

### **REPORT**

# GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION WEST CARLTON ENVIRONMENTAL CENTRE MAINTENANCE BUILDING

2413 Carp Road, Ottawa, Ontario

Submitted to:

### Remi Godin, P.Eng., Senior Area Manager

Waste Management of Canada Corporation

Submitted by:

### **WSP Canada Inc.**

900 Maple Grove Rd., Unit 10, Cambridge, ON N3H 4R7

CA0051319.2938

July 15, 2025

### **Distribution List**

1 pdf copy - Waste Management of Canada Corporation

1 pdf copy - WSP Canada Inc.



i

### **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
2.0	SITE	DESCRIPTION AND GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND	2
	2.1	Site & Project Description	2
	2.2	Geological Background	2
3.0	INVES	STIGATION PROGRAMS	2
	3.1	2022 Investigation Program	2
	3.2	2025 Investigation Program	2
4.0	SUBS	URFACE CONDITIONS	3
	4.1	Non-Cohesive Fill	4
	4.2	Native Granular Deposits	4
	4.3	Groundwater Conditions	5
5.0	GEOT	ECHNICAL DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
	5.1	General Design Considerations	6
	5.1.1	Seismic Site Class	6
	5.1.2	Liquefaction	6
	5.2	Site Preparation and Grading	6
	5.3	Material Re-use	6
	5.4	Engineered Fill	7
	5.5	Foundations	7
	5.5.1	Frost Protection	7
	5.5.2	Bearing Resistances	7
	5.6	Slab-On-Grade	8
	5.7	Foundation Wall Backfill	8
	5.8	Site Services	9
	5.9	Excavation and Temporary Protection Systems	10
6.0	CLOS	URE	10



### **TABLES**

FIGURES	
Figure 3: Borehole Locations- the 2022 Investigation	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 2: Construction Layout of the Proposed Maintenance Building and Investigation	
Figure 1: Key Plan of the Site	1

### **APPENDICES**

### **APPENDIX A**

Important Information and Limitations

### **APPENDIX B**

Record of Borehole Sheets

### **APPENDIX C**

Geotechnical Laboratory Testing Results



### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

WSP Canada Inc. ("WSP") was retained by Waste Management of Canada Corporation. ("the Client") to undertake a geotechnical investigation in support of the proposed maintenance building at the West Carlton Environmental Centre (WCEC) ("the Project") located at 2413 Carp Road in Ottawa, Ontario.

WSP has prepared a geotechnical investigation report titled "Proposed Access Road ad Scale House Geotechnical Investigation" with project No. 211-10332-00, dated March 2022 for other portions of the WCEC project and therefore, the previous boreholes' information has been used to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the subsurface conditions within the Project area.

The purpose of this investigation was to obtain information on sub-surface conditions at the proposed building location by means of a limited number of boreholes, in-situ tests and laboratory tests on selected soil samples. Based on WSP's interpretation of the data obtained, recommendations are provided on the geotechnical aspects of the project with respect to the proposed building construction.

This report contains the findings of WSP's geotechnical investigation, together with recommendations and comments. The recommendations and comments are based on factual information at the test locations and intended primarily for use by design engineers. Environmental and hydrogeological recommendations will be reported under separate covers.

The number of boreholes may not be sufficient to determine all of the factors that may affect construction methods and costs. Subsurface and groundwater conditions between and beyond the boreholes may differ from those encountered at the borehole locations, and conditions may become apparent during construction that could not be detected or anticipated at the time of the site investigation.

The anticipated construction conditions are also discussed, but only to the extent that they may influence the design decisions. The feasible construction methods, however, express our opinion and are not intended to direct contractors on how they carry out construction.

Contractors should also be aware that the data and their interpretation presented in this report may not be sufficient to assess all factors that may have effect upon construction.

This report has been prepared with the assumption that the design will be in accordance with good engineering practices, applicable regulations of jurisdictional authorities, and applicable standards and regulations. Further, the recommendations and opinions in this report are applicable only to the proposed project. The important information and limitations of this report, provided in Appendix A, following the text of this report, constitute an integral part of this report.

There should be an ongoing liaison with WSP during both the design and construction phases of this project to ensure that the recommendations in this report have been adequately interpreted and implemented. Also, any further clarification and/or elaboration are needed concerning the geotechnical aspects of this project, WSP should be contacted immediately.



### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

### 2.1 Site & Project Description

The project site is located at 2413 Carp Road, northwest of the Carp Road interchange with Highway 417, near Stittsville, in Ottawa, Ontario. Access to the project site is from Carp Road. The general location of the project site is shown on the Key Plan provided on Figure 1.

The proposed maintenance building is positioned to the west of the existing Laurysen building, about 70 meters from the landfill boundary, with a gas detector system installed inside. Ground surface elevation within the proposed maintenance building area varies between approximately 126.3 to 127.3 metres above sea level (masl). A total of four (4) boreholes were drilled across the footprint of the proposed construction. The construction layout and boreholes are shown in Figure 2.

### 2.2 Geological Background

Based on Chapman, L. J., and Putnam, D. F. (1984), The Physiography of Southern Ontario, the Site is located at Ottawa Valley Clay Plains. Published surficial geology maps indicate that the project area is underlain by Sand Plains, consisting of predominantly sand with some glacial till. The Ontario Geological Survey (Surficial Geology of Southern Ontario MRD 128 KML) indicates that the native deposits in the vicinity of the Site primarily consist of sand, gravelly sand, gravel from glaciomarine and marine deposits.

The study area is underlain by limestone, dolostone, shale, arkose, and sandstone of the Shadow Lake Formation, according to the Bedrock Geology of Ontario Map 2544 (Southern Sheet).

### 3.0 INVESTIGATION PROGRAMS

### 3.1 2022 Investigation Program

WSP completed the geotechnical investigation for the proposed access road and scale house in March 2022 including drilling of ten (10) boreholes (BH1-21 to BH10-21) within the footprint of the entire site as shown in Figure 3. The boreholes were advanced through the overburden materials using hollow stem augers to depths ranging from approximately 1.5 m to 6.9 m below ground surface (mbgs). The boreholes were advanced with a track mounted CME75 hydraulic drilling machine. No survey data exists for the as-drilled borehole locations based on the logs.

Soil samples retrieved were logged and visually classified in the field, and in-situ tests including Standard Penetration Testing (SPT) were carried out at regular intervals, where possible and applicable. A laboratory testing program was carried out on selected representative soil samples, which included the determination of natural water content and grain size distribution (sieve analysis). Summary of in-situ and laboratory test results are shown in Record of Borehole Sheets presented in Appendix B.

In summary, the boreholes advanced at the project site encountered topsoil and/or fill underlain by native sand or sand and silt, underlain by native silt till with sand and gravel. Groundwater was encountered in open boreholes BH7-21, BH8-21 and BH10-21 at depths ranging from 1.4 to 2.3 mbgs. Weathered bedrock was encountered in BH1-21 at approximately 2.1 mbgs.

### 3.2 2025 Investigation Program

The 2025 investigation program was carried on May 12, 2025, during which time, a total of four (4) boreholes designated as BH-1 to BH-4 were advanced to depths ranging 3.0 mbgs to 3.4 mbgs. Boreholes were drilled with



150 mm diameter hollow-stem augers using a track-mounted CME55 drilling machine at the corners of the proposed maintenance building, as shown in Figure 2.

WSP surveyed the borehole locations and ground elevations. Table 1 lists the coordinates of the boreholes drilled for the 2025 investigation.

Table 1: Summary of the 2025 Investigation Boreholes

Boreholes						
ID.	Coordinates (UTM 18)		Depth below ground surface	Ground Elevation	Bottom Elevation	
	Northing	Easting	(mbgs)	(masl)	(masl)	
BH-1	5015282.9	423917.4	3.4	127.3	123.9	
BH-2	5015321.3	423883.3	3.4	126.7	123.3	
BH-3	5015346.5	423884.9	3.4	126.6	123.2	
BH-4	5015308.2	423936.7	3.0	126.9	123.9	

Soil samples in boreholes were collected at 0.76 m intervals while performing Standard Penetration Test (SPT) in accordance with ASTM D1586 (Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils). The SPT sampling consisted of freely dropping a 65 kg (140 lb) hammer for a vertical distance of 0.76 m (30 inches) to drive a 51 mm (2 inch) diameter (O.D.) split barrel (split spoon) sampler into the ground. The number of blows of the hammer required to drive the sampler into the relatively undisturbed ground by a vertical distance of 0.30 m (12 inches) were recorded as the SPT-N values of the soil which indicated the relative density of non-cohesive soils or indirectly indicated the consistency of cohesive soils. SPT-N values are shown on Record of Borehole Sheets presented in Appendix B.

After completion of each borehole, the augers were extracted, the borehole was inspected for groundwater and caving, then backfilled using bentonite grout.

The subsurface field investigation program was conducted under the full-time supervision of WSP's engineering staff, who directed the drilling and sampling operation and logged the boreholes. The soil stratigraphy within each borehole was visually examined and classified at the time of drilling in accordance with the modified Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and/or the 2023 Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM 2023). Soil samples were collected from each borehole at selected depths and retained in sealed plastic bags.

The soil samples obtained in the field were transported to WSP's geotechnical materials testing Laboratory in Ottawa for further examination and laboratory testing (i.e., water content determination, gradation analysis) on selected soil samples. Natural moisture content tests were carried out in accordance with ASTM D2216 on all of the recovered soil samples. Grain size distribution test was completed on selected soil samples in accordance with ASTM D422 as per the terms of reference requirements. Geotechnical laboratory test results conducted on select soil samples are included in Appendix C, and summarized on the Record of Borehole Sheets in Appendix B.

### 4.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The subsurface soil descriptions presented here are based on visual and tactile examinations augmented with field tests and select laboratory tests. Details of the subsurface soil conditions at the borehole locations are presented on the Record of Borehole sheets attached in Appendix B. The results of laboratory testing carried out on recovered soil samples are also shown on the borehole log sheets. The stratigraphic boundaries shown on the borehole logs are inferred from non-continuous samples and observations during drilling and therefore should be considered as



approximate and not as precise planes of geologic change. The subsurface conditions may vary between and beyond the boreholes.

The subsurface conditions at the project site feature non-cohesive fill at the surface. Beneath the fill are native granular deposits like gravelly sand, sand, silty sand, and sand and silt. Boreholes BH5-21 and BH6-21 from the 2022 investigation in proximity to the proposed construction layout, indicate that a glacial till layer of gravelly sand to sand with traces of silt is expected under these deposits.

The following summary is intended to assist the project designers with an understanding of the anticipated soil, and groundwater conditions at the project site.

### 4.1 Non-Cohesive Fill

Non-Cohesive fill material was encountered in all four borehole locations which comprised predominantly of gravelly sand, sand, silty sand, and sand and silt with cobble fractions in some locations. The non-cohesive fill extended between 0.6 mbgs and 1.4 mbgs. The non-cohesive fill was interpreted to be compact as indicated by the SPT-N values ranging between 11 to 28 blows per 300 mm penetration.

The moisture content of the only selected sample within this stratum was 8.3 %.

The results of the grain size analysis carried out on selected samples of non-cohesive fill are summarized below and presented in Appendix C.

Table 2: Summary of Grain Size Analyses Results - Non-Cohesive Fill

		_ "	Grain Size Distribution			
Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth (mbgs)	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay	
140.	110.	(IIIbgs)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
BH-1	SS1	0 – 0.6	19	61	20	
BH-2	SS1	0 – 0.6	35	50	15	
BH-2	SS2	0.6 – 1.2	42	46	12	
BH-4	SS1	0 – 0.6	13	75	12	

### 4.2 Native Granular Deposits

A native granular deposit consisting of gravelly sand, sand, silty sand, and a mixture of sand and silt with cobble to boulder fragments was identified in all boreholes below the fill layer at depths ranging from 0.6 mbgs to 3.4 mbgs. This deposit exhibited colors from brown to grey and was interpreted as compact to very dense based on SPT-N values ranging from 11 blows to 90 blows per 300 mm penetration.

The moisture content of selected samples within this stratum ranged between 10% and 22%.

The results of the grain size distribution analyses carried out on selected samples of native granular deposits are summarized below and presented in Appendix C.

Table 3: Summary of Grain Size Analysis Results – Native Granular Deposits

	Sample Depth No. (mbgs)		Gra	in Size l	U.S.C.S	
Borehole No.			Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay	Soil Classification
110.	110.	(IIIDg3)	(%)	(%)	(%)	Classification
BH-1	SS2	0.6 – 1.2	4	63	33	SM
BH-1	SS3	1.2 – 1.8	3	60	37	SM



		Grain Size Distribution				U.S.C.S
Borehole No.	Sample No.	Depth (mbgs)	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay	Soil Classification
1101		(595)	(%)	(%)	(%)	Ciassification
BH-1	SS4	2.1 – 2.7	2	90	8	SP-SM
BH-1	SS5	2.7 - 3.3	1	86	13	SM
BH-2	SS3	1.5 – 2.1	14	77	9	SP-SM
BH-2	SS4	2.1 – 2.7	22	60	18	SM
BH-2	SS5	2.7 – 3.3	3	79	18	SM
BH-4	SS2	0.6 – 1.2	6	70	24	SM
BH-4	SS3	1.2 – 1.8	1	78	21	SM
BH-4	SS4	1.8 – 2.4	3	76	21	SM
BH-4	SS5	2.4 – 3.0	1	69	30	SM

Data from boreholes BH5-21 and BH6-21, from the 2022 investigation, near the proposed building site indicate that beneath this layer lies a compact to dense glacial till stratum.

### 4.3 Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater levels were measured in open boreholes upon completion of drilling. Groundwater was observed in all boreholes at a depth of 2.1 mbgs. It should be noted that groundwater levels can vary and are subject to seasonal fluctuations and major weather conditions. The groundwater level measurements are summarised in the following table and presented in the Record of Borehole Sheets in Appendix B.

Table 4: Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements in Boreholes upon Completion of Drilling

Borehole No.	Ground Elevation (masl)	Ground Water Depth (mbgs)	Ground Water Elevation (masl)
BH-1	127.3	2.1	125.2
BH-2	126.7	2.1	124.6
BH-3	126.6	2.1	124.5
BH-4	126.9	2.1	124.8

### 5.0 GEOTECHNICAL DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The objective of the geotechnical investigation is to assess the existing soil and groundwater conditions and to provide geotechnical recommendations for the design of the proposed maintenance building at Waste Management Centre located at 2413 Carp Rd., Ottawa, Ontario.

The following discussions and recommendations are based on the subsoil and groundwater conditions encountered during the investigations. The nature and extent of subsurface variations that may exist at the proposed project site will not become evident until construction. If variations appear evident, then the recommendations presented in this report should be evaluated. If any changes in the nature, design, location or elevation of the proposed building are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report will not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed, and our recommendations modified in writing.

### **5.1 General Design Considerations**

### 5.1.1 Seismic Site Class

The site class for seismic response should be assigned as Class D for foundations placed on the existing native granular deposits, in accordance with Table 4.1.8.4.A of the National Building Code of Canada (NBCC) 2020.

In accordance with NBCC 2020 Seismic Hazard Maps, the following Site Class D seismic hazard values may be used for preliminary design:

Table 5: Seismic Hazard Values (Site Class D)

Seismic Hazard Parameter	PGA (g)	PGV (m/s)		S <sub>a</sub> (0.5) (g)	S <sub>a</sub> (1.0) (g)	S <sub>a</sub> (2.0) (g)	S <sub>a</sub> (5.0) (g)	S <sub>a</sub> (10.0) (g)
2% Exceedance in 50 Years (2,475 years return period)	0.338	0.341	0.573	0.490	0.293	0.141	0.0392	0.0123

### 5.1.2 Liquefaction

The native soils at the site are not considered to be susceptible to seismic liquefaction based on the soil types encountered at the Site, and the SPT-N values collected within these soils.

### 5.2 Site Preparation and Grading

Currently, preliminary design details of the proposed maintenance building are not available. However, it has been assumed that the desired finished grade elevation will be within approximately 1.0 m of the existing ground surface. Therefore, it is anticipated that limited or no engineered fill will be required to be placed and compacted within the building areas. WSP should be contacted if larger grade changes are planned to assess settlement, stability and constructability factors.

Topsoil, fill materials and any soils containing excessive amounts of organic matter, soft, loose, or otherwise deleterious materials should be stripped from below footprints of any building, structure or paved area.

At the completion of the topsoil/ fill stripping and prior to any new fill placement, the exposed subgrade should be reviewed and proof-rolled under supervision of qualified geotechnical personnel. Any loose, disturbed, or unsuitable areas should be removed and replaced with compacted engineered fill meeting the requirements described later in this report, and to the satisfaction of geotechnical personnel.

In addition, all stripping and earthwork activities should be performed in a manner consistent with good erosion and sediment control practices.

### 5.3 Material Re-use

Based on the results of the laboratory grain size distribution testing, the soils at this site generally meet the specifications for OPSS 1010 Granular B Type I. Therefore, the existing site soils could be used as structural engineered fill, provided that any particles larger than 50 mm in diameter are removed, as well as any soft, loose, organic or otherwise deleterious materials. The site soils should be placed and compacted in accordance with recommendations provided in Section 5.4 of this report.

Site materials could also be re-used as general earth borrow in non-structural areas (i.e., landscaping) depending on environmental suitability. Reference should be made to the environmental investigation report for further information and recommendations.

### 5.4 Engineered Fill

Imported materials to be used for engineered/structural fill should be approved by geotechnical personnel. In this regard, the imported materials, which meet the requirements for OPSS 1010 Granular A or Granular B Type I or II, would be suitable for use as engineered fill under footing or other foundation elements.

Existing site materials to be used for engineered/structural fill should also be reviewed and approved by geotechnical personnel. The existing site materials should meet the requirements for OPSS 1010 Granular B Type I at minimum to be considered suitable for use under footings other foundation elements.

The approved materials for engineered fill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and be uniformly compacted to at least 98 percent of its Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD) using suitable vibratory compaction equipment. The placement of engineered fill must be monitored by qualified geotechnical personnel on a full-time basis. The top surface of the engineered fill should be protected as necessary from construction traffic and should be sloped to provide positive drainage for surface water during the construction period.

The upper surface of the engineered fill should extend to a minimum of 1 m outside of the outer edge of any structural footprint areas (in all directions) and should be sloped downward and outward at no steeper than 1 horizontal to I vertical (1H:1V). Engineered fill slopes that will become permanently exposed fill slopes at the development, if any, should be constructed at 3H:1V or flatter, and should be covered with topsoil and sodded or otherwise treated to reduce erosion. Maintenance will be required over the first several years until the vegetable mat has taken root.

Imported materials, which meet the requirements for OPSS earth borrow, would be suitable for use as general fill. This fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of its SPMDD. The placement of the fill should be monitored by geotechnical personnel on a regular basis. Placement of the upper 450 mm should be monitored on a full-time basis.

### 5.5 Foundations

### 5.5.1 Frost Protection

In accordance with the Ontario Provisional Standard Drawing (OPSD 3090.101) the design frost depth below the ground surface for the general area is estimated to be 1.8 mbgs. All footings, pile or caisson caps should be provided with a minimum of 1.8 m of soil cover for frost protection (in accordance with Ontario Building Code (OBC)). In addition, the bearing soil and fresh concrete should be protected from freezing during cold weather construction. If adequate soil cover cannot be provided for a footing or pile cap, rigid Styrofoam insulation could be installed to compensate for the lack of soil cover and provide protection from frost penetration.

In the event that foundations are to be constructed during the winter months, foundation soils are required to be protected from freezing temperatures using suitable construction techniques. Therefore, the base of all excavations should be insulated from freezing temperatures immediately upon exposure, until the time that heat can be supplied to the building interior and/or the foundations have sufficient earth cover to prevent freezing of the subgrade soils.

### 5.5.2 Bearing Resistances

It is assumed that the proposed maintenance building will be single-storey structure. Based on the results of the subsurface exploration program, the proposed building could be supported on shallow spread footing foundations



with sufficient earth cover. At this depth, it is anticipated that the footings will be constructed either the within native granular deposits or glacial till.

For footing widths between 0.6 m and 1.5 m, the following bearing resistances may be assumed:

- The unfactored ultimate geotechnical bearing resistance can be taken as 600 kilopascals (kPa). A
  resistance factor of 0.5 should be applied to this value, yielding a factored bearing resistance of 300 kPa
  at Ultimate Limit States (ULS).
- The geotechnical resistance at the Serviceability Limit State (SLS) can be taken as 200 kPa.

Provided that the foundation subgrade is properly prepared, and not unduly disturbed by construction activities, the total and differential settlements associated with the above SLS resistance value are expected to be less than 25 mm and 20 mm, respectively.

All bearing surfaces should be reviewed and approved at the time of construction by a geotechnical engineer, who is familiar with the findings of this investigation and the design and construction of similar projects prior to placement of any concrete, backfill, etc.

### 5.6 Slab-On-Grade

For predictable performance of the building floor slab, the underslab subgrade and engineered fill should be prepared as previously described in Section 5.4 of this report. The subgrade of the slab-on-grade should be reviewed by qualified geotechnical personnel prior to placement of granular fill or concrete.

Provision should be made for at least 200 mm of OPSS 1010 Granular A to form the base for the floor slab.

A modulus of subgrade reaction value for the slab subgrade may be required by the structural engineer. A value of 20,000 kN/m³ may be used provided at least 200 mm of OPSS 1010 Granular A is placed beneath the floor slab.

### 5.7 Foundation Wall Backfill

The native soils at this site are considered mildly to highly frost susceptible. However, excavated existing site soil that is reviewed, tested and approved by qualified geotechnical personnel may be used as backfill against exterior or unheated foundation elements.

To avoid problems with frost adhesion and heaving, these foundation elements should be backfilled with one or more of the following:

- Non-frost-susceptible sand and/or gravel, which meets the gradation requirements for OPSS 1010
   Granular A or Granular B Type I or II; and,
- 19 mm clear crushed stone, which is separated from other soils with a Class II non-woven geotextile having an FOS not exceeding 100 microns (μm) to prevent loss of adjacent sand or silty soils into the clear stone. It should be noted that the use of clear stone as foundation backfill may lead to unfavorable growing conditions for plant matter placed in overlying topsoil.

Backfill should be placed in shallow lifts, not exceeding 200 mm loose thickness, and compacted to at least 98% of its SPMDD, where it is supporting any structures or services, or at least of 95% of its SPMDD in other areas using suitable vibratory compaction equipment.

To avoid damaging or laterally displacing the structures, care should be exercised when compacting fill adjacent to new structures. Heavy equipment should be kept a minimum of 1 m away from the structure during backfilling. The 1 m width adjacent to the wall should be compacted using hand-operated equipment unless otherwise authorized.

Drainage of the wall backfill can be provided by means of a perforated pipe subdrain in a surround of 19 mm clear stone, fully wrapped in geotextile, which leads by gravity drainage to an adjacent storm sewer or sump pit.

In areas where pavement or other hard surfacing will be in contact with the building, differential frost heaving could occur between the granular fill (if sand or crushed stone is used) and other areas. To reduce this differential heaving, the backfill adjacent to the wall should be placed to form a frost taper. The frost taper should be brought up to pavement subgrade level from 1.5 m below finished exterior grade at a slope of 3H:1V or flatter, away from the wall. The backfill should be placed in maximum 200 mm thick lifts and should be compacted to at least 95% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory compaction equipment.

### 5.8 Site Services

Construction of new site services and/or relocation of existing site services are expected to be within the existing fill or native granular deposits or glacial till. Details of the proposed site services are not available at this time; however, it is assumed that they will include localized trenches throughout the site. Trenches can be temporarily supported using sloped excavations or trench boxes as outlined in section 5.9 of this report.

Bedding for site services should be in accordance with the relevant OPSD standard drawing and would typically consist of OPSS 1010 Granular A compacted to at least 95% of its SPMDD. Where wet or disturbed conditions are encountered in the base of the trench, it may be necessary to over-excavate and replace unsuitable soils with compacted granular fill to provide a stable subgrade for bedding. The use of clear stone as a bedding and cover material is not recommended as the finer particles of the native soils and backfill may migrate into the voids of the clear stone, resulting in loss of pipe support.

Cover material above the spring line should consist of OPSS 1010 Granular A or Granular B Type I material with a maximum particle size of 25 mm. cover material should be compacted to a minimum of at least 95% of the material's SPMDD.

Backfill may consist of additional granular fill, or properly moisture conditioned native granular deposits, and should be compacted to at least 95% of its SPMDD (98% if below structures). Where backfill is within the frost depth, the backfill profile (above the minimum cover required to 1.8 m depth) in the trench should be made to match the native soils on either side as much as is practical in order to minimize the potential for differential frost heave. As a result, portions of the silty clay above the water table may be retained, moisture conditioned (if necessary) and re-used.

Any service trenches which extend below the water table should have clay cut-offs installed across the trench at regular intervals (typically 100 m) to prevent the trench acting as a drain and lowering the groundwater table in the general area. These cut-offs should extend the full width of the trench and must completely penetrate the bedding, cover and any other granular materials in the trench.

The above are general guidelines for typical site services. All services installations should be completed in accordance with the relevant OPSS's and OPSD's for the particular application and size. WSP can provide additional review during detailed design based on the actual services proposed if required.



### 5.9 Excavation and Temporary Protection Systems

All excavations must be carried out in accordance with the latest version of O. Reg. 213/91 (i.e. the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects). The soils found at the Site are classified as follows:

Table 6: Soil Classification for Excavations

Soil	Soil Classification
Surficial cover of fill and topsoil	Type 3
Compact / Stiff native soils above groundwater table	Type 3
Compact / Stiff native soils below groundwater table	Type 4

Positive dewatering of the Type 4 soil would alter the soil classification to that of the same soil above the groundwater table, i.e. Type 3. Also, excavations intersecting more than one soil type should be advanced following the requirements of the least optimum soil type.

When workers must enter excavations advanced deeper than 1.2 m, the trench walls must be suitably sloped and/or braced in accordance with O. Reg. 213/91 of the Occupational Health and Safety Act. The regulations stipulate maximum slopes of excavation by soil types as follows:

**Table 7: Maximum Slopes of Excavations** 

Soil Type	Base of Slope	Maximum Slope Inclination
3	from bottom of trench	1 horizontal to 1 vertical
4	from bottom of trench	3 horizontal to 1 vertical

If required, the excavation support system should be designed to resist lateral earth pressures of the soils, hydrostatic pressures and any surcharges while limiting ground movements to tolerable levels. To restrict horizontal displacement of the shoring system, the proposed excavation support system may require horizontal restraint such as internal bracing and/or tiebacks.

### 6.0 CLOSURE

The limitations of this report, as indicated and appended following the text of this report, constitute an integral part of this report. We recommend that a Geotechnical Consultant be retained to review drawings and the intended methods of construction prior to implementation in order to assure conformance with the geotechnical restrictions and assumptions.

We trust this report is complete within the terms of our reference. However, should questions arise concerning this report, do not hesitate to contact this office.

WSP Canada Inc.


Mehrdad Sadeghi ne

Mehrdad Sadeghi, M.Eng., M.Sc. Geotechnical Specialist



Mauro Cortes, P.Eng., PMP, ENV SP Principal Geotechnical Engineer

**Figures** 





### **LEGEND**



★ SITE LOCATION





Sources : World topographic Map, ESRI, 2020 GHD 2018 AutoCAD data

CLIENT
WASTE MANAGEMENT OF CANADA CORPORATION



PROJECT
PROPOSED MAINTENANCE BUILDING - WEST CARLTON
ENVIRONMENTAL CENTRE - 2301 CARP ROAD
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

TITLE SITE LOCATION PLAN

	-

YYYY-MM-DD	2025-06-06	
DESIGNED	NR	
PREPARED	NR	
REVIEWED	NR	
APPROVED	MC	
DE	\/	EIGLIDE

PROJECT NO. CONTROL CA0051319.2938 0001




FIGURE 2

### **APPENDIX A**

## Important Information and Limitations



### **LIMITATIONS TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORTS**

- 1. The work performed in the preparation of this report and the conclusions presented herein are subject to the following:
  - a) The contract between WSP and the Client, including any subsequent written amendment or Change Order dully signed by the parties (hereinafter together referred as the "Contract");
  - b) Any and all time, budgetary, access and/or site disturbance, risk management preferences, constraints or restrictions as described in the contract, in this report, or in any subsequent communication sent by WSP to the Client in connection to the Contract; and
  - c) The limitations stated herein.
- 2. Standard of care: WSP has prepared this report in a manner consistent with the level of skill and are ordinarily exercised by reputable members of WSP's profession, practicing in the same or similar locality at the time of performance, and subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to the scope of work, and terms and conditions for this assignment. No other warranty, guaranty, or representation, expressed or implied, is made or intended in this report, or in any other communication (oral or written) related to this project. The same are specifically disclaimed, including the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.
- 3. **Limited locations:** The information contained in this report is restricted to the site and structures evaluated by WSP and to the topics specifically discussed in it, and is not applicable to any other aspects, areas or locations.
- 4. **Information utilized:** The information, conclusions and estimates contained in this report are based exclusively on: i) information available at the time of preparation, ii) the accuracy and completeness of data supplied by the Client or by third parties as instructed by the Client, and iii) the assumptions, conditions and qualifications/limitations set forth in this report.
- 5. **Accuracy of information:** No attempt has been made to verify the accuracy of any information provided by the Client or third parties, except as specifically stated in this report (hereinafter "Supplied Data"). WSP cannot be held responsible for any loss or damage, of either contractual or extra-contractual nature, resulting from conclusions that are based upon reliance on the Supplied Data.
- 6. **Report interpretation:** This report must be read and interpreted in its entirety, as some sections could be inaccurately interpreted when taken individually or out-of-context. The contents of this report are based upon the conditions known and information provided as of the date of preparation. The text of the final version of this report supersedes any other previous versions produced by WSP.
- 7. **No legal representations:** WSP makes no representations whatsoever concerning the legal significance of its findings, or as to other legal matters touched on in this report, including but not limited to, ownership of any property, or the application of any law to the facts set forth herein. With respect to regulatory compliance issues, regulatory statutes are subject to interpretation and change. Such interpretations and regulatory changes should be reviewed with legal counsel.
- 8. **Decrease in property value:** WSP shall not be responsible for any decrease, real or perceived, of the property or site's value or failure to complete a transaction, as a consequence of the information contained in this report.
- 9. **No third party reliance:** This report is for the sole use of the party to whom it is addressed unless expressly stated otherwise in the report or Contract. Any use or reproduction which any third party makes of the report, in whole or in part, or any reliance thereon or decisions made based on any information or conclusions in the report is the sole responsibility of such third party. WSP does not represent or warrant the accuracy, completeness, merchantability, fitness for purpose or usefulness of this document, or any information contained in this

WSP Canada Inc.



document, for use or consideration by any third party. WSP accepts no responsibility whatsoever for damages or loss of any nature or kind suffered by any such third party as a result of actions taken or not taken or decisions made in reliance on this report or anything set out therein. including without limitation, any indirect, special, incidental, punitive or consequential loss, liability or damage of any kind.

- 10. **Assumptions**: Where design recommendations are given in this report, they apply only if the project contemplated by the Client is constructed substantially in accordance with the details stated in this report. It is the sole responsibility of the Client to provide to WSP changes made in the project, including but not limited to, details in the design, conditions, engineering or construction that could in any manner whatsoever impact the validity of the recommendations made in the report. WSP shall be entitled to additional compensation from Client to review and assess the effect of such changes to the project.
- 11. **Time dependence**: If the project contemplated by the Client is not undertaken within a period of 18 months following the submission of this report, or within the time frame understood by WSP to be contemplated by the Client at the commencement of WSP's assignment, and/or, if any changes are made, for example, to the elevation, design or nature of any development on the site, its size and configuration, the location of any development on the site and its orientation, the use of the site, performance criteria and the location of any physical infrastructure, the conclusions and recommendations presented herein should not be considered valid unless the impact of the said changes is evaluated by WSP, and the conclusions of the report are amended or are validated in writing accordingly.

Advancements in the practice of geotechnical engineering, engineering geology and hydrogeology and changes in applicable regulations, standards, codes or criteria could impact the contents of the report, in which case, a supplementary report may be required. The requirements for such a review remain the sole responsibility of the Client or their agents.

WSP will not be liable to update or revise the report to take into account any events or emergent circumstances or facts occurring or becoming apparent after the date of the report.

- 12. **Limitations of visual inspections:** Where conclusions and recommendations are given based on a visual inspection conducted by WSP, they relate only to the natural or man-made structures, slopes, etc. inspected at the time the site visit was performed. These conclusions cannot and are not extended to include those portions of the site or structures, which were not reasonably available, in WSP's opinion, for direct observation.
- 13. **Limitations of site investigations**: Site exploration identifies specific subsurface conditions only at those points from which samples have been taken and only at the time of the site investigation. Site investigation programs are a professional estimate of the scope of investigation required to provide a general profile of subsurface conditions. The data derived from the site investigation program and subsequent laboratory testing are interpreted by trained personnel and extrapolated across the site to form an inferred geological representation and an engineering opinion is rendered about overall subsurface conditions and their likely behaviour with regard to the proposed development. Despite this investigation, conditions between and beyond the borehole/test hole locations may differ from those encountered at the borehole/test hole locations and the actual conditions at the site might differ from those inferred to exist, since no subsurface exploration program, no matter how comprehensive, can reveal all subsurface details and anomalies.

Final sub-surface/bore/profile logs are developed by geotechnical engineers based upon their interpretation of field logs and laboratory evaluation of field samples. Customarily, only the final bore/profile logs are included in geotechnical engineering reports.

Bedrock, soil properties and groundwater conditions can be significantly altered by environmental remediation and/ or construction activities such as the use of heavy equipment or machinery, excavation, blasting, pile-driving



or draining or other activities conducted either directly on site or on adjacent terrain. These properties can also be indirectly affected by exposure to unfavorable natural events or weather conditions, including freezing, drought, precipitation and snowmelt.

During construction, excavation is frequently undertaken which exposes the actual subsurface and groundwater conditions between and beyond the test locations, which may differ from those encountered at the test locations. It is recommended practice that WSP be retained during construction to confirm that the subsurface conditions throughout the site do not deviate materially from those encountered at the test locations, that construction work has no negative impact on the geotechnical aspects of the design, to adjust recommendations in accordance with conditions as additional site information is gained and to deal quickly with geotechnical considerations if they arise.

Interpretations and recommendations presented herein may not be valid if an adequate level of review or inspection by WSP is not provided during construction.

14. **Factors that may affect construction methods, costs and scheduling**: The performance of rock and soil materials during construction is greatly influenced by the means and methods of construction. Where comments are made relating to possible methods of construction, construction costs, construction techniques, sequencing, equipment or scheduling, they are intended only for the guidance of the project design professionals, and those responsible for construction monitoring. The number of test holes may not be sufficient to determine the local underground conditions between test locations that may affect construction costs, construction techniques, sequencing, equipment, scheduling, operational planning, etc.

Any contractors bidding on or undertaking the works should draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface and groundwater conditions may affect their work, based on their own investigations and interpretations of the factual soil data, groundwater observations, and other factual information.

- 15. **Groundwater and Dewatering**: WSP will accept no responsibility for the effects of drainage and/or dewatering measures if WSP has not been specifically consulted and involved in the design and monitoring of the drainage and/or dewatering system.
- 16. **Environmental and Hazardous Materials Aspects**: Unless otherwise stated, the information contained in this report in no way reflects on the environmental aspects of this project, since this aspect is beyond the Scope of Work and the Contract. Unless expressly included in the Scope of Work, this report specifically excludes the identification or interpretation of environmental conditions such as contamination, hazardous materials, wild life conditions, rare plants or archeology conditions that may affect use or design at the site. This report specifically excludes the investigation, detection, prevention or assessment of conditions that can contribute to moisture, mould or other microbial contaminant growth and/or other moisture related deterioration, such as corrosion, decay, rot in buildings or their surroundings. Any statements in this report or on the boring logs regarding odours, colours, and unusual or suspicious items or conditions are strictly for informational purposes
- 17. **Sample Disposal**: WSP will dispose of all uncontaminated soil and rock samples after 30 days following the release of the final geotechnical report. Should the Client request that the samples be retained for a longer time, the Client will be billed for such storage at an agreed upon rate. Contaminated samples of soil, rock or groundwater are the property of the Client, and the Client will be responsible for the proper disposal of these samples, unless previously arranged for with WSP or a third party.

### **APPENDIX B**

### **Record of Borehole Sheets**



### **EXPLANATION OF BOREHOLE LOG**

This form describes some of the information provided on the borehole logs, which is based primarily on examination of the recovered samples, and the results of the field and laboratory tests. Additional description of the soil/rock encountered is given in the accompanying geotechnical report.

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Project details, borehole number, location coordinates and type of drilling equipment used are given at the top of the borehole log.

#### SOIL LITHOLOGY

### **Elevation and Depth**

This column gives the elevation and depth of inferred geologic layers. The elevation is referred to the datum shown in the Description column.

### Lithology Plot

This column presents a graphic depiction of the soil and rock stratigraphy encountered within the borehole.

#### Description

This column gives a description of the soil stratums, based on visual and tactile examination of the samples augmented with field and laboratory test results. Each stratum is described according to the *Modified Unified Soil Classification System* (modified slightly so that an inorganic clay of "medium plasticity" is recognized).

The compactness condition of cohesionless soils based on standard penetration testing (SPT) and the consistency of cohesive soils (undrained shear strength) are defined as follows (*Ref. Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual, 4th Edition, 2006*):

Compactness of Cohesionless Soils	SPT N-Value
Very Loose	0 to 4
Loose	4 to 10
Compact	10 to 30
Dense	30 to 50
Very Dense	> 50

Consistency of Calculus Sails	Unconfined Com	pressive Strength
Consistency of Cohesive Soils	kPa	psf
Very Soft	0 to 12	0 to 250
Soft	12 to 25	250 to 500
Firm	25 to 50	500 to 1000
Stiff	50 to 100	1000 to 2000
Very Stiff	100 to 200	2000 to 4000
Hard	> 200	> 4000

### **SOIL SAMPLING**

Sample types are abbreviated as follows:

SS Split Spoon TW Thin Walled Open (Pushed) RC Rock Core GS Grab Sample
AS Auger Sample TP Thin Walled Piston (Pushed) WS Washed Sample AR Air Return Sample

Additional information provided in this section includes sample numbering, sample recovery (%) and numerical testing results (SPT).

### FIELD AND LABORATORY SAMPLING

Results of field testing (e.g., SPT, pocket penetrometer, and vane testing) and laboratory testing (e.g., natural moisture content, and limits) executed on the recovered samples are plotted in this section.

### **Definitions of Penetration Resistance**

Standard penetration resistance 'N' – The number of blows required to advance a standard split spoon sampler 30 cm into the subsoil, driven by means of a 63.5 kg hammer falling freely a distance of 76 cm.

Dynamic penetration resistance – The number of blows required to advance a 50 mm, 60 degree cone, fitted to the end of drill rods, 30 cm into the subsoil, the driving energy being 474.5 Joules per blow.

#### INSTRUMENTATION INSTALLATION

Instrumentation installations (monitoring wells, piezometers, inclinometers, etc.) are plotted in this section.

#### **WATER LEVEL**

Water levels, if measured during fieldwork, are plotted in the depth/elevation column. These water levels may or may not be representative of the static groundwater level depending on the nature of soil stratum where the piezometer tips are located, the time elapsed from installation to reading and other applicable factors. Other information includes the depth of borehole cave-in, if any. This information is also included in the borehole log footer.

#### COMMENTS

This column is used to describe non-standard situations or notes of interest.

### WSP Canada Inc.

Geotechnical Discipline - Ontario Region



#### **EXPLANATION OF BOREHOLE LOG**

GENERAL REPORT NOTE The soil conditions, profiles, comments, conclusions and recommendations found in this report are based upon the samples recovered during the fieldwork. Soils are heterogeneous materials and, consequently, variations (possibly extreme) may be encountered at site locations away from boreholes. During construction, competent, qualified inspection personnel should verify that no significant variations exist from the conditions described in this report.

www.wsp.com

Rev Date: September 22, 20

#### MODIFIED\* UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM


\*The soil of each stratum is described using the Unified Soil Classification System (Technical Memorandum 36-357 prepared by Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi, Corps of Engineers, U.S Army. Vol. 1, March 1953) modified slightly so that an inorganic clay of "medium plasticity" is recognized.

	MAJOR DIVISION		GROUP SYMBOL	TYPICAL DESCRIPTION	LABORATORY CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA
	OARSE 4.75mm	CLEAN GRAVELS (TRACE OR NO	GW	WELL GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES	$C_u = D_{60} > 4;$ $C_C = (D_{10})^2 = 1 \text{ to } 3$ $D_{10}$ $D_{10} \times D_{60}$
N 75µm)	GRAVELS MORE THAN HALF THE COARSE FRACTION LARGER THAN 4.75mm	FINES)	GP	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES	NOT MEETING ABOVE REQUIREMENTS
COARSE GRAINED SOILS (MORE THAN HALF BY WEIGHT LARGER THAN 75µm)	GRA THAN HA ON LARGI	DIRTY GRAVELS (WITH SOME OR	GM	SILTY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-SILT MIXTURES	Atterberg limits below "A" line or $\rm I_{P}$ less than 4
AINED SC	MORE	MORE FINES)	GC	CLAYEY GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND-CLAY MIXTURES	ATTERBERG LIMITS ABOVE "A" LINE OR I <sub>P</sub> MORE THAN 7
COARSE GRAINED SOILS HALF BY WEIGHT LARGEF	OARSE 14.75mm	CLEAN SANDS (TRACE OR NO	SW	WELL GRADED SANDS, GRAVELLY SANDS, LITTLE OR NO FINES	$C_u = \underline{D_{60}} > 6;$ $C_C = \underline{(D_{30})^2} = 1 \text{ to } 3$ $D_{10}$ $D_{10} \times D_{60}$
CC THAN HA	SANDS MORE THAN HALF THE COARSE FRACTION SMALLER THAN 4.75mm	FINES)	SP	POORLY GRADED GRAVELS, GRAVEL-SAND MIXTURES, LITTLE OR NO FINES	NOT MEETING ABOVE REQUIREMENTS
(MORE	SAN THAN HA	DIRTY SANDS (WITH SOME OR	SM	SILTY SANDS, SAND-SILT MIXTURES	ATTERBERG LIMITS BELOW "A" LINE OR I₽ LESS THAN 4
	MORE	MORE FINES)	SC	CLAYEY SANDS, SAND-CLAY MIXTURES	ATTERBERG LIMITS ABOVE "A" LINE OR I <sub>P</sub> MORE THAN 7
75µm)	SILTS BELOW "A" LINE NEGLIGIBLE ORGANIC CONTENT	W <sub>L</sub> < 50%	ML	INORGANIC SILTS AND VERY FINE SANDS, ROCK FLOUR, SILTY SANDS OF SLIGHT PLASTICITY	
ER THAN	BELC	W <sub>L</sub> > 50%	МН	INORGANIC SILTS, MICACEOUS OR DIATOMACEOUS, FINE SANDY OR SILTY SOILS	CLASSIFICATION IS BASED UPON PLASTICITY CHART
FINE-GRAINED SOILS (MORE THAN HALF BY WEIGHT SMALLER THAN 75µm)	INE GANIC T	W <sub>L</sub> < 30%	CL	INORGANIC CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY, GRAVELLY, SANDY OR SILTY CLAYS, LEAN CLAYS	(SEE BELOW)
NE-GRAIN F BY WEIG	CLAYS ABOVE "A" LINE NEGLIGIBLE ORGANIC CONTENT	30% < W <sub>L</sub> < 50%	CI	INORGANIC CLAYS OF MEDIUM PLASTICITY, SILTY CLAYS	
FI HAN HALI	AB	W <sub>L</sub> > 50%	СН	INORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAYS	
(MORE T	NIC CLAYS A" LINE	W <sub>L</sub> < 50%	OL	ORGANIC SILTS AND ORGANIC SILTY CLAYS OF LOW PLASTICITY	WHENEVER THE NATURE OF THE FINES CONTENT HAS NOT BEEN
	O BELOW "A" LINE BELOW "A" LINE O MA > 20% O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		ОН	ORGANIC CLAYS OF HIGH PLASTICITY	DETERMINED, IT IS DESIGNATED BY THE LETTER "F", e.g. SF IS A MIXTURE OF SAND WITH SILT OR CLAY
HIGH ORGANIC SOILS			Pt	PEAT AND OTHER HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS	STRONG COLOUR OR ODOUR, AND OFTEN FIBROUS TEXTURE

		SOIL COM	PONENTS		
FRACTION	U.S ST.	ANDARD SIEVE SIZ	ZE	BY W	ES OF PERCENTAGE /EIGHT COMPONENTS
		PASSING	RETAINED	PERCENT	DESCRIPTOR
GRAVEL	COARSE	75 mm	19 mm	35 - 50	AND
GRA	FINE	19 mm	4.75 mm	20 - 35	Y/EY
	COARSE	4.75 mm	2.00 mm	10 - 20	SOME
SAND	MEDIUM	2.00 mm	425 μm	1 - 10	TRACE
	FINE	425 μm	75 µm		
INES (SILT AND C	LAY BASED ON PLASTICITY)	75 μm			



ROUNDED OR SUBROUNDED: COBBLES 75 mm to 300 mm BOULDERS > 300 mm NOT ROUNDED: ROCK FRAGMENTS > 75 mm ROCKS > 0.76 CUBIC METRE IN VOLUME



### WSP Canada Inc.

Geotechnical Discipline - Ontario Region



### **MODIFIED USCS**

Note 1: Soils are classified and described according to their engineering properties and behaviour.

Note 2: The modifying adjectives used to define the actual or estimated percentage range by weight of minor components are consistent with the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (4th Edition, Canadian Geotechnical Society, 2006.)

www.wsp.com

te: September 22, 2

Project Number: CA0051319.2938 Drilling Method: 150 mm O.D. Hollow Stem Augers

Project Client: City of Ottawa Drilling Machine: Track Mounted Drill

Project Name: Waste Management Supplemental Invesigation Date Started: 12 May 2025 Date Completed: 12 May 2025

Project Location: 2301 Carp Road, Ottawa, Ontario Logged by: Compiled by: SG SG

Drilling Location: **UTM 18 N 5015282.9 E 423917.4** Reviewed by: SM Revision No.:



<u> </u>	LITHOLOGY PROFILE	SC	IL SA	MPLI	NG		FIELD TESTING LAB TESTING  Page training Totaling Attachers Limits Z COMMENTS	
Lithology Plot	DESCRIPTION  Geodetic Ground Surface Elevation:	Sample Type	Sample Number	Recovery (%)	SPT 'N' Value	DEPTH (m)	Penetration Testing SPT	CL
	Fill - Silty Sand grey, some gravel, compact, moist					<u> </u>		
		SS	1	67	23	- - -	Grainsize on SS1 Gr: 19%, Sa: 61%, Si/Cl: 20%	
	SILTY SAND/ SAND AND SILT 0.6 grey, trace gravel, compact to dense, moist	ss	2	67	20	- - - 1	Grainsize on SS2 Gr: 4%, Sa: 63%, Si/Cl: 33%	
		ss	3	92	31	- - - -	O Grainsize on SS3 Gr: 3%, Sa: 60%, Si/Cl: 37%	
	<b>SAND</b> 2.0					- 2		
	grey, trace to some silt, trace gravel, compact, moist	ss	4	84	18	- <u>प</u>  - - -	Grainsize on SS4 Gr: 2%, Sa: 90%, Si/Cl: 8%	
		SS	5	92	25	- - 3 - -	O Grainsize on SS5 Gr: 1%, Sa: 86%, Si/Cl: 13%	
	END OF THE BOREHOLE 3.4							

WSP Canada Inc.

 $\overline{\underline{\ }}$  Groundwater measured at a depth of  $\underline{2.1\ m}$  upon completion of drilling.

900 Maple Grove Road Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N3H 4R7 Tel: (519) 650-7100 Fax: (519) 663-6554 www.wsp.com

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Name: Waste Management Supplemental Invesigation

Drilling Method: 150 mm O.D. Hollow Stem Augers

Project Client: City of Ottawa

Oily of Ollawa

Date Started: 12 May 2025 Date Completed: 12 May 2025

Project Location: 2301 Carp Road, Ottawa, Ontario

Logged by:

· —

 Drilling Location:
 UTM 18 N 5015321.3 E 423883.3
 Revie

Reviewed by: SM

Drilling Machine: Track Mounted Drill

SG

Compiled by: SG

Revision No.: 1



	LITHOLOGY PROFILE	SC	IL SA	MPLI	NG			FIELD TESTING	LAB TESTING		
Lithology Plot	DESCRIPTION  Geodetic Ground Surface Elevation:	Sample Type	Sample Number	Recovery (%)	SPT 'N' Value	DEPTH (m)	ELEVATION (m)	PenetrationTesting O SPT	Atterberg Limits  W <sub>P</sub> W W Plastic Liquid  ** Passing 75 um (%) O Moisture Content (%)  Unit Weight (KN/m3)  20 40 60 80	INSTRUMENTATION INSTALLATION	COMMENTS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%) GR SA SI CL
	Fill - Sand and Gravel brown to grey, some silt, compact, moist	ss	1	51	20	- - - -		0			<b>Grainsize on SS1</b> Gr: 35%, Sa: 50%, Si/Cl: 15%
		ss	2	51	24	- - - 1 -		O			<b>Grainsize on SS2</b> Gr: 42%, Sa: 46%, Si/Cl: 12%
<b>XX</b>	SAND 1.4 brown, some gravel, trace silt, compact, moist					-					
		SS	3	84	18	- - - - 2	7	O	o <sup>4</sup>		<b>Grainsize on SS3</b> Gr: 14%, Sa: 77%, Si/Cl: 9%
0	Gravelly Sand 2.1 brown, some silt, compact, moist	ss	4	84	18	- - - -	=	0			<b>Grainsize on SS4</b> Gr: 22%, Sa: 60%, Si/Cl: 18%
	SAND 2.7 brown, some silt, trace gravel, compact, moist	SS	5	75	30	- - - 3 -		0	o <sup>4</sup>		<b>Grainsize on SS5</b> Gr. 3%, Sa: 79%, Si/Cl: 18%
<u></u>	END OF THE BOREHOLE 3.4										

WSP Canada Inc.

 $\overline{\underline{Y}}$  Groundwater measured at a depth of  $\underline{2.1\,\mathrm{m}}$  upon completion of drilling.

900 Maple Grove Road Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N3H 4R7 Tel: (519) 650-7100 Fax: (519) 653-6554 www.wsp.com

Project Location: 2301 Carp Road, Ottawa, Ontario

Project Number: CA0051319.2938 Drilling Method: 150 mm O.D. Hollow Stem Augers

Project Client: City of Ottawa Drilling Machine: Track Mounted Drill

Project Name: Waste Management Supplemental Investigation Date Started: 12 May 2025 Date Completed: 12 May 2025

 Drilling Location:
 UTM 18 N 5015346.5 E 423884.9
 Reviewed by:
 SM
 Revision No.:
 1





	LITHOLOGY PROFILE	sc	IL SA	MPLI	NG			FIELD TESTING	LAB TESTING		
Lithology Plot	DESCRIPTION  Geodetic Ground Surface Elevation:	Sample Type	Sample Number	Recovery (%)	SPT 'N' Value	DЕРТН (m)	ELEVATION (m)	PenetrationTesting O SPT	Atterberg Limits  W <sub>P</sub> W W <sub>L</sub> Plastic Liquid  ** Passing 75 um (%)  O Moisture Content (%)  ** Unit Weight (KN/m3)  20 40 60 80	INSTRUMENTATION INSTALLATION	COMMENTS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
	FILL - Gravelly Sand brown to grey, trace silt, compact, moist	SS	1	59	28	- - - -		0			
•	- grey and cobble fragments below 0.6 m  GRAVELLY SAND grey, trace silt, compact to very dense, moist	SS	2	51	22	- - - - - 1		0		Co	obble/ boulder fragments at 1.1 m
0000		SS	3	51	40	- - - -		0		Cc	obble/ boulder fragments at 1.7 m
		SS	4	51	11	- 2 - <u>7</u> 	<b>∀</b> =	0			
0 0 0 0 0		SS	5	133	90	- - - - 3		0			
<u> </u>	END OF THE BOREHOLE 3.4									Au	uger Refusal at 3.4 m depth

WSP Canada Inc.

 $\overline{\underline{\ }}$  Groundwater measured at a depth of <u>2.1 m</u> upon completion of drilling.

900 Maple Grove Road Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N3H 4R7 Tel: (519) 650-7100 Fax: (519) 653-6554 www.wsp.com

Project Number: CA0051319.2938 Dr

Drilling Method: 150 mm O.D. Hollow Stem Augers

Project Client: City of Ottawa

Drilling Machine: Track Mounted Drill

Date Started:

Project Name: Waste Management Supplemental Invesigation

12 May 2025 Date Completed: 12 May 2025

Project Location: 2301 Carp Road, Ottawa, Ontario

Logged by: SG Compiled by: SG

Drilling Location: **UTM 18 N 5015308.2 E 423936.7** 

Reviewed by: SM Revision No.: 1



	LITHOLOGY PROFILE	sc	IL SA	MPLI	NG			FIELD TESTING	LAB TESTING		
Lithology Plot	DESCRIPTION  Geodetic Ground Surface Elevation:	Sample Type	Sample Number	Recovery (%)	SPT 'N' Value	DЕРТН (m)	ELEVATION (m)	PenetrationTesting O SPT	Atterberg Limits  Wp W W_  Plastic Liquid  ** Passing 75 um (%)  O Moisture Content (%)  ** Unit Weight (KN/m3)  20 40 60 80	INSTRUMENTATION INSTALLATION	COMMENTS & GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
$\otimes$	Fill - Sand grey, some gravel and silt, compact, moist										
		SS	1	67	11	- - - -		0			<b>Grainsize on SS1</b> Gr: 13%, Sa: 75%, Si/Cl: 12%
	SILTY SAND 0.6 grey, trace gravel, compact, moist	ss	2	75	17	- - - 1 -		O	-,05		<b>Grainsize on SS2</b> Gr: 6%, Sa: 70%, S⊮Cl: 24%
		SS	3	84	15	- - - -		0	o <sup>4</sup>		<b>Grainsize on SS3</b> Gr: 1%, Sa: 78%, Si/Cl: 21%
		SS	4	84	24	2 	<u>Z</u>	О	o <sup>4</sup>		<b>Grainsize on SS4</b> Gr: 3%, Sa: 76%, S⊮Cl: 21%
		SS	5	100	23	- - - - - 3		О	o <sup>5</sup>		<b>Grainsize on SS5</b> Gr: 1%, Sa: 69%, Si/Cl: 30%
	END OF THE BOREHOLE 3.0										


WSP Canada Inc.

 $\overline{\underline{Y}}$  Groundwater measured at a depth of  $\underline{2.1~m}$  upon completion of drilling.

900 Maple Grove Road Cambridge, Ontario, Canada N3H 4R7 Tel: (519) 650-7100 Fax: (519) 653-6554 www.wsp.com




PROJ	IECT: West Carleton Environmental Ce													REF. NO.: 211-10332-00						
	NT: Waste Management							Metho	od: SS								ENCI	_ NC	).:	
	IECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario								eter: 8								ORIG			
DATU													7-202		_		СОМ			
BH LO	OCATION: 2301 Carp Rd		_		<u></u>								ount C	CME 75			CHE			NC NC
	SOIL PROFILE		_	AMPL	.ES	띪			MIC CC TANCE					PLASTI LIMIT	C NATI	JRAL TURE	LIQUID	  -	TW.	REMARKS AND
(m)		LOT			SI C	GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	z		0 4 AR ST				00	W <sub>P</sub>	CON	TENT v	LIQUID LIMIT W <sub>L</sub> ————————————————————————————————————	ET PEI (kPa)	NATURAL UNIT WT (kN/m³)	GRAIN SIZE
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	TAP	3ER		BLOWS 0.3 m	ONL	ATIO		NCONF		+	FIELD V. & Sensiti	ANE vity		(			Cu)	TURA (kN	DISTRIBUTION (%)
	Craying Curfoss	STRATA PLOT	NUMBER	TYPE	ż.	SROU	ELEVATION		JICK TI 0 4	RIAXIAI 0 6	L ×	LAB V	ANE 00	WA1	ER CC		(%) 0		Ą	GR SA SI CL
_ 0.0	Ground Surface TOPSOIL	7/1/2	_		-															OK OA OI OL
-		1/ 1	1	SS	6															
_ 0.3	GLACIAL TILL-Gravel some sand, dense, brown, moist																			
-						1														
-						1														
<u>_1</u> -			2	ss	32															
-																				
-						1														
	becoming Glacial Till-Sand trace gravel and silt, compact to dense,																			
	light brown, moist		3	ss	26															
2																				
- 2.1	WEATHERED ROCK																			
-			4	SS	R															
-		Δ																		
2.7	END OF BOREHOLE																			
	Notes: 1. Auger refusal at approximately																			
	2.7 meters below exisiting ground surface on assumed bedrock.																			
	surface of assumed bedrock.																			
																		l		





PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre REF NO: 211-10332-00 **CLIENT: Waste Management** Method: SS ENCL NO.: ORIGINATED BY SD PROJECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario Diameter: 8" SW DATUM: Date: Dec-17-2021 to Dec-17-2021 **COMPILED BY** NC BH LOCATION: 2301 Carp Rd Eqipment: Downing Track Mount CME 75 CHECKED BY DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT REMARKS GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIQUID LIMIT AND 40 60 80 100 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)
O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE
QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION **DESCRIPTION** NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) 40 60 80 10 20 30 100 Ground Surface GR SA SI CL 0.0 TOPSOIL **GLACIAL TILL-**Gravel some 0.1 Sand, trace Silt, compact to dense, 25 SS 0 1 brown, moist rock fragments encountered at approximately 0.3 meters below existing ground surface SS 27 2 0 1.4 END OF BOREHOLE Notes: 1. Auger refusal at approximately 1.4 meters below exisiting ground surface on assumed bedrock






PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre REF NO: 211-10332-00 **CLIENT: Waste Management** Method: SS ENCL NO .: ORIGINATED BY SD PROJECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario Diameter: 8" SW DATUM: Date: Dec-17-2021 to Dec-17-2021 **COMPILED BY** Eqipment: Downing Track Mount CME 75

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION
RESISTANCE PLOT \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ PLASTIC NC BH LOCATION: 2301 Carp Rd CHECKED BY SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT REMARKS GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIMIT AND 40 60 80 100 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)
O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE
QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION DESCRIPTION NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) 40 60 80 10 20 30 100 Ground Surface GR SA SI CL TOPSOIL GLACIAL TILL-Gravel, trace SS 14 sand, compact to dense, 1 brown-grey, moist Rock fragments recovered at 0.45 meters below ground SS 2 52 WEATHERED ROCK 1.1 Δ END OF BOREHOLE 3 / 55 R 1.5 Note: 1. Auger refusal at approximately 1.5 meters below exisitng ground surface on assumed bedrock.






PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre																			REF. NO.: 211-10332-00					
CLIE	NT: Waste Management							Metho	od: SS							ENCL NO.:								
PRO	JECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario							Diam	eter: 8	"							ORIG	ANI	TED	BY SD				
DATU	JM:							Date:	Dec-	17-20	21 to	Dec-	17-202	1		COMPILED BY SW								
BH L	OCATION: 2301 Carp Rd											ack M	ount C	ME 7	5		CHE	CKE	D BY	NC				
	SOIL PROFILE		S	AMPL	ES.	<u>_</u> _		RESIS	TANCE	PLOT	NETR/	·		PLASTI	IC NATI	JRAL	LIQUID		Λ	REMARKS				
(m)		TC				GROUND WATER CONDITIONS		2	0 4	0 6	i0 8	30 1	00	LIVIII	CON.	TENT	LIMIT	POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UNIT WT (kN/m³)	AND GRAIN SIZE				
ELEV	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	~		BLOWS 0.3 m	M OI	ELEVATION				TH (k	Pa)	ANE	WATER CONTEN			W <sub>L</sub>	S, SE	RAL (kN/m	DISTRIBUTION				
DEPTH	BESSIAN TION	ZAT/	NUMBER	l W		NDO	EVAT		ICONF		+ L ×	FIELD V & Sensit LAB V	ivity ANE				Γ (%)	80	NATL	(%)				
	Ground Surface			TYPE	ż	GR CO	ELE	2	0 4	0 ε	i0 ε	30 1	00	1	0 2	0 3	80 			GR SA SI CL				
- <del>8.9</del>	— TOPSOIL  GLACIAL TILL-Gravel, some		1	SS																				
-	Sand, trace silt, compact, brown,																							
-	moist Rock fragments and wood debris																							
-	encountered at approximately 0.3																							
-	meters below existing ground surface																							
1			2	SS	47																			
-	Rock fragments encountered at		_		"'																			
-	approximately 1.2 meters below existing ground		_																					
-	surface *Becoming glacial till , sand trace		$\vdash$																					
-	gravel and silt, light brown		١.																					
,			3	SS	13																			
2																								
-	**D : 1 .00 .																							
-	*Becoming dense at 2.2 meters below existing ground surface																							
-	3 3		4	SS	42																			
-																								
3																								
-																								
-			5	ss	30																			
-																								
3.7	END OF BOREHOLE	19//	⊨															_						
	Note:																							
	Borehole terminated at approximately 3.7 meters below																							
	evieting ground surface in glacial till																							
	overburden.																							
			ĺ		1	1		l		1				1	1		1	l	1					






PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre																	REF.	NO.	: 21	1-10332-00
CLIE	NT: Waste Management							Metho	od: SS				ENCL NO.:							
PRO	JECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario							Diam	eter: 8	"							ORIG	ANI	TED	
DATU	JM:							Date:	Dec-	16-202	21 to	Dec-1	16-202	:1			COM	PILE	ED B	
BH L	OCATION: 2301 Carp Rd		_					Eqipn	nent: [	Ownir	ng Tr	ack M	ount C	ME 7	5		CHE	CKE	D BY	NC
	SOIL PROFILE		8	SAMPL	_ES	~		DYNAI RESIS	TANCE	PLOT	$\geq$			PLASTI	C NATI	JRAL	LIQUID		WT	REMARKS
(m)		10.			ωı	GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	7		0 4			L	00	LIMIT W <sub>P</sub>	CON	TENT	LIMIT W <sub>L</sub>	POCKET PEN. (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UNIT WT (kN/m³)	AND GRAIN SIZE
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	'A PL	监		BLOWS 0.3 m	V DN VOIT	TION		R ST		TH (k +	Pa) FIELD V. & Sensiti	ANE	—				OCKE (Cu.)	URAL (KN/r	DISTRIBUTION
DEI III		STRATA PLOT	NUMBER	TYPE		ROU	ELEVATION	● QI	JICK TI	RIAXIA	L ×	LAB V	ANE	l l	ER CC			۵	NAT	(%)
0.0	Ground Surface TOPSOIL-Organic Silty Sand,	γ <sub>1</sub> γ <sub>2</sub> γ <sub>3</sub>	z	í-	-	00	Ш	2	0 4	0 6	50 8	30 10	00	1	0 2	0 3	10			GR SA SI CL
- 0.0	loose, dark brown, damp	1/ 3																		
-		14	1	SS	10															
- 0.0	FULL Consults County agents with	( )																		
_ 0.6 -	<b>FILL-</b> Gravelly Sand, some silt, loose, dark brown, damp	$\otimes$																		
- _1		$\bowtie$																		
_ 1.1	GLACIAL TILL-Sand, trace silt,		2	SS	6															
-	loose, brown, damp																			
-																				
-			3	SS	15															
- 2			ľ	33	15															
-																				
-																				
_			1	SS	26															
-	*Becoming glacial till, gravelly		~	33	20															
3	sand, trace silt, dense, brown, damp	trace silt, dense, brown,																		
-	damp																			
-			5	SS	32															
-			ľ		-															
3.7	END OF BOREHOLE		$\vdash$																	
· · ·	Notes:																			
	Borehole terminated at approximately 3.7 meters below																			
	surface in glacial till overburden																			
			l	ĺ	1	i		I			1	1		I		1	1	l	1	





PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre REF NO: 211-10332-00 CLIENT: Waste Management Method: SS ENCL NO .: ORIGINATED BY SD PROJECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario Diameter: 8" SW DATUM: Date: Dec-13-2021 to Dec-13-2021 **COMPILED BY** NC BH LOCATION: 2301 Carp Rd Eqipment: Downing Track Mount CME 75 CHECKED BY DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT REMARKS GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIMIT AND 40 60 100 80 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)
O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE
QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION **DESCRIPTION** NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) 60 80 10 20 30 40 100 Ground Surface GR SA SI CL TOPSOIL-Organics and Sand, 71 1/ 1 SS 50 trace gravel, black, damp Spoon refusal at approximately 0.2 meters below existing ground. ORGANIC SILTY SAND-Loose, dark brown to black, damp 2 SS 6 1.5 SAND-Trace silt, some rootlets, loose, brown, damp 3 SS 6 GLACIAL TILL-Gravelly Sand, 2.1 some Silt, dense, brownish grey, damp 4 SS 33 \*Becoming glacial till, sand some silt and gravel, compact, grey, damp 5 SS 18 END OF BOREHOLE 3.7 Notes: 1 Borehole terminated at approximately 3.7 meters below exisitng ground surface in glacial till overburden






PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre REF NO: 211-10332-00 CLIENT: Waste Management Method: SS ENCL NO .: ORIGINATED BY SD PROJECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario Diameter: 8" SW DATUM: Date: Dec-16-2021 to Dec-16-2021 **COMPILED BY** NC BH LOCATION: 2301 Carp Rd Eqipment: Downing Track Mount CME 75 CHECKED BY DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT REMARKS GROUND WATER CONDITIONS AND LIMIT 40 60 100 80 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)


O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE

QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ELEVATION ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION **DESCRIPTION** NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) TYPE 80 10 20 30 40 60 100 **Ground Surface** GR SA SI CL FILL -Silty Sand, trace gravel, some organic matter, loose, dark brown, damp SS 9 2 SS 11 SAND-Trace silt, compact, dark brown, damp 3 SS 21 0 92 8 4 SS 13 \*Rootlets recovered at approximately 2.59 meters below existing ground surface \*Sand begins to heave at approximately 3.1 meters below existing ground surface 5 SS 5 6 SS 8 \*Becoming sand and silt, grey, SS 12 compact, wet 8 SS 5 GLACIAL TILL-Sand and Gravel, trace silt, compact, grey, wet 9 SS 18 **END OF BOREHOLE** Notes: 1. Auger refusal at approximately 6.7 meters below exisiting ground surface in glacial till overburden 2. Water level measured at 1.5 meters below existing ground surface in an open borehole






PROJ	ECT: West Carleton Environmental Ce	ntre															REF.	NO.	: 21	1-10332-00	
CLIEN	CLIENT: Waste Management								Method: SS								ENCL NO.:				
	ECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario							Diameter: 8"								ORIGINATED BY SD					
DATU												Dec-1					COM				
BH LC	OCATION: 2301 Carp Rd	_	_	SAMPL		ı		Eqipn DYNAI RESIS				ack M	ount C	ME 7:	5		CHE			NC NC	
	SOIL PROFILE		_	AMPL	.ES	监						_		PLASTI	IC NATI MOIS CON	JRAL TURE	LIQUID LIMIT	,	NATURAL UNIT WT (kN/m³)	REMARKS AND	
(m)		ГОТ			\ <u>\</u>	GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	z	20 40 60 80 10 SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)					00	W <sub>P</sub> W			WL	ET PEI (kPa)	L UNIT	GRAIN SIZE	
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	TAP	3ER		BLOWS 0.3 m	ON OF	ATIO	0 U	NCONF	INED	+	FIELD V. & Sensiti	ANE ivity					Pock (cu)	TURA (KN	DISTRIBUTION (%)	
	Crowned Surface	STRATA PLOT	NUMBER	TYPE	ž	SROU	ELEVATION				L ×	LAB V	ANE 00	ı	TER CC		Г (%) 80		Ϋ́	GR SA SI CL	
_ 0.0	Ground Surface GLACIAL TILL- Sand some		_		-															OK OA OI OL	
-	Gravel, trace silt, compact, brown, damp		1	ss	17										0						
-	*Refusal on cobbles at 0.54 meters																				
-	below existing ground surface																				
-	*Becoming dark grey																				
<u>-1</u> -			2	ss	25									٥							
-																					
-																					
-	*Becoming glacial till, gravelly sand, trace silt, very dense,																				
-	brownish grey, damp		3	ss	63									0							
2																					
-	*Becoming glacial till, sand and																				
-	gravel, trace silt, dense, brown, wet																				
-	(possible weathered bedrock)		4	SS	43									۰							
-																					
<u>3</u> -	*Spoon refusal at approximately		5,	SS	R,										0						
-	3.1 meters below ground (mbgs).																				
-																					
-																					
-																					
4.0	END OF BOREHOLE	1777																			
	Notes:																				
	1. Auger refusal at 3.9 meters																				
	below existing ground surface on assumed bedrock.																				
	Water level was measured at 1.4 meters below existing ground																				
	surface.																				






PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre REF NO: 211-10332-00 **CLIENT: Waste Management** Method: SS ENCL NO.: ORIGINATED BY SD PROJECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario Diameter: 8" SW DATUM: Date: Dec-16-2021 to Dec-16-2021 **COMPILED BY** NC BH LOCATION: 2301 Carp Rd Eqipment: Downing Track Mount CME 75 CHECKED BY DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SOIL PROFILE SAMPLES PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT REMARKS GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIMIT AND 40 60 80 100 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)
O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE
QUICK TRIAXIAL × LAB VANE ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION **DESCRIPTION** (%) WATER CONTENT (%) 40 60 80 10 20 30 100 Ground Surface
FILL-Sand, trace silt and gravel, GR SA SI CL loose, brown, damp SS 4 2 SS 6 3 SS 3 1 23 76 4 SS 10 0 **GLAICIAL TILL-**Gravelly Sand some Silt, compact, greyish brown, becoming sand some silt, dense, brown, damp 5 SS 35 3.7 END OF BOREHOLE Notes: 1. Borehole terminated at 3.6 meters below existing ground surface in glacial till overburden.





REF. NO: 211-10332-00 PROJECT: West Carleton Environmental Centre Method: SS **CLIENT: Waste Management** ENCL NO .: ORIGINATED BY SD PROJECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario Diameter: 8" DATUM: Date: Dec-16-2021 to Dec-16-2021 **COMPILED BY** SW NC BH LOCATION: 2301 Carp Rd Eqipment: Downing Track Mount CME 75 CHECKED BY DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION RESISTANCE PLOT SAMPLES SOIL PROFILE PLASTIC NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT REMARKS GROUND WATER CONDITIONS LIMIT AND LIMIT 40 60 100 NATURAL UNIT ( (kN/m³) 80 (m) STRATA PLOT GRAIN SIZE BLOWS 0.3 m ELEVATION SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)

O UNCONFINED + FIELD VANE
Sensitivity
UICK TRIAXIAL X LAB VANE ELEV DEPTH DISTRIBUTION **DESCRIPTION** NUMBER (%) WATER CONTENT (%) 80 10 20 30 40 60 100 **Ground Surface** GR SA SI CL TOPSOIL-Organic Silt and Sand, black, moist, very loose 7 SS O 1 0.7 FILL-Sand, some Gravel, 0.8 compact, brown, damp GLACIAL TILL-Sand and Gravel, 2 SS 18 trace silt, compact, brownish grey, moist \*Becoming glacial till, sand some gravel, silt and organic matter, compact, moist 3 SS 18 19 64 17 \*Rootlets encountered at approximately 2.1 meters below ground surface
\*Becoming glacial till sand some
gravel, trace silt and organic
matter, rootlets at 2.9 meters below SS 50 4 existing ground surface, dense, brownish grey, moist \*Becoming glacial till gravelly sand, trace silt, dense, brownish grey, damp, moist 5 SS 40 6 SS 52 0 SS R 0 END OF BOREHOLE Notes: Auger refusal at approximately
 The end of the 2. Water level was measured at 2.3 meters below existing ground





## **LOG OF TEST PIT TP1-21**

PRO.	JECT: West Carleton Environmental Cer	ntre															REF.	NO	: 21	1-10332-00
1	NT: Waste Management							Metho									ENC			
	JECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario							Diame												BY SD
DATU								Date:									COM			
BHL	OCATION: 2301 Carp Rd  SOIL PROFILE			AMPL	ES			DYNAI RESIS	nent: v	vaste NE PE	METRA	gemer TION	nt Ba	ckhoe			CHE			
	30ILT NOTIEE		J.	AIVII L		ËR							20	PLASTI LIMIT	IC NAT MOIS CON	URAL	LIQUID LIMIT	z	T WT	REMARKS AND
(m) <u>ELEV</u> DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	NUMBER	Ξ.	BLOWS 0.3 m	GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	ELEVATION	0 UI	R STI	RENG INED	TH (kl	L Pa) FIELD	VANE	W <sub>P</sub> —	١	w >	W <sub>L</sub>	POCKET PE (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UN <b>I</b> T WT (KN/m³)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
	Ground Surface	STR	NON	TYPE	ŗ	GRC	ELE	2	JICK TE 0 4								30		z	GR SA SI CL
0.0	Ground Surface  FILL-Silty Clay, trace gravel, cobbles and debris (styrofoam), brown, moist		1	AT GS	<u> </u>	GF 05	13	2	0 4	0 6	0 8	0 1(	00	1	0 2	0 (	I 0			GR SA SI CI
-		$\bowtie$	2	GS													I o			
2.6		$\times\!$	_	JJ													<del> </del>			
∠.0	END OF TEST PIT																			



## **LOG OF TEST PIT TP2-21**

PROJ	IECT: West Carleton Environmental Ce	entre															REF.	NO.	: 21	1-10332-00
	NT: Waste Management							Metho									ENC			
	IECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario							Diame												BY SD
DATU								Date:									COM			
BHLC	OCATION: 2301 Carp Rd SOIL PROFILE			AMPL	ES			Eqipn DYNAI RESIS	nent: v	vaste NE PE	Mana NETRA	gemer ATION	nt Ba				CHE			INC
	SOIL PROFILE	l	3	AIVIPL	.53	띪							00	PLASTI LIMIT	C NATI	URAL	LIQUID LIMIT	ż	NATURAL UNIT WT (KN/m³)	REMARKS AND
(m)		STRATA PLOT			SI E	GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	z	SHEA				l	00	W <sub>P</sub>	CON	V V	W <sub>L</sub>	ET PE (kPa)	L UNI	GRAIN SIZE
ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	TAF	NUMBER		BLOWS 0.3 m	OND	ELEVATION	0 UI	CONF	INED	+	FIELD		10/07	(	)———		P S S S	TURA (KN	DISTRIBUTION (%)
	Ground Surface	STR/	Į Į	TYPE	ż	SRO	ELEV.		JICK TI 0 4			LAB V/	ANE 00		TER CC		1 (%) 30		Ž	GR SA SI C
0.0	FILL-Silty Sand, some Cobbles	XX	-		-															OK 5A 51 6
-	and Boulders, trace clay and debris (wood, plastic), brown, moist	$\bowtie$																		
	(weed, placine), arewin, melet	$\bowtie$	1																	
-		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\otimes$																		
		$\bigotimes$																		
		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$																		
		$\bowtie$	1																	
		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\otimes$																		
-		$\otimes$																		
		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$																		
_1		$\bowtie$	1																	
		$\bowtie$																		
		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\otimes$																		
		$\bowtie$																		
		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$			1															20 59 21
_		$\bowtie$	<u> </u>	GS											0					
		$\otimes$																		
-		$\bigotimes$																		
-		$\bowtie$																		
		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$	1																	
-		$\bowtie$																		
2		$\otimes$																		
-		$\bowtie$																		
_		$\bowtie$																		
		$\bowtie$	1																	
-		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$																		
-		$\bowtie$	<u> </u>		1															
		$\bowtie$	2	GS											0					
			] _	- 55																
2.8	END OF TEST PIT	XX	$\vdash$															$\vdash$		



## **LOG OF TEST PIT TP3-21**

	IECT: West Carleton Environmental Ce	entre															REF.	NO.	.: 21	1-10332-00
1	NT: Waste Management							Metho									ENC			0D
	IECT LOCATION: Carp, Ontario							Diam				5	0.000							BY SD Y SW
DATU	лм: DCATION: 2301 Carp Rd							Date:						21 ickhoe			COM			
ВПС	SOIL PROFILE		s	AMPL	FS			DYNAI RESIS	MIC CC	NE PE	NETRA	TION	п Ба				CITE			
	33.27.113.1.22	Τ.				ER		l	0 4				00	PLASTI LIMIT	IC NAT MOIS CON	URAL TURE	LIQUID LIMIT		T WT	REMARKS AND
(m) ELEV DEPTH	DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	NUMBER	ш	BLOWS 0.3 m	GROUND WATER CONDITIONS	ELEVATION	SHEA O UI	AR STI	RENG INED	TH (k	L Pa) FIELD	VANE	W <sub>P</sub> ⊢	١	w >	W <sub>L</sub>	POCKET PE (Cu) (kPa)	NATURAL UN <b>I</b> T WT (KN/m³)	GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION (%)
	Ground Surface	STR	NUN	TYPE	ŗ	GRC	ELE		JICK TF 0 4				ANE 00				30		z	GR SA SI CI
0.0																				
-			1	GS											۰					24 59 17
<u>2</u> -			2	GS											0					
2.2	END OF TEST PIT	$\bowtie$	$\vdash$														+-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	
de son retarribon model, i secondo e so a co																				

July 15, 2025 CA0051319.2938

# **APPENDIX C**

# Geotechnical Laboratory Testing Results





# Water (Moisture) Content of Soils

Testing Standard: ASTM D2216-19 Method B

Testing Program #: 039931 Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Report Number: BLC00322-25

Sample Sample Sample				Water	WSP Lab				
Location	Sample Number	Top (m)	Base (m)	Туре	Soil Description	Content (%)	Number	Tested By	Remarks
1	1	0.00	0.00	GS		8.3	BLC25-00567	Melanie Ireland	
1	2	0.00	0.00	GS		7.5	BLC25-00568		
1	3	0.00	0.00	GS		5.9	BLC25-00569		
1	4	0.00	0.00	GS		4.4	BLC25-00570		
1	5	0.00	0.00	GS		4.6	BLC25-00571		

Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	CERTIFIED BY
Signature:	Chelsea Ward			

### WSP Canada Inc.

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7 613-592-9600

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 039931

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Report Number: BLC00322-25

Sample Number: 1

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location:

Sample Location: 1

Borehole Type: GS
Borehole Depth (m): -

20.0..... 20pt.: (...).


WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00567

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/16/2025

Tested By: Ireland, Melanie

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	18.9	60.6	20.5



Siev	/e	Hydrometer S	Sedimentation
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0459	11.9
		0.0329	10.5
		0.0212	8.4
		0.0123	7.7
		0.0088	6.6
		0.0063	4.9
75.0		0.0032	4.1
63.0		0.0013	3.1
53.0			
37.5			
26.5	100.0		
19.0	98.8		
13.2	96.2		
9.5	91.2		
4.75	81.1	0.005mm	4.5
2.00	72.0	0.002mm	3.6
0.850	66.9	D60	0.458
0.425	58.7	D30	0.141
0.250	43.9	D10	0.030
0.106	23.8	Cu	15.294
0.075	20.5	Сс	1.46

Notes:

Disclaimer:

				CERTIFIED BY
Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	
	Chelsea Ward			
Signature:				

05/20/2025

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

613-592-9600

Testing Program #: 039931

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: Source:

Report Number: BLC00322-25

Sample Number: 2

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location:

Sample Location: 1

Borehole Type: GS

Borehole Depth (m): -


WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00568

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/16/2025

Tested By: Ireland, Melanie

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	4.2	62.9	32.9



Siev	ve .	Hydrometer S	Sedimentation
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0461	15.1
		0.0330	13.2
		0.0214	9.4
		0.0126	6.6
		0.0090	5.6
		0.0064	4.7
75.0		0.0032	3.3
63.0		0.0013	0.9
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0			
13.2	100.0		
9.5	98.2		
4.75	95.8	0.005mm	4.2
2.00	93.8	0.002mm	1.9
0.850	92.9	D60	0.146
0.425	91.1	D30	0.069
0.250	84.0	D10	0.023
0.106	44.8	Cu	6.314
0.075	32.9	Сс	1.42

Notes:

Disclaimer:

				CERTIFIED BY
Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	
	Chelsea Ward			
Signature:	5.00000			

Sample Number:

613-592-9600

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 039931

Project Number: CA0051319.2938 Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:


Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Sample Location: 1 Borehole Type: GS Source: Report Number:

BLC00322-25 Borehole Depth (m): 3 WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00569

Soil Description: Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0 Soil Classification: Date of Test: 05/16/2025

Specification: Tested By: Ireland, Melanie

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	2.4	60.3	37.3



Si	eve	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0473	10.7
		0.0341	8.0
		0.0218	6.2
		0.0127	5.3
		0.0090	4.4
		0.0064	4.4
75.0		0.0032	3.1
63.0		0.0013	0.9
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0	100.0		
13.2	98.2		
9.5	98.2		
4.75	97.6	0.005mm	4.0
2.00	96.1	0.002mm	1.8
0.850	94.5	D60	0.140
0.425	90.7	D30	0.066
0.250	88.5	D10	0.046
0.106	45.9	Cu	3.029
0.075	37.3	Сс	0.67

Notes: Disclaimer:

Notice: The test data given herein pertain to the sample provided and may not be applicable to other samples or to material from earlier or subsequent production. Reporting of these results constitutes a testing service only. Engineering interpretation

				CERTIFIED BY
Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	
	Chelsea Ward			
Signature:	9			

and advice may be provided upon written request.

# WSP Canada Inc.

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7 613-592-9600

## **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 039931 Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Sample Location: 1
Source: Borehole Type: GS


 Report Number:
 BLC00322-25
 Borehole Depth (m):

 Sample Number:
 4
 WSP Lab Number:
 BLC25-00570

Soil Description: Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0
Soil Classification: Date of Test: 05/16/2025

Specification: Tested By: Ireland, Melanie

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	2.0	89.6	8.4



Sie	eve	Hydrometer \$	Sedimentation
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0491	5.3
		0.0351	3.4
		0.0223	2.9
		0.0130	1.4
		0.0092	1.0
		0.0065	0.5
75.0		0.0033	
63.0		0.0013	
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0	100.0		
13.2	99.2		
9.5	98.1		
4.75	98.0	0.005mm	0.3
2.00	97.6	0.002mm	
0.850	97.4	D60	0.295
0.425	93.2	D30	0.180
0.250	45.7	D10	0.095
0.106	12.1	Cu	3.096
0.075	8.4	Сс	1.15

Notes:

Disclaimer:

				CERTIFIED BY
Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	
	Chelsea Ward			
Signature:				

Report Number:

### WSP Canada Inc.

BLC00322-25

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7 613-592-9600

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 039931 Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:


Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Sample Location: 1 Borehole Type: GS Source:

Sample Number: 5 WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00571

Soil Description: Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0 Soil Classification: Date of Test: 05/16/2025

Specification: Tested By: Ireland, Melanie

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	1.4	85.8	12.8



Sid	eve	Hydrometer S	Sedimentation
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0490	5.5
		0.0349	4.1
		0.0223	2.3
		0.0129	1.8
		0.0092	1.3
		0.0065	0.9
75.0		0.0032	0.4
63.0		0.0013	
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0			
13.2	100.0		
9.5	99.4		
4.75	98.6	0.005mm	0.7
2.00	98.2	0.002mm	0.2
0.850	98.2	D60	0.207
0.425	93.9	D30	0.125
0.250	70.4	D10	0.065
0.106	21.5	Cu	3.180
0.075	12.8	Сс	1.15

Notes: Disclaimer:

Borehole Depth (m):

Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	CERTIFIED BY
Signature:	Chelsen Ward			





# Water (Moisture) Content of Soils

Testing Standard: ASTM D2216-19 Method B

Testing Program #: 040158 Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Report Number: BLC00357-25

Sample		Sam	ple			Water	WSP Lab		
Sample Location	Sample Number	Top (m)	Base (m)	Туре	Soil Description	Content (%)	Number	Tested By	Remarks
2	3	0.00	0.00	SS		4.0	BLC25-00584	Melanie Ireland	
2	5	0.00	0.00	SS		4.3	BLC25-00586		

Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	CERTIFIED BY
Signature:	Chelsea Ward			



# WSD

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7 613-592-9600

WSP Canada Inc.

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 040158

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Report Number: BLC00357-25

Sample Number: 1

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location:

Sample Location: 2
Borehole Type: SS

Borehole Depth (m): -


WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00582

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/21/2025

Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	35.3	49.7	15.0



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing	
		0.0454	12.2	
		0.0325	10.9	
		0.0210	8.6	
		0.0123	7.3	
		0.0087	6.6	
		0.0062	5.3	
75.0		0.0031	3.3	
63.0		0.0014	1.9	
53.0				
37.5	100.0			
26.5	93.1			
19.0	89.0			
13.2	85.1			
9.5	79.3			
4.75	64.7	0.005mm	4.6	
2.00	49.8	0.002mm	2.4	
0.850	41.8	D60	3.698	
0.425	34.1	D30	0.321	
0.250	26.4	D10	0.028	
0.106	16.9	Cu	133.741	
0.075	15.0	Сс	1.01	

Siove

Notes:

Signature:

Disclaimer:

Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor
	Melani In land		





**Particle Size Distribution of Soils** 

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #:

Client:

1931 Robertson Road

WSP Canada Inc.

WSP Canada Inc.

Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7


613-592-9600 040158

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location: Sample Location: 2

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Source: Borehole Type: SS Report Number: BLC00357-25 Borehole Depth (m): WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00583 Sample Number: 2 Soil Description: Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0 Soil Classification: Date of Test: 05/21/2025 Specification: Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Siz	e Gravei	Sand	Slit / Clay
Distribution	<b>on</b> ∣ 41.8	45.7	12.5



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing	
		0.0447	9.4	
		0.0320	8.5	
		0.0208	6.7	
		0.0123	5.0	
		0.0087	4.5	
		0.0063	3.2	
75.0		0.0031	2.0	
63.0		0.0014	1.3	
53.0				
37.5				
26.5	100.0			
19.0	89.5			
13.2	79.4			
9.5	72.7			
4.75	58.2	0.005mm	2.7	
2.00	45.5	0.002mm	1.6	
0.850	33.1	D60	5.223	
0.425	24.2	D30	0.678	
0.250	19.5	D10	0.050	
0.106	13.9	Cu	104.675	
0.075	12.5	Сс	1.76	

Notes:

Disclaimer:

				CERTIFIED BY
Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor	
	Melani Juland			
Signature:				





**Particle Size Distribution of Soils** 



# WSP Canada Inc.

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 040158

WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Client:

Report Number: BLC00357-25

Sample Number: 3

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location:

Sample Location: 2

Borehole Type: SS
Borehole Depth (m): -


WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00584

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/21/2025

Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	13.8	76.7	9.5



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing	
		0.0491	6.0	
		0.0348	5.4	
		0.0221	4.9	
		0.0128	3.8	
		0.0091	3.3	
		0.0065	2.2	
75.0		0.0032	1.1	
63.0		0.0014		
53.0				
37.5				
26.5	100.0			
19.0	95.0			
13.2	92.5			
9.5	90.1			
4.75	86.2	0.005mm	1.7	
2.00	82.1	0.002mm	0.4	
0.850	76.2	D60	0.455	
0.425	57.7	D30	0.210	
0.250	35.5	D10	0.083	
0.106	12.0	Cu	5.477	
0.075	9.5	Сс	1.17	

Notes:

Signature:

Disclaimer:

Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor
	Melani Juland		





# 1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

WSP Canada Inc.

**Particle Size Distribution of Soils** 

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 040158

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

613-592-9600

Source:

Report Number: BLC00357-25

Sample Number: 4

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location: Sample Location: 2

Borehole Type: SS


Borehole Depth (m):

WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00585

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/21/2025 Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	22.1	60.1	17.8



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing	
		0.0460	14.8	
		0.0335	10.8	
		0.0214	9.1	
		0.0125	7.3	
		0.0090	5.5	
		0.0063	5.0	
75.0		0.0031	3.6	
63.0		0.0014	2.2	
53.0				
37.5				
26.5				
19.0	100.0			
13.2	97.4			
9.5	91.3			
4.75	77.9	0.005mm	4.6	
2.00	63.9	0.002mm	2.7	
0.850	51.8	D60	1.520	
0.425	38.6	D30	0.252	
0.250	29.9	D10	0.029	
0.106	20.3	Cu	52.925	
0.075	17.8	Сс	1.45	

Notes:

Disclaimer:

				CERTIFIED BY ——
Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor	
	Melani Juland			
Signature:				





### WSP Canada Inc.

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7 613-592-9600

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 040158

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Report Number: BLC00357-25

Sample Number: 5

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location: Sample Location: 2

Borehole Type: SS

Borehole Depth (m): -


WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00586

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/21/2025

Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	3.2	78.9	17.9



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Sedimentation
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0479	10.4
		0.0341	8.8
		0.0219	6.8
		0.0127	4.7
		0.0090	4.2
		0.0064	3.2
75.0		0.0032	1.5
63.0		0.0014	1.0
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0	100.0		
13.2	99.0		
9.5	98.1		
4.75	96.8	0.005mm	2.5
2.00	94.6	0.002mm	1.1
0.850	90.4	D60	0.223
0.425	81.9	D30	0.120
0.250	65.0	D10	0.046
0.106	24.7	Cu	4.842
0.075	17.9	Сс	1.40

Notes:

Disclaimer:

Laboratory Supervisor

Title:

Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland
Signature	Melani Juland







# Water (Moisture) Content of Soils

Testing Standard: ASTM D2216-19 Method B

Testing Program #: 040176 Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Report Number: BLC00358-25

Sample		Sam	ple			Water WSP Lab Tooted By Do			
Location	Sample Number	Top (m)	Base (m)	Туре	Soil Description	Content (%)	Number	Tested By	Remarks
4	2	0.00	0.00	SS		5.1	BLC25-00588	Melanie Ireland	
4	3	0.00	0.00	ss		4.2	BLC25-00589		
4	4	0.00	0.00	SS		4.2	BLC25-00590		
4	5	0.00	0.00	SS		5.2	BLC25-00591		

Reviewed By:	Chelsea Ward Chelsea Ward	Title:	Laboratory Technician	CCIL
Signature:				





# 1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

**Particle Size Distribution of Soils** Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

613-592-9600

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

040176

Source:

Report Number: BLC00358-25

Sample Number:

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Testing Program #:

Specification:

Borenole Depth (m):	-
WSP Lab Number:	BLC25-00587

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0 Date of Test: 05/21/2025


Project Location:

Sample Location: 4

Borehole Type: SS

Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	12.6	74.9	12.5



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Sedimentation
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0479	8.9
		0.0342	7.1
		0.0219	5.8
		0.0127	5.3
		0.0090	4.5
		0.0064	2.7
75.0		0.0032	1.8
63.0		0.0013	0.8
53.0			
37.5			
26.5	100.0		
19.0	97.1		
13.2	94.7		
9.5	92.5		
4.75	87.4	0.005mm	2.2
2.00	79.9	0.002mm	1.2
0.850	65.4	D60	0.708
0.425	42.2	D30	0.288
0.250	26.5	D10	0.055
0.106	14.5	Cu	12.820
0.075	12.5	Сс	2.13

Notes:

Disclaimer:

Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor
	Melani Juland		
Signature:			





# WSD

# 1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

WSP Canada Inc.

WSP Canada Inc.

Particle Size Distribution of Soils Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

613-592-9600

Testing Program #: 040176

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Client:

Report Number: BLC00358-25

Sample Number: 2

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location:

Sample Location: 4
Borehole Type: SS


Borehole Depth (m): -

WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00588

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/21/2025
Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	5.8	70.1	24.1



Sieve		Hydrometer S	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing	
		0.0472	13.3	
		0.0341	9.0	
		0.0220	5.8	
		0.0127	4.8	
		0.0091	3.2	
		0.0064	2.6	
75.0		0.0032	2.1	
63.0		0.0013	1.0	
53.0				
37.5				
26.5				
19.0	100.0			
13.2	98.2			
9.5	96.4			
4.75	94.2	0.005mm	2.4	
2.00	91.5	0.002mm	1.4	
0.850	84.5	D60	0.304	
0.425	68.6	D30	0.104	
0.250	54.7	D10	0.037	
0.106	30.6	Cu	8.115	
0.075	24.1	Сс	0.94	

Notes:

Disclaimer:

Laboratory Supervisor

Title:

Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland
Cignotura	Melanis Juland





# WSD

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7 613-592-9600

WSP Canada Inc.

### **Particle Size Distribution of Soils**

Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

Testing Program #: 040176

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Report Number: BLC00358-25

Sample Number: 3

Soil Description:

Soil Classification:

Specification:

Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Project Location:

Sample Location: 4

Borehole Type: SS

Borehole Depth (m):


WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00589

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Date of Test: 05/21/2025

Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	0.2	78.9	20.9



Sieve		Hydrometer	Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing	
		0.0483	9.6	
		0.0349	5.1	
		0.0222	4.0	
		0.0129	3.4	
		0.0091	2.3	
		0.0065	1.7	
75.0		0.0032	1.1	
63.0		0.0014	0.5	
53.0				
37.5				
26.5				
19.0				
13.2				
9.5	100.0			
4.75	99.8	0.005mm	1.5	
2.00	99.6	0.002mm	0.7	
0.850	98.8	D60	0.168	
0.425	95.2	D30	0.100	
0.250	82.0	D10	0.049	
0.106	32.9	Cu	3.416	
0.075	20.9	Сс	1.20	

Notes:

Disclaimer:

Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor
	Melani Juland		
Signature:			





# 1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

WSP Canada Inc.

613-592-9600

**Particle Size Distribution of Soils** Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

040176

Client: WSP Canada Inc.

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering

Source:

Report Number: BLC00358-25

Sample Number: 4

Soil Description: Soil Classification:

Testing Program #:

Specification:

Borehole Depth (m):

Date of Test: 05/21/2025 Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00590

Project Number: CA0051319.2938


Project Location:

Sample Location: 4

Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0

Borehole Type: SS

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	2.9	76.3	20.8



Sieve		Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0484	8.5
		0.0347	6.4
		0.0222	4.3
		0.0128	3.8
		0.0091	2.7
		0.0065	2.2
75.0		0.0032	1.1
63.0		0.0014	0.5
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0			
13.2	100.0		
9.5	98.8		
4.75	97.1	0.005mm	1.8
2.00	95.3	0.002mm	0.7
0.850	90.6	D60	0.273
0.425	71.9	D30	0.108
0.250	57.4	D10	0.052
0.106	29.4	Cu	5.273
0.075	20.8	Сс	0.83

Notes:

Disclaimer:

			1	CERTIFIED BY -
Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor	
0: .	Melanis Ireland			



Report Number:

1931 Robertson Road Bells Corners, ON K2H 5B7

WSP Canada Inc.

613-592-9600

**Particle Size Distribution of Soils** Testing Standard: MTO LS-702 (Rev. 37)

040176 Project Number: CA0051319.2938

Testing Program #: Client: WSP Canada Inc. Project Location:

Project Name: CA-West Carleton 2025 Engineering Sample Location: 4 Borehole Type: SS Source:


BLC00358-25 Borehole Depth (m):

WSP Lab Number: BLC25-00591 Sample Number: 5

Soil Description: Specimen Depth (m): 0 - 0 Soil Classification: Date of Test: 05/21/2025

Specification: Tested By: Ward, Chelsea

Grain Size	Gravel	Sand	Silt / Clay
Distribution	1.3	69.1	29.6



Sieve		Hydrometer Sedimentation	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing	Particle Size mm	% Passing
		0.0479	13.6
		0.0347	8.2
		0.0222	5.5
		0.0128	4.8
		0.0091	4.1
		0.0065	2.7
75.0		0.0032	2.0
63.0		0.0014	0.6
53.0			
37.5			
26.5			
19.0	100.0		
13.2	99.1		
9.5	99.1		
4.75	98.7	0.005mm	2.4
2.00	98.3	0.002mm	1.1
0.850	96.9	D60	0.150
0.425	93.2	D30	0.076
0.250	82.5	D10	0.040
0.106	43.5	Cu	3.748
0.075	29.6	Сс	0.95

Notes:

Disclaimer:

				CERTIFIED BY
Reviewed By:	Melanie Ireland	Title:	Laboratory Supervisor	
	Melanis Juland			
Signature:				

