




GEMTEC

www.gemtec.ca

**Hydrogeological Investigation & Terrain
Analysis
Proposed Residential Subdivision
Cedar Lakes Subdivision, Phase 3 and 4
Greely, Ontario**



GEMTEC

www.gemtec.ca

Submitted to:

ARK Engineering and Development
2691 Old Highway 17
Rockland, Ontario
K4K 1W3

**Hydrogeological Investigation & Terrain
Analysis
Proposed Residential Subdivision
Cedar Lakes Subdivision, Phase 3 and 4
Greely, Ontario**

December 27, 2023

Project: 100554.003 – Rev 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | II |
| LIST OF FIGURES (FOLLOWING TEXT OF THE REPORT) | IV |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Objectives of Investigation | 1 |
| 2.0 REVIEW OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION..... | 2 |
| 2.1 Land Use and Land Cover | 2 |
| 2.1.1 Permit to Take Water Records..... | 2 |
| 2.2 Topography and Drainage | 2 |
| 2.3 Raisin-South Nation Source Protection | 3 |
| 2.4 Regional Surficial and Bedrock Geology | 3 |
| 2.5 Previous Investigations | 3 |
| 2.5.1 Paterson (2011a) Phase 1 Cedar Lakes | 3 |
| 2.5.2 Paterson (2011b) Phases 2 - 6 Cedar Lakes | 4 |
| 2.6 MECP Water Well Records..... | 5 |
| 2.6.1 Cedar Lakes Phases 1 and 2 Well Records (North) | 5 |
| 2.6.2 Well Records Within Vicinity of Site (East and West) | 5 |
| 3.0 TERRAIN EVALUATION..... | 6 |
| 3.1 Geotechnical Investigation – Paterson (2023)..... | 6 |
| 3.2 Hydrogeological Investigation - GEMTEC | 7 |
| 3.2.1 Field Procedure | 7 |
| 3.2.2 Soil Conditions..... | 7 |
| 3.2.3 Overburden Groundwater Conditions..... | 8 |
| 3.3 Stormwater Management Ponds (SWMP)..... | 8 |
| 4.0 GROUNDWATER SUPPLY | 9 |
| 4.1 Test Well Construction..... | 9 |
| 4.2 Off-Site Private Well Construction (Wells sampled)..... | 10 |
| 4.3 Pumping Test Field Procedure..... | 10 |
| 4.3.1 Water Level Measurements and Bedrock Groundwater Flow..... | 11 |
| 4.3.2 Flow Rate Measurements | 11 |
| 4.3.3 Groundwater Sampling | 11 |
| 4.4 Test Well Water Quality | 12 |
| 4.4.1 Bacteriological Parameters | 13 |
| 4.4.2 Other Health Related Parameters | 13 |
| 4.4.3 Operational Guideline Exceedances | 13 |
| 4.4.4 Aesthetic Objective Exceedances | 15 |
| 4.5 Offsite Water Quality Sampling Program..... | 16 |
| 4.5.1 Resident Interviews..... | 16 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.5.2 | Private Well Water Quality Results | 17 |
| 4.6 | Test Well Water Quantity | 18 |
| 4.6.1 | Pump Test Analysis Overview | 18 |
| 4.6.2 | Transmissivity and Storativity Analysis..... | 18 |
| 4.7 | Hydraulic Interference Effects | 21 |
| 4.7.1 | Bedrock Observation Wells..... | 21 |
| 5.0 | HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL | 21 |
| 5.1 | Hydrogeological Conceptual Model..... | 21 |
| 5.2 | Water Supply Aquifer(s)..... | 22 |
| 5.2.1 | Computer Model Simulations | 23 |
| 6.0 | IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 24 |
| 6.1 | Sewage Disposal Systems..... | 24 |
| 6.1.1 | Class IV Septic Sewage Disposal Systems..... | 24 |
| 6.2 | Groundwater Impacts..... | 25 |
| 6.2.1 | Step 1 of 3 - Lot Size Considerations..... | 25 |
| 6.2.2 | Step 2 of 3 – Isolation | 25 |
| 6.2.3 | Step 3 of 3 - Nitrate Dilution Calculations..... | 26 |
| 6.2.4 | Background Overburden Nitrate Concentrations..... | 28 |
| 7.0 | CONCLUSIONS..... | 29 |
| 8.0 | RECOMMENDATIONS | 31 |
| 8.1 | Well Construction Recommendations | 31 |
| 8.2 | Well Ownership Recommendations | 32 |
| 8.3 | Site Phasing and Performance Reviews | 33 |
| 8.4 | Septic System Construction Recommendations..... | 33 |
| 8.5 | Septic Ownership Recommendations | 33 |
| 9.0 | CLOSURE..... | 34 |
| | REFERENCES | 35 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1 – Summary of Land Use and Land Cover in Study Area..... | 2 |
| Table 2.2 – Summary of Water Well Records Search Results (500-m Radius) | 6 |
| Table 3.1 – Overburden Groundwater Depth and Elevation | 8 |
| Table 4.1 – Summary of Test Well Construction Details..... | 9 |
| Table 4.2 – Offsite Private Domestic Well Construction Details..... | 10 |
| Table 4.3 – Field Equipment Overview..... | 12 |
| Table 4.4 – Summary of Homeowner Interview..... | 17 |
| Table 4.5 – Summary of ODWQS Exceedances | 18 |
| Table 4.6 – Pumping Tests Details..... | 20 |
| Table 5.1 – Framework of Hydrogeological Conceptual Model..... | 22 |
| Table 6.1 – Nitrate Dilution Assumptions..... | 26 |
| Table 6.2 – Nitrate Dilution Calculations..... | 27 |
| Table 6.3 – Overburden Nitrate Sampling | 28 |

LIST OF FIGURES (FOLLOWING TEXT OF THE REPORT)

| |
|--|
| Figure 1: Detailed Site Plan |
| Figure 1A: Cross Section A-A' |
| Figure 1B: Cross Section B-B' |
| Figure 2: Topography and Drainage |
| Figure 3: OGS Surficial Geology Map |
| Figure 4: OGS Overburden Thickness Map |
| Figure 5: Well Interference Simulation |
| Figure 6: MECP Well Search |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|--|
| APPENDIX A | Storm Drainage and Macro Grading Plan |
| APPENDIX B | Background MECP Water Well Records |
| APPENDIX C | Borehole Logs |
| APPENDIX D | Water Quality Results and Lab Certificates |
| APPENDIX E | Nitrate Dilution Calculations |
| APPENDIX F | Pumping Test Graphs and Analysis |
| APPENDIX G | Long-Term Water Level Monitoring Graphs |
| APPENDIX H | Well Interference Simulation |
| APPENDIX I | LSI Calculations |
| APPENDIX J | Pre-Consultation Summary |

1.0 INTRODUCTION

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists (GEMTEC) was retained by ARK Engineering and Development to conduct a hydrogeological investigation and terrain analysis for a proposed 40-hectare residential subdivision (hereafter referred to as 'the Site') in Greely, Ontario. The location of the Site is shown in the attached Detailed Site Plan, Figure 1.

The Site is 41.1-hectares (411,360 m²) in size, and is located at 1600 Stagecoach Road, Geographic Township of Osgoode, in the City of Ottawa. The Site is bounded by residential properties utilizing private services to the north and west, Stagecoach Road to the east, and undeveloped woodlands to the south.

The proposed development at the Site will consist of 71 residential lots serviced with on-site septic disposal systems and water supply wells. The proposed lots will be accessed by an internal roadway system and will have a minimum lot size of 0.4 hectares. The proposed layout of the development is shown on the Detailed Site Plan, Figure 1. A copy of the proposed Storm Drainage and Macro Grading Plan Cedar Lakes – Phases 3 to 4 prepared by Ark Engineering and Development is provided in Appendix A.

1.1 Objectives of Investigation

The objectives of this investigation are as follows:

- To review available background information to assist in characterization of subsurface conditions in the vicinity of the site and develop a hydrogeological conceptual model.
- To identify and characterize the shallow subsurface conditions on the site as they relate to the suitability of on-site septic sewage disposal systems.
- To assess the potential for impact on the receiving aquifer(s) and any nearby surface water features from on-site septic disposal systems.
- To investigate the potential quantity and quality of groundwater available from drilled test wells on the site for potential domestic supply; and,
- To assess the long-term impacts on groundwater supply from existing developments on drilled water supply wells in the vicinity of the site.

A pre-consultation was held with the City of Ottawa reviewer Dillon Consulting on September 12, 2023. Key points regarding the hydrogeological investigation, terrain and septic impact assessment, and other discussion points were addressed during the pre-consult meeting. A detailed summary of the pre-consultation provided by Dillon Consulting has been included in Appendix J.

The investigation does not include a water balance assessment, which is being completed as part of the stormwater management investigations.

2.0 REVIEW OF BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Land Use and Land Cover

Site land cover is cleared land, unevaluated wetland and woodlands. Land uses within 500 metres of the Site include vacant undeveloped land, residential properties on private services, agricultural land, and a single commercial property which is located approximately 400 m from the site. Specific land use and land cover with respect to the site boundaries are documented in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1 – Summary of Land Use and Land Cover in Study Area

| Site Boundary | Existing Land Use and Land Cover |
|---------------|---|
| North | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Residential dwellings |
| East | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Residential dwellings• Pond |
| West | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Residential dwellings |
| South | <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Commercial property• Agricultural land• Woodlands |

Based on the present land uses potential impacts to groundwater quality from adjacent lands within 500 metres of the Site boundary are not anticipated.

2.1.1 Permit to Take Water Records

A review of the MECP's permit to take water records (<https://www.ontario.ca/page/map-permits-take-water>) indicates a large-scale water taking permit registered for the Site. PTTW number 7184-BZ5SAE is listed as dewatering construction with allowable surface and groundwater takings of up to 1,500,000 litres per day. Based on information received from Ark Engineering and Development, the PTTW is associated with construction of the stormwater management ponds for Cedar Lakes Phase 1 and 2, which have been constructed at the time of preparing this report.

2.2 Topography and Drainage

Surface elevation across the site slopes gently towards the south, with topography ranging from 101 metres above sea level (masl) to 99 masl level (Figure 2). The surficial drainage of the site is expected to follow topography and is anticipated to be towards the south (Figure 2).

2.3 Raisin-South Nation Source Protection

GEMTEC has reviewed the Raisin-South Nation Source Protection Plan (RSSPP, 2016). The relevant information is noted:

- The Site is located within an area of highly vulnerable aquifer (HVA) with a vulnerability score of 6 (range from 0 least to 10 most sensitive).
 - Most of the Ottawa Region's aquifer system is classified as highly vulnerable.
 - No policy restrictions for the proposed development were identified for HVA zones, based on the source protection plan.
- The Site is within an area of significant groundwater recharge.
- The Site is not within an intake protection zone or a well head protection zone.

2.4 Regional Surficial and Bedrock Geology

Surficial geology maps (Ontario Geologic Survey, 2010) indicate that the Site is underlain by organic rich soils (possibly consisting of peat, muck and marl, sandy silt to silty sand-textured glacial till and coarse textured glaciomarine deposits consisting of sand, gravel, minor silt, and clay. The OGS mapped distribution of these soil types is shown on Figure 3. Soil thickness / bedrock depth mapping (Ontario Geologic Survey, 2010) indicate 1 to 10 metres of soil thickness at the site (Figure 4).

Paleozoic bedrock geology maps (Armstrong and Dodge, 2007) indicate the bedrock underlying the soils consists of a dolostone unit of the Oxford Formation, which is part of the Beekmantown Group. The Oxford Formation is described as a dolostone with shale and sandstone interbeds that are up to 30 cm thick (Williams, 1991). The formation is characterized by light to medium brownish to greenish grey dolostone.

The Oxford Formation is underlain by the March Formation, an interbedded grey quartz sandstone, dolomitic quartz sandstone, and blue-grey sandy dolostone and dolostone. The unit represents a transition zone between the Oxford Formation dolostones above, and the Nepean Formation sandstone below. Dolostones of the March Formation are lithologically similar to the overlying Oxford Formation, making them difficult to distinguish using drill cuttings.

Available karst mapping (Brunton and Dodge, 2008), does not indicate any areas of any inferred or potential karstic features.

2.5 Previous Investigations

2.5.1 Paterson (2011a) Phase 1 Cedar Lakes

A previous hydrogeological investigation and terrain analysis was completed by Paterson Group Inc. (Paterson). The findings were provided in a report titled "Terrain Analysis and Hydrogeological Study, Proposed Residential Subdivision, Part of Lot 8, Concession 3,

Geographic Township of Osgoode, Ottawa (Greely), Ontario”, and dated March 16, 2011, in support of Phase 1 of the proposed residential subdivision on an 18.4-hectare parcel of land.

Field investigations were conducted from November 2009 to January 2011. These investigations consisted of excavation of 20 test pits, digging of 3 hand auger holes, installation of 7 monitoring wells, drilling of five test wells, background water quality sampling from neighbouring residential wells, test well groundwater pumping tests and water quality sampling; in-situ infiltration testing, soil sample collection and testing, review of available background documents, and data analysis.

Key project findings from Paterson (2011a) are summarized as follows:

- Phase 1 of Cedar Lakes is underlain by four distinct terrain units were established based on test pit investigation: clayey silty sand, medium sand with trace silt, gravelly sand, and glacial till, with varying degrees of permeability.
- Water quantity and quality of the Oxford and March Formations (considered to be a combined water supply aquifer) are suitable for domestic use, based on residential well and site test well testing.
 - Test wells were constructed with casing lengths ranging from approximately 8.5 to 18 meters and drilled to depths ranging from 18 to 79 meters.
 - The upper Oxford formation may be vulnerable to surface impacts based on elevated concentrations of nitrate/bacterial indicator species, observed during sampling of residential wells.
- No negative impacts to the bedrock aquifer were anticipated from the residential subdivision based on the septic impact assessment. It was determined that a protective bedrock aquitard overlays the water supply aquifer.
- Elevated concentrations of nitrates were noted in the overburden within the northeast section of Phase 1 - Cedar Lakes. The elevated nitrate levels were attributed to areas with relatively flat and slow-moving overburden groundwater with poor drainage. After restoring the drainage pattern within the local area, the overburden groundwater was resampled, and nitrate levels had decreased. The rapid decrease in nitrates were stated to be directly related to the improvement in drainage.
- Well interference between neighbouring wells were expected to be minimal, based on the anticipated water demand being within safe yields of the water supply aquifer.

2.5.2 Paterson (2011b) Phases 2 - 6 Cedar Lakes

A previous hydrogeological investigation and terrain analysis investigation was completed by Paterson. The findings were provided in a report titled “Terrain Analysis and Hydrogeological Study, Proposed Residential Subdivision, Part of Lot 8, Concession 3, Geographic Township of Osgoode, Ottawa (Greely), Ontario” and dated April 1, 2011, in support of Phases 1-6 of a proposed residential subdivision on a 59.04-hectare parcel of land (note Phases 3-6 are referred to as Phases 3-4 in the GEMTEC report). The previous investigations completed by Paterson pertaining to the Phase 1 of this development were also accounted for in the overall calculations of this investigation.

Field investigations were conducted from November 2009 to January 2011. These investigations consisted of the excavation of 28 test pits, digging of 3 hand auger holes, installation of 8 monitoring wells, drilling of five test wells, background water quality sampling from neighbouring residential wells, test well groundwater pumping tests and water quality sampling, in-situ infiltration testing, soil sample collection and testing, review of available background documents, and data analysis.

Key project findings from Paterson (2011b) are summarized as follows:

- Cedar Lakes Phases 2-6 are underlain by overburden more than 4 meters thick, generally consisting of silty clayey sand to glacial till deposits overlying bedrock.
- Water quantity and quality of the Oxford and March Formations (considered to be a combined water supply aquifer) underlying the site are suitable for domestic use, based on residential well and site test well testing.
 - Test wells were constructed with casing lengths ranging from approximately 8.5 to 18 meters and drilled to depths ranging from 18 to 79 meters.
- No negative impacts to the bedrock aquifer were anticipated from the residential subdivision based on the septic impact assessment. It was determined that a protective bedrock aquitard overlays the water supply aquifer.
- Well interference between neighbouring wells were expected to be minimal, based on the anticipated water demand being within safe yields of the water supply aquifer.

2.6 MECP Water Well Records

2.6.1 Cedar Lakes Phases 1 and 2 Well Records (North)

A search for the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Water Well Records for existing private wells located in Cedar Lakes Phase 1 and 2 Subdivision, north of the Site was completed.

The well construction details for the Cedar Lakes wells were reviewed and compared to the construction recommendations from the hydrogeological investigation report for the Phase 1 and 2 subdivision application (Paterson, 2011a; 2011b). A total of 52 well records were reviewed from the MECP online water well record database (Appendix B). Based on the well record search, 51 of the 52 available well records indicate casing lengths of at least 40 m, while 1 well record indicated a casing length of 37 m. The hydrogeological investigation report for Phase 1 and 2 (Paterson, 2011a; 2011b) indicates that wells should be constructed with minimum casing lengths of 12 metres below ground surface.

2.6.2 Well Records Within Vicinity of Site (East and West)

A search for the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Water Well Records for existing private wells was completed for private wells within 500 metres of the eastern and west site boundaries (refer to Figure 6).

A total of 38 well records were reviewed from the MECP online water well record mapping resource (Appendix B). Of the 38-drinking water well records reviewed, 21 were completed in limestone bedrock and 17 were completed in limestone and/or sandstone. Table 2.2 provides a summary of the well characteristics for the 38 water well records.

Table 2.2 – Summary of Water Well Records Search Results (500-m Radius)

| Parameter | 10 th Percentile | 90 th Percentile | Geometric Mean |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Casing Lengths (m) | 6.7 | 18.7 | 11.7 |
| Depth to Bedrock (m) | 4.8 | 17.3 | 10.6 |
| Total Well Depth (m) | 14.6 | 79.3 | 39.0 |
| Depth Water Found ¹ (ft) | 11.0 | 63.4 | 32.5 |
| Recommended Pump Rate (l/min) | 18.9 | 132.5 | 43.2 |

Notes:

1. Depth water found as reported on MECP water well records, representing water bearing fractures encountered at the time of drilling.

3.0 TERRAIN EVALUATION

3.1 Geotechnical Investigation – Paterson (2023)

The subsurface conditions at the Site were characterized as part of the geotechnical investigation completed by Paterson Group. The findings were provided in a report titled “Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development, Cedar Lake Subdivision - Part of Lot 8, Concession 3, Phase 3 & 4, Greely, Ontario” dated October 27, 2023.

The field investigation for the geotechnical investigation included the advancement of seven test pits (TP 1-23 to 7-23, inclusive). The Paterson (2023) report includes the results of previous site investigations completed as part of hydrogeological and geotechnical investigation for Cedar Lakes Phases 1 through 6. This includes 12 test pits (TP1 to TP12, inclusive) advanced in 2009; eight test pits (MW1 to MW8, inclusive) and four hand auger holes (AH1 to AH4) advanced in 2010, and 17 test pits (TP 13 to TP 29, inclusive) and two hand auger holes (AH5 and AH6) advanced in 2011. The locations of all the test holes referenced in (Paterson, 2023) are shown on Figure 1.

The subsurface conditions reported by Paterson (2023) for Cedar Lakes Phase 3 and 4 indicate that the site is generally underlain by native deposits of silty sand to sandy silt, overlying glacial till. Occasionally, a layer of clayey silt was identified between the silty sand and glacial till layers.

3.2 Hydrogeological Investigation - GEMTEC

3.2.1 Field Procedure

The field work for the terrain evaluation was conducted on September 21, 2023. On that date 3 boreholes (numbered 23-1, 23-2 and 23-3) were advanced on the site by Limitless Drilling and supervised by GEMTEC.

The boreholes were advanced to depths of about 5.5 to 5.9 metres below the existing ground surface. A licensed well technician (for Limitless Drilling) sealed well screens at all boreholes locations to allow for groundwater levels monitoring and facilitate groundwater quality sampling.

Descriptions of the subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes are provided on the borehole logs in Appendix C. The locations of the boreholes are shown on the Detailed Site Plan, Figure 1.

3.2.2 Soil Conditions

3.2.2.1 General

The following presents an overview of the subsurface conditions encountered in the boreholes advanced as part of the hydrogeological investigation. These findings are reasonably consistent with Paterson, (2023) and the conditions identified on the geological mapping, with the exception of mapped organic soils, which were not encountered.

3.2.2.2 Silty Sand to Sand

Native deposits of silty sand to sand with some silt, some to trace gravel was encountered below the topsoil in all test hole locations, were encountered at BH23-1 and 23-3. The silty sand to sand deposit extended to depths ranging from about 0 to 3.91 metres below ground surface.

3.2.2.3 Sandy Silt

A deposit of sandy silt was encountered between the silty sand layer in the BH23-3. The sandy silt layer has a thickness of about 1.53 metres and extends to about 2.9 metres below ground surface.

3.2.2.4 Clayey Silt

A native deposit of clayey silt was encountered below the sand layers in boreholes 23-1 and 23-2. The clayey silt layer has a thickness ranging from about 0.5 to 2.9 metres and extends to depths ranging from about 2.3 to 5.2 metres below ground surface.

3.2.2.5 Glacial Till

Glacial till was encountered in all of the boreholes. Glacial till is a heterogeneous mixture of all grain sizes, which at this site, can be described as silty sand to sandy silt, with trace to some gravel and trace silt. Cobbles and boulders are frequently encountered within glacial till. The

glacial till was not fully penetrated in all the test holes but was proven to at least a depth of about 5.9 metres below ground surface.

3.2.3 Overburden Groundwater Conditions

The groundwater level in the monitoring wells were measured between September and October 2023. The groundwater levels are summarized in Table 3.1.

The groundwater levels may be higher during wet periods of the year such as the early spring or following periods of precipitation. The measured groundwater levels indicate that the overburden groundwater flow is towards the east-southeast, generally consistent with topography which slopes to the southeast.

Table 3.1 – Overburden Groundwater Depth and Elevation

| Monitoring Well No. | Date of Reading | Groundwater Depth Below Ground Surface (metres) | Groundwater Elevation (metres, geodetic datum) |
|---------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| 23-1 | 21-09-2023 | 1.43 | 98.89 |
| | 19-10-2023 | 1.44 | 98.88 |
| 23-2 | 21-09-2023 | -0.3 ¹ | 102.28 |
| | 19-10-2023 | -0.3 | 102.28 |
| 23-3 | 21-09-2023 | 0.61 | 103.11 |
| | 19-10-2023 | 0.65 | 103.07 |

Note: 1. Artesian conditions

3.3 Stormwater Management Ponds (SWMP)

The specific design details regarding the construction of the proposed stormwater managements ponds (SWMPs) are not known at this time. It is the intention to retain stormwater on site, and the ponds are expected to be constructed in a manner typical of the many SWMPs already constructed and previously approved by both the City and MECP in the Greely area. The site is not considered to be hydrogeologically sensitive and it is not expected that the SWMPs will extend into bedrock. The designs will be required to meet the requirements of the Shields Creek Sub watershed study and treatment and volume detention criteria.

No negative impacts to the bedrock water supply aquifer are expected from SWMP constructed in accordance with MECP requirements. The SWMP is planned to be at least 500 metres from the nearest major roadway (Stagecoach Road). As such, there is minimal risk for contamination

from agricultural fertilizers (e.g., nitrates), road salts or other sources (e.g., commercial or industrial properties).

4.0 GROUNDWATER SUPPLY

A groundwater supply investigation was carried out in accordance with the MECP August 1996 document “Procedure D-5-5, Technical Guideline for Private Wells: Water Supply Assessment” to determine the quantity and quality of groundwater available for domestic water supply. The results of the groundwater supply investigation are summarized in the following sections.

4.1 Test Well Construction

The MECP Procedure D-5-5 document indicates that a minimum of five test wells are required for sites more than 25 hectares and up to 40 hectares in area. The total area of the proposed Cedar Lakes Phase 3 – 4 is 40 hectares. A total of five test wells (namely TW A, B, C, D, and E) were utilized to support the groundwater supply investigations.

TW A, B and C were drilled as part of previous investigations by others, refer to Paterson (2011b). TW A and TW C were lined during the current groundwater investigation by GEMTEC to extend the well casing to meet the recommended 40-metre casing length.

TW D and TW E were drilled by Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd. (Well Contractor License No. 1119) in October 2023. The locations of TW-D and TW-E were chosen to provide representative coverage of the site and with the intent for future use as water supply wells on individual lots (Figure 1). Copies of the MECP Water Well Records for these wells are provided in Appendix B.

The construction details of TW-A to TW-E inclusive, are summarized in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 – Summary of Test Well Construction Details

| Test Well ID | Depth to Bedrock (m BGS ¹) | Depth of Well Casing (m BGS) | Depth Water Found ² (m BGS) | Total Well Depth (m BGS) | Lithology Description (open interval) |
|----------------|--|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| TW A (A089354) | 11.58 | 41.1 ³ | 47.5, 52.4 | 54.9 | Grey and white sandstone |
| TW B (A209552) | 14.48 | 41.1 | 59.7 | 60.6 | Grey limestone |
| TW C (A093609) | 10.67 | 41.1 ³ | 49.4, 52.1 | 54.9 | Grey and brown limestone |

| Test Well ID | Depth to Bedrock (m BGS ¹) | Depth of Well Casing (m BGS) | Depth Water Found ² (m BGS) | Total Well Depth (m BGS) | Lithology Description (open interval) |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| TW D (A378947) | 6.10 | 39.9 | 56.7, 59.1 | 61.0 | Grey and black limestone with layers of grey sandstone |
| TW E (A378948) | 11.58 | 41.1 | 56.1, 59.1 | 61.0 | Grey and black limestone |

Notes:

1. m BGS - Metres Below Ground Surface

2. Depth water found as reported by well driller on the MECP water well record.

3. Test well lined with 4" casing.

4.2 Off-Site Private Well Construction (Wells sampled)

The well construction details of the private wells sampled as part of the hydrogeological investigation are summarized in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 – Offsite Private Domestic Well Construction Details

| Well ID | Well Tag # | Depth to Bedrock (m) | Depth of Well Casing (m) | Depth of Water Found (m) | Total Well Depth (m) | Lithology Description (open interval) |
|---------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| PW-1794 | A135456 | 5.2 | 39.9 | 64.0 | 67.1 | Sandstone |
| PW-1826 | A305055 | 4.9 | 39.9 | 52.1, 71.3 | 73.2 | Sandstone |
| PW-1850 | A144728 | 7.9 | 39.9 | 57.3, 77.7, 89.3 | 91.4 | Sandstone |
| PW-1858 | A144727 | 8.8 | 39.9 | 54.9, 75.6, 89.6 | 91.4 | Sandstone |
| PW-1922 | A135456 | 8.8 | 39.9 | 55.2, 77.4 | 85.3 | Sandstone |
| PW-6342 | A014478 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 15.2, 21.0, 22.2 | 24.4 | Limestone |

4.3 Pumping Test Field Procedure

The pumping tests for the onsite test wells were conducted between October 25 and November 7, 2023. In each test well a six-hour duration constant discharge rate pumping test was conducted. The pump discharge was directed to the ground surface at a distance of at least 10

metres from the test wells and in a manner such that the flow of water on the ground surface was directed away from the test wells.

4.3.1 Water Level Measurements and Bedrock Groundwater Flow

During the pumping tests water level measurements were taken at regular intervals in the well being pumped using an electric water level tape and on a continuous basis using electronic data loggers. After the pump was shut off, water level data was collected to ensure a minimum of 95 percent of the drawdown in water level had recovered in the test wells. The water level measurements for the drawdown and recovery data for the pumping tests are provided in Appendix F.

Water level measurements were also taken from other onsite test wells and monitoring wells (observation wells) prior to, during and after the pumping of each of the test wells to determine potential interference effects, water level fluctuations and influence from precipitation. Continuous water level measurements were recorded at 10-minute intervals in all observation wells from October 17, 2023 to November 22, 2023. Water level measurements taken in the observation wells are provided in Appendix G.

Minimal daily water level fluctuations of less than 0.3 metres were observed in all five test wells. Precipitation data from a nearby weather station (Ottawa Int. Airport, approximately 15 km from site) was compared to the test well water levels during the monitoring period. The major rainfall events did not appear to have direct impacts on the test well water levels (Appendix G). A gradual increase in water levels, up to approximately 0.5 metres was observed in all test wells during the four-week water level monitoring period.

4.3.2 Flow Rate Measurements

The wells were pumped using an electric submersible pump and portable generator supplied by Air Rock Drilling Ltd. The flow rate of the pump discharge hose was constantly monitored using a timed-volume method. Multiple flow measurements were taken within the first hour of the pumping test and then at 60-minute intervals throughout the remainder of the pumping test to ensure that the discharge rate maintained a constant flow rate (i.e., within 5%). The test wells were pumped at a rate of approximately 58 litres per minute, which is three times greater than that required to support a 4-bedroom dwelling with flows of 18.8 litres per minute.

4.3.3 Groundwater Sampling

Total chlorine tests were conducted in the field to ensure that chlorine levels were at non-detectable concentrations prior to bacteriological testing. The temperature, conductivity, total dissolved solids, pH, turbidity, colour, and total chlorine levels of the groundwater were measured at periodic intervals during the pumping tests and are summarized in Appendix D. The field equipment used during the pumping test is calibrated before use and the details of field equipment are provided in Table 4.3.

Table 4.3 – Field Equipment Overview

| Field Parameters | Manufacturer | Model No. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Total and Free Chlorine | Hach | DR 900 |
| pH, temperature, Conductivity | Hanna / Horiba ¹ | HI 98129 / Horiba U-52 ¹ |
| Turbidity | Hanna | HI 98703 |
| Colour | Hach | DR 900 |

Notes: 1. Rental equipment from Maxim Environmental and Safety Inc.

The groundwater samples were collected after three and six hours of pumping in laboratory supplied bottles and prepared/preserved in the field in accordance with the industry standard sampling, handling and preservation procedures required by the laboratory. The groundwater samples were subsequently submitted to Paracel laboratories in Ottawa, Ontario for analysis of ‘subdivision package’ and ‘trace metals’ parameters, as outlined in the City of Ottawa Hydrogeological Guidelines dated March 2021. No other parameters of concern, e.g. volatile organic compounds, were identified based on a review of surrounding land use.

The pre-consultation notes (Appendix J) indicate that radon has been an identified issue in the area and testing of radon is recommended. A technical discussion to discuss radon testing was held on September 20, 2023 between GEMTEC (Andrius Paznekas, M.Sc., P.Geo) and City of Ottawa (Tessa Di Iorio, M.Sc., P.Geo.). It is understood that radon testing has been completed by the Ontario Geologic Survey (OGS) and includes 15 samples in the Greely area. The data collected by OGS is not yet publicly available. There are no Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards or Canadian Guideline limits for radon in groundwater. In Nova Scotia, where radon is more prevalent, Nova Scotia’s Environment and Climate change indicates that *“the amount of radon that goes into the air when you use water is so small that it is generally not thought to cause for worry. It usually makes up only 1 to 2% of radon that can collect in indoor air”* (Government of Nova Scotia, N.D). It is understood that one property located south of the site and outside of Greely is utilizing a radon system; however, the source of radon is unknown. Given the available information, radon in groundwater is not considered to be a parameter of concern that would require testing as part of the Site investigations.

4.4 Test Well Water Quality

A summary of the results from the chemical, physical and bacteriological analyses performed on the water samples obtained from the five test wells and the laboratory results from Paracel are summarized in Appendix D.

4.4.1 Bacteriological Parameters

Total and free chlorine measurements confirmed that total and free chlorine concentrations in the well water was non-detectable (<0.02 mg/L) at the time of bacteriological sampling during the pumping tests (refer to Appendix D).

Based on water samples collected from the on-site test wells, total coliform counts exceeded the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards (ODWQS) maximum acceptable concentration of 0 CFU/100mL in three of the five on-site test wells (TW B, TW C and TW E). Low levels of total coliform were detected in the initial 3-hr samples from TW B, with reported total coliform counts of 1 CFU/100mL, but the 6-hr samples indicated non-detectable total coliform. The total coliform levels detected in the initial 3-hr samples were 14 and 3 CFU/100mL, while the 6-hr samples had concentrations of 8 and 10 CFU/100mL, at TW C and TW E, respectively.

Bacteria indicator species such as e. coli and fecal coliform were not detected in any of the water samples.

In GEMTEC's professional opinion the detectable total coliform at TW C and TW E is likely attributable to insufficient well chlorination. Follow up water quality sampling is recommended to confirm acceptable bacteriological concentrations.

4.4.2 Other Health Related Parameters

With the exception of total coliforms noted above, no maximum acceptable concentration limits of the ODWQS were exceeded in the three and six-hour water samples collected from the onsite test wells.

4.4.3 Operational Guideline Exceedances

Operational related exceedances of the ODWQS were noted for hardness (all test well samples), aluminum (TW A), organic nitrogen (TW B), and are discussed in the following section:

Hardness

The concentration of hardness in water samples obtained from all five test wells ranged from 300 to 469 mg/L, which exceeds the operational guideline of 80 to 100 mg/L of CaCO₃ as specified in the ODWQS.

Water having a hardness level above 80 to 100 mg/L as CaCO₃ is often softened for domestic use. The MECP Procedure D-5-5 document states that water having a hardness value more than 300 mg/L is considered "very hard". The Ontario Ministry of the Environment publication entitled "Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines", states that water with hardness in excess of 500 mg/L is considered to be unacceptable for most domestic purposes. There is no upper treatable limit for hardness specified in MECP Procedure D-5-5.

The concentrations of hardness in all the test wells are below the threshold of 500 mg/L as CaCO₃ as specified in the Technical Support Document for the ODWQS. The concentration of hardness observed in the test wells is reasonably treatable using a conventional water softener. Based on our experience, most water supply wells within rural eastern Ontario are equipped with water softeners.

Water softening by conventional sodium ion exchange may introduce relatively high concentrations of sodium into the drinking water that may be of concern to persons on a sodium restricted diet. The use of potassium chloride in the water softener (which adds potassium to the water instead of sodium) could be considered as a means of keeping sodium concentrations in the water at background levels. Consideration could also be given to providing a bypass of the water softener for drinking water purposes (for example, a bypass of the softener to the cold-water kitchen tap).

Organic Nitrogen

The organic nitrogen concentration (calculated as total kjeldahl nitrogen – ammonia) slightly exceeded the operational guideline of 0.15 mg/L for ODWQS in the 3-hr and 6-hr samples from test well TW B with concentrations of 0.2 mg/L.

The ODWQS indicates that levels of organic nitrogen more than 0.15 mg/L may be caused by septic tank or sewage effluent contamination and is typically associated with dissolved organic carbon (DOC) contribution, which was reported to be 1.4 mg/L in the 3-hr and 6-hr samples.

Organic nitrogen can react with chlorine and severely reduce its disinfectant power; in addition, taste and odour problems may also occur. It is not expected that ongoing chlorination will be utilized by homeowners in the residential subdivision and, as such, no concerns with the operational objective exceedance for organic nitrogen were identified.

Aluminum

Total aluminum concentrations of 0.135 mg/L identified in the 6-hr samples for TW A slightly exceeds the ODWQS operational guideline of 0.1 mg/L. Aluminum in untreated water is found in the form of fine particles of alumino-silicate clay, which can be effectively removed in coagulation/filtration. The aluminum concentrations are below the maximum acceptable concentration of 2.9 mg/L (Health Canada, 2021). The total aluminum exceedances are attributed to the turbidity levels, which was 2.3 mg/L at the time of sampling. This is supported by the dissolved aluminum concentration of 0.019 mg/L which was field filtered through 0.45 micron filter.

4.4.4 Aesthetic Objective Exceedances

Aesthetic objective exceedances of the ODWQS included total dissolved solids in TW B and TW D, iron in TW D and TW E, and turbidity in TW E. These exceedances are discussed in the following sections:

Iron

The iron concentrations from all on-site test wells ranged from 0.1 to 0.4 mg/L. The 3-hr samples obtained from TW D, and both the 3-hr and 6-hr samples obtained from TW E exceed the ODWQS aesthetic objective for iron of 0.3 mg/L, with reported iron concentrations of 0.4 mg/L.

Elevated levels of iron may cause staining to plumbing fixtures and laundry. However, the iron level is within the maximum reasonably treatable limits of 5.0 mg/L provided in Table 3 of the Appendix in the MECP Guideline D-5-5.

Turbidity

Turbidity levels at TW E slightly exceed the ODWQS aesthetic objective of 5 NTU, with concentrations 5.2 and 5.5 NTU for the 3-hr and 6-hr samples, respectively. It is noted that the 6-hr field measurement for turbidity indicated a concentration of 4.28 NTU, which is within the aesthetic objective.

Discrepancies between lab and field measurements of turbidity can be caused by the change of conditions the water is subjected to during the period between the time of sampling and time of analysis (i.e., change in temperature, oxidation). Precipitation of substances such as iron and manganese can occur, leading to an increase in turbidity. As such, field measured turbidity is considered more representative of in-situ water conditions, which was measured to be 4.28 NTU, satisfying the ODWQS aesthetic objective of 5 NTU.

Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

TDS levels in samples obtained from TW B and TW D exceed the ODWQS aesthetic objective of 500 mg/L, with concentrations of 916 mg/L and 900 mg/L at TW B, and 562 mg/L and 520 mg/L at TW D, at the 3-hr and 6-hr, respectively. Elevated levels of TDS can lead to problems associated with encrustation and corrosion.

To determine the corrosive nature of the groundwater, the Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) was calculated for the samples obtained from the test wells. These values are based on the laboratory measured TDS, pH, alkalinity, and calcium following 6-hours of pumping. The LSI was calculated for TW B and TW D to be 0.25 and 0.10 respectively, using an estimated groundwater temperature of 10°C (refer to Appendix I). The test wells have LSI values between 0.0 and 0.5, which indicates the groundwater is slightly scale forming and corrosive.

As per the “Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines”, TDS levels in excess of 500 mg/L may result in excessive hardness, taste, mineral deposition or corrosion. According to the “Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality: Guideline Technical Document – Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)”, published by Health Canada (1991), TDS levels between 600 and 900 mg/L are considered to be ‘fair’. At levels above 1,200 mg/L, the palatability of drinking water is ‘unacceptable’. The palatability of the drinking water is expected to be acceptable, although some taste problems may occur as the palatability is classified as ‘fair’.

4.5 Offsite Water Quality Sampling Program

To characterize the background water quality homeowner water quality sampling in the vicinity of the Site was completed. A total of seven private wells were sampled, five of which are located within Cedar Lakes Phases 1 and 2. The remaining two samples were chosen based on their shallower depths and shorter casing lengths to help characterize bedrock aquifer susceptibility to surface contamination. Refer to Figure 1 for locations of the samples private wells.

4.5.1 Resident Interviews

The participants of the water quality sampling program conducted on November 8, 2023, within Cedar Lakes 1 and 2 were respondents of a general email sent out to homeowners via the Cedar Lakes Homeowners Association. This method gave all homeowners within the subdivision the opportunity to participate in the sampling program. The email yielded five participants.

Further off-site sampling was performed for homes within the adjacent subdivision west of the site. Following a review of available MECP well records, a door-to-door survey was conducted on November 28, 2023. Two further homeowners agreed to participate in the sampling program, giving a total of seven participants.

A summary of the interviews with the residents is provided in the Table 4.4. Homeowners were requested to rate water quality on a scale of 1 (poor), 2 (fair), 3 (good), 4 (very good), and 5 (excellent).

The private wells owners surveyed had variable water quality ratings, from poor to excellent. Specific water quality comments were for sulfur odours, high iron and colour. All private well owners reported the use of conventional water softeners, UV filters (2 of 7), iron filtration (2 of 7) and reverse osmosis (3 of 7). No groundwater quantity issues were reported.

Table 4.4 – Summary of Homeowner Interview

| Test Well ID | Homeowner Water Quality Rating ¹ | Water Quantity Comments | Water Quality / Septic Comments |
|--------------|---|---|---|
| PW-1922 | Excellent | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No reported groundwater quality issues. • UV, Water softener and reverse osmosis (RO) (at sink taps) systems in place. |
| PW-1826 | Good | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occasional sulfur smell. • Water softener system in place. |
| PW-1858 | Fair | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High iron and sulfur • UV, Water softener, iron filter and reverse osmosis (at kitchen tap) systems in place. |
| PW-1850 | Poor | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Respondent noted no groundwater quality issues. • Water softener and iron filtration systems in place. |
| PW-1794 | Poor | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High iron, hardness, and colour. • Reverse osmosis treatment system in place. |
| PW-6342 | Fair | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High iron and sulfur • Water softener system in place. |
| PW-6266 | Good | No reported groundwater quantity issues | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High iron, and presence of sulfur • Water softener system in place. |

4.5.2 Private Well Water Quality Results

The seven private well water quality results are provided in Appendix D and the ODWQS exceedances are summarized in Table 4.5.

The groundwater encountered in the on-site test wells is similar to the water quality in off-site test wells and private domestic wells, with operational guideline exceedances of hardness and organic nitrogen and aesthetic objective exceedances of iron and total dissolved solids. With the exception of one test well (TW B) which reported a nitrate concentration of 1.6 mg/L, all other wells sampled reported non-detectable (<0.1 mg/L) nitrate concentrations.

Table 4.5 – Summary of ODWQS Exceedances

| ODWQS Exceedance Type | Parameter | Cedar Lakes Phase 1-2 | Subdivision West of Site |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Health-Related | Total Coliform | - | - |
| Aesthetic | Iron, total dissolved solids | Iron, total dissolved solids | Colour, iron, total dissolved solids |
| Operation Guideline | Hardness, organic nitrogen, aluminum | Hardness | Hardness, organic nitrogen |

4.6 Test Well Water Quantity

4.6.1 Pump Test Analysis Overview

As per MECP Procedure D-5-5, each test well was pumped at a flow rate greater than 18.9 litres per minute for 6 hours.

The maximum drawdown observed at the end of pumping was 5.4 metres in test well TW E which is equivalent to approximately 9.7 percent of the available drawdown in the test well. The drawdown utilized in the remaining test wells ranged from 0.5 to 8.5 percent. All wells recovered within 24 hours following pump turn off time.

Based on these results, all the on-site test wells are capable of supplying water at a rate significantly greater than 18.9 litres per minute for a period greater than six hours. This is considered more than sufficient for typical domestic use.

4.6.2 Transmissivity and Storativity Analysis

The transmissivity and storativity of the water supply aquifer were estimated from the pump test drawdown data using Aqtesolv version 4.5, a commercially available software program from HydroSOLVE Inc. Analysis of the pumping test data was carried out using the Cooper-Jacob and Theis recovery methods. The results of the Aqtesolv 4.5 analysis are provided in Appendix F.

4.6.2.1 Pumping Test TW A

Test well TW A was pumped at a constant rate of 57 L/min for 380 minutes. The initial drawdown in the pumped well was approximately 1.2 m within 10 seconds of pumping. It gradually increased to a maximum drawdown of 2.3 m after 380 minutes. The water level in the test well recovered 96 percent approximately 12 minutes after the pump was shut off.

Aquifer parameters were evaluated using drawdown and recovery data from the pumping well. The specific capacity of the well at the time of maximum drawdown was 24.8 L/min/m. An aquifer transmissivity of 86 and 85 m²/day was estimated using the Cooper-Jacob method (drawdown) and Theis method (recovery), respectively.

4.6.2.2 Pumping Test TW B

Test well TW B was pumped at a constant rate of 57 L/min for 380 minutes. The initial drawdown in the pumped well was approximately 0.2 m within 20 seconds of pumping. It gradually increased to a maximum drawdown of 0.3 m after 380 minutes. The water level in the test well recovered 96 percent approximately 16 minutes after the pump was shut off.

Aquifer parameters were evaluated using drawdown data from the pumping well. The specific capacity of the well at the time of maximum drawdown was 190 L/min/m. Aquifer transmissivities of 158 m²/day and 126 m²/day were estimated using the Cooper-Jacob method (drawdown) and Theis method (recovery), respectively.

4.6.2.3 Pumping Test TW C

Test well TW C was pumped at a constant rate of 57 L/min for 381 minutes. The initial drawdown in the pumped well was approximately 1.6 m within 20 seconds of pumping. It gradually increased to a maximum drawdown of 3.1 m after 380 minutes. The water level in the test well recovered 95 percent approximately 24 minutes after the pump was shut off.

Aquifer parameters were evaluated using drawdown data from the pumping well. The specific capacity of the well at the time of maximum drawdown was 18.4 L/min/m. An aquifer transmissivity of 26 m²/day was estimated using both the Cooper-Jacob method (drawdown) and Theis method (recovery), respectively.

4.6.2.4 Pumping Test TW D

Test well TW D was pumped at a constant rate of 57 L/min for 374 minutes. The initial drawdown in the pumped well was approximately 0.9 m within 20 seconds of pumping. It gradually increased to a maximum drawdown of 4.8 m after 374 minutes. The water level in the test well recovered 97 percent approximately 10 minutes after the pump was shut off.

Aquifer parameters were evaluated using drawdown data from the pumping well. The specific capacity of the well at the time of maximum drawdown was 10.6 L/min/m. Aquifer transmissivities

of 41 m²/day and 70 m²/day was estimated using both the Papadopulos-Cooper method (drawdown) and Theis method (recovery), respectively. The Papadopulos-Copper method was select as it incorporates wellbore storage which provided a better estimate of transmissivity.

4.6.2.5 Pumping Test TW E

Test well TW E was pumped at a constant rate of 57 L/min for 360 minutes. The initial drawdown in the pumped well was approximately 0.9 m within 20 seconds of pumping. It gradually increased to a maximum drawdown of 5.4 m after 360 minutes. The water level in the test well recovered 98 percent approximately within 20 hours of pump shut off.

Aquifer parameters were evaluated using drawdown data from the pumping well. The specific capacity of the well at the time of maximum drawdown was 11.9 L/min/m. Aquifer transmissivities of 13 m²/day and 15 m²/day were estimated using the Cooper-Jacob method (drawdown) and Theis method (recovery), respectively.

The drawdown and recovery water level data from the five pumping tests conducted on the onsite test wells TW A to TW E, inclusive, are provided in Appendix F. The details of the pumping tests carried out on the test wells are provided in Table 4.6.

Table 4.6 – Pumping Tests Details

| Parameter | TW A | TW B | TW C | TW D | TW E |
|---|------|------|------|------|------|
| Pumping Duration (minutes) | 380 | 380 | 381 | 374 | 360 |
| Flow Rate (litres per minute) | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| Static Water Level (m BGS) | 5.4 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 4.3 | 5.3 |
| Well Depth (m BGS) | 54.9 | 60.6 | 54.9 | 61.0 | 61.0 |
| Available Drawdown (m) | 49.5 | 53.6 | 45.7 | 56.7 | 55.8 |
| Water Level at End of Pumping (m BGS) | 7.7 | 7.3 | 12.3 | 9.1 | 10.7 |
| Observed Drawdown at End of Pumping (m) | 2.3 | 0.3 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| Percent Drawdown Utilized (%) | 4.6 | 0.5 | 6.8 | 8.5 | 9.7 |

| Parameter | TW A | TW B | TW C | TW D | TW E |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Recovery hours / % recovered | 0.2 / 96% | 0.3 / 96% | 0.4 / 95% | 0.2 / 97% | 20 / 98% |
| Specific Capacity (L/min/m) | 24.8 | 190 | 18.4 | 11.9 | 10.6 |
| Estimated Transmissivity (m ² /day) | 85 | 126 | 26 | 70 | 15 |

4.7 Hydraulic Interference Effects

During the pumping of the onsite test wells, water level measurements were recorded at the remaining four bedrock wells using electric data loggers, recording every 10 minutes. The water level measurements in the observation wells are reported in Appendix G and discussed below.

4.7.1 Bedrock Observation Wells

During the pumping tests for test wells TW A to TW E water levels were measured in bedrock observation wells. The maximum observed water level decrease in bedrock observations wells was 0.15 metres and was observed at TW A during the pumping of TW B. A similar drawdown of 0.12 m was experienced at TW B during pumping of TW A, 0.14 m at TW E during pumping of TW C, 0.12 m and 0.11 m at TW C during pumping of TW D and TW E, respectively. All other wells displayed drawdowns of less than 0.1 m at any given pumping time.

Based on the test well pumping rates (57 litres per minute), which are greater than typical domestic use, little to no hydraulic interference effects are anticipated at the site. This is supported by long-term water level monitoring of the test wells between October 19 and November 17, 2023. The test wells located on proposed lots adjacent to the existing residential development (Figure 2) did not display any significant (less than 0.5 metres) daily water level fluctuations over the 30-day monitoring period.

5.0 HYDROGEOLOGICAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL

5.1 Hydrogeological Conceptual Model

The framework for the hydrogeological conceptual model for the site is summarized in Table 5.1. The table shows the hydrogeological model based on thickness of overburden and bedrock layer identified on utilized private wells and on-site test well records. Ground surface elevations for each of the test wells were measured by GEMTEC staff using a Trimble R10 global positioning system, while ground surface elevations for the private wells were estimated from Google Earth.

The hydrogeological model was developed based on well record information for private and test wells, previous site investigations (Paterson, 2011a, 2011b, 2023), GEMTEC monitoring well and test well drilling, and OGS surficial and bedrock geological mapping.

An east-west hydrogeological cross-section (Figure 1A) across the site was prepared based information from onsite test wells, while a north-south cross section (Figure 1B) was prepared from private wells within approximately 100m (Figure 1). The boundaries between zones indicated on the cross-section have been interpreted based on available information as have conditions between the investigation points and are illustrative only. The actual conditions may differ somewhat from that indicated. The elevations are referenced to geodetic datum.

Table 5.1 – Framework of Hydrogeological Conceptual Model

| Stratigraphic Unit | Generalized Composition ¹ | Thickness (m) |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Overburden | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Topsoil. • Clayey Silt and Sand • Glacial Till | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6.1 to 14.5 metres |
| Bedrock | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dolostone and Sandstone (Lower March Formation) • Sandstone | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30 to 55 metres • 11 to > 50 metres |

Notes:

1. Dolostones may be misidentified as limestone on well record due to similarities.

The test well bedrock elevation ranges from about 89.1 to 94.4 metres Above Mean Sea Level (AMSL) and the ground elevation at test well locations range from 99.7 to 104.6 metres AMSL. The water found elevation ranges from 42.8 to 55.21 and the elevation of bottom of wells ranges from 38.8 to 49.7 metres. The cross-section, based on the onsite test well water well records, indicates that the total thickness of the overburden ranges from approximately 6.1 to 14.5 metres.

5.2 Water Supply Aquifer(s)

The test wells are completed in limestone and/or sandstone of the lower Oxford, March and/or Nepean Formations. The water well records do not provide sufficient geologic descriptions to delineate between aquifer units.

A preliminary assessment of the test well and private well water quality data indicates significant variability in chloride concentrations, ranging from 61 to 246 mg/L. In GEMTEC’s professional opinion, the large range of chloride concentrations may highlight the variability within the water supply aquifer, differences between aquifer units, or impacts from surface sources (e.g., road salts, softener discharge, septic systems, etc.).

5.2.1 Computer Model Simulations

A well interference simulation was developed using Aqtesolv Version 4.5. The well simulation output is provided in Appendix H for discussion purposes. Storativity estimates were not calculated from the pumping test data due to minimal water level drawdowns in the observation wells. Literature values of storativity for confined aquifers typically range from 5×10^{-5} to 5×10^{-3} (Todd, 1980).

5.2.1.1 Scenario 1

Scenario 1 is provided to illustrate the maximum drawdown using the geometric mean aquifer parameters identified in Table 4.6. The following parameter values were utilized in the model:

- Number of pumping wells = 71 wells (well locations approximated by taking the central point on each proposed land parcel).
- Individual well pumping rate = 18.75 litres per minute (minimum peak flow estimate as per MECP Procedure D-5-5).
- Duration of pumping = 120 minutes.
- Analysis model = Theis
- Aquifer thickness = 55 m (minimum aquifer thickness; refer to Table 4.6).
- Aquifer transmissivity, Theis = $49 \text{ m}^2/\text{day}$ (geometric mean; refer to Table 4.6).
- Storativity coefficient = 5×10^{-5} (conservative estimate based of storativity based on literature values; Todd, 1980).
- Available drawdown = 52 m (geometric mean; refer to Table 4.6).

The results of Scenario 1 simulation indicate that the maximum drawdown within the Site is approximately 6 metres representing 10% of available drawdown in on-site wells, and is localized to the pumping wells. To note, the long-term water level monitoring of on-site test wells located adjacent to Cedar Lakes Phases 1 and 2 had daily water level fluctuations less than 0.3 metres and therefore, Scenario 1 is considered to be conservative.

Interference between on-site test wells and private wells in Cedar Lakes Phases 1-2 are not anticipated given the wells are constructed with minimum casing depths of 40 metres and the calculated drawdown represents less than 10% of available drawdown.

Private wells located west of the site are generally shallower, ranging from approximately 14 to 85 metres (10th and 90th percentile) with average well depths of 37 metres. The closest private wells located west of the Site would experience water level drawdown of less than 1.8 metres, assuming the water supply wells are completed in the same aquifer. Given the proposed water supply wells will be cased to 40 metres below ground surface and completed in the March and/or Nepean Formation, shallower wells with smaller available drawdown and completed in the Oxford and/or upper March Formations, would experience less drawdown.

Based on the results of the well interference simulation and on-site water level monitoring, future interference between drinking water wells is estimated to be minimal.

6.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The impact on groundwater and surface water resources due to wastewater treatment and disposal by individual onsite sewage disposal systems on the site are assessed in the following sections.

6.1 Sewage Disposal Systems

This section discusses the results of the terrain evaluation as they relate to the feasibility of installing sewage disposal systems on the site for wastewater treatment and disposal.

It should be noted that the following information is provided for general guidance purposes only and that all septic systems installed on the site should be designed on a lot-by-lot basis using a lot specific investigation involving test holes to determine the actual subsurface conditions at the location of the proposed septic system. In all cases, the septic system design must conform to the Ontario Building Code (OBC) requirements.

6.1.1 Class IV Septic Sewage Disposal Systems

This section discusses the results of the terrain evaluation as they relate to the feasibility of installing Class IV septic sewage disposal systems on the site.

The septic system envelope area (septic envelope) represents the area on a lot set aside for the construction of the leaching bed and is for the leaching bed only. It does not include that area required for the septic tank or the isolation/separation distances required by the Ontario Building Code (OBC). The size of the septic system envelope is a function of the percolation rate of the native soil in the vicinity of the septic envelope (or the fill used for the construction of a septic bed) and the daily effluent loading to the septic bed.

The maximum expected septic system envelope required to service a single-family dwelling at this site is calculated to be 750 m², assuming a conservative design flow of 3,000 litres/day and a loading rate of 4 L/m²/day (high water table).

Typical septic envelope dimensions would be 30 metres in length by 25 metres width. A 750 m² septic envelope corresponds to 19% area cover based on a 4,000 m² (0.4 hectare) lot. The septic system envelope should be readily accommodated on the lot sizes that are proposed. Prior to establishing the actual septic envelope (leaching bed) location on any particular lot, test holes should be excavated to determine the actual subsurface conditions in the area of the proposed leaching bed.

For comparison, Cedar Lakes Phases 1 and 2 has a total of 61 developed lots which have a minimum lot area of 2,000 m² (0.2 hectares) and can accommodate well and septic systems.

The septic leaching bed design must ensure that the bottom of the absorption trenches is at least 0.9 metres above low permeability soils (such as silty clay), bedrock, and the seasonally high groundwater table. Based on the groundwater levels measured in test pits and boreholes, it is expected that most of the septic leaching beds at this site will be partially or fully raised.

6.2 Groundwater Impacts

The potential risk to groundwater resources on and off the subject site was assessed in accordance with Ministry of Environment Procedure D-5-4: Technical Guideline for Individual On-Site Sewage Systems: Water Quality Impact Risk Assessment. To evaluate the groundwater impacts, the Three-Step Assessment Process outlining in MECP D-5-4 was followed. These are described below.

6.2.1 Step 1 of 3 - Lot Size Considerations

Lot sizes of 1.0 hectares or larger are assumed to be sufficient for attenuative processes to reduce nitrate-nitrogen to acceptable concentrations in groundwater below adjacent properties.

The proposed lot sizes of 0.4 hectares (minimum) do not meet this consideration. Where proposed lot sizes are less than 1.0 hectares the risk of sewage effluent contamination must be assessed for the proposed subdivision, see Step 2.

6.2.2 Step 2 of 3 – Isolation

As per Procedure D-5-4, it is required to:

- Evaluate the most probable groundwater receiver for sewage effluent; and,
- Define the most probable lower hydraulic or physical boundary of the groundwater receiving the sewage effluent.

Based on the hydrogeological conceptual model and as per the isolation requirements of MECP Procedure D-5-4, the groundwater receiver for the septic effluent is the overburden sands and the glacial till layers.

The result of the hydrogeological conceptual model indicates that the overburden sands and till deposits across the site generally do not meet the above requirements for isolation. Where it cannot be demonstrated that the effluent is hydrogeologically isolated from the water supply aquifer and the proposed lot sizes are less than 1.0 hectares, the risk of individual on-site septic systems will be assessed using nitrate-nitrogen contaminant loading, see Step 3.

6.2.3 Step 3 of 3 - Nitrate Dilution Calculations

The maximum allowable concentration of nitrate in the groundwater at the boundaries of a subject property is 10 mg/L as per the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change's guideline D-5-4, dated August 1996. The nitrate concentration at the boundaries was calculated using the information in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1 – Nitrate Dilution Assumptions

| Parameters | Site Descriptions |
|---|---|
| Site Area | 411,360 m ² (41.1 hectares) |
| Infiltration Area for 71 lots | 275,960 m ² |
| Water Holding Capacity | 75 mm <i>Sandy Loam (representative of fine sand, silty sand and silty-sand till encountered on-site)</i> |
| Annual Water Surplus ⁽¹⁾ | Sandy Loam = 380 mm/year <i>Representative of fine sand, silty-sand till encountered on-site</i> |
| Topography Factor (TF) | 0.20 <i>'Rolling lands' with slope between 2.8m to 3.8m/km considered to be representative of post-development topography.</i> |
| Soil Factor (SF) | 0.4 <i>Open Sandy Loam</i> |
| Cover Factor (CF) | 0.165 <i>Rural Lawns 0.15 (70%) and Woodland 0.2 (30%). Weighted average cover factor of 0.165.</i> |
| Site Average Infiltration Factor ⁽²⁾ (TF + SF + CF) | 0.765 |

1. Annual water surplus based on Environment Canada Water Surplus Datasheets (Appendix E) for Ottawa International Airport (1939-2020) weather station.
2. Infiltration factors based on information provided in MOEE, 1995.

As presented in Table 6.1 above, assumptions for the nitrate dilution calculations include:

- Infiltration area of 270,488 m²
 - Total site area of 411,3608 m² (based on Draft Plan provided by J.D. Barnes)
 - Removal of 98,000 m² for lands previously used in nitrate dilution assessment for Cedar Lakes Phases 1-2 (Paterson, 2011b).
 - Internal roadway area of 16,100 m² (7m wide x 2,300 m length)
 - House and driveway footprint of 300m² per lot (representative footprint of larger estate-style lots west of the Site).
- Stormwater management pond areas (two SWMPs located on southern end of the Site – refer to Appendix A) are included in the area available for infiltration. This assumption is based on unlined and naturalized stormwater management ponds. To note, the larger SWMP on the northern portion of the Site is on lands that have been removed from our calculations, as they have been used in previous dilution assessments for Cedar Lakes Phase 2 (Paterson, 2011b).
- Cover factor assumes post-development tree cover of 30% for the Site. The remaining post-development lands will consist of rural lawns (70%) which have a cover factor of 0.15.

The predictive assessment is conducted using a mass balance calculation to determine the sewage loading for nitrate at the property boundary (see equation below).

$$C_{Nitrate} = \frac{Mass}{Volume} = \frac{Annual\ Nitrate\ Loading(grams/year)}{Annual\ Dilution\ Volume(cubic\ metres/year)} = \frac{grams}{cubic\ metre} = \frac{mg}{L}$$

The nitrate dilution calculations are provided in Appendix D and summarized in Table 6.2 below.

Table 6.2 – Nitrate Dilution Calculations

| Parameters | Site Descriptions |
|--|--|
| Number of Lots | 71 |
| Annual Nitrate Loading | 1,036,600 grams/year <i>(71 lots x 40 grams/lot/day *365 days/year)</i> |
| Annual Dilution Volume | 106,137 m ³ /year <i>[(surplus 0.380 m/year * infiltration factor 0.765 * infiltration area 270,488 m²)+ (septic flows of 1 m³/lot/day * 71 lots * 365 days/year)]</i> |
| Nitrate Concentration at Property Boundary | 9.77 mg/L |

Based on the above information, the nitrate concentration at the site boundary was calculated to be 9.77 mg/L (refer to the calculation in Appendix E). The nitrate impact assessment meets the acceptable nitrate impact requirement of 10 mg/L established by the MECP.

6.2.4 Background Overburden Nitrate Concentrations

Groundwater samples were collected from three on-site monitoring wells completed in the overburden. Groundwater samples were submitted to an accredited laboratory for analysis of nitrate and nitrite. The results are summarized in Table 6.3. The Laboratory Certificates of Analyses are provided in Appendix D.

Table 6.3 – Overburden Nitrate Sampling

| Monitoring Well ID | Monitoring Well Depth (m) | Sampling Date | Nitrate (mg/L) | Nitrite (mg/L) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| MW23-1 | 5.4 | Sep 25/23 | 3.4 | <0.05 |
| | | Oct 27/23 | 2.6 | 0.09 |
| MW23-2 | 5.9 | Sep 25/23 | <0.10 | <0.05 |
| | | Oct 27/23 | <0.10 | <0.05 |
| MW23-3 | 5.9 | Sep 25/23 | <0.10 | <0.05 |
| | | Oct 27/23 | <0.10 | <0.05 |

Nitrate concentrations were detected in MW23-1 at concentrations of 3.4 and 2.6 mg/L. Previous site investigations (Paterson 2011a, 2011b) also reported detectable nitrate concentrations in the eastern portion of Cedar Lakes Phase 2 at concentrations of up to 4.12 mg/L, which were attributed to septic systems and nitrification of peat layers combined with poor drainage. After the peat layers were removed and drainage improved, Paterson (2011b) reported significant decreases in nitrate concentrations to less than 0.53 mg/L (based on three samples from MW6, TP6 and TP7).

The on-site test wells (TW A, TW C, TW D, and TW E) all reported non-detectable (<0.10 mg/L) nitrate concentrations and the nitrate appears to be limited to the northeastern portion of the Site, outside of residential lots proposed for development. Samples of test well TW B, which is completed in the bedrock and located in Cedar Lakes Phase 2 (City of Ottawa sentinel monitoring well) contained nitrate concentrations of 1.8 and 1.6 mg/L during the November 2, 2023 pumping test. As per the City of Ottawa Hydrogeological Guidelines (March 2021), additional assessment of the potential sources and seasonality of nitrate is recommended.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of the hydrogeological investigation, the following conclusions and professional opinions are provided:

- The site is not considered to be hydrogeologically sensitive based on the absence of significant areas of thin soils, highly permeable soils, or karst features.
- The water supply aquifer encountered at the site includes limestone of the Oxford and/or March Formations as well as sandstones of the Nepean Formation.
 - The testing depth of on-site test wells ranges from 42 to 61 metres below ground surface.
- Water quality testing indicates that the water quality meets the ODWQS maximum acceptable concentrations and maximum concentrations considered to be reasonably treatable. Groundwater treatment for aesthetic and operational guideline parameters will be required.
 - Variability in groundwater quality was encountered in the five on-site test wells and aesthetic exceedances and treatment options may vary (all exceedances and treatment options discussed below).
 - To note, at the end of the six-hour pumping tests total coliform exceeded the ODWQS in TW C and E; the total coliform is attributed to insufficient well chlorination and follow up water quality sampling is recommended to confirm acceptable bacteriological concentrations. Low levels of total coliforms are not uncommon in newly constructed wells and no private wells sampled reported any bacteriological exceedances.
 - The levels of hardness, iron and manganese are considered to be reasonably treatable using a conventional water softener and/or manganese greensand filters.
 - Total Dissolved Solids levels are in excess of 500 mg/L in two of the five test wells, but are considered “fair”, according to the “Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality: Guideline Technical Document – Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)”, published by Health Canada (1991), and are well below levels of 1,200 mg/L, above which the palatability of drinking water is considered ‘unacceptable’. LSI values indicate the water is considered is slightly scale forming and corrosive .
- The water quality from Cedar Lakes Phase 1 and 2 and private domestic wells sampled west of the site are similar to the water quality found in the proposed subdivision. No significant impacts have been identified from the available background reports and water quality sampling.
- The quantity of groundwater available from the proposed water supply aquifer is more than sufficient for the proposed development and will sustain repeated pumping at the test rate and duration at 24-hour intervals over the long term.
- Interference between drinking water wells is expected to be minimal under typical usage for residential developments.

- Well interference modelling indicates well interference of up to 4 metres between on-site water supply wells and Cedar Lakes Phase 1-2 wells (10% of available drawdown) and less than 1.8 metres at shallower private wells located west of the site.
 - Negligible well interference (<0.3 metres) observed during test well pumping tests and long-term test well water level monitoring.
- No negative impacts to the bedrock aquifer are anticipated from the use of on-site septic systems based on nitrate dilution calculations which demonstrate that offsite nitrate impacts are less than 10 mg/L.
 - The development can support up to 71 lots with a calculated nitrate concentration of 9.77 mg/L at the Site boundary.
 - The nitrate dilution calculations assume the stormwater management ponds are unlined and naturalized, a tree planting covenant will be implemented for the proposed development requiring a minimum 30% tree cover and house / driveway footprints of 300 m².
- No negative impacts to the bedrock aquifer are anticipated from on-site stormwater management ponds constructed in accordance with MECP requirements.
- The proposed site is suitable for the development, pending further evaluation to confirm the assumptions made herein and provide appropriate well construction recommendations for future lot owners.
 - Seasonal sampling for nitrates in select monitoring and test wells is recommended to determine seasonality and potential sources in nitrates in the receiving aquifer.
 - Due to the large range of chloride concentrations encountered as part of this investigation, further evaluation of the groundwater chemistry is recommended to determine if the water quality is representative of long-term water.
- Based on the results of this hydrogeological investigation and terrain analysis, in GEMTEC's professional opinion the proposed 71-lot residential development is suitable for development, subject to confirmation of the assumptions made herein. Specifically, the following is to be confirmed / carried out:
 - 1) Evaluation of chloride concentrations in the proposed water supply aquifer, to demonstrate compliance with the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards aesthetic objective and groundwater quality expected in the long-term,
 - 2) Bacteriological sampling of test wells to confirm the low levels of total coliform are attributed to insufficient well chlorination and well development; and,
 - 3) Seasonal nitrate sampling in select overburden and bedrock test wells to allow for assessment of potential nitrate sources, which appear to be limited to the northeastern portion of the Site where development is not proposed. A phased development

approach (western portion developed first) would allow for seasonal sampling to be completed prior to development of the eastern portion of the Site.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The following provides recommendations regarding well construction specifications, water quality and septic systems:

8.1 Well Construction Recommendations

- All wells that are drilled in the subdivision should be constructed in accordance with local and MECP regulations, including, but not limited to, Ontario Reg. 903.
- Well casings should be extended at least 40 metres (131 feet) below ground surface. The entire annular space between the steel casing and the overburden/ bedrock should be filled with a suitable cement or bentonite grout.
- A well grouting certification inspection should be conducted during the installation and grouting of the well casing for all future wells installed on the site. The well grouting certification inspection should be conducted under the supervision of a professional engineer or professional geoscientist.
- It should be noted that the water bearing fractures in the limestone and sandstone bedrock were encountered at depths ranging from 47.5 to 59.7 metres below ground surface in test wells TW A to TW E, inclusive. Water quality below 59.7 metres has not been tested.
- Drinking water wells should be located so that they meet and preferably exceed the minimum setback distances from septic systems, property lines and any other sources of contamination, as required in the Ontario Building Code and/or Ontario Reg. 903. In addition, the well should be situated in a location that allows for future site access for cleaning, treatment, repair, testing or maintenance. Information regarding well access should be included in the subdivision agreement and/or purchase agreement.
 - A minimum 3.5 metre side yard setback is recommended to accommodate accessibility for well service rigs.
 - A minimum of 18 metres separation from water wells and septic systems and 15 metres from wells and on-site stormwater management ponds is recommended.
- To reduce the potential for insufficient setbacks between lots, drinking water wells should be in rear yards and septic systems in the front yards, consistent with Cedar Lakes Phase 1 and 2.
- It is recommended that newly drilled water wells be developed by the well driller for a minimum of one hour of pumping following completion of the well drilling. This well development can be carried in conjunction with the one-hour pumping test that is required for the MECP Water Well Record.
- It is recommended that newly drilled water wells be chlorinated by the well driller following completion of the well drilling and pumping.

- It should be noted that this study does not address the construction of earth energy systems, which may require approval from the MECP.

8.2 Well Ownership Recommendations

- It is recommended that the property owners construct, maintain and test their drinking water well in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change document “Water Supply Wells - Requirements and Best Management Practices, Revised April 2015”.
- For all newly drilled wells it is recommended that a raw water sample be collected and analyzed for potability requirements (E. Coli. and total coliform bacteria).
 - If any bacteriological exceedances of the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards (ODWQS) are noted in the sampling, then it is recommended that the homeowner take remedial actions (such as chlorination of the well to eliminate bacteria) and retest a raw water sample to confirm that the remedial actions were effective.
- It is recommended that homeowners be informed that some wells may exhibit elevated aesthetic parameters (hardness, iron, total dissolved solids, and organic nitrogen) and incrustation, taste, odour, and colour can be expected.
 - Organic nitrogen compounds frequently contain amine groups which can react with chlorine and severely reduce its disinfectant power.
- It is recommended that homeowners be informed that hardness levels may exceed the ODWQS operational guideline for hardness. Conventional water softeners may be desired by homeowners to treat minor aesthetic objective and operational guideline exceedances of the ODWS such as hardness. On heating, hard water has a tendency to form scale deposits and can form excessive scum with regular soaps. Conversely, soft water may result in accelerated corrosion of water pipes.
- It is recommended that homeowners and the Local Medical Officer of Health be informed that sodium concentrations exceed 20 mg/L and exceed the warning level for persons on sodium restricted diets.
- It is recommended that homeowners be informed that water softening by conventional sodium ion exchange may introduce relatively high concentrations of sodium into the drinking water which may be of concern to persons on a sodium restricted diet. The use of potassium chloride in the water softener (which adds potassium to the water instead of sodium) could be considered as a means of keeping sodium concentrations in the water at background levels. Consideration could also be given to providing a bypass of the water softener for drinking water purposes.

8.3 Site Phasing and Performance Reviews

- Performance reviews should be conducted in accordance with MECP Procedure D-5-5 Private Wells: Water Supply Assessment, section 4.7 Phased Developments;
- The results of the proposed performance evaluation would be reported prior to the registration of the subsequent phases. The report would include the MECP Water Well Records for the private wells sampled and a site plan showing the sampled well locations as well as any other wells drilled in the subdivision.
- In accordance with the MECP guideline D-5-5, the recommendations and requirements provided in the hydrogeological report and terrain evaluation will be assessed and updated, if required, based on the findings of the investigations for the performance reports and/or a change in the surrounding land use.

8.4 Septic System Construction Recommendations

- To reduce the potential for insufficient setbacks between lots, septic systems should be in front yards of each lot.
- The proposed lots will be serviced by conventional septic sewage disposal systems designed according to the Ontario Building Code. A site-specific investigation should be conducted on each lot for the design of the septic system;
 - Due to the presence of shallow groundwater, septic beds will likely be partially or fully raised.
- Tertiary septic systems could be considered for the proposed development and/or individual property owners. Any tertiary systems should be designed according to the Ontario Building Code. A site-specific investigation should be conducted on each lot for the design of the septic system.
 - It is recommended that if property owners choose to install tertiary treatment septic systems, then it will be required to enter a maintenance agreement with authorized agents of the system manufacturer for the service life of the system.

8.5 Septic Ownership Recommendations

- It is recommended that the property owners construct, maintain and check their onsite septic system in accordance with the Ontario Building Code and best management practices (Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, 2021). The owner shall consult the following guides available at: <https://www.owa.org/homeowner-resources/>.

9.0 CLOSURE

We trust that this report is sufficient for your requirements. If you have any questions concerning this information or if we can be of further assistance to you on this project, please call.



Samuel Esenwa, G.I.T.
Environmental Scientist



Andrius Paznekas, M.Sc., P.Geo.
Hydrogeologist

SE / DC / AP





REFERENCES

- Armstrong, D.K. and Dodge, J.E.P. 2007. Paleozoic geology of southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release--Data 219
- Brunton, F.R. and Dodge, J.E.P. 2008. Karst of southern Ontario and Manitoulin Island; Ontario Geological Survey, Groundwater Resources Study 5.
- Cuddy, S., Chan, G.S., and Post, R. 2013. Hydrogeological Assessment Submissions, Conservation Authority Guidelines for Development Applications. Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority.
- Gao, C., Shirota, J., Kelly, R.I., Brunton, F.R. and van Haaften, S. 2006. Bedrock topography and overburden thickness mapping, southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release—Data 207.
- Health Canada. 2021. Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality, Guideline Technical Document, Aluminum. March, 2021.
- Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2011. Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under XV.1. of the Environmental Protection Act. PIBS # 7382e01 dated April 15, 2011.
- Ontario Geological Survey. 2010. Surficial geology of Southern Ontario. Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release-Data 128-Revision 1.
- Ontario Geological Survey. 2011. 1:250 000 scale bedrock geology of Ontario. Ontario Geological Survey, Miscellaneous Release-Data 126-Revision 1.
- Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Building and Development Branch. 2006. Building Code Compendium. December 31, 2006.
- Ontario Ministry of Environmental, Conservation and Parks. 1982. Manual of Policy, Procedures and Guidelines for Private Sewage Disposal Systems. May 1982.
- Ontario Ministry of Environmental, Conservation and Parks. 1996. Procedure D-5-5, Technical Guideline for Private Wells: Water Supply Assessment. August 1996.
- Ontario Ministry of Environmental, Conservation and Parks. 1996. Procedure D-5-4, Technical Guideline for Individual On-Site Sewage Systems: Water Quality Impact Risk Assessment. August 1996.
- Ontario Ministry of Environmental, Conservation and Parks. 2008. Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards, Safe Drinking Water Act, 2002, Ontario Regulation 169/03 as amended by Ontario Regulation 327/08.
- Ontario Ministry of Environmental, Conservation and Parks. 2006. Technical Support Document for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines. June 2006.
- Ontario Ministry of Environmental, Conservation and Parks. 1995. MOEE Hydrogeological Technical Requirements for Land Development Applications. April 1995.

- Paterson Group. 2011a. Terrain Analysis and Hydrogeological Study, Proposed Residential Subdivision, Part of Lot 8, Concession 3, Geographic Township of Osgoode, Ottawa (Greely), Ontario. April 11, 2011.
- Paterson Group. 2011b. Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Subdivision, Cedar Lake Subdivision-Part of Lot 8, Concession 3, Phase 3 & 4, Greely, Ontario. October 27, 2023.
- Raison-South Nation Source Protection Region. 2016. Retrieved from <https://yourdrinkingwater.ca/files/source-protection-plan/Plan-1-4-0-Complete.pdf>
- Todd, D.K., 1980. Groundwater Hydrology, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 535p.
- Williams, D.A. 1991. Paleozoic Geology of the Ottawa-St. Lawrence Lowland, Southern Ontario; Ontario Geological Survey, Open File Report 5770, 292p.
- Government of Nova Scotia. N.D. Radon in Nova Scotia's Drinking Water. Retrieved from <https://novascotia.ca/nse/water/radon.asp#:~:text=The%20amount%20of%20radon%20that,for%20radon%20in%20drinking%20water.>

N:\PROJECTS\1005001\100554\03\DRAWING\11_DRAWINGS\100554.003_SITE_RO_2023-08.DWG




LEGEND

| TP/MW/TW/PW # | TEST PIT/ MONITORING WELL/ TEST WELL/ PRIVATE WELL ID |
|---------------|---|
| | TEST PITS, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION (Paterson, 2011) |
| | PROPOSED MONITORING WELL LOCATION |
| | NEW TEST WELL LOCATION |
| | EXISTING TEST WELL LOCATION |
| | PRIVATE WELL SAMPLE LOCATION |
| | PROPERTY BOUNDARY |
| | CROSS SECTION LOCATION |

GENERAL NOTE(S)

- Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
- Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
- Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
- Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.




| | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|
| DRAWING | DETAILED SITE PLAN | |
| CLIENT | ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT | |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4 OTTAWA, ONTARIO | |
| DRAWN BY | C.Z. | CHECKED BY A.P. |
| PROJECT NO. | 100554.003 | REVISION NO. 0 |
| DATE | DECEMBER 2023 | FIGURE NO. FIGURE 1 |

GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

CROSS SECTION A - A'

HORIZONTAL 1:1000
VERTICAL 1:4000



LEGEND

- TW # — TEST WELL ID
- ### — GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (M ASL)
- (OS:###) — OFFSET DISTANCE FROM CROSS SECTION LINE
- NEW PROPOSED TEST WELL LOCATION
- EXISTING TEST WELL LOCATION
- STATIC WATER LEVEL
- WATER FOUND ELEVATION
- LIMESTONE
- SANDSTONE
- INFERRED GROUND SURFACE
- - ? - - INFERRED CONTACT

GENERAL NOTE(S)

1. Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
2. Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
3. Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
4. Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.
5. M ASL: Metres above sea level
6. EOTW: End of Test Well

HORIZONTAL SCALE

1:4000



VERTICAL SCALE

1:1000



DRAWING

CROSS SECTION A-A'

CLIENT ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

DRAWN BY C.Z.

CHECKED BY A.P.

PROJECT NO. 100554.003

REVISION NO. 0

DATE DECEMBER 2023


FIGURE NO. FIGURE 1A

GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

CROSS SECTION B - B'

HORIZONTAL 1:1000
VERTICAL 1:4000



LEGEND


- TW # ← TEST WELL ID
- ### ← GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (M ASL)
- (OS:###) ← OFFSET DISTANCE FROM CROSS SECTION LINE
- NEW TEST WELL LOCATION
- EXISTING TEST WELL LOCATION
- PRIVATE WELL SAMPLE LOCATION
- STATIC WATER LEVEL
- WATER FOUND ELEVATION
- LIMESTONE
- SANDSTONE
- — — — — INFERRED GROUND SURFACE
- - - - ? - - - - INFERRED CONTACT

GENERAL NOTE(S)

1. Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
2. Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
3. Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
4. Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.
5. M ASL: Metres above sea level
6. EOTW: End of Test Well
7. EOPW: End of Private Well
8. Private Well elevation data sourced from Google Earth.

HORIZONTAL SCALE

1:4000



VERTICAL SCALE

1:1000



DRAWING

CROSS SECTION B-B'

CLIENT

ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT

PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

DRAWN BY

C.Z.

CHECKED BY

A.P.

PROJECT NO.

100554.003

REVISION NO.

0

DATE

DECEMBER 2023

FIGURE NO.


FIGURE 1B



32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

N:\PROJECTS\100554\100554.003\DRAWING\1. DRAWINGS\100554.003_XSEC_R0_2023-12.DWG

N:\PROJECTS\1005001\100554\03\DRAWING\1 DRAWINGS\100554.003 SITE RO_2023-09.DWG



LEGEND

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- 100 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION, METRES

GENERAL NOTE(S)

1. Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
2. Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
3. Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
4. Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.



DRAWING **TOPOGRAPHY AND DRAINAGE**

CLIENT **ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT**

PROJECT **PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION
CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4
OTTAWA, ONTARIO**



| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| DRAWN BY C.Z. | CHECKED BY A.P. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|

| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| PROJECT NO. 100554.003 | REVISION NO. 0 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DATE DECEMBER 2023 | FIGURE NO. FIGURE 2 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

N:\PROJECTS\1005001\100554\003\DRAWING\1 DRAWINGS\100554.003 SITE_RO_2023-08.DWG




LEGEND

| | |
|--|---|
| | PROPERTY BOUNDARY |
| | 5b TILL |
| | 7 GLACIOFLUVIAL DEPOSITS |
| | 11b COARSE-TEXTURED GLACIOMARINE DEPOSITS |
| | 11c COARSE-TEXTURED GLACIOMARINE DEPOSITS |
| | 20 ORGANIC DEPOSITS |

GENERAL NOTE(S)

1. Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
2. Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
3. Maps Data: Google, ©2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
4. Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.





| | | |
|-------------|--|------------------------|
| DRAWING | ONTARIO GEOLOGIC SURVEY SURFICIAL GEOLOGY | |
| CLIENT | ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT | |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4 OTTAWA, ONTARIO | |
| DRAWN BY | C.Z. | CHECKED BY A.P. |
| PROJECT NO. | 100554.003 | REVISION NO. 0 |
| DATE | DECEMBER 2023 | FIGURE NO. FIGURE 3 |

GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

N:\PROJECTS\1005001\100554\003\DRAWING\11_DRAWINGS\100554.003_SITE_RO_2023-08.DWG



LEGEND


--- PROPERTY BOUNDARY

OVERBURDEN DRIFT THICKNESS, METRES

| | |
|--------------------|---------|
| Light Green | 1 - 2 |
| Dark Green | 2 - 3 |
| Light Yellow-Green | 3 - 5 |
| Yellow | 5 - 10 |
| Light Brown | 10 - 15 |
| Dark Brown | 15 - 25 |

GENERAL NOTE(S)

- Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
- Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
- Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
- Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.



DRAWING ONTARIO GEOLOGIC SURVEY OVERBURDEN THICKNESS MAP

CLIENT ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT

PROJECT PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4 OTTAWA, ONTARIO

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| DRAWN BY C.Z. | CHECKED BY A.P. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|


| | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| PROJECT NO. 100554.003 | REVISION NO. 0 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DATE DECEMBER 2023 | FIGURE NO. FIGURE 4 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|

GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

N:\PROJECTS\1005001\100554\003\DRAWING\11_DRAWINGS\100554.003_SITE_RO_2023-08.DWG




LEGEND

- PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- MINOR WATER TABLE DRAWDOWN CONTOUR, METRES
- MAJOR WATER TABLE DRAWDOWN CONTOUR, METRES
- 71 WELLS IN SIMULATION

GENERAL NOTE(S)

1. Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
2. Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
3. Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies
4. Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.




| | | |
|-------------|---|------------------------|
| DRAWING | WELL INTERFERENCES SIMULATION | |
| CLIENT | ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT | |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4 OTTAWA, ONTARIO | |
| DRAWN BY | C.Z. | CHECKED BY A.P. |
| PROJECT NO. | 100554.003 | REVISION NO. 0 |
| DATE | DECEMBER 2023 | FIGURE NO. FIGURE 5 |

GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca

N:\PROJECTS\1005001\100554.003\DRAWING\11_DRAWINGS\100554.003_MECP_R0_2023-12.DWG




LEGEND

| | |
|---------------|---|
| TP/MW/TW/PW # | TEST PIT/ MONITORING WELL/ TEST WELL/ PRIVATE WELL ID |
| ##### | MECP WELL ID |
| | TEST PITS, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION (Paterson, 2011) |
| | PROPOSED MONITORING WELL LOCATION |
| | NEW TEST WELL LOCATION |
| | EXISTING TEST WELL LOCATION |
| | PRIVATE WELL SAMPLE LOCATION |
| | MECP WATER WELL RECORD |

GENERAL NOTE(S)

1. Coordinate system: NAD83, UTM ZONE 18
2. Contains information licensed under the Open Government Licence - Ontario.
3. Maps Data: Google, @2023 CNES / Airbus, First Base Solutions, Maxar Technologies.
4. Geographic dataset source: Ontario GeoHub.
5. MECP Water Well Records in existing Cedar Lakes Phase 1-2 area only include records since 2011.



| | | |
|-------------|--|------------------------|
| DRAWING | MECP WELL SEARCH | |
| CLIENT | ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT | |
| PROJECT | PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION CEDAR LAKES PHASE 3 AND 4 OTTAWA, ONTARIO | |
| DRAWN BY | C.Z. | CHECKED BY A.P. |
| PROJECT NO. | 100554.003 | REVISION NO. 0 |
| DATE | DECEMBER 2023 | FIGURE NO. FIGURE 6 |



GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS AND SCIENTISTS

32 Steacie Drive
Ottawa, ON K2K 2A9
Tel: (613) 836-1422
www.gemtec.ca
ottawa@gemtec.ca







APPENDIX A

Storm Drainage and Macro Grading Plan
(ARK Engineering and Development)



EX. Phases 1 and 2

LEGEND:

| | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|---|
|  | RUNOFF FLOW DIRECTION |  | PROPOSED SWALE AND DITCH ELEVATIONS |
|  | DRAINAGE AREA BOUNDARY |  | PROPOSED CENTER LINE OF ROAD ELEVATIONS |

**STORM DRAINAGE AND MACRO GRADING PLAN
CEDAR LAKES - PHASES 3 to 4**

CITY OF OTTAWA - Formerly TOWNSHIP OF OSGOODE

| | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Completed By: ARK ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT | | Drawing No.: SK-2 |
| Scale: NTS | Date: DEC 2023 | |



APPENDIX B

Background MECP Water Well Records

MECP WELL RECORD SEARCH (CEDAR LAKES PHASE 1 and 2)

| ID | Township | Completion Date (yyyy-mm-dd) | Water Use | Well Depth (m) | Bedrock Depth (m) | Minimum Casing Depth (m) | Static Water Levels (m) | Water Types and Bearing Zone Depths (ft) | Recommended Pumping Rate (L/min) | Stratigraphic Layers (ft) |
|---------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| 7206677 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/15/2013 | DO | 84.7 | 7.01 | 42.4 | 5.6 | UT 0268 UT 0271 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY BLDR 0023 GREY LMSN 0250 GREY SNDS 0268 GREY SNDS 0271 GREY SNDS 0278 |
| 7206688 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/5/2013 | DO | 61.0 | 3.96 | 39.9 | 6.0 | UT 0188 UT 0193 | 56.78 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0013 GREY LMSN 0178 GREY SNDS 0188 GREY SNDS 0193 WHIT SNDS 0200 |
| 7206697 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 6/12/2013 | DO | 87.2 | 9.45 | 39.9 | 5.6 | UT 0183 UT 0280 | 75.71 | SAND 0015 SAND GRVL BLDR 0031 GREY LMSN 0118 GREY LMSN SNDS 0183 GREY LMSN SNDS 0225 WHIT SNDS 0280 WHIT SNDS 0286 |
| 7209277 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 8/26/2013 | DO | 61.0 | 4.27 | 40.5 | 7.1 | UT 0182 UT 0194 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0014 GREY LMSN 0182 GREY LMSN 0194 GREY LMSN 0200 |
| 7209287 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/30/2013 | DO | 85.3 | 10.97 | 39.9 | 5.5 | UT 0254 UT 0272 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR GRVL 0036 GREY LMSN 0185 WHIT SNDS 0254 WHIT SNDS 0272 WHIT SNDS 0280 |
| 7209290 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 8/9/2013 | DO | 74.4 | 6.40 | 39.9 | 7.7 | UT 0231 UT 0238 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR 0021 GREY LMSN 0207 GREY LMSN SNDS 0232 WHIT SNDS 0238 WHIT SNDS 0244 |
| 7213072 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 10/23/2013 | DO | 61.0 | 6.10 | 40.2 | 4.5 | UT 0183 UT 0192 | 64.35 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0017 GREY LMSN 0138 GREY LMSN SAND 0183 GREY LMSN SNDS 0192 GREY LMSN SNDS 0200 |
| 7213072 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 11/7/2013 | DO | 61.0 | 5.18 | 40.2 | 4.6 | UT 0183 UT 0192 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0017 GREY LMSN 0138 GREY LMSN SAND 0183 GREY LMSN SNDS 0192 GREY LMSN SNDS 0200 |
| 7218731 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP | 4/1/2014 | DO | 83.8 | 11.89 | 39.9 | 4.0 | UT 0190 UT 0270 | 26.50 | BRWN LOAM STNS 0010 GREY SAND BLDR LOOS 0024 GREY TILL BLDR PCKD 0038 GREY LMSN SNDS HARD 0275 |
| 7222301 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 4/24/2014 | DO | 79.2 | 8.84 | 39.9 | 5.5 | UT UT 0054 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY BLDR 0029 GREY LMSN 0180 GREY SNDS 0181 GREY SNDS 0220 WHIT SNDS 0254 WHIT SNDS 0260 |
| 7222309 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/28/2014 | DO | 67.1 | 5.49 | 40.2 | 4.7 | UT 0150 UT 0214 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL CLAY 0018 GREY LMSN 0150 GREY LMSN 0214 GREY LMSN 0220 |
| 7222318 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/6/2014 | DO | 67.1 | 8.84 | 42.4 | 4.5 | UT 0173 UT 0211 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0029 GREY LMSN 0160 WHIT SNDS 0173 WHIT SNDS 0211 WHIT SNDS 0220 |
| 7222321 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/20/2014 | DO | 61.0 | 8.53 | 39.9 | 4.9 | UT 0158 UT 0172 UT 0194 | 75.71 | SAND 0022 GRVL BLDR 0028 GREY LMSN 0140 GREY SNDS LMSN 0158 GREY SNDS LMSN 0172 GREY SNDS LMSN 0194 GREY SNDS LMSN 0200 |
| 7222329 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/22/2014 | DO | 73.8 | 5.18 | 40.5 | 7.2 | UT 0233 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR 0017 GREY LMSN 0197 WHIT SNDS 0233 WHIT SNDS 0242 |
| 7222332 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/23/2014 | DO | 91.4 | 7.92 | 39.9 | 4.9 | UT 0188 UT 0255 UT 0293 | 75.71 | BLDR SAND CLAY 0026 GREY LMSN 0188 GREY LMSN 0190 BRWN SNDS 0255 BRWN SNDS 0260 BRWN SNDS LMSN 0293 BRWN SNDS LMSN 0300 |
| 7222334 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 6/2/2014 | DO | 73.2 | 8.53 | 40.2 | 8.1 | UT 0221 UT 0233 | 75.71 | SAND 0020 GRVL BLDR 0028 GREY LMSN 0169 WHIT SNDS 0221 WHIT SNDS 0233 WHIT SNDS 0240 |
| 7226477 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/26/2014 | DO | 97.5 | 13.11 | 39.9 | 7.8 | UT 0288 UT 0299 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0043 GREY LMSN 0201 GREY SNDS 0288 GREY SNDS 0299 GREY SNDS 0320 |
| 7226505 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/31/2014 | DO | 91.4 | 8.84 | 40.2 | 5.9 | UT 0180 UT 0248 UT 0294 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY 0011 GRVL BLDR 0029 GREY LMSN 0180 GREY LMSN 0190 GREY SNDS 0248 GREY SNDS 0294 GREY SNDS 0300 |
| 7228012 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 8/27/2014 | DO | 73.2 | 10.97 | 42.7 | 5.3 | UT 0230 | 75.71 | PEAT 0004 GREY SAND GRVL BLDR 0036 GREY LMSN 0180 GREY SNDS LMSN 0230 GREY SNDS LMSN 0240 |
| 7230309 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 9/2/2014 | DO | 73.2 | 6.40 | 39.9 | 3.6 | UT 0232 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0021 GREY LMSN 0119 GREY SNDS LMSN 0232 GREY SNDS LMSN 0240 |
| 7230311 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 9/4/2014 | DO | 67.1 | 7.62 | 40.2 | 5.2 | UT 0213 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0025 GREY LMSN 0125 GREY LMSN SNDS 0150 GREY SNDS 0213 GREY SNDS 0220 |
| 7230313 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 11/13/2014 | DO | 86.9 | 9.75 | 39.9 | 8.0 | UT 0266 UT 0279 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0032 GREY LMSN 0180 GREY SNDS 0266 GREY SNDS 0279 GREY SNDS 0285 |
| 7233596 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP | 5/1/2015 | DO | 61.0 | 4.57 | 39.9 | 5.3 | UT 0029 UT 0115 UT 0187 | 45.50 | BRWN CLAY STNS PCKD 0008 BRWN SAND STNS LOOS 0015 GREY LMSN HARD 0142 GREY SNDS HARD 0200 |
| 7243023 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/27/2015 | DO | 48.8 | 9.14 | 39.9 | 6.3 | UT 0138 UT 0140 UT 0154 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR GRVL 0030 GREY LMSN 0138 GREY LMSN 0140 GREY LMSN 0154 GREY LMSN 0160 |
| 7244913 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/7/2015 | DO | 61.0 | 5.18 | 39.9 | 11.4 | UT 0194 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR 0017 GREY LMSN 0140 GREY SNDS 0194 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 7248797 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/10/2015 | DO | 77.1 | 9.14 | 39.9 | 11.3 | UT 0168 UT 0246 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0030 GREY LMSN 0160 WHIT SNDS LMSN 0168 WHIT SNDS LMSN 0246 WHIT SNDS LMSN 0253 |
| 7248800 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 9/9/2015 | DO | 76.2 | 8.84 | 39.9 | 4.4 | UT 0240 UT 0244 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY BLDR 0029 GREY LMSN 0101 GREY SNDS LMSN 0242 GREY SNDS LMSN 0250 |
| 7252286 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 12/9/2015 | DO | 85.3 | 7.92 | 39.9 | 4.7 | UT 0223 UT 0271 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0026 GREY LMSN 0113 GREY SNDS 0223 GREY SNDS 0271 GREY SNDS 0280 |
| 7255463 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 12/21/2015 | DO | 73.2 | 5.79 | 39.9 | 8.3 | UT 0205 UT 0234 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0019 GREY LMSN 0103 GREY SNDS 0205 GREY SNDS 0234 GREY SNDS 0240 |
| 7266070 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP | 6/1/2016 | DO | 54.9 | 12.80 | 36.6 | 9.1 | FR 0153 FR 0168 | 37.85 | BRWN SAND 0008 BLUE SAND STNS GRVL 0042 GREY LMSN 0160 WHIT SNDS 0180 |
| 7268457 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 6/2/2016 | DO | 67.4 | 4.57 | 39.9 | 9.2 | UT 0212 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0015 GREY LMSN 0110 GREY SNDS 0212 GREY SNDS 0221 |
| 7268458 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/4/2016 | DO | 67.1 | 5.18 | 39.9 | 7.5 | UT 0212 UT 0214 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0017 GREY LMSN 0112 GREY SNDS LMSN 0125 GREY SNDS 0212 GREY SNDS 0214 GREY SNDS 0220 |
| 7268401 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 5/30/2016 | DO | 75.9 | 9.75 | 40.2 | 9.5 | UT 0140 UT 0241 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0032 GREY LMSN 0104 GREY SNDS 0140 GREY SNDS 0241 GREY SNDS 0249 |
| 7268432 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 8/11/2016 | DO | 62.5 | 11.28 | 39.9 | 11.8 | UT 0199 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR 0029 GRVL 0037 GREY LMSN 0116 GREY SNDS 0199 GREY SNDS 0205 |
| 7272964 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 12/14/2016 | DO | 49.4 | 10.97 | 39.9 | 5.9 | UT 0135 UT 0153 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0036 GREY LMSN 0111 GREY SNDS LMSN 0135 GREY SNDS LMSN 0153 GREY SNDS LMSN 0162 |
| 7279820 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 6/1/2017 | DO | 62.8 | 7.92 | 39.9 | 4.9 | UT 0197 UT 0200 | 75.71 | SAND BLDR 0023 GREY LMSN 0112 GREY SNDS 0197 GREY SNDS 0200 GREY SNDS 0206 |
| 7292119 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/10/2017 | DO | 67.4 | 6.10 | 39.9 | 4.9 | UT 0216 | 75.71 | CLAY GRVL 0020 GREY LMSN 0101 GREY SNDS LMSN 0216 GREY SNDS LMSN 0221 |
| 7296288 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/17/2017 | DO | 61.6 | 6.40 | 39.9 | 5.3 | UT 0188 UT 0094 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL 0012 CLAY 0016 GRVL 0021 GREY LMSN 0127 GREY SNDS 0202 |
| 7296291 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 11/13/2017 | DO | 61.0 | 6.10 | 39.9 | 4.4 | UT 0187 UT 0194 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL 0020 GREY LMSN 0169 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 7301334 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 10/18/2017 | DO | 67.1 | 9.45 | 39.9 | 3.6 | UT 0214 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL 0031 GREY LMSN 0109 GREY SNDS 0220 |
| 7301341 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 12/3/2017 | DO | 70.4 | 5.18 | 39.9 | 4.8 | UT 0197 UT 0225 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL 0017 GREY LMSN 0127 GREY SNDS 0231 |
| 7301368 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 3/1/2018 | DO | 64.3 | 6.40 | 39.9 | 8.0 | UT 0197 UT 0205 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL 0021 GREY LMSN 0101 GREY SNDS 0211 |
| 7310006 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 3/6/2018 | DO | 61.0 | 6.10 | 39.9 | 4.8 | UT 0190 UT 0192 | 56.78 | SAND CLAY 0020 GREY LMSN 0117 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 7310019 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/5/2018 | DO | 61.0 | 6.10 | 39.9 | 9.5 | UT 0190 UT 0192 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY 0020 GREY LMSN 0117 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 7318097 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/3/2018 | DO | 67.1 | 5.18 | 39.9 | 9.0 | UT 0210 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL 0017 GREY LMSN 0147 GREY SNDS 0220 |
| 7321082 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 8/23/2018 | DO | 58.5 | 5.79 | 39.9 | 7.5 | UT 0186 | 75.71 | GRVL SAND 0019 GREY LMSN 0083 GREY SNDS LMSN 0107 GREY SNDS 0192 |
| 7321156 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 11/28/2018 | DO | 61.0 | 5.18 | 39.9 | 4.2 | UT 0194 | 75.71 | BLDR SAND 0017 GREY LMSN 0148 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 7325694 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 4/5/2019 | DO | 43.0 | 6.71 | 39.9 | 4.2 | UT 0135 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0022 GREY LMSN 0103 GREY SNDS LMSN 0135 GREY SNDS LMSN 0141 |
| 7336806 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 10/3/2019 | DO | 73.5 | 5.79 | 39.9 | 6.5 | UT 0173 UT 0231 | 75.71 | SAND GRVL BLDR 0019 GREY LMSN 0116 GREY SNDS 0173 GREY SNDS 0233 GREY SNDS 0241 |
| 7346278 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/24/2020 | DO | 64.6 | 7.92 | 39.9 | 12.2 | UT 0206 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY BLDR 0026 GREY LMSN 0124 WHIT LMSN 0206 GREY LMSN 0212 |
| 7367011 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 11/11/2020 | DO | 59.1 | 9.75 | 39.9 | 12.2 | UT 0188 | 75.71 | SAND CLAY BLDR 0027 GRVL 0032 GREY LMSN 0112 GREY SNDS GREY LMSN 0194 |
| 7377719 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 7/13/2021 | DO | 73.1 | 4.88 | 39.9 | 4.3 | UT 0171 UT 0234 | 68.14 | SAND BLDR 0016 GREY LMSN GREY SNDS 0177 GREY SNDS 0240 |

<https://www.ontario.ca/page/map-well-records>

"Well Use"

| | |
|----|-----------------|
| DO | Domestic |
| ST | Livestock |
| IR | Irrigation |
| IN | Industrial |
| CO | Commercial |
| MN | Municipal |
| PS | Public |
| AC | Cooling and A/C |
| NU | Not Used |
| OT | Other |
| TH | Test Hole |
| DE | Dewatering |
| MO | Monitoring |
| MT | Monitoring Test |

"Water Detail"

| | |
|----|---------|
| FR | Fresh |
| SA | Salty |
| SU | Sulphur |
| MN | Mineral |
| UK | Unknown |
| GS | Gas |
| IR | Iron |

Other

NA

| Parameter | 10 th Percentile | 90 th Percentile | Geometric Mean |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Static Water Level (m) | 4.2 | 10.8 | 6.2 |
| Depth to Bedrock (m) | 4.9 | 11.0 | 7.2 |
| Total Well Depth (m) | 58.6 | 86.6 | 68.4 |
| Recommended Pump Rate (L/min) | 59.1 | 75.7 | 71.3 |
| Bearing Zone Depth (m) | 43.3 | 82.5 | 59.5 |



MECP WELL RECORD SEARCH (East and West)

| ID | Township | Completion Date (yyyy-mm-dd) | Water Use | Well Depth (m) | Bedrock Depth (m) | Minimum Casing Depth (m) | Static Water Levels (m) | Water Types and Bearing Zone Depths (ft) | Recommended Pumping Rate (L/min) | Stratigraphic Layers (ft) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| WEST OF SITE (Figure 6) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1533532 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 009 | 12/18/2002 | DO | 48.8 | 12.2 | 15.8 | 12.2 | UK 0114 UK 0151 | 45.4 | SAND GRVL BLDL 0040 GREY LMSN 0160 |
| 7195941 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 11/29/2012 | DO | 65.5 | 13.1 | 14.9 | 6.3 | UT 0196 UT 0208 | 75.7 | SAND GRVL 0021 SAND CLAY 0043 GREY LMSN 0142 GREY SNDS 0196 GREY SNDS 0208 GREY SNDS 0215 |
| 1529970 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 4/13/1998 | DO | 14.3 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 4.9 | FR 0045 | 45.4 | BRWN SAND 0018 GREY SAND 0025 GREY CLAY QSND 0042 GREY SAND GRVL 0044 GREY LMSN ROCK 0047 |
| 1530643 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 7/6/1999 | DO | 61.0 | 38.1 | 7.9 | 6.1 | UK 0169 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND 0008 GREY SAND 0014 GREY SAND GRVL BLDL 0125 GREY SNDS VERY HARD 0200 |
| 1530950 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 10/25/1999 | DO | 61.0 | 6.1 | 7.9 | 6.7 | UK 0030 UK 0191 | 18.9 | BRWN LOAM STNS 0020 GREY LMSN 0095 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 1530951 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 10/26/1999 | DO | 22.9 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 1.5 | UK 0035 UK 0062 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND 0009 GREY SAND GRVL BLDL 0015 GREY LMSN 0075 |
| 1531517 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 10/11/2000 | DO | 16.8 | 6.4 | 9.9 | 1.8 | UK 0048 | 18.9 | BRWN LOAM SNDY 0008 GREY SAND STNS 0021 GREY LMSN 0055 |
| 1531518 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 10/11/2000 | DO | 14.6 | 4.6 | 8.1 | 1.8 | UK 0042 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND 0008 GREY SAND STNS 0015 GREY LMSN 0048 |
| 1532051 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 6/19/2001 | DO | 78.6 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 6.7 | UK 0250 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND 0008 GREY SAND 0026 GREY SAND GRVL BLDL 0032 GREY LMSN 0130 GREY SNDS 0258 |
| 1532535 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 11/20/2001 | DO | 14.6 | 4.9 | 7.9 | 2.1 | UK 0037 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND 0005 GREY SAND WBRG 0012 GREY CLAY STNS 0016 GREY LMSN 0048 |
| 1532536 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 11/20/2001 | DO | 22.3 | 7.3 | 10.1 | 2.7 | UK 0066 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND STNS 0005 GREY SAND 0009 GREY SAND GRVL BLDL 0024 GREY LMSN 0073 |
| 1532703 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 3/14/2002 | DO | 14.3 | 4.9 | 8.2 | 1.5 | UK 0035 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND 0007 GREY SAND 0012 GREY SAND GRVL BLDL 0016 GREY LMSN LYRD 0022 GREY LMSN HARD 0047 |
| 1533529 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 11/26/2002 | DO | 25.6 | 6.1 | 9.4 | 3.4 | UK 0060 UK 0073 | 83.3 | SAND BLDL 0020 GREY LMSN 0084 |
| 1533781 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 007 | 6/3/2003 | DO | 79.6 | 10.1 | 14.0 | 4.6 | UK 0251 | 75.7 | SAND GRVL 0033 GREY LMSN 0103 GREY SNDS 0261 |
| 7118473 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 009 | 12/4/2008 | DO | 79.2 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 2.4 | UT 0246 | 75.7 | CLAY 0015 SAND 0025 GRVL 0035 GREY LMSN 0208 GREY LMSN SNDS 0260 |
| 7121811 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 009 | 2/25/2009 | DO | 85.3 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 2.6 | UT 0171 UT 0261 UT 0276 | 75.7 | SAND GRVL BLDL 0030 GREY LMSN 0148 GREY SNDS LMSN 0280 |
| 7121812 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 009 | 2/24/2009 | DO | 85.3 | 9.1 | 11.6 | 2.9 | UT 0166 UT 0256 UT 0272 | 75.7 | SAND GRVL BLDL 0030 GREY LMSN 0145 GREY SNDS LMSN 0280 |
| 7126823 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP 006 | 7/13/2009 | DO | 69.7 | 8.8 | 12.1 | 2.6 | FR 0209 | 170.3 | BLUE SAND SOFT 0006 GREY CLAY SAND SOFT 0029 GREY LMSN DLMT HARD 0229 |
| 7139849 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 009 | 10/10/2009 | DO | 22.2 | 10.1 | 13.1 | 2.2 | UT 0065 | 172.2 | BRWN LOAM SNDY STNS 0012 GREY CLAY STNS 0033 GREY LMSN 0073 |
| 7156837 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 009 | 11/10/2010 | DO | 42.6 | 9.7 | 12.8 | 3.2 | UT 0131 | 132.5 | BRWN CSND HARD 0011 GREY CSND HARD 0025 GREY GRVL STNS PCKD 0032 GREY SNDS LYRD 0140 |
| EAST OF SITE (FIGURE 6) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1514884 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 007 | 6/26/1975 | DO | 16.8 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 0.9 | FR 0054 | 18.9 | GREY SAND 0008 GREY CLAY STNS 0041 GREY LMSN 0055 |
| 1521974 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 008 | 8/6/1987 | DO | 60.0 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 2.4 | FR 0180 | 37.9 | BRWN SAND STNS 0009 GREY SAND GRVL BLDL 0061 GREY LMSN 0178 GREY SNDS ROCK FCRD 0197 |
| 1529955 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 008 | 10/24/1997 | DO | 64.0 | 14.3 | 17.1 | 9.8 | FR 0143 FR 0202 FR 0204 | 132.5 | SAND GRVL BLDL 0047 GREY LMSN 0167 GREY SNDS 0210 |
| 1531681 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 008 | 11/30/2000 | DO | 61.0 | 14.9 | 18.3 | 8.5 | UK 0187 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND BLDL 0014 GREY HPAN BLDL 0049 GREY LMSN HARD 0143 GREY SNDS HARD 0200 |
| 1531733 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 010 | 1/9/2001 | DO | 18.0 | - | 16.8 | 3.7 | UK 0055 | 37.9 | BRWN SAND FILL 0018 GREY TILL GRVL SAND 0052 GREY GRVL SAND 0059 |
| 1531933 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 009 | 5/29/2001 | DO | 38.1 | 16.5 | 19.5 | 5.2 | UK 0116 | 18.9 | BRWN SAND GRVL BLDL 0032 GREY HPAN BLDL 0054 GREY LMSN 0125 |
| 1533235 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 08 013 | 10/9/2002 | DO | 42.7 | 16.5 | 19.5 | 7.3 | FR 0130 | 75.7 | BRWN SAND PCKD 0010 GREY GRVL SAND PCKD 0054 GREY LMSN ROCK FCRD 0060 GREY LMSN ROCK HARD 0140 |
| 1533532 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 009 | 12/18/2002 | DO | 48.8 | 12.0 | 6.7 | 12.2 | UK 0114 UK 0151 | 45.4 | SAND GRVL BLDL 0040 GREY LMSN 0160 |
| 1533607 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 007 | 2/27/2003 | DO | 25.3 | - | 6.7 | 7.3 | FR 0078 | - | BRWN TILL HARD 0008 GREY TILL HARD 0042 GREY LMSN LYRD 0083 |
| 1534632 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 008 | 4/7/2004 | AC | 61.0 | 12.2 | 6.7 | 6 | UK 0169 UK 0189 | 91.0 | SAND GRVL 0040 GREY LMSN 0180 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 1534633 | NORTH GOWER TOWNSHIP CON 04 008 | 4/5/2004 | DO | 61.0 | 12.3 | 6.7 | - | UK 0130 UK 0144 | 91.0 | SAND GRVL 0040 GREY LMSN 0165 GREY SNDS 0200 |
| 1535992 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP 04 010 | 9/30/2005 | DO | 30.5 | 14.3 | 18.3 | 7.1 | 0082 0094 | 91.0 | SAND BLDL 0047 GREY LMSN 0100 |
| 1536208 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 007 | 11/11/2005 | DO | 57.9 | 13.7 | 16.4 | 5.9 | 0182 | 91.0 | SAND GRVL BLDL 0045 GREY LMSN 0120 GREY SNDS 0190 |
| 7169519 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 009 | 9/16/2011 | DO | 25.8 | 18.5 | - | 6.2 | FR 0063 | 45.0 | BRWN SAND BLDL LOOS 0025 GREY GRVL SAND SHLE 0061 GREY LMSN HARD 0084 |
| 7195941 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 03 008 | 11/29/2012 | DO | 65.5 | 13.1 | 14.9 | 6.3 | UT 0196 UT 0208 | 75.7 | SAND GRVL 0021 SAND CLAY 0043 GREY LMSN 0142 GREY SNDS 0196 GREY SNDS 0208 GREY SNDS 0215 |
| 7371675 | OSGOODE TOWNSHIP CON 04 007 | 7/3/2020 | DO | 43.6 | 14.0 | 15.8 | 4.6 | UT 0062 UT 0100 UT 0135 | 75.7 | BLDR SAND 0046 GREY SHLE LMSN 0143 |
| 7400063 | - | 8/10/2021 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7418274 | - | 3/29/2022 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

<https://www.ontario.ca/page/map-well-records>
"Well Use"

DO Domestic
"Water Detail"
FR Fresh
SA Salty
SU Sulphur
MN Mineral
UK Unknown
GS Gas
IR Iron

| Parameter | 10 th Percentile | 90 th Percentile | Geometric Mean | 10 th Percentile | 90 th Percentile | Geometric Mean |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| WEST OF SITE | | | | | | |
| Static Water Level (m) | 1.5 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 10.8 | 5.4 |
| Casing Length (m) | 7.9 | 14.8 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 19.5 | 13.3 |
| Depth to Bedrock (m) | 4.6 | 13.4 | 8.6 | 12.1 | 18.5 | 14.4 |
| Total Well Depth (m) | 14.4 | 84.8 | 37.3 | 17.6 | 64.5 | 41.2 |
| Bearing Zone Depth (m) | 17.8 | 61.9 | 26.2 | 17.8 | 61.9 | 38.5 |
| Recommended Pump Rate (L/min) | 18.9 | 166.6 | 43.2 | 18.9 | 107.6 | 53.2 |
| Available Drawdown (metres) | 9.6 | 78.9 | 27.7 | 12.4 | 56.8 | 31.6 |
| EAST OF SITE | | | | | | |



TEST WELL RECORDS



Ministry of the Environment

Well T. **A 089354** (30/01/07)
A089354

Well Record

Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act

Page ___ of ___

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Well Owner's Information: Well Constructed by Well Owner

First Name: **Suns of Lakes Development** E-mail Address: _____
 Last Name / Organization: _____
 Mailing Address (Street Number/Name): **6593 Pebble Trail Way, Greely, Ont K4P0B6** Telephone No. (inc. area code): _____
 Municipality: _____ Province: _____ Postal Code: _____

Well Location: _____
 Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): **Stagecoach Road, Osrode** Lot: **8** Concession: **3**
 City/Town/Village: **Greely** Province: **Ontario** Postal Code: _____
 City/Town/Village: **Greely** Other: _____
 Municipal Plan and Sublot Number: _____
 UTM Coordinates: Zone: _____ Easting: **1845404915009857** Northing: _____

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

| General Colour | Most Common Material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth (m) |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | | | 0' - 25' |
| | Sand & Gravel | | | 25' - 32' |
| | Grey Clay | | | 32' - 38' |
| | Gravel + Boulders | | | 38' - 145' |
| | Grey + Brown Limestone | | | 145' - 180' |
| | Grey + White Sandstone | | | |

STATIS DEVELOPMENT

Test Well #1

| Annular Space | Volume Placed (m ³) | Draw Down | Recovery |
|---|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Depth Set at (m): 44' From: 34' To: 0' | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type): Neat Cement Slurry | Time (min): 14' | Water Level (m): 1 |
| From: 34' To: 0' | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type): Neat Grout Slurry | Time (min): 20' | Water Level (m): 2 |

| Method of Construction | Well-Use |
|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional) <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse) <input type="checkbox"/> Boring <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Percussion <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify: _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic <input type="checkbox"/> Livestock <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify: _____ |

Construction Record - Casing

| Inside Diameter (mm) | Open Hole CR Material (Galvanized, Fiberglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) | Wall Thickness (mm) | Depth (m) |
|----------------------|--|---------------------|------------|
| 6" | Steel | 188 | 12' - 44' |
| 5 1/2" | Open hole | | 44' - 180' |

Construction Record - Screen

| Outside Diameter (mm) | Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) | Slot No. | Depth (m) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | | | |

Water Details


| Water found at Depth (m) | Kind of Water | Depth (m) | Diameter (mm) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------|
| 156 (m) | Gas - Other specify: _____ | 0' - 44' | 6" |
| 172 (m) | Gas - Other specify: _____ | 14' - 180' | 5 1/2" |

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor: **Air Rock Drilling Co Ltd** Well Contractors License No: _____
 Business Address (Street Number/Name): **1119** Municipality: **Richmond**
 Province: _____ Postal Code: _____ Business E-mail Address: _____
 Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code): **613-838-2170** Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name): **GRATTIAN EVAN**
 Well Technician's License No.: _____ Signature of Technician and/or Contractor Date Submitted: **12/18/09**

Results of Well Yield Testing

| Time (min) | Water Level (m) | Time (min) | Water Level (m) |
|--------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Static Level | 14' | | |
| 1 | 24.4" | 1 | |
| 2 | 30.7" | 2 | |
| 3 | 34.9" | 3 | |
| 4 | 39.5" | 4 | |
| 5 | 42.6" | 5 | |
| 10 | 57.9" | 10 | |
| 15 | 61.2" | 15 | |
| 20 | 64.6" | 20 | |
| 25 | 67.3" | 25 | |
| 30 | 67.3" | 30 | |
| 40 | 67.2" | 40 | |
| 50 | 67.2" | 50 | |
| 60 | 67.2" | 60 | |



Comments: **Test Well #1**

Well owner's information package delivered: Yes No Date Package Delivered: **2009/12/15**

Well owner's information package delivered: Yes No Date Work Completed: **2009/12/14**

Ministry Use Only
 Audit No.: **Z 108228**

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Page _____ of _____

A089354

Well Owner's Information

First Name: 6980848 Last Name/Organization: Canada Corporation E-mail Address: Well Constructed by Well Owner

Mailing Address (Street Number/Name): #105-7610 Village Centre Place Greely Ont K7A0A8 Municipality: Greely Province: Ontario Postal Code: K7A0A8 Telephone No. (inc. area code):

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): #1600 Stagecoach Road Osgoode Township Lot: 8 Concession: 3

County/District/Municipality: Oshana-Carleton City/Town/Village: Greely Province: Ontario Postal Code:

UTM Coordinates Zone: Easting: 184540 Northing: 4915009857 Municipal Plan and Sublot Number: TW # 1/A Other:

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

| General Colour | Most Common Material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth (m) | From | To |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|------|----|
| | Existing 6" Drilled well Attached | | | 0' | 180' | |
| | 4 INCH LINER INSTALLED | | | 135' | | |
| TW #1 - Siatrix Development - Dec 14, 2009 (PRE TW) | | | | | | |

| Annular Space | | | Volume Placed (m³/ft³) |
|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Depth Set at (m/ft) | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) | | |
| From To | | | |
| 135' 125' | felt Plug | | 1 bail |
| 125' 50' | Neat Cement Slurry | | 3.9 |
| 50' 10' | felt Plug | | 2 bails |

| Results of Well Yield Testing | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| After test of well yield, water was: | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | | | |
| If pumping discontinued, give reason: | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pump intake set at (m/ft) | | | |
| 160' | | | |
| Pumping rate (l/min (GPM)) | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| Duration of pumping | | | |
| 1 hrs 0 min | | | |
| Final water level end of pumping (m/ft) | | | |
| 31.2" | | | |
| If flowing give rate (l/min/GPM) | | | |
| 0 | | | |
| Recommended pump depth (m/ft) | | | |
| 100' | | | |
| Recommended pump rate (l/min/GPM) | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| Well production (l/min/GPM) | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| Disinfected? | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | | |

| Method of Construction | | Well Use | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool | <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond | <input type="checkbox"/> Public | <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional) | <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse) | <input type="checkbox"/> Driving | <input type="checkbox"/> Livestock | <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Boring | <input type="checkbox"/> Digging | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion | | <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | |

| Construction Record - Casing | | | | Status of Well | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| Inside Diameter (cm/in) | Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) | Wall Thickness (cm/in) | Depth (m/ft) | | |
| 4" | Plastic | .250 | 135' 10' | | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Water Supply | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole | |
| | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | |

| Construction Record - Screen | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Outside Diameter (cm/in) | Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) | Slot No. | Depth (m/ft) |
| | | | From To |


| Water Details | | Hole Diameter | |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------|------------------|
| Water found at Depth (m/ft) | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | Depth (m/ft) | Diameter (cm/in) |
| | | From To | |

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor: Air Rock Drill Inc. Well Contractor's Licence No.: 67681

Business Address (Street Number/Name): 6659 Franktown Road Richmond Municipality: K7A0A20

Province: Ontario Postal Code: K7A0A20 Business E-mail Address: One



Comments: 3/4HP - 15GPM Set @ 100 FT

Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code): 6138882170 Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name): HANNA, Jeremy

Well Technician's Licence No.: 13632 Signature of Technician and/or Contractor: Date Submitted: 2009/10/10

Well owner's information package delivered: Yes No

Date Work Completed: 2009/10/10

Ministry Use Only: Audit No.: Z408182 Received:



Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

6980848 CANADA CORP.

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): Cedar Lakes, st Osgoode.
County/District/Municipality: OTTAWA-City
City/Town/Village: Greely
Province: Ontario
Postal Code: K4P1M8
UTM Coordinates Zone, Easting, Northing, Municipal Plan and Subject Number, Other: Black 46

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record table with columns: General Colour, Most Common Material, Other Materials, General Description, Depth (m/ft) From, To. Includes entries for Sand, Gravel, Boulders, Limestone.

Annular Space table with columns: Depth Set at (m/ft) From, To; Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type); Volume Placed (m³/ft³). Includes entry for Quik Vault.

Method of Construction and Well Use checkboxes. Includes options for Cable Tool, Rotary, Boring, etc.

Construction Record - Casing table with columns: Inside Diameter (cm/in), Open Hole OR Material, Wall Thickness (cm/in), Depth (m/ft) From, To. Includes entry for Steel casing.


Construction Record - Screen table with columns: Outside Diameter (cm/in), Material, Slot No., Depth (m/ft) From, To.

Water Details and Hole Diameter tables. Water Details includes depth and kind of water. Hole Diameter includes depth and diameter.

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information. Includes Business Name of Well Contractor (DKB WATER well-Drilling) and Well Contractor's Licence No. (7526).

Well Technician Information. Includes Name of Well Technician (Monette Karl) and Date Submitted (20170919).

Results of Well Yield Testing table with columns: Draw Down (Time, Water Level), Recovery (Time, Water Level). Includes pumping rate and duration data.



Ministry Use Only section including Audit No. (2252213) and Received date (NOV 07 2017).

A 093609

Well Record

Ontario Ministry of the Environment

Well ID: A093609

Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act

Page of

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Well Owner's Information

First Name: Sunset Lakes Development
Last Name / Organization:
Mailing Address: 6598 Pebble Trail Way Greely, Ont. K4R0B6

Well Location

Address of Well Location: (No civic) Empire Grove
Township: Ossington
City/Town/Village: Greely
UTM Coordinates: Zone 18, Easting 453333, Northing 5009666

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record

Table with columns: General Colour, Most Common Material, Other Materials, General Description, Depth (m) From, To. Includes entries for Sand, Gravel & boulders and Grey + brown limestone.

Test Well #5 - SIA TRIS DEVELOPMENT

Table for Annular Space with columns: Depth Set at (m), Type of Sealant Used, Volume Placed (m³). Includes entries for Neat Cement Slurry and Neat Bentonite Slurry.

Method of Construction and Well Use checkboxes. Includes options for Cable Tool, Rotary, and various well uses like Public, Commercial, etc.


Construction Record - Casing table with columns: Inside Diameter, Open Hole OR Material, Wall Thickness, Depth (m) From, To. Includes entries for 6" Steel and 6 1/8" Open hole.

Construction Record - Screen table with columns: Outside Diameter, Material, Slot No., Depth (m) From, To.

Water Details and Hole Diameter table. Includes columns for Water found at Depth, Kind of Water, Depth (m) From, To, and Diameter (cm).

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information section. Includes Business Name (AIR ROCK DRILLING CO LTD), Address (RICHMOND), and Technician Name (GRAHAM EVAN).

Results of Well Yield Testing table. Includes columns for Draw Down (Time, Water Level) and Recovery (Time, Water Level). Includes pumping rate and duration data.



Comments and Ministry Use Only section. Includes date package delivered (20091223), date work completed (20091223), and audit number (2108216).

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

A093609

Page _____ of _____

Well Owner's Information

First Name: 6980848 Last Name/Organization: Canada Corporation E-mail Address: _____ Well Constructed by Well Owner

Mailing Address (Street Number/Name): #105-7610 Village Centre Place Greely Ont K4P0C8 Municipality: Greely Province: Ontario Postal Code: K4P0C8 Telephone No. (inc. area code): _____

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): #1600 Stagecoach Road Greely Township: Greely Lot: 8 Concession: 3
 County/District/Municipality: Ottawa-Carleton City/Town/Village: Greely Province: Ontario Postal Code: _____
 UTM Coordinates: Zone: 18 Easting: 18453333 Northing: 5409666 Municipal Plan and Sublot Number: TW# 3 / C

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

| General Colour | Most Common Material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth (m/ft) From | To |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| | Existing 6" Drilled Well - Attached | | | 0' | 180' |
| | 4 INCH LINER INSTALLED 135 FEET | | | | |

TW#3 - Siaris Development - Dec 23, 2009 (prev TWS)

| Annular Space | | | |
|--------------------------|------|--|---------------------------------|
| Depth Set at (m/ft) From | To | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) | Volume Placed (m ³) |
| 135' | 125' | Pelt Plug | 1 Bail |
| 125' | 50' | Neat Cement Slurry | 3.9 |
| 50' | 10' | Pelt Plug | 2 Bails |

| Method of Construction | | Well Use | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool | <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond | <input type="checkbox"/> Public | <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional) | <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse) | <input type="checkbox"/> Driving | <input type="checkbox"/> Livestock | <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Boring | <input type="checkbox"/> Digging | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air percussion | | <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | |

| Construction Record - Casing | | | | Status of Well | |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---|
| Inside Diameter (cm/in) | Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) | Wall Thickness (cm/in) | Depth (m/ft) From | To | |
| 4" | Plastic | .250 | 135' | 10' | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Supply |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole |
| | | | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify |
| | | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify |


| Construction Record - Screen | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----|
| Outside Diameter (cm/in) | Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) | Slot No. | Depth (m/ft) From | To |
| | | | | |

| Water Details | | Hole Diameter | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|----|
| Water found at Depth (m/ft): _____ | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | Depth (m/ft) From | To |
| Water found at Depth (m/ft): _____ | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | | |
| Water found at Depth (m/ft): _____ | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | | |

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information
 Business Name of Well Contractor: AIR ROCK DRILLING LTD C7681 Well Contractor's Licence No.
 Business Address (Street Number/Name): 6659 Henkstown Road Richmond Municipality: Richmond
 Province: Ont Postal Code: K0A2R0 Business E-mail Address: _____

Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code): 613838079 Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name): ANNA Jeremy
 Well Technician's Licence No.: T3632 Signature of Technician and/or Contractor: _____ Date Submitted: 2023/10/11

| Results of Well Yield Testing | | | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| After test of well yield, water was: | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Clear and sand free | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | | | |
| If pumping discontinued, give reason: | | | |
| X 160' | | | |
| Draw Down | | Recovery | |
| Time (min) | Water Level (m/ft) | Time (min) | Water Level (m/ft) |
| Static Level: 33.2" | | | |
| 1 | 37.9 | 1 | 33.4 |
| 2 | 37.8 | 2 | 33.1 |
| 3 | 40.7 | 3 | 32.9 |
| 4 | 41.2 | 4 | 32.8 |
| 5 | 41.6 | 5 | 32.7 |
| 10 | 42.9 | 10 | 32.4 |
| 15 | 43.6 | 15 | 32.1 |
| 20 | 44. | 20 | 31.9 |
| 25 | 44.3 | 25 | 31.7 |
| 30 | 44.5 | 30 | 31.6 |
| 40 | 44.7 | 40 | 31.4 |
| 50 | 44.8 | 50 | 31.3 |
| 60 | 44.9" | 60 | 31.2 |



Comments: 3/4HP-15GPM Set @ 100 FT

Well owner's information package delivered: Yes No
 Date work completed: 2023/10/11

Ministry Use Only
 Audit No. 2408173
 Received: _____

CERTIFICATE OF WELL COMPLIANCE

I, Jeremy Hanna (License T3632), AIR ROCK DRILLING CO. LTD., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that I am licensed to drill water wells in the Province of Ontario, and that I have supervised the drilling of a well on the

PROPERTY OF: 6980848 CANADA CORPORATION

LOCATED AT : # 1600 STAGECOACH ROAD Greely

LOT # 8 CON # 3 PLAN # ~~ST#~~ TW#5


Geographical Township Osgoode

of OTTAWA - CARLETON

I CERTIFY FURTHER that, I am aware of the well drilling requirements, the guidelines, recommendations and regulations of the Ministry of the Environment governing well installations in the Province of Ontario, and the standards specified in any subdivision agreement and hydrogeological report applicable to this site and City Standards.

AND DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT the said well has been drilled, cased, grouted (cement or bentonite) as applicable and constructed in strict conformity with the standards required.

Signed this 11 TH day of OCTOBER 2023,


Jeremy Hanna (T3632)

Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd. (C-7681)

The Engineer / Hydrologist on behalf of the Landowner set out above Certifies that He/She has Inspected the well and it was constructed in accordance with the specifications In Ministry of Environment Regulation 903

Signed this _____ day of _____,

HYDROLOGIST / ENGINEER
(Signature / STAMP)

2023727
A378947

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Page of

Well Owner's Information

First Name, Last Name/Organization (6980848 Canada Corporation), E-mail Address, Mailing Address (105 - 7610 Village Centre Place), Municipality (Greely), Province (ON), Postal Code (K4P 0C8), Telephone No.

Well Location

Address of Well Location (1600 Stagecoach Road), Township (Osgoode), Lot (8), Concession (3), County/District/Municipality (Ottawa Carleton), City/Town/Village (Greely), Province (Ontario), UTM Coordinates, Northing, Easting, Municipal Plan and Sublot Number, Other (Test Well #5)

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

Table with columns: General Colour, Most Common Material, Other Materials, General Description, Depth (mft) From, To. Includes handwritten entries for Gravel, Limestone, Sandstone, and Boulders.

Annular Space table with columns: Depth Set at (mft) From, To, Type of Sealant Used, Volume Placed (m³). Includes handwritten entries for Neat cement and Bentonite slurry.

Method of Construction and Well Use checkboxes. Includes options like Cable Tool, Rotary, Boring, Air percussion, and various well uses like Domestic, Commercial, Industrial.


Construction Record - Casing table with columns: Inside Diameter (cm/in), Open Hole OR Material, Wall Thickness (cm/in), Depth (mft) From, To, Status of Well. Includes handwritten entries for Steel and Open Hole casings.

Construction Record - Screen table with columns: Outside Diameter (cm/in), Material, Slot No., Depth (mft) From, To. Includes handwritten entries for screen details.

Water Details and Hole Diameter tables. Includes handwritten entries for water depth (186, 194 mft) and hole diameter (9 3/4, 6 inches).

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information. Includes Business Name (Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd.), License No. (C7681), Business Address (5555 Franktown Road), Municipality (Richmond Hill), Province (ON), Postal Code (K0A 2Z0), Business E-mail Address (air-rock@sympatico.ca), Bus. Telephone No. (613-883-2170), Name of Well Technician (Hanna, Jeremy), Well Technician's Licence No. (T3632), Signature, Date Submitted (10/31/2023).

Results of Well Yield Testing table. Includes Draw Down and Recovery columns with handwritten entries for pumping rate (20 GPM), duration (40 min), and water level end of pumping (60.2").



Comments section with handwritten note: 1HP-20GPM Set @ 100ft. Includes Date Package Delivered (2023/10/17) and Ministry Use Only Audit No. (2407939).

CERTIFICATE OF WELL COMPLIANCE

I, Jeremy Hanna (License T3632), **AIR ROCK DRILLING CO. LTD.**, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, that I am licensed to drill water wells in the Province of Ontario, and that I have supervised the drilling of a well on the

PROPERTY OF: 6980848 CANADA CORPORATION

LOCATED AT : # 1600 STAGECOACH ROAD Greely

LOT # 8 CON # 3 PLAN # _____ ~~SAE#~~ TW# 6


Geographical Township Osgoode

of OTTAWA - CARLETON

I CERTIFY FURTHER that, I am aware of the well drilling requirements, the guidelines, recommendations and regulations of the Ministry of the Environment governing well installations in the Province of Ontario, and the standards specified in any subdivision agreement and hydrogeological report applicable to this site and City Standards.

AND DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT the said well has been drilled, cased, grouted (cement or bentonite) as applicable and constructed in strict conformity with the standards required.

Signed this 12 TH day of OCTOBER 2023,



Jeremy Hanna (T3632)

Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd. (C-7681)

The Engineer / Hydrologist on behalf of the Landowner set out above Certifies that He/She has Inspected the well and it was constructed in accordance with the specifications In Ministry of Environment Regulation 903

Signed this _____ day of _____,

HYDROLOGIST / ENGINEER
(Signature / STAMP)

2023728
A378948

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

A378948

TW E

Page _____ of _____

Well Owner's Information

First Name: _____ Last Name/Organization: **6980848 Canada Corporation** E-mail Address: _____ Well Constructed by Well Owner

Mailing Address (Street Number/Name): **105 - 7610 Village Centre Place** Municipality: **Greely** Province: **ON** Postal Code: **K4P 0C8** Telephone No. (inc. area code): _____

Well Location

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): **1600 Stagecoach Road** Township: **Osgoode** Lot: **8** Concession: **3**

County/District/Municipality: **Ottawa Carleton** City/Town/Village: **Greely** Province: **Ontario** Postal Code: _____

UTM Coordinates Zone: **18** Easting: **451633** Northing: **5009731** Municipal Plan and Sublot Number: **(Cedarakes Phase II)** Other: **Test Well #6**

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

| General Colour | Most Common Material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth (m/ft) |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | | | | From To |
| | Sand & Gravel | Boulders | | 0' / 20' |
| Grey & Black | Limestone | | | 20' / 184' |
| Grey & Black | Limestone | | | 184' / 194' |
| Grey & Black | Limestone | | | 194' / 200' |

Annular Space

| Depth Set at (m/ft) | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) | Volume Placed (m³) |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|
| From To | | |
| 131' / 121' | Neat cement | 10.92 |
| 121' / 0' | Bentonite slurry | 54.60 |

Method of Construction

Cable Tool Diamond Rotary (Conventional) Jetting Rotary (Reverse) Driving Boring Air percussion Other, specify _____

Well Use

Domestic Commercial Not used Municipal Dewatering Livestock Test Hole Monitoring Irrigation Cooling & Air Conditioning Industrial Other, specify _____

Construction Record - Casing

| Inside Diameter (cm/in) | Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) | Wall Thickness (cm/in) | Depth (m/ft) | Status of Well |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|--|
| | | | From To | |
| 6 1/4" | Steel | .188" | +2' / 131' | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well <input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ |
| 6" | Open Hole | | 131' / 200' | |

Construction Record - Screen

| Outside Diameter (cm/in) | Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) | Slot No. | Depth (m/ft) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| | | | From To |
| | | | |

Water Details

| Water found at Depth (m/ft) | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 184' / 184' (m/ft) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ |
| 194' / 184' (m/ft) | <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ |

Hole Diameter

| Depth (m/ft) | Diameter (cm/in) |
|--------------|------------------|
| From To | |
| 0' / 131' | 93/4" |
| 131' / 200' | 6" |

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor: **Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd.** Well Contractor's Licence No.: **C7881**

Business Address (Street Number/Name): **6039 Franktown Road** Municipality: **Richmond**

Province: **ON** Postal Code: **K0A 2Z0** Business E-mail Address: **air-rock@sympatico.ca**

Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code): **6133382170** Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name): **Hanna, Jeremy**

Well Technician's Licence No.: **13532** Signature of Technician and/or Contractor: _____ Date Submitted: **10 31**

Results of Well Yield Testing

After test of well yield, water was: Clear and sand free Other, specify **Not tested**

If pumping discontinued, give reason: _____

Pump intake set at (m/ft): **180**

Pumping rate (l/min/GPM): **20**

Duration of pumping: **4** hrs + **0** min

Final water level end of pumping (m/ft): **57.6"**

If flowing give rate (l/min/GPM): _____


Recommended pump depth (m/ft): **100'**

Recommended pump rate (l/min/GPM): **20**

Well production (l/min/GPM): **20**

Disinfected? Yes No

| Time (min) | Draw Down | | Recovery | |
|--------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Water Level (m/ft) | Time (min) | Water Level (m/ft) | Time (min) |
| Static Level | 14'3" | | 57.6" | |
| 1 | 23 | 1 | 39.8 | |
| 2 | 28.5 | 2 | 31.6 | |
| 3 | 32.2 | 3 | 26 | |
| 4 | 35.3 | 4 | 22.1 | |
| 5 | 37.8 | 5 | 19.4 | |
| 10 | 45.5 | 10 | 15.2 | |
| 15 | 49.6 | 15 | 14.3 | |
| 20 | 51.6 | 20 | 14.3 | |
| 25 | 53.1 | 25 | 14.3 | |
| 30 | 54 | 30 | 14.3 | |
| 40 | 55.2 | 40 | 14.3 | |
| 50 | 56.1 | 50 | 14.3 | |
| 60 | 57.6" | 60 | 14'3" | |



Comments: **1HP-20GPM @ 100 FT**

Well owner's information package delivered: Yes No

Date Package Delivered: **2023 10 17**

Ministry Use Only

Audit No.: **2407940**

Received: _____

PRIVATE WELL RECORDS



Tag#: A 229123 (Print Below)
A229123

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Page of

Well Owner's Information

First Name Last Name / Organization E-mail Address Well Constructed by Well Owner

Mailing Address (Street Number/Name) Municipality Province Postal Code Telephone No. (inc. area code)

Well Location Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) Township Lot Concession

County/District/Municipality City/Town/Village Province Postal Code

ITM Coordinates Zone Easting Northing Municipal Plan and Sublot Number Other

Soilburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

Table with columns: General Colour, Most Common Material, Other Materials, General Description, Depth (m) From To

Annular Space table with columns: Depth Set at (m) From To, Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type), Volume Placed (m³)

Method of Construction and Well Use table with checkboxes for Cable Tool, Rotary, Boring, etc.

Construction Record - Casing table with columns: Inside Diameter, Open Hole OR Material, Wall Thickness, Depth, Status of Well

Construction Record - Screen table with columns: Outside Diameter, Material, Slot No., Depth

Water Details and Hole Diameter table with columns: Water found at Depth, Kind of Water, Hole Diameter

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor Well Contractor's Licence No.

Business Address (Street Number/Name) Municipality


Province Postal Code Business E-mail Address

Business Telephone No. (inc. area code) Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)

Well Technician's Licence No. Signature of Technician and/or Contractor Date Submitted

Results of Well Yield Testing table with columns: Draw Down, Recovery, Time, Water Level

Map of Well Location



Comments: 3/4 HP 15 GPM SET AT 100 FEET

Well owner's information package delivered, Date Package Delivered, Date Work Completed, Ministry Use Only

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

A305055

Page _____ of _____

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name): **1826 Cedarlakes Way**
 Township: **Osgoode** Lot: **P/L7** Concession: **3**
 County/District/Municipality: **Ottawa Carleton** City/Town/Village: **Greely** Province: **Ontario** Postal Code: _____
 UTM Coordinates: Zone: **18** Easting: **453577** Northing: **5009848** Municipal Plan and Sublot Number: **4M-1555 (Phase 2)** Other: **SU 20-2**

| General Colour | Most Common Material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth (m) | To |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|------|
| | Sand | 4 Boulders | | 0' | 18' |
| Grey | Limestone | | | 16' | 115' |
| Grey | Limestone | w/ Grey Sandstone Mix | | 115' | 171' |
| Grey | Limestone | w/ Grey Sandstone Mix | | 171' | 177' |
| Grey | Sandstone | | | 177' | 234' |
| Grey | Sandstone | | | 234' | 240' |

| Annular Space | | | |
|------------------|------|----|--|
| Depth Set at (m) | From | To | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) |
| 131' | 121' | | Neat cement |
| 121' | 0' | | Bentonite slurry |
| | | | Volume Placed (m³) |
| | | | 12.4 |
| | | | 25.2 |

| Method of Construction | | Well Use | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cable Tool | <input type="checkbox"/> Diamond | <input type="checkbox"/> Public | <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Conventional) | <input type="checkbox"/> Jetting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic | <input type="checkbox"/> Municipal |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Rotary (Reverse) | <input type="checkbox"/> Driving | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Livestock | <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Roring | <input type="checkbox"/> Digging | <input type="checkbox"/> Irrigation | <input type="checkbox"/> Cooling & Air Conditioning |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Percussion | | <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial | <input type="checkbox"/> Not used |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | | <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring |

| Construction Record - Casing | | | | Status of Well | |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------|----------------|------|
| Inside Diameter (cm) | Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) | Wall Thickness (cm) | Depth (m) | From | To |
| 6 1/4" | Steel | .188" | +2' | 131' | 131' |
| 5 15/16" | Open Hole | | 131' | 131' | 240' |

| Construction Record - Screen | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Outside Diameter (cm/in) | Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) | Slot No. | Depth (m/ft) |
| | | | From To |

| Water Details | | Hole Diameter | |
|---|--|---------------|---------------|
| Water found at Depth: 171 (m) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untested | Depth (m) | Diameter (cm) |
| Water found at Depth: 234 (m) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untested | From To | |
| Water found at Depth: _____ (m/ft) <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____ | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input type="checkbox"/> Untested | 0' 131' | 9 3/4" |
| | | 131' 240' | 5 15/16" |

| Well Contractor and Well Technician Information | | | |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| Business Name of Well Contractor: Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd. | | Well Contractor's Licence No.: 7681 | |
| Business Address (Street Number/Name): 6658 Franktown Road | | Municipality: Richmond | |
| Province: ON | Postal Code: K0A 2Z0 | Business E-mail Address: air-rock@sympatico.ca | |
| Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code): 61383217D | Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name): Hanna, Jeremy | | |
| Well Technician's Licence No.: T3632 | Signature of Technician and/or Contractor: _____ | | Date Submitted: 2020 11 30 |

| Results of Well Yield Testing | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| Time (min) | Draw Down | | Recovery | |
| | Water Level (m/ft) | Time (min) | Water Level (m/ft) | Time (min) |
| Static Level | 14'3" | | 154'3" | |
| 1 | 28.3 | 1 | 111 | |
| 2 | 36.2 | 2 | 101 | |
| 3 | 44.8 | 3 | 92.6 | |
| 4 | 52.5 | 4 | 84.4 | |
| 5 | 54.3 | 5 | 78.5 | |
| 10 | 85.3 | 10 | 45 | |
| 15 | 102 | 15 | 25 | |
| 20 | 113 | 20 | 15.2 | |
| 25 | 121 | 25 | 14.3 | |
| 30 | 126 | 30 | 14.3 | |
| 40 | 136 | 40 | 14.3 | |
| 50 | 146 | 50 | 14.3 | |
| 60 | 154'3" | 60 | 14'3" | |

After test of well yield, water was: Clear and sand free Other, specify **Not tested**

If pumping discontinued, give reason: **X**

Pump intake set at (m/ft): **220**

Pumping rate (l/min/GPM): **18**

Duration of pumping: **4** hrs + **0** min

Final water level end of pumping (m/ft): **154'3"**

If flowing give rate (l/min/GPM): **X**

Recommended pump depth (m/ft): **180'**

Recommended pump rate (l/min/GPM): **18**

Well production (l/min/GPM): **18**

Disinfected? Yes No

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back:

#1826 CEDAR LAKES WAY

Stagecoach Road

135ft

0.5KM

Comments: **1HP-15GPM Set @ 180 FT**

| Well owner's information package delivered | | Date Package Delivered | | Ministry Use Only | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|----|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | Y | M | D | Audit No. 2344113 |
| | | 2020 | 11 | 11 | Received JAN 08 2021 |



Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

W A144728

Well Owner's Information

First Name, Last Name / Organization (Trillium Homes), E-mail Address, Mailing Address (519 St. Pierre Road), Municipality (Vars), Province (ON), Postal Code (K0A 3H0), Telephone No.

Well Location

Address of Well Location (1950 Cedarlakes Way), Township (Osgoode), Lot (P/L 7), Concession (3), County/District/Municipality (Ottawa-Carleton), City/Town/Village (Green), Province (Ontario), Postal Code, UTM Coordinates, Municipal Plan and Sublot Number (4M-1479), Other (S/L 29)

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record

Table with columns: General Colour, Most Common Material, Other Materials, General Description, Depth (m/ft) From, To. Rows include Boulders, Sand/Clay, Limestone, Sandstone, and Limestone.

Annular Space table with columns: Depth Set at (m/ft) From, To; Type of Sealant Used; Volume Placed (m³/ft³). Rows for Neat cement and Bentonite slurry.

Method of Construction and Well Use checkboxes. Includes Cable Tool, Rotary, Boring, Air percussion, Diamond, Jetting, Digging, Public, Commercial, Domestic, Municipal, Test Hole, Cooling & Air Conditioning, Not used, Dewatering, Monitoring, Industrial, and Other.


Construction Record - Casing table with columns: Inside Diameter (cm/in), Open Hole OR Material, Wall Thickness (cm/in), Depth (m/ft) From, To, Status of Well. Includes Steel and Open Hole entries.

Construction Record - Screen table with columns: Outside Diameter (cm/in), Material, Slot No., Depth (m/ft) From, To, Status of Well.

Water Details and Hole Diameter tables. Water Details includes depth and kind of water (Fresh/Untested). Hole Diameter includes depth and diameter.

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information. Includes Business Name (Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd.), Business Address (6659 Franktown Road), Province (ON), Postal Code (K0A 2Z0), Business E-mail Address (air-rock@sympatico.ca), and Well Technician (Hogan, Dan).

Results of Well Yield Testing table. Columns: Draw Down (Time, Water Level), Recovery (Time, Water Level). Includes pumping rate (20 GPM), duration (1 hr), and static level (48').



Comments: 1 HP - 15 GPM SET @ 100 FT

Well owner's information package delivered (Yes/No), Date Package Delivered (2014 05 27), Date Work Completed (2014 05 22), Well owner's signature, and Date Submitted (2014 06 30).

Ministry Use Only section with Audit No. (Z 166899) and Received date (JUN 24 2014).



Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Page of

A144727

Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) **1858 Cedarlakes Way** Township **Osgoode** Lot **P/L 7** Concession **3**

County/District/Municipality **Ottawa-Carleton** City/Town/Village **Greely** Province **Ontario** Postal Code

UTM Coordinates Zone Easting Northing Municipal Plan and Sublot Number Other
 NAD 8 3 18 453401 5009822 4M-1479 S/L 30

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions on the back of this form)

| General Colour | Most Common Material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth (m/ft) | |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|------|
| | | | | From | To |
| | Sandy | Clay | | 0' | 11' |
| | Gravel | Boulders | | 11' | 29' |
| Grey | Limestone | | | 29' | 180' |
| Grey | Limestone | | | 180' | 190' |
| Grey & White | Sandstone | | | 190' | 248' |
| Grey & White | Sandstone | | | 248' | 294' |
| Grey & White | Sandstone | | | 294' | 300' |

Annular Space

| Depth Set at (m/ft) | Type of Sealant Used (Material and Type) | Volume Placed (m³/ft³) |
|---------------------|--|------------------------|
| From 132' To 122' | Neat cement | 7.8 |
| 122' To 0' | Bentonite slurry | 50.4 |

Results of Well Yield Testing

| Time (min) | Water Level (m/ft) | Recovery | |
|--------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | Time (min) | Water Level (m/ft) |
| Static Level | 25.5" | | 29.8" |
| 1 | 28.7 | 1 | 25.5 |
| 2 | 29.1 | 2 | 25.5 |
| 3 | 29.3 | 3 | 25.5 |
| 4 | 29.4 | 4 | 25.5 |
| 5 | 29.4 | 5 | 25.5 |
| 10 | 29.5 | 10 | 25.5 |
| 15 | 29.5 | 15 | 25.5 |
| 20 | 29.6 | 20 | 25.5 |
| 25 | 29.6 | 25 | 25.5 |
| 30 | 29.6 | 30 | 25.5 |
| 40 | 29.7 | 40 | 25.5 |
| 50 | 29.8 | 50 | 25.5 |
| 60 | 29.8" | 60 | 25.5" |

After test of well yield, water was:
 Clear and sand free
 Other, specify **Not tested**

If pumping discontinued, give reason:
 X

Pump intake set at (m/ft) **280**

Pumping rate (l/min / GPM) **20**

Duration of pumping **1** hrs + **0** min

Final water level end of pumping (m/ft) **29.8"**

If flowing give rate (l/min / GPM) **X**

Recommended pump depth (m/ft) **100'**

Recommended pump rate (l/min / GPM) **20**

Well production (l/min / GPM) **20**

Disinfected? Yes No

Method of Construction

Cable Tool Diamond Public Commercial Not used
 Rotary (Conventional) Jetting Domestic Municipal Dewatering
 Rotary (Reverse) Driving Livestock Test Hole Monitoring
 Boring Digging Irrigation Cooling & Air Conditioning
 Air percussion Industrial Other, specify
 Other, specify

Construction Record - Casing

| Inside Diameter (cm/in) | Open Hole OR Material (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) | Wall Thickness (cm/in) | Depth (m/ft) | | Status of Well |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------|------|--|
| | | | From | To | |
| 6 1/4" | Steel | .188" | +2' | 132' | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Replacement Well <input type="checkbox"/> Test Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Recharge Well <input type="checkbox"/> Dewatering Well <input type="checkbox"/> Observation and/or Monitoring Hole <input type="checkbox"/> Alteration (Construction) <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Insufficient Supply <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, Poor Water Quality <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned, other, specify <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify |
| 5 15/16" | Open Hole | | 132' | 300' | |

Construction Record - Screen

| Outside Diameter (cm/in) | Material (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) | Slot No. | Depth (m/ft) | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|----|
| | | | From | To |
| | | | | |

Water Details

| Water found at Depth (m/ft) | Kind of Water: <input type="checkbox"/> Fresh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Untested <input type="checkbox"/> Gas <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify | Hole Diameter |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 180 | | Depth (m/ft) From To Diameter (cm/in) |
| 248 | | 0' 132' 9 3/4" |
| 294 | | 132' 300' 5 15/16" |

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information

Business Name of Well Contractor **Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd.** Well Contractor's Licence No. **1119**

Business Address (Street Number/Name) **6050 Frankton Road, RR#1** Municipality **Richmond**

Province **ON** Postal Code **K0A 2Z0** Business E-mail Address **air-rock@sympatico.ca**

Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) **6138382170** Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name) **Hanna, Jeremy**

Well Technician's Licence No. **T3632** Signature of Technician and/or Contractor Date Submitted **2014 06 30**

Map of Well Location

Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.

Comments: **3/4 HP - 15 GPM SET @ 100 FT**

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| Well owner's information package delivered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | Date Package Delivered 2014 05 27 | Ministry Use Only Audit No. Z 166907 Received SEP 02 2014 |
| Date Work Completed 2014 05 26 | | |



Ministry of the Environment

Tag#: A135456

Well Record form header with tag number and well ID

Well Record

Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act

Measurements recorded in: Metric Imperial

Page of

Well Owner's Information

Well Owner's Information form fields: First Name, Last Name, Organization, E-mail Address, Mailing Address, Municipality, Province, Postal Code, Telephone No.

Well Location

Well Location form fields: Address of Well Location, Township, Lot, Concession, County/District/Municipality, City/Town/Village, Province, Postal Code, UTM Coordinates, Northing, Municipal Plan and Sublot Number, Other

Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record

Table with columns: General Colour, Most Common Material, Other Materials, General Description, Depth (m) From, To

Annular Space table with columns: Depth Set at (m) From, To, Type of Sealant Used, Volume Placed

Results of Well Yield Testing table with columns: Draw Down, Recovery, Time, Water Level, Static Level


Method of Construction and Well Use form fields

Construction Record - Casing table with columns: Inside Diameter, Open Hole OR Material, Wall Thickness, Depth, Status of Well

Construction Record - Screen table with columns: Outside Diameter, Material, Slot No., Depth

Water Details and Hole Diameter table with columns: Water found at Depth, Kind of Water, Depth, Diameter

Well Contractor and Well Technician Information form fields



Comments: 3/4 HP 15 GPM SET @ 100 FEET

Business Name of Well Contractor, Well Contractor's Licence No., Business E-mail Address, Bus. Telephone No., Name of Well Technician, Signature of Technician and/or Contractor, Date Submitted

Well owner's information package delivered, Date Package Delivered, Date Work Completed, Ministry Use Only, Audit No., Received

Instructions for Completing Form

- For use in the **Province of Ontario** only. This document is a permanent **legal** document. Please retain for future reference.
- All Sections **must** be completed in full to avoid delays in processing. Further instructions and explanations are available on the back of this form.
- Questions regarding completing this application can be directed to the Water Well Management Coordinator at 416-235-6203.
- **All metre measurements shall be reported to 1/10th of a metre.**
- Please print clearly in blue or black ink only.

Well Owner's Information and Location of Well Information

MUN **15009** CON **CON** 03 LOT **04**

RR#/Street Number/Name **0 Ottawa Carleton** City/Town/Village **Osgoode** Site/Compartment/Block/Tract etc. **6+7 3**
 GPS Reading **6342 Elkwood** Unit Make/Model **Greely** Mode of Operation: Undifferentiated Averaged
 NAD Zone Easting Northing **18 452820 5009435** magellan

Log of Overburden and Bedrock Materials (see instructions)

| General Colour | Most common material | Other Materials | General Description | Depth Metres | |
|----------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|------|
| | | | | From | To |
| grey | clay limestone | gravel | | 0 | 9.14 |
| | | | | 9.14 | 24.4 |

Hole Diameter

| Depth From | Metres To | Diameter Centimetres |
|------------|-----------|----------------------|
| 0 | 24.4 | 15.55 |

Construction Record

| Inside diam centimetres | Material | Wall thickness centimetres | Depth Metres | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------|------|
| | | | From | To |
| 15.88 | Steel <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fibreglass <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Plastic <input type="checkbox"/> Concrete <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Galvanized | .48 | 0 | 10.7 |

Screen

Outside diam Steel Fibreglass Plastic Concrete Galvanized Slot No.

No Casing or Screen

Open hole

Test of Well Yield

| Pumping test method | Draw Down | | Recovery | |
|---|-----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | Time min | Water Level Metres | Time min | Water Level Metres |
| Subpump | | | | |
| Pump intake set at (metres) | 21.3 | Static Level 2.08 | | |
| Pumping rate - (litres/min) | 1 | 3.70 | 1 | 8.26 |
| Duration of pumping | 2 | 4.62 | 2 | 5.60 |
| Final water level end of pumping (metres) | 3 | 5.60 | 3 | 4.65 |
| Recommended pump type | 4 | 6.40 | 4 | 3.99 |
| Recommended pump depth (metres) | 5 | 7.09 | 5 | 3.51 |
| Recommended pump rate (litres/min) | 10 | 8.55 | 10 | 2.51 |
| If flowing give rate - (litres/min) | 15 | 9.68 | 15 | 2.33 |
| | 20 | 10.0 | 20 | 2.20 |
| | 25 | 10.17 | 25 | 2.16 |
| If pumping discontinued, give reason. | 30 | 10.27 | 30 | 2.13 |
| | 40 | 10.3 | 40 | 2.08 |
| | 50 | 10.3 | 50 | 2.08 |
| | 60 | 10.3 | 60 | 2.08 |

Water Record

Water found at **15.2** Kind of Water Fresh Sulphur Salty Minerals Other: **NOT**

21.0 Fresh Sulphur Salty Minerals Other: **tested**

22.2 Fresh Sulphur Salty Minerals Other: **tested**

After test of well yield, water was Clear and sediment free Other, specify: **NOT tested**

Chlorinated Yes No

Plugging and Sealing Record Annular space Abandonment

| Depth set at - Metres From | To | Material and type (bentonite slurry, neat cement slurry) etc. | Volume Placed (cubic metres) |
|----------------------------|-----|---|------------------------------|
| 10.0 | 7.0 | Cement Slurry | 0.1770 |
| 7.0 | 0 | bentonite slurry | 1.180 |

Method of Construction

Cable Tool Rotary (air) Diamond Digging
 Rotary (conventional) Air percussion Jetting Other
 Rotary (reverse) Boring Driving

Water Use

Domestic Industrial Public Supply Other
 Stock Commercial Not used
 Irrigation Municipal Cooling & air conditioning

Final Status of Well

Water Supply Recharge well Unfinished Abandoned, (Other)
 Observation well Abandoned, insufficient supply Dewatering
 Test Hole Abandoned, poor quality Replacement well

Well Contractor/Technician Information

Name of Well Contractor **A. Koch Drilling Ltd** Well Contractor's Licence No. **1119**
 Business Address (street name, number, city etc.) **Rte 1 Richmond, Ont**
 Name of Well Technician (last name, first name) **Durvell Shannon** Well Technician's Licence No. **12122**
 Signature of Technician/Contractor **[Signature]** Date Submitted **2004 07 16**

Location of Well

In diagram below show distances of well from road, lot line, and building. Indicate north by arrow.

Audit No. **Z 14581** Date Well Completed **2004 07 12**
 Was the well owner's information package delivered? Yes No **2004 07 13**

Ministry Use Only

Data Source **1119** Contractor **1119**
 Date Received **JUL 21 2004** Date of Inspection **2004 07 12**
 Remarks **1534798** Well Record Number **1534798**



APPENDIX C


Borehole Logs

RECORD OF BOREHOLE 23-1

CLIENT: ARK Engineering and Development
 PROJECT: Hydrogeological Investigation and Terrain Analysis, Proposed Residential Subdivision, 1600 Stagecoach Road, Ottawa, Ontario
 JOB#: 100554.003
 LOCATION: 1600 Stagecoach - Refer to Figure 1 for location.

SHEET: 1 OF 1
 DATUM: CGVD2013
 BORING DATE: Sep 21 2023

| DEPTH SCALE METRES | BORING METHOD | SOIL PROFILE | | SAMPLES | | | | PENETRATION RESISTANCE (N), BLOWS/0.3m | | SHEAR STRENGTH (Cu), kPA | | ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING | PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|---------------|------|--------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | DESCRIPTION | STRATA PLOT | ELEV. DEPTH (m) | NUMBER | TYPE | RECOVERY, mm | BLOWS/0.3m | ▲ DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m | ● PENETRATION RESISTANCE (N), BLOWS/0.3m | + NATURAL ⊕ REMOULDED | | | WATER CONTENT, % Wp — W — Wl |
| 0 | Auger Hollow Stem Auger (210mm OD) | Ground Surface | | 100.23 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Loose, brown SAND | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Compact, grey brown, SAND, some gravel, trace silt | | 98.71 1.52 | 2 | SS | 430 | 18 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Compact, grey brown, SAND, trace gravel, trace silt | | 97.94 2.29 | 3 | SS | 600 | 19 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Stiff, grey brown, SILTY CLAY (WEATHERED CRUST) | | 96.32 3.91 | 5 | SS | 300 | 10 | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Compact, grey brown, CLAYEY SILT, some gravel, trace sand, with possible cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL) | | 95.81 4.42 | 6 | SS | 400 | 11 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | End of Borehole | | 94.29 5.94 | 7 | SS | 360 | 21 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| DATE | DEPTH (m) | ELEV. (m) |
| 23/09/21 | 1.4 | 98.8 |
| 23/10/19 | 1.4 | 98.8 |

GEO - BOREHOLE LOG - 100554.003.GPJ - GEMTEC 2018.GDT - 12/7/23




LOGGED: SE
 CHECKED: BR

RECORD OF BOREHOLE 23-2

CLIENT: ARK Engineering and Development
 PROJECT: Hydrogeological Investigation and Terrain Analysis, Proposed Residential Subdivision, 1600 Stagecoach Road, Ottawa, Ontario
 JOB#: 100554.003
 LOCATION: 1600 Stagecoach - Refer to Figure 1 for location.

SHEET: 1 OF 1
 DATUM: CGVD2013
 BORING DATE: Sep 21 2023

| DEPTH SCALE METRES | BORING METHOD | SOIL PROFILE | | SAMPLES | | | | PENETRATION RESISTANCE (N), BLOWS/0.3m | | SHEAR STRENGTH (Cu), kPA | | ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING | PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|---------------|------|--------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | DESCRIPTION | STRATA PLOT | ELEV. DEPTH (m) | NUMBER | TYPE | RECOVERY, mm | BLOWS/0.3m | ▲ DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m | ● PENETRATION RESISTANCE (N), BLOWS/0.3m | + NATURAL ⊕ REMOULDED | | | WATER CONTENT, % Wp — W — Wl |
| 0 | Auger Hollow Stem Auger (210mm OD) | Ground Surface | | 98.35 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Loose, grey brown, SAND, trace silt | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | 1 | SS | 350 | 5 | ● | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | 2 | SS | 300 | 6 | ● | | | | | |
| | | | Stiff, grey brown, SILTY CLAY to CLAYEY SILT (WEATHERED CRUST) | | 96.06 2.29 | 3 | SS | 400 | 1 | ● | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | 4 | SS | 550 | 2 | ● | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | 5 | SS | 650 | 2 | ● | | | | | |
| 5 | | Compact, grey brown, SAND AND GRAVEL, some silt, with possible cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL) | | 93.17 5.18 | 6 | SS | 600 | WH | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | 7 | SS | 300 | 16 | ● | | | | | | |
| 6 | | End of Borehole | | 92.41 5.94 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



| GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| DATE | DEPTH (m) | ELEV. (m) |
| 23/09/21 | -0.3 | 98.6 |
| 23/10/19 | -0.3 | 98.6 |

GEO - BOREHOLE LOG - 100554.003.GPJ - GEMTEC 2018.GDT - 12/7/23




LOGGED: SE
 CHECKED: BR

RECORD OF BOREHOLE 23-3

CLIENT: ARK Engineering and Development
 PROJECT: Hydrogeological Investigation and Terrain Analysis, Proposed Residential Subdivision, 1600 Stagecoach Road, Ottawa, Ontario
 JOB#: 100554.003
 LOCATION: 1600 Stagecoach - Refer to Figure 1 for location.

SHEET: 1 OF 1
 DATUM: CGVD2013
 BORING DATE: Sep 21 2023

| DEPTH SCALE METRES | BORING METHOD | SOIL PROFILE | | SAMPLES | | | | PENETRATION RESISTANCE (N), BLOWS/0.3m | | SHEAR STRENGTH (Cu), kPA | | ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING | PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------|------|--------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | DESCRIPTION | STRATA PLOT | ELEV. DEPTH (m) | NUMBER | TYPE | RECOVERY, mm | BLOWS/0.3m | ▲ DYNAMIC PENETRATION RESISTANCE, BLOWS/0.3m | ● PENETRATION RESISTANCE (N), BLOWS/0.3m | + NATURAL ⊕ REMOULDED | | |
| 0 | Auger Hollow Stem Auger (210mm OD) | Ground Surface | | 98.67 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Brown SILTY SAND, some gravel | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | Compact, grey brown, SILTY SAND, some gravel | | 97.91 0.76 | 1 | SS | 320 | 19 | ● | | | |
| | | | Compact, grey brown SANDY SILT, trace gravel, trace clay | | 97.30 1.37 | 2 | SS | 490 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | Loose to dense, grey brown, SILTY SAND, some gravel, trace clay, with possible cobbles and boulders (GLACIAL TILL) | | 95.77 2.90 | 3 | SS | 150 | 19 | ● | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | End of Borehole | | 92.73 5.94 | | | | | | | | | |



| GROUNDWATER OBSERVATIONS | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| DATE | DEPTH (m) | ELEV. (m) |
| 23/09/21 | 0.6 | 98.1 |
| 23/10/19 | 0.7 | 98.0 |

GEO - BOREHOLE LOG - 100554.003.GPJ - GEMTEC 2018.GDT - 12/7/23



APPENDIX D

Water Quality Results and Lab Certificates

Correlating Well IDs for Lab Reports

| Summary Table ID | Lab Report ID |
|------------------|---------------|
| TW A | TW 1 |
| TW B | TW 2 |
| TW C | TW 3 |
| TW D | TW 4 |
| TW E | TW 5 |



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Summary of Test Well Water Quality Measurements

| Parameter | Units | TW A | | | TW B | | | TW C | | | TW D | | | TW E | | | Ontario Drinking Water Standard | Type of Standard |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | | TWA-3hr 11/08/2023 10:30 AM | TWA-6hr 11/08/2023 11:30 AM | TWA-6hr 11/08/2023 12:30 PM | TWB-3hr 11/02/2023 11:15 AM | TWB-6hr 11/02/2023 02:15 PM | TWB-6hr 11/02/2023 02:15 PM | TWC-3hr 10/30/2023 01:00 PM | TWC-6hr 10/30/2023 04:00 PM | TWC-6hr 10/30/2023 04:00 PM | TWD-3hr 10/30/2023 01:00 PM | TWD-6hr 10/30/2023 04:00 PM | TWD-6hr 10/30/2023 04:00 PM | TWE-3hr 10/30/2023 01:00 PM | TWE-6hr 10/30/2023 04:00 PM | TWE-6hr 10/30/2023 04:00 PM | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E. Coli | CFU/100mL | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | 0 | MAC |
| Total Coliforms | CFU/100mL | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | 1 | ND (1) | NA | 14 | 8 | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | 3 | 10 | NA | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | CFU/100mL | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | ND (1) | ND (1) | NA | 0 | MAC |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | CFU/mL | 30 | ND (10) | NA | ND (10) | ND (10) | NA | 10 | 20 | NA | 60 | 30 | NA | 20 | 10 | NA | - | - |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | mg/L | 218 | 232 | NA | 353 | 352 | NA | 249 | 249 | NA | 267 | 268 | NA | 238 | 238 | NA | 30-500 | OG |
| Ammonia as N | mg/L | 0.27 | 0.20 | NA | ND (0.01) | 0.02 | NA | 0.13 | 0.11 | NA | 0.20 | 0.19 | NA | 0.12 | 0.08 | NA | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | mg/L | 1.4 | 1.2 | NA | 1.4 | 1.4 | NA | 1.2 | 1.2 | NA | 1.5 | 1.6 | NA | 1.0 | 0.7 | NA | 10 | MAC |
| Colour | TCU | 2 | ND (2) | NA | ND (2) | ND (2) | NA | 2 | 2 | NA | ND (2) | ND (2) | NA | 2 | ND (2) | NA | 5 | AO |
| Colour, apparent | ACU | 28 | 23 | NA | 17 | 15 | NA | 9 | 9 | NA | 37 | 28 | NA | 33 | 32 | NA | 5 | AO |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 737 | 826 | NA | 1540 | 1480 | NA | 724 | 752 | NA | 1030 | 1020 | NA | 758 | 751 | NA | 80-100 | OG |
| Hardness | mg/L | 300 | 326 | NA | 469 | 465 | NA | 345 | 342 | NA | 373 | 388 | NA | 356 | 362 | NA | - | - |
| pH | pH Units | 8.3 | 8.3 | NA | 7.9 | 7.9 | NA | 8.0 | 8.0 | NA | 8.0 | 8.0 | NA | 8.1 | 8.1 | NA | 6.5-8.5 | OG |
| Phenolics | mg/L | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | 500 | AO |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 432 | 476 | NA | 916 | 900 | NA | 422 | 426 | NA | 562 | 588 | NA | 416 | 410 | NA | 500 | AO |
| Sulphide | mg/L | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | NA | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | NA | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | NA | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | NA | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | NA | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | mg/L | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.3 | 0.2 | NA | 0.2 | 0.2 | NA | 0.1 | 0.2 | NA | 0.3 | 0.3 | NA | 0.2 | 0.1 | NA | 0.15 | MAC |
| Turbidity | NTU | 3.1 | 2.3 | NA | 2.2 | 2.0 | NA | 1.0 | 0.8 | NA | 5.0 | 3.7 | NA | 5.5 | 5.2 | NA | 5 | AO |
| Anions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 85 | 99 | NA | 246 | 243 | NA | 61 | 61 | NA | 140 | 143 | NA | 68 | 68 | NA | 250 | AO |
| Fluoride | mg/L | 0.2 | 0.1 | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | 0.1 | 0.1 | NA | 0.1 | 0.1 | NA | 1.5 | MAC |
| Nitrate as N | mg/L | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | 1.8 | 1.6 | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | NA | 10(4) | MAC |
| Nitrite as N | mg/L | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | NA | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | NA | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | NA | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | NA | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | NA | 1.0(4) | MAC |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 50 | 60 | NA | 123 | 125 | NA | 68 | 68 | NA | 82 | 83 | NA | 65 | 64 | NA | 500 | AO |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | mg/L | NA | NA | ND (0.0001) | NA | NA | ND (0.0001) | NA | NA | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | 0.001 | - |
| Aluminum | mg/L | NA | 0.135 | 0.019 | NA | 0.006 | ND (0.001) | NA | 0.003 | ND (0.001) | NA | 0.062 | 0.003 | NA | 0.087 | 0.002 | 0.1 | OG |
| Antimony | mg/L | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | 0.006 | MAC |
| Arsenic | mg/L | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | 0.025 | MAC |
| Barium | mg/L | NA | 0.218 | 0.211 | NA | 0.143 | 0.138 | NA | 0.157 | 0.155 | NA | 0.212 | 0.206 | NA | 0.152 | 0.147 | 1 | MAC |
| Beryllium | mg/L | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | - | - |
| Boron | mg/L | NA | 0.09 | 0.09 | NA | 0.05 | 0.04 | NA | 0.02 | 0.02 | NA | 0.07 | 0.07 | NA | 0.04 | 0.04 | 5 | MAC |
| Cadmium | mg/L | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | 0.005 | MAC |
| Calcium | mg/L | 62.6 | 68.3 | 67.4 | 121 | 120 | 119 | 71.3 | 70.9 | 70.2 | 82.5 | 84.9 | 95.2 | 75.7 | 74.3 | 76.1 | - | - |
| Chromium | mg/L | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | 0.05 | MAC |
| Cobalt | mg/L | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | - | - |
| Copper | mg/L | MA | ND (0.0005) | 0.0009 | NA | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | 0.0005 | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | 1 | AO |
| Iron | mg/L | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | ND (0.1) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | AO |
| Lead | mg/L | NA | 0.0002 | ND (0.0001) | NA | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | 0.0001 | ND (0.0001) | 0.01 | MAC |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 35.0 | 37.7 | 36.6 | 40.7 | 40.1 | 40.4 | 40.6 | 40.1 | 38.6 | 40.6 | 42.7 | 46.0 | 40.5 | 42.9 | 41.5 | - | - |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.026 | 0.028 | 0.029 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 0.031 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.026 | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.031 | 0.026 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.05 | AO |
| Molybdenum | mg/L | NA | 0.0192 | 0.0192 | NA | 0.0667 | 0.0683 | NA | 0.0041 | 0.0040 | NA | 0.0062 | 0.0072 | NA | 0.0085 | 0.0087 | - | - |
| Nickel | mg/L | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | 0.021 | 0.021 | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | - | - |
| Potassium | mg/L | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | - | - |
| Selenium | mg/L | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | 0.01 | MAC |
| Silver | mg/L | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | NA | ND (0.0001) | ND (0.0001) | - | - |
| Sodium | mg/L | 41.2 | 47.5 | 48.2 | 130 | 126 | 128 | 14.2 | 14.2 | 13.7 | 61.4 | 61.9 | 68.4 | 37.1 | 37.3 | 36.2 | 200 (20) ¹ | AO |
| Strontium | mg/L | NA | 1.46 | 1.44 | NA | 0.44 | 0.43 | NA | 0.53 | 0.52 | NA | 1.04 | 1.11 | NA | 0.54 | 0.53 | - | - |
| Thallium | mg/L | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | NA | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | - | - |
| Uranium | mg/L | NA | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | NA | 0.0042 | 0.0040 | NA | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | NA | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | NA | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | 0.02 | MAC |
| Vanadium | mg/L | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | NA | ND (0.0005) | ND (0.0005) | - | - |
| Zinc | mg/L | NA | ND (0.005) | ND (0.005) | NA | ND (0.005) | ND (0.005) | NA | ND (0.005) | ND (0.005) | NA | ND (0.005) | ND (0.005) | NA | ND (0.005) | 0.007 | 5 | AO |

Notes:

NA: Not Analyzed

ND: Non-Detect

MAC: Maximum Acceptable Concentration

AO: Aesthetic Objective

OG: Operational Guideline

1 - The aesthetic objective for sodium in drinking water is 200 mg/L. The local Medical Officer of Health should be notified when the sodium concentration exceeds 20 mg/L so that this information may be communicated to local physicians for their use with patients on sodium restricted diets.



Summary of Private Well Water Quality Measurements

| Parameter | Units | PW-1794 | PW-1826 | PW-1850 | PW-1858 | PW-1922 | PW-6266 | PW-6342 | Ontario Drinking Water Standard | Type of Standard |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | | 11/08/2023 10:30 AM | 11/08/2023 11:30 AM | 11/08/2023 12:30 PM | 11/08/2023 01:30 PM | 11/08/2023 02:30 PM | 11/28/2023 10:30 AM | 11/28/2023 11:30 AM | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | | |
| E. Coli | CFU/100mL | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | 0 | MAC |
| Total Coliforms | CFU/100mL | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | CFU/100mL | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | ND (1) | 0 | MAC |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | CFU/mL | ND (10) | ND (10) | 100 | 10 | 220 | 90 | ND (10) | - | - |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | mg/L | 299 | 288 | 304 | 281 | 247 | 324 | 295 | 30-500 | OG |
| Ammonia as N | mg/L | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.18 | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | mg/L | 1.1 | 1 | 1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 10 | MAC |
| Colour | TCU | 2 | ND (2) | ND (2) | ND (2) | ND (2) | 6 | 3 | 5 | AO |
| Colour, apparent | ACU | 228 | 28 | 159 | 85 | 120 | 167 | 92 | 5 | AO |
| Conductivity | uS/cm | 1420 | 1400 | 916 | 1380 | 1230 | 1090 | 963 | 80-100 | OG |
| Hardness | mg/L | 474 | 468 | 434 | 458 | 421 | 415 | 359 | - | - |
| pH | pH Units | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 6.5-8.5 | OG |
| Phenolics | mg/L | 0.001 | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | ND (0.001) | 500 | AO |
| Total Dissolved Solids | mg/L | 844 | 788 | 534 | 764 | 678 | 672 | 534 | 500 | AO |
| Sulphide | mg/L | 0.05 | ND (0.02) | 0.04 | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | ND (0.02) | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | mg/L | 0.2 | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.15 | MAC |
| Turbidity | NTU | 45.4 | 3.8 | 26.7 | 13.5 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 11.8 | 5 | AO |
| Anions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | mg/L | 245 | 237 | 84 | 231 | 205 | 125 | 96 | 250 | AO |
| Fluoride | mg/L | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | 1.5 | MAC |
| Nitrate as N | mg/L | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | 10(4) | MAC |
| Nitrite as N | mg/L | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 1.0(4) | MAC |
| Sulphate | mg/L | 119 | 118 | 76 | 113 | 105 | 98 | 81 | 500 | AO |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | mg/L | 116 | 112 | 93.9 | 109 | 99.2 | 109 | 95.3 | - | - |
| Iron | mg/L | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2 | 1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 0.3 | AO |
| Magnesium | mg/L | 44.5 | 45.7 | 48.5 | 45.1 | 42 | 34.6 | 29.4 | - | - |
| Manganese | mg/L | 0.042 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.034 | 0.041 | 0.228 | 0.116 | 0.05 | AO |
| Potassium | mg/L | 4.6 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 1.9 | 2.1 | - | - |
| Sodium | mg/L | 128 | 113 | 21 | 117 | 90 | 51.4 | 46.9 | 200 (20) ¹ | AO |

Notes:

NA: Not Analyzed

ND: Non-Detect

MAC: Maximum Acceptable Concentration

AO: Aesthetic Objective

OG: Operational Guideline

1 - The aesthetic objective for sodium in drinking water is 200 mg/L. The local Medical Officer of Health should be notified when the sodium concentration exceeds 20 mg/L so that this information may be communicated to local physicians for their use with patients on sodium restricted diets.



Summary of Monitoring Well Water Quality Measurements

| Parameter | Units | MW1 | | MW2 | | MW3 | | Ontario Drinking Water Standard | Type of Standard |
|---------------------------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | | 09/25/2023 01:00 PM | 10/27/2023 09:00 AM | 09/25/2023 02:13 PM | 10/27/2023 09:00 AM | 09/25/2023 11:53 AM | 10/27/2023 09:00 AM | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | mg/L | ND (0.01) | NA | 0.12 | NA | 0.06 | NA | 10 | MAC |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L | 0.2 | NA | 1.6 | NA | 1.3 | NA | 1 | MAC |
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | mg/L | 3.4 | 2.6 | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | ND (0.1) | 10 | MAC |
| Nitrite as N | mg/L | ND (0.05) | 0.09 | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | ND (0.05) | 1 | MAC |

Notes:

NA: Not Analyzed

ND: Non-Detect

MAC: Maximum Acceptable Concentration



Summary of Test Well Field Water Quality Measurements

| Test Well ID | Date | Time Since Initiaion of Pump (hrs) | Temp (°C) | pH | Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | Total Dissolved Solids (ppm) | Turbidity (NTU) | Colour (ACU ¹) | Colour (ACU ²) | Free Chlorine (mg/L) | Total Chlorine (mg/L) |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| TW A | 31-Oct-23 | 3 | 7.5 | 7.78 | 727 | 304 | 4.38 | 0 | - | - | 0.05 |
| | | 6 | 6.9 | 7.97 | 794 | 396 | 3.66 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| TW B | 2-Nov-23 | 3 | 8.5 | 7.87 | 1314 | 655 | 1.91 | 2 | 0 | - | 0 |
| | | 6 | 8.6 | 7.7 | 1303 | 651 | 1.86 | - | - | - | 0 |
| TW C | 30-Oct-23 | 3 | 7.3 | 7.71 | 671 | 336 | 0.9 | 3 | - | - | 0.01 |
| | | 6 | 8.1 | 7.96 | 647 | 324 | 0.75 | - | - | - | - |
| TW D | 25-Oct-23 | 3 | 10.1 | 7.44 | 1006 | 498 | - | 1 | 0 | - | 0 |
| | | 6 | 9.8 | 7.54 | 1021 | 511 | 318 | 23 | 0 | - | 0 |
| TW E | 7-Nov-23 | 3 | 8.1 | 7.78 | 620 | 316 | 5.44 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 6 | 8.6 | 7.89 | 628 | 314 | 4.28 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Notes:

1. ACU = Actual Colour Units
2. Field filtered using 0.45 micron filter

Summary of Private Well Field Water Quality Measurements

| Test Well ID | Date | Time Purging (min) | Temp (°C) | pH | Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | Total Dissolved Solids (ppm) | Turbidity (NTU) | Colour (ACU ¹) | Colour (ACU ²) | Free Chlorine (mg/L) | Total Chlorine (mg/L) |
|--------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| PW-1922 | 8-Nov-23 | 10 | 9.62 | 7.78 | 1360 | 872 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| | | 15 | 9.61 | 7.81 | 1350 | 864 | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0 |
| PW-1826 | 8-Nov-23 | 10 | 11.23 | 8.17 | 1230 | 966 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - |
| | | 15 | 11.51 | 8.01 | 1510 | 936 | 1.4 | - | - | - | 0 |
| PW-1858 | 8-Nov-23 | 10 | 8.84 | 7.41 | 1160 | 939 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - |
| | | 15 | 8.66 | 7.33 | 1460 | 940 | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0 |
| PW-1850 | 8-Nov-23 | 10 | 10.01 | 7.8 | 997 | 651 | 3.4 | - | - | - | - |
| | | 15 | 9.35 | 7.67 | 981 | 629 | 2.3 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| PW-1794 | 8-Nov-23 | 10 | 11.59 | 8.62 | 1620 | 1041 | 1.5 | - | - | - | - |
| | | 15 | 11.2 | 8.51 | 1590 | 1021 | 1.2 | - | - | - | 0 |
| PW-6342 | 28-Nov-23 | 10 | 9.5 | 7.64 | 950 | 474 | 1.31 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| | | 15 | - | 7.67 | 926 | 467 | 1.07 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| PW-6266 | 28-Nov-23 | 10 | 8.8 | 7.48 | 1180 | 571 | 1.75 | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| | | 15 | 8.7 | 7.58 | 1098 | 550 | 1.52 | 0 | - | - | 0 |

Notes:

1. ACU = Actual Colour Units
2. Field filtered using 0.45 micron filter

Summary of Monitoring Well Field Water Quality Measurements

| Test Well ID | Date | Time Since Initiaion of Pump (min) | Temp (°C) | pH | Electrical Conductivity (µS/cm) | Total Dissolved Solids (ppm) | Turbidity (NTU) | Colour (ACU ¹) | Colour (ACU ²) | Free Chlorine (mg/L) | Total Chlorine (mg/L) |
|--------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| MW1 | 25-Sep-23 | 25 | 14.8 | 7.47 | 2517 | 1271 | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW2 | 25-Sep-23 | 3 | 13 | 8.42 | 530 | 259 | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW3 | 25-Sep-23 | 4.5 | 12.5 | 7.63 | 950 | 460 | - | - | - | - | - |

Notes:

1. ACU = Actual Colour Units
2. Field filtered using 0.45 micron filter

LAB CERTIFICATES

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO:
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 1596

Report Date: 7-Nov-2023
Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Order #: 2344227

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|--------------------|
| 2344227-01 | TW1-3hr |
| 2344227-02 | TW1-6hr |
| 2344227-03 | TW1-6hr (Filtered) |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 1-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Mercury by CVAA | EPA 245.2 - Cold Vapour AA | 7-Nov-23 | 7-Nov-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 1-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 1-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 3-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 4-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 2-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW1-3hr | TW1-6hr | TW1-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 31-Oct-23 13:00 | 31-Oct-23 15:30 | 31-Oct-23 15:30 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344227-01 | 2344227-02 | 2344227-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----|-----|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | 30 | <10 | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 218 | 232 | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.27 | 0.20 | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.4 | 1.2 | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 28 | 23 | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | 2 | <2 | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 737 | 826 | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 300 | 326 | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 8.3 | 8.3 | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 432 | 476 | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.3 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 3.1 | 2.3 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 85 | 99 | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 50 | 60 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW1-3hr | TW1-6hr | TW1-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 31-Oct-23 13:00 | 31-Oct-23 15:30 | 31-Oct-23 15:30 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344227-01 | 2344227-02 | 2344227-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| Element | MDL/Units | TW1-3hr | TW1-6hr | TW1-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---|---|
| Mercury | 0.0001 mg/L | - | - | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Aluminum | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.135 | 0.019 | - | - |
| Antimony | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Barium | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.218 | 0.211 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Boron | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.09 | 0.09 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 62.6 | 68.3 | 67.4 | - | - |
| Chromium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Copper | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | 0.0009 | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - |
| Lead | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0002 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 35.0 | 37.7 | 36.6 | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.026 | 0.028 | 0.029 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0192 | 0.0192 | - | - |
| Nickel | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 5.6 | 5.9 | 5.7 | - | - |
| Selenium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 41.2 | 47.5 | 48.2 | - | - |
| Strontium | 0.01 mg/L | - | 1.46 | 1.44 | - | - |
| Thallium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Uranium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW1-3hr | TW1-6hr | TW1-6hr (Filtered) | - | |
| Sample Date: | 31-Oct-23 13:00 | 31-Oct-23 15:30 | 31-Oct-23 15:30 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344227-01 | 2344227-02 | 2344227-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|---------|---------|---|---|
| Vanadium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Zinc | 0.005 mg/L | - | <0.005 | <0.005 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Lead | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Strontium | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 16.8 | 1 | mg/L | 16.9 | | | 0.8 | 20 | |
| Fluoride | 0.39 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.38 | | | 2.2 | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 19.4 | 1 | mg/L | 19.3 | | | 0.6 | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 216 | 5 | mg/L | 218 | | | 1.0 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | 0.033 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.035 | | | 5.1 | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.9 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.2 | | | 30.1 | 37 | |
| Colour | 2 | 2 | TCU | 2 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 28 | 2 | ACU | 28 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 726 | 5 | uS/cm | 737 | | | 1.5 | 5 | |
| pH | 8.3 | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.3 | | | 0.4 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 260 | 10 | mg/L | 264 | | | 1.5 | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.21 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.23 | | | 6.5 | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 3.1 | 0.1 | NTU | 3.1 | | | 1.6 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Boron | 0.07 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.07 | | | 2.1 | 20 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Calcium | 2.6 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.7 | | | 3.8 | 20 | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Copper | 0.0006 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0007 | | | 5.9 | 20 | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Lead | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 0.6 | 0.2 | mg/L | 0.7 | | | 5.2 | 20 | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Molybdenum | 0.0029 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0029 | | | 1.3 | 20 | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Potassium | 1.4 | 0.1 | mg/L | 1.4 | | | 0.2 | 20 | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sodium | 345 | 0.5 | mg/L | 360 | | | 4.3 | 20 | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | 30 | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 26.7 | 1 | mg/L | 16.9 | 97.6 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 1.27 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.38 | 89.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.06 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 106 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 0.946 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 94.6 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 28.9 | 1 | mg/L | 19.3 | 96.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.06 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.035 | 103 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 10.8 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.2 | 96.9 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.027 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 107 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 108 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 108 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.47 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 94.6 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 99.9 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.15 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.23 | 92.5 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.0028 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 92.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Aluminum | 50.4 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.496 | 99.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Arsenic | 53.6 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.105 | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Barium | 45.9 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.173 | 91.4 | 80-120 | | | |
| Beryllium | 44.0 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0811 | 87.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Boron | 106 | 0.01 | mg/L | 65.1 | 82.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cadmium | 42.7 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0209 | 85.4 | 80-120 | | | |
| Calcium | 12200 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2680 | 94.7 | 80-120 | | | |
| Chromium | 51.6 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.038 | 103 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cobalt | 49.1 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0411 | 98.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Copper | 45.9 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.686 | 90.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Iron | 2220 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.0 | 88.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lead | 43.9 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0848 | 87.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 10300 | 0.2 | mg/L | 672 | 96.7 | 80-120 | | | |
| Manganese | 49.7 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.378 | 98.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 49.5 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 2.94 | 93.2 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Nickel | 47.5 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.241 | 94.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 11300 | 0.1 | mg/L | 1400 | 98.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Selenium | 45.9 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.079 | 91.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Silver | 40.1 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0032 | 80.3 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 17600 | 0.2 | mg/L | 9500 | 81.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Thallium | 45.0 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.025 | 90.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Uranium | 50.1 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0613 | 100 | 80-120 | | | |
| Vanadium | 53.8 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0485 | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Zinc | 43.4 | 0.005 | mg/L | 4.54 | 77.8 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 07-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 1-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Qualifiers :

QC Qualifiers:

QM-07 The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on other acceptable QC.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



OTTAWA • KINGSTON • NIAGARA • MISSISSAUGA • SA

Parcel Order Number

344227

Chain Of Custody
Ontario Drinking Water Samples
No. N^o 1596

| | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554.003 | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: Brent Redmond | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Simon Mallory |
| Address: 32 Steacie Dr. Kanata ON | PO #: | Address: | Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: brent.redmond@gemtec.ca | Public Health Unit: | Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |
| Telephone: | Fax: | | |

| Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one) | | Sample Type: R = Raw ; T = Treated ; D = Distribution; P = Plumbing | | Source Type: G = Ground Water; S = Surface Water | | Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes; N = No | | Required Analyses | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|------------------|---|----------|---|--------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|-----|----------------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 170/03 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 318/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Private Well <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 243/08 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 319/08 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: 0. Reg 169103 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | | Are these samples for human consumption?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | All information must be completed before samples will be processed. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S / F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coli | HPC | Lead | THM | Substris. on Package | Trace metals |
| | | | | | | DATE | TIME | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Cedarlakes | TW1-3hr | R | G | N | | OCT31'23 | 1:00PM | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 " | TW1-6hr | R | G | N | | OCT31'23 | 3:30PM | 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Comments: Colour in ACW + TCU | | Method of Delivery: Paracel Courier | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): <i>[Signature]</i> | Received By Driver/Depot: HP | Received at Lab: HP | Verified By: <i>[Signature]</i> |
| Relinquished By (Print): Simon Mallory | Date/Time: Nov 1, 23 11:50 | Date/Time: Nov 1, 23 11:50 | Date/Time: Nov 1, 23 11:23 |
| Date/Time: NOV 1 '23 | Temperature: °C | Temperature: 5.9 °C | pH Verified: <input type="checkbox"/> By: <i>[Signature]</i> |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO: Cedar lakes
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 13250

Report Date: 9-Nov-2023
Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Order #: 2344440

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|--------------------|
| 2344440-01 | TW2-3hr |
| 2344440-02 | TW2-6hr |
| 2344440-03 | TW2-6hr (Filtered) |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 3-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 4-Nov-23 | 4-Nov-23 |
| Mercury by CVAA | EPA 245.2 - Cold Vapour AA | 7-Nov-23 | 7-Nov-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 3-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 3-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 3-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 3-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 4-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 6-Nov-23 | 7-Nov-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 4-Nov-23 | 4-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW2-3hr | TW2-6hr | TW2-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 02-Nov-23 11:15 | 02-Nov-23 14:15 | 02-Nov-23 14:15 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344440-01 | 2344440-02 | 2344440-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------|-----|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | 1 [1] | ND | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | <10 | <10 | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 353 | 352 | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | <0.01 | 0.02 | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.4 | 1.4 | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 17 | 15 | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | <2 | <2 | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 1540 | 1480 | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 469 | 465 | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 7.9 | 7.9 | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 916 | 900 | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 2.2 | 2.0 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 246 | 243 | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | 1.8 | 1.6 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 123 | 125 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW2-3hr | TW2-6hr | TW2-6hr (Filtered) | - | |
| Sample Date: | 02-Nov-23 11:15 | 02-Nov-23 14:15 | 02-Nov-23 14:15 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344440-01 | 2344440-02 | 2344440-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| Metals | MDL/Units | TW2-3hr | TW2-6hr | TW2-6hr (Filtered) | | |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---|---|
| Mercury | 0.0001 mg/L | - | - | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Aluminum | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.006 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Antimony | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Barium | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.143 | 0.138 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Boron | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.05 | 0.04 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 121 | 120 | 119 | - | - |
| Chromium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | - | - |
| Copper | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0006 | 0.0006 | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 0.2 | <0.1 | - | - |
| Lead | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0004 | 0.0003 | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 40.7 | 40.1 | 40.4 | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.032 | 0.032 | 0.031 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0667 | 0.0683 | - | - |
| Nickel | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.021 | 0.021 | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.5 | - | - |
| Selenium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 130 | 126 | 128 | - | - |
| Strontium | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.44 | 0.43 | - | - |
| Thallium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Uranium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0042 | 0.0040 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW2-3hr | TW2-6hr | TW2-6hr (Filtered) | - | |
| Sample Date: | 02-Nov-23 11:15 | 02-Nov-23 14:15 | 02-Nov-23 14:15 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344440-01 | 2344440-02 | 2344440-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|---------|---------|---|---|
| Vanadium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Zinc | 0.005 mg/L | - | <0.005 | <0.005 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Lead | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Strontium | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 79.4 | 1 | mg/L | 79.0 | | | 0.5 | 20 | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 155 | 1 | mg/L | 155 | | | 0.0 | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 349 | 5 | mg/L | 353 | | | 1.2 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | 0.018 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.020 | | | 7.8 | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 1.2 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.3 | | | 13.2 | 37 | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | ND | | | NC | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 17 | 2 | ACU | 17 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 1550 | 5 | uS/cm | 1540 | | | 1.0 | 5 | QR-05 |
| pH | 7.9 | 0.1 | pH Units | 7.9 | | | 0.0 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 260 | 10 | mg/L | 264 | | | 1.5 | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.22 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.24 | | | 10.2 | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 1.9 | 0.1 | NTU | 2.0 | | | 1.0 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Aluminum | 0.002 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.002 | | | 3.1 | 20 | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Barium | 0.079 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.082 | | | 3.2 | 20 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Boron | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Calcium | 101 | 0.1 | mg/L | 101 | | | 0.7 | 20 | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Copper | 0.0085 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0086 | | | 1.4 | 20 | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Lead | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0003 | | | 9.9 | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 27.9 | 0.2 | mg/L | 27.8 | | | 0.0 | 20 | |
| Manganese | 0.482 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.481 | | | 0.1 | 20 | |
| Molybdenum | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0006 | | | 16.8 | 20 | |
| Nickel | 0.002 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.002 | | | 3.3 | 20 | |
| Potassium | 2.7 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.7 | | | 0.3 | 20 | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sodium | 5.3 | 0.2 | mg/L | 5.6 | | | 7.2 | 20 | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Uranium | 0.0014 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0014 | | | 3.8 | 20 | |
| Vanadium | 0.0017 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0017 | | | 2.4 | 20 | |
| Zinc | 0.006 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.006 | | | 3.8 | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | 1 | | | NC | 30 | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 88.9 | 1 | mg/L | 79.0 | 99.0 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 1.02 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 102 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.02 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 102 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 0.904 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 90.4 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 164 | 1 | mg/L | 155 | 91.9 | 70-130 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.08 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.020 | 106 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 11.0 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.4 | 95.9 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.026 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 102 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 108 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 108 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.47 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 94.6 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 99.9 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.14 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.24 | 90.3 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.0028 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 92.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Aluminum | 44.4 | 0.001 | mg/L | 2.05 | 84.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Arsenic | 53.9 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.261 | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Barium | 52.2 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 104 | 80-120 | | | |
| Beryllium | 44.4 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0153 | 88.8 | 80-120 | | | |
| Boron | 51.4 | 0.01 | mg/L | 8.67 | 85.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cadmium | 45.2 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0470 | 90.3 | 80-120 | | | |
| Calcium | 10700 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Chromium | 52.4 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.459 | 104 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cobalt | 47.6 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0907 | 95.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Copper | 52.9 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 8.61 | 88.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Iron | 2230 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.8 | 89.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lead | 42.2 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.312 | 83.7 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 10800 | 0.2 | mg/L | ND | 108 | 80-120 | | | |
| Manganese | 96.7 | 0.005 | mg/L | 49.6 | 94.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 46.8 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.649 | 92.3 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Nickel | 47.9 | 0.001 | mg/L | 1.61 | 92.7 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 12600 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2730 | 98.4 | 80-120 | | | |
| Selenium | 49.8 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.158 | 99.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Silver | 51.5 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 103 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 14300 | 0.2 | mg/L | 5640 | 86.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Thallium | 43.5 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.027 | 87.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Uranium | 45.7 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 1.41 | 88.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Vanadium | 54.9 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 1.72 | 106 | 80-120 | | | |
| Zinc | 48.3 | 0.005 | mg/L | 6.10 | 84.3 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 09-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 2-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedar lakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Login Qualifiers :

Container(s) - Labeled improperly/insufficient information - All sample bottles missing the sample collection time.

Applies to Samples: TW2-3hr, TW2-6hr, TW2-6hr (Filtered)

Sample Qualifiers :

1: Duplicate result for this sample analysis was determined to be ND.

QC Qualifiers:

QR-05 Duplicate RPDs higher than normally accepted. Remaining batch QA\QC was acceptable. May be sample effect.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



2344440

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554-003 (Cedar Lakes) | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: Brent Redmond | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Simon Mallory |
| Address: 32 Steacie Dr. | PO #: | Address: | Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: brent.redmond@gemtec.ca | Public Health Unit: | Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> |
| Telephone: | Fax: | | Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |

| Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one) | | Sample Type: R = Raw ; T = Treated ; D = Distribution ; P = Plumbing | | Source Type: G = Ground Water ; S = Surface Water | | Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes ; N = No | | Required Analyses | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--|------------------|---|----------|--|---------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|-----|---------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 170/03 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 319/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Private Well <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 243/07 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other 0.2mg 169/03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Are these samples for human consumption?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All information must be completed before samples will be processed. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S/F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coll | HPC | Lead | THM | Subst. metals | Trace metals |
| | | | | | | DATE | TIME | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Cedar Lakes | TW2-3hr | R | G | N | | NOV 2 '23 | 11:15AM | 9 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| 2 " | TW2-6hr | R | G | N | | " | 2:15PM | 12 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Comments: Colour in ACW + TCW | | Method of Delivery: <i>[Signature]</i> | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): <i>[Signature]</i> | Received By Driver/Depo: <i>[Signature]</i> | Received at Lab: <i>[Signature]</i> | Verified By: Hisa |
| Relinquished By (Print): Simon Mallory | Date/Time: NOV 02 2023 12:48 PM | Date/Time: NOV 3 2023 12:48 PM | Date/Time: Nov 3, 23 12:48 |
| Date/Time: NOV 2 '23 | Temperature: 11.2 °C | Temperature: 4.8 °C | pH Verified: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: HP |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO:
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 17439

Report Date: 6-Nov-2023
Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Order #: 2344186

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|--------------------|
| 2344186-01 | TW3-3hr |
| 2344186-02 | TW3-6hr |
| 2344186-03 | TW3-6hr (Filtered) |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc

Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 1-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Mercury by CVAA | EPA 245.2 - Cold Vapour AA | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 1-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 2-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 1-Nov-23 | 2-Nov-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 3-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 6-Nov-23 | 6-Nov-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 2-Nov-23 | 3-Nov-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 1-Nov-23 | 1-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW3-3hr | TW3-6hr | TW3-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 30-Oct-23 13:00 | 30-Oct-23 16:00 | 30-Oct-23 16:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344186-01 | 2344186-02 | 2344186-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----|----|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | 14 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | 10 | 20 | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 249 | 249 | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.13 | 0.11 | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.2 | 1.2 | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 9 | 9 | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 724 | 752 | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 345 | 342 | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 8.0 | 8.0 | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 422 | 426 | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 1.0 | 0.8 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 61 | 61 | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 68 | 68 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW3-3hr | TW3-6hr | TW3-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 30-Oct-23 13:00 | 30-Oct-23 16:00 | 30-Oct-23 16:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344186-01 | 2344186-02 | 2344186-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | MDL/Units | TW3-3hr | TW3-6hr | TW3-6hr (Filtered) | | |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---|---|
| Mercury | 0.0001 mg/L | - | - | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Aluminum | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.003 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Antimony | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Barium | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.157 | 0.155 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Boron | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.02 | 0.02 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 71.3 | 70.9 | 70.2 | - | - |
| Chromium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Copper | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Lead | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 40.6 | 40.1 | 38.6 | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.026 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0041 | 0.0040 | - | - |
| Nickel | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | - | - |
| Selenium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 14.2 | 14.2 | 13.7 | - | - |
| Strontium | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.53 | 0.52 | - | - |
| Thallium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Uranium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW3-3hr | TW3-6hr | TW3-6hr (Filtered) | - | |
| Sample Date: | 30-Oct-23 13:00 | 30-Oct-23 16:00 | 30-Oct-23 16:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2344186-01 | 2344186-02 | 2344186-03 | - | |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|---------|---------|---|---|
| Vanadium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Zinc | 0.005 mg/L | - | <0.005 | <0.005 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Lead | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Strontium | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 16.8 | 1 | mg/L | 16.9 | | | 0.8 | 20 | |
| Fluoride | 0.39 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.38 | | | 2.2 | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 19.4 | 1 | mg/L | 19.3 | | | 0.6 | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 247 | 5 | mg/L | 249 | | | 1.0 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | 0.033 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.035 | | | 5.1 | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.9 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.2 | | | 30.1 | 37 | |
| Colour | 2 | 2 | TCU | 2 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 9 | 2 | ACU | 9 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 721 | 5 | uS/cm | 724 | | | 0.3 | 5 | |
| pH | 8.0 | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.0 | | | 0.3 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 844 | 10 | mg/L | 844 | | | 0.0 | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.11 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | | | 8.8 | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 0.1 | 0.1 | NTU | 0.1 | | | 0.0 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Boron | 0.07 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.07 | | | 2.1 | 20 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Calcium | 2.6 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.7 | | | 3.8 | 20 | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Copper | 0.0006 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0007 | | | 5.9 | 20 | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Lead | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 0.6 | 0.2 | mg/L | 0.7 | | | 5.2 | 20 | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Molybdenum | 0.0029 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0029 | | | 1.3 | 20 | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Potassium | 1.4 | 0.1 | mg/L | 1.4 | | | 0.2 | 20 | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sodium | 345 | 0.5 | mg/L | 360 | | | 4.3 | 20 | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Total Coliforms | 11 | 1 | CFU/100mL | 14 | | | 24.0 | 30 | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 | 10 | CFU/mL | 10 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 26.7 | 1 | mg/L | 16.9 | 97.6 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 1.27 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.38 | 89.1 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.06 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 106 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 0.946 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 94.6 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 28.9 | 1 | mg/L | 19.3 | 96.5 | 70-130 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.06 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.035 | 103 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 10.8 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.2 | 96.9 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.027 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 107 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 90.0 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 90.0 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.47 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 94.6 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 99.9 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.10 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | 97.3 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.0027 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 89.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| Aluminum | 50.4 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.496 | 99.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Arsenic | 53.6 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.105 | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Barium | 45.9 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.173 | 91.4 | 80-120 | | | |
| Beryllium | 44.0 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0811 | 87.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Boron | 106 | 0.01 | mg/L | 65.1 | 82.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cadmium | 42.7 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0209 | 85.4 | 80-120 | | | |
| Calcium | 12200 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2680 | 94.7 | 80-120 | | | |
| Chromium | 51.6 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.038 | 103 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cobalt | 49.1 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0411 | 98.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Copper | 45.9 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.686 | 90.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Iron | 2220 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.0 | 88.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lead | 43.9 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0848 | 87.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 10300 | 0.2 | mg/L | 672 | 96.7 | 80-120 | | | |
| Manganese | 49.7 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.378 | 98.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 49.5 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 2.94 | 93.2 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Nickel | 47.5 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.241 | 94.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 11300 | 0.1 | mg/L | 1400 | 98.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Selenium | 45.9 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.079 | 91.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Silver | 40.1 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0032 | 80.3 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 17600 | 0.2 | mg/L | 9500 | 81.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Thallium | 45.0 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.025 | 90.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Uranium | 50.1 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0613 | 100 | 80-120 | | | |
| Vanadium | 53.8 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0485 | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Zinc | 43.4 | 0.005 | mg/L | 4.54 | 77.8 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 06-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 31-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Qualifiers :

QC Qualifiers:

QM-07 The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on other acceptable QC.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



Parcel Order Number

2344186

Chain Of Custody

Ontario Drinking Water Samples

No 17439

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554.003 | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: Grant Redwood | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Simon M |
| Address: | PO #: | Address: | Signature: [Signature] |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: grant.redwood@gemtec.ca | Public Health Unit: | Page ___ of ___ Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |
| Telephone: | Fax: | | |

| Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one) | | Sample Type: R = Raw ; T = Treated ; D = Distribution ; P = Plumbing | | Source Type: G = Ground Water ; S = Surface Water | | Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes ; N = No | | Required Analyses | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|------------------|---|----------|--|------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|-----|-----------------|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 170/03 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 319/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Private Well <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 243/07 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: ores 164103 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | | Are these samples for human consumption?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | All information must be completed before samples will be processed. | | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | DATE | TIME | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S/F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. coli | HPC | Lead | THM | Subduction PCBs | Trace metals |
| 1 | | | | | | Oct. 30, 23 | 1 PM | 6 | | | | | | | X | |
| 2 | | | | | | " | 4 PM | 11 | | | | | | | X | X |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Comments: → colour in Acc & TCL | | Method of Delivery: Paracel Courier | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): [Signature] | Received By Driver/Depot: [Signature] | Received At Lab: [Signature] | Verified By: SD |
| Relinquished By (Print): Grant Redwood | Date/Time: Oct. 31, 23 13:00 | Date/Time: Oct. 31, 2023/6:25 | Date/Time: Oct 31, 2023 4:56pm |
| Date/Time: Oct. 31, 23 13:00 | Temperature: °C | Temperature: 7.5 °C | pH Verified: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By SD |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Ester Wilson

Client PO:
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 19047

Report Date: 2-Nov-2023
Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Revised Report

Order #: 2343287

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|--------------------|
| 2343287-01 | TW4-3hr |
| 2343287-02 | TW4-6hr |
| 2343287-03 | TW4-6hr (Filtered) |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.

Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 27-Oct-23 | 27-Oct-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 30-Oct-23 | 30-Oct-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 27-Oct-23 | 27-Oct-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 30-Oct-23 | 1-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Mercury by CVAA | EPA 245.2 - Cold Vapour AA | 30-Oct-23 | 31-Oct-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 27-Oct-23 | 27-Oct-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 30-Oct-23 | 31-Oct-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 30-Oct-23 | 31-Oct-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 30-Oct-23 | 30-Oct-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 30-Oct-23 | 31-Oct-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 26-Oct-23 | 26-Oct-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW4-3hr | TW4-6hr | TW4-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 25-Oct-23 11:00 | 25-Oct-23 14:00 | 25-Oct-23 14:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2343287-01 | 2343287-02 | 2343287-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND [1] | ND [1] | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND [1] | ND [1] | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | 60 | 30 | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 267 | 268 | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.20 | 0.19 | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.5 | 1.6 | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 37 | 28 | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | <2 | <2 | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 1030 | 1020 | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 373 | 388 | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 8.0 | 8.0 | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 562 | 588 | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 5.0 | 3.7 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 140 | 143 | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 82 | 83 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW4-3hr | TW4-6hr | TW4-6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 25-Oct-23 11:00 | 25-Oct-23 14:00 | 25-Oct-23 14:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2343287-01 | 2343287-02 | 2343287-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| Element | MDL/Units | TW4-3hr | TW4-6hr | TW4-6hr (Filtered) | | |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---|---|
| Mercury | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Aluminum | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.062 | 0.003 | - | - |
| Antimony | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Barium | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.212 | 0.206 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Boron | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.07 | 0.07 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 82.5 | 84.9 | 95.2 | - | - |
| Chromium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Copper | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | 0.0005 | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Lead | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 40.6 | 42.7 | 46.0 | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.029 | 0.029 | 0.031 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0062 | 0.0072 | - | - |
| Nickel | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.5 | - | - |
| Selenium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 61.4 | 61.9 | 68.4 | - | - |
| Strontium | 0.01 mg/L | - | 1.04 | 1.11 | - | - |
| Thallium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Uranium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW4-3hr | TW4-6hr | TW4-6hr (Filtered) | - | |
| Sample Date: | 25-Oct-23 11:00 | 25-Oct-23 14:00 | 25-Oct-23 14:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2343287-01 | 2343287-02 | 2343287-03 | - | |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|---------|---------|---|---|
| Vanadium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Zinc | 0.005 mg/L | - | <0.005 | <0.005 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Lead | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Strontium | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 143 | 1 | mg/L | 143 | | | 0.2 | 20 | |
| Fluoride | 0.13 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | | | 4.1 | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 83.9 | 1 | mg/L | 83.4 | | | 0.6 | 10 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 267 | 5 | mg/L | 267 | | | 0.0 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.187 | | | NC | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 1.4 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.5 | | | 10.0 | 37 | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | ND | | | NC | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 36 | 2 | ACU | 37 | | | 2.7 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 984 | 5 | uS/cm | 1030 | | | 4.5 | 5 | |
| pH | 8.0 | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.0 | | | 0.2 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | 0.002 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 572 | 10 | mg/L | 588 | | | 2.8 | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.25 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.31 | | | NC | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 5.0 | 0.1 | NTU | 5.0 | | | 1.8 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Aluminum | 0.056 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.062 | | | 10.5 | 20 | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Barium | 0.218 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.212 | | | 2.7 | 20 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Boron | 0.07 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.07 | | | 0.8 | 20 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Calcium | 84.6 | 0.1 | mg/L | 84.9 | | | 0.3 | 20 | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.4 | | | 1.0 | 20 | |
| Lead | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 43.3 | 0.2 | mg/L | 42.7 | | | 1.4 | 20 | |
| Manganese | 0.029 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.029 | | | 0.6 | 20 | |
| Molybdenum | 0.0059 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0062 | | | 4.0 | 20 | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Potassium | 6.3 | 0.1 | mg/L | 6.3 | | | 0.2 | 20 | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sodium | 64.1 | 0.2 | mg/L | 61.9 | | | 3.5 | 20 | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Uranium | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0002 | | | 3.4 | 20 | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | BAC01 |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | BAC01 |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 | 10 | CFU/mL | 30 | | | 100.0 | 30 | BAC04 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 153 | 1 | mg/L | 143 | 101 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 0.96 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | 83.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.05 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 105 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 0.872 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 87.2 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 94.8 | 1 | mg/L | 83.4 | 113 | 74-126 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.25 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.187 | 106 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 11.1 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.6 | 95.0 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.028 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 110 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 100 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 100 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.50 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 100 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.1 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 106 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.30 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.31 | 99.3 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.0026 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 85.8 | 70-130 | | | |
| Aluminum | 103 | 0.001 | mg/L | 62.2 | 82.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Arsenic | 54.5 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.076 | 109 | 80-120 | | | |
| Barium | 250 | 0.001 | mg/L | 212 | 75.2 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |
| Beryllium | 46.5 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0228 | 93.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Boron | 108 | 0.01 | mg/L | 71.3 | 72.5 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |
| Cadmium | 47.3 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0022 | 94.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Calcium | 10700 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Chromium | 53.3 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.502 | 106 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cobalt | 50.0 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0342 | 99.9 | 80-120 | | | |
| Copper | 46.4 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.147 | 92.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Iron | 2730 | 0.1 | mg/L | 360 | 94.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lead | 42.0 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0343 | 84.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 49200 | 0.2 | mg/L | 42700 | 64.5 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |
| Manganese | 80.2 | 0.005 | mg/L | 29.3 | 102 | 80-120 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 53.6 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 6.17 | 94.8 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Nickel | 49.6 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.858 | 97.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 16100 | 0.1 | mg/L | 6320 | 97.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Selenium | 47.1 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 94.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Silver | 43.8 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 87.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 10600 | 0.2 | mg/L | ND | 106 | 80-120 | | | |
| Thallium | 45.1 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.006 | 90.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Uranium | 49.8 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.154 | 99.4 | 80-120 | | | |
| Vanadium | 55.0 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.181 | 110 | 80-120 | | | |
| Zinc | 44.9 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.921 | 88.0 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 26-Oct-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Login Qualifiers :

Container and COC sample IDs don't match - All bottles, with the exception of 1 x bacteria bottle are labelled as PW4-3hr, chain of custody reads TW4-3hr.

Applies to Samples: TW4-3hr

Sample Qualifiers :

- 1: Greater than 200 CFU of background colonies present. This may interfere with target growth and ability of the analyst to count E. coli & Total Coliform. The target colonies may be under-represented.

QC Qualifiers:

BAC01 Greater than 200 CFU of background colonies present. This may interfere with target growth and ability of the analyst to count E. coli & Total Coliform. The target colonies may be under-represented.

BAC04 Duplicate QC data falls within method prescribed 95% confidence limits.

QM-07 The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on other acceptable QC.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

All bottles read PW4-3hr. 1 bacteria bottle reads TW-3hr.

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



Blvd.
4J8
bs.com

Parcel Order Number
2343287

Chain Of Custody
Ontario Drinking Water Samples
No 19047

| | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554.003 | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: E. Wilson | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Ester Wilson |
| Address: 32 Steacie Dr., Kanata | PO #: | Address: | Signature: Ester Wilson |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: ester.wilson@gemtec.ca | | Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> |
| Telephone: (613) 585-2041 | Fax: | Public Health Unit: | Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|------------------|-----------------|----------|--|-------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|-----|--------------|-----------|
| Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one) <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 170/03 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 319/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Private Well <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 243/07 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other 169/03 | | Sample Type: R = Raw ; T = Treated ; D = Distribution; P = Plumbing Source Type: G = Ground Water; S = Surface Water Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes; N = No | | | | Required Analyses | | | | | | | | | | |
| Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A Are these samples for human consumption?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No All information must be completed before samples will be processed. | | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | SAMPLE COLLECTED DATE TIME | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S / F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coli | HPC | Lead | THM | Trace metals | Sub. pkg. |
| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 Cedar Lakes P3-6 | TW4-3hr | R | G | N | N | 10-25-2023 | 11 AM | 8 | | | | | | | | ✓ |
| 2 Cedar Lakes P3-6 | TW4-6hr | R | G | N | N | 10-25-2023 | 2 PM | 11 | | | | | | | | ✓ |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Comments: Doc vials - unfiltered. / Colour in ACU, TCU. / Trace metals filtered and unfiltered - justification: Required by City of Ottawa Hydrology Terrain Guidelines. | | Method of Delivery: Walk in Drop-box | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): Ester Wilson | Received By Driver/Depot: HP | Received at Lab: HP | Verified By: SD |
| Relinquished By (Print): Ester Wilson | Date/Time: 10-25-2024 at 5PM | Date/Time: Oct 26, 23 19:05 | Date/Time: Oct 26, 2023 9:19am |
| Date/Time: 10-25-2024 at 5PM | Temperature: °C | Temperature: 7.9 °C | pH Verified: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: SD |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO:
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 19522

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023
Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Order #: 2345203

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|--------------------|
| 2345203-01 | TW5 3hr |
| 2345203-02 | TW5 6hr |
| 2345203-03 | TW5 6hr (Filtered) |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc

Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 10-Nov-23 | 13-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Mercury by CVAA | EPA 245.2 - Cold Vapour AA | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 9-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 8-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 8-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 8-Nov-23 | 8-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW5 3hr | TW5 6hr | TW5 6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 07-Nov-23 11:00 | 07-Nov-23 14:00 | 07-Nov-23 14:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2345203-01 | 2345203-02 | 2345203-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----|----|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | 3 | 10 | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | 20 | 10 | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 238 | 238 | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.12 | 0.08 | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.0 | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 33 | 32 | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | 2 | <2 | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 758 | 751 | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 356 | 362 | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 8.1 | 8.1 | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 416 | 410 | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 5.5 | 5.2 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 68 | 68 | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 65 | 64 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW5 3hr | TW5 6hr | TW5 6hr (Filtered) | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 07-Nov-23 11:00 | 07-Nov-23 14:00 | 07-Nov-23 14:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2345203-01 | 2345203-02 | 2345203-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | MDL/Units | TW5 3hr | TW5 6hr | TW5 6hr (Filtered) | | |
|------------|-------------|---------|---------|--------------------|---|---|
| Mercury | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Aluminum | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.087 | 0.002 | - | - |
| Antimony | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Arsenic | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Barium | 0.001 mg/L | - | 0.152 | 0.147 | - | - |
| Beryllium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Boron | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.04 | 0.04 | - | - |
| Cadmium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 75.7 | 74.3 | 76.1 | - | - |
| Chromium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Cobalt | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Copper | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 | - | - |
| Lead | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 40.5 | 42.9 | 41.5 | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.026 | 0.025 | 0.024 | - | - |
| Molybdenum | 0.0005 mg/L | - | 0.0085 | 0.0087 | - | - |
| Nickel | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.4 | - | - |
| Selenium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Silver | 0.0001 mg/L | - | <0.0001 | <0.0001 | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 37.1 | 37.3 | 36.2 | - | - |
| Strontium | 0.01 mg/L | - | 0.54 | 0.53 | - | - |
| Thallium | 0.001 mg/L | - | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Uranium | 0.0001 mg/L | - | 0.0003 | 0.0003 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | TW5 3hr | TW5 6hr | TW5 6hr (Filtered) | - | |
| Sample Date: | 07-Nov-23 11:00 | 07-Nov-23 14:00 | 07-Nov-23 14:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2345203-01 | 2345203-02 | 2345203-03 | - | |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|---------|---------|---|---|
| Vanadium | 0.0005 mg/L | - | <0.0005 | <0.0005 | - | - |
| Zinc | 0.005 mg/L | - | <0.005 | 0.007 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Aluminum | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Barium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Boron | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Lead | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Molybdenum | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Strontium | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Uranium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | 0.11 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.11 | | | 0.6 | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 5.01 | 1 | mg/L | 4.96 | | | 0.9 | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 200 | 5 | mg/L | 203 | | | 1.7 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | 0.118 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.122 | | | 3.4 | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.6 | 0.5 | mg/L | 0.7 | | | 19.6 | 37 | |
| Colour | 2 | 2 | TCU | 2 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 33 | 2 | ACU | 33 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 511 | 5 | uS/cm | 516 | | | 1.0 | 5 | |
| pH | 8.1 | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.0 | | | 0.7 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 794 | 10 | mg/L | 812 | | | 2.2 | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 1.8 | 0.1 | NTU | 1.8 | | | 1.1 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Aluminum | 0.082 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.087 | | | 6.8 | 20 | |
| Antimony | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Arsenic | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Barium | 0.156 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.152 | | | 2.9 | 20 | |
| Beryllium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Boron | 0.04 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.04 | | | 3.9 | 20 | |
| Cadmium | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Calcium | 75.9 | 0.1 | mg/L | 74.3 | | | 2.2 | 20 | |
| Chromium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Cobalt | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Copper | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Iron | 0.4 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.4 | | | 4.0 | 20 | |
| Lead | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0001 | | | 17.6 | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 40.8 | 0.2 | mg/L | 42.9 | | | 5.0 | 20 | |
| Manganese | 0.025 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.025 | | | 0.9 | 20 | |
| Molybdenum | 0.0085 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0085 | | | 1.0 | 20 | |
| Nickel | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Potassium | 3.5 | 0.1 | mg/L | 3.5 | | | 1.2 | 20 | |
| Selenium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Silver | ND | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sodium | 35.4 | 0.2 | mg/L | 37.3 | | | 5.1 | 20 | |
| Thallium | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Uranium | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0003 | | | 2.9 | 20 | |
| Vanadium | ND | 0.0005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Zinc | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Total Coliforms | 3 | 1 | CFU/100mL | 3 | | | 0.0 | 30 | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | 20 | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 11.5 | 1 | mg/L | ND | 115 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 0.98 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 98.4 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.13 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.11 | 102 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 1.06 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 106 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 15.5 | 1 | mg/L | 4.96 | 106 | 70-130 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.13 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.122 | 100 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 10.8 | 0.5 | mg/L | 0.7 | 100 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.027 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 107 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 80.0 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 80.0 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.48 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 96.8 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 99.9 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.05 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 105 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Mercury | 0.0028 | 0.0001 | mg/L | ND | 92.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Aluminum | 134 | 0.001 | mg/L | 87.5 | 93.5 | 80-120 | | | |
| Arsenic | 55.1 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.092 | 110 | 80-120 | | | |
| Barium | 197 | 0.001 | mg/L | 152 | 90.2 | 80-120 | | | |
| Beryllium | 53.2 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0211 | 106 | 80-120 | | | |
| Boron | 88.8 | 0.01 | mg/L | 41.4 | 95.0 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cadmium | 49.3 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0056 | 98.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Calcium | 12300 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 123 | 80-120 | | | QS-02 |
| Chromium | 58.1 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.620 | 115 | 80-120 | | | |
| Cobalt | 53.2 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.0559 | 106 | 80-120 | | | |
| Copper | 49.8 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.174 | 99.3 | 80-120 | | | |
| Iron | 3030 | 0.1 | mg/L | 426 | 104 | 80-120 | | | |
| Lead | 47.1 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.106 | 94.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 12200 | 0.2 | mg/L | ND | 122 | 80-120 | | | QS-02 |
| Manganese | 79.3 | 0.005 | mg/L | 25.5 | 108 | 80-120 | | | |
| Molybdenum | 58.6 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 8.54 | 100 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Nickel | 52.7 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.594 | 104 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 14000 | 0.1 | mg/L | 3480 | 105 | 80-120 | | | |
| Selenium | 49.6 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.017 | 99.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Silver | 50.3 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.0005 | 101 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 11800 | 0.2 | mg/L | ND | 118 | 80-120 | | | |
| Thallium | 46.8 | 0.001 | mg/L | 0.003 | 93.6 | 80-120 | | | |
| Uranium | 49.2 | 0.0001 | mg/L | 0.261 | 97.8 | 80-120 | | | |
| Vanadium | 57.9 | 0.0005 | mg/L | 0.233 | 115 | 80-120 | | | |
| Zinc | 45.3 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.333 | 90.0 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 13-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 7-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Qualifiers :

QC Qualifiers:

QS-02 Spike level outside of control limits. Analysis batch accepted based on other QC included in the batch.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



lvd.
LJB
s.com

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Parcel Order Number 2345203 | Chain Of Custody Ontario Drinking Water Samples No 19522 |
|---------------------------------------|---|

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554.003 | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: Brent Redmond | PO #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Brent R |
| Address: | PO #: | Address: | Signature: |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: brent.redmond@gemtec.ca | Public Health Unit: | Page ___ of ___ |
| Telephone: 343-571-9551 | Fax: | Public Health Unit: | Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |

| Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one) | | Sample Type: R = Raw ; T = Treated ; D = Distribution ; P = Plumbing | | | | | | | | | | Required Analyses | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-----|--------------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 170/03 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 319/08 <input type="checkbox"/> Private Well <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 243/07 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Greg 169103 | | Source Type: G = Ground Water; S = Surface Water | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A | | Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes; N = No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Are these samples for human consumption?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All information must be completed before samples will be processed. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Retample | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S/F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coll | HPC | Lead | THM | Subduction Package | Trace Metals | Cfitt | uncit |
| | | | | | | DATE | TIME | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TWS 3hr | R | G | N | / | Nov 7.23 | 11:00 | 8 | | | | | | | X | | | |
| | TWS 6hr | " | " | " | " | " | 14:00 | 11 | | | | | | | X | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| Comments: Colour in AC9 + TCG | | Method of Delivery: Walkin | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): | Received By Driver/Depot: UPROOM | Received at Lab: UPROOM | Verified By: |
| Relinquished By (Print): Brent Redmond | Date/Time: Nov 7, 23 / 15:25 | Date/Time: Nov 7, 23 15:25 | Date/Time: Nov 7, 23 15:25 |
| Date/Time: Nov 7, 23 / 15:25 | Temperature: _____ °C | Temperature: 6.6 °C | pH Verified: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO: Cedarlakes
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 12636

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023
Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Order #: 2345308

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 2345308-01 | PW-1794 |
| 2345308-02 | PW-1826 |
| 2345308-03 | PW-1850 |
| 2345308-04 | PW-1858 |
| 2345308-05 | PW-1922 |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc

Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 13-Nov-23 | 13-Nov-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 13-Nov-23 | 13-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 9-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 10-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 9-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 9-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 9-Nov-23 | 13-Nov-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 9-Nov-23 | 10-Nov-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 9-Nov-23 | 9-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | PW-1794 | PW-1826 | PW-1850 | PW-1858 | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 08-Nov-23 10:30 | 08-Nov-23 11:30 | 08-Nov-23 12:30 | 08-Nov-23 13:30 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2345308-01 | 2345308-02 | 2345308-03 | 2345308-04 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|----|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | ND | ND | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | <10 | <10 | 100 | 10 | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 299 | 288 | 304 | 281 | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 228 | 28 | 159 | 85 | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | 2 | <2 | <2 | <2 | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 1420 | 1400 | 916 | 1380 | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 474 | 468 | 434 | 458 | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 7.6 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.7 | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | 0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 844 | 788 | 534 | 764 | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | 0.05 | <0.02 | 0.04 | <0.02 | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 45.4 | 3.8 | 26.7 | 13.5 | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 245 | 237 | 84 | 231 | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 119 | 118 | 76 | 113 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | PW-1794 | PW-1826 | PW-1850 | PW-1858 | - | - |
| Sample Date: | 08-Nov-23 10:30 | 08-Nov-23 11:30 | 08-Nov-23 12:30 | 08-Nov-23 13:30 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2345308-01 | 2345308-02 | 2345308-03 | 2345308-04 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 116 | 112 | 93.9 | 109 | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 2.6 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 1.0 | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 44.5 | 45.7 | 48.5 | 45.1 | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.042 | 0.031 | 0.039 | 0.034 | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 4.6 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 4.1 | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 128 | 113 | 21.0 | 117 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client ID: | PW-1922 | | | | | |
| Sample Date: | 08-Nov-23 14:30 | | | | | |
| Sample ID: | 2345308-05 | | | | | |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | | | | | |
| MDL/Units | | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----|---|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | - | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | - | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | - | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | 220 | - | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|---|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 247 | - | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.08 | - | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 1.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 120 | - | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | <2 | - | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 1230 | - | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 421 | - | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 7.8 | - | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 678 | - | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | - | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 19.4 | - | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|---|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 205 | - | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | - | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 105 | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client ID: | PW-1922 | | | | | |
| Sample Date: | 08-Nov-23 14:30 | | | | | |
| Sample ID: | 2345308-05 | | | | | |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | | | | | |
| MDL/Units | | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-------|---|---|---|---|
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 99.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 1.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 42.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.041 | - | - | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 4.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 90.0 | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 205 | 1 | mg/L | 205 | | | 0.0 | 20 | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 107 | 1 | mg/L | 105 | | | 1.2 | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 200 | 5 | mg/L | 203 | | | 1.7 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | 0.095 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.077 | | | NC | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 1.1 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.0 | | | 6.9 | 37 | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | 2 | | | NC | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 228 | 2 | ACU | 228 | | | 0.0 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 511 | 5 | uS/cm | 516 | | | 1.0 | 5 | |
| pH | 8.1 | 0.1 | pH Units | 8.0 | | | 0.7 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.13 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | | | 7.2 | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 45.0 | 0.1 | NTU | 45.4 | | | 0.9 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | 105 | 0.1 | mg/L | 104 | | | 0.5 | 20 | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 32.0 | 0.2 | mg/L | 34.2 | | | 6.6 | 20 | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Potassium | 3.6 | 0.1 | mg/L | 3.6 | | | 0.5 | 20 | |
| Sodium | 43.9 | 0.2 | mg/L | 47.1 | | | 7.2 | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | BAC01 |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | BAC01 |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 214 | 1 | mg/L | 205 | 92.6 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 1.02 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 102 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.02 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 102 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 0.958 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 95.8 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 114 | 1 | mg/L | 105 | 88.2 | 70-130 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.08 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.077 | 100 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 11.4 | 0.5 | mg/L | 1.3 | 101 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.027 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 108 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 92.0 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 92.0 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.48 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 96.8 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 99.9 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.14 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | 102 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | 11900 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 119 | 80-120 | | | |
| Iron | 2520 | 0.1 | mg/L | 11.4 | 100 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 11400 | 0.2 | mg/L | ND | 114 | 80-120 | | | |
| Manganese | 52.0 | 0.005 | mg/L | 1.21 | 101 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 14300 | 0.1 | mg/L | 3630 | 107 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 53200 | 0.2 | mg/L | 45000 | 82.1 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 14-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 8-Nov-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Login Qualifiers :

Container(s) - Labeled improperly/insufficient information - 1 x 40 ml DOC vial is missing the client name, sample collection date/time.

Applies to Samples: PW-1826

Container and COC sample IDs don't match - 500 ml general chemistry bottle reads as PW-1828, and 1 x 40 ml DOC vial is un-labelled, chain of custody reads as PW-1826.

Applies to Samples: PW-1826

Sample Qualifiers :

QC Qualifiers:

BAC01 Greater than 200 CFU of background colonies present. This may interfere with target growth and ability of the analyst to count E. coli & Total Coliform. The target colonies may be under-represented.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



1 Blvd.
G 4J8
abs.com
m

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Parcel Order Number <i>2345308</i> | Chain Of Custody Ontario Drinking Water Samples No 12636 |
|---------------------------------------|--|

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Client Name: <i>GEMTEC</i> | Project Ref: <i>100554003 (Cedarlakes)</i> | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: <i>Brent Redmond</i> | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: <i>Simon Mallory</i> |
| Address: <i>32 Skacie Dr.</i> | PO #: | Address: | Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: <i>Brent.redmond@gemtc.ca</i> | Public Health Unit: | Page <i>1</i> of <i>1</i> |
| Telephone: | Fax: | Turn Around Time Required: | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |

Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one)
 ON REG 170/03 ON REG 319/08 Private Well
 ON REG 243/07 Other *O-Reg 169/03*

Sample Type: R = Raw ; T = Treated ; D = Distribution; P = Plumbing
 Source Type: G = Ground Water; S = Surface Water
 Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes; N = No

| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S/F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coli | Required Analyses | | | | |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-----|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | DATE | TIME | | | | | HPC | Lead | THM | Standard Subd. P & T | |
| <i>Cedarlakes</i> | <i>PW-1794</i> | <i>R</i> | <i>G</i> | <i>N</i> | <i>No</i> | <i>NOV 8 '23</i> | <i>10:30 AM</i> | <i>9</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | <i>PW-1826</i> | | | | | | | <i>9</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | <i>PW-1850</i> | | | | | | | <i>8'</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | <i>PW-1858</i> | | | | | | | <i>9</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | <i>PW-1922</i> | | | | | | | <i>9</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments: *Colour in Acu+TCU on labels for PW-1826, 1828 may be 113 marked*

| | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Relinquished By (Sign): <i>[Signature]</i> | Received By Driver/Depot: <i>[Signature]</i> | Received at Lab: <i>[Signature]</i> | Method of Delivery: <i>Walk</i> |
| Relinquished By (Print): <i>Simon Mallory</i> | Date/Time: <i>NOV 8 2023 3:05 pm</i> | Date/Time: <i>Nov 8 2023/6/6</i> | Verified By: <i>[Signature]</i> |
| Date/Time: <i>NOV 8</i> | Temperature: <i>8.7</i> °C | Temperature: <i>8.8</i> °C | pH Verified: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: <i>[Signature]</i> |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO:
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 72256, 19053

Report Date: 4-Dec-2023
Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Order #: 2348173

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 2348173-01 | PW-6266 |
| 2348173-02 | PW-6342 |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.

Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Alkalinity, total to pH 4.5 | EPA 310.1 - Titration to pH 4.5 | 30-Nov-23 | 30-Nov-23 |
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 30-Nov-23 | 30-Nov-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 4-Dec-23 | 4-Dec-23 |
| Colour | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Colour, apparent | SM2120 - Spectrophotometric | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Conductivity | EPA 9050A- probe @25 °C | 30-Nov-23 | 30-Nov-23 |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | MOE 3247B - Combustion IR | 29-Nov-23 | 30-Nov-23 |
| E. coli | MOE E3407 | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Fecal Coliform | SM 9222D | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | SM 9215C | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Metals, ICP-MS | EPA 200.8 - ICP-MS | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| pH | EPA 150.1 - pH probe @25 °C | 30-Nov-23 | 30-Nov-23 |
| Phenolics | EPA 420.2 - Auto Colour, 4AAP | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Hardness | Hardness as CaCO ₃ | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Sulphide | SM 4500SE - Colourimetric | 1-Dec-23 | 1-Dec-23 |
| Tannin/Lignin | SM 5550B - Colourimetric | 1-Dec-23 | 1-Dec-23 |
| Total Coliform | MOE E3407 | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Total Dissolved Solids | SM 2540C - gravimetric, filtration | 1-Dec-23 | 1-Dec-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |
| Turbidity | SM 2130B - Turbidity meter | 29-Nov-23 | 29-Nov-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | PW-6266 | PW-6342 | - | - | |
| Sample Date: | 28-Nov-23 10:30 | 28-Nov-23 11:30 | - | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2348173-01 | 2348173-02 | - | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Microbiological Parameters

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|----|-----|---|---|---|
| E. coli | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Total Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Fecal Coliforms | 1 CFU/100mL | ND | ND | - | - | - |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 10 CFU/mL | 90 | <10 | - | - | - |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|---|---|---|
| Alkalinity, total | 5 mg/L | 324 | 295 | - | - | - |
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | 0.12 | 0.18 | - | - | - |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 0.5 mg/L | 6.2 | 3.8 | - | - | - |
| Colour, apparent | 2 ACU | 167 | 92 | - | - | - |
| Colour | 2 TCU | 6 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Conductivity | 5 uS/cm | 1090 | 963 | - | - | - |
| Hardness | mg/L | 415 | 359 | - | - | - |
| pH | 0.1 pH Units | 7.7 | 7.8 | - | - | - |
| Phenolics | 0.001 mg/L | <0.001 | <0.001 | - | - | - |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 10 mg/L | 672 | 534 | - | - | - |
| Sulphide | 0.02 mg/L | <0.02 | <0.02 | - | - | - |
| Tannin & Lignin | 0.1 mg/L | 0.3 | 0.1 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - | - |
| Turbidity | 0.1 NTU | 19.2 | 11.8 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Chloride | 1 mg/L | 125 | 96 | - | - | - |
| Fluoride | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |
| Sulphate | 1 mg/L | 98 | 81 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Client ID: | PW-6266 | PW-6342 | - | - | |
| Sample Date: | 28-Nov-23 10:30 | 28-Nov-23 11:30 | - | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2348173-01 | 2348173-02 | - | - | - |
| Matrix: | Drinking Water | Drinking Water | - | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Metals

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-------|-------|---|---|---|---|
| Calcium | 0.1 mg/L | 109 | 95.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Iron | 0.1 mg/L | 1.8 | 1.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Magnesium | 0.2 mg/L | 34.6 | 29.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Manganese | 0.005 mg/L | 0.228 | 0.116 | - | - | - | - |
| Potassium | 0.1 mg/L | 1.9 | 2.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Sodium | 0.2 mg/L | 51.4 | 46.9 | - | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

 Project Description: **100554.003**
Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Fluoride | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphate | ND | 1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | ND | 5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | ND | 0.5 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Colour | ND | 2 | TCU | | | | | |
| Colour, apparent | ND | 2 | ACU | | | | | |
| Conductivity | ND | 5 | uS/cm | | | | | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | ND | 10 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Turbidity | ND | 0.1 | NTU | | | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Iron | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Magnesium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Manganese | ND | 0.005 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Potassium | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Sodium | ND | 0.2 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | | | | | |
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | ND | 10 | CFU/mL | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 6.00 | 1 | mg/L | 5.88 | | | 2.1 | 20 | |
| Fluoride | 0.32 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.33 | | | 5.1 | 20 | |
| Nitrate as N | 0.11 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | | | 3.8 | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Sulphate | 25.4 | 1 | mg/L | 24.8 | | | 2.2 | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Alkalinity, total | 316 | 5 | mg/L | 324 | | | 2.5 | 14 | |
| Ammonia as N | 0.115 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.116 | | | 1.2 | 17.7 | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 6.3 | 0.5 | mg/L | 6.2 | | | 1.7 | 37 | |
| Colour | 7 | 2 | TCU | 6 | | | NC | 12 | |
| Colour, apparent | 166 | 2 | ACU | 167 | | | 0.6 | 12 | |
| Conductivity | 1110 | 5 | uS/cm | 1090 | | | 1.5 | 5 | |
| pH | 7.8 | 0.1 | pH Units | 7.7 | | | 0.1 | 3.3 | |
| Phenolics | ND | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 666 | 10 | mg/L | 672 | | | 0.9 | 10 | |
| Sulphide | ND | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 10 | |
| Tannin & Lignin | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.1 | | | NC | 11 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.30 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.33 | | | 10.9 | 16 | |
| Turbidity | 19.1 | 0.1 | NTU | 19.2 | | | 0.5 | 10 | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | 51.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | 51.0 | | | 0.0 | 20 | |
| Iron | 0.5 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.5 | | | 1.8 | 20 | |
| Magnesium | 18.7 | 0.2 | mg/L | 18.5 | | | 0.9 | 20 | |
| Manganese | 0.016 | 0.005 | mg/L | 0.015 | | | 9.4 | 20 | |
| Potassium | 2.1 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2.0 | | | 2.4 | 20 | |
| Sodium | 11.1 | 0.2 | mg/L | 11.2 | | | 0.8 | 20 | |
| Microbiological Parameters | | | | | | | | | |
| E. coli | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Total Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |
| Fecal Coliforms | ND | 1 | CFU/100mL | ND | | | NC | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|--------|---------------|------|------------|------|-----------|-------|
| Heterotrophic Plate Count | 80 | 10 | CFU/mL | 90 | | | 12.0 | 30 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | 16.4 | 1 | mg/L | 5.88 | 105 | 70-124 | | | |
| Fluoride | 1.20 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.33 | 86.7 | 70-130 | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.15 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.12 | 103 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 1.08 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 108 | 82-115 | | | |
| Sulphate | 34.5 | 1 | mg/L | 24.8 | 97.3 | 70-130 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.12 | 0.01 | mg/L | 0.116 | 100 | 81-124 | | | |
| Dissolved Organic Carbon | 14.1 | 0.5 | mg/L | 3.8 | 102 | 60-133 | | | |
| Phenolics | 0.026 | 0.001 | mg/L | ND | 106 | 67-133 | | | |
| Total Dissolved Solids | 96.0 | 10 | mg/L | ND | 96.0 | 75-125 | | | |
| Sulphide | 0.52 | 0.02 | mg/L | ND | 104 | 79-115 | | | |
| Tannin & Lignin | 1.0 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.1 | 86.6 | 71-113 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.14 | 0.1 | mg/L | 0.33 | 81.3 | 81-126 | | | |
| Metals | | | | | | | | | |
| Calcium | 57200 | 0.1 | mg/L | 51000 | 62.7 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |
| Iron | 2660 | 0.1 | mg/L | 462 | 88.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Magnesium | 25800 | 0.2 | mg/L | 18500 | 73.2 | 80-120 | | | QM-07 |
| Manganese | 62.7 | 0.005 | mg/L | 14.5 | 96.3 | 80-120 | | | |
| Potassium | 11600 | 0.1 | mg/L | 2000 | 96.1 | 80-120 | | | |
| Sodium | 19400 | 0.2 | mg/L | 11200 | 82.0 | 80-120 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 04-Dec-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 28-Nov-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Login Qualifiers :

Container(s) - Labeled improperly/insufficient information - Sample collection time on the containers read 11:30, chain of custody reads 10:30.
Report as 11:30 as per the bottles, as directed by the client.
Applies to Samples: PW-6342

Sample Qualifiers :

QC Qualifiers:

QM-07 The spike recovery was outside acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD. The batch was accepted based on other acceptable QC.

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.

Parcel ID: 2348173



urent Blvd.
K1G 4J8
47
acellabs.com
s.com

Parcel Order Number
(Lab Use Only)

2348173

Chain Of Custody
(Lab Use Only)

No 72256

Client Name: **GEMTEC** Project Ref: **100554.003** Page **1** of **1**

Contact Name: **Brent Redmond** Quote #:

Address: **32 Steacie Dr.** PO #:

E-mail: **brent.redmond@gemtec.ca**

Telephone:

Turnaround Time
 1 day 3 day
 2 day Regular
 Date Required: _____

| REG 153/04 | | REG 406/19 | | Other Regulation | | Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other) | | Required Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Res/Park | <input type="checkbox"/> Med/Fine | <input type="checkbox"/> REG 558 | <input type="checkbox"/> PWQO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Ind/Comm | <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse | <input type="checkbox"/> CCME | <input type="checkbox"/> MISA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> Agri/Other | | <input type="checkbox"/> SU - Sani | <input type="checkbox"/> SU - Storm | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table | | | Mun: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: 0.Reg 169/03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID/Location Name | | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | Sample Taken | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | PW-6266 | GW | / | 10 | NOV28 | 10:30AM | / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | PW-6342 | GW | / | 10 | NOV28 | 10:30AM | / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments: **Colour in ACU + TCU**
Nothing was Field-Filtered

Method of Delivery: **Walk**

Relinquished By (Sign): **SMALLOR** Received at Lab: **HP** Verified By: **Walk**

Relinquished By (Print): **Simon Mallory** Date/Time: **NOV28 12:59 PM** Received at Lab: **HP** Date/Time: **Nov 28, 23/14:30**

Date/Time: **NOV28 1:00PM** Temperature: **8.8** °C Received at Lab: **HP** Date/Time: **Nov 28, 23/14:30** Temperature: **7.8** °C pH Verified: By: _____



Parcel ID: 2348173



mt Blvd.
G 4J8
labs.com
om

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Parcel Order Number 2348173 | Chain Of Custody Ontario Drinking Water Samples No 19053 |
|---------------------------------------|---|

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| Client Name: GENTEC | Project Ref: 10054-003 | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: Brent Redmond | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Simon Mallory |
| Address: 32 Steacie Dr. | PO #: | Address: XXXXXXXXXX | Signature: |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: brent.redmond@gentec.ca | Public Health Unit: | Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |
| Telephone: | Fax: | | |

| Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one) | | Sample Type: R = Raw; T = Treated; D = Distribution; P = Plumbing | | Source Type: G = Ground Water; S = Surface Water | | Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes; N = No | | Required Analyses | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|------------------|---|----------|---|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|-----|--------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 170/03 <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 319/08 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private Well <input type="checkbox"/> ON REG 243/07 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other 0 Reg 169/03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A | | Are these samples for human consumption?: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | All information must be completed before samples will be processed. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S/F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coli | HPC | Lead | THM | Std. Subdiv. |
| | | | | | | DATE | TIME | | | | | | | | |
| 1 6266 Deenmeadow Dr. | PW-6266 | R | G | N | | NOV 28 | 10:30 AM | 10 | | | | | | | 1 |
| 2 6342 Elkwood Dr. | PW-6342 | R | G | N | | NOV 28 | 10:30 AM | 10 | | | | | | | 1 |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Comments: Colour in ACU & TCU NONE field filtered | | Revised Col | | Method of Delivery: | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): | Received By Driver/Depot: | Received by Lab: | Verified By: | | |
| Relinquished By (Print): Simon Mallory | Date/Time: NOV 28 2013 8:00 | Date/Time: NOV 29 2013 8:00 | Date/Time: NOV 29 2013 8:00 | | |
| Date/Time: NOV 28 | Temperature: °C | Temperature: °C | Temperature: °C | pH Verified: <input type="checkbox"/> By: | |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO:
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 3404

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023
Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Order #: 2339122

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 2339122-01 | MW1 |
| 2339122-02 | MW2 |
| 2339122-03 | MW3 |

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc

Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Ammonia, as N | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour | 28-Sep-23 | 28-Sep-23 |
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 26-Sep-23 | 26-Sep-23 |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | EPA 351.2 - Auto Colour, digestion | 27-Sep-23 | 27-Sep-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | MW1 | MW2 | MW3 | - | |
| Sample Date: | 25-Sep-23 13:00 | 25-Sep-23 14:13 | 25-Sep-23 11:53 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2339122-01 | 2339122-02 | 2339122-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

General Inorganics

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-------|------|------|---|---|---|
| Ammonia as N | 0.01 mg/L | <0.01 | 0.12 | 0.06 | - | - | - |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 0.1 mg/L | 0.2 | 1.6 | 1.3 | - | - | - |

Anions

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|---|---|---|
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | 3.4 | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | <0.05 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: **100554.003**

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | ND | 0.01 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 18 | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 4.74 | 0.2 | mg/L | 4.54 | | | 4.3 | 16 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 1.07 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 107 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 1.02 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 102 | 82-115 | | | |
| General Inorganics | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammonia as N | 1.01 | 0.01 | mg/L | ND | 101 | 81-124 | | | |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | 1.04 | 0.1 | mg/L | ND | 104 | 81-126 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 29-Sep-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 25-Sep-2023

Client PO:

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.



Div. 1J8
s.com

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Parcel Order Number 2339122 | Chain Of Custody Ontario Drinking Water Samples No 3404 |
|---------------------------------------|--|

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554.003 | Waterworks Name: | Samples Taken By: |
| Contact Name: Brent Redmond | Quote #: | Waterworks Number: | Name: Simon Mallory |
| Address: | PO #: | Address: | Signature: [Signature] |
| After Hours Contact: | E-mail: brent.redmond@gemtec.ca | Public Health Unit: | Page 1 of 1 Turn Around Time Required: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 day |
| Telephone: | Fax: | | |

Samples Submitted Under: (Indicate ONLY one)
 ON REG 170/03 ON REG 318/08 Private Well
 ON REG 243/07 ON REG 319/08 Other: **169/03**

Have LSN forms been submitted to MOE/MOHLTC?: Yes No N/A

Are these samples for human consumption?: Yes No

All information must be completed before samples will be processed.

Sample Type: R = Raw; T = Treated; D = Distribution; P = Plumbing
 Source Type: G = Ground Water; S = Surface Water
 Reportable: Requires AWQI reporting as per Regulation - Y = Yes; N = No

| LOCATION NAME | SAMPLE ID | Sample Type: R/T/D/P | Source Type: G/S | Reportable: Y/N | Resample | SAMPLE COLLECTED | | # of Containers | Free/Combined Chlorine Residual mg/L | Standing / Flushed: S/F (REG 243) | Total Coliform/E. Coll | Required Analyses | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------|-----|---------|---------|
| | | | | | | DATE | TIME | | | | | HPC | Lead | THM | Nitrate | Nitrite |
| 1 | MW1 | R | G | N | N | SEP 25 '23 | 1:00PM | 2 | | | | | / | / | / | / |
| 2 | MW2 | | | N | ND | | 2:13PM | | | | | | / | / | / | / |
| 3 | MW3 | | | N | ND | | 11:53AM | | | | | | / | / | / | / |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Comments:

Method of Delivery:

| | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Relinquished By (Sign): [Signature] | Received By Driver/Depot: [Signature] | Received at Lab: Su-neepam Sharma | Verified By: SO |
| Relinquished By (Print): Simon Mallory | Date/Time: 09/25/23 3:10pm | Date/Time: Sept 26, 2023 10:36 | Date/Time: Sept 26, 2023 11:58am |
| Date/Time: SEP 25 '23 | Temperature: 12.6 °C | Temperature: 6.4 °C | pH Verified: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> By: SO |

Certificate of Analysis

GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

32 Steacie Drive
Kanata, ON K2K 2A9
Attn: Brent Redmond

Client PO: Cedarlakes
Project: 100554.003
Custody: 73780

Report Date: 2-Nov-2023

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Order #: 2343470

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted:

| Parcel ID | Client ID |
|------------|-----------|
| 2343470-01 | MW1 |
| 2343470-02 | MW2 |
| 2343470-03 | MW3 |

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.

Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Analysis Summary Table

| Analysis | Method Reference/Description | Extraction Date | Analysis Date |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Anions | EPA 300.1 - IC | 30-Oct-23 | 30-Oct-23 |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

| | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Client ID: | MW1 | MW2 | MW3 | - | |
| Sample Date: | 27-Oct-23 09:00 | 27-Oct-23 09:00 | 27-Oct-23 09:00 | - | - |
| Sample ID: | 2343470-01 | 2343470-02 | 2343470-03 | - | - |
| Matrix: | Ground Water | Ground Water | Ground Water | - | - |
| MDL/Units | | | | | |

Anions

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Nitrate as N | 0.1 mg/L | 2.6 | <0.1 | <0.1 | - | - |
| Nitrite as N | 0.05 mg/L | 0.09 | <0.05 | <0.05 | - | - |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

 Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Blank

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
|---------|--------|-----------------|-------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|

Anions

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Nitrate as N | ND | 0.1 | mg/L | | | | | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | | | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Duplicate

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 3.49 | 0.1 | mg/L | 3.56 | | | 2.0 | 20 | |
| Nitrite as N | ND | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | | | NC | 20 | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: **GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited**

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Method Quality Control: Spike

| Analyte | Result | Reporting Limit | Units | Source Result | %REC | %REC Limit | RPD | RPD Limit | Notes |
|---------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---------------|------|------------|-----|-----------|-------|
| Anions | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrate as N | 4.56 | 0.1 | mg/L | 3.56 | 100 | 77-126 | | | |
| Nitrite as N | 0.988 | 0.05 | mg/L | ND | 98.8 | 82-115 | | | |

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Nov-2023

Client: GEMTEC Consulting Engineers and Scientists Limited

Order Date: 27-Oct-2023

Client PO: Cedarlakes

Project Description: 100554.003

Qualifier Notes:

Sample Data Revisions:

None

Work Order Revisions / Comments:

None

Other Report Notes:

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Any use of these results implies your agreement that our total liability in connection with this work, however arising, shall be limited to the amount paid by you for this work, and that our employees or agents shall not under any circumstances be liable to you in connection with this work.

Parcel ID: 2343470



| | |
|---|---|
| Parcel Order Number (Lab Use Only) 2343470 | Chain Of Custody (Lab Use Only) No 73780 |
|---|---|

| | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Client Name: GEMTEC | Project Ref: 100554.003 (Cedarlakes) | Page 1 of 1 |
| Contact Name: Brent Redmond | Quote #: | Turnaround Time <input type="checkbox"/> 1 day <input type="checkbox"/> 3 day <input type="checkbox"/> 2 day <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regular |
| Address: | PO #: | |
| Telephone: | E-mail: brent.redmond@gemtec.ca Simon.mallory@gemtec.ca | |
| Date Required: _____ | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> REG 153/04 <input type="checkbox"/> REG 406/19 | | Other Regulation | | Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other) | | Required Analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> Res/Park | <input type="checkbox"/> Med/Fine | <input type="checkbox"/> REG 558 | <input type="checkbox"/> PWQO | Sample Taken | Nitrates | Nitrites | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> Ind/Comm | <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse | <input type="checkbox"/> CCME | <input type="checkbox"/> MISA | | | | Date | Time | | | | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Table 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> Agri/Other | | <input type="checkbox"/> SU - Sani | <input type="checkbox"/> SU - Storm | Matrix | Air Volume | # of Containers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| For RSC: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No | | Mun: _____ | | Mun: _____ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other: 0. Reg 169/03 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID/Location Name | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | MW1 | | GW | - | 1 | OCT 27 '23 | AM | / | / | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | MW2 | | ↓ | - | 1 | ↓ | ↓ | / | / | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | MW3 | | ↓ | - | 1 | ↓ | ↓ | / | / | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|
| Comments: | | Method of Delivery: Walph | |
| Relinquished By (Sign): Simon Mallory | Received at Lab: 345pm | Received at Lab: so | Verified By: [Signature] |
| Relinquished By (Print): Simon Mallory | Date/Time: Oct 27 '23 | Date/Time: Oct 27, 2023 4:55 PM | Date/Time: Oct 28 2023 8:58 |
| Date/Time: OCT 27 '23 | Temperature: 9.7 °C | Temperature: 7.8 °C | pH Verified: <input type="checkbox"/> By: NA |

CALIBRATION SHEETS



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

The HORIBA Instrument listed below has been inspected and calibrated following the Manufacturer's specifications and methods.

Instrument Model: **HORIBA U-22** Serial Number: **UNNOMASS** Calibration Date: **November 6, 2023**

| <u>2-POINT pH</u> | <u>CONDUCTIVITY</u> | <u>TURBIDITY</u> | <u>DISSOLVED OXYGEN</u> | <u>OXIDIZATION-REDUCTION POTENTIAL</u> | <u>TEMPERATURE</u> |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| 4.00 pH, 7.00 pH | 4.49mS/cm ZERO CHECKED | 0 & 100 NTU | 9 mg/L @ 20.5 DegC SODIUM SULFITE ZERO | 240mV | Fisher Scientific s/n 230606647 |
| AutoCal 4.00 pH Solution LOT # 3GE0924 | AutoCal Solution LOT # 3GH0985 | AutoCal Solution LOT # 3GH0985 | Oakton Zero Solution LOT # 767903 | Hanna ORP LOT # 8803 | |
| Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | Expiry Date: December 1, 2023 | Expiry Date: March 1, 2025 | |
| pH 7.00 LOT # 3GH0684 | @25 DegC LOT # 3GH0985 | Turb. 100 NTU LOT # A2237A | | | |
| Expiry Date: August 1, 2025 | | Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | | | |

The calibration standard used is considered to be a certified standard and is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Certificate of Analysis is available upon request.

The instrument indicated above is now certified to be operating within the Manufacturer's specifications. This does not eliminate the requirement for regular maintenance and pre-use sensor response checks in order to ensure continued complete and accurate operating condition.

Certified By: Jeff Loney

Maxim Environmental and Safety Inc.

sales@maximenvironmental.com
www.maximenvironmental.com



Head Office:
9 - 170 Ambassador Dr., Mississauga, ON L5T 2H9
(905)670-1304 | Toll Free (888)285-2324

Ottawa Office:
9 - 148 Colonnade Rd., Ottawa, ON K2E 7R4
(613)224-4747 | Toll Free (888)285-2324



CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

The HORIBA Instrument listed below has been inspected and calibrated following the Manufacturer's specifications and methods.

Instrument Model: **HORIBA U-22** Serial Number: **UNNOMASS** Calibration Date: **November 6, 2023**

| <u>2-POINT pH</u> | <u>CONDUCTIVITY</u> | <u>TURBIDITY</u> | <u>DISSOLVED OXYGEN</u> | <u>OXIDIZATION-REDUCTION POTENTIAL</u> | <u>TEMPERATURE</u> |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|
| 4.00 pH, 7.00 pH | 4.49mS/cm ZERO CHECKED | 0 & 100 NTU | 9 mg/L @ 20.5 DegC SODIUM SULFITE ZERO | 240mV | Fisher Scientific s/n 230606647 |
| AutoCal 4.00 pH Solution LOT # 3GE0924 | AutoCal Solution LOT # 3GH0985 | AutoCal Solution LOT # 3GH0985 | Oakton Zero Solution LOT # 767903 | Hanna ORP LOT # 8803 | |
| Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | Expiry Date: December 1, 2023 | Expiry Date: March 1, 2025 | |
| pH 7.00 LOT # 3GH0684 | @25 DegC LOT # 3GH0985 | Turb. 100 NTU LOT # A2237A | | | |
| Expiry Date: August 1, 2025 | | Expiry Date: August 1, 2024 | | | |

The calibration standard used is considered to be a certified standard and is traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Certificate of Analysis is available upon request.

The instrument indicated above is now certified to be operating within the Manufacturer's specifications. This does not eliminate the requirement for regular maintenance and pre-use sensor response checks in order to ensure continued complete and accurate operating condition.

Certified By: Jeff Loney

Maxim Environmental and Safety Inc.

sales@maximenvironmental.com
www.maximenvironmental.com



Head Office:
9 - 170 Ambassador Dr., Mississauga, ON L5T 2H9
(905)670-1304 | Toll Free (888)285-2324

Ottawa Office:
9 - 148 Colonnade Rd., Ottawa, ON K2E 7R4
(613)224-4747 | Toll Free (888)285-2324



APPENDIX E

Nitrate Dilution Calculations

Nitrate Dilution Calculation Worksheet - Cedar Lakes Phase 3-4

Nitrate Loading

Residential Septic Systems (assumes 1,000 L/day/lot)

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Number of lots with untreated septic systems = | 71 lots |
| Nitrate loading from untreated septic system = | 40 grams/lot/day |
| Total annual nitrate loading from untreated systems = | 1036600 grams/year |

Total Annual Nitrate Loading from all Systems = 1036600 grams/year

Dilution Volumes

Infiltration Factors

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Topography factor = | 0.2 |
| Soil factor = | 0.4 |
| Cover factor = | 0.165 |
| Combined infiltration factor = | 0.765 |

Precipitation Infiltration

| | |
|---|--------------------|
| Annual water surplus = | 0.380 metres/year |
| Annual infiltration (Water Surplus x Infiltration Factor) = | 0.2907 metres/year |

Infiltration Area and Infiltration Volumes

| | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Area available for infiltration (Site Area) = | 411360 square metres |
| Area available for infiltration (Site Area - Hard Surface Area) = | 275960 square metres |
| <i>Assumes 7 metre wide x 2,300 m long interal roadways, 300m² for each lot house+driveway and removal of 98,000 m² for lands previously incorporated into dilution assessments</i> | |
| Total Annual Volume of Infiltration (Infiltration x Area) = | 80222 cubic metres/year |
| Annual Flow from Residential Lots (assuming 1000 L/day/lot) = | 25915 cubic metres/year |

Total Annual Volume Available for Dilution = 106137 cubic metres/year

Dilution Calculation

$$C_{\text{Nitrate}} = \frac{\text{Mass}}{\text{Volume}} = \frac{\text{Annual Nitrate Loading (grams/year)}}{\text{Annual Dilution Volume (cubic metres/year)}} = \frac{\text{grams}}{\text{cubic metre}} = \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}}$$

$$C_{\text{Nitrate}} = \frac{1036600 \text{ grams/year}}{106137 \text{ cubic metres/year}} = 9.77 \text{ mg/L}$$

Ottawa Intl A WATER BUDGET MEANS FOR THE PERIOD 1939-2020 DC20492

LAT.... 45.32 WATER HOLDING CAPACITY... 75 MM HEAT INDEX... 36.69
 LONG... 75.67 LOWER ZONE..... 45 MM A..... 1.079

| DATE | TEMP (C) | PCPN | RAIN | MELT | PE | AE | DEF | SURP | SNOW | SOIL | ACC P |
|-------|----------|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| 31- 1 | -10.6 | 62 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 83 | 74 | 295 |
| 28- 2 | -9.0 | 56 | 10 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 112 | 74 | 351 |
| 31- 3 | -2.8 | 66 | 31 | 78 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 103 | 69 | 75 | 416 |
| 30- 4 | 5.7 | 73 | 68 | 74 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 75 | 490 |
| 31- 5 | 13.1 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 80 | 80 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 56 | 566 |
| 30- 6 | 18.3 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 116 | 107 | -9 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 651 |
| 31- 7 | 20.9 | 88 | 88 | 0 | 136 | 103 | -33 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 739 |
| 31- 8 | 19.6 | 84 | 84 | 0 | 118 | 84 | -34 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 823 |
| 30- 9 | 14.8 | 82 | 82 | 0 | 75 | 65 | -10 | 4 | 0 | 24 | 906 |
| 31-10 | 8.3 | 77 | 77 | 0 | 37 | 36 | -1 | 14 | 0 | 52 | 77 |
| 30-11 | 1.3 | 76 | 59 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 38 | 9 | 71 | 154 |
| 31-12 | -6.9 | 79 | 27 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 36 | 47 | 74 | 233 |
| AVE | 6.0 TTL | 904 | 699 | 205 | 610 | 523 | -87 | 380 | | | |

Ottawa Intl A STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR THE PERIOD 1939-2020 DC20492

| DATE | TEMP (C) | PCPN | RAIN | MELT | PE | AE | DEF | SURP | SNOW | SOIL | ACC P |
|-------|----------|------|------|------|----|----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| 31- 1 | 2.9 | 26 | 15 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 44 | 3 | 59 |
| 28- 2 | 2.6 | 26 | 14 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 59 | 3 | 63 |
| 31- 3 | 2.6 | 28 | 22 | 49 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 55 | 87 | 0 | 71 |
| 30- 4 | 1.8 | 32 | 33 | 88 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 2 | 80 |
| 31- 5 | 1.8 | 34 | 34 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 22 | 94 |
| 30- 6 | 1.2 | 38 | 38 | 0 | 8 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 29 | 105 |
| 31- 7 | 1.2 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 8 | 31 | 33 | 16 | 0 | 22 | 117 |
| 31- 8 | 1.3 | 37 | 37 | 0 | 8 | 29 | 31 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 126 |
| 30- 9 | 1.5 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 29 | 132 |
| 31-10 | 1.5 | 37 | 37 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 27 | 37 |
| 30-11 | 1.8 | 27 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 32 | 13 | 12 | 45 |
| 31-12 | 3.0 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 34 | 4 | 55 |



APPENDIX F

Pumping Test Graphs and Analysis



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW A

P-Test Date: Oct. 31, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Manual Measurements


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Data (TW A): Drawdown and Recovery



Water Levels TW A

Static : 5.43 m below top of casing

TOC = 0.51 m above ground surface

End of pump test (6-hours): 7.65 m below top of casing

Following recovery (2 hours): 5.52 m below top of casing



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW A

P-Test Date: Oct. 31, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Cooper-Jacob


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW A): Cooper-Jacob (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 86 m²/day or 2 x 10⁻⁵ m²/s

Estimated Storativity: 2 x 10⁻¹⁰



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW A

P-Test Date: Oct. 31, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Theis (Recovery)


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW A): Theis Recovery (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 85 m²/day or 2 x 10⁻⁵ m²/s



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW B

P-Test Date: Nov. 2, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Manual Measurements


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Data (TW B): Drawdown and Recovery



— TW B Levellogger — TW A (Monitoring Levellogger)
— TW C (Monitoring Levellogger) — TWD (Monitoring Levellogger)
— TW E (Monitoring Levellogger) ○ TW B (Manual Data)

Water Levels TW B

Static : 6.98 m below top of casing

TOC = 0.56 m above ground surface

End of pump test (6-hours): 7.32 m below top of casing

Following recovery (2 hours): 7.00 m below top of casing



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW B

P-Test Date: Nov. 2, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Cooper-Jacob


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 47 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW B): Cooper-Jacob (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 157 m²/day or 3 x 10⁻⁵ m²/s

Estimated Storativity: 0.7



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW B

P-Test Date: Nov. 2, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Theis (Recovery)


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 47 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW B): Theis Recovery (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 126 m²/day or 3 x 10⁻⁵ m²/s



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW C

P-Test Date: Oct. 30, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Manual Measurements


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 40 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Data (TW C): Drawdown and Recovery



— TW C Levellogger — TW B (Monitoring Levellogger) — TW D (Monitoring Levellogger)
— TW E (Monitoring Levellogger) ○ TW C Manual Data

Water Levels TW C

Static : 9.23 m below top of casing

TOC = 0.83 m above ground surface

End of pump test (6-hours): 12.27 m below top of casing

Following recovery (2 hours): 9.37 m below top of casing



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW C

P-Test Date: Oct. 30, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Cooper-Jacob


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 40 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW C): Cooper-Jacob (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 26 m²/day or 8 x 10⁻⁶ m²/s

Estimated Storativity: 3 x 10⁻³



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: SM

Pumping Well: TW C

P-Test Date: Oct. 30, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Theis (Recovery)


Analysis Date: Nov 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 40 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6.5 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW C): Theis Recovery (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 26 m²/day or 8 x 10⁻⁶ m²/s



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: EW

Pumping Well: TW D

P-Test Date: Oct. 25, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Manual Measurements


Analysis Date: Nov. 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 44 m

Discharge: Constant 67 L/min

Duration: 6.25 hours

Pumping Test Data (TW D): Drawdown and Recovery



Water Levels TW D

Static : 4.265 m below top of casing

TOC = 0.42 m above ground surface

End of pump test (6-hours): 9.12 m below top of casing

Following recovery (2 hours): 4.39 m below top of casing



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: EW

Pumping Well: TW D

P-Test Date: Oct. 25, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Papadopoulos-Cooper


Analysis Date: Nov. 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 50 m

Discharge: Constant 67 L/min

Duration: 6.25 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW D): Papadopoulos- Cooper (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 41 m²/day or 1 x 10⁻⁵ m²/s

Estimated Storativity: 1 x 10⁻¹⁰



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: EW

Pumping Well: TW D

P-Test Date: Oct. 25, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Theis (Recovery)


Analysis Date: Nov. 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 50 m

Discharge: Constant 67 L/min

Duration: 6.25 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW D): Theis Recovery (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 70 m²/day or 2 x 10⁻⁵ m²/s



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: BR

Pumping Well: TW E

P-Test Date: Nov. 7, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Manual Measurements


Analysis Date: Nov. 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6 hours

Pumping Test Data (TW E): Drawdown and Recovery



○ TW E Manual Data — TW E Levellogger — TW B (Monitoring Levellogger)
 — TW C (Monitoring Levellogger) — TW A (Monitoring Levellogger) — TW D (Monitoring Levellogger)

Water Levels TW-5

Static : 5.315 m below top of casing

TOC = 0.43 m above ground surface

End of pump test (6-hours): 10.73 m below top of casing

Following recovery (2 hours): 6.20 m below top of casing



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: BR

Pumping Well: TW E

P-Test Date: Nov. 7, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Cooper-Jacob


Analysis Date: Nov. 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW E): Cooper-Jacob (Confined Aquifer)



Estimated Transmissivity: 13 m²/day or 3 x 10⁻⁶ m²/s

Estimated Storativity: 0.02



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Test Conducted by: BR

Pumping Well: TW E

P-Test Date: Nov. 7, 2023

Analysis Performed by: SE

Method: Theis (Recovery)


Analysis Date: Nov. 30, 2023

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m

Discharge: Constant 57 L/min

Duration: 6 hours

Pumping Test Analysis (TW E): Theis Recovery (Confined Aquifer)




Estimated Transmissivity: 15 m²/day or 3 x 10⁻⁶ m²/s



APPENDIX G


Long-Term Water Level Monitoring Graphs



Note: Gaps in time series represent period in which monitoring loggers were removed from wells to accommodate for pumping tests and/or sampling.

Project: 100554.003
 Date: December 2023

Monitoring Well Water Levels





APPENDIX H

Well Interference Simulation



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Pumping Test Analysis Report

Project: Hydrogeological Investigation

Project Number: 100554.003

Client: ARK Engineering and Development

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road, Greely, Ontario

Model Created by: SE

No. of Pumping Wells: 71

Duration: 2 hours

Aquifer Thickness: 55 m


Software: Aqtesolv

Pumping Rate: 18.9 L/min

Transmissivity: 49.3 m²/day

Storativity: 5 x 10⁻⁵

Interference Model: Theis (Confined Aquifer)





APPENDIX I

LSI Calculations

Langelier Saturation Index Calculation

Project: 100554.003

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road

Sample ID: TW B - 6hr

Inputs

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|---|
| pH = | 7.9 | |
| Total Dissolved Solids = | 900 | |
| Calcium (as CaCO ₃) = | 120 | Note: Ca (as CaCO ₃) = 2.5 x Ca |
| Alkalinity (as CaCO ₃) = | 352 | |
| Temperature (°C) = | 10 | Assumed average groundwater temperature |

Where Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) is defined as: $LSI = pH - pH_s$

Where: $pH_s = (9.3 + A + B) - (C + D)$

And: $A = \frac{(\log_{10}[TDS] - 1)}{10}$

$$B = -13.12 \cdot \log_{10}[Temp + 273] + 34.55$$

$$C = \log_{10}[Calcium] - 0.4$$

$$D = \log_{10}[Alkalinity]$$

Output:

| | |
|-------------------|------|
| A = | 0.20 |
| B = | 2.38 |
| C = | 1.68 |
| D = | 2.55 |
| pH _s = | 7.65 |

LSI = 0.25

LSI Value

-2.0 to -0.5
-0.5 to 0.0
LSI = 0
0.0 to 0.5
0.5 to 2

Indication

Serious corrosion
Slight corrosion but non-scale forming
Balanced but corrosion possible
Slightly scale forming and corrosive
Scale forming but non corrosive



GEMTEC

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS

Langelier Saturation Index Calculation

Project: 100554.003

Location: 1600 Stagecoach Road

Sample ID: TW D - 6hr

Inputs

pH = 8
Total Dissolved Solids = 588
Calcium (as CaCO₃) = 84.9 Note: Ca (as CaCO₃) = 2.5 x Ca
Alkalinity (as CaCO₃) = 268
Temperature (°C) = 10 Assumed average groundwater temperature

Where Langelier Saturation Index (LSI) is defined as: $LSI = pH - pH_s$

Where: $pH_s = (9.3 + A + B) - (C + D)$

And: $A = \frac{(\log_{10}[TDS] - 1)}{10}$

$$B = -13.12 \cdot \log_{10}[Temp + 273] + 34.55$$

$$C = \log_{10}[Calcium] - 0.4$$

$$D = \log_{10}[Alkalinity]$$

Output:

A = 0.18
B = 2.38
C = 1.53
D = 2.43
pH_s = 7.90

LSI = 0.10

LSI Value

-2.0 to -0.5
-0.5 to 0.0
LSI = 0
0.0 to 0.5
0.5 to 2

Indication

Serious corrosion
Slight corrosion but non-scale forming
Balanced but corrosion possible
Slightly scale forming and corrosive
Scale forming but non corrosive



GEMTEC
CONSULTING ENGINEERS
AND SCIENTISTS



APPENDIX J

Pre-Consultation Summary

Work Plan Review



Subject: Work Plan Review for Proposed Hydrogeological and Terrain Analysis, Proposed Residential Subdivision, Cedar Lakes Phases 3-6, 1600 Stagecoach Road, Ottawa (Greely), Ontario, prepared by GEMTEC, August 1, 2023.

Date: September 12, 2023

Reviewed Background Reports:

- Paterson Group, April 1, 2011, Terrain Analysis and Hydrogeological Study, Proposed Residential Subdivision, Part of Lot 8, Concession 3, Geographic Township of Osgoode, Ottawa (Greely), Ontario
- South Nation Conservation, December 16, 2015, Re: Hydrogeological Study Performance Report (“Report”), Proposed Phase 2 Development, Cedar Lakes Subdivision, Ottawa (Greely), Ontario, Prepared by Patterson Group Inc., September 4, 2015 and Cedar Lakes Subdivision – Hydrogeological Study Performance Report, Response to SNC comments (“Response Letter”), Prepared by ARK Engineering and Development, November 13, 2015.
- Ontario Municipal Board, June 17, 2016, Case NO(S) PL101449, PL140495

Attendees

| | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Jeffrey Ostafichuk (JO) | City of Ottawa |
| Kevin Hall | City of Ottawa |
| Andrius Paznekas (AP) | GEMTEC |
| Daniel Payer | ARK Engineering |
| Rob Kell (RK) | Dillon |
| Angella Graham (AG) | Dillon |
| Matt McCurdy (MM) | Dillon |
| Minoo Yazdanpanah (MY) | Dillon |

Notes

| Item | Discussion |
|------|--|
| | Introduction of Attendees |
| | Hydrogeological Investigation |
| 1. | <p>Five drilled groundwater test wells will be utilized for the hydrogeological investigation (to satisfy the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Procedure D-5-5 requirements for sites up to 40 hectares). The test wells include three existing wells (TW-A, TW-B, and TW-C), and two proposed test wells (TW-D and TW-E). It should be noted that these test wells have been renamed to avoid confusion with other wells in the area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• TW-A and TW-C are existing from previous investigations. These two wells do not have 40 m of the well casings; however, sleeves will be installed to 40 m to meet the targeted casing depth.• TW-B is installed in the City's Park and has a 40-meter casing.• TW-D and TW-E are proposed wells that will be drilled and cased to 40 m depth as part of this study. Test well construction will be supervised and documented by |


| Item | Discussion |
|------|--|
| | <p>GEM TEC field staff, which will include lithological logging, test well construction, well grout inspection, and well chlorination.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TW-A and TW-C will be chlorinated during extension. TW-B will be chlorinated 24-48 hours before the pump test. Residual chlorine levels will be monitored before water quality sample collection. • The integrity of each existing test well will be assessed before use and replacement / new wells used, if necessary. • Test wells will be adequately distributed across the area for proper characterization and analysis. |
| 2. | <p>As noted above, the TW-A and TW-C casing will be extended to 40 metres with 4-inch casing.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether TW-A and TW-C will be used in the future development depends on pending lot planning confirmation. If designated for development, input on the suitable pump for the 4-inch well can be provided. The proposed TW-D and TW-E are planned for a potential development site where they can be used as supply wells. If these wells are unsuitable for future development, abandonment will be considered. |
| 3. | <p>MECP Water Well Records in the vicinity of the site will be reviewed. This includes records in Cedar Lakes Phases 1 and 2 to assess whether the well construction and casing length recommendations were followed.</p> |
| 4. | <p>Water well surveys and sampling will be conducted at nearby private residences to assess the characteristics of water available in the vicinity of the subject site and comply with MECP Procedure D-5-5 and well construction recommendations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dillon recommends that private well survey letters be distributed to all neighbours, rather than pre-selecting only five wells. The letters would ideally be distributed using registered mail, creating a reference of the attempted correspondence if property owners later suggest they were not contacted. The City prefers to have this type of record, as most future complaints come through them. • It is also recommended that when selecting wells for the survey, those with a depth of 40 meters or more (targeted aquifer) are distinguished from shallower wells, so as to address potential interference. • GEM TEC proposed giving all adjacent homes the opportunity to participate in the well survey questionnaire, with a first-come, first-serve approach for sampling. If this approach is taken, rationale must be provided for why it is adequate, and that nearby property owners are satisfied with their level of involvement. |
| 5. | <p>The six hour constant flow rate pump tests will be conducted on each of the five test wells, including water level measurements and water sampling (two samples per pump test) in each of the groundwater test wells.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples will be submitted to an accredited laboratory for 'subdivision package' parameters, after three and six hours of pumping, and 'trace metal' analyses after six hours of pumping. Field parameters and free and total chlorine will be monitored in |

| Item | Discussion |
|------|---|
| | <p>the field during the pump tests. Analytical results will be compared to applicable criteria (ODWS).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All the test wells will be instrumented with water level data loggers, and a barologger will be used onsite. • Pre and post pump test groundwater level monitoring should be completed at each test well during static conditions. • Observation data will also be collected from nearby overburden monitoring wells during each pump test. • The pumping rate flow will be dependent on each individual well. GEMTEC will try to maximize the rate to facilitate the larger hydraulic response but generally use a target maximum rate of 80 L/minute (20 USGal/ min). |
| 5.1. | <p>Radon has been identified as an issue in the area and testing of radon is recommended. The investigation should take into account the recent information/ suggestions provided by the City (Tessa Di'lorio).</p> |
| 5.2. | <p>Pump test water level data will be analyzed to estimate the transmissivity and storativity of the groundwater supply aquifer, including drawdown and recovery graphs of each well pump test. Interference effects between wells within the proposed residential subdivision will be modelled.</p> |
| 5.3. | <p>Long term water level monitoring will be conducted in at least two test wells to monitor potential interference between the proposed development and daily water use within Phases 1 and 2 of Cedar Lakes, which is operating at a denser lot distribution than the proposed Phases 3-6.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GEMTEC has proposed that long-term monitoring will span from a few weeks to couple of months, as seasonal variations generally do not impact interference between the wells. • Dillon recommends longer-term monitoring over several seasons (as per Section 8.2.5 of the guidance document), and if an alternative approach is taken (e.g., reducing the monitoring period), strong rationale must be provided for why that data is adequate. |
| | <p>Terrain and Septic Impact Assessment</p> |
| 6. | <p>Information from previous site investigations (e.g., Paterson, 2011) will be used for assessing soil conditions, as wells as supplemented with the drilling of 3 overburden monitoring wells.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dillon suggests conducting an additional test pitting or drilling program in previously unexplored areas, particularly in the southwestern region of the site. If a more limited dataset is used for characterizing the site, strong rationale must be provided why that is adequate. |
| 7. | <p>Overburden monitoring wells will be strategically placed to aid in monitoring shallow groundwater quality (e.g., elevated levels of nitrates) in the shallow groundwater, and the hydraulic connection of the overburden aquifer with the bedrock aquifer during pumping tests of nearby test wells (all monitoring wells).</p> |
| 7.1. | <p>For monitoring background nitrate levels across the site, GEMTEC suggests that conducting one</p> |

| Item | Discussion |
|------|--|
| | <p>round of overburden nitrate sampling will be adequate, unless elevated levels are detected (i.e., greater than the 2.5 mg/L specified in the guidance document).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dillon suggests that monitoring to assess nitrate levels be conducted over a longer period, and that if a more limited approach is taken, strong rationale must be provided (e.g., reference to other representative data, how seasonality may impact results, etc.). Alternatively, sampling could be conducted during conditions that roughly correspond with seasonal variations in moisture content, such as following significant rain events and dry periods. • The monitoring program should also consider potential impacts on neighbouring wells with shallower casings. This might include collecting strategic nitrate samples from specific water supply wells during the private well survey/sampling. |
| 8. | <p>Infiltration rates will be assessed by conducting infiltration testing using a Guelph Permeameter at six locations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples will collected at each location for grain size analysis; however, enough grain size samples will be collected to adequately characterize all the various soil types present across the site. |
| 8.1. | <p>As part of the Impact Risk Assessment for the proposed on-site sewage systems, a water balance is typically required for the site.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It was suggested that a water balance is not required given the reduced number of lots and increase in pervious area; however, Dillon suggests that a water balance still be conducted given the vulnerable underlying aquifer, and historical high nitrate levels at the site. If a water balance is not completed, corresponding rationale for any assumptions or findings must be provided. It should also be noted that a water balance will be required as part of the stormwater management assessment and report. • It was also previously noted that the site is located within the Shields Creek Subwatershed Study Area, which would require the site to maintain recharge rates after development and necessitate a water balance to demonstrate this would be the case; however, it appears that the site actually lies just outside this area and is therefore not subject to those requirements. That being said, and as noted above, rationale must still be provided for not completing a water balance at the site. • Regarding whether stormwater pond area can be included in as a recharge area for nitrate loading calculations; the conventional approach (and the guidance document) suggests that this area should be excluded. Dillon recommends adherence to this methodology. Given the larger lot sizes, it is unlikely to be a concern. |
| | Other Discussion Subjects |
| 9. | <p>Lot Fabric:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The concept plan showing the location of the septic and well for each lot will be provided. |
| 10. | <p>Cumulative Well Supply Impact Assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should be noted that evaluating the impact not only on the targeted aquifer but also on shallow wells is important. |

| Item | Discussion |
|------|---|
| 11. | <p>Watercourse and Wetland:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dillon specified the necessary setback distance from wetlands and watercourses when planning lot fabrication. Also, they confirmed that the setback area cannot be utilized for lot fabric or septic systems. • It was then noted that watercourses run from north to south and have been artificially constructed for Phase 1 and 2. Historically, there were no natural watercourses on the site. There is a registered municipal easement with a 15-meter maintenance corridor indicated on the title. There are no wetlands present on the site. |
| 12. | <p>Existing PTTW:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An existing PTTW (license 7184-BZ5SAE) for groundwater and surface water dewatering was noted, which included 1,500,000 liters/ day, dated March 25, 2021 to March 26, 2026 at two locations on the site. • GEM TEC confirmed that the existing PTTW is for the construction of the ponds. There is no ongoing water taking and the permits are for construction purposes. |

experience • knowledge • integrity



civil
geotechnical
environmental
field services
materials testing

civil
géotechnique
environnementale
surveillance de chantier
service de laboratoire des matériaux

expérience • connaissance • intégrité

