Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road



Value through service and commitment

Table of Contents

Introduction 1			
1.0	Screening	. 1	
2.0	Scoping	. 1	
2.1	Existing and Planned Conditions	. 1	
	Description of Proposed Development	. 1	
	Existing Conditions	. 5	
	Area Road Network	. 5	
	Study Area Intersections	. 5	
	Existing Driveways to Adjacent Development	. 8	
	Pedestrian/Cycling Network	. 8	
	Transit Network	13	
	Area Traffic Management	15	
	Peak Hour Travel Demands	17	
	Existing Road Safety Conditions	22	
	Planned Conditions	22	
	Study Area Transportation Network Changes	22	
	Other Area Development	23	
2.2	Study Area and Time Periods	24	
	Study Area	24	
	Time Periods	24	
	Horizon Years	24	
23	Exemptions Review	25	
2.0			
3.0	Forecasting	26	
3.1	Development-Generated Travel Demand	26	
	Trip Generation	26	
	Travel Mode Shares	γo	
		20	
	Trip Distribution	20 31	
	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment	20 31 31	
3.2	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands	20 31 31 32	
3.2	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans	20 31 31 32 32	
3.2	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments	20 31 31 32 32 33	
3.2	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth	20 31 31 32 32 33 33	
3.2 3.3	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization	20 31 32 32 33 33 33	
3.2 3.3	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions	20 31 31 32 32 33 33 33 35 35	
3.2 3.3	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand	20 31 31 32 32 33 33 35 35 41	
3.2 3.3	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions	20 31 31 32 32 33 33 35 35 41 41	
3.2 3.3	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand	20 31 32 32 33 33 35 35 41 41 40	
3.2 3.3	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand	20 31 32 32 33 33 35 35 41 40 46	
3.2 3.3 4.0	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand Analysis	20 31 32 32 33 33 35 35 41 41 46 47	
3.2 3.3 4.0 4.1	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand	20 31 32 32 33 35 35 41 46 47 47	
3.2 3.3 4.0 4.1	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand Development Design Design for Sustainable Modes	20 31 32 32 33 33 35 35 41 46 47 47 47	
3.2 3.3 4.0 4.1	Trip Distribution	20 31 32 33 33 35 41 46 47 47 47	
3.2 3.3 4.0 4.1	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment. Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans. Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand Analysis Development Design Design for Sustainable Modes Circulation and Access New Street Networks	20 31 32 33 33 35 35 41 46 47 47 47 47	
 3.2 3.3 4.0 4.1 4.2 	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment Background Network Travel Demands Transportation Network Plans Other Area Developments Background Growth Demand Rationalization Existing and Background Conditions Adjustments to Background Network Demand. Total Projected Conditions Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand Malysis Development Design Design for Sustainable Modes Circulation and Access New Street Networks. Parking	20 31 32 32 33 33 35 341 46 47 47 47 48 48	
 3.2 3.3 4.0 4.1 4.2 	Trip Distribution Trip Assignment	20 31 32 33 33 33 35 41 46 47 47 48 48 48	

	Bike Parking	49
	Spillover Parking	49
4.3	Boundary Street Design	
	Mobility	50
	Segment MMLOS Summary	50
	Pedestrian LOS	51
	Bike LOS	51
	Transit LOS	51
	Truck LOS	51
	Road Safety	52
	Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM)	53
4.4	Access Intersection Design	53
	Location and Design of Access	53
	Intersection Control	54
	Intersection Design	54
	Existing Intersection MMLOS Summary	54
	Pedestrian LOS	55
	Bike LOS	55
	Transit LOS	55
	Truck LOS	55
	Auto I OS	55
	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark	not defined.
	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS	not defined.
	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS	not defined.
4.5	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS Transportation Design Management	not defined.
4.5 4.6	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS Transportation Design Management Neighborhood Traffic Management	not defined.
4.5 4.6 4.7	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS Transportation Design Management Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit	not defined.
4.5 4.6 4.7	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity	not defined.
4.5 4.6 4.7	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS Transportation Design Management Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity Transit Priority	not defined. 56 56 56 57 57 57 57 57
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management. Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity Transit Priority Review of Network Concept	not defined. 56 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	Projected Intersection MMLOS SummaryError! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management. Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity. Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design.	not defined. 56 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	Projected Intersection MMLOS SummaryError! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management. Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity. Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design Intersection Control	not defined. 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	Projected Intersection MMLOS SummaryError! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management. Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity. Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design Intersection Control Intersection Design.	not defined. 56 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 58 58 58 58
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 5.0	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS	not defined. 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 5.0	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management. Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit. Route Capacity. Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design. Intersection Control Intersection Design. Intersection Design. Findings and Recommendations	not defined. 56 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 5.0	Projected Intersection MMLOS SummaryError! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design Intersection Control Intersection Design Findings and Recommendations	not defined. 56 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 58 58 58 58 58
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 5.0	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS Transportation Design Management Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design Intersection Control Intersection Design Findings and Recommendations	not defined. 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 58 58 58 58 58 58
4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9 5.0	Projected Intersection MMLOS Summary Error! Bookmark Transit LOS AutoLOS. Transportation Design Management. Neighborhood Traffic Management Transit Route Capacity. Transit Priority Review of Network Concept Intersection Design. Intersection Design. Findings and Recommendations	not defined. 56 56 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 58 58 58 58 58 58 58

List of Figures

Figure 1: Local Context	3
Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan	4
Figure 3: Adjacent Driveways	10
Figure 4: Existing Pedestrian Network	11
Figure 5: Existing Cycling Network	12
Figure 6: Transit Routes Within Study Area (Source: OC Transpo System Map)	14
Figure 7: Transit Stops Within Study Area	15
Figure 8: Area Traffic Management	16
Figure 9: Existing Vehicular Volumes AM(PM)	18
Figure 10: Park and Ride Vehicular Volumes AM(PM)	19
Figure 11: Baseline Existing Vehicular Volumes AM(PM)	20
Figure 12: Existing Volumes AM(PM) – Non-motorists	21
Figure 13: Collison Frequency	22
Figure 14: 'New' Projected Site-Generated Traffic	31
Figure 15: 'Pass-By' Projected Site-Generated Traffic	32
Figure 16: Background Traffic Volumes (2026)	34
Figure 17: Background Traffic Volumes (2031)	35
Figure 18: Total Projected Traffic Volumes (2026)	42
Figure 19: Total Projected Traffic Volumes (2031)	44
Figure 20: Road Classification	50

List of Tables

Table 1: OC Transpo Stop Information	13
Table 2: OC Transpo Route Information	14
Table 3: Area Development	23
Table 4: Module Exemption Review	25
Table 5: ITE and TRANS Peak Hour Trip Generation Rates	26
Table 6: Modified Peak Period Person Trips	27
Table 7: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Gasoline Service Station	28
Table 8: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Fast Food Restaurant	29
Table 9: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Shopping Center	29
Table 10: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips - Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)	30
Table 11: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)	30
Table 12: Study Area Intersection Operations – Existing Conditions	36
Table 13: Study Area Intersection Operations – 2026 Background Conditions	38
Table 14: Study Area Intersection Operations – 2031 Background Conditions	40
Table 15: Study Area Intersection Operations – Total Projected Conditions (2026)	42
Table 16: Study Area Intersection Operations – Total Projected Conditions (2031)	45
Table 17: Vehicular Parking Supply	48
Table 18: Bicycle Parking Supply	49
Table 19: Segment MMLOS – Existing LOS(Target LOS)	51
Table 20: Historical Collision Data Summary by Road Segment	52
Table 21: Historical Collision Data Summary by Intersection	53
Table 22: Intersection MMLOS – Existing LOS(Target LOS)	55
Table 23: Intersection MMLOS Projected LOS(Target LOS)	56

List of Appendices

- Appendix A Existing Traffic Counts
- Appendix B Chapel Hill Park and Ride Excerpt
- Appendix C Collision Data
- Appendix D Brian Coburn/Navan Intersection Ultimate Design and Navan/Renaud Intersection Functional Design
- Appendix E Existing and Background Conditions Output Data (2026, 2031)
- Appendix F Total Projected Conditions Output Data (2026, 2031)
- Appendix G Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Strategies
- Appendix H Segment MMLOS
- Appendix I Collision Analysis
- Appendix J Intersection MMLOS
- Appendix K TDM Checklist
- Appendix L Transit Route Maps
- Appendix M Transit Ridership Data

Introduction

With respect to the City of Ottawa's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines, a total of five separate submissions are required for City review/approval. Each submission is a component/section of a formal TIA, which includes:

- Step 1 Screening
- Step 2 Scoping
- Step 3 Forecasting
- Step 4 Analysis
- Step 5 TIA Submission (i.e. Findings and Recommendations)

This report has been structured with these above noted *Steps 1-5* as numbered sections accordingly, as outlined in the City's TIA Guidelines.

1.0 Screening

In regards to *Step 1 – Screening*, this is a form that contains a list of triggers to determine if the proposed size, type and location of a proposed development will require a formal TIA, as part of the City's development application approval process (e.g. not all new developments require a TIA).

With respect to the City of Ottawa's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines, the proposed development (described below in Section 2.1) triggered the trip generation, location and the safety criteria outlined in the City's TIA *Step 1 – Screening* form. Given these triggers were met, a formal TIA (i.e. completed Steps 1-5) must accompany the subject development application.

2.0 Scoping

2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions

Description of Proposed Development

Based on the information provided, it is our understanding that the proponent is seeking City approval for the development of approximately 5.44 acres of vacant land municipally known as 2983 Navan Road, 3053 Navan Road and 3079 Navan Road, within Ottawa's Orleans community. The subject site is located within the east quadrant of the Navan/Brian Coburn Blvd intersection.

The latest Site Plan illustrates that the proposed development will include approximately 333 townhomes/low-rise apartments, a gas station/convenience store with drive-thru car wash, and fast food restaurant with a drive-thru and approximately 20,000 ft² of commercial/retail space.

The proposed development will include a total of four vehicle driveway connections to/from the subject site. Two of the proposed driveway connections will be for access/egress to the residential portion of the subject site (i.e. one driveway connection will be to Navan Road approximately 150 m west of Pagé Road and the other will be on Brian Coburn Blvd at the Park and Ride intersection). The other two proposed site driveways will be for access/egress for the gas station/convenience store portion of the site (i.e. one of the driveways will be located on Navan Road approximately 75 m southeast of the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout and the other driveway connection will be on Brian Coburn Blvd approximately 100 m northeast of the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout). Pedestrians will have direct access to an existing multi-use

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

pathway along Brian Coburn, which supports active mobility between on-site facilities and the developed surrounding pedestrian/cycling network.

The subject development will be constructed in a single phase, with an estimated build-out year of 2026.

The local context surrounding the subject development site is depicted in the following **Figure 1**, and the proposed Site Plan is depicted in the subsequent **Figure 2**.





J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 864 Lady Ellen Place Ottawa, ON Canada K1Z 5M2 Tel: 613 728 3571 Fax: 613 728 6012

Figure 1: Local Context



J.L. Richards & Associates Limited & Associates Limited & S64 Lady Ellen Place Ottawa, ON Canada K1Z 5M2 Tel: 613 728 3571 Tel: 613 728 6012

Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan

Existing Conditions

Area Road Network

Navan Road is a two-lane arterial roadway (i.e. one travel lane per direction) along the subject site's frontage. It extends between Blackburn Hamlet Bypass in the north-west and Trim Road in the south-east. Within the vicinity of the subject development site, the posted speed limit is 60 km/h and on-street parking regulations are unposted. With respect to City By-Law, on-street parking is permitted for a maximum of 3 hrs along both sides of the roadway, where possible (e.g. you may only park on-street, if you can be completely clear of the adjacent travel lane).

Brian Coburn Boulevard is a two-lane arterial roadway (i.e. one travel lane per direction), it extends between Navan Road in the west and Trim Road in the east. Within the vicinity of the subject development site, the posted speed limit is 70 km/h and on-street parking regulations are unposted. With respect to City By-Law, on-street parking is permitted for a maximum of 3 hrs along both sides of the roadway, where possible. However, given Brian Coburn is curbed with no shoulder, and does not have vehicle travel lanes sufficiently wide enough to accommodate unimpeded traffic flow and on-street parking, on-street parking within the vicinity of the subject site is not permitted.

Pagé Road is a two-lane collector roadway (i.e. one travel lane per direction), which extends between Meadowglen Drive in the north and Renaud Road in the south; however, it should be noted that it has recently been made discontinuous via cul-de-sacs at Brian Coburn Blvd. Within the vicinity of the subject site, the posted speed limit is 40 km/h and on-street parking regulations are unposted.

Renaud Road is a two-lane collector road (i.e. one travel lane per direction), which extends between Anderson Road in the west and Mer-Bleue Road in the east. Within the vicinity of the subject site, the posted speed limit is 50 km/h and parking regulations are unposted, with the exception of on-street parking is not permitted on either side of the roadway for a distance of approximately 900 m, west of Navan Road.

Orléans Boulevard is a two-lane arterial roadway (i.e. one travel lane per direction), which extends from Navan Road in the south and terminates just past St. Andre Drive in the north. Within the vicinity of the subject site, the posted speed limit is 50 km/h and on-street parking is not permitted on either side of the roadway within the vicinity of the site. *Study Area Intersections*

Navan/Brian Coburn

The Navan/Brian Coburn intersection is a YEILD controlled three-legged roundabout. All approaches consist of a single lane that accommodates all possible movements.



Navan/Pagé

The Navan/Pagé intersection is an unsignalized, four-legged intersection, with STOP control on the southbound approach and YEILD control on the northbound approach. The northbound approach (Pagé Road) consists of a single channelized right-turn lane. The southbound approach (Pagé Road) consists of a single shared lane that accommodates all possible movements. The east and westbound approaches (Navan Road) consist of a single shared lane that accommodates all possible movements.

Heavy trucks are prohibited on Pagé Road and northbound vehicles are prohibited to turn left or proceed straight. All other movements are permitted.

Navan/Renaud

The Navan/Renaud intersection is a signalized, four-legged intersection. The southwest approach (northbound Renaud Road) consists of one left-turn lane, one through lane, and one right-turn lane. The northeast approach (southbound Renaud Road) consists of one shared through/right-turn lane, and one left-turn lane. The east and westbound approaches (Navan Road) each consist of one left-turn lane, and one shared through/right-turn lane (note, the westbound right-turn is channelized).

Heavy trucks are prohibited on Renaud Road; however, all other movements are permitted.





Brian Coburn/Park and Ride

The Brian Coburn/Park and Ride intersection is a signalized, three-legged intersection. The northeast approach (southbound Brian Coburn Blvd) consists of one through lane, and one right-turn lane. The southwest approach (northbound Brian Coburn Blvd) consists of one left-turn lane, and one through lane. The northwest approach (Park and Ride driveway) consists of a single shared lane that accommodates all possible movements.

Pedestrian and cycling movements are permitted by way of a crosswalk and a crossride on the west leg of the intersection. Eastbound left-turns are prohibited, with the exception for transit vehicles. All other movements are permitted at this location.

Brian Coburn/Pedestrian Crossing

The Brian Coburn Blvd signalized pedestrian crosswalk connects the pedestrian sidewalks and the Multi-use Pathway (MUP) along Pagé Road. The north and southbound approaches (Brian Coburn) consist of one through lane.

All movements are permitted at this location and the signal is pedestrian push button activated.





Navan/Orléans

The Navan/Orléans intersection is a signalized, three-legged intersection. The southbound approach (Orléans Blvd) consists of one leftturn lane, and one right-turn lane. The eastbound approach (Navan Road) consists of one left-turn lane, and one through lane. The westbound approach (Navan Road) consists of one through lane, and one right-turn lane.

Heavy trucks are prohibited on Orléans Blvd; however, all other movements are permitted.



Navan/Park and Ride

The Navan/Park and Ride intersection is a signalized, three-legged intersection. The northeast approach (Park and Ride driveway) consists of a single shared lane that accommodates all possible movements. The southeast approach (northbound Navan Road) consists of one through lane, and one right-turn lane. The northwest approach (southbound Navan Road) consists of one left-turn lane and one through lane.

All movements are permitted at this location.



Existing Driveways to Adjacent Development

As depicted in the following **Figure 3**, there are approximately 104 driveway connections within a 200 m boundary of all site driveway connections. Approximately 88% of the driveways adjacent to the subject development, provide access/egress for private low-rise residential land uses, such as single-family homes, townhomes and apartments. The remainder of the driveways (within approximately 200 m of the subject development) provide access/egress to commercial facilities, vacant land, mixed-use land or stormwater management.

Pedestrian/Cycling Network

The pedestrian network within the vicinity of the subject site is currently comprised of bi-directional asphalt multi-use pathways (MUP) that run along both sides of Brian Coburn Blvd, between Navan Road and Pagé Road. The MUP that runs along the north side of Brian Coburn Blvd connects pedestrians and cyclists to the existing Chapel Hill South subdivisions via the mid-block Brian Coburn Blvd/Pagé pedestrian crossing, and via a bi-directional MUP that runs along the north side of Navan Road for approximately 310 m, west of the Brian Coburn/Navan roundabout. The MUP that runs along the south side of Brian Coburn/Navan roundabout in the west to the Brian Coburn/Mer Bleue roundabout in the east.

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Additionally, concrete sidewalks are provided along both sides of Renaud Road and Orléans Blvd, within the vicinity of the subject development site. It should be noted that there are currently no sidewalks provided on Navan Road or Pagé Road.

With respect to cyclists, current cycling facilities are fairly well established. As previously mentioned, there are a series of MUPs provided within the vicinity of the subject development site. As depicted in the City's Transportation Master Plan (TMP) – *Cycling Network*, paved shoulders are provided along both sides of Navan Road between Blackburn Hamlet Bypass and Spring Valley Drive, which are classified as 'Spine Routes'. Within the vicinity of the subject site along Renaud Road, paved shoulders are also provided on both sides of the road for cyclists, between Saddleridge Drive and Rue Fern Casey Street, and east-west pocket bike lanes are provided at the Navan/Renaud intersection, along Renaud Road.

Detailed maps of the existing study area pedestrian/cycling network, and how it connects to the greater network is depicted in the following **Figure 4** and **Figure 5**, as sourced from the City's online open data source tool. It should be noted that the pedestrian network has not been updated on the City's data sources, since a number of new facilities have been implemented; however, the description of all area facilities has been provided above.



J.L.Richards ENGINEERS · ARCHITECTS · PLANNERS

Fax: 613 728 6012

Figure 3: Adjacent Driveways





Transit Network

There are ten (10) OC Transpo bus stops that are located within walking distance to/from the subject development site. The following **Table 1** summarizes existing bus stops, and their associated routes and direction of travel.

Stop #	Location	Route Identifier	Direction			
3074	Chapel Hill B	225, 34	Inbound			
3074	Chapel Hill A	225, 34	Outbound			
9058	Renaud/Pagé	225, 228, 634, 641	Inbound/Northbound/ Eastbound/Inbound			
9059	Renaud/Navan	228, 612, 622, 634, 641	Southbound/Northbound/ Westbound/Outbound/ Eastbound			
5936	Pagé/Navan	34, 225, 612, 622, 634, 641	Outbound/Southbound/ westbound/Eastbound			
2653	Navan/Topsoil	34, 225, 612, 622, 634, 641	Inbound/Northbound/ Eastbound/Westbound			
1983	Navan/Pagé	34, 225, 612, 622, 634, 641	Inbound/Northbound/ Eastbound/Westbound			
2655	Navan/Topsoil	34, 225, 612, 622, 634, 641	Outbound/Southbound/ Westbound/Eastbound			
3617	Orléans/Navan	34, 225, 612, 622, 634, 641	Inbound/Northbound/ Eastbound/Westbound			
3307	Orléans/Des Grand Champs	34, 225, 612, 622, 634, 641	Outbound/Southbound/ Westbound/Eastbound			
Note: Routes numbered in the 600's are designated school routes and OC Transpo does not consider these routes as part of regular service.						

Table 1: OC Transpo Stop Inform	nation
---------------------------------	--------

The following **Figure 6** depicts the OC Transpo routes within the vicinity of the subject development, and **Table 2** provides additional information with respect to OC Transpo services identified in **Table 1**.

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road



Figure 6: Transit Routes Within Study Area (Source: OC Transpo System Map)

Table	2:	oc	Tran	ispo	Ro	ute	Info	orma	ation

Route	Origin/Destination	Service Type	Peak Hour Headway
34	Renaud ↔ Blair	Local	15(30) mins
225	Blair ↔ Renaud	Connexion	Mon – Fri Peak Periods Only
228	Navan Sarsfield ↔ Blair	Connexion	Mon – Fri Peak Periods Only

The following **Figure 7** depicts transit stop locations within the vicinity of the subject development site.

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road



Figure 7: Transit Stops Within Study Area

Area Traffic Management

Traffic calming within the vicinity of the subject site is fairly abundant and include measures such as:

- Information signage (e.g. "traffic-calmed neighbourhood" and caution children crossing)
- Speed display devices
- Pavement markings (e.g. speed limit, stop approaching, school crossing, full lane transverse bars)
- Vertical line treatments to give drivers a lane-narrowing effect (e.g. centreline and curb line flex stakes)
- Speed humps
- Vehicular directional closures (e.g. "No Trucks")
- Intersection channelization (e.g. northbound through and left-turn traffic is prohibited by channelization at the Page/Navan intersection)
- Vehicle access closures (e.g. Page Road has been deadened for vehicular traffic at Brian Coburn Blvd; however, pedestrian and cycling traffic is permitted/facilitated)

The following **Figure 8** depicts the approximate location and type of traffic calming measures provided within the study area. In addition to the traffic calming measures identified in **Figure 8**, roundabouts are also considered to be an effective tool to mitigate vehicle speed (e.g. the Brian Coburn/Navan roundabout).





J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 864 Lady Ellen Place Ottawa, ON Canada K1Z SM2 Tel: 613 728 3571 Fax: 613 728 6012

Figure 8: Area Traffic Management

Peak Hour Travel Demands

For the purpose of this assessment and based on discussions with the City staff, the following study area intersections have been identified for intersection capacity analysis:

- Navan/Pagé
- Navan/Brian Coburn
- Navan/Renaud
- Brian Coburn/Pedestrian Crossing
- Brian Coburn/Park and Ride
- Navan/Park and Ride
- Navan/Orleans

The following **Figure 9** depicts the observed (pre-pandemic) weekday morning and afternoon peak hour vehicular volumes at study area intersections, and **Figure 12** depicts pedestrian and cyclist movements over the same peak hours. Detailed traffic volume data provided by the City of Ottawa is provided as **Appendix A**.

Given historical traffic volume data related to the Chapel Hill Park and Ride is not available, and collecting traffic count data at this time (i.e. during the COVID-19 pandemic) will not yield an accurate sample of typical conditions, the volumes depicted in the following **Figure 10** were used for analysis purposes. These projected volumes were obtained from the July 2017 *Chapel Hill PnR Traffic Analysis Technical Memo*, and the related excerpt from this memo report is included as **Appendix B**.

Superimposing the projected Park and Ride volumes (i.e. **Figure 10**) onto existing volumes (i.e. **Figure 9**), the result is **Figure 11**. For analysis purposes, the volumes depicted in **Figure 11** have been assumed as the baseline condition, to be compared against future traffic volume projections.





J.L. Richards & Associates Limited 864 Lady Ellen Place Ottawa, ON Canada K1Z 5M2 Tel: 613 728 3571 Fax: 613 728 6012

Figure 9: Existing Vehicular Volumes AM(PM)



J.L. Richards & Associates Limited & Associates Lim

Figure 10: Park and Ride Vehicular Volumes AM(PM)





Figure 11: Baseline Existing Vehicular Volumes AM(PM)





Figure 12: Existing Volumes AM(PM) – Non-motorists

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Existing Road Safety Conditions

The most recent collision history for the past five (5) years was obtained from the city (i.e. available collision data for the years of 2015 - 2019, inclusive). The collision data includes all collisions occurring at intersections and roadway segments within the study area surrounding the subject development site.

Based on the most recent available historical collision data, the five-year total number of recorded collisions within the study area is 64. Most of the collisions within the study area resulted in property damage only (a total of 53 collisions, or 83%), and the remaining collisions resulted in non-fatal injuries (a total of 11 collisions, or 17%). The most frequent types of collisions, as cited by police, were rear-end (33%), angle (33%) and SMV (1%) type collisions.

The following **Figure 13** is a map that depicts the location and year of collisions within the study area.



Figure 13: Collison Frequency

The source collision data is provided in **Appendix C**, and a more detail collision analysis is included in the subsequent *Step 4 – Analysis* section of the report.

Planned Conditions

Study Area Transportation Network Changes

Transit Projects

According to the City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan (TMP), peak period bus lanes are to be made available through new road projects and relocation of lanes between Innes Road (west) and Tenth Line. This network change is identified as part of the City's planned 2031 Affordable Network Projects – Transit Priority.

Road Projects

Referencing the City's Construction and Infrastructure Projects website, new road construction projects have not yet been scheduled to start within the horizon years. However, as identified in the City's TMP planned 2031 affordable road network Phase 2 is anticipated to start anytime between 2020 and 2025. The following is a list of road projects that are within the vicinity of the subject development:

- Brian Coburn Boulevard
 - Road widening from two lanes to four lanes;
 - Extension of Brian Coburn Blvd west of Navan Road and then north towards Blackburn Hamlet Bypass. As a result of this extension modifications to Brian Coburn the Brian Coburn/Navan roundabout would be modified to terminate the north leg of Navan Road. The roundabout would then still stay a three-legged intersection except Brian Coburn would run east west and Navan would be the south leg.

Outlined in the TMP's 2031 Network Concept (i.e. not on the Affordable Network Plan) is the road widening of Blackburn Hamlet Bypass from four to six lanes between Innes Road (west) and Navan Road in the east, and the widening of Navan Road from two to four lanes from Brian Coburn Blvd to Mer Bleue Road.

The City has also recently completed a functional design (attached as **Appendix D**) of a new roundabout to be located at the Renaud/Navan intersection. This will result in dead-ending Page Road at Navan Road and a realignment of Renaud Road, eliminating the ability for eastbound traffic approaching Navan Road to continue eastbound on Renaud Road. Given there is no official timeline for the construction of this roundabout, it has not been included in the subsequent analysis.

Other Area Development

Planned developments within the vicinity of the subject development were identified using the City's online Development Application Tool. The following **Table 3** below summarizes the registered developments within the vicinity of the subject site.

Location	Anticipated Build-Out Year	Size	Land Use
3252 Navan Road	2023	11 Single detached homes262 townhomes48 condominium units	Residential
6101 Renaud Road	2024	156 townhouse units, 23 detached house units, and a four to six story condo blocks containing 150 condominium dwelling units	Residential
6173 Renaud Road	2022	Back-to-back stacked town building, 32 dwelling units	Residential

Table 3: Area Development

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

6321 Renaud Road and 506 Compass Street	2023	4 detached homes and 6 townhomes	Residential
6429 Renaud Road	2024	186 residential dwellings	Residential

It should be noted that the projected impact of the development summarized in **Table 3** has been included in the subsequent *Step 3 – Forecasting* section of this report.

2.2 Study Area and Time Periods

Study Area

As discussed previously, City staff confirmed the following study area intersections for the purpose of this assessment:

- Navan/Pagé
- Navan/Brian Coburn
- Navan/Renaud
- Brian Coburn/Pedestrian Crossing
- Brian Coburn/Park and Ride
- Navan/Park and Ride
- Navan/Orleans

Time Periods

Given the surrounding road network (Navan Road and Brian Coburn Blvd) typically experience the heaviest volumes during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, this assessment considered weekday morning and afternoon peak hours for analysis purposes only.

Horizon Years

For the purpose of this assessment, the following development timeline was assumed:

- **2026** Estimated full build-out of the subject development
- **2031** 5-years beyond full build-out, required under the City's TIA Guidelines

2.3 Exemptions Review

Given the size and nature of the proposed subject development site, **Table 4** outlines which elements identified in the 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines that can be exempt from this analysis.

Module	Element	Exemption Criteria	Exemption Status
Design Review			
4.1 Development	4.1.2 Circulation and Access	Required for Site Plans	Not Exempt
Design	4.1.3 New Street Network	Required for Plans of Subdivisions	Not Exempt
4.2 Parking	4.2.1 Parking Supply	Required for Site Plans	Not Exempt
4.2 Faiking	4.2.2 Spillover Parking	Required for Site Plans where parking supply will be 15% below unconstrained demand	Exempt
Network Impact			
4.5 Transportation Demand Management	All Elements	Not required for Site Plans expected to have fewer than 60 employees and/or students on location at any given time	Exempt
4.6 Neighborhood Traffic Management	4.6.1 Adjacent Neighborhoods	Required when the development relies on local or collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds	Exempt
4.8 Network Concept	All Elements	Required when development is projected to generate more than 200 person-trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by established zoning	Exempt

Table 4: Module Exemption Review

3.0 Forecasting

3.1 Development-Generated Travel Demand

Trip Generation

As previously described, the latest Site Plan illustrates that the proposed development will consist of approximately 333 townhomes/low-rise apartments, a gas station/convenience store with drive-thru car wash, a fast food restaurant with a drive-thru and approximately 20,000 ft² of commercial/retail space. It has been assumed that the proposed development will be constructed in a single phase, with an anticipated buildout year of 2026.

Consistent with the City's TIA guidelines, projected site-generated traffic was estimated using appropriate trip generation rates from the 10th Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual and from the latest TRANS Trip Generation Manual Summary Report, dated October 21, 2020. Based on the location and type of development envisioned, the following **Table 5** summarizes the appropriate trip generation rates for estimating projected site-generated traffic.

Land Use	ITE Land Use Code	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour	
Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market	ITE 945 General Urban/Suburban Vehicle Trips	T _A = 75.99(X); T _F = n/a	T _A = 88.35(X); T _F = n/a	
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window	ITE 934 General Urban/Suburban Vehicle Trips	$T_A = 40.19(X);$ $T_F = n/a$	$T_A = 32.67(X);$ $T_F = n/a$	
Shopping Center	ITE 820 General Urban/Suburban Vehicle Trips	$T_A = 0.94(X);$ T = 0.50(X) + 151.78	$T_A = 3.81(X);$ Ln(T) = 0.74 Ln(X) + 2.89	
Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)	ITE 220 TRANS Study Table 3 & 4 Person Trips	T _P = 1.35(U) x 0.50	T _P = 1.58(U) x 0.44	
Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)	ITE 221 TRANS Study Table 3 & 4 Person Trips	T _P = 0.80(U) x 0.50	T _P = 0.90 (U) x 0.44	
Notes: $T_A = Average Vehicle Trips$ $T_F = Vehicle Trips by Fitted Curve$ $X = 1,000 ft^2$ of Gross Floor Area (GFA) $T_P = Average Person Trips$ U = Per Unit				

Table 5: ITE and TRANS Peak Hour Trip Generation Rates

With respect to ITE trip generation rates, the data used to develop these rates only include vehicle trips (i.e. walking, cycling and transit trips are not captured in this data). To properly consider the multi-modal trips generated by the proposed development, projected site-generated traffic

(estimated using the ITE trip generation rates) are converted to projected site-generated person trips, which can then be subdivided into different transportation modes based on area travel patterns and available facilities/network connections (e.g. the availability of transit, walking and cycling facilities).

To convert projected ITE vehicle trips to person trips, an auto occupancy factor and non-auto trip factor is applied to the ITE trip generation rates. With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, and based on available American Census data, the typical modal share of non-auto person trips is approximately 10% and the typical auto occupancy is 1.15. Therefore, when combined, a factor of 1.28 is used to convert vehicle trips to person trips.

It should also be noted that given trip generation rates are predominantly developed using standalone land uses, it can be expected that a mixed-use development will generate multipurpose trips. For example, someone going to a gas station for fuel, may also go to the fast food restaurant on the same site (i.e. a single trip with multiple purposes). Given multi-purpose trips often do not require individuals to leave and return to a site (to visit two different land uses on the same site), a multi-purpose trip is observed as a <u>single</u> trip. In order to account for multi-purpose trips for mixed-use developments, a percent reduction is applied to the total projected site-generated trips. This approach mitigates "double counting" when using trip generation rates that are predominantly developed using standalone land uses. This is considered a standard industry practice.

Based on the foregoing, the projected weekday morning and afternoon peak hour person trip generation for the proposed development is summarized in **Table 6**.

Land Use	Area	AN (Pei	l Peak H son Trip	our os/h)	PM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)			
		In	Out	Total	In	Peak Herson Trip Out 189 81 110 22 45 447 -45 402	Total	
Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market	3,398 ft ²	168	163	331	195	189	384	
Fast Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window	4,010 ft ²	105	101	206	87	81	168	
Shopping Center	20,000 ft ²	128	79	207	101	110	211	
Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)	69 Units	14	33	47	26	22	48	
Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)	264 Units	32	74	106	60	45	105	
Total Person Trips		447	450	897	469	447	916	
10% Multi-Purpose Trip	Reduction	-45	-45	-90	-47	-45	-92	
Total 'New' Person Trips		402	405	807	422	402	824	

As summarized in **Table 6**, the proposed development is projected to generate an approximate two-way total of 807 and 824 person trips/h during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. Directional splits (i.e. inbound vs outbound trips) were obtained from the ITE Trip

Generation Manual and the TRANS Trip Generation Manual Summary Report. Additionally, given the proposed development is considered mixed-use, a 'multi-purpose' trip reduction of 10% was assumed to account for the internal trips between residential and commercial land uses.

To determine the number of person trips arriving/departing by travel mode, total projected person trips were subdivided by percent mode shares. With respect to the TRANS Trip Generation Manual Summary Report, mode shares have been developed for select land uses, specific to City of Ottawa districts (e.g. Kanata-Stittsville, Orleans, Hunt Club, Ottawa Centre, etc.). Using mode share values from the TRANS Trip Generation Manual Summary Report as a baseline, other key factors were also taken into consideration, including; employment, proximity and quality of transit, pedestrian and cycling facilities, purpose of trips, etc. The following **Table 7**, **Table 8**, **Table 9**, **Table 10** and **Table 11** summarize the appropriate mode share values that were used for analysis purposes, based on the proposed land uses.

Given the nature of the proposed land uses, it should be noted that a percentage of the projected site-generated trips can be attributed to 'pass-by' traffic (i.e. a quick diversion to/from the subject development on someone's otherwise, normal daily commute). This additional 'pass-by' traffic does not impact overall network capacity, as this traffic already exists and is using the adjacent transportation network; however, 'pass-by' trips do impact the performance of turning movements at intersections within close proximity to the proposed development, typically where development site access/egress is provided. As such, and for analysis purposes, it was assumed approximately 80% and 50% of projected site-generated traffic will be comprised of 'pass-by' trips for the proposed gas station/convenience store and the fast food restaurant land uses, respectively.

Travel Mode Shares

With respect to the TRANS Summary Report, the proposed development is located in the Orleans district and the AM/PM peak period modal splits within this district, reveal person trips are generally compromised of 47-77% auto drivers, 6-20% auto passengers, 2-29% transit and 5-11% non-motorized modes of travel.

Based on TRANS mode share values for specific land uses and other key factors that can affect mode choice, the projected site-generated person trips were subdivided into separate travel modes and summarized in the **Table 7**, **Table 8**, **Table 9**, **Table 10** and **Table 11** below.

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)			PM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	70%	106	103	209	124	119	243
Auto Passenger	15%	23	23	46	27	26	53
Transit	5%	7	7	14	8	8	16
Non-motorized	10%	15	14	29	17	17	34
Total Person Trips	100%	151	147	298	176	170	346
Less Pass-by 80%		-84	-84	-168	-97	-97	-194
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips		22	19	41	27	22	49

Table 7: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Gasoline Service Station

Given the nature of this land use, the local context and the number of designated OC Transpo school routes/its close proximity to an OC Transpo Park and Ride, it has been assumed that 5% of the total person trips for the gasoline service station will be by transit. Despite a gas station land use primarily offering services that cater to auto drivers, the potential for individuals to visit a gas station land use, without a car, still exists. For example, given the number of OC Transpo school routes within the vicinity of the subject site, the potential for students visiting the proposed gas station convivence market is considered to be relatively high (e.g. a group of students purchasing food, beverages, cellphone accessories, etc. before/after school). Other examples could simply be OC Transpo riders and/or drivers (on break) visiting the proposed convenience market. Therefore, as summarized in **Table 7**, the proposed development is projected to generate approximate two-way transit trips of 14 and 16 person trips/h during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)			PM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)		
	Ondre	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	60%	57	55	112	47	44	91
Auto Passenger	10%	10	9	19	8	8	16
Transit	20%	19	18	37	16	14	30
Non-motorized	10%	9	9	18	7	7	14
Total Person Trips	100%	95	91	186	78	73	151
Less Pass-by 50%		-28	-28	-28	-56	-23	-23
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips		29	29	27	56	24	21

Table 8: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Fast Food Restaurant

Table 9: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Shopping Center

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)			PM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	60%	69	43	112	55	60	115
Auto Passenger	10%	12	7	19	9	10	19
Transit	20%	23	14	37	18	20	38
Non-motorized	10%	11	7	18	9	9	18
Total Person Trips	100%	115	71	186	91	99	190
Less Pass-by 30%		-17	-17	-34	-17	-17	-34
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips		6	52	26	78	38	43

Travel Mode	Mode	AM (Per	Peak H son Tri	lour os/h)	PM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)		
	Ondre	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	50%	7	15	22	12	10	22
Auto Passenger	10%	2	3	5	3	2	5
Transit	30%	3	9	12	6	6	12
Non-motorized	10%	1	3	4	2	2	4
Total Person Trips	100%	13	30	43	23	20	43
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips		7	15	22	12	10	22

Table 10: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)

Table 11: Projected Modal Site Generated Trips – Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)			PM Peak Hour (Person Trips/h)		
	Charo	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	50%	15	34	49	27	21	48
Auto Passenger	10%	3	7	10	6	4	10
Transit	30%	9	20	29	16	12	28
Non-motorized	10%	2	6	8	5	4	9
Total Person Trips	100%	29	67	96	54	41	95
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips		15	34	49	27	21	48

Summing together the total projected 'new' vehicle trips summarized in **Table 7**, **Table 8**, **Table 9**, **Table 10** and **Table 11**, the proposed development is projected to generate approximate twoway vehicle volumes of 246 veh/h and 245 veh/h during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

With regard to active modes, the proposed development is projected to generate approximate two-way person trips of 77 trips/h and 76 trips/h, during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively, and site-generated transit trips are projected to be in the order of 129 trips/h and 124 trips/h, during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

Trip Distribution

The projected distribution of site-generated traffic was derived based on existing travel patterns, the site's connections to/from the surrounding road network, our local area knowledge (e.g. the location and proximity of employment, other area shopping, communities, recreational opportunities, etc.). For analysis purposes and to be consistent with other area studies, the following approximate distribution of projected site-generated traffic was assumed:

- 10% to/from the northeast via Brian Coburn Boulevard;
- 5% to/from the north via Orleans Boulevard;
- 55% to/from the west via Navan Road;
- 5% to/from the northeast via Renaud Road;
- 5% to/from the southeast via Navan Road; and
- + 20% to/from the southwest via Renaud Road.

100%

Trip Assignment

Based on the above assumed distribution, projected 'new' site-generated traffic was assigned to the study area network and is depicted in the following **Figure 14**. Similarly, projected 'pass-by' site-generated traffic, which represents existing traffic temporarily diverted to/from the subject site, is depicted in the following **Figure 15**.



Figure 14: 'New' Projected Site-Generated Traffic


Figure 15: 'Pass-By' Projected Site-Generated Traffic

3.2 Background Network Travel Demands

Transportation Network Plans

According to Ottawa's current Transportation Master Plan (TMP), and identified in the 2031 Affordable Network plan, Brian Coburn Boulevard will be extended further as a Phase 2 project (i.e. expected between the years 2020 and 2025. Identified in the 2031 Affordable Rapid Transit and Transit Priority plan, dedicated transit lanes will be provided between Brian Coburn Boulevard and the Blackburn Hamlet Bypass, and isolated transit priority measures are planned along Brian Coburn Boulevard, between Navan Road and Tenth Line.

The alignment of the Brian Coburn Boulevard extension (with dedicated transit priority lanes) is currently being studied as part of the City lead environmental assessment (EA), titled *Brian Coburn Extension / Cumberland Transitway Westerly Alternate Corridor EA Study.* The latest update on this study was posted June 28, 2021 on the City's website, which included a functional design of the preferred alignment of the Brian Coburn Boulevard extension and a two-lane roundabout at the Brian Coburn/Navan intersection. Attached as **Appendix D** is the recommended ultimate design that was presented at the final public consultation meeting.

With respect the City's current ultimate network plan in their TMP, the Blackburn Hamlet Bypass is planned to be widened to a six-lane facility (i.e. three-lanes per direction) between Innes Road (west) and Navan Road in the east. Navan Road is also planned to be widened from two lanes to four-lanes (i.e. two-lanes per direction) between Brian Coburn Blvd and Mer Bleue Road. Given these road widenings are not identified on the City's affordable plan, they are not considered a priority.

Other Area Developments

Using the City's online Development Application Tool, five proposed developments were identified as having potential impacts on the study area network, namely;

- 3252 Navan Road;
- 6101 Renaud Road;
- 6173 Renaud Road;
- 6321 Renaud Road, 506 Compass Street; and
- 6429 Renaud Road

The site-generated traffic from the above mentioned future area developments were accounted for in the subsequent analysis using an assumed background traffic growth rate, which is further described below.

Background Growth

Upon review of the available TIA studies prepared for the previously mentioned future area developments, a 2% per annum background traffic growth rate was consistently assumed for each TIA study. As such, and to be consistent with previously complete studies within proximity of the subject development, a 2% per annum background traffic growth rate was assumed for the subsequent analysis.

Based on a 2% per annum background traffic growth rate, and in the absence of the site development, the following **Figure 16** and **Figure 17** depict total projected 'background' traffic volumes for the 2026 and 2031 horizon years, respectively.



Figure 16: Background Traffic Volumes (2026)



Figure 17: Background Traffic Volumes (2031)

3.3 Demand Rationalization

The following section summarizes the vehicular intersection capacity analysis of existing, future background and future total volume scenarios.

Using the intersection capacity analysis software Synchro (v10), study area intersections were assessed in terms of vehicle delay (seconds), 95th percentile queues (meters), a volume-to-capacity ratio (V/C ratio) and a corresponding Auto Level of Service (LOS or Auto-LOS). It should be noted that the overall performance of a signalized intersection is calculated as a weighted V/C ratio and assigned a corresponding Auto-LOS, and individual vehicular movements are assigned a LOS based on their respective V/C ratio. The overall performance of an unsignalized intersection is an Auto-LOS output from Synchro, which is based on an Intersection Capacity Utilization (ICU) method, and each movement is assigned a LOS based on their respective V/C ratio.

Existing and Background Conditions

The following **Table 12**, **Table 13** and **Table 14** summarize existing and projected background conditions at study area intersections, in the absence of the proposed development. The objective of this analysis is to determine if network improvements are, or will be required to support background traffic, or if projected future demand should be adjusted (e.g. once an auto network becomes saturated, a modal shift can be expected). Detailed Synchro output data for existing and future background conditions are provided in **Appendix E**.

	AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour								
Dir	Lanes	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)
		-	Navan	/Orleans - S	emi Act-Un	coord Signa	l	-	-
EBL	1 L	0.09	6.8	А	3	0.23	7.2	А	20
EBT	1 T	0.22	5.2	А	23	0.96	33.7	E	#268.0
WBT	1 T	0.92	26.0	E	#240.5	0.29	6.9	A	38
WBR	1 R	0.16	1.9	A	8	0.20	1.5	A	8
SBL	1 L	0.46	33.1	А	30	0.63	37.0	В	45
SBR	1 R	0.52	19.3	А	25	0.12	9.4	А	7
Ov	verall	0.86	20.6	D	-	0.78	23.8	С	-
			Navan/P	ark n' Ride	- Semi Act-L	Jncoord Sig	nal		
WB	1 L/R	0.06	25.1	А	7	0.48	33.2	А	28
NBT	1 T	0.69	5.9	В	#256.0	0.35	4.6	A	45
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.9	A	4	0.01	1.9	A	1
SBL	1 L	0.16	2.8	A	6	0.01	3.5	А	2
SBT	1 T	0.20	1.3	А	22	0.86	17.3	D	#286.6
Ov	verall	0.66	4.8	В	-	0.70	14.7	С	-
			Na	van/Brian C	oburn - Rou	Indabout			
WB	1 L/R	1.12	98.9	F	147	0.37	8.8	А	14
NB	1 T/R	0.62	12.4	В	35	0.66	18.3	С	35
SB	1 L/T	0.37	7.9	А	14	1.18	109.9	F	#245
Ov	verall	0.98	46.9	E	-	1.8	73.5	F	-
				Page/Nava	n - Unsigna	lized			
EB	1 L/T/R	0.01	0.3	А	0	0.04	1.1	А	1
WB	1 L/T/R	0.00	0.0	А	0	0.00	0.0	А	0
NBR	1 R	0.00	0.0	A	0	0.01	14.9	А	0
SB	1 L/T/R	0.19	18.8	A	6	0.05	13.2	А	1
Ov	verall	0.52	1.1	A	-	0.83	1.0	D	-
			Navan/Re	naud - Actu	ated-Uncoc	ordinated Sig	gnal		
EBL	1 L	0.50	20.4	А	24	0.54	21.5	Α	39
EBT	1 T	0.15	14.8	А	23	0.65	25.3	В	83
EBR	1 R	0.05	4.9	А	5	0.29	8.3	Α	19
WBL	1 L	0.10	24.4	А	12	0.18	29.2	Α	11
WB	1 T/R	1.07	93.4	F	#166.3	0.63	35.7	В	46
NBL	1 L	0.29	20.3	А	31	0.13	14.7	A	11
NBT	1 T	0.57	24.7	А	85	0.20	14.2	A	29
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.2	А	0	0.06	1.4	A	2
SBL	1 L	0.19	19.6	А	14	0.27	15.7	A	29
SB	1 T/R	0.19	18.1	А	27	0.56	19.3	A	85
Ov	verall	0.78	43.9	С	-	0.57	20.5	Α	-
			Brian Cobur	n/Park n' Ri	de - Semi A	ct-Uncoord	Signal		
EBL	1 L	0.04	2.5	А	3	0.02	2.0	А	2
EBT	1 T	0.06	2.1	А	7	0.36	2.7	А	46
WBT	1 T	0.41	3.3	А	56	0.16	1.9	А	17
WBR	1 R	0.01	1.6	А	2	0.01	1.4	А	1
SBL	1 L	0.03	33.7	А	5	0.04	38.7	А	6
SBR	1 R	0.11	16.0	А	7	0.12	17.6	А	8
Ov	verall	0.39	3.8	Α	-	0.29	3.1	Α	-

Table 12: Study Area Intersection Operations – Existing Conditions

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Page MUP/Brian Coburn - Semi Act-Uncoord Signal										
EBT	EBT 1 T 0.06 0.1 A 0 0.33 0.5 A 0									
WBT	WBT 1 T 0.38 0.6 A 0 0.15 0.2 A 0									
Ov	Overall 0.34 0.5 A - 0.27 0.4 A -									
Notes:	# - denote	s 95 th percen	tile volume ex	ceeding capo	ncity					
Ideal saturation flow rate assumed to be 1,800 veh/h/lane										
	PHF assumed to be 0.90									

As shown in **Table 12**, study area intersections are currently operating with an acceptable overall Auto-LOS 'D' or better during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, with the exception of the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout, which is currently operating near or at capacity with an overall Auto-LOS of 'E' during the weekday morning peak hour and an Auto-LOS of 'F' during the afternoon peak hour. With regard to 'critical' movements, they are operating with an Auto-LOS of 'D' or better during both peak hours, with the exception of the westbound movements at Navan/Brian Coburn and Navan/Renaud intersections operating with an Auto-LOS 'F' during the AM peak hour, and during the PM peak hour, the southbound approach at the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout is also exceeding available capacity.

In terms of 95th percentile queues, sufficient vehicle storage is provided, such that vehicle queues do not spill or block adjacent lanes or intersections. However, it should be noted that there are a number of critical movements that operate with long 95th percentile queues and delays.

Based on our local area knowledge, the above quantitative study area intersection operations summary is consistent with actual operations.

Potential measures to improve individual movements that are operating near or over capacity during peak hours include:

- Implement an auxiliary westbound right-turn lane at the Navan/Renaud intersection; and
- Increase the number of circulation lanes from 1 to 2 at the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout.

These suggested improvement measures mentioned above are only provided for information/decision making purposes and will not be assumed subsequent analysis. If any of these possible measures are desirable by the City, further investigation of their feasibility may be required to support their justification.

As previously mentioned, the City is currently leading an EA to further improve transit and extend Brian Coburn Boulevard, west of Navan Road, which will include a multi-lane roundabout at the Navan/Brian Coburn intersection. These improvements will not only improve area vehicular capacity, the additional transit improvements will greatly increase person trip capacity, which should reduce the need for additional road widenings (e.g. an auxiliary westbound right-turn lane at the Navan/Renaud intersection).

The following **Table 13** summarizes intersection operations for the 2026 horizon year with the addition of background traffic volumes only. This future background scenario assumes no intersection or network improvements for comparison purposes (e.g. comparing apples to apples).

			AM Pea	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Dir	Lanes	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)
			Navan	/Orleans - S	emi Act-Un	coord Signa	I		
EBL	1 L	0.11	8.3	А	3	0.24	7.4	А	20
EBT	1 T	0.23	5.4	A	26	1.06	60.1	F	#308.1
WBT	1 T	1.02	46.9	F	#282.9	0.32	7.1	А	42
WBR	1 R	0.16	2.1	А	9	0.20	1.5	А	8
SBL	1 L	0.46	32.8	A	30	0.63	37.0	В	45
SBR	1 R	0.54	23.2	A	29	0.12	9.4	A	7
Ov	verall	0.96	34.2	E	-	0.86	39.0	D	-
	T		Navan/P	ark n' Ride	- Semi Act-L	Incoord Sig	nal		
WB	1 L/R	0.06	25.1	A	7	0.48	33.2	A	28
NBT	1 T	0.76	7.8	С	#301.2	0.39	4.9	A	52
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.9	A	4	0.01	1.9	A	1
SBL	1 L	0.22	4.3	А	8	0.01	3.5	А	2
SBT	1 T	0.22	1.4	А	25	0.94	26.6	E	#332.4
Ov	verall	0.73	6.2	С	-	0.77	20.8	С	-
			Na	van/Brian C	oburn - Rou	Indabout			
WB	1 L/R	1.30	170.2	F	217	0.40	9.8	A	14
NB	1 T/R	0.68	14.1	В	42	0.70	20.5	С	42
SB	1 L/T	0.41	8.6	А	14	1.26	142.1	F	#301
Ov	verall	1.05	77.5	F	1	1.15	93.3	F	-
				Page/Nava	n - Unsigna	lized			
EB	1 L/T/R	0.01	0.3	А	0	0.04	1.2	А	1
WB	1 L/T/R	0.00	0.0	А	0	0.00	0.0	А	0
NBR	1 R	0.00	0.0	A	0	0.01	15.9	А	0
SB	1 L/T/R	0.22	21.5	A	6.6	0.05	14.2	A	1
Ov	verall	0.55	1.1	A	-	0.89	1.1	D	-
			Navan/Re	enaud - Actu	ated-Uncoc	ordinated Si	gnal		
EBL	11	0.50	20.4	А	24	0.54	22.2	А	42
EBT	1 T	0.15	14.8	A	23	0.66	26.0	В	90
EBR	1 R	0.05	4.9	А	5	0.29	8.6	A	21
WBL	1 L	0.10	24.4	А	12	0.18	29.9	A	11
WB	1 T/R	1.07	93.4	F	#166.3	0.63	36.3	В	48
NBL	1 L	0.30	20.3	A	31	0.14	14.9	A	11
NBT	1 T	0.63	26.1	В	94	0.22	14.3	A	32
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.2	A	0	0.06	1.3	A	2
SBL	1 L	0.21	20.3	А	15	0.27	15.6	Α	29
SB	1 T/R	0.20	18.3	A	29	0.60	20.2	A	96
Ov	/erall	0.80	43.7	С	-	0.59	21.0	Α	-
			Brian Cobur	n/Park n' Ri	de - Semi A	ct-Uncoord	Signal		
EBL	1 L	0.04	2.5	A	3	0.02	2.1	A	2
EBT	1 T	0.07	2.1	А	8	0.40	3.0	А	53
WBT	1 T	0.45	3.7	А	66	0.18	2.0	А	19
WBR	1 R	0.01	1.7	А	2	0.01	1.4	А	1
SBL	1 L	0.03	33.7	А	5	0.04	38.7	А	6
SBR	1 R	0.11	16.0	А	7	0.12	17.6	А	8
Ov	verall	0.43	4.0	Α	-	0.33	3.2	Α	-

Table 13: Study Area Intersection Operations – 2026 Background Conditions

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Page MUP/Brian Coburn - Semi Act-Uncoord Signal										
EBT	EBT 1 T 0.07 0.1 A 0 0.37 0.6 A 0									
WBT	WBT 1 T 0.42 0.7 A 0 0.17 0.2 A 0									
Ov	Overall 0.37 0.6 A - 0.31 0.5 A -									
Notes:	# - denote	s 95 th percen	tile volume ex	ceeding capo	acity					
Ideal saturation flow rate assumed to be 1,800 veh/h/lane										
	PHF assumed to be 0.90									

As shown in **Table 13**, study area intersections are projected to continue operating with an acceptable overall Auto-LOS 'D' or better during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, with the exception of the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout, which is expected to continue operating over capacity with an overall Auto-LOS of 'F' during both AM and PM peak hours. The Navan/Orleans intersection is also projected to operate near capacity with an overall Auto-LOS of 'E' during the AM peak hour.

With regard to 'critical' movements, the majority are projected to operate with an Auto-LOS of 'C' or better during both peak hours; however, there are a number of 'critical' movements that are projected to operate near or over capacity, including:

- Westbound movements at the Navan/Orleans, Navan/Brian Coburn and Navan/Renaud intersections, projected to operate with an Auto-LOS 'F' during the AM peak hour;
- Eastbound through movement at the Navan/Orleans intersection, projected to operate with an Auto-LOS 'F' during the PM peak hour;
- Southbound movement at Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout, projected to operate with an Auto-LOS 'F' during the PM peak hour; and the
- Southbound movement at Navan/Park and Ride intersection, projected to operate near capacity with an Auto-LOS 'E' during the PM peak hour.

In terms of 95th percentile queues, some individual movements are projected to approach/exceed available storage, similar to existing conditions.

As previously mentioned, potential measures to improve individual movements that are operating near or over capacity during peak hours include:

- Implement an auxiliary westbound right-turn lane at the Navan/Renaud intersection; and
- Increase the number of circulation lanes from 1 to 2 at the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout.

These suggested improvement measures mentioned above are only provided for information/decision making purposes and will not be assumed subsequent analysis. If any of these possible measures are desirable by the City, further investigation of their feasibility may be required to support their justification (e.g. the *Brian Coburn Extension / Cumberland Transitway Westerly Alternate Corridor EA Study*)

The following **Table 14** summarizes intersection operations for the 2031 horizon year with the addition of background traffic volumes only. This future background scenario assumes no intersection improvements or network improvements.

	AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour								
Dir	Lanes	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)
			Navan	/Orleans - S	emi Act-Un	coord Signa	I		
EBL	1 L	0.12	8.6	А	3	0.25	7.6	А	21
EBT	1 T	0.25	5.7	А	30	1.17	102.4	F	#351.7
WBT	1 T	1.13	87.3	F	#329.7	0.35	7.4	А	47
WBR	1 R	0.16	2.5	А	10	0.20	1.5	А	8
SBL	1 L	0.45	32.1	A	30	0.63	37.0	В	45
SBR	1 R	0.56	26.6	A	31	0.12	9.4	A	7
Ov	verall	1.06	60.7	F	-	0.95	64.1	E	-
	-	-	Navan/P	ark n' Ride	- Semi Act-L	Incoord Sig	nal	-	-
WB	1 L/R	0.06	25.1	А	7	0.48	33.2	Α	28
NBT	1 T	0.84	10.4	D	#350.3	0.43	5.3	A	60
NBR	1 R	0.05	1.0	A	4	0.01	1.9	A	1
SBL	1 L	0.40	15.4	А	#23.8	0.02	3.5	А	2
SBT	1 T	0.24	1.5	А	28	1.04	50.3	F	#383.3
Ov	verall	0.82	8.5	D	-	0.85	36.6	D	-
			Na	van/Brian C	oburn - Rou	Indabout			
WB	1 T/L	1.52	264.0	F	#294	0.46	11.3	В	14
NB	1 T/R	0.74	16.5	С	49	0.76	23.8	С	49
SB	1 L/T	0.44	9.4	А	14	1.35	180.0	F	#364
Ov	verall	1.04	118.2	F	-	1.22	116.5	F	-
				Page/Nava	n - Unsigna	lized			
EB	1 L/T/R	0.01	0.3	А	0	0.04	1.3	А	1
WB	1 L/T/R	0.00	0.0	А	0	0.00	0.0	А	0
NBR	1 R	0.00	0.0	A	0	0.01	17.2	С	0
SB	1 L/T/R	0.26	25.6	A	8	0.06	15.7	С	2
Ov	verall	0.59	1.2	A	-	0.95	1.2	E	-
			Navan/Re	naud - Actu	ated-Uncoo	ordinated Sig	gnal		
EBL	1 L	0.51	21.0	А	26	0.55	23.2	А	46
EBT	1 T	0.15	15.3	A	24	0.66	26.9	В	97
EBR	1 R	0.05	5.2	А	6	0.29	9.0	А	22
WBL	1 L	0.10	25.1	А	12	0.18	30.8	А	12
WB	1 T/R	1.08	96.0	F	#174.4	0.64	37.1	В	51
NBL	1 L	0.30	20.1	A	31	0.16	15.2	A	11
NBT	1 T	0.68	27.5	В	105	0.24	14.3	A	35
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.2	A	0	0.06	1.2	A	2
SBL	1 L	0.23	20.9	А	15	0.27	15.5	А	29
SB	1 T/R	0.22	18.3	А	31	0.66	21.5	В	110
Overall 0.82 44.4 D - 0.63 21.7 B -							-		
			Brian Cobur	n/Park n' Ri	de - Semi A	ct-Uncoord	Signal		
EBL	1 L	0.04	2.6	Α	3	0.03	2.1	A	3
EBT	1 T	0.08	2.0	Α	9	0.44	3.3	A	62
WBT	1 T	0.50	4.1	А	79	0.20	2.0	A	21
WBR	1 R	0.01	1.8	A	2	0.01	1.4	A	1
SBL	1 L	0.03	33.7	A	5	0.04	38.7	A	6
SBR	1 R	0.11	16.0	A	7	0.12	17.6	A	8
Ov	verall	0.48	4.3	Α	-	0.36	3.4	Α	-

Table 14: Study Area Intersection Operations – 2031 Background Conditions

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Page MUP/Brian Coburn - Semi Act-Uncoord Signal											
EBT	EBT 1 T 0.07 0.1 A 0 0.41 0.7 A 0										
WBT	WBT 1 T 0.46 0.9 A 0 0.18 0.2 A 0										
Ov	Overall 0.41 0.8 A - 0.34 0.5 A -										
Notes:	# - der	notes 95 th per	centile volum	e exceeding c	apacity						
Ideal saturation flow rate assumed to be 1,800 veh/h/lane											
PHF assumed to be 0.90											

As shown in **Table 14**, assuming no signal timing or network modifications for the 2031 horizon year, study area intersections are projected to continue operating similar to the 2026 horizon year, only with relatively minor increases in volumes and delays due to projected increases in background traffic (i.e. in the absence of traffic generated by the subject development site).

Similar to existing and background 2026 conditions, there are some individual movements that are operating near or over capacity during peak hours, which can be improved with the measures mentioned previously.

Adjustments to Background Network Demand

Given study area intersections are planned to undergo significant capacity improvements in the near future (e.g. the extension of Brian Coburn Boulevard, dedicated transit lanes, etc.), adjustments to background network demand was not considered for the purposes of this assessment. With the extension of Brian Coburn Boulevard and the addition of dedicated transit lanes, there will certainly be a redistribution of area travel patterns and mode choices. This shift in travel behaviour will be studied as part of the *Brian Coburn Extension / Cumberland Transitway Westerly Alternate Corridor EA Study* and is considered beyond the scope of a typical TIA. The main objective of this TIA study will be to identify any additional mitigation measures that may be necessary to support the subject development site (e.g. evaluate the need for auxiliary turn lanes, modifications to intersection traffic control, transportation demand management strategies, traffic calming, etc.), which will be discussed in the subsequent *Step 4 – Analysis* section of this report.

It should be noted that with the implementation of area transit priority measures, an increase in transit users was accounted for in the previous *Development-Generated Travel Demand* section of this report, where a 20% to 30% transit modal share was assumed for site-generated traffic/analysis purposes.

Total Projected Conditions

The following **Figure 18** depicts 'total' projected volumes for the horizon year of 2026, which were derived by superimposing site-generated traffic volumes (i.e. 'new' and 'pass-by' trips) onto projected background traffic volumes (e.g. summing together volumes depicted in **Figure 14**, **Figure 15** and **Figure 16**, resulting in **Figure 18**).



Figure 18: Total Projected Traffic Volumes (2026)

Similar to existing and future background conditions, total projected conditions were assessed using the intersection capacity analysis software Synchro (v10). Metrics such as Auto-LOS, V/C ratio, 95th percentile queue (metres) and vehicular delay (seconds) were analyzed. Assuming no intersection improvements, the following **Table 15** summarizes the intersection operational analysis of the study area intersections for the total projected 2026 horizon year.

Detailed Synchro output data for future total projected conditions is provided in Appendix F.

			AM Pea	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour				
Dir	Dir Lanes	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)	
			Navan	/Orleans - S	emi Act-Un	coord Signal	1			
EBL	1 L	0.12	8.5	А	3	0.27	8.0	А	22	
EBT	1 T	0.30	6.0	А	35	1.13	87.6	F	#337.9	
WBT	1 T	1.09	69.5	F	#310.3	0.38	7.9	А	53	
WBR	1 R	0.16	2.4	А	10	0.21	1.5	А	8	
SBL	1 L	0.48	33.2	А	32	0.64	37.3	В	47	
SBR	1 R	0.55	25.1	А	30	0.12	9.4	А	7	
Ov	verall	1.02 47.6 F -				0.91	54.0	E	-	

 Table 15: Study Area Intersection Operations – Total Projected Conditions (2026)

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Navan/Park n' Ride - Semi Act-Uncoord Signal									
WB	1 L/R	0.06	25.1	Α	7	0.48	33.2	А	28
NBT	1 T	0.81	9.3	D	#332.0	0.45	5.5	А	63
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.9	А	4	0.01	1.9	А	1
SBL	1 L	0.30	7.5	А	11	0.02	3.5	А	2
SBT	1 T	0.27	1.5	А	32	1.00	39.6	F	#364.6
Ον	verall	0.79	7.3	C	-	0.82	29.0	D	-
			Nav	/an/Brian C	oburn - Rou	ndabout			
WB	1 L/R	1.46	235.5	F	#280	0.52	12.3	В	21
NB	1 T/R	0.76	18.8	С	56	0.79	28.1	D	56
SB	1 L/T	0.50	10.3	В	21	1.37	189	F	#378
Ov	verall	1.16	106.4	F	-	1.25	121.4	F	-
			Nava	n/Site Driv	eway N - Un	signalized			
WB	1 L/R	0.32	25.0	А	11	0.36	28.6	А	13
NB	1 T/R	0.45	0.0	А	0	0.29	0.0	А	0
SB	1 L/T	0.04	1.4	А	1	0.04	0.9	А	1
Ov	/erall	0.58	2.1	Α	-	0.82	2.3	D	-
			Nava	n/Site Driv	eway S - Un	signalized			
WB	1 L/R	0.10	22.6	А	3	0.07	25.3	А	2
NB	1 T/R	0.45	0.0	А	0	0.29	0.0	А	0
SB	1 L/T	0.00	0.1	Α	0	0.00	0.1	А	0
Ov	verall	0.48	0.5	Α	-	0.56	0.3	Α	-
				Page/Nava	n - Unsigna	lized			
EB	1 L/T/R	0.01	0.3	А	0	0.04	1.3	А	1
WB	1 L/T/R	0.00	0.0	А	0	0.00	0.0	А	0
NBR	1 R	0.00	0.0	А	0	0.01	16.3	А	0
SB	1 I /T/R	0.25	23.9	С	7	0.06	15.2	Δ	2
5	± =, 1, 1, 11	0.25	25.5		-	0.00	19.2	73	2
Ov	verall	0.23	1.2	A	-	0.92	1.1		-
Ov	verall	0.57	1.2 Navan/Re	A naud - Actu	- ated-Uncoo	0.92 rdinated Sig	1.1 gnal		-
Ov EBL	verall 1 L	0.57 0.60	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3	A naud - Actu A	- ated-Uncoo 31	0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62	1.1 gnal 24.8	В	- 49
OV EBL EBT	verall 1 L 1 T	0.23 0.57 0.60 0.15	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9	A naud - Actu A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23	0.60 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1	B	- 49 91
EBL EBT EBR	1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R	0.60 0.15 0.05	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0	A naud - Actu A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5	0.60 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7	B B A	- 49 91 21
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL	1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L	0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5	A naud - Actu A A A A	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12	0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0	B B A A	- 49 91 21 12
EBL EBT EBR WBL WB	1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R	0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6	A naud - Actu A A A A F	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7	0.60 o.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7	B B A A B	2 - 49 91 21 12 51
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL	1 L 1 Verall	0.25 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5	A naud - Actu A A A A F A	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31	0.60 o.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1	B B A A B A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT	1 L 1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T	0.25 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6	A naud - Actu A A A A F A B	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96	0.60 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4	B B A A B A A A	- 49 91 21 12 51 11 33
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBL NBR	1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T 1 R	0.25 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2	A naud - Actu A A A F A B A	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0	0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2	B B A A B A A A A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL	1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L 1 L	0.25 0.57 0.57 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2	A naud - Actu A A A F A B A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9	B B A A B A A A A A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB	1 L 1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L	0.23 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2	A naud - Actu A A A A F A B A B A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.29 0.62	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8	B B A A B A A A A B B	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV	1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R / rerall	0.23 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6	A naud - Actu A A A A F A B A B A A A A D	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 -	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6	B B A A B A A A A B B B B	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 -
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV	1 L 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R 1 L 1 T / R / rerall	0.23 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri	A naud - Actu A A A A B A B A A A A D veway/Bria	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn -	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6	B B A A B A A A A B B B B	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EB	1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T/R 1 L 1 T/R rerall 1 T/R	0.23 0.57 0.57 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.12	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0	A naud - Actu A A A A F A B A B A A A D veway/Bria A	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized 0.43	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0	B B A A B A A A B B B B B	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB SB OV EB WB	1L 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1T/R 1T/R 1T/R	0.23 0.57 0.57 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.24 0.22 0.81	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7	A naud - Actu A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.66 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized 0.43 0.04	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5	B B A A B A A A B B B B B C A A A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - - 0 1
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB SB OV EB WB NB	1 L, H, H rerall 1 L 1 T 1 R 1 L 1 T/R 1 T/R	0.23 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1	A naud - Actu A A A A A A A A A A A A A A B A A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized 0.43 0.04 0.34	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4	B B A A B A A A B B B B B A A A A A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - - 0 1 12
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EB WB NB OV	1L 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L/R Yerall	0.23 0.57 0.60 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65	1.2 Navan/Ret 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2	A naud - Actu A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 9 -	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.63 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4	B B A A A A A A B A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - - 0 1 12 -
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB SB OV EB WB NB OV	1L 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L 1	0.23 0.57 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65 Site Driv	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2 reway/Park	A naud - Actu A A A A A B A B A A A D veway/Bria A A D veway/Bria A A B n' Ride/Bria	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 - an Coburn -	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.63 0.43 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59 Semi Act-Ui	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4 2.4 3.5 24.4 2.4 3.5 24.4 2.4 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.5 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.9 <tr< th=""><th>B B A A A A A A B B B B B A A A A A A A</th><th>2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -</th></tr<>	B B A A A A A A B B B B B A A A A A A A	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EBL OV	1L 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L/R verall 1L	0.23 0.57 0.57 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65 Site Driv 0.05	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2 reway/Park 3.8	A naud - Actu A A A A A B A A A A D veway/Bria A A A D veway/Bria A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 - an Coburn - 3	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized 0.43 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59 Semi Act-Ui 0.03	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4 2.4 3.2	B B A A A B A A A B B B B B C A A A A A	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EBL EB CV	1L 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R rerall 1L 1L 1L 1T/R	0.23 0.57 0.57 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65 Site Driv 0.05 0.14	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2 reway/Park 3.8 2.7	A naud - Actu A A A A A B A A A A D veway/Bria A A A B n' Ride/Bria A A	ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 - an Coburn - 3 10	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized 0.43 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59 Semi Act-Ui 0.03 0.51	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4 2.4 2.4 3.2 5.9	B B A A A B A A A B B B B B B A A A A A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - 0 101 - 0 101 - 12 - 12 - 3 3 72
OV EBL EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EBL EB EBL EB WB	1L, I, Y, N verall 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L/R verall 1L 1T/R 1L/R verall	0.23 0.57 0.57 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65 Site Driv 0.05 0.14 0.55	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2 reway/Park 3.8 2.7 7.0	A naud - Actu A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 - an Coburn - 3 10 77	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.60 Unsignalized 0.43 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59 Semi Act-Ut 0.03 0.51 0.24	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4 2.4 3.2 5.9 3.8	B B A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - 0 101 - 0 1 12 - 0 1 12 - 3 3 72 25
OV EBL EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EBL EB WB OV	1L, I, Y, N verall 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L 1L 1L 1L 1L 1R	0.23 0.57 0.57 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65 Site Driv 0.05 0.14 0.55 0.02	1.2 Navan/Ret 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2 reway/Park 3.8 2.7 7.0 0.6	A naud - Actu A A A A A A A A A A A B A A A A A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 - 0 1 9 - 3 10 77 1	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.60 Jnsignalized 0.43 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59 Semi Act-Un 0.03 0.51 0.24 0.01	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4 2.4 3.2 5.9 3.8 0.1	B B A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - 7 0 1 101 - 12 - 3 3 72 25 0
OV EBL EBT EBR WBL WB NBL NBT NBR SBL SB OV EBL EB WB OV EBL EB EB WB NB	1L 1L 1T 1R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L 1T/R 1L/R Perall 1L/R 1L/R 1L/R 1L/R 1L/R 1L/T 1R 1L/T/R 1L/T/R	0.23 0.57 0.05 0.15 0.05 0.10 1.09 0.30 0.64 0.05 0.24 0.22 0.81 0.22 0.81 0.12 0.03 0.27 0.65 Site Driv 0.05 0.14 0.55 0.02 0.27	1.2 Navan/Re 24.3 14.9 5.0 24.5 99.6 20.5 26.6 0.2 21.2 18.2 45.6 Site Dri 0.0 0.7 19.1 2.2 reway/Park 3.8 2.7 7.0 0.6 19.9	A naud - Actu A A A A A A A A A A A A B A A A A A A	- ated-Uncoo 31 23 5 12 #169.7 31 96 0 17 31 - n Coburn - 0 1 9 - 0 1 9 - - 3 10 77 1 17	0.00 0.92 rdinated Sig 0.62 0.29 0.18 0.65 0.14 0.23 0.06 0.29 0.62 0.62 0.60 Jnsignalized 0.43 0.04 0.34 0.34 0.59 Semi Act-Ui 0.03 0.51 0.24 0.01 0.38	1.1 gnal 24.8 26.1 8.7 30.0 36.7 15.1 14.4 1.2 15.9 20.8 21.6 0.0 1.5 24.4 2.4 2.4 3.2 5.9 3.8 0.1 28.7	B B A	2 - 49 91 21 12 51 11 33 2 30 101 - 7 0 1 101 - 12 - 12 - 3 72 25 0 22

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

SBR	1 R	0.10	7.8	А	5	0.11	10.3	А	5	
Ov	verall	0.51	7.5	А	-	0.42	7.2	Α	-	
	Page MUP/Brian Coburn - Semi Act-Uncoord Signal									
EBT	1 T	0.07	0.1	А	0	0.38	0.6	А	0	
WBT	1 T	0.42	0.8	А	0	0.18	0.2	A	0	
Ov	verall	0.37	0.7	А	-	0.32	0.5	Α	-	
Notes:	Notes: # - denotes 95 th percentile volume exceeding capacity									
	Ideal saturation flow rate assumed to be 1,800 veh/h/lane									
	PHF assumed to be 0.90									

As shown in **Table 15**, assuming no intersection improvements, study area intersections are projected to continue operating similar to background 2026 conditions, only with relatively minor increases in volumes and delays due to added site-generated traffic.

Similar to existing and background conditions, there are some individual movements that are operating near or over capacity during peak hours, which can be improved with the measures mentioned previously.

Five years beyond full site build-out, the following **Figure 19** depicts the future 'total' volumes for the horizon year of 2031, which were derived by superimposing site-generated traffic (i.e. 'new' and 'pass-by' trips) volumes onto projected background traffic volumes (e.g. summing volumes together from **Figure 14**, **Figure 15** and **Figure 17**, resulting in **Figure 19**).



Figure 19: Total Projected Traffic Volumes (2031)

The following **Table 16** summarizes the intersection operational analysis of the study area intersections for the total projected 2031 horizon year and detailed Synchro output data for future total projected conditions is provided in **Appendix F**.

			AM Pea	ak Hour		PM Peak Hour			
Dir	Lanes	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)	v/c	Delay (s)	LOS	Queue (m)
			Navan	/Orleans - S	emi Act-Uno	coord Signa	1	-	
EBL	1 L	0.12	8.7	А	3	0.29	8.3	А	22
EBT	1 T	0.32	6.3	A	39	1.24	133.8	F	#381.5
WBT	1 T	1.20	115.5	F	#356.7	0.41	8.2	А	59
WBR	1 R	0.17	2.7	А	11	0.21	1.5	А	8
SBL	1 L	0.47	32.6	А	32	0.64	37.3	В	47
SBR	1 R	0.57	28.2	A	33	0.12	9.4	A	7
Ov	erall	1.13	77.4	F	-	1.00	81.5	E	-
			Navan/P	ark n' Ride	Semi Act-U	Incoord Sigr	nal		
WB	1 L/R	0.06	25.1	А	7	0.48	33.2	A	28
NBT	1 T	0.88	13.0	D	#381.2	0.49	5.9	A	73
NBR	1 R	0.05	1.0	A	4	0.01	2.0	А	2
SBL	1 L	0.50	26.9	А	#13.3	0.02	3.5	А	2
SBT	1 T	0.29	1.6	А	36	1.10	72.8	F	#415.1
Ov	erall	0.86	10.3	D	-	0.90	51.0	E	-
			Nav	/an/Brian C	oburn - Rou	ndabout			
WB	1 L/R	1.69	337.5	F	#364	0.58	14.4	В	28
NB	1 T/R	0.83	23.2	С	70	0.85	34.2	D	63
SB	1 L/T	0.54	11.4	В	21	1.46	227.8	F	#441
Ov	erall	1.25	151.5	F	-	1.32	145.4	F	-
			Nava	n/Site Drive	eway N - Un	signalized			
WB	1 L/R	0.37	29.8	А	13	0.43	35.7	А	16
NB	1 T/R	0.49	0.0	А	0	0.31	0.0	А	0
SB	1 L/T	0.05	1.4	А	1	0.04	1.0	А	1
Ον	erall	0.60	2.3	Α		0.86	2.6	D	-
			Nava	n/Site Driv	eway S - Un	signalized			
WB	1 L/R	0.12	26.9	А	3	0.08	30.1	А	2
NB	1 T/R	0.49	0.0	А	0	0.32	0.0	А	0
SBL	1 L/T	0.00	0.1	Α	0	0.00	0.1	А	0
Ov	erall	0.52	0.5	Α	-	0.60	0.3	В	-
				Page/Nava	n - Unsignal	lized			
EB	1 L/T/R	0.01	0.3	А	0	0.05	1.4	А	1
WB	1 L/T/R	0.00	0.1	А	0	0.00	0.0	А	0
NBR	1 R	0.00	0.0	А	0	0.01	17.5	А	0
SB	1 L/T/R	0.29	29.0	A	9	0.07	16.6	A	2
Ov	erall	0.62	1.3	В	-	0.98	1.2	E	-
			Navan/Re	naud - Actu	ated-Uncoo	rdinated Sig	gnal		
EBL	1 L	0.60	25.0	А	#33.8	0.62	26.1	В	54
EBT	1 T	0.15	15.4	A	25	0.66	27.1	В	101
EBR	1 R	0.05	5.3	A	6	0.29	9.1	A	23
WBL	1 L	0.10	25.3	A	12	0.18	31.2	A	12
WB	1 T/R	1.10	102.7	F	#178.6	0.65	37.6	В	54
NBL	1 L	0.30	20.2	Α	31	0.16	15.5	А	11

Table 16: Study Area Intersection Operations – Total Projected Conditions (2031)

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

NBT	1 T	0.69	28.0	В	107	0.25	14.5	А	37	
NBR	1 R	0.05	0.2	А	0	0.06	1.1	А	2	
SBL	1 L	0.27	22.0	А	17	0.29	15.8	А	31	
SB	1 T/R	0.24	18.2	А	33	0.67	22.2	В	115	
Ov	erall	0.83	46.4	D	-	0.63	22.4	В	-	
			Site Dri	veway/Bria	n Coburn - 🛛	Unsignalized	ł			
EB	1 T/R	0.13	0.0	А	0	0.47	0.0	А	0	
WB	1 L/T	0.03	0.7	А	1	0.05	1.5	А	1	
NBL	1 L/R	0.31	22.1	А	10	0.39	28.7	A	14	
Ov	erall	0.69	2.3	В	-	0.60	2.6	A	-	
Site Driveway/Park n' Ride/Brian Coburn - Semi Act-Uncoord Signal										
EBL	1 L	0.06	3.9	А	3	0.03	3.3	А	3	
EB	1 T/R	0.15	2.9	А	11	0.56	6.6	A	84	
WB	1 T/L	0.61	7.9	В	93	0.26	3.9	А	27	
WBR	1 R	0.02	0.6	А	1	0.01	0.1	А	0	
NB	1 L/T/R	0.27	19.9	А	17	0.38	28.7	A	22	
SBL	1 L	0.04	28.3	А	4	0.04	33.0	A	5	
SBR	1 R	0.10	7.8	А	5	0.11	10.3	A	5	
Ov	erall	0.56	8.2	Α	1	0.46	7.5	А	-	
			Page MUP/	Brian Cobur	n - Semi Ac	t-Uncoord S	ignal			
EBT	1 T	0.08	0.1	А	0	0.41	0.7	А	0	
WBT	1 T	0.47	0.9	А	0	0.19	0.2	А	0	
Ov	erall	0.41	0.8	Α	-	0.34	0.6	Α	-	
Notes: # - denotes 95 th percentile volume exceeding capacity Ideal saturation flow rate assumed to be 1,800 veh/h/lane PHF assumed to be 0.90										

As shown in **Table 16**, assuming no intersection improvements, study area intersections are projected to continue operating similar to background 2031 conditions, only with relatively minor increases in volumes and delays due to added site-generated traffic.

Similar to existing and background conditions, there are some individual movements that are operating near or over capacity during peak hours, which can be improved with the measures mentioned previously.

Adjustments to Site-Generated Demand

With respect to projected site-generated traffic for the subject development lands and other area developments, adjusting modal splits away from projected auto trips further, is difficult to justify, as certain individuals will ultimately be required to drive for one reason or another (e.g. distance between origin/destination is too great, travel is a requirement for employment, physical disabilities limit travel options to personal vehicle, etc.). Additionally, adjusting the auto modal share for site-generated traffic much lower will have a negligible affect on the performance of study area network (*note: study area intersections are projected to continue operating similar to background conditions, only with minor increases in volumes and delays*).

As mentioned previously, the *Brian Coburn Extension / Cumberland Transitway Westerly Alternate Corridor EA Study* will assess the impacts associated with the extension of Brian Coburn Boulevard and the addition of dedicated travel lanes. The main objective of this TIA study, from a broader network perspective, will be to identify any additional mitigation measures that may be necessary to support the subject development site (e.g. evaluate the need for auxiliary turn lanes, modifications to intersection traffic control, transportation demand management strategies, traffic calming, etc.), which will be discussed in the subsequent *Step 4 – Analysis* section of this report.

4.0 Analysis

With respect to the City of Ottawa TIA Guidelines, this module reviews the proposed transportation network elements within the development study area to ensure that they provide effective access for all users, while creating an environment that encourages walking, cycling, and transit use and prioritizes safety.

4.1 Development Design

Design for Sustainable Modes

Pedestrian Facilities: The pedestrian network within the vicinity of the subject site is currently comprised of bi-directional asphalt multi-use pathways (MUP) that run along both sides of Brian Coburn Blvd. Fully integrating pedestrians with the existing pedestrian network, sidewalks will be provided throughout the subject development site.

Cycle Facilities: As mentioned in the *Step 2 – Scoping* section, the surrounding cycling network is fairly well established. A MUP is provided along both sides of Brian Coburn Blvd, which connects cyclists to Mer-Bleue Road in the east. Paved shoulders are provided along both sides of Navan Road between Blackburn Hamlet Bypass and Spring Valley Drive, which are classified as 'Spine Routes'. Within the vicinity of the subject site along Renaud Road, paved shoulders are also provided on both sides of the road for cyclists, between Saddleridge Drive and Rue Fern Casey Street, and east-west pocket bike lanes are provided at the Navan/Renaud intersection, along Renaud Road.

Transit Facilities: As mentioned in the *Step 2 – Scoping* section, there are ten transit stops located within the vicinity of the subject development site. There are six transit stops that are located within the OC Transpo service design guidelines of 400 m walking distance to/from the subject site. The other four transit stops previously listed in **Table 1** are located approximately 700 m to/from the subject development site.

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, a Transportation Demand Management checklist, provided by the City and titled *TDM* – *Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure*, has been completed and is included as **Appendix G**. Given the proposed development is currently in the early stages of planning/approvals, not all TDM measures identified as 'required' in the TDM checklist can be committed to at this time. Further refinements to the proposed development design are anticipated during subsequent phases of the City's development application approval process.

Circulation and Access

As depicted in **Figure 2**, 8.5 m wide roadways will be provided throughout the residential portion of the site, and a width of at least 6.7 m will be maintained throughout the commercial parking lot, which satisfies the City's Zoning By-Law provisions for *"Aisles and Driveways"*. In addition, this also complies with Building Code requirements for emergency vehicle access that requires a clear 6 m wide fire route, which is provided for both the residential and commercial portions of the site.

With regard to on-site circulation and access, an AutoTurn truck turning analysis should be conducted to ensure sufficient turning radii will be provided.

In terms of vehicle queue storage for the proposed fast food restaurant and carwash land uses, approximately 7 vehicles will be able to queue before the restaurant's drive-thru order board and at least 6 spaces will be provided prior to the restaurant service window, and approximately 10

spaces for vehicle storage will be provided for the carwash. With respect to the City's Zoning Bylaw "Provisions for Drive-Through Operations", Section 112 Table 112, sufficient queue storage will be provided for both the restaurant and carwash land uses.

New Street Networks

For the residential component of the site, the proposed internal roadway network will be a series of curvilinear two-way local streets that provide access/egress to individual driveway and below grade parking. As depicted in **Figure 2**, the internal roads are proposed to have a pavement width of 8.5 m, which can accommodate on-street parking. Based on the layout of the internal road network, in combination with on-street parking, the proposed should operate with low speeds and cut-through traffic is not anticipated. If speeding and cut-through traffic prove to be problematic, additional traffic calming measures can be implemented (e.g. speed humps, centerline flex-stakes, bulb-outs/chicanes/lane narrowings, etc.).

4.2 Parking

Parking Supply

The proposed development is located in Area C (Suburban), as identified in Schedule 1A of the City's Zoning By-law provisions for *"Parking, Queuing and Loading Provisions"*. The following **Table 17** and **Table 18** summarize the minimum parking and bicycle parking space requirements for the proposed land uses, in accordance with the City's Zoning By-law, *Section 101 - Minimum Parking Space Rates*, *Section 102 - Minimum Visitor Parking Space Rates* and *Section 111 - Bicycle Parking Space Rates and Provisions*.

Vehicular Parking

Given the proposed development is within 600 m for residential and 300 m for non-residential of a rapid-transit station, the minimum parking requirements are to be calculated using the rates for Area X, as outlined under Section 101 of the City's Zoning By-Law (i.e. Column II of Table 101 in Section 101 of the Zoning By-Law). As outlined under Section 102 of the City's Zoning By-Law, visitor parking is to be calculated using the rates for Area C (i.e. Column III of Table 102 in Section 102 of the Zoning By-Law).

The following **Table 17** summarizes appropriate vehicle parking rates and minimum parking requirements for the subject development.

Land Use	Zoning Requirement	Dwelling Units/GFA	Minimum Parking Requirement
Townhouse	0.75 per dwelling unit	69 DU	52
Mid-high-Rise	0.50 per dwelling unit	192 DU	96
Apartments	0.20 per dwelling unit (Visitor)	192 DU	38
Dwellings in a	0.50 per dwelling unit	72 DU	36
building	0.20 per dwelling unit (Visitor)	72 DU	14
Retail Store	1.25 per 100 m ² of gross floor area	1,858 m²	23

Table 17: Vehicular Parking Supply

Transportation Impact Assessment

2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Store		Total Required	282
Convenience	1.25 per 100 m ² of gross floor area	291 m ²	4
Restaurant Fast Food	5.0 per 100 m ² of gross floor area	373 m ²	19

As summarized in **Table 17**, the minimum vehicle parking space requirement for the subject development is 282 parking spots. It should be noted that due to the proximity of the rapid-transit station, the minimum parking required is significantly lower than if the development was located further from rapid-transit. This is to encourage residents to consider alternative travel modes for their daily commute, by limiting the amount of available vehicle parking.

Bike Parking

As outlined under Section 111 of the City's Zoning By-Law, bike parking is to be calculated using the rates found in Table 111A (i.e. Column II of Table 111A in Section 111 of the Zoning By-Law).

Table 18: Bicycle Parking Supply

Land Use	Zoning Requirement	Dwelling Units/GFA	Minimum Parking Requirement		
Mid-high-Rise Apartments	0.50 per dwelling unit	192 DU	96		
Dwellings in a Mixed-use building	0.50 per dwelling unit	72 DU	36		
Retail Store	1.00 per 250 m ² of gross floor area	1858 m²	7		
Restaurant Fast Food	1.00 per 250 m ² of gross floor area	373 m ²	2		
Convenience Store	1.00 per 250 m ² of gross floor area	291 m²	1		
		Total Required	142		

As summarized in **Table 18**, the subject development is required to have a minimum of 142 bike parking spaces, provided in well-lit areas and close to the main entrances of buildings. Incorporating bike parking on-site will help encourage cycling as a viable travel mode.

Spillover Parking

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines and given the proponent will not be seeking a reduction in the minimum supply of parking for the subject development, this module is exempt.

4.3 Boundary Street Design

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, this module determines design elements of boundary streets required to accommodate the proposed development, consistent with the City's complete

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

streets philosophy and its urban design objectives for the development area. The identified boundary streets for the subject site are Brian Coburn Boulevard and Navan Road, which are all owned and maintained by the City of Ottawa.

Mobility

A Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) analysis was conducted for the subject site's boundary streets, which is a measure of risk, comfort and stress for active modes and a measure of impedance, delay and reliability for trucks/buses. With respect to the City of Ottawa's MMLOS guidelines, target MMLOS values were obtained from Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS guidelines and are identified in brackets in the following **Table 19**. The detailed MMLOS assessment is included as **Appendix H**.

Segment MMLOS Summary

The following **Figure 20** depicts the road classifications from the City's GeoOttawa website. It should be noted that Brian Coburn Boulevard and Navan Road are designated truck routes.



Figure 20: Road Classification

The following **Table 19** is a MMLOS analysis summary of existing conditions for non-auto modes (i.e. pedestrian, cycling, transit and trucks) along the road segments described above. Any LOS results highlighted in red indicate that the target MMLOS was not met for that segment. It should be noted that a MMLOS segment analysis focuses on local transit provided along boundary streets only (i.e. MMLOS worksheets are not sensitive to dedicated rapid transit facilities).

No.	Road Name	Segment Between	PLOS	BLOS	TLOS	TkLOS
1	Navan Road	Orleans – Park n' Ride	n/a(A)	A(C)	D(D)	C(D)
2	Navan Road	Park n' Ride – Brian Coburn	n/a(A)	AC)	D(D)	C(D)
3	Navan Road	Brian Coburn – Site Driveway N	n/a(A)	C(C)	D(D)	C(D)
4	Navan Road	Site Driveway N – Site Driveway S	n/a(A)	C(C)	D(D)	C(D)
5	Navan Road	Site Driveway S – Page	n/a(A)	C(C)	D(D)	C(D)
6	Navan Road	Page – Renaud	n/a(A)	C(C)	D(D)	C(D)
7	Brian Coburn	Navan – Site Driveway	n/a(A)	A(B)	D(D)	C(D)
8	Brian Coburn	Site Driveway – Park n' Ride	n/a(A)	A(B)	D(D)	C(D)
9	Brian Coburn	Park n' Ride – Pedestrian Crossing	n/a(A)	A(B)	D(D)	C(D)
Notes:	'n/a' denotes insufficient i	nput data				

Table 19: Segment MMLOS – Existing LOS(Target LOS)

Based on the results summarized in **Table 19**, the following should be noted/considered:

Pedestrian LOS

- Road segments along Navan Road and Brian Coburn Boulevard do not meet PLOS targets due to lack of provided sidewalks.
- It should be noted that PLOS targets are not met on Brian Coburn Boulevard because the MMLOS summary tool does not take into account multi-use pathways (MUP), despite all design guidelines being met and a large buffer provided between a MUP and active travel lanes.

Bike LOS

• All road segments meet or exceed BLOS targets.

Transit LOS

- All road segments meet TLOS targets.
- It should be noted that the only way to improve the TLOS, is to implement dedicated transit lanes. As outlined in the City's *"2031 Affordable Network Plan"*, Brian Coburn Boulevard is planned to be widened to four lanes and extended west past Navan Road. The implementation of these measures will provide improved transit service/reliability.

Truck LOS

• All road segments exceed TkLOS targets.

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Given the Brian Coburn extension and the Navan/Renaud roundabout are in preliminary planning/design phases, conducting a future MMLOS analysis will require broad assumptions. As such, and given these network improvements are not scheduled to occur prior to full build-out of the subject development, a detailed future segment MMLOS analysis has not been included as part of this assessment. However, it is anticipated that the planned network improvements such as the Brian Coburn extension and the Navan/Renaud roundabout, will improve the LOS for all modes, within the vicinity of the subject development.

Road Safety

For the purpose of a road safety review, collision records for boundary streets were examined to determine if locations exhibit any collision trends that might be mitigated by engineering intervention. If there is a collision trend that is outside the norm of what is expected, then the potential exists to reduce the collision experience by addressing the over-represented collision trend. Whenever changes are being made to the road environment, it is an opportunity to examine whether a safety intervention could result in meaningful safety benefits. Where there are identifiable safety trends, it is worthwhile to mitigate those, such that the added traffic from a new development does not increase the risk of new collisions.

Based on a review of the most recent five (5) years of historical collision data (collected from January 1st, 2015 to December 31st, 2019), the following **Table 20** summarizes the number and rate of collisions within the vicinity of the subject development site, along study area road segments (i.e. collisions and collisions per million vehicle kilometers).

				Classification						
Segment	Between	Total Collisions (5-year Total)	Rate (C/MVK)	Property Damage	Non- fatal Injury	Fatal Injury				
Brian Coburn	Navan & Fern Casey	1	0.06	1	0	0				
Navan	Orleans & Page	10	0.44	9	1	0				
Navan	Page & Renaud	1	0.50	1	0	0				
	Total	12	-	11	1	0				
Notes: C/M	VK = Collisions per Milli	on Vehicle Kilometers	•	•						

Table 20: Historical Collision Data Summary by Road Segment

As summarized in **Table 20**, the number of collisions for all road segments adjacent to the subject development site are considered to be low, and the severity of collisions along all road segments are also low, based on the available data.

Based on the same most recent five (5) years of historical collision data, the following **Table 21** summarizes the number and rate of collisions within the vicinity of the subject development site, at study area intersections (i.e. collisions and collisions per million entering vehicles).

	Total Collisions	Pato	Classification						
Intersection	(5-year Total)	(C/MEV)	Property Damage	Non-fatal Injury	Fatal Injury				
Brian Coburn/Navan	10	0.36	9	1	0				
Navan/Page	8	0.50	7	1	0				
Navan/Renaud	14	0.52	9	5	0				
Navan/Orleans	12	0.34	9	3	0				
Total	44	-	34	10	0				
Notes: C/MEV = Collisions per Mi	Ilion Entering Vehicles			•					

Table 21: Historical Collision Data Summary by Intersection

As summarized in **Table 21**, the number and rate of collisions at study area intersections are considered to be low, and the severity of collisions at study area intersections are also low, based on the available data.

A more detailed collision analysis for study area road segments and intersections is included as **Appendix I**. As previously mentioned, source collision data is included as **Appendix C**.

Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM)

This section reviews the development location to determine if the proposed development will exacerbate existing operational concerns on boundary streets, if the subject development will rely on collector or local roads.

Given the subject development will only provide connections to arterial roadways (e.g. Brian Coburn Boulevard and Navan Road), a review of potential NTM strategies is not required, with respect to the City's TIA Guidelines.

4.4 Access Intersection Design

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, this module determines design elements of the points of access to/from the subject development site, consistent with the City's Complete Streets philosophy, MMLOS guidelines, and its urban design objectives for the development area.

Location and Design of Access

There are four site driveway connections to/from the subject development being proposed. Two of the proposed driveway connections will be for access/egress to the residential portion of the subject site (i.e. one driveway connection will be to Navan Road approximately 150 m west of Page Road and the other will be on Brian Coburn Blvd at the existing Park and Ride intersection and forming the fourth leg). The other two proposed site driveways will be for access/egress for the commercial portion of the site (i.e. one of the driveways will be located on Navan Road approximately 75 m southeast of the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout and the other driveway connection will be on Brian Coburn Blvd approximately 100 m northeast of the Navan/Brian Coburn roundabout). All four site driveways are proposed to be within the maximum width of 9 m for a private approach driveway (or divided by a median into two smaller driveways), are within a 60 m distance from adjacent intersecting roadways and are at least 9 m from adjacent driveways.

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

Therefore, with respect to the City's Private Approach By-Law No. 2003-447, the new proposed driveway connections will satisfy By-Law requirements.

The length of driveways is described as a "clear throat length", which is the area provided as part of a driveway to store vehicles that may require a space to queue before they enter the site or adjacent road network. A sufficiently long clear throat length is most critical to avoid queue spillback onto the adjacent road network.

With respect to TAC's 2017 *Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads*, the minimum clear throat lengths for driveways are based on the proposed land use, development size and abutting road classification (collector or arterial). As depicted in **Figure 20**, Brian Coburn Boulevard and Navan Road are both classified as arterial roadways. Therefore, based on Table 8.9.3 found in the TAC Geometric Design Guide, a clear throat length of 40 m is recommended for all proposed driveways. Based on the foregoing, the proposed throat lengths for the fast food restaurant will not satisfy the TAC Design Guide. However, based on the layout of the proposed site and anticipated on-site operations (e.g. interactions between vehicle parking and entering vehicles should be low and queue stacking related to the proposed drive-thru and car wash land uses will be located as far away as possible from driveway connections), the proposed driveway throat lengths should be sufficient.

As depicted in Figure 2, all proposed driveway connections will operate as full movement.

Intersection Control

With the exception of the site driveway connection proposed as the fourth leg of the existing signalized Brian Coburn/Park n' Ride intersection, new site driveway connections are proposed to be YEILD or STOP controlled on the minor approach only. All proposed driveway connections are projected to operate acceptably.

Intersection Design

The following is a MMLOS analysis for signalized study area intersections. As previously mentioned, MMLOS is a measure of risk, comfort and stress for active modes and a measure of impedance, delay and reliability for trucks/buses. With respect to the City of Ottawa's MMLOS guidelines, target MMLOS values were obtained from Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS guidelines and are identified in brackets in the following **Table 22** and **Table 23**.

Intersection MMLOS Summary

Similar to the MMLOS analysis conducted for the *4.2* – *Boundary Street Design* section of this report, the following **Table 22** summarizes existing MMLOS conditions and **Table 23** summarizes projected 2031 MMLOS conditions for all modes, at signalized study area intersections. The detailed intersection MMLOS analysis for both existing and projected conditions are provided in **Appendix J**.

No.	Intersection	PLOS	BLOS	TLOS	TkLOS	AutoLOS					
1	Navan/Orleans	C(A)	E(C)	D(D)	E(D)	D(E)					
2	Navan/Park n' Ride	B(A)	D(C)	C(D)	E(D)	B(E)					
3	Navan/Renaud	D(A)	F(C)	F(D)	F(D)	C(E)					
4	Brian Coburn/ Park n' Ride	D(A)	D(B)	B(D)	E(D)	A(E)					
5	Brian Coburn/ Ped Crossing	A(A)	A(A) B(B) A(D)			A(E)					
Notes: 'n/a' denotes insufficient input data											

Table 22: Intersection MMLOS – Existing LOS(Target LOS)

Based on the results summarized in **Table 22**, the following should be noted/considered:

Pedestrian LOS

- All study area intersections do not meet PLOS targets, with the exception of the Brian Coburn pedestrian signal.
- It should be noted that failing PLOS targets is because the MMLOS summary tool does not take into account multi-use pathways (MUP), despite all design guidelines being met and a large buffer provided between the MUP and active travel lanes.

Bike LOS

- All study area intersections do not meet BLOS targets, with the exception of the Brian Coburn pedestrian signal.
- Failing BLOS targets is primarily due to either cyclists having to share the road with mixed traffic to connect to the extensive MUP network, or the number of vehicle travel lanes that are required to cross to perform a left-turn (without a 2-stage left turn or bike box).

Transit LOS

- All study area intersections meet TLOS targets, with the exception of the Navan/Renaud intersection.
- Failing TLOS targets is due to the average signal delay.
- As previously mentioned, the only way to improve the TLOS, is to implement dedicated transit lanes. As outlined in the City's "2031 Affordable Network Plan", Brian Coburn Boulevard is planned to be widened to four lanes and extended west past Navan Road. The implementation of these measures will provide improved transit service/reliability.

Truck LOS

- All study area intersections do not meet TkLOS targets.
- Despite Navan and Brian Coburn being dedicated truck routes, failing TkLOS targets are due to the combination of small corner radii and the limited number of receiving lanes (only single receiving lanes are provided).

Auto LOS

• All study area intersections meet AutoLOS targets.

Transportation Impact Assessment 2983, 3053 and 3079 Navan Road

As previously mentioned as part of the segment MMLOS analysis, planned network improvements, such as the Brian Coburn extension and the Navan/Renaud roundabout project, have not been included as part of this assessment. As such, the following projected MMLOS (summarized in **Table 23**) was completed assuming existing network geometry, but considers projected study area intersection performance.

No.	Intersection	PLOS	BLOS	TLOS	TkLOS	AutoLOS						
1	Navan/Orleans	C(A)	E(C)	F(D)	E(D)	F(E)						
2	Navan/Park n' Ride	B(A)	D(C)	F(D)	E(D)	E(E)						
3	Navan/Renaud	D(A)	F(C)	F(D)	F(D)	D(E)						
4	Brian Coburn/ Park n' Ride	D(A)	D(B)	B(D)	E(D)	A(E)						
5	Brian Coburn/ Ped Crossing	A(A)	B(B)	n/a(D)	A(E)							
Notes	Notes: 'n/a' denotes insufficient input data Highlighted cells indicate changes between existing and projected LOS											

Table 23: Intersection MMLOS Projected LOS(Target LOS)

Based on the results summarized in **Table 23**, the following changes to LOS targets should be noted/considered:

Transit LOS

- Navan/Orleans and Navan/Park n' Rider intersections do not meet TLOS targets.
- Failing TLOS targets are due to increased average signal delay.

AutoLOS

- Navan/Orleans intersection does not meet AutoLOS targets.
- Failing AutoLOS targets are due to volume-to-capacity ratios greater than 1.0.

4.5 Transportation Design Management

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, a review of Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies is a requirement for the subject development. Determining, which TDM strategies maybe appropriate for implementation, a formal TDM checklist is provided by the City for review by the proponent.

As mentioned previously in the 4.3 – Development Design/Design for Sustainable Modes section of this report, the proposed development is currently in the early stages of planning/approvals, and not all TDM measures identified as 'required' in the TDM checklist can be committed to at this time. Further refinements to the proposed development design are anticipated during subsequent phases of the City's development application approval process.

A TDM checklist is attached as **Appendix K**, which can be used by the proponent to identify possible TDM strategies that can be committed to for implementation.

4.6 Neighborhood Traffic Management

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, this module reviews significant access routes to/from the development and identifies any required neighborhood traffic management (NTM) measures to mitigate impacts on collector and local roads.

As mentioned previously in the 4.3 – Boundary Street Design section of this report, the proposed development does not rely on local or collector streets for access and therefore, a review of potential NTM strategies is not required, with respect to the City's TIA Guidelines.

4.7 Transit

Transit stops that serve the development site were previously mentioned in the *Step 2 – Scoping* section of this report, which included stop number, location, route identifier and directional information (summarized in **Table 1**). Additionally, transit route information, including frequency and service type, were previously summarized in **Table 2**. All transit stops are located within the OC Transpo service design guidelines (i.e. within 400 m walking distance to/from the site) with the exception of the two transit stops located at the Navan/Orleans intersection. It should be noted that these stops are located within approximately 800 m walking distance to/from the subject development site. Detailed transit maps are included in **Appendix L**.

Route Capacity

Current transit ridership data for the bus stops listed in **Table 1** was provided by the City and is included as **Appendix M**. Based on the projected modal split of site-generated traffic, it was estimated that approximately 20% of the retail/fast food and 30% of residential trips generated will be accommodated by transit, which equates to approximately 129 and 124 additional transit person trips for weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

With respect to local transit, the study area is serviced by 40 ft buses on approximate 15-30 min headways, which have a person capacity of approximately 50 passengers per bus. According to passenger on/off data provided by the City, there are approximately 1 to 27 passengers per bus that arrive/depart at the bus stops within the vicinity of the subject development site during peak hours.

Assuming projected site-generated transit trips to/from the subject development will be spread between the handful of local bus stops and the Chapel Hill Park n' Ride station within the vicinity of the site, it is projected that future transit users can be easily accommodated by the existing area transit service.

Transit Priority

Given the relatively low volume of projected site-generated traffic, transit travel times should not be impacted. However, as mentioned previously in the *Step 3 – Forecasting* section, study area intersections are currently operating near or at capacity during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours. With relatively soon to be implemented future network modifications such as dedicated transit lanes planned along Brian Coburn Boulevard (as outlined in the City's *"2031 Affordable Network Plan"*), transit service and reliability will be significantly improved.

4.8 Review of Network Concept

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, this module is exempt.

4.9 Intersection Design

With respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, this module determines the design elements of the study area intersections required to accommodate the proposed development, consistent with the City's Complete Streets philosophy and MMLOS practices.

Intersection Control

All site driveways are currently proposed to be STOP or YEILD control on the minor approach, with the exception of the northern site entrance to Brian Coburn Boulevard, which is proposed to be the fourth leg of the existing signalized Brian Coburn/Park n' Ride intersection. Based on the intersection capacity analysis included in the *Step 3 – Forecasting* section of this report, and consistent with the City's policies, goals and objectives, additional signal or intersection control will not be warranted.

Intersection Design

Based on the intersection capacity analysis in the *Step 3 – Forecasting* section of this report, and consistent with the City's policies, goals and objectives, some network modifications are required to improve study area intersections. However, as previously mentioned, the City has already planned for a number of future study area network modifications, with a number of studies and functional designs currently underway, which will take into account the impacts of the subject development.

5.0 Findings and Recommendations

As with any infill development, the introduction of a new land use will have impacts on the surrounding transportation network. J.L. Richards and Associates Limited has completed a review of these impacts and summarized the findings within this transportation assessment, which follows the format of a Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Study, as requested by the City of Ottawa. At this stage, and with respect to the City's TIA Guidelines, the following findings and conclusions are offered:

- Study area intersections are currently operating near or at capacity and are projected to continue operating near or at capacity with the additional traffic generated by the proposed development.
- Based on historical collision data, there are also no prevailing safety concerns.
- Given the local context, the private auto is projected to be the primary mode choice for travel for all proposed land uses.
- The proposed development is projected to generate 'new' two-way vehicles volumes of 246 veh/h and 245 veh/h during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.
- With regard to active modes, the proposed development is projected to generate approximate two-way person trips of 77 trips/h and 76 trips/h during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours.
- With regard to transit trips during weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, the proposed development is projected to generate approximately two-way person trips of 129 trips/h and 124 trips/h, respectively.
- The proposed parking supply for the subject development is proposed to meet minimum By-Law requirements.

- Current and projected intersection MMLOS targets are not met for pedestrian and cycling modes for the majority of study area intersections; however, this is because the City's MMLOS summary tool does not take into consideration MUP facilities, despite all design guidelines being met and a large buffer provided between the MUP and active travel lanes.
- Current and projected intersection MMLOS targets are not met for trucks modes for the majority of study area intersections due to the combination of small corner radii and the limited number of receiving lanes.
- Based on the projected volumes and intersection capacity analysis, additional network modifications are warranted. It is anticipated that the planned network improvements such as the Brian Coburn extension and the Navan/Renaud roundabout, will improve the LOS for all modes, within the vicinity of the subject development.
- The overall layout of the site is laid out effectively and should operate acceptably, and satisfies applicable By-Laws. AutoTurn truck turning analysis should be conducted to ensure efficient turning radii will be provided for larger vehicles (e.g. fire and garbage truck, etc.).

The proposed development fits well into the context of the surrounding area and it is projected to have minimal impact on the surrounding transportation network. The design and location of the proposed development serves the City of Ottawa's policies, goals and objectives.

Based on the foregoing, the proposed development located at 2983 Navan Road, 3053 Navan Road and 3079 Navan Road is recommended from a transportation perspective.

J.L. RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Paige Harrison, Dipl.T. Civil Designer, Transportation Gordon Scobie, P.Eng. Civil Engineer, Transportation

Appendix A

Existing Traffic Counts



Turning Movement Count - Study Results ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD



5469192 - TUE JAN 07, 2020 - 8HRS - LORETTA



Turning Movement Count - Study Results ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD



5469192 - TUE JAN 07, 2020 - 8HRS - LORETTA



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD



Comments 5469192 - TUE JAN 07, 2020 - 8HRS - LORETTA



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD



Comments 5469192 - TUE JAN 07, 2020 - 8HRS - LORETTA



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD



Comments 5469192 - TUE JAN 07, 2020 - 8HRS - LORETTA



Turning Movement Count - Study Results ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD

Survey Da	ate: ⊤	uesda	y, Jan	nuary C	7, 202	20						wo	No:			39	251				
Start Tim	ne: 0	7:00						Device:								Miovision					
				F	Full S	Stud	y Sı	umma	ary (a	8 HF	R Sta	nda	rd)								
Survey Da	ate:	Fuesda	ay, Ja	nuary	07, 20	20	-	٦	Total C) bserv	ved U-	Turns	,				AAD	T Facto	or		
							1	Northbour	nd: 0		South	nbound:	0				1.10				
								Eastbour	nd: 0		West	tbound:	0								
			ORLE	EANS I	BLVD							NA	٩VAN	RD							
	No	thbou	nd		So	uthbou	Ind			E	astbou	Ind		V	Vestbo	und					
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total		
07:00 08:00	0	0	0	0	100	0	143	243	243	10	186	0	196	0	995	139	1134	1330	1573		
08:00 09:00	0	0	0	0	121	0	118	239	239	21	163	0	184	0	743	174	917	1101	1340		
09:00 10:00	0	0	0	0	74	0	79	153	153	26	215	0	241	0	478	92	570	811	964		
11:30 12:30	0	0	0	0	73	0	41	114	114	35	243	0	278	0	324	69	393	671	785		
12:30 13:30	0	0	0	0	74	0	40	114	114	36	280	0	316	0	253	99	352	668	782		
15:00 16:00	0	0	0	0	124	0	35	159	159	114	787	0	901	0	302	155	457	1358	1517		
16:00 17:00	0	0	0	0	163	0	33	196	196	136	996	0	1132	0	267	183	450	1582	1778		
17:00 18:00	0	0	0	0	143	0	32	175	175	152	896	0	1048	0	269	155	424	1472	1647		
Sub Total	0	0	0	0	872	0	521	1393	1393	530	3766	0	4296	0	3631	1066	4697	8993	10386		
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0		
Total	0	0	0	0	872	0	521	1393	1393	530	3766	0	4296	0	3631	1066	4697	8993	10386		
EQ 12Hr Note: These v	0 /alues a	0 re calcul	0 lated by	0 y multipl	1212 ying the	0 totals b	724 y the a	1936 ppropriate	1936 e expans	737 sion fac	5235 tor.	0	5971	0 1.39	5047	1482	6529	12500	14437		
AVG 12Hr	0	0	0	, · 	1257	0	751	2007	2130	764	5427	0	6191	0	5232	1536	6768	13750	15881		
Note: These v	volumes	are calc	culated	by multi	plying th	ne Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. tota	Is by the	AADT	factor.	Ū	0101	1.1	0202	1000	0,00	10100	10001		
AVG 24Hr	0	0	0	0	1646	0	983	2630	2630	1000	7109	0	8110	0	6854	2012	8867	16977	19607		
Note: These v	olumes/	are calo	culated	by multi	plying th	ne Avera	age Dai	ly 12 hr. 1	totals by	12 to 2	4 expan	sion fac	tor.	1.31							

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Turning Movement Count - Study Results ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD

Survey Date: Tuesday, January 07, 2020							WO No:						39251						
Start Time	: 07	7:00											Devi	ice:			Mic	ovisior	ı
						F	ull S	Stud	v 15	5 Mi	nute	Inc	rem	ents	S				
			ORLE	ANS	BLVD) – .			,			NA	VAN	RD	-				
Northbound Southbound									E	astbour	nd		W	estbour	nd				
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	Ν	LT	ST	RT	S	STR	LT	ST	RT	Е	LT	ST	RT	W	STR	Grand
07:00 07:45		0.		тот		0.	40	TOT	TOT		0.		TOT		0.		TOT	TOT	Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	19	0	43	61	2	2	38	0	39	0	254	28	282	1	383
07.13 07.30	0	0	0	0	21	0	34 20	72	2 1	3	44	0	47	0	212	21	299	2 1	407
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	33 21	0	39	12	1	5	40 56	0	49	0	250	50	264	1	400
07.45 08.00	0	0	0	0	40	0	20	40	2 1	0	40	0	42	0	196	50	209	2 1	378
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	37	0	29	66	2	7	40	0	42	0	200	30	239	2	345
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	21	0	20	41	2	7	42	0	49	0	183	36	219	2	309
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	23	0	30	53	4	5	39	0	44	0	174	55	229	4	326
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	25	0	39	64	4	9	42	0	51	0	129	35	164	4	279
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	19	0	16	35	2	5	68	0	73	0	138	25	163	2	271
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	18	0	15	33	1	8	49	0	57	0	125	13	138	1	228
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	12	0	9	21	1	4	56	0	60	0	86	19	105	1	186
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	13	0	16	29	1	4	57	0	61	0	98	24	122	1	212
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	20	0	6	26	1	13	55	0	68	0	66	14	80	1	174
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	16	0	8	24	0	7	49	0	56	0	96	17	113	0	193
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	24	0	11	35	1	11	82	0	93	0	64	14	78	1	206
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	19	0	7	26	2	12	70	0	82	0	75	26	101	2	209
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	20	0	7	27	1	10	74	0	84	0	65	36	101	1	212
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	18	0	12	30	2	7	80	0	87	0	59	20	79	2	196
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	17	0	14	31	2	7	56	0	63	0	54	17	71	2	165
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	27	0	5	32	0	29	163	0	192	0	69	33	102	0	326
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	24	0	4	28	5	23	187	0	210	0	78	34	112	5	350
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	30	0	8	38	3	36	219	0	255	0	88	46	134	3	427
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	43	0	18	61	11	26	218	0	244	0	67	42	109	11	414
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	42	0	9	51	6	23	247	0	270	0	77	43	120	6	441
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	55	0	8	63	8	34	248	0	282	0	67	51	118	8	463
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	33	0	7	40	1	42	245	0	287	0	64	43	107	1	434
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	33	0	9	42	2	37	256	0	293	0	59	46	105	2	440
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	35	0	9	44	1	29	220	0	249	0	54	51	105	1	398
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	38	0	4	42	2	37	243	0	280	0	66	37	103	2	425
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	37	0	14	51	2	44	217	0	261	0	81	34	115	2	427
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	33	0	5	38	3	42	216	0	258	0	68	33	101	3	397
Total:	0	0	0	0	872	0	521	1393	77	530	3766	0	4296	0	3631	1066	4697	77	10.386

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.


Survey Dat	e: Tuesday, J	anuary 07, 2020	D		WO No:		39251
Start Time	: 07:00				Device:	T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Viovision
			Full Study	Cyclist V	olume		
				Oyclist V			
Time Deviced	No othe barrow of			Faathound		04	
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	westbound	Street I otal	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	v	0	U	U	1	1	I



Survey Da	ate: Tuesday, J	anuary 07, 2020			WO No:		39251
Start Tim	e: 07:00				Device:		Miovision
		F	ull Stuc	ly Pedestria	n Volume		
		ORLEANS BLV	D	-	NAVAN RD		
Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	3	3	1	0	1	4

5469192 - TUE JAN 07, 2020 - 8HRS - LORETTA



Survey Dat	e: Ծւ	uesda	ay, Jan	nuary	07, 2	020							wo	No:			3	9251	
Start Time	: 07	7:00											Dev	ice:			Mic	ovisior	า
						F	ull S	Stud	v He	avv	Veł	nicle	es						
			ORLE	ANS	BLVD)			,	J		NA	VAN	RD					
	N	orthbo	und		Sc	outhbou	Ind			E	astboui	nd		W	estbour	nd			
Time Period	ιт	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	Е ТОТ	LT	ST	RT	W тот	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	5	4	9	10	11
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	7	0	7	0	2	3	5	12	14
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	7	2	9	11	12
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	10	0	10	0	4	4	8	18	20
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	7	0	1	4	5	12	13
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	1	7	0	8	0	5	2	7	15	17
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	6	0	6	0	6	7	13	19	21
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	4	4	0	4	0	4	0	5	3	8	12	16
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	4	4	2	9	0	11	0	6	6	12	23	27
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	15	0	15	0	7	1	8	23	25
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	7	0	7	0	8	1	9	16	17
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	10	0	10	0	8	0	8	18	19
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	8	0	8	0	2	1	3	11	12
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	4	0	4	0	2	0	2	6	7
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	8	1	9	11	11
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	5
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	5	1	6	8	10
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	6	0	1	0	1	7	8
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	7	0	7	0	5	1	6	13	15
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	2	0	2	0	4	2	6	8	10
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	4	3	7	13	13
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	5	0	3	0	3	0	8	2	10	13	18
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	3	0	3	0	3	0	7	2	9	12	15
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	9	0	2	11	11	1	5	0	6	0	2	1	3	9	20
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	6	6	1	4	0	5	0	6	1	7	12	18
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	8	0	6	0	6	0	3	3	6	12	20
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	8	0	8	0	2	1	3	11	12
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	8
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4	5
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3	5
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	4	5	7
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	3	4	7
Total: None	0	0	0	0	71	0	6	77	77	8	161	0	169	0	133	59	192	361	438



rvey [Date: Tuesda	ay, January	07, 2020		WC) No:	39251
art Ti	me: 07:00				De	vice:	Miovision
			Full S	tudy 15 Mir	nute U-Turr	n Total	
			ORLEANS	BLVD	N	AVAN RD	
	Time F	Time Period 07:00 07:15 07:15 07:30 07:45 08:00 08:00 08:15 08:15 08:30 08:30 08:45 09:15 09:00 09:15 09:30 09:45 10:00 11:30 11:45 12:00 12:15 12:15 12:30 12:30 12:45	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
	07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
	07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
	07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
	07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
	08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
	08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
	08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
	08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
	09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
	09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
	09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
	09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
	11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
	11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
	12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
	12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
	12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
	12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
	13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
	13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
	15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
	15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
	15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
	15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
	16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
	16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
	16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
	16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
	17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
	17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
	17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
	17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
	Te	stal	0	0	0	0	0











Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram BRIAN COBURN BLVD @ NAVAN RD





Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram BRIAN COBURN BLVD @ NAVAN RD





Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram BRIAN COBURN BLVD @ NAVAN RD





Survey Da Start Tim	ate: ד ז פ: 0	hursda 7:00	ay, Ju	ly 19, :	2018							WO Devi	No: ce:			38 Miov	030 vision		
				F	-	Stud	v Sı	umm	arv (8	B HR	Sta	ndai	rd)						
Survey Da	ate:	Thurso	lay, Ju	uly 19,	2018		J		Total O	bserv	ed U-	Turns	.,				AAD [.]	T Facto	or
							Ν	lorthbou	nd: 8		South	nbound:	12				.90		
							I	Eastbou	nd: 0		West	bound:	0						
			NA	AVAN I	RD						BF	RIAN (COBU	RN BL	VD				
	No	rthbou	nd		So	uthbou	Ind			E	astbou	Ind		W	/estbo	und			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Tota
07:00 08:00	0	487	13	500	72	203	0	275	775	0	0	0	0	142	0	449	591	591	1366
08:00 09:00	0	423	35	458	70	221	0	291	749	0	0	0	0	107	0	381	488	488	1237
09:00 10:00	0	327	30	357	55	230	0	285	642	0	0	0	0	46	0	162	208	208	850
11:30 12:30	0	280	33	313	89	281	0	370	683	0	0	0	0	41	0	142	183	183	866
12:30 13:30	0	246	34	280	92	252	0	344	624	0	0	0	0	51	0	108	159	159	783
15:00 16:00	0	281	61	342	253	415	0	668	1010	0	0	0	0	69	0	124	193	193	1203
16:00 17:00	0	301	82	383	397	540	0	937	1320	0	0	0	0	95	0	136	231	231	1551
17:00 18:00	0	307	90	397	356	501	0	857	1254	0	0	0	0	60	0	143	203	203	1457
Sub Total	0	2652	378	3030	1384	2643	0	4027	7057	0	0	0	0	611	0	1645	2256	2256	9313
U Turns	8			8	12			12	20	0			0	0			0	0	20
Total	8	2652	378	3038	1396	2643	0	4039	7077	0	0	0	0	611	0	1645	2256	2256	9333
EQ 12Hr	11	3686	525	4222	1940 vin a tha	3674	0	5614	9836	0 ian fact	0	0	0	849	0	2287	3136	3136	12972
Note: These v	alues a	re calcu	lated by	y multipi	ying the	totais d	y the a	ppropriat	e expans	Ion fact	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	10 /////	3317	472	3799	1746 intuing t	3307	0 valant 1	5053 2 hr. tota	8852		0	0	0	764	0	2058	2822	2822	11674
Note: These v	olumes	are cal	culated	by mult	piying u	ie Equiv	alenti		ais by the	AADTT	actor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	13	4345	618	4976	2287	4332	0	6619	11595	0	0	0	0	1001	0	2696	3697	3697	15292
Note: These v	olumes	are cal	culated	by multi	iplying t	ne Avera	age Dai	ly 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 24	1 expan	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Surve	ey Dat	e: Th	nursd	ay, Ju	ly 19,	2018	1							WO	No:			3	8030	
Star	t Time	: 07	7:00											Dev	ice:			Mio	ovisior	ı
							F	ull S	tud	v 1	5 Mii	nute	Inc	rem	ente	\$				
				NA		RD			, uu	y i.	/ 14111	BR	IAN C	OBUI						
		N	orthhou	und		50	uthhou	nd			E	asthour	nd	020			hd			
		IN		unu	N	30	uunbou	nu –	s	STR		asibuu		F	vve	siboui		w	STR	Grand
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	тот	Total
07:00	07:15	0	117	4	121	17	45	0	62	183	0	0	0	0	30	0	117	147	147	330
07:15	07:30	0	130	2	132	16	49	0	65	197	0	0	0	0	43	0	140	183	183	380
07:30	07:45	1	118	2	121	25	41	0	66	187	0	0	0	0	37	0	110	147	147	334
07:45	08:00	0	122	5	127	14	68	0	82	209	0	0	0	0	32	0	82	114	114	323
08:00	08:15	0	102	7	109	18	65	0	83	192	0	0	0	0	30	0	112	142	142	334
08:15	08:30	0	104	11	115	18	55	0	73	188	0	0	0	0	31	0	101	132	132	320
08:30	08:45	0	108	7	115	25	51	0	76	191	0	0	0	0	26	0	96	122	122	313
08:45	09:00	3	109	10	122	10	50	0	60	182	0	0	0	0	20	0	72	92	92	274
09:00	09:15	0	78	6	84	13	70	0	83	167	0	0	0	0	20	0	58	78	78	245
09:15	09:30	0	85	6	91	12	46	0	58	149	0	0	0	0	8	0	42	50	50	199
09:30	09:45	0	86	9	95	13	59	0	72	167	0	0	0	0	6	0	27	33	33	200
09:45	10:00	0	78	9	87	18	55	0	73	160	0	0	0	0	12	0	35	47	47	207
11:30	11:45	0	77	9	86	25	56	0	81	167	0	0	0	0	12	0	38	50	50	217
11:45	12:00	1	70	6	77	14	70	0	84	161	0	0	0	0	8	0	39	47	47	208
12:00	12:15	0	65	12	77	29	76	0	105	182	0	0	0	0	17	0	31	48	48	230
12:15	12:30	0	68	6	74	25	79	0	104	178	0	0	0	0	4	0	34	38	38	216
12:30	12:45	0	59	6	65	20	51	0	71	136	0	0	0	0	14	0	24	38	38	174
12:45	13:00	1	72	10	83	24	59	0	83	166	0	0	0	0	12	0	25	37	37	203
13:00	13:15	0	48	11	59	34	73	0	107	166	0	0	0	0	11	0	34	45	45	211
13:15	13:30	0	67	7	74	16	69	0	85	159	0	0	0	0	14	0	25	39	39	198
15:00	15:15	1	68	11	80	44	91	0	135	215	0	0	0	0	16	0	32	48	48	263
15:15	15:30	0	70	18	88	60	94	0	154	242	0	0	0	0	13	0	36	49	49	291
15:30	15:45	0	70	13	83	63	102	0	165	248	0	0	0	0	17	0	27	44	44	292
15:45	16:00	0	73	19	92	87	128	0	215	307	0	0	0	0	23	0	29	52	52	359
16:00	16:15	0	80	15	95	83	129	0	212	307	0	0	0	0	21	0	28	49	49	356
16:15	16:30	0	87	25	112	91	140	0	231	343	0	0	0	0	24	0	37	61	61	404
16:30	16:45	1	64	22	87	114	138	0	252	339	0	0	0	0	25	0	43	68	68	407
16:45	17:00	0	70	20	90	111	133	0	244	334	0	0	0	0	25	0	28	53	53	387
17:00	17:15	0	61	25	86	111	142	0	253	339	0	0	0	0	16	0	36	52	52	391
17:15	17:30	0	74	33	107	93	113	0	206	313	0	0	0	0	21	0	27	48	48	361
17:30	17:45	0	82	21	103	90	139	0	229	332	0	0	0	0	12	0	46	58	58	390
17:45	18:00	0	90	11	101	63	107	0	170	271	0	0	0	0	11	0	34	45	45	316
Total:		8	2652	378	3038	1396	2643	0	4039	7077	0	0	0	0	611	0	1645	2256	7077	9,333

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Survey Dat	e: Thursday,	July 19, 2018			WO No:		38030
Start Time	07:00				Device:		Miovision
			Full Study	Cvclist V	olume		
		NAVAN RD		BF	RIAN COBURN I	BLVD	
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
12:00 12:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	1	2	0	3	3	5



Survey Da	ate: Thursday,	July 19, 2018			WO No:		38030
Start Tim	1e: 07:00				Device:		Miovision
		F	ull Stud	lv Pedestriar	n Volume		
		NAVANIND		DR		٧D	
Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 12:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	1	0	2	2	3



Survey Date	: Tł	nursd	ay, Ju	ly 19	, 2018	5							wo	No:			3	8030	
Start Time:	07	7:00											Dev	ice:			Mio	ovisior	ı
						F	ull S	Stud	v He	avv	Veł	nicle	s						
			NA	VAN	RD				,	. ,	BR	IAN C	OBUI	RN BL	VD				
	No	orthbou	und		Sc	outhbou	Ind			E	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time Period	ιт	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	Е ТОТ	LT	ST	RT	w тот	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	7	0	7	6	8	0	14	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	22
07:15 07:30	0	8	0	8	3	8	0	11	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
07:30 07:45	0	9	0	9	4	10	0	14	23	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	26
07:45 08:00	0	10	0	10	2	8	0	10	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
08:00 08:15	0	12	0	12	0	13	0	13	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	28
08:15 08:30	0	12	0	12	3	11	0	14	26	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	27
08:30 08:45	0	7	0	7	2	5	0	7	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
08:45 09:00	0	11	0	11	0	11	0	11	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
09:00 09:15	0	7	1	8	1	11	0	12	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	21
09:15 09:30	0	16	1	17	4	12	0	16	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	37
09:30 09:45	0	6	0	6	1	15	0	16	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	23
09:45 10:00	0	13	0	13	1	7	0	8	21	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	8	8	29
11:30 11:45	0	15	0	15	0	6	0	6	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
11:45 12:00	0	5	0	5	1	5	0	6	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	13
12:00 12:15	0	6	0	6	2	6	0	8	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	16
12:15 12:30	0	12	1	13	2	11	0	13	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	27
12:30 12:45	0	5	0	5	2	7	0	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
12:45 13:00	0	5	0	5	4	6	0	10	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	16
13:00 13:15	0	7	1	8	2	6	0	8	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
13:15 13:30	0	11	0	11	0	7	0	7	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	20
15:00 15:15	0	3	2	5	3	6	0	9	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	16
15:15 15:30	0	10	0	10	0	3	0	3	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	5	5	18
15:30 15:45	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	14
15:45 16:00	0	4	0	4	1	6	0	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	12
16:00 16:15	0	4	0	4	2	11	0	13	17	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	5	5	22
16:15 16:30	0	8	0	8	0	4	0	4	12	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	6	6	18
16:30 16:45	0	2	0	2	1	7	0	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	12
16:45 17:00	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
17:00 17:15	0	5	1	6	0	4	0	4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	13
17:15 17:30	0	4	0	4	0	2	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	3	9
17:30 17:45	0	5	0	5	0	8	0	8	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	15
17:45 18:00	0	4	0	4	1	6	0	7	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
Total: None	0	243	7	250	48	240	0	288	538	0	0	0	0	14	0	45	59	59	599



	ay, July 19,	2010				30030
ne: 07:00				De	vice:	Miovisior
		Full S NAVAN	tudy 15 Mir RD	nute U-Turr BRIAN	I Total COBURN BLVD	
Time I	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	1	0	0	0	1
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	1	0	0	1
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	3	0	0	0	3
09:00	09:15	0	1	0	0	1
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	1	0	0	1
11:45	12:00	1	0	0	0	1
12:00	12:15	0	2	0	0	2
12:15	12:30	0	1	0	0	1
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	1	1	0	0	2
13:00	13:15	0	1	0	0	1
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	1	0	0	0	1
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	1	0	0	1
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	1	0	0	1
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	1	1	0	0	2
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	1	0	0	1
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram NAVAN RD @ PAGE RD





Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram NAVAN RD @ PAGE RD













Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram RENAUD RD @ NAVAN RD





Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram RENAUD RD @ NAVAN RD





Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram RENAUD RD @ NAVAN RD





Survey D	ate: Ţ	uesda	y, Oct	ober 2	9, 201	19						WO	No:			38	897		
Start Tin	ne: 0	7:00										Devi	ce:			Mio	vision		
				F	ull 🕄	Stud	y Sı	umma	ary (a	8 HF	R Sta	ndar	rd)						
Survey Da	ate:	Tuesda	ay, Oo	tober 2	29, 20	19		т	otal C) bserv	ved U-	Turns					AAD [.]	T Facto	or
							Ν	lorthbour	nd: 0		South	nbound:	0				1.25		
								Eastboun	d: 0		West	tbound:	0						
			NA	VAN F	RD							RE	NAUE	D RD					
	No	rthbou	nd		So	uthbou	Ind			E	astbou	und		V	/estboi	und			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	124	321	31	476	47	110	2	159	635	109	110	33	252	31	337	108	476	728	1363
08:00 09:00	94	241	36	371	41	119	2	162	533	115	135	26	276	18	195	91	304	580	1113
09:00 10:00	32	182	26	240	30	145	6	181	421	68	88	21	177	12	73	67	152	329	750
11:30 12:30	28	153	23	204	46	141	3	190	394	72	73	27	172	15	61	42	118	290	684
12:30 13:30	21	153	26	200	43	164	5	212	412	67	96	39	202	16	69	44	129	331	743
15:00 16:00	40	176	35	251	68	284	5	357	608	142	266	127	535	32	106	50	188	723	1331
16:00 17:00	37	138	41	216	104	368	4	476	692	189	383	157	729	26	119	54	199	928	1620
17:00 18:00	33	134	25	192	132	305	2	439	631	155	364	137	656	20	107	54	181	837	1468
Sub Total	409	1498	243	2150	511	1636	29	2176	4326	917	1515	567	2999	170	1067	510	1747	4746	9072
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	409	1498	243	2150	511	1636	29	2176	4326	917	1515	567	2999	170	1067	510	1747	4746	9072
EQ 12Hr	569 values a	2082 re calcu	338 lated by	2988 / multiply	710 vina the	2274 totals b	40 v the ai	3025	6013	1275 sion fac	2106 tor	788	4169	236 1 39	1483	709	2428	6597	12610
	512	1874	304	2600	630	2047	36	2722	5/12	11/7	1805	700	2752	213	1335	638	2195	5027	112/0
Note: These	volumes	are cal	culated	by multi	plying t	he Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. total	s by the	AADT	factor.	109	5752	0.9	1555	030	2105	3931	11545
AVG 24Hr	670	2455	398	3523	837	2681	48	3566	7089	1503	2483	929	4915	279	1749	836	2863	7778	14867
Note: These	volumes	are cal	culated	by multi	plying tl	he Avera	age Dai	ly 12 hr. t	otals by	12 to 2	4 expan	sion fact	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Surv	ey Dat	e: Tւ	uesda	iy, Oc	tober	29, 20	019							wo	No:			3	8897	
Star	t Time	: 07	7:00											Devi	ice:			Mic	ovisior	ı
							F	111 9	tud	v 1	5 Mi	nuto	Inc	rom	onte	2				
				NΔ		RD			luu	y i		nute				5				
		NL	arthhai				uthhau	nd			-	aathaur				athaur	d			
		INC	וסמחווכ	ina	N	50	unbou	nu	c	стр		astbour	ia	F	vve	estbour	a	w	۹ТР	Grand
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	тот	Total
07:00	07:15	36	77	10	123	6	22	0	28	308	19	18	6	43	3	92	30	125	308	319
07:15	07:30	36	84	11	131	6	36	0	42	371	26	12	11	49	13	98	28	139	371	361
07:30	07:45	26	79	4	109	13	26	1	40	326	35	47	7	89	9	78	21	108	326	346
07:45	08:00	26	81	6	113	22	26	1	49	342	29	33	9	71	6	69	29	104	342	337
08:00	08:15	25	64	12	101	14	32	1	47	296	24	29	4	57	3	45	21	69	296	274
08:15	08:30	27	67	8	102	9	31	0	40	298	26	38	4	68	4	58	24	86	298	296
08:30	08:45	20	54	9	83	9	29	0	38	274	32	41	9	82	5	49	24	78	274	281
08:45	09:00	22	56	7	85	9	27	1	37	275	33	27	9	69	6	43	22	71	275	262
09:00	09:15	20	50	7	77	8	33	0	41	257	23	27	5	55	6	24	22	52	257	225
09:15	09:30	3	50	2	55	9	43	2	54	235	15	18	3	36	2	23	13	38	235	183
09:30	09:45	6	46	10	62	6	34	1	41	221	17	18	4	39	1	13	16	30	221	172
09:45	10:00	3	36	7	46	7	35	3	45	203	13	25	9	47	3	13	16	32	203	170
11:30	11:45	7	44	1	52	8	41	2	51	218	11	16	8	35	5	9	6	20	218	158
11:45	12:00	4	39	7	50	12	28	0	40	193	17	16	6	39	1	18	12	31	193	160
12:00	12:15	8	36	5	49	10	36	1	47	212	25	19	6	50	3	23	10	36	212	182
12:15	12:30	9	34	10	53	16	36	0	52	221	19	22	7	48	6	11	14	31	221	184
12:30	12:45	4	40	6	50	8	49	3	60	243	16	17	8	41	3	25	17	45	243	196
12:45	13:00	6	30	6	42	13	48	1	62	223	15	26	13	54	4	14	9	27	223	185
13:00	13:15	5	42	8	55	12	27	1	40	206	19	28	10	57	3	12	10	25	206	177
13:15	13:30	6	41	6	53	10	40	0	50	223	17	25	8	50	6	18	8	32	223	185
15:00	15:15	9	44	10	63	10	62	2	74	315	30	39	27	96	4	15	11	30	315	263
15:15	15:30	10	50	11	71	25	61	0	86	367	37	76	33	146	10	36	19	65	367	368
15:30	15:45	12	40	7	59	13	77	2	92	347	28	73	27	128	11	30	13	54	347	333
15:45	16:00	9	42	7	58	20	84	1	105	390	47	78	40	165	7	25	7	39	390	367
16:00	16:15	10	38	8	56	21	96	2	119	403	42	106	37	185	4	30	11	45	403	405
16:15	16:30	11	46	12	69	27	106	1	134	458	38	75	45	158	8	31	12	51	458	412
16:30	16:45	8	24	10	42	24	86	0	110	370	48	101	41	190	5	29	14	48	370	390
16:45	17:00	8	30	11	49	32	80	1	113	393	61	101	34	196	9	29	17	55	393	413
17:00	17:15	10	37	5	52	29	90	0	119	383	37	95	34	166	7	28	7	42	383	379
17:15	17:30	4	33	2	39	22	85	0	107	366	45	106	39	190	3	25	15	43	366	379
17:30	17:45	8	34	6	48	42	74	1	117	380	44	85	40	169	6	32	17	55	380	389
17:45	18:00	11	30	12	53	39	56	1	96	307	29	78	24	131	4	22	15	41	307	321
Total:		409	1498	243	2150	511	1636	29	2176	9624	917	1515	567	2999	170	1067	510	1747	9624	9,072

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Survey Dat	te: Tuesday, C	October 29, 201	9		WO No:		38897
Start Time): 07:00				Device:		Miovision
			Full Study	Cyclist V	olumo		
		NAVAN RD	i un otuay	RENAUD RD			
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
16:00 16:15	1	0	1	0	2	2	3
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total	3	1	4	4	4	8	12



Survey Da	ite: Tuesday, C	October 29, 2019			WO No:		38897
Start Tim	e: 07:00				Device:		Miovision
		F	ull Stuc	lv Pedestria	n Volume		
		NAVAN RD		,	RENAUD RD		
Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
07:15 07:30	3	2	5	2	1	3	8
07:30 07:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
07:45 08:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
09:45 10:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
11:30 11:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
13:00 13:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	1	1	2	1	1	2	4
15:30 15:45	2	1	3	1	0	1	4
15:45 16:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
16:00 16:15	0	5	5	1	1	2	7
16:15 16:30	1	0	1	0	2	2	3
16:30 16:45	4	2	6	0	0	0	6
16:45 17:00	3	0	3	2	0	2	5
17:00 17:15	4	0	4	0	2	2	6
17:15 17:30	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
17:30 17:45	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
17:45 18:00	2	0	2	0	3	3	5
Total	22	21	43	8	13	21	64



Survey Date: Tuesday, October 29, 2019 Start Time: 07:00										WO No:38897Device:Miovision				ı					
						F	ull S	tud	v He	avv	Veł	nicle	S						
			NA	VAN	RD				<i>,</i>	j		RE		RD					
	N	orthhou	und			uthhou	nd				acthour	<u>-</u> .			aethour	hd			
Northbound Sc				30				STR	Ľ	asiboui	lu	F			W STR			Grand	
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	LT	ST	RT	тот	тот	Total
07:00 07:15	1	5	2	10	2	2	0	11	21	2	1	0	5	0	1	0	6	11	16
07:15 07:30	0	6	2	19	0	6	0	15	34	3	0	1	4	4	0	0	6	10	22
07:30 07:45	2	4	1	18	2	9	0	17	35	2	6	0	12	2	2	0	13	25	30
07:45 08:00	1	7	1	18	2	7	0	20	38	4	0	0	7	2	2	0	7	14	26
08:00 08:15	1	8	0	17	0	8	0	21	38	4	4	0	10	0	1	1	6	16	27
08:15 08:30	0	12	1	25	2	9	0	27	52	4	2	0	8	3	2	0	10	18	35
08:30 08:45	0	10	0	21	1	8	0	24	45	5	1	0	8	3	2	0	7	15	30
08:45 09:00	2	10	1	18	1	5	0	19	37	3	2	0	9	0	2	0	6	15	26
09:00 09:15	0	10	0	18	0	7	0	20	38	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	21
09:15 09:30	0	5	1	17	1	10	0	17	34	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	4	19
09:30 09:45	0	2	3	14	3	9	0	16	30	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	9	10	20
09:45 10:00	0	8	0	19	0	11	0	21	40	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	21
11:30 11:45	1	7	0	15	0	7	0	14	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	15
11:45 12:00	0	7	0	10	0	3	0	11	21	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	11
12:00 12:15	0	8	0	15	0	6	0	15	30	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4	17
12:15 12:30	0	3	1	11	1	7	0	12	23	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	14
12:30 12:45	0	3	1	13	0	8	0	11	24	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	4	14
12:45 13:00	0	5	0	9	0	4	0	10	19	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	11
13:00 13:15	1	8	1	15	0	5	0	14	29	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	3	16
13:15 13:30	0	5	2	14	1	5	0	12	26	1	1	1	3	1	0	0	5	8	17
15:00 15:15	1	4	1	14	1	8	0	15	29	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	4	7	18
15:15 15:30	1	7	1	16	0	6	0	15	31	2	0	0	7	1	4	0	6	13	22
15:30 15:45	0	7	0	15	0	7	0	17	32	2	1	1	7	0	3	1	5	12	22
15:45 16:00	0	4	0	11	0	6	0	12	23	2	2	1	5	0	0	0	2	7	15
16:00 16:15	0	4	0	13	0	9	0	15	28	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	4	16
16:15 16:30	0	6	1	19	1	8	0	18	37	2	3	4	10	0	1	1	7	17	27
16:30 16:45	0	3	0	13	0	8	0	11	24	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	13
16:45 17:00	1	2	0	7	0	4	0	7	14	1	1	0	4	0	1	0	2	6	10
17:00 17:15	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	4	8	1	3	1	6	0	1	0	4	10	9
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	4	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	1	4	4
17:30 17:45	0	1	0	6	0	4	0	5	11	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	6
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	5	12	0	2	1	3	1	0	0	3	6	9
Total: None	12	172	20	442	18	204	0	454	896	52	35	14	137	20	24	8	125	262	579



y Date:	Tuesda	ay, October	29, 2019	WC	O No:	38897						
Time:	07:00			De	Miovisior							
			Full S	Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total NAVAN RD RENAUD RD								
	Time Period		Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total					
07	7:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0					
0	7:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0					
0	7:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0					
0	7:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0					
0	8:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0					
0	8:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0					
0	8:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0					
08	8:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0					
09	9:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0					
09	9:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0					
09	9:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0					
09	9:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0					
1	1:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0					
1	1:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0					
12	2:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0					
12	2:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0					
12	2:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0					
12	2:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0					
1:	3:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0					
1:	3:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0					
1:	5:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0					
1:	5:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0					
1:	5:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0					
1:	5:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0					
16	6:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0					
16	6:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0					
16	6:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0					
16	6:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0					
17	7:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0					
17	7:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0					
17	7:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0					
17	7:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0					
	То	stal	0	0	0	0	0					

Appendix B

Chapel Hill Park and Ride Excerpt





City of Ottawa Chapel Hill Park & Ride Environmental Assessment Figure 1-2 2031 AM and PM Total Peak Hour Volumes

Stantec Consulting Ltd. 1331 Clyde Avenue, Suite 400 Ottawa, ON, Canada K2C 3G4 Tel: (613) 722-4420





Collision Data

OBJECTID	DATE	ANOM_ID	YEAR	Т	ГIME	LOCATION	GEO_ID	ACCIDENT_	LOICLA	SS_OF_A	CIMPACT_	TYPE E	ENVIRONMEN	1LIGHT	ROAD_SURFA(TRAFFIC_CON TRAFFIC_CONTROL_CONDITION
167	4 2018/02/13	00:00:0(18-1947		2018	9:04:00 PM	BRIAN COBURI	e2IA8	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	07 - SMV	other 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	05 - Packed snc	10 - No control
256	2 2018/02/25	00:00:0(18-2315		2018	8:30:00 AM	BRIAN COBURI	17	228 03 - At inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	07 - SMV	other 0	04 - Freezing R	a01 - Daylight	06 - Ice	11 - Roundabou01 - Functioning
777	2 2018/08/09	00:00:0(18-7234		2018	1:04:00 PM	BRIAN COBURI	17	228 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	01 - Appro	bachin 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	11 - Roundabou 01 - Functioning
358	3 2018/04/05	00:00:0(18-3449		2018	7:25:00 AM	BRIAN COBURI	17	228 03 - At inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	11 - Roundabou01 - Functioning
1036	7 2018/11/16	00:00:0(18-10696		2018	10:47:00 AM	BRIAN COBURI	17	228 02 - Intersect	ion 02 -	Non-fatal I	n03 - Rear	end 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	11 - Roundabou 01 - Functioning
8557	9 11/	29/2019 19-12976		2019	17:00:00+00	BRIAN COBURI	17	228 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end (01 - Clear	05 - Dusk	01 - Dry	11 - Roundabou01 - Functioning
0000 7000	1 5/	24/2019 19-7262		2019	22:00:00+00 19:55:00+00		17	228 03 - Al Inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	end 0	1 - Clear	07 - Dark 01 Dovlight	01 - Dry 01 - Dry	11 - Roundabou 01 - Functioning
1033	0 11/	24/2019 19-3773		2019	16.55.00+00		17	220 02 - Intersect	.i0i103 -		03 - Real	enu u othor 0)1 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry 01 - Dry	11 - Roundabou 01 - Functioning
8190	9 II/ Q (24/2019 19-12700		2019	01·11·00+00	BRIAN COBURI	17	220 03 - At inters	ect 03 -	P D only	07 - SMV	other ())2 - Rain	07 - Dayligin 07 - Dark	01 - Diy 02 - Wet	11 - Roundabou01 - Functioning
7534	8 2/	23/2019 19-2774		2019	09:30:00+00	BRIAN COBURI	17	228 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P D only	03 - Rear	end 0)1 - Clear	01 - Davlight	02 - Wet	11 - Roundabou01 - Functioning
282	9 2018/03/19	00:00:0(18-2988		2018	6:38:00 AM	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	04 - At/near r	oriv 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end 0)1 - Clear	01 - Davlight	01 - Drv	10 - No control
7437	3 2	2/2/2019 19-1826		2019	19:34:00+00	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	01 - Appro	bachin 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	03 - Loose snow	10 - No control
912	1 2018/10/09	00:00:0(18-9203		2018	10:52:00 PM	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	01 - Dry	10 - No control
578	0 2018/06/06	00:00:0(18-5255		2018	5:18:00 PM	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	10 - No control
6881	1 5/31/20	017 4:00 10815		2017 1	2/31/1899 2:29	NAVAN RD btwr	n ORLEANS	BL\01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e C	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	08 - Loose sand	10 - No control
295	6 2018/03/10	00:00:0(18-2756		2018	6:50:00 PM	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	01 - Non inte	rse02 -	Non-fatal i	n 07 - SMV	other 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	01 - Dry	10 - No control
5597	1 2016/12/05	05:00:0(16-11351		2016 1	899/12/31 23:4	NAVAN RD btwr	n ORLEANS	BLVD & PAGE R	D 03-	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O)3 - Snow	07 - Dark	05 - Packed snc	10 - No control
433	1 2018/05/09	00:00:0(18-4379		2018	4:24:00 PM	BRIAN COBURI	17	232 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign: 01 - Functioning
6119	3 10/29/20	017 4:00 2228		2017	1/1/1900 4:43	BRIAN COBURN	NBLVD @ I	PAGI02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	07 - SMV	other 0	02 - Rain	07 - Dark	02 - Wet	01 - Traffic signal
4610	5 2016/02/17	05:00:0(16-1896		2016 1	899/12/31 23:4	NAVAN RD btwr		BLVD & PAGE R	D 03-	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end 0	03 - Snow	07 - Dark	06 - Ice	10 - No control
7460	0	2/4/2019 19-1881		2019	07:44:00+00	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	01 - Appro	bachin 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	02 - Wet	10 - No control
5537	5 2016/11/02	04:00:0(16-10079		2016 1	1899/12/31 21:0	NAVAN RD @ P			- 20	Non-fatal I	nus - Turni	ng mo u	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
6857	5 9/18/20	05:00:0(46 4022		2017 1	2/31/1899 10:0	NAVAN RD @ P		03 - At Inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	07 - SIVIV	other u	D1 - Clear	07 - Dark	01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign
4000	0 0 0	05.00.0010-1032		2010	1099/12/3121.4			100 02 At intore	- 50 00t 02		02 - Angle		1 - Clear	05 - Dusk 01 Dovlight	01 - Dry 01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
3356	9 0	0/9/2019 19-0403		2019	11.20.00+00 1800/12/31 17·0			199 03 - At Inters		P.D. only		; U other ())1 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry 01 - Dry	02 - Stop Sign = 01 - Functioning
5031	0 2016/06/16	04:00:0(16-5795		2015 1		NAVAN RD @ F			03 -	P D only	07 - Siviv 02 - Anale)1 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry 01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign $01 - Functioning$
6857	8 3/2/20)17 5·00 10806		2010 1	2/31/1899 12:0	NAVAN RD @ P	PAGE RD	03 - At inters	ect 03 -	P D only	02 - Angle	, 0)4 - Freezing R	203 - Dawn	06 - Ice	02 - Stop sign
3410	1 2015/03/23	04:00:0(15-4044		2015 1	899/12/31 20:0	NAVAN RD @ P	AGE RD		03 -	P.D. only	02 - Anale	e C)1 - Clear	01 - Davlight	01 - Drv	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
7344	4 1/	11/2019 19-449		2019	18:30:00+00	NAVAN RD btw	3ZBOGN	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	01 - Appro	bachin 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	01 - Dry	10 - No control
4661	8 2016/02/19	05:00:0(16-2103		2016 1	899/12/31 23:5	RENAUD RD @	PAGE RD		03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e 0	03 - Snow	07 - Dark	03 - Loose snow	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
7590	1 3/	15/2019 19-3570		2019	17:38:00+00	RENAUD RD @	4	535 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	05 - Turni	ng mo 0	02 - Rain	01 - Daylight	02 - Wet	02 - Stop sign 00 - Unknown
1223	3 2018/12/19	00:00:0(18-12206		2018	7:55:00 AM	NAVAN RD btw	3ZA3J1	01 - Non inte	rse03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	10 - No control
3982	4 2015/10/05	04:00:0(15-10376		2015 1	899/12/31 22:2	RENAUD RD @	NAVAN RE)	02 -	Non-fatal i	n 03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
7042	2 9/5/20	017 4:00 11087		2017 1	2/31/1899 1:50	ORLEANS BLV	D @ NAVAN	RD 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign
7042	4 2/7/20	017 5:00 11089		2017 1	2/31/1899 12:1	ORLEANS BLV	D @ NAVAN	RD 03 - At inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	04 - Slush	02 - Stop sign
8009	0 7/	24/2019 19-7924		2019	01:56:00+00	ORLEANS BLV	12 • • • • • • •	474 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	07 - SMV	other 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	01 - Dry	01 - I raffic sign: 01 - Functioning
5167	8 2016/08/10	04:00:0(16-7434		2016 1	1899/12/31 16:1	ORLEANS BLVL	J @ NAVAN		- 02 -	Non-fatal I	n 02 - Angle		01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
144	4 2018/02/10	00:00:0(18-1826		2018	5:38:00 PM	ORLEANS BLVI	12	474 03 - At Inters	ect 02 -		nui - Appro	bachin u	J3 - Show	07 - Dark	05 - Packed shc	01 - I raffic sign: 01 - Functioning
001	0 2018/02/14	00:00:00 18-1953		2018	7:14:00 AM		12	474 03 - Al Inters	ect 03 -	P.D. Only	02 - Angle	end 0	1 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	02 - Stop Sign 01 - Functioning
1210	4 2018/01/13	00.00.00 18-090		2018	6.52.00 AM	ORI EANS BLVI	12	2474 02 - Intersect	ion 02 -		03 - Rear	end 0)1 - Clear	07 - Daik 03 - Dawn	00 - ICe 01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign: 01 - Functioning
7042	1 7/11/2)17 4·00 11086		2010	0.02.00 AM	ORI FANS BLV	י 0 @ NAVAN	RD 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P D only	01 - Appro	bachin ()1 - Clear	01 - Davlight	01 - Dry 01 - Dry	02 - Ston sign
5439	5 2016/10/06	04:00:0(16-9199		2016 1	899/12/31 12:2	ORLEANS BLV) @ NAVAN	RD 02 moreces	03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end 0)1 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
4912	4 2016/05/02	04:00:0(16-4347		2016 1	899/12/31 19:5	ORLEANS BLV	D @ NAVAN	N RD	03 -	P.D. only	99 - Other	· 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	02 - Stop sign 01 - Functioning
7023	8 10/19/20	017 4:00 11946		2017 1	2/31/1899 6:03	RENAUD RD @	NAVAN RE	02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic signal
3430	6 2015/04/14	04:00:0(15-4681		2015 1	899/12/31 17:3	RENAUD RD @	NAVAN RE)	03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
3102	5 2015/02/04	05:00:0(15-1963		2015 1	899/12/31 15:3	RENAUD RD @	NAVAN RE)	03 -	P.D. only	07 - SMV	other 0)3 - Snow	01 - Daylight	03 - Loose snow	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
1160	2 2018/12/10	00:00:0(18-11745		2018	10:05:00 AM	RENAUD RD @	3	685 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
7725	4 4/	15/2019 19-4476		2019	08:48:00+00	RENAUD RD @	3	685 03 - At inters	ect 02 -	Non-fatal i	n 02 - Angle	e O	02 - Rain	01 - Daylight	02 - Wet	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
8092	0 8/	15/2019 19-8659		2019	07:12:00+00	RENAUD RD @	3	685 02 - Intersect	ion 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
601	1 2018/07/17	00:00:0(18-6608		2018	9:43:00 PM	RENAUD RD @	3	685 03 - At inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	02 - Angle	e 0	01 - Clear	07 - Dark	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign: 01 - Functioning
747	9 2018/08/31	00:00:0(18-7822		2018	9:20:00 AM	RENAUD RD @	3	685 03 - At inters	ect 02 -	Non-fatal i	n 02 - Angle	e 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign 01 - Functioning
/80/	0 5/	21/2019 19-5622		2019	12:53:00+00	RENAUD RD @	3	685 03 - At inters	ect 02 -	Non-fatal I	n02 - Angle	e O	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign: 01 - Functioning
8007	4 (/	23/2019 19-7909		2019	16:55:00+00	RENAUD RD @		685 02 - Intersect	:ion 03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	01 - Traffic sign: 01 - Functioning
7042	3 11/12/20 0 10/24/20	117 5:00 11088 17 4:00 11047		2017 1	2/31/1899 8:16			NRD 03 - At Inters	ect 03 -	P.D. only	UZ - Angle	ethor (Di - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry 02 Wet	02 - Stop sign
1023	4 2016/01/05	05:00:0(16-157		2017 1	12,31/1099 12:2 899/12/31 23·/	RENALID RD @) 03 - At IIItelS	- בטו טב - חק	PD only	02 - Anale)1 - Clear	03 - Dawn 07 - Dark	02 = 0001	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
4515	2 2016/01/07	05:00:0(16-245		2016 1	899/12/31 21.1	RENAUD RD @	NAVAN R)	03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end በ)1 - Clear	01 - Davlight	01 - Drv	01 - Traffic sign:01 - Functioning
266	5 2018/03/14	00:00:0(18-2828		2018	6:25:00 AM	RENAUD RD ht	3ZBN8V	04 - At/near r	oriv 03 -	P.D. only	02 - Anale	e 0)3 - Snow	03 - Dawn	04 - Slush	10 - No control
4117	1 2015/11/03	05:00:0(15-11388		2015 1	899/12/31 14:1	NAVAN RD btwr	INNES RD	& ORLEANS BL	/D 03 -	P.D. only	07 - SMV	other 0)1 - Clear	01 - Davlight	01 - Drv	10 - No control
3427	5 2015/04/13	04:00:0(15-4650		2015 1	899/12/31 15:0	NAVAN RD btwr	n RENAUD	RD & MER BLEUE	E R 03 -	P.D. only	99 - Other	· 0	01 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	10 - No control
4989	6 2016/06/14	04:00:0(16-5719		2016 1	899/12/31 23:5	RENAUD RD btv	wn NAVAN	RD & WHITE ST	03 -	P.D. only	03 - Rear	end O)1 - Clear	01 - Daylight	01 - Dry	10 - No control

Appendix D

BrianCoburn/NavanIntersectionUltimate DesignandNavan/RenaudIntersectionFunctionalDesign



PROPOSED ROADWAY / CHEMIN PROPOSÉ
PROPOSED BRT / TCRA PROPOSÉE
PROPOSED MULTI-USE PATH (MUP) / SENTIER POLYVALENT PROPOSÉ
PROPOSED GRADE SEPARATED CROSSING / TRAVERSÉE À NIVEAU SÉPARÉ PROPOSE
CREEK REALIGNMENT / RÉALIGNEMENT DU RUISSEAU
PROPOSED NOISE BARRIER / BARRIÈRE ANTI-BRUIT PROPOSÉE





BRIAN COBURN / CUMBERLAND TRANSITWAY EA STUDY ÉTUDE D'ÉE DU PROLONGEMENT DU BOULEVARD BRIAN-COBURN / TRANSITWAY DE CUMBERLAND **ULTIMATE DESIGN / CONCEPTION ULTIME**

1. Ottawa Pedestrian Plan (2013) / Plan de la circulation piétonnière d'Ottawa (2013)

2. Transportation Master Plan, City of Ottawa (2013) / Plan directeur des transports, Ville d'Ottawa (2013)

3. Transit-oriented development guidelines, City of Ottawa (2007) / Lignes directrices sur l'utilisation du sol et la conception des aménagements axés sur le transport en commun, Ville d'Ottawa (2007) 4. geoOttawa, City of Ottawa / GeoOttawa, Ville d'Ottawa




Appendix E

Existing and Background Conditions Output Data (2026, 2031)

Existing Conditions 1: Navan & Orleans

	۶	-	+	•	1	-
l ane Group	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR
Lane Configurations	*			*	*	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	233	1000	151	109	143
Future Volume (vph)	10	233	1000	151	109	143
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	259	1111	168	121	159
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases		2	6		4	
Permitted Phases	2	_	-	6		4
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
All-Red Time (s)	23	2.3	23	2.3	21	21
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
Lead Lag Optimize?						
	Max	Max	Max	Max	Nono	Nono
	NIX	IVIAX	IVIAX	10 O	14 4	14 4
Actuated a/C Datia	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	0.46	0.46
Actualed g/C Rallo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10
V/C Rallo	0.09	0.22	0.92	0.16	0.46	0.52
	0.0	5.2	26.0	1.9	33.1	19.3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	6.8	5.2	26.0	1.9	33.1	19.3
LUS	A	A	C	A	C	В
Approach Delay		5.2	22.8		25.3	
Approach LOS		A	C		C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.4	10.8	106.8	1.4	15.3	8.8
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.7	23.4	#240.5	7.8	30.2	25.3
Internal Link Dist (m)		129.3	474.0		151.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0			55.0	30.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	120	1203	1203	1064	488	499
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.09	0.22	0.92	0.16	0.25	0.32
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 80						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.1						
Natural Cycle: 90						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92						
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.6				Int	tersection I (OS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74	7%			IC	U Level of S	Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			10		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity que	ue mav he	longer			
Oueue shown is maximum after	two cyclee	ao may be	longol.			
	two cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Or	rleans					

A ₀₂	<i>◆</i> ∕ _{Ø4}
53 s	27 s
Ø6	
53 s	

2: Navan & Park n' Ride						AM.syn
	/	ŧ	*	1		
	•	1			•	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	W.	*	1	7	*	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	1037	65	47	300	
Future Volume (vph)	7	1037	65	47	300	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	1152	72	52	333	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6	
Permitted Phases	8		2	6		
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.1	17.4	17.4	15.4	15.4	
Total Split (s)	36.1	55.4	55.4	55.4	55.4	
Total Split (%)	39.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	10.1	69.9	69.9	69.9	69.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.14	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.69	0.05	0.16	0.20	
Control Delay	25.1	5.9	0.9	2.8	1.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	25.1	5.9	0.9	2.8	1.3	
LOS	С	А	А	А	А	
Approach Delay	25.1	5.6			1.5	
Approach LOS	С	А			А	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.9	#256.0	4.0	6.2	22.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0			474.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)			50.0	45.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	666	1677	1427	317	1677	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	

Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.69	0.05	0.16	0.20	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 91.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.6						
Natural Cycle: 80						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69						
Intersection Signal Delay: 4.8				Inte	ersection LOS: A	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.5%)			ICL	J Level of Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
# 95th percentile volume exceeds ca	nacity que	ie may be l	onger			

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

t ø2		
55.4 s		
✓Ø6	Ø8	
55.4 s	36.1 s	

Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	46.9			
Intersection LOS	E			
Approach	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	675	628	345	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	688	641	352	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	609	94	171	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	126	429	1126	
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	98.9	12.4	7.9	
Approach LOS	F	В	А	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				

Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	688	641	352	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	615	1029	952	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.980	0.980	
Flow Entry, veh/h	675	628	345	
Cap Entry, veh/h	603	1008	933	
V/C Ratio	1.119	0.623	0.370	
Control Delay, s/veh	98.9	12.4	7.9	
LOS	F	В	A	
95th %tile Queue, veh	21	5	2	

Existing Conditions 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												AM.syn
	≯	+	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	1	1	1	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
l ane Configurations		4			Δ				1			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	203	104	2	720	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	203	104	2	720	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	226	116	2	800	4	0	0	0	3	7	52
Pedestrians											1	
Lane Width (m)											3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)											1.0	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.80						0.80	0.80		0.80	0.80	0.80
vC, conflicting volume	805			342			1160	1107	284	1105	1163	803
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	631			342			1074	1009	284	1006	1079	629
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			100			100	100	100	98	96	87
cM capacity (veh/h)	760			1217			131	190	755	174	173	386
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	349	806	0	62								
Volume Left	7	2	0	3								
Volume Right	116	4	0	52								
cSH	760	1217	1700	322								
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.19								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.2	0.0	0.0	5.6								
Control Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	18.8								
Lane LOS	А	А	А	С								
Approach Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	18.8								
Approach LOS			А	С								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			51.6%	IC	U Level of Se	ervice			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Existing Conditions 7: Navan & Renaud

	≯	+	\mathbf{F}	4	ł	•	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	×	*	1	×.	Ť.	X	*	1	X	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	121	110	33	31	341	124	363	31	47	115	
Future Volume (vph)	121	110	33	31	341	124	363	31	47	115	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	134	122	37	34	525	138	403	34	52	130	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	41.1	39.6	39.6	25.0	25.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
v/c Ratio	0.50	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.07	0.29	0.57	0.05	0.19	0.19	
Control Delay	20.4	14.8	4.9	24.4	93.4	20.3	24.7	0.2	19.6	18.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	20.4	14.8	4.9	24.4	93.4	20.3	24.7	0.2	19.6	18.1	
LOS	С	В	А	С	F	С	С	А	В	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			89.2		22.2			18.5	
Approach LOS		В			F		С			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	13.2	12.3	0.0	4.5	~103.5	16.4	54.8	0.0	5.9	14.6	
Queue Length 95th (m)	24.2	22.9	5.3	11.8	#166.3	30.7	84.5	0.4	14.4	26.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	273	804	687	339	489	812	1206	1028	478	1204	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.49	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.07	0.17	0.33	0.03	0.11	0.11	
Interportion Summony											
Cycle Length: 112.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 97.9											
Actuated Cycle Length. 07.0											
Control Type: Actuated Lineardinated											
Maximum v/a Datia: 1.07											
Intersection Signal Delay 42.0				In	torootion	00.0					
Intersection Signal Delay, 43.9						OS. D Convier E					
Analysis Daried (min) 15				IU	U Level OI						
Analysis Period (min) 15	theoretic	ally infinita									
 volume exceeds capacity, queue is Queue about is maximum after two 		any minite.									
	cycles.	nuo mou ho	longor								
Queue shown is maximum after two	pacity, que o cycles.	eue may be	ionger.								
Splits and Phases: 7. Navan & Dong	hud										
	iuu						<u>.</u>				
102 66.7s						45	₽ 0 4				
N. 100.7 S						-10.	<u>↓</u>	•	_		
▼ Ø6							Ø7	1	Ø8		

5

Existing Conditions 9: Brian Coburn & Park n' Ride

	٦	-	-	•	1	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	*	*	1	8	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	90	586	16	6	21
Future Volume (vph)	21	90	586	16	6	21
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	100	651	18	7	23
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases		2	6		4	
Permitted Phases	2	2	U	6	-	4
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4
Switch Phase	2	2	U	Ū	-	-
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	10.0 56.0	56.0	23.0 56.0	23.0 56.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (S)	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	20.10/	20.10/
Valley, Time (a)	00.9%	00.9%	00.9%	00.9%	39.1%	39.1%
Tellow Time (S)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
All-Red Lime (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
I otal Lost I ime (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	69.5	69.5	69.5	69.5	10.1	10.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.13	0.13
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.06	0.41	0.01	0.03	0.11
Control Delay	2.5	2.1	3.3	1.6	33.7	16.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	2.5	2.1	3.4	1.6	33.7	16.0
LOS	A	 A	A	A	C	B
Approach Delay		21	3.3		20.1	-
Approach LOS		Δ	Δ		20.1	
Oueue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0 0	0.0
Queue Length 30th (III)	0.0	0.0	55.7	1.6	0.9 5 1	7.1
laternel Link Dist (m)	2.1	1.5	144.7	1.0	20.1	1.1
	40.0	239.9	144.7	25.0	J0.Z	
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0	4500	4500	35.0	050	004
Base Capacity (vpn)	632	1586	1586	1349	656	601
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	97	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.06	0.44	0.01	0.01	0.04
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 92						
Actuated Cycle Length: 77 3						
Natural Cycle: 60						
Control Type: Semi Act-Unco	ord					
Maximum v/o Patio: 0.41	oru					
Interpretion Signal Delay: 2.9				اما	torooption L	00.1
Intersection Signal Delay. 5.0						03. A
Analysis Period (min) 15	01 00.9%			IU		Service A
		-				
Splits and Phases: 9: Brian	Coburn & Park n' F	KIDE				

-4 ₀₂	« ► _{Ø4}	
56 s	36 s	
<u>↓</u>		
Ø6		
56 s		

	-	-		
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations			~	~~~
	T 96	T 602		
Future Volume (vph)	90	602		
Future volume (vpn)	90	660		
	107	009		
Turn Type	NA	NA		0
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		2.5
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	5.6		
Lead/Lag	0.0	0.0		
Lead-Lag Ontimize?				
	Max	Max	Nono	None
	IVIDX	IVIAX	NOLIE	NOTE
Act Effect Green (S)	60.6	00.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio	1.00	1.00		
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.38		
Control Delay	0.1	0.6		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	0.1	0.6		
LOS	A	А		
Approach Delay	0.1	0.6		
Approach LOS	А	А		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Canacity (vnh)	1765	1765		
Starvation Can Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Can Reductin	0	0		
Spiliback Cap Reductin	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductin	0	0 00		
Reduced V/c Ratio	0.06	0.38		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6				
Natural Cycle: 60				
Control Type: Semi Act-I Incoord				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.38				
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.5				Int
Intersection Signal Delay, U.S	0.00/			int
Analysis Deried (min) 15	D.∠70			10
Analysis Period (min) 15				
Calife and Dhanas AO Da Mi				
Splits and Phases: 10: Page MU	JP & Brian Cot	ourn		

→ø2	▼ Ø4
45.6 s	25 s
← Ø6	¶ø8
45.6 s	25 s

Existing Conditions 1: Navan & Orleans

1: Navan & Orleans							PM
	≯	+	t	*	•	1	
Lane Group	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR	
Lane Configurations	*			1	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	136	1004	305	192	175	33	
Future Volume (vph)	136	1004	305	102	175	33	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	151	1116	330	213	194	37	
	Perm	NΔ	NΔ	Perm	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases	T CITI	2	6	T CITI	1100	T CITI	
Permitted Phases	2	2	U	6	7	4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4	
Switch Phase	2	2	0	U	7	7	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Solit (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8	
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	20.0 53.0	53.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	37	37	37	37	37	37	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	23	23	2.3	21	21	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	0.0 6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	
Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Ontimize?							
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	
Act Effet Green (s)	50 /	50 /	50 /	50 /	1/1 1	1/ 1	
Actuated a/C Patio	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.18	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.10	
Control Delay	7.2	33.7	69	1.5	37.0	9.12	
	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.2	23.7	6.0	1.5	37.0	0.0	
	Δ	55.1	0.3	1.5	57.0 D	- J.4 Δ	
Approach Delay	Л	30.5	4.8	Л	32.6	А	
Approach LOS		00.0 C	4.0 Δ		02.0 C		
Oueue Length 50th (m)	76	130.6	18.0	0.0	25.7	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.0	#268.0	38.3	7.7	15.3	7.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)	13.3	#200.0 120 3	474.0	1.1	151 1	7.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0	120.0	474.0	55.0	30.0		
Base Canacity (vnh)	647	1164	1164	1062	466	444	
Starvation Can Reducto	0	0	0	0	00+	0	
Snillback Can Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Can Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.23	0.96	0.29	0.20	0 42	0.08	
ntersection Summany	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.20	02	0.00	
Cycle Length: 80							
Actuated Cycle Length: 76 /							
Natural Cycle: 90							
Control Type: Somi Act Uncoord							
Maximum v/a Patio: 0.96							
ntersection Signal Delay: 23.8				In	tersection L	05.0	
ntersection Canacity Litilization 75.90	0/_				CITE AVAL OF	Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15	/0			I.			
4 95th percentile volume exceede c	ranacity cu		longer				
Queue shown is maximum after to	wo cycles.	cue may be	longer.				
Colite and Dhasas 1. Never 9 Ort	0000						
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Orl	eans						- A
• Ø2							04

∠	</th
53 s	27 s
▲ Ø6	
53 s	

	4	1	1	1	ţ
Lane Group	WBI	NBT	NBR	SBI	SBT
Lane Configurations	M		1011	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	447	11	-1	1081
Future Volume (vph)	62	447	11	8	1081
Lane Group Flow (vph)	111	497	12	9	1201
	Porm		Dorm	Porm	ΝΔ
Protected Phases	T GIIII	2	T CITI	T CITI	6
Protected Phases	8	2	2	6	0
Petrotor Phases	0	0	2	6	6
Switch Phase	8	2	2	0	0
Switch Phase	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
iviinimum Split (s)	16.1	1/.4	1/.4	15.4	15.4
Total Split (s)	36.1	65.4	65.4	65.4	65.4
Total Split (%)	35.6%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	11.1	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.35	0.01	0.01	0.86
Control Delay	33,2	4.6	1.9	3.5	17.3
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	33.2	4.6	1.9	3.5	17.3
LOS	C.	4.5 Δ	Δ	Δ	R
Approach Delay	33.2	4.6	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	7	17.2
Approach LOS	00.2	4.0			R
Oueue Length 50th (m)	13.0	23.0	0.0	03	120.0
Queue Length OEth (m)	13.9	20.9	0.0	0.5	129.9 #206.6
Queue Lengin 95(II (III)	20.0	40.Z	1.4	1.0	#200.0
Turn Dovid consthe (m)	03.0	100.0	E0.0	45.0	4/4.0
Turn Bay Length (m)	570	1404	0.00	45.0	1404
Base Capacity (vpn)	5/6	1404	1196	653	1404
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.35	0.01	0.01	0.86
Intersection Summarv					
Cycle Length: 101 5					
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.9					
Actuated Cycle Length. 07.9					

Actuated Cycle Length: 87.9	
Natural Cycle: 90	
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord	
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86	
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.7	Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.0%	ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15	

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

fø2	
65.4 s	
Ø6	√ Ø8
65.4 s	36.1s

PM.syn

Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	73.5			
Intersection LOS	F			
Approach	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	283	438	1168	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	288	447	1191	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	333	504	115	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	618	802	506	
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	8.8	18.3	109.9	
Approach LOS	А	С	F	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	IR	TR	 IT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	288	447	1191	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	810	683	1007	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.983	0.981	0.980	
Flow Entry, veh/h	283	438	1168	
Cap Entry, veh/h	796	670	987	
V/C Ratio	0.356	0.655	1.182	
Control Delay, s/veh	8.8	18.3	109.9	
LOS	А	С	F	
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	5	35	

Existing Conditions 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												PM.syn
	≯	+	*	4	+	•	•	1	1	*	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		Δ.			Δ.				*	-	4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	690	121	1	368	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	690	121	1	368	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Sign Control		Free			Free		Ŭ	Stop	Ŭ	-	Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	47	767	134	1	409	11	0	0	3	2	0	20
Pedestrians					1	••	Ŭ	Ŭ	Ŭ	-	1	
Lane Width (m)					3.6						3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage					0						0	
Right turn flare (veh)					Ŭ						Ŭ	
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX platoon unblocked	0.90						0.90	0.90		0.90	0.90	0.90
vC conflicting volume	421			901			1364	1351	835	1346	1412	416
vC1_stage 1 conf vol												
vC2. stage 2 conf vol												
vCu unblocked vol	306			901			1350	1335	835	1330	1403	300
tC single (s)	41			4 1			71	6.5	62	71	6.5	62
tC 2 stage (s)								0.0	0.2		0.0	0.2
tF (s)	22			22			35	4 0	33	35	4 0	33
p0 queue free %				100			100	100	99	98	100	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	1133			754			108	133	367	114	121	668
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	948	421	3	22								
Volume Left	47	1	0	2								
Volume Right	134	11	3	20								
cSH	1133	754	367	463								
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.05								
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.0	0.0	0.2	1.2								
Control Delay (s)	1.1	0.0	14.9	13.2								
Lane LOS	А	А	В	В								
Approach Delay (s)	1.1	0.0	14.9	13.2								
Approach LOS			В	В								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			10									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			83.0%	IC	U Level of Se	rvice			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15	10					-			

Existing Conditions 7: Navan & Renaud

	≯	→	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	- N	•	1	- N	1.	- N	•	1	- N	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	198	387	157	26	119	37	144	41	128	398	
Future Volume (vph)	198	387	157	26	119	37	144	41	128	398	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	220	430	174	29	198	41	160	46	142	446	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	30.2	28.7	28.7	13.8	13.8	35.1	35.1	35.1	35.1	35.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.37	0.37	0.18	0.18	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	
v/c Ratio	0.54	0.65	0.29	0.18	0.63	0.13	0.20	0.06	0.27	0.56	
Control Delay	21.5	25.3	8.3	29.2	35.7	14.7	14.2	1.4	15.7	19.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.5	25.3	8.3	29.2	35.7	14.7	14.2	1.4	15.7	19.3	
LOS	С	С	А	С	D	В	В	А	В	В	
Approach Delay		20.7			34.9		11.9			18.4	
Approach LOS		С			С		В			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	22.9	53.4	6.6	3.8	25.4	3.4	14.0	0.0	12.9	47.2	
Queue Length 95th (m)	39.2	83.3	19.2	11.0	46.2	10.7	28.9	2.4	28.5	85.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	411	918	805	291	552	553	1377	1161	902	1375	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.54	0.47	0.22	0.10	0.36	0.07	0.12	0.04	0.16	0.32	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 77											
Natural Cycle: 75											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65											
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.5				Int	ersection L(OS: C					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 110.2%	6			IC	U Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15	-										
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & Rena	ud										
102 Mg2							1 Ø4				
66 7 a						45	E.c.				

[™] ¶ø2	₩ø4		
66.7 s	46.5 s		
Ø6	<u>∕</u> ∅7	₩ Ø8	
66.7 s	15 s	31.5 s	

Existing Conditions 9: Brian Coburn & Park n' Ride

	≯	-	-	•	1	-		
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	*	*	*	1	×	1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	525	234	8	6	21		
Future Volume (vph)	21	525	234	8	6	21		
I ane Group Flow (vph)	23	583	260	9	7	23		
	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm		
Protected Phases		- 2	6	i cim	4	i cim		
Permitted Phases	2	2	U	6	4	Λ		
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	1	- 1		
Switch Phase	2	2	0	0	4	4		
Minimum Initial (c)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		
Minimum Colit (c)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0		
Minimum Split (S)	16.0	10.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	25.0		
	66.U	06.0	06.0	06.0	36.0	36.0		
I otal Split (%)	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	35.3%	35.3%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3		
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		
Lead/Lag								
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None		
Act Effct Green (s)	79.5	79.5	79.5	79.5	10.1	10.1		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.12	0.12		
v/c Batio	0.02	0.36	0.16	0.01	0.04	0.12		
Control Delay	2.0	2.50	1 0	1.4	38.7	17.6		
	2.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Dalay	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	20.7	17.6		
	2.0	2.1	1.9	1.4	38.7	0.11		
	А	A	A	A	U	В		
Approach Delay		2.7	1.9		22.5			
Approach LOS		А	Α		С			
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.4	45.7	17.3	1.0	5.7	7.6		
Internal Link Dist (m)		239.9	144.7		38.2			
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0			35.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	961	1605	1605	1364	579	534		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.36	0.16	0.01	0.01	0.04		
	0.02	0.00	0.10	5.01	5.01	0.04		
Intersection Summary								
Cycle Length: 102								
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.4								
Natural Cycle: 60								
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.36								
Intersection Signal Delay: 3.1		Intersection LOS: A						
Intersection Capacity Litilization 47 50/								
Apolycic Deried (min) 15)			IU	O LEVELUI 3	DEI VICE A		
Analysis Period (min) 15								
Splits and Phases: 9: Brian Coburn	& Park n' I	Ride						

4 ₀₂	< ₩ _{Ø4}
66 s	36 s
←	
Ø6	
66 s	

	-	+		
Lane Group	FRT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations			τu	20
Traffic Volume (vph)	T 531	T 242		
Future Volume (vph)	531	242		
I ane Group Flow (vph)	590	269		
Turn Type	NA	NA		
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases	2	0	4	U
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase	2	0		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Snlit (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	15.0	15.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	40.0	40.0	20.0	25.0
Vollow Time (a)	04.0%	04.0%	30% 20	35%
Tellow Tille (S)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (S)	0.0	0.0		
i otal Lost Time (\$)	5.6	5.6		
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?		M-	NL	NL
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	60.6	60.6		
Actuated g/C Ratio	1.00	1.00		
v/c Ratio	0.33	0.15		
Control Delay	0.5	0.2		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	0.5	0.2		
LOS	А	А		
Approach Delay	0.5	0.2		
Approach LOS	А	А		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.33	0.15		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Longth: 60 6				
Notural Cycle Length: 60.6				
Inatural Cycle: 55	4			
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord	1			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.33				
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.4	10.000			Int
Intersection Capacity Utilization	49.3%			IC
Analysis Period (min) 15				
Splits and Phases 10. Peace	MI ID & Prion Cat			
opins and Phases: 10: Page	WUP & Brian COD	Julli		

→ Ø2	▼ Ø4	
45.6 s	25 s	
← Ø6	1 ø8	
45.6 s	25 s	

Background Conditions 2026 1: Navan & Orleans

1: Navan & Orleans							AM.syn
	٠		Ļ	۰.	5	2	
	-	-				•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	- 1	↑	↑	1	<u> </u>	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	252	1104	151	109	143	
Future Volume (vph)	10	252	1104	151	109	143	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	280	1227	168	121	159	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases		2	6		4		
Permitted Phases	2			6		4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4	
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8	
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%	
Yellow Lime (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
I otal Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8	
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?		• •					
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	
Act Effect Green (s)	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	11.6	11.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.16	0.16	
V/C Ratio	0.11	0.23	1.02	0.16	0.46	0.54	
Control Delay	8.3	5.4	46.9	2.1	32.8	23.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	8.3	5.4	46.9	2.1	32.8	23.2	
LUS Annara ah Dalau	A	A	U 44 F	A	07.4	U	
Approach Delay		5.5	41.5		21.4		
Approach LOS	0.4	A 11.0	152 Z	1.0	15.2	11 5	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.4	11.9	~155.1 #202.0	1.9	10.0	00 E	
Internal Link Diet (m)	3.0	20.4	#202.9	0.9	30.0	20.3	
Turn Poy Longth (m)	95.0	129.5	474.0	55 O	20.0		
Page Canceity (uph)	0.00	1200	1200	00.U	30.0	100	
Starvation Con Boduate	90	1200	1200	1056	407	403	
Stal Valion Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Poducod v/o Potio	0 11	0.23	1 02	0 16	0.25	033	
	0.11	0.23	1.02	0.10	0.20	0.00	
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 80							
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.3							
Natural Cycle: 100							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.02							
Intersection Signal Delay: 34.2				Int	tersection L	OS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.	5%			IC	U Level of S	Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15							
 Volume exceeds capacity, queu 	ie is theoretic	ally infinite.					
Queue shown is maximum after	two cycles.						
# 95th percentile volume exceeds Queue shown is maximum after	capacity, que two cycles.	eue may be	longer.				
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & O	rleans						
402							< ▲
53 s							27 s
4 [∞] _ Ø6							

2: Navan & Park n' Ride						AM.syn
	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	M	*	1	×.	*	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	1144	65	47	330	
Future Volume (vph)	7	1144	65	47	330	
I ane Group Flow (vph)	14	1271	72	52	367	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	1 Unit	2	i onn	i onn	6	
Permitted Phases	8	2	2	6	0	
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	0	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	17./	17./	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	36.1	55.4	55.4	55.4	55.4	
Total Split (%)	30.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	
Vellow Time (s)	39.5%	37	37	37	37	
All Ded Time (s)	0.0	J.7	1.7	1.7	J.7	
All-Red Time (S)	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	
Total Lost Time (s)	0.0	0.0 5.4	0.0 5.4	0.0 5.4	0.0	
	0.1	J.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead Log Optimize?						
	Mana	Max	Max	Max	Max	
Act Effet Crean (a)	10.1	NIAX 60.0	IVIAX	IVIAX 60.0	IVIAX	
Act Effect Green (S)	10.1	0.05	09.9	09.9	0.05	
Actualed g/C Ralio	0.14	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
V/C Rallo	0.00	0.70	0.05	0.22	0.22	
	25.1	1.0	0.9	4.5	1.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	25.1	1.8	0.9	4.3	1.4	
LUS	05.4	A	A	A	A	
Approach Delay	25.1	7.4			1.8	
Approach LOS	10	A	• •	0.0	A	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.9	#301.2	4.1	7.6	25.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0	50.0	45.0	474.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)	000	4077	50.0	45.0	4077	
Base Capacity (vph)	666	1677	1427	233	1677	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.76	0.05	0.22	0.22	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 91.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.6						
Natural Cycle: 90						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76						
Intersection Signal Delay: 6.2				In	tersection LOS	: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.5%				IC	U Level of Ser	vice D
Analysis Period (min) 15						
# 95th percentile volume exceeds ca	pacity, qu	eue may be	longer.			
Queue shown is maximum after two	cycles.		-			

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

fø2		
55.4 s		
✓Ø6	√ Ø8	
55.4 s	36.1s	

Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	77.5			
Intersection LOS	F			
Approach	WB	NB	S	В
Entry Lanes	1	1		1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1		1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	742	682	37	'1
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	757	696	37	'9
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	664	94	18	88
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	126	473	123	33
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.18	36
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0		0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.00	00
Approach Delay, s/veh	170.2	14.1	8	.6
Approach LOS	F	В		A
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	757	696	379	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	582	1029	936	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.980	0.980	0.980	
Flow Entry, veh/h	742	682	371	
Cap Entry, veh/h	570	1008	918	
V/C Ratio	1.301	0.677	0.405	
Control Delay, s/veh	170.2	14.1	8.6	
LOS	F	В	А	
95th %tile Queue, veh	31	6	2	

Background Conditions 2026 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												AM.syn
	≯	→	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				1			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	224	104	2	787	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	224	104	2	787	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Sign Control		Free			Free			Yield			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	249	116	2	874	4	0	0	0	3	7	52
Pedestrians											1	
Lane Width (m)											3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)											1.0	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.78						0.78	0.78		0.78	0.78	0.78
vC, conflicting volume	879			365			1256	1204	307	1202	1260	877
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	701			365			1187	1119	307	1116	1191	698
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			100			100	100	100	98	95	85
cM capacity (veh/h)	696			1194			104	159	733	142	144	342
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	372	880	0	62								
Volume Left	7	2	0	3								
Volume Right	116	4	0	52								
cSH	696	1194	1700	279								
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.22								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.2	0.0	0.0	6.6								
Control Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	21.5								
Lane LOS	А	А	А	С								
Approach Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	21.5								
Approach LOS			А	С								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			55.3%	IC	U Level of Se	rvice			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Background Conditions 2026 7: Navan & Renaud

	≯	+	•	4	Ļ	•	1	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	۲.	•	1	1	ĥ	μ.	•	1	<u>ک</u>	ĥ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	121	110	33	31	341	124	396	31	47	126	
Future Volume (vph)	121	110	33	31	341	124	396	31	47	126	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	134	122	37	34	525	138	440	34	52	142	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	41.1	39.6	39.6	25.0	25.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
v/c Ratio	0.50	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.07	0.30	0.63	0.05	0.21	0.20	
Control Delay	20.4	14.8	4.9	24.4	93.4	20.3	26.1	0.2	20.3	18.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	20.4	14.8	4.9	24.4	93.4	20.3	26.1	0.2	20.3	18.3	
LOS	С	В	А	С	F	С	С	А	С	В	
Approach Delay		16.1			89.2		23.4			18.8	
Approach LOS		В			F		С			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	13.2	12.3	0.0	4.5	~103.5	16.4	61.5	0.0	6.0	16.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)	24.2	22.9	5.3	11.8	#166.3	30.8	93.9	0.4	14.8	29.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	273	804	687	339	489	802	1206	1028	430	1204	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.49	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.07	0.17	0.36	0.03	0.12	0.12	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.8											
Natural Cycle: 90											
Control Type: Actuated-Lincoordinate	ed .										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.07	70										
Intersection Signal Delay: 43.7				In	tersection L	0S D					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 113	6%			10		Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15	0 /0			10							
 Volume exceeds capacity queue 	is theoretic	ally infinite									
Queue shown is maximum after th		any minine.									
# 95th percentile volume exceeds of	ranacity que	ue may he	longer								
Oueue shown is maximum after the	NO CVCLOS	ac may be	longer.								
	NO CYCIES.										
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & Re	huen										
	nauu						*				
- Tan							- A				
102						40	P-1014				
66./S						46.	55				
							٠	•	_		
▼ [~] Ø6						-	Ø7	1.1	/ Ø8		

15

Background Conditions 2026 9: Brian Coburn & Park n' Ride

	≯	-	-	•	1	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	×	٨	٨	*	×	#
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	99	647	16	6	21
Future Volume (vph)	21	99	647	16	6	21
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	110	719	18	7	23
	Perm	NΔ	NΔ	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protoctod Phasos	I CIIII	2	6	I CIIII	1100	I CIIII
Protected Phases	2	2	0	6	4	4
Dotoctor Phases	2	ŋ	F	0	Λ	4
Switch Phase	2	2	0	0	4	4
Minimum Initial (a)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	56.0	56.0	56.0	56.0	36.0	36.0
Total Split (%)	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	39.1%	39.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	69.5	69.5	69.5	69.5	10.1	10.1
Actuated q/C Ratio	0 9.0	0.0.0	0 9.0 N QN	00.0	0.13	0.13
via Patio	0.90	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.13	0.13
Vic rallo	0.04	0.07	0.40	0.01	0.00	16.0
	2.5	2.1	3.1	1.7	33.7	0.01
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	2.5	2.1	3.7	1.7	33.7	16.0
LOS	A	A	A	A	С	В
Approach Delay		2.1	3.7		20.1	
Approach LOS		А	А		С	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.7	8.0	65.9	1.7	5.1	7.1
Internal Link Dist (m)		239.9	144.7		38.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0			35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	580	1586	1586	1349	656	601
Starvation Can Reducto	000	000	80	0-0	000	001
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	09	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced V/c Ratio	0.04	0.07	0.48	0.01	0.01	0.04
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Lenath: 92						
Actuated Cycle Length: 77 3						
Natural Cycle: 60						
Control Type: Somi Act Uncoord						
Maximum v/a Datia 0.45						
Maximum V/c Ratio: 0.45				1.1	(<u> </u>
Intersection Signal Delay: 4.0				In	tersection L	OS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.3%	Ó			IC	U Level of S	Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 9: Brian Coburn	& Park n' F	Ride				
A						

-4 ₀₂	✓ Ø4	
56 s	36 s	
▲ Ø6		
56 s		

	-	-		
	EDT		ØA	(X)
Lane Configurations			104	00
Lane Conigurations	T 105	T 663		
	105	000		
Future volume (vpn)	105	003		
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	117	131		
Turn Type	NA	NA		•
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6		
l ead/l ag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effet Green (s)	60.6	60.6	None	None
Actuated a/C Ratio	1 00	1.00		
v/o Patio	0.07	0.42		
Control Dolov	0.07	0.42		
Control Delay	0.1	0.7		
	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	0.1	0.7		
LOS	A	A		
Approach Delay	0.1	0.7		
Approach LOS	A	А		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		
Storage Can Reductn	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0 42		
	0.07	0.42		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6				
Natural Cycle: 60				
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.42				
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.6				Int
Intersection Capacity Litilization 56.6	%			
Analysis Period (min) 15	/0			10
Colite and Dhagaat 10, Dage MUD	9 Drice Cal			
Spins and Phases: 10: Page MUP		Julli		

→ _{Ø2}	▼ Ø4
45.6 s	25 s
← Ø6	[₽] Ø8
45.6 s	25 s

Existing Conditions 1: Navan & Orleans

1: Navan & Orleans							PM.syn
	٠		+	4	6	1	
		-		<u>`</u>	-	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	- T	↑	↑	1	- N	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	136	1108	333	192	175	33	
Future Volume (vph)	136	1108	333	192	175	33	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	151	1231	370	213	194	37	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases		2	6		4		
Permitted Phases	2			6		4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4	
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8	
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8	
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?							
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	50.4	50.4	50.4	50.4	14.1	14.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.18	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.24	1.06	0.32	0.20	0.63	0.12	
Control Delav	7.4	60.1	7.1	1.5	37.0	9.4	
Queue Delav	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.4	60.1	7.1	1.5	37.0	9.4	
LOS	A	E	A	A	D	A	
Approach Delay		54.3	5.1		32.6		
Approach LOS		D	A		С		
Queue Lenath 50th (m)	7.7	~199.7	20.1	0.0	25.7	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	20.2	#308.1	42.4	7.7	45.3	7.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		129.3	474.0		151.1		
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0			55.0	30.0		
Base Canacity (vnh)	620	1164	1164	1062	466	444	
Starvation Can Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Snillback Can Reductn	0	0	ů 0	ů 0	0	ů 0	
Storage Can Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.24	1.06	0.32	0.20	0.42	0.08	
	0.24	1.00	0.52	0.20	0.42	0.00	
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 80							
Actuated Cycle Length: 76.4							
Natural Cycle: 100							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.06							
Intersection Signal Delay: 39.0				In	tersection L	OS: D	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.6%	6			IC	U Level of S	Service D	
Analysis Period (min) 15							
 Volume exceeds capacity, queue 	is theoretic	ally infinite.					
Queue shown is maximum after tw	o cycles.						
# 95th percentile volume exceeds ca	apacity, qu	eue may be	longer.				
Queue shown is maximum after tw	o cycles.						
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Orle	ans						
							< ▲ Ø4
53 s							27 s

Ø6

2: Navan & Park n' Ride	e					PM.syn
		•	*	5	1	
		1	r	-	•	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥	•	1	5	•	
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	493	11	8	1192	
Future Volume (vph)	62	493	11	8	1192	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	111	548	12	9	1324	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6	
Permitted Phases	8		2	6		
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.1	17.4	17.4	15.4	15.4	
Total Split (s)	36.1	65.4	65.4	65.4	65.4	
Total Split (%)	35.6%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	11.1	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.39	0.01	0.01	0.94	
Control Delay	33.2	4.9	1.9	3.5	26.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	33.2	4.9	1.9	3.5	26.6	
LOS	C	A	A	A	C	
Approach Delay	33.2	49			26.5	
Approach LOS	C	A			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	13.9	27.5	0.0	03	183 2	
Queue Length 95th (m)	28.0	51.6	14	17	#332.4	
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0			474.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)			50.0	45 0		
Base Capacity (vph)	576	1404	1196	612	1404	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Can Reductn	0	0	0	0 0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0 10	0.30	0.01	0.01	0 9/	

eterage eap rieadear	•	•	•	•	•	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.39	0.01	0.01	0.94	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 101.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.9						
Natural Cycle: 90						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94						
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.8				Inte	ersection LOS: C	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.1%				ICL	I Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
# 05th perceptile volume exceeds car	anity auto	uo may ha l	ongor			

95th percentile volume exce eds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

fø2	
65.4 s	
Ø6	√ Ø8
65.4 s	36.1s

Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	93.3			
Intersection LOS	F			
Approach	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	310	470	1233	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	316	479	1258	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	365	504	125	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	618	879	556	
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	9.8	20.5	142.1	
Approach LOS	А	С	F	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	316	479	1258	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	784	683	997	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.981	0.980	
Flow Entry, veh/h	310	470	1233	
Cap Entry, veh/h	770	670	978	
V/C Ratio	0.403	0.702	1.262	
Control Delay, s/veh	9.8	20.5	142.1	
LOS	A	С	F	
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	6	43	

Existing Conditions 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												PM.syn
	≯	+	*	4	Ļ	•	•	1	1	*	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			Δ				*	-		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	756	121	1	404	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	756	121	1	404	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Sign Control		Free			Free		Ŭ	Yield	Ū	-	Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	47	840	134	1	449	11	0	0	3	2	0	20
Pedestrians					1		-	-	-	_	1	
Lane Width (m)					3.6						3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage					0						0	
Right turn flare (veh)					Ŭ						Ŭ	
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX platoon unblocked	0.88				100		0.88	0.88		0.88	0.88	0 88
vC conflicting volume	461			974			1478	1464	908	1460	1526	456
vC1. stage 1 conf vol												
vC2. stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	322			974			1474	1459	908	1454	1529	316
tC. single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC. 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	96			100			100	100	99	98	100	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	1091			708			86	109	333	91	99	638
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	1021	461	3	22								
Volume Left	47	1	0	2								
Volume Right	134	11	3	20								
cSH	1091	708	333	413								
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.05								
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	0.2	1.3								
Control Delay (s)	1.2	0.0	15.9	14.2								
Lane LOS	А	А	С	В								
Approach Delay (s)	1.2	0.0	15.9	14.2								
Approach LOS			С	В								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			88.7%	IC	U Level of Se	rvice			Е			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Existing Conditions 7: Navan & Renaud

	≯	+	*	4	+	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	N	*	1	5	1.	5	*	1	5	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	198	387	157	26	119	37	158	41	128	436	
Future Volume (vph)	198	387	157	26	119	37	158	41	128	436	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	220	430	174	29	198	41	176	46	142	488	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	30.4	28.9	28.9	13.9	13.9	35.8	35.8	35.8	35.8	35.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.37	0.37	0.18	0.18	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	
v/c Ratio	0.54	0.66	0.29	0.18	0.63	0.14	0.22	0.06	0.27	0.60	
Control Delay	22.2	26.0	8.6	29.9	36.3	14.9	14.3	1.3	15.6	20.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	22.2	26.0	8.6	29.9	36.3	14.9	14.3	1.3	15.6	20.2	
LOS	С	С	А	С	D	В	В	А	В	С	
Approach Delay		21.3			35.5		12.1			19.2	
Approach LOS		С			D		В			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	22.9	53.4	6.6	3.8	25.4	3.5	15.5	0.0	12.9	53.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)	42.1	89.5	20.6	11.4	48.4	10.8	31.8	2.3	28.5	95.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	408	909	798	288	546	495	1363	1150	880	1362	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.54	0.47	0.22	0.10	0.36	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.16	0.36	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 77.9											
Natural Cycle: 75											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinate	h										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66	,a										
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.0				Inf	tersection I (OS: C					
Intersection Canacity Litilization 110 (2%			IC	III evel of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15	_ /0			10							
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & Rei	naud										
1 ø2							1 Ø4				

√1 ø2	₩ Ø4		
66.7 s	46.5 s		
Ø6		₩ Ø8	
66.7 s	15 s	31.5 s	

Existing Conditions 9: Brian Coburn & Park n' Ride

	≯	-	-	•	1	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	*			#	*	*
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	579	258	8	6	21
Future Volume (vph)	21	579	258	8	6	21
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	643	287	q	7	23
	Dorm	NΔ	NA	Porm	Prot	Porm
Protocted Phases	I CIIII	2	6	I CIIII	1101	I CIIII
Protected Phases	2	2	0	6	4	1
Petroter Phase	2	0	c	6	4	4
Delector Phase	2	2	0	0	4	4
Switch Phase	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	66.0	66.0	66.0	66.0	36.0	36.0
Total Split (%)	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	35.3%	35.3%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effet Green (s)	70.5	70 5	70 5	70 5	10.1	10.1
Act Elici Gleen (S)	79.5	79.5	79.5	79.5	0.10	0.10
	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.12	0.12
V/C Ratio	0.02	0.40	0.18	0.01	0.04	0.12
Control Delay	2.1	3.0	2.0	1.4	38.7	17.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	2.1	3.0	2.0	1.4	38.7	17.6
LOS	А	A	A	A	D	В
Approach Delay		2.9	1.9		22.5	
Approach LOS		А	А		С	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	24	53.0	19.1	1.0	57	7.6
Internal Link Dist (m)		239.9	144.7	1.0	38.2	1.0
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0	200.0	177.7	35.0	00.2	
Rase Canacity (unh)	40.0	1605	1605	136/	570	53/
Staniation Can Deducto	301	1005	1005	1304	519	004
	0	0	U	0	U	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.40	0.18	0.01	0.01	0.04
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 102						
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.4						
Natural Cycle: 60						
Control Type: Somi Act Uppoord						
Maximum v/a Datia 0.40						
Maximum V/c Ratio: 0.40				1.1		00 4
Intersection Signal Delay: 3.2				In	tersection L	US: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.5	0%			IC	U Level of S	Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Calita and Dhasaas Or Drive Cabur		-				
Splits and Phases: 9: Brian Cobur	n & Park n F	Ride				

ø₂	< V ≥Ø4
66 s	36 s
Ø6	
66 s	

	-	+			
Lane Group	FBT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8	
Lane Configurations			2 1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	- r 585	266			
Future Volume (vph)	585	266			
I ane Group Flow (vph)	650	296			
Turn Type	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8	
Permitted Phases	-	Ŭ		Ū	
Detector Phase	2	6			
Switch Phase	_	•			
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0	
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%	
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6			
Lead/Lag	0.0	0.0			
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	60.6	60.6			
Actuated g/C Ratio	1.00	1.00			
v/c Ratio	0.37	0.17			
Control Delay	0.6	0.2			
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			
Total Delay	0.6	0.2			
LOS	A	A			
Approach Delay	0.6	0.2			
Approach LOS	A	А			
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0			
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4			
Turn Bay Length (m)					
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765			
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.37	0.17			
Intersection Summany					
Cycle Length: 70.6					
Actuated Cycle Langth: 60 6					
Netural Cycle Lengin. 60.6					
Control Type: Somi Act Unseerd					
Movimum v/o Potio: 0.27					
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.5				بلحا	proportion LOC: A
Intersection Capacity Litilization 59	20/				L ovol of Soniton A
Analysis Daried (min) 15	2.070				Level of Service A
Analysis Penou (MIN) 15					
Splits and Phases: 10: Page ML	JP & Brian Cob	ourn			

→ Ø2	↓ Ø4	
45.6 s	25 s	
← Ø6	¶ø8	
45.6 s	25 s	

Background Conditions 2031 1: Navan & Orleans

		≯	-	+	•	1	~
Lane Group		EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		*			*	*	*
Traffic Volume (vph)		10	274	1218	151	109	143
Future Volume (vph)		10	274	1218	151	109	143
I ane Group Flow (vpl	h)	11	304	1353	168	121	159
Turn Type	11)	Perm	ΝΔ	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases		1 Onn	2	6	i onn	4	i cim
Permitted Phases		2	2	0	6	4	4
Detector Phase		2	2	6	6	1	4
Switch Phase		2	2	0	0	4	4
Minimum Initial (a)		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (S)		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)		10.0	10.0	25.0	25.0	20.0	20.8
		53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0
Total Split (%)		66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%
Yellow Time (s)		3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
All-Red Time (s)		2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?							
Recall Mode		Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effet Groop (c)		100	10 0	/0.0	10 0	12.0	12.0
Act Elict Green (S)		49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	12.0	12.0
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.08	0.68	0.08	0.68	0.16	0.16
V/C Ratio		0.12	0.25	1.13	0.16	0.45	0.56
Control Delay		8.6	5.7	87.3	2.5	32.1	26.6
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay		8.6	5.7	87.3	2.5	32.1	26.6
LOS		А	А	F	А	С	С
Approach Delav			5.8	77.9		29.0	
Approach LOS			A	E		C	
Queue Length 50th (r	m)	04	13.3	~219.1	23	15.3	14 0
Queue Longth 05th (r	m)	2.1	30.1	#320.7	2.0	20.0	21 /
Queue Lengin 95in (I	(11)	J. I	100.0	#329.1	9.9	29.9	31.4
The De Loreth (m)		05.0	129.5	474.0	55.0	101.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)		85.0	4404	1101	55.0	30.0	100
Base Capacity (vph)		95	1194	1194	1049	484	468
Starvation Cap Reduc	ctn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reduct	tn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductr	า	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.12	0.25	1.13	0.16	0.25	0.34
				-			
Intersection Summary	у						
Cycle Length: 80							
Actuated Cycle Lengt	th: 73.7						
Natural Cycle: 130							
Control Type: Semi A	ct-Uncoord						
Movimum v/o Botio: 1	1 12						
Interpretion Cignal D	1.1J alay: 60.7				اسا	area ation 1	ос. г
Intersection Signal De	elay: 60.7	1			Int	tersection L	05:E
Intersection Capacity	Utilization 86.8%	6			IC	U Level of S	Service E
Analysis Period (min)) 15						
 Volume exceeds 	capacity, queue	is theoretica	ally infinite.				
Queue shown is m	naximum after tw	o cycles.					
# 95th percentile vo	olume exceeds ca	apacity, que	eue may be	longer.			
Queue shown is m	naximum after tw	o cycles.	,	0			
Splits and Phases:	1: Navan & Orle	eans					
53 s							

AM.syn

Ø6

	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	M	٨	*	×	*	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	1261	65	47	364	
Future Volume (vph)	7	1261	65	47	364	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	14	1401	72	52	404	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	1 01111	2	T OIIII	1 Unit	6	
Permitted Phases	8	2	2	6	Ū	
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	0	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Solit (s)	16.0	17.0	17./	15.0	15.0	
Total Split (s)	26.1	17.4 55.4	55.4	10.4 55 A	10.4 55.4	
Total Split (%)	20 50/	00.4 60.5%	50.4 60.5%	00.4 60.5%	50.4 60.5%	
I Utal Split (%)	39.5%	00.5%	00.5%	00.5%	00.5%	
reliow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.1	3.1	3.1	
All-Red Lime (s)	2.8	1./	1./	1./	1./	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
I otal Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	10.1	69.9	69.9	69.9	69.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.14	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.84	0.05	0.40	0.24	
Control Delay	25.1	10.4	1.0	15.4	1.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	25.1	10.4	1.0	15.4	1.5	
LOS	С	В	А	В	А	
Approach Delay	25.1	10.0			3.0	
Approach LOS	С	A			A	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.9	#350.3	4.3	#23.8	27.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0	ч. v		474.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)	00.0	100.0	50.0	45.0	117.0	
Base Canacity (vnh)	666	1677	1427	120	1677	
Starvation Can Reductn	000	011	1421	123	011	
Snillback Can Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reducts	0	0	0	0	0	
Boduced v/a Datio	0 00	0		0.40	0.24	
	0.02	0.84	0.05	0.40	0.24	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 91.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.6						
Natural Cycle: 100						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84						
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.5				Int	ersection L	OS' A
Intersection Canacity Litilization 88.0	0%					Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15	0,0			10		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	canacity our	aua mav ha	longer			
Oueue shown is maximum offer if	two evolos	cue may be	longer.			
Queue shown is maximum after i	two cycles.					
Calita and Dhasaas Or Nevran & Da	ark n' Dida					

↑ ø2		
55.4 s		
	√ Ø8	
55.4 s	36.1 s	

Intersection			
Intersection Delay, s/veh	118.2		
Intersection LOS	F		
Approach	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	816	742	400
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	832	757	408
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	725	94	206
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	126	520	1351
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	264.0	16.5	9.4
Approach LOS	F	С	А
Lane	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT
RT Channelized			
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193
Entry Flow, veh/h	832	757	408
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	547	1029	920
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	0.980	0.980
Flow Entry, veh/h	816	742	400
Cap Entry, veh/h	537	1008	901
V/C Ratio	1.520	0.736	0.444
Control Delay, s/veh	264.0	16.5	9.4
LOS	F	С	А
95th %tile Queue, veh	42	7	2

Background Conditions 2031 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												AM.syn
	≯	→	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				1			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	246	104	2	861	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	246	104	2	861	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Sign Control		Free			Free			Yield			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	273	116	2	957	4	0	0	0	3	7	52
Pedestrians											1	
Lane Width (m)											3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)											1.0	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.75						0.75	0.75		0.75	0.75	0.75
vC, conflicting volume	962			389			1364	1311	331	1309	1367	960
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	783			389			1318	1248	331	1245	1323	780
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			100			100	100	100	97	94	82
cM capacity (veh/h)	626			1170			78	128	711	112	116	296
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	396	963	0	62								
Volume Left	7	2	0	3								
Volume Right	116	4	0	52								
cSH	626	1170	1700	236								
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.26								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.3	0.0	0.0	8.2								
Control Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	25.6								
Lane LOS	А	А	А	D								
Approach Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	25.6								
Approach LOS			А	D								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.2									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			59.4%	IC	U Level of Se	ervice			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Background Conditions 2031 7: Navan & Renaud

	≯	+	*	4	+	1	1	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	×	*	1	×	۴.	×.	*	1	×	۴.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	121	110	33	31	341	124	433	31	47	139	
Future Volume (vph)	121	110	33	31	341	124	433	31	47	139	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	134	122	37	34	525	138	481	34	52	156	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	41.1	39.6	39.6	25.0	25.0	35.6	35.6	35.6	35.6	35.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.46	0.45	0.45	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
v/c Ratio	0.51	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.08	0.30	0.68	0.05	0.23	0.22	
Control Delay	21.0	15.3	5.2	25.1	96.0	20.1	27.5	0.2	20.9	18.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	21.0	15.3	5.2	25.1	96.0	20.1	27.5	0.2	20.9	18.3	
LOS	С	В	A	С	F	С	С	А	С	В	
Approach Delay		16.6			91.7		24.6			18.9	
Approach LOS		В			F		С			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	13.2	12.3	0.0	4.5	~103.5	16.4	69.4	0.0	6.0	17.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	25.8	24.3	5.5	12.3	#174.4	30.6	104.7	0.3	14.9	31.4	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	271	798	683	336	486	787	1197	1022	377	1195	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.49	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.08	0.18	0.40	0.03	0.14	0.13	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 88 4											
Natural Cycle: 90											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinate	d										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.08	~										
Intersection Signal Delay: 44.4				In	tersection L	OS: D					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 113.6	5%			IC	U Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15											
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue	is theoretic	allv infinite.									
Queue shown is maximum after tw	o cvcles.	,									
# 95th percentile volume exceeds c	apacity, que	eue may be	longer.								
Queue shown is maximum after tw	o cycles.	,	Ū								
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & Ren	naud										
▲							*				
7V@2							1 Ø4				
66.7 s						46	5.5				
k											
						- 1 -	Ø7	1	Ø8		

5 4

Background Conditions 2031 9: Brian Coburn & Park n' Ride

	≯	-	-	•	1	-
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	٨		#	×	#
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	109	714	16	6	21
Future Volume (vph)	21	109	714	16	6	21
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	121	793	18	7	23
	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases	1 CHI	2	6	T CITI	1101	T CHI
Permitted Phases	2	2	0	6	-	1
Dotostor Phase	2	2	6	6	1	4
Switch Phase	2	2	0	0	-	4
Minimum Initial (a)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (S)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
	10.0	10.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	56.0	56.0	56.0	56.0	36.0	36.0
Total Split (%)	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	39.1%	39.1%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	69.5	69.5	69.5	69.5	10.1	10.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.13	0.13
v/c Ratio	0.04	0.08	0.50	0.01	0.03	0.11
Control Delay	2.6	2.0	4.1	1.8	33.7	16.0
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	2.6	2.0	4.2	1.8	33.7	16.0
	Δ	Δ	4.2	Δ	00.1	10.0 R
Approach Dolay	Л	21	11	Л	20.1	D
Approach LOS		2.1	4.1		20.1	
Approach LOS	0.0	A 0.0	A 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.7	8./	/8./	1.7	5.1	7.1
Internal Link Dist (m)		239.9	144.7	<u>,</u>	38.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0	1-00	1-00	35.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	525	1586	1586	1349	656	601
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	79	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.04	0.08	0.53	0.01	0.01	0.04
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 92						
Actuated Cycle Length: 77 3						
Natural Cycle: 60						
Control Type: Somi Act Upgoord						
Maximum v/a Datia: 0.50						
Maximum V/c Ratio: 0.50				1.1	(<u> </u>
Intersection Signal Delay: 4.3				In	tersection L	US: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.0%)			IC	U Level of S	Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: Q: Brian Coburn	& Dark n' l	Dida				
		Nue				

-4 ₀₂	✓ Ø4	
56 s	36 s	
 Ø6		
56 s		

	-+	-		
	EDT		01	00
	EBI	VVBI	W4	00
	T	720		
	115	/30		
Future Volume (vpn)	115	730		
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	128	811		
lurn lype	NA	NA		
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6		
	0.0	0.0		
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Мах	Мах	None	None
Act Effet Green (s)	60.6	60.6	None	None
Actuated a/C Ratio	1 00	1.00		
v/o Patio	0.07	0.46		
V/C Rallo	0.07	0.40		
Control Delay	0.1	0.9		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	0.1	0.9		
LOS	A	A		
Approach Delay	0.1	0.9		
Approach LOS	A	А		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reducto	0	0 0		
Storage Cap Reducto	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.07	0.46		
	0.07	0.40		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6				
Natural Cycle: 60				
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.46				
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.8				Inte
Intersection Capacity Litilization 6	0.3%			
Analysis Daried (min) 15	0.070			IC.
Analysis Penou (MIN) 15				
Calita and Dhasas 40 Di				
Splits and Phases: 10: Page M	UP & Brian Cot	ourn		

→ Ø2	▼ Ø4
45.6 s	25 s
← Ø6	Ø8
45.6 s	25 s
Background Conditions 2031 1: Navan & Orleans

1: Navan & Orleans							PM.syn
	≯	4	t	•	1	1	
	-			14/00	0.01	-	
Lane Group	EBL	EBI	WBI	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	100	1000	•	100	475	~	
Traffic Volume (vpn)	136	1222	303	192	1/5	33	
Future Volume (vph)	136	1222	363	192	1/5	33	
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	151	1358	403	213	194	3/	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases	0	Z	0	G	4	4	
Detector Phases	2	0	6	6	1	4	
Switch Phase	2	2	0	U	4	4	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8	
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	23.0 53.0	23.0 53.0	20.0	20.0	
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	37	37	37	37	37	37	
All-Red Time (s)	23	23	23	23	2.1	2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	
Lead-Lag Ontimize?							
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	50.4	50.4	50.4	50.4	14 1	14 1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.18	0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.25	1 17	0.35	0.00	0.63	0.10	
Control Delay	7 6	102.4	7 4	1.5	37.0	94	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	7.6	102.4	74	1.5	37.0	9.4	
LOS	A	F	A	A	D	A	
Approach Delay		92.9	5.4		32.6		
Approach LOS		F	A		C		
Queue Length 50th (m)	7.8	~238.3	22.4	0.0	25.7	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	20.6	#351.7	46.8	7.7	45.3	7.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		129.3	474.0		151.1		
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0			55.0	30.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	593	1164	1164	1062	466	444	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.25	1.17	0.35	0.20	0.42	0.08	
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 80							
Actuated Cycle Length: 76.4							
Natural Cycle: 140							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.17							
Intersection Signal Delay: 64.1				In	tersection L	OS: E	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.09	%			IC	U Level of	Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15							
 Volume exceeds capacity, queue 	is theoretic	ally infinite.					
Queue shown is maximum after to	vo cycles.						
# 95th percentile volume exceeds of Queue shown is maximum after to	capacity, que	eue may be	longer.				
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Orle	eans						
							« _{Ø4}
53 s							27 s
Ø6							

2: Navan & Park n' Ride						PM.syn
	4	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	M	*	1	*		
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	543	11	8	1315	
Future Volume (vph)	62	543	11	8	1315	
I ane Group Flow (vph)	111	603	12	9	1461	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6	
Permitted Phases	8	-	2	6	•	
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	-	_	_	-	-	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.1	17.4	17.4	15.4	15.4	
Total Split (s)	36.1	65.4	65.4	65.4	65.4	
Total Split (%)	35.6%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	33	37	37	37	37	
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	17	17	17	17	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
lead/Lag	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Lead-Lag Ontimize?						
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Мах	Max	
Act Effet Green (s)	11.1	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	
Actuated q/C Ratio	0.13	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	1 04	
Control Delay	33.2	5.3	1 9	3.5	50.3	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	33.2	53	1 9	3.5	50.3	
	00.2 C	Δ	Δ	Δ	00.0 D	
Approach Delay	33.2	52	~	~	50.0	
Approach LOS	00.2 C	Δ			00.0 D	
Oueue Length 50th (m)	13.9	31.7	0.0	0.3	~303.2	
Queue Length 95th (m)	28.0	59.6	1 4	17	#383.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0	1.7	1.1	474.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)	00.0	100.0	50.0	45.0	11 1.0	
Base Canacity (vph)	576	1404	1196	570	1404	
Starvation Can Reductn	0	0	0	0/0	0	
Snillback Can Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Can Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0 19	0.43	0.01	0.02	1 04	
	0.10	0.10	0.01	0.02	1.01	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 101.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.9						
Natural Cycle: 130						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.04						
Intersection Signal Delay: 36.6	,			In	tersection L	OS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.0%	o			IC	U Level of	Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15						
 Volume exceeds capacity, queue 	is theoretic	ally infinite.				
Queue shown is maximum after tw	o cycles.					
# 95th percentile volume exceeds ca	apacity, que	eue may be	longer.			
Queue shown is maximum after tw	o cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park	cn' Ride					

Ø2	
65.4s	
Ø6	Ø8
65.4s	36.1 s

1 101.0911

Intersection			
Intersection Delay, s/veh	116.5		
Intersection LOS	F		
Approach	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	342	506	1303
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	349	516	1329
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	402	504	139
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	618	964	612
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	11.3	23.8	180.2
Approach LOS	В	С	F
Lane	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT
RT Channelized			
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193
Entry Flow, veh/h	349	516	1329
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	756	683	983
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.980	0.981	0.980
Flow Entry, veh/h	342	506	1303
Cap Entry, veh/h	741	670	964
V/C Ratio	0.462	0.756	1.352
Control Delay, s/veh	11.3	23.8	180.2
LOS	В	С	F
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	7	52

Background Conditions 2031 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												PM.syn
	≯	→	*	4	┥	•	•	1	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations									1		4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	829	121	1	444	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	829	121	1	444	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Sign Control		Free			Free			Yield			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	47	921	134	1	493	11	0	0	3	2	0	20
Pedestrians					1						1	
Lane Width (m)					3.6						3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage					0						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.87						0.87	0.87		0.87	0.87	0.87
vC, conflicting volume	505			1055			1602	1589	989	1584	1650	500
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	359			1055			1617	1602	989	1597	1673	353
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	96			100			100	100	99	97	100	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	1045			660			68	88	299	71	80	602
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	1102	505	3	22								
Volume Left	47	1	0	2								
Volume Right	134	11	3	20								
cSH	1045	660	299	359								
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.06								
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	0.2	1.6								
Control Delay (s)	1.3	0.0	17.2	15.7								
Lane LOS	А	А	С	С								
Approach Delay (s)	1.3	0.0	17.2	15.7								
Approach LOS			С	С								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.2									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			95.0%	IC	U Level of Se	ervice			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Background Conditions 2031 7: Navan & Renaud

7: Navan & Renaud											PM.syn
	≯	+	*	4	ł	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	3	*	1	X	۴.	X	*	1	X	۴.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	198	387	157	26	119	37	174	41	128	479	
Future Volume (vph)	198	387	157	26	119	37	174	41	128	479	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	220	430	174	29	198	41	193	46	142	536	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Lime (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1./	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
I otal Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	0.5	6.5	0.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Recall Mode	Nono	Nono	Nono	Nono	Nono	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effet Croop (a)	20.6	20.1	20.1	14.1	1/1	10111	10111	IVIII1 26.7	IVIII1 26.7	26.7	
Actuated a/C Patia	0.00	29.1	29.1	14.1	14.1	0.46	30.7	30.7	30.7 0.46	0.46	
Actualed 9/C Ratio	0.59	0.57	0.37	0.10	0.10	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
Control Delay	23.2	26.9	9.29	30.8	37.1	15.2	14 3	1.00	15.5	21.5	
Oueue Delay	0.0	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	23.2	26.9	9.0	30.8	37.1	15.2	14.3	12	15.5	21.5	
105	C	20.0 C	A	C	D	B	B	A	B	C	
Approach Delay	Ŭ	22.1		Ŭ	36.3	-	12.3		-	20.3	
Approach LOS		C			D		В			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	22.9	53.4	6.6	3.8	25.4	3.5	17.2	0.0	12.9	61.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	45.6	96.7	22.2	12.1	51.4	11.0	34.7	2.1	28.8	109.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	402	899	791	285	541	434	1349	1139	857	1348	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.55	0.48	0.22	0.10	0.37	0.09	0.14	0.04	0.17	0.40	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 79											
Natural Cycle: 75											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinate	d										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.7				In	tersection L	OS: C					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 110.2	!%			IC	U Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & Ren	aud										
1 a							₩Ø4				
66 7 c						46	E.c.				

√ <i>ø</i> 2	404		
66.7 s	46.5 s		
Ø6	▶ 07	₩ Ø8	
66.7 s	15 s	31.5 s	

Background Conditions 2031 9: Brian Coburn & Park n' Ride

	٦	-	-	•	1	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	X	*	*	1	×	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	638	285	8	6	21
Future Volume (vph)	21	638	285	8	6	21
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	709	317	9	7	23
	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases		2	6		4	
Permitted Phases	2	2	U	6	-	4
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4
Switch Phase	-	-	Ū	v		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	6.0	66.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	00.0 64.70/	64.70/	64.70/	64.70/	25 20/	25.20/
Vellow Time (c)	04.1%	04.1%	04.1%	04.1%	ა <u>ე</u> .ე%	JJ.J%
Tellow Time (S)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
All-Red Lime (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2./
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	79.5	79.5	79.5	79.5	10.1	10.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.12	0.12
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.44	0.20	0.01	0.04	0.12
Control Delay	2.1	3.3	2.0	1.4	38.7	17.6
Queue Delav	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	21	3.3	2.0	14	38.7	17.6
	Δ	Δ	Δ	Δ	D	B
Approach Delay	A	3.2	2.0	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	22.5	U
Approach LOS		Δ	2.0		22.5	
Ouque Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	1.0 E 7	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.5	02.2	21.3	1.0	5.7	1.0
Internal Link Dist (m)	10.0	239.9	144.7	05.0	38.Z	
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0	1005	1005	35.0	570	50.4
Base Capacity (vph)	911	1605	1605	1364	5/9	534
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.44	0.20	0.01	0.01	0.04
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 102						
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.4						
Natural Cycle: 60						
Control Type: Somi Act Line	oord					
Maximum v/a Dation 0.44	Joiu					
Intersection Signal Delay 2	4			نصا	torooption L	00. 4
Intersection Signal Delay: 3.4	+			In	tersection L	05: A
Analysis Period (min) 15	1011 03.0%			IC	U Level of S	SELVICE A
Splits and Phases: 9: Bria	n Coburn & Park n' F	≺ide				

-4 ₀₂	≪ ▶ _{Ø4}
66 s	36 s
▲	
Ø6	
66 s	

PM.syn

	→	-		
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations	٨	٨		
Traffic Volume (vph)	644	293		
Future Volume (vph)	644	293		
I ane Group Flow (vph)	716	326		
	NΔ	NΔ		
Protoctod Phases	2	6	1	Q
Protected Phases	2	0	4	0
Permilled Phases	0	C		
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase	10.0	10.0	10.0	40.0
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6		
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	60.6	60.6	110110	110110
Actuated q/C Ratio	1 00	1.00		
v/o Patio	0.41	0.18		
Control Dolay	0.41	0.10		
	0.7	0.2		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		
	0.7	0.2		
LUS	A	A		
Approach Delay	0.7	0.2		
Approach LOS	A	A		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0 41	0 18		
	0.11	0.10		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6				
Natural Cycle: 60				
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.41				
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.5				Ir
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.6%				
Analysis Period (min) 15				
Splits and Phases: 10: Page MUD 9	Brian Cal	hurn		
Opino and Fliases. IV. Faye MUP &		Julii		

→ø2		
45.6 s	25 s	
← Ø6	¶ø8	
45.6 s	25 s	

PM.syn

Appendix F

Total Projected Conditions Output Data (2026, 2031)

Projected Conditions 2026 1: Navan & Orleans

	۶	-	-	•	1	∢
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	×.	*	*	1	N	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	320	1171	156	116	143
Future Volume (vph)	10	320	1171	156	116	143
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	356	1301	173	129	159
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases		2	6		4	
Permitted Phases	2			6		4
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%
Yellow Time (s)	37	37	37	37	37	37
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	21	21
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	5.8	5.8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
Leau/Lay						
	Mov	Max	Max	Mov	Nono	Nono
	Max	IVIAX	XBIVI	IVIAX	None	NONE
Act Effect Green (S)	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	11.8	11.8
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.16	0.16
	0.12	0.30	1.09	0.16	0.48	0.55
Control Delay	8.5	6.0	69.5	2.4	33.2	25.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	8.5	6.0	69.5	2.4	33.2	25.1
LOS	A	A	E	A	С	С
Approach Delay		6.0	61.6		28.7	
Approach LOS		A	E		С	
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.4	16.3	~204.6	2.2	16.4	13.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.1	35.0	#310.3	9.7	31.8	30.2
Internal Link Dist (m)		129.3	474.0		151.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0			55.0	30.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	95	1196	1196	1053	485	474
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0 12	0.30	1 09	0.16	0.27	0.34
	0.12	0.00	1.00	0.10	0.21	0.04
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 80						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.6						
Natural Cycle: 120						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.09						
Intersection Signal Delay: 47.6				Int	tersection L	OS: D
Intersection Capacity Litilization 84 (2%			IC		Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15	_ /0			10		
	a is theoretic	ally infinita				
Ouque shown is maximum after f		any minine.				
Ueue Showin is maximum aller t	wo cycles.		longor			
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity, que	eue may be	longer.			
Queue snown is maximum after t	wo cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Or	leans					
-4 ₀₂						
53 s						

AM.syn

Ø6

	-	1	1	1	Ŧ
Lane Group	WBI	NBT	NBR	SBI	SBT
Lane Configurations					
	7	T 1217	P 65	n 47	T /05
Future Volume (vph)	7	1017	65	47	405
Lano Group Flow (vph)	1/	1217	72	47 52	405
	Dorm	NA	Dorm	Dorm	40
Protected Disease	Perm	INA 2	Penn	Perm	NA 6
Protected Phases	0	2	0	6	0
Detector Decco	0	0	2	0	C
Switch Dhoop	0	2	2	0	0
Switch Phase	10.0	40.0	10.0	40.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.1	17.4	17.4	15.4	15.4
Total Split (s)	36.1	55.4	55.4	55.4	55.4
Total Split (%)	39.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	10.1	69.9	69.9	69.9	69.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.14	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.81	0.05	0.30	0.27
Control Delay	25.1	93	0.9	7.5	15
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	25.1	0.0	0.0	7.5	1.5
	20.1	Δ	Δ	Λ	Δ
Approach Delay	25.1	20	А	А	2.2
Approach LOS	20.1	0.9			2.2
Approach LOS	10	A 0.0	0.0	0.0	A 0.0
Queue Length Ooth (m)	1.0	U.U #220.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.9	#332.0	4.2	11.3	32.0
Internal LINK DISt (m)	83.0	100.0	F0 0	45.0	474.0
Turn Bay Length (m)		40	50.0	45.0	4.4
Base Capacity (vph)	666	1677	1427	171	1677
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.81	0.05	0.30	0.27
Intersection Summany					
Cycle Longth: 01 5					
Astusted Quele Length, 72 C					
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.6					
Natural Cycle: 90					
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.81					
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.3				In	tersection L
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.5%	0			IC	U Level of S

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

↑ ø2		
55.4 s		
Ø6	√ Ø8	
55.4 s	36.1 s	

Interpotion				
Intersection Delay, s/yeb	106 /			
Intersection LOS	F			
Intersection EOS	F			
Approach	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	821	711	455	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	838	725	464	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	675	171	196	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	221	489	1317	
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	235.5	18.8	10.3	
Approach LOS	F	C	В	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Lane Designated Moves	Left LR	Left TR	Left LT	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves	Left LR LR	Left TR TR	Left LT LT	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized	Left LR LR	Left TR TR	Left LT LT	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util	Left LR LR 1.000	Left TR TR 1.000	Left LT LT 1.000	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575 0.980	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952 0.980	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929 0.981	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575 0.980 821	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952 0.980 711	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929 0.981 455	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h Cap Entry, veh/h	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575 0.980 821 564	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952 0.980 711 934	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929 0.981 455 911	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h Cap Entry, veh/h V/C Ratio	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575 0.980 821 564 1.457	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952 0.980 711 934 0.761	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929 0.981 455 911 0.500	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h Cap Entry, veh/h V/C Ratio Control Delay, s/veh	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575 0.980 821 564 1.457 235.5	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952 0.980 711 934 0.761 18.8	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929 0.981 455 911 0.500 10.3	
Lane Designated Moves Assumed Moves RT Channelized Lane Util Critical Headway, s Entry Flow, veh/h Cap Entry Lane, veh/h Entry HV Adj Factor Flow Entry, veh/h Cap Entry, veh/h V/C Ratio Control Delay, s/veh LOS	Left LR LR 1.000 5.193 838 575 0.980 821 564 1.457 235.5 F	Left TR TR 1.000 5.193 725 952 0.980 711 934 0.761 18.8 C	Left LT LT 1.000 5.193 464 929 0.981 455 911 0.500 10.3 B	

Projected Conditions 2026 4: Navan & Site Driveway

		•	†	*	1	Ţ
Movement	• WBI	WRR	NRT	NRR	SBI	SBT
		WDIX		NUIX	ODL	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	33	644	43	33	321
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	33	614	43	33	321
Sign Control	Ston	55	Free	40	55	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Doak Hour Easter	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0 00
Hourly flow rate (yph)	0.30	0.30	716	18	37	357
Pedestrians	1	57	710	-0	51	551
l ane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Dereent Pleakage						
Pight turn flare (yeh)						
Modian type			Nono			Nono
Median storage yeb)			NOTE			NONE
Upstroom signal (m)						
opsitean signal (III)						
pA, platoon unblocked	1171	740			764	
	1171	740			/04	
vC1, stage 1 cont vol						
VC2, stage 2 cont vol	4474	740			704	
	11/1	740			764	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.5	• •				
t⊢ (S)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
pU queue free %	11	91			96	
cM capacity (veh/h)	204	417			849	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	84	764	394			
Volume Left	47	0	37			
Volume Right	37	48	0			
cSH	263	1700	849			
Volume to Capacity	0.32	0.45	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (m)	10.6	0.0	1.1			
Control Delay (s)	25.0	0.0	1.4			
Lane LOS	D		А			
Approach Delay (s)	25.0	0.0	1.4			
Approach LOS	D					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.1			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			58.1%	ICI	U Level of Serv	ice
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Projected Conditions 2026 5: Navan & Site Driveway

	1	•	1	1	\	Ļ
Movement	WBL	WBR	- NBT	• NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	M		1.			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	15	5	682	7	2	361
Future Volume (Veh/h)	15	5	682	7	2	361
Sign Control	Stop	· ·	Free	•	-	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0 90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (yph)	17	6	758	8	2	401
Pedestrians		v	100	Ŭ	-	101
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (yeh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)			NOTIC			NONC
Linstream signal (m)			313			
nX platoon unblocked	0.85	0.85	010		0.85	
vC. conflicting volume	1167	762			766	
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	1107	102			100	
vC2 stage 2 conf vol						
	1110	635			640	
tC single (s)	64	6.2			4 1	
tC_2 stage (s)	0.4	0.2			7.1	
tE (c)	35	33			2.2	
n (3)	Q1	90			100	
cM capacity (yeb/b)	107	408			806	
	157	400			000	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	23	766	403			
Volume Left	17	0	2			
Volume Right	6	8	0			
cSH	228	1700	806			
Volume to Capacity	0.10	0.45	0.00			
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.7	0.0	0.1			
Control Delay (s)	22.6	0.0	0.1			
Lane LOS	С		А			
Approach Delay (s)	22.6	0.0	0.1			
Approach LOS	С					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			48.3%	ICL	J Level of Serv	rice
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Projected Conditions 2026 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												AM.syn
	≯	+	*	4	Ļ	•	•	1	1	*	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		Δ							1		4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	242	121	2	825	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	242	121	2	825	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Sign Control		Free			Free			Yield			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	269	134	2	917	4	0	0	0	3	7	52
Pedestrians											1	
Lane Width (m)											3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)											1.0	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.76						0.76	0.76		0.76	0.76	0.76
vC, conflicting volume	922			403			1328	1276	336	1274	1341	920
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	736			403			1273	1204	336	1201	1290	733
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			100			100	100	100	98	94	84
cM capacity (veh/h)	657			1156			86	137	706	121	122	318
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	410	923	0	62								
Volume Left	7	2	0	3								
Volume Right	134	4	0	52								
cSH	657	1156	1700	252								
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.25								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.3	0.0	0.0	7.5								
Control Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	23.9								
Lane LOS	А	А	А	С								
Approach Delay (s)	0.3	0.0	0.0	23.9								
Approach LOS			А	С								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.2									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			57.5%	IC	U Level of Se	ervice			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Projected Conditions 2026 7: Navan & Renaud

7: Navan & Renaud											AM.syn
	٨	-	\mathbf{i}	1	+	1	1	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	*	٨	1	×	1.	*	*	1	×	1.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	146	110	33	31	341	124	403	31	53	131	
Future Volume (vph)	146	110	33	31	341	124	403	31	53	131	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	162	122	37	34	531	138	448	34	59	155	
	nm+nt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4	T CIIII	T OILI	8	i onn	2	T OIIII	i cim	6	
Permitted Phases	4	7	4	8	0	2	2	2	6	0	
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase		-	-	U	0	2	2	2	U	0	
Minimum Initial (s)	50	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41 7	41 7	41 7	41 7	41 7	
Total Solit (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	/1 1%	/1 1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.9%	
Vellow Time (s)	33	41.170	41.170	21.070	21.070	30.37	30.37	30.37	30.37	37	
All Pod Time (s)	17	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (S)	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	
Lost Time Aujust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
	res	Mana	Mana	res	tes	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
	INONE	None	None	None	None	IVIIN 05.0	MIN 25.0	IVIIN	IVIIN	IVIIN	
Act Effect Green (s)	41.4	39.9	39.9	25.0	25.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.09	0.30	0.64	0.05	0.24	0.22	
Control Delay	24.3	14.9	5.0	24.5	99.6	20.5	26.6	0.2	21.2	18.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	24.3	14.9	5.0	24.5	99.6	20.5	26.6	0.2	21.2	18.2	
LOS	С	В	A	С	F	С	C	A	С	В	
Approach Delay		18.5			95.1		23.8			19.0	
Approach LOS		В			F		C			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	16.2	12.3	0.0	4.5	~105.9	16.4	63.0	0.0	6.9	17.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)	31.4	23.0	5.3	11.8	#169.7	30.9	96.2	0.4	16.7	31.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	273	800	684	337	486	789	1200	1024	416	1189	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.59	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.09	0.17	0.37	0.03	0.14	0.13	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 88.2											
Natural Cycle: 90											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordina	ated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.09											
Intersection Signal Delay: 45.6				In	itersection L	OS: D					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 11	5.4%			IC	CU Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15											
 Volume exceeds capacity, que 	ue is theoretic	ally infinite.									
Queue shown is maximum after	r two cycles.										
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	s capacity, que	eue may be	longer.								
Queue shown is maximum after	r two cycles.										
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & F	Renaud										
102						-	04				
66.7 s						46.	.5s				
<u> </u>							•	•			
▼ ⁻ Ø6						- 1-	Ø7		Ø8		

5

Projected Conditions 2026 8: Site Driveway & Brian Coburn

	-	\mathbf{r}	•	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1			4	M	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	132	54	33	667	51	32
Future Volume (Veh/h)	132	54	33	667	51	32
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	147	60	37	741	57	36
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NOUG			NULL		
Linstream signal (m)				150		
nY nlatoon unblocked				155	0.80	
vC conflicting volume			207		0.00	177
			207		99Z	111
			207		020	177
			207		6.4	6.0
			4.1		0.4	0.2
IC, Z slage (s)			0.0		0.5	0.0
tF (S)			2.2		3.5	3.3
pu queue free %			97		11	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1364		253	866
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	207	778	93			
Volume Left	0	37	57			
Volume Right	60	0	36			
cSH	1700	1364	348			
Volume to Capacity	0.12	0.03	0.27			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.7	8.5			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.7	19.1			
Lane LOS		A	С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.7	19.1			
Approach LOS	0.0	•	С			
Intersection Cummon.			-			
			0.0			
Average Delay			2.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			64.8%	IC	U Level of S	ervice
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Projected Conditions 2026 9: Site Driveway/Park n' Ride & Brian Coburn

9: Site Driveway/Park n' Rid	AM.syn								
	≯	+	4	t	*	1	1	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBT	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	*	1.		₫	1		X	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	96	14	659	16	0	6	21	
Future Volume (vph)	21	96	14	659	16	0	6	21	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	179	0	748	18	78	7	23	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			
Permitted Phases	2		6		6		4	4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	6	8	4	4	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	56.0	56.0	56.0	56.0	56.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	
Total Split (%)	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	39.1%	39.1%	39.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.7	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	-2.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	59.7	59.7		59.7	59.7	12.1	10.1	10.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.77	0.77		0.77	0.77	0.16	0.13	0.13	
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.14		0.55	0.02	0.27	0.04	0.10	
Control Delay	3.8	2.7		7.0	0.6	19.9	28.3	7.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	3.8	2.7		7.4	0.6	19.9	28.3	7.8	
LOS	А	A		А	Α	В	С	А	
Approach Delay		2.8		7.3		19.9			
Approach LOS		A		A		В			
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.9	4.7		46.5	0.0	6.1	1.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.0	10.3		76.6	0.9	16.5	4.4	4.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)		135.4		144.7		29.7			
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0				35.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	448	1297		1358	1169	708	534	608	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		231	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.05	0.14		0.66	0.02	0.11	0.01	0.04	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 92									
Actuated Cycle Length: 77.1									
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55									
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.5				In	tersection L	OS: A			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.7%				IC	U Level of S	Service C			
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 9: Site Driveway	/Park n' Ri	de & Brian (Coburn						
						_	-	-	

-4 ₀₂	Ø4	
56 s	36 s	
◆ ▼ Ø6	1 Ø8	
56 s	36 s	

		-	-		
Lane Group		EBT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations				~ .	~~
Traffic Volume (voh)		117	675		
Future Volume (vph)		117	675		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		130	750		
Turn Type		NA	NA		
Protected Phases		2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases		2	0	т	0
Detector Phase		2	6		
Switch Phase		2	0		
Minimum Initial (s)		10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)		15.0	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Solit (s)		45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)		64.6%	64.6%	25.0	25.0
Yellow Time (s)		/ 0	1 2	30 /0	30/0
		4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (S)		1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0		
		0.0	0.0		
Lead/Lag					
Leau-Lay Optimize?		Max	Max	None	Nenc
		Max	Max	None	None
Act Effect Green (S)		6U.6	bU.b		
Actuated g/C Ratio		1.00	1.00		
v/c Ratio		0.07	0.42		
Control Delay		0.1	0.8		
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0		
Total Delay		0.1	0.8		
LOS		A	A		
Approach Delay		0.1	0.8		
Approach LOS		А	A		
Queue Length 50th (m)		0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)		0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)		144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)					
Base Capacity (vph)		1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.07	0.42		
Intersection Summary					
Cuele Longth: 70.6					
Cycle Length: 70.0	20.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 6	0.00				
Natural Cycle: 60	Less and				
Control Type: Semi Act-L	Uncoord				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.42	2				
Intersection Signal Delay	/: 0.7				Int
Intersection Capacity Util	lization 57.3%				IC
Analysis Period (min) 15					
Calife and Diverse 40					
Splits and Phases: 10:	: Page MUP &	RLIAU COP	ourn		

→ Ø2	
45.6 s	25 s
← Ø6	¶ø8
45.6 s	25 s

	≯	+	Ļ	*	ŕ	~
Lane Group	FBI	FBT	WBT	WBR	SBI	SBR
Lane Configurations				1		
Traffic Volume (vph)	136	1178	398	198	182	33
Future Volume (vph)	136	1178	308	108	182	33
Lane Group Flow (vph)	150	1309	442	220	202	37
	Dorm	1309 NA	44Z	Dorm	Drot	Dorm
Protoctod Phonon	Feilli	2	NA 6	Feilii	FIUL	Feilii
Protected Phases	0	2	0	6	4	1
Detector Dhase	2	0	c	6	4	4
Switch Dhoos	2	2	0	0	4	4
Switch Phase	40.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Initial (S)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8
l ead/l ag	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lead-Lag Ontimize?						
	Max	May	Max	Max	None	None
	Max	IVIAX	IVIAX	IVIAX	None	None
Act Effect Green (s)	50.3	50.3	50.3	50.3	14.5	14.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.19	0.19
v/c Ratio	0.27	1.13	0.38	0.21	0.64	0.12
Control Delay	8.0	87.6	7.9	1.5	37.3	9.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	8.0	87.6	7.9	1.5	37.3	9.4
LOS	А	F	A	A	D	А
Approach Delay		79.3	5.8		33 0	
Approach LOS		F	Δ		C.	
Oueue Length 50th (m)	8.0	~225.7	25.8	0.0	27.0	0.0
	0.0	#227.0	20.0	0.0	27.0	0.0
Queue Lengin 95(n (m)	21.5	#337.9	53.4 474.0	ŏ.U	4/.1	1.1
Internal LINK DISt (M)	05.0	129.3	4/4.0	FF A	151.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0			55.0	30.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	556	1158	1158	1060	465	443
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.27	1.13	0.38	0.21	0.43	0.08
	3.21		5.00	5.21	5.10	0.00
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 80						
Actuated Cycle Length: 76.6						
Natural Cycle: 120						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1 13						
Intersection Signal Delay: 54.0				Ini	torsaction L (חיפר
Intersection Signal Delay, 54.0	0/					Convine F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.9	1%			IC	U Level of S	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
 Volume exceeds capacity, queue 	e is theoretic	ally infinite.				
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles.					
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity, qu	eue may be	longer.			
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles.					
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Or	leans					
A ₀₂						
53 s						
555						



	1	1	1	1	↓
Lane Group	WBI	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
	Y 62	T 564	P	1 2	1260
Future Volume (vph)	62	504	11	0	1209
Future volume (vpn)	0Z 111	204 627	10	0	1/10
	Dorm	027	Dorm	9 Dorm	1410
Turn Type	Penn	INA 0	Perm	Penn	INA C
Protected Phases	0	2	0	<u>^</u>	6
Permitted Phases	8	•	2	6	•
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6
Switch Phase					
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.1	17.4	17.4	15.4	15.4
Total Split (s)	36.1	65.4	65.4	65.4	65.4
Total Split (%)	35.6%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max
Act Effct Green (s)	11.1	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Control Delay	33.2	5.5	1 9	3.5	39.6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	22.0	5.5	1.0	3.5	20.6
I OS	33.2	0.0	1.9	۵.D ۸	39.0 D
LUJ Approach Dolou	22.0	A	A	А	20 <i>4</i>
Approach LOS	33.2	5.4			39.4
Approach LOS	C	A	~ ~	~ ~ ~	D
Queue Length 50th (m)	13.9	33.7	0.0	0.3	~283.6
Queue Length 95th (m)	28.0	63.3	1.4	1.7	#364.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0			474.0
Turn Bay Length (m)			50.0	45.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	576	1404	1196	552	1404
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.45	0.01	0.02	1.00
Intersection Summany					
Cycle Length: 101.5					
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.9					
Natural Cycle: 110					
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.00					
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.0				In	tersection L0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.49	%			IC	U Level of S
Analysis Period (min) 15					
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue	is theoretic	ally infinite.			
Queue shown is maximum after tw	vo cvcles.				
# 95th percentile volume exceeds of	capacity, que	eue may be	longer.		

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

↑ ø2	
65.4s	
	√ Ø8
65.4s	36.1 s

Control Delay, s/veh

95th %tile Queue, veh

LOS

-				•
Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	121.4			
Intersection LOS	F			
Approach	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	396	490	1320	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	404	499	1346	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	373	582	141	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	708	905	636	
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	12.3	28.1	188.8	
Approach LOS	В	D	F	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	404	499	1346	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	778	631	981	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.980	0.981	0.981	
Flow Entry, veh/h	396	490	1320	
Cap Entry, veh/h	763	620	962	
V/C Ratio	0.519	0.790	1.372	

188.8

F

54

0.519 0.790 12.3 28.1 В D 3 8

Projected Conditions 2026 4: Navan & Site Driveway

	-	•	†	*	1	1
Movement	▼ \\\/D1			r NPD	CDI	T CPT
	VVDL	WDR		NDR	JDL	
Traffic Volume (voh/h)	43	31	304	45	35	727
Future Volume (Veh/h)	43	34	304	45	35	727
Sign Control	4J Stop	54	534 Eroo	40	55	Froo
Crada	Situp		00/			00/
Dook Hour Footor	0.00	0.00	0 /0	0.00	0.00	0 /0
Hourly flow rate (uph)	0.90	0.90	120	0.90	0.90	0.90
Podestrians	40	30	430	50	39	000
Lene Width (m)						
Malking Changed (m/a)						
Percent Diockage						
Right turn hare (ven)			Nene			None
Median type			None			ivone
Median storage ven)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked	40.40	100			100	
vC, conflicting volume	1349	463			488	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	10.10	100			100	
vCu, unblocked vol	1349	463			488	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	70	94			96	
cM capacity (veh/h)	160	599			1075	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	86	488	847			
Volume Left	48	0	39			
Volume Right	38	50	0			
cSH	237	1700	1075			
Volume to Capacity	0.36	0.29	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (m)	12.6	0.0	0.9			
Control Delay (s)	28.6	0.0	0.9			
Lane LOS	D		А			
Approach Delay (s)	28.6	0.0	0.9			
Approach LOS	D					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			23			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			81.8%	ICI	U Level of Serv	vice
Analysis Period (min)			15	100		
			10			

PM.syn

Projected Conditions 2026 5: Navan & Site Driveway

	•	•	†	1	\	† –
Movement	• WBL	WBR	NBT	• NBR	SBL	- SBT
Lane Configurations	M		۸.			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	3	436	12	4	766
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	3	436	12	4	766
Sign Control	Stop	Ū	Free		•	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0 90	0,0	0 90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (yph)	10	0.00	484	13	4	851
Pedestrians	10	Ū	TOT	10	7	001
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)			NULLE			NULLE
Instream signal (m)			311			
nX nlatoon unblocked	0.95	0.05	JII		0.95	
vC conflicting volume	1350	/0.93			/07	
vC1 stage 1 confive	1550	430			437	
vC2 stage 2 conf vol						
	13/1	130			130	
	64	402			439	
tC, Siligie (S)	0.4	0.2			4.1	
$t \cup z$ stage (s)	25	2.2			2.2	
IF (S)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
po queue nee %	94	59			100	
	100	209			1060	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	13	497	855			
Volume Left	10	0	4			
Volume Right	3	13	0			
cSH	190	1700	1060			
Volume to Capacity	0.07	0.29	0.00			
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.7	0.0	0.1			
Control Delay (s)	25.3	0.0	0.1			
Lane LOS	D		А			
Approach Delay (s)	25.3	0.0	0.1			
Approach LOS	D					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			55.9%	ICI	U Level of Serv	ice
Analysis Period (min)			15			

PM.syn

Projected Conditions 2026 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan	-											PM.syn
	≯	-	\mathbf{A}	4	↓	•	•	1	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			<u></u>				1		4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	773	139	1	442	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	773	139	1	442	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Sign Control		Free			Free			Yield			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	47	859	154	1	491	11	0	0	3	2	0	20
Pedestrians					1						1	
Lane Width (m)					3.6						3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage					0						0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.86						0.86	0.86		0.86	0.86	0.86
vC. conflicting volume	503			1013			1548	1535	937	1530	1606	498
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2. stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	343			1013			1556	1541	937	1535	1624	336
tC. single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC. 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	96			100			100	100	99	97	100	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	1047			684			74	95	321	78	84	607
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	1060	503	3	22								
Volume Left	47	1	0	2								
Volume Right	154	11	3	20								
cSH	1047	684	321	375								
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.06								
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	0.2	1.5								
Control Delay (s)	1.3	0.0	16.3	15.2								
Lane LOS	А	А	С	С								
Approach Delay (s)	1.3	0.0	16.3	15.2								
Approach LOS			С	С								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.1									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			92.9%	IC	U Level of Se	ervice			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Projected Conditions 2026 7: Navan & Renaud

7: Navan & Renaud											PM.syn
	٦	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	1	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	1	*	1	1	î,	r.	*	1	1	ĥ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	223	387	157	26	119	37	165	41	133	442	
Future Volume (vph)	223	387	157	26	119	37	165	41	133	442	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	248	430	174	29	204	41	183	46	148	502	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		2		2	6		
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	30.8	29.3	29.3	14.2	14.2	36.1	36.1	36.1	36.1	36.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.37	0.37	0.18	0.18	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	
v/c Ratio	0.62	0.66	0.29	0.18	0.65	0.14	0.23	0.06	0.29	0.62	
Control Delay	24.8	26.1	8.7	30.0	36.7	15.1	14.4	1.2	15.9	20.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	24.8	26.1	8.7	30.0	36.7	15.1	14.4	1.2	15.9	20.8	
LOS	С	С	A	С	D	В	В	A	В	С	
Approach Delay		22.1			35.9		12.3			19.7	
Approach LOS	00 /	C			D	<u> </u>	B		(0.0	В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	26.4	53.4	6.6	3.8	26.1	3.5	16.3	0.0	13.6	55.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	48.7	91.4	21.0	11.7	50.7	10.9	33.3	2.2	30.1	100.6	
Internal Link Dist (m)	400.0	1/8.9	05.0	00.0	138.6	00.0	146.2	00.0	05.0	136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0	000	35.0	30.0	E 40	60.0	4054	30.0	25.0	4050	
Base Capacity (vph)	403	902	793	286	542	4/4	1354	1143	808	1350	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced V/c Ratio	0.62	0.48	0.22	0.10	0.38	0.09	0.14	0.04	0.17	0.37	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 78.6											
Natural Cycle: 75											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordina	ated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66											
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.6				Int	tersection L(DS: C					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 11	0.2%			IC	U Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & R	Renaud										
							A				
1/02						45	P™04				
1						40.	4	1.			
							7 07		608		
						10		24	5.0		

15 s

6.7s

Projected Conditions 2026 8: Site Driveway & Brian Coburn

	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	۴.			⊿	W.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	599	56	35	283	52	34
Future Volume (Veh/h)	599	56	35	283	52	34
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	666	62	39	314	58	38
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)				164		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.98	
vC. conflicting volume			728		1089	697
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2. stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			728		1080	697
tC single (s)			4 1		64	62
tC 2 stage (s)					0.1	0.2
tF (s)			22		35	33
p0 queue free %			96		74	91
cM capacity (veh/h)			876		226	441
			010		220	1
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	728	353	96			
Volume Left	0	39	58			
Volume Right	62	0	38			
cSH	1700	876	280			
Volume to Capacity	0.43	0.04	0.34			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	1.1	11.8			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	1.5	24.4			
Lane LOS		А	С			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	1.5	24.4			
Approach LOS			С			
Intersection Summarv						
Average Delay			24			
Intersection Capacity Litilization			58.6%	ICI	U Level of S	ervice
Analysis Period (min)			15	10		

Projected Conditions 2026 9: Site Driveway/Park n' Ride & Brian Coburn

9: Site Driveway/Park n' Ric	de & Bria	an Cobur	'n						PM.syn
	≯	+	4	Ť	*	Ť	1	1	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBT	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	5	۴.		4	1		X	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	576	15	270	8	0	6	21	
Future Volume (vph)	21	576	15	270	8	0	6	21	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	708	0	317	9	85	7	23	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			
Permitted Phases	2		6		6		4	4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	6	8	4	4	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	66.0	66.0	66.0	66.0	66.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	
Total Split (%)	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	64.7%	35.3%	35.3%	35.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.7	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	-2.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	69.3	69.3		69.3	69.3	12.4	10.4	10.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.80	0.80		0.80	0.80	0.14	0.12	0.12	
v/c Ratio	0.03	0.51		0.24	0.01	0.32	0.04	0.11	
Control Delay	3.2	5.9		3.8	0.1	25.9	33.0	10.3	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	3.2	5.9		3.8	0.1	25.9	33.0	10.3	
LOS	А	А		А	А	С	С	В	
Approach Delay		5.9		3.7		25.9			
Approach LOS		А		А		С			
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.9	41.8		13.9	0.0	8.8	1.2	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.9	71.5		24.6	0.3	20.9	4.8	5.4	
Internal Link Dist (m)		139.8		144.7		27.8			
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0				35.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	798	1388		1345	1201	630	469	540	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.51		0.24	0.01	0.13	0.01	0.04	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 102									
Actuated Cycle Length: 87									
Natural Cycle: 60									
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51									
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.0				In	tersection L	OS: A			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.9%	6			IC	U Level of S	Service C			
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Solits and Phases: 9. Site Driveway	//Park n' Pi	de & Rrian (Cohurn						
	,								

ø₂	Ø4				
66 s	36 s				
Ø6	1 Ø8				
66 s	36 s				

		-		
	FDT	MOT	~ 1	~~
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations	•	•		
Traffic Volume (vph)	596	279		
Future Volume (vph)	596	279		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	662	310		
Turn Type	NA	NA		
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6		
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	60.6	60.6		
Actuated g/C Ratio	1 00	1 00		
v/c Ratio	0.38	0.18		
Control Delay	0.00	0.10		
	0.0	0.2		
Total Dalay	0.0	0.0		
	0.0	0.2		
LUS Annragah Dalau	A O G	A 0.0		
Approach Delay	0.0	0.2		
Approach LOS	A	A		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)	1-0-	4=0-		
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.38	0.18		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6				
Natural Cycle: 60				
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.38				
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.5				In
Intersection Capacity Litilization 52 (20/			
Analysis Period (min) 15	70			IC.

Splits and Phases: 10: Page MUP & Brian Coburn

→ _{Ø2}						
45.6 s		25 s				
← Ø6		1 ø8				
45.6 s		25 s				

PM.syn

Projected Conditions 2026 1: Navan & Orleans

1: Navan & Orleans							AM.syn
	≯		Ļ	۰.	5	2	
-	-	-				•	
Lane Group	EBL	EBI	WBI	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	្រុ	†	1005	7	5	7	
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	342	1285	156	116	143	
Future Volume (vph)	10	342	1285	156	116	143	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	380	1428	173	129	159	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm	
Protected Phases		2	6		4		
Permitted Phases	2			6		4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4	
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8	
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0	
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.8	
Lead/Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?							
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Мах	Max	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	49.9	49.9	49.9	49.9	12.2	12.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.17	0.17	
v/c Ratio	0.12	0.32	1 20	0 17	0 47	0.57	
Control Delay	87	6.3	115.5	27	32.6	28.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	8.7	6.3	115.5	2.7	32.6	28.2	
	Δ	Δ	F	Δ	02.0 C	20.2 C	
Approach Delay	7.	64	103.3	71	30.2	Ŭ	
Approach LOS		Δ	F		C.		
Oueue Length 50th (m)	0.4	18.0	~242.8	27	16.4	15.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.2	30.0	#356.7	10.6	31.6	32.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)	0.2	129.3	#330.7 474 0	10.0	151.0	52.5	
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0	123.5	474.0	55.0	30.0		
Base Canacity (vph)	05.0	1100	1100	1046	/83	461	
Stanuation Can Poducta	90	0	0	1040	403	401	
Starvation Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductin	0 10	0 22	1.00	0 17	0.07	0.24	
Reduced V/C Rallo	0.12	0.32	1.20	0.17	0.27	0.34	
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 80							
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.9							
Natural Cycle: 150							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.20							
Intersection Signal Delay: 77.4				In	tersection L	OS: E	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.6	5%			IC	U Level of S	Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15							
 Volume exceeds capacity, queu 	e is theoretic	allv infinite.					
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles.	· , ···					
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity, que	eue mav be	longer.				
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles.	,,					
Outile and Disaster of Name 200							
Splits and Phases: 1: Navan & Or	ieans						
<i>⊸</i> _{Ø2}							♥ ►Ø4
53 s							27 s
4 05							
20							

	∢	1	1	1	Ŧ	
ane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	ļ
_ane Configurations	M	*	1	×	*	
Traffic Volume (vph)	7	1334	65	47	439	
Future Volume (vph)	7	1334	65	47	439	
ane Group Flow (vph)	14	1482	72	52	488	
Furn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		2			6	
Permitted Phases	8	-	2	6	Ŭ	
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase	5	-	2	J	Ŭ	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.1	17.4	17.4	15.4	15.4	
Total Split (s)	36.1	55.4	55.4	55.4	55.4	
Total Split (%)	39.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	60.5%	
Yellow Time (s)	33	37	37	37	37	
All_Ped Time (s)	2.5	17	17	17	17	
ast Time Adjust (s)	2.0	1./	1./	1./	1.7	
Lost nille Aujust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	0.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Leau/Lag						
	News	Max	Max	Max	Max	
	None	IVIAX	Max	IVIAX	Max	
Act Effict Green (S)	10.1	69.9	69.9	69.9	69.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.14	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	
//c Ratio	0.06	0.88	0.05	0.50	0.29	
Control Delay	25.1	13.0	1.0	26.9	1.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	25.1	13.0	1.0	26.9	1.6	
LOS	С	В	A	С	A	
Approach Delay	25.1	12.4			4.1	
Approach LOS	С	В			A	
Queue Length 50th (m)	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	6.9	#381.2	4.3	#13.3	35.5	
nternal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0			474.0	
Furn Bay Length (m)			50.0	45.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	666	1677	1427	103	1677	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.02	0.88	0.05	0.50	0.29	
ntersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 91.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 73.6						
Natural Cycle: 140						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88						
ntersection Signal Delay: 10.3				Int	tersection LC)S: B
ntersection Capacity Utilization 92.0%	þ			IC	U Level of S	ervice F
Analysis Period (min) 15						
			lawaa.			

 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

↑ ø2		
55.4 s		
Ø6	√ Ø8	
55.4 s	36.1 s	

Intersection			
Intersection Delay, s/veh	151.5		
Intersection LOS	F		
Approach	WB	NB	SB
Entry Lanes	1	1	1
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	896	771	484
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	914	786	493
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	736	171	214
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	221	536	1436
Follow-Up Headway, s	3.186	3.186	3.186
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh	337.5	23.2	11.4
Approach LOS	F	С	В
Lane	Left	Left	Left
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT
RT Channelized			
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193	5.193
Entry Flow, veh/h	914	786	493
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	541	952	912
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.980	0.980	0.981
Flow Entry, veh/h	896	771	484
Cap Entry, veh/h	531	934	895
V/C Ratio	1.689	0.825	0.540
Control Delay, s/veh	337.5	23.2	11.4
LOS	F	С	В
95th %tile Queue, veh	52	10	3

Projected Conditions 2026 4: Navan & Site Driveway

	4		†	-	1	Ļ
Movement	• WBI	WBR	• NBT	• NBR	SBI	• SBT
	M		1		001	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	33	712	43	33	356
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	33	712	43	33	356
Sign Control	Stop	55	Free	40	55	Free
Grado	0%		0%			0%
Deak Llour Factor	0 /0	0.00	0 /0	0.00	0.00	0 /0
	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	206
Pourly now rate (vpn)	47	37	791	40	51	390
Pedestrians						
Lane width (m)						
waiking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	1285	815			839	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1285	815			839	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	73	90			95	
cM capacity (veh/h)	173	377			796	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	84	839	433			
Volume Left	47	0	37			
Volume Right	37	48	0			
cSH	227	1700	796			
Volume to Capacity	0.37	0.49	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (m)	12.9	0.0	12			
Control Delay (s)	29.8	0.0	14			
LaneLOS	D	0.0	Δ			
Approach Delay (s)	29.8	0.0	14			
Approach LOS	20.0 D	0.0	1.7			
	5					
Average Delay			2.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			59.9%	ICI	U Level of Serv	ice
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Projected Conditions 2026 5: Navan & Site Driveway

	4	•	†	-	1	Ţ
Movement	₹ WBI	WBR	NRT.	r NBR	SBI	SBT
		WDIX		NDIN	JDL	
Traffic Valume (veh/h)	15	F	750	7	C	206
	15	5	750	7	2	390
Future volume (ven/n)	Cton	C	750	1	2	590 Eree
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	17	6	833	8	2	440
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)			313			
pX, platoon unblocked	0.82	0.82			0.82	
vC, conflicting volume	1281	837			841	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	1234	694			698	
tC. single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC. 2 stage (s)	0.1					
tF (s)	3.5	33			22	
n0 queue free %	89	98			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	160	364			738	
	100	00-7			100	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	23	841	442			
Volume Left	17	0	2			
Volume Right	6	8	0			
cSH	187	1700	738			
Volume to Capacity	0.12	0.49	0.00			
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.3	0.0	0.1			
Control Delay (s)	26.9	0.0	0.1			
LaneLOS	D		A			
Approach Delay (s)	26.9	0.0	01			
Approach LOS	20.0 D	0.0	v . 1			
Intersection Cummon.	5					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			52.1%	ICI	J Level of Se	ervice
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Projected Conditions 2026 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan	-											AM.syn
	≯	-	\mathbf{F}	4	+	•	•	1	1	1	Ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4				1		4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	6	264	121	2	899	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Future Volume (Veh/h)	6	264	121	2	899	4	0	0	0	3	6	47
Sign Control		Free			Free			Yield			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	7	293	134	2	999	4	0	0	0	3	7	52
Pedestrians											1	
Lane Width (m)											3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)											1.0	
Percent Blockage											0	
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX. platoon unblocked	0.73						0.73	0.73		0.73	0.73	0.73
vC. conflicting volume	1004			427			1434	1382	360	1380	1447	1002
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	820			427			1410	1338	360	1335	1427	817
tC. single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)												-
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	99			100			100	100	100	97	93	81
cM capacity (veh/h)	589			1132			64	110	684	94	97	274
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	434	1005	0	62								
Volume Left	7	2	0	3								
Volume Right	134	4	0	52								
cSH	589	1132	1700	211								
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.29								
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.3	0.0	0.0	9.4								
Control Delay (s)	0.4	0.1	0.0	29.0								
Lane LOS	А	А	А	D								
Approach Delay (s)	0.4	0.1	0.0	29.0								
Approach LOS			А	D								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.3									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			61.6%	IC	U Level of S	ervice			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15						-			

Projected Conditions 2026 7: Navan & Renaud

7: Navan & Renaud											AM.syn
	≯	-	\mathbf{r}	1	+	1	1	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	*	٨	1	×	1.	*	*	1	×	Δ.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	146	110	33	31	341	124	440	31	53	144	
Future Volume (vph)	146	110	33	31	341	124	440	31	53	144	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	162	122	37	34	531	138	489	34	59	169	
	nm+nt	NΔ	Perm	Perm	NΔ	Perm	NΔ	Perm	Perm	NΔ	
Protoctod Phases	pm+pt	1	I CIIII	I CIIII	Q	I CIIII	2	I CIIII	I CIIII	6	
Protected Phases	1	4	1	Q	0	2	2	2	6	0	
Detector Dhase	4	1	4	0	0	2	0	2	6	6	
Delector Phase	1	4	4	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	
Minimum Initial (a)	F 0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	
Minimum Initial (S)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	1.7	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	
Lead/Lag	Lead			Lag	Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	41.5	40.0	40.0	25.0	25.0	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7	35.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.47	0.45	0.45	0.28	0.28	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.10	0.30	0.69	0.05	0.27	0.24	
Control Delay	25.0	15.4	5.3	25.3	102.7	20.2	28.0	0.2	22.0	18.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	25.0	15.4	5.3	25.3	102.7	20.2	28.0	0.0	22.0	18.2	
	20.0	R	Δ	20.0	F	20.2 C	20.0	Δ	22.0 C	R	
Approach Delay	Ũ	10 1	~	Ŭ	98.1	Ŭ	25.0	~	U	19.2	
Approach LOS		R			50.1 F		20.0			13.2 R	
Oueue Length 50th (m)	16.2	12.3	0.0	15	~105.0	16.5	70.0	0.0	70	10.1	
Queue Longth 95th (m)	#33.8	24.6	5.6	12 /	#178.6	30.7	106.7	0.0	16.0	33.4	
Internal Link Dist (m)	#33.0	178.0	5.0	12.4	138.6	30.7	1/6 2	0.5	10.9	136.1	
Turn Pay Longth (m)	100.0	170.5	35.0	30.0	100.0	60.0	140.2	30.0	25.0	150.1	
Pase Capacity (uph)	271	70/	670	30.0	183	773	1101	1017	25.0	1192	
Starvation Con Deducto	2/1	794	0/9	334	403	113	1191	1017	304	1102	
Starvation Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spiliback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.60	0.15	0.05	0.10	1.10	0.18	0.41	0.03	0.16	0.14	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 88.9											
Natural Cycle: 90											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordina	ated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.10											
Intersection Signal Delay: 46.4				In	tersection L	OS: D					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 11	5.4%			IC	U Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15											
~ Volume exceeds capacity, que	ue is theoretic	allv infinite.									
Queue shown is maximum after	two cycles	, <u>,</u>									
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	s capacity que	eue may be	longer								
Queue shown is maximum after	r two cycles.	545 may 25	longon								
Solits and Phases: 7. Navan & F	Renaud										
	tenada						A				
11Ø2 66.7 s						45	₽¶Ø4 .5 s				
<u></u>						10	*	•	-		
▼ Ø6						- 1-	Ø7		Ø8		

5 4

Projected Conditions 2026 8: Site Driveway & Brian Coburn

	-	\mathbf{r}	1	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	۵.			វ	W.	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	142	54	33	734	51	32
Future Volume (Veh/h)	142	54	33	734	51	32
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	158	60	37	816	57	36
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)				159		
pX, platoon unblocked					0.76	
vC, conflicting volume			218		1078	188
vC1. stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol			218		946	188
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.4	6.2
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			97		73	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			1352		215	854
Direction Lane #	FR 1	WR 1	NR 1			
Volumo Total	210	052	02			
Volume Loft	210	37	57			
Volume Bight	60	0	26			
	1700	1352	303			
Volume to Conspirty	0.12	0.02	0.21			
Quarter Longth Of the (m)	0.13	0.03	10.0			
Control Doloy (a)	0.0	0.7	10.2			
Long LOS	0.0	0.7	22.1			
Lalle LUS Approach Dolay (s)	0.0	0 7	22.1			
Approach LOS	0.0	0.7	22.1			
Approach LOS			U			
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.3			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			69.1%	IC	U Level of S	ervice
Analysis Period (min)			15			
Projected Conditions 2026 9: Site Driveway/Park n' Ride & Brian Coburn

9: Site Driveway/Park n' Rid	e & Bria	an Cobur	'n						AM.syn
	≯	+	4	Ļ	*	1	1	4	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBT	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ŗ	ĥ		4	1	4	1	1	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	106	14	726	16	0	6	21	
Future Volume (vph)	21	106	14	726	16	0	6	21	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	190	0	823	18	78	7	23	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	
Protected Phases		2		6		8			
Permitted Phases	2		6		6		4	4	
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	6	8	4	4	
Switch Phase									
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	25.0	25.0	
Total Split (s)	56.0	56.0	56.0	56.0	56.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	
Total Split (%)	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	60.9%	39.1%	39.1%	39.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.7	2.7	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	-2.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	6.0	
Lead/Lag									
Lead-Lag Optimize?									
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	59.7	59.7		59.7	59.7	12.1	10.1	10.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.77	0.77		0.77	0.77	0.16	0.13	0.13	
v/c Ratio	0.06	0.15		0.61	0.02	0.27	0.04	0.10	
Control Delay	3.9	2.9		7.9	0.6	19.9	28.3	7.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	3.9	2.9		8.5	0.6	19.9	28.3	7.8	
LOS	А	А		А	А	В	С	А	
Approach Delay		3.0		8.3		19.9			
Approach LOS		А		А		В			
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.9	5.4		55.3	0.0	6.1	1.0	0.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	3.0	11.3		92.6	0.9	16.5	4.4	4.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)		135.4		144.7		29.7			
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0				35.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	396	1299		1358	1169	708	534	608	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		212	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.06	0.15		0.72	0.02	0.11	0.01	0.04	
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 92									
Actuated Cycle Length: 77.1									
Natural Cycle: 65									
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61									
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.2				In	tersection L	OS: A			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.4%)			IC	U Level of S	Service C			
Analysis Period (min) 15									
Splits and Phases: 9: Site Driveway	/Park n' Ri	de & Brian (Coburn						

ø₂	Ø4	
56 s	36 s	
◆ ▼ Ø6	Ø	
56 s	36 s	

	-+	←		
	EDT		<i>α</i>	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	EBI	VVB I	Ø4	80
Lane Configurations	1 07	740		
	127	742		
Future volume (vph)	12/	/42		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	141	824		
lurn lype	NA	NA		•
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases	-			
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6		
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	60.6	60.6		
Actuated g/C Ratio	1.00	1.00		
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.47		
Control Delay	0.00	0.9		
Oueue Delay	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	0.0	0.0		
	Δ	Δ		
Approach Delay	0.1	0.0		
Approach LOS	0.1	0.9		
Oueue Longth 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length Ofth (III)	0.0	0.0		
Letornal Link Dist (m)	0.0	100		
	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)	4705	1705		
Base Capacity (vph)	1/65	1/65		
Starvation Cap Reductn	U	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.08	0.47		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60.6				
Natural Cycle: 60				
Control Type: Semi Act-Lincoorr	d			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.47	ч 			
Intersection Signal Delay: 0.8				In
Intersection Capacity Litilization	61.0%			
Analysis Period (min) 15	01.070			10

Splits and Phases: 10: Page MUP & Brian Coburn

→ _{Ø2}		
45.6 s	25 s	
← Ø6	₽ ø8	
45.6 s	25 s	

AM.syn

Projected Conditions 2026 1: Navan & Orleans

	≯	+	+	*	1	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	×	*	*	1	N	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	136	1292	428	198	182	33
Future Volume (vph)	136	1292	428	198	182	33
Lane Group Flow (vph)	151	1436	476	220	202	37
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	Prot	Perm
Protected Phases		2	6		4	
Permitted Phases	2			6		4
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	4	4
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	25.0	25.0	26.8	26.8
Total Split (s)	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.0	27.0	27.0
Total Split (%)	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	66.3%	33.8%	33.8%
Yellow Time (s)	37	37	37	37	37	37
All-Red Time (s)	23	23	23	23	21	21
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.1
Total Lost Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 5 Q	0.0 5.9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	5.0
Leau/Lay						
	N.4 -	N.4	N.4	N.4	Marco	Marca
	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)	50.3	50.3	50.3	50.3	14.5	14.5
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.19	0.19
v/c Ratio	0.29	1.24	0.41	0.21	0.64	0.12
Control Delay	8.3	133.8	8.2	1.5	37.3	9.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	8.3	133.8	8.2	1.5	37.3	9.4
LOS	А	F	А	А	D	А
Approach Delay		121.9	6.1		33.0	
Approach LOS		F	А		С	
Queue Length 50th (m)	8.2	~264.5	28.5	0.0	27.0	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	22.0	#381.5	58.8	8.0	47 1	71
Internal Link Dist (m)		129.3	474 0	0.0	151 1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	85.0	120.0	11 1.0	55.0	30.0	
Base Canacity (yph)	529	1158	1158	1060	465	443
Stanuation Can Poducto	025	0	0	000	400	-+-J 0
Stal Valion Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductin	0	1 0 4	0	0	0 40	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.29	1.24	0.41	0.21	0.43	0.08
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 80						
Actuated Cycle Length: 76.6						
Natural Cycle: 150						
Control Type: Somi Act Unseerd						
Maximum v/a Datia 4 24						
Maximum V/c Ratio: 1.24				1.1		00 F
Intersection Signal Delay: 81.5	0/			Int	tersection L	05: F
Intersection Capacity Utilization 92.3	5%			IC	U Level of S	Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15						
 Volume exceeds capacity, queue 	e is theoretic	ally infinite.				
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles.					
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity, que	eue may be	longer.			
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles.		-			
Splits and Phases: 1. Navan & Or	leans					
- 4 92						
52 0						
33.8						
4 [∞]						

PM.syn

Ø6

	∢	Ť	1	1	Ļ	
Lane Group	WBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	N/		1	*		
	62	T 614	r 11	" 8	T 1392	
Future Volume (vph)	62	614	11	0	1392	
Lana Croup Flow (uph)	0Z 111	6014	10	0	1592	
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	Deres	002	IZ Dama	9	1047	
	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	0	2	0	C	б	
Permitted Phases	ŏ	0	2	6	<u>^</u>	
Detector Phase	8	2	2	6	б	
	40.0	40.0	40.0	40.0	10.0	
iviinimum initiai (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	
IVIINIMUM Split (s)	16.1	1/.4	1/.4	15.4	15.4	
Total Split (s)	36.1	65.4	65.4	65.4	65.4	
I otal Split (%)	35.6%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	64.4%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	2.8	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.1	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	Max	Max	Max	Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	11.1	70.0	70.0	70.0	70.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.49	0.01	0.02	1.10	
Control Delay	33.2	5.9	2.0	3.5	72.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	33.2	5.9	2.0	3.5	72.8	
LOS	С	A	A	А	E	
Approach Delay	33.2	5.8			72.4	
Approach LOS	C	A			E	
Queue Length 50th (m)	13.9	38.4	0.0	0.3	~336.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)	28.0	72.6	1.5	17	#415.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)	83.0	100.0	1.0		474.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)	00.0	100.0	50.0	45.0	11 1.0	
Base Canacity (vnh)	576	1404	1196	511	1404	
Starvation Can Reductn	0	04	0	0	0	
Snillback Can Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Can Reductin	0	0	0	0	0	
Sicilaye Cap Reducili	0 10	0.40	0.01	0 00	1 10	
Reduced V/C Ratio	0.19	0.49	0.01	0.02	1.10	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 101.5						
Actuated Cycle Length: 87.9						
Natural Cycle: 150						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 1 10						
Intersection Signal Delay: 51.0				In	tersection LOS	D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 95.3	3%			IC	U Level of Servi	- ice F
Analysis Period (min) 15						
 Volume exceeds capacity queue 	e is theoretic:	ally infinite				
Queue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles					
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	canacity que	ue may he	longer			
Oueue shown is maximum after t	wo cycles	ac may be	longol.			
Queue showin is maximum aller	wo cycles.					

Splits and Phases: 2: Navan & Park n' Ride

¶ø₂	
65.4s	
▼ Ø6	√ Ø8
65.4s	36.1 s

Intersection				
Intersection Delay, s/veh	73.8			
Intersection LOS	F			
Approach	WB	NB	SB	
Entry Lanes	1	1	1	
Conflicting Circle Lanes	1	1	1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h	427	526	1390	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h	436	536	1417	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h	410	582	153	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h	708	988	693	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h	0	0	0	
Ped Cap Adj	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh	10.1	18.9	114.1	
Approach LOS	В	С	F	
Lane	Left	Left	Left	
Designated Moves	LR	TR	LT	
Assumed Moves	LR	TR	LT	
RT Channelized				
Lane Util	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Follow-Up Headway, s	2.609	2.609	2.609	
Critical Headway, s	4.976	4.976	4.976	
Entry Flow, veh/h	436	536	1417	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	908	762	1180	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.979	0.981	0.981	
Flow Entry, veh/h	427	526	1390	
Cap Entry, veh/h	890	748	1158	
V/C Ratio	0.480	0.703	1.200	
Control Delay, s/veh	10.1	18.9	114.1	
LOS	В	С	F	
95th %tile Queue, veh	3	6	42	

Projected Conditions 2026 4: Navan & Site Driveway

	1	•	Ť	۲	\	Ļ
Movement	• WBL	WBR	• NBT	• NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	M		1.		021	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	43	34	436	45	35	804
Future Volume (Veh/h)	43	34	436	45	35	804
Sign Control	Ston	7	Free	70	55	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00	0 00
Hourly flow rate (yph)	0.30	38	18/	50	30	803
Pedestrians	40	50	404	50	55	035
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Persont Blockage						
Picter Diockage						
Modian type			Nono			Nono
Median storage yeb)			none			NUTIE
Upstroom signal (m)						
Opsitean Signal (III)						
pA, platoon unblocked	1400	E00			E24	
	1400	509			534	
VC1, stage 1 conf vol						
VC2, stage 2 cont vol	1400	500			F24	
	1480	509			534	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)	<u>.</u>					
t⊢ (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	64	93			96	
cM capacity (veh/h)	133	564			1034	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	86	534	932			
Volume Left	48	0	39			
Volume Right	38	50	0			
cSH	201	1700	1034			
Volume to Capacity	0.43	0.31	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (m)	15.8	0.0	0.9			
Control Delay (s)	35.7	0.0	1.0			
Lane LOS	E		А			
Approach Delay (s)	35.7	0.0	1.0			
Approach LOS	E					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			2.6			
Intersection Capacity Utilization			86 0%	IC	U Level of Serv	/ice
Analysis Period (min)			15	10		

Projected Conditions 2026 5: Navan & Site Driveway

		•	1	1	1	† –
Movement	WBI	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	14		1		022	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	9	3	478	12	4	843
Future Volume (Veh/h)	9	3	478	12	4	843
Sign Control	Ston	Ū	Free	12	7	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0 90	0.90	0 90	0.90	0 90
Hourly flow rate (yph)	10	0.00	531	13	0.50	0.30 037
Pedestrians	10	0	001	10	Ŧ	551
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Porcent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage vob			NULLE			NONE
Upstroom signal (m)			211			
opsitealli siglial (III)	0.04	0.04	311		0.04	
vC conflicting volume	0.94	539			544	
	1402	556			544	
vC1, stage 1 contivol						
	1401	171			170	
	1481	4/1			4/8	
tC, single (s)	0.4	0.Z			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.5	0.0			0.0	
t⊢ (S)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
pu queue free %	92	99			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	129	554			1014	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	13	544	941			
Volume Left	10	0	4			
Volume Right	3	13	0			
cSH	156	1700	1014			
Volume to Capacity	0.08	0.32	0.00			
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.1	0.0	0.1			
Control Delay (s)	30.1	0.0	0.1			
Lane LOS	D		А			
Approach Delay (s)	30.1	0.0	0.1			
Approach LOS	D					
Intersection Summary						
			0.3			
Intersection Canacity Litilization			60.2%		III aval of Sony	ice
Analysis Dariad (min)			15	100		100
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Projected Conditions 2026 6: Page & Navan

6: Page & Navan												PM.syn
	≯	+	*	4	Ļ	•	•	1	1	*	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4							*		4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	42	846	139	1	482	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Future Volume (Veh/h)	42	846	139	1	482	10	0	0	3	2	0	18
Sign Control		Free			Free		· ·	Yield	Ū.	-	Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
Hourly flow rate (vph)	47	940	154	1	536	11	0	0	3	2	0	20
Pedestrians					1		-	-	-	_	1	
Lane Width (m)					3.6						3.6	
Walking Speed (m/s)					1.0						1.0	
Percent Blockage					0						0	
Right turn flare (veh)					Ŭ						Ŭ	
Median type		None			None							
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (m)					160							
pX platoon unblocked	0.85						0.85	0.85		0.85	0.85	0.85
vC. conflicting volume	548			1094			1674	1661	1018	1656	1732	542
vC1_stage 1 conf vol												
vC2. stage 2 conf vol												
vCu unblocked vol	382			1094			1705	1689	1018	1684	1773	376
tC single (s)	41			4 1			71	6.5	62	71	6.5	62
tC 2 stage (s)								0.0	0.2		0.0	0.2
tF (s)	22			22			35	4 0	33	35	4 0	33
p0 queue free %	95			100			100	100	99	97	100	96
cM capacity (veh/h)	1001			638			57	76	288	60	67	571
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	1141	548	3	22								
Volume Left	47	1	0	2								
Volume Right	154	11	3	20								
cSH	1001	638	288	323								
Volume to Capacity	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.07								
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.2	0.0	0.3	1.7								
Control Delay (s)	1.5	0.0	17.6	17.0								
Lane LOS	А	А	С	С								
Approach Delay (s)	1.5	0.0	17.6	17.0								
Approach LOS			С	С								
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.2									
Intersection Capacity Utilization			99.2%	IC	U Level of Se	ervice			F			
Analysis Period (min)			15	10	2 2010.010				•			

Projected Conditions 2026 7: Navan & Renaud

7: Navan & Renaud											PM.syn
	٦	+	*	4	ł	1	1	1	1	Ŧ	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	5	*	1	N	1.	5	•	1	N	۴.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	223	387	157	26	119	37	181	41	133	485	
Future Volume (vph)	223	387	157	26	119	37	181	41	133	485	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	248	430	174	29	204	41	201	46	148	550	
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	7	4			8		2			6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8	-	2	_	2	6	-	
Detector Phase	7	4	4	8	8	2	2	2	6	6	
Switch Phase											
Minimum Initial (s)	5.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	35.0	
Minimum Split (s)	10.0	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	41.7	
Total Split (s)	15.0	46.5	46.5	31.5	31.5	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	66.7	
Total Split (%)	13.3%	41.1%	41.1%	27.8%	27.8%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	58.9%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	
All-Red Time (s)	17	32	32	32	32	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.0	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5	67	67	67	67	6.0	
Lead/Lag	Lead	0.0	0.0	Lag	l aq	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes	Yes						
Recall Mode	None	None	None	None	None	Min	Min	Min	Min	Min	
Act Effct Green (s)	31.0	29.5	29.5	14.4	14.4	37.1	37.1	37.1	37.1	37.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.37	0.37	0.18	0.18	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.46	
v/c Ratio	0.62	0.66	0.29	0.18	0.65	0.16	0.25	0.06	0.10	0.10	
Control Delay	26.1	27.1	91	31.2	37.6	15.5	14.5	11	15.8	22.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	26.1	27.1	9.1	31.2	37.6	15.5	14.5	11	15.8	22.2	
	20.1 C	27.1 C	0.1 A	C	D	R	R	Α	B	C.	
Approach Delay	Ŭ	23.1		Ŭ	36.8	-	12 5		_	20.9	
Approach LOS		C			D		B			C	
Queue Length 50th (m)	26.4	53.4	6.6	3.8	26.1	3.5	18.1	0.0	13.6	63.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	53.9	100.9	23.0	12.4	54.1	11.3	36.7	2.1	30.5	115.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		178.9			138.6		146.2			136.1	
Turn Bay Length (m)	100.0		35.0	30.0		60.0		30.0	25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	398	890	784	282	535	412	1335	1128	842	1331	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.62	0.48	0.22	0.10	0.38	0.10	0.15	0.04	0.18	0.41	
Intersection Summary											
Cycle Length: 113.2											
Actuated Cycle Length: 80											
Natural Cycle: 75											
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordina	ated										
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67											
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.4				In	tersection L(OS: C					
Intersection Capacity Utilization 11	0.2%			IC	U Level of S	Service H					
Analysis Period (min) 15											
Splits and Phases: 7: Navan & F	Renaud										
<tb< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tb<>							A				
102						7	P=104				
66.7s						46.	.5 S				
↓ Ø6						- -	▶ Ø7		Ø8		
CC 7 -						10	-	21	F		

15 s

Ø6 6.7s

Projected Conditions 2026 8: Site Driveway & Brian Coburn

PM.syn

-	\mathbf{i}	1	-	1	1
EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Ť.			4	M.	
658	56	35	310	52	34
658	56	35	310	52	34
Free			Free	Stop	
0%			0%	0%	
0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
731	62	39	344	58	38
None			None		
			164		
				0.97	
		793		1184	762
		793		1174	762
		4 1		64	62
				0.1	0.2
		22		35	33
		95		70	91
		828		196	405
		020		100	-00
EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
793	383	96			
0	39	58			
62	0	38			
1700	828	246			
0.47	0.05	0.39			
0.0	1.2	14.0			
0.0	1.5	28.7			
	А	D			
0.0	1.5	28.7			
		D			
		2.6			
		2.0			
		60.0%	ICI	U level of Se	ervice
	EBT 658 658 658 Free 0% 0.90 731 None None EB 1 793 0 62 1700 0.2 1700 0.0 0.0	EBT EBR 658 56 658 56 Free 0% 0.90 0.90 731 62 None	EBT EBR WBL 658 56 35 658 56 35 Free 0% 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 731 62 39 None 793 793 4.1 2.2 95 828 28 EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 793 383 96 0 39 58 62 0 38 1700 828 246 0.47 0.05 0.39 0.0 1.2 14.0 0.0 1.5 28.7 A D 0.0 1.5 0.0 1.5 28.7 D 0.0 1.5 28.7	EBT EBR WBL WBT 658 56 35 310 658 56 35 310 Free Free Free 0% 0,90 0,90 0,90 0.90 0.90 0,90 0,90 731 62 39 344 0 0 90 0,90 731 62 39 344 0 0 90 0,90 793 4.1 164 793 4.1 2.2 95 828 828 EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 793 383 96 0 39 58 62 0 38 1700 828 246 0.47 0.05 0.39 0.0 1.2 14.0 0.0 1.5 28.7 0.0 1.5 28.7 D 0.0 <	EBT EBR WBL WBT NBL 658 56 35 310 52 658 56 35 310 52 Free Free Stop 0% 0% 0% 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.90 731 62 39 344 58 None Iffa 0.97 793 1184 0.97 793 1184 793 1184 6.4 2.2 3.5 95 70 828 196 EB1 WB1 NB1 793 383 96 0 39 58 62 0 38 1700 828 246 0.47 0.05 0.39 0.0 1.2 14.0 0.0 1.5 28.7 A D 0.0 0.15

Projected Conditions 2026 9: Site Driveway/Park n' Ride & Brian Coburn

	≯	+	4	ł	*	1	*	~
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBT	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	×	1.		1	1		×.	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	635	15	297	8	0	6	21
Future Volume (vph)	21	635	15	297	8	0	6	21
Lane Group Flow (vph)	23	774	0	347	9	85	7	23
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	NA	Perm	Perm
Protected Phases		2		6		8		
Permitted Phases	2	-	6	•	6	· ·	4	4
Detector Phase	2	2	6	6	6	8	4	4
Switch Phase	_	_	-			-		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	16.0	16.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	24.0	25.0	25.0
Total Split (s)	66.0	66.0	66.0	66.0	66.0	36.0	36.0	36.0
Total Split (%)	64 7%	64 7%	64.7%	64.7%	64 7%	35.3%	35.3%	35.3%
Yellow Time (s)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	23	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	27	27	27
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.0	6.0		6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0
Lead/Lag	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lead-Lag Optimize?								
Recall Mode	Max	Max	Max	Max	Max	None	None	None
Act Effet Green (s)	69.3	69.3	max	69.3	69.3	10.4	10.4	10.4
Actuated q/C Ratio	0.80	0.80		0.80	0.80	0.12	0.12	0.12
v/c Ratio	0.00	0.56		0.00	0.00	0.12	0.12	0.12
Control Delay	3.3	6.6		3.9	0.01	28.7	33.0	10.3
Oueue Delay	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	33	6.6		3.0	0.0	28.7	33.0	10.3
	υ.υ Δ	Δ		Δ	Δ	20.1 C	00.0 C.	10.5 B
Approach Delay	Л	65		3.8	Л	28.7	U	D
Approach LOS		Δ		Δ		20.1		
Oueue Length 50th (m)	0.9	49.1		15.5	0.0	90	12	0.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	2.0	84.2		27.2	0.0	21.6	4.8	5.0
Internal Link Dist (m)	2.3	139.8		144.7	0.5	27.8	4.0	5.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	40.0	100.0		177.7	35.0	21.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	777	1389		1345	1201	593	474	540
Starvation Can Reducto	0	0		0	0	0.00	0	0+0
Snillback Can Reductn	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Storage Can Reducto	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.03	0.56		0.26	0.01	0.14	0.01	0.04
	0.00	0.00		0.20	0.01	0.14	0.01	0.07
Cycle Longth: 102								
Actuated Quale Length: 97								
Actuated Cycle Length: 87								
Natural Cycle: 60								
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord								
Internetion Circle Date 7.5				, .	and a disc of the	00. 4		
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.5	,			In	tersection L	US: A		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.8%	D			IC	U Level of S	service C		
Analysis Period (min) 15								
Solits and Phases: 9: Site Drivewav/Park n' Ride & Brian Coburn								

A ₀₂	Ø4
66 s	36 s
Ø6	Ø8
66 s	36 s

		+		
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	Ø4	Ø8
Lane Configurations	•	•		
Traffic Volume (vph)	655	306		
Future Volume (vph)	655	306		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	728	340		
Turn Type	NA	NA		
Protected Phases	2	6	4	8
Permitted Phases				
Detector Phase	2	6		
Switch Phase				
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.6	15.6	23.0	23.0
Total Split (s)	45.6	45.6	25.0	25.0
Total Split (%)	64.6%	64.6%	35%	35%
Yellow Time (s)	4.2	4.2	3.0	3.0
All-Red Time (s)	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6	5.6		
Lead/Lag	5.0	0.0		
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	Мах	Max	None	None
Act Effet Green (s)	60.6	60.6	None	None
Actuated g/C Ratio	1.00	1.00		
v/c Ratio	0.41	0.10		
Control Delay	0.41	0.19		
	0.7	0.2		
Total Dalay	0.0	0.0		
	0.7	0.2		
LUJ Approach Dolou	A	A		
Approach Delay	0.7	0.2		
Approach LUS	A	A		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0		
Internal Link Dist (m)	144.7	123.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1765	1765		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.41	0.19		
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 70.6				
Actuated Cycle Length: 60 F	i			
Natural Cycle: 60	,			
Control Type: Semi Act-Upc	oord			
Maximum v/c Datio: 0.41	0010			
Intersection Signal Delay: 0	6			Ini
Intersection Capacity Litilize	tion 56 2%			10
Analysis Dariad (min) 15	uon 30.2 /0			10
niaiysis rendu (11111) 13				

Splits and Phases: 10: Page MUP & Brian Coburn

→ _{Ø2}		
45.6 s	25 s	
← Ø6	₽ ø8	
45.6 s	25 s	

Synchro 10 Report

Appendix G

Transportation Management Strategies Demand (TDM)

Introduction

The City of Ottawa's *Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines* (specifically Module 4.1—Development Design) requires proponents of qualifying developments to use the City's **TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist** to assess the opportunity to implement design elements that are supportive of sustainable modes. The goal of this assessment is to ensure that the development provides safe and efficient access for all users, while creating an environment that encourages walking, cycling and transit use.

The remaining sections of this document are:

- Using the Checklist
- Glossary
- TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: Non-Residential Developments
- TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: Residential Developments

Readers are encouraged to contact the City of Ottawa's TDM Officer for any guidance and assistance they require to complete this checklist.

Using the Checklist

This **TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist** document includes two actual checklists, one for non-residential developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial) and one for residential developments (multi-family or condominium only; subdivisions are exempt). Readers may download the applicable checklist in electronic format and complete it electronically, or print it out and complete it by hand. As an alternative, they may create a freestanding document that lists the design and infrastructure measures being proposed and provides additional detail on them.

Each measure in the checklist is numbered for easy reference. Each measure is also flagged as:

- REQUIRED The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed.
- **BASIC** —The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users.
- **BETTER** —The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance.

Glossary

This glossary defines and describes the following measures that are identified in the **TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist**:

Walking & cycling: Routes

- Building location & access points
- Facilities for walking & cycling
- Amenities for walking & cycling

Walking & cycling: End-of-trip facilities

- Bicycle parking
- Secure bicycle parking
- Shower & change facilities
- Bicycle repair station

Transit

- Walking routes to transit
- Customer amenities

Ridesharing

- Pick-up & drop-off facilities
- Carpool parking

Carsharing & bikesharing

- Carshare parking spaces
- Bikeshare station location

Parking

- Number of parking spaces
- Separate long-term & short-term parking areas

Other

• On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips

In addition to specific references made in this glossary, readers should consult the City of Ottawa's design and planning guidelines for a variety of different land uses and contexts, available on the City's website at www.ottawa.ca. Readers may also find the following resources to be helpful:

- Promoting Sustainable Transportation through Site Design, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2004 (www.cite7.org/wpdm-package/iterp-promoting-sustainable-transportation)
- Bicycle End-of-Trip Facilities: A Guide for Canadian Municipalities and Employers, Transport Canada, 2010 (www.fcm.ca/Documents/tools/GMF/Transport_Canada/BikeEndofTrip_EN.pdf)

Walking & cycling: Routes

Building location & access points. Correctly positioning buildings and their entrances can help make walking convenient, comfortable and safe. Minimizing travel distances and maximizing visibility are key.

Facilities for walking & cycling. The Official Plan gives clear direction on the provision and design of walking and cycling facilities for both access and circulation. On larger, busier sites (e.g. multi-building campuses) the inclusion of sidewalks, pathways, marked crossings, stop signs and traffic calming features can create a safer and more supportive environment for active transportation.

Amenities for walking & cycling. Lighting, landscaping, benches and wayfinding can make walking and cycling safer and more secure, comfortable and accessible.

Walking & cycling: End-of-trip facilities

Bicycle parking. The Official Plan and Zoning By-law both address the need for adequate bicycle parking at developments. Weather protection and theft prevention are major concerns for commuters who spend hundreds or thousands of dollars on a quality bicycle. Bicycle racks should have a design that enables secure locking while preventing damage to wheels. They should be located within sight of busy areas such as main building entrances or staffed parking kiosks.

Secure bicycle parking. Ottawa's Zoning By-law requires a secure area for bicycles at office or residential developments having more than 50 bicycle parking spaces. Lockable outdoor bike cages or indoor storage rooms that limit access to registered users are ideal.

Shower & change facilities. Longer-distance cyclists, joggers and even pedestrians can need a place to shower and change at work; the lack of such facilities is a major barrier to active commuting. Lockers and drying racks provide a place to store gear away from workspaces, and showers and grooming stations allow commuters to make themselves presentable for the office.

Bicycle repair station. Cycling commuters can experience maintenance issues that make the homeward trip difficult or impossible. A small supply of tools (e.g. air pump, Allen keys, wrenches) and supplies (e.g. inner tube patches, chain lubricant) in the workplace can help.

Transit

Customer amenities. Larger developments that feature an on-site transit stop can make transit use more attractive by providing shelters, lighting and benches. Even better, they could integrate the passenger waiting area into a building entrance.

Ridesharing

Pick-up & drop-off facilities. Having a safe place to load or unload passengers (for carpools as well as taxis and ride-hailing services) without obstructing pedestrians, cyclists or other vehicles can help make carpooling work.

Carpool parking. At destinations with large parking lots (or lots that regularly fill to capacity), signed priority carpool parking spaces can be an effective ridesharing incentive. Priority spaces are frequently abused by non-carpoolers, so a system to provide registered users with vehicle identification tags is recommended.

Carsharing & bikesharing

Carshare parking spaces. For developments where carsharing could be an attractive option for employees, visitors or residents, ensuring an attractive location for future carshare parking spaces can avoid challenges associated with future retrofits.

Bikeshare station location. For developments where bikesharing could be an attractive option for employees, visitor or residents, ensuring an attractive location for a future bikeshare station can avoid challenges associated with future retrofits.

Parking

Number of parking spaces. Parking capacity is an important variable in development design, as it can either support or subvert the mode share targets set during the transportation impact analysis (TIA). While the Zoning By-law establishes any minimum and/or maximum requirements for parking capacity, it also allows a reduction in any minimum to reflect the existence of on-site shower, change and locker rooms provided for cyclists.

Separate long-term & short-term parking areas. Because access to unused parking spaces can be a powerful incentive to drive, developments can better manage their parking supply and travel behaviours by separating long-term from short-term parking through the use of landscaping, gated controls or signs. Doing so makes it difficult for long-term parkers (e.g. commuters) to park in short-term areas (e.g. for visitors) as long as enforcement occurs; it also protects long-term parking capacity for its intended users.

Other

On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips. Developments that offer facilities to limit employees' need for a car during their commute (e.g. to drop off children at daycare) or during their workday (e.g. to hit the gym) can free employees to make the commuting decision that otherwise works best for them.

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist:

Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

Legend					
REQUIRED	The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed				
BASIC	The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users				
BETTER	The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance				

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	1.	WALKING & CYCLING: ROUTES	
	1.1	Building location & access points	
BASIC	1.1.1	Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances	
BASIC	1.1.2	Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations	\checkmark
BASIC	1.1.3	Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort	\checkmark
	1.2	Facilities for walking & cycling	
REQUIRED	1.2.1	Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)	\checkmark
REQUIRED	1.2.2	Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official <i>Plan policy 4.3.12</i>)	

	TDM-s	upportive design & infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
REQUIRED	1.2.3	Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)	Ø
REQUIRED	1.2.4	Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)	\checkmark
REQUIRED	1.2.5	Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and on- road cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11)	\checkmark
BASIC	1.2.6	Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops	\checkmark
BASIC	1.2.7	Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible	
BASIC	1.2.8	Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility	
	1.3	Amenities for walking & cycling	
BASIC	1.3.1	Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails	
BASIC	1.3.2	Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious)	

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	2.	WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILI	TIES
	2.1	Bicycle parking	
REQUIRED	2.1.1	Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.6)	
REQUIRED	2.1.2	Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well- used areas (see Zoning By-law Section 111)	
REQUIRED	2.1.3	Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored <i>(see Zoning By-law Section 111)</i>	
BASIC	2.1.4	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met), plus the expected peak number of customer/visitor cyclists	
BETTER	2.1.5	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter and customer/visitor cyclists, plus an additional buffer (e.g. 25 percent extra) to encourage other cyclists and ensure adequate capacity in peak cycling season	
	2.2	Secure bicycle parking	
REQUIRED	2.2.1	Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single office building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see Zoning By-law Section 111)	
BETTER	2.2.2	Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of commuter cyclists (assuming the cycling mode share target is met)	
	2.3	Shower & change facilities	
BASIC	2.3.1	Provide shower and change facilities for the use of active commuters	
BETTER	2.3.2	In addition to shower and change facilities, provide dedicated lockers, grooming stations, drying racks and laundry facilities for the use of active commuters	
	2.4	Bicycle repair station	
BETTER	2.4.1	Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided)	

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	3.	TRANSIT	
	3.1	Customer amenities	
BASIC	3.1.1	Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops	
BASIC	3.1.2	Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter	
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building	
	4.	RIDESHARING	
	4.1	Pick-up & drop-off facilities	
BASIC	4.1.1	Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones	
	4.2	Carpool parking	
BASIC	4.2.1	Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools	
BETTER	4.2.2	At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement	
	5.	CARSHARING & BIKESHARING	
	5.1	Carshare parking spaces	
BETTER	5.1.1	Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted non- residential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces (see Zoning By-law Section 94)	
	5.2	Bikeshare station location	
BETTER	5.2.1	Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection	

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	6.	PARKING	
	6.1	Number of parking spaces	
REQUIRED	6.1.1	Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for	
BASIC	6.1.2	Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking	
BASIC	6.1.3	Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly <i>(see Zoning By-law</i> <i>Section 104)</i>	
BETTER	6.1.4	Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking <i>(see Zoning By-law Section 111)</i>	
	6.2	Separate long-term & short-term parking areas	
BETTER	6.2.1	Separate short-term and long-term parking areas using signage or physical barriers, to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage employees from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa)	
	7.	OTHER	
	7.1	On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips	
BETTER	7.1.1	Provide on-site amenities to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands	

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: *Residential Developments (multi-family or condominium)*

Legend							
REQUIRED	The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed						
BASIC	The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users						
BETTER	The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance						

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	1.	WALKING & CYCLING: ROUTES	
	1.1	Building location & access points	
BASIC	1.1.1	Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances	
BASIC	1.1.2	Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations	\checkmark
BASIC	1.1.3	Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort	
	1.2	Facilities for walking & cycling	
REQUIRED	1.2.1	Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)	V
REQUIRED	1.2.2	Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible <i>(see Official</i> <i>Plan policy 4.3.12)</i>	V

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
REQUIRED	1.2.3	Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)	
REQUIRED	1.2.4	Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)	\checkmark
REQUIRED	1.2.5	Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and on- road cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11)	
BASIC	1.2.6	Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops	\checkmark
BASIC	1.2.7	Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible	
BASIC	1.2.8	Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility	
	1.3	Amenities for walking & cycling	
BASIC	1.3.1	Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails	
BASIC	1.3.2	Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious)	

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references	
	2.	WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILI	TIES	
	2.1	Bicycle parking		
REQUIRED	2.1.1	Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.6)		
REQUIRED	2.1.2	Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well- used areas (<i>see Zoning By-law Section 111</i>)		
REQUIRED	2.1.3	Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored <i>(see Zoning By-law Section 111)</i>		
BASIC	2.1.4	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of resident-owned bicycles, plus the expected peak number of visitor cyclists		
	2.2	Secure bicycle parking		
REQUIRED	2.2.1	Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single residential building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see Zoning By-law Section 111)		
BETTER	2.2.2	Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units at condominiums or multi- family residential developments		
	2.3	Bicycle repair station		
BETTER	2.3.1	Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided)		
	3.	TRANSIT		
	3.1	Customer amenities		
BASIC	3.1.1	Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops		
BASIC	3.1.2	Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter		
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building		

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	4.	RIDESHARING	
	4.1	Pick-up & drop-off facilities	
BASIC	4.1.1	Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones	
	5.	CARSHARING & BIKESHARING	
	5.1	Carshare parking spaces	
BETTER	5.1.1	Provide up to three carshare parking spaces in an R3, R4 or R5 Zone for specified residential uses <i>(see Zoning By-law Section 94)</i>	
	5.2	Bikeshare station location	
BETTER	5.2.1	Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection	
	6.	PARKING	
	6.1	Number of parking spaces	
REQUIRED	6.1.1	Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for	
BASIC	6.1.2	Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking	
BASIC	6.1.3	Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly <i>(see Zoning By-law</i> <i>Section 104)</i>	
BETTER	6.1.4	Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking <i>(see Zoning By-law Section 111)</i>	
	6.2	Separate long-term & short-term parking areas	
BETTER	6.2.1	Provide separate areas for short-term and long-term parking (using signage or physical barriers) to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage residents from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa)	

Appendix H

Segment MMLOS

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

Scenario Existing Conditions

SEGMENTS		Street A	Navan	Navan	Navan	Navan	Navan	Navan	Brian Coburn	Brian Coburn	Brian Coburn
			Orleans/Park n' Ride	Park n' Ride/Brian Coburn	Brian Coburn/Site Drwy N	Site Drwy N/Site Drwy S	Site Drwy S/Page	Page/Renaud	Navan/Site Drwy	Site Drwy/Park n' Ride	Park n' Ride/Ped Cross
	Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width		no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a	no sidewalk n/a
	Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume		> 3000	> 3000	> 3000	> 3000	> 3000	> 3000	> 3000	> 3000	> 3000
rian	Operating Speed On-Street Parking		> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 60 km/h no	> 60 km/h no	> 60 km/h no
st	Exposure to Traffic PLoS	_	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
de	Effective Sidewalk Width										
Ре	Pedestrian Volume										
	Crowding PLoS		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Level of Service		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Type of Cycling Facility		Physically Separated	Physically Separated	Curbside Bike Lane	Curbside Bike Lane	Curbside Bike Lane	Curbside Bike Lane	Physically Separated	Physically Separated	Physically Separated
	Number of Travel Lanes				≤ 1 each direction	≤ 1 each direction	≤ 1 each direction	≤ 1 each direction			
	Operating Speed				>50 to 70 km/h	>50 to 70 km/h	>50 to 70 km/h	>50 to 70 km/h			
	# of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS		-	-	С	С	С	С	-	-	-
<u>e</u>	Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width				≥1.5 to <1.8 m	≥1.5 to <1.8 m	≥1.5 to <1.8 m	≥1.5 to <1.8 m			
Š	Bike Lane Width LoS	С	-	-	В	В	В	В	-	-	-
aio a	Bike Lane Blockages				Rare	Rare	Rare	Rare			
	Blockage LoS		-	-	Α	Α	А	Α	-	-	-
	Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m)				< 1.8 m refuge	< 1.8 m refuge	< 1.8 m refuge	< 1.8 m refuge			
	No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing				≤ 3 lanes	≤ 3 lanes	≤ 3 lanes	≤ 3 lanes			
	Sidestreet Operating Speed				≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	>50 to 60 km/h			
	Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS		A	A	A	A	A	С	A	A	A
	Level of Service		А	А	С	С	С	С	Α	А	Α
sit	Facility Type		Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic
Sug	Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed	D	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8	Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8
T _{rs}	Level of Service		D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
	Truck Lane Width		≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m	≤ 3.5 m
с К	Travel Lanes per Direction		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Tru	Level of Service	C	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С

Appendix I

Collision Analysis

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	5	17	18	0	1	0	10	2	53	83%
02 - Non-fatal injury	1	4	3	0	1	0	2	0	11	17%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	6	21	21	0	2	0	12	2	64	100%
	#4 or 9%	#1 or 33%	#1 or 33%	#7 or 0%	#5 or 3%	#7 or 0%	#3 or 19%	#5 or 3%		

3RIAN COBURN BLVD btwn FERN CASEY ST & NAVAN RD							
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MVK			
2015-2019	1	5,700	1825	0.06			

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		•

BRIAN COBURN BLVD @ NAVAN RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2015-2019	10	15,292	1825	0.36

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	1	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	9	90%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	10%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	1	2	4	0	0	0	3	0	10	100%
	10%	20%	40%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%		-

NAVAN RD btwn ORLEANS BLVD & PAGE RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MVK
2015-2019	10	11,292	1825	0.44

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	2	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	9	90%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	10%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	2	1	6	0	0	0	1	0	10	100%
	20%	10%	60%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%		•

BRIAN COBURN BLVD @ PAGE RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2015-2019	2	n/a	1825	n/a

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	100%
	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%		•

NAVAN RD @ PAGE RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2015-2019	8	8,704	1825	0.50

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	7	88%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	13%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	5	0	0	1	0	2	0	8	100%
	0%	63%	0%	0%	13%	0%	25%	0%		-

NAVAN RD btwn PAGE RD & RENAUD RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MVK
2015-2019	1	6,572	1825	0.50

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	100%
	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		-

RENAUD RD @ PAGE RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2015-2019	2	n/a	1825	n/a

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	100%
	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%		

RENAUD RD @ NAVAN RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2015-2019	14	14,867	1825	0.52

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	4	4	0	0	0	1	0	9	64%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	5	36%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	7	5	0	0	0	2	0	14	100%
	0%	50%	36%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%		

ORLEANS BLVD @ NAVAN RD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2015-2019	12	19,607	1825	0.34
2010 2010		10,001	1020	0.04

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	1	3	3	0	0	0	1	1	9	75%
02 - Non-fatal injury	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	25%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	2	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	12	100%
	17%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	8%	8%		

RENAUD RD btwn NAVAN RD & WHITE ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MVK
2015-2019	2	n/a	1825	n/a

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	100%
	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		-

NAVAN RD btwn INNES RD & ORLEANS BLVD

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MVK
2015-2019	1	n/a	1825	n/a

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%		-

NAVAN RD btwn RENAUD RD & MER BLEUE RD											
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MVK							
2015-2019	1	n/a	1825	n/a							

Classification of Accident	01 - Approaching	02 - Angle	03 - Rear end	04 - Sideswipe	05 - Turning movement	06 - SMV unattended vehicle	07 - SMV other	99 - Other	Total	
03 - P.D. only	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
02 - Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
01 - Fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%		

Appendix J

Intersection MMLOS

Scenario

Existing Conditions

	INTERSECTIONS		Navan/Orlea	ns Intersection			Navan/Park n'R	ide Intersection			Navan/Renau	d Intersection	
	Crossing Side	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG
	Lanes	3		3	3	3	3	0 - 2		3	3	3	4
	Median	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	Median > 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m			
	Conflicting Left Turns	Permissive		Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive		Protected/ Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control		No right turn	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control		Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	No		No	No	No	No	No		No	No	No	No
rian	Right Turn Channel	No Channel		No Channel	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Channel		No Channel	Smart Channel	No Channel	No Channel
est	Corner Radius	10-15m		10-15m	10-15m	No Right Turn	5-10m	10-15m		5-10m	>25m	5-10m	10-15m
Pede	Crosswalk Type	Std transverse markings		Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings			Std transverse markings		Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings
_	PETSI Score	70		75	70			85		71	73	71	53
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	С	-	В	С	-	-	В	-	С	С	С	D
	Cycle Length	27		53	53	55	55	36		67	67	46	61
	Effective Walk Time	21		19	19		12			35	35	16	16
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	1		11 	11 		17 R	<u></u>		<u>8</u> ۵	δ	10 R	17 B
		<u> </u>			C C		P				<u>^</u>	<u> </u>	D
	Level of Service			P	ر د	-	D	P			U	U	U
				С				В]	כ	
	Approach From	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach	Mixed Traffic		Pocket Bike Lane	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Pocket Bike Lane
	Right Turn Lane Configuration	≤ 50 m		Bike lane shifts to the left of right turn	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m	≤ 50 m	≤ 50 m	Bike lane shifts to the left of right turn
	Right Turning Speed	≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h	≤ 25 km/h	≤ 25 km/h	≤ 25 km/h
٥	Cyclist relative to RT motorists	D	-	D	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	D	-	D	D	D	D
ýc	Separated or Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	-	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Separated
Bic	Left Turn Approach	One lane crossed			1 lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed		One lane crossed	One lane crossed	One lane crossed	≥ 2 lanes crossed
	Operating Speed	> 50 to < 60 km/h			≥ 60 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h		≥ 60 km/h	≥ 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h
	Left Turning Cyclist	E	-	-	E	В	B	В	-	F	F	E	F
	Lovel of Service	E	-	-	E	В	В	D	-	F	F	E	F
				E				D				-	
iii	Average Signal Delay	≤ 30 sec		≤ 30 sec	≤ 30 sec	≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec		> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec
ans	Louist of Comises	D	-	D	D	C	С	C	-	F	F	F	F
Tra	Level of Service			D				c			l i l	=	
	Effective Corner Radius	10 - 15 m		10 - 15 m		10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m		< 10 m	> 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m
y	Number of Receiving Lanes on Departure from Intersection	1		1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1
르	Level of Service	E	-	E	-	E	E	E	-	F	С	E	E
				E				E					
t	Volume to Capacity Ratio		0.81	- 0.90			0.61	- 0.70			0.71	- 0.80	
Au	Level of Service			D				В			(C	

Scenario

Existing Conditions

	INTERSECTIONS		Brian Coburn	/Park n' Ride			Brian Coburn	/Ped Crossing	
	Crossing Side	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG
	Lanes Median		0 - 2 Median > 2.4 m	4 Median > 2.4 m	3 Median > 2.4 m			0 - 2 No Median - 2.4 m	0 - 2 No Median - 2.4 m
	Conflicting Left Turns		Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.			No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.
	Conflicting Right Turns		Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control			No right turn	No right turn
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed			RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?		No	No	No			No	No
ian	Right Turn Channel		No Channel	No Channel	No Channel			No Right Turn	No Right Turn
str	Corner Radius		10-15m	10-15m	10-15m			No Right Turn	No Right Turn
ede	Crosswalk Type		Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings				Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings
<u> </u>	PETSI Score		85	60				114	111
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	-	В	С	-	-	-	А	А
	Cycle Length		36	56	56	25	25	46	46
	Effective Walk Time		19	17		18	18		
	Average Pedestrian Delay		4	14		1	1		
	Pedesthan Delay Los	-	A		-	A	A	-	-
	Lovel of Service	-	В	C	-	A	A	A	A
Level of Service			C	2				Α	
	Approach From	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach		Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP					
	Right Turn Lane Configuration		≤ 50 m	Not Applicable					
	Right Turning Speed		≤ 25 km/h	Not Applicable					
O	Cyclist relative to RT motorists	-	D	Not Applicable					
V cl	Separated or Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated
Bic	Left Turn Approach		No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed
	Operating Speed		≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h
	Left Turning Cyclist	-	В	В	В	В	В	В	В
		-	D	В	В	В	В	В	В
	Level of Service		C	D				В	
it	Average Signal Delay		≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec	0 sec	0 sec	0 sec	0 sec
US US		-	В	В	В	Α	Α	Α	Α
Tra	Level of Service		E	3				A	
	Effective Corner Radius		10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m				
х	Number of Receiving Lanes on Departure from Intersection		1	1	1				
11	Lovel of Comise	-	E	E	E	-	-	-	-
			E					-	
0	Volume to Capacity Ratio		0.0 -	0.60		0.0 - 0.60			
Aut	Level of Service		A	4				A	

Scenario

Projected Conditions

	INTERSECTIONS		Navan/Orlear	ns Intersection			Navan/Park n'R	ide Intersection			Navan/Renau	d Intersection			
	Crossing Side	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG		
	Lanes	3		3	3	3	3	0 - 2		3	3	3	4		
	Median	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	Median > 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m					
	Conflicting Left Turns	Permissive		Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive		Protected/ Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive		
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control		No right turn	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control		Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control		
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed		
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	No		No	No	No	No	No		No	No	No	No		
ian	Right Turn Channel	No Channel		No Channel	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Channel		No Channel	Smart Channel	No Channel	No Channel		
str	Corner Radius	10-15m		10-15m	10-15m	No Right Turn	5-10m	10-15m		5-10m	>25m	5-10m	10-15m		
ede	Crosswalk Type	Std transverse markings		Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings			Std transverse markings		Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings		
	PETSI Score	70		75	70	•		85		71	73	71	53		
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	С	-	В	С	-	-	В	-	С	С	С	D		
	Cycle Length	27		53	53	55	55	36		67	67	46	61		
	Effective Walk Time	21		19	19		12			35	35	16	16		
	Average Pedestrian Delay	1		11	11		17			8	8	10	17		
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	A	-	В	В	-	В	-	-	A	А	В	В		
		C	-	В	C	-	В	В	-	C	C	C	D		
	Level of Service		C				l	В			I	D EG EASTLEG WESTLEG			
	Approach From	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG		
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach	Mixed Traffic		Pocket Bike Lane	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Pocket Bike Lane		
	Right Turn Lane Configuration	≤ 50 m		Bike lane shifts to the left of right turn	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m	≤ 50 m	≤ 50 m	Bike lane shifts to the left of right turn		
	Right Turning Speed	≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h	≤ 25 km/h	≤ 25 km/h	≤ 25 km/h		
Ο	Cyclist relative to RT motorists	D	-	D	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	D	-	D	D	D	D		
ycl	Separated or Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	-	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Separated		
Bic	Left Turn Approach	One lane crossed			1 lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed		One lane crossed	One lane crossed	One lane crossed	≥ 2 lanes crossed		
	Operating Speed	> 50 to < 60 km/h			≥ 60 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h		≥ 60 km/h	≥ 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h		
	Left Turning Cyclist	E	-	-	E	В	В	В	-	F	F	E	F		
		E	-	-	E	В	В	D	-	F	F	E	F		
	Level of Service			E				D			I	=			
it	Average Signal Delay	> 40 sec		> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec		> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec	> 40 sec		
nsi		F	-	F	F	F	F	F	-	F	F	F	F		
Tra	Level of Service			F				F				=			
	Effective Corner Radius	10 - 15 m		10 - 15 m		10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m		< 10 m	> 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m		
×	Number of Receiving Lanes on Departure from Intersection	1		1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1		
Tru	Lovel of Service	Е	-	Е	-	E	E	Е	-	F	С	E	Е		
	Level of Service			E				E				-			
2	Volume to Capacity Ratio		> '	1.00			0.91	- 1.00			0.81	- 0.90			
Aut	Level of Service			F				E			[C			

Scenario

Projected Conditions

	INTERSECTIONS		Brian Coburr	/Park n' Ride			Brian Coburn	/Ped Crossing			
	Crossing Side	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG		
	Lanes	0 - 2	0 - 2	4	3			0 - 2	0 - 2		
	Median	No Median - 2.4 m	Median > 2.4 m	Median > 2.4 m	Median > 2.4 m			No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m		
	Conflicting Left Turns	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive	Permissive			No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.		
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control			No right turn	No right turn		
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed			RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed		
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	No	No	No	No			No	No		
rian	Right Turn Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel	No Channel			No Right Turn	No Right Turn		
esti	Corner Radius	5-10m	10-15m	10-15m	10-15m			No Right Turn	No Right Turn		
Pede	Crosswalk Type	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings				Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings		
	PETSI Score	86	85	55				114	111		
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	В	В	D	-	-	-	Α	Α		
	Cycle Length	36	36	56	56	25	25	46	46		
	Effective Walk Time	19	19	17		18	18				
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	4	4	14 R		1	1				
	redestrian Delay L05	B	B	D	-	A A	A A	-	-		
	Level of Service	D	D	U	-			A	A		
Level of Service			[2			4	A			
	Approach From	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG	NORTH LEG	SOUTH LEG	EAST LEG	WEST LEG		
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP							
	Right Turn Lane Configuration	Not Applicable	≤ 50 m	Not Applicable							
	Right Turning Speed	Not Applicable	≤ 25 km/h	Not Applicable							
<u>o</u>	Cyclist relative to RT motorists	Not Applicable	D	Not Applicable							
yc	Separated or Mixed Traffic	Separated	Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated	Separated		
Bic	Left Turn Approach	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed	No lane crossed		
	Operating Speed	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h _	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h	≤ 40 km/h _		
	Left Turning Cyclist	В	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	В	В	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	В		
	Level of Service	В	D	В	В	В	В	В	В		
			I	כ				В			
și;	Average Signal Delay	≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec	≤ 10 sec	0 sec	0 sec	0 sec	0 sec		
ans	Level of Service	В	В	В	В	A	Α	A	A		
Ĕ			i	3				A			
	Effective Corner Radius	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m	10 - 15 m						
yo.	Number of Receiving Lanes on Departure from Intersection	1	1	1	1						
Ĕ		E	E	E	E	-	-	-	-		
	Level of Service		I					-			
0	Volume to Capacity Ratio		0.0 -	0.60			0.0 - 0.60				
Aut	Level of Service			4		Α					
Appendix K

Collision Analysis

Introduction

The City of Ottawa's *Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines* (specifically Module 4.3—Transportation Demand Management) requires proponents of qualifying developments to assess the context, need and opportunity for transportation demand management (TDM) measures at their development. The guidelines require that proponents complete the City's **TDM Measures Checklist**, at a minimum, to identify any TDM measures being proposed.

The remaining sections of this document are:

- Using the Checklist
- Glossary
- TDM Measures Checklist: Non-Residential Developments
- TDM Measures Checklist: Residential developments

Using the Checklist

The City's *TIA Guidelines* are designed so that *Module 3.1—Development-Generated Travel Demand*, *Module 4.1—Development Design*, and *Module 4.2—Parking* are complete before a proponent begins *Module 4.3—Transportation Demand Management*.

Within Module 4.3, *Element 4.3.1—Context for TDM* and *Element 4.3.2—Need and Opportunity* are intended to create an understanding of the need for any TDM measures, and of the results they are expected to achieve or support. Once those two elements are complete, proponents begin *Element 4.3.3—TDM Program* that requires proponents to identify proposed TDM measures using the **TDM Measures Checklist**, at a minimum. The *TIA Guidelines* note that the City may require additional analysis for large or complex development proposals, or those that represent a higher degree of performance risk; as well, proponents proposing TDM measures for a new development must also propose an implementation plan that addresses planning and coordination, funding and human resources, timelines for action, performance targets and monitoring requirements.

This **TDM Measures Checklist** document includes two actual checklists, one for non-residential developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial) and one for residential developments (multi-family, condominium or subdivision). Readers may download the applicable checklist in electronic format and complete it electronically, or print it out and complete it by hand. As an alternative, they may create a freestanding document that lists the TDM measures being proposed and provides additional detail on them, including an implementation plan as required by the City's *TIA Guidelines*.

Each measure in the checklist is numbered for easy reference. Each measure is also flagged as:

- BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users.
- **BETTER** The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance.
- The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes.

Readers are encouraged to contact the City of Ottawa's TDM Officer for any guidance and assistance they require to complete this checklist.

Glossary

This glossary defines and describes the following measures that are identified in the **TDM Measures Checklist**:

TDM program management

- Program coordinator
- Travel surveys

Parking

Priced parking

Walking & cycling

- Information on walking/cycling routes & destinations
- Bicycle skills training
- Valet bike parking

Transit

- Transit information
- Transit fare incentives
- Enhanced public transit service
- Private transit service

Ridesharing

- Ridematching service
- Carpool parking price incentives
- Vanpool service

Carsharing & bikesharing

- Bikeshare stations & memberships
- Carshare vehicles & memberships

TDM marketing & communications

- Multimodal travel information
- Personalized trip planning
- Promotions

Other incentives & amenities

- Emergency ride home
- Alternative work arrangements
- Local business travel options
- Commuter incentives
- On-site amenities

For further information on selecting and implementing TDM measures (particularly as they apply to non-residential developments, with a focus on workplaces), readers may find it helpful to consult Transport Canada's *Workplace Travel Plans: Guidance for Canadian Employers*, which can be downloaded in English and French from the ACT Canada website at www.actcanada.com/resources/act-resources.

TDM program management

While some TDM measures can be implemented with a minimum of effort through routine channels (e.g. parking or human resources), more complex measures or a larger development site may warrant assigning responsibility for TDM program coordination to a designated person either inside or outside the implementing organization. Similarly, some TDM measures are more effective if they are targeted or customized for specific audiences, and would benefit from the collection of related information.

Program coordinator. This person is charged with day-to-day TDM program development and implementation. Only in very large employers with thousands of workers is this likely to be a full-time, dedicated position. Usually, it is added to an existing role in parking, real estate, human resources or environmental management. In practice, this role may be called TDM coordinator, commute trip reduction coordinator or employee transportation coordinator. The City of Ottawa can identify external resources (e.g. non-profit organizations or consultants) that could provide these services.

Travel surveys. Travel surveys are most commonly conducted at workplaces, but can be helpful in other settings. They identify how and why people travel the way they do, and what barriers and opportunities exist for different behaviours. They usually capture the following information:

- *Personal data* including home address or postal code, destination, job type or function, employment status (full-time, part-time and/or teleworker), gender, age and hours of work
- Commute information including distance or time for the trip between home and work, usual methods of commuting, and reasons for choosing them
- Barriers and opportunities including why other commuting methods are unattractive, willingness to consider other options, and what improvements to other options could make them more attractive

Parking

Priced parking. Charging for parking is typically among the most effective ways of getting drivers to consider other travel options. While drivers may not support parking fees, they can be more accepting if the revenues are used to improve other travel options (e.g. new showers and change rooms, improved bicycle parking or subsidized transit passes). At workplaces or daytime destinations, parking discounts (e.g. early bird specials, daily passes that cost significantly less than the equivalent hourly charge, monthly passes that cost significantly less than the equivalent daily charge) encourage long-term parking and discourage the use of other travel options. For residential uses, unbundling parking costs from dwelling purchase, lease or rental costs provides an incentive for residents to own fewer cars, and can reduce car use and the costs of parking provision.

Walking & cycling

Active transportation options like cycling and walking are particularly attractive for short trips (typically up to 5 km and 2 km, respectively). Other supportive factors include an active, health-conscious audience, and development proximity to high-quality walking and cycling networks. Common challenges to active transportation include rain, darkness, snowy or icy conditions, personal safety concerns, the potential for bicycle theft, and a lack of shower and change facilities for those making longer trips.

Information on walking/cycling routes & destinations. Ottawa, Gatineau and the National Capital Commission all publish maps to help people identify the most convenient and comfortable walking or cycling routes.

Bicycle skills training. Potential cyclists can be intimidated by the need to ride on roads shared with motor vehicles. This barrier can be reduced or eliminated by offering cycling skills training to interested cyclists (e.g. CAN-BIKE certification courses).

Valet bike parking. For large events, temporary "valet parking" areas can be easily set up to maximize convenience and security for cyclists. Experienced local non-profit groups can help.

Transit

Transit information. Difficulty in finding or understanding basic information on transit fares, routes and schedules can prevent people from trying transit. Employers can help by providing online links to OC Transpo and STO websites. Transit users also appreciate visible maps and schedules of transit routes that serve the site; even better, a screen that shows real-time transit arrival information is particularly useful at sites with many transit users and an adjacent transit stop or station.

Transit fare incentives. Free or subsidized transit fares are an attractive incentive for nontransit riders to try transit. Many non-users are unsure of how to pay a fare, and providing tickets or a preloaded PRESTO card (or, for special events, pre-arranging with OC Transpo that transit fares are included with event tickets) overcome that barrier.

Enhanced public transit service. OC Transpo may adjust transit routes, stop locations, service hours or frequencies for an agreed fee under contract, or at no cost where warranted by the potential ridership increase. Information provided by a survey of people who travel to a given development can support these decisions.

Private transit service. At remote suburban or rural workplaces, a poor transit connection to the nearest rapid transit station can be an obstacle for potential transit users, and an employer in this situation could initiate a private shuttle service to make transit use more feasible or attractive. Other circumstances where a shuttle makes sense include large special events, or a residential development for people with limited independent mobility who still require regular access to shops and services.

Ridesharing

Ridesharing's potential is greatest in situations where transit ridership is low, where parking costs are high, and/or where large numbers of car commuters (e.g. employees or full-time students) live reasonably far from the workplace.

Ridematching service. Potential carpoolers in Ottawa are served by www.OttawaRideMatch.com, an online service to help people find carpool partners. Employers can arrange for a dedicated portal where their employees can search for potential carpool partners only among their colleagues, if they desire. Some very large employers may establish internal ridematching services, to maximize employee uptake and corporate control. Ridematching service providers typically include a waiver to relieve employers of liability when their employees start carpooling through a ridematching service. Ridesharing with co-workers also tends to eliminate security concerns.

Carpool parking price incentives. Discounted parking fees for carpools can be an extra incentive to rideshare.

Vanpool service. Vanpools operate in the Toronto and Vancouver metropolitan areas, where vans that carry up to about ten occupants are driven by one of the vanpool members. Vanpools tend to operate on a cost-recovery basis, and are most practical for long-distance commutes where transit is not an option. Current legislation in Ontario does not permit third-party (i.e. private or non-profit) vanpool services, but does permit employers to operate internal vanpools.

Carsharing & bikesharing

Bikeshare station & memberships. VeloGO Bike Share and Right Bike both operate bikesharing services in Ottawa. Developments that would benefit from having a bikeshare station installed at or near their development may negotiate directly with either service provider.

Carshare vehicles & memberships. VRTUCAR and Zipcar both operate carsharing services in Ottawa, for use by the general public or by businesses as an alternative to corporate fleets. Carsharing services offer 24-hour access, self-serve reservation systems, itemized monthly billings, and outsourcing of all financing, insurance, maintenance and administrative responsibilities.

► TDM marketing & communications

Multimodal travel information. Aside from mode-specific information discussed elsewhere in this document, multimodal information that identifies and explains the full range of travel options available to people can be very influential—especially when provided at times and locations where individuals are actively choosing among those options. Examples include: employees when their employer is relocating, or when they are joining a new employer; students when they are starting a program at a new institution; visitors or customers travelling to an unfamiliar destination, or when faced with new options (e.g. shuttle services or parking restrictions); and residents when they purchase or occupy a residence that is new to them.

Personalized trip planning. As an extension to the simple provision of information, this technique (also known as *individualized marketing*) is effective in helping people make more sustainable travel choices. The approach involves identifying who is most likely to change their travel choices (notably relocating employees, students or residents) giving them customized information, training and incentives to support them in making that change. It may be conducted with assistance from an external service provider with the necessary skills, and delivered in a variety of settings including workplaces and homes.

Promotions. Special events and incentives can raise awareness and encourage individuals to examine and try new travel options.

- Special events can help attract attention, build participation and celebrate successes. Events that have been held in Ottawa include Earth Day (in April) Bike to Work Month (in May), Environment Week (early June), International Car Free Day (September 22), and Canadian Ridesharing Week (October). At workplaces or educational institutions, similarly effective internal events could include workshops, lunch-and-learns, inter-departmental challenges, pancake breakfasts, and so on.
- Incentives can encourage trial of sustainable modes, and might include loyalty rewards for duration or consistency of activity (e.g. 1,000 km commuted by bicycle), participation prizes (e.g. for completing a survey or joining a special event), or personal recognition that highlights individual accomplishments.

Other incentives & amenities

Emergency ride home. This measure assures non-driving commuters that they will be able to get home quickly and conveniently in case of family emergency (or in some workplaces, in case of unexpected overtime, severe weather conditions, or the early departure of a carpool driver) by offering a chit or reimbursement for taxi, carshare or rental car usage. Limits on annual usage or cost per employee may be set, although across North America the actual rates of usage are typically very low.

Alternative work arrangements. A number of alternatives to the standard 9-to-5, Monday-to-Friday workweek can support sustainable commuting (and work-life balance) at workplaces:

- Flexible working hours allow transit commuters to take advantage of the fastest and most convenient transit services, and allow potential carpoolers to include people who work slightly different schedules in their search for carpool partners. They also allow active commuters to travel at least one direction in daylight, either in the morning or the afternoon, during the winter.
- Compressed workweeks allow employees to work their required hours over fewer days (e.g. five days in four, or ten days in nine), eliminating the need to commute on certain days. For employees, this can promote work-life balance and gives flexibility for appointments. For employers, this can permit extended service hours as well as reduced parking demands if employees stagger their days off.
- Telework is a normal part of many workplaces. It helps reduce commuting activity, and can lead to significant cost savings through workspace sharing. Telework initiatives involve many stakeholders, and may face as much resistance as support within an organization. Consultation, education and training are helpful.

Local business travel options. A common obstacle for people who might prefer to not drive to work is that their employer requires them to bring a car to work so they can make business trips during the day. Giving employees convenient alternatives to private cars for local business travel during the workday makes walking, cycling, transit or carpooling in someone else's car more practical.

- Walking and cycling—Active transportation can be a convenient and enjoyable way to make short business trips. They can also reduce employer expenses, although they may require extra travel time. Providing a fleet of shared bikes, or reimbursing cyclists for the kilometres they ride, are inexpensive ways to validate their choice.
- Public transit—Transit can be convenient and inexpensive compared to driving. OC Transpo's PRESTO cards are transferable among employees and automatically reloadable, making them the perfect tool for enabling transit use during the day.
- *Ridesharing*—When multiple employees attend the same off-site meeting or event, they can be reminded to carpool whenever possible.
- Taxis or ride-hailing—Taxis and ride-hailing can eliminate parking costs, save time and eliminate collision liability concerns. Taxi chits eliminate cash transactions and minimize paperwork.
 - *Fleet vehicles or carsharing*—Fleet vehicles can be cost-effective for high travel volumes, while carsharing is a great option for less frequent trips.
 - Interoffice shuttles—Employers with multiple worksites in the region could use a shuttle service to move people as well as mail or supplies.
 - *Videoconferencing*—New technologies mean that staying in the office to hold meetings electronically is more viable, affordable and productive than ever.

Commuter incentives. Financial incentives can help create a level playing field and support commuting by sustainable modes. A "commuting allowance" given to all employees as a taxable benefit is one such incentive; employees who choose to drive could then be charged for parking, while other employees could use the allowance for transit fares or cycling equipment, or for spending or saving. (Note that in the United States this practice is known as "parking cash-out," and is popular because commuting allowances are not taxable up to a certain limit). Alternatively, a monthly commuting allowance for non-driving employees would give drivers an incentive to choose a different commuting mode. Another practical incentive for active commuters or transit users is to offer them discounted "rainy day" parking passes for a small number of days each month.

On-site amenities. Developments that offer services to limit employees' need for a car during their commute (e.g. to drop off clothing at the dry cleaners) or during their workday (e.g. to buy lunch) can free employees to make the commuting decision that otherwise works best for them.

TDM Measures Checklist:

Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

Legend

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users

BETTER The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

	TDM	measures: Non-residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions					
	1.	TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT						
	1.1	Program coordinator						
BASIC ★	1.1.1	Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator						
	1.2	Travel surveys						
BETTER	1.2.1	Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress						
	2.	WALKING AND CYCLING						
	2.1	Information on walking/cycling routes & destin	ations					
BASIC	2.1.1	Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances						
	2.2	Bicycle skills training						
		Commuter travel						
BETTER ★	2.2.1	Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses						
	2.3	Valet bike parking						
		Visitor travel						
BETTER	2.3.1	Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)						

TDM Measures Checklist

	TDM	measures: Non-residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions				
	3.	TRANSIT					
	3.1	Transit information					
BASIC	3.1.1	Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances					
BASIC	3.1.2	Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information					
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances					
	3.2	Transit fare incentives					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	3.2.1	Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit					
BETTER	★ 3.2.2	Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees					
		Visitor travel					
BETTER	3.2.3	Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)					
	3.3	Enhanced public transit service					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	3.3.1	Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends)					
		Visitor travel					
BETTER	3.3.2	Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)					
	3.4	Private transit service					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	3.4.1	Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends)					
		Visitor travel					
BETTER	3.4.2	Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)					

	TDM	measures: Non-residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions				
	4.	RIDESHARING					
	4.1	Ridematching service					
	_	Commuter travel					
BASIC ★	4.1.1	Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch.com					
	4.2	Carpool parking price incentives					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	4.2.1	Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools					
	4.3	Vanpool service					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	4.3.1	Provide a vanpooling service for long-distance commuters					
	5.	CARSHARING & BIKESHARING					
	5.1	Bikeshare stations & memberships					
BETTER	5.1.1	Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	5.1.2	Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel					
	5.2	Carshare vehicles & memberships					
		Commuter travel					
BETTER	5.2.1	Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants					
BETTER	5.2.2	Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel					
	6.	PARKING					
	6.1	Priced parking					
		Commuter travel					
BASIC ★	6.1.1	Charge for long-term parking (daily, weekly, monthly)					
BASIC	6.1.2	Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi-tenant sites					
		Visitor travel					
BETTER	6.1.3	Charge for short-term parking (hourly)					

TDM Measures Checklist

Version 1.0 (30 June 2017)

	TDM	measures: Non-residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions
	7.	TDM MARKETING & COMMUNICATIONS	
	7.1	Multimodal travel information	
		Commuter travel	
BASIC ★	7.1.1	Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students	
		Visitor travel	
BETTER ★	7.1.2	Include multimodal travel option information in invitations or advertising that attract visitors or customers (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games)	
	7.2	Personalized trip planning	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER ★	7.2.1	Offer personalized trip planning to new/relocating employees	
	7.3	Promotions	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	7.3.1	Deliver promotions and incentives to maintain awareness, build understanding, and encourage trial of sustainable modes	
	8.	OTHER INCENTIVES & AMENITIES	
	8.1	Emergency ride home	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER ★	8.1.1	Provide emergency ride home service to non-driving commuters	
	8.2	Alternative work arrangements	
		Commuter travel	
BASIC ★	8.2.1	Encourage flexible work hours	
BETTER	8.2.2	Encourage compressed workweeks	
BETTER ★	8.2.3	Encourage telework	
	8.3	Local business travel options	
		Commuter travel	
BASIC ★	8.3.1	Provide local business travel options that minimize the need for employees to bring a personal car to work	
	8.4	Commuter incentives	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	8.4.1	Offer employees a taxable, mode-neutral commuting allowance	
	8.5	On-site amenities	
		Commuter travel	
BETTER	8.5.1	Provide on-site amenities/services to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands	

TDM Measures Checklist:

Residential Developments (multi-family, condominium or subdivision)

Legend

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users

BETTER The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

	TDM	measures: Residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions					
	1.	TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT						
	1.1	Program coordinator						
BASIC	★ 1.1.1	Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator						
	1.2	Travel surveys						
BETTER	1.2.1	Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress						
	2.	WALKING AND CYCLING						
	2.1	Information on walking/cycling routes & des	tinations					
BASIC	2.1.1	Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances (multi-family, condominium)						
	2.2	Bicycle skills training						
BETTER	2.2.1	Offer on-site cycling courses for residents, or subsidize off-site courses						

		TDM	measures: Residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions					
		3.	TRANSIT						
		3.1	Transit information						
BASIC		3.1.1	Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances (multi-family, condominium)						
BETTER		3.1.2	Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances (multi-family, condominium)						
		3.2	Transit fare incentives						
BASIC	*	3.2.1	Offer PRESTO cards preloaded with one monthly transit pass on residence purchase/move-in, to encourage residents to use transit						
BETTER		3.2.2	Offer at least one year of free monthly transit passes on residence purchase/move-in						
		3.3	Enhanced public transit service						
BETTER	*	3.3.1	Contract with OC Transpo to provide early transit services until regular services are warranted by occupancy levels <i>(subdivision)</i>						
		3.4	Private transit service						
BETTER		3.4.1	Provide shuttle service for seniors homes or lifestyle communities (e.g. scheduled mall or supermarket runs)						
		4.	CARSHARING & BIKESHARING						
		4.1	Bikeshare stations & memberships						
BETTER		4.1.1	Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station (<i>multi-family</i>)						
BETTER		4.1.2	Provide residents with bikeshare memberships, either free or subsidized <i>(multi-family)</i>						
		4.2	Carshare vehicles & memberships						
BETTER		4.2.1	Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by residents						
BETTER		4.2.2	Provide residents with carshare memberships, either free or subsidized						
		5.	PARKING						
		5.1	Priced parking						
BASIC	*	5.1.1	Unbundle parking cost from purchase price (condominium)						
BASIC	*	5.1.2	Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent (multi-family)						

TDN	l measures: Residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions					
6.	TDM MARKETING & COMMUNICATIONS	5					
6.1	Multimodal travel information						
BASIC ★ 6.1.1	Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new residents						
6.2	Personalized trip planning						
BETTER ★ 6.2.1	Offer personalized trip planning to new residents						



Transit Route Maps

Winter 2020 (5 Jan 2020 - 7 Mar 2020)

<table-container> Stop No. Location Parter is an interaction of the state of the s</table-container>		· · · ·			AM (6:00-9:00)			PM (15:00-18:00)			24-HR		
#3074 OHAPEL HILB 225 IB 21 0 160 - - - 21 0 160 #3074 OHAPEL HILA 225 OB - - 0 0 21 12 00 12 22 00 12 22 00 12 22 00 12 22 00 12 22 00 12 22 00 12 22 2 6 0 0 12 10 0 12 22 2 6 0 0 10 15 10 0 10 0 10 0 16 0 0 10 16 10 10 11 0 10 0 16 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 1	Stop No.	Location	Route	Direction	Boardings	Alightings	Avg Load at Departure	Boardings	Alightings	Avg Load at Departure	Boardings	Alightings	Avg Load at Departure
Horse Order CHAPEL HILLA 34 1B 6 2 4 0 0 3 11 2 3 90074 CHAPEL HILLA 34 0B 0 2 0 1 2 0 12 2 2 6 90058 RENAUD / PAGÉ 634 NB - - - - - 0 0 0 4 280 B 11 0 15 - - - 11 0 0 11 90059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 0 0 13 0 0 16 0 0 16 0 0 16 0 0 16 0 0 16 0 0 16 16 0 0 16 0 0 16 16 0 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	#3074		225	IB	21	0	16	-	-	-	21	0	16
33074 CHAFEL HILLA 225 0 B - - - 0 21 12 0 225 10 99068 RENAUD / PAGÉ 225 IB 1 2 7 - - - 2 2 2 6 99059 RENAUD / PAGÉ 634 NB - - 0 0 2 - - 0 0 0 2 2 6 99059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB 0 0 11 - - - 0 0 2 11 0 15 0 0 2 11 16 12 0 0 11 15 16 16 11 16 <td>#3074</td> <td></td> <td>34</td> <td>IB</td> <td>8</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>11</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td>	#3074		34	IB	8	2	4	0	0	3	11	2	3
3003 3003 34 08 0 0 2 0 1 2 0 12 2 90058 RENAUD / PAGÉ 34 NB - - - - - - 0 0 27 90059 RENAUD / PAGÉ 634 NB - - - - - 0 0 22 6 90059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 0 33 0 0 11 0 15 - - - 0 0 33 0 0 21 99059 RENAUD / NAVAN 834 NB - - - 0 0 33 0 0 33 225 MB 0 0 0 - - - 0 0 33 36 33 36 225 MB 0 0 1 <th< td=""><td>#3074</td><td></td><td>225</td><td>OB</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>21</td><td>12</td><td>0</td><td>25</td><td>10</td></th<>	#3074		225	OB	-	-	-	0	21	12	0	25	10
98066 RENAUD / PAGÉ B 1 2 7 - - - 2 2 2 6 949059 RENAUD / PAGÉ 634 RB 0 0 4 - - 0 0 44 99059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 SB 0 0 111 - - - 0 0 111 99059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 SB 0 0 111 - - - 0 0 0 22 0 0 22 0 0 0 22 0 0 22 0 <t< td=""><td>#0014</td><td></td><td>34</td><td>OB</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>12</td><td>2</td></t<>	#0014		34	OB	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	12	2
#9058 RENAUD / PAGÉ 641 641 EB 0 0 4 - - - - - 0 0 22 #9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB - - 0 0 0 27 0 0 27 #9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 0 0 0 0 27 #644 NB - - - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10			225	IB	1	2	7	-	-	-	2	2	6
BARMANNE 641 EB 0 0 4 - - - 0 0 4 228 1B 11 0 15 - - 11 0 15 #9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 SB 0 0 11 - - - 16 0 27 0 0 27 #9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 631 NB - - - 0 0 23 0 0 25 0 16 16 0 6 16 0 0 17 0 0 12 28 0 0 12 - - 0 0 12 12 9 0 12 12 12 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 13 14 14 14 14	#9058	RENAUD / PAGÉ	634	NB	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	27
#9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 228 18 11 0 15 - - - 11 0 15 #9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 0 27 0 0 27 641 WB - - - 0 6 16 0 23 622 WB 0 0 5 - - - 0 0 22 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 19 9 644 SB 0 0 12 - - - - 0 0 3 8 0 3 2 0 3 18 0 3 18 0 3 18 0 3 18 0 3 10 0 13 16 16 16 16 16 16		NEWAOD / LAGE	641	EB	0	0	4	-	-	-	0	0	4
#9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 0 37 0 0 11 841 WB - - - 0 0 33 0 0 33 228 OB - - 0 0 2 - - 0 0 0 0 2 225 OB - - - 0 0 12 9 0 0 12 634 SB 0 0 12 - 0 0 0 12 641 WB - - - 0 3 8 0 3 8 612 WB - - - 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 3			228	IB	11	0	15	-	-	-	11	0	15
#9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 641 WB - - 0 0 2/7 0 0 2/7 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 12 9 0 0 12 9 0 0 12 9 0 0 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 14 <th14<< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>634</td><td>SB</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>11</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>11</td></th14<<>			634	SB	0	0	11	-	-	-	0	0	11
#9059 RENAUD / NAVAN 641 WB - - 0 0 3 0 0 3 612 EB 0 0 2 - - 0 0 2 622 WB 0 0 2 - - - 0 0 19 9 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 19 9 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 3 8 0 0 16			634	NB	-	-	-	0	0	27	0	0	27
##938 FAGÉ / NAVAN 228 OB - - - 0 6 16 0 6 16 622 WB 0 0 5 - - - 0 0 25 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 225 OB - - - 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 12 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 641 WB - - - 0 0 3 8 612 WB - - - 0	#9059	RENAUD / NAVAN	641	WB	-	-	-	0	0	3	0	0	3
#12 EB 0 0 2 - - - 0 0 2 622 WB 0 0 5 - - - 0 0 12 9 0 0 12 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 634 SB 0 0 12 - - 0 0 3 8 0 0 12 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 641 WB - - 0 0 3 8 0 0 3 34 0 0 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 0 11 0 2 46 0 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 0 10 10 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0			228	OB	-	-	-	0	6	16	0	6	16
#5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 622 WB 0 0 12 - - - 0 12 9 0 19 9 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 3 8 0 3 8 612 WB - - - 0 5 6 0 6 4 622 EB - - - 0 0 3 8 0 3 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 1 0 0 3 1 0 0 1 0 10 3 3 1 0 1 0 10 3 3 1 0 1 0 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>612</td><td>EB</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td></td<>			612	EB	0	0	2	-	-	-	0	0	2
#5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 225 0.0 1 0 12 9 0 19 9 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 641 WB - - - - 0 3 8 0 3 8 #263 PAGÉ / NAVAN 641 WB - - - 0 0 3 8 0 3 8 #263 MAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 OB 0 0 1 - - 0 0 0 10 1 2 46 0 #263 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 NB - - 0 0 0 0 3 1 0 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 3 1 0 3 1 0			622	WB	0	0	5	-	-	-	0	0	5
#5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 634 58 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 12 #5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 612 WB - - - 0 5 66 0 6 4 612 WB - - - 0 5 6 0 6 4 612 WB 0 9 0 0 7 1 2 46 0 34 0B 0 0 10 - - 0 0 13 13 0 27 10 0 27 10 0 27 10 0 13 14 10 10 14 1 0 0 0 13 14 16 10 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10 13 10<			225	OB	-	-	-	0	12	9	0	19	9
#5936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 641 WB - - - 0 3 8 0 3 8 #2936 PAGÉ / NAVAN 622 EB - - 0 5 64 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 3 3 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 3 3 0 0 0 10 1 0 10 1 0 0 3 3 1 0 0 3 3 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0			634	SB	0	0	12	-	-	-	0	0	12
612 WB - - - 0 5 6 0 6 4 622 EB - - - 0 0 7 1 2 46 0 34 0B 0 0 14 - - 0 0 1 2 46 0 #2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 NB - - 0 0 0 27 0 0 27 #2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 NB - - 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1	#5936	PAGÉ / NAVAN	641	WB	-	-	-	0	3	8	0	3	8
##2653 Image: here intermed and intermed an			612	WB	-	-	-	0	5	6	0	6	4
#2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 34 OB 0 9 0 0 0 7 1 2 46 0 #2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 NB - - - 0 0 27 0 0 27 641 EB 0 0 1 - - - 0 0 3 622 WB 0 0 5 - - - 0 0 3 622 WB 0 0 5 - - - 0 0 3 634 NB - - - 1 0 27 0 0 3 641 EB 1 0 10 - - - 1 0 27 3 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 641 EB 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 <td></td> <td></td> <td>622</td> <td>EB</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td>			622	EB	-	-	-	0	0	3	0	0	3
#2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 225 1B 0 0 14 - - 0 0 0 13 #2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 641 EB 0 0 10 - - 0 0 0 10 622 WB 0 0 3 - - - 0 0 3 34 IB 1 0 4 0 0 3 1 0 3 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 634 NB - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 634 NB - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 634 NB - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 634 NB - - 1 0 0			34	OB	0	9	0	0	/	1	2	46	0
#2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 NB - - - 0 0 2// 0 0 2// #2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 612 EB 0 0 33 0 0 33 #2653 MAVAN / TOPSOIL 612 EB 0 0 34 0 0 35 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 1B 1 0 44 0 0 33 1 0 37 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 634 NB - - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 641 EB 1 0 100 - - - 1 0 27 641 EB 5 0 33 - - - 1 0 0 10 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10			225	IB	0	0	14	-	-	-	0	0	13
#2653 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 641 EB 0 0 10 - - - 0 0 0 622 WB 0 0 3 - - - 0 0 3 40 0 0 3 - - - 0 0 3 41 10 0 4 0 0 3 1 0 3 41 18 1 0 4 0 0 3 1 0 3 41 18 5 0 10 - - - 1 0 10			634	NB	-	-	-	0	0	27	0	0	27
#1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 612 WB 0 0 5 - - - 0 0 3 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 63 B 1 0 4 0 0 3 1 0 3 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 63 NB - - - - 5 0 13 641 EB 1 0 100 - - - 1 0 10 622 WB 1 0 50 3 - - - 1 0 10 622 WB 1 0 5 - - - - 1 0 5 34 3 12 3 17 2 3 3 12 0 3 17 2 3 3 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 12 14 14 12 </td <td>#2653</td> <td>NAVAN / TOPSOIL</td> <td>641</td> <td>EB</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>10</td>	#2653	NAVAN / TOPSOIL	641	EB	0	0	10	-	-	-	0	0	10
#1983 Handrein Handrein <thhandrein< th=""> Handrein <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>612</td><td>EB</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>3</td></th<></thhandrein<>			612	EB	0	0	3	-	-	-	0	0	3
#1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 1 0 4 0 0 3 1 0 3 #1983 Autor (PAGÉ) IB 5 0 14 - - - 5 0 13 #1983 Autor (PAGÉ) 634 NB - - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 634 NB 1 0 10 - - - 1 0 27 612 EB 5 0 3 - - - - 1 0 5 622 WB 1 0 5 - - - 1 0 0 12 4 18 5 0 3 22 0 3 17 2 3 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 11			622	WB	0	0	5	-	-	-	0	0	5
#1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 225 1B 5 0 14 - - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 641 EB 1 0 10 - - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 641 EB 1 0 10 - - - 1 0 10 10 612 EB 5 0 3 - - - 10 5 34 IB 5 0 3 2 0 3 17 2 3 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 225 IB 3 0 16 - - - 0 0 1 20 1 20 1 26			34	<u>IB</u>	1	0	4	0	0	3	1	0	3
#1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 634 NB - - - 1 0 27 1 0 27 #1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 641 EB 1 0 100 - - - 1 0 27 #2655 NAVAN / PAGÉ 641 EB 5 0 33 - - - 1 0 5 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 225 OB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 SB 0 0 12 - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 SB 0 0 12 - - 0 0 1 0 0 11 0 0 1 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 0 0 0 0 0 1			225	IB	5	0	14	-	-	-	5	0	13
#1983 NAVAN / PAGÉ 641 EB 1 0 10 <td></td> <td rowspan="3">NAVAN / PAGÉ</td> <td>644</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>27</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>27</td>		NAVAN / PAGÉ	644		-	-	-	1	0	27	1	0	27
#2655 612 EB 5 0 3 - - - 5 0 3 #2655 34 IB 5 0 3 2 0 3 17 2 3 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 25 OB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 #2657 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 614 WB - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 612 WB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 612 WB - - - 0 0 1 26 0 1 26 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 634 NB - -	#1983		641	EB	1	0	10	-	-	-	1	0	10
#2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 0 1 0 5 - - - 1 0 5 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 34 IB 5 0 3 2 0 3 17 2 3 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 612 WB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 612 WB 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 1 2 4 0B 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 1 26 634 NB - - - 0 1 26 641 EB<			612	EB	5	0	3	-	-	-	5	0	3
#2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 34 1B 5 0 3 2 0 31 17 2 33 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 634 SB 0 0 12 - - 0 0 11 0 0 12 #2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 641 WB - - - 0 0 9 0 0 64 622 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 43 OB 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 1 26 0 12 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 0 16 2 0 3 2 1 4 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN<			622	VVB	5	0	5	-	-	-	17	0	5
#2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 223 OB - - - - - - - - 0 0 10 10 0 11 10 0 11 10 0 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>34</td><td></td><td>5</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>3</td><td>17</td><td>2</td><td>3</td></th<>			34		5	0	3	2	0	3	17	2	3
#2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 641 WB - - - 0 0 9 0 0 64 642 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 34 OB 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 1 2 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 225 IB 3 0 16 - - - 3 0 16 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 225 IB 3 0 16 - - - 3 0 16 634 NB - - - 0 1 26 0 1 26 0 1 26 0 10 16 16 1 - 10 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>624</td><td>OB</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>11</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>10</td></td<>			624	OB	-	-	-	0	0	11	0	0	10
#2655 NAVAN / TOPSOIL 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 0 11 612 WB - - - 0 0 9 0 0 64 612 WB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 612 WB 0 0 2 0 0 4 0 0 4 622 EB 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 1 20 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 225 IB 3 0 16 - - - 3 0 16 634 NB - - - 0 1 26 0 10 10 641 EB 0 0 10 - - - 0 0 10 10 622 WB 0 1 4 2 0 3 13 0 4 12			641	SB M/D	0	0	12	-	-	-	0	0	12
#3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 612 WB - - - 0 0 9 0 0 0 4 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 225 IB 3 0 16 - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 612 WB - - - 0 0 1 26 0 1 26 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 641 EB 0 0 10 - - - 0 0 10 26 0 1 26 0 10 26 0 12 10 11 10 11 10 11 10 11 </td <td>#2655</td> <td>NAVAN / TOPSOIL</td> <td>610</td> <td>WD</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td>	#2655	NAVAN / TOPSOIL	610	WD	-	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	6
#3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 622 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 225 IB 3 0 16 - - - 3 0 16 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 634 NB - - 0 1 26 0 1 26 634 NB - - 0 1 26 0 10 26 0 10 26 0 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 12 14 12 14 12 14 12 12<			622		-	-	-	0	0	9	0	0	0
#3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 34 OB 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 1 2 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 225 IB 3 0 16 - - - 3 0 16 634 NB - - - 0 1 26 0 1 26 641 EB 0 0 10 - - 0 0 30 622 WB 0 0 6 - - - 0 0 34 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 225 OB - - - 0 3 13 0 4 12 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 4 12 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 622 EB - - -			24	OR	-	-	-	0	0	4	0	1	4
#3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 634 NB - - - 0 1 26 0 1 26 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 634 NB - - 0 1 26 0 1 26 641 EB 0 0 10 - - 0 0 10 612 EB 0 0 33 - - 0 0 33 622 WB 0 0 6 - - - 0 0 3 43307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 225 OB - - - 0 0 12 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 622 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4 <			225		3	0	16	0	0	2	3	0	16
#3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 604 NB - - - 0 0 10 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 641 EB 0 0 10 - - 0 0 10 641 EB 0 0 33 - - - 0 0 33 622 WB 0 0 6 - - - 0 0 6 34 IB 0 1 4 2 0 3 2 1 4 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 12 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 622 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4	#3617		634	NB	5	0	10	-	-	26	0	1	26
#3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 641 EB 0 0 3 - - 0 0 3 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 612 EB 0 0 3 - - 0 0 3 #3617 ORLÉANS / NAVAN 612 EB 0 0 3 - - 0 0 3 612 WB 0 0 6 - - - 0 0 6 34 IB 0 1 4 2 0 3 2 1 4 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 12 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 641 WB - - - 0 1 9 0 1 6 622 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 <td< td=""><td></td><td>6/1</td><td>FB</td><td>0</td><td>-</td><td>10</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>20</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>10</td></td<>			6/1	FB	0	-	10	0	1	20	0	0	10
#3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 60/2 WB 0 0 6 - - 0 0 6 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 225 OB - - 0 0 1 4 2 0 3 2 1 4 #3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 225 OB - - - 0 3 13 0 4 12 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 12 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 622 EB - - - 0 1 9 0 1 6		ORLÉANS / NAVAN	612	EB	0	0	3	_	_	_	0	0	3
#3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			622	W/B	0	0	6		-	_	0	0	6
#3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS OF ID OF ID OF ID			34	IB	0	1	4	2	-	3	2	1	4
#3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 634 SB 0 0 12 - - 0 0 12 634 SB 0 0 12 - - - 0 0 12 641 WB - - - 0 0 11 0 0 11 612 WB - - - 0 1 9 0 1 6 622 EB - - - 0 0 4 0 0 4	├		225	OB	-	-	+	0	3	13	0	4	12
#3307 ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS 607			634	SB	0	0	12	-	-	-	0	- 0	12
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			641	WB	-	0	12	0	-	11	0	0	11
622 EB 0 0 4 0 0 4	#3307	ORLÉANS / DES GRAND CHAMPS	612	W/R	-	-	-	0	1	Q	0	1	6
			622	FR	_	-	-	0	0	4	0	0	4
			34	OB	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2



Transit Ridership Data



7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service Service toute la journée







Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only Périodes de pointe seulement







Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only Périodes de pointe seulement



2019.07















www.jlrichards.ca

Ottawa

864 Lady Ellen Place Ottawa ON Canada K1Z 5M2 Tel: 613 728-3571

ottawa@jlrichards.ca

North Bay

501-555 Oak Street E North Bay ON Canada P1B 8L3 Tel: 705 495-7597

northbay@jlrichards.ca

Kingston

203-863 Princess Street Kingston ON Canada K7L 5N4 Tel: 613 544-1424

kingston@jlrichards.ca

Hawkesbury

326 Bertha Street Hawkesbury ON Canada K6A 2A8 Tel: 613 632-0287

hawkesbury@jlrichards.ca

Sudbury

314 Countryside Drive Sudbury ON Canada P3E 6G2 Tel: 705 522-8174

sudbury@jlrichards.ca

Guelph

107-450 Speedvale Ave. West Guelph ON Canada N1H 7Y6 Tel: 519 763-0713



guelph@jlrichards.ca

Timmins

834 Mountjoy Street S Timmins ON Canada P4N 7C5 Tel: 705 360-1899

timmins@jlrichards.ca