00 SPACES
REQUIRED: 62 SPACES
BARRIER-FREE (B.F.) SPACES:
PROVISION: 251-300 STANDARD SPACES = 8
8 SPACES (4-TYPE A, 4-TYPE B)
TOTAL REQUIRED: 8 B.F. + 62 STANDARD
70 PARKING SPACES
TOTAL PROVIDED: 8 B.F. + 259 STANDARD
267 PARKING SPACES
 - LOADING SPACES:**
PROVISION: 2,000m² x 4.99m² G.F.A. = 2 SPACES
REQUIRED: 2 SPACES
PROVIDED: 2 SPACES
 - BICYCLE SPACES:**
PROVISION: ALL NON-RESIDENTIAL USES
1 PER 150m² G.F.A. = 2 SPACES
OFFICE
1 PER 250m² G.F.A. = 7 SPACE
9 SPACES
10 SPACES
REQUIRED: 10 SPACES
PROVIDED: 10 SPACES
 - MOTORCYCLE SPACES:**
PROVISION: 5% OF PARKING SPACES MAX.
REQUIRED: 5% = 12 SPACES MAX.
PROVIDED: 12 SPACES
 - FLEET PARKING SPACES:**
REQUIRED: N/A
PROVIDED: 135 SPACES

SYMBOL LEGEND

- SITE PROPERTY LINE
- ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
- SETBACK LINE
- ROAD CENTRELINE
- FIRE ROUTE LINE
- NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE
- NEW NOISE DAMPENING FENCE
- MUNICIPAL ROAD BOUNDARY
- SNOW STORAGE AREA BOUNDARY
- DIRECTION OF TRAVEL
- PROPOSED BUILDING
- PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
- EXISTING GRAVEL
- PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK
- LANDSCAPED AREA
- PRIMARY BUILDING ENTRANCE OR BARRIER-FREE ENTRANCE
- SECONDARY ENTRANCES / EXITS OR BARRIER-FREE ENTRANCE / EXITS
- OVERHEAD DOOR
- FIRE ROUTE/NO PARKING SIGNAGE INSTALLED 25m MAX. ALONG ROUTE
- BARRIER-FREE PARKING SIGN
- FIRE HYDRANT (EXISTING)
- EXIST. WATER HYDRANT OR MANHOLE
- HYDRO POLE, EXISTING OR NEW
- LIGHT STANDARD, EXISTING OR NEW
- UTILITY POLE, EXISTING OR NEW

North



Revisions

| No. | By | Description | Date |
|-----|------|------------------------------|-------------|
| 10 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 13 NOV 2025 |
| 09 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 16 OCT 2025 |
| 08 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 27 AUG 2025 |
| 07 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 17 JUN 2025 |
| 06 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 28 MAY 2025 |
| 05 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 09 MAY 2025 |
| 04 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 10 APR 2025 |
| 03 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 25 MAR 2025 |
| 02 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 05 MAR 2025 |
| 01 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 10 JAN 2025 |

Project

WO MW REALTY LIMITED
OFFICE GROUP
SITE PLAN APPLICATION
NEW MILLER WASTE FACILITY

3145 CONROY ROAD, OTTAWA, ON

Drawing

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Scale
1:500

Drawn
W.P.

Checked
C.D.

Project No.
24-151


Date
DECEMBER, 2024

Stamp



Drawing No.

SP-A01



ZONING INFORMATION

1. **GENERAL INFORMATION:**
1.1. **LEGAL DESCRIPTION:**
PT LT 2, CON SRF, PTS 1, 2, 3, SR5712, EXCEPT PT 1, 4R11804, T/W IN N742746, OTTAWA/GLOUCESTER

1.2. **TOTAL BUILDING AREA (B.A.):**
2,987.31m²

1.3. **TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (G.F.A.):**
3,962.09m²
GROUND FLOOR:
GROUP D (BUSINESS & PERSONAL SERVICES):
790.73m² [8,511.34ft²]
GROUP F, DIV. 2 (MEDIUM HAZARD INDUSTRIAL):
2,230.04m² [24,003.95ft²]
SECOND FLOOR:
GROUP D (BUSINESS & PERSONAL SERVICES):
941.32m² [10,132.28ft²]

1.4. **PROPOSED USE:**
HEAVY EQUIPMENT & VEHICLE SALES, RENTAL & SERVICING

2. **ZONING PROVISIONS:**
2.1. **DESIGNATION:**
GENERAL INDUSTRIAL ZONE (IG3)

2.2. **LOT AREA:**
REQUIRED: 1,000.00m² [10,763.90ft²] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 48,611.80m² [523,253.62ft²]

2.3. **LOT FRONTAGE:**
REQUIRED: 0.00m (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 103.30m [338.91ft]

2.4. **SETBACKS:**
FRONT YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 108.21m [355.02ft]
CORNER SIDE YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: N/A
INTERIOR SIDE YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 34.20m [112.21ft] (MIN.)
REAR YARD:
REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.)
PROPOSED: 264.01m [866.18ft]

2.5. **LOT COVERAGE:**
REQUIRED: 65% (MAX.)
PROPOSED: 6.6%

2.6. **FLOOR SPACE INDEX (FSI):**
FSI = (3,962.09m² / 48,611.80m²) = 0.08

2.7. **BUILDING HEIGHT:**
REQUIRED: 22.00m [72.17ft] (MAX.)
PROPOSED: 9.64m [31.64ft]

2.8. **ACCESSORY BUILDING HEIGHT:**
REQUIRED: 6.00m [19'-8"] (MAX.)
PROPOSED: 8.08m [26'-6"]

3. **PARKING (PER SECTIONS 3.30 & 3.41):**
3.1. **PARKING SPACES:**
STANDARD SPACES
HEAVY EQUIPMENT & VEHICLE SALES, RENTAL & SERVICING
PROVISION: 0.75 SPACES PER 100m² G.F.A.
REQUIRED: (2,230.04m² / 100m²) x 0.75 = 16.72 SPACES = 17.00 SPACES
OFFICE
PROVISION: 2.40 SPACES PER 100m² G.F.A.
REQUIRED: (81.732.05m² / 100m²) x 2.40 = 41.57 SPACES = 42 SPACES
STORAGE (ACCESSORY BUILDING)
PROVISION: 0.80 SPACES PER 100m² G.F.A.
REQUIRED: ((221.51m² / 100m²) x 0.80) = 1.77 SPACES = 2.00 SPACES
82 SPACES
BARRIER-FREE (B.F.) SPACES:
PROVISION: 251-300 STANDARD SPACES = 8 SPACES (4 - TYPE A, 4 - TYPE B)
TOTAL REQUIRED: 8 B.F. + 62 STANDARD = 70 PARKING SPACES
TOTAL PROVIDED: 8 B.F. + 259 STANDARD = 267 PARKING SPACES

3.2. **LOADING SPACES:**
PROVISION: 2,000m² - 4,999m² G.F.A. = 2 SPACES
REQUIRED: 2 SPACES
PROVIDED: 2 SPACES

3.3. **BICYCLE SPACES:**
PROVISION: ALL NON-RESIDENTIAL USES
1 PER 150m² G.F.A. = 2 SPACES
OFFICE
1 PER 250m² G.F.A. = 7 SPACE
9 SPACES
10 SPACES
REQUIRED: N/A
PROVIDED: 135 SPACES

3.4. **MOTORCYCLE SPACES:**
PROVISION: 5% OF PARKING SPACES MAX.
REQUIRED: 5% = 12 SPACES MAX.
PROVIDED: 12 SPACES

3.5. **FLEET PARKING SPACES:**
REQUIRED: N/A
PROVIDED: 135 SPACES

SYMBOL LEGEND

— SITE PROPERTY LINE
- - - ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE
--- SETBACK LINE
--- ROAD CENTRELINE
--- FIRE ROUTE LINE
--- NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE
--- NEW NOISE DAMPENING FENCE
--- MUNICIPAL ROAD BOUNDARY
--- SNOW STORAGE AREA BOUNDARY
--- DIRECTION OF TRAVEL
■ PROPOSED BUILDING
■ PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
■ EXISTING GRAVEL
■ PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK
■ LANDSCAPED AREA
▶ OR B.F. PRIMARY BUILDING ENTRANCE OR BARRIER-FREE ENTRANCE
▶ OR B.F. SECONDARY ENTRANCES / EXITS OR BARRIER-FREE ENTRANCE / EXITS
○ O.H. OVERHEAD DOOR
○ OR F.R. FIRE ROUTE/NO PARKING SIGNAGE INSTALLED 25m MAX. ALONG ROUTE
○ B.F. BARRIER-FREE PARKING SIGN
○ FH FIRE HYDRANT (EXISTING)
○ WHMH EXIST. WATER HYDRANT OR MANHOLE
○ S-(E/N) HYDRO POLE, EXISTING OR NEW
○ UP-(E/N) LIGHT STANDARD, EXISTING OR NEW UTILITY POLE, EXISTING OR NEW

Revisions

| No. | By | Description | Date |
|-----|------|------------------------------|-------------|
| 10 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 13 NOV 2025 |
| 09 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 16 OCT 2025 |
| 08 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 27 AUG 2025 |
| 07 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 17 JUN 2025 |
| 06 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 28 MAY 2025 |
| 05 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 09 MAY 2025 |
| 04 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 10 APR 2025 |
| 03 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 25 MAR 2025 |
| 02 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 05 MAR 2025 |
| 01 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 10 JAN 2025 |

Project

WO MW REALTY LIMITED
OFFICE GROUP
SITE PLAN APPLICATION
NEW MILLER WASTE FACILITY

3145 CONROY ROAD, OTTAWA, ON

Drawing

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Scale

1:500

Drawn

W.P.

Checked

C.D.

Project No.

24-151

Date

DECEMBER, 2024

Stamp

Project No.

24-151

Date

DECEMBER, 2024

Drawing No.

SP-A02

01 PROPOSED ENLARGED PARTIAL SITE PLAN
SP-A02 SCALE: 1:500

15862
D07-12-25-0107


NOTE:
THIS SITE PLAN HAS BEEN BASED ON THE
SURVEYOR'S *REAL PROPERTY REPORT* PREPARED
BY J.D. BARNES LTD., DATED SEPTEMBER 04, 2024.

ZONING INFORMATION

- | | | |
|------|--|------------------------|
| 1. | GENERAL INFORMATION: | |
| | LEGAL DESCRIPTION: PT L1 T 2, CON SRF, PFS 1.2.3, SR5712, EXCEPT PT 1, 461.084; T1W IN N742746; OTTAWA/GLOUCESTER | |
| 2. | TOTAL BUILDING AREA (B.A.): | 2,957.31m ² |
| 3. | TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (G.F.A.): | 3,962.00m ² |
| | GROUND FLOOR: GROUP D (BUSINESS & PERSONAL SERVICES): 790.73m ² [8,511.34ft] GROUP F, DIV 2 (MEDICAL HAZARD INDUSTRIAL): 2230.04m ² [24,003.95ft] SECOND FLOOR: GROUP D (BUSINESS & PERSONAL SERVICES): 941.32m ² [10,132.28ft] | |
| 14. | PROPOSED USE: HEAVY EQUIPMENT & VEHICLE SALES, RENTAL & SERVICING | |
| 2. | ZONING PROVISIONS: DESIGNATION: GENERAL INDUSTRIAL ZONE (IG3) | |
| 2.1. | LOT AREA: REQUIRED: 1,000.00m ² [10,763.90ft ²] (MIN.) PROPOSED: 48,511.80m ² [523,253.62ft ²] | |
| 2.3. | LOT FRONTAGE: REQUIRED: 0.00m (MIN.) PROPOSED: 103.30m [338.91ft] | |
| 2.4. | SETBACKS: FRONT YARD: REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.) PROPOSED: 108.21m [355.02ft] CORNER SIDE YARD: REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.) PROPOSED: N/A INTERIOR SIDE YARD: REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.) PROPOSED: 34.20m [112.21ft] (MIN.) REAR YARD: REQUIRED: 3.00m [9.84ft] (MIN.) PROPOSED: 264.01m [866.18ft] | |
| 2.5. | LOT COVERAGE: REQUIRED: 65% (MAX.) PROPOSED: 6.6% | |
| 2.6. | FLOOR SPACE INDEX (FSI): FSI = (3,962.09m ² / 46,618.80m ²) = 0.08 | |
| 2.7. | BUILDING HEIGHT: REQUIRED: 22.90m [75.17ft] (MAX.) PROPOSED: 9.64m [31.64ft] | |
| 2.8. | ACCESSORY BUILDING HEIGHT: REQUIRED: 6.00m [19'-8"] (MAX.) PROPOSED: 8.08m [26'-6"] | |
| 3. | PARKING (PER SECTIONS 3.30 & 3.41): | |
| 3.1. | PARKING SPACES: STANDARD SPACES HEAVY EQUIPMENT & VEHICLE SALES, RENTAL & SERVICING PROVISION: 0.75 SPACES PER 100m ² G.F.A. REQUIRED: 16,272.55m ² / 100m ² x 0.75 = 127.35 SPACES = 17.00 SPACES OFFICE PROVISION: 2.40 SPACES PER 100m ² G.F.A. REQUIRED: 41,732.05m ² / 100m ² x 2.40 = 157.35 SPACES STORAGE (ACCESSORY BUILDING) PROVISION: 0.80 SPACES PER 100m ² G.F.A. REQUIRED: 12,777.55m ² / 100m ² x 0.80 = 17.77 SPACES = 2.00 SPACES REQUIRED: 62 SPACES | |
| | BARRIER-FREE (B.F.) SPACES: PROVISION: 251.300 STANDARD SPACES = 8 SPACES (4 - TYPE A, 4 - TYPE B) TOTAL REQUIRED: 8 B.F. + 62 STANDARD 70 PARKING SPACES TOTAL PROVIDED: 8 B.F. + 259 STANDARD 267 PARKING SPACES | |
| 3.2. | LOADING SPACES: PROVISION: 2,000m ² -4,999m ² G.F.A. = 2 SPACES REQUIRED: 2 SPACES PROVIDED: 2 SPACES | |
| 3.3. | BICYCLE SPACES: PROVISION: ALL NON-RESIDENTIAL USES 1 PER 1500m ² G.F.A. = 2 SPACES OFFICE 1 PER 2500m ² G.F.A. = 7 SPACES 8 SPACES REQUIRED: 10 SPACES PROVIDED: 10 SPACES | |
| 3.4. | MOTORCYCLE SPACES: PROVISION: 5% OF PARKING SPACES MAX. REQUIRED: 5% = 12 SPACES MAX. PROVIDED: 12 SPACES | |
| 3.5. | FLEET PARKING SPACES: REQUIRED: N/A PROVIDED: 135 SPACES | |

SYMBOL LEGEND


- | | |
|--|--|
| | SITE PROPERTY LINE |
| | ADJACENT PROPERTY LINE |
| | SETBACK LINE |
| | ROAD CENTRELINE |
| | FIRE ROUTE LINE |
| | NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE |
| | NEW NOISE DAMPENING FENCE |
| | MUNICIPAL ROAD BOUNDARY |
| | SNOW STORAGE AREA BOUNDARY |
| | DIRECTION OF TRAVEL |
| | PROPOSED BUILDING |
| | PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT |
| | EXISTING GRAVEL |
| | PROPOSED CONCRETE SIDEWALK |
| | LANDSCAPED AREA |
| | PRIMARY BUILDING ENTRANCE <u>OR</u> B.F. |
| | BARRIER-FREE ENTRANCE |
| | SECONDARY ENTRANCES / EXITS <u>OR</u> B.F. |
| | BARRIER-FREE ENTRANCE / EXITS |
| | O.H. |
| | OVERHEAD DOOR |
| | OR F.R. |
| | FIRE ROUTE/NO PARKING SIGNAGE |
| | INSTALLED 25m MAX. ALONG ROUTE |
| | AND B.F. |
| | BARRIER-FREE PARKING SIGN |
| | FIRE HYDRANT (EXISTING) |
| | EXIST. WATER HYDRANT <u>OR</u> MANHOLE |
| | EXIST. POLE, EXISTING <u>OR</u> NEW |
| | LIGHT STANDARD, EXISTING <u>OR</u> NEW |
| | UTILITY POLE, EXISTING <u>OR</u> NEW |



01 PROPOSED ENLARGED PARTIAL SITE PLAN
SP-A03 SCALE: 1:500

DEIMLING
ARCHITECTURE & INTERIOR DESIGN

North



Revisions

| No. | By | Description | Date |
|-----|------|------------------------------|-------------|
| 13 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 13 NOV 2025 |
| 09 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 16 OCT 2025 |
| 08 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 27 AUG 2025 |
| 07 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 17 JUN 2025 |
| 06 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 28 MAY 2025 |
| 05 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 09 MAY 2025 |
| 04 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 10 APR 2025 |
| 03 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 25 MAR 2025 |
| 02 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 05 MAR 2025 |
| 01 | T.D. | ISSUED FOR COORDINATION | 10 JAN 2025 |

Project

WO MW REALTY LIMITED
OFFICE GROUP
SITE PLAN APPLICATION
NEW MILLER WASTE FACILITY

3145 CONROY ROAD, OTTAWA, ON

Drawing

PROPOSED SITE PLAN

Scale
1:500

Drawn _____
W.P. _____

Checked
C.D.

Project No.
24-151

Stamp

Drawing No.

SP-A03

15862 D07-12-25-0107







- 04 GENERAL NOTES
SP-A04 SCALE: N.T.S.

Project

SP-A04





North

| Revisions | | | |
|-----------|------|------------------------------|-------------|
| No. | By | Description | Date |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 04 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 13 NOV 2025 |
| 03 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | 27 AUG 2025 |
| 02 | A.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 15 AUG 2025 |
| 01 | W.P. | ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW | 24 JUL 2025 |

Project
**WO MW REALTY LIMITED
OFFICE GROUP
SITE PLAN APPLICATION
NEW MILLER WASTE FACILITY**
3145 CONROY ROAD, OTTAWA, ON

Drawing
**PROPOSED
EXTERIOR ELEVATIONS**

Scale AS NOTED
Drawn J.M.
Checked W.P.

Stamp
ONTARIO ASSOCIATION
OF ARCHITECTS
CHRISTOPHER LEE DEIMLING
LICENCE 6238

Project No. 24-151
Date DECEMBER, 2024

Drawing No. A03

Appendix B:

OC Transpo Transit Routes

Figure 1: Map of OC Transpo Route #40.




Figure 2: Map of OC Transpo Route #43.




Figure 3: Map of OC Transpo Route #644.





Figure 4: Map of OC Transpo Route #649.



Appendix C:

Existing Peak Hour Volumes

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD


Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 41533

Device: Miovision

Full Study Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD


Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD


Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

AM Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD


Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

MD Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD


Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

PM Period Peak Hour Diagram





Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

Total Observed U-Turns

Northbound: 3 Southbound: 2
Eastbound: 1 Westbound: 1

AADT Factor

1.00

CONROY RD

JOHNSTON RD

| Period | Northbound | | | | | Southbound | | | | | Eastbound | | | | | Westbound | | | | | Grand Total |
|-------------|------------|------|-----|--------|--|------------|------|------|--------|---------|-----------|-----|-----|--------|--|-----------|-----|-----|--------|---------|-------------|
| | LT | ST | RT | NB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | SB TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | EB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | WB TOT | STR TOT | |
| 07:00 08:00 | 28 | 671 | 15 | 714 | | 43 | 268 | 61 | 372 | 1086 | 198 | 13 | 39 | 250 | | 15 | 16 | 75 | 106 | 356 | 1442 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 47 | 887 | 3 | 937 | | 103 | 347 | 90 | 540 | 1477 | 262 | 35 | 57 | 354 | | 17 | 42 | 87 | 146 | 500 | 1977 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 21 | 620 | 7 | 648 | | 92 | 360 | 120 | 572 | 1220 | 143 | 18 | 35 | 196 | | 27 | 35 | 123 | 185 | 381 | 1601 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 27 | 374 | 23 | 424 | | 129 | 463 | 113 | 705 | 1129 | 114 | 34 | 43 | 191 | | 26 | 26 | 50 | 102 | 293 | 1422 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 26 | 362 | 28 | 416 | | 123 | 451 | 128 | 702 | 1118 | 118 | 33 | 30 | 181 | | 27 | 28 | 69 | 124 | 305 | 1423 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 47 | 483 | 22 | 552 | | 176 | 889 | 191 | 1256 | 1808 | 120 | 54 | 47 | 221 | | 29 | 35 | 21 | 85 | 306 | 2114 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 53 | 494 | 29 | 576 | | 214 | 1041 | 295 | 1550 | 2126 | 142 | 56 | 73 | 271 | | 27 | 39 | 86 | 152 | 423 | 2549 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 52 | 441 | 23 | 516 | | 197 | 739 | 227 | 1163 | 1679 | 143 | 42 | 60 | 245 | | 33 | 36 | 56 | 125 | 370 | 2049 |
| Sub Total | 301 | 4332 | 150 | 4783 | | 1077 | 4558 | 1225 | 6860 | 11643 | 1240 | 285 | 384 | 1909 | | 201 | 257 | 567 | 1025 | 2934 | 14577 |
| U Turns | | | | 3 | | | | | 2 | 5 | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| Total | 301 | 4332 | 150 | 4786 | | 1077 | 4558 | 1225 | 6862 | 11648 | 1240 | 285 | 384 | 1910 | | 201 | 257 | 567 | 1026 | 2936 | 14584 |

EQ 12Hr 418 6021 208 6653 1497 6336 1703 9538 16191 1724 396 534 2655 279 357 788 1426 4081 20272

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor.

1.39

AVG 12Hr 418 6021 208 6653 1497 8300 2231 9538 16191 1724 396 534 2655 279 357 788 1426 4081 20272

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr. totals by the AADT factor.

1.00

AVG 24Hr 548 7888 272 8715 1961 10873 2923 12495 21210 2258 519 700 3478 365 468 1032 1868 5346 26556

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr. totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.

1.31

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

CONROY RD

JOHNSTON RD

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total | |
|-------------|-------|-----|------|----------|------|------|------|----------|------------|-------|------|-----|----------|------|-----|-----|----------|------------|----------------|--------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 6 | 120 | 2 | 128 | 9 | 56 | 7 | 72 | 200 | 39 | 1 | 8 | 48 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 17 | 65 | 265 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 9 | 161 | 2 | 172 | 6 | 60 | 13 | 79 | 251 | 37 | 2 | 16 | 55 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 19 | 74 | 325 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 7 | 183 | 4 | 194 | 16 | 63 | 21 | 100 | 294 | 60 | 6 | 5 | 71 | 4 | 8 | 27 | 39 | 110 | 404 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 15 | 112 | 5 | 132 | 37 | 152 | 45 | 234 | 366 | 29 | 8 | 8 | 45 | 9 | 7 | 18 | 34 | 79 | 445 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 6 | 207 | 7 | 220 | 12 | 89 | 20 | 121 | 341 | 62 | 4 | 10 | 76 | 5 | 6 | 20 | 31 | 107 | 448 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 11 | 225 | 1 | 237 | 24 | 85 | 24 | 133 | 370 | 61 | 8 | 13 | 82 | 4 | 6 | 26 | 36 | 118 | 488 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 11 | 199 | 1 | 212 | 33 | 54 | 27 | 114 | 326 | 58 | 9 | 15 | 82 | 8 | 19 | 29 | 56 | 138 | 464 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 11 | 230 | 1 | 242 | 29 | 108 | 16 | 153 | 395 | 75 | 7 | 13 | 95 | 3 | 11 | 19 | 33 | 128 | 523 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 14 | 233 | 0 | 247 | 17 | 100 | 23 | 140 | 387 | 68 | 11 | 16 | 95 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 21 | 116 | 503 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 4 | 196 | 1 | 201 | 25 | 98 | 30 | 153 | 354 | 45 | 5 | 13 | 63 | 8 | 14 | 47 | 69 | 132 | 486 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 7 | 142 | 1 | 150 | 31 | 98 | 40 | 169 | 319 | 29 | 6 | 8 | 43 | 4 | 7 | 29 | 40 | 83 | 402 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 4 | 128 | 0 | 132 | 17 | 82 | 34 | 133 | 265 | 37 | 5 | 7 | 50 | 8 | 7 | 26 | 41 | 91 | 356 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 6 | 154 | 5 | 165 | 19 | 82 | 16 | 117 | 282 | 32 | 2 | 7 | 41 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 35 | 76 | 358 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 6 | 83 | 3 | 92 | 26 | 95 | 20 | 141 | 233 | 34 | 15 | 8 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 82 | 315 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 9 | 96 | 6 | 111 | 33 | 126 | 23 | 182 | 293 | 25 | 5 | 11 | 41 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 29 | 70 | 363 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 7 | 97 | 7 | 111 | 38 | 126 | 33 | 197 | 308 | 36 | 6 | 7 | 49 | 10 | 6 | 11 | 27 | 76 | 384 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 5 | 98 | 7 | 111 | 32 | 116 | 37 | 186 | 297 | 19 | 8 | 17 | 44 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 21 | 65 | 362 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 4 | 101 | 6 | 111 | 46 | 106 | 32 | 184 | 295 | 23 | 8 | 7 | 38 | 5 | 12 | 17 | 34 | 72 | 367 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 3 | 91 | 6 | 100 | 26 | 115 | 40 | 181 | 281 | 48 | 9 | 11 | 68 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 29 | 97 | 378 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 9 | 87 | 9 | 105 | 25 | 114 | 35 | 174 | 279 | 23 | 9 | 3 | 35 | 8 | 4 | 13 | 25 | 60 | 339 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 10 | 83 | 7 | 100 | 26 | 116 | 21 | 163 | 263 | 24 | 7 | 9 | 40 | 9 | 7 | 20 | 36 | 76 | 339 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 6 | 121 | 8 | 135 | 33 | 191 | 49 | 273 | 408 | 21 | 14 | 12 | 47 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 20 | 67 | 475 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 15 | 146 | 4 | 165 | 41 | 206 | 46 | 293 | 458 | 39 | 7 | 9 | 55 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 70 | 528 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 13 | 110 | 4 | 127 | 57 | 252 | 53 | 362 | 489 | 25 | 16 | 10 | 51 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 26 | 77 | 566 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 19 | 105 | 6 | 130 | 65 | 294 | 77 | 436 | 566 | 35 | 10 | 22 | 67 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 37 | 104 | 670 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 11 | 123 | 8 | 142 | 56 | 272 | 67 | 395 | 537 | 42 | 13 | 16 | 71 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 42 | 113 | 650 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 13 | 133 | 8 | 154 | 48 | 237 | 73 | 358 | 512 | 33 | 18 | 20 | 71 | 6 | 7 | 21 | 35 | 106 | 618 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 10 | 133 | 7 | 150 | 45 | 238 | 78 | 362 | 512 | 32 | 15 | 15 | 62 | 5 | 9 | 25 | 39 | 101 | 613 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 11 | 93 | 7 | 111 | 49 | 205 | 64 | 318 | 429 | 50 | 12 | 16 | 78 | 9 | 9 | 17 | 35 | 113 | 542 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 13 | 128 | 4 | 145 | 53 | 193 | 50 | 296 | 441 | 31 | 15 | 14 | 60 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 23 | 83 | 524 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 13 | 108 | 7 | 128 | 58 | 189 | 68 | 315 | 443 | 33 | 7 | 22 | 62 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 33 | 95 | 538 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 13 | 106 | 6 | 126 | 45 | 240 | 43 | 328 | 454 | 35 | 17 | 16 | 68 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 24 | 92 | 546 |
| Total: | | 301 | 4332 | 150 | 4786 | 1077 | 4558 | 1225 | 6862 | 11648 | 1240 | 285 | 384 | 1910 | 201 | 257 | 567 | 1026 | 2936 | 14,584 |

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

| | | CONROY RD | | | JOHNSTON RD | | | Grand Total |
|-------------|-------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| Time Period | | Northbound | Southbound | Street Total | Eastbound | Westbound | Street Total | |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

CONROY RD

JOHNSTON RD

| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach (N or S Crossing) | WB Approach (N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 07:00 07:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:15 07:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 15 | 13 | 28 | 22 | 9 | 31 | 59 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

CONROY RD

JOHNSTON RD

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total |
|-------------|----|-----|----|----------|----|-----|----|----------|------------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----|----------|------------|----------------|
| 07:00 07:15 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 |
| 07:15 07:30 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 17 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 29 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 24 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 15 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 20 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 18 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 30 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 18 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 15 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 27 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Total: None | 23 | 147 | 2 | 172 | 25 | 166 | 23 | 214 | 386 | 26 | 3 | 23 | 52 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 14 | 66 | 452 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ JOHNSTON RD

Survey Date: Thursday, January 18, 2024

WO No: 41533

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total

CONROY RD

JOHNSTON RD

| Time Period | | Northbound U-Turn Total | Southbound U-Turn Total | Eastbound U-Turn Total | Westbound U-Turn Total | Total |
|-------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 |

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

Start Time: 07:00


WO No:

42645

Device:

Miovision

Full Study Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

AM Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

MD Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

PM Period Peak Hour Diagram





Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

Total Observed U-Turns

Northbound: 3 Southbound: 7
Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 1

AADT Factor

.90

CONROY RD

LORRY GREENBERG DR

| Period | Northbound | | | | | Southbound | | | | | Eastbound | | | | | Westbound | | | | | Grand Total |
|------------------|------------|------|-----|--------|--|------------|------|-----|--------|---------|-----------|-----|-----|--------|--|-----------|-----|-----|--------|---------|-------------|
| | LT | ST | RT | NB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | SB TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | EB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | WB TOT | STR TOT | |
| 07:00 08:00 | 25 | 749 | 25 | 799 | | 25 | 279 | 26 | 330 | 1129 | 58 | 18 | 13 | 89 | | 31 | 16 | 88 | 135 | 224 | 1353 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 43 | 925 | 57 | 1025 | | 52 | 341 | 59 | 452 | 1477 | 94 | 34 | 38 | 166 | | 46 | 39 | 117 | 202 | 368 | 1845 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 22 | 533 | 42 | 597 | | 46 | 344 | 42 | 432 | 1029 | 62 | 29 | 13 | 104 | | 34 | 27 | 50 | 111 | 215 | 1244 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 11 | 422 | 40 | 473 | | 65 | 434 | 31 | 530 | 1003 | 42 | 26 | 23 | 91 | | 44 | 23 | 77 | 144 | 235 | 1238 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 11 | 374 | 52 | 437 | | 62 | 374 | 40 | 476 | 913 | 29 | 22 | 22 | 73 | | 38 | 13 | 76 | 127 | 200 | 1113 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 24 | 405 | 49 | 478 | | 114 | 799 | 77 | 990 | 1468 | 44 | 51 | 33 | 128 | | 55 | 51 | 107 | 213 | 341 | 1809 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 14 | 404 | 88 | 506 | | 146 | 1120 | 80 | 1346 | 1852 | 58 | 45 | 35 | 138 | | 63 | 31 | 85 | 179 | 317 | 2169 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 21 | 404 | 105 | 530 | | 154 | 678 | 77 | 909 | 1439 | 41 | 29 | 17 | 87 | | 75 | 26 | 97 | 198 | 285 | 1724 |
| Sub Total | 171 | 4216 | 458 | 4845 | | 664 | 4369 | 432 | 5465 | 10310 | 428 | 254 | 194 | 876 | | 386 | 226 | 697 | 1309 | 2185 | 12495 |
| U Turns | | | | 3 | | | | | 7 | 10 | | | | 0 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| Total | 171 | 4216 | 458 | 4848 | | 664 | 4369 | 432 | 5472 | 10320 | 428 | 254 | 194 | 876 | | 386 | 226 | 697 | 1310 | 2186 | 12506 |
| EQ 12Hr | 238 | 5860 | 637 | 6739 | | 923 | 6073 | 600 | 7606 | 14345 | 595 | 353 | 270 | 1218 | | 537 | 314 | 969 | 1821 | 3039 | 17383 |

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor.

1.39

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|--|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|
| AVG 12Hr | 214 | 5274 | 573 | 6065 | | 831 | 7160 | 708 | 6845 | 12910 | 536 | 318 | 243 | 1096 | | 483 | 283 | 872 | 1639 | 2735 | 15645 |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|--|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr. totals by the AADT factor.

.90

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| AVG 24Hr | 280 | 6909 | 751 | 7945 | | 1089 | 9380 | 927 | 8967 | 16912 | 702 | 417 | 318 | 1436 | | 633 | 371 | 1142 | 2147 | 3583 | 20495 |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|------|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|--|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr. totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.

1.31

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

CONROY RD

LORRY GREENBERG DR

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total | |
|-------------|-------|-----|------|----------|------|-----|------|----------|------------|-------|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|------------|----------------|--------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 5 | 116 | 3 | 124 | 5 | 62 | 3 | 70 | 194 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 28 | 43 | 237 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 5 | 167 | 5 | 177 | 6 | 63 | 3 | 72 | 249 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 29 | 54 | 303 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 4 | 219 | 9 | 232 | 3 | 74 | 10 | 87 | 319 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 23 | 33 | 58 | 377 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 11 | 247 | 8 | 266 | 11 | 80 | 10 | 101 | 367 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 24 | 11 | 5 | 29 | 45 | 69 | 436 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 11 | 212 | 15 | 238 | 10 | 87 | 14 | 112 | 350 | 18 | 9 | 12 | 39 | 11 | 10 | 34 | 55 | 94 | 444 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 18 | 257 | 15 | 290 | 22 | 91 | 26 | 139 | 429 | 26 | 8 | 15 | 49 | 12 | 8 | 23 | 43 | 92 | 521 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 4 | 208 | 14 | 227 | 11 | 78 | 11 | 100 | 327 | 25 | 8 | 5 | 38 | 11 | 7 | 29 | 47 | 85 | 412 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 10 | 248 | 13 | 271 | 9 | 85 | 8 | 103 | 374 | 25 | 9 | 6 | 40 | 12 | 14 | 31 | 57 | 97 | 471 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 11 | 168 | 13 | 192 | 10 | 93 | 21 | 124 | 316 | 30 | 13 | 7 | 50 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 32 | 82 | 398 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 5 | 141 | 8 | 154 | 11 | 91 | 10 | 112 | 266 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 18 | 11 | 8 | 13 | 32 | 50 | 316 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 4 | 131 | 9 | 144 | 10 | 84 | 5 | 99 | 243 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 22 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 18 | 40 | 283 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 2 | 93 | 12 | 107 | 15 | 76 | 6 | 97 | 204 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 29 | 43 | 247 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 1 | 95 | 7 | 103 | 13 | 93 | 9 | 115 | 218 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 14 | 6 | 20 | 40 | 63 | 281 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 2 | 101 | 10 | 113 | 23 | 104 | 7 | 135 | 248 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 28 | 47 | 295 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 8 | 123 | 13 | 144 | 14 | 122 | 8 | 144 | 288 | 18 | 12 | 3 | 33 | 14 | 8 | 19 | 41 | 74 | 362 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 103 | 10 | 113 | 15 | 115 | 7 | 137 | 250 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 17 | 35 | 51 | 301 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 1 | 84 | 11 | 96 | 23 | 80 | 8 | 112 | 208 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 15 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 23 | 38 | 246 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 6 | 109 | 15 | 130 | 13 | 93 | 11 | 118 | 248 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 11 | 7 | 22 | 40 | 56 | 304 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 2 | 91 | 11 | 104 | 14 | 97 | 16 | 127 | 231 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 23 | 9 | 2 | 27 | 39 | 62 | 293 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 2 | 90 | 15 | 107 | 12 | 104 | 5 | 121 | 228 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 26 | 45 | 273 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 7 | 105 | 11 | 123 | 24 | 173 | 22 | 219 | 342 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 30 | 17 | 9 | 27 | 53 | 83 | 425 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 3 | 87 | 22 | 113 | 39 | 165 | 24 | 228 | 341 | 10 | 8 | 4 | 22 | 29 | 10 | 25 | 64 | 86 | 427 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 4 | 107 | 11 | 122 | 29 | 193 | 19 | 241 | 363 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 37 | 4 | 14 | 29 | 47 | 84 | 447 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 4 | 101 | 14 | 119 | 27 | 221 | 17 | 265 | 384 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 20 | 14 | 9 | 26 | 49 | 69 | 453 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 5 | 102 | 20 | 127 | 47 | 281 | 18 | 346 | 473 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 38 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 39 | 77 | 550 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 4 | 114 | 19 | 137 | 32 | 256 | 17 | 305 | 442 | 14 | 10 | 11 | 35 | 17 | 4 | 28 | 49 | 84 | 526 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 2 | 98 | 26 | 126 | 34 | 308 | 23 | 365 | 491 | 19 | 5 | 10 | 34 | 17 | 5 | 16 | 38 | 72 | 563 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 3 | 90 | 23 | 117 | 33 | 275 | 22 | 330 | 447 | 11 | 17 | 3 | 31 | 17 | 9 | 27 | 53 | 84 | 531 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 4 | 102 | 18 | 124 | 40 | 220 | 24 | 285 | 409 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 23 | 16 | 7 | 26 | 49 | 72 | 481 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 11 | 102 | 45 | 158 | 41 | 182 | 20 | 244 | 402 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 16 | 6 | 14 | 36 | 59 | 461 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 9 | 92 | 13 | 114 | 34 | 212 | 19 | 265 | 379 | 13 | 21 | 7 | 41 | 20 | 19 | 25 | 64 | 105 | 484 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 3 | 113 | 20 | 136 | 34 | 111 | 9 | 154 | 290 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 19 | 14 | 3 | 32 | 49 | 68 | 358 |
| Total: | | 171 | 4216 | 458 | 4848 | 664 | 4369 | 432 | 5472 | 10320 | 428 | 254 | 194 | 876 | 386 | 226 | 697 | 1310 | 2186 | 12,506 |

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals, cyclist volume is not included in totals. For cyclist volumes refer to Cyclist Volume report.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

CONROY RD

LORRY GREENBERG DR

| Time Period | | Northbound | Southbound | Street Total | Eastbound | Westbound | Street Total | Grand Total |
|-------------|-------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 22 | 16 | 38 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 47 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

CONROY RD

LORRY GREENBERG DR

| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach (N or S Crossing) | WB Approach (N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------|
| 07:00 07:15 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 07:15 07:30 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 10 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 13 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 12 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 8 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 13 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 12 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 22 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 53 | 57 | 110 | 85 | 31 | 116 | 226 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

CONROY RD

LORRY GREENBERG DR

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total |
|-------------|----|-----|----|----------|----|-----|----|----------|------------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----|----------|------------|----------------|
| 07:00 07:15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 16 |
| 07:15 07:30 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 15 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 12 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 16 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 19 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 18 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 15 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 20 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 18 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 15 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 12 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 13 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 21 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 14 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 16 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 19 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 12 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| Total: None | 2 | 108 | 15 | 125 | 31 | 119 | 5 | 155 | 280 | 8 | 38 | 6 | 52 | 9 | 43 | 27 | 79 | 131 | 411 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ LORRY GREENBERG DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 15, 2025

WO No: 42645

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total

CONROY RD

LORRY GREENBERG DR

| Time Period | | Northbound U-Turn Total | Southbound U-Turn Total | Eastbound U-Turn Total | Westbound U-Turn Total | Total |
|-------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | | 3 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 11 |

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD


Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD


Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD


Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

AM Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD


Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

MD Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD


Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

PM Period Peak Hour Diagram



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

Total Observed U-Turns

Northbound: 8 Southbound: 16
Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

AADT Factor

1.00

CONROY RD

ST. LAURENT BLVD

| Period | Northbound | | | | | Southbound | | | | | Eastbound | | | | | Westbound | | | | | Grand Total |
|------------------|------------|------|-----|--------|--|------------|------|-----|--------|---------|-----------|-----|------|--------|--|-----------|-----|-----|--------|---------|-------------|
| | LT | ST | RT | NB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | SB TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | EB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | WB TOT | STR TOT | |
| 07:00 08:00 | 84 | 619 | 101 | 804 | | 64 | 316 | 25 | 405 | 1209 | 6 | 7 | 34 | 47 | | 34 | 9 | 20 | 63 | 110 | 1319 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 128 | 885 | 126 | 1139 | | 82 | 495 | 37 | 614 | 1753 | 8 | 27 | 80 | 115 | | 40 | 26 | 47 | 113 | 228 | 1981 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 69 | 548 | 94 | 711 | | 77 | 458 | 31 | 566 | 1277 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 60 | | 33 | 18 | 47 | 98 | 158 | 1435 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 34 | 529 | 67 | 630 | | 64 | 494 | 25 | 583 | 1213 | 19 | 19 | 73 | 111 | | 71 | 27 | 70 | 168 | 279 | 1492 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 40 | 439 | 58 | 537 | | 61 | 481 | 25 | 567 | 1104 | 17 | 9 | 72 | 98 | | 67 | 17 | 65 | 149 | 247 | 1351 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 37 | 584 | 66 | 687 | | 57 | 859 | 14 | 930 | 1617 | 15 | 28 | 154 | 197 | | 124 | 23 | 39 | 186 | 383 | 2000 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 40 | 594 | 56 | 690 | | 47 | 921 | 11 | 979 | 1669 | 11 | 43 | 207 | 261 | | 152 | 19 | 118 | 289 | 550 | 2219 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 32 | 514 | 38 | 584 | | 24 | 805 | 8 | 837 | 1421 | 3 | 0 | 133 | 136 | | 76 | 7 | 62 | 145 | 281 | 1702 |
| Sub Total | 464 | 4712 | 606 | 5782 | | 476 | 4829 | 176 | 5481 | 11263 | 89 | 153 | 783 | 1025 | | 597 | 146 | 468 | 1211 | 2236 | 13499 |
| U Turns | | | | 8 | | | | | 16 | 24 | | | | 0 | | | | | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Total | 464 | 4712 | 606 | 5790 | | 476 | 4829 | 176 | 5497 | 11287 | 89 | 153 | 783 | 1025 | | 597 | 146 | 468 | 1211 | 2236 | 13523 |
| EQ 12Hr | 645 | 6550 | 842 | 8048 | | 662 | 6712 | 245 | 7641 | 15689 | 124 | 213 | 1088 | 1425 | | 830 | 203 | 651 | 1683 | 3108 | 18797 |

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor.

1.39

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|--|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|
| AVG 12Hr | 645 | 6550 | 842 | 8048 | | 662 | 8793 | 320 | 7641 | 15689 | 124 | 213 | 1088 | 1425 | | 830 | 203 | 651 | 1683 | 3108 | 18797 |
|-----------------|-----|------|-----|------|--|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|--|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr. totals by the AADT factor.

1.00

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----|------|------|-------|--|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|--|------|-----|-----|------|------|-------|
| AVG 24Hr | 845 | 8580 | 1103 | 10543 | | 867 | 11519 | 419 | 10010 | 20553 | 162 | 279 | 1425 | 1867 | | 1087 | 266 | 853 | 2205 | 4071 | 24624 |
|-----------------|-----|------|------|-------|--|-----|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|------|------|--|------|-----|-----|------|------|-------|

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr. totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.

1.31

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

CONROY RD

ST. LAURENT BLVD

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total | |
|-------------|-------|-----|------|----------|------|-----|------|----------|------------|-------|----|-----|----------|------|-----|-----|----------|------------|----------------|--------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 16 | 113 | 15 | 144 | 12 | 58 | 4 | 74 | 218 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 23 | 241 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 19 | 135 | 24 | 179 | 13 | 59 | 7 | 79 | 258 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 29 | 287 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 22 | 171 | 29 | 222 | 13 | 81 | 4 | 98 | 320 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 26 | 346 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 9 | 145 | 13 | 167 | 5 | 194 | 3 | 203 | 370 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 16 | 2 | 11 | 29 | 62 | 432 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 17 | 153 | 23 | 193 | 28 | 130 | 7 | 165 | 358 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 29 | 387 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 27 | 200 | 33 | 260 | 26 | 118 | 10 | 154 | 414 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 17 | 32 | 446 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 32 | 221 | 35 | 288 | 10 | 117 | 5 | 132 | 420 | 0 | 4 | 18 | 22 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 28 | 50 | 470 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 26 | 236 | 27 | 290 | 29 | 107 | 10 | 146 | 436 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 32 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 31 | 63 | 499 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 8 | 112 | 15 | 135 | 10 | 115 | 5 | 130 | 265 | 5 | 5 | 19 | 29 | 13 | 11 | 20 | 44 | 73 | 338 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 12 | 124 | 17 | 153 | 26 | 133 | 9 | 168 | 321 | 6 | 5 | 21 | 32 | 13 | 4 | 18 | 35 | 67 | 388 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 12 | 146 | 24 | 182 | 18 | 245 | 3 | 268 | 450 | 1 | 11 | 64 | 76 | 39 | 3 | 31 | 73 | 149 | 599 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 6 | 164 | 9 | 179 | 9 | 221 | 0 | 231 | 410 | 3 | 9 | 46 | 58 | 36 | 4 | 28 | 68 | 126 | 536 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 9 | 139 | 8 | 156 | 7 | 219 | 1 | 228 | 384 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 36 | 21 | 4 | 16 | 41 | 77 | 461 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 8 | 115 | 7 | 130 | 6 | 184 | 1 | 192 | 322 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 43 | 26 | 1 | 26 | 53 | 96 | 418 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 35 | 206 | 36 | 277 | 23 | 118 | 12 | 154 | 431 | 4 | 6 | 28 | 38 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 28 | 66 | 497 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 35 | 222 | 28 | 285 | 20 | 153 | 10 | 183 | 468 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 23 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 26 | 49 | 517 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 13 | 160 | 13 | 187 | 17 | 217 | 3 | 238 | 425 | 4 | 10 | 39 | 53 | 31 | 5 | 11 | 47 | 100 | 525 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 23 | 145 | 26 | 194 | 26 | 124 | 9 | 159 | 353 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 23 | 33 | 386 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 18 | 128 | 24 | 170 | 13 | 103 | 8 | 124 | 294 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 25 | 43 | 337 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 11 | 122 | 21 | 154 | 10 | 101 | 7 | 118 | 272 | 2 | 9 | 13 | 24 | 12 | 7 | 10 | 29 | 53 | 325 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 7 | 152 | 20 | 179 | 12 | 113 | 8 | 133 | 312 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 24 | 23 | 9 | 18 | 50 | 74 | 386 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 7 | 141 | 15 | 163 | 16 | 133 | 3 | 152 | 315 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 26 | 22 | 3 | 14 | 39 | 65 | 380 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 11 | 130 | 21 | 163 | 19 | 115 | 7 | 145 | 308 | 8 | 5 | 14 | 27 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 25 | 52 | 360 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 13 | 113 | 17 | 143 | 19 | 120 | 11 | 151 | 294 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 5 | 31 | 55 | 349 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 9 | 97 | 9 | 115 | 9 | 134 | 2 | 145 | 260 | 3 | 2 | 22 | 27 | 16 | 1 | 31 | 48 | 75 | 335 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 7 | 99 | 11 | 118 | 14 | 112 | 5 | 131 | 249 | 5 | 1 | 14 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 19 | 45 | 65 | 314 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 10 | 149 | 14 | 176 | 14 | 172 | 4 | 190 | 366 | 4 | 8 | 33 | 45 | 27 | 7 | 7 | 41 | 86 | 452 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 6 | 115 | 10 | 131 | 6 | 208 | 3 | 218 | 349 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 24 | 13 | 0 | 9 | 22 | 46 | 395 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 8 | 137 | 21 | 166 | 13 | 232 | 2 | 248 | 414 | 5 | 6 | 33 | 44 | 37 | 5 | 8 | 50 | 94 | 508 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 6 | 138 | 18 | 162 | 13 | 238 | 5 | 256 | 418 | 2 | 4 | 49 | 55 | 29 | 6 | 13 | 48 | 103 | 521 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 8 | 132 | 10 | 150 | 11 | 228 | 6 | 245 | 395 | 3 | 10 | 56 | 69 | 38 | 6 | 22 | 66 | 135 | 530 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 14 | 152 | 13 | 179 | 9 | 227 | 2 | 239 | 418 | 4 | 13 | 41 | 58 | 39 | 6 | 37 | 82 | 140 | 558 |
| Total: | | 464 | 4712 | 606 | 5790 | 476 | 4829 | 176 | 5497 | 11287 | 89 | 153 | 783 | 1025 | 597 | 146 | 468 | 1211 | 2236 | 13,523 |

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals, cyclist volume is not included in totals. For cyclist volumes refer to Cyclist Volume report.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

CONROY RD

ST. LAURENT BLVD

| Time Period | | Northbound | Southbound | Street Total | Eastbound | Westbound | Street Total | Grand Total |
|-------------|-------|------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | | 4 | 11 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

CONROY RD

ST. LAURENT BLVD

| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach (N or S Crossing) | WB Approach (N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 07:00 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 07:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 10 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 11 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 10 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 11 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 26 | 16 | 42 | 24 | 48 | 72 | 114 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

CONROY RD

ST. LAURENT BLVD

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total |
|-------------|-------|----|-----|----|----------|----|-----|----|----------|------------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----|----------|------------|----------------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 11 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 27 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 19 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 19 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 14 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 14 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 21 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 27 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 14 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 18 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 18 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 14 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 20 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 20 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 27 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 21 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 19 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 12 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 11 |
| Total: | None | 18 | 123 | 53 | 195 | 26 | 114 | 13 | 153 | 348 | 7 | 10 | 45 | 62 | 45 | 14 | 20 | 79 | 141 | 489 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 06, 2023

WO No: 41374

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total

CONROY RD

ST. LAURENT BLVD

| Time Period | | Northbound U-Turn Total | Southbound U-Turn Total | Eastbound U-Turn Total | Westbound U-Turn Total | Total |
|-------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 24 |

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

Start Time: 07:00


WO No:

38547

Device:

Miovision

Full Study Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

AM Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

MD Period Peak Hour Diagram



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR


Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

PM Period Peak Hour Diagram



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

Total Observed U-Turns

Northbound: 13 Southbound: 14
Eastbound: 2 Westbound: 1

AADT Factor

.90

CONROY RD

THURSTON DR

| Period | Northbound | | | | | Southbound | | | | | Eastbound | | | | | Westbound | | | | | Grand Total |
|------------------|------------|------|------|--------|--|------------|------|----|--------|---------|-----------|----|----|--------|--|-----------|----|-----|--------|---------|-------------|
| | LT | ST | RT | NB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | SB TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | EB TOT | | LT | ST | RT | WB TOT | STR TOT | |
| 07:00 08:00 | 7 | 1366 | 341 | 1714 | | 71 | 339 | 4 | 414 | 2128 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 13 | | 30 | 0 | 23 | 53 | 66 | 2194 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 5 | 1504 | 475 | 1984 | | 79 | 457 | 5 | 541 | 2525 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 17 | | 60 | 0 | 34 | 94 | 111 | 2636 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 2 | 813 | 220 | 1035 | | 64 | 460 | 5 | 529 | 1564 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | | 75 | 0 | 30 | 105 | 110 | 1674 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 10 | 575 | 125 | 710 | | 45 | 691 | 8 | 744 | 1454 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | | 130 | 2 | 72 | 204 | 221 | 1675 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 3 | 631 | 144 | 778 | | 68 | 571 | 2 | 641 | 1419 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 11 | | 116 | 0 | 57 | 173 | 184 | 1603 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 1 | 630 | 120 | 751 | | 32 | 1205 | 7 | 1244 | 1995 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10 | | 208 | 0 | 49 | 257 | 267 | 2262 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 1 | 521 | 122 | 644 | | 28 | 1528 | 4 | 1560 | 2204 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | | 325 | 0 | 105 | 430 | 442 | 2646 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 5 | 572 | 106 | 683 | | 12 | 1221 | 0 | 1233 | 1916 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | | 258 | 0 | 69 | 327 | 330 | 2246 |
| Sub Total | 34 | 6612 | 1653 | 8299 | | 399 | 6472 | 35 | 6906 | 15205 | 40 | 6 | 42 | 88 | | 1202 | 2 | 439 | 1643 | 1731 | 16936 |
| U Turns | | | | 13 | | | | | 14 | 27 | | | | 2 | | | | | 1 | 3 | 30 |
| Total | 34 | 6612 | 1653 | 8312 | | 399 | 6472 | 35 | 6920 | 15232 | 40 | 6 | 42 | 90 | | 1202 | 2 | 439 | 1644 | 1734 | 16966 |
| EQ 12Hr | 47 | 9191 | 2298 | 11554 | | 555 | 8996 | 49 | 9619 | 21172 | 56 | 8 | 58 | 125 | | 1671 | 3 | 610 | 2285 | 2410 | 23583 |

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor.

1.39

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----|------|------|-------|--|-----|-------|----|------|-------|----|---|----|-----|--|------|---|-----|------|------|-------|
| AVG 12Hr | 42 | 8272 | 2068 | 10399 | | 500 | 10606 | 57 | 8657 | 19055 | 50 | 7 | 52 | 112 | | 1504 | 3 | 549 | 2056 | 2169 | 21225 |
|-----------------|----|------|------|-------|--|-----|-------|----|------|-------|----|---|----|-----|--|------|---|-----|------|------|-------|

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr. totals by the AADT factor.

.90

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----|-------|------|-------|--|-----|-------|----|-------|-------|----|---|----|-----|--|------|---|-----|------|------|-------|
| AVG 24Hr | 55 | 10836 | 2709 | 13623 | | 655 | 13894 | 75 | 11341 | 24962 | 66 | 9 | 68 | 147 | | 1970 | 4 | 719 | 2693 | 2841 | 27805 |
|-----------------|----|-------|------|-------|--|-----|-------|----|-------|-------|----|---|----|-----|--|------|---|-----|------|------|-------|

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr. totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.

1.31

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

CONROY RD

THURSTON DR

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | LT | ST | RT | N TOT | LT | ST | RT | S TOT | STR TOT | LT | ST | RT | E TOT | LT | ST | RT | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total | |
|-------------|-------|----|------|----------|------|-----|------|----------|------------|-------|----|----|----------|----|------|----|----------|------------|----------------|--------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 3 | 249 | 47 | 299 | 13 | 64 | 1 | 78 | 377 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 21 | 398 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 3 | 309 | 99 | 411 | 19 | 92 | 3 | 114 | 525 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 538 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 1 | 414 | 96 | 511 | 15 | 96 | 0 | 111 | 622 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 636 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 1 | 143 | 25 | 169 | 4 | 240 | 0 | 245 | 414 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 15 | 80 | 81 | 495 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 394 | 99 | 493 | 24 | 87 | 0 | 111 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 14 | 18 | 622 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 1 | 394 | 100 | 495 | 17 | 113 | 2 | 132 | 627 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 29 | 656 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 1 | 375 | 137 | 513 | 14 | 119 | 0 | 133 | 646 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 8 | 19 | 21 | 667 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 2 | 374 | 110 | 487 | 19 | 114 | 3 | 136 | 623 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 33 | 656 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 1 | 361 | 128 | 490 | 29 | 111 | 0 | 141 | 631 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 28 | 30 | 661 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 288 | 72 | 361 | 28 | 135 | 3 | 166 | 527 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 30 | 33 | 560 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 198 | 63 | 263 | 12 | 119 | 0 | 132 | 395 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 23 | 418 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 161 | 49 | 210 | 14 | 110 | 2 | 126 | 336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 29 | 29 | 365 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 2 | 166 | 36 | 204 | 10 | 96 | 0 | 108 | 312 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 23 | 25 | 337 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 4 | 137 | 33 | 174 | 9 | 152 | 3 | 164 | 338 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 31 | 1 | 10 | 42 | 47 | 385 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 1 | 157 | 26 | 184 | 15 | 171 | 1 | 189 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 32 | 1 | 20 | 53 | 54 | 427 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 2 | 122 | 24 | 148 | 13 | 216 | 3 | 233 | 381 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 42 | 0 | 26 | 68 | 74 | 455 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 3 | 159 | 42 | 204 | 8 | 152 | 1 | 161 | 365 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 25 | 0 | 16 | 41 | 46 | 411 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 1 | 168 | 43 | 212 | 17 | 154 | 1 | 173 | 385 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 16 | 47 | 53 | 438 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 1 | 161 | 36 | 200 | 21 | 142 | 0 | 163 | 363 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 18 | 48 | 51 | 414 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 138 | 34 | 173 | 14 | 137 | 0 | 152 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 12 | 49 | 51 | 376 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 1 | 164 | 31 | 196 | 16 | 138 | 1 | 155 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 30 | 381 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 172 | 21 | 194 | 12 | 259 | 0 | 272 | 466 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 13 | 72 | 72 | 538 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 156 | 34 | 190 | 9 | 252 | 1 | 263 | 453 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 56 | 0 | 6 | 62 | 72 | 525 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 163 | 31 | 195 | 4 | 358 | 0 | 363 | 558 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 16 | 61 | 61 | 619 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 1 | 139 | 34 | 176 | 7 | 336 | 6 | 349 | 525 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 14 | 62 | 62 | 587 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 1 | 124 | 29 | 154 | 12 | 411 | 1 | 424 | 578 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 115 | 0 | 38 | 153 | 161 | 739 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 131 | 35 | 167 | 4 | 328 | 0 | 332 | 499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 26 | 100 | 100 | 599 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 144 | 22 | 166 | 9 | 426 | 1 | 436 | 602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 21 | 96 | 96 | 698 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 122 | 36 | 158 | 3 | 363 | 2 | 368 | 526 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 61 | 0 | 20 | 81 | 85 | 611 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 1 | 129 | 32 | 162 | 2 | 334 | 0 | 337 | 499 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 19 | 97 | 97 | 596 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 2 | 149 | 18 | 169 | 3 | 343 | 0 | 346 | 515 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 19 | 82 | 84 | 599 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 1 | 151 | 31 | 184 | 3 | 304 | 0 | 307 | 491 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 16 | 68 | 68 | 559 |
| Total: | | 34 | 6612 | 1653 | 8312 | 399 | 6472 | 35 | 6920 | 15232 | 40 | 6 | 42 | 90 | 1202 | 2 | 439 | 1644 | 1734 | 16,966 |

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

| | | CONROY RD | | | THURSTON DR | | | Grand Total |
|-------------|-------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|
| Time Period | | Northbound | Southbound | Street Total | Eastbound | Westbound | Street Total | |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | | 35 | 37 | 72 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 83 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

CONROY RD

THURSTON DR

| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach (N or S Crossing) | WB Approach (N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 07:00 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| 07:15 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 7 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 15 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | 13 | 13 | 26 | 42 | 50 | 92 | 118 |



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

CONROY RD

THURSTON DR

Northbound

Southbound

Eastbound

Westbound

| Time Period | | Northbound | | | N TOT | Southbound | | | S TOT | STR TOT | Eastbound | | | E TOT | Westbound | | | W TOT | STR TOT | Grand Total |
|-------------|-------|------------|-----|----|----------|------------|-----|----|----------|------------|-----------|----|----|----------|-----------|----|----|----------|------------|----------------|
| | | LT | ST | RT | | LT | ST | RT | | | LT | ST | RT | | LT | ST | RT | | | |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 30 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 24 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 20 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 14 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 15 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 12 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 14 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Total: | None | 8 | 202 | 12 | 223 | 10 | 189 | 12 | 211 | 434 | 13 | 1 | 6 | 20 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 41 | 475 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CONROY RD @ THURSTON DR

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 16, 2019

WO No: 38547

Start Time: 07:00

Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total

CONROY RD

THURSTON DR

| Time Period | | Northbound U-Turn Total | Southbound U-Turn Total | Eastbound U-Turn Total | Westbound U-Turn Total | Total |
|-------------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | | 13 | 14 | 2 | 1 | 30 |

| | Northbound | | | | | | | | Southbound | | | | | | | | Totals | |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| | Passenger Vehicles | Heavy Vehicles | Total (North) | Cyclists | | Pedestrians | | Total (North) | Passenger Vehicles | Heavy Vehicles | Total (South) | Cyclists | | Pedestrians | | Total (South) | Total Traffic | Total AT |
| | | | | West Side | East Side | West Side | East Side | | | | | West Side | East Side | West Side | East Side | | | |
| 6:00-6:15 | 105 | 3 | 108 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 51 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 162 | 6 |
| 6:16-6:30 | 109 | 2 | 111 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 6 | 45 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 156 | 5 |
| 6:31-6:45 | 154 | 10 | 164 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 38 | 5 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 207 | 6 |
| 6:46-7:00 | 193 | 11 | 204 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 52 | 11 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 267 | 8 |
| 7:01-7:15 | 181 | 11 | 192 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 72 | 10 | 82 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 274 | 8 |
| 7:16-7:30 | 234 | 15 | 249 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 11 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340 | 1 |
| 7:31-7:45 | 338 | 12 | 350 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 95 | 14 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 459 | 9 |
| 7:46-8:00 | 364 | 12 | 376 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 123 | 12 | 135 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 511 | 9 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|----|------|----|---|---|---|----|-----|----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|------|----|
| peak hr | 1117 | 50 | 1167 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 370 | 47 | 417 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 1584 | 27 |
| | | | | 10 | 9 | 0 | 1 | | | | | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|------------|----|-------------|-----------|--|-------------|--|---|--|--|------------|
| 6:00:00 AM - 7:00 | 587 | | | | | | | | | | 205 |
| | West Side | | | East Side | | | | | | | |
| | Cyclists | | Pedestrians | Cyclists | | Pedestrians | | | | | |
| | | 16 | | 1 | | 9 | | 1 | | | |

Appendix D:

Historic Collision Data

| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | SMV other | SMV unattended vehicle | Other | Total |
|----------------------------|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| P.D. only | 7 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Non-fatal injury | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Non-reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 9 | 9 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| | # 1 or 26% | # 1 or 26% | # 5 or 6% | # 1 or 26% | # 6 or 0% | # 4 or 15% | # 6 or 0% | # 6 or 0% | |

INTERSECTION COLLISIONS

| Years | Total # Collisions | 24 Hr AADT Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2018-2022 | 11 | 28,200 | 1825 | 0.21 |

| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | SMV other | SMV unattended vehicle | Other | Total |
|----------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| P.D. only | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Non-fatal injury | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Non-reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| | 27% | 45% | 0% | 18% | 0% | 9% | 0% | 0% | |

| Years | Total # Collisions | 24 Hr AADT Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2018-2022 | 20 | 28,200 | 1825 | 0.39 |

| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | SMV other | SMV unattended vehicle | Other | Total |
|----------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| P.D. only | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Non-fatal injury | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Non-reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 3 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| | 15% | 20% | 10% | 35% | 0% | 20% | 0% | 0% | |

MIDBLOCK COLLISIONS

| Years | Total # Collisions | 24 Hr AADT Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2018-2022 | 1 | 28,200 | 1825 | 0.02 |

[illegible]

| Years | Total # Collisions | 24 Hr AADT Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |
|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|----------------|
| 2018-2022 | 2 | 28,200 | 1825 | 0.04 |

[illegible]

50%
50%
0%
100%

Appendix E:

TRANS Forecasted Growth Conroy

TRANS Regional Model

Version 2.15 - Assigned June 16, 2020

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume

Walkley/Conroy area

2011 Model - Basecase

N/A

User Initials: TIMW


Plot Prepared: June, 2022

EMME Scenario: 21713




Legend

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume



Distance (m)



The TRANS model is continuously refined & maintained, and all information is provided in good faith. However, model outputs are provided "as is", and no warranty or guarantee is provided as to the accuracy, reliability or reasonableness of the results. In using this data, you agree to accept any and all risks arising from any incorrect, incomplete, or misleading information.

Recipients are required to use caution and professional judgement in using and interpreting model outputs. In particular, caution should be used when focusing on a geographically limited area (such as a single road or intersection), as the model is primarily designed to simulate regional-scale phenomena and has been calibrated at a regional level.

As general good practice, it is recommended that the user confirm the network coding within the area of interest, and compare base year forecasts against traffic count data to assess the extent to which the model may be over- or under-estimating the travel demand.

TRANS Regional Model

Version 2.15 - Assigned June 16, 2020

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume

Walkley/Conroy Area

2031 Model - Basecase

N/A

User Initials: TIMW


Plot Prepared: June, 2022

EMME Scenario: 21715




Legend

AM Peak Hour Total Traffic Volume



Distance (m)



The TRANS model is continuously refined & maintained, and all information is provided in good faith. However, model outputs are provided "as is", and no warranty or guarantee is provided as to the accuracy, reliability or reasonableness of the results. In using this data, you agree to accept any and all risks arising from any incorrect, incomplete, or misleading information.

Recipients are required to use caution and professional judgement in using and interpreting model outputs. In particular, caution should be used when focusing on a geographically limited area (such as a single road or intersection), as the model is primarily designed to simulate regional-scale phenomena and has been calibrated at a regional level.

As a general good practice, it is recommended that the user confirm the network coding within the area of interest, and compare base year forecasts against traffic count data to assess the extent to which the model may be over- or under-estimating the travel demand.

Appendix F:

Truck Turning Templates




J:\1\Bentley - Parsons Corp\091120\OneDrive - Parsons Corp\Ottawa Office Hub - 479371 - 3145 Conroy Road - White Owl Approval\4. 03000 - Transportation\Drawings\Savannah\Site Access Collection Vehicle - Inbound.dwg

NOTE: The location of utilities is approximate only, the exact location should be determined by consulting the municipal authorities and utility companies concerned. The contractor shall prove the location of utilities and shall be responsible for adequate protection from damage.



Legend



Rear-Load Garbage Truck
meters
Width : 2.44
Track : 2.44
Lock to Lock Time : 6.0
Steering Angle : 27.4

Not to Scale

Drawing Description

Site Access Collection Vehicle - Inbound

Client

Date

June 13, 2025

Figure Number

001

Project Number

479371

Project Description

3145 Conroy Road



Technical drawing of a truck chassis showing a length of 11.61 meters.

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Width | : 2.44 |
| Track | : 2.44 |
| Lock to Lock Time | : 6.0 |
| Steering Angle | : 27.4 |

Not to Scale


Site Access Collection Vehicle - Outbound

June 13, 2025

002

479371


3145 Conroy Road



J:\1\OneDrive - Parsons Corp\479071 - 1022 Arthur Street (20241114 Ontario)\34_01000 - WSS NAME\Crowding\41 Auto Turn\2024 06 12\parsons_brand_logo_black_1.jpg



Legend



| | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Rear-Load Garbage Truck | |
| | meters |
| Width | : 2.44 |
| Track | : 2.44 |
| Lock to Lock Time | : 6.0 |
| Steering Angle | : 27.4 |

Not to Scale

| |
|---------------------|
| Drawing Description |
|---------------------|

Garbage Enclosure Collection Vehicle

| | |
|--------|--|
| Client | |
|--------|--|

| | |
|--|------|
| | Date |
|--|------|

June 13, 2025

| |
|---------------|
| Figure Number |
|---------------|


003

Project Number

479371

| | |
|--|---------------------|
| | Project Description |
|--|---------------------|


3145 Conroy Road



Page Setup: —
Thursday, June 19, 2025 11:02:17 AM
Plot Date:

C:\Users\j091\OneDrive - Parsons Corp\Illiana Office Hub - 479371 - 3145 Conroy Road - White Owl Approval\4. 03000 - Transportation\Drawings\Sankey\Sankey.dwg
Consultant: C:\Users\j091\OneDrive - Parsons Corp\Illiana Office Hub - 479371 - 3145 Conroy Road - White Owl Approval\4. 03000 - Transportation\Drawings\Sankey\Sankey.dwg
Information: C:\Users\j091\OneDrive - Parsons Corp\Illiana Office Hub - 479371 - 3145 Conroy Road - White Owl Approval\4. 03000 - Transportation\Drawings\Sankey\Sankey.dwg

NOTE: The location of utilities is approximate only, the exact location should be determined by consulting the municipal authorities and utility companies concerned. The contractor shall prove the location of utilities and shall be responsible for adequate protection from damage.




Legend

Rear-Load Garbage Truck

Width : 6.71
Track : 1.09
Lock to Lock Time : 6.0
Steering Angle : 27.4

Not to Scale

| Drawing Description | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Collection Vehicle Internal 1 | | |
| Client | Date | Figure Number |
| | June 13, 2025 | 004 |
| Project Number | Project Description | |
| 479371 | 3145 Conroy Road | |




Page Setup: —
Plot Date: Thursday, June 19, 2025 11:08:35 AM

Consultant's Information: C:\Users\j091120\OneDrive - Parsons Corp\Illiana Office Hub - 479371 - 3145 Conroy Road - While Own Approval\4. 03000 - Transportation\Drawings\Schematic - 061925\3145 Conroy Road - 005.dwg
NOTE: The location of utilities is approximate only, the exact location should be determined by consulting the municipal authorities and utility companies concerned. The contractor shall prove the location of utilities and shall be responsible for adequate protection from damage.




Legend



Rear-Load Garbage Truck
meters
Width : 2.44
Track : 2.44
Lock to Lock Time : 6.0
Steering Angle : 27.4

Not to Scale

| | | | | |
|---------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Drawing Description | | | Collection Vehicle Internal 2 | |
| Client | | Date | June 13, 2025 | Figure Number |
| Project Number | | 479371 | | 005 |
| Project Description | | 3145 Conroy Road | | |



Collection Vehicle Internal 3

June 13, 2025

006

479371

3145 Conroy Road

Appendix G:

MMLOS Analysis Sheets

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Intersections Form

Project: 3145 Conroy Road
Consultant: Parsons
Date: Jun 20, 2025
Scenario:

| Intersection Name | | CONROY RD./THURSTON DR. | | | | CONROY RD./JOHNSTON RD. | | | |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| OP Transect / Policy Area | | Outer Urban or Suburban | | | | Outer Urban or Suburban | | | |
| Pedestrian | PLOS Inputs | | | | | | | | |
| | Pedestrians Crossing the | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg |
| | Number of Travel Lanes Crossed | 5 | 6 | 4 | 1-3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| | Median Refuge (≥2.7m) | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| | Crosswalk Treatment | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings | Std Transverse Markings |
| | Signal Cycle Length (sec) | 100.0 | | | | 100.0 | | | |
| | Effective Walk Time (sec) | 37.9 | 37.9 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 |
| | Conflict with Right-Turn Vehicles (For PLOS & BLOS) | WBR | EBR | NBR | SBR | WBR | EBR | NBR | SBR |
| | Right-Turn Geometry | Right-Turn With No Channel | Right-Turn With No Channel | Conventional Right-Turn Channel | Right-Turn With No Channel | Right-Turn With No Channel | Right-Turn With No Channel | Right-Turn With No Channel | Right-Turn With No Channel |
| | Right-Turn Signal Phasing | Permissive | Permissive | - | Permissive | Permissive | Permissive | Permissive | Permissive |
| | Right-Turn Volume | ≤ 150 veh/h | ≤ 150 veh/h | ≤ 150 veh/h | ≤ 150 veh/h | ≤ 150 veh/h | ≤ 150 veh/h | ≤ 150 veh/h | > 150 to 300 veh/h |
| | Right-Turn Effective Corner Radius | > 8m | > 8m | - | > 8m | > 8m | > 8m | > 8m | > 8m |
| | Cross-street Posted Speed (km/h) | 60 km/h | | 50 km/h | | 60 km/h | | 50 km/h | |
| | Conflict with Left-Turn Vehicles (For PLOS & BLOS) | EBL | WBL | SBL | NBL | EBL | WBL | SBL | NBL |
| | Left-Turn Signal Phasing | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm | Perm or Prot+Perm |
| Bicycle | Left-Turn Volume | ≤ 50 veh/h | > 100 veh/h | ≤ 50 veh/h | ≤ 50 veh/h | > 100 veh/h | ≤ 50 veh/h | > 100 veh/h | > 50 to 100 veh/h |
| | Left-Turn Opposing Lanes | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ≥ 2 |
| | Score | 3.25 | 2.45 | 3.40 | 4.45 | 3.05 | 3.25 | 3.80 | 3.35 |
| | PLOS | C | D | C | B | C | C | B | C |
| | Target PLOS | C | | | | C | | | |
| | BLOS Inputs | | | | | | | | |
| | Cycling Route Classification | Cross-Town Bikeway | | | | Cross-Town Bikeway | | | |
| | Cyclists Crossing the | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg |
| | Type of Cycling Facility Across Leg | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | Bike Lane Through Intersection | Bike Lane Through Intersection | Bike Lane Through Intersection | Bike Lane Through Intersection | Bike Lane Through Intersection | Bike Lane Through Intersection |
| | Two-Way ADT (in Cyclist Travel Direction) | 2,841 | | 24,962 | | 5,346 | | 21,210 | |
| | Floating Bike Lane or Right-Turn Lane Crossover Approaching the Crossing? | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| | Crossside Operation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Target Crossside Setback Met? | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Right-Turn Vehicle Volume from Adjacent Roadway > 100 veh/h? | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Cyclist Left-Turn Operation | WBL | EBL | NBL | SBL | WBL | EBL | NBL | SBL |
| | Cyclist Left-Turn Treatment Type | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane | General Purpose Through-Left or Single Left-Turn Lane |
| | Vehicle Lanes Crossed by Cyclists | One Lane Crossed | No Lane Crossed | Two or More Lanes Crossed | Two or More Lanes Crossed | One Lane Crossed | One Lane Crossed | Two or More Lanes Crossed | Two or More Lanes Crossed |
| Transit | Score | 40 | 10 | 25 | 65 | -5 | 35 | 25 | -5 |
| | BLOS | D | F | E | C | F | D | E | F |
| | Target BLOS | B | | | | B | | | |
| | TLOS Inputs | | | | | | | | |
| | Transit Facility | Mixed Traffic | | | | Mixed Traffic | | | |
| | Vehicles Travelling | Southbound | Northbound | Westbound | Eastbound | Southbound | Northbound | Westbound | Eastbound |
| | Average Transit Delay (if available) | ≤ 10 sec | 11-20 sec | | | ≤ 10 sec | 11-20 sec | | |
| | Example Transit Priority Treatment | - | - | | | - | - | | |
| | TLOS | A | B | - | - | A | B | - | - |
| | Target TLOS | A | | | | A | | | |
| | Target TLOS | E (D for frequent transit routes) | | | | E (D for frequent transit routes) | | | |
| Auto | AutoLOS Inputs | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall Intersection Volume to Capacity Ratio | 0 to 0.60 | | | | 0 to 0.60 | | | |
| | Individual Movements V/C Ratios and Queue Lengths | See Separate Traffic Operations Table | | | | See Separate Traffic Operations Table | | | |
| | AutoLOS | A | | | | A | | | |
| | Target AutoLOS | E | | | | E | | | |

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

Project: 3145 Conroy Road

Consultant: Parsons

Date: May 22, 2025

Scenario:

| Segment Name | | CONROY RD. (THURSTON DR. TO JOHNSTON RD.) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| OP Transect / Policy Area | | Outer Urban or Suburban | | | |
| Segment Component | | Majority (>50%) | | Critical | |
| Side of Street | | W | E | W | E |
| Pedestrian | PLOS Inputs | | | | |
| | Posted Speed (km/h) | 60 km/h | | 60 km/h | |
| | Two-Way ADT | 21,210 | | 21,210 | |
| | Pedestrian Facility | Multi-Use Pathway | Sidewalk | Multi-Use Pathway | Sidewalk |
| | Does the facility meet the TMP Sidewalk or MUP Policy? If not, for MUPs, does the location have a low volume of peak daily users, AND are pedestrian volumes likely less than 20% of total users? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| | Facility Width (m) | 3.00m | 1.50m | 3.00m | 1.50m |
| | Offset from Motor Vehicle Travel Lanes (m) | ≥ 3.0m | - | 1.5-2.99m | - |
| | Presence of Adjacent Parking? | No | - | - | - |
| General Purpose Curb Lane ADT | - | - | > 3000 | - | |
| Max. Distance between Controlled Crossings (m) | - | > 400m | > 400m | > 400m | |
| Score | 3.75 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 0.75 | |
| PLOS | B | E | C | E | |
| Target PLOS | C | | | | |
| Bicycle | BLOS Inputs | | | | |
| | Cycling Route Classification | Cross-Town Bikeway | | | |
| | Cycling Facility | Multi-Use Pathway | Painted or Physically Separated Bike Lanes | Multi-Use Pathway | Painted or Physically Separated Bike Lanes |
| | Is the minimum level of separation provided according to OTM Book 18 Pre-Selection Nomograph - Rural Context (Figure 5.6)? (for paved shoulders) | - | - | - | - |
| | Facility Operation | - | Unidirectional | - | Unidirectional |
| | Pedestrian/Cyclist Volume | Low to Moderate Volume MUP (≤ 100 users per hour) | - | Low to Moderate Volume MUP (≤ 100 users per hour) | - |
| | Facility Width | 3.0-3.49m | 2.0-2.5m | 3.0-3.49m | 2.0-2.5m |
| | Boulevard/Buffer Width (excluding curb) | ≥ 1.5m or any boulevard width with continuous traffic barrier | < 1.0m and no vertical measure or < 0.6m with adjacent parking | ≥ 1.5m or any boulevard width with continuous traffic barrier | < 1.0m and no vertical measure or < 0.6m with adjacent parking |
| | Unsignalized Roadway Crossing Type (where cyclists are required to yield) | None | None | None | None |
| | Number of Travel Lanes at Crossing | - | - | - | - |
| | Crossing includes Median Refuge (≥ 2.7m) | - | - | - | - |
| | Cross-street Posted Speed (km/h) | - | - | - | - |
| | Cycling Path Blockages (e.g. bus stops and/or loading zones) | - | Rare | - | Rare |
| | Score | 4.00 | 2.88 | 4.00 | 2.88 |
| | BLOS | B | C | B | C |
| Target BLOS | B | | | | |
| Transit | TLOS Inputs | | | | |
| | Transit Facility | Mixed Traffic | | | |
| | Facility Type | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | | |
| | Expected Transit Running Time | Unimpeded | Slightly Impeded | | |
| | Transit Travel Speed (if available) | Enter Speed (if available) | Enter Speed (if available) | | |
| TLOS | B | C | | | |
| Target TLOS | E (D for frequent transit routes) | | | | |
| Public Realm | PRLOS Inputs | | | | |
| | Context | Other Streets | Other Streets | | |
| | Inner Boulevard Width | ≤ 0.6m | ≤ 0.6m | | |
| | Middle Boulevard Width | ≥ 3.0m | ≥ 3.0m | | |
| | Outer Boulevard (Frontage) Width | ≥ 3.0m | ≥ 3.0m | | |
| | Transit Route on Segment? | Yes | Yes | | |
| | Bus Stop Elements | Curbside landing zone with no shelter | Curbside landing zone with no shelter | | |
| | Number of Midblock Traffic Lanes (both travel directions) | 5 | | | |
| | Score | 18.60 | 14.10 | | |
| PRLOS | C | D | | | |
| | C | | | | |

Appendix H:

TDM Checklists

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: *Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)*

| Legend | |
|-----------------|--|
| REQUIRED | The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed |
| BASIC | The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users |
| BETTER | The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance |

| TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
|--|---|---|
| 1. WALKING & CYCLING: ROUTES | | |
| 1.1 Building location & access points | | |
| BASIC | 1.1.1 Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 1.1.2 Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 1.1.3 Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.2 Facilities for walking & cycling | | |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.1 Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (<i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.3</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.2 Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (<i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.12</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

| TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
|---|--|--|
| REQUIRED | 1.2.3 Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (<i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.10</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.4 Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (<i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.10</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.5 Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and on-road cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (<i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.11</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 1.2.6 Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 1.2.7 Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sidewalk is expected to be lit. |
| BASIC | 1.2.8 Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.3 Amenities for walking & cycling | | |
| BASIC | 1.3.1 Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Entrance sidewalk primarily for employee use. Expected to be lit. |
| BASIC | 1.3.2 Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious) | <input type="checkbox"/> Public access to interior of site not permitted. |

| TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
|---|--|--|
| 2. WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES | | |
| 2.1 Bicycle parking | | |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.1 Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (<i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.6</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.2 Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well-used areas (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 111</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.3 Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 111</i>) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 2.1.4 Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met), plus the expected peak number of customer/visitor cyclists | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 2.1.5 Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter and customer/visitor cyclists, plus an additional buffer (e.g. 25 percent extra) to encourage other cyclists and ensure adequate capacity in peak cycling season | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.2 Secure bicycle parking | | |
| REQUIRED | 2.2.1 Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single office building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 111</i>) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fewer than 50 bicycle parking spaces on-site are required. |
| BETTER | 2.2.2 Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.3 Shower & change facilities | | |
| BASIC | 2.3.1 Provide shower and change facilities for the use of active commuters | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shared with drivers of collection vehicles. |
| BETTER | 2.3.2 In addition to shower and change facilities, provide dedicated lockers, grooming stations, drying racks and laundry facilities for the use of active commuters | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.4 Bicycle repair station | | |
| BETTER | 2.4.1 Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tools and pumps used in vehicle maintenance shop available for use by cyclists. |

| TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
|---|---|--|
| 3. TRANSIT | | |
| 3.1 Customer amenities | | |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 3.1.3 Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. RIDESHARING | | |
| 4.1 Pick-up & drop-off facilities | | |
| BASIC | 4.1.1 Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4.2 Carpool parking | | |
| BASIC | 4.2.1 Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 4.2.2 At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. CARSHARING & BIKESHARING | | |
| 5.1 Carshare parking spaces | | |
| BETTER | 5.1.1 Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted non-residential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 94</i>) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5.2 Bikeshare station location | | |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
|---|---|--|
| 6. PARKING | | |
| 6.1 Number of parking spaces | | |
| REQUIRED | 6.1.1 Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 6.1.3 Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 104</i>) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 6.1.4 Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 111</i>) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6.2 Separate long-term & short-term parking areas | | |
| BETTER | 6.2.1 Separate short-term and long-term parking areas using signage or physical barriers, to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage employees from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. OTHER | | |
| 7.1 On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips | | |
| BETTER | 7.1.1 Provide on-site amenities to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands | <input type="checkbox"/> |

TDM Measures Checklist:

Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

| Legend | |
|---------------|--|
| BASIC | The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users |
| BETTER | The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance |
| ✱ | The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes |

| TDM measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if proposed & add descriptions |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | | |
| 1.1 Program coordinator | | |
| BASIC | ✱ 1.1.1 Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 1.2 Travel surveys | | |
| BETTER | 1.2.1 Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. WALKING AND CYCLING | | |
| 2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes & destinations | | |
| BASIC | 2.1.1 Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.2 Bicycle skills training | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | ✱ 2.2.1 Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2.3 Valet bike parking | | |
| <i>Visitor travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 2.3.1 Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| TDM measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if proposed & add descriptions |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 3. TRANSIT | | |
| 3.1 Transit information | | |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 3.1.3 Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3.2 Transit fare incentives | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 3.2.1 Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 3.2.2 Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Visitor travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 3.2.3 Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3.3 Enhanced public transit service | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 3.3.1 Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Visitor travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 3.3.2 Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3.4 Private transit service | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 3.4.1 Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Visitor travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 3.4.2 Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| TDM measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | Check if proposed & add descriptions |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 4. RIDESHARING | | |
| 4.1 Ridematching service | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BASIC | 4.1.1 Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch.com | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4.2 Carpool parking price incentives | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 4.2.1 Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4.3 Vanpool service | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 4.3.1 Provide a vanpooling service for long-distance commuters | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. CARSHARING & BIKESHARING | | |
| 5.1 Bikeshare stations & memberships | | |
| BETTER | 5.1.1 Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 5.1.2 Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5.2 Carshare vehicles & memberships | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | 5.2.2 Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. PARKING | | |
| 6.1 Priced parking | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | |
| BASIC | 6.1.1 Charge for long-term parking (daily, weekly, monthly) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi-tenant sites | |
| <i>Visitor travel</i> | | |
| BETTER | 6.1.3 Charge for short-term parking (hourly) | |

| TDM measures: <i>Non-residential developments</i> | | | Check if proposed & add descriptions |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| 7. TDM MARKETING & COMMUNICATIONS | | | |
| 7.1 Multimodal travel information | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BASIC | * | 7.1.1 Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <i>Visitor travel</i> | | | |
| BETTER | * | 7.1.2 Include multimodal travel option information in invitations or advertising that attract visitors or customers (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7.2 Personalized trip planning | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BETTER | * | 7.2.1 Offer personalized trip planning to new/relocating employees | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7.3 Promotions | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BETTER | | 7.3.1 Deliver promotions and incentives to maintain awareness, build understanding, and encourage trial of sustainable modes | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. OTHER INCENTIVES & AMENITIES | | | |
| 8.1 Emergency ride home | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BETTER | * | 8.1.1 Provide emergency ride home service to non-driving commuters | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8.2 Alternative work arrangements | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BASIC | * | 8.2.1 Encourage flexible work hours | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | | 8.2.2 Encourage compressed workweeks | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| BETTER | * | 8.2.3 Encourage telework | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8.3 Local business travel options | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BASIC | * | 8.3.1 Provide local business travel options that minimize the need for employees to bring a personal car to work | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8.4 Commuter incentives | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BETTER | | 8.4.1 Offer employees a taxable, mode-neutral commuting allowance | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8.5 On-site amenities | | | |
| <i>Commuter travel</i> | | | |
| BETTER | | 8.5.1 Provide on-site amenities/services to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Appendix I:

Traffic Signal Warrant Sheets

Conroy/Site Access - Existing (8 hr signal warrant) Wednesday Schedule

| Signal Warrant | | Description | | Hour Ending | | | | | | | | | | Sectional | | Entire % | Warrant |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|-----------|---------|
| | | | | 1 | AM Peak | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | PM Peak | | | Total % Fulfilled/8 | | | |
| Intersection | 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day, and | 1752 | 1752 | 1752 | 1752 | 1996 | 1996 | 1996 | 1996 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 100% | 37% | 69% No | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 800 | 900 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 720 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 800 | | | | | |
| | | (4) B | Vehicle Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 37% | | | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 255 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 200 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 292 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 292 | | | | | |
| | 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 1659 | 1659 | 1659 | 1659 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 100% | 69% | | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 800 | 900 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 720 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 800 | | | | | |
| | | (2) B | Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume Crossing the Major Street for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 69% | | | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | X | X | X | X | | | | | 400 | 75 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 60 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | | | | | 37% | 37% | 37% | 37% | 149 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 549 | | | | | |

Notes

- Vehicle Volume Warrants (1A), (2A) and (5B) for Roadways Having Two or More Moving Lanes in one Direction Should Be 25% Higher Than Values Given Above
- For Definition of Crossing Volume Refer to Note 4 on the Signal Warrant Analysis Form B2.03.08
- The Lowest Sectional Percentage Governs the Entire Warrant
- For "T" Intersections the Warrant Values for Minor Street Should be Increased by 50% (Warrant 1B only)
- Restricted Flow - Operating Speed Less Than 70 km/h

Yes
Yes
Yes

Conroy/Site Access - Future (8 hr signal warrant) Rest of the Week Schedule

| Signal Warrant | | Description | | Hour Ending | | | | | | | | | | Sectional | | Entire % | Warrant |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|-------------|---------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|-----------|---------|
| | | | | 1 | AM Peak | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | PM Peak | | | Total % Fulfilled/8 | | | |
| Intersection | 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day, and | 1752 | 1752 | 1752 | 1752 | 1996 | 1996 | 1996 | 1996 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 100% | 37% | 37% No | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 800 | 900 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 720 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 800 | | | | | |
| | | (4) B | Vehicle Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 93 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 37% | | | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 255 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 200 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 36% | 292 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 292 | | | | | |
| | 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 1659 | 1659 | 1659 | 1659 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | 1903 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 100% | 20% | | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 800 | 900 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 720 | | | | |
| | | | Actual % if below 80% value | | | | | | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| | | Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 800 | | | | | |
| | | (2) B | Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume Crossing the Major Street for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | Total Across | Min Requirement for Two-Lane Roadways | 20% | | | |
| | | | 100% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 75 | | | | |
| | | | 80% Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | 0 | 60 | | | | |
| Actual % if below 80% value | | | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 37% | 37% | 37% | 37% | 160 | | | | | | |
| Total % Fulfilled | | | | | | | | | | 160 | | | | | | | |

Notes

- Vehicle Volume Warrants (1A), (2A) and (5B) for Roadways Having Two or More Moving Lanes in one Direction Should Be 25% Higher Than Values Given Above
- For Definition of Crossing Volume Refer to Note 4 on the Signal Warrant Analysis Form B2.03.08
- The Lowest Sectional Percentage Governs the Entire Warrant
- For "T" Intersections the Warrant Values for Minor Street Should be Increased by 50% (Warrant 1B only)
- Restricted Flow - Operating Speed Less Than 70 km/h

Yes
Yes
Yes























Appendix J:

Synchro and SimTraffic Reports

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Conroy Road & Thurston

Existing 7-8 AM

06/11/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 2 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 896 | 224 | 71 | 339 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 2 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 896 | 224 | 71 | 339 | 4 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1300 | 1441 | 0 | 1679 | 1467 | 0 | 1729 | 3390 | 1532 | 1729 | 3168 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.740 | | | 0.750 | | | 0.526 | | | 0.196 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1011 | 1441 | 0 | 1316 | 1467 | 0 | 949 | 3390 | 1457 | 356 | 3168 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | 9 | | | 166 | | | | 249 | | 2 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 11 | 0 | 33 | 26 | 0 | 6 | 996 | 249 | 79 | 381 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | 2 | 6 | | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | | 8 | 8 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 11.3 | 31.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | | 41.0 | 41.0 | | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 12.0 | 59.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 41.0% | 41.0% | | 41.0% | 41.0% | | 47.0% | 47.0% | 47.0% | 12.0% | 59.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | | None | None | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.6 | 22.6 | | 22.6 | 22.6 | | 57.5 | 57.5 | 57.5 | 67.5 | 68.8 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | | 0.23 | 0.23 | | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 0.69 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.03 | | 0.11 | 0.06 | | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.17 | |
| Control Delay | 24.3 | 14.7 | | 27.0 | 0.2 | | 17.8 | 19.9 | 3.3 | 10.8 | 8.8 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 24.3 | 14.7 | | 27.0 | 0.2 | | 17.8 | 19.9 | 3.3 | 10.8 | 8.8 | |
| LOS | C | B | | C | A | | B | B | A | B | A | |
| Approach Delay | | 16.8 | | | 15.2 | | | 16.6 | | | 9.1 | |
| Approach LOS | | B | | | B | | | B | | | A | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 0.3 | | 4.5 | 0.0 | | 0.7 | 81.8 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 18.3 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.5 | 4.2 | | 11.5 | 0.0 | | 3.1 | 106.6 | 14.2 | 13.3 | 26.2 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 205.4 | | | 328.9 | | | 150.7 | | | 350.8 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 | | | 40.0 | | | 105.0 | | 95.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 343 | 495 | | 447 | 608 | | 546 | 1950 | 944 | 340 | 2179 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.02 | | 0.07 | 0.04 | | 0.01 | 0.51 | 0.26 | 0.23 | 0.17 | |

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 69 (69%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 85

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.6%

ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

























Splits and Phases: 1: Conroy Road & Thurston




Lanes, Volumes, Timings
4: Conroy Road & Johnston

Existing 7-8 AM

06/11/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 799 | 15 | 43 | 268 | 61 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 799 | 15 | 43 | 268 | 61 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1712 | 1820 | 1406 | 1729 | 1820 | 1532 | 1517 | 3377 | 0 | 1616 | 3134 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.746 | | | 0.748 | | | 0.534 | | | 0.220 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1334 | 1820 | 1406 | 1361 | 1820 | 1501 | 847 | 3377 | 0 | 374 | 3134 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 105 | | | 105 | | 2 | | | 41 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 262 | 14 | 43 | 17 | 18 | 99 | 31 | 905 | 0 | 48 | 366 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 5.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | | 11.3 | 37.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | | 12.0 | 59.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 47.0% | 47.0% | | 12.0% | 59.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 3.7 | 3.7 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | | 2.6 | 2.6 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | | 6.3 | 6.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 54.0 | 54.0 | | 61.7 | 61.7 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.54 | 0.54 | | 0.62 | 0.62 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.79 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.50 | | 0.16 | 0.19 | |
| Control Delay | 51.0 | 24.6 | 0.5 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 5.7 | 16.7 | 18.2 | | 10.8 | 8.6 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 51.0 | 24.6 | 0.5 | 25.2 | 25.0 | 5.7 | 16.7 | 18.2 | | 10.8 | 8.6 | |
| LOS | D | C | A | C | C | A | B | B | | B | A | |
| Approach Delay | | 43.0 | | | 10.8 | | | 18.2 | | | 8.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | B | | | B | | | A | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 47.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 62.1 | | 3.4 | 13.1 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 67.3 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 9.6 | 9.5 | 94.2 | | 9.7 | 24.7 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 440.9 | | | 426.7 | | | 419.6 | | | 215.3 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 95.0 | | 50.0 | 30.0 | | 70.0 | 140.0 | | | 110.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 453 | 618 | 547 | 462 | 618 | 579 | 457 | 1824 | | 308 | 1950 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.50 | | 0.16 | 0.19 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 69 (69%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 19.9 | | | | | | Intersection LOS: B | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.3% | | | | | | ICU Level of Service C | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |























Splits and Phases: 4: Conroy Road & Johnston




Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Conroy Road & Thurston

Existing 5-6 PM

06/11/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  | |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 69 | 5 | 572 | 106 | 12 | 960 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 203 | 0 | 69 | 5 | 572 | 106 | 12 | 960 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 864 | 1820 | 0 | 1729 | 1521 | 0 | 1631 | 3390 | 1547 | 1601 | 3424 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.707 | | | 0.757 | | | 0.225 | | | 0.397 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 642 | 1820 | 0 | 1375 | 1521 | 0 | 386 | 3390 | 1493 | 667 | 3424 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | | | 235 | | | | 118 | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 77 | 0 | 6 | 636 | 118 | 13 | 1067 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | | | Perm | NA | | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | 2 | 6 | | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | | 8 | 8 | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | | 38.0 | 38.0 | | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 39.0 | 39.0 | | 39.0 | 39.0 | | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 39.0% | 39.0% | | 39.0% | 39.0% | | 61.0% | 61.0% | 61.0% | 61.0% | 61.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.3 | 3.3 | | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | | None | None | | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.1 | | | 23.1 | 23.1 | | 63.6 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 63.6 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | | | 0.23 | 0.23 | | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.64 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | | | 0.71 | 0.14 | | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.49 | |
| Control Delay | 25.3 | | | 46.8 | 0.6 | | 10.0 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 9.7 | 11.8 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 25.3 | | | 46.8 | 0.6 | | 10.0 | 9.7 | 2.3 | 9.7 | 11.8 | |
| LOS | C | | | D | A | | A | A | A | A | B | |
| Approach Delay | | 25.3 | | | 35.1 | | | 8.5 | | | 11.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | D | | | A | | | B | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.5 | | | 41.2 | 0.0 | | 0.4 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 50.1 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.5 | | | 59.5 | 0.0 | | 2.4 | 44.4 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 83.8 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 205.4 | | | 328.9 | | | 150.7 | | | 350.8 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 | | | 40.0 | | | 105.0 | | 95.0 | 100.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 205 | | | 440 | 646 | | 245 | 2155 | 992 | 423 | 2177 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | | | 0.51 | 0.12 | | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.49 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 2 (2%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 13.9 | | | | | | Intersection LOS: B | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.1% | | | | | | ICU Level of Service B | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |























Splits and Phases: 1: Conroy Road & Thurston




Lanes, Volumes, Timings
4: Conroy Road & Johnston





Existing 5-6 PM

06/11/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 441 | 23 | 197 | 739 | 227 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 441 | 23 | 197 | 739 | 227 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1712 | 1820 | 1406 | 1729 | 1820 | 1547 | 1662 | 3361 | 0 | 1712 | 3294 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.731 | | | 0.726 | | | 0.267 | | | 0.395 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1317 | 1820 | 1384 | 1317 | 1820 | 1547 | 467 | 3361 | 0 | 710 | 3294 | 0 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 105 | | | 105 | | 6 | | | 61 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 159 | 47 | 67 | 37 | 40 | 62 | 58 | 516 | 0 | 219 | 1073 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | | 6 | | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | | 5.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | | 11.3 | 37.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | | 12.0 | 59.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 47.0% | 47.0% | | 12.0% | 59.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | | 3.7 | 3.7 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | | 2.6 | 2.6 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | | 6.3 | 6.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | | Lead | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | | None | C-Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 52.5 | 52.5 | | 67.4 | 67.4 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.52 | 0.52 | | 0.67 | 0.67 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.29 | | 0.39 | 0.48 | |
| Control Delay | 46.3 | 30.4 | 2.9 | 30.7 | 29.9 | 2.2 | 19.9 | 15.3 | | 10.5 | 9.6 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 46.3 | 30.4 | 2.9 | 30.7 | 29.9 | 2.2 | 19.9 | 15.3 | | 10.5 | 9.6 | |
| LOS | D | C | A | C | C | A | B | B | | B | A | |
| Approach Delay | | 32.9 | | | 17.8 | | | 15.7 | | | 9.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | B | | | B | | | A | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 29.2 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 27.4 | | 13.2 | 40.8 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 40.1 | 14.0 | 3.6 | 11.8 | 12.3 | 2.7 | 18.2 | 48.6 | | 35.5 | 88.1 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 440.9 | | | 426.7 | | | 419.6 | | | 215.3 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 95.0 | | 50.0 | 30.0 | | 70.0 | 140.0 | | | 110.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 447 | 618 | 539 | 447 | 618 | 595 | 245 | 1768 | | 564 | 2240 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.29 | | 0.39 | 0.48 | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 69 (69%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 14.5 | | | | | | Intersection LOS: B | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.6% | | | | | | ICU Level of Service C | | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

















Splits and Phases: 4: Conroy Road & Johnston



| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---|------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 28 | 65 | 669 | 65 | 0 | 1169 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 28 | 65 | 669 | 65 | 0 | 1169 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 2 | 2 | 5 | 98 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 28 | 65 | 669 | 65 | 0 | 1169 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 | | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1287 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 734 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 702 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 585 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.84 | 6.94 | - | - | 4.14 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.52 | 3.32 | - | - | 2.22 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 156 | 630 | - | - | 867 | - |
| Stage 1 | 453 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 520 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 156 | 630 | - | - | 867 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 156 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 453 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 520 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | WB | NB | | SB | | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 20.2 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| HCM LOS | C | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 329 | 867 | - | |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.283 | - | - | |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 20.2 | 0 | - | |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C | A | - | |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 1.1 | 0 | - | |

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Conroy Road & Thurston

Future PM 5-6 Unsig (R)
11/19/2025























| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 203 | 0 | 5 | 644 | 106 | 12 | 966 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 203 | 0 | 5 | 644 | 106 | 12 | 966 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 203 | 69 | 5 | 644 | 106 | 12 | 966 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 8 | | 2 | | 2 | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 44.0% | 44.0% | 44.0% | 56.0% | 56.0% | 56.0% | 56.0% | 56.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 24.3 | 39.9 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 12.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.3 | 39.9 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 12.0 |
| LOS | C | D | A | B | B | A | B | B |
| Approach Delay | | | 29.9 | | 9.3 | | | 12.0 |
| Approach LOS | | | C | | A | | | B |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 33.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 57.3 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.5 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 44.8 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 73.2 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | | 328.9 | | 150.7 | | | 350.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 | 40.0 | | 105.0 | | 95.0 | 100.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 239 | 508 | 686 | 273 | 2133 | 968 | 409 | 2133 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.40 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.45 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 2 (2%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 13.4 | | | | | Intersection LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.3% | | | | | ICU Level of Service B | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Conroy Road & Thurston



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
4: Conroy Road & Johnston

Future PM 5-6 Unsig (R)
11/19/2025


| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT | |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 513 | 197 | 773 | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 513 | 197 | 773 | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 536 | 197 | 1000 | |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA | |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | 1 | 6 | |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | |
| Minimum Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 11.3 | 37.3 | |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 17.0 | 59.0 | |
| Total Split (%) | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 42.0% | 42.0% | 17.0% | 59.0% | |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lead | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 52.8 | 52.8 | 68.2 | 68.2 | |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.68 | |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.44 | |
| Control Delay | 45.0 | 30.8 | 2.2 | 31.1 | 30.4 | 1.6 | 19.7 | 16.6 | 9.2 | 8.9 | |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | |
| Total Delay | 45.0 | 30.8 | 2.2 | 31.1 | 30.4 | 1.6 | 19.7 | 16.6 | 9.2 | 8.9 | |
| LOS | D | C | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | A | |
| Approach Delay | | 32.1 | | | 17.7 | | | 16.8 | | 8.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | B | | | B | | A | |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 26.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 28.3 | 11.1 | 34.6 | |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 36.2 | 12.7 | 2.2 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 17.6 | 58.1 | 32.0 | 79.8 | |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 440.9 | | | 426.7 | | | 419.6 | | 215.3 | |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 95.0 | | 50.0 | 30.0 | | 70.0 | 140.0 | | 110.0 | | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 449 | 618 | 539 | 450 | 618 | 595 | 264 | 1522 | 581 | 2266 | |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.44 | |





Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 48 (48%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.6%
Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service C

















Splits and Phases: 4: Conroy Road & Johnston




| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---|------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.9 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 28 | 65 | 669 | 65 | 0 | 1169 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 28 | 65 | 669 | 65 | 0 | 1169 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 98 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 28 | 65 | 669 | 65 | 0 | 1169 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 | | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1287 | 367 | 0 | 0 | 734 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 702 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 585 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.84 | 6.94 | - | - | 6.06 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.52 | 3.32 | - | - | 3.18 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 156 | 630 | - | - | 447 | - |
| Stage 1 | 453 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 520 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 156 | 630 | - | - | 447 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 156 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 453 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 520 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | WB | NB | | SB | | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 20.2 | 0 | | 0 | | |
| HCM LOS | C | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 329 | 447 | - | |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.283 | - | - | |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 20.2 | 0 | - | |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | C | A | - | |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 1.1 | 0 | - | |

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Conroy Road & Thurston

Future PM 5-6 Unsig (W)
11/19/2025





















| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 203 | 0 | 5 | 644 | 106 | 12 | 966 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 203 | 0 | 5 | 644 | 106 | 12 | 966 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 203 | 69 | 5 | 644 | 106 | 12 | 966 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | | | 8 | | 2 | | | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 8 | | 2 | | 2 | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 | 58.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 42.0% | 42.0% | 42.0% | 58.0% | 58.0% | 58.0% | 58.0% | 58.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.50 |
| Control Delay | 24.3 | 39.9 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 12.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.3 | 39.9 | 0.5 | 10.4 | 10.5 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 12.8 |
| LOS | C | D | A | B | B | A | B | B |
| Approach Delay | | | 29.9 | | 9.4 | | | 12.8 |
| Approach LOS | | | C | | A | | | B |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 59.6 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.5 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 45.0 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 77.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | | 328.9 | | 150.7 | | | 350.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 | 40.0 | | 105.0 | | 95.0 | 100.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 226 | 481 | 668 | 273 | 2091 | 969 | 409 | 1940 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.42 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.50 |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 2 (2%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 70 | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 13.8 | | | | | Intersection LOS: B | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.3% | | | | | ICU Level of Service B | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Conroy Road & Thurston



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
4: Conroy Road & Johnston

Future PM 5-6 Unsig (W)
11/19/2025


| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 513 | 197 | 773 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 513 | 197 | 773 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 143 | 42 | 60 | 33 | 36 | 56 | 52 | 536 | 197 | 1000 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 11.3 | 37.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 17.0 | 59.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 41.0% | 42.0% | 42.0% | 17.0% | 59.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lead | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 18.5 | 52.8 | 52.8 | 68.2 | 68.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 45.0 | 30.8 | 2.2 | 31.1 | 30.4 | 1.6 | 19.7 | 15.7 | 9.2 | 8.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 45.0 | 30.8 | 2.2 | 31.1 | 30.4 | 1.6 | 19.7 | 15.7 | 9.2 | 8.9 |
| LOS | D | C | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay | | 32.1 | | | 17.7 | | | 16.1 | | 8.9 |
| Approach LOS | | C | | | B | | | B | | A |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 26.3 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 27.4 | 11.1 | 34.6 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 36.2 | 12.7 | 2.2 | 10.9 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 17.6 | 55.7 | 32.0 | 79.8 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 440.9 | | | 426.7 | | | 419.6 | | 215.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 95.0 | | 50.0 | 30.0 | | 70.0 | 140.0 | | 110.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 449 | 618 | 539 | 450 | 618 | 595 | 264 | 1779 | 581 | 2266 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.44 |





Intersection Summary





Cycle Length: 100
Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 48 (48%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.6%
Analysis Period (min) 15





Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service C

Splits and Phases: 4: Conroy Road & Johnston





















| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---|------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 8 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 88 | 5 | 587 | 45 | 104 | 205 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 88 | 5 | 587 | 45 | 104 | 205 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 98 | 98 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 88 | 5 | 587 | 45 | 104 | 205 |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 | | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 921 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 610 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 311 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 8.76 | 8.86 | - | - | 4.14 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 4.48 | 4.28 | - | - | 2.22 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 144 | 464 | - | - | 947 | - |
| Stage 1 | 308 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 496 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 128 | 464 | - | - | 947 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 128 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 308 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 441 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | WB | NB | | SB | | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 78.8 | 0 | | 3.1 | | |
| HCM LOS | F | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 133 | 947 | - | |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.699 | 0.11 | - | |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 78.8 | 9.3 | - | |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | F | A | - | |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 3.9 | 0.4 | - | |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.3 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 60 | 33 | 587 | 45 | 104 | 205 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 60 | 33 | 587 | 45 | 104 | 205 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 98 | 98 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 60 | 33 | 587 | 45 | 104 | 205 |
| | | | | | | |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | | Major2 | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 921 | 316 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 610 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 311 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 8.76 | 8.86 | - | - | 4.14 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 4.48 | 4.28 | - | - | 2.22 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 144 | 464 | - | - | 947 | - |
| Stage 1 | 308 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 496 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 128 | 464 | - | - | 947 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 128 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 308 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 441 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | |
| Approach | WB | NB | | SB | | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 48.2 | 0 | | 3.1 | | |
| HCM LOS | E | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 172 | 947 | - | |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.541 | 0.11 | - | |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 48.2 | 9.3 | - | |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | E | A | - | |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 2.8 | 0.4 | - | |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.3 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 2 | 91 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 2 | 91 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 98 | 98 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 91 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| | | | | | | |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | | Major2 | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1550 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 1173 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1151 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 399 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 8.76 | 8.86 | - | - | 4.14 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 4.48 | 4.28 | - | - | 2.22 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 42 | 276 | - | - | 591 | - |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 431 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 35 | 276 | - | - | 591 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | |
| Approach | WB | NB | | SB | | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 29.2 | 0 | | 2.7 | | |
| HCM LOS | D | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) | | - | - | 240 | 591 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | - | - | 0.388 | 0.176 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | | - | - | 29.2 | 12.4 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | | - | - | D | B | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | | - | - | 1.7 | 0.6 | - |

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Conroy Road & Thurston

Future AM 7-8 Unsig (R)
11/19/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 991 | 224 | 71 | 450 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 991 | 224 | 71 | 450 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 10 | 30 | 23 | 5 | 991 | 224 | 71 | 454 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | 8 | | 2 | | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 8 | | 2 | | 2 | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 11.3 | 31.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 49.8 | 12.2 | 62.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 38.0% | 38.0% | 38.0% | 38.0% | 49.8% | 49.8% | 49.8% | 12.2% | 62.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 | 67.5 | 68.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.68 | 0.69 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 24.3 | 15.3 | 26.8 | 0.2 | 16.2 | 19.6 | 3.1 | 10.8 | 9.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.3 | 15.3 | 26.8 | 0.2 | 16.2 | 19.6 | 3.1 | 10.8 | 9.0 |
| LOS | C | B | C | A | B | B | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | | 17.4 | | 15.3 | | 16.6 | | | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS | | B | | B | | B | | | A |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 82.3 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 22.3 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.5 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 106.5 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 31.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 205.4 | | 328.9 | | 150.7 | | | 350.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 | | 40.0 | | 105.0 | | 95.0 | 100.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 314 | 450 | 408 | 573 | 521 | 1804 | 951 | 329 | 2241 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.20 |

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 2 (2%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 85

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.4


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.4%

ICU Level of Service B

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Conroy Road & Thurston



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
4: Conroy Road & Johnston

Future AM 7-8 Unsig (R)

11/19/2025

| | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Configurations | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 848 | 43 | 277 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 848 | 43 | 277 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 863 | 43 | 338 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 11.3 | 37.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 12.3 | 57.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 12.3% | 57.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lead | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 63.3 | 63.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.13 | 0.17 |
| Control Delay | 49.3 | 25.4 | 0.5 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 4.8 | 16.6 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.3 | 25.4 | 0.5 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 4.8 | 16.6 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 8.0 |
| LOS | D | C | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay | | 41.6 | | | 10.2 | | | 17.4 | | 8.2 |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | B | | | B | | A |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 42.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 55.2 | 2.8 | 11.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 59.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 9.2 | 92.4 | 9.0 | 22.8 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 440.9 | | | 426.7 | | | 419.6 | | 215.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 95.0 | | 50.0 | 30.0 | | 70.0 | 140.0 | | 110.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 485 | 655 | 573 | 490 | 655 | 607 | 479 | 1865 | 338 | 1984 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.13 | 0.17 |

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 69 (69%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.9


Intersection LOS: B





Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5%





ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 4: Conroy Road & Johnston



| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.3 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 85 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 85 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 98 | 98 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 85 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| | | | | | | |
| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | | Major2 | | |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1550 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 1173 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1151 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 399 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 8.76 | 8.86 | - | - | 4.14 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 4.48 | 4.28 | - | - | 2.22 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 42 | 276 | - | - | 591 | - |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 431 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 35 | 276 | - | - | 591 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | |
| Approach | WB | | NB | | SB | |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 47.7 | | 0 | | 2.7 | |
| HCM LOS | E | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) | | - | - | 173 | 591 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | | - | - | 0.538 | 0.176 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | | - | - | 47.7 | 12.4 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | | - | - | E | B | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | | - | - | 2.7 | 0.6 | - |

| Intersection | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|------|---|------|---|---|
| Int Delay, s/veh | 49.5 | | | | | |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | |  | |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 88 | 5 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 88 | 5 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |
| Conflicting Peds, #/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 45 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, # | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, % | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, % | 98 | 98 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 88 | 5 | 1128 | 45 | 104 | 382 |

| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 | Major2 | | | |
|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|---|------|---|
| Conflicting Flow All | 1550 | 587 | 0 | 0 | 1173 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1151 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 399 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 8.76 | 8.86 | - | - | 4.14 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 7.76 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 4.48 | 4.28 | - | - | 2.22 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 42 | 276 | - | - | 591 | - |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 431 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, % | | | - | - | | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 35 | 276 | - | - | 591 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | ~ 35 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 126 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |



















| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
|----------------------|----------|----|-----|
| HCM Control Delay, s | \$ 918.3 | 0 | 2.7 |
| HCM LOS | F | | |

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBR | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|----------|-------|-----|
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 37 | 591 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 2.514 | 0.176 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | \$ 918.3 | 12.4 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | F | B | - |
| HCM 95th %tile Q(veh) | - | - | 10.4 | 0.6 | - |

| Notes | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| ~: Volume exceeds capacity | \$: Delay exceeds 300s | +: Computation Not Defined | *: All major volume in platoon | |

Lanes, Volumes, Timings
1: Conroy Road & Thurston

Future AM 7-8 Unsig (W)
11/19/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 3 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 905 | 224 | 71 | 450 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 3 | 2 | 30 | 0 | 5 | 905 | 224 | 71 | 450 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 3 | 10 | 30 | 23 | 5 | 905 | 224 | 71 | 454 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | 8 | | 2 | | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 8 | | 2 | | 2 | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 31.3 | 11.3 | 31.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 13.0 | 62.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 38.0% | 38.0% | 38.0% | 38.0% | 49.0% | 49.0% | 49.0% | 13.0% | 62.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 67.5 | 68.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.68 | 0.69 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| Control Delay | 24.3 | 15.3 | 26.8 | 0.2 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 3.2 | 10.5 | 9.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 24.3 | 15.3 | 26.8 | 0.2 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 3.2 | 10.5 | 9.0 |
| LOS | C | B | C | A | B | B | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | | 17.4 | | 15.2 | | 15.3 | | | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS | | B | | B | | B | | | A |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.4 | 0.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 22.3 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.5 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 91.4 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 31.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 205.4 | | 328.9 | | 150.7 | | | 350.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 | | 40.0 | | 105.0 | | 95.0 | 100.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 314 | 450 | 408 | 592 | 517 | 1944 | 945 | 369 | 2241 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.20 |

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 69 (69%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 85

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.47

Intersection Signal Delay: 13.4


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.9%

ICU Level of Service B





















Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 1: Conroy Road & Thurston



Lanes, Volumes, Timings
4: Conroy Road & Johnston

Future AM 7-8 Unsig (W)
11/19/2025

| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 848 | 43 | 363 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 848 | 43 | 363 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 236 | 13 | 39 | 15 | 16 | 89 | 28 | 863 | 43 | 424 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases | | 4 | | | 8 | | | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | | 4 | 8 | | 8 | 2 | | 6 | |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 11.3 | 37.3 |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 44.7 | 44.7 | 12.3 | 57.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 43.0% | 44.7% | 44.7% | 12.3% | 57.0% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lag | Lag | Lead | |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 55.2 | 55.2 | 63.3 | 63.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.13 | 0.25 |
| Control Delay | 49.3 | 25.4 | 0.5 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 4.8 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 9.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 49.3 | 25.4 | 0.5 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 4.8 | 16.7 | 17.4 | 10.0 | 9.1 |
| LOS | D | C | A | C | C | A | B | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay | | 41.6 | | | 10.2 | | | 17.4 | | 9.2 |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | B | | | B | | A |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 42.9 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 55.2 | 2.8 | 15.7 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 59.7 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 7.8 | 9.3 | 92.4 | 9.0 | 31.2 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | | 440.9 | | | 426.7 | | | 419.6 | | 215.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 95.0 | | 50.0 | 30.0 | | 70.0 | 140.0 | | 110.0 | |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 485 | 655 | 573 | 490 | 655 | 607 | 442 | 1865 | 338 | 1672 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.46 | 0.13 | 0.25 |

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100

Offset: 48 (48%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90

Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75

Intersection Signal Delay: 18.7


Intersection LOS: B

Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5%

ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 4: Conroy Road & Johnston



Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| | |
|-----------------------|-------|
| Movement | WB |
| Directions Served | LR |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 27.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 12.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 21.7 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | |

Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | SB |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Directions Served | LR | T | TR | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 50.4 | 0.6 | 8.1 | 26.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 24.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 11.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 42.7 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 21.8 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 | 221.4 | 221.4 | |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | | | | 45.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | |

Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| Movement | WB | NB | SB |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|------|
| Directions Served | LR | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 27.3 | 0.6 | 4.2 |
| Average Queue (m) | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 20.8 | 0.6 | 4.1 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 | 221.4 | 91.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | | | |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | |

Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | SB |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Directions Served | LR | T | TR | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 51.1 | 7.3 | 9.1 | 21.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 23.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 8.5 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 41.1 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 17.4 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 | 221.4 | 221.4 | |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | | | | 45.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | |

Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Directions Served | LR | T | TR | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 54.8 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 21.5 | 2.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 24.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 8.2 | 0.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 42.7 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 18.0 | 1.6 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 | 221.4 | 221.4 | | 91.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | | | | 45.0 | |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | | |

Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Directions Served | LR | T | TR | L | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 58.4 | 2.0 | 12.0 | 28.7 | 0.9 |
| Average Queue (m) | 25.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 11.2 | 0.0 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 46.6 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 21.3 | 0.9 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 | 221.4 | 221.4 | | 91.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | | | | 45.0 | |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | | |

Intersection: 3: Conroy Road & Access

| Movement | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|
| Directions Served | LR | T | TR | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 93.4 | 6.1 | 11.9 | 25.2 | 4.6 | 1.9 |
| Average Queue (m) | 38.1 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 11.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 88.4 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 21.6 | 2.5 | 1.4 |
| Link Distance (m) | 201.2 | 221.4 | 221.4 | | 91.0 | 91.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (%) | 0 | | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | | | | | |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | | | | 45.0 | | |
| Storage Blk Time (%) | | | | | | |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | | | | | | |